

Issued in Washington, DC, this 29th day of January 2009, under authority assigned to me by 14 CFR 385.17 (c).

Neil R. Eisner,

Assistant General Counsel, Office of Regulation and Enforcement, U.S. Department of Transportation.

[FR Doc. E9-2548 Filed 2-5-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-9X-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

36 CFR Part 242

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 100

[FWS-R7-SM-2009-0001; 701 01-1 261-0000L6]

RIN 1018-AW30

Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska—2010-11 and 2011-12 Subsistence Taking or Wildlife Regulations

AGENCIES: Forest Service, Agriculture; Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the January 20, 2009, memorandum "Regulatory Review," signed by Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel, we, the U.S. Forest Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, withdraw our proposed rule published January 29, 2009, to establish regulations for hunting and trapping seasons, harvest limits, methods, and means related to taking of wildlife for subsistence uses in Alaska during the 2010-11 and 2011-12 regulatory years.

DATES: Effective February 4, 2009, the Forest Service and the Fish and Wildlife Service withdraw the joint proposed rule published January 29, 2009 (74 FR 5127).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For Fish and Wildlife Service questions, contact Peter 3. Probasco, Chair, Federal Subsistence Board, c/o U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Subsistence Management, at (907) 786-3888 (telephone) or subsistence@fws.gov (e-mail). For National Forest System lands questions, contact Steve Kessler, Regional Subsistence Program Leader, USDA, Forest Service, Alaska Region, at (907) 743-9461 (telephone).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under Title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) (16 U.S.C. 3111-3126), the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture (Secretaries) jointly implement the Federal Subsistence Management Program (program). This program grants a preference for subsistence uses of fish and wildlife resources on Federal public lands and waters in Alaska. The Secretaries originally published regulations to carry out the program in the **Federal Register** on May 29, 1992 (57 FR 22940), and the program has subsequently amended these regulations several times. Because this program is a joint effort between Interior and Agriculture, its regulations are located in two titles of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR): Title 36, "Parks, Forests, and Public Property," and Title 50, "Wildlife and Fisheries," at 36 CFR 242.1-28 and 50 CFR 100.1-28, respectively.

Among other things, subpart D of these regulations set forth specific harvest seasons and limits. Subpart D regulations are subject to periodic review and revision. The Federal Subsistence Board completes the biennial process of revising subsistence hunting and trapping regulations for wildlife in even-numbered years and subsistence fishing and shellfish regulations in odd-numbered years; public proposal and review processes take place during the preceding year. The Board also addresses customary and traditional use determinations during the applicable biennial cycle.

On January 29, 2009 (74 FR 5127), we published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** revising the subpart D regulations that set forth specific harvest seasons and limits for wildlife and the subpart C customary and traditional use determinations. The text of the 2008-10 subparts C and D final rule that published June 24, 2008 (73 FR 35726), serve as the text for our 2010-12 subparts C and D proposed rule (January 29, 2009, 74 FR 5127).

We are withdrawing this rule because publication did not follow the requirements set forth in a January 20, 2009, memorandum signed by the President's Chief of Staff. That memorandum requires Administration appointees to review rules prior to publication. This rule did not receive complete Administration review.

For more about the background and structure of the Federal Subsistence Program, Federal Subsistence Board, and Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils; our Public Review

Process involving Comments, Proposals, and Public Meetings; Compliance with Statutory and Regulatory Authorities; and discussion of our original proposed rule, see 74 FR 5127.

Dated: January 29, 2009.

Rowan Gould,

Acting Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Dated: February 3, 2009.

Abigail Kimbell,

Chief of the U.S. Forest Service.

[FR Doc. E9-2608 Filed 2-4-09; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODES 3410-11-P; 4310-55-P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

New Standards for Domestic Mailing Services, Revised Proposal

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Proposed rule; supplemental.

SUMMARY: On January 29, 2009, the Postal Service published a proposed rule to provide mailing standards that would accompany new prices for mailing services in 2009 and 2010. Upon further review, the Postal Service has determined that it is appropriate to make certain changes in its initial proposal. In particular, language has been inserted to clarify that proposed new standards relating to static charge and coefficient of friction standards for automation and machinable letters would be recommended, not mandatory, and a proposal to revise the standards for window envelopes on letter-size envelopes has been removed. For purposes of clarity and convenience, the entire revised version of the proposed rule is being published for comment.

DATES: We must receive your comments on or before March 9, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Mail or deliver written comments to the Manager, Mailing Standards, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Room 3436, Washington, DC 20260-3436. You may inspect and photocopy all written comments at USPS Headquarters Library, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., 11th Floor N, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. E-mail comments, containing the name and address of the commenter, may be sent to:

MailingStandards@usps.gov, with a subject line of "Price-related Proposal Comments." Faxed comments are not accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Chatfield, 202-268-7278.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 29, 2009, the Postal Service published a proposed rule to provide mailing standards that would accompany new prices for mailing services in 2009 and 2010. **Federal Register** 74 FR 5130. This supplemental filing makes appropriate changes in the original proposed rule, as discussed below.

The Postal Service's proposed rule includes: Several mail classification changes, modifications to mailpiece characteristics, and changes in classification terminology. This proposed rule contains the revisions to *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®) that we would adopt to implement the new prices. Additional changes will be included in a separate final rule to support prices established by the Governors.

We think it is vital to share proposed modifications to mailing standards as far in advance as possible; therefore, included are additional proposed revisions scheduled for implementation in May 2010. We summarize the revisions by shape for 2009 and 2010, and provide proposed changes to the mailing standards in the DMM. We invite your comments on the proposed standards.

Proposed Changes for Letters and Flats for May 2009

Letters

In May 2009, we propose alignment of standards for commercial machinable and automation letters so all machinable letters have the physical characteristics required of automation letters, with the exception of a qualifying barcode. Commercial letters that are not machinable are mailed as nonmachinable letters.

We propose a new minimum 0.009 inch thickness standard for automation and machinable letters.

If letter surfaces are too glossy, pieces may double-feed into processing machines, and it can be difficult to handle groups of letters when inducted or removed from machines. We propose new recommended static charge and coefficient of friction standards for automation and machinable letters to avoid excessive static charge and allow all letters to be handled efficiently when inducted and removed from processing equipment. We will continue to explore the development of testing methods and mailpiece design factors that impact static charge and coefficient of friction.

Our proposed rule revises the list of nonmachinable characteristics. We clarify that letters with nonpaper

surfaces, and letters with keys, coins or similar objects that are either loose or thick enough to make a letter nonuniform in thickness, render letters nonmachinable. Letters that do not meet the "automation-compatible" physical standards in DMM 201.3.0 would be considered nonmachinable letters.

We propose to allow optional sortation of First-Class Mail® and Standard Mail® automation letters and Standard Mail machinable letters to all applicable sort levels, with prices matching the level of sortation chosen.

Note: Language in the original version of this proposed rule which would have revised the standards for window envelopes on letter-size envelopes has been removed. Accordingly, this revised proposal no longer contains proposed changes to sections 202, 302, and 600 of *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), which appeared in the previously published proposal.

Flats

Effective in May 2009, we plan to extend the eligibility for automation prices to certain flat-size mailpieces that are not able to meet the flexibility standards in DMM 301.1.3, but that are able to demonstrate flats machine compatibility through a Pricing and Classification Service Center (PCSC)—administered testing process. Some flat-size mailpieces containing rigid items process adequately on USPS® flats-sorting equipment when the surface of the mailpiece does not fit too tightly around the contents. Once inducted, those pieces with rigid contents, but with a surface that can be grasped at induction, may be processed efficiently. Because machine compatibility for these mailpieces may be defined by a number of characteristics, each type of mailpiece must be individually analyzed to ensure that it will process efficiently. We propose to allow mailers of flat-size pieces containing rigid items to mail at automation flats prices after they obtain PCSC approval. Those pieces that do not meet the published flexibility standards for flats, but were authorized to mail at flats prices by PCSC approval, would be required to be marked "Automation Flat."

We propose that the polywrap standards in DMM 301.3.3, currently applicable only to automation flats, be extended to all flat-size mailpieces using polywrap including saturation carrier route flats. The use of automation-compatible polywrap on all flat-size mailpieces improves mail processing efficiency and applies standardization and consistency for mailers of polywrapped flats. We also propose to redefine measurement of

height and length dimensions by including polywrap selvage when measuring for maximum dimensions because selvage that extends beyond the maximum height or length may interfere with efficient processing. We would not include selvage when measuring for minimum dimensions, however, because the selvage is not substantial enough for it to be considered part of a uniformly thick flat. Polywrap products approved for flats are available from a number of independent vendors and the approval process for these products is described in DMM 301 and on the USPS Rapid Information Bulletin Board (RIBBS™) Web site at <http://ribbs.usps.gov>.

Effective in May 2009, we propose to extend the deflection standards, currently applicable to automation flats, to all flat-size mailpieces, except those mailed at saturation carrier route prices. The deflection standards change to allow one inch less of vertical deflection (droop) than is currently allowed. We propose to eliminate the current exception for oblong flats (those with a bound edge on the shorter side) so all flats would be tested with the length placed perpendicular to the edge of a flat surface. The broader application and revision of deflection standards will improve processing efficiencies within USPS systems, assuring better machinability of flat-size mailpieces.

We propose to simplify mail preparation by eliminating the bundling requirements for First-Class Mail commercial flats. The new tray-based standards streamline mail preparation and processing and improve efficiency for this type of mail. Similar to the current tray-based preparation option for First-Class Mail flats, prices will be based on the sort level of the tray. Mailers may improve efficiency by eliminating bundling, and the minimum number of pieces per tray will be changed to 50 pieces within a tray, rather than the 90 pieces required today.

Parcels

We remove definitions of irregular parcels from the mail preparation standards in DMM 465, 475, and 485, and provide references to the current definition of irregular parcels in DMM 401.

Overview of Proposed Changes for 2010

These initial changes proposed for May 2010 include modifications that enhance processing and delivery efficiency while continuing to offer mailers choices.

Flats

We propose to merge standards for nonautomation and automation flats in May 2010; requiring all machinable flats, whether or not they are barcoded, to have the same physical characteristics. The terminology would likely change to machinable, barcoded machinable, and irregular flats.

