

a hospital-based environment, where the risks to the patient are minimized. CDRH and NIH seek feedback on ways to overcome obstacles in the development of an artificial pancreas and what might be considered reasonable clinical expectations for systems considering the available existing technology.

This public workshop is to seek input from a wide range of constituencies including but not be limited to industry, academia, patient/consumer advocacy groups, professional organizations, and other State and Federal bodies under aligned public health missions, to address the issues outlined in this notice. During the public workshop, there will be an open dialogue between Federal Government and experts from the private and public sectors regarding the topics described in this document. Workshop participants will not be expected to develop consensus recommendations, but rather to provide their perspectives on the clinical development of these device systems.

II. Issues for Discussion

The workshop will focus on three topics: (1) Technical considerations when developing a clinical study design; (2) expectations of the various artificial pancreas device systems; and (3) a discussion of the various development plans for the Artificial Pancreas System. The discussion of these general topics should not be limited by current statutes or regulations and will include, but not be limited to, discussion of the preceding questions.

III. Where can I find more information about this public workshop?

Background information on the public workshop, registration information, the agenda, and other relevant information will be posted, as it becomes available, on the Internet at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/NewsEvents/WorkshopsConferences/ucm226251.htm>.

IV. Transcripts

Please be advised that as soon as a transcript is available, it will be accessible at <http://www.regulations.gov>. It may be viewed at the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD. A transcript will also be available in either hardcopy or on CD-ROM, after submission of a Freedom of Information request. Written requests are to be sent to Division of Freedom of Information (HFI-35), Office of Management Programs, Food and

Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rm. 6-30, Rockville, MD 20857.

Dated: October 5, 2010.

Nancy K. Stade,

Deputy Director for Policy, Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

[FR Doc. 2010-25600 Filed 10-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

**National Toxicology Program (NTP)
Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods (NICEATM): Workshop Series on Best Practices for Regulatory Safety Testing: Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Eye Injuries and Chemically Induced Allergic Contact Dermatitis (ACD)**

AGENCY: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Announcement of a Workshop Series.

SUMMARY: NICEATM and the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) announce a planned series of workshops on "Best Practices for Regulatory Safety Testing." The first two workshops in this series, "Best Practices for Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Eye Injuries" and "Best Practices for Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Allergic Contact Dermatitis," are planned for January 19 and 20, 2011, respectively. These one-day workshops will help participants gain a practical understanding of the theory and application of available *in vitro* and *in vivo* alternative test methods that can be used to evaluate the hazard potential of chemicals and products while avoiding or minimizing animal use and animal pain and distress. Participants will learn the strengths and weaknesses of available alternative test methods, become familiar with the types of data they provide, and learn how to use these data in regulatory safety assessments. Workshop topics will be of particular interest to those involved in conducting safety tests for chemically induced eye injuries and/or chemically induced ACD, those responsible for reviewing and approving study protocols prior to testing, and regulators who are expected to review data generated by the tests.

The workshops are free and open to the

public with attendance limited only by the space available. Those interested may register for one or both workshops.

DATES: The workshop on "Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Eye Injuries" will be held on January 19, 2011. The workshop on "Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Allergic Contact Dermatitis" will be held on January 20, 2011. Sessions for both workshops will begin at 8:30 a.m. and end at approximately 5 p.m. Individuals who plan to attend either or both workshops are asked to register with NICEATM by January 6, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The workshops will be held at the William H. Natcher Conference Center, 45 Center Drive, NIH Campus, Bethesda, MD 20892. Persons needing special assistance in order to attend, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodation, should contact 919-541-2475 voice, 919-541-4644 TTY (text telephone), through the Federal TTY Relay System at 800-877-8339, or e-mail to niehsoeeo@niehs.nih.gov. Requests should be made at least 14 days before the event.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Correspondence should be sent by mail, fax, or e-mail to Dr. William S. Stokes, NICEATM Director, NIEHS, P.O. Box 12233, MD K2-16, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, (phone) 919-541-2384, (fax) 919-541-0947, (e-mail) niceatm@niehs.nih.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

To protect workers and consumers, regulatory agencies require testing to determine if chemicals and products may cause illnesses or injuries. Each year, approximately 2 million eye injuries occur in the U.S., of which more than 40,000 result in permanent visual impairment. Data on consumer product-related eye injuries indicate that the most common products causing eye injuries in children under the age of 10 are household cleaning chemicals and other chemical products. ACD is also a significant concern because skin diseases, including ACD, constitute the second most common category of occupational disease. ACD frequently develops in workers and consumers exposed to skin sensitizing products and chemicals, results in lost workdays, and can significantly diminish quality of life.

To address these concerns, regulatory authorities require safety testing that can identify substances that may present these hazards. Tests for ocular and ACD hazards are two of the four most frequently conducted product safety

tests. Test results are used to determine appropriate labeling to warn consumers and workers of potential hazards and to communicate precautions that should be taken to avoid eye injury or development of ACD.

