

Estimated Average Burden per Response: The estimated average burden for each inspection is 8 hours. The estimated average burden for each cost collection report is 90 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: The annual burden associated with the inspection is 2,289,600 hours (286,200 inspections). The annual burden associated with the cost report is 4,680 hours (52 reports) for a combined annual burden of 2,294,280 hours.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the U.S. DOT's performance, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the U.S. DOT's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on: May 25, 2011.

Juli Huynh,

Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. 2011-13412 Filed 5-31-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statements: National Summary of Rescinded Notices of Intent

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that 11 States have rescinded Notices of Intent (NOIs) to prepare 21 Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) for proposed highway projects. The FHWA Division Offices, in consultation with the State departments of transportation (State DOTs), determined that six projects were no longer viable and have formally cancelled the projects. No further Federal resources will be expended on these projects; the environmental review process has been terminated. Seven

projects have been reduced in scope or found not to have significant impacts and now meet the criteria for an Environmental Assessment (EA) or a Categorical Exclusion (CE). Six projects are currently undergoing re-scoping. After additional study, two individual projects were combined into a new single corridor project and a new EIS will be prepared.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kreig Larson, Office of Project Development and Environmental Review, (202) 366-2056, or Janet Myers, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366-2019; Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded by accessing the **Federal Register's** home page at: <http://www.archives.gov> and the Government Printing Office's Web page at <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara>.

Background

The FHWA, as lead Federal agency under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and in furtherance of its oversight and stewardship responsibilities under the Federal-aid highway program, periodically requests that its Division Offices review, with the State DOTs, the status of all EISs and place those projects that are not actively progressing in a timely manner in an inactive project status. The FHWA maintains lists of active and inactive EIS projects on its Web site at <http://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/>. The FHWA has determined that inactive projects that are no longer a priority or that lack financial resources should be rescinded with a **Federal Register** notice notifying the public that project activity has been terminated. This notice covers the time period since the last summary was issued on July 6, 2010, and published in the **Federal Register** at 75 FR 44044 (July 27, 2010). As always, FHWA encourages State DOTs to work with their FHWA Division Office to determine when it is most prudent to initiate an EIS in order to best balance available resources as well as the expectations of the public.

The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that at the request of 11 States (California, Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Mississippi, New Mexico, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Washington) the FHWA recently rescinded previously issued NOIs for 21 EISs for proposed highway projects. A listing of these projects, general

location, original NOI date of publication in the **Federal Register**, and the date that the NOI was formally rescinded by notice published in the **Federal Register**, is provided below.

The FHWA Division Offices, in consultation with the State DOTs, determined that six of these projects were no longer viable projects and have formally cancelled those projects. The projects are: The Skowhegan transportation and accessibility project in Somerset County, Maine; the I-10/SR-25 connector in Harrison and Stone Counties, Mississippi; US-49/I-20 interchange in Ranking County, Mississippi; US-82/I-69 connector in Washington and Bolivar Counties, Mississippi; proposed SR-15 near Beaumont, Harrison, George, Greene, Jackson, Perry, and Stone, Mississippi; and the Southeastern Parkway and Greenbelt in Chesapeake and Virginia Beach, Virginia.

The FHWA Division Offices, in consultation with the State DOTs, determined that seven additional projects would be reduced in scope or are expected not to have significant impacts. In California, the proposed 24th Street Improvement Project in Kern County has been reduced in scope and now meets the criteria for an EA. New Mexico's Northwest Loop project in Sandoval and Bernalillo Counties has been reduced in scope and is now eligible for a CE. The Bridge Rehabilitation and Interchange Improvements Project in Queens County, New York, has been reduced in scope and will be eligible for a CE. Route 475 in Knoxville, Loudon, Knox, and Anderson Counties, Tennessee, now expects a significantly smaller traffic volume and will no longer require an EIS. Seattle, Washington's Seattle Ferry Terminal (Colman Dock) project has been reduced in scope and will require an EA or CE. The Forest Road 56 improvement project in King County, Washington, has been reduced in scope and an EA will be published. The extension of SR-374 in Montgomery County, Tennessee, is not expected to have a significant environmental impact and an EA will now be prepared.

