

approval of the revision would result in interference under CAA section 110(l).

The EPA reviewed air quality design values for the Billings/Laurel area, which demonstrate that it currently meets the more stringent 2010 primary SO₂ NAAQS and 2024 secondary SO₂ NAAQS by a significant margin.¹⁵ There are no operational changes included in the revisions that could meaningfully increase SO₂ emissions at the Western Sugar facility, which is among the lower emitting SO₂ sources in the Billings/Laurel area.¹⁶ Montana's submittal provides adequate evidence that the provisions were adopted after reasonable public notice and hearings. Based on these considerations, the EPA has concluded that the September 25, 2025 SIP revision we are proposing to approve with this action does not interfere with any applicable requirements of the Act and that the CAA section 110(l) requirements are satisfied.

IV. Proposed Action

The EPA is proposing to approve Montana's September 25, 2025 revisions to Exhibit A of the Western Sugar Stipulation into the Montana SIP. The EPA is taking this action pursuant to the CAA.

V. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, the EPA is proposing to include regulatory text in an EPA final rule that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference "Western Sugar June 12, 1998 Exhibit A. Emission Limitations and Other Conditions." The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region 8 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the

CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, 2011);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it approves a state program;
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian Tribe has demonstrated that a Tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate

matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 14, 2026.

Cyrus M. Western,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 2026–01324 Filed 1–22–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA–R03–OAR–2025–1872; FRL–12994–03–R3]

Proposed Revisions of the Nonattainment Designation for the 2008 and 2015 Ozone Standards and Clean Data Determinations for the 2008 and 2015 Ozone Standards: Cecil County, MD and New Castle County, DE; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending the comment period for a proposed rule that published January 2, 2026. The current comment period for the proposed rule was scheduled to close on February 2, 2026. The EPA is extending the comment period for the proposed action to February 9, 2026.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published on January 2, 2026 (91 FR 98) was originally scheduled to close on February 2, 2026, but the comment period is being extended by 7 days. Comments must be received on or before February 9, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R03–OAR–2025–1872 at www.regulations.gov. Additional instructions to comment can be found in the notice of proposed rulemaking published January 2, 2026, at 91 FR 98.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah McCabe, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air & Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, Four Penn Center, 1600 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. The telephone number is (215) 814–5786. Ms. McCabe can also be reached via electronic mail at mccabe.sarah@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 2, 2026, the EPA published the

¹⁵ <https://www.epa.gov/air-trends/air-quality-design-values>. As this demonstrates, the maximum design value for Yellowstone County for the most recent 2022–2024 design value period was 22 ppb for the 1-hour NAAQS of 75 ppb, and 1 ppb for the annual NAAQS of 10 ppb.

¹⁶ September 25, 2025 submission at pdf page 7, Figure 1. See also "Historic Emissions Data—Western Sugar," in the docket for this action, which shows that Western Sugar emits roughly 2% of the total SO₂ emissions in Yellowstone County annually.

notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) “Proposed Revisions of the Nonattainment Designation for the 2008 and 2015 Ozone Standards and Clean Data Determinations for the 2008 and 2015 Ozone Standards: Cecil County, MD and New Castle County, DE” in the **Federal Register** (91 FR 98). The NPRM specified that the comment period would end on February 2, 2026. However, the EPA’s Technical Support Document (TSD), identified in the docket as “MD DE 107 TSD Final”, was not made available in the docket until January 5, 2026 (three days after publication). To ensure the public and interested parties have sufficient time to review the associated docket materials and submit comment on the NPRM, the EPA is extending the comment period by a week to February 9, 2026.

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Designations, Intergovernmental relations,

Redesignation, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Amy Van Blarcom-Lackey,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 2026–01319 Filed 1–22–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 2

[ET Docket No. 24–136; Report No. 3231; FR ID 327063]

Petition for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

SUMMARY: Petition for Reconsideration (Petition) has been filed in the Commission’s proceeding by On behalf of Garmin International, Inc.

DATES: Oppositions to the Petition must be filed on or before February 9, 2026. Replies to oppositions to the Petition must be filed on or before February 17, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Katherine Nevitt of the Office of Engineering and Technology, at *Katherine.Nevitt@fcc.gov* or 202–362–3017.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s document, Report No. 3231, released January 14, 2026. The full text of the Petition can be accessed online via the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System at: <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/>. The Commission will not send a Congressional Review Act (CRA) submission to Congress or the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the CRA, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), because no rules are being adopted by the Commission.

Subject: Promoting the Integrity and Security of Telecommunications Certification Bodies, Measurement Facilities, and the Equipment Authorization Program (ET Docket No. 24–136).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–01281 Filed 1–22–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P