

Place: The William F. Bolger Center, Franklin Building, Classroom 1, 9600 Newbridge Drive, Potomac, MD 20854.

Closed: 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications and/or proposals.

Place: The William F. Bolger Center, Franklin Building, Classroom 1, 9600 Newbridge Drive, Potomac, MD 20854.

Contact Person: David T. George, Ph.D., Acting Associate Director, Office of Research Administration, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, 6707 Democracy Boulevard, Room 239, Bethesda, MD 20892.

Any interested person may file written comments with the committee by forwarding the statement to the Contact Person listed on this notice. The statement should include the name, address, telephone number and when applicable, the business or professional affiliation of the interested person.

Information is also available on the Institute's/Center's home page: <http://www.nibib1.nih.gov/about/NACBIB/NACBIB.htm>, where an agenda and any additional information for the meeting will be posted when available.

Dated: February 1, 2019.

Sylvia L. Neal,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2019-01358 Filed 2-6-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group; Pediatrics Subcommittee.

Date: March 4, 2019.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Residence Inn Bethesda, 7335 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Rita Anand, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, DHHS, 6710B Rockledge Drive, Rm. 2125B, Bethesda, MD 20817, (301) 496-1487, anandr@mail.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.864, Population Research; 93.865, Research for Mothers and Children; 93.929, Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research; 93.209, Contraception and Infertility Loan Repayment Program, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: January 31, 2019.

Ronald J. Livingston, Jr.,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2019-01360 Filed 2-6-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

[Docket No. USCG-2018-0787]

National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice of Federal Advisory Committee charter renewal.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security renewed the charter for the National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee on November 9, 2018. The charter will expire on November 9, 2020. The National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee provides advice and recommendations to the Department of Homeland Security on matters relating to activities directly involved with or in support of the exploration of offshore mineral and energy resources insofar as they relate to matters within Coast Guard jurisdiction. A copy of the charter can be found at <https://www.facadatabase.gov/FACA/apex/FACAPublicCommittee?id=a10t0000001gzmiAAA>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commander Jose Perez, Designated Federal Officer of the National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee, Commandant (CG-OES-2), U.S. Coast Guard, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue SE, Stop 7509, Washington, DC 20593-7509; telephone (202) 372-1410, fax (202) 372-8382 or email jose.a.perez3@uscg.mil, or Mr. Patrick Clark, telephone (202) 372-1358, fax

(202) 372-8382 or email Patrick.w.clark@uscg.mil.

Alternate Designated Federal Officer of the National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee, Commandant (CG-OES-2), U.S. Coast Guard, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue SE, Stop 7509, Washington, DC 20593-7509.

Jeffrey G. Lantz,

Director of Commercial Regulations and Standard.

[FR Doc. 2019-01424 Filed 2-6-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

[Docket No. DHS-2018-0068]

Chemical Security Assessment Tool (CSAT)

AGENCY: Infrastructure Security Division (ISD), Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

ACTION: 60-Day notice and request for comments; Revision of Information Collection.

SUMMARY: DHS CISA ISD will submit the following Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This notice solicits comments on the information collection during a 60-day public comment period prior to the submission of this ICR to OMB. The submission proposes to renew the information collection for an additional three years and update the burden estimates associated with collecting information in the Chemical Security Assessment Tool (CSAT) for the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS).

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until April 8, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by docket number through the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for sending comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name "CISA" and docket number DHS-2018-0068. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided.

Comments that include trade secrets, confidential commercial or financial information, Chemical-terrorism

Vulnerability Information (CVI),¹ Sensitive Security Information (SSI),² or Protected Critical Infrastructure Information (PCII)³ should not be submitted to the public docket. Comments containing trade secrets, confidential commercial or financial information, CVI, SSI, or PCII should be appropriately marked and packaged in accordance with applicable requirements and submitted by mail to the DHS/CISA/Infrastructure Security Division, CFATS Program Manager at CISA, 245 Murray Lane SW, Mail Stop 0610, Arlington, VA 20528–0610. Comments must be identified by docket number DHS–2018–0068.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Craig Conklin, 703–235–5263, cfats@hq.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CFATS Program identifies and regulates the security of high-risk chemical facilities using a risk-based approach. Congress initially authorized the CFATS Program under Section 550 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act of 2007, Public Law 109–295 (2006) and reauthorized it under the Protecting and Securing Chemical Facilities from Terrorist Attacks Act of 2014, as amended.⁴ The Department implemented the CFATS Program through rulemaking and issued an Interim Final Rule (IFR) on April 9, 2007 and a final rule on November 20, 2007. See 72 FR 17688 and 72 FR 65396.

