

Office of Management and Budget  
Circular A-21, "Cost Principles for  
Educational Institutions."

OMB Circular A-87, "Cost Principles  
for State, Local and Indian  
Governments."

OMB Circular No. A-110 (Revised),  
Uniform Administrative  
Requirements for Grants and  
Agreements with Institutions of  
Higher Education, Hospitals, and  
other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A-102, Uniform  
Administrative Requirements for  
Grants-in-Aid to State and Local  
Governments.

OMB Circular No. A-133, Audits of  
States, Local Government, and Non-  
profit Organizations.

Please reference the following Web  
sites for additional information:

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants>

[http://exchanges.state.gov/education/  
grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI](http://exchanges.state.gov/education/grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI).

### VI.3. Reporting Requirements

You must provide ECA with a hard  
copy original plus two copies of the  
final program and financial report no  
more than 90 days after the expiration  
of the award.

U.S. cooperating institutions will be  
required to provide reports analyzing  
their evaluation findings to the Bureau  
in their regular program reports. [Please  
refer to IV. Application and Submission  
Instructions (IV.3.d.3)] above for  
Program Monitoring and Evaluation  
information.

All data collected, including survey  
responses and contact information, must  
be maintained for a minimum of three  
years and provided to the Bureau upon  
request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA  
Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer  
listed in the final assistance award  
document.

### VI.4. Optional Program Data Requirements

Organizations awarded grants will be  
required to maintain specific data on  
program participants and activities in an  
electronically accessible database format  
that can be shared with the Bureau as  
required. As a minimum, the data must  
include the following:

(1) Name, address, contact  
information and biographic sketch of all  
persons who travel internationally on  
funds provided by the grant or who  
benefit from the grant funding but do  
not travel.

(2) Itineraries of international and  
domestic travel, providing dates of  
travel and cities in which any exchange  
experiences take place. The ECA

Program Officer and partners abroad  
must receive final schedules for in  
country and U.S. activities at least seven  
workdays prior to the official opening of  
the activity.

### VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this  
announcement, contact: Mary Ellen  
Sariti, Fulbright Teacher Exchange  
Branch, Office of Global Educational  
Programs, ECA/A/S/X, Room 349, ECA/  
A/S/X-06-10, U.S. Department of State,  
SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW.,  
Washington, DC 20547, (Tel.) 202-453-  
8877 (Fax.) 202-453-8890,  
[saritime@state.gov](mailto:saritime@state.gov).

All correspondence with the Bureau  
concerning this RFGP should reference  
the above title and number ECA/A/S/X-  
06-10.

Please read the complete  
announcement before sending inquiries  
or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP  
deadline has passed, Bureau staff may  
not discuss this competition with  
applicants until the proposal review  
process has been completed.

### VIII. Other Information

#### Notice

The terms and conditions published  
in this RFGP are binding and may not  
be modified by any Bureau  
representative. Explanatory information  
provided by the Bureau that contradicts  
published language will not be binding.  
Issuance of the RFGP does not  
constitute an award commitment on the  
part of the Government. The Bureau  
reserves the right to reduce, revise, or  
increase proposal budgets in accordance  
with the needs of the program and the  
availability of funds. Awards made will  
be subject to periodic reporting and  
evaluation requirements per section VI.3  
above.

Dated: April 19, 2006.

#### C. Miller Crouch,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for  
Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department  
of State.*

[FR Doc. E6-6183 Filed 4-24-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-05-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Request To Release Airport Property at Searcy County Municipal Airport, Marshall, Arkansas

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation  
Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of request to release  
airport property.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and  
invites public comment on the release of  
land at the Searcy County Municipal  
Airport under the provisions of Title 49  
United States Code, Section 47153.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on  
or before May 25, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this  
application may be mailed or delivered  
to the FAA at the following address: Mr.  
Edward Agnew, Manager, Federal  
Aviation Administration, Southwest  
Region, Airports Division, Arkansas/  
Oklahoma Airports Development Office,  
ASW-630, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-  
0630.

