

investigation under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigation. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigation.

Background

On March 12, 2001, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce by Great Eastern Mussel Farms, Tenants Harbor, ME, alleging that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of mussels from Canada. Accordingly, effective March 12, 2001, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation No. 731-TA-924 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of March 19, 2001 (66 FR 15503). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on April 2, 2001, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on April 26, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3416 (May 2001), entitled *Mussels from Canada: Investigation No. 731-TA-924 (Preliminary)*.

Issued: April 27, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-11016 Filed 5-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that, on March 23, 2001, a proposed Settlement Agreement in *In Re: Teplitz Auto Parts, Inc.*, No. 00-13384 (ash) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.), a bankruptcy action involving Teplitz Auto Parts, Inc., a defendant in *United States v. Woodward Metal Processing, Corp. et al.*, No. 98-2736 (JWB/GDH) (D.N.J.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. By its terms, the Settlement Agreement becomes effective only after approval is obtained from both the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court.

In the District Court action, the United States sought to recover response costs incurred in connection with a removal action at the Woodward Metal Processing Corporation Site, located at 125 Woodward Street, Jersey City, New Jersey ("Site"), pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607. The proposed Settlement Agreement would allow a general unsecured claim in the bankruptcy action by the United States in the amount of \$375,000. Together with other ending settlements, the Settlement Agreement would resolve the District Court action in its entirety.

The U.S. Department of Justice will receive, for period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice, comments relating to the proposed Settlement Agreement. Any comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environmental and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should reference the following case name and number: *United States v. Metal Processing Corp., et al.*, DJ #90-11-2-1299/1.

The proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined at the offices of EPA Region II, located at 290 Broadway, New York, New York, c/o Virginia Curry, Esq., (212) 637-3134, or at the U.S. Attorney's Office, 970 Broad St., 7th Floor, Newark, NJ 07102, c/o Susan Cassell, Esq., (973) 645-2700. A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, c/o Peggy Fenlon-Gore, (202) 514-5245. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of

\$6.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Ronald G. Gluck, Esq.,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01-10883 Filed 5-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of March and April, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-38,500; *American Pine Products, Prineville, OR*

TA-W-38,651; *Georgia Pacific Corp., Industrial Wood Products Div.,*

Gaylord Particleboard, Gaylord, MI
TA-W-38,533; *Spray Cotton Mills, Eden, NC*

TA-W-38,775; *Q and M Manufacturing, Inc., Cheboygan, MI*

TA-W-38,786; *Wing Industries, Division of Atrium Companies, Inc., Greenville, TX*

TA-W-38,516; *Owens Brockway, Glass Container Div., Fulton, New York*

TA-W-38,693; *Summit Timber Co., Darrington, WA*

TA-W-38,845; *Borg-Warner, Inc. Transmission Systems Div., Coldwater, MI*

TA-W-38,789; *Dietrick's Milk Products, LLC, Middlebury Center, PA*

TA-W-38,466, *A & B; Armtex, Inc., Pilot Mountain, NC, Gastonia, NC and Surry Industries, LLC, Pilot Mountain, NC*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-38,515; *Permanent Label Corp., Clifton, NJ*

TA-W-38,537; *West Texas Energy Services, LLC, Big Spring, TX*

TA-W-38,707; *Philips Consumer Electronics Co., Knoxville Industrial Design Group (KID), Knoxville, TN*

TA-W-38,595; *Magnetic Data Technologies, LLC, Eden Prairie, MN*

TA-W-38,902; *Troy Design, Inc., Small Car Group, Lansing, MI*

TA-W-38,806; *Chicago Steel, LLP, Gary, IN*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-38,529; *Ametek/Prestolite, Motors and Switch Div., Decatur, AL*

TA-W-38,992; *Coastal Machinery Co., Portland, OR*

TA-W-38,814; *Hager Hinge Companies, Consumer Div., Oxford, AL*

TA-W-38,876; *The Worthington Steel Co., Malvern, PA*

TA-W-38,667; *New ERA Cap Co., Inc., Derby, NY*

TA-W-38,515; *Permanent Label Corp., Clifton, NJ*

TA-W-38,532; *United Plastic Group Portland, Hillsboro, OR*

TA-W-38,744; *Kearfott Guidance and Navigation Corp., Wayne, NJ*

TA-W-38,747; *Createc Corp., Harrodsburg, KY*

TA-W-38,721; *HPM Corp., Mt. Gilead, OH*

TA-W-38,481; *BF Goodrich Aerospace, Cedar Knolls, NJ*

TA-W-38,450; *Specialty Minerals, Inc., Mobile, AL*

TA-W-38,712; *Dave Szalay Logging, Whitefish, MT*

TA-W-38,607; *Owens Corning, Newark, OH*

TA-W-38,805; *Playtex Apparel, Inc., New York, NY*

TA-W-38,769; *Deltrol Corp., Milwaukee, WI*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-38,896; *Vaagan Bros, Lumber, Inc., Colville, WA*