CISA⁵ collects the core regulatory data necessary to implement CFATS through the Chemical Security Assessment Tool (CSAT) covered under this collection. For more information about CFATS and CSAT, please visit www.dhs.gov/chemicalsecurity. This information collection (OMB Control No. 1670–0007) will expire on July 31, 2019.⁶

In an effort to reduce burden, the most recently approved version of this

information collection proposed a significantly revised version of the Top-Screen instrument and other instruments in this package (“CSAT 2.0”).⁷ CISA began implementing CSAT 2.0 in October 2016 and concluded this effort in September 2018. As part of the implementation, the Department required all chemical facilities of interest that had chemical holdings at or above the screening threshold quantities on Appendix A of the CFATS regulation to complete the Top-Screen, even if the facility had previously completed a Top-Screen and been determined not to be high-risk. The Top-Screen submissions were then analyzed by the Department using an enhanced methodology designed to more accurately and appropriately tier high-risk chemical facilities. After completing this process, certain chemical facilities received a new or revised tier and were required to submit a new or revised Security Vulnerability Assessment (SVA) and Site Security Plan (SSP).

Because the CSAT 2.0 implementation was a one-time requirement for all chemical facilities of interest, CISA believes using the most recent data on the number of respondents collected between FY17 and FY18 to estimate future burden requirements is generally inappropriate. In most cases, relying on this data would result in substantial over estimation of the burden for the instruments in this collection. However, in some cases CISA believes using the most recent data available accurately reflects the future burden for specific instruments. CISA indicates in this notice when it is using the data collected during the implementation of CSAT 2.0 for future burden estimates and when it is relying on other assumptions.

Below, CISA estimates the burden to respondents for the: (1) Top-Screen, (2) Security Vulnerability Assessment (SVA) and ASP submitted in lieu of an SVA, (3) SSP and ASP submitted in lieu of an SSP, (4) CFATS Help Desk, (5) CSAT User Registration, and (6) Identification of Facilities and Assets at Risk.⁸

⁷ The previous 60-Day notice and request for comments to revise Information Collection 1670–0007 was published in the **Federal Register** on November 18, 2015 at 80 FR 72086 and may be viewed at <https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2015-29457>.

⁸ Throughout this analysis, CISA presents rounded hourly time burden estimates and hourly compensation rates to assist in reproducing the results. However, CISA’s actual calculations use unrounded figures; consequently, some reproduced results may not exactly match the reported results.

1. CISA’s Methodology in Estimating the Burden for the Top-Screen

Number of Respondents

The current information collection estimated that 1,000 first-time respondents will submit a Top-Screen annually. For this ICR, CISA estimates the annual number of respondents will be 2,332, which is based on the average number of Top-Screen submissions prior to the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY14 and FY16). CISA believes using the timeframe immediately prior to the implementation of CSAT 2.0 is more representative of the anticipated number of respondents because the implementation of CSAT 2.0 temporarily resulted in an above-average number of Top-Screens during FY17 and FY18. The estimate of 2,332 is the sum of the average number of 759 first-time Top-Screen submissions and the average number of 1,573 Top-Screen resubmissions per year.

In the current information collection, CISA accounted for resubmissions using a different methodology than described above.⁹ CISA is now counting each resubmission as a separate respondent and therefore, the average number of responses per respondent decreased from 1.5 to 1. CISA determined that this methodology would result in a more accurate annual burden because the time per respondent for a first time submission is different than for a resubmission.

Estimated Time per Respondent

In the current information collection, the estimated time per respondent to prepare and submit a Top-Screen is 6 hours.¹⁰ In October 2016, CISA implemented CSAT 2.0, which reduced the amount of time a respondent needs to be logged into CSAT to complete and submit a Top-Screen.

Using the data collected since the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY17 and FY19), CISA determined that on average respondents who submitted initial Top-Screens were logged into the Top-Screen application for 0.50 hours (30 minutes) and the median duration respondents were logged in for resubmitted Top-Screens was 0.08 hours (5 minutes). CISA calculated the average amount of time

⁹ In the current information collection, CISA estimated that the average number of Top-Screens submissions was 1.5. This would imply that on average, 50 percent of respondents would submit two Top Screens per year, with all others submitting once.

¹⁰ 6 hours is composed of 1.2 hours logged into CSAT and 4 hours for every hour logged into CSAT (*i.e.*, 6 hours = [1.2 hours logged into CSAT + (1.2 hours × 4) for preparation]).

¹ For more information about CVI see 6 CFR 27.400 and the CVI Procedural Manual at www.dhs.gov/publication/safeguarding-cvi-manual.