In addition, one copy of any  
comments submitted to the FAA must  
be mailed or delivered to Judge Johnny  
Hinchey, County of Searcy, Arkansas, at  
the following address: County of Searcy,  
P.O. Box 1370, Searcy, AR 72650.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr.  
Don Harris, Senior Program Manager,  
Federal Aviation Administration,  
Southwest Region, Airports Division,  
Arkansas/Oklahoma Airports  
Development Office, ASW-631, Fort  
Worth, Texas 76193-0630.

The request to release property may  
be reviewed in person at this same  
location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA  
invites public comment on the request  
to release property at Searcy County  
Municipal Airport under the provisions  
of the Act.

On April 4, 2006, FAA determined  
that the request to release property at  
Searcy County Municipal Airport  
submitted by the County of Searcy met  
the procedural requirements of the  
Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 155.  
The FAA may approve the request, in  
whole or in part, no later than May 31,  
2006.

The following is a brief overview of  
the request: The County of Searcy  
requests the release of approximately 2  
acres of airport property. The land is  
encumbered by Federal grant assurances  
pursuant to the receipt of Federal  
Airport Improvement Program funds.  
The release of property will allow the  
city of Marshall to accept a United  
States Department of Agriculture Rural  
Development grant for the construction  
and operation of a fire station at the  
airport.

The appraised value of the subject  
property is \$22,000.00. The city has  
committed toward providing in-kind  
services of fire protection and  
emergency medical services for the

public airport at an estimated value of \$2,400.00 annually.

Any person may inspect the request in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Searcy County Municipal Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 6, 2006.

**Kelvin L. Solco,**

*Manager, Airports Division.*

[FR Doc. 06-3756 Filed 4-24-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement: Pellissippi Parkway Extension (State Route 162), Blount County, TN

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Blount County, Tennessee.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Karen M. Brunelle, Planning and Program Management Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration—Tennessee Division Office, 640 Grassmere Park Road, Suite 112, Nashville, TN 37211, 615-781-5772.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed project would construct an extension of Pellissippi Parkway (State Route 162) from State Route 33 to U.S. 321 (State Route 73) in Blount County, Tennessee, a distance of approximately 4.4 miles. The extension of Pellissippi Parkway is considered necessary to improve regional and local accessibility for the general public as well as emergency vehicles, to improve traffic capacity on the existing roadway system, to provide system linkage, and to improve safety conditions on U.S. 129 (State Route 115) and U.S. 321.

Alternatives under consideration include: (1) No-Build; (2) Transportation System Management (TSM) activities; (3) upgrade existing roadways; (4) Mass Transit; and (5) one or more alternatives that would construct a new roadway on a new location.

Two public scoping meetings will be held in the project corridor. As part of the scoping process, Federal, state, and

local agencies and officials; private organizations; citizens; and interest groups will have an opportunity to provide input into the development of the Environmental Impact Statement and identify issues of concern. A Public Involvement Plan has been developed to include the public in the project development process. This plan proposes utilizing the following outreach efforts to provide information and solicit input: newsletters, the Internet, e-mail and direct mail, informal meetings and briefings, public information meetings, public hearings and other efforts as necessary and appropriate. A public hearing will be held upon completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and public notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. The Draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearings.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are identified and taken into account, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments and questions concerning the proposed action should be directed to the FHWA contact person identified above at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed program.)

Issued on: April 19, 2006.

**Karen M. Brunelle,**

*Planning and Program Mgmt. Team Leader,  
Nashville, TN.*

[FR Doc. E6-6141 Filed 4-24-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2004-17672; Notice 2]

#### Decision That Nonconforming 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of decision by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration that nonconforming 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces a decision by the National Highway

Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) that certain 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S. certified version of the 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars), and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** This decision was effective July 9, 2004. The agency notified the petitioner at that time that the subject vehicles are eligible for importation. This document provides public notice of the eligibility decision.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-3151).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified as required under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

Webautoworld (Web) of Pompano Beach, Florida (Registered Importer 02-295), petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published a notice of the petition on May 6, 2004 (69 FR 25460)