AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

1-28-80
Vol. 45 No. 19
Pages 6353-6536

federal register

Monday
January 28, 1980

Highlights

Briefings on How To Use the Federal Register—For details on briefings in **Washington, D.C.**, see announcement in the Reader Aids Section at the end of this issue.

6477 **Indian Education** HEW/OE announces acceptance of applications for fiscal year 1980 grants to local educational agencies; apply by 4-7-80

6378 **Income Tax** Treasury/IRS extends 2-year grace period with respect to foreclosure property held by a real estate investment trust; deadline for filing requests by 3-28-80

6510 **Trade Policy** Special Representation for Trade Negotiations Office issues information on imports under Generalized System of Preference during first ten months of 1979

6379-6381 **Federal Prisoners** Justice/Parole Commission issues various rules regarding paroling, recommiting and supervising prisoners; various effective dates (5 documents)

6419 **TV Stations** FCC proposes rules providing the operation of aural and visual transmitters during early morning hours when station would normally be off the air; comments by 3-31-80, reply comments by 4-30-80

CONTINUED INSIDE



Highlights

FEDERAL REGISTER Published daily, Monday through Friday, (not published on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The **Federal Register** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The **Federal Register** will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies of 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register.**

Area Code 202–523–5240

6381 **Air Force Training** DOD/Air Force issues rules regarding when and how training may be given to employees of contracted private organizations; effective 9–23–77

6404 **Speedometers and Odometers** DOT/NHTSA issues rules to amend Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard; effective 1–28–80

6480 **Crude Oil Transportation System** Interior/Sec'y issues decision on transportation of oil from west coast to northern tier and inland States; effective 1–17–80

6362 **Securities** SEC issues rules regarding exemption of limited offers and sales by qualified issuers; effective 2–25–80

6407 **Investment Securities** Treasury/Comptroller issues an advance notice of proposed rulemaking regarding eligibility of securities for purchase, dealing in, underwriting and holding by national banks; comments by 3–7–80

6416 **Veterans' Benefits** VA proposes to amend rules regarding increased compensation rates; comments by 2–27–80

6399 **Radio and TV Broadcasting** FCC issues reregulation and oversight rules; effective 2–8–80

6482 **Recovery of Fuel Costs** ICC authorizes 11.5-percent surcharge to remain in effect for owner-operator traffic; effective 1–25–80

6405 **Atlantic Billfish and Sharks** Commerce/NOAA amends foreign fishing rules; effective 2–29–80

6405 **Waste Disposal** SBA clarifies eligibility of concerns; effective 1–29–80

6533 **Sunshine Act Meetings**

Separate Parts of This Issue

Note—There are no separate parts included in today's issue.

Contents

Federal Register
Vol. 45, No. 19
Monday, January 28, 1980

Agriculture Department
See Soil Conservation Service.

Air Force Department
RULES
6381 Contractor employees of private organizations; USAF training procedures
NOTICES
Meetings:
6424 Scientific Advisory Board

Child, International Year of the, 1979, National Commission
NOTICES
6506 Meetings

Civil Aeronautics Board
NOTICES
Hearings, etc.:
6421 Air "Ah Wee"
6421 Former large irregular air service investigation (4 documents)
6422 Pan American World Airways, Inc.
6422 Swift Aire Lines, Inc., fitness investigation (2 documents)
6533 Meetings; Sunshine Act (2 documents)

Commerce Department
See Economic Development Administration; International Trade Administration; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Commodity Futures Trading Commission
NOTICES
6533 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Comptroller of Currency
PROPOSED RULES
6407 Investment securities; purchase, dealings, underwriting, and holding by national banks; advance notice

Defense Department
See also Air Force Department; Navy Department.
NOTICES
Meetings:
6424 DIA Advisory Committee
6424, 6425 Science Board task forces (2 documents)

Economic Development Administration
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
6422 Harlem Third World Trade Center, Harlem, N.Y.

Education Office
NOTICES
Grants applications and proposals, closing dates:
6477 Indian education; local educational agencies and tribal schools

Energy Department
See also Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
NOTICES
Consent orders:
6425 Standard Oil Co. of Ohio
International atomic energy agreements; civil uses; subsequent arrangements:
6425 Yugoslavia
Meetings:
6426 Local Government Energy Policy Advisory Committee

Environmental Protection Agency
PROPOSED RULES
Air quality control regions, criteria and control techniques:
6418 Attainment status designations
Air quality implementation plans; approval and promulgation; various States, etc.:
6417 Maryland
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
6471 Agency statements; weekly receipts

Federal Aviation Administration
RULES
Airworthiness directives:
6353 McDonnell Douglas
6354, 6356 Control zones and transition areas (2 documents)
6357 Federal airways; green
6360 Restricted areas
6354, 6356–6360 Transition areas (6 documents)
6355 VOR Federal airways and reporting points
PROPOSED RULES
Airworthiness directives:
6407 Gates Learjet
6408 Control zone and transition areas
6410–6414 Transition areas (6 documents)
6409 VOR Federal airways

Federal Communications Commission
RULES
Cable television service:
6403 Cable employment units; submission of copies of EEO programs; editorial amendments
Radio and television broadcasting:
6399 Reregulation
PROPOSED RULES
Television broadcasting:
6419 Visual and aural television transmitters; operation
NOTICES
6533, 6534 Meetings; Sunshine Act (2 documents)
6474 Rulemaking proceedings filed, granted, denied, etc.; petitions by various companies

Federal Election Commission
NOTICES
6475 Committees filing 1979 campaign activity year end reports

Federal Emergency Management Agency
RULES
Flood insurance; special hazard areas; map corrections:
6386 Arizona
6387 Arkansas
6387, 6388 California (2 documents)
6382, 6383, 6388, 6389 Colorado (5 documents)
6383, 6384 Florida (2 documents)
6384 Illinois
6399 Iowa
6398 Kansas (2 documents)
6397 Kentucky
6397 Maryland
6395, 6396 Massachusetts (3 documents)
6385 Minnesota (2 documents)
6386, 6393–6395 Missouri (5 documents)
6386, 6392, 6393 New Jersey (3 documents)
6391, 6392 New York (2 documents)
6391 Ohio
6390 Oklahoma (2 documents)

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
RULES
Electric utilities:
6377 Water power projects; preliminary permits and licenses; technical filing requirements and evaluation procedures; correction
Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978:
6377 Transportation certificates for natural gas for fuel oil displacement; correction
Organization, operation, information, etc.:
6376 Director, Electric Power Regulation Office; authority delegation for approval of uncontested exemption, extension, and withdrawal petition applications
Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act:
6377 Interlocking positions, reporting requirements; correction
PROPOSED RULES
Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978:
6415 Ceiling prices; high cost natural gas produced from tight formations; extension of time for meeting with public on staff draft final rule
NOTICES
Hearings, etc.:
6426 BASF Wyandotte Corp.
6427 Carolina Power & Light Co.
6427 Central Kansas Power Co., Inc.
6427 Cities Service Gas Co.
6428 Bonners Ferry, Idaho
6428 Lenox, Iowa
6429 Colorado Interstate Gas Co.
6429 Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.
6430 Consolidated Edison Co. of New York, Inc.
6430 East Tennessee Natural Gas Co.
6330 El Dorado Irrigation District, et al.
6431 El Paso Natural Gas Co.
6432 Gas Gathering Corp.
6433 Jim Dandy Co.
6433 Kansas Gas & Electric Co.
6433 Kentucky Ohio Gas Co., Inc.
6433 Kentucky Utility Co.
6433 Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.
6434 Mountain Fuel Supply Co.
6426, 6435 Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Co., et al. (2 documents)
6435 Oklahoma Natural Gas Gathering Co-op.
6435 Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., et al.
6436 Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection
6436 Powder River Pipeline Corp., et al.
6437 Southwest Gas Corp.
6438 Texas Gas Transmission Corp.
6438 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.
6438 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp., et al.
6439 Tucson Electric Power Co.
6439 United Gas Pipeline Co.
6441 Valley Gas Transmission, Inc.
6441 VCF Packaging Films, Inc.
6441, 6442 Western Gas Interstate Co. (2 documents)
Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978:
6442, 6454 Jurisdictional agency determinations (2 documents)

Federal Highway Administration
RULES
6377 Education and training programs; increase in Federal share payable for tuition and expenses

Federal Housing Commissioner—Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing
PROPOSED RULES
Low income housing:
6415 Debenture; "book entry" method of issuance; transmittal to Congress

Federal Maritime Commission
NOTICES
6475 Agreements filed, etc.

Federal Reserve System
NOTICES
Applications, etc.:
6475 American Fletcher Corp.
6476 Coushatta Bancshares, Inc.
6476 Farmers and Merchants Financial Corp.
6476 First Chico Bancshares, Inc.
6476 Griswold State Bancshares, Inc.
6477 KBG Bancorporation, Inc.
6477 Troy Bancgroup & Co.
6535 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Federal Trade Commission
NOTICES
6535 Meetings; Sunshine Act
Premerger notification waiting periods; early terminations:
6477 Worthington Industries, Inc.

Health, Education, and Welfare Department
See also Education Office; Human Development Services Office.
NOTICES
Meetings:
6478 Education Statistics Advisory Council

Housing and Urban Development Department
See also Federal Housing Commissioner—Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing.
NOTICES
6479 Privacy Act; systems of records

Human Development Services Office
NOTICES
Committees; establishment, renewals, terminations, etc.:
6478 White House Conference on Aging National Advisory Committee (1981)

Indian Affairs Bureau
NOTICES
Child custody proceedings; reassumption of jurisdiction; petition receipt, approval, etc.:
6479 Yakima Indian Nation

Interior Department
See also Indian Affairs Bureau; National Park Service.
NOTICES
6480 Crude oil transportation systems; construction and operation; Presidential determinations

Internal Revenue Service
RULES
Income taxes:
6378 Real estate investment trust; extension of grace period for foreclosure property held; temporary regulations
PROPOSED RULES
Income taxes:
6415 Class life asset depreciation range system; application of conventions; hearing
NOTICES
Authority delegations:
6532 Service Center Directors; collections from delinquent IRS officers and employees

International Trade Administration
RULES
Export licensing:
6362 Foreign policy export controls; identification and continuation; interim rule; correction
NOTICES
Meetings:
6423 Importers and Retailers' Textile Advisory Committee
6423 President's Export Council

Interstate Commerce Commission
NOTICES
6482 Fourth section applications for relief
Motor carriers:
6482 Finance applications
6482 Fuel costs recovery, expedited procedures
6484 Temporary authority applications
Railroad services abandonment:
6482 Boston & Maine Corp.

Justice Department
See Law Enforcement Assistance Administration; Parole Commission.

Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
NOTICES
Appeals from grant application denial:
6506 READ, Inc.

Management and Budget Office
NOTICES
6508 Agency forms under review

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
RULES
Motor vehicle safety standards:
6404 Speedometers and odometers
NOTICES
Meetings:
6532 Side Impact Protection Conference, et al.
Motor vehicle safety standards; exemption, petitions, etc.:
6531 Lafer S. A.

National Labor Relations Board
NOTICES
6536 Meetings; Sunshine Act

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RULES
Fishery conservation and management:
6405 Foreign fishing; Atlantic billfish and sharks
NOTICES
Marine mammal permit applications, etc.:
6423 Trident Television Ltd.

National Park Service
NOTICES
Meetings:
6480 Fort Caroline National Memorial, Fla.; land acquisition
6479 Gateway National Recreation Area
6480 New River Gorge National River, W. Va.; land acquisition

Navy Department
NOTICES
Patent licenses, exclusive:
6424 Jet Research Center, Inc.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Applications, etc.:
6507 Philadelphia Electric Co., et al.
6508 Separative Work Unit Corp., et al.
Meetings:
6507 Reactor Safeguards Advisory Committee
6536 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Parole Commission
RULES
Federal prisoners; paroling, recommitting and supervising:
6380 Hearing rights; advance notice of time and place
6379 "Managerial or proprietary interest"; clarification of term
6381 Release dates; issuance of reasons for all parole decisions

6379 Retroactive application of more lenient policy guidelines; implementation procedure changes
Prisoners, youth offenders, and juvenile delinquents; parole, release, etc.:
6379 National Appeals Board; voting procedures; final rule, interim rule, and request for further comments

Securities and Exchange Commission
RULES
6362 Securities; limited offers and sales by corporate issuers; exemption
NOTICES
Hearings, etc.:
6521 American Stock Exchange, Inc., et al.
6522 American Variable Annuity Life Assurance Co., et al.
6526 Continental Illinois Leasing Corp., et al.
6528 Middle South Utilities, Inc., et al.
6529 New England Power Co.
6530 Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.
6536 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Small Business Administration
RULES
Pollution control:
6405 Waste disposal concerns; eligibility; clarification

Soil Conservation Service
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
6421 Lost-Duck Creeks Watershed, Okla.

Trade Negotiations, Office of Special Representative
NOTICES
Generalized system of preferences:
6510 Imports; January–October, 1979

Transportation Department
See Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Treasury Department
See Comptroller of Currency; Internal Revenue Service.

Veterans Administration
PROPOSED RULES
Adjudication; pensions, compensation, dependency, etc.:
6416 Disability compensation and dependency and indemnity compensation; rates increase

World Hunger, Presidential Commission on
NOTICES
6521 Meetings

MEETINGS ANNOUNCED IN THIS ISSUE

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT
International Trade Administration—
6423 Importers and Retailers' Textile Advisory Committee, 3–19–80
6423 President's Export Council, Export Administration Subcommittee, 2–12–80

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT
Air Force Department—
6424 USAF Scientific Advisory Board, Ad Hoc Committee, 2–25 and 2–26–80
Office of the Secretary—
6424 Defense Intelligence Agency Advisory Committee, 2–25–80
6425 Defense Science Board Task Force on ECM, 3–6 and 3–7–80
6424 Defense Science Board Task Force on Particle Beam Technology, 2–20 and 2–21–80

ENERGY DEPARTMENT
6426 Local Government Energy Policy Advisory Committee, 2–13 and 2–14–80

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT
Office of the Secretary—
6478 Advisory Council on Education Statistics, 2–13 and 2–14–80

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
National Park Service—
6480 Fort Caroline National Memorial Land Acquisition Plan, 2–29–80
6479 Gateway National Recreation Area, public discussion, February and March 1980
6480 New George National River, 14 meetings, February 1980

INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD, 1979, NATIONAL COMMISSION
6506 Meeting, 2–7 and 2–8–80

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
6507 Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, 2–7, 2–8 and 2–9–80

PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION ON WORLD HUNGER
6521 Public Participation and Communication Subcommittee, 2–8–80

CHANGED MEETING

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—
6532 NHTSA sponsored meetings, 3 changes

HEARING

TREASURY DEPARTMENT
Internal Revenue Service—
6415 Class Life Asset Depreciation Range System, application of conventions, 3–27–80

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

12 CFR
Proposed Rules:
1 6407

13 CFR
111 6405

14 CFR
39 6353
71 (12 documents) 6354–6360
73 6360
Proposed Rules:
39 6407
71 (8 documents) 6408–6414

15 CFR
371 6362
385 6362
399 6362

17 CFR
230 6362
239 6362

18 CFR
3 6376
4 6377
46 6377
284 6377
Proposed Rules:
271 6415

23 CFR
260 6377

24 CFR
Proposed Rules:
200 6415

26 CFR
10 6378
Proposed Rules:
1 6415

28 CFR
2 (5 documents) 6379–6381

32 CFR
909 6381

38 CFR
Proposed Rules:
3 6416

40 CFR
Proposed Rules:
52 6417
81 6418

44 CFR
70 (35 documents) 6382–6399

47 CFR
73 6399
76 6403
Proposed Rules:
73 6419

49 CFR
571 6404

50 CFR
611 6405

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register
Vol. 45, No. 19
Monday, January 28, 1980

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.
The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 79-WE-28-AD; Amdt. 39-3677]

McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Series Airplanes; Airworthiness Directives

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that requires an inspection and modification of the inflation hose assemblies on certain Sargent Industries, PICO Division evacuation systems. This AD is necessary to assure that the inflation hose does not separate from the compressed gas cylinder, thus preventing the proper inflation of the slide or slide/raft system during an emergency evacuation.

DATES: Effective March 3, 1980. Compliance schedule—As prescribed in the body of the AD.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from:

McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Director, Publications and Training C1-750 (54-60).

Also, a copy of the service information may be reviewed at, or a copy obtained from:

Rules Docket in Room 916, FAA, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, or
Rules Docket in Room 6W14, FAA Western Region, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Hawthorne, California 90261.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyle L. Olsen, Executive Secretary, Airworthiness Directive Review Board, Federal Aviation Administration, Western Region, P.O. Box 92007, World Way Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009. Telephone: (213) 536-6351.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to adopt a new airworthiness directive to require inspection and modifications of the inflation hose assembles on certain Sargent Industries, PICO Division evacuation system installed on DC-10 aircraft was published in **Federal Register** (44 FR 54489) on September 20, 1979.

On July 3, 1979, during production deployment of a Sargent Industries, PICO Division slide/raft evacuation system at the Number 3L door on the DC-10, a failure of the system's inflation hose which leads from the compressed gas inflation cylinder to the air pump (aspirator) occurred preventing inflation of the slide/raft. Upon investigation it was determined that the failure occurred at the hose end fitting which attaches to the inflation cylinder. As a result of a design change in May 1976, the swivel nut on the end fitting is allowed to travel longitudinally along the tubing elbow. This travel can expose the helical retaining ring which, if damaged or dislodged, can allow the tubing elbow to separate from the swivel nut. Exposure of the helical retaining ring subjects the ring to possible damage from contact with the valve body threads any time the pressure cylinder is removed or reinstalled on the inflation system. Subsequent to this incident, some one hundred hose assemblies on production evacuation systems were inspected for damage or dislodged helical retaining rings, and one was found which could have resulted in hose failure.

After issuance of the proposed rule a suspect hose assembly on an evacuation system not listed in the PICO service bulletin was discovered. Consequently, both the service bulletin and the AD have been revised to include all serial numbered units not modified prior to initial delivery.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. One commentor felt that the proposed modification was unnecessary because if the slide or slide/raft system malfunctioned there are other means to escape from the airplane. The FAA does not agree. The sole means of escape from each passenger emergency exit on the DC-10 is the inflatable slide or slide/raft. Successful and swift evacuation following a survivable accident is predicated upon reliable operation of the evacuation system. Another comment was received concurring with the proposal.

Since this condition is likely to exist or develop on other inflation systems of the same type design and in order to minimize the possibility of inoperative evacuation systems on the DC-10, this AD will require inspection and modification of the affected inflation hose and fittings.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) is amended, by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Applies to McDonnell Douglas DC-10-10, -10F, -30, -30F, and -40 airplanes certificated in all categories utilizing the following passenger evacuation systems manufactured by the PICO Division of Sargent Industries: (Subsequent production serial numbers are not affected.)

Part Number and Serial Number

5LS230100-( )—A0001-A0091; 5LD230300-( )—B0001-B0093; 5LD230500-( )—C0001-C0086; 5LD230600-( )—D0001-D0081; 5LD260100-( )—E0001-E0087; 5WD230100-( )—AA001-AA420; 5WD230500-( )—CC001-CC169; 5WD230600-( )—DD001-DD178; 5WD260100-( )—EE001-EE792.

Compliance required within the next eighteen calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent failure of the Sargent Industries, PICO Division emergency evacuation system due to inflation hose end fitting failure accomplish the following:

(a) Visually inspect the passenger evacuation system inflation hoses in accordance with PICO Service Bulletin No. DC-10-25-78, Revision 2, dated December 5, 1979, to determine which hoses have end fittings configured as shown in Figure 2 of the PICO Service Bulletin.

(b) Inflation hose assemblies with end fittings configured as shown in Figure 2 of the PICO Service Bulletin, must be modified in accordance with Subpart 2, "Accomplishment Instructions," of PICO Service Bulletin No. DC-10-25-78 Revision 2, dated December 5, 1979, or each replaced with an approved production hose assembly part number (P/N) 720111-101 in accordance with appropriate maintenance manual procedures.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base for the

accomplishment of inspections required by this AD.

(d) Alternative inspections, modifications or other actions which provide an equivalent level of safety may be used when approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region.

This amendment becomes effective March 3, 1980.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.89)

Issued in Los Angeles, California on January 15, 1980.

W. R. Frehse,

Acting Director, FAA Western Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2366 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-CE-28]

Alteration of Transition Area—Oskaloosa, Iowa

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The nature of this federal action is to alter the 700-foot transition area at Oskaloosa, Iowa, to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure to the Oskaloosa, Iowa Municipal Airport based on a Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB), a navigational aid, being installed on the airport by the City of Oskaloosa, Iowa. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dwaine E. Hiland, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-537, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A new instrument approach procedure to the Oskaloosa Municipal Airport, Oskaloosa, Iowa, is being established based on a Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB), a navigational aid, being installed on the airport by the City of Oskaloosa, Iowa. The establishment of an instrument approach procedure based on this approach aid entails the alteration of the transition area at Oskaloosa, Iowa, at and above 700 feet above the ground (AGL) within which aircraft are provided air traffic control service. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

Discussion of Comments

On pages 65769 and 65770 of the **Federal Register** dated November 15, 1979, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Oskaloosa, Iowa. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rule making proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No objections were received as a result of the Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

Accordingly, Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 2, 1980, (45 FR 445), is amended effective 0901 GMT March 20, 1980, by altering the following transition area:

Oskaloosa, Iowa

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a nine (9) mile radius of the Oskaloosa Municipal Airport (latitude 41°13'36" N, longitude 92°29'30" W) excluding the portion that overlies the Ottumwa, Iowa transition area.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); § 11.69 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.69).)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 15, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,

Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2358 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-AL-10A]

Control Zone and Transition Area, Designation

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment designates control zone and transition area airspace to provide protection for Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) flights to and from Lonely DEW Station, an airport on the north slope of Alaska. The increased use of this airport created the need for additional protection of aircraft operating under IFR. This action is subsequent and in addition to that which originally designated the control zone effective October 4, 1979.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FUTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Everett L. McKisson, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT-230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426-3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On August 6, 1979, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 45920) an amendment to Part 71 that designated a control zone at Lonely DEW Station, Alaska, (Airspace Docket No. 79-AL-10) effective October 4, 1979. At that time it was stated that a 3-mile radius control zone is being designated as an interim safety measure while further consideration is being given to establish a 5-mile radius control zone, with an extension and a 700 foot transition area. On November 29, 1979, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 68480) a proposal to designate the 5-mile control zone and the 700 foot transition area, (Airspace Docket No. 79-AL-10).

Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. One of the two comments received objected to the control zone portion of the proposal and gave the following reasons:

1. There is no Distance Measuring Equipment (DME) available at Lonely.
2. There is no assurance that personnel are properly trained.
3. That communication facilities are inadequate.
4. That the air traffic is decreasing.
5. That the local operator cannot control air traffic.

The FAA has no requirement for a local operator to control traffic within a control zone nor that DME be available to qualify a location for the designation of a control zone. Air traffic at Lonely DEW Station has increased from 400 operations in October 1979, to 1,432 in December 1979. Communications have been improved by installation of a direct telephone line between the Lonely Communications Center and the Anchorage Air Route Traffic Control Center (ARTCC). Adequate training has been assured by the local operator for relaying air traffic control clearances from the Anchorage ARTCC. For these reasons, the FAA is adopting this proposed amendment as published in the notice. Section 71.171 and § 71.181 of Part 71 were republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1980, (45 FR 356, 445).

The Rule

This amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) redesignates the Lonely DEW Station control zone within a 5-mile radius of Lonely DEW Station Airport and within 3.5 miles each side of the 265° magnetic bearing from the Lonely nondirectional radio beacon (NDB), extending to 10 miles from the NDB, and designates a transition area that extends upward from 700 feet above the surface within 4.5 miles north and 9.5 miles south of the 265° magnetic bearing from the Lonely NDB extending to 18.5 miles from the NDB. The amount of air traffic at Lonely DEW Station Airport has increased sufficiently to justify the designation of the controlled airspace.

Since this action involves, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 71.171 and § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 356, 445) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.171 [Amended]

Under Lonely DEW Station, Alaska, the text is deleted and "Within a 5-mile radius of Lonely DEW Station Airport (Lat. 70°54'40" N., Long. 153°14'20" W.) and within 3.5 miles each side of the 293° bearing from the Lonely NDB, extending from the NDB to 10 miles northwest of the NDB." is substituted therefor.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

"Lonely DEW Station, Alaska. That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 4.5 miles north and 9.5 miles south of the 293° bearing from the Lonely NDB, extending from the NDB to 18.5 miles northwest of the NDB." is added.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a) and 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1345(a), and 1510; Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69.)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January 18, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2354 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-EA-51]

Alteration of Airway; West Virginia and Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment extends V-377 airway from Montebello, Va., to Kessel, W. Va., and designates Kessel as a reporting point. The additional airway segment reduces the airway distance between the two places and the reporting point is used for the control of air traffic at the lower altitudes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Everett L. McKisson, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT-230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426-3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On November 29, 1979, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to extend V-377 airway from Montebello, Va., to its present beginning at Kessel, W. Va., and to designate Kessel as a reporting point (44 FR 68479). Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. The comments received expressed no objection. Section 71.123 and §71.203 of Part 71 were republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 307, 645). This amendment is the same as proposed in the notice.

The Rule

This amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) extends V-377 airway from Kessel to Montebello and designates Kessel as a compulsory reporting point. The amount of air traffic north of Lynchburg, Va., has increased sufficiently to justify the designation of the direct route between Montebello and Kessel as an airway.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 71.123 and § 71.203 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 307, 645) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.123 [Amended]

Under V-377 "From Kessel, W. Va., via" is deleted and "From Montebello, Va., via Kessel, W. Va.;" is substituted therefor.

§ 71.203 [Amended]

"Kessel, W. Va." is added.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69.)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 18, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2355 filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-CE-30]

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area; North Platte, Nebr.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The nature of this federal action is to alter the control zone and the 700-foot transition area at North Platte, Nebraska, to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing an instrument approach procedure to new Runway 30R at Lee Bird Field, North Platte, Nebraska, utilizing the Lee Bird Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB) as a navigational aid. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benny J. Kirk, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-538, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA stated in an NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, October 25, 1979 (44 FR 61377), it proposed to amend Subpart F, § 71.171 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.171) and Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) by altering the control zone and 700-foot transition area at North Platte, Nebraska. Since that publication, it has been determined that a 1 degree change in the runway radial is required. Since this change will not affect the legal designations of the proposed control zone and transition area alternates as set forth in the Notice, additional notice hereon is impractical and unnecessary and not required in the public interest. Accordingly, to enhance airport usage, an instrument approach procedure has been established for new Runway 30R at Lee Bird Field, North Platte, Nebraska, utilizing the Lee Bird NDB as a navigational aid. The establishment of this instrument approach procedure entails alteration of the control zone and transition area at North Platte, Nebraska, at and above 700 feet above ground level (AGL) within which aircraft are provided additional air traffic control service. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using this approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

Discussion of Comments

On page 61377 of the **Federal Register** dated October 25, 1979, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend §§ 71.171 and 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the control zone and transition area at North Platte, Nebraska. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rule making proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No objections were received as a result of the Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

Accordingly, Subpart F, Section 71.171 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.171) as republished on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 356), is amended, effective 0901 G.M.T., March 20, 1980, by altering the following control zone:

North Platte, Nebr.

Within a 6 mile radius of Lee Bird Field (latitude 41°07'42" N, longitude 100°41'49" W); within 2 miles each side of the 186° bearing from the Big Nell RBN, extending from the 6 mile radius zone to 8 miles south of the RBN; and within 3 miles each side of the 125° bearing from the Lee Bird RBN, extending from the 6 mile radius zone to 10 miles southeast of the RBN.

Additionally, Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 445), is amended effective 0901 G.M.T., March 20, 1980, by altering the following transition area:

North Platte, Nebr.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10 mile radius of Lee Bird Field (latitude 41°07'42" N, longitude 100°41'49" W) and within 2 miles each side of the North Platte VOR 209° radial, extending from the 10 mile radius area to 8 miles southwest of the VOR; and within 5 miles each side of the 301° bearing from Lee Bird RBN, extending from the 10 mile radius area to 13 miles northwest of the RBN; and within 3 miles each side of the 125° bearing from Lee Bird RBN extending from the 10 mile radius to 14 miles southeast of the RBN.

(Section 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); section 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); § 11.69 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.69))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 15, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,

Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2359 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-AL-3]

Establishment of Transition Area; Sand Point, Alaska

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment designates a transition area at Sand Point, Alaska. The transition area is necessary to provide controlled airspace for arrival/departure operations in the Sand Point area.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Lewis W. Still, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT-230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426-8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 13, 1979, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to designate a transition area in the Sand Point, Alaska, area (44 FR 72182). The FAA has installed a Nondirectional Beacon with Distance Measuring Equipment (NDB/DME) at Sand Point named Humboldt. Designation of this transition area is to provide controlled airspace for increased aircraft operations in the Sand Point area. This action aids air traffic control by improving flow control procedures for aircraft arriving/departing the Sand Point terminal area. Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking proceeding by submitting comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received. This amendment is the same as that proposed in the notice. Section 71.181 was republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 445).

The Rule

This amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) designates a transition area in the Sand Point, Alaska, area. This

transition area provides protection for IFR arrival/departure operations authorized below 1,500 feet MSL down to 700 feet MSL. This amendment increases air traffic safety and improves flow control procedures.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 445) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Under § 71.181 add:

Sand Point, Alaska

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 4.5 miles west and 9.5 miles east of the 175°T (157°M) bearing from the Humboldt NDB, extending from the NDB to 24.5 miles south of the NDB and within 4.5 miles east and 9.5 miles west of the 345°T (327°M) bearing from the Humboldt NDB, extending from the NDB to 23.5 miles north of the NDB.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), and 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1354(a), and 1510; Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 18, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2353 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-AL-2]

Designation of Low Frequency Airways; Alaska

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment designates new low frequency Airway Green 12 (G–12) between Cold Bay, Alaska, and King Salmon, Alaska, revokes Green 11 (G–11) and designates new Airway Green 10 (G–10) between Sand Point, Alaska, and Port Heiden, Alaska. This action increases air safety in the Sand Point terminal area by providing controlled airspace for departure/arrival traffic.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Lewis W. Still, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT–230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426–8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 8, 1979, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to designate new airway Green 12 (G–12) between Cold Bay, Alaska, and King Salmon, Alaska, via Sand Point, Alaska, and Port Heiden, Alaska. A nondirectional beacon (NDB) called "Humboldt" has been commissioned at Sand Point. Also, in the notice the FAA proposed to designate new low frequency Airway Green 1 (G–1), however, due to a similar airway (G–1) in Canada, this airway is designated as G–10 (44 FR 64841). No comments were received. This amendment is the same as that proposed in the notice except for the change in airway designation just noted. Section 71.103 was republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 305).

The Rule

This amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) designates Airway Green 12 (G–12) between Cold Bay, Alaska, and King Salmon, Alaska, via Humboldt, Alaska, and Port Heiden, Alaska. Green 1 (G–1) redesignated as Green 10 (G–10) extends from Humboldt, Alaska, to Woody Island, via Port Heiden. Airway Green 11 (G–11) is deleted. These alterations will improve traffic flow in the Sand Point and Port Heiden terminal areas, thereby increasing air safety and improving air traffic control.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 71.103 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 305) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.103 [Amended]

"G–12 from King Salmon, Alaska, NDB, via Port Heiden, Alaska, NDB; Humboldt, Alaska, NDB; to Elfee, Alaska, NDB." is added.

"G–11 title and text are deleted.

"G–10 from Humboldt, Alaska, NDB; via INT Humboldt NDB 345° and Port Heiden, Alaska, NDB 248° bearings 20 AGL Port Heiden, NDB; 67 miles 12 AGL, 77 miles 85 MSL, 67 miles 12 AGL, to Woody Island, NDB." is added.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 18, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2352 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-GL-58]

Revocation of VOR Federal Airways; Michigan

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment revokes several VOR Federal airway segments in the vicinity of Carleton, Mich. These routes are rarely utilized and therefore should not be retained. Also, revocation of these airway segments will reduce chart clutter.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Lewis W. Still, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT–230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426–8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 17, 1979, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to revoke several airway segments in the vicinity of Carleton, Mich., (44 FR 73112). Since these airway segments are rarely used, they are being revoked thereby reducing chart clutter and improving flight planning. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written

comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received. This amendment is the same as that proposed in the notice. Section 71.123 was republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 307).

The Rule

This amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) revokes airway segments of V-47, V-297 and V-493 in the vicinity of Carleton, Mich., because these airway segments are not being utilized. This action reduces controller workload, aids flight planning and reduces chart clutter.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 71.123 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 307) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.123 [Amended]

Under V-47: "Waterville, Ohio; INT Waterville 353° and Salem, Mich., 197° radials; Salem; to the INT Salem 021° and Flint, Mich., 088° radials." is deleted and "to Waterville, Ohio." is substituted therefor.

Under V-297: "Carleton; INT Carleton 334° and Saginaw, Mich., 182° radials; Saginaw; INT Saginaw 353°" is deleted and "to Carleton. From Saginaw; INT Saginaw 353°" is substituted therefor.

Under V-493: "Carleton, Mich.; INT Carleton 334° and Mt. Pleasant, Mich., 142° radials; to Mt. Pleasant." is deleted and "to Carleton, Mich." is substituted therefor.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69.)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January 18, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2356 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-ASW-55]

Alteration of Transition Area: Ruston, La.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The nature of the action being taken is to alter the transition area at Ruston, La. The intended effect of the action is to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the Ruston Municipal Airport. The circumstance which created a need for the action is that a review of the current transition area revealed the controlled airspace is not properly described and inadequate for the protection of aircraft executing instrument approach procedures. In addition, higher performance aircraft are utilizing the airport which requires additional controlled airspace.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth L. Stephenson, Airspace and Procedures Branch, ASW-535, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101; telephone 817-624-4911, extension 302.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On December 10, 1979, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 70742) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration proposed to alter the Ruston, La., transition area. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rule making proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the Federal Aviation Administration. No objections were received to the proposal. Except for editorial changes, this amendment is that proposed in the notice.

The Rule

This amendment to Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71) alters the Ruston, La., transition area. This action provides controlled airspace for the protection of aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the Ruston Municipal Airport.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 445) is amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.181 [Amended]

In Subpart G, § 71.181 (45 FR 445), the Ruston, La., transition area is amended by deleting the present description and substituting the following:

Ruston, La.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of Ruston Municipal Airport (latitude 32°30'45" N., longitude 92°37'45" W.), and within 3 miles each side of the 089° bearing from the airport extending from the 6.5-mile radius area to 7.5 miles east; and within 3.5 miles each side of the Ruston, La., VOR (latitude 32°27'54" N., longitude 92°36'30" W.) 159° radial extending from the 6.5-mile radius area to 11.5 miles south of the VOR.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a); and Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on January 16, 1980.

F. E. Whitfield,

Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2365 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-RM-30]

Alteration of Transition Areas; Glasgow, Mont.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment alters the 700' and 1,200' transition areas at Glasgow, Montana, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing the new instrument landing system (ILS) runway 28 standard instrument approach procedure developed for the Valley Industrial Airpark, Glasgow, Montana (formerly Glasgow Air Force Base).

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 G.m.t., March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pruett B. Helm, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic

Division, ARM-500, Federal Aviation Administration, Rocky Mountain Region, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010; telephone (303) 837-3937.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On December 17, 1979, the FAA published for comment a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) to alter the 700' and 1,200' transition areas at Glasgow, Montana (44 FR 73113). The only comments received from this notice expressed no objections.

The Rule

This amendment to subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR's) alters the 700' and 1,200' transition areas at Glasgow, Montana to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing the new instrument landing system (ILS) runway 28 standard instrument approach procedure developed for the Valley Industrial Airpark, Glasgow, Montana.

Drafting Information

The principal authors of this document are Pruett B. Helm, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, and Daniel J. Peterson, office of Regional Counsel.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.181 [Amended]

By amending subpart G, § 71.181 (45 FR 445) by altering the following transition areas:

Glasgow, Mont.

That airspace extending upward from 700' above the surface within 9 miles each side of the Glasgow VORTAC (latitude 48°12'55" N., longitude 106°37'30" W.) 016°/196° radials extending from the VOR 9 miles southwest and 24.5 miles northeast, and that airspace extending upward from 1,200' above the surface starting at latitude 48°40'00" N., longitude 106°00'00" W.; to latitude 48°32'00" N., longitude 105°50'00" W.; to latitude 48°03'00" N., longitude 105°50'00" W.; to latitude 48°05'00" N., longitude 106°10'00" W.; to latitude 47°54'00" N., longitude 106°22'30" W.; to latitude 48°16'00" N., longitude 107°07'00" W.; to latitude 48°40'00" N., longitude 107°07'00" W.; thence, to point of beginning, excluding that area designated as the Wolf Point, Montana 1,200' transition area.

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c); and 14 CFR 11.69))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Aurora, Colorado on January 14, 1980.

Isaac H. Hoover,

Acting Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2364 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-CE-33]

Designation of Transition Area; Bassett, Nebr.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The nature of this Federal action is to designate a 700-foot transition area at Bassett, Nebraska, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure to the Rock County Airport, Bassett, Nebraska, utilizing the Rock County Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB) as a navigational aid. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benny J. Kirk, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-538, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An instrument approach procedure to the Rock County Airport, Bassett, Nebraska, is being established utilizing the Rock County Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB) as a navigational aid. This radio facility provides new navigational guidance for aircraft utilizing the airport. The establishment of an instrument approach procedure based on this approach aid entails the designation of a transition area at Bassett, Nebraska, at and above 700 feet above the ground (AGL) within which aircraft are provided air traffic control service. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

Discussion of Comments

On page 65770 of the **Federal Register** dated November 15, 1979, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, so as to designate a transition area at Bassett, Nebraska. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rule making proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No objections were received as a result of the Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 2, 1980, (45 FR 445), is amended effective 0901 GMT March 20, 1980, by adding the following new transition area:

Bassett, Nebr.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 mile radius of the Rock County Airport, Bassett, Nebraska (latitude 42° 34' 26" N, longitude 99° 34'21" W); within 2.5 miles each side of the 129° bearing from the Rock County NDB extending from the 7 mile radius area to 7 miles southeast of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); Sec. 11.69 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.69))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 15, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,

Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2349 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-CE-34]

Designation of Transition Area—Ord, Nebr.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The nature of this Federal action is to designate a 700-foot transition area at Ord, Nebraska, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure to the Evelyn Sharp Airport, Ord, Nebraska, utilizing a Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB), a navigational aid, being installed on the airport by the State of Nebraska. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benny J. Kirk, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-538, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An instrument approach procedure to the Evelyn Sharp Airport, Ord, Nebraska, is being established based on a Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB), a navigational aid, being installed on the airport by the State of Nebraska. This radio facility provides new navigational guidance for aircraft utilizing the airport. The establishment of an instrument approach procedure based on this approach aid entails the designation of a transition area at Ord, Nebraska, at and above 700 feet above the ground (AGL) within which aircraft are provided air traffic control service. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

Discussion of Comments

On page 67140 of the **Federal Register** dated November 23, 1979, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, so as to designate a transition area at Ord, Nebraska. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rule making proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received as a result of the Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 445), is amended effective 0901 GMT March 20, 1980, by adding the following new transition area:

Ord, Nebr.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 mile radius of the Evelyn Sharp Field (latitude 41°37'20" N, longitude 98°57'05" W) and within 3 miles either side of the 311° true bearing from the Ord, NDB (latitude 41°37'23" N, longitude 98°56'52" W) extending from the 7 mile radius area to 8½ miles northwest of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); Sec. 11.69 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.69))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 15, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,

Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2362 filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Parts 71 and 73

[Airspace Docket No. 79-SO-78]

Designation of Temporary Restricted Areas, Camp Lejeune, N.C.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: These amendments designate eight temporary restricted areas in the vicinity of Camp Lejeune, N.C., to contain a major joint military readiness exercise May 12-18, 1980. These actions provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace by prohibiting unauthorized flight operations of nonparticipating aircraft within the joint use restricted airspace during the times the areas are in use.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. George O. Hussey, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT-230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426-3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On December 13, 1979, the FAA proposed to amend Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Parts 71 and 73) to designate seven temporary restricted areas in the vicinity of Camp Lejeune, N.C., to contain a major joint military readiness exercise and to designate controlled airspace for those areas that penetrate the Continental Control Area (44 FR 72183). Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. The comments received expressed no objection to the proposal. Section 71.151 of Part 71 and § 73.53 of Part 73 were republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 346, 716). These amendments are the same as proposed in the notice, except the floor of the northern portion of subarea "A" is raised from the surface to 10,000 feet MSL thereby benefiting the public through less restrictive access. This northern portion of proposed subarea "A" is designated as subarea "D" and proposed subareas D, E, F, and G are designated as subareas E, F, G, and H, respectively. Because these changes relieve a restriction, the FAA finds that notice of proposed rulemaking is unnecessary and the changes are incorporated in the final rule.

The Rule

These amendments to Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Parts 71 and 73) designate as temporary controlled and special use airspace the areas identified as R-5316A, R-5316B, R-5316C, R-5316D, R-5316E, R-5316F, R-5316G, and R-5316H to contain a major joint military readiness exercise. These actions provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace by prohibiting unauthorized flight operations of nonparticipating aircraft within the joint use restricted airspace during the times the areas are in use. However,

provisions are made for authorized flight operations of nonparticipating aircraft within the areas under specific circumstances. The Commander in Chief, Atlantic (CINCLANT) has advised that the requirements of the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) have been met.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Parts 71 and 73) as republished (45 FR 346, 716) are amended, effective 0901 GMT, March 20, 1980, as follows:

§ 71.151 [Amended]

In § 71.151 (45 FR 346), the following temporary restricted areas are added for the duration of their time of designation from 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980:

R-5316A Lejeune, N.C.
R-5316C Lejeune, N.C.
R-5316D Lejeune, N.C.
R-5316E Lejeune, N.C.
R-5316H Lejeune, N.C.

§ 73.53 [Amended]

In § 73.53 (45 FR 716), the following temporary restricted areas are added:

R-5316A Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 34°40'20" N., Long. 77°22'12" W.; to Lat. 34°38'12" N., Long. 77°26'00" W.; to Lat. 34°36'05" N., Long 77°26'08" W.; to Lat. 34°33'00" N., Long. 77°19'00" W.; to Lat. 34°30'20" N., Long. 77°15'50" W.; thence southwest 3 NM from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 34°23'00" N., Long. 77°30'00" W.; to Lat. 34°21'25" N., Long. 77°32'30" W.; to Lat. 34°26'30" N., Long. 77°40'00" W.; to Lat. 34°43'00" N., Long. 77°22'30" W.; to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. Surface to but not including FL 180.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001 local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316B Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 34°51'00" N., Long. 77°05'30" W.; to Lat. 34°42'00" N., Long. 76°54'45" W.; to Lat. 34°41'50" N., Long. 76°56'20" W.; to Lat. 34°37'30" N., Long. 76°56'20" W.; thence southwest 3 NM from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 34°34'30" N., Long. 77°09'00" W.; to Lat. 34°44'50" N., Long. 77°14'40" W.; to Lat. 34°49'30" N., Long. 77°10'00" W.; thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. Surface to but not including 1,200 feet MSL.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316C Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 34°57'00" N., Long. 77°02'45" W.; to Lat. 34°38'30" N., Long. 76°43'00" W.; thence west 3 NM from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 34°37'30" N., Long. 76°56'20" W.; to Lat. 34°41'50" N., Long. 76°56'20" W.; to Lat. 34°42'00" N., Long. 76°54'45" W.; to Lat. 34°51'00" N., Long. 77°05'30" W.; to Lat. 34°49'30" N., Long. 77°10'00" W.; thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. 4,000 feet MSL to but not including FL 180.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316D Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°15'00" N., Long. 77°30'00" W.; to Lat. 34°57'00" N., Long. 77°02'45" W.; to Lat. 34°49'30" N., Long. 77°10'00" W.; to Lat. 34°44'50" N., Long. 77°14'40" W.; to Lat. 34°39'10" N., Long. 77°20'50" W.; to Lat. 34°40'20" N., Long. 77°22'12" W.; to Lat. 34°43'00" N., Long. 77°22'30" W.; to Lat. 34°55'30" N., Long. 77°35'30" W.; thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. 10,000 feet MSL to but not including FL 180.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316E Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°15'00" N., Long. 77°30'00" W.; to Lat. 35°43'50" N., Long. 76°35'30" W.; to Lat. 35°38'55" N., Long. 76°01'00" W.; to Lat. 35°36'45" N., Long. 76°01'20" W.; to Lat. 35°18'15" N., Long. 76°16'40" W.; to Lat. 35°23'15" N., Long. 76°34'40" W.; to Lat. 35°08'00" N., Long. 76°51'20" W.; to Lat. 35°03'00" N., Long. 76°57'00" W.; to Lat. 34°57'00" N., Long. 77°02'45" W.; to thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. 10,000 feet MSL to but not including FL 180.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316F Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°53'50" N., Long. 76°33'10" W.; to Lat. 35°52'22" N., Long. 76°09'53" W.; to Lat. 35°40'25" N., Long. 76°12'25" W.; to Lat. 35°43'50" N., Long. 76°35'30" W.; thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. 6,000 feet MSL to and including 14,000 feet MSL.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316G Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°52'22" N., Long. 76°09'53" W.; to Lat. 35°51'52" N., Long. 76°02'09" W.; to Lat. 35°39'20" N., Long. 76°05'00" W.; to Lat. 35°40'25" N., Long. 76°12'25" W.; thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. 10,000 feet MSL to and including 14,000 feet MSL.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5316H Lejeune, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°51'52" N., Long. 76°02'09" W.; to Lat. 35°51'35" N., Long. 75°57'55" W.; to Lat. 35°38'55" N., Long. 76°01'00" W.; to Lat. 35°39'20" N., Long. 76°05'00" W.; thence to point of beginning.
Designated altitudes. 15,000 feet MSL to but not including 18,000 feet MSL.
Time of designation. Intermittent, 0001, May 12, 1980, to 0001, local time, May 18, 1980.
Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTCC, Leesburg, Va.
Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 18, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2357 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

15 CFR Parts 371, 385, and 399

Revisions To Reflect Identification and Continuation of Foreign Policy Export Controls; Corrections

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Corrections to interim final rule.

SUMMARY: On December 29, 1979 (45 FR 1595, January 8, 1980) the President, as authorized by section 6 of the Export Administration Act of 1979, determined that certain export controls maintained for foreign policy purposes continue beyond December 31, 1979. The Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR 368 *et seq.*) were revised to reflect the President's determination. In the **Federal Register** notice listing the revisions, several technical errors appeared, which are corrected in this issuance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Archie M. Andrews. Telephone: (202) 377–5247.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 80–585 appearing at page 1595 in the **Federal Register** of January 8, 1980, the following changes should be made:

1. On page 1597, § 371.9(a)(2) should have the words "or consumption" added in the third line. Section 371.9(a)(2) should read:

The items listed below may be exported subject to the conditions set forth in § 371.9(b) below for use or consumption on board a vessel of any registry during the outgoing and immediate return voyage:

* * * * *

2. Also on page 1597, in § 371.10(a)(2) delete the three commas. Section 371.10(a)(2) should read:

The following commodities may be exported subject to the conditions set forth in § 371.10(b) below for use or consumption on board an aircraft of any registry during the outgoing and immediate return flight—

* * * * *

3. On page 1599, paragraph (d) of § 385.4 is corrected in the eleventh line to replace "(Section 6.14)" with "(subsection 6(j))".

4. On page 1600, in CCL entry 5680B of § 399.1, the processing code "EE" should be changed to "MG". In CCL entry 6460F, the three GLV dollar value limits "O" should be changed to dashes.

5. On page 1601, "h" should read "The following entries are deleted, and the commodities are covered by CCL entry 6499G:" and CCL entry 6461F should be moved from "i" to "h." The "i" should read "The following entry is deleted, and the commodities are covered by CCL entry 6599G:".

Donald A. Furtado,

Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.

[FR Doc. 80–2772 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–25–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Parts 230 and 239

[Release No. 33–6180]

Exemption of Limited Offers and Sales by Qualified Issuers

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Adoption of rules, rule amendments, and forms.

SUMMARY: The Commission is adopting a small issue exemptive rule under Section 3(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 which allows certain corporate issuers to offer and sell up to $2,000,000 per issue of their securities to an unlimited number of institutional-type purchasers, purchasers of at least $100,000 of securities, or the issuer's executive officers or directors, and to 35 other purchasers. An issuer offering an issue of securities pursuant to the rule must furnish prospective investors with specified information in certain instances and file one or more notices of sales after the first sale of securities of the issue is made.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gail Gordon Alkire, (202) 272–2644, Office of Small Business Policy, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Securities and Exchange Commission is adopting Rule 242 [17 CFR 230.242], Form 242 [17 CFR 239.242], and a corresponding amendment to Rule 144 [17 CFR 230.144] under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. 77a et seq., as amended].

The Commission published proposed Rule 242 for comment in Securities Act Release No. 6121 (September 11, 1979) (44 FR 54258). As adopted, Rule 242 allows certain domestic and Canadian corporate issuers to sell up to $2,000,000 per issue of their securities in any six-month period to an unlimited number of "accredited persons," defined as certain specified institutions, purchasers of $100,000 or more of securities, and executive officers and directors of the issuer, and to 35 other purchasers. If sales are made only to accredited persons, the Rule does not require that the issuer furnish specified information to them. If sales are made to accredited and non-accredited persons, or only to non-accredited persons, the Rule requires that the issuer furnish all purchasers with the same kind of information as that specified in Part I of Form S–18 [17 CFR 239.28] to the extent material, during the transaction and prior to sale. In addition, the issuer must make available to any non-accredited person, upon his written request prior to purchase, any information obtained from the issuer in writing by an accredited person prior to the date of purchase by the non-accredited person. No general advertising or solicitation is permitted. Securities sold pursuant to Rule 242 are deemed to have the same status as if they had been acquired in a transaction pursuant to section 4(2) of the Securities Act and cannot be resold without registration or an exemption therefrom.

Under Rule 242, the issuer must file a notice with the Commission's Office of Small Business Policy, Division of Corporation Finance, at the Commission's principal office in Washington, D.C., 10 days after the first sale of securities in any issue is made in reliance on the Rule and 10 days after the completion of the issue. Every six months until the completion of the issue, the issuer also must file amended notices which disclose facts which have changed since the last notice as to such issue was filed. The notice form calls for certain data regarding the issuer which is necessary for Commission monitoring and rulemaking purposes.

The exemption provided by Rule 242 is in the nature of an experiment. The Commission will monitor closely the use of Rule 242 for an appropriate period to determine whether the Rule has functioned as an effective means for issuers, particularly small issuers, to raise limited amounts of capital through unregistered offerings to the public consistent with the protection of investors. After such period, the Commission will decide whether the Rule ought to be retained, and, if so, whether the conditions for its availability ought to be revised.

This release contains a general discussion of the background, purpose, and effect of the Rule and a brief synopsis of the major provisions of the Rule which will assist in a better understanding of its provisions. In addition, a discussion of the significant public comment received is included.

Background

The Commission currently is engaged in an evaluation of the impact of its rules and regulations on the ability of small businesses to raise capital and the financial and other effects on small businesses of its disclosure policies under the securities acts. In April and May of 1978, the Commission conducted an examination into these matters in 21 days of public hearings held in six cities across the country.[1] Primarily as a result of the views expressed at the hearings, the Commission recently has taken several significant actions designed to ease the impact of the federal securities laws on small business consistent with the protection of investors.[2] The adoption of Rule 242 represents an important step in this process.

Discussion

Rule 242 is designed to address the problems encountered by small businesses in using other exemptive provisions of the Securities Act and rules promulgated thereunder. Commentators at the Commission's small business hearings indicated that existing exemptive rules, particularly Rules 146 [17 CFR 230.146] and 240 [17 CFR 230.240], were not especially helpful to small businesses.

Rule 146 was designed to provide objective standards on which an issuer may rely in claiming the exemption from registration provided in section 4(2) of the Securities Act for transactions not involving any public offering. The commentators at the hearings consistently stated, however, that Rule 146 raises compliance problems for smaller issuers which render the availability of the exemption uncertain. Specifically, Rule 146 requires the issuer to make a subjective determination as to the sophistication of each offeree and each purchaser. In addition, the commentators were uncertain whether Rule 146 compels compliance with current staff guidelines and interpretations as to the requirements of forms and schedules, in those instances in which the issuer must furnish offerees the same information "as would be required to be included" in a particular registration statement or on Schedule I of Regulation A. The Rule's provisions as to omissions of immaterial information, moreover, may make technical violations likely.

Rule 240 also was criticized, although it does not contain offeree or purchaser sophistication standards or a mandatory disclosure requirement. Commentators claimed that Rule 240 is of limited utility because it is available only for offerings of $100,000 or less in 12 months by an issuer whose securities are owned beneficially by not more than 100 persons. The utility of Rule 240 is diminished further by the Rule's prohibition against the payment of commissions for the solicitation of purchasers.

Rule 242 is intended to facilitate small business capital formation in a manner consistent with the protection of investors by addressing the problems encountered by those issuers seeking to utilize the exemptive provisions. The Commission believes that Rule 242 will reduce the currently-perceived uncertainty regarding their use. Specifically, Rule 242, by reference to Part I of Form S-18, specifies the kind of information which must be furnished during the course of an issue and adds a materiality standard to that requirement, rather than requiring all information which would be required to be included in a registration statement on, *e.g.*, Form S-1 [17 CFR 239.11] or in an offering circular pursuant to Regulation A. Also, the Rule does not require the issuer to make any subjective determination regarding the sophistication or financial condition of offerees and purchasers. The issuer need only examine purchasers to determine whether they are accredited or nonaccredited persons based upon the objective criteria set forth in the Rule for purposes of computing the 35-purchaser limit.

The Commission received almost 300 comment letters on proposed Rule 242. Virtually all the letters supported the proposed rule; none opposed its adoption. The only significant body of letters which disagreed with approaches taken in the Rule were those (such as those from the real estate industry) which urged expansion of its availability.

Synopsis

A section-by-section discussion of the Rule follows. The reader's attention, however, is directed to the Rule itself for a complete understanding.

Rule 242

Preliminary notes. The preliminary notes generally follow those found in Rules 146 and 240 and refer to other securities law considerations which are involved in the use of Rule 242. Preliminary Note 6, in particular, advises the user that the statutory exemption from registration which the Rule provides relates to exempt "issues" of securities. An "issue" is not defined either in section 3(b) of the Securities Act or in the Rule. The Note provides guidance as to what factors the Division of Corporation Finance previously has indicated should be considered in determining whether offers and sales should be integrated and, therefore, considered part of a single "issue".

Definitions. Rule 242(a) sets forth definitions of certain terms used in the Rule.

Accredited person. Paragraph (a)(1)(i) defines as an accredited person any bank of the type whose securities are exempt from registration under the Securities Act or any insurance company, any registered investment company, or any Small Business Investment Company licensed by the Small Business Administration. In addition, certain employee plans advised either by a bank, insurance company, or registered investment adviser also qualify as accredited persons.

Some commentators recommended that the class of institutional investors which paragraph (a)(1)(i) defines as accredited persons be expanded. It was proposed that venture capitalists or other entities with either a specified minimum net worth or asset value, or both, be deemed to be accredited persons. The Commission, however, has determined to adopt paragraph (a)(1)(i) substantially as proposed. After it has had an opportunity to monitor the use of the Rule for an appropriate period, the Commission will reconsider whether to enlarge the class of institutions which may be categorized as accredited persons. The Commission notes that it currently has under review a definition of a "business development company" which it published for comment in June 1979 [3] as part of a rule proposal under

[1] A summary of the record of the proceeding is available for public inspection in File No. S7-734 at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20549, (202) 523-5360. The hearings were recommended by the Advisory Committee on Corporate Disclosure to the Securities and Exchange Commission. House Comm. on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 511 (Comm. Print No. 95-29, 1977).

[2] A summary of these actions is set forth in Securities Act Release No. 6049 (April 3, 1979) [44 FR 21562]. Subsequently, in Securities Act Release No. 6075 (June 1, 1979) [44 FR 33362], the Commission authorized the use of a preliminary offering circular in connection with an underwritten offering pursuant to Regulation A [17 CFR 230.251-264]. In Securities Act Release No. 6136 (October 16, 1979) [44 FR 61941], the Commission announced it would not take enforcement action if an issuer fails to qualify under the applicable provisions of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 [15 U.S.C. 77aaa et seq., as amended] the trust indenture for a public debt offering of $1,500,000 or less of securities on Form S-18 [17 CFR 239.28].

[3] Investment Advisers Act Release No. 680 (June 19, 1979) [44 FR 37470]. The proposed rule would exempt registered investment advisers to such companies from certain provisons of the Advisers Act.

the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the "Advisers Act" [15 U.S.C. 80b–1]. Since a "business development company" would be functionally similar to a venture capital company, the Commission believes it appropriate to defer consideration of "accredited person" treatment for venture capital companies until it has defined "business development company" in the current rulemaking proceeding under the Advisers Act.

Paragraph (a)(1)(i) has been amended to delete the specific reference to Individual Retirement Accounts which appeared in proposed Rule 242. Under the final rule, if a plan fiduciary which is a bank, insurance company, or registered investment adviser makes the investment decision, any employee benefit plan within the meaning of Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") will be an accredited person. The Commission believes that the "prudent man" standard to which Title I of ERISA holds the plan fiduciary provides a desirable check on the plan fiduciary's discretion especially with respect to Individual Retirement Accounts.

In a purely technical revision of proposed Rule 242, the Commission has eliminated the separate reference in paragraph (a)(1)(i) to Minority Enterprise Small Business Investment Companies, since the term "Small Business Investment Company" is inclusive of them.

Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of the definition of "accredited person" includes purchasers of at least $100,000 of the issuer's securities sold in reliance on Rule 242 who pay for the securities with cash or a cash-equivalent, including certain obligations to pay, or the cancellation of indebtedness. This part of the definition is similar to Rule 146(g)(2)(i)(d). Rule 146(g) limits the number of purchasers of securities offered in reliance on that Rule to 35, as does Rule 242. In calculating the number of purchasers for purposes of determining whether the ceiling has been reached, Rule 146(g)(2)(i)(d) excludes persons who buy at least $150,000 of securities for cash or installments. Rule 242(a)(1)(ii) does not permit the minimum purchase price to be paid in installments which last more than 60 days. Otherwise, Rule 242 follows the approach in Rule 146 but lowers the minimum purchase to $100,000.

Several commentators recommended that the threshold be reduced even further to $50,000. Others found the $100,000 minimum a welcome improvement over the $150,000 minimum in Rule 146(g)(2)(i)(d). The Commission has determined to retain at this time the $100,000 figure originally proposed as the minimum amount of securities an investor must purchase to qualify as an accredited person.

Similarly, the Commission has retained the requirement that an accredited person discharge any obligation to pay for securities within 60 days of the first issuance of the securities. Some commentators recommended that Rule 242 be conformed to Rule 146 by permitting installment payments. The Commission believes, however, that the 60-day limit on obligations to pay is necessary to assure that the accredited person has the economic bargaining power to obtain access to the information he requires to make an informed investment decision.

New paragraph (a)(1)(iii) includes as an accredited person any director or executive officer of the issuer of securities offered in reliance on the Rule. The definition of "executive officer" is contained in new paragraph (a)(3) and is identical to the definition of that term in Rule 240(a)(3). Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) reflects the Commission's determination that, by virtue of his position with the issuer, an executive officer or director will have access to information which is necessary for him to make an informed investment decision about the issuer's securities.

It should be noted that at the time of the sale of the securities an issuer must have reasonable grounds for believing and must believe that those purchasers whom it does not count for purposes of paragraph (e) of the Rule or who do not receive the information specified in paragraph (f) of the Rule meet the definition of "accredited person" in paragraph (a)(1).

Affiliate and predecessor. The definition of "affiliate" in subparagraph (a)(2) of the Rule is derived from Rules 146 and 240. "Predecessor," as defined in subparagraph (a)(4), is similarly defined under Regulation A.

Qualified issuer. Subparagraph (a)(5) provides that the Rule is available to any domestic or Canadian corporate issuer which is not an investment company, a company which is engaged or intends to engage in significant oil, gas, or mining operations, or a company for the securities of which no exemption under Regulation A is available because of the provisions of Rule 252 (c), (d), or (e) of Regulation A. Except for the exclusion of issuers disqualified from using Regulation A, the restrictions on the issuers eligible to use the Rule generally are consistent with similar restrictions recently included in Form S–18.[4]

The Commission excluded any issuer disqualified from using Regulation A from the definition of a qualified issuer in the interest of investor protection. The Commission believes that this safeguard is necessary in light of the experimental nature of Rule 242. Rule 252 (c), (d), and (e) provide that no exemption under Regulation A shall be available for the securities of an issuer, if, among other things, the issuer, any of its predecessors, or any of certain affiliates has been convicted within a certain period of time of an offense involving the purchase or sale of securities or is subject to an order enjoining conduct in connection with the purchase or sale of securities.

Rule 252(f) provides that the Commission may grant relief, upon a showing of good cause, from any disqualification from the use of Regulation A imposed by paragraphs (c), (d), or (e) of Rule 252. Relief similarly may be sought under Rule 242(a)(5)(v), if the issuer otherwise is eligible and wishes to use Rule 242.

A majority of the commentators recommended that the definition of a qualified issuer be significantly expanded. Members of the real estate industry and others connected with it advocated the inclusion of limited partnerships in the definition. They argued that new ventures should not be forced into corporate form to take advantage of Rule 242, since the choice of other than corporate form may be perfectly legitimate. Tax considerations, in particular, may make a limited partnership the optimum vehicle for some businesses. Other commentators recommended that the Commission treat issuers with significant oil and gas or mining operations as qualified issuers for purposes of Rule 242.

The Commission believes it appropriate at this time to limit the definition of qualified issuer and has retained the exclusions originally proposed primarily for two reasons. As noted above, Rule 242 is in the nature of an experiment. After an appropriate period, the Commission will determine whether the availability of the Rule should be broadened. Moreover, the informational requirements of Rule 242 with respect to non-accredited purchasers, *i.e.*, to provide the same kind of information specified in Part I of Form S–18 to the extent material, do not contemplate offerings of limited partnership interests or offerings by issuers engaged in significant oil and gas

[4] *See* Securities Act Release No. 6049 (April 3, 1979) (44 FR 21562).

or mining operations. Form S–18 would not provide guidance as to the specialized disclosure which would be required to be made in connection with any such offering of securities.

The Commission is committed to undertaking a general review of the use of Form S–18 in the second quarter of 1980. As part of its review, the Commission will consider whether to revise the Form to make it available to limited partnerships and companies engaged in oil and gas or mining operations. It is possible that as a result of this review the Commission will make changes in the definition of "qualified issuer" in Rule 242.

Securities of the issuer. This term is defined in subparagraph (a)(6) to include within the securities offered and sold by the issuer those securities issued by affiliates who became affiliates in the past year and by predecessors. This type of definitional provision is similar to one found in Regulation A. The purpose of such a provision is to prevent an issuer from setting up numerous corporate entities which it controls and causing each of these entities to make offerings pursuant to Rule 242, thereby avoiding the Rule's dollar ceiling.

Conditions to be met. Rule 242(b) points out that, in order for a qualified issuer to have a valid exemption for each issue of its securities offered and sold pursuant to Rule 242, the issuer must satisfy all of the Rule's conditions. "Issue" is not defined in Section 3(b) or in Rule 242. Determination of whether separate sales of securities are part of the same issue and thus must be deemed to be integrated depends upon a consideration of traditional integration factors concerning the methods of sale and distribution employed to effect the offering and the disposition of the proceeds. In order to assist the issuer in determining which offers and sales constitute parts of a single issue, paragraph (b) contains a qualified safe harbor from integration. This safe harbor is fashioned after a similar concept contained in Rule 146(b)(1) and provides that any sales of the issuer's securities more than six months prior to a Rule 242 offer or sale and any offers and sales after the six-month period following a Rule 242 offer or sale will not be integrated with the Rule 242 issue. However, normal integration principles will apply to any such sales outside the two six-month periods if the issuer makes an offer or sale of securities of the same or a similar class, other than offers or sales pursuant to any section 3(b) exemption or any employee plan as defined in Rule 16b–3 [17 CFR 240.16b–3] under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. 78a et seq., as amended] in the six months before or after the Rule 242 offers or sales.[5]

In the original proposal, only sales pursuant to a Regulation A exemption were permitted within the two six-month periods without rendering the safe harbor inoperative. In the final rule, first, the safe harbor continues to be effective despite transactions under any section 3(b) exemption during the six months before and after the Rule 242 issue. The new provision is intended to allow an issuer greater flexibility in its capital-raising programs, especially since the $2,000,000 aggregate offering price ceiling in paragraph (c) limits the potential for the issuer to raise large sums by circumventing the registration provisions of the Securities Act through multiple offerings pursuant to section 3(b). Secondly, offers or sales pursuant to employee plans have been added to those transactions which will not render the safe harbor inoperative if made during the applicable six-month periods. This change alleviates the public commentators' fear that continuous offers pursuant to outstanding employee stock options, sales of the underlying securities upon exercise of the options, or offers or sales pursuant to employee stock purchase plans could result in the loss of the issuer's safe harbor.

Limitations on aggregate offering price of each issue. Paragraph (c) limits the amount of each issue of securities sold pursuant to Rule 242 to the amount specified in section 3(b), which presently is $2,000,000.

Solely for purposes of calculating this aggregate dollar limit, an issuer must include the aggregate gross proceeds from all section 3(b) sales of securities of the issuer, other than Regulation A sales under an employee plan, in the six months preceding the commencement of and during the Rule 242 issue.

The offering price ceiling in Rule 242 differs from the ceiling in other section 3(b) exemptions in that it is computed on a six-month as opposed to a 12-month basis. Subject to the specified exclusion of Regulation A sales pursuant to an employee plan, as more fully discussed below, every sale of securities of the issuer in reliance on a section 3(b) exemption in the six months immediately preceding the commencement of the Rule 242 issue through its completion reduces the permissible dollar amount of subsequent sales in the Rule 242 issue.

Under the proposed rule, only sales during the six months preceding the commencement of the Rule 242 issue would have reduced the dollar price ceiling on subsequent sales in that issue. This provision was intended to assure that the combined use of Rule 242 and other section 3(b) exemptions would not exceed $2,000,000 in any six-month period. Consistent with this intent, a revision in the final rule requires that the issuer must also subtract from the aggregate dollar price ceiling all section 3(b) sales of securities of the issuer made during the issue, unless effected pursuant to an employee plan under the Regulation A exemption. This modification to the proposed rule will prevent an issuer which has had no section 3(b) sales of securities in the six months before a Rule 242 issue commences from selling a full $2,000,000 of its securities in a purportedly continuous offering of the Rule 242 issue, despite sales made pursuant to other section 3(b) exemptions during the course of the Rule 242 issue.

In response to the Commission's specific solicitation of comments, several commentators recommended that Regulation A offerings of securities through employee stock options not be aggregated with other section 3(b) offers and sales for purposes of the offering price ceiling on Rule 242 issues. They noted that offerings through employee stock options are unlike most offerings to raise capital, because they primarily are designed to provide employees with additional compensation and an equity interest in a company without an outflow of cash which the company needs. The Commission has determined that offers and sales under employee stock option plans, or under any other employee plan contemplated by Rule 16b–3 under the Exchange Act, made pursuant to Regulation A need not automatically be aggregated with sales of securities of the issuer pursuant to Rule 242.

By its reference to the defined term "securities of the issuer," paragraph (c) of the final rule also clarifies that the computation of the offering price ceiling includes section 3(b) sales by the issuer and section 3(b) sales by affiliates of less than one year and predecessors of the issuer.

The Commission also requested comments as to whether offers and sales under Rule 242 should be excluded from the calculation of the aggregate offering price for purposes of Regulation A. The concept was endorsed by a few commentators. The Commission has deferred consideration of such a proposal until it has had an opportunity

[5] It should be noted that the Rule provides no safe harbor from integration as to any offers or sales occurring in the six months immediately before or after a Rule 242 offer or sale.

to reexamine the entire basis on which the Regulation A offering price ceiling is calculated.

In response to comments seeking clarification, Note 2 to paragraph (c) has been amended to explain the basis on which securities which have no determinable market value shall be valued when offered in exchange for non-cash consideration. The new language follows a similar provision in Rule 254(c) of Regulation A.

Limitation on manner of offering. Paragraph (d) of the Rule would prevent general advertising of offers made pursuant to the Rule. This provision is in accordance with similar provisions found in Rules 146 and 240.

Limitation on number of purchasers. In a manner similar to Rule 146(g), paragraph (e) of Rule 242 requires that the issuer reasonably believe that there are not more than 35 non-accredited persons who purchase securities in any issue offered pursuant to Rule 242. The calculation of the number of purchasers follows the methods set forth in Rule 146(g)(2). Paragraph (e)(2)(iv) of Rule 242 specifically excludes accredited persons from the calculation of the number of purchasers for purposes of the ceiling.

The operation of the purchaser limitation may be illustrated as follows: If an issuer sold $1,000,000 of its Class A common stock on March 1, 1980, to 20 non-accredited persons pursuant to Rule 242, then prior to September 1, 1980, it would be permitted to sell only $1,000,000 more of such stock and to no more than 15 non-accredited persons, assuming that all sales of Class A common stock within the six-month period would be deemed to constitute a single issue of the securities. If, instead, the issuer makes an offering of debt securities on May 1, 1980, pursuant to Rule 242 under circumstances which would constitute a separate issue, the issuer could sell no more than $1,000,000 of the debt securities in light of its March sales. The issuer, however, could sell the debt securities to as many as 35 non-accredited persons.

Furnishing of information. Paragraph (f) of the Rule specifies the information which must be given in writing to non-accredited purchasers of the securities of the issuer during the transaction and prior to sale. With the exception that only one year's certified financial statements must be prepared, the issuer must furnish the same kind of information specified in Part I of Form S–18 to the extent material to an understanding of the issuer, its business, and the securities being offered. Failure to include information required by any other registration forms or guides or staff interpretations relating to the informational requirements in registered offerings made pursuant to the Securities Act by itself will not result necessarily in the loss of the Rule's availability. Issuers are reminded, however, of the applicability of the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws and of the civil liability provisions of section 12(2) of the Securities Act.

The reference in the Rule to the furnishing of the same kind of information specified in Part I of Form S–18 to the extent material is intended to provide a guideline to issuers while at the same time alleviating concerns regarding a technical violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act for the omission of information called for by Form S–18 or staff interpretations when such information is not material to the particular issuer and offering involved. The Commission has retained the materiality standard upon consideration of the comments which it specifically solicited as to whether it would be preferable to use the phrase "to the extent applicable" rather than "to the extent material."

If accredited persons are involved in the same transaction they, too, must receive this written information. Futhermore, if accredited persons receive additional information in writing prior to the time any non-accredited person makes his purchase, the non-accredited person must be given access to such information. This provision reflects a relaxation in the Rule, since the orignial proposal would have required all such information automatically to be furnished to a non-accredited person. The final rule requires that the issuer instead furnish a non-accredited person with a written description of additional information which accredited persons have received in writing and thereafter to furnish the non-accredited person such information as he requests in writing prior to his purchase. The description of additional information must be furnished at a time reasonably prior to purchase in order for the non-accredited person to request, obtain, and review any item before making his purchase. The option to receive the detailed information received by accredited persons protects the interests of non-accredited persons involved in the issue while it simultaneously eases the regulatory burden which manadatory delivery of all information would impose on the issuer.

If the issuer files reports pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, it may satisfy the informational requirements of the Rule by furnishing purchasers with its most recent annual report on Form 10–K [17 CFR 249.310], definitive proxy statement, and any other reports filed since the filing of the Form 10–K. In addition, the issuer shall also provide the information required by items 1, 2, 3, and 14 of Part I of Form S–18, if applicable. These items deal with specific information regarding the offering and the use of proceeds.

Paragraph (f)(1)(i) requires the issuer to furnish the same kind of information required by Part I of Form S–18, with one difference. An issuer which registers an offering on Form S–18 must furnish prospective investors with certain certified financial statements for the two most recent fiscal years prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, as opposed to Regulation S–X [17 CFR 210.1–2 through 210.12–43]. In contrast, Rule 242 requires certification of financial statements for only the most recent fiscal year.

Several commentators opposed this one-year certification requirement. In light of the inherent costs, one commentator recommended that certification be required only in those instances in which Regulation A would require certification, three recommended that certified financial statements be required to be furnished only if available, and another recommended that the requirement be eliminated altogether. The Commission nevertheless has determined that the requirement in paragraph (f)(1)(i) that the most recent fiscal year's financial statements be certified should be retained for the protection of the non-accredited purchasers.

It should be noted that in addition to the narrative and financial information required to be disclosed to investors pursuant to the proposed rule, subparagraph (f)(3) requires that an issuer give prospective investors an opportunity to ask questions and receive answers from the issuer. This provision is similar to that included in Rule 146(e)(2).

In the proposing release, the Commission specifically requested comments as to whether undue burdens would be imposed on issuers if Rule 242 were to require that the information called for by paragraph (f)(1) which is furnished to non-accredited persons, and to accredited persons involved in the same transaction, be filed with the Commission after the completion of the issue for the purpose of monitoring the quality of the disclosure being made in Rule 242 offerings. A few individuals favored a filing reqirement, but the majority of persons who commented were opposed. The opponents believed that after-the-fact review with a view to enforcement would have a chilling effect

on Rule 242 issues. Others were concerned that proprietary information about nonpublic companies would become publicly accessible through the Freedom of Information Act [5 U.S.C. 552, as amended] if offering materials had to be filed.

The Commission is appreciative of the commentators' concerns. Accordingly, it has not required that disclosure documents used in connection with a Rule 242 issue be filed at an issue's completion. As an alternative measure, the issuer must undertake to furnish to the Commission upon the staff's written request any information furnished in connection with a Rule 242 issue to non-accredited persons pursuant to paragraph (f)(1). The undertaking appears in the notice of sales to be filed under paragraph (h).

The Commission expects to sample offering materials on a random basis, in order to monitor the type and quality of disclosure being made in Rule 242 offerings. This random survey, together with empirical analyses of the data submitted by issuers on Form 242, will assist the Commission in assessing the effectiveness of Rule 242 as a method of capital formation by small businesses.

Limitation on resale. Paragraph (g) indicates that resales of securities purchased pursuant to the Rule must be made pursuant to either an effective registration statement or a valid exemption from registration. In this regard, an amendment to Rule 144 defines Rule 242 securities as "restricted securities" so that resales may be made in reliance on Rule 144.

Filing of notice of sales. An important purpose of the notice requirement in paragraph (h) is to collect empirical data which will provide a basis for further action by the Commission either in terms of amending existing rules and regulations or proposing new ones. Form 242 is designed to enable an issuer to respond to most questions by checking boxes or by filling in blanks. It is contemplated that a response to Item C.3., which requires a brief description of the issuer's business, would consist only of two or three sentences.

As adopted, Form 242 calls for more information than the proposed notice. The new items which have been added to Form 242 are a reasonable itemization of the expenses of the offering, the use of the net proceeds to the issuer from the offering, the number of accredited and non-accredited purchasers, the aggregate dollar amount of purchases by accredited persons and of purchases by non-accredited persons, the name and address of each broker who receives a commission from the issuer in connection with the Rule 242 issue, and the names and addresses of the issuer's chief executive officer, its affiliates, and promoters involved in the Rule 242 issue. Since Rule 242 is experimental in nature, the new items have been added primarily to elicit information which the Commission expects will be necessary in assessing the effectiveness of Rule 242 as a capital-raising device for small businesses. For example, the expenses of the offering will be studied together with comparable data for registered offerings to determine to what extent capital formation under Rule 242 produces cost savings, and the use of proceeds will be studied to determine the purposes for which Rule 242 is being used. Information about the number of purchasers and dollar amount of their purchases by category will enable the Commission to determine whether the concept of "accredited purchaser" is a useful one and whether persons falling within this category are purchasing securities under the Rule. Information about any broker acting on behalf of the issuer will provide valuable information about the extent of participation by regional brokers in Rule 242 offerings.

The time of filing of the notice has been changed to respond to the comments and to accommodate changes in the periods as to which information must be reported on the Form. The issuer must make an initial filing no later than 10 days after the first sale is made in any issue offered in reliance on the Rule. The issuer must make a final filing no later than 10 days after the completion date of the issue. If the issue is completed before the initial filing is made, a single notice may be filed. The issuer also must file periodic updates every six months until a final notice is filed. After the issuer files the initial notice as to any issue, any subsequent notice need only report information in response to Part D of the Form and any material changes in answers previously made to Parts A through C. It should be noted that failure to file a required notice will result in the loss of the exemption from registration under the Rule.

Amendment to Rule 144

Rule 242 provides that no resales of securities of the issuer may be made pursuant to its terms. A similar provision is found in Rules 146 and 240. Resales of securities originally sold pursuant to these Rules are provided for by Rule 144. Accordingly, the Commission is adopting a corresponding amendment to Rule 144 to allow resales of securities originally sold pursuant to Rule 242 under Rule 144. The amendment includes Rule 242 securities within the definition of "restricted securities" in Rule 144(a)(3).

Operation of Rule 242

Rule 242 will operate prospectively only since there is now no similar exemption under section 3(b). The staff will issue interpretive letters to assist persons in complying with the Rule but will not issue no-action letters concerning whether or not a particular transaction satisfies the requirements of the Rule. As to resales of securities, the staff will continue its present policy of not providing a substantive response to letters involving the following: (1) hypothetical situations, (2) the removal of restrictive legends from securities, (3) whether a person is an affiliate, or (4) requests for a no-action position with respect to securities acquired on or after April 15, 1972, as set forth in a recent interpretive release regarding Rule 144.[6]

Text of Rules

17 CFR Chapter II is amended as follows:

PART 230—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

1. By amending paragraph (a)(3) of § 230.144 to read as follows:

§ 230.144 Persons deemed not to be engaged in a distribution and therefore not underwriters.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(3) The term "restricted securities" means securities acquired directly or indirectly from the issuer thereof, or from an affiliate of the issuer, in a transaction or chain of transactions not involving any public offering or from the issuer in a transaction in reliance on Rule 240 or Rule 242 under the Act or which were issued by an issuer in a transaction in reliance on Rule 240 or Rule 242 and were acquired in the transaction or chain of transactions not involving any public offering.

* * * * *

2. By adding § 230.242 to read as follows:

§ 230.242 Exemption of limited offers and sales by qualified issuers.

Preliminary Notes

1. Rule 242 relates to transactions exempted from section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Act") [15 U.S.C. 77a et seq., as amended] under section 3(b) of the Act. It does not provide an exemption from the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws or from the civil liability provisions of section

[6] *See* Securities Act Release No. 6099 (August 2, 1979) (44 FR 46752).

12(2) of the Act or other provisions of the federal securities laws.

2. Nothing in this rule obviates the need for compliance with any applicable state law relating to the offer and sale of securities.

3. Reliance on this rule does not act as an election; the issuer can also claim the availability of any other applicable exemption.

4. This rule is available only to the issuer of the securities and is not available to affiliates or other persons for resales of the issuer's securities. The rule provides an exemption only for the transactions in which the securities are offered or sold by the issuer, not for the securities themselves. The securities acquired in a transaction effected in reliance on the rule are unregistered securities and are deemed to have the same status as if they were acquired in a transaction pursuant to section 4(2) of the Act.

5. In view of the objectives of the rule and the purposes and policies underlying the Act, the rule is not available to any issuer with respect to any transactions which, although in technical compliance with the rule, are part of a plan or scheme to evade the registration provisions of the Act. In such cases registration pursuant to the Act is required.

6. Section 5 of the Act requires that all securities offered by the use of mails or other channels of interstate commerce be registered with the Commission. Congress, however, provided certain exemptions from the registration provisions in section 3(a) of the Act and in section 3(b) allowed the Commission to exempt other securities if it finds that registration is not necessary in the public interest and for the protection of investors by reason of the small amount involved or the limited character of the public offering. Rule 242 is promulgated under section 3(b) and is designed to help certain corporate issuers raise limited amounts of capital from the public by providing objective requirements which are less burdensome than those found in other exemptions from registration under different sections of the Act.

In order to obtain the protection of the rule, all sales which are part of the same issue must meet all of the conditions of the rule. The issuer claiming the availability of the rule has the burden of establishing, in an appropriate forum, that it has satisfied all of the conditions. Broadly speaking, the conditions of the rule relate to limitations on the manner and amount of the issue, the furnishing of information, the number of purchasers, and the filing of notices of sales.

The term "issue" is not defined in section 3(b) or in the rule. Generally, the determination as to whether separate sales of securities are part of the same issue (*i.e.*, are deemed to be "integrated") depends on the particular facts and circumstances. The following factors should be considered in determining whether separate sales are part of the same issue for purposes of section 3(b) and Rule 242:

(a) whether the sales are part of a single plan of financing;

(b) whether the sales involve issuance of the same class of security;

(c) whether the sales have been made at or about the same time;

(d) whether the same type of consideration is received; and

(e) whether the sales are made for the same general purpose.

These same factors are applicable to a determination of whether offers and sales should be integrated for purposes of the exemption under section 4(2) of the Act. *See* Securities Act Release No. 4552 (November 6, 1962) (27 FR 11316).

The text of the rule follows:

(a) *Definitions.* For purposes of this rule only:

(1) *Accredited person.* The term "accredited person" shall mean any person who the issuer and any person acting on its behalf have reasonable grounds to believe and believe, after making reasonable inquiry, comes within any of the following categories at the time of the sale of the securities of the issuer pursuant to this rule:

(i) Any bank as defined in section 3(a)(2) of the Act whether acting in its individual or fiduciary capacity; insurance company as defined in section 2(13) of the Act; employee benefit plan within the meaning of Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 if the investment decision is made by a plan fiduciary, as defined in section 3(21) of such Act, which is either a bank, insurance company or registered investment adviser; investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940; Small Business Investment Company licensed by the U.S. Small Business Administration under section 301 (c) or (d) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958.

(ii) Any person who purchases $100,000 or more of securities of the issuer per issue sold pursuant to this rule for any combination of (A) cash, or (B) an obligation to pay which provides for full recourse against the purchaser of the securities and for discharge of the obligation within 60 days of the first issuance of the securities, or (C) the cancellation of any indebtedness owed by the issuer to the purchaser; and

(iii) Any director or executive officer of the issuer of the securities being offered pursuant to this rule.

(2) *Affiliate.* The term "affiliate" of a person shall mean a person that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with such person.

(3) *Executive officer.* The term "executive officer" shall mean the president, secretary, treasurer, any vice president in charge of a principal business function (such as sales, administration, or finance) and any other person who performs similar policy-making functions for the issuer.

(4) *Predecessor.* A "predecessor" of an issuer shall mean (i) a person the major portion of whose assets have been acquired directly or indirectly by the issuer or (ii) a person from which the issuer acquired directly or indirectly the major portion of its assets.

(5) *Qualified issuer.* The term "qualified issuer" shall mean any corporation which is incorporated under the laws of the United States or Canada or any State or Province thereof, and has or proposes to have its principal business operations in the United States, if a domestic corporation, or in Canada or the United States if a Canadian corporation, and which:

(i) Is not an investment company;

(ii) Does not engage or intend to engage in oil and gas related operations which exceed the criteria for exemption specified in § 210.3–18(k) of Regulation S–X;

(iii) Does not engage or intend to engage in significant mining operations;

Note—For purposes of this rule, the criteria for exemption specified in § 210.3–18(k) of Regulation S–X for oil and gas operations shall be considered by analogy as an appropriate test for determining the significance of mining operations.

(iv) Is not a majority-owned subsidiary of an issuer which does not meet the qualifications for use of this rule as specified in paragraph (a)(5) (i), (ii), or (iii) of this rule.

(v) Is not an issuer described in Rule 252 (c), (d), or (e) under the Act; *Provided, however,* that for purposes of this rule only:

(A) The term "filing of the notification required by Rule 255" as used in Rule 252 (c), (d), and (e) under the Act shall mean the first sale of securities in any issue in reliance on this rule; and

(B) The term "underwriter" as used in Rule 252 (c), (d), and (e) under the Act shall mean a person which has been or will be paid or given directly or indirectly any commission or similar remuneration for solicitation of purchasers in connection with sales of securities in any issue offered in reliance on this rule;

Provided, further that paragraph (a)(5)(v) of this rule shall not apply to any issuer if the Commission determines, upon a showing of good cause, that it is not necessary under the circumstances that the exemption under this rule be denied. Any such determination by the Commission shall be without prejudice to any other action by the Commission in any other proceeding or matter with respect to the issuer or any other person.

(6) *Securities of the issuer.* The term "securities of the issuer" shall mean:

(i) All securities issued by a qualified issuer;

(ii) All securities issued by any predecessor of a qualified issuer; and

(iii) All securities issued by any affiliate of a qualified issuer which was organized or became such an affiliate within the preceding twelve months.

(b) *Conditions to be met.* All sales which are part of the same issue of securities offered or sold by a qualified issuer in compliance with all the conditions in paragraphs (c) through (h) of this rule shall be exempt from registration under section 5 of the Act pursuant to section 3(b) of the Act. For purposes of identifying which securities constitute a single issue, sales of securities occurring more than six months prior to the commencement of an issue of securities pursuant to this rule and sales of securities and offers in connection therewith occurring at any time after six months from the completion date of the issue pursuant to this rule, shall not be considered part of the same issue so long as there are during neither of said six-month periods any offers or sales of securities by or for the issuer of the same or similar class as those offered or sold pursuant to this rule, other than offers or sales of securities pursuant to any section 3(b) exemption from registration or any employee plan as defined in paragraph (d)(1) of Rule 16b–3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which meets the conditions in paragraphs (a) through (c) of that rule.

Note.—In the event that the issuer offers or sells securities as to which the safe harbor described in paragraph (b) of this rule is unavailable, see Preliminary Note 6 hereof as to which offers or sales may be deemed to be part of the same issue.

(c) *Limitation on aggregate offering price of each issue.* The aggregate offering price of an issue of securities of the issuer by a qualified issuer shall not exceed the amount allowed under section 3(b) of the Act, less the aggregate gross proceeds from all securities sold pursuant to any section 3(b) exemption (other than securities of the issuer sold pursuant to an exemption from registration provided by Regulation A pursuant to any employee plan as defined in paragraph (d)(1) of Rule 16b–3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which meets the conditions of paragraphs (a) through (c) of that rule) six months prior to the commencement and during the offering of the issue of securities pursuant to this rule.

Note 1.—The calculation of the aggregate offering price may be illustrated as follows: If an issuer sold $500,000 of its securities on June 1, 1980 in reliance on this rule, $50,000 on September 1, 1980 pursuant to Rule 240, and an additional $200,000 on October 1, 1980 pursuant to Regulation A, the issuer would be permitted to sell only $1,250,000 more until December 1, 1980, since until that date the issuer must count all three prior sales toward the present section 3(b) $2,000,000 limit. However, if the issuer made its fourth sale under this rule on December 1, 1980, the issuer could sell $1,750,000 of its securities, since the June 1, 1980 sale would not be within the preceding six months.

Note 2.—The calculation of the aggregate offering price includes all consideration received by the issuer for the issuance of securities of the issuer, including cash, services, property, notes, cancellation of debt, or other consideration. Where securities which have no determinable market value are offered in exchange for outstanding securities, claims, property, or services, the aggregate offering price thereof shall be computed at the public offering price of securities of the same class for cash, or if no cash offering is to be made, then upon the basis of the value of the securities, claims, property, or services to be received in exchange, as established by bona fide sales made within a reasonable time, or in the absence of such sales, upon the basis of the fair value of the securities, claims, property, or services to be received in exchange as determined by some accepted standard.

(d) *Limitation on manner of offering.* Neither the issuer nor any person acting on its behalf shall offer or sell securities pursuant to this rule by means of any form of general solicitation or general advertising, including, but not limited to, any advertisement, article, notice or other communication published in any newspaper, magazine or similar medium or broadcast over the television or radio.

(e) *Limitation on number of purchasers.* (1) The issuer shall have reasonable grounds to believe, and after making reasonable inquiry, shall believe, that there are no more than 35 purchasers of each issue of the securities of the issuer from the issuer pursuant to this rule.

Note.—See paragraph (b) of this rule and Preliminary Note 6 as to what may or may not constitute an issue pursuant to this rule.

(2) For purposes of computing the number of purchasers for paragraph (e) only, the following purchasers shall be excluded:

(i) Any relative, spouse, or relative of the spouse of a purchaser who has the same home as the purchaser;

(ii) Any trust or estate in which a purchaser or any of the persons related to him as specified in paragraph (e)(2) (i) or (iii) of this section collectively have 100 percent of the beneficial interest (excluding contingent interests);

(iii) Any corporation or other organization of which a purchaser or any of the persons related to him as specified in paragraph (e)(2) (i) or (ii) of this section collectively are the beneficial owners of all the equity securities (excluding directors' qualifying shares) or equity interests; and

(iv) Any accredited person as defined in paragraph (a)(1).

Note.—The issuer must satisfy all the other provisions of the rule with respect to all purchasers whether or not they are included in computing the number of purchasers under this paragraph.

(3) There shall be counted as one purchaser any corporation or other organization, except that if such entity was organized for the specific purpose of acquiring the securities offered, each beneficial owner of equity interests or equity securities in such entity shall count as a separate purchaser for all provisions of this rule.

(f) *Furnishing of information.* (1) If the issuer sells an issue of securities pursuant to this rule only to accredited persons, the rule does not specify what information must be furnished to such persons. In any offering of an issue of securities involving only non-accredited persons, or both accredited and non-accredited persons, the issuer shall furnish the following information to all purchasers in writing during the course of such offering and prior to sale:

(i) The same kind of information as that specified in Part I of Form S–18, to the extent material to an understanding of the issuer, its business, and the securities being offered; *Provided, however,* That only the financial statements for the issuer's most recent fiscal year must be certified by an independent public accountant or a certified public accountant.

(ii) Such further material information, if any, as may be necessary to make the required information, in the light of the circumstances under which it is furnished, not misleading.

(iii) An issuer that is subject to the reporting requirements of section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 may satisfy the informational requirements of paragraph (f)(1)(i) by furnishing purchasers with the information contained in its most recent annual report, definitive proxy statement, and any other reports or documents required to be filed by the issuer pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act since the filing of such annual report, except that the information required by items 1, 2, 3, and 14 of Part I of Form S–18, if applicable, shall also be provided.

(2) The issuer also shall make available to each offeree, during the

course of the transaction and prior to sale, the opportunity to ask questions of, and receive answers from, the issuer or any person acting on its behalf concerning the terms and conditions of the offering and to obtain any additional information, to the extent that the issuer possesses such information or can acquire it without unreasonable effort or expense, necessary to verify the accuracy of the information obtained pursuant to paragraph (f)(1).

(3) At a reasonable time prior to the purchase of securities by any non-accredited person in a transaction pursuant to this rule, the issuer also shall furnish such purchaser a brief description in writing of any written information obtained from the issuer in connection with the offering by any accredited person prior to the date of purchase by the non-accredited person. The issuer shall furnish any portion or all of such information to such non-accredited person upon his written request if made prior to the date of his purchase.

(g) *Limitation on resale.* In determining the availability of an exemption from registration for resale of securities acquired in a transaction pursuant to this rule, such securities shall be deemed to have the same status as if they had been acquired in a transaction pursuant to section 4(2) of the Act and cannot be resold without registration under the Act or exemption therefrom. The issuer shall exercise reasonable care to assure that the purchasers of the securities are not underwriters within the meaning of section 2(11) of the Act, which reasonable care shall include, but not necessarily be limited to:

(1) Making reasonable inquiry to determine if the purchaser is acquiring the securities for his own account or on behalf of other persons;

(2) Informing the purchaser of the restrictions on resale; and

(3) Placing a legend on the certificate or other document evidencing the securities stating that the securities have not been registered under the Act and setting forth or referring to the restrictions on transferability and sale of the securities.

(h) *Filing of notice of sales.*

(1) The issuer shall file with the Commission five copies of a notice on Form 242 [17 CFR 239.242]:

(i) No later than 10 days after the first sale of securities in any issue is made in reliance on this rule;

(ii) No later than 10 days after the completion date of the offering of such issue: *Provided, however,* That only one notice need be filed for purposes of paragraphs (h)(1) (i) and (ii) under this rule if the offering of the issue is completed within the 10-day period described in paragraph (h)(1)(i) and the notice is filed no later than at the conclusion of that period but subsequent to the completion of the offering of the issue; and

(iii) Every six months after the first sale of securities in the issue is made in reliance on this rule, unless the final notice required by paragraph (h)(1)(ii) of this rule has been filed.