² For more information about SSI see 49 CFR part 1520 and the SSI Program web page at www.tsa.gov/for-industry/sensitive-security-information.

³ For more information about PCII see 6 CFR part 29 and the PCII Program web page at www.dhs.gov/pcii-program.

⁴ The CFATS Act of 2014 codified the CFATS program into the Homeland Security Act of 2002. See 6 U.S.C. 621 *et seq.*, as amended by H.R. 251, 116th Cong. (2019) (enacted).

⁵ Pursuant to the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency Act of 2018, the National Protection and Program Directorate (NPPD) was redesignated as CISA. See 6 U.S.C. 652.

⁶ The currently approved version of this information collection (OMB Control No. 1670–0007) can be viewed at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201604-1670-001.

respondents were logged into the Top-Screen application weighted by the number of respondents that submitted first-time Top-Screens and Top-Screen resubmissions between FY14 and FY16, which was 0.22 hours (13 minutes) [= (759 first-time submissions \times 0.50 hours + 1,573 resubmissions \times 0.08 hours) \div 2,332 total submissions].

For this ICR, CISA maintains its assumption, based on previous public comments on this information collection, that for every hour a respondent is logged into the Top-Screen application, the respondent spends an average of 4 hours in preparation.¹¹ Therefore, CISA's estimated time per respondent to submit a Top-Screen is 1.09 hours [= 0.22 hours logged into CSAT + (0.22 hours \times 4) for preparation].

CISA no longer accounts for the burden related to collecting supporting documentation for Top-Screens because this burden is already accounted for under a separate information collection.¹²

Annual Burden Hours

The annual burden hours for the Top-Screen is 2,553 hours [= 2,332 respondents \times 1 response per respondent \times 1.09 hours per response].

Total Annual Burden Cost

The 2007 CFATS Regulatory Assessment¹³ assumes that Site Security Officers (SSOs) are responsible for submitting Top-Screens. For this ICR, CISA maintains this assumption.

The SSO's average hourly compensation rate of \$79.69 is based on an average hourly wage rate of \$54.41¹⁴ with a benefits multiplier of 1.4647.¹⁵

¹¹ CISA's adoption of the assumption that for every hour a respondent is logged into the Top-Screen application, SVA/ASP application, and SSP/ASP application, the respondent spends an average of 4 hours in preparation is described in the 30-day notice the Department published for this information collection in March 2013 at 78 FR 16694, which may be viewed at <https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2013-06095>.

¹² Under Information Collection 1670-0014, CISA collects supporting documentation from facilities under the "Request for Redetermination" instrument. Additional information about Information Collection 1670-0014 may be found at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRViewDocument?ref_nbr=201704-1670-001.

¹³ The 2007 Regulatory Assessment was published to docket DHS-2006-0073 on April 1, 2007 and may be viewed at <https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=DHS-2006-0073-0116>.

¹⁴ Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). Occupational Employment Statistics. May 2017. Managers, All Others (SOC 11-9199). <https://www.bls.gov/oes/2017/may/oes119199.htm>.

¹⁵ BLS. Employer Costs for Employee Compensation—December 2017. Table 1. Employer Costs per Hour Worked for Employee Compensation and Costs as a Percent of Total Compensation:

Therefore, to estimate the total annual burden, CISA multiplied the annual burden of 2,553 hours by the average hourly compensation rate of SSOs. The total annual burden cost for the Top-Screen is \$203,450 [= 2,553 annual burden hours \times \$79.69 per hour].

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup)

CISA provides access to CSAT free of charge and CISA assumes that each respondent already has access to the internet for basic business needs.

The current information collection estimated a one-time capital/startup cost would be incurred by 36,930 respondents required to submit a new Top-Screen following the implementation of CSAT 2.0. CISA proposes to remove this one-time cost from this ICR because CSAT 2.0 has been fully implemented. Therefore, CISA estimates that no capital/startup costs are associated with this instrument.

Total Recordkeeping Burden

A respondent that has submitted a Top-Screen may or may not be determined by CISA to present a high level of security risk. Only respondents that present a high level of security risk are required to keep records mandated by CFATS.

For respondents that are determined to present a high level of security risk, the Top-Screen recordkeeping burden is accounted for within the recordkeeping burden estimate for the "Site Security Plan (SSP) and Alternative Security Program (ASP) submitted in lieu of an SSP" instrument discussed later in this notice in subsection 3, hereafter, referred to as the "SSP/ASP." The recordkeeping burden estimate for the SSP/ASP instrument accounts for all records respondents are required to maintain under CFATS because CISA assumes that respondents maintain their Top-Screen records in the same manners, formats, and locations as they maintain their other required records. Therefore, CISA estimates that no recordkeeping burden is associated with this instrument.

Civilian Workers, by Major Occupational and Industry Group, December 2017. https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/ecec_03202018.pdf. The compensation factor (1.4647) is estimated by dividing total compensation (\$35.87) by wages and salaries (\$24.49).

2. CISA's Methodology in Estimating the Burden for the Security Vulnerability Assessment (SVA) & Alternative Security Program (ASP) Submitted In Lieu of an SVA

Number of Respondents

The current information collection estimated that each year 211 respondents would complete an SVA or ASP in lieu of an SVA, hereafter, referred to as an "SVA/ASP." For this ICR, CISA estimates that the annual number of respondents will be 1,683, which is based on the average number of SVA/ASP submission prior to the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY14 and FY16). CISA believes using the timeframe immediately prior to the implementation of CSAT 2.0 is more representative of the anticipated number of respondents because the implementation of CSAT 2.0 temporarily resulted in an above-average number of SVAs/ASPs between FY17 and FY18. This consists of an average of 215 first-time SVA/ASPs and 1,468 resubmitted SVA/ASPs per year.

In the current information collection, CISA accounted for resubmissions using a different methodology than described above.¹⁶ CISA is now counting each resubmission as a separate respondent and therefore, the average number of responses per respondent decreased from 1.5 to 1. CISA determined that this methodology would result in a more accurate annual burden because the time per respondent for a first time submission is different than for a resubmission.¹⁷

Estimated Time per Respondent

The current information collection estimated the time per respondent for preparing and submitting an SVA/ASP to be 2.65 hours.¹⁸ As mentioned previously in this notice CISA implemented CSAT 2.0, which among other improvements projected a reduction of 90 percent in the amount

¹⁶ In the current information collection, CISA estimated that the average number of SVA/ASP submissions was 1.5. This would imply that on average, 50 percent of respondents would submit two SVAs/ASPs per year, with all others submitting once.

¹⁷ Historically, CISA estimated the expected number of SVAs/ASPs using an historical tiering determination rate that was derived by multiplying: (a) The estimated number of Top-Screens, by (2) the percentage of Top-Screens that resulted in a determination by CISA that an SVA/ASP must be submitted by a respondent (*i.e.*, a covered chemical facility).

¹⁸ 2.65 hours is composed of 10% of 5.3 hours logged into CSAT and 4 hours for every hour logged into CSAT (*i.e.*, 2.65 hours = [(0.10 \times 5.3 hours logged into CSAT) + (0.10 \times 5.3 hours logged into CSAT \times 4) for preparation]).

of time a respondent spends logged into the CSAT SVA/ASP application.

Using the data collected since the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY17 and FY19), CISA determined that the median duration respondents were logged into the CSAT SVA/ASP application for an initial SVA/ASP and an SVA/ASP resubmission was 0.80 hours (48 minutes) and 0.17 hours (10 minutes), respectively. CISA calculated the average amount of time respondents were logged into the SVA/ASP application weighted by the number of respondents that submitted first-time SVAs/ASPs and SVA/ASP resubmissions between FY14 and FY16, which was 0.25 hours (15 minutes) [= (215 first-time submissions \times 0.80 hours + 1,468 resubmissions \times 0.17 hours) \div 1,683 total submissions].

For this ICR, CISA maintains its assumption, based on previous public comments on this information collection, that for every hour a respondent is logged into the SVA/ASP application, the respondent spends an average of 4 hours in preparation. Therefore, CISA's estimated time per respondent to prepare and submit an SVA/ASP is 1.24 hours [= 0.25 hours logged into CSAT + (0.25 hours \times 4) for preparation].

CISA no longer accounts for the burden related to collecting supporting documentation for SVAs/ASPs, because the standard business processes associated with the SVA/ASP instrument do not include the collection of additional documentation.

Annual Burden Hours

The annual burden hours for an SVA/ASP is 2,083 hours [= 1,683 respondents \times 1 response per respondent \times 1.24 hours per response].

Total Annual Burden Cost

The 2007 CFATS Regulatory Assessment assumes that SSOs will be responsible for submitting SVAs/ASPs. For this ICR, CISA maintains this assumption. Therefore, to estimate the total annual burden, CISA multiplied the annual burden of 2,083 hours by the average hourly compensation rate of SSOs. The total annual burden cost for the SVA/ASP is \$166,028 [= 2,083 annual burden hours \times \$79.69 per hour].

