

amendments to the Social Security Act made by the ACA, and the implementing regulations. The AEs, which are state entities administering Insurance Affordability Programs, will use the data, accessed through the CMS Data Services Hub (Hub), to make Eligibility Determinations for Insurance Affordability Programs and certificates of exemption.

The AEs shall report suspected or confirmed incidents affecting loss or suspected loss of PII within one hour of discovery to their designated Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight State Officer who will then notify the affected Federal agency data sources, i.e., Internal Revenue Service, Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, Social Security Administration, Peace Corps, Office of Personnel Management and Veterans Health Administration. Additionally, AEs shall contact the office of the appropriate Special Agent-in-Charge, Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA), and the IRS Office of Safeguards within 24 hours of discovery of any potential breach, loss, or misuse of Return Information. *Form Number:* CMS-10496 (OCN: 0938-1216); *Frequency:* Occasionally; *Affected Public:* State, Local or Tribal governments; *Number of Respondents:* 18; *Total Annual Responses:* 936; *Total Annual Hours:* 234. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Theodora Wills at 410-786-1504.)

Dated: February 24, 2014.

Martique Jones,

Deputy Director, Regulations Development Group, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2014-04327 Filed 2-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS-2389-N]

Medicaid Program; Preliminary Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments (DSH) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 and the Preliminary Institutions for Mental Diseases Disproportionate Share Hospital Limits for FY 2014

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the preliminary federal share DSH allotments for FY 2014 and the

preliminary federal share FY 2014 limits on aggregate DSH payments that states may make to institutions for mental diseases (IMDs) and other mental health facilities. This notice also includes additional information regarding the calculation of the FY 2014 DSH allotments and FY 2014 IMD DSH limits.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This notice is effective on March 31, 2014. The final allotments and limitations set forth in this notice are effective for the fiscal years specified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rory Howe, (410) 786-4878; or Richard Strauss, (410) 786-2019.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. Fiscal Year DSH Allotments

A state's federal fiscal year (FY) disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotment represents the aggregate limit on the federal share amount of the state's payments to DSH hospitals in the state for the FY. The amount of such allotment is determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act). Under such provisions, in general a state's FY DSH allotment is calculated by increasing the amount of its DSH allotment for the preceding FY by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the previous FY.

The Affordable Care Act amended Medicaid DSH provisions, adding section 1923(f)(7) of the Act which would have required reductions to states' FY DSH allotments beginning with FY 2014, the calculation of which was described in the Disproportionate Share Hospital Payment Reduction final rule published in the September 18, 2013 **Federal Register** (78 FR 57293). Under the DSH reduction methodology, first, each state's unreduced FY DSH allotment would have been calculated in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f) of the Act, excluding section 1923(f)(7) of the Act; then, the reduction amount for each state would have been determined under the provisions of section 1923(f)(7) of the Act and implementing regulations at 42 CFR 447.294; and, finally, the net FY DSH allotment for each state would have been determined by subtracting the DSH reduction amount for the state from its unreduced FY 2014 DSH allotment.

The reductions under section 1923(f)(7) of the Act were delayed and modified by section 1204 of Division B (Medicare and Other Health Provisions)

of the "Pathway for SGR Reform Act of 2013" (Pub. L. 113-67), which was enacted on December 26, 2013. The reductions of states' fiscal year DSH allotments under section 1923(f)(7) of the Act that were applicable to FY 2014 and 2015 were repealed, and the FY 2016 was increased substantially.

Because there is no reduction to DSH allotments for FY 2014 under section 1923(f)(7) of the Act, this notice contains only the state-specific FY 2014 DSH allotments, as calculated under the statute without application of the reductions that would have been imposed under the Affordable Care Act provisions beginning with FY 2014. This notice also provides information on the calculation of such FY DSH allotments, the calculation of the states' IMD DSH limits, and the amounts of states' preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH limits.

B. Determination of Fiscal Year DSH Allotments

Generally, in accordance with the methodology specified under section 1923(f)(3) of the Act, a state's FY DSH allotment is calculated by increasing the amount of its DSH allotment for the preceding FY by the percentage change in the CPI-U for the previous FY. Also in accordance with section 1923(f)(3) of the Act, a state's DSH allotment for a FY is subject to the limitation that an increase to a state's DSH allotment for a FY cannot result in the DSH allotment exceeding the greater of the state's DSH allotment for the previous FY or 12 percent of the state's total medical assistance expenditures for the allotment year (this is referred to as the 12 percent limit).

Furthermore, under section 1923(h) of the Act, federal financial participation (FFP) for DSH payments to institutions for mental diseases (IMDs) and other mental health facilities is limited to state-specific aggregate amounts. Under this provision, the aggregate limit for DSH payments to IMDs and other mental health facilities is the lesser of a state's FY 1995 total computable (state and federal share) IMD and other mental health facility DSH expenditures applicable to the state's FY 1995 DSH allotment (as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997), or the amount equal to the product of the state's current year total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage specified in section 1902(h) of the Act (the applicable percentage is the IMD share of DSH total computable expenditures as of FY 1995).