TA-W-38,890; *Erie Forge and Steel, Inc., Erie, PA*

TA-W-38,629; *Seral, Inc., Houston, TX*

TA-W-38,698; *Powermatic Corp., Walter Meyer Holding AG, McMinnville, TN*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-38,598; *NACCO Materials Handling Group, Danville, IL*

TA-W-38,901; *Moose River Lumber Co., Inc., Jackman, ME*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and (2) have not been met. A significant number of proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated from employment as required for certification. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-38,855; *Willamette Industries, Inc., Foster Plywood Div., Sweet Home, OR*

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-38,871; *Vishay Sprague, Inc., Sanford, ME: April 18, 2000.*

TA-W-38,801; *Converse, Inc., North Reading, MA: April 14, 2000.*

TA-W-38,733; *Oremet, Div. of Allegheny Technologies, Inc., Albany, OR: February 10, 2000.*

TA-W-38,453; *Thomas and Betts Corp., Prembroke, MA: December 6, 1999.*

TA-W-38,799; *Dana Spicer, Off-Hwy Products Div., Plymouth, MN: February 1, 2000.*

TA-W-38,689; *Sony Music, Inc., Disc Manufacturing, Carrollton, GA: January 30, 2000.*

TA-W-38,949; *Columbia Forest Products, Klamath Falls, OR: March 15, 2000.*

TA-W-38,536; *Crawford Furniture Manufacturing Corp., New Bethlehem, PA: December 17, 1999.*

TA-W-38,907; *Bayer Clothing Group, Inc., New York, NY: March 13, 2000.*

TA-W-38,489; *Western Supplies Co., St. Louis, MO: December 15, 1999.*

TA-W-38,701; *Woodgrain Millwork, Inc., Fruitland, ID: February 2, 2000.*

TA-W-38,922; *Thomas and Betts, St. Matthews, SC: March 13, 2000.*

TA-W-38,710; *Sure Cutting Services, Inc., Opa Locka, FL: January 25, 2000.*

TA-W-38,571; *Shorewood Packaging Corp., Cincinnati, OH: January 10, 2000.*

TA-W-38,790 & A; *Wilkins Industries, Inc., McRae, GA and Athens, GA: February 24, 2001.*

TA-W-38,656; *The JPM Co., San Jose, CA: January 28, 2000.*

TA-W-38,966; *Dearborn Brass, 21st Century Companies, Inc., Tyler, TX: February 9, 2000.*

TA-W-38,894; *Hoffman/New Yorker, Inc., Dushore Plant, Dushore, PA: March 7, 2000.*

TA-W-38,631; *Slater Steels Melt Shop, Ft. Wayne, IN: January 10, 2000.*

TA-W-38,752; *F.L. Smithe Machine Co., Inc., Duncansville, PA: February 9, 2000.*

TA-W-38,677; *Super Snack Manufacturing, Savoy, TX: March 30, 2001.*

TA-W-38,737 & A; *Hagale Industries, Inc., Ardmore, OK and Idabel, OK: February 16, 2000.*

TA-W-38,738, A, B, & C; *Hagale Industries, Inc., Republic MO, Stocton, MO and Reeds Spring, MO: February 16, 2000.*

TA-W-38,499; *CHI, Inc., International, Inc., Crisfield, MD: December 15, 1999.*

TA-W-38,739; *Allison Manufacturing Co., Albermarle, NC: February 14, 2000.*

TA-W-38,686; *Pilling Weck Surgical, Irvington, NJ: January 31, 2000.*

TA-W-38,812; *Regal Headwear USA, Inc., Gladwater, TX: February 22, 2000.*

TA-W-846; *Black and Decker, Beloit, WI: March 6, 2000.*

TA-W-38,732 & A; *Haggar Clothing Co., Edinburg Manufacturing, Edinburg, TX and Weslaco Operations Weslaco, TX: February 14, 2000.*

