

iii. In combination with disclosures for other types of accounts, as long as it is clear to consumers which disclosures apply to their account

iv. On more than one page and on the front and reverse sides

v. By using inserts to a document or filling in blanks

vi. On more than one document, as long as the documents are provided at the same time.]

* * * * *

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

Dated: November 25, 2003.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 03-29946 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NE-35-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney Canada Models PW123, PW123B, PW123C, PW123D, PW123E, PW123AF, PW124B, PW125B, PW126A, PW127, PW127E, PW127F, and PW127G Turboprop Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for Pratt & Whitney Canada (PWC) models PW123, PW123B, PW123C, PW123D, PW123E, PW123AF, PW124B, PW125B, PW126A, PW127, PW127E, PW127F, and PW127G turboprop engines. This proposed AD would require initial and repetitive gap inspections of the bypass valve cover, on certain part number (P/N) mechanical fuel controls (MFCs), and replacement of those MFCs as mandatory terminating action to the repetitive inspections. This proposed AD is prompted by sixteen reports of loss of engine throttle response and overspeed, eight of which resulted in in-flight shutdown. We are proposing this AD to prevent loss of throttle response and overspeed, resulting in engine in-flight shutdown.

DATES: We must receive any comments on this proposed AD by February 9, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD:

- By mail: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-NE-35-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299.

- By fax: (781) 238-7055.
- By e-mail: 9-ane-adcomment@faa.gov.

You can get the service information identified in this proposed AD from Honeywell Engines & Systems, Technical Publications Department, 111 South 34th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034; telephone (602) 365-5535; fax (602) 365-5577.

You may examine the AD docket, by appointment, at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ian Dargin, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7178; fax (781) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to submit any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include "AD Docket No. 2003-NE-35-AD" in the subject line of your comments. If you want us to acknowledge receipt of your mailed comments, send us a self-addressed, stamped postcard with the docket number written on it; we will date-stamp your postcard and mail it back to you. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. If a person contacts us verbally, and that contact relates to a substantive part of this proposed AD, we will summarize the contact and place the summary in the docket. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We are reviewing the writing style we currently use in regulatory documents. We are interested in your comments on whether the style of this document is clear, and your suggestions to improve the clarity of our communications that affect you. You may get more information about plain language at <http://www.faa.gov/language> and <http://www.plainlanguage.gov>.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD Docket (including any comments and service

information), by appointment, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. See **ADDRESSES** for the location.

Discussion

Transport Canada, which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on PWC models PW123, PW123B, PW123C, PW123D, PW123E, PW123AF, PW124B, PW125B, PW126A, PW127, PW127E, PW127F, and PW127G turboprop engines.

Transport Canada advises that sixteen reports of loss of engine throttle response and overspeed have been received, eight of which resulted in in-flight shutdown. Investigation by the manufacturer revealed that the cause of this problem is dislodgement of the outer lip of the mechanical fuel control bypass valve diaphragm. The dislodgement is caused by inadequate preload applied to the bypass valve diaphragm outer lip during the assembly of the MFC.

Relevant Service Information

We have reviewed and approved the technical contents of Honeywell Service Information Bulletin (SIB) No. 82, dated September 14, 2001, that describes procedures for detecting dislodgement of the outer lip of the MFC bypass valve diaphragm, by performing gap inspections of the bypass valve cover on affected MFCs.

Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Manufacturer's Service Information

Although Honeywell SIB No. 82, dated September 14, 2001, suggests the gap inspections be done periodically at the aircraft "A" check, this proposal requires initial gap inspections within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of the proposed AD, and repetitive gap inspections at intervals of 1,500 hours TIS. This proposal also requires replacement of the MFC with an MFC that has an improved design bypass valve diaphragm.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

These PWC models PW123, PW123B, PW123C, PW123D, PW123E, PW123AF, PW124B, PW125B, PW126A, PW127, PW127E, PW127F, and PW127G turboprop engines, manufactured in Canada, are type-certified for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement,

Transport Canada has kept us informed of the situation described above. We have examined Transport Canada's findings, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States. Therefore, we are proposing this AD, which would require:

- Initial gap inspection of the bypass valve cover on affected MFCs, within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of the proposed AD.
- Repetitive gap inspections of the bypass valve cover on affected MFCs, at intervals of 1,500 hours TIS.
- Replacement of the affected MFC with an MFC that has an improved design bypass valve diaphragm, within 4,500 hours-in-service or 24 months from the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, as mandatory terminating action to the repetitive inspections of the proposed AD.