In general, we determine states' DSH allotments for a FY and the IMD DSH limits for the same FY using the most

recent available estimates of or actual medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures in their Medicaid programs and the most recent available change in the CPI-U used for the FY in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the statute. The indicated estimated or actual expenditures are obtained from states for each relevant FY from the most recent available quarterly Medicaid budget reports (Form CMS-37) or quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports (Form CMS-64), respectively, submitted by the states. For example, as part of the initial determination of a state's FY DSH allotment (referred to as the preliminary DSH allotments) that is determined before the beginning of the FY for which the DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits are being determined, we use estimated expenditures for the FY obtained from the August submission of the CMS-37 submitted by states prior to the beginning of the FY; such estimated expenditures are subject to update and revision during the FY before such actual expenditure data become available. We also use the most recent available estimated CPI-U percentage change that is available before the beginning of the FY for determining the states' preliminary FY DSH allotments; such estimated CPI-U percentage change is subject to update and revision during the FY before the actual CPI-U percentage change becomes available. In determining the final DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for a FY we use the actual expenditures for the FY and actual CPI-U percentage change for the previous FY.

II. Provisions of the Notice

A. Calculation of the Preliminary FY 2014 Federal Share State DSH Allotments and the Preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH Limits

1. Calculation of the Preliminary FY 2014 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

Addendum 1 to this notice provides the preliminary FY 2014 DSH allotments determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3) of the Act. The preliminary FY 2014 DSH allotments contained in this notice were determined based on the most recent available estimates from states of their FY 2014 total computable Medicaid expenditures. Also, the preliminary FY 2014 allotments contained in this notice were determined by increasing the preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments as contained in the notice published in the **Federal Register** on July 26, 2013 (78 FR 45217) by 1.5 percent, representing the most recent available estimate of the

percentage increase in the CPI-U for FY 2013 (the previous FY to FY 2014).

We will publish states' final FY 2014 DSH allotments in future notices based on the states' four quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports (Form CMS-64) for FY 2014 available following the end of FY 2014 and the actual change in the CPI-U for FY 2013.

B. Calculation of the Preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH Limits

Section 1923(h) of the Act specifies the methodology to be used to establish the limits on the amount of DSH payments that a state can make to IMDs and other mental health facilities. FFP is not available for IMD or DSH payments that exceed the IMD limits. In this notice, we are publishing the preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH Limits determined in accordance with the provisions discussed above.

Addendum 2 to this notice details each state's preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH Limit, determined in accordance with section 1923(h) of the Act.

III. Collection of Information Requirements

This notice does not impose any new or revised information collection, recordkeeping, or third-party disclosure requirements. The currently approved requirements and burden estimates associated with Form CMS-37 (OCN 0938-0101) and Form CMS-64 (OCN 0938-0067) are unaffected by this notice. Consequently, this notice, Form CMS-37, and Form CMS-64 are not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

IV. Regulatory Impact Analysis

We have examined the impact of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review (September 1993), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (September 19, 1980, Pub. L. 96-354), section 1102(b) of the Act, section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (March 22, 1995; Pub. L. 104-4), Executive Order 13132 on Federalism (August 4, 1999) and the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 804(2)).

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). A regulatory impact analysis (RIA) must be prepared for

major rules with economically significant effects (\$100 million or more in any 1 year). This notice reaches the \$100 million economic threshold and thus is considered a major rule under the Congressional Review Act.

The preliminary FY 2014 DSH allotments being published in this notice are about \$108 million more than the preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments previously published in the July 26, 2013 **Federal Register** (78 FR 45217). The increase in the FY DSH allotments is due to the application of the statutory formula for calculating DSH allotments under which the prior fiscal year allotments are increased by the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year.

The preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are about \$9 million more than the preliminary FY 2013 IMD DSH limits previously published in the FR on July 26, 2013 (78 FR 45217). The increase in the IMD DSH limits is because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY. Since the preliminary FY 2014 DSH allotments were increased as compared to the preliminary FY 2013 DSH allotments previously published in the **Federal Register**, the associated FY 2014 IMD DSH limits for some states were also increased.

The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief of small businesses, if a rule has a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, small entities include small businesses, nonprofit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. Most hospitals and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of less than \$7.0 million to less than \$35.5 million in any one year. Individuals and states are not included in the definition of a small entity. We are not preparing an analysis for the RFA because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Specifically, any impact on providers is due to the effect of the various controlling statutes; providers are not impacted as a result of the independent regulatory action in publishing this notice. The purpose of the notice is to announce the latest state distributions as required by the statute.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to

the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Core-Based Statistical Area for Medicaid payment regulations and has fewer than 100 beds. We are not preparing analysis for section 1102(b) of the Act because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

The Medicaid statute specifies the methodology for determining the amounts of states' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits; and as described previously, the application of the methodology specified in statute results in the changes in states' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for the applicable FYs. The statute applicable to these allotments and limits does not apply to the determination of the amounts of DSH payments made to specific DSH hospitals; rather, these allotments and limits represent an overall limit on the total of such DSH payments a state can

make. In this regard, we do not believe that this notice will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule whose mandates require spending in any 1 year of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. Currently the threshold is approximately \$141 million. This notice will have no consequential effect on state, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or on the private sector.

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct requirement costs on state and local governments, preempts state law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. Since this notice does not impose any costs on state or local governments, the requirements of E.O. 13132 are not applicable.

A. Alternatives Considered

We calculated the state-specific FY 2014 DSH allotments and the associated state-specific IMD DSH limits in accordance with the methodologies specified in statute and regulation. This notice does not put forward any further discretionary administrative policies for determining such allotments.

