

experience with particular measures, such as tariff-rate quota administration, that might be addressed in the context of the new negotiations.

For services, topics for negotiating objectives include removal or reduction of barriers to U.S. services exports under existing GATS disciplines; establishment of new GATS disciplines to ensure effective market access, *e.g.*, proposed disciplines on domestic regulations on services, possibly addressing transparency and necessity; and clarification of sectoral definitions in the Agreement.

Services sectors under consideration in the negotiations include: (1) Business services, including professional and related services (including legal, accounting, auditing and bookkeeping, taxation, medical, dental, veterinary, engineering, architectural, and urban planning services), computer and related services, research and development services, real estate services, rental and leasing services, and advertising and management services; (2) communication services (including telecommunications services, audiovisual services, postal services, and express delivery or "courier" services); (3) construction and related engineering services; (4) distribution services (including wholesale, retail, and franchising services); (5) educational and training services; (6) environmental services; (7) energy services; (8) financial services, including insurance and insurance-related services, banking and securities services; (9) health-related and social services; (10) tourism and travel-related services; (11) recreational, cultural and sporting services; and (12) transport services.

Comments on broader negotiations on non-agricultural market access are welcome with as much specificity as the respondent can provide on general negotiating objectives and/or targets; country and product specific export interests or barriers; and experience with particular measures that might be improved in the context of the new negotiations.

The U.S. International Trade Commission has provided to the TPSC the public comments received on agricultural and non-agricultural products as part of its investigation No. 332-405, Probable Economic Effects on Reduction or Elimination of U.S. Tariffs (November 1999 (Confidential report)). Hence, these comments need not be resubmitted.

Comments should state clearly the objective(s) and should contain detailed information supporting the objective(s). Submissions should clearly indicate the

general topic (*i.e.*, agriculture, services or non-agricultural products). For agriculture and non-agricultural products, identification of country-specific export priorities on goods should, to the maximum extent possible, identify the item by Harmonized System nomenclature at the 6-digit level at a minimum and the country of interest. For services, the submissions should include, wherever appropriate, sector-specific export priorities by country.

Persons submitting written comments should provide twenty (20) copies no later than noon May 12, 2000, to Gloria Blue, Executive Secretary, Trade Policy Staff Committee, Office of the United States Trade Representative, Room 122, 600 17th Street Northwest, Washington, DC 20508. In addition, a helpful supplement to the written statement would be to provide a disk of the submission containing as much of the technical details as possible, either in a spreadsheet format or in a word processing table format, with each tariff line/services sector in a separate cell. The disk should have a label identifying the software used and the submitter.

Written comments submitted in connection with this request, except for information granted "business confidential" status pursuant to 15 CFR 2003.6, will be available for public inspection in the USTR Reading Room, Room 101, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC. An appointment to review the file may be made by calling Brenda Webb at 202-395-6186. The Reading Room is open to the public from 10:00 a.m. to 12 noon, and from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Business confidential information, including any information submitted on disks, will be subject to the requirements of 15 CFR 2003.6. Any business confidential material must be clearly marked as such on the cover letter or page and each succeeding page, and must be accompanied by a non-confidential summary thereof. If the submission contains business confidential information, twenty copies of a public version that does not contain confidential information, must be submitted. A justification as to why the information contained in the submission should be treated confidentially must be included in the submission. In addition, any submissions containing business confidential information must be clearly marked "Confidential" at the top and bottom of the cover page (or letter) and each succeeding page of the submission. The version that does not contain confidential information should also be

clearly marked, at the top and bottom of each page, "public version" or "non-confidential."

Carmen Suro-Bredie,

Chair, Trade Policy Staff Committee.

[FR Doc. 00-7516 Filed 3-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2000-13]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspects of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before April 18, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: 9-NPRM-cmts@faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cherie Jack (202) 267-7271 or Vanessa

Wilkins (202) 267-8029 Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 23, 2000.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 25052.

Petitioner: Promech, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.203(a)(1).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Promech and six similarly situated certificate holders conducting operations under part 135 to operate seaplanes inside the Ketchikan, Alaska, Class E airspace under Special Visual Flight Rules below 500 feet above the surface.

Grant, 02/28/2000, Exemption No. 4760H.

Docket No.: 25390.

Petitioner: Airbus Industrie.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.35.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit the production units of the members and associated partners of the Airbus consortium to be certificated collectively under Airbus as a U.S. foreign repair station to support the operation of U.S.-registered A300, A310, A319, A320, A321, A330, and A340 series airplanes.

Grant, 02/28/2000, Exemption No. 6029B.

Docket No.: 29052.

Petitioner: Business Airfreight.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit appropriately trained and certificated pilots employed by BAF to replace navigation lightbulbs, landing lightbulbs, taxi lightbulbs, missing or broken static wicks, and missing or broken bonding straps on BAF's aircraft used in operations conducted under 14 CFR part 135.

Denial, 02/29/2000, Exemption No. 7131.

Docket No.: 29116.

Petitioner: Taconite Aviation, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit TAI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on each aircraft.

Grant, 02/09/2000, Exemption No. 6735A.

Docket No.: 29288.

Petitioner: Mr. Patrick J. Halloran.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 65.104(a)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Mr. Halloran to satisfy the eligibility requirement for a repairman certificate (experimental aircraft builder) without being the primary builder of the aircraft to which the certificate privileges would apply.

Denial, 02/24/2000, Exemption No. 7127.

Docket No.: 29410.

Petitioner: U.S. Technical.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.37(b).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit U.S. Technical to install, modify, and retrofit passenger and cabin amenities at customer facilities without providing suitable permanent housing for at least one of the heaviest aircraft for which it is rated.

Denial, 02/24/2000, Exemption No. 7130.

Docket No.: 29695.

Petitioner: Raytheon Systems Company.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Raytheon to make its Inspection Procedures Manual (IPM) available electronically to its supervisory, inspection, and other personnel, rather than give a paper copy of the IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel.

Grant, 02/03/2000, Exemption No. 7115.

Docket No.: 29799.

Petitioner: Bombardier Aerospace.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Bombardier to place an adequate number of repair station IPMs in inspection areas and to assign IPMs to key individuals.

Grant, 02/03/2000, Exemption No. 7114.

Docket No.: 29822.

Petitioner: Mr. John A. Chunis.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Mr. Chunis to conduct one local sightseeing flight for compensation or hire, auctioned off to raise funds for the United Way, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 02/04/2000, Exemption No. 7117.

Docket No.: 29845.

Petitioner: Mr. Ronald Drachenberg.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Mr. Drachenberg to conduct one local sightseeing flight for a fundraising event for the Plainville Congregational Church, Plainville, Connecticut, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 02/25/2000, Exemption No. 7128.

Docket No.: 29868.

Petitioner: Dee Howard Aircraft Maintenance, L.P.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit DHM to assign its IPM to specific management personnel rather than give a copy of its IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel.

Grant, 02/24/2000, Exemption No. 7123.

Docket No.: 29929.

Petitioner: Quest Aviation, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit QAI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft.

Grant, 02/16/2000, Exemption No. 7125.

Docket No.: 29931.

Petitioner: Rotary Club of Kern River Valley.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit the Rotary Club of KRV to conduct local sightseeing flights at Kern Valley Airport on February 18, 19, and 20, 2000, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 02/18/2000, Exemption No. 7121.

Docket No.: 29932.

Petitioner: Mr. Maurice H. Witten.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Mr. Witten to conduct local sightseeing flights in the vicinity of Hays, Kansas, for the Hays Jaycee fund raising event, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and

alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 02/22/2000, Exemption No. 7122.

[FR Doc. 00-7635 Filed 3-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2000-14]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before April 18, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rules Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: 9__NPRM__cmts@faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cherie Jack (202) 267-7271 or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267-8029 Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation

Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 23, 2000.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 27787.

Petitioner: Ameriflight, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Ameriflight to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 03/03/2000, Exemption No. 6830A.

Docket No.: 28529.

Petitioner: Atlantic Aero, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Atlantic Aero to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 03/03/2000, Exemption No. 6459c.

Docket No.: 29143.

Petitioner: Honeywell, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.47(b).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Honeywell to substitute the instrument calibration standards of the Instituto Nacional de Metrologia, Normalizacao e Qualidade Industrial, Brazil's national standards laboratory, for the calibration standards of the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology, formerly the National Bureau of Standards, to test its inspection and test equipment.

Grant, 03/03/2000, Exemption No. 7137.

Docket No.: 29209.

Petitioner: AirNet Systems, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit ASI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 03/03/2000, Exemption No. 6772A.

Docket No.: 29218.

Petitioner: Cessna Aircraft Company.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.409(b).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit owners and

operators of Cessna C-172R, C-172S, and C-182S to use Cessna's PhaseCard Inspection Program rather than completing the 100-hour inspection required by 14 CFR § 91.409(b).

Grant, 03/03/2000, Exemption No. 6091A.

Docket No.: 29723.

Petitioner: Westjet Air Center, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.3(a) and (c).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Westjet to issue to its pilot flight crewmembers written confirmation of an individual FAA-issued crewmember certificates based on information in Westjet's approved record system. That confirmation, when attached to this exemption, permits (1) Westjet to operate the affected flight and (2) the individual pilot to serve as a flight crewmember for any part 135 flight operation without having in his/her possession an FAA-issued pilot or medical certificate.

Grant, 03/06/2000, Exemption No. 7136.

Docket No.: 29813.

Petitioner: Mr. Jeffrey D. Harband..

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Mr. Harband to conduct local sightseeing flights for charitable organizations, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain organizations, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 03/08/2000, Exemption No. 7139.

Docket No.: 29887.

Petitioner: Atlantic Southeast Airlines, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit ASA to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman in place of an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing.

Grant, 03/07/2000, Exemption No. 7135.

Docket No.: 29902.

Petitioner: Brim Equipment Leasing, Inc., d.b.a. Brim Aviation.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Brim Aviation to operate its Robinson R22 Beta II aircraft