The proposed AD would require you to use the service information described previously to perform the inspections.

Changes to 14 CFR Part 39—Effect on the Proposed AD

On July 10, 2002, we issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47998, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's AD system. This regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. This material previously was included in each individual AD. Since this material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

Costs of Compliance

There are approximately 2,800 PWC models PW123, PW123B, PW123C, PW123D, PW123E, PW123AF, PW124B, PW125B, PW126A, PW127, PW127E, PW127F, and PW127G turboprop engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. We estimate that 473 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD. We also estimate that it would take about 0.1 work hour per engine to perform the proposed inspection, about 1 work hour per engine to replace the MFC during maintenance, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$72,000 per engine. Based on these figures, we estimate the total cost of the proposed AD to U.S. operators to be \$34,089,819. The manufacturer has stated that it may provide the new design MFCs at no cost to operators, and that if the MFC is replaced at shop visit, no additional labor costs will be incurred.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Would not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this proposal and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under

ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket No. 2003-NE-35-AD" in your request.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Pratt & Whitney Canada: Docket No. 2003-NE-35-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) action by February 9, 2004.

Affected ADs

- (b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Pratt & Whitney Canada (PWC) models PW123, PW123B, PW123C, PW123D, PW123E, PW123AF, PW124B, PW125B, PW126A, PW127, PW127E, PW127F, and PW127G turboprop

engines, with mechanical fuel controls (MFCs), part numbers (P/Ns) 3244841-21, 3244853-17, 3244855-15, 3244857-14, 3244858-23, 3244871-5, 3244873-4, and 3244874-4, installed. These engines are installed on, but not limited to, Aerospatiale ATR 42 and ATR 72, BAE Systems (Operations) Limited ATP, Bombardier Inc. DHC-8-200 series, DHC-8-300 series, CL-215T, and CL-415, Construcciones Aeronauticas, S.A. (CASA) C-295, Fokker Aircraft B.V. F27 Mark 050, and Mark 060 airplanes.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD is prompted by sixteen reports of loss of engine throttle response and overspeed, eight of which resulted in in-flight shutdown. We are issuing this AD to prevent loss of throttle response and overspeed, resulting in engine in-flight shutdown.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified unless the actions have already been done.

Initial Gap Inspection

(f) Within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of the AD, perform a gap inspection between the MFC bypass valve cover and the MFC main body, and disposition the MFC. Follow paragraphs 5.0 through 5.3 of Honeywell Service Information Bulletin (SIB) No. 82, dated September 14, 2001, to do the inspection and MFC disposition.

Repetitive Gap Inspections

(g) At intervals of 1,500 hours TIS from the last gap inspection, perform repetitive gap inspections between the MFC bypass valve cover and the MFC main body and disposition the MFC. Follow paragraphs 5.0 through 5.3 of Honeywell SIB No. 82, dated September 14, 2001, to do the inspection and MFC disposition.

Mandatory Terminating Action

(h) Within 4,500 hours TIS or 24 months from the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, replace the MFC with an MFC not having a P/N listed in paragraph (c) of this AD.

(i) Replacement of the MFC with an MFC whose P/N is not listed in paragraph (c) of this AD constitutes mandatory terminating action to the repetitive inspection requirements specified in paragraph (g) of this AD. Information on new design replacement MFCs can be found in PWC Service Bulletin No. PW100-72-21562, Revision 2, dated December 7, 2000.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(j) The Manager, Engine Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(k) You must use Honeywell Service Information Bulletin No. 82, dated September 14, 2001, to perform the inspections required

by this AD. Approval of incorporation by reference from the Office of the Federal Register is pending.