B. Accounting Statement

As required by OMB Circular A-4 (available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a004/a-4.pdf>), in the Table 1, we have prepared an accounting statement showing the classification of the estimated expenditures associated with the provisions of this notice. Table 1 provides our best estimate of the change (decrease) in the federal share of states' Medicaid DSH payments resulting from the application of the provisions of the Medicaid statute relating to the calculation of states' FY DSH allotments and the increase in the FY DSH allotments from FY 2013 to FY 2014.

TABLE 1—ACCOUNTING STATEMENT: CLASSIFICATION OF ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES, FROM THE FY 2013 TO FY 2014
[In millions]

| Category | Transfers |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Annualized Monetized Transfers | \$108. |
| From Whom To Whom? | Federal Government to States. |

C. Congressional Review Act

This proposed regulation is subject to the Congressional Review Act provisions of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) and has been transmitted to the Congress and the Comptroller General for review.

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program)

Dated: January 24, 2014.

Marilyn Tavenner,
Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Dated: February 11, 2014.

Kathleen Sebelius,
Secretary,
Department of Health and Human Services.

KEY TO ADDENDUM 1—PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FY 2014.

| Column | Description |
|---|--|
| The Preliminary FY 2014 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this addendum, and the Preliminary FY 2014 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this addendum. | |
| Column A | State. |
| Column B | FY 2014 FMAPs. |
| Column C | This column contains the States' FY 2014 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages. |
| Column D | Prior FY (2013) DSH Allotments. |
| Column E | This column contains the States' prior FY 2013 DSH Allotments. |
| Column F | Prior FY (2013) DSH Allotments (Col C) x (100 percent + Percentage Increase in CPIU): 101.5 percent. |
| Column G | This column contains the amount in Column C increased by 1 plus the estimated percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (101.5 percent). |
| Column H | FY 2014 TC MAP Exp. Including DSH. |
| Column I | This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2014 total computable (TC) medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures. |
| Column J | FY 2014 TC DSH Expenditures. |
| Column K | This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2014 total computable DSH expenditures. |
| Column L | FY 2014 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH. |

KEY TO ADDENDUM 1—PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FY 2014.—Continued

| Column | Description |
|----------------|---|
| Column H | This column contains the amount of the States' projected FY 2014 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column E minus the amount in Column F. 12 percent Amount. |
| Column I | This column contains the amount of the "12 percent limit" in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act. Greater of FY 2013 Allotment or 12 percent limit. |
| Column J | This column contains the greater of the State's prior FY (FY 2013) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12 percent limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column C or Column H FY 2014 DSH Allotment. |
| | This column contains the States' preliminary FY 2014 DSH allotments, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column I or Column D. For states with "na" in Columns I or D, refer to the footnotes in the addendum. |