(2) Every notice on Form 242 shall be signed by a duly authorized officer of the issurer and shall contain an undertaking by the issuer to furnish to the Commission, upon the written request of its staff, the information furnished by the issuer to any non-accredited person pursuant to paragraph (f)(1) of this rule.

(3) If more than one notice is required to be filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(1) of this rule as to any issue of securities offered in reliance thereon, notices other than the original notice need only report the information required by Part D and any material change in the facts from those set forth in Parts A through C of the original notice.

(4) A notice on Form 242 shall be deemed to be filed with the Commission for purposes of paragraph (h)(1) of this rule:

(i) As of the date on which it is received at the Commission's principal office in Washington, D.C., or

(ii) As of the date on which the notice is mailed by means of United States registered or certified mail to the Commission's Office of Small Business Policy, Division of Corporation Finance, at the Commission's principal office in Washington, D.C., if the notice is delivered to such office after the date on which it is required to be filed.

PART 239—FORMS PRESCRIBED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

3. By adding § 239.242 as follows:

§ 239.242 Form 242, notice of sales of securities pursuant to § 230.242 of this chapter.

(a) Five copies of a notice on this form shall be filed with the Commission:[7]

(1) No later than 10 days after the first sale of securities in any issue is made in reliance on Rule 242 (§ 230.242 of this chapter);

(2) No later than 10 days after the completion date of the offering of such issue: *Provided, however,* That only one notice on this form need be filed for purposes of paragraphs (h)(1) (i) and (ii) of the rule if the offering of the issue is completed within the 10-day period described in paragraph (h)(1)(i) and this form is filed no later than at the conclusion of that period but subsequent to the completion of the offering of the issue; and

(3) Every six months after the first sale of securities in the issue is made in reliance on the rule, unless the final notice required by paragraph (h)(1)(ii) of the rule has been filed.

(b) If more than one notice is required to be filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(1) of the rule as to any issue of securities offered in reliance thereon, notices other than the original notice need only report the information in resonse to Part D and any material changes in Parts A through C from the facts previously reported.

(c) A notice on this form shall be deemed to be filed with the Commission for purposes of paragraph (h)(1) of the rule:

(1) As of the date on which it is received at the Commission's principal office in Washington, D.C.; or

(2) As of the date on which the notice is mailed by means of United States registered or certified mail to the Commission's Office of Small Business Policy, Division of Corporation Finance, at the Commission's principal office in Washington, D.C., if the notice is delivered to such office after the date on which it is required to be filed.

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[7] Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549.

/FORM 242/ SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
NOTICE OF SALES OF SECURITIES
PURSUANT TO RULE 242

SEC USE ONLY
/ Serial-Region /
/ 15- - /

SEC USE ONLY
/ /

Nature of this filing with respect to this issue.

	NEW	AMENDED
Original	☐	☐
Combined Original and Final	☐	☐
Six-Month Update	☐	☐
Final	☐	☐

Instructions: Five copies of this notice are to be filed with the Commission: (a) no later than 10 days after the first sale of securities in any issue is made in reliance on the rule; (b) no later than 10 days after the completion date of the offering of such issue (except that only one notice need be filed if the offering of the issue is completed within the 10-day period described in "(a)" above and this notice is filed no later than at the conclusion of that period but subsequently to the completion of the issue); and (c) every six months after the first sale of securities in the issue is made in reliance on the rule, unless a final notice has been filed in accordance with "(b)" above. If more than one notice is required to be filed as to any issue of securities offered in reliance on the rule, notices other than the original notice need only report the issuer's name and information in response to Part D and any material changes in Parts A through C from the facts previously reported. This notice shall be deemed to be filed with the Commission for purposes of the rule as of the date on which the notice is received by the Commission, or, if delivered to the Commission after the date on which it is due, as of the date on which it is mailed by means of United States registered or certified mail to the Commission's Office of Small Business Policy, Division of Corporation Finance, at the Commission's principal office at 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549.

Issuer's Name, Address, and Telephone Number (including area code).
Instruction. State the address of the issuer's executive offices and, if different, the address at which the issuer's principal business operations are conducted or proposed to be conducted.

NAME

ADDRESS OF EXECUTIVE OFFICES

CITY STATE ZIP

AREA CODE TELEPHONE NUMBER

ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL BUSINESS OPERATIONS

CITY STATE ZIP

AREA CODE TELEPHONE NUMBER

General Instructions. Please check the box(es) for the appropriate response(s) to each item or fill in the blanks. Please answer all items. If the answer to any question is "none," please indicate. If additional space is required, indicate the answer on the attached continuation sheet.

A. Basic Identification of Issuer.

1. Has the issuer filed any periodic reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934? Yes ☐ No ☐

   If yes, please indicate the file number of the docket in which the periodic reports are filed. ___-____

2. Please indicate the issuer's IRS employer identification number. _____

3. Has the issuer been assigned a CUSIP number for its securities? Yes ☐ No ☐

   If yes, please specify the first six (6) digits. /_/_/_/_/_/_/

4. Please check the appropriate box for each exchange or market, if any, where the issuer's securities are traded.

American Stock Exchange	a. ☐
Boston Stock Exchange	b. ☐
Cincinnatti Stock Exchange	c. ☐
Midwest Stock Exchange	d. ☐
National Association of Securities Dealers	e. ☐
New York Stock Exchange	f. ☐
Philadelphia Stock Exchange	g. ☐
Pacific Stock Exchange	h. ☐

Other i. ☐

Please specify

_____

_____

SEC USE ONLY ☐☐☐☐☐☐

None j. ☐

5. Please indicate the issuer's Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) at the 3 or 4 digit level. ☐☐☐☐

B. Statistical Information about the Issuer

Instruction. Please enter the letter for the appropriate response to each item in Part B in the box indicated.

1. What were the issuer's gross revenues at the end of its latest fiscal year? ☐

a. Less than $500,000
b. $500,001 - $1,000,000
c. $1,000,001 - $3,000,000
d. $3,000,001 - $5,000,000
e. $5,000,001 - $10,000,000
f. $10,000,001 - $25,000,000
g. $25,000,001 - $100,000,000
h. Over $100,000,000

2. What were the issuer's total consolidated assets as of the end of its latest fiscal year? ☐

a. Less than $50,000
b. $50,000 - $250,000
c. $250,001 - $500,000
d. $500,001 - $1,000,000
e. $1,000,001 - $3,000,000
f. $3,000,001 - $5,000,000
g. $5,000,001 - $10,000,000
h. Over $10,000,000

3. What was the issuer's net income at the end of its latest fiscal year? ☐

a. None
b. Less than $50,000
c. $50,000 - $250,000
d. $250,001 - $500,000
e. $500,001 - $1,000,000
f. $1,000,001 - $3,000,000
g. $3,000,001 - $5,000,000
h. $5,000,001 - $10,000,000
i. Over $10,000,000

4. What was the issuer's shareholders' equity at the end of its latest fiscal year? ☐

a. Less than $25,000
b. $25,000 - $125,000
c. $125,001 - $250,000
d. $250,001 - $500,000
e. $500,0001- $1,000,000
f. $1,000,001 - $3,000,000
g. $3,000,001 - $5,000,000
h. $5,000,001 - $10,000,000
i. Over $10,000,000

5. How many shareholders did the issuer have at the end of its latest fiscal year? ☐

a. 1 - 10
b. 11 - 25
c. 26 - 50
d. 51 - 99
e. 100 - 199
f. 200 - 299
g. 300 - 399
h. 400 - 499
i. 500 or more

6. How many shares were held by non-affiliated shareholders at the end of the issuer's latest fiscal year? ☐

a. Less than 500,000
b. 500,001 - 1,500,000
c. 1,500,001 - 2,500,000
d. 2,500,001 - 3,500,000
e. 3,500,001 - 5,000,000
f. Greater than 5,000,000

7. How many shares were outstanding at the end of the issuer's latest fiscal year? ☐

a. Less than 500,000
b. 500,001 - 1,500,000
c. 1,500,001 - 2,500,000
d. 2,500,001 - 3,500,000
e. 3,500,001 - 5,000,000
f. Greater than 5,000,000

8. How many full-time employees did the issuer have at the end of its latest fiscal year? /_/

a. 0
b. 1 - 5
c. 6 - 10
d. 11 - 20
e. 21 - 30
f. 31 - 40
f. 41 - 50
g. 51 - 100
h. 101 - 250
i. 251 - 500
j. 501 - 750
k. Over 750

9. How many part-time employees did the issuer have at the end of its latest fiscal year? /_/

a. 0
b. 1 - 5
c. 6 - 10
d. 11 - 20
e. 21 - 30
f. 31 - 40
g. 41 - 50
h. Over 50

C. Brief Narrative Information About the Issuer.

1. In what year was the issuer incorporated? /_/_/_/_/

2. In what state is the issuer incorporated? Please enter the standard two-letter U. S. Postal Service abbreviation. Enter "CN" if the issuer is incorporated in Canada. /_/_/

3. Please briefly describe the issuer's business.

_____

_____

_____

_____

4. Please list the full name and address of the chief executive officer, each affiliate, and each promoter of the issuer involved in the offering of securities as to which sales pursuant to Rule 242 are reported on this Form. The term "promoter" includes---

(a) Any person who, acting alone or in conjunction with one or more other persons, directly or indirectly takes the initiative in founding and organizing the business or enterprise of an issuer; or
(b) Any person who, in connection with the founding or organizing of the business or enterprise of an issuer, directly or indirectly receives in consideration of services or property, or both services and property, 10 percent or more or any class of securities of the issuer or 10 percent or more of the proceeds from the sale of any class of securities. However, a person who receives such securities or proceeds either solely as brokerage commissions or solely in consideration of property shall not be deemed a promoter within the meaning of this paragraph if such person does not otherwise take part in founding and organizing the enterprise.

_____ CEO /_/ Aff /_/ Pro /_/
NAME

_____
ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP

_____ CEO /_/ Aff /_/ Pro /_/
NAME

_____
ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP

5. Please list the full name and address of each person who has been or will be paid or given directly or indirectly any commission or similar remuneration for solicitation of purchasers in connection with sales of securities in any offering pursuant to Rule 242.

_____
NAME SEC USE ONLY /8/-/_/_/_/_/_/

_____
ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP

_____
NAME SEC USE ONLY /8/-/_/_/_/_/_/

_____
ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP

D. Section 3(b) Sales Limit and Other Information About the Offering

1. Type and aggregate offering price of securities intended to be sold pursuant to Rule 242 in this issue.

a. Debt	$_____
b. Equity	$_____
c. Convertible	$_____

2. Number of accredited and non-accredited persons who have purchased securities in this issue in transactions in reliance on Rule 242 and aggregate dollar amounts of their purchases to date.

	Number of Purchasers	Aggregate Dollar Amount
Accredited persons	_____	$_____
Non-accredited persons	_____	_____
Total	_____	$_____

3. Dollar amount of all section 3(b) sales of securities (other than sales pursuant to an exemption from registration provided by Regulation A pursuant to any employee plan as defined in paragraph (d)(1) of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which meets the conditions of paragraphs (a) through (c) of that rule) in the preceding six months by type and exemption.

Exemption	Type	Dollar Amount
Rule 242	_____	$_____
Regulation A	_____	_____
Rule 240	_____	_____
Total		$_____

4. Furnish a reasonably itemized statement of all expenses in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities being offered in this issue. Insofar as practicable, give amounts for the items listed below. The information may be given as subject to future contingencies. If the amounts of any items are not known, estimates designated as such by an asterisk ("*") shall be given.

a. Blue Sky Fees and Expenses	$_____
b. Transfer Agents' Fees	_____
c. Printing and Engraving Costs	_____
d. Legal Fees	_____
e. Accounting Fees	_____
f. Engineering Fees	_____
g. Sales Commissions (including Finders' Fees)	_____
h. Other Expenses (Identify)	
_____	_____
_____	_____
Total	$_____

5. Indicate below the amount of the gross proceeds to the issuer (other than amounts specified in Item D.4. above) proposed to be used or used for each of the following purposes:

	Payments to officers, directors and affiliates	Payments to others
a. Salaries and fees	$_____	$_____
b. Purchase of real estate	_____	_____
c. Purchase and installation of machinery and equipment	_____	_____
d. Construction of plant building and facilities	_____	_____
e. Development expense (product development, research, patent costs, etc.)	_____	_____
f. Purchase of raw materials, inventories, supplies, etc.	_____	_____
g. Selling, advertising, and other sales promotion	_____	_____
h. Acquisition of other businesses	_____	_____
i. Repayment of loans	_____	_____
Other - please specify		
j. _____	_____	_____
k. _____	_____	_____
l. _____	_____	_____
m. _____	_____	_____
n. _____	_____	_____
Total	$_____	$_____

E. Undertaking

The undersigned issuer hereby undertakes to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon the written request of its staff, the information furnished by the issuer to any non-accredited person pursuant to paragraph (f)(1) of Rule 242.

Pursuant to the requirements of Rule 242 under the Securities Act of 1933, the issuer has duly caused this notice to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned duly authorized officer or person acting in a similar capacity.

Date of Notice: Issuer _____

_____

_____
OFFICER

Instruction: Print the name and title of the signing representative under his signature. At least one copy of the notice filed with the Commission's principal office in Washington, D.C. shall be manually signed. Any copies not manually signed shall bear typed or printed signatures.

[Attention: Intentional misstatements or omissions of fact constitute Federal criminal violations (see 18 U.S.C. 1001).]

FORM 242 Continuation Sheet

Item of Form (identify)	Answer

BILLING CODE 8010-01-C

Effective Date. Rule 242, Form 242 and related amendments to Rule 144 are effective on February 25, 1980. They are not effective as to offers or sales in any issue commenced prior to that date. [Secs. 3(b), 4(l), 19(a), 48 Stat. 75, 77, 85; sec. 209, 48 Stat. 908; 59 Stat. 167; sec. 6, 68 Stat. 684; sec. 12, 78 Stat. 580; 84 Stat. 1480; sec. 308(a)(1), (2), (3), 90 Stat. 56, 57; sec. 18, 92 Stat. 275; sec. 2, 92 Stat. 962; 15 U.S.C. 77c(b), 77d(l), 77s(a)]

Statutory Authority. The Commission hereby adopts Rule 242, Form 242, and an amendment to Rule 144 pursuant to sections 3(b), 4(l), and 19(a) of the Securities Act of 1933.

The Commission finds that the changes in Rule 242 and Form 242 already have been generally subject to comment in Securities Act Release No. 6121 or are technical in nature or less burdensome than previous proposals so that further notice and rulemaking procedures pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act [5 U.S.C. 553] are not necessary.

By the Commission.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

January 17, 1980.

[FR Doc. 80-2565 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 3

[Docket No. RM79-59; Order]

Regulations Amending Rules Relating to Delegations of the Commission's Authority to the Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission hereby adopts regulations which delegate to the Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation the authority to grant or deny uncontested applications for exemptions, extensions and withdrawal petitions pursuant to section 133 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-617) (18 CFR 290.601, 290.602, 290.603).

DATES: Effective date—January 23, 1980. Comment date—February 8, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Runge, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Room 3329, Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-8318.

January 23, 1980.

A. Background

On August 14, 1978, the Commission approved a rulemaking, Delegations of Authority (Phase I), Docket No. RM78-19, 43 FR 36433, which served to transfer decision-making authority for decisions of a largely routine and ministerial nature downward within the Commission, to its various office directors, so that the Commission can concentrate its major efforts on issues of greater significance. The decisional responsibility for perhaps as many as 7,000 decisions annually was delegated to the office directors under that rule. Subsequently, on July 23, 1979, the Commission added to these delegations by adopting additional ones, in the Phase II Delegation, Docket No. RM79-59, 44 FR 46449 (August 8, 1979).

As a continuation of this process, the Commission has decided to approve a further delegation of authority to the Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation.

The authority to be delegated arises under section 133 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA), Pub. L. 95-617, which requires electric utilities to gather information on costs of electric service, and file that information with the Commission. The Commission is granted broad authority in section 133 to specify by rule the type of information to be gathered, and the manner in which these data are to be filed and published.

Pursuant to this statutory mandate, the Commission issued on September 28, 1979, final regulations implementing section 133. Order No. 48, 44 FR 58687 (October 11, 1979).

These final regulations, 18 CFR 290, authorize the Commission, *inter alia*, to grant exemptions from the section 133 requirements, 18 CFR 290.601; to grant extensions of deadlines for filing certain data, 18 CFR 290.602; and to grant petitions for withdrawals of exemptions and extensions, 18 CFR 290.603.

It is these three authorities—the power to grant exemptions, extensions, and withdrawal petitions pursuant to 18 CFR 290.601, 290.602, and 290.603—that are hereby delegated to the Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation.

There are two important qualifications to the Director's exercise of these delegated authorities: First, the Director may grant or deny the application for the exemption, extension, or withdrawal petition only if it is "uncontested". "Uncontested" means, pursuant to 18 CFR 1.1(f)(23), that no petition for, or notice of, intervention in opposition to the application has been made under 18 CFR 1.8 and received by the Commission. In sum, receipt of an intervention in opposition to the application serves to terminate the Director's authority to determine the application, and brings the application directly before the Commission.

Second, as is true of all other exercises of delegated authority, "any interested person" may, pursuant to 18 CFR 1.7, appeal the Director's decision.

This rule is based upon the authority granted the Commission in section 401(f) of the Department of Energy Organization Act, Pub.L. 95-91, "to establish such procedural and administrative rules as are necessary to the exercise of its functions."

B. Summary

Under new 18 CFR 3.5(g)(37), there is delegated to the Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation the authority to grant or deny uncontested applications for exemptions, extensions, and withdrawal petitions pursuant to 18 CFR 290.601, 290.602, and 290.603.

C. Effective Date

The Commission hereby makes this regulation effective upon the date of issuance of this order. The regulation concerns agency practice and procedure; accordingly, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 (b) and (d), public notice and comments are not required, and the regulation may be promulgated, effective immediately. The Commission, nevertheless, invites interested members of the public to submit comments regarding this new delegation. The Commission and its staff will evaluate any information received from interested persons and will consider appropriate revisions to this regulation based on comments received. An original and 14 copies should be filed by February 8, 1980, with the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. All comments should refer, on the cover page, to Docket No. RM79-59.

(Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7107 *et seq.*; Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, Pub. L. 95-617).

For the reasons stated herein, Part 3 of Subchapter A of Chapter I, Title 18, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below, effective immediately.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

Section 3.5 is amended in paragraph (g) by adding a new subparagraph (37) to read as follows:

§ 3.5 Delegations of authority

The Commission authorizes:

* * * * *

(g) The Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation or in the Director's absence, the Director's designee, to:

* * * * *

(37) Grant or deny uncontested applications for exemptions pursuant to § 290.601 of this chapter, uncontested applications for extensions pursuant to § 290.602 of this chapter, and uncontested applications for withdrawals of exemptions and extensions pursuant to § 290.603 of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 80-2697 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

18 CFR Part 4

[Docket No. RM79-23]

Regulations Prescribing General Provisions for Preliminary Permit and License Applications; Regulations Governing Applications for, Amendments to, and Cancellation of Preliminary Permits; Correction

January 22, 1980.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Erratum Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission's final rule in Docket No. RM79-23 (Order No. 54), issued October 22, 1979 (44 FR 61328, October 25, 1979) on preliminary permit and license applications contains an incorrect cross-reference in the last sentence of § 4.33(a), p. 45 *mimeo*, 44 FR 61335. "§ 4.31(c)(3)" is corrected to read "§ 4.31(c)(2)."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Hoecker, Office of the General Counsel, Regulatory Development, Room 8106-A, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-8161.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2744 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

18 CFR Part 46

[Docket No. RM80-9; Order No. 67]

Public Utility Filing Requirement Under Section 211 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978; Correction

January 21, 1980.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Errata notice.

SUMMARY: This notice contains corrections of § 46.3(a) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations specifying reporting requirements concerning certain interlocking positions in the public utility's industry. The order inadvertently omitted information as to the number of copies to be filed and where the list is to be made available.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Colette K. Bohatch, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 8113, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-8079.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Final Regulations entitled Public Utility Filing Requirement (45 FR 3568, January 18, 1980), issued on January 11, 1980 by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission are corrected in § 46.3(a) (45 FR 3569) to read as follows:

§ 46.3 Purchaser list.

(a) *Compilation and filing of list.* On or before January 31 of each year, each public utility shall compile a list of the purchasers described in paragraph (b) and shall identify each purchaser by name and principal business address. An original and two (2) copies of such list shall be filed with the Commission by the public utility and the list shall be made publicly available through its principal business office.

* * * * *

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2736 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

18 CFR Part 284

[Docket No. RM79-34]

Transportation Certificates for Natural Gas for the Displacement of Fuel Oil; Erratum Notice

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Erratum notice.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a technical correction to the reporting requirements for Order No. 30 fuel oil displacement transactions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert C. Platt, Office of General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-8454.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Final Rule issued May 17, 1979, entitled Transportation Certificates for Natural Gas for the Displacement of Fuel Oil (44 FR 30323, May 25, 1979), in § 284.207(c)(3) (44 FR 30330), "Mcf" should read "MMBtu".

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2659 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

23 CFR Part 260

Education and Training Programs

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Amendment to final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment increases the Federal share payable for tuition and direct educational expenses for certain State and local highway agency employees from 70 percent to 75 percent. This amendment implements recent legislation which increased the Federal share.

DATES: This amendment is effective on January 18, 1980. Obligations incurred after November 9, 1979, are eligible for Federal-aid participation at the new 75 percent share.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack T. Coe, National Highway Institute, 202-426-9141; or S. James Wiese, Office of the Chief Counsel, 202-426-0762, Federal Highway Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., ET, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Procedures for the administration of Federal-aid funds for education and training of State and local highway agency employees are contained in 23 CFR Part 260, Subpart D. Section 260.407(b) permits Federal-aid funds to cover 70 percent of the cost of tuition and direct educational expenses. The statutory authority for this expenditure is provided by 23 U.S.C. 321(b).

Section 11 of Public Law 96-106 (93 Stat. 798, November 9, 1979) amends 23

U.S.C. 321(b) and changes the Federal share payable from 70 to 75 percent. The statutory change provided no discretion and was effective immediately. Obligations incurred after November 9, 1979, are eligible for Federal-aid participation at the new 75 percent share. This amendment to 23 CFR 260.407(b) merely implements the statutory change.

For the foregoing reasons, notice and comment rulemaking could not reasonably be anticipated to result in the receipt of useful information. In addition, a 30-day delay in effective date would serve no useful purpose.

§ 260.407 [Amended]

In consideration of the foregoing, 23 CFR 260.407(b) is amended by striking the number "70" and by inserting the number "75" in its place.

(23 U.S.C. 321(b), 315; 49 CFR 1.48(b)).

Note.—The FHWA has determined that this document does not contain a significant regulation under the criteria issued by the Department of Transportation pursuant to Executive Order 12044. Issuance of this amendment will have no economic or other relevant consequences beyond those of the action taken by Congress in amending 23 U.S.C. 321(b). Accordingly, no separate regulatory evaluation has been prepared.

Issued on: January 18, 1980.

John S. Hassell, Jr.,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2811 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 10

[T.D. 7668; LR-149-79]

Temporary Income Tax; Extension of 2-Year Grace Period With Respect to Foreclosure Property Held by a Real Estate Investment Trust

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Amendment of Temporary Regulations.

SUMMARY: This document amends temporary income tax regulations that apply to an extension of the grace period for foreclosure property held by a real estate investment trust (REIT). Changes in the applicable law were made by the Revenue Act of 1978 (the 1978 Act).

DATES: The amendment applies to certain foreclosure property the grace period (including extensions) for which expires after December 31, 1977. The amendment extends the deadline for filing certain requests for an extension of the grace period until March 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles M. Whedbee of the Legislation and Regulations Division, Office of the Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20224, Attention: CC:LR:T (202-566-3487, not a toll-free call).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 856(e)(3) of the Code provides rules for extensions of the 2-year grace period for foreclosure property held by a REIT. Before amendment by the 1978 Act, section 856(e)(3) provided that the Secretary or his delegate could grant a REIT no more than two extensions, neither of which could exceed 1 year. The 1978 Act amended section 856(e)(3) to allow any number of extensions, subject to the limitation that the grace period cannot be extended beyond the date that is 6 years after the REIT acquired the property. The amendment to the regulations made by this document makes clear that the 1978 Act amendment is effective for extensions granted after November 6, 1978, with respect to extension periods beginning after December 31, 1977.

Section 10.3 of the Income Tax Regulations (temporary regulations containing rules for extensions of the grace period) provides that, in general, a request for an extension must be filed more than 60 days before the date the grace period would otherwise expire. The 1978 Act amendment to section 856(e)(3), however, in effect allows a retroactive additional extension of grace periods that could not be extended beyond November 6, 1978, under prior law. Accordingly, this document provides an exception to the general filing deadline for REITs that would have been barred from being granted an extension by the limitation on the number and length of extensions in section 856(e)(3), as in effect before the 1978 Act. The extended filing period under this exception expires on March 28, 1980.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this regulation is Charles M. Whedbee of the Legislation and Regulations Division of the Office of Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service. However, personnel from other offices of the Internal Revenue Service and Treasury Department participated in developing the regulation, both on matters of substance and style.

Waiver of Certain Procedural Requirements of Final Treasury Directive

A determination has been made by Jerome Kurtz, Commissioner of Internal Revenue, that there is need for immediate guidance in order to make clear that the amendment to section 856(e)(3) of the Code made by section 363(c) of the Revenue Act of 1978 allows real estate investment trusts to be granted extensions of certain foreclosure property grace periods that could not have been extended under prior law and would otherwise expire after December 31, 1977. Because of the immediate need for this regulation, compliance with the procedural requirements of paragraphs 8 through 14 of the final Treasury directive (43 FR 52121), relating to improving regulations, would be impractical and, therefore, these requirements have not been followed.

Adoption of amendment to the regulations

Accordingly, § 10.3 of 26 CFR Part 10 is amended as follows:

1. The second sentence of paragraph (c)(1) is deleted and two new sentences are inserted in lieu thereof, to read as set forth below.

2. Paragraph (d)(2) is revised to read as set forth below.

§ 10.3 Extension of 2-year grace period with respect to foreclosure property held by a real estate investment trust.

* * * * *

(c) *Extension of grace period*—(1) * * * In the case of extensions granted after November 6, 1978, with respect to extension periods beginning after December 31, 1977, the district director may grant one or more extensions of the grace period for the property, subject to the limitation that no extension shall extend the grace period beyond the date which is 6 years after the date the REIT acquired the property. In any other case, an extension shall be for a period of not more than 1 year, and not more than two such extensions shall be granted with respect to the property. * * *

* * * * *

(d) Time for requesting an extension of the grace period. * * *

(2) *Exceptions.* (i) In the case of a grace period which would otherwise expire before August 6, 1976, a request for an extension will be considered to be timely filed if filed on or before June 7, 1976.

(ii) In the case of an extension period beginning after December 31, 1977, a request for an extension filed on or before March 28, 1980, will be considered to be timely if the limitation

on the number and length of extensions in section 856(e)(3), as in effect before the amendment made by section 363(c) of the Revenue Act of 1978, would have barred the extension.

* * * * *

There is a need for immediate guidance with respect to the provisions contained in this Treasury decision. For this reason, it is found impracticable to issue it with notice and public procedure under subsection (b) of section 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code or subject to the effective date limitation of subsection (d) of that section.

This Treasury decision is issued under the authority contained in section 7805 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805).

Jerome Kurtz,

Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: January 8, 1980.

Donald C. Lubick,

Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 80-2655 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Parole Commission

28 CFR Part 2

Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners; Retroactive Applications

AGENCY: United States Parole Commission.

ACTION: Changed implementation procedures for retroactive guideline application.

SUMMARY: The Commission is revising its procedure for processing prisoner requests for retroactive application of recent changes to its paroling policy guidelines. The procedure is designed to streamline processing of the reduced number of applications for retroactive consideration that the Commission is presently receiving.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Meierhoefer, Research Unit, U.S. Parole Commission, 320 First Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537, Telephone: (202) 724-3095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 1979, the U.S. Parole Commission published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 26540) substantial revisons to its paroling policy guidelines. In the Implementation Section accompanying these revisions, procedures were outlined for processing applications from prisoners who had already had a parole hearing but were favorably affected by the guideline revisions and wished to have their cases reassessed under the new guidelines. As of March 1, 1980, these special procedures are cancelled, and any prisoner requests for retroactive consideration under the June 4, 1979 guidelines will be processed under the provisions for reopening for new favorable information at 28 CFR § 2.28(a). However, the eligibility requirements set forth in the Implementation Section accompanying the May 4, 1979 guideline revision publication will remain in effect.

Accordingly, any prisoner application for reassessment under the recent guideline changes received on or after March 1980, will be treated as a petition for reopening under the Commission's existing procedures at 28 CFR § 2.28(a).

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Cecil C. McCall,

Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 80-2588 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

---

28 CFR Part 2

Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners

AGENCY: United States Parole Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has adopted a definitional footnote to its Paroling Policy Guidelines to clarify its use of the term "Managerial or Proprietary Interest" as it pertains to the Greatest I offense severity classification of large scale drug offenses. Since the publication of the revised paroling policy guidelines, there have been a number of requests to explain further what the Commission means by "managerial or proprietary interest". Therefore, this addition to the definitional footnotes represents a clarification of how the Commission is currently considering an offender's role in making the decision of whether or not to place those who participate in large scale drug offenses in the Greatest I offense severity category.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Meierhoefer, Research Unit, United States Parole Commission, 320 First Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537 Tel: (202) 724-3095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 1979, the U.S. Parole Commission published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 26540) its revised paroling policy guidelines. The guideline revision as it affected drug offenses reserved the Greatest I offense severity classification for those offenders who had both participated in a large scale drug operation (defined most commonly by the amounts of drugs involved) and who played a substantial role in that operation. To explicate the types of roles which, in conjunction with large scale operations, the Commission felt should qualify for the Greatest I rating, the term "managerial or proprietary interest" was used.

As this is an interpretive rule the Commission has set an early effective date for internal administrative coordination.

Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1) and 4204(a)(6), 28 CFR Part 2 is amended to add to the definitions following the Commission's Paroling Policy Guidelines at 28 CFR 2.20 (both Adult and Youth/NARA guideline tables) a new paragraph "e" reading as follows:

§ 2.20 Paroling policy guidelines; statement of general policy.

* * * * *

Definitions

* * * * *

e. Managerial/proprietary interest in large scale drug cases is defined to include offenders who sell or negotiate to sell such drugs; or who have decision-making authority concerning the distribution/sale, importation, cutting, or manufacture of such drugs; or who finance such operations. Cases to be excluded are peripherally involved offenders without any decision-making authority (e.g., a person hired merely as a courier).

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Cecil C. McCall,

Chairman, United States Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 80-2589 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

---

28 CFR Part 2

Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners

AGENCY: United States Parole Commission.

ACTION: Final rule and interim rules.

SUMMARY: This rule announces the Commission's action on its previous interim rule pertaining to voting quorums. The Commission rejected that portion of the interim rule which required four concurring votes for an initial decision in original jurisdiction cases, and is returning to its previous requirement of three concurring votes in these cases. The remaining two sections of the interim rule that deal with voting quorums for National Appeals Board and Regional appeal/reopen actions

which result in decisions below the guidelines are left intact. The Commission has accordingly provided for an additional period of public comment and study.

DATES: Effective date: The final rule and the interim rules will be applied to all cases decided on or after December 5, 1979. Comments on the interim rules must be received by March 5, 1980.

ADDRESS: Send comments to United States Parole Commission, 320 First Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537 Attention: Legal Counsel.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Stover, Office of the General Counsel, United State Parole Commission, 320 First Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537, Tel.: (202) 724–7567.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 10, 1979 (44 FR 58507), the Commission published an interim rule which amended its internal voting procedures to require (a) three concurring votes for a National Appeals Board order when a reversal or modification of a previous decision results in a decision below the guidelines; (b) four concurring votes for an initial decision in an original jurisdiction case; and (c) two concurring votes for a regional appeal or reopen that results in a decision below the guidelines.

On December 5, 1979, the Commission voted to reject that portion of the interim rule relating to original jurisdiction cases, and to return to the previous requirement of three concurring votes for initial decisions in such cases. Two months of experience with the rule requiring four concurring votes for initial decisions in original jurisdiction cases has shown that this rule makes it quite difficult to render timely initial decisions for many of these cases because of delays in transferring files to the regions to obtain the necessary number of votes.

The Commission also feels that it needs more time to study the effects of the voting quorum requirements of national appeals and regional/reopen cases. Therefore, these sections will continue as interim rules and an additional period of public comment will be allowed. No comment on the previous interim rule has, to date, been received.

Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1) and 4204(a)(6), 28 CFR Part 2 is amended to read as follows: 1. Sections 2.17(a) and 2.26 (b) and (c) are adopted as final and read as set forth below:

§ 2.17 Original jurisdiction cases.

(a) A Regional Commissioner may designate certain cases for decision by a quorum of Commissioners as described below, as original jurisdiction cases. In such instances, he shall forward the case with his vote, and any additional comments he may deem germane, to the National Commissioners for decision. Decisions shall be based upon the concurrence of three votes with the appropriate Regional Commissioner and each National Commissioner having one vote. Additional votes, if required, shall be cast by the other Regional Commisioners on a rotating basis as established by the Chairman of the Commission.

* * * * *

§ 2.26 Appeals to National Appeals Board.

* * * * *

(b) The National Appeals Board shall act within 60 days of receipt of the appellant's papers, to affirm, modify, or reverse the decision.

(c) Decisions of the National Appeals Board shall be final.

2. The period for public comment is extended on the following sections of 28 CFR Part 2, which remain interim rules:

a. Paragraph (b) of § 2.25 is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.25 Regional appeal.

* * * * *

(b) The Regional Commissioner may affirm the decision, order a new institutional hearing on the next docket, order a regional appellate hearing, or reverse or modify the decision. Reversal of a decision, or the modification of a decision by more than one hundred eighty days, or a modification resulting in a decision below the guidelines, whether based upon the record or following a regional appellate hearing, shall require the concurrence of two out of three Regional Commissioners. Decisions requiring a second or additional vote shall be referred to other Regional Commissioners on a rotating basis as established by the Chairman.

* * * * *

b. Paragraph (a) of § 2.26 is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.26 Appeal to National Appeals Board.

(a) Within 30 days of entry of a Regional Commissioner's decision under § 2.25, a prisoner or parolee may appeal to the National Appeals Board on a form provided for that purpose. However, any matter not raised on a regional level appeal may not be raised on appeal to the National Appeals Board. The National Appeals Board may, upon the concurrence of two members, affirm, modify, or reverse the decision, or order a rehearing at the institutional or regional level, except that a modification or reversal resulting in a decision below the guidelines shall require the concurrence of three members. Split decisions requiring additional votes shall be referred to the Chairman, and, if necessary, to other Regional Commissioners on a rotating basis as established by the Chairman.

* * * * *

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Cecil C. McCall,

Chairman, United States Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 80–2590 Filed 1–25–80: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

---

28 CFR Part 2

Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners

AGENCY: United States Parole Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is amending its rule requiring advance notice of the time and place of a parole hearing. This amendment conforms to a recent change requiring that a prisoner scheduled for such a hearing be given 60 days advance notice of his or her disclosure rights, instead of the 30 days previously given. The rule amended below requires that notice of the time and place of a hearing shall be given 60 days in advance of a hearing, and thus expands the 30 day advance notice period required by law.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael A. Stover, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission, 320 First Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20537, Phone (202) 724–7567.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By the words "time and place" this rule requires specifically that a prisoner scheduled for a parole hearing be informed of the precise dates between which the Commission's examiner panel will be holding hearings at the institution in which the prisoner is confined. Case managers will thereafter keep the prisoner advised of the exact date upon which the hearing is likely to be held, and efforts will be made to schedule a firm date, if the prisoner has engaged an outside representative. Due to the large numbers of persons to be

heard on each docket and the varying time required for each hearing (which depends on the nature of the case, number of witnesses, etc.) the Commission could not guarantee each prisoner a precise date 60 or 30 days in advance. However, the time period during which a docket is held does not normally exceed one or two weeks, so prisoners will continue to have adequate notice through this rule to prepare for their hearings.

As this is a conforming amendment, the Commission has set an early effective date for internal administrative coordination.

Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1) and 4204(a)(6), 28 CFR Chapter I, Part 2, § 2.211(e) is amended as set forth below:

§ 2.11 Application for parole; notice of hearing.

* * * * *

(e) At least sixty days prior to the initial hearing (and prior to any hearing conducted pursuant to § 2.14), the prisoner shall be provided with written notice of the time and place of the hearing and of his right to review the documents to be considered by the Commission, as provided by § 2.55. A prisoner may waive such notice, except that if such notice is not waived, the case shall be continued to the time of the next regularly scheduled proceeding of the Commission at the institution in which the prisoner is confined.

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Cecil C. McCall,

Chairman, United States Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 80-2591 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

---

28 CFR Part 2

Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners

AGENCY: United States Parole Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This wording change conforms to the new Parole Commission procedure to furnish to prisoners the specific reasons for all parole decisions which either establish or modify a previously established release date. Previously, when a parole date was given within six months from the date of the initial hearing, the reasons were noted in the parole case file, but were not included on the Notice of Action sent to the prisoner.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Meierhoefer, Research Unit, U.S. Parole Commission, 320 First Street, NW., Washingto, D.C. 20537 Tel: (202) 724-3095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Parole Commission evaluates all aspects of a prisoner's case before reaching a release decision. However, it has been standard practice to provide the specific reasons for the decision on the Notice of Action to the prisoner only when denying parole release within six months of the hearing. Therefore, any decision to give a parole date within six months of the hearing simply informed the prisoner that he or she would be paroled on the indicated date.

The Commission now feels that a formal statement of reasons should be issued via the Notice of Action for any parole decision which either sets a release date or which modifies a previously set release date.

This procedure now requires that reasons which follow the appropriate guideline format be typed on all Notices of Action except (1) where there is "no change" to a previously set release date at a statutory interim hearing; or (2) where a presumptive date is confirmed as an effective date of parole at a pre-release review.

As this is a rule of agency procedure, an early effective date has been set for internal administrative coordination.

Implementing this procedure requires the following conforming amendment to the rule governing issuance of reasons for the initial parole decision.

Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1) and 4204(a)(6), 28 CFR Part 2 § 2.13(c) is amended as follows:

§ 2.13 Initial hearing; procedure.

(a) * * *

(c) At the conclusion of the hearing, the panel shall orally inform the prisoner of its recommendation and of the reasons therefor. Written notice of the official decision, or the decision to refer under § 2.17 or § 2.24, shall be mailed or transmitted to the prisoner within 21 days of the date of the hearing, except in emergencies. Whenever the Commission initially establishes a release date (or modifies a release date thereafter), the prisoner shall also receive in writing the reasons for that decision.

* * * * *

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Cecil C. McCall,

Chairman, United States Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 80-2592 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

32 CFR Part 909

USAF Training for Contractor Employees

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Air Force is revising Part 909 of Chapter VII, Title 32, of the Code of Federal Regulations. The revision updates the procedures regarding when and how Air Force training may be given to employees of private organizations under contract with the Air Force and other U.S. Government agencies to provide equipment or service.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 23, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Colonel William P. Babione, telephone (202) 695-7321.

Title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by revising Part 909 to read as follows:

PART 909—USAF TRAINING FOR CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES

Sec.

909.1 Purpose.
909.2 Policy on training contractor employees of the Air Force.
909.3 Policy on training contractor employees of other U.S. Government agencies.
909.4 Access to classified information.
909.5 USAF schools.
909.6 How contractors apply for training.
909.7 Air Force review of contractor requests for training.
909.8 What the Major Command conducting the training does.
909.9 Processing requests from other Government agencies.

Authority: Sec. 8012, 70A Stat. 488; 10 U.S.C. 8012.

Note.—This part is derived from Air Force Regulation 50-55, September 23, 1977.

Part 806 of this chapter states the basic policies and instructions governing the disclosure of records and tells members of the public what they must do to inspect or obtain copies of the material referenced herein.

§ 909.1 Purpose.

This part tells when and how USAF training may be given to employees of private organizations under contract with the Air Force and other U.S. Government agencies to provide equipment or services. It applies to contractors and USAF major commands.

§ 909.2 Policy on training contractor employees of the Air Force.

These employees may receive training at USAF schools, on-the-job at an Air Force base, or through existing contracts for training:

(a) If the contract (to provide equipment or services) specifically provides for such training.

(b) If the contract (for equipment or services) does not specifically provide for training, the Air Force contracting officer:

(1) Decides whether the training will be of material and direct benefit to the Air Force.

(2) Obtains from the major command conducting the course a determination that the training is:

(i) Within Air Force manpower and fiscal resources;

(ii) Available in regularly established courses; and

(iii) Not reasonably available from a source outside the Air Force.

§ 909.3 Policy on training contractor employees of other US Government agencies.

These employees may attend training for which the Air Force is the executive agent, and joint services courses offered by Air University/Air Force Institute of Technology (see § 909.9).

§ 909.4 Access to classified information.

If training will require the presentation of classified national security information, the employee must have a current security clearance commensurate with the category of the classified information. The trainee may have access to classified national security information only if there is a demonstrated need to know (see § 909.7(b)(3)).

§ 909.5 USAF schools.

The term "USAF schools" includes any formal school or course conducted either by the USAF or by a contractor under contract with the USAF.

§ 909.6 How contractors apply for training.

An Air Force contractor that desires training under this part for its employees must send a written request to the major command conducting the course. At least 45 days before the training starts, the contractor sends the request through the contracting officer who administers the contract for which the training is needed. The request must show:

(a) Name, address, and security clearance of each employee.

(b) Enough information to make the determinations under §§ 909.2(b) and 909.4.

(c) When flight training is requested, a complete history of each employee's flying experience.

§ 909.7 Air Force review of contractor requests for training.

After the contracting officer receives a request for contractor employee training, he or she decides whether there is a USAF need for that training.

(a) If there is no USAF need for the training, he or she returns the request to the contractor with an explanation.

(b) If there is a USAF need for the training, he or she:

(1) Certifies whether the Air Force is paying the contractor for that training; and if so, certifies that fair adjustment has been made in the contract.

(2) If the training does not require the disclosure of classified national security information, sends the request to the command conducting the course, with a statement that the training is required for the performance of the Air Force contract.

(3) If the training will involve the disclosure of classified national security information, verifies that the request includes enough information to comply with § 909.4; and sends it to the command conducting the course, with a statement that the training is required for the performance of the Air Force contract.

(4) Decides whether an agreement with the contractor, under which the contractor agrees to indemnify the Government for any and all loss or liability due to the injury or death of a contractor employee, is needed to protect the Government's interest and can be obtained at reasonable cost; and sends, with the contractor's request for training, either:

(i) An indemnification agreement; or

(ii) A statement that such an indemnification agreement is not needed.

§ 909.8 What the Major Command conducting the training does.

(a) If the request complies with §§ 909.2(b) and 909.4, arranges for the training and allocates quotas.

(b) If the request does not comply with §§ 909.2(b) and 909.4, disapproves it and returns it.

(c) If the request is for training contractor employees in a course already being conducted under contract with the USAF, contractor employees may attend if the quotas or training services do not cause additional tuition cost to the USAF. This authorization does not include establishing quotas or contracting for training services over USAF training requirements.

§ 909.9 Processing requests from other Government agencies.

(a) Other US Government agencies that need to have their contractor employees attend Air Training Command courses may send a request to the Air Training Command (ATC/TTP), Randolph AFB TX 78148, at least 45 days before the training starts. The agency must include this information in its request:

(1) Name, address, and certification of security clearance of each employee.

(2) Date training should begin.

(3) Name and location of course, or an explanation of type of training desired.

(4) If flight training is requested, a complete history of each employee's flying experience.

(5) Indemnification agreement required by § 909.7(b)(4).

(b) If ATC grants the request, it will plan the training and allocate quotas to the requesting agency.

(c) If ATC finds that the training is either not needed or cannot be given, it returns the request to the agency with an explanation.

(d) Requests for quotas in joint services courses offered by Air University/Air Force Institute of Technology must be sent to Air University (AU/ED), Maxwell AFB AL 36112, at least 45 days before the class starts.

Carol M. Rose,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 80-2653 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FI-3012]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Arvada, Colo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Arvada, Colorado. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Arvada, Colorado, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. This map amendment, by establishing

that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy,or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, telephone: (800) 638–6620.

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 085072A Panel 04, published on June 29, 1977, in 42 FR 33206, indicates that Lot 5, Block 5, Woodland Valley, Filing No. 7, Amended, Arvada, Colorado, recorded as Reception Number 432001, in Book 34, Page 20, in the Office of the Recorder, Jefferson County, Colorado, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 085072A Panel 04 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned lot is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on April 23, 1976. This lot is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 Fr 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Charles M. Plaxico, Jr.,

Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2650 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

44 CFR Part 70

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Boulder, Colo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Boulder, Colorado. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Boulder, Colorado, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 080024 Panel 0005B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61024, indicates that Lot 35, Block 6, Table Mesa Fourth Addition, also known as 2267 Holyoke Drive, Boulder, Colorado, recorded as Deed Instrument Number 277450 on Film 1008, in the Office of the Clerk and Recorder, Boulder County, Colorado, is partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 080024 Panel 0005B is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on July 17, 1978. This lot is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2645 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Largo, Fla., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Largo, Florida. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Largo, Florida, that certain property is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is within the Special Flood Hazard Area, results in the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 125122 Panel 0001C, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61014, indicates that Lots 42 and 48 through 52, Del Robles Unit 2, Largo, Florida, as recorded in the Plat, Plat Book 75, Page 79, in the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Pinellas County, Florida, are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 125122 Panel 0001C is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned lots are within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on April 7, 1978.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2644 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Ormond Beach, Fla., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Ormond Beach, Florida. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Ormond Beach, Florida, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410 (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 125136B Panel 04, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61014, indicates that Lot 1, Block 26, Tomoka Oaks Country Club Estates, Unit 1–B, as recorded in Map Book 28, Page 129 in the Office of Public Records of Volusia County, Florida, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 125136B Panel 04, is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure located on the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on May 27, 1977. This structure is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2643 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FI-3875]

Letter of Map Amendment for the Village of Homewood, Ill., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps were published identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas. This list included the Village of Homewood, Illinois. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Village of Homewood, Illinois, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410 (202) 755–6570 or Toll Free Line (800) 424–8872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Phone: (800) 638–6620 toll free.

The Map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 170109B, Panel No. 04, published on February 13, 1978, in 43 FR 6071, indicates that Lot No. 5, Cherry Creek Subdivision, Village of Homewood, Cook County, Illinois, recorded as Document No. 20409912, in the Office of the Recorder of Cook County, Illinois, is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 170109B, Panel No. 04, is hereby corrected to reflect that the structures located on the above-mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on August 15, 1977. The structures are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2651 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FI-3012]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Rochester, Minnesota Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps were published identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas. This list included the City of Rochester, Minnesota. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Rochester, Minnesota, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410. (202) 755–6570 or Toll Free Line (800) 424–8872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waiver the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Phone: (800) 638–6620 toll free.

The Map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 275246A, Panel No. 08, published on June 29, 1977, in 42 FR 33217 indicates that Lot No. 12, Block 1, Gramham's Second Addition, City of Rochester, Olmstead County, Minnesota, recorded in Book 373 of Deeds, Page 14, as Document No. 413429, in the Office of the Recorder of Olmstead County, Minnesota, is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 275246A, Panel No. 08, is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure located on the above-mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on February 13, 1976. The structure is in Zone B.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 44 FR 20963)

Issued: December 6, 1979.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2648 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FI-3012]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Afton, Minn., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps were published indentifying Special Flood Hazard Areas. This list included the City of Afton, Minnesota. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Afton, Minnesota, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410 (202) 755–6570 or Toll Free Line (800) 424–8872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Phone: (800) 638–6620 toll free.

The Map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 275226A, Panel No. 06, published on June 29, 1977, in 42 FR 33217, indicates that Lots Nos. 4 through 6 and the north fifty feet of the west twenty-five feet of Lot No. 9 and the north fifty feet of Lot No. 10, Block 16, City of Afton, Washington County, Minnesota, recorded as Document No. 322546, in the Office of the Register of Deeds of Washington County, Minnesota, are located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 275226A, Panel No. 06, is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure located on the above-mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on January 2, 1976. The structure is in Zone B.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2649 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FI-3012]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Sikeston, Mo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Sikeston, Missouri. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Sikeston, Missouri, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755-6570 or toll free line (800) 424-8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424-9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638-6620.

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 295270B Panel 04, published on June 29, 1977, in 42 FR 33218, indicates that Lots 21 and 22, in the Resubdivision of the West Half of Block 2, Clayton Heights Addition, Sikeston, Missouri, as recorded in Book 288, Pages 97 and 98 of Warranty Deeds, in the Office of the Recorder, Scott County, Missouri, are partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 295270B Panel 04 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned lots are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on April 29, 1977. These lots are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 16, 1980.

Charles M. Plaxico, Jr.,

Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2647 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. 3012]

Letter of Map Amendment for the Borough of Bloomingdale, N.J., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, FEMA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Borough of Bloomingdale, New Jersey. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Borough of Bloomingdale, New Jersey that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410 (202) 755-6570 or toll free line (800) 424-8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free 800-424-9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Phone: (800) 638-6620.

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 345284B, Panel 02 published on June 29, 1977 in 42 FR 33222 indicates that Lot 14, Block 46, Bloomingdale, New Jersey, as recorded in the Deed, Book 104, Pages 270 and 271, in the Office of the Clerk of Passaic County, New Jersey, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 345284B, Panel 02 is hereby corrected to reflect that the existing structure on the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on July 9, 1976. The structure is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 23, 1968 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2646 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Scottsdale, Ariz., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Scottsdale, Arizona. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional

flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Scottsdale, Arizona, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424-8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 045012A Panel 22, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61025, indicates that Lot 26, Villa Coronado, also known as 2835 North 77th Place, Scottsdale, Arizona, as recorded in Docket 12194, Page 121, in the Office of the Recorder, Maricopa County, Arizona, is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 045012A Panel 22 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on January 9, 1976. This lot is in Zone B.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2574 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–03–M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA–5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Fort Smith, Ark., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Fort Smith, Arkansas. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Fort Smith, Arkansas, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 050013A Panel 15, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61020, indicates that Lots 133, 134, and 135, Southwoods Subdivision, Phase I, Fort Smith, Arkansas, as recorded in Drawer 383 of Plats, in the Office of the Circuit Clerk and Ex-Officio Recorder for Sebastian County, Arkansas, are within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 050013A Panel 15 is hereby corrected to reflect that the structures on the above mentioned lots are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on May 7, 1976. These structures are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 16, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2575 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–03–M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA–5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Town of Corte Madera, Calif., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Town of Corte Madera, California. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Corte Madera, California, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H. & I 065023 Panel 0001B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61025, indicates that the Chalin Properties, being portions of Lots 9 through 17, S & L Subdivision, also known as 5750 Paradise Drive, Corte Madera, California, recorded as Document Number 17798 in Book 2901, Page 352, in the Office of the Recorder, Marin County, California, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 065023 Panel 0001B is hereby corrected to reflect that the existing structures on the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on December 15, 1977. These structures are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2576 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–03–M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA–5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Stockton, Calif., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Stockton, California. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Stockton, California, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 060302 Panel 0005B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61026, indicates that the Baldwin Parcel, a 283.469 acre tract and the Finkbohner Parcel, a 226.165 acre tract, both being portions of Section 7 and 8, Township 2 North, Range 6 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, Stockton, California, as recorded in Book 27 of Surveys, Page 131, and Book 27 of Surveys, Page 63, respectively, in the Office of the Recorder, San Joaquin County, California, is partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 060302 Panel 0005B is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned properties are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on January 3, 1979. These properties are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2577 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–03–M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA–5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Aurora, Colo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Aurora, Colorado. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Aurora, Colorado, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program

Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 080002 Panel 0020A, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61024, indicates that Parcel 1, an 8.000 acre tract, and Parcel 2, an 8.708 acre tract, both being portions of the Southeast Quarter of Section 16, Township 4 South, Range 66 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Aurora, Colorado, recorded as Document No. 1366788 in Book 2144, Pages 349 and 350, in the Office of the Recorder, Arapahoe County, Colorado, are partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 080002 Panel 0200A is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned Parcels are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 1, 1978. These Parcels are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 16, 1980.

Charles M. Plaxico, Jr.,

Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2578 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Aurora, Colo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Aurora, Colorado. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Aurora, Colorado, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 080002 Panel 0020A, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61024, indicates that Lots 7 through 29, Block 1, Tollgate Village Subdivision, Filing No. 7, Aurora, Colorado, as recorded under Reception No. 167–5136 in Book 32, Page 40, in the Office of the Recorder, Arapahoe County, Colorado, are partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 080002 Panel 0020A is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned lots are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 1, 1978. These lots are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2579 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment For City of Aurora, Colo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Aurora, Colorado. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Aurora, Colorado, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 080002 Panel 0015A, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61024, indicates that Lots 10 through 30, 38 through 44, Block 2; and Lots 21 through 46, 50, and 51, Block 3, Tollgate Village Subdivision Filing No. 11, and Parcels A, D, E, and H, as referenced on property surveys by Meuer, Serafini, and Meuer, Inc., Aurora, Colorado, as recorded in Book 34, Page 81, Reception No. 1739710, and in Book 2144, Page 349, Reception No. 1366788, respectively, in the Office of the Clerk and Recorder, Arapahoe County, Colorado, are within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 080002 Panel 0015A is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned properties are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 1, 1978. The properties are in Zone B and Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963).

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2580 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Tulsa, Okla., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after aquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street S.W., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034. Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 405381D Panel 100, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61021, indicates that part of the east half of Lot 1, Section 19, T19N, R13E of the Indian Base and Guide Meridian, more particularly described as follows: Beginning at a point 165 feet north of the southwest corner of the east half of Lot 1; thence east 198 feet; thence north 275 feet; thence west 198 feet; thence south 275 feet to the point of beginning, containing 1.25 acres more or less, as recorded in Book 269, Page 611, in the Office of the Clerk, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 405381D Panel 100 is hereby corrected to reflect that the eastern 128 feet of the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on August 14, 1979. With the exception of the western 70 feet, the property is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2581 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Oklahoma City, Okla., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034. Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 405378A Panels 38 and 39, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61021, indicates that Block 10 and Lots 1 through 13, Block 12, The Arbors, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, as recorded in Book 45, Page 69, in the Office of the Clerk, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, are partially or totally within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 405378A Panels 38 and 39 is hereby corrected to reflect that Lots 1 through 6, 9 through 24, 27, 28, and 31, Block 10; and Lots 1 through 13, Block 12, of the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on February 2, 1979. These lots are in Zone C. In addition, the structures of Lots 7, 8, 25, 26, 29, 30, and 32 through 34, Block 10, of the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on February 2, 1979. These structures are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2582 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Village of Sebring, Ohio, Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps were published identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas. This list included the Village of Sebring, Ohio. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Village of Sebring, Ohio, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620 toll free.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 390371B, Panel No. 01, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61031, indicates that Lots Nos. 276 through 280 and the southern 10 feet of Lots Nos. 335 through 339, Village of Sebring, Mahoning County, Ohio, as recorded in Plat Book K, Page 14, in the Office of the Recorder of Mahoning County, Ohio, are located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 390371B, Panel No. 01, is hereby corrected to reflect that the above-mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on August 11, 1978. The property is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2583 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for the Town of Cheektowaga, N.Y., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Town of Cheektowaga, New York. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Cheektowaga, New York, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034. Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 360231 Panel 0010C, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61008, indicates that Parcel IV on Map Cover 2274, being part of Lot 42, Township 10, Range 7, Cheektowaga, New York, as recorded in the Deed, Liber 8255, Page 57, in the Office of the Clerk of Erie County, New York, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 360231 Panel 0010C, is hereby corrected to reflect that the portions of the above mentioned property that are at or above 664 feet National Geodetic Vertical Datum are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on July 5, 1977. These portions are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,
Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2584 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Town of Cheektowaga, N.Y., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Town of Cheektowaga, New York. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Cheektowaga, New York, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034. Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendements listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 360231 Panel 0010C, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61008, indicates that part of Map Cover 2274, Parcel II, being part of Lot Number 43, Township 10, Range 7, of the Buffalo Creek Reservation, Cheektowaga, New York, as recorded in the Deed, Liber 8644, Page 119, in the Office of the Clerk of Erie County, New York, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 360231 Panel 0010C, is hereby corrected to reflect that the portions of the above mentioned property that are at or above 662 feet National Geodetic Vertical Datum are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 16, 1978. These portions are in Zones B and C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,
Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2585 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR PART 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Township of Ewing, N.J., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, FEMA.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Township of Ewing, New Jersey. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Township of Ewing, New Jersey, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office,

National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line, (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free 800–424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034. Phone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 345294A, Panel 01 published on October 23, 1979 in 44 FR 61007 indicates that Lot 14, as shown on a plan entitled "Section Two, Sheet 1 of 3, Briarwood, Sub. II", duly filed on July 3, 1974 as Map No. 2233, as recorded in the Deed, Volume 2021, Pages 373 through 376, in the Office of the Clerk of Mercer County, is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 345294A, Panel 01 is hereby corrected to reflect that the existing structure on the above-mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard I11Area identified on August 25, 1972. The structure is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 23, 1968 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2586 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–03–M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA–5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Borough of Bergenfield, N.J., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Borough of Bergenfield, New Jersey. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Borough of Bergenfield, New Jersey that certain property is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is within the Special Flood Hazard Area, results in the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410 (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 340020 Panel 0001A, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61007, indicates that Lot 4, Block 140L, Bergenfield, New Jersey, as shown on the "Revised Map of Paula Development Corporation, Section 2, Bergenfield, New Jersey" and recorded in the Office of the Bergen County Clerk as Map Number 3792, is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 340020 Panel 0001A, is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned property is within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 1, 1977.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2604 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–03–M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA–5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Lee's Summit, Mo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Lee's Summit, Missouri. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Lee's Summit, Missouri, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 290174B Panel 14, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61023, indicates that Lot 7, Sunset Hills Addition, Lee's Summit, Missouri, also known as 313 South Mil-Mar Avenue, as recorded in Book 24, Page 19, in the Office of the Recorder, Jackson County, Missouri, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 290174B Panel 14 is hereby corrected to reflect that the existing structure located on the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 21, 1974. The structure is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2605 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA 5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Grandview, Mo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Grandview, Missouri. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Grandview, Missouri, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61023, indicates that Lot 1–C in Lot 1, Block 9, River Oaks Second Plat, Grandview, Missouri, as recorded in Book S1, Page 52, in the Office of the Recorder, Jackson County, Missouri, is partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 15, 1979. This lot is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: December 4, 1979.

Charles M. Plaxico, Jr.,

Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2606 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Grandview, Mo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Grandview, Missouri. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Grandview, Missouri, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410 (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61023, indicates that Lots 1–B and 1–E, in Lot 1, Block 9, River Oaks Second Plat, Grandview, Missouri, as recorded in Book S1, Page 52, in the Office of the Recorder, Jackson County, Missouri, are partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned lots are not within the

Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 15, 1979. These lots are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963).

Issued: December 4, 1979.

Charles M. Plaxico, Jr.,

Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2607 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Grandview, Mo., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Grandview, Missouri. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Grandview, Missouri, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61023, indicates that Lots 1–A, 1–D, 1–G, and 1–L in Lot 1, Block 9; and Lots 1 through 5, Block 11, River Oaks Second Plat, Grandview, Missouri, as recorded in Book S–1, Pages 52 and 71, in the Office of the Recorder, Jackson County, Missouri, are partially within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B is hereby corrected to reflect that the structures on Lots 1 through 4 of the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 15, 1979. These structures are in Zone B.

Map No. H & I 290171 Panel 0005B is hereby corrected to reflect that the structures on Lots 1–A, 1–D, 1–G, and 1–L in Lot 1, Block 9, and Lot 5, Block 11, of the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 15, 1979. These structures are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2608 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA 5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Quincy, Mass., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, FEMA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Quincy, Massachusetts. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Quincy, Massachusetts, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410 (202) 755–6570 or toll free line 800–424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free 800–424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Phone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 255219, Panel 03 published on October 23, 1979 in 44 FR 61006 indicates that Lot 10, as recorded on Plan No. 35537C, being a subdivision of LC 35537 B Lot 5, Quincy, Massachusetts, in the Land Court of Massachusetts, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 255219A, Panel 03 is hereby corrected to reflect that all portions of the above-mentioned property that are at or above 11 feet Mean Sea Level are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on July 30, 1976. These portions are in Zone B and Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 23, 1968 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2609 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Town of Bedford, Mass., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Town of Bedford, Massachusetts. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Bedford, Massachusetts, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410 (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 255209A Panel 04, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61005, indicates that Lot 53, Woodmoor Acres, Bedford, Massachusetts, also known as 7 Fern Way, as recorded in Book 11212, Page End, in the Registry of Deeds, Southern District, Middlesex County, Massachusetts, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 255209A Panel 04, is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on February 27, 1976. This lot is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2610 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA 5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Town of Acton, Mass., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the Town of Acton, Massachusetts. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Acton, Massachusetts that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. H & I 250176 Panel 0001B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61005, indicates that the property located at 3 Seneca Court, Acton, Massachusetts, shown as Lot C on the Plan of Land in Acton, Massachusetts, recorded in Book 12938, Page 208, in the Registry of Deeds, South District, Middlesex County, Massachusetts, is within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 250176 Panel 0001B is hereby corrected to reflect that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 15, 1978. This lot is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2611 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for Unincorporated Area of Carroll County, Md.; Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps were published identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas. This list included the Unincorporated Area of Carroll County, Maryland. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator, after acquiring additonal flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Unincorporated Area of Carroll County, Maryland, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-6570 or Toll Free Line (800) 424-8872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Phone: (800) 638-6620 toll free.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The Map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b):

Map No. 240015, No. Panel 0100A, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61009, indicates that the existing structure located on the western bank of the North Branch of the Patapsco River within the Cedarhurst Plant Site of Congoleum Corporation, Unincorporated Area of Carroll County, Maryland, as recorded in Liber 444, Pages 47 through 50, in the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Carroll County, Maryland, is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. 240015, Panel No. 0100A, is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure located on the above-mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on August 1, 1978. The structure is in Zone B.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; and delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963).

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2612 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Henderson, Ky., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Henderson, Kentucky. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Henderson, Kentucky, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755-6570 or toll free line (800) 424-8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424-9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638-6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 210109, Panel 0001B, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61015, indicates that Woodsview Apartments, Henderson, Kentucky, located on the property recorded in the Deed, Deed Book 297, Pages 17 through 173, in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of Henderson County, Kentucky, are within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 210109 Panel 0001B, is hereby corrected to reflect that the existing structures on the above mentioned property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on June 15, 1978. Structures 1A, 1B, 2C, 2D, 4C, and 4D are in Zone B. Structures 2A, 2B, 2E, 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 4A, 4B, and OA are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963).

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2613 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Overland Park, Kans., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Overland Park, Kansas. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Overland Park, Kansas, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755-6570 or toll free line (800) 424-8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424-9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638-6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 200174A Panel 02, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61023, indicates that Tract A, Indian Creek Village, Overland Park, Kansas, recorded as Document Number 871750 in Book 32, Page 24, in the Office of the Recorder, Johnson County, Kansas, is partially located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 200174A Panel 02 is hereby corrected to reflect that structures A through D, G through K, M through Q, and S are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on September 30, 1977. These structures are in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 [Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968], effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963).

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2614 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

---

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for City of Leavenworth, Kans., Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Leavenworth, Kansas. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Leavenworth, Kansas, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FUTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation & Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755-6570 or toll free line (800) 424-8872, (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424-9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638-6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 200190A Panel 04, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61023, indicates that Lot 8, Josela Subdivision, Replat a part of Block 7 and 8, Harkness Park Subdivision, Leavenworth, Kansas, as recorded in Book 10, Page 5, in the Office of the Register of Deeds, Leavenworth County, Kansas, are within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 200190A Panel 04 is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure on the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on January 5, 1978. This structure is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968) effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963).

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2615 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

44 CFR Part 70

[Docket No. FEMA-5712]

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Davenport, Iowa, Under National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities for which maps identifying Special Flood Hazard Areas have been published. This list included the City of Davenport, Iowa. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administrator after acquiring additional flood information and after further technical review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Davenport, Iowa, that certain property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

This map amendment, by establishing that the subject property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, removes the requirement to purchase flood insurance for that property as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert G. Chappell, Acting Assistant Administrator, Program Implementation and Engineering Office, National Flood Insurance Program, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 755–6570 or toll free line (800) 424–8872 (in Alaska and Hawaii call toll free (800) 424–9080).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If a property owner was required to purchase flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally-related financial assistance for construction or acquisition purposes, and the lender now agrees to waive the property owner from maintaining flood insurance coverage on the basis of this map amendment, the property owner may obtain a full refund of the premium paid for the current policy year, provided that no claim is pending or has been paid on the policy in question during the same policy year. The premium refund may be obtained through the insurance agent or broker who sold the policy, or from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) at: P.O. Box 34294, Bethesda, Maryland 20034, Telephone: (800) 638–6620.

§ 70.7 [Amended]

The map amendments listed below are in accordance with § 70.7(b): Map No. H & I 190242 Panel 0004A, published on October 23, 1979, in 44 FR 61022, indicates that Lot 13, Block 4, Westgate Third Addition, also known as 2411 West 41st Street, Davenport, Iowa, as recorded in Book 339, Page 61, in the Office of the Recorder, Scott County, Iowa, is partially located within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

Map No. H & I 190242 Panel 0004A is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure on the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area identified on March 1, 1978. The structure is in Zone C.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; Executive Order 12127, 44 FR 19367; delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 44 FR 20963)

Issued: January 10, 1980.

Gloria M. Jimenez,

Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2616 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[FCC 80-21]

Radio Broadcast Services; Reregulation and Oversight of the Rules for Radio and TV Broadcasting

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Order.

SUMMARY: By Reregulation and Rules Oversight Order, clarification is made and rewriting done on the rules pertaining to: Local public inspection file; STA and program test authority; program log rule; broadcasting of lottery information; ownership reports; Policy listing, adding 8 policies and their citations; and special antenna test authorizations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 8, 1980.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Crane, Philip Cross, John Reiser, (202) 632–9660.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: January 16, 1980.

Released: January 23, 1980.

In the matter of reregulation and oversight of the rules for radio and TV broadcasting.

1. The Commission herein continues to focus its attention on the reregulation of its broadcast requirements and the oversight of its AM, FM and TV rules. Rule modifications are made in this *Order* to update, clarify and make corrections as described in the following:

(a) The lottery rule (§ 73.1211, "Broadcast of lottery information") does not now include an obscure exemption provided under 18 U.S.C. 1305 for fishing contests. (Sections 1301 through 1304 of 18 U.S.C. define lotteries.) Section 1305 reads: "The provisions of this chapter shall not apply with respect to any fishing contest not conducted for profit wherein prizes are awarded for the specie, size, weight or quality of fish caught by contestants in any bona fide fishing or recreational event." The Congress, in the legislative history of the bill (H.R. 9074), clarified the meaning of "fishing contests not conducted for profit" when it stated "We have in mind a self-liquidating type of undertaking, whose receipts are fully consumed in defraying the actual costs of operation and are not intended or used for any other collateral purpose such as establishment of a fund for civic, philanthropic, or charitable objects, no matter how benevolent or worthy." Occasionally, broadcasters unknowingly conduct such a fishing contest for what is considered to be a "benevolent or worthy" group or cause. Caught up in the error of their actions, they are subject to citation. To guide the licensee, the fishing exemption is herein stated in the rule.

(b) Section 73.1520, "Operation for tests and maintenance," contains a cross reference in paragraph (c) to § 1.544(a). The cross reference refers to the sending of informal letter requests, by licensees, to the FCC in Washington. These informal letter requests are herein stated in §§ 73.157 and 73.1520, and the cross references to § 1.544 are deleted. The requirement formerly found in deleted § 1.544 is completely detailed in § 73.1510, "Experimental authorizations" and in § 73.157, "Special antenna equipment test authorizations." So, the only pertinent part remaining in § 1.544 was the directive pertaining to informal letter requests (applications) made to the FCC, herein placed in §§ 73.157 and 73.1520.

(c) Ever inclined to embrace rule modifications that reduce the administrative workload of the FCC and broadcasters, and to streamline FCC processing procedures, the Commission herein relaxes its procedural requirements pertaining to certain FCC responses to requests for program test authority (PTA) and special temporary authority (STA). Applicants for PTA's and STA's must await "specific FCC

authority" which, in all cases, results in a written communication from the FCC to the party requesting authorization. Via this *Order*, the Commission modifies and relaxes its procedural requirements to provide "automatic" program test authority to applicants for new or modified *nondirectional* AM, FM and TV stations; and also provide "automatic" special temporary authority, for 30 days, allowing permittees for modified nondirectional AM, FM and TV facilities to discontinue operation or to operate with temporary facilities as necessary to accommodate construction and maintain the size of the presently licensed coverage area. These two types of "automatic authority" will be achieved by simply notifying, by letter, the FCC in Washington, D.C. and the Engineer in Charge of the station's radio district. Applicants are reminded that within 10 days after commencing "automatic" program test authority, the new station applicant must file for license on FCC Form 302 for a commercial station or Form 341 for noncommercial. Both of these "automatic" authorizations (STA's and PTA's) are subject to modification or cancellation by the FCC. To guide the licensee, an appropriate rule is drafted and designated § 73.1615, "Operation during modification of facilities."

The analysis of STA's which resulted in the above-described action, focused attention on the colloquial acronym, STA, for "special temporary authorization." Its use is decades old and its origin is obscured in the distant past. It is referenced in the rules pertaining to applications in § 73.3511, "Applications required," and also in § 73.3542, "Application for temporary authorization." But, nowhere is there a rule entitled "Special temporary authorization." This long-time omission is corrected herein and a definition-rule is added to Subpart H of Part 73 as § 73.1635, "Special temporary authorizations." With clarity, the rule defines an STA as the authority granted to permit the operation of a broadcast facility for a limited period at a specified variance with the terms of the station license or requirements of the FCC, either by asking for prior authority from, or sending notice to the FCC. (Many of our rules have already been changed via reregulation proceedings to allow notification directly, relaxing previously required requests for authorization to which the FCC has to respond.)

To establish the linkage between new § 73.1635, "Special temporary authorization" and § 73.3511, "Applications required," and § 73.3542, "Application for temporary authorization," cross references, making clear the relationships, are added to each as applicable. Also, in § 73.3542, "Application for temporary authorization," new text is added stating that certain broadcast rules allow notification in lieu of an application for authority; and text regarding granting of temporary authorizations in extraordinary circumstances requiring emergency operation to serve the public interest is moved from § 73.3580(b) where it inappropriately resides to § 73.3542 where it more properly belongs.

(d) In Transmittal Sheet No. 11 to Volume III (August 1976 edition of the rules—T.S. III (76)–11), the section number and the title of the program log rule is incorrectly positioned due to GPO printing error. It is corrected in this Order. Also, four incorrect cross references are changed.

(e) Sections 73.3526 and 73.3527 are the rules regarding local public inspection files of commercial and noncommercial stations, respectively. It is clear, in paragraph (a) of each rule, that the requirements pertain to applicants for construction permits, permittees and licensees. In paragraphs (f) of § 73.3526 and (h) of § 73.3527 are found the requirements for making copies of public file material available to the requesting public. Each of these paragraphs refer to "* * * material in the public file of *any TV or radio station* * * *" (emphasis added) giving a possible interpretation of not pertaining to applicants for a construction permit as well as permittees and licensees. Where the possibility of this mis-interpretation in the subject paragraphs exists, the rule text is clarified herein.

(f) The requirements for giving local public notice of the filing of broadcast applications are found in § 73.3580. In the notice requirements for translator or booster applicants, the applicant is required to reveal "the purpose for which the application was filed." A parenthetical phrase starting "such as * * * " follows, listing *some* of the types of applications to which the purpose requirement refers. The "such as" phrase tends to confuse readers of the rule. Since the purpose for the application must be given in the notice *for all* translator and booster *applications*, the phrase ("such as * * *") is deleted and the subparagraph will simply and clearly read, "The purpose for which the application was filed."

(g) In the Reregulation *Order* restructuring the rule book for the broadcast services[1], the Ownership reports rule, § 1.615 was redesignated §73.3615 and transferred to Subpart H, Part 73. In this rewriting and changeover procedure, a proviso in the rule pertaining to widely held corporations, was inadvertently deleted. It will, via this *Order*, be replaced in paragraph (d)(2) of the rule.

(h) The following additions are made to the listing of Policies of the FCC in Subpart H, Part 73.

(i) *Public Notice* entitled "Temporary Policy Extending Suspended Enforcement of Television Vertical and Horizontal Blanking Standards."

(ii) *Public Notice* entitled "Temporary Policy Concerning Applicability of Vertical and Horizontal Blanking Standards to In-School Instructional Television Programs."

(iii) *Public Notice* regarding use of automated programming systems to conduct weekly attention signal tests of EBS.

(iv) *Public Notice* entitled "New Financial Qualifications Standard for Television Broadcast Applicants."

(v) *Report* of the Commission on encoded four-channel multiplex stereo transmission authority.

(vi) *Public Notice* entitled "Commission Authorizes Use of Dolby Encoder by FM stations."

(vii) *Memorandum Opinion and Order* regarding transmission of information, via Subsidiary Communications Authorization (SCA) of horse racing information to off-track betting parlors in New York State.

(viii) *Public Notice* entitled "Unauthorized Broadcast of Federal Aviation Administration Communications by Broadcast and Other FCC Licensees."

(ix) *Public Notice* entitled "Use of Special Signals for Network Purposes Which Adversely Affect Broadcast Service."

2. No substantive changes are made herein which impose additional burdens or remove provisions relied upon by licensees or the public.

3. We conclude that, for the reasons set forth above, adoption of these revisions will serve the public interest and inasmuch as these amendments impose no additional burdens and raise no issue upon which comments would serve any useful purpose, prior notice of rule making, effective date provisions and public procedure thereon are unnecessary pursuant to the Administrative Procedure and Judicial Review Act provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B).

[1] *Order*, FCC 79–371, adopted June 7, 1979, 72 F.C.C. 2d 534.

4. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED, That pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the Commission's Rules and Regulations ARE AMENDED as set forth in the attached Appendix, effective February 8, 1980.

5. For further information on this *Order*, contact Steve Crane, Philip Cross or John Reiser, Broadcast Bureau, (202) 632-9660.

(Secs. 4, 5, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

Appendix

1. In § 73.157, the headnote and paragraph (a) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.157 Special antenna test authorizations.

(a) A special antenna test authorization may be issued to the licensee of a station, using a directional antenna during nighttime hours, to operate with the nighttime facilities during the daytime when conducting monitoring point field strength measurements and antenna proof of performance measurements. To obtain a special antenna test authorization, an informal letter request, signed by the licensee, shall be submitted to the FCC in Washington, D.C.

* * * * *

2. In § 73.1211, paragraphs (c) and (d) are amended and the Note is deleted, as follows:

§ 73.1211 Broadcast of lottery information.

* * * * *

(c) The provisions of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this Section shall not apply to an advertisement, list of prizes or other information concerning:

(1) A lottery conducted by a State acting under authority of State law when such information is broadcast:

(i) By a broadcast station licensed to a location in that State; or

(ii) By a broadcast station licensed to a location in an adjacent State which also conducts such a lottery. (18 U.S. Code 1307; 88 Stat. 1916).

(2) Fishing contests exempted under 18 U.S. Code 1305 (not conducted for profit, *i.e.*, all receipts fully consumed in defraying the actual costs of operation).

(d) For the purposes of paragraph (c)(1) of this Section:

(1) "Lottery" means the pooling of proceeds derived from the sale of tickets or chances and allotting those proceeds or parts thereof by chance to one or more chance takers or ticket purchasers. It does not include the placing or accepting of bets or wagers on sporting events or contests.

(2) A broadcast station licensed to a location in a State that conducts a State lottery may broadcast advertisements of or information concerning such lottery in its State of license and advertisements of or information concerning such lotteries conducted in any adjacent State. (See 18 U.S.C. 1307, FCC 75). The exemption would, for example, permit a broadcast station licensed to a location in New York, which now conducts a lawful State Lottery, to broadcast advertisements of or information concerning the New York State Lottery as well as the lawful State Lotteries of Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey and Pennsylvania, since these States are adjacent to New York, and also conduct a State Lottery. The exemption, however, would not permit a broadcast station licensed to a location in New York to broadcast information concerning the Maine or Michigan State Lotteries since those States are not adjacent States to New York. Nor would the exemption permit a station licensed to a location in Virginia to broadcast information concerning the Maryland State Lottery, since although Virginia is adjacent to Maryland, Virginia does not conduct a State lottery.

3. In § 73.1520, paragraph (c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.1520 Operation for tests and maintenance.

* * * * *

(c) Licensees of AM stations may obtain special antenna test authorizations, and operate under the provisions described in § 73.157, to operate with nighttime facilities during daytime hours in conducting directional antenna field strength and antenna proof of performance measurements.

4. New § 73.1615 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.1615 Operation during modification of facilities.

When the licensee of an existing AM, FM or TV station is in the process of modifying existing facilities as authorized by a construction permit and determines it is necessary to either discontinue operation or to operate with temporary facilities to continue program service, the following procedures apply:

(a) Licensees holding a construction permit for modified operation of nondirectional facilities may, without specific FCC authority, for a period not exceeding 30 days:

(1) Discontinue operation, or

(2) Operate with temporary facilities to maintain, as nearly as possible, but not exceed, the size of the presently licensed coverage area, and

(3) In either procedure, such operation or discontinuance of operation may begin upon notification to the FCC in Washington, D.C. and the Engineer in Charge of the radio district in which the station is located.

(4) Should it be necessary to continue the procedure in either paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this section, an informal letter request signed by the licensee or the licensee's representative must be sent to the FCC in Washington, D.C. prior to the 30th day.

(b) Licensees holding a construction permit for modified operation of directional facilities must request and obtain authority from the FCC in Washington, D.C. prior to using any new installation authorized by the permit, or using temporary facilities, if such use is deemed necessary, to maintain continued program service.

(1) The request is to be made at least 10 days prior to the date on which the temporary operation is to commence. The request is to be made by letter which shall describe the operating modes and facilities to be used. Such letter requests shall be signed by the licensee or the licensee's representative.

(2) Discontinuance of operation is permitted upon notification to the FCC in Washington, D.C. and the Engineer in Charge of the station's radio district. Should it be necessary to discontinue operation longer than 30 days, an informal letter request, signed by the licensee or the licensee's representative, must be sent to the FCC in Washington, D.C. prior to the 30th day.

(c) The FCC may modify or cancel the temporary operation permitted under the provisions of paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section without prior notice or right to hearing.

5. Section 73.1620 is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.1620 Program tests.

(a) Upon completion of construction of an AM, FM or TV station in accordance with the terms of the construction permit, the technical provisions of the application, the rules and regulations and the applicable engineering standards, program tests may be conducted in accordance with the following:

(1) In the case of a nondirectional station, the permittee may begin program tests upon notification to the FCC in Washington, D.C. and the Engineer in Charge of the station's radio district, provided that within 10 days thereafter, an application for a license is filed with the Commission in Washington, D.C.

(2) In the case of a station with a directional antenna system, the permittee must file an application for license requesting program test authority with the FCC in Washington, D.C., at least 10 days prior to the date on which it desires to begin such operation. Also, an antenna proof of performance must be filed with the request by an AM directional station.

(b) The FCC reserves the right to revoke or suspend program tests by any station without right of hearing for failure to comply adequately with all terms of the construction permit or in order to resolve instances of objectionable interference.

* * * * *

(e) Acceptance by the FCC of notification of the station of program tests, or the granting of program test authority by the FCC, is not to be construed by the permittee as approval by the FCC of the application for station license.

(f) The licensee of a UHF TV station which is not in operation on, but assigned to, the same allocated channel which a 1000 watt UHF translator station is authorized to use (see § 73.3516, "Specification of facilities"), shall notify the licensee of the translator station, in writing, at least 10 days prior to commencing or resuming operation. The TV station licensee shall also certify to the FCC in Washington, D.C. that such advance notice has been given to the translator station licensee.

6. New § 73.1635 is added to Subpart H to read as follows:

§ 73.1635 Special temporary authorizations (STA).

A special temporary authorization (STA) is the authority granted to permit the operation of a broadcast facility for a limited period at a specified variance with the terms of the station authorization or requirements of the FCC rules applicable to the particular class of station. Certain rules, however, permit temporary operation at variance without prior authorization from the FCC, when notification is filed as prescribed in the particular rules. See §§ 73.1615, "Operation during modification of facilities," 73.3511, "Applications required" and 73.3542, "Application for temporary authorization," for procedures on requesting special temporary authorizations. The FCC may modify or cancel a special temporary authorization without prior notice or right to hearing.

7. Section 73.1810 (b)(4)(iii) and (f)(4)(iii) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.1810 Program logs.

Commercial Stations

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) * * *

(iii) An entry for each announcement made pursuant to the local notice requirements of §§ 73.3580 (pre-grant), 73.3594 (designation for hearing) and 73.1202 (licensee obligations), showing the time it was broadcast.

* * * * *

(f) * * *

(4) * * *

(iii) An entry for each announcement made pursuant to the local notice requirements of §§ 73.3580 (pre-grant) and 73.3594 (designation for hearing), showing the time it was broadcast.

* * * * *

8. Section 73.3526(f) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.3526 Local public inspection file of commercial stations.

* * * * *

(f) Copies of any material required to be in the public file of any applicant for a construction permit, or permittee or licensee of any TV or radio station shall be available for machine reproduction upon request made in person, provided the requesting party shall pay the reasonable cost of reproduction. Requests for machine copies shall be fulfilled at a location specified by the applicant, permittee or licensee, within a reasonable period of time which, in no event, shall be longer than seven days unless reproduction facilities are unavailable in the applicant's, permittee's or licensee's community. The applicant, permittee or licensee is not required to honor requests made by mail, but may do so if it chooses.

9. Section 73.3527(h) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.3527 Local public inspection file of noncommercial educational stations.

* * * * *

(h) Copies of any material required to be in the public file of any applicant for a construction permit, or permittee or licensee of any TV or radio station shall be available for machine reproduction upon request made in person, provided the requesting party shall pay the reasonable costs of reproduction. Requests for machine copies shall be fulfilled at a location specified by the applicant, permittee or licensee, within a reasonable period of time which, in no event, shall be longer than seven days unless reproduction facilities are unavailable in the applicant's, permittee's or licensee's community. The applicant, permittee or licensee is not required to honor requests made by mail but may do so if it chooses.

10. Section 73.3542 (b), (d) and (e) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.3542 Application for temporary authorization.

* * * * *

(b) Temporary authority may be granted to a licensee or permittee of a broadcast station to operate such station for a period not to exceed 90 days upon request therefor. Any such request should be filed with the FCC at least 10 days prior to the date of the proposed operation and should be accompanied by a statement giving full particulars as to the purpose for which the request is made. Any temporary authority issued under this Section may be cancelled by the FCC without further notice or right to hearing. Written notification to the FCC in Washington, D.C. or the Engineer in Charge of the station's radio district may be given in lieu of request for temporary authorization if specifically allowed by a rule in Part 73.

* * * * *

(d) An information application is to be used, signed in accordance with the provisions of § 73.3513, "Signing of applications," and providing the information required in paragraph (d) of § 73.3511, "Applications required."

(e) Request for temporary authorization necessitated by equipment damage or failure may be made without regard to the procedural requirements of this Section. Also, in extraordinary circumstances requiring emergency operation to serve the public interest, the FCC may grant a temporary authorization accompanied by a statement of its reason therefor. Such grant would permit emergency operation for a period of not more than 90 days. If like finding can be made, the FCC may extend the temporary authorization for one additional period not to exceed 90 days.

11. Section 73.3580 (b) and (g)(1)(ii)(B) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.3580 Local public notice of filing of broadcast applications.

* * * * *

(b) Applications (as originally filed or amended) will be acted upon by the FCC no sooner than 30 days following public notice of acceptance for filing or amendment, except as otherwise permitted in § 73.3542, "Application for temporary authorization."

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) * * *

(B) The purpose for which the application was filed.

* * * * *

12. Section 73.3615(d)(2) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.3615 Ownership reports.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) All corporate licensees having more than 50 voting or non-voting shareholders, or controlling corporation, or corporations holding 25% or more of the outstanding voting or non-voting stock of the licensee, are required under this paragraph (d) to file annually. Filings must be made on a recurring annual basis, within 60 days from the anniversary of the record date selected in the first report for stockholders.

* * * * *

13. New § 73.4093 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4093 Discrete (encoded) 4-channel stereo transmission authority.

See Report of the Commission, dated August 9, 1972, 72 F.C.C. 2d 792.

14. New § 73.4094 is added to Subpart H, Part 73 as follows:

§ 73.4094 Dolby encoder.

See Public Notice dated July 10, 1974, 72 F.C.C. 2d 790.

15. New § 73.4097 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4097 EBS attention signal tests on automated programming systems.

See Public Notice dated March 1, 1979, 72 F.C.C. 2d 780; 44 FR 17792, March 23, 1979.

16. New § 73.4101 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4101 Financial qualifications, TV stations.

See Public Notice, FCC 79–299, dated May 11, 1979. 72 F.C.C. 2d 784; 44 FR 29160, May 18, 1979.

17. New § 73.4102 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4102 FAA communications, Broadcast of.

See Public Notice, FCC 72–105, dated February 2, 1972. 37 FR 3567, February 17, 1972.

18. New § 73.4126 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4126 Horse racing information: SCA transmissions.

See Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 79–296, adopted May 10, 1979. 72 F.C.C. 2d 793.

19. New § 73.4157 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4157 Network signals which adversely affect affiliate broadcast service.

See Public Notice, FCC 79–387, dated April 20, 1970. 22 F.C.C. 2d 779.

20. Section 73.4270 is amended to add new paragraphs (b) and (c), and designate current text as paragraph (a), to read as follows:

§ 73.4270 TV Broadcast signals: technical standards.

(a) See Public Notice, FCC 78–423, dated June 16, 1978. 43 FR 36689, August 18, 1978. This FCC statement was modified by Public Notice, FCC 79–10, dated January 10, 1979, 44 FR 3274, January 18, 1979.

(b) See also Public Notice, dated July 3, 1979. 72 F.C.C. 2d 786, entitled "Temporary Policy Extending Suspended Enforcement of TV Vertical and Horizontal Blanking Standards."

(c) See also Public Notice, FCC 79–231, dated April 12, 1979, entitled "Temporary Policy Concerning Application of Vertical and Horizontal Standards to In-School ITV Programs." 72 F.C.C. 2d 782.

21. New § 73.4372 is added to Subpart H, Part 73, as follows:

§ 73.4272 TV colorburst during black and white programming.

See Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 76–223, adopted March 9, 1976. 58 F.C.C. 2d 385.

[FR Doc. 80-2722 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

---

47 CFR Part 76

Cable Television Services; Editorial Amendment of Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Rule requiring cable employment units to submit copies of their EEO programs is clarified to eliminate mere filings of statements that such programs exist. Section of rules denoting various forms that must be filed with the Commission is amended to reflect change made when FCC Form 395—previously shared by the Broadcast, Common Carrier and Cable Television Bureaus was redesignated FCC Form 395–A for Cable Television purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Ungar, Cable Television Bureau, (202) 632–9797.

Order

Adopted: January 17, 1980.

Released: January 22, 1980.

In the matter of editorial amendments to §§ 76.311(g) and 76.403 of the Commission's rules.

1. In June, 1977 FCC Form 395 used to obtain employment data from broadcast stations, common carriers and cable television systems was redesignated for cable television system use, FCC Form 395–A.

2. This redesignation was not reflected in § 76.403 which lists the various forms that cable systems must submit to the Commission annually. This omission has caused some confusion.

3. In a related matter it appears that § 76.311(g) which requires certain cable television employment units to file equal employment opportunity program statements with the Commission has been misinterpreted by some who have filed only statements that they have programs in compliance with the rules.

4. In view of the foregoing, in order to eliminate confusing and clarify our rules, under the authority of section 4(d) (1) and (i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended and § 0.231(d) of the Commission's rules, we are amending §§ 76.311(g) and 76.403 as shown in the attached appendix. Since these amendments are editorial in nature, the public notice, procedure and effective date provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, are not applicable.

5. Regarding questions on matters covered in this document, contact Robert Ungar, telephone (202) 632–9797.

In view of the above, it is ordered, that the rule amendments set forth in the attached appendix are adopted, effective February 1, 1980.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

R. D. Lichtwardt,

Executive Director.

Appendix

Part 76 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended to read as follows:

§ 76.311 [Amended]

Section 76.311(g) is amended by replacing the phrase, "every employment unit shall file a statement of its equal employment opportunity program" with the phrase, "every employment unit shall file a copy of its equal employment opportunity program."

§ 76.403 [Amended]

Section 76.403 is amended by replacing the phrase, "Annual Employment Report, Form 395" with the phrase "Annual Employment Report, Form 395–A."

[FR Doc. 80–2547 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. 76–06; Notice 8]

Speedometers and Odometers

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Final rule (response to petitions for rulemaking).

SUMMARY: This notice is being issued in response to petitions for rulemaking to amend Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) 127 to delete the accuracy requirement of speedometers. That requirement was scheduled to become effective September 1, 1980. This notice grants the petition and deletes the accuracy requirement, thus relieving manufacturers from having to comply with it.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This amendment is effective January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Arthur Neill, Office of Vehicle Safety Standards, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590 (202–426–2800).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the March 16, 1978, final rule establishing Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) 127, the agency included a requirement limiting the deviation of indicated vehicle speed from actual vehicle speed to plus or minus 4 miles per hour. On March 22, 1979, the agency responded to petitions for reconsideration of the speedometer accuracy requirement by extending the effective date for the requirement from September 1, 1979, to September 1, 1980. The purpose of this change was to provide manufacturers with additional time in which to account for the variables contained in the speedometer gear train that would result in some units that exceed the accuracy limits of plus or minus 4 mph.

In its April 18, 1979, petition for rulemaking, General Motors stated that the accuracy requirement is not based on a safety need and that compliance therewith could not be achieved by reasonable means despite the extension of the effective date. Citing its submission of substantiating data, the company stated that manufacturers could not ensure compliance of all their speedometer units with the accuracy requirement due to tire variability over which they have no control. According to the company, in a situation where the total allowable error range specified in FMVSS 127 is 8 mph (i.e., −4 to +4 mph) and the vehicle speed is 55 mph, speedometer head factors would account for 4.6 mph of the 8 mph range and the speedometer/odometer drive gearing would account for as much as 2.8 mph. This would leave an error range of only 0.6 mph to accommodate the remaining tire variables. The tire data supplied to General Motors by the various tire manufacturers show that variations in the diameter of tires produced by a single manufacturer could result in a speedometer error range of 2.4 mph at a vehicle speed of 55 mph. Likewise, such variation among different tire manufacturers cold result in an error range of 3.2 mph at a vehicle speed of 55 mph. In addition, bias ply tires "grow" even after brief use. The mechanism by which this occurs is not fully understood, but data submitted by General Motors indicates that this process may increase the section height of the tire by as much as 3 percent. The effect of the growth is to decrease revolutions per mile for the tire. They further indicated that an error range of 4.8 mph would be necessary to compensate for the effect of this phenomenon on speedometer accuracy.

General Motors concluded that in a typical case an accuracy range of at least 12 mph would be necessary to account for all of the sources of speedometer variability. In light of this, the company requested that the agency either entirely delete the accuracy requirement due to the lack of an underlying safety need, or in the alternative, that the agency "normalize the revolutions per mile for the tires to be used on motor vehicles so that the tire variables can be neutralized."