The U.S. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Animal Welfare Act regulations¹ require that alternatives to procedures that can cause more than slight or momentary pain or distress to test animals must be considered and used where appropriate. Substantial progress has been made in recent years in the development, validation, and regulatory acceptance of alternative test methods that reduce, refine (decrease or eliminate pain and distress), or replace the use of animals for ocular safety assessments and ACD hazard testing. Investigators and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) members need to be aware of currently available alternative methods so that they can be considered before animal study protocols are approved.

For ocular safety testing, ICCVAM has recommended the bovine corneal opacity and permeability, isolated chicken eye, and Cytosensor microphysiometer test methods for use in specific circumstances to identify ocular corrosives and severe irritants without the use of live animals. ICCVAM also recently recommended that pain management procedures should always be used whenever it is necessary to use rabbits for eye safety testing required by Federal regulatory agencies. The ICCVAM recommendations include a test method protocol that describes how to use topical anesthetics (similar to those used in human eye surgeries) and systemic analgesics prior to and after test substance administration in order to avoid or minimize animal pain and distress. The report also identifies specific clinical signs and lesions that, if observed during animal testing, can be used as humane endpoints to allow the investigator to end a study early in order to reduce or avoid potential animal pain and distress. Use of the ICCVAM-recommended ocular safety testing methods (available at <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/ocutox/ocutox.htm>) may reduce the number of animals required to identify substances with the potential to cause chemically induced eye injuries, and eliminate pain and distress when it is necessary to use animals for such testing.

To identify substances with the potential to cause ACD, U.S. Federal

agencies have accepted ICCVAM recommendations on an updated murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) protocol that uses 20% fewer animals. Federal agencies also accepted ICCVAM recommendations on the use of a modified procedure called the reduced LLNA that uses 40% fewer animals than the updated 3-dose LLNA protocol. ICCVAM also recently recommended two modified versions of the LLNA that do not require radioactive reagents, allowing more institutions to take advantage of the reduction and refinement benefits afforded by the LLNA compared to traditional guinea pig methods. These nonradioactive methods will also eliminate the expense and environmental hazard associated with use and disposal of radioactive materials used in the traditional LLNA. ICCVAM-recommended ACD testing methods are available at <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/immunotox.htm>.

While toxicologists recognize the usefulness and strengths of these new approaches, many are unfamiliar with the specific techniques. Before a new test method is implemented, the safety community must understand the method, as well as the manner in which agencies expect the method to be conducted and data interpreted. Users and regulatory agency staff need to become familiar with the technical procedures required to conduct a new method, and to understand the method's usefulness and limitations. Consequently, there is a need for in-depth training of individuals in the safety and regulatory community on the appropriate use of new tools for hazard, safety, and risk assessment.

These workshops provide opportunities for such training. They will bring together scientific experts from relevant stakeholder organizations to discuss available alternative test methods for assessing chemicals and products for their ocular and ACD hazard potential. The goal is to raise awareness of available alternatives that users should consider before using traditional animal methods to assess eye injury and ACD hazards. The workshops will also provide information about the usefulness and limitations of these test methods. Users can then determine whether the methods are appropriate for specific testing applications.

Who Should Attend

Scientists from industry, government, and academia who have an interest in learning more about alternative test methods that are available for assessing potential eye injury or ACD hazards are encouraged to participate. Topics

discussed during these workshops will be of particular interest to those involved in conducting tests for ocular safety and ACD hazards (such as toxicologists and study directors), those responsible for reviewing study protocols prior to testing (such as chairpersons and members of IACUCs), and regulators who will review data generated by such tests. Those interested may choose to attend one or both workshops.

Workshop Program

The workshop on "Best Practices for Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Eye Injuries" will be held on January 19, 2011. The workshop on "Best Practices for Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Allergic Contact Dermatitis" will convene on January 20, 2011. Sessions are scheduled to run from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. each day. The programs will begin with presentations on U.S. requirements for the consideration of available alternatives, current regulatory requirements for safety testing, and the acceptance status of alternative methods. The scientific development of the test methods will be described, and the validation status of the test methods will be discussed. Detailed presentations will then provide practical instruction on application of the test methods, including standard protocols and data interpretation. Workshop participants will also have an opportunity to apply knowledge gained from the program using case studies in breakout group discussion sessions.

Preliminary Workshop Agenda: Best Practices for Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Eye Injuries (January 19, 2011)

- Welcome, Introduction, and Public Health Impact of Chemically Induced Eye Injuries.
- Review of Alternative Test Methods and Integrated Strategies for Ocular Safety Assessments.
- Consideration and Use of Available Reduction, Refinement, and Replacement Alternative Test Methods: Study Director and IACUC Responsibilities.
- Current Guidelines for Ocular Safety Testing.
- Regulatory Agency Requirements and Acceptable Alternative Test Methods for Ocular Safety Assessments.
- ICCVAM Evaluation and Recommendations on Best Practices for Incorporating Pain Management and Humane Endpoints in Ocular Safety Testing.
- The Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability Test Method.

