

similar projects being conducted in the region and how the submitted proposal will complement them.

Applicant/Organization Criteria

Organizations applying for a grant should meet the following criteria:

- Be a U.S. public or private non-profit organization meeting the provisions described in Internal Revenue Code section 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3). Applicants must submit proof of its non-profit status in the application at the time of submission.

- Have demonstrated experience administering successful projects in the region in which it is proposing to administer a project.

- Have existing, or the capacity to develop, active partnerships with in-country organization(s).

Note: Organizations are welcome to submit more than one proposal, but should know that DRL wishes to reach out to as many different organizations as possible with its limited funds.

Budget Guidelines

Please refer to the Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI) for complete budget guidelines and formatting instructions.

Deadline for Proposals

All proposals must be received at the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (EST) on Wednesday, May 21, 2003. Please refer to the PSI for specific delivery instructions.

Review Process

The Bureau will acknowledge receipt of all proposals and will review them for eligibility. Proposals will be deemed ineligible if they do not fully adhere to the guidelines stated herein and in the PSI. Eligible proposals will be subject to compliance with Federal and Bureau regulations and guidelines and forwarded to Bureau grant panels for advisory review. Proposals may also be reviewed by the Office of the Legal Adviser or by other Department elements.

Review Criteria

Eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below. Further explanation of these criteria is included in the PSI. These criteria are not rank ordered and all carry equal weight in the proposal evaluation: quality of the program idea; program planning and ability to achieve program objectives; multiplier effect/impact; institution's record/ability/capacity; cost-effectiveness.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office for the Promotion of Human Rights and Democracy of the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor (DRL/PHD). Please specify Cathy Stump 202-647-3322 on all inquiries and correspondence.

Please read the complete **Federal Register** announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

To Download a Solicitation Package Via Internet

The Solicitation Package includes this RFP plus the Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI) which contains detailed award criteria, specific budget instructions, and standard guidelines for proposal preparation. The entire RFP and PSI may be downloaded from the HRDF section on the Bureau's Web site at <http://www.state.gov/g/drl/>.

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements. Final technical authority for assistance awards resides with the Office of Acquisition Management's Grants Officer.

Notification

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures.

Dated: April 17, 2003.

Lorne W. Craner,

Assistant Secretary for Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, Department of State.
[FR Doc. 03-10051 Filed 4-22-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Proposed Advisory Circular for Onboard Recording of Data Communications in Crash-Survivable Memory

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of availability and requests for public comment.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of and request comments on a revised proposed Advisory Circular (AC) for onboard data recording. The proposed AC establishes an acceptable means, but not the only means, to provide airborne capability for onboard recording of voice and data link messages in crash-survivable memory.

DATES: We must receive comments on the proposed AC on or before May 20, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send all comments on the proposed AC to: Federal Aviation Administration, Aircraft Certification Service, Aircraft Engineering Division, Avionics Systems Branch, AIR-130, 470 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20025. ATTN: Mr. Gregory Frye. Or, deliver comments to the address listed above to Suite 4102.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gregory Frye, Avionics Systems Branch, AIR-130, Aircraft Certification Service, Aircraft Engineering Division, AIR-130, 470 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Suite 4102, Washington, DC 20025; Telephone (202) 385-4630; Fax (202) 385-4651. E-mail comments to: Gregory.E.Frye@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

You are invited to comment on the proposed AC by submitting such written data, views, or arguments to the above specified address. You may examine comments we have received on the proposed AC before and after the comment closing date, in the FAA's office located at 470 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Suite 4102, Washington, DC 20025, weekdays except Federal holidays, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. The Director, Aircraft Certification Service, will consider all communications received on or before the closing date before issuing the final AC.

Background

The rapid expansion of International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Communications, Navigation, Surveillance/Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) concepts have resulted in new technologies which make judicious

use of data communication applications for transference of safety of flight information. In addition, aircraft operators have long realized the benefit of the use of data link communication applications for conveyance of Aeronautical Operational Control (AOC) and Aeronautical Communications (AAC).

Fundamental accident/incident investigative needs require sufficient information to accurately reconstruct an accident/incident scenario. Investigative authorities have identified shortcomings in the ability of aircraft systems to record information needed to determine the cause of accidents and other reportable occurrences. One of the specific shortcomings is the lack of airborne capacity for onboard recording of data link messages in crash-survivable memory. Thus, recording data communication information in crash-survivable memory provides investigative authorities a necessary and useful tool for post accident/incident reconstruction.

How To Obtain Copies

A copy of the proposed AC may be obtained via the Internet, at <http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl> or by contacting the person listed in the section titled **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 16, 2003.

