

12040; March 7, 2000). Prior to issuance of the amendments, the Commission published an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact concerning this action in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 16421; March 28, 2000). On March 20, 2002, the NRC approved the decommissioning plan by Amendment No. 11 to License TR-3 and Amendment No. 7 to License No. R-93 (ADAMS Accession Number ML020390069).

In 2007, the licensee submitted a revised Final Status Survey Plan and a request for amendment to the licenses incorporating this plan prior to performing final radiation surveys (ADAMS Accession Numbers ML070450166, ML070450170 and ML070450171). The NRC published a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing" for the PBRF in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 46521; August 20, 2007). On March 24, 2008, the NRC approved the revised Final Status Survey Plan by License Amendment No. 13 to License TR-3 and Amendment No. 9 to License R-93 (ADAMS Accession Number ML073020311). Following the issuance of the license amendments, the licensee revised the decommissioning plan (ADAMS Accession Number ML082070086) to reflect the approval of the revised Final Status Survey Plan.

The licensee completed final status surveys and documented the survey results in the Final Status Survey Report (FSSR). The FSSR consists of one summary report and eighteen attachments each documenting final status survey results for different areas of the facility. The FSSR documented the level of residual radioactivity remaining at the facility. The licensee stated that radiological remediation of all areas of the PBRF has been completed and the facility meets the criteria for unrestricted release specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. The NRC completed its review of the FSSR, and the NRC staff verified that the criteria in the approved decommissioning plan has been met and determined that the facility and site met the criteria in 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted use. The NRC review was documented in a Technical Evaluation Report and included in its response (ADAMS Accession Number ML12223A244) to the licensee's request for termination of licenses.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.82(b)(6), the NRC staff has concluded that the PBRF has been decommissioned in accordance with the approved

decommissioning plan and that the terminal radiation survey and associated documentation demonstrate that the facility and site may be released in accordance with the criteria in the NRC-approved decommissioning plan. Further, on the basis of the decommissioning activities carried out by NASA, the NRC's review of the licensee's FSSR, and the results of the NRC inspections conducted at the PBRF and the NRC confirmatory surveys, the NRC has concluded that the decommissioning process is complete and the facility and site may be released for unrestricted use. Therefore, Facility Licenses Nos. TR-3 and R-93 are terminated.

For further details with respect to this action, see licensee's request for license termination dated September 18, 2012. The above referenced documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852. Publicly available records for the NASA PBRF dated after January 30, 2000, will be available online in the NRC Library in ADAMS at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who have problems in accessing the documents in ADAMS should call the NRC's PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737 or email pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of October 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Lydia Chang,
Acting Deputy Director, Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Programs.

[FR Doc. 2012-25537 Filed 10-16-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2013-1 and CP2013-1; Order No. 1492]

New Postal Product; Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 11 to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's

Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 11 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 11 is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2013-1.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2013-1.

Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 11-6, authorizing the new product;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 11 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, October 10, 2012 (Request).

Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to covering institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.* Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

Related contract. The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. *Id.* Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective on the day following the date that the Commission issues all regulatory approvals. *Id.* at 10. The contract will expire 3 years from the effective date, unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement with 30 days' written notice to the other party. *Id.* at 11. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *Id.* Attachment D.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. *Id.* Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information should remain confidential. *Id.* at 3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, information relevant to the customer's mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. *Id.* The Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. *Id.* at 7.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013-1 and CP2013-1 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 11 product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than October 18, 2012. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Natalie R. Ward to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013-1 and CP2013-1 to

consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie R. Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than October 18, 2012.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-25432 Filed 10-16-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2013-2 and CP2013-2; Order No. 1493]

New Postal Product; Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is establishing a docket to consider the Postal Service's request to add Priority Mail Contract 44 to the competitive product list. This notice provides public notice of the Postal Service's filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* October 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** portion of the preamble for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6824.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 44 to the

competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that Priority Mail Contract 44 is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2013-2.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2013-2.

Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 11-6, authorizing the new product;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to covering institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.* Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

Related contract. The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. *Id.* Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective on the day following the date that the Commission issues all regulatory approvals. *Id.* at 2. The contract will expire 3 years from the effective date, unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement with 30 days' written notice to the other party. *Id.* The Postal Service represents that the

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 44 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, October 10, 2012 (Request).