¹7 U.S.C. 2131–2159.

- The Isolated Chicken Eye Test Method.
- The Cytosensor Microphysiometer Test Method.
- Case Studies in Breakout Groups.
- New Models and Strategies in the Validation Pipeline for Ocular Safety Testing.
- Roundtable Discussion and Summary Question-and-Answer Session.
- Closing Comments.

Preliminary Workshop Agenda: Best Practices for Assessing the Potential for Chemically Induced Allergic Contact Dermatitis (January 20, 2011)

- Welcome, Introduction, and Public Health Impact of Chemically Induced ACD.
- Review of Alternative Test Methods and Integrated Strategies for ACD Hazard Assessments.
- Consideration and Use of Available Reduction, Refinement, and Replacement Alternative Test Methods: Study Director and IACUC Responsibilities.
- Current Guidelines for ACD Hazard Testing.
 - Regulatory Agency Requirements and Acceptable Alternative Test Methods for ACD Hazard Assessments.
 - The Reduced LLNA.
 - The LLNA: Bromodeoxyuridine Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (BrdU-ELISA).
 - The LLNA: Daicel Adenosine Triphosphate (DA).
 - Application of Peptide Reactivity for Screening ACD Hazard Potential.
 - Case Studies in Breakout Groups.
 - New Models and Strategies in the Validation Pipeline for ACD Hazard Testing.
 - Roundtable Discussion and Summary Question-and-Answer Session.
 - Closing Comments.

Registration

Registration information, a tentative agenda for each workshop, and additional information for both workshops are available on the NICEATM-ICCVAM Web site (<http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/meetings/Implement-2011/ImplmtnWksp.htm>) and upon request from NICEATM (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

Background Information on ICCVAM and NICEATM

ICCVAM is an interagency committee composed of representatives from 15 U.S. Federal regulatory and research agencies that require, use, or generate toxicological and safety testing information for chemicals, products,

and other substances. ICCVAM conducts technical evaluations of new, revised, and alternative methods with regulatory applicability, and promotes the scientific validation, regulatory acceptance, and national and international harmonization of toxicological and safety testing methods that more accurately assess the safety and health hazards of chemicals and products while reducing, refining (decreasing or eliminating pain and distress), or replacing animal use. The ICCVAM Authorization Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 285l-2, 285l-5 [2000], available at http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/about_docs/PL106545.pdf) established ICCVAM as a permanent interagency committee of the NIEHS under NICEATM.

NICEATM administers ICCVAM, provides scientific and operational support for ICCVAM-related activities, and coordinates international validation studies of new and improved test methods. NICEATM and ICCVAM work collaboratively to evaluate new and improved test methods applicable to the needs of U.S. Federal agencies. NICEATM and ICCVAM welcome the public nomination of new, revised, and alternative test methods for validation studies as well as technical evaluations. Additional information about NICEATM and ICCVAM can be found on the NICEATM-ICCVAM Web site (<http://www.iccvam.niehs.nih.gov>).

Dated: October 1, 2010.

John R. Bucher,

Associate Director, National Toxicology Program.

[FR Doc. 2010-25676 Filed 10-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Notice of Issuance of Final Determination Concerning an ADFLO™ Respiration System

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice of final determination.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of an Adflo™ Respiration System used in a welding environment. Based upon the facts presented, CBP has concluded in the final determination that Sweden is the country of origin of the Adflo™ Respiration System for

purposes of U.S. government procurement.

DATES: The final determination was issued on October 6, 2010. A copy of the final determination is attached. Any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of this final determination on or before November 12, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Robert Dinerstein, Valuation and Special Programs Branch: (202) 325-0132.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that on October 6, 2010, pursuant to subpart B of part 177, Customs Regulations (19 CFR part 177, subpart B), CBP issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of the Adflo™ Respiration System which may be offered to the U.S. Government under an undesignated government procurement contract. This final determination, in HQ H112725, was issued at the request of 3M Company, Inc. under procedures set forth at 19 CFR part 177, subpart B, which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511–18). In the final determination, CBP has concluded that, based upon the facts presented and precedent from the Court of International Trade in *Uniden America Corporation v. United States*, 120. Supp. 2d. 1091, (Ct. Int'l Trade 2000), that a battery charger included with the Adflo™ System, lost its separate identity and became part of the system rendering Sweden the country of origin of the Adflo™ Respiration System for purposes of U.S. government procurement. With respect to a cloth bag enclosed with the Adflo™ respiration system, because it is a textile product, we indicated that its country of origin is to be determined in accordance with rules for the country of origin of textile products set forth in 19 U.S.C. 3592 and CBP Regulations at 19 CFR 102.21. Since we did not have enough information, we could not rule on the country of origin of the bag.

Section 177.29, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 177.29), provides that notice of final determinations shall be published in the **Federal Register** within 60 days of the date the final determination is issued. Section 177.30, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.30), provides that any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of a final determination within 30 days of publication of such determination in the **Federal Register**.