

considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this action may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

#### Availability of NPRM's

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded, using a modem and suitable communications software, from the FAA regulations section of the Fedworld electronic bulletin board service (telephone: 703-321-3339) or the **Federal Register's** electronic bulletin board service (telephone: 202-512-1661).

Internet users may reach the FAA's web page at <http://www.faa.gov> or the Superintendent of Document's web page at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara> for access to recently published rulemaking documents.

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, ATA-400, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-8783.

Communications must identify the docket number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should call the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267-9677, for a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

#### The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 (part 71) to revise V-480 and J-120 in Alaska. This proposed revision would convert an uncharted nonregulatory route segment to a VOR Federal airway and jet route by adding a segment to V-480 and J-120 between Mt. Moffett and St. Paul Island, AD. Presently, this segment is an uncharted route that is used by air carrier and general aviation aircraft. The FAA is proposing to amend V-480 and J-120 for the following reasons: (1) The conversion of this uncharted nonregulatory route to a VOR Federal airway and jet route would add to the IFR airway and route infrastructure in Alaska; (2) pilots would be provided with minimum en route altitudes and minimum obstruction clearance altitudes information; (3) this amendment would establish controlled airspace, thus eliminating some of the

commercial IFR operations in uncontrolled airspace; and (4) the addition of this route would improve the management of air traffic operations and thereby enhance safety.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Jet routes and Alaskan VOR Federal airways are published in paragraphs 2004 and 6010(b), respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9H dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Jet route and the Alaskan VOR Federal airway listed in this document would be published subsequently in the order.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p.389.

##### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, is amended as follows:

#### Paragraph 2004—Jet Routes

\* \* \* \* \*

#### J-120 [Revised]

From Mt. Moffett, AK, NDB via St. Paul Island, AK, NDB; Bethel, AK; McGrath, AK; Fairbanks, AK; Fort Yukon, AK; to the Barter Island, AK, NDB.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Paragraph 6010(b)—Alaskan VOR Federal Airways

\* \* \* \* \*

#### V-480 [Revised]

From Mt. Moffett, AK, NDB, 20 AGL via St. Paul Island, AK, NDB, 20 AGL, Kipnuk, AK; Bethel, AK, McGrath, AK, Nenana, AK; to Fairbanks, AK.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 29, 2000.

**Reginald C. Matthews,**

*Manager, Airspace and Rules Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-25640 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 81

[CA-029-EXTb; FRL-6872-9]

### Clean Air Act Promulgation of Extension of Attainment Date for the San Diego, California Serious Ozone Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to extend the attainment date for the San Diego serious ozone nonattainment area from November 15, 1999 to November 15, 2000. This extension is based in part on monitored air quality readings for the 1-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone during 1999. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the State's request as a "direct final" rule without prior proposal because we view this action as noncontroversial and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule.

If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive substantive adverse comments which have not already been responded to, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. We will not institute a

second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 13, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Please address your comments to the EPA contact below. You may inspect and copy the rulemaking docket for this notice at the following location during normal business hours. We may charge you a reasonable fee for copying parts of the docket.

Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 9, Air Division, Air Planning,  
Office (AIR-2), 75 Hawthorne Street,  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Copies of the SIP materials are also available for inspection at the addresses listed below:

California Air Resources Board, 2020 L  
Street, Sacramento, CA 92123-1095  
San Diego County Air Pollution Control  
District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San  
Diego, CA 92123-1096

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR-  
2), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75  
Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA  
94105-3901. Telephone: (415) 744-  
1288. E-mail: [jesson.david@epa.gov](mailto:jesson.david@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For  
additional information see the direct  
final rule published in the rules section  
of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

**Keith A. Takata,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00-25927 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 73 and 76

[MM Docket No. 83-484; FCC 00-360]

### Repeal or Modification of the Personal Attack and Political Editorial Rules

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rules: Request for  
Supplemental Information.

**SUMMARY:** This document concerns a 60-day suspension of the political editorial and personal attack rules and asks parties to submit evidence on the effects of the suspension 60 days after the suspension period ends. The Commission adopted the *Order and Request to Update Record* in response to the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in *Radio-Television News Directors Ass'n v. FCC*, 184 F.3d 872

(1999). The intended effect of this action is to enable the Commission to obtain a better record on which to review the rules.

**DATES:** Parties may submit evidence on the effect of the suspension of the rules on or before January 31, 2001, and replies may be submitted on or before February 15, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all evidence concerning this suspension to the Commission's Secretary,  
Communications Commission, 445  
Twelfth Street, SW., Washington DC  
20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Cyndi Thomas, Policy and Rules  
Division, Mass Media Bureau, at (202)  
418-2130.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the *Order and Request to Update* in MM Docket No. 83-484, FCC 00-360, adopted on October 3, 2000, and released on October 4, 2000. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857-3800, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington DC. The complete text is also available under the file name [fcc00360.pdf](http://www.fcc.gov/fcc00360.pdf) on the Commission's Internet site at [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov).

### Electronic Access and Filing Addresses

1. Information may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System or by filing paper copies via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>. Parties may also submit an electronic copy by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail information, parties should send an e-mail to [ecfs@fcc.gov](mailto:ecfs@fcc.gov), and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form, <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply.

### Paperwork Reduction Act

2. The actions taken in this *Order and Request to Update Record* have been analyzed with respect to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), and found to request new or modified reporting or recordkeeping by the public. It will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for emergency review under Section 3507 of the PRA.

### Summary of Order and Request To Update Record

3. The Commission adopts an *Order and Request to Update Record* (Order) in response to the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals' (D.C. Circuit) decision in *Radio-Television News Directors Ass'n v. FCC*, 184 F.3d 872 (1999) (*RTNDA*). In the *Order*, the Commission suspends the political editorial and personal attack rules, 47 CFR 73.1920 and 73.1930, for 60 days to enable the Commission to obtain a better record on which to review the rules. These rules as they apply to cable television system operators, 47 CFR 76.209(b), (c), and (d), are also within the scope of this proceeding. The court recognized that the Commission considered the record previously before it to be "old and possibly flawed" and encouraged the Commission to "consider modern factual and legal developments." This brief suspension, which the Commission hopes will provide useful data on the effect of the rules, will allow it "to work from a relatively clean procedural slate," as the court suggested. In addition, the Commission takes this opportunity to make clear that much of the discussion in *Syracuse Peace Council*, 2 FCC Rcd 5043 (1987), *recon. denied*, 3 FCC Rcd 2035 (1988), *aff'd sub nom. Syracuse Peace Council v. FCC*, 867 F.2d 654 (D.C. Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 493 U.S. 1019 (1990), accompanying the Commission's repeal of the fairness doctrine has been repudiated. The Commission also asks those parties to this proceeding who believe that it is not possible to "distinguish[] political editorials and personal attacks \* \* \* from subjects formerly covered by the fairness doctrine" to consider whether the rules at issue should be extended to cover matters that previously were subject to the fairness doctrine.

4. The lengthy history of this proceeding was summarized by the D.C. Circuit last year in its opinion in *RTNDA*. In 1983, after the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) filed a petition asking the Commission to repeal the political editorial and personal attack rules, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") proposing to repeal or modify the rules (48 FR 28295, June 21, 1983). Because the *NPRM* also sought comment on these rules as they apply to cable television system operators, the suspension adopted herein will apply to the cable as well as the broadcast rules and the Commission welcomes comments on the rules as they apply to cable operators as well as broadcasters. The Commission subsequently stopped