

reference from “§ 10.10(b)” to “§ 11.10(b)” (the substance of the former § 10.10(b) was transferred to § 11.10(b)). Section 10.23(c)(14) changes its reference from “§ 10.6” to “§ 11.6” (the substance of the former § 10.6 was transferred to § 11.6). Section 10.23(c)(15) changes its reference from “10.18” to “11.18” (the substance of the former 10.18 was transferred to 11.18). Section 10.23(c)(16) changes its reference from “paragraph (b) of § 10.131” to “§ 11.22(b)” (the substance of the former § 10.131(b) was included in § 11.22(b)). Section 10.23(c)(19) changes its reference from “§ 10.10(c)” to “§ 11.10(d)” (the substance of the former § 10.10(c) was transferred to § 11.10(d)). Section 10.31(c) changes its reference from “§ 10.14(b)” to “§ 11.14(b)” (the substance of the former § 10.14(b) was transferred to § 11.14(b)).

Section 553(d) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(d)) ordinarily requires a 30-day delay in the effective date of final rules after the date of their publication in the **Federal Register**. This 30-day delay in effective date can be waived, however, if an agency finds for good cause that the delay is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest. The change to 37 CFR 10.4 corrects a technical error inasmuch as the substance of § 10.4 was transferred to and supplemented by § 11.23. The changes in 37 CFR 10.23(c)(5), (c)(6), (c)(13), (c)(14), (c)(15), (c)(16) and (c)(19) and 10.31(c) correct a technical error in referencing sections. The changes in 37 CFR 10.23(c)(5), (c)(6), (c)(13), (c)(14), (c)(15), (c)(16) and (c)(19) and 10.31(c) do not change the conduct expected of practitioners from the final rule published on August 14, 2008, but merely correct the language consistent with the existing and intended text. The Office finds it impracticable to have a 30-day delayed effective date for these technical corrections as practitioners should not be misled or confused by rules referring to removed provisions of Part 10, and practitioners should not be expected to abide by rules that have been removed from Part 10. Furthermore, the Office finds that it is in the public’s interest to correct the changes in text to avoid misleading constructions of the rules. Therefore, the Office is waiving the 30-day delay in effective date for the technical corrections in this notice.

■ Accordingly, the Patent and Trademark Office makes the following correcting amendments to 37 CFR part 10:

PART 10—REPRESENTATION OF OTHERS BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

■ 1. The authority citation for 37 CFR part 10 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 500; 15 U.S.C. 1123; 35 U.S.C. 2, 6, 32, 41.

§ 10.4 [Removed and reserved]

■ 2. Section 10.4 is removed and reserved.

■ 3. Section 10.23 is amended by revising paragraphs (c)(5), (c)(6), (c)(13), (c)(14), (c)(15), (c)(16) and (c)(19) to read as follows:

§ 10.23 Misconduct.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(5) Suspension or disbarment from practice as an attorney or agent on ethical grounds by any duly constituted authority of a State or the United States or, in the case of a practitioner who resides in a foreign country or is registered under § 11.6(c), by any duly constituted authority of:

- (i) A State,
- (ii) The United States, or
- (iii) The country in which the practitioner resides.

(6) Knowingly aiding or abetting a practitioner suspended or excluded from practice before the Office in engaging in unauthorized practice before the Office under § 11.58.

* * * * *

(13) Knowingly preparing or prosecuting or providing assistance in the preparation or prosecution of a patent application in violation of an undertaking signed under § 11.10(b).

(14) Knowingly failing to advise the Director in writing of any change which would preclude continued registration under § 11.6.

(15) Signing a paper filed in the Office in violation of the provisions of § 11.18 or making a scandalous or indecent statement in a paper filed in the Office.

(16) Willfully refusing to reveal or report knowledge or evidence to the Director contrary to § 10.24 or § 11.22(b).

* * * * *

(19) Action by an employee of the Office contrary to the provisions set forth in § 11.10(d).

* * * * *

■ 4. Section 10.31 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 10.31 Communications concerning a practitioner’s services.

* * * * *

(c) Unless authorized under § 11.14(b), a non-lawyer practitioner

shall not hold himself or herself out as authorized to practice before the Office in trademark cases.

* * * * *

Dated: October 1, 2008.

Jon W. Dudas,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. E8–23908 Filed 10–8–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3020

[Docket Nos. MC2008–7, CP2008–16 and CP2008–17; Order No. 112]

Administrative Practice and Procedure, Postal Service

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is adding a new product identified as Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Mail Classification Schedule Competitive Product List. This action is consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations. Re-publication of the lists of market dominant and competitive products is also consistent with new requirements in the law.

DATES: Effective October 9, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Regulatory History*, 73 FR 49723 (August 22, 2008).

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Competitive Product List. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Request.

I. Background

On August 8, 2008, the Postal Service filed a Request with the Commission for the addition of a new product, which it identifies as Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, to the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Product List for prices not of general applicability. A concurrent Notice announces issuance of a Governors’ Decision authorizing the new product and the Postal Service’s execution, under this authority, of two contracts it considers functionally equivalent.¹

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Competitive Product List, and Notice of

The proposed new product includes three services: Global Bulk Economy (GBE), Global Direct, and Global Plus 2 contracts.² Mail classification language accompanying the Request describes each of these services. Global Plus 2 contracts are described as a combination of GBE and Global Direct designed for high-volume mailers or Postal Qualified Wholesalers. They require a mailer to have the capability, on an annualized basis, of either tendering at least 5,000 pieces of international mail to the Postal Service or paying at least \$100,000 in international postage to the Postal Service, and meeting other requirements. Governors' Decision, Attachment 1, Attachment A-3, at 1 (§ 2610.6) (Global Plus 2). *Id.*, Attachments A-1 and A-3 (GBE and Global Direct, respectively).

Commission Order No. 98 provided formal notice of the Request and related submissions; established the captioned dockets to consider the Request; appointed an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public; and set August 27, 2008 as the deadline for comments.³

Commission decision. The Commission has reviewed the filing in terms of relevant statutory provisions, and concludes that the filing is consistent with all pertinent statutory considerations. It finds that Global Plus 2 is appropriately classified as a competitive product. Accordingly, it grants the Request to add the Global Plus 2 product to the Competitive Product List. It also classifies the two contracts filed in Docket Nos. CP2008-16 and CP2008-17 as falling within the Global Plus 2 product, based on a finding that they are functionally equivalent.

Filing (Under Seal) the Enabling Governors' Decision and Two Functionally Equivalent Agreements, August 8, 2008 (collectively referred to as Request). The Request was filed pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and implementing regulations at 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* A public (redacted) version of the referenced Governors' Decision appears as Attachment 1 to the Request. See Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy, and Global Plus Contracts (Governors' Decision No. 08-10), July 16, 2008 (Governors' Decision).

² The Governors' Decision notes that the classifications for Global Direct and Global Bulk Economy contracts are contained in the Mail Classification Schedule language originally proposed by the Postal Service as modified in attachments to the instant decision. Eligible customers may also contract separately for GBE and Global Direct service. Governors' Decision at 1, n.1.

³ See Notice and Order Concerning Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, August 14, 2008 (Order No. 98). Order No. 98 appears at 73 FR 49723 (August 22, 2008).

II. Comments

A. Public Representative's Comments

The Public Representative finds the Postal Service's filing consistent with requirements in the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA) and related Commission regulations. In particular, he concludes that pricing for the two proffered contracts satisfies 39 U.S.C. 3633 and falls within the floor and ceiling for the "shell"—or umbrella—classification in the Governors' Decision.⁴ He also concludes that the Postal Service has "concisely justified" the extent of confidentiality it seeks in this filing; states that contractual provisions on preparation and volume requirements ensure that some economies of scale will result from implementation; and finds that the two contracts appear to be functionally equivalent. *Id.* at 2-4.

However, the Public Representative expresses concern that the inclusion of Global Direct as a component of Global Plus 2 service raises a question about the appropriateness of assigning Global Plus 2 to the Competitive Product List because:

- Global Direct appears to provide shipments of First-Class Mail to a destination country;
- Single-piece outbound International First-Class Mail has been classified as a market dominant product; and
- The PAEA assigns "single piece" international mail to the market dominant product line and "bulk" international to the competitive line. *Id.* at 2-8.⁵

B. The Postal Service's Reply Comments

The Postal Service maintains that the treatment of competitive products under the PAEA and an assessment of competitive market characteristics support a conclusion that Global Plus 2 is properly classified as a competitive product.⁶ It acknowledges that the PAEA requires that international mail be classified as either market dominant or competitive depending upon whether it is "single-piece" or "bulk," but maintains that the PAEA does not

⁴ Public Representative Comments in Response to United States Postal Service Request to Add Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Competitive Products List, August 27, 2008 at 4 (Public Representative Comments).

