AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

Vol.42—No.213
11–4–77
PAGES
57683–57939

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1977



highlights

SUNSHINE ACT MEETINGS 57781

GOVERNMENT REAL ESTATE AND CHATTEL PROPERTIES
USDA/FmHA allows FmHA officials to utilize local law enforcement personnel to protect acquired properties and to prosecute suspected offenders; effective 11–4–77; comments by 12–5–77 57684

INTERNATIONAL BOYCOTT GUIDELINES
Treasury/Secy. announces enforcement policy 57779

LIMULUS AMEBOCYTE LYSATE (LAL)
HEW/FDA announces licensing as alternative for rabbit test for detecting pyrogens; effective 11–4–77 57749

FEDERALLY OWNED MINERAL INTERESTS
Interior/BLM proposes procedure under which Secretary of Interior will exercise authority to convey; comments by 1–3–78 (Part III of this issue) 57862

SOCIAL SECURITY
HEW/Secy. announces contribution and benefit base 57754

TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIPS
Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation announces closing date for nominations of 12–8–77 57746

TOXIC SUBSTANCES STRATEGY COMMITTEE
CEQ publishes work plan for public comment; comments by 12–9–77 (Part IV of this issue) 57866

TRANSATLANTIC SUPER-APEX FARES
CAB suspends fares pending investigation 57713

FEDERAL CREDIT UNIONS
National Credit Union Administration prescribes surety bond coverage; effective 12–5–77 57685

FUND FOR IMPROVEMENT OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
HEW/OE announces closing dates for receipt of applications for FY 1978; preapplications by 1–16–78; noncompeting continuation applications by 2–1–78 and final applications by 3–21–78 (2 documents) 57753, 57754

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH
HEW/CDC requests information on practices relating to confined spaces; comments by 1–3–78 57747
HEW/CDC requests comments by 12–19–77 on certain chemical and physical agents and industrial processes 57747

CONTINUED INSIDE

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The six-month trial period ended August 6. The program is being continued on a voluntary basis (see OFR notice, 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976). The following agencies have agreed to remain in the program:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/ASCS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/ASCS
DOT/NHTSA	USDA/APHIS		DOT/NHTSA	USDA/APHIS
DOT/FAA	USDA/FNS		DOT/FAA	USDA/FNS
DOT/OHMO	USDA/REA		DOT/OHMO	USDA/REA
DOT/OPSO	CSC		DOT/OPSO	CSC
	LABOR			LABOR
	HEW/ADAMHA			HEW/ADAMHA
	HEW/CDC			HEW/CDC
	HEW/FDA			HEW/FDA
	HEW/HRA			HEW/HRA
	HEW/HSA			HEW/HSA
	HEW/NIH			HEW/NIH
	HEW/PHS			HEW/PHS

Documents normally scheduled on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

Note: As of Nov. 1, 1977, Nuclear Regulatory Commission documents are no longer being assigned to the Monday/Thursday schedule.

ATTENTION: For questions, corrections, or requests for information please see the list of telephone numbers appearing on opposite page.

federal register
Area Code 202 Phone 523-5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing **202–523–5240.**

FEDERAL REGISTER, Daily Issue:

Subscription orders (GPO)	202–783–3238
Subscription problems (GPO)	202–275–3050
"Dial - a - Regulation" (recorded summary of highlighted documents appearing in next day's issue).	202–523–5022
Scheduling of documents for publication.	523–3187
Copies of documents appearing in the Federal Register.	523–5240
Corrections	523–5237
Public Inspection Desk	523–5215
Finding Aids	523–5227
Public Briefings: "How To Use the Federal Register."	523–3517
Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)	523–3419
	523–3517
Finding Aids	523–5227

PRESIDENTIAL PAPERS:

Executive Orders and Proclamations.	523–5286
Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents.	523–5284
Public Papers of the Presidents	523–5285
Index	523–5285

PUBLIC LAWS:

Public Law dates and numbers	523–5266
	523–5282
Slip Laws	523–5266
	523–5282
U.S. Statutes at Large	523–5266
	523–5282
Index	523–5266
	523–5282
U.S. Government Manual	523–5287
Automation	523–5240
Special Projects	523–4534

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT

State publishes applications for permits to fish off coasts of U.S. (2 documents) (Part VI of this issue) 57886, 57888

COST FOR CERTAIN DRUGS

HEW/HCFA proposes maximum allowable cost for tetracycline HCL, propoxyphene HCL, propoxyphene HCL with APC and chlordiazepoxide HCL; comments by 12–5–77; hearing 12–9–77 57750

MEETINGS—

USDA/FNS: Advisory Council on Maternal, Infant and Fetal Nutrition, 11–16–77 57700
Commerce/NOAA: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 10–16 and 10–17–77 57716
HEW/HRA: Graduate Medical Education National Advisory Committee, 12–8 and 12–9–77 57751
NIH: Aging Review Committee; 12–9–77 57752
Bladder Cancer Screening State-of-the-Art Conference; 12–5 thru 12–7–77 57752
Cooley's anemia research and treatment state-of-the-art meeting; 11–29–77 57752
Cooperative Group Chairman Meeting of Clinical Investigations Branch, Division of Cancer Treatment; 12–14–77 57753
Mental Retardation Research Committee; 12–8 thru 12–9–77 57752
National Cancer Institute advisory committees, December meetings 57751
Public Health Service Genetics Coordinating Committee meeting on National Genetic Diseases Act; 12–7 thru 12–8–77 57755
Labor/ETA: Federal Committee on Apprenticeship, Subcommittee on Research; 11–22–77 57764
National Credit Union Board; 12–6 thru 12–7–77 57776
NSF: Assessment of Science Education in Two Year Colleges; 11–28 thru 11–29–77 57776
Federal Scientific and Technical Information Managers; 11–9–77 57777
Ocean Sciences Advisory Committee, Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Environmental Forecasting Program; 11–21–77 57776

CANCELED MEETING—

HEW/Secy.: President's Committee on Mental Retardation; 10–28 thru 10–30–77 57754

HEARINGS—

HEW/PHS: Development of information relating to the National Genetic Diseases Act, 12–7 and 12–8–77 57755
ITC: Articles being considered for removal from and inclusion to list of articles eligible for duty-free treatment under GSP; 11–16 and 11–12–77 57761

SEPARATE PARTS OF THIS ISSUE

Part II, HUD/FIA 57852
Part III, Interior/BLM 57862
Part IV, CEQ 57866
Part V, Labor/ESA 57872
Part VI, State 57886

contents

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules

Lemons grown in Ariz. and Calif. 57684
Raisins produced from grapes grown in Calif.; correction 57683

Proposed Rules

Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Fla 57694

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Farmers Home Administration; Food and Nutrition Service; Rural Electrification Administration.

Rules

Surplus agricultural commodities; deletion of CFR Part 57683

BLIND AND OTHER SEVERELY HANDICAPPED, COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM

Notices

Procurement list, 1977; additions and deletions (4 documents) 57717

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Certificate of public convenience and necessity; applications 57701
Hearings, etc.:
Aerolineas Argentinas 57701
Airlift International, Inc., et al. 57703
Avalon Aviaton Ltd 57703
California-Nevada low fare route proceeding et al 57705
Kenn Borek Air Ltd 57706
KLM Royal Dutch Airlines et al. 57709
Kodiak-Western Alaska Airlines, Inc 57710
Transatlantic super-APEX fares 57713
United Air Lines, Inc 57714
Wapiti Aviation Ltd 57711

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Rules

Excepted service:
Health, Education, and Welfare Department 57683
Labor Department 57683
United States Administrative Conference 57683

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Economic Development Administration; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Rules

Consumer product information labeling programs, voluntary: Participation; suspension of fees and charges 57686

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Personnel management, grantee; salary checkoff for political activities, prohibition 57693

Proposed Rules

Financial management, grantee:
Professional organizations; dues from grant funds; postponement of final rule 57698
Personnel management grants:
Out-of-State travel charges, restrictions; postponement of final rule 57699

DISEASE CONTROL CENTER

Notices

Advisory Committees; annual reports filed, availability 57747
Occupational safety and health standards:
Chemical and physical agents and industrial processes; exposure levels, safe standards for employment; inquiry 57747
Confined spaces; inquiry 57747

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Local public works capital development and investment program Round II; reimbursement for architect-engineer fees; correction 57685

EDUCATION OFFICE

Notices

Applications and proposals, closing dates:
Postsecondary education improvement fund (2 documents) 57753, 57754

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Employment transfer and business competition determinations; financial assistance applications 57762
Indian and Native American economic stimulus program; fund allocation, 1978 FY 57765
Meetings:
Apprenticeship Federal Committee, Research Subcommittee 57764
Unemployment compensation, emergency:
Federal supplemental benefits; ending periods, Conn 57764

EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Minimum wages for Federal and federally-assisted construction; general wage determination decisions, modifications, and supersedeas decisions 57872

ENERGY DEPARTMENT

See also Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Notices

Appeals and applications for exception, etc.; cases filed with Administrative Review Office:
List of applicants, etc 57720
Consent orders:
Smackover Producing Co 57720

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Notices

Toxic substances control:
Proposed guidance on notification of substantial risk; supplemental notice 57744

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL

Notices

Environmental statements; availability, etc 57718
Environmental statements, receipt and filing; transfer of functions to EPA 57719
Toxic Substances Strategy Committee; work plan 57866

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Real estate and chattel properties:
Management; local law enforcement agencies; use by FmHA 57684

Notices

Disaster and emergency areas:
North Dakota 57700

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

Amateur radio service:
Licensing and operation of complex systems of stations and modification of repeater subbands; correction 57690
FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
Montana 57689

Proposed Rules

FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
Florida; extension of time 57697
Georgia; extension of time 57697
Maryland 57696
Washington 57695
Television broadcast stations:
VHF stations, adding in top 100 markets; extension of time 57698

Notices

Satellite communications services; applications accepted for filing 57744

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Rules

Applications, requests, and submittals:
Authority delegation to General Counsel; levy civil penalties for late filing of reports; correction 57685

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
Alabama Power Co 57722
Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co 57730
Baca Gas Gathering System, Inc., et al.; extension of time 57723
Baltimore Gas & Electric Co 57723
Blackstone Valley Electric Co., et al 57723
Cities Service Gas Co 57731
Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. (2 documents) 57731, 57732
Columbia Gulf Transmission Corp., et al 57732
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative, et al 57724
Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. 57735
Consumers Power Co 57724
Delmarva Power & Light Co 57732
Duke Power Co. (2 documents) 57725
El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al 57725
HBOP, Ltd 57733
Iowa Public Service Co. (2 documents) 57726, 57733
Kansas City Power & Light Co 57726
Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co 57726
Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc 57733
Maine Public Service Co 57727
Mid Louisiana Gas Co., et al 57734
Northville Dock Pipe Line Corp., et al 57735
Northwest Pipeline Corp 57737
Public Service Co. of Indiana, Inc 57728
Puget Sound Power & Light Co 57728
South Carolina Public Service Authority 57742
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp 57738
Texas Power & Light Co 57739
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (3 documents) 57728, 57740, 57741
Transwestern Pipeline Co 57741
United Gas Pipe Line Co. (3 documents) 57729, 57735, 57741
Venus Oil Co 57742
Virginia Electric & Power Co. (3 documents) 57729, 57730
Wisconsin Michigan Power Co., et al 57730

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Colonial Savings & Loan Association 57745

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Flood Insurance Program, National:
Flood elevation determination, etc. (18 documents) 57852–57859

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Freight forwarder licenses:
Ohanneson Freight Forwarding Co 57745
Overseas, Inc 57746
Stewart Corp. et al 57746
Agreements filed, etc.:
Japan Line, Ltd., et al 57745

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Brighton Bancorp 57746
Heaton Bank Holding Co 57746

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules

Hunting:
San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Tex. (2 documents) 57692, 57693

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Color additives, provisionally listed:
Lead acetate, postponement of closing date 57686

Notices

Food additives, petitions filed or withdrawn:
Monsanto Co 57750
Human drugs:
Limulus amebocyte lysate as alternative for rabbit pyrogen test; licensing 57749
Orange juice, frozen concentrated; temporary permit for market testing 57748
Pears, canned chunky; identity standards; temporary permit for market testing 57749
Tomato juice concentrate; temporary permits for market testing 57748

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Food stamp program:
Retail food stores, etc., participation; redemption certificates by receiving banks 57694

Notices

Meetings:
Maternal, Infant and Fetal Nutrition Advisory Council 57700

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

Notices

Regulatory reports review; proposals, approvals, etc. (ICC) 57746

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

See National Archives and Records Service.

HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Proposed maximum allowable cost for certain drugs; public hearing 57750

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Disease Control Center; Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Health Care Financing Administration; Health Resources Administration; National Institutes of Health.

Notices

Contract awards:
Mark Battle Associates, Inc.; development and management of conferences, workshops and seminars 57753
Meetings:
Mental Retardation, President's Committee; cancellation 57754
Social security contribution and benefit base increase 57754

HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meetings:
Graduate Medical Education National Advisory Committee 57751

HISTORIC PRESERVATION, ADVISORY COUNCIL

Notices

Denver regional office; funds approved for retention 57700

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See Federal Insurance Administration; Interstate Land Sales Registration Office.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

Notices

Financial interest statements:
Bleiweis, Julius 57760
Bradford, Von C 57760
Cowles, Edward R 57761
Hoey, Frederick W 57761
Hunt, Herbert H 57761
Kline, John H 57761
Meller, Walter A 57761
Nichols, Thomas C., Jr 57761
Salo, John V 57761
Schultz, Merrill S 57761

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notices

Generalized System of Preferences; eligible articles; list 57761

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules

Freight forwarders:
Export traffic; Atlantic, Gulf Coast, or Canadian ports 57692
Railroad car service orders; various companies:
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad Co 57691
Clinchfield Railroad Co 57691

Proposed Rules

Motor carriers, for-hire; substitution of single-line for joint-line operations, and for-hire service for proprietary operations; extension of time 57699

Notices

Fourth section applications for relief 57780
Hearing assignments 57780

INTERSTATE LAND SALES REGISTRATION OFFICE

Notices

Land developers; investigatory hearings, orders of suspension, etc.:
Aquilla Creek Estates 57756
Banks Acres, et al 57756
Brierwood Bay 57756
Indian Shores 57757
Lakeaire 57757
Montgomery Estates, Units 4, 5, and 7, et al 57757
Pine Trail Shores 57758
Tahoe Tyrol 57758

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See also Employment and Training Administration; Employment Standards Administration; Wage and Hour Division.

Notices

Unemployment compensation:
State laws, certification (2 documents) 57765
Adjustment assistance:
Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. 57765
Anaconda Co 57766
Coleman Products Co 57767
D'Mia Fashions 57768
Duval Corp. et al 57775
Evart Products Co 57769
Interlake, Inc 57770
Kingston Fashions, Inc 57770
Litton Industrial Products, Inc. 57770
Royal Shoe Manufacturing Co., Inc 57771
Sperry Univac 57772
Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. 57772
Whitin Machine Works, Inc 57773
Winters, Jack, AMC, Inc 57774

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Proposed Rules

Land disposition:
Sales; conveyance of federally-owned mineral interests 57862

Notices

Alaska native selections; applications, etc.:
Twin Hills Native Corp 57759

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Clearance of reports; lists of requests 57778

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Property management; archives and records:
Reproduction services; fees; correction 57695

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Federal Credit Unions:
Surety bond coverage 57685

Notices

Meetings:
National Credit Union Board 57776

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Notices

Committees; establishment, renewals, terminations, etc.:
National Bladder Cancer Project Working Cadre et al.; renewals 57753
Genetic disease program, hearing 57755
Meetings:
Aging Review Committee 57752
Bladder cancer screening, conference 57752
Cancer Institute, National; advisory committees 57751
Cancer Treatment Division, Clinical Investigations Branch 57753
Cooley's anemia research and treatment 57752
Mental Retardation Research Committee 57752

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Environmental statements and fishery management plans; availability, etc.:
Atlantic billfishes and sharks 57716
Meetings:
Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council 57716

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notices

Meetings:
Federal Scientific and Technical Information Managers 57777
Ocean Sciences Advisory Committee, Environmental Forecasting Program Review Subcommittee 57776
Science education in two year colleges assessment 57776

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notices

Regulatory guides; issuance and availability 57777
Waste disposal, high level radioactive, repository site suitability criteria; workshop reports, availability 57778
Applications, etc.:
Public Service Co. of Colorado 57777
Sacramento Municipal Utility District 57777

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Engineering services for electric borrowers; contract for construction and design of generating plant; Bulletin 41–1 supplement 57694

Notices

Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
United Power Association 57701
Loan guarantees proposed:
Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc 57700

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
Union Tank Car Co 57779

STATE DEPARTMENT

Rules

Security information; international energy program-related material; correction 57687

Notices

Fishing permits, applications:
German Democratic Republic 57886
Italy et al 57888

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Boycotts, international:
Guidelines, enforcement policy 57779

TRUMAN, HARRY S., SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION

Notices

Scholarship program; closing date for nominations 57746

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

Rules

Puerto Rico; wage orders; certain industries:
Gloves and mittens industry 57688
Leather, leather goods, and related products industry 57687
Men's and boys' clothing and related products industry 57688

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED DURING NOVEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during November.

1 CFR

Ch. I 57115

PROPOSED RULES:

461 57463

3 CFR

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

12016 57297

5 CFR

213 (3 documents) 57115–57117, 57683

7 CFR

6 57117
12 57683
406 57299
409 57299
906 57299
910 57684
931 57117
966 57445
989 57683
1901 57118
1955 57684
2855 57300
2856 57301
2858 57301
2870 57301

PROPOSED RULES:

272 57694
725 57131
905 57694
989 57131
1030 57319
1133 57466
1464 ..... 57319, 57466
1701 57694

9 CFR

73 57118
78 57118
92 57121
362 57121

10 CFR

210 ..... 57320, 57326
211 ..... 57320, 57326
212 ..... 57320, 57326

12 CFR

201 57302
303 57685
701 57685

PROPOSED RULES:

545 57131

13 CFR

317 57685

14 CFR

39 57445
71 ..... 57445, 57446
91 57446
97 57448
250 57326
296 57326
1245 57449

PROPOSED RULES:

71 57468–57470
91 57470
302 57132

15 CFR

16 57686
376 57454

16 CFR

13 ..... 57302–57304, 57455
1012 57121

PROPOSED RULES:

1013 57133
1115 57133
1116 57133
1120 57642
1145 57642
1402 57134

17 CFR

211 57455

PROPOSED RULES:

210 57652

19 CFR

153 57122

20 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

616 57252
618 57256

21 CFR

81 57686
1250 57122
1316 57456

PROPOSED RULES:

207 57137
607 57137
807 57137

22 CFR

9A 57687

PROPOSED RULES:

51 57138

24 CFR

201 57431
203 57433
220 57435
221 57435
222 57435
234 57435
235 57436
841 57305
882 57416
885 57439
1917 ..... 57408–57414, 57852–57859

PROPOSED RULES:

201 57327
880 57632
881 57632
882 57328
883 ..... 57633, 57634
1917 (3 documents) 57133–57140

26 CFR

1 ..... 57122, 57309–57312

27 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

170 57471
194 57471
201 57471

28 CFR

0 57457

29 CFR

602 57687
603 57688
615 57688
1952 57123

PROPOSED RULES:

30 57140
1910 57266
1952 57140

31 CFR

341 57123

32 CFR

203 57123
356 57312

32A CFR

134 57457

33 CFR

86 57648
87 57648
91 57650
135 57650
136 57650

PROPOSED RULES:

208 57141

38 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

2 57328
21 57328

40 CFR

52 ..... 57124, 57459
60 57125

PROPOSED RULES:

51 57471
52 57479

41 CFR

101–11 57314
101–17 57462

PROPOSED RULES:

105–61 57695

42 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

121 57141

43 CFR

31 57462

PROPOSED RULES:

426 57142
2720 57682

45 CFR

19 57317
153 57286
189 57638
1069 57693
1901 57127
1905 57127

45 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

1068 57698
1069 57699

46 CFR

153 57126
381 57126

47 CFR

17 57127
73 57689
97 57690

47 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

73 57695–57698

49 CFR

1033 ..... 57317, 57691
1080 57692
1090 57127

PROPOSED RULES:

391 57488

50 CFR

32 ..... 57692, 57693

PROPOSED RULES:

17 ..... 57329, 57492

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—NOVEMBER

Pages	*Date*
57115–57296	Nov. 1
57297–57443	2
57445–57681	3
57683–57939	4

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

SBA—Small business investment companies; organization as limited partnerships 54271; 10–5–77

Treasury/CS—Vessels in foreign and domestic trades; transportation in bond and merchandise in transit; customs financial and accounting procedure; entry of merchandise; personal declarations and exemptions.
54274; 10–5–77, 54936; 10–12–77

FCC—Amateur radio service; complex systems of stations and modifying repeater subbands; simplifying the licensing and operation 52418; 9–30–77

List of Public Laws

NOTE: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS.

list of cfr parts affected in this issue

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

5 CFR

213 (3 documents) 57683

7 CFR

12 57683
910 57684
989 57683
1955 57684

PROPOSED RULES:

272 57694
905 57694
1701 57694

12 CFR

303 57685
701 57685

13 CFR

317 57685

15 CFR

16 57686

21 CFR

81 57686

22 CFR

9A 57687

24 CFR

1917 (18 documents) 57852–57859

29 CFR

602 57687
603 57688
615 57688

41 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

105–61 57695

43 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

2720 57862

45 CFR

1069 57693

PROPOSED RULES:

1068 57698
1069 57699

47 CFR

73 57689
97 57690

PROPOSED RULES:

73 (5 documents) 57695–57698

49 CFR

1033 (2 documents) 57691
1080 57692

50 CFR

32 ..... 57692, 57693

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

[6325–01]

Title 5—Administrative Personnel

CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Administrative Conference of the United States

AGENCY: Civil Service Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: One position of Administrative Assistant to the Chairman is excepted under Schedule C because it is confidential in nature.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Bohling, 202–632–4533.

Accordingly, 5 CFR 213.3319(b) is added as set out below:

§ 213.3319 **Administrative Conference of the United States.**

* * * * *

(b) One Administrative Assistant to the Chairman.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–1958 Comp., 218.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.77–32178 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6325–01]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Labor

AGENCY: Civil Service Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: One position of Special Assistant to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training is excepted under Schedule C because it is confidential in nature.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 3, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Bohling, 202–632–4533.

Accordingly, 5 CFR 213.3315(a)(64) is added as set out below:

§ 213.3315 **Department of Labor.**

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(64) One Special Assistant to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.77–32176 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6325–01]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

AGENCY: Civil Service Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: One additional position of Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Education is excepted under Schedule C because it is confidential in nature.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Bohling, 202–632–4533.

Accordingly, 5 CFR 213.3316(r)(1) is amended as set out below:

§ 213.3316 **Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.**

* * * * *

(r) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Education.*

(1) Two Special Assistants to the Assistant Secretary.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.77–32177 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[3410–05]

Title 7—Agriculture

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

PART 12—DETERMINATION OF SURPLUS AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES UNDER SECTION 211 OF THE AGRICULTURAL ACT OF 1956

Deletion of Part

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Section 211 of the Agricultural Act of 1956 directed that determinations of surplus argricultural commodities be made for a period of 3 years. Those determinations were made; the requirement is obsolete. The only effect of this rule is to delete the obsolete 7 CFR Part 12 from the Code of Federal Regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adrian Crawford, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Box 2415, Washington, D.C. 20013, 202–447–2341.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: It is the general policy of the Department of Agriculture to invite comments regarding the development of proposed rules; however, this action consists only of the deletion of an outmoded regulation and no purpose would be served in inviting comments.

The following part contained in Title 7 CFR is deleted:

PART 12—DETERMINATION OF SURPLUS AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES UNDER SECTION 211 OF THE AGRICULTURAL ACT OF 1956

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 25, 1977.

BOB BERGLAND,
Secretary of Agriculture.

[FR Doc.77–31764 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[3410–02]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 989—RAISINS PRODUCED FROM GRAPES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Changes To Improve Administrative Operating Procedures; Correction

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Correction of final rule.

SUMMARY: This document corrects printing instructions in a final rule, making changes to improve administrative operating procedures which appeared at page 52374 in the Friday, September 30, 1977, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles R. Brader, 202–447–6393.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 77–28925 appearing at page 52374 in the September 30, 1977, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, under Subpart—Supplementary Regulations on page

52377, printing instructions should have been included to amend § 989.210 by changing the words "natural Thompson Seedless" to "Natural (sun-dried) Seedless". These instructions were omitted.

Dated: October 31, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[FR Doc.77-32163 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3410-02]

[Lemon Regulation 118]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes the quantity of fresh California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to market during the period November 6-12, 1977. Such action is needed to provide for orderly marketing of fresh lemons for this period due to the marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 6, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles R. Brader, 202-447-3545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Findings. Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, and upon other information, it is found that the limitation of handling of lemons, as hereafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

The committee met on November 1, 1977, to consider supply and market conditions and other factors affecting the need for regulation and recommended a quantity of lemons deemed advisable to be handled during the specified week. The committee reports the demand for lemons continues to ease on all sizes and grades.

It is further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking, and postpone the effective date until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553), because of insufficient time between the date when information became available upon which this regulation is based and the effective date necessary to effectuate the declared policy of the act. Interested persons were given an opportunity to submit information and views on the regulation at an open meeting. It is necessary to effectuate the declared purposes of the act to make these regulatory provisions effective as specified, and handlers have been apprised of such provisions and the effective time.

§ 910.418 Lemon Regulation 118.

Order. (a) The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period November 6, 1977, through November 12, 1977, is established at 200,000 cartons.

(b) As used in this section, "handled" and "carton(s)" mean the same as defined in the marketing order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674.)

Dated: November 3, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-32275 Filed 11-3-77;12:42 pm]

[3410-07]

CHAPTER XVIII—FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER K—PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

[FmHA Instruction 1955-B]

PART 1955—REAL ESTATE AND CHATTEL PROPERTIES

Subpart B—Management of Property

USE OF LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES BY THE FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) amends its regulation to allow FmHA officials to utilize local law enforcement personnel to protect acquired properties and to prosecute suspected offenders. The intended effect of this action is to provide the Government with added protection for its acquired and abandoned security properties.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 1977. Comments must be received on or before December 5, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 6316, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written comments made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the address given above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. R. M. Yates, 202-447-3752.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 1955.63 of Subpart B of Part 1955, Title 7 in the Code of Federal Regulations (41 FR 32698) is amended. Paragraph (c) of this section is revised to reflect the recent amendment in administrative regulations allowing FmHA officials to utilize local law enforcement officer(s) to protect acquired or abandoned security properties and to prosecute suspected offenders. This revision will provide cooperation between FmHA officials and local law enforcement officers in the protection of acquired or abandoned security properties. It is the policy of this Department that rules relating to public property, loans, grants, benefits or contracts shall be published for comment notwithstanding the exemption in 5 U.S.C. 553 with respect to such rules. These amendments, however, are not published for proposed rulemaking since the purpose of the change is to enable the Government to more adequately protect inventory property and any delay would be contrary to the public interest. The agency is, however, interested in receiving public comments. Such comments may be sent to the address given in the "address" section of the Preamble.

Accordingly, as revised, § 1955.63(c) reads as follows:

§ 1955.63 Management of acquired real estate.

* * * * *

(c) *Vandalism or theft*—(1) *Reporting.* The County Supervisor will promptly report theft or willful damage of acquired or abandoned security property to local law enforcement official(s). A written report of the situation will be sent to the State Director with a copy to the Office of Investigation, Regional Director.

(2) *Other actions.* The County Supervisor will cooperate with the local law enforcement official(s) to resolve the incident. This will include such actions as signing complaints and testifying at hearings and trials involving any criminal acts which come under the jurisdiction of the Local Law Enforcement system. Civil actions and prosecution under Federal criminal statutes will still be processed through OGC.

(3) *Assistance.* The State Director will provide advice and assistance as appropriate to the County Supervisor. The State Director will consult and obtain assistance from the Regional Attorney as needed.

* * * * *

(7 U.S.C. 1989; (42 U.S.C. 1480; 42 U.S.C. 2942; 5 U.S.C. 301); sec. 10 Pub. L. 93-357, 86 Stat. 392 delegation of authority by the Sec. of Agri., 7 CFR 2.23; delegation of authority by the Asst. Sec. for Rural Development, 7 CFR 2.70; delegations of authority by Dir., OEO 29 FR 14764, 33 FR 9850.)

NOTE.—The Farmers Home Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

Dated: October 25, 1977.

GORDON CAVANAUGH,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.77-31959 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[1505–01]

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER III—FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

SUBCHAPTER A—PROCEDURE AND RULE OF PRACTICE

PART 303—APPLICATIONS, REQUESTS, AND SUBMITTALS

Delegation of Authority to Levy Civil Penalties

Correction

In FR Doc. 77–29314, appearing at page 54407 in the issue of Thursday, October 6, 1977, the seventh line of § 303.13(i) on page 54408 should read, "U.S.C. § 1817(a)(1)) for the late filing".

[7535–01]

CHAPTER VII—NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

PART 701—ORGANIZATION AND OPERATION OF FEDERAL CREDIT UNIONS

Surety Bond Coverage for Federal Credit Unions

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Section 701.20 prescribes the surety bond coverage for Federal credit unions. This revision is necessary to modify the conditions in § 701.20(e) under which surety bond coverage will be required in excess of the minimum specified in § 701.20(f). The National Credit Union Administration has determined that the additional amount which had been required to cover a credit union's cash fund, mortgage instruments, and promissory notes unduly burdened Federal credit unions without providing corresponding protection against losses.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective December 5, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven R. Bisker, Office of General Counsel, National Credit Union Administration, 2025 M St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20456, telephone 202–254–9810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice, pubic participation, and deferred effective date requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 were not followed in connection with the revisions to § 701.20(e). Such procedures were found to be unnecessary because the revisions merely remove restrictions previously placed on Federal credit unions without increasing the risk to the credit unions of a loss of property.

As previously drafted, the rule would have required a Federal credit union to obtain additional surety bond coverage on the basis of a temporary increase in the credit union's cash fund, even though the event which caused the increase could not reasonably be expected to recur. For example, a Federal credit union composed of members of a labor union would have been required to obtain additional coverage in a situation where the union went out on a strike of an exceptional duration. Although this credit union would have to increase its cash fund to meet the increased demands of its members for loans and share withdrawals, it should not be required to obtain a permanent increase in its bond coverage when there is no likelihood of a loss because there is no likelihood of another such strike in the future. Similarly, a credit union that increases its cash fund temporarily to help its members cope with a natural disaster, such as a fire, flood, or earthquake, should not be required to obtain a permanent increase in its bond coverage unless the event can be expected to happen again. (These examples are not intended to be exhaustive.) However, in order to protect each credit union and its members, an impartial decision as to whether additional coverage is necessary will be made by the Administrator.

The former rule would also have required a Federal credit union with most or all of its assets in loans to members and with all of the promissory notes for those loans on its premises to increase its surety bond coverage. The credit union would end up with a surety bond virtually equal to its asset size. This would have meant surety bond coverage grossly in excess of the minimum set out in § 701.20(f), which was drafted to cover the risk of the loss of notes on the credit union's premises. Consequently, the rule has been revised so that additional coverage is required only where promissory notes are placed in transit.

As a result of the April 19, 1977, amendments to § 107 of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. § 1757), the National Credit Union Administration anticipates an increase in loans secured by mortgages. The previous rule would have compelled a Federal credit union, with a sizable part of its assets in loans secured by mortgages, and with the mortgage instruments on its premises, to increase its surety bond coverage. Because § 701.20(f) was drafted to cover the risks of the loss of notes on a credit union's premises, the same considerations apply. Consequently, the rule has also been revised so that additional coverage will be required only where the mortgage notes are placed in transit.

Accordingly, the Administrator hereby revises paragraph (e) of § 701.20 of the National Credit Union Administration Rules and Regulations, effective upon publication, to read as set out below.

(Sec. 120, 73 Stat. 635 (12 U.S.C. 1766) and Sec. 209, 84 Stat. 1104 (12 U.S.C. 1789).)

LAWRENCE CONNELL, JR.,
Administrator.

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Section 701.20(e) of the Regulations involving surety bond coverage is amended to read:

§ 701.20 Surety Bond Coverage For Federal Credit Unions.

* * * * *

(e)(1) Where any of the following amounts exceed a Federal credit union's minimum limits as specified in paragraph (f) of this section, the minimum limits of that Federal credit union shall be increased to be equal to the greater of the following amounts:

(i) The aggregate amount of the daily cash fund (change fund plus maximum anticipated daily receipts), securities, and food stamps (if any), on the Federal credit union's premises, or

(ii) The aggregate amount of the Federal credit union's money, promissory notes, mortgages, securities, and food stamps (if any), placed in transit in any one individual shipment.

(2) Such increased limits must be obtained no more than 30 days after the discovery of the need for such increase.

(3) For the purposes of this section, the term "money" shall include currency, coin, banknotes, Federal Reserve notes, revenue stamps, and postage stamps. "Securities" include money orders, bonds. United States Savings Stamps, debentures, scrip, warrants, drafts, bills of exchange, passbooks held as collateral, certificates of stock, documents of title, interim receipts, and all other instruments similar to or in the nature of the foregoing.

(4) Subsection (1) of this paragraph notwithstanding, no increase in coverage shall be required where a Federal credit union temporarily increases its cash fund because of an extraordinary event which cannot reasonably be expected to recur. The Administrator shall determine whether this subsection applies to a given situation. A Federal credit union that wants to rely on this subsection must petition the Administrator, within 30 days of the discovery of facts that would otherwise require an increase in coverage, for a determination as to whether this subsection in applicable.

[FR Doc.77–32189 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[1505–01]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance

CHAPTER III—ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PART 317—ROUND II OF THE LOCAL PUBLIC WORKS CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT AND INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Reimbursement for Architect-Engineer Fees

Correction

In FR Doc. 77–30988, appearing at page 56488 in the issue of Wednesday, October 26, 1977, the eighth line of § 317.18(e)(1) on page 56489 should read, "of § 317.17 is not part of 'construction activities' ".

[3510–18]

Title 15—Commerce and Foreign Trade

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

PART 16—PROCEDURES FOR A VOLUNTARY CONSUMER PRODUCT INFORMATION LABELING PROGRAM

Amendment to Authorize the Secretary to Suspend Fees and Charges

AGENCY: Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Science and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Rule.

SUMMARY: This document amends the procedures for the Voluntary Consumer Product Information Labeling Program to authorize the Secretary at any time to suspend for any length of time the requirement for her to establish fees and charges for participation in the program. This amendment will provide the Secretary with greater flexibility to encourage participation by manufacturers and others in this program.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 5, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dr. Howard I. Forman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Product Standards, Room 3876, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, 202–377–3221.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 25, 1977, the Department of Commerce announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 26647) procedures under which a Voluntary Consumer Product Information Labeling Program administered by the Department will function. The Department determined that the program would be instituted on a limited pilot project basis. Section 16.6 (a) of the procedures provides that the Secretary shall establish fees and charges for use of the Department of Commerce Label and Mark on each product.

On August 30, 1977, the Department announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 43641) that, upon further study of the need to establish such fees and charges, it had been determined that it would be in the public interest to dispense with all fees and charges at least for the duration of the limited pilot project in order to encourage participation by manufacturers in the program. In order to provide the Secretary with authority to suspend the fees and charges required by § 16.6(a) of the procedures, a proposed amendment was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 43641, August 30, 1977). Interested persons were invited to submit written comments on the proposed amendment on or before September 29, 1977.

Comments concerning the proposed amendment were received from two sources. The first of these was submitted by the Office of Consumer Affairs, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, which stated support for the proposed amendment and offered certain suggestions for the Department's consideration that might additionally increase industry acceptance of the program. These suggestions were not directed toward the proposed amendment; however, the Department may consider them in its continuing review of the program.

The second comment was provided by Electrical Testing Laboratories, Inc. (ETL), New York, N.Y. ETL stated opposition to the proposed amendment because it would increase the amount of taxpayer's money used to support a program that ETL considers competitive with available existing programs. ETL stated that the proposed amendment represents the utilization of taxpayer's money to subsidize programs that are competitive with existing, available programs. After careful consideration, the Department has determined that if the Procedures for the Voluntary Consumer Product Information Labeling Program (CPILP) provide the Secretary with authority to suspend fees and charges, the public interest will be served in appropriate cases by encouraging companies to participate in the program who would not do so if they had to pay such fees and charges. The Department does not believe that this program will compete with existing programs, but that it will operate in conjunction with such programs. Therefore, the comment by ETL is not considered persuasive.

Copies of the two letters of comment received and a summary and analysis of these letters are available for public inspection and copying in the Department's Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 5317, Main Commerce Building, 14th Street between E Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Accordingly, in order to provide the Secretary with authority to suspend the fees and charges required by § 16.6(a) of the procedures, these procedures are hereby amended by adding a new paragraph (d) to § 16.6 as set forth below.

NOTE.—The Department of Commerce has determined that adoption of this amendment does not constitute a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A–107.

Issued: October 31, 1977.

JORDAN J. BARUCH,
Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology.

15 CFR 16.6 is amended by adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 16.6 Establishment of fees and charges.

* * * * *

(d) The establishment of fees and charges under this section may, at any time, be suspended by the Secretary for any length of time.

[FR Doc.77–32082 Filed 11–1–77;5:07 pm]

[4110–03]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

[Docket No. 76N–0366]

PART 81—GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND GENERAL RESTRICTIONS FOR PROVISIONAL COLOR ADDITIVES FOR USE IN FOODS, DRUGS, AND COSMETICS

Postponement of Closing Date

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commissioner of Food and Drugs is postponing the closing date for the provisional listing of lead acetate. The new closing date will be January 31, 1978.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 1, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gerald L. McCowin, Bureau of Foods (HFF–334), Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204, 202–472–5740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The current closing date of October 31, 1977 for the provisional listing of lead acetate was established by regulation published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 4, 1977 (42 FR 6992). The regulation set forth below will postpone the October 31, 1977 closing date for the provisional listing of that color additive until January 31, 1978.

The closing date for the provisional listing of lead acetate is being postponed to permit time for the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a proposal that will address the status of lead acetate and several other provisionally listed colors. The Commissioner will not propose to extend the closing date beyond January 31, 1981.

The Commissioner concludes that notice and public procedure on this regulation are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for issuing this postponement as a final order. This regulation, to be effective on November 1, 1977, will permit the uninterrupted use of the affected color additives until further action is taken. Therefore, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553 (b) and (d) (1) and (3), this postponement is issued as a final regulation and is being made effective on November 1, 1977.

Therefore, under the transitional provisions of the Color Additive Amendments of 1960 to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Title II, Pub. L. 86–618; sec. 203, 74 Stat. 404–407 (21 U.S.C. 376 note)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 5.1), Part 81 is amended in § 81.1 *Provisional lists of color additives*, by revising the entry for the closing date for the color

additive lead acetate listed in paragraph (g) to read "January 31, 1978".

Effective date: November 1, 1977.

(Sec. 203, 74 Stat. 404–407 (21 U.S.C. 376 note).)

Dated: October 31, 1977.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.77-31866 Filed 10-31-77;2:34 pm]

[1505–01]

Title 22—Foreign Relations

CHAPTER I—DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Dept. Reg. 108.745]

PART 9A—SECURITY INFORMATION REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO CERTAIN INTERNATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM; RELATED MATERIAL

Correction

In FR Doc. 77-27057 appearing at page 46516 in the issue for Friday, September 16, 1977, the title of Part 9A should read as set forth above.

[4510–27]

Title 29—Labor

CHAPTER V—WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

PART 602—THE LEATHER, LEATHER GOODS, AND RELATED PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This wage order raises the minimum wage rate for certain segments of the leather, leather goods, and related products industry in Puerto Rico. The wage increases are being made pursuant to the recommendations of a special committee which has reviewed the wage rates in this industry and has concluded that the specified increases are in accord with those provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act which authorize the establishment of minimum wage rates in various Puerto Rican industries which are lower than the mainland minimum wage rate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 20, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Josephine C. Stein, Director, Division of Industry Committees, Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N4428, Washington, D.C. 20210, 202–523–8720.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259; 88 Stat. 55), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949–53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 650 (42 FR 33823), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 141–B for the Leather, Leather Goods, and Related Products Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under Section 6 of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by Section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 141–B are hereby published, amending § 602.2 of Part 602, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. Subparagraphs (3) and (4) of § 602.2 are combined and subparagraph (5) of § 602.2 is redesignated subparagraph (4).

The increases in future wage rates prescribed by Section 6(c) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

This wage order was prepared prior to the signing by the President of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1977. The 1977 amendments provide that where the wage order rate in any industry classification is $2.30 per hour on December 31, 1977, and is thus at parity with the mainland rate under Section 6(a)(1), then the rate shall remain at parity with the new Section 6(a)(1) rates of $2.65 per hour effective January 1, 1978, $2.90 per hour effective January 1, 1979, $3.10 per hour effective January 1, 1980, and $3.35 per hour effective January 1, 1981.

Where the wage order rate in any industry classification is less than $2.30 per hour on December 31, 1977, the 1977 amendments provide for automatic annual increases on each January 1 (beginning on January 1, 1978), in addition to whatever increases may be recommended by an industry committee. The amount of the automatic increase depends upon whether the wage order rate in effect on December 31, 1977, is at least $2 per hour but less than $2.30, or is less than $2 per hour.

Wage order rates which on December 31, 1977, are at least $2 per hour but less than $2.30 per hour will automatically increase by 25 cents per hour on January 1, 1978, and by 30 cents per hour on January 1, 1979, and on each succeeding January 1, until the mainland minimum wage rate is reached.

Wage order rates which on December 31, 1977, are less than $2 per hour will automatically increase by 20 cents per hour on January 1, 1978, and by 25 cents per hour on January 1, 1979, and on each succeeding January 1, until the wage order rate reaches $2.30 per hour. Thereafter, the wage order rate will automatically increase by 30 cents per hour on each succeeding January 1 until the mainland minimum wage rate is reached.

This wage order will be amended to reflect the changes made by the 1977 amendments as soon as practicable after enactment.

This document was prepared under the direction and control of Xavier M. Vela, Administrator, Wage and Hour Division.

Accordingly, Part 602 is amended as follows:

In § 602.2 subparagraphs 3 and 4 of paragraph (a) are combined, subparagraph 5 of paragraph (a) is designated (a)(4), the rates in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4) and (c)(1) are increased, and paragraph (b)(1) is revised to reflect the current wage order rate, as follows:

§ 602.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1961 coverage classification.* * * *

(1) *Hide curing classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.29 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(2) *Belts classification.* (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.29 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(3) *Sporting and athletic goods classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.25 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of sporting and athletic goods, including but not limited to sport and athletic gloves and mittens and baseballs, softballs, and basketballs, covered with leather, artificial leather, fabric, plastics, or similar materials.

(4) *Other products and activities classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.19 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate will be increased to $2.30 on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2).

* * * * *

(b) *1961 coverage classification:* (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.30 an hour.

* * * * *

(c) *1966 coverage classification:* (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.29 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062 and 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208).)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 28th day of October, 1977.

XAVIER M. VELA,
Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc.77-32061 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-27]

PART 603—THE GLOVES AND MITTENS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This wage order raises the minimum wage rate for certain segments of the gloves and mittens industry in Puerto Rico. The wage increases are being made pursuant to the recommendations of a special committee which has reviewed the wage rates in this industry and has concluded that the specified increases are in accord with those provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act which authorize the establishment of minimum wage rates in various Puerto Rican industries which are lower than the mainland minimum wage rate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 20, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Josephine C. Stein, Director, Division of Industry Committees, Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N4428, Washington, D.C. 20210, 202-523-8720.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 88 Stat. 55), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 650 (42 FR 33823), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 141-A for the Gloves and Mittens Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under Section 6 of the Act to such employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by Section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 141-A are hereby published, amending § 603.2 of Part 603, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by section 6(c) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

This wage order was prepared prior to the signing by the President of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1977. The 1977 amendments provide that where the wage order rate in any industry classification is $2.30 per hour on December 31, 1977, and is thus at parity with the mainland rate under Section 6(a)(1), then the rate shall remain at parity with the new Section 6(a)(1) rates of $2.65 per hour effective January 1, 1978, $2.90 per hour effective January 1, 1979, $3.10 per hour effective January 1, 1980, and $3.35 per hour effective January 1, 1981.

Where the wage order rate in any industry classification is less than $2.30 per hour on December 31, 1977, the 1977 amendments provide for automatic annual increases on each January 1 (beginning on January 1, 1978), in addition to whatever increases may be recommended by an industry committee. The amount of the automatic increase depends upon whether the wage order rate in effect on December 31, 1977, is at least $2.00 per hour but less than $2.30, or is less than $2.00 per hour.

Wage order rates which on December 31, 1977, are at least $2.00 per hour but less than $2.30 per hour will automatically increase by 25 cents per hour on January 1, 1978, and by 30 cents per hour on January 1, 1979, and on each succeeding January 1, until the mainland minimum wage rate is reached.

Wage order rates which on December 31, 1977, are less than $2.00 per hour will automatically increase by 20 cents per hour on January 1, 1978, and by 25 cents per hour on January 1, 1979, and on each succeeding January 1, until the wage order rate reaches $2.30 per hour. Thereafter, the wage order rate will automatically increase by 30 cents per hour on each succeeding January 1 until the mainland minimum wage rate is reached.

This wage order will be amended to reflect the changes made by the 1977 amendments as soon as practicable after enactment.

This document was prepared under the direction and control of Xavier M. Vela, Administrator, Wage and Hour Division. Accordingly, in § 603.2 of Part 603, the rates in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (b)(1) and (c)(1) are increased, as follows:

§ 603.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1961 coverage classifications:* * * *

(1) *Hand-sewing on fabric and leather gloves classification:* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $1.65 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased by $0.15 an hour on May 1, 1978 and May 1 of each subsequent year until the mainland rate is reached (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(2) *Other products and activities classification:* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.25 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(b) *1961 coverage classification:* (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.30 an hour.

* * * * *

(c) *1966 coverage classification:* (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.30 an hour.

* * * * *

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062 and 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208).)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 28th day of October, 1977.

XAVIER M. VELA,
Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc.77-32062 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-27]

PART 615—THE MEN'S AND BOYS' CLOTHING AND RELATED PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN PUERTO RICO

Wage Order

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This wage order raises the minimum wage rate for certain segments of the men's and boys' clothing and related products industry in Puerto Rico. The wage increases are being made pursuant to the recommendations of a special committee which has reviewed the wage rates in this industry and has concluded that the specified increases are in accord with those provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act which authorize the establishment of minimum wage rates in various Puerto Rican industries which are lower than the mainland minimum wage rate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 20, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Josephine C. Stein, Director, Division of Industry Committees, Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N4428, Washington, D.C. 20210, 202-523-8720.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Sections 5, 6, and 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1062, 1964, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208)), including the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-259; 88 Stat. 55), and Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-53 Comp., p. 1004), and by means of Administrative Order No. 650 (42 FR 33823), the Secretary of Labor appointed and convened Industry Committee No. 142 for the Men's and Boys' Clothing and Related Products Industry in Puerto Rico, referred to the Committee the question of the minimum rate or rates of wages to be paid under Section 6 of the Act to such

employees, and gave notice of a hearing to be held by the Committee.

Subsequent to an investigation and a hearing conducted pursuant to the notice, the Committee has filed with the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor a report containing its findings of fact and recommendations with respect to the matters referred to it.

Accordingly, as authorized and required by section 8 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950, and 29 CFR 511.18, the recommendations of Industry Committee No. 142 are hereby published, amending § 615.2 of Part 615, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations. The increases in future wage rates prescribed by section 6(c) of the 1974 Fair Labor Standards Amendments are set forth in this wage order.

This wage order was prepared prior to the signing by the President of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1977. The 1977 amendments provide that where the wage order rate in any industry classification is $2.30 per hour on December 31, 1977, and is thus at parity with the mainland rate under Section 6(a)(1), then the rate shall remain at parity with the new Section 6(a)(1) rates of $2.65 per hour effective January 1, 1978, $2.90 per hour effective January 1, 1979, $3.10 per hour effective January 1, 1980, and $3.35 per hour effective January 1, 1981.

Where the wage order rate in any industry classification is less than $2.30 per hour on December 31, 1977, the 1977 amendments provide for automatic annual increases on each January 1 (beginning on January 1, 1978), in addition to whatever increases may be recommended by an industry committee. The amount of the automatic increase depends upon whether the wage order rate in effect on December 31, 1977, is at least $2.00 per hour but less than $2.30, or is less than $2.00 per hour.

Wage order rates which on December 31, 1977, are at least $2.00 per hour but less than $2.30 per hour will automatically increase by 25 cents per hour on January 1, 1978, and by 30 cents per hour on January 1, 1979, and on each succeeding January 1, until the mainland minimum wage rate is reached.

Wage order rates which on December 31, 1977, are less than $2.00 per hour will automatically increase by 20 cents per hour on January 1, 1978, and by 25 cents per hour on January 1, 1979, and on each succeeding January 1, until the wage order rate reaches $2.30 per hour. Thereafter, the wage order rate will automatically increase by 30 cents per hour on each succeeding January 1 until the mainland minimum wage rate is reached.

This wage order will be amended to reflect the changes made by the 1977 amendments as soon as practicable after enactment.

This document was prepared under the direction and control of Xavier M. Vela, Administrator, Wage and Hour Division.

Accordingly, in § 615.2 of Part 615 a new paragraph (a)(3) is added; the present paragraph (a)(3) is redesignated (a)(4) and the rates in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (b)(1) and (c)(1) and (c)(2) are increased as follows:

§ 615.2 Wage rates.

* * * * *

(a) *Pre-1961 coverage classifications:* * * *

(1) *Work clothing and separate trousers classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.27 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(2) *Military-style hats and caps classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.25 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(3) *Hats and caps classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.15 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

(ii) This classification is defined as the manufacture of hats and caps other than military and military-style.

(4) *Other products and activities classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.20 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(b) *1961 coverage classification.* (1) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.20 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(c) *1966 coverage classifications.* * * *

(1) *Trousers classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.24 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour on May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(2) *Other products and activities classification.* (i) The minimum wage for this classification is $2.27 an hour through April 30, 1978. Under section 6(c) the rate is increased to $2.30 an hour May 1, 1978 (section 6(c)(2)).

* * * * *

(Secs. 5, 6, 8, 52 Stat. 1062 and 1064, as amended (29 U.S.C. 205, 206, 208).)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of October, 1977.

XAVIER M. VELA,
Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc.77-32063 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6712-01]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 21277; RM-2817]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICE

FM Broadcast Station in Forsyth, Mont.; Changes Made in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Report and Order.

SUMMARY: The action taken herein would assign Channel 267 to Forsyth, Mont. Petitioner, Gold-Won Radio Corp., states that the station will prrovide the first FM assignment.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 12, 1977.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Nesterak, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-7792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

REPORT AND ORDER—PROCEEDING TERMINATED

Adopted: October 26, 1977.

Released: November 1, 1977.

In the matter of Amendment of § 73.-202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations (Forsyth, Mont.), Docket No. 21277, RM-2817.

1. The Commission herein considers the Notice of Proposed Rule Making, adopted June 2, 1977, 42 FR 30400, in the above-captioned proceeding, instituted in response to a petition filed by Gold-Won Radio Corp. ("petitioner"), licensee of AM Station KIKC, Forsyth, Mont. The petition proposed the assignment of Class C Channel 267 as a first FM channel to Forsyth, Mont. Petitioner filed supporting comments in which it reaffirmed its intention to apply for the channel, it assigned.

2. Forsyth (pop. 1,873), in Rosebud County (pop. 6,032),[1] is located 160 kilometers (100 miles) northeast of Billings, Mont. Forsyth is presently served by daytime-only AM Station KIKC, which is licensed to petitioner.

3. The Notice requested the petitioner to submit information as to Forsyth's governmental, business and economic activities. Petitioner, in supporting comments, states that the population of Forsyth has grown to 2,449[2] as compared to the 1970 figure of 1,873. We are told that Rosebud County is one of the richest in low sulphur coal in Montana. Petitioner notes that because of coal development in this area, two massive generating plants are being built (which will eventually employ 250 people), and two more are being planned in the next

[1] Population figures taken from the 1970 U.S. Census.

[2] This figure was taken from the Special Census taken in 1974.

5 to 10 years; highway construction is underway in the general area and more contracts are planned to be let in the next two years; and housing and business construction has started to develop.

4. Channel 267 could be assigned to Forsyth in conformity with the minimum distance separation requirements. Twenty-two communities with populations greater than 1,000 persons would be precluded if Channel 267 were assigned to Forsyth, ten [3] of which have no local aural broadcast service. Alternate channels are available for assignment to all. Five of these ten communities have a popuation in excess of 1,500 persons.

5. In response to the Notice, petitioner submitted a Roanoke Rapids, 9 F.C.C. 2d 672 (1967), and Anamosa-Iowa City, Iowa, 46 F.C.C. 520 (1974), showing which indicated that assuming a station on Channel 267 operating with facilities of 100 kilowatts and an antenna height of 310 meters (1,025 feet) a.a.t., a first FM service would be provided to an area of 15,200 square kilometers (5,870 square miles) with a population of 5,945 and a second FM service to an area of 1,710 square kilometers (61 square miles) with a population of 9,725. A first aural nighttime service would be provided to an area of 15,200 square kilometers (5,870 square miles) with a population of 5,945 and a second aural nighttime service to an area of 976 square kilometers (377 square miles) with a population of 505.

6. Ordinarily, a Class A channel would be assigned to a community the size of Forsyth. However, Channel 267 would provide for an FM station which could render significant first and second FM service in addition to providing first and second aural service to a substantial area. In light of this and since Forsyth would receive its first full-time local aural broadcast service, we believe the public interest would be served by assigning Channel 267 to Forsyth, Mont.

7. Authority for the action taken herein is contained in sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281 of the Commission's Rules.

8. In view of the foregoing, *It is ordered*, That effective December 12, 1977, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules, the FM Table of Assignments, is amended with respect to the community listed below:

	Channel No.
City: Forsyth, Mon	267

9. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 5, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082; (47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303).)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-31999 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3] Montana: Big Timber (pop. 1,592); Columbus (1,173); Harlowton (1,375); Roundup (2,116); Laurel (4,454); Poplar (1,389); Wyoming: Basin (1,145); Greybull (1,953); Lovell (2,371); Sundance (1,056).

[6712-01]

(Docket No. 21033; RM-2664; RM-2780)

PART 97—AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

Simplifying Licensing and Operation of Complex Systems of Stations and Modifying Repeater Subbands

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rules.

SUMMARY: The FCC is editorially amending its Amateur Radio Service Rules to correct several errors and omissions contained in its recent Report and Order in Docket 21033, 42 FR 52418 (September 30, 1977).

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 7, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory M. Jones, Personal Radio Division, 202-634-6619 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the matter of deregulation of Part 97 of the Commission's rules to simplify the licensing and operation of complex systems of stations and modify repeater subbands in the Amateur Radio Service; Docket 21033, RM-2664, RM-2780.

Adopted: October 25, 1977.

Released: October 26, 1977.

1. In a Report and Order in Docket 21033 released September 27, 1977, 42 FR 52418 (1977), the Commission substantially revised its Rules concerning the licensing and operation of stations in repeater operation in the Amateur Service. In so doing, we made a number of errors and omissions, which were not intended to become part of the final rules adopted in this proceeding. We are therefore editorially amending the Amateur Service regulations, as follows:

a. In Section 97.3(n), we are adding a second sentence to the definition of control to bring the definition into conformity with the former definition. We are renumbering the definition of automatic control as it was previously numbered.

b. We are rewording Section 97.61(a) to eliminate an ambiguity.

c. We are correcting Section 97.67(c) to change the maximum effective radiated power for stations in repeater operation operating on 144.5 MHz and above from 200 watts to 100 watts, the prior standard.

d. In Section 97.84(d), we are adding a line over the letters "DN" to indicate the telegraphy signal for the fraction bar.

e. In Section 97.88(a)(2) we are eliminating the reference to "control station", because control stations were deleted by the Report and Order in this proceeding.

2. Authority for this action is contained in Sections 4(i), 5(d) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Because these amendments are editorial in character, intended merely to correct previous errors and clarify existing rules, the prior notice and public procedure provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, are not applicable.

3. Accordingly, it is ordered that Part 97 of the Commission's Rules is amended as set forth below effective November 7, 1977.

(Secs. 4, 5, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082 (47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303).)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
R. D. LICHTWARDT,
Executive Director.

Part 97 of Chapter 1 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended, as follows:

1. In § 97.3(n), the definitions of control and automatic control are amended to read, as follows:

§ 97.3 Definitions.

* * * * *

(n) *Control* means techniques used for accomplishing the immediate operation of an amateur radio station. Control includes one or more of the following:

* * * * *

(3) *Automatic control* means the use of devices and procedures for control so that a control operator does not have to be present at the control point at all times. (Only rules for automatic control of stations in repeater operation have been adopted.)

* * * * *

2. § 97.61(a) is amended to read, as follows:

§ 97.61 Authorized frequencies and emissions.

(a) The following frequency bands and associated emissions are available to amateur radio stations for amateur radio operation, other than repeater operation and auxiliary operation, subject to the limitations of § 97.65 and paragraph (b) of this section: * * *

* * * * *

3. In § 97.67, paragraph (c) is amended to read, as follows:

§ 97.67 Maximum authorized power.

* * * * *

(c) Within the limitations of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, the effective radiated power of an amateur radio station in repeater operation shall not exceed the power specified for the antenna height above average terrain in the following table:

[In watts]

Antenna height above average terrain	Maximum effective radiated power for frequency bands above:			
	52 MHz	144.5 MHz	420 MHz	1215 MHz
Below 50 ft.	100	800	(1)	———
50 to 99 ft.	100	400	(1)	———
100 to 499 ft.	50	400	800	(1)
500 to 999 ft.	25	200	800	(1)
Above 1,000 ft.	25	100	400	(1)

[1] Paragraphs (a) and (b).

4. § 97.84(d) is amended to read, as follows:

§ 97.84 Station identification.

* * * * *

(d) When an amateur radio station is in repeater or auxiliary operation, the following additional identifying information shall be transmitted:

(1) When identifying by radiotelephony, a station in repeater operation shall transmit the word "repeater" at the end of the station call sign. When identifying by radiotelegraphy, a station in repeater operation shall transmit the fraction bar, DN, followed by the letters "RPT" at the end of the station call sign.

(2) When identifying by radiotelephony, a station in auxiliary operation shall transmit the word "auxiliary" at the end of the station call sign. When identifying by radiotelegraphy, a station in auxiliary operation shall transmit the fraction bar, DN, followed by the letters "AUX" at the end of the station call sign.

* * * * *

5. § 97.88(a) is amended to read, as follows:

§ 97.88 Operation of a station by remote control.

An amateur radio station may be operated by remote control only if there is compliance with the following:

(a) A photocopy of the remotely controlled station license shall be:

(1) Posted in a conspicuous place at the remotely controlled transmitter location, and

(2) Placed in the station log of each authorized control operator.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.77-31899 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7035-01]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Amdt. No. 5 to Service Order No. 1211]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Ashley, Drew & Northern Railway Company

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Emergency Order (Amendment No. 5 to Service Order No. 1211).

SUMMARY: The Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company's line between Whitlow Junction and Crossett, Arkansas, is unserviceable. Service Order No. 1211 authorizes the Rock Island to operate over certain tracks of the Ashley, Drew & Northern Railroad in order to continue uninterrupted service to all shippers on the Rock Island line at Crossett, Arkansas, pending disposition of the Rock Island application for permanent authority to operate over the ADN. Amendment No. 5 extends the expiration date of the Order until December 31, 1977.

DATES: Effective 11:59 p.m., October 31, 1977. Expires 11:59 p.m., December 31, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. C. Robinson, Chief, Utilization and Distribution Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, telephone 202-275-7840, telex 89-2742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The amendment is printed in full below:

As a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 28th day of October, 1977.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1211 (40 FR 14765, 45440; FR 13592, 43723 and 42 FR 17448); and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That: Service Order No. 1211 is amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) thereof:

§ 1033.1211 Chicago, Rock Isand and Pacific Railroad Company authorized to operate over tracks of Ashley, Drew & Northern Railway Company.

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., December 31, 1977, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., October 31, 1977.

(49 U.S.C. 1 (12), (15), (16) and 17(2).)

It is further ordered, That a copy of this amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this amendment be given to the general public by deposition a copy in the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board, members Joel E. Burns, Robert S. Turkington and John R. Michael.

H. G. Homme, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32043 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7035-01]

[Service Order No. 1283]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Clinchfield Railroad Co. Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Interstate Railroad Co. and Over Tracks of Louisville and Nashville Railroad Co.

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Emergency order (Service Order No. 1283).

SUMMARY: Service Order No. 1283 authorizes Clinchfield Railroad Co. (CRR) to operate over tracks of the Interstate Railroad Co. (INT) between Miller Yard, Va., and Dorchester Junction, Va., and over tracks of the Louisville and Nashville Railroad Co. (L&N) between Dorchester Junction, Va., and Norton, Va. Because of track rehabilitation, the CRR is unable to deliver cars to the L&N at St. Paul, Va. currently. Operation by the CRR over these tracks is necessary to relieve congestion at St. Paul, Va., and to contribute to more efficient utilization of freight cars.

DATES: Effective 12:01 a.m., November 1, 1977. Expires 11:59 p.m., December 15, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. C. Robinson, Chief, Utilization and Distribution Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, Telephone 202-275-7840, Telex 89-2742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Order is printed in full below.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 1st day of November, 1977.

Because of track rehabilitation, the Clinchfield Railroad Co. (CRR) is unable to deliver cars to the Louisville and Nashville Railroad Co. (L&N) at St. Paul, Va. CRR operations and interchange can be accomplished by use of tracks of the Interstate Railroad Co. (INT) between Miller Yard, Va., and Dorchester Junction, Va., and by use of tracks of the L&N between Dorchester Junction, Va., and Norton, Va., and the involved railroads have agreed to temporarily change the point of interchange. CRR operations over INT and over L&N tracks will substantially relieve congestion at St. Paul, Va., thereby expediting the movement of traffic through St. Paul and contributing to more effective utilization of freight cars. The INT and L&N have consented to use of their tracks by the CRR.

It is the opinion of the Commission that operation by the CRR over these lines of the INT and L&N is necessary in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people; that notice and public procedure herein are impracticable and contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than thirty days' notice.

It is ordered, That:

§ 1033.1283 Clinchfield Railroad Co. Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Interstate Railroad Co. and Over Tracks of Louisville and Nashville Railroad Co.

(a) The Clinchfield Railroad Co. (CRR) is hereby authorized to operate over tracks of the Interstate Railroad Co. (INT) between Miller Yard, Va., and Dorchester Junction, Va., and over tracks of the Louisville and Nashville Railroad Co. (L&N) between Dorchester Junction, Va., and Norton, Va.

(b) *Application.* The provisions of this order shall apply to intrastate, interstate, and foreign traffic.

(c) *Rates applicable.* Inasmuch as this operation by the CRR over tracks of the INT and of the L&N is deemed to be due to carrier's disability, the rates applicable to traffic moved by the CRR over the tracks of the INT and of the L&N shall be the rates which were applicable on the shipments at the time of shipment as originally routed.

(d) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., November 2, 1977.

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., December 15, 1977, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

(49 U.S.C. 1 (12), (15), (16) and 17(2).)

It is further ordered, That a copy of this amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this amendment be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Comission, Railroad Service Board, members Robert S. Turkington and Leonard J. Schloer.

H. G. HOMME, Jr.
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32044 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7035-01]

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[S.O. 1279; Amdt. 2]

PART 1080—CONTRACTS, FORWARDERS; MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS

Freight Forwarders of Export Traffic Authorized To Operate to Any Available Atlantic or Gulf Coast Port in the United States and to Any Port in Dominion of Canada

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Emergency Order (Amendment No. 2 to Service Order No. 1279).

SUMMARY: Freight forwarders of export freight are unable to operate in the ports designated by their operating permits because of a labor dispute at Atlantic and Gulf ports. Service Order No. 1279 authorizes these freight forwarders to operate through any available Atlantic or Gulf port or through any available Canadian port. Amendment No. 2 extends Service Order No. 1279 until November 15, 1977.

DATES: Effective 11:59 p.m., October 31, 1977. Expires 11:59 p.m., November 15, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. C. Robinson, Chief, Utilization and Distribution Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, telephone 202-275-7840, telex 89-2742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Order is printed in full below:

CONTRACTS, FORWARDERS—MOTOR CONTRACT CARRIER

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 28th day of October, 1977.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1279 (42 FR 54552 and 55819), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That Service Order No. 1279 is amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) thereof:

§ 1080.1279 Freight forwarders of export traffic authorized to operate to any available Atlantic or Gulf Coast Port in the United States and to any port in Dominion of Canada.

* * * * *

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., November 15, 1977, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., October 31, 1977.

(49 U.S.C. 1 (15) and (16), 17(2) and 420.)

It is further ordered, That copies of this order shall be served upon all freight forwarders; and that notice of this amendment be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board, members Joel E. Burns, Robert S. Turkington and John R. Michael.

H. G. HOMME, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32045 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-55]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 32—HUNTING

Opening of the San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Texas to Hunting of Migratory Game Birds

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Special regulations.

SUMMARY: The Director has determined that the opening of the San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Texas to hunting of migratory game birds is compatible with the objectives for which the area was established, will utilize a renewable natural resource, and will provide additional recreational opportunity to the public.

EFFECTIVE DATES: November 5, 1977 through January 22, 1978.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jim E. Neaville, Acting Refuge Manager, San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Box 1088, Angleton, Tex. 77515, telephone: 713-849-6062.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

§ 32.12 Special regulations; migratory game birds; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

Public hunting of ducks, geese and coots on the San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge is permitted, but only on those areas designated by signs as open to hunting. These open areas are divided into two parts, comprised of an area of approximately 2,546 acres designated for hunting by special permit only and an area of approximately 2,400 acres for non-permit hunting; i.e., the "free waterfowl hunting area". These areas are delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, and from the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87103. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations covering migratory game bird hunting subject to the following special conditions:

1. A Refuge permit will be required for participation in the "special permit waterfowl hunting area" of the San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge. Permit applications are available at the refuge office, 1014 N. Velasco, Angleton, Tex. 77515.

2. No dogs will be allowed in the special permit waterfowl hunting area.

3. Hunters participating in the special permit waterfowl hunt are required to be present for the hunt at the check station one and one-half hour before sunrise.

4. The Refuge will furnish duck decoys for the special permit waterfowl hunt and no other duck decoys may be used in this segment of the hunt; goose decoys are permitted but will not be furnished.

5. Hunters participating in the special permit waterfowl hunt may not leave their blinds except to retrieve dead or wounded waterfowl or to rearrange their decoys.

6. Hunting days for the special permit waterfowl hunt will be Saturdays, Sundays and Wednesdays, from November 5 through November 27, 1977, and from December 22, 1977 through January 22, 1978.

7. Hunters participating in the special permit waterfowl hunt will stop hunting and shooting at 10:00 a.m. and be prepared to be transported out of the hunting area.

8. Available hunting spaces for the special permit waterfowl hunt may be filled, by hunters without reservations, on a stand-by basis immediately prior to each day's hunt.

9. A "Special Hunter Services Recreation Fee" of $3.00 will be collected from each hunter participating in the special permit waterfowl hunt for each hunting trip. Holders of "Golden Age Permits" will pay only $1.50.

10. Open fires are prohibited in both hunting areas.

11. Refuge permits and/or fees are not required for hunting waterfowl in that part of the refuge within the "Smith Marsh"; i.e., located south and west of Cedar Lake Creek and posted as open to hunting. This area is designated as the "free waterfowl hunting area".

12. Waterfowl hunting in the free waterfowl hunting area of the refuge is from 30 minutes before sunrise to sunset (both local time) on every day of the waterfowl seasons as selected by the State of Texas for this area. Seasons are: Ducks (except Mexican, fulvous whistling (tree) duck, black-bellied whistling (tree) duck, and masked duck)—from November 5 through November 27, 1977, and from December 22, 1977 through January 22, 1978. Geese—Canada and white-fronted geese, from November 5 through December 14, 1977, and from December 22, 1977 through January 22, 1978; snow geese (including blues), from November 5, 1977 through January 22, 1978.

13. No more than 2 retrieving dogs per hunter may be used in the "free waterfowl hunting area".

14. The only assured access to the "free waterfowl hunting area" is from Cedar Lake Creek (public waters) as adjacent lands on the remaining three sides are in private ownership.

15. Hunters in the "free waterfowl hunting area" are encouraged to bring and use legal decoys.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32. The public is invited to offer suggestions and comments at any time.

NOTE.—The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11949 and OMB Circular A–107.

Dated: October 31, 1977.

JERRY L. STEGMAN,
Acting Regional Director,
Albuquerque, N. Mex.

[FR Doc.77–31923 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[4310–55]

PART 32—HUNTING

Opening of San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Tex.

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The rule adds San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge to the list of refuge areas open for the hunting of migratory game birds. The Director has determined that this action is compatible with the major purposes for which this refuge was established, within the principles of sound wildlife management and is in the public interest. Hunting, subject to annual special regulations, will provide additional public recreational opportunity.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 5, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald G. Young, Division of National Wildlife Refuges, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240, telephone 202–343–4305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Donald G. Young is also the principal author of this final rule. On October 7, 1977, there was published (42 FR 54581) a notice of proposed rulemaking adding San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Texas, to the list of refuge areas which are open for hunting of migratory game birds.

As a general rule, most areas within the National Wildlife Refuge System are closed to hunting until officially opened by regulation. The public was provided a brief comment period and was advised that an environmental assessment has been prepared on the proposal and that it was available for public inspection. One favorable comment was received on the proposed rulemaking.

Because of the time limitations involved to coordinate the State and Federal hunting regulations for the cooperative hunting program, and the rapid approach of the hunting season, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has concluded that "good cause" exists within the meaning of section 553(d)(3) of the Administrative Procedure Act to expedite the implementation of this rulemaking. It was necessary to wait until the State established their 1977 hunting regulations before initiating the rulemaking process. Therefore, this rulemaking will become effective on November 4.

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332 (2)(C)), an environmental assessment has been prepared on this proposal, which is available for public inspection and copying at Room 2340, Department of the Interior 18th and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20240, or by mail, addressing the Director at the address given above. On the basis of this assessment, the Director has determined that this rulemaking does not constitute a major Federal Action significantly affecting the human environment.

NOTE.—The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring the preparation of an economic impact statement under Executive Order 11949 and OMB Circular A–107.

Accordingly, 50 CFR 32 is amended by the addition of San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge as follows:

§ 32.11 List of open areas; migratory game birds.

TEXAS

SAN BERNARD NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Dated: October 31, 1977.

LYNN A. GREENWALT,
Director,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.77–31922 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6315–01]

Title 45—Public Welfare

CHAPTER X—COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

PART 1069—GRANTEE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Subpart Prohibition of Salary Checkoff for Political Activities

AGENCY: Community Services Administration.

ACTION: Final rule, interpretative rule.

SUMMARY: This interpretation supersedes a previous September 14, 1977 interpretation of the CSA regulation prohibiting payroll deductions from grantee employees' salaries. The September 14 interpretation has proven to be in need of reconsideration and clarification. This interpretation establishes the standard that any activity which would not violate other sections of 45 CFR 1069.8, Restrictions on Political Activities (CSA Instruction 6907–1a), if carried on by a grantee, may be supported by payroll deductions; also it completely exempts unions representing grantee employees from the payroll deduction provisions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This interpretation shall take effect November 5, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John C. Meyer, Office of Legal Affairs and General Counsel, 1200 19th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506, phone 202–254–5690.

(Sec. 602, 78 Stat. 530, 42 U.S.C. 2942.)

GRACIELA (GRACE) OLIVAREZ,
Director.

Therefore, the interpretation of 45 Code of Federal Regulations 1069.8–5(e) published on September 14, 1977 at 42 FR 46053 is revoked prior to its effective date and the following adopted: the prohibition in that paragraph, "no contribution may be collected for any political activity through any deduction from the paycheck of an employee of any grantee, if that employee is paid with CSA funds", is hereby interpreted to apply to payroll deductions for any organization which engages in political activities which would be prohibited to a CSA grantee under the provisions of 45 CFR 1069.8, with the exception of a union representing employees of a grantee or delegate agency. Any activities which would not violate 45 CFR 1069.8, if conducted directly by a grantee, do not fall within this provision of § 1069.8–5(e), and, therefore, grantees may collect voluntary contributions through payroll deductions for organizations involved in such activities.

[FR Doc.77–32229 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

[3410-30]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

[7 CFR Part 272]

[Amendment 124]

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

Participation of Retail Food Stores, Wholesale Food Concerns, Meal Services and Banks

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rulemaking would eliminate the use of food stamp redemption certificates as part of the documentation that commercial banks submit to substantiate claims for coupons lost in transit and establish a time frame for the disposition of redemption certificates by receiving banks. Also, this proposal would strengthen the remaining documentation that commercial banks submit by requiring a sworn statement of fact by the claimant.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before November 28, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to: Nancy Snyder, Director, Food Stamp Division, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne A. Simmons, Administrative Cost and Analysis Branch, Food Stamp Division, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-447-8266.

Accordingly, it is proposed to amend § 272, of Chapter II, Title 7, as set forth below.

In § 272.5, the sixth sentence of paragraph (a) is revised; paragraph (c)(3)(ii) is revised; paragraph (c)(3)(iv) is deleted and paragraph (c)(3)(v) is revised and redesignated paragraph (c)(3)(iv). The revised and redesignated provisions read as follows:

§ 272.5 Participation of banks.

(a) * * * Redemption certificates accepted by receiving banks shall be forwarded daily, or upon reasonable accumulation, but no less frequently than weekly to the following address:

Minneapolis ADP Field Center, Food and Nutrition Service, USDA, 3930 West 65th Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55435.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) A sworn statement of facts concerning the loss and the bank's procedures for making the shipment. This notarized statement shall specify that either all or part of the loss cannot be recovered from the carrier. If a partial recovery has been or will be made, the amount shall be stated. In the event of a partial loss, this statement shall specify the condition of the package upon receipt and state whether it appeared to have been tampered with or damaged in transit.

* * * * *

(iv) FNS will obtain copies of the redemption certificates corresponding to claims.

* * * * *

(78 Stat. 703, as amended (7 U.S.C. 2011-2026).)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs No. 10,551, Food Stamps)

NOTE.—The Food and Nutrition Service has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring the preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

CAROL TUCKER FOREMAN,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31765 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3410-02]

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 905]

ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Proposed Expenses and Rate of Assessment

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This notice invites written comments on proposed expenses and a rate of assessment for the 1977-78 fiscal period, to be collected from handlers to support activities of the Growers Administrative Committee which locally administers the Federal marketing order covering the specified varieties of Florida citrus fruit.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 28, 1977. Proposed effective dates: August 1, 1977, through July 31, 1978.

ADDRESSES: Send two copies of comments to the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 1077, South Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, where they will be available for public inspection during business hours (7 CFR 1.27 (b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles R. Brader, 202-447-3545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposals under consideration were submitted by the committee, established under Marketing Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), as the agency to administer its terms and provisions.

The proposals are: (a) Expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred by the Growers Administrative Committee during the period August 1, 1977, through July 31, 1978, will amount to $221,225.

(b) The rate of assessment for said period, payable by each handler in accordance with § 905.41, is fixed at $0.0055 per standard packed box ($0.00275 per four-fifths) bushel of fruit whether in bulk or in any container.

Dated: November 1, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-31960 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3410-15]

Rural Electrification Administration

[7 CFR Part 1701]

ENGINEERING SERVICE CONTRACT FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF GENERATING PLANT

Proposed Supplement to REA Bulletin 41-1

AGENCY: Rural Electrification Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Rural Electrification Administration (REA) proposes to issue a Supplement to REA Bulletin 41-1, Engineering Services for Electric Borrowers, announcing the revision of REA Form 211, Engineering Service Contract for the Design and Construction of a Generating Plant. This contract outlines the consulting engineer's duties and responsibilities during both the design and construction phases of the project. Due to recent legal interpretations of certain contract provisions contained in the existing REA form of contract and similar ones used by the private sector, REA considers it desirable to more clearly define the engineer's responsibilities, functions, obligations, and relationships with

other parties during the construction process. This action is expected to affect the way the engineer's professional responsibility, and consequently his liability, are determined by the courts. On issuance of this Supplement to REA Bulletin 41–1, Appendix A to Part 1701 will be modified accordingly.

DATE: Public comments must be received by REA no later than December 5, 1977.

ADDRESS: Interested persons may submit written data, views or comments to the Director, Power Supply and Engineering Standards Division, Rural Electrification Administration, Room 3304, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection in the office of the Director, Power Supply and Engineering Standards Division during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Rowland C. Hand, Sr., Director, Power Supply and Engineering Standards Division, Rural Electrification Administration, Room 3304, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, telephone number 202–447–4413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.), REA proposes to issue a Supplement to REA Bulletin 41–7. The text of the proposed supplement is as follows:

SUPPLEMENT TO REA BULLETIN 41–1

SUBJECT: REVISED REA FORM 211, ENGINEERING SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A GENERATING PLANT

I. *Purpose.* This supplement announces the revision of REA Form 211 (10–77), Engineering Service Contract for the Design and Construction of a Generating Plant. This revised contract form and supplement replaces REA Form 211 (8–72) and the November 14, 1972, Supplement No. 2 to REA Bulletin 41–1.

II. *Summary of Principal Changes.* Listed below are the principal changes made in the current REA Form 211, which is dated August 1972.

1. Preamble—The contract language has been expanded to include the financing of generation facilities by loan guarantee.

2. Article II, Section 1, Subsection (c)—Evaluation studies of flue gas particulate removal and desulfurization optimization are to be performed.

3. Article II, Section 1, Subsection (d)—The responsibilities of the owner, engineer, and contractor with respect to test procedures are to be specified.

4. Article II, Section 7—In the case where fewer than three bids are received, the owner has been given the authority to determine whether such bids should be opened.

5. Article III, Section 1—The engineer's duties during the construction phase of the project have been redefined.

6. Article III, Section 3—Cost estimates are to be revised at the time bids are solicited to reflect actual market conditions. Also, project cost estimates are to be revised quarterly.

7. Article V, Sections 1, 2 and 3—All references to "supervision and inspection" have been deleted and replaced by "construction phase services."

8. Article VI, Section 3—The engineer is required to evidence compliance with the insurance provisions of the contract and notify the owner at least 30 days in advance of any cancellation or material change in the insurance. The minimum insurance limits have also been increased.

9. Article VI, Section 4—A limit has been placed on the engineer's liability for certain consequential damages.

10. Article VI, Section 9—No provision in the contract may be modified, amended, rescinded, waived, or terminated without REA approval.

Other minor changes have been made throughout the contract to reflect present day conditions and procedures.

III. *Availability.* Copies of REA Form 211 are available from REA upon request of the attached form may be reproduced if desired.

A copy of the proposed revision of REA Form 211 may be secured in person or by written request from the Director, Power Supply and Engineering Standards Division.

Dated: October 3, 1977.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.77–31971 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[1505–01]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

[41 CFR Part 105–61]

PUBLIC USE OF RECORDS, DONATED HISTORICAL MATERIALS, AND FACILITIES IN THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE

Fees for Reproduction Services

Correction

In FR Doc. 77–30719, appearing at page 56345 in the issue of Tuesday, October 25, 1977, between the first and second lines of § 105–61.5208 on page 56346, insert the words, "beginning November 14, 1977 and end-".

[6712–01]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 21455; RM–2917]

FM BROADCAST STATION IN MOSES LAKE, WASHINGTON

Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

SUMMARY: Action taken herein proposes the assignment of a second FM assignment to Moses Lake, Washington. Petitioner, KSEM, Inc., is willing to operate a Class A channel in competition with the already present Class C FM station. Intermixture is not an impediment to its assignment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 12, 1977, reply comments on or before January 3, 1978.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Nesterak, Broadcast Bureau, 202–632–7792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: October 26, 1977.

Released: November 1, 1977.

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202 (b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Moses Lake, Washington), Docket No. 21455, RM–2917.

1. *Petitioner, proposal, comments.*—(a) Petition for rulemaking,[1] filed June 14, 1977, by KSEM, Inc. ("petitioner"), licensee of full-time AM Station KSEM, Moses Lake, Washington, proposing the assignment of Channel 257A to Moses Lake, Washington, as its second FM assignment.

(b) The channel may be assigned without affecting any existing FM assignments in the Table. No responses were made to the proposal.

(c) Petitioner states that it will promptly make application for the proposed channel, if assigned.

2. *Community data.*—(a) *Location:* Moses Lake is located approximately 160 kilometers (100 miles) southwest of Spokane, Washington.

(b) *Population.* Moses Lake, 10,310; Grant County, 41–881.[2]

(c) *Present local aural service.* Moses Lake presently receives local service from full-time AM Station KSEM, licensed to petiitoner; daytime-only AM Station KWIO–FM (Channel 262).

(d) *Economic considerations.* Petitioner states that, although the 1970 Census shows the population of Moses Lake to be 10,310, the Chamber of Commerce shows it to be 13,000 as of April 1, 1976. It points out that Moses Lake and Grant County are the hub of the Columbia Basin which area produces top yields of sugar beets, alfalfa, corn and wheat. We are told that the outstanding crop yields, plus a long and reliable growing season, have drawn processing plants, fertilizer and chemical companies, farm equipment dealers and irrigation and sprinkler system distributors to the area. Petitioner notes that there are four commercial banks in Moses Lake with combined deposits in excess of $50 million in 1976, plus three savings and loan associations with over $20 million in deposits.

3. *Preclusion studies.* Petitioner's engineering study showed that preclusion would occur only on the proposed channel. Eleven communities[3] are located in

---

[1] Public Notice of the petition was given on June 28, 1977, Report No. 1058.

[2] Population figures are taken from the 1970 U.S. Census unless otherwise indicated.

[3] Washington: Othello (pop. 4,122); Warden (1,254); Quincy (3,237); Chelan (2,430); Ephrata (5,255); Soap Lake (1,064); Cashmere (1,976); Wenatchee (16,912); Ellensburg (13,568); Coulee Dam (1,425); Grand Coulee (1,302).

the precluded area. Of these eleven, four (Warden, Soap Lake, Cashmere and Coulee Dam) are without local aural broadcast service. Coulee Dam is within 8 kilometers (5 miles) of Grand Coulee which has two unused FM assignments. Petitioner states that an alternate channel is available for assignment to Soap Lake and that Channel 257A could be assigned to Cashmere as well as Moses Lake if the transmitter is located 4.8 kilometers (3 miles) northwest of Cashmere. We do not believe that the preclusion at a town as small as Warden is sufficient to warrant a refusal to consider the proposal.

4. The assignment of Channel 257A to Moses Lake would result in intermixing a Class A channel with a Class C channel (Channel 262). The Commission has a policy against intermixture of classes of FM channels, but an exception is made when the petitioner is willing to apply for the proposed Class A assignment in spite of the intermixture situation. Since petitioner is willing to apply for and operate Channel 257A at Moses Lake, this assignment could be made.

5. Since Moses Lake is located 402 kilometers (250 miles) of the U.S.-Canada border, the proposed assignment of Channel 257A to Moses Lake requires coordination with the Canadian Government.

6. In light of the above information and the fact that the proposed assignment would provide the community with an opportunity to develop a second local FM broadcast service, the Commission proposes to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules, with regard to Moses Lake, Washington, as follows:

City	Channel Nos.	
	Present	Proposed
Moses Lake, Wash.	262	257A, 262

7. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings; showings required; cut-off procedures; and filing requirements are contained below and are incorporated herein.

NOTE.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 below before a channel is assigned.

8. Interested parties may file comments on or before December 12, 1977, and reply comments on or before January 3, 1978.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (h), and 307(b) of the Communictions Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b)(6) of the Commission's rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations, as set forth in this notice of proposed rulemaking.

2. *Showings required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in this notice of proposed rulemaking. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build the station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of Commission rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rulemaking which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

4. *Comments and reply comments; service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in this notice of proposed rulemaking. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission rules.)

5. *Number of copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public inspection of filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc.77-31997 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6712-01]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 21429; RM-2940]

FM BROADCAST STATION IN BERLIN, MD.

Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Action taken herein proposes the assignment of a first FM channel to Berlin, Md. Petitioner, Musicradio, Inc., states that the proposed station would provide a first full-time local aural broadcast service in the community.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 12, 1977, and reply comments on or before January 3, 1978.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Neste rak, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-7792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: October 26, 1977.

Released: November 1, 1977.

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations (Berlin, Md.), Docket No. 21429, RM-2940.

1. *Petitioner, Proposal, Comments.* (a) Petition for rulemaking[1], filed August 4, 1977, by Musicradio, Inc. ("petitioner"), proposing the assignment of Channel 280A to Berlin, Md., as a first FM assignment to that community. No responses to the petition were received.

