

user fee schedule for Federal agencies requesting name-based background checks of the FBI's Central Records System through the National Name Check Program for noncriminal justice purposes. The total resource costs associated with providing these name check services have been calculated to ensure full reimbursement to the FBI.

DATES: This fee schedule is effective March 4, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FBI, RMD, National Name Check Program Section, 170 Marcel Drive, Winchester, Virginia 22602, Attention: Michael Cannon, (540) 868-4400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Pursuant to the authority in Public Law 101-515, as amended, the FBI has established user fees for Federal agencies requesting noncriminal name-based background checks of the Central Records System (CRS) through the

National Name Check Program (NNCP) of the Records Management Division (RMD). The regulations governing the revision of these user fees are set out at 28 CFR 20.31(e and f). In accordance with 28 CFR 20.31(e), the FBI is required to periodically review the amount of the fees it collects for the NNCP to determine the current cost of processing name checks for noncriminal justice purposes and publish any resulting fee adjustments in the **Federal Register**.

Accordingly, the FBI conducted a fee study to assess the proper fee amounts that should be collected by the FBI.

In accordance with 28 CFR 20.31(e)(2), the fee study employed the same Activity Based Cost (ABC) accounting method detailed in the Final Rule establishing the process for setting fees (75 FR 24796 (May 6, 2010)). The ABC methodology is consistent with widely accepted accounting principles

and complies with the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 9701 and other applicable Federal law. The fee study identified all direct and indirect costs associated with the name-based background checks incurred by the FBI in fiscal year 2009. These costs were analyzed by the ABC model to project the total reimbursable costs, by fee category, for fiscal year 2011.

The fee study recommended several adjustments to the current user fees, which have been in effect since October 1, 2007. Pursuant to the fee study, the fees imposed for electronic submissions will be increased, while the fees for manual and expedited submissions will be decreased. The following table details the fee amounts for Federal agencies requesting name-based background checks of the FBI's CRS through the NNCP for noncriminal justice purposes.

Service	Fee currently in effect	Change in fee amount	Revised fee
Electronic Submission:			
Batch Process Only	\$1.50	\$0.50	\$2.00
Batch + File Review	29.50	9.00	38.50
Manual Submission	56.00	(5.25)	50.75
Expedited Submission	56.00	(5.25)	50.75

This new fee schedule will become effective on March 4, 2011.

Dated: January 25, 2011.

Robert S. Mueller, III,

Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

[FR Doc. 2011-2212 Filed 2-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-74,689]

Amdocs, Inc., Global Support Services, Advertising And Media AT&T Division, New Haven, Connecticut; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated December 22, 2010, legal counsel of a member of the subject worker group requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Amdocs, Inc., Global Support Services, Advertising and Media AT&T Division, New Haven, Connecticut (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on November

9, 2010. The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on November 23, 2010 (75 FR 71461).

The negative determination was based on the findings that the worker separations are not attributable to increased imports or a shift of services by the workers' firm. Specifically, services shifted to a foreign country by Amdocs, Inc. did not contribute importantly to worker separations in Global Support Services, Advertising and Media AT&T Division.

The investigation also revealed that the firm is not a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm with a TAA-certified worker group.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that the workers of the Advertising and Media Division are eligible to apply for TAA because Section 222(a) and/or Section 222(c) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, has been met.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of January, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-2241 Filed 2-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-74,700]

AT&T; Reynoldsburg, OH; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated January 6, 2011, by three petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of AT&T, Reynoldsburg, Ohio

(subject firm). The determination was issued on December 9, 2010. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on January 3, 2011 (76 FR 182). The workers supply customer care call services.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the worker separations are not attributable to increased imports or a shift of services to a foreign country. Rather, the investigation established that the worker separations are attributable to the workers' firm shifting customer care call services to other facilities within the United States. The investigation also revealed the firm is not a supplier or downstream producer to a firm with a TAA-certified worker group.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners alleged that the subject firm has shifted services to a foreign country.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of January, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-2242 Filed 2-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-74,554]

International Business Machines (IBM), Software Group Business Unit, Optim Data Studio Tools QA, San Jose, CA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 29, 2010, a worker and a state workforce official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former

workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 29, 2010, and was published in the **Federal Register** on November 17, 2010 (75 FR 70296).

The negative determination of the TAA petition filed on behalf of workers at International Business Machines (IBM), Software Group Business Unit, Optim Data Studio Tools QA, San Jose, California was based on the finding that that Criterion (1) has not been met because fewer than three workers were separated from Optim Data Studio Tools QA and further separations are not threatened.

In the request for reconsideration the petitioner stated that there were three more additional IBM employees working on the relevant product within the Data Studio Tools QA on a part-time basis and that the development for this product was shifted to a foreign country.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of January 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-2240 Filed 2-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,351]

Sandy Alexander; Clifton, NJ; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated January 6, 2011, by a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Sandy Alexander, Clifton, New Jersey (subject firm). The determination was issued on November 24, 2010. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the

Federal Register on December 8, 2010 (75 FR 76489). The workers are engaged in activities related to the production of printed materials.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the petitioning worker group did not meet the eligibility criteria set forth in the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied new information regarding an alleged shift in production to China.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of January, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-2239 Filed 2-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-70,123]

Electrolux Home Products, Inc., Electrolux Major Appliances Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Per Mar Security, Webster City, IA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 25, 2009, applicable to workers of Electrolux Home Products, Inc., Electrolux Major Appliances Division, Webster City, Iowa. The notice as published in the **Federal Register** on August 19, 2009 (74 FR 41935). The workers produce laundry equipment.

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that workers leased from Per Mar Security were employed