⁵ The Public Representative notes that he also raised the issue of whether a proposed service is a "hybrid" product in Docket No. MC2008-6. *Id.* at 4-5.

⁶ Reply of United States Postal Service to Public Representative Comments in Response to United States Postal Service Request to Add Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Competitive Products List, August 29, 2008 at 1-2 (Postal Service Reply Comments).

further define those terms or seek to provide guidance on the existing and future international mail categories they are intended to encompass. *Id.* at 2. However, it notes that when classifying postal products [in Docket No. RM2007-1] as either market dominant or competitive, the Commission proposed to define bulk international mail by reference to bulk commercial services, which may be satisfied by volume commitments or other types of annual guarantees. *Id.* The Postal Service further observes that the Commission included International Customized Mail (ICM) agreements among the products classified as "bulk," and that each ICM was further initially defined as an individual product. *Id.*

Applying this line of reasoning to the instant case, the Postal Service says that the Global Plus 2 contracts are tied to revenue commitments, just as are the Global Plus 1 contracts, and thus appear to satisfy the Commission's determination that an annual revenue guarantee would suffice to define a product as "bulk." It therefore contends that even if pieces under the contract were mailed individually, this should not change the fact that the annual revenue guarantee ensures that this is a bulk product. Moreover, it contends that because the Commission views the contract as the product, as opposed to the individual services under the contract (which include both Global Direct and Global Bulk Economy services), it would seem that even assuming that a piece of Global Direct mail were sent individually, this likewise should not convert a bulk product into a single-piece product. *Id.* at 2-3.

In addition, the Postal Service maintains that as a practical matter, Global Direct is not a single-piece service, so each mailing involving Global Bulk Economy and Global Direct is, in fact, a bulk mailing. *Id.* at 3. In support of this position, it asserts that Global Direct service has only been available through customized agreements; notes that a customer cannot walk into a post office and deposit a single piece bearing foreign indicia; states that retail Global Direct service does not exist; and says that both Global Plus 2 contracts provide that postage payments are to be made by permit imprint. *Id.*

The Postal Service provides a hypothetical example involving a single-piece mailing, but asserts that this example does not reflect routine mailer behavior. Instead, it maintains that the customers are large volume, Postal Qualified Wholesalers who are required under the terms of the contract

to deposit their Global Direct mail at specific locations designated by the Postal Service and who also must comply with foreign postal administrations' preparation requirements. *Id.* at 3–4. It says it is more than likely that any one mailing will contain multiple Global Direct pieces. In fact, it says that the Postal Service does not recall ever seeing a postage statement containing only one piece of Global Direct mail. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service acknowledges that certain items sent using Global Direct services, including under Global Plus 2 contracts, are “letters” within the meaning of 39 CFR 310.1(a). *Id.* It notes, however, that private entities are entitled to offer service for outbound letters “to a foreign country for deposit in its domestic or international mails for delivery to an ultimate destination outside the United States.” *Id.* (footnote omitted).

“The Postal Service asserts that Congress codified this statutory exception to the so-called letter monopoly in the PAEA, and that competition exists in the outbound letter market, with a variety of entities providing services comparable to the Postal Service’s. It further states that in addition to facing competing providers, the Postal Service must also contend with mailers’ prerogative to carry their own letters out of the United States and deposit them in the destination country’s mailstream. *Id.*”

The Postal Service then says that for reasons described more fully in the Statement of Supporting Justification filed in these dockets, it operates within competitive constraints in offering Global Plus 2 contracts, including their Global Direct components, rather than from a position of market dominance. Thus, it says that under the descriptive criteria in 39 U.S.C. 3642(b) and 39 CFR 3020.32, these contracts should be construed as competitive products. *Id.* at 4–5.

