Lynn Fischer Fox, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, dated December 28, 2012, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (''IA ACCESS''). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://iaaccess.trade.gov* and in the Central Records Unit in room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at *http://ia.ita.doc.gov/ia/*. The signed Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain pasta from Italy would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

Manufacturers/exporters/producers	Net countervailable subsidy (percent)
Agritalia, S.r.l.	6.84
Arrighi S.p.A. Industrie Alimentari	6.73
De Matteis Agroalimentare S.p.A.	6.01
Delverde, S.r.l.	9.64
F. lli De Cecco di Filippo Fara S. Martino S.p.A.	6.28
Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A.	5.89
Isola del Grano, S.r.L.	13.58
Italpast S.p.A.	13.58
Italpasta S.r.L.	6.73
La Molisana Alimentari S.p.A.	7.70
Labor, S.r.L.	13.58
Molino e Pastificio De Cecco S.p.A. Pescara	6.28
Pastificio Guido Ferrara	5.22
Pastificio Campano, S.p.A.	6.35
Pastificio Riscossa F.lli Mastromauro S.r.L.	10.69
Tamma Industrie Alimentari di Capitanata	9.64
All Others	7.39

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (''APO'') of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 28, 2012.

Lynn Fischer Fox,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

[FR Doc. 2012–31727 Filed 1–3–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2009–0015]

Proposed Extension of Approval of Information Collection; Comment Request—Testing and Recordkeeping Requirements Under the Standard for the Flammability (Open Flame) of Mattresses

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) requests comments on a proposed 3-year extension of approval of information collection requirements in the Standard for the Flammability—Open Flame—of Mattresses Sets (Open-Flame standard), 16 CFR part 1633. The Commission has a separate flammability standard that addresses cigarette ignition of mattresses, 16 CFR part 1632. The Open-Flame standard is intended to reduce unreasonable risks of burn injuries and deaths from fires associated with mattresses, particularly those initially ignited by open-flame sources, such as lighters, candles, and matches. The Open-Flame standard prescribes a test to minimize or delay flashover when a mattress is ignited. The standard requires manufacturers to test specimens of each of their mattress prototypes before mattresses based on that prototype may be introduced into commerce. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) previously approved the collection of information under control number 3041–0133. OMB's most recent extension of approval will expire on March 31, 2013. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of approval of this collection of information from OMB.

DATES: The Office of the Secretary must receive comments not later than March 5, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2009–0015, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

To ensure timely processing of comments, the Commission is no longer accepting comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through *www.regulations.gov*.

Written Submissions

Submit written submissions in the following way:

Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD–ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to *http://www.regulations.gov*. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information electronically. Such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to *http://www.regulations.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information contact: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: *rsquibb@cpsc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Estimated Burden

The standard requires detailed documentation of prototype identification and testing records, model and prototype specifications, inputs

used, name and location of suppliers, and confirmation test records, if establishments choose to pool a prototype. This documentation is in addition to documentation already conducted by mattress manufacturers in their efforts to meet the cigarette standard under 16 CFR part 1632. CPSC staff estimates that there are 571 establishments producing conventional mattresses and 100 establishments producing nonconventional mattresses in the United States, for a total of 671 firms affected by this standard. CPSC staff estimates the recordkeeping requirements to take about 4 hours and 44 minutes per establishment, per qualified prototype. Although some larger manufacturers reportedly are producing mattresses based on more than 100 prototypes, most mattress manufacturers base their complying production on 15 to 20 prototypes.

Assuming that establishments qualify their production with an average of 20 different qualified prototypes, recordkeeping time is estimated to be 94.7 hours (4.73 hours × 20 prototypes) per establishment, per year. (However, pooling among establishments or using a prototype qualification for longer than 1 year will reduce this estimate). Accordingly, the annual recordkeeping time cost to all mattress producers is estimated at 63,521 hours (94.7 hours × 671 establishments). The hourly compensation for the time required for recordkeeping is $27.64 (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, ''Employer Costs for Employee Compensation,'' June 2012, Table 9, total compensation for all sales and office workers in goods-producing, private industries: *http://www.bls.gov/ncs*). Total estimated costs for recordkeeping are approximately $1.7 million (63,521 hours × $27.64).

The estimated annual cost of information collection requirements to the federal government is approximately $717,954. This represents 50 full-time employee staff hours. Record review will be performed during compliance inspections conducted to follow up on consumer complaints and reports of injury that indicate possible violations of the regulations. This estimate uses an annual wage of $119,238 (the equivalent of a GS–14 Step 5 employee), with an additional 30.8 percent added for benefits (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, ''Employer Costs for Employee Compensation,'' September 2012, Table 1, percentage of wages and salaries for all civilian management, professional, and related employees) for total annual compensation of $172,309 per full-time employee.

B. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: December 31, 2012.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–31677 Filed 1–3–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 12–59]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated July 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 12–59 with attached transmittal and policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: December 31, 2012.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5006–01–P