In a petition dated August 28, 1979, International Harvester also petitioned for deletion of the accuracy requirement. The company stated that there is no safety need for the requirement. The company further stated that although it is confident that a high percentage of its present production vehicles do comply, it cannot ensure that 100 percent of its vehicles will comply with the ±4 mph tolerance requirement. International Harvester stated that this is because it purchases both speedometers and tires from outside suppliers and therefore is unable to control worst case variability in tires and speedometer assemblies which exceed that permitted by FMVSS 127. International Harvester submitted only limited substantiating data but did indicate its strong agreement with General Motors' data and support for that company's position.

The agency grants these petitions and deletes the speedometer accuracy requirement from FMVSS 127. The agency continues to believe that it is important that drivers know accurately the speed of their vehicles given the effect of vehicle speed on vehicle handling and on accident severity and given the need to comply with speed limits. However, implementation of this rule now would not significantly affect the accuracy of speedometers. If, at a later time, it becomes possible to make more substantial improvements in speedometer accuracy or if the agency obtains data indicating that speedometers are being built with less accuracy than they currently are, the agency will consider reproposing an accuracy requirement.

The agency's conclusion about the effect of the accuracy requirement on speedometer accuracy is based on several factors. First, data submitted by the petitioners as well as data submitted in response to prior rulemaking concerning speedometer accuracy and an agency study performed in 1977 suggests that the accuracy range of most speedometers is within ±4 mph. A report prepared by The Automobile Club of Southern California cited in General Motors' petition suggests that in excess of 90 percent of sampled vehicles-in-use exhibited indicated speeds within ±4 mph. In addition, General Motors and International Harvester both stated that most of their speedometers would comply but it would be quite costly and difficult to ensure 100 percent compliance due to the variables described in their petitions. It appears from the data submitted by the petitioners as well as data submitted by other manufacturers in response to earlier rulemaking (see, e.g., submissions by White Motor Corporation, American Motors Corporation and Chrysler Corporation in Docket 76–06–NO3) that the speedometers in most passenger vehicles already operate within the range of ±4 mph but that the speedometers in heavy duty trucks and in buses cannot all be brought within the range. With respect to heavy trucks, comments in response to earlier rulemaking indicated that drivers of

such vehicles rely on tachometers and transmission gear selection for vehicle speed and that thus the accuracy of the speedometer is a secondary consideration.

The agency notes further that there appears to be no incentive for the manufacturers to produce less accurate speedometers in the future. Consumer awareness and manufacturer product liability concerns are likely to combine to ensure that speedometer accuracy remains at its current level.

This amendment is being adopted without the prior issuance of a notice of proposed rulemaking. The agency finds that notice and opportunity for comment in this instance are unnecessary and contrary to the public interest for the reasons stated below. As mentioned previously, the requirement would not have significantly affected speedometer accuracy. It would, however, require manufacturers to make changes to some of the speedometers. The manufacturers have already begun their efforts to make those changes since the requirement would have become effective in 8 months and production begins sooner than that. Dispensing with notice permits the agency to relieve the manufacturers immediately from the necessity of making further compliance efforts.

The agency is making this amendment effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**. This early effective date is necessary so that the manufacturers can cease their compliance efforts immediately.

The agency has considered the economic impact of this final rule and determined that the rule is not significant within the meaning of Executive Order 12044 and the Department of Transportation's policies and procedures for implementing that order. The agency has determined further that the impact is so minor as not to require preparation of a written evaluation of it. The effect of this amendment is to relieve the manufacturers from having to make the relatively insubstantial expenditures and efforts that would have been necessary to comply with the speedometer accuracy requirement.

§ 571.127 [Amended]

In view of the above, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 127, *Speedometers and Odometers*, 49 CFR 571.127, is amended by deleting section S4.1.3.

(Secs. 103, 119, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1407); delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50)

Issued on January 22, 1980.

Joan Claybrook,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2566 Filed 1-23-80; 1:46 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 611

Foreign Fishing for Atlantic Billfish and Sharks; Final Regulations

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (NOAA)/ Commerce.

ACTION: Final Regulations.

SUMMARY: The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration amends its foreign fishing regulations. The amendment provides for inclusion of new reporting codes for several species covered by the preliminary fishery management plan for Atlantic billfish and sharks and for species caught incidentally. Presently, all sharks may be recorded as "nonspecific sharks" and marlin and spearfish are not recorded at all. As a result of the amendment, foreign vessels, when catching these species, will have to record them using the new codes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 29, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William H. Stevenson, Director, Southeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, 9450 Koger Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702. Telephone: (813) 893-3141.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed regulation was published in the **Federal Register** on December 13, 1979 (44 FR 72204). No comments were received.

The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries finds and determines that (1) this regulation is not significant within the meaning of Executive Order 12044, and (2) this regulation does not require the formulation of an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 22nd day of January, 1980.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Winfred H. Meibohm,

Executive Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

50 CFR 611 is amended as follows:

§ 611.9 [Amended]

Add the following to the Species Codes, § 611.9, Appendix I—Part A, Atlantic Ocean fishes (including the Gulf of Mexico):

Code, Common English Name, and Scientific Name

462—Porbeagle shark, *Lamna nasus*
463—Longfin mako shark, *Isurus paucus*
464—Shortfin mako shark, *Isurus oxyrinchus*
465—Blue shark, *Prionace glauca*
256—White marlin, *Tetrapturus albidus*
254—Longbill spearfish, *Tetrapturus pfluegeri*
260—Blue marlin, *Makaira nigricans*
252—Sailfish, *Istiophorus platypterus*
240—King mackerel, *Scomberomorus cavella*
244—Spanish mackerel, *Scomberomorus maculatus*

[FR Doc. 80-2730 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

13 CFR Part 111

[Amdt. 1]

Amendments Clarifying Eligibility of Waste Disposal Concerns, Providing for Joint Applicants in Some Instances and Changing the Processing and Administrative Fee for Applications

AGENCY: Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: During the early stages of this program, SBA interpreted the legislative history of its authority to preclude assistance for the acquisition of a pollution control facility designed to control pollution by other than the applicant itself. For this reason applications from waste disposal concerns were considered ineligible. The Comptroller General has concurred in SBA's request to consider waste disposal concerns as eligible, because such a determination accords with the underlying intent of Congress that small concerns be assisted in allaying environmental pollution. This affirmative determination is reflected in the following amended §§ 111.2 and 111.3.

Other amendments change the processing and administrative fee and clarify the manner of amortization of the debt to be guaranteed.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 29, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vincent A. Fragnito, Chief, Pollution Control Guarantees, Office of Special Guarantees, Magazine Building, Rosslyn, Virginia 22209 (703-235-2902).

SUMMARY: On October 11, 1979, a document was published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 58745) proposing amendments to Part 111 for several purposes. One proposal was to amend § 111.4(c), to permit parent corporations to become co-applicants for purposes of § 111.4(d) which requires a successful five year operating history. Due to the variety of circumstances presented by applications received since the publication of this proposal, it has become apparent that it is not adequate to accommodate the complexities arising from applications of multiple or changing business entities. The proposed amendment to § 111.4(c) is therefore withdrawn. A new proposed concerning the required operating history set forth in § 111.4(d) will be published shortly. The amendments to §§ 111.5(c)(2), (4) and (5) to conform them to the proposal to amend § 111.4(c) are also withdrawn. Another proposal concerning the eligibility of waste disposal concerns has been rephrased in response to a critical comment. The other amendments are adopted as proposed.

The public comments require the following changes in the proposed amendments to clarify their intent:

1. The definition of "Facility" in § 111.3 as proposed concluded with the words "including any related resource recovery property when stated to be necessary for pollution abatement by a local, State or Federal environmental regulatory authority." To indicate that the statement is required only for resource recovery property, and to reduce the need for a statement of necessity to one of usefulness, (but see § 111.4(e) below) the final amendment will read: "including any related resource recovery property when such recovery property is stated to be useful for pollution abatement by a local, State or Federal environmental regulatory authority."

2. Section 111.4(e) as proposed omitted the portion of the definition of "Facility" which was designed for concerns conducting a waste disposal business. To clarify the proposal without repetition of the full definition of "Facility", § 111.4(e) will read: "Provide evidence from a local, State or Federal environmental regulatory authority that the Facility meets the terms of the definition above."

Pursuant to the authority of section 308(c) of the Small Business Investment Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 687(c), Part 111 of Chapter I, Title 13 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby amended as set forth below:

1. Section 111.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 111.2 Policy.

It is the intent of Congress to assist existing small concerns including solid or liquid waste disposal concerns, which are or are likely to be at an operational or financing disadvantage with other business concerns with respect to the planning, design, or installation of pollution control Facilities, or the obtaining of financing therefor, by authorizing SBA to guarantee fully (100 percent), directly or in cooperation with others, the periodic payments due in connection with the purchase or lease of such Facilities under a Qualified contract. The guarantee shall be a full faith and credit obligation of the United States, and may be issued notwithstanding that the pollution control Facility is acquired by the use of proceeds from tax-exempt industrial revenue bonds. In those instances where revenue bond financing is uneconomic or is not practicable (e.g., for small amounts), or when the project may not qualify for tax-exemption, the Small concern may seek financing assistance under SBA's pollution control (Small Business Investment Act, sec. 404, 15 U.S.C. 694–1), Air Pollution (Small Business Act, sec. 7(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. 636), or Water Pollution (Small Business Act, sec. 7(g)(1), 15 U.S.C. 636(g)(1)) Loan Programs.

2. Section 111.3 is amended as follows:

§ 111.3 Definitions.

* * * * *

"Facility" means such property (both real and personal) as the Administration in its discretion determines is likely to help prevent, reduce, abate, or control noise, air or water pollution or contamination by removing, altering, disposing, or storing pollutants, contaminants, wastes, or heat, and such property (both real and personal) as the Administration determines will be used for the collection, storage, treatment, utilization, processing, or final disposal of solid or liquid waste, including any related resource recovery property when such recovery property is stated to be useful for pollution abatement by a local, State or Federal environmental regulatory authority.

* * * * *

3. Paragraph (e) of § 111.4 is revised to read as follows:

§ 111.4 Eligibility.

* * * * *

(e) Provide evidence from a local, State or Federal environmental regulatory authority that the Facility meets the terms of the definition above.

* * * * *

4. Paragraph (c) of § 111.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 111.7 Terms of guarantee and fee.

* * * * *

(c) The Processing and administrative fee relating to industrial revenue bond financing will be computed as follows: $550 + ($30 X number of years of Qualified contract). Each application shall be accompanied by $250 of the Processing and administrative fee which is not refundable. The balance of the Processing and administrative fee is payable to SBA upon issuance of its guarantee.

If the application is approved, SBA may issue a commitment to guarantee which shall expire not later than 24 months from the date of the commitment.

* * * * *

5. Paragraph (c) of § 111.8 is revised to read as follows:

§ 111.8 Qualified contract.

* * * * *

(c) Identification of the parties; description and location of the Facility, the principal basic indebtedness therefor to be guaranteed (exclusive of those costs or expenses listed in paragraph (d) of this section), the rate of interest thereon and the number, amount and time of payments, which shall be in substantially equal amounts not less frequently than quarterly.

* * * * *

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs No. 59,031, Pollution Control Financing Guarantee Program)

Dated: January 22, 1980.

A. Vernon Weaver,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2749 Filed 1-25-80; 9:44 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Proposed Rules

Federal Register
Vol. 45, No. 19
Monday, January 28, 1980

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

12 CFR Part 1

Eligibility of Securities for Purchase, Dealing in, Underwriting and Holding by National Banks; Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

AGENCY: Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency is considering the revision of 12 CFR Part 1 by adding a set of general principles of federal banking law and regulations applicable to the purchase, dealing in, underwriting and holding of investment securities by national banks. The Comptroller has determined that the development of such additional guidelines is of sufficient interest to warrant public participation in an effort to arrive at a method best usable for providing assistance in the application of the law and regulations in this area to the banks and bank counsel. This notice will solicit comments on the issues involved in the revision.

DATES: Comments Must Be Received on or Before: March 7, 1980.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent in triplicate to: Mr. John E. Shockey, Chief Counsel, Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, D.C. 20219.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Raija H. Bettauer, Attorney, Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, D.C. 20219 (202) 447–1880.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In addition to the investment securities regulations, 12 CFR Part 1 until recently consisted of a great number of individual rulings issued by the Comptroller. (12 CFR 1.105–1.480). While these individual rulings may have provided insight into the interpretation of, and the Comptroller's practice regarding, the applicable law and regulations, the continued codification of the great volume of such rulings did not appear feasible or warranted. Consequently, in anticipation of more concise and manageable guidelines in this respect, the Comptroller deleted the individual rulings from 12 CFR Part 1. *See,* 44 FR 76263 (December 26, 1979). *See also,* 44 FR 762 (January 3, 1979).

Currently, the Comptroller is engaged in a review of the nearly 300 existing rulings, and is considering, based on that review, the development of a general set of principles suitable for codification in 12 CFR Part 1. It seems that such a set of principles could provide the necessary guidance for the independent application of the relevant law and regulations by banks and bank counsel.

Although individual rulings would not be codified in the future, the Comptroller is considering the publication of significant rulings as notices in the **Federal Register.** Such publication could take place at appropriate intervals, *e.g.* four times a year. In addition, the individual rulings would continue to be available to the public in the same manner as are other significant letters issued by the Comptroller and his staff. It is expected that the rulings would thus be obtainable by private reporting services which are widely used by banks and bank counsel.

Although individual rulings will no longer be codified, the Comptroller will continue to issue rulings in letter form in response to specific requests by national banks. It is expected, however, that the additional clarification on the existing regulations will reduce the number of such requests.

Issues for Comment: The Comptroller invites comment on any element of the proposed action. Comments are specifically requested on the following areas of interest:

(1) The extent of need for additional guidance in the application of banking law and regulations regarding the purchase, dealing in, underwriting and holding of investment securities by national banks.

(2) If additional guidance is desired, the form in which it should be provided.

(3) Any particular facet of the law and regulations which warrants, and is susceptible to, clarification of general interest.

(4) An estimate to which extent this additional clarification will assist banks independently to evaluate the eligibility of upcoming securities issues.

All comments submitted will be available for public inspection at the Comptroller's Offices, 490 L'Enfant Plaza, Washington, D.C. After consideration of the available data and comments received in response to this notice, a notice of proposed rulemaking will be issued for additional comment.

Dated: January 21, 1980.

John G. Heimann,

Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc. 80–2554 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–33–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 80–CE–2–AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Gates Learjet 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 35, and 36 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rule making (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This Notice proposes to adopt an Airworthiness Directive (AD) that would require safetywiring of certain bolts in the cabin main entrance upper door on certain Gates Learjet 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 35 and 36 series airplanes. The proposed AD is needed to prevent possible failure of the door locking mechanism which could prevent crew/passengers from opening the door in the event of an emergency.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 2, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, ACE–7, Attn: Rules Docket Clerk, Docket No. 80–CE–2–AD, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

The applicable Airplane Modification Kit Number AMK 79–9 may be obtained from Gates Learjet Corporation, Mid-Continent Airport, P.O. Box 7707, Wichita, Kansas 67277, Telephone Number (316) 946–2000. A copy of the Modification Kit Instructions is contained in the Rules Docket, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri

64106 and at Room 916, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marvin Beene, Aerospace Engineer, Engineering and Manufacturing District Office #43, Room 220, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209, telephone (316) 942–4219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the AD Docket Number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All comments received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered by the Administrator before action is taken on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments received will be available both before and after the closing date for comments in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact, concerned with the substance of the proposed AD, will be filed in the Rules Docket.

A report has been received showing that the crew on a Lear Model 35 airplane could not get the cabin main entrance upper door open after landing. The occupants exited the airplane via the emergency exit on the right side of the airplane. The visual and electric door warning system showed that the door was properly closed and locked throughout the flight. Investigation after the incident revealed that a bolt in the door linkage had backed out of its self-locking nut plate after the door was closed thereby making it impossible to open the door. Unsafe conditions will result if this happens at a time when there is a fire on the right side of the airplane in the vicinity of the emergency exit or if the emergency exit is otherwise unusable because the crew and passengers will be trapped inside the airplane. In order to prevent these possible unsafe conditions from occurring Gates Learjet Corporation has developed and made available Airplane Modification Kit Number AMK79–9 which includes hardware and instructions for safetywiring certain critical pivot bolts in the cabin main entrance upper door. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design, the proposed AD would require safetywriting of certain critical bolts in the cabin main entrance upper door on certain Gates Learjet 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 35 and 36 series airplanes.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, the FAA proposes to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

LEARJET: Applies to the following models or series and serial number airplanes certificated in all categories:

Note.—A "series" includes all models in a number series, i.e., 25 series includes Models 25, 25A, 25B, 25C, etc.

Model or series:	Serial Nos.
23 (model)	23-003 through 23-099.
24 (series)	24-100 through 24-357.
25 (series)	25-003 through 25-291.
28 (model)	28-001 through 28-005.
29 (model)	29-001 and 29-002.
35 (series)	35-001 through 35-274 and 35-278.
36 (series)	36-001 through 36-044.

Compliance: Required as indicated unless already accomplished. To assure that the cabin main entrance upper door will open, in the event of an emergency, accomplish the following:

(A) Within the next 150 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive (AD), modify the cabin main entrance upper door by, (1) Drilling access holes, installing new mechanism pivot bolts drilled for safetywire and drilling safetywire holes in surrounding structure, as applicable, and (2) safetywiring the bolts, all in accordance with instructions in Gates Learjet Corporation Airplane Modification Kit Number AMK79–9.

(B) Issuance of a special flight permit in accordance with FAR 21.197 is permitted for the purpose of moving affected airplanes to a location where the modification required by this AD can be accomplished.

(C) Any equivalent means of compliance with this AD must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing District Office #43, Wichita, Kansas, telephone (316) 942–4219.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423); sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423); sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); § 11.85 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.85))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by Department of Transportation Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). A copy of the evaluation prepared for this document is contained in the docket. A copy of it may be obtained by writing to Federal Aviation Administration, Engeering and Manufacturing Branch (ACE–210), Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374–3146.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on January 16, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,
Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2546 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-RM-28]

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Areas

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) proposes to alter the control zone and 700′ and 1,200′ transition areas at Rawlins, Wyoming to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing the new VOR/DME runway 22 standard instrument approach procedure (SIAP) and departure procedure developed for the Rawlins Municipal Airport, Rawlins, Wyoming.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 3, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Attn: ARM–500, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Pruett B. Helm, Airspace and Procedures Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch (ARM–530), Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Rocky Mountain Region, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010; telephone (303) 837–3937.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80010. All communications received will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration

officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

Availability of NPRM:

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Information Center, APA–430, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 426–8058. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2 which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to subparts F and G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to alter the control zone and the 700′ and 1,200′ transition areas at Rawlins, Wyoming. This proposal is necessary as the present control zone and the 700′ and 1,200′ transition areas are inadequate in size to contain the new VOR/DME runway 22 standard instrument approach procedure (SIAP) and departure procedure developed for the Rawlins Municipal Airport, Rawlins, Wyoming. Two separate descriptions (Rawlins, WY and Cherokee, WY) are used to describe the 1,200′ transition area that is required for the existing NDB–A approach to Rawlins Municipal Airport, Rawlins, Wyoming. It is therefore proposed to cancel the Cherokee, Wyoming 1,200′ transition area and combine this area into the Rawlins, Wyoming 1,200′ transition area for single description simplicity. Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend subparts F and G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71) as follows:

By amending subpart F, § 71.171 as to alter the following control zone to read:

Rawlins, Wyo.

With a 5-mile radius of Rawlins Municipal Airport (latitude 41°48′15″ N., longitude 107°12′05″ W.) and within 5 miles north and 3.5 miles south of the 089° bearing from the Sinclair radiobeacon (latitude 41°48′24″ N., longitude 107°05′06″ W.) extending from the 5-mile radius area to 2.5 miles east of the radiobeacon.

By amending subpart G, § 71.181 so as to alter the following transition area to read:

Rawlings, Wyo.

That airspace extending upward from 700′ above the surface within the 9-mile radius of the Rawlins Municipal Airport (latitude 41°48′15″ N., longitude 107°12′05″ W.) and within 5 miles each side of the 089° bearing from the Sinclair radiobeacon (latitude 41°48′24″ N., longitude 107°05′06″ W.) extending from the radiobeacon to 11.5 miles east; that airspace extending upward from 1,200′ above the surface within 13 miles south and 8 miles north of the Cherokee VORTAC (latitude 41°45′21″ N., longitude 107°34′53″ W.) 261° radial extending on the north from the west edge of V–26 and on the south from the south edge of V–4 to a point 23 miles west of the Cherokee VORTAC; and that airspace east of the Cherokee VORTAC within an arc of the 46-mile radius circle centered on the Cherokee VORTAC bounded on the north by the north edge of V–26 and on the south by V–4.

By amending subpart G, § 71.181 so as to delete the following transition area:

Cherokee, Wyo.

That airspace extending upward from 1,200′ above the surface within 9 miles south and 6 miles north of the Cherokee VORTAC 261° radial extending from 8 miles east to 19 miles west of the VORTAC; and that airspace east of the Cherokee VORTAC within an arc of a 37-mile radius circle centered on the Cherokee VORTAC bounded on the north by the north edge of V–26 and on the south by the south edge of V–4, excluding that airspace within the Rawlins, Wyoming transition area.

Drafting Information

The principal authors of this document are Pruett B. Helm, Air Traffic Division, and Daniel J. Peterson, office of the Regional Counsel, Rocky Mountain Region.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation, and a comment period of less than 45 days is appropriate.

Issued in Aurora, Colorado on January 18, 1980.

Isaac H. Hoover,

Acting Regional Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 80–2550 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79–RM–18]

Alteration of Federal Airway; Helena, Mont.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to realign a segment of V–2 airway between Helena, Mont., and Livingston, Mont., to bypass Bozemam, Mont., and renumber the present segment of this airway as a south alternate. This action would reduce the distance between Helena and Livingston. Also traffic congestion at Bozeman would be reduced.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 22, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Director, FAA Rocky Mountain Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Docket No. 79–RM–18, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colo. 80010.

The official docket may be examined at the following location: FAA Office of the Chief Counsel, Rules Docket (AGC–24), Room 916, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

An informal docket may be examined at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Everett L. McKisson, Airspace Regulations Branch (AAT–230), Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division, Air Traffic Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426–3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Rocky Mountain Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Docket No. 79–RM–18, Federal Aviation Administration, 10455, East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colo. 80010. All

communications received on or before February 22, 1980, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Information Center, APA–430, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, or by calling (202) 426–8058. Communications must identify the docket number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2 which describes the application procedures.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to realign a segment of V–2 airway from Helena to Livingston via the INT of Helena 119°T(100°M) and Livingston 322°T(304°M) radials. The present alignment of V–2 would then become V–2S for the segment from Helena to Livingston via Bozeman. This action would contribute to the reduction in fuel consumption by reducing the congestion (rerouting, vectoring and holding) in the Bozeman area and by reducing the airway distance between Helena and Livingston.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend § 71.123 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (45 FR 307) as follows:

Under V–2

"Helena, Mont.; INT Helena 119° and Bozeman, Mont., 338° radials; Bozeman; INT Bozeman 128° and Livingston, Mont., 261° radials; Livingston;" is deleted and "Helena, Mont.; INT Helena 119° and Livingston, Mont., 322° radials; Livingston, including a south alternate from Helena via the INT Helena 119° and Bozeman, Mont., 338° radials; Bozeman; INT Bozeman 128° and Livingston, 261° radials;" is substituted therefor.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.65)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation and a comment period of less than 45 days is appropriate.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 22, 1980.

B. Keith Potts,

Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 80–2551 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79–WE–15]

Proposed Designation of Transition Area, Hawthorne, Nev.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposal rule making.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to designate a transition area at Hawthorne, Nevada, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing an instrument approach procedure to the Hawthorne Municipal Airport utilizing the Mina, Nevada VORTAC. The need for the transition area was created when an IFR approach procedure was established for Hawthorne, Nevada Municipal Airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 29, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to Director, Federal Aviation Administration, Attn: Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, AWE–530, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261. A public docket will be available for examination in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261, Telephone (213) 536–6270.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas W. Binczak, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261. Telephone: (213) 536–6182.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Airspace Docket Number and be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261. All communications received on or before February 29, 1980, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments received will be available both before and after the closing date for comments in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, AWE–530, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261, or by calling (213) 536–6180. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2 which describes the application procedures.

Drafting Information

The principal authors of this document are Thomas W. Binczak, Air Traffic Division and DeWitte T. Lawson, Jr., Esquire, Regional Counsel, Western Region.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to designate the Hawthorne, Nevada 700-foot transition area. This action will provide controlled airspace protection for IFR operations at the Hawthorne Municipal Airport.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Subpart G, § 71.181 (45 FR 445) of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) by adding the following:

Hawthorne, Nev.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Hawthorne Municipal Airport (latitude 38°32'40" N., longitude 118°38'00" W.) and within 2 mile each side of the Mina, Nevada VORTAC 267 radial extending from the 5-mile radius area to the VORTAC.

(Secs. 307(a) and 313(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1354(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.65)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation and a comment period of less than 45 days is appropriate.

Issued in Los Angeles, California on January 18, 1980.

W. R. Frehse,

Acting Director, Western Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2549 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-CE-38]

Proposed Alteration of Transition Area, Storm Lake, Iowa

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This Notice proposes to alter the 700-foot transition area at Storm Lake, Iowa, to provide additional airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure to Runway 35 at the Storm Lake, Iowa Municipal Airport which is based on the Storm Lake Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB) a navigational aid. It is also proposed to cancel the existing instrument approach procedure to Runway 31.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 1, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: Federal Aviation Administration, Chief, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-530, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

The official docket may be examined at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Central Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri.

An informal docket may be examined at the Office of the Chief, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dwaine E. Hiland, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures, and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-537, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number, and be submitted in duplicate to the Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. All communications received on or before March 1, 1980 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this Notice may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments received will be available both before and after the closing date for comments in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106 or by calling (816) 374-3408. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for further NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2 which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) by altering the 700-foot transition area at Storm Lake, Iowa. To enhance airport usage, a new instrument approach procedure to Runway 35 is being developed for the Storm Lake, Iowa Municipal Airport utilizing the Storm Lake NDB. Additionally, the existing instrument approach procedure to Runway 31 will be cancelled. The establishment of an instrument approach procedure based on this NDB entails alteration of the transition area at Storm Lake, Iowa, at and above 700 feet above ground level (AGL) within which aircraft are provided additional air traffic control service. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the new approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 2, 1980 (45 FR 445) by altering the following transition area:

Storm Lake, Iowa

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5 mile radius of the Storm Lake, Iowa Municipal Airport (latitude 42°36'00"N, longitude 95°14'31"W) and within 3 miles each side of the 174° true bearing from Storm Lake NDB extending from the 6.5 mile radius area to 8.5 miles south of the airport.

Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); Sec. 11.65 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.65).

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 15, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,

Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2351 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-ASW-60]

Proposed Alteration of Transition Area: Lafayette, La.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

SUMMARY: The nature of the action being taken is to propose alteration of the transition area at Lafayette, La. The intended effect of the proposed action is to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the Lafayette Regional, Acadiana Regional, and the Abbeville Municipal Airports. The circumstance which created a need for the action is that a review of the current transition area revealed the controlled airspace is not properly described and inadequate for the protection of aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the

three airports. In addition, higher performance aircraft are utilizing the airports which requires additional controlled airspace.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 27, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101.

The official docket may be examined at the following location: Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas.

An informal docket may be examined at the Office of the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth L. Stephenson, Airspace and Procedures Branch, ASW-535, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101; telephone: (817) 624-4911, extension 302.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Subpart G § 71.181 (44 FR 442) of FAR Part 71 contains the description of transition areas designated to provide controlled airspace for the benefit of aircraft conducting Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) activity. Alteration of the transition area at Lafayette, La., will necessitate an amendment to this subpart.

Comments Invited

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before February 27, 1980 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101, or by calling (817) 624-4911, extension 302. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should contact the office listed above.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to alter the transition area at Lafayette, La. The FAA believes this action will enhance IFR operations at the Lafayette Regional, Acadiana Regional, and the Abbeville Municipal Airports by providing controlled airspace for aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the three airports. Subpart G of Part 71 was republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1979 (44 FR 442).

The Proposed Amendment

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the FAA proposes to amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (44 FR 442) by altering the Lafayette, La., transition area by deleting the present description and substituting the following:

Lafayette, La.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8.5-mile radius of the Lafayette Regional Airport (latitude 30°12'14"N., longitude 91°59'16"W.); within a 6.5-mile radius of the Abbeville Municipal Airport (latitude 29°58'30"N., longitude 92°05'00"W.) and within 2 miles each side of the 206° radial of the Lafayette VORTAC extending from the 6.5-mile radius to 8.5 miles northeast; within a 6.5-mile radius of the Acadiana Regional Airport (latitude 30°02'15"N., longitude 91°53'02"W.).

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a); and Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation and a comment period of less than 45 days is appropriate.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on January 17, 1980..

F. E. Whitfield,

Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2367 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-ASW-68]

Proposed Alteration of Transition Area: Buffalo, Oklahoma

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

SUMMARY: The nature of the action being taken is to propose alteration of a transition area at Buffalo, Ok. The intend effect of the proposed action is to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the Buffalo Municipal Airport. The circumstance which created a need for the action is that a review of the current transition area revealed the controlled airspace is not properly described and inadequate for the protection of aircraft executing instrument approach procedures. In addition, higher performance aircraft are utilizing the airport which requires additional controlled airspace.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 27, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101.

The official docket may be examined at the following location: Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas.

An informal docket may be examined at the Office of the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Manuel R. Hugonnett, Airspace and Procedures Branch, ASW-536, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101; telephone: (817) 624-4911, extension 302.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Subpart G § 71.181 (44 FR 442) of FAR Part 71 contains the description of transition areas designated to provide controlled airspace for the benefit of aircraft conducting Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) activity. Alteration of the transition area

at Buffalo, Ok., will necessitate an amendment to this subpart.

Comments Invited

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before February 27, 1980 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101, or by calling (817) 624–4911, extension 302. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should contact the office listed above.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to alter the transition area at Buffalo, Ok. The FAA believes this action will enhance IFR operations at the Buffalo Municipal Airport by providing controlled airspace for aircraft executing instrument approach procedures to the airport. Subpart G of Part 71 was republished in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 1979 (44 FR 442).

The Proposed Amendment

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the FAA proposes to amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (44 FR 442) by altering the Buffalo, Ok., transition area by deleting the present description and substituting the following:

Buffalo, Ok.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of the Buffalo Municipal Airport, Buffalo, Ok., (latitude 36°51'45"N., longitude 99°37'00"W.) within 3 miles each side of the 109° bearing from the Buffalo NDB (latitude 36°51'48"N., longitude 99°37'05"W.) extending from the 6.5-mile radius to 8.5 miles east of the NDB.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); and Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Note.—The FAA has determined that his document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation and a comment period of less than 45 days is appropriate.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on January 16, 1980.

C. R. Melugin, Jr.,

Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2360 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-SO-92]

Proposed Designation of Transition Area, Elberton, Georgia

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule will designate the Elberton, Georgia, Transition Area, and will lower the base of controlled airspace in the vicinity of the Elbert County-Patz Field Airport from 1200 to 700 feet AGL. A public use standard instrument approach procedure has been developed to the airport and additonal controlled airspace is required to protect aircraft Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 3, 1980.

ADDRESS: Send comments on the proposal to Federal Aviation Administration, Chief, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harlen D. Phillips, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone: 404–763–7646.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southern Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320. All communications received on or before March 3, 1980, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the public regulatory docket.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention; Public Information Center, APA–430, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591, or by calling (202) 426–8058. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2 which describes the application procedures.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71) to designate the Elberton, Georgia, 700 foot Transition Area. This action will provide controlled airspace protection for IFR operations at the Elberty County-Patz Field Airport. A standard instrument approach procedure, VOR/DME–A, to the airport, utilizing the Electric City VORTAC, is proposed in conjunction with the designation of the Transition Area. If the proposed designation is acceptable, the airport operating status will be changed from VFR to IFR.

The Proposed Amendment

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Subpart G, § 71.181 (44 FR 442), of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71) by adding the following:

Elberton, Georgia

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of Elbert County-Patz Field Airport (lat. 34°05'42"N., long. 82°49'05"W.)

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in East Point, Georgia, on January 14, 1980.

Louis J. Cardinali,

Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2368 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 79-CE-32]

Proposed Designation of Transition Area, West Plains, Mo.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This Notice proposes to designate a 700-foot transition area at West Plains, Missouri, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure to the West Plains, Missouri Airport, which is based on a Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDRB) being installed on the airport by the City of West Plains.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 1, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal to: Federal Aviation Administration, Chief, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-530, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

The official docket may be examined at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Central Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri.

An informal docket may be examined at the Office of the Chief, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For Further Information Contact: Dwaine E. Hiland, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures, and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-537, FAA, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, Telephone (816) 374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number, and be submitted in duplicate to the Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. All communications received on or before March 1, 1980 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this Notice may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments received will be available both before and after the closing date for comments in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106 or by calling (816) 374-3408. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for further NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2 which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G, Section 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181), by designating a 700-foot transition area at West Plains, Missouri. To enhance airport usage by providing instrument approach capability to the West Plains Airport, the City of West Plains, Missouri, is installing an NDRB on the airport. This radio facility will provide new navigational guidance for aircraft utilizing the airport. The establishment of a new instrument approach procedure based on this navigational aid entails designation of a transition area at West Plains, Missouri, at and above 700 feet above ground level (AGL) within which aircraft are provided air traffic control service. The intended effect of this action is to ensure segregation of aircraft using the approach procedure under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and other aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR).

§ 71.181 [Amended]

Accordingly, Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 2, 1979 (44 FR 442), by adding the following new transition area:

West Plains, Mo.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 8 mile radius of the West Plains, Missouri Airport, latitude 36°44'43"N, longitude 91°51'50"W; and within 3 miles each side of the 307° bearing from the West Plains, Missouri, NDRB, latitude 36°44'57"N, longitude 91°51'49"W, extending from the 8 mile radius area to 8.5 miles northwest of the NDRB.

Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); Sec. 11.65 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.65).

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document involves a proposed regulation which is not significant under Executive Order 12044, as implemented by DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). Since this regulatory action involves an established body of technical requirements for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current and promote safe flight operations, the anticipated impact is so minimal that this action does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 18, 1980.

Paul J. Baker,

Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 80-2350 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 271

[Docket No. RM79-76]

Ceiling Prices; Extension of Time for Meetings on Staff Draft Order in Docket No. RM79-76, Natural Gas Produced From Tight Formations

January 21, 1980.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Extension of time for meeting with the public on Staff Draft Order RM 79-76.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is extending until January 22, 1980, the time period during which members of the public may meet with designated officers of the Commission staff to discuss the staff Draft Final Order in Docket No. RM79-76, implementing section 107(c)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, concerning high cost gas produced from tight formations.

DATE: The time period for meeting with the public is extended to January 22, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie Lawner, Office of General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 4005, 825 N. Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 357-9121.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 29, 1979, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on High Cost Natural Gas Produced from Tight Formations, Docket No. RM79-76 [44 FR 52253, September 7, 1979]. Pursuant to this proposed rulemaking, the Commission is preparing a final rule to implement section 107(c)(5), concerning high cost gas produced from tight formations. The Commission is currently making available to the public copies of the draft final order prepared by the Commission staff, and designated officers of the Commission are meeting with interested members of the public to discuss the draft order.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2743 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Secretary

24 CFR Part 200

[Docket No. R-80-766]

Provisions and Characteristics of Debentures; Transmittal of Proposed Rule to Congress

AGENCY: Department of Housing and Urban Development.

ACTION: Notice of transmittal of proposed rule to Congress under Section 7(o) of the Department of HUD Act.

SUMMARY: Recently enacted legislation authorizes Congress to review certain HUD rules for fifteen (15) calendar days of continuous session of Congress prior to each such rule's publication in the **Federal Register.** This Notice lists and summarizes for public information a proposed rule which the Secretary is submitting to Congress for such review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Burton Bloomberg, Director, Office of Regulations, Office of General Counsel, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410 (202) 755-6207.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Concurrently with issuance of this Notice, the Secretary is forwarding to the Chairmen and Ranking Minority Members of both the Senate Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs Committee and the House Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs Committee the following rulemaking document:

24 CFR 200.157 Provisions and Characteristics of Debentures

This proposed rule would amend 24 CFR Part 200 by establishing the "Book entry" method of issuing debentures by Treasury, Acting as the agent of HUD. These debentures are issued when HUD pays mortgagees who file claims for HUD insurance benefits on defaulted mortgages. Under this method, Treasury gives the mortgagees a statement of account evidencing ownership of the debentures, which are registered in Treasury's books.

(Sec. 7(o), Department of HUD Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(o), sec. 324, Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1978)

Issued at Washington, D.C. January 21, 1980.

Moon Landrieu,

Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

[FR Doc. 80-2641 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[LR-107-78]

Income Tax; Application of Conventions Under Class Life Asset Depreciation Range System Public Hearing on Proposed Regulations

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Public hearing on proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice of a public hearing on proposed regulations relating to the application of conventions under the Class Life Asset Depreciation Range System (CLADR System).

DATES: The public hearing will be held on March 27, 1980, beginning at 10:00 a.m. Outlines of oral comments must be delivered or mailed by March 13, 1980.

ADDRESS: The public hearing will be held in the I.R.S. Auditorium, Seventh Floor, 7400 Corridor, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. The outlines should be submitted to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attn: CC:LR:T (LR-107-78), Washington, D.C. 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Bradley or Charles Hayden of the Legislation and Regulations Division, Office of Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20224, 202-566-3935, not a toll-free call.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under section 167 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The proposed regulations appeared in the **Federal Register** for Thursday November 15, 1979, at page 65777 (44 FR 65777).

The rules of § 601.601(a)(3) of the "Statement of Procedural Rules" (26 CFR Part 601) shall apply with respect to the public hearing. Persons who have submitted written comments within the time prescribed in the notice of proposed rulemaking and also desire to present oral comments at the hearing on the proposed regulations should submit an outline of the comments to be presented at the hearing and the time they wish to devote to each subject by March 13, 1980. Each speaker will be limited to 10 minutes for an oral presentation exclusive of time consumed by questions from the panel for the Goverment and answers to these questions.

Because of controlled access restrictions, attendees cannot be admitted beyond the lobby of the Internal Revenue Building until 9:45 a.m.

An agenda showing the scheduling of the speakers will be made after outlines are received from the speakers. Copies of the agenda will be available free of charge at the hearing.

This document does not meet the criteria for significant regulations set forth in paragraph 8 of the Treasury Directive appearing in the **Federal Register** for Wednesday, November 8, 1978.

By direction of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Robert A Bley,

Director, Legislation and Regulations Division.

[FR Doc. 80-2854 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-M

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

38 CFR Part 3

Veterans' Benefits; Increased Compensation Rates

AGENCY: Veterans Administration.

ACTION: Proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: The Veterans Administration is proposing to amend its regulations to implement the Veteran's Disability Compensation and Survivors' Benefits Amendments of 1979 enacted November 28, 1979. This law increases the rates of disability compensation and dependency and indemnity compensation payable to surviving spouses and children by 9.9 percent.

DATES: Comments must be received before February 27, 1980. It is proposed to make these changes effective October 1, 1979, the effective date specified by the Veteran's Disability Compensation and Survivors' Benefits Amendments of 1979 designated as Pub. L. 96-128.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Administrator of Veterans Affairs (271A), Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20420

Comments will be available for inspection at the address shown above during normal business hours until March 10, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFOMATION CONTACT: T. H. Spindle Jr. (202-389-3005).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In addition, Pub. L. 96-128 provides that a veteran in receipt of disability compensation at the intermediate rate between 38 U.S.C. 314(n) and (o) plus compensation under 38 U.S.C. 314(k) who is in need of aid and attendance, is entitled to the additional compensation authorized by 38 U.S.C. 314(r)((1) or (2). The law also provides that the intermediate rate shall be fixed at the arithmetic mean between the two rates concerned.

Under the procedures for implementing Executive Order 12044, "Improving Government Regulations" (44 FR 7026, February 5, 1979) we have determined that these proposed regulation changes are nonsignificant. They impose no compliance costs or reporting burdens and they have no effect on the environment, public business or institutions.

Additional Comment Information

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposal to the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs (271A), Veterans' Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420. All written comments received will be available for public inspection at the above address only between the hours of 8 am and 4:30 pm Monday through Friday (except holidays) until March 10, 1980. Any person visiting Central Office for the purpose of inspecting any such comments will be received by the Central Office Veterans Services Unit in room 132. Such visitors to any VA field station will be informed that the records are available for inspection only in Central Office and furnished the address and the above room number.

Approved: January 18, 1980.

By direction of the Administrator.

Rufus H. Wilson,

Deputy Administrator.

1. In § 3.350, paragraphs (a) (introductory portion preceding subparagraph (1)), (f)(1)(i) and (iii), (2)(i) and (iii) and (5), and (h) are revised so that the added and revised material reads as follows:

§ 3.350 Special monthly compensation ratings.

The rates of special monthly compensation stated in this section are those provided under 38 U.S.C. 314.

(a) *Ratings under 38 U.S.C. 314(k).* Special monthly compensation under 38 U.S.C. 314(k) is payable for each anatomical loss or loss of use of one hand, one foot, both buttocks, one or more creative organs, blindness of one eye having only light perception, deafness of both ears, having absence of air and bone conduction, or complete organic aphonia with constant inability to communicate by speech. This special compensation is payable in addition to the basic rate of compensation otherwise payable on the basis of degree of disability, provided that the combined rate of compensation does not exceed $1,104 monthly when authorized in conjunction with any of the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 314 (a) through (j) or (s). When there is entitlement under 38 U.S.C. 314 (l) through (n) or an intermediate rate under (p) such additional allowance is payable for each such anatomical loss or loss of use existing in addition to the requirements for the basic rates, provided the total does not exceed $1,547 per month. The limitations on the maximum compensation payable under this paragraph are independent of and do not preclude payment of additional compensation for dependents under 38 U.S.C. 315, or the special allowance for aid and attendance provided by 38 U.S.C. 314(r).

* * * * *

(f) *Intermediate or next higher rate.* An intermediate rate authorized by this paragraph shall be established at the arithmetic mean, rounded to the nearest dollar, between the two rates concerned. (38 U.S.C. 314(p))

(1) *Extremities.* (i) Anatomical loss or loss of use of one extremity with the anatomical loss or loss of use of another extremity at a level or with complications preventing natural elbow or knee action with prosthesis in place will entitle to the rate intermediate between 38 U.S.C. 314 (l) and (m).

* * * * *

(iii) Anatomical loss or loss of use of extremity at a level preventing natural elbow or knee action with prosthesis in place with anatomical loss of another extremity so near the shoulder or hip as to prevent the use of a prosthetic appliance will entitle to the rate intermediate between 38 U.S.C. 314 (m) and (n).

(2) *Eyes, bilateral, and blindness in connection with deafness.*

(i) Blindness of one eye with 5/200 visual acuity or less and blindness of the other eye having only light perception will entitle to the rate intermediate between 38 U.S.C. 314 (l) and (m).

* * * * *

(iii) Blindness of one eye having only light perception and anatomical loss, or blindness having no light perception accompanied by phthisis bulbi, evisceration or other obvious deformity or disfigurement of the eye, will entitle to a rate intermediate between 38 U.S.C. 314 (m) and (n).

* * * * *

(5) *Three extremities.* Anatomical loss or loss of use, or a combination of anatomical loss and loss of use, of three extremities shall entitle a veteran to the

next higher rate without regard to whether that rate is a statutory rate or an intermediate rate. The maximum monthly payment under this provision may not exceed the amount stated in 38 U.S.C. 314(p).

* * * * *

(h) *Special aid and attendance benefit; 38 U.S.C. 314(r)—(1) Maximum compensation cases.* A veteran receiving the maximum rate under 38 U.S.C. 314 (o) or (p) who is in need of regular aid and attendance or a higher level of care is entitled to an additional allowance during periods he or she is not hospitalized at United States Government expense. (See § 3.552(b)(2) as to continuance following admission for hospitalization.) Determination of this need is subject to the criteria of § 3.352. The regular or higher level aid and attendance allowance is payable whether or not the need for regular aid and attendance or a higher level of care was a partial basis for entitlement to the maximum rate under 38 U.S.C. 314 (o) or (p), or was based on an independent factual determination.

(2) *Entitlement to compensation at the intermediate rate between 38 U.S.C. 314 (n) and (o) plus special monthly compensation under 38 U.S.C. 314(k).* A veteran receiving compensation at the intermediate rate between 38 U.S.C. 314 (n) and (o) plus special monthly compensation under 38 U.S.C. 314(k) who establishes a factual need for regular aid and attendance or a higher level of care, is also entitled to an additional allowance during periods he or she is not hospitalized at United States Government expense. (See § 3.552(b)(2) as to continuance following admission for hospitalization.) Determination of the factual need for aid and attendance is subject to the criteria of § 3.352.

(3) *Amount of the allowance.* The amount of the additional allowance payable to a veteran in need of regular aid and attendance is specified in 38 U.S.C. 314(r)(1). The amount of the additional allowance payable to a veteran in need of a higher level of care is specified in 38 U.S.C. 314(r)(2). The higher level aid and attendance allowance authorized by 38 U.S.C. 314(r)(2) is payable in lieu of the regular aid and attendance allowance authorized by 38 U.S.C. 314(r)(1).

2. In § 3.552, paragraph (g) is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.552 Adjustment of allowance for aid and attendance.

* * * * *

(g) Where a veteran entitled to one of the rates under 38 U.S.C. 314 (l), (m), or (n) by reason of anatomical losses or losses of use of extremities, blindness (visual acuity 5/200 or less or light perception only), or anatomical loss of both eyes is being paid compensation of $1,547 because of entitlement to another rate under section 314(l) on account of need for aid and attendance the compensation will be reduced while hospitalized to the following:

(1) If entitlement is under section 314(l) and in addition there is need for regular aid and attendance for another disability, the award during hospitalization will be $1,217 since the disability requiring aid and attendance is 100 percent disabling. (38 U.S.C. 314(p))

(2) If entitlement is under section 314(m), $1,383.

(3) If entitlement is under section 314(n), $1,547 would be continued, since the disability previously causing the need for regular aid and attendance would then be totally disabling entitling the veteran to the maximum rate under 38 U.S.C. 314(p).

* * * * *

(38 U.S.C. 210(c))

[FR Doc. 80-2718 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[FRL 1400-7]

Maryland; Proposed Variance of the State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The State of Maryland has submitted a proposed temporary variance from the Maryland State Implementation Plan in the form of a Secretarial Order for Unit #2 of the C.P. Crane Generating Station of the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company of Baltimore County, Maryland. The variance would include those regulations prohibiting visible emissions, particulate matter regulations for solid-fuel burning equipment, and regulations on usage of fuel with sulfur content in excess of one percent by weight.

The variance applies to Unit #2 only and requires that sulfur oxide emissions from the unit shall not exceed 3.5 pounds per million BTU actual heat input (equivalent to approximately 2.1 percent sulfur coal).

DATE: Comments must be submitted on or before February 27, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the proposed SIP variance and the accompanying support documents are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following offices:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Curtis Building, 6th & Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19106. ATTN: Ben Mykijewycz.

Bureau of Air Quality and Noise Control, State of Maryland, 201 W. Preston St., Baltimore, MD 21201. ATTN: George Ferreri.

Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2922—EPA Library, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW. (Waterside Mall), Washington, D.C. 20460.

All comments on the proposed revision submitted on or before February 27, 1980 will be considered and should be directed to:

Mr. Howard Heim, Chief Air Programs Branch (3AH10), Air, Toxics, & Hazardous Materials Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III, 6th & Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106. ATTN: AH021MD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ben Mykijewycz (3AH11), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 6th & Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19106, telephone number (215) 597-8181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 24, 1979, the Administrator of Air Quality Programs for the State of Maryland submitted to EPA, Region III a proposed temporary variance from the Maryland State Implementation Plan. The proposed variance consisted of a Secretarial Order for the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company—Unit #2 of the C.P. Crane Generating Station, Baltimore County, Maryland. In his letter, the Administrator of Maryland Air Quality Programs certified that the order was adopted in accordance with the public hearing and notice requirements of 40 CFR 51.4 and all relevant State procedural requirements, and asked that EPA consider the Secretarial Order as a temporary revision of the State Implementation Plan. This order was initiated in anticipation of a Department of Energy "notice of effectiveness" to convert the Crane Station to burn coal. The order consists of variances, for a period of 120 calendar days, from the regulations which prohibit visible emissions (COMAR 10.18.04.02A), limit particulate matter from solid fuel burning equipment (COMAR 10.18.04.03B(3)), and which prohibit usage of fuel with sulfur content in excess of one percent by weight (COMAR 10.18.04.04B(1)).

The variance applies to Unit #2 only and requires that sulfur oxide emissions from the unit shall not exceed 3.5 pounds per million BTU actual heat

input (equivalent to approximately 2.1 percent sulfur coal). Particulate matter emissions have been shown in supporting documentation from the State not to exceed 0.6 pounds per mission BTU, which is the limit used in the air quality demonstration. The modeling study demonstrated that air quality standards for both sulfur dioxide and particulate matter will not be violated nor will there be a significant impact on a nonattainment area, as a result of granting the temporary variance. Furthermore, the applicable PSD increments will not be exceeded by the increased emissions due to the variance.

Therefore, it is the tentative decision of the Administrator to approve the proposed temporary revision of the Maryland State Implementation Plan.

The public is invited to submit to the address stated above, comments on whether the Baltimore Gas and Electric Secretrial Order should be approved as a revision of the Maryland State Implementation Plan.

The Administrator's decision to approve or disapprove the proposed revision will be based on the comments received and on a determination whether it meets the requirements of section 110(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of State Implementation Plans.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." I have reviewed this regulation and determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

(42 U.S.C. 7401–642)

Dated: January 18, 1980.

Jack J. Schramm,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80–2765 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–01–M

---

40 CFR Part 81

[FR1 1398–5]

Attainment Status Designation; Michigan

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice of proposed rulemaking proposes to change the attainment status for a portion of Delta County in Michigan from non-attainment to attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for total suspended particulates (TSP). The change in attainment status designation was requested by the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency proposes to approve the redesignation.

DATE: Comments on this proposed Michigan designation request are due on or before February 27, 1980.

ADDRESS: Written comments should be sent to: Gary Gulezian, Acting Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Gulezian, Acting Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Region V, (312) 886–6053, at the address given above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Clean Air Act amendments of 1977 added section 107(d) which directed each State to submit to the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) a list of the NAAQS attainment status for all areas within the State. The Administrator was required to promulgate State lists with any necessary modifications. The Administrator published these lists in the **Federal Register** on March 3, 1978 (43 FR 8962) and October 5, 1978 (43 FR 45993).

Section 107(d)(5) of the Clean Air Act provides that a State may from time to time review, and, as appropriate, revise and resubmit lists required under that section (42 U.S.C. 7407(d)(5)). On November 23, 1979, pursuant to section 107(d)(5), Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission requested a redesignation of the particulate matter attainment status for a portion of Delta County. This area had previously been designated as nonattainment for the secondary TSP standards and includes Sections 6–8, 17–20, 29 and 30 of T39N–R22W and Sections 1, 12, and 13 of T39N–R23W. In its November 23, 1979 request, Michigan seeks to redesignate a certain portion of that area as attainment for the secondary TSP standards. The area designated as attainment includes Sections 6–8, the northern half of Sections 17 and 18, Sections 20 and 29 of T39N–R22W and Sections 1, 12, and 13 of T39N–R23W, Delta County, Michigan. If this proposed redesignation is approved the following areas would remain designated as nonattainment for the total suspended particulate secondary standard: the southern half of Sections 17 and 18, Sections 19 and 30 of T39N–R22W of Delta County, Michigan. The proposed redesignation is based on a showing by Mead Corporation that some of the original designations were based on monitored 24 hour excursions of the secondary standard from monitors which were either on company property owned prior to 1970 or were improperly sited near road construction sites.

A public hearing was held on the proposed redesignation on October 16, 1979 in conformity with the notice of hearing requirements set forth in 40 CFR 51.4. It was proposed at the hearing that Sections 6–8, 20 and 29, T39N–R22W, and Sections 1, 12, and 13, T39N–R23W be redesignated as attainment and that Section 19 east of Stephenson Avenue, T39N–R22W be designated as primary nonattainment for TSP.

Two comments were received in response to this proposal. One commenter pointed out that the monitoring sampler on the north side of Section 18, T39N–R22W had never recorded any excursions of the secondary standards. As a result of this comment, Michigan excluded the northern half of Sections 17 and 18 from the area designated as nonattainment. The other commentor challenged the validity of the two samplers in Section 19 which recorded excursions of the primary standard for TSP in 1979. The commentor noted that the one sampler was located on company-owned property which was not accessible to the public and that the other sampler was located in an area where considerable road construction had taken place during the period when the excursions were recorded. The staff of the Michigan Department of Natural Resources confirmed this information and as a result Michigan did not redesignate the area as proposed to nonattainment for the primary TSP standard, but instead let stand the already existing designation of nonattainment for the secondary standards.

Pursuant to section 107 of the Clean Air Act, the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency must approve the redesignation submitted by Michigan before it may become effective (42 U.S.C. 7407). Today's action proposes approval of that redesignation.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed redesignation. Written comments received by the date specified above will be considered in determining whether USEPA will approve the redesignation. After the public comment period, the Administrator of USEPA will publish in the **Federal Register** the Agency's final action on the redesignation (42 U.S.C. 7407).

Dated: January 4, 1980.

John McGuire,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 80-2652 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[BC Docket No. 80-10; FCC 80-22]

Operation of Visual and Aural Transmitters of TV Stations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: To better serve the public, the Commission proposes to amend the Rule which provides that the aural and visual transmitters of a TV station shall not be operated separately or to present different and unrelated program material, to provide that, during early morning hours when the station normally would otherwise be off the air, visual informational programming (news, weather, financial and sports) may be presented with either audio background music or no audio.

DATE: Comments must be filed on or before March 31, 1980, and reply comments on or before April 30, 1980.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Crane, John Reiser, Philip Cross, Broadcast Bureau, (202) 632-9660.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the matter of operation of visual and aural transmitters of TV stations.

Adopted: January 16, 1980.

Released: January 23, 1980.

By the Commission:

1. The Commission herein continues to focus its attention on the reregulation of its broadcast requirements and the oversight of the AM, FM and TV rules. In this proceeding, the Commission, on its own motion, seeks comments on the matter of amending its rule pertaining to the operation of TV aural and visual transmitters (Section 73.653).

2. This rule requires licensees to operate their aural and visual transmitters simultaneously, and forbids the presentation of "different or unrelated program material." Several minor exceptions have existed through the years and have been stated in the rule:

—emergency fills due to either aural or visual equipment failures leaving the licensee with only audio or video programming to make the appropriate informative announcement;

—for equipment tests or experiments;

—during transmission of a test pattern.

3. Since January of 1979, the Commission has received six requests from commercial TV station licensees for waivers of this rule. The waiver requests seek FCC authority to broadcast, on the visual transmitter only, informational programming such as national, international and local news, financial news, sports news, weather reports and time. One waiver request proposed to present the station's color radar "to track storm conditions" in its local coverage area. The aural transmissions are, for the most part, background music; although integrated,[1] audio announcements are presented at times with the video. The visual news is presented using a variety of TV production techniques:

—multiple lines of static text (as on a printed page) which are "wiped," after suitable reading time has elapsed, and supplanted, sequentially, by continuing full screens of static text;

—"crawls" with the text moving right to left across the screen giving weather reports and stock market quotations;[2] and,

—a clock face showing local time.

Sources of national and international news are reported to be available in the "visual text" form from several well known news services. Local news is prepared by the station news staff, in the full screen text form, apparently before terminating the staff's work day after the station's regularly scheduled late newscasts. (Only one waiver seeker proposed local news, a presentation demanding station news staff preparation.)

4. The waiver requests all stated that this type of service would be transmitted in off-hours when the stations are not normally on the air.[3]

5. Two stations stated that the presentations would be noncommercial; four stations intend to transmit commercials, "but in no instance will [it] broadcast more than thirty (30) seconds of commercial matter with its normal station identification announcement made every half hour during this program."

6. All requests for waivers indicated that the proposals would serve the public interest by presenting informational programs (news and weather reports, etc.) heretofore unavailable to the TV audience in their communities at such non-service, off-the-air, "dark" times, roughly 1 a.m.–6 a.m., but always between the hours of each station's sign-off to its sign-on times.

7. The Commission has granted each waiver request, finding that the public interest would be served by the proposal to provide informational programs (even though in visual-only form), in a previously unserved time period. Ever mindful of its mandate to "study new ideas for radio, provide for experimental uses of frequencies, and generally encourage the larger and more effective use of radio in the public interest,"[4] the Commission senses the opportunity here to modify a rule to better serve the public; to permit a previously unavailable service in the "graveyard" hours; and to allow visual informational programming at a time when such program fare is thinnest, or non-existent.

8. We believe our interest in considering a rule modification of § 73.653 to incorporate the Commission's approval of this type of programming is warranted and that revising the rule, "Operation of TV aural and visual transmitters" to this extent is indeed consonant with Commission policy regarding the utilization of television broadcasting facilities. It is apparent that the licensees who have petitioned us to allow this type of programming have sought and created an inexpensive, but pertinent way to present an informational, visual, news service to their communities in the past-midnight, pre-dawn hours; inexpensive, due to the modest number of operating personnel required to present it; and pertinent, due to the very content of the visual presentations which include news, weather, time, financial and sports reports.

9. We recognize that full newsroom staffing for "normal" TV news presentations (*i.e.*, "anchor persons," sports and weather "editors," cameramen and all the large staff requirements demanded by "normal" TV news programs) in this lightly-viewed early morning period may, in

[1] "Integrated," to mean simultaneous aural and visual transmissions of programming which are completely related.

[2] The stock market reports may combine, or present separately, static text and/or a continuing quotation "crawl," the "crawl" using the stock symbols of companies listed on the stock exchange, with price quotations such as found on "the tape" in most stock brokerage offices.

[3] These requests for waivers were by commercial TV licensees. We have also granted waivers to educational TV licensees who program a slide (giving name of next "class") with background music. This, we are told, gives the schools time to rearrange or relocate their TV receivers for the next instructional TV (ITV) presentation.

[4] Section 303(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

some cases, be prohibitively expensive. We are mindful of the benefits from the informational potential at minimal operational-personnel expense. It is not our intent to change the news presentation techniques of the broadcast industry via this proposed rule modification and, so, will restrict it to the station's normal "dark" or "off-air" hours, starting with "sign-off" and continuing only until the next "sign-on." All pertinent FCC regulations will pertain during these hours (*e.g.*, station identification); and, for commercial stations, advertising is allowable as during the station's "normal" hours of operation. Consonant with Commission policy pertaining to informational programming and hours of scheduling (§ 0.281), it is proposed that these presentations would not count toward the totals for informational programming on any station's reports or applications requiring such tallies.

10. In our review of this subject, at least two national/international newsgathering organizations are reported to be selling this type of service. One of them, Reuters, states in its promotional material, that it has created the visual news service for CATV presentation; TV licensees seem to have "induced" Reuters to make it available to them as well. The other "service" of which we are aware is United Press International, and we realize that there are probably others.

11. We seek comments because of the new unusual and innovative aspects inherent in this programming plan. We welcome remarks addressed to the various facets of the rule change proposed here, or to any other remarks having a bearing on it. Set out in the Appendix are the specific rule amendments being proposed.

12. Pursuant to § 1.415 of the Commission's rules, interested parties should file comments on or before March 31, 1980, and reply comments on or before April 30, 1980. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by the *Notice*.

13. Authority for this proposed rule making is contained in sections 4(i) and 303 (g) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

14. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.419 of the Commission's rules, an original and 5 copies of all comments, replies or other documents filed in this proceeding shall be furnished to the Commission. Participants filing the required copies who also desire that each Commissioner receive a personal copy of the comments may file an additional 6 copies. Responses will be made available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room (Room 239) at its headquarters in Washington, D.C. (1919 M Street, NW.).

15. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Steve Crane, Phil Cross, or John Reiser, Broadcast Bureau, (202) 632-9660. However, members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, *ex parte* contacts presented to the Commission in proceedings such as this one will be disclosed in the public docket file. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission or oral presentations requested by the Commission. If a member of the public does wish to comment on the merits of this proceeding in this manner, he or she should follow the Commission's procedures governing *ex parte* contacts in informal rule making. A summary of these procedures is available from the Commission's Consumer Assistance Office, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554 (202-632-7000).

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

Appendix

Section 73.653 is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.653 Operation of TV aural and visual transmitters.

(a) During the operating hours of a TV station, between its regularly scheduled sign-on and sign-off times, the aural and visual transmitters of a TV station shall not be operated separately, or to present different or unrelated program material, except in the following cases:

(1) Emergency fills due to either visual or aural equipment failures leaving the licensee with only the audio or video programming to announce the equipment failures to the audience;

(2) For equipment tests or experimentation pursuant to § 73.1510 (Experimental authorizations) and § 73.1520 (Operation for tests and maintenance).

(b) During visual transmissions of a test pattern, still pictures or slides, the aural transmission shall consist of a single tone, or series of variable tones; a presentation of the upcoming program schedule; aural news broadcasts; or music. This type program material shall not exceed 15 minutes immediately prior to the start of the station's programming for the day or for a total period not the exceed more than 1 hour in any broadcast day.

(c) During the normal non-operating hours of a TV station, between its regularly scheduled sign-off time and sign-on time, the aural and visual transmitters shall not be operated separately; however, visual informational programming (*e.g.*, news, weather, financial and sports reports) may be presented with either no simultaneous audio or with audio background music.

(1) All rules in the Chapter and all policies of the FCC pertinent to TV apply to the operation of stations presenting programs described in this paragraph (c); however, this type presentation shall not be counted in any TV station's report or application requiring a tally of informational programming.

[FR Doc. 80-2559 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Notices

Federal Register
Vol. 45, No. 19
Monday, January 28, 1980

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Soil Conservation Service

Lost-Duck Creeks Watershed, Oklahoma; Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Roland R. Willis, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Agricultural Center Building, Farm Road and Brumley Street, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074, telephone number (405) 624–4360.

NOTICE: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is being prepared for the Lost-Duck Creeks Watershed, Kay County, Oklahoma.

This federally-assisted action may result in significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result, Mr. Roland R. Willis, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. Measures planned include land treatment, floodwater retarding structures, and channel work. The environmental evaluation will consider combinations of these measures and alternatives.

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared and circulated for review by agencies and the public. The Soil Conservation Service invites participation of agencies and individuals with expertise or interest in the preparation of the draft environmental impact statement.

The draft environmental impact statement will be developed by Mr. Roland R. Willis, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Agricultural Center Building, Farm Road and Brumley Street, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074, telephone number (405) 624–4360.

Dated: January 21, 1980.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Program, Pub. L. 83–566, (16 U.S.C. 1001–1008.)

Joseph W. Haas,
Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 80-2617 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Dockets 33363, 33688, and 33689]

Former Large Irregular Air Service Investigation Phase III; Applications of Lone Star Airways, Inc.; Hearing

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on February 5, 1980, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), in Room 1003, Hearing Room B, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. before the undersigned administrative law judge.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 21, 1980.

Richard M. Hartsock,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2702 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Dockets 33363, 36432, and 36433]

Former Large Irregular Air Service Investigation Phase III; Applications of K-Air, Inc., Postponement of Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding now assigned to be held on February 13, 1980, at 9:30 a.m. (45 FR 3624, January 18, 1980) is postponed until February 29, 1980 at 9:30 a.m. (local time) in Room 1003, Hearing Room B, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. before the undersigned.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 22, 1980.

Joseph J. Saunders,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2703 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Dockets 33363, 37022, and 37023]

Former Large Irregular Air Service Investigation Phase III; Applications of AH Wee Charters & Tours, Ltd., d.b.a. Air "AH Wee"; reassignment of Proceeding

This proceeding, insofar as it involves the applications of Ah Wee Charters & Tours, Ltd. d/b/a Air "Ah Wee", Dockets 37022 and 37023, has been reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Alexander N. Argerakis. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Argerakis.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 21, 1980.

Joseph J. Saunders,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2705 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Dockets 32603, 32604, and 33363]

Former Large Irregular Air Service Investigation Phase III; Applications of Charter Adventures, Inc.; Reassignment of Proceeding

This proceeding, insofar as it involves the applications of Charter Adventures, Inc., Dockets 32603 and 32604, has been reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Alexander N. Argerakis. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Argerakis.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 21, 1980.

Joseph J. Saunders,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2706 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Dockets 36183, 36184, and 33363]

Former Large Irregular Air Service Investigation, Phase III; Applications of Sunland, Inc.; Hearing

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceedings will be held on February 7, 1980, at 10:00 a.m.

(local time), in Room 1003, Hearing Room B, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. before the undersigned administrative law judge.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 21, 1980.

Richard M. Hartsock,

Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2707 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Order 80-1-125; Docket 33283]

Application of Pan American World Airways, Inc., for Acquisition of Control of, and Merger With, National Airlines, Inc.

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its offices in Washington, D.C. on the 18th day of January, 1980.

By Orders 79-12-164 and 79-12-165, (served October 24, 1979 and effective December 27, 1979) we approved Pan American World Airways' acquisition of control of, and merger with, National Airlines, Inc. Our approval was conditioned upon our receiving notification from Pan American that it unequivocally accepted labor protective provisions [1] and agreed to operate the Miami-London route and maintain National's gate and counter facilities at Heathrow Airport pending the conclusion of the Miami-London Route Case, Docket 36764.[2]

In a letter dated December 27, 1979, the president of Pan American informed us of its acceptance of the conditions. On January 11, 1980, Pan American requested that we transfer National's certificates of public convenience and necessity and other operating authority to it since it had acquired control of National. We will grant Pan American's request and will transfer to it all of National's outstanding certificate and exemption authority.

Accordingly:

1. The Board issues certificates of public convenience and necessity in the attached forms to Pan American World Airways, Inc. for Routes 31, 132, and 136;[3]

2. These certificates will be signed on the Board's behalf by its Secretary and will have the seal of the Board affixed, and will be effective on January 19, 1980;

3. Upon the effectiveness of the certificates issued by paragraph 1, above, the certificates of National Airlines, Inc. for Routes 168 and 31-F, and of Pan American World Airlines, Inc. for Routes 117, 132A and 150 are cancelled; and

4. All other outstanding authority issued by the Board to National Airlines, Inc., is transferred to Pan American World Airways, Inc., which must assume all the privileges and obligations under that authority, effective January 19, 1980;

We will publish this Order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

All Members concurred.

Phyllis T. Kaylor,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2704 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Docket 37391]

Swift Aire Lines, Inc., Fitness Investigation; Assignment of Proceeding

This proceeding is hereby assigned to Chief Administrative Law Judge Joseph J. Saunders.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 23, 1980

Joseph J. Saunders,

Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2700 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Docket 37391]

Swift Aire Lines, Inc., Fitness Investigation; Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on February 13, 1980, at 9:30 a.m. (local time) in room 1003, Hearing Room A, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. before the undersigned.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 23, 1980.

Joseph J. Saunders,

Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 80-2701 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Harlem Third World Center; Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Economic Development Administration (EDA) of the U.S. Department of Commerce will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the Harlem Third World Trade Center. The Harlem Third World Trade Center complex is designed to promote export/import business between the United States and Third World Nations and to generate jobs and income for the Harlem community. Its principal components will be a convention center, retail/trade mart, office building and a first-class hotel. It will be the anchor to the commercial revitalization of 125th Street in Harlem, New York City. The commercial revitalization effort includes development of a regional shopping center, a public market, storefront improvements, a neighborhood cultural arts center and the relocation of the Studio Museum.

The EIS will address the potential impacts of the proposed project and its alternatives.

In accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's regulations, and incorporated as part of the overall project, scoping meetings will be held to inform interested parties and to solicit their comments. A notice will be published in a local newspaper prior to the meetings indicating the time, date, and location of each scoping meeting. Comments and questions regarding the EIS should be addressed to: Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230. Attention: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders.

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Robert T. Hall,

Assistant Secretary for Economic Development.

[FR Doc. 80-2708 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-24-M

---

[1] Appendix III to Orders 79-12-163/164/165; ordering paragraph 3 of Order 79-12-164.

[2] Ordering paragraph 4 of Order 79-12-164.

[3] In combining the certificate authority of Pan American and National through January 14, 1980, we have made a number of nonsubstantive changes in language and format. We have also consolidated to the extent we could. Pan American's domestic authority on Routes 117, 132-A and 150 is combined with National's on Route 31, and the foreign and overseas longhaul restrictions have been removed in the Detroit-Boston/Washington, D.C. markets; National's international authority on Route 168 (except Bahamas) is combined with Pan American's on Route 132; and National's Bahamas authority and its Cuba authority on Route 31-F are incorporated into Pan American's Route 136. Pan American's Routes 115, 130 and 133 remain unchanged. All the certificates have been filed as part of the original document.

International Trade Administration

Subcommittee on Export Administration of the President's Export Council; Open Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1976), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Subcommittee on Export Administration of the President's Export Council (PEC) will be held on Tuesday, February 12, 1980, at 9:30 a.m., in room 4830 of the Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.

The Subcommittee on Export Administration was initially established on June 1, 1976. On April 5, 1979, the Assistant Secretary for Administration approved the recharter and extension through December 31, 1980, of the Subcommittee, pursuant to the provisions of Executive Order 11753, as amended and extended by Executive Orders 11827, 11948, and 12110. Executive Order 12131 of May 4, 1979, which reconstituted the President's Export Council and revoked Executive Order 11753, provides that nothing in Executive Order 12131 shall be deemed to require new charters for subcommittees of the Council which were current immediately prior to the issuance of Executive Order 12131.

The Subcommittee provides advice on matters pertinent to those portions of the Export Administration Act of 1979, that deal with United States policy of encouraging trade with all countries with which the United States has diplomatic or trading relations and of controlling trade for national security and foreign policy reasons.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Review of past Subcommittee work (November 28, 1979 meeting).
2. Report on December 6, 1979 meeting of the Executive Committee of the President's Export Council.
3. Reports from the Chairmen of the three working groups.

A limited number of seats at the meeting will be available to the public on a first-come basis. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Subcommittee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be available by calling Mrs. Jan Grover, Office of Export Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone 202–377–4188.

For further information, contact Mrs. Jan Grover, either in writing or by telephone, at the address or number shown above.

Kent N. Knowles,

Director, Office of Export Administration, International Trade Administration.

[FR Doc. 80–2634 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–25–M

Importers and Retailers' Textile Advisory Committee; Public Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1976) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Importers and Retailers' Textile Advisory Committee will be held on March 19, 1980 at 2:30 p.m. in Room 770, No. 6 World Trade Center, New York, New York 10048.

The Committee was established by the Secretary of Commerce on August 13, 1963 to advise U.S. Government officials of the effects on import markets of cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile agreements.

The agenda for the meeting will be as follows:

1. Review of import trends.
2. Implementation of textile agreements.
3. Report on conditions in the domestic market.
4. Other business.

A limited number of seats will be available to the public on a first-come basis. The public may file written statements with the Committee before or after each meeting. Oral statements may be presented at the end of the meeting to the extent time is available.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be available on written request addressed to the ITA Freedom of Information Officer, Freedom of Information Control Desk, Room 3012, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Further information concerning the Committee may be obtained from Arthur Garel, Director, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone 202/377–5078.

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Arthur Garel,

Director, Office of Textiles and Apparel.

[FR Doc. 80–2598 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–25–M

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Trident Television, Ltd.; Receipt of Application for Permit

Notice is hereby given that an Applicant has applied in due form for a Permit to take marine mammals as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407), and the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR Part 216).

1. Applicant:
   a. Name: Trident Television Limited.
   b. Address: Trident House, Brook Mews, London W1Y 2PN, England.
2. Type of Permit: Public Display.
3. Name and Number of Animals: Atlantic bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus); 4.
4. Type of Take: To take and maintain at any of Trident's three marine mammal facilities.
5. Location of Activity: Copano Bay, Rockport, Texas.
6. Period of Activity: 2 years.

The arrangements and facilities for transporting and maintaining the marine mammals requested in the above described application have been inspected by a licensed veterinarian, who has certified that such arrangements and facilities are adequate to provide for the well-being of the marine mammals involved.

Concurrent with the publication of this notice in the Federal Register the Secretary of Commerce is forwarding copies of this application to the Marine Mammal Commission and the Committee of Scientific Advisors.

Written data or views, or requests for a public hearing on this application should be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235, on or before February 27, 1980. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular application would be appropriate. The holding of such hearing is at the discretion of the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries.

All statements and opinions contained in this application are summaries of those of the Applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

As a request for a permit to take living marine mammals to be maintained in areas outside the jurisdiction of the United States, this application has been submitted in accordance with the National Marine Fisheries Service policy concerning such applications (40 FR 11614, March 12, 1975). In this regard, the application:

(a) was submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, through the Department of the Environment, that Department being responsible, among other things, for ensuring the suitable care of animals in captivity:

(b) Includes:

i. a verification from the Department of the Environment of the information set forth in the application;

ii. a certification from the Department of the Environment that the Government of England is prepared to monitor compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit, and will do so, if and when necessary; and

iii. a statement that the Department of the Environment will have no objection to a NMFS decision to amend, suspend, or revoke a permit.

In accordance with the above cited policy, the certification and statements of the Department of the Environment have been found appropriate and sufficient to allow consideration of this permit application.

Documents submitted in connection with the above application are available for review in the following offices:

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street, NW., Washington, D.C.; and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Region, 9450 Koger Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702.

Dated: January 23, 1980.

William Aron,

Director, Office of Marine Mammals and Endangered Species, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 80-2721 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

USAF Scientific Advisory Board; Meeting

January 16, 1980.

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board Ad Hoc Committee on Automatic Test Equipment will meet on February 25 and 26, 1980 at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. The Committee will meet from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on February 25 and from 8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on February 26, 1980. The purpose of the meeting is to review and study the status of automatic test equipment in the Air Force electronic equipment and related components.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraph (4).

For further information contact the USAF Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (202) 697-8404.

Carol M. Rose,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 80-2618 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-M

Department of the Navy

Jet Research Center, Inc.; Intent To Grant Limited Exclusive Patent License

Pursuant to the provisions of Part 746 of title 32, Code of Federal Regulations (41 FR 55711-55714, December 22, 1976) the Department of the Navy announces its intention to grant to Jet Research Center, Inc., a corporation of the State of Texas, a revocable, nonassignable, limited exclusive license for a period of five years under Government-owned United States Patent Number 3,695,951, issued October 3, 1972, entitled "Pyrotechnic Composition", inventors: Horace H. Helms, Jr. and Alexander G. Rozner.

This license will be granted unless within 60 days from the publication of this notice an application for a nonexclusive license from a responsible applicant is received by the Office of Naval Research (Code 302), Arlington, VA 22217, and the Chief of Naval Research or his designee determines that such applicant has established that he has already brought or is likely to bring the invention to the point of practical application within a reasonable period under a nonexclusive license; or the Chief of Naval Research or his designee determines that a third party has presented to the Office of Naval Research (Code 302) evidence and argument which has established that it would not be in the public interest to grant the limited exclusive license.

Any objection thereto, together with a request for an opportunity to be heard, if desired, should be directed to the Office of Naval Research (Code 302), Arlington, VA 22217 within 60 days from the publication of this notice. Also, copies of the patent may be obtained for fifty cents (0.50) from the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

For further information concerning this notice, contact: Dr. A. C. Williams, Staff Patent Adviser, Office of Naval Research (Code 302), Ballston Tower No. 1, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217, Telephone No. (202) 696-4005.

Dated: January 22, 1980.

P. B. Walker,

Captain, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Administrative Law).

[FR Doc. 80-2628 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-71-M

Office of the Secretary

Defense Intelligence Agency Advisory Committee; Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (d) of Section 10 of Pub. L. 92-463, as amended by Section 5 of Pub. L. 94-409, notice is hereby given that a meeting of a Panel of the DIA Advisory Committee will be held as follows:

Monday, 25 February 1980, Pomponio Plaza, Rosslyn, Virginia. The entire meeting, commencing at 0900 hours is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552b(c)(1). Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed to the public. Subject matter will be used in a study on tactical weapons research and development.

H. E. Lofdahl,

Director, Correspondence and Directives, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 80-2596 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-70-M

Defense Science Board Task Force on Particle Beam Technology; Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Particle Beam Technology will meet in a closed session on 20-21 February 1980 in The Pentagon, Room 1E801 #2, Washington, D.C.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense.

A meeting of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Particle Beam Technology has been scheduled for 20-21 February 1980 to review all aspects of the Department of Defense particle beam technology program. The Task Force will specifically focus on whether the Department of Defense should pursue development of particle beam technology, and if so, the appropriate program contact and level of effort.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. App. I § 10(d) (1976), it has been determined that this Defense Science Board Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1976), and that

accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

H. E. Lofdahl,

Director, Correspondence and Directives, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

January 21, 1980.

[FR Doc. 80-2595 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-70-M

Defense Science Board Task Force on ECM; Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on ECM will meet in closed session 6–7 March 1980 at the Pentagon, Washington, D.C.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense.

The Task Force will discuss potential technical solutions to several current problems in electronic counter-measure.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. App. I § 10(d)(1976), it has been determined that this Defense Science Board Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1)(1976), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

H. E. Lofdahl,

Director, Correspondence and Directives, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

January 22, 1980.

[FR Doc. 80-2594 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Special Counsel for Compliance

Standard Oil Co. of Ohio; Proposed Consent Order and Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Departmentof Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Consent Order and Opportunity for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 10 CFR § 205.199J, the Office of Special Counsel for the Department of Energy hereby gives Notice of a Consent Order which was executed between the Standard Oil Company of Ohio (SOHIO) and the Office of Special Counsel for Compliance on January 15, 1980. In accordance with that section, the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) will receive comments with respect to this Consent Order. Although the Consent Order has been signed and conditionally accepted by the OSC, the OSC may, after consideration of comments received, withdraw its acceptance of the Consent Order and, if appropriate, attempt to negotiate an alternative Consent Order.

Background

SOHIO is a refiner subject to the cost calculations and transfer pricing rules of 10 CFR §§ 212.83 and 212.84. These rules are used to determine, among other things, the proper measurement of costs of crude oil imported by a firm through its foreign affiliates.

In April 1977, the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) issued a Notice of Proposed Disallowance to SOHIO alleging that the firm had overstated its costs with respect to interaffiliate imported crude oil transactions by $868,252.00 for the period October 1973 through May 1975. In December 1977, the Office of Special Counsel for Compliance was created within the Department of Energy. In February 1978, the responsibility for the transfer pricing program was transferred from the Office of Enforcement, Economic Regulatory Administration, to the OSC.

OSC has adjusted the proposed disallowance downward due to corrections of errors in reporting by SOHIO and in calculating the disallowance by FEA. In addition, the representative and maximum prices for Iranian Light Crude Oil (IR–080) were adjusted upward as announced in 43 FR 34186 (August 3, 1978). The above modifications yield an adjusted disallowance of $251,744.00 representing the amount SOHIO overstated the crude oil component of its landed costs. In the Consent Order, the OSC and SOHIO have reached agreement to settle the amount of disallowance and any resulting overrecoveries for $251,744.00.

In consideration of SOHIO's agreement to the terms and conditions of the Consent Order, and following examination of the arguments raised by SOHIO, and due to the time and expense which could be involved in the litigation of the issues raised, the Office of Special Counsel believes it to be fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the United States to conclude these proceedings through a Consent Order as described herein.

In resolution of the issues raised by application of the transfer pricing regulations to SOHIO, and by SOHIO in its response to the Notice of Proposed Disallowance, the Office of Special Counsel and SOHIO executed a Consent Order on January 15, 1980, the significant terms of which are as follows:

1. SOHIO will reduce its increased costs of crude oil allocated to gasoline by $251,744.00.

2. The provisions of 10 CFR § 205.199J, including the publication of this notice, are applicable to the Consent Order.

Submission of Written Comments

Interested persons are invited to comment on this Consent Order by submitting such comments in writing to: Marcell Anthony, Assistant Solicitor, Office of Special Counsel, Department of Energy, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 3109, Washington, DC 20461, (202) 633–8292.

Copies of the Consent Order may be received free of charge by written request to the above address. Copies are also available for public inspection in the Freedom of Information Reading Room located at: Department of Energy, Room GA–152, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585.

Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope and documents submitted with the designation "Comments on SOHIO Transfer Pricing Consent Order." All comments received by 4:30 p.m. EDT on the 30th calendar day following publication of this notice will be considered by the Office of Special Counsel in evaluating the Consent Order.

Any information or data which, in the opinion of the person furnishing it, is confidential, must be identified as such and submitted in accordance with the procedures of 10 CFR § 205.9(f).

Issued in Washington, D.C., January 15, 1980.

Paul L. Bloom,

Special Counsel for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 80-2717 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Office of Assistant Secretary for International Affairs

U.S. and Yugoslavia; Atomic Energy Agreement; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

Pursuant to section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160) notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation Between the United States and the International Atomic Energy Agency for Cooperation in the Peaceful Application of Atomic Energy.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the above-mentioned agreement involves a supply agreement negotiated by the Governments of the United States and Yugoslavia and the

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) whereby the United States agrees to permit the transfer to Yugoslavia of 959 grams of uranium-235 contained in 1372 grams of uranium enriched to approximately 70 percent, in fuel elements for a research reactor in Yugoslavia.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that the furnishing of the nuclear fuel will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than February 12, 1980.

Dated: January 22, 1980.
For the Department of Energy.

Harold D. Bengelsdorf,

Director for Nuclear Affairs, International Nuclear and Technical Programs.

[FR Doc. 80-2555 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Office of Intergovernmental Affairs

Local Government Energy Policy Advisory Committee and Subcommittees; Open Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of the following advisory committee meeting:

Title: Local Government Energy Policy Advisory Committee.

Date and time: Wednesday, February 13, 1980—9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m. Thursday, February 14, 1980—9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon.

Place: Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20585.

See agenda below for specific time and room number of full committee and subcommittee meetings.

Contact: Georgia Hildreth, Director, Advisory Committee Management, Department of Energy, Room 8G087, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20585, Telephone: 202-252-5187.

Purpose of committee: To advise and make recommendations to the Secretary of Energy on matters relating to Federal energy policies, programs, and legislation so that the Secretary may reach a judgment as to whether national energy policies are reflective of and responsible to the needs of local governments, that components of the Department are coordinating their activities with local governments, where appropriate, and that intergovernmental communication exists with local governments.

Tentative agenda

February 13, 1980—Room 6E069

9:00 a.m.—Call to Order
9:05 a.m.—Remarks by Secretary Duncan
9:35 a.m.—Departmental presentations
9:45 a.m.—Overview of the National Energy Situation
10:10 a.m.—Background briefing on major programs in Conservation and Solar
10:35 a.m.—BREAK
10:50 a.m.—Background briefing on Technical Assistance Team Program Design
11:20 a.m.—Review of major energy legislation for local governments currently pending in Congress
11:50 a.m.—Background briefing of DOE's organizational structure
12:15-1:30 p.m.—LUNCH
1:30-3:00 p.m.—Committee Organizational Business and adoption of work plan
3:00 p.m.—BREAK
3:15-5:00 p.m.—Meetings of subcommittees to discuss the following tentative issues. Actual issues and subcommittee structure will be as determined at 1:30 p.m. session of full Committee
—Development of procedural mechanisms that will enable local government officials to participate actively in the formulation and implementation of national energy policies—*Room 8H044*
—Development of a strategy to insure the passage of legislation which addresses local government energy planning needs—*Room 7E069*
—Development of improved local energy planning (Technical Assistance Teams; Federal—State—Local planning)—*Room 7E081*
—Development of local energy emergency contingency planning—*Room 7E083*

February 14, 1980

9:00-10:00 a.m.—Continue Subcommittee meetings in rooms as indicated in 2/13/80 agenda
10:15-12:00 noon—Reconvene Full Committee—Room 6E069—Reports from subcommittees—Approval of subcommittee reports
12 noon—Public Comment (10 minute rule)

Public participation: The meetings are open to the public. The Chairpersons of the Committee and Subcommittees are empowered to conduct the meetings in a fashion that will, in their judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with the Committee or Subcommittees will be permitted to do so, either before or after the meetings. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact the Advisory Committee Management Office at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received at least 5 days prior to the meeting concerned and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation on the agenda.

Transcripts: Available for public review and copying at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, Room GA-152, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C., between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Executive summary: Available approximately 30 days following the meeting from the Advisory Committee Management Office.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on January 22, 1980.

Georgia Hildreth,

Director, Advisory Committee Management.

[FR Doc. 80-2556 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP78-123, etc.]

Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Co., et al., Pre-filing Conference for Proposed Alaskan Segment of the Alaskan Natural Gas Transportation System (ANGTS)

January 22, 1980.

Take notice that on January 29, 1980, at 9:00 a.m. a pre-filing conference will be convened at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The purpose of this conference is to allow the Applicant of the proposed Alaskan segment of the ANGTS to discuss with interested persons aspects of the engineering and design now contemplated for the Alaskan segment. Questions concerning the cost estimate format with respect to the Alaskan segment may also be discussed. The application for final Commission authority to construct and operate this segment is expected to be filed in June 1980. Applications for authority to construct and operate other segments of the ANGTS (the "pre-build" portions) are now being considered in *Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company, et al.*, Docket Nos. CP78-123, *et al.*

This pre-filing conference is open to the public. Attendance does not constitute Commission authorization to participate as a party in any proceeding.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2570 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. SA80-35]

BASF Wyandotte Corp.; Application for Adjustment

January 22, 1980.

On November 7, 1980, BASF Wyandotte Corporation filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for an adjustment under section 502(c) of Title II of the Natural Gas Policy Act wherein BASF Wyandotte Corporation sought relief from incremental pricing imposed by Section 201 of the NGPA.

The procedures applicable to the conduct of this adjustment proceeding are found in section 1.41 of the

Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, Order No. 24 issued March 22, 1979.

Any person desiring to participate in this adjustment proceeding shall file a petition to intervene in accordance with the provisions of section 1.41. All petitions to intervene must be filed on or before February 12, 1980.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2685 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ER80-192]

Carolina Power & Light Co.; Filing

January 22, 1980.

The filing company submits the following:

Take notice that Carolina Power & Light Company on January 18, 1980, tendered for filing a contract for providing electric service to the Town of Ayden, North Carolina. The contract between the Town of Ayden and Carolina Power & Light Company is proposed to be effective 60 days after filing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE. Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before February 13, 1980. Protests will be considered by the commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2683 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ER76-588]

Central Kansas Power Co., Inc.; Filing

January 21, 1980.

The filing company submits the following:

Take notice that on December 27, 1979, Central Kansas Power Company, Inc. (CKP), in compliance with the Commission's order issued December 10, 1979, filed the following tariff sheets:

Sec-1-Base

(Supplement No. 1)	Effective
Sheet No. 1	Jan. 16, 1979.
Sheet No. 2	Jan. 16, 1979.
Sheet No. 3	Jan. 16, 1979.
Sheet No. 4	Jan. 16, 1979.

Sec-1-Excess

(Supplement No. 12)	Effective
Sheet No. 1	Aug. 1, 1976.
Sheet No. 2	Aug. 1, 1976.
Sheet No. 3	Aug. 1, 1976.
Sheet No. 4	Aug. 1, 1976.

Copies of this filing have been sent to Sunflower Electric Cooperative, Inc. and to the State Corporation Commission of Kansas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). All such protests should be filed on or before February 12, 1980. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2689 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-171]

Cities Service Gas Co.; Application

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that on January 2, 1980, Cities Service Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 25128, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125, filed in Docket No. CP80-171 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain pipeline taps, measuring, regulating and appurtenant facilities in order to render natural gas service to authorized local natural gas distribution companies for resale to twelve rural domestic customers pursuant to right-of-way easements and agreements heretofore entered into between Applicant and said customers, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that right-of-way grantors have requested gas service for which Applicant proposes to construct and operate the following facilities:

Item 1: Tap Applicant's Ottawa-Sedalia 12-inch transmission pipeline in Cass County, Missouri, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Al Bedford.

Item 2: Tap Applicant's Canadian-Blackwell 26-inch transmission pipeline in Grant County, Oklahoma, and construct facilities for delivery of natural gas to J. C. Casford.

Item 3: Tap Applicant's White Shield-Rodman 12-inch gathering pipeline in Kingsfisher County, Oklahoma, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Jerry Copeland.

Item 4: Tap Applicant's Hugoton 26-inch loop transmission pipeline in Pratt County, Kansas, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Glen A. Curtis.

Item 5: Tap Applicant's Iola 16-inch loop transmission pipeline in Allen County, Kansas, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Wayne Garrett.

Item 6: Tap Applicant's Springfield 16-inch transmission pipleine in Lawrence County, Missouri, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Walter E. Heseman.

Item 7: Tap Applicant's Kansas City #3 16-inch transmission pipeline in Johnson County, Kansas, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Gayle Hoff.

Item 8: Tap Applicant's Canadian-Blackwell 26-inch transmission pipeline in Grant County, Oklahoma, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Beryl Kindred.

Item 9: Tap Applicant's Plattsburgh 6-inch transmission pipeline in Buchanan County, Missouri, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Lloyd Manville.

Item 10: Tap Applicant's Solar 16-inch transmission pipeline in Jasper County, Missouri, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Dewayne Southard.

Item 11: Tap Applicant's Tonganoie-St. Joe 16-inch transmission pipeline in Leavenworth County, Kansas, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to James F. Stauch.

Item 12: Tap Applicant's Bartlesville 8-inch transmission pipeline in Osage County, Oklahoma, and construct necessary facilities for delivery of natural gas to Rodney Wilson.

Applicant states that the sale to Jerry Copeland and Glen A. Curtis would be made by Applicant on a direct sales basis; the sale to Gayle Hoff would be made to Union Gas System, Inc. for resale to that customer; and the sale to the remaining nine customers would be made to The Gas Service Company for resale to these customers.

Applicant states that the total cost of the proposed facilities would be $8,850, which costs Applicant would finance from treasury funds on hand. Applicant further states that the annual gas

requirement of each customer would be approximately 250 Mcf.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 14, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2660 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Project No. 1991]

City of Bonners Ferry; Application for Amendment of License

January 21, 1980.

Take notice that on November 6, 1979, the City of Bonners Ferry (Licensee) filed an application for amendment of its license for the existing Moyie River Project No. 1991, located on the Moyie River in the County of Boundary, Idaho. Correspondence concerning the application should be sent to Honorable Harold Sims, Mayor, City of Bonners Ferry, Bonners Ferry, Idaho, 83805, and Mr. E. R. Mooney, CH2M HILL, 1600 S.W. Western Blvd., P.O. Box 428, Corvallis, Oregon 97330.

The license for the Moyie River Project was issued on April 1, 1948, for a period of 50 years. The Commission issued on August 23, 1960, an order approving the rehabilitation of an old powerhouse containing a turbine-generator rated at 450 kW. The project, as it presently exists, consists of: (1) a concrete diversion dam and small reservoir; (2) a 60-inch-diameter penstock which bifurcates into a 60-inch-diameter penstock and a 36-inch-diameter penstock to feed; (3) two powerhouses with a total rated capacity of 2450 kW; (4) a substation; (5) a transmission line; and (6) appurtenant facilities.

Proposed Project Construction—The Licensee now seeks authorization to construct: (1) a 50-foot-long penstock which would connect to the existing 60-inch-diameter penstock and parallel the 36-inch-diameter penstock, and (2) a new concrete powerhouse, containing one turbine-generator unit with a rated capacity of 1500 kW, to be attached to Licensee's existing Powerhouse No. 1. The proposed penstock and powerhouse would be located entirely within the existing project boundary. The installed capacity of the entire project would total 3950 kW.

Estimated Cost—The Licensee estimates the capital cost of the proposed construction at $1,645,700.

Purpose of the Project—The proposed powerplant would generate about 4.25 million kWh annually and would contribute to the energy supply of the Pacific Northwest.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are requested to provide comments pursuant to the Federal Power Act, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Endangered Species Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, the Historical and Archeological Preservation Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, Pub. L. 88-29, and other applicable statutes. No other formal requests for comments will be made. Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of an order amending the project license. A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions To Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or make any protest about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR § 1.8 or § 1.10 (1979). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests filed, but a person who merely files a protest does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any protest, petition to intervene, or agency comments must be filed on or before March 8, 1980. The Commission's address is: 825 N. Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2680 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP76-468]

City of Lenox, Iowa; Informal Conference

January 23, 1980.