We propose new flexibility standards for May 2010. Current standards in DMM 301.1.3 describe minimum flexibility as demonstrated by "tabletop" flexibility tests. Effective May 2009, we are proposing to extend automation prices to certain flat-size mailpieces not able to meet the flexibility standards in 301.1.3, but able to demonstrate flat machine compatibility through a PCSC-administered testing process. Delivery of rigid pieces is often more costly than delivery of foldable flats. For May 2010, we propose the flexibility standards noted above, be replaced with a single flexibility standard requiring all machinable flat-size mailpieces to be foldable, parallel to the length, to a height no greater than 5 inches. Flat-size pieces failing to meet this level of flexibility may be categorized as irregular flats.

We propose to modify standards in May 2010 for all flats, except those mailed as saturation carrier route, to prevent inserts from falling out of the host flat-size mailpiece during normal sortation and delivery. We propose that loose inserts less than 75% of the size of a host mailpiece be limited to singly unfolded cards, when the mailpiece is not enclosed in polywrap, an envelope, or other wrapper. Allowable loose inserts should be injected well into the body of the mailpiece.

Irregular Flats

For May 2010, we propose a new "irregular flats" category. This category encompasses two types of flat-size mailpieces. One example is a flat-size piece that is machinable, but with parcel-like characteristics that affect deliverability, such as pieces with rigid contents because the pieces cannot be folded. Another type of irregular flat would be one that is foldable with favorable delivery characteristics, but is not machinable, such as flimsy pieces that are difficult to process on automation equipment.

Not Flat-Machinable (NFM)s

In 2007, we created a NFM category for Standard Mail items that could not meet revised automation flats standards. In May 2010, we propose to discontinue or redefine the NFM category. Pieces

that would have been mailed as NFM's can likely qualify as Standard Mail parcels. Some NFM's, with modifications, might be mailable as machinable or irregular flats in 2010.

General

We encourage customers to comment on the May 2010 proposed changes and hope that this notice provides the opportunity for mailers to prepare for possible operation changes ahead of the proposed May 2010 effective date.

Although we are exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act [5 U.S.C. of 553(b), (c)] regarding proposed rulemaking by 39 U.S.C. 410(a), we invite public comments on the following proposed revisions to *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), incorporated by reference in the *Code of Federal Regulations*. See 39 CFR Part 111.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

Accordingly, 39 CFR part 111 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 111—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 414, 416, 3001–3011, 3201–3219, 3403–3406, 3621, 3622, 3626, 3632, 3633, and 5001.

2. Revise the following sections of *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), as follows:

100 Retail Mail Letters, Cards, Flats, and Parcels

101 Physical Standards

101.1 Physical Standards for Letters

* * * * *

1.2 Nonmachinable Criteria

A letter-size piece is nonmachinable (see 6.4) if it has one or more of the following characteristics (see 601.1.4 to determine the length, height, top, and bottom of a mailpiece):

* * * * *

[Revise item b to add that any nonpaper exterior surface is nonmachinable as follows:]

b. Is polybagged, polywrapped, enclosed in any plastic material, or has an exterior surface made of a material that is not paper. Paper envelopes with windows prepared under 202.5.8 and

601.6.3 do not make mailpieces nonmachinable.

* * * * *

[Revise item d to clarify that letters are nonmachinable when certain items are loose or when they cause the thickness to be uneven, as follows:]

d. Contains items such as pens, pencils, keys, or coins that cause the thickness of the mailpiece to be uneven; or loose keys or coins or similar objects not affixed to the contents within the mailpiece. Loose items may cause a letter to be nonmailable when mailed in paper envelopes; see 601.2.3, Odd-Shaped Items in Paper Envelopes.

* * * * *

[Revise item h by referring to sealing standards in 201.3.14.1 for all self-mailers as follows:]

h. Is a self-mailer that is not prepared according to 201.3.14.1.

[Revise item i by referring to sealing standards in 201.3.14.2 for all booklets as follows:]

i. Is a booklet that is not prepared according to 201.3.14.2.

* * * * *

200 Commercial Mail Letters and Cards

201 Physical Standards

1.0 Physical Standards for Machinable Letters and Cards

1.1 Physical Standards for Machinable Letters

1.1.1 Dimensional Standards for Letters

Letter-size mail is:

[Revise item a to increase minimum thickness to 0.009 inch as follows:]

a. Not less than 5 inches long, 3 1/2 inches high, and 0.009-inch thick.

* * * * *

1.1.3 All Machinable Letters

[Revise the first sentence of 1.1.3 as follows:]

All pieces of First-Class Mail and Standard Mail machinable letters must meet the standards for automation-compatible letters in 201.3.0. * * *

* * * * *

2.0 Physical Standards for Nonmachinable Letters

2.1 Criteria for Nonmachinable Letters

[Revise 2.1 by noting that letters not made of paper or that do not meet automation-compatibility standards are nonmachinable; that all letters over 3.3 ounces must have a barcode and claim an automation letter price to avoid a surcharge; and by removing the individual listed items as follows:]

A letter-size piece is nonmachinable if it has an exterior surface that is not made of paper or if it does not meet the standards in 201.3.0. In addition, a letter-size piece is nonmachinable if it weighs more than 3.3 ounces (up to 3.5 ounces) unless it has a barcode and is eligible for and claims automation letter prices or Standard Mail Enhanced Carrier Route letter prices.