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup)

CISA provides access to CSAT free of charge and CISA assumes that each respondent already has access to the internet for basic business needs. Therefore, CISA estimates that there are no capital/startup costs for this instrument.

Total Recordkeeping Burden

For respondents that are determined by CISA to present a high level of security risk, the SVA/ASP recordkeeping burden is accounted for within the recordkeeping burden estimate for the SSP/ASP discussed below in Subsection 3 of this section. Therefore, CISA estimates that no recordkeeping burden is associated with this instrument.

3. CISA's Methodology in Estimating the Burden for the Site Security Plan (SSP) & Alternative Security Program (ASP) Submitted In Lieu of an SSP

Number of Respondents

The current information collection estimated 211 SSP/ASP respondents. For this ICR, the Department maintains the assumption that all respondents to the SVA/ASP will be a respondent of the SSP/ASP. Therefore, CISA estimates that the annual number of respondents will be 1,683, which is based on the average number of all respondents to the SVA/ASP. This number breaks down to, on average, 215 initial submissions and 1,468 resubmissions.

Estimated Time per Respondent

The current information collection estimated the time per respondent for preparing and submitting an SSP/ASP to be 18.75 hours.¹⁹ As mentioned previously in this notice CISA implemented CSAT 2.0, which among other improvements projected a reduction of 70 percent in the amount of time a respondent spends logged into the CSAT SSP/ASP application. Using the data collected since the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY17 and FY19), CISA determined that the median duration respondents were logged into the SSP/ASP application for initial and resubmitted SSPs/ASPs was 2.10 hours (126 minutes) and 0.32 hours (19 minutes), respectively. CISA calculated the average amount of time respondents were logged into the SSP/ASP application weighted by the number of respondents that submitted first-time SSPs/ASPs and SSP/ASP resubmissions between FY14 and FY16, which was 0.54 hours (33 minutes) [= (215 first-time submissions \times 2.10 hours + 1,468 resubmissions \times 0.32 hours) \div 1,683 total submissions].

For this ICR, CISA maintains its assumption, based on previous public comments on this information

collection, that for every hour a respondent is logged into the SSP/ASP application, the respondent spends an average of 4 hours in preparation. Therefore, CISA's estimated time per respondent to prepare and submit an SSP/ASP is 2.72 hours [= 0.54 hours logged into CSAT + (0.54 hours \times 4) for preparation].

CISA no longer accounts for the burden related to collecting supporting documentation for SSPs/ASPs, because the standard business processes associated with the SSP/ASP instrument do not include the collection of additional documentation.

Annual Burden Hours

The annual burden hours for SSPs/ASPs is 4,582 hours [= 1,683 respondents \times 1 response per respondent \times 2.72 hours per response].

Total Annual Burden Cost

The 2007 CFATS Regulatory Assessment assumes that SSOs will be responsible for submitting SSPs/ASPs. For this ICR, CISA maintains this assumption. Therefore, to estimate the total annual burden, CISA multiplied the annual burden of 4,582 hours by the average hourly compensation rate of SSOs. The total annual burden cost for the SSPs/ASPs is \$365,141 [= 4,582 annual burden hours \times \$79.69 per hour].

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup)

CISA provides access to CSAT free of charge and CISA assumes that each respondent already has access to the internet for basic business needs. Therefore, CISA estimates that there are no capital/startup costs.

Total Recordkeeping Burden

The current information collection estimated a recordkeeping burden of approximately \$440,000. CISA maintained the methodology and assumptions described in the current information collection, but increased the current estimate to account for: (1) The increase in the number of initial SSP/ASP respondents from 211 to 215, (2) updating the hourly compensation rates to 2017 dollars, and (3) inflating the capital/startup costs by an inflation factor of 1.03.²⁰ Therefore, CISA's

¹⁹ 18.75 hours is composed of 30% of 12.5 hours logged into CSAT and 4 hours for every hour logged into CSAT (*i.e.*, 18.75 hours = [(0.30 \times 12.5 hours logged into CSAT) + (0.30 \times 12.5 hours logged into CSAT \times 4) for preparation]).

²⁰ CISA inflated the capital/startup costs from the current information collection to 2017 dollars using an inflation factor of 1.03. The inflation factor was calculated by dividing the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) implicit price deflator index from 2017 (103.02) by the index from 2015 (100). (Federal Reserve Economic Data. GDP Implicit Price Deflator in United States, Index 2015=100, Annual, Not Seasonally Adjusted. <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF>).

estimated recordkeeping burden will be \$516,825.

