

The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of a main rotor blade due to corrosion on the internal surface of the spar and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 25 hours time-in-service (TIS), perform a radiographic inspection of the upper and lower surfaces of each main rotor blade for internal corrosion on the spar in accordance with (IAW) Part I, paragraph 4, of Agusta Service Bulletin No. 109-111, dated October 14, 1999 (ASB).

(1) If no corrosion is detected, re-identify the blade by vibro-etching the letter "R" after the serial number on the nameplate.

(2) If corrosion is detected at the STA 1354 centered inspection, remove the affected blade from service before further flight.

(3) If corrosion is detected at the STA 2825 centered inspection, re-identify the blade by vibro-etching the letters "RC" after the serial number on the nameplate.

(b) After re-identifying a blade with the letter "R" after the serial number on the nameplate in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this AD, at intervals not to exceed 24 months, repeat the radiographic inspection IAW Part I, paragraph 4, of the ASB.

(1) If corrosion is detected at the STA 1354 centered inspection, remove the affected blade from service before further flight.

(2) If corrosion is detected at the STA 2825 centered inspection, re-identify the blade by vibro-etching the letter "C" after the letter "R" previously vibro-etched on the nameplate after the serial number.

(c) After re-identifying a blade with the letters "RC" after the serial number on the nameplate IAW paragraph (a)(3) or (b)(2) of this AD,

(1) At intervals not to exceed 24 months, repeat the STA 1354 centered radiographic inspection IAW Part I, paragraph 4.3 of the ASB, and

(2) Perform either:

(i) An eddy current inspection and, thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 25 hours TIS, repeat the eddy current inspection centered at STA 2825 in accordance with Part II, paragraph 1, of the ASB, or

(ii) A dye penetrant inspection and, thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 10 hours TIS, repeat the dye-penetrant inspection centered at STA 2825 IAW with Part II, paragraph 2, of the ASB.

(3) If corrosion is detected at the STA 1354 centered radiographic inspection or if a crack is detected at the STA 2825 centered eddy current or dye penetrant inspection, remove the affected blade from service before further flight.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Regulations Group, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector,

who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Regulations Group.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Regulations Group.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(f) The inspections and modifications shall be done in accordance with Part I, paragraph 4, and Part II, paragraph 1 or 2, of Agusta Service Bulletin No. 109-111, dated October 14, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the service bulletin may be obtained from Agusta, 21017 Cascina Costa di Samarate (VA), Via Giovanni Agusta 520, telephone (0331) 229111, fax (0331) 229605-222595. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on September 19, 2000.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Registro Aeronautico Italiano (Italy) AD No. 99-413, dated October 19, 1999.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on August 1, 2000.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-20185 Filed 8-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-329-AD; Amendment 39-11855; AD 2000-16-01]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-90-30 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-90-30 series airplanes, that requires replacement of certain ground block screws with new screws; and retermination of the circuit ground wires of the electrical power control unit (EPCU) to separate grounding points. This amendment is

prompted by reports of complete loss of the primary electrical power on an airplane during flight. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent a loose electrical ground block of the circuit ground wires of the EPCU, which could result in complete loss of the primary electrical power of an airplane during flight.

DATES: Effective September 19, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of September 19, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Mabuni, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130L, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los-Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5341; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-90-30 series airplanes was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on June 12, 2000 (65 FR 36799). That action proposed to require replacement of certain ground block screws with new screws; and retermination of the circuit ground wires of the electrical power control unit (EPCU) to separate grounding points. That action also proposed to include additional airplanes in the applicability.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due

consideration has been given to the two comments received.

One commenter has no objection to the proposed rule. The other commenter states that it has partially complied with the proposed AD, and will be completed within the recommended compliance period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 104 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 21 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required replacement, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Parts will be procured from the operator's stock. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement required by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,260, or \$60 per airplane.

The FAA also estimates that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required retermination of the circuit ground wires of the EPCU, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Parts will be procured from the operator's stock. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the retermination required by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,260, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not

have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2000-16-01 McDonnell Douglas:

Amendment 39-11855. Docket 99-NM-329-AD.

Applicability: Model MD-90-30 series airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD90-24A060, Revision 01, dated September 2, 1999 and McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD90-24-062, dated February 3, 2000; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a loose electrical ground block of the circuit ground wires of the electrical power control unit (EPCU), which could result in complete loss of the primary electrical power of an airplane during flight, accomplish the following:

Replacement

(a) Within 30 days after the effective of this AD, replace the electrical ground block screws with new screws in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD90-24A060, Revision 01, dated September 2, 1999.

