

and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The previous investigation initiated on October 6, 2004, resulted in a negative determination issued on November 8, 2004, based on the finding that imports of paperboard rolls did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 9, 2004 (69 FR 71428).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding subject firm's customers. Upon further review, it was revealed that the Department did not request a list of declining domestic customers during the initial investigation due to the understanding that the subject firm produced paperboard rolls to satisfy the in-house demand.

Having conducted a detailed investigation on reconsideration, it was established that the subject firm supplied a number of affiliated facilities with low-density paperboard. The Department surveyed these facilities as customers of the subject firm. It was revealed that the major declining customer absolutely increased its imports of low-density paperboard in the relevant period. The imports accounted for a meaningful portion of the subject plant's lost sales and production.

In accordance with section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Rock-Tenn Company, Otsego, Michigan, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or

production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Rock-Tenn Company, Otsego, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 29, 2003 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 4th day of February 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-688 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52, 517]

Solutia, Inc. Nylon Business Unit Including Leased Workers of Kelly Services Austin Industrial and the Mundy Companies Decatur AL; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on September 22, 2003, applicable to workers of Solutia, Inc., Nylon Business Unit, including leased workers of Kelly Services and Austin Industrial, Decatur, Alabama. The notice was published in **Federal Register** on November 6, 2003 (68 FR 62834).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the company shows that workers of The Mundy Companies were leased to Solutia, Inc., Nylon Business Unit. Solutia, Inc., produces produce acrylic fibers and chemicals at its Decatur, Georgia plant.

Based on this new information, the Department is amending the certification to include leased workers of The Mundies Companies engaged in activities related to the production of working at Solutia, Inc., Nylon Business Unit, Decatur, Alabama.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of

Solutia, Inc., Nylon Business Unit, who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-52, 517 is hereby issued as follows:

Workers of Solutia, Inc., Nylon Business Unit, including leased workers of Kelly Services, Austin Industrial and The Mundy Companies, Decatur, Alabama, engaged in employment related to the production of acrylic fibers, Decatur, Alabama, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 5, 2002, through September 22, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Dated: Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of February 2005.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 05-3324 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment And Training Administration

[TA-W-52,777]

Steelcase, Inc. Including Leased Workers Of RCM Technologies Grand Rapids, MI; Amended Revised Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued an Amended Revised Determination on Reconsideration Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on March 30, 2004, applicable to workers of Steelcase, Inc., located in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The revised determination was amended to include employees of RCM Technologies working at the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 16, 2004 (69 FR 20646-20647).

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce office furniture and furniture parts.

The review shows that the Department inadvertently erred in setting the expiration date at December 11, 2005. The correct expiration date is October 14, 2005, two years after the issuance of the initial certification for

the worker group. Therefore, the Department is again amending the revised determination to reflect the correct impact date.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-52,777 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Steelcase, Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan, including leased workers of RCM Technologies working at Steelcase, Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 12, 2002, through October 14, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 14th day of February, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-685 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,216]

ITW Insulation Systems, Nitro, WV; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On January 11, 2005, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 21, 2005 (70 FR 3227).

The petition for the workers of ITW Insulation Systems, Nitro, West Virginia engaged in production of metal jacketing and industrial thermal insulation applications was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The survey revealed no increase of imports of metal jacketing an industrial thermal insulation applications during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import metal jacketing and industrial thermal insulation applications in the relevant period nor did it shift production to a foreign country.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner requests to extend the period

for investigation beyond the relevant time period.

A review of the original investigation confirmed that the subject firm ceased its production on June 30, 2004. All the surveys and data requested from the subject firm and its customers reflected this date. The Department considers import impact in terms of the relevant period of the current investigation; therefore import impact that is outside the relevant period are irrelevant. The Department must conform to the Trade Act and associated regulations.

The petitioner further requested to extend the survey of customers to include those in the northeast.

Additional list of customers was requested from the subject firm. As a result, six additional largest customers were surveyed in the reconsideration process. These customers reported no imports of like or directly competitive products with those manufactured by the subject firm during the relevant period.

The petitioner also alleges that the subject firm "will be supplying their customer base from their facility in Canada."

A company official was contacted regarding the above allegation. The company official stated that no production has been shifted from the subject firm to Canada, nor is the United States operation importing from Canada.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of February, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 05-3355 Filed 2-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 81, Standard Specification for Granting of Patent Licenses.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0121.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Application for licenses are submitted once. Other reports are submitted annually or as other events required.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Applicants for and holders of NRC Licenses to NRC inventions.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 1.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 37 hours estimated; however, no applications are anticipated during the next 3 years.

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 81 establishes the standard specifications for the issuance of licenses to rights in inventions covered by patents or patent applications invested in the United States, as represented by or in the custody of the Commission and other patents in which the Commission has legal rights.

Submit, by April 25, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton (T-5 F53),