

serves that tribe, via a sub-allotment funding document coded for the tribe's TEDC proposal. The tribe should be anticipating the transfer of funds and be in contact with their budget personnel contacts at the Regional and Agency office levels. Tribes receiving TEDC awards must establish a new 638 contract to complete the transfer process, or use an existing 638 contract, as applicable.

## I. Reporting Requirements for Award Recipients

### 1. Quarterly Reporting Requirements

During the life of the TEDC project, quarterly reports are to be submitted to the IEED project coordinator assigned to your project. The beginning and ending quarter periods are to be based on the actual start date of the TEDC project. This date can be determined between the IEED project coordinator and the tribe.

The quarterly report can be a one to two page summary of events, accomplishments, problems and/or results that took place during the quarter. Quarterly reports are due two weeks after the end of a project's fiscal quarter.

### 2. Final Reporting Requirements

The tribe must deliver all products and data generated by the proposed assessment project to IEED through the TEDC project coordinator within two weeks after completion of the project.

IEED requires that deliverable products be provided in digital format, along with printed hard copies. Reports can be provided in either WordPerfect, MS Word or PDF format. Spreadsheet data can be provided in MS Excel or PDF formats. Images can be provided in PDF, JPEG, TIFF, or any of the Windows metafile formats.

When a tribe prepares a proposal for a TEDC project, it must describe the deliverable products and include a requirement that the products be prepared in standard format (see format description above). Each proposal's budget estimate will provide funding for a total of six printed and six digital copies of the final report to be distributed as follows:

(a) The tribe will receive two printed and two digital copies of the TEDC report.

(b) IEED will receive four printed copies and four digital copies of the report sent to the IEED—Capacity Development Report, South Interior Building—Room 20, 1951 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20245. IEED will transmit one of these copies to the tribe's BIA Regional Office, and

one copy to the tribe's BIA Agency office. Two printed and two digital copies will then reside with IEED.

Dated: April 18, 2008.

**Carl J. Artman,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. E8-9512 Filed 4-30-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA-350-1610-DR]

#### Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for the Alturas Resource Management Plan

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) policies, the BLM announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD)/Approved Resource Management Plan (RMP) for the Alturas Field Office. The California State Director has signed the ROD, which constitutes the final decision of the BLM and makes the Approved RMP effective immediately.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the ROD and RMP are available upon request from the Field Manager, Alturas Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 708 West 12th Street Alturas, CA 96101, or via the internet at <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/planning.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information contact Jeff Fontana, Public Affairs Officer, Bureau of Land Management, 2950 Riverside Dr., Susanville, CA 96130, telephone (530) 257-0456, or e-mail your request to: [necarpmp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:necarpmp@ca.blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Alturas Field Office includes approximately 503,045 acres in northeastern California. The geographic area includes BLM-administered lands within the counties of Modoc, Lassen, Shasta, and Siskiyou, California. The Alturas RMP was developed in coordination with the Eagle Lake and Surprise Field Office RMPs to provide a consistent framework for managing public lands and resource uses in northeast California and far northwest Nevada. BLM officially initiated the planning process for the Draft Alturas Resource Management Plan (RMP) with publication of a Notice of Intent in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 2003 (Volume 68, Number 140). Issues related

to resource management in the Alturas planning area were assembled during the scoping process consisting of public scoping meetings, field tours, socioeconomic workshops, and interactions with federal, state, tribal, and county collaborators.

The RMP describes management actions to meet desired resource conditions for vegetation communities, wildlife habitats, and cultural and visual resources. It also outlines actions for recreation, protection of sensitive natural and cultural resources, livestock grazing, wild and scenic rivers, guidance for energy and mineral development, land tenure adjustments, and other planning issues raised during the scoping process.

The Alturas RMP designated seven Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs): Ash Valley ACEC (1,322 acres); Timbered Crater (17,896 acres); Emigrant Trails (1,750 acres); Mountain Peaks (3,500 acres); Old-Growth Juniper (3,115 acres); Mount Dome (1,510 acres); and Yankee Jim/Likely Tablelands/Fitzhugh Creek (1,400 acres). The following types of resource use limitations apply to these ACECs: (1) New rights-of-way exclusion; (2) Visual Resource Management Class II; (3) Certain restrictions to energy and mineral development; and (4) Motorized travel permitted only on designated open routes.

The BLM's Draft Alturas RMP/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (April 2006) presented five alternatives to help the BLM and interested parties understand the various ways of addressing issues in the region. Upon evaluation of the alternatives and associated impacts described in the Draft RMP/EIS and based on public and agency comments on that document, the BLM prepared the Alturas Proposed RMP and Final EIS which was released on June 15, 2007. The Proposed RMP is comprised of the preferred alternative identified in the Draft RMP/EIS with small changes as a result of comments received.

Two protest letters on the Alturas Proposed RMP were received and resolved by the BLM Director. The BLM determined that the Proposed Action, as described in the Proposed RMP and Final EIS, best meets the purpose and need for the project.