On November 13, 1979, the Cities of Lenox, Bedford, Clearfield, and Prescott, Iowa (Cities) filed a petition requesting the convening of a prehearing conference in the above-styled proceeding for the purpose of exploring settlement possibilities and other matters pertinent thereto.

By order issued on March 10, 1967, the Commission in Docket No. CP67-189 pursuant to Section 7(a) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) approved the initiation of service to the Cities. The Commission in the latter order approved the delivery of 2,055 Mcf of natural gas to provide for the Cities estimated third year requirements at that time. In its application Cities had projected 10-year requirements of 2,849 Mcf. On July 14, 1976, Cities filed a petition for extraordinary relief in Docket No. CP76-468, or, in the alternative, for an order pursuant to Section 7(a) increasing its contract demand from 2,055 Mcf per day to 2,849 Mcf per day.[1]

[1] Action on Cities' request was held in abeyance in the instant proceeding pending Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America's (Natural) endeavors to make more gas available to its customers through storage development in Docket No. CP76-517. As a result of the proceeding in Docket No. CP76-517, Cities obtained an additional 73 Mcf/day of winter contract demand.

On August 21, 1979, the Cities filed an amendment to its original petition of July 14, 1976, in Docket No. CP76–468, requesting an increase of 721 Mcf per day in their combined maximum daily quantity from the present level of 2,128 Mcf per day (2,055 Mcf plus 73 Mcf) to 2,849 Mcf per day. The conference will consider, *inter alia*, whether some resolution can be reached in the above-styled proceeding with respect to the Cities contention that they have pressing needs for what they evidently view as insignificant volumes of gas to satisfy the needs of high priority customers.

An informal conference will be held at the Offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 on February 14, 1980, at 10 a.m. in Room No. 8402 in order to determine whether the problems raised by the Cities in the filings in the above-styled proceeding can be resolved. All interested parties are invited to attend this conference.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2696 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. CP74–320]

Colorado Interstate Gas Co.; Petition To Amend

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that on December 10, 1979, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (Petitioner), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP74–320 a petition to amend the order issued August 28, 1975,[1] in the instant docket pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, so as to authorize the modification of certain facilities at the Latigo Storage Field in Arapahoe County, Colorado, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner states that by order issued August 28, 1975, Petitioner was authorized to acquire the Latigo Storage Field in Arapahoe County, Colorado, and to construct and operate facilities necessary to develop the field over a five-year period from 1975 to 1979 for use as an underground gas storage reservoir. It is stated that the facilities authorized thereby included 25 storage injection-withdrawal wells and 5,500 horsepower of compression.

Petitioner asserts that data obtained during the early development and initial operation of the Latigo Storage Field suggested that efficient operations required certain facility alterations from those authorized, particularly an additional storage well and the deletion of one 1,100-horsepower compressor. It is stated that the need for an additional well was suggested by (a) better than expected well quality in terms of sand formation thickness, water production, permeability, and bottom-hole pressures, (b) inability to agree on terms with a landowner, (c) the need to monitor for any possible gas migration to a certain part of the field, and (d) lower than expected deliverability characteristics created by a thin sand section in one new well. Petitioner states that as a result of these factors, Latigo Well No. 4, originally certificated for use as an observation well, has been converted to a storage injection-withdrawal well, and that Petitioner seeks authorization for such conversion.

It is further stated that operations to date indicate that the presently installed 4,400 horsepower of injection compressor capacity is sufficient to handle the current annual working volumes of 9,000,000 Mcf and, therefore, there is no present need to intall the fifth 1,100-horsepower compressor which was proposed on the basis of the projected working volume of 12,000,000 Mcf per annum.

Petitioner states that the cost of converting Latigo Well No. 4 from an observation well to a storage well was approximately $40,300. Petitioner asserts that this cost was more than offset by deleting the installation of the fifth 1,100-horsepower compressor unit which reduced expenditures by $883,397, as projected in the original application.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before February 14, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2681 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. CP80–177]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.; Application

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that on January 8, 1980, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Applicant), 1700 Mac Corkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314, filed in Docket No. CP80–177 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon certain synthetic gas exchange service between Applicant and Taylorstown Natural Gas Company (Taylorstown), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that by order issued January 7, 1974, in Docket No. CP73–223, *et al.*, it was authorized to transport, for the account of certain of its customers, their respective shares of synthetic gas (SG) to be purchased by them from Columbia LNG Corporation (Columbia LNG) at Green Springs, Ohio, and to deliver equivalent volumes to the customers. It is stated that pursuant to a service agreement dated January 18, 1974, between Applicant and Taylorstown, Applicant received SG from Columbia LNG for the account of Taylorstown and accordingly delivered equivalent amounts to Taylorstown.

Applicant further states that the underlying SG sales contract between Columbia LNG and Taylorstown was terminated by an order issued December 20, 1978, of the Bankruptcy Judge in an arrangement proceeding pertaining to Taylorstown under Chapter XI of the United States Bankruptcy Laws. Thus, it is stated, deliveries under the service agreement between Columbia and Taylorstown ceased at the end of December 1978. Inasmuch, it is asserted, as said service agreement was utilized solely to exchange and deliver gas from the Green Springs SG plant purchased by Taylorstown under its sales contract with Columbia LNG, the service agreement no longer serves a useful purpose. Applicant proposes, therefore, to abandon such service.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 14, 1980, file with the Federal

[1] This proceeding was commenced before the FPC. By joint regulation of October 1, 1977 (10 CFR 1000.1), it was transferred to the Commission.

Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Action Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2662 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. RE80-26]

Consolidated Edison Co. of New York, Inc.; Application for Exemption

January 21, 1980.

Take notice that Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison), on November 1, 1979, filed an application for exemption from certain requirements of Part 290 of the Commission's regulations (Order 48, 44 FR 58687). Exemption is sought from the requirement to file, on or before November 1, 1980, information on the costs of providing electric service as specified in Sections 290.303(a) and (c) and 290.403(a)(4), to the extent that data are required for a typical weekday and a typical weekend day, and 290.401(a) to the extent that it requires the interval of integration for reporting kilowatt loads to be the same for the system and customer group loads, of Part 290 of the Commission's regulations issued pursuant to section 133 of PURPA.

In its application for exemption, Con Edison states that it should not be required to file the specified data for the following reasons:

(1) "The Commission's definition of typical days in the reporting period requires too great an expenditure of time and effort in making the detailed computations required, and those efforts would produce data no better than that which would be produced by permitting the Company to select one or more weekdays and weekend days for each month which it believes are representative of cost incurrence, and reporting data for those days to comply with the regulations."

(2) The requirement of Section 290.401(a) would require processing that "will be time consuming, and, in addition, will create a less accurate result."

Copies of the application for exemption are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. The Commission's regulations require that said utility also apply to any State regulatory authority having jurisdiction over it to have the application published in any official State publication in which electric rate change applications are usually noticed, and that a summary of the application be published in newspapers of general circulation in the affected jurisdiction.

Any person desiring to present written views, arguments, or other comments on the application for exemption should file such information with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before March 10, 1980.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2687 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-182]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Co.; Application

January 21, 1980.

Take notice that on January 10, 1980, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 10245, Knoxville, Tennessee 37919, filed in Docket No. CP80-182 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 284.221 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity for blanket authorization to transport natural gas for other interstate pipeline companies, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests blanket authorization to transport gas for other interstate pipeline companies. It states that it would comply with Section 284.221(d) of the Commission's Regulations under the NGPA.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 4, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2688 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Project No. 2761]

El Dorado Irrigation District, and El Dorado County Water Agency; Application for Major License

January 22, 1980.

Take notice that an application was filed on November 14, 1979, under the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. Sections

791(a)–825(r), by El Dorado Irrigation District and El Dorado County Water Agency (Applicants) for major license for the proposed Upper Mountain Project, FERC No. 2761, to be located on the South Fork of the American River and its tributaries in El Dorado County, California. Lands of the United States that would be affected are located in the El Dorado National Forest and the Department of the Interior's Central Valley Project, Sly Park Unit. Correspondence with the Applicants on this matter should be addressed to: Mr. Harry J. Dunlop, Secretary-Manager, El Dorado Irrigation District, P.O. Box 1047, 2890 Mosquito Road, Placerville, California 95667 and Mr. Robert L. McCarty, Special Counsel, McCarty and Noone, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, Suite 3306, Washington, D.C. 20024.

Applicants propose to construct the following facilities: Forni Diversion Dam, a 57-foot-high rockfill structure, forming a 3-acre reservoir (at elevation 5560 feet) with a usable storage capacity of 48-acre-feet, to be located on Forni Creek about 7 miles upstream of Kyburz, which would divert water into a system of tunnels and pipelines to Alder Reservoir; Sherman Diversion Dam, an 80-foot-high concrete gravity structure, to be located about 5 miles downstream of the existing Silver Lake Reservoir, which would divert water by pipeline to the conduit from Forni Dam to Alder Reservoir; Sherman Reservoir, a 19-acre reservoir (at elevation 5560 feet) with a usable storage capacity of 320-acre-feet; seven five-foot-high rockfill diversion dams to be constructed on tributary streams to the South and Silver forks of the American River which would divert water to the conduit system to Alder Reservoir; Alder Dam, a 360-foot-high rockfill structure to be located on Alder Creek about 3.5 miles upstream of its confluence with the South Fork; Alder Reservoir, a 1400-acre reservoir (at elevation 5468 feet) with a usable storage capacity of 168,000-acre-feet; Plum Creek Powerhouse, to be located on Plum Creek about three miles upstream of the confluence with the South Fork, which would receive water from Alder Reservoir through a four-mile long conduit system and would contain a 42,700 kW generating unit; Park Creek Powerhouse, to be located on Park Creek about three miles upstream of Sly Park Reservoir, which would receive water from the tailrace of the Plum Creek Powerhouse through a 3.5-mile-long conduit system and would contain a 6,900 kW generating unit; El Dorado Powerhouse No. 2, to be located adjacent to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) existing El Dorado Powerhouse (FERC Project No. 184), which would receive water through the 8-mile-long Lower Park Creek system of tunnels and pipelines via the existing El Dorado Forebay and the proposed 2.8-mile-long El Dorado No. 2 pipeline and penstock and would contain a 60,800 kW generating unit. A 13-mile-long 69-kV transmission line would connect the three powerhouses to El Dorado No. 3 switchyard which would be connected to Sacramento Municipal Utility District's (SMUD) Project No. 2101 transmission line by 0.15-mile of 230-kV transmission line.

About 5.2 miles of access and replacement roads would be built in connection with the construction of Forni, Sherman, and Alder Reservoirs and Plum Creek Tunnel.

Recreational facilities would include picnicking and parking facilities at Forni Reservoir, picnicking sites and trailhead parking at Sherman Reservoir, and boat launching, picnicking and camping facilities (in three phases) at Alder Reservoir.

Applicant proposes to mitigate the loss of wet meadow habitat by irrigating about 112 acres of suitable lands to create new meadows near Sherman, Alder, and Texas Hill (a non-project facility) Reservoirs.

The cost of the project is estimated to be $241,045,000 of which $2,785,000 is apportioned to establish recreational facilities and $284,000 is allocated for wildlife habitat mitigation and an osprey and eagle management program.

Power from the project would be sold to one or more of the following—PG&E, SMUD or Sierra Pacific Power Company.

Competing Applications—Applicants possessed a preliminary permit for this project when the application for license was accepted for filing.

Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before March 24, 1980, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than July 22, 1980. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c), (as amended, 44 FR 61328, Oct. 25, 1979). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR, 4.33 (a) and (d), (as amended, 44 FR 61328, Oct. 25, 1979).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protest about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR § 1.8 or § 1.10 (1979). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be filed on or before March 24, 1980. The Commission's address is: 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C., 20426. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2663 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-174]

ElPaso Natural Gas Co.; Application

January 24, 1980

Take notice that on January 8, 1980, El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed In Docket No. CP80-174 and application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon from its interstate system four mainline right-of-way grantor taps, together with the natural gas service rendered by means of such facilities to Arizona Public Service Company (APS), Southern Union Gas Company (Southern Union), and Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that Applicant was authorized to construct and operate mainline tap facilities and to sell and deliver natural gas for resale to the above existing, authorized distributor customers as follows:

Name and Location of Facilities

(1) J.E. Browning tap—a 1-inch O.D. tap and valve assembly located in Cochise County, Arizona;

(2) Hot Wells Cattle Company tap—a 1-inch tap and valve assembly located in El Paso County, Texas;

(3) V.E. Kannally tap—a 2-inch O.D. tap and and valve assembly located in Pinal County, Arizona;

(4) Rodney Kleck tap—a 2-inch O.D. tap and valve assembly located in Pinal County, Arizona.

Applicant states that said facilities were installed so as to permit it to provide natural gas service, for residential and irrigation purposes, to such right-of-way grantors through its distributor customers, APS, Southern Union, and Southwest. Applicant further states that deliveries of natural gas to APS, Southern Union, and Southwest for the subject taps were made pursuant to the terms and conditions of the general tap provisions of the currently effective service agreements dated August 15, 1970, October 1, 1969, and October 15, 1970, between Applicant and APS, Southern Union, and Southwest, respectively.

It is stated that by Commission Opinion No. 4 issued November 10, 1977, in Docket No. CP75–362, Applicant was authorized to abandon the subject mainline tap facilities along with certain mainline pipeline and related compression facilities in order to allow it to convert said facililties to a part of an integrated oil pipeline system which would ultimately transport Alaskan crude oil from the West coast to interconnections with existing oil pipelines near Midland, Texas. However, upon the withdrawal by Standard Oil Company (Ohio) (Sohio) from the crude oil pipeline project effective June 22, 1979, Applicant states that it filed, on July 10, 1979, a letter transmitting to the Commission Sohio's termination letter and advised the Commission that, inasmuch as the condition attached to the Commission's Opinion No. 4 requiring receipt by Sohio of all authorizations necessary for the crude oil pipeline project had not been met, Applicant would not exercise the abandonment authority granted by the Commission's order at Docket No. CP75–362.

Inasmuch, it is stated, as the abandonment of the four mainline right-of-way grantor taps cannot be accomplished under the authorizations granted in Docket No. CP75–362, Applicant requests permission and approval in the instant docket to abandon by salvage such tap facililties and the natural gas service rendered thereby. Applicant states that J.E. Browning and the Hot Wells Cattle Company have agreed to release and discharge Applicant from any and all obligations which Applicant has or may have to continue to supply natural gas through the mainline tap facilities and that Lucile Kannally, successor to V.E. Kannally, and Rodney Kleck have agreed to the termination of their respective right-of-way tap entitlements from Applicant and the substitution of Southwest as their supplier of natural gas which natural gas would be delivered by Southwest through tap facilities installed by Southwest on its system. With respect to J.E. Browning, Applicant states that it understands that natural gas has not been taken by such right-of-way grantor since August 1978. In addition with respect to Lucile Kannally and Rodney Kleck, Applicant states that it has been advised that the tap facilities necessary to provide natural gas sercice have been installed by Southwest and that such facilities are ready for service.

Applicant asserts that said abandonment would not change Applicant's average cost of service and would not result in or cause any interruption, reduction, or termination of natural gas service presently rendered by Applicant to any of its customers, other than those services which would hereafter be performed by Southwest.

The total estimated cost of the abandonment proposed herein is $1,837 which would be financed from funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980 file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D.Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2664 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. CP76–270]

Gas Gathering Corp.; Petition To Amend

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that on December 13, 1979, Gas Gathering Corporation (Petitioner), P.O. Box 519, Hammond, Louisiana 70404, filed in Docket No. CP76–270, a petition to amend the order issued May 24, 1976,[1] in said docket pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act so as to remove the end-use restrictions contained in said order and to substitute therefore the limitations set forth in Section 2.79(m) of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.79(m), all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner states that on May 24, 1976, it was authorized to transport up to 1,875 Mcf of natural gas per day for Cone Mills Corporation (Cone Mills) and up to 1,125 Mcf of natural gas per day of Nabisco, Inc., for a two-year term beginning June 24, 1976. Petitioner further states that on October 18, 1978, it was granted an extension of this authorization for a term to end June 24, 1980, and Petitioner was permitted to transport up to 3,000 Mcf per day for Cone Mills.

Petitioner requests, pursuant to the provisions of Order No. 52, issued October 5, 1979, in Docket No. RM80–1, that its authorization be amended so as to remove the end-use restrictions contained in such certificate authorization, and to substitute therefor the limitations set forth in Section 2.79(m) of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance

[1] This proceeding was commenced before the FPC. By joint regulation of October 1, 1977 (10 CFR 1000.1), it was transferred to the Commission.

with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2666 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. SA80-66]

Jim Dandy Co.; Application for Adjustment

January 21, 1980.

On January 4, 1980, The Jim Dandy Company filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for an adjustment under section 502(c) of Title II of the Natural Gas Policy Act wherein The Jim Dandy Company sought relief from incremental pricing imposed by Section 201 of the NGPA.

The procedures applicable to the conduct of this adjustment proceeding are found in section 1.41 of the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, Order No. 24 issued March 22, 1979.

Any person desiring to participate in this adjustment proceeding shall file a petition to intervene in accordance with the provisions of section 1.41. All petitions to intervene must be filed on or before February 21, 1980.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2678 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ER80-190]

Kansas Gas & Electric Co.; Tariff Change

January 21, 1980.

The filing company submits the following:

Take notice that Kansas Gas and Electric Company on January 15, 1980, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Electric Service Tariff No. 116. The proposed Amendatory Agreement changes the minimum and maximum amounts of power.

The Amendatory Agreement is necessary because the present demands are being exceeded.

Copies of this filing were served upon the City of Elsmore, Kansas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said Application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Para. 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before February 11, 1980. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2692 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. SA80-65]

Kentucky Ohio Gas Co., Inc.; Application for Adjustment

January 21, 1980.

On January 2, 1980, Kentucky Ohio Gas Company, Inc., filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for an adjustment under section 502(c) of Title II of the Natural Gas Policy Act wherein Kentucky Ohio Gas Co., Inc., sought relief from incremental pricing imposed by Section 201 of the NGPA.

The procedures applicable to the conduct of this adjustment proceeding are found in section 1.41 of the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, Order No. 24 issued March 22, 1979.

Any person desiring to participate in this adjustment proceeding shall file a petition to intervene in accordance with the provisions of section 1.41. All petitions to intervene must be filed on or before February 21, 1980.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2679 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ER78-417]

Kentucky Utility Co.; Compliance Filing

January 21, 1980.

The filing company submits the following:

Take notice that on December 19, 1979, Kentucky Utility Company (KUC) filed executed contracts between KUC and Jackson Purchase Electric Cooperative Corporation (Jackson) for each of Jackson's twenty separate delivery points.

These contracts are being filed in compliance with the Commission's letter order of December 4, 1979.

A copy of this filing has been served upon the parties to this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). All such protests should be filed on or before February 11, 1980. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2690 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-176]

Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.; Application

January 23, 1980

Take notice that on January 8, 1980, Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company (Applicant), One Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226, filed in Docket No. CP80-176 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of an interconnection with a pipeline operated by Mississippi Power & Light Company (Mississippi Power), and the transportation and delivery of natural gas volumes to be sold by Applicant to Mississippi Power to displace fuel oil in generating electricity, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that pursuant to a gas service agreement dated October 25, 1979, it has agreed to sell up to 40 billion Btu of natural gas per day on an interruptible basis to Mississippi Power. Applicant asserts that sales would be made out of excess pipeline supplies as available from time to time. Applicant further states that the sales price would be the highest of (a) a price equivalent to 105 percent of the price of Gulf Coast high sulphur residual oil; (b) the new gas

price under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978; and (c) Applicant's overrun rate.

It is stated that the gas sold to Mississippi Power would be used to displace fuel oil in generating electricity at Mississippi Power's Delta Stream Electric Station near Cleveland, Mississippi. In order to deliver the proposed volumes to Mississippi Power, Applicant proposes to construct and operate an interconnection between its pipeline facilities and Mississippi Power's pipeline in Bolivar County, Mississippi, in addition to related measurement facilities. Applicant states that the cost of the facilities proposed herein would be $432,550, which cost would be financed with internally generated funds.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 14, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2667 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-160]

Mountain Fuel Supply Co.; Application

January 24, 1980

Take notice that on December 26, 1979, Mountain Fuel Supply Company (Applicant), 180 East First South Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84139, filed in Docket No. CP80-160 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of up to 30,000 Mcf of natural gas per day for Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (NGPL) and for the delayed exchange of up to 50,000 Mcf of gas per day with NGPL, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it has entered into a gas transportation agreement, dated September 27, 1979, with NGPL, whereby it would gather up to 30,000 Mcf of gas per day controlled by NGPL in the Overthrust Area of Wyoming and Utah, and would then deliver the volumes to its interstate transmission system through its existing intrastate gathering and transmission facilities and its existing interstate gathering facilities for delivery to Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG) at an existing exchange point with CIG's transmission system near Green River, Sweetwater County, Wyoming (Kanda Exchange Point).

It is further stated that Applicant has entered into a gas transportation agreement with NGPL dated October 3, 1978, which provides for Applicant to receive up to 20,000 Mcf of gas per day from NGPL and to deliver equivalent volumes by displacement at the Kanda Exchange Point. It is stated that this agreement was approved by Commission order dated November 21, 1979.

It is stated that CIG has given notice that a capacity constraint on its transmission system may render it unable to accept or redeliver on a daily basis all of the gas available for delivery by Applicant to CIG for NGPL. Applicant states that it has entered into a delayed exchange agreement with NGPL dated September 28, 1979, which provides for Applicant to retain any volumes of gas tendered by NGPL for transportation pursuant to either of the above described agreements in any month, in the November-through-March periods until October 31, 1982, in which an imbalance occurs due to CIG's inability to receive the volumes tendered by NGPL to Applicant. It is further stated that any such volumes which cannot be delivered to CIG during the November through March period would be retained by Applicant for delivery to CIG on a best-efforts basis during the April through October periods ending October 31, 1982.

Applicant states that for each Mcf of gas which it accepts for transportation but cannot deliver, NGPL would charge it an amount equal to the price of new natural gas as provided in Section 102 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) and Subpart (B) of Part 271 of the Interim Regulations under the NGPA as determined on the date of receipt of such gas by Applicant. It is further stated that during summer periods Applicant would deliver volumes sufficient to replace the delayed exchange gas retained during winter periods and would charge NGPL for each Mcf of gas so delivered to CIG for NGPL's account an amount equal to the price of new natural gas as provided in Section 102 of the NGPA and Subpart (B) of Part 271 of the Interim Regulations implementing the NGPA as determined on the date of delivery by Applicant of said volumes.

Applicant proposes to charge NGPL a gathering rate of 8.04 cents per Mcf for gas delivered by NGPL to Applicant on its intrastate gathering system in the described Overthrust Area. It is further proposed that Applicant would charge NGPL a gathering rate of 8.0 cents per Mcf for gas delivered by NGPL to it on its gathering system attached to its interstate transmission system in the Overthrust Area. Applicant proposes to charge NGPL a transportation rate of 13.22 cents per Mcf of gas transported through Applicant's transmission facilities and a compression charge of 5.0 cents per Mcf in addition to being reimbursed a proportionate share of compressor fuel if compression is necessary for the delivery of natural gas to CIG for NGPL. Applicant further states that if additional facilities are needed to receive gas from NGPL, it would charge NGPL a cost of service based expansion charge, to be approved by the Commission.

Applicant requests authorization to take deliveries immediately at any new receipt point in the Overthrust Area pursuant to the September 27, 1979, agreement.

Applicant further requests exemption from the Natural Gas Act and the NGPA as they may apply to the portion of its intrastate system which would be used

to provide the transportation and delayed exchange services proposed herein.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. It a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2668 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP78-123, et al.]

Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Co., et al.; Pre-Filing Conference for Proposed Alaskan Segment of the Alaskan Natural Gas Tranportation System (ANGTS)

January 22, 1980.

Take notice that on January 29, 1980, at 9:00 a.m. a pre-filing conference will be convened at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The purpose of this conference is to allow the Applicants of the proposed Alaskan segment of the ANGTS to discuss with interested persons aspects of the engineering and design now contemplated for the Alaskan segment. Questions concerning the cost estimate format with respect to the Alaskan segment may also be discussed. The application for final Commission authority to construct and operate this segment is expected to be filed in June 1980. Applications for authority to construct and operate other segments of the ANGTS (the "pre-build" portions) are now being considered in *Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company, et al.*, Docket Nos. CP78-123, *et al.*

This pre-filing conference is open to the public. Attendance does not constitute Commission authorization to participate as a party in any proceeding.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2681 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-141]

Oklahoma Natural Gas Gathering Corp.; Application

January 24, 1980.

Take notice that on December 17, 1979, Oklahoma Natural Gas Gathering Corporation (Applicant), 624 South Boston Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119, filed in Docket No. CP80-141 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation and delivery of natural gas to eight rural residential customers located adjacent to Applicant's pipeline facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that the purpose for the proposed transportation is to make direct sales of gas to eight rural residences near Enid, Oklahoma, to be used for residential heating and cooking. Each residence, it is asserted, would consume an average of 154 Mcf of gas per year. It is stated further that the proposed service would not result in any impairment of service to Applicant's two other customers, require no construction and involve no financing.

Applicant states that said residences are presently being served by Oklahoma Natural Gas Company, its parent, but that for administrative efficiency and economy it has been decided to serve the customers directly.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commision on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2669 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP79-489]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. and Trunkline Gas Co.; Amendment to Application

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that on December 12, 1979, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), 3000 Bissonnet Street, Houston, Texas 77001, and 3444 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri 64141, filed in Docket No. CP79-489 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act an amendment to their joint application filed September 17, 1979, in the instant docket so as to (1) delete the Dewey County, Oklahoma, point of receipt from Oklahoma Natural Gas Company (ONG), (2) decrease the firm transportation volumes, and (3) increase

volumes to be transported on a best-efforts basis, all as more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicants state that the joint application filed September 17, 1979, in Docket No. CP79-489 requesting authorization to transport gas for United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) is pending Commission action.

Applicants assert that United has been advised that ONG would be unable to transport natural gas for Transok Pipeline Company (Transok) for delivery to Panhandle, for United's account at the Dewey County, Oklahoma, points of receipt. It is further stated that consequently, Applicants and United executed an amended transportation agreement dated November 7, 1979, amending their transportation contract of August 27, 1979. Applicants assert that the November 7, 1979, amendment would (1) delete the Dewey County, Oklahoma, point of receipt from ONG, (2) decrease the firm transportation volume of natural gas from 75,000 Mcf per day to 45,000 Mcf per day, as well as the consistant monthly charge, and (3) increase the volumes to be transported on a best-efforts basis to 30,000 Mcf of natural gas per day.

Applicants state that, as consideration for the amended transportation service, United would pay Panhandle a monthly charge of $254,550, with an upward or downward adjustment of 18.56 cents per Mcf to be applied to any excess or deficiency in quantities taken.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said amendment should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. All persons who have heretofore filed need not file again.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2670 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ER80-189]

Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection; Filing

January 21, 1980.

Take notice that the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection (PJM) on January 16, 1980, tendered for filing, proposed to become effective April 1, 1980, Schedule 5.03 replacing Schedule 5.02, Schedule 7.03 replacing Schedule 7.02, Schedule 8.02 replacing Schedule 8.01, which expired by its terms on December 31, 1974, and Schedule 9.02 replacing Schedule 9.01 to the VEPCO-PJM Interconnection Agreement dated September 30, 1965, as supplemented on September 1, 1976.

PJM indicates that the parties to this agreement are Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO) and the PJM group which consists of Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Philadelphia Electric Company, Pennsylvania Power & Light Company, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, Potomac Electric Power Company, Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company.

PJM indicates that the parties to this agreement have agreed to these revisions which, among other things reflect a departure from the traditional cost plus 10% basis for pricing emergency, extended emergency, and short term energy and operating capacity transactions, as well as conservation energy and other energy transactions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said Application should file a petition to intervene or to protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before February 11, 1980. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2691 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. OR78-6]

Powder River Pipeline Corp. and Crude Co. v. Amoco Pipeline Co.; Order Accepting Settlement Agreement

Issued: January 15, 1980.

On August 25, 1977, Powder River Pipeline Corporation (Powder River) and its affiliate, The Crude Company (TCC), filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado against Amoco Pipeline Company (Amoco), Western Oil Transportation Company, Inc. (Western) and Western's parent corporation, the Permian Corporation (Permian). In their complaint, Powder River and TCC alleged violations of sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, as well as violations of sections 1(4) and 3(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act. On October 14, 1977, the district court issued an order which held that the issues arising under sections 1(4) and 3(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act were within the primary jurisdiction of this Commission.[1]

Powder River and TCC filed a complaint with the Commission on January 4, 1978, alleging that Amoco unreasonably favored Western with respect to the allocation of Amoco's pipeline capacity at its Reno Station in Wyoming.[2] On September 22, 1978, the Commission issued an order which set the complaint for investigation and granted intervention to Western and Permian.

Thereafter, the parties submitted their cases-in-chief and two prehearing conferences were convened by the presiding judge. On November 7, 1979, Western and Permian filed a Notice of Settlement, and a Stipulation of Dismissal executed by all parties. These pleadings constitute a full settlement of all issues in this proceeding and an agreement that Powder River and TCC's claim against Amoco shall be dismissed with prejudice.[3] The presiding judge

[1] The district court retained jurisdiction of Powder River and TCC's complaint pending action by this Commission on the propriety of Amoco's proration policy.

[2] The complaint was predicated on section 3(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act, which prohibits pipeline common carriers from discriminating between shippers by giving any undue preference or advantage to any shipper.

[3] Powder River and TCC also agreed to file a stipulation of dismissal as to all claims asserted by

Footnotes continued on next page

certified the Notice of Settlement and Stipulation of Dismissal to the Commission on December 6, 1979.

The Commission Staff initially opposed dismissal on the ground that Amoco did not have a proration policy published in its tariff with respect to its Reno Station in Wyoming. In response, Amoco has agreed to file such a proration policy in the form attached as Appendix A to this order. The settlement in this docket, therefore, consists of a Stipulation of Dismissal and a proposed proration policy to be filed by Amoco. As thus conditioned, the settlement is agreed to by all parties and the Commission Staff.[4]

The Commission finds that the proposed settlement represents a just and reasonable resolution of the prorationing problem presented by the parties in this proceeding. Accordingly, the Commission finds that the Stipulation of Dismissal filed herein should be accepted and that following the filing of the proration tariff attached as Appendix A, this proceeding should be terminated.

The Commission believes, however, that the activities which were the subject matter of this docket suggest the possibility of criminal conduct so as to warrant our further investigation. By separate order issued this day, the Commission has directed its Office of Enforcement to conduct such an investigation. While the investigation does not affect the relief afforded by the proposed settlement or the termination of the proceeding in Docket No. OR78–6, we believe it appropriate to announce its initiation here.

The Commission orders:

(A) The proposed settlement agreement is accepted.

(B) Amoco Pipeline Company shall promptly file the proration language attached as Appendix A to this order with this Commission by appropriate tariff publications.

(C) Following the filing of the proration language referred to in subparagraph (B) above, this proceeding shall be terminated.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

Appendix "A"

During any period of time when the aggregate volume of Crude Petroleum to be received and/or transported by Carrier exceeds the available pipeline capacity, space in Carrier's facilities shall be equitably allocated among all shippers in compliance with Carrier's obligations under Part I of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended, and the following Proration Policy:

(a) In order for Carrier to determine if it is necessary to allocate space in the Reno to Salt Creek line, Carrier will require shippers to nominate volumes to be shipped 6 or more days prior to the beginning of each shipping month.

(b) When the total nominated volumes for any month exceed the capacity of Reno-Salt Creek line, the total nominated volume will be divided into the line capacity to determine the "proration ratio". Each shipper's allocation shall be determined by multiplying its nomination by the applicable proration ratio.

(c) Carrier will notify shippers of such proration and the amount of space that will be allocated to each no later than the first working day of the shipping month.

If a shipper fails to use the space, shipper's allocated volume for each subsequent prorated scheduling month, not to exceed six months, will be reduced by up to the amount of the unused space; except that to the extent such failure is, in the sole opinion of Carrier, due to causes beyond the reasonable control of shipper, shipper will not be penalized in its allocated volume for the subsequent prorated scheduling period(s).

(d) Allocated space of one shipper may not be assigned, conveyed or used by another during such time as this proration policy may be in effect.

(e) All barrels tendered by shippers must be received at Amoco's Reno Station on a prorata basis during the month. Failure of a shipper to make available said barrels at Reno Station on a prorata basis may cause inefficient use of the pipeline capacity. In such event, Amoco may redistribute space allocation among other shipper to efficiently utilize the pipeline capacity. Any loss of space by a shipper due to such redistribution may not be carried forward to any subsequent month.

[FR Doc. 80–2693 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. CP80–183]

Southwest Gas Corp.; Application

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that on January 10, 1980, Southwest Gas Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 15015, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114, filed in Docket No. CP80–183 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of two high pressure tap facilities, one on the Yerington Lateral and one on the Elko Lateral of Applicant's northern Nevada transmission system, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Yerington tap, located near Yerington, Nevada, would enable Applicant to deliver volumes of gas to 31 residential customers in Campbell Ranch Subdivision in Lyon County, Nevada, it is stated. The Elko tap, located near Winnemucca, Nevada, would enable Applicant to deliver volumes of gas to 33 residential customers in the Richards Estates Subdivision in Humboldt County, Nevada, Applicant asserts.

Applicant states the volumes to be delivered would be solely for Priority 1 use with average day requirements to be approximately 10 Mcf per day. Peak day requirements for the Yerington and Elko customers would be approximately 48 Mcf per day and 51 Mcf per day, respectively, it is asserted, and annual deliveries would reach approximately 3,527 Mcf and 3,775 Mcf, respectively.

Applicant states that the cost of the Yerington facilities would be approximately $1,088, and the cost of the Elko facilities would be approximately $800. The cost of both taps would be financed by an advance made to Applicant by the developer of each of the respective subdividions, it is asserted.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 14, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rule of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this

---

Footnotes continued from last page

them before the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

[4] By order of the presiding judge, issued November 8, 1979, notice of dates for filing comments and reply comments was prescribed. The only comments received were those of Staff, in which the Staff stated that it would support a motion to terminate if the suggested proration language was filed. No reply comments were filed.

application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedrue herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2665 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-162]

Texas Gas Transmission Corp.; Application

January 23, 1980.

Take notice than on December 27, 1979, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP80-162 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a 2,250 horsepower compressor unit at its Eunice Compressor Station in Acadia Parish, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it is currently utilizing all of the four existing 1,100 horsepower units on that portion of its system which terminates at its Eunice Compressor Station, which leaves it vulnerable to a loss of supply during maintanance downtime and unforeseen shutdowns. It is further stated that the 2,250 horsepower compressor unit would insure continuity of service and provide flexibility in allowing Applicant to receive additional supplies of gas that are or may become available in the area of the relevant part of its system, and that with this compressor unit gas supplies could be purchased on short notice and timely attached to its pipeline system.

Applicant states that the $3,547,000 estimated cost of the proposed facilities would be financed from funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2671 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP79-3]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. and Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.; Petition To Amend

January 24, 1980.

Take notice that on December 20, 1979, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77001, and Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company (Mich Wisc), One Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226, filed in Docket No. CP79-3 a joint petition to amend the order of April 4, 1979, issued in the instant docket pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act so as to authorize the addition of three new receipt points to an existing transportation and exchange arrangement, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Pursuant to the order issued April 4, 1979, Petitioners were authorized to transport and exchange natural gas with each other under a gas transportation and exchange agreement dated September 8, 1978. Petitioners have entered into an amendatory agreement dated November 1, 1979, pursuant to which Mich Wisc would receive gas from Transco from three additional wells in Beckham County, Oklahoma, from which Transco has obtained the right to purchase gas from Texaco, Inc. The tree wells are the Adkerson No. 2 Well, Cupp "B" No. 2 Well and Mills No. 2 Well, it is stated.

It is stated that pursuant to the November 1, 1979, agreement, an additional Transco receipt point has been added to receive gas being purchased by Mich Wisc from the J. S. O'Flynn Well, Jefferson Davis County, Mississippi. Petitioners assert no further authorization is required for this receipt point as Petitioners have been authorized by the order issued April 4, 1979, to add new points from time to time where Transco may receive gas for Mich Wisc in Mississippi.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petiton to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2672 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket NO. CP80-136]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.; Application

January 24, 1980.

Take notice that on December 13, 1979. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP80-136 an application pursuant to

Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Applicant to construct and operate 1.45 miles of 20-inch pipeline and appurtenant facilities which would allow the receipt by Applicant of up to 200,000 Mcf of natural gas per day from Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Tetco) at the existing interconnection between the two companies near Applicant's Compression Station No. 45 in Beauregard Parish (Ragley Interconnection), Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that, since Tetco's normal operating pressure at the Ragley Interconnection is lower than Applicant's, the natural gas which is received by Applicant from Tetco at the interconnection is presently being delivered into Applicant's mainline system through an 8-inch line which connects to one of Applicant's two 30-inch mainlines near the Ragley Interconnection. It is further stated that a segment of said 30-inch mainline (located on the downstream side of Compressor Station No. 45) is then used to backflow the gas to the suction side of Compressor Station No. 45 where it is compressed and transported downstream through Applicant's other 30-inch mainline. Applicant states that approximately 60,000 Mcf of natural gas per day are currently being received from Tetco at the Ragley Interconnection.

Applicant proposes to construct 1.45 miles of 20-inch pipeline which would extend from Applicant's existing meter station at the Ragley Interconnection to the suction side of Compressor Station No. 45, allowing for Applicant's receipts from Tetco of up to 200,000 Mcf of natural gas per day. Applicant asserts that the proposed facilities would facilitate the transfer of additional quantities of gas at the Ragley Interconnection, would eliminate the use of the segment of Applicant's mainline as suction line, and would allow such line to be used in its entirety in the forward haul of Applicant's gas supplies. Applicant states that such facilities would thereby substantially reinstate original throughput capacity.

Applicant states that the cost of the proposed facilities would be $810,000, which would be financed initially from internal funds, or from short-term borrowing, with permanent financing to be arranged at a later date.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice, that pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2673 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ER80-191]

Tucson Electric Power Co.; Filing

January 22, 1980.

The filing company submits the following:

Take notice that Tucson Electric Power Company (Tucson) on January 16, 1980, tendered for filing Amendment No. 1 to the Tucson-AEPCO Electric Power Wheeling Agreement dated as of January 4, 1980 to the Tucson-AEPCO Electric Power Wheeling Agreement dated as of February 19, 1976 between Tucson and Arizona Electric Power Cooperative (AEPCO). Tucson states that the primary purpose of Amendment No. 1 is to provide changes in the "Points of Delivery" of the contract to the extent of deleting one of the previously agreed to points of delivery and adding three new points of delivery. Tucson further states that copies of the filing were served upon AEPCO.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before February 13, 1980. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2682 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. GP80-41]

United Gas Pipeline Co.; Third-Party Protests[1]

January 23, 1980.

Take notice that in accordance with the procedures established by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) in Order No. 23-B[2], and "Order on Rehearing of Order No. 23-B," [3] the Gas Consumers Group (GCG) filed a supplemental third-party protest on December 26, 1979, to the assertion by the United Gas Pipeline Company (United). United protests that the contracts in Appendix A do not constitute contractual authority for the producers to charge and collect any applicable maximum lawful price under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA).

Take further notice that Gulf States Utilities Company (Gulf States) also filed a third-party protest on December 26, 1979. Gulf States protests that the contracts in Appendix B do not constitute contractual authority for the producers to increase prices to the applicable NGPA maximum lawful price.

Any person, other than the pipeline and the seller, desiring to be heard or to make any response with respect to these

[1] The term "third-party protest" refers to a protest filed by a party who is not a party to the contract which is protested.

[2] "Order Adopting Final Regulations and Establishing Protest Procedure," Docket No. RM79-22, issued June 21, 1979.

[3] Docket No. RM79-22, issued August 6, 1979.

protests should file with the Commission, on or before February 6, 1980, a petition to intervene in accordance with 18 CFR 1.8. The seller need not file for intervention because under 18 CFR § 154.94(j)(4)(ii), the seller in the first sale is automatically joined as a party.

Lois D. Cashell,
Acting Secretary.

Appendix A

Seller	Contract No.	Rate schedule	Contract date
Davis Oil Co.	6417	NJ	78/06/19
Exxon Corp.	6311	NJ	78/02/09
Scott Russell, Jr.	5683	CS	74/06/29
Marathon Oil Co.	5509	15	73/07/16
Louisiana Gas Ser. Expl. Co.	6364	NJ	78/04/11
Exxon Corp.	*1942*	NJ	57/06/28
General American Oil Co. Tex.	5838	37	75/06/09
Texaco Inc.	0516	495	27/12/31
Clemco Inc.	*1589*	1	56/09/11
Brown, Maurice L.	5700	CS	78/07/16
Texaco Inc.	5704	48	74/08/23
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	5961	306	76/03/01
Getty Oil Co.	5979	239	76/03/01
Pickens Co., Inc.	5987	CS	76/03/01
Sun Oil Co.	5995	21	76/03/01
Schlachter, David A.	5546	CS	73/09/10
Crystal Oil Co.	6194	CS	77/07/29
Logue & Patterson	*5056*	CS	71/02/01
Lynd, R. L.	*1269*	CS	49/07/01
Howell, H. H.	*4364*	CS	60/02/19
American Petrofina Co. of Tex.	5899	97	76/10/20
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	5925	305	76/03/01
Houston Oil & Mineral Corp.	*1398*	CS	50/11/17
Sun Oil Co.	*4921*	290	69/04/14
Hunt Oil Co.	6463	NA	78/07/03
Intercity Mgmt. Corp.	*1276*	CS	49/07/19
Gulf Oil Corp.	*1043*	74	46/03/26
Amax Petroleum Corp.	6157	CS	77/05/20
Sun Oil Co.	5783	66	74/12/01
Marathon Oil Co.	5798	16	75/01/15
Norton Oil Co., Inc.	5804	CS	75/02/05
Exxon Corp.	5880	110	75/11/10
Union Oil Co. of Calif.	6837	NA	79/08/20
Bodcaw Co.	6275	CS	77/12/12
Amerada Hess Corp.	6352	EP	78/03/31
Chevron USA, Inc.	*5787*	147	74/12/20
Cox, Edwin L.	5288	NJ	72/07/21
Gulf Oil Corp.	*4103*	161	58/07/09
Art Machin & Ass'n Inc.	6324	CS	78/02/13
Hunter Co., Inc.	5186	CS	71/12/06
Crystal Oil Co.	5939	CS	76/03/01
ShoVan Gas Prod. Co., Inc.	0523	CS	29/10/15
South Coast Oil, Inc.	5171	SP	71/12/06
Allied Petroleum Co.	*5183*	CS	71/10/11
Allied Petroleum Co.	5209	CS	72/02/04
Allied Petroleum Co.	5269	CS	72/05/19
Miles Kimball Co.	5369	CS	72/12/12
McCarter, A. Nelson	6004	CS	75/12/11
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	5864	209	75/07/01
Par Oil Corp.	*5437*	CS	73/04/02
Mobil Oil Corp.	5867	112	75/09/24
Sugar Creek Prod. Co.	*3100*	CS	29/12/20
Gulf Oil Corp.	5028	NJ	70/12/21
Getty Oil Co.	5009	NJ	70/07/16
Tenneco Oil Co.	5005	261	70/06/12
Brammer Eng. Inc.	5037	CS	70/12/28
Gulf Oil Corp.	1052	323	46/04/23
Logue & Patterson	5176	CS	71/09/22
Texas Oil & Gas Corp.	4213	85	58/12/31
Reserve Oil & Gas Co.	5117	CS	71/05/28
Marathon Oil Co.	0858	17	40/04/29
Woods, Harold L.	*1331*	CS	69/12/02
Mobil Oil Corp.	*2363*	114	37/09/26
Amax Petroleum Corp.	6249	CS	77/10/20
Mobil Oil Corp.	6461	564	78/08/01
Gulf Minerals, Inc.	5785	77	74/06/15
McMoran Expl. Co.	5711	11	74/09/13
Shell Oil Co.	4274	203	59/04/30
Tenneco Oil Co.	0451	75	30/10/23
Antares Oil Corp.	*6299*	CS	78/01/10
Par Oil Corp.	*5022*	CS	70/09/01

Appendix A—Continued

Seller	Contract No.	Rate schedule	Contract date
Par Oil Corp.	5149	CS	71/08/09
Frank L. Pitts d/b/a Pitt Oil Company	6170	NJ	77/07/22
NP Energy Corp.	6611	NA	79/03/26
Soverign Expl. Co.	6657	NA	79/05/01
Southland Royalty Co.	5685	NA	74/06/21
Cayman Expl. Corp.	6695	NJ	79/06/04
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	6563	NA	79/01/23
Borden Chem./Div. of Borden	6855	NJ	59/07/10
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	6191	229	77/07/29
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	2692	264	67/02/06
Amoco Production Co.	6547	NA	79/01/16
Texas Oil & Gas Corp.	6894	NA	79/10/02
Amoco Prod. Co.	6595	CS	79/06/05
Triton Oil & Gas Corp.	6656	CS	79/05/01
Grace Petroleum Corp.	6692	CS	79/05/22
Cotton Petroleum Corp.	6666	NA	79/07/21
Texas Oil & Gas Corp.	6773	NA	79/11/21
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	2698	276	70/07/28
SPG Oil Co.	6494	CS	78/10/23
Soverign Expl. Co.	6441	CS	78/07/12
Se-Gu Corp.	6518	CS	78/11/02
Maynard Oil Co.	6684	NA	79/05/11
Frost, C. M.	6710	NA	79/05/31
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	1141	63	47/05/28
Atlantic Richfield Co.	6525	NA	78/11/13
Amoco Prod. Co.	6609	NA	79/04/30
Harris, R. C.	2628	253	50/12/29
Canus Petroleum, Inc.	6701	NA	79/05/30
Holly Energy Inc.	6676	NA	79/05/17
Mosbacher, Robert	6458	CS	78/08/28
Saga Petroleum Inc.	6462	NA	78/08/15
Dynamic Expl. Inc.	6601	NA	79/02/23
ECEE, Inc.	6367	NA	78/04/27
Texas Prod. Co.	6369	NA	78/04/27
VSEA, Inc.	6658	1	79/05/04
Pinto, Inc.	6659	5	79/05/04
Crow, David, Agent	6605	NA	79/02/13
Pintail Mineral Corp.	6192	SP	76/07/12
Hogan Drilling Co.	6449	CS	78/07/24
Bass, Perry R.	6573	31	79/02/07
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	2701	283	71/12/23
Primos Oil & Gas Co. Inc.	2728	CS	73/05/24
Loch Arbor Prod. Co.	6500	CS	78/09/20
Powell, Robert E.	6546	CS	78/12/28
Spooner, Frank	6465	CS	78/07/19
Spooner, Frank	6703	NA	79/05/15
Denton, B. G.	6428	CS	78/08/24
Pioneer Oil & Gas Co., Inc.	6664	NA	79/05/25
Triton Oil & Gas Corp.	6665	NA	79/05/01
Trident Oil & Gas Corp.	6569	NA	79/01/16
Pennzoil Prod. Co.	2716	239	72/11/06
Quintana Petroleum Corp.	4166	148	58/06/15
Canus Petroleum, Inc.	6701	NA	79/05/30

Appendix B

Seller name	Contract No.	Date	NGPA
Allied Petroleum Co	5183	71/10/11	108
Allied Petroleum Co	5209	72/02/04	108
Allied Petroleum Co	5269	72/05/19	108
Amax Petroleum Corp	6157	77/05/20	103
Amax Petroleum Corp	6249	77/10/20	102
Amerada Hess Corp	6352	78/03/31	102
American Petrofina Co Tex	5899	76/10/20	103
Amoco Production Co	6547	79/01/16	102
Amoco Production Co	6547	79/01/16	103
Amoco Production Co	6595	79/06/05	102
Amoco Production Co	6595	79/06/05	103
Amoco Production Co	6609	79/04/30	102
Antares Oil Corp	6299	78/01/10	103
Art Machin & Assn Inc	6324	78/02/13	103
Atlantic-Richfield Co	6525	78/11/13	103
Bass Perry R	6573	79/02/07	102
Bodcaw Co	6275	77/12/12	108
Borden Chemical	6855	59/07/10	102
Brammer Engineering Inc	5037	70/12/28	108
Brown Maurice L	5700	78/07/16	108
Canus Petroleum Inc	6701	79/05/30	102
Canus Petroleum Inc	6701	79/05/30	103

Appendix B—Continued

Seller name	Contract No.	Date	NGPA
Cayman Exploration Corp	6695	79/06/04	102
Cayman Exploration Corp	6695	79/06/04	103
Chevron USA Inc	5787	74/12/20	107
Clemco Inc	1589	53/09/11	108
Cotton Petroleum Co	6666	79/07/21	107
Cox Edwin L	5288	72/07/21	103
Crow David Agent	6605	79/02/13	102
Crystal Oil Co	6194	77/07/29	103
Crystal Oil Co	5939	76/03/01	103
Davis Oil Co	6417	78/06/19	102
Denton B G	6428	78/08/24	103
Dynamic Exploration Inc	6601	79/02/23	102
Ecee Inc	6367	78/04/27	102
Exxon Corp	6311	78/02/09	102
Exxon Corp	1942	57/06/28	103
Exxon Corp	6311	78/02/09	103
Exxon Corp	5880	75/11/10	108
Frost C M	6710	79/05/31	103
General American Oil Co Tex	5838	75/06/09	103
Getty Oil Co	5009	70/07/16	103
Getty Oil Co	5979	76/03/01	103
Getty Oil Co	5979	76/03/01	108
Grace Petroleum Corp	6692	79/05/22	103
Gulf Minerals Inc	5785	74/06/15	108
Gulf Oil Corp	5028	70/12/21	103
Gulf Oil Corp	1052	46/04/23	103
Gulf Oil Corp	1043	46/03/26	108
Gulf Oil Corp	1043	46/03/26	108
Gulf Oil Corp	4103	58/07/09	108
Harris R C	2628	50/12/29	102
Hogan Drilling Co	6449	78/07/24	103
Holly Energy Inc	6676	79/05/17	102
Holly Energy Inc	6676	79/05/17	103
Houston Oil & Mineral Corp	1398	50/11/17	108
Howell H H	4364	60/02/19	103
Hunt Oil Co	6463	78/07/03	108
Hunter Co Inc	5186	71/12/06	108
Intercity Management Corp	1276	49/07/19	108
La Gas Service Expl Co	6364	78/04/11	102
Loch Arbor Production Co	6500	78/09/20	103
Loch Arbor Production Co	6500	78/09/20	108
Logue & Patterson	5056	71/02/01	108
Logue & Patterson	5176	71/09/22	108
Lynd R L	1269	49/07/01	108
Marathon Oil Co	5509	73/07/16	103
Marathon Oil Co	5798	75/01/15	108
Marathon Oil Co	0858	40/04/29	103
Maynard Oil Co	6684	78/05/11	102
McCarter A Nelson	6004	75/12/11	103
McMoran Exploration Co	5711	74/09/13	102
Miles Kimball Co	5369	72/12/12	108
Mobil Oil Corp	6461	78/08/01	102
Mobil Oil Corp	6461	78/08/01	103
Mobil Oil Corp	2363	37/09/26	108
Mobil Oil Corp	5867	75/09/24	108
Mosbacher Robert	6458	78/08/28	103
N P Energy Corp	6611	79/03/26	103
Norton Oil Co Inc	5804	75/02/05	108
Par Oil Corp	5022	70/09/01	108
Par Oil Corp	5149	71/08/09	108
Par Oil Corp	5437	73/04/02	108
Pennzoil Producing Co.	6563	79/01/23	102
Pennzoil Producing Co.	2692	67/02/06	103
Pennzoil Producing Co.	6191	77/07/29	103
Pennzoil Producing Co.	5961	76/03/01	103
Pennzoil Producing Co.	5961	76/03/01	108
Pennzoil Producing Co.	2698	70/07/28	102
Pennzoil Producing Co.	2698	70/07/28	108
Pennzoil Producing Co.	5925	76/03/01	108
Pennzoil Producing Co.	1141	47/05/28	102
Pennzoil Producing Co.	1141	47/05/28	103
Pennzoil Producing Co.	2701	71/12/23	108
Pennzoil Producing Co.	5864	75/07/01	108
Pennzoil Producing Co.	2716	72/11/06	108
Pickens Co Inc	5987	76/03/01	103
Pintail Mineral Corp	6192	76/07/12	108
Pinto Inc	6659	79/05/04	102
Pioneer Oil & Gas Co Inc	6664	79/05/25	102
Pitts Frank L	6170	77/07/22	108
Powell Robert E	6546	78/12/28	103
Primos Oil & Gas Co Inc	2728	73/05/24	103

Appendix B—Continued

Seller name	Contract No.	Date	NGPA
Primos Oil & Gas Co Inc	2728	73/05/24	108
Quintana Petroleum Corp	4166	58/06/15	107
Reserve Oil & Gas Co	5117	71/05/28	108
S P G Oil Co	6494	78/10/23	102
SA-GU Corporation	6518	78/11/02	103
Saga Petroleum Inc	6462	78/08/15	102
Schlachter David A	5546	73/09/10	108
Scott Russell Jr	5683	74/06/29	103
Shell Oil Co	4274	59/04/30	103
Sho Van Gas Prod Co Inc	0523	29/10/15	108
South Coast Oil Inc	5171	71/12/06	108
Southland Royalty Co	5685	74/06/21	108
Sovereign Exploration Co	6441	78/07/12	103
Sovereign Exploration Co	6657	79/05/01	103
Spooner Frank	6465	78/07/19	102
Spooner Frank	6703	79/05/15	102
Sugar Creek Producing Co	3100	29/12/20	108
Sun Oil Co	5995	76/03/01	108
Sun Oil Co	4921	69/04/14	103
Sun Oil Co	5783	74/12/01	108
Tenneco Oil Co	0451	30/10/23	103
Tenneco Oil Co	5005	70/06/12	108
Texaco Inc	0516	27/12/31	103
Texaco Inc	5704	74/08/23	102
Texaco Inc	5704	74/08/23	103
Texaco Inc	5704	74/08/23	108
Texas Oil & Gas Corp	6894	79/10/02	102
Texas Oil & Gas Corp	6773	79/11/21	103
Texas Oil & Gas Corp	4213	58/12/31	103
Texas Production Co	6369	78/04/27	102
Trident Oil & Gas Corp.	6569	79/01/16	102
Trident Oil & Gas Corp.	6656	79/05/01	102
Trident Oil & Gas Corp.	6665	79/05/01	102
Union Oil Co of Cal	6837	79/08/20	102
VSEA Inc	6658	79/05/04	102
Woods Harold L	1331	69/12/02	108

[FR Doc. 80-2686 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-146]

Valley Gas Transmission, Inc.; Application

January 24, 1980.

Take notice that on December 19, 1979, Valley Gas Transmission, Inc. (Applicant), 3200 Entex Building, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP80-146 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the addition of new delivery points for the sale and delivery of gas to United Gas Pipe Line Company (United), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it is actively engaged in seeking additional gas supplies for delivery and sale to United and other existing customers. Applicant requests authorization to add delivery points to United as needed in Duval, Jim Wells, Nueces, and Refugio Counties, Texas. It is stated that the granting of the instant application would provide Applicant with blanket authority to add needed delivery points as new gas supplies are obtained without seeking specific Commission authorization for each such point.

Applicant states that if the construction of facilities becomes necessary, it would apply for requisite certificate authority prior to initiating such construction, and would add delivery points as proposed herein only if the construction and operation of any facilities necessary to effect such deliveries are otherwise authorized.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2674 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. SA80-36]

VCF Packaging Films, Inc.; Application for Adjustment

January 22, 1980.

On November 16, 1979, VCF Packaging Films, Inc., filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for an adjustment under section 502(c) of Title II of the Natural Gas Policy Act wherein VCF Packaging Films, Inc. sought relief from incremental pricing imposed by Section 201 of the NGPA.

The procedures applicable to the conduct of this adjustment proceeding are found in section 1.41 of the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, Order No. 24 issued March 22, 1979.

Any person desiring to participate in this adjustment proceeding shall file a petition to intervene in accordance with the provisions of section 1.41. All petitions to intervene must be filed on or before February 21, 1980.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2684 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-179]

Western Gas Interstate Co.; Application

January 24, 1980.

Take notice that on January 9, 1980, Western Gas Interstate Company (Applicant), 1800 First International Building, Dallas, Texas 75270, filed in Docket No. CP80-179 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.7(c) of the Regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(c)) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction, during the 12-month period commencing January 1, 1980, and operation of facilities to make miscellaneous rearrangements on its system, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The stated purpose of this budget-type application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in making miscellaneous rearrangements which would not result in any material change in the transportation and sales service presently rendered by Applicant.

Applicant states that the total cost of the proposed facilities would not exceed $100,000. Applicant further states that the cost of the proposed facilities would

be financed from funds on hand and short-term borrowings.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washigton, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2675 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. CP80-181]

Western Gas Interstate Co.; Application

January 24, 1980.

Take notice that on January 9, 1980, Western Gas Interstate Company (Applicant), 1800 First International Building, Dallas, Texas 75270, filed in Docket No. CP80-181 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.7(b) of the Regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(b)) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction, during an indefinite period commencing January 10, 1980, and operation of facilities to enable Applicant to take into its certificated main pipeline system natural gas supplies, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The stated purpose of this budget-type application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in connecting to its pipeline system supplies of natural gas which may become available from various producing areas generally coextensive with its pipeline system or the systems of other pipeline companies which may be authorized to transport gas for the account of or exchange gas with Applicant and supplies of natural gas from Applicant's own production or acquired for system supply under Sections 311 or 312 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978.

Applicant states that total cost of proposed facilities constructed during any calendar year would not exceed $500,000, which would be financed from funds on hand.

Applicant seeks waiver of Section 157.7(b)(7)(ii) of the Commission's Regulations which provides that persons holding existing budget-type certificates should apply for a new certificate within sixty days of termination of their existing certificate because Commission Order No. 56 promulgating the new regulations did not become effective until November 1, 1979, and Applicant did not receive a copy until a subsequent date.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 13, 1980, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2676 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[No. 137]

Determinations by Jurisdictional Agencies Under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978

January 15, 1980.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission received notices from the jurisdictional agencies listed below of determinations pursuant to 18 CFR 274.104 and applicable to the indicated wells pursuant to the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978.

Illinois Department of Mines and Minerals, Oil and Gas Division

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80-10359
2. 12-191-29019-0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. IBM Farms-Shaeffer-Greenwalt #1
6. Keenville
7. Wayne County IL
8. 3.5 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

1. 80-10360
2. 12-191-29033-0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. IBM Farms-Shaeffer-Greenwalt #2
6. Keenville
7. Wayne IL
8. 3.5 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

1. 80-10361
2. 12-191-28832-0000

3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. Reed-Ellis-Smith-Keen-Comm #1
6. Keenville
7. Wayne IL
8. 2.1 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

1. 80–10362
2. 12–191–28869–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. Elsie Smith A–1
6. Keenville
7. Wayne IL
8. 4.6 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

1. 80–10363
2. 12–191–28833–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. Elsie Smith B–1
6. Keenville
7. Wayne IL
8. .5 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

1. 80–10364
2. 12–191–28997–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. Elsie Smith B–2
6. Keenville
7. Wayne County IL
8. .5 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

1. 80–10365
2. 12–191–00393–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Collins Brothers Oil Company
5. Withrow Heirs #1
6. Keenville
7. Wayne IL
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Crystal Oil Company

Kansas Corporation Commission

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10358/K–79–0509
2. 15–055–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Northern Pump Company
5. Finnup #1 29044
6. Hugoton
7. Finney KS
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Co

Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10296/10–79–282
2. 25–101–21577–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. MM Theonnes well No 2
6. Kevin Sunburst
7. Toole MT
8. 53.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Montana Power Company

1. 80–10297/10–79–280
2. 25–041–22067–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Tricentrol US Inc
5. Solomon 34–9–32–16
6. Bullhook Unit—Tiger Ridge Field
7. Hill MT
8. 250.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Northern Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10306/10–79–285
2. 25–091–21236–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Gulf Oil Corporation
5. Lee Mae #1–33–1A
6. Clear Lake
7. Sheridan MT
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10.

1. 80–10307/10–79–286
2. 25–091–21246–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Gulf Oil Corporation
5. Stromberg #1
6. Undesignated
7. Sheridan MT
8. 286.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. True Oil Company

1. 80–10308/11–79–288
2. 25–041–22093–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texas Oil & Gas Corp
5. Seuser #1
6. Canadian Coulee
7. Hill MT
8. 144.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10.

1. 80–10309/11–79–289
2. 25–005–22078–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texas Oil & Gas Corp
5. #1 Johnson-Federal
6. Leroy
7. Blaine MT
8. 36.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10.

1. 80–10310/10–79–283
2. 25–101–21575–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. MM Theonnes well No 3
6. Kevin Sunburst
7. Toole MT
8. 40.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Montana Power Company

1. 80–10311/10–79–284
2. 25–101–21576–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. B M Wilkins well No 1
6. Kevin Sunburst
7. Toole MT
8. 24.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Montana Power Company

1. 80–10312/7–79–226
2. 25–071–21441–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Midlands Gas Corp
5. 3170 #1 Compton
6. Bowdion
7. Phillips MT
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Kans-Nebr Nat Gas Co Inc

1. 80–10313/10–79–287
2. 25–041–21090–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Tricentrol United States Inc
5. Beck 4–6–31–15
6. Bullhook Unit—Tiger Ridge
7. HIll MT
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Northern Natural Gas Co

Nebraska Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1. Control number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated Annual Volume
9. Date Received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10498/NGPA–38
2. 26–033–00000–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Skaer Enterprises Inc
5. Vernon-Easterly #2–3
6. Hendricks
7. Cheyenne NB
8. 73.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co

New Mexico Department of Energy and Minerals, Oil Conservation Division

1. Control number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated Annual Volume
9. Date Received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10298
2. 30–045–23540–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Supron Energy Corporation
5. Wright State com 1–A
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. San Juan County NM

8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. Southern Union Gathering Co

1. 80–10299
2. 30–015–20897–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. DRAG–C No 1
6. Carlsbad South (Morrow)
7. Eddy NM
8. 13.0 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10300
2. 30–045–08184–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Harry Nichoalds
5. Salmon IX
6. Aztec-Fruitland
7. San Juan NM
8. 3.9 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas

1. 80–10301
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. O H Berry
5. J L Isbell No 7
6. JAL-MAT Yates Seven Rivers
7. Lea NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 19, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company, The Permian Corp

1. 80–10302
2. 30–015–22764–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Yates Petroleum Corp
5. Wright JA No 2
6. Atoka-Yeso
7. Eddy NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Co

1. 80–10303
2. 30–025–26471–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Martindale Petroleum Corp
5. Little V #4
6. Drinkard
7. Lea NM
8. 40.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Getty Oil Company

1. 80–10304
2. 30–015–00000–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texas Oil & Gas Corp
5. Catclaw Draw State Com #1
6. Catclaw Draw (Morrow)
7. Eddy NM
8. 800.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10305
2. 30–045–23531–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Supron Energy Corp
5. Wright Com 1–R
6. Aztex Pictured Cliffs
7. San Juan NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Southern Union Gathering Co

Texas Railroad Commission, Oil and Gas Division

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10373/03700
2. 42–435–30487–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Lillian Bell Glasscock No 1
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Sutton TX
8. 19.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Co

1. 80–10374/03266
2. 42–087–26286–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Wattenbarger B #2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10375/03261
2. 42–483–26091–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Gooch 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 12.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10376/03259
2. 42–435–30409–0026
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Steen #8
6. Sonora (Canyon Upper)
7. Sutton TX
8. 12.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10377/03258
2. 42–087–25986–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Atkinson #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10378/03256
2. 42–087–26005–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Bell 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 10.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10379/03255
2. 42–483–26189–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Montgomery #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 6.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10380/03252
2. 42–435–19219–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Meckel #2
6. Sonora (Canyon Upper)
7. Sutton TX
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10381/03250
2. 42–087–26208–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Nicholson 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10382/03249
2. 42–179–26234–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Orr 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 7.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10383/02996
2. 42–421–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. American Petrofina Company of Texas
5. Bradley #2
6. Texas Hugoton
7. Sherman TX
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Company

1. 80–10384/03699
2. 42–435–31153–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Gerald Nicks No 2
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Sutton TX
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company

1. 80–10385/03632
2. 42–435–31162–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Joe M Vanderstucken No 3
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Sutton TX
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company

1. 80–10386/02643
2. 42–087–26283–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Wattenbarger A #3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 14.9 million cubic feet

9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10387/02526
2. 42–087–26231–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Oneil B 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 1.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10388/02642
2. 42–087–26047–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Cantrell A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 12.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10389/02641
2. 42–087–26289–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Williams #3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 17.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10390/02640
2. 42–087–26282–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Wattenbarger A 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10391/02638
2. 42–087–26018–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Bergman #2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 10.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10392/02637
2. 42–087–26151–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lutes 3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 11.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10393/02636
2. 42–087–26026–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Betenbough A 4
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 18.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10394/02635
2. 42–087–26004–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Bednorz #2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 4.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10395/03271
2. 42–087–26214–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Nicholson E #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 20.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10396/03269
2. 42–179–26641–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Mc Kinnon #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 27.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company, Pioneer Natural Gas Co
1. 80–10397/03267
2. 42–483–26146–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lister #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 3.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10398/02551
2. 42–087–26312–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Wischkaemper 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10399/02550
2. 42–435–30865–0026
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Meckel D #7
6. Sonora (Canyon Upper)
7. Sutton TX
8. 13.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10400/02548
2. 42–087–26209–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Nicholson 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10401/02547
2. 42–435–19215–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Meckel A 1
6. Sonora Canyon Upper
7. Sutton TX
8. 11.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10402/02546
2. 42–483–26100–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Harvey 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 6.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10403/02544
2. 42–087–26273–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Smith B 3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 1.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10404/02543
2. 42–483–26190–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Montgomery 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 4.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10405/02542
2. 42–087–26285–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Wattenbarger B #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 26.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10406/02541
2. 42–435–30314–0026
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Thomson B 2
6. Sonara (Canyon Upper)
7. Sutton TX
8. 9.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10407/02539
2. 42–087–26270–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Smith A #4
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 12.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10408/02529
2. 42–087–31522–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Christner #2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 7.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10409/02531

2. 42–435–30142–0026
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Collier Shurley 18 6
6. Sawyer (Canyon)
7. Sutton TX
8. 13.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10410/02532
2. 42–483–26210–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Nicholson A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 14.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10411/02533
2. 42–483–26163–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Martin B 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 11.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10412/02534
2. 42–483–31950–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. King #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 7.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10413/02536
2. 42–087–26054–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Clay #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 5.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10414/02572
2. 42–087–26173–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. McCracken 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 6.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10415/02571
2. 42–179–26219–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Noel A 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 15.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10416/02524
2. 42–087–25984–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Aldous I #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10417/02523
2. 42–483–33886–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Davis A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 13.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10418/02522
2. 42–087–31688–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Scott A #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 7.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10419/02521
2. 42–087–31521–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Christner #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 7.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10420/02519
2. 42–179–26217–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Noel 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 3.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10421/02518
2. 42–087–26116–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Hughes 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 20.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10422/02517
2. 42–087–26259–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Scruggs A #2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 1.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10423/02516
2. 42–087–26188–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Mileur #1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 15.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10424/02515
2. 42–087–26148–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lummus 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10425/02460
2. 42–087–26257–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Scruggs #2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10426/02458
2. 42–087–26132–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Laycock 16
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10427/02457
2. 42–087–26110–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Hobbs 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10428/02456
2. 42–087–26000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Baxter A 3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10429/02455
2. 42–087–26167–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Massey A #3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 4.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10430/02454
2. 42–483–33401–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Martin C 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 8.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10431/02442
2. 42–087–26256–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Scruggs 1
6. Panhandle East

7. Collingsworth TX
8. 7.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10432/02441
2. 42–087–26298–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Williams B #4
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 10.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10433/02440
2. 42–087–26275–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Smith X #3
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 11.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10434/02439
2. 42–087–26043–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Burroughs 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. .7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10435/02438
2. 42–087–26253–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Schoonover 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 12.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10436/02420
2. 42–087–26071–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Ferril 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 7.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10437/02419
2. 42–087–26297–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Williams B #3
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 9.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10438/02418
2. 42–087–26261–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Scruggs B 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10439/02416
2. 42–087–26287–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Williams 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 4.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10440/02414
2. 42–087–26317–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Wischkaemper B 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 17.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10441/02413
2. 42–087–25996–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Baxter #3
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10442/02412
2. 42–087–25991–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Barker 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10443/02411
2. 42–087–25981–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Aldous F 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 12.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10444/02410
2. 42–087–26029–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Betenbough B 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.1 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10445/02437
2. 42–087–26038–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Brashears #1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 6.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10446/02436
2. 42–087–25979–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Aldous D #1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 17.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10447/02435
2. 42–087–26082–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Glenn 3
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 8.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10448/02434
2. 42–087–26104–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Hayes 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10449/02433
2. 42–087–26088–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Glenn D 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 12.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10450/02431
2. 42–087–26052–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Clark A 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 4.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10451/02430
2. 42–087–26186–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. McFarland 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10452/02429
2. 42–087–26150–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lutes 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 9.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10453/03711
2. 42–435–30918–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Edwin S Mayer D #5
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Sutton TX
8. 19.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10454/02570

2. 42–087–26010–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Bell E 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10455/02569
2. 42–087–26156–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lutes A 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10456/02568
2. 42–087–26194–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Morgan C #1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10457/02567
2. 42–483–26050–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. City Golf Club #1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Wheeler TX
8. 4.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10458/02566
2. 42–087–25999–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Baxter A 2
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10459/02563
2. 42–087–26040–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Bryan 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10460/02561
2. 42–087–25982–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Aldous G 1
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10461/02560
2. 42–087–26233–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. ONeil B 3
6. Phanhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. .6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10462/02559
2. 42–087–26063–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Dargatz 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10463/02558
2. 42–087–26058–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Coleman #3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 5.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10464/02556
2. 42–087–26081–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Glenn 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 13.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10465/02557
2. 42–087–26225–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Oneil 3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 1.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10466/02555
2. 42–087–26242–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Parrish D 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10467/02554
2. 42–087–26252–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Schoonover 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10468/02528
2. 42–087–26311–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Willoughby 3
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10469/02425
2. 42–087–26291–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Williams A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10470/02424
2. 42–087–26140–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Laycock A 8
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 17.7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10471/02423
2. 42–087–26059–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Collins 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 10.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10472/02421
2. 42–179–26236–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Orr 3
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 10.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10473/02422
2. 42–179–26237–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Orr 4
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 7.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10474/02427
2. 42–179–26220–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Noel B 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 6.4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10475/02426
2. 42–413–18882–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Webster-A #1
6. Fort McKavitt (Canyon)
7. Schleicher TX
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10476/02634
2. 42–087–25978–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Aldous C 1
6. Panhandle East

7. Collingsworth TX
8. 7.5 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10477/02579
2. 42–087–35143–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Baxter 4 AA
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 3.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10478/02577
2. 42–087–26271–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Smith B 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 2.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10479/02576
2. 42–087–26083–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Glenn A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 4.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10480/02575
2. 42–087–26232–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Oneil B 2
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. .4 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10481/02428
2. 42–179–26218–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Noel A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Gray TX
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10482/04901
2. 42–201–06162–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Drilling District 6 No 1
6. Houston South (Shallow Miocene)
7. Harris TX
8. 8.6 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Amoco Gas Company
1. 80–10483/04945
2. 42–105–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Indian Wells Oil Co
5. Austin Millspaugh 1–4
6. Ozona Canyon Sand
7. Crockett TX
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Northern Natural Gas Co
1. 80–10484/04896
2. 42–435–30812–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Edwin S Mayer Jr G #9
6. Sawyer (Canyon)
7. Sutton TX
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10485/02894
2. 42–413–30282–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Edwin S Mayer Jr F #2
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Schleicher TX
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10486/04882
2. 42–201–07765–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Drilling District #9 No 4
6. Houston South (Shallow Miocene)
7. Harris TX
8. 4.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Amoco Gas Company
1. 80–10487/04047
2. 42–497–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Mitchell Energy Corporation
5. C H Forester #1 35624
6. Boonsville Bend Cong
7. Wise TX
8. 10.8 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Natural Gas Pipeline Co of America
1. 80–10488/03901
2. 42–507–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Stringer Oil Properties
5. Cassin #1
6. Batesville (San Miguel)
7. Zavala TX
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. LO–VACA Gathering Company
1. 80–10489/03872
2. 42–413–30372–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Doris Mayer Rousselot A No 3
6. Sawyer (Canyon)
7. Schleicher TX
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10490/03307
2. 42–435–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Davis B 1
6. Sonora (Canyon Upper)
7. Sutton TX
8. 26.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10.El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10491/03296
2. 42–087–26240–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Parrish A 1
6. Panhandle East
7. Collingsworth TX
8. 6.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company
1. 80–10492/03850
2. 42–137–30366–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. C O Whitworth No 8
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Edwards TX
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10493/03827
2. 42–435–31278–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. W H Karnes B No 2
6. Whitehead/Strawn
7. Sutton TX
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10494/03823
2. 42–235–30562–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Ela C Sugg G No 8
6. Ela Sugg/Wolfcamp
7. Irion TX
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. CRA Inc Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10495/03822
2. 42–105–30452–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. W W West No 1
6. Euleeone/Wolfcamp
7. Crockett TX
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10496/03792
2. 42–435–30978–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Randee Fawcett Trust B No 5
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Sutton TX
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company
1. 80–10497/03729
2. 42–435–30146–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. Bobbie H Fawcett No 1
6. Sawyer/Canyon
7. Sutton TX
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Lone Star Gas Company

West Virginia Department of Mines, Oil and Gas Division

1. Control number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block NO.
8. Estimated annual volume

9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10499
2. 47–087–00444–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. W M Looney No 27
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10500
2. 47–087–00702–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. W M Looney No 29
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10501
2. 47–087–01140–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. S & S Starcher No 5
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10502
2. 47–087–02431–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. A B Caldwell No 2
6. Geary
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10503
2. 47–087–02432–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. A B Caldwell No 2
6. Geary
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10504
2. 47–087–02441–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M D Chewning No 2
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10505
2. 47–087–02442–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Combs No 1
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10506
2. 47–087–02443–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Combs No 2
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10507
2. 47–087–02444–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Combs No 3
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10508
2. 47–087–02445–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. W D Combs No 2
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10509
2. 47–087–02446–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. W D Combs No 3
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10510
2. 47–087–02447–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. W D Combs No 5
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10511
2. 47–087–02553–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. L A Hopkins No 1
6. Geary
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10512
2. 47–087–02554–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. L A Hopkins No 2
6. Geary
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10513
2. 47–107–00790–0000
3. 103 000 000 denied
4. Biscayne Oil & Gas Inc
5. A B Hawsen Heies–No 1
6. Bucelette
7. Wood WV
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Cabot Corp

1. 80–10514
2. 47–021–02217–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Royal Oil & Gas Corporation
5. Hosey-Tucker Unit No 1
6.
7. Gilmer WV
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10515
2. 47–085–02718–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. J W Prunty Hrs No 2
6. Smithville-Big Injun
7. Ritchie WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10516
2. 47–051–00628–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. G W Hubbs No 1
6. Liberty
7. Marshall WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10517
2. 47–051–00619–0000
3. 108 000 000 denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. John Chapman No 2
6. Liberty
7. Marshall WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10518
2. 47–051–00617–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. Allen-Wylie #2
6. Liberty
7. Marshall, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10519
2. 47–087–02861–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. O H Trout #8
6. Geary
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10520
2. 47–087–02860–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. O H Trout #7
6. Geary
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10521

2. 47–087–02859–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. O H Trout #6
6. Geary
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10522
2. 47–087–02857–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. O H Trout #4
6. Geary
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10523
2. 47–087–02858–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. O H Trout #5
6. Geary
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10524
2. 47–087–02856–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. O H Trout #3
6. Geary
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10525
2. 47–087–02840–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #33
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10526
2. 47–087–02839–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #32
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10527
2. 47–087–02838–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #31
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10528
2. 47–087–02836–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #25
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10529
2. 47–087–02779–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #14
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10530
2. 47–087–02778–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #12
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10531
2. 47–087–02777–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #9
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10532
2. 47–087–02776–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #7
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10533
2. 47–087–02775–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #6
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10534
2. 47–087–02774–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #5
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10535
2. 47–087–02773–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #4
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10536
2. 47–087–02772–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #2
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10537
2. 47–087–02771–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #1
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10538
2. 47–087–00196–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #1
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10539
2. 47–087–00178–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #17
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10540
2. 47–087–00170–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #27
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10541
2. 47–087–00168–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #3
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10542
2. 47–087–00140–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #8
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10543
2. 47–087–00113–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. M J Snodgrass #10
6. Smithfield

7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10544
2. 47–087–00097–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #48
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10545
2. 47–087–00034–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #34
6. Smithfield
7. Roane, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10546
2. 47–051–00637–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. E J Vanscyoc #1
6. Liberty
7. Marshall, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10547
2. 47–051–00632–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. T F McIlvain #1
6. Liberty
7. Marshall, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.

1. 80–10548
2. 47–051–00631–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. Lydick-Vanscyoc #1
6. Liberty
7. Marshall WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10549
2. 47–087–00899–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #52
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10550
2. 47–087–00862–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #50
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10551
2. 47–087–00840–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #49
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10552
2. 47–087–00216–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #42
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10553
2. 47–087–00215–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #41
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10554
2. 47–087–00199–0000–
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Pennzoil Company
5. P A Tallman #37
6. Smithfield
7. Roane WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 26, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API Well Number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or Block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10346/COA–4145–79
2. 05–067–06198–0000–
3. 103 000 000
4. American Petroleum Energy Co
5. Argenta-Ute #8
6. Ignacio-Blanco
7. La Plata CO
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

1. 80–10347/COA–4144–79
2. 05–067–06199–0000–
3. 103 000 000
4. American Petroleum Energy Co
5. Argenta-Ute #7
6. Ignacio-Blanco
7. La Plata CO
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API Well Number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. Country, State or Block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10314/NM–4397–79
2. 30–039–20825–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. San Juan 27–4 Unit #84
6. Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10315/NM–4396–79
2. 30–045–06320–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Huerfanito Unit #45
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10316/NM–4393–79
2. 30–039–20870–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. San Juan 28–7 Unit #196
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10317/NM–4385–79
2. 30–045–23309–0000–0
3. 103 000 000
4. Amoco Production Company
5. A L Elliott A Well #4
6. Basin Dakota Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 90.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10318/NM–4384–79
2. 30–039–21954–0000–0
3. 103 000 000
4. Schalk Development Company
5. Schalk 41 Well #1
6. Blanco Mesa Verde
7. Rio Arriba County NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10319/NM–4383–79
2. 30–039–21953–0000–0
3. 103 000 000
4. Schalk Development Company
5. Schalk 41 Well #2
6. Blanco Mesa Verde
7. Rio Arriba County NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10320/NM–4381–79
2. 30–039–21620–0000–0
3. 103 000 000
4. John E Schalk
5. Schalk 29–4 Well No 7
6. Gobernador Pictured Cliffs
7. Rio Arriba County NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80–10321/NM–4380–79

2. 30-039-21955-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. Schalk Development Company
5. Schalk 41 Well #3
6. Blanco Mesa Verde
7. Rio Arriba County NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10322/NM-4379-79
2. 30-039-21960-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. Odessa Natural Corp
5. Mobil Federal No 34-2
6. Chacon Dakota
7. Rio Arriba County NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10323/NM-4378-79
2. 30-039-2192-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. Odessa Natural Corporation
5. Mobil Federal No 34-1
6. Chacon Dakota
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 251.9 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10324/NM-4377-79
2. 30-045-23343-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. Tenneco Oil Company
5. Wilch #5
6. Basin Dakota
7. San Juan NM
8. 125.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10325/NM-4376-79
2. 30-045-23361-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. Tenneco Oil Company
5. Day #2
6. Basin Dakota
7. San Juan NM
8. 125.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10326/NM-4375-79
2. 30-045-22877-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co.
5. Atlantic #1A
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. San Juan NM
8. 180.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10327/NM-4374-79-B
2. 30-045-22886-0000-2
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Hughes A #5A (PC)
6. Blanco Pictured Cliffs
7. San Juan NM
8. 30.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10328/NM-4374-79-A
2. 30-045-22886-0000-1
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Hughes A #5A (MV)
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. San Juan NM
8. 180.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10329/NM-4373-79
2. 30-039-21629-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. San Juan 29-7 Unit #76A
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 330.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80-10330/NM-4372-79
2. 30-039-21630-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. San Juan 29-7 Unit #94A
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 180.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80-10331/NM-4371-79
2. 30-039-21626-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. San Juan 29-7 Unit #48A
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 220.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80-10332/NM-4370-79
2. 30-045-21980-0000-0
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Lindsey #2A
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. San Juan NM
8. 270.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co Southern Union Gathering Co

1. 80-10333/NM-4369-79-B
2. 30-045-23149-0000-2
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Roelofs A #2A (PC)
6. Blanco Pictured Cliffs
7. San Juan NM
8. 40.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10334/NM-4369-79-A
2. 30-045-23149-0000-1
3. 103 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Roelofs A #2A (MV)
6. Blanco Mesaverde
7. San Juan NM
8. 150.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10335/NM-4290-79
2. 30-045-07017-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Michener B #1
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80-10336/NM-4287-79
2. 30-039-06340-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Reams A #3
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10337/NM-4281-79
2. 30-039-06448-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Jicarilla F #10
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80-10338/NM-4265-79
2. 30-039-21187-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. Northwest Production Corp
5. Jicarilla 123C #16
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Northwest Pipeline Corp Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80-10339/NM-4186-79
2. 30-045-21271-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Riddle C #4
6. Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10340/NM-4184-79
2. 30-039-07002-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Ripley #3
6. Blanco-Mesaverde Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 19.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10341/NM-4181-79
2. 30-045-21563-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Hancock A #10
6. Harris Mesa-Chacra Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10342/NM-4178-79
2. 30-039-21045-0000-0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. SJ 27-4 Unit #115
6. Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 22.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10343/NM–4174–79
2. 30–045–20791–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Sunray #4
6. Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10344/NM–4162–79
2. 30–045–10144–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Heaton Com B #17
6. Basin-Dakota Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co Southern Union Gathering Co

1. 80–10345/NM–4152–79
2. 30–045–21162–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Co
5. Atlantic C #7
6. Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10348/NM–4095–79
2. 30–039–20383–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. S J 27–4 Unit #57
6. Basin-Dakota Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10349/NM–4093–79
2. 30–039–21107–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lindrith Unit #85
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10350/NM–4090–79
2. 30–045–21083–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Mudge #37
6. Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 18.3 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10351/NM–3882–79
2. 30–039–06774–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. SJ 27–5 Unit #93
6. South Blanco Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company Northwest Pipeline Corp

1. 80–10352/NM–3871–79
2. 30–045–22990–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Taylor #1R
6. Aztec Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10353/NM–2135–79
2. 30–039–20732–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. San Juan 27–4 Unit #62
6. Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10354/NM–2094–79
2. 30–045–60060–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Moore #3
6. Blanco-Mesaverde Gas
7. San Juan NM
8. 19.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10355/NM–2042–79
2. 30–039–05308–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Lindrith Unit #34
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 21.2 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10356/NM–1939–79
2. 30–039–00000–0000–0
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Company
5. AXI Apache N #5
6. AXI Apache Area
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. .7 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. Gas Company of New Mexico (C–4787)

1. 80–10357/NM–0324–78
2. 30–015–21994–0000–0
3. 102 000 000
4. Harvey E Yates Company
5. Travis Deep Unit #1
6.
7. Eddy NM
8. 146.0 million cubic feet
9. December 20, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

The applications for determination in these proceedings together with a copy or description of other materials in the record on which such determinations were made are available for inspection, except to the extent such material is treated as confidential under 18 CFR 275.206, at the Commission's Office of Public Information, room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Persons objecting to any of these final determinations may, in accordance with 18 CFR 275.203 and 18 CFR 275.204, file a protest with the Commission on or before February 12, 1980.

Please reference the FERC Control number in all correspondence related to these determinations.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2694 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[No. 136]

Determinations by Jurisdictional Agencies Under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978

January 14, 1980.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission received notices from the jurisdictional agencies listed below of determinations pursuant to 13 CFR 274.104 and applicable to the indicated wells pursuant to the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978.

Michigan Department of Natural Resources

1. Control number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–09980
2. 21–137–26074–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #1
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company

1. 80–09981
2. 21–137–26381–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #3
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company

1. 80–09982
2. 21–137–26832–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #4
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company

1. 80–09983
2. 21–137–26627–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #6
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979

10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09984
2. 21–137–26628–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #7
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09985
2. 21–137–26801–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #8
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09986
2. 21–137–26982–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #9
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09987
2. 21–137–26802–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #10
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09988
2. 21–137–26803–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #11
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09989
2. 21–137–26804–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #12
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09990
2. 21–137–27029–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #13
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09991
2. 21–137–27030–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #14
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. 80–09992
2. 21–137–27057–0000
3. 107 000 000
4. State of Chester Venture
5. State-Chester #16
6. Chester Field T29N R2W Chester Twp
7. Otsego, MI
8. 6.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Michigan Consolidated Gas Company
1. Control number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)
1. 80–10208/10–79–281
2. 25–101–21578–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. M M Theonnes Well No 1
6. Kevin Sunburst
7. Toole, MT
8. 73.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Montana Power Company

New Mexico Department of Energy and Minerals, Oil Conservation Division

1. Control number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)
1. 80–10007
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. North El Mar #44
6. El Mar
7. Lea County NM
8. .1 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Co
1. 80–10008
2. 30–025–02596–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Wilson Oil Company
5. Wilson State L #1 (E–2385)
6. Wilson Yates 7 Rivers Sec 25 T21 R3
7. Lea County NM
8. 8.1 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Co
1. 80–10009
2. 30–025–04377–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Wilson Oil Company
5. Charlotte State No 2 (B–9131)
6. Wildcat Sec 32 T20S R36E
7. Lea County NM
8. 3.9 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Co
1. 80–10010
2. 30–025–04371–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Wilson Oil Company
5. Charlotte St No 4 (E–1639)
6. North Wilson Yates Seven Rivers Sec
7. Lea County NM
8. 3.8 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Co
1. 80–10011
2. 30–025–03485–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Wilson Oil Company
5. State C No 1 (E–2446)
6. San Simon Yates Sec 20 T21S R35E
7. Lea County NM
8. 2.9 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Co
1. 80–10077
2. 30–015–22996–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Yates Petroleum Corporation
5. SRC State KZ No 3
6. Penasco Draw San Andres Yeso
7. Eddy NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Company
1. 80–10078
2. 30–025–02165–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Arco Oil and Gas Company
5. State Vacuum Unit #3
6. Vacuum GB San Andres
7. Lea NM
8. 54.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Co
1. 80–10079
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. State C–20 No 4
6. Arrowhead E–M–E
7. Lea NM
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Warren Petroleum
1. 80–10080
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. South Eunice Unit No 15
6. NMFU—Eunice 7 Rivers Queen South
7. Lea NM
8. 6.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Petro-Lewis Corp
1. 80–10081
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Dallas McCasland
5. Christmas A No 1
6. Jalmat
7. Lea NM
8. 10.2 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10082
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Mesa Petroleum Co
5. Ringer Fed #1
6. Undes White City Penn
7. Eddy NM
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Natural Gas Pipeline Company of AME

1. 80–10083
2. 30–015–20873–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Mesa Petroleum Co
5. Reddy Com #1
6. S Carlsbad Atoka
7. Eddy NM
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10084
2. 30–025–25847–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Dallas McCasland
5. Litie Woolworth No 5
6. Jalmat
7. Lea NM
8. 163.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10085
2. 30–039–06518–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. El Paso Natural Gas Company
5. Hamilton Com #1
6. Blanco South-Pictured Cliffs Gas
7. Rio Arriba NM
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10086
2. 30–015–22322–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Yates Petroleum Corporation
5. White IU No 1
6. Atoka San Andres
7. Eddy NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Company

1. 80–10087
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Getty Oil Company
5. Getty 36 State Com No 1
6. East Grama Ridge—Morrow
7. Lea NM
8. 1586.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Tuco Inc

1. 80–10088
2. 30–015–22997–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Yates Petroleum Corporation
5. SRC KZ State No 4
6. Penasco Draw San Andres Yeso
7. Eddy NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Company

1. 80–10195
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Dallas McCasland
5. Christmas B No 1
6. Jalmat
7. Lea NM
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10196
2. 30–025–25557–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. Lamar Lunt No 2
6. Jalmat-Langlie Mattix
7. Lea NM
8. 9.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10197
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. Eumont Hardy Unit No 16
6. Arrowhead E–M–E
7. Lea NM
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Warren Petroleum

1. 80–10198
2. 30–025–02106–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Mobil Oil Corporation
5. Bridges State #36
6. Vacuum-Grayburg San Andres
7. Lea NM
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Company

1. 80–10199
2. 30–025–20864–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Mobil Oil Corporation
5. State K #7
6. Vacuum Glorietta
7. Lea NM
8. 3.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Company

1. 80–10200
2. 30–025–02841–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. East Vacuum GB/SA Unit TR 2060 No 08
6. Vacuum GB/SA
7. Lea NM
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. El Paso Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10267
2. 30–025–02091–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Mobil Oil Corporation
5. Bridges State #22
6. Vacuum Grayburg San Andres
7. Lea NM
8. .5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Company

1. 80–10268
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. State B–13 NC 6
6. Bowers-Byers-Hobbs
7. Lea NM
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Phillips Petroleum Company

1. 80–10269
2. 30–025–00000–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Continental Oil Co
5. Eumont Hardy Unit No 36
6. Arrowhead E–M–E
7. Lea NM
8. .6 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Warren Petroleum

1. 80–10270
2. 30–015–23011–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Yates Petroleum Corporation
5. Greasewood BD State No 2
6. Penasco Draw Yeso SA
7. Eddy NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Co

1. 80–10271
2. 30–015–22995–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Yates Petroleum Corporation
5. SRC State KZ No 2
6. Penasco Draw SA Yeso
7. Eddy NM
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Co

North Dakota Geological Survey

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10201/181–NGPA
2. 33–007–00316–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Koch Industries Inc
5. Simnioniw No 2 (Madison)
6. Four Eyes-Madison
7. Billings ND
8. 395.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Montana-Dakota Utilities Company

1. 80–10202/182–NGPA
2. 33–007–00316–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Koch Industries Inc
5. Simnioniw No 2 (Red River)
6. Four Eyes-Red River
7. Billings ND
8. 978.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Montana-Dakota Utilities Company

1. 80–10203/183–NGPA
2. 33–007–00297–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Koch Industries Inc
5. Simnioniw No 1
6. Four Eyes-Madison
7. Billings ND
8. 223.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Montana-Dakota Utilities Company

1. 80–10204/184–NGPA
2. 33–007–00297–0000
3. 102 000 000

4. Koch Industries Inc
5. Simnioniw No 1
6. Four Eyes-Duperow
7. Billings ND
8. 272.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Montana-Dakota Utilities Company

1. 80–10205/185–NGPA
2. 33–007–00347–0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Koch Industries Inc
5. Kordon No 4–5
6. Four Eyes-Madison
7. Billings ND
8. 129.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Montana-Dakota Utilities Company

1. 80–10206/186–NGPA
2. 33–105–00788–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Martin Oil Company
5. #2–1 Lalim
6. Tioga Madison
7. Williams ND
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Aminoil USA Inc

1. 80–10207/187–NGPA
2. 33–105–00787–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Martin Oil Company
5. #34–1 Lalim
6. Tioga Madison
7. Williams ND
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Aminoil USA Inc

Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Oil and Gas

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–10012/07995
2. 34–127–23831–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Welling #1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 2.4 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10013/07996
2. 34–127–24009–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Thomas Ward #1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10014/07997
2. 34–127–24088–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Walter Pettet #1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10015/07998
2. 34–127–23768–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Maxwell #G3
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10016/07999
2. 34–127–23769–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Maxwell #G2
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10017/08000
2. 34–127–23737–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Maxwell #G1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10018/08001
2. 34–127–24026–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Daine Maxwell #C–2
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 4.5 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10019/03197
2. 34–099–20883–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Berea Oil and Gas Corporation
5. Pious Society of St Paul #3
6.
7. Mahoning OH
8. 11.9 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Co

1. 80–10020/03198
2. 34–099–20884–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Berea Oil and Gas Corporation
5. Pious Society of St Paul #2
6.
7. Mahoning OH
8. 11.9 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Co

1. 80–10021/07699
2. 34–103–22095–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Northeastern Energy
5. Long No 2
6.
7. Medina OH
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Co

1. 80–10022/07708
2. 34–059–22366–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Fogle 2ME
6.
7. Guernsey OH
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

1. 80–10023/07709
2. 34–059–22390–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. William-Morrow 1ME
6.
7. Guernsey OH
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10024/07711
2. 34–121–21863–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Ohio Power 8MB
6.
7. Noble OH
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10025/07712
2. 34–119–23342–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Hill 1G
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10026/07713
2. 34–119–23204–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Ohio Power 4G
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10027/07714
2. 34–119–23094–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Ohio Power 8G
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

1. 80–10028/07715
2. 34–059–21623–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Ohio Power 38–C
6.
7. Guernsey OH
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10029/07716
2. 34–119–22806–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Ohio Power 17B

6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10030/07717
2. 34–059–22057–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Guernsey Petroleum Corporation
5. Wayne Watson 1ME
6.
7. Guernsey OH
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

1. 80–10031/07756
2. 34–167–24901–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Quadrant exploration
5. Elmer & Dorothy Taylor Well #2
6.
7. Washington OH
8. 54.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10032/07776
2. 34–167–24768–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Quadrant Exploration Corp
5. Robert Patterson & Bailey Well #2
6.
7. Washington OH
8. 54.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10033/07801
2. 34–163–20402–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. American Well Management Company
5. White No 1
6.
7. Vinton OH
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10034/07802
2. 34–167–24603–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. L & S Oil and Gas
5. Richardson #1
6.
7. Washington OH
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission

1. 80–10035/07806
2. 34–119–24775–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Petroc Co
5. N & R Rollings #3A
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Co

1. 80–10036/07832
2. 34–089–23704–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. American Well Management Company
5. Kreager No 2
6.
7. Licking OH
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10037/07890
2. 34–127–24406–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. John E Bell
5. Roy Householder #1
6. Junction City Quad
7. Perry OH
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. National Gas Corp

1. 80–10038/07927
2. 34–119–24563–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. The Benatty Corporation
5. Kilpatrick Unit #2
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 25.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. The East Ohio Gas Company

1. 80–10039/07928
2. 34–005–23248–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. H E Rupp
5. Verba #1
6. Ashland OH
7.
8. 200.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10040/07931
2. 34–119–24905–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Founds #9
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10041/07932
2. 34–119–24906–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Founds #8
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10042/07934
2. 34–119–24747–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #7
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10043/07935
2. 34–119–24740–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #6
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10044/07936
2. 34–119–24807–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Patton #2
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10045/07937
2. 34–119–24807–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Patton #5
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10046/07938
2. 34–119–24749–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #9
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10047/07939
2. 34–119–24748–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #8
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10048/07940
2. 34–119–24780–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Patton #1
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10049/07944
2. 34–119–24405–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #12
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10050/07945
2. 34–119–24894–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Founds #5
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10051/07948
2. 34–119–24885–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil and Development Corp
5. Founds #2
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10052/07952
2. 34–119–24798–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Patton #3
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10053/07953
2. 34–119–24895–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Founds #6
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10054/07955
2. 34–119–24788–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #3
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10055/07956
2. 34–919–24734–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Williston Oil & Development Corp
5. Gillogly #1
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10056/07957
2. 34–167–24672–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Lauderman Oil & Gas Drilling
5. Freda B Cunningham #1
6. Flemming
7. Washington OH
8. 675.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10057/07958
2. 34–167–24735–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Lauderman Oil & Gas Drilling
5. Theodore Dye #1
6. New Matamoras
7. Washington OH
8. 450.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10058/07961
2. 34–167–24630–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Lauderman Oil & Gas Drilling
5. USA Mildren Roach & Rishel
6. Archers Fork
7. Washington OH
8. 1.6 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10059/07963
2. 34–167–24684–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Lauderman Oil & Gas Drilling
5. H C & Loretta Dowler #1
6. Flemming Field
7. Washington OH
8. 465.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission

1. 80–10060/07964
2. 34–167–24190–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Lauderman Oil & Gas Drilling
5. W H Bell #1
6. Barlow
7. Washington OH
8. 960.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10061/07965
2. 34–167–24406–0014
3. 103 000 000
4. Lauderman Oil & Drilling
5. Richard Zimmer #1
6. Barlow
7. Washington OH
8. 670.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10062/07978
2. 34–075–02154–0014–1
3. 103 000 000
4. Rowley & Brown Petroleum Corp
5. Pahoundis #2
6.
7. Holmes OH
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10063/07981
2. 34–127–23239–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Collier Allen #1
6.
7. Perry County OH
8. .8 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10064/07982
2. 34–127–23342–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Robert Allen #1
6.
7. Perry County OH
8. .2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10065/07983
2. 34–127–23624–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Columbia Gas #1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10066/07984
2. 34–127–23625–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Columbia Gas #2
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10067/07985
2. 34–119–22550–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Harold Curtis #1
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10068/07986
2. 34–119–22419–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Led Dunlap #1
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10069/07987
2. 34–127–23477–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Maxwell #A–4
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 3.4 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10070/07988
2. 34–119–22391–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Linhardt #2
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10071/07989
2. 34–119–22348–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Linhardt #1
6.
7. Muskingum OH
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10072/07990
2. 34–127–23692–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Kermit Hope #2
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 1.4 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10073/07991
2. 34–127–23675–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Production Company
5. Kermit Hope #1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 1.4 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10074/07992
2. 34–127–23793–0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Thomas Irvin #4

6.
7. Perry OH
8. 2.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80-10075/07993
2. 34-127-23767-0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Thomas Irvin #1
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 2.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80-10076/07994
2. 34-127-23832-0014
3. 108 000 000
4. Irvin Producing Company
5. Welling #2
6.
7. Perry OH
8. 2.4 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or Block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80-10272/00208
2. 35-061-20213-0000
3. 102 000 000
4. Samson Resources Company
5. Hightower Unit No 1
6. Kinta
7. Haskell OK
8. 102.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co

1. 80-10273/00566
2. 35-047-00000-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ladd Petroleum Corporation
5. Crews
6. Sooner Trend
7. Garfield OK
8. 7.3 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Co

1. 80-10274/00588
2. 35-047-00000-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ladd Petroleum Corporation
5. Hopwood-B
6. N E Enid
7. Garfield OK
8. 12.7 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Co

1. 80-10275/01187
2. 35-059-20601-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. ARCO Oil and Gas Company
5. Louis Barby Unit A #2
6. Laverne
7. Harper OK
8. 182.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co

1. 80-10276/00592
2. 35-047-00000-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ladd Petroleum Corporation
5. Campbell J
6. N E Enid
7. Garfield OK
8. 7.7 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Co

1. 80-10277/01258
2. 35-025-20306-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Wallace Oil & Gas Inc
5. Wallace Oil & Gas Inc Price W-1
6. South Griggs
7. Cimarron OK
8. 100.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Transwestern Pipeline Co

1. 80-10278/01274
2. 35-139-21098-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Wallace Oil & Gas Inc
5. Wallace Oil & Gas Inc #1 CSC
6. Guymon Hugoton
7. Texas OK
8. 75.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Northern Natural Gas Co

1. 80-10279/01286
2. 35-139-21030-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Seneca Oil Company
5. Paul Blaser #1
6. West Dombey
7. Texas OK
8. 55.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Co

1. 80-10280/01223
2. 35-059-20642-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. ARCO Oil and Gas Company
5. Canfield Gas Unit No 3
6. N W Lovedale
7. Harper OK
8. 182.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Company

1. 80-10281/01232
2. 35-085-20320-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. Pugh No. 1
6. Enville SW
7. Love, OK
8. 24.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co

1. 80-10282/01227
2. 35-085-20311-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. Little Company No. 1
6. Enville SW
7. Love, OK
8. 150.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co

1. 80-10283/01220
2. 35-059-20588-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Arco Oil and Gas Company Division O
5. Freda Yauk No. 2
6. NW Lovedale
7. Harper OK
8. 219.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Company

1. 80-10284/01213
2. 35-151-20866-0000
3. 102 00 000
4. Barnell Ltd
5. Baker No. 1-10
6. Unnamed
7. Woods, OK
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Michigan-Wisconsin Pipeline Co

1. 80-10285/01044
2. 35-071-00000-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Floyd A Doan
5. Buckles No. 1
6. N W Garrett
7. Kay OK
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Co

1. 80-10286/00565
2. 35-047-00000-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ladd Petroleum Corporation
5. Devisser
6. N E Enid
7. Garfield OK
8. 13.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Co

1. 80-10287/00573
2. 35-047-00000-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ladd Petroleum Corporation
5. McIntosh-Lang
6. N E Enid
7. Garfield OK
8. 16.6 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cities Service Gas Co

1. 80-10288/01090
2. 35-043-20858-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Sabine Corp
5. Millie No. 2-20
6. S Taloga
7. Dewey OK
8. 70.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Michigan Wisconsin Pipeline Co.

1. 80-10289/01228
2. 35-085-20310-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. Groesbeck A No. 1
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 25.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

1. 80-10290/01233
2. 35-085-20307-0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. Ethel Pickens B No. 1
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 40.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

1. 80–10291/01235
2. 35–085–20299–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. Groesbeeck No. 1
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 165.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

1. 80–10292/01229
2. 35–085–20288–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. C P Gaither No. 2
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 65.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

1. 80–10293/01234
2. 35–085–20302–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. C P Gaither B No. 1
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 30.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

1. 80–10294/01231
2. 35–085–20319–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. Groesbeeck No. 1
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 23.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

1. 80–10295/01230
2. 35–085–20318–0000
3. 103 000 000
4. Texaco Inc
5. C P Gaither B No. 2
6. Enville S W
7. Love OK
8. 23.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Cimarron Transmission Co.

West Virginia Department of Mines, Oil and Gas Division

1. Control Number (FERC/State)
2. API well number
3. Section of NGPA
4. Operator
5. Well name
6. Field or OCS area name
7. County, State or block No.
8. Estimated annual volume
9. Date received at FERC
10. Purchaser(s)

1. 80–09933
2. 47–041–02017–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. James Jarvis 8365
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Lewis WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09934
2. 47–041–02215–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. P G Swisher 2570
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Lewis WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09935
2. 47–041–02300–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. Peter Loaan 7824
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Lewis WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09936
2. 47–041–02372–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. White-Egan 8450
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Lewis WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09937
2. 47–045–00099–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. Boone Co Coal Corp 9281
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Logan WV
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09938
2. 47–045–00103–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. Boone Co Coal Corp 9282
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Logan WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09939
2. 47–041–02706–FRAC
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. W H Frost 4560
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Lewis WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09940
2. 47–033–00240–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. W P Coffman 11048
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09941
2. 47–033–00575–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. S Haymond 11387
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 20.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09942
2. 47–033–00739–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. James Findley 4724
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09943
2. 47–033–01432–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. M G Law 5117
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. .7 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09944
2. 47–033–01496–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. J Hurry 7579
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. .4 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09945
2. 47–033–01515–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. Lee Maxwell 7934
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09946
2. 47–033–01532–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. A R Shinn 7976
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09947
2. 47–033–02124–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. G M Thompson 7477
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09948
2. 47–041–01114–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. M M Peterson 10461
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Lewis WV
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–09949

2. 47-097-20811-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Blanche Ireland
6. Warren
7. Upshur WV
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09950
2. 47-097-21588-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Willis J Mays
6. Warren
7. Upshur WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09951
2. 47-097-21615-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Leman Post
6. Warren
7. Upshur WV
8. 11.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09952
2. 47-033-00676-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Lock 3 Oil Coal & Dock Company
5. Harry Weeks #1
6. Elk Creek—Overfield
7. Harrison WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80-09953
2. 47-033-20464-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Yochym Brothers
6. Elk
7. Harrison WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09954
2. 47-033-20626-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Ella Maxwell
6. Elk
7. Harrison WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09955
2. 47-033-21009-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Frank Post No 2
6. Elk
7. Harrison WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09956
2. 47-041-20879-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Raymond Queen 52A
6. Hackers Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 19.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09957
2. 47-041-21883-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Lillian W Post
6. Hackers Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09958
2. 47-041-21925-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Guy Lewis
6. Hackers Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09959
2. 47-097-20733-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Skylark Gas Co
5. Levy Queen
6. Warren
7. Upshur WV
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09960
2. 47-087-01694-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Five Star Gas Co
5. Gelah Phillips Well #2
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80-09961
2. 47-041-20451-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. A Gould No 1
6. Hackers Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09962
2. 47-041-20670-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. F L Bott No 2
6. Skin Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09963
2. 47-041-20697-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. F L Bott No 2
6. Skin Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09964
2. 47-041-20801-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. D P Linger No 1
6. Skin Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 18.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09965
2. 47-041-21084-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. John L Marsh No 1
6. Skin Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09966
2. 47-041-21638-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. George I Davisson No 1
6. Collins Settlement
7. Lewis WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09967
2. 47-041-21852-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. J L Marsh No 2
6. Skin Creek
7. Lewis WV
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09968
2. 47-041-21801-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. St Clair Oil Co
5. R G Bennett No 1
6. Collins Settlement
7. Lewis WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-09969
2. 47-087-01811-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Five Star Gas Co
5. Gelah Phillips Well #3
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80-09970
2. 47-087-01974-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Five Star Gas Co
5. Gelah Phillips Well #4
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80-09971
2. 47-087-01997-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Five Star Gas Co
5. Gelah Phillips Well #5
6. Walton Oil Field

7. Roane WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09972
2. 47–087–01572–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. D & J Oil Company
5. C C Paxton Well #7
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. .3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09973
2. 47–087–01778–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. D & J Oil Company
5. C C Paxton Well #9
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. .3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09974
2. 47–087–01975–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. D & J Oil Company
5. C C Paxton Well #11
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. .3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09975
2. 47–087–01693–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Five Star Gas Co
5. Gelah Phillips Well #1
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09976
2. 47–087–01594–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. D & J Oil Co
5. C C Paxton Well #8
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. .3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09977
2. 47–087–01270–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. John W Stone
5. Leslie Summerfield Well #1
6. Looneyville Gas Field
7. Roane WV
8. 1.9 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–09978
2. 47–087–01430–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. D & J Oil Company
5. C C Paxton Well #6
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. .3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09979
2. 47–087–01506–RED0
3. 108 000 000
4. D & J Oil Company
5. C C Paxton Well #5
6. Walton Oil Field
7. Roane WV
8. .3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Pennzoil Company

1. 80–09993
2. 47–007–00034–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Edwin T Stitt
5. Edwin T Stitt #1 Singleton
6. Rollyson Field
7. Braxton WV
8. 22.3 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–09994
2. 47–021–01116–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Harry Bush AGT
5. Van Noy #1
6. Dekalb
7. Gilmer WV
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80–09995
2. 47–021–22830–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. L & M Exploration Inc
5. Turner #2
6.
7. Gilmer WV
8. 17.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–09996
2. 47–105–00246–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. E C Mills Well
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–09997
2. 47–105–00187–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. J H Mills Well
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–09998
2. 47–105–00256–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #1
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–09999
2. 47–105–00264–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #2
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10000
2. 47–105–00269–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #3
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10001
2. 47–105–00280–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #4
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10002
2. 47–105–00305–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #5
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10003
2. 47–105–00308–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #6
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10004
2. 47–105–00314–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. William Dawson Well #7
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10005
2. 47–105–00559–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Southwestern Development Company
5. Luther Wolverton Well
6. Cairo-Munday
7. Wirt WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10006
2. 47–011–00529–0000
3. 108 000 000 Denied
4. Fabry Gas Co
5. Fabry No 1 Fabry Gas Co
6. Milton
7. Cahell WV
8. 350.0 million cubic feet
9. December 14, 1979
10.

1. 80–10089

2. 47–041–02053–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Priest No 2
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 1.9 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10090
2. 47–041–02080–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Mertz No 1
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 13.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10091
2. 47–041–02115–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Conley No 1
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 8.9 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10092
2. 47–021–01874–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Luzader No 2
6. Glenville South
7. Gilmer WV
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10093
2. 47–021–01932–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petoleum Corp
5. Saunders No 2
6. Glenville South
7. Gilmer WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10094
2. 47–021–01948–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Post A No 2
6. Glenville South
7. Gilmer WV
8. 2.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10095
2. 47–019–00277–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Smith-Welder No 1
6. Armstrong Creek
7. Fayetteville WV
8. 5.2 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cabot Corp

1. 80–10096
2. 47–001–00333–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Proudfoot Heirs
6. Pleasants District
7. Barbour WV
8. 12.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10097
2. 47–001–00144–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Fanny Snyder #2
6. Elk District—Benson
7. Barbour County WV
8. 5.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80–10098
2. 47–001–00142–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Poling #1
6. Elk District (Benson)
7. Barbour WV
8. .6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80–10099
2. 47–001–00135–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Wentz #2
6. Elk District Benson
7. Barbour WV
8. 9.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80–10100
2. 47–001–00112–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Wentz #1
6. Elk District Benson
7. Barbour County WV
8. 6.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80–10101
2. 47–097–00661–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Radabaugh Heirs
6. Union District 7550 Sand
7. Upshur WV
8. 6.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10102
2. 47–007–01186–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Knight No 1
6. Glenville South
7. Braxton WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10103
2. 47–001–00111–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Markley #1
6. Union District Gordon
7. Barbour WV
8. 1.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10104
2. 47–085–02210–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Springfield Oil & Gas Co
5. Locke #1
6. Union District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10105
2. 47–001–00514–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Barron Kidd
5. Wentz #A–1
6. Elk District (Benson)
7. Barbour WV
8. 8.2 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80–10106
2. 47–061–00297–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Powley–A No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongalia WV
8. 13.3 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10107
2. 47–061–00300–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Scout–B No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongalia WV
8. 11.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10108
2. 47–041–02042–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Butcher No 2
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 3.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cons Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10109
2. 47–041–02040–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Priest No 1
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 1.9 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cons Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10110
2. 47–041–02038–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Butcher No 1
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 8.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cons Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10111
2. 47–041–02027–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Dennison No 1
6.

7. Lewis WV
8. 10.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cons Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10112
2. 47–047–01962–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Woofter 3
6.
7. Lewis County WV
8. 4.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply

1. 80–10113
2. 47–041–01956–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Groves No 2
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 5.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply

1. 80–10114
2. 47–041–01890–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Groves No 1
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 5.3 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply

1. 80–10115
2. 47–041–01888–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Woofter 1B
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 2.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply

1. 80–10116
2. 47–041–01887–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Woofter 1–A
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 3.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply

1. 80–10117
2. 47–041–01885–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Woofter No 1
6.
7. Lewis WV
8. 6.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply

1. 80–10118
2. 47–021–02711–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Hardin #2
6.
7. Gilmer WV
8. 6.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10119
2. 47–021–02701–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Hardin No 1
6.
7. Gilmer WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cons Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10120
2. 47–105–00621–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Campbell 2
6. Creston
7. Wirt WV
8. 6.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10121
2. 47–105–00604–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Campbell No 1
6. Creston
7. Wirt WV
8. 5.3 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10122
2. 47–021–02279–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Simmons-west
6. Flat Run Troy Dist
7. Gilmer County WV
8. 2.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10123
2. 47–021–02277–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. D Heckert
6. Flat Run Troy Dist
7. Gilmer Co WV
8. 2.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10124
2. 47–021–02275–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Bennett 2
6. Flat Run Troy Dist
7. Gilmer County WV
8. 1.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10125
2. 47–021–02274–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Bennett 1
6. Flat Run Troy Dist
7. Gilmer Co WV
8. 1.2 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10126
2. 47–021–02265–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Simmons 1
6. Flat Run Troy Dist
7. Gilmer County WV
8. 3.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10127
2. 47–021–02264–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Simmons 3
6. Flat Run-Troy Dist
7. Gilmer County WV
8. 6.1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10128
2. 47–021–02263–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Simmons #2
6. Flat Run-Troy Dist
7. Gilmer Co WV
8. 2.3 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Trans Co

1. 80–10129
2. 47–021–02314–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Newlon-Goff
6. Burnthouse
7. Gilmer WV
8. 9.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Carnegie Natural Gas Co

1. 80–10130
2. 47–019–00284–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Interstate Drilling Inc
5. Smith Welder No 7
6. Armstrong Creek
7. Fayette WV
8. 7.3 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cabot Corp

1. 80–10131
2. 47–047–00688–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Texas International Petroleum Corp
5. Pocahontas Land Corp #A–16
6. North Fork
7. McDowell WV
8. 11.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10132
2. 47–097–21537–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. R C Tenney #1
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80–10133
2. 47–097–21560–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. James H Coleman #1
6. Meade
7. Upshur WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80–10134

2. 47-097-21581-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. J C McWhorter #3
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10135
2. 47-097-21585-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. J C McWhorter #7
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10136
2. 47-097-21625-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. R R Colerider #1
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10137
2. 47-047-00687-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Texas International Petroleum Corp
5. Pocahontas Land Corp #A-13
6. North Fork
7. McDowell WV
8. 11.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10138
2. 47-097-21375-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. E L Werely #1
6. Banks
7. Upshur WV
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80-10139
2. 47-097-21532-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. Dane Debarr #1
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. .1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80-10140
2. 47-097-21534-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. S M Tenney #1
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80-10141
2. 47-097-21582-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. J C McWhorter #5
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10142
2. 47-097-21583-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. J C McWhorter #6
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 11.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10143
2. 47-041-21827-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. A A Warren #2
6. Collins Settlement
7. Lewis WV
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation

1. 80-10144
2. 47-097-21516-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. Jamaes H Coleman #1
6. Meade
7. Upshur WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80-10145
2. 47-097-21454-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. W W Debarr #1
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10146
2. 47-097-21479-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. Mildred B Starcher #1
6. Washington
7. Upshur WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80-10147
2. 47-041-21836-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. Russel Simons #1
6. Collins Settlement
7. Lewis WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10148
2. 47-033-20181-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Swadley Oil and Gas Co
5. Garrett #3
6. Clark
7. Harrison WV
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10149
2. 47-033-20210-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Swadley Oil and Gas Co
5. Garrett #4
6. Clark
7. Harrison WV
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10150
2. 47-015-01267-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Reed-Wheeler-Young Oil & Gas Co
5. Goshorn Heirs 1-A
6. Union District
7. Clay WV
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10151
2. 47-047-00532-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corp
5. Tobac #4 MCD-532
6. Big Creek District
7. McDowell WV
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10152
2. 47-047-00528-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Tobac #2 MCD-528
6. Big Creek District
7. McDowell WV
8. 7.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10153
2. 47-085-03063-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Dunbar Oil Co
5. Rutherford No 1
6. Leatherbark
7. Ritchie WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10154
2. 47-085-03221-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Dunbar Oil Co
5. Rutherford No 2
6. Leatherbark
7. Ritchie WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10155
2. 47-013-00681-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Walter E Smith
5. Solomon Holpp No 1
6. Sheridan District
7. Calhoun WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10156
2. 47-013-00715-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Walter E Smith
5. F E Rothlisberger No 7
6. Sheridan District

7. Calhoun WV
8. 2.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10157
2. 47–013–02207–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. E M and W E Smith
5. Solomon Holpp No 1
6. Sheridan District
7. Calhoun, WV
8. 2.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10158
2. 47–013–02939–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. E M and W E Smith
5. A Westfall No 2
6. Sherman District
7. Calhoun, WV
8. 7.2 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Cabet Corporation

1. 80–10159
2. 47–033–02223–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Swadley Oil and Gas Co
5. Garrett #5
6. Clark
7. Harrison, WV
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10160
2. 47–013–02956–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Smith Jenes & Gibson
5. Daniel Huffman No 1
6. Sherman District
7. Calhoun, WV
8. 3.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10161
2. 47–047–04740–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Tug Fork #26 MCD–474
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 4.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10162
2. 47–047–00532–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Tobac #4 MCD–532
6. Big Creek District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 12.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10163
2. 47–047–00528–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Tobac #2 MCD–528
6. Big Creek District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 7.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10164
2. 47–047–00517–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Ruthchardell #9 MCD–517
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 17.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas

1. 80–10165
2. 47–047–00502–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Ruthchardell #2 MCD–502
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas

1. 80–10166
2. 47–113–00512–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Ruthchardell #6 MCD–512
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 6.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10167
2. 47–013–02957–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Smith Jenes & Gibson
5. F Y Robinson No 1
6. Sherman District
7. Calhoun, WV
8. 1.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10168
2. 47–033–20180–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Swadley Oil and Gas Co
5. Garrett #2
6. Clark
7. Harrison, WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10169
2. 47–033–20228–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Swadley Oil and Gas Co
5. Garrett #6
6. Clark
7. Harrison, WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10170
2. 47–033–20231–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Swadley Oil and Gas Co
5. Garrett #7
6. Clark
7. Harrison, WV
8. 16.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10171
2. 47–085–03323–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Harry Haddox
5. Cledith Hogue #1
6. Hogue Farm
7. Ritchie, WV
8. 1.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Carnegie Gas Co

1. 80–10172
2. 47–047–00512–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Ruthchardell #6 MCD–512
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 6.7 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10173
2. 47–047–00517–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Ruthchardell #9 MCD–517
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 17.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas

1. 80–10174
2. 47–047–00502–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Atlas Energy Corporation
5. Ruthchardell #2 MCD–502
6. Sandy River District
7. McDowell, WV
8. 21.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas

1. 80–10176
2. 47–017–20459–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ford Development Co
5. Ida Ford #2
6. West Union District
7. Doddridge, WV
8. 4.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Co

1. 80–10177
2. 47–017–20564–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Ford Development Co
5. Ida Ford #3
6. West Union District
7. Doddridge, WV
8. 1.5 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10.

1. 80–10178
2. 47–047–00689–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Texas International Petroleum Corp
5. Pocahontas Land Corp #A–14
6. North Fork
7. McDowell, WV
8. 8.4 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10179
2. 47–047–00690–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Texas International Petroleum Corp
5. Pocahontas Land Corp #A–15
6. North Fork
7. McDowell County WV
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10180

2. 47–041–21555–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Seneca-Upshur Petroleum Co
5. Addison Puffenbarger #1
6. Collins Settlement
7. Lewis, WV
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10181
2. 47–085–23872–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. H Langford No 4–RIT 3782
6. Union District
7. Ritchie, WV
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10182
2. 47–085–23781–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. H Langford No 2–RIT 3780
6. Union District
7.
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10183
2. 47–085–23780–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. H Langford No 3–RIT 3780
6. Union District
7.
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10184
2. 47–085–23775–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. H Langford No 1–RIT 3775
6. Union District
7. Ritchie, WV
8. 6.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10185
2. 47–013–22136–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Okmar Oil Company
5. Harold Dye #1
6. Sheridan District
7. Calhoun, WV
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10186
2. 47–007–00697–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. Henry Belknap #5
6. Otter District
7. Braxton, WV
8. 1.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–10187
2. 47–007–00687–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. Henry Belknap #4
6. Otter District
7. Braxton, WV
8. 1.8 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–10188
2. 47–007–00322–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. Henry Belknap et ux #1
6. Otter District
7. Braxton WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–10189
2. 47–007–00315–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. D J Moyers et al #2
6. Otter District
7. Braxton WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–10190
2. 47–007–00306–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. L J Smith #1
6. Otter District
7. Braxton WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–10191
2. 47–007–00304–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. D J Moyers et al #1
6. Otter District
7. Braxton WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Equitable Gas Company

1. 80–10192
2. 47–067–00053–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. Elk River Coal & Lumber Co (8075)
6. Hamilton District
7. Nicholas WV
8. 1.6 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10193
2. 47–021–00675–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. George Jackson
5. Davis #1
6. Glenville District
7. Gilmer WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10194
2. 47–013–21366–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Okmar Oil Company
5. Eva Dye Hathaway B #1
6. Sheridan District WV
7. Calhoun WV
8. .1 million cubic feet
9. December 17, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10209
2. 47–001–00045–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. J R Dickinson 9055
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Barbour WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10210
2. 47–001–00587–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Harrison-Ritchie O & G Co 11486
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Barbour WV
8. 1.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10211
2. 47–005–00239–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Henry Keadle 7720
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Boone WV
8. 1.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10212
2. 47–005–00253–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Sidney White et al 7723
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Boone WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10213
2. 47–005–00770–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Victoria Ball—9133
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Boone WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10214
2. 47–005–00810–D000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Federal Coal Co 7645
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Boone WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10215
2. 47–005–01187–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Broun Heirs 7704
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Boone WV
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10216
2. 47–007–01386–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. I N Brown 5093
6. West Virginia other A–85772

7. Braxton WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10217
2. 47–007–01391–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. I N Brown 5909
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Braxton WV
8. 11.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10218
2. 47–007–01394–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. R D Dennison 4175
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Braxton WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10219
2. 47–013–01095–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. E E Parson 9495
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10220
2. 47–013–01271–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Gourey L Cabot Inc 9893
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 7.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10221
2. 47–021–02935–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Lang No 1 Gil–2935
6. Troy District
7. Gilmer WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10222
2. 47–085–03803–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Riddle No 1 Rit–3803
6. Union District
7. Richie WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Carnegie Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10223
2. 47–085–03809–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Clendenin No 1 Rit–3809
6. Union District
7. Ritchie WV
8. .0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Carnegie Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10224
2. 47–085–03825–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Minear No 1 Rit–3825
6. Clay District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Carnegie Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10225
2. 47–013–01813–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Louis Bennett 10277
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10226
2. 47–013–02483–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. L Gainer—11374
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 11.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10227
2. 47–013–02922–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. G & S Gainer 6767
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10228
2. 47–013–02926–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation
5. G W Hardman 6874
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10229
2. 47–013–02931–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. L S Witt 4949
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10230
2. 47–013–02934–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. G W Bryner 5579
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10231
2. 47–013–02938–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. J T Jones 5650
6. West Virginia other A–85772
7. Calhoun WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10232
2. 47–021–00999–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Post A No 1
6. Glenville South
7. Gilmer WV
8. 5.9 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10233
2. 47–021–01091–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Garrett No 1
6. Glenville South
7. Gilmer WV
8. 9.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10234
2. 47–021–01846–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Burke No 2
6. Chaple-German
7. Gilmer WV
8. 1.9 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10235
2. 47–085–03790–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Simmons/Jobes No 1 RIT–3790
6. Union District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 3.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10236
2. 47–085–03801–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Summers/Pocono No 1 RIT–3801
6. Murphy District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 14.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10237
2. 47–085–03810–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Ashburn No 1 RIT–3810
6. Union District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp

1. 80–10238
2. 47–708–50380–5000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Taylor No 1 RIT–3805
6. Clay District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Equitable Natural Gas Company

1. 80–10239

2. 47-085-03804-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Meathrell No 1 RIT-3804
6. Union District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 3.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10240
2. 47-085-03763-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Industrial Gas Associates
5. Summers No 1 RIT-3763
6. Murphy District
7. Ritchie WV
8. 17.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10241
2. 47-061-00308-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Whetsell-A No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongahela WV
8. 14.2 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10242
2. 47-061-00311-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Harworth-A No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongahela WV
8. 14.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10243
2. 47-061-00316-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Cascade-C No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongahela WV
8. 14.6 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10244
2. 47-061-00317-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Greer-A No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongahela WV
8. 10.1 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10245
2. 47-061-00318-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Allegheny-A No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongahela WV
8. 3.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10246
2. 47-061-00319-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Greer-B No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Monongahela WV
8. 5.9 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10247
2. 47-077-00129-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Phillips Petroleum Company
5. Ward-B No 1
6. South Burns Chapel
7. Preston WV
8. 9.3 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10249
2. 47-007-00360-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Post C No 1
6.
7. Braxton WV
8. 2.1 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80-10250
2. 47-017-00095-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. W Brent Maxwell 7046
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10251
2. 47-017-01693-DDFR
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. S H Carder 3246
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. .2 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10252
2. 47-017-01912-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. B F Heflin 946
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10253
2. 47-017-01921-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. W F Wildman 1466
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10254
2. 47-017-01937-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Margaret J McMillan 2862
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 8.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10255
2. 47-017-01962-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. W S Haddot 4933
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 16.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10256
2. 47-017-01976-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. S B McMillan 7019
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10257
2. 47-017-02158-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. H Smith 419
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Doddridge WV
8. 15.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10258
2. 47-021-00674-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Porter Maxwell 8974
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Gilmer WV
8. 2.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10259
2. 47-021-01206-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. W B Maxwell et al 11111
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Gilmer WV
8. 5.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10260
2. 47-021-03489-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. L H Huff 5888
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Gilmer WV
8. 3.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10261
2. 47-033-00047-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. Anita B Goff et al 11054
6. West Virginia other A-85772
7. Harrison WV
8. 4.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80-10262
2. 47-033-00120-0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
5. J M Coffman 2861
6. West Virginia other A-85772

7. Harrison WV
8. 10.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. General System Purchasers

1. 80–10263
2. 47–007–00006–D100
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Humphreys No 1
6. Heaters
7. Braxton WV
8. 1.0 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10264
2. 47–007–00811–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Post B No 2
6.
7. Braxton WV
8. 7.2 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10265
2. 47–007–00885–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Post C No 2
6.
7. Braxton WV
8. 9.4 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

1. 80–10266
2. 47–007–00909–0000
3. 108 000 000
4. Trio Petroleum Corp
5. Post D No 2
6. Glenville South
7. Braxton WV
8. 2.5 million cubic feet
9. December 18, 1979
10. Consolidated Gas Supply Corp

The applications for determination in these proceedings together with a copy or description of other materials in the record on which such determinations were made are available for inspection, except to the extent such material is treated as confidential under 18 CFR 275.206, at the Commission's Office of Public Information, room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Persons objecting to any of these final determinations may, in accordance with 18 CFR 275.203 and 18 CFR 275.204, file a protest with the Commission on or before February 12, 1980.

Please reference the FERC control number in all correspondence related to these determinations.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2695 Filed 1–25–80: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 1400–4]

Availability of Environmental Impact Statements

AGENCY: Office of Environmental Review (A–104) US Environmental Protection Agency.

PURPOSE: This Notice lists the Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) which have been officially filed with the EPA and distributed to Federal Agencies and interested groups, organizations and individuals for review pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations (40 CFR Part 1506.9).

PERIOD COVERED: This Notice includes EIS's filed during the week of January 14, 1980 to January 18, 1980.

REVIEW PERIODS: The 45-day review period for draft EIS's listed in this Notice is calculated from January 25, 1980 and will end on March 10, 1980. The 30-day review period for final EIS's as calculated from January 25, 1980 will end on February 25, 1980.

EIS AVAILABILITY: To obtain a copy of an EIS listed in this Notice you should contact the Federal agency which prepared the EIS. This Notice will give a contact person for each Federal agency which has filed an EIS during the period covered by the Notice. If a Federal agency does not have the EIS available upon request you may contact the Office of Environmental Review, EPA, for further information.

BACK COPIES OF EIS'S: Copies of EIS's previously filed with EPA or CEQ which are no longer available from the originating agency are available with charge from the following sources:

FOR HARD COPY REPRODUCTION: Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

FOR HARD COPY REPRODUCTION OR MICROFICHE: Information Resources Press, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 316, Washington, D.C. 20037.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathi L. Wilson, Office of Environmental Review (A–104), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, (202) 245–3006.

SUMMARY OF NOTICE: On July 30, 1979, the CEQ Regulations became effective. Pursuant to § 1506.10(a), the 30-day review period for final EIS's received during a given week will now be calculated from Friday of the following week. Therefore, for all final EIS's received during the week of January 14, 1980 to January 18, 1980 the 30-day review period will be calculated from January 25, 1980. The review period will end on February 25, 1980.

Appendix I sets forth a list of EIS's filed with EPA during the week of January 14, 1980 to January 18, 1980. The Federal agency filing the EIS, the name, address, and telephone number of the Federal agency contact for copies of the EIS, the filing status of the EIS, the actual date the EIS was filed with EPA, the title of the EIS, the State(s) and County(ies) of the proposed action and a brief summary of the proposed Federal action and the Federal agency EIS number, if available, is listed in this Notice. Commenting entities on draft EIS's are listed for final EIS's.

Appendix II sets forth the EIS's which agencies have granted an extended review period or EPA has approved a waiver from the prescribed review period. The Appendix II includes the Federal agency responsible for the EIS, the name, address, and telephone number of the Federal agency contact, the title, State(s) and County(ies) of the EIS, the date EPA announced availability of the EIS in the **Federal Register** and the newly established date for comments.

Appendix III sets forth a list of EIS's which have been withdrawn by a Federal agency.

Appendix IV sets forth a list of EIS retractions concerning previous Notices of Availability which have been made because of procedural noncompliance with NEPA or the CEQ regulations by the originating Federal agency.

Appendix V sets forth a list of reports or additional supplemental information relating to previously filed EIS's which have been made available to EPA by Federal agencies.

Appendix VI sets forth official corrections which have been called to EPA's attention.

Dated: January 22, 1980.

Joseph M. McCabe,

Acting Director, Office of Environmental Review (A–104).

Appendix I.—EIS's Filed With EPA During the Week of January 14, 1980, Through January 18, 1980

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Barry Flamm, Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 412–A Admin. Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447–3965.

Forest Service

Final

Presidential Unit Plan, White Mountain NF, Coos and Carroll Counties, N.H., January 14: the proposed action is to implement a land management plan for the 100,000 acre

presidential unit of the White Mountain National Forest located in Coos and Carroll Counties, New Hampshire. The plan includes building new and expanding existing recreational facilities such as picnic areas, primitive overnight facilities and ski and hiking trails. Reduction and removal of existing emergency shelters and winter off road area is proposed. Also proposed is the establishment of mangement direction for forest trails, roads, information services, timber, access roads, and protection of unique plant/insect species/endangered wildlife species (USDA–FS–R9–FES–ADM–79–01). Comments made by: DOE, DOI, DOT, EPA, State and local agencies, groups, and businesses. (EIS Order No. 800033.)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft

Indian Creek-Van Buren Watershed, Van Buren County, Iowa and Clark County, Mo., January 16: proposed is a multipurpose plan for the Indian Creek-Van Buren Watershed located in Van Buren County, Iowa and Clark County, Missouri. The Watershed area encompasses 47,200 acres of land. The project will include nine floodwater retarding structures for flood prevention; one multiple-purpose structure for flood prevention, municipal and industrial water supply, other agricultural water, and fish and wildlife development. (EIS Order No. 800045.)

Final

Lower Pine Creek Watershed, flood protection. Contra Costa County, Calif., January 16: Proposed is a watershed protection and flood prevention plan for the lower Pine Creek Watershed located in Contra Costa County, California. The project will consist of: (1) Excavation of a 47-acre storm-water detention basin and construction of a control structure and other appurtenant structures, and (2) disposal of approximately 1,000,000 cubic yards of excavation spoil immediately adjacent to the basin site. Comments made by: USA, DOC, DOI, EPA, USDA, HEW, DOT, State and local agencies. (EIS Order No. 800037.)

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Contact: Mr. Richard Makinen, Office of Environmental Policy, Attn: DAEN-CWR—P, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20314, (202) 272–0121.

Draft

Norfolk Harbor and Channels, deepening and disposal, Virginia, January 16: Proposed are navigation improvements for the Norfolk harbor and channels located in Norfolk, Virginia. The plan includes: (1) Deepening the existing channels five feet deeper, (2) constructing three sets of fixed mooring anchorage areas capable of handling a total of six vessels at one time, and (3) utilizing a 6,000 acre tract of land at the northern end of the dismal swamp in the city of Suffolk for spoil disposal (Norfolk district). (EIS Order No. 800038.)

Final

Dansville and vicinity local flood protection, Livingston County, N.Y., January 18: Proposed is a local flood protection plan for Dansville and vicinity located in Livingston County, New York. The plan would be limited to stream work in the area of the route 36 and Genesee Expressway bridges over Canaseraga Creek. The work will include: (1) Construction of a 400 foot long steel sheet pile wall between route 36 and the Genesee Expressway north lane, (2) removal of the Hartman Street Bridge, (3) installation of a new pair of gravity walls, (4) installation of 260 feet of crib walk, and (5) construction of two earthen levees (Buffalo District). Comments made by: USDA, EPA, DOI, State and local agencies. (EIS Order No. 800041.)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Environmental Affairs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 377–4335.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Draft

1980 Salmon Fisheries Management Plan (DS–2), Pacific Ocean, January 18: This statement supplements a final EIS, No. 780221, dated 3–8–78 concerning the management of salmon fisheries off the coasts of California, Washington and Oregon. The Statement addresses the latest spawning escapement and stock assessment data which indicates that many of the 1980 salmon runs will be depressed, particularly coastal coho stocks, California chinooks, and upriver Columbia chinook stocks. Management objectives for 1980 remain the same as those listed in the FEIS (EIS Order No. 800043.)

Final

Precious Coral Fisheries, Western Pacific, FMP, Pacific Ocean, January 17: Proposed is the implementation of a fishery management plan for three species of precious corals in the Western Pacific region. The management measures will include: (1) catch quotas, (2) a minimum size for pink coral colonies, (3) establishment of closed beds or refugia, (4) requirement that all coral harvesting be done under permit, and (5) requirement of extensive reporting of operations by permit holders. Comments made by: COE, DOI, State and local agencies, groups, individuals and businesses. (EIS Order No. 791152.)

The above EIS has been refiled as of January 17, 1980. The 30 day review period will begin in January 25, 1980 and terminate on February 25, 1980.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: RTP Library, Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park, NC., 27711, (919) 541–2777.

Draft

Lead-acid battery manufacture, standards, regulatory, January 16: Proposed are performance standards for the manufacture of lead-acid batteries by new, modified or reconstructed facilities which have a production capacity equal to or greater than 500 batteries per day. The standards propose: Emission limits, continuous monitoring of the pressure drop across the control system, performance tests to determine compliance, and a new method to measure the amount of lead in exhaust gases (EPA–450/3–79–028A). (EIS Order No. 800040.)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard, Director, Environmental Project Review, Room 4256 Interior Bldg., Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, (202) 343–3891.

Bureau of Land Management

Final

1980 OCS Nos. 62A and 62, Gulf of Mexico, Gulf of Mexico, January 15: Proposed are two 1980 OCS oil and gas lease sales, Nos. 62A and 62, in the Gulf of Mexico. The sales would include 272 tracts totaling 1,365,543 acres. Sale 62A includes 192 tracts totaling 915,838 acres ranging from 3 to 104 nautical miles from shore in water from 12 to 2,179 feet deep. Sale 62 includes 80 tracts offshore the Western Gulf of Mexico totaling 449,705 acres ranging from 3 to 104 nautical miles offshore in waters from 30 to 1,460 feet deep.

Comments made by: NRC, AHP, DOI, COE, HUD, EPA, DOC, USDA, FERC, DOT, State and local agencies, groups, and businesses. (EIS Order No. 800035.)

OCS five year oil and gas lease schedule, January 18: Proposed is a five-year schedule consisting of 30 oil and gas lease sales in 15 areas of the OCS. Seven alternatives are considered including: (1) Two that would delay sales, (2) three which would involve omissions of sales from the schedule, (3) a final schedule for 33 sales in 13 leasing areas, and (4) no action. The schedule includes sales offshore of all east coast States, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, California and Alaska.

Comments made by: NRC, DOI, DOC, DOE, EPA, COE, DOT, USAF, State and local agencies, groups, and businesses. (EIS Order No. 800042.)

Heritage Conservation and Recreation Ser

Draft Supplement

Atlantic/Gulf coasts protective barrier islands, Atlantic Ocean, January 15: Proposed are alternative policies for protecting barrier islands along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts of the United States. Examined are Federal programs which through grants, loans, subsidies, permits or acquisition and management, contribute to the development or protection of barrier islands, beaches, and spits which lie adjacent to the Atlantic and Gulf coasts.

(DES–80–1) (EIS Order No. 800036.)

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Contact: Mr. Ed Johnson, Head, Environmental Impact Statement/RDT&E Branch, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, Department of the Navy, Washington, D.C. 20350, (202) 697–3689.

Draft

Atlantic Fleet Weapons Training Facility, Vieques, Puerto Rico, January 18: Proposed is the continued use of the Atlantic Fleet Weapons Training Facility inner range

located on the island of Vieques, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The activities of the facility include: Air-to-ground and naval gunfire support training, Marine landings, maneuver and artillery training operations, and storage of ammunition. The alternatives consider: (1) Continuation of activities; (2) ceasing all or some activities, excessing land and transferring activity; and (3) ceasing all or some activities without excessing land or transferring activity. (EIS Order No. 800043.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director, Office of Environmental Affairs, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 426–4537.

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft Supplement

Metropolitan Washington airports policy, Arlington, Fairfax, and Loudon Counties, Va., January 15: This statement supplements a draft EIS, #780335, dated 4–6–78 concerning proposed policy for the metropolitan Washington airports. This supplement reduces the alternatives under consideration to those which focus on the issues raised during the review of the draft. The policies proposed would reduce the use of National Airport, including closing it at night, and increasing the regional air passenger volume at Dulles. (EIS Order No. 800039.)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft

Steilacoom-Orchard traffic study, Pierce County, Wash., January 18: Proposed is the Steilacoom-Orchard traffic study for the purposes of selecting a location and a design for a new or improved transportation facility in Pierce County, Washington. The facility would relieve traffic volumes in the Steilacoom Boulevard-Orchard Street corridor. The alternatives include: (1) Improvement of existing conditions, (2) Construction of the 67th Avenue Bridge, and (3) Construction of the 75th Street W. Bridge. Nine optional improvements are considered. (FHWA–WA–EIS–80–01–D) (EIS Order No. 800044.)

Final

Max North and South, US 83, ND–23 to ND–37, Ward and McLean Counties, N. Dak., January 15: Proposed is the construction of a two lane roadway parallel to the existing roadway on US 83 from ND–23 south to ND–37. The project would provide a four lane divided highway approximately 22 miles long. The proposed improvement requires the purchase of right-of-way. Basically, it is proposed to construct an additional roadway adjacent to the existing roadway throughout the length of the improvement. The existing roadway will remain in place to serve as one roadway of the four-lane divided highway. This project is located in the counties of Ward and McLean, North Dakota. (FHWA–ND–EIS–78–04F). Comments made by: DOI, EPA, COE, DOE, USDA, and State agencies. (EIS Order No. 800034.)

EIS's Filed During the Week of Jan. 14 to Jan. 18, 1980

[Statement title index—by State and county]

State	County	Status	Statement title	Accession No.	Date filed	Original agency No.
Atlantic Ocean		Supple	Atlantic/Gulf Coasts Protective Barrier Islands	800036	01–15–80	DOI
California	Contra Costa	Final	Lower Pine Creek Watershed, Flood Protection	800037	01–16–80	USDA
Gulf of Mexico		Final	1980 OCS Nos. 62A and 62, Gulf of Mexico	800035	01–15–80	DOI
Iowa	Van Buren	Draft	Indian Creek-Van Buren Watershed	800045	01–16–80	USDA
Missouri	Clark	Draft	Indian Creek-Van Buren Watershed	800045	01–16–80	USDA
New Hampshire	Carroll	Final	Presidential Unit Plan, White Mountain NF	800033	01–14–80	USDA
	Coos	Final	Presidential Unit Plan, White Mountain NF	800033	01–14–80	USDA
New York	Livingston	Final	Dansville and Vicinity Local Flood Protection	800041	01–18–80	COE
North Dakota	McLean	Final	Max North and South, U.S. 83, ND–23 to ND–37	800034	01–15–80	DOT
	Ward	Final	Max North and South, U.S. 83, ND–23 to ND–37	800034	01–15–80	DOT
Pacific Ocean		Draft	1980 Salmon Fisheries Management Plan (DS–2)	800043	01–18–80	DOC
Regulatory		Draft	Lead-Acid Battery Manufacture, Standards	800040	01–16–80	EPA
Several		Final	OCS Five Year Oil and Gas Lease Schedule	800042	01–18–80	DOI
Virginia		Draft	Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Deepening and Disposal.	800038	01–16–80	COE
	Arlington	Supple	Metropolitan Washington Airports Policy	800039	01–15–80	DOT
Virginia	Fairfax	Supple	Metropolitan Washington Airports Policy	800039	01–15–80	DOT
	Loudon	Supple	Metropolitan Washington Airports Policy	800039	01–15–80	DOT
Washington	Pierce	Draft	Steilacoom-Orchard Traffic Study	800044	01–18–80	DOT

Appendix II.—*Extension/Waiver of Review Periods on EIS's Filed With EPA*

Federal agency contact	Title of EIS	Filing status/accession No.	Date notice of availability published in "Federal Register"	Waiver/ extension	Date review terminates
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Mr. Barry Flamm, Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 412–A Admin. Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447–3965.	Cooperative 1980 Maine Spruce Budworm Suppression Project.	Draft 91272	Jan. 4, 1980	Extension	Feb. 11, 1980.
	Cooperative 1980 Gypsy Moth Suppression and Regulatory Program.	Draft 91270	Jan. 4, 1980	Extension	Feb. 11, 1980.

Appendix III.—*EIS's Filed With EPA Which Have Been Officially Withdrawn by the Originating Agency*

Federal agency contact	Title of EIS	Filing status/accession No.	Date notice of availability published in "Federal Register"	Date of withdrawal
None.				

Appendix IV.—*Notice of Official Retraction*

Federal agency contact	Title of EIS	Status/No.	Date notice published in "Federal Register"	Reason for retraction
None.				

Appendix V.—*Availability of Reports/Additional Information Relating to EIS's Previously Filed With EPA*

Federal agency contact	Title of report	Date made available to EPA	Accession No.
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
Mr. Richard Makinen, Office of Environmental Policy, Attn: DAEN-CWR-P, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20314, (202) 272-0121.	Beach Erosion Control Project, Virginia Beach, Virginia.	Jan. 18, 1980	800046
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
Mr. Richard H. Broun, Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Room 7274, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-6300.	Sugar Mill Subdivision, Sugar Land, Texas.	Jan. 18, 1980	800047

Appendix VI.—*Official Correction*

Federal agency contact	Title of EIS	Filing status/accession No.	Date notice of availability published in "Federal Register"	Correction
None.				

[FR Doc. 80-2720 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 1209]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Actions in Rulemaking Proceedings Filed

January 21, 1980.

Docket or RM No.	Rule No.	Subject	Date received
21142	89, 91, 93, and 95	Amendment of Parts 89, 91, 93, and 95 (General Mobile Radio Service) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations to replace the low-pass audio filering requirements with a revised emission limitation standard.	
		(Filed by Joseph M. Kittner and Lawrence J. Movshin, Attorneys for Associated Public-Safety Communications Officers, Inc.).	Jan. 7, 1980.
CC 78-219	63.54 and 64.601	Revision of the proceesing policies for waivers of the telephone company-cable television "cross ownership rules". Sections 63.54 and 64.601 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.	Jan. 10, 1980.
		(Filed by Thomas J. O'Reilly, Attorney for United States Independent Telephone Association).	Jan. 10, 1980.
		(Filed by Stephen R. Effros, Executive Director for The Community Antenne Television Association).	Jan. 17, 1980.

NOTE.—Oppositions to petitions for reconsideration must be filed on or before February 12, 1980. Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after time for filing oppositions has expired.

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2548 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[Notice 1980-3]

1979 Year End Reports

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

ACTION: Notice to committees filing 1979 year end reports.

SUMMARY: Year end reports of 1979 campaign activity filed by committees under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (FECA) must comply with the provisions of the FECA prior to the 1979 Amendments (Pub. L. 96-187).

DATES: Year end reports must be filed by January 31, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Public Information Office, Federal Election Commission, 1325 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20463 (202)523-4068, (800) 424-9530.

Notice to Committees Filing 1979 Year End Reports

The 1979 Amendments to the FECA, Pub. L. No. 96-187, revised certain reporting requirements of the Act. The effective date of these Amendments was January 8, 1980. All year end reports covering calendar year 1979, filed on January 31, 1980, should therefore comply with the provisions of the Act in effect during the reporting period covered in that report. Thus, year end reports for 1979 should be filed in compliance with the provisions of the Act prior to the 1979 Amendments, in the same manner and subject to the same requirements as all previous reports which were filed during 1979.

Dated: January 24, 1980.

Robert O. Tiernan,

Chairman, Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc. 80-2773 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Agreements Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice that the following agreements have been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each of the agreements and the justifications offered therefor at the Washington Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10218; or may inspect the agreements at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y.; New Orleans, Louisiana; San Francisco, California; Chicago, Illinois; and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement, including requests for hearing, to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, on or before February 18, 1980. Comments should include facts and arguments concerning the approval, modification, or disapproval of the proposed agreement. Comments shall discuss with particularity allegations that the agreement is unjustly discriminatory or unfair as between carriers, shippers, exporters, importers, or ports, or between exporters from the United States and their foreign competitors, or operates to the detriment of the commerce of the United States, or is contrary to the public interest, or is in violation of the Act.

A copy of any comments should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreements and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Agreement No. 93-21.

Filing Party: David C. Nolan, Esq., Graham & James, One Maritime Plaza, San Francisco, California 94111.

Summary: Agreement No. 93-21 amends the last Paragraph of Article 1 of the North Europe-United States Pacific Freight Conference to extend the expiration date of the "independent action clause," through end of 1980.

Agreement No. T-3376-3

Filing Party: Randall V. Adams, Traffic, Port of Palm Beach, P. O. Box 9935, Riviera Beach, Florida 33404.

Summary: Agreement No. T-3376-3, between the Port of Palm Beach District (Port) and Caribbean Lines Corporation (CLC), modifies the parties' basic agreement providing for the lease to CLC of office space located in Palm Beach, Florida. The purpose of the modification is to extend the term of the agreement for an additional one-year period and to increase the rental.

Agreement No. 5600-39.

Filing Party: Charles F. Warren, Esquire, Warren & Associates, P.C., 1100 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

Summary: Agreement No. 5600-39 modifies the basic agreement of the Philippines North American Conference by amending Article 2A(4) to provide for substituted overland service via U.S. Atlantic and Gulf ports to all ports on the East Coast of Canada, including Canadian ports on Lake Ontario and ports east thereof. Service to Canadian ports is now provided by water, only.

Agreement No. 7540-32.

Filing Party: Nathan J. Bayer, Esq., Brauner Baron Rosenzweig Kligle Sparber & Bauman, 120 Broadway, New York, New York 10005.

Summary: Agreement No. 7540-32 amends the basic agreement of the Leeward and Windward Islands and Guianas Conference to revise and clarify the membership and voting qualifications for the three Sections of the Conference.

Agreement No. 7680-40.

Filing Party: Dominick J. Manfredi, Chairman, American West African Freight Conference, 67 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004.

Summary: Agreement No. 7680-40 amends the basic agreement of the American West African Freight Conference to eliminate the requirement that each associate of each member carrier sign and file with the Conference Chairman commitments to cooperate with the neutral body.

Agreement No. 9735-12.

Filing Party: John K. Cunningham, Executive Secretary, Atlantic Regional Committee, Steamship Operators Intermodal Committee, 11 Broadway, New York, New York 10004.

Summary: Agreement No. 9735-12 is a proposal by the members of the Steamship Operators Intermodal Committee to make the $100.00 membership fee for admission to each Regional Committee nonrefundable. Currently, this fee is returned to members upon either their resignation or the dissolution of the agreement, with members having the option to apply it to any outstanding indebtedness under the agreement.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: January 23, 1980.

Francis C. Hurney,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2640 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

American Fletcher Corp.; Proposed Retention of Tecumseh Insurance Co.

American Fletcher Corporation, ("Applicant"), Indianapolis, Indiana, has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR § 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to retain voting shares of Tecumseh Insurance Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

Applicant states that its subsidiary would continue to reinsure credit life and credit disability insurance, purchased by installment loan borrowers from the credit granting subsidiaries of Applicant under group policies issued by primary insurers. These activities would be performed from an office of Applicant's subsidiary in Phoenix, Arizona, and the geographic areas to be served are Indiana and

Michigan. Such activities have been specified by the Board in section 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of section 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than February 21, 1980.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2627 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Coushatta Bancshares, Inc.; Formation of Bank Holding Company

Coushatta Bancshares, Inc., Coushatta, Louisiana, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80–100 per cent of the voting shares of Bank of Coushatta, Coushatta, Louisiana. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than February 21, 1980. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980.

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2622 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Farmers & Merchants Financial Corp.; Formation of Bank Holding Company

Farmers and Merchants Financial Corporation, Argonia, Kansas, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 86 percent or more of the voting shares of Farmers and Merchants State Bank, Argonia, Kansas. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than February 21, 1980. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980.

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2624 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

First Chico Bancshares, Inc.; Formation of Bank Holding Company

First Chico Bancshares, Inc., Chico, Texas, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 per cent of the voting shares, less directors' qualifying shares, of First State Bank of Chico, Chico, Texas. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. §1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than February 21, 1980. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980.

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2621 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Griswold State Bancshares, Inc.; Proposed Acquisition of Prokop Insurance Agency, Inc.

Griswold State Bancshares, Inc., Griswold, Iowa has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843 (c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR § 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to acquire voting shares of Prokop Insurance Agency, Inc.

Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would perform the activities of general insurance, in accordance with the Board's Regulation Y, including acting as an agent or broker for the sale of credit life and credit accident and health and property and casualty, insurance directly related to extensions of credit by Applicant's subsidiary bank. These activities would be performed from offices of Applicant's subsidiary in Griswold, Iowa, and the geographic areas to be served are southwestern Cass and eastern Pottawattamie Counties, Iowa. Such activities have been specified by the Board in section 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices."

Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of

a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than February 21, 1980.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980.

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2426 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

KBG Bancorporation, Inc.; Formation of Bank Holding Company

KBG Bancorporation, Wesley, Iowa, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 per cent or more of the voting shares of Exchange State Bank, Wesley, Iowa. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be received not later than February 21, 1980. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980.

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2620 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Troy Bancgroup & Co.; Formation of Bank Holding Company

Troy Bancgroup & Co., St. Louis, Missouri, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 97 per cent or more of the voting shares of Troy Security Bank, Troy, Illinois. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 to be received no later than February 21, 1980. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 21, 1980.

William N. McDonough,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2623 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Commercial Practices; Early Termination of the Waiting Period of the Premerger Notification Rules

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Granting of request for early termination of the waiting period of the premerger notification rules.

SUMMARY: Worthington Industries, Inc. is granted early termination of the waiting period provided by law and the premerger notification rules with respect to its proposed acquisition of certain stock of Buckeye International, Inc. The grant was made by the Federal Trade Commission and the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice in response to requests for early termination submitted by Worthington Industries, Inc. Neither agency intends to take any action with respect to this acquisition during the waiting period.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 17, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joan S. Truitt, Attorney, Premerger Notification Office, Bureau of Competition, Room 303, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580 (202-523-3894).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, as added by Title II of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, requires persons contemplating certain mergers or acquisitions to give the Commission and Assistant Attorney General advance notice and to wait designated periods before consummation of such plans. Section 7A(b)(2) of the Act permits the agencies, in individual cases, to terminate this waiting period prior to its expiration and requires that notice of this action be published in the **Federal Register.**

By direction of the Commission.

Carol M. Thomas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2657 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education

Indian Education—Grants to Local Educational Agencies; Closing Date for Transmittal of Applications for Fiscal Year 1980

Applications are invited for new projects under the Indian Education Act program of entitlement grants to local educational agencies (LEAs) and tribal schools.

Authority for this program is contained in Part A of the Indian Education Act, as amended (20 U.S.C. 241aa-241ff).

This program authorizes grants to LEAs and to certain Indian tribes and Indian organizations described in Section 1146 of Pub. L. 95-561.

The purpose of the grants is to support elementary and secondary school programs designed to meet the special educational and culturally related academic needs of Indian children.

Closing date for transmittal of applications: An application for a grant must be mailed or hand delivered by April 7, 1980.

Applications delivered by mail: An application sent by mail must be addressed to the U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, Attention: 13.534, Washington, D.C. 20202.

To establish proof of mailing by the deadline date, an applicant must show one of the following:

(1) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark.

(2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.

(3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.

(4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the U.S. Commissioner of Education.

If an application is sent through the U.S. Postal Service, the Commissioner does not accept either of the following as proof of mailing: (1) a private metered postmark or (2) a mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

An applicant should note that the U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, an applicant should check with its local post office. An applicant is encouraged to use registered or at least first class mail.

Each late applicant will be notified that its application will not be considered.

Applications delivered by hand: An application that is hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building 3, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Washington, D.C.

The Application Control Center will accept a hand delivered application between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (Washington, D.C. time) daily, except Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays.

An application that is hand delivered will not be accepted after 4:30 p.m. on the closing date.

Available funds: The amount of fiscal year 1980 funds for this program is $47,273,000. The amount of each grant is based on a formula that takes into account the Indian student enrollment in the applicant's schools and the average per pupil expenditure of school districts in the applicant's State.

Application forms: Application forms and program information packages may be obtained by writing to the Office of Indian Education, U.S. Office of Education, Room 2177, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Applications must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the regulations, instructions, and forms included in the program information package. The Commissioner strongly urges that the narrative portion of the application not exceed 25 pages. The Commissioner further suggests that applicants not submit information that is not requested.

Applicable regulations: Regulations applicable to this program include the following:

(a) Regulations governing programs under Part A of the Indian Education Act (45 CFR Parts 186 and 186a).

These regulations were published in proposed form in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 1979 (44 FR 38154). Applicants should base their applications on the notice of proposed rulemaking. When they are published as final regulations and become effective, these regulations will govern applications and grants under this program.

(b) The Education Division General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) (45 CFR Parts 100a and 100c).

These regulations were published in proposed form in the **Federal Register** on May 4, 1979 (44 FR 26298). When they are published as final regulations and become effective, they will supersede the Office of Education general provisions regulations (45 CFR Parts 100a through 100d) and will govern applications and grants under this program.

If changes that relate to the preparation of applications for fiscal year 1980 are made in the Indian Education Act final regulations or in the EDGAR final regulations, the Commissioner may extend the closing date to permit applicants to amend their applications.

Further information: For further information, contact Dr. John Tippeconnic, Associate Deputy Commissioner, Office of Indian Education, U.S. Office of Education, Room 2177, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202. Telephone: (202) 245–8020. (20 U.S.C. 241aa–241ff)

Dated: January 22, 1980.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.534, Indian Education—Grants to Local Educational Agencies)

William L. Smith,

U.S. Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc. 80-2658 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-02-M

Office of Human Development Services

1981 White House Conference on Aging, National Advisory Committee; Establishment

AGENCY: Office of Human Development Services, DHEW.

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Establishment.

PURPOSE: The 1981 White House Conference on Aging National Advisory Committee shall provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare and to the Executive Director of the 1981 White House Conference on Aging to assist in planning, conducting, and reviewing the Conference.

DATES: The Charter for this Committee was signed by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare on December 31, 1979. It will terminate when the Committee has completed its work, or on December 31, 1981, whichever comes first, unless renewed by appropriate action prior to the end of the first two-year period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jerome Waldie, Executive Director, White House Conference on Aging, Room 4063, 330 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, (202) 245–1914.

Dated: January 23, 1980.

Arnold Sampson,

HDS Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 80-2699 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-92-M

Office of the Secretary

Advisory Council on Education Statistics; Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Section 10, Pub. L. 92–463, that a meeting of the Advisory Council on Education Statistics will be held on February 13, 1980, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., in Room 3000, FOB #6, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202. The meeting will be continued on February 14, 1980, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., at the same location.

The Advisory Council on Education Statistics is mandated by Section 406(c) of the General Education Provisions Act as added by Section 501(a) of the Education Amendments of 1974, Pub. L. 93–380 (20 USC 1221e–1(c)), to advise the Secretary of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, and the Assistant Secretary for Education, and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES); and "shall review general policies for the operation of the Center and shall be responsible for establishing standards to ensure that statistics and analyses disseminated by the Center are of high quality and are not subject to political influence."

The meeting agenda will include an Administrator's report summarizing recent developments regarding major projects of the National Center for Education Statistics.

Other major topics will include the status of program planning for Federal fiscal year 1982, the Annual Report of the Council, and information on the supply and demand for teachers.

Questions regarding the meeting should be directed to: Executive Director, Advisory Council on Education Statistics, Room 3153–E, FOB #6, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Records shall be kept of all Council proceedings and shall be available for public inspection in the Office of the Administrator, National Center for Education Statistics, located at 400

Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on January 23, 1980.

Marie D. Eldridge,

Administrator, National Center for Education Statistics.

[FR Doc. 80-2563 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-12-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. N-80-971]

Privacy Act of 1974; HUD Region X Field Offices and Officials

AGENCY: Department of Housing and Urban Development.

ACTION: Publication of Region X Field Offices and Officials to receive inquiries, requests for access and requests for correction or amendment to Privacy Act systems of records.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the addresses of the Department's Region X Field Offices and the titles of Region X Officials to receive inquiries, requests for access and requests for correction or amendment to Privacy Act systems of records.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This notice is effective on January 28, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert English, Departmental Privacy Act Officer, Telephone 202-557-0605.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This list of HUD Region X Field Offices and Region X Officials was inadvertently omitted from Appendix A to the Department's Privacy Act Systems of Records Annual Publication, published at 44 FR 72307 (December 13, 1979).

Region X

Regional Administrator, 3003 Arcade Plaza Building, 1321 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

Area Offices:

Area Manager, 334 West 5th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

Area Manager, 520 Southwest 6th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204.

Area Manager, Arcade Plaza Building, 1321 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

Service Offices:

Supervisor, 419 North Curtis Road, Post Office Box 32, Boise, Idaho 83707.

Supervisor, West 920 Riverside Avenue, Spokane, Washington 99201.

(5 U.S.C. 552a, 88 Stat. 1896; sec. 7(d), Department of HUD Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)))

Issued at Washington, D.C., January 21, 1980.

Vincent J. Hearing,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc. 80-2642 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Approval of Petition for Reassumption of Jurisdiction Confederated Tribes and Bands, Yakima Indian Nation

January 11, 1980.

This notice is published in exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary, Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

The Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978 provides, subject to certain specified conditions, that the Secretary of the Interior shall approve tribal petition for reassumption of jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings.

This is notice that a petition for the tribal reassumption of jurisdiction over child custody proceedings from the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Indian Nation is approved effective March 28, 1980. The territory subject to the reassumption of jurisdiction includes the territory within the boundaries commencing on the Yakima River, at the mouth of the ATTAH-NAM river; thence westerly along said ATTAH—NAM river to the forks; thence along the southern tributary to the Cascade mountains; thence southerly along the main ridge of said mountains, passsing south and east of Mount Adams, to the spur whence flows the waters of the Klickitat and Pisco rivers; thence down said spur to the divide between the waters of said rivers; thence along said divide to the divide separating the waters of the Satus River from those flowing into the Columbia river; thence along said divide to the main Yakima, eight miles below the month of the Satus river; and thence up the Yakima river to the place of beginning, together with all tracts of land outside the boundaries described above that are held by the United States in trust for the Yakima Indian Nation or for any member of the Yakima Indian Nation. Current records of all such off-reservation trust lands are maintained in the offices of the Bureau of Indian Affairs in Toppenish, Washington.

Forrest J. Gerard,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 80-2619 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

National Park Service

Gateway National Recreation Area; Public Discussion

Notice is hereby given that a series of public discussions concerning access improvements to Gateway National Recreation Area will be conducted at a series of meetings, the time and location listed below.

Public Law 95-344, Title III, approved on August 15, 1978, authorizes the National Park Service to develop plans and projects to improve access to units of the National Park System.

The series of meetings will be scheduled to receive public comment on improving access to Gateway National Recreation Area located in New York and New Jersey. Two sets of meetings will be held in each state in accordance with the law.

The first set of meetings will be held to inform the public on the intentions of the National Park Service and give the public an opportunity to respond and recommend plans. The schedule for this set of public discussions will take place as follows: in New York, the meetings will be held at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, February 25, 1980, at the Staten Island Unit, Great Kills conference room, Staten Island, New York; and at 7:00 p.m. Wednesday, February 27, 1980 at the Jamaica Bay Unit conference room, Building #272, Floyd Bennett Field, Brooklyn, New York.

In New Jersey, the meeting will be held at 7:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 28, 1980, at the Sandy Hook Unit Chapel, Sandy Hook, New Jersey.

The second set of meetings will inform the public on proposed National Park Service plans with an additional chance for public involvement and response. The schedule for this set of public discussions will take place as follows: In New York, the meetings will be held at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, March 24, 1980, at the Staten Island Unit, Great Kills conference room, Staten Island, New York; and 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 26, 1980, at the Jamaica Bay Unit conference room, Building #272, Floyd Bennett Field, Brooklyn, New York.

In New Jersey, the meeting will be held at 7:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 27, 1980, at the Sandy Hook Unit Chapel, Sandy Hook, New Jersey.

All interested persons are invited to attend and participate in the discussions. However, facilities and space to accommodate members of the public are limited and persons will be accommodated on a first come, first served basis.

Individuals and/or groups who wish to speak or make a presentation, should

contact the Public Information Office by telephone (212) 630–0393 or postcard, stating the date of the meeting they will attend. Persons wishing further information concerning these various meetings, or wish to submit written statements, may contact Herbert S. Cables, Jr., Superintendent, Gateway National Recreation Area, Headquarters, Building #69, Floyd Bennett Field, Brooklyn, New York 11234, Telephone (212) 630–0353.

Dated: January 15, 1980.

Gilbert W. Calhoun,

Acting Regional Director, North Atlantic Region.

[FR Doc. 80–2719 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

Fort Caroline National Memorial Land Acquisition Plan; Public Forum

In accordance with guidelines issued by the Director of the National Park Service in the **Federal Register** (Vol. 44, No. 82) on April 26, 1979, the Superintendent of Fort Caroline National Memorial announces an open house for the purpose of providing a public forum to receive oral and written comment on a draft land acquisition plan for the park.

The draft plan will outline, in general terms, the overall goals and strategy for the park land acquisition program and identify specific land acquisition priorities within existing statutory limitations.

The open house will be held as follows: Friday, February 29, 1980, 1:00 to 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 to 9:00 p.m. Fort Caroline National Memorial, 12713 Fort Caroline Road, Jacksonville, Florida 32225.

Persons desiring further information about the open house can write or call the Superintendent, Fort Caroline National Memorial, 12713 Fort Caroline Road, Jacksonville, Florida 32225, (904) 641–7155. In addition, copies of the draft plan are available from the Superintendent.

Following the public forum, the record will remain open for 30 days to receive additional written comment. A land acquisition plan will then be completed and transmitted to the Regional Director, Southeast Region for approval.

Dated: January 16, 1980.

Neal G. Guse, Jr.,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 80–2558 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

New River Gorge National River, W. Va.; Public Meetings

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the National Parks and Recreation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95–625) of 14 public meetings to be held at locations in Fayette, Raleigh, Summers and Kanawha Counties, West Virginia, between the dates of February 4, 1980 and February 18, 1980. Section 1103 of the Act provides that by November 1980 the Secretary of the Interior shall submit, in writing, to the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, the Senate Committee on Energy and National Resources and the Committees on Appropriations of the U.S. Congress a detailed plan which shall indicate the lands and areas which he deems essential to the protection and public enjoyment of the natural, scenic, and historic values and objects of this national river, as well as other considerations.

At these public meetings, the National Park Service will acquaint area residents with the objectives and policies NPS has developed for the New River Gorge National River, introduce members of the park staff, review the legislation establishing the national river and its requirements, solicit the participation of citizens in the development of a Land Acquisition Plan, and outline the schedule of planning for the next two years.

The schedule of meetings follows:

Date, Location, and Time

February 4, Building 7, Conference Room E, Capitol Complex, 1900 Washington Street, East Charleston, WV; 7 p.m.
February 5, Memorial Building, Park Avenue, Hinton, WV; 7 p.m.
February 6, Vocational Technical Center, Oak Hill, WV; 7 p.m.
February 6, Gauley Bridge High School, Gauley Bridge, WV; 7 p.m.
February 7, Raleigh County Court House, Commissioners Meeting Room, Main Street, Beckley, WV; 7 p.m.
February 11, Better Citizens Club, Pluto Road, Raleigh District, Raleigh County, WV; 6:30 p.m.
February 11, Meadow Bridge School, Meadow Bridge, WV; 7 p.m.
February 12, Old Quinnimont School, Route 41, Prince, WV; 7 p.m.
February 12, Ansted Middle School, Ansted, WV; 7 p.m.
February 13, Thurmond Union Church, Thrumond, WV; 7 p.m.
February 13, Nuttall Middle School, Lookout, WV; 7 p.m.
February 14, Senior Citizens Center, Sandstone, WV; 7 p.m.
February 14, Fayetteville Elementary School, Fayetteville, WV; 7 p.m.
February 18, Mt. Hope High School, Mt. Hope, WV; 7 p.m.

Each meeting is open to the public. Citizens will be accommodated on a first-come, first served basis. Any member of the public may file with the National Park Service a written statement addressing the subject to be discussed.

Persons wishing further information concerning these meetings, or who wish to submit written statements, may write or telephone: Superintendent James W. Carrico, New River Gorge National River, 137½ Main Street, Oak Hill, West Virginia 25901 (304) 465–0508.

Dated: January 17, 1980.

Nathan B. Golub,

Acting Regional Director, Mid-Atlantic Region.

[FR Doc. 80–2557 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

Office of the Secretary

Decision on West to East Crude Oil Transportation System

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of decision.

SUMMARY: The President has found the construction and operation of a system to transport crude oil from the west coast to Northern Tier and inland States to be in the national interest. The President's decision approves the proposal of the Northern Tier Pipeline Company for purposes of Sections 508, 509, 510 and 511 of Title V of the Public Utility and Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. This allows Northern Tier the opportunity to seek financial backing and throughput agreements. If Northern Tier is unable to secure adequate financial support within a year, or six months after a decision by the Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, whichever is longer, the special procedures provided under Title V will be revoked, and the Trans Mountain Oil Pipeline Corporation will be given an opportunity to take advantage of the same Title V procedures.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 17, 1980.

ADDRESS: Department of the Interior, Main Interior Building, 18th and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Niebauer (202) 343–4931 at above address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Congressional directive expressed in Title V of the Public Utility and Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, the President is required to evaluate a number of criteria and consult with various Federal agency heads in order to

determine whether any of the applicants for a crude oil transportation system that would serve Northern Tier and inland States is in the national interest. Based on his concern for the potential crude oil supply deficiencies in the Northern Tier States and economic and energy security factors, the President has decided that a west-to-east transportation system is in the national interest.

On January 17, 1980, the President decided to accept the recommendation of Secretary of the Interior Cecil D. Andrus and approved the proposal of the Northern Tier Pipeline Company for purposes of Sections 508, 509, 510 and 511 of Title V. These sections confer special procedural and other opportunities on Northern Tier while it seeks the financial backing and throughput agreements to permit the system's construction. If Northern Tier is unable to secure adequate financial support by January 17, 1981 (within a year from the date of the President's approval), or six months following the Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council's decision (if it is favorable), whichever is longer, the Trans Mountain Oil Pipeline Corporation will be given an opportunity to take similar advantage of the same Title V provisions.

The President found Trans Mountain's proposal to be an attractive alternative which also, on balance, would be in the public interest. Although it does not yet have formal Canadian Energy Board approval, it will require fewer permits in the U.S. and thus has less need for Title V treatment.

This decision has been made following a thorough review and analysis of reports prepared by the Department of the Interior, the Department of Energy, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Council on Environmental Quality, as well as consultations with the Secretaries of the Interior, Energy and Transportation, and the Canadian government. This process has also involved substantial public input over the last several months and Congressional consultations.

The President's approval of the Northern Tier Pipeline system confers the opportunity to obtain an expedited review and issuance of permits, and limited judicial review. It does not assure the ultimate construction of either the Northern Tier or Trans Mountain proposal. The Federal government will not be financing or constructing these proposed pipelines. No Federal funds are or will be involved.

The ultimate decision as to whether or not a west-to-east crude oil transporation system will be constructed cannot be made by the President, but will be a decision arrived at solely by private financial markets. The proper role of the Federal government in this case is to make certain that public concerns are being looked after, specifically those concerns related to the environment, national energy and economic security, and the maintenance of competitive markets. During the last several months, the government has ensured that the major issues of the public welfare have been properly addressed.

The President's selection of the Northern Tier proposal is based on the public interest concerns mentioned. The system routing could move Alaskan, California and foreign crude oil to Northern Tier refineries which will in the next decade suffer a deficit in refinery stock without additional transportation capacity. This proposal is the only one which has the advantage of a capability to transport indigenous Northern Tier oil from the Williston Basin and Overthrust Belt areas.

The Northern Tier proposal provides the greatest energy transportation flexibility since it not only can receive oil from the greatest number of sources, but it also possesses the largest volume capacity. The location of the line entirely within the United States also provides a national security advantage. The Northern Tier line could be operational sooner than any other proposal and would provide employment for thousands of Americans as well as spurring business opportunity and enhancing local tax bases.

The Canadian government strongly supported the all-land Northwest Energy Company (Foothills) proposal. In his October 15, 1979, letter to the President setting forth his recommendations on the four competing west-to-east pipeline proposals, Secretary Andrus recommended that the President not approve the Foothills all-land proposal because it is the least flexible alternative system in that it relies entirely on Alaskan crude oil, because it could not deliver the sweet crude also needed by northern tier refineries, because of project high capital costs and tariffs, and for other reasons. The President agreed with that assessment and so informed the Canadian government. Canada then informed the Federal government of its support (subject to approval of the Canadian Energy Board) for the Trans Mountain system and strongly urged its approval.

The President's approval of the Northern Tier proposal is conditioned on one significant system modification: the pipeline company will be required to make the pipeline physically available to the four major Puget Sound refineries by constructing the necessary connecting lines. At the request of the President's Advisor on Domestic Affairs and Policy, the Justice Department reviewed the issue of "hook-up" of a west-to-east pipeline to the refineries; the Department questioned the President's authority to require a "hook-up." Accordingly, the President chose to require that the connecting lines be constructed and strongly urges the refineries to agree to this "hook-up." If necessary, the Administration will support legislation to achieve this condition. "Hook-up" will significantly reduce tanker traffic in the interior of the Sound and thus diminish significant potential environmental hazards to both American and Canadian waters and their rich marine resources.

If the Trans Mountain proposal is eventually approved for purposes of Title V, the pipeline "hook-up" condition will be applicable to it.

In his initial recommendation to the President, Secretary Andrus proposed that the Northern Tier Pipeline Company's approval be conditioned on the relocation of the part facility to some point west of Port Angeles based on environmental concerns. After Secretary Andrus' October 15, 1979, recommendation, several facts came to his attention which convinced him that Port Angeles may be as acceptable a location for a marine terminal as any potential site westward of that location. He informed the President of this change in recommendation in a memorandum dated December 10, 1979. By memorandum dated November 6, 1979, the Environmental Protection Agency made a similar finding. While the Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council may properly find that relocation of the port is necessary, the Federal government will not impose such a condition.

The President also requested the Secretary of the Interior to ensure, by stipulation in the grant of right-of-way, if necessary, that the integrity of the Dungeness Spit and the Dungeness Spit National Wildlife Refuge is maintained prior to issuance of any right-of-way.

The President also determined that it is in the national interest to require the Northern Tier Pipeline Company to assure equal opportunity, through affirmative action, in employment and business participation by minorities. If the Trans Mountain proposal is eventually approved, this affirmative action condition will be applicable to it.

The President's decision in no way preempts the laws of any State in which the Northern Tier pipeline proposal

crosses. Nor should this decision be viewed as preemptive of the ongoing deliberations of the Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, particularly with respect to the port location.

There is a high degree of uncertainty in regard to the economic factors to be considered in arriving at a decision to build a west-to-east crude oil pipeline. For example, both the size of crude oil deficits that refineries in the Northern Tier States will experience and the size of the west coast surplus of Alaska North Slope, California, and foreign crude oil are in question. It is unlikely that additional study or analysis of these economic uncertainties by Federal agencies will provide reliable answers. These are ultimately factors the private financing markets must take into account.

It is now time for the marketplace to determine whether a major west-to-east pipeline will be constructed. The President directed the Department of the Interior to implement this decision and ensure that the conditions are carried out.

Section 507(c) of Title V requires that "the President make available to the public at the time of issuance of a decision under this section a written statement setting forth findings with respect to each of the criteria specified in subsection (b) and describing the nature and route of crude oil transportation systems * * *" and that "Such statement along with notification of such decision shall be published in the **Federal Register.**" In fulfillment of this requirement, the President has adopted "Report to the President, West to East Crude Oil Transportation Systems," a document prepared by the Department of the Interior. Copies of the report may be obtained by contacting Mr. Peter Niebauer, Room 6633, Main Interior Building, 18th and C Streets, NW., Washington, D.C 20240, telephone (202) 343–4931.

January 23, 1980.

Cecil D. Andrus,

Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 80-2568 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[AB 32 (SDM)]

Boston & Maine Corp.; Amended System Diagram Map

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the requirements contained in Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1121.23, that the Boston and Maine Corporation, has filed with the Commission its amended color-coded system diagram map in docket No. AB 32 (SDM). The Commission on December 13, 1979, received a certificate of publication as required by said regulation which is considered the effective date on which the system diagram map was filed.

Color-coded copies of the map have been served on the Governor of each state in which the railroad operates and the Public Service Commission or similar agency and the State designated agency. Copies of the map may also be requested from the railroad at a nominal charge. The maps also may be examined at the office of the Commission, Section of Dockets, by requesting docket No. AB 32 (SDM).

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[Fr Doc. 80-2632 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Ex Parte No. 311]

Expedited Procedures for Recovery of Fuel Costs

Decided: January 22, 1980.

In our decisions of January 8 and 15, 1980, an 11.5-percent surcharge was authorized on all owner-operator traffic, and on all truckload traffic whether or not owner-operators were employed. We ordered that all owner-operators were to receive compensation at this level.

Although the weekly figures set forth in the appendix for transportation performed by owner-operators and for truckload traffic is 11.8 percent, we are authorizing that the 11.5-percent surcharge on this traffic remain in effect. All owner-operators are to continue to receive compensation at the 11.5-percent level. In addition, no change will be made in the existing authorization of a 2.0-percent surcharge on less-than-truckload (LTL) traffic performed by carriers not utilizing owner-operators, nor in the authorization of a 4.4-percent surcharge for the bus carriers.

Notice shall be given to the general public by mailing a copy of this decision to the Governor of each State and to the Public Utilities Commissioners or Boards of each State having jurisdiction over transportation, by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication therein.

It is ordered: This decision shall become effective Friday, 12:01 a.m., January 25, 1980.

By the Commission, Chairman Gaskins, Vice Chairman Gresham, Commissioners Stafford, Clapp, Trantum, and Alexis.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Appendix.—*Fuel Surcharge*

Base Date and Price Per Gallon (Including Tax)		
January 1, 1979		63.5¢
Date of Current Price Measurement and Price Per Gallon (Including Tax)		
January 21, 1980		107.9
Average Percent: Fuel Expenses (Including Taxes) of Total Revenue		
(1)	(2)	(3)
From Transportation Performed by Owner Operators (Apply to All Truckload Rated Traffic)	Other (Including Less-Truckload Traffic)	Bus Carriers
16.9%	2.9%	6.3%
Percent Surcharge Developed		
11.8%	2.0%	4.4%
Percent Surcharges Allowed		
11.5%	2.0%	4.4%

[FR Doc. 80-2630 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Fourth Section Applications for Relief

January 23, 1980.

These applications for long-and-short-haul relief have been filed with the I.C.C.

Protests are due at the I.C.C. on or before February 12, 1980.

FSA 43791, Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent No. A–2761, on liquid fertilizer, in tank cars, from Table Rock, Wyoming to stations in Western Trunk Line Territory in Supplement 337 to its tariff ICC WTL 3120–L, to become effective February 16, 1980. Grounds for relief—market competition.

FSA 43792, Far Eastern Shipping Company's No. 16, general commodities in marine type trailers or containers. Between rail carrier terminals on the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coast and foreign ports of call including those in Japan, Hong Kong, Australia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and West Malaysia by way of rail/ocean interchange ports on the U.S. West Coast in its tariff ICC FACU 600, effective February 17, 1980. Grounds for relief—water competition.

By the Commission.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2631 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Operating Rights Application(s) Directly Related to Finance Proceedings

The following operating rights applications(s) are filed in connection with pending finance applications under

section 11343 (formerly section 5(2)) of the Interstate Commerce Act, or seek tacking and/or gateway elimination in connection with transfer applications under section 10926 (formerly section 212(b)) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

On applications filed before March 1, 1979, an original and one copy of *protests* to the granting of authorities must be filed with the Commission on or before February 27, 1980. Such protests shall conform with Special Rule 247(e) of the Commission's *General Rules of Practice* (49 CFR 1100.247) and include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities.

Applications filed on or after March 1, 1979, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's *General Rules of Practice* also but are subject to petitions to intervene either with or without leave. An original and one copy of the petition must be filed with the Commission on or before February 27, 1980. A petition for intervention must comply with Rule 247(k) which requires petitioner to demonstrate that it (1) holds operating authority permitting performance of any of the service which the applicant seeks authority to perform; (2) has the necessary equipment and facilities for performing that service, and (3) has performed service within the scope of the application either (a) for those supporting the application, or, (b) where the service is not limited to the facilities of particular shippers, from and to, or between, any of the involved points. Persons unable to intervene under Rule 247(k) may file a petition for leave to intervene under Rule 247(l) setting forth the specific grounds upon which it is made, including a detailed statement of petitioner's interest, the particular facts, matters, and things relied upon, the extent to which petitioner's interest will be represented by other parties, the extent to which petitioner's participation may reasonably be expected to assist in the development of a sound record, and the extent to which participation by the petitioner would broaden the issues or delay the proceeding.

Verified statements in opposition should not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest or petition to intervene shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative or applicant if no representative is named.

Each applicant states that approval of its application will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment nor involve a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

MC 64600 (Sub-54F), filed August 7, 1979. Applicant: WILSON TRUCKING CORPORATION, P.O. Drawer 2, Fishersville, VA 22939. Representative: Francis W. McInerny, Esq., 1000–16th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036. To operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce, over *regular routes*, transporting, *General Commodities*, (except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment) (1) Between Rutherfordton, NC and Albemarle, NC; From Rutherfordton over U.S. Hwy 74 to Charlotte; then over NC Hwy 24 to Albemarle, and return over the same route. (2) Between Gastonia, NC and Taylorsville, NC; From Gastonia over U.S. Hwy 321 to junction NC Hwy 16, then over NC Hwy 16 to Taylorsville, and return over the same route. (3) Between Newton, NC and Lenoir, NC; From Newton over NC Hwy 16 to junction U.S. Hwy 321, then over U.S. Hwy 321 to Lenoir, and return over the same route; From Newton over NC Hwy 16 to junction Interstate Hwy 40, then over Interstate Hwy 40 to Hickory, then over U.S. Hwy 321 to Lenoir, and return over the same route. (4) Between Charlotte, NC and Statesville, NC; From Charlotte over U.S. Hwy 21 to Statesville, and return over the same route; From Charlotte over Interstate Hwy 77 to Statesville, and return over the same route. (5) Between Charlotte, NC and Eden, NC; From Charlotte over U.S. Hwy 29 to Reidsville, then over NC Hwy 14 to Eden and return over the same route; From Charlotte over Interstate Hwy 85 to Greensboro, then over U.S. Hwy 29 to Reidsville, then over NC Hwy 14 to Eden, and return over the same route. (6) Between Greensboro, NC and Mebane, NC; From Greensboro over U.S. Hwy 70 to junction NC Hwy 119, then over NC Hwy 119 to Mebane, and return over the same route; From Greensboro over Interstate Hwy 85 to junction NC Hwy 119, then over NC Hwy 119 to Mebane, and return over the same route. Serving points in the counties of Alamance, Alexander, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Catawba, Cleveland, Davidson, Gaston, Guilford, Halifax, Iredell, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Scotland and Stanley, NC as intermediate and/or off-route points in connection with the routes shown above. MC–64600 (Sub-No. 51) is also directly related to MC–F–13978.

Note.—The purpose of filing this application is to convert irregular route authority to regular route authority. This application is directly related to MC–F–13979, published in the September 7, 1979, issue of the **Federal Register**.

MC 117304 (Sub-41F), filed July 26, 1979. Applicant: DON PAFFILE d.b.a. PAFFILE TRUCK LINES, 5735 North and South Highway, Lewiston, ID 83501. Representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 1100 Norton Building, Seattle, WA 98104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle over irregular routes transporting: Heavy machinery, mining equipment, mining supplies, mining ores, not including coal, such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail grocery stores, such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail hardware stores, and contractors equipment, materials and supplies, between points in ID, WA, OR and that part of MT west of a line extending in a northerly direction from Monida Pass, MT to the United States-Canada boundary line near Babb, MT. Restriction: The service authorized and the route description next above is restricted against the transportation of the above specified commodities where either the origin or destination or both is a mine or a mining camp. Hides, pelts and tallow from Wallace, ID to Spokane, WA with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. General Commodities, except those of unusual value, Class A & B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading between points in Jackson County, OR, on the one hand, and, on the other, points including Hilts, CA and that part of Siskiyou County, CA on and west of U.S. 99.

Note.—The purpose of filing this application is to eliminate Jackson County, OR. This matter is directly related to MC–F–14094F, published in the **Federal Register** issue of August 8, 1979.

MC 121087 (Sub-No. 3), filed June 21, 1979. Applicant: EXPLOSIVES CARRIER, INC., 8212 S. Bryant, Oklahoma City, OK 73129. Representative: C. L. Phillips, Room 248, Classen Terrace Bldg., 1411 N. Classen, Oklahoma City, OK 73129. Authority to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Explosives*, between points in Oklahoma.

Note.—the purpose of filing this application is to convert a certificate of registration to a certificate of public convenience and necessity. This matter is directly related to MC–FC–78217 published in a previous section of this **Federal Register** issue.

MC 44735 (Sub-49F), filed September 21, 1979. Applicant: KISSICK TRUCK LINES, INC., 7101 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64126. Representative: John E. Jandera, 641 Harrison Street,

Topeka, KS 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron and Steel Articles*, (a) Between points in KS, MO, and St. Louis, MO/East St. Louis, IL, commercial zone, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, IN, IA, MO, NE, OK, WI and points in MN on and south of U.S. Hwy 14; (b) From points in OK and NE to points in WI, IN, points in MN on and south of U.S. Hwy 14; (c) From points in MO on and east of U.S. Hwy 63 to points in CO; (2) *Heavy Machinery, Supplies and Equipment*, Between points in KS and MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in KS, MO, OK, NE, IA, IL, and Chicago, IL commercial zone. (3) *Machinery, Supplies, Materials and Equipment*, used in the operation of mills manufacturing or fabricating iron or steel, From points in Lake County, IN, points in MN on and south of U.S. Hwy 14 to points in KS and MO. (Hearing site: Kansas City, MO).

Note.—This application is directly related to Kissick Truck Lines, Inc.—control and merger—W. T. Gibson Transportation, Inc. docketed MC-F-14161 published in the October 3, 1979, issue of the **Federal Register**. The purpose of filing this application is to eliminate the gateways of Kansas City, St. Louis, MO and Sterling, IL.

By the Commission.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2333 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Motor Carrier Temporary Authority Applications

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under Section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the provisions of 49 CFR 1131.3. These rules provide that an original and six (6) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the field official named in the **Federal Register** publication no later than the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the **Federal Register**. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be governed by the completeness and pertinence of protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the ICC Field Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

Note.—All applications seek authority to operate as a common carrier over irregular routes except as otherwise noted.