(b) The channel could be assigned in conformity with the minimum distance separation requirements.

(c) Petitioner states it stands ready to apply for the channel, if assigned, and to construct and operate a station on that channel.

2. *Community Data.*—(a) *Location.* Berlin, in Worcester County, is located on Maryland's Eastern Shore, approximately 13 kilometers (8 miles) west of Ocean City, Maryland.

(b) *Population.* Berlin—1,942; Worcester County—24,442.[2]

(c) *Local Broadcast Service.* Berlin has no local aural broadcast service.

3. *Economic Data.* Petitioner states that the economy of Berlin and Worcester County is based primarily upon agriculture, poultry and seafood, but because of the beaches, the county's economy is shifting toward resort and recreation business. We are told Berlin has a chicken processing plant, an animal foods processing plant and a mill. Petitioner adds that Worcester County is projected to have a population of approximately 27,500 by 1980. It points out that Berlin has no local newspaper and is therefore in need of an outlet for local expression.

4. In view of the apparent need for a first full-time local aural broadcast service in the community, we believe consideration of the proposal to assign Channel 280A to Berlin, Md., in a rule making proceeding, is warranted.

5. Comments are invited on a proposal to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules, for the community listed below:

[1] Public Notice of the petition was given on August 29, 1977, Report No. 1073.

[2] Population figures are taken from the 1970 U.S. Census.

City	Channel Nos.	
	Present	Proposed
Berlin, Md.		280A

6. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings; showings required; cut-off procedures; and filing requirements are contained below and are incorporated herein.

NOTE: A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 below before a channel will be assigned.

7. Interested parties may file comments on or before December 12, 1977, and reply comments on or before January 3, 1978.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

1. Pursuant to authority found in sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b)(6) of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations, as set forth in this notice of proposed rulemaking.

2. *Showings required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in this notice of proposed rulemaking. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented to initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build the station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of Commission rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rulemaking which conflict with the proposal(s) in this notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

4. *Comments and reply comments; service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in this notice of proposed rule making. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission rules.)

5. *Number of copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public inspection of filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc.77-31998 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6712-01]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 21393; RM-2868]

FM BROADCAST STATION IN TALLAHASSEE, FLA.

Order Extending Time for Filing Comments and Reply Comments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Order.

SUMMARY: Action taken herein extends the time for filing comments and reply comments in a proceeding concerning the proposed assignment of a fifth FM channel to Tallahassee, Fla. Petitioner, Capitol City Broadcasting, Inc., states that the additional time is necessary so that it can gather the demographic and economic data requested in the Notice.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 28, 1977, and reply comments on or before December 17, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Nesterak, Broadcast Bureau 202-632-7792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR FILING COMMENTS AND REPLY COMMENTS

Adopted: October 28, 1977.

Released: October 31, 1977.

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Tallahassee, Fla.), Docket No. 21393, RM-2868.

1. On September 13, 1977, the Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 42 FR 48357, in this proceeding. The Notice proposed the addition of FM Channel 2240A to Tallahassee, Fla., as its fifth FM assignment. The dates for filing comments and reply comments are October 28, and November 17, 1977, respectively.

2. On October 20, 1977, counsel for Capitol City Broadcasting, Inc., licensee of AM Station WTAL, Tallahassee, Fla., sought to extend these dates to November 28, and December 17, 1977. Counsel states that obtaining the additional demographic and economic data requested in the Notice will entail time-consuming research, but because of his heavy workload he will not have adequate time to properly prepare the material for filing by the deadline date.

3. We are of the view that the requested extension of time is warranted so that Capitol City Broadcasting, Inc., may file information which may be helpful to the Commission in resolving the issues in this proceeding. *Accordingly, it is ordered,* That the time for filing comments and reply comments in Docket 21393, are extended to and including November 28, and December 17, 1977, respectively.

4. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281 of the Commission's rules.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-32000 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6712-01]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 21394; RM-2820; RM-2840; RM-2868]

FM TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS IN MOULTRIE, CAMILLA, CAIRO, AND HOMERVILLE, GA.

Order Extending Time for Filing Comments and Reply Comments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Order.

SUMMARY: At the request of Enterprise Broadcasting, Inc., the Commission has extended the comment and reply comment dates for responding to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order to Show Cause proposing FM channel assignments at Moultrie, Camilla, Cairo, and Homerville, Ga.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 30, 1977, and reply comments must be received on or before December 21, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leslie K. Shapiro, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-5414.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: October 26, 1977.
Released: October 31, 1977.

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Moultrie, Camilla,

Cairo, and Homerville, Ga.), Docket No. 21394, FM-2820, RM-2840, RM-2868.

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR FILING COMMENTS AND REPLY COMMENTS

1. On September 14, 1977, the Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order to Show Cause ("Notice"), 42 FR 47570, seeking comments on three plans involving the possible assignment of FM channels to the above-named Georgia communities as well as a modification of license for Enterprise Broadcasting, Inc. ("Enterprise"), licensee of Radio Station WEBI-FM, Camilla, Ga. Comments and reply comments are currently due on October 31, and November 21, 1977, respectively.

2. On October 19, 1977, counsel for Enterprise filed a motion for extension of time in which to file comments and replies to the Notice to November 30, and December 21, 1977, Counsel states that Enterprise attempted to retain a consulting engineer to study the Commission's proposals and prepare an analysis for them but only recently retained a consulting engineer, who has begun studying the various proposals. Counsel states that the time remaining for commenting is not sufficient to enable a thorough engineering analysis and coordination of that aspect with the work being done by the station's communications counsel in Washington. No oppositions to this request have been received.

3. We believe that the comments to be submitted by Enterprise on the possible modification of its license for Station WEBI-FM at Camilla, Ga., may assist the Commission in reaching its decision in this proceeding, and therefore agree that the requested extension is warranted. *Accordingly, it is ordered,* That the time for filing comments and reply comments in this proceeding is extended to and including November 30, and December 21, 1977.

4. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281 of the Commission's rules.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-32001 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6712-01]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 20418; RM-2346; RM-2727]

ADDING NEW VHF STATIONS IN THE TOP 100 MARKETS

Order Extending Time for Filing Comments and Reply Comments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Order.

SUMMARY: Action taken herein extends the time for filing comments and reply comments in the proceeding concerning VHF drop-ins. Petitioner, Mohawk-Hudson Council on Educational Television, states the additional time is necessary so that its consulting engineer can complete an engineering presentation and accompanying exhibits.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 1, 1977, and reply comments must be received on or before January 6, 1978.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Nesterak, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-7792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR FILING COMMENTS AND REPLY COMMENTS

Adopted: October 26, 1977.

Released: October 31, 1977.

In the mattere of petition for rulemaking to Amend Television Table of Assignments to Add New VHF Stations in the Top 100 Markets and to Insure that the New Stations Maximize Diversity of Ownership, Control, and Programming, Docket No. 20418, RM-2346, RM-2727.

1. On March 18, 1977, the Commission released a Memorandum Opinion and Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above-entitled proceeding, 42 FR 16782. The present dates for filing comments and reply comments are November 1, and December 1, 1977, respectively.

2. On October 20, 1977, Mohawk-Hudson Council on Educational Television ("Mohawk-Hudson") filed a request seeking extension of time for filing comments and reply comments to and including December 1, 1977, and January 6, 1978, respectively. Mohawk-Hudson states that since the Commission has decided that it will consider as a comment to the Notice, Mohawk-Hudson's proposal to assign Channel 4 to Albany, N.Y., and reserve it for educational use, it has been working diligently to prepare extensive additional comments to demonstrate the net public benefit to be derived from a reserved Channel 4 assignment at Albany. It asserts that a significant element in its showing is an engineering presentation, and notes that its consulting engineer is now working on the final engineering statement and accompanying exhibits. However, due to being engaged in four or five separate engineering projects related to the VHF drop-in proposals, he needs the additional time to be able to complete fully meaningful engineering presentations.

3. On October 21, 1977, Great Lakes Communications, Inc. ("Great Lakes"), licensee of TV Station WICU-TV, Erie, Pa., filed a statement in support of Mohawk-Hudson's request for extension of time. Great Lakes points out that in view of the impact that the Commission's proposal of Channel 12 to Altoona would have on Station WICU-TV, Great Lakes will be filing comments. It adds that it is still awaiting the full text of the Order of Clarification which the Commission adopted in which it specified that Channel 12 in Altoona would operate with an offset designation of 12+. It asserts that since it is concerned with the drop-in proposal of Channel 12 to Altoona, it must review carefully the full text of the Order of Clarification before completing preparation of any comments. On this basis Great Lakes supports Mohawk-Hudson's petition for extension of time.

4. In light of the foregoing, we are persuaded that the additional time is warranted in order to assure development of a sound and comprehensive record on which to base a final decision in this proceeding.

5. Accordingly, *It is ordered,* That the Mohawk-Hudson Council on Educational Television motion for extension of time is granted and the dates for filing comments and reply comments are extended to and including December 1, 1977, and January 6, 1978, respectively.

6. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281 of the Commission's rules and regulations.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-32002 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6315-01]

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[45 CFR Part 1068]

GRANTEE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Grantee Payment of Professional Dues; Postponement of Final Rule

AGENCY: Community Services Administration.

ACTION: Postponement of final rule.

SUMMARY: This is a notification to all interested parties that the proposed rule on payment of dues to professional organizations published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 14, 1977 (42 FR 46063) will not be effective on November 5, 1977 as originally proposed. CSA currently is reviewing comments received and will revise the proposed rule to reflect comments where warranted. Therefore, current policy in this area remains in effect until publication of the final rule.

DATES: The final rule on payment of professional dues will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER by November 30, 1977 and subsequently distributed to all grantees in the form of a CSA Instruction.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Jacqueline G. Lemire, 202-254-5047.

GRACIELA (Grace) OLIVAREZ,
Director.

[FR Doc.77-32230 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6315–01]

[45 CFR Part 1069]

[CSA Instruction 6910–1a]

GRANTEE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Restrictions on Charging Out-of-State Travel to Grant Funds

AGENCY: Community Services Administration.

ACTION: Postponement of final rule.

SUMMARY: This is a notification to all interested parties that the proposed rule on restrictions on charging out-of-State travel to grant funds published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 16, 1977 (42 FR 46559) will not be effective on November 5, 1977 as originally proposed. CSA currently is reviewing comments received and will revise the proposed rule to reflect comments where warranted. Therefore, current policy in this area remains in effect until publication of the final rule.

DATES: The final rule regarding travel restrictions will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER the week of November 14, 1977 and subsequently distributed to all grantees in the form of a CSA Instruction.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Jacqueline G. Lemire, 202–254–5047.

GRACIELA (Grace) OLIVAREZ,
Director.

[FR Doc.77–32231 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[7035–01]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Ex Parte No. MC–109; and Ex Parte No. MC–109 (Sub-No. 1)]

SPECIAL APPLICATION PROCEDURES FOR FOR-HIRE MOTOR CARRIERS

Applications Seeking Substitution of Single-Line Service for Existing Joint-Line Operations or For-Hire Service for Proprietary Operations; Extension of Time

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Extension of time for submission of written comments pertaining to proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: By FEDERAL REGISTER publication of October 14, 1977, (at pages 55239–55242), the Interstate Commerce Commission proposed to establish regulations which would govern application proceedings (1) in Ex Parte No. MC–109, for operating rights where the applicant is a motor common carrier seeking authority to conduct a single-line service in lieu of an existing joint-line service, and (2) in Ex Parte No. MC 109 (Sub-No. 1) for operating rights where the applicant is a motor common or contract carrier seeking authority to conduct a for-hire transportation service in lieu of the supporting shipper's existing proprietary operations.

The time for the filing of written comments by interested persons in each of these proceedings was established as 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (i.e., November 14, 1977). This submission date is now extended to December 14, 1977.

DATES: In each of the above-entitled proceedings the time for the filing of written comments with the Commission is extended from November 14, 1977, to December 14, 1977.

In each of the above-entitled proceedings the time for the filing of written comments with the Commission is extended from November 14, 1977 to December 14, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Office of Proceedings, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Erenberg, Assistant Deputy Director, Section of Operating Rights, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423, phone 202–275–7292.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 25, 1977, a petition (letter of October 24, 1977), was filed with the Commission by the American Trucking Associations, Inc., requesting that the time for filing written comments in each of these proceedings be extended to November 28, 1977. On October 26, 1977, a similar petition (letter of October 26, 1977), was filed with this Commission by the Motor Carrier Lawyers Association asking that the submission date be extended to December 14, 1977.

In each instance, the petitioning organization notes that the issues raised in these as well as other recently instituted rulemaking proceedings are of great significance to the motor carrier industry. Petitioners argue, therefore, that additional time is necessary to allow for meaningful responses to be filed with this Commission, adequately reflecting the pertinent views of their respective memberships.

In granting these petitions, and extending the due date for written comments to December 14, 1977, the Commission recognizes the need for the meaningful input of all interested persons concerning issues of great importance to the transportation industry. Persons who may have already submitted written comments in the above-entitled proceedings are invited to file supplementary written representations prior to the new due date if they so desire.

H. G. HOMME, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–32219 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

[4310–10]

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

DENVER OFFICE RETAINED

Notice is hereby given that the Senate and House Appropriations Committees have approved a request to reprogram Council funds to permit the retention of the Council's regional office in Denver, Colorado.

Located at 655 Parfet Street (P.O. Box 25085, Denver, Colo. 80225), the Denver office participates in negotiations with Federal agencies, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, in the States and Territories of Alaska, American Samoa, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

ROBERT R. GARVEY, Jr.,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.77-31932 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3410–30]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON MATERNAL, INFANT AND FETAL NUTRITION

Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following Committee meeting:

NAME: National Advisory Council on Maternal, Infant and Fetal Nutrition.

DATE AND TIME: 9:00 a.m., November 16–17, 1977.

PLACE: Old Town Holiday Inn, 480 King Street, Alexandria, Va.

PURPOSE OF MEETING: The Council will continue its study of the operations of the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC), and will discuss a wide range of matters concerning Program operations including the new WIC regulations.

PROPOSED AGENDA: The agenda will cover the new WIC Program regulations, the status of Program funding and participation, the proposed migrant demonstration project, the national ADP model system, and a discussion of the report of the National Advisory Committee on Nutrition Evaluation.

This meeting will be open to the public.

Persons wishing to attend the meeting as observers, or wishing to submit written comments, should contact Virginia Hungerford, Special Supplemental Food Division, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, telephone 202–447–6746.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

CAROL TUCKER FOREMAN,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31727 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3410–07]

Farmers Home Administration

[Notice of Designation Number A516]

NORTH DAKOTA

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has determined that farming, ranching, or aquaculture operations have been substantially affected in certain North Dakota Counties as a result of various adverse weather conditions shown in the following chart:

North Dakota—30 Counties, all natural disasters in 1977

County	Excessive rainfall Aug. 1 thru Sept. 30	Intermittent hailstorms June, July, August, and September	Early frost
Adams	X		
Billings	X	X	
Benson		X [1]	
Bottineau		X	Aug. 11, 13, and 18.
Bowman	X		
Burleigh	X	X	
Dickey		X [1]	
Dunn	X	X	
Eddy	X	X	
Foster	X	X	
Golden Valley	X	X	
Grant	X	X [2]	
Hettinger	X	X	
Kidder	X	X	
McIntosh	X	X	
McKenzie	X	X	
Mercer	X	X	
Morton	X	X	
Mountrail	X	X	
Oliver	X	X	
Pierce	X	X	
Rolette		X	
Sheridan	X	X	
Sioux	X		
Stark	X	X [1]	
Stutsman	X	X [1]	
Towner	X	X	
Ward	X	X	
Wells	X	X	
Williams	X	X	

[1] Also wind.
[2] Also wind and flood.
NOTE.—26 counties with excessive rainfall, 27 counties with intermittent hailstorms, and 1 county with early frost.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for emergency loans pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1904 Subpart C, Exhibit B, Paragraph V B, including the recommendation of Acting Governor Wayne G. Sanstead that such designation be made.

Applications for emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than April 19, 1978, for physical losses and October 24, 1978, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas make it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rulemaking and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, DC, this 26th day of October, 1977.

GORDON CAVANAUGH,
Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.77-31804 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3410–15]

Rural Electrification Administration

BRAZOS ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC., WACO, TEX.

Proposed Loan Guarantee

Under authority of Pub. L. 93–32 (87 Stat. 65) and in accordance with applicable agency policies and procedures as set forth in REA Bulletin 20–22 (Guarantee of Loans for Bulk Power Supply Facilities), notice is hereby given that the Administrator of REA will consider providing a guarantee supported by the full faith and credit of the United States of America for a loan in the approximate amount of $49,560,000 to Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., Waco, Tex. These loan funds will provide Brazos with financing required for construction of approximately 240 miles of double circuit 345 kV transmission line and related facilities.

Legally organized lending agencies capable of making, holding and servicing the loan proposed to be guaranteed may obtain information on the proposed project, including the engineering and economic feasibility studies and the proposed schedule for the advances to the borrower of the guaranteed loan funds from Mr. James E. Monahan, Manager, Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., P.O. Box 6296, Waco, Tex. 76706.

In order to beconsidered, proposals must be submitted on or before December 5, 1977 to Mr. Monahan. The right is reserved to give each consideration and make such evaluation or other disposition of all proposals as Brazos and REA deem appropriate. Prospective lenders

are advised that the guaranteed financing for this project is available from the Federal Financing Bank under a standing agreement with the Rural Electrification Administration.

Copies of REA Bulletin 20–22 are available from the Director, Information Services Division, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Dated at Wasington, D.C., this 31st day of October, 1977.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator,
Rural Electrification Administration.

[FR Doc.77–31972 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[3410–15]

UNITED POWER ASSOCIATION

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that the Rural Electrification Administration intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 in connection with an anticipated request to provide a loan guarantee for United Power Association, Elk River, Minn. 55330, for funding a 30 percent undivided ownership share of a proposed 800 MW generation station in St. Louis County, Minnesota, and related transmission facilities. It is planned that Minnesota Power and Light Co. of Duluth, Minn., will own 70 percent of the project and will be the construction and operating agent.

The proposed generation facilities are expected to use low sulphur western fuel transported to the area via unit train.

Transmission facilities to integrate the output of the proposed plant into the existing bulk transmission network have not yet been determined.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments which may be helpful in preparing the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Comments should be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator, Electric, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, with a copy to United Power Association whose address was given above. Additional information may be obtained at UPA's office during regular business hours.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of October 1977.

JOSEPH VELLONE,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.77–31802 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6320–01]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

HUGHES AIR CORP.

Application for Amendment of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that the Civil Aeronautics Board on October 31, 1977, received an application, Docket 31583, from Hughes Air Corp. d.b.a. Hughes Airwest for amendment of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for Route 76 so as to authorize nonstop service between Oakland, Calif., on the one hand, and Portland, Oregon and Seattle, Wash., on the other hand.

The applicant request that its application be processed under the expedited procedures set forth in Subpart M of Part 302 (14 CFR Part 302).

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–32050 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6320–01]

[Docket 31545; Order 77–10–108]

AEROLINEAS ARGENTINAS

Application for Amendment of Foreign Air Carrier Permit; Statement of Tentative Findings and Conclusions and Order to Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 27th day of October, 1977.

Aerolineas Argentinas (Aerolineas) is the holder of a foreign air carrier permit [1] authorizing: (a) Foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail (1) between a point or points in Argentina; the intermediate points of Sao Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, and Belem, Brazil, Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago, and Havana, Cuba; and the terminal point New York, New York; and (2) between a point or points in Argentina; the intermediate points Santiago and Antofagasta, Chile, La Paz, Bolivia, Lima, Peru, Guayaquil, Ecuador, and Panama City, Panama; and the coterminal points Miami, Florida and New York, N.Y.; and (3) between a point or points in Argentina; the intermediate points Lima, Peru, Bogota, Colombia, and Mexico City, Mexico; and the terminal points Los Angeles, Calif.; and (b) the performance of charter trips of persons and property pursuant to Part 212 of the Board's Economic Regulations.

By application filed on October 19, 1977,[2] Aerolineas requests that its foreign air carrier permit be amended so as to authorize foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail over the following routes:

1. Between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y., via the intermediate points Sao Paolo and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and beyond New York, N.Y. to Montreal, Canada.

2. Between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate points Santiago, Chile; La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; Guayaquil, Ecuador; and Bogota, Colombia.

3. Between the Republic of Argentina and the terminal point Los Angeles, Calif. via the intermediate points La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; Guayaquil, Ecuador; Bogota, Colombia; and Mexico City, Mexico.

4. Between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points in Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate point Caracas, Venezuela.

The operations for which Aerolineas seeks authority are provided for in a civil aviation Memorandum of Understanding [3] reached with the Government of Argentina. Aerolineas also requests that once its permit is amended as above, its application in Docket 23843 be dismissed.

As noted, the Understanding reached with the Government of Argentina makes provision for the additional route rights sought by Aerolineas. The Understanding will remain in effect until October 31, 1983. Accordingly, in conformity with the Understanding, the Board tentatively concludes that it is in the public interest to issue an amended foreign air carrier permit to Aerolineas granting the authority requested in the form of the specimen permit attached to this order. Moreover, since the additional authority is granted pursuant to an Understanding due to expire on October 31, 1983, it is in the public interest to provide that Aerolineas' foreign air carrier permit, as amended, shall expire on that date.

In view of the foregoing and all the facts of record, the Board tentatively finds:

1. That it is in the public interest to issue an amended foreign air carrier permit to Aerolineas Argentinas authorizing the carrier, until October 31, 1983: (a) to engage in foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail (1) between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate points Sao Paolo and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and beyond New York, N.Y. to Montreal, Canada; and (2) between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate points Santiago, Chile; La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; Guayaquil, Ecuador; and Bogota, Colombia; and (3) between the Republic of Argentina and the terminal point Los Angeles, Calif. via the intermediate points La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; Guayaquil, Ecuador; Bogota, Colombia; and Mexico City, Mexico; and (4) between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla., and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate point Caracas,

[1] Issued pursuant to Order 73–5–47, approved May 8, 1973. The permit is a temporary one, which states that it: "... shall terminate on the date of the final decision on the application in Docket 23843, except that if during the said period the operation of the foreign air transportation herein authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention, or agreement to which the United States and Argentina are or shall become parties, then, and in that event, this permit is continued in effect during the period provided in such treaty, convention, or agreement."

[2] A copy of the application has been transmitted to the President of the United States in accordance with the requirements of section 801 of the Act.

[3] Memorandum of Understanding of July 19, 1977, implemented by exchange of diplomatic notes dated September 22, 1977.

Venezuela; and (b) to engage in charter trips in foreign air transportation subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations prescribed by Part 212 of the Board's Economic Regulations.

2. That in accordance with the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding effective September 22, 1977 between the United States and the Republic of Argentina, the authority to serve Santiago, La Paz-New York on Route 2 and La Paz-Los Angeles on Route 3 shall become effective on October 1, 1979; Miami on Route 4 shall become effective on October 1, 1980; and New York on Route 4 shall become effective on October 1, 1981;

3. That Aerolineas Argentinas is substantially owned and effectively controlled by nationals of Argentina; [4]

4. That Aerolineas Argentinas is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the air transportation proposed in its application, and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Board; [5]

5. That the permit granted to Aerolineas Argentinas should be subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations contained in the specimen form of foreign air carrier permit attached to this order, and to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interested as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board;

6. That Aerolineas' application in Docket 23843 should be dismissed;

7. That Aerolineas' present application presents no questions of fact or law that will require an evidentiary hearing for their resolution;

8. That except to the extent granted, the application of Aerolineas Argentinas in Docket 31545 should be denied; and

9. That the amendment of Aerolineas' foreign air carrier permit is not a "major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment" within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and will not constitute a "major regulatory action" under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975 (EPACA), as defined in section 313.-4(a)(1) of the Board's regulations. [6]

[4] In granting Aerolineas its initial foreign air carrier permit, the Board found that the carrier was owned and controlled by Argentine nationals. Order E-3837, approved January 23, 1950. Aerolineas is wholly owned by the Government of Argentina.

[5] In its most recent order amending Aerolineas' foreign air carrier permit, the Board found that the carrier met the fitness standards of the Act and that its services were in the public interest. Order 73-5-47, approved May 8, 1973. The present application continues to support these findings. Aerolineas has performed its currently authorized services successfully.

[6] Our tentative finding is based upon the fact that amendment of Aerolineas' permit will not result in a significant increase in civil aviation operations at U.S. points: no new U.S. point will receive service as a result of this amendment, which adds a single beyond point and two interdmediates, while naming Miami as a coterminal with New York City via intermediate points in Brazil. At the same time, the amendment deletes five intermediate points from Aerolineas' existing permit.

Accordingly, it is ordered that:

1. All interested persons are directed to show cause why the Board should not make final the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here, and why an amended foreign air carrier permit in the form of the specimen permit attached to this order should not, subject to the approval of the President pursuant to section 801 of the Act, be issued to Aerolineas Argentinas;

2. Any interested person having objection to the issuance of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions here and issuing said permit shall, within 15 days after the adoption of this order, file with the Board and serve upon the persons named in paragraph 5, a statement of objections specifying the part or parts of the tentative findings and conclusions objected to, together with a summary of testimony, statistical data and such evidence expected to be relied upon in support of the statement of objections. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, the objector should state in detail why such hearing is considered necessary and what relevant and material facts he would expect to establish through such hearing which cannot be established in written pleadings;

3. If timely and properly supported objections are filed, further consideration will be accorded the matters and issues raised by the objections before further action is taken by the Board; *Provided*, that the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions here if it is determined that there are no factual issues present that warrant the holding of an evidentiary hearing; [7]

4. In the event no objections are filed, all further procedural steps will be deemed to have been waived, and the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here; and

5. This order shall be served upon Aerolineas Argentinas, Pan American World Airways, Inc., Braniff Airways, Inc., and the Ambassodor of Argentina in Washington, D.C.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and will be transmitted to the President.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[8]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

SPECIMEN PERMIT

PERMIT TO FOREIGN AIR CARRIER (AS AMENDED)

Aerolineas Argentinas is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and the orders, rules, and regulations issued thereunder, to engage in foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail, as follows:

1. Between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate points Sao Paulo and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and beyond New York, N.Y. to Montreal, Canada.

[7] Since provision is made for the filing of objections to this order, petitions for reconsideration will not be entertained.

2. Between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate points Santiago, Chile; La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; Guayaquil, Ecuador; and Bogota, Colombia.

3. Between the Republic of Argentina and the terminal point Los Angeles, Calif. via the intermidate points La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; Guayaquil, Ecuador; Bogota, Colombia; and Mexico City, Mexico.

4. Between the Republic of Argentina and the coterminal points Miami, Fla. and New York, N.Y. via the intermediate point Caracas, Venezuela.

The holder shall be authorized to engage in charter trips in foreign air transportation, subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations, prescribed by Part 212 of the Board's Economic Regulations.

This permit shall be subject to the condition that the holder shall serve the terminal points New York, N.Y., Miami, Fla., and Los Angeles, Calif., only on flights originating or terminating at a point in Argentina.

The holder shall conform to the airworthiness and airman competency requirements prescribed by the Government of Argentina for Argentine international air service.

This permit shall be subject to all applicable provisions of any treaty, convention, or agreement affecting international air transportation now in effect, or that may become effective during the period this permit remains in effect, to which the United States and Argentina shall be parties.

This permit shall be subject to the condition that in the event any practice develops which the Board regards as inimical to sound economic conditions the holder and the Board will consult with respect thereto and will use their best efforts to agree upon modifications thereof satisfactory to the Board and the holder.

By accepting this permit the holder waives any right it may possess to assert any defense of sovereign immunity from suit in any action or proceeding instituted against the holder in any court or other tribunal in the United States (or its territories or possessions) based upon any claim arising out of operations by the holder under this permit.

The holder shall keep on deposit with the Board a signed counterpart of CAB Agreement 18900, an agreement relating to liability limitations of the Warsaw Convention and the Hague Protocol approved by Board Order E-23680, May 13, 1966, and a signed counterpart of any amendment or amendments to such agreement which may be approved by the Board and to which the holder becomes a party.

The holder (1) shall not provide foreign air transportation under this permit unless there is in effect third-party liability insurance in the amount of $1,000,000 or more to meet potential liability claims which may arise in connection with its operations under this permit, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the third-party liability insurance provided and (2) shall not provide foreign air transportation of persons unless there is in effect liability insurance sufficient to cover the obligations assumed in CAB Agreement 18900, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the passenger liability insurance provided. Upon request the Board may authorize the holder to supply the name and address of an insurance syndicate in lieu of the names and addresses of the member insurers.

The exercise of the privileges granted hereby shall be subject to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations re-

quired by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board.

This permit shall be effective on _____, and shall terminate on October 31, 1983, except that the authority to serve: Santiago, La Paz-New York on Route 2 and La Paz-Los Angeles on Route 3 shall become effective on October 1, 1979; Miami on Route 4 shall become effective on October 1, 1980; and New York on Route 4 shall become effective on October 1, 1981. This permit shall be subject to termination at any time if the authority to conduct flight operations to and from Argentina granted by the Government of Argentina to any air carrier designated by the United States is canceled or restricted: *Provided, however,* That if in the period during which this permit shall be effective the operation of the foreign air transportation here authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention, or agreement to which the United States and Argentina are or shall become parties, then this permit is continued in effect during the period provided in such treaty, convention, or agreement.

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this permit to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed on the _____.

Secretary.

[SEAL]

Issuance of the permit to the holder approved by the President of the United States on _____

in _____

[FR Doc.77-32051 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320-01]

[Docket 31492; Docket 26603; Order 77-10-130]

AIRLIFT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Puerto Rico/Virgin Islands Freight Rates; Order of Suspension

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 27th day of October, 1977.

By tariff revisions[1] issued September 28 and marked to become effective October 28, 1977, Airlift International, Inc. (Airlift), proposes to establish, upon request of the shipper or consignee, loading or unloading service on the carrier's premises applicable to traffic moving between the United States and Puerto Rico for Type A-2 containers at a charge of $62 per container for each service. The services are subject to advance arrangements and the availability of carrier personnel to perform the service. The rule also prohibits the supplying of both loading and unloading service on the same shipment, and bears an expiry date of October 27, 1978.

Airlift asserts, among other things, that the proposed rule is similar to a container-loading rule filed for domestic application and permitted by the Board; that the rule is consistent with the Board's findings in the *Domestic Air Freight Investigation (DAFRI)*, Docket 22859, and is a lawfully proposed service; that the charge proposed was developed using updated cost data contained in *DAFRI* and is, therefore, representative of the cost of the services; and that the rule is proposed for an experimental term of one year during which Airlift will monitor the traffic and revenue impact of the service in order to decide whether to revise, continue, or discontinue the service.

A complaint requesting suspension and investigation of Airlift's proposed rule to the extent it offers loading has been filed by Century Air Freight, Inc. (Century), an air freight forwarder. The complainant contends that Airlift's proposal will invade the forwarder's functions and divert a large volume of its traffic; that the proposal amounts to an unfair competitive practice because Airlift is attempting to put forwarders out of business by exercising its superior economic power; that the proposed rate is uneconomic for a new service designed unfairly to compete with forwarders; that the Board in Order 77-6-12 suspended a similar proposal, limited to domestic traffic, by Airlift; that, at the current level of container rates, shippers would find the discounts exceed by a significant amount the charges paid for loading and unloading; and that the proposal is predatory in nature.

The proposed rule is within the scope of the investigation in Puerto Rico/Virgin Islands Freight Rates, Docket 26603, and its lawfulness will be determined in that proceeding. The issue now before the Board is whether to suspend the proposal or to permit it to become effective pending final decision of the Board.

Airlift's proposal is not consistent with the Board's decision in *DAFRI*, as the carrier contends, in that the Board found that all shipments should be charged on the basis of their status, as tendered to the carrier, and that, therefore, bulk-tendered shipments should be charged the bulk rate. The Board indicated this was preferable since it was based on the known characteristics of the shipment at time of tender and not, as a loading charge would be, on fictional averages. (Carriers normally load bulk-tendered shipments into their own containers for operational reasons.)

The Board concludes, therefore, that the proposed loading charge should be suspended. As indicated, the carrier is incorrect in its contention that its proposal is consistent with the Board's decision in *DAFRI*. If, however, the carrier can justify its proposal on other grounds, the Board will consider the matter again.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered that:

1. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the charges and provisions in Rule No. 17, insofar as they apply to carrier loading service, on 9th Revised Page 12-A of Tariff CAB No. 4, issued by Airlift International, Inc., be suspended and their use deferred to and including January 25, 1978, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

2. Except to the extent granted, the complaint of Century Air Freight, Inc., in Docket 31492 is dismissed; and

3. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariff and served upon Airlift International, Inc., and Century Air Freight, Inc.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[2]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32052 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[1] Revisions to Airlift International, Inc., Tariff CAB No. 4, Rule No. 17.

[6320-01]

[Docket 30886; Order 77-10-114]

AVALON AVIATION, LTD.

Application for Foreign Air Carrier Permit; Statement of Tentative Findings and Conclusions and Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 27th day of October 1977.

By application filed May 16, 1977,[1] Avalon Aviation, Ltd. (Avalon), requests a foreign air carrier permit to engage in charter foreign air transportation of persons and their accompanying baggage, and planeload charter foreign air transportation of property, between any point or points in Canada and the United States, using "small aircraft"[3] pursuant to the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement executed on May 8, 1974, by the Governments of the United States and Canada.

FITNESS OF APPLICANT FOR A FOREIGN AIR CARRIER PERMIT

Avalon was incorporated under the Canada Corporations Act on February 5, 1971. The Air Transport Committee of the Canadian Transport Commission has issued Avalon license No. A.T.C. 570/77 (CF), dated April 21, 1977, a class 9-4 license which authorizes the holder to operate international charter commercial air services from a base at Red Deer, Alberta. The licensee is restricted in its operations to the use of Group D aircraft[5] (restricted to Canso PBY-5A aircraft). The Canadian Department of Transport, Civil Aviation Branch, has issued Avalon Operating Certificate No. 3166, dated July 15, 1975, which certifies that the carrier is adequately equipped and able to conduct a safe operation.

[2] All Members concurred.

[1] A copy of the application has been transmitted to the President of the United States in accordance with the requirements of section 801 of the Act.

[3] "Small aircraft" are defined by the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement as aircraft which are not "large aircraft." "Large aircraft" are defined as aircraft having both (a) a maximum passenger capacity of more than 30 seats or a maximum payload capacity of more than 7,500 pounds, and (b) a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels greater than 35,000 pounds.

[5] Under Canadian Air Transport Committee regulations, Group D consists of aircraft with a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels over 18,000 pounds but not greater than 35,000 pounds.

Avalon's balance sheet as of December 31, 1976, shows current assets of $90,748 compared with current liabilities of $230,816. Total assets and liabilities were $742,230. The company shows total shareholders' equity of $101,931. The applicant's statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 1976, shows revenue of $786,889 of which $772,292 was derived from flying. The carrier's expenses were $690,601 resulting in a net profit from operations of $96,288. Net income after taxes was $65,670. Despite the carrier's weak current ratio, the applicant appears to possess sufficient financial resources to support a finding of financial fitness. Furthermore, the applicant states that since its inception it has never been unable to meet current financial obligations and has never defaulted on any commitment to provide transportation.

In its application, the carrier states that it has seven Canso PBY-5A aircraft available for charters to the United States. Five of the aircraft has a passenger seating capacity of 12 passengers. The other two aircraft have a passenger seating capacity of 18 passengers. The applicant has never had an accident involving deaths or injuries.

"Public Interest" in Award of the Authority Sought

The applicant relies upon the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement signed by the Governments of Canada and the United States on May 8, 1974, as the basis for the grant of the requested authority. By diplomatic note No. 367, dated August 12, 1977, the Government of Canada designated the applicant under the Agreement to perform charter services with small aircraft.[4] The aircraft the applicant plans to use in its operations between the United States and Canada are within the scope of the designation.

Ownership and Control of the Applicant

The officers of the corporation are R. H. Murdock, President and Director; C. Cloghesy, Secretary-Treasurer; and G. A. Stapley, Vice President and Director. The application also lists as directors of the corporation: A. R. McLean, W. Deluce, and D. R. McDougall. All of the officers and directors are Canadian citizens. The issued stock of Avalon Aviation is held by R. H. Murdock (40%), Lemas Ltd. (21%), G. A. Stapley (18%), and White River Air Services (15%).[5] All of the shareholders are Canadian citizens.

In view of the foregoing and all the facts of record, the Board tentatively finds and concludes:

1. That Avalon Aviation, Ltd., is substantially owned and effectively controlled by nationals of Canada;

2. That it is in the public interest to issue a foreign air carrier permit for small aircraft operations to Avalon Aviation, Ltd., authorizing it to engage in charter foreign air transportation with small aircraft of persons and their accompanied baggage and planeload charters of property between any point or points in Canada and the United States.

3. That the public interest requires that the exercise of the privileges granted by said permit shall be subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations containd in the specimen form of permit attached to this order, and to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board;

4. That Avalon Aviation, Ltd., is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the above-described foreign air transportation and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Board thereunder;

5. That except to the extent granted here, the application of Avalon Aviation, Ltd., in Docket 30886 should be denied; and

6. That an evidentiary hearing is not required in the public interest.[6]

Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That:

1. All interested persons are directed to show cause why the Board should not make final the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here, and why a foreign air carrier permit in the form of the specimen permit attached to this order should not, subject to the approval of the President pursuant to section 801 of the Act, be issued to Avalon Aviation, Ltd.;

2. Any interested person having objection to the issuance of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions here and issuing said permit shall, within 21 days after the adoption of this order, file with the Board and serve upon the persons named in paragraph 5, a statement of objections specifying the part or parts of the tentative findings and conclusions objected to, together with a summary of testimony, statistical data, and such evidence expected to be relied upon in support of the statement of objections. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, the objector should state in detail why such hearing is considered necessary and what relevant and material facts he would expect to establish through such hearing which cannot be established in written pleadings;

3. If timely and properly supported objections are filed, further consideration will be accorded the matters and issues raised by the objections before further action is taken by the Board; *Provided*, That the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusons here if it is determined that there are no factual issues present that warrant the holding of an evidentiary hearing;[7]

4. In the event no objections are filed, all further procedural steps will be deemed to have been waived, and the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here; and

5. This order shall be served upon Avalon Aviation Ltd. and the Ambassador of Canada in Washington, D.C.

This order will be published in the Federal Register and will be transmitted to the President.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[1]

Phyllis T. Kaylor,
Secretary.

Specimen Permit

Permit to Foreign Air Carrier for Small Aircraft Operations

Avalon Aviation, Ltd., is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and the orders, rules, and regulations issued thereunder, to engage in charter foreign air transportation as follows:

Charter flights carrying persons and their accompanied baggage, and planeload charter flights carrying property, between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States.

The holder shall be authorized to perform those types of charters originating in Canada as are now, or may hereafter be, prescribed for carriage by small aircraft in Annex B(III)(B) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including any amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement: *Provided*, That any such charters may be performed only to the extent authorized by the Air Carrier Regulations of the Canadian Transport Commission applicable to operations by small aircraft, and the authority of the holder to perform such charters shall be subject to those Regulations.[2] The authority of the holder to perform United States-originating charters shall, in accordance with Annex B(III)(A) of such Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement, be limited to commercial air transportation of passengers and their accompanied baggage, and property on a time, mileage, or trip basis, where the entire planeload capacity of one or more aircraft has been engaged by a person for his own use or by a person for the transportation of a group of persons and/or their property, as agent or representative of such groups, or such small aircraft operations as may be authorized pursuant to any amendment, supplement, reservation, or supersession to that Agreement.

This permit shall be subject to the following terms, conditions, and limitations:

(1) In the performance of the charter operations authorized by this permit, the holder shall not use "large aircraft" as defined in Annex A(I)(A) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement.

---

[4] See Docket 26473.

[5] The applicant is not required to list shareholders holding less than 5 percent of the issued stock.

[6] We also tentatively find that our proposed action will not constitute a "major regulatory action" under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act as defined in section 313.4 (a)(1) for the Board's Regulations.

[7] Since provision is made for the filing of objections to this order, petitions for reconsideration will not be entertained.

[1] All Members concurred.

[2] Annex B(III)(B) presently authorizes Canadian-originating small aircraft charters of the types prescribed in section (II)(B); but only to the extent applicable to small aircraft pursuant to Canadian Transport Commission Regulations. The applicable types of charters presently authorized are: Single Entity Passenger, Single Entity Property, Pro Rata Common Purpose, and Inclusive Tour. (In some instances split passenger charters are authorized.)

(2) The holder shall not engage in foreign air transportation between the United States and any point or points, other than a point or points in Canada, or transport any property or persons whose journey, includes a prior, subsequent, or intervening movement by air (except for the movement of passengers independently of any group) to or from a point not in the United States or Canada: *Provided*, That the Board may, upon application by the holder, or by regulation, authorize the performance of charters where such movements are involved.

(3) The holder shall not perform United States-originating charter flights which at the end of any calendar quarter would result in the aggregate number of all United States-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974, exceeding by more than one-third the aggregate number of all Canadian-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth. For the purpose of making such computation the following shall apply:

(a) A charter shall be considered to originate in the United States (or Canada) if the passengers or property are first taken on board in that country, and shall be considered as one flight whether the charter be one-way, round-trip, circle tour, or open jaw, even if a separate contract is entered into for a return portion of the charter trip from Canada (or the United States).

(b) The computation shall be made separately for (i) "small aircraft" flights of persons; and (ii) "small aircraft" flights of property.

(c) In the case of a lease of aircraft with crew for the performance of a charter flight on behalf and under the authority of another carrier, the flight shall be included in the computation if the holder is the lessee, and shall not be included if the holder is the lessor.

(d) There shall be excluded from the computation:

(i) Flights utilizing aircraft having a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels (as determined by Canadian Transport Commission Regulations) not greater than 18,000 pounds; and

(ii) Flights originating at a United States terminal point of a route authorized pursuant to the Air Transport Services Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed January 17, 1966, as amended, or any agreement which may supersede it, or any supplementary agreement thereto which establishes obligations or privileges thereunder (if, pursuant to any such agreement, the holder also holds a foreign air carrier permit authorizing individually ticketed or individually waybilled service over such route, and provides some scheduled service on any route pursuant to any such agreement), when such flights serve either (a) a Canadian terminal point on such route, or (b) any Canadian intermediate point authorized for service on such route by such foreign air carrier permit.

(4) The holder may grant stopover privileges at any point or points in the United States only to passengers and their accompanied baggage moving on a Canadian-originating flight operating under a contract for round-trip charter transportation to be provided solely by the holder and as to which the same aircraft stays with the passengers throughout the journey: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth.

(5) The Board, by order or regulation and without hearing, may require advance approval of individual charter trips conducted by the holder pursuant to the authority granted by this permit, if it finds such action to be required in the public interest.

(6) The holder shall conform to the airworthiness and airman competency requirements prescribed by the Government of Canada for Canadian international air service.

(7) This permit shall be subject to all applicable provisions of any treaty, convention, or agreement affecting international air transportation now in effect, or that may become effective during the period this permit remains in effect, to which the United States and Canada shall be parties.

(8) This permit shall be subject to the condition that the holder shall keep on deposit with the Board a signed counterpart of CAB Agreement 18900, an agreement relating to liability limitations of the Warsaw Convention and the Hague Protocol approved by Board Order E-23680, May 13, 1966, and a signed counterpart of any amendment or amendments to such agreement which may be approved by the Board and to which the holder becomes a party.

(9) The holder (1) shall not provide foreign air transportation under this permit unless there is in effect third-party liability insurance in the amount of $1,000,000 or more to meet potential liability claims which may arise in connection with its operations under this permit, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the third-party liability insurance provided, and (2) shall not provide foreign air transportation of persons unless there is in effect liability insurance sufficient to cover the obligations assumed in CAB Agreement 18900, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the passenger liability insurance provided. Upon request, the Board may authorize the holder to supply the name and address of an insurance syndicate in lieu of the names and addresses of the member insurers.

(10) By accepting this permit, the holder waives any right it may possess to assert any defense of sovereign immunity from suit in any action or proceeding instituted against the holder in any court or other tribunal in the United States (or its territories or possessions) based upon any claim arising out of operations by the holder under this permit.

The exercise of the privileges granted by this permit shall be subject to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board.

This permit shall become effective on ---------- . Unless otherwise terminated at an earlier date pursuant to the terms of any applicable treaty, convention, or agreement, this permit shall terminate (1) upon the effective date of any treaty, convention, or agreement, or amendment thereto, which shall have the effect of eliminating the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized from the transportation which may be operated by carriers designated by the Government of Canada (or in the event of the elimination of part of the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized, the authority granted herein shall be terminated to the extent of such elimination), or (2) upon the effective date of any permit granted by the Board to any other carrier designated by the Government of Canada in lieu of the holder hereof, or (3) upon the termination of or expiration of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974: *Provided, however*, That clause (3) of this paragraph shall not apply if, prior to the occurrence of the event specified in clause (3), the operation of the foreign air transportation herein authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention, or agreement to which the United States and Canada are or shall become parties.

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this permit to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed hereto, on the ----------

[SEAL] ----------

Secretary.

Issuance of this permit to the holder approved by the President of the United States on ---------- in ----------

[FR Doc.77-32053 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am].

[6320-01]

[Docket 31574; Docket 30659; Order 77-10-136]

PACIFIC SOUTHWEST AIRLINES

Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity; Order Instituting Proceeding

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 28th day of October, 1977.

On March 23, 1977, Pacific Southwest Airlines, Inc. (PSA), filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity for the air transportation of persons, property and mail ". . . between Las Vegas and Reno, Nevada, on the one hand, and the following points in California, on the other hand, San Diego, Los Angeles, Long Beach, Burbank, Ontario, Fresno, Stockton, San Jose, San Francisco, Oakland, and Sacramento." The authority requested would be ". . . limited to the carriage of traffic enplaning or deplaning at either Las Vegas or Reno, Nevada." PSA also requests the authority to carry these interstate passengers on its routes within California on which service is provided ". . . pursuant to authority issued by the California Public Utilities Commission."

On May 13, 1977, PSA filed a motion for hearing requesting that the Board set the application described above for immediate hearing. PSA proposes direct service with its Boeing 727-200 aircraft (configured to accommodate 159 passengers in single-class seating) in four Reno markets and six Las Vegas markets. PSA intends to provide a no-frills service, and will set its fares 43% to 18% below the coach fares now offered by the incumbent certificated carriers in the various markets. (PSA proposes greater percentage reductions in some markets than in others).

Answers in support of the PSA motion for hearing have been filed by the Department of Justice, the Department of Transportation, the Board's own Office of the Consumer Advocate, the Las Vegas parties,[1] and the Reno parties.[2] The Port of Oakland and the Oakland Chamber of Commerce filed expressing support provided that the grant of the PSA motion not delay Board action on their own petition for an investigation into the service needs of Oakland in Docket 30699. Answers in opposition have been filed

See footnotes at end of document.

by Hughes Airwest, Trans World Airlines, and Western Air Lines.

PSA filed a reply to the answers in opposition together with a motion for leave to file an otherwise unauthorized document. The Reno parties filed similar motions with unauthorized replies to two of the answers in opposition. We will grant these motions.

We have decided to institute an investigation to consider PSA's application. Such an investigation, like the *Chicago-Midway Low-Fare Route Proceeding*, Docket 30227, and the *Transcontinental Low Fare Route Proceeding*, Docket 30356, presents the Board with the opportunity to explore the need for low-fare services and whether such services can be economically provided, considering both the cost characteristics and the demand they may stimulate. The applicant, PSA, is providing low-fare services in similar markets, in terms of distance and density, on its existing intrastate system, and appears to have a cost structure consonant with the fares proposed.

PSA's application for authority in each of the twenty-two California-Nevada markets in which it seeks authority will be in issue.[3] We will also consider PSA's request to carry these California-Nevada passengers on its "* * * operations within California conducted pursuant to authority issued by the California Public Utilities Commission."[4] With respect to this latter request, the Board is not clear what form of authority PSA seeks. We will expect PSA to submit, upon the direction of the administrative law judge and prior to hearing, a proposed certificate and/or requests for exemption from any provision of Title IV. To the maximum extent possible, all parties proposing stipulations, conditions, limitations, and restrictions should also do so prior to hearing.[5] The Board also requests that the administrative law judge and the parties explore whether any or all authority which may be granted in this proceeding should be permissive in character, and if so, whether it is desirable to award authority to more than one carrier in each market.[6]

The administrative law judge and the parties should also consider whether it is lawful and desirable to condition any certificate awarded on the basis of a low-fare proposal to insure the maintenance of the promised fares, or alternatively, whether it is preferable to award such authority on a temporary basis.

The Board has decided to deny PSA's request that we set a procedural timetable and issue final decision within eight months of the order setting the application for hearing. The case should be handled as expeditiously as possible, but we disagree with PSA's suggestion that we eliminate the Prehearing Conference. The Prehearing Conference should help to narrow the issues and shape the evidence in a manner which will help speed final decision.

This proceeding will not be limited to a consideration of low-fare service. Competing applications not premised on low-fares will be consolidated to the extent that they seek authority consistent with the issues.

See footnotes at end of document.

Accordingly, it is ordered that:

1. A proceeding be instituted pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, particularly sections 204, 401, 404, 408, 409, 416(b) and 1002, and set for hearing before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place to be later designated; the proceeding shall be known as the *California-Nevada Low-Fare Route Proceeding*, Docket 31574;

2. The primary issues in this proceeding shall include the following:

(a) Do the public convenience and necessity require the certification of an air carrier or carriers to engage in the air transportation of persons, property, and mail between Reno and Las Vegas, Nev., on the one hand, and San Diego, Los Angeles, Long Beach, Burbank, Ontario, Fresno, Stockton, San Jose, San Francisco, Oakland, and Sacramento, Calif., on the other?[7]

(b) If the answer to (a) is affirmative, which applicant or applicants should be authorized to engage in such air transportation?

(c) If Pacific Southwest Airlines, the intrastate carrier which has applied for the authority described in (a), is selected, should it be permitted to carry these passengers on its operations conducted pursuant to authority issued by the California Public Utilities Commission? What is the appropriate form of such authority under the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended?

(d) Are Pacific Southwest Airlines and any subsequent applicants fit, willing, and able to perform properly the transportation proposed in their applications, and to conform with the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Board thereunder?

(e) What terms, conditions, and limitations, if any, should be placed on any authority awarded in this proceeding?

3. All authority awarded in this proceeding shall be ineligible for federal subsidy;

4. The application of Pacific Southwest Airlines in Docket 30659 be consolidated into the proceeding instituted by paragraph 1, to the extent that it conforms to the scope of the proceeding as described in paragraph 2(a);

5. The following are made parties to this proceeding:

The Department of Justice
The Department of Transportation
The State of California and the California and the California Public Utilities Commission
Clark County, Nev., the Greater Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce, the City of Las Vegas, the Nevada Resort Association, and the Las Vegas Convention Visitors Authority
The City of Reno, Nev., and the Greater Reno Chamber of Commerce
The Port of Oakland and the Oakland Chamber of Commerce
Trans World Airlines
Western Air Lines
Hughes Airwest

6. All applicants in this proceeding shall file, with their applications, environmental evaluations pursuant to section 312.12 of the Board's Procedural Regulations;

7. The motions of Pacific Southwest Airlines and the Reno parties for leave to file otherwise unauthorized documents be granted; and

8. Applications, motions to consolidate, and petitions for reconsideration of this order shall be filed within 20 days from the date of service of this order, and answers to these pleadings shall be due 20 days thereafter.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[8]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

FOOTNOTES

[1] Clark County, Nev., the Greater Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce, the City of Las Vegas, the Nevada Resort Association, and the Las Vegas Convention/Visitors Authority.

[2] The City of Reno, Nev., and the Greater Reno Chamber of Commerce.

[3] The twenty-two markets are Las Vegas-San Diego/Los Angeles/Long Beach/Burbank/Ontario / Fresno / Stockton / San Jose/San Francisco / Oakland/Sacramento and Reno-San Diego / Los Angeles / Long Beach / Burbank / Ontario / Fresno / Stockton / San Jose/San Francisco/Oakland/Sacramento.

[4] PSA application at p. 2

[5] This is not intended to preclude any party from advancing alternative proposals on brief to the administrative law judge but only to encourage early submission in order to aid in the development of the record.

[6] We do intend to exclude from consideration the import of PSA's low-fare proposals on the ratemaking standards determined in the *Domestic Passenger-Fare Investigation*. That impact will be considered in a rulemaking proceedings instituted for that purpose. However, if an award permitting institution of low-fare service is made to a carrier currently subject to our orders in Phase 4 or 9 of the *DPFI*, modifications of those orders may be made in this investigation to the extent necessary to allow those carriers to conduct service at the fares contemplated, and any party may offer evidence in support of such modifications.

[7] Authority between Las Vegas and Reno is not in issue.

[8] All Members concurred.

[FR Doc.77-32054 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320-01]

[Docket 31073 Order 77-10-112]

KENN BOREK AIR LTD.

Application for Foreign Air Carrier Permit; Statement of Tentative Findings and Conclusions and Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 27th day of October, 1977.

By application filed July 1, 1977,[1] Kenn Borek Air Ltd. (Kenn Borek) requests a foreign air carrier permit to engage in charter foreign air transportation of persons and their accompanying baggage,

[1] A copy of the application has been transmitted to the President of the United States in accordance with the requirements of section 801 of the Act.

and planeload charter foreign air transportation of property, between any point or points in Canada and the United States, using "small aircraft" [2] pursuant to the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement executed on May 8, 1974, by the Governments of the United States and Canada.

Fitness of Applicant for a Foreign Air Carrier Permit

Kenn Borek was incorporated under the Companies Act of British Columbia on February 28, 1966.[3] The Air Transport Committee of the Canadian Air Transport Commission has issued Kenn Borek license No. A.T.C. 483/73(CF), dated November 8, 1976, a class 9–4 license which authorizes the holder to operate international charter commercial air services from a base at Resolute Bay, Northwest Territories. The licensee is restricted in its operations to the use of Groups A, B, and D (limited to DC–3 aircraft in Group D) aircraft.[4] The Canadian Department of Transport, Civil Aviation Branch, has issued Kenn Borek Operating Certificate Number 2384 which certifies that the carrier is adequately equipped and able to conduct a safe operation.

The applicant's balance sheet as of September 30, 1976, shows assets of $721,935 and liabilities of $703,793. For the 12-month period ended September 30, 1976, the company had net sales of both charter and scheduled services of $602,134 and costs and expenses of $578,-624 for a net income before flying school and depreciation of $23,510. Due to losses attributable to the operation of the company's flying school, however, the company had a net loss for the year of $22,-219. Nevertheless, despite the loss for the most recent period, the company appears to have a sound financial base. The company had retained earnings at the beginning of the period of $29,361 indicating that the company has been profitable in the past. Furthermore, the applicant states that it has never been unable to meet current financial obligations nor has it defaulted on any commitment to provide transportation.

In its application, the carrier lists the following aircraft as available for charters to the United States:

Type of aircraft	Number owned or leased	Passenger seating capacity	Maximum authorized takeoff weight (pounds)
Cessna 150	1	2	1,600
Cessna 172	1	4	2,300
Cessna 180	1	4	2,950
Cessna 185	1	6	3,350
De Havilland DHC-3	1	10	8,000
De Havilland DHC-6	5	20	12,500
Douglas DC-3	3	28	28,000

Within the last five years, the applicant has had no safety or tariff violations or any accidents involving deaths or injuries.

"Public Interest" in Award of the Authority Sought

The applicant relies on the Nonscheduel Air Service Agreement signed by the Governments of Canada and the United States of America on May 8, 1974, as the basis for the grant of the requested authority. By diplomatic note No. 367, dated August 12, 1977,[5] the Government of Canada designated the applicant under the Agreement to perform charter services with small aircraft.

Ownership and Control of the Applicant

The officers and directors of the corporation are Mr. Kenn Borek, President and Director; and Ms. Rosella Delores Borek, Secretary and Director. Both officers and directors of the company are Canadian citizens. The company has issued 11,000 shares of capital stock all of which are owned by Borek Construction Ltd. (10,999 shares) and Mr. Kenn Borek (1 share). Al lof the shares of Borek Construction Ltd. are beneficially owned by Canadian citizens.

The application states that neither the applicant nor any of its officers, directors, or shareholders own, either directly or indirectly, any shares of common stock or other interest in any United States carrier, any other Canadian carrier or other foreign carrier, or in any peson whose principal business is the holding of stock in, or control of any such entities.

In view of the foregoing and all the facts of record, the Board tentatively finds and concludes:

1. That Kenn Borek Air Ltd. is substantially owned and effectively controlled by nationals of Canada;

2. That it is in the public interest to issue a foreign air carrier permit for small arcraft operations to Kenn Borek Air Ltd. authorizing it to engage in charter foreign air transportation with small aircraft of persons and their accompanied baggage and planeload charters of property between any point or points in Canada and the United States;

3. That the public interest requires that the exercise of the privileges granted by said permit shall be subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations contained in the specimen form to permit attached to this order, and to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board;

4. That Kenn Borek Air Ltd. is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the above-described foreign air transportation and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Board thereunder;

5. That except to the extent granted here, the application of Kenn Borek Air Ltd. in Docket 31073 should be denied; and

6. That an evidentiary hearing is not required in the public interest.[6]

Accordingly, *It is ordered that:* 1. All interested persons are directed to show cause why the Board should not make final the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here, and why a foreign air carrier permit in the form of the specimen permit attached to this order should not, subject to the approval of the President pursuant to section 801 of the Act, be issued to Kenn Borek Air Ltd.;

2. Any interested person having objection to the issuance of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions here and issuing said permit shall, within 21 days after the adoption of this order, file with the Board and serve upon the persons named in paragraph 5, a statement of objections specifying the part or parts of the tentative findings and conclusions objected to, together with a summary of testimony, statistical data and such evidence expected to be relied upon in support of the statement of objections. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, the objector should state in detail why such hearing is considered necessary and what relevant and material facts he would expect to establish through such hearing which cannot be established in written pleadings;

3. If timely and properly supported objections are filed, further consideration will be accorded the matters and issues raised by the objections before further action is taken by the Board; *Provided,* That the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions here if it is determined that there are no factual issues present that warrant the holding of an evidentiary hearing; [7]

4. In the event no objections are filed, all further procedural steps will be deemed to have been waived, and the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here; and

[2] "Small aircraft" are defined by the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement as aircraft which are not "large aircraft." "Large aircraft" are defined as aircraft having both (a) a maximum passenger capacity of more than 30 seats or a maximum payload capacity of more than 7,500 pounds, and (b) a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels greater than 35,000 pounds.

[3] The company was originally incorporated under the name Vic Turner Ltd. On June 28, 1971, the company's name was changed to Kenn Borek Air Ltd.

[4] Under Canadian Air Transport Committee regulations, aircraft are grouped according to the maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels as follows: Group A—not greater than 4,300 pounds, Group B—over 4,300 pounds, but not greater than 7,000 pounds, Group C—over 7,000 pounds, but not greater than 18,000 pounds, and Group D—over 18,000 pounds, but not greater than 35,000 pounds.

[5] See Docket 26473.

[6] We also tentatively find that our proposed action will not constitute a "major regulatory action" under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act as defined in section 313.4(a)(1) of the Board's Regulations.

[7] Since provision is made for the filing of objections to this order, petitions for reconsideration will not be entertained.

5. Copies of this order shall be served upon Kenn Borek Air Ltd. and the Ambassador of Canada in Washington,D.C.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and will be transmitted to the President.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.*

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

SPECIMEN PERMIT

PERMIT TO FOREIGN AIR CARRIER FOR SMALL AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS

Kenn Borek Air Ltd. is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and the orders, rules, and regulations issued thereunder, to engage in charter foreign air transportation as follows: Charter flights carrying persons and their accompanied baggage, and planeload charter flights carrying property between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States.

The holder shall be authorized to perform those types of charters originating in Canada as are now, or may hereafter be, prescribed for carriage by small aircraft in Annex B (III)(B) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including any amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement: *Provided*, That any such charters may be performed only to the extent authorized by the Air Carrier Regulations of the Canadian Transport Commission applicable to operations by small aircraft, and the authority of the holder to perform such charters shall be subject to those Regulations.[1] The authority of the holder to perform United States-originating charters shall, in accordance with Annex B(III)(A) of such Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement, be limited to commercial air transportation of passengers and their accompanied baggage, and property, on a time, mileage, or trip basis, where the entire planeload capacity of one or more aircraft has been engaged by a person for his own use or by a person for the transportation of a group of persons and/or their property, as agent or representative of such groups, or such small aircraft operations as may be authorized pursuant to any amendment, supplement, reservation or supersession to the Agreement.

This permit shall be subject to the following terms, conditions, and limitations:

(1) In the performance of the charter operations authorized by this permit, the holder shall not use "large aircraft" as defined in Annex A(I)(A) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement.

(2) The holder shall not engage in foreign air transportation between the United States and any point or points, other than a point or points in Canada, or transporrt any property or persons whose journey, includes a prior, subsequent, or intervening movement by air (except for the movement of passengers independently of any group) to or from a point not in the United States or Canada: *Provided*, That the Board may, upon application by the holder, or by regulation, authorize the performance of charters where such movements are involved.

(3) The holder shall not perform United States-originating charter flights which at the end of any calendar quarter would result in the aggregate number of all United States-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974 exceeding by more than one-third the aggregate number of all Canadian-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth. For the purpose of making such computation the following shall apply:

(a) A charter shall be considered to originate in the United States (or Canada) if the passengers or property are first taken on board in that country, and shall be considered as one flight whether the charter be one-way, round-trip, circle tour, or open jaw, even if a separate contract is entered into for a return portion of the charter trip from Canada (or the United States).

(b) The computation shall be made separately for (i) "small aircraft" flights of persons; and (ii) "small aircraft" flights of property.

(c) In the case of a lease or aircraft with crew for the performance of a charter flight on behalf and under the authority of another carrier, the flight shall be included in the computation if the holder is the lessee, and shall not be incuded if the holder is the lessor.

(d) There shall be excluded from the computation:

(i) flights utilizing aircraft having a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels (as determined by Canadian Transport Commission Regulations) not greater than 18,000 pounds; and

(ii) flights originating at a United States terminal point of a route authorized pursuant to the Air Transport Services Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed January 17, 1966, as amended, or any agreement which may supersede it, or any supplementary agreement thereto which establishes obligations or privileges thereunder (if, pursuant to any such agreement, the holder also holds a foreign air carrier permit authorizing individually ticketed or individually waybilled service over such route, and provides some scheduled service on any route pursuant to any such agreement), when such flights serve either (a) a Canadian terminal point on such route, or (b) any Canadian intermediate point authorized for service on such route by such foreign air carrier permit.

(4) The holder may grant stopover privileges at any point or points in the United States only to passengers and their accompanied baggage moving on a Canadian-originating flight operating under a contract for round-trip charter transportation to be provided solely by the holder and as to which the same aircraft stays with the passengers throughout the journey: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth.

(5) The Board, by order or regulation and without hearing, may require advance approval of individual charter trips conducted by the holder pursuant to the authority granted by this permit, if it finds such action to be required in the public interest.

(6) The holder shall conform to the airworthiness and airman competency requirements prescribed by the Government of Canada for Canadian international air service.

(7) This permit shall be subject to all applicable provisions of any treaty, convention, or agreement affecting international air transportation now in effect, or that may become effective during the period this permit remains in effect, to which the United States and Canada shall be parties.

(8) This permit shall be subject to the condition that the holder shall keep on deposit with the Board a signed counterpart of CAB Agreement 18900, an agreement relating to liability limitations of the Warsaw Convention and the Hague Protocol approved by Board Order E-23680, May 13, 1966, and a signed counterpart of any amendment or amendments to such agreement which may be approved by the Board and to which the holder becomes a party.

(9) The holder (1) shall not provide foreign air transportation under this permit unless there is in effect third-party liability insurance in the amount of $1,000,000 or more to meet potential liability claims which may arise in connection with its operations under this permit, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the third-party liability insurance provided, and (2) shall not provide foreign air transportation of persons unless there is in effect liability insurance sufficient to cover the obligations assumed in CAB Agreement 18900, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the passenger liability insurance provided. Upon request, the Board may authorize the holder to supply the name and address of an insurance syndicate in lieu of the names and addresses of the member insurers.

(10) By accepting this permit, the holder waives any right it may possess to assert any defense of sovereign immunity from suit in any action or proceeding instituted against the holder in any court or other tribunal in the United States (or its territories or possessions) based upon any claim arising out of operations by the holder under this permit.

The exercise of the privileges granted by this permit shall be subject to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board.

This permit shall become effective on ---------- Unless otherwise terminated at an earlier date pursuant to the terms of any applicable treaty, convention, or agreement, this permit shall terminate (1) upon the effective date of any treaty, convention, or agreement, or amendment thereto, which shall have the effect of eliminating the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized from the transportation which may be operated by carriers designated by the Government of Canada (or in the event of the elimination of part of the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized, the authority granted herein shall be terminated to the extent of such elimination), or (2) upon the effective date of any permit granted by the Board to any other carrier designated by the Government of Canada in lieu of the holder hereof or (3) upon the termination or expiration of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974: *Provided, however*, That clause (3) of this paragraph shall not apply if, prior to the occurrence of the event specified in clause (3), the operation of the foreign air transportation herein authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention, or agreement to which the United States and Canada are or shall become parties.

*All members concurred.

[1] Annex B(III)(B) presently authorizes Canadian-originating small aircraft charters of the types prescribed in section (II)(B); but only to the extent applicable to small aircraft pursuant to Canadian Transport Commission Regulations. The applicable types of charters presently authorized are: Single Entity Passenger, Single Entity Property, Pro Rata Common Purpose, and Inclusive Tour. (In some instances split passenger charters are authorized.)

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this permit to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed hereto, on the _____.

[SEAL] *Secretary.*

Issuance of this permit to the holder approved by the President of the United States on _____ in _____.

[FR Doc.77-32055 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320-01]

[Docket 31526; Order 77-10-124]

KLM-ROYAL DUTCH AIRLINES, ET AL.

Transatlantic Super-APEX Fares; Order of Investigation and Suspension

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 14th day of October, 1977.

On September 16, 1977, the Board adopted an order suspending, pending investigation, super-APEX (advance-purchase excursion) fares proposed by several carriers in the New York-London market. The order was submitted to the President pursuant to section 801 of the Federal Aviation Act and, by letter dated September 26, 1977, the President notified the Board that he was disapproving its proposed suspension for reasons of foreign economic policy.

The Board had proposed suspension of the super-APEX fares based on its view that they would have a predatory impact on charter services, whether or not so intended. In his letter the President stated that, if the Board obtained new evidence after the fares became effective that they were indeed predatory, he would consider a suspension under terms of the ad hoc agreement negotiated on September 19, 1977, with the United Kingdom.[1] He also encouraged the Board to give serious attention to revisions in the present charter rules which would permit these services to be more competitive with the new, low scheduled service fares.

In the interim, KLM-Royal Dutch Airlines (KLM), and Societe Anonyme Belge d'Exploitation de la Navigation Aerienne (Sabena) have filed tariff revisions for effectiveness October 28, 1977, to introduce super-APEX fares between the United States, and the Netherlands and Belgium.[2] At an open meeting, the Board concluded that all filings to extend the super-APEX beyond the United Kingdom should be permitted to become effective, but only if an understanding similar to the ad hoc agreement with the United Kingdom could be reached with the government of the filing carrier. The Board also expressed its desire to secure agreement on country-of-origin rules for charter service. Only in this way could we preserve our option of suspending the super-APEX fare later, if it should prove predatory in actual practice.

In the present case, the United States has not been able to secure agreements with the Netherlands or Belgium which would permit us to suspend the super-APEX fare once it becomes effective. In these circumstances, failure to suspend the fare now would foreclose any future action by this Government with regard to super-APEX fares between the United States and the Netherlands and Belgium, and the Board will, therefore, suspend the fares pending investigation. Upon conclusion of suitable agreements with the Governments of the Netherlands or Belgium, we would, of course, permit KLM and Sabena to refile the super-APEX fare on short notice.[3]

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and particularly sections 102, 204(a), 403, 801 and 1002 (j),

It is ordered that:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the fares and provisions set forth in the attached Appendix, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such fares and provisions, are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to take appropriate action to prevent the use of such provisions or rules, regulations, or practices;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the tariff provisions specified in the attached Appendix are suspended and their use deferred from October 28, 1977, to and including October 27, 1978, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. This order shall be submitted to the President[4] and shall become effective on October 28, 1977.

4. The investigation ordered here be assigned for hearing before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated;

5. Copies of this order be filed in the aforesaid tariffs and be served upon KLM-Royal Dutch Airlines, Societe Anonyme Belge d'Exploitation de la Navigation Aerienne, and the National Air Carrier Association; and

6. Except to the extent granted in this order, the complaint of the National Air Carrier Association in Docket 31457 be dismissed.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[5]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

---

[1] The *ad hoc* agreement gives either party on six weeks notice, and was necessary since the right to suspend the super-APEX fares the terms of the Bilateral Air Transport Agreement do not provide for suspensions of tariffs already in effect.

[2] The fares are proopsed at round-trip levels of $331 or $332, New York-Amsterdam/Brussels.

[3] We are also suspending matching tariffs filed by various other carriers.

[4] This order was submitted to the President on October 17, 1977.

[5] All Members concurred except Member West who did not participate.

APPENDIX A

PASSENGER FARES TARIFF NO. PF-4, CAB NO. 44, ISSUED BY AIR TARIFFS CORP., AGENT

On 45th 46th and 47th Revised Pages 160—All $326 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Amsterdam, Netherlands, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $371 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to/from Amsterdam, Netherlands;

On 45th and 46th Revised Pages 160 and 49th Revised Page 161—All $326 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $371 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Beligum;

On 11th and 12th Revised Pages 162-A and 13th Revised Page 162-B—All $326 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares from or to Rotterdam, Netherlands, and reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $371 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fare to or from Rotterdam, Netherlands;

On 23rd, 24th, and 25th Revised Pages 188-A—All $403 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Amsterdam, Netherlands, and Brussels, Belgium, and reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $431 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Amsterdam, Netherlands and Brussels, Belgium;

On 18th, 19th, and 20th Revised Pages 188-C—All $408 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to/from Rotterdam, Netherlands, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $431 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Rotterdam, Netherlands;

On 45th, 46th, 47th, 48th, 49th, and 50th Revised Pages 210-B—All $331 and $332 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Amsterdam, Netherlands, and all references marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $376 Basic Advances Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Amsterdam, Netherlands;

On 45th, 46th, and 47th Revised Pages 210-B—All $331 and $332 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $376 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium;

On 70th, 71st, and 72nd Revised Pages 211—All $331 and $332 Basic Advanced Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $376 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium;

On 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th Revised Pages 212-B—All $331 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares from/to Rotterdam, Netherlands;

All reference marks shown in connection with the $376 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Rottterdam, Netherlands.

INTERNATIONAL PASSENGER FARES TARIFF NO. 4, CAB NO. 22, ISSUED BY JOHN M. SAMPSON, AGENT

On 9th Revised Page 269—All Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares between

New York, York and Boston, Mass., on the one hand, and Amsterdam, Netherlands, and Brussels, Belgium, on the other.

INTERNATIONAL LOCAL AND JOINT PASSENGER FARES TARIFF NO. F-9, CAB NO. 37, ISSUED BY TRANS WORLD AIRLINES, INC.

On 21st Revised Pages 169 and 170 and 26th Revised Pages 171 and 172—All Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to and from Amsterdam and Rotterdam, Netherlands, and Brussels, Belgium.

[FR Doc.77-32056 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320-01]

[Docket 30226; Order 77-10-126]

KODIAK-WESTERN ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.

Increase Subsidy Mail Pay; Order Fixing Temporary Subsidy Mail Rate

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 27th day of October, 1977.

By Order 77-9-133, dated September 30, 1977, the Board directed Kodiak-Western Alaska Airlines, Inc. (KWA), to show cause why the Board should not adopt the temporary subsidy mail rates proposed therein as the fair and reasonable temporary rates to be paid KWA for the transportation of mail over its subsidy-eligible system for the period on and after October 1, 1977.

In Order 77-9-133, the Board also directed KWA to develop, by January 16, 1978, a reasonable and workable plan for continuing services to the Kodiak Island and Bristol Bay areas of Alaska at subsidy costs closer to the levels historically needed by the carrier to operate its system. We now wish to elaborate on this directive.

The Board became aware that KWA was experiencing unusual financial difficulties on December 16, 1976, when the carrier filed a petition for higher temporary and final subsidy rates. KWA amended this petition on February 24, 1977, and filed a "Motion for Immediate Action and for Other Relief" on March 2, 1977. In support of this motion the carrier asserted that:

1. KWA faced a "cash-flow crisis," as it had no working capital reserve, and anticipated a sizable deficit in March 1977;

2. The difficulties KWA faced were temporary and could be remedied by the relief requested;

3. Improvements in the weather in the spring, plus the "normal business upturn" that KWA expected to experience, should have effected a $20,000 per month increase in revenue;

4. Certain unidentified parties were contemplating acquisition of KWA. This acquisition was expected to produce a $200,000 increase in KWA's working capital; and

5. KWA was negotiating a $200,000 line of credit with an unidentified Alaskan financial institution.

The Board relied on these representations and, by Order to Show Cause 77-3-136 and Order 77-4-9, granted KWA an increase in its subsidy rate of $58,768 per year, for a total temporary subsidy rate of $188,277. In Order 77-3-136, the Board stated that it would closely monitor KWA's progress and would institute a full investigation of KWA's certificated operations if the expected financial improvements did not materialize.

It has become evidence that the expected improvements have not materialized. On August 29, 1977, KWA filed a second amendment to its petition for an increase in subsidy in which the carrier set forth a forecast subsidy need of $571,931 for the year ended December 31, 1977. (Order 77-9-133 and the instant order form the Board's response to this amendment.) There is no evidence in the carrier's filings, or in its Form 41 submissions (Schedule P-1(a)) which would indicate any appreciable sustained reduction in its operating losses. Furthermore, while it appears that at one time several parties were considering the acquisition of KWA, all negotiations to sell the carrier have reportedly failed. There are currently no prospective buyers. Finally, KWA's efforts to secure a $200,000 line of credit with an Alaskan financial institution have also been unsuccessful. In short, the recent developments appear to merit the full investigation of KWA's certificated operations of which the Board spoke in Order 77-3-136.

There are, however, fairly strong arguments against initiating such an investigation at this time. A "Southwest Alaska Service Investigation" would have a high likelihood of:

1. Forcing KWA to divert much of its resources into responding to the investigation, thus adversely affecting its ability to perform badly needed air service at a critical time of year;

2. Adversely affecting KWA's relations with its creditors; and

3. Compromising the quality of air service available to inhabitants of the Kodiak Island-Bristol Bay area of Alaska.

In other words, given the precarious financial health of KWA, it appears almost certain that, if a full-scale investigation were to be initiated at this time, it would be so heavily prejudiced against KWA that the carrier's existence would be doomed from the outset. Since the Board has not, as yet, developed alternatives to service by KWA, and has obviously not evaluated the impact of any such alternatives on the type and level of air service to be received by the inhabitants of southwest Alaska, we are not prepared at this time to risk this eventuality.

As an alternative to a broad service investigation, we have proposed instead to give KWA an opportunity to set its own house in order. Accordingly, in Order 77-9-133 we have proposed to direct the carrier to examine all aspects of its operations and to submit a plan for restructuring its operations to the Board no later than January 16, 1978.

At the same time, the Board, using existing resources and such resources as may become available, will pursue an examination of KWA's operations. We expect that this examination will consider, but will not necessarily be confined to, the following components:

1. An evaluation of the same areas as we have directed KWA to consider;

2. An evaluation of the role which charter services should play in KWA's operations;

3. An evaluation of the air service needs of the Kodiak Island-Bristol Bay area; and

4. An evaluation of any partial or total alternatives to KWA as a source of that support.

We cannot emphasize too strongly that we are not at all favorably disposed toward maintaining this high level of support. The subsidy rates which we are fixing by this order are purely a temporary response to an emergency situation. If KWA is unsuccessful in its efforts to restructure its operations, we shall not hesitate to initiate an investigation into the air service needs of the Kodiak Island-Bristol Bay area of Alaska. We must emphasize that our primary responsibility lies in insuring that the air service needs of the area are met at a reasonable cost to the taxpayer, rather than in insuring the continued existence of a particular carrier.

The time designated for filing notice of objection to Order 77-9-133 having elapsed, and no notice of objection or answer to the order having been filed by any party, all parties are deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a final decision fixing the rate. The Board hereby reaffirms and makes final all of the findings and conclusions set forth in Order 77-9-133.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, and the regulations promulgated in 14 CFR Part 302,

It is ordered that: 1. The fair and reasonable temporary rates of compensation to be paid to Kodiak-Western Alaska Airlines, Inc., for the transportation of mail over its subsidy-eligible system as constituted on or subsequent to October 1, 1977, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, shall be those set forth in Order 77-9-133, pending the fixing of a final subsidy rate in the instant proceeding;

2. Kodiak-Western Alaska Airlines, Inc., is directed to examine all aspects of its operations and to submit a plan for restructuring its operations to the Board no later than January 16, 1978;

3. This proceeding shall remain open pending entry of an order fixing final subsidy rates, retroactive to such date as the Board may determine, which final rates may be lower or higher than the temporary subsidy rates fixed here; and,

4. This order shall be effective as of the date of adoption.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.*

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32057 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320-01]

[Docket 31087; Order 77-10-113]

WAPITI AVIATION, LTD.

Application for Foreign Air Carrier Permit; Statement of Tentative Findings and Conclusions and Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 27th day of October, 1977.

By application filed July 6, 1977,[1] Wapiti Aviation Ltd. (Wapiti) requests a foreign air carrier permit to engage in charter foreign air transportation of persons and their accompanying baggage, and planeload charter foreign air transportation of property, between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States, utilizing "small aircraft"[2] under the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement executed on May 8, 1974, by the Governments of the United States and Canada.

FITNESS OF THE APPLICANT FOR A FOREIGN AIR CARRIER PERMIT

Wapiti was incorporated under the Companies Act of Alberta on December 8, 1971. The Air Transport Committee of the Canadian Air Transport Commission has issued Wapiti license No. A.T.C. 569/77(CF), dated April 18, 1977, a class 9-4 license which authorizes the holder to operate international charter commercial air services from a base at Grande Prairie, Alberta. The licensee is restricted in its operations to the use of Groups A, B, and C aircraft.[3] The Canadian Department of Transport, Civil Aviation Branch, has issued Wapiti Operating Certificate No. 3154 which certifies that the carrier is adequately equipped and able to conduct a safe operation.

The applicant's balance sheet as of December 31, 1976 shows current assets of $95,264.02 compared with current liabilities of $23,990.13. The company shows total assets and liabilities of $245,096.60. For the year ended December 31, 1976, the carrier earned revenues of $383,449.96 and incurred expenses of $322,113.98 resulting in an operating income of $61,335.97. Net earnings were $57,113.41. The company ended the period with $97,221.16 in retained earnings. The applicant states that since its inception it has never been unable to meet current financial obligations and has never defaulted on any commitment to provide transportation.

In its application, the carrier lists the following aircraft available for charters to the United States:

Type of aircraft	Passenger seating capacity	Maximum authorized takeoff weight (pounds)
PA-30	5	3,600
PA-39	5	3,600
Beech 65	7	7,700
Cessna 177	3	2,500
Cessna 172	3	2,300
PA-32-300	6	3,400
PA-31	9	7,000

The applicant has had no safety or tariff violations. The carrier has experienced one accident. The application states that it occurred when one of the company's pilots was forced to make an emergency landing in darkness because of a fuel selector failure in sub-zero temperatures. The aircraft was damaged and the pilot and one passenger were injured. Considering the carrier's overall accident record during its five years of operations, the accident does not raise serious doubt concerning the applicant's safety fitness.

"PUBLIC INTEREST" IN AWARD OF THE AUTHORITY SOUGHT

The applicant relies upon the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement signed by the Governments of Canada and the United States on May 8, 1974 as the basis for the grant of the requested authority. By diplomatic note No. 367, dated August 12, 1977,[4] the Government of Canada designated the applicant under the Agreement to perform charter services with small aircraft.

OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL OF THE APPLICANT

The officers of the corporation are Mr. D. V. Wells, President; Mr. R. D. Wells, Vice-President; and Mrs. F. I. Wells, Secretary. All of the officers are Canadian citizens. The officers of the company are also the only shareholders of the company. The applicant states that it has no debts.[5]

The applicant states that no officer, director, or stockholder of the carrier holds stock, or any other interest in any U.S. air carrier, any Canadian or foreign air carrier, any person engaged in a phase of aeronautics, any common carrier, or in any person whose principal business is the holding of stock in, or control of any such entities.

In view of the foregoing and all the facts of record, the Board tentatively finds and concludes:

1. That Wapiti Aviation Ltd. is substantially owned and effectively controlled by nationals of Canada;

2. That it is in the public interest to issue a foreign air carrier permit for small aircraft operations to Wapiti Aviation Ltd. authorizing it to engage in charter foreign air transportation with small aircraft of persons and their accompanied baggage and planeload charters of property between any point or points in Canada and the United States.

3. That the public interest requires that the exercise of the privileges granted by said permit shall be subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations contained in the specimen form of permit attached to this order, and to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board;

4. That Wapiti Aviation Ltd. is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the above-described foreign air transportation and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Board thereunder;

5. That except to the extent granted here, the application of Wapiti Aviation Ltd. in Docket 31087 should be denied; and

6. That an evidentiary hearing is not required in the public interest.[6]

Accordingly, it is ordered that:

1. All interested persons are directed to show cause why the Board should not make final the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here, and why a foreign air carrier permit in the form of the specimen permit attached to this order should not, subject to the approval of the President pursuant to section 801 of the Act, be issued to Wapiti Aviation Ltd.;

2. Any interested person having objection to the issuance of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions here and issuing said permit shall, within 21 days after the adoption of this order, file with the Board and serve upon the persons named in paragraph 5, a statement of objections specifying the part or parts of the tentative findings and conclusions objected to, together with a summary of testimony, statistical data, and such evidence expected to be relied upon in support of the statement of objections. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, the objector should state in detail why such hearing is considered necessary and what relevant and material facts he would expect to establish through such hearing which cannot be established in written pleadings;

3. If timely and properly supported objections are filed, further considerations will be accorded the matters and issues raised by the objections before further action is taken by the Board; *Provided*, that the Board may proceed to enter an

*All Members concurred.

[1] A copy of the application has been transmitted to the President of the United States in accordance with the requirements of section 801 of the Act.

[2] "Small aircraft" are defined by the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement as aircraft which are not "large aircraft." "Large aircraft" are defined as aircraft having both (a) a maximum passenger capacity of more than 30 seats or a maximum payload capacity of more than 7,500 pounds, and (b) a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels greater than 35,000 pounds.

[3] Under Canadian Air Transport Committee regulations, aircraft are grouped according to the maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels as follows: Group A—not greater than 4,300 pounds, Group B—over 4,300 pounds, but not greater than 7,000 pounds, and Group C—over 7,000 pounds, but not greater than 18,000 pounds.

[4] See Docket 26473.

[5] We note, however, that the applicant's balance sheet shows a liability item identified as "shareholders" loan in the amount of $103,864.13.

[6] We also tentatively find that our proposed action will not constitute a "major regulatory action" under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act as defined in section 313:4(a)(1) of the Board's Regulations.

order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions here if it determined that there are no factual issues present that warraant the holding of an evidentiary hearing;[7]

4. In the event no objections are filed, all further procedural steps will be deemed to have been waived, and the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions set forth here; and

5. This order shall be served upon Wapiti Aviation Ltd. and the Ambassador of Canada in Washington, D.C.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and will be transmitted to the President.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[8]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

SPECIMEN PERMIT

PERMIT TO FOREIGN AIR CARRIER FOR SMALL AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS

Wapiti Aviation Ltd. is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and the orders, rules, and regulations issued thereunder, to engage in charter foreign air transportation as follows: Charter flights carrying persons and their accompanied baggage, and planeload charter flights carrying property, between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States.

The holder shall be authorized to perform those types of charters originating in Canada as are now, or may hereafter be, prescribed for carriage by small aircraft in Annex B(III)(B) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including any amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement: *Provided*, That any such charters may be performed only to the extent authorized by the Air Carrier Regulations of the Canadian Transport Commission applicable to operations by small aircraft, and the authority of the holder to perform such charters shall be subject to those Regulations.[1] The authority of the holder to perform United States-originating charters shall, in accordance with Annex B(III)(A) of such Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement, be limited to commercial air transportation of passengers and their accompanied baggage, and property, on a time, mileage, or trip basis, where the entire planeload capacity of one or more aircraft has been engaged by a person for his own use or by a person for the transportation of a group of persons and/or their property, as agent or representative of such groups, or such small aircraft operations as may be authorized pursuant to any amendment, supplement, reservation or supersession to that Agreement.

This permit shall be subject to the following terms, conditions, and limitations:

(1) In the performance of the charter operations authorized by this permit, the holder shall not use "large aircraft" as defined in Annex A(I)(A) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement.

(2) The holder shall not engage in foreign air transportation between the United States and any point or points, other than a point or points in Canada, or transport any property or persons whose journey, includes a prior, subsequent, or intervening movement by air (except for the movement of passengers independently of any group) to or from a point not in the United States or Canada: *Provided*, That the Board may, upon application by the holder, or by regulation, authorize the performance of charters where such movements are involved.

(3) The holder shall not perform United States-originating charter flights which at the end of any calendar quarter would result in the aggregate number of all United States-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974 exceeding by more than one-third the aggregate number of all Canadian-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth. For the purpose of making such computation the following shall apply:

(a) A charter shall be considered to originate in the United States (or Canada) if the passengers or property are first taken on board in that country, and shall be considered as one flight whether the charter be one-way, round-trip, circle tour, or open jaw, even if a separate contract is entered into for a return portion of the charter trip from Canada (or the United States).

(b) The computation shall be made separately for (i) "small aircraft" flights of persons; and (ii) "small aircraft" flights of property.

(c) In the case of a lease of aircraft with crew for the performance of a charter flight on behalf and under the authority of another carrier, the flight shall be included in the computation if the holder is the lessee, and shall not be included if the holder is the lessor.

(d) There shall be excluded from the computation:

(i) Flights utilizing aircraft having a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels (as determined by Canadian Transport Commission Regulations) not greater than 18,000 pounds; and

(ii) Flights originating at a United States terminal point of a route authorized pursuant to the Air Transport Services Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed January 17, 1966, as amended, or any agreement which may supersede it, or any supplementary agreement thereto which establishes obligations or privileges thereunder (if, pursuant to any such agreement, the holder also holds a foreign air carrier permit authorizing individually ticketed or individually waybilled service over such route, and provides some scheduled service on any route pursuant to any such agreement), when such flights serve either (a) a Canadian terminal point on such route, or (b) any Canadian intermediate point authorized for service on such route by such foreign air carrier permit.

(4) The holder may grant stopover privileges at any point or points in the United States only to passengers and their accompanied baggage moving on a Canadian-originating flight operating under a contract for round-trip charter transportation to be provided solely by the holder and as to which the same aircraft stays with the passengers throughout the journey: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth.

(5) The Board, by order or regulation and without hearing, may require advance approval of individual charter trips conducted by the holder pursuant to the authority granted by this permit, if it finds such action to be required in the public interest.

(6) The holder shall conform to the airworthiness and airman competency requirements prescribed by the Government of Canada for Canadian international air service.

(7) This permit shall be subject to all applicable provisions of any treaty, convention, or agreement affecting international air transportation now in effect, or that may become effective during the period this permit remains in effect, to which the United States and Canada shall be parties.

(8) This permit shall be subject to the condition that the holder shall keep on deposit with the Board a signed counterpart of CAB Agreement 18900, an agreement relating to liability limitations of the Warsaw Convention and the Hague Protocol approved by Board Order E-23680, May 13, 1966, and a signed counterpart of any amendment or amendments to such agreement which may be approved by the Board and to which the holder becomes a party.

(9) The holder (1) shall not provide foreign air transportation under this permit unless there is in effect third-party liability insurance in the amount of $1,000,000 or more to meet potential liability claims which may arise in connection with its operations under this permit, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the third-party liability insurance provided, and (2) shall not provide foreign air transportation of persons unless there is in effect liability insurance sufficient to cover the obligations assumed in CAB Agreement 18900, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the passenger liability insurance provided. Upon request, the Board may authorize the holder to supply the name and address of an insurance syndicate in lieu of the names and addresses of the member insurers.

(10) By accepting this permit, the holder waives any right it may possess to assert any defense of sovereign immunity from suit in any action or proceeding instituted against the holder in any court or other tribunal in the United States (or its territories or possessions) based upon any claim arising out of operations by the holder under this permit.

The exercise of the privileges granted by this permit shall be subject to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board.

This permit shall become effective on ---------- Unless otherwise terminated at an earlier date pursuant to the terms of any applicable treaty, convention, or agreement, this permit shall terminate (1) upon the effective date of any treaty, convention, or agreement, or amendment thereto, which shall have the effect of eliminating the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized from the transportation which may be operated by carriers designated by the Government of Canada (or in the event of the elimination of part of the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized, the authority granted herein shall be termi-

[7] Since provision is made for the filing of objections to this order, petitions for reconsideration will not be entertained.

[8] All Members concurred.

[1] Annex B(III)(B) presently authorizes Canadian-originating small aircraft charters of the types prescribed in section (II)(B); but only to the extent applicable to small aircraft pursuant to Canadian Transport Commission Regulations. The applicable types of charters presently authorized are: Single Entity Passenger, Single Entity Property, Pro Rata Common Purpose, and Inclusive Tour. (In some instances split passenger charters are authorized.)

nated to the extent of such elimination), or (2) upon the effective date of any permit granted by the Board to any other carrier designated by the Government of Canada in lieu of the holder hereof, or (3) upon the termination or expiration of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974: *Provided, however*, That clause (3) of this paragraph shall not apply if, prior to the occurrence of the event specified in clause (3), the operation of the foreign air transportation herein authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention, or agreement to which the United States and Canada are or shall become parties.

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this permit to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed hereto, on the _____

(SEAL) *Secretary.*

Issuance of this permit to the holder approved by the President of the United States on _____ in _____

[FR Doc.77-32058 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320-01]

[Docket 31564; Order 77-10-139]

TRANSATLANTIC SUPER-APEX FARES

Proposed by Various Carriers; Order of Investigation and Suspension

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 25th day of October 1977.

On September 16, 1977, the Board adopted an order suspending, pending investigation, super-APEX (advance-purchase excursion) fares proposed by several carriers in the New York-London market. The order was submitted to the President pursuant to section 801 of the Federal Aviation Act and, by letter dated September 26, 1977, the President notified the Board that he was disapproving its proposed suspension for reasons of foreign economic policy.

The Board had proposed suspension of the super-APEX fares based on its view that they would have a predatory impact on charter services, whether or not so intended. In his letter the President stated that, if the Board obtained new evidence after the fares became effective that they were indeed predatory, he would consider a suspension under terms of the ad hoc agreement negotiated on September 19, 1977, with the United Kingdom.[1] He also encouraged the Board to give serious attention to revisions in the present charter rules which would permit these services to be more competitive with the new, low scheduled service fares.

In the interim, various carriers have filed tariff revisions for effectiveness October 30, 1977 or November 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, or 13, 1977, to introduce super-APEX fares between the United States and other European points. At an open meeting, the Board concluded that all filings to extend the super-APEX beyond the United Kingdom should be permitted to become effective, but only if an understanding similar to the ad hoc agreement with the United Kingdom could be reached with the government of the filing carrier. The Board also expressed its desire to secure agreement on country-of-origin rules for charter service. Only in this way could we preserve our option of suspending the super-APEX fare later, if it should prove predatory in actual practice.

In the present case, the United States has not been able to secure agreements with any countries other than Belgium which would permit us to suspend the super-APEX fare once it becomes effective.[2] In these circumstances, failure to suspend the fares now might foreclose any future action by this Government with regard to super-APEX fares between the United States and those countries and the Board will therefore suspend the fares pending investigation.[3] Our suspension will cover all filings of all carriers to or from countries whose governments have not signed suitable ad hoc agreeements, as well as all filings of any carrier whose government has not signed an agreement. Upon conclusion of suitable agreements with each country we would, of course, permit appropriate tariff filings on short notice.

The Board finds that the proposed fares may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. The Board finds further that the fares should be suspended pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and particularly sections 102, 204(a), 403, 801, and 1002(j),

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the fares and provisions set forth in Appendix A hereof, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such fares and provisions, are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to take appropriate action to prevent the use of such provisions or rules, regulations, or practices;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the tariff provisions specified in the attached Appendix are suspended and their use deferred from October 30, 1977, to and including October 29, 1978, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. This order shall be submitted to the President[4] and shall become effective on October 30, 1977;

4. The investigation ordered herein be assigned for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated;

5. Copies of this order be filed in the aforesaid tariffs and be served upon the carriers specified in Appendix B hereof, and the National Air Carrier Association; and

6. Except to the extent granted in this order, the complaints of the National Air Carrier Association in Dockets 31457, 31480, 31503, and 31532 be dismissed.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[5]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A—PASSENGER-FARES TARIFF NO. PF-4, C.A.B. NO. 44, ISSUED BY AIR TARIFFS CORPORATION, AGENT

All changes, additions, reductions, and increases in the Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares and corresponding reference marks including reference marks " " and "#" in tables 23, 104, and 143 published on the following pages:

Exception 1. The suspension ordered herein shall not apply to fares to/from points in the following countries for carriers named, when such carriers perform the transatlantic service.

1. To or from Greece—NA, OA, PA.
2. To or from Ireland (Eire)—All carriers.
3. To or from Portugal—IB, NA, PA, TP.
4. To or from Spain—IB, NA, PA.
5. To or from United Kingdom of Great Britain—All carriers.
6. To or from U.S.S.R.—NA, PA, SU.

Exception 2. The suspension ordered herein shall not apply to fares suspended under the Board's Order 77-10-124 in Docket 31526.

45th, 46th, and 47th Revised Pages 160.

47th, 48th, 49th, and 50th Revised Pages 161 and 162.

11th, 12th, 13th, and 14th Revised Pages 162-A and 162-B.

Original Page 162-C.

23rd, 24th, and 25th Revised Pages 188-A and 188-B.

18th, 19th, and 20th Revised Pages 188-C.

46th, 47th, 48th, 49th, and 50th Revised Pages 210-B.

67th, 68th, 69th, 70th, 71st, 72nd, and 73rd Revised Pages 211 and 212.

6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, and 13th Revised Pages 212-A and 212-B.

Original, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th Revised Pages 212-C.

On 50th Revised Page 161 all $326 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith; and

All reference marks shown in connection with the $371 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium;

On 14th Revised Page 162-B all $326 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares from or to Rotterdam, Netherlands, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith; and

[1] The ad hoc agreement gives either party the right to suspend the super-APEX fares on six weeks notice, and was necessary since the terms of the Bilateral Air Transport Agreement do not provide for suspensions of tariffs already in effect.

[2] The agreement with Belgium, however, does not become effective until after Sabena's super-APEX tariffs go into effect.

[3] Certain bilateral air services agreements with some countries, do not contain rate articles and thus there is no constraint upon our power to suspend the fares after they take effect. For this reason we will permit the filings by the national flag carriers of such countries between the United States and their homelands to become effective.

[4] This order was submitted to the President on October 25, 1977, and the President advised us of his approval of this order on October 28, 1977.

[5] All Members concurred.

All reference marks shown in connection with the $371 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fare to or from Rotterdam, Netherlands;

On 73rd Revised Page 211 all $331 and $332 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares to or from Brussels, Belgium, and all reference marks shown in connection therewith; and

All reference marks shown in connection with the $376 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares from or to Brussels, Belgium;

On 13th Revised Page 212–B all $331 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares from or to Rotterdam, Netherlands; and

All reference marks shown in connection with the $376 Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares from or to Rotterdam, Netherlands.

INTERNATIONAL PASSENGER FARES TARIFF NO. 4, CAB NO. 22, ISSUED BY JOHN M. SAMPSON, AGENT.

On 9th and 10th Revised Pages 269 the fares applicable to Air India between Boston and New York, on the one hand, and the following points, on the other:

Algiers	Helsinki
Ankara	Istanbul
Athens	Madrid
Barcelona	Moscow
Belgrade	Munich
Berlin	Nuremberg
Bremen	Oslo
Bucharest	Prague
Cologne	Rome
Copenhagen	Salzburg
Dusseldorf	Stockholm
Frankfurt	Stuttgart
Geneva	Tunis
Hamburg	Turin
Hanover	

On 9th and 10th Revised Pages 269 all fares applicable to Alitalia between Boston and New York, on the one hand and Athens, Belgrade, Bucharest, Istanbul, Malta, Moscow, Prague, and Tunis, on the other;

On 9th and 10th Revised Pages 270 all basic fares applicable to Air India between Boston and New York, on the one hand, and Venice, Vienna, Warsaw, and Zurich, on the other;

On 9th and 10th Revised Pages 270 the basic fares applicable to Alitalia between Boston and New York, on the one hand, and Vienna, on the other;

On 9th and 10th Revised Pages 269 and 270 all basic fares applicable to British Airways except fares to or from points in Ireland (Eire), Spain, the United Kingdom of Great Britain, and Brussels.

On 21st Revised Pages 169 and 170, 26th and 27th Revised Pages 171 and 25th Revised Page 172 all reduced Basic Advance Purchase Excursion Fares except to or from the following points:

Amsterdam	London
Athens	Madrid
Barcelona	Malaga
Belfast	Manchester
Bilbao	Moscow
Brussels	Palma Majorca
Dublin	Rotterdam
Gibraltar	Santa Maria
Glasgow	Seville
Las Palms	Shannon
Lisbon	Valencia

APPENDIX B—LIST OF CARRIERS

Air India
Alitalia—Linee Aeree Italiane—S.p.A
British Airways Board carrying on business under the firm name and style of British Airways
Ceskoslovenske Aerolinie
Compagnie Nationale Air France
Compagnie Nationale de Transports Aeriens Royal Air Maroc
Deutsche Lufthansa Aktiengesellschaft
Finnair oy
General Department of International Air Services (Aeroflot, "Soviet Airlines")
Iran National Airlines Corporation (Iran Air)
Jugoslovenski Aerotransport (JAT)
K.L.M. Royal Dutch Airlines
National Airlines, Inc.
Pan American World Airways, Inc.
Polskie Linie Lotnicze
Societe Anonyme Belge d'Exploitation de la Navigation Aerinne (Sabena)
Swissair, Swiss Air Transport Company Limited
Transportes Aereos Portugueses, S.A.R.L.
Trans World Airlines, Inc.

[FR Doc.77-32059 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6320–01]

[Docket 31578; Docket 31365; Order 77–10–137]

UNITED AIR LINES, INC.

Group-50 Fares Between Northeastern U.S. Cities and Hawaii and Reduction in Certain Group Inclusive-Tour Fares to Hawaii

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 28th day of October, 1977.

By tariff revisions[1] marked to become effective October 30, 1977, for travel commencing January 1, 1978, United Air Lines, Inc. (United) proposes new round-trip fares for groups of 50 or more passengers from New York, Boston, Washington, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Cleveland, Detroit, and Chicago to Hawaii. By intermediate application, these fares will be available from 28 additional cities on the U.S. mainland. No ground-tour package is required. The Group-50 fare would require reservations at least 30 days before departure; payment for all tickets at least 7 days before departure; a deposit of 10 percent of the fare applicable to the number of seats reserved, at least 30 days in advance;[2] and a minimum/maximum stay of 6–30 days after commencement of travel. United alleges that its proposed Group-50 fares are priced on the basis of its costs, relies on higher load factors to justify the fares, and claims that regular-fare traffic will not receive a poorer quality of service because of the greater volume of traffic and higher load factors produced by the fare.

It is alleged that a major consideration in proposing these fares is to meet the marketing needs of many smaller travel agents and tour operators in the larger cities who are unable to participate in selling the Group-154 fares on scheduled service or charter tours to Hawaii which involve two hundred to five hundred passengers, because the inherent risks of embarking on such ambitious programs are too great for small agents. United is therefore proposing to provide a smaller, competitively priced group fare which can be sold with only a moderate risk by travel agents and tour operators in the smaller cities in the east where it is very difficult to produce groups as large as 154 persons or more.

United estimates that the proposed fares will be 50-percent generative based upon carrier surveys of Hawaii group passengers. It estimates that the fare will make a net annual contribution to profit of $3.8 million, using the profit-impact approach (i.e., incremental costing). Alternatively, United estimates a profit of $763,000 using fully allocated cost for generated traffic. Fare comparisons are set forth in Appendix A.

Charter Ventures, Inc. (CVI), the National Air Carrier Association (NACA), Air Charter Tour Operators of America (ACTOA) and the Travel Committee, Inc. have filed complaints against the proposed fares. These parties allege that United's Group-50 filing is an improper attempt to circumvent an earlier Board order which suspended United's GIT–154 fares from these same cities (Order 77–9–23); that the Group-50 fare levels are generally lower than existing and less-restrictive GIT–154 fares in the same markets; that they are set at virtually identical levels with those fares which the Board suspended; and that the Group-50 fares, applicable in the same eight mainland-Hawaii markets, each of which is a substantial charter market, are uneconomic on scheduled service and therefore predatory in nature. Several parties note that the proposed fares, which are discounted as much as 38 percent from the regular coach fare, would be substantially the same or only marginally higher than the price of competing charter services. CVI claims that United's Group-50 fare would be lower than the prevailing off-peak CVI Advance Booking Charter (ABC) price in the major east coast markets.

The complainants also allege that the proposed Group-50 fares would increase already above-standard load factors and would adversely affect the quality of service available to normal coach passengers; and that the fares would be subsidized by full-fare travel in other markets and would therefore be discriminatory *vis-a-vis* normal-fare passengers. Finally, the complainants contend that United's proposal threatens preservation of "genuine price competition" because it introduces sharp, below cost price reductions which would apply only in selected markets, while United is simultaneously seeking increased fares on the remainder of its system, and increases in its charter rates and GIT fares in all other markets.[3]

In answer to the complaints, United

[1] Revisions to Airline Tariff Publishing Co., Agent, Tariffs CAB Nos. 258 and 142.

[2] If the tickets are issued for all members of the group and full payment is received by the carrier at least 30 days before departure, no deposit is required.

[3] United has pending a filing to cancel promotional-fare increases which would have gone into effect January 1, 1978 due to the lack of any competitive action to match these increases.

argues that the proposed fare is competitive but not predatory. United argues that its proposal cannot be considered predatory since it is intended to enable small tour operators and small travel agents to compete in the Hawaiian market and is not intended to compete for the same traffic which CVI seeks. United contends that the complaints of CVI and ACTOA, both large tour operators, seek unnecessary protection from small tour operators. In response to challenges that the proposed fares are uneconomic, United states that the expected yield from Group-50 fares is adequate and that any comparison of the expected yield with yields in the transcontinental market is inappropriate. The State and County of Hawaii have filed an answer in suport of Group-50 fares.

United has also filed a Petition for Reconsideration of Order 77–9–23, which suspended its proposed reduction of GIT–54 fares in the same markets in which the Group-50 fares would apply. United states that, as a result of the suspension order, the fares to be charged for travel on Friday and Sunday are increased approximately eight percent, a result which was not intended by United. In support of its petition, United argues that the Board did not address the question of a two rather than a three-tier fare structure throughout the week in Order 77–9–23, and requests that the Board permit it to amend the tariff to provide a two-tier fare structure. The substance of this argument is that the new peak fare level for Friday through Sunday would be at the "shoulder level" currently in effect only on Friday and Sunday. In other words, the fare for Saturday travel would be somewhat reduced.

NACA has filed in opposition to United's petition, and CVI has filed an answer and a motion for contemporaneous consideration of United's petition with its new proposal for Group-50 fares.[4] NACA alleges that United's amendment would result in a sharp reduction in the peak (Saturday) fares now in effect; that numerous mainland-Hawaii charter programs involving Saturday departures would be adversely affected by such a fare reduction; and that in several markets the "amended" fare is essentially identical to the reduced GIT–154 fare originally proposed and suspended by the Board. CVI notes that United's proposed Group-50 fare includes weekend or peak fares which are lower than the weekend GIT–154 fares proposed in United's petition for reconsideration of Order 77–9–23. On the other hand, CVI argues, the Group-50 fare has a smaller group requirement and does not require contemporaneous purchase of ground accommodations. For these reasons it is allegedly even more discriminatory than the GIT–154 fares which the Board recently suspended.

[4] CVI has filed a motion to defer action on United's petition for reconsideration of Order 77–9–23 for contemporaneous consideration of United Group-50 fare proposal. CVI has also filed a motion requesting the Board to reject United's Group-50 fare proposal because the fare contravenes Order 77–9–23 dealing with GIT–154 fares.

The Board has determined to dismiss the petition for reconsideration of Order 77–9–23 for the reasons set forth below. It has also determined that the proposed Group–50 fares may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. The Board further concludes that the proposal should be suspended pending investigation. Last, the Board will dismiss the motion requesting rejection of the Group–50 fares.

United's petition does not establish error in the Board's decision and does not contain any new relevant information. We do not dispute United's management decision to reestablish a two-tier Group–154 fare structure to Hawaii. The Board did not address this matter in Order 77–9–23 because we believe that decisions of this sort are, for the most part, best left to individual managements, who can be expected to know when peaks in demand occur on their route systems and be able to structure fares accordingly within the constraints of competitive services. However, for the reasons stated below with respect to the Group–50 fares, we will maintain the suspension of the Group–154 fares.

The Board is again faced with the very difficult decision whether limiting price competition on scheduled service is necessary to protect supplemental carriers and charter-tour operators, who have been an effective source of enhanced competition in the marketplace. While we believe it is desirable to maintain this competitive stimulus in the system and to balance competition among the different participants in a market, we are not persuaded that the sort of price competition that would be provided by the proposed fares is predatory or would eliminate charters and charter-tour operators from the market. For this reason, and since we see no firm basis to conclude that the proposed Group–50 fares are not cost-justified, we are reluctant to deny the public the benefits of low cost service, particularly since the fares will also apply in smaller markets for which charter service is not a practical alternative.

There are, however, other difficulties with the proposal which cause us to suspend the fares. First, there seems little doubt that the proposed fares would increase already high load factors with the result that regular-fare passengers could be denied service (or choice of travel arrangements) and would receive a quality of service well below that implicit in the 62-percent load-factor standard established in the *Hawaii Fares Investigation*. Data submitted by United reveal high average load factors in the Hawaii market and significantly reduced, less restricted group fares can only further increase those load factors to the further detriment of regular-fare passengers. We do not see how United can avoid the danger of higher load factors which would result in a service burden for full fare passengers.

United's load-factor data also reveal a severe day-of-week peaking. The high average load factors reflect extremely high loads on certain days of the week while loads on other days are relatively lighter. This suggests that an alternative might be a fare for application only on off-peak days of the week.[5] Of course a fare with limited availability (on selected days of the week) could create new traffic peaks unless care is exercised in pricing the fare relative to fares available at other times during the week. The carriers have encountered difficulties in the past in their efforts to level day of week traffic demand in this market with discount fare pricing differentials. Carriers have apparently been successful in smoothing traffic flow in other markets with this type of off-peak discounting and we can see no reason why it will not work in the mainland-Hawaii market.

Last, we are concerned that the group concept may not be an appropriate pricing tool. When load factors are already high, the potential adverse impact on normal fare passengers is exacerbated by the group rather than individual feature of the discount fare. The Board has spoken frequently of its view that individual discount fares give the carriers greater flexibility to fill unsold seats at relatively lower cost without affecting normal fare traffic than do group fares.

We wish to emphasize that we favor additional low scheduled fares and our suspension of United's proposal is not without considerable hesitation. We urge the carrier to carefully consider whether or not an alternative low-fare proposal, modified to resolve the problems we have discussed, might not be feasible.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002,

It is ordered that:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the fares and provisions described in Appendix B, and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such fares and provisions, are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and, if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful fares and provisions, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such fares and provisions;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the fares and provisions described in Appendix B be suspended and their use deferred to and including January 27, 1978, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made to them during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. The petition for reconsideration of United Air Lines, Inc. dated September 23, 1977, in Docket 31365, be denied;

4. The motion for rejection of Charter Ventures, Inc., dated October 4, 1977 in Docket 31365 be denied;

5. Except to the extent granted here, the complaints in Dockets 31500, 31507, and 31510 be dismissed;

[5] This would be consistent with our decision in the case of Trans World Airlines, Inc. "Super Jackpot" fares, Order 77–10–68, October 14, 1977.

6. Except to the extent granted herein, the motions of Chater Ventures, Inc., (a) to defer action on United's petition for reconsideration of Order 77-9-23, and (b) for the Board to reject United's tariff filing proposing Group-50 fares to Hawaii be dismissed;

7. The investigation be assigned before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

8. A copy of this order be placed in the aforesaid tariffs and be served upon Charter Ventures, Inc., the National Air Carrier Association, the Air Charter Tour Operators of America and the Travel Committee, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc., the State of Hawaii, and the County of Hawaii, which are hereby made parties to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[*]

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

[*] All Members concurred except Vice Chairman O'Melia who did not participate.

APPENDIX A.—*Coach full-fare, present and suspended G.I.T. 154 fares, and proposed group 50 fare comparison*

Market	Round trip fares (in dollars)				Discount from coach fare (percent)		
	Existing coach fare	Present G.I.T. 154	Suspended G.I.T. 154 50 [4]	Proposed group 50	Present G.I.T.	Suspended G.I.T.	Proposed group 50
Baltimore [3]-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	556	425	369	380	24	34	32
Offpeak [2]	522	363	337	340	30	35	35
Boston-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	584	425	369	380	27	37	35
Offpeak [2]	550	363	337	340	34	39	38
Chicago-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	486	381	352	365	22	28	25
Offpeak [2]	452	321	320	325	29	29	28
Cleveland-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	520	395	365	375	24	30	28
Offpeak [2]	488	335	333	335	31	32	31
Detroit-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	512	389	360	370	24	30	28
Offpeak [2]	480	329	328	330	31	32	31
New York/Newark-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	574	425	369	380	26	36	34
Offpeak [1]	540	363	337	340	33	38	37
Philadelphia-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	566	425	369	380	25	35	33
Offpeak [2]	532	363	337	340	32	37	36
Pittsburgh-Honolulu;							
Peak [1]	536	402	369	375	25	31	30
Offpeak [2]	502	341	337	335	32	33	33

[1] Peak days Friday–Sunday.
[2] Offpeak days Monday–Thursday.
[3] Baltimore/Washington common fare.
[4] Order 77-9-23.

APPENDIX B—TARIFF C.A.B. No. 142, ISSUED BY AIRLINE TARIFF PUBLISHING CO., AGENT

On 5th Revised Page 102-F and 41st Revised Page 103, Rule 222.

TARIFF C.A.B. No. 258, ISSUED BY AIRLINE TARIFF PUBLISHING CO., AGENT

On 13th and 14th Revised Pages 159, the YWG56 fare class applications.
On 13th and 14 Revised Pages 160, the YXG56 fare class applications.
On 15th Revised Page 160, the YXG56 fare class application.
On 22nd Revised Page 160-A, the YXG56 fare class application.
On 20th, 21st, and 22nd Revised Pages 160-B, the reference mark "a" and the explanation thereof.
All YWG56 and YXG56 class fares and the reference marks shown in connection therewith:
On 26th, 27th, and 28th Revised Pages 162, between Baltimore and Honolulu/Hilo.
On 28th and 29th Revised Pages 163, between Boston and Honolulu/Hilo.
On 28th and 29th Revised Pages 164, between Chicago and Honolulu/Hilo.
On 21st, 22nd, and 23rd Revised Pages 165, between Cleveland and Honolulu/Hilo.
On 21st, 22nd, and 23rd Revised Pages 166, between Detroit and Honolulu/Hilo.
On 34th, 35th, and 36th Revised Pages 169, between Honolulu/Hilo, on the one hand, and New York/Newark, Philadelphia and Pittsburgh.
On 13th, 14th, and 15th Revised Pages 170-A, between Honolulu/Hilo and Washington.
On 15th Revised Pages 159 and 160, the provisions that would effect the cancellation by omission of provisions suspended on 14th Revised Pages 159 and 160.

[FR Doc.77-32060 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3510-12]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Notice of Final Availability

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Marine Fisheries Service of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce has prepared a final environmental impact statement on the proposed implementation of a Preliminary Fishery Management Plan on Atlantic billfishes and sharks.

With enactment of the Fisheries Conservation and Mangement Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-265), the Secretary of Commerce must prepare preliminary management plans for regulating foreign fishing within the 200-mile Fishery Conservation Zone (FCZ). The National Marine Fisheries Service, in anticipation of a request from foreign countries for permission to harvest billfish and pelagic sharks in the 200-mile zone off the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coasts and off Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, is preparing a preliminary management plan for Atlantic billfishes and sharks to take effect in early December 1977 and remain in existence until superseded by approved Regional Fishery Management Council plans. The proposed action provides for prohibition of the retention of all billfishes captured by foreign vesels and regulates the catch of sharks in the FCZ.

Approximately 94 percent of the total harvest of billfishes by foreign longline fleets in the Atlantic now occurs outside the FCZ of the United States. The described action will have beneficial effects on the biological, social and economic environment at the local, regional, and international levels. Available biological data indicate that some billfish stocks may be currently exploited near maximum sustainable yield. The increasing domestic recreational and commercial fisheries preclude any retention of billfishes by foreign vessels in the FCZ and dictates restrictions for sharks as specified in this plan. No significant adverse biological effects are anticipated as a result of the proposed action.

Concurrent with this notice the environmental statement is being transmitted to CEQ. Copies of the environmental statement are available for inspection at the following locations:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Science Information Center, Page Building 2, Room 193, 3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20235.
National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Regional Office, 9450 Gandy Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Fla. 33702.
National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Center, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, Fla. 33149.

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to:

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Region, 9450 Gandy Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Fla. 33702.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State and local agenices and commenting private organizations and individuals as specified in CEQ guidelines.

Dated this 1st day of November at Washington, D.C.

WINFRED H. MEIBOHM,
Associate Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.77-32083 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3510-12]

MID-ATLANTIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Public Meeting

The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, established by Section 302 of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-265), will hold 3 public meetings for the purpose of receiving comments on various gear conflicts arising out of the use of

stationary and mobile fishing gear. The meetings will be held at the following locations:

November 16, 1977: Sheraton Fontainebleau, Ocean City, Md., 9 a.m.; Asbury Avenue Pavilion, Asbury Park, N.J., 8 p.m.

November 17, 1977: Southhampton Inn, Southhampton, Long Island, N.Y., 7 p.m.

These meetings are open to the public. For more information, contact John C. Bryson, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Room 2115, Federal Building, Dover, Del. 19901, telephone 302–674–2331.

Dated: November 1, 1977.

WINFRED H. MEIBOHM,
Associate Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.77–32084 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6820–33]

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM THE BLIND AND OTHER SEVERELY HANDICAPPED

PROCUREMENT LIST 1977

Additions

AGENCY: Committee for purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped.

ACTION: Additions to Procurement List.

SUMMARY: This action adds to Procurement List 1977 services to be provided by workshops for the blind and other severely handicapped.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 1977.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, 2009 14th Street North, Suite 610, Arlington, Va. 22201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. W. Fletcher, 703–557–1145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 6, 1977 and September 16, 1977 the Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped published notices (42 FR 23187) and (42 FR 46570) of proposed additions to Procurement List 1977, November 18, 1976 (41 FR 50975).

After consideration of the relevant matter presented, the Committee has determined that the services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48 (c), 85 Stat. 77.

Accordingly, the following services are hereby added to Procurement List 1977:

SIC 7538

Rebuilding of Automotive Components, General Services Administration Interagency Motor Pool, New York, N.Y.

SIC 7699

Repair and Maintenance of Electric and Manual Typewriters, Rochester, N.Y. (including Monroe County).

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.77–31968 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6820–33]

PROCUREMENT LIST 1977

Deletions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped.

ACTION: Deletions from procurement list.

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to delete from Procurement List 1977 a commodity to be produced by and services to be provided by workshops for the blind or other severely handicapped.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 1977.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, 2009 14th Street North, Suite 610, Arlington, Va. 22201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. W. Fletcher, 703–557–1145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 9, 1977 the Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped published a notice (42 FR 45358) of proposed deletions from Procurement List 1977, November 18, 1976 (41 FR 50975).

After consideration of the relevant matter presented, the Committee has determined that the commodity and services listed below are no longer suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48(c), 85 Stat. 77.

Accordingly, the following commodity and services are hereby deleted from Procurement List 1977:

CLASS 6532

Cap, Operating, Surgical, 6532–00–543–7378.

SIC 0782

Grounds Maintenance, Federal Aviation Administration, Palmdale, Calif.

SIC 7699

Repair and Maintenance of Adding Machines and Calculators, 26 Federal Plaza, 1515 Broadway, 32 Old Slip and U.S. Customs at 6 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y.

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.77–31969 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6820–33]

PROCUREMENT LIST 1977

Proposed Additions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped.

ACTION: Proposed additions to procurement list.

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to add to Procurement List 1977 commodities to be produced by and a service to be provided by workshops for the blind or other severely handicapped.

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE: December 7, 1977.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, 2009 14th Street North, Suite 610, Arlington, Va. 22201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. W. Fletcher, 703–557–1145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2), 85 Stat. 77.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, all entities of the Federal Government will be required to procure the commodities and service listed below from workshops for the blind or other severely handicapped.

It is proposed to add the following commodities and service to Procurement List 1977, November 18, 1976 (41 FR 50975):

Class 8020

Cover, Paint Roller, 8020–00–682–6489, 8020–00–682–6490, 8020–00–682–6491, 8020–00–682–6492.

SIC 0782

Pruning shrubs, trees and vines, Fort Lewis, Wash., for the following areas: Main Post; Blocks 3112 thru 3475; Block 3600 area; Quarters 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 on Ninth Division Drive; Madigan Army Medical Center Area—for the following family housing areas: 6—Broadmoor; 7—Clarkdale; 8—New Hillside; 9—Davis Hill; 10—Madigan; 11—Beachwood; 12—Greenwood.

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.77–31967 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6820–33]

PROCUREMENT LIST 1977

Proposed Deletion

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped.

ACTION: Proposed deletion from procurement list.

SUMMARY: The Committee has received a proposal to delete from Procurement List 1977 a service provided by workshops for the blind or other severely handicapped.

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE: December 7, 1977.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, 2009 14th Street North, Suite 610, Arlington, Va. 22201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. W. Fletcher, 703–557–1145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2), 85 Stat. 77.

It is proposed to delete the following service from Procurement List 1977, November 18, 1976 (41 FR 50975):

SIC 7699

Repair and Maintenance of Electric and Manual Typewriters, U.S. Main Post Office, Milwaukee, Wis.

C. W. FLETCHER,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.77–31970 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[3125-01]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

List of Statements Received

The following is a list of environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality from October 25 through Oct. 28, 1977. The date of receipt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under Council Guidelines the *minimum* period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is forty-five (45) days from this FEDERAL REGISTER notice of availability. (December 19, 1977) The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins on the day the statement is made available to the Council and to commenting parties.

Copies of individual statements are available for review from the originating agency. Back copies are also available at 10 cents per page from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Errett Deck, Coordinator, Environmental Qality Activities, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 307A, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-447-6827.

FOREST SERVICE

Final

Volcanoville Planning Unit Eldorado National Forest, El Dorado County, Calif., October 25. This statement proposes a land use plan for 12,700 acres of the Volcanoville Planning Unit of the Eldorado National Forest. The proposed plan provides for commodity utilization and for protection of amenity values and environmental quality. Dispersed recreation would be allowed and off-road vehicle use would be regulated to designated roads and trails on the steeper terrain. Timber harvest would be allowed in these areas, but methods would be restricted. Mineral location and development would also be allowed in certain areas. Comments made by: USDA, DOI, EPA, state and local agencies, and concerned groups and individuals. (ELR Order No. 71314.)

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Final

Susquehanna Steam Sta., 500 kV Line, several Counties, Pennsylvania, October 25. The proposed action is a loan guarantee commitment to the Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc., to finance a ten percent ownership interest in the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station in Salam Township, Luzerne County, Pa. Plans also include the financing of 42.3 miles of 50 kV transmission line associated with this station. This statement covers the 128 miles of associated 500 kV transmission facilities located in Luzerne, Lackawana, Columbia, Mountour, Northumberland, Snyder, Carbon and Northampton Counties in Pennsylvania. Forested areas will be disturbed with the potential for soil erosion and wildlife disturbance. Comments made by: COE, DOT, EPA, DOI, USDA, and NRC. (ELR Order No. 71316.)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler, Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs, Environmental Affairs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, 202-377-4335.

NAT'L OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.

Draft

Salmon Fisheries FMP, WAS/ORE/CAL, Washington, Oregon, California, October 27. The proposed action is to continue the management of commercial and recreational salmon fisheries off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California for optimum yield from the fisheries, conservation of the stocks, and equitable allocation among all domestic fishermen, including treaty Indians. In order to achieve the overall management objectives, recommended regulations and alternative reglations are proposed for the commercial troll, ocean-sport, and Indian treaty fisheries. Impacts of the various alternatives are discussed. (ELR Order No. 71323.)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ARMY CORPS

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash, Office of Environmental Policy Department, Attn: DAEN-CWR-P, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20314, 202-693-6795.

Draft

Burlington Dam, Souris R. Flood Control, North Dakota October 27. Proposed is a plan for flood damage reduction on the Souris River, N. Dak. The plan calls for a dam near Burlington, N. Dak. on the Souris River; a raise of Lake Darling Dam; a diversion tunnel to carry flood flows on the Des Lacs River to the Souris River above Burlington Dam; and downstream channel works consisting of levee improvements in developed subdivision areas between Burlington and Minot, N. Dak. channel modifications through and below Minot and levee improvements at the communities of Sawyer, N. Dak. and Velva, N. Dak. Adverse effects include relocation of approximately 25 ranchers and other rural residents. (St. Paul District.) (ELR Order No. 71322.)

Auasi Harbor—American Samoa, American Samoa, October 28. Proposed are navigation improvement at Auasi, Tutuila Island, American Samoa. The improvements consist of: (1) a small boat harbor, capable of accommodating a vessel with a 40-foot length, a 12-foot beam, and a 4-foot draft. (2) an entrance channel, and (3) protective works. The harbor will be located at Auasi village, between Taugamalama Point on the west and Maatulaumea Point on the east. Adverse effects include changes in water quality and circulation, changes to biota, and commitment of natural resources. (San Francisco District.) (ELR Order No. 71328.)

Final

Beadle Bay Development, Saginaw Bay, Huron County, Mich., October 28. Proposed is the issuance of a permit for the construction of boat channels in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, Mich. The project requires dredging a combined total of 2600 cubic yards of material to make connections in two locations on the existing channel off Wild Fowl Bay. Excavation of the connection will result in turbidity in the water, and additional travel by vehicles to the area and through the waterways by boats will cause increased congestion, noise, and exhaust emissions. (Detroit District.) Comments made by: DOC, EPA, DOT, and concerned groups and individuals. (ELR Orders No. 71327.)

Rio Grande and Tributaries, Socorro and Valencia Counties, N. Mex., October 26. Proposed is the construction of two dry flood and sediment control reservoir projects, one on the Rio Puerco at mile 17 and one on Rio Salado at mile 5. Approximately 35,250 acres along a 62 mile stretch of the Rio Grande would be protected. Land committed to the project will total 14,500 acres. (Albuquerque District.) Comments made by: DOI, USDA, DOC, DOT, HEW, FPC, EPA, state and local agencies, and concerned interest groups. (ELR Order No. 71319.)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Peter Cook, Acting Director, Office of Federal Activities, Washington, D.C. 20460, 202-755-0777.

Draft

San Diego Metro. Wastewater Facilities Plan, San Diego County, Calif.: Proposed is the San Diego Metropolitan Facilities Plan, drawn up to determine and recommend the most cost-effective, socially and environmentally sound means of achieving the national water quality standards as mandated by Congress. The proposed plan recommends four alternatives concerning the use and possible expansion of the Point Loma sewage treatment plan. Two of the alternatives propose building additional sites. Adverse effects include construction-related pollution, loss of vegetation and wildlife habitat at new facilities, changes in natural drainage patterns, and the potential loss of archeological/historical resources.

Androscoggin Valley 208 Plan, Maine, October 27: This statement discusses the technical and management alternatives under consideration to deal with water quality problems of the Androscoggin Valley 208 Area from now through the next 20 years. The 208 Area consists of the towns of Lisbon, Sabattus, Lewiston, Auburn, Poland, Mechanic Falls, Minot, Oxford, Norway, and Paris. The various alternatives address themselves to the broad range of both point and non-point source water quality problems present in the Area. (Region 1.) (ELR Order No. 71326.)

Vancouver Lake Reclamation Study, Clark County, Wash., October 27: Proposed is the awarding of grant funds to the Port of Vancouver for the rehabilitation and restoration of Vancouver Lake. The primary objective in the restoration of Vancouver Lake is to improve the water quality and lake conditions to the extent that residents of Clark County and the greater Portland metropolitan area can use and enjoy the lake for recreational purposes. The measures outlined in the Master Plan for the Rehabilitation of Vancouver Lake are proposed to increase the depth of the lake to the extent that water-oriented recreation may occur within and adjacent to the lake. (ELR Order No. 71325.)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun, Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, 202-755-6308.

Draft

Wood Meadow, Sageglen, and Sagebend Subdivisions, Harris County, Tex., October 26: The proposed action is for the Department of HUD to accept for HUD/FHA mortgage insurance purposes the Wood Meadow, Sageglen, and Sagebend Subdivisions located in Harris County, Tex. When completed in approximately eight years, the subdivisions will contain approximately 4,443 residential units, and ancillary commercial and institutional developments to serve the contiguous subdivisions. Adverse effects include the loss of coastal prairie habitat and farmland; and increased noise, traffic, and pollution levels. (ELR Order No. 71318.)

Final

Pepperidge Subdivision, Augusta, Richmond County, Ga., October 26: The proposed project is a subdivision encompassing 572.4 acres with proposed land uses for 989 single-family detached units, 483 apartment units, 250 condominium units with private 1.8

recreational area, a 27.4-acre commercial site, a 16.2-acre school site, and a 74.6-acre green belt. The subject site is in Richmond County, Ga., about 7 miles south of the Augusta central business district. Adverse effects include increased demands upon social services and utilities. At least one archeological site will be destroyed. Comments made by ERDA, EPA, GSA, USDA, DOI, HEW, DOT, State agencies, interest groups. (ELR Order No. 71321.)

Inwood North Subdivision, Harris County, Tex., October 25: The proposed action is for the Department of HUD to accept for HUD-FHA mortgage insurance purposes the 760 acre Inwood North Subdivision in Harris County, Tex. When completed in approximately six years, the subdivision will contain approximately 2,000 single-family homes plus some attached single-family and multi-family housing and shopping and recreational facilities. Adverse effects include the removal of grazing land and open areas, and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation. Comments made by EPA, COE, USDA, AHP, DOI, State and local agencies, interest groups. (ELR Order No. 71310.)

Sherwood Trails Subdivision, Harris County, Tex., October 25: Proposed is the development of 200.592 acres into a community composed of single-family homes in Kingwood, Tex. The development will be designed to accommodate approximately 3,234 persons. Adverse effects include the loss of wooded land and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation. Nine hundred and twenty family units will be built. Comments made by EPA, COE, DOI, USDA, State and local agencies, one interest group. (ELR Order No. 71312.)

Bonaire Subdivision, Harris County, Tex., October 26: Proposed is the development of 340 acres into a planned community composed of single-family homes, apartments, patio homes and townhouses with some commercial reserves in Harris County, Tex. This development provides for the planning and controlling of a wide range of living accomodations for approximately 6,300 people. Adverse effects include the loss of agricultural land and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation. Comments made by EPA, COE, AHP, DOI, USDA, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 71320.)

Shiloh Hills Development, Spokane County, Wash., October 26: The proposed action is approval of the application of the M & R Development Co. for HUD/FHA mortgage insurance for the Shiloh Hills Development in Spokane, Wash. The 240-acre development will consist of 750 single family residential lots and will provide suitable housing for 350 to 600 residents a year for the next 6 to 10 years. Population growth will add to the load on utilities, transportation facilities, and governmental services for the area. Comments made by AHP, DOI, EPA, COE, DOC, USDA, local agencies. (ELR Order No. 71317.)

Section 104(h)

The following are Community Development Block Grant statements prepared and circulated directly by applicants pursuant to section 104(h) of the 1974 Housing and Community Development Act. Copies may be obtained from the office of the appropriate local chief executive. (Copies are not available from HUD.)

Final

Bulloch County, Ala.—Rural Water System Improvements, October 27: Proposed is the provision of a new rural water service to Cornerstone, Thompson, and Fitzpatrick communities in northwestern Bulloch County, Ala. Also, existing service in the Greenwood community will be upgraded and interconnected to the Union Springs water system and the South Bulloch water system. The proposed improvements will service approximately 222 rural households. Adverse effects include short-term minor sedimentation of streams and an existing potential for limited erosion. Comments made by EPA, DOI, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 71324.)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard, Director, Environmental Project Review, Room 4256, Interior Building, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, 202-343-3891.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Final

Project Skywater, October 25: The proposed program is a research cloud seeding effort designed to remove scientific uncertainties and develop the technology required to make cloud seeding a scientifically sound and socially acceptable water resources management tool. Field experiments are conducted in the mountains during the winter and in the plains during the summer. The primary impact of the program's research activities are insignificant, believed to be slight but unidentifiable increases in plant growth, runoff, and ground water levels. Comments made by DOI, AHP, USDA, DOC, COE, ERDA, HEW, EPA, NSF, State agencies, concerned interest groups. (ELR Order No. 71309.)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact Mr. Voss A. Moore, Assistant Director for Environmental Projects, P-518, Washington, D.C. 20555, 301-492-8446.

Final

Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, Suffolk County, N.Y., October 25: The proposed action is the issuance of an operating license to the Long Island Lighting Co. (LILCO) for the start up and operation of the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, on a 880-acre site in Brookhaven, N.Y. The unit will have a net capacity of 820 MWe and will be cooled by a once-through flow of water from the Long Island Sound. Adverse effects include the clearing of 100 acres of woods; impacts to fish due to the high water flow velocities in the intake canal; thermal stress on marine biota; and the risks associated with radiation exposure. Comments made by HEW, USDA, DOT, DOC, EPA, ERDA, State agencies, concerned groups and individuals. (ELR Order No. 71311.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director, Office of Environmental Affairs, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-4357.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Draft

State Route 4, State Route 2 to State Route 7, New Castle County, New Castle, Del., October 25: Proposed is the reconstruction and/or relocation of approximately 8.5 miles of Delaware Route 4 located in northern New Castle County, Delaware. The proposed improvements consist of a 4-lane divided road with paved shoulders and a protected bikeway/sidewalk system. Design speed will be 50 mph. Project implementation has been divided into four sections, and four alternatives are offered. Adverse effects include increased noise levels and the relocation of up to 98 homes and the loss of up to 19.4 acres of prime farmland, subject to the alternative selected. (Region 3.) (ELR Order No. 71313.)

Final

F. A. Primary Route 662, Sangamon County, Sangamon, Ill., October 25: Proposed is the financing, with Federal-Aid matching funds, of the construction costs of a section of an area service highway from ½ mile north of Wabash Avenue (Illinois Route 54) southeast of Springfield to Peoria Road (U.S. Business Route 66) north of Springfield, a total distance of 7.5 miles. The improvement will be a divided four-lane rural type highway with partial access control. The planned facility would require the relocation of a small stream at six locations, and would increase noise levels in the area. (Region 5.) Comments made by: USDA, COE, HUD, EPA, DOI, State and local agencies, concerned groups and individuals. (ELR Order No. 71315.)

NOTICE

On September 30, 1977 Transportation Secretary Brock Adams informed Governor Ray Blanton of Tennessee that the Secretary had decided "to reject the proposal of the State of Tennessee to build I-40 through Overton Park." Secretary Adams explained that the state's proposal "does not meet the standards required by the Supreme Court in the case of *Citizens to Preserve Overton Park* v. *Secretary John Volpe*." Thus DOT will not be filing a final EIS on the proposal.

[FR Doc.77-32149 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3125-01]

TRANSFER OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT RECEIPT AND FILING FROM CEQ TO EPA

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

The receipt and filing of Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) will be transferred from the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) next month, under the President's reorganization plan for the Executive Office of the President (Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977, July 15, 1977). Effective Monday, December 5, 1977, federal agencies should no longer send EISs to CEQ. Instead agencies should deliver ten (10) copies of all draft, final or supplemental EISs filed pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act directly to:

Environmental Protection Agency, Room 537, West Tower, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Mailed copies should be sent to Mail Code A-104 at the same address.

Beginning on December 16, 1977, EPA will publish the regular weekly FEDERAL REGISTER notices, indicating receipt of EISs and the relevant comment periods. EPA will also publish the 102 Monitor beginning in January.

CEQ will continue its NEPA oversight and policy guidance to agencies. However, general information and specific questions from agencies and the public about technical compliance with environmental impact statement requirements and CEQ Guidelines should be directed to EPA after December 2.

Please inform all regional and branch offices of these changes. Any questions should be directed to Sally Mallison at CEQ 202-633-7077 or Thomas Sheckells at EPA, 202-755-0790.

CHARLES WARREN,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.77-32148 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3128-01]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SMACKOVER PRODUCING CO.

Action Taken on Consent Order

Pursuant to 10 CFR Section 205.197 (c), the Department of Energy (DOE), as successor to the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) hereby gives notice of final action taken on a Consent Order.

On August 11, 1977, FEA published notice of a Consent Order which was executed between Smackover Producing Co. (Smackover) and FEA (42 FR 40,767, August 11, 1977). With that notice, and in accordance with 10 CFR Section 205.197(c), FEA invited interested persons to comment on the Consent Order. A press release in conformity with 10 CFR § 205.197(c) was issued simultaneously.

No comments were received with respect to the Consent Order. Therefore, DOE has concluded that the Consent Order as executed between FEA and Smackover is an appropriate resolution of the compliance proceedings described in the Notice published on August 11, 1977 and hereby gives notice that the Consent Order shall become effective as proposed, without modification, upon publication of this Notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C. October 27, 1977.

WILLIAM S. HEFFELFINGER,
Director of Administration.

[FR Doc.77-32086 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[3128-01]

Economic Regulatory Administration

NOTICE OF CASES FILED WITH THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

Week of October 14 Through October 21, 1977

Notice is hereby given that during the week of October 14 through October 21, 1977, the appeals and applications for exception or other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Administrative Review of the Economic Regulatory Administration of the Department of Energy.

Under the DOE's procedural regulations, 10 CFR, Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in such cases may file with the DOE written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of those regulations, the date of service of notice shall be deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Administrative Review, Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20461.

MELVIN GOLDSTEIN,
Acting Director, Office of Administrative Review.

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

APPENDIX.—*List of cases received by the Office of Administrative Review, week of Oct. 14, 1977 through Oct. 21, 1977*

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Oct. 14, 1977	Atlantic Richfield Co., Los Angeles, Calif., (If granted: The FEA's Sept. 9, 1977, information request denial would be rescinded and the Atlantic Richfield Co. would recive access to all data employed by the FEA in promulgating section 212.84.)	DFA-0013	Appeal of FEA's information request denial dated Sept. 9, 1977.
Do	Bassett Oil and Equipment Co., Inc., Bassett, Va. (If granted: The FEA's May 4, 1977, decision and order would be modified by rescinding the requirement that Bassett Oil and Equipment Co., Inc. maintain an escrow account.)	DRR-0002	Request for modification of decision and order in Bassett Oil and Equipment Co., Inc., 5 FEA Par. 85,058 (May 4, 1977).
Do	Guttman Oil Co., Belle Vernon, Pa. (If granted: The remedial order issued by FEA Region III on Sept. 28, 1977, would be rescinded and the Guttman Oil Co., would not be required to refund overcharges made in sales of No. 2 heating oil to the West Penn Power Co. and the Monongahela Power Co.)	DRA-0011	Appeal of FEA region III's remedial order dated Sept. 28, 1977.
Do	B.W. Whittington, Portland, Tex. If granted: B. W. Whittington would be granted a stay of the provisions of the remedial order issued by FEA region VI on Sept. 22, 1977 and would therefore not be required to refund overcharges made on sales of crude oil produced from the White Point lease, the four way lease, and the tract 180 lease pending a final determination on his appeal of that order.)	DRS-0008	Stay request.
Oct. 17, 1977	Champlin Petroleum Co. Fort Worth, Tex. (If granted: The remedial order issued by FEA region VI on Sept. 19, 1977 would be rescinded and the Champlin Petroleum Co. would not be required to refund overcharges made by sales of motor gasoline during the period Nov. 1, 1973 through Jan. 31, 1976.)	DRS-0042	Appeal of FEA region VI's remedial order dated Sept. 19, 1977.
Do	Gary Operating Co. Englewood, Colo. (If granted: the Gary Operating Co. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's May 23, 1977, and July 8, 1977, decisions and orders which would permit it to increase its prices to reflect nonproduct cost increases in excess of $0.005/gal for natural gas liquid products produced at the Altonah and Bluebell plants.)	DXE-0063 DXE-0064	Extension of exception relief granted in Gary Operating Co., case No. FEE-4064 (decided July 8, 1977) (unreported decision); Gary Operating Co., case No. FXE-4065 (decided May 23, 1977) (unreported decision).

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Oct. 14, 1977	J&W Refining, Inc., Dallas, Tex. (If granted: J&W Refining, Inc. would receive a stay of the application to the firm of the entitlements purchase obligation specified in 10 CFR 211.67 pending a final determination on its application for exception.)	DST-0001 DES-0005	Stay request. Temporary stay requested.
Do	Little America Refining Co., Washington, D.C. (If granted: Little America Refining Co. would receive a stay of a portion of its October 1977 entitlement purchase obligation pending a final determination on its application for exception.)	DEX-0002	Supplemental order.
Do	Sun Co., Inc., Dallas, Tex. (If granted: Sun Co., Inc. would be permitted to increase its prices to reflect non-product cost increases in excess of $0.005/gal for natural gas liquid products produced at the Fairway, Fullerton, and Putnam-Oswego plants.)	DEE-0066 DEE-0067 DEE-0068	Price exception (section 212.165).
Oct. 18, 1977	Oklahoma Natural Gas Co., Tulsa, Okla. (If granted: The Oklahoma Natural Gas Co. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's May 19, 1977, decision and order which would permit it to increase its prices to reflect nonproduct cost increases in excess of $0.005/gal for natural gas liquid products.)	DXE-0073	Extension of exception relief granted in Oklahoma Natural Gas Co., case No. FEE-3201 (decided May 19, 1977) (unreported decision).
Do	Twin-Tech Co., Washington, D.C. (If granted: The Twin-Tech Co. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's Mar. 28, 1977, and Sept. 30, 1977, decisions and orders which would permit the firm to increase its selling prices for natural gas liquids and natural gas liquid products above the maximum permissible price levels specified in 10 CFR part 212, subpart K.)	DXE-0069	Extension of exception relief granted in Twin-Tech Co., 5 FEA Par. 83,126 (Mar. 28, 1977); Twin-Tech Co., 6 FEA Par. —— (Sept. 30, 1977).
Do	Yates Petroleum Corp., et al., Washington, D.C. (If granted: The DOE would rescind the interpretation issued by the FEA to the Navajo Refining Co. on July 28, 1977, which found that different gravities of crude oil constitute different "grades" of crude oil for purposes of the lower and upper tier ceiling price rules.)	DIA-0014	Appeal of FEA's interpretation issued to the Navajo Refining Co. on July 28, 1977.
Oct. 19, 1977	Edward W. McCrary, III, Baton Rouge, La. (If granted: The FEA's information request denial would be rescinded and Edward W. McCrary, III would receive access to FEA forms P102-M-1, refiner's monthly report, filed by the Inger Oil Corp., Inc. for the fourth quarter 1976 and the first and second quarters of 1977.)	DFA-0016	Appeal of FEA's information request denial.
Do	Quest Oil Co., Denver, Colo. (If granted: Quest Oil Co.'s Wilson No. 1 well located in Banner County, Nebr., would be classified as a stripper well property.)	DEE-0074	Price exception (section 212.73).
Oct. 18, 1977	Eastex Construction Pipe & Supply Co., Inc., Longview, Tex. (If granted: Crude oil produced from the Eastex Construction Pipe & Supply Co.'s Newport Industries No. FD well, Newport Industries No. 2 well, and Newport A No. 1 well would be sold at upper tier ceiling prices.)	DEE-0072	Price Exception (section 212.73).
Do	Gulf Oil Corp., Tulsa, Okla. (If granted: The decision and order issued by the FEA on Sept. 30, 1977, would be modified to include exception relief for the Monument plant.)	DEX-0003	Supplemental order.
Do	Indian Wells Oil Co., Kearney, Mo. (If granted: The Indian Wells Oil Co. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 1, 1977, decision and order which would permit it to increase its prices to reflect nonproduct cost increases in excess of $0.005/gal for natural gas liquid products.)	DXE-0070	Extension of exception relief granted in Indian wells Oil Co., No. FXE-4158 (decided June 1, 1977) (unreported decision).
Oct. 17, 1977	Little America Refining Co., Washington, D.C. (If granted: The DOE would review the entitlements exception relief granted to Little America Refining Co. during its 1977 fiscal year in order to determine whether the level of exception relief approved was appropriate.)	DEX-0005	Review of entitlements exception relief (supplemental order).
Oct. 18, 1977	City of Long Beach, Calif., Long Beach, Calif. (If granted: The City of Long Beach, Calif. would be granted an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 6, 1977, decision and order which would permit it to sell a portion of the crude oil produced from the Fault Block II Unit at upper tier ceiling prices.)	DXE-0001	Extension of exception relief granted in City of Long Beach, Calif., 5 FEA 83,173 (June 6, 1977).
Do	Collins Oil Co., Aurora, Ill. (If granted: The remedial order issued by FEA region V on Sept. 28, 1977, would be rescinded and the Collins Oil Co. would not be required to refund overcharges made on sales of No. 2 heating oil.)	DRA-0015 DRS-0015	Appeal of FEA region V's remedial order dated Sept. 28, 1977. Stay requested.
Oct. 19, 1977	Standard Oil Co. (Indiana), Chicago, Ill. (If granted: The Standard Oil Co. (Indiana) would receive an exception to section 212.84(e)(6) which would permit the comparison of Trinidad crude to a reference crude more similar in quality and refinery yield for the purpose of determining maximum and representative prices for Trinidad crude.)	DEE-0075	Price exception (section 212.84(e)(6)).
Oct. 20, 1977	Allison Propane Gas, Allison, Iowa. (If granted: Allison Propane Gas would be assigned a new, lower-price supplier of propane to replace its base period supplier.)	DXE-0082	Exception to change supplier (section 211.9).
Do	Deacon Oil Co., Hanford, Calif. (If granted: The Beacon Oil Co. would receive an extention of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 14, 1977, decision and order which would relieve Beacon of its obligation to purchase entitlements.)	DXE-0077	Extension of exception relief granted in Beacon Oil Co., 6 FEA Par. 83,003 (June 14, 1977).
Do	Edgington Oil Co., Inc., Washington, D.C. (If granted: The Edgington Oil Co. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 14, 1977, decision and order which would relieve the firm of its obligation to purchase additional entitlements.)	DXE-0081	Extension of exception relief granted in Edgington Oil Co., 6 FEA Par. 83,005 (June 14, 1977).
Do	Husky Oil Company of Delaware, Washington, D.C. (If granted: The Husky Oil Company of Delaware would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 14, 1977, decision and order which would relieve it of its obligations to purchase entitlements.)	DXE-0079 DES-0079	Extension of exception relief granted in Husky Oil Co. of Delaware, 6 FEA Par. 83,009 (June 14, 1977). Stay requested.
Do	Lunday-Thagard Oil Co., South Gate, Calif. (If granted: The Lunday-Thagard Oil Co. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 14, 1977, decision and order and Lunday would be relieved of its obligation to purchase entitlements.)	DXE-0076 DEX-0004	Extension of exception relief granted in Lunday-Thagard Oil Co., 6 FEA Par. 83,013 (June 14, 1977).

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Do	W. J. McAllister, Hastings, Mich. (If granted: The remedial order issued by FEA region V to W. J. McAllister would be rescinded and he would not be required to refund overcharges made on sales of heating oil.)	DRA-0017	Appeal of FEA region V's remedial order.
Do	Mohawk Petroleum Corp., Inc., Los Angeles, Calif. (If granted: Mohawk Petroleum Corp., Inc. would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 14, 1977, decision and order which would relieve Mohawk of its obligation to purchase entitlements.)	DXE-0078	Extension of exception relief granted in Mohawk Petroleum Corp., 6 FEA Par. 83,015 (June 14, 1977).
Do	Warrior Asphalt Company of Alabama, Washington, D.C. (If granted: The Warrior Asphalt Company of Alabama would receive an extension of the exception relief granted in the FEA's June 14, 1977, decision and order which would relieve the firm of its obligation to purchase entitlements.)	DXE-0080	Extension of exception relief granted in Warrior Asphalt Co. of Alabama, 6 FEA Par. 83,020 (June 14, 1977).
Do	Wild, Carter, Hamlin, Tipton & Quaschnick, Fresno, Calif. (If granted: The FEA's Sept. 22, 1977, information request denial would be rescinded and Wild, Carter, Hamlin, Tipton & Quaschnick would receive access to additional FEA data relating to FEA ruling 1977-1 ("clarifications to mandatory petroleum price regulations applicable to domestic crude oil") and FEA ruling 1977-2 ("further clarifications to mandatory petroleum regulations applicable to domestic crude oil").)	DFA-0006	Appeal of FEA's information request denial dated Sept. 22, 1977.

Notices of objection received

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case Nos.
Oct. 20, 1977	Gulf Oil Corp., Tulsa, Okla.	FXE-4620 through FXE-4638 FXE-4640 through FXE-4645 FXE-4647

[FR Doc.77-31950 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER76-659]

ALABAMA POWER CO.

Order Approving Settlement

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "saving provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402 (a) (1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ____, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On June 3, 1977 the Presiding Administrative Law Judge certified to the Commission two settlement agreements which provide for negotiated rate reductions from the originally proposed rates and are intended to resolve all the issues in these proceedings. The Commission finds that the settlement agreements are in the public interest and accepts and approves them as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

These proceedings were initiated on April 30, 1976, when Alabama Power Company (Alabama) tendered for filing to the Commission increased rates under its tariff for municipal and cooperative customers as well as changes in an interconnection agreement with Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc. (AEC). The filings proposed a total rate increase of $14,473,183 (27 percent). By order issued on May 28, 1976, the Commission accepted the proposed rates for filing with an effective date, subject to refund, of October 1, 1976.

One of the proposed settlement agreements is between Alabama, the intervening distribution municipals,[1] and the Municipal Electric Utility Association of Alabama. The other proposed agreement is between Alabama, the intervening distribution cooperatives,[2] and AEC. The proposed settlements provide for a total rate increase of $9,571,332 (18 percent) which is a reduction of $4,901,881 (34 percent) from the originally proposed rates based on the same test year.

Test year ended May 31, 1977	Tariff rates: Municipals	Tariff rates: Cooperatives	AEC
Proposed rates	$31,790,138	$23,990,000	$12,383,673
Present rates	26,687,138	19,794,000	7,209,490
Settlement rates	30,305,624	22,273,593	10,682,743

Based on Staff's analysis, the earned rate of return under the proposed settlements will not exceed Staff's recommended 9.69 percent, with 13 percent on common equity.

The proposed settlements also provide for tax adjustments, that Alabama will not request that any change in rates to tariff customers be made effective prior to January 1, 1978, that Alabama will refund amounts collected in excess of the settlement rates together with interest at 9 percent per annum, and that the settlement shall not be construed as constituting approval of any method of cost derivation or allocation or of any particular principles of ratemaking.

With respect to the interconnection agreement between Alabama and AEC, the proposed settlement provides for agreement as to (1) three additional points of interconnection, (2) the sale to AEC of the Opp substation facilities which serve AEC for which AEC previously paid a monthly service charge, (3) AEC's estimated maximum peak hour demands through May, 1982,[3] (4) AEC's payment of previously billed amounts for fuel adjustment charges for August and September, 1976, together with interest at 9 percent per annum,[4] and (5) a moratorium prior to June 1, 1978, on the effective date for rates for Purchased Capacity. Staff's analysis indicates that the Purchased Capacity rates are cost justified and reasonable.

Certain customers who are served under Alabama's tariff for distribution municipals and cooperatives, have not intervened in this proceeding and are not parties to the agreements. In its Motion to Presiding Administrative Law Judge Requesting Certification of Settlement Agreements to the Commission, Alabama has committed to make the settlement rates applicable to such municipals and cooperatives.

Notice of the certification of the settlement agreement was issued on July 8, 1977. Staff filed comments supporting the agreement. No other comments were received.

[1] The City of Alexander City, the City of Dothan, the City of Fairhope, the Utilities Board of the City of Foley, the City of LaFayette, the City of Lanett, the City of Luverne, the City of Opelika, the City of Piedmont, the Utilities Board of the City of Sylacauga, the City of Troy, and the Utilities Board of the City of Tuskegee.

[2] Baldwin County Electric Membership Corporation, Clarke-Washington Electric Membership Corporation, Coosa Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc., Dixie Electric Cooperative, Inc., Pea River Electric Cooperative, Inc., Pioneer Electric Cooperative, Inc., Tallapoosa River Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Wiregrass Electric Cooperative, Inc.

[3] Alabama's interconnection agreement with AEC established an operating committee with the responsibility of determining AEC's estimated maximum peak hour demands for various time periods and AEC's generating capability resources. These determinations by the operating committee control AEC's Purchase Capacity obligation, the rates for which were at issue herein. AEC's Purchased Capacity obligation under the proposed settlement for the contract year ending May 31, 1977, is 86,043 kW instead of the 97,043 kW currently being billed subject to refund.

[4] The fuel clause billings for August and September, 1976 were under rates previously accepted for filing.

The Commission finds: The proposed settlement agreements should be approved and made effective as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

The Commission orders: (A) The settlement agreements certified to the Commission in these proceedings on June 3, 1977 are hereby accepted, incorporated herein by reference and approved, subject to the following conditions.

(B) Within 30 days from the date of this order, Alabama shall file with the Commission revised tariff sheets and rate schedules in conformance with the settlement agreements.

(C) Within 30 days after the compliance rate filings are accepted for filing, Alabama shall refund amounts collected in excess of the settlement rates with interest computed at 9 percent per annum.

(D) Wiithin 15 days after refunds have been made, Alabama shall file with the Commission a compliance report showing monthly billing determinants and revenues under prior, present and settlement rates; the monthly settlement rate increase; the monthly revenue refund; and the monthly interest computation together with a summary of such information for the total refund period. A copy of such report shall also be furnished to each State Commission within whose jurisdiction the wholesale customers distribute and sell electric energy at retail.

(E) Absent a filing pursuant to § 35.-13 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, the tax adjustment clauses contained in Alabama's proposed rates shall not serve as a basis for any changes in rates. Upon receipt of such a rate filing, the Commission will review it in a manner similar to any proposed rate change filing under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act.

(F) This order is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been made or which will hereafter be made by the Commission, and is without prejudice to any claims or contentions which may be made by the Commission, its staff, or any party or person affected by this order, in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against Alabama or any person or party.

(G) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31976 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP77-56 et al]

BACA GAS GATHERING SYSTEM, INC. AND PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE CO.

Extension of Time

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

On October 7, 1977, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) filed a motion to extend the time for compling with Ordering Paragraph (C) of the Commission Order issued May 31, 1977, in the above designated proceeding. (Docket Nos. CP77-56, CP77-117 and CP77-91). Ordering Paragraph (C) provided that Panhandle shall acquire and complete construction of facilities authorized by the May 31 Order within six months from the date of issuance of the Order.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the date within which Panhandle shall comply with Ordering Paragraph (C) is extended to and including April 3, 1978.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31977 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ES76-81]

BALTIMORE GAS & ELECTRIC CO.

Supplemental Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that by an order dated December 15, 1976, the Federal Power Commission, as predecessor to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), authorized Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (Applicant) to issue short-term unsecured promissory notes in face amounts of up to a maximum of $200 million with maturities not later than December 31, 1978.

By Supplemental Application filed October 17, 1977, the Applicant seeks authorization to extend the latest permissable issue date to December 31, 1978 and to extend the final maturity date to December 31, 1979, on $200 million in unsecured promissory notes previously authorized. All other terms and conditions of the Commission's order are to remain in full force and effect.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland with its principal business office at Baltimore, Maryland, and is engaged in the electric, gas and steam utility business within the State of Maryland. The Applicant has qualified to do business also in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania where it is participating in the ownership and operation of two mine-mouth electric generating plants.

Short-term unsecured promissory notes are to be issued from time to time to time to banking institutions and/or sold to or through dealers in commercial paper of which the aggregate amount to be outstanding at any one time as commercial paper is not to exceed 25 percent of the Applicant's gross revenues during the preceding twelve months. Short-term unsecured promissory notes in the form of commercial paper will mature in no more than 270 days and all other such notes will have maturities of up to one year from the date of issuance.

The proceeds from the issuance of short-term unsecured promissory notes will be used to provide funds for current corporate transactions, including interim financing of the Applicant's construction program. Construction expenditures are expected to total approximately $180 million in 1977 and 1978, respectively, and are estimated at $235 million for 1979. Expenditures for nuclear fuel are estimated at $50 million, $45 million and $55 million for the years 1977, 1978, and 1979, respectively.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 11, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31978 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ER 77-493 etc.]

BLACKSTONE VALLEY ELECTRIC CO. ET AL.

Order Establishing Procedural Dates

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

In the matter of Blackstone Valley Electric Company, Brockton Electric Power Company, Montaup Electric Power Company, Dockets Nos. ER 77-493, ER 77-494 and ER 77-480.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ——, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On June 27, 1977, Montaup Electric Company (Montaup) tendered for filing, in Docket No. ER77-480, proposed rate scheduled changes establishing a new M-3 rate for jurisdictional firm power service at 115 kv. By order issued July 20, 1977, the Commission accepted the rate schedule revisions for filing and suspended the proposed increased rates until January 1, 1978, after which they are to become effective subject to refund.

On July 1, 1977 Blackstone Valley Electric Company and Brockton Edison Company each tendered for filing cost of capital computation to be used in calculating transmission rentals to Montaup, in Docket Nos. ER77-493 and ER77-494, respectively. On July 29, 1977, the Commission issued orders in these dockets accepting for filing and suspending the effectiveness of the proposed rates and charges until January 1, 1978, and consolidating these dockets with Docket No. ER77-480 for purposes of hearing and decision.

By order issued August 29, 1977, the Commission granted petitions for intervention filed by the Rhode Island Consumers' Council Division of Public Utilities and Carriers of the State of Rhode Island, the Municipal Gas and Electric Department of the Town of Middleborough of the State of Rhode Island, the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the Massachusetts Consumers' Council and the Narragansett Electric Company, and the Newport Electric Corporation of Newport, Rhode Island.

Having previously deferred determination of procedural dates in the above-captioned dockets, we shall establish such procedural dates as specified in the ordering paragraphs below.

The Commission finds: (1) Good cause exists to establish dates for Staff to serve "top sheets" on all parties.

(2) Good cause exists to set a date for a prehearing conference in the consolidated proceedings.

The Commission orders: (A) The Staff shall prepare and serve "top sheets" on all parties for settlement purposes on or before January 4, 1978. (See Administrative Order No. 157).

(B) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5 (d)), shall preside at a prehearing conference in this proceeding to be held within ten (10) days after the filing of "top sheets" in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Said Law Judge is authorized to establish all procedural dates and to rule upon all motions (except petitions to intervene, motions to consolidate and sever, and motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(C) Nothing contained herein shall be construed as limiting the rights of parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of settlement pursuant to Section 1.18 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31979 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. E-9609]

CONNECTICUT MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC ENERGY COOPERATIVE V. POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Complaint

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that the Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative (the Cooperative) on September 29, 1977, tendered for filing a complaint against the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY). The Cooperative is a non-profit organization and a political subdivision of the State of Connecticut designated on May 12, 1977, by Governor Ella Grasso as the bargaining agency on behalf of the State of Connecticut for the procurement of electric power from PASNY.

The Cooperative complains that PASNY has not complied with the Commission's Order Affirming Initial Decision issued March 12, 1976, in Docket No. E-8746.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 23, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31980 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. E-8133]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Supplemental Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 19, 1977, Consumers Power Co. (Applicant) filed an application seeking an order pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act authorizing the issuance and sale from time to time on or before December 31, 1978, of promissory notes to commercial banks purchasing such notes as a financial institution or a a fiduciary, promissory notes to non-banking institutions and commercial paper up to but not exceeding $300,000,000 in aggregate principal amount. On December 15, 1976, in Docket No. E-8133, the Federal Power Commission authorized Applicant to issue and sell from time to time prior to December 31, 1977, promissory notes to evidence bank borrowings, non-bank borrowings and commercial paper up to but not exceeding $300,000,000 in aggregate principal amount.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Michigan, with its principal place of business in Jackson, Mich. and is engaged in the electric and natural gas utility business in the State of Michigan.

Applicant proposes to use the proceeds from the issuance of the securities to provide a portion of the funds necessary for the construction, completion, extension and improvement of facilities, the cost of which is expected to total approximately $576,000,000 in 1977.

The notes issued to commercial banks and notes issued to non-banking institutions will mature not later than nine months from the date of issue. The interest rate on the short-term notes payable to commercial banks is expected to be not more than the prime rate but will be dependent upon the terms of the notes and the money market conditions at the time of issuance and may change during the period of the notes to conform with changes in interest rates. The interest rates on short-term notes payable to non-banking institutions will be dependent on the terms of the notes and the money market conditions at the time of issuance. The commercial paper will mature not later than 270 days from the date of issue and will carry an interest rate which will be dependent upon the terms of the notes and the money market conditions at the time of issuance.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should, on or before November 14, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Office of the Secretary), 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be

taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31981 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ER78-28]

DUKE POWER CO.

Supplement to Electric Power Contract

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that Duke Power Co. (Duke) tendered for filing on October 20, 1977, a supplement to the Company's Electric Power Contract with Davidson Electric Membership Corp. which is proposed to become effective on November 18, 1977. Duke indicates that this contract is on file with the Commission and has been designated Duke Power Co. Rate Schedule FPC No. 134.

Duke indicates that the contract supplement, made at the request of the customer and with agreement obtained from the customer, provides for the following increases in designated demand: Delivery Point No. 2 from 8,300 kW to 10,000 kW, Delivery Point No. 4 from 400 kW to 599 kW, Delivery Point No. 5 from 2,500 kW to 4,100 kW, Delivery Point No. 8 from 500 kW to 900 kW, Delivery Point No. 9 from 700 kW to 1,000 kW, and Delivery Point No. 10 from 1,100 kW to 1,350 kW. Duke also indicates that the supplement also includes an estimate of sales and revenue for the twelve months immediately preceding and for the twelve months immediately succeeding the effective date.

Duke states that a copy of this filing was mailed to Davidson Electric Membership Corp. and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 7, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31982 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ER78-29]

DUKE POWER CO.

Supplement to Electric Power Contract

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that Duke Power Co. (Duke) tendered for filing on October 20, 1977, a supplement to the Company's Electric Power Contract with the City of Easley which is to become effective on November 18, 1977. Duke indicates that this contract is on file with the Commission and has been designated Duke Power Co. Rate Schedule FPC No. 241.

Duke indicates that the contract supplement, made at the request of the customer and with agreement obtained from the customer, provides for an increase in contract demand from 12,000 kW to 16,000 kW at Delivery Point No. 3. Duke further indicates that the supplement also includes an estimate of sales and revenue for the twelve months immediately preceding and for the twelve months immediately succeeding the effective date.

Duke states that a copy of this filing was mailed to the City of Easley and the South Carolina Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 7, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31983 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket Nos. CP74-314 etc.]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO., ET AL.

Order Granting Motions To Substitute and To Add Party Respondents

OCTOBER 26, 1977.

In the matter of El Paso Natural Gas Co., Northwest Pipeline Corp., Sun Oil Co., et al., Docket Nos. CP74-314, CP76-327, CI77-526.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977), and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC." 10 CFR —, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. moved on September 15, 1977, that the Commission add Mr. Francis L. Harvey, P.O. Box 990, Wichita Falls, Tex. 76307, as an additional party-respondent to the above-captioned investigation. El Paso avers Mr. Harvey is a special overriding royalty interest holder pursuant to an oil and gas lease sale agreement between El Paso and Mr. Harvey dated May 10, 1955. El Paso states that through inadvertence Mr. Harvey was not identified by El Paso as an appropriate Respondent when El Paso initiated this proceeding.

Northwest Pipeline Corp. moved on September 30, 1977, that the July 29, 1977 order in these proceedings designating McCulloch Oil Corp. as a party-respondent be amended to substitute therefor Ladd Petroleum Corp., 830 Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202. Northwest states that it has discovered McCulloch's property interest in PLA-6 has been transferred to Ladd.

No responses to these motions have been filed with the Commission.

The Commission finds: Good cause having been shown and because no delay in these proceedings will result, the motion of El Paso and Northwest should be granted:

The Commission orders: (A) El Paso Natural Gas Co.'s motion of September 14, 1977, to add as an additional party-respondent in these proceedings, Mr. Francis L. Harvey, P.O. Box 990, Wichita Falls, Tex. 76307, is granted.

(B) Northwest Pipeline Corp.'s motion of September 30, 1977, to substitute for McCulloch Oil Corp. as a party-respondent the Ladd Petroleum Corp., 830 Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202, as an interest owner under the PLA-6 transaction is granted.

(C) The party-respondents added herein shall follow the procedural schedule heretofore ordered except as it may be modified by the Administrative Law Judge.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31974 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ES78-4]

IOWA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 17, 1977, the Iowa Public Service Co. (Applicant) filed an Application pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act and the applicable Regulations thereunder for authorization to engage in negotiations with underwriters in connection with the proposed issuance and sale of $15 million of Class A Preferred Stock via a private placement.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Iowa, with its principal business office at Sioux City, Iowa, and is engaged in the electric utility business in Northwestern, North Central and East Central Iowa and a few small communities in South Dakota.

The Applicant maintains that the proposed Preferred Stock issue is not large in relation to other Preferred Stock Offerings that have been sold recently and that inquiring investment bankers have indicated that a lower cost of money could be achieved by placing the new Preferred Stock privately. The Applicant states that it owes its customers and stockholders the duty to investigate this inquiry further.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the Application should on or before November 4, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). The Application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31984 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ER78-25]

KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Tariff Change

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Kansas City Power and Light Co. (KCPL) tendered for filing in the Municipal Participation Agreement between KCPL and the Board of Public Utilities of Kansas City, Kans. (Board), KCPL's Rate Schedule FPC No. 54.

KCPL states that the purpose of the filing is to (a) provide for an additional point of interconnection between the parties, (b) update Service Schedule rate levels to those presently in effect for other systems interconnected with KCPL, and (c) provide for transmission service by KCPL to the Board.

KCPL requests a retroactive effective date of July 1, 1977, for the proposed changes.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 7, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31985 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP76-90]

KANSAS-NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS CO., INC.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Tariff Sheets

Issued October 26, 1977.

Before Commissioners: Charles B. Curtis, Acting Chairman; Don S. Smith and Georgiana Sheldon, Acting Commissioners.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402 (a) (1) or (2) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR —, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On September 26, 1977, Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc. tendered for filing as part of its FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24 and First Revised Sheet No. 24A. These tariff sheets are part of Kansas-Nebraska's curtailment plan.

By Commission order issued January 26, 1977, in the instant docket, certain tariff sheets proposing changes to Section 13 of the General Terms and Conditions of Kansas-Nebraska's FPC Gas Tariff were accepted for filing but suspended until July 1, 1977, and made the subject of evidentiary hearing. Subsequently, hearings were held on the question whether Kansas-Nebraska's tariff filings should be acted upon under Section 4 or Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. On June 30, 1977, the Commission issued an order finding that Kansas-Nebraska had correctly filed its tariff sheets under Section 4. However, in response to certain applications for rehearing the Commission ruled, in its order issued August 31, 1977, that the last sentence of Section 13b(2) of Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24, which would prohibit the use of natural gas in replacement electrical units, should appropriately have been filed pursuant to Section 7 rather than Section 4 of the Act. Thus, the Commission rejected substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24 without prejudice to its being refiled upon depletion of the "objectionable language". Accordingly, Kansas-Nebraska has now filed Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24 with the "objectionable language" deleted. Kansas-Nebraska asks that its substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24 be accepted for filing effective July 1, 1977.

First Revised Sheet No. 24A is not a compliance filing but rather reflects revisions to Kansas-Nebraska's tariff filing which became effective on July 1, 1977. The revisions on this tariff sheet include changing the language from "more than 50 MCF" to "50 MCF or more" in Step 7 and adding a clause to Section 13.b(iv) clarifying when notice is to be given of a force majeure situation. Kansas-Nebraska requests that the First Revised Sheet No. 24A be suspended for one day and permitted to become effective pursuant to the conditions set forth in the Commission's order of June 30, 1977 in the instant docket.

After due notice of the subject tariff filings by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 20, 1977 (42 FR 55918), no petitions to intervene, notices of intervention, or protests have been filed.

In accordance with the terms of the Commission's January 26, and June 30, 1977, orders Kansas-Nebraska's curtail-

ment tariff sheets became effective subject to refund on July 1, 1977. Since Kansas-Nebraska has cured the defect which gave rise to the rejection of one of its originally tendered tariff sheets, that cured sheet substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24, should now be accepted for filing effective July 1, 1977, subject to the same terms and conditions imposed by the aforesaid January 26, and June 30, 1977, orders.

The clarifying tariff First Revised Sheet No. 24A was not part of Kansas-Nebraska's original tender and so shall not be given a retroactive effective date. In order that First Revised Sheet No. 24A be treated as consistently as possible with the rest of Kansas-Nebraska's curtailment tariff, it shall be accepted for filing but suspended for one day and consolidated with the rest of Kansas-Nebraska's curtailment tariff as the subject of hearing in the on-going proceeding in Docket No. RP 76–90.

The Commission finds: (1) Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc., is a "Natural gas company" within the meaning of the Natural Gas Act as heretofore found by the Commission.

(2) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24 filed in compliance with the Commission's order issued August 31, 1977, be accepted for filing effective July 1, 1977, consistent with the terms and conditions of the Commission's January 26 and June 30, 1977 orders.

(3) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that First Revised Sheet No. 24A be accepted for filing but suspended for one day and consolidated with the rest of Kansas-Nebraska's curtailment tariff for hearing in the on-going proceeding in Docket No. RP76–90.

The Commission orders: (A) Kansas-Nebraska's Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24 is accepted for filing effective July 1, 1977, consistent with the terms and conditions of the Commission's January 26 and June 30, 1977 orders, as hereinbefore discussed.

(B) Kansas-Nebraska's First Revised Sheet No. 24A is accepted for filing but suspended until October 27, 1977, and consolidated with the rest of Kansas-Nebraska's curtailment tariff for hearing in the on-going proceeding in Docket No. RP 76–90, as hereinbefore discussed.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31975 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6740–02]

[Docket No. ER77–48]

MAINE PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Electric Rates; Order Approving Settlement Agreement

Issued October 27, 1977.

Before Commissioners: Charles B. Curtis, Acting Chairman; Don S. Smith and Georgiana Sheldon, Acting Commissioners.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95–91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977), and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR —, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On June 13, 1977, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding certified to the Commission the proposed Settlement Agreement entered into by Maine Public Service Co. and its three wholesale customers, the Van Buren Light and Power District, Eastern Maine Electric Corp. and Houlton Water Co. The Commission finds that the Settlement Agreement is in the public interest and accepts and approves it as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

Proceedings in this docket were initiated on November 9, 1976, when the Maine Public Service Co. filed its Rate Schedule which would yield additional revenues from jurisdictional sales of $341,408 based on the twelve month period ending September 30, 1976. By order of December 9, 1976, the Commission accepted the proposed rates for filing, suspended them from operation for one day to become effective December 11, 1976, subject to refund and permitted Van Buren Light and Power District to intervene in this proceeding. Thereafter, by order issued on March 17, 1977, the Commission permitted both Eastern Maine Electric Corp. and Houlton Water Co. to intervene late in this proceeding.

Settlement discussions were held by the parties, including the Staff and a settlement was reached. Subsequently, on June 9, 1977, the Maine Public Service Co. and its three wholsalee customers submitted a proposed Settlement Agreement accompanied by a joint motion to approve the Agreement and to certify the record to us. The Presiding Administrative Law Judge then certified the proposed Agreement and record. Public notice of the filing of proposed Settlement Agreement was issued on June 28, 1977, with comments due on or before August 1, 1977. On July 12, 1977, the Staff filed comments in support of the proposed Settlement Agreement. No other responses were received.

In particular, the Settlement Agreement provides that: (1) Maine Public Service Co.'s rates shall be those proposed in the rate filing of November 9, 1976, (2) Maine Public Service Co. agrees that it will not file for an additional increase in rates before April 25, 1978, unless changes in national energy policy become effective which substantially and unavoidably increase the cost of rendering service above that reflected in the Company's filing.

The proposed Settlement Agreement would yield additional revenues from jurisdictional sales of $341,408 based on the twelve month period ending September 30, 1976. Staff's analysis indicates that the settlement rate will not produce revenues in excess of Staff's recommended rate of return or 9.15%, including 12.50% return on common equity.

Upon review of the entire record in this proceeding, the Commission finds that the proposed Settlement Agreement represents a reasonable resolution of all of the issues in this proceeding. Accordingly, the proposed Settlement Agreement shall be incorporated herein by reference and shall be approved and adopted.

The Commission finds: The Settlement Agreement certified to the Commission in this docket on June 13, 1977, should be approved and made effective as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders: (A) The Settlement Agreement certified to the Commission on June 13, 1977, in this proceeding is hereby accepted, incorporated herein by reference and approved subject to the following conditions.

(B) The Settlement Agreement is hereby approved.

(C) This order is without prejudice to any finding or order which may have been made or which will hereafter be made by the Commission, in Docket No. E–8264 or any other pending or future pending, and is without prejudice to any claims or contentions which may be made by the Commission, the Staff, or any party or person affected by this order in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against Maine Public Service Company or any person or party.

(D) The Secretary Shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31986 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6740–02]

[Docket No. ER78–26]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF INDIANA, INC.

Tariff Change

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that Public Service Co. of Indiana, Inc. (PSC) on October 20, 1977, tendered for filing a Service Agreement between PSC and the City of Washington, Ind. proposed to become effective November 1, 1977.

PSC indicates that the Service Agreement provides for PSC to supply the City of Washington, Ind. with their entire requirements of electric capacity and associated energy. PSC further indicates that the Service Agreement also cancels and replaces the Interconnection Agreement dated October 13, 1969, which has been designated as Rate Schedule FPC No. 215.

According to PSC copies of the filing were served upon the City of Washington, Ind. and the Public Service Commission of Indiana.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions should be filed on or before November 7, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of the filing are available for public inspection at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31987 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6740–02]

[Docket No. ER77–523]

PUGET SOUND POWER & LIGHT CO.

Electric Rates; Order Establishing Procedures

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Before Commissioners: Charles B. Curtis, Acting Chairman; Don S. Smith and Georgiana Sheldon, Acting Commissioners.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95–91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ——, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On August 1, 1977, the Federal Power Commission issued an order in this docket accepting for filing and suspending until March 1, 1978, subject to refund, the rates and charges tendered by Puget Sound Power and Light Co. (Puget Sound) on July 22, 1977.[1] By order issued on September 26, 1977, the suspension period was shortened to one month to become effective, subject to refund on November 1, 1977. The August 1 suspension order directed that a public hearing be held at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order.

Accordingly, procedures for the public hearing are established as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission finds: Procedures for the public hearing in the docket herein should be established.

The Commission orders: (A) The Staff shall prepare and serve top sheets on all parties for settlement purposes on or before January 30, 1978 (see Administrative Order 157).

(B) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (see Delegation of Final Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)) shall preside at an initial hearing to be held within ten days of service of Staff top sheets in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Said Law Judge is authorized to establish all procedural dates and to rule upon all motions (except petitions to intervene, motions to consolidate and sever and motions to dismiss) as provided for in the Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(C) Nothing contained herein shall be construed as limiting the rights of parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of settlement pursuant to Section 1.18 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31988 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[1] The proposed increases apply to jurisdictional sales to eleven of Puget Sound's customers: The City of Des Moines, the City of Dupont, the City of Ellenburg, the City of Oak Harbor, Kittitas County P.U.D. No. 1 Port of Bremerton, Port of Brownsville, Port of Kingston, Port of Paulsbo, Port of Seattle, and Port of Skakit County.

[6740–02]

[Docket No. CP76–184]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Petition To Amend

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95–91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary of Energy and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provided that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of this proceeding were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR——, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (Petitioner), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. CP76–184 a petition to amend the order of February 6, 1976 (55 FPC——) issued by the Federal Power Commission (FPC) in the instant docket pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 2.79 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.79) so as to provide for the transportation of gas for Corning Glass Works (Corning) from certain wells of Weiser-Brown Oil Co., et al. (Weiser-Brown), all as more fully

set forth in the petition to amend on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is indicated that pursuant to the FPC order of February 6, 1976, Petitioner was authorized to transport on an interruptible basis, up to 500 Mcf of gas per day for Corning for use in Corning's plant at Danville, Va. (Danville). It is stated that Petitioner transports such gas to Danville, one of Petitioner's resale customers served under Rate Schedule CD–2, pursuant to Rate Schedule X–86 of Petitioner's FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2. Petitioner indicates that the gas in purchased by Corning from Glenda Petroleum Corp. (Glenda) in the Monroe Field, Quachita, Union, and Morehouse Parishes, La. and delivered to United Gas Pipe Line Co. (United) in Union Parish for transportation and delivery to Petitioner for Corning's account, at mutually agreeable existing authorized exchange points between the two systems.

The application states that declining deliverability of the Glenda production has forced Corning to acquire a supplemental gas supply of up to 400 Mcf per day from certain wells of Weiser-Brown in Winn Parish, La. It is indicated that Corning will pay $1.53 per million Btu's for said gas. Petitioner states that like the Glenda production, the Weiser-Brown gas would be delivered to United for transportation and delivery to Petitioner, for Corning's account, at authorized exchange points.

Petitioner also proposes to increase the maximum transportation volume from 500 Mcf per day to 655 Mcf per day. No additional facilities are required by Petitioner to transport the Weiser-Brown gas, it is said.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before November 9, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31989 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6740–02]

[Docket No. CP78–25]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 17, 1977, United Gas Pipe Line Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. CP78–25 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction of an additional delivery point to Louisiana Gas Service Company (Louisiana) at Belle Chasse, Louisiana, and the consolidation of all of Louisiana's requirements in the New Orleans Area into one service agreement under one rate schedule, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is indicated that pursuant to a service agreement dated May 2, 1977, Applicant is delivering gas to Louisiana for resale through Louisiana's various distribution systems serving assorted communities in south Louisiana and the nearby Noble Manor Subdivision.

Applicant states that Louisiana has been experiencing pressure problems on the northern end of its Belle Chasse distribution system, and that Louisiana proposes to alleviate such pressure problems by interconnecting its Noble Manor subdivision system with its Belle Chasse system. Applicant further states that Louisiana has requested that Applicant seek Commission approval to provide Louisiana with an additional delivery point for its Belle Chasse system and shift a portion of the Belle Chasse allocation to such new point. It is stated that pursuant to a letter agreement dated July 14, 1977, between Applicant and Louisiana, Applicant would established such new delivery point at approximately mile post 14.17 on Applicant's Barataria-New Orleans 12-inch line in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana, and that Louisiana would reimburse Applicant for the cost thereof. The new delivery point would be known as Belle Chasse Town Border Station No. 2, and the total cost of such facilities is estimated to be $16,000, it is said.

The application states that operation of the proposed metering and regulating facility when coupled with interconnection of the Belle Chasse and Noble Manor Subdivision systems would increase pressures on the Belle Chasse system, thus porducing the desired effect of alleviating the subject low pressure problems. It is indicated that prior to the beginning of service through the new facilities, Applicant and Louisiana would execute a new service agreement setting forth the new conditions of service by Applicant with a Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ) of up to 1,600 Mcf of gas assigned to such Belle Chasse Town Border Station No. 2, with equivalent volumes deducted from other points as mutually agreeable. No increased deliveries by Applicant would be made to Louisiana as a result of this application, it is said.

Applicant also proposes to consolidate all of Louisiana's requirements in the New Orleans Billing Area into one Service Agreement under the DG–S Rate Schedule. Applicant indicates that the proposed single billing area includes four service areas, namely, Oakville, Delacroix, Pointe a la Hache (including Devant) and Webber City-Black Bayou, presently billed under Applicant's Rate Schedule G–S, which would be combined with various other service areas already billed under Applicant's DG–S Rate Schedule.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 11, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31990 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6740–02]

[Docket No. ER78–24]

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC & POWER CO.

Contract Supplement

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO) tendered for filing two Contract Supplements dated September 23, 1977, to the Agreement designated as VEPCO's Rate Schedule FERC Nos. 83–37 and 83–38 between VEPCO and Prince William Electric Cooperative.

The Supplements request Commission authorization for connection of the new delivery points (Country Club and Lindendale), located in Prince William County, Va.

VEPCO requests an effective date for each delivery point as that of the date

of connection of facilities which is expected to occur sometime in November 1977.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 7, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervent in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31991 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ER78-22]

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC & POWER CO.

Tendered Revised Contract Supplement

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO) tendered for filing a revised supplement to the contract between VEPCO and Prince William Electric Cooperative. VEPCO states that the revised contract supplement corrects certain items to reflect changes made in the past at Broad Run Delivery Point as set forth below:

	Proposed FERC No.	Item corrected
Present FERC No.: 83-17	83-35	3, 5(2), 8, 10, 11

VEPCO states that the revised contract supplement is intended to supersede the listed FERC Rate Schedule and requests that the revised supplement be allowed to become effective on June 28, 1977, the requested effective date.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 7, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with hte Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31992 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ER78-23]

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC & POWER CO.

Tendered Revised Contract Supplement

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice on October 18, 1977, Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO) tendered for filing a revised supplement to the contract between VEPCO and Prince William Electric Cooperative. VEPCO states that the revised contract supplement reflects changes due to increased transformer capacity at Moore Delivery Point as set forth below:

	Proposed FERC No.	Item corrected
Present FERC No.: 83-22	83-36	4, 5(1), 5(3)

VEPCO states that the revised contract supplement is intended to supersede the listed FERC Rate Schedule and requests that the revised supplement be allowed to become effective on the date the installation of the transformer facilities is completed.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 7, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31993 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Project No. 1759, etc.]

WISCONSIN MICHIGAN POWER CO. AND WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER CO.

Extension of Time

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

On October 12, 1977, Wisconsin Michigan Power Company and Wisconsin Electric Power Company filed a motion to extend the filing dates specified in Ordering Paragraphs (B) and (C) of the Commission's Order Approving Transfer of Licenses, issued September 21, 1977, in the above referenced proceeding (Project Nos. 1759, 1980, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2131, 2357, 2394, 2431, 2471, 2486, 2523, 2550).

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that an extension of time is granted to and including February 1, 1978, within which Wisconsin Electric Power Company shall file with the Commission a certified copy of the instrument of conveyance, together with evidence of the recording thereof, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (B). An extension of time is granted to and including February 1, 1978, within which Wisconsin Electric Power Company shall acknowledge acceptance of the September 21 Order, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (C).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31994 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP77-501]

ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS CO.

Notice of Petition To Amend

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary of Energy and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provided that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of this proceeding were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ——, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977,[1] Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (Petitioner), P.O. Box 2173, Shreveport, La. 71151, filed in Docket No. CP77-501 a petition to amend an order of September 19, 1977 (57 FPC ——) issued by the Federal Power Commission (FPC) in the instant docket pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.7(b) of the Regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(b)) so as to permit an increase in the total expenditure authorized for the construction of budget-type facilities by $3,000,000, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner requests an order amending the FPC order of September 19, 1977 to increase the total cost of the authorized facilities by $3,000,000 from $7,000,000 to $10,000,000 with no single project to exceed a cost of $2,000,000 (an increase from $1.5 million). Petitioner states that the previously authorized single project limitation of $1.5 million is too low to build even a nominal field gathering system at today's construction costs and needs to be increased. Petitioner further states that the continuing rise in costs of materials, labor and all aspects of the construction and operation of gathering facilities is such that the maximums approved by the FPC order of September 19, 1977 order are not high enough.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before November 18, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32022 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-28]

CITIES SERVICE GAS CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Cities Service Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 25128, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125, filed in Docket No. CP78-28 an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of facilities and for permission and approval to abandon in place and to reclaim certain facilities at its Welda Compressor Station in Anderson County, Kansas, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes the following:

Item 1: Abandon by reclaim three 450 horsepower compressor units and appurtenant facilities, two 485 horsepower compressor units and appurtenant facilities and abandon in place minor appurtenant facilities at Welda Compressor Station in Anderson County, Kans.

Item 2: Install during calendar year 1978 and operate two 4,250 horsepower compressor units and appurtenant facilities at Welda Compressor Station in Anderson County, Kans.

Item 3: Install during calendar year 1979 and operate two 4,250 horsepower compressor units and appurtenant facilities at Welda Compressor Station in Anderson County, Kans.

Applicant states that the three 450 horsepower units proposed to be abandon were purchased in 1939 and installed at this location in 1940, and that the two 485 horsepower units proposed to be abandon were purchased new in 1916 and installed at this location in 1945. Applicant further states that these compressor units are in a deteriorated condition, and would now provide adequate service under existing operating conditions.

It is stated that withdrawals from the North Welda, South Welda and Colony Storage Fields feed into a common intake at the Welda Compressor Station where the gas is compressed and discharged into Applicant's Welda-Ottawa system, and that the proposed additional horsepower at Welda Compressor Station as described in Item 2 would replace the obsolete compressor facilities as described in Item 1 and would provide additional horsepower to allow an increase in the total peak day deliverability of the three storage fields for the 1978-79 peak day to 325,000 Mcf per day with the same peak day wellhead shut-in pressure and storage inventory. It is further stated that the field deliverability would also be improved by well rework in all three storage fields. Applicant states that the proposed additional horsepower at Welda Compressor Station as described in Item 3 would further increase the total peak day deliverability of the three storage fields for the 1979-80 peak day to 375,000 Mcf per day with the same peak day wellhead shut-in pressure and storage inventory.

Applicant states that the abandonment of facilities would not result in the abandonment of any service. Applicant further states that the total estimated construction cost of the proposed facilities is $4,400,000, which would be paid from treasury cash. The total reclaim cost for the proposed abandonment is $15,000, and the estimated salvage value is $15,000, it is said.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 21, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-32026 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-30]

COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 19, 1977, Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. (Applicant), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue SE., Charleston, West Virginia 25314, filed in Docket No. CP78-30 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 2.79 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.79) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of up to 60 Mcf of natural gas per day for 2 years for The Harshaw Chemical Co. (Har-

[1] The application was initially tendered for filing on October 18, 1977; however, the fee required by Section 159.1 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 159.1) was not paid until October 19, 1977; thus filing was not completed until the latter date.

shaw) for use at its Louisville, Ky. facility, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests authorization to transport gas for Harshaw, which gas would be received by Applicant into its Line 0 in Guernsey County, Ohio at a specific point to be mutually agreed upon and delivered to Texas Gas Transmission Corp. (Texas Gas) by a reduction in Applicant's scheduled receipts from Texas Gas at an existing point of delivery at Clear Warren County, Ohio. It is stated that Texas Gas would in turn deliver the gas to Louisville Gas and Electric Co. (LG&E) for ultimate delivery to Harshaw's Louisville, Ky. facility. The application states that Harshaw was advised by LG&E that it anticipated a 50 percent curtailment for the period of November 1977 through October 1978 based on a normal winter assuming natural gas load and supply remained as projected by LG&E. Applicant states that it would transport the natural gas as requested herein up to the level of Harshaw's curtailment of Priority 2 or 3 requirements in order to prevent any economic impact in the conduct of Harshaw's operation in Louisville, Ky.

It is indicated that Harshaw's Louisville facility manufactures organic and inorganic pigments and inorganic dyes, and that the steam boilers at the Louisville facility are now fired with Number 6 fuel oil and process gas has been augmented with other fuels wherever possible but about 80 percent of the process gas used at the Louisville facility cannot be replaced by a non-gaseous fuel because of contamination problems which adversely affect the pigment and dyeing propertiees of the products produced therein.

The application states that the gas to be transported hereunder would be purchased by Harshaw from O'Neal Productions Inc. (O'Neal) from wells located in Guernsey County, Ohio, and that Harshaw would pay O'Neal $2.25 per Mcf with the provision that price increases would take place every three months. The application further states that it would be necessary for O'Neal to construct approximately 3,300 feet of 2-inch pipeline at an estimated cost of $6,675, which amount would be reimbused by Harshaw. It is indicated that the gas proposed to be transported hereunder is not available to the interstate market, and that the gas is subject to diversion to Applicant's system in emergency periods when, in Applicant's sole judgment, such gas is required for the protection of Priority 1 requirements on its system.

Applicant states that it would retain for company-use and unaccounted-for gas a percentage of the total volumes received for the account of Harshaw, which percentage is currently 4.0 percent. Applicant further states that it would charge Harshaw for the proposed transportation its average system-wide unit storage and transmission costs exclusive of company-use and unaccounted-for gas, which rate is currently 20.56 cents per Mcf.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 11, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32027 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP78-2]

COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Request for Waiver

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 7, 1977, Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. (Columbia) filed a petition requesting waiver of Section 154.38(d)(4) of the Commission's Regulations to enable Columbia to include the cost of all liquefied natural gas to be purchased from its affiliate, Columbia LNG Corp., in its PGA provisions. Columbia states that it will file a cost of service study consistent with Section 154.38(d)(4)(i) of the Regulations, the first time it includes LNG cost in a PGA filing. The cost of service study, according to Columbia, will follow the methodology established in its last rate proceeding, and will be based on the twelve-month period ending not more than ninety days prior to the effective date of the PGA increase to include the LNG costs, with the rate base and costs annualized as of the end of the twelve months. Columbia requests also that its request be given expedited treatment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such peittions or protests should be filed on or before November 8, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32028 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP76-94]

COLUMBIA GULF TRANSMISSION CO. AND COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Certification of Proposed Stipulation and Agreement

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 21, 1977, the Presiding Judge certified to the Commission a proposed stipulation and agreement in the captioned dockets. This stipulation and Agreement would resolve all issues pending in these dockets (Docket Nos. RP76-94, RP76-95, RP76-138 and RP75-106 (RP76-1)).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said settlement agreement should file comments with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. on or before December 2, 1977. Comments will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken. Copies of this agreement are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32029 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ES78-3]

DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 17, 1977, Delmarva Power & Light Co. (Applicant), 800 King Street, Wilmington, Del. 19899, filed an application with the Federal

Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authority to issue short-term unsecured Promissory Notes including commercial paper notes.

Applicant is a Delaware corporation principally engaged in the generation, transmission and distribution of electrical energy throughout the Delmarva Peninsula in an area consisting of about 5,700 square miles with a population of approximately 396,000 in northern Delaware, including the city of Wilmington. In addition to its regular electric and gas operations, the Company owns and operates a plant adjacent to the oil refinery of Getty Marketing and Refining Co. about 15 miles south of Wilmington. This plans supplies electricity and steam to the refinery.

The unsecured Promissory Notes are to be issued from time to time, prior to December 31, 1978, to banks and to a commercial paper dealer. Notes in the form of commercial paper will mature in no more than 270 days from the date of issue, and all other notes will have maturities of less than one year from the date of issue. The aggregate face amount of such notes to be outstanding at any one time is not to exceed (i) 25 percent of Applicant's revenues during the last preceding 12 months of operations, or (ii) $83 million, whichever is less.

The proceeds from the issuance of the Notes will be used primarily to supply temporary funds for unexpected cash requirements and to provide interim financing for the Applicant's construction program, which will require approximately 286,500,000 over the 1978–1979 period.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before November 11, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to be the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32023 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CI78-46]

HBOP, LTD.

Notice of Limited-Term Application

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, HBOP, Ltd., an Oklahoma limited partnership, (Applicant), 550 United Founders Life Tower, 5900 Mosteller Drive, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112, filed in Docket No. CI78-46 an application for a limited-term certificate of public convenience and necessity with pregranted abandonment authorizing it to engage in the sale of gas.

On October 6, 1977, Applicant commenced a sixty-day emergency sale of gas from its Mathers 1-27 well to Northern Natural Gas Co. from Applicant's Mathers 1-27 well located in Roger Mills County, Okla. Pursuant to Section 157.29 (c), by this limited-term certificate application, Applicant proposes to sell such gas until December 3, 1978.

Applicant seeks limited-term certificate authority for the sale of gas to Northern in accordance with a Gas Purchase Contract between the parties dated October 6, 1977, but effective for the limited period commencing December 4, 1977, and ending December 3, 1978. Such contract provides for an initial base rate of $2.00 per Mcf and is subject to adjustment for Btu content.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before November 18, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32030 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. ES78-4]

IOWA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 17, 1977, the Iowa Public Service Co. (Applicant) filed an Application pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act and the applicable Regulations thereunder for authorization to engage in negotiations with underwriters in connection with the proposed issuance and sale of $15 million of Class A Preferred Stock via a private placement.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Iowa, with its principal business office at Sioux City, Iowa, and is engaged in the electric utility busines in Northwestern, North Central and East Central Iowa and a few small communities in South Dakota.

The Applicant maintains that the proposed Preferred Stock issue is not large in relation to other Preferred Stock offerings that have been sold recently and that inquiring investment bankers have indicated that a lower cost of money could be achieved by placing the new Prefered Stock privately. The Applicant states that it owes its customers and stockholders the duty to investigate this inquiry further.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the Application should on or before November 4, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20406, petitions or protests in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). The Application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32024 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-29]

KANSAS-NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), P.O. Box 608, Hastings, Nebr. 68901, filed in Docket No. CP78-29 an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.7(b), 157.7(e) and 157.7(g) of the Regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(b), 157(e), and 157.7(g)) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction, during the calendar year 1978, and operation of facilities to enable Applicant to take into its certificated main pipeline system natural gas which would be purchased from producers or other similar sellers thereof; authorizing the construction and for permission and approval to abandon for the same period and operation of new or additional field compression and related metering and appurtenant facilities; and for permission and approval to abandon during the same period of time, direct sales service and facilities no longer required for deliveries of natural gas to Applicant's customers, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The stated purpose of this budget-type application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in the construction of gas-purchase facilities to enable Applicant to connect its system with the facilities of an independent producer or other similar sellers, authorized by the Commission to make a sale of gas to Applicant for resale in interstate commerce, or the system of another natural gas company authorized to transport gas for the account of, or for the exchange of gas with Applicant; to augment Applicant's ability to act

with reasonable dispatch in the construction, relocation, and operation and abandonment of facilities which would not result in changing Applicant's system saleable capacity or service from that authorized prior to the filing of the instant application; and to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in abandoning service and removing direct sale measuring, regulating, and related facilities. Applicant states that it would abandon service and facilities only when deliveries to any one direct sale customer would not exceed 100,000 Mcf of natural gas during the last year of service.

Applicant states that the total cost of the proposed gas-purchase facilities would not exceed $4,800,000, with no single project to exceed $1,200,000, and that the total cost of its proposed construction, relocation, removal or abandonment of field compression facilities would not exceed $2,000,000, with no single project to exceed $500,000. Applicant states that the cost of the new facilities would be met out of current working capital or would be obtained by interim bank loans which at a later date may be funded by a security issue.

The application states that Applicant would not abandon any service unless it would have received a written request or written permission from the customer to terminate service. In the event such request or permission could not be obtained, a statement certifying that the customer has no further need for service would be filed with the Commission, it is said.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 21, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonments are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32031 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP77-267]

MID LOUISIANA GAS CO. AND TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Order Amending Order Granting Rehearing, Providing for Formal Hearing, and Establishing Procedures

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not be enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR —, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On August 15, 1977, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (Transco) filed pursuant to Section 19(a) of the Natural Gas Act an application for rehearing of the FPC's order issued July 14, 1977, in Docket No. CP77-267 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. On September 14, 1977, the FPC issued an order granting a rehearing for the limited purpose of further consideration, and on September 30, 1977, the Commission issued an order Granting Rehearing, Providing For Formal Hearing, and Establishing Procedures.

In said order of September 30, 1977, the Commission found that Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act entitles Transco to a hearing on its application for a certificate. However, since the FPC has, by order of July 14, 1977, authorized Mid Louisiana to abandon the Hester Storage Field, its connecting line and the storage service to Transco, and authorized Transco to acquire and operate such facilities. A mistake was made in the ordering clauses of said order in that it set for hearing that which had been authorized by the order of July 14, 1977. Therefore, we shall amend the order of September 30, 1977, to provide that the hearing be limited to the accounting and rate conditions contained in Ordering Paragraph (F) and (G) of the July 14, 1977, order.

The Commission finds: Good cause exists to amend the order of September 30, 1977, granting rehearing to provide for a hearing limited as hereinafter provided and establish the procedures for such hearing all as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders: (A) The order issued herein on September 30, 1977, is amended as herein provided.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 7 and 15 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held commencing November 21, 1977, at 10:00 a.m. (EST) in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the propriety of the accounting and rate conditions as herein above provided.

(C) The hearing shall be limited to the following issues:

(1) Transco' [sic] proposed method of accounting for the purchase is approved except that the acquisition adjustment shall be amortized by charges to Account 425, Miscellaneous Amortization rather than to Account 406, Amortization of Plant Acquisition Adjustments.

(2) Transco's transportation and storage charges to Mid Louisiana shall be subject to elimination of all amounts relating to the acquisition adjustment and shall also be subject to the rate of return as determined proper in Transco's rate proceeding in Docket No. RP76-136.

(D) On or before October 25, 1977, Transco, Mid Louisiana, and any other party shall file with the Secretary of this Commission and serve upon all parties to this proceeding, its testimony and exhibits comprising its case-in-chief in support of its petition.

(E) An Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See, Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR § 3.5 (d)) shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding with authority to establish and change all procedural dates and to rule on all motions (with sole exception of petitions to intervene, motions to consolidate and sever, and motions to dis-

miss, as provided for in the Rules of Practice and Procedure).

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32032 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. OR78-5 (Formerly ICC Docket No. NOR 35794, et al.]

NORTHVILLE DOCK PIPE LINE CORP. AND CONSOLIDATED PETROLEUM TERMINAL, INC., ET AL.

Notice of Extension of Time

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

On October 25, 1977, Northville Dock Pipe Line Corporation, Consolidated Petroleum Terminal, Inc., and Total Resources, Inc., filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) a motion to extend the time to file an administrative appeal from two orders issued by the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) on September 30, 1977. Pursuant to the ICC Rules of Practice, 49 CFR 1100.97(d), (e), respondents may petition for administrative review of orders within 30 days of the date of service of the orders, and any such petition automatically stays the effective date of the orders until 30 days following the service of the decision on appeal.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to Section 402(a)(2)(b) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977), the regulatory function and authority of the ICC for establishment of rates or charges for transportation of oil by pipeline and for establishment of the valuation of any such pipeline were transferred to the FERC, an independent commission within the Department of Energy which also assumed the functions and regulatory responsibilities of the Federal Power Commission. The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary of Energy and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Preceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ____, provided that this proceeding would be transferred from the ICC to the FERC. Additionally, the Savings Provisions in Section 705(a) of the DOE Act provide, among other things, that rules and regulations relating to functions transferred to the FERC shall continue in effect until modified by the FERC. The FERC Secretary takes action on the instant motion in accordance with the above mentioned authorities and pursuant to Order No. 1 issued by the FERC on October 6, 1977, and published October 17, 1977 (42 FR 55450) which continues the delegations of authority contained in 18 CFR 3.5 with the officials succeeding Federal Power Commission officials in the FERC.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that an extension of time is granted to and including December 29, 1977, within which to file an administrative appeal from the orders issued in the captioned proceeding by the ICC on September 30, 1977.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32033 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-23]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Notice of Pipeline Application

OCTOBER 27, 1977.

Take notice that on October 14, 1977, United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77001 filed an application in Docket No. CP78-23, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, requesting authorization to exchange gas with Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission).

United states that pursuant to an exchange agreement dated August 16, 1977 between United and Natural, Natural will cause Sea Robin to deliver gas purchased by Natural in the Eugene Island Area, Blocks 333 and 305 and the South Marsh Island Area, Blocks 142 and 143 to United for Natural's account at a point near Erath, Louisiana. United will redeliver equivalent volumes to Natural at an existing point in Vermilion Parish, Louisiana and, when required to balance, at an existing alternative delivery point in Cameron Parish, Louisiana.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application, on or before November 14, 1977, should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32025 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP77-140]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase Rejecting Alternate Tariff Sheet, Initiating Hearing, Establishing Procedures, and Requiring Filing of a Substitute Tariff Sheet

OCTOBER 31, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ———, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On September 29, 1977, Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. (Consolidated) tendered for filing a Third Revised Volume No. 1 to its Gas Tariff. The revised tariff volume contains proposed rates that will increase annual revenues from jurisdictional sales and services by $79.5 million annually above the revenues generated by the present rates which are the subject of Docket No. RP77-7. Consolidated requests that the proposed

rates, which are based on costs for the twelve months ended June 30, 1977, adjusted for known changes for a nine-month period ending March 31, 1978, be made effective on November 1, 1977. For the reasons set forth in this order, the Commission shall conditionally accept the proposed revised tariff volume for filing, suspend it until April 1, 1978, when it will become effective subject to refund, and set the matter for hearing.

Consolidated states that the increased rates are required to recoup, among other things, increased cost of transportation of gas by others, increased depreciation rates, increased rate base, and increased operating expenses. The proposed rates include an overall rate of return of 11.25 percent which is based on a return of 14.72 percent on common equity. Consolidated also proposes to change from a volumetric (Mcf) to an energy basis, expressed in dekatherms (dth), for billing and operating purposes. The rates reflect costs of liquefied natural gas (LNG) not previously reflected in Consolidated's rates, full cost accounting for unsuccessful wells for the period January 1, 1973, through April 30, 1977, and the normalization of intangible drilling costs incurred during the period January 1, 1973, through October 31, 1975, on leases acquired prior to October 8, 1969. Consolidated proposes to change the depreciation rate for certain Appalachian-West Virginia and Virginia production facilities from the present rate of 2.41 percent to a unit of production rate.

Notice of the filing was issued on October 7, 1977, with comments, protests, or petitions to intervene due on or before October 21, 1977. All petitions to intervene shall be considered by the Commission in a separate order.

Consolidated states that the rates reflected in the revised tariff volume are based on the principles set forth by the Commission in Opinion No. 819[1] which involves Consolidated's rate increase application in Docket Nos. RP73-107 and RP74-90. These principles set forth in Opinion No. 819 are based on the *United* methodology.[2] However, because several applications for rehearing of Opinion No. 819 have been filed, Consolidated filed Alternate Original Sheet No. 16 which reflects an equal weighting of the Opinion No. 819 methodology and the historical methodology[3] employed on Consolidated's system.

Because Alternate Original Sheet No. 16 does not reflect the Opinion No. 819 methodology, that tariff sheet should be rejected. Absent a sufficient showing to the contrary, tariff sheets filed for Commission approval should be consistent with applicable Commission precedent, including in this case, Opinion No. 819. Therefore, Original Sheet No. 16, which is based on the Opinion No. 819 methodology, will be accepted for filing. Our action herein should not, however, be construed as indicating our views on the merits of the matters raised by the application for rehearing of Opinion No. 819. Accordingly, our rejection is without prejudice to the filing of a revised tariff sheet by Consolidated to reflect any changes in Opinion No. 819 as a result of the application for rehearing.

Consolidated's filing also utilizes a three part rate design for its RQ (requirements service) rate schedules. However, in Opinion No. 819 the Commission stated:

> Judge Grossman also allowed Consolidated to continue using its tripartite rate form which he assumed applied to each rate zone * * *. Consolidated testified that tripartite rates are necessary to recover its fixed costs in times of curtailment * * *. There is little record support for Consolidated's proposal. In keeping with our decision to follow the United formula, thereby shifting costs away from the demand component, the Commission hereby grants these exceptions to the Initial Decision. Consolidated's rate structure shall be limited to two parts only. This decision shall take effect prospectively, with refunds to be made on an "as billed" basis. Opinion No. 819, supra (mimeo at 9) (footnote omitted).

Consolidated shall be required to file a two part rate structure for the RQ rate schedules which will then be permitted to become effective, subject to refund, on April 1, 1978. That rate structure has been found to be appropriate for the RQ rate schedules in Opinion No. 819. Our action herein is without prejudice to Consolidated's showing that factual, legal, or policy grounds exist which require modification of the principles enunciated in Opinion No. 819 so as to justify the use of three part rates. Consolidated may also refile the three part rates should such a filing be appropriate as a result of changes in Opinion No. 819 upon rehearing.

The primary cost support for the proposed rate increase is the purchase of LNG from Consolidated System LNG, Inc., which is presently scheduled to commence about April 1, 1978.[4] Consolidated also claims that plant additions with an approximate in service date of March 31, 1978, will increase the rate base by about $40 million. If the plant additions are not in service by April 1, 1978, or the LNG is not on stream by that date, Consolidated will be required to file revised tariff sheets reflecting the elimination of facilities not in service or the deletion of LNG costs.

Commission review of the proposed rates, charges, classification, and services contained in the revised tariff volume indicates that they have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall accept the proposed filing subject to the terms of this order, suspend its use for five months or until April 1, 1977, when it will be permitted to become effective, subject to refund, in the manner provided by the Natural Gas Act, and set the matter for hearing.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in the Third Revised Volume No. 1 of Consolidated's Gas Tariff, that the same be accepted for filing and suspended as hereinafter ordered, and that Alternate Original Sheet No. 16 be rejected.

The Commission orders: (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4, 5, 8, and 15, and the Commission's rules and regulations, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in the Third Revised Volume No. 1 of Consolidated's Gas Tariff.

(B) Pending hearing and decision, the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in the Third Revised Volume No. 1 are accepted for filing and suspended for five months, or until April 1, 1978, when they will be permitted to become effective, subject to refund, upon motion filed by Consolidated in accordance with the provisions of the Natural Gas Act: *Provided*, That Consolidated shall file a substitute tariff sheet reflecting a two-part rate structure for the RQ rate schedules in accordance with Opinion No. 819.

(C) On or before April 1, 1978, Consolidated shall file a substitute tariff sheet and supplemental cost and revenue data in accordance with the Commission's rules and regulations, reflecting the elimination of all costs associated with facilities which will not be placed in service by April 1, 1978, or with the purchase of LNG if the LNG is not on stream by April 1, 1978.

(D) Alternate Original Sheet No. 16 of the Third Revised Volume No. 1 of Consolidated's Gas Tariff is hereby rejected.

(E) The Commission staff shall prepare and serve top sheets on all parties on or before March 1, 1978.

(F) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall convene a settlement conference in this proceeding to be held within 10 days after the service of top sheets by the staff, in a hearing or

---

[1] Opinion No. 819, Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. Docket Nos. RP73-107, et al., 58 F.P.C. ____ (August 12, 1977).

[2] Opinion No. 671, *United Gas Pipe Line Co.*, 50 F.P.C. 1349 (1973), rehearing denied, 51 F.P.C. 1014 (1974), aff'd sub nom., *Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.* v. *FPC*, 520 F. 2d 1176 (D.C. Cir. 1975).

[3] See Opinion No. 703, *Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.*, Docket No. RP71-77, 52 F.P.C. 454 (1974). The rates approved in Opinion No. 703 were not based on the *United* methodology.

[4] Consolidated claims to base its LNG costs on the August 5, 1977, filing of Consolidated System LNG Co. in Docket No. CP71-290. No action has been taken on this filing to date. At present the provisions of Opinions 622 and 622-A, as amended, govern the tariff of Consolidated System LNG Co. Opinion No. 622, *Columbia LNG Corporation, et al.*, Docket Nos. PC71-68, et al., 47 F.P.C. 1624 (1972), on rehearing, 48 F.P.C. 723 (1972); *Columbia LNG Corp., et al.*, Docket Nos. CP71-68, et al., 58 F.P.C. ____ (July 27, 1977).

conference room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. The Presiding Administrative Law Judge is authorized to establish procedural dates as may be necessary and to rule upon all motions (except motions to consolidate, sever, or dismiss), as provided for in the rules of practice and procedure.

(G) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32038 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket Nos. CP75-286, and CP76-106]

NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORP.

Notice of Petition To Amend

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 Fed. Reg. 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary of Energy and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provided that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that Orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of this proceeding were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR ____, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

Take notice that on October 18, 1977, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Petitioner), 315 East Second South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed in Docket Nos. CP75-286 and CP76-106 a petition to amend the orders of November 4, 1975 (54 FPC ____) and February 7, 1977 (57 FPC ____) issued by the Federal Power Commission (FPC) in the instant dockets respectively, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act so as to permit the vaporization of liquified natural gas (LNG) during the month of April and a reduction in the level of LNG service for the 1978-79 heating season, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that Petitioner has been authorized in Docket Nos. CP 74-46 and CP75-286 to render an LNG storage service of up to 211,544 Mcf on a daily basis and up to 1,200,000 Mcf on a seasonal basis for certain of tis existing customers. It is stated that Petitioner's storage service is provided pursuant to its presently effective Rate Schedule LS-1, and that pursuant to the terms of such rate schedule the vaporization period is defined as the five consecutive months beginning on November 1st of any year and extending through the next succeeding March 31st. Petitioner indicates that the 1976-77 heating season was the first heating season during which the LNG service was available to those of Petitioner's customers purchasing LS-1 service (LS Buyers), and that after the experience of operations during the 1976-77 heating season, the Washington Water Power Company (Water Power) has requested that the vaporization period be extended to permit vaporization during the month of April of each year, to the extent that each of the LS Buyers have LNG remaining in storage, to provide increased operating flexibility.

Petitioner indicates that the other LS Buyers have given no negative reactions to the proposal, and that the general consensus of the LS Buyers was that extending the vaporization period into April was desirable in that it would (i) provide additional protection to high priority consumers should a severe cold spell occur later in the heating season and (ii) promote full utilization of the LNG storage facilities.

Petitioner states that in order to provide the flexibility requested herein it proposes to revise its LS-1 Rate Schedule by adding a new section as follows:

VAPORIZATION DURING A LIQUEFACTION PERIOD

Upon request of Buyer, Seller may permit Buyer to nominate gas out of Buyers Storage Capacity Balance for vaporization and delivery to Buyer on any day during the month of April if such vaporization and delivery would not adversely affect Seller's operations.

Petitioner indicates that the additional provision set forth above has been incorporated in Petitioner's FPC Gas Rate Schedule LS-1 and is being filed concurrently with the Commission.

It is stated that on February 7, 1977, the FPC authorized Petitioner inter alia to construct and operate certain facilities to increase the vaporization capacity of its LNG facility in Benton County, Washington from a maximum daily capacity of 275,000 Mcf and 1,200,000 Mcf seasonally to a maximum daily capacity of 300,000 Mcf and 2,285,168 Mcf seasonally. It is further stated that the FPC also authorized Petitioner to increase the level of service it proposed to provide the participating LS Buyers commencing with the 1978-79 heating season as follows:

Customer	Peak day contract demand volume (1,000 ft³)	Seasonal quantity (1,000 ft³)
California-Pacific Utilities Co	18,373	164,976
Cascade Natural Gas Corp	57,416	537,990
Intermountain Gas Co	57,416	582,871
Northwest Natural Gas Co	57,512	458,278
Peoples Natural Gas, Division of Northern Natural Gas Co	2,392	21,053
Southwest Natural Gas Corp	22,967	196,000
Washington Natural Gas Co	53,589	168,708
Washington Water Power Co., The	21,053	146,713
City of Ellensburg, Wash	1,435	8,579
Total	292,153	2,285,168

Petitioner states that in its original application filed on September 29, 1975 in Docket No. CP76-106, Petitioner estimated that it would take no less than 2 years to construct the then proposed facilities and that the FPC in the order of February 7, 1977 recognized the extended construction period. Petitioner commenced construction of the expanded LNG facility on May 12, 1977 and estimates that the additional liquefaction facilities and the second storage tank would be ready for operation by April 1979, it is said. Petitioner states that as a result of the present estimated completion date it would not be able to provide the expanded LNG storage service, therefore, Petitioner requests that the Commission authorize the levels of service for the 1978-79 and 1970-80 heating seasons as set forth below:

Proposed allocation

	Firm contract demand		Seasonal quantity	
	1,000 ft³	Therms	1,000 ft³	Therms
	1978–79 heating season			
California-Pacific Utilities Co	9,040	94,470	55,550	572,502
Cascade Natural Gas Corp	54,004	564,340	438,488	4,519,248
Intermountain Gas Co	38,490	402,225	311,895	3,214,537
Northwest Natural Gas Co	33,043	345,300	93,014	958,713
Peoples Natural Gas Co	1,435	15,000	11,483	120,000
Southwest Gas Corp	11,483	120,000	29,187	434,000
Washington Natural Gas Co	42,996	449,300	103,445	1,081,000
Washington Water Power Co., The	17,225	180,000	156,938	1,640,000
Total	207,716	2,170,635	1,200,000	12,540,000
	1979–80 heating season and thereafter			
California-Pacific Utilities Co	18,373	192,000	164,976	1,724,000
Cascade Natural Gas Corp	57,416	600,000	537,990	5,622,000
City of Ellensburg, Wash	1,435	15,000	8,579	89,650
Intermountain Gas Co	68,900	720,000	737,340	7,705,200
Northwest Natural Gas Co	57,512	601,000	458,278	4,789,000
Peoples Natural Gas Co	2,392	25,000	21,053	220,000
Southwest Gas Corp	11,483	120,000	41,531	434,000
Washington Natural Gas Co	53,589	560,000	168,708	1,763,000
Washington Water Power Co., The	21,053	220,000	146,713	1,533,150
Total	292,153	3,053,000	2,285,168	23,880,000

The application states that the increased service proposed for 1979–1980 would actually become effective upon completion of the proposed liquefaction facilities and the availability of storage capacity.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before November 21, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32021 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP75-73 (AP77-3)]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Advance Payments Rate Adjustment, Initiating Hearing, and Establishing Procedures

OCTOBER 31, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR —, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

On September 30, 1977, Texas Eastern Transmission Corp. (Texas Eastern) filed a net one mill (0.10¢) per dekatherm (dth) decrease [1] to the commodity component of its resale rates to reflect a net reduction of $4,655,678 in its advance payments account balance as of August 31, 1977. Texas Eastern requests that the proposed rates be made effective on November 1, 1977. For the reasons set forth in this order, the Commission shall accept the proposed rates for filing, suspend them for one day when they will become effective subject to refund, and set the matter for hearing.

The proposed rates are filed pursuant to the advance payments tracking provisions contained in Article IV and V of the settlement agreement approved, as modified, by the Commission in Docket No. RP75-73. *Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation*, Docket No. RP75-73, 57 F.P.C. ____ (June 6, 1977),[2] Article IV gives Texas Eastern the right to track certain advance payments and specifies the rate at which advances will be recouped. A one day suspension period is provided for if the Commission, or any other party, believes such payments to be unreasonable. Article V provides for rate reductions in the event and to the extent advance payments are repaid or otherwise removed from Account No. 166. Rate changes under both articles require a 30 day notice period and are to be apportioned solely to the commodity component of Texas Eastern's resale rates computed to the nearest 0.01¢ per dth.

The $4,655,678 net decrease in advance payments reduces the advance payments account balance to $173,575,234 as of August 31, 1977. The net decrease reflects repayments of $5,305,678 under fourteen agreements and an additional advance of $650,000 to Texasgulf, Inc. on October 20, 1976. The resultant cost of service offset is a decrease of 0.11¢ per dth for repayments and an increase of 0.10¢ per dth for the addition advance.

Commission review of the filing indicates that the additional advance to Texasgulf, Inc. may not be "reasonable and appropriate" within the meaning of Commission Order No. 499 [3] in that the advance may not be reasonably related to Texasgulf's costs for drilling activities. Furthermore, advance payments associated with ten of the repayments [4] may not be reasonable and appropriate because the maximum five year repayment period required by Order Nos. 410 [5], 410A [6], and 441 [7] may have expired.

The proposed rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, the Commission shall accept the proposed rates for filing, suspend them until November 2, 1977, when they will be permitted to become effective subject to refund, and set the matter for hearing.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concening the lawfulness of the rates contained in Texas Eastern's tariff sheets filed on September 30, 1977, and that the same be accepted for filing and suspended as hereinafter ordered.

[1] Thirty-fourth Revised Sheet Nos. 14 and 14A through 14D to Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 of Texas Eastern's Gas Tariff.

[2] Texas Eastern accepted the settlement as approved and modified by the Commission on July 6, 1977. The Commission made its June 6, 1977, order final on August 1, 1977.

[3] 50 FPC 2111 (1973).

[4] Union Oil Co. of California, Exxon Co. U.S.A., Mobil Oil Corp., Texas Eastern Exploration Co., Chevron Oil Co., Amerida Hess Corp., Louisiana Land and Exploration Co., Marathon Oil Co., Aminoil U.S.A. Inc., and Exxon Co. U.S.A.

[5] 44 FPC 1142 (1970).

[6] 45 FPC 135 (1970).

[7] 46 FPC 1187 (1978).

The Commission orders: (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 4, 5, 8, and 15, and the Commission's rules and regulations, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the rates contained in the tariff sheets filed by Texas Eastern on September 30, 1977.

(B) Pending hearing and decision, the rates contained in the tariff sheets filed by Texas Eastern on September 30, 1977, are accepted for filing and suspended for one day, or until November 2, 1977, when they will be permitted to become effective subject to refund.

(C) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge pursuant to 18 CFR 3.5(d), shall convene a prehearing conference in this proceeding on December 1, 1977, at 10:00 A.M. in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, for the purpose of establishing procedures for the hearing to be held pursuant to this order. The Presiding Judge shall be authorized to establish further procedures as may in his judgment be required for purposes of the hearing pursuant to this order and to rule upon all motions, except motions to consolidate, sever, or dismiss, as provided for in the rules of practice and procedure.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32039 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. E-9578]

TEXAS POWER & LIGHT CO.

Order Granting Petition in Part, Instituting Investigation, Granting Intervention, and Establishing Procedures

OCTOBER 31, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402 (a)(1) and (2) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977 by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and the FERC," 10 CFR —, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above mentioned authorities.

Tex-La Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Tex-La), on December 22, 1976, filed a petition requesting the FPC to institute an investigation to determine whether Texas Power and Light Co. (TP&L) is subject to the jurisdiction of the FPC. Tex-La purchases power for resale from TP&L and Tex-La asserts that, under applicable law, if TP&L were engaged in interstate commerce, its purchases from TP&L would be subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

Tex-La, in its petition, states that TP&L receives power from Denison Dam, which may be located, according to Tex-La, in both Oklahoma and Texas. In its "Initial Comments",[1] filed on March 4, 1977, Tex-La states additionally, *inter alia*, that power received by TP&L is transmitted across state lines, is commingled with power that is generated in Oklahoma, or is commingled with power flowing to Oklahoma.

TP&L filed answers to both Tex-La's Petition (January 13, 1977) and Initial Comments (April 29, 1977).[2] The answer includes responses to Tex-La's arguments. Specifically, TP&L claims that Denison Dam's generating units are located solely in Texas and that no power generated and flowing to TP&L is commingled with energy flowing to Oklahoma.

Two timely petitions to intervene were filed on January 25, 1977. One petition was filed by West Texas Utilities Co. (WTU), Central Power and Light Co. (CP&L), Public Service Co. of Oklahoma (PSO), and Southwestern Electric Power Co. (SWEPCO) (hereinafter called collectively the C&SW companies). The second petition was filed by the Cities of Altus, Frederick, Cordell and Mannford, Okla. (Cities), Verdigree Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Indian Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Co-ops), and the Committee on Power for the Southwest, Inc. (a non-profit Oklahoma corporation whose members are the preference customers of SPA). In each petition, the petitioners allege that each has a direct interest in the subject matter of this proceeding which cannot be adequately represented by any other party. On February 10, 1977, TP&L filed an answer to each petition to intervene requesting denial of each petition and basing each denial request on the alleged fact that none of the petitioners purchase power from TP&L and, therefore, they have no interest in the proceeding. However, the interest of each petitioner in the Docket No. E-9558 proceeding was sufficiently established to permit their intervention in that proceeding. TR&L has not shown any reason to justify their exclusion from the instant proceeding.[3]

LOCATION OF DENISON DAM

Tex-La claims that Denison Dam is located in Texas and in Oklahoma, citing the 1975 Annual Report For Denison Dam of the Corps of Engineers as authority. TP&L disputes this claim, averring that the dam is located entirely in Texas. Since this is a factual dispute, it is appropriate for this issue to be resolved in the course of a public hearing which we shall hereinafter order.

INTERSTATE WATER

The C&SW Companies in their petition to intervene allege that Denison Dam converts energy in the form of interstate water flow into electrical energy received by TP&L and others and that the transformation of the form of the energy does not destroy its interstate character. Tex-La makes a similar contention in its Initial Comments, claiming that all elements of the Dam are essential to the power generation of the Dam and that there would be no electricity generated at Denison Dam if it were not for the interstate waters, Lake Texoma and its associated facilities. Both contentions, in essence, argue that interstate water equals interstate energy. The Commission disagrees.

In *Connecticut Light & Power Company*, 3 FPC 132 (1942) the Commission stated:

"The Federal Power Act declares that electric energy shall be held to be transmitted in interstate commerce if transmitted from a state and consumed at any point outside thereof. Such transmission, in our opinion, extends from the generator where generation is complete . . ." (at 142).

The Supreme Court in *Connecticut Power and Light Company* v. *FPC*, 324 U.S. 515 (1945) noted at page 529 that the FPC's initial jurisdictional deter-

---

[1] Tex-La's Initial Comments shall be treated as amended petition pursuant to Section 1.11 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

[2] TP&L failed to file its answer within 15 days as required by Section 1.9(g) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. However, its previously filed Motion to Reject Initial Comments or in the alternative Motion for Extension of Time was timely filed on March 11, 1977. While the Commission did not act on TP&L's Motion to Reject or Motion for Extension of Time, the Commission feels that Tex-La's filing of "Initial Comments" was sufficiently obscure to make TP&L unclear as to the nature of the filing. Therefore, TP&L's answer will be permitted.

[3] This proceeding in Docket No. E-9558 concerned, among other things, whether the Texas components of the C&SW system and other Texas electric systems were subject to the FPC's jurisdiction. The issue in this docket, E-9578, also concerns the jurisdictional status of various Texas electrical systems.

mination, "was to follow the flow of electric energy, an engineering and scientific, rather than a legalistic or governmental test."

In 1967 the FPC through the use of engineering and scientific tests determined Florida Power & Light Co. to be jurisdictional.[4] FPC jurisdiction was affirmed by the Supreme Court [5] which found that commingling of energy through a bus could result in jurisdiction. The Court in affirming said: "On this basis the FPC need only show (1) FP&L power entering the bus and (2) power leaving the bus for out-of-state destinations at the same moment in order to establish the fact that *some* FP&L power goes out of State." [6]

We find that the relevant question is where the power is generated and transmitted not whether the water used to generate the power is inter or intra-state water. Therefore, we find that this issue is inappropriate for investigation by this Commission.

COMMINGLING OF ENERGY

Tex-La's most serious allegation is that enegry dedicated to TP&L's system from Denison Dam is commingled with energy flowing into Oklahoma's system.[7]

According to the Affidavit attached to TP&L's Answer to Tex-La's Initial Comments, Denison Dam has two generating units, the North Unit and the South Unit. TP&L receives the full output of the South Unit.

Tex-La argues that TP&L has received electric energy from Denison Dam which has been commingled with energy flowing to Oklahoma. TP&L asserts Tex-La is incorrect and states:

> . . . Except during emergencies authorized by the Commission under Section 202(d), TP&L has never received any energy from a Denison Dam generating unit at a time when that unit was also connected to Oklahoma.
>
> . . . There have been occasions in the past, during emergencies, when the two units have been intentionally connected so that all of the power from both units would flow into Oklahoma or all of such energy would flow into Texas. On those occasions, the connections with TP&L's system have been severed so that no energy from the TP&L system could flow into Oklahoma, or the connections with SPA's Oklahoma system have been severed so that no energy from Oklahoma could flow into Texas . . .

The question to be resolved is whether there has been the transmission of interstate energy into or out of the TP&L system through the Denison Dam.

The Commission finds: (1) Good cause exists to grant the petition filed by Tex-La, to institute an investigation into the facts set forth in the petition filed by Tex-La and to establish the procedures for that investigation, all as hereinafter ordered.

(2) The participation in this proceeding of the C&SW companies, Cities, Co-op's, and the Committee on Power for the Southwest, Inc. may be in the public interest.

The Commission orders: (A) The petition filed by Tex-La on December 22, 1976, requesting this Commission to institute an investigation into the jurisdictional status of TP&L is hereby granted.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of Section 402 of the DOE Act and the Federal Power Act, particularly Sections 306, 307, and 308 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the Regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held commencing with a prehearing conference on January 19, 1978, at 10:00 A.M. (EDT) in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the investigation of matters contained in the petition filed herein by Tex-La on December 22, 1976, as discussed in the body of this order.

(C) The following procedures for the hearing and prehearing conferences are hereby established:

1. All parties, including Staff, shall file data requests on the Presiding Administrative Law Judge and all other Parties on January 9, 1978.

2. At the prehearing conference that will convene on January 19, 1978, as set forth in Paragraph (B) above, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge will rule on the data requests and establish the date for data responses found appropriate.

3. Thereafter, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall establish the filing dates for the parties in the following order:

(a) At least 30 days after the date of data responses, Tex-La's testimony and evidence.

(b) At least 30 days thereafter, the testimony and evidence of the Intervenors and Staff.

(c) At least 30 days thereafter, TP&L's testimony and evidence.

(d) At least 15 days thereafter, Tex-La's rebuttal testimony and evidence.

(e) No further testimony will be permitted.

4. Within a reasonable period of time after the filing of rebuttal testimony by Tex-La, the date for convening the hearing for cross-examination of all testimony filed herein shall be established by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose, shall preside at the hearings in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(E) The C&SW companies, Cities, Co-op's, and the Committee on Power for the Southwest, Inc. are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the Rules and Regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting the rights and interests specifically set forth in their petition to intervene; and, *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition that they or any one of them might be aggrieved because of any order or orders issued by the Commission in this proceeding.

(F) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission. Chairman Curtis voted present.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32040 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

---

[4] Florida Power and Light Co., 37 FPC 544 (1967).

[5] FPC v. Florida Power and Light Co. 404 US 458 (1972).

[6] *Id.* at 461—Note: The Court at p. 462 stated: "We do not find it necesary to approve or disapprove the Federal Power Commission's analysis based on unity of electromagnetic response. Its alternate assertion that energy commingled in a bus is, in our opinion, sufficient to sustain jurisdiction."

[7] TP&L asserts in its answer to Tex-La's petition that this issue was addressed by the FPC in *Central Power & Light Company, et. al.*, Docket No. E-9558. TP&L claims that the Commission was informed by Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corp. (Arkansas), in an application for rehearing of the July 21, 1976 order, of TP&L's purchase from Denison Dam. However, a review of the application for rehearing reveals that Arkansas did not raise the question of the inter or intra-state character of the power from the generating units. Therefore, our decision in Docket No. E-9558 is not determinative of the issues raised in this Docket.

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-33]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on October 19, 1977, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. CP78-33 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 2.79 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.79) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation, on an interruptible basis, of up to 1,200 Mcf of natural gas per day for 2 years for United States Gypsum Co. (Gypsum), an existing industrial customer of the City of Danville, Va. (Danville), one of Applicant's resale customers served under Rate Schedule CD-2, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests authorization to transport gas for Gypsum for use in its Danville facility pursuant to a transportation agreement dated October 6, 1977, among Applicant, Gypsum and Danville. Applicant states that Gypsum has purchased from Pollution Control Systems Inc. (PCS) up to 1,200 Mcf of natural gas per day (at 15.025 psia) to be produced from the B.M. Odom Well

Number 1, Cameron Parish, La., that Gypsum would arrange to have such quantities delivered to a mutually agreeable point on Applicant's system in Cameron Parish, La., and Applicant would redeliver the transportation quantities to existing points of delivery to Danville for the account of Gypsum. Danville would transport such quantities of natural gas delivered to it by Applicant for the account of Gypsum to Gypsum's Danville facility, it is said.

Applicant indicates that it would charge Gypsum, initially 29.8 cents per Dekatherm (dt) equivalent for all quantities delivered hereunder, and that it would also retain, initially, 3.8 percent of the quantities received for transportation as make-up for compressor fuel and line loss, which percentage is based on Applicant's company use factor for pipeline throughput to and within its Rate Zone 2 in which the transportation deliveries proposed herein would be made.

It is stated that Gypsum would pay PCS for gas delivered, or for any gas Gypsum is required to pay for though not taken hereunder, a price of $1.95 per million Btu's during the initial year hereof and $2.05 per million Btu's during the remaining term of the agreement. It is further stated that Gypsum would also pay PCS for gas actually delivered the sum of $0.15 per million Btu's, which sum would be in reimbursement to PCS for transportation charges paid by it to the point of delivery.

Applicant indicates that the subject gas would be used at the Danville plant for priority 2 purposes, specifically, in the firing of the hardboard mat drying kiln, and that the hardboard mat drying kiln requires natural gas to produce a defect free smooth-two-side hardboard.

It is stated that the subject gas would not be sold in the interstate market.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 11, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32034 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket Nos. RP74-48 and RP75-3 (AP76-1), et al.]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Settlement Conference

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on November 9, 1977, at 10:00 a.m. an informal conference will be convened of all interested persons with a view towards settling the issues in the captioned proceeding. The conference will be held at the office of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C.

Customers and other interested persons will be permitted to attend, but if such persons have not previously been permitted to intervene by order of the Commission, attendance will not be deemed to authorize intervention as a party in this proceeding.

All parties will be expected to come fully prepared to discuss the merits of all issues arising in this proceeding and any procedural matters preparatory to a full evidentiary hearing or to make commitments with respect to such issues and any offers of settlement or stipulations discussed at the conference.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32035 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP77-19]

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO.

Notice of Settlement Conference

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

Take notice that on November 4, 1977, at 10:00 a.m. an informal conference will be convened of all interested persons with a view towards settling the issues in the captioned proceeding. The conference will be held in room 5200 at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C.

Customers and other interested persons will be permitted to attend, but if such persons have not previously been permitted to intervene by order of the Commission, attendance will not be deemed to authorize intervention as a party in this proceeding.

All parties will be expected to come fully prepared to discuss the merits of all issues arising in this proceeding and any procedural matters preparatory to a full evidentiary hearing or to make commitments with respect to such issues and any offers of settlement or stipulations discussed at the conference.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32036 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-26]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Notice of Application

(OCTOBER 28, 1977).

Take notice that on October 17, 1977, United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Tex. 77001, filed in Docket No. CP78-26 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of up to 1,500 Mcf of natural gas per day for Marion Corp. (Marion), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that Marion has developed a supply of natural gas in, among other places, the Gwinville Field, Jefferson Davis County, Miss., and that under the terms of a gas purchase contract dated June 9, 1977, Applicant has purchased certain quantities of gas from Marion's production located in the Gwinville Field. The application further states that under the terms of said gas purchase contract Marion has reserved for its own use up to 50 percent of the quantity of gas so delivered to Applicant.

It is indicated that Marion and Applicant have entered into an agreement dated June 6, 1977, whereby Applicant would receive, for Marion's account, up to 1,500 Mcf of gas per day and transport said gas for redelivery to Mobile Gas Service Corp. (Mobile Gas) for Marion's account. Gas so delivered to Applicant for Marion's account would be delivered through existing facilities at a point on or near Marion's tank battery site located in Jefferson Davis County, Miss., and Applicant would transport and redeliver through existing facilities such gas, less 1.5 percent for fuel and unaccounted for gas, to Mobile Gas for Marion's account for further transportation by Mobile Gas to Marion's refinery located in the Therodore Industrial Park near Mobile, Ala., it is said.

Applicant states that Marion would use the subject gas in the fractionation of refinery crude oil and condensate, thus producing LPG, unfinished gasoline, naphtha, diesel, and bunker fuel, and that subsequently, these products would

be sold for power generation, blended into military grade jet fuel, and for use in agriculture and local industry.

It is stated that Marion would pay Applicant for gas transported hereunder an amount per Mcf equal to Applicant's average Jurisdictional Transmission Cost of Service in effect from time to time in Applicant's Northern Rate Zone as such may be determined by Applicant based on rate filings made from time to time with the Commission, less any amount included in such average operation of Applicant's pipeline system. The current average Northern Rate Zone Jurisdictional Transmission Cost of Service, exclusive of the cost of gas consumed in Applicant's operation, is 20.04 cents per Mcf.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 14, 1977, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein mut file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32037 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Project No. 199, Docket No. E-9110]

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE AUTHORITY

Settlement Discussions

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

Take notice that on November 15, 16, and 17, 1977, settlement discussions in the above-captioned proceeding will take place in the 5th Floor Conference Center of the Veterans Administration Office, 1801 Assembly Street, Columbia, South Carolina. The settlement discussions will cover all issues presented in the above-captioned proceeding with the exception of the issues set forth in the Federal Power Commission's order granting AIRCO's petition to intervene issued February 6, 1976. The first session will begin at 9:00 a.m. on November 15, 1977. Representatives from the following entities have stated that they will attend the conference: (a) the South Carolina Public Service Authority; (2) the South Carolina Chapter of the National Audubon Society; (3) W. T. Jones and the Society of L. E. W.; (4) The South Carolina Bass Association; (5) The Environmental Law Society; (6) the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control; and (7) the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's staff.

Take notice also that on October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 FR 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977. The "savings provisions" of Section 705 (b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32193 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RI77-50]

VENUS OIL CO.

Order Granting Petition for Special Relief

OCTOBER 25, 1977.

On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Public Law 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977) and Executive Order No. 12009, 42 Fed. Reg. 46267 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

The "savings provisions" of Section 705(b) of the DOE Act provide that proceedings pending before the FPC on the date the DOE Act takes effect shall not be affected and that orders shall be issued in such proceedings as if the DOE Act had not been enacted. All such proceedings shall be continued and further actions shall be taken by the appropriate component of DOE now responsible for the function under the DOE Act and regulations promulgated thereunder. The functions which are the subject of these proceedings were specifically transferred to the FERC by Section 402(a)(1) of the DOE Act.

The joint regulation adopted on October 1, 1977, by the Secretary and the FERC entitled "Transfer of Proceedings to the Secretary of Energy and FERC," 10 CFR ____, provided that this proceeding would be continued before the FERC. The FERC takes action in this proceeding in accordance with the above-mentioned authorities.

On March 25, 1977, Venus Oil Company (Venus), a small producer, filed a petition for Special Relief in Docket No. RI77-50, pursuant to Section 2.76 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations requesting a total rate of 99.76 cents per Mcf for gas sold to Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern) from the Archer No. 1 well in the Hansford (Lower Morrow) Field, Hutchinson County, Texas. On June 30, 1977, Venus amended its petition to reduce its requested rate to 77.55 cents per Mcf. Venus, having no ownership interest in the subject property, is the operator and is filing on behalf of all the working interest owners (see Appendix B attached hereto). Also, Venus requests that the Commission recognize that Opinion No. 742-A [1] does not impose a refund obligation upon Venus and that Venus is entitled to $23,912 now held in escrow pursuant to an indemnification agreement with Transwestern.

Both the original petition and the amended petition have been noticed in the FEDERAL REGISTER. No petitions or notices to intervene have been filed.

Sales from the Archer No. 1 well to Transwestern are covered by a gas purchase contract dated July 25, 1960, pursuant to Venus' small producer certificate issued in Docket No. CS71-262. Contractual authorization for the 77.55 cent rate is contained in a contract amendment dated March 30, 1977.

On October 1, 1975, in consideration of remedial work to repair a casing failure costing $19,280.39, Transwestern agreed to increase the contract rate from 26.1138 cents to 71.2865 cents per Mcf. The 71.2865 cents per Mcf was collected from October 1, 1975, to July 27, 1976. On July 27, 1976, the Commission issued Opinion No. 742-A. Pursuant to Opinion No. 742-A, Transwestern reduced the 71.2685 cent total rate to 40.4547 cents per Mcf. In addition to this remedial work, which was completed in 1975, a rental compressor was installed on May 20, 1976. The installation costs totaled

[1] Small Producer Regulation, Docket No. R-393, issued July 27, 1976.

$4,483.76 and the monthly rental expense is $971.25. Venus does not propose any additional investment in the subject property at this time, but seeks relief from the Opinion No. 742–A rate based on the above expenditures incurred in reliance on the previous rate.

Venus estimates 221,480 Mcf of gas can be recovered over a period of 4.3 years. Based on data supplied by Venus, the Commission Staff estimates that 261,600 Mcf of gas will be recovered over a period of 4 years.

Staff has accepted Venus' current investment of $21,613. Staff has also reviewed the applicant's annual estimated production expenses of $22,468 and found them to be reasonable. Total estimated production expenses of $100,840 are comprised of a projection of annual estimated production expenses with a 5 percent inflation factor plus a $4,000 nonrecurring expense.

Staff has used the above costs with the 174,230 Mcf of reserves attributable to Venus' net working interest (66.6 percent of total interest) in Staff's reserve estimate in a traditional cost study (see Appendix A, attached hereto). The modified Btu method was applied for the purpose of allocating the cost of production between gas and liquids. The results of this study indicate that 77.55 cents per Mcf will be required to allow Venus to recover all costs associated with this project over its 4 year life including a 15 percent rate of return.

Based on its analysis of the data submitted by Venus and its cost study, Staff has concluded that the rate requested by Venus is cost supported.

Venus did not request an allowance for income taxes, nor did Staff include an allowance for possible income tax expenses arising from the Tax Reform Act of 1975, in that Staff found the rate cost supported without this inclusion. Also, based on a review of Commission files, Staff is of the opinion that the production volumes entailed herein will not prejudice the applicant's eligibility to continue to claim statutory depletion.

After reviewing the costs to be incurred and the reserves to be recovered, we determine that Venus' petition for special relief, as amended, is warranted and that it is in the public interest to grant the petition.

Regarding Venus' request for interpretation regarding refund obligations, the Commission in Opinion No. 742–A decided to "prospectively reduce small producer sales to the Opinion Nos. 742 and 742–A level, and * * * not to require refunds for any past sales made above that level, including those sales made pursuant to Opinion Nos. 749, et seq., above the rate levels prescribed herein." [2] Accordingly, no refund obligation is imposed on Venus by Opinion No. 742–A with regard to rates collected by Vensu prior to July 27, 1976, in excess of the rates specified in such opinion. We take no position as to who is entitled to any amounts held in escrow pursuant to the indemnification agreement between Venus and Transwestern inasmuch as such agreement was not required by the Commission.

The Commission finds: The petition for special relief filed by Venus meets the criteria set forth in Section 2.76 of Commission's General Policy and Interpretations.

The Commission orders: (A) The petition for special relief of Venus, as amended, is hereby granted.

(B) Venus is authorized to collect a total rate of 77.55 cents per Mcf at 14.85 psia for gas from its Archer No. 1 Well, Hansford (Lower Morrow) Field, Hutchinson County, Texas, effective upon issuance of this order, subject to the condition in Paragraph (C) below.

(C) Venus must file, within 30 days of issuance of this order, an appropriate rate change filing in accordance with Section 154.94 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR § 154.94).

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A.—*Venus Oil Co., Docket No. RI77–50, Archer No. 1 well, Hansford (Lower Morrow) Field, Hutchinson County, Tex.*

Line No.	Unit cost of gas	
	Item	Amount
	(a)	(b)
1	Net working interest volumes:	
2	Gas—thousands of cubic feet at 14.65 lb/in²a [1]	174,230
3	Liquids—barrels [2]	866
4	Cost of production:	
5	Return on rate base [3]	$8,230
6	DD&A [4]	19,077
7	Production expense [5]	100,840
8	Subtotal	128,147
9	Allocated to gas [6]	124,815
10	Regulatory expense [7]	174
11	Total cost of production	124,989
12	Unit cost of gas (in cents per thousand cubic feet):	
13	Cost of production [8]	71.74
14	Production tax [9]	5.81
15	Total unit cost	77.55

[1] 261,600 m ft³ times 0.6660156 net working interest.
[2] 1,301 bbl times 0.6660156 net working interest.
[3] Line 11 of sheet 3 times 0.15 times 4-yr productive life.
[4] Line 5 of sheet 2.
[5] Estimated 1977 operating expenses of $22,468 escalated at 5 pct per year, plus a $4,000 nonrecurring expense.
[6] Line 8 times line 11 from sheet 2.
[7] 0.1¢ per thousand cubic feet.
[8] Line 11 divided by line 2.
[9] 7.5 pct of line 15.

[2] Mimeo p. 18.

APPENDIX A.—*Venus Oil Co., Docket No. RI77–50, Archer No. 1 well, Hansford (Lower Morrow) Field, Hutchinson County, Tex.*

Investment and allocation of costs

Line	Item (a)	Amount (b)
1	Investment:	
2	Remaining net book value Dec. 31, 1976.	$21,613
3	Total investment	21,613
4	Less salvage value [1]	2,536
5	Depreciable cost	19,077
6	Depreciation per unit of production [2]	0.109493
7	Allocation of costs (in million Btu): [3]	
8	Gas [4]	187,297
9	Liquids [5]	4,996
10	Total	192,292
11	Percentage allocated to gas [6]	97.40

[1] Filing.
[2] Line 5 divided by 174,230 ft^3.
[3] Modified Btu method per opinion No. 749.
[4] 174,230 M ft^3 times 1.075 MMBtu/M ft^3.
[5] 348 bbl of condensate times 5.448 MMBtu/bbl. times 1.5 modifier; plus 518 bbl. of plant liquids times 4.154 MMBtu/bbl.
[6] Line 8 divided by Line 10.

APPENDIX A.—*Venus Oil Co., Docket No. RI77–50, Archer No. 1 well, Hansford (Lower Morrow) Field, Hutchinson County, Tex.*

Average investment and annual rate base

Line No.	Year (a)	Annual net working interest production thousand cubic feet (b)	Beginning of year investment (c)	Depreciation [1] (d)	End of year investment (e)	Average investment [2] (f)
1.	Average investment:					
2.	1977	61,540	$21,613	$6,738	$14,875	$18,244
3.	1978	47,554	14,876	5,207	9,668	12,272
4.	1979	36,764	9,668	4,025	5,643	7,656
5.	1980	28,372	5,643	3,109	2,536	4,090
6.	Total	174,230		19,077		42,262
7.	Average annual investment [3]					10,566
8.	Annual rate base:					
9.	Average annual investment					10,566
10.	Average annual working capital allowance [4]					3,151
11.	Total annual rate base					13,717

[1] Column (b) times line 6 of Sheet 2.
[2] (Column (c) plus Column (e) divided by 2.
[3] Column (f) of line 6 divided by 4 year productive life.
[4] 0.125 times line 7 of sheet 1 divided by 4-yr productive life.

APPENDIX B.—*Venus Oil Co., Docket No. RI77–50, Archer No. 1 well, Hansford (Lower Morrow) Field, Hutchinson County, Tex.*

Working interest owners	Percent interest
Ames, E. L., Jr.	.50000
Cal Farley's Boys Ranch	1.56250
Castle, Herb C.	1.00000
Catto, Henry, Jr.	1.00000
Denney, C. D.	1.50000
Enos, Neuge W.	2.00000
Goren, Jack	1.00000
Hight, Howell G.	2.00000
Klecka, Theo. A., Dr.	3.12500
Lang, Gilbert	2.50000
Lang, Sylvan	2.50000
Levine, Arthur	1.00000
McGrand, Anna B.	1.56250
Mathews, Irving	2.00000
Mueller, Charles E.	3.12500
Natural Power Co.	27.87500
Partnership Properties, Inc.	25.00000
Serman, Michael	4.00000
Small Business Administration	3.50000
Stone, Gloria	1.00000
Taute, August F.	1.00000
Thompson, Rebecca A., account of G. J. McDermott	11.25000
Total	100.00000

[FR Doc.77-31808 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6560-01]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 813-1]

TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT

Supplemental Notice to Proposed Guidance on Notification of Substantial Risk Under Section 8(e)

On September 9, 1977, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed in the FEDERAL REGISTER guidance on "Notification of Substantial Risk under Section 8(e)" of the Toxic Substances Control Act (42 FR 45362). On October 11, 1977, EPA extended the comment period for the proposed guidance from October 15 to October 31, 1977 (42 FR 54857). Pursuant to comments received, EPA will make appropriate revisions and publish final guidance within a few months.

Given this new time-frame, EPA is modifying with this supplemental notice the provision in Part VII of the September 9 proposal (42 FR 45365) requiring that certain information obtained by a person prior to January 1, 1977, be reported by November 15, 1977. The November 15 date is no longer effective. A new date will be established when the final guidance is published.

This notice in no way modifies the Section 8(e) obligation, in effect since January 1, 1977, to immediately report substantial risk information obtained since that date.

Dated: November 2, 1977.

STEVEN D. JELLINEK,
Assistant Administrator for Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc.77-32228 Filed 11-3-77;10:27 am]

[6712-01]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. I-402]

INTERNATIONAL AND SATELLITE RADIO

Applications Accepted for Filing

OCTOBER 31, 1977.

The Applications listed herein have been found, upon initial review, to be acceptable for filing. The Commission reserves the right to return any of these applications if, upon further examination, it is determined they are defective and not in conformance with the Commission's Rules, Regulations and its Policies. Final action will not be taken on any of these applications earlier than 31 days following the date of this notice. Section 309(d)(1).

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WILLIAM J. TRICARICO,
Acting Secretary.

SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

AMENDMENTS

511-DSE-P/L-77 Columbia Cable TV Co., Columbia, S.C. Amended to change longitude to: 81°01'01" W.

633-DSE-P/L-77 Oceanic Cablevision, Inc., Kalihi, Hawaii. Amended to change location to: Kaliki instead of Kahe Point. Lat. 21°21'44" N., Long. 157°51'23" W.

610-DSE-P/L-77 Mid South Cablevision, Inc., Pearl, Mich. Amended to receive the programming from the Madison Square Garden Events, signals from Station WTCG-TV, Atlanta, Ga. and WYAH-TV, Ch. 27, Portsmouth, Va.

453-DSE-P/L-77 Kansas City Cable, Inc., Kansas City, Kans. Amended to correct the coordinates to: Lat. 39°06'21" N., Long. 94°40'31" W.

649-DSE-P/L-77 Alert Cable TV., Inc., Fort Benning, Ga. Amended to add the reception of signals from the Home Box Office, Inc.

489-DSE-P/L-76 Vision Cable of South Carolina, Florence, S.C. Amended to change coordinates to: Lat. 34°10'00" N., Long. 79°50'12" W.

OH—37–DSE–P–78 The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. Authority to construct, own and operate a domestic communications satellite receive-only earth station at this location. Lat. 40°01'41" N., Long. 83°01'17" W. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 MHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 10 meter antenna.

ME—38–DSE–P–78 Colby-Bates Bowdoin Educational Telecasting Corp., Lewiston, Md. Authority to construct, own and operate a domestic communications satellite receive-only earth station at this location. Lat. 44°09'11" N., Long. 70°00'46" W. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 MHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 10 meter antenna.

MI—39–DSE–P–78 Detroit Educational Television Foundation, Avon Township, Mich. Authority to construct, own and operate a domestic communications satellite receive-only earth station at this location. Lat. 42°41'08" N., Long. 83°07'22" W. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 MHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 10 meter antenna.

MS—40–DSE–P/L–78 Oxford Video Company, Oxford, Miss. Authority to construct, own and operate a domestic communications satellite receive-only earth station at this location. Lat. 34°25'26" N., Long. 89°31'51" W. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 MHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 5 meter antenna.

41–DSE–P/L–78 Teleprompter Corporation, various locations. Authority to construct a receive-only developmental satellite earth station to be operated at various locations throughout the continental United States. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 GHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 3 meter antenna.

AL—42–DSE–ML–78 Telecable of Columbus, Inc., Smiths, Ala. Modification of license to operate this facility on a non-profit cost-sharing basis with Columbus Cablevision, Inc.

WV—43–DSE–P/L–78 Century Huntington Company, Huntington, W. Va. Authority to construct, own and operate a domestic communications satellite receive-only earth station at this location. Lat. 38°23' 30" N., Long. 82°29'31" W. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 MHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 4.3 meter antenna. (Conical Horn Reflector)

OH—44–DSE–P/L–78 Marion CATV, Inc., Marion, Ohio. Authority to construct, own and operate a domestic communications satellite receive-only earth station at this location. Lat. 40°36'09" N., Long. 83°08' 08" W. Rec. freq: 3700–4200 MHz. Emission 36000F9. With a 5 meter antenna.

FL—45–DSE–ML–78 North Lauderdale Cablevision, Inc. (WE56), North Lauderdale, Fla. Modification of license to permit the reception of signals from Station WTCG–TV, Channel 17, Atlanta, Ga. and the Christian Broadcasting Network.

CT—46–DSE–ML–78 Home Entertainment Company (WE50), Seymour, Conn. Modification of license to permit a nearby cable system (Bridgeport Cablevision, Inc.) to receive the programming of the Madison Square Garden Events through this earth station facility.

[FR Doc.77–31867 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6720–01]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

[H.C. No. 234]

COLONIAL SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION

Receipt of Application for Permission To Acquire Control of Todays Savings and Loan Association

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation has received an application from Colonial Savings and Loan Association, Prairie Village, Kans., for approval of acquisition of control of Todays Savings and Loan Association, Liberal, Kans., an insured institution, under the provisions of Section 408(e) of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. Section 1730(a)(e)), and § 584.4 of the Regulations for Savings and Loan Holding Companies, said acquisition to be effected through the purchase of the guaranty stock of Todays Savings and Loan Association. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, or Deputy Director, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C. 20552, on or before December 5, 1977.

RONALD A. SNIDER,
Assistant Secretary,
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[FR Doc.77–31995 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6730–01]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

JAPAN LINE, LTD. ET AL.

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., San Francisco, Calif., and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before November 14, 1977. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

R. Frederic Fisher, Esquire, Lillick McHose and Charles, Two Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, Calif. 94111.

Agreement No. 9721, between Japan Line, Ltd.; Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd.; Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd.; Yamashita-Shinnihon Steamship Co., Ltd.; Japan Line (U.S.A.) Ltd.; Kerr Steamship Co., Inc.; Williams-Dimond-Rountree Agencies, Inc.; Williams, Dimond and Co.; and Lilly Shipping Agencies, was restated, amended and approved as Agreement No. 9721–4 on September 3, 1976. The agreement provides for the establishment of two corporations, the Los Angeles Container Terminal, Inc., and the Oakland Container Terminal, Inc., to carry on certain terminal services as set forth in the agreement. An amendment to Agreement No. 9721–4 has been filed and identified as Agreement No. 9721–5. The purpose of the amendment is to provide for changes in the language of the agreement that would permit more flexibility in effecting minor changes in corporate form or organization.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: November 1, 1977.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–32046 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6730–01]

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1051]

OHANNESON FREIGHT FORWARDING CO. (ELIZABETH A. OHANNESON d/b/a)

Reinstatement of License

By Federal Maritime Commission Order served and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Elizabeth A. Ohanneson d/b/a Ohanneson Freight Forwarding Co.'s Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1051 was revoked, effective October 1, 1977, for failure to maintain a valid surety bond on file with the Commission. The Order of Revocation was served October 3, 1977.

An appropriate surety bond has been received in favor of Elizabeth A. Ohanneson d/b/a Ohanneson Freight Forwarding Co. and compliance pursuant to section 44, Shipping Act, 1916, and section 510.9 of the General Order 4 has been achieved.

Therefore, by virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 201.1 (Revised) section 5.01(a), dated August 8, 1977, Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1051 shall be reissued to Elizabeth A. Ohanneson d/b/a Ohanneson Freight Forwarding Co. effective September 30, 1977. A copy of this Notice of Reinstatement shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Elizabeth A. Ohanneson d/b/a Ohanneson Freight Forwarding Co.

LEROY F. FULLER,
Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.

[FR Doc.77–32048 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[6730–01]

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1177]

OVERSEAS, INC.

Order of Revocation

On October 28, 1977, Overseas, Inc., 1 Commercial Place, Suite 1810, Norfolk, Va. 23510, voluntarily surrendered its Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1177 for revocation.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 201.1 (Revised), 5.01(c), dated August 8, 1977;

It is ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1177 issued to Overseas, Inc. be and is hereby revoked effective October 28, 1977 without prejudice to reapply for a license in the future.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Overseas, Inc.

LEROY F. FULLER,
Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.

[FR Doc.77-32049 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6730–01]

INDEPENDENT OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDER LICENSE APPLICANTS

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as independent ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 44 (a) of the Shipping Act, 1916, (75 Stat. 522 and 46 U.S.C. 841b).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to communicate with the Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

Stewart Corp., dba Transinternational System, 800 High Street, P.O. Box 109, Worthington, Ohio 43085; Officer: Jack L. Stewart, President.

Goth Transport Inc., Two Pennsylvania Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10001; Officers: Peter Goth, President/Director, Hans P. Ackermann, Exec. Vice Pres./Treas./Secretary.

M.B. Air Freight Co., dba M. Bombara, 148–19 New York Blvd., Jamaica, NY. 11434.

Jose Pinzon Robles, 1223 Canarias Street, Puerto Nuevo, P.R. 00920.

WTC Air Freight, 5959 West Century Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90045; Officers: Barry N. Brenno, President, John T. Hennessy, Vice President, Ray E. Ryan, Senior Vice President, Tom L. Grace, Vice President.

Harold Lloyd Burke, 11412 Gaynor Avenue, Granada Hills, Calif. 91344.

Bee International, Inc., 5885 Edenfield Road, Suite D–8, Jacksonville, Fla. 32211; Officers: Robert L. Bloom, President, Frances M. Dees, Vice President, Charlene F. Bloom, Secretary/Treasurer.

By the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: October 31, 1977.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32047 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6210–01]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

BRIGHTON BANCORP

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Brighton Bancorp, Salt Lake City, Utah, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 per cent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of Brighton Bank, Salt Lake City, Utah. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank, to be received not later than November 23, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 31, 1977.

ROBERT E. MATTHEWS,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.77-31945 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6210–01]

HEATON BANK HOLDING CO.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Heaton Bank Holding Company, Heaton, North Dakota, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 per cent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of Farmers State Bank, Heaton, North Dakota. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank, to be received not later than November 25, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 31, 1977.

ROBERT E. MATTHEWS,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.77-31946 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[1610–01]

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

REGULATORY REPORTS REVIEW

Receipt of Report Proposals

The following request for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public was received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on October 31, 1977. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

Tht notice includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Written comments on the proposed ICC request are invited from all interested persons, organizations, public interest groups, and affected businesses. Because of the limited amount of time GAO has to review the proposed request, comments (in triplicate) must be received on or before November 22, 1977, and should be addressed to Mr. John M. Lovelady, Acting Assistant Director, Regulatory Reports Review, United States General Accounting Office, Room 5033, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20548.

Further information may be obtained from Patsy J. Stuart of the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, 202–275–3532.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

The ICC requests clearance of two new Annual Report Forms, M and M–H, required to be filed by some 4,255 Class I and Class II motor carriers of property and household goods carriers, pursuant to Section 220 of the Interstate Commerce Act. The new forms are revisions of the former Annual Report Forms M–1 and M–2, and the revisions are set forth in Order No. 36556, Revision to the Annual Reports and Uniform System of Accounts for Class I and Class II Motor Carriers of Property. Form M is required to be filed by Class I and Class II Motor Carriers of Property, and Form M–H is required to be filed by Class I and Class II Household Goods Carriers. Data are used for economic regulatory purposes. Reports are mandatory and available for use by the public. The ICC estimates reporting time for carriers to average 60 hours per report for Form M, and 53 hours per report for Form M–H.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc.77-31965 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[6115–01]

HARRY S. TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION

Closing Date for Nominations

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the authority contained in the Harry S. Truman Memorial Scholarship Act, all documentation in support of nominations are being accepted from eligible institutions of higher education for Truman Scholarships. Procedures are prescibed at 45 CFR 1801, and were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 27, 1977 (42 FR 38301).

In order to be assured of consideration, all documentation in support of nominations must be received by the Truman Scholarship Review Committee, Box 2838, Princeton, N.J. postmarked no later than Thursday, December 8, 1977.

ROBERT E. CLEARY,
Executive Secretary.

OCTOBER 28, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-31958 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110–86]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Center for Disease Control

ANNUAL REPORTS

Availability of Filing

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Section 13 of Public Law 92–463 (5 U.S.C. Appendix I), Annual Reports for the following Center for Disease Control Committees have been filed with the Library of Congress:

Immunization Practices Advisory Committee
Medical Laboratory Services Advisory Committee

Copies are available to the public for inspection at the Library of Congress, Special Forms Reading Room, Main Building, and on weekdays between 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. at the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Department Library, HEW North Building, Room 1436, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, telephone 202–245–6791.

Date: October 26, 1977.

WILLIAM H. FOEGE, M.D.,
Director, Center for Disease Control.

[FR Doc.77–31930 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[4110–87]

CONFINED SPACES

Request for Information

AGENCY: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Center for Disease Control, Public Health Service, HEW.

ACTION: Notice of Request for Information.

SUMMARY: This notice solicits information concerning safety and work practices pertaining to confined spaces. A confined space is identified as a space with unfavorable natural ventilation and one into which infrequent or irregular entry is made for purposes of maintenance, inspection, repair and/or cleaning. The space may contain dangerous air contamination (atmosphere could be flammable, poisonous, suffocating, or radioactive) that cannot be prevented or removed by natural ventilation. Such spaces include silos, tanks, vats, degreasers, reaction vessels, vaults, cracking towers, boilers, sewers, and compartments of ships. The information will be used in the development of criteria for a recommended standard for entering and working in confined spaces.

DATES: Comments concerning this notice should be submitted by (60 days after publication).

ADDRESSES: Comments and recommendations should be submitted in writing to: James Cavender, Acting Director, Division of Safety Research, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 944 Chestnut Ridge Road, Morgantown, West Virginia 26505. It would be helpful if this information could be submitted in duplicate.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Ted Pettit, Division of Safety Research, NIOSH, 304–599–7595 or FTS, 923–7595.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 22(d)(1) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 671(d)(1)) provides that the Director of NIOSH is authorized to conduct such research and experimental programs as he determines are necessary for the development of criteria for new and improved occupational safety and health standards. NIOSH is currently collecting information and data pertaining to entering and working in confined spaces. Specifically, NIOSH is seeking information and data relative to the following areas:

1. Permit for entry into a confined space and product(s) involved.
2. Sampling of space before entry for oxygen deficiency and presence of known or suspected contaminants. Types of testing methods and instruments or devices used.
3. Flushing, purging, and ventilation of the confined space.
4. Confined space isolation, lines and/or pumps.
5. Lockout procedures, electrical.
6. The need for medical examinations for workers exposed to chemical agents while in confined spaces, the frequency of such examinations, the specific diagnostic tests which should be used, and the rationale for their selection.
7. Work practices for prevention of injury under normal conditions and those which may be instituted under emergency conditions.
8. The type of and specifications for safety devices and equipment necessary to protect the worker and allow for his egress from the confined space.
9. Injury data resulting from the lack of safety devices.
10. Engineering controls, including ventilation, environmental temperature, humidity, and housekeeping and sanitation procedures, with attention to the technological feasibility of such controls.
11. Specifications for the conditions under which personal protective devices should be required.
12. Methods, including instruments, for air sampling and sample analysis of known or suspected chemical agents and methods of measuring levels of exposure to the known or suspected contaminants.
13. Work practices or procedures which may be instituted for control of the workplace environment in normal operations and those which may be instituted when occupational environmental levels are temporarily exceeded or where peak concentrations of chemical agents in man are reached.
14. The types of records concerning occupational exposure to such agents that employers should be required to maintain.

All information received in response to this notice, except that information which is trade secret and protected by Section 15 of the Act, will be available for public inspection at the foregoing address.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

JOHN F. FINKLEA,
Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc.77–31770 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[4110–87]

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

Request for Comments on Certain Chemical/Physical Agents and Industrial Processes

AGENCY: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Center for Disease Control, Public Health Service, HEW.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice solicits comments concerning subjects for which NIOSH may develop recommended occupational safety and health standards (criteria documents). The comments will be used in selecting subjects for criteria documents to be developed in 1982.

DATES: Comments concerning this notice should be submitted by December 19, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Comments and recommendations should be submitted in writing to: Dr. Vernon E. Rose, Director, Division of Criteria Documentation and Standards Development (PRAB No. 78–1), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 5600 Fishers Lane (Room 8A–53), Rockville, Md. 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jimmy L. Perkins, Project Administrator Priorities and Research Analysis Branch, NIOSH, 301–443–2100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 20(a)(3) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 669(a)(3)) provides that the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, on the basis of information available to him, shall develop criteria dealing with toxic materials which will describe exposure levels that are safe for various periods of employment. Section 22(c) of the Act authorizes the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) to develop recommended occupational safety and health standards and to perform all functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, under sections 20 and 21 of the Act. NIOSH is proposing to develop criteria documents containing recommended occupational health standards for the fol-

lowing chemical substances, physical agents, and industrial processes for completion in 1982.

I. INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES

Petrochemical plants
Explosives and fireworks manufacture
Sewage treatment plants
Petroleum refineries
Incineration
Electroplating
Spray painting
Photographic processing
Firefighting
Pharmaceutical industry
Vapor phase inhibitors; manufacture and use
Rosin core solder; manufacture and use
Aluminum reduction plants

II. PHYSICAL AGENTS

Ionizing radiation
Magnetic fields

III. INDIVIDUAL CHEMICALS

Ketene
Osmium tetroxide
Ethoxyethanol
Octachlorocyclopentene
Isopropyl biphenyl
Dimethyl sulfoxide
Urethane
Caprolactam
Pentaerythritol
Ethyl benzene
Cumene
Vinyl toluene
Alpha-methyl styrene
Bisphenol A

IV. CLASSES OF CHEMICALS

Aliphatic imines
Uranium (hazards other than radiation)
Selenium
Platinum
Silver
Inorganic sulfur halide gases (including S_2Cl_2, SF_4, SF_6)
Lithium
Palladium
Indium
Tellurium
Titanium
Copper
Inorganic chlorine gases (including ClO_2, ClO, ClF_3)
Cyclic alkenes
Thioureas (including tetramethyl and ethylene)
Xylenols
Diethyl and dimethylcarbomoyl chloride
Mercaptobenzothiozoles
Trimethylbenzenes
Nitroalkanes
Nitronapthalenes

Prior to finalizing plans for the development of these documents, the public is invited to submit comments, including suggestions for additions or deletions, with accompanying documentation to the address above.

NIOSH is anticipating making a final decision in February 1978 on the documents to be developed in 1982.

All information received concerning this notice, except that information which is trade secret and protected by Section 15 of the Act, will be available for public inspection at the foregoing address.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

JOHN F. FINKLEA, M.D.,
Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc.77-31771 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-03]

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 75P-0152]

COCA-COLA CO.

Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice; Amendment of Temporary Permit for Market Testing

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces that The Coca-Cola Co. has been issued an amendment of a temporary permit to market test acid-reduced frozen concentrated orange juice. The amendment provides for a change in the market test area from Atlanta, Georgia to Houston, Texas and a change in the brand name of the product from "Nu Day" to "Minute Maid."

EFFECTIVE DATE: This amended permit is effective on November 4, 1977 and shall terminate on the same date as provided for in the original permit (24 months after introduction into interstate commerce).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benjamin M. Gutterman, Bureau of Foods (HFF-402), Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 200 C St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20204, 202-245-1231.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The original permit was issued to The Coca-Cola Co. pursuant to § 130.17 (21 CFR 130.17), which concerns temporary permits, to facilitate market testing of foods deviating from the requirements of the standard of identity for frozen concentrated orange juice promulgated under section 401 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 341). Notice of the issuance of the permit was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 6, 1975 (40 FR 33063). The permit covered limited interstate market tests of frozen concentrated orange juice that deviates from the standard of identity prescribed in § 146.146) (21 CFR 146.146) in that a portion of the citric acid is removed from the orange juice. A notice of an amendment of the original permit issued to The Coca-Cola Co. was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 3, 1976 (41 FR 37386). It provided for a change in the effective date of the permit, a change in one of the market test areas, and a change in the brand name of the food.

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs concludes that it is in the interest of consumers to amend The Coca-Cola Company's temporary permit. Accordingly, The Coca-Cola Co. is permitted to change one of the market test areas from Atlanta, Georgia to Houston, Texas and to change the brand name of the test proudct from "Nu Day" to "Minute Maid."

Dated: October 28, 1977.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.77-31924 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-03]

[Docket No. 77P-0274]

HANOVER BRANDS, INC.

Tomato Juice Deviating From Identity Standard; Temporary Permit for Market Testing

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that a temporary permit has been issued to Hanover Brands, Inc., to market test tomato juice from concentrate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This permit is effective for 15 months, beginning on the date the new food is introduced into or caused to be introduced into interstate commerce, but no later than February 2, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benjamin M. Gutterman, Bureau of Foods (HFF-402), Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204, 202-245-1231.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with § 130.17 (21 CFR 130.17) concerning temporary permits to facilitate market testing of foods deviating from the requirements of the standards of identity promulgated under section 401 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 341), notice is given that a temporary permit has been issued to Hanover Brands, Inc., P.O. Box 334, Hanover, Pa. 17331. This permit covers interstate marketing tests of tomato juice that deviates from the standard of identity prescribed in § 156.145 (21 CFR 156.145). The permit provides for the temporary marketing of 100,000 cases of twelve 46-ounce cans and 15,000 cases of twenty-four 12-ounce cans of the product to be distributed in the District of Columbia and the States of Delaware,

Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia.

The test product will be manufactured in the Hanover Brands, Inc., plant located in Hanover, Pa. The product to be temporarily marketed has been prepared from tomato paste that complies with the requirements of § 155.191(a)(1) (21 CFR 155.191(a)(1)). The finished product will be equivalent to a single-strength tomato juice normally found in the marketplace. In addition, ascorbic acid will be added in such quantity sufficient to raise the vitamin C level to 100 percent of the U.S. recommended daily allowance (RDA) in a 6-fluid-ounce serving.

The principal display panel of the label will declare the product name as "Tomato Juice From Concentrate." The statement "enriched with vitamin C" will be prominently designated on the principal display panel. Each of the ingredients used will be declared on the label as required by the applicable sections of Part 101 (21 CFR Part 101), except that the tomato ingredient complying with the requirements of § 155.191(a)(1) will be declared as "tomato concentrate."

This permit is effective for 15 months, beginning on the date the new food is introduced or caused to be introduced into interstate commerce, but no later than February 2, 1977.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.77-31925 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-03]

[Docket No. 77P-0065]

LIBBY, McNEILL & LIBBY, INC.

Canned Pears Deviating From Identity Standard; Amendment to a Temporary Permit for Market Testing

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces that Libby, McNeill & Libby, Inc., has been granted an amendment to its temporary permit to market test a new style of pears designated as "chunky." The amendment provides for market testing of an additional 10,000 cases of twenty-four 16-ounce cans of the test product to be packed this year. Further, it provides for the expansion of the marketing areas so that in addition to the already provided for States of Iowa, Nebraska, New York, and Pennsylvania, it will include Illinois (excluding Chicago), Missouri, and Oklahoma.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This amended permit is effective on November 4, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benjamin M. Gutterman, Bureau of Foods (HFF-402), Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204, 202-245-1231.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The original permit was issued to Libby, McNeill & Libby, Inc., pursuant to § 130.17 (21 CFR 130.17) concerning temporary permits to facilitate market testing of foods deviating from the requirements of the standards of identity promulgated under section 401 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 341). Notice of the issuance of the permit was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 8, 1977 (42 FR 35221). It provided for limited interstate marketing tests of canned pears that deviate from the standard of identity prescribed in § 145.175(a) (21 CFR 145.175(a)) in that the pears are cut into units predominantly greater than ½ inch and less than 1¾ inches in the largest dimension and designated as "chunky" pears, an optional style not provided for in the standard. The product is packed in heavy syrup and contains artificial strawberry flavor, both of which are already provided for in the standard.

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs concludes that it is in the interest of consumers to amend the Libby, McNeill, & Libby, Inc., temporary permit. Accordingly, Libby, McNeil & Libby, Inc., is permitted to expand its market test area to include the States of Illinois (excluding Chicago), Missouri, and Oklahoma and also is permitted to market test an additional 10,000 cases of twenty-four 16-once cans of chunky pears to be packed this year.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.77-31929 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-03]

[Docket No. 77N-0282]

LICENSING OF LIMULUS AMEBOCYTE LYSATE

Use as an Alternative for Rabbit Pyrogen Test

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMRAY: This notice announces the licensing of Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) as an alternative for the rabbit test for detecting pyrogens in biological products and medical devices.

DATE: Effective November 4, 1977.

ADDRESSES: As appropriate, Bureau of Biologics, Food and Drug Administration, 8800 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Md. 20014; Bureau of Medical Devices, Food and Drug Administration, 8757 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Md. 20910.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

CONTACT PERSONS FOR BUREAU OF BIOLOGICS

Michael L. Hooton or Al Rothschild, Bureau of Biologics (HFB-620), Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 8800 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Md. 20014, 301-443-1920.

CONTACT PERSON FOR BUREAU OF MEDICAL DEVICES

Carl W. Bruch, Bureau of Medical Devices (HFK-400), 8757 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Md. 20910, 301-427-7230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 12, 1973 (38 FR 1404), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs announced that Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL), prepared from the circulating blood cells (amebocytes) of the horsehoe crab (*Limulus polyphemus*), is a biological product subject to license under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262). LAL has been shown to be a sensitive indicator of the presence of bacterial endotoxins (pyrogens) and therefore is of value in preventing the administration of drugs and other products which, if they contain bacterial endotoxins, can produce fever, shock, and death. At that time, however, the data, established the suitability of LAL for in-process testing only, not for use as the final pyrogen test for which the rabbit pyrogen test has long been recognized. Accordingly, the FEDERAL REGISTER notice permitted marketing of LAL without a license, provided that LAL is limited for use to in-process testing of drugs and its labeling states that the test is not suitable either as a replacement for the official rabbit pyrogen test or as a diagnostic tool for detecting clinical endotoxemia in man.

Since publication of the January 1973 notice, production techniques have been standardized and consistently yield LAL with over 100 times greater sensitivity than was previously possible. Questions concerning specificity for some products have been answered, and limitations of LAL for detecting endotoxemia have been defined. The use of LAL in testing many biological products and devices has been demonstrated to usually exceed results obtained on the same products with the rabbit pyrogen test. The LAL test is more economical and requires a smaller volume of product for testing than the rabbit pyrogen test, and a large number of tests can be performed by one individual in a single day.

Several manufacturers of LAL have filed licence applications with the Bureau of Biologics. Production procedures in the applications have been modified to increase the sensitivity of the LAL and decrease lot-to-lot variability. A FEDERAL REGISTER notice of May 6, 1977 (42 FR 23167) made available a proposed guideline for establishing the sensitvity of each lot of LAL by testing each lot in parallel with a Reference Limulus Amebocyte Lysate obtained

from the Bureau of Biologics. One of the manufacturers of LAL has now satisfactorily completed its license application to manufacture and market LAL for use as a final pyrogen test for biological products and medical devices, and the license has been approved.

Manufacturers of biological products must submit a product license amendment for each product for which licensed LAL will be used in the official pyrogen test. The amendment shall include details of the test procedure and a definition of the criteria to establish if the product passes or fails. Once the amendment is approved, LAL may be used as a substitute for the rabbit test.

With respect to the use of LAL to test for pyrogens in medical devices, the Bureau of Medical Devices will consider a device to be misbranded and/or adulterated as to pyrogenicity unless the manufacturer has submitted to the Bureau of Medical Devices data establishing that the LAL test that the manufacturer proposes to use is at least equivalent to the rabbit test, and the manufacturer has obtained written approval to use the LAL test from the director of the Bureau.

The Commissioner advises that manufacturers of human drugs other than licensed biologicals are not covered by this announcement. The conditions for use of the LAL test as a pyrogen test for human drugs other than biologicals will be published at a later date.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.77-31926 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-03]

[Docket No. 77F-0261]

MONSANTO CO.

Filing of Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Monsanto Co. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of polyamine-epichlorohydrin resin as a component of paper and paperboard in contact with foods.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John J. McAuliffe, Bureau of Foods (HFF-334), Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 200 C Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20204, 202-472-5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 7B3305) has been filed by Monsanto Co., 800 North Lindbergh Boulevard, St. Louis, Mo. 63166, proposing that § 176.170 *Components of paper and paperboard in contact with aqueous and fatty foods* (21 CFR 176.170) be amended to provide for the safe use of polyamine-epichlorohydrin resins having nitrogen, chlorine, and phosphorus contents differing from the currently regulated resins. The subject polyamine-epichlorohydrin resin is prepared by reacting hexamethylenediamine with 1, 2-dichloroethane to form a prepolymer and further reacting this prepolymer with epichlorohydrin. The resulting resin optionally may be modified with nitrilotris (methylenephosphonic acid), pentasodium salt. The resin is to be used as a component of paper and paperboard in contact with foods.

The environmental impact analysis report and other relevant material have been reviewed, and it has been determined that the proposed use of the additive will not have a significant environmental impact. Copies of the environmental impact analysis report may be seen in the office of the Hearing Clerk (HFC-20), Food and Drug Administration, Room 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20857, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: October 27, 1977.

HOWARD R. ROBERTS,
Acting Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.77-31928 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-35]

Health Care Financing Administration

TETRACYCLINE HCL, PROPOXYPHENE HCL, PROPOXYPHENE HCL WITH APC, AND CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE HCL
Public Hearing on Proposed Maximum Allowable Cost

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to 45 CFR Part 19, that the Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare proposes to establish Maximum Allowable Costs (MACs) for the following dosage forms and strengths of tetracycline HCL, propoxyphene HCL, propoxyphene HCL with APC, and chlordiazepoxide HCL.

1. Tetracycline HCL capsules, 250 mg, $0.0250 per capsule.
2. Tetracycline HCL capsules, 500 mg, $0.0465 per capsule.
3. Propoxyphene HCL capsules, 65 mg, $0.0317 per capsule.
4. Propoxyphene HCL with APC capsules, 65 mg, $0.0330 per capsule.
5. Chlordiazepoxide HCL capsules, 5 mg, $0.0270 per capsule.
6. Chlordiazepoxide HCL capsules, 10 mg, $0.0378 per capsule.
7. Chlordiazepoxide HCL capsules, 25 mg, $0.0640 per caspule.

The proposed MACs for propoxyphene HCL and propoxyphene HCL with APC will not apply to unit dose reverse number strip packaging for institutional use. This is being done in response to a comment received to the effect that this form of packaging, which is sold at a price above the proposed MAC, greatly facilitates inventory control procedures of these drugs which are controlled substances. Interested persons and organizations are invited to submit written comments on the proposed MACs on or before November 5, 1977. (30 days from publication date.)

Notice is also given that an informal public hearing has been scheduled on whether different, or any, MACs should be established for such drugs. The hearing is discussed more fully below.

Pursuant to the Department's regulations concerning Limitation on Payment or Reimbursement for Drugs (45 CFR Part 19) the Pharmaceutical Board sought the advice of the Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Advisory Committee on August 9, 1977 which met to consider the proposed MACs on September 28-30, 1977. The Committee's advice to the Board with regard to the proposed MACs is contained in Appendix A of this notice. The Board's reasons for its proposal as indicated above are contained in Appendix B of this notice. The full transcript and minutes of the Committee meeting, the minutes of the Board meeting, and the economic and quality data upon which the Committee and the Board based their decisions are available for review in the Office of the Acting Executive Secretary of the Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board at the address below.

PUBLIC HEARING

A public hearing will be held before the Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board at 10:00 a.m. on December 9, 1977 in Room 1331 Switzer Building, 330 C Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20201. In the conduct of the hearing, the requirements of Section 19.5(h) of the regulations will be strictly adhered to. In particular, it is emphasized that each person or organization wishing to appear at the hearing must, no later than 15 days prior to the hearing, submit in writing to the Board a statement of the general nature of the evidence or arguments, pertaining to the proposed MACs under consideration, they wish to present. The names and addresses of the proposed participants in the hearing and references to any published data to be relied upon must be included in the statement. Those so requesting to appear will be notified in writing whether they may appear, the time they will be allocated, and the issues on which they will be heard. Only the persons or organizations who have made such written requests, and whose requests to appear have been granted, will be permitted to present testimony.

All comments in response to this notice and all requests to appear at the informal hearing, should be addressed to the Acting Executive Secretary, Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board, Health Care Financing Administration, 3614 Switzer Building, 330 C Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20201.

All comments received will be maintained for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

VINCENT R. GARDNER,
Acting Executive Secretary, Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board.

APPENDIX A—ADVICE OF THE PHARMACEUTICAL REIMBURSEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONCERNING PROPOSED MACS

October 14, 1977.

Mr. VINCENT R. GARDNER,
Acting Executive Secretary, Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board,
3614 M. E. Switzer Bldg.,
330 C Street, SW.,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. GARDNER: In response to the August 9, 1977 request of the Board, the Advisory Committee met on September 28, 29, and 30, 1977 to review the Board's determination of maximum allowable cost limits on chlordiazepoxide HCL capsules, tetracycline HCL capsules, propoxyphene capsules and propoxyphene capsules with APC as provided under Section 19.5D of Title 45, Subtitle A, Code of Federal Regulations.

The Committee reviewed each of the Board's determinations for the lowest unit price together with such information which the Board relied upon or considered in making its determinations. The Committee also received written comments and heard oral presentations from Hoffman-LaRoche, Eli National Pharmaceutical Association in accordance with the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Wednesday, July 27, 1977.

On the basis of the information presented, the Committee reached the following conclusions:

1. The Committee has no reason, at this time to recommend against the establishment of MAC limits on tetracycline HCL 250 and 500 mg capsules based on quality or manufacturing considerations. The Committee, therefore, advises that it is appropriate to propose MAC limits for a tetracycline HCL 500 mg capsule at $0.0250 and for a tetracycline HCL 500 mg capsule at $0.0465.

2. The Committee advises that it would not be appropriate to set MAC limits on chlordiazepoxide HCL 5, 10, and 25 mg capsules on the basis that in the opinion of the Committee these dosage forms are not "widely and consistently available" to providers at the proposed MAC limits.

3. The Committee has no reason, at this time, to recommend against establishment of MAC limits on propoxyphene HCL and propoxyphene HCL with APC capsules based on quality or manufacturing considerations. The Committee, therefore, advises that it appropriate to propose a maximum allowable cost for a propoxyphene HCL 65 mg capsule at $0.0317 and $0.0330 for a 65 mg capsule of propoxyphene HCL with APC.

The Committee remains concerned about the unit does issue. Although the Committee did not make a specific recommendation regarding the applicability of MAC limits to unit-dose packaging, I believe that it was the intent of the Committee to recommend that Unit-dose packaging be exempted from applicable MAC limits.

Sincerely,

JAMES T. DOLUISIO, Ph.D.,
Chairman, Pharmaceutical, Reimbursement Advisory Committee.

APPENDIX B—SUMMARY OF REASONS FOR PROPOSING MAC LIMITS ON TETRACYCLINE HCL, PROPOXYPHENE HCL, PROPOXYPHENE HCL WITH APC AND CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE HCL

At its meeting of October 7, 1977, the Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Board considered the advice of the Pharmaceutical Reimbursement Advisory Commitee. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Advisory Committee that it would be appropriate to proposed establishment of MAC limits on tetracycline HCL 250 mg and 500 mg capsules and that these products are widely and consistently available at the proposed MAC limits of $0.0250 per 250 mg capsule and $0.0465 per 500 mg capsule. The Board also accepted the recommendation of the Advisory Committee that it would be appropriate to propose establishment of MAC limits on propoxyphene HCL 65 mg capsules as well as propoxyphene HCL with APC 65 mg capsules and that these products are widely and consistently available at the proposed MAC limits of $0.0317 and $0.0330 respectively.

The Board did not agree with the recommendation of the Advisory Committee that it would not be appropriate to set MAC limits on chlordiazepoxide HCL 5 mg, 10 mg, and 25 mg capsules on the grounds that the products in question are not widely and consistently available to providers at MAC limits of $0.0378 per 10 mg capsule, of $0.0270 per 5 mg capsule, and of $0.0640 per 25 mg capsule. The Board recognized the concern of the Committee that, with respect to the amounts of product presently purchased, there exists a disparity between the innovator's product and the products of other suppliers. It is the judgment of the Board, however, that these other products are widely and consistently available and that sufficient manufacturing capacity exists in the marketplace to enable producers of chlordiazepoxide HCL capsules to place supplies in the hands of providers sufficient to meet demands generated by HEW programs. The Board, therefore, proposed the MAC limits for chlordiazepoxide HCL indicated above. In recognition of the Committee's concern, the Board decided that the implementation of a final order for chlordiazepoxide HCL, if issued, should be delayed an additional 30 days beyond the usual 30 days from publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER implementation date to allow adequate time for supplies of the product (at or below the MAC limit) to be stocked by providers.

[FR Doc.77-32182 Filed 11-3-77;8:57 am]

[4110-83]

Health Resources Administration

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following National Advisory body scheduled to meet during the month of December 1977:

Name: Graduate Medical Education National Advisory Committee.

Date and time: December 8-9, 1977, 9:00 a.m.

Place: Conference Rooms G & H, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20857.

Open for entire meeting.

Purpose: The Graduate Medical Education National Advisory Committee is responsible for advising and making recommendations with respect to: (1) present and future supply and requirements of physicians by specialty and geographic location; (2) ranges and types of numbers of graduate training opportunities needed to approach a more desirable distribution of physician services; and (3) the impact of various activities which influence specialty distribution and the availability of training opportunities including systems of reimbursement and the financing of graduate medical education.

Agenda: Discussion will focus on the findings and observations of several technical panels which were established at the previous meeting of the Committee. These include issues associated with the financing of graduate medical education; implications of the increase of physician extenders; and issues involved in the development of manpower model. Further discussion of preliminary assumptions that may serve as guidelines for future GMENAC deliberations is also contemplated.

A portion of the meeting will be available for comments and participation by the public. Due to limited seating, attendance by the public will be provided on a first-come, first-served basis.

Anyone wishing to obtain a roster of members, minutes of meeting, or other relevant information should contact Dr. Frederick V. Featherstone, Bureau of Health Manpower, Room 4-42, Center Building, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, Md. 20782, Telephone 301-436-6430.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

Dated: October 26, 1977.

JAMES A. WALSH,
Associate Administrator for Operations and Management.

[FR Doc.77-31785 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Open Meetings

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meetings of committees advisory to the National Cancer Institute.

These meetings will be entirely open to the public to discuss issues relating to committee business as indicated in the notice. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. Meetings will be held at the National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Md. 20014, unless otherwise stated.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 4B43, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md. 20014, 301-496-5708, will furnish summaries of the meetings and rosters of committee members upon request.

Other information pertaining to the meeting can be obtained from the Executive Secretary indicated.

Name of committee: President's Cancer Panel.

Dates: December 6, 1977; 9:30 a.m. to adjournment.

Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 8, National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open for the entire meeting.

Agenda: To hear reports of the Chairman, President's Cancer Panel, and the Director, National Cancer Program, NCI.

Executive secretary: Dr. Richard A. Tjalma, Building 31A, Room 11A46, National Institutes of Health, 301-496-5854.

Name of committee: Chemical Selection Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens.

Dates: December 19, 1977; 8:30 a.m. to adjournment.
Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 6, National Institutes of Health.
Times: Open for the entire meeting.
Agenda: To consider chemicals for bioassay and other matters relevant to chemical selection.
Executive secretary: Dr. J. Dan Recer, Building 31, Room 4B35, National Institutes of Health, 301-496-2083.
Name of committee: Experimental Design Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens.
Dates: December 20, 1977; 8:30 a.m. to adjournment.
Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 6, National Institutes of Health.
Times: Open for the entire meeting.
Agenda: To discuss experimental design for bioassay and other matters relevant to experimental design.
Executive Secretary: Dr. J. Dan Recer, Building 31, Room 4B35, National Institutes of Health, 301-496-2083.

Dated: October 25, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31837 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

National Institutes of Health

AGING REVIEW COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Aging Review Committee, National Institute on Aging, on December 9, 1977, in Building 31C, Conference Room 8, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.

The meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. on December 9 for introductory remarks. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on December 9 from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion, and evaluation of individual grant applications.

These applications and the discussions could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Suzanna H. Porter, Committee Management Officer, NIA, Building 31, Room 5C07, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., Area Code 301, 496-5345, will provide summaries of meetings and rosters of Committee members as well as substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.866, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: October 25, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31840 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

BLADDER CANCER SCREENING

Meeting

Notice is hereby given of a State-of-the-Art Conference on Bladder Cancer Screening, sponsored by the Division of Cancer Control and Rehabilitation, National Cancer Institute to be held December 5-7, 1977 at the Dulles-Marriott Hotel, Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, Va.

from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on December 5 and 6 and from 9:00 a.m. to adjournment at noon on December 7.

The meeting will be open to the public

The purpose of the conference is to review and evaluate the techniques which have been proposed for bladder cancer screening, the experience gained in screening programs which have already been undertaken in Great Britain and the United States, and the information available on the influence of early detection on the effectiveness of treatment.

Those wishing to attend should contact Dr. Margaret H. Sloan, Special Assistant to the Director of the Division of Cancer Control and Rehabilitation, Blair Building, Room 722, Telephone (301) 427-7968 who will provide substantive program information.

Dated: October 26, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31836 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

COOLEY'S ANEMIA RESEARCH AND TREATMENT

Meeting

In compliance with the intent of Congress, as stated in the Report of the House Committee on Appropriations (95th Congress), to assess the state-of-the-art in Cooley's anemia research and treatment, notice is hereby given of a meeting with pediatric hematologists; basic scientists; a population geneticist; and family health, public health, and nurse practitioners who have had experience with Cooley's anemia patients and their families sponsored by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Tuesday, November 29, 1977, in Building 31, Wing C, Conference Room 8, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md. 20014.

This meeting will be open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment. The participants will consider the dimension of the problem; the public and private resources for the diagnosis of, screening for, and research on Cooley's anemia; and the most fruitful areas for future research. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Mr. John B. Lyons, Division of Blood Diseases and Resources, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Building 31, Room 4A-21, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, phone (301) 496-3533 will provide additional information.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31835 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

MENTAL RETARDATION RESEARCH COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Mental Retardation Research Committee, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, on December 8-9, 1977, in the Landow Building, Room C-418, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Bethesda, Md.

This meeting will be open to the public on December 8 from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. to discuss items relative to the Committee's activities including announcements by the Chief of the Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Branch and the Acting Executive Secretary of the Committee.

In accordance with provisions set forth in Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on December 8 from 3:00 p.m. to adjournment on December 9 for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual grant applications. The applications and the discussions could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Marjorie Neff, Committee Management Officer, NICHD, Building 31, Room 2A-04, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, Area Code 301, 496-1848, will provide a summary of the meeting and roster of committee members. Dr. Jane Showacre, Acting Executive Secretary, Mental Retardation Research Committee, NICHD, Landow Building, Room C-704, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., Area Code 301-496-1383, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.865, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: October 25, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer,
National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31839 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE COMMITTEES

Renewals

The Director, National Institutes of Health, announces the renewal of charters on October 11, 1977, of the advisory committees indicated below by the Di-

rector, National Cancer Institute, under the authority of Sections 410(a)(3) and 410A(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 USC 286d and 286e). Such advisory committees shall be governed by the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463) setting forth standards governing the establishment and use of advisory committees: Name:

National Bladder Cancer Project Working Cadre
National Large Bowel Cancer Project Working Cadre
National Pancreatic Cancer Project Working Cadre
National Prostatic Cancer Project Working Cadre

Purpose. These committees provide to the Director, NCI, and the Director, Division of Cancer Research Resources and Centers NCI, advice on the planning and review of programs of research, and on the review of grant applications submitted in response to publication of research plans. Authority for these committees will expire December 31, 1977, unless renewed by appropriate action as authorized by law.

Dated: October 21, 1977.

DONALD S. FREDRICKSON,
Director, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31834 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-08]

DIVISION OF CANCER TREATMENT; NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE

Meeting

Notice is hereby given of the Cooperative Group Chairmen Meeting of the Clinical Investigations Branch, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, December 14, 1977, Building 31, C Wing, Conference Room 7, Bethesda, Md. 20014.

This meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 a.m. to adjournment to discuss and review cooperative group clinical, operational, and procedural activities. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

For additional information, please contact Dr. Hugh L. Davis, Jr., Special Assistant for the Clinical Investigations Branch, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Room 8C03, Landow Building, Bethesda, Md. 20014, 301-496-6056.

Dated: October 26, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31841 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-12]

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation

[Contract No. HEW-100-77-0114]

DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF A SERIES OF CONFERENCES, WORKSHOPS AND SEMINARS

Contract Award

Pursuant to Section 606 of the Community Services Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-644), 42 U.S.C. 2946, this agency announces the award of Contract No. HEW-100-77-0114 to Mark Battle Associates, Inc., 1019 19th Street NW., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20036 for a project entitled, "Development and Management of a Series of Conferences, Workshops and Seminars." The purpose of this project is to analyze and to review the procedures and problems related to collecting, processing and analyzing survey data. This shall be accomplished by the issuance of individual task orders for: (1) The development and management of a series of conferences, workshops and seminars; and (2) the production of technical products, including the writing and reviewing of questionnaires and other survey instruments. The first two task orders under this contract are for the development of a workshop on the lessons that can be learned from the social experiments regarding the collection of family income data and on data processing for a longitudinal survey. The proposed cost of this contract for FY 1977 is $43,832.83 with additional funds to be obligated in FY 1978. The intended completion date is September 30, 1978.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

HENRY AARON,
Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc.77-31996 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-89]

Office of Education

FUND FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

Closing Date for Receipt of Preapplications and Applications for Fiscal Year 1978

Notice is hereby given that under the authority contained in section 404 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1221d), "Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education," preapplications for new comprehensive program projects are being accepted from institutions of postsecondary education and other public and private educational institutions and agencies for grants and contracts directed toward the improvement of postsecondary education.

In fiscal year 1978, $12 million will be available for approximately 160 projects including both new comprehensive program projects and continuing projects. Grants, which in past years have averaged $71,000, will be awarded for a twelve month grant period. Applicants may, however, request approval of a multi-year work plan of up to three years in duration.

Closing dates: Preapplications—January 16, 1978. Final applications—March 21, 1978.

A. PREAPPLICATIONS SENT BY MAIL

A preapplication sent by mail should be addressed as follows: Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.925A. In an effort to prevent the late arrivals of applications due to inclement weather, natural disasters, delayed airline flight, tardy messenger service, or any other unusual circumstances, the Fund suggests that applicants consider the use of registered or certified mail as explained below.

A preapplication sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Assistant Secretary for Education if:

(1) The preapplication was sent by registered or certified mail not later than January 11, 1978, as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The preapplication is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail room in Washington, D.C. In establishing the date of reecipt, the Assistant Secretary for Education will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.

B. FINAL APPLICATIONS SENT BY MAIL

Final applications are submitted by applicants whose preapplications are approved. Final applications sent by mail should be addressed as follows: Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.925A. In an effort to prevent the late arrival of applications due to inclement weather, natural disasters, delayed airline flight, tardy messenger service, or any other unusual circumstances, the Fund suggests that applicants consider the use of registered or certified mail as explained below. A final application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Assistant Secretary for Education if:

(1) A final application was sent by registered or certified mail not later

than March 16, 1978, as evidence by the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail room in Washington, D.C. In establishing the date of receipt, the Assistant Secretary for Education will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.

C. HAND DELIVERED PREAPPLICATIONS AND FINAL APPLICATIONS

A preapplication or final application to be hand delivered must be taken to the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 3123, Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Washington, D.C., time except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holidays. Neither preapplications nor final applications will be accepted after 4 p.m. on their respective closing dates.

D. PROGRAM INFORMATION AND FORMS

Information and preapplication forms may be obtained from the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 3123, Washington, D.C. 20202.

E. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

Awards under this program will be subject to the Regulations published in 45 CFR Part 1501 (40 FR 12266 (March 18, 1975)), as amended at 41 FR 8790 (March 1, 1976).

(20 U.S.C. 1221d.)

Dated: October 28, 1977.

PETER D. RELIC,
(Acting) Assistant Secretary for Education.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 13.925, Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education.)

[FR Doc.77-32019 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-89]

FUND FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

Closing Date for Receipt of Non-Competing Continuation Applications for Fiscal Year 1978

Notice is hereby given that under the authority contained in section 404 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1221d), "Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education," non-competing continuation applications from grantees are being accepted this year.

In fiscal year 1978, $12 million will be available for approximately 160 projects, including both new comprehensive program projects and continuing projects. Grants, which in past years have averaged $71,000, will be awarded for a twelve month period.

Closing date: Non-competing continuations—February 1, 1978.

A. NON-COMPETING CONTINUATION APPLICATIONS SENT BY MAIL

A non-competing continuation application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.925D. In an effort to prevent the late arrival of applications due to inclement weather, natural disasters, delayed airline flight, tardy messenger service, or any other unusual circumstances, the Fund suggests that applicants consider the use of registered or certificed mail as explained below.

A non-competing continuation application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Assistant Secretary for Education if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than January 27, 1978, as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare or the U.S. Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. In establishing the date of receipt, the Assistant Secretary for Education will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.

B. HAND DELIVERED NON-COMPETING CONTINUATION APPLICATIONS

A non-competing continuation application to be hand delivered must be taken to the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, Room 3123, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Washington, D.C., time, except Saturday, Sundays, or Federal holidays.

C. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

Awards under this program will be subject to the regulations published in 45 CFR Part 1501 (40 FR 12266 (March 18, 1975)), as amended at 41 FR 8790 (March 1, 1976).

(20 U.S.C. 1221d.)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 13.925, Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education.)

Dated: October 28, 1977.

PETER D. RELIC,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Education.

[FR Doc.77-32020 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-12]

Office of the Secretary

PRESIDENT'S COMMITTEE ON MENTAL RETARDATION

Cancellation of Meeting

The meeting of the President's Committee on Mental Retardation scheduled for October 28, 29, and 30, 1977, at the Chateau Motor Hotel, 201 Lake Street. Shreveport, La. is hereby cancelled.

Further information on the President's Committee on Mental Retardation may be obtained from Mr. Fred J. Krause, Executive Director, President's Committee on Mental Retardation, Room 2614, ROB No. 3, 7th and D Streets SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, telephone: 202-245-7634.

Dated: October 20, 1977.

FRED J. KRAUSE,
Executive Director, President's Committee on Mental Retardation.

[FR Doc.77-32003 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4110-07]

SOCIAL SECURITY CONTRIBUTION AND BENEFIT BASE

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Secretary has determined and announces the social security contribution and benefit base to be $17,700 with respect to remuneration paid in, and taxable years beginning in, 1978. He has also determined and announces the monthly exempt amount under the social security retirement test to be $270 for taxable years ending in calendar year 1978. Also authorized and published is a table reflecting the new higher average monthly wage and related benefit amounts made possible by the higher contribution and benefit base.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harry Ballantyne, Office of the Actuary, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Md. 21235, telepsone 301-594-2466.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Sections 203(f)(8) and 230 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 403(f)(8) and 430), as amended by section 8 (h) and (i) of Pub. L. 94-202), require the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER on or before November 1, 1977, the contribution and benefit base and the retirement test exempt amount for calendar year 1978.

ACTUARIAL COMPUTATIONS

Each of the 1978 amounts of $270 and $17,700 for the retirement test monthly

exempt amount and the contribution and benefit base, respectively, is determined according to a formula specified in the law, which automatically produces a mathematical result based upon reported statistics.

Section 203(f)(8) of the Social Security Act provides that the retirement test monthly exempt amount for 1978 shall be equal to the 1977 amount of $250 multiplied by the ratio of (1) the average amount, per employee, of the taxable wages of all employees reported under the program for the first calendar quarter of 1976 to (2) the average amount of such wages reported for the first calendar quarter of 1975. The section further provides that if the amount so determined is not a multiple of $10, it shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of $10.

Similarly, section 230 of the Social Security Act provides that the contribution and benefit base for 1978 shall be equal to the 1977 amount of $16,500 multiplied by the ratio of (1) the average amount, per employee, of the taxable wages of all employees reported under the program for the first calendar quarter of 1976 to (2) the average amount of such wages reported for the first calendar quarter of 1975. The section further provides that if the amount so determined is not a multiple of $300, it shall be rounded to the nearest mulitple of $300.

The data used to make the necessary computations of such average taxable wages were derived from reports submitted to the Social Security Administration of taxable wages paid to employees by their employers. Each quarter, taxable wages are posted to the record of earnings of each individual employee for whom wages were reported. These records are referred to hereinafter as Summary Earnings Record. As the wages were posted to the Summary Earnings Records, the data were tabulated on a 100-percent basis to obtain the total amount of reported taxable wages and the total number of employees for whom such wages were reported. The tabulated data on taxable wages reported for the first calendar quarter of each year 1975 and 1976 were limited to those wages that were reported and posted to the Summary Earnings Records by the end of the quarterly updating operations completed in September of the same year.

About 70.6 million employees had taxable wages reported for the first calendar quarter of 1975 that were posted to the Summary Earnings Records by the end of September 1975, and the average amount of their taxable wages was $2,157.73 per employee. The corresponding number of employees and average amount of taxable wages for the first calendar quarter of 1976 were 72.8 million and $2,306.62, respectively. The ratio of average taxable wages reported for the first quarter of 1976 to average taxable wages reported for the first quarter of 1975 is therefore 1.069003.

Retirement Test Exempt Amount

Multiplying the 1977 retirement test monthly exempt amount of $250 by the ratio of 1.069003 produces the amount of $267.25, which must then be rounded to $270. Accordingly, the retirement test exempt amount for taxable years ending in calendar year 1978 is $270 on a monthly basis, or $3,240 on an annual basis.

Contribution and Benefit Base

Multiplying the 1977 contribution and benefit base of $16,500 by the ratio of 1.069003 produces the amount of $17,638.55, which must then be rounded to $17,700. Accordingly, the contribution and benefit base for remuneration paid in, and taxable years beginning in, calendar year 1978 is $17,700.

Extension Table Effective January 1978

The following is an extension of the Table for Determining Primary Insurance Amount and Maximum Family Benefits provided in section 215(a) of the Social Security Act. This extension reflects the new higher average monthly wage and related benefit amounts now possible under the increased contribution and benefit base promulgated herein effective January 1978 in accordance with section 215(i) of the Social Security Act.

Table for Determining Primary Insurance Amount and Maximum Family Benefits Beginning January 1978

I	II	III		IV	V
(Primary insurance benefit under 1939 act, as modified)	(Primary insurance amount effective for June 1976)	(Average monthly wage)		(Primary insurance amount)	(Maximum family benefits)
If an individual's primary insurance benefit (as determined under subsec. (d)) is—	Or his primary insurance amount (as determined under subsec. (c)) is—	Or his average monthly wage (as determined under subsec. (b)) is—		The amount referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection shall be—	And the maximum amount of benefits payable (as provided in sec. 203(a)) on the basis of his wages and self-employment income shall be—
At least—	But not more than—	At least—	But not more than—		
----------	----------	$1,376	$1,380	$633.90	$1,109.40
----------	----------	1,831	1,385	634.90	1,111.10
----------	----------	1,386	1,390	635.90	1,112.90
----------	----------	1,391	1,395	636.90	1,114.60
----------	----------	1,396	1,400	637.90	1,116.40
----------	----------	1,401	1,405	638.90	1,118.10
----------	----------	1,406	1,410	639.90	1,119.90
----------	----------	1,411	1,415	640.90	1,121.60
----------	----------	1,416	1,420	641.90	1,123.40
----------	----------	1,421	1,425	642.90	1,125.10
----------	----------	1,426	1,430	643.90	1,126.90
----------	----------	1,431	1,435	644.90	1,128.60
----------	----------	1,436	1,440	645.90	1,130.40
----------	----------	1,441	1,445	646.90	1,132.10
----------	----------	1,446	1,450	647.90	1,133.90
----------	----------	1,451	1,455	648.90	1,135.60
----------	----------	1,456	1,460	649.90	1,137.40
----------	----------	1,461	1,465	650.90	1,139.10
----------	----------	1,466	1,470	651.90	1,140.90
----------	----------	1,471	1,475	652.90	1,142.60

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs Nos. 13.802-5, and 13.807, Social Security Programs.)

Dated: October 31, 1977.

JOSEPH A. CALIFANO, Jr.,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31955 Filed 11-1-77;1:12 pm]

[4110-08]

Public Health Service

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE GENETICS COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be sponsored by the Public Health Service Genetics Coordinating Committee to develop information in regard to the "National Sickle Cell Anemia, Cooley's Anemia, Tay Sachs and Genetic Diseases Act," (Title IV, Public Law 94-278, hereafter called the National Genetic Diseases Act).

The National Genetic Diseases Act was enacted on April 22, 1976. It provided authorization for a national program for all genetic diseases. The PHS Genetics Coordinating Committee serves as a focal point for all PHS programs and activities relating to genetic disease. The December hearing will provide an opportunity for interested persons to present to the Committee relevant views concerning the implementation of the present law and the needs of a genetic services program. Testimony is specifically invited toward the statement and documentation of needs; recommendations for implementation of the Act; and recommendations for future policy directions in genetic disease programs.

The hearing will be held on December 7 and 8, 1977, in Wilson Hall, Building 1, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland, starting at 9:00 a.m. Persons wishing to testify are invited to submit their requests to the Committee in accordance with the directions given below. The hearing will be open to the public, subject to the limitation of available space. Since some people will be in attendance for specific

portions of the meeting only, space may become available at different time periods during the meeting.

The meeting will be divided into a series of consecutive discussions of selected topic areas relevant to the provisions of the Act. These topic areas are divided into six panels as follows:

Panel One—Screening Porgrams.
Panel Two—Genetic Counseling.
Panel Three—Professional and Public Education.
Panel Four—Ethical-Legal Concerns.
Panel Five—Research and Research Training (Basic Biomedical Research and Research on Health Services).
Panel Six—Putting It All Together.

Panels One and Two are scheduled for December 7. Panels Three, Four, Five, and Six are scheduled for December 8.

REQUESTS TO TESTIFY

In order to schedule a wide variety of views for presentation, an individual will be permitted to select only one panel for oral testimony. The committee reserves the right to decline the offer of oral testimony for reasons of available time, relevance, or prior receipt of similar testimony. Each presentation will also be limited to five minutes, followed by a question and answer period.

Any individual wishing to present a statement at the hearing must submit his or her proposed statement in writing, not later than 5:00 p.m. on November 15, 1977. The request should indicate the panel to which the testimony is directed. Testimony should be submitted to Dr. Nancy Wexler, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 8A11, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (Telephone (301) 496-9275). A detailed agenda for the hearing may be obtained from the same person.

Those who cannot attend the hearing but would like to submit a written statement are encouraged to do so. Written materials of any length may be submitted, and such statements will be accepted at any time prior to the hearing.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-31838 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Interstate Land Sales Registration

[Docket Nos. N-77-807, 77-139-IS; OILSR No. 0-03380-49-449]

AQUILLA CREEK ESTATES

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that:

1. Aquilla Creek Estates, ANTEC of America I, a limited partnership and Jack M. Solens, Managing General Partner, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 22, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45 (a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Aquilla Creek Estates, located in Hill County, Tex., contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received October 12, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *It is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. on December 1, 1977, at 10 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witneses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 15, 1977. Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 18, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31933 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket Nos. N-77-808; 77-134-IS; OILSR No. O-2142-07-5]

BANKS ACRES, BANKSVILLE PARK AND BANKS HARBOR RETREAT

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that:

1. Banks Acres, Banksville Park and Banks Harbor Retreat, Elwood R. Banks, Sr., President, and Banks Development, Inc., its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 15, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Banks Acres, Banksville Park and Banks Harbor Retreat, located in Sussex County, Del., contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received October 4 and 5, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d)2, *It is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. on November 14, 1977, at 10 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 9, 1977. Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 19, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31934 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket Nos. N-77-806; 77-133-IS; OILSR No. 0-2376-49-162]

BRIERWOOD BAY

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that:

1. Brierwood Bay, Willis Properties, Inc. and Lem J. Willis, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 9, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Brierwood Bay, located in Henderson County, Tex., contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received September 29 and 30, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *It is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. on November 28, 1977, at 10 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 17, 1977. Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 19, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31935 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket Nos. N-77-809, 77-135-IS; OILSR No. 0-3269-415]

INDIAN SHORES

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that: 1. Indian Shores, Indian Shores, Inc. and Howard W. Edmunds, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 15, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Indian Shores, located in Harris County, Texas, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received October 4 and 5, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *It is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. on November 15, 1977 at 10:00 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C,, 20410 on or before November 9, 1977.

Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 19, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31936 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket Nos. N-77-805, 77-138-IS; OILSR No. 0-3651-49-551]

LAKEAIRE

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that: 1. Lakeaire, Lake Forest Corporation and Frank W. Allen, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 26, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Lakeaire, located in Bell County, Texas, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received October 14 and 17, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *it is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. on December 2, 1977 at 10:00 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 18, 1977.

Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.-45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 20, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31937 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket Nos. N-77-810, 77-141-IS; OILSR No. 0-2564-04-499 and (A)]

MONTGOMERY ESTATES, UNITS 4, 5 AND 7 AND MEADOW LAKES UNIT NO. 1

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given

that: 1. Montgomery Estates, Units 4, 5 and 7 and Medow Lakes Unit No. 1, David D. Bohannon Organization and Ray T. Marsh, Vice President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 26, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Montgomery Estates, Units 4, 5 and 7 and Meadow Lakes Unit No. 1, located in El Dorado County, California, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received October 12 and 13, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *it is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. on November 18, 1977 at 10:00 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 10, 1977. Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 19, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31938 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. N-77-812,
OILSR No. 0-2220-49-125]

PINE TRAIL SHORES

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that: 1. Pine Trail Shores, Eastern Resort Properties, Inc. and R. L. Crosier, Secretary and Authorized Agent, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 9, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Pine Trail Shores, located in Smith County, Texas, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received September 26 and 27, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *it is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. on November 22, 1977 at 10:00 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 11, 1977.

Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 19, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31939 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket Nos. N-77-811, 77-144-IS;
OILSR No. 0-4370-04-799]

TAHOE TYROL

Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b) Notice is hereby given that: 1. Tahoe Tyrol, David D. Bohannon Organization and Ray T. Marsh, Vice President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, et seq.) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing dated September 26, 1977, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b), 24 CFR 1710.45(a)(1) and 1720.120 based on information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration showing that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Tahoe Tyrol located in El Dorado County, California, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received October 12 and 13, 1977, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), *it is hereby ordered*, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7143, Department of HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. on November 17, 1977 at 10:00 a.m.

5. The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: The parties are directed to file all affidavits and a list of all witnesses with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10278, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before November 10, 1977.

Copies of all documents filed should be served at the same time on all parties of record.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above

default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an Order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: October 19, 1977.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-31940 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-84]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[AA-6706-A through AA-6706-D]

ALASKA

Alaska Native Claims Selection

On January 25, and October 11, 1974, Twin Hills Native Corporation, for the Native village of Twin Hills, filed selection applications AA-6706-A through AA-6706-D under the provisions of section 12 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 701; 43 U.S.C. 1601, 1611 (Supp. V, 1975)), for the surface estate of certain lands in the Twin Hills area.

As to the lands described below, the applications, as amended, are properly filed and meet the requirements of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act and of the regulations issued pursuant thereto. These lands do not include any lawful entry perfected under or being maintained in compliance with laws leading to acquisition of title.

In view of the foregoing, the surface estate of the following described lands, selected pursuant to section 12(a), aggregating approximately 65,905.96 acres, is considered proper for acquisition by Twin Hills Native Corporation and is hereby approved for conveyance pursuant to section 14(a) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act:

SEWARD MERIDIAN, ALASKA (UNSURVEYED)

T. 12 S., R. 64 W.
Secs. 6 and 7, all;
Secs. 18 and 19, all;
Secs. 27 to 34, inclusive, all.
Containing approximately 7,651 acres.

T. 12 S., R. 65 W.
Secs. 1 to 5, inclusive, excluding the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 6, excluding the Togiak River;
Secs. 7 to 30, inclusive, excluding the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 31, excluding Native allotment AA-7409 and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 32, excluding Native allotment AA-7414 and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Secs. 33 to 36, inclusive, excluding the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River).
Containing approximately 22,638 acres.

T. 13 S., R. 65 W.
Secs. 2 to 11, inclusive, all;
Secs. 14 to 23, inclusive, all;
Secs. 26 to 36, inclusive, all.
Containing approximately 19,639 acres.

T. 13 S., R. 66 W.
Secs. 1 and 2, excluding the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Secs. 3 and 4, excluding Tracts A and B of U.S. Survey 5580 and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 5, excluding the Togiak River and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 6, excluding Native allotment AA-6623 and the Togiak River;
Sec. 7, (fractional), excluding the Togiak River and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 8, excluding the Togiak River and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Secs. 9 and 10, excluding Tracts A and B of U.S. Survey 5580 and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Secs. 11 to 15, inclusive, all;
Sec. 16, excluding the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 17 (fractional), excluding Native allotment AA-7330 and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 18 (fractional), excluding U.S. Survey 878; the Togiak River and the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River);
Sec. 20 (fractional), excluding Native Allotment AA-7330;
Sec. 21 (fractional), all;
Secs. 22 to 26, inclusive, all;
Secs. 27 and 28 (fractional), all;
Sec. 34 (fractional), excluding Native allotment AA-7415;
Secs. 35 and 36, all.
Containing approximately 15,977.96 acres.

The conveyance issued for the surface estate of the lands described above shall contain the following reservations to the United States:

1. A right-of-way thereon for ditches and canals constructed by the authority of the United States, as prescribed and directed by the act of August 30, 1890, 26 Stat. 391, 43 U.S.C. 945;

2. A right-of-way thereon for the construction of railroads, telegraph, and telephone lines, as prescribed and directed by the act of March 12, 1914, 38 Stat. 305, 43 U.S.C. 975d;

3. The subsurface estate therein, and all rights, privileges, immunities, and appurtances, of whatsoever nature, accruing unto said estate pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 704; 43 U.S.C. 1601, 1613(f) (Supp. V, 1975)); and

4. Pursuant to section 17(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 708; 43 U.S.C. 1601, 1616(b) (Supp. V, 1975)), the following public easements, reference by easement identification number (EIN) on the easement maps in case file AA-6706-EE, are reserved to the United States and subject to further regulation thereby:

a. (EIN 1 C1, C5, D9) A continuous linear easement twenty-five (25) feet in width upland of and parallel to the mean high tide line in order to provide access to and along the marine coastline and use of such shore for purposes such as beaching of watercraft or aircraft, travel along the shore, recreation, and other similar uses. Deviations from the waterline are permitted when specific conditions so require, e.g., impassable topography or waterfront obstruction. This easement is subject to the right of the owner of the servient estate to build upon such easement a facility for public or private purposes, such right to be exercised reasonably and without undue or unnecessary interference with or obstruction of the easement. When access along the marine coastline easement is to be obstructed, the owner of the servient estate will be obligated to convey to the United States an acceptable alternate access route, at no cost to the United States, prior to the creation of such obstruction.

b. (EIN 2 C1, C5, C6, D9, L) A streamside easement twenty-five (25) feet in width upland of and parallel to the ordinary high water mark on all banks of the navigable Togiak River from its mouth upstream, crossing Togiak village lands, where the easement is identified as EIN 10 C6, C1, D9, D1, L, and continuing across Twin Hills village lands to the northern border of section 6, T. 12 S., R. 65 W., Seward Meridian. Purpose is to provide for public use of waters having highly significant present recreational use.

c. (EIN 2a C1, C5, C6, D9, L) A streamside easement twenty-five (25) feet in width upland of and parallel to the ordinary high water mark on all banks of the navigable east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River) from its mouth upstream to the northern border of section 4, T. 12 S., R. 65 W., Seward Meridian. Purpose is to provide for public use of waters having highly significant present recreational use.

d. (EIN 3 C5, D9, L) A site easement upland of the ordinary high water mark in section 28, T. 13 S., R. 65 W., Seward Meridian, on the left bank of the Negukthlik River where trail EIN 5 C5 crosses the river. The site is one (1) acre in size with an additional twenty-five (25) foot wide easement on the bed of the river along the entire waterfront of the site. The site is for camping, vehicle use, and staging.

e. (EIN 4 D9) A streamside easement twenty-five (25) feet in width upland of and parallel to the ordinary high water mark on all banks and an easement on the entire bed of the Ungalikthluk River from the eastern border of section 36, T. 13 S., R. 65 W., Seward Meridian, downstream to the southern border of sections 35 and 36, T. 13 S., R. 65 W., Seward Meridian. Purpose is to provide for public use of waters having highly significant present recreational use.

f. (EIN 5 C5) An easement for the existing Togiak to Manokotak access trail twenty-five (25) feet in width, identified as EIN 24 C5 as it crosses Togiak village lands and as EIN 5 C5 as it continues across Twin Hills village lands from the western boundary of section 6, T. 13 S., R. 66 W., Seward Meridian, easterly to public lands. The usage of roads and trails will be controlled by applicable State or Federal law or regulation.

g. (EIN 6 C4, D9) A one (1) acre site easement upland of the ordinary high water mark in section 4, T. 13 S., R. 66 W., Seward Meridian, on the right bank of the east fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River) where existing trail EIN 5 C5 crosses the river. The site is for camping, vehicle use, and staging.

h. (EIN 8 D9) A one (1) acre site easement upland of the ordinary high water mark in section 6, T. 13 S., R. 66 W., Seward Meridian, on the right bank of the Togiak River where existing trail EIN 5 C5 crosses the river. The site is for camping, vehicle use, and staging.

i. (EIN 9 C) The right of the United States to enter upon the lands hereinabove granted for cadastral, geodetic, or other survey purposes is reserved, together with the right to do all things necessary in connection therewith.

j. (EIN 10 C) Easements for the transportation of energy, fuel, and natural resources which are the property of the United States or which are intended for delivery to the United States or which are produced by the United States. These easements also include the right to build any related facilities necessary for the exercise of the right to transport energy, fuel, and natural resources, including those related facilities necessary during periods of planning, locating, constructing, operating, maintaining, or terminating transportation systems. The specific location of these easements shall be determined only after consultation with the owner of the servient estate. Whenever the use of such easements will require removal or relocation of any structure owned or authorized by the owner of the servient estate, such use shall not be initiated without the consent of the owner of such improvement; provided, however, that the United States may exercise the right of eminent domain if such consent is not given. Only those portions of these easements that are actually in use or that are expressly authorized on March 3, 1996, shall continue to be in force.

k. (EIN 11 D9) A site easement upland of the ordinary high water mark in sections 6 and 7, T. 13 S., R. 65 W., Seward Meridian, on the southwest shore of an unnamed lake. The site is three (3) acres in size with an additional twenty-five by one thousand (25 x 1,000) foot extension along the shore on each side of the site and a twenty-five (25) foot easement on the bed of the lake along the entire waterfront of the site and extensions. The site is for camping, vehicle use, and staging.

The grant of lands shall be subject to:

1. Issuance of a patent confirming the boundary description of the lands hereinabove granted after approval and filing by the Bureau of Land Management of the official plat of survey covering such lands;

2. Valid existing rights therein, if any, including but not limited to those created by any lease (including a lease issued under section 6(g) of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339, 341; 48 U.S.C. Ch. 2, Sec. 6(g) (1970))), contract, permit, right-of-way, or easement, and the right of the lessee, contractee, permittee, or grantee to the complete enjoyment of all rights, privileges, and benefits thereby granted to him;

3. Requirements of section 14(c) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (85 Stat. 688, 703; 43 U.S.C. 1601, 1613(c) (Supp. V, 1975)), that the grantee hereunder convey those portions, if any, of the lands hereinabove granted, as are prescribed in said section;

4. Airport lease AA-8212, containing approximately 106.2 acres, located in sections 3 and 10, T. 13 S., R. 66 W., Seward Meridian, issued to the State of Alaska, Division of Aviation, under the provisions of the act of May 24, 1928 (45 Stat. 728-729; 49 U.S.C. 211-214 (1970)); and

5. The terms and conditions of the agreement dated January 18, 1977, between the Secretary of the Interior, Bristol Bay Native Corporation, Twin Hills Native Corporation, and other Bristol Bay village corporations. A copy of the agreement shall be attached to and become a part of the conveyance document and shall be recorded therewith. A copy of the agreement is located in the Bureau of Land Management easement case file for Twin Hills Native Corporation, serialized AA-6706-EE. Any person wishing to examine this agreement may do so at the Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State Office, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

Conveyance of the remaining entitlement to Twin Hills Native Corporation will be made at a later date. Pursuant to section 14(f) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, conveyance of the subsurface estate of the lands described above shall be granted to Bristol Bay Native Corporation when conveyance is granted to Twin Hills Native Corporation for the surface estate, and shall be subject to the same conditions as the surface conveyance.

Only the following inland water bodies, within the described lands, are considered to be navigable:

Togiak River
East fork of the Togiak River (Twin Hills River)

In accordance with Departmental regulation 43 CFR 2650.7(d), notice of this decision is being published once in the FEDERAL REGISTER and once a week, for four (4) consecutive weeks, in the ANCHORAGE DAILY TIMES. Any party claiming a property interest in the lands affected by this decision may appeal the decision to the Alaska Native Claims Appeal Board, P.O. Box 2433, Anchorage, Alaska 99510 with a copy served upon both the Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State office, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 and the Regional Solicitor, Office of the Solicitor, 510 L Street, Suite 408, Anchorage, Alaska 99501, also:

1. Any party receiving service of this decision shall have 30 days from the receipt of this decision to file an appeal.

2. Any unknown parties, any parties unable to be located after reasonable efforts have been expended to locate, and any parties who failed or refused to sign the return receipt shall have until December 5, 1977 to file an appeal.

3. Any party known or unknown who may claim a property interest which is adversely affected by this decision shall be deemed to have waived those rights which were adversely affected unless an appeal is timely filed with the Alaska Native Claims Appeal Board.

4. If Twin Hills Native Corporation or Bristol Bay Native Corporation objects to any easement which is identified herein for reservation in the conveyance, which is subject to the discretion of the State Director and not reserved pursuant to an express Secretarial directive, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within 30 days from receipt of service with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501. A copy of the petition should be served upon the Regional Solicitor, Office of the Solicitor, 510 L Street, Suite 408, Anchorage, Alaska 99501. If a petition for reconsideration is not filed, it will be deemed that the right to contest any such easement has been waived.

To avoid summary dismissal of the appeal, there must be strict compliance with the regulations governing such appeal. Further information on the manner of, and requirements for, filing an appeal may be obtained from the Bureau of Land Management, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

ROBERT W. ARNDORFER,
Chief, Division of Technical Services.

[FR Doc.77-31973 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

Office of the Secretary

JULIUS BLEIWEIS

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) No change.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 7, 1977.

Dated: October 12, 1977.

JULIUS BLEIWEIS.

[FR Doc.77-32004 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

VON C. BRADFORD

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Pro-

duction Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No Change
(2) No Change
(3) No Change
(4) No Change

This statement is made as of October 7, 1977.

Dated: October 23, 1977.

VON C. BRADFORD.

[FR Doc.77-32005 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

EDWARD R. COWLES

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) No change.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 4, 1977.

Dated: September 30, 1977.

EDWARD R. COWLES.

[FR Doc.77-32006 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

FREDERICK W. HOEY

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) Purchased 3 shares of Boston Edison Co. Stock.
(2) No change.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 4, 1977.

Dated: 9/23/77.

F. W. HOEY.

[FR Doc.77-32007 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

HERBERT H. HUNT

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) Bought: 500 shares, Pain Suppression Lab. Sold: 100 shares, KRM; 100 shares, Vipont Chemical.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of September 3, 1977.

Dated: October 17, 1977.

H. H. HUNT.

[FR Doc.77-32008 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

JOHN H. KLINE

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) No change.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 3, 1977.

Dated: September 26, 1977.

JOHN H. KLINE.

[FR Doc.77-32009 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

WALTER A. MELLER

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) Delete WECO Development Corp.
(3) No change.
(4) None.

This statement is made as of October 7, 1977.

Dated: September 23, 1977.

WALTER A. MELLER.

[FR Doc.77-32010 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

THOMAS C. NICHOLS, JR.

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) No change.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 7, 1977.

Dated: September 23, 1977.

THOMAS C. NICHOLS, JR.

[FR Doc.77-32011 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

JOHN V. SALO

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change.
(2) Increase in holdings by 23 shares of Public Service Company of New Hampshire common stock.
(3) No change.
(4) No change.

This statement is made as of October 4, 1977.

Dated: October 5, 1977.

JOHN V. SALVO.

[FR Doc.77-32012 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4310-10]

MERRILL S. SCHULTZ

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) (None).
(2) (None).
(3) (None).
(4) (None).

This statement is made as of October 7, 1977.

Dated: September 26, 1977.

MERRILL S. SHULTZ.

[FR Doc.77-32013 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7020-02]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[332-89]

GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES

Certain Articles Being Considered for Removal From and Inclusion to the List of Articles Eligible for Duty-Free Treatment

The U.S. International Trade Commission on October 31, 1977 instituted, on its own motion an investigation under section 332(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930,

as amended (19 U.S.C. 1332(b)), to obtain information on the amount of imports, domestic production and recent developments in certain industries. The information obtained will be supplied to the President and as has been indicated by the Office of the Special Trade Representative is expected to support a review of certain petitions to modify the list of articles eligible for duty-free treatment under the Generalized System of Preferences.

Specifically, the Commission will conduct and report to the President the results of the following investigations: [1]

1. With respect to the petition for the withdrawal of GSP benefits from microscope slides and micro cover glasses provided for in item number 547.55 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS)[2] (TPSC GSP case No. 77-14 (USITC Investigation Report No. 332-89(1)), an investigation and report on the economic effect which the designation of microscope slides and micro cover glasses as articles eligible for duty-free treatment under the GSP has had, or is likely to have, on domestic producers of like or directly competitive products. The report should include information on the quantity and value of U.S. imports of microscope slides and micro cover glasses during recent years from beneficiary countries, and their geographic distribution in the United States; recent trends in domestic production and in sales and inventories of imported and domestically produced slides and cover glasses; a comparison of the quality of the domestic product with the quality of imports from beneficiary countries and an assessment of the significance which the differences in quality, if any, have in terms of the competitive relationship between imported and domestic articles; and whether any significant change has taken place in the purchasing patterns of microscope slide and cover customers due to such factors as shifts in buying or inventory practices, the introduction of new laboratory technology, and changes in clinical testing patterns which require slides.

2. With respect to the petitions for the withdrawal of GSP benefits from folding chairs provided for in TSUS item 727.30 (TPSC GSP case No. 76-B-30 (USITC Investigation Report No. 332-89(2)) and wood doors provided for in TSUS item 206.30 (TPSC GSP case No. 76-B-38 (USITC Investigation Report No. 332-89(3)), an investigation and report on the economic effect which the designation of these articles as eligible for duty-free treatment under the GSP has had, or is likely to have, on domestic producers of like or directly competitive articles. The reports should provide information on recent trends in domestic production and employment, in the number of domestic producers, and in sales and inventories of imported and domestic products; and an anlysis of conditions of competition between the imported and domestically produced articles and the major factors affecting those conditions. The report on folding chairs should indicate whether the competitive situation with respect to "directors" chairs differs from that of other folding chairs. The report on wood doors should provide data on domestic production and sales by geographic region, assess the regional impact (particularly in the Southwest and Northwest) of imports from beneficiary countries, and describe any restrictions practiced by the major supplying beneficiary countries particulary Mexico) which affect trade in wood doors.

With respect to the petition to designate as an eligible article for the GSP gloves of rubber or plastic (except surgical or medical gloves) provided for in TSUS item 705.84 (TPSC GSP case No. 77-4 (USITC Investigation Report No. 332-89(4)), pursuant to section 503(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, the Commission will advise as to the probable economic effect which such designation would have on domestic producers of like or directly competitive gloves and on consumers. The advice should include information on trends in employment, production and sales of domestic producers and an analysis of the competitive relationships between imported and domestically produced gloves.

The Commission will forward the subject reports by February 1, 1977.

Investigation. In accordance with the provisions of section 332(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Commission has instituted Investigation No. 332-89 for the purposes of obtaining the information outlined above to the extent practicable.

Hearings. A public hearing will be held in connection with Investigation No. 332-89 concerning Report Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Commission Hearing Room, 701 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, beginning at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., on Wednesday, November 16, 1977. An additional public hearing in connection with the investigation concerning Report No. 3 will be held in Chicago, Ill. (exact location to be announced), beginning at 9:30 a.m., c.s.t., on Monday, November 21, 1977. All interested persons will be given an opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard at such hearings. Requests to appear should be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 701 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, and should be received not later than noon on Monday, November 14, 1977, respecting the November 16, 1977, hearing and noon on Thursday, November 17, 1977 respecting the November 21, 1977, hearing.

Written submissions. Interested persons may submit written statements in lieu of or in addition to appearances at the public hearings. Any business information which an interested person desires the Commission to treat as confidential shall be submitted on separate sheets, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Data" at the top. All written submissions, except for confidential business data, will be made available for inspection. To be assured consideration by the Commission, written statements concerning Report Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 should be submitted at the earliest practicable date, but not later than December 16, 1977. All written submissions should be addressed to the Secretary at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 1, 1977.

KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32016 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[1] The following material quotes or paraphrases material received from the STR outlining the nature of USITC support that would be helpful in review of the subject petitions to modify the list of articles eligible for duty-free treatment under the Generalized System of Preferences.

[2] The Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (1976) is for sale by the superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; it is also available for inspection without charge at any field office of the U.S. Customs Service or the Department of Commerce and at Federal depository libraries throughout the United States.

[4510-30]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

EMPLOYMENT TRANSFER AND BUSINESS COMPETITION DETERMINATIONS UNDER RURAL DEVELOPMENT ACT

Applications

The organizations listed in the attachment have applied to the Secretary of Agriculture for financial assistance in the form of grants, loans, or loan guarantees in order to establish or improve facilities at the locations listed for the purposes given in the attached list. The financial assistance would be authorized by the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended, 7 USC 1924(b), 1932, or 1942(b).

The Act requires the Secretary of Labor to determine whether such Federal assistance is calculated to or is likely to result in the transfer from one area to another of any employment or business activity provided by operations of the applicant. It is permissible to assist the establishment of a new branch, affiliate or subsidiary, only if this will not result in increased unemployment in the place of present operations and there is no reason to believe the new facility is being established with the intention of closing down an operating facility.

The Act also prohibits such assistance if the Secretary of Labor determines that it is calculated to or is likely to result in an increase in the production of goods, materials, or commodities, or the availability of services or facilities in the area, when there is not sufficient demand for such goods, materials, commodities, services, or facilities to employ the efficient capacity of existing competitive commercial or industrial enterprises, unless such financial or other assistance will not have an adverse effect upon existing competitive enterprises in the area.

The Secretary of Labor's review and certification procedures are set forth at 29 CFR Part 75. In determining whether the applications should be approved or denied, the Secretary will take into consideration the following factors:

1. The overall employment and unemployment situation in the local area in which the proposed facility will be located.
2. Employment trends in the same industry in the local area.
3. The potential effect of the new facility upon the local labor market, with particular emphasis upon its potential impact upon competitive enterprises in the same area.
4. The competitive effect upon other facilities in the same industry located in other areas (where such competition is a factor).
5. In the case of applications involving the establishment of branch plants or facilities, the potential effect of such new facilities on other existing plants or facilities operated by the applicant.

All persons wishing to bring to the attention of the Secretary of Labor any information pertinent to the determinations which must be made regarding these applications are invited to submit such information in writing on or before November 18, 1977 to:

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, 601 D St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20213.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of October 1977.

ERNEST G. GREEN,
Assistant Secretary
for Employment and Training.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED DURING THE WEEK ENDING OCTOBER 28, 1977

Name of applicant and location of enterprise	*Principal product or activity*
Elmendorf Board Corp., Claremont, N.H	Manufacture of oriented stand board.
McCoy Enterprises, Millwood, W. Va	Real property subdividers and developers.
Hardy County Rural Development Authority, Moorefield, W. Va.	Construction and rental of a truck factory.
PBK Associates, Mingo County, W. Va	Deep mining, manual preparation, and semi-automated loading of coal.
Bender and Co., Inc., Morgantown, W. Va	Land development, construction, and sales of residential homes.
Motel Group, Ltd., Inc., Nitro, W. Va	Motel and restaurant.
Brownings Inc., Oakland and Westernport, Md.; Charlestown, and Elkins, W. Va.	Retail trade—grocery products, stone and gravel.
Alabama Waterproofing Co., Inc., Madison, Miss	Pressure grouting.
Consolidated Yarn Corp., Trenton, S.C	Manufacture of synthetic heat-set carpet yarn for carpet industry.
Rad Chemicals, Inc., Bowling Green, Ky	Produces micronutrients needed in fertilizer, food, and drug fields.
Bon Secour Fisheries, Inc., Bon Secour, Ala	Procesor and distributor of seafood products.
Piggly Wiggly of Atmore, Frisco City, Ala	Grocery store.
Farmers Gin of Humboldt, Inc., Humboldt, Tenn	Cotton ginning and grain elevator.
Southern Sintered Metals, Inc., Franklin, Tenn	Manufacturing of power-sintered metal products.
Reves Construction Co., Americus, Ga	Highway construction, asphalt plant mix, and concrete ready mix.
Carolina Solvents, Inc. (tenant of the Town of Brookford), Brookford, N.C.	Manufacture of solvents chemicals and furniture coatings.
Franks Hosiery Mill, Inc. (tenant of the Town of Brookford), Hickory, N.C.	Manufacture of children's hosiery.
Farmer's Crop Service, Inc., Princeton, Ky	Fertilizer, seed, and farm-chemical business.
Toral Shirts, Inc. (tenant of Holmes County Board of County Commissioners), Bonifay, Fla.	Manufacture of men's and ladies' knit shirts.
McMinnville Tool & Die Co., Inc., McMinnville, Tenn	Tool and die makers.
Robin International, Greenville, Miss	Rice mill.
Cal-Maine Foods, Inc., Mendenhall, Miss	Production of pullet chicks.
Enger Kress Co., West Bend, Wis	Manufacture of personal leathergoods.
Rex's Supermarket, Inc., Wild Rose, Wis	Grocery store.
Valor Enterprises, Inc., West Milton, Ohio	Manufactures citizens band antennas, brackets, and accessories, and performs advertising and printing services.
Century Forest Industries, Inc., Lufkin, Tex	Sales of treated cross-ties—railroad.
Bay-Wulf Books, Inc., Hammond, Inc., Hammond, La	Retail and wholesale of books.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED DURING THE WEEK ENDING OCTOBER 28, 1977—Continued

Name of applicant and location of enterprise	*Principal product or activity*
Moonmaid Marine, Inc., Patterson, La	Servicing offshore oil exploration.
Grace Nursing Home, Inc., Clinton, La	Nursing home.
MBF Industries, Inc., Zwolle, La	Tankcar cleaning, repairing, and lining.
Houghton Elevator, Inc., Houghton, Hillsboro, and Salem, La.	Grain handling and storage.
W. H. I. Inc., Watertown, S. Dak	Motel and bowling center.
Unitram Corp., Igloo, S. Dak	Rail car repair and maintenance shop.
Joseph Forest Products, Inc., Joseph, Oreg	Treating of wood.
Tar Heel Capital Partnership, Monroe, and Boone, N.C	Restaurant.

[FR Doc.77-31741 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-30]

Employment and Training Administration

FEDERAL COMMITTEE ON APPRENTICESHIP; SUBCOMMITTEE ON RESEARCH

Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. 1) of October 6, 1972, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Subcommittee on Research to be held on November 22, 1977, at the Department of Labor Building, Room S-4215 (A-C), 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. The meeting will be in session from 9 a.m. until 12 noon.

The agenda for the meeting includes:

1. High school diploma or GED (General Educational Development) certificate as an entrance requirement for apprenticeship programs.

2. Research study on educational credit for apprenticeship and training.

Members of the public are invited to attend the proceedings. Any member of the public who wishes to file written data, views or arguments pertaining to the agenda may do so by furnishing it to the Executive Secretary at any time prior to the meeting. Thirty duplicate copies are needed for the members and for inclusion in the minutes of the meeting.

If time permits, members may be permitted to address the Subcommittee on the above issues.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak at the meeting should so indicate in a written statement, also the nature of intended presentation and amount of time needed. The Chairman will announce at the beginning of the meeting the extent to which time will permit the granting of such requests.

Communications to the Executive Secretary should be addressed as follows:

Mr. M. M. Winters, Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, ETA, U.S. Dept. of Labor, 601 D Street NW. (Room 5434), Washington, D.C. 20213.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 1st day of November 1977.

ERNEST G. GREEN,
Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc.77-32066; Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-30]

FEDERAL SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFITS (EMERGENCY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION)

Ending of Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in Connecticut

This notice announces the ending of the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in the State of Connecticut, effective on November 5, 1977.

BACKGROUND

The Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-572, enacted December 31, 1974) created a temporary program of supplementary unemployment benefits (referred to as Federal Supplemental Benefits) for unemployed individuals who have exhausted their rights to regular and extended benefits under State and Federal unemployment compensation laws. Under present law the program expires January 31, 1978.

Federal Supplemental Benefits are payable during a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in a State which has entered into an Agreement under the Act with the United States Secretary of Labor. A Federal Supplemental Benefit Period is triggered on in a State when unemployment in the State or in the State and the nation reaches the high levels set in the Act. During a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period the Maximum amount of Federal Supplemental Benefits which are payable to eligible individuals is up to 13 weeks. A Federal Supplemental Benefit Period commenced in the State of Connecticut on January 5, 1975.

The Act also provides that a Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in a State will trigger off when the rate of insured unemployment in the State averages less than 5.0 percent over a period of thirteen consecutive calendar weeks. The benefit period actually terminates at the end of the third week after the week for which there is an "off" indicator, if the benefit period will have been in effect for a minimum duration of 13 weeks.

DETERMINATION OF "OFF" INDICATOR

The employment security agency of the State of Connecticut has determined under the Act and 20 CFR 618.19(b) that the average rate of insured unemployment in the State for the period consisting of the week ending on October 15, 1977, and the immediately preceding twelve weeks, was less than 5.0 percent.

Therefore, I have determined in accordance with the Act and 20 CFR 618.19(b), and as authorized by the Secretary of Labor's Order 4-75, dated April 16, 1975 (published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 28, 1975, at 40 FR 18515), that there was a Federal Supplemental Benefit "off" indicator in the State of Connecticut for the week ending on October 15, 1977, and that the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in that State terminates on November 5, 1977.

INFORMATION FOR CLAIMANTS

Any individual to whom Federal Supplemental Benefits or Federal-State Extended Benefits were payable in the State (whether or not any payment actually was made), for the last week of the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period, will have an additional eligibility period beginning immediately following the end of the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period. During the additional eligibility period the individual will be entitled to Federal Supplemental Benefits to the same extent as if the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period continued to be in effect. The additional eligibility period normally would have a duration of 13 weeks, but with the program expiring at the end of January 1978, no benefits will be payable after the last week that ends on or before January 31, 1978.

Individuals currently filing claims for Federal Supplemental Benefits will receive written notices from the Connecticut Labor Department of the end of the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period in that State and its effect on their entitlement to Federal Supplemental Benefits. The notice to any individual who will have an additional eligibility period following the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period will include information concerning potential entitlement to Federal Supplemental Benefits during the additional eligibility period.

Although the Federal Supplemental Benefit Period has terminated, an Extended Benefit Period will continue in effect in the State due to the National "on" indicator for the Federal-State Extended Benefit Program, as announced in a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 9, 1977, at 42 FR 45387. Therefore, Federal-State Extended Benefits will continue to be payable to eligible individuals in the State unless that program subsequently triggers off in the State.

Persons who wish information about their rights to Federal Supplemental Benefits or Federal-State Extended Benefits in the State of Connecticut should contact the nearest Employment Security Office of the Connecticut Labor Department in their locality.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on November 1, 1977.

ERNEST G. GREEN,
Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc.77-32065 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-30]

INDIAN AND NATIVE AMERICAN ECONOMIC STIMULUS PROGRAM

Plans for Allocating Funds; Supplemental Information

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice provides for a second extension of the proposal receipt deadline under the Fiscal Year 1978 Indian and Native American Economic Stimulus Program. This program was announced earlier in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

DATES: Proposals must be received, in the manner specified in the earlier FEDERAL REGISTER announcements, by 4:45 p.m. on December 12, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Alexander S. MacNabb, Director, Division of Indian and Native American Programs, Room 6402, 601 D Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20213.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Basic plans for the Indian and Native American Economic Stimulus Program were announced on Tuesday, September 13, 1977, at 42 FR 26629. The proposal receipt deadline announced at that time of 4:45 p.m. on October 17, 1977, was officially changed to October 31, 1977, in the FEDERAL REGISTER Notice of October 14, 1977, at 42 FR 55289. The proposal receipt deadline is now extended to 4:45 p.m. on December 12, 1977. All other plans announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER remain the same.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 31st day of October, 1977.

LAMOND GODWIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.77-32064 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-30]

Office of the Secretary

CERTIFICATION OF STATE UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION LAWS TO THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY

In accordance with the provisions of paragraph (1) of section 3303(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 3303(b)(1)), I hereby certify the unemployment compensation laws of the following named States which heretofore have been certified pursuant to paragraph (3) of section 3303(b) of the Code, to the Secretary of the Treasury for the 12-month period ending October 31, 1977.

Alabama	Montana
Alaska	Nebraska
Arizona	Nevada
Arkansas	New Hampshire
California	New Jersey
Colorado	New Mexico
Connecticut	New York
Delaware	North Carolina
District of Columbia	North Dakota
Florida	Ohio
Georgia	Oklahoma
Hawaii	Oregon
Idaho	Pennsylvania
Illinois	Rhode Island
Indiana	South Carolina
Iowa	South Dakota
Kansas	Tennessee
Kentucky	Texas
Louisiana	Utah
Maine	Vermont
Maryland	Virginia
Massachusetts	Washington
Michigan	West Virginia
Minnesota	Wisconsin
Mississippi	Wyoming
Missouri	

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of October, 1977.

RAY MARSHALL,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.77-32067 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-30]

CERTIFICATION OF STATES TO THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY

In accordance with the provisions of section 3304(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 3304(c)), I hereby certify the following named States to the Secretary of the Treasury for the 12-month period ending October 31, 1977, in regard to the unemployment compensation laws of those States which heretofore have been approved under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act.

Alabama	Montana
Alaska	Nebraska
Arizona	Nevada
Arkansas	New Hampshire
California	New Jersey
Colorado	New Mexico
Connecticut	New York
Delaware	North Carolina
District of Columbia	North Dakota
Florida	Ohio
Georgia	Oklahoma
Hawaii	Oregon
Idaho	Pennsylvania
Illinois	Puerto Rico
Indiana	Rhode Island
Iowa	South Carolina
Kansas	South Dakota
Kentucky	Tennessee
Louisiana	Texas
Maine	Utah
Maryland	Vermont
Massachusetts	Virginia
Michigan	Washington
Minnesota	West Virginia
Mississippi	Wisconsin
Missouri	Wyoming

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of October, 1977.

RAY MARSHALL,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.77-32068 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-2048]

ACME LEATHER SPORTSWEAR, INC.

Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-2048: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on May 10, 1977 in response to a worker petition received that date which was filed by the Amalgamated Clothing and Textile Workers' Union on behalf of workers and former workers producing men's leather and suede outerwear at the Elizabeth, New Jersey plants of Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. During the course of the investigation, it was determined that Acme Leather Sportswear also produces men's fabric coats.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 27, 1977 (42 FR 27330). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. its customers, the U.S. International Trade Commission, the U.S. Department of Commerce, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to aply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all four of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Average employment of production workers at Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. declined 20 percent in 1975 compared to 1974, declined 11 percent in 1976 compared to 1975 and increased 50 percent in the first five months of 1977 compared to the first five months of 1976.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Sales of Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. declined 24 percent in value (adjusted for price changes) in 1975 compared to 1974, declined 36 percent in 1976 compared to 1975, and increased 106 percent in the first five months of 1977 compared to the first five months in 1976.

Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. does not keep production data but produces primarily for order.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of leather coats and jackets (including men's leather coats and jackets) increased in absolute terms from 1972 to 1973, increased from 1973 to 1974 and increased from 1974 to 1975. Imports increased 53 percent from 1975 to 1976. The ratios of imports to domestic production and consumption increased from 67.1 percent and 40.4 percent, respectively, in 1975 to 81.6 percent and 44.9 percent, respectively, in 1976.

Imports of men's and boys' outercoats increased in absolute terms, from 1972 to 1973, decreased from 1973 to 1974, and decreased from 1974 to 1975. Imports increased 10 percent from 1975 to 1976 and increased 29 percent in the first quarter of 1976. The ratios of imports to domestic production and consumption increased from 28.1 percent and 22.0 percent, respectively, in 1975 to 31.3 percent and 23.9 percent, respectively, in 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

The customers indicated they decreased purchases from Acme and increased purchases of imported men's coats. Manufacturers who placed contracted orders with Acme indicated they decreased contract work with Acme and increased contract work offshore. These customers cited the comparative advantage of imported leather coats as the reason for reducing orders with Acme.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with men's coats and men's fabric coats produced at the Elizabeth, New Jersey plants of Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of workers at that firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the Elizabeth, New Jersey plants of Acme Leather Sportswear, Inc. who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 2, 1976 and before March 31, 1977 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32069 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-2235]

ANACONDA CO.

Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-2235: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on August 2, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on August 2, 1977 which was filed by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers and the Operating Engineers on behalf of workers and former workers producing copper concentrate at the Victoria Mines of the Anaconda Company in Elko County, Nevada.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 19, 1977 (42 FR 41934). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of the Anaconda Company, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important, but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

The Victoria Mines were shut down on September 1, 1977. Layoffs of workers at the Mines began August 1, 1977.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

All production (mining) ceased on September 1, 1977 when the Victoria Mines operations were terminated.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of copper ore, concentrate, precipitate and matte declined from 55,000 tons in 1972 to 44,000 tons in 1973 and then increased each year to 89,000 tons in 1976. Imports declined from 48,000 tons in the first half of 1976 to 27,000 tons in the first half of 1977. The ratio of imports of copper ore, concentrate, precipitates and matte to domestic production declined from 3.3 percent in 1972 to 2.6 percent in 1973 and then increased each year to 5.5 percent in 1976. The ratio declined from 6.3 percent in the first half of 1976 to 3.4 percent in the first half of 1977.

Blister copper is copper concentrate at a later stage of production. Imports of blister copper declined from 157,000 tons in 1972 to 154,000 tons in 1973, increased to 208,000 tons in 1974, and declined to 89,000 tons in 1975 and 44,000 tons in 1976. Imports increased 38.1 percent from 21,000 tons in the first half of 1976 to 29,000 tons in the first half of 1977. The ratio of imports of blister copper to domestic production declined from 9.3 percent in 1972, to 8.8 percent in 1973, then increased to 13.3 percent in 1974. The ratio declined to 6.2 percent in 1975 and declined again to 2.9 percent in 1976. The ratio increased from 2.7 percent in the first half of 1976 to 3.4 percent in the first half of 1977.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

In recent years the world copper situation has been characterized by abrupt changes in demand and volatile price fluctuations. In 1976, the Anaconda Company increased its imports of cheap copper concentrate 9.7 percent compared to 1975. At the same time, Anaconda allowed its total inventory of copper to swell 66 percent in 1976 compared to 1975 in anticipation of increased demand in 1977.

The price for domestically produced copper is quoted on the Commodity Metal Exchange (COMEX) while the price of foreign produced copper is quoted on the London Metal Exchange (LME). When the LME price is 5 cents or more a pound below the COMEX price, foreign produced copper becomes attractive to U.S. buyers.

LME prices for copper declined from a high of 74.69 cents a pound in July 1976 to 52.28 cents a pound in August 1977. COMEX prices were forced to follow the same trend so as not to lose market shares to imports, and dropped from a high of 74.69 cents a pound in July 1976 to 63.89 cents a pound in August 1977.

By August 1977, the price of imported copper was 11.61 cents a pound below the price of domestically produced copper. The increased availability of cheap foreign copper made it unprofitable to continue full domestic mining operations. Anaconda was forced to reduce domestic mining and the decision was made to close the Victoria Mines in Elko County, Nevada effective September 1, 1977.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with the copper

concentrate produced at the Victoria Mines of the Anaconda Company in Elko County, Nevada contributed importantly to the total or partial separations of workers at the mine as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the Victoria Mines of the Anaconda Company who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 1, 1977 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32070 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1611 and TA-W-1612]

COLEMAN PRODUCTS CO.

Negative Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1611 and TA-W-1612: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on January 31, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on January 26, 1977 which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers producing wiring harnesses at the Iron River, Michigan and Coleman, Wisconsin plants of Coleman Products Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of American Motors Corporation, Southfield, Michigan.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 15, 1977 (42 FR 9237). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Coleman Products Company, American Motors Corporation, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, the Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association, Automotive News, Wards Automotive Reports, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATION
COLEMAN, WISCONSIN PLANT

Average employment of hourly workers engaged in employment related to the production of wiring harnesses declined 2.1 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, declined 11.8 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 6.1 percent in the first quarter of MY 1977 compared to the first quarter of MY 1976.

Average employment of salaried workers declined 2.0 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, declined 2.[illegible] percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and decreased 8.3 percent in the first quarter of MY 1977 compared to the first quarter of MY 1976.

IRON RIVER, MICHIGAN PLANT

Average employment of hourly workers engaged in employment related to the production of wiring harnesses increased 66.7 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, declined 26.1 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 27.8 percent in the first quarter of MY 1977 compared to the first quarter of MY 1976.

Average employment of salaried workers increased 7.1 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975 and declined 10.3 percent in the first quarter of MY 1977 compared to the first quarter of MY 1976.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECLINED ABSOLUTELY

Both the Coleman, Wisconsin and Iron River, Michigan plants produced for immediate shipment so that changes in sales are an accurate reflection of production trends.

COLEMAN, WISCONSIN PLANT

Total sales of all parts produced at the Coleman, Wisconsin plant of Coleman Products Company increased 10.1 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, declined 2.0 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 7.6 percent in the period from October through December of MY 1977 compared to the same period in MY 1976. Sales of parts for ultimate use in domestically produced AMC intermediate cars increased 1.9 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, decreased 17.8 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975 and declined 5.1 percent in the period from October through December of MY 1977 compared to the same period in the previous model year.

IRON RIVER, MICHIGAN PLANT

Total sales at the Iron River plant increased 415.0 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, increased 4.0 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 14.8 percent in the period from October through December of MY 1977 compared to the same period in MY 1976. Sales of parts for ultimate use in domestically produced AMC intermediate cars increased 138.6 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, declined 44.1 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and increased 16.6 percent in the period from October through December of MY 1977 compared to the same period in the previous model year.

INCREASED IMPORTS

SUBCOMPACT CAR MARKET

Imports of subcompact cars increased from 1393.4 thousand units representing 108.6 percent of U.S. production in MY 1974 to 1437.9 thousand units representing 180.0 percent of domestic production. In the first quarter of MY 1977, imports of subcompact cars were 350.5 thousand units representing 260.8 percent of U.S. production, up from the first quarter of MY 1976, when imports were 301.3 thousand units representing 101.4 percent of domestic subcompact car production.

American Motors Corporation imports a subcompact car from Canada into the United States which is indistinguishable from domestically produced AMC subcompacts. In MY 1975, AMC imports of subcompact cars represented 41.2 percent of AMC's domestic production of subcompact cars, up from 16.4 percent in the first two quarters of MY 1976.

COMPACT CAR MARKET

Imports of compact cars declined from 325.5 thousand units representing 17.0 percent of domestic production in MY 1974 to 181.4 thousand units representing 9.6 percent of domestic production of compact cars in MY 1975. In MY 1976, imports of compact cars declined to 108.7 thousand units representing 4.2 percent of U.S. production. Compact imports declined to 19.5 thousand units representing 3.0 percent of domestic production in the first quarter of MY 1977, down from 23.3 thousand units representing 4.1 percent of domestic production in the first quarter of MY 1976.

American Motors Corporation imports a compact car from Canada into the United States which is indistinguishable from domestically produced AMC compact cars. Imports of AMC compact cars declined 11.8 percent from MY 1974 to MY 1975, increased 8.3 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 53.8 percent in the first two quarters of MY 1977 compared to the first two quarters of MY 1976. Imports of compact cars by American Motors represented 10.8 percent of domestic production of AMC compact cars in the first two quarters of MY 1977, down from 13.8 percent in the first two quarters of MY 1976.

INTERMEDIATE SIZE CAR MARKET

Imports of intermediate size cars were 346.1 thousand units in MY 1974 representing 16.5 percent of domestic pro-

duction and declined to 304.6 thousand units in MY 1975, which represented 15.6 percent of domestic production in that year. In MY 1976, imports of intermediate size cars increased to 346.3 thousand units, representing 13.7 percent of domestic production i.e. a declining share of the domestic market. In the first quarter of MY 1977, imported intermediate size cars were 83.8 thousand units, equal to 12.0 percent of domestic production, down from 90.3 thousand units representing 17.1 percent of U.S. production in the first quarter of MY 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

AMC's subsidiary, Coleman Products Co. produces wiring harnesses for AMC's subcompact, compact and intermediate cars. The Department has determined in TA-W-999 and TA-W-1929 that increased aggregate imports of intermediate automobiles contributed importantly to declines in AMC's domestic production of intermediate Matadors. The Department further determined that increases in AMC's own imports of subcompact Gremlin and compact Hornet contributed importantly to declines in AMC domestic production of Gremlins and Hornets.

The determination of injury to AMC domestically produced Gremlins and Hornets was based solely on AMC Canadian imports. Since AMC derives 100 percent of its requirements of components for both its U.S. and Canadian cars in the U.S., any determination of imports injury to the Southfield, Michigan plant would depend on the degree to which the plant produced wiring harnesses for AMC intermediate Matadors. Sales of parts for ultimate use in AMC intermediate cars represented 10.0 percent, 8.4 percent and 8.5 percent of total Coleman, Wisconsin plant sales in MY 1975, MY 1976 and October through December of MY 1977, respectively. Total sales of parts for ultimate use in AMC intermediate cars represented 15.9 percent, 8.6 percent, and 10.8 percent of total Iron River, Michigan plant sales in MY 1975, MY 1976 and October through December of MY 1977, respectively. Production of wiring harnesses for domestically produced AMC intermediate cars did not represent a significant proportion of sales or production at Coleman Products Company.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of subcompact, compact or intermediate cars did not contribute importantly to the total or partial separation of workers engaged in employment related to the production of wiring harnesses at the Coleman, Wisconsin and Iron River, Michigan plants of Coleman Products Company, Southfield, Michigan.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32071 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1934]

D'MIA FASHIONS

Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1934: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on March 31, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union on behalf of workers and former workers producing ladies' coats and suits at D'Mia Fashions, S. El Monte, Calif.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 15, 1977 (42 FR 19937). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of D'Mia Fashions, David L. Maffei Co., its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATION

Average employment of production workers at D'Mia Fashions decreased 5 percent from 1974 to 1975 and increased 34 percent from 1975 to 1976. Employment declined 4 percent in the last quarter of 1976 compared to the previous quarter.

All workers were laid off during the first two months of 1977 when the plant was temporarily closed.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Sales and production in quantity (units) of women's and misses' coats at D'Mia Fashions declined 18 percent from 1974 to 1975 and then increased 28 percent from 1975 to 1976. Sales and production declined 16 percent in the last six months of 1976 compared to the same period in 1975. The plant was closed in January and February 1977.

Total production in quantity of women's and misses' coats at all five plants of the David L. Maffei Co. increased 5 percent from 1974 to 1975, decreased 5 percent from 1975 to 1976, and decreased 35 percent in the first quarter of 1977 compared to the same period in 1976.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of women's and misses' and childrens' coats and jackets increased in absolute terms, from 1972 to 1973, decreased from 1973 to 1974, and increased from 1974 to 1975. Imports increased absolutely 48 percent from 1975 to 1976 and increased absolutely 17 percent in the first quarter of 1977 compared to the first quarter of 1976. The ratios of imports to domestic production and consumption increased from 35.4 percent and 26.1 percent, respectively, in 1975 to 52.2 percent and 34.3 percent, respectively, in 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

D'Mia Fashions produces for two manufacturers. The customer representing 75 percent of D'Mia Fashion's contracts (a manufacturer), reduced purchases from D'Mia Fashions because his sales had declined in 1976 compared to 1975. Customers of the manufacturer have reduced purchases from the manufacturer, and increased purchases of imported women's and misses' coats.

The customer representing the remaining 25 percent of D'Mia Fashions contracts imports women's and misses' coats.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with women's and misses' coats produced at D'Mia Fashions contributed importantly to the decline in production and to the total or partial separations of the workers at D'Mia Fashions, S. El Monte, Calif. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at D'Mia Fashions, S. El Monte, Calif. who become totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 1, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32072 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1606 and TA-W-1607]

EVART PRODUCTS CO.

Negative Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1606 and TA-W-1607: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on January 26, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers producing various plastic parts at the Evart, Michigan plant of Evart Products Co., a wholly owned subsidiary of American Motors Corp., Southfield, Mich.

The Notices of Investigation were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 8, 1977 (42 FR 8017), and February 11, 1977 (42 FR 8731) for TA-W-1607 and TA-W-1606, respectively. No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of American Motors Corp., Evart Products Co., the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, the Motor Vehicles Manufacturers Association, Automotive News, Wards Automotive Reports, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATION

Average employment of hourly workers declined 10.0 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, declined 27.3 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 38.1 percent in the first quarter of MY 1977 compared to the first quarter of MY 1976.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Total sales of Evart Products Co. declined 9.3 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975 and declined 29.4 percent in the period from October through December of MY 1977 compared to the same period of the previous model year. Sales of parts for ultimate use in domestically produced intermediate cars declined 25.9 percent in MY 1975 from MY 1974, decreased 32.7 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 28.4 percent in the period from October through December of MY 1977 compared to the same period of the previous model year.

INCREASED IMPORTS—SUBCOMPACT CAR MARKET

Imports of subcompact cars increased from 1393.4 thousand units representing 108.6 percent of U.S. production in MY 1974 to 1437.9 thousand units representing 180.0 percent of domestic production. In the first quarter of MY 1977, imports of subcompact cars were 350.5 thousand units representing 260.8 percent of U.S. production, up from the first quarter of MY 1976, when imports were 301.3 thousand units representing 101.4 percent of domestic subcompact car production.

American Motors Corporation imports a subcompact car from Canada into the United States which is indistinguishable from domestically produced AMC subcompacts. In MY 1975, AMC imports of subcompact cars represented 41.2 percent of AMC's domestic production of subcompact cars, up from 16.4 percent in the first two quarters of MY 1976.

COMPACT CAR MARKET

Imports of compact cars declined from 325.5 thousand units representing 17.0 percent of domestic production in MY 1974 to 181.4 thousand units representing 9.6 percent of domestic production of compact cars in MY 1975. In MY 1976, imports of compact cars declined to 108.7 thousand units representing 4.2 percent of U.S. production. Compact imports declined to 19.5 thousand units representing 3.0 percent of domestic production in the first quarter of MY 1977, down from 23.3 thousand units representing 4.1 percent of domestic production in the first quarter of MY 1976.

American Motors Corp. imports a compact car from Canada into the United States which is indistinguishable from domestically produced AMC compact cars. Imports of AMC compact cars declined 11.8 percent from MY 1974 to MY 1975, increased 8.3 percent in MY 1976 from MY 1975, and declined 53.8 percent in the first two quarters of MY 1977 compared to the first two quarters of MY 1976. Imports of compact cars by American Motors represented 10.8 percent of domestic production of AMC compact cars in the first two quarters of MY 1977, down from 13.8 percent in the first two quarters of MY 1976.

INTERMEDIATE SIZE CAR MARKET

Imports of intermediate size cars were 346.1 thousand units in MY 1974 representing 16.5 percent of domestic production and declined to 304.6 thousand units in MY 1975, which represented 15.6 percent of domestic production in that year. In MY 1976, imports of intermediate size cars increased to 346.3 thousand units, representing 13.7 percent of domestic production i.e. a declining share of the domestic market. In the first quarter of MY 1977, imported intermediate size cars were 83.8 thousand units, equal to 12.0 percent of domestic production, down from 90.3 thousand units representing 17.1 percent of U.S. production in the first quarter of MY 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

AMC's subsidiary, Evart Production Co. molded plastic parts for AMC's subcompact, compact and intermediate cars. The Department has determined in TA-W-999 and TA-W-1929 that increased aggregate imports of intermediate automobiles contributed importantly to declines in AMC's domestic production of intermediate Matadors. The Department further determined that increases in AMC's own imports of subcompact Gremlin and compact Hornet contributed importantly to declines in AMC domestic production of Gremlins and Hornets.

The determination of injury to AMC domestically produced Gremlins and Hornets was based solely on AMC Canadian imports. Since AMC derives 100 percent of its requirements for components of both its U.S. and Canadian cars in the U.S., any determination of imports injury to the Evart, Michigan plant would depend on the degree to which the plant produced molded plastic parts for AMC intermediate Matadors. Sales of parts from the Evart, Michigan plant for ultimate use in AMC's intermediate cars represented 16.0 percent, 11.9 percent and 12.9 percent of total plant production in MY 1975, MY 1976 and October through December of MY 1977, respectively. Production of molded plastic parts for ultimate use in domestically produced AMC intermediate cars did not represent a significant proportion of sales or production at Evart Products Co.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of subcompact, compact or intermediate cars did not contribute importantly to the total or partial separation of workers engaged in employment related to the production of injection molded plastic parts of the Evart Products Co., Evart, Mich.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32073 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1475]

INTERLAKE, INC.

Negative Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1475: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on December 20, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on December 20, 1976 which was filed by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of workers and former workers producing flat rolled products and packaging materials at the Riverdale Plant of Interlake, Inc.

The notice of investigation was published in the Federal Register on January 7, 1977 (42 FR 1533). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from the Interlake, Inc., its customers, U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that, without regard to whether any of the other criteria have been met, criterion (2) has not been met.

FLAT ROLLED PRODUCTS

Sales of flat rolled products manufactured at the Riverdale Plant of Interlake, Inc. including hot rolled sheet and coil, and bar increased 24.7 percent in terms of quantity in 1976 compared to 1975.

Production of flat rolled products at the Riverdale Plant of Interlake, Inc. increased 18.0 percent in terms of quantity in 1976 compared to 1975.

PACKAGING MATERIALS

Sales of packaging materials manufactured at the Riverdale Plant of Interlake, Inc. including metallic strapping, strapping tools and machine and stitching wire, increased 15.6 percent in terms of value in 1976 compared to 1975.

Production of packaging materials at the Riverdale Plant of Interlake, Inc. increased 20.5 percent in terms of quantity in 1976 compared to 1975.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with flat rolled steel products and packaging materials products produced at the Riverdale, Illinois plant of Interlake, Inc., Oakwood, Ill. did not contribute importantly to total or partial separation of workers at that plant as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 21st day of October 1977.

HARRY GRUBERT,
Director, Office of Foreign Economic Research.

[FR Doc.77-32074 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1852]

KINGSTON FASHIONS, INC.

Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1852; investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on March 22, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on March 22, 1977 which was filed by the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union on behalf of workers and former workers producing women's apparel at Kingston Fashions, Inc., Kingston, Pa.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 5, 1977 (42 FR 18156). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Leslie Fay, Inc. and the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

Without regard to whether any of the other criteria have been met, criterion (2) has not been met.

Kingston Fashions is a subsidiary of Leslie Kay, Inc. Kingston has one plant located at Kingston, Pa. The Kingston plant produces women's apparel which is marketed under the Leslie Fay label.

Production of women's apparel at Kingston Fashions increased 34 percent in quantity in 1976 from 1975 and increased 13 percent in the first six months of 1977 compared to the like period in 1976. Production increased in each quarter of 1976 and in the first two quarters of 1977 compared to the like quarters one year earlier. Since Kingston Fashions produces women's apparel for sale by Leslie Fay, Inc., production equals sales at Kingston Fashions.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that neither sales nor production of women's apparel at Kingston Fashions, Inc., has decreased as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 21st day of October 1977.

HARRY GRUBERT,
Director, Office of Foreign Economic Research.

[FR Doc.77-32075 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1777]

NEW BRITAIN TOOL DIVISION, LITTON INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS, INC.

Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1777: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on March 8, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on March 7, 1977 which was filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers on behalf of workers and former workers producing handtools at the New Britain plant and Newington Distribution Center of the New Britain Tool Division. During the investigation it was

determined that steel wrenches are produced at the New Britain plant.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 22, 1977 (42 FR 15477). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of the New Britain Tool Division, their customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have increased either actual, or relative to domestic production, and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all four of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Employment of production workers increased 10.5 percent in 1976 compared to 1975 and declined 10.1 percent in the first quarter of 1977 compared to the first quarter of 1976. Lay-offs began in October, 1976.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Sales of steel wrenches by the New Britain Tool Division decreased 6.1 in 1976 compared to 1975 and declined 16.2 percent in the first quarter of 1977 compared to the first quarter of 1976.

Production by the New Britain Tool Division increased 21.0 percent from 1975 to 1976. Production decreased 7.7 percent in the fourth quarter of 1976 compared to the same quarter of 1975 and decreased 50.0 percent from the first quarter of 1976 to the first quarter of 1977.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of wrenches increased from $3,723,000 in 1972 to $3,748,000 in 1973, to $4,637,000 in 1974, decreased to $4,549,000 in 1975 and increased to $7,222,000 in 1976. Imports increased from $1,466,000 in the first quarter of 1976 to $2,843,000 in the first quarter of 1977.

The import to production ratio decreased from 1.63 percent in 1972 to 1.43 in 1973 then increased to 1.61 percent in 1974 before decreasing again to 1.52 percent in 1975. In 1976 the ratio increased to 2.04 percent.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

The Department conducted a survey of some of the customers of the New Britain Tool Division of Litton Industrial Products. Most customers indicated that they increased their purchases of imported wrenches and hand tools while decreasing their purchases of these products from the New Britain Tool Division.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with steel wrenches produced at the New Britain Tool Division of Litton Industrial Products, Inc. and at the Newington Distribution Center contributed importantly to the decline in production and to the total or partial separation of the workers at those facilities.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the New Britain, Conn. plant and at the Newington Distribution Center, Newington, Conn. of the New Britain Tool Division of Litton Industrial Products, Inc., who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 24, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32076 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-2146]

ROYAL SHOE MANUFACTURING CO., INC.

Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-2146: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on June 13, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on June 9, 1977 which was filed by the United Shoe Workers of America on behalf of workers and former workers producing women's and misses' footwear at the McCrory, Ark. plant of the Royal Shoe Manufacturing Co.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 24, 1977 (42 FR 32328). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of the Tober-Saifer Shoe Manufacturing Co., Inc., its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have increased either actual, or relative to domestic production, and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decreased sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all four criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

The average number of production workers decreased 15 percent in the first quarter of 1977 and decreased by 4 percent in the second quarter of 1977 when compared to the preceding quarters.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Sales decreased 18.6 percent in value in the first 5 months of 1977 compared to the first 5 months of 1976.

Production decreased 36.8 percent in the first 5 months of 1977 compared to the first 5 months of 1976.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of women's and misses' nonrubber footwear, except athletic increased in 1973 to 218.4 million pairs from 204.2 million pairs in 1972. Imports decreased in 1974 to 187.6 million pairs, increased in 1975 to 190.7 million pairs, and increased further in 1976 to 195.5 million pairs. In the first three months of 1977, imports decreased to 48.9 million pairs from 60.3 million pairs in the first 3 months of 1976.

The ratio of imports to U.S. production increased in 1973 to 111.0 percent from 93.5 percent in 1972, decreased in 1974 to 102.6 percent, increased in 1975 to 114.1 percent, then decreased in 1976 to 106.3 percent. In the first three

months of 1977 the ratio increased to 119.9 percent from 111.0 percent for the first 3 months of 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Royal Shoe Manufacturing Co. produces women's and misses' footwear for its parent company the Tober-Saifer Co., Inc. Records supplied by Tober-Saifer show that company imports of women's and misses' nonrubber shoes, except athletic, increased 137.9 percent in the first 6 months of 1977 compared to the same period in 1976.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with women's and misses' shoes produced at the McCrory, Ark. plant of the Royal Shoe Manufacturing Co., Inc. contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of workers of the plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the McCrory, Ark. plant of the Royal Shoe Manufacturing Co., Inc. who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 7, 1977 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32077 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-2088]

SPERRY UNIVAC

Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-2088: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on May 23, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on April 28, 1976, which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers producing print bars for computer printing machines at the Utica, N.Y. plant of Sperry Univac.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 3, 1977 (42 FR 28634). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Sperry Univac.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number of proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

Without regard to whether any of the other criteria have been met, criterion (3) has not been met.

Evidence developed in the Department's investigation revealed that print bars made at the Utica, N.Y. plant were used exclusively by Sperry Univac in their own line of equipment. That line of equipment has not been produced since early 1975. Since that time the state of the art for printers has gotten almost entirely away from print bars and changed to print cartridges.

Company officials at Sperry Univac stated that all of their requirements of print bars were produced at their Utica, N.Y. plant. There have been no imports of these print bars in the past or present. Sperry Univac currently has an inventory of print bars on hand for repairs and replacements.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that imports of articles like or directly competitive with print bars produced at the Utica, N.Y. plant of Sperry Univac have not increased as required in Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Therefore, all workers engaged in employment related to the production of print bars at the Utica, N.Y. plant of Sperry Univac are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32078 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1397]

WHEELING-PITTSBURGH STEEL CORP.

Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1397: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on December 15, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of workers and former workers producing hot and cold rolled sheets and seamless tubes at the Allenport, Pa. plant of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. The petition incorrectly stated that the Allenport, Pa. plant produces structural shapes, sizes, plates, silicon steel, pipes, pilings, round wire, stainless round wire and welded pipe.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 4, 1977 (42 FR 906). No public hearing was requested, and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp., its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The Department's investigation revealed that all of the above criteria have been met for seamless tubing. However, without regard to whether any of the other criteria have been met, criterion two (2) has not been met for hot and cold rolled sheet.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Average employment of production workers at the Allenport, Pa. plant of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. remained stable in 1975 compared to 1974 and declined 10 percent in 1976 compared to 1975.

Workers are not identifiable by product line.

SALES, PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Sales of seamless tubing from the Allenport plant increased 2 percent, in quantity, from 1974 to 1975 and then declined 29 percent from 1975 to 1976.

Sales of hot and cold rolled sheet from the Allenport plant declined 34 percent, in quantity, from 1974 to 1975 and then increased 17 percent from 1975 to 1976.

Production of hot and cold rolled sheet at Allenport declined 37 percent, in quantity, from 1974 to 1975 and then increased 18 percent from 1975 to 1976.

Seamless tubing represented 23 percent of total production at Allenport in 1975 and 15 percent in 1976.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of seamless carbon steel pipe and tubing increased in absolute terms, from 1974 to 1975. Imports increased 17 percent from 1975 to 1976 and decreased 41 percent in the first quarter of 1977 compared to the first quarter of 1976. The ratios of imports to domestic shipments and consumption increased from 10.9 percent and 13.0 percent, respectively in 1975 to 17.4 percent and 17.1 percent, respectively, in 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Customers of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel were surveyed by OTAA regarding their purchases of seamless tubing. Thirty-seven percent of the customers responding to the survey purchase imported seamless tubing.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with seamless tubing produced at the Allenport, Pa. plant of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp., Pittsburgh, Pa. contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the workers of that plan. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers engaged in employment related to the production of seamless tubing at the Allenport, Pa. plant of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 15, 1975 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Trade Act of 1974.

I further conclude that sales or production of hot and cold rolled sheet at the Allenport, Pennsylvania plant of Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corporation did not decline as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77-32079 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-323 and TA-W-1763]

WHITIN MACHINE WORKS, INC.

Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-323 and TA-W-1763: investigations regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation for TA-W-323 was initiated on November 7, 1975, in response to a worker petition received on November 7, 1975, which was filed by the United Steelworkers of America, on behalf of workers and former-workers producing graphic arts (printing) equipment and textile preparatory machinery at the Whitinsville, Massachusetts plant of Whitin Machine Works, Inc.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 21, 1975 (40 FR 54320). No public hearing was requested and none was held. The Department's full investigation was delayed until May 11, 1977, by judicial review of various legal issues.

The investigation for TA-W-1763 was initiated on March 3, 1977, in response to a worker petition received on March 2, 1977, which was filed by the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO, on behalf of workers and former workers producing printing equipment and textile preparatory machinery at the Whitinsville, Massachusetts plant of Whitin Machine Works, Inc.

The notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 25, 1977 (42 FR 14577). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determinations were made was obtained principally from officials of Whitin Machine Works, Inc., its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all four criteria have been met with respect to workers engaged in employment related to the production of offset duplicating machines on or after October 22, 1974, and before April 1, 1776. Without regard to whether any other criteria have been met for workers engaged in employment related to the production of offset printing presses, criterion (3) has not been met in 1975 and criterion (2) has not been met in 1976 and the first quarter of 1977. Without regard to whether any other criteria have been met for workers engaged in employment related to the production of textile preparatory machinery, criterion (3) has not been met in 1975 and criterion (4) has not been met in 1976 and the first quarter of 1977.

A. OFFSET DUPLICATING MACHINES

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Workers cannot be separately identified by product line at the Whitinsville plant. Total plant employment declined 27 percent in 1975 compared to 1974 and 44 percent in the 1st quarter of 1976 compared to the like period in 1975.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Total sales of offset duplicating machines decreased 13.7 percent in value in 1975 compared to 1974 and increased 23.2 percent in 1976 compared to 1975. Sales decreased 10 percent in the first quarter of 1976 and then increased in each of the next four quarters compared to the like quarters one year earlier.

INCREASED IMPORTS

U.S. imports of offset duplicating machines increased from 1,210 units in 1972 to 1,468 units in 1973, to 3,168 units in 1974, and 3,723 units in 1975 before declining to 2,181 units in 1976. Imports increased from 100 units in the 1st quarter of 1975 to 337 units in the 1st quarter of 1976. Imports declined in each of the next three quarters of 1976 compared to the corresponding quarters in 1975. Imports declined from 337 units in the first quarter of 1976 to 309 units in the same period in 1977. The ratio of imports to domestic production (in quantity) increased from 12.3 percent in 1972 to 12.9 percent in 1973, to 28.3 percent in 1974, and 43.4 percent in 1975 before declining to 25.2 percent in 1976.

In value terms, U.S. imports of offset duplicating machines increased from $2.1 million in 1972 to $2.8 million in 1973 before declining to $2.0 million in 1974. Imports increased to $2.4 million in 1975 and to $3.0 million in 1976. The ratio of imports to domestic production in terms of value increased from 3.9 percent in 1972 to 4.0 percent in 1973, then declined 3.2 percent in 1974 before increasing to 3.6 percent in 1975 and to 3.7 percent in 1976. The ratio of imports to domestic production increased from 2.9 percent in the first three months of 1976 to 4.1 percent in the first three months of 1977.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

The Department conducted a survey of some of the customers who purchased offset duplicators from the Whitinsville plant in 1974 and 1975. Some customers

of offset duplicators indicated that they had shifted purchases from Whitin to imported duplicators. In addition, some customers who are distributors supplied as evidence of import competition, lists of their clients that were believed switching to foreign-made offset duplicating machines.

B. Offset Printing Presses

Two types of offset printing presses are made at the Whitinsville plant: web-fed offset printing presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds and sheet-fed offset printing presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds.

Sheet-Fed

U.S. imports of sheet-fed offset printing presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds increased from 808 units in 1972 to 1,357 units in 1973, declined to 924 units in 1974, to 672 units in 1975 and 661 units in 1976. Imports increased from 93 units in the first quarter of 1976 to 488 units in the first quarter of 1977. Production data in quantity terms are not available for sheet-fed presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds, hence the ratio of imports to domestic production cannot be calculated.

U.S. imports of sheet-fed offset printing presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds increased in value terms from $24.7 million in 1972 to $46.6 million in 1973 before declining to $38.4 million in 1974 to $32.8 million in 1975 and $31.6 million in 1976. Imports increased from $6.1 million in the first quarter of 1976 to $11.8 million in the first quarter of 1977. The ratio of imports to domestic production of sheet-fed offset printing presses in value terms increased from 79.7 percent in 1972 to 139.9 percent in 1973 and declined to 131.1 percent in 1974 and to 89.4 percent in 1975 before increasing to 99.0 percent in 1976. Production data are not available in value terms for portions of a year so the ratio of imports to domestic production for the first quarters of 1976 and 1977 cannot be calculated.

Web-Fed

U.S. imports of web-fed offset presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds declined in quantity from 172 units in 1972 to 98 units in 1973, increased to 151 units in 1974, declined to 56 units in 1975 and increased to 115 units in 1976. Imports declined from 44 units in the first quarter of 1976 to 3 units in the first quarter of 1977.

The ratio of imports to domestic production declined from 7.76 percent in 1972 to 4.14 percent in 1973, increased to 6.39 percent in 1974, declined to 3.40 percent in 1975 and increased to 6.82 percent in 1976. Production data for portions of a year are not available in quantity terms so the ratio of imports to domestic production cannot be computed for the first quarters of 1976 and 1977.

U.S. imports of web-fed offset printing presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds increased in value from $5.3 million in 1972 to $6.0 million in 1973, declined to $4.8 million in 1974, declined to $0.5 million in 1975 and increased to $3.5 million in 1976. Imports declined from $0.5 million in the first three months of 1976 to $0.3 million in the first three months of 1977.

The ratio of imports to domestic production of web-fed offset printing presses weighing more than 3,500 pounds in terms of value declined from 3.83 percent in 1972 to 3.21 percent in 1973 to 2.88 percent in 1974 to 0.33 percent in 1975 before increasing to 1.80 percent in 1976. Production data in value terms are not available for portions of a year, therefore the ratio of imports to domestic production for the first quarters of 1976 and 1977 cannot be calculated.

Total sales of offset printing presses by Whitin Machine Works, Inc., increased 16.6 percent in value in 1976 compared to 1975. Sales increased 59.8 percent in the first quarter of 1977 compared to the like quarter of 1976. Production approximates sales.

C. Textile Preparatory Machinery

U.S. imports of textile preparatory machinery decreased from 2,374 units in 1972 to 1,936 units in 1973, increased to 2,991 units in 1974, declined to 1,735 units in 1975 and increased to 2,211 units in 1976. Imports increased from 403 units in the first three months of 1976 to 590 units in the first three months of 1977. Production data are not available in quantity terms so the ratio of imports to domestic production cannot be calculated.

U.S. imports of textile preparatory machinery decreased from $73.3 million in 1972 to $59.3 million in 1973, increased to $88.1 million in 1974, then decreased to $56.6 million in 1975 and to $30.1 million in 1976. Imports increased from $13.2 million in the first quarter of 1976 to $16.3 million in the first quarter of 1977. The ratio of imports to domestic production of textile preparatory machinery in value declined from 83.3 percent in 1972 to 42.1 percent in 1973, increased to 50.5 percent in 1974, declined to 38.1 percent in 1975 and to 19.3 percent in 1976. Production data in value terms is not available for fractions of a year so the ratios of imports to domestic production cannot be computed for the first quarters of 1976 and 1977.

Whitin exported most of the textile preparatory machinery that it produced. The percentage of exports to total sales of textile machinery at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant were as follows: 78.8 percent in 1974, 94.7 percent in 1975, 75.7 percent in 1976.

Export sales of textile preparatory machinery increased 8.3 percent in 1975 compared to 1974 and decreased 68.1 percent in 1976 compared to 1975.

Domestic sales of textiles preparatory machinery decreased 77.4 percent in 1975 compared to 1974 and increased 82.7 percent in 1976 compared to 1975.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with the offset duplicating machines produced at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant of Whitin Machine Works, Inc., contributed importantly to the total or partial separations of workers engaged in employment related to the production of offset duplicating machines at the plant on or after October 22, 1974, and before April 1, 1976. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers engaged in employment related to the production of offset duplicating machines at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant of Whitin Machine Works, Inc., who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 22, 1974, and before April 1, 1976, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974. All workers separated on or after April 1, 1976, are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance.

It is further concluded that with respect to offset printing presses, imports of offset printing presses did not increase, absolutely or relative to U.S. production in 1975. Further, in 1976 and the first quarter of 1977, neither sales nor production of offset printing presses at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant declined. Therefore, all workers engaged in employment related to the production of offset printing presses at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant of Whitin Machine Works, Inc., are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance.

It is further concluded that with respect to textile preparatory machinery, imports of textile preparatory machinery did not increase, either absolutely or relative to U.S. production in 1975. Further, in 1976 and the first quarter of 1977, increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with textile preparatory machinery produced at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant did not contribute importantly to the total or partial separations of workers at the plant. Therefore, all workers engaged in employment related to the production of textile preparatory machinery at the Whitinsville, Mass., plant of Whitin Machine Works, Inc., are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of October 1977.

Harry Grubert,
Director, Office of Foreign Economic Research.

[FR Doc.77-32080 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4510-28]

[TA-W-1918]

JACK WINTERS AMC, INC.

Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1918: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on March 28, 1977 in response to a worker petition received on March 22, 1977 which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers engaged in the sale and service of automobiles, trucks and recreational vehicles for Jack Winters AMC, Inc., Waukegan, Ill.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 12, 1977, (42 FR 19178). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Jack Winters AMC, Inc. and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivsion have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced b ythe firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

If any of the above criteria is not satisfied, a negative determination must be made.

Jack Winters AMC, Inc. does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Act and this Department has already determined that the performance of services are not covered by the adjustment assistance program. See Notice of Determination in *Pan American World Airways, Incorporated* TA–W–153, 40 FR 54639). The only question is this case is whether American Motors Corporation, i.e., a firm which produces an article, namely automobiles, and for whom the service is provided, can be considered the "workers' firm." The Department has also previously determined that an independent firm for which such services are provided cannot be considered the "workers' firm." See Notice of Determination in *Nu Car Driveaway, Incorporated* (TA–W–393, 41 FR 12749).

Jack Winters AMC, Inc. is an independently owned automobile dealership engaged in the sale and service of American Motors Corp. passenger cars, trucks and recreational vehicles. Jack Winters AMC, Inc. is operated through private capital. American Motors Corp. has not had any capital or financial investment in the dealership or any control over the operation of the dealership.

The workers upon whose behalf this petition was filed were hired and are paid by Jack Winters AMC, Inc. These employees are supervised by Jack Winters AMC personnel only. All employment benefits which they receive are provided by Jack Winters AMC, Inc.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the issues and facts involved, I have determined that services of the kind provided by Jack Winters AMC, Inc. are not "articles" within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, and that the American Motors Corporation cannot be considered the "workers' firm." The petition for trade adjustment assistance is, therefore, denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October 1977.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
Director, Office of Management, Administration, and Planning.

[FR Doc.77–32081 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[4510–28]

INVESTIGATIONS REGARDING CERTIFICATIONS OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR WORKER ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustmen Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether absolute or realtive increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision.

Petitioners meeting these eligibility requirements will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than November 14, 1977.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than November 14, 1977.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 19th day of October 1977.

HAROLD A. BRATT,
Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Appendix

Petitioner: (union/workers or former workers of—	Location	Date received	Date of petition	Petition No.	Articles produced
Duval Corp., Mineral Park property (USWA)	Mohave County, Ariz	Oct. 13, 1977	Sept. 20, 1977	TA-W-2, 480	The mining of copper.
Duval Corp., Sierrita property (USWA)	Pima County, Ariz	do	do	TA-W-2, 481	The mining and concentrating of copper.
Frolic Footwear (Retail Clerks International Union).	Walnut Ridge, Ark	Oct. 7, 1977	Oct. 4, 1977	TA-W-2, 482	Women's shoes and boots.
G.T., Inc. (workers)	Boston, Mass	do	Oct. 5, 1977	TA-W-2, 483	Men's and women's slacks.
Hecla Lakeshore Mine (USWA)	Casa Grande, Ariz	Oct. 13, 1977	Oct. 3, 1977	TA-W-2, 484	The mining, concentrating and refinering of copper.
Murtha Enterprises (workers)	Beacon Falls, Conn	Oct. 7, 1977	Sept. 30, 1977	TA-W-2, 485	Warehousing of canvas and rubber footwear that are produced at the Murtha Enterprises production plants.
Timex Corp. (workers)	Middlebury, Conn	do	Sept. 28, 1977	TA-W-2, 486	Watch components for LED and LCD watches.

[FR Doc.77-31598 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7535-01]

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION BOARD

Meeting and Agenda

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770, notice is hereby given that the National Credit Union Board will hold its quarterly meeting on December 6-7, 1977, at the Offices of the National Credit Union Administration, 2025 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20456. The meetings will commence at 9:00 a.m. daily in Room 4002.

The agenda for this meeting will consist of an update-briefing regarding the activities of the several offices of the National Credit Union Administration. The Board will also discuss share insurance and other aspects of the Administration.

A discussion of legislative activities will also be held.

This meeting of the National Credit Union Board will be open to the public. Members of the public may file written statements with the Board either before or after the meeting. To the extent that time permits, interested persons may be permitted to present oral statements to the Board only on items listed in the aforementioned agenda. Requests to present such oral statements must be approved in advance by the Chairman of the Board. Such requests should be directed to the Chairman, National Credit Union Board, National Credit Union Administration, Washington, D.C. 20456.

LAWRENCE CONNELL, Jr.,
Administrator.

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-31966 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7555-01]

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL FORECASTING PROGRAM REVIEW

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, Pub. L. 92-463, the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

NAME: Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Environmental Forecasting Program of the Advisory Committee for Ocean Sciences.

DATE AND TIME: Nov. 21, 1977; 0930.

PLACE: National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colo.

TYPE OF MEETING: Closed.

CONTACT PERSON: Mr. Freenan D. Jennings, Head, Office for the International Decade of Ocean Exploration, Room 605, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202-632-7356.

PURPOSE OF SUBCOMMITTEE: To provide advice and recommendations concerning support for research in programs of IDOE.

AGENDA: To review and evaluate research proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

REASON FOR CLOSING: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), Government in the Sunshine Act.

AUTHORITY TO CLOSE MEETING: This determination was made by the Committee Management Officer pursuant to provisions of Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463. The Committee Management Officer was delegated the authority to make such determinations by the Acting Director, NSF, on February 18, 1977.

M. REBECCA WINKLER,
Acting Committee Management Officer.

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-32017 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7555-01]

ASSESSMENT OF SCIENCE EDUCATION IN TWO-YEAR COLLEGES

Notice of Meeting

A meeting of experts on the assessment of science education in two-year colleges will be held from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Monday, November 28, 1977 and on Tuesday, November 29, 1977 in Room 651 at 5225 Wisconsin Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of the meeting will be to identify some of the needs of science education in two-year colleges and to discuss possible programmatic implications for the recently established program, Comprehensive Assessment of Science Education for Two-Year Colleges

While this meeting of experts is not considered to be a meeting of an "advisory committee" as the term is defined in Section 3 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the meeting is believed to be of sufficient importance and interest to the general public to be announced in the FEDERAL

REGISTER as a meeting open for public attendance.

The meeting will be chaired by Bill G. Aldridge, Program Manager, Science Education Development and Research. Members of the public who wish to attend this meeting should call 202–282–7910 no later than November 21, 1977.

M. REBECCA WINKLER,
Acting Committee Management Officer.

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

[FR Doc.77–32018 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[7555–01]

FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION MANAGER'S MEETING

The next meeting of the Federal Scientific and Technical Information Managers will be held on Wednesday, November 9, 1977, from 9:30 a.m.–12 noon, at the Department of State, Conference Room 1207, 21st and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C. The theme of this meeting will be "Transborder Data Flow: A Current Issue."

These meetings, sponsored by the National Science Foundation, provide a forum for the interchange of information concerning common problems and coordination in the areas of Federal scientific and technical information and communications.

These meetings are designed solely for the benefit of Federal employees and officers, and do not fall under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463). However, this meeting is believed to be of sufficient importance and interest to the public to be announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Any persons wishing to attend this meeting or requiring further information should contact me, Division of Science Information, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone: (202) 632–5824.

LEE G. BURCHINAL,
Director, Division of Science Information.

OCTOBER 31, 1977.

[FR Doc.77–32180 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[7590–01]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–267]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF COLORADO

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 18 to Facility Operating License No. DPR–34 issued to Public Service Co. of Colorado which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Fort St. Vrain Nuclear Generating Station, located in Weld County, Colo. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revises the provisions in the Technical Specifications pertaining to ascension of reactor thermal power from 40 percent of rated to 70 percent of rated. The revisions relate to electrical equipment installations, an alternate method for safe plant shutdown, fire protection, seismic and enviromental qualifications of safety-related systems, and moisture detection instrumentation. The amendment also contains a definition of the three room control complex, a revised Technical Specification pertaining to surveillance requirements for the reactor building exhaust filters and a correction to Table 4.4–3 of the Technical Specifications.

The applications for the amendment comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5 (d)(4) an environmental statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action see: (1) the applications for amendment dated December 18, 1975, February 5, 1976, October 21, 1976, December 21, 1976, April 14, 1977, April 29, 1977, and September 7 1977; and letter request dated September 13, 1977; (2) Amendment No. 18 to License No. DPR–34, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Greeley Public Library, City Complex Building, Greeley, Colo. 80631.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Project Management.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 28th day of October, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

RICHARD P. DENISE,
Assistant Director for Special Projects, Division of Project Management.

[FR Doc.77–31961 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[7590–01]

REGULATORY GUIDE

Notice of Issuance and Availability of Errata

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued an errata sheet to Regulatory Guide 1.108, Revision 1, "Periodic Testing of Diesel Generator Units Used as Onsite Electric Power Systems at Nuclear Power Plants." When that guide was issued, the provision requiring that testing be conducted to demonstrate the required reliability by means of any 69 consecutive valid tests with no failures (Regulatory Position C.2.a(9)) was inadvertently placed in the guide such that testing to demonstrate reliability would be required at least every 18 months in addition to during plant preoperational testing. The errata sheet revises Regulatory Position C.2.a(9) so that the initial reliability demonstration testing would be required only during the plant preoperational test program. Ongoing reliability is addressed in Regulatory Position C.2.d.

Requests for single copies of the errata sheet should be made in writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Document Control. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a).)

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 27th day of October 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

RAY G. SMITH,
Acting Director, Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.77–31963 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[7590–01]

[Docket No. 50–312]

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Notice of Issuance of Amendment To Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 15 to Facility Operating License No. DPR–54 issued to Sacramento Municipal Utility District, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, located in Sacramento County, California. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revises the Technical Specifications to provide for performance of the Rancho Seco reactor vessel surveillance program at Davis-Besse Unit No. 1 and grants an exemption from the provisions of Appendix H to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 (10 CFR 50).

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and require-

ments of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 28, 1977 (42 FR 11293). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed actior

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 9, 1976, as supplemented August 24, 1976, and December 17, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 15 to License No. DPR–54, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Business & Municipal Department, Sacramento City-County Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento, Calif. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 20th day of October 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT W. REID,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77–31964 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[7590–01]

SITE SUITABILITY FOR HIGH LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORIES

Workshop Reports Published

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has published the reports of the discussion groups at the three regional workshops for State review of Site Suitability Criteria for High Level Radioactive Waste Repositories.

The disposal of high level nuclear waste is one of the most important issues now facing the Federal energy agencies. The U.S. Department of Energy has been authorized by the Congress to develop repositories for commercial high level wastes (HLW).[1] Its schedule calls for an operational facility by 1985. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has licensing and regulatory authority over the repositories, including the authority to set siting criteria which the repositories will be required to meet.

The NRC Waste Management Program and the Office of State Programs held three regional workshops to solicit ideas from State executives and legislators on the siting and licensing procedures for high level waste repositories and to solicit comments on the NRC preliminary site suitability criteria. The workshops were held in Denver, Colorado; New Orleans, Louisiana; and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania during the period September 19 to 30, 1977.

The workshops were attended by 170 State executives and legislators from 46 States. In addition, there were over 80 observers from the general public, government, industry, professional consultants and university faculty. The workshops were announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 1, 1977 (42 FR 44044). The participants each received a copy of NUREG–0326, Workshop Material for State Review of USNRC Site Suitability Criteria for High Level Radioactive Waste Repositories prior to the meetings.

NRC invited interested State officials to serve as discussion group chairmen and with them developed a set of issues for discussion. In each workshop, discussions were preceded by staff presentations of NRC's high level waste program. The participants were divided into four groups for discussion of a set of identical questions. At the close of these discussions, the chairmen prepared a report of each group's conclusions on the identified issues. On the final day the chairman presented the edited report to all participants at a closing plenary session. Those individual group reports are contained in the report just published by NRC. Identified as NUREG–0353, it is entitled: "Workshops for State Review of Site Suitability Criteria for High Level Radioactive Waste Repositories—Group Discussion Reports." Copies are available from National Technical Information Services, Springfield, Va. 22161 for $8.00. Copies are available for inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555.

This is the first of two reports on these workshops. The second report will contain the analysis of the workshops and the views and recommendations expressed there and will be published sometime after January 1, 1978.

[1] High level waste has a specific meaning within current NRC regulations. It is defined in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50 Appendix F, as follows: "High level liquid radioactive wastes means those aqueous wastes resulting from the operation of the first cycle solvent extraction system, or equivalent * * * in a facility for reprocessing radiated reactor fuels." For the purposes of the workshops HLW was deemed to include spent fuel.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 28th day of October 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT G. RYAN,
Director, Officer of State Programs.

[FR Doc.77–31962 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[3110–01]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on October 27, 1977 (44 USC 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503, (202–395–4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Shopper's Survey, Operation Townlift, monthly, households within corporate limits of small towns, Ellett, C. A., 395–6132.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Departmental and Other, Industrial Sector Survey Questionnaire, single-time, marine related manufacturer, Marsha Traynham, 395–4529.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force, Survey to Ascertain Multiple Destination Costs, single-time, selected defense contractors, Strasser, A., 395–6132.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Departmental and Other Report of Foreign Bank Securities and Other Financial Accounts, TD F 90–22.1, annually, all persons and businesses maintaining foreign bank accounts, C. Louis Kincannon, 395–3211.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Economic Analysis, Verification of Mailing List for BE–10, Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad–1976, BE–10(P), single-time, business firms, C. Louis Kincannon, 395–3211.

Domestic and International Business Administration Overseas Trade Events Evaluation, DIB-4046P, on occasion, trade promotions participants, C. Louis Kincannon, 395-3211.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force, Movement of Military Interchange Railroad Cars, DD1089, on occasion, transportation industry, Strasser, A., 395-6132.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration, Application for Appointment as Aviation Medical Examiner, FAA8520-2, on occasion, private physicians, Strasser, A., 395-6132.

EXTENSIONS

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

Dividend Notification H-(F), H-(F), on occasion, S&L's controlled by S&L holding companies, C. Louis Kincannon, 395-3211.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Application for Federal Assistance—Short Form, on occasion, State and Local government, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Economic Analysis, Travel Questionnaire for U.S. residents returned from trips abroad, BE-574, quarterly, U.S. residents who traveled abroad, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

Maritime Administration, Application for Ship Mortgage and/or Loan Insurance under Title XI, Merchant Marine Act, as amended, MA-163, on occasion, shipowners, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration:

Transmittal of Labels and Circulars, FD 2567, on occasion, licensed manufacturers of biological products, Richard Eisinger, 395-3214.

Notice of Claimed Investigational Exemption for new drug, FD-1571, on occasion, pharmaceutical firms, Richard Eisinger, 395-3214.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics, Recordkeeping requirements under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, OSHA 100, OSHA 101, OSHA 102, on occasion, employers in private sector, Strasser, A., 395-6132.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-32152 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[8010-01]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Administrative Proceeding File No. 3-5312]

UNION TANK CAR CO.

Notice of Application and Opportunity for Hearing

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that Union Tank Car Company (the "Applicant") has filed an application pursuant to Section 310 (b)(1)(ii) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, (the "Act") for a finding by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") that the trusteeship of Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago under an existing indenture, and the proposed trusteeship of Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago under a proposed indenture, are not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago from acting as trustee under such indentures.

Section 310(b) of the Act provides in part that if a trustee under an indenture qualified under the Act has or shall acquire a conflicting interest (as defined in such Section) it shall within ninety days after ascertaining that it has such conflicting interest, either eliminate such conflicting interest or resign. Subsection (1) of such Section provides, in effect, with certain exceptions, that a trustee under a qualified indenture shall be deemed to have a conflicting interest if such trustee is trustee under another indenture under which any other securities of the same obligor are outstanding. However, under Clause (ii) of subsection (1), there may be excluded from the operation of this provision another indenture under which other securities of the same obligor are outstanding, if the obligor shall have sustained the burden of proving, on application to the Commission and after opportunity for hearing thereon, that trusteeship under such qualified indenture and such other indenture is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify such trustee from acting as trustee under either of such indentures.

The Applicant alleges that: 1. The Applicant desires to appoint Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago to act as Trustee under the proposed Series 15 Equipment Trust Agreement.

2. Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago is presently acting as Trustee under the Applicant's Series 2 Equipment Trust Agreement, which is one of the Applicant's 14 presently outstanding issues of Equipment Trust Certificates. The aggregate principal amount of Equipment Trust Certificates outstanding under the Series 2 Equipment Trust as of September 30, 1977, was $10,439,000.

3. The Series 2 Equipment Trust Certificates issued under the present trusteeship of Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago and the Proposed Series 15 Equipment Trust Certificates for which it is proposed Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago shall act as Trustee, are secured by a separate lot of identified railroad tank cars, so that, should the Trustee have occasion to proceed against the security under either one of the Equipment Trusts, such action would not affect the security, or the use of any security, under the other Equipment Trust. Thus, the existence of the other trusteeship should in no way inhibit or discourage the Trustee's actions.

4. The Applicant is not in default under any of its Equipment Trust obligations.

The Applicant has waived notice of hearing, and any and all rights to specify procedures under the Rules of Practice of the Commission with respect to the application.

For a more detailed statement of the matters of fact and law asserted, all persons are referred to said application, which is a public document on file in the offices of the Commission at 500 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 21, 1977, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to contravert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. At any time after said date, the Commission may issue an order granting the application, upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may deem necessary or appropriate in the public interest and the interest of investors, unless a hearing is ordered by the Commission.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporation Finance pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32161 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4810-25]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

PARTICIPATION IN OR COOPERATION WITH INTERNATIONAL BOYCOTT

Announcement of Enforcement Policy of International Boycott Guidelines

On October 25, 1977 the Treasury announced the following policies in regard to the enforcement of the international boycott guidelines promulgated under section 999 of the Internal Revenue Code:

First: Until further notice affected persons will be entitled to the benefits of any published Treasury guidelines with respect to any specific issue arising under section 999. That is, the rule most favorable to an affected person on any given point covered in the November 4, 1976 guidelines (published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for November 11, 1976, 41 FR 49923), the December 30, 1976 guidelines (published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for January 5, 1977, 42 FR 1092), or the August 12, 1977 guidelines (published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for August 17, 1977, 42 FR 41504) will be applied. In this connection it should be noted that the November 4 guidelines contain the following statement in regard to Parts H through M of the guide-

lines: "* * * in instances where the action described in the question by itself does not, according to the answer, provide sufficient evidence to suggest an inference that an agreement under section 999(b)(3) exists, an overall course of conduct which includes such action in addition to other actions could suggest such an inference." That statement was incorporated by reference in the December 30 guidelines and also appears in the August 12 guidelines.

Second: the enforcement policy described above will apply through June 30, 1978, with respect to operations taken pursuant to binding contracts in existence as of October 24, 1977. In the case of letters of credit referred to in guidelines H–8 and H–29, the rule in regard to binding contracts will be as previously announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER for September 21, 1977 (42 FR 47610)—that is, operations pursuant to binding contracts entered into prior to November 16, 1977 will not be subject to answers H–8 and H–29 of the August 12 guidelines until after June 30, 1978.

Third: as soon as practicable and in no event later than January 19, 1978, the Treasury proposes to publish guidelines that will abrogate all previous Treasury guidelines. It is safe to assume that these guidelines will take account of the positions expressed in the August 12 guidelines, the comments submitted to the Treasury Department in regard to those guidelines, and the oral presentations made to the Treasury at the public hearing held on October 25, 1977.

Fourth: binding contracts entered into between October 25, 1977 and the effective date of the Treasury's final guidelines will afford protection through December 31, 1978, if operations pursuant to such contracts do not constitute participation in or cooperation with an international boycott under the August 12 guidelines.

Dated: October 31, 1977.

LAURENCE N. WOODWORTH,
Assistant Secretary for Tax Policy.

[FR Doc.77-31931 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7035-01]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[No. 521]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 138018-36 Refrigerated Foods, Inc., now being assigned November 14, 1977 (1 week) for hearing at Denver, Colo., will be held in Occupational Safety and Health Review, Commission Court Room, 1718 Prudential Plaza, 1050 17th Street.

MC 142941 Sub-No. 3, Scarborough Truck Lines now assigned November 11, 1977 at Columbus, Ohio is postponed indefinitely.

MC 113678 Sub-No. 669 Curtis, Inc., MC 129-387 Sub-No. 31, MC 111375 Sub-No. 85 Pirkle Refrigerated Freight Lines, Inc., MC 134286 Sub-No. 26 Illini Express, Inc., MC 140024 Sub-No. 73 J. B. Montgomery, Inc., MC 115826 Sub-No. 268 W. J. Digby, Inc., and MC 107839 Sub-No. 173 Denver-Albuquerque Motor Transport, Inc. now assigned November 14, 1977 at Denver, Colo., will be held in the Occupational Safety and Health Review, Commission Court Room, 1718 Prudential Plaza, 1050 17th Street.

MC 129537 (Sub-No. 21), Reeves Transportation Co., now being assigned for hearing on December 12, 1977 (1 day), at Atlanta, Ga., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 134906, Cape Air Freight, Inc. and MC 134904 Sub-Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, Cape Air Freight, Inc., now being assigned for continued hearing on the 6th day of December 1977 (4 days), at Louisville, Ky., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 139577 (Sub-No. 3), Adams Transit, Inc., now assigned December 14, 1977, at Dallas, Tex. is postponed to January 18, 1978 (3 days), at Chicago, Ill., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 113855 (Sub-No. 370), International Transport, Inc., now assigned November 8, 1977, at Salt Lake City, Utah is canceled and application dismissed.

MC 139495 Sub-No. 200, National Carriers, Inc., now assigned November 7, 1977 at Denver, Colo., will be held in the Occupational Safety and Health Review, Commission Court Room, 1718 Prudential Plaza, 1050 17th Street.

MC 85465 Sub-No. 61, West Nebraska Express, Inc., now assigned November 8, 1977 at Denver, Colo., will be held in the Occupational Safety and Health Review, Commission Court Room, 1718 Prudential Plaza, 1050 17th Street.

MC 83835 Sub-No. 143 Wales Transportation, Inc., now assigned November 9, 1977 at Denver, Colo., will be held in the Occupational Safety and Health Review, Commission Court Room, 1718 Prudential Plaza, 1050 17th Street.

MC 113678 Sub-No. 654 Curtis, Inc., now assigned November 10, 1977 at Denver, Colo., will be held in the Occupational Safety and Health Review, Commission Court Room, 1718 Prudential Plaza, 1050 17th Street.

MC 113678 Sub 645, Curtis, Inc. now assigned November 17, 1977 at Denver, Colo. and will be held in the Commissioner's Hearing room, 5th Floor, 500 State Service Building, 1525 Sherman Street.

MC 52464 Sub-No. 8, Evans Trucking Co.-Extension-New York now assigned November 29, 1977 at Columbus, Ohio is being postponed to January 17, 1978 (1 day) at Columbus, Ohio in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 141728 Sub 3, Wilmington Corp. now being assigned January 12, 1978 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

H. G. HOMME, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32042 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[7035-01]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of Section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

FSA No. 43452—*Grain to Chicago, Ill. and Decatur, Ind.*

Filed by Erie Western Railway Company, (No. 1), for interested rail carriers. Rates on grain, in carloads, as described in the application, from points on the Erie Western Railway Co., Indiana, to Chicago, Illinois and Decatur, Indiana.

Grounds for relief—Carrier competition.

Tariff—Erie Western Railway Company (ERES) Freight Tariff No. 2, I.C.C. No. 2, Ind. P.S.C. No. 2. Rates are published to become effective on November 27, 1977.

FSA No. 43453—*Vinyl Chloride to Dundee, New Jersey.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. B–716), for interested rail carriers. Rates on vinyl chloride, in tank-car loads, as described in the application, from specified points in Louisiana and Texas, to Dundee, New Jersey.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 23 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 12–K, I.C.C. No. 5272. Rates are published to become effective on November 30, 1977.

FSA No. 43454—*Vinyl Chloride to York, West Virginia.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. B–715), for interested rail carriers. Rates on vinyl chloride, in tank-car loads, as described in the application, from specified points in Louisiana and Texas, to York, West Virginia.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 22 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 12–K, I.C.C. No. 5272. Rates are published to become effective on December 1, 1977.

By the Commission.

H. G. HOMME, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-32041 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

sunshine act meetings

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94–409), 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

	Item
Civil Aeronautics Board	1, 2
Civil Service Commission	3
Commodity Futures Trading Commission	4
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	5
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	6, 7
Federal Home Loan Bank Board	8, 9
Federal Maritime Commission	10, 11
Interstate Commerce Commission	12, 13
National Transportation Safety Board	14, 15
Postal Rate Commission	16

[6320–01]

1

NOTICE OF DELETIONS AND ADDITION OF ITEMS IN THE NOVEMBER 3, 1977 AGENDA

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., November 3, 1977.

PLACE: Room 1027, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: (Deleted) 18. Proposed Amendment of Separation of Functions Rule (Memo No. 7473, OGC).

(Deleted) 19. Procedures for Board Review of BOE Dismissals of Third-Party Complaints (Memo No. 7496, BOE).

(Added) 21a, Docket 30938, Petitions for reconsideration Order 77–5–157, dated May 27, 1977, instituting the Pacific Common Fares Investigations and answers filed by Pan American World Airways, Inc. and the Puget Sound Traffic Association (Memo No. 6941–B, BFR, BLJ).

STATUS: Open.

PERSON TO CONTACT:

Phyllis T. Kaylor, The Secretary, 202–673–5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The staff had indicated that it would have prepared for the Board's consideration on November 3, 1977 material that relates to items 18 and 19. The necessary material will not be available before the November 3, 1977 meeting. In order to give the Board the benefit of all information on these items, it is necessary to delete these items from the November 3, 1977 agenda and to reschedule them when all material is available. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that agency business requires the deletion of items 18 and 19 from the agenda of November 3, 1977 and that no earlier announcement of these deletions was possible:

Chairman Alfred E. Kahn
Vice Chairman Richard J. O'Melia
Member G. Joseph Minetti
Member Lee R. West
Member Elizabeth E. Bailey

The Board discussed item 21a at its October 27, 1977 open meeting and approved a staff recommendation expanding the scope of the investigation. Before the issuance of an appropriate order, however, it was learned that the Bureau of Administrative Law Judges had been unable to present its comments to the Board at that meeting. So that the Board may have the benefit of these comments and so that it may take appropriate action as soon as possible to minimize any uncertainty regarding this proceeding, it is necessary that the Board further consider this item. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that agency business requires that item 21a be added to the November 3, 1977 meeting on less than seven days' notice and that no earlier announcement was possible.

Chairman Alfred E. Kahn
Vice Chairman Richard J. O'Melia
Member G. Joseph Minetti
Member Lee R. West
Member Elizabeth E. Bailey

[S–1763–77 Filed 11–2–77;3:45 pm]

[6320–01]

2

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

TIME AND DATE: 2:00 p.m., November 8, 1977.

PLACE: Room 1027, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: Pan American World Airways to make a presentation to the Board regarding its status.

STATUS: Open.

PERSON TO CONTACT:

Phyllis T. Kaylor, The Secretary, 202–673–5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Presentation by Pan American was originally scheduled for October 25, 1977, and was rescheduled to November 8, 1977, by Amendment 1 to M–72 (corrected copy).

[S–1764–77 Filed 11–2–77;3:45 pm]

[6325–01]

3

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9 a.m., Friday, November 11, 1977.

PLACE: Commissioners' Meeting Room, Room 5H09, (fifth floor), 1900 E Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

The Commissioners will receive testimony (oral and written) from Government officials and others on a proposal, "A Plan for Special Emphasis Employment Programs," developed by Vice Chairman Jule M. Sugarman. The paper discusses a plan to consolidate and improve the Commission's activities with regard to some 27 programs with special emphasis on employment—such as selective placement of the handicapped, veterans readjustment appointments, college work-study programs, equal employment opportunity programs, and intergovernmental mobility assignments. Adoption of the proposal, with or without modification, may follow discussion.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Georgia Metropulos, Office of the Executive Assistant to the Commissioners, 202–632–5556.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[S–1756–77 Filed 11–2–77;12:36 pm]

4

[6351–01]

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., November 8, 1977.

PLACE: 2033 K Street N.W., Washington, D.C., 5th floor hearing room.

STATUS: Parts of this meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Portions open to the public:

Assessment of Alternative Regulatory Approaches to Section 217/Policy Discussion.

Monthly Update-Review of Proposed December Agenda.

Portions closed to the public:

Enforcement Matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jane Stuckey, 254–6314.

[S–1757–77 Filed 11–2–77;1:51 pm]

[6570-06]

5

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m. (Eastern Time), Thursday, November 10, 1977.

PLACE: Chairman's Conference Room, No. 5240, on the fifth floor of the Columbia Plaza Office Building, 2401 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20506.

STATUS: Part of the meeting will be open to the public, and part will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Part open to the public:

Report on First Indicators from three Model District Offices.

Part closed to the public:

(1) Litigation Authorization; General Counsel Recommendations: Matters closed to the public under Sec. 1612.13 (a) of the Commission's regulations (42 FR 13830, March 14, 1977).

(2) Briefing on Litigation: Matters closed to the public under Sec. 1612.13(a) (3) of the Commission's regulations cited above.

NOTE.—Any matter not discussed or concluded may be carried over to a later meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Marie D. Wilson, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, at, 202-634-6748.

This Notice Issued November 1, 1977.

[S-1751-77 Filed 11-2-77;11:25 am]

[6740-02]

6

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: (Pub. 10/31/77, 42 FR 57004.)

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 10:00 a.m., November 2, 1977.

CHANGE IN MEETING: The following item has been added:

Item No., Docket No. and Company

G-49.—CP75-131 and CP76-129, Mountain Fuel Supply Company. CP76-94, Phillips Petroleum Company.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-1753-77 Filed 1-2-77;9:19 am]

[6740-02]

7

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: (pub. 10/31/77, 42 FR 57004.)

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 10:00 a.m., November 2, 1977.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following items have been added:

Item No., Docket No. and Company

G-50.—CP76-416, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation. CP77-311, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation. CP77-528, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation. CP77-639, Trunkline Gas Company.

M-3.—RM77-14, Gas Research Institute.

P-13.—E-8264, Maine Public Service Company.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-1755-77 Filed 11-2-77;11:05 am]

[6720-01]

8

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Vol. 42, No. 208, page 56838, October 28, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 a.m., November 2, 1977.

PLACE: 320 First Street NW., Room 630, Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Mr. Michael Scanlon, 202-376-3324.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING:

The following item has been changed from the open to the closed portion of the meeting:

Branch Office Application—Biscayne Federal Savings and Loan Association, Miami, Florida.

No. 95, November 1, 1977.

[S-1758-77 Filed 11-2-77; 1:51 pm]

[6720-01]

9

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Vol. 42, No. 211, p. 57374, Wednesday, November 2, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 2:00 p.m., November 1, 1977.

PLACE: 320 First Street, NW., Room 630, Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Closed meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Mr. Michael Scanlon, 202-376-3012.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING:

The time and date of the meeting has been changed to:

2:30 p.m., November 1, 1977.

This notice supersedes notice number 91, dated October 31, 1977.

No. 94, November 1, 1977.

[S-1759-77 Filed 11-2-77;1:51 pm]

[6730-01]

10

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., November 10, 1977.

PLACE: Room 12126, 1100 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20573.

STATUS: Parts of the meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Portions open to the public:

1. Agreement No. T-3502: Between Matson Terminals, Inc. and Polynesia Line, Ltd., Container Terminal Services at Oakland and Los Angeles.

2. Agreement Nos. 9847-3 and 10028-5: Modifications of pooling, sailing, and equal access agreements in the United States/Brazil trade (Netumar, Lloyd Brazileiro, and Moore-McCormack Lines).

Portion closed to the public:

1. Sea-Land Service, Inc., Rate Filing Establishing New Service in the U.S. Gulf/Puerto Rico Trade.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Francis C. Hurney, Secretary, 202-523-5727.

[S-1766-77 Filed 11-2-77;4:08 pm]

[6730-01]

11

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: October 28, 1977, 42 FR 56835.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 10:00 a.m., November 2, 1977.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING:

Addition of the following item to the open session:

4. Trailer Marine Transport Corporation, Interstate Commerce Commission, Freight Tariff No. 6, ICC No. 2, Order to Show Cause.

[S-1765-77 Filed 11-2-77;4:08 pm]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.

[7035-01]

12

TIME AND DATE: 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, November 8, 1977.

PLACE: Hearing Room "B", Interstate Commerce Commission Building, 12th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open oral argument.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Ex Parte No. 343 (Rail, General Rate Increase).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, Douglas Baldwin, Director, telephone 202–275–7252.

The Commission's professional staff will be available to brief news media representatives on conference issues at the conclusion of the meeting.

[S–1752–77 Filed 11–2–77;8:48 am]

[7035–01]

13

TIME AND DATE:[1] 9:00 a.m., Thursday, November 10, 1977, extending to Friday, November 11th, and possibly Saturday, November 12th, with evening sessions on November 10th and 11th, if necessary.

PLACE: Hearing Room "B", Interstate Commerce Commission Building, 12th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open conference.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Presentations by carriers, shippers, industry associations, consumer groups and individual members of the public on any aspect of the ICC's regulation of interstate trucking.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, Douglas Baldwin, Director, telephone 202–275–7252.

The Commission's professional staff will be available to brief news media representatives on conference issues at the conclusion of the meeting.

[S–1767–77 Filed 11–2–77;4:08 pm]

[4910–58]

14

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD.

TIME AND DATE: 11:00 a.m. (NM–77–37), Wednesday, November 2, 1977.

PLACE: NTSB Board Room, National Transportation Safety Board, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20594.

STATUS: Closed. The majority of the Board determined that the business of the Agency required the meeting and that no earlier announcement was possible.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:

An Internal Personnel Matter.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Sharon Flemming, 202–755–4930.

[S–1760–77 Filed 11–2–77;3:17 pm]

[4910–58]

15

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATIONS OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENTS: 42 FR 56697, October 27, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 a.m. (NM–77–36), Thursday, November 3, 1977.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING:

The following item has been deleted as the third item on the agenda. A majority of the Board has determined by recorded vote that the business of the Board requires this change. No earlier announcement was possible.

Discussion of Board policy with respect to special studies.

[S–1761–77 Filed 11–2–77;3:17 pm]

[1] This amended notice published only to note time change from 9:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.

[BAC–7715–01]

16

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 8:30 a.m., Thursday, November 3, 1977.

PLACE: Conference Room, Room 500, 2000 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Draft of Tentative Decision Concerning Gestetner Corporation and OOC Proposals to Make Material Reproduced by the Stencil, Mimeograph and Hectograph Processes Eligible for Entry as Second-Class Mail, Docket No. MC76–2.

Closed to the public pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Ned Callan, Information Officer, Postal Rate Commission, Room 500, 2000 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20268, telephone 202–254–5614.

[S–1762–77 Filed 11–2–77;3:17 pm]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1977

PART II



DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Insurance Administration

■

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Certain Communities

[4210-01]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

[Docket No. FI-3011]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for City of Norwalk, Fairfield County, Conn.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the City of Norwalk, Fairfield County, Connecticut.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the City of Norwalk, Conn.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the City of Norwalk, are available for review at City Hall, 41 North Main Street, South Norwalk, Conn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202-755-5581 or toll free line 800-424-8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the City of Norwalk, Conn.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Stony Brook	Merritt Parkway	118
	Newton Ave	99
Silvermine River	Perry Ave	114
	Merritt Parkway	83
	James St	64
Norwalk River	Grist Mill Rd	111
	Merritt Parkway	79
	Broad St	52
	New Canaan Ave	47
	Wall St	12
Five Mile River	Old Rock Lane	137
	Fillow St	98
	West Cedar St	73
	Connecticut Ave	54
	Flax Hill Rd	37
	Cudlipp Rd	12
Keeles Brook	Flax Hill Rd	58
	Rowayton Ave	36

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)).)

Issued: October 4, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31389 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-2942]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for Town of East Windsor, Conn.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the Town of East Windsor, Connecticut.

These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of East Windsor, Connecticut.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Town of East Windsor are available for review at East Windsor Town Hall, 11 Rye Street, Broad Brook, Connecticut.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202-755-5581 or toll free line 800-424-8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street Southwest, Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Town of East Windsor.

This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917).

An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Broad Brook	Mill Pond dam	92
	Main St. bridge	83
	Dam	68
	Mill St. bridge*	65
	Stiles Rd. bridge	53
	Mill St. bridge	49
Connecticut River	Connecticut Route 140.	34
	I-91	33

*Upstream.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 8, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31390 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-3575]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for City of California, Campbell County, Ky.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the City of California, Campbell County, Kentucky.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain manage-

ment measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the City of California, Campbell County, Ky.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the City of California, Campbell County, Ky., are available for review at the City Building, California, Ky.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the City of California, Campbell County, Kentucky.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level
Ohio River	Northern corporate limits.	505
	Southern corporate limits.	505

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 13, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31391 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210–01]

[Docket No. FI–3014]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Tensas Parish, La.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in Tensas Parish, La.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for Tensas Parish, La.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for Tensas Parish, are available for review at Courthouse, St. Joseph, Louisiana.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for Tensas Parish, La.

This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone area in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year flood elevations for selected locations area:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Mississippi River	Upstream parish limit.	99
	Downstream parish limit.	80

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 26, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31392 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210–01]

[Docket No. FI–2476]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for City of Northampton, Hampshire County, Mass.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the City of Northampton, Massachusetts.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the City of Northampton, Massachusetts.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the city of Northampton, are available for review at City Hall, Main Street, Northampton, Mass.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the city of Northampton, Mass.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided, and the Administrator has resolved the appeals presented by the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Connecticut River	Downstream corporate limits.	123
	Coolidge Bridge	125
	Upstream corporate limits.	126
Mill River	East Hampton Rd	124
	Con Rail	131
	West St (Route 66)	133
	U.S. Geological Survey gaging station	149
	Clements St	191
	Bliss St	215
	Pine St	242
	Meadow St	246
	Main St	319
	Mulberry St	342
	River Rd	386

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued, September 26, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31393 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-2503]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Town of Barnstable, Barnstable County, Mass.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the town of Barnstable, Mass.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the town of Barnstable, Mass.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the town of Barnstable, are available for review at Town Hall, 397 Main Street, Hyannis, Mass.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202-755-5581 or toll free line 800-424-8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the town of Barnstable, Mass.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided, and the Administrator has resolved the appeals presented by the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Cape Cod Bay	Bone Hill Rd	10.5
	Indian Trail	10.5
	Rendezvous Lane	10.5
	Crocker Lane	10.5
Hyannis Harbor	Sea St	10.0
	Lighthouse Lane	10.0
Centerville Harbor	Irving St	10.0
	South Main St	10.0
West Bay	Bay View Dr	11.0
Cotuit Bay	Oyster Rd	11.0

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 13, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31394 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-2867]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for Town of Canton, Mass.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the town of Canton, Mass.

These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the town of Canton, Mass.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the town of Canton are available for review at Memorial Hall, Canton, Mass.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202-755-5581 or toll free line 800-424-8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the town of Canton.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917).

An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Neponset River	Access Rd	45
	Route 128 north	45
	Route 128 south	45
	Green Lodge St. bridge.	47
	Railroad bridge	48
	Dedham St. bridge	48
	Route 95 south	48
	Route 95 north	48
	Neponset St. bridge	48
	Route 95 north	48
	Route 95 south	48
Canton River	Neponset St	58
	Revere Ct	89

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued September 8, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31395 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-2760]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for Town of Milton, Mass.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the Town of Milton, Mass. These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Milton, Mass.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or Toll-Free Line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street, Southwest, Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Town of Milton. This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community. The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Town of Milton are available for review at Town Office, 525 Canton Avenue, Milton, Mass. The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Neponset River	Southeast Expressway.	10
	Granite Ave	10
	Adams St	10
	Central Ave	17
	Blue Hills Parkway	27
	Penn Central RR	33
Pine Tree Brook	Eliott St	26
	Turner Pond bridge	32
	Brook Rd	34
	Blue Hills Parkway	36

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: June 24, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31396 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-2937]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for Town of North Reading, Mass.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the Town of North Reading, Mass. These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of North Reading, Mass.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Town of North Reading are available for review at Town Hall, Park Street, North Reading, Mass.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or Toll Free Line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street, Southwest; Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Town of North Reading. This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community. The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910. The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Ipswich River	Washington St. bridge.	64
	Upstream of Haverhill St. bridge.	68
	Upstream of Main St. bridge.	70

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 8, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31397 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-2736]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Township of Sodus, Berrien County, Mich.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the township of Sodus, Berrien County, Mich.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the township of Sodus, Mich.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the township of Sodus, are available for review at Township Hall, Sodus, Mich.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the township of Sodus, Mich.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
St. Joseph River	Upstream corporate limits.	604
	Downstream corporate limits.	590

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974))

Issued: October 4, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31398 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-3020]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Township of Benton, Berrien County, Mich.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the township of Benton, Mich.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the township of Benton, Mich.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the township of Benton, are available for review at Township Hall, 1725 Territorial Road, Benton Harbor, Mich.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the township of Benton, Mich.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
St. Joseph River	I-94	589
	U.S. 31	590
Paw Paw River	Paw Paw Ave.	587
	C. & O. RR	587
Ox Creek	Highway M-139	588
	Penn Central RR	604
	Napier Ave.	608

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 13, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31399 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-3019]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Township of Ford River, Delta County, Mich.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the Township of Ford River, Mich.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the Township of Ford River, Mich.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Township of Ford River, are available for review at Township Office, Route 1, Bark River, Mich.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or Toll-Free Line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Township of Ford River, Mich.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Green Bay	Green Bay shoreline	584

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator (34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969), as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 13, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31400 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210–01]

[Docket No. FI–2758]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Township of Laketon, Muskegon County, Mich.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the Township of Laketon, Muskegon County, Mich.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for the Township of Laketon, Mich.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Township of Laketon, are available for review at Township Hall, 2735 West Giles Road, North Muskegon, Mich.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581, or Toll-Free Line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Township of Laketon, Mich.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Bear Lake Tributary	Giles Rd	620
	Dyksta Rd	588
Green Creek	Giles Rd	599
	Fenner Rd	596
	Memorial Rd	584
Green Creek tributary	Farm Lane	621
	Bays Rd	608

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator (34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969), as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: October 4, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31401 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210–01]

[Docket No. FI–2757]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Township of Monroe, Mich.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in the Township of Monroe, Mich.

These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations for the Township of Monroe, Mich.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Township of Monroe, are available for review at Township Hall, 4925 West Dunbar Road, Monroe, Mich.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or Toll Free Line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Township of Monroe, Mich.

This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Plum Creek	Herr Rd	603
	Monroe St	591
	I-75	578
River Raisin	Telegraph Rd	598

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 26, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31402 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-3195]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determinations for Scott County, Minn.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base (100-year) flood elevations are listed below for selected locations in Scott County, Minn. These base (100-year) flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), showing base (100-year) flood elevations, for Scott County, Minn.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for Scott County, are available for review at Scott County Courthouse, 428 South Holmes, Shakopee, Minn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for Scott County, Minn. This final rule is issued in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917.4(a)). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community. The Administrator has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910. The final base (100-year) flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Minnesota River	County Highway 5	735
	County Highway 9	725
	Chicago & Northwestern RR.	725
	State Highway 41	724
	Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific RR.	723
Sand Creek	County Rd. bridge [1]	733
	County Rd. bridge [2]	726
	Chicago & Northwestern RR.	725

[1] Upstream.
[2] Downstream.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued October 4, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31403 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210-01]

[Docket No. FI-3032]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for Town of Bolton, Miss.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the town of Bolton, Miss. These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Bolton, Miss.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Town of Bolton are available for review at Town Hall, Bolton, Miss.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or Toll Free Line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Town of Bolton. This final rule is issued in accordance wtih Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917). An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community. The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910. The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Stream 1	Near western corporate limit in northwest corner of town; about 100 ft upstream of Madison St.	203
	Near northern corporate limit in northeast corner of town.	216
Stream 2	Madison St.—downstream side.	205
	Madison St.—upstream side.	206
	Brownsville Rd	211
	Church St	213
	Jackson St.—downstream side.	217
	Jackson St.—upstream side.	219
Stream 3	At confluence with Bakers Creek.	210
	Near eastern corporate limit in southeast corner of town.	214
Bakers Creek	Champion Hill Rd—downstream side.	207
	Champion Hill Rd—upstream side.	208
	Bolton-Raymond Rd.	209

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 8, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-31404 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4210–01]

[Docket No. FI–2935]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for City of Itta Bena, Miss.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the City of Itta Bena, Miss. These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to quality or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the City of Itta Bena, Miss.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the City of Itta Bena are available for review at City Hall, 201 Humphrey's Street, Itta Bena, Miss.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the City of Itta Bena.

This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917).

An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Roebuck Lake	Humphrey's St	128

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 13, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31405 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

[4210–01]

[Docket No. FI–3033]

PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Final Flood Elevation Determination for Town of Morgan City, Miss.

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Final base flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations in the Town of Morgan City, Miss. These base flood elevations are the basis for the flood plain management measures that the community is required to either adopt or show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: On publication of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the Town of Morgan City, Miss.

ADDRESSES: Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations for the Town of Morgan City are available for review at City Hall, Morgan City, Miss.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Administrator, Office of Flood Insurance, 202–755–5581 or toll free line 800–424–8872, Room 5270, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Insurance Administrator gives notice of his final determinations of flood elevations for the Town of Morgan City.

This final rule is issued in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917).

An opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. No appeals of the proposed base flood elevations were received from the community or from individuals within the community.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

The final 100-year flood elevations for selected locations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet, national geodetic vertical datum
Pelucia Bayou	Mississippi Highway 7.	120

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended (39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).)

Issued: September 8, 1977.

PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–31406 Filed 11–3–77;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1977
PART III



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

■

FEDERALLY-OWNED MINERAL INTERESTS

Procedures for Conveyance

[4310–84]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[43 CFR Part 2720]

CONVEYANCE OF FEDERALLY-OWNED MINERAL INTERESTS

Proposed Procedures

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1719) authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to convey mineral interests owned by the United States where the surface is or will be in non-Federal ownership if conditions set forth in the Act are met. This proposed rulemaking establishes the procedure under which the Secretary of the Interior will exercise that authority.

DATE: Comment by January 3, 1978.

ADDRESS: Send comments to: Director (210), Bureau of Land Management, 1800 C Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20240. Comments will be available for examination in Room 5555 of the above address during regular business hours (7:45 a.m.–4:15 p.m.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert C. Bruce, Division of Legislation and Regulatory Management, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, 202–343–8735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 gave the Secretary of the Interior limited authority to convey mineral interests owned by the United States. The Secretary of the Interior may convey mineral interests of the United States when the surface is or will be in non-Federal ownership but is restricted to those instances when there are no known mineral values in the land or where the continued reservation of the mineral interests by the United States interferes with or precludes appropriate non-mineral development of the land and such development would be a more beneficial use of the land than its mineral development.

This proposed rulemaking sets forth the rules and procedures under which the Secretary of the Interior will exercise the authority granted him in section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976.

The procedure outlined in the proposed rulemaking is an application procedure. Under the proposed rulemaking, anyone who owns or will own the surface of land, in which the mineral interests are owned or reserved by the United States, may file an application to purchase the interests of the United States. The Secretary can convey the interests of the United States when there are no known mineral values in the land or when the mineral reservation is interfering with or precluding development of the surface and the planned surface development is more beneficial than the mineral development.

The proposed rulemaking sets out the procedure to be followed in determining the fair market value of the reserved mineral interests. It requires the authorized officer to use all available data to determine value and sets up the requirements for any needed exploratory program. The proposal mandates a close working relationship between the authorized officer and the U.S. Geological Survey in carrying out the exploratory program and the evaluation of data obtained from the program.

Because of the very limited authority granted the Secretary of the Interior by the Congress in section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, there will be many applications to purchase mineral interests owned by the United States that will have to be denied. The proposed rulemaking recognizes this fact and provides a method for rejection of an application by the authorized officer when the application clearly does not meet the requirements of the Act.

Finally, the proposed rulemaking provides the method for conveying the mineral interests of the United States to the applicant when all of the requirements of the Act and the regulations have been met.

The principal author of this proposed rulemaking is Robert C. Bruce of the Division of Legislation and Regulatory Management, assisted by the staff of the Division of Mineral Resources, the Division of Lands and Realty, all of the Bureau of Land Management, as well as the staff of the Office of the Solicitor and the U.S. Geological Survey.

NOTE: The Department of the Interior has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Analysis under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A–107.

It is hereby determined that the publication of this proposed rulemaking is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and that no detailed statement pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)) is required.

Under the authority of sections 209 and 310 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), it is proposed to amend Subchapter B, Chapter II, Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding Group 2700 as set forth below:

PART 2720—CONVEYANCE OF FEDERALLY-OWNED MINERAL INTERESTS

Subpart 2720—Conveyance of Federally-Owned Mineral Interests

Sec.

2720.0–1 Purpose.
2720.0–2 Objectives.
2720.0–3 Authority.
2720.0–5 Definitions.
2720.1 Application to purchase Federally-owned mineral interests.
2720.1–1 Filing of applications.
2720.1–2 Form of applications.
2720.1–3 Action on application.
2720.2 Determination that an exploratory program is not required.
2720.3 Action upon determination of the value of the Federally-owned mineral interests.
2720.4 Issuance of document of conveyance.
2720.5 Appeals.

AUTHORITY: Sections 209 and 310 of Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).

Subpart 2720—Conveyance of Federally-Owned Mineral Interests

§ 2720.0–1 Purpose.

The purpose of these regulations is to establish procedures under section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1719, for conveyance of mineral interests owned by the United States where the surface is or will be in non-Federal ownership.

§ 2720.0–2 Objectives.

The objective is to allow consolidation of surface and subsurface or mineral ownership where there are no known mineral values or in those instances where the reservation interferes with or precludes appropriate non-mineral development and such development is a more beneficial use of the land than the mineral development.

§ 2720.0–3 Authority.

(a) Section 209(b) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1719(b), authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to convey mineral interests owned by the United States where the surface is or will be in non-Federal ownership, if certain specific conditions are met.

(b) Section 310 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1740, authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to promulgate rules and regulations to carry out the purposes of the Act.

§ 2720.0–5 Definitions.

As used in this subpart, the term:

(a) "Prospective record owner" means a person who has a contract or other agreement to purchase a tract of land that is in private ownership with a reservation of minerals in the United States, or a person who is purchasing a tract of land under the provisions of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976.

(b) "Known mineral values" means mineral values in lands with underlying geologic formations which are valuable for prospecting for, developing or producing natural mineral deposits. The presence of such mineral deposits in the lands may be known, or geologic conditions may be such as to make the lands prospectively valuable for mineral occurrence.

§ 2720.1 Application to purchase Federally-owned mineral interests.

§ 2720.1–1 Filing of application.

Any existing or prospective record owner of the surface of land in which

mineral interests are reserved or otherwise owned by the United States may file an application to purchase such mineral interests if (1) He has reason to believe that there are no known mineral values in the land, or (2) The reservation of ownership of the mineral interests in the United States interferes with or precludes appropriate non-mineral development of the land and such development would be a more beneficial use of the land than its mineral development.

§ 2720.1–2 Form of application.

(a) An application shall be filed with the State Office of the Bureau of Land Management in the State in which the lands and minerals are located.

(b) No specific form is required.

(c) A non-refundable fee of $50 shall accompany the application.

(d) Each application shall include:

(1) The name, legal mailing address, and telephone number of the existing or prospective record owner of the land included in the application;

(2) Proof of ownership of the land included in the application, or in the case of a prospective record owner, a copy of the contract of conveyance of a statement describing the method by which he will become the owner of record;

(3) In the case of private ownership of the surface, a certified copy of any patent or other instrument conveying the land included in the application; and

(4) As complete a statement as possible concerning (i) the Federally-reserved or owned mineral values in the land, including substantiating information, (ii) the proposed uses of the land, (iii) why the reservation of the mineral interests in the United States is interfering with or precluding appropriate non-mineral development of the land covered by the application, (iv) how or why such development would be a more beneficial use of the land than its mineral development, and (v) a showing that the proposed use complies with State and local and/or planning requirements.

§ 2720.1–3 Action on application.

(a) Within 60 days of receipt of an application to purchase Federally-owned mineral interests, the authorized officer shall, if the application meets the requirements for further processing, determine the amount of depósit required and so inform the applicant.

(b) No application filed under this subpart shall be processed until the applicant has either (1) Deposited with the authorized officer an amount of money that the authorized officer estimates is needed to cover administrative costs of processing, including but not limited to costs of conducting an exploratory program, if one is required, to determine the character of the mineral deposits in the land, evaluating the existing data or the data obtained under an approved exploratory program to aid in determining the fair market value of the mineral interests to be conveyed, and preparing and issuing the documents of conveyance, or (2) has obtained the consent of the authorized officer to conduct an exploratory program, such program to be conducted only under a plan of operations approved by the authorized officer after he has obtained the approval of the U.S. Geological Survey. The authorized officer in reaching a determination as to whether there are any known mineral values in the land and, if so, the estimated costs of an exploratory program, if one is needed, shall rely upon reports on locatable and saleable minerals prepared by the Bureau of Land Management and mineral resource evaluation reports on leasable minerals prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey.

(c) The authorized officer shall inform the applicant of his determination as to the need for an exploratory program, and where appropriate, the estimated cost of such a program. The applicant may request that the exploratory program be arranged by the authorized officer or request the consent of the authorized officer to accomplish any required exploratory program by other means, at his own expense, under a plan of operations approved by the authorized officer after having obtained the approval of the U.S. Geological Survey and to provide the results to the authorized officer for his use and approval. The applicant shall, within 60 days of receipt of such notice, respond to the authorized officers' notice, stating whether he wishes to have the authorized officer arrange to have conducted the required exploratory program or requests the consent of the authorized officer to accomplish any required exploratory program by other means. Failure to respond to said notice shall void the application.

(d) If the applicant requests that any required exploratory program be arranged by the authorized officer, he shall submit the sum of money required under paragraph (b) of this section and the authorized officer shall, with the approval of the U.S. Geological Survey, have the exploratory program accomplished so as to aid in determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests covered by the application.

(e) If the applicant requests the consent of the authorized officer to accomplish any required exploratory program by other means, at his own expense, he shall at the time of making his request for such consent, file a plan of operations to carry out any required exploratory program for approval by the authorized officer, after he has obtained the approval of the U.S. Geological Survey. Such plan of operations shall be sufficient to provide the resource and economic data needed to aid in determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests to be conveyed. Said resource and economic data shall include, where appropriate, but not be limited to, geologic maps, geologic cross-sections, tables and descriptive information encompassing lithologic, geochemical, and geophysical data, assays of samples, drill logs and outcrop sections, which aid in establishing the location, nature, quantity, and grade, and which aid in determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests in the land covered by the application. The plan of operations shall conform to the laws, regulations and ordinances of all governmental bodies having jurisdiction over the lands covered by the application. The authorized officer shall decide within 60 days of receipt of said request whether he shall or shall not give his consent. The authorized officer shall not give his consent if he determines that the plan of operations is not adequate to supply the resource and economic data needed to aid him in determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests to be conveyed. If the authorized officer, in his discretion, and with the approval of the plan of operations by the U.S. Geological Survey, approves the applicant's plan of operations, the applicant may proceed to execute the plan of operations, subject to the supervision of the authorized officer and the U.S. Geological Survey. If the authorized officer does not give his consent to the applicant's request, the applicant may, within 60 days of such refusal, avail himself of the provisions of paragraph (d) of this section. Failure to deposit the required sum within the 60 day period shall void the application. All resource and economic data obtained from the approved exploratory program shall be supplied the authorized officer. The authorized officer shall supply that data needed for determination of the economic value of leasable mineral resources to the U.S. Geological Survey and that needed for determination of the economic value of locatable and saleable mineral resources to the Bureau of Land Management. The authorized officer relying upon those determinations shall determine the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests in the land covered by the application. If the authorized officer determines that the resource and economic data supplied from an approved exploratory program is not adequate to aid in determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests to be conveyed, he shall so notify the applicant and state what additional data is needed.

(f) Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding paragraphs of this section, an application may be rejected without the applicant meeting the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section if the authorized officer determines from an examination of the application or of data readily available to him relating to the land concerned that the application does not meet the requirements of the Act.

§ 2720.2 Determination that an exploratory program is not required.

(a) In instances where available data indicate that there are no known mineral values in the land covered by the application, an exploratory program shall not be required.

(b) An exploratory program shall not be required to prove the presence or absence of mineral values where the lands covered by the application are classified prospectively valuable, but such value is found to be only nominal.

§ 2720.3 Action upon determination of the fair market value of the mineral interests.

(a) Upon the authorized officer's determination that all of the requirements of the Act for conveyance of mineral interests have been met by the applicant and all actions necessary to determine the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests in land covered by the application have been completed, the authorized officer shall notify the applicant in writing of the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests, including the value of removal of the mineral reservation, and give a full and complete statement of the costs incurred in reaching such determination including any sum due the United States or that may be unexpended from the deposit made by the applicant. If the administrative costs of determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests exceed the amount of the deposit required of the applicant under this subpart, he will be informed that he is required to pay the difference between the actual costs and the deposit. If the deposit exceeds the administrative costs of determining the fair market value of the Federal mineral interests, the applicant will be informed that he is entitled to a credit for or a refund of the excess. The notice shall also require that payment be made within 90 days from the date of mailing of the notice. Failure to pay the required amount within the allotted time shall constitute a withdrawal of the application and the application will be dismissed and the case closed.

(b) Mineral rights on lands for which an exploratory program is not required under this subpart shall be conveyed upon payment of fair market value for those mineral interests and all administrative or other costs.

§ 2720.4 Issuance of document of conveyance.

Upon receipt of the payment required by § 2720.3 of this subpart, if any is required, the authorized officer shall issue the necessary document conveying to the applicant the mineral interests of the United States in the land covered by the application.

§ 2720.5 Appeals.

An applicant adversely affected by a decision of the authorized officer made pursuant to the provisions of this subpart shall have a right of appeal pursuant to Part 4 of this Title. Decisions of the authorized officer under this subpart shall be subject to reversal only if found to be arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion or otherwise not in accordance with law.

GUY R. MARTIN,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

NOVEMBER 1, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-31944 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1977

PART IV



COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Toxic Substances Strategy Committee

■

Work Plan

[6125-01]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES STRATEGY COMMITTEE

Work Plan

The work plan for the interagency Toxic Substances Strategy Committee is published here for public comment. This committee was established in response to the President's request, in his Environmental Message of May 23, 1977, that the Council on Environmental Quality develop an interagency program to eliminate overlaps and fill gaps in the collection of toxic chemicals data and to coordinate research and regulatory activities affecting them. This committee will serve as the principal forum for the development of Administration initiatives with respect to government-wide toxic substances strategy and policy.

The Strategy Committee, whose membership is printed below as Appendix A of the work plan, is chaired by CEQ and includes representatives of all agencies with responsibilities for research, regulations or policy relating to toxic chemicals and their effects on human health and the environment. These include 16 member agencies, 5 component parts of the Executive Office of the President as official observers, and 1 interagency group (the Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group) as an ex officio member. These agencies carry out toxic substances responsibilities under at least a dozen major Federal statutes (see Appendix B of the work plan). Although many of the concerns of these agencies and their statutory responsibilities are similar, the various activities have often been developed relatively independently of each other. Some of the research, data and regulatory programs are well-established; some are very new or just now being developed. As demonstrated by several current coordination activities, most can provide useful input to one or more of the other programs; however, better and more effective means for such exchange need to be developed and implemented. In some cases, greater uniformity of approach or elimination of duplication is desirable; in other cases there are legitimate scientific, legislative or administrative reasons for multiple approaches, although these are not always fully understood or communicated to those affected.

The Committee is concerned with development of strategic approaches for carrying out Federal responsibilities in a manner that is both effective in achieving protection from the hazards of toxic substances in the environment and in minimizing unnecessary burdens on outside groups affected by Federal actions, the public, and agencies. Specifically, the Committee will take actions and make recommendations relating to Federal programs for the following:

Advancement of scientific and technical understanding of toxic chemicals problems, including research, testing and monitoring;

Data collection, recordkeeping, reporting and exchange;

Utilization of information and research results in regulatory and policy decisionmaking;

Establishment of mechanisms, plans and priorities for Federal response to potential and actual toxic chemical hazards, including regulatory and nonregulatory preventive measures and handling of toxic chemical crises.

In looking at strategies for identifying toxic chemical hazards relating to human health, an initial high priority task will be to develop a government-wide set of general principles relating to carcinogenesis.

The work plan published below covers the activities of the Committee to achieve its objective in 1978. At the end of this period the need for any further activities of the Committee will be re-assessed. Throughout this period the Strategy Committee welcomes and will solicit information and opinions from the general public, legislative bodies, State and local governments, and interested groups and parties such as industries, labor unions, environmental and consumer groups, and the scientific community. Publicly-held informal meetings with representatives of such groups are expected to begin in December. Later meetings, including ones for the general public, will be held to obtain needed information relevant to the various tasks and comments on proposed actions or draft reports.

At this time the Committee welcomes written comments on the work plan, particularly on the following matters:

1. Which of the areas within the scope of the Committee's concerns and work plan should receive the greatest attention (and why), and what are the priority first steps that might be taken?

2. What are realistic expectations of what should and can be accomplished by development of new Federal strategies for alleviation of toxic substances problems? What benefits would accrue? What are the likely barriers to be encountered in undertaking such efforts and how might these best be overcome?

3. What examples of past effective and ineffective Federal approaches to toxic chemical hazards should be especially noted in considering new strategies? (Please be as specific as possible in providing information or in citing studies or other information of which the committee should be aware, especially for sources outside the Federal agencies.)

4. What specific methods of improved communication might be established between Federal agencies (singly or collectively) and the general public or groups such as legislative bodies, State and local governments, industries, labor unions, environmental and consumer groups, and the scientific community?

5. What mechanisms now exist that are particularly effective (or ineffective) in coordinating the toxics-related activities among the local, regional, State and Federal levels, and what improved approaches should be initiated?

6. The Committee believes that it is vital to its success to have wide participation from all interests at issue in the toxic chemical hazards area. Please identify those groups, associations and others of which you are aware that should have the opportunity to participate in this Committee's activities. Please indicate the contact person and address, if available, and your perception of the nature of the interest of the party and the special information or perspective that it might provide to the Committee's deliberation.

DATE: Comments pertinent to this Committee and its activities are welcome at any time, but must be received on or before December 9, 1977, to be of utility in the pursuit of the major initial tasks of the Committee's work plan.

ADDRESS: Comments or requests for further information should be addressed to the Executive Secretary of the Toxic Substances Strategy Committee: Carroll Leslie Bastian, Senior Staff Member for Environmental Health and Toxic Substances, Council on Environmental Quality, 722 Jackson Place NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, telephone 202-633-7107.

GUS SPETH,
Member, Council on Environmental Quality (Chairman, Toxic Substances Strategy Committee).

TOXIC SUBSTANCES STRATEGY COMMITTEE—WORK PLAN

INTRODUCTION

ESTABLISHMENT AND MEMBERSHIP

The President's environmental message of May 23, 1977 established prevention of toxic substances problems as a high priority of his Administration and instructed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop a coordinated interagency Federal program for control of toxic substances:

The presence of toxic chemicals in our environment is one of the grimmest discoveries of the industrial era. Rather than coping with these hazards after they have escaped into our environment, our primary objective must be to prevent them from entering the environment at all.

At least a dozen major federal statutes, implemented by seven different agencies, address this problem in various ways. With the enactment last year of the Toxic Substances Control Act, no further comprehensive federal legislation should be necessary. Now we must inaugurate a coordinated federal effort to exclude these chemicals from our environment.

I am therefore instructing the Council on Environmental Quality to develop an interagency program (1) to eliminate overlaps and fill gaps in the collection of data on toxic chemicals, and (2) to coordinate federal research and regulatory activities affecting them.

Pursuant to the President's directive, the interagency Toxic Substances Strategy Committee has been established to develop a coherent Federal approach. Federal departments and agencies with major policy, research or regulatory responsibilities relating to control of potentially hazardous chemicals have been requested to participate. Members include representatives of the Department of Agriculture (USDA); the Department of Commerce; the Department of Energy (DOE); the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW), as well as four of its component agencies—Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the National

Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), and the National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH); the Department of Interior (DOI); the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of the Department of Labor (OSHA); the Department of State; the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC); the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); and the National Science Foundation (NSF). Representatives of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the President's Reorganization Project (PRP), the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), the Domestic Policy Staff, and the Council of Economic Advisers (CEA) are official observers. The Chairman of the Strategy Committee and Executive Secretary will be from the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

A list of official members and their designated alternates is attached (Appendix A). Each agency will have one member and one official alternate, both at a high policy-making level. They may invite additional agency personnel to attend meetings of the Strategy Committee (with prior notification to the Executive Secretary) in order to advise on the various broad range of subject areas covered by the Committee. In addition, the lead agency for specific tasks and sub-tasks will request appropriate participation from the various agencies (which may or may not coincide with those participating in the activities of the full Committee). The lead agency should do so in consultation with the Executive Secretary of the Strategy Committee.

SCOPE OF STRATEGY COMMITTEE'S CONCERNS

The scope of the Committee's interests potentially includes those activities that pertain to hazardous or toxic man-made chemicals at every stage of their existence (testing, production, distribution in the environment, use, distribution in commerce, original and ultimate disposal); in the various sectors of the environment (workplace, home, general environment); and their deleterious effects (acute and chronic human health effects, non-human biological and ecological effects, physical/chemical effects such as ozone depletion). For the initial stages of work, concerns relating solely to radiation hazards, to physical and safety hazards, or to exclusively natural substances will be considered to fall outside the scope of the Committee's work, although they may be considered in any cases in which they are closely related to toxic substances concerns. Furthermore, although the adverse health effects from tobacco and alcohol are substantial, issues relating to these substances will not be initially addressed by the Committee. This narrowing of scope is one of expediency and practicality, as well as one of recognition of certain differences between such substances and ones to which exposure is less avoidable. Major statutes containing toxic or hazardous substances provisions within the scope of this Committee's concerns are listed in Appendix B.

OBJECTIVES OF STRATEGY COMMITTEE'S WORK

The Committee will serve as the principal forum for the development of Administration initiatives with respect to government-wide toxic substances strategy and policy. It will focus upon the sufficiency, effectiveness, and coordination of current Federal programs for understanding and addressing toxic substances problems. The Committee will implement appropriate changes in policy and strategy to accomplish its objectives, in such matters as are within its jurisdiction. In other cases it will make recommendations to appropriate decisionmakers, including the President. Actions and recommendations in the various areas of concern will result in written reports on particular subjects. In addition, the Committee will prepare a publicly-available summary report of its activities at the end of one year of effort.

The Committee will review and assess Federal activities relating to the planning, management and analysis of research; data and information gathering and utilization; toxic substances problem identification and prediction; and regulatory and non-regulatory measures for prevention and correction of problems. In doing so, the Committee will analyze specific known problem areas in order to focus on such broader questions as the following:

How should priorities be established for the level of effort and the timing of Federally-coordinated activities relating to particular chemical substances?

What gaps exist in the information base and in basic scientific and technical understanding that are desirable or necessary for rational Federal decisionmaking in regard to prevention and control of toxic chemical hazards? What measures should be taken to fill these gaps?

What unnecessary or undesirable conflict, confusion or duplication exists among the activities of the various agencies engaged in toxic substances-related work? What are the effects of these problems on the efficient use of Federal resources, on the public, and on the parties affected by Federal actions; requirements and regulations? What should be done (e.g. modification of agency missions and responsibility, organizational changes, improved coordination mechanisms) to minimize or eliminate these effects?

For those activities in which multiple or overlapping participation by several agencies is justified, desirable, or unavoidable, what coordination mechanisms exist or should be established to carry out Federal responsibilities most smoothly and efficiently?

Although the principal focus of the Committee's activities will be U.S. domestic strategies, the international dimension of these strategies will be fully considered in each of the Committee's tasks. Assistance will be sought from the State Department and the international affairs staffs of the various agencies in advising the Strategy Committee of the international implications of alternative U.S. strategies. They will also advise on ways by which U.S. policy initiatives can be reflected in U.S. participation in multinational and bilateral discussions of common and global toxics problems. Such advice to the Committee will be on a continual basis as the need arises. In addition, the State Department will be the lead agency for preparing recommendations (for the full Committee's consideration and adoption) of methods by which domestic activities relating to toxic chemicals can best be coordinated with related international initiatives.

Policy options to be adopted or recommended by the Committee may relate to Federal policies, procedures, decisionmaking processes, utilization of resources, organizational structure, and institutional mechanisms, and relationships with the public and with non-Federal groups. Development and review of the detailed documents necessary to implement such recommendations will be handled by the agencies in accordance with normal Federal practices.

RELATIONSHIP OF THIS COMMITTEE TO RELATED INTERAGENCY EFFORTS

1. Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group.

CEQ is encouraged by the recent formation of an Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group (IRLG), consisting of CPSC, EPA, FDA, and OSHA. This group is meeting regularly and frequently to examine common requirements and functions as they pertain to the regulation of potentially hazardous and toxic substances in their agencies and to develop ways to improve present interagency cooperative efforts as necessary. Their goal, in achieving better public health, is to coordinate their efforts in ways that will ensure more effective regulation and will lessen the administrative burden on the regulated industries, the public, and the agencies themselves.

The work of the IRLG and the Federal Toxic Substances Strategy Committee will be closely coordinated and is expected to be mutually compatible. Many of the IRLG activities will implement the objectives for which the Strategy Committee was established. In addition, the detailed work of the IRLG, which is related specifically to those four regulatory agencies, will provide a good background for the broader Federal-wide strategic considerations of the Strategy Committee. For example, the IRLG has agreed to assess research needs in support of regulatory activities, which cannot be fully addressed by the present inhouse capabilities of the four agencies.

2. Other interagency committees.

The Strategy Committee will keep in touch with the activities of relevant interagency groups and utilize their findings and reports wherever possible. Such groups include the TSCA Interagency Testing Committee (established by section 4(e) of TSCA); the *Ad Hoc* Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee (and its successors); the Interagency Task Force on Environmental Data and Monitoring; the DHEW Committee to Coordinate Toxicology and Related Programs; and other more specialized committees relating to certain types of hazards, data, research or regulatory activities.

3. President's Reorganization Project.

Close liaison will be maintained between the Strategy Committee and the relevant divisions (Natural Resources, Human Resources, and Regulatory Reform) of the President's Reorganization Project (PRP) to avoid duplication of effort and to assure mutually compatible proposals and time schedules. Reorganization proposals which may emerge from the various tasks of the Strategy Committee will be developed in close coordination with the PRP.

DURATION OF STRATEGY COMMITTEE

The Committee is being convened initially for a period to extend through calendar year 1978. After completion of the Committee's initial reports CEQ will determine, in consultation with patricipating agencies, whether the Committee will continue its activities after 1978. In any case, this Committee's purpose will be to develop policy initiatives, not itself to operate programs.

METHOD OF OPERATION OF STRATEGY COMMITTEE

METHOD OF OBTAINING INFORMATION

The Strategy Committee's activities will focus upon three major areas of concern: Research activities, information and data activities, regulatory and non-regulatory approaches.

The Committee will obtain information on these matters from the interested public, from members of the Committee individually and collectively, from the output from tasks and sub-tasks coordinated by assigned lead agencies, and from review of previous relevant studies.

At the onset of its work, a strong effort will be made by the Committee to solicit the views and suggestions of the public and Congress. The Committee will publish its work plan in the FEDERAL REGISTER and invite comments on the scope and emphasis of the Committee's activities and on specific aspects

of the problem that should receive special attention.

Commentators will be encouraged to present their concerns in terms of known problem areas and how improvements might be made in the future, with reference to specific case histories as appropriate.

As work on specific tasks progresses, public meetings will be held for information gathering purposes as needed, and to obtain comments on draft reports and recommendations. Public participation at these stages may also include solicitations for comments in the FEDERAL REGISTER and by direct mailings.

Shortly after initiation of the Committee's activities and publication of the work plan, a series of initial meetings will be held with representatives of industry, environmental and other interest groups, State and local governments, and the scientific community. Appropriate members of Congress and congressional staff will be consulted concerning their perceptions of needs that led to the passage to TSCA and past and current issues affecting the Executive Branch that are associated with TSCA and other legislation. Informal liaison will be maintained with all of these groups throughout the duration of the Committee's activities.

MEETINGS OF THE STRATEGY COMMITTEE

Meetings of the full Strategy Committee will be scheduled by the Chairman. Additional meetings may be requested by any member agency.

ACTIVITIES OF SUB-GROUPS

Lead agencies have been assigned to the various tasks and sub-tasks of the Committee. The lead agency for a task will arrange for appropriate participation by other agencies and schedule the necessary meetings. The lead agency shall consult with the Chairman and/or Executive Secretary of the Strategy Committee concerning agency participation, work plans, timetables, and meetings. CEQ staff will assist the lead agencies in preparation of common formats and instructions for requests for information to the various agencies and in identification of findings from past studies and interagency efforts that are relevant to the tasks.

TIMETABLE

The Committee plans to take actions and to make reports on its various tasks during calendar year 1978, with an overall status report on all of its activities to be issued in the fall of 1978. Substantial progress on many of the tasks is expected by early 1978. The overall timetable of the Committee's activities and status reports will be distributed regularly to participating agencies by the Committee's Executive Secretary after consultation with the agencies involved in the various tasks. Member agencies plan to follow the schedule unless changes to that schedule are adopted by the Committee. Detailed work plans and timetables may also be needed for some of the tasks and will be developed by the lead agency, in consultation with participating agencies and CEQ.

Every effort will be made to meet major milestone targets, but some adjustments in interim deadlines may be adopted in order to provide earlier initial recommendations on priority topics identified in the course of the investigation, prior to completion of the full investigation; or in order to respond to changes in emphasis suggested during the course of consultation with the public; or in order to coordinate with the work of related committees and groups.

INITIAL STRATEGY COMMITTEE TASKS

I. RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

The Committee will examine the research roles of various governmental organizations; identify major areas of research that should be emphasized; determine how areas for priority attention are or should be selected; and recommend a basis and procedure for coordinating and carrying out such research and utilizing the results.

Task IA. Assessment of research roles and responsibilities (NSF lead). The first step of this task will be for each appropriate Federal agency to submit a report on its existing research priorities and programs of basic, applied and policy-relevant research, testing and monitoring to include the following subject areas:

(1) Dispersion, presence, transport, evolution and accumulation of toxic chemicals in the environment.

(2) Human exposures, including different routes of exposure, and human health effects of toxic chemicals, including individual variations in susceptibility.

(3) Mechanisms of action of toxic effects in man and experimental animals, and the development and testing of animal models that predict human health effects.

(4) Aquatic ecological and environmental effects of toxic chemicals, including effects on sport and commercial fisheries and freshwater or marine flora and fauna.

(5) Terrestrial ecological effects of toxic chemicals, including effects upon individual plant and animal species and upon ecosystems (e.g. agricultural crops, wildlife, grasslands, wetlands).

(6) Mechanisms for the prevention, mitigation or elimination of hazards caused by toxic chemicals.

(7) Impacts of alternative control measures, including socioeconomic effects.

(8) Miscellaneous other research, including programs covering more than one of the above categories, such as studies of the total effects of a given toxic chemical or class of chemicals.

These reports will be prepared according to common formats developed by CEQ staff (in consultation with NSF) and will be of a summary and analytical nature rather than be detailed inventories of individual research items. Topics to be covered concisely in the reports include the following:

Nature of charter or legislative mandate for the research activities;

Current and future research objectives and priorities and how these are established;

Methods for research planning;

Organization for research management;

Description of major research programs (and current and planned levels of effort) and appropriateness and adequacy of these programs;

Methods of funding research and research facilities and the resources available;

Mechanisms for quality control and evaluation of research;

Current and anticipated research management strategic problems;

Assessment of research coordinating mechanisms, within the agency, with other research activities within and outside the public sector;

Recommended mechanisms for research consideration and for linkages between research and regulatory programs.

The Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group will be looking at many of these same issues for its four member agencies. Following receipt of the above information from the IRLG and the other agencies, the lead agency for this task (NSF) with the assistance of other member agencies[1] will pull together the assembled information for each of the eight subject areas listed above and will prepare an analysis of the Federal-wide situation for the full Committee's consideration. This will be the basis for Committee actions and recommendations concerning the adequacy, quality and coordination of Federal research activities relating to toxic chemicals.

Task IB. Assessment of research activities in context of regulatory and policy needs (Strategy Committee lead, with input from IRLG). Insufficient linkage between regulators and researchers is an often-cited problem that has not been fully assessed. Regulatory agencies (IRLG) will outline their needs for research to support their decisionmaking that present research programs cannot fully address. The Strategy Committee will review its assessment of Federal research programs, roles and responsibilities (Task IA) and evaluate the ability of Federal research programs to meet regulatory and policy needs within a balanced overall Federal research program. The Committee will focus not only upon the provision of needed research and its adequacy but also upon ways to improve the incorporation of the results of such investigation into regulatory decisionmaking. Issues for particular attention include the following:

Present and desirable roles of regulatory organizations versus research organizations in the planning and design of research programs;

Mechanisms for coordination betwen researchers and regulators in both research planning and sharing of results;

Apportionment of research among various sectors of the research community (Federal laboratories, academic institutions, private profit and non-profit institutions);

Balance between short-term and long-term research;

Influence of regulatory timetables upon quality and design of research and its utilization;

Factors affecting the relative emphasis given to research relating to prevention of chemical hazards from entering the environment as opposed to mitigation of existing toxic hazards.

The Committee will establish priorities for improvements needed to carry out its recommendations.

II. INFORMATION AND DATA ACTIVITIES (CEQ LEAD)

The research, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements specified in the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976 and in related authorities are extensive. These data requirements include information on production, testing, characterization, adverse reactions, exports, employment effects, health effects, and environmental effects. The production of these data involves the responsibilities of more than 32 Federal agencies. Moreover, enactment of TSCA has raised the expectation that now the many diverse Federal activities under various legislation can be coordinated in a comprehensive program.

If timely and accurate data are to be collected with the least possible burden on business, industry, and the public, steps must be taken to coordinate the planning and activities of the major Federal producers and users of chemical data. This coordi-

[1] E.g., OSTP and OMB on general analysis; Commerce on transport, HEW on health effects, Interior on aquatic effects, USDA on terrestrial effects, EPA on prevention mechanisms and impacts of controls, and others as appropriate.

nation will require a comprehensive inventory of what agencies are producing what data, by what means, and for what purposes. In addition, attention must be given to barriers that can impede interagency efforts and exchanges of information. Among those barriers are confidentiality provisions that protect identity and trade secrets; the lack of a standard method for classifying chemical substances and uses; and a lack of standard formats for reporting such items as the results of toxicological and epidemiological research.

Task IIA. Review of options paper on trade secrecy and confidentiality of trade secrets data. This task will be carried out for the Committee by a subcommittee on trade secrets and confidentiality (formerly a part of the Ad Hoc Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee).

Current laws and practices restricting release of information by Federal agencies to other agencies and to the public and are not uniform. The subcommittee, assisted by CEQ staff, will prepare a report evaluating these laws and practices and presenting options for needed improvements, which will be the basis for the full Committee's actions and recommendations in this area. Issues to be addressed include the current ambiguities inherent in existing law on the definition of trade secret or confidential material, the need for uniform and fair criminal sanctions for disclosure of such information, and the implications of removing certain restrictions on interagency exchange of information.

Task IIB. Assessment of mechanisms for addressing information needs and their impacts (Strategy Committee lead, with input from Data Committee, IRLG and Commerce). The Strategy Committee will have the benefit of a report by the Ad Hoc Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee (or its successor) concerning the needs of Federal agencies for various types of chemicals-related data; the adequacy of existing Federal data systems to meet those needs; and methods and policies for improved exchange of information among Federal agencies. The IRLG will study the area of reporting and recordkeeping requirements and will make recommendations for necessary follow-up; it will also be working on development of compatible testing standards and guidelines. Following review of these reports and activities, the Strategy Committee (with the special assistance of Commerce) will evaluate the adequacy of current efforts relating to chemicals information. The Committee will also look at the impacts on industry, environmental groups, and other interest groups of the various information requirements and programs.

III. REGULATORY AND NON-REGULATORY PREVENTION AND CONTROL APPROACHES

Federal authority over hazardous chemicals now extends over the entire life cycle of chemical products prior to production through disposal and for the first time permits the development of comprehensive preventive regulatory and non-regulatory strategies. Critical needs for design and implementation of such strategies will be addressed by the Committee, in close coordination with the IRLG. The IRLG has completed a review of statutes including triggering mechanisms and is reviewing mechanisms for regulatory action and suggesting regulatory priorities. In addition, the IRLG will look at gaps and overlaps in Federal labelling requirements. (The Strategy Committee will later determine, based on the IRLG's initial work, what further study is needed, if any, of coordination of labelling regulations or of the efficacy of labelling as a preventive toxics strategy.) The focus of the Strategy Committee's initial effort will be on the following tasks:

Task IIIA. Analysis of Historical Lessons as Background for Strategy Development (Committee/CEQ lead). The Committee with the assistance of CEQ staff will review various case studies that have been carried out by agencies, interagency groups, the National Academy of Sciences or others, which examined the governmental reaction to and handling of potential hazards of specific chemicals. The review will include information gathered in previous agency public hearings and congressional testimony, as well as information collected by this Strategy Committee in its own meetings with the public and representatives of interested groups. These case studies will be reviewed by the Committee for insights they provide into the coordination, uniformity and effectiveness of the current Federal approach to chemical hazards and as input into Committee findings and recommendations on such matters as the following:

Adequacy of mechanisms by which agencies seek out and become aware of potential future hazards at the earliest possible stage;

Methods for coordinated Federal determination of which chemical substances should receive what type and level of attention;

Once a potential hazard is identified for attention, what procedures are appropriate for gathering information on the extent and nature of the hazard and its effects; for planning and coordinating further research needed to fill information gaps; for establishing a proposed timetable and plans for decisionmaking; for developing policy options and assessing the likely impacts of those options; for considering factors other than health and safety in regulatory decisionmaking (e.g., availability of substitutes, product utility, impacts of regulation on industry, etc.); for obtaining and allocating resources for these activities; and for coordinating activities of multiple agencies;

Adequacy and effectiveness of present methods for involving the public in regulatory decisionmaking, including alerting the public to pending issues and providing for the participation of private citizens, state and local governments, industry, environmental groups, and other interest groups.

Task IIIB. Policies relating to common approaches for risk assessment (CEQ lead). The desirability of common approaches by Federal agencies to the scientific and technical assessment of certain risks is currently receiving increased attention within the Federal Government. It has been observed that differences in approach toward risk assessment among the regulatory and research agencies have posed barriers to effective cooperation in regard to specific substances and have resulted in some confusion in the regulated industries and among the public. Furthermore, the public dialogue concerning chemical hazards, particularly as they affect human health, has frequently been obscured by the lack of distinction made between scientific methods and principles relating to the detection and measurement of potential hazards on the one hand, and the social, economic, political, legislative, judicial and other factors affecting policy and regulatory decisions on what action to take in regard to a possible hazard once it has been identified. There appears to be a need for greater coordination of Federal agency approaches and for better communication of the reasons for these approaches to the public.

The initial high priority task of the Strategy Committee will be to develop a single set of general principles relating to carcinogenesis for government-wide adoption and use. These principles will be brief statements of the generally agreed upon ways that scientists and agency policymakers view the detection of carcinogenic risk, considering the limits of the present state of the art. For example, the principles would cover the appropriateness of the use of animal laboratory studies in predicting human health risk; handling of dose levels in regard to animal studies and extrapolation to various exposure situations; assumptions about dose-response relationships and threshold levels; the role of epidemiological studies, and others.

This is only a first step in addressing strategies relating to risk assessment. The general policies will need to be backed up by development of detailed policies and procedures, across agencies and within specific agencies. A Risk Assessment Work Group of the Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group is working on analysis and development of alternative procedures for characterizing and quantifying human health risks associated with regulated chemicals. This work will be taken into consideration by the Strategy Committee in addressing government-wide strategies and policies. Topics for the Strategy Committee's attention will be further defined following completion of the initial task on carcinogenesis principles and a review of the IRLG's progress at that time. Future efforts may involve attention to mutagenesis and/or teratogenesis in addition to carcinogenesis.

Task IIIC. Review of non-regulatory incentives for chemical substances control (Commerce lead). Opportunities to strengthen or introduce new non-regulatory approaches to encourage voluntary adoption of preventive practices include market disincentives or incentives (e.g., workers' compensation, tort claims), education and training (for workers and users of hazardous chemicals), consulting services (e.g., on test methodologies, control equipment, monitoring methods) and others.

This task will include the analysis of strengths and weaknesses of such mechanisms and the development of recommendations as to what measures are needed and what form they should take. This effort will be closely coordinated with and make use of the work on non-regulatory incentives being developed by the DOL–OMB task force on occupational health programs, the Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group, the Regulatory Reform Division of the President's Reorganization Project, and research projects of the various agencies.

Task IIID. Recommendations for handling of crisis chemicals (EPA lead). Recent events such as the Kepone and PBB incidents highlight the need for establishing a means for responding to unanticipated but inevitable chemical crises. This task will include identification of the long- and short-term needs for dealing effectively with such crises and an assessment of the adequacy of present Federal programs in meeting these needs.

Recommendations will be developed with respect to (1) mechanisms for mobilizing the diverse Federal resources currently available for assessing, abating, and preparing for contingencies and (2) the need for new authorities, interagency agreements, or other coordinating mechanisms to establish a responsive Federal program.

APPENDIX A—TOXIC SUBSTANCES STRATEGY COMMITTEE MEMBERS, OBSERVERS AND ALTERNATES

CHAIRMAN

Gus Speth, Member, Council on Environmental Quality, 822 Jackson Place NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, 633–7027.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Carroll Leslie Bastian, Senior Staff Member for Environmental Health, and Toxic Substances, Council on Environmental Quality, 722 Jackson Place NW., Washington, D.C. 20006, 633–7107.

USDA

Rupert Cutler (Member), Assistant Secretary for Conservation, Research, and Education, Department of Agriculture, Room 212A Administration Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20250, 447-2796; Errett Deck (Alternate), Coordinator, Office of Environmental Quality Activities, 447-6827.

Commerce

Sidney Harman (Member), Under Secretary, Department of Commerce, Room 5840, Washington, D.C. 20230, 377-4625; Jordan Baruch (Alternate), Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology, 377-3111.

CPSC

S. John Byington (Member), Chairman, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 812, 1111 18th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20207, 634-7740; Don Clay (Alternate), Acting Associate Director for Engineering, 492-6504.

EPA

Steven D. Jellinek (Member), Assistant Administrator for Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency (TS-788), Room 637 East Tower, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, 755-0310; Andrew W. Breidenbach (Alternate), Special Assistant to the Administrator, 755-0453.

DOE

James L. Liverman (Member), Acting Assistant Secretary for the Environment, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20545, 353-5171; Charles Carter (Alternate), Manager, Biomedical Programs; Division of Biomedical and Environmental Research, 353-5468.

HEW

Julius B. Richmond (Member), Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5077 North Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20201, 245-7694; Lowell Harminson (Alternate), Special Assistant for Science, 245-6544.

FDA

Donald Kennedy (Member), Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, 443-2410; Richard Bates (Alternate), Associate Commissioner for Science, 443-3216.

NCI

Arthur C. Upton (Member), Director, National Cancer Institute, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Md. 20014, 496-5615; James A. Peters (Alternate), Acting Assistant Director for Special Programs, 496-4963.

NIEHS

David P. Rall (Member), Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709, FTS 629-3201, 910-549-8411 x3201; Raymond Shapiro (Alternate), Assistant Director, FTS 629-3201.

NIOSH

Jack Finklea (Member), Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Room 3-30 Parklawn Bldg., 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, 443-1530; Kenneth Bridbord (Alternate), Director, Office of Extramural Coordination and Special Projects, 443-6437.

Interior

James A. Joseph (Member), Under Secretary, Department of the Interior, Room 6116, Washington, D.C. 20240; Ron Way (Alternate), Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, 343-4905.

NSF

George Pimentel (Member), Deputy Director, National Science Foundation, 1800 G St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20550, 632-4376; Charles Thiel (Alternate), Director, Division of Advanced Environmental Research and Technology, 632-4345.

OSHA

Eula Bingham (Member), Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210, 523-6091; Grover C. Wrenn (Alternate), Director, Health Standards Programs, 523-7081.

DOT

Alan Butchmann (Member), Deputy Secretary, Department of Transportation, Room 10200 Nassif Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20590, 426-2222; Martin Convisser (Alternate), Director, Office of Environmental Affairs, 426-4357.

State

Patsy Mink (Member), Assistant Secretary, Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520, 632-1554; Jack Blanchard (Alternate), Staff Assistant, 632-2418.

EX OFFICIO MEMBER

Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group

Andrew W. Breidenbach, Chairman, IRLG, Environmental Protection Agency (A-100), Room 1204 West Tower, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, 755-0453.

OBSERVERS

Council of Economic Advisers

Charles L. Schultze (Off. Rep.), Chairman, Council of Economic Advisers, Room 314 EOB, Washington, D.C. 20506, 395-5042; Nina Cornell (Alternate), 395-5096.

Domestic Council

Stuart Eizenstat (Off. Rep.), Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs and Policy, The White House, Washington, D.C. 20500, 456-1414; Kitty Schirmer (Alternate), 456-6722.

OMB

Eliot Cutler (Off. Rep.), Associate Director for Natural Resources, Energy, and Science, Office of Management and Budget, Room 262 EOB, Washington, D.C. 20503, 395-5044; Jim Tozzi (Alternate), 395-6827.

OMB (PRP)

William Harsch (Official Representative), President's Reorganization Project, Room 3203 NEOB, Washington, D.C. 20503, 395-5105; Joe Hezir (Alternate), 634-1771.

OSTP

Frank Press (Official Representative), Director, Office of Science and Technology Policy, Room 360 EOB, Washington, D.C. 20500, 456-7116; Gil Omenn (Alternate), 456-7116.

APPENDIX B—LEGISLATION WITHIN SCOPE OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES STRATEGY COMMITTEE

1. Toxic Substances Control Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.

2. Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. § 301-392 (1938) (as amended).

3. Occupational Safety and Health Act, 29 U.S.C. 65 et seq.

4. Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2051 et seq.

5. Poison Prevention Packaging Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. § 1471 et seq.

6. Federal Hazardous Substances Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1261 et seq.

7. Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1401 et seq.

8. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. 135 et seq.

9. Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1857 et seq.

10. Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1351 et seq.

11. Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300 (f) et seq.

12. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq.

[FR Doc.77-32085 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1977

PART V



DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

■

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

General Wage Determination Decisions

[4510-27]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

General Wage Determination Decisions

General Wage Determination Decisions of the Secretary of Labor specify, in accordance with applicable law and on the basis of information available to the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and from other sources, the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed in construction activity of the character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of such prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24-70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates, (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 12-71 and 15-71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue construction industry wage determination frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General Wage Determination Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision together with any modifications issued subsequent to its publication date shall be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR, Part 5. The wage rates contained therein shall be the minimum paid under such contract by contractors and subcontractors on the work.

MODIFICATIONS AND SUPERSEDEAS DECISIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions are based upon information obtained concerning changes in prevailing hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments since the decisions were issued.

The determinations of prevailing rates and fringe benefits made in the Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24-70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 13-71 and 15-71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in foregoing General Wage Determination Decisions, as hereby modified, and/or superseded shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged in contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the wages determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Special Wage Standards, Division of Wage Determinations, Washington, D.C. 20210. The cause for not utilizing the rule-making procedures prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 has been set forth in the original General Wage Determination Decision.

MODIFICATIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

The numbers of the decisions being modified and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State.

Alabama:	
AL76-1125	Oct. 29, 1976.
Hawaii:	
HI77-5087	Sept. 23, 1977.
Illinois:	
IL77-2100	July 8, 1977.
Kansas:	
MO77-4266; MO77-4267	Sept. 30, 1977.
Louisiana:	
LA77-4219; LA77-4220	Sept. 23, 1977.
Maryland:	
MD77-3077	June 3, 1977.
MD77-3086	Aug. 5, 1977.
Massachusetts:	
MA77-3062; MA77-3067; MA77-3070; MA77-3071.	July 22, 1977.
MA77-3072	Sept. 2, 1977.
MA77-3065; MA77-3066; MA77-3068.	Aug. 19, 1977.
MA77-3064; MA77-3073	Sept. 16, 1977.
MA77-3069	Oct. 7, 1977.
Michigan:	
MI77-2127	Sept. 2, 1977.
Missouri:	
MO77-4266; MO77-4267; MO77-4269.	Sept. 30, 1977.
Nevada:	
NV77-5077; NV77-5089	Sept. 23, 1977.
New Hampshire:	
NH77-2001; NH77-2002	Jan. 21, 1977.
NH77-2003; NH77-2004	Jan. 28, 1977.
New Jersey:	
NJ77-3093	July 8, 1977.
Pennsylvania:	
PA77-3057	May 13, 1977.
PA77-3059	May 20, 1977.
PA77-3100	July 15, 1977.
PA77-3102; PA77-3104	July 22, 1977.
PA77-3121; PA77-3122	Sept. 9, 1977.
PA77-3128; PA77-3129	Sept. 16, 1977.
Rhode Island:	
RI77-3111; RI77-3112	Sept. 23, 1977.
RI77-3113	Sept. 30, 1977.
Texas:	
TX77-4197	Aug. 19, 1977.
TX77-4221; TX77-4222	Sept. 23, 1977.
TX77-4252; TX77-4253; TX 77-4254; TX77-4255; TX 77-4256; TX77-4258; TX 77-4260.	Sept. 30, 1977.
TX77-4289	Oct. 21, 1977.
Virginia:	
MD77-3109	Sept. 16, 1977.
Washington, D.C.:	
DC77-3108	Do.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 28th day of October 1977.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Decision # AL76-1125 - Mod. # 2 (41 FR-47713 - October 29, 1976) Calhoun County, Alabama					
Change:					
Electricians	10.65	.55	3%+.40		½ of 1%
DECISION #HI77-5087 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 48663 - September 23, 1977) Statewide Hawaii					
Change:					
Elevator Constructors	$12.98	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	9.09	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helpers (Prob.)	6.49				
Roofers	10.65	.95	1.00	.50	.10
Sheet Metal Workers	11.45	.86	2.21		.37
DECISION #IL77-2100 - Mod. #1 (42 FR 35540 - July 8, 1977) Cook County, Illinois					
ADD:					
Wrecking Laborers:					
General Laborers	$7.82	.57	1.10		
Wallmen, Wreckers, Burners & Air Hammer Men	8.47	.57	1.10		
Smokestack or High Man	8.82	.57	1.10		

DECISION #LA77-4219 - Mod. #3 (42 FR 48638 - September 23, 1977) Statewide Louisiana

Change Area Covered By Carpenters Zones to Read as Follows:

Zone 4 - Ascension, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberville, Livingston, Pointe Coupee, St. Helena, St. James (north of the Mississippi River), West Baton Rouge & West Feliciana Parishes

Zone 5 - All of Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles & St. John the Baptist Pars.; Parts of St. Tammany & Tangipahoa (south of I-12 from the Mississippi State Line to the western boundary of Tangipahoa Par.) Parishes

Zone 6 - Assumption, Iberia (east of the Atchafalaya River), Lafourche, St. James (south of the Mississippi River), St. Martin (eastern segment of the Atchafalaya River), St. Mary (east of the Atchafalaya River) & Terrebonne Parishes

Change Area Covered By Millwrights Zones to Read as Follows:

Zone 2 - All of Assumption, Jefferson, Lafourche, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist & Terrebonne Pars.; Parts of Iberia & St. Mary (east of the Atchafalaya River) Pars.; Part of St. James Par. (south of the Mississippi River); Part of St. Martin Par. (eastern segment of the Atchafalaya River); Parts of St. Tammany & Tangipahoa Pars. (south of I-12 from the Mississippi State Line to the western boundary of Tangipahoa Par.)

Zone 3 - Ascension, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberville, Livingston, Pointe Coupee, St. Helena, St. James (north of the Mississippi River), West Baton Rouge & West Feliciana Pars.

Change Area Covered By Piledrivermen Zones to Read as Follows:

Zone 2 - Ascension, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberville, Livingston, Pointe Coupee, St. Helena, St. James (north of the Mississippi River), West Baton Rouge & West Feliciana Pars.

Zone 3 - All of Assumption, Jefferson, Lafourche, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist & Terrebonne Pars.; Parts of Iberia & St. Mary Pars. (east of the Atchafalaya River); Part of St. James Par. (south of the Mississippi River); Part of St. Martin Par. (eastern segment of the Atchafalaya River); Parts of St. Tammany & Tangipahoa Pars. (south of I-12 from the Mississippi State Line to the western boundary of Tangipahoa Par.)

Change Area Covered By Soft Floor Layers Zones to Read as Follows:

Zone 2 - All of Jefferson Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles & St. John the Baptist Pars.; Parts of St. Tammany & Tangipahoa Pars. (south of I-12 from the Mississippi State Line to the western boundary of Tangipahoa Par.)

Zone 3 - Assumption, Iberia (east of the Atchafalaya River), Lafourche, St. James (south of the Mississippi River), St. Martin (eastern segment of the Atchafalaya River), St. Mary (east of the Atchafalaya River) & Terrebonne Pars.

Also Change:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Asbestos workers - Zone 1	$10.595	.525	.90		.04
Bricklayers & stonemasons:					
Zone 1	9.98	.80	.75		.06
Zone 3	10.85	.53	.30		.02
Zone 4	10.60	.40	.25		.035
Zone 7	9.55	.40	.45		
Zone 9	10.00		.25		
Zone 10	10.20				
Carpenters - Zone 2	9.745	.40	.33		.05
Zone 4	10.60	.40	.50		.04
Zone 5	10.22	.45	.30		.04
Zone 6	10.055	.45	.30		.04

MODIFICATIONS P. 3

DECISION #LA77-4219 (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Cement masons (Building Construction):					
Zone 1	$ 9.51				
Zone 2	9.45				
Zone 3	8.60	.50	.50		.05
Zone 4	9.945	.45	.30		.04
Zone 6	6.75				
Zone 7	8.75	.35			
Zone 8	8.72		.25		
Cement masons (Highway Construction):					
Zone 5 - On concrete lined ditches	8.60	.50	.50		.05
Electricians:					
Electricians - Zone 5	11.30	.35	3%+.30		.03
Cable splicers - Zone 5	11.30	.35	3%+.30		.03
Ironworker (Building Construction):					
Zone 3	10.75	.45	.50		.04
Zone 4	10.30	.45	.95		.04
Zone 6	10.30	.35	.60		.025
Laborers (Building Construction):					
Zone 2 - Group 1	6.07	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	6.27	.15	.10		.05
Zone 3 - Group 1	7.55	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	7.65	.15	.10		.05
Group 3	7.70	.15	.10		.05
Group 4	8.51	.15	.10		.05
Group 5	8.07	.15	.10		.05
Group 6	7.81	.15	.10		.05
Group 7	7.60	.15	.10		.05
Zone 4 - Group 1	6.87	.20	.27		.05
Group 2	6.97	.20	.27		.05
Group 3	7.02	.20	.27		.05
Zone 5 - Group 1	6.47	.20	.27		.05
Group 2	6.57	.20	.27		.05
Group 3	6.62	.20	.27		.05
Zone 6 - Group 1	7.45	.20	.27		.05
Group 2	7.55	.20	.27		.05
Group 3	7.70	.20	.27		.05
Group 4	7.61	.20	.27		.05
Group 5	7.71	.20	.27		.05
Zone 8 - Group 1	5.71	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	5.91	.15	.10		.05
Group 3	5.96	.15	.10		.05
Group 4	6.01	.15	.10		.05
Group 5	6.36	.15	.10		.05
Zone 9 - Group 1	6.25	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	6.35	.15	.10		.05
Group 3	6.40	.15	.10		.05
Group 4	6.45	.15	.10		.05
Zone 10 - Group 1	6.00	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	6.10	.15	.10		.05
Group 3	6.25	.15	.10		.05

MODIFICATIONS P. 4

DECISION #LA77-4219 (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Lathers - Zone 2	$ 9.28	.20	.30		.05
Line construction - Zone 1:					
Linemen	11.30	.35	3%+.30		.03
Marble setters - Zone 1	10.60	.40	.25		.035
Zone 2	10.85	.53	.30		.02
Zone 3	10.20				
Zone 4	8.97		.25		
Zone 5	8.55	.30			
Millwrights - Zone 1	11.245				.05
Zone 2	10.61	.45	.30		.04
Piledrivermen - Zone 2	10.60	.40	.50		.04
Zone 3	10.32	.45	.30		.04
Zone 4	9.745	.40	.33		.05
Plasterers - Zone 3	10.07				
Zone 4	9.25				.01
Zone 8	10.00		.25		
Plumbers & pipefitters - Zone 1	10.60	.45	.75		.08
Power Equipment Ops. (Building Construction):					
Zone 1 - Group 1	7.19	.65	.48		.05
Group 2	7.55	.65	.48		.05
Group 3	7.88	.65	.48		.05
Group 4	7.97	.65	.48		.05
Group 5	8.29	.65	.48		.05
Group 6	9.77	.65	.48		.05
Zones 2, 3 & 4 - Group 1	7.21	.65	.48		.05
Group 2	7.55	.65	.48		.05
Group 3	7.90	.65	.48		.05
Group 4	7.95	.65	.48		.05
Group 5	8.30	.65	.48		.05
Group 6	9.77	.65	.48		.05
Zone 5 - Group 1	7.43	.65	.48		.05
Group 2	7.11	.65	.48		.05
Group 3	6.90	.65	.48		.05
Group 4	7.71	.65	.48		.05
Group 5	10.095	.65	.48		.05
Group 6	8.12	.65	.48		.05
Group 7	8.80	.65	.48		.05
Zone 6 - Group 1	8.01	.65	.48		.05
Group 2	7.41	.65	.48		.05
Group 3	7.20	.65	.48		.05
Group 4	7.71	.65	.48		.05
Group 5	8.45	.65	.48		.05
Group 6	9.62	.65	.48		.05
Group 7	10.73	.65	.48		.05
Group 8	10.98	.65	.48		.05
Group 9	11.23	.65	.48		.05
Group 10	11.48	.65	.48		.05
Zone 7 - Group 1	10.13	.65	.55		.05
Group 2	10.38	.65	.55		.05
Group 3	7.35	.65	.55		.05
Group 4	7.74	.65	.55		.05
Group 5	8.45	.65	.55		.05
Group 6	9.88	.65	.55		.05

DECISION #LA77-4219 (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Roofers - Zone 1 - Roofers	$ 9.73		.10		
Sheet metal workers - Zone 3	11.05	.40	.50		.12
Soft floor layers - Zone 1	9.745	.40	.33		.05
Zone 2	10.22	.45	.30		.04
Zone 3	10.055	.45	.30		.04
Terrazzo workers - Zone 2	10.85	.53	.30		.02
Zone 3	8.75				
Zone 4	8.97		.25		
Zone 5	8.55	.30			
Tile setters - Zone 4	8.97		.25		
Zone 5	8.55	.30			
DECISION #LA77-4220 - Mod. #2					
(42 FR 48658 - September 23, 1977)					
Bossier, Caddo & Calcasieu Pars., La.					
Change:					
Asbestos workers - Calcasieu Par	10.595	.525	.90		.04
Bricklayers & stonemasons:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars.	9.55	.40	.45		
Calcasieu Par.	10.85	.53	.30		.02
Carpenters:					
Calcasieu Par.:					
Carpenters & piledrivermen	9.745	.40	.33		.05
Millwrights	11.245				.05
Cement masons:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars.	8.75	.35			
Calcasieu Par.	9.45				
Ironworkers:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars.	10.75	.45	.50		.04
Calcasieu Par.	10.30	.35	.60		.025
Laborers:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars. - Group 1	6.25	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	6.35	.15	.10		.05
Group 3	6.40	.15	.10		.05
Group 4	6.45	.15	.10		.05
Calcasieu Par. - Group 1	7.55	.15	.10		.05
Group 2	7.65	.15	.10		.05
Group 3	7.70	.15	.10		.05
Group 4	8.51	.15	.10		.05
Group 5	8.07	.15	.10		.05
Group 6	7.81	.15	.10		.05
Group 7	7.60	.15	.10		.05
Marble setters:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars.	8.55	.30			
Calcasieu Par.	10.85	.53	.30		.02
Plasterers - Calcasieu Par.	10.07				
Roofers - Calcasieu Par.	9.73		.10		
Soft floor layers - Calcasieu Par.	9.745	.40	.33		.05
Terrazzo workers:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars,	8.55	.30			
Calcasieu Par.	10.85	.53	.30		.02

DECISION #LA77-4220 (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Tile setters:					
Bossier & Caddo Pars.	$ 8.55	.30			
Power equipment operators:					
Calcasieu Par. - Group 1	7.43	.65	.48		.05
Group 2	7.11	.65	.48		.05
Group 3	6.90	.65	.48		.05
Group 4	7.71	.65	.48		.05
Group 5	10.095	.65	.48		.05
Group 6	8.12	.65	.48		.05
Group 7	8.80	.65	.48		.05
DECISION #MD77-3077 - Mod. #6					
(42 FR 28760 - June 3, 1977)					
Counties of Anne Arundel (excluding the D.C. Training School), Baltimore, Howard and Baltimore City, Maryland and for Heavy Construction projects only in Harford County, Maryland					
Change:					
Elevator Constructors	$10.71	.745	.35	a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	7.50	.745	.35	a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors' Helpers (Probationary)	5.355				
Ironworkers:					
Ironworkers, finishers, rodmen, pre-cast & pre-stress erectors	9.97	.90	1.68		.06
Sheeters	10.22	.90	1.68		.06
Fence Erectors	9.62	.90	1.68		.06

FOOTNOTES:

a. Holidays: A through F

b. Employer contributes 8% OF basic hourly rate for 5 years or more of service or 6% of basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years of service as vacation pay credit.

DECISION #MD77-3086 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 39880 - August 5, 1977) Allegany & Garrett Counties, Maryland	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Change:					
Bricklayers	$10.54		.40		
Carpenters	10.65	.45	.25		.02
Cement Masons	10.79	.45			
Electricians:					
Allegany County	10.50	.70	3%+.25		1%
Garrett County	10.90	.70	3%+.25		1%
Ironworkers:					
Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing	10.44	.60	.90		
Laborers:					
Group I	7.91	.80	.90		
Group II	7.94	.80	.90		
Group III	8.05	.80	.90		
Group IV	8.11	.80	.90		
Group V	8.16	.80	.90		
Group VI	8.25	.80	.90		
Group VII	8.74	.80	.90		
Group VIII	8.36	.80	.90		
Group IX	8.36	.80	.90		
Linemen-Allegany County:					
Linemen	10.50	.70	3%+.25		1%
Equipment operator	9.98	.70	3%+.25		1%
Truck driver	6.83	.70	3%+.25		1%
Groundmen	6.83	.70	3%+.25		1%
Linemen-Garrett County:					
Linemen	10.90	.70	3%+.25		1%
Equipment operator	10.38	.70	3%+.25		1%
Truck drivers	7.23	.70	3%+.25		1%
Groundmen	7.23	.70	3%+.25		1%
Marble Masons	10.54		.40		
Millwrights	11.01	.45	.25		.02
Piledrivermen	10.95	.45	.25		.02
Plasterers	10.79	.45			
Plumbers & Steamfitters	9.45	.50	.50	1.00	.05

DECISION #MD77-3086 - Mod. #2 (CONT'D)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Change:					
Power Equipment Operators:					
Group 1	$ 9.93	.85	.85		.10
Hourly additional pay for long boom cranes (including jibs), pile driver machines with leads:					
130' to 169' plus $.40					
170' to 209' plus $.60					
210' to 249' plus $.80					
250' to 299' plus $1.00					
300' and over $1.25					
Group 2	9.50	.85	.85		.10
Group 3	9.16	.85	.85		.10
Group 4	8.92	.85	.85		.10
Group 5	8.80	.85	.85		.10
Group 6	8.45	.85	.85		.10
Group 7	10.33	.85	.85		.10
Roofers:					
Composition	9.25	.45	.40		
Mopmen-composition	9.50	.45	.40		
Helpers-composition (1st year)	3.50	.45	.40		
Helpers-composition (2nd year)	5.60	.45	.40		
Slaters	9.40	.45	.40		
Sheet Metal Workers	11.12		.28		.02
Stone Masons	10.54		.40		
Terrazzo Workers	10.54		.40		
Tile Setters	10.54		.40		
Truck Drivers:					
Group 1	8.01	.70	.90		
Group 2	8.16	.70	.90		
Group 3	8.36	.70	.90		
Group 4	8.55	.70	.90		
Group 5	8.79	.70	.90		

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION NO. MA77-3062- Mod #2 (42 FR 37718- July 22, 1977) Barnstable County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Repair, jobbing & commercial, remodel, rewiring jobs, & electrical contracts under $25,000	$7.85	.90	3%+.85		a
All other commercial	10.00	.90	3%+.85		a
DECISION NO. MA77-3064- Mod #2 (42 FR 46877- September 16, 1977) Bristol County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Attleboro, N.Attleboro & Seekonk	9.40	.48	3%+1.40		.02
Residential	6.00	.38	3%		.02
Acushnet, Dartmouth, Fairhaven, New Bedford, N.&S. Dartmouth:					
Repair, jobbing, commercial, remodel, rewiring jobs with electrical contracts under $25,000.	7.85	.90	3%+.85		a
All other commercial	10.00	.90	3%+.85		a
Easton:					
Electrical contracts less than $50,000	9.15	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Electrical contracts $50,000 or more	11.55	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Residential	6.60	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Fall River, Freetown, Somerset, Swansea and Westport	10.00	4%	3%+1.00	4%	.5%
Remainder of County	11.00	.75	3%+.25		.1%
Residential	8.00	.75	3%+.25		.1%
DECISION NO. MA77-3065- Mod #2 (42 FR 44434- September 2, 1977) Essex County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians: Andover, Lawrence, Methuen, & North Andover:					
All work, including demolition, repair and alteration of any existing structure which is intended for predominantly residential use	$9.03	.75	3%+.40		.02
Lynn, Lynnfield, Nahant, Saugus, and Swampscott	11.25	.75	3%+1.55		.05
Remainder of County:					
Electrical contracts over $30,000	10.05	.92	3%+.26		.02
Electrical contracts $30,000 and less	9.55	.92	3%+.26		.02

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION NO. MA77-3066- Mod #3 (42 FR 44440- September 2, 1977) Franklin County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians: Remainder of County					
Electrical contracts $75,000 & over	10.76	.85	3%+.40		.02
Electrical contracts under $75,000	8.60	.85	3%+.40		.02
Shaft and tunnel work	11.26	.85	3%+.40		.02
DECISION NO. MA77-3067- Mod #2 (42 FR 377723- July 22, 1977) Hampden County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Chester, Holyoke, & specified portion of Chicopee township:					
Contracts $75,000 & over	10.76	.85	3%+.40		.02
Contracts under $75,000	8.60	.85	3%+.40		.02
Shaft and tunnel work	11.26	.85	3%+.40		.02
Remainder of County	9.85	.75	3%+.30	.43	.03
DECISION NO. MA77-3068- Mod #2 (42 FR 44445- September 2, 1977) Hampshire County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Belchertown, Ware	$9.85	.70	3%+.30	.43	.03
Remainder of County:					
Electrical contracts under $75,000	8.60	.85	3%+.40		.02
Electrical contracts $75,000 and over	10.76	.85	3%+.40		.02
Shaft and tunnel work	11.26	.85	3%+.40		.02

MODIFICATIONS P. 11

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION NO. MA77-3069- Mod #1 (42 FR 54719- October 7, 1977) Middlesex County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians:					
All work, including demolition, repair and alteration of any existing structure which is intended for predominantly residential use:					
Bedford, Billerica, Boxboro, Burlington, Carlisle, Chelmsford, Dracut, Dunstable, Littleton, Lowell, Tewksbury, Tyngsboro, Westford, Wilmington	8.84	.70	3%+.35		.02
Remainder of County (exluding those towns specified)	9.56	.75	3%+.55		.05
Ashland, Hopkinton, Hudson, Marlboro, Stow (commercial)	10.31	.82	5%+.26		.01
N.Reading (commercial)	10.02	.35	3%+1.55		.05
Remainder of County (commercial)	11.25	.75	3%+1.55		.05
DECISION NO. MA77-3070- Mod #2 (42 FR 37728- July 22, 1977) Norfolk County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Avon, Holbrook, Randolph, and Stoughton: Electrical contracts under $50,000	$9.15	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Electrical contracts $50,000 or over	11.55	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Residential	6.60	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Plainville	9.40	.48	3%+1.40		.02
Residential	5.75	.37	3%		.02
Remainder of County	11.25	.75	3%+1.55		.05
DECISION NO. MA77-3071- Mod #2 (42 FR 37733- July 22, 1977) Plymouth County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians: Hingham, Hull Mattapoisett, Rochester & Wareham:	11.25	.75	3%+1.55		.05
Repair, jobbing & commercial, remodel, rewiring on jobs with electrical contracts under $25,000	7.85	.90	3%+.85		a
All other commercial	10.00	.90	3%+.85		a
Residential	5.70	.40	3%+.50		a
Lakeville and Middleboro	11.00	.75	3%+.25		.1%
Residential	8.00	.75	3%+.25		.1%
Remainder of County:					
Electrical contracts $50,000 or more	11.55	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Contracts under $50,000	9.15	6%	7%	2%	.5%
Residential	6.60	6%	7%	2%	.5%

MODIFICATIONS P. 12

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION NO. MA77-3072- Mod #1 (42 FR 42070- August 19, 1977) Suffolk County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians	11.25	.75	3%+1.55		.05
DECISION NO. MA77-3073- Mod #2 (42 FR 46883- September 16, 1977) Worcester County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Warren, W.Warren	$9.85	.70	3%+.70		.02
Ashburnham, Athol, Bolton, Fitchburg, Garden, Harvard, Hubbardston, Lancaster, Leominster, Lunenburg, Phillipston, Westminster, & Winchendon	10.05	.75	3%+.70		.02
Remainder of County	10.31	.82	.26+5½%		.01
DECISION #MI77-2127 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 44450 - September 2, 1977) Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, Washtenaw & Wayne Counties, Michigan					
ADD:					
Footnote:					
a-Per Week, Per Employee					

DECISION NO. MO77-4266 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 53056 - September 30, 1977)
Cass, Clay, Jackson, Platte & Ray Counties, Missouri; Johnson & Wyandotte Counties, Kansas

DECISION NO. MO77-4267 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 53061 - September 30, 1977)
Cass, Clay, Jackson, Platte & Ray Counties, Missouri; Johnson & Wyandotte Counties, Kansas

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Change:					
Bricklayers & stonemasons	$11.475	.55	.35		
Elevator constructors	11.97	.745	.56	3%+a	.025
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.745	.56	3%+a	.025

FOOTNOTE: - a-Employer contributes 8% of basic hourly rate for over 5 years service, and 6% of basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years as vacation Pay Credit; also (6) Paid Holidays.

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION NO. MO77-4269 - Mod. #1 (42 FR 53066 - September 30, 1977)					
Jasper, McDonald and Newton Counties, Missouri					
Change:					
Elevator Constructors:					
Elevator constructors	$11.97	.745	.56	3%+a	.025
Helpers	70%JR	.745	.56	3%+a	.025
FOOTNOTE: - a-Employer contributes 8% of basic hourly rate for over 5 years service, and 6% of basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years as vacation Pay Credit; also (6) Paid Holidays.					
Painters:					
Brush, roller, tapers, floor tile & carpet layers, paperhangers	8.52		.35		
Spray	8.895		.35		
DECISION #NV77-5077 - Mod. #3 (42 FR 48662 - September 23, 1977)					
Statewide (does not include the Nevada Test Site and Tonopah Test Range, and highway construction in Douglas County), Nevada					
Change:					
Asbestos Workers:					
Remaining Counties	$12.41	.90	$1.17	$1.50	.06
Clark, Esmeralda, Lincoln, Nye Counties	13.91	.85	1.20		
Elevator Constructors:					
Nevada east of 118° longitude and south of 39° north latitude:					
Elevator Constructors	13.41	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helper	9.39	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helper (Prob.)	6.705				
Nevada west of 118° longitude:					
Elevator Constructors	14.82	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helper	10.37	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helper (Prob.)	7.41				

DECISION #NV77-5077 (Cont'd):

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: PILEDRIVING. Remaining Counties					
Group 1	$ 9.18	$1.73	$2.25	$1.02	.10
Group 1-A	9.55	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 1-B	9.78	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 2-A	9.78	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 2-B	10.60	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3	10.92	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3-A	11.36	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4	11.93	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 5	12.11	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6	13.27	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: STEEL ERECTION Remaining Counties					
Group 1	$ 9.69	$1.73	$2.25	$1.02	.10
Group 2	10.10	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3	11.19	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4	11.34	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4-A	11.65	1.73	2.25	1.22	.10
Group 5	12.17	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6	12.61	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6-A	13.22	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 7	14.31	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS* (Except Piledriving and Steel Erection)	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
	AREA 1	AREA 2	H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Remaining Counties:						
Group 1-A	$9.18	$10.33	$1.73	$ 2.25	$1.02	.10
Group 2	9.58	10.73	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3	9.79	10.94	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4	10.35	11.50	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 5	10.58	11.73	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6	10.71	11.86	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 7	10.89	12.04	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 8	11.31	12.46	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 9	11.54	12.69	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 10	11.79	12.94	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 10-A	11.92	13.07	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 11	12.10	13.25	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 11-A	13.27	14.42	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10

DECISION #NV77-5089 - Mod. #3 MODIFICATIONS P. 15

(42 FR 48665 - September 23, 1977)
Washoe County, Nevada)

Change:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Asbestos Workers	$12.41	.90	$1.17	$1.50	.06
Elevator Constructors	14.82	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helper	10.37	.745	.56	3% + a	.025
Elevator Constructors' Helper (Prob.)	7.41				
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: PILEDRIVING. Remaining Counties					
Group 1	$ 9.18	$1.73	$2.25	$1.02	.10
Group 1-A	9.55	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 1-B	9.78	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 2-A	9.78	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 2-B	10.60	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3	10.92	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3-A	11.36	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4	11.93	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 5	12.11	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6	13.27	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: STEEL ERECTION Remaining Counties					
Group 1	$ 9.69	$1.73	$2.25	$1.02	.10
Group 2	10.10	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3	11.19	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4	11.34	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4-A	11.65	1.73	2.25	1.22	.10
Group 5	12.17	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6	12.61	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6-A	13.22	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 7	14.31	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS* (Except Piledriving and Steel Erection)	Basic Hourly Rates AREA 1	Basic Hourly Rates AREA 2	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Remaining Counties:						
Group 1-A	$9.18	$10.33	$1.73	$ 2.25	$1.02	.10
Group 2	9.58	10.73	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 3	9.79	10.94	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 4	10.35	11.50	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 5	10.58	11.73	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 6	10.71	11.86	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 7	10.89	12.04	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 8	11.31	12.46	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 9	11.54	12.69	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 10	11.79	12.94	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 10-A	11.92	13.07	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 11	12.10	13.25	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10
Group 11-A	13.27	14.42	1.73	2.25	1.02	.10

MODIFICATIONS P. 16

DECISION NO. NH77-2001 Mod #3
(42 FR 4087- January 21, 1977)
Hillsboro County, New Hampshire

Change:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments: H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Electricians:					
Pelham	$10.02	.35	3%+.20		
Remainder of County	10.75	.40	3%		.03

DECISION NO. NH77-2002 Mod #3
(42 FR 2090- January 21, 1977)
Merrimack County, New Hampshire

Change:					
Electricians:					
Hookset	10.75	.40	3%		.03
Remainder of County	9.50	.60	3%+.50		.02

DECISION NO. NH77-2003 Mod #5
(42 FR 5625- January 28, 1977)
Rockingham County, New Hampshire

Change:					
Electricians:					
Kingston, Hampstead, Plaistow, Westville, Atkinson, N.Salem, and Salem	10.02	.35	3%+.20		.02
Remainder of County	10.75	.40	3%		.03

DECISION NO. NH77-2004- Mod #3
(42 FR 5629- January 28, 1977)
Strafford County, New Hampshire

Change:					
Electricians	10.50	.60	3%+.50		.02

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION #NJ77-3093 - Mod. #4 (42 FR 35567 - July 8, 1977) Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Middlesex, Morris, Passaic, Somerset, Sussex, Union & Warren Counties, New Jersey					
Change:					
Bricklayers, Stone Masons, Cement Masons & Plasterers:					
Zone 4					
Cement Masons	$10.95	.75	1.00		
Plasterers	10.95	.75	1.00		.05
Carpenters, Insulators & Millwrights:					
Zone 1	10.74	8%	8%+.50		.02
Ironworkers - Structural, Reinforcing & Ornamental:					
Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Middlesex, Morris, Passaic, S merset, Sussex & Union Counties	11.39	.91	2.54	1.15	.11
Line Construction:					
Zone 10					
Linemen, Cable Splicers & Equipment Operators	12.85	6%	3%+1.29		3/4 of1%
Groundmen & Winch Operators	12.00	6%	3%+1.29		3/4 of1%
Zone 11					
Linemen, Cable Splicers & Equipment Operators	12.85	6%	3%+.55		
Groundmen & Winch Operators	12.00	6%	3%+.55		
Sheet Metal Workers:					
Bergen & Hudson Counties	11.16	5%+.85	5%+.28	4%+.06	.02
DECISION #PA77-3057 - Mod. #5 (42 FR 24700 - May 13, 1977) Sullivan County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Bricklayers & Stonemasons	$9.45	.55	.70		.01
DECISION #PA77-3059 - Mod. # 5 (42 FR 26176 - May 20, 1977) Luzerne County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Bricklayers & Stonemasons: Nescopeek, Hollenback & Salem Twps.	9.45	.55	.70		.01

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION #PA77-3100 - Mod. # 4 (42 FR 36775 - July 15, 1977) Columbia, Montour, and Snyder Counties, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Bricklayers & Stonemasons					
Zone II	9.45	.55	.70		.01
Cement masons					
Zone II	8.80	.55	.70		.01
Plumbers & Steamfitters	11.15	.30	.75		.12
Roofers					
Zone II	10.06	.80	.55		
DECISION #PA77-3102 - Mod. # 4 (42 FR 37754 - July 22, 1977) Clinton, Centre, Huntingdon, Fulton, & Mifflin Counties, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Plumbers & Steamfitters					
Zone 3	11.85	.30	.75		.12
Roofers					
Zone 4					
Composition roofers	10.06	.80	.55		
Modification #2, dated, August 26, 1977, in 42 FR 43319, to read - Clinton, Centre, Huntingdon, Fulton, and Mifflin Counties					
DECISION #PA77-3104 - Mod. # 5 (42 FR 37762 - July 22, 1977) Lancaster County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Bricklayers	$9.65	.45	.45		.01
Cement masons	9.94	.35	.25		.01
Electricians	9.85	.45	3%		1/8%
Laborers:					
General Laborers	6.85	.40	.55		
Operators of Jackhammer	7.05	.40	.55		
Wagon drill	7.15	.40	.55		
Handling of all materials	7.00	.40	.55		
Laborers assisting tile	6.90	.40	.55		
Handling & using dynamite	7.18	.40	.55		
Caisson Work (Top Men)	7.10	.40	.55		
Caisson Work (Bottom Men)	7.30	.40	.55		
Mixer Man	7.25	.40	.55		
Marble, tile & terrazzo workers	8.40	.45	.45		
Painters:					
Elizabeth Dowetal Township:					
Brush	8.68	.29	.27		.02
Steel	9.13	.29	.27		.02
Spray	9.43	.29	.27		.02

MODIFICATIONS P. 19

DECISION #PA77-3104 - Mod. #5 (CONTINUED) CHANGE:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Plumbers	11.15	.30	.75		.12
Steamfitters	11.15	.30	.75		.12
Stonemasons	9.65	.45	.45		.01
DECISION #PA77-3121 - Mod. # 2					
(42 FR 45612 - September 9, 1977)					
Lebanon County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Painters:					
West of Route 72					
Brush	8.68	.29	.27		.02
Structural Steel	9.13	.29	.27		.02
Spray	9.43	.29	.27		.02
Plumbers & Steamfitters:					
West of Route 501	11.15	.30	.75		.12
DECISION #PA77-3122 - Mod. # 1					
(42 FR 45614 - September 9, 1977)					
Cumberland, Dauphin, Perry,					
Juniata, New Cumberland Depot					
in York County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Painters:					
Brush	$8.68	.29	.27		.02
Structural Steel	9.13	.29	.27		.02
Spray	9.43	.29	.27		.02
Plumbers	11.15	.30	.75		.12
Roofers	10.06	.80	.55		
Steamfitters	11.15	.30	.75		.12
Terrazzo Workers & Tile Setters	8.48	.60	.55		
DECISION #PA77-3128 - Mod. #1					
(42 FR 46901 - September 16, 1977)					
Adams & York Counties, Pa.					
Change:					
Carpenters:					
York County	$10.09	.35	.40		.03
Bricklayers	9.65	.45	.45		.01
Cement masons:					
York County	9.94	.35	.25		.01
Laborers:					
General laborers	6.85	.40	.55		
Operators of jackhammer (etc.)	7.05	.40	.55		
Wagon drill (etc.)	7.15	.40	.55		
Handling of all materials (etc.)	7.00	.40	.55		
Laborers assisting tile (etc.)	6.90	.40	.55		
Handling & using dynamite	7.18	.40	.55		
Caisson work (top men)	7.10	.40	.55		
Caisson work (bottom men)	7.30	.40	.55		
Mixer man	7.25	.45	.55		
Marble, tile & terrazzo workers	8.40	.45	.45		
Millwrights					
York County	10.59	.35	.40		.03

MODIFICATIONS P. 20

DECISION #PA77-3128 - Mod. #1 (CONTINUED) - CHANGE:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
Soft Floor Layers:					
Adams County	10.09	.35	.40		.03
Stonemasons	9.65	.45	.45		.01
Painters:					
Brush	7.50	.35	.15		
Steel & Spray	8.05	.35	.15		
Plumbers & Steamfitters	11.15	.30	.75		.12
Cement Masons:					
Adams County	10.035	.55	.40		
DECISION #PA77-3129 - Mod. #1					
(42 FR 46903 - September 16, 1977)					
Northumberland County, Pa.					
Change:					
Roofers:					
Coal, East Cameron, Jackson,					
Jordan, Little Mahony, Lower					
Augusta, Upper Mahony, Point					
Rockfeller, Shamokin, Upper					
Mahony, Washington, West					
Cameron, Zebre					
Composition	$10.06	.80	.55		
Plumbers & Steamfitters	11.15	.30	.75		.12
DECISION NO. RI77-3111- Mod #1					
(42 FR 48711- September 23, 1977					
Providence, Bristol, and Kent					
Counties, Rhode Island					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Building	$9.40	.48	3%+1.40		.02
Residential, up to and including					
3 stories	6.75	.38	3%		.02
DECISION NO. RI77-3112- Mod #1					
(42 FR 48716- September 23, 1977)					
Newport County, Rhode Island					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Little Compton, Tiverton, N.					
Tiverton	10.00	4%	3%+1.00	4%	½%
Remainder of County:					
Building	9.40	.48	3%+1.40		.02
Residential-- up to and includ-					
ing 3 stories	6.75	.38	3%		.02

MODIFICATIONS P. 21

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION NO. RI77-3113- Mod #1 (42 FR 53112- September 30, 1977) Washington County, Rhode Island					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Westerly	9.95	.75	3%+.40		½%
Residential	5.50	.75	3%+.40		½%
Remainder of County:					
Building,heavy,highway,marine	9.40	.48	3%+1.40		.02
Residential-- tup to and including 3 stories	6.75	.38	3%		.02
DECISION #TX77-4197 - Mod. #3 (42 FR 42127 - August 19, 1977) Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Grayson, Hood, Hunt, Johnson, Kaufman, Palo Pinto, Rockwall, Tarrant & Wise Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Bricklayers & stonemasons:					
Zone 2	$ 9.35	.45	.50		.04
Carpenters:					
Zone 2 - Carpenters	10.01	.50	.30		.03
Millwrights	10.64	.50			.04
Cement masons - Zone 2	9.645	.40	.60		
Zone 3	9.485	.55	.60		.01
Glaziers - Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Hood, Hunt, Johnson, Kaufman, Palo Pinto, Rockwall, Tarrant & Wise Cos.	9.34	.50	.55		.025
Painters:					
Zone 1 - Group 1	9.525	.45	.50		.04
Group 2	9.775	.45	.50		.04
Group 3	9.65	.45	.50		.04
Group 4	9.90	.45	.50		.04
Zone 2 - Group 1	10.295		.20		.02
Group 2	10.545		.20		.02
Group 3	10.295		.20		.02
Group 4	11.545		.20		.02
Sheet metal workers - Zone 2	10.425	.60	.33		.055
Soft floor layers	9.58	.40	.40		.03
Power equipment operators:					
Zone 1 - Group 1	8.265	.40	.70		
Group 2	9.05½	.40	.70		
Group 3	9.45½	.40	.70		
Zone 2 - Group 1	8.065	.40	1.00		.10
Group 2	8.85	.40	1.00		.10
Group 3	9.25	.40	1.00		.10
DECISION #TX77-4221 - Mod. #3 (42 FR 48723 - September 23, 1977) Travis County, Texas					
Change:					
Soft floor layers	9.57	.35	.45		.09

MODIFICATIONS P. 22

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION #TX77-4222 - Mod. #4 (42 FR 48725 - September 23, 1977) Bell, Bosque, Coryell, Falls, Hill & McLennan Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Carpenters:					
Zone 2 - Carpenters	8.95				
Millwrights	9.35				
DECISION #TX77-4252 - Mod. #3 (42 FR 53147 - September 30, 1977) Armstrong, Carson, Castro, Childress, Collingsworth, Dallam, Deaf Smith, Donley, Gray, Hansford, Hartley, Hemphill, Hutchinson, Lipscomb, Moore, Ochiltree, Oldham, Potter, Randall, Roberts, Sherman, Swisher & Wheeler Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Bricklayers & stonemasons	$10.05		.30		
Laborers - Group 1	5.16	.53	.27		
Group 2	5.32	.53	.27		
Marble masons (exterior)	10.05		.30		
DECISION #TX77-4253 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 53149 - September 30, 1977) Armstrong, Carson, Castro, Childress, Collingsworth, Dallam, Deaf Smith, Donley, Gray, Hansford, Hartley, Hemphill, Hutchinson, Lipscomb, Moore, Ochiltree, Oldham, Potter, Randall, Roberts, Sherman, Swisher & Wheeler Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Bricklayers	10.05		.30		
Laborers - Laborers	5.16	.53	.27		
Mason tenders	5.32	.53	.27		
DECISION #TX77-4254 - Mod. #3 (42 FR 53150 - September 30, 1977) Bee, Kleberg & Nueces Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Bricklayers - Kleberg & Nueces Cos.	8.81	.28	.30		.04
Marble setters	8.18				.02
Plasterers	9.90				.01
Terrazzo workers	8.18				.02
Tile setters	8.18				.02

MODIFICATIONS P. 23

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION #TX77-4255 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 53152 - September 30, 1977) Bowie County, Texas					
Change:					
Ironworkers	10.75	.45	.50		.04
Plumbers & pipefitters	10.50	.50	.65		.05
Power equipment ops. - Group 1	8.065	.40	1.00		.10
Group 2	8.85	.40	1.00		.10
Group 3	9.25	.40	1.00		.10
DECISION #TX77-4256 - Mod. #4 (42 FR 53154 - September 30, 1977) Brazos County, Texas					
Change:					
Soft floor layers	$ 9.57	.35	.45		.09
DECISION #TX77-4258 - Mod. #4 (42 FR 53158 - September 30, 1977) Galveston & Harris Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Electricians - Galveston Co.	12.29	.40	3%+.40		.06
Soft floor layers	9.57	.35	.45		.09
DECISION #TX77-4260 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 53162 - September 30, 1977) Jefferson & Orange Cos., Texas					
Change:					
Asbestos workers - Orange Co.	10.595	.525	.90		.04
DECISION #TX77-4261 - Mod. #2 (42 FR 53165 - September 30, 1977) Lubbock County, Texas					
Change:					
Laborers - Group 1	5.175	.275	.27		
Group 2	5.45	.275	.27		
Group 3	5.375	.275	.27		
Group 4	5.525	.275	.27		
Group 5	5.775	.275	.27		
DECISION #TX77-4289 - Mod. #1 (42 FR 56311 - October 21, 1977) Harrison County, Texas					
Change:					
Power equipment ops. - Group 1	8.065	.40	1.00		.10
Group 2	8.85	.40	1.00		.10
Group 3	9.25	.40	1.00		.10

MODIFICATIONS P. 24

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Education and/or Appr. Tr.
DECISION #MD77-3109 - Mod. # 3 (42 FR 46872 - September 16, 1977) Montgomery and Prince Georges Counties, Maryland; Arlington County, Virginia; D. C. Training School, and for WMATA - Rapid Rail Transit System Projects Only, Alexandria, Virginia					
Change: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, INCLUDING WMATA - RRTS					
Ironworkers: Reinforcing	10.95	.67	1.05		.03
DECISION #DC77-3108 - Mod. # 3 (42 FR 46905 - September 16, 1977) Washington, D. C.					
Change: BUILDING AND HEAVY CONSTRUCTION: (INCLUDING WMATA - RRTS)					
.Ironworkers: Reinforcing	$10.95	.67	1.05		.03

[FR Doc.77-31719 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1977

PART VI



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

■

FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1976

Applications for Permits To Fish Off the Coasts of the United States

[4710–01]

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 573]

FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1976

Applications for Permits to Fish Off the Coasts of the United States

The Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–265) (the "Act") provides that no fishing shall be conducted by foreign fishing vessels in the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States after February 28, 1977, except in accordance with a valid and applicable permit issued pursuant to Section 204 of the Act.

The Act also requires that all applications for such permits be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Additional applications for fishing during 1977 have been received from the Government of the German Democratic Republic, and are published herewith.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

LARRY L. SNEAD,
Acting Director,
Office of Fisheries Affairs.

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) GC-77-0014

Permit Period Applied For: 1977 Application No. For Use of Issuing Office

State: German Democratic Republic

1. Name of Vessel Elvira Eisenschneider
2. Vessel No.: Hull No. ROS 404 Registration No. 2563
3. Name and Address of Owner Name and Address of Charterer
   Name VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
   Address VEB Fischkombinat
   Rostock, GDR 251 Rostock 5
   Cable Address 31121 Fiko dd
4. Homeport and State of Registry: Rostock, GDR
5. Type of Vessel stern trawler
6. Tonnage (Gross) 998,05 (Net) 312,02
7. Length 58,99 M. 8. Breadth 10,62 M. 9. Draft 4,70 M.
10. Horsepower 1.500 shp. 11. Maximum Speed 13 kt.
11. Propulsion: Diesel (1), Steam (-), Diesel/Electric (-),
    Other -
13. Date Built 1966
14. Number and Nationality of Personnel 22, GDR
    Officers 7 Crew 15 Other (Specify) -
15. Communications: VHF-FM (x), AM/SSB, Voice (x), Telegraphy (x),
    Other -
    International Radio Call Sign DAZT
    Radio Frequencies Monitored 500, 2182
    Other Working Frequencies 425, 454, 468, 512, 2046, 2049, 2191
    Schedule Zone time: 8.00-10.00; 18.00-20.00 o'clock

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) GC-77-0015

Permit Period Applied For: 1977 Application No. For Use of Issuing Office

State: German Democratic Republic

1. Name of Vessel Rudi Arndt
2. Vessel No.: Hull No. ROS 410 Registration No. 2592
3. Name and Address of Owner Name and Address of Charterer
   Name VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
   Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
   GDR 251 Rostock 5
   Cable Address 31121 Fiko dd
4. Homeport and State of Registry: Rostock, GDR
5. Type of Vessel stern trawler
6. Tonnage (Gross) 963,63 (Net) 304,43
7. Length 58,96 M. 8. Breadth 10,62 M. 9. Draft 4,70 M.
10. Horsepower 1500 shp. 11. Maximum Speed 13 kt.
11. Propulsion: Diesel (1), Steam (-), Diesel/Electric (-),
    Other -
13. Date Built 1966
14. Number and Nationality of Personnel 22, GDR
    Officers 7 Crew 15 Other (Specify) -
15. Communications: VHF-FM (x), AM/SSB, Voice (x), Telegraphy (x)
    Other -
    International Radio Call Sign DAZZ
    Radio Frequencies Monitored 500, 2182
    Other Working Frequencies 425,454,468,512,2046,2049,2191
    Schedule Zone time: 08.00-10.00, 18.00-20.00 o'clock

GC-77-0014

16. Navigation Equipment: Loran C (-), Loran A (1), Omega (-),
    Decca (1), Navsat (-), Radar (2), Fathometer (2),
    Other Autopilot (1), Direction finder(1)
17. Cargo Capacity (MT) 18. Cargo Space Number Name
    Salted Fish ____ Freezer
    Fresh Fish 90 Dry Hold
    Frozen Fish ____ Tanks
    Fish Meal ____ Other 2 fish storage space
    Other ____
19. Processing Equipment (Indicate daily capacity,MT)
20. Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:

Ocean Area	Period (From-To)	Species	Contemplated Catch (MT)	Gear to be Used
NW-Atlantic ICNAF-Division 5 Ze	1-30 Dec	Mackerel	156 Tons	OTM 2

21. Name and Address of Agent appointed to receive any legal process issued in the United States:

GC-77-0015

16. Navigation Equipment: Loran C (-), Loran A (1), Omega (-),
    Decca (1), Navsat (-), Radar (2), Fathometer (2),
    Other Autopilot (1), Direction finder (1)
17. Cargo Capacity (MT) 18. Cargo Space Number Name
    Salted Fish ____ Freezer
    Fresh Fish 90 Dry Hold
    Frozen Fish ____ Tanks
    Fish Meal ____ Other 2 fish storage space
    Other ____
19. Processing Equipment (Indicate daily capacity,MT)
20. Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:

Ocean Area	Period (From-To)	Species	Contemplated Catch (MT)	Gear to be Used
NW-Atlantic ICNAF-Division 5 Ze	1-30 Dec	Mackerel	156 Tons	OTM 2

21. Name and Address of Agent appointed to receive any legal process issued in the United States:

[FR Doc.77-31951 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

[4710–01]

[Public Notice 576]

FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1976

Applications for Permits to Fish Off the Coasts of the United States

The Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–265) (the "Act") provides that no fishing shall be conducted by foreign fishing vessels in the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States after February 28, 1977, except in accordance with a valid and applicable permit issued pursuant to Section 204 of the Act.

The Act also requires that all applications for such permits be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Applications for fishing during 1978 have been received from the Governments of the European Economic Community (Italy), German Democratic Republic, Republic of Ireland, Republic of Korea, Mexico, Polish People's Republic, Republic of China, and Spain, and are published herewith.

Dated: October 28, 1977.

LARRY L. SNEAD,
Acting Director,
Office of Fisheries Affairs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION,
APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH
WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE
OF THE UNITED STATES

NO.

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of ITALY hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978. Fishing vessel identification forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishery plans, species and catch contemplated for vessels of the Italian flag are as follows:

FISHERY PLANS	TARGET SPECIES	TOTAL TONNAGE REQUESTED FOR EACH SPECIES (MT)
Atlantic squid	Loligo	5,000
	Illex	3,000
Atlantic mackerel	Mackerel	1,000

SUBMITTED: MINISTERO DELLA MARINA MERCANTILE
DIREZIONE GENERALE DELLA PESCA MARITTIMA

Date - Signature and Title of Authorized Official

11 NOV. 1977

IL DIRETTORE GENERALE
DELLA PESCA MARITTIMA
(Dott. Leoncilio De Leon)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0002

1. Name of Vessel TONTINI PESCA TERZO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ILXD
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 71.15
5. Gross Tonnage 1,243 6. Net Tonnage 586,23 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address TONTINI PESCA
Via della Cannuccia - Anzio (Roma), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0001

1. Name of Vessel ASSUNTA TONTINI MADRE 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IBAY
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 107
5. Gross Tonnage 3,817 6. Net Tonnage 2,100 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.16
8. Owner's Name and Address TONTINI PESCA
Via della Cannuccia - Anzio (Roma), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0003

1. Name of Vessel TONTINI PESCA QUARTO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ITAI
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 75.01
5. Gross Tonnage 1,580 6. Net Tonnage 831,24 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address TONTINI PESCA
Via della Cannuccia - Anzio (Roma), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0004

1. Name of Vessel DE GIOSA T. 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKZI
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 73,15 m.
5. Gross Tonnage 1,245 6. Net Tonnage 595.22 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address MERIDIONALPESCA
Molo Pizzoli - Bari (Italy)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH-FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0006

1. Name of Vessel MASCARETTI PRIMO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKMA
3. Type of Vessel TERN TRAWLER 4. Length 73.17
5. Gross Tonnage 1,340 6. Net Tonnage 580,49 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address F.LLI MASCARETTI
Via G.Belli, 26 - S.Benedetto Tronto (Ascoli Piceno), It.
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0005

1. Name of Vessel AMORUSO SETTIMO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKAU
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 73.17
5. Gross Tonnage 1,375 6. Net Tonnage 680,89 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address MICHELE AMORUSO & FIGLI
Molo Pizzoli - Bari, Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0007

1. Name of Vessel SAGITTA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IROR
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 66.96
5. Gross Tonnage 737 6. Net Tonnage 349,23 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address ITTIMAR
Strada Romea - Km.26 - Porto Garibaldi (Ferr. It
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0008

1. Name of Vessel AIRONE 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IJMB
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 68.03
5. Gross Tonnage 1,251 6. Net Tonnage 538,19 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address I.A.L.I.
Via Onorato, 4 - Palermo, Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0010

1. Name of Vessel GABRIELLA C. 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKUJ
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 71.15
5. Gross Tonnage 1,316 6. Net Tonnage 651,24 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m. 12
8. Owner's Name and Address FILLI CEFALU'
Via Onorato, 4 - Palermo, Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0009

1. Name of Vessel AMORUSO SESTO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ikrs
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 63.73
5. Gross Tonnage 833 6. Net Tonnage 369 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address MICHELE AMORUSO & FIGLI
Molo Pizzoli - Bari (Italy)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	short-finned "	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0011

1. Name of Vessel TORTORELLI E. 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKBU
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 66.84
5. Gross Tonnage 898 6. Net Tonnage 406,66 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m. 12
8. Owner's Name and Address ATLAS PESCA
Via Giovinazzo, 33 - Molfetta (Bari), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0012

1. Name of Vessel CORRADO SECONDO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ILSD
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 69.07
5. Gross Tonnage 1,400 6. Net Tonnage 643,56 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address S.I.T.M.A.
Piazza Rosselli, 17 Ancona, Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment
FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0013

1. Name of Vessel ANTONIETTA MADRE 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IVAM
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 66.93
5. Gross Tonnage 820 6. Net Tonnage 402,68 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address MERIDIONALPESCA
Molo Pizzoli - Bari (Italy)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0014

1. Name of Vessel AMORUSO QUARTO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKMU
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 63.71
5. Gross Tonnage 820 6. Net Tonnage 368,61 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address MICHELE AMORUSO & FIGLI - Molo Pizzoli-Bari (Italy)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0015

1. Name of Vessel CARLO DI FAZIO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IRJS
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 66.84
5. Gross Tonnage 899 6. Net Tonnage 406,66 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address ARCHIMEDE DI FAZIO
Via Gramsci, 44 - Anzio (Roma), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0016

1. Name of Vessel DE GIOSA GIUSEPPE 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IKSM
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 66.93
5. Gross Tonnage 898 6. Net Tonnage 401.52 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address MERIDIONAL PESCA
Molo Pizzoli - Bari (Italy)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0017

1. Name of Vessel NICOLA SPECCHIO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) INNP
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 63.73
5. Gross Tonnage 822 6. Net Tonnage 364,20 7. Maximum Speed (knots) .12
8. Owner's Name and Address ATLAS PESCA
Via Giovinazzo, 33 - Molfetta (Bari), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0018

1. Name of Vessel PELAGOS 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IJTF
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 63.70
5. Gross Tonnage 810 6. Net Tonnage 337,40 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address FRESCOGEL
Piazzale Vespucci - Formia (Latina), Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IT-78-0019

1. Name of Vessel STANISLAVA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ICWT
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 69.38
5. Gross Tonnage 1,400 6. Net Tonnage 683,52 7. Maximum Speed (knots) m.12
8. Owner's Name and Address S.P.A.I.M.
V.le C. Colombo - S. Benedetto del Tronto (Ascoli Piceno) Italy
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. 17-78-0020

1. Name of Vessel NEMBO
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) IQYO
3. Type of Vessel STERN
4. Length m. 63,67
5. Gross Tonnage 810
6. Net Tonnage 338,41
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address PRESCOGEL S.p.A. - Piazzale Vespucci 10
04023 FORMIA (LT)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
SQU	Short " "	" "	X	X	
MAC	Mackerel	" "	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0004

1. Name of Vessel Eisenach
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 212 (ISYL)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 59
5. Gross Tonnage 696
6. Net Tonnage 262
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring	-	-	x	-

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No. _____

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of the German Democratic Republic hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the GDR flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested For Each Species Fishery
Atlantic Mackerel	Mackerel	36.706
Atlantic Herring	Herring	8.182
Atlantic Squid	Shortfin Squid	2.303
WOC - Washington, Oregon, California, Trawl	Pacific Hake	25.601

Submitted: September 5 th, 1977
Date

Signature of Authorized Official

Director General
Title

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0005

1. Name of Vessel "Gothe"
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 214 (DHKR)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 59
5. Gross Tonnage 696
6. Net Tonnage 262
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring			x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0008

1. Name of Vessel Brandenburg
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 221 (DHXU)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 66
5. Gross Tonnage 939
6. Net Tonnage 290
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring			x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0010

1. Name of Vessel Görlitz
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 224 (DHXV)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 66
5. Gross Tonnage 943
6. Net Tonnage 291
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
Her	Herring			x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0009

1. Name of Vessel Gera
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 223 (DHXT)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 66
5. Gross Tonnage 943
6. Net Tonnage 291
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring			x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0011

1. Name of Vessel Cottbus
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 225 (DHXZ)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 66
5. Gross Tonnage 943
6. Net Tonnage 291
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring			x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0012

1. Name of Vessel: Breitling
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 318 (DEXN)
3. Type of Vessel: Cargo/Transport
4. Length: 100
5. Gross Tonnage: 2780
6. Net Tonnage: 1207
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 14
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinet Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment:
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
MAC SQU	Mackerel Shortfin Squid				x x
HER	Herring				x
WOC	Pacific Hake				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0018

1. Name of Vessel: Karl Wolf
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 413 (DEXC)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 63
5. Gross Tonnage: 964
6. Net Tonnage: 304
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 13
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinet Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: -
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0015

1. Name of Vessel: Herbbs Lindner
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 405 (DAZU)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 63
5. Gross Tonnage: 998
6. Net Tonnage: 312
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 13
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: -
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0023

1. Name of Vessel: Walter Dehmel
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 308 (DAZI)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 85
5. Gross Tonnage: 2918
6. Net Tonnage: 1148
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 11
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
WOC	Pacific Hake	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0024

1. Name of Vessel: Willi Bredel
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 313 (DAZP)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 88
5. Gross Tonnage: 3169
6. Net Tonnage: 1478
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 12
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water trawl	x	x	
SQU	Shortfin Squid	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0027

1. Name of Vessel: Evershagen
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 320 (DAZP)
3. Type of Vessel: Cargo/Transport
4. Length: 130
5. Gross Tonnage: 5229
6. Net Tonnage: 2523
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 15
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: -
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel				x
SQU	Shortfin Squid				x
HER	Herring				x
WOC	Pacific Hake				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0026

1. Name of Vessel: Litten Klein
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 319 (DAZO)
3. Type of Vessel: Cargo/Transport
4. Length: 130
5. Gross Tonnage: 5227
6. Net Tonnage: 2541
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 15
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: -
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel				x
SQU	Shortfin Squid				x
HER	Herring				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0028

1. Name of Vessel: Peter Kast
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 310 (DAZM)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 85
5. Gross Tonnage: 2915
6. Net Tonnage: 1155
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 11
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
WOC	Pacific Hake	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0030

1. Name of Vessel P.C. Deikopf
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 305 (DAZG)
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler
4. Length 86
5. Gross Tonnage 2918
6. Net Tonnage 1139
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
WOC	Pacific Hake	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0033

1. Name of Vessel Kurt Barthel
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 332 (DEKR)
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler
4. Length 102
5. Gross Tonnage 3967
6. Net Tonnage 1864
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
SQU	Shortfin Squid	Mid-water trawl	x	x	
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0031

1. Name of Vessel "Robert Koch"
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 314 (DHUO)
3. Type of Vessel Crew Transfer
4. Length 66
5. Gross Tonnage 1093
6. Net Tonnage 320
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB FischKombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment —
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC					x
HER					x
WOC					x
SQU					x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0034

1. Name of Vessel Johannes R. Becher
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 302 (DAZC)
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler
4. Length 86
5. Gross Tonnage 2950
6. Net Tonnage 1252
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0035

1. Name of Vessel "Bitterfeld" 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 222 (DKKW)
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler 4. Length 66
5. Gross Tonnage 939 6. Net Tonnage 290 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring			x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0037

1. Name of Vessel Carlo Schönhaar 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 407 (DKZW)
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 63
5. Gross Tonnage 964 6. Net Tonnage 309 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment -

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0036

1. Name of Vessel Bernhard Kellermann 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 309 (DAEL)
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 85
5. Gross Tonnage 2917 6. Net Tonnage 1152 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Pacific Hake Jack Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0038

1. Name of Vessel Erich Steinfurth 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) ROS 417 (DKWC)
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 63
5. Gross Tonnage 998 6. Net Tonnage 312 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address VEB Fischkombinat Rostock
GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment -

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0039

1. Name of Vessel: Ludwig Turek
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 331 (DZYZ)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 102
5. Gross Tonnage: 3967
6. Net Tonnage: 1864
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 14
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Pilleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Pacific Hake	Mid-Water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0041

1. Name of Vessel: Hugo Welk
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 333 (DZWS)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 102
5. Gross Tonnage: 3967
6. Net Tonnage: 1864
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 14
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Pilleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
SQU	Shortfin Squid	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
WOC	Pacific Hake	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0040

1. Name of Vessel: Bodo Uhse
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 312 (DAZO)
3. Type of Vessel: stern trawler
4. Length: 88
5. Gross Tonnage: 3165
6. Net Tonnage: 1432
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 12
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Pilleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER	Herring	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	
SQU	Shortfin Squid	Mid-water trawl	x	x	
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. GC-78-0042

1. Name of Vessel: Friedrich Wolf
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): ROS 303 (DAZD)
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 86
5. Gross Tonnage: 2950
6. Net Tonnage: 1252
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 11
8. Owner's Name and Address: VEB Fischkombinat Rostock, GDR, 251 Rostock 5
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Pilleter, Flash Freezer, Fish Meal Plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
MAC	Mackerel	Mid-water Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: ☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No. _____

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of Ireland hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the Irish flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested For Each Species (MT)
Atlantic Squid	Long-finned squid	1005
	Short-finned squid	1215
Other finfish - Atlantic	Butterfish	400

Submitted: _____ Date

_____ Signature of Authorized Official

_____ Title

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No. _____

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of Republic of Korea hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf Fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the Korean flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested for Each Species (MT)
Bering sea and Aleutian Islands Trawl	Pollock	220,000
	Yellowfin Sole	11,407
	Flounders	11,299
	Pacific Cod	6,242
	Pacific Ocean Perch	2,314
	Herring	933
	Squid-Pacific	1,076
	Other Species	9,061
Gulf of Alaska Trawl	Pollock	30,000
	Flounders	3,097
	Pacific Cod	3,199
	Pacific Ocean Perch	3,046
	Rock Fish	716
	Atka-Mackerel	3,160
	Other Species	1,784
Sable fish	Sable fish	20,000

Submitted: 8 19 1977 Date

Shin Tae Young
Signature of Authorized Official
Director General
Office of Fisheries
Title

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. EI-78-0001

1. Name of Vessel Erin Fisher 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) EIGB
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 80 metres
5. Gross Tonnage 1921 6. Net Tonnage 1140 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.30
8. Owner's Name and Address Atlantic Fisheries Development Company Limited
6 Princes St., Cork, Ireland
9. Types of Processing Equipment 2 Headers
Flash Freezers (15 contact plate freezers)
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom Trawl*	x	x	
	Short-finned squid	Bottom Trawl*	x	x	
FKF	Butterfish	Bottom Trawl*	x	x	

*Possibly slightly modified

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0001

1. Name of Vessel GAE YANG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 I.Z.T
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 88.9LM
5. Gross Tonnage 3,999.12 6. Net Tonnage 1,499.53 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wonyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Inhuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header. Contact Freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0002

1. Name of Vessel SEO YANG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 M.N.E
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 88.94M
5. Gross Tonnage 3,527.33 6. Net Tonnage 1,764.25 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wonyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter. Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0004

1. Name of Vessel PUNG YANG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 M.L.B
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 88.94M
5. Gross Tonnage 3,527.47 6. Net Tonnage 1,784.39 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wonyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter. Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0003

1. Name of Vessel CHEOG YANG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 L.Z.V
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 88.94M
5. Gross Tonnage 2,800.93 6. Net Tonnage 2,244.36 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wonyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header. Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0006

1. Name of Vessel HEUNG YANG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 M.X.D
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 104.14M
5. Gross Tonnage 5,377.04 6. Net Tonnage 2,797.83 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wonyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter. Fish Meal Plant. Fish Oil Plant. Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0010

1. Name of Vessel NO.102 KUM YONG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 K.D.K
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 45.67M
5. Gross Tonnage 324.64 6. Net Tonnage 153.95 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 12.9
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wonyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
7175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x		
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid Species	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0034

1. Name of Vessel CRYSTAL DAHLIA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6NEW
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 81.79
5. Gross Tonnage 1,903.12 6. Net Tonnage 948.56 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 13.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Hamyangsa Co., Ltd., #104-1, Itaewon-Dong, Yongsan-Ku, Seoul, Republic of Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter, Fish Head Cutter, Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	?				
	Squid Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x	x	
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0033

1. Name of Vessel NAMBUG 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 KXT
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawer 4. Length 104.30 M
5. Gross Tonnage 5,549.02 6. Net Tonnage 3,304.95 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 16.543
8. Owner's Name and Address NamBug Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#51 Sogong-Dong Jung-Ku, Seoul, Korea.
9. Types of Processing Equipment
Head Cutter (Baadar 417) 7set 80T/D
Fillster (Baadar 188) 8set 35T/D
Filleting Machine(Wako 707) 4set 30T/D
Skinning Machine(Baadar 47) 8set 35T/D
Quick Freezer(Flat Tank Type) 12set 99T/D
Fish Meal & Oil Plant 125T/D
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Herring	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Squid Pacific	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Rock Fish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0035

1. Name of Vessel CLOVER 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6LSY
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 69.13
5. Gross Tonnage 997.02 6. Net Tonnage 475.92 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 14.27
8. Owner's Name and Address Hamyangsa Co., Ltd., #104-1, Itaewon-Dong, Yongsan-Ku, Seoul, Republic of Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter, Fish Head Cutter, Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	?				
	Squid Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0036

1. Name of vessel SALVIA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6MDD
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 74.37M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,683.10 6. Net Tonnage 934.40 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15.88
8. Owner's Name and Address Namyang Shipping Co., Ltd., #104-1, Iracwon-Dong, Yongsan-Ku, Seoul, Korea President ; Mr. Chong Kook, Lee
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Header.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x	x	
	Pacific Cod	"	x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x	x	
	Herring	"	x	x	
	T				
	Squid Pacific	"	x	x	
	Other Species	"	x	x	
GOA	Pollack	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x	x	
	Pacific Cod	"	x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x	x	
	Rock Fish	"	x	x	
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x	x	
	Other Species	"	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0038

1. Name of Vessel DONG BANG NO. 71 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6MGG
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 80.70 m
5. Gross Tonnage 1,459.46 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 695.30N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.5 knot
8. Owner's Name and Address DONG BANG OCEAN FISHERIES CO., LTD. ROOM NO.303, TEA SUNG BLDG.#17-60,1 KA, TAIPYUNG-RO, CHOONG-KU, SEOUL KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FREEZER, HEADER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	" "	x	x	
	Flounders	" "	x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	" "	x	x	
	Squid -Pacific	" "	x	x	
	Other Species	" "	x	x	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Flounders	" "	x	x	
	Pacific Cod	" "	x	x	
	Rock Fish	" "	x	x	
	Atka-Mackerel	" "	x	x	
	Other Species	" "	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0037

1. Name of Vessel DAEJIN NO.52 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6NAZ
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER FACTORY SHIP 4. Length 96.60M
5. Gross Tonnage 4,034.72G/T 6. Net Tonnage 2,379.00 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 17.01KNOTS
8. Owner's Name and Address OH CHIN HO, DAEJIN FISHERY CO.,LTD. 11, MUKYO-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FILLETER 8 SETS, SKINNING MACHINE 16 SETS, HEADER 2 SETS, FISH MEAL PLANT 1 SET, FISH OIL PLANT 1 SET.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
B.S.A.	ALASKA POLLOCK	BOTTOM TRAWL	x	x	
	FLOUNDERS	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC COD	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	x	x	
	HERRING	"	x	x	
	SQUID-PACIFIC	"	x	x	
	YELLOW FIN SOLE	"	x	x	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	x	x	
G.O.A.	ALASKA POLLOCK	"	x	x	
	FLOUNDERS	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC COD	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC OCEANPERCH	"	x	x	
	ROCKFISH	"	x	x	
	ATKA-MACKEREL	"	x	x	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0039

1. Name of Vessel Dongwon No 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6N. Q. W
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 98.43
5. Gross Tonnage 4,347.52 6. Net Tonnage 2,455.54 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.75
8. Owner's Name and Address Dongwon Industrial Co., Ltd 355, Jungrim-Dong, Jung-Gu, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, Header, Fillater, Fish meal plant, Fish oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	X	X	
"	Yellowfin sole	"	X	X	
"	Flounder	"	X	X	
"	Pacific cod	"	X	X	
"	Pacific ocean perch	"	X	X	
"	Herring	"	X	X	
"					
"					
"	Squid pacific	"	X	X	
"	Other species	"	X	X	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	X	X	
"	Flounder	"	X	X	
"	Pacific cod	"	X	X	
"	Pacific ocean perch	"	X	X	
"	Rock fish	"	X	X	
"	Atka mackerel	"	X	X	
"	Other species	"	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0040

1. Name of Vessel No. 1 Sang Won 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6. M. I. S
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 42.81 M
5. Gross Tonnage 330.91 6. Net Tonnage 142.05 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 10.9 KT
8. Owner's Name and Address Marine Enterprise Co. Ltd.
No. 131, Da-Dong, Chung-Ku, Seoul, Republic of Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Header, Eviscerator

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	X	X	
GOA	Flounders	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Pacific cod	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Pacific ocean perch	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Rock fish	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Atka mackerel	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Other species	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0042

1. Name of Vessel SOO GONG NO. 51 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 NEJ
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER (FACTORY SHIP) 4. Length 101. 80 M
5. Gross Tonnage 5,519.74 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 2,762N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15.0 KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4, SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL PLANT, MINCED MEAT PLANT, FISH OIL PLANT, FILLETER, FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	POLLOCK	BOTTOM TRAWL	×	×	
	YELLOWFIN SOLE	"	×	×	
	FLOUNDERS	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC COD	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	×	×	
	HERRING	"	×	×	
	SQUID-PACIFIC	"	×	×	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	×	×	
G O A	POLLOCK	"	×	×	
	FLOUNDERS	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC COD	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	×	×	
	ROCK FISHES	"	×	×	
	ATKA-MACKEREL	"	×	×	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	×	×	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[×] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0041

1. Name of Vessel No. 7 Seng Won 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6. M. P. K
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 69.00 M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,300.92 6. Net Tonnage 712.52 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.00 KT
8. Owner's Name and Address Marine Enterprise Co. Ltd.
No. 131, Da-Dong, Chung-Ku, Seoul, Republic of Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Header, Eviscerator

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Yellowfin Sole	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Pacific cod	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Pacific ocean perch	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Herring	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Squid pacific	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Other species	Bottom trawl	X	X	
GOA	Flounders	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Pacific cod	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Pacific ocean-Perch	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Atka mackerel	Bottom trawl	X	X	
	Other species	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0043

1. Name of Vessel SOO GONG NO. 71 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 MWJ
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 56.80 M
5. Gross Tonnage 737.81 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 314.265N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.5 KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4, SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER, HEADER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	POLLOCK	BOTTOM TRAWL	×	×	
	YELLOWFIN SOLE	"	×	×	
	FLOUNDERS	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC COD	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	×	×	
	HERRING	"	×	×	
	SQUID-PACIFIC	"	×	×	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	×	×	
G O A	POLLOCK	"	×	×	
	FLOUNDERS	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC COD	"	×	×	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	×	×	
	ROCK FISHES	"	×	×	
	ATKA-MACKEREL	"	×	×	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	×	×	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[×] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0044

1. Name of Vessel: Han Kil Ho
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): 6 MLX
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 76.50 M
5. Gross Tonnage: 1,497.96
6. Net Tonnage: 671
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 13
8. Owner's Name and Address: Silla Trading Co., Ltd.
11 Fl., Kwangil Building, #11, Mookyo-dong, Choong-ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Flash Freezer, Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Herring	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Squid-Pacific	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounder	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Rock Fish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0046

1. Name of Vessel: Han Il Ho
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): 6 MEB
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 65.60 M
5. Gross Tonnage: 1,179.21
6. Net Tonnage: 589.08
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 12.50
8. Owner's Name and Address: Silla Trading Co., Ltd.
11 Fl., Kwangil Building, #11, Mookyo-dong, Choong-ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Flash Freezer, Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Herring	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Squid-Pacific	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounder	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Rock Fish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0045

1. Name of Vessel: Han Jin Ho
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): 6 MKC
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawl
4. Length: 76.50 M
5. Gross Tonnage: 1,499.08
6. Net Tonnage: 670.47
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 13
8. Owner's Name and Address: Silla Trading Co., Ltd.
11 Fl., Kwangil Building, #11, Mookyo-dong, Choong-ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Flash Freezer, Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Herring	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Squid-Pacific	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounder	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Rock Fish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0047

1. Name of Vessel: Shin An Ho
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): 6 NAX
3. Type of Vessel: Stern Trawler
4. Length: 106.30 M
5. Gross Tonnage: 5,680.14
6. Net Tonnage: 2,971.08
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 15.50
8. Owner's Name and Address: Silla Trading Co., Ltd.
11 Fl., Kwangil Building, #11, Mookyo-dong, Choong-ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Flash Freezer, Header, Filleter, Fish Meal Plant, Fish Oil Plant.

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
BSA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Herring	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Squid-Pacific	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounder	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Rock Fish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Other Species	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0048

1. Name of Vessel No.70 Oyang Ho 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 M.L.Q.
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 74.45 M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,598.67 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 653.21 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16
8. Owner's Name and Address Sung Soo, Kim Oyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
Rm No.2415, DaeWoo Center Bldg, #286, Yang-dong, Chung-ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment
Flash Freezer, Header, Eviscerator
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Alaska Pollack	Bottom Trawl	×	×	
	Yellow Fin Sole	"	×	×	
	Flounders	"	×	×	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	×	×	
	Herring	"	×	×	
	Squid Pacific	"	×	×	
	Other Species	"	×	×	
	Pacific Cod	"	×	×	
GOA	Alaska Pollack	Bottom Trawl	×	×	
	Flounders	"	×	×	
	Pacific Cod	"	×	×	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	×	×	
	Rock Fish	"	×	×	
	Atka Mackerel	"	×	×	
	Other Species	"	×	×	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[×] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0050

1. Name of Vessel Hansung No.1 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6.L.R.Q.
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 70.33M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,574.62 6. Net Tonnage 804.32 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13 KT
8. Owner's Name and Address Hansung Enterprise Co., Ltd. #34-1Ga,
Daeyung-dong, Yeongdo-ku, Busan, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleters 2 sets, Head Cutter 1 set
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B.S.A.	Alaskan Pollack	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	"	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	"	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean-Perch	"	X	X	
	Herring	"	X	X	
	Squid Pacific	"	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	"	X	X	
	Other Species	"	X	X	
G.O.A.	Alaskan Pollack	"	X	X	
	Flounders	"	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	"	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean-Perch	"	X	X	
	Rockfish	"	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	"	X	X	
	Other Species	"	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0049

1. Name of Vessel No.77 Oyang Ho 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 N.R.G.
3. Type of Vessel Stern-Trawler 4. Length 59.70 M
5. Gross Tonnage 899.12 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 404.12 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address Sung Soo, Kim Oyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
Rm No.2415, DaeWoo Center Bldg, #286, Yang-dong, Chung-ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment
Flash Freezer, Header, Eviscerator
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Alaska Pollack	Bottom Trawl	×	×	
	Yellow Fin Sole	"	×	×	
	Flounders	"	×	×	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	×	×	
	Herring	"	×	×	
	Squid Pacific	"	×	×	
	Other Species	"	×	×	
	Pacific Cod	"	×	×	
GOA	Alaska Pollack	Bottom Trawl	×	×	
	Flounders	"	×	×	
	Pacific Cod	"	×	×	
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	×	×	
	Rock Fish	"	×	×	
	Atka Mackerel	"	×	×	
	Other Species	"	×	×	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[×] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0051

1. Name of Vessel Dae Sung Ho 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6.N.E.R.
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler Factory Ship 4. Length 96.60M
5. Gross Tonnage 4,055.23 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 2,368.72 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.93KT
8. Owner's Name and Address Hansung Enterprise Co., Ltd. #34-1Ga
Daepyung-dong, Yeongdo-ku, Busan, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header 1 set, Filleters 6 set,
Dress Machine 1 set, Fish Meal Plant 1 set, Fish Oil Plant 1 set
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B.S.A.	Alaskan Pollack	Bottom Trawl	X	X	
	Flounders	"	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	"	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean-Perch	"	X	X	
	Herring	"	X	X	
	Squid Pacific	"	X	X	
	Yellow Fin Sole	"	X	X	
	Other Species	"	X	X	
G.O.A.	Alaskan Pollack	"	X	X	
	Flounders	"	X	X	
	Pacific Cod	"	X	X	
	Pacific Ocean-Perch	"	X	X	
	Rockfish	"	X	X	
	Atka-Mackerel	"	X	X	
	Other Species	"	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0064

1. Name of Vessel O DAE YANG NO. 121 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) D.7.O.P
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 61.54 M
5. Gross Tonnage 954.98 6. Net Tonnage 492.91 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address KOOIL INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.
NO. 60-17, 1-KA, TAEPYUNG-RO, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
GOA	POLLACK	BOTTOM TRAWL	X		
	FLOUNDERS	"	X		
	PACIFIC COD	"	X		
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	X		
	ROCK FISHES	"	X		
	ATKA-MACKEREL	"	X		
	OTHER SPECIES	"	X		
BSA	POLLACK	BOTTOM TRAWL	X		
	YELLO. FIN SOLE	"	X		
	FLOUNDERS	"	X		
	PACIFIC COD	"	X		
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	X		
	HERRING	"	X		
	SQUID PACIFIC	"	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0084

1. Name of Vessel KUM KANG SAN HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6.N.I.V
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 55.00M
5. Gross Tonnage 729.72 6. Net Tonnage 332.61 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14.61
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Kongyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x		
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0070

1. Name of Vessel NO. 27 SAM WON 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6NVZ
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 69M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,445.38 6. Net Tonnage 678.20 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address SAM WON FISHERIES CO., LTD. CHANG WON KYUN
39-1, 1-KA, PIL-DONG CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER (FOR CONTACT FREEZER BRINE SYSTEM) : 4SET (42.5M/T PER DAY)

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	POLLOCK	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	YELLOW FIN SOLE	"	x	x	
	FLOUNDERS	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC COD	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	x	x	
	HERRING	"	x	x	
	SQUID-PACIFIC	"	x	x	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	x	x	
GOA	POLLOCK	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	FLOUNDERS	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC COD	"	x	x	
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH	"	x	x	
	ROCK FISH	"	x	x	
	ATKA-MACKEREL	"	x	x	
	OTHER SPECIES	"	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0085

1. Name of Vessel KYUNG YANG HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 N.R.I
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 104.14M
5. Gross Tonnage 5,377.04 6. Net Tonnage 2,621.06 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Kongyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Filleter. Fish Meal Plant. Fish Oil Plant. Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole	"	x		
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Herring	"	x		
	Squid-Pacific	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		
GOA	Pollack	"	x	x	
	Flounders	"	x		
	Pacific Cod	"	x		
	Pacific Ocean Perch	"	x		
	Rock Fish	"	x		
	Atka-Mackerel	"	x		
	Other Species	"	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0052

1. Name of Vessel O DAE YANG NO. 201 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6MKU
3. Type of Vessel LONG LINER 4. Length 48.99 M
5. Gross Tonnage 419.69 6. Net Tonnage 235.83 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.5
8. Owner's Name and Address KOOIL INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.
NO. 60-17, 1-KA, TAEPTUNG-NO, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG LINE	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0053

1. Name of Vessel No. 31 Dongwon 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) GL. S. V
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 49.40 M
5. Gross Tonnage 481.43 6. Net Tonnage 243.74 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.00
8. Owner's Name and Address Dongwon Industrial Co., Ltd
355, Jungrim-Dong, Jung-Gu, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Longline

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	Sable fish	Bottom Longline	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0054

1. Name of Vessel No. 91 Dongwon 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6M. I. V
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 47.56 M
5. Gross Tonnage 414.22 6. Net Tonnage 220.13 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.10
8. Owner's Name and Address Dongwon Industrial Co., Ltd
355, Jungrim-Dong, Jung-Gu, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Longline

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	Sable fish	Bottom Longline	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0055

1. Name of Vessel No 11 SAEHE 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 NAS (illegible)
3. Type of Vessel Long Liner 4. Length 50. 50
5. Gross Tonnage 465. 13 6. Net Tonnage 239. 68 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address HEO KWANG FISHERIES CO., LTD LEE JE POCK
483 JUNGLIM-DONG JUNG-KU SEOUL KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment Frash Freezers

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	Sablefish	Bottom longline	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0056

1. Name of Vessel DONG WON No.12 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6HAE
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 56.17 m
5. Gross Tonnage 725.43 6. Net Tonnage 340.491 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.5
8. Owner's Name and Address KONG YANG FISHERIES CO.,LTD.
43-1, 1-Ka, Pil-Dong, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SBL	Sable Fish	Bottom Longline	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0058

1. Name of Vessel SOO GONG NO. 302 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 NFQ
3. Type of Vessel LONG-LINER 4. Length 47.25 M
5. Gross Tonnage 416.90 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 201.95 N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.75 KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4, SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
HEADER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG-LINE	×		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[×] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0057

1. Name of Vessel No 3. DAE WON 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6.L.U.A
3. Type of Vessel Longliner/pot 4. Length 44.38 m
5. Gross Tonnage 351.31 6. Net Tonnage 187.48 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.643
8. Owner's Name and Address KWANG IL WONYANG FISHERIES CO., LTD.
15 - 5, JUANG DONG, JUNG KU, SEOUL. KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SBL	Sablefish	traps(pots)/ bottom longline	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0059

1. Name of Vessel SOO GONG NO. 31 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 NEB
3. Type of Vessel LONG-LINER 4. Length 47.90 M
5. Gross Tonnage 424.79 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 224.15N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.64 KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4, SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
HEADER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG-LINE	×		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[×] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0062

1. Name of Vessel ENDEAVOURERS NO. 7 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) D.7.0.0
3. Type of Vessel LONG LINER 4. Length 46.10 M
5. Gross Tonnage 367.39 6. Net Tonnage 186.41 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.90
8. Owner's Name and Address KOOIL INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.
NO. 60-17, 1-KA, TAEPYUNG-RO, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG LINE	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0063

1. Name of Vessel O DAE YANG 212 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6MUZ
3. Type of Vessel LONG LINER 4. Length 48.00 M
5. Gross Tonnage 496.69 6. Net Tonnage 285.88 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.06
8. Owner's Name and Address KOOIL INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.
NO. 60-17, 1-KA, TAEPYUNG-RO, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG LINE	X		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0068

1. Name of Vessel No. 15 Duk Soo 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 N V X
3. Type of Vessel LONG LINER/POT 4. Length 50.4m
5. Gross Tonnage 497.06 6. Net Tonnage 264.92 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address DUK SOO MOOL SAN CO., LTD
#110-33, Gyunji-Dong, Jongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER,

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	Sable Fish	Traps (Pots)/ Bottom long line	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0069

1. Name of Vessel KWANG MYONG NO.20 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 N.V.Y
3. Type of Vessel Long Liner 4. Length 51.45M
5. Gross Tonnage 499.37 6. Net Tonnage 256.43 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Wangyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	Sable Fish	Bottom Long Line	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0071

1. Name of Vessel BLUEFIN NO. 2 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 NOL
3. Type of Vessel LONG-LINER 4. Length 43.90 M
5. Gross Tonnage 328.73 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 173.77 N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.6 KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4 SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
HEADER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG-LINE	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0072

1. Name of Vessel SEA DRAGON NO. 2 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 NON
3. Type of Vessel LONG-LINER 4. Length 43.40 M
5. Gross Tonnage 345.55 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 182.25N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.76 KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4, SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
HEADER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SBL	SABLE FISH	BOTTOM LONG-LINE	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0086

1. Name of Vessel 709 DONG WON 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6.K.F.Q.
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 49.70M
5. Gross Tonnage 620.96G/T 6. Net Tonnage 322.36G/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.00
8. Owner's Name and Address Dong Won Fishery Company.
18, 3Ka-Chungmoodong, Chung-Ku, Busan, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Longline
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sable Fish	Bottom Longline	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[X] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0087

1. Name of Vessel KWANG MYONG NO.21 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 L.S.A
3. Type of Vessel Long Liner 4. Length 49.63M
5. Gross Tonnage 486.87 6. Net Tonnage 256.07 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Dongyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
1175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SSL	Sable Fish	Bottom Long Line	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0098

1. Name of Vessel KWANG MYONG NO.21 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 L.H.A
3. Type of Vessel Long Liner 4. Length 51.64M
5. Gross Tonnage 459.51 6. Net Tonnage 267.38 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14.01
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Donyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SSL	Sable Fish	Bottom Long Line	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0074

1. Name of Vessel NO.3 CHIL BO SAN HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 L.Z.U
3. Type of Vessel Transport 4. Length 83.15M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,651.81 6. Net Tonnage 880.33 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Donyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack				x
	Yellowfin Sole				x
	Flounders				x
	Pacific Cod				x
	Pacific Ocean Perch				x
	Herring				x
	Squid-Pacific				x
	Other Species				x
GOA	Pollack				x
	Flounders				x
	Pacific Cod				x
	Pacific Ocean Perch				x
	Rock Fish				x
	Atka-Mackerel				x
	Other Species				x
SSL	Sable Fish				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0059

1. Name of Vessel KWANG MYONG NO.95 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 L.Z.Q
3. Type of Vessel Long Liner 4. Length 51.10M
5. Gross Tonnage 467.20 6. Net Tonnage 297.63 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13.12
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Donyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SSL	Sable Fish	Bottom Long Line	x		

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0075

1. Name of Vessel NO.5 CHIL BO SAN HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 L.Z.S
3. Type of Vessel Transport 4. Length 83.15M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,651.81 6. Net Tonnage 880.33 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Donyang Fisheries Co., Ltd.
#175-87, Ankuk-Dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack				x
	Yellowfin Sole				x
	Flounders				x
	Pacific Cod				x
	Pacific Ocean Perch				x
	Herring				x
	Squid-Pacific				x
	Other Species				x
GOA	Pollack				x
	Flounders				x
	Pacific Cod				x
	Pacific Ocean Perch				x
	Rock Fish				x
	Atka-Mackerel				x
	Other Species				x
SSL	Sable Fish				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
[x] No [ ] Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0076

1. Name of Vessel NO.6 SUIL TO SAN HO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 H.E.Q
3. Type of Vessel Transport 4. Length 80.00M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,136.97 6. Net Tonnage 1,000.14 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address Korea Kerguna Fisheries Co., Ltd.
175-87, Anguk-dong, Chongro-Ku, Seoul, Korea
9. Types of Processing Equipment

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
BSA	Pollack				x
	Yellowfin Sole				x
	Flounders				x
	Pacific Cod				x
	Pacific Ocean Perch				x
	Herring				x
	Squid-Pacific				x
	Other Species				x
GOA	Pollack				x
	Flounders				x
	Pacific Cod				x
	Pacific Ocean Perch				x
	Rock Fish				x
	Atka-Mackerel				x
	Other Species				x
SBL	Sable Fish				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No.

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of MEXICO hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the MEXICO flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested For Each Species (MT)
1. Atlantic Squid (Squid areas 1 to 5)	A.- SQU i) Illex	4,000
	ii) Loligo	6,000
2. Pacific Hake - WOC	B.- HAK	30,000
3. Gulf of Alaska	C.- Pollock	20,000
	D.- Cod	11,000
		71,000 M.T.

Submitted: September 19, 1977 (Date)

P.a. Lic. Arturo Díaz Rojo
Signature of Authorized Official

Director General de Asuntos Pesqueros Internacionales.
Title

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. KS-78-0051

1. Name of Vessel TAE YANG NO. 12 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) 6 LBB
3. Type of Vessel CARGO/TRANSPORT 4. Length 78.66 M
5. Gross Tonnage 1,332.68 G/T 6. Net Tonnage 827.99N/T 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.3KTS
8. Owner's Name and Address KOREA MARINE INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
#55-4, SEOSOMUN-DONG, CHUNG-KU, SEOUL, KOREA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	POLLOCK				x
	YELLOWFIN SOLE				x
	FLOUNDERS				x
	PACIFIC COD				x
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH				x
	HERRING				x
	SQUID-PACIFIC				x
	OTHER SPECIES				x
G O A	POLLOCK				x
	FLOUNDERS				x
	PACIFIC COD				x
	PACIFIC OCEAN PERCH				x
	ROCK FISHES				x
	ATKA-MACKEREL				x
	OTHER SPECIES				x
S B L	SABLE FISH				x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0001

1. Name of Vessel Cortina J. (ex Alpes- Spanish name) (Mexican name subject to approval) 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) EEEL
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 52
5. Gross Tonnage 680 6. Net Tonnage 383 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address COMPAGIA IMPULSORA DEL CALAMAR, S.A.
Calle 20 #. 35 Ciudad del Carmen Campeche - México
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid Short finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0002

1. Name of Vessel Mónica Re (ex Avior- spanish name) (Mexican name subject to approval) 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) EEVX
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 56
5. Gross Tonnage 765 6. Net Tonnage 394 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address COMPAÑIA CALAMARERA DEL SURESTE, S.A.
Calle 20 / . 35 Ciudad del Carmen - Campeche - México
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid Short finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0004

1. Name of Vessel Mexican name subject to approval (ex AROSA VII Spanish name) 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) EGJB
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 80 meters
5. Gross Tonnage 1389 6. Net Tonnage 650 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address IMPULSORES MERLUCEROS, S. A.
Calle 20 / . 35 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER, HEADER, EVISCERATON, FILLETER, WASTE FISH MEAL/OIL PLANT

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	HAKE	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0003

1. Name of Vessel Mexican name subject to approval (ex AROSA VI- Spanish name) 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) EGHM
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 80 meters
5. Gross Tonnage 1389 6. Net Tonnage 650 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address IMPULSORES MERLUCEROS, S.A.
Calle 20 / . 35 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Header, Eviscerator, filleter, waste fish meal/oil plant

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	HAKE	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0005

1. Name of Vessel Mexican name subject to approval (ex AROSA VIII - Spanish name) 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) EGKF
3. Type of Vessel STERN TRAWLER 4. Length 80 meters
5. Gross Tonnage 1425 6. Net Tonnage 659 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address IMPULSORES MERLUCEROS, S. A.
Calle 20 / . 35 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER, HEADER, EVISCERATOR, FILLETER, WASTE FISH MEAL/OIL PLANT

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	HAKE	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0006

1. Name of Vessel: Mexican name subject to approval (ex AROSA IX - Spanish name)
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): EGJP
3. Type of Vessel: STERN TRAWLER
4. Length: 80 meters
5. Gross Tonnage: 1425
6. Net Tonnage: 659
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 80
8. Owner's Name and Address: IMPULSORES MERLUCEROS, S. A.
Calle 20 /. 35 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México
9. Types of Processing Equipment: FLASH FREEZER, HEADER, EVISCERATOR, FILLETER, WASTE FISH MEAL/OIL PLANT
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	HAKE	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0008

1. Name of Vessel: Mexican name subject to approval (ex Pescamex segundo Panamanian name)
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): H.O. 5761
3. Type of Vessel: PAIR TRAWLER
4. Length: 55
5. Gross Tonnage: 666
6. Net Tonnage: 338
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 13
8. Owner's Name and Address: PESQUERIAS MEXICANAS DE BACALAO, S.A.
Calle 20 /. 35 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México
9. Types of Processing Equipment: HEADER, EVISCERATOR
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
GOA	POLLOCK	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. MX-78-0007

1. Name of Vessel: Mexican name subject to approval (ex Pescamex primero panamanian name)
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign): H.O. 5761
3. Type of Vessel: PAIR TRAWLER
4. Length: 55
5. Gross Tonnage: 666
6. Net Tonnage: 338
7. Maximum Speed (knots): 13
8. Owner's Name and Address: PESQUERIAS MEXICANAS DE BACALAO, S.A.
Calle 20 /. 35 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México
9. Types of Processing Equipment: HEADER, EVISCERATOR
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
GOA	POLLOCK	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No.

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of The Polish People's Republic hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. 22 The fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the Polish flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested For Each Species (mt)
Atlantic herring	Herring	5.000
Atlantic mackrel	Mackrel	25.000
Atlantic squid	Long finned squid	9.000
	Short finned squid	3.000
Other Finfish - Atlantic	Other finfish	6.200
Washington, Oregon, California Trawl	Pac. hake	20.000
	Jack mackrel	5.000
Gulf of Alaska Trawl	Pollock	15.000
	Mackrel - atka	5.000
	Other ground fish	Pac. 3.000
	Pacific cod	2.000
	S	
	Flounders	5.000

Submitted: September 14, 1977
Date

Signature of Authorized Official

Stanisław Struś, Commercial Counselor
Title

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-7B-0003

1. Name of Vessel TUCANA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQDN
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 88 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2691 6. Net Tonnage 1069 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishery and Fishing Service Enterprise, "Dalmor", ZWM Street 10, 81-963 Gdynia, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL FM-35, FISH OIL DE LAVAL, FILLETER AND SKINNING (3 LINES OF BAADER, 1ST LINE B-188, B-421, B-47; 2ND LINE B-181, 2(B-47); 3RD LINE B-150, 2(B-47)
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	hake	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	jack mackerel	mid-water trawl	X	X	
GOA	pelleck	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	mackerel atka	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	other groundfish	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	Pacific cod	- " -	x/ x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x/ x	x	

x/ or bottom trawl

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-7B-0006

1. Name of Vessel POLLUX 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQOL
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 89 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2584 6. Net Tonnage 1069 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishery and Fishing Service Enterprise, "Dalmor", ZWM Street 10, 81-963 Gdynia, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL FM-50, FISH OIL DE LAVAL, FILLETER AND SKINNING (5 LINES OF BAADER, 1ST LINE B-189, B-423, 2(B-47); 2ND LINE B-188, TECHNET BC-021-B, 2(B-47); 3RD LINE B-181 TRIO; 4TH LINE B-33, b-694, 5TH LINE TECHNET BC-021-B)
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	hake	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	jack mackerel	mid-water trawl	X	X	
GOA	pelleck	mid-water trawl	x/ X	X	
	mackerel, atka	mid-water trawl	x/ X	X	
	other groundfish	mid-water trawl	x/ X	X	
	Pacific cod	- " -	x/ x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x/ x	x	

x/ or bottom trawl

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-7B-0004

1. Name of Vessel PERSEUS 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQDW
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 88 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2655 6. Net Tonnage 1128 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishery and Fishing Service Enterprise, "Dalmor", ZWM Street 10, 81-963 Gdynia, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL FM-35; FISH OIL DE LAVAL; FILLETER AND SKINNING (3 LINES OF BAADER, 1ST LINE 2(B-188); TCHNET BC-021-B, 2(B-47); 2ND LINE B-181, 2(B-47); 3RD LINE B-150, 2(B-47)
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	hake	mid-water-trawl	X	X	
	jack mackerel	mid-water trawl	X	X	
GOA	pelleck	mid-water trawl	x/ X	X	
	mackerel atka	mid-water trawl	x/ X	X	
	other groundf				
	fish	mid-water trawl	x/ X	X	
	Pacific cod	- " -	x/ x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x/ x	x	

x/ or bottom trawl

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-7B-0009

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Wale ń " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQDI
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 88,96
5. Gross Tonnage 2475 6. Net Tonnage 1030 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 Swinoujście Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, plate freezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Hake pac	Pelagic trawl	x	x	
	Jack mackrerel	- " -	x	x	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	mackerel atka	- " -	x	x	
	Other ground fish	- " -	x	x	
	Pacific cod	- " -	x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0012

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Hustel " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQGP
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 89,90
5. Gross Tonnage 2395 6. Net Tonnage 988 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15,0
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 Swinoujscie Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer , plate freezer, filleter, fish and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Hake pac	Pelagic trawl	x	x	
	Jack mackerel	- " -	x	x	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom trawl.	x	x	
	mackerel	- " -	x	x	
	other ground fidh	- " -	x	x	
	Pacific cod	- " -	x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0015

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Lanmusta " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SNVY
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 87,27
5. Gross Tonnage 2495 6. Net Tonnage 1041 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 SWINOUJSCIE Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, platefreezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER.	Herring - Atl.	Pelagic trawl.	x	x	
MAC	Mackrel - Atl.	- " -	x	x	
SQU	Squid - Atl.	- " -	x	x	
FNF	Other finfish	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0014

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Płetwal " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SNVV
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 87,30
5. Gross Tonnage 2495 6. Net Tonnage 1041 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 SWINOUJSCIE Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, platefreezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER.	Herring - Atl.	Pelagic trawl.	x	x	
MAC	Mackrel - Atl.	- " -	x	x	
SQU	Squid - Atl.	- " -	x	x	
FNF	Other finfish	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0016

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Sejwal " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPYB
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 87,14 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2480, 6. Net Tonnage 1042 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 SWINOUJSCIE Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, platefreezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
HER.	Herring - Atl.	Pelagic trawl.	x	x	
MAC	Mackrel - Atl.	- " -	x	x	
SQU	Squid - Atl.	- " -	x	x	
FNF	Other finfish	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0017

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Kaszalot " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPYL
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 87,05
5. Gross Tonnage 2478 6. Net Tonnage 1041 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 SWINOUJSCIE Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, platefreezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
HER	Herring	Pelagic trawl	x	x	
MAC	Mackrel	- " -	x	x	
SQU	Squid	- " -	x	x	
FNF	Other finfish	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0023

1. Name of Vessel m/t "LODOWIK" 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPZB
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 75 m
5. Gross Tonnage 1480 6. Net Tonnage 584 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company "GRYF",
Pl. Batorego 4, 70-952 Szczecin, POB 525, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment filleting machine Baader 33/2 units/, fish meal plant FL25 plus centrifugal separator for fish oil production, plate freezer Jackstone-Froster /4 units/
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Atlantic Squid	pelagic trawl	X	X	
MAC	Atlantic Mackerel	pelagic trawl	X	X	
HER	Atlantic Herring	pelagic trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other Finfish	pelagic trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0019

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Humbak " 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQBA
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 88,75
5. Gross Tonnage 2501 6. Net Tonnage 1066 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra "
72-602 Swinoujście Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, plate freezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
WOC	Hake pac	Pelagic trawl	x	x	
	Jack mackerel	- " -	x	x	
GOA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	mackerel atka	- " -	x	x	
	other ground fish	- " -	x	x	
	Pacific cod	- " -	x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0024

1. Name of Vessel m/t "LASKARA" 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPYN
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 75 m
5. Gross Tonnage 1479 6. Net Tonnage 583 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company "GRYF",
Pl. Batorego 4, 70-952 Szczecin, POB 525, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment filleting machine Baader 33 /2 units/, fish meal plant FL25 plus centrifugal separator for fish oil production, plate freezer Jackstone-Froster /4 units/
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Atlantic Squid	pelagic trawl	X	X	
MAC	Atlantic Mackerel	pelagic trawl	X	X	
HER	Atlantic Herring	pelagic trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other Finfish	pelagic trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0025

1. Name of Vessel m/t "LIKODYM" 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPSE
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 75 m
5. Gross Tonnage 1481 6. Net Tonnage 582 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 14,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company "GRYF", Pl. Batorego 4, 70-952 Szczecin, POB 525, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment filleting machine Baader 55 /2 units/, fish meal plant FM25 plus centrifugal separator for fish oil production, plate freezer Jackstone-Froster /4 units/
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Atlantic Squid	pelagic trawl	X	X	
MAC	Atlantic Mackerel	pelagic trawl	X	X	
HER	Atlantic Herring	pelagic trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other Finfish	pelagic trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0029

1. Name of Vessel m/s HAINIAK 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) S Q B I
3. Type of Vessel Refrigerated Cargo Ship 4. Length 120
5. Gross Tonnage 5126 6. Net Tonnage 2492 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 18
8. Owner's Name and Address TRANSOCEAN Odrowąża Str. No 1. 71-420 Szczecin Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment none
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Pacific Hake				X
	Jack makrel				X
GOA	Pollock				X
	Otka mackrel				X
	Other ground fish				X
	Pacific cod				X
	Flounders				X

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0026

1. Name of Vessel m/t "KORWIN" 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPTX
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler 4. Length 76 m
5. Gross Tonnage 1405 6. Net Tonnage 570 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 14,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company "GRYF", Pl. Batorego 4, 70-952 Szczecin, POB 525, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment filleting machine Baader 55 /2 units/, fish meal plant FM25 plus centrifugal separator for fish oil production, plate freezer Jackstone-Froster /4 units/
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Atlantic Squid	pelagic trawl	X	X	
MAC	Atlantic Mackerel	pelagic trawl	X	X	
HER	Atlantic Herring	pelagic trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other Finfish	pelagic trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0030

1. Name of Vessel m/s LEWANTER 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) S Q D C
3. Type of Vessel Refrigerated Cargo Ship 4. Length 120
5. Gross Tonnage 5126 6. Net Tonnage 2492 7. Speed (knots) Maximum 18
8. Owner's Name and Address TRANSOCEAN Odrowąża Str. No 1 71-420 Szczecin Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment none
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	AtlanticSqdid				X
MAC	Atlantic Mackerel				X
HER	Atlantic Herring				X
NFN	Other Finfish				X

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0031

1. Name of Vessel m/s POMORZE
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SPVR
3. Type of Vessel Refrigerated Mother Ship
4. Length 164
5. Gross Tonnage 13872
6. Net Tonnage 6444
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15,3
8. Owner's Name and Address TRANSOCEAN Odrowąża Str. No 1. 71-420 Szczecin Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header -1, filleter - 2, fish plant, fish oil plant, freezing tunnels - 2.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Atlantic Squid				X
MAC	Atlantic Mackerel				X
HER	Atlantic Herring				X
FNF	Other Finfish				X

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0038

1. Name of Vessel ARCTURUS
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQHX
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler
4. Length 84 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2603
6. Net Tonnage 1107
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 18
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishery and Fishing Service Enterprise, "Dalmor", ZWM Street 10, 81-963 Gdynia, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL FM-50; FISH OIL DE LAVAL; FILLETER AND SKINNING (4 LINES OF BAADER, 1ST LINE B-189, B-423, 2(B-47)); 2ND LINE B-188, TECHMET BC-021-B, 2(b-47)); 3RD LINE B-33 TRIO; 4TH LINE B-
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	hake	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	jack mackerel	mid-water trawl	X	X	
GOA	pollock	mid-water trawl	X/ X	X	
	mackerel atka	mid-water trawl	X/ X	X	
	other ground-fish	mid-water trawl	X/ X	X	
	Pacific cod	- " -	X/ X	X	
	Flounders	- " -	X/ X	X	

X/ or bottom trawl

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0037

1. Name of Vessel ANTARES
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQIJ
3. Type of Vessel stern trawler
4. Length 89 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2584
6. Net Tonnage 1069
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 18
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishery and Fishing Service Enterprise, "Dalmor", ZWM Street 10, 81-963 Gdynia, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL FM-50; FISH OIL DE LAVAL; FILLETER AND SKINNING (3 LINES OF BAADER: 1ST LINE B-189, B-423, 2(B-47)); 2ND LINE B-188 TECHMET BC-021-B, 2(B-47)); 3RD LINE B-181, TRIO B-694)
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	hake	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	jack mackerel	mid-water trawl	X	X	
GOA	pollock	mid-water trawl	X/ X	X	
	mackerel atka	mid-water trawl	X/ X	X	
	other ground-fish	mid-water trawl	X/ X	X	
	Pacific cod	- " -	X/ x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	X/ x	x	

X/ or bottom trawl

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0039

1. Name of Vessel m/t " Kalmar "
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQAJ
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler
4. Length 88,80 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2497
6. Net Tonnage 1065
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 13,5
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishing Company " Odra " 72-602 Swinoujście Jana Sołtana 1
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer, plate freezer, filleter, fish meal and oil plant
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Hake pac	Pelagic trawl	x	x	
	Jack mackerel	- " -	x	x	
	o'				
GOA	Pollock	Bottom trawl	x	x	
	Mackerel atka	- " -	x	x	
	Other ground fish	- " -	x	x	
	Pacyfic cod	- " -	x	x	
	Flounders	- " -	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0040

1. Name of Vessel SAGITTA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) SQHB
3. Type of Vessel storm trawler 4. Length 89 m
5. Gross Tonnage 2598 6. Net Tonnage 1107 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 1-13
8. Owner's Name and Address Deep Sea Fishery and Fishing Service Enterprise "Dalmor", 81-963 Gdynia, Związku Walki Młodych Street 10, Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment FISH MEAL FM 30; FISH OIL DE LAVAL, FILLETER AND SKINNING (5 LINES OF BAADER: 1ST LINE B-189, B-423, 2(B-47); 2ND LINE B-188, TECHNET BC-021-B, B-47; 3RD LINE B-181 TRIO; 4TH LINE b-33 TRIO; 5TH LINE B-694)
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	hake	mid-water trawl	X	X	
	jack mackerel	"	X	X	
GOA	pollock	mid-water trawl x/	X	X	
	mackerel, atka	mid-water trawl x/	X	X	
	other ground-fish	mid-water trawl x/	X	X	
	Pacyfic cod	- " - x/	x	x	
	Flounders	- " - x/	x	x	

x/ or bottom trawl

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No.

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of the Republic of China hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf Fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The Fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the Republic of China flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested For Each Species(MT)
Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands	Pollock	7,000
Trawl and Herring Gillnet and Gulf of Alaska Trawl	Sablefish	1,800
	Other Ground fish (including Pacific Ocean Perch	1,700
	Herring	1,600
Sable-fish (Bottom Longliner only)	Sablefish	9,500
Atlantic and Pacific Squid	Squid	4,800
Atlantic Hake	Atlantic Hake	500
Seamount Groundfish	Seamount Groundfish	2,000

Submitted: September 3, 1977
Date

Signature of Authorized Official
Director, Dept. of Agriculture
Title

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. PL-78-0041

1. Name of Vessel m/s ZULAWY 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) S Q S X
3. Type of Vessel Refrigerated Mother Ship 4. Length 152
5. Gross Tonnage 8120 6. Net Tonnage 3885 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 17,8
8. Owner's Name and Address TRANSOCEAN Odrowąża Str. No 1. 71-420 Szczecin Poland
9. Types of Processing Equipment none
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
WOC	Pacific Hake				X
WOC	Jack mackrel				X
GOA	Pollock				X
	Mackrel - atka				X
	Other ground fish				X
	Pacific cod				X
	Flounders				X

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0030

1. Name of Vessel M/V YUKO BRUNO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V U N
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Gillnet 4. Length 34.6 m
5. Gross Tonnage 204.7 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 98.2 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 8
8. Owner's Name and Address OCEAN FISHERY DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION NO.10, Fishing Harbor South Second Road, Chien Chen Dist., Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S Q U	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0031

1. Name of Vessel SHIN HAI NO. 12 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y N H
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 39.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 353.9 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 130.7 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Wu Chao-Nan
Great China Building, 4th Fl., 217, Sec. 3, Nanking E. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Semi - Air -Blast Frozen

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S Q U (Atlantic Ocean)	Long-Finned Squid	Auto Squid-Hauler (Squid Jigging Machine)	x	x	
	Short-Finned Squid		x	x	
S Q U (Pacific Ocean)	Loligo opalescens	Auto Squid Hauler (Squid Jigging Machine)	x	x	
	Dosidicus gigas		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0032

1. Name of Vessel SHIN HAI NO. 11 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y N H
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 39.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 353.9 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 130.7 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Wu Chao-Nan
Great China Building, 4th Fl., 217, Sec. 3, Nanking E. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Semi-Air-Blast Frozen

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S Q U (Atlantic Ocean)	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid-Hauler (Squid Jigging Machine)	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	
S Q U (Pacific Ocean)	Loligo opalescens	Auto Squid Hauler (Squid Jigging Machine)	x	x	
	Dosidicus gigas		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0033

1. Name of Vessel SAN YI NO. 1 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y P M
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 42.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 327.8 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 217.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: S. C. Tsai
NO. 53-7, Ku Shan 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S Q U	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0034

1. Name of Vessel SAN YI NO. 6 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y P P
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 37.3 m
5. Gross Tonnage 252.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 174.7 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: S. C. Tsai
NO. 53-7, Ku Shan 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S Q U	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0035

1. Name of Vessel F/V CHIN MAN
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V W P
3. Type of Vessel Longliner
4. Length 39.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 321.3 (M/T)
6. Net Tonnage 215.8 (M/T)
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 10.0
8. Owner's Name and Address KIN KAN OCEAN ENTERPRISE CO., LTD. Owner: Kuo Hai-Chu
NO. 103, Bi Ding Street, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Super Low Temperature Freezer, Eviscerator, Header.

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
S Q U	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid	Attraction Lamps	x	x	
H A K	Atlantic Hake	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0038

1. Name of Vessel F/V YOU KWANG
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B E G A
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Gillnet
4. Length 45.9 m
5. Gross Tonnage 424.1 (M/T)
6. Net Tonnage 213.9 (M/T)
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 9
8. Owner's Name and Address OCEAN FISHERY DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
NO. 10, Fishing Harbor South Second Road, Chien Chen Dist., Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R.O.C
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
S Q U	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0036

1. Name of Vessel YE JIA SHEN
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V O Q
3. Type of Vessel Longliner
4. Length 36.3 m
5. Gross Tonnage 329.0 (M/T)
6. Net Tonnage 202.9 (M/T)
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 10.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Yeh Shoui-Fa
NO. 52-2, Yen Ping Street, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
S Q U	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0053

1. Name of Vessel CHUNG SHAO
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V B O
3. Type of Vessel Longliner
4. Length 42.0 m
5. Gross Tonnage 356.3 (M/T)
6. Net Tonnage 176.3 (M/T)
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Lok Cheng-Hsiung
NO. 107, Sec. 2, Chung King N. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Air - Blast Frozen

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
S Q U (Atlantic Ocean)	Long-finned Squid	Auto Squid Hauler (Squid Jigging Machine)	x	x	
	Short-finned Squid		x	x	
S Q U (Pacific Ocean)	Loligo opalescens	Auto Squid Hauler (Squid Jigging Machine)	x	x	
	Ommastrephes gigas		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0015

1. Name of Vessel KAO CHENG NO. 31 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y D C
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 38.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 394.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 248.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.5
8. Owner's Name and Address KAO CHENG OCEAN INDUSTRIES CO., LTD.
NO. 20-2, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header & immediately quick frozen machine

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Longline	x	x	x
S M T	Seamount Groundfish	Longline	x	x	x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0022

1. Name of Vessel KAO CHENG NO. 22 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y Q B
3. Type of Vessel Longliner, Cargo Ship 4. Length 38.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 372.0 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 226.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.5
8. Owner's Name and Address KAOCHENG OCEAN INDUSTRIES CO., LTD.
NO. 20-2, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header & immediately quick frozen machine

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
J B L	Sablefish	Longline	x	x	x
S M T	Seamount Groundfish	Longline	x	x	x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0019

1. Name of Vessel KAO CHENG NO. 21 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y A Q
3. Type of Vessel Longliner, Cargo Ship 4. Length 38.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 372.0 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 226.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.5
8. Owner's Name and Address KAOCHENG OCEAN INDUSTRIES CO., LTD.
NO. 20-2, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header & immediately quick frozen machine

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Longline	x	x	x
S M T	Seamount Groundfish	Longline	x	x	x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0023

1. Name of Vessel KAO CHENG NO. 32 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y D C - 1
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 38.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 394.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 248.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.5
8. Owner's Name and Address KAOCHENG OCEAN INDUSTRIES CO., LTD.
NO. 20-2, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Header & immediately quick frozen machine

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Longline	x	x	x
S M T	Seamount Groundfish	Longline	x	x	x

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0001

1. Name of Vessel TAI CHANG NO. 1 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y I X
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 54.74 m
5. Gross Tonnage 904.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 524.6 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 16
8. Owner's Name and Address TAI CHANG INCORPORATION. President: Chou Tong-Ming
NO. 32, Sec. 1, Chung-Shan N. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	
	Herring	Herring Gillnet	x	x	
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0002

1. Name of Vessel HIGHLY NO. 301 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V M V
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 66.50 m
5. Gross Tonnage 979.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 444.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address HIGHLY ENTERPRISE CORP. President: Hou Yong-Song
2nd Fl., 354, Nanking W. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer, Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0003

1. Name of Vessel HIGHLY NO. 302 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y G M
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 66.50 m
5. Gross Tonnage 933.6 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 473.0(M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address HIGHLY ENTERPRISE CORP. President: Hou Yong-Song
2nd Fl., 354, Nanking W. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer, Header.

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0004

1. Name of Vessel GOLDEN DRAGON NO. 1 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V H Y
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler 4. Length 73.5 m
5. Gross Tonnage 1900.5 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 1006.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address CHUNG SHING OCEAN ENTERPRISE CORP. President: Hou Yu-Li
2nd Fl., 354, Nanking W. Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Contact Freezer, Header.

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Sablefish		x	x	
	Pacific Ocean Perch		x	x	
	Other Groundfish		x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0005

1. Name of Vessel SHENG FUSI NO. 16 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y P I
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 41.0 m
5. Gross Tonnage 439.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 325.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address SHENG FUSI FISHERY CO., LTD. President: Kao Chi-Shoon
NO. 23, Ku San 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0007

1. Name of Vessel SHEN PAO NO. 27 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y O P
3. Type of Vessel Bottom Longliner 4. Length 50.8 m
5. Gross Tonnage 517.7 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 309.4 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address SHEN PAO FISHERY CO., LTD. President: Chang Wen-Chin
NO. 65-1, Yen Pen Street, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0006

1. Name of Vessel SHEN PAO NO. 30 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y O Q
3. Type of Vessel Bottom Longliner 4. Length 51.0 m
5. Gross Tonnage 524.5 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 322.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address SHEN PAO FISHERY CO., LTD. President: Chang Wen-Chin
NO. 65-1, Yen Pen Street, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0008

1. Name of Vessel CHIEN HSIN NO. 121 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V B T
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 47.5 m
5. Gross Tonnage 391.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 213.3 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.0
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Chang Wen-Chang
NO.76, Yen Pin Street, Kusan, Kaohsiung, Taiwan R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Header

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0009

1. Name of Vessel SIN HUNG HOU NO. 62 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y H O
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 34.9 m
5. Gross Tonnage 304.7 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 172.4 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.9
8. Owner's Name and Address HSING HOU FISHERY CO., LTD. President: Wang Jenne-Hae
Room NO. 11, 7th Fl., Universal Bldg., 101 Wu Fu 3rd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0011

1. Name of Vessel FENG BANG NO. 62 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y Q X
3. Type of Vessel Bottom Longliner 4. Length 40.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 338.5 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 252.2 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 10.5
8. Owner's Name and Address MING LI MARINE PRODUCTS CO., LTD. President: Chu Ming
2nd Fl., NO. 13-4, Hsiang Yang Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0010

1. Name of Vessel SHANG HOU NO. 12 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y O H
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 39.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 356.4 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 131.2 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.9
8. Owner's Name and Address SHANG HOU OCEAN ENTERPRISE CO., LTD. President: Lee Shyang-Jeou, Room NO.11, 7th Fl., Universal Bldg., 101 Wu Fu 3rd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0012

1. Name of Vessel FENG BANG NO. 61 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y P K
3. Type of Vessel Bottom Longliner 4. Length 44.7 m
5. Gross Tonnage 442.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 336.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.9
8. Owner's Name and Address MING LI MARINE PRODUCTS CO., LTD. President: Chu Ming
2nd Fl., NO. 13-4, Hsiang Yang Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0013

1. Name of Vessel TONG HONG NO. 3 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y P F
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 46.5 m
5. Gross Tonnage 531.5 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 393.0 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Lin Si-Sun
NO. 79, Ping Hai 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0017

1. Name of Vessel SHANG NOU NO. 11 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y M T
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 43.6 m
5. Gross Tonnage 351.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 177.9 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.8
8. Owner's Name and Address SHANG NOU OCEAN ENTERPRISE CO., LTD. President: Lee Shyang-Jeou, Room NO. 11, 7th Fl., Universal Bldg., 101 Wu Fu 3rd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0014

1. Name of Vessel TONG HONG NO. 2 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V W V
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 44.1 m
5. Gross Tonnage 377.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 236.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.9
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Lin Si-Sun
NO. 79, Ping Hai 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0018

1. Name of Vessel MING KUO NO. 31 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y N K
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 43.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 421.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 249.6 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address MING KUO FISHERY CO., LTD. President: Chu Ming
NO. 26, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0020

1. Name of Vessel TONG MONG NO. 6 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y L Y
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 43.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 420.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 233.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Lin Ming-Fa
NO. 79, Ping Hai 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0025

1. Name of Vessel KAO YA NO. 6 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V W O
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 44.6 m
5. Gross Tonnage 321.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 217.4 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.9
8. Owner's Name and Address KAO YA FISHERIES CO., LTD. President: Shou Eng-Dou
NO. 26, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0021

1. Name of Vessel MING KUO NO. 32 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y O W
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 43.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 423.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 351.4 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address MING KUO FISHERY CO., LTD. President: Cou Ming
NO. 26, Lin Hai 2nd Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0026

1. Name of Vessel HWA TUNG NO. 3 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y E H
3. Type of Vessel Longliner 4. Length 47.5 m
5. Gross Tonnage 391.1 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 211.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.1
8. Owner's Name and Address HWATUNG FISHERY CO., LTD.
NO. 65, Li Hsiung Street, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, datas, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0016

1. Name of Vessel SHIN CHIEN CHUNG NO. 361 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y B C - 1
3. Type of Vessel Pair Trawler 4. Length 36.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 322.6 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 177.7 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.3
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Chang Wen-Cheng
NO. 76, Yen Pin Street, Ku Shan, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Eviscerator

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0015

1. Name of Vessel CHIEN CHUAN NO. 1 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y C G
3. Type of Vessel Pair Trawler 4. Length 36.3 m
5. Gross Tonnage 351.1 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 165.9 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address HUNG SHIH FISHERY CO., LTD.
NO. 53-17, Ku San 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole		x	x	
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0017

1. Name of Vessel SHIN CHIEN CHUNG NO. 362 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y B C - 2
3. Type of Vessel Pair Trawler 4. Length 36.2 m
5. Gross Tonnage 322.6 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 177.7 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.3
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Chang Wen-Chang
NO. 76, Yen Pin Street, Ku Shan, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Eviscerator

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. TW-78-0018

1. Name of Vessel CHIEN CHUAN NO. 2 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y C O - 1
3. Type of Vessel Pair Trawler 4. Length 36.3 m
5. Gross Tonnage 351.1 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 165.9 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.0
8. Owner's Name and Address HUNG SHIH FISHERY CO., LTD.
NO. 53-17, Ku San 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole		x	x	
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IW-78-0050

1. Name of Vessel CHI LUNG NO. 1 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y M S
3. Type of Vessel Pair Trawler 4. Length 36.6 m
5. Gross Tonnage 351.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 206.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.0
8. Owner's Name and Address CHI LUNG OCEAN ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.
NO. 53-17, Ku San 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole		x	x	
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IW-78-0052

1. Name of Vessel CHIEN CHUNG NO. 122 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B V A R
3. Type of Vessel Longliner / Pot 4. Length 33.0 m
5. Gross Tonnage 261.2 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 177.5 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11.5
8. Owner's Name and Address Owner: Chang Ying-Ho
NO. 39-1, Yen Pin Street, Nu Shan, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer, Sviscerator

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
S B L	Sablefish	Bottom Longline	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. IW-78-0051

1. Name of Vessel CHI LUNG NO. 2 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) B Y M S - 1
3. Type of Vessel Pair Trawler 4. Length 36.6 m
5. Gross Tonnage 351.3 (M/T) 6. Net Tonnage 206.1 (M/T) 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12.0
8. Owner's Name and Address CHI LUNG OCEAN ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.
NO. 53-17, Ku San 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R. O. C.
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash Freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
B S A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	
	Yellowfin Sole		x	x	
G O A	Pollock	Bottom Trawl	x	x	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

APPLICATION FOR VESSEL PERMITS TO FISH WITHIN THE FISHERY CONSERVATION ZONE OF THE UNITED STATES

No. _____

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of SPAIN hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishery plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the SPANISH flag are as follows:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Total Tonnage Requested For Each Species (MT)
January to March, 1978		
ATLANTIC SQUID	Long finned squid	4575 M.T.
OTHER FINFISH - ATLANTIC	Other finfish	2000 M.T.

Submitted: October 5, 1977
Date

Signature of Authorized Official
DEPUTY DIRECTOR GENERAL OF INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES RELATIONS
Title

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0005

1. Name of Vessel PEIXE DO MAR 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.V.E.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 39
5. Gross Tonnage 293 6. Net Tonnage 135 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 10
8. Owner's Name and Address PEIXE DO MAR, S.A. Alameda S. Llanos, 11 BOUZAS-VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0022

1. Name of Vessel XEITOSIÑO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.Z.F.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 47
5. Gross Tonnage 440 6. Net Tonnage 208 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address PESCABOA, S.A. Ribera, 35.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0006

1. Name of Vessel RIBERA DEL ORBIGO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.D.J.U.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 35
5. Gross Tonnage 246 6. Net Tonnage 86 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 9
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERA DE BERGANTIÑOS, S.L. Concepción Arenal, 2.- LA CORUÑA
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0023

1. Name of Vessel PUENTE MIÑOR 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.F.F.Y.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 39
5. Gross Tonnage 114 6. Net Tonnage 120 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address JOSE PEREIRA ALVAREZ.- Puerto Pesquero. Edificio Vendedores. Puesto 11.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0029

1. Name of Vessel MONTE FURADO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.W.G.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 44
5. Gross Tonnage 513 6. Net Tonnage 257 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERIAS MOLARES, S.A. Apartado 587.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0042

1. Name of Vessel ALTAMAR 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.E.G.K.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 57 m.
5. Gross Tonnage 644 6. Net Tonnage 306 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address ALTAMAR, S.A. García Barbón, 6.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0039

1. Name of Vessel VIXIADOR 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.B.R.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 37 m.
5. Gross Tonnage 319 6. Net Tonnage 105 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address FERNANDO OYA PEREZ. San Francisco, 29.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0043

1. Name of Vessel NUSKA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.D.Z.J.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 49
5. Gross Tonnage 747 6. Net Tonnage 382 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 14
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERA ALBRI, S.A. Avda. Orillamar, 35.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0046

1. Name of Vessel NOGUEROSA
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.E.Q.S.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler
4. Length 53
5. Gross Tonnage 749
6. Net Tonnage 346
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address PESCANOVA, S.A. Apartado 424.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0052

1. Name of Vessel PUENTE TORALLA
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.N.H.
3. Type of Vessel Stern Trawler
4. Length 38
5. Gross Tonnage 287
6. Net Tonnage 98
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERA SAN GREGORIO, S.L. Avda. de Orillamar, 303.- BOUZAS-VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0050

1. Name of Vessel NUEVO MUNDO
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.F.H.O.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler
4. Length 52 m.
5. Gross Tonnage 667
6. Net Tonnage 423
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address S.A. PESQUERA INDUSTRIAL GALLEGA Avda. de Las Camelias, 58.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0084

1. Name of Vessel VIEIRASA II
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.D.R.T.
3. Type of Vessel Side Trawler
4. Length 36
5. Gross Tonnage 249
6. Net Tonnage 133
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 15
8. Owner's Name and Address S.A. EDUARDO VIEIRA.- Avda. Orillamar, 1.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer.
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-006

1. Name of Vessel MAPOSA VI
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.Y.N.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler
4. Length 35
5. Gross Tonnage 450
6. Net Tonnage 150
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address MARITIMA POLUX, S.A. Glorieta Norte, S/n HUELVA
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long Finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0091

1. Name of Vessel CHIQUITA
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.V.I.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler
4. Length 36
5. Gross Tonnage 240
6. Net Tonnage 120
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address ANGEL MURIEL SANTANA.- Zona Dique.- HUELVA
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0090

1. Name of Vessel ANCORA D'OURO
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.H.C.W
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler
4. Length 42
5. Gross Tonnage 358
6. Net Tonnage 119
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address FARPESPAN, S.L. San Cibrán, 29.- ALDAN-CANGAS (Pontevedra)
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0092

1. Name of Vessel CIUDAD DE CRISTAL
2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.I.Z.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler
4. Length 48 m.
5. Gross Tonnage 515
6. Net Tonnage 240
7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address SALVADOR CORREA MEIRAMA.- Departamento A-9 Muelle Pesquero.- LA CORUÑA
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-ginned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-7B-0093

1. Name of Vessel FARPESCA IV 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.J.V.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 44
5. Gross Tonnage 471 6. Net Tonnage 235 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address FARPESCA, S.A. Puerto Pesquero. Departamento Vendadores, 8.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-7B-0095

1. Name of Vessel LA FLOR DE ABRIL 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.A.I.U.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 33
5. Gross Tonnage 254 6. Net Tonnage 89 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address JOSE ANTONIO JUAN IRLES.- Pza. Generalísimo, 5 SANTA POLA (Alicante)
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-7B-0094

1. Name of Vessel JOSE PUERTA PRADO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.F.Y.A.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 34
5. Gross Tonnage 297 6. Net Tonnage 125 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERA JOSE PUERTA OVIEDO Avda. Orillamar, 2ª Travesía A-7.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long-finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-7B-0096

1. Name of Vessel MAR DE ALTEA I 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.H.D.E.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 38
5. Gross Tonnage 276 6. Net Tonnage 160 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address JOSE BALDO SUCH.- Gordillo, 9.- LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA.
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL -	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0097

1. Name of Vessel MASSO 34 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.D.D.Y.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 39
5. Gross Tonnage 302 6. Net Tonnage 98 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address MAR, S.A. García Barbón, 6.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0099

1. Name of Vessel PLAYA DE LOURIDO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.R.R.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 29
5. Gross Tonnage 208 6. Net Tonnage 90 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address GARCIA Y VIDAL ARMADORES, S.A. Marqués de Valterra, 1.- ESTRIBELA (Pontevedra)
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0098

1. Name of Vessel PEGAGO II 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.M.H.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 40
5. Gross Tonnage 446 6. Net Tonnage 200 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERAS GABRIEL GONZALEZ, S.A. Glorieta Norte, s/n.- HUELVA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0100

1. Name of Vessel PUENTE DE LA RAMALLOSA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.E.O.L.
3. Type of Vessel side trawler 4. Length 39
5. Gross Tonnage 292 6. Net Tonnage 100 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address M. Y R. DOMINGUEZ MACAYA.- Marqués de Valladares, 29.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Processing	Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0101

1. Name of Vessel TEUCRO 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.X.C.
3. Type of Vessel Stern trawler 4. Length 45
5. Gross Tonnage 489 6. Net Tonnage 220 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address GARCIA Y VIDAL, ARMADORES.- Marqués de Valterra, 1.- ESTRIBELA (Pontevedra)
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0103

1. Name of Vessel VIEIRASA IV 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.E.X.K.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 44
5. Gross Tonnage 440 6. Net Tonnage 169 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 11
8. Owner's Name and Address S.A. EDUARDO VIEIRA.- Avda. Orillamar, 1.- VIGO
9. Types of Processing Equipment Flash freezer
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	Bottom trawl	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0102

1. Name of Vessel VALLE DE ASUA 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.E.U.W.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 44
5. Gross Tonnage 365 6. Net Tonnage 182 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address PESQUERA GALAICO GADITANA, S.A. Muelle de Levante, caseta 413.- CADIZ
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. SP-78-0104

1. Name of Vessel XARABAL 2. Visual Identifier (Call Sign) E.G.G.H.
3. Type of Vessel Side trawler 4. Length 35
5. Gross Tonnage 272 6. Net Tonnage 90 7. Maximum Speed (knots) 12
8. Owner's Name and Address JUAN BAUTISTA NEIRA POMBO.-Muelle Linares Rivas, 17.- LA CORUÑA
9. Types of Processing Equipment FLASH FREEZER
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

Fishery Plans	Target Species	Gear To Be Used	Activity: Catching	Activity: Processing	Activity: Other Support
SQU	Long finned squid	BOTTOM TRAWL	X	X	
FNF	Other finfish	Bottom Trawl	X	X	

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

[FR Doc.77-31952 Filed 11-3-77;8:45 am]

dial·a·reg



For an advance "look" at the FEDERAL REGISTER, try our new information service. A recording will give you selections from our highlights listing of documents to be published in the next day's issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

AREA CODE 202

523·5022