

issuance criteria, and that report information is sufficient to allow both enforcement of the permits, and, particularly where wild birds are concerned, that the report information collected contributes to our knowledge of the impacts of utilities and other entities on migratory birds, including eagles.

A significant change we are making is to convert the report form for Special Purpose Utility permits (3–202–17) from paper to electronic format. These permits allow utilities such as electric, wind, and solar companies to collect birds found dead on their property. The data will be housed in the Avian Injury/Mortality Reporting System (AIMRS). Our goal is to make reporting more convenient for permittees, but electronic submission will be particularly beneficial for the Service, because it will make the data accessible for analysis without staff having to enter it manually. This will make the data on this important source of mortality readily available to biologists who are monitoring the impacts of incidental take and working with industry to identify best practices to reduce those impacts.

#### Request for Public Comments

We again invite comments concerning this information collection on:

- Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

#### Availability of Public Comments

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: February 24, 2014.

**Tina A. Campbell,**

*Chief, Division of Policy and Directives Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014–04319 Filed 2–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–55–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

[DR.5B711.JA000814]

#### Land Acquisitions; Mechoopda Indian Tribe of Chico Rancheria of California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Agency Determination; Technical Correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document makes a technical correction to the acreage estimate and the land description contained in the notice published on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, 79 FR 6917. The notice concerns the final agency determination to acquire approximately 626.55 acres of land in trust for the Mechoopda Indian Tribe of Chico Rancheria of California for gaming and other purposes.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paula L. Hart, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Bureau of Indian Affairs, MS–3657 MIB, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240; Telephone (202) 219–4066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 24, 2014, the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs issued a final agency determination to acquire approximately 626.55 acres of land in trust for the Mechoopda Indian Tribe of Chico Rancheria of California (Tribe) for gaming and other purposes. Notice of the January 24, 2014 final agency determination was published in the **Federal Register** on February 5, 2014, 79 FR 6917. The **Federal Register** Notice published on February 5, 2014, did not reflect an estimate that had been prepared by the Bureau of Land Management using the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) model. This technical correction does not change the footprint of the acquisition published on February 5, 2014, it merely provides a more accurate estimate of the total acreage within the boundaries of the January 24, 2014 final agency determination. The **Federal Register** Notice published on February 5, 2014, is now clarified to reflect the GIS model estimate of approximately 631 acres.

Furthermore, the land description in the February 5, 2014, notice is correct, but has been amended by the Bureau of Indian Affairs to eliminate unnecessary

or duplicative information. On pages 6917 and 6918 of the February 5, 2014, **Federal Register**, the land description is amended to read as follows:

#### Parcel I

All that portion of the east half of the northeast quarter of Section 1, Township 20 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., lying easterly of U.S. Highway 99E.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof, heretofore conveyed to the State of California by deed recorded July 27, 1951, in Book 575, Page 326, Official Records, recorded October 9, 1974, in Book 1944, Page 64, Official Records and October 9, 1974, in Book 1944, Page 68, Official Records.

#### Parcel II

The north half of the northwest quarter, the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 5, and all that portion of Section 6 lying northeasterly of the Oroville Chico Highway, all in Township 20 North, Range 3 East, M.D.B. & M.

Excepting therefrom said Section 6, that portion conveyed to the State of California by Deed recorded July 27, 1951 in Book 575, Page 326, Official Records.

Also excepting therefrom that portion conveyed to the State of California by Deed recorded October 9, 1974, in Book 1944, Page 64, Official Records.

APN 041–190–048–00 (PARCEL I) and APN 041–190–045–000 (PARCEL II).

A copy of the decision dated January 24, 2014 is available at: <http://www.indianaffairs.gov/cs/groups/webteam/documents/text/idc1-025066.pdf>.

Dated: February 19, 2014.

**Kevin K. Washburn,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2014–04267 Filed 2–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–4N–P**

## NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION

### 2014 Preliminary Fee Rate and Fingerprint Fees

**AGENCY:** National Indian Gaming Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given, pursuant to 25 CFR 514.2, that the National Indian Gaming Commission has adopted its 2014 preliminary annual fee rates of 0.00% for tier 1 and 0.072% (.00072) for tier 2. These rates have not changed since 2013 and shall apply to

all assessable gross revenues from each gaming operation under the jurisdiction of the Commission. If a tribe has a certificate of self-regulation under 25 CFR part 518, the 2014 preliminary fee rate on Class II revenues shall be one-half of the annual fee rate, which is 0.036% (.00036).

Pursuant to 25 CFR 514.16, the National Indian Gaming Commission has also adopted its fingerprint processing fees of \$22 per card.

Both the preliminary fee rate and fingerprint fees being adopted here are effective March 1st, 2014 and will remain in effect until new rates are adopted.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Yvonne Lee, National Indian Gaming Commission, 1441 L Street NW., Suite 9100, Washington, DC 20005; telephone (202) 632-7003; fax (202) 632-7066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA) established the National Indian Gaming Commission, which is charged with, among other things, regulating gaming on Indian lands.

Commission regulations (25 CFR part 514) provide for a system of fee assessment and payment that is self-administered by gaming operations. Pursuant to those regulations, the Commission is required to adopt and communicate assessment rates and the gaming operations are required to apply those rates to their revenues, compute the fees to be paid, report the revenues, and remit the fees to the Commission. All gaming operations within the jurisdiction of the Commission are required to self administer the provisions of these regulations, and report and pay any fees that are due to the Commission. As noted above, if a tribe has a certificate of self-regulation under 25 CFR part 518 the 2014 preliminary fee rate on Class II revenues shall be one-half of the annual fee rate, which is 0.036% (.00036).

Pursuant to 25 CFR part 514, the Commission must also review annually the costs involved in processing fingerprint cards and set a fee based on fees charged by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and costs incurred by the Commission. Commission costs include Commission personnel, supplies, equipment costs, and postage to submit the results to the requesting tribe. Based on that review, the Commission hereby sets the 2014 fingerprint processing fee at \$22 per card.

Dated: February 24, 2014.

**Jonodev O. Chaudhuri,**  
*Acting Chairman.*

Dated: February 24, 2014.

**Daniel J. Little,**  
*Associate Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04317 Filed 2-26-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7565-01-P**

---

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

**[NPS-WASO-BSAD-CONC-15010;  
PPWOBADCO, PPMVSCS1Y.Y00000]**

**Information Collection Request Sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Approval; National Park Service Leasing Program**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We (National Park Service, NPS) have sent an Information Collection Request (ICR) to OMB for review and approval. We summarize the ICR below and describe the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost. This information collection is scheduled to expire on February 28, 2014. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. However, under OMB regulations, we may continue to conduct or sponsor this information collection while it is pending at OMB.

**DATES:** You must submit comments on or before March 31, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments and suggestions on this information collection to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at OMB-OIRA at (202) 395-5806 (fax) or *OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov* (email). Please provide a copy of your comments to the Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW. (2601), Washington, DC 20240 (mail); or *madonna\_baucum@nps.gov* (email). Please reference OMB Control Number 1024-0233 in the subject line of your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information about this IC, contact Ben Erichsen at (202) 513-7156 (telephone) or at *Ben.Erichsen@nps.gov* (email). You may review the ICR online at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Follow the instructions to review Department of the Interior collections under review by OMB.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*I. Abstract.* The National Park Service leasing program allows the public to lease property located within the boundaries of the park system, under the authority of the Director of the National Park Service. A lease may not authorize an activity that could be authorized by a concessions contract or commercial use authorization. All leases must provide for the payment of fair market value rent. The Director may retain rental payments for park infrastructure needs and, in some cases, to provide administrative support of the leasing program.

Our authority to collect information for the leasing program is derived from section 802 of the National Parks Omnibus Management Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105-391), the National Historic Preservation Act (Pub. L. 89-665), and Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, section 18 (36 CFR 18). For competitive leasing opportunities, the regulations require the submission of proposals or bids by parties interested in applying for a lease. The regulations also require that the Director approve lease amendments, construction or demolition of structures, and encumbrances on leasehold interests.

We collect Information from anyone who wishes to submit a bid or proposal to lease a property. The Director may issue a request for bids if the amount of rent is the only criterion for award of a lease. The Director issues a request for proposals when the award of a lease is based on selection criteria other than the rental rate. A request for proposals may be preceded by a request for qualifications to select a "short list" of potential offerors that meet minimum management, financial, and other qualifications necessary for submission of a proposal.

The Director may enter into negotiations for a lease with nonprofit organizations and units of government without soliciting proposals or bids. In those cases, the Director collects information from the other party regarding the planned use of the premises, potential modifications to the premises, and other information as necessary to support a decision on whether or not to enter into a lease.

We also collect Information from existing leaseholders who seek to:

- Sublet a leased property or assign the lease to a new lessee.
- Construct or demolish portions of a leased property.
- Amend a lease to change the type of activities permitted under the lease.
- Encumber (mortgage) the leased premises.

We use the information to evaluate offers, proposed subleases or