

burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 10, 2012.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-17140 Filed 7-12-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-06-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Economic Development Administration**

**Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance**

**AGENCY:** Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice and Opportunity for Public Comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 *et seq.*), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received

petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

**LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE [06/20/2012 to 07/06/12]**

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Showman Fabricators, Inc .....	47-22 Pearson Place, Long Island City, NY 11101.	06/22/12	The firm builds props and sets for the trade show, theatrical, and broadcasting industries.
Crowell Cap and Embroidery d/b/a American Made Cap Co. ....	116 N. Avenue A, Crowell, TX 79227 ....	06/22/12	The firm manufactures caps and custom apparel.
Sun Precast Company, Inc .....	4051 Ridge Road, Beaver Springs, PA 17812.	06/25/12	The firm manufactures architectural pre-cast concrete and cast stone products.
Debond Corp. d/b/a Flexpak Corporation	3720 W. Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009.	06/25/12	The firm manufactures custom thermoforming and contract packaging solutions.
Spike's Trophies, Ltd. ....	2701 Grant Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19114.	07/06/12	The firm produces custom awards, plaques, trophies, medals, signage and other promotional products.
Micom Corporation .....	475 Old Highway 8 NW., New Brighton, MN 55112.	06/28/12	The firm manufactures multi-layered printed circuit boards.
Connor Winfield Corporation .....	2211 Comprehensive Drive, Aurora, IL 60505.	07/05/12	The firm manufactures diode, transistor, and semiconductor parts for the telecommunications industry.
Moore Merkowitz Tile, Ltd .....	5552 East Valley Road, P.O. Box 280, Alfred Station, NY 14803.	07/05/12	The firm manufactures ceramic tiles.
Young Electric Sign Company d/b/a YESCO.	2401 Foothill Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84109.	06/28/12	The firm designs and manufactures custom electric signs for advertising purposes.
RJ Studios, Inc .....	206 Camars Drive, Warminster, PA 18974.	07/05/12	The firm designs and creates prototypes, including electronic prototypes and wax and clay sculpting.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 7106, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which

these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Dated: July 9, 2012.

**Bryan Borlik,**

*Director, TAA for Firms.*

[FR Doc. 2012-17102 Filed 7-12-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

**[Order No. 1839]**

**Reorganization and Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 99 Under Alternative Site Framework; Wilmington, DE**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR

1170, 01/12/2009; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/2009; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/2010) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

*Whereas*, the State of Delaware, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 99, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 81–2011, filed 12/19/2011) for authority to reorganize and expand under the ASF with a service area of New Castle, Kent and Sussex Counties, Delaware, in and adjacent to the Wilmington U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 99's existing Site 1 would be categorized as a magnet site, and the grantee proposes one initial usage-driven site (Site 2);

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 80331, 12/23/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize and expand FTZ 99 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Site 2 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by July 31, 2015.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of July 2012.

**Paul Piquado**,

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 2012–17167 Filed 7–12–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1840]

#### Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 64 (Expansion of Service Area) Under Alternative Site Framework Jacksonville, FL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-

Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/2009; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/2009; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/2010) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

*Whereas*, the Jacksonville Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 64, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 18–2012, filed 03/19/2012) for authority to expand the service area of the zone to include Bradford, Putnam and St. Johns Counties, as described in the application, within and adjacent to the Jacksonville, Florida, U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry;

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 17012–17013, 03/23/2012) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 64 to expand the service area under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of July 2012.

**Paul Piquado**,

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 2012–17159 Filed 7–12–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–533–820]

#### Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 28, 2012, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department) final results of redetermination pursuant to the Court's second remand order. *See United States Steel Corporation v. United States*, Court No. 08–00216, Slip Op. 12–91 (*U.S. Steel Corp. III*); Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Second Court Remand, CIT Court No. 08–00216 (May 22, 2012) (*Second Remand Results*). The Court previously upheld other aspects of the Department's final results of the 2005–2006 administrative review of the antidumping duty on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India. *See U.S. Steel Corp. v. United States*, No. 08–00216, 2012 WL 1259085 (Ct. Int'l Trade Apr. 11, 2012) (opinion on first remand results) (*U.S. Steel Corp. II*); Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, CIT Court No. 08–00216 (Oct. 3, 2011) (*First Remand Results*); *U.S. Steel Corp. v. United States*, No. 08–00216, 2011 WL 2421154 (Ct. Int'l Trade June 14, 2011) (opinion on final results) (*U.S. Steel Corp. I*); *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 31,961 (June 5, 2008) (*Final Results*).

Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Timken Co., v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results* and is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India covering the period December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006, with