For this ICR, CISA maintains its approach of accounting for the entire recordkeeping burden imposed on covered chemical facilities under CFATS within the SSP/ASP instrument, because: (1) Only covered chemical facilities are required to maintain records; (2) no changes to the recordkeeping requirements have occurred since the approval of the current information collection; and (3) CISA's historical assumption that respondents maintain any other required records in the same manners, formats, and locations as they maintain their SSP/ASP records.

4. CISA'S Methodology in Estimating the Burden for the CFATS Help Desk

Number of Respondents

The current information collection estimated that CISA receives 15,000 requests annually for CFATS Help Desk support (*i.e.*, 15,000 respondents via phone calls, emails, and web-based help request forms). CISA has determined that maintaining the current estimate would be more accurate than relying on the number of requests for CFATS Help Desk support received between FY17 and FY18 because the implementation of CSAT 2.0 temporarily resulted in an above-average number of CFATS Help Desk requests.²¹ CISA evaluated historical data to determine if the estimated number of CFATS Help Desk requests (*i.e.*, 15,000) was still appropriate. Between FY14 and FY16, the average annual number of CFATS Help Desk requests was 14,881. Therefore, CISA believes that the existing estimate of 15,000 respondents continues to be a reasonable estimate of future CFATS Help Desk requests.

Estimated Time per Respondent

CISA evaluated historical data to determine if the estimated time per respondent of 0.17 hours (10 minutes) was still appropriate. Since the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY17 and FY18) the average duration for a CFATS Help Desk call was 6 minutes and 48 seconds, which represented a slight decrease in the actual duration reported by CISA in previous years. CISA does not have any information on the average amount of time it took respondents to type and send emails to the CFATS Help Desk.

²¹ On average, between FY17 and FY19, there were 31,212 calls and emails per year (*i.e.*, 22,369 calls and 8,843 emails per year) to the CFATS Helpdesk, most of which were in response to CSAT 2.0 implementation.

Therefore, CISA has maintained the estimated time per respondent of 0.17 hours (10 minutes).

Annual Burden Hours

The average annual burden hours for the CFATS Help Desk will be 2,500 hours [= 15,000 respondents × 0.17 hours per respondent].

Total Annual Burden Cost

The 2007 CFATS Regulatory Assessment assumes that SSOs will be responsible for contacting the CFATS Help Desk. For this ICR, CISA maintains this assumption. Therefore, to estimate the total annual burden, CISA multiplied the annual burden of 2,500 hours by the average hourly compensation rate of SSOs. The total annual burden for the CFATS Help Desk is \$199,233 [= 2,500 annual burden hours × \$79.69 per hour].

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup)

Contacting the CFATS Help Desk is free and CISA assumes that each respondent already has a phone or access to the internet for basic business needs. Therefore, CISA estimates that there are no capital/startup costs.

Total Recordkeeping Burden

There is no recordkeeping burden for this instrument.

5. CISA'S Methodology in Estimating the Burden for the CSAT User Registration

Number of Respondents

The current information collection estimated 1,000 respondents would complete the user registration process annually. For this ICR, CISA maintains this estimate.

Historically, CISA's estimate in the current information collection was primarily based on the number of individuals expected to register as a CSAT Authorizer, Submitter, and/or Preparer. However, the scope of the CSAT User Registration instrument in the current information collection was intended to allow for the creation of additional CSAT user roles such as the Administrator and Personnel Surety (PS) Submitter user roles. Because the estimate has always been very conservative, for example, between FY14 and FY16 the average annual number of individuals registered was 604. Thus CISA believes that maintaining the current estimate of 1,000 respondents annually is a reasonable estimate that reflects the user registration activity for all types of CSAT users.

Estimated Time per Respondent

In the current information collection, the estimated time per respondent is 2 hours. In this ICR, CISA increases the estimated time per respondent to 2.5 hours. The revised estimate is based on two factors: (1) Following the implementation of CSAT 2.0 (*i.e.*, between FY16 and FY18), the actual time needed to complete the CSAT User Registration process was approximately 0.5 hours (30 minutes); and (2) CISA expects that CSAT Authorizers need additional time to manage the CSAT user accounts for which they are responsible.