III. Commission Analysis

A. Statutory Requirements

The Commission’s statutory responsibilities, in this instance, entail assigning Global Plus 2 to either the Market Dominant List or the Competitive Product List. 39 U.S.C. 3642. As part of this responsibility, the Commission also preliminarily reviews the proposals for compliance with PAEA requirements. This includes, for proposed competitive products, a review of the provisions applicable to rates for competitive products. 39 U.S.C. 3633.

Product list assignment. 39 U.S.C. 3642 governs the assignment of new products to a list, in terms of the contract or product as a whole, not an individual component. In context, it is apparent that Global Direct—which is the source of the Public Representative’s classification concern—is a bulk service. In particular, Global Direct is:

- A service that imposes minimum annual volume or revenue requirements on mailers;
- Intended for high-volume users and Postal Qualified Wholesalers; and
- Not available as a retail service for individuals.

Postal Service Reply Comments at 3–4. Thus, the Global Plus 2 contracts are appropriately classified as competitive products.

The Commission must also consider the Postal Service’s market position in determining appropriate product classification. The main consideration is whether

the Postal Service exercises sufficient market power that it can effectively set the price of such product substantially above costs, raise prices significantly, decrease quality, or decrease output, without risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms offering similar products.

39 U.S.C. 3642(b)(1).

Review of a statement provided by Frank Cebello, the Postal Service’s executive director of Global Business Management, provides adequate support for a finding that the Postal Service’s position with respect to Global Plus 2 precludes it from being able to take any of the actions referred to in the referenced provision without risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms or offering similar products. *See Request, Attachment 2.*

Cost considerations. The Commission has reviewed the financial analyses provided under seal as well as the comments and the reply comments. This preliminary review indicates that each contract comports with the provisions applicable to rates for competitive products. In particular, based on the information provided, the Commission finds that the proposed contracts should cover their individual attributable costs (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(2)); should not lead to the subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1)), and each should have a positive effect on competitive products’ contribution to institutional costs (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(3)).

B. Nature of the Agreements

The Postal Service requests that the Commission classify the two Global

Plus 2 contracts as part of the same product. The Commission finds that these contracts are premised on similar cost and market characteristics. It concludes that these two contracts are functionally equivalent in all pertinent respects, and can be appropriately classified as one product within the Competitive Product List.

C. Updating the Mail Classification Schedule

The Commission is adding the new product, Global Plus 2, to the Competitive Product List, as requested. As noted, the two related contracts fall within that product. The changes to the product list are shown below the signature on this Order, and shall become effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

The Postal Service shall notify the Commission of the effective date of each contract on the same date it notifies the contracting parties. The Commission notes that each Global Plus 2 contract contains a provision for early termination. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission of an early termination no later than the actual termination date. The Commission will then remove the contract from the Mail Classification Schedule at the earliest possible opportunity.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is Ordered:

1. Global Plus 2 is added as a new product to the Competitive Product List.
2. The Negotiated Service Agreement submitted in Docket No. CP2008–16 and the Negotiated Service Agreement submitted in Docket No. CP2008–17 fall within the Global Plus 2 product.
3. The Postal Service shall notify the Commission of the effective date of the Global Plus 2 contracts (in Docket Nos. CP2008–16 and CP2008–17) on the same date it notifies the contracting parties.
4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 3020

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

By the Commission.

Steven W. Williams,
Secretary.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, under the authority at 39 U.S.C. 503, the Postal Regulatory Commission amends 39 CFR part 3020 as follows:

PART 3020—PRODUCT LISTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 3020 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 39 U.S.C. 503; 3622; 3631; 3642; 3682.

■ 2. Revise Appendix A to subpart A of part 3020 to read as follows:

Appendix A to Subpart A of Part 3020—Mail Classification Schedule

Part A—Market Dominant Products

1000 Market Dominant Product List

First-Class Mail

Single-Piece Letters/Postcards

Bulk Letters/Postcards

Flats

Parcels

Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International

Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International

Standard Mail (Regular and Nonprofit)

High Density and Saturation Letters

High Density and Saturation Flats/Parcels

Carrier Route

Letters

Flats

Not Flat-Machinables (NFMs)/Parcels

Periodicals

Within County Periodicals

Outside County Periodicals

Package Services

Single-Piece Parcel Post

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates)

Bound Printed Matter Flats

Bound Printed Matter Parcels

Media Mail/Library Mail

Special Services

Ancillary Services

International Ancillary Services

Address List Services

Caller Service

Change-of-Address Credit Card

Authentication

Confirm

International Reply Coupon Service

International Business Reply Mail Service

Money Orders

Post Office Box Service

Negotiated Service Agreements

HSBC North America Holdings Inc.

