4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the [_] State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had [(1) no comments or (2) the following comments—with subsequent disposition by the staff].

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment[s] change[s] a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 or surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding published [DATE] ([ ] FR [ ]). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Description of Amendment Request: [LICENSEE] requests adoption of an approved change to the standard technical specifications (STS) for Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) Plants [NUREG–1430, NUREG–1431, or NUREG–1432] and the [LICENSEE] technical specifications (TS), to replace the current limits on primary coolant gross specific activity with limits on primary coolant noble gas activity. The noble gas activity would be based on DOSE EQUIVALENT XE–133 and would take into account only the noble gas activity in the primary coolant. The changes are consistent with NRC approved Industry/Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Standard Technical Specification Change Traveler, TSTF–490.

Basis for proposed no-significant-hazards-consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), an analysis of the issue of no-significant-hazards-consideration is presented below:

Criterion 1—The Proposed Change Does Not Involve a Significant Increase in the Probability or Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated

Reactor coolant specific activity is not an initiator for any accident previously evaluated. The Completion Time when primary coolant gross activity is not within limit is not an initiator for any accident previously evaluated. The current variable limit on primary coolant iodine concentration is not an initiator to any accident previously evaluated. As a result, the proposed change does not significantly increase the probability of an accident. The proposed change will limit primary coolant noble gases to concentrations consistent with the accident analyses. The proposed change to the Completion Time has no impact on the consequences of any design basis accident since the consequences of an accident during the extended Completion Time are the same as the consequences of an accident during the Completion Time. As a result, the consequences of any accident previously evaluated are not significantly increased.

Criterion 2—The Proposed Change Does Not Create the Possibility of a New or Different Kind of Accident from any Previously Evaluated

The proposed change in specific activity limits does not alter any physical part of the plant nor does it affect any plant operating parameter. The change does not create the potential for a new or different kind of accident from any previously calculated.

Criterion 3—The Proposed Change Does Not Involve a Significant Reduction in the Margin of Safety

The proposed change revises the limits on noble gase radioactivity in the primary coolant. The proposed change is consistent with the assumptions in the safety analyses and will ensure the monitored values protect the initial assumptions in the safety analyses.

Based upon the reasoning presented above and the previous discussion of the amendment request, the requested change does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this ____day of _____, XXXX.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch [ ], Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure 2—[Proposed Technical Specification Changes and Technical Specification Bases Changes]

Enclosure 3—[Final Technical Specification and Bases Pages]

[FR Doc. 06–9330 Filed 11–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC).

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that OPIC is planning to submit the following proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): OPIC 2006 Client Satisfaction Survey. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, OPIC is soliciting public comment on the client survey. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information, its practical utility, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize the reporting burden. The proposed ICR is summarized below.

DATES: All comments must be received by OPIC within 60 calendar days from the publication date of this Notice.

ADDRESSES: Information regarding this information collection request and/or a copy of the survey questions can be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer below. Comments on the survey should be submitted to the Agency Submitting Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: *OPIC Agency Submitting Officer:* Essie Bryant, Records Manager, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20527, telephone (202) 336–8563.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Type of Request: New information collection.

Title: 2006 OPIC Client Satisfaction Survey.

OMB Approval Number: None.

Frequency of Response: Once per client.

Type of Respondents: Individual business officer representatives of U.S. companies sponsoring projects overseas.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Affected Public: U.S. companies or citizens sponsoring projects overseas.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated time Per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: $0.

Authority for Information Collection: Sections 231 and 234 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

Abstract (Needs and Uses of Information Collected): OPIC is

conducting a telephone survey of its clients to determine their satisfaction with its products and services. OPIC will use the survey results to develop strategies to improve customer service. Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of OPIC, including whether the information collected will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of OPIC's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Signature Date: November 8, 2006.

Dev Jagadesen,

Deputy General Counsel, Department of Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 06–9275 Filed 11–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submissions for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extensions: Schedule 14D–1F, OMB Control No. 3235–0376, SEC File No. 270–338. Schedule 14D–9F, OMB Control No. 3235–0382, SEC File No. 270–339.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget these requests for extension of the previously approved collections of information discussed below.

Schedule 14D–1F (17 CFR 240.14d–102) may be used by any person making a cash tender or exchange offer for securities of any issuer incorporated or organized under the laws of Canada or any Canadian province or territory that is a foreign private issuer, where less than 40% of the outstanding class of such issuer's securities that is the subject of the offer is held by U.S. holders. Schedule 14D–1F is designed to facilitate cross-border transactions in securities of Canadian issuers. The information required to be filed with the Commission is intended to permit verification of compliance with the securities law requirements and assures the public availability of such information. The information provided is mandatory and all information is made available to the public upon request. Schedule 14D–1F takes approximately 2 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 5 respondents annually for a total reporting burden of 10 hours.

Schedule 14D–9F (17 CFR 240.14d–103) is used by any issuer incorporated or organized under the laws of Canada or any Canadian province or territory that is a foreign private issuer, or by any director or officer of such issuer, where the issuer is the subject of a cash tender or exchange offer for a class of securities filed on Schedule 14D–1F. The information required to be filed with the Commission is intended to permit verification of compliance with the securities law requirements and assures the public availability of such information. The information provided is mandatory and all information is made available to the public upon request. Schedule 14D–9F takes approximately 2 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 5 respondents annually for a total reporting burden of 10 hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Written comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or send an e-mail to *David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov*; and (ii) R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Shirley Martinson 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312; or send an e-mail to: *PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov*. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: November 13, 2006.

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–19520 Filed 11–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–54741; File No. SR–Amex–2006–106]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Adoption of a Penny Quoting Pilot Program

November 9, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on November 9, 2006, the American Stock Exchange LLC (''Amex'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Amex. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to adopt a six month pilot program (the ''Penny Quoting Pilot Program'') to quote a limited number of options classes in a minimum price variation (''MPV'') of $0.01.

The text of the proposed rule change, including Exhibit 2 (a draft Regulatory Circular, which sets forth the list of the options classes that will be subject to the proposed Penny Quoting Pilot Program) to the proposed rule change is available on the Amex's Web site at *http://www.amex.com*, at the Office of the Secretary, the Amex, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.