Note 2: Accomplishment of the replacement of electrical ground block screws prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD90-24A060, dated July 28, 1999, is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

Modification of the Electrical Power Control Unit

(b) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, reterminate the circuit ground wires of the EPCU to separate grounding points to ensure that a single point failure does not occur, in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) The replacement shall be done in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD90-24A060, Revision 01, dated September 2, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount

Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on September 19, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 3, 2000.

Donald L. Riggan,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-20242 Filed 8-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-ANE-44-AD; Amendment 39-11856; AD 2000-16-02]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A series turbofan engines. The current AD requires initial and repetitive torque checks for loose or broken bolts used to secure the engine to the airplane made from INCO 718 material (front pylon mount bolts). The current AD also requires the replacement of the bolts, if necessary, with new bolts, and establishes a new cyclic life limit for the front pylon mount bolt. This amendment adds requirements for initial and repetitive torque checks of front pylon mount bolts made from a new material, MP159, and initial and repetitive visual inspections of the primary mount thrust load path. This amendment is prompted by the use of front pylon mount bolts made from MP159 material and fatigue testing that shows that the forward engine mount bearing housings have insufficient fatigue life expectancy.

The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent front pylon mount bolt and primary mount thrust load path failure, which could result in an engine separating from the airplane.

DATES: Effective date October 16, 2000. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained

from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565-8860, fax (860) 565-4503. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tara Goodman, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7130, fax (781) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD-98-04-14, Amendment 39-10326 (63 FR 9730, February 26, 1998), applicable to Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A series turbofan engines was published in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2000 (65 FR 15878). That action proposed to require, in addition to the requirements of the current AD, initial and repetitive torque checks of front pylon mount bolts made from MP159 material, and initial and repetitive visual inspections of the primary mount thrust load path.

Comments Received

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Changes to the Required Actions

One comment suggests wording changes to the required actions in an effort to make them similar to the published service bulletins. The comment suggests that paragraph (a)(1) be modified to read “* * *, with fewer than 1,000 cycles-since-new (CSN) on the effective date of this AD, * * *”.

The FAA agrees. The proposed initial and repetitive inspections for bolts made from INCO 718 material with 1,000 or fewer cycles in service (CSN) on the effective date of the AD were added in response to a comment received following the publication of the current AD. That comment pointed out that bolts with 1,000 or fewer CSN on the effective date of that AD have no initial or repetitive inspection requirement. Since the current AD address bolts with “more than 1,000” CSN, the proposal added the younger bolt population by using the term “1,000 or fewer” CSN. The comment merely asks the FAA to

adjust the dividing line between those two populations of bolts to conform to the service bulletin. Therefore, subparagraph (a)(1) has been changed to read “fewer than 1,000” CSN and the subparagraph that defines the next older population of bolts has been changed to read “1,000 or more” CSN.

Another comment recommends that subparagraph (a)(1)(ii), which reads, “Within 250 cycles-in-service (CIS) after the effective date of this AD,” be deleted.

The FAA agrees. For the population of bolts that have fewer than 1,000 CSN on the effective date of the AD, the initial inspection is generally not required until after the bolt reaches 1,000 CSN. The only exception would be if the engine were removed for cause.

Another comment recommends that proposed subparagraph (a)(4)(ii) be changed to read “thereafter, perform torque checks at intervals not less than 5,750 or greater than 6,250 CIS since last torque check, not to exceed 11,000 CSN.”

The FAA does not agree. The reinspection interval suggested is significantly different than the requirement proposed, which was to reinspect not less than 750 CIS or greater than 1,250 CIS since last torque check. The reinspection requirements for INCO 718 material bolts should be identical with the original AD published February 26, 1998, AD 98-04-14. The structure of the wording in the NPRM to supersede was inadvertently changed from the structure of the wording of the requirements of the original AD.

Another comment recommends that proposed paragraph (c), requiring inspections for bolts made from MP159 material, be revised to separate bolts into younger and older populations in the same manner as with bolts made from INCO 718 material.

The FAA agrees and has revised paragraph (c) to reflect two populations of bolts, those with fewer than 1,000 CSN on the effective date of the AD and those with 1,000 or more CSN on the effective date of the AD.

Another comment recommends that proposed paragraph (d), requiring inspections of the primary mount thrust load path, also reflect engines with fewer than 1,000 CSN on the effective date of the AD and those with 1,000 or more CSN on the effective date of the AD.

The FAA agrees and has revised paragraph (d) accordingly.

Lastly, a comment suggests that paragraph (d)(3) be changed to read “prior to further flight, inspect and replace mount details in accordance with paragraph 4 of the accomplishment