

facsimile or electronic means only to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/documents/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202) 205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50(a)(4) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50(a)(4)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 26, 2011.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–28120 Filed 10–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1091 (Review)]

Artists' Canvas From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on artists' canvas from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on May 2, 2011 (76 FR 24516) and determined on August 5, 2011 that

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson dissenting.

it would conduct an expedited review (76 FR 54789, September 2, 2011).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on October 25, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4273 (October 2011), entitled *Artists' Canvas from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1091 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 25, 2011.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–28121 Filed 10–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1190–0009]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under Review; Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990/Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 Discrimination Complaint Form

ACTION: 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review.

The Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The information collection extension is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until December 30, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time should be directed to Allison Nichol (phone number and address listed below). If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, contact or write her at U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section—NYA, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments concerning this information collection should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to email them to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or fax

them to (202) 395–7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please contact Allison Nichol, Chief, Disability Rights Section, Civil Rights Division, by calling (800) 514–0301 (Voice) or (800) 514–0383 (TTY) (the Division's ADA Information Line), or the DOJ Desk Officer at (202) 395–3176.

We request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

The information collection is listed below:

(1) Type of information collection. Extension of Currently Approved Collection.

(2) The title of the form/collection. Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act/Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 Discrimination Complaint Form.

(3) The agency form number and applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection. No form number. Disability Rights Section, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals alleging discrimination by public entities based on disability. Under title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, an individual who believes that he or she has been subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability by a public entity may, by himself or herself or by an authorized representative, file a complaint. Any Federal agency that receives a complaint of discrimination by a public entity is required to review the complaint to determine whether it has jurisdiction under section 504. If the

agency does not have jurisdiction, it must determine whether it is the designated agency responsible for complaints filed against that public entity. If the agency does not have jurisdiction under section 504 and is not the designated agency, it must refer the complaint to the Department of Justice. The Department of Justice then must refer the complaint to the appropriate agency.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 5,000 respondents per year at 0.75 hours per complaint form.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 3,750 hours annual burden.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 2E-508, Washington, DC 20530.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2011-28006 Filed 10-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act

Notice is hereby given that on October 24, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America v. Hercules Incorporated and Rockwell Automation, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 6:11-cv-00267-WSS was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas.

In this action the United States brought suit against Hercules Incorporated and Rockwell Automation, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants"), under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675, and the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act, Texas Health & Safety Code Ann. §§ 361.001 to 361.966 (hereafter citations to this statute will be in the form "TSWDA § 361.xxx"), for recovery of response costs incurred, and to obtain a declaratory judgment as to liability for response costs to be incurred, for responding to the releases and threatened releases of solid wastes and hazardous substances at and from the

Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant in McGregor, Texas ("NWIRP McGregor") and the adjacent areas where such solid wastes and hazardous substances have come to be located (collectively, the "NWIRP McGregor Site"). The Consent Decree requires Defendants to pay to the United States \$14,000,000. The Consent Decree also includes a finding that Settling Defendants are entitled to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2), for "matters addressed" in the Consent Decree. With certain exceptions, the Consent Decree defines "matters addressed" in the Consent Decree to be all response actions taken or to be taken and all response costs incurred or to be incurred, at or in connection with the NWIRP McGregor Site, by the United States or any other person. In addition, Defendants agree to forgo any claims against the United States arising under Federal Contracts and related to "matters addressed" in the Consent Decree. Under the Consent Decree, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants pursuant to CERCLA Sections 106 and 107(a), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a), and TSWDA § 361.344, with regard to the NWIRP McGregor Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *U.S. v. Hercules Incorporated*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-08465/1.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that

amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011-28045 Filed 10-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Grupo Bimbo S.A.B. de C.V., et al.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Grupo Bimbo S.A.B. de C.V., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:11-cv-01857. On October 21, 2011, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by Grupo Bimbo S.A.B. de C.V. ("Grupo Bimbo") and BBU, Inc. (collectively "BBU") of the North American Fresh Bakery business of Sara Lee Corporation ("Sara Lee") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires BBU to divest certain brands of sliced bread and related assets to one or more acquirers approved by the United States.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514-2481), on the Department of Justice's Web site at <http://www.usdoj.gov/atr>, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Joshua H. Soven, Chief, Litigation I Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice,