relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, the Administrator certifies that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

This action has been classified as a Table 3 action for signature by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214–2225), as revised by an October 4, 1993 memorandum from Michael H. Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The OMB has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 3, 1995. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule to approve the permit-to-operate issued to GSA for its Central and West Heating Plants as a revision to the District of Columbia SIP does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

Stanley L. Laskowski,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Subpart J—District of Columbia

2. Section 52.470 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(33) to read as follows:

§52.470 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(33) Permit-to-operate issued by the District of Columbia to General Services Administration for its Central and West Heating Plants submitted on October 24, 1994 by the Environmental Regulation Administration:

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Letter of October 24, 1994 from the Environmental Regulation Administration transmitting a permit-tooperate issued by the District of Columbia to GSA for its Central and West Heating Plants.

(B) September 8, 1994 permit-to-operate issued by the District of Columbia to GSA for its Central and West Heating Plants requiring the combustion of natural gas and establishing annual and short-term emission limits for SO₂, NO_X, PM–10, VOCs, and CO. The permit was effective upon its issuance.

(ii) Additional material.

(A) Remainder of the District of Columbia's October 24, 1994 submittal.

[FR Doc. 95–10706 Filed 5–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 125-1-6903; FRL-5190-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is finalizing the approval of revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) proposed in the Federal Register on December 9, 1994. The revisions concern rules from the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDCAPCD). This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The revised rule controls VOC emissions from the surface coating of miscellaneous metal parts and products. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these revisions into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** This action is effective on June 1, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are available for inspection at the following locations: Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and

Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123–1096.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Liu, Rulemaking Section, Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 9, 1994 in 59 FR 63724, EPA proposed to approve the following rule into the California SIP: SDCAPCD's Rule 67.3, Coating of Metal Parts and Products. Rule 67.3 was adopted by the SDCAPCD on November 1, 1994. The rule was submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on November 23, 1994. These rules were submitted in response to EPA's 1988 SIP-Call and the CAA section 182(a)(2)(A) requirement that nonattainment areas fix their reasonably available control technology (RACT)

rules for ozone in accordance with EPA guidance that interpreted the requirements of the pre-amendment Act. A detailed discussion of the background for the above rule and nonattainment area is provided in the NPRM cited above.

EPA has evaluated the above rule for consistency with the requirements of the CAA, EPA regulations, and EPA interpretation of these requirements as expressed in the various EPA policy guidance documents referenced in the NPRM cited above. EPA has found that the rule meets the applicable EPA requirements. A detailed discussion of the rule provisions and evaluations has been provided in 59 FR 63724 and in a technical support document (TSD) available at EPA's Region IX office (dated December 2, 1994).

Response to Public Comments

A 30-day public comment period was provided in 59 FR 3274. EPA received no adverse comments.

EPA Action

EPA is finalizing action to approve SDCAPCD Rule 67.3 for inclusion into the California SIP. EPA is approving the submittal under section 110(k)(3) as meeting the requirements of section 110(a) and Part D of the CAA. This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any state implementation plan. Each request for revision to the state implementation plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

Regulatory Process

The OMB has exempted this action from review under Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Note: Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: March 31, 1995.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(206) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(206) Amended rule for the following APCD was submitted on November 23, 1994, by the Governor's designee.

- (i) Incorporation by reference.
- (A) San Diego County Air Pollution Control District.
- (1) Rule 67.3, adopted on November 1, 1994.

[FR Doc. 95–10695 Filed 5–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[OH50-1-6077a, FRL-5176-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: State of Ohio

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: USEPA is approving, through "direct final" procedure, a redesignation request and maintenance plan for the Toledo, Ohio area (Lucas and Wood Counties) as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone. The revision is based on a request from the State of Ohio to redesignate this area from a moderate nonattainment area to an attainment area for ozone, and to approve the maintenance plan for the area. The State has met the requirements for redesignation contained in the Clean Air Act (the Act), as amended in 1990. The redesignation request is based on ambient monitoring data that show no violations of the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) during the three-year period from 1990 through 1992. In the proposed rules section of this Federal Register, USEPA is proposing approval of and soliciting

public comment on this requested redesignation and SIP revision. If adverse comments are received on this direct final rule, USEPA will withdraw this final rule and address these comments in a final rule based on the related proposed rule which is being published in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register**.

DATES: This action will be effective on July 3, 1995 unless adverse or critical comments are received by June 1, 1995. If the effective date is delayed, timely notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the SIP revision and USEPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (It is recommended that you telephone Angela Lee at (312) 353–5142 before visiting the Region 5 Office.) United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

A copy of this SIP revision is available for inspection at the following location: Office of Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Room M1500, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 260–7548.

Written comments can be mailed to: William MacDowell, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE–17J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Lee, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE–17J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–5142.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 17, 1993, Ohio submitted a redesignation request and section 175A maintenance plan for Lucas and Wood Counties. The USEPA reviewed these submittals against the redesignation criteria set forth by section 107(d)(3)(E) of the Act, which are discussed in a September 4, 1992, memorandum from the Director of the Air Quality Management Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, to Directors of Regional Air Divisions entitled, "Procedures for Processing Requests to Redesignate Areas to Attainment" (Calcagni Memorandum). A second memorandum dated September 17, 1993, signed by Michael Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, entitled, "State Implementation Plan (SIP) Requirements for Areas Submitting