TA-W-38,933; *Union Knitwear, Inc., Maynardville, TN: March 14, 2000.*

TA-W-38,388; *Corbin Russwin, Inc., Berlin, CT: November 16, 1999.*

TA-W-38,928; *Motorola Personal Communication Sector, Harvard, IL: February 14, 2000.*

TA-W-38,741; *The William Carter Co., Griffin, GA: January 29, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,646; *CSC Ltd, Warren, OH: January 22, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,633; *Venturi Designs Ltd, New York, NY: January 22, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,829; *Anvil Knitwear, Mullins, SC: November 14, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,534; *Hedstrom Lumber Co., Inc., Two Harbor Div., Two Harbor, MN and Grand Marais Div., Grand Marais, MN: December 26, 1999.*
 TA-W-38,422; *CMI Industries, Inc., Clinton Fabric Div., Clinton, SC and Bailey Plant, Clinton, SC: December 4, 1999.*
 TA-W-38,757; *Gorge Lumber Co., Inc., Portland, OR: July 11, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,763; *Donora Sportswear Co., Inc., Donora, PA: October 9, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,573; *Man Edge Tool Co. and White Container Corp. and American Hickory Corp., Lewistown, PA: January 5, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,800; *TI Automotive, New Haven Plant, New Haven, MI: February 28, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,774; *Vera Sportswear, Inc., Charlestown, MA: February 5, 2000.*
 TA-W-38,837 & A; *WCI Steel, Inc., Warren, OH and Youngstown Sinter Plant, Youngstown, OH: January 21, 2001.*
 TA-W-38,373; *Kirkwood Industries, Cleveland, OH: November 7, 1999.*
 TA-W-38,730; *Cardinal industries, Grundy, VA: February 8, 2000.*

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of March and April, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly

competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increased imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TA-04623; *Westark/Dunbrooke Industries, Inc., Versailles, MO*
 NAFTA-TAA-04535; *Owens Corning, Newark, OH*
 NAFTA-TAA-04514; *Summit Timber Co., Darrington, WA*
 NAFTA-TAA-04567; *Crown Pacific Limited Partnership, Bonners Ferry, ID*
 NAFTA-TAA-04642; *Kearfott Guidance & Havigation Corp., Wayne, NJ*
 NAFTA-TAA-04585; *Presto Products Manufacturing Co., Algagordo, NM*
 NAFTA-TAA-04402; *United Plastics Group Portland, Hillsboro, OR*
 NAFTA-TAA-04665; *The Worthington Steel Co., Malvern, PA*
 NAFTA-TAA-04639; *Borg-Warner, Inc., Transmission Systems Div., Coldwater, MI*
 NAFTA-TAA-04563; *HPM Corp., Mt. Gilead, OH*
 NAFTA-TAA-04550; *Freightliner LLC, Mt. Holly Truck Manufacturing Plant, Mt. Holly, NC*
 NAFTA-TAA-04512; *Georgia Pacific Corp., Industrial Wood Products Div., Gaylord Particleboard, Gaylord, MI*
 NAFTA-TAA-04564; *Deltrol Corp., Economy Bushing Company/Deltrol Precision, Milwaukee, WI*
 NAFTA-TAA-04599; *Createc Corp., Harrodsburg, KY*
 NAFTA-TAA-04418; *Owens Brockway, Glass Container Div., Fulton, NY*
 NAFTA-TAA-04621; *Skyjack Rental Equipment, Inc., d/b/a Skyjack Rental Equipment Services, Wathena, KS*
 NAFTA-TAA-04546; *Dave Szalay Logging, Whitefish, MT*
 NAFTA-TAA-04469; *Nova Bus, Inc., Plant III, Roswell, NM*

NAFTA-TAA-04647; *Wing Industries, Div. of Atrium Companies, Inc., Greenville, TX*

NAFTA-TAA-04649; *Lionel LLC, Chesterfield, MI*

NAFTA-TAA-04537; *Dietrich's Milk Products, LLC, Middlebury Center, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-04697; *Coastal Machinery Co., Portland, OR*

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

NAFTA-TAA-04705; *Troy Design, Inc., Small Car Group, Lansing, MI*

NAFTA-TAA-04524; *Philips Consumer Electronics Co., Knoxville Industrial Design Group (KID), Knoxville, TN*

NAFTA-TAA-04345; *Hutchinson Moving and Storage, Thief River Falls, MN*

NAFTA-TAA-04566; *Allison Manufacturing Co., Albermarle, NC*

NAFTA-TAA-04450; *Magnetic Data Technologies LLC, Eden Prairie, MN*

NAFTA-TAA-04628; *Chicago Steel LLP, Gary, IN*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and (2) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated from employment as required for certification. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

NAFTA-TAA-04631; *Willamette Industries, Inc., Foster Plywood Div., Sweet Home, OR*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) has not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated from employment as required for certification.

