

the consent order stipulations filed by Cheng Tai and Ningbo.

On April 21, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 7) terminating the investigation on the basis of the proposed joint consent order. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: May 13, 2003.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-12460 Filed 5-16-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-03-012]

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: May 20, 2003, at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification list.
4. Inv. No. 731-TA-1010 (Final) (Lawn and Garden Steel Fence Posts from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before June 2, 2003.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 13, 2003

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-12547 Filed 5-15-03; 10:40 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Emergency Review; Comment Request

May 13, 2003.

The Department of Labor has submitted the following (see below) information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by May 19, 2003. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments and questions about the ICR listed below should be forwarded to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comment which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumption use;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Title: Respirator Procurement and Supply Survey.

OMB Number: 1218-0NEW.

Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit (i.e., Manufacturers of personal protective equipment).

Number of Respondents: 31.

Annual Responses: 31.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 16.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining): \$0.

Description: This emergency clearance is needed in order to obtain information from the manufacturers of personal protective equipment. In order to determine the number of respirators that manufacturers or distributors can provide to emergency responders, who would respond to terrorist events where weapons of mass destruction were used, OSHA will survey the members of the International Safety Equipment Manufacturers Association. The Agency needs to determine the amount of reserve capacity and the time needed to manufacture and deliver the respirators to various regions in the United States. This information will be utilized to strategically plan with the Federal Emergency Management Agency where and to what extent caches of respirators will be needed nationwide. This information is vital to Homeland Security and the need to have a coordinated response in order to prevent further injuries and sickness to responders and recovery workers. The Department and OSHA have been attending regular meetings at the Domestic Interagency Terrorism Management Concept of Operations Group. This group is responsible for implementation of Homeland Security Presidential Directive/HSPD-5 (Management of Domestic Incidents). Through the Department and OSHA's collaborative efforts with this group and the National Response Team, OSHA's role to provide technical assistance and consultation in the event of a terrorist attack has recently been significantly elevated.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-12418 Filed 5-16-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Disability Employment Policy

Solicitation of Nominations for the Secretary of Labor's New Freedom Initiative Award; Reopening and Extension of Period for Submission of Nominations

AGENCY: Office of Disability Employment Policy, Labor.

ACTION: Reopening and extension of period for submission of nominations.

SUMMARY: This document re-opens and extends the period for submission of nominations for the Secretary of Labor's New Freedom Initiative Award. This action is taken to permit increased participation by interested stakeholders.

DATES: Nomination packages must be submitted to the Office of Disability Employment Policy by June 6, 2003. Any application received after 4:45 p.m. EDT on June 6, 2003 will not be considered unless it was received before the award is made and:

1. It was sent by registered or certified mail no later than the 3rd calendar day before June 6, 2003;

2. It is determined by the Government that the late receipt was due solely to mishandling by the Government after receipt at the U.S. Department of Labor at the address indicated; or

3. It was sent by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail Next Day Service—Post Office to Addressee, not later than 5 p.m. at the place of mailing two (2) working days, excluding weekends and Federal holidays, prior to June 6, 2003.

The only acceptable evidence to establish the date of mailing of a late application sent by registered or certified mail is the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the envelope or wrapper and on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service. If the postmark is not legible, an application received after the above closing time and date will be processed as if mailed late. "Postmark" means a printed, stamped, or otherwise placed impression (not a postage meter machine impression) that is readily identifiable without further action as having been applied and affixed by an employee of the U.S. Postal Service on the date of mailing. Therefore, applicants should request that the postal clerk place a legible hand cancellation bull's-eye" postmark on both the receipt and the envelope or wrapper.

The only acceptable evidence to establish the time of receipt at the U.S. Department of Labor is the date/time stamp of the Office of Disability Employment Policy on the application wrapper or other documentary evidence or receipt maintained by that office.

Applications sent by other delivery services, such as Federal Express, UPS, etc., will also be accepted; however, the applicant bears the responsibility of timely submission.

ADDRESSES: Nomination packages must be submitted to the Office of Disability Employment Policy, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S-1303 Washington DC 20210; Telephone (202) 693-7880; TTY (202) 693-7881.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dina Dorich of the Office of Disability Employment Policy, telephone (202) 693-7859; TTY (202) 693-4920 (these are not toll-free numbers), prior to the closing deadline.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the **Federal Register** of February 18, 2003 (68 FR 7893), the Office of Disability Employment Policy published a Solicitation of Nominations for the Secretary of Labor's New Freedom Initiative Award. Nomination packages were to be submitted to the Office of Disability Employment Policy by May 15, 2003.

Because of the continuing interest in this solicitation, the agency believes that is desirable to re-open and extend the period for submission of nominations. Therefore, the period for submission of nominations is extended until June 6, 2003.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of May, 2003.

W. Roy Grizzard, Jr.,

Assistant Secretary, Office of Disability, Employment Policy.

[FR Doc. 03-12446 Filed 5-16-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CX-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of May 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated; and

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or sub-division have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the

absolute decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

None

In the following case, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (Increased imports) and (a) (2)(B) (II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-50,783; Precise Courtesy Corp., Buffalo Grove, IL

TA-W-51,523; Stimson Lumber Co., Arden, WA

TA-W-51,595; Paradise Fisheries, Kodiak, AK

TA-W-51,242; Polyone Corp., O'Sullivan Plastic Div., Yerington, NE

TA-W-50,867; Eaton Corp., Oshtemo Aftermarket Operation, Ostemo, MI

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-51,149; Applied Industrial Technologies, Cloquet, MN

TA-W-51,628; Boeing Aerospace Operations, Long Beach, CA

TA-W-51,456; Symbol Technologies, Chicago Service Center, Arlington Heights, ILA

TA-W-51,434; Power Quest Corp., Orem, UT

TA-W-51,426; 360Networks (USA), Inc., Broomfield, CO

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A) (I.A) (no employment declines) have not been met.

TA-W-51,620; Fishing Vessel (F/V)

Misty Dawn, King Cove, AK

TA-W-51,632; Fishing Vessel (F/V), Capt'n Jay, Chignik, AK

TA-W-51,208; The Stanley Works, Stanley Access Technologies Div., Farmington, CT

TA-W-51,197; The Boeing Co., Integrated Defense Systems Div., Pueblo, CO

TA-W-51,024 & A, B; Wheeling Pittsburgh Steel Corp., Wheeling, WV, Follansbee, WV and Beech Bottom, WV

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A) (I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (II.B) (has shifted production to a