ADDENDUM 1—PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

| STATE | FY 2014 FMAPs (percent) | Prior FY (2013) DSH allotments | Prior FY (2013) DSH allotment (Col C) × 100% + pct increase in CPU: | E | | FY 2014 TC DSH Expenditures ⁴ | FY 2014 TC MAPEXP. net of DSH Col E – F | "12% Amount" = Col G × .12(1 – .12/Col B) (in FS) | Greater of Col H or Col C (12% Limit, FY 2013 allotment) | FY 2014 DSH allotment MIN Col I, Col D |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | D | 101.5% | | | | | |
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | |
| ALABAMA | 68.12 | \$323,093,267 | \$327,939,666 | \$5,837,507,000 | \$517,367,000 | \$5,320,140,000 | \$774,927,876 | \$774,927,876 | \$327,939,666 | |
| ARIZONA | 67.23 | 106,384,369 | 107,980,135 | 8,763,763,000 | 156,367,000 | 8,607,399,000 | 1,257,306,757 | 1,257,306,757 | 107,980,135 | |
| CALIFORNIA | 50.00 | 1,151,840,630 | 1,169,118,239 | 72,253,198,000 | 935,479,000 | 71,317,719,000 | 11,260,692,474 | 11,260,692,474 | 1,169,118,239 | |
| COLORADO | 50.00 | 97,190,657 | 98,648,517 | 5,510,334,000 | 195,772,000 | 5,314,562,000 | 839,141,368 | 839,141,368 | 98,648,517 | |
| CONNECTICUT | 50.00 | 210,141,962 | 213,294,091 | 6,585,550,000 | 228,325,000 | 6,357,225,000 | 1,003,772,368 | 1,003,772,368 | 213,294,091 | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 70.00 | 64,355,975 | 65,321,315 | 2,345,594,000 | 44,744,000 | 2,300,850,000 | 333,226,552 | 333,226,552 | 65,321,315 | |
| FLORIDA | 58.79 | 210,141,962 | 213,294,091 | 21,065,753,000 | 361,462,000 | 20,704,291,000 | 3,121,706,180 | 3,121,706,180 | 213,294,091 | |
| GEORGIA | 65.93 | 282,378,262 | 286,613,936 | 8,929,625,000 | 435,776,000 | 8,493,849,000 | 1,246,058,516 | 1,246,058,516 | 286,613,936 | |
| ILLINOIS | 50.00 | 225,902,609 | 229,291,148 | 16,174,732,000 | 428,796,000 | 15,745,936,000 | 2,486,198,842 | 2,486,198,842 | 229,291,148 | |
| INDIANA | 66.92 | 224,589,223 | 227,958,061 | 8,960,665,000 | 0 | 8,960,665,000 | 1,310,228,045 | 1,310,228,045 | 227,958,061 | |
| KANSAS | 56.91 | 43,341,780 | 43,991,907 | 2,825,757,000 | 74,228,000 | 2,751,529,000 | 418,408,859 | 418,408,859 | 43,991,907 | |
| KENTUCKY | 69.83 | 152,352,923 | 154,638,217 | 6,276,300,000 | 152,353,000 | 6,123,947,000 | 887,363,415 | 887,363,415 | 154,638,217 | |
| LOUISIANA ¹ | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | 731,960,000 | |
| MAINE | 61.55 | 110,324,530 | 111,979,398 | 2,491,965,000 | 37,000,000 | 2,454,965,000 | 365,940,898 | 365,940,898 | 111,979,398 | |
| MARYLAND | 50.00 | 80,116,623 | 81,318,372 | 8,676,095,000 | 85,128,000 | 8,590,967,000 | 1,356,468,474 | 1,356,468,474 | 81,318,372 | |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 50.00 | 320,466,492 | 325,273,489 | 14,210,660,000 | 0 | 14,210,660,000 | 2,243,788,421 | 2,243,788,421 | 325,273,489 | |
| MICHIGAN | 66.32 | 278,438,100 | 282,614,672 | 13,523,842,000 | 364,144,000 | 13,159,698,000 | 1,928,021,733 | 1,928,021,733 | 282,614,672 | |
| MISSISSIPPI | 73.05 | 160,233,246 | 162,636,745 | 5,094,327,000 | 321,190,000 | 4,773,137,000 | 685,361,490 | 685,361,490 | 162,636,745 | |
| MISSOURI | 62.03 | 497,773,773 | 505,240,380 | 9,279,932,000 | 684,657,000 | 8,595,275,000 | 1,278,828,483 | 1,278,828,483 | 505,240,380 | |
| NEVADA | 63.10 | 48,595,328 | 49,324,258 | 2,092,603,000 | 77,014,000 | 2,015,589,000 | 298,670,057 | 298,670,057 | 49,324,258 | |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 50.00 | 168,217,088 | 170,740,344 | 1,340,075,000 | 124,810,000 | 1,215,265,000 | 191,883,947 | 191,883,947 | 170,740,344 | |
| NEW JERSEY | 50.00 | 676,394,441 | 686,540,358 | 13,682,358,000 | 1,207,107,000 | 12,475,251,000 | 1,969,776,474 | 1,969,776,474 | 686,540,358 | |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 65.78 | 309,959,394 | 314,608,785 | 67,240,475,000 | 3,373,800,000 | 63,866,675,000 | 10,084,211,842 | 10,084,211,842 | 314,608,785 | |
| OHIO | 63.02 | 426,850,861 | 433,253,624 | 13,134,561,000 | 478,361,000 | 12,656,200,000 | 1,857,623,286 | 1,857,623,286 | 433,253,624 | |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 53.52 | 589,710,881 | 598,556,544 | 21,451,173,000 | 732,037,000 | 20,719,136,000 | 2,895,527,493 | 2,895,527,493 | 598,556,544 | |
| RHODE ISLAND | 50.11 | 68,296,138 | 69,320,580 | 2,302,742,000 | 137,098,000 | 2,165,644,000 | 341,706,914 | 341,706,914 | 69,320,580 | |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 70.57 | 344,107,463 | 349,269,075 | 5,582,305,000 | 474,540,000 | 5,107,765,000 | 738,511,134 | 738,511,134 | 349,269,075 | |
| TENNESSEE ² | 65.29 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | 0 | |
| TEXAS | 58.69 | 1,004,741,257 | 1,019,812,376 | 37,675,429,000 | 2,991,551,000 | 34,683,878,000 | 5,231,775,883 | 5,231,775,883 | 1,019,812,376 | |
| VERMONT ⁵ | 56.76 | 23,640,971 | 23,995,586 | 1,536,190,000 | 37,449,000 | 1,498,741,000 | 228,065,789 | 228,065,789 | 23,995,586 | |
| VIRGINIA | 50.00 | 92,050,138 | 93,430,890 | 8,287,311,000 | 243,321,000 | 8,043,990,000 | 1,270,103,684 | 1,270,103,684 | 93,430,890 | |
| WASHINGTON | 50.00 | 194,381,315 | 197,297,035 | 7,949,059,000 | 456,511,000 | 7,492,548,000 | 1,183,033,895 | 1,183,033,895 | 197,297,035 | |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 71.09 | 70,922,912 | 71,986,756 | 3,534,234,000 | 70,185,000 | 3,464,049,000 | 500,103,388 | 500,103,388 | 71,986,756 | |
| TOTAL | | 10,244,637,203 | 10,398,306,761 | 424,148,883,000 | 15,426,569,000 | 408,722,314,000 | 62,783,822,812 | 62,783,822,812 | 11,130,266,762 | |