Negotiated Service Agreement

Bookspan Negotiated Service Agreement

Bank of America Corporation Negotiated Service Agreement

The Bradford Group Negotiated Service Agreement

Market Dominant Product Descriptions

First-Class Mail [Reserved for Class Description]

Single-Piece Letters/Postcards [Reserved for Product Description]

Bulk Letters/Postcards [Reserved for Product Description]

Flats [Reserved for Product Description]

Parcels [Reserved for Product Description]

Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International [Reserved for Product Description]

Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International [Reserved for Product Description]

Standard Mail (Regular and Nonprofit)

[Reserved for Class Description]

High Density and Saturation Letters

[Reserved for Product Description]

High Density and Saturation Flats/Parcels

[Reserved for Product Description]

Carrier Route [Reserved for Product

Description]

Letters [Reserved for Product Description]

Flats [Reserved for Product Description]

Not Flat-Machinables (NFMs)/Parcels

[Reserved for Product Description]

Periodicals [Reserved for Class Description]

Within County Periodicals [Reserved for Product Description]

Outside County Periodicals [Reserved for Product Description]

Package Services [Reserved for Class

Description]

Single-Piece Parcel Post [Reserved for

Product Description]

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates)

[Reserved for Product Description]

Bound Printed Matter Flats [Reserved for

Product Description]

Bound Printed Matter Parcels [Reserved for

Product Description]

Media Mail/Library Mail [Reserved for

Product Description]

Special Services [Reserved for Class

Description]

Ancillary Services [Reserved for Product Description]

Address Correction Service [Reserved for Product Description]

Applications and Mailing Permits

[Reserved for Product Description]

Business Reply Mail [Reserved for Product

Description]

Bulk Parcel Return Service [Reserved for Product Description]

Certified Mail [Reserved for Product Description]

Certificate of Mailing [Reserved for Product Description]

Collect on Delivery [Reserved for Product Description]

Delivery Confirmation [Reserved for Product Description]

Insurance [Reserved for Product Description]

Merchandise Return Service [Reserved for Product Description]

Parcel Airlift (PAL) [Reserved for Product Description]

Registered Mail [Reserved for Product Description]

Return Receipt [Reserved for Product Description]

Return Receipt for Merchandise [Reserved for Product Description]

Restricted Delivery [Reserved for Product Description]

Shipper-Paid Forwarding [Reserved for Product Description]

Signature Confirmation [Reserved for Product Description]

Special Handling [Reserved for Product Description]

Stamped Envelopes [Reserved for Product Description]

Stamped Cards [Reserved for Product Description]

Premium Stamped Stationery [Reserved for Product Description]

Premium Stamped Cards [Reserved for Product Description]

International Ancillary Services [Reserved for Product Description]

International Certificate of Mailing

[Reserved for Product Description]

International Registered Mail [Reserved for Product Description]

International Return Receipt [Reserved for Product Description]

International Restricted Delivery [Reserved for Product Description]

Address List Services [Reserved for Product Description]

Caller Service [Reserved for Product Description]

Change-of-Address Credit Card

Authentication [Reserved for Product Description]

Confirm [Reserved for Product Description]

International Reply Coupon Service [Reserved for Product Description]

International Business Reply Mail Service [Reserved for Product Description]

Money Orders [Reserved for Product Description]

Post Office Box Service [Reserved for Product Description]

Negotiated Service Agreements [Reserved for Class Description]

HSBC North America Holdings Inc.

