

Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than June 2013.

These five-year sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. This notice is published pursuant to 751(c) and 771(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: July 23, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-17486 Filed 7-29-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-821]

#### Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Products From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 30, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gayle Longest, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4014, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3338.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On January 28, 2008, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on hot-rolled carbon steel products from India covering the period January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 73 FR 4829 (January 28, 2008). The preliminary results are currently due no later than September 1, 2008.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the

administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results to up to 365 days.

Due to the complexity of the issues in this administrative review, such as the absence of exports during the POR and the petitioners' request for verification, we have determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the 245-day period. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are partially extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of the review by 109 days. The preliminary results are now due no later than December 19, 2008. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 24, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-17483 Filed 7-29-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with June anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received a request to revoke one antidumping duty order in part and to defer the initiation of an administrative review for another antidumping duty order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 30, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sheila E. Forbes, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4697.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2002), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with June anniversary dates. The Department also received a timely request to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Taiwan with respect to one exporter. In addition, the Department received a request to defer for one year the initiation of the June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China with respect to one exporter in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(c). The Department received no objections to this request from any party cited in 19 CFR 351.213(c)(1)(ii).

## Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the period of review (POR). We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of this initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 20 days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within 10 calendar days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice.

## Separate Rates

In proceedings involving non-market economy ("NME") countries, the Department begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is the Department's policy to assign all exporters of merchandise subject to an administrative review in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.

To establish whether a firm is sufficiently independent from government control of its export activities to be entitled to a separate rate, the Department analyzes each entity exporting the subject merchandise under a test arising from

the *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers from the People's Republic of China*, 56 FR 20588 (May 6, 1991) (“*Sparklers*”), as amplified by *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994) (“*Silicon Carbide*”). In accordance with the separate-rates criteria, the Department assigns separate rates to companies in NME cases only if respondents can demonstrate the absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* government control over export activities.

All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate-rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate-rate application or certification, as described below. For these administrative reviews, in order to demonstrate separate-rate eligibility, the Department requires entities for whom a review was requested, that were assigned a separate rate in the most recent segment of this proceeding in which they participated, to certify that they continue to meet the

criteria for obtaining a separate rate. The Separate Rate Certification form will be available on the Department's Web site at <http://www.trade.gov/ia> on the date of publication of this **Federal Register**. In responding to the certification, please follow the “Instructions for Filing the Certification” in the Separate Rate Certification. Separate Rate Certifications are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Certification applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers who purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

For entities that have not previously been assigned a separate rate, to demonstrate eligibility for such, the Department requires a Separate Rate Status Application. The Separate Rate Status Application will be available on the Department's Web site at <http://www.trade.gov/ia> on the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. In responding to the Separate Rate Status Application, refer to the instructions contained in the

application. Separate Rate Status Applications are due to the Department no later than 60 calendar days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Separate Rate Status Application applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

#### Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than June 30, 2009. Also in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(c), we are deferring for one year the initiation of the June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008 Administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China with respect to one exporter.

	Period to be reviewed
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
Japan: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless, Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe,–588–850 .....	6/1/2007–5/31/2008
JFE Steel Corporation	
Nippon Steel Corporation	
NKK Tubes	
Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd.	
Japan: Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A–588–846 .....	6/1/2007–05/31/2008
JFE Steel Corporation	
Nippon Steel Corporation	
Kobe Steel, Ltd.	
Spain: Chlorinated Isocyanurates, A–469–814 .....	6/1/2007–5/31/2008
Aragonesas Industrias y Energia	
Inquide Flix, S.A.	
South Korea: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip, A–580–807 .....	10/2/2007–5/31/2008
Kolon Industries, Inc.	
Taiwan: Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A–583–816 .....	6/1/2007–5/31/2008
Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd.	
Liang Feng Stainless Steel Fitting Co., Ltd.	
Liang Feng Enterprise	
Tru-Flow Industrial Co., Ltd.	
Censor International Corporation	
PFP Taiwan Co., Ltd.	
The People's Republic Of China: Certain Color Television Receivers <sup>1</sup> , A–570–884 .....	6/1/2007–5/31/2008
Haier Electric Appliances International Co.	
Hisense Import and Export Co., Ltd.	
Konka Group Company, Ltd.	
Philips Consumer Electronics Co. of Suzhou Ltd.	
Shenzhen Chaungwei-RGB Electronics Co., Ltd.	
Sichuan Changhong Electric Co., Ltd.	
Starlight International Holdings, Ltd.	
Star Light Electronics Co., Ltd.	
Star Fair Electronics Co., Ltd.	
Starlight Marketing Development Ltd.	
SVA Group Co., Ltd.	
TCL Holding Company Ltd.	
Xiamen Overseas Chinese Electronic Co., Ltd.	
The People's Republic Of China: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber <sup>2</sup> , A–570–905 .....	12/26/2006–5/31/2008
Far Eastern Industries, Ltd., (Shanghai) and Far Eastern Polychem Industries	
Ningbo Dafa Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd.	

	Period to be reviewed
Cixi Sansheng Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Cixi Santai Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Cixi Waysun Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Hangzhou Best Chemical Fibre Co., Ltd. Hangzhou Hanbang Chemical Fibre Co., Ltd. Hangzhou Huachuang Co., Ltd. Hangzhou Sanxin Paper Co., Ltd. Hangzhou Taifu Textile Fiber Co., Ltd. Jiayang Fuda Chemical Fibre Factory Nantong Loulai Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Nanyang Textile Co., Ltd. Suzhou PolyFiber Co., Ltd. Xiamen Xianglu Chemical Fiber Co. Zhaoqing Tifo New Fiber Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Anshun Pettechs Fibre Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Waysun Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Dragon Max Trading Development Xiake Color Spinning Co., Ltd. Jiangyin Hailun Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Hyosung Singapore PTE Ltd. Jiangyin Changlong Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Ma Ha Company, Ltd. Jiangyin Huahong Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Jiangyin Mighty Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. Huvis Sichuan	
The People's Republic Of China: Chlorinated Isocyanurates <sup>3</sup> , A-570-898 .....	6/1/2007-5/31/2008
Hebei Jiheng Chemical Company Ltd.	
The People's Republic Of China: Folding Metal Tables and Chairs <sup>4</sup> , A-570-868 .....	6/1/2007-5/31/2008
Dongguan Shichang Metals Factory Co., Ltd. New-Tec Integration Co., Ltd. New-Tec Integration (Xiamen) Co., Ltd.	
The People's Republic Of China: Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate <sup>5</sup> , A-570-855 .....	6/1/2007-5/31/2008
Yitian Juice (Shaanxi) Co., Ltd.	
The People's Republic Of China: Silicon Metal <sup>6</sup> , A-570-806 .....	6/1/2007-5/31/2008
Shanghai Jinneng International Trade Co., Ltd. Datong Jinneng Industrial Silicon Co., Inc. Jiangxi Gangyuan Silicon Industry Company, Ltd. S. AU (Guilin) Trade Co., Ltd. Lao Silicon Co., Ltd.	
The People's Republic Of China: Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished <sup>7</sup> , A-570-601 Peer Bearing Company-Changshan	6/1/2007-5/31/2008
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceeding</b>	
None.	
<b>Suspension Agreements</b>	
None.	
<b>Deferral of Initiation of Administrative Review</b>	
The People's Republic Of China: Folding Metal Tables and Chairs, A-570-868 .....	6/1/2007-5/31/2008
Feili Furniture Development Ltd. Quanzhou City Feili Furniture Development Co., Ltd. Feili Group (Fujian) Co., Ltd. Feili (Fujian) Co., Ltd.	

<sup>1</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Color Televisions Receivers from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>2</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>3</sup> If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>4</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>5</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>6</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>7</sup> If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed. Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures* (73 FR 3634). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: July 24, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-17485 Filed 7-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-122-840

#### Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order on Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 4, 2007, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (“wire rod”) from Canada. See *Initiation of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews*, 72 FR 50659 (September 4, 2007). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”) determined that revocation of the existing AD order on wire rod from Canada would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *ITC Final Determination: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, 73 FR 41116 (July 17, 2008) (“*ITC Wire Rod Final Determination*”). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(iii), the Department is revoking the AD order on wire rod from Canada.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 29, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Shelly Atkinson or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0116 and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On October 29, 2002, the Department published the amended AD final determination and AD order on wire rod from Canada. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada*, 67 FR 65944 (October 29, 2002). On September 4, 2007, the Department initiated, and the ITC instituted, the sunset review of the AD order on wire rod from Canada. See *Initiation of Five-year Sunset Reviews*, 72 FR at 50659.

As a result of the Department’s sunset review, the Department determined that revocation of the AD order would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. See *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 73 FR 1321 (January 8, 2008) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. The

Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the AD order to be revoked.

On July 17, 2008, the ITC published its determination, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the AD order on wire rod from Canada would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *ITC Wire Rod Final Determination*, at 73 FR at 41116; and *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, USITC Pub. 4014, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-417 and 731-TA-953, 954, 957-959, 961, and 962 (Review) (June 2008).

**Scope of the Orders**

The merchandise subject to these orders is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) definitions for (a) stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (i.e., products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium).

Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. Grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton, and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1)