Susan J. M. Cabler,

Deputy Manager, Aircraft Engineering Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-10049 Filed 4-22-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

High Density Traffic Airports; slot Allocation and Transfer Method

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of waiver of the slot usage requirement.

SUMMARY: This action announces a waiver of the minimum slot usage requirement for slots and slot exemptions at the three high density traffic airports for the period March 19, 2003 through October 25, 2003.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 18, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lorelei Peter, Operations and Air Traffic Law Branch, Regulations Division, Office of the Chief Counsel, AGC-220, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenues, SW.,

Washington, DC 20591; telephone number 202-267-3134.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The High Density Traffic Airports Rule, or "High Density rule," 14 CFR part 93, subpart K, was promulgated in 1968 to reduce delays at five congested airports: John F. Kennedy International Airport (JFK), LaGuardia, O'Hare International Airport (O'Hare), Ronald Reagan Washington National airport (Reagan National) and Newark International Airport (33 FR 17896; December 3, 1968). The regulation limits the number of instrument flight rule (IFR) operations at each airport, during certain hours of the day. It provides for the allocation to carriers of operational authority, in the form of a "slot," for each IFR takeoff or landing during a specific 30- or 60-minute period. The restrictions at Newark were lifted in the early 1970s. The restrictions at O'Hare were lifted in 2002.

Statement of Policy

The regulations governing slots and slot allocation provide that any slot not utilized at least 80 percent of the time over a 2-month period shall be recalled by the FAA (14 CFR 93.227(a)). Additionally, paragraph (j) of that section provides that the Chief Counsel may waive the slot usage requirement in the event of a highly unusual and unpredictable condition that is beyond the control of the slot holder and exists for more than nine days (14 CFR 93.227(j)). These two provisions are also applicable to slot exemptions.

Over the last several weeks, the FAA has received inquiries from several domestic and foreign carriers concerning applicability of the slot usage requirements in view of the military action in Iraq and its impact on the airline industry. By letter dated March 28, 2003, the Air Transport Association of America (ATA) requested that the FAA waive the minimum slot usage requirements for the period March 19, 2003 through December 31, 2003. Midway Airlines endorsed this request. A number of countries with slot controlled airports have suspended their respective usage requirements for part or all of the period from mid-March through the end of the summer scheduling season, October 2003.

ATA and individual carriers state that many carriers have taken measures to adjust both domestic and international flight schedules in response to decrease passenger demand and increased operating costs. These measure include the suspension or cancellation of some flights, especially those in markets

where a carrier operates multiple services, changes in frequency, changes to equipment type on certain routes, and relaxation of certain fare restrictions in order to stimulate passenger bookings. These changes were implemented by certain carriers on a system-wide basis and not limited to operations at the high density traffic airports. Many of these changes to date are on a month-to-month basis as an immediate reaction to conditions following the onset of the war in Iraq. It is likely, however, that carriers will need to continue to adjust capacity to meet demand over the next few months and this may preclude the full utilization of allocated slots and slot exemptions for a number of carriers.

The FAA finds that the current operating conditions described above meet the criteria for granting a waiver from the minimum slot usage requirement set forth in 14 CFR Section 93.227(a). The FAA will waive the minimum usage requirement for all slots and slot exemptions at the high density traffic airports for the period of March 19, 2003 through April 30, 2003. This covers the initial period following the beginning of the military action in Iraq when many carriers cancelled or adjusted flights. Carriers are not required to provide the FAA with advance notice of underutilized slots or slot exemption during that period.

In addition, the FAA will waive the minimum usage requirement for all slots and slot exemptions for the period of May 1, 2003 through October 25, 2003, provided that the carrier temporarily returns to the FAA any slot or slot exemption that will not be used by the carrier. Thus, if a carrier has not scheduled a slot or slot exemption for at least 80 percent usage, then the carrier must return the slot in advance for the portion of time that it will not be using the slot, i.e. for entire summer season, or for two weeks, or for certain frequencies, etc. or the usage requirement will apply. Any carrier that chooses to temporarily return slots or slot exemptions to the FAA between now and October 25, 2003, may do so without jeopardizing the permanent loss of the slots or slot exemptions.

In the bi-monthly slot usage reports required by 14 CFR 93.227(i), slot holders/operators should indicate whether a flight was scheduled to operate in an allocated slot or slot exemption and indicate the flight actually operated. Any slots or slot exemptions covered by his waiver should not be listed as flown unless a flight actually operated.

There may be some carriers seeking to add service or make changes to scheduled flight times that affect their