

* * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,” and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board’s regulations (15 CFR part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the Piedmont Triad Partnership, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 230, has made application to the Board for authority to establish a special-purpose subzone at the warehouse and distribution facility of VF Jeanswear, located in Mocksville, North Carolina, (FTZ Docket 15–2011, filed 03/01/2011);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 12022, 3/4/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board’s regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status for activity related to apparel warehousing and distribution at the facility of VF Jeanswear, located in Mocksville, North Carolina (Subzone 230E), as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of October 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–28410 Filed 11–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 69–2011]

Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone; Genesee County, NY, Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Genesee Gateway Local Development Corporation to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone at sites in Genesee County, New York, adjacent to the Rochester Customs and Border Protection (CBP) port of entry, under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170–1173, 1/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09); 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 27, 2011. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under the New York State County Law, Section 224 (21).

The proposed zone would be the second general-purpose zone for the Rochester CBP port of entry. The existing zone is as follows: FTZ 141, County of Monroe, New York (Grantee: County of Monroe, New York, Board Order 355, 04/15/87).

The applicant’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Genesee County, New York. If approved, the applicant would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is adjacent to the Rochester Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The proposed zone would include two “magnet” sites in Genesee County: Proposed Site 1 (186 acres)—Apple Tree Acres, southeast corner of the intersection of State Route 33 & State Route 19, Bergen; and, Proposed Site 2 (200 acres)—Genesee Valley Agri-Business Park, between State Route 63 and State Route 5, Batavia. Both sites are owned by Genesee Gateway Local Development Corporation. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under

the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 2 be so exempted.

The application indicates a need for zone services in Genesee County, New York. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution activities for a variety of products. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Kathleen Boyce of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 3, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 17, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.

Dated: October 27, 2011.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–28427 Filed 11–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–808]

Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods From India: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India, pursuant to section 751(c) of the

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 76 FR 38613 (July 1, 2011) (*Notice of Initiation*). The Department has conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 2, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dustin Ross or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0747 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2011, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India (wire rods)¹ pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Notice of Initiation*.

The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Carpenter Technology Corporation (the petitioner) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The petitioner claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a manufacturer of a domestic like product for the proceeding.

The Department received a complete substantive response to the *Notice of Initiation* from the petitioner within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the antidumping duty order is wire rods, which are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils. Wire rods are made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2

percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are only manufactured by hot-rolling and are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross section. The majority of wire rods sold in the United States are round in cross-section shape, annealed, and pickled. The most common size is 5.5 millimeters in diameter.

The wire rods subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India" from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memo and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping

at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Company	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Mukand Ltd	48.80
Sunstar Metals Ltd	48.80
Grand Foundry Ltd	48.80
All Others	48.80

Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-28411 Filed 11-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-810, A-583-815]

Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe From South Korea and Taiwan: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on welded ASTM A-312 stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for both orders pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

¹ *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India*, 58 FR 63335 (December 1, 1993).