* * * * *

3.0 Physical Standards for Automation Letters and Cards

* * * * *

3.2 Dimensions and Shape Standards for Automation Letters

Each letter-size piece must be rectangular (see 1.1.1) and:

* * * * *

[Revise item c to increase minimum thickness to 0.009 inch as follows:]

c. For thickness, no more than 0.25 inch, or less than 0.009 inch thick, except for cards mailed at First-Class Mail postcard prices. Cards eligible for and mailed at postcard prices may be no more than 0.016 inch thick or less than 0.007 inch thick.

[Renumber current 3.3 through 3.15 as new 3.4 through 3.16.]

[Add new 3.3 as follows:]

3.3 Static and Coefficient of Friction

The exterior surface of letter-sized machinable and automation mailpieces must be made of paper material, with the following recommended characteristics:

a. Static charge of less than 2 KV when tested using test method ASTM D4470.

b. Kinetic coefficient of friction between 0.26 and 0.34 when tested as paper to same paper using test method ASTM D4917.

* * * * *

230 First-Class Mail

* * * * *

235 Mail Preparation

* * * * *

[Revise heading of 6.0 as follows:]

6.0 Preparing Automation Letters

* * * * *

6.6 Tray Preparation

* * * Preparation sequence, tray size, and Line 1 labeling:

* * * * *

[Revise items b through d to allow optional preparation and modify grouping requirement as follows:]

b. 3-digit/scheme: Optional, but required for 3-digit price (150-piece minimum except no minimum for origin

or entry 3-digit/scheme); overflow allowed; for Line 1, use L002, Column B.

c. AADC: Optional, but required for AADC price (150-piece minimum); overflow allowed; group pieces by 3-digit (or 3-digit scheme) ZIP Code when overflow pieces from 3-digit trays are placed in AADC trays. For Line 1, use L801, Column B.

d. Mixed AADC: Required (no minimum); group pieces by AADC when overflow pieces from AADC trays are placed in mixed AADC trays. For Line 1 use L201; for mail originating in ZIP Code areas in Column A, use "MXD" followed by city, state, and 3-digit ZIP Code prefix in Column C (use "MXD" instead of "OMX" in the destination line and ignore Column B).

* * * * *

240 Standard Mail

* * * * *

245 Mail Preparation

* * * * *

5.0 Preparing Nonautomation Letters

* * * * *

5.3 Machinable Preparation

* * * * *

5.3.2 Traying and Labeling

* * * Preparation sequence, tray size, and labeling:

* * * * *

[Revise first sentence of 5.3.2 b to allow optional preparation as follows:]

b. AADC (optional, but required for AADC price); 150-piece minimum (overflow allowed); labeling: * * *

* * * * *

[Revise heading of 7.0 as follows:]

7.0 Preparing Automation Letters

* * * * *

7.5 Tray Preparation

* * * Preparation sequence, tray size, and Line 1 labeling:

* * * * *

[Revise items b through d to allow optional preparation and modify grouping requirement as follows:]

b. 3-digit/scheme; optional, but required for 3-digit price (150-piece minimum, except no minimum for optional origin/entry 3-digit/scheme(s)); overflow allowed; for Line 1, use L002, Column B.

c. AADC: Optional, but required for AADC price (150-piece minimum); overflow allowed; group pieces by 3-digit (or 3-digit scheme) ZIP Code prefix when overflow pieces from 3-digit/scheme trays are placed in AADC trays. For Line 1, use L801, Column B.

d. Mixed AADC: Required (no minimum); group pieces by AADC when overflow pieces from AADC trays are placed in mixed AADC trays. For Line 1 labeling: use L011, Column B. Use L010, Column B if entered at an ASF or BMC or for mail placed on an ASF, BMC, or SCF pallet under the option in 705.8.10.3.

* * * * *

300 Commercial Mail Flats

301 Physical Standards

1.0 Physical Standards for Flats

* * * * *

1.2 Length and Height of Flats

[Revise the text of 1.2 by adding new third and fourth sentences about selvage as follows:]

* * * When determining the maximum height or length of a flat, include any selvage of polywrap material that may enclose the piece. When determining the minimum height or length of a flat, do not include the selvage of any polywrap material that may enclose the piece.

* * * * *

[Renumber current 1.5 as new 1.7.]

[Move 301.3.2.3 in its entirety, renumber as 1.5, revise heading and text to extend maximum deflection standards to all flat-size mailpieces, and delete item c as follows:]

1.5 Maximum Deflection for Flat-Size Mailpieces

Flat-size mailpieces must be flexible (see 1.3) and must meet maximum deflection standards. Flat-size pieces mailed at saturation carrier route prices are not required to meet these deflection standards. Test deflection as follows:

a. For pieces 10 inches or longer (see Exhibit 1.5a):

1. Place the piece on a flat surface with the length perpendicular to the edge of the surface and extend the piece 5 inches off the edge of the surface. Test square-shaped bound flats by placing the bound edge parallel to the edge. Turn the piece around and repeat the process.

2. The piece is mailable at flat prices if it does not droop more than 3 inches vertically at either end.

Exhibit 1.5a Deflection Test—Pieces 10 Inches or Longer

[Placeholder for new exhibit reflecting new standards.]

b. For pieces less than 10 inches long (see Exhibit 1.5b):

1. Place the piece on a flat surface with the length perpendicular to the edge of the surface and extend the piece one-half of its length off the edge of the

surface. Test square-shaped bound flats by placing the bound edge parallel to the edge. Turn the piece around and repeat the process.