LOW DSH STATES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| ALASKA | 50.00 | 21,402,636 | 21,723,676 | 1,583,467,000 | 20,638,000 | 1,562,829,000 | 246,762,473.68 | 246,762,474 | 21,723,676 |
| ARKANSAS | 70.10 | 45,325,292 | 46,005,171 | 4,549,145,000 | 43,820,000 | 4,505,325,000 | 652,302,821 | 652,302,821 | 46,005,171 |
| DELAWARE | 55.31 | 9,512,282 | 9,654,966 | 1,620,151,000 | 16,804,000 | 1,603,347,000 | 245,710,799 | 245,710,799 | 9,654,966 |
| HAWAII ³ | 51.85 | 10,240,000 | 10,393,600 | 1,940,694,000 | 0 | 1,940,694,000 | 303,011,243.86 | 303,011,244 | 10,393,600 |
| IDAHO | 71.64 | 17,271,044 | 17,530,110 | 1,867,605,000 | 23,451,000 | 1,844,154,000 | 265,825,337.14 | 265,825,337 | 17,530,110 |
| IOWA | 57.93 | 41,378,149 | 41,998,821 | 3,852,612,000 | 53,536,000 | 3,799,076,000 | 574,997,969 | 574,997,969 | 41,998,821 |
| MINNESOTA | 50.00 | 78,476,334 | 79,653,479 | 10,571,446,000 | 154,792,000 | 10,416,654,000 | 1,644,734,842 | 1,644,734,842 | 79,653,479 |
| MONTANA | 66.33 | 11,926,371 | 12,105,267 | 1,078,653,000 | 18,023,000 | 1,060,630,000 | 155,387,273 | 155,387,273 | 12,105,267 |
| NEBRASKA | 54.74 | 29,733,219 | 30,179,217 | 2,060,181,000 | 41,391,000 | 2,018,790,000 | 310,272,058 | 310,272,058 | 30,179,217 |
| NEW MEXICO | 69.20 | 21,402,636 | 21,723,676 | 3,598,324,000 | 1,380,000 | 3,576,944,000 | 519,282,220 | 519,282,220 | 21,723,676 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 50.00 | 10,036,360 | 10,186,905 | 844,103,000 | 1,600,000 | 842,503,000 | 133,026,789 | 133,026,789 | 10,186,905 |
| OKLAHOMA | 64.02 | 38,049,129 | 38,619,866 | 5,219,568,000 | 58,140,000 | 5,161,428,000 | 762,248,260 | 762,248,260 | 38,619,866 |
| OREGON | 63.14 | 47,561,414 | 48,274,835 | 6,163,918,000 | 71,176,000 | 6,092,742,000 | 902,688,455 | 902,688,455 | 48,274,835 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 53.54 | 11,604,719 | 11,778,790 | 843,570,000 | 1,476,000 | 842,094,000 | 130,242,791 | 130,242,791 | 11,778,790 |
| UTAH | 70.34 | 20,612,808 | 20,922,000 | 2,089,446,000 | 29,583,000 | 2,059,863,000 | 298,026,939 | 298,026,939 | 20,922,000 |
| WISCONSIN | 59.06 | 99,326,563 | 100,816,461 | 7,532,985,000 | 159,936,000 | 7,373,049,000 | 1,110,375,539 | 1,110,375,539 | 100,816,461 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| WYOMING | 50.00 | 237,807 | 241,374 | 568,307,000 | 463,000 | 567,844,000 | 89,659,579 | 89,659,579 | 241,374 |
| TOTAL LOW DSH STATES | | 514,096,763 | 521,808,214 | 55,984,175,000 | 716,209,000 | 55,267,966,000 | 8,344,555,389 | 8,344,555,389 | 521,808,214 |
| TOTAL | | 10,758,733,966 | 10,920,114,975 | 480,133,058,000 | 16,142,778,000 | 463,990,280,000 | 71,128,378,201 | 71,128,378,201 | 11,662,074,976 |

¹Louisiana's FY 2014 DSH allotment is determined under the provisions of section 1923(f)(3)(C) and (D) of the Act.
²Tennessee's DSH allotment for FY 2014, determined under section 1923(f)(6)(A) of the Act, is 0.
³Beginning FY 2013, under section 1923(f)(6)(B)(II) of the Act, Hawaii's DSH allotment for a fiscal year is determined as for low-DSH states. This means Hawaii's DSH allotment for a fiscal year is determined as for all States, by increasing the previous fiscal year allotment by the percentage increase in the CPIU for the previous fiscal year.
⁴Expenditures based on the amounts reported by States on the Form CMS-37.
⁵FMAP for Vermont for FY 2014 determined in accordance with section 1905(z)(1)(A) of the Act.

KEY TO ADDENDUM 2—PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMITS FOR FY 2014

| Column | Description |
|---|---|
| The preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this addendum and the preliminary FY 2014 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the addendum. | |
| Column A | State. |
| Column B | Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64. |
| Column C | IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total. Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997. |
| Column D | Total Inpatient Hospital & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total. Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C). |
| Column E | Applicable Percentage, Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable percentage can be no greater than 33 percent. |
| Column F | FY 2014 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the states' preliminary FY 2014 DSH allotments from Column J Addendum 1. |
| Column G | FY 2014 FMAP. |
| Column H | FY 2014 DSH Allotments in Total Computable, Col. F/G. This column contains states' FY 2014 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G). |
| Column I | Applicable Percentage Applied to FY 2014 Allotments in TC, Col E x Col H. This column contains the applicable percentage of FY 2013 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H). |
| Column J | FY 2014 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. I or C. This column contains the total computable FY 2014 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column I or Column C. |
| Column K | FY 2014 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G x J. This column contains the FY 2014 Federal Share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2014 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2014 FMAP in Column G. |

