

has determined not to take temporary emergency action under Commission Rule 210.77.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.75 and 210.77 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.75 and 210.77).

Issued: July 2, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-16574 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-827]

Certain Portable Communication Devices; Determination Not To Review Initial Determinations Terminating the Investigation as to All Respondents; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review initial determinations ("IDs") (Order Nos. 14 and 15) granting joint motions to terminate the above-captioned investigation with respect to all respondents on the basis of settlement agreements. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 19, 2012, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Digitude Innovations LLC of Alexandria, Virginia ("Digitude") on December 2, 2011 and amended on December 16, 2011. 77 FR 2758 (January 19, 2012). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 7-13 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,926,636; claims 1-9 and 17-25 of U.S. Patent No. 5,929,655; claims 1-8 and 14-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,208,879; and claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,456,841. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Research In Motion Ltd. of Ontario, Canada, Research In Motion Corp. of Irving, Texas (collectively "RIM"); HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan; HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington (collectively "HTC"); LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, South Korea, LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. of San Diego, California (collectively "LG"); Motorola Mobility, Inc. of Libertyville, Illinois ("Motorola"); Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd of Seoul, South Korea, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey, Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas (collectively "Samsung"); Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan, Sony Corporation of America of New York, New York, Sony Electronics, Inc. of San Diego, California, Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB of Lund, Sweden, Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. of Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (collectively "Sony"); Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington ("Amazon"); Nokia Corporation of Espoo, Finland, Nokia Inc. of Irving, Texas (collectively "Nokia"); Pantech Co., Ltd. of Seoul, South Korea, and Pantech Wireless, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia (collectively "Pantech").

On May 8, 2012, Digitude and respondents RIM, LG, Motorola, Samsung, Sony, Amazon, and Pantech filed a joint motion under Commission Rule 210.21(a)(2) to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement that resolves their litigation. On May 11, 2012, Digitude and the remaining respondents HTC and Nokia also filed a joint motion under Commission Rule 210.21(a)(2) to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement that resolves their litigation. Public and confidential versions of the agreements were

attached to the motions. The motions stated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation. The Commission investigative attorney supported both motions. On May 31, 2012, the ALJ issued Order No. 14 granting the joint motion filed on May 8, 2012. On the same day, the ALJ issued Order No. 15 granting the joint motion filed on May 11, 2012. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs. The investigation is terminated.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-16485 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Modification of Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 29, 2012, a proposed Modification of Consent Decree ("Modification") in *United States of America v. Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority, and The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico*, Civil Action No. 3:10-1365 (SEC) was lodged with the United States Court for the District of Puerto Rico. The Consent Decree requires the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority ("PRASA") to, among other things, implement injunctive relief measures at 126 water treatment plants (WTPs) over a 15 year period throughout the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The injunctive relief measures are to be implemented in three phases which include short-term and mid-term remedial actions; as well as longer term capital improvement projects. The details of the injunctive relief measures were originally described in Paragraph 8 of the Consent Decree, and Appendices C-E attached to the Consent Decree. To date, PRASA has completed all of the short-term remedial measures required under the Consent Decree. The Consent Decree also required PRASA to comply with interim limits at certain WTPs, and the particular interim limits were set

forth in Appendix F attached to the Consent Decree.

The proposed Modification amends the Consent Decree by expanding the scope and extending the deadline for completion of the mid-term remedial measures as set forth in Paragraph 8. b. and Appendix D of the Consent Decree. The original Consent Decree requires 148 mid-term remedial measures to be completed by June 30, 2012. Pursuant to the proposed Modification 232 mid-term remedial projects are required to be completed under the following schedule: completion of 14 projects by June 30, 2012; completion of 37 projects by October 31, 2012; completion of 102 projects by December 31, 2012; completion of 72 projects by March 31, 2013; and completion of the remaining 7 projects by June 30, 2013. The proposed Modification also calls for increased reporting by PRASA on the status of the mid-term remedial measures, as well as four meetings between EPA and PRASA between October 2012 and May 2013 to discuss the progress of the remedial measures.

In addition, the proposed Modification revises Appendix F that sets forth interim limits that apply for certain WTPs. Supplemental sampling taken after entry of the Consent Decree indicates that revisions to some of the interim limits, as originally listed in Appendix F, is appropriate, and the proposed Modification replaces original Appendix F with a revised Appendix F setting forth the modified interim limits for certain WTPs.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to the matter as *United States v. Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-1-1-08385/2.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Torre Chardon Suite 1201, 350 Carlos Chardon Avenue, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918, and at U.S. EPA CEPD office, City View Plaza—Suite 7000, #48 Rd. 165 KM. 1.2, Guaynabo, Puerto Rico 00968-8069. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the

Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to “Consent Decree Copy” (EESDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$27.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs of the Consent Decree) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resource Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-16495 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—American Gap Association

Notice is hereby given that, on June 6, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), American Gap Association (“AGA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is American Gap Association, Portland, OR. The nature and scope of AGA’s standards development activities are to set industry standards for the safety, supervision, and general integrity of “gap year” educational programs.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-16507 Filed 7-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—FDI Cooperation LLC

Notice is hereby given that, on June 6, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), FDI Cooperation LLC (“FDI”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: FDT Group, AISBL, Jodoigne, BELGIUM; Fieldbus Foundation, Austin, TX; HART Communication Foundation, Austin, TX; PROFIBUS Nutzerorganisation e. V., Karlsruhe, GERMANY; OPC Foundation, Scottsdale, AZ; ABB Automation GmbH, Mannheim, GERMANY; Emerson Process Management LLLP, Round Rock, TX; Endress+Hauser Process Solutions AG, Reinach, SWITZERLAND; Honeywell International Inc., Phoenix, AZ; Invensys Systems Inc., Foxboro, MA; Siemens AG, Karlsruhe, GERMANY; Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; ifak e. V., Magdeburg, GERMANY; and Smar Equipamentos Industriais Ltda., Sao Paulo, BRAZIL.

The general area of FDI’s planned activity is to develop, distribute, and maintain the FDI Specification and FDI Tools and Components and to maintain the IEC EDDL specification (IEC 61804-3 and -4). The parties want the FDI Specifications itself to be independent of any specific communication protocol and to be applied in conjunction with various communication and configuration technologies provided by the foundation participants (FDT Group, AISBL; Fieldbus Foundation; HART Communication Foundation; PROFIBUS Nutzerorganisation e. V.; and OPC Foundation) to their members. (These foundations own the joint venture; the remaining participants are not owners.) To support the adoption and implementation of the FDI Specification, and ensure interoperability and reduce implementation costs, the Company will develop and license or have licensed the