2. The piece is mailable at flat prices if it does not droop more than 2 inches less than the extended length. For example, a piece 8 inches long would extend 4 inches off a flat surface. It must not droop more than 2 inches vertically at either end.

Exhibit 1.5b Deflection Test—Pieces Less Than 10 Inches Long

[Placeholder for new exhibit reflecting new standards.]

* * * * *

[Renumber 301.3.3 in its entirety as new 1.6 and revise text to extend polywrap standards to all flats as follows:]

1.6 Polywrap Coverings

1.6.1 Polywrap Films and Similar Coverings

[Revise renumbered 1.6.1 as follows:] Mailers using polywrap film or similar material to enclose or cover flat-size mailpieces must use a product meeting the standards in 1.6. Film approved for use under 1.6.5 must meet the specifications in Exhibit 1.6.1 as follows:

- a. Films or similar coverings must meet all six properties in Exhibit 1.6.1.
- b. If the address label is affixed to the outside of the polywrap, the haze property (property 2) does not apply.
- c. Only products listed as approved on the USPS RIBBS Web site (<http://ribbs.usps.gov>) may be used on flat-size mailpieces.

Exhibit 1.6.1 Polywrap Specifications

[Revise the introductory sentence of renumbered exhibit 1.6.1 as follows:]

Mailers who polywrap flats must use polywrap that meets all of the properties in this exhibit.

* * * * *

[Delete renumbered 1.6.4, Polywrap on Mailpieces, in its entirety and redesignate renumbered 1.6.5 to new 1.6.4.]

1.6.4 Polywrap Certification Process for Manufacturers

[Revise the first sentence of the introductory paragraph in 1.6.4 as follows:]

To ensure that all polywrap manufacturers use the same criteria, the Postal Service developed specification USPS–T–3204, Test Procedures for Polywrap Films. * * * Manufacturers should follow this procedure before submitting the letter certifying compliance with the specifications:

[Revise item a as follows:]

- a. Test each film according to procedures listed in USPS–T–3204, Test Procedures for Polywrap Films.

* * * * *

1.7 Flat-Size Pieces Not Eligible for Flat-Size Prices

[Revise text of renumbered 1.7 as follows:]

Mailpieces that do not meet the standards in 1.1 through 1.6 are not eligible for flat-size prices and must pay applicable prices as follows:

- a. First-Class Mail—parcel prices.
- b. Standard Mail—Not Flat-Machinable or parcel prices.
- c. Bound Printed Matter—parcel prices.

* * * * *

3.0 Physical Standards for Automation Flats

* * * * *

[Further renumber 3.3 through 3.7 as the new 3.5 through 3.9, and add new 3.3 and 3.4 as follows:]

3.3 Flats—Machine Compatibility

Flat-size mailpieces meeting the standards in 1.0 and 3.0, but unable to meet the minimum flexibility standards described in 1.3, are not eligible for automation prices unless the mailpieces demonstrate flats-machine compatibility. Until May 2010, rigid flat-size mailpieces in paper, polywrap or similar packaging that allows for the pieces to be grasped and inducted into USPS flat-sorting equipment may qualify for automation prices when meeting the following standards:

- a. Mailpieces must be enclosed in envelopes or similar packaging capable of withstanding normal processing on USPS flat-sorting equipment.
- b. Mailpieces must be approved for automation flats prices by the USPS. Mailers seeking approval for mailpieces under this standard must contact the Pricing and Classification Service Center (PCSC) for instructions on submitting sample mailpieces for testing (see 608.8.0 for address). Mailpieces having a previous approval from the PCSC for automation flats prices, granted after May 2007, are not required to be resubmitted for a new approval. These and all other approvals granted under 3.3 expire in May 2010.
- c. Mailpieces approved for automation flats pricing under this standard must print the endorsement “Automation Flat” directly under the postage imprint.

3.4 Additional Flexibility Standards for Automation Flats

It is recommended that all automation flats be foldable to a height no greater than 5 inches. Effective May 2010, flat-size automation mailpieces must be foldable, parallel to the length, to a height no greater than 5 inches (in addition to meeting the flexibility standards in 1.3). With a postal employee observing, customers may demonstrate the flexibility, according to these standards, of their own mailpieces. The employee does not then need to perform the test.

* * * * *

330 First-Class Mail Flats

333 Prices and Eligibility

* * * * *

[Revise the heading of 5.0 as follows:]

5.0 Additional Eligibility Standards for Automation First-Class Mail Flats

5.1 Basic Standards for Automation First-Class Mail

All pieces in a First-Class Mail automation mailing must:

* * * * *

[Revise item e to require an 11-digit barcode as follows:]

- e. Bear an accurate barcode meeting the standards in 708.4.0, a delivery point barcode (DPBC), or an Intelligent Mail barcode with a delivery point routing code, either on the piece or on an insert showing through a barcode window.

* * * * *

[Delete 5.2 and renumber current 5.3 through 5.5 as new 5.2 through 5.4.]