Negotiated Service Agreement [Reserved for Product Description]

Bookspan Negotiated Service Agreement [Reserved for Product Description]

Bank of America Corporation Negotiated Service Agreement

The Bradford Group Negotiated Service Agreement

2000 Part B—Competitive Products

Competitive Product List

Express Mail

Express Mail

Outbound International Expedited Services

Inbound International Expedited Services

Inbound International Expedited Services 1 (CP2008–7)

Priority Mail

Priority Mail

Outbound Priority Mail International

Inbound Air Parcel Post

Parcel Select

Parcel Return Service

International

International Priority Airlift (IPA)

International Surface Airlift (ISAL)

International Direct Sacks—M-Bags

Global Customized Shipping Services

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at non-UPU rates)

International Money Transfer Service

International Ancillary Services

Special Services

Premium Forwarding Service

Negotiated Service Agreements

Domestic

Express Mail Contract 1 (MC2008–5)

Outbound International

Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) Contracts

GEPS 1 (CP2008–5, CP2008–11, CP2008–12, and CP2008–13, CP2008–18, CP2008–19, CP2008–20, CP2008–21, CP2008–22, CP2008–23 and CP2008–24)

Global Plus Contracts

Global Plus 1 (CP2008–9 and CP2008–10)

Global Plus 2 (MC2008–7, CP2008–16 and CP2008–17)

Inbound Direct Entry Contracts with Foreign Postal Administrations (MC2008–6, CP2008–14 and CP2008–15)

Competitive Product Descriptions
Express Mail [Reserved for Group Description]
Express Mail [Reserved for Product Description]
Outbound International Expedited Services [Reserved for Product Description]
Inbound International Expedited Services [Reserved for Product Description]
Priority [Reserved for Product Description]
Priority Mail [Reserved for Product Description]
Outbound Priority Mail International [Reserved for Product Description]
Inbound Air Parcel Post [Reserved for Product Description]
Parcel Select [Reserved for Group Description]
Parcel Return Service [Reserved for Group Description]
International [Reserved for Group Description]
International Priority Airlift (IPA) [Reserved for Product Description]
International Surface Airlift (ISAL) [Reserved for Product Description]
International Direct Sacks—M—Bags [Reserved for Product Description]
Global Customized Shipping Services [Reserved for Product Description]
International Money Transfer Service [Reserved for Product Description]
Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at non-UPU rates) [Reserved for Product Description]
International Ancillary Services [Reserved for Product Description]
International Certificate of Mailing [Reserved for Product Description]
International Registered Mail [Reserved for Product Description]
International Return Receipt [Reserved for Product Description]
International Restricted Delivery [Reserved for Product Description]
International Insurance [Reserved for Product Description]
Negotiated Service Agreements [Reserved for Group Description]
Domestic [Reserved for Product Description]
Outbound International [Reserved for Group Description]

Part C—Glossary of Terms and Conditions [Reserved]

Part D—Country Price Lists for International Mail [Reserved]

[FR Doc. E8–24054 Filed 10–8–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R06–OAR–2007–0659; FRL–8727–2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Louisiana; Approval of Section 110(a)(1) Maintenance Plans for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard for the Parishes of Calcasieu and St. James

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving revisions to the Louisiana State Implementation Plan (SIP) concerning maintenance plans addressing the 1997 8-hour ozone standard for the parishes of Calcasieu and St. James. On July 20, 2007, and August 24, 2007, the State of Louisiana submitted separate SIP revisions containing maintenance plans for the 1997 ozone standard for Calcasieu and St. James Parishes, respectively. These plans ensure the continued attainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) through the year 2014. On March 12, 2008, EPA issued a revised ozone standard. Today's action, however, is being taken to address requirements under the 1997 ozone standard. Requirements for these areas under the 2008 standard will be addressed in future actions. These maintenance plans meet the statutory and regulatory requirements, and are consistent with EPA's guidance. EPA is approving the revisions pursuant to section 110 of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: This rule is effective on *December 8, 2008* without further notice, unless EPA receives relevant adverse comment by November 10, 2008. If EPA receives such comment, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket No. EPA–R06–OAR–2007–0659, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *EPA Region 6 "Contact Us" Web site:* <http://epa.gov/region6/r6coment.htm>. Please click on "6PD" (Multimedia) and select "Air" before submitting comments.

- *E-mail:* Mr. Guy Donaldson at donaldson.guy@epa.gov. Please also send a copy by email to the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below.

- *Fax:* Mr. Guy Donaldson, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), at fax number 214–665–7263.

- *Mail:* Mr. Guy Donaldson, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733.

- *Hand or Courier Delivery:* Mr. Guy Donaldson, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Such deliveries are accepted only between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. weekdays except for legal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R06–OAR–2007–0659. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov> your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket