

of Agriculture (DW12955106); U.S. Coast Guard (DW69955202); and U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services (ATSDR):

(2) Other business entities that have done business with the above-listed contractors and who may have been listed in conflict of interest disclosures:

(3) Unsuccessful offerors to any of the above-mentioned contracts, including, but not limited to: Tetra Tech, Inc.; ICF Kaiser Engineers, Inc.; Roy F. Weston, Inc.; Jaffe, Trutanich, Scatena & Blum; PRC-EMI (Planning Research Corporation—Environmental Management, Inc.); Dalston Consulting, Inc.; Resource Applications, Inc.; and URS Consultants; and Bechtel Environmental, Inc.

AVAILABILITY: A copy of the Stipulation and Protective Order will be provided to the public upon request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth A. La Blanc, Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., ORC-3 San Francisco, CA 94105; lablanc.elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov; phone (415) 744-1364.

Dated: April 19, 2000.

Elizabeth La Blanc,

Assistant Regional Counsel, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 00-12018 Filed 5-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6607-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed May 1, 2000 through May 5, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000134, Draft EIS, NPS, NJ, Maurice National Scenic and Recreational River (NS&RR) Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Atlantic and Cumberland Counties, NJ, Due: June 26, 2000, Contact: Mary Vaura (215) 597-9175.

EIS No. 000135, Draft Supplement, NPS, MS, Natchez Trace Parkway, Construction of Section 3X Southern Terminus, Adam Counties, MS, Due: July 12, 2000, Contact: Wendall Simpson (601) 680-4005.

EIS No. 000136, Final EIS, FHW, OH, Meigs-124-21.16 Transportation Corridor, Relocating existing OH-124 and US 33, Meigs County, OH, Due:

June 12, 2000, Contact: Timothy M. Hill (614) 644-0377.

EIS No. 000137, Final Supplement, NOA, Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Regulatory Impact Review, Snapper-Grouper Complex, South Atlantic Region, Due: June 12, 2000, Contact: Dr. William Hogarth (727) 570-5305.

EIS No. 000138, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Pendola Fire Restoration Project, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest, Downieville Ranger District, Yuba County, CA, Due: June 12, 2000, Contact: Dennis Stevens (530) 478-6253.

Dated: May 9, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-12015 Filed 5-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6607-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 24, 2000 through April 28, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BOP-K80041-CA Rating EC2, Lassen County Federal Correctional Institution (FCI), Construction and Operation, To House Median-Security Inmates and Federal Prison Camp, Possible Site is Southwest Site, Lassen County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concern that potential air, water and waste impacts from prison industry were not fully disclosed. EPA requested that next document provide additional information on the above issues and building design.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-J65297-MT Bull Lake Estates Road Access Project, Implementation, Easement Grant Permit, Kootenai National Forest, Three

Rivers Rangers District, Lincoln County, MT.

Summary: While EPA did not object to the statutorily mandated road access, it did express environmental concerns regarding potential secondary project impacts to water quality, fisheries, and wildlife.

ERP No. F-TPT-K61147-CA Presidio of San Francisco General Management Plan, Implementation, New Development and Uses within the Letterman Complex, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, City and County of San Francisco, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: May 9, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-12016 Filed 5-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6605-9]

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Meramec River Enhancement and Wetlands Protection Project

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document advises the public that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency intends to develop a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS). The DSEIS will update a 1979 FEIS in order to re-evaluate the Federal actions relating to a proposed regionalization of wastewater treatment services within the Meramec River Basin in Missouri. The DSEIS will assist EPA in identifying and documenting the existing baseline environmental conditions in the project area, forecasting reasonably expected development within the basin, and analyzing alternatives with which to fulfill the wastewater treatment needs of the Meramec Basin. This assessment will be subsequently used to determine the environmental consequences of the proposed project and the significance of those consequences. The associated actions are: (1) Provision of grant funding to MSD for the construction of a Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; (2) Identifying all required Federal permits, licences and entitlements.

This document is being furnished pursuant to the Council on

Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22) to obtain suggestions and information from other agencies and the public on the scope of issues and alternatives to be considered in preparation of the DSEIS.

DATES: As an opportunity for interested persons to comment on the issues and alternatives of the DSEIS, a public scoping meeting is scheduled as follows: Wednesday, May 24, 2000, 5:30 to 8 P.M. at Rogers Elementary School, 7700 Fine Road, Mehlville, MO 63129.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding the scope of the DSEIS should be addressed to Mr. Joseph E. Cothorn, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Comments should be received on or before June 15, 2000, at the above address. Written comments may also be sent by facsimile to 913/551-8752 or e-mail at cothorn.joe@epa.gov. Comments received will be available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours (7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday) at the above office; please call (913) 551-7148 for an appointment. All comments received will become part of the administrative record and may be released.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Joseph E. Cothorn at the above address and telephone number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Lower Meramec River Basin drainage area lies in the southern and southwestern portions of St. Louis County within the chartered jurisdiction of the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (MSD). Areas in adjacent northern Jefferson County are also tributary. The MSD is empowered to enter into contractual agreements with other authorities, and has provided contract wastewater treatment for the City of Arnold (located in Jefferson County) since 1982 at the Lower Meramec Interim Treatment Lagoons at the site of the proposed regional plant, near (Meramec) river mile 1.5. This facility currently treats an average of 3.6 MGD.

Other MSD treatment facilities in the service area, (which are being considered for decommissioning in this regionalization project), include (proceeding upstream):

- Baumgartner Treatment Lagoons, near river mile 5.5, acquired in the purchase of a private sewer company in 1977, and upgraded by MSD, with average daily flows of 7.95 MGD.
- Fenton Wastewater Treatment Plant, near river mile 15.5, acquired from a

private sewer company in 1979, and upgraded by MSD, presently providing secondary treatment for average daily flows of 4.0 MGD.

- Grand Glaize Wastewater Treatment Plant, near river mile 20, constructed by MSD in 1983 to provide secondary treatment for 20 MGD, and currently receiving average daily flows of 17.7 MGD.

Dated: May 2, 2000.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 00-12019 Filed 5-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6606-1]

Chesapeake Bay Program Activities Grants

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is issuing a request for proposals that will assist the Chesapeake Bay Program in meeting its goals and commitments. Approximately \$2 million is available through the RFP. Subjects addressed in the RFP include air quality, nutrients, sediments, best management practices, chemical contaminants, communication, exotic species, fish, habitat restoration, oysters, student engagement, submerged aquatic vegetation, water quality, local government and community engagement, and sound land use. Functional categories that proposals should fall under include implementation and technical assistance; workshops, seminars, and training; monitoring and assessment; research and analysis; and education and outreach. Applicants must be a non-profit organization, local government, state agency, interstate agency, college, or university institution. Funding will be provided to an organization under the authority of the Clean Water Act, section 117.

The RFP is available starting May 5, 2000 at the following web-site: <http://www.epa.edu/r3chespk/> You may request a paper copy by calling Carol Cochran at 215-815-2986 or by e-mail cochran.carol@epa.gov or by calling Lori Mackey at 410-267-5715 or e-mail at mackey.lori@epa.gov. All proposals must be received by the Chesapeake Bay Program Office by close of business June

9, 2000. Any late, incomplete, or faxed proposals will not be considered.

Peter J. Marx,

Acting Director, Chesapeake Bay Program.

[FR Doc. 00-12000 Filed 5-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[WH-FRL-6605-4]

Public Stakeholder Meeting on the National Strategy To Develop Regional Nutrient Criteria

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public stakeholder meeting on the National Strategy to Develop Regional Nutrient Criteria.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is holding a stakeholder meeting on May 25, 2000, to stimulate an information exchange with stakeholders on issues related to the National Strategy to Develop Regional Nutrient Criteria.

DATES: The public stakeholder meeting will start at 9 a.m. and adjourn at 5:30 p.m. on May 25, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Cantilli (4304), U.S. EPA Headquarters, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (MC 4304), Washington, DC 20460 (Telephone: (202) 260-5546).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The public stakeholder meeting will be held at the Hilton—Crystal City, 2399 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA for the purpose of conducting an information exchange with stakeholders on issues related to the National Strategy to Develop Regional Nutrient Criteria. The public stakeholder meeting will provide an opportunity for interested persons to discuss the issues and process for developing and implementing regional nutrient criteria. Specifically, EPA will discuss progress it has made in developing draft ecoregional nutrient criteria as well as recommended procedures for implementing these criteria. The stakeholder meeting will also be an opportunity for substantive input and dialogue with the primary authors of the Nutrient Waterbody Type Guidance Documents as well as EPA Regional Nutrient Coordinators who are supporting the development and adoption of regional nutrient criteria at the State and ecoregional level. Participants for the stakeholders meeting who wish to make comments or ask questions are strongly encouraged to