[Revise the heading and text of renumbered 5.2 as follows:]

5.2 Price Application

Automation prices apply to each piece that is sorted under 335.6.5, First-Class Mail Tray-Based Preparation, into the corresponding qualifying groups:

[Revise items a through c to change eligibility from 90 pieces or more to 50 pieces or more as follows:]

- a. Groups of 50 or more pieces in 5-digit trays qualify for the 5-digit price. Preparation to qualify for the 5-digit price is optional and need not be done for all 5-digit destinations.
- b. Groups of 50 or more pieces in 3-digit trays qualify for the 3-digit price.
- c. Pieces in origin 3-digit trays and groups of 50 or more pieces in ADC trays qualify for the ADC price.

* * * * *

335 Mail Preparation

1.0 General Definition of Terms

* * * * *

1.2 Definition of Mailings

Mailings are defined as:

* * * * *

[Revise item b as follows:]

b. The types of First-Class Mail listed below must not be part of the same mailing despite being in the same processing category (see 705.9.0, Combining Automation and Nonautomation Flats in Trays and Sacks for a preparation option for flat-size mail):

1. Automation and any other type of mail, except under 705.9.0.
2. Presorted and any other type of mail, except under 705.9.0.
3. Single-piece and any other type of mail.
4. Machinable and nonmachinable pieces.

* * * * *

1.4 Preparation Definitions and Instructions

For purposes of preparing mail:

* * * * *

[Revise item b to change the definition of an automation flats full tray as follows:]

b. For purposes of preparing automation flats, a full flat tray is one that contains at least 50 pieces of automation flats or one that is physically full. For nonautomation flats, a full flat tray is one that is physically full. A physically full tray contains at least a single stack of mail lying flat on the bottom of the tray and filling the tray to the bottom of the handholds. Before additional trays for the same destination are prepared, trays must be filled with additional available pieces (up to the reasonable capacity of the tray).

* * * * *

[Delete current items e through g and redesignate current items h through j as new e through g.]

[Revise redesignated item g as follows:]

g. An instruction to “group pieces” means the pieces are to be sorted as a unit (as if bundled) but not actually secured into a bundle.

[Delete current item k in its entirety.]

[Redesignate current item l as new item h and revise as follows:]

h. A “logical” presort destination represents the total number of pieces that are eligible for a specific presort level based on the required sortation, but which might not be contained in a single container due to applicable preparation requirements or the size of the individual pieces.

[Delete current item m in its entirety.]

* * * * *

[Delete 2.0 in its entirety.]
[Renumber current 3.0 through 6.0 as new 2.0 through 5.0.]

* * * * *

2.0 Flat Trays

* * * * *

2.4 Preparation for Flats in Flat Trays

All flat tray preparation is subject to these standards:

* * * * *

[Revise items f through h, to delete the “optional” phrasing, as follows:]

f. For automation mailings, one less-than-full overflow tray may be prepared for a presort destination when the total number of pieces for that destination meets the minimum for preparation of the tray level, and when one or more full trays for that destination are also prepared.

g. For automation mailings, if the total number of pieces for a presort destination meets or exceeds the minimum number of pieces required to prepare a tray for that destination, but the total volume does not physically fill a single tray, then the mail for that presort destination may be prepared in a less-than-full tray.

h. Pieces prepared as automation flats do not have to be grouped by 3-digit ZIP Code prefix in ADC trays or by ADC in mixed ADC trays if the mailing is prepared using an MLOCR/barcode sorter and standardized documentation is submitted.

* * * * *

2.5 Preparation for Flats in EMM Letter Trays

Mailers may prepare First-Class Mail flat-size pieces in EMM letter trays instead of flat trays if the following standards are met:

* * * * *

[Revise item c as follows:]

c. All mail must be prepared under 6.6, and must not be prepared in bundles.

* * * * *

4.0 Preparation of Nonautomation Flats

4.1 Basic Standards

[Revise 4.1 to specifically prohibit bundling as follows:]

Each mailing of Presorted First-Class Mail must be prepared under 4.0 and 333.3.0, Eligibility Standards for First-Class Mail Flats. All pieces must be in the flat-size processing category. Flat-size pieces must be prepared loose (unbundled) in flat trays under 2.4 and 4.0. All pieces must be marked “Presorted” and “First-Class Mail.”

* * * * *

[Delete 4.4 and renumber 4.5 and 4.6 as new 4.4 and 4.5.]

[Revise the heading and text of renumbered 4.5 as follows:]

4.5 Cotraying With Automation Flats

If a single mailing job contains an automation mailing and a Presorted mailing, and both mailings are reported on the same postage statement, the mailing job must be presorted under the cotraying standards in 705.9.0.

[Revise the heading of renumbered 5.0 as follows:]

5.0 Preparation of Automation Flats

5.1 Basic Standards

[Revise 5.1 to specifically prohibit bundling as follows:]

Automation First-Class Mail flats must be prepared under 5.0 and meet the eligibility standards for the price claimed; trays must bear the appropriate barcoded container labels under 708.6.0, Standards for Barcoded Tray Labels, Sack Labels, and Container Placards. Flat-size pieces must be prepared loose (unbundled) in flat trays under 2.4 and 5.0.

* * * * *

[Delete renumbered 5.4 and 5.5.]