The ongoing management of the CSAT user accounts includes activities such as, but not limited to: (1) Assigning Submitters and Preparers to facilities; (2) updating the facilities with which a Submitter or Preparer is associated as his or her duties change; (3) creating groups²² to support the CFATS Personnel Surety (PS) Program; (4) assigning PS Submitters to groups; and (5) updating the PS Submitters' access to groups as their duties change. Furthermore, in response to comments submitted to CISA for information collection (OMB Control No. 1670–0029),²³ CISA clarified that certain burdens were accounted for under this information collection (*i.e.*, OMB Control No. 1670–0007).²⁴

For this ICR, CISA is applying the assumption based on previous public comments on this information collection (*i.e.*, OMB Control No. 1670–0007) that for every hour a respondent is logged into the CSAT application, the respondent spends an average of 4 hours in preparation (*e.g.*, coordinating with CFATS-facility stakeholders, including Human Resources, Procurement, or Contract Administration to explain the PS Program requirements and determine how best to gather the data from different populations). Therefore, CISA's estimated time per respondent is

²² "Groups" is a technical term used by CISA to describe how a covered chemical facility may manage the access to records about affected individuals in the CSAT Personnel Surety application. CISA describes the term "groups" and provides additional information about how to create and manage groups in section 9.5 of the CSAT User Manual, which may be viewed at <https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/csatalportal-user-manual-508-2.pdf>.

²³ 1670–0029 was approved by OMB in August 2015. The approved information collection may be viewed at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201312-1670-001.

²⁴ CISA's summary of the comments and its response to those comments are contained within the 30-day notice for the CFATS Personnel Surety Program at 83 FR 28249. The 30-day notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 18, 2018 at 83 FR 28244 and may be viewed at <https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2018-12523>.

2.5 hours [= 0.50 hours logged into CSAT + (0.50 hours \times 4) for preparation].

Annual Burden Hours

The annual burden estimate for CSAT User Registration is 2,500 hours [= 1,000 respondents \times 1 response per respondent \times 2.5 hours per respondent].

Total Annual Burden Cost

The 2007 CFATS Regulatory Assessment assumes that SSOs will be responsible for CSAT User Registration. For this ICR, CISA maintains this assumption. Therefore, to estimate the total annual burden, CISA multiplied the annual burden of 2,500 hours by the average hourly compensation rate of SSOs. The total annual burden for CFATS User Registration is \$199,233 [= 2,500 annual burden hours \times \$79.69 per hour].

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup)

The current information collection estimated that a one-time capital cost would be incurred by 24,630 respondents required to submit a CSAT User Registration as part of the CSAT 2.0 implementation. These capital costs were one-time costs and have been removed from this information collection.

CISA provides access to CSAT free of charge and CISA assumes that each respondent already has access to the internet for basic business needs. Therefore, for this ICR CISA estimates that there are no capital/startup costs for this instrument.

Total Recordkeeping Burden

There is no recordkeeping burden for this instrument.

6. CISA'S Methodology in Estimating the Burden for Identification of Additional Facilities and Assets at Risk

Number of Respondents

The current information collection estimated that each year 211 respondents would respond to this instrument. For this ICR, CISA estimates that the annual number of respondents will be 845, because CISA only requests this information from covered chemical facilities that undergo compliance inspections and ship chemicals of interest (COI). CISA completes approximately 1,920 compliance inspections per year. Of these, approximately 44 percent of the covered chemical facilities inspected ship COI. Therefore, CISA estimates 845 respondents for this instrument [= 1,920 facilities inspected \times 44 percent of facilities ship COI].

Estimated Time per Respondent

In the current information collection, the estimated time per respondent is 0.17 hours (10 minutes). In this ICR, CISA maintains this estimate.

Annual Burden Hours

The annual burden estimate is 140.83 hours [= 845 respondents \times 1 response per respondent \times 0.17 hours per respondent].

Total Annual Burden Cost

CISA assumes that SSOs will be responsible for providing this information. Therefore, to estimate the total annual burden, CISA multiplied the annual burden of 140.83 hours by the average hourly compensation rate of SSOs. The total annual burden for the Identification of Additional Facilities and Assets at Risk is \$11,223 [= 140.83 annual burden hours \times \$79.69 per hour].

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup)

In the current information collection, CISA estimated a one-time capital cost would be incurred by 3,000 respondents as a result of the CSAT 2.0 implementation. These capital costs were one-time costs for respondents and therefore have been removed from this information collection.

Total Recordkeeping Burden

There is no recordkeeping burden for this instrument.

Public Participation

OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses).