NAFTA-TAA-04624; *Do Little Logging, LLC, Lewistown, MT*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and (4) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. There has not been a shift in production of such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

NAFTA-TAA-04560; *Erie Forge and Steel, Inc., Erie, PA*

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-04686; *Thomas and Betts, St. Matthews, SC: March 13, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04694; *Omniglow Corp., West Springfield, MA: March 19, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04656; *Motorola Personal Communications Sector, Harvard, IL: February 13, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04368; *Condor DC Power Supplies, Inc., Todd Products, Group, Brentwood, NY: November 10, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04637; *Hoffman/New Yorker, Inc., Dushore Plant, Dushore, PA: March 7, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04387; *Corbin Russwin, Inc., Berlin, CT: November 20, 1999.*

NAFTA-TAA-04646; *Vera Sportswear, Inc., Charlestown, MA: February 17, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04410; *Kwikset Corp., Anaheim, CA: April 9, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04544; *CAE Newnes, Inc., Sherwood, OR: February 8, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04533; *Woodgrain Millwork, Inc., Fruitland, ID: February 2, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04612; *Stant Manufacturing, Inc., Commersville, IN: February 22, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04305; *Berg Lumber Co., Lewistown, MT: November 13, 1999.*

NAFTA-TAA-04539; *Sony Music, Inc., Sony Disc Manufacturing, Carrollton, GA: January 30, 2000;*

NAFTA-TAA-04575; *Gorge Lumber Company, Inc., Portland OR: July 11, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04510; *the JPM Company, San Jose, CA: January 23, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04685; *Sonoco, Industrial Products Div., Shepherd, MI: March 1, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04468; *OBG Manufacturing/Distribution Company, Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Liberty, KY: January 12, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04451; *Titanium Sports Technologies, LLC, Pay Plus Benefits, Inc., Kennewick, WA: January 16, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04520; *Super Sack Manufacturing, Savoy, TX: March 8, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04602 & A; *Wilkins Industries, Inc., McRae, GA and Athens, GA: February 24, 2001.*

NAFTA-TAA-04565; *Cummins, Inc., Charleston Cylinder Head Business, Charleston, SC: February 12, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04655; *Busy B's Cedar, Priest River, ID: February 14, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04688; *Columbia Forest Products, Klamath Division, Flamath Falls, OR: March 8, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-0426; *Thomas and Betts, Bainbridge, GA: March 8, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04604; *Nautel Maine, Inc., Bangor, ME: March 1, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04493; *Camp, Inc., Jackson, MI: January 12, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04643; *Invensys Powerware Corp., AKA Best Power, Necedah, WI: March 12, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04457; *Ametek/Prestolite, Motors and Switch Div., Decatur, AL: January 19, 2000.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of March and April, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: April 23, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-10942 Filed 5-1-01; 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,695]

Drummond Company, Inc., Jasper, Alabama; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on February 20, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Drummond Company, Inc., Jasper, Alabama.

The Department of Labor has determined that the petition is invalid. Under the Trade Act of 1974, a petition may be filed by a group of three or more workers in an appropriate subdivision of a firm, by a company official, or by their union or other duly authorized representative. The petitioners are not employees of Drummond Company, Jasper, Alabama. The petition was signed by three petitioners who are not authorized to file on behalf of workers of the company. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 12th day of April, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-10944 Filed 5-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,824]

Heritage Sportswear Marion, South Carolina; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 12, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Heritage Sportswear, Marion, South Carolina.

The petitioners were separated from the subject firm more than a year prior to the postmark date of the petition February 24, 2001. Section 223(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 specifies that no certification may apply to any worker whose last separation occurred more than a year before the date of the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of April 2001.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-10947 Filed 5-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38, 272]

Renfro Corporation Pulaski, Virginia; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on November 3, 2000, applicable to all workers of Renfro Corporation, Finishing Department, located in Pulaski, Virginia. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2000 (65 FR 76290).

At the request of petitioners, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the petitioners show that layoffs occurred in the Seaming Department at Renfro Corporation in Pulaski, Virginia. The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of socks.