ADDENDUM 2—PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

| State | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K |
|----------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Inpatient hospital services FY 95 DSH total computable | IMD and mental health services FY 95 DSH total computable | Total inpatient & health FY 95 DSH total computable col B + C | Applicable percent col C/D | FY 2014 allotment in FS | FY 2014 FMAR's (percent) | FY 2014 allotments in col F/G | Applicable percentage applied to FY 2014 allotments in col E x Col H | FY 2014 TC IMD limit (lesser of col I or Col C) | FY 2014 IMD limit in FS col G x J | |
| ALABAMA | \$413,006,229 | \$4,451,770 | \$417,457,999 | 1.07 | \$327,939,666 | 68.12 | \$481,414,659 | \$5,133,804 | \$4,451,770 | \$3,032,546 | |
| ARIZONA | 93,916,100 | 28,474,900 | 122,391,000 | 23.27 | 107,980,135 | 67.23 | 160,613,022 | 37,367,451 | 28,474,900 | 19,143,675 | |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,189,879,543 | 1,555,919 | 2,191,435,462 | 0.07 | 1,169,118,239 | 50.00 | 2,338,236,478 | 1,660,148 | 1,555,919 | 777,960 | |
| COLORADO | 173,900,441 | 594,776 | 174,495,217 | 0.34 | 98,648,517 | 50.00 | 197,297,034 | 672,497 | 594,776 | 297,388 | |
| CONNECTICUT | 303,359,275 | 105,573,725 | 408,933,000 | 25.82 | 213,294,091 | 50.00 | 426,588,182 | 110,131,741 | 105,573,725 | 52,786,863 | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 39,532,234 | 6,545,136 | 46,077,370 | 14.20 | 65,321,315 | 70.00 | 93,316,164 | 13,255,248 | 6,545,136 | 4,581,595 | |
| FLORIDA | 184,468,014 | 149,714,986 | 334,183,000 | 33.00 | 213,294,091 | 58.79 | 362,806,755 | 119,726,229 | 119,726,229 | 70,387,050 | |
| GEORGIA | 407,343,557 | 0 | 407,343,557 | 0.00 | 286,613,936 | 65.93 | 434,724,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ILLINOIS | 315,868,508 | 89,408,276 | 405,276,784 | 22.06 | 229,291,148 | 50.00 | 458,582,296 | 101,168,027 | 89,408,276 | 44,704,138 | |
| INDIANA | 79,960,783 | 153,566,302 | 233,527,085 | 33.00 | 227,958,061 | 66.92 | 340,642,649 | 112,412,074 | 112,412,074 | 75,226,160 | |
| KANSAS | 11,587,208 | 76,663,508 | 88,250,716 | 33.00 | 43,991,907 | 56.91 | 77,300,838 | 25,509,277 | 14,517,329 | 14,517,329 | |
| KENTUCKY | 158,804,908 | 37,443,073 | 196,247,981 | 19.08 | 154,638,217 | 69.83 | 221,449,545 | 42,251,398 | 37,443,073 | 26,146,498 | |
| LOUISIANA | 1,078,512,169 | 132,917,149 | 1,211,429,318 | 10.97 | 731,960,000 | 60.98 | 1,200,327,976 | 131,689,118 | 131,689,118 | 80,310,122 | |
| MAINE | 99,957,958 | 60,958,342 | 160,916,300 | 33.00 | 111,979,398 | 61.55 | 181,932,409 | 60,037,695 | 60,037,695 | 36,953,201 | |
| MARYLAND | 22,226,467 | 120,873,531 | 143,099,998 | 33.00 | 81,318,372 | 50.00 | 162,636,744 | 53,670,126 | 53,670,126 | 26,835,063 | |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 469,653,946 | 105,635,054 | 575,289,000 | 18.36 | 325,273,489 | 50.00 | 650,546,978 | 119,453,988 | 105,635,054 | 52,817,527 | |
| MICHIGAN | 133,258,800 | 304,765,552 | 438,024,352 | 33.00 | 282,614,672 | 66.32 | 426,137,925 | 140,625,515 | 140,625,515 | 93,262,842 | |
| MISSISSIPPI | 182,608,033 | 0 | 182,608,033 | 0.00 | 162,636,745 | 73.05 | 222,637,570 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| MISSOURI | 521,946,524 | 207,234,618 | 729,181,142 | 28.42 | 505,240,380 | 62.03 | 814,509,721 | 231,485,157 | 207,234,618 | 128,547,634 | |
| NEVADA | 73,560,000 | 0 | 73,560,000 | 0.00 | 49,324,258 | 63.10 | 78,168,396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 92,675,916 | 94,753,948 | 187,429,864 | 33.00 | 170,740,344 | 50.00 | 341,480,688 | 112,688,627 | 94,753,948 | 47,376,974 | |
| NEW JERSEY | 736,742,539 | 357,370,461 | 1,094,113,000 | 32.66 | 686,540,358 | 50.00 | 1,373,080,716 | 448,489,771 | 357,370,461 | 178,685,231 | |
| NEW YORK | 2,418,869,368 | 605,000,000 | 3,023,869,368 | 20.01 | 1,713,018,172 | 50.00 | 3,426,036,344 | 685,463,469 | 605,000,000 | 302,500,000 | |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 193,201,966 | 236,072,627 | 429,274,593 | 33.00 | 314,608,785 | 65.78 | 478,274,225 | 157,830,494 | 103,820,899 | 103,820,899 | |
| OHIO | 535,731,956 | 93,432,758 | 629,164,714 | 14.85 | 433,253,624 | 63.02 | 687,485,916 | 102,093,623 | 93,432,758 | 58,881,324 | |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 388,207,319 | 579,199,682 | 967,407,001 | 33.00 | 598,556,544 | 53.52 | 1,118,379,193 | 369,065,134 | 369,065,134 | 197,523,660 | |
| RHODE ISLAND | 108,503,167 | 2,397,833 | 110,901,000 | 2.16 | 197,297,035 | 50.11 | 138,336,819 | 2,397,833 | 2,397,833 | 1,201,554 | |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 366,681,364 | 72,076,341 | 438,757,705 | 16.43 | 349,269,075 | 70.57 | 494,925,712 | 81,303,266 | 72,076,341 | 50,864,274 | |
| TENNESSEE* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 65.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| TEXAS | 1,220,515,401 | 292,513,592 | 1,513,028,993 | 19.33 | 1,019,812,376 | 58.69 | 1,737,625,449 | 335,934,760 | 292,513,592 | 171,676,227 | |
| VERMONT** | 19,979,252 | 9,071,297 | 29,050,549 | 31.23 | 23,995,586 | 56.76 | 42,275,521 | 13,200,914 | 9,071,297 | 5,148,868 | |
| VIRGINIA | 129,313,480 | 7,770,268 | 137,083,748 | 5.67 | 93,430,890 | 50.00 | 186,861,780 | 10,591,818 | 7,770,268 | 3,885,134 | |
| WASHINGTON | 171,725,815 | 163,836,435 | 335,562,250 | 33.00 | 197,297,035 | 50.00 | 394,594,070 | 130,216,043 | 130,216,043 | 65,108,022 | |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 66,962,606 | 18,887,045 | 85,849,651 | 22.00 | 71,986,756 | 71.09 | 101,261,438 | 22,277,660 | 18,887,045 | 13,426,800 | |
| TOTAL | 13,402,460,846 | 4,118,758,904 | 17,521,219,750 | | 11,130,266,762 | | 19,837,936,852 | 3,778,134,755 | 3,440,982,495 | 1,930,546,450 | |

LOW DSH STATES

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ALASKA | 2,506,827 | 17,611,765 | 20,118,592 | 33.00 | 21,723,676 | 50.00 | 43,447,352 | 14,337,626 | 14,337,626 | 7,168,813 |
| ARKANSAS | 2,422,649 | 819,351 | 3,242,000 | 25.27 | 46,005,171 | 70.10 | 65,627,919 | 16,586,151 | 819,351 | 574,365 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 7,069,000 | 7,069,000 | 33.00 | 9,654,966 | 55.31 | 17,456,095 | 5,760,511 | 5,760,511 | 3,186,139 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 10,393,600 | 51.85 | 20,045,516 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 2,081,429 | 0 | 2,081,429 | 0.00 | 24,469,724 | 71.64 | 24,469,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 12,011,250 | 0 | 12,011,250 | 0.00 | 41,998,821 | 57.93 | 72,499,259 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 24,240,000 | 5,257,214 | 29,497,214 | 17.82 | 79,653,479 | 50.00 | 159,306,958 | 28,392,877 | 5,257,214 | 2,628,607 |
| MONTANA | 237,048 | 0 | 237,048 | 0.00 | 12,105,267 | 66.33 | 18,250,063 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 6,449,102 | 1,811,337 | 8,260,439 | 21.93 | 30,179,217 | 54.74 | 55,131,927 | 12,089,248 | 1,811,337 | 991,526 |
| NEW MEXICO | 6,490,015 | 254,786 | 6,744,801 | 3.78 | 21,723,676 | 69.20 | 31,392,595 | 1,185,861 | 254,786 | 176,312 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 214,523 | 968,478 | 1,203,001 | 33.00 | 10,186,905 | 50.00 | 20,373,810 | 6,723,357 | 968,478 | 494,239 |
| OKLAHOMA | 20,019,969 | 3,273,248 | 23,293,217 | 14.05 | 38,619,866 | 64.02 | 60,324,689 | 8,477,046 | 3,273,248 | 2,095,533 |
| OREGON | 11,437,908 | 19,975,092 | 31,413,000 | 33.00 | 48,274,835 | 63.14 | 76,456,818 | 25,230,750 | 19,975,092 | 12,612,273 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 321,120 | 751,299 | 1,072,419 | 33.00 | 11,778,790 | 53.54 | 21,999,981 | 7,259,994 | 751,299 | 402,245 |
| UTAH | 3,621,116 | 934,586 | 4,555,702 | 20.51 | 20,922,000 | 70.34 | 29,744,100 | 6,101,896 | 934,586 | 657,388 |
| WISCONSIN | 6,609,524 | 4,492,011 | 11,101,535 | 33.00 | 100,816,461 | 59.06 | 170,701,763 | 56,331,582 | 4,492,011 | 2,652,982 |

ADDENDUM 2—PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

| State | Inpatient hospital services FY 95 DSH total computable | IMD and mental health services FY 95 DSH total computable | Total inpatient & IMD & mental health FY 95 DSH total computable col B + C | Applicable percent col C/D | FY 2014 allotment in FS | FY 2014 FMAP's (percent) | FY 2014 allotments in TC col F/G | Applicable percentage applied to FY 2014 allotments in TC col E x Col H | FY 2014 TC IMD limit (lesser of col I or Col C) | FY 2014 IMD limit in FS col G x J |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 241,374 | 50.00 | 482,748 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL LOW DSH STATES | 98,662,480 | 63,238,167 | 161,900,647 | | 521,808,214 | | 887,711,318 | 188,476,899 | 58,655,539 | 33,640,422 |
| TOTAL | 13,501,123,326 | 4,181,997,071 | 17,683,120,397 | | 11,652,074,976 | | 20,724,648,170 | 3,966,611,654 | 3,499,638,034 | 1,964,186,872 |

FOOTNOTES:

*Tennessee's DSH allotment for FY 2014, determined under section 1923(f)(6)(A) of the Act, is \$0.

