

pursuant to Sections 742.8 and 746.7 of the Regulations. No U.S. Government authorization has been applied for or authorized for the reexport of these two aircraft to Iran. United Kingdom corporate registration documents list Andy Farmer as the director of Af-Aviation Limited. Both aircraft are currently registered in Gambia bearing tail numbers C5-AMH (MSN 26458) and C5-AND (MSN 26444) and according to the registration documents are currently owned by Ribway Airlines Company Limited.

Finally, both aircraft were insured under a policy issued by a United Kingdom insurance company. On December 30, 2015, those insurance contracts were cancelled and the insurance company notified John Edward Meadows and Jeffrey John James Ashfield, both United Kingdom citizens, of the cancellation. OEE's evidence indicates that John Meadows and Jeffrey Ashfield were both involved in brokering the sale of MSNs 26458 and 26444 to Caspian Airlines. OEE's investigation also reveals prior business dealings between Meadows and Ashfield and Caspian Airlines.

I find that the evidence presented by BIS demonstrates that a violation of the Regulations is imminent in both time and degree of likelihood. As such, a temporary denial order ("TDO") is needed to give notice to persons and companies in the United States and abroad that they should cease dealing with Ribway Airlines Company Limited, Af-Aviation Limited, Andy Farmer, John Edward Meadows, and Jeffrey John James Ashfield in export or reexport transactions involving items subject to the EAR. Such a TDO is consistent with the public interest to preclude future violations of the EAR.

Accordingly, I find that an Order denying the export privileges of Ribway Airlines Company Limited, Af-Aviation Limited, Andy Farmer, John Edward Meadows, and Jeffrey John James Ashfield is necessary, in the public interest, to prevent an imminent violation of the EAR.

This Order is being issued on an *ex parte* basis without a hearing based upon BIS's showing of an imminent violation in accordance with Section 766.24 of the Regulations.

*It is therefore ordered:*

First, that RIBWAY AIRLINES COMPANY LIMITED, 54 Kairaba Avenue, Kanifing Municipality, WCR, The Gambia; AF-AVIATION LIMITED, Sebring House, 4 Newbridge Drive, Wolverhampton, WV6 0DF, United Kingdom; ANDY FARMER, Sebring House, 4 Newbridge Drive, Wolverhampton, WV6 0DF, United

Kingdom, JOHN EDWARD MEADOWS, 50 St. Leonards Road, Bexhill on Sea, East Sussex, TN40 1JB, United Kingdom; and JEFFREY JOHN JAMES ASHFIELD, 50 St. Leonards Road, Bexhill on Sea, East Sussex, TN40 1JB, United Kingdom, and when acting for or on their behalf, any successors or assigns, agents, or employees (each a "Denied Person" and collectively the "Denied Persons") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"), or in any other activity subject to the EAR including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of a Denied Person any item subject to the EAR;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by a Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby a Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from a Denied Person of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from a Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied

Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

THIRD, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to a Denied Person by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) of the EAR, Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on Respondents and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective upon issuance and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Dated: January 19, 2016.

**David W. Mills,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 2016-01438 Filed 1-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

#### **Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Correction to the Final Results of the 2013-2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Correction.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Blaine Wiltse, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6345.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

On January 12, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the 2013–2014 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China.<sup>1</sup> The period of review is June 1, 2013, through May 31, 2014. In the *Final Results*, the Department incorrectly assigned a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.91 percent to the company “Changshan Peer Bearing Co., Ltd./ Shanghai General Bearing Co., Ltd.”<sup>2</sup> However, the weighted-average dumping margin should have been assigned, instead, to Changshan Peer Bearing Co., Ltd. alone.<sup>3</sup> As a result, we now correct the final results of the 2013–2014 administrative review as noted above.

This correction to the final results of administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: January 19, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary, for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016–01499 Filed 1–25–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–964; A–201–838]

#### Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube From the People's Republic of China and Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) preliminarily finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on seamless refined copper pipe

and tube (“copper pipe and tube”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) and Mexico would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the “Preliminary Results of Sunset Reviews” section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 26, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert Galantucci, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2923.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On November 22, 2010, the Department published the antidumping duty orders on copper pipe and tube from the PRC and Mexico, as amended.<sup>1</sup> On October 1, 2015, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the *Orders* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”).<sup>2</sup> The Ad Hoc Coalition for Domestically Produced Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube and its individual members, Cerro Flow Products, LLC, Wieland Copper Products, LLC, Howell Metal Company, Mueller Copper Tube Products, Inc., and Mueller Copper Tube Company, Inc. (collectively, “domestic interested parties”), submitted adequate and timely notices of intent to participate in these sunset reviews within the 15-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). On November 2, 2015, domestic interested parties and respondent interested party Golden Dragon<sup>3</sup> submitted adequate substantive responses to the notice of initiation within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). As a result,

<sup>1</sup> See *Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube From Mexico and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value From Mexico*, 75 FR 71070 (November 22, 2010) (“*Orders*”).

<sup>2</sup> See *Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube From China and Mexico: Institution of Five-Year Reviews*, 80 FR 59186 (October 1, 2015) (“*Initiation FR Notice*”).

<sup>3</sup> In case number A–570–964 (the PRC), the substantive response was filed on behalf of Golden Dragon Precise Copper Tube Group, Inc., Hong Kong GD Trading Co., Ltd., GD Copper Cooperatief UA, Golden Dragon Holding (Hong Kong) International, Ltd. and GD Copper (U.S.A.), Inc. In case number A–201–838 (Mexico), the substantive response was filed on behalf of GD Affiliates S. de R.L. de C.V., GD Copper S. de R.L. de C.V., Golden Dragon Precise Copper Tube Group, Inc., Hong Kong GD Trading Co., Ltd., GD Copper Cooperatief UA, Golden Dragon Holding (Hong Kong) International, Ltd. and GD Copper (U.S.A.), Inc. The Department refers to all of these companies collectively as “Golden Dragon”.

pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(ii), the Department is conducting full sunset reviews of the *Orders*.

#### Scope of the Orders

For the purpose of these *Orders*, the products covered are all seamless circular refined copper pipes and tubes. The products subject to the *Orders* are currently classifiable under subheadings 7411.10.1030 and 7411.10.1090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Products subject to the *Orders* may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 7407.10.1500, 7419.99.5050, 8415.90.8065 and 8415.90.8085. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Orders* is dispositive.

For a full description of the scope of the *Orders*, see the “Preliminary Decision Memorandum for the Full Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China and Mexico,” dated concurrently with this notice (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”). The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. Both the signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these sunset reviews are addressed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Orders* were to be revoked.

#### Preliminary Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to section 752(c)(3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the *Orders* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted-average dumping margins up to 60.85 percent for the PRC and up to 27.16 percent for Mexico.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

<sup>1</sup> See *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 81 FR 1396 (January 12, 2016) (*Final Results*).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*, at 1397.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 1 in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.