Motor Carriers of Property

[Notice No. 241]

MC 121673 (Sub-3TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: WESTERN MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 1430 West Sheridan, P.O. Box 82065, Oklahoma City, OK 73148. Representative: John M. Swindle (same address as applicant). *Common Carrier: Regular Route: General commodities*, (1) between Oklahoma City, OK, and Carnegie, OK, serving the intermediate points of Hinton, Lookeba, Binger, Gracemont, Anadarko and Fort Cobb, and passing through but not serving Yukon: from Oklahoma City west on Interstate Hwy 40 to its junction with OK Hwy 281, then south on US Hwy 281 to its junction with OK Hwy 9, then west on OK Hwy 9 to Carnegie, and return over the same route; (2) between Oklahoma City, OK and Altus, OK, serving the intermediate points on Manitou, Frederick and Tipton, and passing through but not serving Lawton, Indiahoma and Cache: from Oklahoma City, OK southwest via the H.E. Bailey Turnpike to its junct with US Hwy 62, then west of US Hwy 62 to its junction with US Hwy 183, then south on US Hwy 183 to Frederick, OK, then west and north on OK Hwy 5 to Tipton, then west on OK Hwy 5 to its junction with US Hwy 283, then north on US Hwy 283 to Altus, and return over the same route, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 9 supporting shippers. Their statements may be examined at the field office listed below or Headquarters. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Rm. 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

MC 123613 (Sub-19TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: CLAREMONT MOTOR LINES, INC., 2800 N. Tryon St., Charlotte, NC 28206. Representative: D. R. Beeler, 9041 Executive Park Dr, Suite 110, Bldg. 100, Knoxville, TN 37919. *Foodstuffs (except frozen)* from FL to points in AL, DE, IL, IN, KY, OH, MI, MD, MS, NJ, NY, NC, PA, TN, TX, VA, WV and DC, for 180 days. An underlying ETA for 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Southern Fruit Distributors, P.O. Box 8367, Orlando, FL 32806. Send protests to: Sheila Reece, T/A, 800 Briar Creek Rd-Rm CC516, Charlotte, NC 28205.

MC 124673 (Sub-50TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: FEED TRANSPORTS, INC., P.O. Box 2167, Amarillo, TX 79105. Representative: Gail Johnson (same address as applicant). *Liquid fertilizer ingredients and/or fertilizer solutions* from points in Beaver and Woodward Counties, OK and McPherson County, KS to points in TX, OK, KS, NE and CO for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days has been filed. Supporting shipper(s): Agrico Chemical Company, Inc., P.O. Box 3166, Tulsa, OK 74101. Send protests to: Ruth Allport, TCS, ICC, 411 W. 7th St., Suite 600, Ft. Worth, TX 76102.

MC 125403 (Sub-11TA), filed December 18, 1979. Applicant: S.T.L. TRANSPORT, INC., 120 Grace Ave. (P.O. Box 369), Newark, NY 14513. Representative: S. Michael Richards, 44 North Ave., P.O. Box 225, Webster, NY 14580. *Foodstuffs (except in bulk) and materials, supplies and equipment used in the manufacture, sale or distribution thereof (except in bulk)*, between all points in the United States (except AK and HI). Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Ragu Foods, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Ragu Foods, Inc., 33 Benedict Pl., Greenwich, CT. Send protests to: Anne C. Siler, TA, ICC, 910 Federal Bldg., 111 West Huron Street, Buffalo, NY 14202.

MC 128543 (Sub-20TA), filed December 4, 1979. Applicant: CRESCO LINES, INC., 13900 S. Keller Ave., Crestwood, IL 60445. Representative: Edward G. Bazelon, 39 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603. Contract carrier; irregular routes; *Pipe, tubing, wire, fencing and parts and accessories therefor, and materials, equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of all of the aforesaid commodities (except commodities in bulk), (a) Between the facilities of Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation and Coastal Wire Warehouses, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary, located in Los Angeles, Orange and San Bernardino Counties, CA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and

Wyoming; (b) Between the facilities of Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation and Coastal Wire Warehouses, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary, located in Gwinett and Fulton Counties, GA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Texas. Restriction: The authority sought in (a) and (b) above is restricted (1) to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation and Coastal Wire Warehouses, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary, and (2) to transportation performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation and Coastal Wire Warehouses, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary; (c) From the Los Angeles, CA, Harbor Zone, as defined by the Commission, to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington and Wyoming. Restriction: The authority sought in (c) above is restricted to transportation performed pursuant to a continuing contract or contracts with Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation and Coastal Wire Warehouses, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiary. Supporting shipper(s): Allied Tube & Conduit Corp., Coastal Wire Warehouse, Inc., 16100 S. Lathrop Ave., harvey, IL 60426. Send protests to: ICC, 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 135762 (Sub-8TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: JOHN H. NEAL, INC., P.O. Box 3877, Fort Smith, AR 72913. Representative: John H. Neal (same as applicant). Contract carrier over irregular routes: *Fencing, fencing materials, wire and wire products,* from the facilities of Bekaert Steel Wire Corporation, at or near Van Buren, AR, to points in AL, DE, FL, GA, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX and VA, the service to be performed under a continuing contract with Bekaert Steel Wire Corporation for 180 days. Underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Bekaert Steel Wire Corporation, P.O. Box 668, Van Buren, AR 72956. Send protests to: William H. Land, DS, 3108 Federal Bldg., Little Rock, AR 72201.

MC 138652 (Sub-8TA), filed November 29, 1979. Applicant: BAKER TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 7990 Overland Ave., P.O. Box 7771, Boise, ID 83707. Representative: Larry O. Nelson, P.O. Box 169, St. Maries, ID 83861. *Pressure treated power poles, fence poles, treated dimensional lumber and pre-cut log home packages,* from the facilities of Pressure Treated Timber at or near Boise, ID to points in MT, NE, ND and SD, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Pressure Treated Timber Co., 3200 Gowen Road, Boise, ID 83705. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, D/S, ICC, Suite 110, 1471 Shoreline Dr., Boise, ID 83702.

MC 138703 (Sub-4TA), filed November 27, 1979. Applicant: BOESDORFER TRUCKING, INC., 117 Church St., Pleasant Plains, IL 62677. Representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, IL 62701. *Clay,* in bulk, in dump trailers, from the Mid-State Warehouse at Springfield, IL to the facilities of Monsanto Co. at Muscatine, IA, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Monsanto Company, 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63166. Send protests to: ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 138882 (Sub-328TA), filed November 9, 1979. Applicant: WILEY SANDERS TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box Drawer 707, Troy, AL 36081. Representative: James W. Segrest (sanem address as applicant). Furniture parts, components, and accessories thereto, between the facilities of Leggett and Platt Incorporated its divisions, and subsidiaries located at St. Louis, MO; Winchester, KY; Ennis, TX; Oklahoma City, OK; Phoenix, AZ; Springfield, MO; Dalton, IL; Lawrence, MA; Kenyon, MN; Carthage, MO; Social Circle, GA; Houston, TX; Litchfield, MI; Hominy, OK; Atlanta, GA; Mason, OH; Orlando, FL; Nashville, TN; Birmingham, AL; Independence, LA; Jersey City, NJ; Memphis, TN; Austin, TX; Miami, FL; Kansas City, KS; Middlefield, OH; Cherry Hill, NJ; University City, MO; Edwardsburgh, MI; Newton, KS; Highpoint, NC; Jacksonville, FL; Nicholasville, KY; Ferndale, MI; Simpsonville, KY; Dallas, TX; Little Rock, AR; Maxton, NC; Tupelo, MS; Newton, NC; Linwood, NC; Grafton, WI; Forest City, NC; and points in and east of NS, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX on the one hand and on the other materials equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and processing of furniture parts and components from points in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX to the facilities of Leggett and Platt, Incorporated its divisions and subsidaries located at St. Louis, MO; Winchester, KY; Ennis, TX; Oklahoma City, OK; Phoenix, AZ; Springfield, MO; Dalton, IL; Lawrence, MA; Kenyon, MN; Carthage, MO; Social Circle, GA; Houston, TX; Litchfield, MI; Hominy, OK; Atlanta, GA; Mason, OH; Orlando, FL; Nashville, TN; Birmingham, AL; Independence, LA; Jersey City, NJ; Memphis, TN; Austin, TX; Miami, FL; Kansas City, KS; Middlefield, OH; Cherry Hill, NJ; University City, MO; Edwardsburgh, MI; Newton, KS; Highpoint, NC; Jacksonville, FL; Nicholasville, KY; Ferndale, MI; Simpsonville, KY; Dallas, TX; Little Rock, AR; Maxton, NC; Tupelo, MS; Newton, NC; Linwood, NC; Grafton, WI; Forest City, NC. Restricted against commodities in bulk in tank vehicles and commodities which, because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment. Further restricted to shipments originating at or destined to the indicated territory, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Leggett & Platt, Incorporated, 18th Road, Carthage, MO 64836. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, T/A, ICC, Room 1616, 2121 Building, Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 139112 (Sub-19TA), filed November 23, 1979. Applicant: CALEX EXPRESS, INC., 149 Warden Ave., Trucksville, PA 18708. Representative: J. Bruce Walter, 410 North Third St., P.O. Box 1146, Harrisburg, PA 17108. *Wood burning stoves, parts and accessories thereof and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, sale and distribution of said items,* between the facilities of Industrial Construction Company, Inc. at Eugene, OR, on the one hand, and on the other, points in GA, IN, SC, NH, OH, MN, SD, VA, WI, NC, UT, TX, PA, CO, IA, MI and NV, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Industrial Construction Company, Inc., 765 Conger St., Eugene, OR 97402. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Room 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 139113 (Sub-16TA), filed October 15, 1979. Applicant: HUDSON TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 847, Troy, AL 36081. Representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 N. Washington, Blvd., P.O. Box 1240, Arlington, VA 22210. *Such commodities as are dealt in or used by grocery and food business houses (except in bulk),* From points in the United States (except AK and HI) to the facilities of M. O. Carroll-Newton Company, Inc., located in Houston and Dale Counties, AL. RESTRICTION: Restricted to transportation of shipments under a continuing contract or contracts with M. O. Carroll-Newton Company, Inc., for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): M. O. Carroll-Newton Company, Inc., 924 East Broad, Ozark, AL 36360. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, T/A, I.C.C., Room 1616, 2121 Building, Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 139843 (Sub-10TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: VERNON G. SAWYER, P.O. Drawer B, Bastrop, LA 71220. Representative: Harry E. Dixon, Jr., P.O. Box 4319, Monroe, LA 71203. *Plastic film and sheeting, also materials, equipment and supplies* used in the manufacturing thereof, between Monroe, LA and all points in AL, AR, FL, GA, IL, KS, MS, MO, OK, TN, and TN, restricted to transportation of traffic originating at or destined to indicated points, for 180 days. Underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Sunbelt Plastics, Division of Sunbelt Manufacturing, P.O. Box 7400, Monroe, LA 71203. Send protests to: William H. Land, DS, 3108 Federal Bldg., Little Rock, AR 72201.

MC 140083 (Sub-4TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: FLORENCE N. SOBEL, INC., d.b.a. GENTRY FORWARDING CO., 3445 Paterson Plank Road, North Bergen, NJ 07047. Representative: Lawrence E. Lindeman, Suite 1032, 425 13th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20004. Trailers (except those designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), containers and trailer chassis between (1) points in PA, NY & NJ; (2) points in PA, NY & NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT, MD, and VA; and (3) Chesapeake, Portsmouth, Norfolk, VA and Baltimore, MD, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Flexi-Van Leasing Inc., 330 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017; Central Gulf Lines Inc., 1 Whitehall Street, New York, NY 10004. Send protests to: Robert E. Johnston, DS, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07120.

MC 140313 (Sub-10TA), filed December 14, 1979. Applicant: VIKING INTERNATIONAL, INC., 601 Industry Drive, Bldg., #5, Seattle, WA 98188. Representative: Gene Darr (same as above). *Canned and frozen seafoods*, from points in WA; West of U.S. 97 to points in CA; Des Moines, IA; Chicago, IL; Wichita, KS; Hitchinson, KS; Detroit, MI; Grand Rapids, MI; Minneapolis, MN; Kansas City, MO; and Cleveland, OH; and from Anacortes, WA; to Denver, CO; Hartford, CN; Washington, DC; Miami, FL; Jacksonville, FL; Atlanta, GA; Peoria, IL; Chicago, IL; Sioux City, IA; Davenport, IA; Des Moines, IA; Lexington, KY; Louisville, KY; Portland, ME; Boston, MA; Baltimore, MD; Omaha, NE; Bronx, NY; Philadelphia, PA; York, PA; Memphis, TN; Nashville, TN; Huntington, WV; Parkersburg, WV; Green Bay, WI; and Milwaukee, WI; for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Whitney-Fidalgo Seafoods, Inc., 2360 West Commodore Way, P.O. Box C-99308, Seattle, WA 98199; East Point Seafood Company, P.O. Box 127, South Bend, WA 98586. Send protests to: Shirley M. Holmes, T/A, ICC, 858 Federal Building, Seattle, WA 98174.

MC 140763 (Sub-8TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: ONEIDA-COLUMBUS EXPRESS CO., P.O. Box 356, Oneida, TN 37814. Representative: Marshall Kragen, 1835 K St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Steel strips, in coils*, from Detroit, MI to Oneida, TN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Hughett Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 38, Helmwood, TN 37755. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A-422, U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 141443 (Sub-53TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: JOHN LONG TRUCKING, INC., 1030 East Denton, Sapulpa, OK 74066. Representative: William Cruikshank (same address as applicant). *Petroleum and petroleum products*, (exept in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Tulsa, OK, to points in AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NM, & TX, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Sumark Industries, A Division of Sun Oil Company of Pennsylvania, P.O. Box 7368, Philadelphia, PA 19101. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Rm. 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

MC 141533 (Sub-11TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: LYN TRANSPORT, INC., 37 North Central Avenue, Elmsford, NY 10532. Representative: Bruce J. Robbins, Robbins & Newman, 118-21 Queens Blvd., Forest Hills, NY 11375. *Foodstuffs, except in bulk*, from the facilities of American Home Foods, Division of American Home Products Corporation, at or near Milton, PA, to the states of AL, CT, GA, FL, NY, NJ and PA; for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: American Home Foods, Division of American Home Products Corporation, 685 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017. Send protests to: Maria B. Kejss, I.C.C. 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

MC 141533 (Sub-12TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: LYN TRANSPORT, INC., 37 North Central Avenue, Elmsford, NY 10532. Representative: Bruce J. Robbins, Robbins & Newman, 118-21 Queens Blvd., Forest Hills, NY 11375. *Paints, pigments, materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, production, packaging and distribution of paints and pigments*, between the facilities of PPG Industries, Inc., in or about Springdale, PA, Cleveland, OH, Oak Creek, WI, and Delaware, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the commerical zones of New York NY, Chicago, IL, New Orleans, LA, Memphis, TN, Dover, DE, St. Paul, MN, Boston, MA, Syracuse, NY, Omaha, NE, Kansas City, Jefferson City, and St. Louis, MO; for 180 days. Supporting shipper: PPG Industries, Inc., One Gateway Center, Pittsburgh, PA 15222. Send protests to: Maria B. Kejss, I.C.C. 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

MC 141742 (Sub-9TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: FLOWERS TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box B, Station A, Auburn, CA 95603. Representative: William D. Taylor, 100 Pine Street, San Fancisco, CA 94111. *Steel Products*, from Pueblo, CO to points in the states of CA, OR, NV and Kings County, WA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Snider Building Products, 7300 Lincolnshire, Suite 110, Sacramento, CA 95823. Send protests to: DS W. J. Huetig, 705 North Plaza Street, Carson City, NV 89701.

MC 141742 (Sub-10TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: FLOWERS TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box B, Station A, Auburn, CA 95603. Representative: Walter H. Walker, 100 Pine Street, San Francisco, CA 94111. *Lumber and Lumber Products*, from (1) points in OR to points in CA and NV; and (2) from points in CA to points in NV, restricted to shipments moving from the facilities and suppliers of Bendix Forest Products for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Bendix Forest Products Corporation, 2740 Hyde Street, San Francisco, CA 94109. Send protests to: DS W. J. Huetig, I.C.C., 705 North Plaza Street, Carson City, NV 89701.

MC 142282 (Sub-2TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: FLEET SERVICE CORP., P.O. Box 4112, Whittier, CA 90607. Representative: William J. Lippman, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 330, Denver, Colorado 80209. *Contract;* Irregular; *Lumber and lumber products*, from points in Washington and Oregon to points in California, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper: Dier Lumber Co. Inc., Vice President, 291 Roymar Road, Oceanside, CA 92054. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 142672 (Sub-104TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: DAVID BENEUX PRODUCE & TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Drawer F, Mulberry, AR 72947. Representative: Don Garrison,

P.O. Box 1065, Fayetteville, AR 72701. *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix 1 to the Report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766* (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Vernon Calhoun Packing Company, at or near Palestine, TX, to points in the United States (except AL, AR, MO, NY, OH, and OK), for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Vernon Calhoun Packing Company, P.O. Box 709, Palestine, TX 75801. Send protests to: William H. Land, DS, 3108 Federal Bldg., Little Rock, AR 72201.

MC 142672 (Sub-105TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: DAVID BENEUX PRODUCE & TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Drawer F, Mulberry, AR 72947. Representative: Don Garrison, P.O. Box 1065, Fayetteville, AR 72701. *Such commodities* as are dealt in by wholesale and retail food business houses (except in bulk, in tank vehicles) from the facilities of and used by Lever Brothers Company, at or near St. Louis, MO, to points in AR, IA, LA, NE, TN and TX, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Lever Brothers Company, 390 Park Ave., New York, N.Y. 10022. Send protests to: William H. Land, DS, 3108 Federal Bldg., Little Rock, AR 72201.

MC 143183 (Sub-11TA), filed November 26, 1979. Applicant: L. M. ROACH, DBA D&L TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 1741, Wilmington, NC 28402. Representative: Ralph McDonald, P.O. Box 2246, Raleigh, NC 27602. *Salt, in packages,* from the facilities of Cargill, Inc. located in Wilmington, NC to Augusta, Macon, Baldwin, Commerce, Lavonia, Gainesville and Gahlonega, GA and Myrtle Beach, Orangeburg, Florence, Camden, Spartanburg, Anderson, Charleston, Clemson, Columbia, Sumter and Rock Hill, SC, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Cargill, Inc., P.O. Box 150, Watkins, Glen, NY 14891. Send protests to: Sheila Reece, T/A, 800 Briar Creek Rd-Rm CC516, Charlotte, NC 28205.

MC 143383 (Sub-6TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: DALE E. NICHOLSON, P.O. Box 97, Potosi, MO 63664. Representative: Same as above. (1) *Float tails* or *float tail screenings,* in bulk, in dump vehicles, from Galena, IL to points in Jasper County, MO, and (2) *silage preservative and truck traction material* in bulk and in bags, in dump vehicles, from points in Jasper County, MO to WI, IL, IN, MI, IA, SD, NE, KS, OK, OH, TX, PA, AR, MS, KY, MN and CO, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Essentials 4 U, Route #1, Box 43–E, Webb City, MO 64870. Send protests to: P. E. Binder, DS, ICC, Rm. 1465, 210 N. 12th St., St. Louis, MO 63101.

MC 114112 (Sub-63TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: CARRETTA TRUCKING, INC., South 160, Route 17 North, Paramus, NJ 07652. Representative: Charles J. Williams, 1815 Front Street, Scotch Plains, NJ 07076. *Hair care toiletries, hair care accessories and equipment, toilet preparations, beauty and health products and equipment, personal electrical appliances and accessories and parts thereof, and equipment, materials, supplies and ingredients used in the packaging, sale and manufacture thereof,* from Stamford, CT to Bedford Park, IL restricted to shipments originating at or destined to the facilities of Clairol, Inc., for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Clairol, Inc., 1 Blachley Rd., Stamford, CT 06902. Send protests to: Joel Morrows, D/S, ICC, 744 Broad St., Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 144122 (Sub-64TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: CARRETTA TRUCKING, INC., S. 160 Route 17, Paramus, NJ 07652. Representative: Joseph Carretta, S. 160 Route 17, Paramus, NJ 07652. *Chemicals (except in bulk).* From the facilities of Lonza, Inc. at Mapleton and Peoria, IL; Gary, IN and Houston, TX to Totowa, NJ, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Lonza, Inc., 22–10 Rt. 208, Fairlawn, NJ 07410. Send protests to: Joel Morrows, D/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Rm. 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 144622 (Sub-125TA), filed November 29, 1979. Applicant: GLENN BROS. TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 9343, Little Rock, AR 72219. Representative: Robert D. Gisvold, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, MN 55402. (1) *Foodstuffs, pet foods, and animal feeds,* (2) *materials and supplies* used in manufacturing, packing, canning, sale or distribution of (1), between Elwood, KS; St. Joseph, MO; Garland, TX, Mansfield, TX, on the one hand, and on the other, points in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, NM, for 180 days. Underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Carnation Company, 5045 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90036. Send protests to: William H. Land, DS, 3108 Federal Bldg., Little Rock, AR 72201.

MC 144813 (Sub-3TA), filed December 3, 1979. Applicant: HUNT TEAL TRUCKING, INC., 3051 N.W. 129th St., Miami, FL 33054. Representative: Glen Teal, same address as applicant. *Foodstuffs,* except in bulk and tank vehicles, from the facilities of Seneca-Marion Foods Corp. at Marion, East Williamson, Williamson, Newark, Oak Corners, Sterling, Dundee, Penn Yan and Nimrod, NY to points in AL, FL, GA, MO, IN, IL, NC, and SC for 180 days. An underlying ETA Seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Seneca Foods Corporation, 3736 South Main St., Maine St., Marion NY 14505. Supporting shipper: Seneca Foods Corporation, 3736 South Main St., Marion, NY 14505. Send protests to: Donna M. Jones, T/A, ICC, Suite 101, 8410 N.W. 53rd Ter., Miami, FL 33157.

MC 144883 (Sub-8TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: EARL MOORE, d.b.a. S & M MARKETING, 1133 Chess Dr., Foster City, CA 94404. Representative: James T. Proctor, P.O. Box 668, Mountain View, CA 94042. *General commodities* moving in 45 foot mechanical refrigerated trailers, from all points in CA to all points in AZ, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: California Peanut Co., 500 West Ohio Ave., Richmond, CA 94804; Campbell Soup Co., P.O. Box 3051, Modesto, CA 95353. Send protests to: D/S Neil C. Foster, 211 Main, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94105.

MC 145363 (Sub-7TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: BREWTON EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 508, Winnfield, LA 71483. Representative: Brian E. Brewton (same address as applicant). *Iron and steel articles* from the facilities of Labarge, Inc. at Wagner, OK; Memphis, TN; St. Louis, MO; and Bellevue, OH to points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, and NM, for 180 days. Applicant has filed an underlying ETA seeking 90 days. Supporting shipper: Labarge, Inc., 20 South 4th St., St. Louis, MO 63102. Send protests to: Robert J. Kirspel, DS, ICC, T–9038 Federal Bldg., 701 Loyola Ave., New Orleans, LA 70113.

MC 145503 (Sub-5TA), filed November 19, 1979. Applicant: ART ANDERSON, INC., P.O. Box 138, Oakford, IL 62673. Representative: Edward D. McNamara, Jr., 907 South Fourth Street, Springfield, IL 62703. *Liquid feed* from the facilities of Ralston Purina Feed Co. at Olin, IA to various points in the states of IL, MN, MO and WI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Ralston Purina Company, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis, MO 63188; Illinois Valley Supply, Carrollton, IL 62106. Send protests to: I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 145513 (Sub-12TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: SERVICE TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box

732, Payette, ID 83661. Representative: Timothy R. Stivers, P.O. Box 162, Boise, ID 83701. *Wine,* (1) between the facilities of Ste. Chapelle Vineyards at or near Caldwell, ID on the one hand, and points in CA, NV and WA, on the other, (2) from points in OR to the facilities of Ste. Chapelle Vineyards at or near Caldwell, ID, in contract carriage, for 180 days. Restricted to shipments in bulk for (2). An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Ste. Chapelle Vineyards, Route 4, Caldwell, ID 83605. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, D/S, ICC, Suite 110, 1471 Shoreline Dr., Boise, ID 83702.

MC 145653 (Sub-4TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: KIRCHWEHM BROS. CARTAGE CO. INC., 1700 W. Carroll Ave., Chicago, IL 60612. Representative: Abraham A. Daimon, 29 S. Lasalle St., Chicago, IL 60603. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Materials, equipment, and supplies:* between points in the Chicago, IL., commercial zone on the one hand, and, on the other, points in OH, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The Proctor & Gamble Co., P.O. Box 599, Cincinnati, OH 45201. Send protests to: I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 145853 (Sub-3TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: VECTOR TRANSPORTATION, INC., 100 Tyngsboro Road, North Chelmsford, MA. 01863. Representative: Peter A. North, 42 Egypt Beach Road, Scituate, MA. 02066. Contract, irregular *Plastic articles, flashlights, lanterns, batteries, lighting fixtures, and other products used or useful in the manufacturing, marketing and processing of the above products (except in bulk)* between the vendors and/or facilities of "Ray-O-Vac" located in MA, NC, NH, and RI, on the one hand, and, on the other, CA, GA, IL, MA, MO, NC, NJ, OH, OR, TN, TX, FL, PA, and WI. Supporting shipper: Ray-O-Vac Div. of ESB, Inc., 101 E. Washington Avenue, Madison, WI 53703. Send protests to: John B. Thomas, D/S I.C.C., 150 Causeway Street, Boston, MA 02114.

MC 146343 (Sub-7TA), filed November 27, 1979. Applicant: SOUTHERN EXPRESS CORPORATION, 308 South Ocean Blvd., Pompano, FL 33062. Representative: Daniel Summer, 131 Airport Rd. Warwick, RI 02889. Contract Carrier—Irregular Route: (1) *Plastic pellets, garden hose, rubber tire treads, shoe soling, plastic tubing, rubber blocks, rubber mats, plasticizers, dry and wet paints (non-hazardous), and related material* (except in bulk) (2) *Chemicals and supplies incidental to the manufacture of (1) above* (except in bulk) between Pawtucket, RI and Attleboro, MA, on the one hand, and points in the U.S. (except AK and HI) on the other hand, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Teknor Apex Co., 505 Central Ave., Pawtucket, RI 02862. Send protests to: Donna M. Jones, T/A, ICC, Suite 101, 8410 N.W. 53rd Ter., Miami, FL 33166.

MC 146603 (Sub-3TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: A & S, INC., Belgrade Rd., Oakland, ME 094963. Representative: Wayne Chaffee (same address as applicant). Contract: Irregular: Wood chips from points in ME to ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the U.S./Canada in ME. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper: A. W. Chaffee, Pond Rd., Oakland, ME 04963. Send protests to: Donald G. Weiler, ICC, 76 Pearl St., Rm. 303, Portland, ME 04101.

MC 146643 (Sub-17TA), filed November 19, 1979. Applicant: DAVID CREECH TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, INC., 655 East 114th Street, Chicago, IL 60628. Representative: Donald B. Levine, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *Contract Carrier:* irregular routes: *Flour, in bags,* from Mankato, MN to points in IL, IN, KY, MO, PA & WI, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Hubbard Milling Company, 424 N. Front Street, Mankato, MN 56001. Send protests to: I.C.C. 219 S. Dearborn St., RM 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 146643 (Sub-26TA), filed November 16, 1979. Applicant: DAVID CREECH TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, INC., 655 East 114th Street, Chicago, IL 60628. Representative: Marc J. Blumenthal, 39 S. LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *Contract Carrier:* irregular routes: *Adhesive, building materials, composition board, gypsum products, gypsum board paper, mineral fiber products and paint and paint products and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, packaging, installation, or distribution of the above base commodities (except commodities in bulk),* between the plant site of U.S. Gypsum Co. at or near Gypsum OH on the one hand, and, on the other points in IL, IN, KY, MI & WI, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: United States Gypsum Co., 101 S. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Send protests to: I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St. rm 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 147062 (Sub-7TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: EXPRESS TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, P.O. Box 789, Chattanooga, TN 37401. Representative: Ralph B. Matthews, P.O. Box 56387, Atlanta, GA 30343. *Brick clay and clay products,* between Birmingham, and its commerical zone on the one hand and, on the other, Alton, Granite City, Danville, and Chicago, IL and points in their commercial zones; High Hill and St. Louis, MO and points in their commercial zones; and Dearborn, Flat Rock and Detroit, MI and points in their commercial zones, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Refractory Sales & Service Co., Inc., Highway 150, P.O. Box 885, Bessemer, AL 35020. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A–422, U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 147113 (Sub-3TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: TEPPCO TRANSPORT, INC., 1111 East 39th St., Chattanooga, TN 37409. Representative: Blaine Buchanan, 1024 James Bldg., Chattanooga, TN 37402. (a) *Household compactors, dishwashing machines, garbage disposal units, stoves, ranges, ovens, stove and range hoods, canopies, and parts.* (b) *Materials, supplies, and components used in the assembly and manufacture of the items described in (a),* between Chattanooga, TN on the one hand, and on the other all points in AR, CO, FL, IL, IN, IA, KY, LA, MD, MA, MI, MS, MO, NJ, NY, NC, OH, OK, PA, SC, TX, and WI, 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Modern Maid, Main and Holtzclaw, Chattanooga, TN 37404. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A–422, U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 147553 (Sub-3TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: DENNIS MOSS AND GARY MOSS, d.b.a. MOTOR WEST, P.O. Box 1405 Caldwell, ID 83605. Representative: Timothy R. Stivers, P.O. Box 162, Boise, ID 83701. *Insulation and insulating materials,* from Santa Clara, CA to Boise, ID, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): G & G Insulating Service, 8618 Franklin Road, Boise, ID 83709. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, D/S, ICC, Suite 110, 1471 Shorline Dr., Boise, ID 83702.

MC 147533 (Sub-4TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: DENNIS MOSS AND GARY MOSS, d.b.a. MOTOR WEST, P.O. Box 1405, Caldwell, ID 83605. Representative: Rick J. Hall, P.O. Box 2465, Salt Lake City, UT 84110. *Beer and wine,* from points in CA and WA to the facilities of B & F Distributing at or near Idaho Falls and Pocatello, ID, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): B & F Distributing 1095 W. Iona Road, Idaho Falls, ID 83401. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, D/S, ICC, Suite 110, 1471 Shoreline DR., Boise, ID 83702.

MC 147622 (Sub-2TA), filed November 30, 1979. Applicant: LOUIS J. BARBAGLIA d.b.a. L J B FREIGHT TRANSPORT, 1535 Industrial Ave., San Jose, CA 95112. Representative: Louis J. Barbaglia (same address as above). Contract carrier; irregular routes: Freight all kinds in containers with or without chassis or highway trailers or chassis trailers having a prior or subsequent movement by ocean carriers between CA, OR and WA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Karlander Kangaroo Line/Transpacific Transportation Company, 650 California St., San Francisco, CA 94110. Send protests to: D/S Neil C. Foster, 211 Main Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94105.

MC 147833 (Sub-2TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: FLASH TRANSPORTATION & LEASING COMPANY, INC., 82 Landon Street, Buffalo, NY 14208. Representative: Charles Flagg (same address as above). *Electric and Electronic Products, Radiators, Air Conditioners, Automobile Parts and Supplies, Electric Motors, Electric Controllers, Racks and Devices, Iron and Steel Products and Articles,* between all points in the State of NY, with the exception of New York City, on the one hand, and, on the other, to points in the states of NJ, PA, MD, OH, MI, IL, IN and WI, where prime supporters such as Westinghouse Corp., Dresser Industries, General Motors Corp. and subsidiaries have facilities. Between all points in OH, MI, IL, IN, PA, and WI. For 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Dresser Industries, Inc., 2 Main Street, Depew, NY 14043; Harrison Radiator Div. General Motors Corp., 200 Upper Mountain Rd., Lockport, NY 14094; Westinghouse Electric Corp., 4454 Genesee Street, Cheektowaga, NY 14240. Send protests to: Anne C. Siler, TA, ICC, 910 Federal Bldg., 111 West Huron Street, Buffalo, NY 14202.

MC 147873 (Sub-1TA), filed November 16, 1979. Applicant: G. BAKER EXPRESS, INC., One the Court of Harborside, #102, Northbrook, IL 60062. Representative: Joel H. Steiner, 39 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60603. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Such commodities as are dealt in or sold by grocery stores;* between W. Chicago and Batavia, IL and Wright City, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, IN, IA, MN, MO, OH and WI for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: ALDI, INC., 3400 Mt. Pleasant St., Burlington, IA 52601. Send protests to: ICC, 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 148212 (Sub-2TA), filed October 5, 1979. Applicant: LAURENCE M. DARNELL, d.b.a. LENRAD LEASING CO., Box 222, Fairview Village, PA 19409. Representative: Harris T. Bock, 1915 Three Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, PA 191102. *Fly ash,* in tank trailers, from the Montour Generating Station of Pennsylvania Power and Light Co. in Washingtonville Borough, Montour County, PA and from the Holtwood Generating Station of Pennsylvania Power and Light Co. in Martic Twp., Lancaster County, PA to points in DE, MD, NJ, VA, WV, NY, CT, RI, MA, OH, DC, and return. From the Mercer Station of Public Service Electric and Gas Co. at Trenton, NJ to points in PA, DE, MD, VA, WV, NY, CT, RI, MA, OH, DC, and return for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): American Admixtures Corp., 1835 Pennsylvania Ave., Hagerstown, MD 21740. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

MC 148372 (Sub-1TA), filed October 1, 1979. Applicant: COLONEL C. HEETER, d.b.a. MAIL DELIVERY, 5 Vine Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Representative: Colonel C. Heeter (same address as applicant). *Film, prints and film supplies,* no single shipment to exceed fifty (50) pounds in weight between Greensburg, PA on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cumberland and Frostburg, MD, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Nashua Photo Products, 222 East Pittsburgh Street, Greensburg, PA 15601. Send protests to: ICC, 101 N. 7th St., Philadelphia, PA 19106

MC 2202 (Sub-625TA), filed October 3, 1979. Applicant: ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., 1077 Gorge Blvd, PO Box 471, Akron, OH 44309. Representative: William O. Turney, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Ave., Washington, DC 20014. Common; Regular: General commodities, except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment. 1. Between Rogers and Mountain Home, AR serving all intermediate points and points in their commercial zones: From Rogers over U.S. Hwy 62 to Mountain Home, and return over the same route. 2. Between Conway, AR and Springfield, MO serving all intermediate points and points in their commercial zones, and serving Springfield, MO for joinder only: From Conway over U.S. Hwy 65 to Springfield, and return over the same route, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Applicant intends to tack authority sought herein with authority held under docket number MC 2202. Supporting shipper(s): There are 31 supporting shippers. Their statements may be examined at the office listed below and Headquarters. Send protests to: I.C.C., Fed. Res. Bank Bldg., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

MC 3753 (Sub-20TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, New York, NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment. Between Hagerstown, MD on the one hand and on the other, points in Berkeley, Jefferson and Morgan Counties, WV. Service is authorized to be tacked to existing authority held in Certificate MC–3753 and subs, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 300 supporting shippers to this application. They are on file at Newark, NJ field office and Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Rm. 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 3753 (Sub-21TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, New York, NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment. Between Boston, MA on the one hand and on the other, points in the following New Hampshire Counties of Belnap, Cheshire, Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rockingham, Strafford and Sullivan, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 300 supporting shippers on file in Newark, NJ and Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 3753 (Sub-22TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, New York, NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment.

Between Hagerstown, MD on the one hand and on the other, the following Virginia Counties: Clarke, Frederick and Warren, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 300 supporting shippers on file in the Newark, NJ field office and Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 3753 (Sub-23TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, New York, NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment. Between Scranton, PA on the one hand and on the other, points in the following PA counties: Bradford, Columbia, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Lycoming, Montour, Sullivan, Susquahanna and Wyoming, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 300 supporting shippers on file in the Newark, NJ field office and Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 3753 (Sub-24TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, New York, NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment. Between Washington, DC and points in its commercial zone on the one hand and points in DE and MD on the other, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are 18 supporting shippers to this application. They are on file at the Newark, NJ field office and Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad St., Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 3753 (Sub-25TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, New York, NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment. Between Scranton, PA on the one hand and on the other, points in Broome, Chemung, Cortland, Tioga, and Tompkins Counties, NY, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 300 shippers to this application. They are on file in the Newark, NJ field office and Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Rm. 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 3753 (Sub-26TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: AAA TRUCKING CORPORATION, 3630 Quaker Bridge Road, Trenton, NJ 08619. Representative: Herbert Burstein, One World Trade Center, Suite 2373, Brooklyn NY 10048. General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment. Between Philadelphia, PA and Salisbury, MD on the one hand, and on the other, all points in DE, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 300 supporting shippers to this application. They may be examined at the Newark, NJ field office or Washington, DC. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, T/S, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 42092 (Sub-6TA), filed November 13, 1979. Applicant: ACME INTERCITY FREIGHT LINES, 3414 2nd Avenue South, Seattle, WA 98134. Representative: George H. Hart, 1100 IBM Building, Seattle, WA 98101. *Common Carrier:* regular routes: *General commodities, except those of unusual values, Classes A & B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading,* between Olympia, WA and Shelton, WA including the commercial zones of each, over regular routes as follows: from Olympia over U.S. Highway 101 to Shelton and return over the same route, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): There are 11 statements of support attached to this application which may be examined at the ICC in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof at the field office named below. Send protests to: Shirley M. Holmes, T/A, ICC, 858 Federal Building, Seattle, WA 98174.

MC 47583 (Sub-119TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: TOLLIE FREIGHTWAYS, INC., 1020 Sunshine Road, Kansas City, KS. Representative: D. S. Hults, P.O. Box 225, Lawrence, KS 66044. (1) *Plastic Articles* (except in bulk) from the plantsite and shipping facilities of Mobil Chemical Co., located at or near Channahon Township and Joliet, IL, to points in the United States (except AK and HI), and (2) Materials and supplies (except in bulk) used in the manufacture and distribution of plastic articles from points in the United States (except AK and HI), to the plantsite and shipping facilities of Mobil Chemical Co., located at or near Channahon Township and Joliet, IL. Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Mobil Chemical Co., located at or near Channahon Township and Joliet, IL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Mobil Oil Corporation, 8350 North Central Expressway, Campbell Centre—Suite 522, Dallas, TX 75206. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 58923 (Sub-55TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: GEORGIA HIGHWAY EXPRESS, INC., 2090 Jonesboro Rd., S.E., P.O. Box 6944, Atlanta, GA 30315. Representative: William W. West, (same address as applicant). *Common* carrier: *Regular routes:* (A) *General commodities, with the usual exceptions,* (1) between Memphis, TN, and Montgomery, AL: from Memphis over US Hwy 72 to the junction of Alt. US Hwy 72, then over Alt. US Hwy 72 to the junction of US Hwy 31 (also over US Hwy 78 to the junction of US Hwy 31), then over US Hwy 31 to Montgomery, and return over the same route; (2) between Montgomery, AL, and Pensacola, FL: from Montgomery over US Hwy 31 to the junction of US Hwy 29, then over US Hwy 29 to Pensacola, and return over the same route; (3) between Montgomery, AL, and Cottondale, FL: from Montgomery over US Hwy 82 to the junction of US Hwy 431, then over US Hwy 431 to the junction of US Hwy 231, then over US Hwy 231 to Cottondale, and return over the same route; (4) between Mobile, AL, and Madison, FL: from Mobile over US Hwy 90 to Madison, and return over the same route; (a) serving all intermediate points in connection with (1) through (4) above; (b) serving as off-route points, in connection with (1) through (4), points in AL; and (c) serving as off-route points, in connection with (2) through (4), points in and west of Hamilton, Madison, and Taylor Counties, FL; and (B) *over irregular routes, general commodities, with the usual exceptions,* between points in the commercial zone of Memphis, TN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority.

Supporting Shipper(s): There are 77 shippers. Their statements may be examined at the office listed below or headquarters. Send protests to: Sara K. Davis, ICC, 1252 W. Peachtree St., N.W., Rm. 300, Atlanta, GA 30309.

MC 66512 (Sub-11TA), filed November 9, 1979. Applicant: P & G MOTOR FREIGHT INCORPORATED, 450 Burnham Street, South Windsor, CT 06074. Representative: Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, MA 02108. To operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), (1) Between Hartford, CT and Springfield, MA: From Hartford over US Hwy 5 to junction Interstate Hwy 91, then over Interstate Hwy 91 to Springfield, and return over the same route; (2) Between Springfield, MA and Boston, MA: From Springfield over Interstate Hwy 90 to Boston, and return over the same route; (3) Between Boston, MA and Haverhill, MA: (A) From Boston over US Hwy 3 to junction MA Hwy 110, then over MA Hwy 110 to Haverhill, and return over the same route; (B) From Boston over Interstate Hwy 93 to Junction MA Hwy 110, then over MA Hwy 110 to Haverhill, and return over the same route; (C) from Boston over US Hwy 1 to junction Interstate Hwy 95, then over Interstate Hwy 95 to junction MA Hwy 97, then over MA Hwy 97 to Haverhill, and return over the same route; (4) Between New Bedford, MA and Boston, MA: (A) From New Bedford over Interstate Hwy 195 to juntion MA Hwy 24, then over MA Hwy 24 to junction Interstate Hwy 93, then over Interstate Hwy 93 to Boston, and return over the same route; (B) from New Bedford over MA Hwy 140 to junction MA Hwy 24, then over MA Hwy 24 to junction Interstate Hwy 93, then over Interstate Hwy 93 to Boston, and return over the same route; (5) Between Worcester, MA and Gardner, MA: (A) From Worcester over MA Hwy 12 to junction MA Hwy 2A, then over MA Hwy 2A to junction MA Hwy 2, then over MA Hwy 2 to Gardner, and return over the same route; (B) from Worchester over MA Hwy 12 to junction MA Hwy 2, then over MA Hwy 2 to Gardner, and return over the same route; (6) Between Boston, MA and Fitchburg, MA: From Boston over MA Hwy 2 to junction MA Hwy 12, then over MA Hwy 12 to Fitchburg, and return over the same route; (7) Between Worchester, MA and Lowell, MA; From Worchester over Interstate Hwy 290 to junction Interstate Hwy 495, then over Interstate Hwy 495 to juntion MA Hwy 110, then over MA Hwy 110 to Lowell, and return over the same route; (8) Between Worcester, MA and New Beford, MA: From Worcester over MA Hwy 122A to MA Hwy 146, then over MA Hwy 146 to Rhode Island Hwy 146, then over Rhode Island Hwy 146 to junction Interstate Hwy 195, then over Interstate Hwy 195 to New Bedford, and return over the same route; (9) Between Hartford, CT and New Bedford, MA: (A) From Hartford over U.S. Hwy 6 to New Bedford, and return over the same route; (B) from Hartford over U.S. Hwy 6 to junction Interstate Hwy 195, then over Interstate Hwy 195 to New Bedford, and return over the same route; (C) from Hartford over Interstate Hwy 86 to junction U.S. Hwy 44A, then over U.S. Hwy 44A to junction U.S. Hwy 44, then over U.S. Hwy 44 to junction CT Hwy 101, then over CT Hwy 101 to RI Hwy 101, then over RI Hwy 101 to U.S. Hwy 6, then over U.S. Hwy 6 to New Bedford, and return over the same route; (10) Between Hartford, CT and Boston, MA; (A) From Hartford over Interstate Hwy 86 to MA Hwy 15, then over MA Hwy 15 to junction Interstate Hwy 90, then over Interstate Hwy 90 to Boston, and return over the same route; (B) from Hartford over U.S. Hwy 6 to junction Interstate Hwy 95, then over Interstate Hwy 95 to junction Interstate Hwy 93, then over Interstate Hwy 93 to Boston, and return over the same route; (11) Between Springfield, MA and Pittsfield, MA: (A) From Sprinfield over Interstate Hwy 90 to junction U.S. Hwy 7, then over U.S. Hwy 7 to Pittsfield, and return over the same route; (B) from Springfield over Interstate Hwy 91 to junction MA Hwy 9, then over MA Hwy 9 to Pittsfield, and return over the same route; (12) Between Springfield, MA and Fitchburg, MA: (A) From Springfield over Interstate Hwy 91 to junction MA Hwy 2, then over MA Hwy 2 to Fitchburg, and return over the same route; (B) from Springfield over U.S. Hwy 5 to junction MA Hwy 2, then over MA Hwy 2 to Fitchburg, and return over the same route; (C) from Springfield over Interstate Hwy 91 to junction U.S. Hwy 202, then over U.S. Hwy 202 to junction MA Hwy 2, then over MA Hwy 2 to Fitchburg and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points in (1) through (12) above, and all points in MA as off-route points in (1) through (12) above for 180 days. Send protests to James D. Perry Jr., District Supervisor, Interstate Commission, 135 High Street, Hartford, CT 06101. Supporting shipppers: There are 19 shippers which can be examined at the field office listed above or at Headquarters. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority.

MC 71452 (Sub-18TA), filed November 28, 1979. Applicant: INDIANA TRANSIT SERVICE, INC., 4300 West Morris Street, Indianapolis, IN 46241. Representative: Warren A. Goff, 2008 Clark Tower, 5100 Poplar Avenue, Memphis, TN 38137. *Personal care products, nutritional supplements and foods, and cleaning compounds* between the facilities of the Shaklee Corporation, located at or near Chicago, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IN and KY for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Shaklee Corporation, 1900 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94608. Send protests to: Interstate Commerce Commission, 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 71593 (Sub-52TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: FORWARDERS TRANSPORT, INC., 1608 East Second Street, Scotch Plains, NJ 07076. Representative: Ronald S. Potter, 1608 East Second Street, Scotch Plains, NJ 07076. Sewing machines, sewing machine cabinets, parts, carrying cases, needles, scissors, vacuum cleaners and related items (except commodities in bulk) (1) from Trumann, AR on the one hand, and, on the other, to La Mirada, CA, South San Francisco, CA, Tampa, FL, Denver, CO, Portland, OR, Seattle, WA, Columbus, OH, Chicago, IL, Dallas, TX, Charlotte, NC, Kansas City, KS, Coldwater, MI, Atlanta, GA, Indianapolis, IN, Shrewsbury, MA, Pittsburgh, PA, Auburn, NY, North Bergen, NJ, Richmond, VA, Philadelphia, PA and Eagan, MN and (2) from New Orleans, LA and Mobile, AL on the one hand to Trumann, AR, on the other, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: The Singer Company, United States Sewing Products Division, 313 Underhill Blvd., Syosset, NY 11791. Send protests to: Robert E. Johnston, DS, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 71642 (Sub-34TA), filed September 25, 1979. Applicant: CONTRACTUAL CARRIERS, INC., Allan Dr., Harmony Industrial Park, Newark, DE 19711. Representative: Samuel W. Earnshaw, 833 Washington Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. Contract carrier-irregular routes: *Plastic and plastic products*, between Greensboro, MD on the one hand, and points on and east of the Mississippi River, under contract with J. L. Moorshead Co., Inc., for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: J. L. Moorshead Co., Inc., Sunset Ave., Greensboro, MD 21639. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Philadelphia, PA 19106.

MC 73533 (Sub-6TA), filed October 31, 1979. Applicant: KEY WAY TRANSPORT, INC., 820 S. Oldham St., Baltimore, MD 21224. Representative: Gerald K. Gimmel, Suite 145, 4 Professional Dr., Gaithersburg, MD 20760. *Such commodities as are dealt in or used by retail discount or department stores,* from the facilities of Key Warehouse Services, Inc., at Baltimore, MD to Hagerstown, Frederick, Bowie, Westminster, Elkton, Waldorf, Lexington Park, Salisbury, and Easton, MD and Fredericksburg, Manassas, Leesburg and Woodridge, VA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: K-Mart Corporation, 645 Highway 18, East Brunswick, NJ 08816; F. W. Woolworth Company, 162 W. Chelten Ave., Phila., PA 19144. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 73533 (Sub-7TA), filed November 7, 1979. Applicant: KEY WAY TRANSPORT, INC., 820 S. Oldham St., Baltimore, MD 21224. Representative: Gerald K. Gimmel, 4 Professional Dr., Gaithersburg, MD 20760. *Alcoholic beverages and malt beverages* (except commodities in bulk), from points in MA, NJ, DE, VA, that part of NY on and south of I–84 and Philadelphia, PA to the facilities of Milton S. Kronheim & Co., Inc., Washington, D.C. and The Kronheim Co. at Halethorpe, MD, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Milton S. Kronheim & Co., Inc., 2900 V St., Washington, D.C. Send protests to: ICC, 101 N. 7th St., Philadelphia, PA 19106.

MC 82063 (Sub-114TA), filed December 17, 1979. Applicant: KLIPSCH HAULING CO., 10795 Watson Road, Sunset Hills, MO 63127. Representative: W. E. Klipsch, (address same as applicant's). *Liquid cleaning, scouring & washing compounds, in bulk, in vinyl-ester lined tank vehicles* from the plant site of The Procter & Gamble Mfg. Co. at Ivorydale-St. Bernard, OH to Chicago, IL and Fort Madison, IA for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Procter & Gamble Co., P.O. Box 599, Cincinnati, OH 45201. Send protests to: Ruth Allport, TCS, ICC, 411 W. 7th St., Suite 600, Ft. Worth, TX 76102.

MC 96992 (Sub-23TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: HIGHWAY PIPELINE TRUCKING CO., P.O. Box 1517, Edinburg, TX 78539. Representative: Kenneth R. Hoffman, Lanham, Htachell, Sedberry & Hoffman, 801 Vaughn Building, Austin, TX 78701. *Paper, paper products, copying machines, and materials, equipment, parts, supplies and accessories used in the development, manufacture, sale, service and distribution of copying machines* between points in CA, NY, NH and TX for 180 days. Restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk. Underlying ETA for 90 days filed. Supporting shipper: Uni-Copy Corporation, 3693 Southwest Freeway, Suite 200, Houston, TX 77027. Send protests to: Opal M. Jones, TCS, ICC, 411 West 7th St., Suite 600 Fort Worth, TX 76102.

MC 105733 (Sub-78TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: RITTER TRANSPORTATION, INC., 928 E. Hazelwood Avenue, Rahway, NJ 07065. Representative: Andrew R. Jeltes, 928 E. Hazelwood Avenue, Rahway, NJ 07065. *Chemicals,* in bulk, in tank vehicles from Bridgeville, PA and Neville Island, PA to Fords, Garfield, Newark, Old Bridge, Parlin, NJ; Baltimore and Chestertown, MD and North Haven, CT, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Tenneco Chemicals, Inc., P.O. Box 367, Piscataway, NJ 08854. Send protests to: Robert E. Johnston, DS, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 107002 (Sub-565TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, Jackson, MS 39205. Representative: Larry M. Ford (same address as applicant). *Chemicals,* in bulk, from Laurel, MS to points in CA, LA, MO, OH, PA, and TX, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Petrolite Corp., Tretolite Div., 625 N. 13th Ave., Laurel, MS 39440. Send protests to: Alan Tarrant, D/S, ICC, Suite 1441, 100 W. Capitol St., Jackson, MS 39201.

MC 107403 (Sub-1297TA), filed November 30, 1979. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., Ten W. Baltimore Ave., Landsdowne, PA 19050. Representative: Martin C. Hynes, Jr. (same address as applicant). *JP 4, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from Cincinnati, OH to Grand Forks AFB, ND, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Chief, Regulatory Law Office, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency, Department of the Army, (JALS–RL), Falls Church, VA 22041. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 107403 (Sub-1298TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., Ten W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, PA 19050. Representative: Martin C. Hynes, Jr., (same address as applicant). *Liquid Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from Huron, OH, to points in the states of VA, NC, SC, FL, MT, UT, NM, DC, and Elizabethton and Kingsport, TN, and Grand Rapids and Kalamazoo, MI, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: SCM Corp., 900 Union Commerce Bldg., Cleveland, OH 44115. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 110683 (Sub-169TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: SMITH'S TRANSFER CORP., P.O. Box 1000, Staunton, VA 24401. Representative: W. D. Kirkpatrick, P.O. Box 1000, Staunton, VA 24401. *Common; regular; General Commodities, except those of unusual value, class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment.* Between Des Moines, IA and Springfield, MO serving no intermediate points: From Des Moines over U.S. Hwy 65 to Springfield and return over the same route. Between Davenport, IA and Springfield, MO serving all intermediate points in IA: From Davenport over U.S. Hwy 61 to its junction with MO Hwy 19 then over MO Hwy 19 to its junction with U.S. Hwy 54 then over U.S. Hwy 54 to Springfield and return over the same route. Between Paducah, KY and Springfield, MO serving all intermediate points in KY: From Paducah over U.S. Hwy 60 to Springfield and return over the same route. Between Paducah, KY and Little Rock, AR serving all intermediate points in KY: From Paducah over U.S. Hwy 60 to its junction with U.S. Hwy 67, then over U.S. Hwy 67 to Little Rock and return over the same route, for 180 days. Applicant intends to tack authority sought herein with authority held under docket number MC 110683. Applicant intends to interline with other carriers at all present interchange points. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shippers: There are 31 supporting shippers. Their statements may be examined at the office listed below or at Headquarters. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 111302 (Sub-166TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: HIGHWAY TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 10108, 1500 Amherst Road, Knoxville, TN 37919. Representative: David A. Petersen (same address as applicant). *Liquid hexamethylene-diamine,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of E. I. Dupont, Inc., at or near Orange and Victoria, TX, to Chattanooga, TN; Camden, SC; Seaford, DE; Richmond, Martinsville and Waynesboro, VA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., 1007 Market St., Wilmington, DE 19898. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A–422, U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 111302 (Sub-167TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: HIGHWAY TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 10108, 1500 Amherst Road, Knoxville, TN 37919. Representative: David A. Petersen (same address as applicant). *Cyclohexanone and nitric acid,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Augusta, GA, to the facilities of Alpine Laboratories in Bay Minette and Childersburg, AL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Alpine Laboratories, Inc., P.O. Box 147, Bay Minette, AL 36507. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A–422, U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 111302 (Sub-168TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: HIGHWAY TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 10108, 1500 Amherst Road, Knoxville, TN 37919. Representative: David A. Petersen (same address as applicant). *Liquid synthetic latex,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of the Reichhold Chemical Co., in Kensington, GA to points in: AL, TN, VA, NC, SC, MS, TX, and LA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Reichhold Chemicals, Inc., P.O. Drawer K, Dover, DE 19901.Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A–422, U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 111812 (Sub-702TA), filed November 29, 1979. Applicant: MIDWEST COAST TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 1233, Sioux Falls, SD 57101. Representative: Lamoyne Brandsma, P.O. Box 1233, Sioux Falls, SD 57101. (1) *Pet food mix (except in bulk)* from the facilities of Geo. A. Hormel & Co. at Davenport, IA to all points in IL, KS, MN, MO, NE, SD and WI and (2) *Hog and pig skins and trimmings* from points in IL, KS, MN, MO, NE, SD and WI to the facilities of Geo. A. Hormel & Co. at Davenport, IA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Geo. A. Hormel & Co., P.O. Box 800, Austin, MN 55912. Send protests to: J. L. Hammond, DS, ICC, Room 322, Federal Bldg., Pierre, SD 57501.

MC 113362 (Sub-378TA), filed November 9, 1979. Applicant: ELLSWORTH FREIGHT LINES, INC., 310 E. Broadway, Eagle Grove, IA 50533. Representative: Milton D. Adams, P.O. Box 429, Austin, MN 55912. *Fresh meats and packinghouse products (except hides and commodities in bulk)* from the facilities of Wilson Foods Corporation at Omaha, NE, to points in CT, DE, DC, ME, MD, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT, and VA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Wilson Foods Corporation, 4545 Lincoln Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73105. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, DS, ICC, 518 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, IA 50309.

MC 114123 (Sub-48TA), filed November 29, 1979. Applicant: HERMAN R. EWELL, INC., East Earl, PA 17519. Representative: J. Bruce Walter, 410 N. 3rd St., P.O. Box 1146, Harrisburg, PA 17108. *Corn products and blends containing corn products,* from the facilities of Archer Daniels Midland Co. at or near Bayway (Elizabeth), NJ to points in PA, MD, VA, WV, DE, CT, NY, MA, NC, RI, ME, and Washington, DC, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Archer Daniels Midland Co., 4550 W. 109th St., Shawnee Mission, KS 66211. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 114132 (Sub-7TA), filed October 9, 1979. Applicant: CHURN'S TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 188, Eastville, VA 23347. Representative: James F. Flint, Suite 600, 1250 Connecticut Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20036. *Meats, meat products, meat by-products* and *articles* distributed by meat packing houses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk). From the facilities of the Smithfield Packing Co., Inc. at or near Smithfield, VA to points in MA, RI, CT, MY, PA, NJ, DE, MD, VA, NC, SC, GA, FL and DC. for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: The Smithfield Packing Co., Inc., P.O. Box 447, Smithfield, VA 23430. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

MC 115703 (Sub-21TA), filed October 4, 1979. Applicant: KREITZ MOTOR EXPRESS INC., P.O. Box 375, 220 Park Rd. North, Wyomissing, PA 19610. Representative: Robert D. Gunderman, 710 Statler Bldg., Buffalo, NY 14202. *(1) Fiberglass shelters,* the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment, and (2) *related parts and accessories,* for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Virginia Fiberglass Products, Inc., P.O. Box 1330, Roanoke, Va 24007. Send protests to: I.C.C., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 116063 (Sub-160TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: WESTERN-COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2929 West Fifth Street, Fort Worth, TX 76101. Representative: W. H. Cole, (address same as applicant). *Natural latex, in bulk, in tank vehicles* from Houston, TX to Noble, OK for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Guthrie Industries, Inc., Plaza 9, 900 Route 9, Woodbridge, NJ 07095. Send protests to: Ruth Allport, TCS, ICC 411 West 7th St., Suite 600, Ft. Worth, TX 76102.

MC 16313 (Sub-7TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: TROMBLY MOTOR COACH SERVICE, INC., Route 125 By-Pass, No. Andover, MA 01845. Representative: Robert V. Cauchon, Esq., C/O Sullivan & Worcester, 100 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110. *Common carrier,* regular routes: *Passengers and their baggage and express in the same vehicle as passengers in regular route operations* between Nashua, NH; and Boston MA, serving the intermediate point of Lowell, MA: From Nashua, over U.S. Hwy 3 to junction MA Hwy 128, then over MA Hwy 128 to junction Interstate Hwy 93, then over Interstate Hwy 93 to Boston, and return over the same route; alternate route, from Nashua over U.S. Hwy 3 to junction Interstate Hwy 495, then over Interstate Hwy 495 to junction H Interstate Hwy 93, then over Interstate Hwy 93 to Boston and return over same route; alternate route, same as foregoing except from Interstate 495 at junction of Lowell Connector over Lowell Connector to Lowell and return over same route, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: There are twenty-four supporting shippers. Send protests to: John D. Thomas, D/S I.C.C., Boston, MA 02114.

MC 116362 (Sub-5TA), filed December 4, 1979. Applicant: A. BELLAVANCE & SONS, INC., Boynton Street, Barre, VT 05641. Representative: John P. Monte, Box 568, Barre, VT 05641. *Stone, granite (except monumental stone and granite) and materials and machinery used in the manufacturing of stone and granite,* (A) between Barre, VT and Bethel, VT, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, OK and TX, and (B) between Concord, NH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, OK and TX, and *stone, granite and materials and machinery used in the manufacturing of stone and granite,* from Barre, VT and Montpelier, VT to points in states of MD, DE, DC, VA and WV, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Rock of Ages Corporation, P.O. 482, Barre, VT 05641; S. L. Garand Company, Inc., Box 365, Montpelier, VT 05602. Send protests to: I.C.C., P.O. Box 548, Montpelier, VT 05602.

MC 118142 (Sub-245TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: M. BRUENGER & CO., INC., 6250 N. Broadway, Wichita, KS 67219.

Representative: Lester C. Arvin, 814 Century Plaza Bldg., Wichita, KS 67202. *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packing houses (as defined by the Commission), except hides and commodities in bulk),* from Pittsburg, KS, to Seattle, WA. Common, irregular, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Cudahy Foods Company, P.O. Box 3545, Seattle, WA 98124. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, D/S, ICC, 101 Litwin Bldg., Wichita, KS 67202.

MC 118263 (Sub-99TA), filed October 22, 1979. Applicant: COLDWAY CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 2038, Clarksville, IN 47130. Representative: William P. Whitney, Jr., 708 McClure Bldg., Frankfort, KY 40601. *Frozen foods* from facilities of Pet Incorporated, Frozen Foods Division, Allentown and Chambersburg, PA and public storage utilized by Pet at Fogelsville and Waynesboro, PA, Winchester, VA, Martinsburg and Ranson, WV, to points in AL, GA, IL, IN, KY, LA, MI, MS, NY, NC, OH, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA and WV for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeking 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Pet Incorporated, Frozen Foods Division, 400 South Fourth Street, St. Louis, MO 63102. Send protests to: Beverly J. Williams, 429 Federal Bldg., 46 E. Ohio Street, Indianapolis, IN 46204.

MC 118263 (Sub-100TA), filed October 3, 1979. Applicant: COLDWAY CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 2038, Clarksville, IN 47130. Representative: William P. Whitney, Jr., 708 McClure Building, Frankfort, KY 40601. *Frozen foodstuffs moving in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration* from the facilities of Diamond Ice and Cold Storage, Inc. at Wilmington, DE to all points East of MN, IA, MO, AR and LA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Diamond Ice and Cold Storage, Inc., Box 709, 1603 Jessup Street, Wilmington, DE 19899. Send protests to: Beverly J. Williams, ICC, 46 E. Ohio St., Indianapolis, IN 46204.

MC 118263 (Sub-101TA), filed October 3, 1979. Applicant: COLDWAY CARRIERS, INC., Post Office Box 2038, Clarksville, IN 47130. Representative: William P. Whitney, Jr., 708 McClure Bldg., Frankfort, KY 40601. *Foodstuffs moving in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration* between the facilities of the Delaware Freezer Center at or near Newark, DE, on the one hand, and, on the other, all points east of MN, IA, MO, AR and LA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): OmniWay, 2000 South Ninth Street, Louisville, KY 40208. Send protests to: Beverly J. Williams, ICC, 46 E. Ohio St., Indianapolis, IN 46204.

MC 118803 (Sub-13TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: ATLANTIC TRUCK LINES, INC., 100 Hamilton Plaza, Paterson, NJ 07505. Representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 1832, 2 World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048. Contract, irregular. *Such commodities as are dealt in or used by a manufacturer of drugs, medicines, toilet preparations and confectionery* (except in bulk); (1) Between points in the New York, NY commercial zone, Jacksonville, FL and facilities of Warner-Lambert Company at Milford, CT, Lititz and Philadelphia, PA and Rockford, IL on the one hand, and on the other, South Brunswick, NJ, Morrow, GA, Grand Prairie, TX and Elk Grove Village, IL. (2) Between South Brunswick, NJ, Morrow, GA, Grand Prairie, TX and Elk Grove Village, IL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Warner Lambert Company, 201 Tabor Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950. Send protests to: Joel Morrows, D/S, ICC, 744 Broad St., Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 119493 (Sub-337 TA), filed December 13, 1979. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Representative: Thomas D. Boone, same as applicant. *Non-ferrous metals and articles* related to the manufacturing and refining of non-ferrous metals (except commodities in bulk) between points in AL, AR, CO, FL, GA, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MS, MO, MN, NE, NY, OK, TN, TX, and UT restricted to traffic from or to facilities of, or shipped for the account of: Aluminum Sales, Inc., Southern Zinc Company, Gulf Metals Industries, Inc., Micon Metals Corporation, and Cathodic Technologies, Inc. for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shippers(s): Aluminum Sales, Inc., 101 North Hampton, Crowley, TX; Gulf Metals Industries, Inc., 6030 Esperson, Houston, TX 77001; Micon Metals Corporation, 7007 Gulf Frwy, Suite 135, Houston, TX 77087; Cathodic Technologies, Inc., 3722 Dumbarton, Houston, TX 77025. Supporting shipper: Southern Zinc Company, 1359 Central Avenue, East Point, GA 30344. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 119493 (Sub-338TA), filed December 4, 1979. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Representative: Thomas D. Boone, same as applicant. *Mineral, mineral mixtures, feed, fertilizer, fertilizer compounds, ingredients,* (except in bulk) materials and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution thereof (except in bulk) between Fairbury, NE on the one hand and points in AR, CO, ID, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, MN, MO, MI, NM, OK, OH, SD, TN, TX, and WI for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Eagle Picher Ind. Inc., P.O. Box 550—"C" & Porter, Joplin, MO 64801. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 119493 (Sub-339TA), filed November 29, 1979. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Representative: Thomas D. Boone, same as applicant. *Paints, stains, varnishes, materials, supplies, equipment* used in the manufacturing and distribution and installation thereof (except commodities in bulk), for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: E. H. Fischer, Inc., 826 So. 18th St., St. Louis, MO 63103. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, 911 Walnut St. Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 119493 (Sub-340TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Representative: Thomas D. Boone, same as applicant. *Iron, steel, iron or steel articles, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of household, sporting, and recreational equipment (except commodities in bulk), between Neosho, MO and its commercial zone on the one hand and AL, AR, CO, IL (except steel from Chicago, IL commercial zone), IA, KS, LA, MS, NE, OK (except steel from Tulsa and Catoosa), OH (except steel from Mansfield), TN, and TX on the other hand, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Neosho Products Div. Sunbeam Corp., P.O. Box 622, Neosho, MO 64850; Talbot Wire Products Inc., 1211 Harmony, P.O. Box 608, Neosho, MO 64850. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 119493 (Sub-341TA), filed December 10, 1979. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Representative: Thomas D. Boone, same as applicant. *Plastics, plastic articles, synthetic resins, products, materials, and supplies* used in the manufacturing, processing, and distribution thereof (except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), between Houston, TX and Baton Rouge, LA on the one hand, and points in CO, GA, IL, IN, KY, NY, NJ, and OK on the other hand, for 180 days. An underlying

ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Langtex Corp., 9039 Katy Freeway, Suite 521, Houston, TX 77024. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 119493 (Sub-342TA), filed December 13, 1979. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Representative: Thomas D. Boone, same as applicant. *Animal feed ingredients (except in bulk)* from Chattanooga, TN to Dallas, TX and St. Paul, MN for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: American Cyanamid Company, P.O. Box 400, Princeton, NJ 08540. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St. Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 119843 (Sub-9TA), filed December 18, 1979. Applicant: ROESCH LINES, INC., 844 E. Ninth Street, San Bernardino, CA 92402. Representative: Fred H. Mackensen, 9454 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. *Passengers and their baggage in round-trip charter operations,* beginning and ending at points in Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Los Angeles Counties, CA and extending to points in the United States, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 up to days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 7 supporting shippers. Statements of support can be examined at Headquarters or the office listed below. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

[Notice No. 254]

January 10, 1980

MC 200 (Sub-427TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, 903 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106. Representative: H. Lynn Davis, same as applicant. *Pipe and fittings* from the facilities of Can-Tex, IND. located at or near Rolla, MO, to points in AL, AR, AZ, CA, CO, FL, GA, ID, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MI, MN, MS, MT, NC, ND, NE, NM, NY, OH, OK, OR, PA, SC, TN, TX, SD, WA, WI, and WY. Restricted to shipments originating at the named origin and destined to the indicated destinations, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Can-Tex Ind., P.O. Box R, Rolla, MO 65401. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 200 (Sub-428TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, 903 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106. Representative: H. Lynn Davis, same as applicant. *Pet foods* between Clinton, IA and Terre Haute, IN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Hulman and Company, 900 East Wabash, Terre Haute, IN 47807. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 200 (Sub-429TA), filed December 3, 1979. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, 903 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106. Representative: H. Lynn Davis, same as applicant. *Ferrous sulphate* (except in bulk) from the facilities of Quality Chemicals Limited at or near Baltimore, MD, to all points in the United States (except AK and HI). Restricted to shipments originating at the origin facilities and destined to the indicated destinations, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Quality Chemicals Limited, 1951 Benhill Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21226. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 200 (Sub-430TA), filed December 3, 1979. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, 903 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106. Representative: H. Lynn Davis, same as applicant. *Plastic caps* between Leominster, MA and Danville, IL for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Peterson Puritan, Hegeler Lane, Danville, IL 61832. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, Room 600, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 9411 (Sub-4TA), filed November 27, 1979. Applicant: FRERICHS FREIGHT LINES, 120 N. 30th St., Belleville, IL 62221. Representative: William F. Frerichs, (same address as applicant). (1) *Fibreboard, pulpboard, or strawboard boxes and sheets,* from Belleville, IL, to points in AR, IN, KY, OK and TN; (2) *Pulpboard, or fibreboard, in rolls,* from Valient, OK, to points in AR, IL and MO; and (3) *Materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities named in (1),* from points in AR, KY, IN, OK and TN, to Belleville, IL for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Weyerhaeuser Co., 3001 Otto St., Belleville, IL 62221. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 13651 (Sub-24TA), filed December 19, 1979. Applicant: PEOPLES TRANSFER, INC., 1430 West 11th Street, Long Beach, CA 90813. Representative: James H. Gulseth, 100 Bush Street, 21st Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104. *Such commodities as are dealt in or used by (1) grocery and food business houses, (2) discount and variety stores, or (3) suppliers of (1) or (2) above,* between points in ID, MT, UT, WY, CO, AZ, CA, NV, OR and WA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are approximately 9 supporting shippers. Statement of support can be examined at Headquarters or the office listed below. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC Room 1321 Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 21040 (Sub-4TA), filed October 23, 1979. Applicant: ARTHUR JOHNSON CO., 3230 West 38th Street, Chicago, IL 60632. Representative: David W. Johnson, 3230 West 38th Street, Chicago, IL 60632. *General Commodities* (with the usual exceptions), having a prior or subsequent movement via air, rail, or water between the Chicago, IL commercial zone on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, IA, IN, KY, MI (on a line from the Western Shores of Lake Michigan, South from Muskegan to Grand Rapids, thence MI State Hwy 21 to Flint, MI thence via MI State Hwy 21 to Port Huron, MI, on the east), MN, MO, OH, and WI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are 9 supporting shippers. Their statements may be examined at the office listed below and Headquarters. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St., RM 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 42011 (Sub-61TA), filed December 26, 1979. Applicant: D.Q. WISE & CO., INC., P.O. Drawer L Tulsa, OK 74112. Representative: J.G. Dail, Jr., P.O. Box LL, McLean, VA 22101. (1) *Recycled ceiling fiber and additives,* (except commodities in tank or dump vehicles), from the facilities of Montello, Inc., at Sand Springs, OK, to points in the United States, (except AK and HI), and (2) *materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture of the commodities named in (1) above,* (except commodities in tank or dump vehicles), in the reverse direction, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Montello, Inc., 6106 E. 32nd Place, Tulsa, OK 74135. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Rm 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

MC 48441 (Sub-56TA), filed December 13, 1979. Applicant: R.M.E., INC., P.O. Box 418, Streator, IL 61364. Representative: Michael D. Bromley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh St., NW, Washington D.C. 20001. *Plastic articles,* from the facilities of Wheaton

Industries, Inc. at or near Centralia, IL, to points in IA, IN, KY, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, TN, and WI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Wheaton Industries, Wheaton Ave., Millville, NJ 08332. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 48441 (Sub-57TA), filed November 5, 1979. Applicant: R.M.E., INC., P.O. Box 418, Streator, IL 61364. Representative: Michael D. Bromley, 805 McLachlen Bank Building, 666 Eleventh Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. *Malt beverages, and related advertising materials,* from the facilities of Miller Brewing Co., at Milwaukee, WI, to points in IA, KY, MN, MO (except the St. Louis commercial zone), NE, ND, SD, and the Upper Peninsula of MI, and *empty containers* on return for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Miller Brewing Co., 3939 W. Highland Blvd., Milwaukee, WI 53201. Send protests to: Cheryl Livingston, TA, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 52460 (Sub-272TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: ELLEX TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 9637, Tulsa, OK 74107. Representative: Michael E. Calvert (same address as applicant). *Foodstuffs, pet foods, and animal feeds,* between the facilities of Carnation Co., at Elwood, KS, and St. Joseph, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AR, CO, FL, IL, IN, KS, LA, MO, NM, OK, TN, TX, & WI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Carnation Co., 5045 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90036. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Rm. 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

MC 75840 (Sub-130TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: MALONE FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 11103, Birmingham, AL 35202. Representative: Frank D. Hall, Suite 713, 3384 Peachtree Rd., N. E., Atlanta, GA 30326. *Chemicals (except in bulk), and petroleum and petroleum products, in packages,* between all points in and east of WI, IL, KY, TN, MS, and LA, on the one hand, and, on the other, Good Hope, LA, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Chevron U.S.A. Inc., 575 Market St., San Francisco, CA 94105. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C. Room 1616–2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 77061 (Sub-28TA), filed December 27, 1979. Applicant: SHERMAN BROS. INC., 29534 Airport Road, P.O. Box 706 Eugene, OR 97402. Representative: Russell M. Allen, 1200 Jackson Tower, Portland, Oregon 97205, 503–224–4840. *VENEER* from the facilities of International Paper Co. at or near Weed, CA to the facilities of International Paper Co. at Gardiner, OR for 190 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: International Paper Co., 121 S.W. Salmon, Portland, OR 97204. Send protests to: A. E. Odoms, DS, ICC, 114 Pioneer Courthouse, 555 S. W. Yamhill St., Portland, OR 97204.

MC 94430 (Sub-49TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: WEISS TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 7, Mongo, IN 46771. Representative: James R. Stiverson, 1396 W. Fifth Ave., Columbus, OH 43212. *Sand, in bulk,* from the facilities of Manley Bros. at or near Bridgman, MI, and Troy Grove, IL, to points in the United States in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK and TX for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Manley Bros. of Indiana, Inc., P.O. Box 538, Chesterton, IN 46304. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 95540 (Sub-1150TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 1144 West Griffin Rd., P.O. Box 1636, Lakeland, FL 33802. Representative: Benjy W. Fincher, same address as applicant. *Foodstuffs* from Dallas and Fort Worth, TX to Memphis, TN, Birmingham, AL, Atlanta, GA and points in AR, LA and MS for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper: Swift, Box 1229, Ft. Worth, TX 76101. Send protests to: Donna M. Jones, T/A, ICC–BOp, Monterey Bldg., Suite 101, 8410 N.W. 53rd Ter., Miami, FL 3166.

MC 96750 (Sub-3TA), filed December 18, 1979. Applicant: TRUCKING UNLIMITED, 9215 Sorensen Avenue, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670. Representative: Robert Fuller, 13215 E. Penn St., Suite 310, Whittier, CA 90602. *Building and construction materials and materials used in the manufacture of building material and including but not limited to asphalt, reinforcing wire mesh, gypsum wall board, roofing and nails,* between points in CA and points in Washoe, Lyons and Douglas Counties, NV, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): Georgia Pacific Corporation, Transportation Analyst, 900 S. W. Fifth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204; Angels Home Improvement Center, Traffic Coordinator, 6915 East Slauson Avenue, City of Commerce, CA 90040; U.S. Gypsum Company, Traffic Manager, Western Division, 620 North Brand Boulevard, Glendale, CA 91203. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321 Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 105881 (Sub-60TA), filed November 30, 1979. Applicant: MR&M TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 1000, Staunton, VA 24401. Representative: Francis W. McInerny, 1000 Sixteenth St., NW, Washington, DC 20036. Common carrier: regular routes: General commodities, except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, (1) between Atlanta, GA and Montgomery, AL, serving no intermediate points: from Atlanta over Interstate Hwy 85 to Montgomery and return over the same route. (2) between Birmingham, AL and Marianna, FL, serving all intermediate points: from Birmingham over US Hwy 31 to Montgomery, then over US Hwy 231 to its junction with FL Hwy 73, then over FL Hwy 73 to Marianna and return over the same route; (3) between Columbus, GA, and Montgomery, AL, serving all intermediate points: from Columbus over US Hwy 80 to Montgomery and return over the same route; (4) between Mobile, AL, and Birmingham, AL, serving no intermediate points: From Mobile over US Hwy 43 to its junction with US Hwy 11 then over US Hwy 11 to Birmingham and return over the same route. (5) between Mobile, AL, and Montgomery, AL, serving no intermediate points: From Mobile over US Hwy 31 to Montgomery and return over the same route, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are 70 supporting shippers to this application. Their statements may be examined at the office listed below or Headquarters. Send protests to: I.C.C., Federal Reserve Bank Bldg., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

Note.—Tacking and interlining is intended. No duplicate authority sought. Authority is requested to serve the Commercial Zones of all points requested in this application.

MC 107960 (Sub-7TA), filed November 5, 1979. Applicant: SUMMERFORD TRUCK LINE, INC., 206 Broadway, Ashford, AL 36312. Representative: Robert J. Corber, 1747 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 1050, Washington, DC 20006. *General commodities, having a prior or subsequent movement by water,* between Charleston, SC, Jacksonville, FL, Panama City, FL, and Savannah, GA, on the one hand, and on the other, points in AL, FL, GA, MS, SC, and TN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper: There are 6 statements in support attached to this application which may be examined at the ICC in Washington, DC, or copies of

which may be examined in the field office named below. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holstron, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616—2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 113651 (Sub-322TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: INDIANA REFRIGERATOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 522, Riggin Rd., Muncie, IN 47305.Representative: Henry Higgs, same as applicant. *Commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail food and drug outlets (except commodities in bulk):* from Chicago, IL Commercial Zone to points in the states of IA, NE, and KS, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): The Proctor & Gamble Distributing Co., P.O. Box 599, Cincinnati, OH 45201. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC—219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 115570 (Sub-33TA), filed October 30, 1979. Applicant: INTERSTATE DISTRIBUTOR CO., 8311 Durango St S.W., Tacoma, WA 98499. Representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 1100 Norton Building, Seattle, WA 98104. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *(1) Wooden doors,* from Puyallup, WA to points in CA; *(2) Hardboard and lumber* from Ukiah, Corning, Tehamo and Redding, CA to Puyallup, WA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): Vancouver Door Co., 203 5th Street N.W., Puyallup, WA 98371. Send protests to: Shirley M. Holmes, T/A, ICC, 858 Federal Building, Seattle, WA 98174.

MC 115651 (Sub-71TA), filed November 30, 1979, Applicant: KANEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 7222 Cunningham Rd., P.O. Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. *Gasoline and Fuel Oil,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Rochelle, IL to Madison, WI, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Ryan Incorporated of Wisconsin, Box 206, Janesville, WI 53545. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 115651 (Sub-72TA), filed November 8, 1979, Applicant: KANEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 7222 Cunningham Rd., P.O. Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. Representative: Robert D. Higgins, 7222 Cunningham Rd., Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. *Liquified Petroleum Gas, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from Janesville, WI to points in IL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Quality, Inc., 4249 E. State St., Rockford, IL. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 115651 (Sub-73TA), filed November 30, 1979, Applicant: KANEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 7222 Cunningham Rd., P.O. Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St., Washington, D.C. 20001. *Liquid Fertilizer, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from Fort Madison, IA and points within ten (10) miles of Fort Madison, IA to points in IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): First Miss Inc., P.O. Box 328, Ft. Madison, IA 52627. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC , 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 115651 (Sub-74TA), filed November 28, 1979, Applicant: KANEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 7222 Cunningham Rd., P.O. Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. *Liquified Petroleum Gas, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from Minneapolis, MN to Burnett, Beaver Dam and Watertown, WI for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Dodge County Co-operative, Route #4 Box 70, Beaver Dam, WI 53916. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC , 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 115651 (Sub-75TA), filed November 14, 1979, Applicant: KANEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 7222 Cunningham Rd., Rockford, IL 61105. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. *Gasoline and fuel oil, in bulk, in tank vehicles:* from Rockford, IL., to Monroe, WI. for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Donald B. Saunders, d.b.a. Saunders Oil Co., Warren, IL. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC , 219 S. Dearborn, St., Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 115651 (Sub-76TA), filed November 21, 1979, Applicant: KANEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 7222 Cunningham Road, P.O. Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. Representative: Robert D. Higgins, 7222 Cunningham, Rd., P.O. Box 39, Rockford, IL 61105. *Resin products and Latex products* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Carpentersville, IL to points in (1) WY and (2) that part of the Continental U.S. on and East of Highway U.S. 85, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Cargill, Incorporated, Cottage Avenue and Lake Marion Rd., Carpentersville, IL 60110. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 117241 (Sub-3TA), filed December 14, 1979. Applicant: RONALD J. EMANUELSON, 418 Higby Road, Middletown, CT 06457. Representative: Robert C. Engstrom, One Financial Plaza, Hartford, CT 06103. *Common carrier:* irregular routes: *fertilizer and fertilizer materials* including lime, limestone and gypsum in bulk in spreader dump or hopper unloading vehicles; also *fertilizer and fertilizer materials, weed killing compounds and insecticides* in mixed truckloads from (1) Portland, CT, to points in NJ, PA, MD, DE, and VA, and (2) points in NJ, PA, MD, DE, and VA to Portland, CT, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Estech General Chemical Corporation, P.O. Box 233, Brownstone Avenue, Portland, CT 06480. Send protests to: J. D. Perry, Jr., District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 135 High Street, Hartford, CT 06103.

MC 117820 (Sub-42TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: AURELLA TRUCKING CO., Port Huron MI, 2121 Petit Ave., Port Huron, MI 48060. Representative: Robert D. Schuler, 100 West Long Lake Rd.—Suite 102, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48013. *Materials, supplies and equipment* (except in bulk) used in the manufacture or processing of auto body parts or trim from points in AL, FL, GA, IL, KY, MA, OH and WV to the facilities of Riverside Metal Products Company at Port Huron, MI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Riverside Metal Products Company, 1631 Dove Street, Port Huron, MI 48060. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 117910 (Sub-6TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: W. H. FROH, INC., 57760 Main Boulevard, New Haven, MI 48048. Representative: Walter N. Bieneman, 100 W. Long Lake Road, Suite 102, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48013. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Material handling equipment, containers therefor, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture thereof, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Jervis B. Webb Company of Farmington, Hills, MI,* between Port Huron, MI, on the one hand, and on the other, those points in the United States in and east Huron, MI, on the one hand, and on the other, those points in the United States in and east of the States of MT, WY, CO and NM for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Jervis B. Webb Company, 1 Webb Drive, Farmington Hills, MI 48018. Send protests to: Transportation assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 120910 (Sub-43TA), filed December 14, 1979. Applicant: SERVICE

EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1009, Tuscaloosa, AL 35401. Representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 N. Washington Blvd., P.O. Box 1240, Arlington, VA 22210. *Pulpboard and waste pulpboard,* from facilities of Gulf States Paper Corp. at or near Demopolis, AL, to facilities of Southern Railway, at or near Tuscaloosa, AL, for 180 days. Applicant proposes to interline shipments transported under this authority with Southern Railway at Tuscaloosa, AL. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Gulf States Paper Corp., P.O. Box 3199, Tuscaloosa, AL 35404. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, ICC, Room 1616, 2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 120910 (Sub-44TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: SERVICE EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1009, Tuscaloosa, AL 35403. Representative: Donald B. Sweeney, Jr., 603 Frank Nelson Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203. *Petroleum resins and synthetic resins,* from Moundville, AL, to points in the United States in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK and TX, (2) *Materials, parts and supplies used in the manufacture of commodities in (1) above,* from points in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK and TX; to Moundville, AL, for 180 days. Restriction: Restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Southern Resins Division of Lawter Chemical, Inc., Cracker Road, Moundville, AL 35474. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616, 2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 120910 (Sub-45TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: SERVICE EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1009, Tuscaloosa, AL 35403. Representative: Donald B. Sweeney, Jr., 603 Frank Nelson Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203. (1) *Weed-killing compounds,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities Fallek-Landro Corporation at or near Tuscaloosa, AL, to points in NE, SD, MN, IA, IL, MO, KS, WI, and PA; and (2) *Materials and supplies,* from points in NE, SD, ND, MN, IA, IL, MO, KS, WI and PA, to the facilities of Fallek-Landro Corporation at or near Tuscaloosa, AL, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Fallek-Landro Corp., 1 Warrior Road, P.O. Box H, Holt, AL 35404. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616, 2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 124821 (Sub-73TA), filed October 24, 1979. Applicant: GILCHRIST TRUCKING, INC., 105 North Keyser Ave., Old Forge, PA 18518. Representative: John W. Frame, Box 626, 2207 Old Gettysburg Rd., Camp Hill, PA 17011. *Chemicals and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of chemicals,* except in bulk, from the facilities of National Starch and Chemical Corporation, at or near Meredosia, IL, to points in CT, DE, MD, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VA and VT, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above-named origins and destined to the above-named destinations, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): National Starch and Chemical Corporation, P.O. Box 6500, Bridgewater, NJ 08807. Send protests to: I.C.C., Fed. Res. Bank Bldg., 101, N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 126040 (Sub-3TA), filed November 20, 1979. Applicant: CONERTY-HENIFF TRANSPORT, INC., 4220 West 122nd Street, Alsip, IL 60658. Representative: Willaim H. Towle, 180 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60601. *Contract Carrier:* irregular routes: *Jet fuel, in bulk,* from the facilities of Ashland Petroleum Co., at Canton, OH, to Midway Airport, Chicago, IL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Midway Airlines, Inc., 5700 S. Cicero, Chicago, IL 60638. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St. RM 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 127811 (Sub-22TA), filed November 20, 1979. Applicant: BRYNWOOD TRANSFER, INC., 175 8th Avenue Southwest, New Brighton, MN 55112. Representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, MN 55118. *Pre-cast and pre-stressed concrete building products,* from Sioux Falls, SD, to Minneapolis and Edina, MN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Gage Concrete Products, Inc., Operations Mgr., 4301 W. St. Sioux Falls, SD 57101. Send protests to: Judith L. Olson, TA, I.C.C., 414 Fed. Bldg., 110 S. 4th St., Mpls., MN 55401.

MC 127840 (Sub-150TA), filed December 18, 1979. Applicant: MONTGOMERY TANK LINES, INC., 17550 Fritz Drive, Lansing, IL 60438. Representative: William H. Towle, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. *Crude peanut oil, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from International Tank Terminals at Mobile, AL to Swift & Co. at Bradley, IL for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Swift & Company, 115 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 127840 (Sub-151TA), filed November 23, 1979. Applicant: MONTGOMERY TANK LINES, INC., 17550 Fritz Drive, Lansing, IL 60438. Representative: William H. Towle, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. *Plastic pellets, in bulk, in tank vehicles,* from Denver, CO, over irregular routes, to Powell, WY for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Soltex Polymer Corporation, P.O. Box 27328, Houston, TX 77027. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 127840 (Sub-152TA), filed November 16, 1979. Applicant: MONTGOMERY TANK LINES, INC., 17550 Fritz Drive, Lansing, IL 60438. Representative: William H. Towle, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. *Vegetable oils, products and blends thereof,* in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Minneapolis, MN, to points in AL, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, IA, ID, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, ND, NC, NH, NJ, NY, OH, OK, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, VT, WV, WA and WI, for 180 days. Supporting Shipper(s): Cargill, Incorporated, P.O. Box 9300, Department 2, Minneapolis, MN 55440. Honeymead Products, 720 Minneopa Road, Mankato, MN 56001. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 128270 (Sub-46TA), filed December 13, 1979. Applicant: REDIEHS INTERSTATE, INC., Box 5311—1477 Ripley Street, Lake Station, IN 46405. Representative: Richard A. Kerwin, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. *Iron and steel articles,* from Lone Star, TX, to points in AR, AL,KS, IL, IN, IA, MO, OK, WI, and MI for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Friedman Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 437, Lone Star, TX 75668. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 128831 (Sub-14TA), filed December 13, 1979. Applicant: DIXON RAPID TRANSFER, INC., Rt. 64, East, Mt. Morris, IL 61054. Representative: Abraham A. Diamond, LTD., 29 S. LaSalle St., Suite 454, Barristers Bldg., Chicago, IL 60603. *Pitch Targets,* from facilities of Reagent Chemical and Research, Inc., White Flyer Div., Joplin., MO, and Pittsburgh, PA and Remington Arms Co., Findlay, OH, to IL, IA, MN, WI, IN and MO for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Conevera's Guns & Reloading Supplies, Inc., 5064 Dailette Drive, Rockford, IL 61102. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 128951 (Sub-34TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: ROBERT

H. DITTRICH, d b a. BOB DITTRICH TRUCKING, 1000 North Front Street, New Ulm, MN 56073. Representative: Rodney H. Jeffery (same address as applicant). *Feed and Feed Ingredients, Grain, Soybean and Seed Products and By-Products (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles),* from the facilities of Archer Daniels Midland Company, located at or near Red Wing, MN to points in MI for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Archer Daniels Midland Co., 118 Main St., Red Wing, MN 55066. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 129480 (Sub-47TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: TRI-LINE EXPRESSWAYS, LTD., P.O. Bag 1212, Stn. T, Calgary, AB, Canada T2H 2J1. Representative: Richard S. Mandelson, Suite 1600 Lincoln Center, 1660 Lincoln St., Denver, CO 80264. *Air conditioning units and parts* from Fort Worth, TX, Stuttgart, AR and Columbus, OH to the International Boundary line between the U.S. and Canada located in MT and MN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Lennox Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 400–450, Dallas, TX 74240. Send protests to: Paul J. Labane, DS, ICC, 2602 First Avenue North, Billings, MT 59101.

MC 133591 (Sub-88TA), filed November 30, 1979. Applicant: WAYNE DANIEL TRUCK, INC., P.O. Box 303, Mount Vernon, MO 65712. Representative: Harry Ross, 58 South Main St., Winchester, KY 40391. *Such commodities as are dealt in or used by retail variety and discount stores* from points in Alameda, Contra Costa and San Mateo Counties, CA to Junction City, KS and Dallas, TX, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): F. W. Woolworth Co., 233 Broadway, New York, NY 40007. Send protests to: Vernon V. Coble, DS, ICC, 911 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

MC 134551 (Sub-17TA), filed August 17, 1979. Applicant: LANTER REFRIGERATED DISTRIBUTING CO., #3 Caine Dr., Madison, IL 62060. Representative: Ernest Brooks, 1301 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, MO 63101. *Candy and confectionery requiring vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk),* from Kansas City, KS to points in KS and MO for 180 days. An underlying ETA has been granted for 30 days. Supporting Shipper(s): E. J. Brach & Sons, 4656 W. Kinzie St., Chicago, IL 60644. Send protests to: Cheryl Livingston, TA, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Rm 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 135070 (Sub-137TA), filed December 5, 1979. Applicant: JAY LINES, INC., P.O Box 30180, Amarillo, TX 79120. Representative: Gailyn L. Larsen, P.O. Box 82816, Lincoln, NE 68501. *Foodstuffs, candy and confectionery, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk),* from the facilities of Standard Brands, Inc., at or near Chicago, IL, to points in CA, CO, IA, KS, MO, NE, OK, OR and TX, for 180 days. Supporting Shipper(s): Standard Brands, Inc., 3401 Mt. Prospect Rd., Franklin Park, IL. Send protests to: Marianna Minnick, TCS, ICC, 9A27 Federal Bldg., 819 Taylor St., Ft. Worth, TX 76102.

MC 135410 (Sub-97TA), filed November 28, 1979. Applicant: COURTNEY J. MUNSON, d./b./a. Munson Trucking, P.O. Box 266, North Sixth Street Road, Monmouth, IL 61462. Representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 205 W. Touhy Avenue, Suite 200, Park Ridge, IL 60068. *Such commodities as are dealt in by retail department stores (except foodstuffs and commodities in bulk),* from points in CT, IL, IN, IA, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MO, NH, NJ, NY, OH, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV and WI to the facilities of Gamble Skogmo, Inc. at Chicago and Monmouth, IL, Coldwater, MI and Fremont, NE and points in their commercial zones for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Gamble Skogmo, Inc., 5100 Gamble Drive, Minneapolis, MN 55481. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, IC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 136711 (Sub-42TA), filed November 2, 1979. Applicant: McCORKLE TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 94968, Oklahoma City, OK 73143. Representative: G. Timothy Armstrong, 200 North Choctaw, P.O. Box 24, El Reno, OK 73036. *Animal and poultry feed and feed ingredients,* in bulk, in dump vehicles, (1) from Wichita, KS, to points in OK and AR; (2) from Oklahoma city and Henryetta, OK, to points in AR and MO; (3) from Amarillo and Friona, TX, to points in OK; and (4) from Kansas City Commercial Zone to points in TX, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Broadway Exchange, P.O. Box 555, Henryetta, OK 74437. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Rm. 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

MC 138420 (Sub-46TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: CHIZEK ELEVATOR & TRANSPORT, INC., Route 1, P.O. Box 147, Cleveland, WI 53063. Representative: Wayne W. Wilson, 150 E. Gilman St., Madison, WI 53703. *Malt beverages, and malt beverage dispensing equipment when shipped therewith,* from Pittsburgh, PA to St. Louis and Columbia, MO and Granite City, IL for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): John Herbik, Inc., P.O. Box 1114, St. Charles, MO 63301. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 138741 (Sub-103TA), filed November 14, 1979. Applicant: AMERICAN CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2005 N. Broadway, Joliet, IL 60435. Representative: Tom B. Kretsinger, 20 East Franklin, Liberty, MO 64068. *Lumber and lumber products:* between the facilities of C. L. Krug Lumber Co. at or near Evansville, IN on the one hand and on the other points in AR, IA, KS, KY, MO, NE, OK, TN, TX, WI and IL for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): C. L. Krug Lumber Co., P.O. Box 27; Chesterfield, MO 63017. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC—219 S. Dearborn St., Rm 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 140950 (Sub-3TA), filed December 14, 1979. Applicant: BROOKVILLE TRANSPORT, LIMITED, P.O. Box 2332, Station C, St. John, New Brunswick. Representative: John C. Lightbody, 30 Exchange St., Portland, ME 04101. Contract: Irregular: (1) *Malt liquor* from ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada in ME adjacent to the Province of New Brunswick to San Fernando, CA, Boston, MA, Jersey City, NJ, and Rochester, Syracuse, and West Sayville, NY, pursuant to a bilateral contract with Moosehead Breweries Limited; (2) *Machinery and machine parts* used in the production of malt liquor from Los Angeles and Terrance, CA, Portland, CT, Clearwater, FL, Forest Lake, MN, St. Louis, MO, Alexandria, VA, and Spokane, WA to ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada located in ME adjacent to the Province of New Brunswick, pursuant to a bilateral contract with Moosehead Breweries Limited, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Moosehead Breweries, Limited, P.O. Box 3100, St. John, New Brunswick E2N 3H2. Send protests to: Donald G. Weiler, District Supervisor, ICC, 76 Pearl St., Rm. 303, Portland, ME 04101.