[Renumber current 6.6 as new 5.4 and revise heading and text as follows:]

5.4 First-Class Mail Preparation

Tray size, preparation sequence, and Line 1 labeling:

a. 5-digit: Optional, but 5-digit trays required for price eligibility (50-piece minimum); one overflow tray allowed; for Line 1, use city, state, and 5-digit ZIP Code destination of pieces (for military mail see 3.3c). (Preparation to qualify for 5-digit price is optional and need not be done for all 5-digit destinations.)

b. 3-digit: Required (50-piece minimum); one overflow tray allowed; for Line 1, use L002, Column A for 3-digit destinations.

c. Origin 3-digit: Required for each 3-digit ZIP Code served by the SCF of the origin (verification) office; no minimum; for Line 1, use L002, Column A for 3-digit destinations.

d. ADC: Required (50-piece minimum); one overflow tray allowed; group pieces by 3-digit ZIP Code prefix, except under 2.4h; for Line 1, use L004 (ZIP Code prefixes in Column A must be combined and labeled to the corresponding ADC destination shown in Column B).

e. Mixed ADC (required); no minimum for price eligibility. Group pieces by ADC, except under 2.4h. For Line 1 use L201; for mail originating in ZIP Code areas in Column A, use “MXD” followed by city, state, and 3-

digit ZIP Code prefix in Column C (use "MXD" instead of "OMX" in the destination line and ignore Column B).

[Delete current 6.7.]

[Re-number current 6.8 as new 5.5 and revise as follows:]

5.5 Cotraying With Presorted Mail

If the mailing job contains an automation mailing and a Presorted mailing, and both mailings are reported on the same postage statement, the mailing job must be prepared under the cotraying standards in 705.9.0.

* * * * *

400 Commercial Mail Parcels

401 Physical Standards

* * * * *

2.0 Additional Physical Standards by Class of Mail

* * * * *

2.2 Standard Mail Parcels and Not Flat-Machinable Pieces

* * * * *

2.2.2 Not Flat-Machinable Pieces

[Revise introductory text of 2.2.2 to indicate ending date of NFM category as follows:]

Rectangular Standard Mail pieces with any of the following characteristics must be prepared as Not Flat-Machinable (NFM) pieces (until May 2010) or as parcels:

* * * * *

460 Bound Printed Matter

* * * * *

465 Mail Preparation

* * * * *

5.0 Preparing Presorted Parcels

5.1 Basic Standards

5.1.1 General Preparation Requirements

All mailings of Presorted Bound Printed Matter (BPM) are subject to these general standards:

* * * * *

[Revise item b as follows:]

b. All pieces in a mailing must be within the same processing category. See 401.1.0 for definitions of machinable and irregular parcels.

* * * * *

470 Media Mail

* * * * *

475 Mail Preparation

* * * * *

5.0 Preparing Media Mail Parcels

5.1 Basic Standards

All mailings of Presorted Media Mail are subject to the standards in 5.0 and to these general requirements:

* * * * *

[Revise item b as follows.]

b. All parcels in a mailing must be within the same processing category. See 401.1.0 for definitions of machinable and irregular parcels.

* * * * *

480 Library Mail

* * * * *

485 Mail Preparation

* * * * *

5.0 Preparing Library Mail Parcels

5.1 Basic Standards

All mailings of Presorted Library Mail are subject to the standards in 5.0, Preparing Library Mail Parcels, and to these general standards:

* * * * *

[Revise item b as follows:]

b. All pieces in a mailing must be within the same processing category. See 401.1.0 for definitions of machinable and irregular parcels.

* * * * *

700 Special Standards

* * * * *

705 Advanced Preparation and Special Postage Payment Systems

* * * * *

[Revise the heading of 9.0 as follows:]

9.0 Combining Automation and Nonautomation Flats in Trays and Sacks

9.1 First-Class Mail

9.1.1 Basic Standards

[Revise text of 9.1.1. to delete references to bundling as follows:]

Flats in an automation mailing prepared under 335.6.5 must be cotrayed with flats in a Presorted mailing under the following conditions:

a. The automation pieces and Presorted pieces are part of the same mailing job and reported on the same postage statement.

b. Pieces in the automation mailing must meet the criteria for a flat under 301.3.0. Pieces in the Presorted mailing must meet the criteria for a flat under 301.1.0.

c. The automation mailing must meet the eligibility criteria in 333.5.0, except that the traying criteria in 9.1.4 must be met rather than the traying criteria in 335.5.0.

d. The Presorted mailing must meet the eligibility criteria in 333.3.0, except that the traying and documentation criteria in 9.1.1 and 9.1.4 must be met rather than the traying and documentation criteria in 335.4.0.

[Delete item e and redesignate current items f through i as new items e through h.]

* * * * *

[Revise redesignated item f as follows:]

f. The pieces from the automation mailing and the pieces from the Presorted mailing must be sorted into the same trays as described in 9.1.2.

* * * * *

[Delete 9.1.2 and 9.1.3 in their entirety.]