** Vermont's FMAP for FY 2014 determined in accordance with section 1905(z)(1)(A) of the Act.

[FR Doc. 2014-04032 Filed 2-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

Title: Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey (FACES).

OMB No.: 0970-0151.

Description: The Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation (OPRE), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is proposing to collect data for the Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey (FACES). Featuring a new “Core Plus” study design, FACES will provide data on a set of key indicators, including information for performance measures. This design also allows for more rapid and frequent data reporting (Core study) and serves as a vehicle for studying more complex issues and topics in greater detail and with increased efficiency (Plus studies).

The FACES Core study will assess the school readiness skills of Head Start children, survey their parents, and ask their Head Start teachers to rate children’s social and emotional skills. In addition, FACES will include observations in Head Start classrooms, and program director, center director, and teacher surveys. FACES Plus studies include additional survey content of policy or programmatic interest, and may include additional programs or respondents beyond those participating in the Core FACES study.

Previous notices provided the opportunity for public comment on the proposed Head Start program recruitment and center selection process

(FR V.78, pg. 75569 12/12/2013; FR V.79, pg. 8461 02/12/2014). This notice describes the planned data collection activities for the FACES Core study and Plus studies. Direct child assessments, parent surveys, and teacher child reports for the Core study are included in this clearance package. Additionally, we describe instruments to support the Core study at the program and classroom levels and the Plus studies anticipated for future submission. Since these instruments will be informed by initial findings of FACES and emerging policy needs, they cannot be fully specified at this time. However, we describe the respondents and data collection methods, estimated respondent burden, and how the information will be used to the extent possible at this time. Subsequently, when fully developed in a manner consistent with the description provided in this 60-day notice and prior to use, we will submit these materials for a 30-day public comment period under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Methods for Core data collection start with site visits in fall 2014 to 60 Head Start programs to directly assess the school readiness skills of 2,400 children sampled to participate in FACES. Parents of sampled children will complete surveys on the Web or by telephone about their children and family background. Head Start teachers will rate each sampled child (approximately 10 children per classroom) using the Web or paper-and-pencil forms. These activities will occur a second time in spring 2015. Additionally, the program sample size will increase to 180 programs in the spring to collect program- and classroom-level data. The methods of data collection for this phase will feature site visitors conducting observations of the types and quality of classroom activities. Head Start program

directors, center directors, and teachers will complete surveys about themselves and the services and instruction at Head Start. The program- and classroom-level data collection will occur a second time in spring 2017.

Plus study data collection will parallel the Core design in many ways, including recruitment and data collection procedures, to add new respondents, include new populations, or expand on the information gathered in the Core study. Additional early care and education administrators or providers (such as Education Coordinators or Family Service Staff) may be sampled. Plus studies may involve data collection in additional programs, such as programs serving different populations or programs implementing specific interventions. Data collection for these Plus studies may include child assessments, parent surveys, teacher child reports, and staff surveys. Plus studies may also feature topical modules to gather information in greater depth on particular topics (for example, parent engagement or program functioning). The methods of data collection will involve new methodologies such as qualitative interviews and supplemental surveys with expanded content.

The purpose of the Core data collection is to support the 2007 reauthorization of the Head Start program (P.L. 110-134), which calls for periodic assessments of Head Start’s quality and effectiveness. Plus data collection will further support understanding Head Start functioning for a broader set of programs or in more depth for particular topics.

Annual Burden Estimates

Respondents: Head Start children, parents of Head Start children, and Head Start teachers, directors, and other early care and education program staff.

| Instrument | Total number of respondents | Annual number of respondents | Number of responses per respondent | Average burden hour per response | Estimated annual burden hours |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Core Study | | | | | |
| Head Start core child assessment | 2,400 | 800 | 2 | 0.75 | 1,200 |
| Head Start core parent survey | 2,400 | 800 | 2 | 0.25 | 400 |
| Head Start fall parent supplemental survey | 2,400 | 800 | 1 | 0.08 | 64 |
| Head Start spring parent supplemental survey | 2,400 | 800 | 1 | 0.08 | 64 |
| Head Start core teacher child report | 240 | 80 | 20 | 0.17 | 272 |
| Head Start core teacher survey | 720 | 240 | 2 | 0.50 | 240 |
| Head Start core program director survey | 180 | 60 | 2 | 0.25 | 30 |
| Head Start core center director survey | 360 | 120 | 2 | 0.25 | 60 |
| Plus Studies | | | | | |
| Head Start parent qualitative interview | 400 | 133 | 2 | 1.00 | 267 |
| Head Start staff qualitative interview | 300 | 100 | 2 | 1.00 | 200 |
| Head Start child assessment for plus study | 1,350 | 450 | 2 | 0.75 | 675 |