Related Information

(l) Transport Canada airworthiness directive CF-2002-34, dated July 15, 2002, and Pratt & Whitney Service Bulletin No. PW100-72-21669, dated October 2, 2001, also address the subject of this AD.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 4, 2003.

Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-30587 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Parts 1917 and 1918

[Docket No. S-025A]

RIN 1218-AA56

Longshoring and Marine Terminals; Vertical Tandem Lifts

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor is extending the comment and hearing request period for its proposed standard titled Longshoring and Marine Terminals; Vertical Tandem Lifts, for an additional sixty (60) days until February 13, 2004.

DATES: *Written Comments:* Comments and hearing requests must be submitted by the following dates.

Hard Copy: You must submit your comments and hearing requests (postmarked or sent) by February 13, 2004.

Facsimile and electronic transmission: You must submit your comments and hearing requests by February 13, 2004. (Please see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below for additional information on submitting comments.)

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments and hearing requests, identified by docket number S-025A and/or RIN number 1218-AA56, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Agency Web Site: Follow the instructions for submitting comments, hearing requests, or electronic

documents through the OSHA Internet Home page at <http://ecommnts.osha.gov>.

- Fax: If your submissions, including any attachments, are 10 pages or fewer, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648. You must include the docket number of this notice, Docket No. S-025AS, in your comments or hearing request.

- Mail: Submit three copies of your comments or hearing requests to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. S-025A, Room N-2625, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Because of security-related problems, there may be a significant delay in the receipt of submissions by regular mail.

- Hand Delivery/Courier: Submit three copies of your comments or hearing requests to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. S-025A, Room N-2625, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. OSHA Docket Office and Department of Labor hours of operation are 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.s.t. Please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (OSHA's TTY number is (877) 889-5627) for information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by express delivery, hand delivery, and messenger service.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and the docket number S-025A or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) 1218-AA56 for this rulemaking. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to OSHA's Web page at <http://www.osha.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. Contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (OSHA's TTY number is (877) 889-5627 for information about materials not available through the OSHA Web page and for assistance in using the Web page to locate docket submissions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical inquiries, contact Paul Rossi, OSHA, Office of Maritime, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2086. For general information and press inquiries, contact OSHA, Office of Communications, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3647, 200 Constitution

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-1999. For additional copies of this **Federal Register** notice, contact OSHA, Office of Publications, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3101, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-1888. Electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice, as well as news releases and other relevant documents, are available at OSHA's Web page on the Internet at <http://www.osha.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Extension of Comment Period

OSHA announced publication of its proposed standard for Longshoring and Marine Terminals; Vertical Tandem Lifts, in the **Federal Register** on September 16, 2003 (68 FR 54297). In that notice, the Agency provided the public with ninety (90) days to submit written comments, with a final date of December 15, 2003. Several interested persons have an extension of the deadline for submitting comments based on the need for additional time to gather information and to provide a thorough review and response to the proposed standard. In light of the interest expressed by the public, OSHA is providing an additional sixty (60) days for the submission of comments and hearing requests. Accordingly, written comments and hearing requests must now be submitted by February 13, 2004.

II. Obtaining Copies of the Proposed Standard

You can download the proposed standard for Vertical Tandem Lifts from OSHA's Web page at <http://www.osha.gov>. A printed copy of the proposed standard is available from the OSHA Office of Publications, Room N-3101, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, or by telephone at (800) 321-OSHA (6742). You may fax your request for a copy of the proposed standard to (202) 693-2498.

III. Submission of Comments and Internet Access to Comments

You may submit comments in response to this document by (1) hard copy, (2) fax transmission (facsimile), or (3) electronically through the OSHA Web page. You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic submission, you must submit three copies of the materials to the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by name, date,