Six projects are currently undergoing re-scoping and are expected to require either an EA or CE when re-scoping is complete. These projects include: The SH-44 project in Ada and Canyon Counties, Idaho; the roadway improvement project in Warren County, Iowa; SH-71 in Travis County, Texas; US-181/SH-286 in Nueces County, Texas; US-181/SH-286 in Cameron County, Texas; and the proposed I-69

extension near Laredo and the Lower Rio Grande Valley, Texas.

In addition, after further study and interagency coordination, it was

determined that the SR138 and SR18 projects in Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County, California, should

be combined into one project. A new NOI was issued and an EIS will be prepared.

State	Project name	Original NOI date	Rescinded NOI date
CA	New State Route 138 project in Los Angeles County	10/12/07	9/24/2010
CA	High Desert Corridor project, State Route 18, in San Bernardino County	1/27/2009	9/24/2010
CA	24th Street Improvement Project in Kern County	4/23/2008	11/10/2010
ID	State Highway 44 in Ada and Canyon County	8/28/2007	3/17/2011
IA	Roadway improvement project in Warren County	4/26/2007	8/4/2010
ME	The Skowhegan transportation and accessibility project in Somerset County	11/29/2005	9/3/2010
MS	I-10/SR-25 connector in Harrison and Stone Counties	8/12/2005	11/22/2010
MS	US-49/I-20 interchange in Ranking County	5/22/2009	11/30/2010
MS	US-82/I-69 connector in Washington and Bolivar Counties	11/29/2005	12/1/2010
MS	SR-15 near Beaumont, Harrison, George, Greene, Jackson, Perry, and Stone	5/28/2009	12/28/2010
NM	Northwest Loop project in Sandoval and Bernalillo Counties	1/16/2009	4/15/2011
NY	Bridge Rehabilitation and Interchange Improvements Project, Queens County	3/12/2004	9/7/2010
TN	Route 475 in Knoxville, Loudon, Knox, and Anderson Counties	11/4/2005	9/21/2010
TN	SR-374 extension in Montgomery County	4/21/2010	1/19/2011
TX	SH-71 in Travis County	6/2/2008	9/7/2010
TX	US-181/SH-286 in Cameron County	4/6/2007	10/15/2010
TX	US-181/SH-286 in Nueces County	4/6/2007	11/3/2010
TX	I-69 extension near Laredo and the Lower Rio Grande Valley	1/15/2004	1/25/2011
VA	Southeastern Parkway and Greenbelt in Chesapeake and Virginia Beach	12/24/2003	11/17/2010
WA	Seattle Ferry Terminal (Colman Dock) in Seattle	3/17/2006	2/10/2011
WA	Forest Road 56 in King County	4/27/2001	2/28/2011

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: May 23, 2011.

Victor M. Mendez,

Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2011-13541 Filed 5-31-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327.

SUMMARY: The FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by Caltrans that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, the US 101/Broadway Interchange Reconstruction Project in the City of Burlingame, County of San Mateo, State of California (Post Miles 16.30 to 17.06). Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before November 28, 2011. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melanie Brent, Caltrans District 4 Office of Environmental Analysis, 111 Grand Avenue, P.O. Box 23660, Oakland, CA 94623-0660, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, Telephone (510) 286-5231, e-mail melanie_brent@dot.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective July 1, 2007, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned, and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Notice is hereby given that Caltrans and certain federal agencies have taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the US 101/Broadway Interchange Reconstruction Project in the State of California. The project will construct a new seven-lane Broadway overcrossing approximately 170 feet to the north of the existing four-lane structure in the City of Burlingame, County of San Mateo. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic

movements and access around the interchange, accommodate future traffic increases at adjacent intersections, improve operations at the southbound US 101 ramps, and increase bicyclist and pedestrian access. The project length is 0.76 mile, and construction is anticipated to take 2 to 2.5 years. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Initial Study with Mitigated Negative Declaration/Environmental Assessment (IS/EA) for the project, approved on March 18, 2011, in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) issued on March 18, 2011, and in other documents in the FHWA project records. The IS/EA, FONSI, and other project records are available by contacting Caltrans at the address provided above. The Caltrans IS/EA and FONSI can be viewed and downloaded from the project Web site at http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist4/documents/101_broadway_interch/ea_235840_101bdwy_fed_1_front_matter_thru_chapter_6.pdf.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321-4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109 and 23 U.S.C. 128].

2. Air: Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q)].