

—Presentations related to the IISTF's charter to assessing any vulnerabilities of the ISS that could lead to its destruction, compromise the health of its crew, or necessitate its premature abandonment.

Attendees will be requested to sign a register and to comply with NASA security requirements, including the presentation of a valid picture ID, before receiving an access badge. To expedite admittance, attendees should provide identifying information in advance by contacting Ms. Jana Schultz via e-mail at [jana.t.schultz@nasa.gov](mailto:jana.t.schultz@nasa.gov) or by telephone at (281) 244-7913 by July 20, 2006. Foreign nationals that wish to attend this meeting will be required to provide the following information: Full name; gender; date/place of birth; citizenship; visa/green card information (number, type, expiration date); passport information (number, country, expiration date); employer/affiliation information (name of institution, address, country, phone); title/position of attendee not less than 10 days prior to the meeting in order to process their security check and provide sufficient escorts for admittance on to Johnson Space Center.

Members of the public may make five minute verbal presentations to the Task Force on the subject of International Space Station safety. All those wishing to make such a statement in front of the Task Force are requested to contact Ms. Jana Schultz via e-mail at [jana.t.schultz@nasa.gov](mailto:jana.t.schultz@nasa.gov) or by telephone at (281) 244-7913 by July 20, 2006, prior to the first day of the meeting. If public requests to speak are received, they will be heard during the first 30 minutes of the July 25, 2006, meeting on a first-come basis. Any member of the public is permitted to file a written statement with the Task Force at the time of the meeting. Verbal presentations and written comments should be limited to the subject of International Space Station safety.

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

**Michael F. O'Brien,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of External Relations.*

[FR Doc. E6-10323 Filed 6-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (06-041)]

### Notice of Intent To Grant Partially Exclusive License

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Grant Partially-Exclusive License.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(c)(1) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant a partially exclusive license in the United States to practice the inventions described and claimed in "Ordered Biological Nanostructures Formed From Chaperonin Polypeptides," ARC-14744-1 and "A Versatile Platform for Nanotechnology Based on Circular Permutations of Chaperonin Protein," ARC-14744-2, to Cambrios Technologies, having its principal place of business in Mountain View, California. The patent rights in this invention have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective partially-exclusive license will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

**DATES:** The prospective [exclusive/partially-exclusive] license may be granted unless within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. Competing applications completed and received by NASA within fifteen (15) days of the date of this published notice will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated partially-exclusive license.

Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

**ADDRESSES:** Objections relating to the prospective [exclusive/partially-exclusive] license may be submitted to Chief Patent Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, NASA Ames Research Center, MS 202A-4, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000, (650) 604-5104; Fax (650) 604-2767.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert M. Padilla, Chief Patent Counsel,

Office of Chief Counsel, NASA Ames Research Center, MS 202A-4, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000, (650) 604-5104; Fax (650) 604-2767. Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at <http://techtracs.nasa.gov/>.

Dated: June 22, 2006.

**Keith T. Sefton,**

*Deputy General Counsel, Administration and Management.*

[FR Doc. E6-10325 Filed 6-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-325 and 50-324]

### Carolina Power & Light Company; Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2; Notice of Issuance of Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and DPR-62 for an Additional 20-Year Period

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) has issued Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71, and DPR-62 to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), the operator of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Units 1 and 2. Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-71 authorizes operation of BSEP, Unit 1, by the licensee at reactor core power levels not in excess of 2923 megawatts thermal, in accordance with the provisions of the BSEP renewed license and its Technical Specifications. Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-62 authorizes operation of BSEP, Unit 2, by the licensee at reactor core power levels not in excess of 2923 megawatts thermal, in accordance with the provisions of the BSEP renewed license and its Technical Specifications.

BSEP, Units 1 and 2, are located south of Wilmington, NC, at the mouth of the Cape Fear River in Brunswick County, NC, and 2 miles north of Southport, NC. The licensee's application for the renewed licenses complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations. As required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, the Commission has made appropriate findings, which are set forth in each license. Prior public notice of the action involving the proposed issuance of the renewed licenses and of an opportunity for a hearing regarding the proposed issuance of the renewed licenses was published in the **Federal**

Register on December 6, 2004 (69 FR 70471).