The Governor of the State of California in his letter dated September 17, 2007 stated, "Pursuant to 43 CFR 1603-2, and after consulting with affected State and Local agencies, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) has not found any inconsistencies with any state or local plans, policies, or programs with

regards to this [Proposed] Resource Management Plan.”

The decisions identifying designated routes of travel for motorized vehicles are implementation decisions and are appealable to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA) under 43 CFR part 4. These decisions are contained in Chapter 2.16.6 and shown on Map TRAVEL-2 of the RMP. Any party adversely affected by the BLM's decision(s) to identify, evaluate, define, delineate, and/or select specific routes as available for motorized use within designated areas of travel as set forth in the Alturas Resource Management Plan may appeal within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability.

The appeal must be filed with the Alturas Field Manager at the above listed address. Please consult 43 CFR part 4 for further information on the IBLA appeal process.

**Tim Burke,**

*Alturas Field Office Manager.*

[FR Doc. E8-9520 Filed 4-30-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA-350-1610-DR]

#### Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for the Eagle Lake Resource Management Plan

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) policies, the BLM announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD)/Approved Resource Management Plan (RMP) for the Eagle Lake Field Office. The California State Director has signed the ROD, which constitutes the final decision of the BLM and makes the Approved RMP effective immediately.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the ROD and RMP are available upon request from the Field Manager, Eagle Lake Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2950 Riverside Drive, Susanville, CA 96130, or via the internet at <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/planning.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** For further information contact Jeff Fontana, Public Affairs Officer, Bureau of Land Management, 2950 Riverside Dr.,

Susanville, CA 96130, telephone (530) 257-0456, or e-mail your request to: [necarmp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:necarmp@ca.blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Eagle Lake Field Office includes approximately 1,022,767 acres in northeastern California and northwest Nevada. The geographic area includes BLM-administered lands within the counties of Lassen, Plumas, and Sierra, California, and Washoe, Nevada. BLM's mission is to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the public lands it manages for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations. The Eagle Lake RMP was developed in coordination with the Alturas and Surprise Field Office RMPs to provide a consistent framework for managing public lands and resource uses in northeast California and northwest Nevada.

BLM officially initiated the planning process for the Draft Eagle Lake Resource Management Plan (RMP) with publication of a Notice of Intent in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 2003 (Volume 68, Number 140). Issues related to resource management in the Eagle Lake planning area were assembled during the scoping process consisting of public scoping meetings, field tours, socioeconomic workshops, and interactions with federal, state, tribal, and county collaborators.

The RMP describes management actions to meet desired resource conditions for vegetation communities, wildlife habitats, and cultural and visual resources. It also outlines actions for recreation, protection of sensitive natural and cultural resources, livestock grazing, wild and scenic rivers, guidance for energy and mineral development, land tenure adjustments, and other planning issues raised during the scoping process.

The Eagle Lake RMP designated seven Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs): Eagle Lake Basin (34,320 acres); Susan River (2,495 acres); Pines Dunes (2,887 acres); Willow Creek (2,130 acres); Lower Smoke Creek (894 acres); Buffalo Creek Canyons (36,515 acres); and North Dry Valley (10,156 acres). The following types of resource use limitations apply to these ACECs: (1) New rights-of-way avoidance areas; (2) Visual Resource Management Class II; (3) Certain restrictions to energy and mineral development; and (4) Motorized travel permitted only on designated open routes.

The BLM's Draft Eagle Lake RMP/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (April 2006) presented five alternatives to help the BLM and interested parties understand the

various ways of addressing issues in the region. Upon evaluation of the alternatives and associated impacts described in the Draft RMP/EIS and based on public and agency comments on that document, the BLM prepared the Eagle Lake Proposed RMP and Final EIS which was released on June 15, 2007. The Proposed RMP is comprised of the preferred alternative identified in the Draft RMP/EIS with small changes as a result of comments received.

Ten protest letters on the Eagle Lake proposed RMP were received and resolved by the BLM Director. The BLM determined that the Proposed Action, as described in the Proposed RMP and Final EIS, best meets the purpose and need for the project.

The Governor of the State of California in his letter September 17, 2007 stated, "Pursuant to 43 CFR 1603-2, and after consulting with affected State and Local agencies, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) has not found any inconsistencies with any state or local plans, policies, or programs with regards to this [Proposed] Resource Management Plan."

The decisions identifying designated routes of travel for motorized vehicles are implementation decisions and are appealable to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA) under 43 CFR, Part 4. These decisions are contained in Chapter 2.16.6 and shown on Map TRAVEL-2 of the RMP. Any party adversely affected by the BLM's decision(s) to identify, evaluate, define, delineate, and/or select specific routes as available for motorized use within designated areas of travel as set forth in the Eagle Lake Resource Management Plan may appeal within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability. The appeal must be filed with the Eagle Lake Field Manager at the above listed address. Please consult 43 CFR part 4 for further information on the IBLA appeal process.

**Dayne Barron,**

*Field Manager.*

[FR Doc. E8-9521 Filed 4-30-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA-190-07-1610]

#### Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for the Surprise Resource Management Plan

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.