MC 141871 (Sub-22TA), filed December 7, 1979. Applicant: WNI, INC., 8560 S.W. Salish Lane, Wilsonville, Oregon 97070. Representative: Neil A. DuJardin, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, WI 54306. *Canned goods* (1) from Salem, OR, to Phoenix, AZ, and points in CA; and (2) from Lindsay, CA, to Seattle,

WA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Lindsay Olive Growers, 650 Tulare Road, Lindsay, CA 93247. Send protests to: A. E. Odoms, DS, ICC, 114 Pioneer Courthouse, 555 S.W. Yamhill St., Portland, Oregon 97204.

MC 142181 (Sub-17TA), filed December 20, 1979. Applicant: LIBERTY CONTRACT CARRIER, INC., P.O. Box 1104, 214 Hermitage Ave., Nashville, TN 37202. Representative: Robert L. Baker, 618 United American Bank Bldg., Nashville, TN 37219. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *(1) Such merchandise as is dealt in by catalogue showroom stores and (2) materials, equipment, fixtures and supplies used in the business of a catalogue showroom company,* between points in the U.S. in and east of KA, NE, ND, OK, SD and TX, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Service Merchandise Company, Inc., 2968 Foster Creighton Drive, Nashville, TN 37204. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, A–422 U.S. Court House, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 143501 (Sub-3TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: R.G.C. CARGO CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 523, South Holland, IL 60473. Representative: James E. Savitz, Suite 145, 4 Professional Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20760. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Non-frozen foodstuffs,* from the facilities of Michigan Fruit Canners at or near Coloma, MI to points in AZ, CA, OR, UT, and WA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Michigan Fruit Canners, P.O. Box 206, Coloma, MI 49038. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 143621 (Sub-41TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: TENNESSEE STEEL HAULERS, INC., P.O. Box 5748, 901 5th Avenue, North, Nashville, TN 37208. Representative: Kim D. Mann, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Ave., Washington, DC 20014. *Iron and Steel Articles,* from Lenoir City, TN to Rome, Dalton, Cedartown, LaFayette, and Atlanta, GA and points in their respective commercial zones, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Sheffield Southern Steel, Busey Ferry Road, Lenoir City, TN 37771. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, A–422 U.S. Court House, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203.

MC 144190 (Sub-11TA), filed November 20, 1979. Applicant: STORY, INC., Route No. 1, Box 122, Henager, AL 35978. Representative: Milton W. Flack, 4311 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 300, Los Angeles, CA 90010. *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment) which are at the time moving on bills of lading of freight forwarders as defined in Section 10102(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, from points in Los Angeles, CA and its Commercial Zone to points in the states of AL, AR, FL, GA, IA, LA, MN, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA, and WV for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Inter State Express, Inc., 8696 S. Atlantic Blvd., South Gate, CA 90280. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, ICC, Room 1616—2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 144760 (Sub-5TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: HITTMAN TRANSPORT SERVICES, INC., 2700 Keslinger Rd., Geneva, IL 60134. Representative: Anthony C. Vance, Esq., 1307 Dolley Madison Blvd., McLean, VA 22101. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Radioactive waste moving* in shipper-owned containers transported on shipper-owned trailers from (a) Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp., Vernon, VT; (b) Boston Edison Pilgrim Nuclear Station, Plymouth, MA (c) Maine Yankee Atomic Power Plant Co., Wiscasset, ME; (d) Baltimore Gas & Electric Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Station, Lusby, MD; (3) the Power Authority of the State of NY Indiana Point Nuclear Station, Buchanan, NY; (f) Oyster Creek Nuclear Station, Forked River, NJ to Barnwell, SC,and Richland, WA, and (2) *Empty radioactive shipping containers* from Barnwell, SC and Richland, WA, to the sites in (1). Restriction. (1) and (2) are restricted to transportation service performed under a continuing contract, or contract, with Hittman Nuclear & Development Corp. for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Hittman Nuclear & Development Corp., 9190 Red Branch Rd., Columbia, MD 21045. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC—219 S. Dearborn St, Rm 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 145031 (Sub-3TA), filed November 19, 1979. Applicant: DANNY COCKERELL d.b.a. D & M TRUCKING, P.O. Box 341, Clay City, IL 62824. Representative: Danny Cockrell, P.O. Box 341, Clay City, IL 62824. *Contract Carrier:* irregular routes: *Candy and confectionary products* (except commodities in bulk) from the plantsite of Spangler Candy Company at or near Bryan, OH to points in WA, OR, CA & FL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Spangler Candy Company, 400 North Portland Street, Bryan, OH 43506. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St. RM 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 145560 (Sub-11TA), filed November 13, 1979. Applicant: NORTH ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 38, Ider, AL 35981. Representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 N. Washington Blvd., P.O. Box 1240, Arlington, VA 22210. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in or utilized by a manufacturer or distributor of candy (except in bulk), between the facilities of American Candy Manufacturing Company, Inc., at or near Selma, AL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, OR, UT and WA); and from points in AZ, CA, CO, ID, OR, UT and WA, to the facilities of American Candy Manufacturing Company, Inc., at or near Selma, AL. Restriction: Restricted to shipments transported under a continuing contract or contracts with American Candy Manufacturing Company, Inc., for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): American Candy Manufacturing Company, Inc., P.O. Box 879, Selma, AL 36701. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616–2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 145701(Sub-10TA), filed November 27, 1979. Applicant: D. C. TRANSPORT, INC., 916 S. Riverside Ave., St. Clair, Michigan 48079. Representative: James J. Sheehan, 916 S. Riverside Ave., St. Clair, Michigan 48079. *Salt and salt products,* from Akron, OH to points in IL and IN, and from St. Clair, MI to points in IL, IN, and OH; and (2) salt in bulk, from Metropolis, IL to points in Kentucky on and west of Interstate Highway 65; and points in Mississippi and New Madrid Counties, MO, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Diamond Crystal Salt Company, 916 S. Riverside Ave., St. Clair, MI 48079. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC 219 S. Dearborn, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 145950 (Sub-67TA), filed June 14, 1979. Applicant: BAYWOOD TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 2611, Waco, TX 76706. Representative: Michael D. Bromely, 805 McLachlen Bank Building, Washington, DC 20001. *Fruit juice concentrates, in mechanically refrigerated vehicles,* from Ontario, CA, to points in SD, IA, WI, IL, MO, MI, IN, OH, PA, NY, MA, CT, NJ, MD, VA, NC, GA, AL, LA, TX and MS

for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeking 90 days authority filed. Supporting shipper(s): Green Spot Company, 520 Mission Street, South Pasadena, CA 91030. Send protests to: Martha A. Powell, Trans. Asst., I.C.C., Room 9A27 Fed. Bldg., 819 Taylor St., Fort Worth, TX 76102.

MC 146360 (Sub-18TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: FLOYD SMITH, JR. TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 816, Meridian, ID 83642. Representative: Timothy R. Stivers, P.O. Box 162, Boise, ID 83701. *(1) Frozen concentrated orange juice, (2) potato products,* (1) from points in FL and (2) from points in WI to Hayward, CA (restricted to traffic destined to the facilities of Allied Sysco Food Services, Inc.), for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Allied Sysco Food Services Inc., 30977 San Antonio St., Hayward, CA 94544. Send protests to: Barney L. Hardin, D/S, ICC, Suite 110, 1471 Shoreline Dr., Boise, ID 83702.

MC 146451 (Sub-13TA), filed December 11, 1979. Applicant: WHATLEY-WHITE, INC., 230 Ross Clark Circle, N.E., Dothan, AL 36302. Representative: R. S. Richard, P.O. Box 2069, Montgomery, AL 36103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *New furniture, bicycles, tricycles, unicycles, exercise cycles, play pens, swings, strollers, car seats, outdoor play equipment, toys, and juvenile care products and parts and accessories for such named commodities; and* (2) *Parts, materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of commodities named in (1), above:* Between the facilities of Hedstrom Company, at or near Dothan, AL, and all points in the United States except AL, AK, and HI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Hedstrom Co., P.O. Box 432, Bedford, PA 15522. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616—2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 146451 (Sub-14TA), filed December 11, 1979. Applicant: WHATLEY-WHITE, INC., 230 Ross Clark Circle, N.E., Dothan, AL 36302. Representative: R. S. Richard, P.O. Box 2069, Montgomery, AL 36103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Steel doors, mirrors combined with doors, molding, iron of steel, hardware, bars, garment hangers and door frames;* and, *(2) Materials, equipment, machinery and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of commodities named in (1), above:* Between the facilities of Slimfold Manufacturing Co., Inc., at or near Dothan, AL, and all points in the United States except AL, AK, and HI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks to 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Slimfold Manufacturing Co., 510 Murray Road, P.O. Box 6416, Dothan, AL 36302. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616—2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 146880 (Sub-5TA), filed December 12, 1979. Applicant: LOWELL E. DENTON, d.b.a. DENTON CARTAGE CO., 7322 W. 90th st., Bridgeview, IL 60455. Representative: Lowell E. Denton, P.O. Box 40, Palos Park, IL 60464. *Empty container chassis:* from North Bergen, NJ; Harrisburg and West Point, PA to Chicago, IL, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Transport International Pool, 210 N. Ogden Ave., Chicago, IL 60607. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn St., Rm. 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 147700 (Sub-3TA), filed November 7, 1979. Applicant: TRANSPORT CORP., 3710 Calumet Avenue, Hammond, IN 46320. Representative: Grant J. Merritt, 4444 IDS Center, 80 S. Eighth Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Iron and steel articles, and non-ferrous articles,* from: (1) Chicago, IL to OH. (2) KY and MI to Chicago, IL for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Edgcomb Metals, P.O. Box 7940A, Chicago, IL 60680. Send protests to: Cheryl Livingston, TA, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 147831 (Sub-13TA), filed September 24, 1979. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 2464, Jackson, TN 38301. Representative: Abraham A. Diamond, 29 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *Foodstuffs, other than in bulk,* between Jackson, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other: Jacksonville, Orlando, Tampa and Miami, FL; Atlanta, GA, Chicago and Plainfield, IL; points in the Chicago commercial zone located in Louisville, KY; New Orleans, LA; Preston, MD; Trenton, Kansas City and St. Louis, MO; Woodridge, NJ; Charlotte, Pineville and Raleigh, NC; Greenville, SC; Ft. Worth, Dallas, Houston and San Antonio, TX; and Kansas City, KS, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Kelly Foods, Inc., 513 Airways Blvd., Jackson, TN 38301. Send protests to: Floyd A. Johnson, Suite 2006—100 N. Main St., Memphis, TN 38103.

MC 147831 (Sub-14TA), filed September 24, 1979. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES EXPRESS, P.O. Box 2464, Jackson, TN 38301. Representative: Abraham A. Diamond, 29 S. La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *(a) Wooden doors, door fronts, wooden flooring, and lumber; (b) materials used in the manufacture, sale and distribution of commodities described in (a),* from the facilities of Bruce Hardwood Floors, at or near Jackson, TN to Lodi, CA; Lakeland, FL; Atlanta, GA; Union City, IN; Auburn, NE; Nashua, NH; Carbondale, PA; Thompsontown, PA; McKinney, TX, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Bruce Hardwood Floors, 160 Rosedale, Jackson, TN 38301. Send protests to: Floyd A. Johnson, Suite 2006—100 N. Main St., Memphis, TN 38103.

MC 147831 (Sub-15TA), filed October 9, 1979. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 2464, Jackson, TN 38301. Representative: Abraham A. Diamond, 29 S. La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *(a) Plastic auto body parts, and (b) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities described in (a),* between the facilities of Douglas & Lomason Co. at or near Milan, TN, on the one hand and, on the other, points in IL, IN, MI, and OH, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Douglas & Lomason Co., Kefauver Dr., Milan, TN 38358. Send protests to: Floyd A. Johnson, Rm. 2006—100 N. Main St., Memphis, TN 38103.

MC 147831 (Sub-16TA), filed October 5, 1979. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 2464, Jackson, TN 38301. Representative: Abraham A. Diamond, 29 S. La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *Plastic articles,* between the facilities of Universal Packaging Corp. at or near Trenton, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, AR, FL, GA, IL, LA, MS, MO, NC, PA, SC, TX, VA, WI and IN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Universal Packaging Corp., Kellwood Drive, Trenton, TN 38382. Send protests to: Floyd A. Johnson, Rm. 2006—100 N. Main St., Memphis, TN 38103.

MC 147831 (Sub-17TA), filed November 26, 1979. Applicant: CENTRAL STATES EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 2464, Jackson, TN 38301. Representative: Abraham A. Diamond, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *(a) Calcium caseinate and Sodium caseinate; (b) empty intermodal containers with chassis and empty trailers,* between the facilities of Anderson, Clayton & Co. at Humboldt, TN and the facilities of the Illinois Central Gulf Railroad at Dyersburg, TN, for 180 days. Restricted to traffic having

a prior of subsequent movement by rail. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Anderson Clayton Foods, P.O. Box 226165, Dallas, TX 75266. Send protests to: Floyd A. Johnson, Suite 2006—100 N. Main St., Memphis, TN 38103.

MC 147951 (Sub-2TA), filed December 18, 1979. Applicant: YOURLINE, INC., 3540 E. 26th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90023. Representative: Milton W. Flack, 4311 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 300, Los Angeles, CA 90010. *Contract;* Irregular; *Such commodities as are distributed or dealt in by retail furniture stores,* between the facilities of R. B. Furniture, Inc., located at or near Irvine, California, and facilities and retail stores of R. B. Furniture, Inc., located at Albuquerque, New Mexico and points within the counties of Tarrant, Dallas, Collin and Harris, Texas, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): R. B. Furniture, Inc., Freight/ Warehouse Department Manager, 2323 S.E. Main Street, Irvine, CA 92714. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321, Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 148281 (Sub-5TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: SUSANA TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, INC., 2845 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601. Representative: Miles L. Kavaller, 315 S. Beverly Dr., Suite 315, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. *Bakery products, materials, equipment and supplies, except in bulk,* from Carnegie, PA to points in Los Angeles County, CA, restricted to traffic originating at the facilities of Mallet & Co., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Mallet & Company, Traffic Manager, P.O. Box 474, Carnegie, PA 15106. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321, Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 148281 (Sub-6TA), filed December 11, 1979. Applicant: SUSANA TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, INC., 2845 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601. Representative: Miles L. Kavaller, 315 South Beverly Dr., Suite 315, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. *Automobile accessories and supplies, except in bulk,* from Contra Costa, Los Angeles, Orange and San Bernardino Counties, CA to Dallas, TX restricted to traffic destined to the facilities of Sigman-Pittman Distributing, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Sigman-Pittman Distributing, Inc., Assistant General Manager, 9200 Ambassador Row, Dallas, TX 74247. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321, Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 148491 (Sub-1TA), filed October 19, 1979. Applicant: JOHN A. DEYAMPERT, 1710 Lauderdale St., Selma, AL 36701. Representative: Same as above. *Building material, pipe, and truck bodies and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture thereof,* between the facilities of Southern Coach & Truck Co., Disco Aluminum Products, Selma, AL; Colonial Pipe & Supply, Birmingham, AL; and Semrep, Inc., Atlanta, Ga, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, AZ, AR, FL, GA, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, MS, NJ, NM, NC, OH, OK, PA, SC, TN, TX, VA and WV, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Disco Aluminum Products, Karenwood Drive, Selma, AL 36701; Southern Coach & Truck Co., Inc., Box 732, Selma; AL 36701; Colonial Pipe & Supply Co., Inc., Shannon Road, Shannon, AL 35142; Semrep, Inc., 1661 Defoor Avenue, Atlanta, GA 30325. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, T/A, ICC, Room 1616–2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 148740 (Sub-1TA), filed November 15, 1979. Applicant: SPECIALIZED SERVICES, INC., 809 Burleson, Grand Prairie, Texas 75050. Representative: E. Larry Wells, P.O. Box 45538, Dallas, Texas 75245. Contract carrier, irregular routes; *printed paper products and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture of printed paper products* between Sylmar, CA, Louisville, KY and Bastrop, LA under a continuing contract or contracts with Tested Advertising Techniques, Inc., for 180 days. Underlying ETA for 90 days has been filed. Supporting shipper(s): Testing Advertising Techniques, Inc., 12970 Bradley Ave., Sylmar, CA 91342. Send protests to: Opal M. Jones, TCS, ICC, 9A27 Federal Bldg., 819 Taylor St, Ft. Worth, TX 76102.

MC 148771 (Sub-1TA), filed November 21, 1979. Applicant: FOWLER TRUCKING & SALVAGE CO., Rt. 2, Box 108 C, Trussville, AL 35173. Representative: John R. Frawley, Jr., 5506 Crestwood Blvd., Birmingham, AL 35212. *Contract, irregular; Scrap metal,* between the facilities of National Tire & Salvage Co., Inc. located in Birmingham, AL on the one hand, and, on the other, all points east of NM, CO, WY, and MT, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): National Tire & Salvage Co., Inc., 2900 21st Ave. No., Birmingham, AL 35234. Send protests to: Mabel E. Holston, TA, I.C.C., Room 1616–2121 Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203.

MC 148820 (Sub-1TA), filed November 28, 1979. Applicant: ENTERPRISE TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1191 South Wheeling Road, Wheeling, IL 60090. Representative: Edward G. Bazelon, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *Contract Carrier:* irregular routes: *Paint, varnish, solvents, cleaning compounds, wax, detergents and chemicals, and materials, equipments and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of the foregoing commodities, between the facilities of The Enterprise Companies, a Division of Insilco Corporation, located in Chicago and Wheeling, IL, and points in their respective commercial zones, on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in the United States except AK and HI, for the account of the Enterprise Companies, a Division of Insilco Corporation, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles. Supporting shipper(s): The Enterprise Companies, a Division of Insilco Corporation, 1191 South Wheeling Road, Wheeling, IL 60090. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St., RM 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 148821 (Sub-1TA), filed November 27, 1979. Applicant: TRUCKING BY TRUAX, INC., 3714 South Grand Avenue E, Springfield, IL 62707. Representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Building, Springfield, IL 62701. *Contract Carrier:* irregular routes: *Malt beverages* from the facility of Anheuser-Busch, Inc. at St. Louis, MO to Springfield, IL for the account of E. L. Schafer & Sons, Inc., for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): E. L. Schafer & Sons, Inc., 300 Myrtle, Springfield, IL 62704. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, I.C.C., 219 S. Dearborn St., RM 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 148850 (Sub-1TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: PORTLAND AIRPORT LIMOUSINE CO., 1001 Westbrook St., Portland, ME 04102. Representative: Verrill & Dana, Two Canal Plaza, Portland, ME 04112. *General commodities* having a prior or subsequent movement by air (except articles of unusual value; Class A & B explosives; household goods; commodities requiring special equipment; commodities in bulk in tank vehicles) between Logan International Airport, E. Boston, MA and points in Cumberland County, ME. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): (1) Foundation for Blood Research, Rt. One, Scarboro, ME, (2) GTE Sylvania, Rt. 35, Standish, ME, (3) Riverside Millwork Co., Inc., Payne Rd., Scarboro, ME, (4) Provident Mutual Life Ins. Co., 52 Exchange St., Portland, ME. Send protests to: Donald G. Weiler,

District Supervisor, ICC, 76 Pearl St., Rm. 303, Portland, ME 04101.

MC 148851 (Sub-1TA), filed November 30, 1979. Applicant: TRANSIT SERVICING, INC., 8121–C East 34 Mile Road, Cadillac, MI 49601. Representative: Burton A. Hines, Sr., 121 North Mitchell Street, Cadillac, MI 49601. *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, class A & B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment). Between Cadillac, MI (warehouse of Transit Servicing Inc.) on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the lower peninsula of MI north of Cadillac, MI and the entire upper peninsula of MI. Restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent interstate movement. For 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): K Mart Corporation, 3100 W. Big Beaver Road, Troy, MI 48084. Send protests to: C.R. Flemming, D/S, I.C.C., 300 E. Michigan Ave., Lansing, MI 48933.

MC 148781 (Sub-2TA), filed November 11, 1979. Applicant: MIKE WELCHEL d.b.a. MARIETTA WRECKER SERVICE, 2778 Cobb Parkway, Atlanta, GA 30339. Representative: John J. Capo, P.O. Box 720434, Atlanta, GA 30328. (1) *Wrecked and disabled trucks; wrecked and disabled tractors; wrecked and disabled trailers* from points in FL, AL, MS, LA, KY, AR, TN, NC, SC, and VA to Atlanta, GA; and (2) *replacement vehicles* from Atlanta, GA to points in FL, AL, MS, LA, KY, AR, TN, NC, SC, and VA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are 9 statements of support. Send protests to: Sara K. Davis, ICC, 1252 W. Peachtree St., N.W., Rm. 300, Atlanta, GA 30309.

MC 148861 (Sub-1TA), filed November 28, 1979. Applicant: O. M. TALBOTT, INC., Route 4, Box 679, South Boston, VA 24592. Representative: O. M. Talbott, Jr. (same as applicant). *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *Crushed stone, sand and dry asphalt,* from Skippers, VA to points within Vance, Warren, Franklin, Halifax, Northampton, Hertford, Bertie, Edgecombe, Nash, Martin, Gates, Chowan, Perquimans, Pasquotank, Camden, Currituck Counties in NC, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Trego Stone Corporation, P. O. Box 46, Skippers, VA 23879. Send protests to: I.C.C., Fed. Res. Bank Bldg., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

MC 148871 (Sub-1TA), filed December 6, 1979. Applicant: PORT TERMINAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., Foot of Algiers Street, Bldg. 153, Port Newark, NJ 07114. Representative: Frank D. Hall, Suite 713, 3384 Peachtree Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30326. *Foodstuffs,* when moving in mechanically refrigerated equipment, from the plantsite & warehoue facilities of Standard Brands, Inc., at or near Chicago, IL, to points in NJ, NY, MD, MA and PA for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Standard Brands, Inc., 3401 Mount Prospect Road, Franklin Park, IL 60131. Send protests to: Irwin Rosen, TS, ICC, 744 Broad Street, Room 522, Newark, NJ 07102.

MC 148960 (Sub-1TA), filed November 21, 1979. Applicant: ROBERT C. STOKES d.b.a. STOKES TRUCKING, 36 W. 160 Butterfield Road, Batavia, IL 60510. Representative: Albert A. Andrin, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. *Wooden crating,* from the facilities of Aurora Container Corp. at Aurora, IL to Madison, WI for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Aurora Container Corp., P.O. Box 688, Aurora, IL 60507. Send protests to: Transportation Assistant, ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicao, IL 60604.

MC 148980 (Sub-1TA), filed December 26, 1979. Applicant: WESTERN CARGO, INC., 3500 Lakeside Court, Reno, NV 89511. Representative: Terry Lee Herzig, 2245 Lindley Way, Reno, NV 89509. *Insulating materials and materials used in the installation of insulating materials* from Corona and Willows, CA to points i the States of AZ, ID, OR, NV, UT and WA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Johns-Manville Sales, Corporation, 2600 Campus Drive, San Mateo, CA 94403. Send protests to: DS W. J. Huetig, I.C.C., 705 North Plaza Street, Carson City, NV 89701.

MC 148981 (Sub-1TA), filed December 27, 1979. Applicant: JERRY L. CRISWELL d.b.a. DIAMOND HORSESHOE TRUCKING, P.O. Box 781 (101 Williams Avenue), Renton, WA 98055. Representative: Jerry L. Criswell (same as above). *Contract carrier;* irregular routes; *Building materials and steel articles,* between Kent and Seattle, WA and points in CA, OR, WA, ID and NV, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Mid City Materials, P.O. Box 828, Kent, WA 98031; Millsteel Company, 60 South Hudson Street, Seattle, WA 98124. Send protests to: Hugh H. Chaffee, D/S, ICC, 852 Federal Building, Seattle, WA 98174.

MC 149000 (Sub-2TA), filed December 14, 1979. Applicant: JARBOE SALES CO., 6929 East Reading Place, Tulsa, OK 74115. Representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, Suite 615-East, The Oil Center, 2601 Northwest Expressway, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. *Furniture parts, and materials and supplies used in the manufacture thereof, except in bulk,* from the facilities of Leggett & Platt, Inc., at or near Carthage and Springfield, MO to Rensselaer, IN, Nicholasville, Simponsville and Winchester, KY, Cincinnati and Mason, OH, and Nashville, TN, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Leggett & Platt Incorporated, P.O. Box 757, Carthage, MO 64836. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Rm. 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102.

MC 149001 (Sub-1TA), filed December 18, 1979. Applicant: Sandra Wiekel, d.b.a. L & S TRANSPORT, 12534 York Street, Hawthorne, CA 90250. Representative: Milton W. Flack, 4311 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 300, Los Angeles, CA 90010. *Contract;* Irregular; *plastic trays, forms or sheeting, not expanded,* between the facilities of A & E Plastics, Division of A & E Plastik Pak, located at Kearney and Rockaway, New Jersey, and City of Industry and Visalia, CA, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper(s): A & E Plastics, Division of A & E Plastik Pak, Distribution/Purchasing Manager, 14505 Proctor Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91749. Send protests to: Irene Carlos, TA, ICC, Room 1321 Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

MC 149030 (Sub-1TA), filed December 21, 1979. Applicant: COUSINS LEASING CORP., INC., Arnold Drive, Huntington, NY 11743. Representative: William J. Augello, Esq., 120 Main St., Huntington, NY 11743. *Contract carrier;* irregular routes: *(A) Paper bags, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of bags* (1) between Suffolk County, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, CT, DE, MD, ME, MA, NH, NH, NJ, NY, NC, PA, RI, VA, VT, WV, and DC; under a continuing contract with Samson Paper Bag Co., Huntington, NY (2) Between Indianapolis, IN, on the one hand, and, on the other, IA, IL, KY, MI, MO, NC, NY, OH, PA, TN, VA, WV, and WI, under a continuing contract with Samson Midamerica Inc., of Indianapolis, IN. *(B) Paper,* from West Point, VA, to New York, under a continuing contract with Equitable Bag Co., Inc., of Long Island City, NY. *(C) Plastic film and trays,* from MA to New York, NY, and Suffolk County, NY, under a continuing contract with Trio Packaging Corp. of Huntington, NY. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): 1. Samson Paper Bag Co., Inc., Arnold Drive, Huntington, NY 11743; 2. Samson Midamerica Inc., 8111 Zionville Rd., Indianapolis, IN

46268; 3. Equitable Bag Co., Inc., 45–50 Van Dam St., Long Island City, NY 11101; 4. Trio Packaging Corp., Arnold Drive, Huntington, NY 11743. Send protests to: Maria B. Kejss, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

MC 149050 (Sub-TA), filed November 2, 1979. Applicant: STUMPS REFRIGERATED EXPRESS, INC., R.D. #1, Tiro, OH 44887. Representative: David A. Turano, 100 East Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. *(1) Plumbing materials and (2) materials and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities in (1) above,* (except commodities in bulk), between Ashland, Shelby, and Upper Sandusky, OH, on the one hand, and on the other, points in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, OK and TX, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): U-Brand Corportion, 815 Clark St., Ashland, OH 44805. Send protests to: I.C.C., Fed. Res. Bank Bldg., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Phila., PA 19106.

[Notice No. 260]

January 17, 1980.

MC 243379 (Sub-49TA), filed April 23, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of June 26, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: LONG TRANSPORTATION CO., 14510 W. Eight Mile Road, Oak Park, MI 48237. Representative: John P. McMahon, 100 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. *Iron and steel articles* from the facilities of Wheeling Pittsburgh Steel Corporation at Canfield, Martins Ferry, Mingo Junction, Steubenville, and Yorkville, OH; Allenport and Monessen, PA and Beech Bottom, Benwood, Follansbee and Wheeling, WV to OH, IN and MI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corporation, P.O. Box 118, Pittsburgh, PA 15230. Send protests to: Clarence R. Flemming, TX, ICC, 225 Federal Building, 325 West Allegan Street, Lansing, MI 48933. The Purpose of this republication is to show the complete scope of application and shipper as previously published.

No. MC 29079 (Sub-122TA), filed April 26, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of September 7, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: BRADA-MILLER FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 1210 S. Union Street, Kokomo, IN 46901. Representative: Keith G. O'Brien, 1729 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006. *Sand and sand additives* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the facilities of Acme Resin Company at or near Oregon, IL, to points in CT, KY (except Louisville), MA, MO, NH, NJ, NY (on and east of US Hwy 62), PA (on and east of US Hwy 219), RI, VA and WV (except points in Brooke, Hancock, Marshall, and Ohio Counties), WI, IA, points in the Lower Peninsula of MI and IN (except Kokomo and points within 50 miles thereof), for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): CPC International, Inc., International Plaza, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632. Send protests to: Beverly J. Williams, ICC, 46 E. Ohio Street, Room 429, Indianapolis, IN 46204. The purpose of this republication is to show *send and send additives* in lieu of *sand and sand additives*, also to show Brooke County as previously omitted.

No. MC 106149 (Sub-3TA), filed July 9, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of September 7, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: AMERICAN HOLIDAY VAN LINES, INC., 2323 Chipman Street, NE, Knoxville,TN 37902. Representative: Robert H. Watson, Jr. and Stanley G. Emert, Jr., 703 Gay Street, South, Knoxville, TN 37902. *Household goods,* as defined by the Commission, between points in AL, CT, DE, FL, GA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MA, ME, MD, MI, MN, MS, MO, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV, WI, and District of Columbia, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): General Services Administration, Washington, DC 20406, Millers, Inc., 600 Henley St., Knoxville, TN 37902. Send protests to: Glenda Kuss, TA, ICC, Suite A–422, US Court House, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN 37203. The purpose of this republication is to add Massachusetts (MA) to the territorial description as previously omitted.

MC 108559 (Sub-23TA), filed October 9, 1979, Applicant: EAGLE EXPRESS CO., P.O. Box 12047, Lexington, KY 40580. Applicant's representative: Michael Spurlock, Berry & Spurlock Co., L.P.A., 275 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Temporary authority sought to operate as a *common carrier,* over regular routes, transporting: *General Commodities* (except those of unusual values, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment). (1) Between Louisville, Kentucky and Morehead, Kentucky, serving all intermediate points between Versailles, Kentucky and Morehead, Kentucky and all off-route points in Bath and Rowan counties, Kentucky. From Louisville, Kentucky over I–64 to Junction U.S. Highway 60, then over U.S. Highway 60 to Morehead, Kentucky and return over the same route. (2) Between Concinnati, Ohio and its commercial zone, and the junction of Interstate Highway 75 and U.S. Highway 60 near Lexington, Kentucky, serving no intermediate points. From Cincinnati, Ohio over I–75 to Junction I–75 and U.S. Highway 60, and return over the same route. Restriction: In connection with the routes described above, no service is to be rendered between (1) Louisville, Kentucky and points west of Versailles, Kentucky; (2) Louisville, Kentucky and Cincinnati, Ohio; and (3) Cincinnati, Ohio and Lexington, Kentucky. Applicant seeks authority to tack routes 1 and 2 and also authority to tack with existing authority for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): There are 7 supporting shippers supporting this application. Send protests to: I.C.C., Fed. Res. Bank Bldg., 101 N. 7th St., Rm. 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

MC 108859 (Sub-74TA), filed July 18, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of September 7, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: CLAIRMONT TRANSFER CO., 1803 Seventh Avenue N, P.O. Box 717, Escanaba, MI 49829. Representative: Elmer J. Wery, P.O. Box 3548, Green Bay, WI 54303. *Paper and paper products and materials, equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture or distribution of paper and paper products, between the facilities of Scott Paper Company located at Fond du Lac, Green Bay, Marinette and Oconto Falls, WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL (on and north of US Hwy 36), IN, MI and OH, for 180 days. Supporting Shipper(s): Scott Paper Company, Scott Plaza, Philadelphia, PA 19113. Send protests to: C. R. Flemming, DS, ICC, 225 Federal Building, Lansing, MI 48933. The purpose of this republication is to show US Hwy 36 in lieu of US Hwy 30 as previously published.

MC 119619 (Sub-141TA), filed October 11, 1979. Applicant: DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE COMPANY, 2000 W. 43rd Street, Chicago, IL 60609. Representative: Piken & Piken, Inc., Queens Office Tower, 95–25 Queens Boulevard, Rego Park, NY 11374. *Sugar (except in bulk),* between the facilities of US Sugar Company at or near Buffalo, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IA, MN, and Lincoln, NE, for 180 days. Restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the named facilities. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): US Sugar Company, 54 Fulton Street, Buffalo, NY 14204. Send protests to: Annie Booker,

ICC, 219 S. Dearborn, Room 1386, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 125368 (Sub-79TA), filed July 10, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of August 29, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: CONTINENTAL COAST TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 26, Holly Ridge, NC 28445. Representative: C. W. Fletcher (same as above). *Beverages, plastic bottles and cans, beverage supplies and machinery used by Carolina Canners, Inc., and Carolina Packaging Inc., and subsidiaries,* between the facilities of Carolina Canners, Inc., and Carolina Packaging, Inc., and subsidiaries, Cheraw, SC, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, AR, FL, GA, KY, LA, MI, NO, TN, TX, VA and WV, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): Carolina Canners, Inc., P.O. Box 965, Cheraw, SC 29520. Send protests to: Terrell Price, 800 Briar Creek Road, Room CC516, Carlotte, NC 28205. The purpose of this republication is to show the origin point of Cheraw, SC, as previously omitted.

MC 126679 (Sub-14TA), filed July 13, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of August 29, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: DENNIS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 189, Vidalia, GA 30474. Representative: Virgil H. Smith, Suite 12, 1587 Phoenix Boulevard, Atlanta, GA 30349. *Iron and steel articles,* from Savannah and Garden City, GA to Pacesetter Steel Service, Inc., Marietta, GA, for 180 days. Supporting Shipper(s): Pacesetter Steel Service, Inc., 980 Marietta Industrial Drive, Marietta, GA 30065. Send protests to: G. H. Fauss, Jr., DS, ICC, Box 35008, 400 West Bay Street, Jacksonville, FL 32202. The purpose of this republication is to show complete scope of application as previously omitted.

MC 129759 (Sub-39TA), filed July 2, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of August 29, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: TRIANGLE TRUCKING CO., P.O. Box 490, McKees Rocks, PA 15136. Representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 E. Broad Street, Columbia, OH 43215. Contract; irregular: (1) *Transformers and transformer parts,* from the facilities of RTE Corp. at or near Waukesha, WI to points in and east of MN, IA, MO, AR, and LA, and (2) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture of transformers and transformer parts, from points in the destination states specified in (1) above to the facilities of RTE Corp. at or near Waukesha, WI, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting Shipper(s): RTE Corp., 1900 E. North Street, Waukesha, WI 53186. Send protests to: ICC, Fed. Res. Bank Building, 101 N. 7th Street, Room 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106. The purpose of this republication is to show Minnesota (MN) in lieu of New Mexico (NM) as previously published.

MC 135598 (Sub-29TA), filed July 17, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of August 29, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: SHARKEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 3156, Quincy, IL 62301. Representative: Carl Steiner, 39 S. LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. *Iron and steel and iron and steel articles,* from the facilities of Bethlehem Steel Corp., Burns Harbor, IN, and the facilitiesof US Steel Corp., Gary, IN, to points in IL on and south of US 136 and points in IN on and south of US 30 and on and east of I–35, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): US Steel Corp., 1000 E. 80th Place, Merrillville, In 46410. Send protests to: David Hunt, TA, Room 1386, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL 60604. The purpose of this republication is to show the correct territorial description as previously published.

MC 136818 (Sub-68TA), filed August 7, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of October 1, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: SWIFT TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 335 W. Elwood Road, Phoenix, AZ 85030. Representative: Donald Fernaays, 4040 E. McDowell Road, Phoenix, AZ 85008. *Iron and steel articles,* (1) from points in CA to points in AZ, (2) between points in CO, UT, AZ, WY, ID and NM, (3) from points in TX to KS, NM, CO, UT, AZ and CA, (4) from Kansas City, KS and Kansas City, MO to points in CO, UT, NM and AZ, fro 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Brown-Strauss, Div. of Azcon Corp., 6900 E. Camelback Street, 700, Scottsdale, AZ 85251. Send protests to: Ronald R. Mau, DS, 2020 Federal Building, 230 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85025. The purpose of this republication is to show complete territorial description in part (3) as previously omitted.

MC 138438 (Sub-64TA), filed July 23, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of October 1, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: D. M. BOWMAN, INC., Route 2, Box 43A1, Williamsport, MD 21795. Representative: Edward N. Button, 1329 Pennsylvania Avenue, Hagerstown, MD 21740. *Gypsum and gypsum products and materials and supplies,* used in the installation thereof, (1) from Wilmington, DE and Milford, VA, and their respective commercial zones, to points in CT, GA, KY ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, RI, SC, TN and VT, and (2) from Akron and Buchanan, NY, and their respective commercial zones, to points in CT, DE, ME, MD, MA, NH, NJ, OH, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV and DC, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Georgia Pacific Corp., Gypsum Div., 1062 Lancaster Drive, Rosemont, PA 19010. Send protests to: ICC, Fed. Res. Bank Building, 101 N. 7th Street, Room 620, Philadelphia, PA 19106. The purpose of this republication is to show NH (New Hampshire) in lieu of NY (New York) as a destination state in part (2) as previously published.

MC 138469 (Sub-162TA), filed August 24, 1979, and published in the Federal Register issue of October 29, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: DONCO CARRIERS, INC., 4720 S.W. 20th Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73128. Representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 205 West Touhy Avenue Suite 200, Park Ridge, IL 60068. *Confectinery* (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Dallas, TX and points in its commercial zone, to the facilities of Shaklee Corporation at Atlanta, GA, Chicago, IL, Dayton, NJ, and Hayward, CA, and points in their respective commercial zones, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of the Shaklee Corporation, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Shaklee Corporation, 1900 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94662. Send protests to: Connie Stanley, ICC, Room 240, 215 N.W. 3rd, Oklahoma City, OK 73102. The purpose of this republication is to reflect the destination state as Dayton, NJ (New Jersey) in lieu of Dayton, NY (New York) as previously published.

MC 145359 (Sub-17TA), filed September 21, 1979. Applicant: THERMO TRANSPORT, INC., 156 East Market Street, Indianapolis, IN 46240. Representative: Donald W. Smith, Suite 945, 9000 Keystone Crossing, Indianapolis, IN 46240. *Aluminum, aluminum products, paper, and paper products,* from the facilities of Revere Copper & Brass Incorporated at Newport, AR to Emeryville, San Francisco, Oakland, Monrovia, and Los Angeles, CA; Dallas and Abilene, TX; Orlando, FL; Chicago and Peoria, IL; Norway, MI; Cheswick, PA; and Wilsonville, OR. *Aluminum, aluminum products, paper, and paper products,* from International Falls, MN; Ravenswood, WV; Scottsboro, AL;

Mosinee and Kaukauna, WI; Manistee, MI to the facilities of Revere Copper and Brass Incorporated at Newport, AR for 180 days. RESTRICTION: Service to be performed in temperature controlled equipment. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Revere Copper & Brass Incorporated, Highway 67 North, Newport, AR 72112. Send protests to: Beverly J. Williams, Transportation Asst. ICC, 429 Federal Building, 46 E. Ohio St., Indianapolis, IN 46204

MC 145978 (Sub-3TA), filed July 24, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of October 1, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: R & S TRUCKING, INC., RR 1, Box 123, Garretson, SD 57030. Representative: A. J. Swanson, P.O. Box 1103, 300 S. Thompson Ave., Sioux Falls, SD 57101. (1) *Refuse containers* from Sioux Falls, SD to points in KS, IA, IL, LA, MI, MO, MN, ND, NY, OK, OH, PA, TX, TN, UT, WI and WY and (2) *Iron and steel articles and casters* from Toledo, OH, Sioux City, IA; Minneapolis, MN; Chicago, IL; Gary, IN; Hustiford, WI; and Kansas City, MO to Sioux City, SD for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Teem Enterprises, Inc., 3509 Teem Dr. (P.O. Box 1381), Sioux Falls, SD 57101. Send protests to: J. L. Hammond, DS, ICC, Room 455, Federal Bldg., Pierre, SD 57501. The purpose of this republication is to show the complete scope of application as previously published.

MC 146438 (Sub-5TA), filed July 18, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of October 1, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: ETV, INC., P.O. Box 393, Comstock Park, MI 49321. Representative: Miss Wilhelmina Boersma, 1600 First Federal Building, Detroit, MI 48226. (1) *Frozen bakery goods,* from the facilities of Mrs. Smith's Pie Company at or near Zeeland, MI to OR, WA, ID, CA, NV, UT and AZ; and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of frozen bakery goods from points in the Lower Peninsula of MI to the facilities of Mrs. Smith's Pie Company at or near Pottstown and Lake Winola, PA; McMinnville, Portland and Salem, OR; and (3) *frozen bakery goods,* from the facilities of Mrs. Smith's Pie Company at or near Pottstown, Lake Winola, Fogelsville and Philadelphia, PA to MI, IN, IL, OH, SC, NC, GA, FL, TX, CA and OR; and (4) *frozen bakery goods,* from the facilities of Mrs. Smith's Pie Company at or near McMinnville and Portland, OR to CA, AZ and NV, for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Mrs. Smith's Pie Co., P.O. Box 298, Pottstown, PA 19464. Send protests to: C. R. Flemming, 225 Federal Building, 325 W. Allegan Street, ICC, Lansing, MI 48933. The purpose of this republication is to show ID (Idaho) in lieu of IO in the description of authority sought, also to show the upper case OR signifying the State of Oregon in lieu of the lower case *or* as previously published.

MC 147839 (Sub-3TA), filed July 31, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of September 26, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: BIB ENTERPRISES, INC., 401 West 9th South, Salt Lake City, UT 84101. Representative: Miss Irene Warr, 430 Judge Building, Salt Lake City, UT 84111. Contract carrier: irregular routes: (1) *Fertilizer and pesticides and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution thereof (a) from CA, OR and WA to Salt Lake County, UT to WI, OK, KS, MO, IA, IL, ND, SD, NE and MN, under continuing contract(s) with Morgro Chemical, Inc., for 180 days. An underlying ETA seeks 90 days authority. Supporting shipper(s): Morgro Chemical, Inc., 145 West Central Avenue, Salt Lake City, UT 84107. Send protests to: L. D. Helfer, DS, ICC, 5301 Federal Building, Salt Lake City, UT 84138. The purpose of this republication is to show KS in lieu of IS as previously published.

MC 147869 (Sub-1TA), filed July 19, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** issue of September 26, 1979, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: PIERCE TRAFFIC CORPORATION, P.O. Box 528, Eugene, OR 97440. Representative: David C. White, 2400 S.W. Fourth Avenue, Portland, OR 97201. Contract, irregular: *Irrigation systems and materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the installation and manufacture thereof between the facilities of Pierce Corporation at Eugene, OR and Fort Lupton, CO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI), under a continuing contract(s) with Pierce Corporation, for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): Pierce Corporation, John Stevens, President, P.O. Box 528, Eugene, OR 97440. Send protests to: A. E. Odoms, DS, ICC, Pioneer Courthouse, (114), 555 S.W. Yamhill Street, Portland, OR 97204. The purpose of this republication is to show the applicant's correct address as P.O. Box 528, Eugene, OR 97440.

Agatha L. Mergenovich

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2629 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Law Enforcement Assistance Administration

READ, Inc.; Appeal on Denial of LEAA Grant; Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the Administrative Appeal of READ, Inc., on the denial of an LEAA grant will be heard on January 30, 1980, commencing at 10:00 a.m. The location of the hearing will be the Geltman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, D.C., Hearing Room Number 1. Administrative Law Judge Morton Needelman of the Federal Trade Commission will preside and the hearing will be open to the public.

For further information, contact John J. Wilson, Office of General Counsel, Office of Justice Assistance, Research, and Statistics at (202) 724-6238.

Charles A. Lauer,

Deputy General Counsel, Office of Justice Assistance, Research, and Statistics.

[FR Doc. 80-2698 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD, 1979

Meeting

AGENCY: National Commission on the International Year of the Child, 1979.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the forthcoming meeting of the National Commission on the International Year of the Child, 1979. The meeting is being held to discuss the draft of Commissioner's Challenge Report to the President. This document is intended to notify the general public of its opportunity to attend:

DATES: February 7 and 8, 1980.

ADDRESS: Shoreham Americana Hotel, 2500 Calvert Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James B. Roberts, Executive Officer, 600 "E" Street, N.W., Suite 505, Washington, D.C. 20471, (202) 376-2435.

Since conference facilities are in great demand, we must know the number of general public who plan to attend in order to allocate adequate space for the meeting. Notice of persons from the general public who plan to attend must be in writing and received by the Executive Officer of the National Commission (at the above address). 5 P.M. (E.S.T.) February 1, 1980. Such notice of intent should include the

address and telephone number of the person.

James B. Roberts,

Executive Officer.

[FR Doc. 80-2571 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-49-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards will hold a meeting on February 7–9, 1980, in Room 1046, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. Notice of this meeting was published on January 22, 1980.

The agenda for the subject meeting will be as follows:

Thursday, February 7, 1980

8:30 A.M.–12:00 Noon: Executive Session (Open)—The Committee will hear and discuss the report of the ACRS Chairman regarding miscellaneous matters relating to ACRS activities.

The Committee will discuss its annual report to Congress on the NRC Safety Research Program.

Portions of this session will be closed as necessary to protect information the premature disclosure of which would frustrate the Committee in the performance of its statutory function.

1:00 P.M.–2:00 P.M.: Meeting with NRC Staff (Open)—The Committee will hear and discuss proposed NRC Staff plans for study of additional engineered safety features for the Zion Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2 and the Indian Point Nuclear Station Units 2 and 3.

2:00 P.M.–6:00 P.M.: Meeting with NRC Staff (Open)—The Committee will hear and discuss presentations from members of the NRC Staff and consultants who may be present regarding proposed plans for implementation of NRC Bulletins and Orders resulting from the accident at the Three Mile Island Nuclear Plant, Unit 2.

Friday, February 8, 1980

8:30 A.M.–12:30 P.M.: Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (Open)—The Committee will hear reports from and will discuss proposed plans for restart and operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 with representatives of the licensee and the NRC Staff.

Portions of this session will be closed as required to discuss Proprietary Information applicable to this matter.

1:30 P.M.–4:30 P.M.: Meeting with NRC Staff (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations and discuss proposed criteria for modification of containment systems making use of the Mark I pressure suppression containment concept.

Portions of this session will be closed as required to discuss Proprietary Information applicable to this matter.

4:30 P.M.–6:30 P.M.: Executive Session (Open)—The Committee will discuss its proposed report to the NRC regarding proposed changes in criteria for siting of nuclear power plants (NUREG–0625). The Committee will also hear and discuss reports of its Subcommittees on the Surry Nuclear Station steam generator replacement and the Wolf Creek Nuclear Plant seismic design.

Saturday February 9, 1980

8:30 A.M.–4:15 P.M.: Executive Session (Open)—The Committee will continue its discussion of proposed ACRS reports regarding matters discussed during this meeting including the NRC safety research program; NRC Bulletins and Orders; criteria for Mark I containment; startup and operation of TMI, Unit 1; proposed revision of NRC siting criteria.

The Committee will hear the report of its Subcommittee on Reliability and Probabilistic Assessment and will discuss a proposed report to the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs regarding equipment failure rates in nuclear facilities and probabilistic assessment of selected incidents at power plants.

The Committee will hear reports from its Subcommittees on Anticipated Transients Without Scram, proposed criteria for fire protection of nuclear facilities, and changes in fuel storage racks at the LaCrosse Boiling Water Reactor. The future schedule for Committee activities will also be discussed, and the Committee will complete discussion of items considered during this meeting.

Portions of this session will be closed as necessary to discuss Proprietary Information related to matters being considered and to protect information the premature disclosure of which would frustrate the Committee in the performance of its statutory function.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 1, 1979 (44 FR 56408). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written statements may be presented by members of the public, recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting when a transcript is being kept, and questions may be asked only by memebers of the Committee, its consultants, and Staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the ACRS EXecutive Director as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow the necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture and television cameras during this meeting may be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by a telephone call to the ACRS Executive Director (R. F. Fraley) prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with the ACRS Executive Director if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

I have determined in accordance with Subsection 10(d) Pub. L. 92–463 that it is necessary to close portions of this meeting as noted above to protect Proprietary Information (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)[4]) and to protect information the premature release of which would frustrate the Committee in the performance of its statutory function (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B)).

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call to the ACRS Executive Director, Mr. Raymond R. Fraley (telephone 202/634–3265), between 8:15 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. EST.

Date: January 22, 1980.

John C. Hoyle,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 80-2603 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Dockets Nos. 50-277, 50-278, 50-320, 50-354, and 50-355; STN 50-485]

Philadelphia Electric Co., et al.; Order for Further Evidentiary Hearing

January 21, 1980.

In the matters of Philadelphia Electric Company, *et al.* (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3); Metropolitan Edison Company, *et al.* (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2); Public Service Electric and Gas Co. (Hope Creek Generating Station, Units 1 and 2); Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, *et al.* (Sterling Power Project, Nuclear Unit 1).

The further evidentiary hearing on the aircraft crash probability issue in the

Three Mile Island proceeding, scheduled to commence at *9:00 a.m. on Monday, February 25, 1980,*[1] will be held in *Hearing Rooms A and B, Lobby Level, Harristown 2 Building, 333 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.* The evidentiary hearing on the radon release issue in all four proceedings [2] will commence on the following day, February 26, in the same location.

Each party is to supply the Secretary to this Board, by letter mailed no later than February 15, 1980, with (1) the name(s) of the counsel or other representative who will appear on its behalf at the hearing; and (2) a list of the witnesses who will testify on its behalf.

It is so ordered.

For the Appeal Board.

C. Jean Bishop,

Secretary to the Appeal Board.

[FR Doc. 80-2602 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[1] See ALAB-570, 10 NRC —— (November 2, 1979).

[2] See ALAB-570, *supra;* ALAB-566, 10 NRC —— (October 11, 1979).

Applications for Licenses To Export/Import Nuclear Facilities or Materials

Pursuant to 10 CFR 110.41 "Public Notice of Receipt of an Application", please take notice that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has received the following applications for export/import licenses for the period December 5 through December 31, 1979. A copy of each application is on file in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room located at 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated this day January 21, 1980. At Bethesda, Maryland.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James R. Shea,

Director, Office of International Programs.

Name of applicant, date of application, date received, application number	Material type	Material in kilograms		End-use	Country of destination
		Total element	Total isotope		
Separative Work Unit Corp., 12/03/79, 12/05/79, ISNM79022	2.68% enriched uranium	33,276	.....	For use in U.S. power reactors	West Germany.
	3.22% enriched uranium	9,627	.....	.....	
Transnuclear, Inc., 12/17/79, 12/18/79, XSNM01632	93.3% enriched uranium	16.04	14.965	Fuel elements for H.F.R	Netherlands.
Transnuclear, Inc., 12/05/79, 12/06/79, XSNM01633	3.30% enriched uranium	1.0	0.033	Fuel for Ringhals 2	Sweeden.
	3.25% enriched uranium	19,500.0	633.75	.....	
Mitsui & Co. 12/06/79, 12/10/79, XSNM01635	3.95% enriched uranium	4,607	127	Reload for Hamaoka I	Japan.
Mitsui & Co. 12/06/79, 12/10/79, XSNM01636	3.95% enriched uranium	12,408	346	Reload for Hamaoka I	Japan.
TNS, Inc., 12/21/79, 12/28/79, XU08483	Depleted uranium	13,636	.....	For shielding or for counter-weights.	Canada.
U.S. DOE, 12/20/79, 12/26/79, XSNM01639	Plutonium	1.73	.....	Joint U.S.-Swiss Program for carbide fuel development.	Switzerland.
	Depleted uranium	8.5	.....	.....	
Nissho-Iwai America, 12/27/79, 12/31/79, XSNM01640	1.92% enriched uranium	7,587.75	145.685	Reload for "FUGEN" prototype reactor.	Japan.

[FR Doc. 80-2601 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Agency Forms Under Review

Background

January 23, 1980.

When executive departments and agencies propose public use forms, reporting, or recordkeeping requirements, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reviews and acts on those requirements under the Federal Reports Act (44 USC, Chapter 35). Departments and agencies use a number of techniques including public hearings to consult with the public on significant reporting requirements before seeking OMB approval. OMB in carrying out its responsibility under the Act also considers comments on the forms and recordkeeping requirements that will affect the public.

List of Forms Under Review

Every Monday and Thursday OMB publishes a list of the agency forms received for review since the last list was published. The list has all the entries for one agency together and grouped into new forms, revisions, extensions, or reinstatements. Some forms listed as revisions may only have a change in the number of respondents or a reestimate of the time needed to fill them out rather than any change to the content of the form. The agency clearance officer can tell you the nature of any particular revision you are interested in. Each entry contains the following information:

The name and telephone number of the agency clearance officer (from whom a copy of the form and supporting documents is available):

The office of the agency issuing this form;

The title of the form;

The agency form number, if applicable;

How often the form must be filled out;

Who will be required or asked to report;

An estimate of the number of forms that will be filled out;

An estimate of the total number of hours needed to fill out the form; and

The name and telephone number of the person or office responsible for OMB review.

Reporting or recordkeeping requirements that appear to raise no significant issues are approved promptly. Our usual practice is not to take any action on proposed reporting requirements until at least ten working days after notice in the **Federal Register** but occasionally the public interest requires more rapid action.

Comments and Questions

Copies of the proposed forms and supporting documents may be obtained from the agency clearance officer whose name and telephone number appear under the agency name. The agency clearance officer will send you a copy of the proposed form, the request for clearance (SF83), supporting statement, instructions, transmittal letters, and other documents that are submitted to OMB for review. If you experience difficulty in obtaining the information you need in reasonable time, please advise the OMB reviewer to whom the report is assigned. Comments and questions about the items on this list

should be directed to the OMB reviewer or office listed at the end of each entry.

If you anticipate commenting on a form but find that time to prepare will prevent you from submitting comments promptly, you should advise the reviewer of your intent as early as possible.

The timing and format of this notice have been changed to make the publication of the notice predictable and to give a clearer explanation of this process to the public. If you have comments and suggestions for further improvements to this notice, please send them to Stanley E. Morris, Deputy Associate Director for Regulatory Policy and Reports Management, Office of Management and Budget, 726 Jackson Place, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20503.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agency Clearance Officer—Richard J. Schrimper—447–6201

New Forms

Science and Education Administration
Fruit Germplasm Resources Inventory
SEA–185
On occasion
Institutions and Private Var. Collections, 80 responses; 500 hours
Charles A. Ellett, 395–5080

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Agency Clearance Officer—Edward Michals—377–3627

New Forms

Bureau of the Census
April 1980 CPS—Post Enumeration Survey
CPS–677
Single time
Hsehlds Respond. in Mon. Samp. of 68,000 intervw hsehlds, 68,000 responses; 1,133 hours
Off. of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards, 673–7974

Revisions

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Commercial Fishery Logbook Forms: Stone Crab, MD
Finfish—MD crab
NOAA 88–59, 140, and 153
Monthly
Captains of Fishing Craft, 256,670 responses; 16,577 hours
Richard Sheppard, 395–3211

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Agency Clearance Officer—John Gross—633–9770

Revisions

Summary for National Electric Rate Book
EIA–419 (formerly FPC–1)
Annually
Electric Utilities, 1,380 responses; 2,415 hours
Jefferson B. Hill, 395–5867

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Agency Clearance Officer—William Riley—245–6511

Revisions

National Institutes of Health
Effects of Contraceptive Steroids on Blood Pressure
Single time
Description not furnished by agency, 700 responses; 350 hours
Richard Eisinger, 395–3214

Reinstatements

Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration
Annual Inventory of Community Mental Health Centers of Public Mental Hospitals
MH–25–3
Annually
Federally funded CMHC's, 657 responses; 2,157 hours
Richard Eisinger, 395–3214

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Agency Clearance Officer—Robert G. Masarsky—755–5184

New Forms

Policy Development and Research
Questionnaires for the Evaluation of the Performance Funding System
Single time
Key management staff in 150 public housing authorities, 1,050 responses; 850 hours
Arnold Strasser, 395–5080

Revisions

Equal Opportunity
Sales-Insured Home Mortgage Monthly Reports—Rental—HUD Rental Housing
HUD–935.1 and 935.4
On occasion
Developers and sponsors using HUD housing programs, 35,000 responses; 8,750 hours
Arnold Strasser, 395–5080

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Agency Clearance Officer—William L. Carpenter—343–6716

Reinstatements

Bureau of Land Management
Color-of-Title Application
2540–1
On occasion
Applicant Applying for Land Under Color-of-Title, 50 responses; 25 hours
Charles A. Ellett, 395–5080
Bureau of Land Management
Conveyances Affecting Color or Claim of Title
2540–2
On occasion
Applicants Applying for Land Under Color-of-Title, 50 responses; 25 hours
Charles A. Ellett, 395–5080
Bureau of Land Management
Color-of-Title Tax Levy and Payment Report
2540–3
On occasion
County officials, 50 responses; 25 hours
Charles A. Ellett, 395–5080

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Agency Clearance Officer—Philip M. Oliver—523–6341

Revisions

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Safety and health accident inspection report
OSHA–1
Other (see SF–83)
Employers, 100,000 responses; 10,000 hours
Arnold Strasser, 395–5080

Extensions

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Preliminary fatality/catastrophe event report
OSHA–36
On occasion
Business employers, 5,000 responses; 500 hours
Arnold Strasser, 395–5080

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Agency Clearance Officer—Bruce H. Allen—426–1887

New Forms

Departmental and Other National Trucker Survey Questionnaire
Single time
Interstate Truck drivers, 3,000 Responses; 1,000 hours
Susan B. Geiger, 395–5867

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Agency Clearance Officer—Linwood A. Rhodes—632–0084

Revisons

Application for Assistance, American Schools and Hospitals Abroad
1010–2
On occasion
Board of Directors of private institutions; 67 responses; 240 hours
Laverne V. Collins, 395–3214

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Agency Clearance Officer—John J. Stanton—245–3064

Revisions

Comment Form Calendar of Federal Regulations [1]
Single time
All users of calendar; 3,000 responses; 750 hours
Edward H. Clarke, 395–5867

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Agency Clearance Officer—John P. Weld—632–7737

Extensions

Personal Qualifications Statement and Continuation Sheet for Personal Qualifications Statement
SF–171 and 171A
On occasion
Applicants for Federal Employment; 1,200,000 responses; 1,100,000 hours
Laverne V. Collins, 395–3214

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Agency Clearance Officer—Eugene E. Mynatt—854–2596

New Forms

Residential Energy Conservation Attitude Survey [1]
Single time
170 County TVA market Area, 1,000 responses; 333 hours
Charles A. Ellett, 395–5080

Residential Solar Energy Attitude Survey [1]
Single time
Households in 170 County TVA Market area, 1,000 responses; 333 hours
Charles A. Ellett, 395–5080

Stanley E. Morris,

Deputy Associate Director for Regulatory Policy and Reports Management.

[FR Doc. 80–2715 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110–01–M

[1] The Office of Management and Budget has acted on this form prior to the usual 10-day time alloted for clearance, because it is important for the Regulatory Council to obtain comments from users of the Calendar of Federal Regulations, in order to incorporate those comments in the next edition of the Calendar. The reply card is a simpler version of a comment form which OMB previously approved, in December.

[1] These forms will be acted on before 10 days have elapsed. A notice of request for approval was originally published in the Federal Register, Vol. 44, No. 213, page 62976 on November 1, 1979.

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

Generalized System of Preferences; Information on Imports During First 10 Months of 1979

This notice is for information only, and has no legal effect. It is provided in order to inform the public of certain import statistics covering the period of January through October 1979. These statistics are relevant to the "competitive-need" limits set forth in section 504(c) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2464(c)), pertaining to the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). Those limits provide, in effect, that any GSP beneficiary country that exported to the United States during the most recent calendar year a quantity of any one GSP eligible article in excess of (1) $25 million, adjusted annually to reflect changes in the U.S. Gross National Product, or (2) 50 percent of total U.S. imports of the article, is to cease receiving duty-free treatment under GSP for such article not later than 90 days after the close of that calendar year.

Based on preliminary data, subject to revision, the dollar limit cited in the preceding sentence is expected to be approximately $41.0 million for calendar year 1979.

Section 1111 of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 amends section 504(c)(1)(B) of the Trade Act of 1974 so that the President may disregard the 50 percent "competitive-need" limit with respect to any eligible article if the value of total imports of the article during the most recent calendar year did not exceed $1 million, adjusted annually to reflect changes in the U.S. Gross National Product.

An Executive order will be issued to be effective March 31, 1980, making the adjustments that are required by section 504(c) of the Trade Act, on the basis of official data covering all of calendar year 1979. Such data are not currently available. It should be emphasized that the information set forth below covers only the first ten months of 1979. While this is not complete information on which adjustments will be based, it is being published now in order to provide the maximum possible advance indication as to adjustments that may be made to meet requirements of section 504(c) of the Trade Act.

List I below shows how the "competitive-need" list of countries ineligible to receive GSP benefits for particular articles might look if that list were based on data covering the period January through October 1979. In fact, the "competitive-need" list that will become effective on March 31 will be based on data for the full twelve months of 1979, so that List I below is indicative only and is subject to change.

List II below shows countries which, on the basis of data for the first ten months of 1979, were close to exceeding the "competitive-need" limits for particular articles, but which had not actually exceeded those limits.

List III below shows countries which supplied 47 percent or more of GSP eligible articles, based on data for January–October 1979, and where the total value of U.S. imports did not exceed $1 million.

The column headed "TSUS" in the Lists below sets forth item numbers of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (19 U.S.C. 1202), representing categories of imported articles.

William B. Kelly, Jr.,

Chairman, Trade Policy Staff Committee.

BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

LIST I

GSP eligible articles for which a beneficiary country supplied--

a) 50 percent or more of U.S. imports in January-October 1979 and the total value of U.S. imports during this period exceeded $1 million, or

b) imports valued at $41 million or more during that period.

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Value
106.60	106.60	India	68	$5,717,570
107.48	107.48	Argentina	50	37,768,859
107.80	107.80	Argentina	54	1,281,108
112.40	112.40	Portugal	50	2,972,035
114.05	114.05	Thailand	65	4,191,419
121.25	121.25	Argentina	51	5,269,665
121.55	121.55	India	65	7,454,137
135.30	135.30	Mexico	68	834,839
135.90	135.90	Mexico	96	18,984,101
136.00	136.00	Dominican Republic	85	2,478,286
136.30	136.30	Mexico	78	9,686,314
136.80	136.80	Mexico	75	1,443,990
137.40	137.40	Mexico	70	713,251
137.71	137.71	Mexico	94	1,399,476
138.05	138.05	Mexico	54	4,043,868
140.21	140.21	Mexico	84	5,604,450
141.70	141.70	Taiwan	92	6,032,714
141.77	141.77	Mexico	65	10,167,126
146.12	146.12	Argentina	66	1,149,390
146.22	146.22	Turkey	55	2,556,271
146.44	146.44	Philippine Republic	79	2,145,657
147.88	147.88	Mexico	75	896,585
148.12	148.12	Mexico	91	4,267,981
148.25	(148.19) (148.27)	Mexico	70	3,589,104
148.72	148.72	Chile	81	1,532,050
149.50	149.50	Mexico	82	1,099,412
155.20	155.20	(Brazil	22	174,296,608
		(Dominican Republic	18	139,726,989
		(Philippine Republic	6	50,017,699
155.35	155.35	Dominican Republic	65	1,643,892
156.40	156.40	Brazil	23	45,478,286
176.15	176.15	Brazil	78	1,514,762
192.45	192.45	Israel	60	1,902,727
192.85	192.85	Mexico	50	2,606,997
200.91	200.91	Honduras	53	1,708,164
202.62	202.62	Mexico	99	31,658,790
204.30	204.30	Mexico	50	1,258,320

LIST I--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Value
206.47	206.47	Taiwan	72	$1,960,394
206.60	206.60	Mexico	69	19,591,122
206.98	206.98	Taiwan	61	29,953,103
220.20	220.20	Portugal	86	937,953
220.25	220.25	Portugal	81	1,748,049
220.48	220.48	Portugal	50	2,060,212
222.10	222.10	Hong Kong	65	6,586,702
240.02	240.02	Philippine Republic	89	15,617,866
240.19	240.19	Taiwan	65	918,607
245.20	245.20	Brazil	51	15,194,853
256.60	256.60	Republic of Korea	61	12,649,093
256.85pt.	256.87	Mexico	93	36,790,864
319.01	319.01	India	99	1,616,864
319.05	319.05	India	99	1,209,928
360.35	360.35	India	86	1,353,596
389.61	389.61	Hong Kong	51	24,635,916
419.60	419.60	Chile	70	2,208,358
422.76	422.76	Mexico	87	2,050,974
425.84	425.84	Netherlands Antilles	89	1,648,138
425.86	425.86	Brazil	62	859,680
428.34	428.34	Brazil	65	2,019,315
437.64	437.64	Brazil	50	5,611,942
473.52	473.52	Mexico	99	13,906,412
473.56	473.56	Mexico	90	1,158,898
511.31	511.31	Mexico	89	1,186,431
517.24	517.24	Malagasy Republic	58	1,083,452
518.41	518.41	Mexico	53	1,660,773
520.35	520.35	Thailand	69	29,392,519
532.31	532.31	Mexico	54	728,378
535.31	535.31	Mexico	55	5,042,209
545.53	545.53	Mexico	59	8,190,062
545.65	545.65	Mexico	77	836,986
545.85	545.85	Taiwan	78	813,139
602.30	602.30	Philippine Republic	91	25,491,269
603.40	603.40	Chile	82	5,804,377
603.50	603.50	Chile	87	4,873,306
612.03	612.03	Chile	50	18,417,484
612.06	612.06	Chile	35	105,691,624
622.40	622.40	Brazil	99	7,252,883
651.13	651.13	Hong Kong	69	822,357
651.21	651.21	Taiwan	56	3,044,798
652.84	652.84	Mexico	55	23,216,870
653.47	653.47	Taiwan	79	1,434,091
653.48	653.48	Taiwan	57	13,098,994
653.85	653.85	Taiwan	80	2,526,103

LIST I--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Value
653.93	653.93	Taiwan	57	$4,002,818
657.24	657.24	Taiwan	50	1,291,549
660.42	660.42	Brazil	18	52,137,198
660.44pt.	660.48	Mexico	18	44,119,733
662.35	662.35	Mexico	52	1,817,073
676.52	676.52	(Hong Kong	12	77,551,263
		(Mexico	7	47,931,622
678.50	678.50	(Republic of Korea	5	49,667,511
		(Taiwan	6	57,969,347
682.60	682.60	Mexico	19	47,031,585
683.80	683.80	Hong Kong	79	4,556,508
684.15	684.15	Singapore	91	5,530,016
684.20	684.20	Hong Kong	55	17,007,686
684.50	684.50	Hong Kong	42	69,200,311
684.70	684.70	Taiwan	19	76,425,605
685.24	685.24	(Hong Kong	19	86,172,829
		(Republic of Korea	10	46,606,384
		(Taiwan	9	43,234,513
685.90	685.90	Mexico	15	98,393,567
686.24	686.24	El Salvador	64	3,676,931
686.30	686.30	Taiwan	50	9,115,232
686.50	686.50	Mexico	82	1,128,199
687.30	687.30	Malaysia	52	8,952,176
687.42	687.42	Taiwan	51	2,707,026
688.10	688.10	Taiwan	67	32,493,093
688.12	688.12	Mexico	70	40,278,065
688.35	688.35	Republic of Korea	50	2,175,041
692.27	(692.29)	(Brazil	3	41,600,615
		(Mexico	10	143,338,262
	(692.32)			
694.40	694.66	Israel	12	42,465,718
696.10	696.10	Taiwan	55	52,685,095
696.35	696.35	Taiwan	55	3,752,609
703.65	703.65	Mexico	93	1,386,167
703.75	703.75	Mexico	87	1,735,005
706.40	706.40	Hong Kong	83	1,377,683
709.40	709.40	Hong Kong	50	2,423,797
713.15	713.15	Mexico	60	3,805,347
722.44	722.44	Hong Kong	53	2,219,838
725.06pt.	(725.05)	Republic of Korea	51	12,109,051
	(725.07)			
725.06pt.	725.08	Republic of Korea	58	2,220,787
725.32	725.32	Taiwan	58	4,391,624
725.46	725.46	Republic of Korea	56	6,019,050
726.90	726.90	Mexico	50	9,008,741
727.15	727.15	Taiwan	59	14,910,710

LIST I--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Value
727.31	727.23	Republic of Korea	56	$2,733,185
727.35	727.35	Taiwan	18	42,468,954
727.47	727.47	Taiwan	52	1,941,312
730.29	730.29	Brazil	54	745,520
734.10	734.10	Taiwan	88	5,851,439
734.15	734.15	Taiwan	61	29,844,972
734.20	734.20	Hong Kong	48	98,050,285
734.25	734.25	Hong Kong	63	1,673,302
734.34	734.34	Hong Kong	50	686,524
734.51	734.51	Taiwan	79	2,895,874
734.56	734.56	Haiti	80	23,233,090
734.87	734.87	Taiwan	56	3,819,392
734.90	734.90	Taiwan	68	7,588,187
735.05	735.07	Republic of Korea	59	5,477,068
737.25	737.25	Taiwan	77	4,809,351
737.30	737.30	Republic of Korea	63	21,770,305
737.45	737.45	Hong Kong	57	4,398,586
737.50	737.50	Hong Kong	88	1,185,787
737.60	737.60	Hong Kong	61	3,893,840
737.80	737.80	Hong Kong	53	7,004,713
737.95	737.95	(Hong Kong	42	111,535,173
		(Taiwan	19	51,010,330
740.10	740.10	Hong Kong	7	42,522,948
740.30	740.30	Hong Kong	74	1,522,075
740.34	740.34	Hong Kong	91	2,776,573
740.70	740.70	Israel	69	7,911,165
741.25	741.25	Hong Kong	58	1,199,705
751.05	751.05	Taiwan	66	28,742,650
755.25	755.25	Hong Kong	54	8,592,588
756.04	756.04	Hong Kong	73	8,631,446
771.45	771.45	Taiwan	58	1,634,897
772.03	772.03	Hong Kong	61	1,194,356
772.35	772.35	Taiwan	79	24,324,357
772.97	772.97	Hong Kong	53	15,189,613
774.60pt.	774.45	Hong Kong	77	19,701,431
790.25	790.25	Philippine Republic	50	1,782,877
790.39	790.39	Taiwan	78	24,963,583
790.70	790.70	Republic of Korea	89	31,333,523
792.50	792.50	Philippine Republic	64	3,714,584
792.60	792.60	Hong Kong	96	4,803,532
		TOTAL		2,997,771,908

LIST II

GSP eligible articles for which a beneficiary country supplied--

a) 47 percent or more, but less than 50 percent, of U.S. imports in January-October 1979 and the total value of U.S. imports during this period exceeded $1 million, or

b) imports valued at $39 million or more, but less than $41 million, during that period.

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Value
154.55	(154.43) (154.53)	Taiwan	47	$628,433
206.50	206.50	Honduras	48	4,202,942
220.50	220.50	Portugal	47	707,016
222.44	222.44	Philippine Republic	49	5,325,960
308.35	308.35	Hong Kong	49	964,536
308.50	308.50	Republic of Korea	47	496,418
540.21	540.21	Mexico	47	2,832,012
612.03	612.03	Peru	47	17,460,411
648.97	648.97	Taiwan	39	40,688,746
650.89	650.89	Hong Kong	49	559,973
651.37	651.37	Taiwan	47	3,326,951
656.10	656.10	Israel	47	737,286
676.20	676.20	Hong Kong	15	40,872,462
683.15	683.15	Mexico	49	20,933,909
685.40	685.40	Taiwan	5	39,698,461
726.70	726.70	Mexico	47	2,410,133
727.48	727.50	Taiwan	47	15,438,804
734.54	734.54	(Republic of Korea	49	15,520,154
		(Taiwan	48	15,089,665
734.72	734.72	Mexico	48	554,161
737.15	737.15	Hong Kong	47	17,245,092
740.10	740.10	Israel	6	40,072,608
745.58	745.58	Hong Kong	47	883,727
748.40	748.40	Taiwan	47	27,692,826
		Total		314,342,686

LIST III

GSP eligible articles for which a beneficiary country supplied 47 percent or more of U.S. imports in January-October 1979 and the total value of U.S. imports during this period did not exceed $1 million--

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Country Value	Total Value of Item
107.70	107.70	Haiti	100	$673	$673
110.45	110.45	Peru	47	132,765	277,474
111.92	111.92	Philippine Republic	55	70,916	128,116
130.40	130.40	Mexico	85	14,809	17,261
131.80	131.80	Mexico	100	285	285
135.51	135.51	Mexico	74	144,838	194,587
135.80	135.80	Nicaragua	95	93,467	98,158
136.92	136.92	Israel	62	133,279	211,643
136.98	(136.94) (136.95)	Guatemala	58	74,459	127,044
137.75	137.75	Costa Rica	71	546,736	769,007
145.24	145.24	Mexico	100	281	281
145.53	145.53	Turkey	91	510,448	557,348
145.60	(145.65) (145.70)	Taiwan	80	672,734	834,697
147.33	147.33	Jamaica	73	14,823	20,287
147.85	147.85	Mexico	69	318,809	461,821
148.35	148.35	Israel	56	21,243	37,726
148.77	148.77	Argentina	78	11,568	14,669
152.00	152.00	Philippine Republic	54	483	888
152.43	152.43	Dominican Republic	92	115,139	124,927
153.08	153.08	Dominican Republic	77	45,042	57,913
154.40	154.40	Taiwan	65	189,482	288,223
154.60	154.60	Taiwan	58	57,644	98,570
161.69	161.69	Mexico	96	159,789	165,980
162.03	162.03	Thailand	52	1,200	2,299
162.11	162.11	Syria	50	4,785	9,554
168.15	(168.12) (168.13)	Trinidad	80	430,785	531,918
168.23	168.37	Peru	65	36,640	55,892
182.90	182.90	Panama	74	417,104	557,377
184.65	184.65	Taiwan	65	265,586	405,584
190.68	190.68	Mexico	55	224,099	401,827
202.38	202.38	Brazil	69	196,800	281,337
203.20	203.20	Taiwan	94	79,744	84,383
206.45	206.45	Haiti	88	18,909	21,369
206.95	206.95	Taiwan	47	37,455	79,570
220.10	220.10	Portugal	83	423,250	508,504
220.15	220.15	Portugal	99	105,789	106,413
220.35	220.35	Portugal	100	40,270	40,270
220.37	220.37	Portugal	100	10,829	10,829
220.41	220.41	Portugal	91	80,037	87,236

LIST III--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Country Value	Total Value of Item
222.34	222.34	Philippine Republic	63	$14,298	$22,524
240.06	240.06	Philippine Republic	54	133,891	246,689
240.10	240.10	Brazil	59	584,189	977,034
240.12	240.12	Philippine Republic	56	250,157	438,891
240.40	240.40	Philippine Republic	87	70,888	80,744
240.50	240.50	Taiwan	100	285	285
245.00	245.00	Romania	73	47,253	64,717
252.15	252.15	Argentina	47	35,749	74,911
252.30	252.30	Mexico	50	14,490	28,627
254.56	254.56	Portugal	92	153,916	166,186
274.27	274.27	Hong Kong	100	269	269
304.44	304.44	Brazil	100	140,212	140,212
304.48	304.48	Haiti	53	3,709	6,882
304.58	304.58	Bangladesh	49	14,333	29,084
305.22	305.22	India	69	313,570	449,814
305.28	305.28	Thailand	93	479,165	514,661
305.50	305.50	Brazil	79	32,705	40,993
306.52	306.52	Bolivia	66	28,556	42,974
306.71	306.71	Mexico	100	2,916	2,916
306.72	306.72	Mexico	75	3,509	4,668
308.30	308.30	Brazil	50	89,314	177,446
308.55	308.55	Singapore	100	54,111	54,111
319.03	319.03	India	96	554,553	574,388
319.07	319.07	India	87	159,703	182,639
335.50	335.50	India	88	424,157	481,524
347.20	347.20	Hong Kong	100	27,001	27,001
347.30	347.30	India	74	325,343	439,580
360.36	360.36	India	93	23,306	25,052
361.21	361.21	India	100	320	320
364.09	364.09	Mexico	48	370	758
364.14	364.14	India	74	2,634	3,548
364.18	364.18	Portugal	51	121,705	235,151
387.32	387.32	Hong Kong	50	260,867	518,862
403.79	403.79	Republic of Korea	100	97,755	97,755
405.45	405.45	Romania	56	155,468	274,129
407.12	407.12	Romania	47	242,142	511,567
408.75	408.75	Romania	96	69,930	72,730
418.24	418.24	India	89	283,202	314,789
418.78	418.78	Mexico	57	442,408	768,664
419.54	419.54	Mexico	55	196,883	355,810
420.02	420.02	Israel	96	507,866	527,246
420.82	420.82	Israel	99	616,781	621,555
420.98	420.98	Brazil	90	368,642	408,991
425.12	425.12	Mexico	61	338,271	548,693
425.18	425.18	Mexico	87	105,544	120,604

LIST III--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Country Value	Total Value of Item
429.20pt.	429.29	Colombia	100	$576,219	$576,219
437.13	437.13	India	51	41,833	80,852
437.16	437.16	India	100	162,881	162,881
446.10	446.10	Malaysia	71	356,776	496,930
450.10	450.10	Mexico	62	6,357	10,099
460.60	460.60	Hong Kong	60	149,207	248,664
461.15	461.15	Bermuda	91	85,238	93,647
465.65	465.65	Western Somoa	55	2,049	3,693
465.70	465.70	Argentina	98	58,338	59,086
466.10	466.10	Republic of Korea	93	6,450	6,899
466.20	466.20	India	100	452	452
472.48	472.48	Cyprus	86	26,993	31,305
473.62	473.62	Mexico	92	22,113	24,026
473.82	473.82	Republic of Korea	98	322,422	325,830
513.21	513.21	Mexico	60	71,464	117,574
514.54	514.54	Mexico	77	82,261	105,666
515.51	515.51	Mexico	68	18,555	27,282
515.54	515.54	Mexico	57	33,542	58,352
516.24	516.24	India	100	8,713	8,713
516.71	516.71	India	90	771,739	848,644
516.73	516.73	India	89	21,146	23,519
516.74	516.74	India	93	72,421	77,584
516.76	516.76	India	89	225,719	252,984
520.37	520.37	Israel	70	26,601	37,666
533.14	533.15 pt.	Taiwan	98	24,430	24,698
534.74	534.74	Taiwan	90	11,185	12,422
545.37	545.37	Taiwan	79	36,557	46,236
546.21	546.21	Mexico	93	161,568	172,777
546.23	546.23	Taiwan	56	40,580	71,265
547.41	547.41	Hong Kong	57	59,543	103,604
603.45	603.45	Thailand	63	85,467	134,868
610.70	610.70	Taiwan	48	294,437	605,374
610.71	610.71	Israel	80	75,465	94,303
612.02	612.02	Chile	100	104,075	104,075
612.15	612.15	Mexico	58	258,451	440,819
612.40	612.40	Mexico	88	53,745	60,502
613.03	613.03	Brazil	77	58,718	76,100
613.11	613.11	Republic of Korea	98	22,022	22,320
624.42	624.42	Mexico	58	165,785	282,589
628.50	628.50	Peru	74	103,575	138,638
646.04	646.04	Taiwan	72	2,681	3,711
646.06	646.06	Taiwan	74	73,101	97,930
646.82	646.82	Taiwan	48	42,133	87,244
646.85	646.85	Taiwan	53	305,429	566,322
646.86	646.86	Hong Kong	57	160,390	277,581

LIST III--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Country Value	Total Value of Item
646.98	646.98	Mexico	96	$569,275	$588,693
648.63	648.63	Republic of Korea	67	44,508	66,073
649.71	649.71	Taiwan	60	3,981	6,541
649.73	649.73	Republic of Korea	54	4,100	7,513
649.75	649.75	Taiwan	55	20,555	36,987
650.83	650.83	Hong Kong	100	2,604	2,604
650.85	650.85	Taiwan	47	51,985	108,712
650.87	650.87	Hong Kong	100	62,061	62,061
651.03	651.03	Hong Kong	52	35,802	68,279
651.15	651.15	Taiwan	65	206,028	315,840
652.93	652.93	Mexico	57	21,198	36,554
653.30	653.30	Hong Kong	50	408,650	807,253
653.70	653.70	Hong Kong	67	36,568	54,172
662.18	662.18	Republic of Korea	100	6,288	6,288
672.10	672.10	Hong Kong	66	353,931	535,173
676.23	676.23	Hong Kong	56	444,924	792,084
684.10	684.10	Taiwan	85	396,933	465,351
688.20	688.20	Taiwan	47	29,568	61,659
694.70	694.70	Israel	56	242,801	429,455
702.08	702.08	Republic of Korea	73	13,776	18,832
702.14	702.14	Republic of Korea	59	580,791	984,288
702.15	702.15	Mexico	63	2,576	4,049
702.20	702.20	Republic of Korea	68	440,010	643,253
702.35	702.35	Mexico	69	121,880	174,463
702.47	702.47	Mexico	75	673,996	892,718
703.20	703.20	Mexico	100	636	636
703.55	703.55	Portugal	59	298,008	499,922
704.95	704.95	Philippine Republic	89	93,967	104,431
706.50	706.50	Hong Kong	66	318,951	481,616
708.41	708.41	Hong Kong	62	2,700	4,289
709.21	709.21	Hong Kong	87	2,388	2,714
711.83	711.77	Mexico	49	459,971	935,224
713.17	713.17	Hong Kong	83	253,186	303,053
713.19	713.19	Mexico	97	629,328	648,214
722.90	722.90	Taiwan	49	185,144	375,855
722.92	722.92	Taiwan	53	218,100	407,603
724.35	724.35	Hong Kong	84	5,396	6,414
726.60	726.60	Mexico	51	22,271	43,335
728.20	728.20	Portugal	74	14,596	19,685
730.41	730.41	Brazil	98	812,662	829,179
731.10	731.10	Taiwan	58	473,608	812,588
731.50	731.50	Taiwan	47	305,310	641,583
732.50	732.50	Taiwan	48	73,406	150,126
732.62	732.62	Taiwan	53	133,456	247,200
734.30	734.30	Hong Kong	89	275,939	306,686
734.60	734.60	Taiwan	90	227,582	250,465

LIST III--Continued

1979 TSUS Item No.	1980 TSUS Item No.	Country	Percent	Country Value	Total Value of Item
734.75	734.75	Republic of Korea	53	$432,969	$809,640
735.10	735.10	Republic of Korea	52	453,946	867,799
735.11	735.11	Taiwan	64	57,456	89,383
741.20	741.20	Hong Kong	96	164,216	169,353
745.08	745.08	Hong Kong	72	26,045	35,979
745.50	745.50	Israel	100	3,950	3,950
748.12	748.12	Haiti	68	25,758	37,738
748.36	748.36	Taiwan	47	103,600	216,743
750.05	750.05	Hong Kong	96	718,718	748,232
750.10	750.10	Hong Kong	49	216,890	437,243
750.35	750.35	Taiwan	71	700,540	984,011
750.50	750.50	Republic of Korea	47	426,806	900,626
750.75	750.75	Hong Kong	47	295,623	619,454
751.10	751.10	India	48	23,834	49,015
751.20	751.20	Taiwan	68	258,803	376,986
755.30	755.30	Malta	62	273,130	439,378
756.23	756.23	India	63	149,460	234,109
756.40	756.40	Hong Kong	84	153,769	182,123
756.50	756.50	Hong Kong	95	34,048	35,749
756.60	756.60	Turkey	47	33,134	69,966
760.38	760.38	Mexico	86	97,255	112,505
760.65	760.65	Taiwan	74	71,864	96,869
774.20	774.20	India	63	38,867	61,672
790.07	790.07	Hong Kong	54	16,549	30,616
791.10	791.10	Hong Kong	54	162,309	300,173
791.20	791.20	Republic of Korea	64	6,136	9,457
791.35	791.35	Brazil	77	45,087	58,285
792.22	792.22	Pakistan	95	7,389	7,736
792.70	792.70	Taiwan	54	54,582	99,324
792.75	792.75	Hong Kong	86	839,319	973,482
		Total		34,257,602	59,744,625

[FR Doc. 80-2564 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3190-01-C

PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION ON WORLD HUNGER

Public Participation and Communication Subcommittee; Meeting

The Public Participation and Communication Subcommittee of the Presidential Commission on World hunger will meet on February 8, 1979 in Room 2008 of the New Executive Office Building, 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, D.C. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m.

The agenda for the meeting will include discussion on the Commission's public education program.

The meeting will be open to observation by the public to the extent space is available. Reservations are required and requests should be addresssed to the Presidential Commission on World Hunger, 734 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Reservations will be honored on the basis of the earliest postmarks of requests.

Donald B. Harper,

Administrative Officer, Presidential Commission on World Hunger.

[FR Doc. 80-2716 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-97-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. 16518; File No. 4-281]

American Stock Exchange, Inc., et al.; Application Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Act of 1934; Order

January 22, 1980.

In the matter of American Stock Exchange, Inc., Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., Cincinnati Stock Exchange, Inc., Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc., National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., New York Stock Exchange, Inc., Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc., Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.

The Securities and Exchange Commission hereby issues an order, pursuant to Section 11A(a)(3)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), providing the authorization to certain self-regulatory organizations to act jointly, in accordance with the terms of a plan, as amended, with respect to matters as to which they share authority under the Act in planning, developing, operating and regulating a national market facility consisting of a consolidated quotation system ("quotation system"). This order authorizes those self-regulatory organizations to implement that facility on a permanent basis as a means of facilitating the establishment of a national market system in accordance with the requirements of Section 11A of the Act.

I. Introduction and Background

On January 26, 1978, the Commission adopted Rule 11Ac1-1 (the "Rule") under the Act which requires that every national securities exchange ("exchange") and national securities association ("association") establish and maintain procedures to collect, process and make available to vendors quotations (including size) in reported securites (*i.e.*, securities as to which transaction information is reported in the consolidated transaction reporting system ("consolidated system")).[1] In adopting the Rule, the Commission requested that the various self-regulatory organizations consider joint implementation of the Rule through the creation of a unified data stream of quotations from all market centers. In response to the Commission's request, on July 25, 1978, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE") and the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex") filed with the Commission a "Plan for the Purpose of Implementing Rule 11Ac1-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934" ("CQ Plan" or "Plan"). The CQ Plan (i) established joint procedures to govern the collection, processing and disseminating of quotation information by participation market centers, (ii) provided for selection and evaluation of an exclusive processor to collect quotation information from the participating market centers and to make that information available to quotation vendors in a single data stream, and (iii) establish fees relating to the receipt of quotation information.

On July 28, 1978, the Commission issued a temporary order, pursuant to Section 11A(a)(3)(B) of the Act, authorizing any self-regulatory organization executing the Plan (collectively, "participants")[2] to act jointly in operating and regulating the quotation system in accordance with the terms of the Plan for 180 days from the date of the order.[3] On January 24, 1979, the Commission issued an order ("CQ Plan Extension Order"), pursuant to Section 11A(a)(3)(B), extending its approval of the CQ Plan for an additional year.[4]

On August 1, 1978, following the Commission's temporary approval of the CQ Plan, the NYSE, Amex, Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE"), Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc. ("MSE"), Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PSE") and Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx") began disseminating quotations in a single data stream pursuant to the terms of the CQ Plan.[5] The National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") became a participant on December 15, 1978, and began disseminating third-market quotations to the Securities Industry Automation Corporation ("SIAC"), the processor of the CQ Plan data stream, on February 20, 1979. In addition, the Cincinnati Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CSE") has recently signed the CQ Plan and is scheduled to begin disseminating quotations to SIAC by February 2, 1980. A complete copy of the Plan, as amended, and all comments received with respect thereto are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Reference Room, Room 6101, 1100 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.[6]

CQ Plan Amendments

On November 20, 1979, the Plan participants submitted to the Commission various proposed amendments to the CQ Plan, which were published for comment in the **Federal Register.**[7] These proposed amendments to the Plan include the following:

(a) *Amendments Relating to NASD Participation in the Plan.*—The Plan participants have proposed the amendment of Sections I(j), VI(c), VI(d) and IX(c) of the Plan and the addition of a new Section III(d) to the Plan. Among other things, these proposals would provide that at some future date the Plan may be amended and submitted to the Commission for approval: (i) to provide for a "CQ Network C" for unlisted, over-the-counter NASDAQ securities; (ii) to name the NASD as the network's administrator, with all of the rights and authority with respect to "CQ Network C" that the networks' administrators for CQ Networks A and B have under the CQ Plan with respect

[1] Securities Exchange Act Release No. 14415 (January 26, 1978), 43 FR 4342.

[2] In addition to the NYSE and Amex, the following self-regulatory organizations have joined the CQ Plan to date: The Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., Cincinnati Stock Exchange, Inc., Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc., Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc., Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc., and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.

[3] Securites Exchange Act Release No. 15009 (July 28, 1978), 43 FR 34851 ("CQ Order").

[4] Securites Exchange Act Release No. 15511 (January 24, 1979), 44 FR 6230.

[5] Certain of these exchanges actually began dissemination of quotations through the CQ Plan data stream prior to August 1, 1978, in connection with their participating in the Intermarket Trading System ("ITS").

[6] *See* File No. 4-281.

[7] Securites Exchange Act Release No. 16446 (December 28, 1979), 44 FR 76896.

to their respective networks;[8] (iii) to properly allocate income and expenses in relation to unlisted, over-the-counter NASDAQ securities; and (iv) to make such other changes as deemed necessary at that time.

(b) *Amendments Relating to the Regulatory Halt Provision.*—The Plan participants have proposed the deletion of Section IX (a) of the Plan and the amendment of Section IX(b) of the Plan. Section IX(a) of the Plan, as originally filed with the Commission, provided that, during a regulatory halt of trading in a security called by the "primary" exchange, all Plan participants must cease making available quotation information in that security to the Plan processor. The Commission determined to condition its temporary authorization of the Plan on the Plan partipants not implementing this provision of the Plan and requested the Plan participants to execute and file amendments to the Plan eliminating this provision.[9] The amendment to Section IX(b) of the Plan would provide that each Plan participant shall be authorized to suspend the furnishing of quotation information in any "eligible security" for any reason deemed adequate by it. Any Plan participant which does so suspend furnishing of quotation information shall immediately advise the Plan processor of its actions and reasons therefor.

(c) *Amendments Relating to Financial Arrangements Among the Participants.*—The Plan participants have proposed the amendment of Section X(b)(i) of the Plan. Section X(b) of the Plan provides for the sharing of income and expenses associated with making available Network A and Network B quotation information. Specifically, as to each network, it provides that a participant's "Annual Share" of net income for any calendar year will be measured by the number of transactions reported by that participant during that year in the consolidated system as compared with all transactions reported by all participants during that year. The amendment would modify the definition of the term "Annual Share" to exclude those transactions reported in those securities as to which an exemption has been granted to a participant from the requirements of the Rule.[10]

Fees for Consolidated Quotations

In the CQ Plan Extension Order, the Commission expressed its concern regarding the fee structure established by the CQ Plan.[11] The CQ Plan established a fee schedule for subscription to consolidated quotation information which creates a permanent two-tiered structure for Network A and Network B quotations. Under the two-tiered structure, subscribers to either network who are members of a participant in the CQ Plan (*i.e.*, reporting exchanges and the NASD) are charged a lower fee than are subscribers who are not members of at least one of the participants.[12] In the case of Network A, the rate for subscribers who are not members of participants is more than 50% higher than the rate for members of participants. For Network B, the disparity is approximately 5%. In addition, for a period of six years, commencing on August 1, 1978, NYSE members subscribing to Network A are charged a fee which is lower than the fee charged to members of other participants. During the six year period, the fee charged to members of the NYSE will gradually increase and, at the conclusion of this period, members of all participating market centers will be charged an identical fee.[13]

The Commission continues to be concerned by the absence of a uniform fee structure for subscribers of quotation information (whether they are NYSE members, members of participating market centers or persons who are not members of any participant); however, the Commission believes that the permanent approval of the CQ Plan is not the appropriate context in which to address the generic questions of fee structures for national market system facilities.

* * * * *

It is hereby ordered, pursuant to Section 11A(a)(3)(B) of the Act, that the self-regulatory organizations named above are authorized to act jointly in planning, developing, operating or regulating the quotation system in accordance with the terms of the CQ Plan, as amended.

By the Commission.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2712 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[8] Network A reports quotations in NYSE-listed securities. Network B reports quotations in Amex and certain exchange-listed securities.

[9] *See* Securites Exchange Act Release No. 15009 (July 28, 1978), 43 FR 34851; Securites Exchange Act Release No. 15511 (January 24, 1979), 44 FR 6230.

[10] The Commission understands that this amendment was proposed in connection with the CSE's having become a Plan participant. The CSE has been granted an exemption from the Rule with respect to those securities traded on the CSE which are not traded on the CSE's national securities trading system, the so-called CSE System. *See* Securities Exchange Release No. 15010 (July 28, 1978).

[11] CQ Plan Extension Order at 7, 44 FR at 6232.

[12] Currently, the category of non-members of participants includes brokers and dealers who are sole members of the Intermountain or Spokane Stock Exchanges, broker-dealers registered under Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act (SECO broker-dealers) and institutional and private investors.

[13] *See* letter from James E. Buck, Secretary, NYSE, to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, SEC, dated January 12, 1979, at 17–25.

[Rel. No. 11025; 812-4536]

American Variable Annuity Life Assurance Co. et al.; Application for an Order Granting Exemptions

January 18, 1980.

In the matter of American Variable Annuity Life Assurance Company, the A.V.A. Income Fund, the A.V.A. Qualified Income Fund, American Variable Annuity Fund and SMA Equities, Inc. 440 Lincoln Street, Worcester, MA 01605 (812–4536)

Notice is hereby given that American Variable Annuity Life Assurance Company (the "Company"), its separate accounts, The A.V.A. Qualified Income Fund ("AVAQIF"), The A.V.A. Income Fund ("AVAIF") and American Variable Annuity Fund ("AVAF") (AVAQIF, AVAIF and AVAF hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Funds") and SMA Equities, Inc. ("SMAE") the principal underwriter for the Funds, (the Company, the Funds and SMAE collectively referred to herein as "Applicants") filed an application on September 13, 1979 and Amendement No. 1 thereto on December 28, 1979 for an order pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") granting exemptions from Sections 2(a)(32), 2(a)(35), 22(c), 27(c)(1), 27(c)(2), and 27(d) of the Act and Rule 22c–1 thereunder, to the extent necessary or appropriate to permit applicants to offer the variable annuity policies described in the application. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the facts and representations therein, which are summarized below.

Introduction

The Company is a stock life insurance company organized under the provisions of the Delaware Insurance Code in July, 1974 with its principal operational office located in Worcester, Massachusetts. It is the successor in interest by virtue of merger to the stock life insurance company of the same name which was incorporated in the State of Arkansas in January, 1967. The Company is wholly-owned subsidiary of State Mutual Life Assurance Company of America ("State Mutual"), a mutual life insurance company incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in 1844. The Company is registered as a broker-dealer under the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 and is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD").

The Funds are registered under the Act as open-end management investment companies. The Funds are separate accounts of the Company maintained pursuant to Section 2933 of the Delaware Insurance Code for the purpose of holding certain assets as reserves for certain of the Company's variable annuity policies. Each Fund constitutes a "separate account" as defined in Section 2(a)(37) and Rule 0–1(e) under the Act and complies with the conditions for availability of the exemptive rules referred to therein.

All series of the Company's variable annuity policies permit purchase payments to be allocated to AVAF. The principal investment objective of AVAF is to select investments from the viewpoint of a prudent investor concerned primarily with longterm growth of capital in relation to the expansion of the economy and the changing value of the dollar. Assets of AVAF will normally consist of a diversified portfolio of common stocks and other equity securities. Policies participating in AVAF include both those funding retirement plans entitled and those not entitled to special tax treatment under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 ("Code").

The Company issues a series of variable annuity policies pursuant to which purchase payments may be allocated to AVAQIF or AVAIF as well as to AVAF. AVAQIF is available under contracts issued in connection with retirement plans qualifying under Section 401, 403, 408 or 457 of the Code; AVAIF is available under contracts issued in connection with retirement plans which do not qualify for special tax treatment. The principal investment objective of both AVAQIF and AVAIF is to seek as high a level of current income as is consistent with prudent investment management. The assets of AVAQIF and AVAIF will normally be invested in a diversified portfolio of fixed income securities.

SMAE serves as the principal underwriter for the Funds. SMAE is indirectly a wholly-owned subsidiary of State Mutual organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on March 27, 1969. SMAE is registered as a broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is a member of NASD.

Applicants proposed to issue two new series of individual variable annuity policies ("Policies") to be funded by the Funds, one a "single payment" Policy ("Single Payment Policy") and the other an "elective payment" Policy ("Elective Payment Policy"). The Policies may be used for either immediate of deferred annuities. Single Payment Policies will be available for a single payment in a minimum amount of $5,000; no further payments will be accepted under such Policies. Elective Payment Policies will permit multiple purchase payments unlimited as to frequency or number but with initial and subsequent payment minimums of $600 and $50, respectively.

The $600 initial payment minimum is reduced to $50 in connection with the monthly automatic payment plan or a salary reduction/deduction arrangement. It is also reduced in connection with retirement plans qualified under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code where the contribution on behalf of any employee is less than $600 but more than $300 and the average annual contribution per eligible participant is at least $600.

Under each type of Policy net purchase payments may be allocated to either AVAF, AVAQIF or AVAIF, depending on whether the Policy is issued in connection with a tax favored plan, or to the general account of the Company for accumulation on a fixed basis. Prior to the annuity commencement date, amounts accumulated under the Policies may be transferred among the Funds and between any Fund and the Company's general account without imposition of any charge. All transfers must be with the consent of the Company and must comply with whatever maximum and minimum dollar limitations and other non-discriminatory rules restricting transfers the Company may impose. Upon commencement of annuity payments, the owner of the Policy ("Policy Owner") may select a variable annuity reflecting the performance of AVAF, or a fixed annuity or a combination of both.

Contingent Deferred Sales Charge

The proposed Policies would assess no sales charge from the gross purchase payments under the Policies. In lieu of a front-end sales charge, Applicants propose to assess a contingent deferred sales charge which will be applied in the case of contract surrenders, partial withdrawals or annuitization under a period certain option during the periods described below or in the event the contract owner annuitizes under an option involving a life contingency during the first five contract years.

Upon surrender, the Policy Owner will be paid an amount equal to the accumulated value under the Policy minus the amount of the contingent deferred sales charge, if applicable, and the Policy will be cancelled. The amount of the charge and the period during which the charge is made differs depending on whether the Policy is a Single Payment Policy or an Elective Payment Policy.

Under Single Payment Policies, the contingent deferred sales charge would be assessed on surrenders during the first nine full contract years as follows:

Year:	Percent
1 to 5	5
6	4
7	3
8	2
9	1

The charge will be applied as a percentage of the total accumulated value under the Policy and in no event may the charge exceed 6.5% of the gross payments. On and after the 10th Policy anniversary, no charge will be assessed on surrenders of a Single Payment Policy.

Under Elective Payment Policies, the contingent deferred sales charge would be assessed on contract surrenders during the first 14 Policy years as follows:

Year:	Percent
1 to 11	8
12	6
13	4
14	2

The charge will be applied as a percentage of the total accumulated value under the Policy but in no event may the charge exceed 8% of the gross purchase payments. On and after the 15th Policy year no charge will be assessed on a surrender of an Elective Payment Policy.

Hereinafter the term "Surrender Charge Period" shall be used to mean the period during which the contingent deferred sales charge would be imposed under Single Payment Policies and the period during which the contingent deferred sales charge would be imposed under Elective Payment Policies described above.

Both types of Policies would permit a Policy Owner to make a partial withdrawal of the accumulated value under the Policy at any time prior to the annuity commencement date subject to $2,000 of accumulated value remaining under a Single Payment Policy and $200 under the Elective Payment Policy. A contingent deferred sales charge will be assessed on partial redemptions in the same percentage and during the same period as the charge applicable to surrenders described above. The contingent deferred sales charge will be assessed on partial redemptions as a percentage of the amount redeemed. The amount redeemed will be the amount requested by the Policy Owner plus the amount of the charge. The amount redeemed is calculated by dividing the

amount requested by the Policy Owner by a number equal to one minus the applicable percentage of the charge. The charge is calculated by multiplying the amount redeemed by the applicable percentage of the charge. A partial redemption will result in cancellation of a number of accumulation units equal in value to the amount redeemed.

The contingent deferred sales charge will be assessed if the Policy Owner elects to begin receiving annuity payments under an option involving a life contingency during the first five Policy years or if an annuity under a period certain option is commenced during the Surrender Charge Period. The charge will be 8% under Elective Payment Policies and 5% under Single Payment Policies except if an annuity under a period certain option is commenced during the Surrender Charge Period, a charge will be assessed in the same percentage and during the same periods as the charge applicable to surrenders described above. In all cases, the charge will be applied as a percentage of accumulated value under the Policy but, under an Elective Payment Policy, the charge may not exceed 8% of the gross purchase payments and, under a Single Payment Policy, the charge may not exceed 6.55 of the gross purchase payments. During the first five Policy years, the value applied under an annuity option involving a life contingency or, during the Surrender Charge Period, the value applied under a period certain option will be the accumulated value under the Policy reduced by the amount of the charge. After the expiration of such periods, the value applied under an annuity option will be equal to the accumulated value under the Policy.

In no event may the total of contingent deferred sales charges assessed on partial redemptions and surrenders or annuitizations described above exceed 8% of the gross purchase payments under Elective Payment Policies and 6.5% of the gross purchase payment under Single Payment Policies.

In all cases, the contingent deferred sales charge will be retained by the Company to reimburse it for the expenses incurred in connection with the sale of the Policies. These expenses include commissions, promotional costs, sales administration and the like.

Other Charges Under the Policies

On Elective Payment Policies a semi-annual administrative expense charge of $9 will be deducted from the accumulated value under the contract by cancelling a number of units equal in value to $9; no charge will be made if the total accumulated value under a Policy exceeds $10,000. Where the Policy Owner has allocated amounts to more than one account, a percentage of the total $9 charge will be deducted from each such account. The percentage of the charge deducted from each account will be equal to the percentage which the value in that account represents of the total accumulated value under the Policy.

On Elective Payment Policies issued in connection with employer-sponsored retirement plans with a minimum of 25 participants, the employer, at his option, can arrange that the administrative expense charge be billed to the employer semi-annually at a rate grading downward from $9 per policy.

On Single Payment Policies, an administrative charge of $50 will be deducted from purchase payments of under $10,000; no administrative charge will be made on purchase payments of over $10,000.

The charges described above are for administrative expenses incident to Policy issue and maintenance. None of the administrative expense charges are directly allocated to sales expenses.

A daily charge equivalent to 1.50% on an annual basis will be made against the assets of each of the Funds. The Policies provide that this charge can be increased or decreased by vote of the Board of Directors of the Company but in no event may the charge exceed 1.875% on an annual basis.

Of the 1.50% charge, .25% is paid to the Company's affiliate, Colonial Management Associates, Inc., for investment advisory services, .10% is to repay the contingency reserve required to be established by the Company under New York Insurance Law, .15% is for Board of Managers fees and expenses and audit fees and 1.00% is for mortality and expense risks. The expense risk arises from the Company's guarantee that the charges it makes will not exceed the limits provided in the Policies and described in the prospectus. The mortality risk arises from the Company's guarantee of annuity rates under the Policies. The Company is unable to accurately specify the amount of the asset charge which is attributable to either the mortality risk or the expense risk. However, the Company estimates that a reasonable allocation might be .60% for mortality risk and .40% for expense risk. These amounts represent the insurance element in the Policies.

Applicants represent that the Company does not allocate any portion of the asset charge described above to direct recovery of sales expense. The total asset charge is allocated to the general account of the Company which bears the cost of all expenses related to the contracts including mortality costs, administrative expenses, general expenses and sales expenses. However, the asset charges affect the Company only insofar as they relate to revenue from the aggregate of all the Policies as offset by the expenses attributable to all the Policies. In addition to the other charges described above the Company will make a charge for state premium taxes, where applicable. Such taxes currently range from 0% to 2.50%.

Discussion

Applicants assert that the contingent deferred sales charge under the proposed new Policies is appropriate, in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Applicants further assert that the contingent deferred sales charge is in the best interests of the purchasers of the Policies. Applicants have stated that, if exemption is granted, it will permit all of the purchaser's purchase payments to be invested on his behalf immediately and the purchaser would enjoy the immediate advantage of having his contributions to his Policy value increased by the amount that would have been deducted if a front-end sales charge were assessed. In addition, Applicants state that the Policy Owner has the opportunity for the growth in the value of those additional accumulation units that would not have been purchased were the sales charge deducted from the purchase payment.

Moreover, Applicants state that if a Policy Owner annuitizes under an option involving a life contingency at any time after the first 5 Policy years or under a period certain option after the expiration of the Surrender Charge Period and does not surrender the contract or make partial redemptions during the charge periods described above, contributions to the Policy will be completely free from imposition of any sales charge. Further, additional contributions made to the Elective Payment Policy after the first 14 years will be completely free of imposition of any sales charge even if withdrawn immediately.

Applicants state that the contingent deferred sales charge represents a means by which the Company can eliminate the sales charge for its deferred annuity customers with long-term persistency while providing for reimbursement to the Company of sales and promotional expenses incurred in connection with Policies whose persistency has not been sufficient to permit the Company to otherwise recoup

such expenses. The amount, the computation, and the timing of the contingent deferred sales charge are designed to protect the Company from the financial loss that would be incurred as a result of premature Policy terminations. Applicants state that the reason for imposition of the contingent deferred sales charge on Policies which annuitize under a period certain option is that this is essentially equivalent to a surrender as such options can be commuted at any time; the reason for imposition of the contingent deferred sales charge on Policies which annuitize under an option involving a life contingency within 5 years is that the Policy is not priced to permit the Company to recoup the sales and promotional expense on Policies which are used essentially as immediate annuities unless such charge is made. However, Applicants believe that it is preferable to provide for a contingent deferred sales charge on such Policies rather than a frontend sales charge because the purchaser may not be certain at the time of purchase when he or she expects to annuitize and if he or she should determine to defer annuitization by at least 5 years, and does not make a surrender, partial redemption or annuitize under a period certain option within the Surrender Charge Period, the Policy would be completely free from sales charge. In the event the purchaser decides to annuitize within the 5 year period, he or she will pay no more than he or she would have paid had the charge been front-ended.

Applicants represent that the variable annuity prospectus will include full disclosure concerning the imposition of the contingent deferred sales charge so that all Policy Owners can decide whether and when surrender, withdrawal or annuitization would be advantageous.

Since the Act expressly defines and permits the assessment of a "sales load" in connection with the issuance of Investment Company securities, Applicants state they are aware of no public policy reasons that should limit the assessment of such charges exclusively to deduction at the time of purchase. To the contrary, public policy should permit the issuer to defer that charge, with the possibility that the charge might never be imposed.

Section 27(c)(2)

Applicants believe that the proposed contingent deferred sales load is consistent with the limitations contained in Section 27(c)(2). However, in order to avoid any possibility that questions might be raised as to the potential applicability of Section 27(c)(2), Applicants have requested an exemption from the operation of the provisions of Section 27(c)(2) to the extent necessary or appropriate to permit Applicants to effect their proposed pricing change.

Section 27(c)(2) of the Act makes it unlawful to sell any periodic payment plan certificate unless the proceeds of all payments on such certificates are deposited with a custodian having the qualifications prescribed in Section 26(a)(2), and are held by such custodian under an agreement containing substantially the provisions required in Section 26(a)(2) and (3) of the Act. The Policies may be deemed to be periodic payment plan certificates. However, Section 27(c)(2) excepts deductions for sales load from the requirements that the proceeds be deposited with a custodian. Applicants represent that the contingent deferred sales charge is intended specifically to reimburse Applicants for sales-related expenses. Applicants assert that the deferral of the imposition of this charge, and making it contingent upon an event which may never occur, do not change the basic nature of this charge as a sales charge to which the Section 27(c)(2) exception should apply.

Section 2(a)(35)

Applicants submit that the proposed contingent deferred sales charge is consistent with the intent of the definition of "sales load" contained in the Act. However, in order to avoid any possibility that questions might be raised as to the potential applicability of Section 2(a)(35), Applicants have requested an exemption from the operation of the provisions of Section 2(a)(35) to the extent necessary or appropriate to permit Applicants to offer the proposed Policies. Section 2(a)(35) defines "sales load" as the difference between the price of a security to the public and that portion of the proceeds from its sale which is received and invested, less any portion of such difference deducted for trustee's or custodian's fees, insurance premiums, issue taxes, or "administrative expenses or fees which are not properly chargeable to sales or promotional activities." Clearly, Section 2(a)(35) contemplates that a "sales load" will be charged "front end." The Company proposes to make no front-end deduction from purchase payments for sales charges. However, the Company will continue to incur expenses related to the sale of the Policies, including commissions paid to sales personnel, costs of advertising and sales promotion, costs of the prospectus allocable to new sales and sales administration. Applicants represent that the money to pay these expenses comes from the Company's general account and that the contingent deferred sales charge, therefore, would be retained by the Company to reimburse it solely for expenses related to the sale of the Policies, including commissions, costs of advertising and promotional activity, sales administration, cost of the prospectus allocable to new sales and like expenses. Applicants assert that these expenses are wholly attributable to sales and promotional activity and thus fit squarely within the Section 2(a)(35) definition of "sales load," but for the timing of the imposition of the charge. Applicants state that deferring such sales charge and making it contingent upon the occurrence of an event which might never happen (i.e., changing the timing of the imposition of the charge) permits the Policy Owner to have the increment from that part of his purchase payment which would otherwise have been deducted as a sales load, and, possibly, to escape imposition of the charge completely.

Section 22(c) and Rule 22c-1

Rule 22c-1 prohibits a registered investment company issuing a redeemable security from selling, redeeming or repurchasing any such security except at a price based on the current net asset value of such security. Applicants submit that the contingent deferred sales charge is in no way violative of Section 22(c) or Rule 22c-1 promulgated thereunder. However, in order to avoid any possibility that questions might be raised as to the potential applicability of Section 22(c) and Rule 22c-1, Applicants have requested an exemption from the operation of the provisions of Section 22(c) and Rule 22c-1 to the extent necessary or appropriate to permit Applicants to offer the proposed new Policies with the contingent deferred sales charge. The value at which a surrender or partial withdrawal is effected will be based on the current net asset value. The contingent deferred sales charge will merely be deducted at such time in arriving at the amount to be paid to the Policy Owner upon surrender or in determining the remaining accumulated value under the Policy in the case of a partial withdrawal.

Section 27(c)(1)

Section 27(c)(1) of the Act prohibits restrictions on the redemption of periodic payment plan certificates. Applicants believe that the assessment of a contingent deferred sales charge upon certain surrenders or withdrawals, which will be fully disclosed in the

prospectus, should not be construed as such a restriction on redemption. However, in order to avoid any possibility that questions might be raised as to the potential applicability of Section 27(c)(1) Applicants request an exemption from the operation of the provisions of Section 27(c)(1) to the extent necessary or appropriate to permit Applicants to effect their proposed pricing change. The Policies are clearly still redeemable securities, whether the sales charge is imposed against the purchase payment at the time of purchase, or whether such charge is deferred and made contingent upon an occurrence at a later instant during the Policy period. This is particularly true where, as here, the deferral of the contingent sales charge until a redemption is effected has the general effect of increasing the Policy value that would be available for redemption were the sales charge deducted from the purchase payment before investment on behalf of the owner.

Sections 2(a)(32) and 27(d)

Section 2(a)(32) of the Act defines a redeemable security as any security under the terms of which the holder is entitled to receive approximately his proportionate share of the issuer's current net assets, or the cash equivalent thereof. Section 27(d) of the Act requires that the holder of a periodic payment plan certificate surrender the certificate under certain circumstances with the recovery of certain front-end sales charges. Applicants submit that the imposition of the contingent deferred sales charge does not violate Section 2(a)(32) or Section 27(d). However, in order to avoid any possibility that questions might be raised as to the potential applicability of Sections 2(a)(32) and 27(d), Applicants request an exemption from the operation of the provisions of Sections 2(a)(32) and 27(d) to the extent necessary or appropriate to permit Applicants to offer the proposed Policies. Both Sections 2(a)(32) and 27(d) contemplate the assessment of a front-end sales load. For purposes of Section 2(a)(32), with a front-end load the holder's "proportionate share" would be the gross purchase payments, less sales load, plus or minus any increase or decrease in valuation. For purposes of Section 27(d) with a front-end load, the holder's account value would be the gross purchase payments, less sales load, plus or minus any increase or decrease in valuation. In each case, the net amount invested contemplates a deduction from gross purchase payments (assuming no state premium tax) and, thus, the holder's "proportionate share" or account value, could be the gross purchase payments, plus or minus any increase or decrease in value, less the contingent deferred sales charge.

Applicants state that deferring the imposition of the sales in no way restricts the Policy Owner from receiving his proportionate share of the value of his account on surrender or redemption. The contingent deferred rates charge is merely deducted at the time of redemption in determining the proportionate share of account value, rather than being deducted from purchase payments. The Policy Owner is still entitled to his proportionate share of account value. The contingent deferred sales charge defers the timing of the imposition of the sales charge and makes the charge contingent on the occurrence of an event which might never occur (i.e., it is imposed only on certain redemptions or annuitizations rather than being deducted from each purchase payment). This permits the purchaser's net amount invested to be increased, with concomitant benefit to the purchaser.

Section 6(c)

Section 6(c) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that the Commission, by order upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes thereof, from any provisions of the Act or its Rules and Regulations, if and to the extent necessary or appropriate in the public interest, and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants assert that the exemptions requested are appropriate and in the public interest, are consistent with the protection of investors, and are consistent with the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Wherefore, Applicants have requested that the Commission enter an order pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 granting the exemptions requested in this Application.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 12, 1980 at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail upon Applicants at the address stated above. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule 0–5 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application will be issued as of course following February 12, 1980 unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2710 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Rel. No. 11024; 812–4585]

Continental Illinois Leasing Corp, et cetera; Application Pursuant to Investment Company Act for an Order Declaring That Company Is Not an Investment Company or, Alternatively, for an Order Exempting Company From all Provisions of the Act

In the matter of Continental Illinois Leasing Corporation, 231 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60693, and CUIC Investors Co. #12, AEIC Investors Co. #12, EFIC Investors Co. #12, NACA Investors Co. #12, 100 West Tenth Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (812–4585)

Notice is hereby given that Continental Illinois Leasing Corporation, CUIC Investors Co. #12, AEIC Investors Co. #12, EFIC Investors Co. #12, and NACA Investors Co. #12 ("Applicants") have filed an application on December 19, 1979, pursuant to Section 3(b)(2) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), for an order of the Commission declaring that the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Preferred Trust ("NMPC Preferred Trust") is not an investment company, or, alternatively, for an order pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act exempting the NMPC Preferred Trust from all provisions of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application filed with the Commission for a statement of the representations

contained therein, which are summarized below.

Continental Illinois Leasing Corporation ("CILC"), a Delaware corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Continental Illinois Corporation, a publicly owned company. CUIC Investors Co. #12 ("CUIC"), AEIC Investors Co. #12 ("AEIC"), EFIC Investors Co. #12 ("EFIC"), and NACA Investors Co. #12 ("NACA") are Delaware corporations, which are wholly-owned subsidiaries, respectively, of CUIC Leasing, Inc., AEIC Leasing Inc., EFIC Leasing Inc., and NACA Leasing Inc. Each such leasing company is a Delaware corporation. Such leasing companies are wholly-owned subsidiaries, respectively, of Commercial Union Insurance Company, American Employers' Insurance Company, The Employers' Fire Insurance Company and The Northern Assurance Company of America. Each such insurance company is a Massachusetts corporation with its principal office at One Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108. Each such insurance company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Commercial Union Corporation, a Delaware corporation, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Commerial Union Assurance Company limited, a publicly owned company incorporated under the laws of the United Kingdom.

The application states that CILC proposes to invest $5 million in the transaction which is the subject matter of the application. CILC's total assets aggregate approximately $269,000,000 as of July 31, 1979, none of which represents investments in "investment companies" within the meaning of the Act. The application asserts that after giving effect to this transaction, CILC's aggregate investment in "investment companies" would constitute less than two percent of its investment portfolio. The application further states that CUIC, AEIC, EFIC, and NACA propose to invest $3,400,000, $975,000, $400,000 and $225,000, respectively, in the transaction which is the subject matter of the application. Aggregate investments of the parent insurance companies of CUIC, AEIC, EFIC and NACA are approximately $948,000,000, $263,000,000, $103,000,000 and $59,000,000 respectively, as of September 30, 1979. The application states that the aggregate investment of the Applicants and their parent companies in "investment companies" within the meaning of the Act appears to be less than $50,000 as of September 30, 1979.

The application states that Applicants will enter into a trust agreement (the "Trust Agreement") with United States Trust Company of New York as owner trustee (the "Owner Trustee"), for the purpose of acquiring from Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, a publicly owned electric and gas utility incorporated under the laws of the State of New York ("NMPC"), 1,600,000 shares of $25 par value ($40,000,000 total purchase price), sinking fund preferred stock (the "Preferred Stock"), of a new series ranking *pari passu* with all existing and future series of NMPC preferred stock. The trust thereby created will be the NMPC Preferred Trust. The Preferred Stock will yield dividends accruing from the dates of issue therof at the annual rate of 8.20 percent. The dividend rate may be adjusted to a maximum of 8.60 percent if certain economic or tax conditions have changed as of such dates. The purpose of the formation of the NMPC Preferred Trust is to permit the Applicants to make their pro rata investments in the Preferred Stock and to finance and account for a portion of the cost of such investment on a non-recourse basis.

The application further states that NMPC Preferred Trust's capital will consist of $10,000,000 of equity, equal to 25 percent of the total cost of the Preferred Stock, contributed by the Applicants as the beneficial owners, in proportion to their respective interests in the NMPC Preferred Trust, and also of the proceeds of a private placement of the NMPC Preferred Trust's 10-⅞% Secured Notes Due 2001 (the "Notes") aggregating $30,000,000 in principal amount, to be issued under a trust indenture and security agreement (the "Indenture") between the Owner Trustee and a corporate trustee, as indenture trustee (the "Indenture Trustee"). The notes will be purchased by two institutional investors, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company and John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company (the "Purchasers"). The Notes will be secured by the Preferred Stock and, in implementation of such security interest, the certificates for the Preferred Stock will be physically delivered to the Indenture Trustee.

The application states that both the Preferred Stock and the Notes are being purchased in private placement transactions, with the Applicants representing that the stock is being acquired by the NMPC Preferred Trust for investment and not with a view to any resale or distribution thereof and, similarly, the Purchasers representing that the Notes are being acquired for investment and not with a view to any resale or distribution thereof.

The application states that the Owner Trustee will not have the power to reinvest moneys in additional securities or in any manner to vary the investment of the Applicants. Furthermore, since the Owner Trustee is required by the Trust Agreement to distribute all amounts received with respect to the NMPC Preferred Trust promptly upon receipt, in the order of priority stated in the Trust Agreement, no reinvestment of such amounts is possible.

Section 3(a) of the Act defines an "investment company" to include "any issuer" which "(1) is or holds itself out as being engaged primarily, or proposes to engage primarily, in the business of investing, reinvesting, or trading in securities" or "(3) is engaged or proposes to engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities, and owns or proposes to acquire investment securities having a value exceeding 40 per centum of the value of such issuer's total assets (exlcusive of Government securities and cash items) on a unconsolidated basis." The Preferred Stock constitutes "investment securities" as defined in the Act, and "issuer" as defined includes "a trust".

Where the application states that Applicants believe that the NMPC Preferred Trust is not engaged in the "business" of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities, but rather is merely a vehicle for the investment being made by the Applicants, inasmuch as the Preferred Stock constitutes the NMPC Preferred Trust's only significant asset and the NMPC Preferred Trust has no function other than as set forth in the Trust Agreement in respect to the Preferred Stock, the inference could be drawn that the NMPC Preferred Trust is, primarily or otherwise, engaged in the business of "investing" or "owning" or "holding" the Preferred Stock.

Section 3(b)(2) of the Act excludes from the definition of investment company "[a]ny issuer which the Commission, upon application by such issuer, finds and by order declares to be primarily engaged in a business or businesses other than that of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities. . . ." In the alternative to issuance by the Commission of an order pursuant to Section 3(b)(2), the application requests that the Commission issue an appropriate order under Section 6(c) exempting the NMPC Preferred Trust from all the provisions of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission by order

upon application may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person or transaction from any provision or provisions of the Act to the extent such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

The application submits that while the NMPC Preferred Trust may be regarded, literally speaking, as investing in the Preferred Stock and owing and holding the same under the terms of the Trust Agreement, looking to the realities of the transaction, these literal functions may not fairly be regarded as comprising the "business" of the trust. According to the application, the purchase of the Preferred Stock by the NMPC Preferred Trust is dictated by the investment commitment of the Applicants and represents in no manner any investment judgment on the part of the Owner Trustee. Furthermore, the provisions of the Trust Agreement prevent the Owner Trustee from disposing of the Preferred Stock or varying in any manner the investment of the Applicants, and physical control of the certificates representing the Preferred Stock will have been transferred by the Owner Trustee to the Indenture Trustee in perfection of the security interest in the Preferred Stock granted in favor of the holders of the Notes. The NMPC Preferred Trust is the vehicle through which the Applicants will have made their investment in the Preferred Stock and the function of the Owner Trustee under the Trust Agreement will be a corporate trust function, namely, that of acquiring the Preferred Stock, effecutating the financing transaction with the Purchasers (which will include surrendering possession of the certificates for the Preferred Stock) and collecting and disbursing distributions on the Preferred Stock. Under the security arrangements for the Notes, distributions on the Preferred Stock will first be applied as debt service on the Notes; any remaining distribution balances will then be disbursed to the Applicants as the return on their equity investment.

The application submits that the purchase of the Notes by the Purchasers is not the kind of transactions anticipated by the Act. Payment of the Notes will not be in any way dependent on any investment policy or activities of the Owner Trustee, and therefore the Purchasers do not require the protection afforded by the Act. Moreover, the application contends that the NMPC Preferred Trust would probably be excluded from the definition of investment company by the proposed amendment to Rule 3c–2 of the Act.

The Applicants have agreed and consented that the NMPC Preferred Trust will not issue any additional debt or any equity securities unless the Owner Trustee shall first have given written notice to the Commission describing the proposed issuance of such additional securities, at least 30 days prior to the date of such proposed issuance. If the Commission shall, after receipt of said written notice, determine that a substantial question shall exist as to whether the exemption granted by the order requested hereunder should continue, it shall mail or otherwise give notice to that effect to the Owner Trustee at its office located at 130 John Street, New York, New York 10038, Attention: Corporate Trust & Agency Division (or at such other address as the Owner Trustee may have previously specified in writing to the Commission) within 15 days after the receipt by the Commission of said written notice from the NMPC Preferred Trust. If the Commission shall give such notice to the Owner Trustee, the NMPC Preferred Trust will not consummate the proposed issuance of such additional debt or equity securities except in accordance with an appropriate order of the Commission.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 12, 1980, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail upon Applicant(s) at the address(es) stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule 0–5 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application will be issued as of course following said date unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2711 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Rel. No. 21400; 70–6051]

Middle South Utilities, Inc., and Middle South Energy, Inc.; Proposed Sale of Common Stock by Subsidiary to Parent Holding Company

January 18, 1980.

Notice is hereby given that Middle South Utilities, Inc. ("Middle South"), a registered holding company, and Middle South Energy, Inc. ("MSEI"), 225 Baronne Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70112, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Middle South, have filed post-effective amendments to an application-declaration previously filed with this Commission pursuant to Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating Sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10 and 12(f) of the Act and Rules 43 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the amended application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

By Commission order dated December 20, 1977 in this matter (HCAR No. 20327), MSEI was authorized to issue and sell to Middle South and Middle South was authorized to purchase, from time to time, through and including December 31, 1978, 75,000 shares of MSEI's authorized but unissued common stock, no par value ("1978 Common Stock"), at a price of $1,000 per share for an aggregate cash purchase price of $75,000,000. Sales of 1978 Common Stock aggregating 53,000 shared occurred during 1978.

By Commission order dated December 27, 1978 in this matter (HCAR No. 20849), MSEI was authorized to issue and sell to Middle South and Middle South was authorized to purchase (i) from time to time, through and including June 30, 1979, up to 10,000 shares of the 1978 Common Stock which were unsold as of December 31, 1978 ("Carryover Shares"), and (ii) from time to time through and including December 31, 1979, up to 75,000 additional shares of MSEI's authorized but unissued common stock, no par value ("1979 Common Stock").

By Commission order dated August 13, 1979 in this matter (HCAR No.

21182), MSEI was authorized to issue and sell to Middle South and Middle South was authorized to purchase, from time to time, through and including June 30, 1980, up to 50,000 shares of MSEI's authorized but unissued common stock, no par value ("1980 Common Stock"). As of January 14, 1980 MSEI has sold all of the Carryover Shares, all of the 1979 Common Stock and 29,700 shares of the 1980 Common Stock of Middle South.

It now appears that, based upon MSEI's revised estimate of cash requirements for 1980, in addition to the 21,300 shares of 1980 Common Stock which were unsold as of January 14, 1980 it may be necessary for MSEI to issue and sell to Middle South through and including December 31, 1980, an aggregate of 50,000 additional shares of its authorized but unissued common stock, no par value ("Additional Shares").

MSEI proposes to issue and sell, and for Middle South to purchase, up to such 50,000 shares of Additional Shares through and including December 31, 1980, at a price of $1,000 per share for an aggregate cash purchase of $50,000,000. MSEI will apply the proceeds of sales of Additional Shares to costs incurred by it in the construction of the Grand Gulf Project.

MSEI is authorized by its articles of incorporation to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of its common stock, no par value, and as of January 14, 1980 MSEI has issued and sold an aggregate of 385,700 shares of its common stock, no par value to Middle South for an aggregate cash consideration of $385,700,000.

MSEI and Middle South believe it is preferable for sales of Additional Shares to be timed to coincide with the MSEI's cash needs from time to time, which are primarily determined by the nature and pace of the construction work on the Grand Gulf Project. Accordingly, MSEI and Middle South propose that sales of the Additional Shares occur from time to time through December 31, 1980 in increments to be determined by MSEI and Middle South. Each such sale will be reported to the Commission by a Certificate filed pursuant to Rule 24.

To the extent funds are required from external sources to acquire the Additional Shares, Middle South will obtain such funds through the issuance and sale of its unsecured short-term promissory notes issued under a revolving credit agreement dated as of June 29, 1979, with a group of banks headed by Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, New York, New York, as authorized by the Commission's order dated June 7, 1970 (HCAR No. 21093). Sale of the Additional Shares will enable MSEI to continue construction of the Grand Gulf Project and to maintain capitalization ratios required under various agreements.

It is stated that no special or severable fees, commissions or expenses will be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction. It is further stated that no state or federal regulatory authority, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 11, 1980, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the filing which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail upon the applicants-declarants at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as amended or as it may be further amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices or orders isued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2713 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Rel. No. 21406; 70-6398]

New England Power Co.; Proposed Transactions Related to Financing Construction of Pollution Control Facilities; Request for Exemption From Competitive Bidding

January 22, 1980.

Notice is hereby given that New England Power Company ("NEPCO"), 25 Research Drive, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581, an electric utility subsidiary company of New England Electric System, a registered holding company, has filed an application-declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating Sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

NEPCO proposes to enter into a Loan Agreement ("Agreement") with the Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency ("MIFA"), an independent public corporation empowered to issue tax-exempt pollution control bonds for the benefit of industrial enterprises, concerning the financing of certain pollution control facilities being constructed at NEPCO's Brayton Point generating station in Somerset, Massachusetts. NEPCO is converting three of the four generating units at Brayton Point from oil burning to coal and must construct pollution control facilities in order to comply with federal and Massachusetts environmental standards. It is currently estimated that the total cost of coal conversion will be approximately $175 million. NEPCO believes that about $90 million will be eligible for tax-exempt pollution control financing.

Under the Agreement, MIFA will issue bonds to the public and loan the proceeds to NEPCO, which, in turn, will issue general and refunding bonds (G & R Bonds) to MIFA in equivalent amounts with payments to be made to MIFA at such times and in such amounts as will correspond to the payments for principal, premiums and interest on MIFA's bonds. The vehicle for making payments to MIFA will be the Series C and D G & R Bonds. The proceeds of the MIFA bond sale will be deposited with a corporate trustee (the "MIFA Trustee") and will be released to NEPCO for the payment of costs, as they are incurred, of pollution control exenditures relating to the coal conversion project. NEPCO will not be a party to the underwriting agreement between MIFA and the underwriters but that agreement will provide that the terms of the bonds and their sale by MIFA shall be satisfactory to NEPCO.

In light of current high interest rates, NEPCO proposes to complete this financing in two phases. The first phase will be the issue of 3 years MIFA Bonds. The principal amount of these bonds will not exceed $90 million. The interest

rate, form and maturity of these bonds will be approved by the board of directors of MIFA. It is stated that further orders from state commissions will be necessary if the interest rate exceeds 9% per year. It is expected that the 3 year MIFA Bonds will be redeemable during the last year, however, if market conditions require, these bonds will not be redeemable prior to maturity, except upon the occurrence of certain events such as loss of tax-free status, destruction of the pollution control facilities, etc.

The second phase will be the refinancing of the 3 year MIFA Bonds with long-term bonds having a maturity of not to exceed 30 years. The structure of the 30 year financing will be similar to the 3 year financing, except for interest and redemption provisions. The 30 year MIFA Bonds will be redeemable as a whole or in part at the option of MIFA, upon 30 days notice, after the expiration of 10 years from the date of the bonds, or at any time upon the occurrence of certain events such as loss of tax-free status or destruction of the pollution control facilities. The Agreement will provide that MIFA will exercise its redemption option at NEPCO's request. The bonds will be subject to mandatory redemption through operation of a sinking fund as may be agreed upon prior to the 30 year issue. If the 30 year MIFA Bonds are issued prior to the date when the 3 year MIFA Bonds mature or are to be redeemed, the proceeds from the long-term issue will be deposited with the MIFA Trustee who will hold the proceeds or investments thereof in trust for the benefit of the bondholders. The MIFA Trustee will, upon receipt of the proceeds, deliver an equivalent amount of the 3 year G & R Bonds to NEPCO.

NEPCO will evidence its obligations to MIFA by the delivery of G & R Bonds in principal amount equal to the principal amount of the MIFA Bonds. There will be a separate issue of G & R Bonds as part of both MIFA Bond issues in order that the interest rate, maturity and redemption provisions may be equivalent to that of the MIFA issues which they support. The first NEPCO issue will not exceed $90 million of General and Refunding Mortgage Bonds, Series C, due 1983. The Series C Bonds will be the third issue under NEPCO's General and Refunding Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust (G & R Indenture) dated as of January 1, 1977 as amended and supplemented. The second issue will be not exceeding $90 million of General and Refunding Mortgage Bonds, Series D.

The G & R Indenture requires that first mortgage bonds be issued and pledged to the maximum amount permissable (less a reserve for sinking and improvement fund requirements) under the First Mortgage Indenture as additional security for all G & R Bonds. The series C and D Bonds will have a lien subordinate to NEPCO's first mortgage bonds.

At the time Series C Bonds are issued, NEPCO will issue and pledge Series X First Mortgage Bonds, in an amount not to exceed $20 million, to the trustee under the G & R Indenture ("G & R Trustee"). This represents a first mortgage claim for the holders of G & R Bonds, including the Series C Bonds. The exact amount of the Series X Bond issue will be determined at the time the Series C Bonds are issued. The Series X Bonds will not pay interest as long as interest payments are made on the Series C Bonds, and to the extent payments of principal are made on the Series X Bonds, the debt due from NEPCO to the holders of the Series C Bonds will be reduced so there will be no double recovery.

At the time the Series D Bonds are issued, NEPCO will issue and pledge Series Y First Mortgage Bonds in an amount not to exceed $90 million. The Series Y Bonds will be pledged to the G & R Trustee as security for holders of all G & R Bonds. The provisions of these bonds, except for interest rates, maturity, redemption provisions and a sinking fund, will be essentially the same as those for the Series X Bonds. The exact amount of these bonds will depend upon the property then available.

When the amount of the pledged first mortgage bonds equals the amount of G & R Bonds, the security will be equivalent to that of first mortgage bonds. No new first mortgage bonds will be issued to the public under the first mortgage bonds. No new first mortgage bonds will be issued to the public under the first mortgage indenture, however, the other provisions of the first mortgage indenture, including the improvement fund, maintenance obligations and similar protections will remain in full force. When there are no more publicly held first mortgage bonds, the first mortgage indenture will be discharged and the G & R Bonds will have a first mortgage lien.

NEPCO requests that the issuance of Series C and D Bonds be exempted from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 pursuant to paragraph (a)(5) thereof, and requests exemption from such requirements for the proposed issue and pledge of Series X and Y Bonds pursuant to paragraph (a)(4) thereof.

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with this transaction will be supplied by amendment. It is stated that the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, the Vermont Public Service Board and the Connecticut Public Utilities Control Authority have jurisdiction over the proposed transactions and that no other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 19, 1980, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, and reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by ail upon the applicant-declarant at the above-stated address and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2709 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Rel. No. 21405; 70-6405]

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.; Notice of Proposed Short-Term Borrowing

Notice is hereby given that Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

("Vermont Yankee"), 77 Grove Street, Rutland, Vermont 05701, an electric utility subsidiary of both New England Electric System and Northeast Utilities, registered holding companies, has filed with this Commission a declaration pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating Section 6 of the Act and Rule 50(a)(2) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the delaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement concerning the proposed transaction.

Vermont Yankee operates a 540 MW nuclear-powered generating plant in Vernon, Vermont. During 1980 and 1981, Vermont Yankee will be receiving shipments for uranium for ultimate fabrication into nuclear fuel for its reactor and will be making capital improvements which will necessitate payments in excess of Vermont Yankee's current lines of credit. Vermont Yankee currently maintains lines of credit in the principal amount of $6,000,000 each with the First National Bank of Boston and Chase Manhattan Bank (the "Banks"). As of November 30, 1979, Vermont Yankee had $4,000,000 in loans outstanding under those lines.

Vermont Yankee requests a short-term borrowing authorization through December 31, 1981 of up to $16,000,000 aggregate principal amount outstanding at any one time. It is anticipated that these borrowings will be repaid during such period by internally generated funds. The borrowings would be from the Banks pursuant to proposed increased lines of credit ($8,000,000 with each Bank) and would be evidenced by promissory notes having a maturity of up to three months from date of issuance and bearing interest at the Banks' prime rate. The Banks will require compensating balances equal to 7.5% of the lines and 7.5% of any borrowings thereunder. It is stated that assuming full borrowings under the lines and a prime rate of 15¼% per annum, the effective costs of borrowings would be 17.94%.

Vermont Yankee claims an exemption from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 pursuant to paragraph (a)(2) since the short-term promissory notes mature in less than 10 years, will be issued to commercial banks not for resale to the public and provide for no finder's fee or similar fees to third persons for negotiating the transaction.

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction are $3,250, including legal fees of $750. It is stated that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may not later than February 15, 1980, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-2714 Filed 1-25-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. EX80-1; Notice 1]

Lafer S.A.; Petition for Temporary Exemption from Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards

Lafer S.A. of Sao Paulo, Brazil, through its agent Lafer Auto Sales, Inc. of North Hollywood, California, has petitioned for a temporary exemption of its MP model from certain Federal motor vehicle safety standards on grounds of substantial economic hardship.

This notice of receipt of a petition for a temporary exemption is published in accordance with NHTSA regulations on this subject (49 CFR 555.7) and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

Lafer has manufactured only 2200 vehicles since 1974. It was previously granted NHTSA Exemption No. 75-24 (40 FR 58490) but apparently imported and sold no vehicles under it. Lafer now requests exemption from one to three years from 14 standards on the grounds that to require immediate compliance would cause it substantial economic hardship; its cumulative net profits for calendar years 1976-78 are slightly less than $300,000. The passenger car it intends to produce is similar to the one for which petition was previously made, a replica of the 1952 MG TD British sports car, employing a fiberglass body mounted upon a new Volkswagen chassis. A summary of its requests and explanations follows:

Standard No. 103, *Windshield Defrosting and Defogging System.*

Standard No. 206, *Door Locks and Door Retention Components.*

Standard No. 214, *Side Door Strength.*

Standard No. 301-75, *Fuel System Integrity.*

Standard No. 302. *Flammability of Interior Materials.*

With respect to all the above, Lafer believes that it does conform but requests time in which to confirm compliance through testing. It asks for a one-year exemption from Standard No. 103, a three-year exemption from No. 301-75, and two years for Nos. 206, 214, and 302.

Standard No. 106, *Hydraulic Brake Hoses.*

Standard No. 205, *Glazing Materials.*

Materials available are not certified as meeting the respective standards though a Brazilian brake hose supplier is said to provide "assurances" that those equipment items meet Federal requirements. Lafer hopes to have glazing materials tested in the U.S. while an exemption is in effect. It has asked for a one-year exemption from Standard No. 106, and two years from No. 205.

Standard No. 114, *Theft Protection.* The company has been unable to find a locking and warning system that complies with the standard. An exemption of three years is asked in which the company will develop a complying system.

Standard No. 124, *Accelerator Control Systems.* If the Environmental Protection Agency approves the Lafer's intended engine, no exemption will be needed. Lafer asks for a one-year excuse to cover time needed for testing an alternate engine, if that is required, plus redesign of its accelerator control system.

Standard No. 201, *Occupant Protection in Interior Impact.* The

company needs three years to redesign its dashboard and interior compartment door in a manner that will retain a replica appearance.

Standard No. 202, *Head Restraints.*

Standard No. 203, *Impact Protection for the Driver from the Steering Control System.*

Standard No. 207, *Seating Systems.*

Seats and steering wheels do not meet the standards' requirements and three years is required to develop some that do.

Standard No. 208, *Occupant Impact Protection.* The company asks for three years in which to obtain and incorporate a suitable warning system.

In support of its petition, Lafer argues that a grant would be in the pubic interest by providing distribution, dealer, and service employment opportunities in the United States as well as generating tax revenues. As a specialty vehicle it is not expected to be in daily use and hence ought not to present a significant hazard to traffic safety.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition for exemption of Lafer S.A. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that five copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered. The application and supporting materials, and all comments received, are available for examination in the docket both before and after the closing date. Comments received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent practicable. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register.**

Comment closing date: February 27, 1980.

(Sec. 3, Pub. L. 92–548, 86 Stat. 1159 (15 U.S.C. 1410); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 49 CFR 501.8.)

Issued on January 21, 1980.

Michael M. Finkelstein,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 80–2422 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–M

Calendar of Meetings Open to the Public; Notice of Change

On Monday, December 3, 1979, a calendar of NHTSA meetings open to the public was published in the **Federal Register.** This notice of change modifies the site of the Side Impact Protection Conference and the dates of the Motorcycle Accident Factors Research Meeting and the Panel Meeting on Heavy Truck Safety.

Side Impact Protection Conference

The location of this conference, scheduled for January 31–February 1, starting at 9:00 a.m., has been changed to the Thomas Jefferson Auditorium, U.S. Department of Agriculture, between 12th and 14th Streets, Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. Access to the Auditorium is through either Wing 5 or Wing 6 of the building (the Wing Numbers) are noted on the exterior of the building).

Motorcycle Accident Factors Research

This meeting, formally scheduled for February 20, has been postponed. A new date will be published when available.

Panel Meeting on Heavy Truck Safety

DOT Headquarters Building, Room 2230, Washington, D.C.

This meeting, previously scheduled for January 22, is now scheduled for February 19 at 9:00 a.m. The purpose to follow-up on issues presented during the September 1979 hearing with actions by each of the sectors represented by the panel members and to recommend a set of priority actions for the Government, manufacturers, carriers and unions.

Issued in Washington, D.C., January 23, 1980.

Wm. H. Marsh,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80–2562 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–M

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[Delegation Order No. 152, Rev. 1]

Collections From Delinquent Officers and Employees of the Internal Revenue Service; Revision of Delegation of Authority

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury Department.

ACTION: Revision of Delegation Order No. 152.

SUMMARY: Treasury Department Order No. 150–69 authorized the Commissioner of Internal Revenue to take collection actions against delinquent officers and employees of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) by making assessments under Subsection 7803(d) of the IRS Code. Delegation Order No. 152 redelegated this authority to Service Center Directors. Because of a change in the IRS Code which deleted the original Subsection 7803(c) and replaced it with contents of Subsection 7803(d). Delegation Order No. 152 (Rev. 1) changes the authority for collection delinquent accounts from officers and employees of IRS to Subsection 7803(c). The text of the delegation order appears below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 22, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. J. Bruce Miller, 1111 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20224, (202) 566–4832—not toll free.

Note.—This document does not meet the criteria for significant regulations set forth in paragraph 8 of the Treasury Directive appearing in the **Federal Register** for Wednesday, November 8, 1978.

Frederick F. Perdue,

Director, Returns Processing and Accounting Division.

Date of issue: January 22, 1980.

Effective date: January 22, 1980.

Pursuant to authority vested in the Commissioner of Internal Revenue by Treasury Department Order 150–69 Service Center Directors are hereby authorized to issue a notice and demand for payment of any funds due the United States from any officer or employee of the Internal Revenue Service who fails to account for and pay over any amount of money or property collected or received by them in connection with Internal Revenue Laws. If payment is not received within the time limit prescribed by the notice and demand, the unpaid amount is deemed assessed as of the date of the notice and appropriate action will be taken as required by subsection 7803(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The authority herein delegated may not be redelegated.

Delegation Order No. 152, issued July 16, 1975, is superseded.

Willis E. Will,

Acting Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 80–2639 Filed 1–25–80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–M

Sunshine Act Meetings

Federal Register
Vol. 45, No. 19
Monday, January 28, 1980

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94-409) 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

Civil Aeronautics Board 1, 2
Commodity Futures Trading Commission 3
Federal Communications Commission. 4, 5
Federal Reserve System 6
Federal Trade Commission 7
National Labor Relations Board 8
Nuclear Regulatory Commission 9
Securities and Exchange Commission. 10

1

[M-266 Amdt. 4; Jan. 23, 1980]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

Addition of item to the January 24, 1980 meeting.

TIME AND DATE: 10:30 a.m., January 24, 1980.

PLACE: Room 1027—Open, Room 1011—Closed, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: 2a. Docket 35508, *Fitness Investigation of Pacific Alaska Airlines.*

STATUS: 1–19—Open; 20–22—Closed.

PERSON TO CONTACT: Phyllis T. Kaylor, the Secretary (202) 673–5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: It is necessary to short-notice this item in view of the fact that the statutory date for a final decision has already passed, and the Board would like to conclude this proceeding at the earliest date possible. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that agency business requires the addition of Item 2a to the January 24th agenda and that no earlier announcement of this addition was possible:

Chairman Marvin S. Cohen;
Member Richard J. O'Melia;
Member Elizabeth E. Bailey; and
Member Gloria Schaffer.

[S-170-80 Filed 1-24-80; 3:49 pm]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

2

[M-266 amdt. 5; Jan. 23, 1980]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD: Addition and closure of item to the January 24, 1980 meeting agenda.

TIME AND DATE: 10:30 a.m., January 24, 1980.

PLACE: Room 1027 (Open), Room 1011 (Closed), 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: 23. Staff Request for Instructions for Consultations with Poland. (BIA)

STATUS: Open (Items 1–19); Closed (Items 20–23).

PERSON TO CONTACT: Phyllis T. Kaylor, the Secretary, (202) 673–5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Consultations with Poland are scheduled for February 4, 1980, in Washington. In order to prepare a position in advance of the consultations and transmit it to the Department of State in a timely fashion, no longer notice is possible. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that agency business requires that the Board meet on this item on less than seven days' notice and that no earlier announcement of this meeting was possible:

Chairman Marvin S. Cohen;
Member Elizabeth E. Bailey; and
Member Gloria Schaffer.

This memo concerns strategy and positions that have been or may be taken by the United States in ongoing negotiations with Poland. Public disclosures, particularly to foreign governments, of opinions, evaluations, and strategies relating to the issues could seriously compromise the ability of the United States Delegation to achieve agreements which would be in the best interest of the United States. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that the meeting on this subject would involve matters the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action within the meaning of the exemption provided under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) and 14 CFR Section 310b.5(9)(B) and that any meeting on this item should be closed:

Chairman Marvin S. Cohen;
Member Elizabeth E. Bailey; and
Member Gloria Schaffer.

Persons Expected To Attend

Board Members: Chairman Marvin S. Cohen, Member Richard J. O'Melia, Member Elizabeth E. Bailey, and Member Gloria Schaffer.

Assistants to Board Members: Mr. David Kirstein, Mr. James L. Deegan, Mr. Daniel M. Kasper, and Mr. Stephen H. Lachter.
Managing Director: Mr. Cressworth Lander.
Executive Assistant to the Managing Director: Mr. John R. Hancock.
Bureau of International Aviation: Mr. Sanford Rederer, Mr. Douglas V. Leister, Mr. Ivars V. Mellups, Mr. Vance Fort, Mr. James S. Horneman, Mr. Hohn H. Kiser, Ms. Carolyn K. Coldren, Mr. Francis S. Murphy, Mr. Joseph Di Bella, Jr., and Mr. Herbert P. Aswall.
Office of the General Counsel: Ms. Marvy McInnis Schuman, Mr. Peter B. Schwarzkopf, and Mr. Michael Schopf.
Bureau of Domestic Aviation: Mr. Mark S. Kahan, Mr. Albert Halprin, Mr. Robert I. Stein, and Mr. Julien R. Schrenk.
Office of Economic Analysis: Mr. Robert H. Frank, Mr. Robert Preece, and Mr. David Sibley.
Bureau of Consumer Protection: Mr. Reuben B. Robertson and Mr. John T. Golden.
Office of the Secretary: Mrs. Phyllis T. Kaylor and Ms. Deborah A. Lee.

General Counsel Certification

I certify that this meeting may be closed to the public under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) and 14 CFR Section 310b.5(9)(B) and that the meeting may be closed to public observation.

Mary McInnis Schuman,
General Counsel.

[S-171-80 Filed 1-24-80; 3:49 pm]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

3

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: To be published January 25, 1980.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 10 a.m., January 29, 1980.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Add agenda topic: Proposal for Treatment of Congressional Requests for Section 8 Material.

[S-164-80 Filed 1-24-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

4

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, January 30, 1980.

PLACE: Room 856, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Closed Commission meeting following the open meeting which commences at 9:30 a.m.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Agenda, Item Number, and Subject

General—1—Upward Mobility Program.
Assignment and Transfer—1.—Assignment applications by licensee with four television stations in hearing on character issues.

This meeting may be continued the following work day to allow the Commission to complete appropriate action.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Edward Dooley, FCC Public Affairs Office, telephone number (202) 632-7260.

Issued: January 24, 1980.

[S-167-80 Filed 1-24-80; 3:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

5

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, January 30, 1980.

PLACE: Room 856, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open Commission meeting.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Agenda, Item No., and Subject

General—1—Request for declaratory ruling governing policy to be followed in seeking inclusion of specialized programming issues in broadcast comparative hearing cases.

The FCC will consider a request for a declaratory ruling to specify when and in what manner parties seeking consideration of specialized programming formats in broadcast comparative hearings should make their showings regarding the formats' unavailabililty in a particular market.

General—2—Request from Chief, Office of Plans and Policy (OPP) for delegated authority to deny requests or to extend time for comments and reply comments on OPP Docket items.

Private Radio—1—Docket No. 20777 (Deregulation of Part 97 of the Rules regarding emissions authorized in the Amateur Radio Service).

The Commission will consider the Third Report and Order in Docket 20777, which will dispose of the issue of whether or not the use of the American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) should be allowed in the Amateur Radio Service.

Private Radio—2—Title: Rulemaking petition RM-2665 to create a new analytical examination reporting system for the amateur and commercial radio operators' examinations.

Summary: The FCC will consider whether to adopt a rulemaking petition (RM-2665). The rulemaking petition proposes that the FCC create a new examination reporting system for the amateur and commercial radio operators' examinations.

Private Radio—3—Title: Amendment of Part 83 of the rules to permit the certification on an expired ship station license to be recognized as a valid attachement to the renewal license.

Summary: The FCC will consider whether to adopt a Report and Order (PR Docket 79-232) that revises Sections 83.339 and 83.367 of the FCC Rules. This concerns the statutorily required endorsement on a ship radio station license, and endorsement which certifies that the vessel's radio equipment is in compliance with all applicable FCC rules; it permits such a certification, after expiration of the license on which it ws entered until such time as a new certification (which would follow upon the first subsequent required annual inspection) can be entered thereon.

Private Radio—4—Title: Rulemaking petition (RM-3457) to allow amateur radio operators to retransmit the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's weather broadcasts.

Summary: The FCC will consider whether to adopt or deny rulemaking petition RM-3457. The petition proposes that the FCC waive applicable provisions of its rules to allow amateur radio operators to retransmit or rebroadcast NOAA's weather broadcasts. The FCC will discuss whether the proposal is consistent with the stated purpose of the Amateur Radio Service.

Private Radio—5—Title: Rulemaking petitions RM-2774 and RM-3000, which propose to provide for temporary amateur radio operator licenses within the amateur Radio Service.

Summary: The FCC will consider whether to adopt or deny rulemaking petitions RM-2774 and RM-3000. The rulemaking petitions propose to provide for temporary amateur radio operator licenses within the Amateur Radio Service.

Private Radio—6—Eligibility of OAS for licensing in the General Mobile Radio Service.

Common Carrier—1—Title: Proposed rulemaking to amend Part 61 of the Commission's Rules relating to Notice Requirements for schedules for new or revised classifications of service.

Summary: The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. 19741 in which it proposed to increase the notice period which is required of carriers before certain of their tariff filings become effective.

Common Carrier—2—Title and Summary: Application for Review of Order by Chief, Common Carrier Bureau rejecting Western Union's tariff revisions filed under its Transmittal No. 7369 for failure to comply with the requirements of Section 61.38 of the Commission's Rules.

Common Carrier—3—Title: Rulemaking Proceeding concerning Amendments to Part 42 of the Commission's Rules (Docket 20334)

Summary: On February 3, 1975, the Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. 20334 in which it proposed to increase the carrier record retention period for certain telegraph and telephone records. It then conducted a cost/benefit analysis with regard to the proposed increase in the retention period. The Commission must now decide whether the record shows new rules are warranted.

Common Carrier—4—Title: Communications Satellite Corporation, ITT World Communications, Inc., American Telephone and Telegraph Company, RCA Global Communications, Inc., Western Union International, Inc., applications for authority to (1) provide satellite television services directly to users at U.S. earth stations; and (2) end rotational arrangements for overseas television service.

Summary: The Commission will consider various applications regarding international television service prompted by its decision in *Spanish International Network*, 70 FCC 2d 2197 (1978). Issues under consideration include: (1) whether Comsat has cost-justified its proposal to charge carriers and users the same rate for its service; (2) whether Comsat's entry into this market should be delayed until it submits formal operating agreements; and (3) should the carriers be afforded direct access to Intelsat.

Common Carrier—5—Title: Application of Western Union Telegraph Company pursuant to Section 214 for authority to install and operate facilities at public broadcasting satellite earth stations for the provision of common carrier communications services.

Summary: The Commission is considering Western Union's request for authority to offer common carrier communication services via public broadcasting earth stations at Washington, D.C., New Orleans, La. and Houston, Tx. The application is opposed by American Satellite Corporation, RCA American Communications, Inc. and American Telephone ad Telegraph Company. Among the reasons given for opposing the applications were: The undertaking is not permissible under the Communications Act; it will jeopordize the tax exempt status of public broadcasters; it will result in a subsidy of Western Union, it will transform public broadcast licensee into common carriers; and that there is no guarantee that other carriers will have equal access to the facilities.

Common Carrier—6—Title: Application of Travel-Phone Corporation File No. 3985-C2-P71 for a Construction Permit to establish a new radio common carrier station at Johnston, Rhode Island; Petition for Reconsideration filed by Mobilphone-Paying Radio Corporation.

Summary: The Commission will consider whether Mobilphone should be afforded an additional opportunity to review and comment on certain field investigation reports.

Common Carrier—7—Title: Title: Petition of Graphnet, Inc. for reconsideration of the nine-month time limit imposed to conclude an operating agreement for its authorized facsimile service between the United States and points in eleven Western European countries

Summary: The Commission is considering Graphnet's petition for reconsideraton of a *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 71

F.C.C.2d 1066 (1979), in which the Commission, in response to a judicial remand, gave Graphnet nine months to conclude an operating agreement implementing authority granted in 1977. ITT World Communications, TRT and RCA Global Communications oppose the petition. The issues are (1) whether the nine-month time limit was reasonable and fair and (2) whether Graphnet has shown good cause for extending the time limit, which expires on February 4, 1980.

Common Carrier—8—Title: North American Telephone Association application for review of Bureau order denying its petition to reject American Telephone and Telegraph Company Transmittal No. 13213.

Summary: NATA asks review of Bureau denial of its petition to reject certain AT&T tariff which concern the connection of customer-provided terminal equipment to the telephone network. NATA contends that the Bureau decision is inconsistent with the Commission's terminal equipment registration program and that it errs in failing to conclude that the tariffs violate Sections 201(b) and 202(a) of the Communications Act.

Cable Television—1—Title: *Report and Order in CT Docket No. 79-116* adopting a short form renewal application for authorizations in the Cable Television Relay Service.

Summary: The Commission previously adopted a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making in CT Docket No. 79-116*, FCC 79-293, 72 FCC 2d 85 (1979) which proposed the adoption of a short form renewal application for authorizations in the Cable Television Relay Service. Comments were received from various parties commenting on the form proposed by the Commission and suggesting certain other forms to use as a short form renewal application.

Assignment and Transfer—1—Title: Applications (BAL-780929EA and BALH-780929EB) for the voluntary assignment of the licenses of stations KLBK(AM) and KLBK-FM, Lubbock, Texas, from Grayson Enterprises, Inc. to Southern Minnesota Broadcasting Co.

Summary: The Commission will consider the qualifications of the applicants, except for those matters pending in the license renewal proceeding involving commonly-owned stations of the assignor. Those matters, as they affect the instant assignment applications, will be considered by the Commission at its closed agenda meeting.

Assignment and Transfer—2—Title: Application (BALH-79041OGU) for voluntary assignment of the license of KODA-FM, Houston, Texas, from Taft Broadcasting Corporation to Westinghouse Broadcasting Company, Inc.

Summary: The Commission will consider what effect, if any, an investigation by the Antitrust Division of the Justice Department into the selling of advertising time by VHF television stations in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (KDKA-TV, Pittsburgh, is licensed to Westinghouse) should have on the qualifications of Westinghouse Broadcasting to acquire KODA-FM.

Renewal—1—Title: *Westinghouse Broadcasting Company, Inc. (KPIX)*

Summary: The Community Coalition for Media Change has petitioned the Commission to reconsider its Order granting the renewal of license for Westinghouse's Station KPIX, San Francisco, California. The Coalition urges that criminal misconduct by Westinghouse's parent corporation (Westinghouse Electric) proves that Westinghouse lacks the character required to remain a licensee. The Coalition also argues that the allegations of discrimination and misrepresentation it presented in its petition to deny the renewal were incorrectly disposed of in the grant of the renewal.

Aural—1—Subject: Request by Migala Enterprises, Inc., permittee of AM Station WCEV, Cicero, Illinois, for waiver of Section 73.1130(a) of the Rules for a period of one year.

Summary: The applicant has requested waiver of the program origination requirement to the extent of excluding live ethnic-oriented programming from the majority of programming computation.

Aural-2—Title: Memorandum Opinion and Order in re applications of Merrimack Valley Broadcasting, Inc. (File Nos. BP-21,101 and BPH-10,926), Sunrise Broadcasting Corp. (File Nos. BP-21,102 and BPH-10,925), Gateway Broadcasting Associates (File Nos. BP-21,103 and BPH-10,928), Gateway Communications (File No. BPH-10,924) and Community Service Broadcasting of Nashua, Inc. (File No. BPH-10,927).

Summary: The FCC considers the above mutually exclusive applications for new AM and FM stations at Nashua, New Hampshire, and various petitions to specify issues filed by the applicants.

Aural—3—Title: Memorandum Opinion and Order in re Application of Daniel J. Healy for a construction permit for a new FM station in Garberville, California.

Summary: The Commission considers the aforementioned application and a request for waiver of the maximum power rule by the applicant.

Television—1—Title: Application of Evangelistic Missionary Fellowship for a television construction permit on VHF channel 4, North Pole, Alaska.

Summary: Applicant is the licensee of an AM and an FM station in the same community as the proposed TV station. Grant of the application would therefore result in multiple ownership in violation of Sec. 73.636(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules, the one-to-a-market rule. Applicant requests a waiver.

Television—2—Subject: Application of Texan Broadcasting Company, Inc. for a construction permit for a new commercial television station to operate on Channel 19, Nacogdoches, Texas.

Summary: Texan is also the licensee of KSFA and KTBC-FM, both of Nacogdoches. The question before the Commission is what effect the multiple ownership—as well as certain ascertainment and engineering deficiencies—would have on Texan's application.

Broadcast—1—Report and Order in Docket No. 14119 concerning rulemaking on "Plugola" practices.

For years the Commission has considered adoption of rules to prevent "plugola" practices: that is, to require on-the-air disclosure of that fact that program material is being broadcast for the purpose of gaining indirect financial benefits.

This meeting may be continued the following work day to allow the Commission to complete appropriate action.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Edward Dooley, FCC Public Affairs Office, telephone number (202) 632-7260.

Issued: January 24, 1980.

[S-168-80 Filed 1-24-80; 3:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

6

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Forwarded to Federal Register on January 23, 1980.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 10 a.m., Wednesday, January 30, 1980.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Deletion of the following open item(s) from the agenda: Requests to increase limitations on premiums offered to depositors by member banks.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board (202) 452-3204.

Dated: January 23, 1980.

Theodore E. Allison,

Secretary of the Board.

[S-163-80 Filed 1-24-80; 10:44 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

7

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 2 p.m., Thursday, January 31, 1980.

PLACE: Room 532, (open); Room 540 (closed) Federal Trade Commission Building, sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.

STATUS: Parts of this meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Portions Open to Public:

(1) Oral Argument in General Motors Corporation, Docket 9077.

Portions Closed to Public:

(2) Executive Session to discuss Oral Argument in General Motors Corportation Docket 9077.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Ira J. Furman, Office of

Public Information (202) 523–3830; Recorded Message (202) 523–3806.

[S–162–80 Filed 1–24–80; 8:59 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

8

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.

TIME AND DATE: 2:30 p.m., Tuesday, February 5, 1980.

PLACE: Board Conference Room, sixth floor, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20570.

STATUS: Closed to public observation pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552b(c)(2) (internal personnel rules and practices) and (c)(6) (personal information where disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy).

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: Consideration of applicant qualified for appointment to Administrative Law Judge.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Robert Volger, Acting Executive Secretary, Washington, D.C. 20570, Telephone: (202) 254–9430.

Dated: Washington, D.C., January 24, 1980.

By direction of the Board.

George A. Leet,

Associate Executive Secretary.

[S–166–80 Filed 1–24–80; 3:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 7545–01–M

9

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: January 29 (changes) and 30, 1980.

PLACE: Commissioners conference room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open/closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Tuesday, January 29

9:30 a.m.

Discussion and Vote on Philippine Export Application (approximately 2 hours, public meeting).

Note.—Rescheduled from January 28, 1980.

Wednesday, January 30

9:30 a.m.

Discussion of Delegation of Authority (approximately 2 hours, public meeting).

1:30 p.m.

1. Discussion of Immediately Effective License Amendments (approximately 1 hour, closed—Exemption 10).
2. Time Reserved for Discussion of Management-Organization & Internal Personnel Matters (approximately 1 hour, closed—Exemptions 2 and 6).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Roger Tweed (202) 634–1410.

Roger M. Tweed,

Office of the Secretary.

January 23, 1980.

[S–165–80 Filed 1–24–80; 3:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

10

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

STATUS: Closed meeting.

DATE AND TIME: January 24, 1980, 10 a.m. (See 45 FR 3695; 1–15–80.)

PLACE: Room 825, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C.

The following additional item will be considered at a closed meeting scheduled for Thursday, January 24, 1980, at 10 a.m.

Litigation matter.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, the item to be considered at the closed meeting may be considered pursuant to one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4)(8)(9)(A) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(8)(9)(i) and (10).

Chairman Williams and Commissioners Loomis, Evans, Pollack, and Karmel determined to hold the aforesaid meeting in closed session.

At times changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: John Granda at (202) 272–2091.

January 24, 1980.

[S–169–80 Filed 1–24–80; 3:49 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 45, No. 19

Monday, January 28, 1980

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing 202-523-5240.

Federal Register, Daily Issue:

202-783-3238	Subscription orders (GPO)
202-275-3054	Subscription problems (GPO)
	"Dial-a-Reg" (recorded summary of highlighted documents appearing in next day's issue):
202-523-5022	Washington, D.C.
312-663-0884	Chicago, Ill.
213-688-6694	Los Angeles, Calif.
202-523-3187	Scheduling of documents for publication
523-5240	Photo copies of documents appearing in the Federal Register
523-5237	Corrections
523-5215	Public Inspection Desk
523-5227	Index and Finding Aids
523-5235	Public Briefings: "How To Use the Federal Register."

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR):

523-3419	
523-3517	
523-5227	Index and Finding Aids

Presidential Documents:

523-5233	Executive Orders and Proclamations
523-5235	Public Papers of the Presidents, and Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents

Public Laws:

523-5266	Public Law Numbers and Dates, Slip Laws, U.S.
-5282	Statutes at Large, and Index
275-3030	Slip Law Orders (GPO)

Other Publications and Services:

523-5239	TTY for the Deaf
523-5230	U.S. Government Manual
523-3408	Automation
523-4534	Special Projects
523-3517	Privacy Act Compilation

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES, JANUARY

1-756.....2
757-988.....3
989-1410.....4
1411-1584.....7
1585-1848.....8
1849-2000.....9
2001-2306.....10
2307-2638.....11
2639-2834.....14
2835-3022.....15
3023-3248.....16
3249-3556.....17
3557-3874.....18
3875-4334.....21
4335-5296.....22
5297-5658.....23
5659-6078.....24
6079-6352.....25
6353-6536.....28

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING JANUARY

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a list of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

1 CFR

3.....2639
302.....2307
305.....2307
310.....2307
445.....2001

Proposed Rules:

Ch. I.....2998

3 CFR

Administrative Orders:

Presidential Determinations:

Memorandum of Jan. 16, 1980.....3557
No. 80-9 of December 20, 1979.....1585

Executive Orders:

11269 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
11539 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
11651 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
11703 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
11846 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
11858 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
12096 (Revoked by EO 12188).....989
12186 (Amended by EO 12189).....3559
12187.....3
12188.....989
12189.....3559

Memorandums:

December 14, 1979 (Amended by EO 12188).....989
December 27, 1979.....1
January 2, 1980.....759

Proclamations:

4710.....757
4711.....1587
4712.....2835
4713.....3561
4714.....3875
4715.....4335
4716.....5659
4717.....6079

4 CFR

Proposed Rules:

404.....48
421.....1038

5 CFR

Ch. XIV.....761, 3482, 3522
2.....4337
4.....4337
5.....4337
175.....995
213.....5, 3565
334.....995
540.....1591
630.....1591
733.....1592
771.....5, 4338
831.....996, 2837, 4338
900.....3565
1250.....2837
1251.....2837
1252.....2837
1253.....2837
1254.....2837
1255.....2837
1256.....2837
1257.....2837
1258.....2837
1259.....2837
1260.....2837
1261.....2837
1262.....2837
1263.....2837
1264.....2837
1265.....2837
1266.....2837
1267.....2837
1268.....2837
1269.....2837

Proposed Rules:

335.....1040
351.....1040
410.....2327, 6114
432.....1040
733.....6114
752.....1040
771.....1040
831.....1041
1320.....2586

6 CFR

705.....966, 1816, 3216, 3217, 3247, 4338, 5297
706.....966, 3247, 5297
707.....966, 5297

7 CFR

2.....1411
12.....6020
27.....761
102.....5661
210.....996, 999
225.....1844
226.....4960
235.....999, 3565
245.....999
272.....2602
273.....2602
722.....2310
724.....1001
729.....6081

907.....762, 2001, 3249, 5663
910.....1001, 2310, 3567, 6082
971.....10
984.....1593
989.....3877
1064.....3878
1133.....2639
1280.....2641
1421.....3023
1701.....4340
1809.....2641
1822.....2641
1843.....1593
1864.....1002
1890t.....3249
1922.....2641
1942.....1002
1944.....1411, 2641
1951.....1002
1955.....1002
2852.....4340, 4344
2900.....5297

Proposed Rules:

210.....1041, 3592
235.....1041
273.....3593
301.....1615
331.....1615
781.....6115
907.....1621
979.....1887
985.....1888
989.....4358
1001.....3593
1002.....3593
1004.....3593
1006.....3593
1007.....3593
1011.....3593
1012.....3593
1013.....3593
1030.....3593
1032.....3593
1033.....3593
1036.....3593
1040.....3593
1044.....3593
1046.....3593
1049.....3593
1050.....3593
1062.....3593
1064.....1908, 3593
1068.....3593
1071.....3593
1073.....3593
1075.....3593
1076.....3593
1079.....3593
1094.....3593
1096.....3593
1097.....3593
1098.....3593
1099.....3593
1102.....3593
1104.....3593
1106.....3593
1108.....3593
1120.....3593
1124.....3593
1125.....3593
1126.....3593
1131.....3593
1132.....3593
1133.....3593
1134.....3593
1135.....3593
1136.....3593
1137.....3593
1138.....3593
1139.....3593
1280.....2657
1421.....1042
1427.....5307
1701.....2848, 4358
2852.....1046
2853.....1049

9 CFR

75.....1002, 2837
79.....6083
82.....2311
92.....1003, 2838

Proposed Rules:

50.....1622
92.....2849
113.....4359
303.....2328
381.....2328

10 CFR

2.....3250
34.....2312
205.....5663
211.....12,
212.....1582,
436.....5620
507.....6084
797.....3538

Proposed Rules:

2.....3594, 5308
50.....2330, 2669, 3056, 3913
51.....3056
70.....1625
73.....1625, 2657
211.....799, 3060
212.....799, 3060
430.....1298, 2632, 5602, 6116
435.....4359

11 CFR

Proposed Rules:

100.....5546
101.....5546
102.....5546
103.....5546
104.....5546
105.....5546
106.....5546
107.....5546
108.....5546
109.....5546
110.....5546
111.....5546
112.....5546
113.....5546
114.....5546
115.....5546
140.....5546
141.....5546
142.....5546
143.....5546
144.....5546
145.....5546
146.....5546
9008.....5546
9031.....5546
9032.....5546
9033.....5546
9034.....5546
9035.....5546
9036.....5546
9037.....5546
9038.....5546

12 CFR

4.....12, 13
18.....15
23.....13
202.....3563
226.....3879, 4345
304.....1594
349.....1594
525.....1849
526.....1853
545.....1849, 1853
563.....1849, 1853
590.....1953, 2840
600.....1594
615.....1594
720.....17

Proposed Rules:

1.....6407
545.....1425, 4360
561.....4360, 4361
563.....1916, 4361

13 CFR

101.....2001
107.....2312
111.....6405
121.....2840
122.....2312
130.....1411

Proposed Rules:

107.....3917

14 CFR

25.....3880
39.....762, 763, 1412, 1414, 2002–2010, 2646–2649, 3251–3254, 3883, 3884, 5666–5669, 6353
61.....5670
63.....5670
71.....300, 764, 765, 2011–2013, 2649, 3256, 3885–3887, 5673, 5674, 6354–6360
73.....300, 765, 2013, 3887, 5675, 6360
75.....300, 765
91.....1414
97.....765, 2016, 5675
121.....3880, 5677
127.....5677
135.....5677
145.....5677
183.....1415
212.....2313
214.....2313
221.....5298
380.....1855
385.....1857, 2018
399.....2018
1203.....3888
1207.....5298
1209.....1006

Proposed Rules:

Ch. I.....799, 3316
39.....2657, 5741, 6407
61.....3324
71.....2048–2051, 2658–2661, 3325–3329, 3918–3922, 6408–6414
73.....2051, 5744
75.....5746
93.....4314
107.....1427, 3329
108.....1427, 3329
121.....1427, 3324, 3329
129.....1427, 3329
135.....1427, 3329
159.....2661, 4314
207.....2331
208.....2331
212.....2331
214.....2331
223.....1918
225.....1918
249.....2331
399.....3595

15 CFR

Ch. III.....1595
302.....767
371.....1595, 6362
373.....1595
376.....1595, 1883
377.....1007
385.....1595, 6362
386.....1883
390.....3027
399.....1595, 1883, 6362
931.....4306
2301.....1988

Proposed Rules:

806.....1049

16 CFR

13.....1011, 1857
255.....3870
438.....1011

Proposed Rules:

419.....4363
439.....3060
454.....4363
1212.....3762

17 CFR

1.....2019, 2314
145.....2019, 3257
147.....2019
211.....20, 1416
230.....1601, 6362
231.....3258
239.....6362
240.....5299
241.....3258
270.....1860
271.....3258

Proposed Rules:

200.....1627
201.....5934
210.....5943, 5963
229.....5943, 5972
230.....5934
231.....5972
239.....5934, 5943, 5972
240.....5934, 5943, 5963, 5972
241.....5972
249.....5963

18 CFR

3.....6376
4.....6377
35.....3888
46.....3568, 6377
154.....3888

157 ... 1861
201 ... 767, 5677
204 ... 767
270 ... 5678
271 ... 1862, 5678, 5685
274 ... 3890, 3898
282 ... 21, 767, 1872, 3569, 5677
284 ... 1872, 6377
290 ... 2023

Proposed Rules:
2 ... 5321
3 ... 5309
141 ... 48
260 ... 48, 5309
271 ... 2344, 2873, 5321, 5747, 6415
274 ... 2344
280 ... 2052
282 ... 1081, 3330
284 ... 2052

19 CFR
4 ... 3570
10 ... 3901
11 ... 3901
24 ... 3901
101 ... 3573
127 ... 3901
132 ... 3901
141 ... 3901
142 ... 3901
143 ... 1012, 3901
144 ... 3901
151 ... 3901
153 ... 1013, 1417
158 ... 3901
159 ... 25, 1013, 2650, 3901
172 ... 3901
173 ... 3901
355 ... 4932

Proposed Rules:
6 ... 1633
10 ... 1633

20 CFR
208 ... 3259
260 ... 3259, 5685
404 ... 1605, 1611
614 ... 1014
615 ... 797, 1015
676 ... 1016
725 ... 27, 1017

Proposed Rules:
404 ... 2345
416 ... 2345
651 ... 2498
653 ... 2498
656 ... 4918
658 ... 2498

21 CFR
14 ... 4353
16 ... 3732
20 ... 3732
104 ... 6314
108 ... 1612
146 ... 1612
175 ... 2841
177 ... 2842
178 ... 1018
182 ... 1019, 6084
184 ... 6084
186 ... 6084
193 ... 1418
510 ... 2314
520 ... 3573, 3574, 6086
522 ... 1019
540 ... 1613
558 ... 1020, 2314, 6087
701 ... 3574
809 ... 3732
812 ... 3732

Proposed Rules:
172 ... 1085
182 ... 3598, 6117
184 ... 3598, 6117
186 ... 3598, 6117
452 ... 1085
600 ... 2852
610 ... 2852, 6120
640 ... 2852, 2854
1308 ... 3923

22 CFR
525 ... 28
710 ... 5685

Proposed Rules:
Ch. II ... 1641
Ch. V ... 1641
143 ... 1638

23 CFR
260 ... 6377
420 ... 1418
620 ... 1418

Proposed Rules:
450 ... 2296
476 ... 2296
625 ... 982, 5750
655 ... 982, 5750
663 ... 952

24 CFR
201 ... 6088
203 ... 3901
207 ... 3902
213 ... 3902
220 ... 3901, 3902
221 ... 3902
222 ... 3901
226 ... 3901
231 ... 3902
234 ... 3901, 3902
236 ... 3902
300 ... 3029
805 ... 3029

Proposed Rules:
200 ... 5754, 6415
201 ... 4364
234 ... 4332
570 ... 802
881 ... 3602
883 ... 3330
885 ... 802
888 ... 802, 2534

25 CFR
23 ... 2315
233 ... 5686
261 ... 2026

Proposed Rules:
43a ... 2665, 5754

26 CFR
1 ... 6088
5 ... 5688
10 ... 6378
15 ... 6088
16 ... 6088
20 ... 6088
25 ... 6088
31 ... 6088
36 ... 6088
41 ... 6088
44 ... 6088
45 ... 6088
46 ... 6088
47 ... 6088
48 ... 6088
49 ... 6088
53 ... 6088
144 ... 6088
145 ... 6088
154 ... 6088
301 ... 6088
400 ... 6088
404 ... 3904

Proposed Rules:
1 ... 2349, 3602, 3924, 5754, 6415
7 ... 3924
31 ... 4364

27 CFR
170 ... 5694
231 ... 5694
240 ... 5694

Proposed Rules:
4 ... 2855
5 ... 50
296 ... 2855

28 CFR
2 ... 6379-6381
14 ... 2650
16 ... 5301
58 ... 1836, 2316

Proposed Rules:
31 ... 2808

29 CFR
24 ... 1836
511 ... 6092
1601 ... 1876
1613 ... 29, 780
1990 ... 5002
2610 ... 2026

Proposed Rules:
4 ... 2350
9 ... 1642
32 ... 1392
42 ... 2528

30 CFR
251 ... 6338
722 ... 1020, 2626
843 ... 1877, 2626

Proposed Rules:
211 ... 5534
722 ... 5540
723 ... 5540
800 ... 6028
801 ... 6028
805 ... 6028
806 ... 6028
807 ... 6028
808 ... 6028
843 ... 5540
845 ... 5540
870 ... 2805
872 ... 2805
874 ... 2805
877 ... 2805
879 ... 2805
882 ... 2805
884 ... 2805
886 ... 2805
888 ... 2805

31 CFR
240 ... 1020, 5698
332 ... 4230
350 ... 3261
535 ... 1877

32 CFR
65 ... 3905
801 ... 3030
909 ... 6381

Proposed Rules:
651 ... 1086

32A CFR
Ch. VI ... 30

33 CFR
127 ... 1418
164 ... 2027
165 ... 1418
183 ... 2028
207 ... 2317

Proposed Rules
Ch. I ... 2052
207 ... 1919

36 CFR
28 ... 3261
222 ... 30
805 ... 4353
1202 ... 4355
1215 ... 5302
1226 ... 780

Proposed Rules:
222 ... 1108
1227 ... 3924

38 CFR
3 ... 1877, 2318
21 ... 30

Proposed Rules:
3 ... 6416
17 ... 3061, 5348
21 ... 803, 2667, 5350
36 ... 3330, 3926

39 CFR
233 ... 1613
310 ... 3034

Proposed Rules:
310 ... 1427
320 ... 1427

40 CFR
52 ... 780-782, 1022, 1024, 1419, 2031-2036, 2319, 3270, 3603, 3906, 4355, 5303, 5698, 6092
60 ... 3034, 5616
65 ... 3035, 4356, 5303
81 ... 2036, 2044, 6103
86 ... 4136
87 ... 1419
180 ... 3907, 4356, 6103
201 ... 1252
228 ... 3053
454 ... 3604

Proposed Rules:
Ch. I.....52, 3316
Ch. V.....1429
51.....6120
52.....52, 1108, 1429, 1643, 2054, 2351, 3331, 3333, 3928, 3929, 4365, 6121, 6417
60.....2790, 3333
62.....3334
81.....1647, 3333, 6418
86.....5988, 6012
180.....2058, 2351, 2352, 3938
201.....1109
250.....2059
401.....803
403.....3063
410.....53, 806
425.....53
429.....53
446.....912
447.....928
454.....53, 3335

41 CFR

Ch. 101.....3271, 6104
3-16.....5702
3-55.....5702
8-75.....3035
9-7.....942
101-11.....5704
109-1.....943
109-60.....943

Proposed Rules:
1-15.....3296
24-1.....1109
60-1.....4954

42 CFR

66.....1822
85.....2651
85a.....2651
110.....6058
431.....6326, 6331

Proposed Rules:
2.....53
52h.....5351
74.....2353
405.....2353

43 CFR

Ch. II.....3037
3.....5304
4.....5713
29.....1026
31.....783
401.....1878
403.....1878
406.....1879

Proposed Rules:
17.....976
1880.....6054

44 CFR

5.....1421
64.....3578
65.....2322, 3580
67.....2655, 5714, 5719
70.....6382-6399

Proposed Rules:
67.....3604-3617, 5351, 5352, 5356, 5780

45 CFR

122a.....6044
205.....6326, 6333
601.....1422
640.....39

Proposed Rules:
Ch. XII.....1430
8.....2353
64.....5648
540.....806
1060.....3335

46 CFR

4.....2045
5.....2045
502.....1879, 2325
512.....3272
514.....3311

Proposed Rules:
Ch. I.....2052
Ch. IV.....6122
42.....5780
93.....5780

47 CFR

0.....4357, 6104
5.....4357
21.....4357
22.....4357
23.....4357
25.....4357
63.....3037
64.....3037
73.....1880, 1881, 1919-1923, 1976, 2844, 3907-3910, 4357, 5304, 5305, 6105, 6399
74.....4357
76.....6403
78.....4357
81.....4357
83.....1924
87.....4357
90.....4357
94.....4357
95.....4357
97.....4357, 6106
99.....4357

Proposed Rules:
0.....3064
1.....3335, 3349
2.....2060, 2859, 3064, 3349
22.....2859
56.....1431
61.....3064, 3353
63.....2066, 3064
67.....4365
73.....2067, 3070, 3071, 3939-3941, 5358, 5359, 6122, 6124, 6126, 6419
76.....3071
81.....3064
83.....3064
90.....2067, 3086, 3349
94.....2060, 2069
97.....2071
401.....1431

49 CFR

Ch. X.....5306
1.....783, 2655
173.....5737
192.....3272
531.....5738
571.....6404
580.....784
1001.....3580
1011.....3580, 5739
1033.....42, 43, 784, 785, 1881, 1882, 2325, 2655, 2656, 4357
1062.....3586
1064.....3912
1100.....3580, 5739
1111.....6107
1127.....43
1131.....3580
1131a.....3580
1249.....3588

Proposed Rules:
Ch. X.....1434, 2354
395.....5781
571.....807
575.....807
1001.....1434
1011.....1434
1060.....6127
1082.....6127
1100.....1434
1109.....3353
1127.....55
1131.....1434
1131a.....1434
1136.....2871
1201.....809
1241.....809
1249.....3618
1301.....56

50 CFR

26.....3052
32.....3053
33.....1026, 1027, 2046, 3589
296.....6062
603.....3590
611.....785, 1028, 6405
652.....786
661.....6127
671.....785, 1613

Proposed Rules:
13.....809
17.....5782
22.....809
23.....1110
107.....2616
230.....4366
251.....2636
255.....2636
259.....2636
601.....3618
611.....56, 2354
651.....1112
676.....3619

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The following agencies have agreed to publish all documents on two assigned days of the week (Monday/Thursday or Tuesday/Friday).

This is a voluntary program. (See OFR NOTICE FR 32914, August 6, 1976.)

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS		DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS
DOT/FAA	USDA/FNS		DOT/FAA	USDA/FNS
DOT/FHWA	USDA/FSQS		DOT/FHWA	USDA/FSQS
DOT/FRA	USDA/REA		DOT/FRA	USDA/REA
DOT/NHTSA	MSPB/OPM		DOT/NHTSA	MSPB/OPM
DOT/RSPA	LABOR		DOT/RSPA	LABOR
DOT/SLSDC	HEW/FDA		DOT/SLSDC	HEW/FDA
DOT/UMTA			DOT/UMTA	
CSA			CSA	

Documents normally scheduled for publication on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408

REMINDERS

The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to **Federal Register** users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.

Rules Going Into Effect Today

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

76510 12–27–79 / Floodplain management and protection of wetlands

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

Land Management Bureau—

76982 12–28–79 / Wild free-roaming horse and burro protection, management and control

LABOR DEPARTMENT

Federal Contract Compliance Programs Office—

77000 12–28–79 / Compliance responsibility for equal employment opportunity

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

76774 12–28–79 / Securities position listings; clearing agencies required to provide listings to issuers

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Federal Aviation Administration—

1415 1–7–80 / Designated manufacturing inspection representatives; use of

List of Public Laws

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's **List of Public Laws.**

THE FEDERAL REGISTER: WHAT IT IS AND HOW TO USE IT

FOR: Any person who uses the Federal Register and Code of Federal Regulations.

WHO: The Office of the Federal Register.

WHAT: Free public briefings (approximately 2½ hours) to present:

1. The regulatory process, with a focus on the Federal Register system and the public's role in the development of regulations.
2. The relationship between Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations.
3. The important elements of typical Federal Register documents.
4. An introduction to the finding aids of the FR/CFR system.

WHY: To provide the public with access to information necessary to research Federal agency regulations which directly affect them, as part of the General Services Administration's efforts to encourage public participation in Government actions. There will be no discussion of specific agency regulations.

WASHINGTON, D.C.

WHEN: Feb. 22; March 7 and 21; at 9 a.m. (identical sessions)

WHERE: Office of the Federal Register, Room 9409, 1100 L Street N.W., Washington, D.C.

RESERVATIONS: Call Mike Smith, Workshop Coordinator, 202–523–5235 or Gwendolyn Henderson, Assistant Coordinator, 202–523–5234.

Just Released

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

(Revised as of July 1, 1979)

Quantity	Volume	Price	Amount
_____	Title 6—Economic Stabilization	$3.00	$_____
_____	Title 31—Money and Finance: Treasury	8.50	_____
		Total Order	$_____

[A Cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1979 appears in the back of the first issue of the Federal Register each month in the Reader Aids section. In addition, a checklist of current CFR volumes, comprising a complete CFR set, appears each month in the LSA (List of CFR Sections Affected).]

PLEASE DO NOT DETACH

MAIL ORDER FORM To:

Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed find $..... (check or money order) or charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

Please send me ..... copies of:

PLEASE FILL IN MAILING LABEL BELOW

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.

Enclosed .....
To be mailed later .....
Subscription .....
Refund .....
Postage .....
Foreign Handling .....

FOR PROMPT SHIPMENT, PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ADDRESS ON LABEL BELOW, INCLUDING YOUR ZIP CODE

SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
375
SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE
BOOK

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....