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the Carolina Power & Light Company's license renewal application for BSEP, Units 1 and 2, dated October 18, 2004, as supplemented by letters dated February 24, March 14, March 17, March 31, April 8, April 21, May 4, May 11, May 16, June 1, June 14, July 18, August 11, September 29, November 22, and December 6, 2005; (2) the Commission's safety evaluation report (NUREG-1856), dated March 2006; and (3) the Commission's final environmental impact statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 25), published in April 2006. These documents are available at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852, and on the NRC public Web site in the Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>.

Copies of Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and DPR-62 may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Director, Division of License Renewal. Copies of the BSEP, Units 1 and 2, safety evaluation report (NUREG-1856) and the final environmental impact statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 25) may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161-0002, <http://www.ntis.gov>, 703-605-6000, or Attention: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250-7954, <http://www.gpoaccess.gov>, 202-512-1800. All orders should clearly identify the NRC publication number and the requester's Government Printing Office deposit account number or a VISA or MasterCard number and expiration date.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of June 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Pao-Tsin Kuo,**

*Deputy Director, Division of License Renewal,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 06-5900 Filed 6-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-244]

### R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC; R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to the Proposed License Amendment To Increase the Maximum Reactor Power Level

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission).

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has prepared a final Environmental Assessment as part of its evaluation of a request by R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC (Ginna LLC) for a license amendment to increase the maximum steady state power level at the R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant (Ginna) from 1520 megawatts thermal (MWt) to 1775 MWt. This represents a power increase of approximately 16.8 percent, which is considered an extended power uprate (EPU). As stated in the NRC staff's position paper dated February 8, 1996, on the Boiling-Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate Program, the NRC staff will prepare an environmental impact statement if it believes a power uprate will have a significant impact on the human environment. The NRC staff did not identify any significant impact from the information provided in the licensee's EPU application for Ginna Station or the NRC staff's independent review; therefore, the NRC staff is documenting its environmental review in an environmental assessment. Also, in accordance with the position paper, the final Environmental Assessment and finding of no significant impact is being published in the **Federal Register**.

The NRC published a draft Environmental Assessment and finding of no significant impact on the proposed action for public comment in the **Federal Register** on April 12, 2006 (71 FR 18779). One set of comments was received on the draft Environmental Assessment from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) by letter dated May 12, 2006 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML061370627). The comments are discussed in the paragraphs below.

Some of the comments provided by the NYSDEC were clarifications and corrections to the draft Environmental Assessment (see comment a, b, c, d, and e in the NYSDEC letter). Based on these comments, the NRC revised the appropriate sections of the final Environmental Assessment. In comment

“f,” NYSDEC indicated “based on review of historical data, staff would not characterize impingement and entrainment rates as ‘minimal,’ but would describe them as ‘lower than most similar sized electrical generating facilities in New York State.’” The NRC only evaluates environmental impacts at the site and surrounding area that could be affected by the proposed EPU at the facility. Rather than comparing the impacts with other perhaps similar facilities, the NRC staff looks at the overall impact of the affected resource, i.e., aquatic species in Lake Ontario. Our conclusion of “minimal” should be interpreted as not having a noticeable impact on the long-term sustainment of aquatic species in Lake Ontario due to entrainment and impingement. This action may have no impact to aquatic species in other parts of New York State; therefore, our analysis does not make such comparison. The comment is noted, but no changes were made to the Environmental Assessment based on this comment.

The NYSDEC comments “g and h” raised concerns regarding possible unknown synergistic effects of physical and thermal stresses to the cold water species alewife and three-spine stickleback impinged in the Ginna fish return system under the proposed EPU conditions. In addition, NYSDEC recommended the discussion on the fish return system include references to the 316(b) Phase II rule developed by the Environment Protection Agency (EPA). This regulation established Federal requirements applicable to the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling-water intake structures at existing facilities that exceed a threshold value for water withdrawals. The draft Environmental Assessment did include a discussion on how the new performance standards are designed to significantly reduce impingement and entrainment losses resulting from plant operation, and any site-specific mitigation would result in less impact due to continued plant operation. Currently, the Ginna State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit modification application is under technical review by NYSDEC. The SPDES permit modification application incorporated the requirements listed in Subpart J of the EPA 316(b) Phase II rule. Also, Ginna LLC has begun some studies required for compliance with the EPA 316(b) Phase II rule. The NRC staff agrees that implementation of technologies and/or operational procedures required by the EPA 316(b) Phase II rule, with authority delegated