

accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

Authority: This investigation is being suspended under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.40 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 207.40).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-05996 Filed 3-14-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Certain Microelectromechanical Systems ("MEMS Devices") and Products Containing Same; Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Microelectromechanical Systems ("MEMS Devices") and Products Containing Same*, DN 2942; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing under section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.8(b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Acting Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the

Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of STMicroelectronics, Inc. on March 11, 2013. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain microelectromechanical systems ("MEMS devices") and products containing same. The complaint names as respondents InvenSense, Inc. of Sunnyvale, CA; Roku, Inc. of Saratoga, CA and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc. of New Britain, CT.

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of

publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2942") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-05999 Filed 3-14-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-831]

Certain Electronic Devices for Capturing and Transmitting Images, and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 47) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda S. Pitcher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 27, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Eastman Kodak Company of Rochester, New York. 77 FR 11588-89 (Feb. 27, 2012). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,210,161; 7,742,084; 7,453,605; 7,936,391; and 6,292,218 by certain electronic devices for capturing and transmitting images, and components thereof. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsections (a)(2) and (3) of section 337. The Notice of Institution named as respondents Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California; High Tech Computer Corp. a/k/a HTC Corp. of Taoyuan, Taiwan; HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington; and Exedea, Inc. of Houston, Texas.

On February 12, 2013, the ALJ issued the subject ID, terminating the investigation pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21 for good cause. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s

Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-05995 Filed 3-14-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-820]

Certain Products Containing Interactive Program Guide and Parental Controls Technology; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based Upon a Settlement Agreement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 58) terminating the investigation based upon a settlement agreement in the above captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 21, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Rovi Corporation of Santa Clara, California; Rovi Guides, Inc. (f/k/a/Gemstar-TV Guide International Inc.) of Santa Clara,

California; United Video Properties, Inc. of Santa Clara, California; Gemstar Development Corporation of Santa Clara, California; and Index Systems, Inc. of Tortola, the British Virgin Islands (collectively, “Rovi”). 76 FR 79214-5 (Dec. 21, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain products containing interactive program guide and parental controls technology by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,493,643; RE41,993; 6,701,523; and 7,047,547. The notice of investigation named Vizio, Inc. of Irvine, California (“Vizio”); Haier Group Corp. of Shandong, China (“HGC”); and Haier America of New York, New York (“Haier America”) as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party, but later decided not to participate in the investigation under the Commission’s *Supplement to the Strategic Human Capital Plan 2009-2013*. Commission Investigative Staff’s Notice of Nonparticipation (Jan. 6, 2012). The Commission later terminated the investigation as to Haier America and HGC based on consent orders. Notice (June 18, 2012) (Order No. 18); Notice (June 18, 2012) (Order No. 19).

On January 4, 2013, Rovi and Vizio jointly filed a motion to terminate the investigation based upon a settlement agreement. Rovi and Vizio filed public and confidential versions of the motion to terminate and the settlement agreement. On January 8, 2013, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID (Order No. 56). On January 29, 2013, the Commission determined to review the ID and found that the public version of the settlement agreement did not comply with Commission Rules 210.21(b)(1) and 201.6. Accordingly, the Commission remanded the investigation to the ALJ to require Rovi and Vizio to file a renewed motion to terminate along with public and confidential versions of the settlement agreement that comply with Commission rules.

In response to the Commission’s January 29, 2013 Order, Rovi and Vizio jointly filed a renewed motion to terminate the investigation based upon a settlement agreement on February 13, 2013, that included a fully unredacted confidential version of the settlement agreement and a lightly redacted public version. On February 19, 2013, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 58) granting the motion. The ALJ found that termination would be in the public interest.