[Re-number current 9.1.4 as new 9.1.2 and revise as follows:]

9.1.2 Tray Preparation and Labeling

Presorted and automation pieces must be presorted together into trays (cotrayed) in the sequence listed below. Trays must be labeled using the following information for Lines 1 and 2 and 335.4.0 for other tray label criteria.

a. 5-digit, required, 50 piece minimum; one less-than-full or overflow tray allowed; labeling:

1. Line 1: Use city, state, and 5-digit ZIP Code destination (see 335.4.3 for military mail).

2. Line 2: "FCM FLTS 5D BC/NBC."

b. 3-digit, required, 50 piece minimum; one less-than-full or overflow tray allowed; labeling:

1. Line 1: Use L002, Column A.

2. Line 2: "FCM FLTS 3D BC/NBC."

c. Origin/entry 3-digit, required for each 3-digit ZIP Code served by the SCF of the origin (verification) office, optional for each 3-digit ZIP Code served by the SCF of an entry office other than the origin office, no minimum; labeling:

1. Line 1: Use L002, Column A.

2. Line 2: "FCM FLTS 3D BC/NBC."

d. ADC, required, 50 piece minimum; one less-than-full or overflow tray allowed; use L004 to determine ZIP Codes served by each ADC; labeling:

1. Line 1: Use L004, Column B.

2. Line 2: "FCM FLTS ADC BC/NBC."

e. Mixed ADC, required, no minimum; labeling:

1. Line 1: Use L201; for mail originating in ZIP Code areas in Column A, use "MXD" followed by the city, state, and 3-digit ZIP Code prefix in the corresponding row in Column C (use "MXD" instead of "OMX" in the destination line and ignore Column B).

2. Line 2: "FCM FLTS BC/NBC WKG."

* * * * *

[Revise heading of 11.0 as follows:]

11.0 Combining Automation and Nonautomation Flats in Bundles

[Delete 11.1 and renumber current 11.2 through 11.4 as new 11.1 through 11.3.]

* * * * *

We will publish an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR part 111 to reflect these changes if our proposal is adopted.

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. E9-2515 Filed 2-5-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Parts 253 and 600

[Docket No. 080228332-81199-01]

RIN 0648-AW38

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act; Disaster Assistance Programs; Fisheries Assistance Programs

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: NMFS extends the public comment period on the proposed rule to govern the requests for determinations of fishery resource disasters as a basis for acquiring potential disaster assistance. NMFS has received requests to extend the comment period for the proposed rule beyond its current 30-day comment period. The extension of the comment period for another 2 months is intended to ensure that NMFS provides adequate time for various stakeholders and other members of the public to comment on the proposed regulations to govern initiating and responding to requests for fisheries disaster assistance. The comment period of the January 15, 2009 (74 FR 2467) rule is extended from February 17, 2009, to April 20, 2009.

DATES: Comments must be submitted in writing on or before April 20, 2009.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by 0648-AW38, by any one of the following methods:

- Electronic Submissions: Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>;

- Fax: 301-713-1193, Attn: Robert Gorrell;

- Mail: Alan Risenhoover, Director, NMFS Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Attn: Disaster Assistance Program Guidance and Procedures, 1315 East-West Highway, SSMC3, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

Written comments regarding the burden-hour estimates or other aspects of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this proposed rule may be submitted to Alan Risenhoover at the above address and by email to David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov, or by fax to (202) 395-7285.

Instructions: All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov> without change. All Personal Identifying Information (for example, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter "N/A" in the required fields, if you wish to remain anonymous). Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Gorrell, at 301-713-2341 or via e-mail at robert.gorrell@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposed rule that sets forth NMFS' proposed regulations to govern requests for determinations of fishery resource disaster assistance was published in the **Federal Register** on January 15, 2009 (74 FR 2467), with a comment period ending date of February 17, 2009. The regulations would establish definitions, and characteristics of commercial fishery failures, fishery resource disasters, serious disruptions affecting future production, and harm incurred by fishermen, as well as requirements for initiating a review by NMFS, and the administrative process it will follow in processing such applications. The intended result of these procedures and requirements is to clarify and interpret the fishery disaster assistance provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act and the Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act through rulemaking and thereby ensure consistency and facilitate the processing of requests.

After receiving requests to extend the comment period, NMFS has decided to extend it for another 62 days through April 20, 2009. This action extends the comment period for a proposed rule that

the Office of Management and Budget determined to be significant under Executive Order 12866.

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 1271-1279 and 16 U.S.C. 4101 *et seq.*

Dated: February 3, 2009.

James W. Balsiger

Acting Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E9-2587 Filed 2-5-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 622

[Docket No. 0808041045-9006-01]

RIN 0648-AW64

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Snapper-Grouper Fishery off the Southern Atlantic States; Amendment 16

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this proposed rule to implement Amendment 16 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region (FMP)(Amendment 16), as prepared and submitted by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council). This proposed rule would establish a seasonal closure of the recreational and commercial fisheries for gag and associated grouper species; establish a seasonal closure of the recreational fishery for vermilion snapper; reduce the aggregate bag limit for grouper and tilefish; reduce the bag limit for gag or black grouper combined; reduce the bag limit for vermilion snapper; prohibit captain and crew of a vessel operating as a charter vessel or headboat from retaining any fish under the aggregate bag limit for grouper and tilefish or the vermilion snapper bag limit; establish semiannual quotas for the commercial vermilion snapper fishery; establish a quota for the commercial gag fishery; establish restrictions on the possession, sale, and purchase of gag and associated grouper species after the gag commercial quota is reached; and require possession of a venting tool and dehooking device on board a vessel when fishing for South Atlantic snapper-grouper and use of such tools as needed to accomplish