Analysis

Title of Collection: Chemical Security Assessment Tool
OMB Control Number: 1670-0007

Instrument: Top-Screen
Frequency: "On occasion" and "Other"
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit
Number of Respondents: 2,332 respondents (estimate)
Estimated Time per Respondent: 1.09 hours
Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,553 hours
Total Annual Burden Cost: \$203,450
Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0
Total Recordkeeping Burden: \$0
Instrument: Security Vulnerability Assessment and Alternative Security Program submitted in lieu of a Security Vulnerability Assessment
Frequency: "On occasion" and "Other"
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit
Number of Respondents: 1,683 respondents (estimate)
Estimated Time per Respondent: 1.24 hours
Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,083 hours
Total Annual Burden Cost: \$166,028
Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0
Total Recordkeeping Burden: \$0
Instrument: Site Security Plan and Alternative Security Program submitted in lieu of a Site Security Plan
Frequency: "On occasion" and "Other"
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit
Number of Respondents: 1,683 respondents (estimate)
Estimated Time per Respondent: 2.72 hours
Total Annual Burden Hours: 4,582 hours
Total Annual Burden Cost: \$365,141
Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0
Total Recordkeeping Burden: \$516,825
Instrument: CFATS Help Desk
Frequency: "On occasion" and "Other"
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit
Number of Respondents: 15,000 respondents (estimate)
Estimated Time per Respondent: 0.17 hours
Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,500 hours
Total Annual Burden Cost: \$199,233
Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0
Total Recordkeeping Burden: \$0
Instrument: User Registration
Frequency: "On occasion" and "Other"
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit
Number of Respondents: 1,000 respondents (estimate)

Estimated Time per Respondent: 2.5 hours
Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,500 hours
Total Annual Burden Cost: \$199,233
Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0
Total Recordkeeping Burden: \$0
Instrument: Identification of Facilities and Assets at Risk
Frequency: "On occasion" and "Other"
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit
Number of Respondents: 845 respondents (estimate)
Estimated Time per Respondent: 0.17 hours
Total Annual Burden Hours: 140.83 hours
Total Annual Burden Cost: \$11,223
Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0
Total Recordkeeping Burden: \$0

Scott Libby,

Deputy Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019-01378 Filed 2-6-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9P-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

[Docket No. DHS-2018-0052]

Assessing the Risk-Mitigation Value of TWIC® at Maritime Facilities

AGENCY: Science and Technology Directorate (S&T), Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

ACTION: 60-Day Notice of Information Collection; New request for comment.

SUMMARY: By law, the Secretary of Homeland Security is required to commission an assessment of how effective the transportation security card program is at enhancing security and reducing security risks for regulated maritime facilities and vessels. Through the transportation security card program, the Department issues the Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC®). Legislation passed August 2, 2018 restricts the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) from implementing any rule requiring the use of biometric readers for TWIC® until after submission to Congress of the results of this effectiveness assessment.

The Homeland Security Operational Analysis Center (HSOAC), a federally funded research and development center operated by the RAND Corporation, will collect information from those involved in maritime security on behalf of the DHS S&T

Research and Development Partnerships (RDP) Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) Program Management Office. HSOAC will visit regulated maritime facilities and terminals and conduct interviews using a semi-structured interview method to collect information. HSOAC will analyze this information and use it to produce a public report with its research findings.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and accepted until April 8, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number DHS-2018-0052, at:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Please follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail and hand delivery or commercial delivery:* Science and Technology Directorate, ATTN: Chief Information Office—Mary Cantey, 245 Murray Drive, Mail Stop 0202, Washington, DC 20528.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number DHS-2018-0052. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: DHS/S&T/RDP/FFRDC Program Manager: Scott Randels, Scott.Randels@hq.dhs.gov or 202-254-6053 (Not a toll free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Secretary of Homeland Security, according to Public Law 114-278, is required to commission an assessment of how effective the transportation security card program is at enhancing security and reducing security risks for regulated maritime facilities and vessels. Through the transportation security card program, the Department issues the Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC®). In addition, Public Law 115-230 restricts the USCG from implementing any rule requiring the use of biometric readers for TWIC® until submitting the results of this assessment to Congress.

DHS, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed,

revised, and continuing collections of information. DHS is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. DHS is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology? Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Assessing the Risk-Mitigation Value of TWIC® at Maritime Facilities.

Type of Review: New.

Affected Public: Port security subject matter experts such as Port Authority Security Managers, Facility Security Managers, Industry Security Managers, and local law enforcement; Labor, Other Industry Operation and Technology Managers.

Frequency of Collection: Once, Annually.

Average Burden per Response: 60 minutes.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 400.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 400.

Dated: November 26, 2018.

Rick Stevens,

Chief Information Officer, Science and Technology Directorate.

[FR Doc. 2019-01377 Filed 2-6-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9F-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[190A2100DD/AAKC001030/A0A501010.999900 253G]

Rate Adjustments for Indian Irrigation Projects

Correction

In notice document 2018-27726 appearing on pages 65714-65719 in the issue of Friday, December 21, 2018, make the following corrections:

1. On page 65717, the table "Northwest Region Rate Table" should appear as set forth below: