AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

federal register

FRIDAY, JANUARY 10, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 7

Pages 2173–2409

PART I

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

SLEEPER BERTHS—DOT/FHA proposes dual occupancy of berths in interstate commercial vehicles; comments by 2–18–75 2208

FOOD STAMPS—USDA/FNS revises regulations governing student eligibility; effective 1–10–75..... 2204

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS—Commerce/DIBA announces new method for licensing exports; effective 1–3–75.... 2174

ENERGY INFORMATION—FEA proposes collection procedures; comments by 1–27–75..... 2212

DEPLETED URANIUM—AEC proposes general license for use in industrial products and devices; comments by 2–24–75 2209

(Continued inside)

PART II:

RUBBER PROCESSING—
EPA rule on effluent guidelines and standards; effective 1–10–75..... 2334
EPA proposes pretreatment standards for existing sources; comments by 2–10–75..... 2347

PART III:

PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS—EPA proposes standards for new sources in performance and pretreatment; comments by 2–10–75..... 2351

PART IV:

EMERGENCY EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING—Labor issues program guidelines and standards; effective 1–10–75..... 2359

PART V:

MINIMUM WAGES—Labor/ESA determination for Federal and Federally assisted construction..... 2371

January 10, 1975—Pages 2173–2409

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

	page no. and date
AEC—Rules of practice; denial of application procedures.....	43195; 1–10–75

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5282. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

MEETINGS—
DOT/FAA: Advisory Committee on Visual Aids to approach and landing, 1–30–75 2248
Commerce/DIBA: Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee, 2–11–75 2243
Management-Labor Textile Advisory Committee, 2–12–75 2244
NOAA: New York Bight Mesa Advisory Committee, 2–11–75 2246
FCC: Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics Special Committee, 2–4 and 2–5–75 2259
USDA/AMS: Flue-cured Tobacco Advisory Committee, 1–31–75 2243
National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities: Music Advisory Panel, 1–24 and 1–25–75 2288
Administrative Conference of the United States: Agency Organization and Personnel Committee, 1–16–75 2248

CORRECTED MEETING—
HEW/Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration: Minority Mental Health Programs Review Committee, 1–9 and 1–10–75 2247

contents

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Notices

Meeting:
Agency Organization and Personnel, Committee on 2248

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules

Limitation of handling:
Grapefruit grown in Fla. (2 documents) 2206
Lemons grown in Calif. and Ariz 2205

Proposed Rules

Grain standards:
Effective date; correction 2208

Notices

Meeting:
Flue-Cured Tobacco Advisory Committee 2243

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Food and Nutrition Service.

AIR FORCE DEPARTMENT

Notices

Establishment, organization and functions:
Advanced Logistics System Project Advisory Committee 2220
Air Force Academy Board of Visitors 2220
Air Force Historical Program Advisory Committee 2219
Air Force ROTC Advisory Panel 2220
Air University Board of Visitors 2221
Army and Air Force Exchange Service Civilian Advisory Committee 2221
Community College of the Air Force (CCAF) Advisory Committee 2222
Headquarters, Air Force Logistics Command Advisory Board 2222
Military Airlift Committee of the National Defense Transportation Association 2223
Scientific Advisory Board 2223

ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meeting:
Minority Mental Health Programs Review Committee; correction 2247

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

Rules

Quarantine areas:
Brucellosis; deletions from list 2173

ARMY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Establishment, organization and function:
Armament Advisory Group 2223
Armed Forces Epidemiological Board 2224
Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board 2226
Club Management Agency Advisory Committee 2227
Coastal Engineering Research board (CERB) 2227
Historical Advisory Committee 2228
Judge Advocate General's School Board of Visitors 2226
Junior Science and Humanities Symposia Advisory Committee 2228
Medical Research and Development Advisory Panel 2229
Military Academy Board of Visitors, U.S. 2226
Military History Research Collection Advisory Committee 2229
Promotion of Rifle Practice, National Board for 2229
ROTC Affairs, Army Advisory Panel on 2225
Scientific Advisory Committee of the Ballistic Research Laboratories 2230
Scientific Advisory Group for Aviation Systems, U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command 2230
Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Missile Command 2231
Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command (TACOM) 2231
Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Troop Support Command 2231
Scientific Advisory Panel 2225
Winter Navigation Board 2232

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Proposed Rules

Depleted uranium; general license for use 2209

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Carolina Power & Light Co 2248
New York State Electric & Gas Corp 2248
Public Service Electric & Gas Co 2249
Puget Sound Power & Light Co. et al 2249
Regulatory guides; issuance and availability 2249

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
Allegheny Airlines, Inc 2250
Braniff Airways, Inc. et al 2251
International Air Transport Association 2254
Los Angeles Airways, Inc 2255
Saturn Airways, Inc 2251

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Rules

Excepted service:
Securities and Exchange Commission 2173
Veterans Administration 2173

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Domestic and International Business Administration; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Proposed Rules

Bicycle banning and safety regulations, correction (2 documents) 2211, 2212

DEFENSE CIVIL PREPAREDNESS AGENCY

Notices

Establishment, organization and functions:
Design and Construction of Shelters, Advisory Committee on 2216

DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY

Notices

Establishment, organization and functions:
Scientific Advisory Group 2217

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See also Air Force Department; Army Department; Defense Civil Preparedness Agency; Defense Communications Agency; Defense Intelligence Agency; Defense Nuclear Agency; Navy Department.

Proposed Rules

Freedom of information; schedule of fees 2208

Notices

Establishment, organization and functions:
Defense Systems Management School Board of Visitors 2239
Educational Programs, Advisory Panel on 2237
Electron Devices, Advisory Group on 2237
Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve, National Committee for 2241
Industrial College of the Armed Forces Board of Advisors 2239
Joint Strategic Target Planning Staff Scientific Advisory Group (JSTPS SAG) 2240
National War College Board of Consultants 2237
Science Board 2238
Secretary of Defense Natural Resources Conservation Award Selection Committee 2240
U.S. Defense/Industry Advisory Group in Europe 2240
Wage Committee 2239
Woman in the Services, Advisory Committee on 2237

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Notices

Establishment, organization, and functions:
Defense Intelligence School Board of Visitors 2217
Scientific Advisory Committee 2218

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY

Notices

Establishment, organization, and functions:
Advanced Missile Materials Research (AMMRES) Technical Advisory Group 2218
Scientific Advisory Group on Effects (SAGE) 2219
Stemming and Closure Panel (SACPAN) 2219

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Petroleum products licensing exports 2174

Notices

Meetings:
Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee 2243
Management-Labor Textile Advisory Committee 2244
Scientific articles; duty-free entry:
Massachusetts Institute of Technology et al 2244

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS SECURITY OFFICE

Rules

Bonding requirements; temporary regulations 2203

EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Minimum wages for Federal and Federally assisted construction 2371

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules

Pesticide chemicals, tolerances, etc.:
DDt 2179
Water pollution, effluent guidelines for certain point source categories, etc.:
Rubber processing 2334

Proposed Rules

Air quality implementation plans:
Iowa 2212
Pennsylvania 2212
Water pollution; effluent guidelines for certain point source categories, etc.:
Paving and roofing materials production 2351
Rubber processing 2347

Notices

Advisory Committees renewal; certification:
Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee et al 2256
Food additives:
Fisons Corp 2256
Pesticide registration; applications 2256

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Noise standards:
Propeller driven small airplanes; correction 2173

Notices

Meeting:
Visual Aids to Advisory Committee on Approach and Landing 2248

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notices

Annual Programing Report; composite week dates for 1975 2259
Canadian broadcast stations; notification list (two documents) 2258
Common carrier services information; domestic public radio services applications 2259
Meeting:
Aeronautics Radio Technical Commission for 2259

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Proposed Rules

Interest on deposits; pending reconsideration; correction 2212

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974; information collection 2212

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Design standards for Federal-aid highways; change in guide 2179
Right-of-way and environment:
Federal land transfers and direct Federal acquisition 2179

Proposed Rules

Motor carrier safety regulations:
Dual occupancy in sleeper berths 2208

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

Rules

National Flood Insurance Program:
Areas eligible for sale of insurance (5 documents) 2180, 2181
Special hazard areas; correction (13 documents 2182–2203

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND FEDERAL SERVICE IMPASSES PANEL

Proposed Rules

Information; availability of:
Uniform schedule of fees 2214

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Agreement filed:
Florida/Bahamas Rate Agreement 2261
Iberian/U.S. North Atlantic Westbound Freight Conference 2261
Trans-Pacific Passenger Conference and International Passenger Ship Association 2261
Freight forwarder license:
Haniel International, Inc. and Phoenix Shipping Co., Inc 2261

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Natural gas:
Certificates, abandonment of service and petitions to amend certificates; applications 2277
Jurisdictional sales of; proposed rate changes etc.; hearings and suspension (6 documents) 2279–2285
Sales from wells commenced on or after January 1, 1973; just and reasonable rates 2267
Renewal order:
National Gas Survey Executive Advisory Committee 2269
National Gas Survey Technical Advisory Committees 2268
Hearings, etc.:
Alabama - Tennessee Natural Gas Co 2262
Algonquin Gas Transmission Co. (2 documents) 2262
Boston Edison Co. (2 documents) 2262
Central Illinois Public Service Co 2262

Cities Service Oil Co. 2263
Consolidated Edison Co. of New York, Inc. 2264
Distragas Co. et al. 2264
East Tennessee Natural Gas Co. (4 documents) 2265
Florida Gas Transmission Co. et al. 2266
Grand River Dam Authority 2266
Gulf States Utilities Co. 2266
Indiana & Michigan Electric Co. 2266
Interstate Power Co. 2267
Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc. 2267
Kentucky West Virginia Gas Co 2264
Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Co. 2268
Montaup Electric Co. 2268
New Bedford Gas & Edison Light Co 2272
Northern States Power Co. 2271
Pacific Power & Light Co. 2272
South Georgia Natural Gas Co. 2272
Southern Natural Gas Co. (4 documents) 2272–2273
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. 2273
Texas Eastern Transmission Co 2274
Texas Gas Transmission Co (2 documents) 2272, 2274
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Co. (3 documents) 2275, 2276
Upper Peninsula Power Co. 2276
Werner, Clarence F. 2264
West Texas Utilities Co. 2276

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Bankshares of Indiana, Inc. 2285
Goose River Holding Co. 2286
Roseland State Co. 2286
Union Credit Corp. 2287
United Michigan Corp. 2288

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Notices

Endangered species permit; application 2241

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Food additives; petitions:
Borg-Warner Corp. 2247
General Mills Chemical, Inc. 2247
Meetings:
Advisory Committees; correction 2247

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

Rules

Food stamp program; student eligibility 2204

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Authority delegations:
Secretary of Defense (2 documents) 2288

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration; Food and Drug Administration.

Proposed Rules

General Education Provisions Act; privacy rights of parents and students; correction 2208

Notices

Exclusive patent license:
Martin, John E. and Jackson, Richard L., Jr. 2248

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See Federal Insurance Administration.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Notices

Freight rates and charges:
Kansas intrastate 2294
Hearing assignments (2 documents) 2294
Motor carriers:
Lease and interchange of vehicles 2296
Temporary authority applications 2297
Transfer proceedings 2296

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Employee Benefits Security Office; Employment Standards Administration.

Rules

Employment programs:
Emergency guidelines and standards 2359

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Clearance of reports; list of requests 2288

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Meeting:
Music Advisory Panel 2288

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meeting:
New York Bight Mesa Advisory Committee 2246

NAVY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Establishment, organization and functions:
Academic Advisory Board to Superintendent, United States Naval Academy 2232
Board of Advisors to President, Naval War College 2232
Board of Advisors to Superintendent, Naval Postgraduate School 2232
Board of Visitors to United States Naval Academy 2233
Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee 2233
Chief of Naval Personnel Civilian Board 2233
CNO Industry Advisory Committee for Telecommunications (CIACT) 2233
Commandant's Advisory Committee on Marine Corps History 2234
Naval Research Advisory Committee 2234
Navy Resale System Advisory Committee 2235
Professional Education Advisory Committee 2235
Secretary of Navy Oceanographic Advisory Committee 2236
Secretary of Navy's Advisory Committee on Naval History 2236

PANAMA CANAL

Rules

Canal Zone Postal Service; change in rates 2204

POSTAL SERVICE

Rules

Notification responsibility placed in Sectional centers 2179

RENEGOTIATION BOARD

Notices

Interest rates; excessive profits and refunds 2292

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Rules

Alternative net capital concept; extension of comment period 2215

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
American Agronomics Corp. 2289
BBI, Inc. 2289
Burmah Oil Co. Ltd. 2289
Connecticut Light & Power Co. 2289
Equity Funding Corp. of America 2290
Indiana & Michigan Electric Co. 2290
Industries International, Inc. 2291
Nicoa Corp. 2291
Pan American World Airways, Inc. 2291
Westgate California Corp. 2292
Zenith Development Corp. 2292

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See also Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Authority delegation:
Supervision of Bureaus and Offices 2216

UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION

Notices

Interim abandonment; proposed:
Penn Central Transportation Co. (3 documents) 2292, 2293

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR

213 (2 documents) 2173

PROPOSED RULES:

2401 2214

7 CFR

271 2204
910 2205
912 2206
913 2206

PROPOSED RULES:

26 2208

9 CFR

78 2173

10 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

40 2209
207 2212

12 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

329 2212

14 CFR

21 2173
36 2173

15 CFR

377 2174

16 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

1500 (2 documents) 2211, 2212
1512 (2 documents) 2211, 2212

17 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

240 2215

23 CFR

625 2179
712 2179

24 CFR

1914 (3 documents) 2180, 2181
1915 (15 documents) 2182–2203

29 CFR

99 2360
2555 2303

32 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

286 2208

35 CFR

67 2204

39 CFR

281 2179

40 CFR

180 2179
428 2334

PROPOSED RULES:

52 (2 documents) 2212
428 2347
443 2352

45 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

99 2208

49 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

395 2208

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during January.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

4339 ... 749

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

6073 (Revoked in part by EO 11825) ... 1003
6260 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
6359 (Revoked in part by EO 11825) ... 1003
6556 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
6560 (See EO 11825) ... 1003
10289 (Revoked in part by EO 11825) ... 1003
10896 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
10905 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
11037 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
11126 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11145 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11183 (Commission continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11287 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11342 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11415 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11472 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11562 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11583 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11625 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11667 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11753 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11756 (See EO 11824) ... 751
11776 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11807 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11824 ... 751
11825 ... 1003
11826 ... 1004
11827 ... 1217
11828 ... 1219
11829 ... 1497

PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

Memorandum of December 30, 1974 ... 1221
Message to Congress ... 1637

5 CFR

213 ... 1499, 1681, 2173
352 ... 1223

PROPOSED RULES:

2401 ... 2214

7 CFR

180 ... 1026
220 ... 1499
270 ... 1882
271 ... 1884, 2204
272 ... 1894
273 ... 1897
274 ... 1899
301 ... 1223
401 ... 1701, 1703
722 ... 1704
730 ... 1027
874 ... 1028
907 ... 753, 1228, 1704
910 ... 753, 1228, 2205
912 ... 2206
913 ... 2206
916 ... 1499
971 ... 1028
1421 ... 1029
1474 ... 1705
1822 ... 1229

PROPOSED RULES:

20 ... 1711
26 ... 2208
55 ... 1706
56 ... 1706
59 ... 1706
70 ... 1706
916 ... 1515
917 ... 1516
928 ... 787
989 ... 787, 788
1121 ... 7
1126 ... 7
1127 ... 7
1128 ... 7
1129 ... 7
1130 ... 7
1872 ... 1253

9 CFR

73 ... 757
78 ... 2173
97 ... 757
113 ... 757
445 ... 1500
447 ... 1500

PROPOSED RULES:

112 ... 788
113 ... 788
114 ... 788

10 CFR

1 ... 1230

PROPOSED RULES:

19 ... 799
20 ... 799
40 ... 2209
51 ... 1005
207 ... 2212

12 CFR

226 ... 1681
265 ... 1505

PROPOSED RULES:

226 ... 1717
329 ... 2212
523 ... 1277
524 ... 1277
525 ... 1277
526 ... 1277
532 ... 1277
545 ... 1076, 1278
556 ... 1278

12 CFR—Con.

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

561 ... 1076
563 ... 1076
571 ... 1279
588 ... 1279

13 CFR

107 ... 1230, 1231
120 ... 1682
301 ... 1029

14 CFR

21 ... 1029, 2173
36 ... 1029, 2173
39 ... 1, 2, 1036, 1037, 1232, 1682
71 ... 299, 1038, 1507, 1508, 1682
73 ... 299, 1038
75 ... 299
97 ... 1232
121 ... 1039
239 ... 1039
288 ... 1040
372a ... 1233

PROPOSED RULES:

21 ... 1061
36 ... 1061
39 ... 1711
71 ... 1059–1061, 1518
73 ... 1518
91 ... 1072

15 CFR

377 ... 1041, 2174
399 ... 1041
923 ... 1683

16 CFR

2 ... 760
3 ... 761
4 ... 761
13 ... 761
1500 ... 1480

PROPOSED RULES:

1500 ... 1480, 1488, 1491, 2211, 2212
1512 ... 1493, 2211, 2212

17 CFR

200 ... 1009
210 ... 1012
231 ... 1695
240 ... 1012
241 ... 1695
249 ... 1013

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 789
210 ... 1078, 1079
240 ... 1079, 1520, 1719, 2215
249 ... 1079

18 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 1077
3 ... 1077

19 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 5

20 CFR

404 ... 1233
405 ... 1022
416 ... 1508
614 ... 3

PROPOSED RULES:

405 ... 797, 1057
730 ... 791

21 CFR

135 ... 1013
135c ... 1013, 1014
135e ... 1013
450 ... 1512
1308 ... 1236

PROPOSED RULES:

940 ... 8
1304 ... 787
1308 ... 787

22 CFR

51 ... 1512

PROPOSED RULES:

42 ... 1515

23 CFR

625 ... 2179
712 ... 2179

24 CFR

58 ... 1392
205 ... 3
1914 ... 766, 767, 2180, 2181
1915 ... 767, 776, 2182–2203

PROPOSED RULES:

1280 ... 1902

25 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

221 ... 787

26 CFR

1 ... 1014, 1236, 1238, 1697
3 ... 1237
11 ... 1016
20 ... 1240
25 ... 1240

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 1044, 1250
31 ... 1251
301 ... 1044

27 CFR

4 ... 1240

29 CFR

99 ... 2360
512 ... 4
1952 ... 1512
2555 ... 2203

PROPOSED RULES:

1910 ... 797
1952 ... 1082

31 CFR

316 ... 754

PROPOSED RULES:

223 ... 786

32 CFR

737 ... 1402
1459 ... 1240
1470 ... 1240

PROPOSED RULES:

286 ... 2208

33 CFR

110 ... 1016
127 ... 1016

PROPOSED RULES:

263 ... 1612
380 ... 1619
384 ... 1620

35 CFR

67 ... 2204

36 CFR

7 ... 762

38 CFR

3 ... 1241
36 ... 1513

39 CFR

281 ... 2179

40 CFR

120 ... 1041
180 ... 1042, 1043, 1241, 2179
406 ... 915
427 ... 1874
428 ... 2334
432 ... 902

PROPOSED RULES:

52 ... 1711, 2212
180 ... 1276, 1519
406 ... 921
415 ... 1712
427 ... 1879
428 ... 2347
432 ... 912
443 ... 2352

42 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

23 ... 1204
72 ... 8

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

5462 ... 1017

45 CFR

75 ... 1242
141 ... 1017

PROPOSED RULES:

63 ... 1516
99 ... 1208, 2208
103 ... 8
189 ... 1053

46 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

538 ... 1280

47 CFR

2 ... 1243
73 ... 1700
91 ... 1021
95 ... 1243

PROPOSED RULES:

21 ... 800
73 ... 801, 1714, 1716

49 CFR

571 ... 4, 1246, 1248
1033 ... 1700
1064 ... 1248
1125 ... 1624

PROPOSED RULES:

213 ... 1076
395 ... 2208
571 ... 10
575 ... 1273
581 ... 10
1001 ... 1718
1124 ... 801

50 CFR

28 ... 762, 763, 1701
33 ... 764, 1701
216 ... 764

PROPOSED RULES:

17 ... 5

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JANUARY

Pages	*Date*
1–747	Jan. 2
749–1002	3
1003–1216	6
1217–1495	7
1497–1679	8
1681–2172	9
2173–2409	10

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 5—Administrative Personnel

CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Securities and Exchange Commission

Section 213.3130(c) is amended to show the increase from two to three SEC Professional Accounting Fellows.

Effective on January 10, 1975, § 213.3130(c) is amended to read as set out below.

§ 213.3130 Securities and Exchange Commission.

* * * * *

(c) Positions of accountant and auditor, GS–13 through 15, when filled by persons selected under the SEC accounting fellow program. No more than three positions may be filled under this authority at any one time. An employee may not serve under this authority longer than two years.

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-927 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Veterans Administration

Section 213.3327 is amended to reflect the following title change: from Confidential Assistant to the Chief Benefits Director to Confidential Assistant to the Deputy Administrator.

Effective on January 10, 1975, § 213.3327(a)(10) is amended and § 213.3327(b)(2) is revoked as set out below.

§ 213.3327 Veterans Administration.

(a) *Office of the Administrator.* * * *

(10) Six Confidential Assistants to the Deputy Administrator.

(b) *Department of Veterans Benefits.* * * *

(2) [Revoked]

* * * * *

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-926 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 9—Animals and Animal Products

CHAPTER I—ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER C—INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF ANIMALS (INCLUDING POULTRY) AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

PART 78—BRUCELLOSIS

Subpart D—Designation of Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas, Specifically Approved Stockyards, and Slaughtering Establishments

MODIFIED CERTIFIED BRUCELLOSIS AREAS

This amendment deletes the following areas from the list of areas designated as Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas in 9 CFR 78.13 because it has been determined that these areas no longer come within the definition of §78.1(i): Canadian and Okfuskee Counties in Oklahoma; and Bastrop, Dallas, Falls, Hood, Houston, Jefferson, Jim Wells, Live Oak, McLennan, Nacogdoches, Orange, Smith, Titus, and Travis Counties in Texas.

The following counties were deleted from the list of Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas in 9 CFR 78.13 on the specified dates: Lafayette Parish in Louisiana; Garvin and McClain Counties in Oklahoma; and Erath, Stephens, Stonewall, Wilbarger, and Young Counties in Texas on December 4, 1974; and Pushmataha County in Oklahoma; and Hunt County in Texas on October 29, 1974. Since said dates, it has been determined that these counties again come within the definition of § 78.1(i); and, therefore they have been redesignated as Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas.

Accordingly, § 78.13 of said regulations designating Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas is hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 78.13 Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas.

(a) All States of the United States are hereby designated as Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas except Oklahoma and Texas.

(b) The following States are hereby designated as Modified Certified Brucellosis Areas except for the counties named:

(1) Oklahoma except Adair, Canadian, Dewey, Haskell, Latimer, Le Flore, Okfuskee, Pottawatomie, and Seminole Counties.

(2) Texas except Atascosa, Bastrop, Dallas, Ellis, Falls, Freestone, Hood, Houston, Jefferson, Jim Wells, Kaufman, Limestone, Live Oak, McLennan, Nacogdoches, Navarro, Orange, Smith, Titus, and Travis Counties.

(Secs. 4–7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 1 and 2, 32 Stat. 791–792, as amended; sec. 3, 33 Stat. 1265, as amended; sec. 2, 65 Stat. 693; and secs. 3 and 11, 76 Stat. 130, 132; 21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114a–1, 115, 117, 120, 121, 125, 134b, 134f; 37 F.R. 28464, 28477, 38 F.R. 19141, 9 CFR 78.16)

Effective Date. The foregoing amendment shall become effective January 10, 1975.

The amendment imposes certain restrictions necessary to prevent the spread of brucellosis in cattle and relieves certain restrictions presently imposed. It should be made effective promptly in order to accomplish its purpose in the public interest and to be of maximum benefit to persons subject to the restrictions which are relieved. It does not appear that public participation in this rulemaking proceeding would make additional relevant information available to the Department.

Accordingly, under the administrative procedure provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making it effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of January 1975.

PIERRE A. CHALOUX,
Acting Deputy Administrator, Veterinary Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc.75-942 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 13243; Amdts. 21-42; 36-4]

PART 21—CERTIFICATION PROCEDURES FOR PRODUCTS AND PARTS

PART 36—NOISE STANDARDS; AIRCRAFT TYPE AND AIRWORTHINESS CERTIFICATION

Noise Standards for Propeller Driven Small Airplanes

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-30537 appearing at page 1029, in the issue of Monday, January 6, 1975, on page 1034, third column, the text following § 36.7(b)(3) reading,

"4. A new Subpart F is added to read as amended to read as follows:

Subpart B—Subsonic Transport Category Large Airplanes and Turbojet Powered Airplanes

4. A new subpart is added to read as follows:

Subpart F—Propeller Driven Small Airplanes"

should read:

"3. The heading of Subpart B is amended to read as follows:

Subpart B—Subsonic Transport Category Large Airpanes and Turbojet Powered Airplanes

4. A new Subpart F is added to read as follows:

Subpart F—Propeller Driven Small Airplanes"

Title 15—Commerce and Foreign Trade

CHAPTER III—DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PART 377—SHORT SUPPLY CONTROLS

Method of Licensing Exports of Petroleum Products in First Quarter 1975

The licensing system used in 1974 for the export of petroleum products other than crude oil is generally continued on the same basis for the first quarter 1975, except that exports of carbon black feedstock oils (Group H) will no longer be limited by quotas. The country quotas for each Petroleum Commodity Group that will be available in the first quarter are announced in Supplement No. 2 to Part 377 of the Export Administration Regulations and contained herein. As previously, 5 percent of the overall quota for each Commodity Group will be reserved for contingencies. Announced quotas represent 95 percent of the overall authorized exports.

The base period for determining exporters' shares of the country quotas for the export of products in Petroleum Commodity Group I (butane, propane, and natural gas liquids) is changed to the period January 1, 1973–March 31, 1973 in order to conform to the same base period pattern as used in the mandatory allocation program of the Federal Energy Administration.

Carbon-black feedstock oil will not be subject to quota limitations in the first quarter 1975, but will be subject to validated export licensing. Consignees of carbon-black feedstock oils must be established users of this product as a petrochemical feedstock in the manufacture of carbon-black. Exports of residual fuel oil will continue to be limited to quota entitlement levels established for this product with no substitutability authorized between residual fuel oil and carbon-black feedstock oils. Exporters of carbon-black feedstock oils are required to present the following documentation in addition to that required by § 377.6(e)(1) and (2):

(a) An affidavit indicating the name, location, and estimated capacity (in millions of lbs. per year) of the carbon-black plant; and

(b) An independent inspector's certificate of analysis of the product to be exported.

All licenses issued during the first quarter of 1975 will expire 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter. Requests for extension of the validity period of such licenses will normally not be entertained.

The policy on the export of crude oil will remain the same as announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER for May 1, 1974.

Accordingly, the Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR Part 377) are revised as follows:

1. By revising §§ 377.6 (c), (d)(2), and (e)(2) to eliminate all references to "Group H" and to add new §§ 377.6(d)(3) and 377.6(e)(4) to read as follows:

§ 377.6 Petroleum and petroleum products.

* * * * *

(d) *Issuance of export licenses.* * * *

(3) *Group H.* Applications for validated licenses to export a commodity from Petroleum Commodity Group H as established in Supplement No. 2 will be considered without regard to quota limitation if the applications call for shipment to established users of the product as a petrochemical feedstock in the manufacture of carbon black. Applications must be accompanied by the supporting documentation required by paragraph (e)(4) of this section by the date specified in Supplement No. 2.

* * * * *

(e) *Documentation* * * *

(4) *Group H.* An application for a validated license to export a commodity from Petroleum Commodity Group H must be submitted with the same documentation required by subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, and by both of the following:

(i) An end use statement by the applicant in affidavit format, indicating the name, location, and estimated capacity (in millions of pounds per year) of the carbon-black plant.

(ii) An independent inspector's certificate of analysis of the product to be exported.

* * * * *

Supplement No. 2 to Part 377

PETROLEUM AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS SUBJECT TO SHORT SUPPLY LICENSING CONTROLS

Schedule B Number	Commodity Description
Petroleum Licensed Only In Accordance With §377.6(d)(1)	
	Group A
331.0100	Crude petroleum
331.0200	Petroleum partly refined for further refining
Petroleum Products Subject To Historical Quotas	
	Group B
332.1015	Aviation gasoline
	Group C
332.1030	Gasoline, n.e.c.
332.1050	Gasoline blending agents, hydrocarbon compounds only, n.e.c.
	Group D
332.2010	Kerosene, except kerosene-type jet fuel
	Group E
332.2020	Jet fuel
	Group F
332.3000	Distillate fuel oils
	Group G
332.4000	Residual fuel oils
	Group I
341.1025	Butane
341.1030	Propane
341.1040	Natural gas liquids, including LPG, n.e.c.
Petroleum Products Not Subject To Quotas	
	Group H
332.9160	Carbon black feedstock oil

QUANTITIES: Report the above commodities in barrels of 42 gallons

SHIPPING TOLERANCE: 10%

SUBMISSION DATES: Not prior to the beginning of the applicable quarter, and not later than:

	1st Quarter 1975
Historical exporters of petroleum commodities other than crude oils.	March 21, 1975
Hardships, crude oil exporters, and carbon black feedstock oil exporters. . .	at anytime

COUNTRY QUOTAS: FIRST QUARTER 1975

Country Quotas For *Group B*
(Schedule B No. 332.1015, Aviation Gasoline)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Bahamas	1,676
Belgium	78
Bolivia	2,761
Cameroon	65
Canada	3,313
Dahomey	58
French Pacific Islands	3,853
Gabon	115
Holland	18,940
Honduras	307
India	12,743
Ivory Coast	98
Mexico	10,509
Singapore	14,783
All Other Countries	165

Country Quotas For *Group C*
(Schedule B No. 332.1030, Gasoline, n.e.q.)
(Schedule B No. 332.1050, Gasoline blending agents, hydrocarbon compounds only, n.e.c.)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Australia	554
Austria	139
Bahamas	872
Belgium	3,929
Brazil	29,061
Canada	76,078
Denmark	76
Finland	162
France	635
French Pacific Islands	18,523
Holland	48,039
India	143
Iran	106
Italy	314
Japan	299
Leeward & Windward Islands	1,109
Mexico	149,791
Mozambique	66
Nigeria	143
Philippines	137
South Africa	556
Sweden	56
United Kingdom	3,111
Venezuela	165
West Germany	3,966
All Other Countries	513

Country Quotas For *Group D*
(Schedule B No. 332.2010, Kerosene, except kerosene-type jet fuel)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Australia	1,118
Brazil	150
Canada	1,667
Chile	122
Congo	56
Egypt	88
France	59
French Pacific Islands	3,046
Gabon	266
Holland	349
Israel	586
Italy	467
Japan	2,354
Mexico	72
Nigeria	740
Peru	71
Philippines	89
Singapore	442
South Africa	371
United Kingdom	9,291
Venezuela	454
West Germany	7,047
All Other Countries	252

Country Quotas For *Group E*
(Schedule B No. 332.2020, Jet Fuel)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Bahamas	31
Canada	42,797
Mexico	48,794

Country Quotas For *Group F*
(Schedule B No. 332.3000, Distillate fuel oils)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Bahamas	3,125
Canada	98,156
Colombia	36,385
Denmark	22,413
French Pacific Islands	10,086
Holland	58,895
Japan	11,636
Mexico	190,448
Netherlands Antilles	34,072
Peru	13,577
Surinam	327
All Other Countries	1,359

Country Quotas For *Group C*
(Schedule B No. 332.4000, Residual fuel oils)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Bahamas	110,780
Barbados	11,275
Belgium	12,865
Brazil	63,662
Canada	833,224
Canary Islands	14,162
Denmark	32,003
France	2,493
French Pacific Islands	16,967
Greece	19,798
Holland	50,106
Ireland	11,626
Italy	151,979
Jamaica	152,086
Japan	266,105
Leeward & Windward Islands	12,745
Mexico	643,500
Netherlands Antilles	92,078
Panama	74,793
Peru	30,821
Poland	2,070
Singapore	15,455
South Africa	21,432
Spain	36,283
Sweden	75,418
United Kingdom	227,631
All Other Countries	814

Country Quotas For Group I
(Schedule B No. 341.1025, Butane)
(Schedule B No. 341.1030, Propane)
(Schedule B No. 341.1040, Natural gas liquids)

Country	Quota (bbl)
Canada	29,374
Guatemala	2,355
Japan	380,809
Mexico	2,564,071
All Other Countries	8,163

BASE PERIOD: The base period for determining historical quota shares for Group I commodities in the fourth quarter 1974 is the period from January 1, 1973 to March 31, 1973.

Effective date of action: January 3, 1975.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director,
Office of Export Administration.

[FR Doc.75-720 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 23—Highways

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SUBCHAPTER G—ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

PART 625—DESIGN STANDARDS FOR FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

Specifications, Policies and Guides; Correction

FR Doc. 74-22671, published at page 35145 in the issue dated Monday, September 30, 1974, is amended by substituting the following guide under § 625.3(c)(11) to read as: "Standards Specifications for Transportation Materials and Methods of Sampling and Testing, AASHTO, 1974." This amendment is necessary as the previously cited guide has been superseded by the above-named guide.

Issued on: December 27, 1974.

NORBERT T. TIEMANN,
Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-924 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER H—RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ENVIRONMENT

PART 712—THE ACQUISITION FUNCTION

Federal Land Transfers and Direct Federal Acquisition

This will amend the regulations of the Federal Highway Administration by revising § 712.503(c) of 23 CFR Part 712, Subpart E. Subpart E, dealing with Federal Land Transfers and Direct Federal Acquisition, was published in the Federal Register on September 10, 1974 (39 FR 32604). Section 712.503(c) required the State Highway Department to prepare the deed to be executed by the United States when Federal lands were to be transferred to a State for highway purposes.

To enable FHWA, in its discretion, to relieve the State of this responsibility, § 712.503(c) is hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 712.503 Federal land transfers.

* * * * *

(c) Deeds for conveyance of lands or interests in lands owned by the United States shall be prepared by the SHD, unless the FHWA at its discretion chooses to prepare them. Such deeds shall contain the clauses required by the FHWA and 49 CFR 21.7(a)(2). When the SHD prepares the deed, it will submit the proposed deed to the FHWA for review and execution. Following execution, the grantee shall record the deed in the appropriate land record office and so advise the FHWA of the recording data.

* * * * *

This revision, which will take effect immediately, will codify a revision of paragraph 4(c) of Volume 7, Chapter 2, section 5 of the Federal Highway Administration's Federal-Aid Highway Program Manual.

Issued on: December 27, 1974.

NORBERT T. TIEMANN,
Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-925 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER E—PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

[OPP-262501A]

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

DDT; Revocation

A notice was published by the Environmental Protection Agency in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 6, 1974 (39 FR 39295), proposing that § 180.147b *DDT residues in apple pomace* be revoked and consequently that § 180.147c *DDT and its related degradation products in milk* be redesignated at § 180.147b.

Three comments were received in support of the proposal from The Pennsylvania State University, the International Apple Institute, and the National Canners Association. No requests for referral to an advisory committee were received. It is concluded that the proposal should be adopted; it is considered that the levels of DDT in meat and milk are not likely to exceed tolerances established under §§ 180.147 and 180.147c as result of the normal feeding of apple pomace containing residues of DDT not in excess of 0.5 part per million to meat or dairy animals in amounts not exceeding 10 percent of the diet.

The statement in the proposal that the use of apple pomace containing DDT residues in excess of 0.5 part per million is illegal under section 409 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act should have said such apple pomace in interstate commerce is illegal under section 409 of the Act.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may by February 10, 1975, file with the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 1019E, 4th & M Streets, SW, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C. 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date: January 10, 1975.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

(Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (40 CFR Part 180; 21 U.S.C. 408(e))

LOWELL E. MILLER,
Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Part 180 is amended as follows:

1. By deleting § 180.147b *DDT residues in apple pomace.*

2. By redesignating § 180.147c *DDT and its related degradation products in milk* as § 180.147b.

[FR Doc.75-871 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 39—Postal Service

CHAPTER I—U.S. POSTAL SERVICE

PART 281—FIRM MAILINGS DAMAGED OR DESTROYED THROUGH TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENTS OR CATASTROPHES

Notification Responsibility Placed in Sectional Centers

Regulations codified in 39 CFR 281.1 place on the customer services representative at the office of mailing the responsibility for giving timely notification to major mailers of transportation accidents or catastrophes and their effects on mail shipments. Postal officials processing salvable mail recovered from the scene of an accident or catastrophe are responsible under 39 CFR 281.2 for notifying the customer services representative.

Since many post offices do not have a customer services representative, §§ 281.1 and 281.2 are being amended to place the notification responsibility on the sectional center director of customer services. This will more nearly ensure that the notice will be sent and received and will clearly define the areas of responsibility.

Accordingly, the following amendments are effective immediately:

1. In § 281.1 Notification of firm mailers the words "customer services representatives" are deleted and the words "sectional center director of customer services" are inserted in lieu thereof.

2. In § 281.2 Action required by processing postal officials the words "customer services representatives" are deleted and the words "sectional center director of customer services" are inserted in lieu thereof..

(39 U.S.C. 401, 403, 404)

ROGER P. CRAIG,
Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-914 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

[Docket No. FI 439]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Alabama	Mobile	Satsuma, city of	Jan. 3, 1975. Emergency	May 3, 1974		
Arkansas	Benton	Bentonville, city of	do	May 10, 1974		
Do	Fulton	Salem, city of	do	Mar. 22, 1974		
Florida	Charlotte	Unincorporated areas	June 30, 1970. Emergency	Aug. 7, 1971		
			Aug. 6, 1971. Regular			
			Sept. 15, 1972. Suspended			
			Dec. 30, 1974. Reinstated			
Do	do	Punta Gorda, city of	Aug. 28, 1970. Emergency	Oct. 30, 1970		
			Oct. 30, 1970. Regular			
			Sept. 15, 1972. Suspended			
			Dec. 31, 1974. Reinstated			
Idaho	Clearwater	Pierce, city of	Jan. 3, 1975. Emergency	June 21, 1974		
Kansas	Chase	Elmdale, city of	do			
New Jersey	Bergen and Hudson.	Hackensack Meadowlands Commission.	do			
Do	Burlington	Medford Lakes, borough of	do	May 31, 1974		
Do	Camden	Merchantville, borough of	do			
Do	Gloucester	National Park, borough of	do	Apr. 12, 1974		
New York	Madison	Hamilton, village of	do	May 31, 1974		
North Carolina	Pamlico	Bayboro, Inc	Dec. 31, 1974. Emergency	June 28, 1974		
Do	do	Stonewall, Inc	do	Feb. 22, 1974		
Ohio	Warren	Unincorporated areas	Jan. 3, 1975. Emergency			
Pennsylvania	Armstrong	Apollo, borough of	do	May 31, 1974		
Do	Butler	Jackson, township of	do	Nov. 15, 1974		
Tennessee	Carroll	McKenzie, city of	do			
Texas	Fannin	Bonham, city of	do	June 7, 1974		
Do	Jones	Stamford, city of	do	Nov. 23, 1973		
Utah	Davis	Clinton City, city of	do	Aug. 2, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-632 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI 441]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies (1) the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or under the regular flood insurance program; (2) the effective date on which the community became ineligible for the sale of flood insurance because of its failure to submit land use and control measures as required pursuant to § 1909.24 (a); or (3) the effective date of a community's formal reinstatement in the program pursuant to § 1909.24(b). The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Idaho	Bonneville	Ammon, city of	Dec. 24, 1974 Emergency	Oct. 26, 1973		
Iowa	Clayton	Elkport, town of	do			
Kansas	Allen	Humboldt, city of	do	Dec. 7, 1973		
Maine	Aroostook	Caribou, city of	do	Dec. 4, 1974		
Michigan	Alger	Munising, city of	do	May 24, 1974		
Mississippi	Chickasaw	Okolona, city of	do	June 21, 1974		
Nebraska	Dakota	South Sioux, city of	do	Dec. 7, 1974		
New York	Erie	Depew, village of	do	Feb. 22, 1974		
North Carolina	Greene	Snow Hill, town of	do	Dec. 28, 1974		
North Dakota	Mclean	Underwood, city of	do	May 24, 1974		
Pennsylvania	Allegheny	Richland, township of	do	Aug. 2, 1974		
Virginia	Staunton	Staunton, city of	do	June 14, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974)

Issued: December 16, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-634 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI 442]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
*	*	*	*	*	*
Los Angeles	Bell, city of	Dec. 26, 1974. Emergency	June 28, 1974		
Riverside	Palm Desert, city of	do			
Kent	Clayton, town of	do	May 17, 1974		
Madison	Pendleton, town of	do	Dec. 17, 1973		
Shawnee	Silver Lake, city of	do	May 31, 1974		
Rockford	Greenfield, village of	do			
Rensselaer	Hoosick Falls, village of	do	May 10, 1974		
Onondaga	Liverpool, village of	do	July 26, 1974		
Northampton	Pen Argyl, borough of	do	Nov. 1, 1974		
Allegheny	Verona, borough of	do	May 31, 1974		
Lawrence	Wampum, borough of	do	Nov. 8, 1974		
Chester	Coatesville, city of	do	May 31, 1974		
Utah	Payson, city of	do	June 28, 1974		
Washington	Damascus, town of	do	Mar. 8, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974)

Issued: December 20, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-635 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI 440]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities With Special Hazard Areas

The Federal Insurance Adminstrator finds that comment and public procedure and the use of delayed effective dates in identifying the areas of communities which have special flood or mudslide hazards, in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1915, would be contrary to the public interest. The purpose of such identifications is to guide new development away from areas threatened by flooding. Since this publication is merely for the purpose of informing the public of the location of areas of special flood hazard and has no bindng effect on the sale of flood insurance or the commencement of construction, notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest. Inasmuch as this publication is not a substantive rule, the identification of special hazard areas shall be effective on the date shown. Accordingly, Section 1915.3 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table, which entry reads as follows:

§ 1915.3 List of communities with special hazard areas.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Alabama	Coosa	Unincorporated areas.	H 010052 01 through H 010052 03	Alabama Development Office, Office of State Planning, State Office Bldg., Montgomery, Ala. 36104. Alabama Insurance Department, Room 453, Administrative Bldg., Montgomery, Ala. 36104.	Mayor, County of Coosa, Coosa County Courthouse, Rockford, Ala. 35136.	Dec. 27, 1974.
Do	Tallapoosa	Dadeville, town of.	H 010211 01 through H 010211 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Dadeville, Dadeville, Ala. 36853.	Do.
Do	Fayette	Berry, town of	H 010255 01 through H 010255 02	do	Mayor, Town of Berry, Berry, Ala. 35546.	Do.
Do	Jefferson	Warrior, city of	H 010263 01 through H 010263 05	do	Mayor, City of Warrior, City Hall, Warrior, Ala. 35180.	Do.
Do	do	Graysville, city of.	H 010266 01 through H 010266 06	do	Mayor, City of Graysville, City Hall, Graysville, Ala. 35073.	Do.
Do	Pickens	Reform, city of	H 010280 01 through H 010280 06	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 431, City of Reform, Reform, Ala. 35481.	Do.
Do	St. Claire	Springville, town of.	H 010289 01 through H 010289 02	do	Mayor, Town of Springville, City Hall, Springville, Ala. 35146.	Do.
Arkansas	Prairie	De Valls Bluff, city of.	H 050238 01	Division of Soil and Water Resources, State Department of Commerce, 1920 West Capitol Ave., Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Arkansas Insurance Department, 400 University Tower Bldg., Little Rock, Ark. 72204.	City Manager, City of DeValls Bluff, DeValls Bluff, Ark. 72117.	Do.
California	Monterey	King, city of	H 060199 01 through H 060199 02	Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento, Calif. 95802. California Insurance Department, 107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.	City Manager, 212 South Vanderhurst Ave., City of King, King City, Calif. 93930.	Do.
Do	Tehama	Tehama, city of	H 060400 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Cavalier Ave., Tehama, Calif. 96000.	Do.
Do	Del Norte	Unincorporated areas.	H 065025 01 through H 065025 08	do	Del Norte County Flood Control District, Courthouse, Crescent City, Calif. 95531.	Do.
Colorado	El Paso	do	H 080059 01 through H 080059 27	Colorado Water Conservation Board, Room 102, 1845 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Colorado Division of Insurance, 106 State Office Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80203.	El Paso County Planning Department, El Paso County Office Bldg., 27 East Vermijo., Colorado Springs, Colo. 80903.	Do.
Do	Huerfano	La Veta, town of	H 080084 01	do	Mayor, Town Hall, La Veta, Colo. 81055.	Do.
Do	Larimer	Unincorporated areas.	H 080101 01 through H 080101 02	do	Board of County Commissioners, P.O. Box 1190, County of Larimer, Fort Collins, Colo. 80522.	Do.
Do	Morgan	do	H 080129 01 through H 080129 05	do	Chairman, Morgan County Commissioners, Fort Morgan, Colo. 80701.	Do.
Do	Routt	Steamboat Springs, town of.	H 080159 01 through H 080159 03	do	Mayor, Town Hall, Town of Steamboat Springs, Steamboat Springs, Colo. 80477.	Do.
Do	Weld	Kersey, town of	H 080185 01 through H 080185 12	do	Mayor, Town Hall, Kersey, Colo. 80644.	Do.
Do	Arapahoe	Greenwood Village, city of.	H 080195 01 through H 080195 03	do	City Council and Mayor, City Hall, City of Greenwood Village, Englewood, Colo. 80110.	Do.
Do	Logan	Iliff, town of	H 080207 01	do	Chairman, Board of County Commissioners, Town of Iliff, Sterling, Colo. 80751	Do.
Florida	Marion	Unincorporated areas.	H 120160 01 through H 120160 02	Department of Community Affairs, 2571 Executive Center Circle, East Howard Bldg., Tallahassee, Fla. 32301. State of Florida Insurance Department, Treasurer's Office, the Capitol, Tallahassee, Fla. 32304.	Board of Commissioners, County of Marion, P.O. Box 1030, Ocala, Fla. 32670.	Do.
Georgia	Jeff Davis	Hazlehurst, city of.	H 130114 01 through H 130114 02	Department of Natural Resources, Office of Planning and Research, 270 Washington St. SW., Room 707, Atlanta, Ga. 30334. Georgia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Atlanta, Ga. 30334.	City Hall, P.O. Box 396, City of Hazlehurst, Hazlehurst, Ga. 31539.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Glynn	Jekyll Island, city of.	H 130201 01 through H 130201 05	do	Jekyll Island State Park Authority, 201 Old Plantation Rd., City of Jekyll Island, Jekyll Island, Ga. 31520.	Do.
Idaho	Power	Rockland, city of.	H 160110 01	Department of Water Administration, State House—Annex 2, Boise, Idaho 83707. Idaho Department of Insurance, Room 206, Statehouse, Boise, Idaho 83707.	Mayor, City Hall, Rockland, Idaho 83271.	Do.
Do	Clark	Dubois, city of.	H 160134 01 through H 160134 02	do	City Chairman, City of Dubois, Dubois, Idaho 83423.	Do.
Illinois	Iroquois	Onarga, village of.	H 170235 01	Governor's Task Force on Flood Control, P.O. Box 475, Lisle, Ill. 60532. Illinois Insurance Department, 525 West Jefferson St., Springfield, Ill. 62702.	Mayor, Village of Onarga, Onarga, Ill. 60955.	Do.
Do	Jersey	Unincorporated areas.	H 170312 01 through H 170312 02	do	Building Commissioner, County of Jersey, Jersey County Courthouse, Jerseyville, Ill. 62052.	Do.
Do	Lake	Grayslake, village of.	H 170363A 01	do	Mayor, Village Hall, Village of Grayslake, Grayslake, Ill. 60030.	Apr. 5, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Do	do	Tower Lake, village of.	H 170363A 01	do	Pres., 318 East Lake Shore Dr., Tower Lakes, Barrington, Ill. 60010.	Do.
Do	Lawrence	Birds, village of.	H 170410 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Village of Birds, Birds, Ill. 62415.	Dec. 27, 1974.
Do	Stephenson	Unincorporated areas.	H 170639 01 through H 170639 05	do	Stephenson Zoning Administration, 212 West Stephenson St., County of Stephenson, Freeport, Ill. 61032.	Do.
Do	Warren	do	H 170673 01 through H 170673 03	do	Mayor, County of Warren, Warren County Courthouse, Monmouth, Ill. 61462.	Do.
Do	Alexander	do	H 170811 01 through H 170811 02	do	Alexander County Board of Commissioners, County of Alexander, Alexander Courthouse, Cairo, Ill. 62914.	Do.
Indiana	Kosciusko	do	H 180121 01 through H 180121 10	Division of Water, Department of Natural Resources, 608 State Office Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Indiana Insurance Department, 509 State Office Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204.	Mayor, County of Kosciusko, Kosciusko County, Ind.	Do.
Do	St. Joseph	do	H 180224 01 through H 180224 06	do	Area Planning Commission, County of St. Joseph, 227 West Jefferson Blvd., South Bend, Ind. 46601.	Do.
Do	Steuben	do	H 180243 01 through H 180243 18	do	Mayor, County of Steuben, Steuben County, Ind.	Do.
Do	Wabash	do	H 180266 01 through H 180266 06	do	Mayor, County of Wabash, Courthouse, Wabash, Ind. 46992.	Do.
Iowa	Cedar	Bennett, city of.	H 190051 01	Iowa Natural Resources Council, James W. Grimes Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50319. Iowa Insurance Department, Lucas State Office Bldg., Des Moines Iowa 50319.	Mayor, City of Bennett, Bennett, Iowa 52721.	Do.
Do	Louisa	Unincorporated areas.	H 190103 01 through H 190103 03	do	County Board of Supervisors, County of Louisa, Courthouse, Wapello, Iowa 52653.	Do.
Do	Worth	Fertile, town of.	H 190301 01	do	Mayor, Town of Fertile, Fertile, Iowa 50434.	Do.
Do	Louisa	Letts, town of.	H 190311 01	do	Town Board of Supervisors, Town of Letts, Courthouse, Wapello, Iowa 52653.	Do.
Kansas	Barton	Albert, city of.	H 200017 01	Division of Water Resources, State Board of Agriculture, Topeka, Kans. 66612. Kansas Insurance Department, 1st Floor, Statehouse, Topeka, Kans. 66613.	Mayor, Albert, Kans. 67511	Do.
Do	Bourbon	Redfield, city of.	H 200025 01	do	Mayor, Redfield, Kans. 66769	Do.
Do	Doniphan	Denton, city of.	H 200079 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Denton, Kans. 66017.	Do.
Do	do	White Cloud, city of.	H 200086 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, White Cloud, Kans. 66094.	Do.
Do	Franklin	Lane, city of.	H 200103 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Lane, Kans. 66042.	Do.
Do	Harper	Bluff City, city of.	H 200128 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Bluff City, Kans. 67018.	Do.
Do	Hodgeman	Hanston, city of.	H 200136 01	do	Mayor, City Office, Hanston, Kans. 67849.	Do.
Do	Mitchell	Glen Elder, city of.	H 200227 01	do	Mayor, Glen Elder, Kans. 67446	Do.
Do	Osborne	Portis, city of.	H 200256 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Portis, Kans. 67474.	Do.
Do	Phillips	Glade, city of.	H 200263 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Glade, Kans. 67639.	Do.
Do	Rice	Alden, city of.	H 200291 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Alden, Kans. 67512.	Do.
Do	do	Raymond, city of.	H 200296 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Raymond, Kans. 67573.	Do.
Do	Saline	New Cambria, city of.	H 200313 01	do	Mayor, New Cambria, Kans. 67470	Do.
Do	Sedgwick	Wichita, city of.	H 200328 01 through H 200328 26	do	City-County Flood Control Office, 104 South Main St., Wichita, Kans. 67202.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.	Smith	Gaylord, city of	H 200342 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, 5th St., Gaylord, Kans. 67638.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Haddam, city of	H 200355 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Haddam, Kans. 66944.	Do.
Kentucky	Boone	Unincorporated areas.	H 210013 01 through H 210013 03	Division of Water, Kentucky, Department of Natural Resources, Capitol Plaza Office Tower, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Kentucky Insurance Department, Old Capitol Annex, Frankfort, Ky. 40601.	Judge, Boone County Courthouse, County of Boone.	Do.
Do.	Campbell	do	H 210034 01 through H 210034 03	do	Chairman, Campbell County Planning and Zoning Board, County of Campbell, Newport, Ky. 41073.	Do.
Do.	Clay	do	H 210057 01 through H 210057 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, County of Clay, Clay, Ky. 41018.	Do.
Do.	Laurel	do	H 210134 01 through H 210134 08	do	Mayor, County of Laurel, Courthouse, London, Ky. 40741.	Do.
Louisiana	St. Martin Parish	do	H 220178 01 through H 220178 08	State Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 44155, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804. Louisiana Insurance Department, Box 44214, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804.	Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, St. Martin Parish Police Jury Courthouse Bldg., Main St., St. Martinville, La. 70582.	Do.
Do.	Caddo Parish	Oil City, town of.	H 220262 01	do	Mayor and Council, Caddo Parish, Town of Oil City, P.O. Box 116, Oil City, La. 71601.	Do.
Maine	Kennebec	Pittston, town of.	H 230243 01 through H 230243 09	Maine Soil and Water Conservation Commission, Augusta, Maine 04330. Maine Insurance Department, Capitol Shopping Center, Augusta, Maine 04330.	Mayor, City Hall, Pittston, Maine	Do.
Do.	do	Rome, town of	H 230246 01 through H 230246 11	do	Mayor, City Hall, Rome, Maine	Do.
Do.	do	West Gardiner, town of.	H 230250 01 through	do	Mayor, City Hall, West Gardiner, Maine.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Wesley, town of.	H 230327 01 through H 230327 16	do	Mayor, City Hall, Wesley, Maine 04686.	Do.
Do.	Somerset	New Portland, town of.	H 230365 01 through H 230365 12	do	Mayor, City Hall, New Portland, 04954.	Do.
Do.	do	St. Albans, town of.	H 230369 01 through H 230369 06	do	Mayor, Town of St. Albans, City Hall, St. Albans, Maine 04971.	Do.
Massachusetts	Suffolk	Chelsea, city of	H 250287A 01 through H 250287A 02	Division of Water Resources, Water Resources Commission, State Office Bldg., 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202. Massachusetts Division of Insurance, 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202.	Chairman, Board of Aldermen, City of Chelsea, City Hall, Chelsea, Mass. 02150.	June 7, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Michigan	Gratiot	Ithaca, city of	H 260084 01 through H 260084 02	Water Resources Commission, Bureau of Water Management, Stevens T. Mason Bldg., Lansing, Mich. 48926. Michigan Insurance Bureau, 111 North Hosmer St., Lansing, Mich. 48913.	City Council, City Hall, City of Ithaca, 129 West Emerson St., Ithaca, Mich. 48847.	Dec. 27, 1974.
Do.	Alcona	Haynes, township of.	H 260274 01 through H 260274 11	do	Alcona County Building, Township of Haynes, Lincoln, Mich. 48742.	Do.
Minnesota	Fillmore	Unincorporated areas.	H 270124 01 through H 270124 12	Division of Waters, Soils and Department of Natural Resources, Centennial Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. Minnesota Division of Insurance, R-210 State Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Administrator, County of Fillmore, Zoning Office, Preston, Minn. 55965.	Do.
Do.	Kanabec	Mora, city of	H 270216A 01 through H 270216A 02	do	Mr. Cleveland C. Mason, City of Mora, City Hall, Mora, Minn. 55051.	Do.
Do.	Yellow Medicine	Canby, city of	H 270545A 01 through H 270545A 02	do	Mr. Dennis R. Initczek, City Manager, City of Canby, Canby, Minn. 56220.	Do.
Do.	Lake of the Woods	Baudette, city of	H 270554 01 through H 270554 03	do	Mayor, City of Baudette, Baudette, Minn. 56623.	Do.
Do.	Le Sueur	Cleveland, city of	H 270560 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, City of Cleveland, Cleveland, Minn. 56017.	Do.
Do.	Dodge	Dasson, city of	H 270581 01 through H 270581 02	do	Mayor, City of Dasson, 12 West Main, Dasson, Minn. 55944.	Do.
Do.	Mower	Leroy, city of	H 270583 01	do	Clerk, Office of Clerk, City of Leroy, Leroy, Minn. 55951.	Do.
Do.	Jackson	Okabena, city of	H 270595 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, City of Okabena, Okabena, Minn. 56161.	Do.
Do.	Freeborn	Emmons, city of	H 270687 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, City of Emmons, Emmons, Minn. 56029.	Do.
Mississippi	Lafayette	Unincorporated areas.	H 280003 01 through H 280003 05	Mississippi Research and Development Center, P.O. Drawer 2470, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Mississippi Insurance Department, 910 Woolfolk Bldg., P.O. Box 79, Jackson, Miss. 39205.	Mayor, County of Lafayette, City Hall, Oxford, Miss. 38655.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do.	Lawrence	Monticello, town of.	H 280225 01 through H 280225 02	do	Mayor, Town of Monticello, City Hall, Monticello, Miss. 39654.	Do.
Missouri	Boone	Hartsburg, village of.	H 290037 01	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Program and Policy Development, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Division of Insurance, P.O. Box 690, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101.	Mayor, City Hall, Village of Hartsburg, Hartsburg, Mo. 65039.	Do.
Do.	Cass	Raymore, city of.	H 290070 01 through H 290070 06	do	Mayor, City Hall, City of Raymore, Raymore, Mo. 64083.	Do.
Do.	Lewis	Monticello, village of.	H 290097 01	do	Mayor, Lewis County Courthouse, Monticello, Mo. 63457.	Do.
Do.	Stone	Hurley, village of.	H 290495 01	do	Presiding Judge, Village of Hurley, Stone County Court, Courthouse, Galena, Mo. 65656.	Do.
Montana	Carbon	Joliet, town of.	H 300006 01	Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Water Resources Division, 32 South Ewing St., Helena, Mont. 59601. Montana Insurance Department, Capitol Bldg., Helena, Mont. 59501.	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Joliet, Joliet, Mont. 59041.	Do.
Do.	Fergus	Denton, town of.	H 300020 01	do	Mayor, Town of Denton, Denton, Mont. 59430.	Do.
Do.	do	Grass Range, town of.	H 300021 01	do	Mayor, Town of Grass Range, Grass Range, Mont. 59032.	Do.
Do.	Lewis & Clark	Unincorporated areas.	H 300038 01 through H 300038 02	do	Lewis & Clark County Commissioner, Courthouse, Helena, Mont. 59601.	Do.
Do.	Petroleum	Winnett, town of.	H 300052 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Winnett, Winnett, Mont. 59087.	Do.
Do.	Phillips	Dodson, town of.	H 300053 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Dodson, Dodson, Mont. 59524.	Do.
Do.	Treasure	Hysham, town of.	H 300080 01	do	Mayor, Town of Hysham, Hysham, Mont. 59038.	Do.
Nebraska	Holt	Inman, village of.	H 310115 01	Nebraska Natural Resources Commission, 7th Floor, Terminal Bldg., Lincoln, Nebr. 68508. Nebraska Insurance Department, 1335 "L" St., Lincoln, Nebr. 68509.	Mayor, Village of Inman, Inman, Nebr. 68742.	Do.
Do.	Lincoln	Brady, village of.	H 310141 01	do	Mayor, Village of Brady, Brady, Nebr. 69123.	Do.
Do.	Saunders	Malmo, village of.	H 310200 01	do	Village Board, City Hall, Malmo, Nebr. 68046.	Do.
Do.	Scotts Bluff	Gering, city of.	H 310371 01 through H 310371 02	do	City Hall, City of Gering, 1450 10th St., Gering, Nebr. 69341.	Do.
Nevada	Washoe	Unincorporated areas.	H 320019 01 through H 320019 26	Division of Water Resources, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Nye Bldg., Carson City, Nev. 89701. Nevada Insurance Division, Department of Commerce, Nye Bldg., Carson City, Nev. 89701.	Chairman, Washoe County Commissioners, 1205 Mill St., Reno, Nev. 89502.	Do.
New Hampshire	Grafton	Benton, town of.	H 330044 01 through H 330044 06	Office of State Planning, Division of Community Planning, State House Annex, Concord, N.H. 03301. New Hampshire Insurance Department, 78 North Main St., Concord, N.H. 03301.	Chairman Board of Selectmen, Benton, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Sullivan	Washington, town of.	H 330166 01 through H 330166 06	do	Chairman, Board of Selectmen, Washington, N.H. 03280.	Do.
New Jersey	Burlington	Riverton, borough of.	H 340114A 01 through H 340114A 02	Bureau of Water Control, Department of Environmental Protection, P.O. Box 1390, Trenton, N.J. 08625. New Jersey Department of Insurance, State House Annex, Trenton, N.J. 08625.	Mayor, Borough of Riverton, Burlington County, Riverton, N.J. 08077.	Dec. 28, 1973. Dec. 27, 1974.
Do.	Middlesex	Cranbury, township of.	H 340258A 01 through H 340258A 03	do	Mayor, Township of Cranbury, 54 North Main St., Cranbury, N.J. 08512.	May 10, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
New York	Oneida	New Hartford, village of.	H 360536A 01	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Resources Management Services, Bureau of Water Management, Albany, N.Y. 12201. New York State Insurance Department, 123 William St., New York, N.Y. 10038.	Mayor, Village Hall, Village of New Hartford, New Hartford, N.Y. 13413.	Feb. 22, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Do.	Chenango	Greene, town of.	H 361087 01 through H 361087 09	do	Mayor, Town of Greene, 14 Coventry Rd., Greene, N.Y. 13778.	Dec. 27, 1974.
Do.	Allegany	Andover, town of.	H 361094 01 through H 361094 12	do	Mayor, Village Office, Town of Andover, Andover, N.Y. 14806.	Do.
Do.	Greene	Catskill, town of.	H 361116 01 through H 361116 19	do	Mayor, Town of Catskill, Catskill, N.Y. 12414.	Do.
Do.	Franklin	Westville, town of.	H 361123 01 through H 361123 10	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Westville, Westville, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Rensselaer	Petersburg, town of.	H 361165 01 through H 361165 15	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Petersburg, Petersburg, N.Y. 12138.	Do.
Do.	do	Sand Lake, town of.	H 361167 01 through H 361167 10	do	Town Board, Town of Sand Lake, Sand Lake, N.Y. 12153.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do.	St. Lawrence	Norfolk, town of	H 361183 01 through H 361183 14	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Norfolk, Norfolk, N.Y. 13667.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Hartford, town of	H 361234 01 through H 361234 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, Hartford, N.Y. 12838.	Do.
Do.	Wyoming	Castile, town of	H 361243 01 through H 361243 10	do	Town Supervisor, Town Clerk's Office, Town of Castile, Wyoming, N.Y. 14591.	Do.
Do.	Orleans	Clarendon, town of.	H 361254 01 through H 361254 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Clarendon, Clarendon, N.Y. 14429.	Do.
Do.	Oswego	Parish, town of	H 361357 01 through H 361357 05	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Parish, Parish, N.Y. 13131.	Do.
Do.	Columbia	Austerlitz, town of.	H 361385 01 through H 361385 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Austerlitz, Austerlitz, N.Y. 12017.	Do.
Do.	Steuben	Howard, town of	H 361434 01 through H 361434 05	do	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Howard, Howard, N.Y. 10013.	Do.
Do.	Suffolk	Belle Terre, village of.	H 361532 01 through H 361532 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, Belle Terre, N.Y.	Do.
North Carolina	Cabarrus	Unincorporated areas.	H 370036 01 through H 370036 11	North Carolina Office of Water and Air Resources, Department of Natural and Economic Resources, P.O. Box 27687, Raleigh, N.C. 27611. North Carolina Insurance Department, P.O. Box 26387, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.	City Manager, City Hall, County of Cabarrus, Cabarrus County, N.C.	Do.
Do.	Hyde	do	H 370133 01 through H 370133 15	do	Clerk, Hyde County Board of Commissioners, Courthouse, Swan Quarter, N.C. 27885.	Do.
Do.	Lenoir	do	H 370144 01 through H 370144 03	do	Mayor, County of Lenoir, City Hall, Lenoir, N.C. 28645.	Do.
Do.	Lincoln	do	H 370146 01 through H 370146 14	do	Chairman, County Commissioners, County of Lincoln, Lincolnton, N.C. 28092.	Do.
Do.	Wayne	do	H 370254 01 through H 370254 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, County of Wayne, Wayne, N.C.	Do.
North Dakota	Mercer	Zap, city of	H 380068 01	State Water Commission, State Office Building, 900 East Boulevard, Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501. North Dakota Insurance Department, State Capitol, Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501.	Mayor, City of Zap, Zap, N. Dak. 58580	Do.
Do.	Stutsman	Courtenay, city of.	H 380121 01	do	Mayor, City of Courtenay, Courtenay, N. Dak. 58426.	Do.
Ohio	Butler	Fairfield, city of	H 390038A 01 through H 390038A 08	Department of Natural Resources, Fountain Square, Columbus, Ohio 43224. Ohio Insurance Department, 115 East Rich St., Columbus, Ohio 43215.	Safety-Service Director, City of Fairfield, 5350 Pleasant Ave., Fairfield, Ohio 45014.	Mar. 1, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Do.	Gallia	Unincorporated areas.	H 390185 01 through H 390185 06	do	County Commissioners, Courthouse, County of Gallia, Gallipolis, Ohio 45631.	Dec. 27, 1974.
Do.	do	Vinton, village of	H 390189 01	do	Mayor, Village of Vinton, City Hall, Vinton, Ohio 45686.	Do.
Do.	Jefferson	Unincorporated areas.	H 390294 01 through H 390294 05	do	Mayor's Office, City Building, County of Jefferson, Jefferson County, Ohio.	Do.
Do.	Medina	Medina	H 390383A 01 through H 390383A 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, 132 North Elmwood, Medina, Ohio 44256.	Do.
Do.	Portage	Unincorporated areas.	H 390453 01 through H 390453 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, County of Portage, Portage, Ohio.	Do.
Do.	Scioto	do	H 390496 01 through H 390496 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, County of Scioto, Scioto County, Ohio.	Do.
Oklahoma	Logan	Unincorporated, areas.	H 400096 01 through H 400096 04	Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 2241 Northwest 40th St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Oklahoma Insurance Department, Room 408, Will Rogers Memorial Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105.	County Chairman, County of Logan, Logan County, Okla.	Do.
Do.	Muskogee	Braggs, town of	H 400121 01	do	President, Board of Trustees, Braggs, Okla. 74423.	Do.
Do.	Pottawatomie	Shawnee, city of	H 400178 01 through H 400178 24	do	Mayor, City Hall, Shawnee, Okla. 74801.	Do.
Do.	Garvin	Lindsay, city of	H 400245 01	do	City Mayor, City of Lindsay, Lindsay, Okla. 73052.	Do.
Do.	Oklahoma	Spencer, city of	H 400412 01 through H 400412 02	do	City Chairman, City of Spencer, Spencer, Okla. 73110.	Do.
Oregon	Benton	Unincorporated, areas.	H 410008 01 through H 410008 19	Executive Department, State of Oregon, Salem, Oreg. 97310. Oregon Insurance Division, Department of Commerce, 158 12th St. NE., Salem, Oreg. 97310.	Benton County Planning Director, 129 Northwest 4th St., Corvallis, Oreg. 97330.	Do.
Do.	Grant	Long Creek, city of.	H 410078 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Long Creek, Oreg. 97856.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Klamath	Unincorporated areas.	H 410109 01 through H 410109 34	do	Chairman, Klamath County Board of Commissioners, Klamath County Courthouse, Klamath Falls, Oreg. 97601.	Do.
Do	Yamhill	do	H 410249 01 through H 410249 04	do	Planning Director For Yamhill, County of Yamhill, Courthouse, McMinnville, Oreg. 97128.	Do.
Pennsylvania	Cambria	Portage, township of.	H 420236 01 through H 420236 08	Department of Community Affairs, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120. Pennsylvania Insurance Department, 108 Finance Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Portage, 807 Luther Ave., Portage, Pa. 15946.	Do.
Do	Adams	Berwick, township of.	H 421160 01 through H 421160 03	do	James Brinton, Township of Berwick, Rural Delivery No. 5, Hanover, Pa. 17331.	Do.
Do	do	Liberty, township of.	H 421255 01 through H 421255 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Liberty, Rural Delivery No. 2, Fairfield, Pa. 17320.	Do.
Do	do	Menallen, township of.	H 421256 01 through H 421256 12	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Menallen, Rural Delivery No. 1, Aspers, Pa. 17304.	Do.
Do	Adams	Mount Joy, township of.	H 421257 01 through H 421257 09	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Mount Joy, Rural Delivery No. 1, Littlestown, Pa. 17340.	Do.
Do	Beaver	Rochester, township of.	H 421322 01 through H 421322 02	do	President of Commissioners, Township of Rochester, 873 Sunflower Rd., Rochester, Pa. 15074.	Do.
Do	Berks	Pike, township of.	H 421382 01 through H 421382 05	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Pike, Rural Delivery No. 2, Oley, Pa. 19547.	Do.
Do	Blair	Juniata, township of.	H 421300 01 through H 421300 09	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Juniata, Rural Delivery No. 2, Duncansville, Pa. 16635.	Do.
Do	Centre	Worth, township of.	H 421472 01 through H 421472 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Worth, Rural Delivery No. 1, Port Matilda, Pa. 16870.	Do. Do.
Do	Clarion	Hawthorne, borough of.	H 421503 01 through H 421503 02	do	Mayor, Hawthorne, Pa. 16230	Do.
Do	Clearfield	Woodward, township of.	H 421532 01 through H 421532 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Woodward, Rural Delivery, Houtzdale, Pa. 16651.	Do.
Do	Columbia	Pine, township of.	H 421556 01 through H 421556 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Pine, Rural Delivery No. 1, Millville, Pa. 17846.	Do.
Do	Cumberland	Hopewell, township of.	H 421581 01 through H 421581 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Hopewell, Rural Delivery No. 1, Newburg, Pa. 17240.	Do.
Do	do	Lower Mifflin, township of.	H 421582 01 through H 421582 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Eugune F. Henry, township of Lower Mifflin, Rural Delivery No. 3, Newville, Pa. 17241.	Do.
Do	do	South Newton, township of.	H 421586 01 through H 421586 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of South Newton, Rural Delivery No. 2, Walnut Bottom, Pa. 17266.	Do.
Do	do	Southampton, township of.	H 421587 01 through H 421587 16	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Southampton, Rural Delivery No. 4, Shippensburg, Pa. 17257.	Do.
Do	Fayette	Bullskin, township of.	H 421622 01 through H 421622 16	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Bullskin, Rural Delivery No. 2, Mount Pleasant, Pa. 15666.	Do.
Do	do	Dunbar, township of.	H 421624 01 through H 421624 19	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Dunbar, Box 385, Dunbar, Pa. 15431.	Do.
Do	do	Luzerne, township of.	H 421631 01 through H 421631 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Luzerne, 415 Hopewell Rd., Brownsville, Pa. 15417.	Do.
Do	Fayette	Menallen, township of.	H 421632 01 through H 421632 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Box 249, Township of Menallen, New Salem, Pa. 15468.	Do.
Do	do	North Union, township of.	H 421633 01 through H 421633 10	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of North Union, Rural Delivery No. 1, Uniontown, Pa. 15401.	Do.
Do	do	Springfield, township of.	H 421638 01 through H 421638 16	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Springfield, Normalville, Pa. 15469.	Do.
Do	Forest	Barnett, township of.	H 421643 01 through H 421643 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Barnett, Clarington, Pa. 15828.	Do.
Do	Franklin	Quincy, township of.	H 421655 01 through H 421655 12	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Quincy, Route I, Waynesboro, Pa. 17268.	Do.
Do	Greene	Aleppo, township of.	H 421667 01 through H 421667 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Box 5, Aleppo, Pa. 15310.	Do.
Do	do	Wayne, township of.	H 421679 01 through H 421679 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Wayne, Spraggs, Pa. 15362.	Do.
Do	do	Whiteley, township of.	H 421680 01 through H 421680 02	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Whiteley, Rural Delivery No. 3, Waynesburg, Pa. 15370.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.	Huntington	Cass, township of.	H 421686 01 through H 421686 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Cass, Mapleton Depot, Pa. 17052.	Do.
Do.	do.	Cromwell, township of.	H 421688 01 through H 421688 14	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, township of Cromwell, Three Springs, Pa. 17264.	Do.
Do.	Indiana	Buffington, township of.	H 421711 01 through H 421711 09	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Buffington, Rural Delivery No. 1, Vintondale, Pa. 15961.	Do.
Do.	Lackawanna	Glenburn, township of.	H 421754 01 through H 421754 02	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Glenburn, Rural Delivery No. 2, Clarks Summit, Pa. 18411.	Do.
Do.	do.	Scott, township of.	H 421757 01 through H 421757 09	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Scott, Jermyn, Pa. 18433.	Do.
Do.	do.	Spring Brook, township of.	H 421759 01 through H 421759 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Spring Brook, Rural Delivery No. 3, Moscow, Pa. 18444.	Do.
Do.	Lancaster	Mount Joy, township of.	H 421776 01 through H 421776 11	do.	Town Clerk, township of Mount Joy, Rural Delivery No. 3, Elizabethtown, Pa. 17022.	Do.
Do.	Lehigh	Heidelberg, township of.	H 421809 01 through H 421809 02	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Heidelberg, Rural Delivery No. 2, Slatington, Pa. 18080.	Do.
Do.	Luzerne	Newport, township of.	H 421822 01 through H 421822 06	do.	President of Commissioners, Township of Newport, Municipal Bldg., Wanamie, Pa. 18634.	Do.
Do.	Northampton	Upper Nazareth, township of.	H 421934 01 through H 421934 03	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Upper Nazareth, Rural No. 1, Nazareth, Pa. 18064.	Do.
Do.	Northumber	McEwensville, borough of.	H 421935 01	do.	Mayor, Borough of McEwensville, McEwensville, Pa. 17749.	Do.
Do.	Perry	Jackson, township of.	H 421952 01 through H 421952 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jackson, Rural Delivery No., 1, Blain, Pa. 17006.	Do.
Do.	Schuylkill	Barry, township of.	H 421997 01 through H 421997 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, township of Barry, Rural Delivery No. 2, Box 25, Hegins, Pa. 17938.	Do.
Do.	do.	New Castle, township of.	H 422012 01 through H 422012 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of New Castle, Wade, Rural Delivery No. 3, Pottsville, Pa. 17901.	Do.
Do.	do.	Upper Mahantango, township of.	H 422025 01 through H 422025 05	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Upper Mahantango, Rural Delivery No. 1, Klingerstown, Pa. 17941.	Do.
Do.	Somerset	Confluence, borough of.	H 422043 01 through H 422043 05	do.	Mayor, President of Council, 638 Charles St., Confluence, Pa. 15424.	Do.
Do.	Somerset	Conemaugh, township of.	H 422047 01 through H 422047 12	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Conemaugh, 191 Fern Wood Dr., Johnstown, Pa. 15905.	Do.
Do.	do.	Elk Lick, township of.	H 422048 01 through H 422048 08	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Elk Lick, Rural Delivery No. 3, Meyersdale, Pa. 15552.	Do.
Do.	Susquehanna	Auburn, township of.	H 422074 01 through H 422074 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Auburn, Rural Delivery No. 3, Meshoppen, Pa. 18630.	Do.
Do.	do.	Clifford, township of.	H 422077 01 through H 422077 12	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Clifford, Lenoxville, Pa. 18441.	Do.
Do.	do.	Jessup, township of.	H 422084 01 through H 422084 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jessup, Rural Delivery No. 5, Montrose, Pa. 18801.	Do.
Do.	Tioga	Bloss, township of.	H 422094 01 through H 422094 02	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Bloss, Arnot, Pa. 16911.	Do.
Do.	Washington	West Middletown, borough of.	H 422139 01	do.	Mayor, Borough of West Middletown, Rural Delivery No. 2, West Middletown, Pa. 15379.	Do.
Do.	York	East Hopewell, township of.	H 422218 01 through H 422218 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of East Hopewell, Rural Delivery No. 1, Felton, Pa. 17322.	Do.
Do.	York	Fawn, township of.	H 422219 01 through H 422219 13	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Fawn, New Park, Pa. 17352.	Do.
Do.	do.	Hopewell, township of.	H 422222 01 through H 422222 13	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Hopewell, Rural Delivery No. 1, Stewartstown, Pa. 17363.	Do.
Do.	do.	Manheim, township of.	H 422224 01 through H 422224 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Manheim, Route 1, Glen Rock, Pa. 17327.	Do.
Do.	do.	Springfield, township of.	H 422231 01 through H 422231 13	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Springfield, Rural Delivery No. 2, York, Pa. 17403.	Do.
Do.	do.	Warrington, township of.	H 422232 01 through H 422232 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Warrington, Rural Delivery No. 1, Wellsville, Pa. 17365.	Do.
Do.	Chester	Williston, township of.	H 422282 01 through H 422282 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, 9 Andrews Rd., Township of Williston, Halvern, Pa. 19355.	Do.
Do.	Adams	Bonneauville, borough of.	H 422294 01	do.	Mayor, Borough of Bonneauville, Rural Delivery No. 5, Gettysburg, Pa. 17325.	Do.
Do.	do.	Germany, township of.	H 422296 01 through H 422296 05	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Germany, Rural Delivery No. 1, Littlestown, Pa. 17340.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Armstrong	Worthington, borough of.	H 422306 01	do	Mayor, Borough of Worthington, Worthington, Pa. 16262.	Do.
Do	Beaver	Chippewa, township of.	H 422311 01 through H 422311 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Chippewa, 144 Gertrude St., Beaver Falls, Pa. 15010.	Do.
Do	do	Daugherty, township of.	H 422313 01 through H 422313 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Towhship of Daugherty, 139 Hillcrest Dr. New Brighton, Pa. 15066.	Do.
Do	Butler	Saxonburg, borough of.	H 422357 01 through H 422357 02	do	Mayor, Borough of Saxonburg, Saxonburg, Pa. 16056.	Do.
Do	Dauphin	Conewago, township of.	H 422406 01 through H 422406 02	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Conewago, Rural Delivery No. 2, Elizabeth, Pa. 17022.	Do.
Do	Erie	Girard, borough of.	H 422413 01 through H 422413 02	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 146, Girard, Pa. 16417.	Do.
Do	Forest	Kingsley, township of.	H 422423 01 through H 422423 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Kingsley, Rural Delivery No. 2, Tionesta, Pa. 16353.	Do.
Do	Fulton	Union, township of.	H 422430 01 through H 422430 09	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Union, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hancock, Md. 21750.	Do.
Do	Jefferson	Barnett, township of.	H 422440 01 through H 422440 05	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Barnett, Rural Delivery No. 1, Sigel, Pa. 15860.	Do.
Do	do	Union, township of.	H 422449 01 through H 422449 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Union, Rural Delivery No. 1, Corsica, Pa. 15829.	Do.
Do	Lackawanna	Abington, township of.	H 422453 01 through H 422453 02	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Abington, Waverly, Pa. 15471.	Do.
Do	do	La Plume, township of.	H 422458 01	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, La Plume, Pa. 18440.	Do.
Do	do	North Abington, township of.	H 422460 01 through H 422460 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of North Abington, Rural Delivery No. 1, Dalton, Pa. 18414.	Do.
Do	Mercer	Clark, borough of	H 422475 01 through H 422475 05	do	Mayor, Box 74, Clark, Pa. 16113	Do.
Do	Montgomery	Pennsburg, borough of.	H 422496 01	do	Mayor, Borough of Pennsburg, Fifth and Macoby Sts., Pennsburg, Pa. 18973.	Do.
Do	Potter	Ulysses, borough of.	H 422503 01 through H 422503 02	do	Mayor, Borough of Ulysses, Ulysses, Pa. 16948.	Do.
Do	Schuylkill	Ringtown, borough of.	H 422505 01 through H 422505 02	do	Mayor, Borough of Ringtown, 26 West Main St., Ringtown, Pa. 17967.	Do.
Do	Somerset	Jennerstown, borough of.	H 422514 01 through H 422514 04	do	Mayor, Jennerstown, Pa. 15547	Do.
Do	do	Paint, township of.	H 422521 01 through H 422521 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Paint, Rural Delivery No. 1, Box 426, Windber, Pa. 15963.	Do.
Do	do	Southampton, township of.	H 422523 01 through H 422523 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Southampton, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hyndman, Pa. 15545.	Do.
Do	do	Upper Turkeyfoot, township of.	H 422525 01 through H 422525 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Upper Turkeyfoot, Rural Delivery No. 3, Rockwood, Pa. 15557.	Do.
Do	Union	Hartleton, borough of.	H 422528 01 through H 422528 02	do	Mayor, Hartleton, Pa. 17829	Do.
Do	Venango	Clintonville, borough of.	H 422532 01 through H 422532 02	do	Mayor, Butler St., Clintonville, Pa. 16372.	Do.
Do	Warren	Bear Lake, borough of.	H 422544 01	do	Mayor, Box 82, Bear Lake, Pa. 16402	Do.
Do	do	Cherry Grove, township of.	H 422545 01 through H 422545 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Cherry Grove, Rural Delivery No. 1, Clarendon, Pa. 16313.	Do.
Do	do	Limestone, township of.	H 422547 01 through H 422547 04	do	Lyle L. McKean, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Limestone, Rural Delivery No. 1, Tidioute, Pa. 16351.	Do.
Do	Washington	West Finley, township of.	H 422565 01 through H 422565 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West Finley, Rural Delivery No. 1, Claysville, Pa. 15323.	Do.
Do	Wyoming	Overfield, township of.	H 422568 01 through H 422568 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Overfield, Rural Delivery No. 2, Dalton, Pa. 18414.	Do.
Do	Huntingdon	Franklin, township of.	H 422573 01 through H 422573 09	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Franklin, Tyrone, Pa. 16686.	Do.
Do	Susquehanna	Oakland, township of.	H 422581 01 through H 422581 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Oakland, 311 Jackson Ave., Susquehanna, Pa. 18847.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
S. Carolina	Darlington	Unincorporated, areas.	H 450060 01 through H 450060 03	South Carolina Resources, P.O. Drawer 164, 700 Knox Abbott Dr., Cayce, S.C. 29033. South Carolina Insurance Department, 2711 Middleburg St., Columbia, S.C. 29204.	County Manager, County of Darlington, Darlington County, S.C. 29532.	Do.
Do	Dorchester	Reevesville, town of.	H 450218 01	do	Mayor, Town of Reevesville, City Hall, Reevesville, S.C. 29471.	Do.
S. Dakota	Pennington	Unincorporated, areas.	H 460064 01 through H 460064 05	South Dakota Planning Agency, State Capitol Bldg., Pierre, S. Dak. 57501. South Dakota Department of Insurance, Insurance Department, Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.	Chairman, Pennington County Commissioners, Pennington County Courthouse, Rapid City, S. Dak. 57701.	Do.
Tennessee	Davidson	do	H 470040 01 through H 470040 17	Tennessee State Planning Office, 660 Capitol Hill Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Tennessee Department of Insurance and Banking, 114 State Office Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219.	County Mayor, County of Davidson, Davidson County, Tenn. No. Zip.	Do.
Do	Gibson	Kenton, town of	H 470224 01	do	Mayor, Town of Kenton, City Hall, Hall, Kenton, Tenn. 38233.	Do.
Texas	Angelina	Unincorporated, areas.	H 480067 01 through H 480067 02	Texas Water Development Board, P.O. Box 13087, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711. Texas Insurance Department, 1110 San Jacinto St., Austin, Tex. 78701.	County Manager, County of Angelina, Angelina Co., Tex. No Zip.	Do.
Do	Bosque	do	H 480051 01 through H 480051 02	do	County Manager, County of Bosque, Bosque County, Tex. 76634.	Do.
Do	Brewster	do	H 480084 01 through H 480084 02	do	County Judge, Brewster County Commissioners, County Courthouse, Alpine, Tex. 79830.	Do.
Do	Harris	Houston, city of	H 480296 01 through H 480296 174	do	City Hall Annex, 900 Bagby St., Houston, Tex. 77001.	Do.
Do	Kendall	Unincorporated, areas.	H 480417 01 through H 480417 03	do	County Judge, Kendall County Commissioners, Courthouse, Boerne, Tex. 78006.	Do.
Do	McMullen	do	H 480463 01 through H 480463 02	do	County Manager, County of McMullen, McMullen, Tex. 78072.	Do.
Do	Navarro	Corsicana, city of	H 480498 01 through H 480498 23	do	Mayor, City Hall, Corsicana, Tex. 75110.	Do.
Do	Reeves	Toyah, city of	H 480539 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Toyah, Tex. 79786	Do.
Do	Starr	La Grulla, city of	H 480576 01 through H 480576 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, Grulla, Tex. 78548	Do.
Utah	Salt Lake	Salt Lake City, city of.	H 490105 01 through H 490105 18	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, State Capitol Bldg., Room 434, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114. Utah Insurance Department, 115 State Capitol, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.	Salt Lake City Planning, and Zoning Commission, 414 City and County Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.	Do.
Vermont	Windham	Wardsboro, town of.	H 500138 01 through H 500138 03	Management and Engineering Division, Water Resources Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602. Vermont Insurance Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602.	Chairman, Wardsboro Board of Selectmen, Town of Wardsboro, Wardsboro, Vt. 05355.	Do.
Do	do	Marlboro, town of.	H 500283 01 through H 500283 03	do	Chairman, Marlboro Board of Selectmen, Town of Marlboro, Marlboro, Vt. 05658.	Do.
Virginia	Independent City.	Franklin, city of	H 510060 A 01 through H 510060 A 02	Bureau of Water Control Management, State Water Control Bldg., 2d Floor, Davenport Bldg., 11 South 10th St., Richmond, Va. 23219. Virginia Insurance Department, 200 Blanton Bldg., P.O. Box 1157, Richmond, Va. 23209.	City Hall, City of Franklin, 207 West Second Ave., Franklin, Va. 23851.	Feb. 22, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Do	Rockingham	Timberville, town of.	H 510130 A 01 through H 510130 A 02	do	Mayor, Town of Timberville, Timberville, Va. 22853.	Feb. 15, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Washington	Adams	Washtucna, town of.	H 53006 01	Department of Ecology, Olympia, Wash. 98501. Washington Insurance Department, Insurance Bldg., Olympia, Wash. 98501.	City Council, Washtucna, Wash. 99371	Do.
Do	Walla Walla	Unincorporated, areas.	H 530194 01 through H 530194 05	do	Walla Walla Regional Planning, Office, 3d and Rose Sts., Walla Walla, Wash. 99362.	Do.
Do	Snohomish	Index, town of	H 530166 01	do	Mayor, City Council, Index, Wash. 98256.	Do.
Do	Whitman	Unincorporated, areas.	H 530205 01 through H 530205 10	do	Department of Public, Whitman County Courthouse, Colfax, Wash. 99111.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do.	Whitman	Oakesdale, town of.	H 530210 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Oakesdale, Wash. 99158.	Do.
Do.	Yakima	Unincorporated, areas.	H 530217 01 through H 530217 22	do	Yakima County Courthouse, Room 417, North Second and B Sts., Yakima, Wash. 98901.	Do.
West Virginia	Mason	Henderson, town of.	H 540251 01	Office of Federal-State Relations, Room W. 115, Capitol Bldg., Charleston, W. Va. 25305. West Virginia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Charleston, W. Va. 25305.	Mason County Court, Town of Henderson, Pt. Pleasant, W. Va. 25550.	Do.
Wisconsin	Clark	Unincorporated, areas.	H 550048 01 through H 550048 10	Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 450, Madison, Wis. 53701. Wisconsin Insurance Department, 212 North Bassett St., Madison, Wis. 53703.	Zoning Administrator, Clark County Courthouse, County of Clark, Neillsville, Wis. 54456.	Do.
Do.	Lafayette	do	H 550223 01 through H 550223 03	do	County Clerk, County of Lafayette, Darlington, Wis. 53530.	Do.
Do.	Winnebago	Oshkosh, city of	H 550511 A 01 through H 550511 A 07	do	Mr. Gordon B. Jaeger, City Manager, City of Oshkosh, 215 Church Ave. P.O. Box 1130, Oshkosh, Wis. 54901.	Nov. 25, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.
Wyoming	Uinta	Unincorporated, areas.	H 560053 01 through H 560053 03	Wyoming Disaster and Civil Defense Agency, P.O. Box 1709, Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001. Department of Insurance, State of Wyoming, State Office Bldg., Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001.	County Mayor, County of Uinta, Uinta Co., Wyo. 82939.	Dec. 27, 1974.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75–633 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI 443]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities With Special Hazard Areas

The Federal Insurance Adminstrator finds that comment and public procedure and the use of delayed effective dates in identifying the areas of communities which have special flood or mudslide hazards, in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1915, would be contrary to the public interest. The purpose of such identifications is to guide new development away from areas threatened by flooding. Since this publication is merely for the purpose of informing the public of the location of areas of special flood hazard and has no bindng effect on the sale of flood insurance or the commencement of construction, notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest. Inasmuch as this publication is not a substantive rule, the identification of special hazard areas shall be effective on the date shown. Accordingly, Section 1915.3 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table, which entry reads as follows:

§ 1915.3 List of communities with special hazard areas.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Alabama	Greene	Boligee, city of	H 010092 01	Alabama Development Office, Office of State Planning, State Office Bldg., 501 Dexter Ave., Montgomery, Ala. 36104. Alabama Insurance Department, Room 453, Administrative Bldg., Montgomery, Ala. 36104.	Greene County Commission, City of Boligee, Eutaw, Ala. 35462.	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Covington	Unincorporated areas.	H 010244 01 through H 010244 04	do	Mayor, Covington County, Covington, Ala. No Zip.	Do.
Do.	Talladega	do	H 010297 01 through H 010297 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, Talladega County, Talladega, Ala. No Zip.	Do.
California	Almeda	Emeryville, city of.	H 060005 A 01 through H 060005 A 04	Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento, Calif. 95802. California Insurance Department, 107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.	Mayor, 2419 Powell St., Emeryville, Calif. 94608.	Apr. 12, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Del Norte	Crescent City, city of.	H 060039 A 01 through H 060039 A 04	do	Chairman, Del Norte, County Board of Supervisors, 450 H St., Crescent City, Calif. 95531.	May 3, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	San Diego	San Marcos, city of.	H 060296 01 through H 060296 06	do	City Clerk, City of San Marcos, 105 West Richmar Ave., P.O. Box 127, San Marcos, Calif. 92069.	May 24, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Colorado	Cheyenne	Kit Carson, town of.	H 080033 01	Colorado Water Conservation Board, Room 102, 1845 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Colorado Division of Insurance, 106 State Office Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80203.	Mayor, Kit Carson, Colo. 80825.	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Clear Creek	Silver Plume, town of.	H 080200 01	do.	Chairman, County Commissioners, Town of Silver Plume, County Courthouse, Georgetown, Colo. 80444.	Do.
Connecticut	Windham	Chaplin, town of.	H 090179 01 through H 090179 08	Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water and Related Resources, Room 207, State Office Bldg., Hartford, Conn. 06115. Connecticut Insurance Department State Capitol Bldg., 165 Capitol Ave., Hartford, Conn. 06115.	Town Manager, Town of Chalpin, Chaplin, Conn. 06235.	Do.
Delaware	Sussex	Unincorporated areas.	H 100029 01 through H 100029 60	Division of Soil and Water Conservation, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Tatnall Bldg., Capital Complex, Dover, Del. 19901. Delaware Insurance Department, 21 The Green, Dover, Del. 19001.	Mayor, Sussex County Council, Courthouse, County of Sussex, Georgetown, Del. 19947.	Do.
Florida	Escambia	do.	H 120080 01 through H 120080 02	Department of Community Affairs, 2571 Executive Center Circle East, Howard Bldg., Tallahassee, Fla. 32301. State of Florida Insurance Department, Treasurer's Office, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Fla. 32304.	Department of Community Development, County of Escambia, 803 North Palafox St., Pensacola, Fla. 32501.	Do.
Do.	Jackson	do.	H 12125 01 through H 120125 06	do.	Mayor, City Hall Jackson County, Jackson, Fla. 33705.	Do.
Do.	Port St. Lucie	St. Lucie, city of.	H 120287 01 through H 120287 22	do.	Mayor, Port St. Lucie, Fla. 33450.	Do.
Do.	Bithlo	Orange, town of.	H 120323 01 through H 120323 02	do.	Chairman, County Commissioners, P.O. Box 1393, Town of Bithlo, Orlando, Fla. 32802.	Do.
Do.	Bristol	Liberty, town of.	H 120324 01	do.	Chairman, Board of County Commissioners, County Courthouse, Bristol, Fla. 32321.	Do.
Georgia	Denton	Jeff Davis, city of.	H 130215 01	Department of Natural Resources, Office of Planning and Research, 270 Washington St. SW., Room 707, Atlanta, Ga. 30334. Georgia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Atlanta, Ga. 30334.	Chairman, Jeff Davis County Commission Courthouse, City of Denton, Hazlehurst, Ga. 31539.	Do.
Idaho	Malta	Cassia, town of.	H 160197 01	Department of Water Administration State House—Annex 2, Boise, Idaho 83707. Idaho Department of Insurance, Room 206, Statehouse, Boise, Idaho 83707.	City Clerk, Malta, Idaho 83342.	Do.
Illinois	Homewood	Cook, village of.	H 170109 A 01 through H 170109 A 03	Governor's Task Force on Flood Control, P.O. Box 475, Lisle, Ill. 60532. Illinois Insurance Department, 509 State Office Bldg., Springfield, Ill. 62702.	Village Manager, Engineering Department, Village Hall, 2020 Chestnut Rd., Homewood, Ill. 60430.	June 21, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Perry	Unincorporated areas.	H 170538 01 through H 170538 02	do.	Mayor, Perry County, City Hall, Perry, Ill. 62223.	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Duquoin	Perry, city of.	H 170539 01 through H 170539 03	do.	Mayor, City Hall, Duquoin, Ill. 62832.	Do.
Do.	Pike	Unincorporated areas.	H 170551 01 through H 170551 03	do.	Pike County Courthouse, Pittsfield, Ill. 62363.	Do.
Do.	Sauget	St. Clair, village of.	H 170635 01 through H 170635 02	do.	Zoning Director, St. Clair Bldg., 1 South Church, Village of Sauget, Belleville, Ill. 62220.	Do.
Indiana	Clinton	Unincorporated areas.	H 180029 01 through H 180029 05	Division of Water, Department of Natural Resources, 608 State Office Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Indiana Insurance Department, 509 State Office Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204.	Chairman County Commissioners, Court House, Clinton County, Clinton, Ind. 46802.	Do.
Do.	Dubois	do.	H 180054 01 through H 180054 03	do.	County Commissioners, Courthouse, County of Dubois, Jasper, Ind. 47546.	Do.
Do.	Franklin	do.	H 180068 01 through H 180068 03	do.	Executive Secretary, Planning and Zoning Board, Franklin, Ind. 46131.	Do.
Do.	Hamilton	do.	H 180080 01 through H 180080 03	do.	Chairman, City Council, Hamilton, Ind. 46014.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do.	Harrison	do.	H 180085 01 through H 180085 05	do.	Chairman, Harrison County Commissioners, Town Hall, Harrison, Ind. 46012.	Do.
Do.	Jennings	do.	H 180108 01 through H 180108 03	do.	Mayor, Jennings County, Courthouse, Jennings, Ind. 46404.	Do.
Do.	Posey	do.	H 180200 01 through H 180200 05	do.	Posey County Area Planning Commission, Posey, Ind. 47712.	Do.
Do.	Ripley	do.	H 180221 01 through H 180221 02	do.	Ripley County Planning Commission, Courthouse, Ripley, Ind. 46403.	Do.
Do.	Spring Grove	Wayne, town of	H 180286 01	do.	Town Board, Town of Spring Grove, Richmond, Ind. 47374.	Do.
Do.	Wells	Unincorporated areas.	H 180288 01 through H 180288 09	do.	Wells County Planning Commission, Wells County Courthouse, Bluffton, Ind. 46714.	Do.
Do.	Whitley	do.	H 180298 01 through H 180298 09	do.	Whitley County Planning Commission, Town Hall, Whitley, Ind. No Zip.	Do.
Iowa	Kimballton	Audubon, town of.	H 190014 01	Iowa. Natural Resources Council, James W. Grimes Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50319. Iowa Insurance Department, Lucas State Office Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50319.	Mayor, Kimballton, Iowa 51543	Do.
Do.	Ellsworth	Hamilton, town of.	H 190136 01	do.	Mayor, Ellsworth, Iowa 50075	Do.
Do.	Persia	Harrison, town of.	H 190150 01	do.	Mayor, Persia, Iowa 51563	Do.
Do.	Emerson	Mills, town of	H 190202 01	do.	Mayor, Emerson, Iowa 51533	Do.
Kansas	Atchison	Huron, city of	H 200012 01	Division of Water Resources, State Board of Agriculture, Topeka, Kans. 66612. Kansas Insurance Department, 1st Floor, Statehouse, Topeka, Kans. 66612.	Mayor, Huron, Kans. 66038	Do.
Do.	Hunter	Mitchell, city of	H 200228 01	do.	Mayor, Hunter, Kans. 67452	Do.
Do.	Willowbrook	Reno, city of	H 200285 01	do.	Mayor, Willowbrook, Kans. 67501	Do.
Do.	Manhattan	Riley, city of	H 200300 A 01 through H 200300 A 04	do.	Office of the City Manager, 11th and Poyntz, Manhattan, Kans. 66502.	Do.
Do.	Athol	Smith, city of	H 200340 01	do.	Mayor, Athol, Kans. 66932	Do.
Kentucky	Boyd	Unincorporated areas.	H 210016 01 through H 210016 04	Division of Water, Kentucky Department of Natural Resources, Capitol Plaza, Office Tower, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Kentucky Insurance Department Old Capitol Annex, Frankfort, Ky. 40601.	Boyd County Judge, Boyd County, City Courthouse, Catlettsburg, Ky. 41129.	Do.
Do.	Carter	do.	H 210050 01 through H 210050 06	do.	Carter County Judge, Courthouse, Carter County, Grayson, Ky. 41143.	Do.
Do.	Casey	do.	H 210053 01 through H 210053 06	do.	Casey County Judge, Courthouse, Casey County, Liberty, Ky. 42539.	Do.
Do.	Floyd	do.	H 210069 01 through H 210069 02	do.	Mayor, City Hall, Floyd County, Allen, Ky. 41601.	Do.
Do.	Knox	do.	H 210131 01 through H 210131 02	do.	Knox County Judge, Knox County, Barbourville, Ky. 40906.	Do.
Do.	Martin	do.	H 210166 01 through H 210166 02	do.	Martin County Judge, Courthouse, Martin County, Inez, Ky. 41224.	Do.
Louisiana	Mound	Madison, village of.	H 230124 01	State Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 44155, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804. Louisiana Insurance Department, Box 44214, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804.	Secretary, Village of Mound, Mound, La. 71262.	Do.
Maine	Orono	Penobscot, town of.	H 230113 01 through H 230113 07	Maine Soil and Water Conservation Commission, State House, Augusta, Maine 04330. Maine Insurance Department, Capitol Shopping Center, Angusta, Maine 04330.	Town Manager, Town Office, Orono, Maine 04473.	Do.
Do.	Eustis	Franklin, town of.	H 230347 01 through H 230347 06	do.	Town Manager, Town of Eustis, Eustis, Maine 04936.	Do.
Maryland	Queen Annes	Unincorporated areas.	H 240054 01 through H 240054 25	Department of Water Resources, State Office Bldg., Annapolis, Md. 21401. Maryland Insurance Department, 301 West Preston St., Baltimore, Md. 21201.	County Commissioners, Queen Annes County, Centreville, Md. 21617.	Do.
Do.	Mardela Springs	Wicomico, town of.	H 240079 01	do.	President, Town of Mardela Springs, Md. 21837.	Do.
Do.	Worcester	Unincorporated areas.	H 240083 01 through H 240083 32	do.	County Commissioner, Worcester County, Room 127, Courthouse, Snow Hill, Md. 21863.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Massachusetts	Lincoln	Middlesex, town of.	H 250199 01 through H 250199 04	Division of Water Resources, Water Resources Commission, State Office Bldg., 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202. Massachusetts Division of Insurance, 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202.	Town Manager, Town of Lincoln, Lincoln, Mass. 01773.	Do.
Michigan	Port Austin	Huron, township of.	H 260290 01 through H 260290 07	Water Resources Commission, Bureau of Water Management, Stevens T. Mason Bldg., Lansing, Mich. 48926. Michigan Insurance Bureau, 111 North Mosmer St., Lansing, Mich. 48913.	President, Village Hall, Port Austin, Mich. 48467.	Do.
Do	Ontonagon	Ontonagon, village of.	H 260309 A 01 through H 260309 A 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, Village of Ontonagon, Ontonagon, Mich. 49953.	May 24, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Minnesota	Lino Lakes	Anoka, city of	H 270015 01 through H 270015 02	Division of Waters, Soil and Minerals, Department of Natural Resources, Centennial Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. Minnesota Division of Insurance, R-210 State Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Mayor, City of Lino Lakes, 1189 Main St., Circle Pines, Minn. 55014.	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Hennepin	Unincorporated areas.	H 270149 01 through H 270149 06	do	Chairman, Hennepin County Commissioners, Hennepin County, Minneapolis, Minn. 55415.	Do.
Do	Lyon	Unincorporated areas.	H 270256 01 through H 270256 02	do	Lyon County Environmental Administration, Lyon County, Marshall, Minn. 56258.	Do.
Do	Halstad	Norman, city of	H 270324 A 01 through H 270324 A 02	do	Mayor, Village Hall, City of Halstad, Halstad, Minn. 56548.	May 24, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Warroad	Roseau, city of	H 270415 A 01 through H 270415 A 02	do	Mayor, City of Warroad, Warroad, Minn. 56763.	May 24, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Herman	Grant, city of	H 270576 01	do	Mayor, Herman, Minn. 56248.	Do.
Do	Norcross	do	H 270592 01	do	Mayor, Norcross, Minn. 56274.	Do.
Do	Odin	Watowan, city of	H 270594 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Odin, Minn. 56160.	Do.
Do	Russell	Lyon, city of	H 270600 01	do	Lyon County Commissioners, Courthouse, City of Russell, Russell, Minn. 56169.	Do.
Do	Seaforth	Redwood, city of	H 270602 01	do	Mayor, Seaforth, Minn. No ZIP.	Do.
Do	Tower	St. Louis, city of	H 270605 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Tower, Minn. 55790.	Do.
Do	Orr	do	H 270648 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Orr, Minn. 55771.	Do.
Mississippi	Rankin	Unincorporated areas.	H 280142 01 through H 280142 15	Mississippi Research and Development Center, P.O. Box Drawer 2470, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Mississippi Insurance Department, 910 Woolfolk Bldg., P.O. Box 79, Jackson, Miss. 39205.	Chancery Clerk Office, Rankin County Courthouse, County of Rankin, Brandon, Miss. 39042.	Do.
Do	Madison	Madison, town of.	H 280229 01 through H 280229 03	do	Mayor, City Hall, Madison, Miss. 39110.	Do.
Do	Union	Unincorporated areas.	H 280237 01 through H 280237 04	do	Mayor, City Hall, Union, Miss. 39365.	Do.
Do	Verona	Lee, town of	H 280262 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Verona, Miss. 38879.	Do.
Do	Toccopola	Pototoc, town of.	H 280263 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Toccopola, Miss. 38874.	Do.
Missouri	Darlington	Gentry, village of.	H 290146 01	Water Resources Board, P.O. Box 271, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Division of Insurance, P.O. Box 690, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101.	Mayor, Darlington, Mo. 64438.	Do.
Do	Bridgeton	St. Louis, city of	H 290339 A 01 through H 290339 A 06	do	Bridgeton City Hall, 11955 Natural Bridge Rd., Bridgeton, Mo. 63044.	Feb. 8, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Dalton	Chariton, village of.	H 290464 01	do	Presiding Judge, Chariton County Court, Village of Dalton, Courthouse, Keytesville, Mo. 65261.	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Mill Spring	Wayne, village of	H 290499 01	do	Presiding Judge, Wayne County Court, Courthouse, Greenville, Mo. 63944.	Do.
Nebraska	Niobrara	Knox, village of	H 310132 01	Nebraska Natural Resources Commission, P.O. Box 94725, State House Station, Lincoln, Nebr. 68509. Nebraska Insurance Department, 1335 L St., Lincoln, Nebr. 68509.	Mayor, City Hall, Niobrara, Nebr. 68760.	Do.
Do	Swanton	Saline, town of	H 310188 01	do	Mayor, Swanton, Nebr. 68445.	Do.
Nevada	Lovelock	Pershing, city of	H 320025 01	Division of Water Resources, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Nye Bldg., Carson City, Nev. 89701. Nevada Insurance Division, Department of Commerce, Nye Bldg., Carson, City, Nev. 89701.	Mayor, Lovelock, Nev. 89419.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
New Hampshire	New Ipswich	Hillsborough, township of.	H 330099 01 through H 330099 08	Office of State Planning, Division of Community, Planning, State House Annex, Concord, N.H. 03301. New Hampshire Insurance Department, 78 North Main St., Concord, N.H. 03301.	Selectmen, Town of New Ipswich, New Ipswich, N.H. 03071.	Do.
New Jersey	Pemberton	Burlington, township of.	H 340112 01 through H 340112 21	Bureau of Water Control, Department of Environment Protection, P.O. Box 1390, Trenton, N.J. 08625. New Jersey Department of Insurance, State House Annex, Trenton, N.J. 08625.	Office of the Township Clerk, Municipal Bldg., Township of Pemberton, Pemberton-Browns Mill Rd., New Libson, N.J. 08064.	Do.
Do	Gloucester	Camden, township of.	H 340133 01 through H 340133 07	do	Mayor, Township of Gloucester, 123 East Church St., Blackwood, N.J. 08012.	Do.
Do	Estell Manor	Atlantic, city of	H 340538 01 through	do	City Manager, City of Estell Manor, Estell Manor, N.J. 08319.	Do.
New Mexico	Columbus	Luna, village of	H 340538 18 H 350037 01	State Engineer's Office, Bataan Memorial Bldg., Santa Fe, N. Mex., 87501. New Mexico Department of Insurance, P.O. Box 1269, Sante Fe, N. Mex. 87501.	Mayor, Town Hall, Columbus, N.Mex. 88029.	Do.
Do	Jemez Springs	Sandoval, village of.	H 350096 01 through H 350096 02	do	Chairman, Sandoval County, Commission, Sandoval County Courthouse, Bernalillo, N. Mex. 87004.	Do.
New York	Durham	Greene, town of	H 360289 01 through H 360289 07	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Resources Management, Services, Albany, N.Y. 12201. New York State Insurance, Department, 123 William St., New York, N.Y. 10038.	Town Manager, Town of Durham, Durham, N.Y. 12422.	Do.
Do	Lysander	Onondaga, town of.	H 360583 01 through H 360583 29	do	Supervisor, Town of Lysander, 65 West Genesee St., Baldwinsville, N.Y. 13027.	Do.
Do	Spafford	do	H 360594 01 through H 360594 13	do	Town Clerk, Town of Spafford, Route No. 41, Rural Delivery No. 3, Skaneateles, N.Y. 13152.	Do.
Do	Alma	Allegany, town of	H 360980 01 through H 360980 10	do	Town Manager, Town of Alma, Alma, N.Y. 14708.	Do.
Do	Genesee	do	H 361101 01 through H 361101 05	do	Town Manager, Town of Genesee, Genesee, N.Y. No Zip.	Do.
Do	East Greenbush	Rensselaer, town of.	H 361133 01 through	do	Town Manager, Town of East Greenbush, East Greenbush, N.Y. 12061.	Do.
Do	Louisville	St. Lawrence, town of.	H 361180 01 through H 361180 16	do	Town Manager, Town of Louisville, Louisville, N.Y. No Zip.	Do.
Do	Bradford	Steuben, town of	H 361207 01 through H 361207 06	do	Town Manager, Town of Bradford, Bradford, N.Y. 14815.	Do.
Do	Conesville	Schoharie, town of	H 361432 01 through H 361432 11	do	Town Manager, Town of Conesville, Conesville, N.Y. No Zip.	Do.
Do	Milford	Otsego, town of	H 361274 01 through H 361274 14	do	Town Manager, Town of Milford, Milford, N.Y. 13807.	Do.
Do	Waddington	St. Lawrence, town of.	H 361187 01 through H 361187 07	do	Town Manager, Town of Waddington, Waddington, N.Y. 13694.	Do.
Do	Wethersfield	Wyoming, town of	H 361246 01 through H 361246 04	do	Town Manager, Town of Wethersfield, Wethersfield, N.Y. 14591.	Do.
Do	North Tarrytown	Westchester, village of.	H 361515 01 through H 361515 05	do	Mayor, 21 Wildey St., Tarrytown, N.Y. 10591.	Do.
North Carolina	Cumberland	Unincorporated Areas.	H 370076 01 through H 370076 02	North Carolina of Water and Air Resources, Department of Natural and Economic Resources, P.O. Box 27687, Raleigh, N.C. 27611. North Carolina Insurance Department, P.O. Box 26387, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.	Mayor, City Hall, Cumberland, N.C. 28331.	Do.
North Dakota	Valley City	Barnes, city of	H 380002 A 01 through H 380002 A 03	State Water Commission, State Office Bldg., 900 East Blvd., Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501. North Dakota Insurance Department, State Capitol, Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501.	Mayor, City Hall, Valley City, N. Dak. 58072.	Feb. 8, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Selfridge	Sioux, city of	H 380113 01	do	Mayor, Selfridge, N. Dak. 58568	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Alexander	McKenzie, city of	H 380055 01	do	Mayor, Alexander, N. Dak. 58331	Do.
Ohio	Port Clinton	Ottawa, city of	H 39043 A 01	Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Fountain Square, Columbus, Ohio 43224. Director of Insurance, State of Ohio, Department of Insurance, 115 East Rich St., Columbus, Ohio 43215.	City Hall, Adams and Second Sts., Port Clinton, Ohio 43452.	Feb. 8, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Walbridge	Wood, village of	H 390635 01 through H 390635 02	do	Mayor, Municipal Bldg., 111 North Main, Walbridge, Ohio 43465.	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Elida	Allen, village of	H 390656 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Village of Elida, Elida, Ohio 45807.	Mar. 29, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Oklahoma	Longdale	Blaine, town of	H 400014 01	Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 2241 Northwest 40th St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Oklahoma Insurance Department, Room 408 Will Rogers Memorial Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105.	Mayor, Longdale, Okla. 73755	Dec. 13, 1974.
Do	Council Hill	Muskogee, town of.	H 400122 01	do	President, Board of Trustees, Council Hill, Okla. 74428.	Do.
Do	Wainwright	do	H 400129 01	do	President, Board of Trustees, Wainwright, Okla. 74468.	Do.
Oregon	Boardman	Morrow, city of	H 410174 01	Executive Department, State of Oregon, Salem, Oreg. 97310. Oregon Insurance Division, Department of Commerce, 158 12th St. NE., Salem, Oreg. 97310.	Mayor, Boardman, Oreg. 97818	Do.
Do	Maupin	Wasco, city of	H 410233 01	do	Mayor, Maupin, Oreg. 97037	Do.
Do	Rufus	Sherman, city of	H 41004 01	do	Mayor, Rufus, Oreg. 97050	Do.
Pennsylvania	Alba	Bradford, borough of	H 420166 01 through H 420166 02	Department of Community Affairs, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120. Pennsylvania Insurance Department, 108 Finance Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120.	Mayor, P.O. Box 3, Alba, Pa. 16910	Do.
Do	Mill Creek	Huntington, borough of.	H 420488 01	do	Mayor, Star Route, Mill Creek, Pa. 17060.	Do.
Do	Jacksonville	Indiana, borough of.	H 420502 01	do	Mayor, Borough of Jacksonville, Kent, Pa. 15752.	Do.
Do	Kistler	Mifflin, borough of.	H 420686 01	do	Mayor, 601 Nolan Rd., Kistler Mount Union, Pa. 17066.	Do.
Do	Paupack	Wayne, township of.	H 421023 01 through H 421023 15	do	Office of the Secretary, Paupack Township Supervisors, Township of Paupack, Star Route No. 1, Box 134, Hawley, Pa. 18428.	Do.
Do	Wiconiso	Dauphin, township of.	H 421030 01 through H 421030 04	do	Wiconiso Firehouse, Board of Supervisors, Meeting Room, 313 Pottsville St., Wiconiso, Pa. 17097.	Do.
Do	Wayne	Mifflin, township of.	H 421240 01 through H 421240 14	do	Wayne Township, Municipal Bldg., Lock Haven, Pa. 17745.	Do.
Do	Gettysburg	Adams, borough of.	H 421243 01 through H 421243 04	do	Municipal Bldg., Administration Office, 34 East Middle St., Gettysburg, Pa. 17325.	Do.
Do	Tyrone	Adams, township of.	H 421260 01 through H 421260 05	do	Borough of Tyrone, 1100 Logan Ave., Tyrone, Pa. 16686.	Do.
Do	Manns Choice	Bedford, borough of.	H 421325 01	do	Mayor, Rural Delivery, Manns Choice, Pa. 15550.	Do.
Do	Conneaut	Erie, township of	H 421361 01 through H 421361 13	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Conneaut, Albion, Pa. 16401.	Do.
Do	Franklin	do	H 421362 01 through H 421362 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 3, Township of Franklin, Edinboro, Pa. 16412.	Do.
Do	Union	do	H 421370 01 through H 421370 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 3, Union City, Pa. 16438.	Do.
Do	Venango	do	H 421371 01 through H 421371 12	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Venango, Wattsburg, Pa. 16442.	Do.
Do	Wayne	do	H 421373 01 through H 421373 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Wayne, Rural Delivery No. 4, Corry, Pa. 16407.	Do.
Do	Frankstown	Blair, township of	H 421387 01 through H 421387 14	do	Frankstown Township Board of Supervisors, Box 19, Township of Frankstown, Sylvan Dr., Hollidaysburg, Pa. 16648.	Do.
Do	Tyrone	do	H 421395 01 through H 421395 12	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Tyrone, Rural Delivery No. 3, Box 395, Altoona, Pa. 16601.	Do.
Do	Kidder	Carbon, township of.	H 421453 01 through H 421453 20	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Kidder, P.O. Box 374, Lake Harmony, Pa. 18624.	Do.
Do	Lower Towamensing	Carbon, township of.	H 421455 01 through H 421455 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Lower Towamensing, Rural Delivery No. 1, Palmerton, Pa. 18071.	Do.
Do	Penn	Centre, township of.	H 421466 01 through H 421466 04	do	Penn Township Municipal Officer, Township of Penn, 1016 York St., Hanover, Pa. 17331.	Do.
Do	West Nantmeal	Chester, township of.	H 421498 01 through H 421498 02	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West Nantmeal, Rural Delivery No. 1, Honey Brook, Pa. 19344.	Do.
Do	Beccaria	Clearfield, township of.	H 421512 01 through H 421512 14	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Beccaria, Coalport, Pa. 16627.	Do.
Do	Millville	Columbia, borough of.	H 421545 01 through H 421545 03	do	Secretary, Borough of Millville, Sunnyview Lane, Millville, Pa. 17846.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.	Jackson	Columbia, township of.	H 421552 01 through H 421552 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jackson, Rural Delivery No. 4, Benton, Pa. 17814.	Do.
Do.	Roaring Creek	do	H 421557 01 through H 421557 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Roaring Creek, Rural Free Delivery 1, Catawissa, Pa. 17820.	Do.
Do.	Steuben	Crawford, township of.	H 421571 01 through H 421571 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Steuben, Rural Delivery 1, Townville, Pa. 16360.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Dauphine, township of.	H 421568 01 through H 421568 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Washington, Elizabethville, Pa. 17023.	Do.
Do.	Williams	do	H 421601 01 through H 421601 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Williamstown, Pa. 17098.	Do.
Do.	Perry	Fayette, township of.	H 421634 01 through H 421634 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Perry, Star Junction, Pa. 15482.	Do.
Do.	Stewart	do	H 421640 01 through H 421640 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Stewart, Rural Delivery No. 1, Ohiopyle, Pa. 15470.	Do.
Do.	Thompson	Fulton, township of.	H 421664 01 through H 421664 10	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of. Thompson, Star Route, Hancock, Pa. 17331.	Do.
Do.	Wells	do	H 421666 01 through H 421666 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Wells, Wells Tannery, Pa. 16691.	Do.
Do.	Clay	Huntington, township of.	H 421687 01 through H 421687 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Clay, Hopleton Depot, Pa. 17252.	Do.
Do.	Dublin	do	H 421689 01 through H 421689 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery, Township of Dublin, Shade Gap, Pa. 17255.	Do.
Do.	Jackson	do	H 421691 01 through H 421691 18	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jackson, Rural Delivery No. 1, Petersburg, Pa. 16669.	Do.
Do.	Shirley	do	H 421700 01 through H 421700 16	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Shirley, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hill Valley, Mount Union, Pa. 17066.	Do.
Do.	Springfield	do	H 421701 01 through H 421701 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Springfield, Star Route, Three Springs, Pa. 17264.	Do.
Do.	Gaskill	Jefferson, township of.	H 421727 01 through H 421727 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Town of Gaskill, Punxsutawney, Pa. 15767.	Do.
Do.	White	Indiana, township of.	H 421725 01 through H 421725 13	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of White, Rural Delivery No. 2, Indiana, Pa. 15701.	Do.
Do.	Oliver	Jefferson, township of.	H 421732 01 through H 421732 10	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Oliver, Punxsutawney, Pa. 15767.	Do.
Do.	Greenwood	Juniata, township of.	H 421741 01 through H 421741 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Greenwood, Thompsontown, Pa. 17097.	Do.
Do.	Mahoning	Lawrence, township of.	H 421793 01 through H 421793 09	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Mahoning, Hillsville, Pa. 16132.	Do.
Do.	Union	Lebanon, township of.	H 421806 01 through H 421806 12	do	Union Township, Municipal Bldg., Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Union, Dourbsville, Pa. 19518.	Do.
Do.	Hollenback	Luzerne, township of.	H 421831 01 through H 421831 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Hobbie Road, Township of Hollenback, Wapwallopen, Pa., 18660.	Do.
Do.	Sandy Lake	Mercer, township of.	H 421874 01 through H 421874 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Sandy Lake, Rural Delivery No. 1, Stoneboro, Pa. 16153.	Do.
Do.	Springfield	Mercer, township of.	H 421877 01 through H 421877 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Springfield, Rural Delivery No. 1, Grove City, Pa. 16127.	Do.
Do.	Southwest, Madison.	Perry, township of.	H 421957 01 through H 421957 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Southwest Madison, Loysville, Pa. 17047.	Do.
Do.	Palmyra	Pike, township of.	H 421968 01 through H 421968 15	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Palmyra, Tafton, Pa. 18464.	Do.
Do.	Portage	Potter, township of.	H 421985 01 through H 421985 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Portage, Austin, Pa. 16720.	Do.
Do.	Sharon	do	H 421987 01 through H 421987 10	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Sharon, Rural Delivery No. 1, Millport, Pa. 16739.	Do.
Do.	Freeburg	Snyder, borough of.	H 422030 01	do	Mayor, Freeburg, Pa. 17827	Do.
Do.	Adams	Snyder, township of.	H 422031 01 through H 422031 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Adams, Beavertown, Pa. 17813.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas w le have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do.	Jefferson	Somerset, township of.	H 422050 01 through H 422050 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jefferson, Rural Delivery No. 4, Somerset, Pa. 15501.	Do.
Do.	Ogle	Somerset, township of.	H 422052 01 through H 422052 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Ogle, Rural Delivery No. 1, Box 235, Windber, Pa. 15963.	Do.
Do.	Colley	Sullivan, township of.	H 422059 01 through H 422059 16	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Colley, Rural Delivery, Dushore, Pa. 18614.	Do.
Do.	Hillsgrove	Sullivan, township of.	H 422064 01 through H 422064 10	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Hillsgrove, Pa. 18619.	Do.
Do.	Brooklyn	Susquehanna, township of.	H 422075 01 through H 422075 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Brooklyn, Rural Delivery No. 2, Hop Bottom, Pa. 18824.	Do.
Do.	Oakland	Venango, township of.	H 422111 01 through H 422111 05	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Oakland, Rural Delivery No. 1, Cooperstown, Pa. 16317.	Do. Do.
Do.	Beallsville	Washington, borough of.	H 422129 01	do	Mayor, Beallsville, Pa. 15313	Do.
Do.	North Strabane	Washington, township of.	H 422151 01 through H 422151 10	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of North Strabane, 134 Mitchell Dr., Canonsburg, Pa. 15317.	Do.
Do.	Smith	do	H 422153 01 through H 422153 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Smith, Box 237, Slovan, Pa. 15078.	Do.
Do.	Cross Roads	York, borough of	H 422309 01 through H 422309 02	do	Mayor, Borough of Cross Roads, Rural Delivery No. 1, Felton, Pa. 17322.	Do.
Do.	West Manheim	York, township of.	H 422334 01 through H 422334 09	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West Manheim, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hanover, Pa. 17331.	Do.
Do.	Bell	Jefferson, township of.	H 422344 01 through H 422344 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Bell, Rural Delivery No. 2, Punxsutawney, Pa. 15767.	Do.
Do.	West Marlborough	Chester, township of.	H 422279 01 through H 422279 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West Marlborough, Rural Delivery No. 4, Coatesville, Pa. 19320.	Do.
Do.	Arendtsville	Adams, borough of.	H 422292 01 through H 422292 02	do	Mayor, Arendtsville, Pa. 17303	Do.
Do.	Darlington	Beaver, township of.	H 422312 04 through H 422312 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Darlington, Rural Delivery No. 1, New Galilee, Pa. 16141.	Do.
Do.	Greene	do	H 422317 01 through H 422317 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisor, Town of Greene, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hookstown, Pa. 15050.	Do.
Do.	Patterson	do	H 422326 01	do	Town Manager, Township of Patterson, Patterson, Pa. 15009.	Do.
Do.	Potter	do	H 422327 01 through H 422327 02	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Potter, 117 West Mowry Rd., Monaca, Pa. 15061.	Do.
Do.	Milford	Bucks, township of.	H 422337 01 through H 422337 08	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Milford, Rural Delivery No. 2, Quakertown, Pa. 18951.	Do.
Do.	Montgomery	Franklin, township of.	H 422426 01 through H 422426 19	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Montgomery, Rural Delivery No. 3, Mercersburg, Pa. 17236.	Do.
Do.	Mifflin	Lycoming, township of.	H 422590 01 through H 422590 04	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Mifflin, Rural Delivery No. 1, Jerseyshore, Pa. 17740.	Do.
South Carolina	Anderson	Anderson, city of	H 450014 01 through H 450014 05	South Carolina Resources, P.O. Drawer 164, 700 Knox Abbott Dr., Cayce, S.C. 29033. South Carolina Insurance Department, 2711 Middleburg St., Columbia, S.C. 29204.	Building Department, City Hall, Anderson, S.C. 29621.	May 17, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Gray Court	Laurens, town of	H 450210 01	do	J. E. Atkinson, Mayor, City Hall, Town of Gray Court, Laurens, S.C. 29360.	Dec. 13, 1974.
South Dakota	Montrose	McCook, town of	H 460052 01	South Dakota Planning Agency, State Capitol Bldg., Pierre, S. Dak. 57501. South Dakota Department of Insurance, Insurance Department, Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.	Mayor, Montrose, S. Dak. 57048	Do.
Do.	Northville	Spink, town of	H 460080 01	do	Mayor, Northville, S. Dak. 57465	Do.
Do.	Mission Hill	Yankton, town of	H 460001 01	do	Yankton County Planning, and Zoning Board, Yankton, S. Dak. 57078.	Do.
Tennessee	Gallaway	Fayette, city of	H 470048 01 through H 470048 02	Tennessee State Planning Office, 660 Capitol Hill Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Tennessee Department of Insurance and Banking, 114 State Office Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219.	Layton Watson, P.O. Box 168, City of Gallaway, Gallatin, Tenn. 38036.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Texas	Converse	Bexar, city of	H 480038 01 through H 480038 02	Texas Water Development Board, P.O. Box 13087, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711. Texas Insurance Department, 1110 San Jacinto St., Austin, Tex. 78701.	City Engineer, City Hall, 204 South Seguin St., Converse, Tex. 78109.	Feb. 1, 1974. Dec. 13, 1974.
Do.	Irving	Dallas, city of	H 480180 01 through H 480180 23	do	Office of the City Secretary, City Hall, 835 West Irving Bldg., Irving, Tex. 75060.	Do.
Do.	Pine Forest	Orange, city of	H 480697 01	do	Pine Forest City Council, 207 Nagel Dr., Vidor, Tex. 77662.	Do.
Vermont	Bristol	Addison, village of.	H 500165 01 through H 500165 02	Management and Engineering Division, Water Resources Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602. Vermont Insurance Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602.	Chairman, Bristol Board of Selectmen, Bristol, Vt. 05443.	Do.
Do.	Kirby	Caledonia, town of.	H 500188 01 through H 500188 02	do	Town Manager, Town of Kirby, Kirby, Vt. No Zip.	Do.
Do.	Shelburne	Chittenden, town of.	H 500193 01 through H 500193 03	do	Mayor, City Hall, Shelburne, Vt. 05482.	Do.
Do.	Sutton	Caledonia, town of.	H 500198 01 through H 500198 04	do	Chairman, Board of Selectmen, c/o Town Clerk, Sutton, Vt. 05867.	Do.
Do.	East Haven	Essex, town of	H 500209 01 through H 500209 03	do	Town Manager, Town of East Haven, East Haven, Vt. 05837.	Do.
Do.	Ferdinand	do	H 500210 01 through H 500210 05	do	Town Manager, Town of Ferdinand, Ferdinand, Vt. 05452.	Do.
Do.	Granby	Essex, town of	H 500211 01 through H 500211 04	do	Town Manager, Town of Granby, Granby, Vt. 05840.	Do.
Do.	Lemington	do	H 500212 01 through H 500212 04	do	Town Manager, Town of Lemington, Lemington, Vt. No ZIP.	Do.
Do.	Maidstone	do	H 500213 01 through H 500213 03	do	Town Manager, Town of Maidstone, Maidstone, Vt. No ZIP.	Do.
Do.	Victory	do	H 500215 01 through H 500215 04	do	Chairman, Victory Board of Selectmen, Victory, Vt. No ZIP.	Do.
Do.	Braintree	Orange, town of	H 500235 01 through H 500235 04	do	Town Manager, Town of Braintree, Braintree, Vt. No ZIP.	Do.
Do.	Brookfield	do	H 500236 01 through H 500236 04	do	Town Manager, Town of Brookfield, Brookfield, Vt. 05036.	Do.
Do.	Topsham	do	H 500241 01 through H 500241 04	do	Chairman, Topsham Board of Trustees, c/o Town Clerk, Topsham, Vt. 05076.	Do.
Do.	Brownington	Orleans, town of	H 500245 01 through H 500245 02	do	Town Manager, Town of Brownington, Brownington, Vt. 05860.	Do.
Do.	Derby	do	H 500248 01 through H 500248 05	do	Town Manager, Town of Derby, Derby, Vt. 05829.	Do.
Do.	Benson	Rutland, town of	H 500259 01 through H 500259 04	do	Town Manager, Town of Benson, Benson, Vt. 05731.	Do.
Do.	Pittsfield	Rutland, town of.	H 500263 01 through H 500263 03	do	Town Manager, Town of Pittsfield, Pittsfield, Vt. 05762.	Do.
Do.	Roxbury	Washington, town of.	H 500276 01 through H 500276 04	do	Chairman, Board of Selectmen, Roxbury, Vt. 05669.	Do.
Do.	Hubbardton	Rutland, town of	H 500313 01 through H 500313 02	do	Town Manager, Town of Hubbardton, Hubbardton, Vt. 05701.	Do.
Virginia	Accomack	Unincorporated areas.	H 510001 01 through H 510001 53	Bureau of Water Control Management, State Water Control Board, P.O. Box 11143, Richmond, Va. 23230. Virginia Insurance Department, 700 Blanton Bldg., P.O. Box 1157, Richmond, Va. 23209.	Office of the County Administrator, Accomack, Va. 23301.	Do.
Do.	Fauquier	do	H 510055 01 through H 510055 42	do	Chairman, Fauquier County, Board of Supervisors, County of Fauquier, County Office Bldg., Warrenton, Va. 22186.	Do.
Do.	Fluvanna	do	H 510058 01 through H 510058 22	do	Clerk of the Circuit Court, Clerks Office, County of Fluvanna, Palmyra, Va. 22932.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do.	Northumberland	Unincorporated areas.	H 510107 01 through H 510107 18	do.	Office of the County Administrator, Northumberland County Courthouse, County of Northumberland, Heathsville, Va. 22473.	Do.
Do.	Greene	do.	H 510200 01 through H 510200 12	do.	County Administrator, Greene County, Standardsville, Va. 22973.	Do.
Do.	Hanover	do.	H 510237 01 through H 510237 31	do.	Hanover County Courthouse, County of Hanover, Hanover, Va. 23069.	Do.
Washington	Kahlotus	Franklin, town of.	H 530045 01	Department of Ecology, Olympia, Wash. 98501. Washington Insurance Department, Insurance Bldg., Olympia, Wash. 98501.	Mayor, City Hall, Kahlotus, Wash. 99335.	Do.
Do.	Hartline	Grant, town of.	H 530052 01	do.	City Council, Hartline, Wash. 99135.	Do.
Do.	Oakville	Grays Harbor, town of.	H 530064 01	do.	Mayor, Town of Oakville, Oakville, Wash. 98568.	Do.
Do.	Harrington	Lincoln, town of.	H 530110 01	do.	Mayor, Town of Harrington, Harrington, Wash. 99134.	Do.
West Virginia	Mercer	Unincorporated, areas.	H 540124 01 through H 540124 28	Office of Federal-State Relations, Room W. 115, Capitol Bldg., Charleston, W. Va. 25305. West Virginia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Charleston, W. Va. 25305.	Mayor, Town Hall, Mercer County, Princeton, W. Va. 24740.	Do.
Do.	Wheeling	Ohio, city of.	H 540152 01 through H 540152 11	do.	City Manager, City County Bldg., Wheeling, W. Va. 26003.	Do.
Do.	Taylor	Unincorporated, areas.	H 540188 01 through H 540188 13	do.	Mayor, City Hall, Taylor County, Hendricks, W. Va. 26271.	Do.
Do.	Tucker	do.	H 540191 01 through H 540191 26	do.	Mayor, City Hall, Tucker County, Parsons, W. Va. 26287.	Do.
Do.	Webster	do.	H 540203 01 through H 540203 37	do.	Mayor, City Hall, Webster County, Webster Springs, W. Va. 26288.	Do.
Wisconsin	Door	do.	H 550109 01 through H 550109 92	Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 450, Madison, Wis. 53701. Wisconsin Insurance Department, 212 North Bassett St., Madison, Wis. 53703.	Office of the County Zoning Administrator, Door County Planning Department, Court House, Door County, Sturgeon Bay, Wis. 54235.	Do.
Do.	Fond DuLac	do.	H 550131 01 through H 550131 03	do.	City Clerks Office, City Hall, 76 East 2d St., Fond DuLac, Wis. 54935.	Do.
Wyoming	Chugwater	Platte, town of.	H 560041 01 through H 560041 02	Wyoming Disaster and Civil Defense Agency, P.O. Box 1709, Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001. Department of Insurance, State of Wyoming, State Office Bldg., Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001.	Mayor, Town Hall, Chugwater, Wyo. 82210.	Do.
Do.	Ten Sleep	Washakie, town of.	H 560055 01	do.	Mayor, City Hall, Ten Sleep, Wyo. 82442.	Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969)

Issued: December 16, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-636 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On July 31, 1971, in 36 FR 14182, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Mesquite, Texas, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 48 113 4530 03 which indicates that the Treasury, a subdivision of the City of Mesquite, Texas, as shown in Volume 73070, at Page 0019, of the records in the office of the County Clerk of Dallas County, Texas, is in its entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective August 7, 1970, Map No. H 48 113 4530 03 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968) Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 31, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-887 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On October 14, 1971, at 36 FR 19975, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included Atlanta,

Georgia, as an eligible community and included map No. H 13 121 0280 14 which indicates that property

Beginning at a point located 25.7' from the Railroad Monument along a line S 25° 43' 00" E thence to a point 999.4' along a line S 25° 43' 00" E thence to a point 409.7' along a line N 89° 85' 00" W thence to a point 124.2' along a line N 14° 58' 00" W thence to a point 1109.4 along a line N 00° 36' 00" E to the point of beginning, enclosing 197,414 square feet of area, as recorded on Square 10, land lot 56 of the 17th District, in the office of the Fulton County Clerk of the Superior Court

is, in its entirety, within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration after a review of recently acquired flood information, as well as a review of the above map, that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area, with the exception of the northern tip which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point located 25.7' from the Railroad Monument along a line S 25° 43' 00" E thence to a point 187' along a line S 25° 43' 00" E thence 88 feet to a point which lies on the western property line 142 feet from the point of beginning thence along that western property line, the directional bearing of which is N 00° 36' 00" E, 142 feet to the point of beginning, as recorded on square 10, land lot 56 of the 17th District, located in the Office of the Fulton County Clerk of the Superior Court.

Accordingly, effective October 8, 1971, map No. H 13 121 0280 14 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property with the exception of the northern tip as described above is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968) effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 31, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-888 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On February 13, 1974, in 39 FR 5500, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Duncanville, Texas as an eligible community and included Map No. H 48 113 2010 05 which indicates that Southwood Estates, Duncanville, Texas, as recorded in the records of the County Clerk of Dallas County, Texas, in Volume 73063 at page 0013, is in its entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective February 8, 1974, Map No. H 48 113 2010 05 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 31, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-889 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On May 17, in 39 FR 17520, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Wyandotte, Michigan, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 260246 01 which indicates that Lots No. 656, 657 and the N 15 feet of Lot 658 of West Park Subdivision No. 2 (2271 21st, Wyandotte, Michigan), as recorded in the County Records of Wayne County, Michigan, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective May 17, 1974, Map No. H 260246 01 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-890 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On June 26, 1971, 36 FR 12171, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included Denville Township, New Jersey, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 34 027 0745 04 which indicates that Block 80, Lot No. 1 of Denville Township, New Jersey, as recorded in Volume 2057, at Page 443 in the records of Denville Township, New Jersey, is in its entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective July 11, 1970, Map No. H 34 027 0745 04 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-891 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On July 23, 1971, in 36 FR 13676, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included Arlington, Texas, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 48 439 0260 17 which indicates that Lots No. 1-31 of Block 1, Lots No. 1-41 of Block 2, Lots No. 1-78 of Block 3, and Lots No. 1-21 of Block 4, as shown on the Revision Map of Stoneridge Addition, the Fifth Installment of an Addition to the City of Arlington, Texas, and recorded on March 16, 1972, in Volume 388-73, at Page 49, in the Office of the Clerk of the County Court of Tarrant County, Texas, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective August 7, 1970, Map No. H 48 439 0260 17 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR

17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-892 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On July 31, 1971, in 36 FR 14182, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Mesquite, Texas, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 48 113 4530 03 which indicates that Lots No. 1-12 of Block A, Lots No. 1-44 of Block B, Lots No. 1-18 of Block C, and Lots No. 1-16 of Block D, of Stoney Glen, an addition to the City of Mesquite, Texas, as shown on Volume 74218, at Page 974, of the records in the Office of the County Clerk for Dallas County, Texas, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective August 7, 1970, Map No. H 48 113 4530 03 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-893 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On September 3, 1971, in 36 FR 17648, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included Rockaway Borough, New Jersey, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 34 027 2850 06 which indicates that Block 23, Lots No. 42, 44, and 45, except for a portion which lies in the northwest corner, beginning at a point 150 feet southwest of the Beginning Corner of the Whole Tract along a line S 90°13'48" W through the Beginning Corner of the Whole Tract, thence to a point 330 feet along a line 390°13'48" W on the western tract boundary, thence to a point 210 feet along a line S 159°58'22" W, thence to the point of beginning, Rockaway Borough, New Jersey, as recorded in Volume 2057, at Page 439 of the records of Rockaway Borough, New Jersey, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective October 17, 1970, Map No. H 34 207 2850 06 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 24, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-894 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On August 24, 1973, in 38 FR 22776, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the Village of Ridgewood, New Jersey, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 34 003 2790 03 which indicates that 247 Olivia Street, Ridgewood, New Jersey, as recorded in the Bergen County Courthouse, Hackensack, New Jersey, in Deedbook No. 4346, at Page 145, is in its entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective August 31, 1973, Map No. H 34 003 2790 03 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), (effective January 28, 1969) effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-895 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On December 5, 1973, in 38 FR 33467, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Columbus, Indiana, as an eligible community and included map No. H 18 005 0990 06 which indicates that Eastgate Subdivision, Section Two and Section Three, and Hartford Place Subdivision, in the City of Columbus, Indiana, as recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Bartholomew County, Indiana, in Book G at Pages 57 and 76, and Book H at Page 23, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective December 7, 1973, Map No. H 18 005 0990 06 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001-4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-896 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On February 13, 1974, in 39 FR 5500, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Duncanville, Texas, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 48 113 2010 05 which indicates that Shenandoah Estates, Duncanville, Texas, as recorded in the records of the County Clerk of Dallas County, Texas, in Volume 73034 at page 2301, is in its entirety

within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective February 8, 1974, Map No. H 48 113 2010 05 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001–4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 24, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75–897 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On August 6, 1974, in 39 FR 28241, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of South Lake Tahoe, California, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 065060 02 which indicates that Lakeland Village Unit No. 1, as recorded in Map Book E, at page 91 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of El Dorado, California; Lakeland Village Unit No. 2, as recorded in Map Book E, at Page 98 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of El Dorado, California; Lakeland Village Unit No. 3, as recorded in Map Book E, at Page 110 in the Office of the Recorder of El Dorado, California; and Lakeland Village Unit No. 4, as recorded in Map Book E, at Page 111 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of El Dorado, California, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of the additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective July 19, 1974, Map No. H 065060 02 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001–4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75–898 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities; Correction

On August 6, 1974, in 39 FR 28253, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Elkhart, Indiana, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 180057 02 and 04 which indicates that property located at 1501 Greenleaf Boulevard, Elkhart, Indiana, as recorded in Plat Record 1, at Pages 154–155 in the Office of the Recorder of Elkhart County, Indiana, is in its entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in view of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective June 28, 1974, Map No. H 180057 02 and 04 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, December 24, 1969), (42 U.S.C. 4001–4127); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974)

Issued: December 27, 1974.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75–899 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

Title 29—Labor

CHAPTER XXV—OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE BENEFITS SECURITY

SUBCHAPTER F—FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY

PART 2555—BONDING REQUIREMENTS

Provisions Regarding Employee Retirement Income Security Act

Bonding. Section 412 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) requires generally that plan officials who handle funds or other property of employee benefit plans must be bonded. Section 412(e) of the Act authorizes the Secretary of Labor (the Secretary) to prescribe such regulations as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of the section.

The temporary regulation set forth below incorporates by reference Subparts A through E of Part 464, with the exception of § 464.2 (basic bonding requirements), Subpart B of Part 465 (exemptions from bonding requirements) and Part 485 (prohibition against bonding by parties interested in the plan) of Chapter IV of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which contain the bonding regulations issued under the authority of section 13 of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act, as amended (hereinafter the WPPDA), and makes them applicable to plan officials under the Act. Any interested person who does not have access to the Code of Federal Regulations may submit a written request for a copy of the bonding regulations originally issued under the authority of the WPPDA to: "Request for WPPDA Bonding Regulations," Charles Caldwell, LMSA Information Office, Room 2104 ML, United States Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210.

The regulation hereby adopted applies to all plans covered by the Act, including plans which would not have been covered by the WPPDA. For example, a plan which covers fewer than 26 participants would not have been covered by the WPPDA. However, under the Act, such a plan is covered, and the provisions of this temporary regulation which incorporate by reference the substance of the regulations issued pursuant to section 13 of the WPPDA are applicable to such a plan.

This temporary regulation does not purport to extend the life of the WPPDA or the regulations issued pursuant thereto past January 1, 1975, which is the date as of which the bonding section of the WPPDA was repealed (section 111(b)(1) of the Act). Rather, this regulation incorporates the substance of the old regulation and is issued under the authority of section 412(e) of the Act.

The Secretary recognizes that many of the bonds and riders thereto which were secured by persons to comply with the WPPDA contain a reference to the WPPDA. For the purposes of this temporary regulation, any reference in such a bond or rider to the WPPDA shall be deemed to refer instead to the Act: *Provided*, That the surety company so agrees.

It should be noted that the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Conference Committee states that generally the bonding provisions of the Act are identical to section 13 of the WPPDA and it is intended that the construction given to the bonding requirements before enactment of the Act would continue. Therefore the incorporation by reference of the WPPDA regulations cited above will not result in undue hardship by causing changes in existing bonding practices. To the contrary, the effect of this temporary regulation is to allow for the continuation of existing bonding practices, while permanent bonding regulations are being considered.

The regulation set forth below is a temporary regulation which shall remain in effect pending the issuance of permanent regulations. The regulation shall be effective as of the date of publication because it is impracticable to issue it with notice and public procedure thereon under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) or subject to the effective date limitations of 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

The Secretary finds that there exists good cause for making the regulation effective on January 10, 1975, since most interested persons have been operating under the substantive terms of the regulation for a number of years and to delay the effective date for 30 days would cause undue hardship to persons in the affected class by virtue of the fact that they would be subject to the requirements of the Act on January 1, 1975, without any guidance as to the meaning and scope of the statutory requirements.

The Secretary anticipates issuance of a permanent regulation within a short period of time. In issuing the permanent regulation, the Secretary will follow the ordinary procedures for proposed rulemaking procedures as set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 533. The temporary regulation issued today will remain in effect until the period for comment by interested persons on the proposed permanent regulation has expired and the Secretary has published the permanent regulation in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Accordingly, Chapter XXV of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a new Part 2555 to read as follows:

§ 2555.1 Temporary bonding requirements.

(a) Pending the issuance of permanent regulations with respect to the bonding provisions under section 412 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act), any plan official, as defined in section 412(a) of the Act, shall be deemed to be in compliance with the bonding requirements of the Act if he or she is bonded under a bond which would have been in compliance with section 13 of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act, as amended (the WPPDA), and with the basic bonding requirements of Subparts A through E of Part 464, Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (except for § 464.2 thereof), and with the prohibition against bonding by parties interested in the plan contained in Part 485 of such title, or would be exempt from such bonding requirements because bonding would not be required under the exemption provisions contained in Subpart B of Part 465 of such title. The requirements which are set forth in the temporary regulations hereby adopted shall be applicable to all employee benefit plans covered by the Act, including those plans which were not covered by the WPPDA. Thus, for example, the regulations so adopted are applicable to plans containing fewer than 26 participants, although such plans were not covered by the WPPDA.

(b) For the purpose of this temporary regulation, any bond or rider thereto obtained by a plan official which contains a reference to the WPPDA will be construed by the Secretary to refer to the Act: *Provided*, That the surety company so agrees.

(c) For the purpose of this regulation, (1) any reference to section 13 of the WPPDA or any subsection thereof in the regulations issued under the WPPDA and which are incorporated by reference by this temporary regulation shall be deemed to refer to section 412 of the Act, or the corresponding subsection thereof, (2) where the particular phrases set forth in the Act are not identical to the phrases in the WPPDA and the regulations issued pursuant thereto, the phrases appearing in the Act shall be substituted by operation of law, and (3) where the phrases are identical but the meaning is different, the meaning given such phrases by the Act shall govern. For example, the phrase "administrators, officers, and employees of any employee welfare benefit plan or of any employee pension benefit plan subject to this Act who handle funds or other property of such plan" which appears in section 13 of the WPPDA and the regulations issued thereunder shall be construed to mean, for purposes of this regulation, "plan officials", which is the term appearing in section 412 of the Act, and the terms "employee welfare benefit plan" and "employee pension benefit plan" shall be given the meaning assigned to them by the Act, and not the meaning set forth in the WPPDA.

(d) The requirements of this temporary regulation, as set forth in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section, shall remain in effect pending the issuance of permanent regulations by the Secretary.

(Sec. 505, Pub. L. 93-406, 88 Stat. 894 (29 U.S.C. 1135), sec. 412(e), Pub. L. 93-406, 88 Stat. 889 (29 U.S.C. 1112))

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of January 1975.

PAUL J. FASSER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Labor-Management Relations.

[FR Doc.75-874 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 35—Panama Canal

CHAPTER I—CANAL ZONE REGULATIONS

PART 67—POSTAL SERVICE

Fourth Class Mail

This document amends the existing regulation to rescind the 4th class mailing rate of the Canal Zone Postal Service for shipments by registered mail of currency and U.S. savings bond matter. This change conforms the Canal Zone rates for such items with those prescribed by the United States Postal Service.

In 35 CFR Part 67, §§ 67.471(b) and 67.472(c) are amended to read as follows:

§ 67.471 Unfit and mutilated currency shipments.

* * * * *

(b) *Postage.* Shipments shall be at the first class, priority, or airmail rate of postage.

* * * * *

§ 67.472 Shipments of U.S. savings bond matter.

* * * * *

(c) Shipments shall be at the first class, priority, or airmail rate of postage.

* * * * *

Effective date. This amendment is effective July 1, 1974.

(2 C.Z.C. 1131-1143, 76A Stat. 38-40.)

Dated: December 30, 1974.

[SEAL] DAVID S. PARKER,
Governor of the Canal Zone.

[FR Doc.75-923 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER C—FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

[Amdt. 34]

PART 271—PARTICIPATION OF STATE AGENCIES AND ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLDS

Tax Dependency

On September 16, 1974, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 33228), a notice of proposed rulemaking to revise Food Stamp Program Regulations governing the eligibility of students. The proposed amendment would make ineligible a student who: (1) Is at least 18 years old; (2) Is enrolled in an institution of higher education; and (3) Is a properly claimed tax dependent of a person who is not a member of an eligible household.

There were 50 comments received on the new provision. Twenty-five were in favor and 22 were opposed. Favorable commentators representing the general public, State agencies, and interest groups advocated the establishment of criteria to eliminate non-needy students from the Food Stamp Program. State welfare agencies and advocacy groups opposed to the provision were concerned that the proposed regulations do not meet the objections found by the Supreme Court to the previous tax dependency provision, establish eligibility criteria not related to a student's actual need for food assistance, and would require complex administrative procedures for implementation.

The language of the regulations was designed to address the major court objections. Only the individual who is properly claimed as a tax dependent is disqualified; the other household members may apply for certification. The tax dependency criteria apply only to students enrolled in institutions of higher education. The stipulation that the tax dependent must be properly claimed allows the student an opportunity for rebuttal through the fair hearing process.

The student's eligibility is determined on the basis of whether he will be claimed as a tax dependent for the current year, rather than on the basis of his tax status for the past year.

The tax dependency criteria relate the eligibility of a dependent student living outside the household of his parent or guardian to the eligibility of the parent household. The nature of the tax dependency test requires verification from the parent household of the student's tax status, the student's sources of support, and the ability of the parent household to meet our financial eligibility criteria. We have tried to simplify the administrative procedures involved as much as possible, so as not to jeopardize the efficiency of the program. We have added eligibility for AFDC, SSI, and general assistance as automatic criteria for parent eligibility to reduce the number of financial statements which must be processed.

We have made other minor changes to clarify the language of the regulations. "Student" has been substituted for "individual" to prevent the application of tax dependency to persons who are not at least half-time students. For further clarification of the language, we have specified that the provision applies only to students living apart from the taxpayer household.

Accordingly, Part 271 of Chapter II, Title 7, CFR is amended as follows:

Section 271.3 is amended to add a new paragraph (e) reading as follows:

§ 271.3 **Household eligibility.**

* * * * *

(e) *Tax dependency.* (1) No student shall be considered a household member for Food Stamp Program purposes if such student: (i) Has reached his 18th birthday; (ii) is enrolled in an institution of higher education; and (iii) is properly claimed as a dependent child for Federal income tax purposes by a taxpayer who is a member of another household which is not an eligible household.

(2) *Definitions.* For the purpose of this paragraph, the following definitions shall apply:

(i) "Institution of higher education" means an institution providing post-high school education including, but not limited to, colleges, universities, and vocational and technical schools at the post-high school level.

(ii) "Properly claimed" means that the dependent child is claimed by a taxpayer who provides such child with more than half of his or her support during the calendar year in which the taxable year of the taxpayer begins.

(iii) "Eligible household" means a household certified as being eligible for participation in the Food Stamp Program, the Food Distribution Program or a federally aided public assistance or general assistance program, or the Supplemental Security Income Program; or one which can demonstrate that it would be eligible to participate in the Food Stamp Program based on the income and resource standards applicable to nonassistance households.

(3) Nothwithstanding any other provisions of this subchapter, the income and resources of an individual who is not considered a household member under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph and who resides with eligible household members or elderly persons, shall not be considered available to the household members or elderly persons, nor shall his presence be considered in determining the household coupon allotment.

(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subchapter, verification of whether or not the household of the taxpayer is an eligible household will be required for all applicant households containing a tax dependent meeting the criteria in subparagraphs (1) (i) and (ii) of this paragraph. In addition, verification of the tax dependency status of a member or members of an applicant household will be required whenever such status is questionable. Because the household of the taxpayer is ordinarily the best source of this information, the failure of the taxpayer to respond to the request for verification will be grounds for considering the tax dependent as not being a household member, although the remainder of the household in which the tax dependent resides may be certified, if otherwise eligible. However, the tax dependent shall, through the fair hearing procedures under § 271.1(o), have an opportunity to demonstrate that he is not properly claimed and may, therefore, be eligible to participate as a household member.

* * * * *

(78 Stat. 703, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 2011–2026)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs, No. 10.551, National Archives Reference Services)

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective January 10, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

JANUARY 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-876 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Lemon Reg. 674]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period January 12–18, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 910. The quantity of lemons so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of lemons, the quantity of lemons currently available for market, the fresh market demand for lemons, lemon prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for lemons.

§ 910.974 **Lemon Regulation 674.**

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of lemons that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of lemons it deems advisable to be handled during the ensuing week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the demand for lemons continues similar to last week and there is a buildup in market supplies at some auctions. Average f.o.b. price was $5.28 per carton the week ended January 4, 1975, compared to $4.99 per carton the previous week. Track and rolling supplies at 130 cars were up 28 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantiy of lemons which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafer set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current weak, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the

period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance wtih this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 7, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period January 12, 1975, through January 18, 1975, is hereby fixed at 200,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," and "carton(s)" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 601–674))

Dated: January 8, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75–1041 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[Grapefruit Reg. 95]

PART 912—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INDIAN RIVER DISTRICT IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of Florida Indian River grapefruit that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period January 13–19, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 912. The quantity of grapefruit produced in the Indian River District in Florida so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Indian River grapefruit, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Indian River grapefruit, Indian River grapefruit prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Florida grapefruit.

§ 912.395 Grapefruit Regulation 95.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 912, as amended (7 CFR Part 912), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Indian River Grapefruit Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling such grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of Indian River grapefruit that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Indian River grapefruit industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the total quantity of grapefruit which it deems advisable to be handled during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the market demand for Indian River grapefruit is extremely slow and sluggish with wholesale and retail market supplies heavy. Average f.o.b. prices per ⅘ bushel carton for the week ended January 5, 1975, averaged $2.68 for white seedless and $3.50 for pink seedless grapefruit. Shipments for the week ended January 5, 1975, were 370 carlots. On January 5, 1975, there were approximately 9,205 carlots of Indian River grapefruit remaining for interstate shipments, while 6,540 carlots have been shipped to date.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of grapefruit which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based become available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committees held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Indian River grapefruit, and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Indian River grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 7, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District which may be handled during the period January 13, 1975, through January 19, 1975, is hereby fixed at 150,000 standard packed boxes.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Indian River District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 601–674))

Dated: January 8, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75–1042 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[Grapefruit Reg. 61]

PART 913—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INTERIOR DISTRICT IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of Florida Interior grapefruit that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period January 13–19, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 913. The quantity of grapefruit produced in the Interior District in Florida so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Florida Interior grapefruit, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Florida Interior grapefruit, Interior grapefruit prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Florida grapefruit.

§ 913.361 Grapefruit Regulation 61.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 913, as amended (7 CFR Part 913), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Interior District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Interior Grapefruit Marketing Committee, established under said marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of

handling of such grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this regulation to limit the quantity of Interior District grapefruit that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Interior District grapefruit industry. The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the total quantity of grapefruit which it deems advisable to be handled during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the market demand for Florida Interior District Grapefruit is sluggish. Average f.o.b. prices per 4/5 bushel carton were $2.56 for white seedless and $3.00 for pink seedless during the week ended January 5, 1975. Shipments for the week ended January 5 were 185 carlots. On January 5, 1975, there were approximately 5,575 carlots of Interior District grapefruit remaining for interstate shipments while 5,430 carlots had been shipped to that date. Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information the Secretary finds that the quantity of grapefruit which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Interior grapefruit, and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Interior grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 7, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Interior District which may be handled during the period January 13, 1975, through January 19, 1975, is hereby fixed at 130,000 standard packed boxes.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Interior District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: January 8, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75-1001 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[32 CFR Part 286]

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

Proposed Schedule of Fee

Pursuant to the provision of Pub. L. 93-502 enacted November 21, 1974, notice is hereby given of a proposed schedule of fees for search and duplication services rendered to the public by Components of the Department of Defense except when those services are excluded or excepted as stated hereafter in this notice. This proposed schedule of fees will be added to 32 CFR Part 286. The proposed schedule of fees will become effective February 19, 1975, after consideration of comments. Comments may be submitted to OASD(C), Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301. All material received before February 13, 1975, will be considered.

SCHEDULE OF FEES

DUPLICATION

Publications, Forms and Reports.

Shelf stock of printed or microfiche medium (requestors may be furnished more than one copy of a publication or form if it does not deplete stock levels below projected planned usage).

Minimum fee, per request plus	$2.00
Forms, per copy	.05
Publications, per printed page	.01
Microfiche, per fiche	.06
Reports, per printed page	.05

(Examples: Cost of 20 forms, $3.00; cost of a printed publication with 100 pages, $3.00; cost of a microfiche publication consisting of 10 fiche, $2.00.)

Office copy reproduction (when shelf stock is not available).

Minimum charge up to six reproduced pages	2.00
Minimum charge, first fiche	5.00
Each additional page	.05
Each additional fiche	.10

Other Issuances.

Minimum charge up to six pages	2.00
Each additional page	.05

Search.

Clerical search, per hour	6.50
Minimum charge	3.50
Professional search (includes computer programmer time), per hour	13.00
Minimum charge	10.00

Computer Service charges will be based on actual computer configuration used and be based on direct costs only of the Central Processing Unit plus Input/Output Devices plus Memory Capacity.

Exceptions. 1. In general, charges may be waived when:

a. The recipient of the benefits is engaged in a nonprofit activity designed for public safety, health or welfare;

b. Payment of the full costs or fee by a state, local government or nonprofit group would not be in the interest of the program.

c. The incremental cost of collecting the fees would be an unduly large part of the receipts from the activity.

2. A refusal to waive charges by the official responsible for the initial decision on the request for the record may be appealed to the head DoD Component or his designee for purposes of final approval.

Collections. 1. Normally, collection of charges and fees will be made in advance of rendering the service. In some instances, it may be more practical to collect charges and fees at the time of conveying the service or property to the recipient, but only in those instances where the request specifically states that whatever cost involved will be acceptable or acceptable up to a specified limit that covers anticipated costs. Absent such an agreement to pay required anticipated costs, the time for responding to a request begins to run upon receipt of payment.

2. Collection of scheduled fees and charges will normally be deposited in Miscellaneous Receipts of the Treasury.

3. Search fees are assessable even when no records responsive to the request, or no records not exempt from disclosure are found, provided the requestor is advised of the requirement at the time the estimated charges are presented to the requestor for approval.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller)

JANUARY 7, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-881 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agriculture Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 26]

GRAIN STANDARDS

Proposed Effective Date of Regulation

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-30290 appearing at page 45018 of the issue of Monday, December 30, 1974, the following changes should be made on page 45018:

1. In paragraph 3, beginning, "Official inspection * * *", delete the seventh line and the word "retention" in the eighth line.

2. In paragraph 5, beginning, "Accordingly, * * *", in the ninth line, the words "of gain" should read "for grain".

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of the Secretary

[45 CFR Part 99]

PRIVACY RIGHTS OF PARENTS AND STUDENTS

Proposed Establishment of Part

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-255 appearing at page 1208 in the issue of Monday, January 6, 1975, the approval date was inadvertently omitted. The date "December 31, 1974" should be inserted directly above the signature block on page 1209.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

[49 CFR Part 395]

[Docket No. MC-57; Notice No. 75-1]

SLEEPER BERTHS

Proposed Rulemaking On Dual Occupancy

The Director of the Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety is proposing a revision of § 395.6 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations which would allow two people simultaneously to use the sleeper berth of a commercial motor vehicle when the vehicle is parked, if the berth is large enough to permit both occupants to obtain sufficient rest. At present, § 395.6 provides that "[n]o sleeper berth shall be occupied by more than one person at any time."

This rulemaking proceeding was begun on April 16, 1974, when the Director issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (39 FR 14517). In the Advance Notice, the Director indicated that he had received a number of requests to modify or revoke the absolute prohibition against dual occupancy of sleeper berths. The notice solicited comments from interested persons on the advisability of permitting two persons simultaneously to occupy a sleeper berth. The Director also solicited comments on the minimum size of berth that would permit two occupants to obtain adequate rest.

The Bureau received 15 written comments in response to the Advance Notice. The majority of the comments expressed support for permitting dual occupancy of sleeper berths in parked vehicles. Generally speaking, opponents of a change in the present rule argued that the proposal

was not "safety"-related but was, instead, concerned with economic and convenience problems of drivers who do not want to spend the time and money required to secure hotel or motel accommodations in which to rest after completing a tour of duty.

Ford Motor Company and Freightliner Corporation were the only persons filing comments who submitted proposed dimensions for sleeper berths designed for occupancy by two people simultaneously. Ford's minimum recommendations were a length of 75 inches, a width of 48 inches, and a height of 24 inches. Ford cited a draft proposal of the European Economic Community (denominated V/VII/388/74+E), which recommended that sleeper berths designed for dual occupancy should be twice as wide as the width recommended for single-occupant berths. The EEC recommendation for the width of a single-occupant berth is 600 millimeters, or 23.6 inches. Hence, Ford's recommendation was that a dual-occupant sleeper berth should have a minimum width of 48 inches. Freightliner recommended that the minimum dimensions of a dual-occupant sleeper berth should be 75 inches long, 48 inches wide, and 24 inches high. Freightliner, however, did not submit any data to support its 52-inch width recommendation.

The Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety conducted an informal survey of most of the persons who manufacture heavy duty truck tractors and "add-on" sleeper berths in the United States. Manufacturers who offer tractors equipped with sleeper berths generally design the berths with a width in the 24-inch to 36-inch range. Presumably, this is the range of widths of a sleeper berth intended for single occupancy. Three manufacturers of truck tractors and one maker of aftermarket sleeper berths offer berths which appear to be intended for dual occupancy. The berths they produce are 54 inches wide, with the exception of one model which is 60 inches wide. One manufacturer of truck tractors and the aftermarket manufacturer mentioned above offer berths which are, respectively, 44 and 40 inches in width. These intermediate size berths are presumably intended for either single occupancy or dual occupancy, at the owner's option.

It appears, therefore, that berths on the market today come in a rather wide range of sizes. The size variations found in sleeper berths directly parallel the situation that exists in the bedding market generally. The bedding industry, with the assistance of the Department of Commerce has standardized mattress widths in five size categories, with a twin (so-called "single bed") size being 38 inches wide, a three-quarter size being 47 inches wide, and a full (so-called "double bed") size mattress being 53 inches wide. (The two other categories are so-called "Queen-size" and "King-size," which are 60 inches wide and 76 inches wide, respectively).[1]

[1] National Bureau of Standards, Simplified Recommended Practice R2-62, "Bedding Products & Components".

After considering the comments submitted and the data secured through the Bureau's own efforts, the Director has concluded that it may be appropriate to modify the flat prohibition against dual occupancy of sleeper berths. That prohibition is rooted in the theory that sleeper berths will be used solely as a means of keeping a vehicle moving so that it can make a trip in the shortest possible time. That was the original purpose of sleeper berths, and, in that context, a ban on multiple occupancy makes sense. Although most sleeper berth usage today still occurs while the vehicle is in operation, there appears to be a growing desire to use the berth as a place of lodging while the vehicle is parked. If the berth is wide enough to permit two occupants to get sufficient rest, the interests of safety on the highway do not appear to warrant prohibiting dual occupancy of the berth when the vehicle is parked.

In the absence of other, convincing, data, the Bureau intends to adopt the bedding industry's standard for a sleeping surface designed for use by two people. As noted above, the standardized width of a full or double bed mattress is now established at 53 inches. The Director proposes to permit two persons to occupy a sleeper berth simultaneously if the berth is at least 53 inches wide and the vehicle is parked in a safe place.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Director of the Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety proposes to revise § 395.6 in Subchapter B of Chapter III in Title 49, CFR to read as follows:

§ 395.6 Sleeper berth, occupation.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, a sleeper berth must not be occupied by more than one person at a time.

(b) A sleeper berth may be occupied by two persons at a time, if—

(1) The sleeper berth is at least 53 inches (134.62 cm) wide and, in all other respects, conforms to the requirements specified in § 393.76 of this subchapter; and

(2) The vehicle in which the sleeper berth is installed is parked in a safe place.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments pertaining to the proposed revision of § 395.6. All comments submitted should refer to the docket number and notice number that appear at the top of this document. Comments should be submitted in three copies to the Director, Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety, Washington, D.C. 20590.

All comments received before the close of business on February 18, 1975 will be considered before further action is taken on the proposal. Comments will be available for examination by any interested person in the docket room of the Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety, Room 3401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C., both before and after the closing date for comments.

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 204 of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended (49 U.S.C. 304), section 6 of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655), and the delegations of authority by the Secretary of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration at 49 CFR 1.48 and 49 CFR 389.4, respectively.

Issued on January 2, 1975.

ROBERT A. KAYE,
Director, Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety.

[FR Doc.75-817 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[10 CFR Part 40]

USE OF DEPLETED URANIUM IN INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS OR DEVICES

Proposed General License

By letters dated September 29, 1971, April 21, 1972, and June 1, 1972, NL Industries, Inc., of Albany, NY, filed with the Atomic Energy Commission petitions for rulemaking to amend § 40.13 of 10 CFR Part 40, "Licensing of Source Material," to exempt from licensing requirements of Part 40, uranium contained in shielding for medical X-ray units, uranium contained in tool holders for vibration damping, and uranium contained in commercial products for mass-volume applications. (Docket Nos. PRM 40-15, PRM 40-16, and PRM 40-18, respectively.) These petitions indicate that the potential exists for widespread distribution of uranium in industrial products or devices and, absent regulatory control over ultimate disposal of these products or devices, ultimate entry of the uranium into scrap-processing systems.

The Commission is considering amendments to Part 40 which would authorize the manufacture, import, transfer, and use of depleted uranium contained in industrial products for mass-volume applications with minimum regulatory controls necessary to provide adequate safety in use and to exercise control over disposal or abandonment. In a new § 40.4(o), depleted uranium would be defined as the source material uranium in which the isotope uranium-235 is less than 0.711 weight percent of the total uranium present. Depleted uranium does not include special nuclear material.

Pursuant to proposed new § 40.25 set forth below, the Commission would issue a general license to receive, acquire, possess, use, or transfer depleted uranium contained in industrial products or devices for mass-volume applications (i.e., a heavy weight in a small space). Examples of such applications are shielding in all types of X-ray units, and balance weights in tool holders, boring bars, drill collars, momentum wheels, and crankshafts.

The general license would apply only to industrial products or devices which have been manufactured or imported in accordance with a specific license issued to the manufacturer or importer by the Commission, under a new § 40.34(a) also set forth below, or by an Agreement State under an equivalent license, which authorizes distribution to generally licensed persons.

The general licensee would be required to register with the Commission within 30 days after the first receipt or acquisition of depleted uranium pursuant to the general license. The objectives of the registration requirement are: (1) To provide a means of identifying the general licensee, (2) to provide the Commission an opportunity to inform the general licensee of the terms and conditions of the general license upon first receipt of depleted uranium, and (3) to facilitate subsequent communication with the general licensee.

Under the terms of the general license, a licensee could not introduce depleted uranium into any chemical, physical, or metallurgical treatment or process except a treatment or process for repair or restoration of any plating or other covering of the depleted uranium. Nor could the licensee transfer, abandon, or dispose of depleted uranium except by transfer to a specific or general licensee whose license authorizes him to receive such material. Also, the general licensee could not export depleted uranium except in accordance with the provisions of §§ 40.23 and 40.33 of Part 40.

Depleted uranium, i.e., the tails from the uranium enrichment process, has a very low hazard potential in terms of its radiation properties. The primary concern associated with its use arises from the possible ingestion or inhalation of such material. The likelihood of ingestion or inhalation would be controlled by the massive form in which it is used and by prohibiting any processing or disposal except under licensed conditions, as discussed above. External radiation levels are limited by self-absorption of radiation so that appreciable exposure of the hands is possible only under the rare circumstance of prolonged handling of massive depleted uranium with bare hands. The external hazard would be further controlled by the shielding inherently afforded by containment approved in the review of the products in which the depleted uranium is to be used. Therefore, the Commission concludes that depleted uranium contained in these industrial products or devices can be used safely.

In view of the limitations of the general license and the low probability of significant radiation exposures, the Commission considers that the general licensees would need neither special training or experience to use the depleted uranium, nor special equipment, facilities, or procedures to protect health and minimize danger to life or property to possess or use the depleted uranium. Accordingly, the Commission proposes in new § 40.25(e) to exempt persons from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 with respect to the depleted uranium covered by the proposed general license.

A new § 40.34(a) would be added to Part 40 setting out requirements for issuance of specific licenses to manufacture, import, or transfer industrial products and devices for use under the proposed general license. These requirements are intended to assure that general licensees receive products and devices labeled to identify the manufacturer or importer of the product or device, the quantity of depleted uranium in the product or device, and the depleted uranium.

It is considered that as a general rule, industrial products or devices proposed for distribution to persons generally licensed under proposed new § 40.25 will be useful to some degree. For example, depleted uranium contained in tool holders will provide improved vibration damping during the machining of workpieces, depleted uranium in drill collars will provide increased pressure on drill bit edges and thus increase drilling rates, and depleted uranium in medical X-ray units will provide improved shielding and produce significant reductions in patient and technician exposures during X-ray procedures.

In the case of industrial products or devices whose unique benefits are questionable, proposed new § 40.34(a)(2) provides that the Commission will approve an application for a specific license only if the product or device under consideration is found to combine an unusual degree of utility and low probability of uncontrolled disposal and dispersal of significant quantities of depleted uranium into the environment. In evaluating proposals for the use of depleted uranium in such products or devices, the principal considerations are: (a) Whether approval of such product or device for use under the general license may result in widespread use of depleted uranium; (b) the potential uncontrolled disposal and dispersal into the environment of depleted uranium from multiple units of such product or device; and (c) the degree of usefulness that will accrue to or be denied the public because of the utility of an industrial product or device by approval or disapproval of a specific product or device for use under the general license.

Proposed new § 40.34(a)(3) would also provide that the Commission may deny an application for a specific license to transfer an industrial product or device for use under the general license if the end uses of the product or device cannot be reasonably foreseen.

Under proposed new § 40.35(a), requirements would be imposed on the manufacturer, importer, or distributor to file reports and keep records of the general licensees to whom he transfers industrial products or devices containing depleted uranium.

The Commission has determined that an environmental impact statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 need not be prepared in connection with the promulgation of these regulations because they will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. An environmental impact appraisal setting forth the basis for that determination is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Single copies of the environmental impact appraisal may be obtained by writing the Product Standards Branch, Directorate of Regulatory Standards, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and section 553 of title 5 of the United States Code, notice is hereby given that adoption of the following amendments to 10 CFR Part 40 is contemplated. All interested persons who desire to submit written comments or suggestions for consideration in connection with the proposed amendments should send them to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, before February 24, 1975. Copies of the comments on the proposed amendments may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

1. A new § 40.4(o) is added to 10 CFR Part 40 to read as follows:

§ 40.4 Definitions.

As used in this part:

* * * * *

(o) "Depleted uranium" means the source material uranium in which the isotope uranium-235 is less than 0.711 weight percent of the total uranium present. Depleted uranium does not include special nuclear material.

2. A new § 40.25 is added to 10 CFR Part 40 to read as follows:

§ 40.25 General license for use of certain industrial products or devices.

(a) A general license is hereby issued to receive, acquire, possess, use, or transfer, in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs (b), (c), (d), and (e) of this section, depleted uranium contained in industrial products or devices for the purpose of providing a concentrated mass in a small volume of the product or device.

(b) The general license in paragraph (a) of this section applies only to industrial products or devices which have been manufactured or imported either in accordance with a specific license issued by the Commission to the manufacturer or importer of the products or devices pursuant to § 40.34(a) or in accordance with a specific license issued to the manufacturer by an Agreement State which authorizes manufacture of the products or devices for distribution to persons generally licensed by the Agreement State.

(c)(1) Persons who receive, acquire, possess, or use depleted uranium pursuant to the general license established by paragraph (a) of this section shall file Form AEC ____, "Registration Certificate—Use of Depleted Uranium Under General License," with the Director of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. The form shall be submitted within 30 days after the first receipt or acquisition of such depleted uranium. The registrant shall furnish on Form AEC ____ the following

information and such other information as may be required by that form:

(i) Name and address of the registrant;

(ii) A statement that the registrant has developed and will maintain procedures designed to establish physical physical control over the depleted uranium described in paragraph (a) of this section and designed to prevent transfer of such depleted uranium in any form, including metal scrap, to persons not authorized to receive the depleted uranium; and

(iii) Name and/or title, address, and telephone number of the individual duly authorized to act for and on behalf of the registrant in supervising the procedures furnished under paragraph (c)(1)(i) (ii) of this section.

(2) The registrant possessing or using depleted uranium under the general license established by paragraph (a) of this section shall report in writing to the Director of Licensing any changes in information furnished by him in the Form AEC ____ "Registration Certificate—Use of Depleted Uranium Under General License." The report shall be submitted within 30 days after the effective date of such change.

(d) A person who receives, acquires, possesses, or uses depleted uranium pursuant to the general license established by paragraph (a) of this section shall not:

(1) Introduce such depleted uranium, in any form, into a chemical, physical, or metallurgical treatment or process, except a treatment or process for repair or restoration of any plating or other covering of the depleted uranium.

(2) Transfer, abandon, or dispose of such depleted uranium except by transfer in accordance with the provisions of § 40.51. The name and address of the person receiving the source material pursuant to such a transfer shall be reported in writing to the Director of Licensing within 30 days after such transfer.

(3) Export such depleted uranium except in accordance with the provisions of §§ 40.23 and 40.33.

(e) Any person receiving, acquiring, possessing, using, or transferring depleted uranium pursuant to the general license established by paragraph (a) of this section is exempt from the requirements of Part 20 of this chapter with respect to the depleted uranium covered by that general license.

3. In 10 CFR Part 40, § 40.32 is amended by amending the section heading, amending paragraph (e) by substituting a semicolon for the final period and adding the word "and" after the semicolon, and adding a new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 40.32 General requirements for issuance of specific licenses.

* * * * *

(f) The applicant satisfies any applicable special requirements contained in § 40.34.

4. A new § 40.34 is added to 10 CFR Part 40 to read as follows:

§ 40.34 Special requirements for issuance of specific licenses.

(a) Depleted uranium contained in industrial products and devices for mass-volume applications: Requirements for license to manufacture, import, or transfer. (1) An application for a specific license to manufacture industrial products and devices containing depleted uranium, or to import or to transfer such products or devices, for use pursuant to § 40.25 or equivalent regulations of an Agreement State, will be approved if:

(i) The applicant satisfies the general requirements specified in § 40.32; and

(ii) The applicant submits sufficient information relating to the design, manufacture, prototype testing, quality control procedures, labeling or marking, proposed uses, and potential hazards of the industrial product or device to provide reasonable assurance that possession, use, or transfer of the depleted uranium in the product or device is not likely to cause any individual to receive in any period of one calendar quarter a radiation dose in excess of 10 percent of the limits specified in § 20.101(a) of this chapter.

(2) In the case of an industrial product or device whose unique benefits are questionable, the Commission will approve an application for a specific license under this paragraph only if the product or device is found to combine an unusual degree of utility and low probability of uncontrolled disposal and dispersal of significant quantities of depleted uranium into the environment.

(3) The Commission may deny an application for a specific license under this paragraph if the end uses of the industrial product or device cannot be reasonably foreseen.

5. A new § 40.35 is added to 10 CFR Part 40 to read as follows:

§ 40.35 Conditions of specific licenses issued pursuant to § 40.34.

(a) Each person licensed pursuant to § 40.34(a) shall:

(1) Maintain the level of quality control required by the Commission in the manufacture of the industrial product or device, and in the installation of the depleted uranium into the product or device;

(2) Label or mark each unit to identify the manufacturer or importer of the product or device and the number of the license under which the product or device was manufactured or imported, the fact that the product or device contains depleted uranium, and the quantity of depleted uranium in each product or device;

(3) Assure that the depleted uranium before being installed in each product or device has been impressed with the following legend clearly legible through any plating or other covering: "Depleted Uranium"; and

(4)(i) Report to the Director of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, all transfers of industrial products or devices to persons for use under the general license in § 40.25. Such report shall identify each general licensee by name and address, an individual by name and/or position who may constitute a point of contact between the Commission and the general licensee, the type and model number of device transferred, and the quantity of depleted uranium contained in the product or device. The report shall be submitted within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter in which such a product or device is transferred to the generally licensed person. If no transfers have been made to persons generally licensed under § 40.25 during the reporting period, the report shall so indicate;

(ii) Report to the responsible Agreement State Agency all transfers of industrial products or devices to persons for use under the general license in the Agreement State's equivalent to § 40.25. Such report shall identify each general licensee by name and address, an individual by name and/or position who may constitute a point of contact between the Agency and the general licensee, the type and model number of device transferred, and the quantity and type of depleted uranium contained in the product or device. The report shall be submitted within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter in which such product or device is transferred to the generally licensed person. If no transfers have been made to a particular Agreement State during the reporting period, this information shall be reported to the responsible Agreement State Agency upon request of that Agency;

(iii) Keep records showing the name, address, and a point of contact for each general licensee to whom he transfers depleted uranium in industrial products or devices for use pursuant to the general license provided in § 40.25 or equivalent regulations of an Agreement State. The records shall be maintained for a period of two years and shall show the date of each transfer, the quantity of depleted uranium in each product or device transferred, and compliance with the report requirements of this section.

(Secs. 62, 65, 161, 182, 183, Pub. Law 83-703, 68 Stat. 932, 933, 948, 953, 954 (42 U.S.C. 2092 2095, 2201, 2232, 2233))

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 4th day of January 1975.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

PAUL C. BENDER,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.75-908 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[16 CFR Parts 1500, 1512]

BICYCLE BANNING AND SAFETY REGULATIONS

Proposed Amendments and Effective Date

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-224 appearing at page 1493 in the issue for Tuesday, January 7, 1975, make the following changes:

1. In the first paragraph of the preamble, change the second sentence to read: "The proposed effective date is 120 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a document acting on this proposal."

2. In the preamble, under the section titled effective date, change the first and second lines of the third paragraph to read: "Accordingly, the Commission (1) proposes 120 days after publication of a document in the".

3. Change the document number in the file line from 225 to 224.

[16 CFR Parts 1500, 1512]

BICYCLE BANNING AND SAFETY REGULATIONS

Proposed Amendments and Effective Date; Correction

In FR Doc. 75-225, appearing at page 1495 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 7, 1975, in the second column § 1500.18(12) is corrected by deleting "(May 1, 1975, or" in line 7, and ", whichever is later)" in lines 9 and 10.

Dated: January 8, 1975.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc.75-1012 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

[FRL 306-5]

APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS; IOWA

Local Rules and Regulations

On December 27, 1973, the State of Iowa submitted four local air pollution ordinances as appendices to the State Implementation Plan. The local ordinances are:

Appendix A—Linn County Air Pollution Control Regulation—Linn County, Iowa;
Appendix B—Air Pollution Control Ordinance—City of Cedar Rapids, Iowa;
Appendix C—Rules and Regulations—Air Pollution Control—Polk County, Iowa; and
Appendix D—Air Pollution Control—City of Des Moines, Iowa.

These ordinances establish standards for the control of air pollution in each of the local jurisdictions. The Linn County Health Department is responsible for the implementation of regulations in Linn County and Cedar Rapids and the Des Moines-Polk County Health Department is responsible for the implementation of regulations in Polk County and Des Moines.

The inclusion of these ordinances constitutes a proposed revision to the State of Iowa Implementation Plan pursuant to § 51.8 of this chapter. This notice is issued to advise the public of the receipt of this proposed change and to request public comment. The Administrator's decision to approve or disapprove revisions to a plan is based on whether such revisions meet the requirements of section 110(a)(A)-(H) of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, Requirements for Preparation, Adoption and Submittal of State Implementation Plans.

All comments, preferably in triplicate, should be addressed to the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 1735 Baltimore, Kansas City, Missouri 64108. Relevant comments received within 30 days of this notice will be considered. Copies of the proposed revision to the State of Iowa Implementation Plan are available for inspection during business hours at the office of EPA, Region VII, 1735 Baltimore, Kansas City, Missouri 64108; the Freedom of Information Center, EPA, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460; and at the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality, 3920 Delaware, Des Moines, Iowa 50316.

(42 U.S.C. 1857c-5)

Dated: December 18, 1974.

CHARLES V. WRIGHT,
Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-870 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 52]

[FRL 318-3]

PENNSYLVANIA IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Proposed Revision

On November 8, 1974, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania submitted a proposed revision to the approved Pennsylvania State Implementation Plan. This proposal is strictly of a clarifying nature and reflects a modification to § 123.23 of the Department of Environmental Resources Rules and Regulations dealing with by-product coke oven gas. Specifically, the proposal would make clear the Department of Environmental Resources' intention that the 50 grains per 100 cubic feet standard be applied jointly to the gas streams from both the desulfurization unit and the sulfur recovery equipment.

The proposed amendments to the Pennsylvania Implementation Plan were publicly advertised and three hearings were held in accordance with 40 CFR Part 51, Requirements for Preparation, Adoption and Submittal of State Implementation Plans.

The public is invited to submit comments on whether the proposed amendment should be approved or disapproved as required by section 110 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51. Only comments received on or before February 10, 1975 will be considered. The Administrator's decision to approve or disapprove this proposed revision will be based on whether it meets the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A)-(H) of the Act and EPA regulations in 40 CFR Part 51.

Copies of the proposed amendment are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Offices of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, Curtis Building, Second Floor, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19106; at the Offices of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, Third and Locust Streets, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17120; and at the Freedom of Information Center, EPA, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. All comments should be addressed to Howard Heim (AH006 PA), Acting Chief, Air Planning Branch, Air and Hazardous Materials Division, EPA, Region III, Curtis Building, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19106.

(42 U.S.C. 1857c-5)

Dated: December 30, 1974.

DANIEL J. SNYDER III,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-869 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

[12 CFR Part 329]

INTEREST ON DEPOSITS

Pending Reconsideration; Correction

In FR Doc. 74-30280, appearing at page 44778 on Friday, December 27, 1974, in the middle column, at the center of the column, the fourth paragraph, the date given in the first full sentence of that paragraph reads January 20, 1974, but should have read "January 20, 1975". All other provisions of the published article remain the same.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

DANIEL WM. PERSINGER,
Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-915 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

[10 CFR Part 207]

COLLECTION OF INFORMATION UNDER THE ENERGY SUPPLY AND ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION ACT OF 1974

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

In order to assure that the Federal Energy Administration, the Congress, the States, and the public have access to and are able to obtain reliable energy information, section 11(a) of the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (ESECA) requires the Administrator of FEA to collect such energy information as he determines to be necessary (1) to assist in the formulation of energy policy, (2) to carry out the purposes of ESECA, or (3) to carry out the purposes of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (EPAA). Section 11(b)(1)(A) of ESECA authorizes the Administrator of FEA to require, by rule, any person who is engaged in the production, processing, refining, transportation by pipeline, or distribution (at other than the retail level) of energy resources to submit reports to FEA of energy information he determines to be necessary.

Section 11(a) of ESECA further provides that the Administrator of FEA

shall promulgate rules, pursuant to section 11(b)(1)(A) of ESECA, requiring reports of information he determines should be obtained under ESECA; such reports are to be submitted at least every 90 days. This requirement is in addition to, and not in limitation of, any other FEA authority. (Section 11(g)(1).)

In accordance with the requirements of section 11, FEA hereby gives notice of a proposal to establish Part 207 of Chapter II of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which will set forth the manner in which energy information which FEA is authorized to obtain by ESECA will be collected.

The Federal Energy Administration has from time to time reviewed the energy information needs of the government, the Congress and the public, and has used its various statutory authorities to obtain the information it concludes is needed. A substantial portion of the information obtained by FEA is being provided to the Congress and the public in the form of statutorily required reports, such as the quarterly report required by section 11(c) of ESECA. Another substantial portion is being used within FEA to manage its regulatory programs, such as the price and petroleum allocation programs. Most, if not all, of this information is being collected on at least a quarterly basis, and much of it on a weekly or monthly basis. The greater portion of this energy information is being collected under authority of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (FEA Act) or the EPAA, and will continue to be collected under those authorities; the particular provisions of those acts concerning confidentiality of information, methods of enforcing compliance with reporting requirements, and availability of data to other federal agencies will continue to apply.

Types of information that were not already being obtained by FEA prior to enactment of ESECA, will, as FEA determines is appropriate, be obtained pursuant to ESECA rather than the FEA Act or the EPAA, or will be obtained pursuant to ESECA and one or more of these other authorities. For example, some of the information requirements of the quarterly report to the Congress required by section 11(c) of ESECA are being met with surveys utilizing both the information-gathering authorities of ESECA and the FEA Act. In particular, information on oil and gas reserves is being obtained for the quarterly report under authority of ESECA and the FEA Act. The confidentiality provisions and enforcement provisions of ESECA will, of course, apply to information gathered under authority of that act.

In a number of instances, FEA has promulgated reporting requirements by rule or order. In other instances, FEA has requested information from a particular group by questionnaires addressed to that group.

As stated earlier, the purpose of the proposed regulations is to set forth the manner in which energy information which FEA is authorized to obtain by ESECA will be collected. Section 207.3 of the proposed regulations sets out the circumstances in which energy information will be collected under ESECA, and provides for the methods by which the information will be collected. These methods include reports requested by FEA by rule, order, questionnaire, or other means; subpoenas; interrogatories; and on-site inspections of inventories, stocks and documents. Section 207.4 sets forth the treatment of confidential information collected under ESECA. Sections 207.5 through 207.7 deal with violations of the regulations, sanctions and penalties for violations, and judicial action to enforce subpoenas and orders and to enjoin violation of regulations or orders.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting data, views, and arguments with respect to the proposed regulations set forth in this notice. Submittals may be hand-delivered to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., or mailed to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box BU, Washington, D.C. 20461.

Comments should be identified on the outside envelope and on documents submitted to Executive Communications, FEA, with the designation "Information Collection (ESECA)". Fifteen copies should be submitted. All comments received by January 27, 1975, and all relevant information, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed rulemaking.

Comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection after the comment period in the Administrator's Reception Area, Room 3400, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it accordingly.

As the proposed regulation is not a regulation affecting the quality of the environment, the provisions of section 7(c)(2) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-275) have been determined to be inapplicable to the proposal.

It has also been determined that the requirements of section 7(i)(1)(C) of that Act, relating to opportunities for oral presentations do not apply because the proposed regulations are procedural in nature and are not likely to have a substantial impact on the Nation's economy or large numbers of individuals, or businesses. Further, most if not all of the information gathering carried out under this regulation will be subject to the notice and clearance procedures, including FEDERAL REGISTER notice, of the Federal Reports Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), as administered by the General Accounting Office.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to establish Part 207, Chapter II of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 6, 1975.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, JR.,
General Counsel.

Part 207 is established to read as follows:

PART 207—COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

Subpart A—Collection of Information Under the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974

Sec.
207.1 Purpose.
207.2 Definitions.
207.3 Method of collecting energy information under ESECA.
207.4 Confidentiality of energy information.
207.5 Violations.
207.6 Sanctions.
207.7 Judicial actions.

AUTHORITY: Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-319; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185.

Subpart A—Collection of Information Under the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974

§ 207.1 Purpose.

The purpose of this subpart is to set forth the manner in which energy information which FEA is authorized to obtain by sections 11 (a) and (b) of ESECA will be collected.

§ 207.2 Definitions.

As used in this subpart:

"Administrator" means the Federal Energy Administrator or his delegate.

"Energy information" includes all information in whatever form on (1) fuel reserves, exploration, extraction, and energy resources (including petrochemical feedstocks) wherever located; (2) production, distribution, and consumption of energy and fuels wherever carried on; and (3) matters relating to energy and fuels, such as corporate structure and proprietary relationships, costs, prices, capital investment, and assets, and other matters directly related thereto, wherever they exist.

"ESECA" means the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-319).

"EPAA" means the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-159).

"FEA" means the Federal Energy Administration.

"Person" means any natural person, corporation, partnership, association, consortium, or any entity organized for a common business purpose, wherever situated, domiciled, or doing business, who directly or through other persons subject to their control does business in any part of the United States.

"United States," when used in the geographical sense, means the States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the territories and possessions of the United States.

§ 207.3 Method of collecting energy information under ESECA.

(a) Whenever the Administrator determines that:

(1) Certain energy information is necessary to assist in the formulation of energy policy or to carry out the purposes of the ESECA or the EPAA; and

(2) Such energy information is not available to FEA under the authority of statutes other than ESECA or that such energy information should, as a matter of discretion, be collected under the authority of ESECA;

he shall require reports of such information to be submitted to FEA at least every ninety calendar days.

(b) The Administrator may require such reports of any person who is engaged in the production, processing, refining, transportation by pipeline, or distribution (at other than the retail level) of energy resources.

(c) The Administrator may require such reports by rule, order, questionnaire, or such other means as he determines appropriate.

(d) Whenever reports of energy information are requested under this subpart, the rule, order, questionnaire, or other means requesting such reports shall contain (or be accompanied by) a recital that such reports are being requested under the authority of ESECA.

(e) In addition to requiring reports, the Administrator may, at his discretion, in order to obtain energy information under the authority of ESECA:

(1) Sign and issue subpoenas in accordance with the provisions of § 205.8 of this chapter for the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of books, records, papers, and other documents;

(2) Require any person, by rule or order, to submit answers in writing to interrogatories, requests for reports or for other information, with such answers or other submissions made within such reasonable period as is specified in the rule or order, and under oath; and

(3) Administer oaths.

Any such subpoena or rule or order shall contain (or be accompanied by) a recital that energy information is requested under the authority of ESECA.

(f) For the purpose of verifying the accuracy of any energy information requested, acquired, or collected by the FEA, the Administrator, or any officer or employer duly designated by him, upon presenting appropriate credentials and a written notice from the Administrator to the owner, operator, or agent in charge, may—

(1) Enter, at reasonable times, any business premise or facility; and

(2) Inspect, at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner, any such premise or facility, inventory and sample any stock of energy resources therein, and examine and copy books, records, papers, or other documents, relating to any such energy information.

§ 207.4 Confidentiality of energy information.

(a) Information obtained by the FEA under authority of ESECA shall be available to the public in accordance with the provisions of Part 202 of this chapter. Upon a showing satisfactory to the FEA by any person that any energy information obtained under this subpart from such person would, if made public, divulge methods or processes entitled to protection as trade secrets or other proprietary information of such person, such information, or portion thereof, shall be deemed confidential in accordance with the provisions of section 1905 of title 18, United States Code; except that such information, or part thereof, shall not be deemed confidential for purposes of disclosure, upon request, to (1) any delegate of the FEA for the purpose of carrying out ESECA or the EPAA, (2) the Attorney General, the Secretary of the Interior, the Federal Trade Commission, the Federal Power Commission, or the General Accounting Office, when necessary to carry out those agencies' duties and responsibilities under ESECA and other statutes, and (3) the Congress, or any committee of Congress upon request of the Chairman.

(b) Whenever the Administrator requests reports of energy information under this subpart, he may specify (in the rule, order or questionnaire or other means by which he has requested such reports) the nature of the showing required to be made in order to satisfy FEA that certain energy information contained in such reports warrants confidential treatment in accordance with this section.

§ 207.5 Violations.

Any practice that circumvents or contravenes or results in a circumvention or contravention of the requirements of any provision of this subpart or any order issued pursuant thereto is a violation of the FEA regulations stated in this subpart.

§ 207.6 Sanctions.

(a) *General.* (1) Penalties and sanctions shall be deemed cumulative and not mutually exclusive.

(2) Each day that a violation of the provisions of this subpart or any order issued pursuant thereto continues shall be deemed to constitute a separate violation within the meaning of the provisions of this subpart relating to criminal fines and civil penalties.

(b) *Criminal penalties.* Any person who willfully violates any provision of this subpart or any order issued pursuant thereto shall be subject to a fine of not more than $5,000 for each violation. Criminal violations are prosecuted by the Department of Justice upon referral by the FEA.

(c) *Civil penalties.* (1) Any person who violates any provision of this subpart or any order issued pursuant thereto shall be subject to a civil penalty of not more than $2,500 for each violation. Actions for civil penalties are prosecuted by the Department of Justice upon referral by the FEA.

(2) When the FEA considers it to be appropriate or advisable, the FEA may compromise and settle, and collect civil penalties.

§ 207.7 Judicial actions.

(a) *Enforcement of subpoenas; contempt.* Any United States district court within the jurisdiction of which any inquiry is carried on may, upon petition by the Attorney General at the request of the Administrator, in the case of refusal to obey a subpoena or order of the Administrator issued under this subpart, issue an order requiring compliance. Any failure to obey such an order of the court may be punished by the court as contempt.

(b) *Injunctions.* Whenever it appears to the Administrator that any person has engaged, is engaged, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any regulation or order issued under this subpart, the Administrator may request the Attorney General to bring a civil action in the appropriate district court of the United States to enjoin such acts or practices and, upon a proper showing, a temporary restraining order or preliminary or permanent injunction shall be granted without bond. The relief sought may include a mandatory injunction commanding any person to comply with any provision of such order or regulation, the violation of which is prohibited by section 12(a) of ESECA, as implemented by this subpart.

[FR Doc.75-929 Filed 1-7-75;3:28 pm]

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND FEDERAL SERVICE IMPASSES PANEL

[5 CFR Part 2401]

AVAILABILITY OF OFFICIAL INFORMATION

Uniform Schedule of Fees

A proposed revision of Section 2401.7 Service charges for information, Chapter XIV, Title 5, CFR, to be effective February 19, 1975, is published herewith for comment. This notice of proposed revision is given pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552 as amended November 21, 1974 (Pub. L. No. 93–502) and Executive Order 11491 of October 29, 1969, as amended.

It is the purpose of the revision, as proposed herein, to conform the rules and regulations of the Council and Panel to a new requirement of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended by the passage of H.R. 12471, Pub. L. No. 93–502. The proposed revision would specify a uniform schedule of fees.

Interested persons may comment in writing upon the proposed revision by submitting written data, views and arguments, to either the Executive Director, Federal Labor Relations Council, or the Executive Secretary, Federal Service Impasses Panel, or both, at 1900 E Street

NW., Washington, D.C. 20415, not later than February 4, 1975. All such written submittals received by February 4, 1975, will be considered before the Council and the Panel take final action on the revision proposed herein.

The revised section should read as set forth below:

§ 2401.7 Fees.

(a) The following fees shall be charged for disclosure of any record pursuant to this part.

(1) *Copying of records.* Ten cents per copy of each page.

(2) *Clerical searches.* $1.25 for each one-quarter hour spent by clerical personnel searching for and producing a requested record, including time spent copying any record.

(3) *Nonclerical searches.* $3.75 for each one-quarter hour spent by professional or managerial personnel searching for and producing a requested record, including time spent copying any record.

(4) *Forwarding material to destination.* Postage, insurance, and special fees will be charged on an actual cost basis.

(b) Requests by parties for copies of transcripts of hearings shall be made to the official hearing reporter. If such a party is given the opportunity to purchase the transcript from the official reporter but does not do so, the Council or the Panel will respond affirmatively to a request for a copy or copies of the same submitted by such party or representative but may charge for this service at a rate equal to that which would have been charged by the official reporter at the time such party was given the opportunity to purchase such transcript.

(c) No charge shall be made for the time spent in resolving legal or policy issues or in examining records for the purpose of deleting nondisclosable portions thereof.

(d) All charges may be waived or reduced whenever it is in the public interest to do so.

(e) Payment of fees shall be made by check or money order payable to the U.S. Treasury.

By the Federal Labor Relations Council.

HENRY B. FRAZIER III,
Executive Director.

By the Federal Service Impasses Panel.

HOWARD W. SOLOMON,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-999 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 240]

[Release No. 34-11159 File No. S7-533]

ALTERNATE NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN BROKERS AND DEALERS

Extension of Comment Period

The Securities and Exchange Commission today announced an extension until January 31, 1975 of the comment period on the revised proposal of Rule 15c3-1 (17 CFR 240.15c3-1), the net capital rule, and the proposal to adopt an alternative net capital concept as proposed in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11094.[1] The Commission has received several requests from self-regulatory organizations and others for an extension of time in which to comment on the proposed rule in order that such organizations may complete analysis of the impact of both the revised proposal of Rule 15c3-1 (17 CFR 240.15c3-1) and the proposal to adopt an alternative net capital concept.

Comments should be addressed to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20549. All such communications should bear the File No. S7-533 and will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-961 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[1] Published at 39 FR 41540 Friday, November 29, 1974.

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

[Treasury Department Order No. 190; Rev. 10]

SUPERVISION OF BUREAUS AND OFFICES

Delegation of Authority; and Order of Succession

1. The following officials shall be under the direct supervision of the Secretary:

The Deputy Secretary
The Executive Assistant to the Secretary
Deputy Assistant and Director, Executive Secretariat

2. The following officials shall be under the supervision of the Secretary, and shall report to him through the Deputy Secretary:

Under Secretary for Monetary Affairs
Under Secretary
General Counsel
Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy)
Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service
Comptroller of the Currency

3. The following officials shall be under the supervision of the Under Secretary for Monetary Affairs, and shall exercise supervision over those organizational entities indicated thereunder:

Assistant Secretary (Trade, Energy, and Financial Resources Policy Coordination)
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Trade and Raw Materials Policy
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Energy Policy
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources Policy Coordination
Assistant Secretary (International Affairs)
Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Monetary and Investment Affairs
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Development Finance Policy
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Research
Assistant Secretary (Economic Policy)
Office of Domestic Gold and Silver Operations
Office of Financial Analysis

Fiscal Assistant Secretary
Bureau of Government Financial Operations
Bureau of the Public Debt

Treasurer of the United States

Special Assistant to the Secretary (National Security)

Special Assistant to the Secretary (Debt Management) Office of Debt Analysis

U.S. Savings Bond Division

4. The following officials shall be under the supervision of the Under Secretary and shall exercise supervision over those organizational entities indicated thereunder:

Assistant Secretary, (Administration)
Office of Administrative Programs
Office of Audit
Office of Budget and Finance
Office of Computer Science
Office of Equal Opportunity Program
Office of Management and Organization
Office of Personnel

Assistant Secretary (Legislative Affairs)

Assistant Secretary (Enforcement, Operations, and Tariff Affairs)
Office of Law Enforcement
Office of Operations
Office of Tariff Affairs
Office of Foreign Assets Control
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms
U.S. Customs Service
Bureau of Engraving and Printing
Bureau of the Mint
U.S. Secret Service
Consolidated Federal Law Enforcement Training Center

Special Assistant to the Secretary (Public Affairs)

Office of Revenue Sharing

5. The following officials shall exercise supervision over those organizational entities indicated thereunder:

General Counsel
Legal Division
Office of Director of Practice
Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy)
Office of Tax Analysis
Office of Tax Legislative Counsel (also part of Legal Division)
Office of International Tax Counsel (also part of Legal Division)
Office of Industrial Economics
Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service
Assistant Commissioner (Accounts, Collection, and Taxpayer Service)
Assistant Commissioner (Administration)
Assistant Commissioner (Compliance)
Assistant Commissioner (Inspection)
Assistant Commissioner (Planning and Research)
Assistant Commissioner (Technical)
Comptroller of the Currency
First Deputy Comptroller
Deputy Comptrollers
Chief, National Bank Examiners

6. The Deputy Secretary, the Under Secretary for Monetary Affairs, the Under Secretary, the General Counsel, and the Assistant Secretaries are authorized to perform any functions the Secretary is authorized to perform. Each of these officials shall perform functions under this authority in his own capacity and under his own title and shall be responsible for referring to the Secretary any matter on which actions should appropriately be taken by the Secretary. Each of these officials will ordinarily perform under this authority only functions which arise out of, relate to, or concern the activities or functions of or the laws administered by or relating to the bureaus, offices, or other organizational units over which he has supervision. Any action heretofore taken by any of these officials in his own capacity and under his own title is hereby affirmed and ratified as the action of the Secretary.

7. The following officers shall, in the order of succession indicated, act as Secretary of the Treasury in case of the death, resignation, absence, or sickness of the Secretary and other officers succeeding him, until a successor is appointed, or until the absence or sickness shall cease:

A. Deputy Secretary
B. Under Secretary for Monetary Affairs
C. Under Secretary
D. General Counsel
E. Commissioner of Internal Revenue
F. Assistant Secretaries, or Deputy Under Secretaries, appointed by the President with Senate confirmation, in the order in which they took the oath of office as Assistant Secretary, or Deputy Under Secretary.

8. Treasury Department Order 190 (Revision 9) is rescinded, effective this date.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

[SEAL] WILLIAM E. SIMON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 75-885 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Civil Preparedness Agency

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF SHELTERS

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on the Design and Construction of Shelters has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Advisory Committee on the Design and Construction of Shelters is as follows:

1. *Official Designation.* The name of the Committee is the Advisory Committee on the Design and Construction of Shelters.

2. *Composition of Committee.* The Committee shall be composed of the following:

a. The Chairman who shall be a full-time salaried Government official of the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency Headquarters staff as designated by the Director of the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency.

b. One National Headquarters staff member and one member at large from each of the following professional societies:

(1) The American Institute of Architects.
(2) The American Institute of Planners.
(3) The Consulting Engineers Council.
(4) The National Society of Professional Engineers.
(5) The American Society of Civil Engineers.
(6) The Engineers Joint Council.

c. One National Headquarters staff member and one member at large of the Associated General Contractors of America, Inc.

d. A Cochairman who shall be appointed by the Director of the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency from designated committee members of b and c, above.

e. An Executive Secretary who shall be a full-time, salaried official of the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency Headquarters staff, as designated by the Director of the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency, and who shall have no voting privileges or voice in the deliberations of the Committee.

3. *Objectives and scope.* The objectives and scope of the activities are solely to advise the Director, Defense Civil Preparedness Agency, on shelter matters related to the construction industry. The construction industry includes architects, engineers, urban designers, contractors, materials manufacturers, materials suppliers, their societies and organizations, and those involved in teaching these persons and groups. The role includes:

a. Assisting the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency in developing and maintaining a National shelter program and providing a means of communication through the professional societies and construction organizations represented on the Committee to the membership of these organizations.

b. Maintaining an overview of the architects-and-engineers-development program of the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency and suggesting improvements and changes.

c. Reviewing shelter-survey-program procedures and recommending changes when needed.

d. Providing a sounding board for architects, engineers, urban designers of their reactions to programs, such as the Professional Advisory Services, and transmitting these suggestions to the Agency.

e. Examining proposed and ongoing professional and technical programs involving the construction industry and inviting attention to pitfalls and potential problem areas with suggestions for remedial actions.

4. *Duties.* The duties of the Committee are solely advisory. With respect to matters upon which the Committee reports and makes recommendations, any determinations of action to be taken and policy to be expressed, shall be made solely by the Director, Defense Civil Preparedness Agency.

5. *Meetings.* a. The Committee will meet on an intermittent basis, but not to exceed 4 days of meetings per year.

b. No meeting will be held except upon call of the Chairman and with the approval of the Director, Defense Civil Preparedness Agency.

c. Each meeting shall be open to the public. Timely notice of meetings shall be published in the Federal Register and by such other forms as may be practical.

d. Meetings will be conducted in accordance with procedures and requirements established in OMB and DoD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

6. *Operation.* The Chairman shall:

a. Call meetings, with the approval of the Director of Defense Civil Preparedness Agency, and be present at each meeting of the Committee.

b. Adjourn any meeting whenever he determines it to be in the public interest. In the event the Chairman is absent and the Vice Chairman is presiding, this authority will be exercised by the Executive Secretary.

c. Formulate the agenda of each meeting.

d. Assure advice or recommendations of the Committee are given only with respect to matters covered in the record of the proceedings of the Committee.

e. Permit interested members of the public to attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee.

f. Cause detailed minutes of each Committee meeting to be kept including a record of persons present and the affiliation of each; a complete and accurate description of matters discussed and conclusions reached; and copies of all reports received, issued, or approved by the Committee.

g. Certify to the accuracy of the minutes.

7. *Administrative support.* a. The Defense Civil Preparedness Agency shall provide the necessary administrative support, including record keeping of the nature and extent of its activities for the Committee. This shall include maintenance of records which will fully disclose the disposition of any funds.

b. The estimated annual operating costs of the Committee are as follows:

Dollars: $1,200.

Man-Years: one-tenth.

8. *Other operating procedures.* Subject to the requirements of Section 552 of Title 5, United States Code, the records, reports, transcripts, minutes, agendas, working papers, drafts, studies, appendices, or other documents which were made available to or prepared for or by the Committee shall be available for public inspection and copying in accordance with Part 1813 of Chapter XVIII of Title 32, Code of Federal Regulations, "Availability to the Public of Defense Civil Preparedness Information."

9. *Duration.* The Committee shall continue in existence until January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is earlier, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

10. *Date filed* ----------

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-577 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Defense Communications Agency

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Defense Communications Agency Scientific Advisory Group has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The Charter for the Defense Communications Agency Scientific Advisory Group is as follows:

I. *Name.* Defense Communications Agency Scientific Advisory Group (DCA SAG).

II. *Scope and objectives.* Provide objective advice on major Defense Communications Agency programs and provide technical expertise on major problems in the areas of telecommunications, command and control systems, and ADP systems. The scope will include all DCA programs. These are the Defense Communications System (DCS) program, the Military Satellite Communications Systems Office (MSO) program, and the Worldwide Military Command and Control System (WWMCCS)-related programs. These latter programs are associated with the National Military Command System (NMCS), the Minimum Emergency Essential Communication Network (MEECN) and WWMCCS ADP.

III. *Duration of Committee.* Continuing.

IV. *Agency or official to whom committee reports.* Director, DCA.

V. *Agency responsible for providing necessary committee support.* Defense Communications Agency.

VI. *Duties.* Review and evaluate the DCA system engineering efforts in the programs listed in paragraph II and furnish recommendations to the Director, DCA. Further, render technical advisory assistance in the solution of specific problems which are brought to the group's attention, either as individuals or as a group.

VII. *Annual operating costs.* $7,000 and ⅓ manyears.

VIII. *Number and frequency of meetings.* Two or three meetings per year of entire membership.

IX. *Committee termination date.* January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

X. *Committee membership.* Composed of nine members who have been chosen due to their expertise and experience in one or more of the fields of telecommunications, command and control systems, and automatic data processing.

XI. The Executive Secretary to the DCA Scientific Advisory Group shall be a full-time salaried Government officer or employee and shall have authority to call meetings and to adjourn any meeting of the Group which is not considered to be in the public interest. He shall also have authority to certify the minutes of each meeting.

XII. The Group will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11769 and OMB Circular A-63 as revised on March 27, 1974 and DoD Directive 5030.13 as amended by OSD Memorandum dated April 1, 1974.

XIII. *Charter filing date.*

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-578 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Defense Intelligence Agency

BOARD OF VISITORS, DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE SCHOOL

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Visitors, Defense Intelligence School has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Visitors, Defense Intelligence School is as follows:

a. Committee's Official Designation:

Board of Visitors, Defense Intelligence School

b. Committee's objectives and scope of activities:

The purpose of the Defense Intelligence School's Board of Visitors is to provide advice, views and recommendations on matters relating to the successful accomplishment of the assigned School mission. Special attention is to be given to current and projected academic programs conducted by the School, and in particular to the pilot Master of Science degree program in Strategic Intelligence.

e. Period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purposes:

The Board of Visitors will meet at the call of the Commandant, Defense Intelligence School, at least once and no more than twice a year. Meetings will not exceed two days in length.

d. Agency or official to whom the committee reports:

Commandant, Defense Intelligence School, and through him, the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency.

e. Agency responsible for providing necessary support for the committee: Defense Intelligence Agency.

f. Description of duties for which the committee is responsible:

To review the operations and curricula of the Defense Intelligence School, and to make recommendations regarding them, as appropriate.

g. Estimated annual operating costs for the committee:

1. Dollars: $5,500.

2. Manpower: One-half man-year in support.

h. Estimated number and frequency of committee meetings: Two 2-day meetings annually.

i. Committee's termination date: January 5, 1977.

j. Date Charter is filed:

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-579 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Scientific Advisory Committee is as follows:

a. This Committee will be officially designated the Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee. It will consist of not more than 30 preeminent individuals in scientific and technical fields of interest to DIA. This may include officials of other agencies or departments of the government with expertise desired by the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency.

b. It will provide the Defense Intelligence Agency with scientific and technical knowledge and thought on matters relating to the mission of the Agency, and will provide a link between the scientific and technical community of the United States and the Defense Intelligence Agency. Its function will be solely advisory.

c. The Committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11686 and implementing OMB and DoD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees unless it is terminated at an earlier date by the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, or by higher authority.

d. The Committee will report to the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, Department of Defense.

e. Secretariat and support services required by the Committee and by ad hoc panels of the Committee will be provided by the Defense Intelligence Agency through the Executive Secretary, Scientific Advisory Committee and necessary supporting staff. The Executive Secretary will be a full-time employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency, appointed by the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency.

f. The Committee in full session or in ad hoc panels composed of members of the Committee, will perform the following advisory duties:

(1) Review and evaluate selected current and projected Defense Intelligence Agency scientific and technical intelligence effort and results. These studies may involve the scientific and technical methods used in carrying out the Defense Intelligence Agency mission, the analytic techniques for processing and evaluating data, and the results obtained from analyses.

(2) Advise on new or improved methods for evaluating the effectiveness of various intelligence sources which will aid the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency to establish resource allocations among these programs, consistent with national intelligence requirements.

(3) Serve as a pool of advisors, individually or in groups, to various Defense Intelligence Agency activities. This service will be provided at the convenience of individual Committee members.

g. The estimated annual operating costs for the Committee are $82,000, including two man-years of staff support.

h. The Scientific Advisory Committee will normally meet five times each year and at such other times as may be called by the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency. It is estimated that panels of the Committee will meet an additional 15 times, at approximately three-week intervals.

i. The Committee will terminate January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is earlier, or unless prior approval of its continuation is obtained.

j. This Charter was filed on

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-580 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Defense Nuclear Agency

ADVANCED MISSILE MATERIALS RESEARCH (AMMRES) TECHNICAL ADVISORY GROUP

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Advanced Missile Materials Research (AMMRES) Technical Advisory Group has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The Charter for the Advanced Missile Materials Research (AMMRES) Technical Advisory Group is as follows:

I. *The Committee's official designation is.* Advanced Missile Materials Research (AMMRES) Technical Advisory Group.

II. *Objectives and scope.* The Advanced Missile Materials Research (AMMRES) Technical Advisory Group is hereby established to advise and assist the Director, Defense Nuclear Agency on matters relating to advanced missile materials/research testing and development; and in defining a workable approach for development of an advanced missile materials information retrieval analysis and dissemination center. During its tenure the group will be responsive to and supported by Director, DNA. The duties, membership and operation of the group are set forth below.

III. *Duties.* In advising and assisting Director, DNA, the group will convene as requested to:

A. Review and provide recommendations on advanced materials experiments.

B. Conduct technical reviews and provide recommendations designed to advance the overall field of hardened materials technology.

C. Review and evaluate the requirements and procedures for dissemination of data on hardened materials.

D. Render advisory assistance in the solution of specific problems which are brought to its attention.

IV. *Membership.* A. The Chairman of the Group will be designated by Director, DNA, and he may or may not be a full-time salaried government employee.

B. The Group membership shall consist normally of 12 members mutually agreed upon by the Chairman and the Director, DNA, and appointed by the Secretary of Defense upon the recommendation of the Director, DNA. Each member should be, by training and experience, an outstanding individual in the fields of science associated with or related to nuclear weapons effects, hardened materials research and development and strategic system design and engineering.

V. *Operation and administration.* AMMRES Technical Advisory Group will be operated in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, dated October 6, 1972; Executive Order 11686, dated October 7, 1972; and appropriate Department of Defense Directives.

B. The Director, DNA shall designate, in writing, a full-time salaried government employee who shall have the authority, in the absence of the Director, DNA, to adjourn any meeting of the Group which is not considered to be in the public interest. No meetings will be held in the absence of the Director, DNA, or his designee.

C. The Director, DNA (or his designee) may call meetings. Meetings will be held annually or at the call of Director, DNA. The agenda will be formulated by the Director, DNA or by the Chairman with the concurrence of the Director, DNA. The Chairman will certify the accuracy of the minutes for each meeting.

D. The Estimated Annual Operating Costs in Dollars and Man years:

1. Dollars: $3,000.

2. Manyears: Approximately ¼ manyear.

VI. The Group will terminate January 5, 1977, or upon completion of its mission, whichever is earlier, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

VII. The date this charter is filed is _____

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-583 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP ON EFFECTS (SAGE)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Group on Effects has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Scientific Advisory Group on Effects is as follows:

I. *The Committees' official designation is* Scientific Advisory Group on Effects (SAGE).

II. *Objectives and scope.* The Scientific Advisory Group on Effects is hereby established to advise and assist the Director, Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA), on matters relating to nuclear weapon effects. During its tenure the Group will be responsive to and supported by Director, DNA. The duties, membership and operation of the Group are set forth in the following paragraphs.

III. *Duties.* In advising and assisting Director, DNA, the Group will convene as requested to:

A. Review and evaluate long-range plans for the development of nuclear weapons effects data and provide advice on the adequacy of elements of the DNA program.

B. Recommend new approaches and techniques for determining nuclear weapons effects data.

C. Render advisory assistance in the solution of specific problems which are brought to its attention.

IV. *Membership.* A. The Chairman of the Group will be designated by Director, DNA, and he may or may not be a full-time salaried government employee.

B. The Group membership shall consist of not less than 10 or more than 20 members mutually agreed upon by the Chairman and the Director, DNA, and appointed by the Secretary of Defense upon the recommendation of the Director, DNA. Each member should be, by training and experience, an outstanding individual in the fields of science associated with or related to nuclear weapon effects matters.

V. *Operation and administration.* A. SAGE will be operated in accordance with Public Law 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, dated October 6, 1972; Executive Order 11686, dated October 7, 1972; and appropriate Department of Defense Directives.

B. The Director, DNA, shall designate, in writing, a full-time salaried government employee who shall have the authority, in the absence of the Director, DNA, to adjourn any meeting of the Group which is not considered to be in the public interest. No meetings will be held in the absence of the Director, DNA, or his designee.

C. Director, DNA (or his designee) may call meetings. Meetings will be held annually or at the call of the Director, DNA. The agenda will be formulated by Director, DNA, or by the Chairman with the concurrence of Director, DNA. The Chairman will certify the accuracy of the minutes for each meeting.

D. The Estimated Annual Operating Costs in Dollars and Manyears:

1. Dollars: $5,000.00

2. Manyears: Approximately ¼ Manyear

VI. The Group will terminate January 5, 1977, or upon completion of its mission, whichever is earlier, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

VII. The date this charter is filed is ----------

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-582 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

STEMMING AND CLOSURE PANEL (SACPAN)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Stemming and Closure Panel has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Stemming and Closure Panel (SACPAN) is as follows:

I. *The Committee's official designation is.* Stemming and Closure Panel (SACPAN).

II. *Objectives and scope.* The DNA Stemming and Closure Panel is established to advise and assist the Director, Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA), on matters relating to the design and review of stemming and closure mechanisms used in DOD underground nuclear events. During its tenure the Panel will be responsive to and supported by Director, DNA. The duties, organization, membership, and operation of the panel are set forth in the following paragraphs.

III. *Duties.* In advising and assisting the Director, DNA, the Panel will convene as requested to:

A. Review and evaluate plans for the stemming and closure of DOD underground nuclear events.

B. Recommend changes to existing closure mechanisms or any necessary modifications that may be required in order to meet the requirements imposed by the existing Limited Test Ban Treaty or federal agencies.

C. Provide assistance and advice, as requested, when DOD events are to be reviewed by the Atomic Energy Commission's Nevada Operations Office Containment Evaluation Panel.

D. Review and recommend analyses and research related to stemming and closure.

IV. *Organization.* The Stemming and Closure Panel will consist of the main Panel and four subcommittees. The subcommittees will be responsive to and report to the Panel. The four subcommittees will deal with the following special areas related to stemming and closure.

A. Material Properties, Geology, and Stemming.

B. Stemming and Containment Diagnostics.

C. Pipe and Tunnel Closure Structures.

D. Front End.

V. *Membership.* A. The Chairman of the Panel will be designated by the Director, DNA. Chairmen of subcommittees may be designated by the Chairman of the Panel with the concurrence of the Director, DNA. The Panel Chairman and chairmen of subcommittees may or may not be full-time government employees.

B. The Panel and such subcommittee membership shall consist of a membership mutually agreed upon by the Chairman and the Director, DNA, and appointed by the Secretary of Defense upon the recommendation of the Director, DNA. Each member should be, by training and experience, an outstanding individual in the fields of science associated with or related to nuclear weapon effects matters.

C. The Panel membership will consist of not less than 10 or more than 18 members and each subcommittee membership will consist of not less than three or more than ten members. Subcommittee members may or may not be Panel members.

VI. *Operation and Administration.* A. The Panel will be operated in accordance with Public Law 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, dated October 6, 1972; Executive Order 11686, dated October 7, 1972; and appropriate Department of Defense Directives.

B. The Director, DNA shall designate, in writing, a full-time salaried government employee, for the Panel or each subcommittee meeting separately, who shall have the authority, in the absence of the Director, DNA, to adjourn any meeting of the Panel/subcommittee which is not considered to be in the public interest. No meetings will be held in the absence of the Director, DNA or his designee.

C. The Director, DNA (or his designee) may call meetings. Panel/subcommittee meetings will be held at least twice annually or at the call of the Director, DNA. The agenda will be formulated by the Director, DNA or by the Panel/subcommittee chairman with the concurrence of the Director, DNA. The chairman of the Panel/subcommittee meeting separately will certify the accuracy of the minutes for each meeting.

D. The Estimated Annual Operating Costs in Dollars and Manyears:

1. Dollars: $8,000.

2. Manyears: Appproximately ¼ manyear.

VII. The Panel will terminate January 5, 1977, or upon completion of its mission, whichever is earlier or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

VIII. The date this charter is filed is----------

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-581 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Department of the Air Force

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE AIR FORCE HISTORICAL PROGRAM

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on the Air Force Historical Program has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Advisory Committee on the Air Force Historical Program is as follows:

A. *The committee's official designation.* Advisory Committee on the Air Force Historical Program.

B. *Committee objectives.* To provide the Secretary of the Air Force, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Chief, Office of Air

Force History with advice and counsel regarding:

1. Mission, scope, progress, integrity and productivity of the current program.

2. Conformity of the work and methods of the Office of Air Force History with professional standards.

3. Priorities of historical publications and such other aspects of the program as the membership may deem of interest.

C. *Period of time required.* Subject to renewal of this charter not later than two years from its effective date, the period of time necessary for the Committee to carry out its purposes is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity as specified above.

D. *Official to whom the committee reports.* The Committee reports its findings and recommendations, in writing, to the Secretary of the Air Force and to the Chief of Staff, USAF.

E. *Composition of the committee.* The Department of the Air Force Historical Advisory Committee consists of two military members (Superintendent U.S. Air Force Academy, and the Commander, Air University), the Air Force General Counsel, and six civilian members representing the historical profession of the United States. The civilian member appointments, to be made by the Secretary of the Air Force, will be for one year and are renewable at the pleasure of the Secretary. A civilian member will serve as Committee chairman. The Air Force General Counsel will serve as the designated Federal representative and carry out his responsibilities in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, and Executive Order 11686.

F. *Support agency.* The Office of the Chief of Air Force History provides administrative support as required.

G. *Administrative support.* Full-time or part-time personnel are not required to support or operate this Committee. Civilian Committee members receive a consultant fee when applicable, and are paid travel to and from each meeting and receive per diem allowances. The amount of per diem for both civilian and military members will be governed by Joint Travel Regulations at the time the TDY/travel is performed.

H. *Description of committee duties.* The Committee has no other formal duties other than to meet semi-annually with the Chief of Air Force History and his staff. The Committee will submit a report on the meetings with appropriate recommendations to the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff. In addition, the Committee, as a body, or as individual members, may, on request, give advice and assistance on historical matters to the Secretary of the Air Force, the Chief of Staff, or the Chief of Air Force History. The Committee's functions are purely advisory, and it does not determine Air Force policy on historical matters. Any determinations of actions to be taken as a result of its work will be made by responsible Air Force officials.

I. *Estimated annual operating costs.* The estimated annual operating costs to the Department of the Air Force is $5,580. This includes per diem, travel and salary.

J. *Number and frequency of committee meetings.* Semi-annually.

K. *Committee termination date.* January 5, 1977, or when its mission is complete as specified in paragraph C.

L. *Date of filing charter.*

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-549 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ADVANCED LOGISTICS SYSTEM PROJECT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of mittee Act, notice is hereby given that the Advanced Logistics System Project Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in accordance Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Comwith the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this Advisory Committee and concurs with its establishment.

The Charter for the Advanced Logistics System Project Advisory Committee is as follows:

1. *Authority.* The Advanced Logistics System (ALS) Project Advisory Committee is established as an advisory committee to the ALS Project Manager (AFLC DCS/Data Automation). The committee is to function for two years. At the end of that time, it will be reevaluated and either formally continued or terminated. The committee may be abolished by written directive prior to its termination date.

2. *Purpose of the committee.* The committee will review and consider major ALS development problems; investigate proposed solutions; test these solutions against the members own experiences; evaluate proposed methods to implement the solutions; and advise the ALS Project Manager as to possible courses of action. The function of the committee is solely advisory, and determinations of action to be taken on matters on which the committee advises or recommends shall be made solely by full-time salaried officers or employees of the Government.

3. *Organization.* The committee will be composed of not more than eight recognized experts, one of whom will be designated chairman, representing both the industrial and academic communities in the computer/communications hardware, software, and application fields, and one member, a full-time salaried officer or employee of the Air Force, who will serve as Secretary. Appointment of members and future changes in membership will be made by the Commander, AFLC, as approved by the Secretary of Defense.

4. *Operation.* The committee will be responsible to and meet at the direction of the ALS Project Manager. The frequency of meetings is estimated at one each calendar quarter.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-546 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

AIR FORCE ACADEMY BOARD OF VISITORS

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Air Force Academy Board of Visitors has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Air Force Academy Board of Visitors is as follows:

a. The Committee's official designation is the Air Force Academy Board of Visitors.

b. The Board's objectives and scope of its activity are set forth in section 9355, U.S.C. 10, and include an inquiry into the morale, discipline, curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the Air Force Academy.

c. Subject to renewal of this charter not later than two years from its effective date the period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purpose is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and scope of its activity as specified herein above. While the Board is constituted annually by law, individual membership may vary.

d. The Board reports to the President of the United States annually in accordance with section 9355(f) U.S.C. 10.

e. The agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Board is the United States Air Force.

f. A description of the duties for which the Board is responsible is contained in section 9355 (d-f) U.S.C. 10 and include visiting the Academy annually and submitting a report within 60 days to the President on the areas described in paragraph b. above. These duties are solely advisory in nature.

g. The estimated annual operating costs to the Department of the Air Force for this Board are approximately $34,000. Approximately 1.1 manyears are expended in support of the Board's activities.

h. The estimated number and frequency of Board meetings is two per year; one in the spring, normally April, and one in the fall, normally October or November.

i. The Board's termination date under Pub. L. 92-463 is January 5, 1975; however, under the provisions of 9355 U.S.C. 10, the Board is constituted annually.

j. The date this charter is filed is: ---------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-554 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

AIR FORCE ROTC ADVISORY PANEL

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Air Force ROTC Advisory Panel has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Air Force ROTC Advisory Panel is as follows:

1. *Authority.* The Panel was established by Order of the Secretary of the Air Force (Department of the Air Force Special Order Number P-473) on March 9, 1960, and held its first meeting from April 25-27, 1960. The original establishing directive was superseded and a revised directive published by Order of the Secretary of the Air Force (Department of the Air Force Special Order Number AA-1293) on August 4, 1969.

2. *Purpose and organization.* Department of the Air Force Special Order Number AA-1293, dated August 4, 1969, which is appended to and made an integral part hereof, states the purpose and organization of the Panel, and will be amended to include the provisions of this charter.

3. *Operation.* The Panel meets at least once a year at a time mutually agreeable to the Secretary of the Air Force or his designated representative and the Panel Chairman. The Secretary or his designated representative who is a full-time, salaried government officer or employee will be authorized to adjourn any meeting which is not considered to be in the public interest. The Panel will operate in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DOD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

4. *Information required by law.* a. The Committee's official designation is: Air Force ROTC Advisory Panel.

b. The Committee's objectives and the scope of its activity are set forth in paragraphs 1 through 3 above.

c. Subject to renewal of this charter not later than January 5, 1975, the period of time necessary for the Committee to carry out its purposes is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity as specified hereinabove.

d. The Committee reports to the Secretary of the Air Force.

e. The agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Committee is Headquarters, United States Air Force.

f. A description of the duties for which the Committee is responsible is contained in paragraphs 1 through 3 above; these duties are solely advisory; and determinations of action to be taken with respect to matters upon which the Committee advises or recommends shall be made solely by full-time, salaried officers or employees of the Government.

g. The estimated annual operating costs for this Committee are:

(1) In Dollars: $2,000

(2) In Man-years: None

h. The estimated number and frequency of Committee meetings: one a year.

i. The Committee's termination date is: January 5, 1975, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-551 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

AIR UNIVERSITY BOARD OF VISITORS

Establishment, Organization, and Function

In accordance with the provision of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Air University Board of Visitors has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law.

The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Air University Board of Visitors is as follows:

CHARTER

AIR UNIVERSITY BOARD OF VISITORS

1. *General.* To assist Air University (AU) in sustaining effective programs, it is desirable that the Commander, AU, obtain advice, views, and recommendations on matters involving performance of his command mission from members of the education, professional, public affairs, and business and industrial communities. To this end, an advisory committee, designated as the Air University Board of Visitors, was established in July 1946 by the Commanding General of the Army Air Forces and has been in existence since that time. The Secretary of the Air Force approved continuation of the Board under its previously revised charter (for an additional two-year period) effective September 17, 1973.

2. *Purpose.* The Board will consider and advise the Commander, AU, on matters pertaining to the educational, doctrinal, and research policies and activities of the command. The function of the Board is solely advisory, and any determination of action to be taken on matters upon which the Board advises or recommends shall be made solely by full-time salaried officers or employees of the Air Force.

3. *Organization.* The Board will be composed of not more than twenty-five members of the communities mentioned above, approximately four-fifths of whom will be educators. Appointments of members and future changes in membership will be made by the Commander, AU, as approved by the Secretary of Defense. The Board will have a Chairman who is elected from among the membership by a majority vote of the members.

4. *Operation.* The Board will be responsible to and meet at the direction of the Commander, AU. Normally the Board convenes once a year at an Air University installation, at a time convenient for a majority of members. At the discretion of the Commander, AU, the Board may function as a whole or in subcommittees. All meetings will be conducted in the presence of the Commander, AU, or his designated full-time Government representative, who will have authority to adjourn any meeting which is not considered to be in the public interest, and will be under the chairmanship of the elected Chairman or, in his absence, of a member designated by the Chairman. The Board and any subcommittee thereof shall operate in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, OMB Circular A-63, and implementing DOD and USAF regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

5. *Information Required by Law.* a. The Committee's official designation is: Air University Board of Visitors.

b. The Committee's objectives and the scope of its activity are set forth in detail in pargraphs 1 through 4 above.

c. Subject to renewal of this charter not later than two years from its effective date, the period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purposes is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity as specified herein above.

d. The Committee reports to the Commander, Air University.

e. The agency responsible for providing necessary support for the committee is: Headquarters Air University.

f. A description of the duties for which the committee is responsible is contained in paragraph 1 through 4 above and these duties are solely advisory.

g. The estimated annual operating costs for this committee are:

(1) Operating cost: $5,861.

(2) Manpower resources: An aggregate of .7 man-years.

h. The estimated number and frequency of committee meetings: once a year each (separately) for AU Board of Visitors and AFIT Subcommittee.

i. The committee's termination date is: January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

j. The date this charter is filed is: ------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-555 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ARMY AND AIR FORCE EXCHANGE SERVICE CIVILIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Army and Air Force Exchange Service Civilian Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishments.

The charter for the Army and Air Force Exchange Service Civilian Advisory Committee is as follows:

1. *General.* In order to assist the Board of Directors, Army and Air Force Exchange and Motion Picture Services (the Board) in discharging its responsibilities, it is desirable that the Board obtain advice, views and recommendations from knowledgeable members of the business and academic communities on matters pertaining to the operation of the Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES). To this end, and in order to obtain the benefit of experience and talents not otherwise available to the Department of Defense, an advisory committee has been formed.

2. *Committee title.* The committee will be known as the AAFES Civilian Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as the Committee.

3. *Purpose.* The committee will advise the Board, when requested, with regard to commercial policies, practices and procedures in the areas of merchandising, finance, food management and distribution to assist the Board in formulating policy and direction for the conduct of AAFES operations. The committee will not recommend specific actions to the Board.

4. *Date Established.* The formation of this Committee and its operation under the terms of this charter have been approved by the Secretary of Defense, effective September 1, 1972. It may be terminated by written directive of the Board prior to January 5, 1977.

5. *Direction and Control.* The Committee will be responsible to the Chairman of the Board and will operate in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DOD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees. A full-time, salaried Government officer or employee will be designated and authorized to adjourn any meeting which is not considered to be in the public interest. The function of the Committee is solely advisory, and determinations of action to be taken with respect to matters upon which the Committee advises or recommends will be made solely by full-time,

salaried officers or employees of the government. Determinations will be made in accordance with applicable regulation and directives.

6. *Composition.* The Committee will be composed of four members selected from the business or academic communities who are especially qualified in merchanising, finance, food management or distribution of goods. Appointments and reappointments of members and future changes in membership will be made by the Executive Committee of the Board, as approved by the Secretary of Defense.

7. *Information required by law.* a. The Committee's official designation is: Army and Air Force Exchange Service Civilian Advisory Committee.

b. The Committee's objectives and the scope of its activity are set forth in detail in paragraphs 1 through 6, above.

c. Subject to renewal of this charter, not later than two years from its effective date, the period of time necessary for the Committee to carry out its purposes is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity as specified hereinabove.

d. The Committee reports to the Chairman of the Board of Directors, Army and Air Force Exchange and Motion Picture Services.

e. The agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Committee is Headquarters AAFES.

f. A description of the duties for which the Committee is responsible is contained in paragraphs 1 through 6, above, and these duties are solely advisory.

g. The estimated annual operating costs for this Committee are:

(1) In Dollars: None

(2) In Manyears: None.

h. The estimated number and frequency of Committee meetings: Four Committee meetings per year.

i. *The Committee's termination date is:* January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

j. The date this charter is filed is: .....

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-548 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF THE AIR FORCE (CCAF) ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization, and Function

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Community College of the Air Force (CCAF) Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Community College of the Air Force (CCAF) Advisory Committee is as follows:

CHARTER

THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF THE AIR FORCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. *Authority.* The Community College of the Air Force Advisory Committee shall be established under the authority of the Secretary of the Air Force in accordance with AFR 25-7 on December 18, 1972 and is re-evaluated every two years thereafter and either formally continued or terminated. The Committee may be abolished by written directive prior to its stated termination date. Determination of actions to be taken with respect to matters upon which the advisory committee advises or recommends shall be made solely by full-time, salaried officers or employees of the Air Force.

2. *Purpose.* The Committee will review the programs and objectives of the Community College of the Air Force and recommend policies through the Commander, Air Training Command (ATC), to the Secretary of the Air Force.

3. *Organization.* The composition of the Advisory Committee shall be as follows:

Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), ex-officio
Deputy Chief of Staff, Personnel, HQ USAF, ex-officio
Commander, ATC, ex-officio
President, Community College of the Air Force, ex-officio
Six persons of distinction in Education or other fields related to the purposes of the college

4. *Operation.* The Committee will be responsible to and meet at the direction of the Commander, ATC. Normally, the Committee convenes once a year at Randolph at a time convenient for the majority of the members. All meetings will be conducted in the presence of the Commander, ATC, or his designated full-time government representative. Appointments of members and future changes in membership will be made by the Commander, ATC, as approved by the Secretary of the Air Force. The Committee will have a Chairman who is elected from among the membership by a majority vote of the members. The President of the Community College of the Air Force will serve as Executive Secretary of the Committee. The Community College of the Air Force Advisory Committee will operate in accordance with AFR 25-7 and other pertinent laws or directives as are now or hereafter applicable.

5. *Information required by law.* a. The Committee's official designation is: The Community College of the Air Force Advisory Committee.

b. The Committee's objectives and the scope of its activity are set forth in detail in paragraphs 1 through 4, above.

c. Subject to renewal of this charter not later than two years from its effective date, the period of time necessary for the Committee to carry out its purpose is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity hereinabove.

d. The Committee reports to the Commander, ATC.

e. The agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Committee is Headquarters, ATC.

f. A description of the duties for which the Committee is responsible is contained in paragraphs 1 through 4, above, and those duties are solely advisory.

g. The estimated annual operating costs for this Committee are:

(1) In Dollars: $7,000.

(2) In Man-Years: None.

h. The estimated number and frequency of Committee meetings: Two annually, one every six months.

i. The Committee's termination date is: January 5, 1977.

j. The date this charter is filed is: .....

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directive, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-547 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

HEADQUARTERS, AIR FORCE LOGISTICS COMMAND ADVISORY BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Function

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Air Force Logistics Command Advisory Board has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this Advisory Committee and concurs with its establishment.

The Charter for the Air Force Logistics Command Advisory Board is as follows:

1. *General.* In developing and sustaining a management improvement program it is desirable that the Commander, AFLC, obtain advice, views, and recommendations on matters of interest to the Command from members of the industrial and management communities. In order to provide the Commander such talents and services not otherwise available to the Air Force, this advisory committee (originally designated the AF Logistics Command Advisory Board of Directors) was established for a two-year period on October 22, 1962, and is subject to renewal by the Secretary of the Air Force every two years.

2. *Purpose.* The Board will consider and advise the Commander, Air Force Logistics Command, on broad management problems, particularly those relating to Air Force logistics, and, where proved successful, techniques that may be applied to further enhance the Air Force mission. The function of the Board is solely advisory, and determinations of action to be taken on matters on which the Board advises or recommends shall be made solely by full-time, salaried officers or employees of the Government.

3. *Organization.* In addition to the Chairman, who will be a full-tme, salaried officer of the Government, the Board will be composed of not more than six members from the communities mentioned above. Appointments of members and future changes in membership will be made by the Commander, AFLC, as approved by the Secretary of Defense.

4. *Operation.* The Board will be responsible to and meet at the direction of the Commander, AFLC. At the discretion of the Commander, AFLC, the Board may function as a whole or as subgroups. All meetings shall be under the Chairmanship of the Commander, AFLC, who will have authority to adjourn any meeting which is not considered to be in the public interest. The Board and any subgroups thereof shall operate in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DOD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees. The requirement for the Board will be re-evaluated at least every 2 years and either formally continued or terminated. The

Board may be abolished by written directive prior to its stated termination date.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-550 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

MILITARY AIRLIFT COMMITTEE OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION

Estbalishment, Organization, and Function

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Military Airlift Committee of the National Defense Transportation Association has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed under the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Military Airlift Committee of the National Defense Transportation Association is as follows:

CHARTER

THE MILITARY AIRLIFT COMMITTEE OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION

1. *General.* In order to assist the Military Airlift Command in sustaining an effective management program, it is desirable that the Commander, Military Airlift Command, obtain the advice, views, and recommendations on matters involving the performance of the command mission from members of the industrial, educational, and transportation communities. To this end, and in order to obtain experience and talents not otherwise available to the Air Force, the utilization by the Commander, Military Airlift Command, of the Military Airlift Committee of the National Defense Transportation Association as an industry advisory committee has been approved by the Secretary of the Air Force, for a term not to exceed two years, effective January 5, 1975. While it is being utilized as an industry advisory committee, it will operate in accord with this charter.

2. *Purpose.* The Military Airlift Committee, at the request of the Commander, Military Airlift Command, or his designated Governmental representative, will consider and advise him on broad management problems pertaining to military resources by civilian industry. The function of the committee is solely advisory, and determination of action to be taken with respect to matters upon which the committee advises or recommends shall be made solely by full-time salaried officers or employees of the Government.

3. *Organization.* The committee will be composed of members of the communities mentioned above. Any changes in committee membership will be reported to the Secretary of Defense.

4. *Operation.* The Military Airlift Committee, while it is functioning as an industry advisory committee, is responsible to the Commander, Military Airlift Command, who will designate a full-time salaried Government officer or employee who will have authority to adjourn any meeting which is not considered to be in the public interest. At the discretion of the Commander, Military Airlift Command, the committee may function as a whole or as subgroups. The committee, or any subgroups thereof, while functioning as an industry advisory committee, will operate in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DOD regulations for Federal advisory committees.

5. *Information required by law.* a. The committee's official designation is: The Military Airlift Committee of the National Defense Transportation Association.

b. The committee's objectives and the scope of its activity are set forth in detail in paragraphs 1 through 4, above.

c. Subject to renewal of this charter not later than two years from its effective date, the period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purposes is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity as specified hereinabove.

d. The committee reports to the Commander, Military Airlift Command.

e. The agency responsible for providing necessary support for the committee is Headquarters, Military Airlift Command.

f. A description of the duties for which the committee is responsible is contained in paragraphs 1 through 4, above, and these duties are solely advisory.

g. The estimated annual operating costs for this committee are:

(1) In dollars: $40,000.

(2) In man-years: two.

h. The estimated number and frequency of committee meetings: Approximately two a year, at the call of the chairman.

i. The committee's termination date is: January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

j. The date this charter is filed is: ------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-553 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the USAF Scientific Advisory Board has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the USAF Scientific Advisory Board is as follows:

a. The committee's official designation:
USAF Scientific Advisory Board.

b. The committee's objectives and the scope of its activity:

To provide a link between the Air Force and the Nation's scientific community by serving as a means of communicating the most recent scientific information as it applies to the Air Force. The Board was created to strengthen but not duplicate the work of the Air Force Systems Command, and all other Air Force activities that deal with science and technology. The Board reviews and evaluates long-range plans for research and development and provides advice on the adequacy of the Air Force program, recommends unusually promising scientific developments for selective Air Force emphasis and new scientific discoveries or techniques for practical application to weapon or support systems, makes a variety of studies designed to improve the Air Force research and development program and serves as a pool of expert advisers to various Air Force activities.

c. The period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purposes:

Subject to renewal of this charter not later than two years from its effective date, the period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purpose is indefinite, in consonance with the continuing nature of its objectives and the scope of its activity as specified herein above.

d. The agency or official to whom the committee reports:

The committee reports to the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff, USAF.

e. The agency responsible for providing the necessary support for the committee:

United States Air Force

f. Description of the duties for which the committee is responsible:

A description of the duties for which the committee is responsible is contained in (b) above and these duties are soley advisory.

g. The estimated annual operating cost to the Department of the Air Force of this committee is $450,000 (includes costs of travel, per diem, consulting of Board members, and all costs of the USAF Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat).

h. The estimated number and frequency of committee meetings:

70 per year—about five a month. (This includes the AFSC Division Advisory Groups, General Board, Executive and Steering Committee meetings).

i. The committee's termination date is January 5, 1977.

j. The date this charter is filed is: ------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-552 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ARMAMENT ADVISORY GROUP

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Armament Advisory Group has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Armament Advisory Group is as follows:

Official designation. Armament Advisory Group.

Objectives and scope. The Armament Advisory Group advises the Commander, U.S. Armament Command, on scientific and technological matters relevant to the Command. The Group as a whole or in part examines such problem area(s) as may be sufficiently important and appropriate for consideration by senior non-Government engineering and scientific consultants. Upon request, the Group may act as a Board of Visitors to

review operation of any organizational element or program for accomplishing the mission of the U.S. Armament Command and to report their findings and recommendations to the Commander, U.S. Armament Command.

Duration and operation. The Group is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Group will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commander, U.S. Armament Command.

Support agency. The Commander, U.S. Armament Command provides pay and travel in accordance with existing regulations, calls meetings as appropriate, maintains records and minutes of the Group and its recommendations, and provides other administrative support as needed.

Composition. The Group consists of not to exceed ten non-DOD members appointed as consultants, who are expert in areas of science and engineering important to ARMCOM's mission. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The Armament Advisory Group is solely advisory, and is primarily a resource in being, with security and other arrangements cleared in advance, for obtaining highly-qualified, well-prepared advice in engineering and scientific areas important to the mission of U.S. Armament Command, and appropriate for such attention.

Costs. a. $3,000—estimated annual operating costs.

b. .1 man-years.

Meetings. One per year, plus special subcommittee action, as needed.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed: .....

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-574 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ARMED FORCES EPIDEMIOLOGICAL BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Armed Forces Epidemiological Board has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Armed Forces Epidemiological Board is as follows:

Official designation. The Armed Forces Epidemiological Board; hereinafter referred to as "the Board."

Objectives and scope. The Board serves as a continuing scientific advisory body to the Surgeons General of the military departments to provide them with scientific and professional advice and guidance in matters pertaining to operational programs, policies, procedures and research needs in the prevention of disease and injury and promotion of better health.

Duration and operation. The Board is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769, and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible Agency. The Board shall function as a joint agency of the three military departments with the Secretary of the Army as Executive Agent and under the management control of the Surgeon General, Department of the Army. The Reports of the Board shall be made to the Surgeon General. An Annual Report shall be submitted to the Executive Agent.

Support Agency. The Army Medical Department is responsible for providing necessary support to the Board. The Executive Secretary shall be assisted by an Administrative Assistant and necessary clerical support. The Army Medical Department shall provide office space and operational funds for salaries, travel, supplies, office and related expenses.

Composition. a. The Board shall be composed of not less than nine nor more than thirteen Principal Members selected from civil life on the basis of their nationally recognized competence in fields allied to the functions of the Board. Principal Members of the Board shall be selected and nominated by the Surgeons General of the military departments and appointed by the Secretary of the Army. Principal Members of the Board shall in addition be appointed as consultants to The Surgeon General, Department of the Army.

b. The term of office for Principal Members shall be two years, with individual terms staggered in order to assure continuity. A Principal Member may be appointed to a full two-year term, or to complete an unexpired term, and may be reappointed for a second term, except that no Principal Member may serve more than two full terms in succession. A former Principal Member, having served two terms in succession, may be reappointed to the Board after an interval of not less than two years following termination of his last appointment.

c. The Principal Members of the Board shall elect from among themselves a President who shall serve in this capacity for a period of two years. The President may, by reelection, serve a second term, but shall not exceed two successive terms.

d. The Board shall be assisted by an Executive Secretary and such other qualified military and civilian personnel as may be required in administration of the activities of the Board. The Executive Secretary shall be an active duty officer of the Army, Navy, or Air Force, selected on the basis of demonstrated professional and administrative ability in fields allied to Board functions. The Executive Secretary shall be appointed by the Secretary of the Army based on nominations by the three Surgeons General. Normally, the appointment shall rotate among the three military departments in the order: Army, Navy, and Air Force.

e. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The Board shall assist the three Surgeons General by providing timely professional advice and recommendations concerning operational programs and policy development in the broad area of disease and injury prevention and health promotion to include application of new technological and epidemiological principles to the control of acute and chronic diseases, environmental pollution control, occupational health and the design of new systems of health maintenance. The Board shall review preventive medicine programs of the military departments as required.

a. Commissions. To assist the Board in the performance of its functions and provide augmentation with nationally recognized experts in the scientific specialties represented in each of these broad areas of concern to the Board, three formal standing subgroups, hereinafter called Commissions, shall hereby be chartered with the Board. These shall be designated as follows:

(1) Commission on Disease Control

(2) Commission on Environmental Quality

(3) Commission on Health Maintenance Systems

The Surgeon General of the Army or his representative is the designated Federal employee who shall approve all meetings and agenda in advance and attend all meetings. He is authorized to adjourn any meeting when he determines adjournment to be in the public interest. The reports of Commissions shall be made to the Board. These Commissions shall terminate or be renewed coincident with the Board based upon recommendations from the three Surgeons General. Other Commissions may be established as necessary in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and implementing OMB, DOD and DA regulations. Members of Commissions shall be appointed by the Surgeon General, Department of the Army, as management agent of the Secretary of the Army, based on nominations by the Board and the Surgeons General. The term of office shall be two years. No Commission member shall serve more than two successive terms. The Board shall designate one of the members of each Commission to serve as its Director. Commission members shall be appointed as consultants to The Surgeon General, Department of the Army.

(a) *Commission on Disease Control.* Objectives, scope, and duties: The Commission on Disease Control shall function as an advisory body to the Board and the Surgeons General providing timely professional advice and recommendations regarding operational programs and policy on disease control problems in the Armed Forces. This group of infectious disease specialists will constitute the working arm of the Board in the area of disease control. It shall meet as necessary with the approval of the Board for the purpose of providing the latest scientific evaluations and recommendations concerning immunizations, chemoprophylaxis and therapy, as well as disease surveillance, prevention and control.

(b) *Commission on Environmental Quality.* Objectives, scope, and duties: The Commission on Environmental Quality shall function as an advisory body to the Board and the Surgeons General providing timely professional advice and recommendations regarding operational programs and policy for the protection of the environment from adverse effects of military activities and protection of members of the Armed Forces from disease and injury associated with their military duties. This group of environmental- and occupational-health specialists will constitute the working arm of the Board in the area of environmental quality. It shall meet as necessary with the approval of the Board for purpose of providing the latest scientific evaluations and recommendations concerning protection of both the environment and military personnel in all activities of the Armed Forces.

(c) *Commission on Health Maintenance Systems.* Objectives, scope, and duties: The Commission on Health Maintenance Systems shall function as an advisory body to the Board and the Surgeons General providing timely professional advice and recommendations regarding operational programs and policy in those areas relating to maintenance of health in the Armed Forces. This group of health maintenance and chronic disease control specialists will constitute the working arm of the Board in the area of health maintenance systems. It shall meet as necessary with the approval of the Board for the purpose of providing the latest scientific evaluations and recommendations concerning the assessment of those physical, nutritional, behavorial, hereditary and other characteristics of individuals and populations which are associated with the development of chronic disease or disability, and those programs which can be implemented to prevent those events which result in lost duty time for Armed Forces personnel.

b. *Ad Hoc Study Teams.* When necessary, the Board shall recommend the establishment of temporary, informal ad hoc subcommittees or panels, hereinafter called Ad Hoc Study Teams, to advise on immediate medical problems of a crisis or urgent nature. Each Ad Hoc Study Team shall terminate within twelve months after establishment, or whenever its mission is completed, whichever occurs first. Members shall be nationally recognized experts in those specialties pertinent to matters to be considered by the Team, and shall be appointed by The Surgeon General, Department of the Army, based on nominations by the Board and the Surgeons General. The Team Chairman shall be designated by the Board.

Costs. The estimated annual operating cost of the Board is as follows:

a. Office of the Executive Secretary
Man-years
Military—1
Civilian—2
Budget
$29,000 (civilians only)

b. Board (including Commission and Ad Hoc Study Teams)
Budget
$40,000 (Two 2-day meetings of the Board, three 1-day Meetings of each Commission and two 1-day meetings of Ad Hoc Study Teams)

Meetings. The Board shall meet at least once annually for an expected two-day period. Commissions and Ad Hoc Study Teams shall meet as needed. It is estimated that the Board will meet twice annually for 2-day meetings, that each of the 3 Commissions will meet three times annually for 1-day meetings and that an Ad Hoc Study Team will meet twice annually for 1-day meetings.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-556 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ARMY ADVISORY PANEL ON ROTC AFFAIRS

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Army Advisory Panel on ROTC Affairs has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Army Advisory Panel on ROTC Affairs is as follows:

Official designation. Army Advisory Panel for ROTC Affairs.

Objectives and scope. The Panel's objective is to provide for a continuous exchange of views between the Department of the Army and educational institutions to improve the Army Senior ROTC program. Scope of activities is constituted primarily in addressing the current and future status of the Senior ROTC program. The deliberations include a continuous evaluation of recruiting, procurement, and training policies; and the problems related to maintaining an effective interface between the Army's ROTC program and the academic community. The specific intent of the Panel is to provide recommendations to the Department of the Army regarding the Senior ROTC program.

Duration. The Army Advisory Panel on ROTC Affairs is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Panel will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. This panel reports to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, Chief of Staff of the Army and Secretary of the Army.

Support agency. Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, Department of the Army. Executive Secretary and recorder is selected from within the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, Department of the Army. Funding arrangements: Operation and Maintenance (OMA), Program 8-Training.

Composition. A total of 15 members. Five members, who are the heads or other qualified officials of educational institutions hosting ROTC, are nominated by national educational associations. Four members are nominated by each of the four Continental Army Commanders; these nominees will be the head or other qualified official of educational institutions sponsoring the Senior ROTC program within the Continental Army Area. The Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel will nominate three faculty members at large and three members at large who will not necessarily be connected with education. Final selection of all nominees will be made by the Secretary of the Army. Members serve a three year term; the Chairman is selected annually by the Secretary of the Army from among the membership. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. To meet at the call of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel to review and evaluate matters presented by agenda. In consonance with the Panel's objective and scope, the reviews will relate directly to the Senior ROTC program and that program's activities. Certain topics within an agenda may be recurring.

Costs. a. $8,200 estimated annual operating costs.

b. .41 man-years.

Meetings. Twice annually, normally at six-month intervals.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

[FR Doc. 75-562 Filed 1-9-75; 8:45 am]

ARMY SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANEL

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Army Scientific Advisory Panel has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Army Scientific Advisory Panel is as follows:

Official designation. United States Army Scientific Advisory Panel.

Objectives and scope. To advise the Secretary of the Army, the Chief of Staff, the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Research and Development) and the Chief of Research, Development, and Acquisition on scientific and technological matters of interest to the Department of the Army.

Duration and operation. The Army Scientific Advisory Panel is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Panel will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. The Panel reports to the Secretary of the Army through the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Research and Development).

Support agency. The Chief of Research, Development, and Acquisition will provide an Executive Secretary, the necessary supporting staff for the Executive Director, and other technical and administrative assistance as needed by the Panel. A Lieutenant Colonel serves as the Executive Secretary as an additional duty. There is one full time secretary engaged in providing administrative support. Funding is provided as follows:

Travel and Per Diem—Army RDTE Appropriation.

Consultant Fees—Army OMA Appropriation.

Composition. a. Not more than 25 members (non-DOD personnel), to be selected on the basis of their preeminence in the fields of Science and Technology. A member will serve a term not to exceed two years. Members may be reappointed upon completion of two years service.

b. Consultants (the number to be appropriate to the mission of the Panel) whose term of appointment will be for two years and who may be reappointed.

c. The Chief Scientist, Department of the Army and Director of Army Research, who is also the Executive Director of the Panel, shall be an ex officio member.

d. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The Panel is the senior scientific advisory group, and as such advises the Secretary of the Army, the Chief of Staff, the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Research and Development), and the Chief of Research, Development, and Acquisition on overall scientific and technological matters of interest to Army RDTE. The Panel also provides recommendations in these areas as appropriate.

Specific advice, when requested, will be offered on Department of the Army RDTE Program such as:

a. Preferred administrative practices and procedures for the effective conduct of scientific research and development in areas of interest to the Department of the Army.

b. The desirable scope, internal balance, and, where appropriate, the substance of research, development, acquisition, engineering, test, and evaluation effort that should be pursued by the Department of the Army in response to its valid interests.

c. The effectiveness of research, development, acquisition, and test and evaluation in providing combat worthy weapons and materiel systems, with attention to prompt and effective utilization of new knowledge; the rapid translation of new scientific opportunities into Army weapons and materiel; and the evaluation of the effectiveness of the projected weapons and materiel systems in meeting military requirements.

d. The effectiveness of research, development, acquisition, and test and evaluation in providing non-materiel systems, such as the Army Social Science program and the Medical Research program; and the evaluation of the effectiveness of the projected non-materiel systems in meeting military requirements.

Costs. a. Estimated annual operating costs:

$151,500—Travel and Per Diem.
91,500—Consultant Fees.
243,000

b. 2 man-years.

Meetings. The Panel will meet a minimum of three times per year. The meetings normally will be held during the months of February, May, and October and at the call of the Chairman, as appropriate.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-558 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

BOARD OF VISITORS, JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL'S SCHOOL

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Visitors, Judge Advocate General's School has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Visitors, Judge Advocate General's School is as follows:

Official designation. Board of Visitors, Judge Advocate General's School, U.S. Army.

Objectives and scope. To survey the program of instruction of the Judge Advocate General's School and to advise the Commandant in the field of legal education.

Duration and operation. The Board of Visitors, Judge Advocate General's School, is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commandant, The Judge Advocate General's School, U.S. Army.

Support agency. The Judge Advocate General's School, U.S. Army. Logistic support is provided while the Board is at the School. A stenographer assists in preparing the report (less than 3 days).

Composition. Five to seven members chosen from the legal community, serving two-year renewable terms. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. Serve as a Board of Visitors and critically investigates the curriculum, faculty and technical methodology at the school.

Costs. a. $1,300 estimated annual operating costs.

b. .05 man-years.

Meetings. Annually.

Termination date. JANUARY 5, 1977.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-559 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

BOARD OF VISITORS, U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Visitors, U.S. Military Academy has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter of the Board of Visitors, U.S. Military Academy, is as follows:

Official designation. Board of Visitors, U.S. Military Academy.

Objectives and scope. a. The Board will visit the Academy annually. With the approval of the Secretary of the Army, the Board or its members may make other visits to the Academy in connection with the duties of the Board or to consult with the Superintendent of the Academy.

b. The Board shall inquire into the morale and discipline, the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the Academy that the Board decides to consider.

c. Within 60 days after its annual visit, the Board shall submit a written report to the President of its action, and of its views and recommendations pertaining to the Academy. Any report of a visit, other than the annual visit, shall, if approved by a majority of the members of the Board, be submitted to the President within 60 days after the approval.

Duration and operation. The Board of Visitors, U.S. Military Academy is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. The President of the United States.

Support agency. Department of the Army and its agencies. There are no officers or clerical, or other personnel engaged in full-time administrative or staff support. Clerical and administrative support of the Board is provided by organic USMA personnel (with exception of provision of USAF aircraft for conveyance of members of Congress from Washington to West Point and return for the annual meetings). Funds for administrative support of the Board while convened at West Point are budgeted by the Superintendent, USMA.

Composition. Chairmen of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives, or their designee; three other members of the Senate designated by the Vice President or the President pro tempore of the Senate, two of whom are members of the Committee on Appropriations; four other Members of the House, designated by the Speaker; two of whom are Members of the Committee on Appropriations; six persons designated by the President to serve for three years, two persons designated by him each year to succeed the members whose terms expire that year. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The Board operates under provisions of Title 10, U.S.C., Section 4355. The wording "and other matters relating to the Academy that the Board decides to consider" allows the Board great latitude in its investigative activities. The Board's duties are advisory rather than operations.

Costs. a. $3,350—estimated annual operating costs.

b. .35 man-years.

Meetings. Annually—3 days each.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-560 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CHIEF OF ENGINEERS ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter of the Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board is as follows:

Official designation. Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board.

Objectives and scope. The Board will serve as environmental advisor to the Chief of Engineers to provide guidance for developing environmental policy and procedural matters for Corps programs.

Duration and operation. The Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers.

Support agency. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers. Additional staff support—approximately ½ man-years. Funding provided by Civil Works Directorate, Office of the Chief of Engineers.

Composition. The Board consists of six members selected by the Chief of Engineers representing a broad range of expert knowledge and experience in environmental matters. Membership on the Board is on a rotating basis with two members retiring at the end of each two year period. Two of the initial members of the Board will serve two, four, and six year terms. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. a. Ascertain environmental issues and problems the Corps has overlooked within the context of plans, projects and programs;

b. Provide advice aimed not only at alleviating or resolving past issues, but more importantly, at preventing problems arising in other projects or in general Corps programs. That is, helping to use experience gained in past problems to avoid future mistakes;

c. Assist in developing a workable method for quantifying environmental costs and benefits so as to provide a practical means for comparison with the national economic efficiency objectives;

d. Continue to act as part of the Corps change mechanism. Members should explore new directions where the Corps acting as the national engineering agency can continue to solve not only technical problems but those of a social, economic, administrative, and environmental nature as well;

e. Identify environmental considerations in probable new areas of Corps involvement. Such areas include assistance to States in their efforts to conduct environmental inventories, urban studies, regional wastewater treatment facilities, interbasin transfer of water, offshore deep water ports, and dredge spoil disposal.

Costs. a. $37,500—estimated annual operating costs.

b. ½ man-years.

Meetings. Estimate three annually.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-571 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CLUB MANAGEMENT AGENCY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the United States Army Club Management Agency Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the United States Army Club Management Agency Advisory Committee is as follows:

Official designation. The committee is the United States Army Club Management Agency Advisory Committee and is an independent committee.

Objectives and scope. The committee is formed to assist the Commander, United States Army Club Management Agency, in discharging his responsibilities as head of the clubs and open messes for the Department of the Army. It is desirable that he obtain advice, views and recommendations from knowledgeable members of the business and academic communities on matters pertaining to operation of military clubs. The committee will provide experience and talent not otherwise available to the Department of the Army and recommend innovative techniques for the improvement of Army club management.

Duration and operation. The United States Army Club Management Agency Advisory Committee is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769, and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. The committee will be responsible to the Commander, United States Army Club Management Agency.

Support agency. The agency responsible for providing the necessary support to the committee is the United States Army Club Management Agency. Funding arrangements for administrative support to include communications, transportation and billeting expenses will be paid from the United States Army Central Mess Fund in accordance with applicable directives and regulations. The Executive Secretary to the committee will be Chief, Operations Branch.

Composition. The committee will be composed of 12 members selected from the business or academic communities who are especially qualified in hotel/restaurant and club management. Six members will serve an initial term of two years, and six members will serve an initial term of one year. Committee members may be reappointed for no more than two additional two year terms upon expiration of their initial term. The committee will elect a Chairman and Vice Chairman from among their membership to serve for a period of one year. The Executive Secretary will be designated who is a full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government and will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The committee will advise the Commander, United States Army Club Management Agency, when requested, with regard to commercial policies, practices and procedures in the areas of club management and operation, food and beverage service labor control and training and educational techniques in the club industry. The committee will also, on request, review and comment on programs and procedures developed for implementation by the Army club system.

Costs. The estimated annual cost of the committee will be approximately $8,000 and one man-year. The costs will be borne by the Army Central Mess Fund, a nonappropriated fund, and no costs will be incurred by the Federal Government.

Meetings. The committee will meet once annually at a location to be designated by the Commander, United States Army Club Management Agency.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-573 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH BOARD (CERB)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Board (CERB) has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter of the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Board (CERB) is as follows:

Official Designation. U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Board (CERB).

Objectives and scope. The CERB functions as an advisory board to the Chief of Engineers as provided by Pub. L. 88-172. The CERB:

a. Meets semiannually or at the call of the President to consider the coastal engineering research program of the Corps of Engineers.

b. Provides broad policy guidance and review of plans for the conduct of research and development in the field of coastal engineering.

c. Recommends priorities of accomplishment of research projects in consonance with the needs of the coastal engineering field and the objectives of the Chief of Engineers.

d. Performs additional functions as assigned by the Chief of Engineers.

Duration and operation. The U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Board (CERB) is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, through the Director, Civil Works.

Support agency. U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center, Corps of Engineers. Administrative support and clerical services are provided by the staff of the U.S.

Army Coastal Engineering Research Center. Infrequently the services of a recorder are procured. Funds are provided by annual appropriation under the Civil Works, General Expense, Executive Direction and Management category. The estimated annual administrative support totals .20 man-years per year.

Duties. See paragraph Objectives and Scope above.

Costs. a. $14,000—estimated annual operating costs (including services, transportation, per diem, fees, etc. Excludes salaries of Federal employees).

b. Total annual man-years for operation of all phases of committee activities:

Type:	Man-Years/Year
Committee members:	
Military	0.2
Civilian, non-Federal	0.3
Administrative support	0.2
Total	0.7

Meetings. Full Committee, 2 per year; civilian members, 2 per year; total, 4 per year.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate of Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-572 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

HISTORICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee is as follows:

Official designation. Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee.

Objectives and scope. a. To provide the Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Military History with advice and counsel regarding: (1) The conformity of the Army's historical work and methods with professional standards; (2) effective cooperation between the historical and military professions in advancing the purpose of the Army Historical Program, and (3) the mission of the Office of the Chief of Military History to further the study of and interest in military history in both civilian and military schools.

b. To meet these objectives, the committee meets annually with the Chief of Military History and his staff, reviews the progress and direction of Army historical activities, and submits a report to the Secretary of the Army containing its observations and recommendations. The chairman, as well as individual members, also maintains continuing close contact with the Office, Chief of Military History through correspondence, individual visits, and the occasional review of manuscripts produced as part of the Army Historical Program. The committee's annual scrutiny and other activities as designed to (1) give both the public and the Army assurance concerning the standards, adequacy, and integrity of Army historical publications and other historical activities; (2) to provide the Chief of Military History with a recurring check on the quality and adequacy of the professional historical effort carried on under his supervision; and (3) to provide for a continuous and valuable coordination of the historical effort of the Army with the interests and needs of its own schools and colleges.

Duration and operation. The Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. The Committee reports its findings and recommendations, in writing, to the Secretary of the Army.

Support agency. The Office of the Chief of Military History provides necessary administrative support. No full-time or part-time officer or clerical personnel are required to operate this committee. Advisory Committee members receive no compensation such as salary, honorarium or consultant fees, but will be paid travel to and from each meeting and receive per diem at Government expense. The Chief of Military History will budget an amount not to exceed $2,000 per annum to cover the TDY/travel of committee members. The amount of per diem for both civilian and military members will be governed by Joint Travel Regulations at the time the TDY/travel is performed.

Composition. The Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee consists of four military members representing the military school system, and six civilian members representing the historical profession of the United States. The following agencies provide the military members of the committee: The U.S. Army War College, the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College, the U.S. Military Academy, and the Army Training and Doctrine Command. The civilian members, one of whom is chairman, serve for a five year term. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The Committee has no other formal duties than to assemble once annually at the call of the Chairman to meet with the Chief of Military History and his staff and to render a report on the meeting with appropriate recommendations to the Secretary of the Army. However, the committee collectively, its chairman, or other individual members may on request render advice and assistance on historical matters to the Secretary of the Army, the Chief of Military History, or other elements in the Army organization. The committee acts in an advisory capacity only and does not itself determine Army policy on historical matters.

Costs. a. $2,000 estimated annual operating costs.

b. .032 man-years.

Meetings. Once annually.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-570 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

JUNIOR SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES SYMPOSIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Army Junior Science and Humanities Symposia Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the U.S. Army Junior Science and Humanities Symposia Advisory Committee is as follows:

Official Designation. Junior Science and Humanities Symposia (JSHS) Advisory Committee.

Objectives and scope. The objectives of the JSHS Advisory Committee is to advise the Commander, U.S. Army Research Office in establishing objectives and developing plans to assure that the U.S. Army JSHS program meets the needs of today's youth, to insure that it does not duplicate other existing programs, and to recommend program goals and means of meeting these goals.

Duration and operation. The Junior Science and Humanities Symposia Advisory Committee is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commander, U.S. Army Research Office, Department of the Army.

Support agency. Office of the Commander, U.S. Army Research. Executive Secretary: U.S. Army Research Office, a subordinate activity of the U.S. Army Materiel Command. Administrative support: 1 officer part-time and 1 clerical part-time, both members of the U.S. Army Research Office. Funding: Army RDT&E Appropriation.

Composition. There are 10 members from universities, private sector, industry and/or non-DOD sources. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The committee is responsible for advising the Commander, U.S. Army Research Office in the continuing development and direction of the US Army JSHS program. This involves advice concerning program planning, geographical coverage of regional symposia, and fiscal support requirement to meet overall program objectives.

Costs. a. $6,000 estimated annual operating costs.

b. .5 man-years.

Meetings. Twice annually.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-566 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY PANEL

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92–463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Advisory Panel has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Advisory Panel is as follows:

Official designation. United States Army Medical Research and Development Advisory Panel.

Objectives and scope. To advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (USAMRDC) on scientific and technological aspects of the U.S. Army medical research and development program.

Duration and operation. The U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Advisory Panel is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Panel will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92–463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible Agency. Commanding General, U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command.

Support agency. The Panel is supported by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command. The USAMRDC will provide necessary administrative and staff support, to include Army RDTE appropriation funds for travel and per diem, consultant fees, and supplies.

Composition. a. The Panel will consist of not more than ten members (non-DOD personnel), to be selected on the basis of their preeminence in the fields of science and technology represented in the USAMRDC medical research program. A member will serve a term not to exceed two years. Members may be reappointed to a total of three consecutive years, but then become ineligible for reappointment to the Panel until two years have elapsed. After two years, they become eligible for reappointment to the Panel.

b. Consultants (the number to be appropriate to the mission of the Panel) will be appointed to the Panel. They will be appointed for a term of two years, and may be reappointed for a total of three consecutive terms but then become ineligible for reappointment as consultants until two years have elapsed. After two years, they again become eligible for appointment as consultants.

c. A full-time employe or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. a. The Panel shall advise the Commanding General, USAMRDC, on scientific and technical aspects of the USAMRDC medical research program which includes as its objectives:

(1) Improved care of combat wounded.

(2) Prevention and treatment of infectious diseases of military importance.

(3) Enhancement of military performance.

(4) Reduction of military environmental hazards.

b. The Panel shall advise the Commanding General, USAMRDC, on other medical research problems as requested.

Costs. a. $150,000 estimated annual operating costs.

b. 5 man-years.

Meeting. At least annually.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75–569 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

MILITARY HISTORY RESEARCH COLLECTION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92–463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Army Military History Research Collection Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the U.S. Army Military History Research Collection Advisory Committee is as follows:

Official designation. U.S. Army Military History Research Collection Advisory Committee.

Objectives and scope—a. *Objective.* To review and evaluate the scholarly aspects of the activities of the United States Army Military History Research Collection (USAMHRC) and to recommend policies to be pursued in the continuing development and utilization of the Research Collection.

b. *Scope.* The committee includes representatives from a wide variety of military and civilian activities including institutions of learning, collectors or curators, and the community of retired personnel, the common denominator of all members being an essential interest in the preservation and use of military historical materials. The main activity of the committee, as a body, is to convene once a year, review the policies and activities of the Military History Research Collection and to make formal recommendations to the Secretary of the Army on future policy and direction. Individual members, however, also maintain a continuing interest in the Collection and render advice and assistance to the Director, the Chief of Military History, and the Commandant of the War College from time to time. The committee serves as a link between the Collection and the various civilian and military groups who are its users or have some other reason for interest in its development.

Duration and operation. The U.S. Army Military History Research Collection Advisory Committee is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92–463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. The committee reports its findings and recommendations, in writing, to the Director, USAMHRC.

Support agency. The U.S. Army Military History Research Collection provides necessary administrative support. No full-time or part-time officer or clerical personnel are required to operate this committee. Advisory Committee members will receive no compensation such as salary, honorarium or consultant fees, but will be paid travel to and from each meeting and receive per diem at Government expense. The Director, USAMHRC will budget an amount not to exceed $1,000 per annum to cover the cost of TDY/travel of committee members. The amount of per diem for both civilian and military members will be governed by current Joint Travel Regulations at the time TDY/travel is performed.

Composition. The USAMHRC Advisory Committee shall consist of eight members, representing various disciplines and fields of activity related to the activities of the Collection: (1) The head of the Department of History, U.S. Military Academy; (2) a civilian representative of the Adjutant General's Office, to be chosen by the Adjutant General with the approval of the Chief of Military History to serve at their mutual consent; (3) five civilian members representing colleges or universities, libraries, archives, museums, historical societies, or societies of collectors. At least two shall be civilian historians from the academic world and one of these shall serve as chairman of the committee. One civilian member will be a recognized historian or director of libraries from the State of Pennsylvania. Normally one of the civilian members of the Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee will also serve on the USAMHRC Advisory Committee. Terms will be for two to three years; (4) one retired Army officer to be appointed for a term of three years to represent the retired military community. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. As indicated, the main duty of the committee is to convene once a year, review the activities of the Collection, and make recommendations on its future policies. Beyond this the individual committee members serve as representatives and advocates of the Collection within the agencies, institutions, or communities they represent. The committee acts in an advisory capacity only, and cannot itself determine policy for the Military History Research Collection.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75–568 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL BOARD FOR THE PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92–463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice is as follows:

Official designation. National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice (NBPRP).

Objectives and scope. Acts as an advisory body to assist the Secretary of the Army in fulfilling his responsibility (sections 4307 through 4313, Title 10 U.S.C.) to promote marksmanship training among citizens of the United States.

Duration and operation. The National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. The Under Secretary of the Army who has been designated by, and acts for, the Secretary of the Army on NBPRP matters.

Support agency. a. Department of the Army.

b. Administrative support—1.5 man-years provided by the Office of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship (ODCM).

Composition. a. The NBPRP consists of not less than 21 members nor more than 25 members, appointed by the Secretary of the Army. The military representation of the Board is comprised of no more than seven members from the Army, and one each from the U.S. Marine Corps, U.S. Navy, U.S. Air Force, U.S. Coast Guard, National Guard Bureau, and a Reserve component of the Armed Forces other than the National Guard. Civilian representation includes one representative from the Department of Interior, the Department of Treasury, the Department of Justice, and not more than nine individuals representing the country-at-large. The Director of Civilian Marksmanship is designated to function as the Executive Officer, NBPRP.

b. The tenure of NBPRP members is three years, with the tenure of one-third of the members terminating annually. Members may be appointed for only two successive terms, in addition to serving the unexpired term of an outgoing member; however, membership on the Board for two successive terms is not a bar to renomination at a subsequent date.

c. Representation of each agency will be by nomination from the agency itself. Selection for country-at-large membership will be made from nomination by such sources as the President of the NBPRP may direct.

d. Members serve without compensation, other than reimbursement of travel expenses.

e. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest. *Duties.* Sections 4307 through 4313, Title 10, U.S.C. require the Secretary of the Army to promote marksmanship training among able-bodied citizens of the United States within the availability of funds. The Civilian Marksmanship Program is the vehicle by which the Secretary of the Army discharges this responsibility. The NBPRP formulates recommendations to assist the Secretary in developing the Civilian Marksmanship Program. The Office of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship (12 full-time staff members) is, in turn, responsible for the implementation of the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

Costs. a. $183,000 estimated annual operating costs.

b. 12 man-years.

Meetings. One annually.

Termination date. 5 January 1977.
Date charter filed .....

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-567 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Committee of the Ballistic Research Laboratories has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Scientific Advisory Committee of the Ballistic Research Laboratories is as follows:

Official designation. Scientific Advisory Committee of the Ballistic Research Laboratories.

Objectives and scope. The objectives of the Scientific Advisory Committee of the Ballistic Research Laboratories are to review critically the scientific and technical programs of this organization and offer advice on the broad aspects of the work.

Duration and operation. The Scientific Advisory Committee of the Ballistic Research Laboratories is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Director, USA Ballistic Research Laboratories.

Support agency. U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories will provide support which will be approximately ¼ man-year.

Composition. Not more than ten non-DOD civilian members selected from the scientific and engineering community who are necessarily expert in those areas relevant to the mission of the Command. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. To provide advice, consultation and criticism on specialized applications of the more fundamental sciences (i.e., physics, chemistry, aerodynamics and mathematics). To investigate special technical problems as requested by the Director, BRL.

Costs. a. $26,000 estimated annual operating costs.

b. One-half man-year.

Meetings. Quarterly—three two-day meetings; one four-day meeting.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-563 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP FOR AVIATION SYSTEMS U.S. ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Group for Aviation Systems has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Scientific Advisory Group for Aviation Systems is as follows:

Official designation. Scientific Advisory Group for Aviation Systems.

Objectives and scope. The Scientific Advisory Group for Aviation Systems will advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command on scientific and technical matters relevant to the mission of the U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command and other matters of broad scope. Upon request of the Commanding General, the Advisory Group will recommend areas where change in emphasis of research, development, testing, evaluation and logistics are needed to accomplish the mission of the U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command.

Duration and operation. The Scientific Advisory Group for Aviation Systems is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Group will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commanding General, U.S. Army Aviation System Command.

Support agency. U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command which will maintain the records and minutes of the meetings of the Group and its recommendations, provide members of the Group with technical and scientific information requested provided such information can be released based on its classification, and will arrange pay and travel as appropriate in accordance with existing regulations.

Composition. Not to exceed ten non-DOD members selected from the scientific and engineering community necessarily expert in those areas relevant to the mission of the Command. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The duties of the Group are solely advisory to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command. The Advisory Group as a whole, or an ad hoc committee of the Group, will act as a Board of Visitors to review the operations of Army organizational elements and report their findings.

Costs. a. $10,000 estimated annual operating costs. The funding will be predominately RDT&E with O&MA funds as required.

b. ¼ man-year for committee members; ½ man-year for U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command personnel.

Meetings. Semi-annually.
Termination Date. January 5, 1977.
*Date charter filed*_____

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-564 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP OF THE U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Missile Command has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter of the Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Missile Command is as follows:

Official designation. Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Missile Command.

Objectives and scope. The Scientific Advisory Group will advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command, on scientific and technological matters relevant to the mission of the U.S. Army Missile Command and on other matters of broad scope when so requested by the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command. Upon the request of the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command, the Group, as a whole or as an Ad Hoc Committee of the Group, will act as a Board of Visitors to review the operations of any organizational element and report their findings and recommendations to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command. Upon request of the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command, the Advisory Group will recommend areas where changes in emphasis of research and development is needed to accomplish the mission of the U.S. Army Missile Command.

Duration and operation. The Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Missile Command is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command.

Support agency. The U.S. Army Missile Command will provide the necessary administrative support for this Group. Office services, facilities, transportation, pay, and other related expenses shall be provided by the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command, as appropriate, in accordance with existing regulations.

Composition. Not to exceed ten non-DOD members selected from representative academic institutions and industries who are necessarily expert in these areas relevant to the mission of the Command. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The duties of the Advisory Group are to advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command on scientific and technological matters pertaining to existing and planned research and development activities within the framework of the mission of the U.S. Army Missile Command. This Advisory Group will also advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Missile Command, as requested, on matters relating to organizational aspects of the research and development activities of the U.S. Army Missile Command.

Costs. a. $6,500 estimated annual operating costs (RDT&E).
b. .083 man-years.

Meetings. At least annually.
Termination date. January 5, 1977.
*Date charter filed*_____

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc. 75-575 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP OF THE U.S. ARMY TANK AUTOMOTIVE COMMAND (TACOM)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command (TACOM) has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command (TACOM) is as follows:

Official designation. Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command (TACOM).

Objectives and scope. The TACOM Scientific Advisory Group will advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command on scientific and technological matters relevant to the mission of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command and other matters of broad scope when requested. Upon request of the Commanding General, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command, the Group as a whole, or an Ad Hoc Committee of the Group, will review individual projects to review the operations of any organizational element and report their findings and recommendations to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command.

Duration and operation. The Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Group will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769, and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commanding General, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command.

Support agency. Commanding General, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command.

Composition. Not to exceed ten non-DOD members from industry and academic institutions who are necessarily expert in those scientific and engineering disciplines relevant to the mission of the Command. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. To advise the Commanding General, U.S. Army Tank Automotive Command on technical and scientific matters relevant to the mission of the Command.

Costs. a. $13,500—estimated annual operating costs.
b. ½ man-years.

Meetings. The entire Group will meet semiannually. Smaller subcommittees and working groups may meet as required.
Termination date. January 5, 1977.
*Date charter filed*_____

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-557 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP OF THE U.S. ARMY TROOP SUPPORT COMMAND

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Troop Support Command has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Troop Support Command is as follows:

Official designation. Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Troop Support Command.

Objectives and scope. To advise the Commander, TROSCOM, on scientific and technical matters relevant to the mission of the Command and other matters of broad scope when requested by the Commander.

Duration and operation. The Scientific Advisory Group of the U.S. Army Troop Support Command is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Group will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Commander, USATRO SCOM.

Support agency. U.S. Army Troop Support Command which provides administrative support of approximately .25 man-years.

Composition. Not to exceed ten non-DOD members selected from universities and industry and who are necessarily expert in those scientific and engineering fields relevant to the mission of the Command. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. Acts as a Board of Visitors to review the operations of any organizational element and report their findings and recommendations to the Commander, TROSCOM. Upon request, recommend areas where change in emphasis of research and development and engineering is needed to accomplish the mission of the U.S. Army Troop Support Command.

Costs. a. $23,000 estimated annual operating costs (Army RDT&E appropriations).

b. One-half man-years.

Meetings. Twice yearly.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-565 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

WINTER NAVIGATION BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Winter Navigation Board has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Winter Navigation Board is as follows:

Official designation. Winter Navigation Board.

Objectives and scope. A Board of senior field representatives of the participating Federal agencies and invited non-Federal public and private interests will manage, coordinate, and report upon the program authorized by section 107(b), Pub. L. 91-611, as amended by Pub. L. 93-251, to demonstrate the practicability of extending the navigation season on the Great Lakes and Saint Lawrence Seaway. Pursuant to the law, the Board is supported by a Working Committee of representatives of participating Federal agencies and invited non-Federal public and private interests who coordinate program activities and report to the Board, and an Advisory Group formed to provide broad representation from private interests in the planning and execution of the demonstrative program.

Duration and operation. The Winter Navigation Board is established for a period of two years or when its objectives have been attained, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is received for continuation. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, EO 11769 and OMB, DOD, and DA regulations governing Federal Advisory Committees.

Responsible agency. Division Engineer, U.S. Army Engineer Division, North Central.

Support agency. Office of the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Staff Director—District Engineer, Detroit District. Additional staff support—2.6 man-years. Funding provided by District Engineer, U.S. Army Engineer District, Detroit.

Composition. Seventeen members who include representatives of government, industry, labor, consumers, and concerned citizens comprise the Advisory Group, as prescribed by Pub. L. 91-611. Membership will last the duration of the committee's existence, until December 31, 1976. A full-time employee or officer of the Federal Government will be designated who will attend each meeting and has the authority to adjourn any meeting which he determines not to be in the public interest.

Duties. The Winter Navigation Board will coordinate planning, programming, budgeting, execution and reporting of investigations and demonstration activities. Board members will forward coordinated recommendations to their respective headquarters in Washington, where they will receive normal departmental review and interdepartmental coordination before transmittal by the Secretary of the Army to the Office of Management and Budget and the Congress. A Working Committee of the WNB will develop and coordinate plans, programs, budgets, schedules, work descriptions, and reports for consideration by the Board. An Advisory Group of the WNB will act as consultant to the Board and the Working Committee to provide proposals for demonstration activities, recommendations on the conditions under which extended season navigation should be carried out and the results of the demonstration program each year for all affected private interests.

Costs. a. $52,000—estimated annual operating costs.

b. 4.4 man-years.

Meetings. Estimate four annually.

Termination date. January 5, 1977.

Date charter filed. ----------

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-561 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Department of the Navy

ACADEMIC ADVISORY BOARD TO THE SUPERINTENDENT, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Academic Advisory Board to the Superintendent, United States Naval Academy has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Academic Advisory Board to the Superintendent, United States Naval Academy is as follows:

The Advisory Board advises and assists the Superintendent, United States Naval Academy concerning the education of midshipmen. The Board examines academic policies and practices at the Naval Academy and submits proposals to the Superintendent to aid him in improving educational standards and in solving academic problems.

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-587 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

BOARD OF ADVISORS TO THE SUPERINTENDENT, NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Advisors to the Superintendent, Naval Postgraduate School has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Advisors to the Superintendent, Naval Postgraduate School is as follows:

The advisory Board advises and assists the Superintendent, Naval Postgraduate School concerning the Naval Postgraduate Program. The Board examines the effectiveness with which the Naval Postgraduate School is accomplishing its mission. To this end, the Board inquires into the curricula; instruction; physical equipment; administration; state of morale of student body, faculty and staff; fiscal affairs; and such other matters relating to the operation of the Naval Postgraduate Education Program as the Board considers pertinent.

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-588 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

BOARD OF ADVISORS TO THE PRESIDENT, NAVAL WAR COLLEGE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Advisors to the President, Naval War College has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Advisors to the President, Naval War College is as follows:

The purpose of the Board is to advise and assist the President, Naval War College in educational and support areas. To accomplish this objective, the Board will examine educational, doctoral and research policies and programs at the Naval War College and submit to the President, Naval War College opinions and recommendations which will aid him in accomplishing his mission more effectively.

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-589 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

BOARD OF VISITORS TO THE UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Visitors to the United States Naval Academy has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Visitors to the United States Naval Academy is as follows:

The Board of Visitors advises the President of the United States concerning the state of morale and discipline of the midshipmen, the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the Naval Academy that the Board decides to consider.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-598 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS EXECUTIVE PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee is as follows:

The Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee is established to provide an avenue of communications by which members of the civilian and military, scientific, academic, engineering and political communities may advise the CNO on questions related to national seapower. The functions of the Panel are purely advisory in nature. Material brought before the Panel is determined by the CNO, to whom the Panel reports. Panel activities are reviewed annually by the CNO to determine whether it should be continued. Logistic and staff personnel support (approximately six man-years annually) are furnished by OPNAV. Members serve without compensation. Approximately $45,000 is required annually for authorized travel and per diem expenses. Panel meetings are held about six times each year, with sub-panel meetings held at the same frequency. The Panel will terminate January 5, 1977, or when its mission has been accomplished, whichever is sooner, unless prior approval is obtained for its continuation.

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-592 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CHIEF OF NAVAL PERSONNEL CIVILIAN ADVISORY BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Chief of Naval Personnel Civilian Advisory Board has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Chief of Naval Personnel Civilian Advisory Board is as follows:

1. *Committee designation.* Chief of Naval Personnel Civilian Advisory Board

2. *Objectives and scope.* The Chief of Naval Personnel Civilian Advisory Board will be comprised of eminent civilian leaders in business, academic, and cultural affairs. The primary objective of the Board is to provide the Chief of Naval Personnel with guidance and insight into new direction in personnel management. Members of the Board will be in a position to develop a more expansive perspective from viewpoints beyond that of the military services. The Board will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DOD Regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

3. *Period of time necessary for committee to carry out its purposes.* The Board will require a period of not less than two years from the date of its recharter to complete its purposes.

4. *The Agency or official to whom the Committee reports.* The Board reports to the Chief of Naval Personnel who shall have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Board which is not considered to be in the public interest.

5. *The Agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Committee.* The Bureau of Naval Personnel

6. *Membership.* The membership will be comprised of not more than 11 members who are eminent civilian leaders in business, cultural and academic affairs.

7. *Duties.* It shall be the duty of the GNP Civilian Advisory Board to consider current manpower and personnel management policies, including their human relations aspects, and to recommend new and modified policies. The authority granted to the Board shall be inherent in the acceptance of the ideas expressed by the Board and manifestation of these ideas in the personnel management policies of the Navy. The Board meetings shall be conducted in a manner suited to free and open discussions. When appropriate, Board members shall be divided into groups centered on specific management goals and issues with proposals being presented to the entire Board. Summary reports of the Board meetings, including proposals agreed upon by the Board, shall be distributed to all Board members and other personnel designated by the Board within one month of the completion of the meetings.

8. *Estimated annual operating costs.* The estimated annual operating cost is $7,500 with an estimated .25 man-year required.

9. *Estimated number and frequency of committee meetings.* The Board will meet quarterly at the call of the Chief of Naval Personnel at a place convenient to the Board members and the Chief of Naval Personnel. All secretarial and staff work will be conducted by the members of the Bureau of Naval Personnel.

10. *Termination.* The Board will terminate on January 5, 1977, or whenever its mission is completed, whichever is earlier, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

11. *Date filed.* _____

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-590 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CNO INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS (CIACT)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the CNO Industry Advisory Committee for Telecommunications has been found in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the CNO Industry Advisory Committee for Telecommunications is as follows:

(a) Members will address a wide range of subjects within the framework of an investigation into current and projected Navy information transfer requirements and the means for satisfying these requirements via telecommunications.

(b) Members will develop recommendations for future naval telecommunications methodology.

(c) Members will recommend various alternatives, in view of current and projected economic and military pressures.

(d) Follow up actions relative to CNO-approved recommendations of the original CIACT report. These include an expanded investigation of the interrelated problems of communications, command, control, and surveillance together with the development of integrated system concepts for support of these functions.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-597 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

COMMANDANT'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON MARINE CORPS HISTORY

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provision of Pub. L. 92-463, Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Commandant's Advisory Committee on Marine Corps History has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Commandant's Advisory Committee on Marine Corps History is as follows:

1. *Mission.* In order to advise him on the scope, content, and direction of the Marine Corps Historical Program, the Commandant has directed that a Commandant's Advisory Committee on Marine Corps History be established with the following mission:

a. To advise the Commandant of the Marine Corps on the scope, content, and direction of the Historical Program of the Marine Corps;

b. To recommend priority of accomplishment of major historical projects;

c. To recommend means for encouraging the study and exploitation of the Marine Corps' historical assets within and outside the Marine Corps; and

d. As representatives of the Commandant, to foster a program for the acquisition of items having sentimental or historical significance to the Marine Corps, including private papers of individuals important in Marine Corps history.

2. *Objectives.* a. The Committee will analyze selected problem areas of the Marine Corps Historical Program which are referred to it for study by the Commandant and make recommendations relative to their solution.

b. It will examine current and projected projects of historical and museum interest with a view to determining possible areas of improvement.

c. It will address other problem areas which arise during the course of its deliberations and bring them and possible solutions to the attention of the Commandant.

3. *Scope of the committee's functions.* a. The functions of the Committee are solely advisory in nature. The Committee is not viewed as an investigative or action agency.

b. The Commandant will determine any actions to be taken on matters upon which the Committee advises or recommends.

c. The Committee will submit its report and recommendations to the Commandant of the Marine Corps.

d. The Director of Marine Corps History and Museums will inform the Commandant of actions taken on approved recommendations of the Committee.

4. *Organization of the Committee*—a. *Chairman.* The senior officer, other than the Director of Marine Corps History and Museums, will serve as Chairman and direct the activities of its members.

b. *Government and Civilian members.* The Committee membership will be as follows: the Director of Marine Corps History and Museums, serving *ex officio;* three retired general or field grade officers; three civilians (with appropriate remuneration), at least one of whom should, if possible, be an officer of the Marine Corps Reserve.

c. *Recorder.* A member of the Committee as designated by the Chairman will function as the Recorder.

d. *Qualifications for membership.* The qualifications for membership will be non-restrictive and concerned broadly with interest and activity in military historical and museum fields. The members will be nominated by the Director of Marine Corps History and Museums and appointed by the Commandant.

5. *Duration of the Committee and terms of members*—a. *Duration of the Committee.* (1) In establishing the Advisory Committee, it is the Commandant's intention that it function as a standing committee for an indefinite length of time. Current regulations of the Navy Department prescribe that an advisory committee shall automatically terminate not later than two years from the date of its formation unless specific provisions are made to extend its tenure.

(2) The Commandant will review this precept every two years to determine whether continued existence of the Committee is in the best interest of the Marine Corps and make appropriate recommendations to the Secretary of the Navy relative to extending the tenure of the Committee for another two-year period. Such recommendations will be made within sixty days prior to the expiration of two-year period concerned.

b. *Terms of members*—(1) The Director of Marine Corps History and Museums shall serve so long as he holds that office.

(2) Government and civilian members will normally serve a term of three years.

6. *Administration*—a. *Meetings.* The Committee will convene once a year for a period of approximately five days in July or more often as the Commandant may direct.

b. *Procedures.* The chairman shall establish rules of procedure for the Committee. The Committee may funcion as a whole or in subcommittee at the discretion of the Chairman.

c. *Public Release of Committee Reports.* Reports submitted by the Committee are regarded as official information and shall not be made public unless authorized by the Commandant. Board reports shall include recommendations as to what parts, if any, should be made public.

d. *Secretariat.* The Historical Division will provide secretarial support to the Committee and provide or obtain appropriate administrative assistance for members of the Committee.

e. *Board records.* (1) Minutes of the committee's meeting shall be kept to include, as a minimum, a record of persons present, a description of matters discussed and conclusions reached, and copies of all reports received, issued, or approved by the Committee. The accuracy of all such minutes shall be certified by a full time salaried officer or employee of the Government present during the proceedings recorded.

(2) The director of Marine Corps History and Museums shall maintain records and files of the Committee to include agenda, minutes of meetings, and studies, analyses, reports, and other data, compilations, or working papers which were made available to or prepared by the Committee. These records and files shall be available to the Secretary of Defense and, subject to such security regulations as may be properly imposed on the material involved, to the Attorney General, upon request.

f. *Costs.* The estimated annual operating costs of the committee are $2,400 and one-twelfth of a man-year.

7. *Date filed* .....

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-599 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NAVY RESALE SYSTEM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Navy Resale System Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The Charter for the Navy Resale System Advisory Committee is as follows:

I. This Committee will be composed of the Commander, Naval Supply Systems Command, as Chairman, and such professional and business men and other persons as may be appointed by the Secretary of the Navy.

A. *Committee's Official designation.* The name of the Committee shall be "Navy Resale System Advisory Committee."

B. *Objectives and scope of Committee's Activity.* 1. To examine policies, operations, and organization of the Navy Resale System, which includes Navy Exchanges, Ship's Stores Afloat, Navy Commissary Stores and Military Sealift Command Exchanges and to submit recommendations relative thereto to the Secretary of the Navy.

2. To provide the Navy Resale System with expert counsel from acknowledged leaders in the field of retailing, finance, management consulting, business education and service industries.

C. *Period of time necessary for committee to carry out its purposes.* The Committee shall meet at least twice annually, generally in May and November, each meeting to consist of at least one-day duration. Members may be called upon individually, or consulted as a group, at times other than the semiannual meetings, to furnish advice on Navy Resale System matters. At least two years from date of most recent continuation is necessary to complete purposes of Committee.

D. *Official to whom the committee reports.* The Committee reports to the Secretary of the Navy via the Chief of Naval Material, the Chief of Naval Operations and the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Logistics).

E. *Agency responsible for providing necessary support for the committee.* The Commanding Officer, Navy Resale System Office, acting on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy, shall be responsible for administrative matters, including support to the Advisory Committee in the conduct of its business.

F. *Description of advisory committee duties.* The duties of the Committee, which are solely advisory in nature, are set forth below:

1. review internal personnel rules, policies and practices of the Navy Department, insofar as they relate to Navy Resale matters;

2. furnish expert advice, ideas and recommendations as to policy alternatives for the conduct of Navy Resale System business;

3. evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of overall Navy Resale System operations.

G. *Estimated annual operating costs and manyears for committee.* 1. Estimated Annual Operating Costs: $15,000

2. Estimated Manyears: 1.0

H. *Estimated number and frequency of committee meetings.* Two meetings per year—semiannually.

I. *Committee's termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from the date of its latest continuation.

J. *Date charter filed* .....

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-595 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NAVAL RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Naval Research Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Naval Research Advisory Committee is as follows:

a. *Official designation.* Naval Research Advisory Committee

b. *Committee's objectives and scope of its activity.* The Committee is the senior scientific advisory group to the Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Commandant of the Marine Corps, the Chief of Naval Research, the Chief of Naval Development, and the Director of Navy Laboratories. The general task of the Committee is to know problems of the Navy and Marine Corps, to keep abreast of the research and development which is being carried on in relation to the problems and to offer a judgment to the Navy and Marine Corps as to whether the efforts are adequate. The activities of the Committee are limited to serving solely in an advisory capacity to the Secretary of the Navy and other high-ranking personnel of the Navy and Marine Corps.

c. *Period of time necessary for the Committee to carry out its purposes.* The Committee will be unable to complete its mission in less than two years.

d. *Official to whom Committee reports.* The Secretary of the Navy.

e. *Agency responsible for providing support.* The Office of Naval Research.

f. *Description of duties of the Committee.* The meetings of the Committee are designed to apprise the members, by verbal presentations, of the research and development being undertaken by the Navy and Marine Corps. Presentations are given by top-level personnel and usually cover areas of a sensitive nature. Information presented is discussed at the meeting which permits the members to offer on-the-spot advice to high-ranking Navy and Marine Corps personnel.

g. *Estimated annual operating costs and man-years.* $46,000.00—1½ man-years.

h. *Number and frequency of meetings.* Committee meets four times a year on dates agreeable for the majority of members.

i. *The Committee's termination date.* Committee will terminate two years from its most recent continuation date.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-594 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Professional Education Advisory Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Professional Education Advisory Committee is as follows:

PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. *Mission.* The Commandant of the Marine Corps has established a Professional Education Advisory Committee to provide the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command with the advice and assistance of prominent leaders in the Marine Corps, business, and education on matters concerning professional education within the Marine Corps. The Committee reports to the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command.

2. *Objectives.* a. Identify ways to improve existing or proposed programs of instruction.

b. Recommend solutions to problems presented for its consideration.

c. Bring new educational techniques and concepts to the attention of the Commanding General and his staff.

3. *Duties and functions.* a. The functions of the Committee are solely advisory in nature. The Committee is not viewed as an investigative or action agency.

b. The Committee will explore professional education topics referred to it by the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command and make appropriate recommendations.

c. The Committee will provide guidance for planning the evaluation of current and projected professional education programs.

d. The Committee will review and comment upon educational programs under development when requested.

e. The Committee is encouraged to address any other topics which it believes to be of concern to Marine Corps professional education and to bring them to the attention of the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command.

f. Committee members will provide technical assistance and materials when requested and if feasible and appropriate to assist in carrying out the Committee's recommendations.

g. The Committee will submit its reports and recommendations to the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command.

4. *Action on Committee recommendations.* a. The Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command will determine any actions to be taken on matters upon which the Committee advises or recommends.

b. The Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command will inform the Commandant of the Marine Corps of actions taken upon the Committee's recommendations and recommend, where appropriate, actions to be taken by the Commandant.

5. *Organization of the Committee*—a. *Membership.* The Committee shall consist of one general officer, representing Headquarters Marine Corps, and six distinguished leaders in education, government, industry, or science.

b. *Appointment.* Civilian members of the Committee will be nominated by the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command and appointed by the Commandant of the Marine Corps. The Commandant will designate a general officer from Headquarters Marine Corps to serve on the Committee, who will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Committee which is not considered to be in the public interest.

c. *Committee Officers.* A President and a Provost will be designated by the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command.

d. *Secretary.* The Head, Methodology and Technology Division, Academic Department, Education Center, Marine Corps Development and Education Command will serve as the Secretary to the Committee.

6. *Duration of the Committee and terms of members*—a. *Duration of the Committee.* (1) In establishing the Professional Education Advisory Committee, it is the Commandant's intention that it function as a standing advisory committee for an indefinite period of time. Current regulations prescribe that an advisory committee shall automatically terminate not later than two years from the date of its formation unless specific provisions are made to extend its tenure. The Committee is supported by Marine Corps Development and Education Command.

(2) The Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command shall review this precept every two years to determine whether continued existence of the Advisory Committee is in the public interest and make appropriate recommendations to the Commandant of the Marine Corps relative to extending the tenure of the Committee for another two year period. Such recommendations will be made within 60 days prior to expiration of the two year period concerned.

b. *Terms of members.* Civilian members of the Committee will normally serve a term of three years. Terms will be staggered in such a manner that two members rotate off the Committee every year. The Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code MTMT) will be notified 120 days before a member's term is scheduled to expire. The general officer member will serve at the pleasure of the Commandant.

7. *Administration*—a. *Meetings.* The Committee will convene when requested by the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command. This will normally occur twice each year with costs estimated to be approximately $5,000 and ⅛ man-year.

b. *Procedures*—(1) *President.* The President shall preside at all regularly constituted meetings of the Committee.

(2) *Provost.* (a) The Provost, with the guidance of the Director, Education Center, Marine Corps Development and Education Command, shall prepare the agenda for all regularly scheduled Committee meetings.

(b) The Provost shall preside over Committee meetings in the absence of the President.

(3) *Utilization between regular meetings.* Upon request, individual Committee members shall meet with the Director, Education Center, Marine Corps Development and Education Command, or his appointed deputies, to provide such academic advice and assistance as may be required to ensure continuity and depth of Committee services.

c. *Public release of Committee reports.* Reports submitted by the Committee are regarded as official information and shall not be made public unless authorized by the

Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command, in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Committee reports will include recommendations as to what parts, if any, should be made public.

d. *Committee records.* (1) Minutes of Committee meetings shall be kept which shall, as a minimum, contain a record of persons present, a description of matters discussed and conclusions reached, and copies of all reports received, issued, or approved by the Committee. The accuracy of all such minutes shall be certified to by a full-time, salaried officer or employee of the Government present during the proceedings recorded.

(2) The Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command shall maintain records and files of the Committee to include agenda, minutes of meetings, and studies, analyses, reports, or other data, compilations or working papers, which are made available to or prepared by the Committee. These records and files shall be available to the Secretary of Defense and, subject to such security restrictions as may be properly imposed on the material involved, to the Attorney General, upon request and will be available to the public in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

e. *Operation.* The Committee will operate in accordance with provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

8. *Termination date.* The Committee will terminate two years from the most recent filing date, unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

9. *Date filed*----------

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-591 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SECRETARY OF THE NAVY'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON NAVAL HISTORY

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Navy's Advisory Committee on Naval History has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Secretary of the Navy's Advisory Committee on Naval History is as follows:

1. *Objective.* To give the Navy the benefit of their wise counsel and guidance in a broad spectrum of archival, library, curatorial, and historical guidance; to maintain liaison between the Navy and the Historical profession as a whole.

2. *Scope of functions.* a. To review progress on existing programs and accomplishments.

b. To give advice on new projects and suggestions as to steps to obtain the purposes desired.

c. To assist in locating key individuals and organizations that can contribute to facilitating the work of the Naval Historical Center.

d. To approve principles of projected work and make appropriate recommendations.

e. To make recommendations to the Secretary of the Navy at the conclusion of each meeting as to priorities, program content, and other matters related to the committee's objectives.

3. *Operations.* a. The committee reports to the Secretary of the Navy.

b. The Director of Naval History will determine the requirements for, and will specify the date, time, and place of each meeting. Committee meetings will normally be scheduled at 18-month intervals. The meetings will normally be of two-day duration.

c. The committee will elect a chairman who will direct each session.

d. The committee will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD Regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

e. The functions of the committee will be purely advisory.

f. The Director of Naval History will recommend to the Secretary of the Navy individuals for membership on the committee.

g. The Director of Naval History will designate a full-time, salaried Government officer or employee who will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Committee which is not considered to be in the public interest.

h. The Committee will terminate on or whenever its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

i. Reports of proceedings, opinions, suggestions, and recommendations will be submitted to the Secretary of the Navy.

j. The Director of Naval History will provide staff support for the committee.

4. *Funding.* a. The members of the committee serve without compensation but are to be reimbursed for necessary travel and other expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

b. The annual cost for committee operations is estimated as $900 and staff support is estimated as less than 1/10 man-year.

5. *Membership.* The committee is composed of approximately 14 members who are selected by virtue of their expertise in historical fields.

6. *Date filed,* ----------

Dated: January 2, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-593 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SECRETARY OF THE NAVY OCEANOGRAPHIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Navy Oceanographic Advisory Committee has been found to be in public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Secretary of the Navy Oceanographic Advisory Committee is as follows:

A. *Designation.* The Secretary of the Navy Oceanographic Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as "the Committee", is hereby established as being in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Navy by statute. The Committee will be operated in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) and Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DOD regulations.

B. *Objectives and scope.* The Committee serves as an advisory body to the Oceanographer of the Navy to provide him, and through him the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research & Development), scientific and engineering advice and guidance, and recommendations in matters of national interest in the oceans.

C. *Duties.* The Committee shall assist the Oceanographer of the Navy by providing technical and management advice from non-governmental experts in the fields of marine science, marine engineering, and related subjects. The Committee members will review current and projected naval oceanographic policies and programs. The Committee will recommend ways in which these policies and programs might be enhanced, and ways to improve coordination between governmental and non-governmental interests.

D. *Membership.* The Committee shall be composed of individuals of nationally recognized competence in ocean science, ocean engineering, and related fields.

Prospective members for the Committee shall be recommended by the Oceanographer of the Navy to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research and Development). The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research and Development) shall issue invitations to nominees.

The members of the Committee shall recommend to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research and Development), from among the membership, a chairman.

E. *Meetings.* The Committee shall hold 2 or 3 day meetings as required by the Oceanographer, ordinarily semi-annually. The Oceanographer of the Navy or his representative, who will be a full-time salaried federal employee, is designated to approve dates of all meetings and agenda thereof in advance; be in attendance at all meetings; and is authorized and required to adjourn any meeting when he determines adjournment to be in the public interest.

F. *Responsible official.* The report of the Committee shall be made to the Secretary of the Navy via the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research and Development).

G. *Support.* The Office of the Oceanographer of the Navy is responsible for providing necessary administrative support to the Committee.

H. *Resources.* The estimated operating cost of the Committee is $15,000 for one year. Less than one-half man-year of full time staff support is required.

I. *Termination date.* The time necessary for the Committee to accomplish its duties is anticipated to be two years. Should the requirement for the Committee continue beyond that period, the Oceanographer of the Navy may request continuation of the Committee for one additional year. Otherwise, the Committee shall terminate two years from the date this charter is filed, as indicated in paragraph J. below, or sooner if its mission is completed.

J. Date filed ----------

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-596 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY GROUP ON ELECTRON DEVICES

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices is as follows:

The function of the Advisory Group is to provide technical advice which will assist the Director, Defense Research and Engineering, and the Military Departments in planning and directing adequate and economical research and development programs in the area of electron devices.

The members of AGED are selected on the basis of their pre-eminence and contributions in the various fields of electron devices. The membership includes consultants from industry, universities and laboratory investigators and program managers from the Military Departments. All members are career government employees, DoD consultants and/or employees of Federal Contract Research Centers. The field of interest of the Advisory Group on Electron Devices shall encompass all phases of research and development of electron devices (active and passive microwave devices, high and low power non-microwave active and passive devices, special electron devices and lasers), whether undertaken separately, as part of equipment and systems contracts, or as a part of production engineering measures or manufacturing methods contracts.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-576 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ADVISORY PANEL ON DOD EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Advisory Panel on DoD Educational Programs has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the "Advisory Panel on DoD Educational Programs" is as follows:

A. *Committee's Official designation.* Advisory Panel on DoD Educational Programs, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), will operate in accordance with provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

B. *Committee's objective and the scope of its activity.* The Panel was established with the goal of providing a selected group of prominent individuals in the field of education to advise the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) on all aspects of DoD educational programs. The Panel members function as individual advisers in the Office of the Secretary of Defense and not as representatives of institutions or organizations with which they are affiliated. The Panel is charged with studying standards, methods, and other features of DoD educational programs and providing advice to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) upon request. The Panel will incorporate the responsibilities formerly held by the Advisory Panel on ROTC Affairs within this broadened charter.

C. *Period of time necessary for the Committee to carry out its purposes.* One or two 1-day meetings per year of the full Panel.

D. *Agency or official to whom the Committee reports.* Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs).

E. *Agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Committee.* Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs). The Department of Defense member of this Panel has the authority and is required to adjourn any meeting whenever he considers adjournment to be in the public interest.

F. *Description of the duties for which the Committee is responsible, and if such duties are not solely advisory, a specification of the authority for such functions.* 1. To advise the Secretary of Defense through the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) on all aspects of DoD educational programs.

2. To provide advice on all aspects of ROTC and other precommissioning programs of the Military Departments.

3. To study and provide advice on the standards, methods and other features of departmental plans for implementing the various educational programs.

G. *Estimated annual operating costs in dollars and man-years for such Committee.* 1. The estimated annual operating cost, programmed to provide for one meeting per year is $10,000. (This does not include salaries of Federal employees whose pay would continue if the Panel were terminated.)

2. The man-year costs to support the Panel are an estimated ⅛ of a man-year.

H. *Estimated number and frequency of Committee meetings.* One meeting per year of the full Panel. Such meetings will be open to the public and a timely notice of the meeting will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Reports of these meetings will be available to the public.

I. *Committee's termination date.* January 5, 1975, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

J. *Date the charter is filed.*

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-604 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

BOARD OF CONSULTANTS OF THE NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Consultants of The National War College has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Consultants of The National War College is as follows:

The Board of Consultants of The National War College is authorized, for the next two years, to review the annual accomplishments of The National War College and to make appropriate recommendations to the Commandant. This review shall cover the curriculum, procedures, educational policies, courses of instruction, administration, facilities, and any problem faced by the Commandant. The Board of Consultants shall meet openly for a minimum of two days annually and shall subsequently submit an annual comprehensive written report to the Commandant. The National War College will provide any support required to insure the successful mission accomplishment of the Board of Consultants in its role as an advisory committee. The estimated annual operating costs are less than three thousand dollars and the personnel support required is less than one-sixth man year. This charter will terminate upon the second anniversary of its continuation authority. This charter for the Board of Consultants of The National War College was filed on

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-600 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON WOMEN IN THE SERVICES

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services is as follows:

I. *Purpose.* This charter prescribes the mission, membership and administration of the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services (hereinafter referred to as the Committee). The Committee will operate in accordance with provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD regulations for federal advisory committees.

II. *Mission.* The mission of the Committee is to provide the Department of Defense, through the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), with assistance and advice on matters relating to women in the services, to interpret to the public the need for and the role of women

in the services and to encourage the acceptance of military service as a career opportunity for qualified women.

III. *Membership.* A. The Committee shall be composed of not more than thirty civilian members, selected with due regard to equitable field of interest and geographical representation.

B. Members will be appointed by the Secretary of Defense and shall serve without compensation. Approximately one third of the membership shall be replaced annually.

C. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) shall designate annually one of the third year members of the Committee to serve as Chairman for that calendar year.

D. The Chairman will select other Committee officers as required to conduct the Committee business.

IV. *Administration.* A. The Committee meet at the invitation of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs). A minimum of two formal meetings shall be held annually and the presence of a simple majority of the members shall constitute a quorum. The ASD (M&RA) shall appoint a full-time salaried government officer or employee who will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Committee which is not considered to be in the public interest.

B. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) will provide, from resources made available for this purpose, such personnel, facilities and other administrative support as are determined to be necessary for the performance of the Committee's functions.

C. In accomplishing its mission as stated herein, the Committee may obtain such information and assistance as it requires from the military departments and other agencies of the Department of Defense as appropriate.

D. It is estimated that the annual operating costs for the Committee will be $25,000 and the man-years necessary to support the Committee will be two.

V. *Duration.* This Committee shall terminate upon the completion of its mission or not later than two years after its approval unless prior to that time it is renewed by appropriate action, or no later than January 5, 1977.

VI. *Filing date.* This Committee charter was filed, as required, on

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-603 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the "Defense Science Board" has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Defense Science Board is as follows:

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD CHARTER

References: (a) DoD Directive 5129.1, "Director of Defense Research and Engineering," March 13, 1970.

(b) PL 92-463, E.O. 11686 and Implementing OMB and DoD Regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

(c) DoD Directive 5129.22, "Defense Science Board Charter," February 17, 1971.

I. *General.* In accordance with the general provisions of references (a) and (b), the Defense Science Board is continued as the senior advisory group in the Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (ODDR&E). The purpose, membership, scope and mode of operation are defined as follows:

II. *Purpose and membership.* A. The Defense Science Board, composed of members appointed from civilian life by the Secretary of Defense upon the recommendation of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, advises the Secretary of Defense, through the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, on scientific and technical matters of interest to the Department of Defense.

B. The Board shall consist of not more than 24 Members at Large, the Chairmen of the three public advisory bodies to the Military Services, and such Associate Members as may be necessary to carry out the responsibilities of the Board.

1. The Members at Large will be selected on the basis of their pre-eminence in the fields of research and engineering as necessary to cover the interests of the respective offices of the Department of Defense. This class of membership may include officials of other agencies or departments of the government with expertise desired by the Director of Defense Research and Engineering. Members at Large will serve a term of four years, with the term of six members expiring each December 31.

2. The Chairman of each of the following public advisory bodies to the Department of Defense:

a. Army Scientific Advisory Panel;

b. Naval Research Advisory Committee; and the

c. Air Force Scientific Advisory Board.

3. The Associate Members will be selected for their special scientific and technical expertise to participate in studies by temporary, ad hoc task forces of Board members on specific subjects as requested by the Director of Defense Research and Engineering. Associate Members will serve for the duration of the task force for which they have been selected.

C. The Secretary of Defense shall designate the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Board from the above membership upon the recommendation of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering. An Executive Officer, who will be a full-time salaried officer or employee of the government, with necessary supporting staff, will be provided by the Director of Defense Research and Engineering upon recommendation of or with the concurrence of the Chairman of the Defense Science Board.

III. *Scope.* A. The mission of the Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on overall research and engineering and to provide long-range guidance in these areas to the Department of Defense.

B. In furtherance of this mission the Board shall concern itself with policy matters in the area of long-range planning. It shall render advice to the Secretary of Defense and to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering in areas useful to their offices as to specific systems and weapons only down to such details as fulfill the requirements of these offices. The Board shall be concerned with the pressing and complex problems of research management facing the Director of Defense Research and Engineering and his staff in terms fo policy development and principles to be followed.

C. Specific advice will be rendered on Department of Defense research, development, engineering, test and evaluation programs, such as:

1. The desirable scope, internal balance and, where appropriate, the substance of research, development, engineering, test, and evaluation effort that should be pressed by the Department of Defense in answer to its valid interests viewed broadly from the national perspective and in full consideration of current programs in the civilian economy;

2. The effectiveness of research and development in providing combat-worthy weapons systems, with attention to prompt and effective utilization of new knowledge; the rapid translation of new scientific opportunities into weapons; and the evaluation of the effectiveness of the projected weapons systems in meeting military requirements; and

3. Preferred administrative practices and policies for the effective prosecution of these programs.

D. The Board shall be responsive to requests or assignments from the Secretary of Defense or the Director of Defense Research and Engineering.

IV. *Operations.* A. The Defense Science Board shall meet regularly three times each year and at such other times as may be called by the Chairman or the Director of Defense Research and Engineering.

B. The procedures for developing the advice and findings of the Board shall be as flexible as is consistent with the above purpose. The Board will work through the Chairman with the Director of Defense Research and Engineering by establishing temporary, ad hoc task forces to review and advise on problems which arise. Task forces will be established for a specific length of time not to exceed one year, subject to extension for completion of the task. Subject to the concurrence of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering and reference (b) above, members may collaborate, as representatives of the Board, with the Military Departments and with civilian agencies in broad studies of relevance to the mission of the Board.

C. The Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall provide for such technical or administrative assistance as is needed by the Board or ad hoc task forces. In particular, the Executive Officer shall be responsible to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering for the proper functioning of the Board in accordance with reference (b) and to the Chairman in connection with the planning, operation, and coordination of the work of the Board and shall ve specific authority to adjourn any meeting of the Board or its Task Forces, which is not considered to be in the public interest.

D. The estimated annual operating cost of the Defense Science Board is $115,000-$120,000 plus 3-man years of full-time staff support.

E. The Advisory Group and its sub-groups will operate in accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11686 and implementing OMB and DoD Regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

V. *Termination.* The Defense Science Board shall terminate January 5, 1977, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

VI. *Date filed.*

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-606 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEFENSE SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT SCHOOL BOARD OF VISITORS

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Defense Systems Management School Board of Visitors has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Defense Systems Management School Board of Visitors is as follows:

I. *Purpose.* In accordance with Pub. L. 92-463 and Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees, this charter prescribes the mission, composition, and operation of the Defense Systems Management School (DSMS) Board of Visitors (hereinafter referred to as the Board).

II. *Mission.* The mission of the Board is:

A. To examine the organization, management, curricula, methods of instruction, facilities, and other aspects of the operation of the DSNS; and

B. To provide a report, at least once each year, to the DSMS Policy Guidance Council and the Commandant, DSMS, setting forth the results of its examination and recommendations bearing on the accomplishment of the DSMS mission.

III. *Composition.* A. The Board will be composed of three members from the academic community, three members from the defense industry, and three members from the general business community.

B. The Commandant, DSMS, will nominate to the DSMS Policy Guidance Council new members of the Board based not only upon his own considerations, but upon recommendations received from members of the Council and the Board itself. Upon concurrence of the Council on the nominees, final approval will be obtained by the Council's Executive Secretary through the Deputy Assistant Secretary (Administration) in the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

C. The term of a Board member will be two years; however, a one-year extension will be granted upon submittal of the Chairman's recommendations to the Commandant for his concurrence prior to submittal to the DSMS Policy Guidance Council for final approval.

D. The Chairman of the Board will be elected from its membership, subject to appointment by the Commandant, DSMS.

E. The Secretary to the Board will be appointed from the DSMS staff by the Commandant, DSMS.

F. The Recording Secretary of the DSMS Policy Guidance Council will attend all meetings of the Board as an observer, and will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Board which is not considered to be in the public interest.

IV. *Operations.* A. The Board will meet at least once each fiscal year, but not more than twice, to perform its examination and draft a report containing the results of its examination and recommendations to facilitate accomplishment of the DSMS mission. The report of the Board will be submitted to the Executive Secretary of the DSMS Policy Guidance Council through the Commandant, DSMS, not more than one month after each of its meetings.

B. Each meeting of the Board will be limited to three days in length.

C. No less than 30 days prior to each meeting of the Board, the Commandant, DSMS, will provide a packet of information to the Board. This packet will contain, as a minimum, the date and tentative agenda for the next meeting, and a report of the actions taken by the Commandant, DSMS, since the most recent meeting of the Board.

D. The Secretary to the Board will provide the necessary administrative support to the Board, duplicate the reports of the Board, and provide copies of the reports to the DSMS Policy Guidance Council; the Commandant, DSMS; the Board; and others as appropriate.

E. Expenses of Board members, including consulting fees, travel and subsistence, will be borne by the DSMS.

F. The estimated annual cost of operation of the Board is $3,500 and less than one-quarter man-year of full-time staff support.

V. *Duration.* The Board will terminate upon the completion of its mission, or not later than two years following the filing of the charter, unless prior to that time it is renewed by appropriate action.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-584 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WAGE COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Department of Defense Wage Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the DoD Wage Committee is as follows:

Designation. The committee is the Department of Defense Wage Committee.

Objectives and scope of activity. The Committee makes recommendations regarding wage surveys and wage schedules for blue collar employees to the Department of Defense Wage Fixing Authority to discharge the responsibilities assigned by Pub. L. 92-392 to the Civil Service Commission, as set forth in Federal Personnel Manual Supplements 532-1 and -2, "Federal Wage System." The Department of Defense has "lead agency" responsibility for setting wage rates in approximately 300 of the approximately 340 wage areas established under the Federal Wage System.

Time necessary to carry out purpose. Continuing.

Official to whom committee reports. The Committee will be responsible to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) and will operate in accordance with DoD Directives 5120.39 and 5120.42.

Membership. The Committee consists of five members:

Chairman. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Civilian Personnel Policy) or an alternate designated by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs). Any designated alternate will also be a full-time, salaried Government Officer or employee. The Chairman or his alternate will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Committee which is not considered to be in the public interest.

Two members. Designated by the military departments or Defense agencies having the largest number of wage employees covered by the wage schedule under consideration as determined by the Chairman.

Two members. Designated by the Head of each of the two labor organizations having the largest number of wage employees covered by exclusive recognition within the Department of Defense. The two organizations currently qualifying under this requirement are (1) the Metal Trades Department, AFL-CIO, and (2) the American Federation of Government Employees.

Agency which provides support. The Department of the Army through the operation of the Department of Defense Wage Fixing Authority Technical Staffs.

Operation and description of duties for which Committee is responsible. The Committee will operate in accordance with provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11686 and implementing OMB and DoD Regulations for Federal Advisory Committees. For wage areas referred to in objectives and scope of activity, above, upon completion of a local wage survey, the DoD Wage Committee will consider the survey data, the local survey activities report and recommendations, the statistical analyses and proposed pay schedules derived therefrom, as well as any other data or recommendations pertinent to the survey and recommend wage schedules to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs).

Estimated annual operating costs. An aggregate of one-third of a man-year representing salary apportionments of the Federal employee members of the Committee.

Estimated number and frequency of meetings. One each week.

Committee's termination date. The Committee will terminate two years from the date this charter is filed or when its mission is completed whichever is sooner, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

Date charter filed.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-602 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

INDUSTRIAL COLLEGE OF THE ARMED FORCES BOARD OF ADVISERS

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Board of Advisers, Industrial College of the Armed Forces has been found

to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for the advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Board of Advisers is as follows:

A. The Board's official designation is: The Board of Advisers, the Industrial College of the Armed Forces.

B. The Board's objectives and scope of its activities: To provide the Commandant of the College advice on matters relating to the curricula, educational policies and methods, and administration of College programs.

C. The period of time necessary for the Board to carry out its purposes: Board meets about two days a year.

D. Agency to whom Board reports: Industrial College of the Armed Forces.

E. Agency responsible for providing necessary support for the Board. Industrial College of the Armed Forces.

F. Description of duties for which Board is responsible: To review the complete operation of the College and make recommendations to the Commandant as appropriate. Some of the items considered by the Board are: policy matters affecting the college; currency and relevancy of curriculum; size, composition, and selection criteria for student body; qualifications and continuity of military and civilian faculty members; and, institutional research.

G. Estimated annual operating costs in dollars and man-years for Board: Annual cost: approximately $2500.00; Man-years—0.

H. Estimated number and frequency of Board meetings: Once a year for no more than two days.

I. Board's termination date, if less than two years from the date of Board's establishment: The Board of Advisers was established by the Commandant in 1944 and was continued by all succeeding Commandants. Pursuant to DOD Directive 5050.13, dated April 20, 1962, approval of the Board was requested and has been continuously approved by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Administration). The termination date is January 1, 1975, or when its mission is completed, whichever is sooner or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

Industrial College of the Armed Forces

Board of Advisers
Establishment, Organization and Functions

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-586 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

JOINT STRATEGIC TARGET PLANNING STAFF SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP (JSTPS SAG)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the "JSTPS SAG" has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

A summary of the charter follows:

Objective. The JSTPS SAG provides timely technical and scientific advice to the Director of Strategic Target Planning (DSTP) during the development of the Single Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP).

Duties. The JSTPS SAG provides advice to enhance JSTPS planning in the areas of vulnerability reduction and improved system effectiveness.

Membership. Limited to thirteen members, each an outstanding analyst or scientist associated with important aspects of strategic systems. Appropriate military representation as required.

Operation. Meetings are convened by the DSTP two or three times annually, normally at Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska. The function of the SAG is purely advisory. Estimated annual expenses are $11,000, with approximately 3.3 man years support required. There is no dedicated administrative support.

Reports. Minutes of each meeting, an annual summary report, as well as appropriate input to the annual comprehensive review.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller)

[FR Doc.75-585 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION AWARD SELECTION COMMITTEE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Defense Natural Resources Conservation Award Selection Committee has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Secretary of Defense Natural Resources Conservation Award Selection Committee is as follows:

A. *Official Designation.* Secretary of Defense Natural Resources Conservation Award Selection Committee.

B. *Objectives and scope.* The Committee with its membership of outstanding civilian and other Federal natural resource conservation leaders is responsible for advising the Department of Defense on the quality of its conservation trusteeship under 16 U.S.C. 670 for the 26,000,000 acres of land and water resources it controls. Through an annual awards program, outstanding installations and individual efforts are recognized. At the same time, deficiencies in DOD natural resources management are discovered and recommendations for correction or improvement are made. The Committee approach has the advantage of providing OSD with a broad range of expertise it does not have in-house nor is able to provide under present circumstances on a full-time basis.

C. *Period of time.* The Committee meets annually in late Spring through an inspection trip to the six military installations nominated by the three Military Departments. Depending on the locale of the installations to be visited, the period of time necessary to complete the Committee's task runs between four and five days total.

D. *Official to whom committee reports.* The Committee makes its report to the Secretary of Defense through the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installations and Logistics).

E. *Support provisions.* The Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installations and Logistics) furnishes necessary support for the Committee.

F. *Description of duties.* The Committee reviews the written presentations of the six installations nominated by the three Departments for the Secretary of Defense Award and makes an actual on-site inspection to review the validity of the written material and to observe the natural resources program at first-hand. The individual Committee members rate the six installations on a scale of one to six and the consensus is the basis for recommending a first place winner and two runners-up for the annual conservation award to the Secretary. The Committee members in the past have followed up on their recommendations with informal observations of the individual installations which are noted for future reference by the Committee Secretary or by formal letters which are sent to the Secretary of Defense or the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Installations and Logistics).

G. *Operating costs.* Services of the Committee members, with the exception of per diem for days in travel status, are at no cost to the Government. No salaries or honoraria are paid to non-Federal members. Wherever possible, Government messing and lodging are utilized. Per diem is estimated at $90 for each of five civilian members. Per diem of the Department of Agriculture and the Department of the Interior members (total of two) is assumed by those agencies. Travel is usually by Government aircraft and cost will vary due to locales visited and the type of aircraft used.

H. *Number and frequency of trips.* One time annually for a four or five day period.

I. *Committee termination date.* This Committee will terminate two years from the date of this charter.

J. *Date charter filed.*

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-605 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

U.S. DEFENSE/INDUSTRY ADVISORY GROUP IN EUROPE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Defense/Industry Advisory Group in Europe has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the Justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the U.S. Defense/Industry Advisory Group in Europe is as follows:

1. *Purpose and Scope.* The U.S. Defense Industry Advisory Group in Europe provides the Office of the U.S. Permanent Representative on the North Atlantic Council and the U.S. Defense/Industry representatives in Europe a forum for the mutual exchange of De-

fense/industrial information pertinent to NATO and European Regional Organizations. The Group will operate in accordance with provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Executive Order 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD Regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

2. *Period of time necessary for the group to carry out its purposes.* The Group will require two years from the date of last continuation to carry out its purposes.

3. *The Agency to whom the group reports.* The Secretary of Defense.

4. *The Agency responsible for providing support.* Defense Advisor, U.S. Mission to NATO.

5. *Membership.* The ex-officio Chairman of the Defense/Industry Advisory Group in Europe shall be the U.S. Permanent Representative on the North Atlantic Council. The ex-officio Chairman shall designate a regular Chairman, an alternate Chairman and a Group Secretary from his office, and such members of the Group as he deems appropriate from representatives of key United States industries in Europe. The ex-officio Chairman shall also designate a full-time, salaried government officer or employee who will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Group which is not considered to be in the public interest.

6. *Duties.* To provide the Office of the United States Permanent Representative on the North Atlantic Council and U.S. Defense/industry representatives in Europe a forum for the mutual exchange of defense/industrial information pertinent to NATO.

7. *Estimated annual operating costs.* $1,000—.25 man-year.

8. *Estimated number and frequency of meetings.* Four-five meetings per year, as necessary.

9. *Termination date.* The Group will terminate on January 5, 1977, or whenever its mission is completed, whichever is sooner, or unless prior approval for its continuation is obtained.

10. *Date filed.*

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.75-601 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR EMPLOYER SUPPORT OF THE GUARD AND RESERVE

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification of this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve is as follows:

CHARTER

NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR EMPLOYER SUPPORT OF THE GUARD AND RESERVE

I. *Objective and Scope.* Pursuant to the authorities vested in the Secretary of Defense, there is hereby constituted within the Department of Defense, the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve (hereinafter referred to as "the Committee"). The objective of the Committee is to develop public understanding of the National Guard and Reserve and to enlist the support of American employers in the development of personnel policies and practices which will encourage employee participation in Guard and Reserve programs. The Committee will conduct programs on local, regional, state, and national levels to accomplish its objectives.

II. *Duration.* The mission levied upon this committee as well as associated functions as approved by the Secretary of Defense will continue as a requirement on an indefinite basis.

III. *Organization.* A. The Committee shall be responsible to the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Reserve Affairs).

B. An individual of national stature will be appointed by the President, on the recommendation of the Secretary of Defense, as National Chairman of the Committee.

C. An Assistant to the National Chairman will be assigned from existing military or civilian resources to serve in a full-time capacity.

D. An Executive Committee, not to exceed 25 members, will assist the Chairman in directing the Committee affairs. Its function will be to provide program guidance, review policies, and assist in evaluating results. The membership will be drawn from the Reserve and employer community, augmented by representatives of trade, professional, educational, and labor organizations.

E. An Advisory Council, of not less than 180 members, who may or may not be members of the National Guard and Reserve, will be selected on the basis of their outstanding reputation in business, the professions, and in civic and public affairs, with due regard to an equitable field of interest and geographical representation.

F. Members of the Executive Committee and the Advisory Council will be appointed by the Secretary of Defense with the advice of the National Chairman. Members of each group shall serve as individuals and not as official representatives of any group or organization with which they may be affiliated. Terms of membership shall not exceed 24 months.

G. The Committee shall have a full-time staff composed of selected individuals from the Military Services and their Reserve components. This staff will be supervised by an Executive Staff Director who shall be a civilian or military selectee from one of the Services, and he shall be responsible to the National Chairman and will have authority to adjourn any meeting of the Committee which is not considered to be in the public interest.

IV. *Functions.* The Committee, through its Executive Committee and Advisory Council, shall fulfill the following functions:

A. Advise the Secretary of Defense on matters related to public understanding of the Guard and Reserve role and employer support of Guard and Reserve participation by employees.

B. At the local, state, and national levels, provide leadership in explaining the role of the Guard and Reserve and enlisting employer cooperation on their behalf. The Committee is authorized to develop programs to accomplish this function and to evaluate its effectiveness.

V. *Administration.* A. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) will provide, from resources made available for this purpose, such personnel, facilities and other administrative support as are determined to be necessary for the performance of the Committee's functions.

B. The Executive Committee and Advisory Council shall meet at the call of the National Chairman. Frequency of meetings shall be at least once each quarter with a maximum of six meetings per year for the Executive Committee and no more than two meetings per year for the Advisory Council.

C. In carrying out the functions stated herein, the Committee may obtain such information and assistance as it requires, through the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) from the military departments and other agencies of the Department of Defense, as appropriate.

D. The members of the Committee will serve without compensation, except in the case of those members who are also active members of the National Guard or Reserve and who, under existing regulations, may be placed on Active Duty for Training to satisfy Committee purposes. Transportation, per diem, and other expenses may be authorized in accordance with the Joint Travel Regulations.

E. The estimated annual budget of the Committee, beginning in FY 1975, is $300,000. This does not include the pay, allowances, or travel for the Committee staff of 16 military and 5 civilian employees. The Committee requires an estimated 21 man-years annually.

F. The policies, rules, and procedures contained in Department of Defense Directive 5030.13, dated April 20, 1962, will be applicable to this Committee.

G. The Committee will operate in accordance with provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, E.O. 11686, and implementing OMB and DoD regulations for Federal Advisory Committees.

IV. *Date Charter Filed.*

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 7, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-880 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Notice of Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:

Mr. Harold William Werner
Resource Management Technician
Everglades National Park
Post Office Box 279
Homestead, Florida 33030

OMB NO. 42-R0073

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR *(Indicate only one)*

☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.

To band and mark with colored plastic leg bands Cape Sable Sparrows of all ages (nestlings, fledglings, and adults).

3. APPLICANT. *(Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)*

Harold William Werner
Everglades National Park
P.O. Box 279
Homestead, Florida 33030
phone: (305) 247-6211 ext. 53

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

☒ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.	HEIGHT 5' 9½"	WEIGHT 145 lbs.
DATE OF BIRTH Jan. 21, 1947	COLOR HAIR brown	COLOR EYES brown
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED (305) 247-6211	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 266-78-2165	

OCCUPATION
Resource Management Technician

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

This study is being financed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service through the National Park Service, Everglades National Park.

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION

Does not apply.

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.

IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED

Dade, Collier, and Monroe Counties, Florida; primarily Everglades National Park.

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list license or permit numbers)

Federal Bird Marking and Salvage Permit. Permit Number: 9913

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of document)

Florida. Scientific Collector's Permit for Cape Sable Sparrows and other birds for banding purposes only. # 44

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER *(if applicable)* PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF

$ Does not apply.

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE

Feb. 1, 1975

11. DURATION NEEDED

1 year minimum, preferably 2 years

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED *(See 50 CFR 13.12(b))* MUST BE ATTACHED, IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.

See attached sheet.

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE, PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE *(In ink)*	DATE
Harold W. Werner	Dec. 7, 1974

3-200
(5/74)

INT-51 737-74

DECEMBER 7, 1974.

12. Attachments. The purpose of the current research project on the Cape Sable Sparrow, *Ammospiza maritima mirabilis*, an endangered subspecies (Title 50, Part 17, Sec. 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations), is to determine their distribution, life history, and ecology. To adequately study their life history, it is desirable to have marked birds of known age and ancestry. Such individuals can provide data on movement within and between colonies, longevity, reproductive rates and potential, pair bond, migration, sex roles and behavior, age and behavior, vocalizations, growth and development, population dynamics, territoriality, occurrence of inbreeding, etc.

During previous banding and marking activities on Cape Sable Sparrows conducted under former permits, there were no indications that these activities adversely affected the individuals. The total number of individuals to be banded and marked presently appears to probably be less than 1% of the total population.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before February 10, 1975 will be considered.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

C. R. BAVIN,
Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-886 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

FLUE-CURED TOBACCO ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

The Flue-Cured Tobacco Advisory Committee will meet in the Board Room of the Flue-Cured Tobacco Cooperative Stabilization Corporation, 522 Fayetteville Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602, at 1 p.m., on Friday, January 31, 1975.

The purpose of the meeting is to further review the report of the 5-Man Subcommittee appointed at the October 14, 1974, meeting of the Committee and consider areas of improving the grower designation plan for the 1975 flue-cured marketing season. Also, matters, as specified in 7 CFR Part 29, Subpart G, § 29.904 will be discussed.

The meeting is open to the public but space and facilities are limited. Public participation will be limited to written statements submitted before or at the meeting unless their participation is otherwise requested by the Committee Chairman. Persons, other than members, who wish to address the Committee at the meeting should contact Mr. J. W. York, Director, Tobacco Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, 300 12th Street, SW., United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447-2567.

E. L. PETERSON,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-941 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

COMPUTER SYSTEMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Partially Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. III, 1973), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee will be held Tuesday, February 11, 1975 at 9:30 a.m., in Room 4833, Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The Committee was established to advise the Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, world-wide availability and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to computer systems, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

The Committee meeting agenda has six parts:

GENERAL SESSION

(1) Opening remarks by the Chairman.
(2) Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
(3) Report on the Licensing Procedures Subcommittee meeting of January 28, 1975.
(4) Report on the work programs dealing with safeguards, foreign availability, performance characteristics, and licensing procedures.
(5) Plans for future work programs.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

(6) Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 11652, dealing with the U.S. and COCOM control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The public will be permitted to attend the General Session, at which a limited number of seats will be available to the public. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting.

On December 16, 1974, the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, that those portions of the series of meetings of the Committee and of any subcommittees thereof that will involve discussions of matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1) and specifically authorized under criteria established by an executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and are in fact properly classified pursuant to such executive order, shall be exempt, for the period January 4, 1975, to January 3, 1976, from the provisions of section 10 (a)(1) and (a)(3) of the Act, relating to open meetings and public participation therein.

Agenda item (6) will be devoted to the discussion of matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1) and properly classified under Executive Order 11652, dealing with the U.S. and COCOM control program and strategic criteria related thereto. Accordingly, pursuant to the aforementioned determination this portion of the meeting will be closed to the public. All Committee members have appropriate security clearances.

Minutes of the open portion of the meeting will be available upon written request addressed to the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 7043, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

For further information, contact Mr. Charles C. Swanson, Director, Operations Division, Office of Export Administration, Room 1620, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: A/C 202/967-4196.

In accordance with paragraph (4) of the Order of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in "Aviation Consumer Action Project, et al. v. C. Langhorne Washington, et al.", September 10, 1974, as amended, September 23, 1974 (Civil Action No. 1838-73), the Complete Notice of Determination to close portions of the meetings of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee and of any subcommittees thereof is hereby published.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Acting Director, Bureau of East-West Trade.

COMPUTER SYSTEMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

In response to written requests of representatives of a substantial segment of the computer industry, the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee was established by the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to section 5(c)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1969, 50 U.S.C. App. section 2404(c)(1) (Supp. III, 1973), as amended, Pub. L. No. 93-500, section 5(b) (October 29, 1974), to advise the Department of Commerce with respect to questions involving technical matters, worldwide availability, and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to computer systems, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

The Committee, which currently has nineteen members representing industry and twelve members representing government agencies, will terminate no later than January 3, 1977, unless extended by the Secretary of Commerce. All members of the Committee have the appropriate security clearance.

The Committee's activities are conducted in accordance with the provisions of section 5(c)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1969, as amended, the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. III, 1973), and Office of Management and Budget Circular A-63 (Revised), Advisory Committee Management, effective May 1, 1974. Section 10 of the Federal Ad-

visory Committee Act provides, among other things, that the meetings of advisory committees are to be open to the public, and to public participation, unless the head of the agency (or his delegate) to which the committee reports determines in writing that all, or some portion, of the agenda of the meeting of the committee is concerned with matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code.

Section 552(b)(1) of Title 5, United States Code, provides that information may be withheld from the public if it concerns matters specifically required by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy.

Notices of Determination authorizing the closing of meetings, or portions thereof, of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee and its formal subcommittees, dealing with security classified matters, were approved on March 6, 1973, for the meeting of May 29, 1973; on June 18, 1973, for the meeting of June 28, 1973; on July 17, 1973, for a series of meetings for the period July 17, 1973, through December 31, 1973; on December 26, 1973, for a series of meetings for the period January 1, 1974, through April 30, 1974; and on May 16, 1974, covering a series of meetings from May 1, 1974 through January 3, 1975.

In order to provide advice to the Department under the terms of its charter, the Committee and formal subcommittees thereof will continue to hold a series of meetings dealing with the matters set forth in the first paragraph of this Determination. These meetings will include discussions of the COCOM control list as it relates to the commodities and technical data under its purview, and with the foreign availability of these commodities and technical data. In addition, the Committee and its formal subcommittees will be preparing recommendations for the Department's consideration relating to the U.S. Government's negotiating position on COCOM-related matters. Much of the information relating to the COCOM control list, as well as proposed changes, is now or will be security classified for national security or foreign policy reasons, pursuant to Executive Order No. 11652, 3 CFR Part 339 (1974). In order for the Committee and its formal subcommittees to provide required advice to the U.S. Government, it will be necessary to provide the Committee and its formal subcommittees with such classified material. Therefore, the portions of the series of meetings of the Committee and of subcommittees thereof that will involve discussions of matters specifically authorized under criteria established by an executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and are in fact properly classified pursuant to such executive order, must be closed to the public. The remaining portions of the series of meetings will be open to the public.

Accordingly, I hereby determine, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act that those portions of the series of meetings of the Committee and of any subcommittees thereof, dealing with the aforementioned classified materials shall be exempt, for the period January 4, 1975, to January 3, 1976, from the provisions of section 10 (a)(1) and (a)(3), relating to open meetings and public participation therein, because the Committee and subcommittee discussions will be concerned with matters listed in section 552(b)(1) of Title 5, United States Code. The remaining portions of the meetings will be open to the public.

Dated: December 16, 1974.

GUY W. CHAMBERLIN, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

Dated: December 12, 1974.

ALFRED MEISNER,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-819 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

MANAGEMENT-LABOR TEXTILE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Open Meeting

JANUARY 6, 1975.

The Management-Labor Textile Advisory Committee will meet at 2 p.m. on February 12, 1975, in Room 6802, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Committee, which is comprised of 37 members, was established by the Secretary of Commerce on April 23, 1962, to advise U.S. Government officials on problems and conditions in the textile and apparel industry and furnish information on world trade in textiles and apparel.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Review of import trends.
2. Implementation of textile agreements.
3. Report on conditions in the domestic market.
4. Other business.

A limited number of seats will be available to the public. The public will be permitted to file written statements with the Committee before or after the meeting. To the extent time is available at the end of the meeting, the presentation of oral statements will be allowed.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available on written request addressed to the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 7043, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Further information concerning the Committee may be obtained from Arthur Garel, Director, Office of Textiles, Main Commerce Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone 202-967-5078.

Dated: January 6, 1971.

ALAN POLANSKY,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance.

[FR Doc.75-875 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ET AL.

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. Such comments must be filed in triplicate with the Director, Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Washington, D.C. 20230, on or before February 3, 1975.

Amended regulations issued under cited Act, as published in the February 24, 1972 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, prescribe the requirements applicable to comments.

A copy of each application is on file, and may be examined during ordinary Commerce Department business hours at the Special Import Programs Division, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket Number: 75-00023-56-17500. Applicant: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Mass. 02139. Article: 4 Recording Current Meters and Tape Reader. Manufacturer: Ivar Aanderaa, Norway. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to make periodic measurements of properties in Massachusetts Bay. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: July 17, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00241-00-46040. Applicant: Yale University, Purchasing Department, 20 Ashmun Street, New Haven, Conn. 06520. Article: 35 mm Roll Film Camera. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is an accessory to an existing electron microscope being used to examine the ultrastructural characteristics of a variety of transporting epithelia. The article will allow for 45 additional exposures of specimens on 35 mm film thus allowing for better utilization of stereo-pair photography of the intracellular contacts which are to be studied and also allowing for better recording of serial reconstructions of the transporting epithelia studied by both standard transmission and freeze etch electron microscopy. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 26, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00242-56-17500. Applicant: Dept. of Interior-U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, National Center-#430, Reston, Virginia 22092. Article: Five (5) Recording Current Meters, Model 4. Manufacturer: Ivar Aanderaa, Norway. Intended use of Article: The articles are intended to be used together with similar RCM-4 units to measure and record in situ, precisely-timed, long-term, flow-velocities, water-temperatures, and salinity (conductivity) values in the shallow waters of lakes, waterways, estuaries, and coast-

al embayments. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 26, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00243-33-46040. Applicant: Mayo Foundation, 200 First Street Southwest, Rochester, Minnesota 55901. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of biological materials consisting of human and experimental animal tissues, both normal and pathological; mammalian cells grown in tissue culture under a variety of experimental conditions; subcellular fractions; and virus preparations. Experiments to be conducted will include an examination of the normal behavior and structure of cells in culture and tissue; the localization of specific subcellular sites of interaction of inducing or transforming chemicals utilizing electron microscope autoradiography; and an examination of induced acute and long-term functional and structural alterations. The article will also be used in the course "Introduction to Transmission Electron Microscopy" which will be primarily concerned with methodology and will include an introduction to preparation of tissue, cells, and subcellular fractions for thin sectioning; cytochemical techniques; electron microscope autoradiography; use of the electron microscope, and photographic procedures. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: November 26, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00244-01-41700. Applicant: Stanford University, 820 Quarry Road, Palo Alto, California 94304. Article: Model 33 Mode Locked Dye Laser Oscillator. Manufacturer: Electro-Photonics Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to study energy transport phenomena in solids. In particular, the migration of singlet Frenkel excitons in molecular crystals will be examined. An effort will be made to distinguish the coherent and incoherent modes of exciton transport. In addition, localization of a mobile exciton wave packet by an impurity and promotion of a localized excitation back into the exciton band will be studied. The article will also be used by graduate students in connection with fulfilling research requirements for obtaining Ph.D. degree in chemistry. In addition to gaining experience with laser equipment, the article will be the students' basic tool in conducting solid state physics research. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00245-33-46040. Applicant: Meharry Medical College, 1005 18th Avenue North, Nashville, Tennessee 37208. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 301 and accessories. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in part to carry out research programs in developmental immunology and keloid formation. The article will also be used in the training of pre- and postdoctoral students in the techniques of ultrastructural research. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00246-33-46040. Applicant: Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1102 Columbia Street, Seattle, Washington 98104. Article: Electron Microscope, Model Corinth 500 and accessories. Manufacturer: AEI Scientific Apparatus Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of a wide range of biological tissues, both human and animal in normal and pathological states, which include actual tumors removed at surgery or tissue obtained using various biopsy techniques, tissue culture cells, cell suspensions and pellets (bone marrow, blood cells, etc.). Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00247-33-90000. Applicant: Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, 125 DeSota Street, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape System and High Density Display Unit. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used, in addition to performing clinical studies on patients, in the post-graduate medical training programs of Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh and Presbyterian-University Hospitals. It will be used primarily in the training of neuroradiology fellows, neurosurgery residents, neurology residents and neuropathology fellows. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00248-01-07795. Applicant: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Physical Gas-Dynamic and Lasers Branch, Mail Stop 230-3, Moffett Field, Calif. 84035. Article: Imacon Camera. Manufacturer: John Hadland Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of the following:

(a) The modes of disintegration of water droplets and ice crystals in the region behind a strong shock wave in air.

(b) The mechanism of the various aspects of the fragmentation or disintegration process.

(c) The time required to fragment water drops and ice crystals. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00249-33-90000. Applicant: The Swedish Hospital Medical Center, 747 Summit, Seattle, Washington 98104. Article: EMI Scanner System with Magnetic Tape Storage Option. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to investigate lesions of the orbit, multiple sclerosis, migraine headaches, tumors of the sella and acoustic neuromas. The article will also be used to train technologists in the operation of the article. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00251-65-90000. Applicant: Northwestern University, 619 Clark Street, Evanston, Illinois 60201. Article: Rotating Anode X-Ray Diffraction Unit. Manufacturer: Rigaku Denki Co., Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for topographical studies of dislocation contents in deformed metals and atomic arrangements in crystalline polymers, ceramics and metals to determine the relation of dislocation content to strength; and to provide a quantitative comparison of theoretical models of the structure with diffraction patterns. The article will also be used in the course Crystallography and Diffraction "diffraction methods" for undergraduates and graduate work. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 4, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00252-88-40600. Applicant: University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124. Article: VG-Micromass 602C Mass Spectrometer, Digital Printout and Adapter for Small Samples. Manufacturer: VG-Micromass Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article will be used to study the high frequency variation of climate by applying the oxygen isotope method of paleotemperature analysis to sections of deep sea sediments. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 6, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00253-33-90000. Applicant: Loyola University of Chicago, Foster G. McGaw Hospital, 2160 South First Avenue, Maywood, Illinois 60513. Article: EMI Scanner System, with Magnetic Tape Storage Unit. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for research to improve the detection of intracranial and intraorbital lesions with Computerized Tomography with the adjunct of different contrast media to enhance the absorption coefficient. These studies are being carried out with patients, as well as with animals. Also studies for improving the resolution and detail by way of different computer programs applied to the basic principle will be done. The article will also be used in an intensive training program in Neuroradiology in affiliation with other Nurological disciplines (Neurology, Neurosurgery, Ophthalmology and Neuropathology). Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 6, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00254-33-46040. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, 750 University Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53706. Article: Electron Microscope, HU-12A. Manufacturer: Hitachi, Japan. Intended use of article. The article is intended to be used in research to establish the mechanism of action of naturally occurring hepatotoxins and carcinogenic agents and to correlate ultrastructural and biochemical changes that occcur in experimentally induced lead intoxication with the behavioral changes that occur in these experimental animals. The experiments to be conducted include the following:

(1) Chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbon experiments to evaluate the ultrastructural changes that occur in the liver, with primary emphasis upon the modifications of the hepatic endoplasmic reticulum.

(2) Toxicological and carcinogenic potential of the pyrrolizidine alkaloids, and

(3) Pathophysiological and behavioral effects of lead intoxication in the infant nonhuman primate.

The article will also be in constant use in the training of post-doctoral fellows, graduate students, and technical assistants. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 6, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00255-01-41750. Applicant: Texas Tech University, Dept. of Chemistry, Lubbock, Texas 79409. Article: Willhart Jig set for holding flasks, funnels and ball joints and Sockets, set of three and Single internal universal glass jig for tubes, flasks, dewars, coils, beakers. Manufacturer: W. R. Eberhart Glass Blowing, Canada. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for the fabrication of scientific glass apparatus used in chemistry research, the main purposes being to hold joint and concentric tubes in alignment during fabrication on the glass lathe. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 9, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00256-33-90000. Applicant: Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital, Diagnostic Radiology, 230 N. Broad Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Article: EMI Scanner System. Manufacturer: EMI Lmited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for research of diseases of the brain and neurological diseases which include the following:

(1) Evaluation of cerebral underdevelopment of children with malnutrition and the changes during the phenomenon of catch up brain growth which accompanies proper nutrition,

(2) Research to clarify the relationships that exist between cerebral changes occurring in aging as measured by computerized transaxial tomography, measure psychological behavior attained by both current observaton studies and past psychiatric history, and sociocultural evaluations,

(3) Evaluation of the etiology and physical and behavioral changes in retarded and handicapped children. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 10, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00257-16-78000. Applicant: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125. Article: Fourier Spectrophotometer, FS-720A-12. Manufacturer: Beckman-RIIC Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in support of a program in infrared and submillimeter astronomy. The article will perform (a) measurement over the 30μ—2mm spectral range of the transmission and reflection of optical components, including components cooled to liquid helium temperatures, and (b) measurement of the wavelength response and sensitivity of astronomical detector systems. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 10, 1974.

Docket Number 75-00258-33-90000. Applicant: Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Superior Street and Fairbanks Court, Chicago, Illinois 60611. Article: EMI Scanner System. Manufacturer: EMI Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for comparative studies between the results of the computerized tomogram and other neuroradiologic investigative methods of patients with suspected intracranial disease. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 10, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00259-33-46040. Applicant: University of Tennessee, Center for the Health Sciences, Department of Anatomy, 875 Monroe Avenue, Memphis, Tenn, 38163. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in an investigation involving a study of the basic cellular mechanisms involving pronuclear development and association and demonstrate aspects of fertilization which may be capable of regulation. The research project will consider the following aspects of fertilized mammalian eggs: (1) The relation of the synthesis of specific macromolecules such as protein, RNA, and DNA, to the ultrastructural events of pronuclear development and association and (2) what effects alteration of the maternal (egg) cytoplasm as determined by electron microscopic analysis, have on the events of fertilization (specifically pronuclear development and morphogenesis) and the synthesis of RNA, DNA and protein. The article will also be used to train pre- and postdoctoral students in the methodology of electron microscopy. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 10, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00260-33-43780. Applicant: Veterans Administration Prosthetics Center, 252 Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10001. Article: Controlled Environment Unit. Manufacturer: Department of Health and Social Security, United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to investigate the effects in immediate post surgical amputation sites in a transparent, controlled environment. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 10, 1974.

Docket Number: 75-00261-01-46200. Applicant: State University of New York at Albany, Department of Chemistry, 1400 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York 12222. Article: #2 Pascall Mortar and Pestle Mill—Porcelain Mortar Only. Manufacturer: Pascall Engineering Co. Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to grind beef hearts to extract submitacondrial particles from cell fragments. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: December 10, 1974.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

A. H. STUART,
Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75-907 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NEW YORK BIGHT MESA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Public Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of 5 U.S.C. App. I and section 8(b) of Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-63 (March 27, 1974), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration announces the following public advisory committee meetings:

Committee name	Date, time, place	Type of meeting and contact person
1. Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel of the New York Bight MESA Advisory Committee.	Feb. 11, 1975, 9:30 a.m., Room 223, Stony Brook Union, SUNY, Stony Brook, N.Y.	Open, Feb. 11, 9:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Stanley Chanesman MSRC, Bldg. J, SUNY, Stony Brook, N.Y., 11794, 516-751-7002.

Purpose. Advises the Committee on the interrelationships between field of research and investigation, on the coordination and merit of scientific and technical efforts, and on the common data, information, and operational requirements of such efforts in the New York Bight.

Agenda.

9:30 a.m.	Greeting.
9:45 a.m.	Review of Panel Relations to Advisory Committee and MESA.
10:00 a.m.	MESA Annual Report, Ocean Dumping Report, and Responses to Previous Panel Recommendations.
11:15 a.m.	Questions and Discussion.
1:00 p.m.	Panel Responses to MESA FY76 Guidelines and Future Directions FY77-80.
3:00 p.m.	Framing of Advisory Committee Recommendations.
4:00 p.m.	Future Advisory Panel Agenda Items.
4:30 p.m.	Adjournment.

Committee name	Date, time, place	Type of meeting and contact person
2. Information User Advisory Panel of the New York Bight MESA Advisory Committee.	Feb. 11, 1975, 7th Floor Conference Room, National Weather Service, 585 Stewart Ave, Garden City, N.Y.	Open, Feb. 11, 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Stanley Chanesman, MSRC, Bldg. J, SUNY, Stony Brook, N.Y. 516-751-7002.

Purpose. Advises the Committee on the needs and uses for marine ecosystems information by Federal, state, regional, and local organizations which must make decisions, establish policies, or enforce decisions on matters affecting New York Bight marine ecosystems and on the relevancy of New York Bight Project plans and operations to such organizations.

Agenda.

9:00 a.m. Tour of the Facilities.
9:30 a.m. Greeting.
9:45 a.m. Review of Panel Relations to Advisory Committee and MESA.
10:00 a.m. MESA Responses to Previous Panel Recommendations.
11:15 a.m. Questions and Discussions.
1:00 p.m. Summary of MESA Data Products.
2:00 p.m. Panel Responses to MEAS FY '76 Guidelines and Future Directions FY '77-'80.
3:30 p.m. Framing of Advisory Committee Recommendations.
4:00 p.m. Future Advisory Panel Agenda Items.
4:30 p.m. Adjournment.

Committee name	Date, time, place	Type of meeting and contact person
3. Citizen and Industrial Advisory Panel of the New York Bight MESA Advisory Committee.	Feb. 11, 1975, 9:00 a.m., Sandy Hook Laboratory National Marine Fisheries Service, Highlands, N.J.	Open, 9:00 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., Stanley Chanesman: MSRC, Bldg. J, SUNY, Stony Brook, N.Y., 11794, 516-751-7002.

Purpose. Advises the Committee on key concerns of citizen organizations which are concerned with conservation, protection, and uses of the New York Bight and on the key concerns of businesses which depend on the Bight for their business endeavors.

Agenda.

8:30 a.m. Tour of the Sandy Hook Laboratory.
9:30 a.m. Greeting.
9:45 a.m. Synopses of MESA Investigations by Principal Investigators.
9:45 Physical Aspects.
10:15 Chemical Aspects.
10:45 Geological Aspects.
11:30 Biological Aspects.
1:30 p.m. Review of Panel Relations to Advisory Committee and MESA.
1:45 p.m. MESA Annual Report, Ocean Dumping Report, and MESA Responses to Previous Panel Recommendations.
2:30 p.m. Questions and Discussion.
3:15 p.m. Panel Response to MESA FY '76 Guidelines and Discussion of MESA Directions in FY '77-'80.
4:15 p.m. Future Advisory Panel Agenda Items.
4:45 p.m. Adjournment.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

Interested persons are invited to attend and to submit written statements before or after the meeting or by mailing such statements within five days after the date of the meeting to: Executive Secretary, New York Bight MESA Advisory Committee, MESA Project Office, Building J—Room 121, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794. Interested persons will be permitted to make oral statements to each Panel during the period reserved for questions and discussion, subject to the procedures which follow. Persons must register with the Panel meeting rapporteur, prior to the start of the meeting, in the Panel meeting room and provide their name, legal address, a list of any affiliations relevant to the topic(s) to be addressed, and a brief, written description of their proposed topic(s). Because of limited time available, it may be necessary to limit the number of persons permitted to speak to five, to limit the length of oral statements to no more than five minutes each, and to give preference to individuals based upon the relevance of their proposed topic(s), as judged by the Panel Chairman. The submission of written versions of oral statements within five days after the date of the meeting to the address above is encouraged. All statements received in typewritten form will be forwarded with the minutes of the meeting to Committee and Panel members for their consideration.

ROBERT L. CARNAHAN,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Administration, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc.75-1096 Filed 1-9-75;10:35 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration

MINORITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Meeting; Correction

In FR Doc. 74-29178, appearing at page 43747 in the issue for Wednesday, December 18, 1974, the committee meeting place for the Minority Mental Health Programs Review Committee should be changed from "Statler-Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C." to "Executive Conference Room, Ramada Inn, Bethesda, Maryland."

Dated: January 7, 1975.

CAROLYN T. EVANS,
Committee Management Officer, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration.

[FR Doc.75-979 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Food and Drug Administration

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Notice of Meetings

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-29185 appearing at page 43649 in the issue of Tuesday, December 17, 1974, the first entry on page 43653, third column, bottom line, the phone number now reading, "301-433-4960" should read, "301-443-4960".

[FAP 5B3051]

BORG-WARNER CORP.

Amendment to Filing of Petition for Food Additive

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786, 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice was given in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 29, 1974 (39 FR 41569) that a petition (FAP 5B3051) had been filed by Carr, Bonner, O'Connell, Kaplan and Thompson, 900 Seventeenth St. NW., Washington, DC 20006, on behalf of Borg-Warner Corp., proposing that the food additive regulations (21 CFR Part 121) be amended to provide for safe use of an acrylonitrile/butadiene/styrene copolymer in contact with food other than carbonated beverages.

The petition now proposes that such regulation also provide for safe use of the acrylonitrile/butadiene/styrene copolymer in the manufacture of bottles intended to hold carbonated beverages.

An environmental impact analysis report has been submitted by the petitioner. Copies of the report are available in the office of the Assistant Commissioner for Public Affairs, Rm. 15B-42 or the office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs announced the need for issuance of an Environmental Impact Analysis Statement for FDA actions on substances used or intended for use in the fabrication of plastic bottles for carbonated beverage and beer use. This announcement in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 7, 1973 (38 FR 24391) required the submission of Environmental Impact Analysis Reports (EIAR) for such articles. The EIAR for this petition and others submitted in response to this notice are being reviewed for issuance of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Meanwhile, the petition is being reviewed regarding proposed safe use of the additive in fabricating bottles intended to hold carbonated beverages.

Dated: January 2, 1975.

HOWARD R. ROBERTS,
Acting Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.75-878 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[FAP 5B3057]

GENERAL MILLS CHEMICALS, INC.

Filing of Petition for Food Additive

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 5B3057) has been filed by General Mills Chemicals, Inc., 2010 East Hennepin, Minneapolis, MN 55413, proposing that §§ 121.2507 *Cellophane* (21 CFR 121.2507), 121.2514 Resinous and polymeric coatings (21 CFR 121.2514), 121.2520 *Adhesives* (21 CFR 121.2520), 121.2526 Components of paper and paperboard in contact with aqueous and

fatty foods (21 CFR 121.2526), and 121.2569 Resinous and polymeric coatings for polyolefin films (21 CFR 121.2569) be amended to provide for the safe use of dimerized oleic acid from animal sources as a component of resins and adhesives intended to contact food.

The environmental impact analysis report and other relevant material have been reviewed, and it has been determined that the proposed use of the additive will not have a significant environmental impact. Copies of the environmental impact analysis report may be seen in the office of the Assistant Commissioner for Public Affairs, Rm. 15B–42 or the office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 2, 1975.

HOWARD R. ROBERTS,
Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.75-877 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

JOHN E. MARTIN AND RICHARD L. JACKSON, JR.

Proposed Issuance of Exclusive License

Pursuant to § 6.3, 45 CFR Part 6, notice is hereby given of intent to issue a limited-term, revocable, exclusive patent license in and to the Government's undivided one-half interest in inventions of John E. Martin and Richard L. Jackson, Jr., entitled "Bacteriological Culture System" and "Culture Tray."

Any objection thereto, together with request for opportunity to be heard, if desired, should be directed to the Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 330 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, on or before February 10, 1975. Interested parties may obtain a copy of the patent applications directed to this invention upon request in writing to the party hereinabove named.

Dated January 2, 1975.

CHARLES C. EDWARDS,
Assistant Secretary for Health.

[FR Doc.75-882 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

U.S. ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON VISUAL AIDS TO APPROACH AND LANDING

Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given that the U.S. Advisory Committee on Visual Aids to Approach and Landing will hold a meeting at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, January 30, 1975, in Room 5C, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. The following agenda items are scheduled for this meeting:

1. *Discussion.* Preparation of comments on a proposed draft revision of Annex 14 (Aerodromes) to the Convention on International Civil Aviation.
2. *Briefing.* Review of progress made on the ICAO Visual Aids Panel's Work Program.
3. *Discussion.* Proposed agenda for the Seventh Meeting of the ICAO Visual Aids Panel which is tentatively planned to be held in Montreal, Canada, from November 10–21, 1975.

All those interested in attending the meeting should contact Miss Aughtie Hawkins, International Liaison Staff, Airports Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, Telephone (202) 426–3055. The meeting will be open to the public.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 6, 1975.

J. STUART JAMISON,
Acting Chairman, U.S. Advisory Committee on Visual Aids to Approach and Landing.

[FR Doc.75-821 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

COMMITTEE ON AGENCY ORGANIZATION AND PERSONNEL

Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Committee on Agency Organization and Personnel of the Administrative Conference of the United States, to be held at 1:30 p.m., January 16, 1975, in the office of the Administrative Conference of the United States, Suite 500, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, D.C.

The Committee will meet to consider progress on the study of the role and function of administrative law judges.

Attendance is open to the interested public, but limited to the space available. Persons wishing to attend should notify this office at least one day in advance. The Committee Chairman may, if he deems it appropriate permit members of the public to present oral statements at the meeting; any member of the public may file a written statement with the Committee, before, during or after the meeting.

This meeting is being called without the customary notice period because of the need for prompt consideration of the consultant's report. The meeting will be devoted to general discussion; no decisions regarding proposed recommendations will be made.

For further information concerning this Committee meeting contact Mr. Richard K. Berg (phone 202–254–7020). Minutes of the meeting will be available on request.

RICHARD K. BERG,
Executive Secretary.

JANUARY 8, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-976 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–324, 50–325]

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Assignment of Members of Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the authority in 10 CFR 2.787 (a), the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel has assigned the following panel members to serve as the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board for these proceedings:

Richard S. Salzman, Chairman
Michael C. Farrar, Member
Dr. Lawrence R. Quarles, Member

Dated: January 6, 1975.

MARGARET E. DU FLO,
Secretary to the Appeal Board.

[FR Doc.75-911 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. P–507–A]

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC & GAS CORP.

Notice of Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permit and Facility License: Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

New York State Electric and Gas Corporation (the applicant), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed one part of an application, dated November 27, 1974, in connection with its plans to construct and operate 2 boiling water reactors in the Town of Somerset, Niagara County, New York. The portion of the application filed contains the information requested by the Attorney General for the purpose of an antitrust review of the application as set forth in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix L.

The remaining portion of the application consisting of a Preliminary Safety Analysis Report accompanied by an Environmental Report, pursuant to § 2.101 of Part 2, is expected to be filed during September 1975. Upon receipt of the remaining portions of the application dealing with radiological health and safety and environmental matters, separate notices of receipt will be published by the Commission including an appropriate notice of hearing.

A copy of the partial application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20545. Docket No. P–507–A has been assigned to the application and it should be referenced in any correspondence relating to it.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before February 25, 1975.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 18th day of December 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

WALTER R. BUTLER,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Projects Branch 1–2, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.74-29897 Filed 12-26-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-477, 50-478]

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS CO.

Order Setting Second Prehearing Conference

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. In the matter of Public Service Electric and Gas Company (Atlantic Generating Station, Units 1 and 2).

There is currently a prehearing conference scheduled in the related proceedings of Offshore Power Systems (Floating Nuclear Power Plants), Docket No. STN 50–437, for January 28, 1975 in Washington, D.C. The purpose of that prehearing conference is to determine the current status of the Offshore Power Systems proceeding and to dispose of pending motions.

Because of the relationship between the Offshore Power Systems case and the above-identified Atlantic Generating Station proceeding, and in view of the general identity of the parties in the two cases, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board) considers it warranted to schedule the Second Prehearing Conference in the Atlantic Generating Station case on the same date. The purpose of this Second Prehearing Conference in the Atlantic Generating Station case is to determine the status of that proceeding and to hear oral argument on all pending motions therein.

Accordingly, please take notice that the Second Prehearing Conference in the Atlantic Generating Station case will be held on January 28, 1975, in the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel's hearing room, 12th floor, Landow Building, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, immediately following the conclusion of the Second Prehearing Conference in the Offshore Power Systems proceeding.

Issued at Bethesda, Md., this 6th day of January 1975.

By order of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

DANIEL M. HEAD,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.75-912 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-522, STN 50-523]

PUGET SOUND POWER AND LIGHT CO. ET AL.

Receipt of Application for Construction Permits and Licenses

Notice of receipt of application for construction permits and facility licenses and availability of applicants' environmental report and certain site suitability information; time for submission of views on antitrust matters.

The Puget Sound Power and Light Company, acting for itself and as agent for the Pacific Power and Light Company, The Washington Water Power Company, the Idaho Power Company, and the Washington Public Power Supply System (the applicants), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, have filed an application, which was docketed September 30, 1974, for authorization to construct and operate two generating units utilizing two boiling water reactors. The application was tendered on August 6, 1974. Following a preliminary review for completeness, the application (environmental report and site suitability information required for an authorization to conduct certain on-site work in accordance with 10 CFR § 50.10(e)] was found to be acceptable for docketing. Docket Nos. STN 50–522 and STN 50–523 have been assigned to the application and they should be referenced in any correspondence relating to the application. The Prelinminary Safety Analysis Report, which was tendered on November 27, 1974, is undergoing a preliminary review to determine its acceptability for a detailed review.

The proposed nuclear facilities, designated by the applicants as the Skagit Nuclear Power Project, Units 1 and 2, are to be located in Skagit County, Washington, approximately 5 miles northeast of Sedro Woolley. Each unit is designed for initial operation at approximately 3800 megawatts (thermal), with a net electrical output of approximately 1300 megawatts.

A notice of hearing with opportunity for public participation is being published separately.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before February 19, 1975. The request should be filed in connection with Docket Nos. STN 50–522–A and STN 50–523–A.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20545, and at the Sedro Woolley Library, 802 Ball Avenue, Sedro Woolley, Washington 98284.

The applicants have filed, pursuant to the National Envirohmental Policy Act of 1969 and the regulations of the Commission in 10 CFR Part 51, an environmental report dated September 18, 1974. The report, which discusses environmental considerations related to the construction and operation of the proposed facilities is being made available for public inspection at the aforementioned locations and at the Office of the Governor, State Planning and Community Affairs Agency, Olympia, Washington 98504.

After the environmental report has been analyzed by the Commission's Director of Regulation or his designee, a draft environmental statement will be prepared by the Commission's Regulatory staff. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft statement, with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made available when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft environmental statement, the Regulatory staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

JOHN F. STOLZ,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Project Branch 2–1, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.74-29398 Filed 12-19-74;8:45 am]

REGULATORY GUIDE

Issuance and Availability

The Atomic Energy Commission has issued two new guides in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the AEC Regulatory staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 5.39, "General Methods for the Analysis of Uranyl Nitrate Solutions for Assay, Isotopic Distribution, and Impurity Determinations," describes acceptable methods for the analysis of uranyl nitrate solutions. This guide references the ASTM Standard C–799, "Standard Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, Spectrochemical, Nuclear, and Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Uranyl Nitrate Solutions." Regulatory Guide 5.40, "Methods for the Accountability of Plutonium Dioxide Powder," describes methods for the sampling, subsampling, sample handling, dissolution, chemical and isotopic analysis, and error analysis of plutonium dioxide powder.

Comments and suggestions in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed (listed below) or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guides 5.39 and 5.40 will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by March 17, 1975.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C.

20545, Attention: Docketing and Service Section.

Regulatory Guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director of Regulatory Standards, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory Guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

Other Division 5 Regulatory Guides currently being developed include the following:

Mass Calibration Techniques for Nuclear Material Control.
Calibration and Error Estimation Methods for Nondestructive Assay.
Management Review of Materials and Plant Protection Programs and Activities.
Protection of Nuclear Power Plants Against Industrial Sabotage.
Measurement Control Program for Special Nuclear Material Control and Accounting.
Monitoring Transfers of Special Nuclear Material.
Considerations for Determining the Systematic Error of Special Nuclear Material Accounting Measurement.
Interior Intrusion Alarm Systems.
Control and Accountability of Plutonium in Waste Material.
Preparation of Uranyl Nitrate Solution as a Working Standard.
Preparation of Working Calibration and Test Materials for Analytical Laboratory Measurement Assurance Programs—Part I: Plutonium Nitrate Solutions.
Shipping and Receiving Control of Special Nuclear Materials.
Internal Transfer of Special Nuclear Material.
Acceptable Methods for the Short-Term Storage of SNM in Transit.
Design Considerations for Liquid Measurement Systems.
Materials of Construction: Gates, Grills, and other Security Barrier Appurtenances.
Standards for Physical Barrier Construction.
Nondestructive Assay of U-235 Content of Unpoisoned Low Enriched Uranium Fuel Rods.
Methods for the accountability of Uranium Dioxide.
Internal Security Audit Procedures.
Standard Format and Content for the Physical Protection Section of a License Application for Fuel Reprocessing Plants and Certain SNM Operations.
Nondestructive Assay of Plutonium-Bearing Fuel Rods.
Training and Qualifying Personnel for Performing Measurement Associated with the Control and Accounting of Special Nuclear Material.
Auditing of Measurement Control Program.
Reconciliation of Statistically Significant Shipper-Receiver Differences.
Prior Measurement Verification.
Verification of Prior Measurements by NDA.
Nondestructive Assay of High Enrichment Uranium Scrap by Active Neutron Interrogation.
Control and Accounting for Highly Enriched Uranium in Waste.
Perimeter Intrusion Alarm Systems.
Plant Security Force Duties.
Design Considerations for Minimizing Residual Holdup of Special Nuclear Material in Equipment for Dry Process Operations.
Considerations for Determining the Random Error of Special Nuclear Material Accounting Measurement.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 2d day of January 1975.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Acting Director of Regulatory Standards.

[FR Doc.75-913 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 27152; Order 75-1-17]

ALLEGHENY AIRLINES, INC.

Application for Amendment of Its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 6th day of January 1975.

By application filed on November 6, 1974, Allegheny Airlines, Inc. (Allegheny), has requested amendment of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 97 so as to delete therefrom the intermediate point Sandusky, Ohio. Subsequently, Allegheny filed a petition requesting that the deletion be accomplished by show-cause procedures.

Sandusky, Ohio,[1] was first permanently certificated in 1960 on Lake Central's route 88 in the Great Lakes Local-Service Investigation.[2] As a result of Allegheny's merger with Lake Central, Sandusky became a point on Allegheny's route 97 in 1968. Sandusky was initially certificated as an intermediate point on segment 11 (Pittsburgh-Detroit segment), so as to provide the point with improved service to Pittsburgh and certain West Virginia cities. At the time of its certification, Sandusky was still without an airport adequate for commercial airline operations; therefore, shortly after certification, the Board authorized suspension of Lake Central's authority at Sandusky until such time as an adequate airport become available.[3] Since that time, Lake Central's, and subsequently Allegheny's, authority has remained suspended. Sandusky still does not have an airport capable of accommodating commercial airline operations.

In support of its request, Allegheny alleges that: the nearest airport to Sandusky, the Wyandot County Airport, does not meet the requirements of FAR 139 and is used only for general aviation; to the best of Allegheny's knowledge, Sandusky has no plans to develop an airport adequate for commercial airline operations nor have Federal funds been allocated for such airport development; Sandusky is not isolated from the air transportation network, being located midway between Toledo and Cleveland; the Board has on previous occasions removed points from the certificates of air carriers due to airport inadequacy[4] and, recently, has by show-cause procedures deleted other dormant authority;[5] and, in these circumstances, it makes no sense to continue Allegheny's certification at a point which has never received commercial airline service.

No answers to Allegheny's application have been received.

Upon consideration of the foregoing and all the relevant facts, we have decided to issue an order to show cause why the requested deletion of Sandusky should not be granted. Accordingly, we tentatively find and conclude that the public convenience and necessity require the amendment of Allegheny's certificate for route 97 so as to delete Sandusky, Ohio, therefrom.[6]

In support of our ultimate conclusion, we make the following tentative findings and conclusions. Sandusky is about 54 miles from Toledo and 59 miles from Cleveland. With the completion of the Ohio Turnpike (Interstate 80), a short distance from Sandusky, directly to the Cleveland Hopkins International Airport, it is estimated that the driving time between Sandusky and the Cleveland airport is only slightly more than 1 hour. Thus, Sandusky receives a multitude of schedules through a reasonably convenient major hub. Moreover, at the time of certification there was no airport available for commercial operations; therefore, on April 12, 1961, Lake Central was authorized to suspend service, which authorization has continued in effect from that date. Thus, Sandusky has not been served, and there is no evidence indicating that there are any plans to develop an airport adequate for certificated service. The Board has on previous occasions removed points from the certificates of air carriers because of the lack of an adequate airport.[7] In these circumstances, we do not believe that any useful public purpose would be served by the retention of Allegheny's technical certificate authority at Sandusky.[8]

---

[1] Sandusky was first granted temporary certificated air service in 1947, when United Air Lines was authorized to serve the point for a period of 3 years. *Great Lakes Area Case*, 8 C.A.B. 360 (1947). Because Sandusky's airport was not adequate for commercial airline operations, United never provided the service and did not seek renewal of its temporary Sandusky authority; therefore, in 1950 United's authority was terminated.

[2] 31 C.A.B. 442 (1960).

[3] Order E-16655, Apr. 12, 1961.

[4] See, e.g., Chillicothe, Ohio, and Ocean City, Md., *All American Certificate Renewal Case*, 17 C.A.B. 400 (1953).

[5] Orders 74-8-13, 74-8-14, and 74-8-15.

[6] We also tentatively find that Allegheny is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the air transportation authorized by the certificate proposed to be issued herein and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the Board's rules, regulations, and requirements thereunder.

[7] Port Townsend and Kelso, Wash., and Grants Pass, Oreg., *West Coast Certificate Renewal Case*, 15 C.A.B. 349 (1952). See also n. 3, *supra*.

[8] The action we propose by this order is similar to that recently taken with respect to applications of other carriers to delete dormant operating authorizations. See, e.g., Delta Air Lines Deletion of Terre Haute, Indiana, order 70-8-77, Aug. 19, 1970, and American Airlines Deletion of Bridgeport/New Haven, Conn., order 74-2-90, Feb. 22, 1974.

Finally, the absence of civic opposition to Allegheny's application lends support to our decision that the show-cause procedure is appropriate.

Interested persons will be given 30 days following the date of adoption of this order to show cause why the tentative findings and conclusions set forth herein should not be made final. We expect such persons to support their objections, if any, with detailed answers, specifically setting forth the tentative findings and conclusions to which objection is taken. Such objections should be accompanied by arguments of fact or law and should be supported by legal precedent or detailed economic analysis. If any evidentiary hearing is requested, the objector should state in detail why such a hearing is considered necessary and what relevant and material facts he would expect to establish through such a hearing that cannot be established in written pleadings. General, vague, or unsupported objections will not be entertained.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That: 1. All interested persons are directed to show cause why the Board should not issue an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions stated herein, and amending the certificate of public convenience and necessity of Allegheny Airlines, Inc., for route 97 so as to delete Sandusky, Ohio, therefrom;

2. Any interested person having objections to the issuance of an order making final any of the proposed findings, conclusions, or certificate amendments set forth herein shall, within 30 days after the date of adoption of this order, file with the Board and serve upon all persons listed in paragraph 5, below, a statement of objections together with a summary of testimony, statistical data, and other evidence expected to be relied upon to support the stated objections,[9]

3. If timely and properly supported objections are filed, full consideration will be accorded the matters and issues raised by the objections before further action is taken by the Board;

4. In the event no objections are filed, all further procedural steps will be deemed to have been waived and the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions set forth herein; and

5. A copy of this order shall be served upon Allegheny Airlines, Inc.; Governor, State of Ohio; Mayor, City of Sandusky; Manager, Wyandot County Airport; and the Postmaster General.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-934 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[9] All motions and/or petitions for reconsideration shall be filed within the period allowed for filing objections, and no further such motions, requests, or petitions for consideration of this order will be entertained.

[Docket Nos. 25864, 26878]

AYHAN KAYMAK V. SATURN AIRWAYS, INC., ET AL.

Change of Hearing Room Regarding Enforcement Proceeding

Notice is hereby given that the hearing in the above-captioned cases (39 FR 44798, December 27, 1974) scheduled to be held in Room 911, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., commencing at 10:00 a.m. (local time), Tuesday, February 4, 1975, has been transferred to Room 1031N, Universal Building North, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., at the same time.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 7, 1975.

[SEAL] FRANK M. WHITING,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.75-930 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 24048; Order 75-1-23]

BRANIFF AIRWAYS, INC. AND BRANIFF INTERNATIONAL CORP.

Order Approving Transactions and Deferring Action

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of January 1975.

By petition filed April 5, 1974,[1] Braniff International Corporation (BIC) and Braniff Airways, Incorporated (Braniff) request approval, pursuant to paragraph 8 of Order 73-11-8, dated October 23, 1973,[2] of various intercompany transactions, heretofore entered into during the year 1974 and contemplated for the remainder of the year.

All of the intercompany activities described in the petition relate to the passage of funds, credits or investments in connection with transactions (1) between Braniff, on the one hand, and various subsidiaries of Braniff, on the other; (2) between Braniff, on the one hand, and various affiliates which are subsidiaries of BIC, on the other; (3) between Braniff, on the one hand, and subsidiaries of both Braniff and of BIC, on the other; and (4) between Braniff and BIC.

By amendment filed on September 16, 1974, petitioners request a determination that BIC Guardian Services, Inc. (Guardian)[3] is within the "ground facility" provisions of section 408(c) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (the Act),[4] and contend that neither section 408 of the Act,[5] nor ordering paragraph 6 of Order 73-11-8[6] applies to Braniff's holding of an interest in Guardian. Alternatively, petitioners request that the Board grant Braniff an exemption from section 408, or approve its acquisition of Guardian.

Upon consideration of each request relating to the respective transactions the Board concludes as follows:

A. The Acquisition of Guardian by Braniff and BIC. Guardian was established by Braniff in December 1972 for the specific purpose of screening aircraft passenger boardings at airports within the United States in accordance with the Department of Transportation directives.[7] Guardian provides this service at a number of airports for both Braniff and various other air carriers.[8] In view of Guardian's intimate relation to air transportation and the aircraft utilized in connection therewith and its exclusive

[1] Petitioners' request was supplemented by letters of April 25, August 6, and September 16, 1974.

[2] Pending Board decision in the Air Carrier Reorganization Investigation, Docket 24283, Order 73-11-8 (Docket 24048) interimly approved, among other things, the acquisition of control by BIC of Braniff. Ordering paragraph 8 of that order prohibits, without prior Board approval, subject to specified exceptions and limitations, intercompany transactions in any calendar year between Braniff, on the one hand, and, BIC or any company controlled directly or indirectly by, or otherwise affiliated with BIC, on the other hand (excepting, inter alia, transactions expressly approved by the Board) which will have an aggregate value of $1 million or more.

[3] In addition to the establishment and acquisition of Guardian in December 1972, Braniff, in 1973, established International Resort Properties, Inc. (Properties) and Western Restaurante, Ltd. (Western Restaurante). Properties is a resort real estate development company and Western Restaurante is a Brazilian company organized, according to petitioners, for the purpose of establishing a pilot restaurant at Sao Paulo to offer fast-food and medium-priced service. Unlike Guardian (whose activities are hereinafter described), neither Properties nor Western Restaurante appears to be directly engaged in any aeronautical activities.

[4] Section 408(c) provides as follows:

"(c) The provisions of this section [408] and section 409 shall not apply with respect to the acquisition or holding by any air carrier, or any officer or director thereof, of (1) any interest in any ticket office, landing area, hangar, or other ground facility reasonably incidental to the performance by such air carrier of any of its services, or (2) any stock or other interest or any office or directorship in any person whose principal business is the maintenance or operation of any such ticket office, landing area, hangar, or other ground facility."

[5] Section 408(a) provides as follows:

"(a) It shall be unlawful unless approved by order of the Board as provided in this section— * * *

"6. For any carrier or person controlling an air carrier to acquire control, in any manner whatsoever, of any person engaged in any phase of aeronautics otherwise than as an air carrier;"

[6] Ordering paragraph 6 provides as follows:

"6. The further acquisition of control of a common carrier or a person engaged in any phase of aeronautics by BIC, New Braniff or any company controlled by, or otherwise affiliated with, BIC or New Braniff, directly or indirectly, shall be submitted to the Board for prior approval."

[7] 14 CFR 121.538.

[8] See, footnote 13, infra. As noted therein, Braniff's charges in 1973 to other airlines for Guardian's services were approximately 20 percent of the total charges levied by Guardian.

devotion to airline service,[9] we find that Guardian is a person engaged in a phase of aeronautics within the meaning of section 408 of the Act. Therefore, the establishment and acquisition of control of Guardian by Braniff and through Braniff, by BIC, is subject to the Board's jurisdiction under section 408(a)(6) of the Act.

We are unable to accept petitioners' contention that Guardian is a "ground facility" within the meaning of section 408(c) of the Act and would, therefore, not be subject to the provisions of section 408. Since, as noted above, a substantial portion of Guardian's services are provided to other air carriers, it cannot be considered to be a ground facility reasonably incidental to Braniff's air transport services within the meaning of section 408(c).[10] Thus section 408(c) cannot serve to remove the acquisition from the Board's jurisdiction under section 408(a)(6).

Petitioners' request for an exemption from the requirements of section 408 pursuant to section 416(b) will be denied. By its terms, the exemption would only be available to the air carrier (Braniff) and would therefore leave unresolved the question of BIC's control of Guardian (since section 408(a)(6) also applies to the control of a phase of aeronautics by a person controlling an air carrier). Furthermore, the petition fails to present a sufficient showing that the requirements of section 416(b) have been met.

Upon further consideration of the petition and all of the facts of record, the Board tentatively concludes that the acquisition of control of Guardian by Braniff and through Braniff, by BIC, does not affect the control of an air carrier directly engaged in the operation of aircraft in air transportation within the meaning of the third proviso of section 408(b), does not result in creating a monopoly, and does not tend to restrain competition. Furthermore, no person disclosing a substantial interest is currently requesting a hearing and it is found that the public interest does not require a hearing.

The directives of the Department of Transportation were designed to insure the safe operation of aircraft in air navigation and the security of the crews, passengers, and baggage aboard. In the current atmosphere of recurrent air piracies, Guardian's services are both essential and obligatory under the declared policy of the Federal Government, and there is no prohibition against the performance of these services by a separate corporation organized for that purpose and under the control of an air carrier. The Board finds, therefore, that the control relationships created by the establishment and acquisition of Guardian will not be inconsistent with the public interest and does not find that the conditions of section 408 will be otherwise unfulfilled.

On the basis of the foregoing, the Board tentatively concludes that it should approve, without hearing under the third proviso of section 408(b) of the Act, the establishment and acquisition of control by Braniff and through Braniff, by BIC, of Guardian.[11] In accordance therewith, this order, constituting notice of the Board's tentative findings will be published in the Federal Register and interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to file comments on the Board's tentative decision in respect to the subject acquisition of Guardian.[12]

B. Transactions between Braniff and its subsidiaries. Petitioners request that the Board approve various transactions between Braniff and a number of its wholly owned subsidiaries which involve the furnishing of certain services during the year 1974.

Radio Aeronautica Paraguaya, S.A. (RAPSA) is a foreign company whose outstanding capital stock is 63.7 percent owned by Braniff. RAPSA is engaged in providing air/ground communication, radio navigational aides, and point-to-point communication services to a number of airlines. Charges to nonstockholders are based on established rates, and charges to stockholders are determined on the basis of a distribution of net costs (i.e., net of amounts collected from non-stockholder parties). The net costs are shared equally by the stockholders to the extent of 20 percent of such costs, and the remaining 80 percent of such costs are shared by the stockholders based on the relative use of facilities. During the past five years RAP SA's annual charges to Braniff for such services have averaged about $90,000, and for the year 1974, are expected to be of the same general magnitude.

In addition, Braniff has acted on RAP SA's behalf in acquiring various items of equipment, parts and supplies required by RAPSA which are purchased by Braniff and sold to RAPSA at cost plus 20 percent. Under these arrangements accumulated billings to Braniff for the year 1973 amounted to $104,405. Braniff does not anticipate any significant increase over 1973 levels in 1974.

Aerodespachos Colombia, S.A. (Aercol) is another foreign company whose major stockholders of record are Braniff (48.7 percent), and Mr. Juan Ucros, Braniff's regional vice-president for Colombia (50.7 percent). Aercol provides ground services for Braniff and other carriers at El Dorado Airport in Bogota, Colombia, and at Palmaseca Airport in Cali, Colombia. Braniff pays Aercol for all the latter's operating expenses, less miscellaneous income, plus a fee amounting to 1.5 percent of the net figure. However, Braniff bills third parties for ground services performed by Aercol pursuant to contract prices agreed to between Braniff and such third parties. Aercol's total charges for the year 1973, amounted to $628,160, which were attributable in about equal proportion to Braniff, on the one hand, and all third parties, on the other. It appears that the charges to third parties are generally higher than the charges for similar Aercol services to Braniff. In the year 1974, it appears that Aercol's charges to Braniff will be approximately in the same amount ($314,000).

Simultrain, Inc. (Simultrain), whose capital stock is owned equally (50 percent) by Braniff and by National Airlines, Inc., is engaged in the business of providing DC-8 aircraft simulator training for pilots of Braniff, National, and other airlines under contract with Simultrain. Charges for services rendered by Simultrain to other airlines are rendered on the basis of services required and the amount of simulator time involved. Charges for services rendered by Simultrain to Braniff and National are made only in the event that Simultrain encounters a net loss for any given year, and are allocated between the two air carriers on the basis of their respective usage. Simultrain's net profits, on the other hand, are divided between the air carriers on the basis of their respective usage of Simultrain's services, limited to the amount of payments each carrier may have made for such services during the year, and the remaining profits, if any, are retained by Simultrain. During Simultrain's fiscal year ended June 30, 1972, charges to Braniff totaled $21,657 and in the subsequent fiscal year charges to Braniff aggregated $4,854. During the first half of the fiscal year 1974, Simultrain charged Braniff $35,400 for simulator services. For the second half of the fiscal year 1974 and the first half of the fiscal year 1975, the charges to Braniff for the cost of Simultrain's operations are uncertain, since they will be paid entirely by Braniff by reason of National's disposal of its DC-8 equipment and its having no further need for Simultrain's simulator services.

Guardian, wholly owned by Braniff, initiated its function of passenger screening for security purposes in January 1973. For the year 1973, Guardian's charges to Braniff for passenger screening services at 13 airports totaled $631,421,[13] and for additional guard service, $15,684. It is estimated that for the year 1974, charges by Guardian to Braniff

[9] Cf. Allegheny Airlines, Inc. and Corcoran Thom, Jr., Order E-17654, October 31, 1961, and Transamerica-Foreign Study League, Acquisition of Control, Order 71-7-119, July 21, 1971.

[10] See, e.g., Continental Air Lines, Inc., Acquisition of Mutual Computer Services, Inc., Order 74-10-63, October 10, 1974.

[11] It has been concluded that exceptional circumstances exist within the meaning of the Sherman Doctrine, and that there is no impediment to the processing of the application on its merits (15 CAB 876, 881).

[12] In view of our findings herein, we need not determine whether the acquisition of Guardian is a "further acquisition" within the meaning of ordering paragraph 6 of Order 73-11-8.

[13] Other airlines benefiting from passenger screening services performed by Guardian were charged in the first instance by Braniff and credited to Guardian. The charges to other airlines totaled $187,283 for the year 1973.

will aggregate approximately $2,000,000,[14] including maintenance (janitorial service) at Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport. The only transactions involving charges by Braniff to Guardian relate to the salaries and related personnel expense of two supervisory employees furnished by Braniff.

In summation, except for commissions paid by RAPSA to Braniff for the purchase of various equipment, parts and supplies purchased on RAPSA's behalf, and the cost of supervisory employees furnished to Guardian, all of Braniff's transactions with its subsidiaries involve services performed by the latter for Braniff which for the year 1973 totaled between $1,000,000 and $1,100,000. For the year 1974 it is estimated that similar intercompany services furnished to Braniff by RAPSA, Aercol, Simultrain, and Guardian will aggregate more than twice as much.

All of the transactions herein described between Braniff, on the one hand, and its subsidiaries, RAPSA, Aercol, Simultrain and Guardian, on the other, involve the furnishing of aeronautical services reasonably related to Braniff's operations. Although not negotiated at arm's length, the transactions appear to be fair and reasonable in light of the charges made to unaffiliated third parties for similar services, and the cost to Braniff if such services were to be furnished by unaffiliated third parties. Moreover, it is significant to note that these transactions involve no services rendered or received by affiliated companies of the BIC system other than Braniff's own subsidiaries, and involve no flow of funds between Braniff and such other affiliated companies. Thus, these transactions do not apparently tend to impair the integrity of the air carrier or its ability to perform its certificate obligations. In these circumstances, we will approve these intercompany transactions between Braniff and its subsidiaries, RAPSA, Aercol, and Simultrain, for the year 1974 based upon the similarities in arrangements to the year 1973, and the estimated amounts involved in 1974 for the prospective services to be received.[15]

C. *Transactions between Braniff and subsidiaries of BIC*—(1) *Braniff and Braniff Education Systems, Inc. (BES).* Petitioners request that the Board approve various transactions between Braniff and Braniff Educational Systems, Inc. (BES), and between Braniff and Braniff International Hotels, Inc. (BIH).

According to petitioners, BES is a wholly owned subsidiary of BIC which is engaged in offering vocational and training courses to the public in airline and tourism-related subjects, including simulator training, flight training, and the use of remote terminal units for reservations training. The equipment for such services is provided by Braniff, which gives rise to charges by Braniff to BES for use of Boeing 727 flight simulator time, for furnishing training flights to flight-engineer students of BES, and for use of Braniff's "Cowboy" computer system, together with leased remote terminal units tied into the system, for reservations training by BES.

Braniff charges BES $125 per hour for flight simulator time, and $500 per hour for flight time, representing rates which are in line with its charges to an unaffiliated customer (viz. Sierra Academy, another flight engineer training school), and which Braniff believes would be in line with rates offered to unaffiliated parties by other carriers with available simulator time. During the year 1973 Braniff's charges to BES totaled $812,828, consisting of $697,358 for simulator services and $115,470 for flight services. Braniff estimates that for the year 1974 similar charges will aggregate $516,800 ($448,800 for simulator uses, and $68,000 for flight services). Although not negotiated at arm's length, the terms of these transactions for the year 1974 do not appear to be unfair or unreasonable, and we will approve them pursuant to ordering paragraph 8 of Order 73-11-8.

In connection with its use of Braniff's computer system, BES has leased 15 remote terminal units for use in its reservation training course. The lease arrangement was entered into in July 1973 and extends for a five-year period ending on July 1, 1978, with an option to BES to renew the lease on a yearly basis for a period of ten years. The rental rate is $175 per month per unit, and the projected rental payments for the year 1974 total $33,075, including a 5 percent sales tax. The leasing cost to Braniff in acquiring this equipment is estimated to be approximately $71 per month. The leasing transaction between Braniff and BES, although not negotiated at arm's length, does not appear, under its terms, to be disadvantageous to the air carrier, and involves the use of equipment deemed by the air carrier to be in excess of its requirements under its computer modernization program. In these circumstances, the Board has concluded to approve these transactions for the year 1974, pursuant to ordering paragraph 8 of Order 73-11-8.

(2) *Braniff and Braniff International Hotels, Inc. (BIH).* According to petitioners, BIH manages the Everglades Hotel in Miami, Florida.[16] Braniff rents rooms in the hotel on a substantial and regular basis (46 rooms per day) for use by the air carrier's cabin crews at the rate of $11 per single room and $13 per double room. Braniff receives a volume discount for crew rooms such as is customarily granted to airlines by hotels in cities throughout the United States, and in line with rates granted to Braniff by other hotels in Miami with comparable rooms. The rates charged Braniff are identical to those charged Varig, another large regular user of Everglades, and is lower than the rates charged four other airlines using a substantially lower volume of accommodations. For the year 1972 Everglades charged Braniff a total of $125,334, and for the year 1973 the charges aggregated $135,116 for crew rooms. The air carrier estimated the total charges to be made by Everglades for crew rooms will amount to $174,000 for the year 1974. Although not negotiated at arm's length, the rental terms for the year 1974 are comparable to those for the previous years and do not appear to be unfair or unreasonable. Accordingly, we will approve the crew-room rental charges to the air carrier by Everglades for the year 1974, pursuant to ordering paragraph 8 of Order 73-11-8.

D. *Space rental transactions between Braniff, on the one hand, and its subsidiaries and other affiliates, on the other hand.* During 1974, according to petitioners, several space sharing arrangements at Braniff facilities located in Dallas, Texas have been entered into or contemplated between Braniff and its subsidiaries and affiliates. At Love Field, it was contemplated that BES would occupy Braniff's entire cargo facility for automotive maintenance, welding, and air-conditioning, and both BES and BIH would occupy portions of Braniff's passenger-terminal facility. At Exchange Park in Dallas, BIH, Guardian, Properties, and BIC have each agreed to utilize office space which is under long-term lease to Braniff (until 1977). At Braniff's hostess college in Dallas, BES contemplated using a limited amount of classroom space. In each instance Braniff was to receive a rental equivalent to Braniff's cost per usable square foot for such space, and an allocated proportion of any operating overhead expenses for such facilities, including light, heat, taxes, insurance, maintenance, and security.[17] As it does not appear that the foregoing transactions with regard to rental payments and allocated overhead charges to Braniff's subsidiaries and af-

[14] This amount represents a revision of an earlier estimate of $1,870,000 for the year 1974 by reason of increased minimum wage law requirements.

[15] Consistent with our tentative determination hereinabove regarding the acquisition of Guardian, we will defer action with respect to the transactions between Braniff and Guardian.

[16] In these circumstances we view the transactions herein as involving BIH.

[17] At Love Field, BES undertook to pay Braniff $51,516 per year, representing Braniff's annual cost, for rental of the entire cargo facility and all operating overhead expenses; and both BES and BIH each undertook to pay Braniff $3.15 per usable square foot, equivalent to Braniff's costs, for rental of the passenger-terminal building and appropriate proportions of overhead expenses and leasehold improvements allocated in accordance with standard cost-allocation procedures. At Exchange Park, similarly determined rental charges and overhead expenses for 1974 are to be allocated among BIH, Guardian, Properties, and BIC. At the hostess college, BES' charges were to be similarly determined.

filiates at the air carrier's facilities [19] are unfair or unreasonable, or will tend to impair the integrity of the air carrier, or its ability to fulfill its certificate obligations, and in some instances represent dealings between the air carrier and its wholly owned subsidiaries, (Guardian and Properties), the subject transactions, other than with Guardian, will be approved for the year 1974. [20]

E. *Sale of Braniff subsidiaries to BIC.* Petitioners request that the Board approve the transfer of Braniff's subsidiaries, Properties and Western Restaurante, to BIC pursuant to paragraph 8 of Order 73–11–8.

Braniff's interest in its wholly owned subsidiary, Properties, consists of $150,000 investment in cash made on or about October 17, 1973, and represents the book value (stockholders equity) at that time. Under the terms of the proposed transfer the air carrier will be repaid the full amount of its net equity as of the month immediately preceding the sale [21]. The air carrier's investment in its wholly owned subsidiary Western Restaurante, organized in September 1973, was initially $34,360, and subsequently increased to $234,423 as of June 30, 1974. Under the terms of this proposed transfer, the air carrier will also be repaid the full amount of its net equity.[22] Although not negotiated at arm's length the terms of the proposed transfers of the stock of the respective companies, recently established by Braniff, do not appear to be unfair or unreasonable, or tend to impair the integrity of the air carrier or its ability to fulfill its certificate obligations. In these circumstances, we will approve the respective sales of the two companies by Braniff to its holding company, BIC, pursuant to ordering paragraph 8 of Order 73–11–8. However, the approvals herein will be made subject to the condition that each of the sales be consummated prior to February 28, 1975, to preclude further risks of losses by Braniff from the operations of the respective enterprises.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. Authorizations requested by petitioners, in Docket 24048, pursuant to Order 73–11–8, dated October 23, 1973, be and they hereby are granted as follows:

a. For the sale to BIC by Braniff of International Resort Properties, Inc., and Western Restuarante, Ltd. under the terms described herein, provided however, that each sale is consummated on or before February 28, 1975;

b. For the transactions entered into for the year 1974 between, on the one hand, Braniff, and on the other hand, its subsidiaries, RAPSA, Aercol, and Simultrain, providing for the furnishing of aeronautical services related to Braniff's operations as described herein;

c. For the transactions entered into for the year 1974 between, on the one hand, Braniff and, on the other, its affiliates, BES and BIH, providing respectively for (1) Braniff's leasing and/or furnishing of simulator, flight and reservation training equipment to BES, and (2) BIH's furnishing Braniff crew-room accommodations at the Everglades Hotel; and

d. For space rental transactions and related allocated overhead expense charges by Braniff to its subsidiary Properties, and to its other affiliates, BIC, BIH, and BES, for use of the air carrier's cargo, passenger terminal, office and educational premises at Dallas, Texas;

2. The authorizations granted herein are subject to the conditions of Order 73–11–8;

3. Board action with respect to (a) the establishment and acquisition by Braniff, and through Braniff, by BIC, of BIC Guardian Services, Inc., and (b) transactions for the year 1974 between Braniff and Guardian, be and it hereby is deferred;

4. Interested persons are hereby afforded a period of ten (10) days from the date hereof within which to file comments with respect to the Board's proposed action in paragraph 3 hereof; [23]

5. The Attorney General of the United States shall be furnished a copy of this order within one day of publication.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-932 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[19] The space sharing arrangements between Braniff and BES at the Love Field cargo facility, and between Braniff and BES at the hostess college terminated in April 1974.

[20] Consistent with our tentative determination hereinabove regarding the acquisition of Guardian and other transactions between Braniff and Guardian (footnote 15 above), we will also defer action with respect to the subject transactions insofar as they involve Braniff and Guardian.

[21] As a result of a loss from operations in July 1974, the book value of Properties, as of July 31, 1974, became $143,465. The final purchase price is to be adjusted, according to petitioners, to reflect any gains or losses that may be experienced in months subsequent to July 1974.

[22] As a result of a loss from operations in July 1974, the book value of Western Restaurante, as of July 31, 1974 became $228,423. As in the case of Properties, the final purchase price is to be adjusted to reflect gains or losses that may be experienced in months subsequent to July 1974.

[23] Comments shall conform to the requirements of the Board's rules of practice (14 CFR Part 302). Further, since an opportunity to file comments is provided for, petitions for reconsideration of this order will not be entertained.

[Docket 26494; Agreement C.A.B. 24837; Order 75–1–24]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

North Atlantic Passenger Fares

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of January 1975.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations, between various air carriers, foreign air carriers and other air carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the Traffic Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreement, adopted at the Joint Conferences held in Geneva in early November, has been assigned the above designated C.A.B. agreement number and would revalidate the existing fare structure over the North Atlantic for two months until March 31, 1975 as a consequence of the carriers' inability to negotiate a new fares agreement.

We will approve the extension of status quo fares over the North Atlantic for two months in the hope that the carriers could, during this period of extension, find a solution and present a fare agreement which is cost related and helps to restore the economic health of the carriers.

At this juncture, a brief synopsis of events leading to this agreement is in order. After many months of negotiation, the IATA carriers finally reached an accord on North Atlantic air fares to be implemented during the period November 1, 1974 through March 31, 1976. This agreement was approved by the Board by Order 74–10–106, October 21, 1974.

The Board's approval, however, was not given without considerable misgivings and was predicated, not upon the desirability of the fare structure and of individual fare levels, but because it appeared to produce some limited measure of profitability.[1] Although the Board approved the agreement, several carrier parties withdrew, thus voiding the agreement. On October 30, 1974, by Order 74–10–151 the Board approved an interim agreement through January 31, 1975, which maintained the winter season fares previously approved by the Board but reduced the amounts of increase proposed for the 22/45-day excursion fare and the affinity-group fares and at the same time eliminated the APEX fare.

The joint traffic conferences of IATA reconvened on November 6, 1974, to consider and reach an agreement on North Atlantic air fares to be implemented on February 1, 1975. From the reports available from these conferences it becomes obvious that significant differences of opinion continue to be evident among the carriers despite the long negotiations seeking to reach a satisfactory settlement. Apparently the dissension centers around the level of the lowest fare in order to be charter competitive and whether the lowest fare should be an APEX or the 22/45-day excursion fare.[2]

[1] The approved structure was the same as previously existed but included a new APEX fare which was the lowest fare in the structure. The 22/45-day excursion fare and the affinity-group fare were significantly increased.

[2] A majority of carriers apparently favors the APEX facility.

Other points of difference are the possible introduction of non-affinity group fares; an extension of the present winter group inclusive tour fare to a year-round fare; the relationship between the affinity-group, APEX, and 22/45-day fares; and the special affinity-group fares to Spain and Portugal. In addition we understand that discussions also concerned the reestablishment of youth fares to and from the United States.

The Board, after reviewing the documentation of the most recent meetings of IATA carriers, would be remiss if it merely acquiesced in the carriers' request for a two-month extension without commenting on the direction the negotiations were taking.

Despite the oft-repeated statements, not only from this Board, but from many European governmental authorities, and many of the IATA carriers themselves, that the entire structure of North Atlantic fares needs revision to more adequately compensate the carriers for the important services they provide and to relate fares to costs, the negotiations continue to be bogged down by carrier insistence on scheduled fares competitive with charter services, whatever the cost. It is obvious that to continue to follow this course of action is self-defeating and inconsistent with the proposed aims of many governments and carriers alike. To continue to subvert attempts toward an economic restructuring of fares despite the efforts within IATA itself and the work of its research group set up to accomplish this desirable result, simply because "more important and pressing competitive considerations" require a "temporary" departure from this goal is no longer acceptable. The economic condition of many of the North Atlantic carriers indicates that a cost-oriented operation is required without delay and a continued effort to introduce below-cost charter-competitive fares unrelated to the costs of providing scheduled service is simply not the answer if ever the carriers are to become economically viable.

It is, therefore, necessary to advise the carriers that any agreement reached for implementation on April 1, 1975, contain, as the lowest individual fare available, a fare no lower than the APEX fare proposed and approved by Board Order 74–10–106. We are not prepared to perlevel the fare should have an APEX facility or some other facility to limit its usage similar to that proposed in the earlier approved agreement. Further, the Board is not disposed to approve any new fares agreement which will have the effect of reducing carrier yield below that which was previously approved by Order 74–10–106. We are not prepared to permit the continuance of disparate affinity-group fares to and from Spain and Portugal compared with similar fares to other points in Europe,[3] the extension of the winter group inclusive tour fare throughout the year, or a reinstatement of youth fares to and from the United States.

In sum, although we are not convinced that the structure and individual fare levels approved by Order 74–10–106 accomplish the objectives necessary to achieve a realistically cost-based nondiscriminatory fare structure, it represented the minimum acceptable agreement we will approve. The Board urges the carriers to resume their negotiations in an effort to reach an agreement which more closely comports with the views expressed herein.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), 404(b), 412 and 1002 of the Act, does not find that Agreement C.A.B. 24837 is adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. Agreement C.A.B. 24837, be and hereby is approved; and

2. Tariffs implementing Agreement C.A.B. 24837 shall be marked to expire March 31, 1975.

This order will be placed in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-931 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Dockets 22670, 22500, 27367; Order 75-1-18]

LOS ANGELES AIRWAYS, INC.

Continuation of Temporary Suspension of Service and Exemption Authority

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 6th day of January 1975.

By Order 74–3–112, March 26, 1974, the Board issued an order to show cause why the certificate of public convenience and necessity of Los Angeles Airways (LAA) for route 84 should not be rendered ineffective pursuant to section 401(f) of the Act and § 205.10 of the Board's Economic Regulations, in view of LAA's bankruptcy, sale of tangible assets and suspension of operations.[1] The order required that any interested persons having objections to the issuance of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions set forth therein, file such objections within 20 days.

On July 25, 1974, Travel and Transit Improvement Corporation (TTI) filed objections to the issuance of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions contained in Order 74–3–112. In support of its objections, TTI stated, inter alia, that it is a California corporation formed for the express purpose of providing certificated air transportation between various points in the area encompassed by LAA's certificate and area exemption authority;[2] that TTI has purchased, for the sum of $5,000, all of LAA's right, title and interest in its certificate for route 84 and exemption authority; that TTI intends to file a formal application for Board approval of the transfer to it of LAA's authority; and that TTI seeks a stay of the issuance of the order making final the findings and conclusions of Order 74–3–112 pending consideration and disposition of TTI's application for transfer.

On November 19, 1974, TTI filed an application for expeditious approval by the Board of the transfer to TTI of the certificate for route 84 and exemption authority issued to LAA.

Upon consideration of TTI's objections and its application in Docket 27188, and of all relevant facts, we have decided not to make final our tentative findings and conclusions in Order 74–3–112 but to set down for hearing the questions of (a) whether the public interest requires that LAA's certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 84 cease to be effective;[4] (b) whether the transfer of LAA's certificate for route 84 to TTI should be approved under sections 408 and 401(h) of the Act; and (c) whether TTI should receive, in addition to the certificate, area exemption authority. The purchase by TTI of LAA's rights at a bankruptcy sale and its application for Board approval of the transfer arrangement has substantially altered the facts and posture of this proceeding, and we conclude that a full exploration at hearing of the issues involved in this case is desirable in order to permit the Board to consider every available option.[4]

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. The tentative findings and conclusions in Order 74–3–112, March 26, 1974, be and they hereby are vacated;

2. A proceeding to be known as the "Los Angeles Airways Certificate Proceeding," be and it hereby is instituted in Docket 27367 and shall be set down for hearing before an Administrative Law

[3] See our comments in Order 74–10–151.

[1] By Order 73–7–20, July 6, 1973, the Board, inter alia, had denied LAA's application for renewal of its temporary suspension authority on route 84 and ordered the carrier to resume services within ninety days of the effective date of the order.

[2] LAA's exemption authority was granted in Order E–22798, October 22, 1965, and amended by Order 69–4–82, April 17, 1969.

[3] LAA's application, in Docket 22500, for renewal of its area exemption authority will also be considered.

[4] It appears that TTI's purchase of LAA's right, title and interest in its certificate for route 84 and related exemption authority prior to TTI's application for approval of transfer may have been a violation of the Act. However, everything was done aboveboard, there was no attempt to shroud the transaction in secrecy, and, in fact, the transaction received the approval of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Moreover, the transaction was promptly brought to the Board's attention. Thus, it appears that there was no intent to circumvent or evade the Board's rules and regulations. Therefore, under the exceptional circumstances present here, we conclude that this is an appropriate situation for waiver of the Sherman Doctrine (Sherman, Control and Interlocking Relationships, 15 C.A.B. 876 (1952)) and TTI's application will be considered on its merits.

Judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter designated;

3. The proceeding instituted by paragraph (2) above shall include consideration of the following issues:

(a) Does the public interest require that the certificate of public convenience and necessity of Los Angeles Airways, Inc. (LAA) for route 84 cease to be effective pursuant to section 401(f) of the Act or be revoked under section 401(g) of the Act?

(b) Shall LAA's application for renewal of the exemption authority granted in Order E–22798 and amended by Order 69–4–82 be granted pursuant to rule 416(b) of the Act?

(c) Will the approval of the transfer to Travel and Transit Improvement Corporation (TTI) of the certificate of public convenience and necessity for route 84 previously issued to LAA be inconsistent with the public interest, or result in the creation of a monopoly or monopolies and thereby restrain competition or jeopardize another air carrier not a party to the acquisition, within the meaning of section 408 of the Act?

(d) If the transfer of Los Angeles Airways' certificate to TTI is approved by the Board, what terms and conditions, if any, should be imposed on such approval?

(e) If the transfer of Los Angeles Airways' certificate to TTI is approved by the Board, shall TTI receive, in addition to the certificate, area exemption authority?

4. The applications of Los Angeles Airways, Inc. in Docket 22500, and of Travel and Transit Improvement Corporation, in Docket 27188, and the proceeding in Docket 22670 be and they hereby are consolidated with the proceeding instituted in (2) above; and

5. Applications, motions to consolidate, and petitions for reconsideration of this order shall be filed no later than 20 days after the date of service of this order, and answers to such pleadings shall be filed no later than five days thereafter.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–933 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 318–4]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Filing of Pesticide Petitions

Petitions proposing the issuance of a regulation and establishment of a tolerance relating to food additives have been filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and notice is given pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) (Sec. 409(b)).

The petitioners and proposals are:

Fisons Corp., Two Preston Court, Bedford MA 01730. Food Additive Petition Number 5H5069 to establish a food additive regulation (21 CFR 121) to provide for the safe use of the insecticide 2,3-isopropylidenedioxyphenyl *N*-methylcarbamate in spot and/or crack and crevice treatments of food-handling establishments including, but not limited to, stores, warehouses, industrial buildings, houses, apartment buildings, schools, nursing homes, hospitals, and hotels.

Chemagro Agricultural Division of Mobay Chemical Corp., PO Box 4913, Kansas City MO 64120. Food Additive Petition Number 5H5068 to establish a food additive tolerance (21 CFR Part 121) for residues of the insecticide 2-(1-methylethoxy)phenol methylcarbamate at 0.2 part per million, resulting from the use of the insecticide in a proposed experimental program involving application in food handling establishments.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

MARTIN H. ROGOFF,
Acting Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc.75–872 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[FRL 319–2]

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ET AL.

Renewal of Four Advisory Committees

Pursuant to section 7(a) of OMB, Circular No. A–63, Transmittal Memorandum No. 1, dated July 19, 1974, it is hereby determined that renewal of the four following nonstatutory advisory committees is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency by law. Charters are on file at the Library of Congress continuing these committees until January 5, 1977, unless otherwise sooner terminated.

Hazardous Materials Advisory Committee.
National Air Pollution Control Techniques Advisory Committee.
National Air Quality Criteria Advisory Committee.
Technical Advisory Group for Municipal Waste Water Systems.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

JANUARY 7, 1975.

[FR Doc.75–928 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[FRL 318–5; OPP—32000/166]

RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS FOR PESTICIDE REGISTRATION

Data To Be Considered in Support of Applications

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31862) its interim policy with respect to the administration of Section 3(c)(1)(D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended. This policy provides that EPA will, upon receipt of every application for registration, publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice containing the information shown below. The labeling furnished by the applicant will be available for examination at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB–31, East Tower, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

On or before March 11, 1975, any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after October 21, 1972, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim for compensation under section 3(c)(1)(D) for such use of his data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data, must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH–569), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460. Every such laimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the interim policy of November 19, 1973.

Applications submitted under 2(a) or 2(b) of the interim policy will be processed to completion in accordance with existing procedures. Applications submitted under 2(c) of the interim policy cannot be made final until the 60 day period has expired. If no claims are received within the 60 day period, the 2(c) application will be processed according to normal procedure. However, if claims are received within the 60 day period, the applicants against whom the claims are asserted will be advised of the alternatives available under the Act. No claims will be accepted for possible EPA adjudication which are received after March 11, 1975.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

EPA Reg. No. 2749–126. Aceto Chemical Co., Inc., Agricultural Chemicals Div., 126–02 Northern Blvd., Flushing NY 11368. 2,4-DB 175 SELECTIVE POST-EMERGENCE HERBICIDE. Active Ingredients: 4-(2,4-Dichlorophenoxy) butyric acid, dimethylamine salt 23%. Method of Support: Aplication proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 1526–194. Arizona Agrochemical Co., PO Box 21537, Phoenix AZ 85036. AGRO-CHEM BRAND METHYL PARATHION 4-E. Active Ingredients: 0,0-dimethyl 0-p-nitrophenyl phosphorothioate 46%; Aromatic Petroleum Solvent 48%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8506–I. Blaine Chemical, 1005 N. Coleman, Hobbs NM 88240. BLAINE LEMON DISINFECTANT CONCENTRATE COEF. 15. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 4.0%; Isopropanol 2.0%; Essential Oils 0.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8506–0. Blaine Chemical. BLAINE LEMON DISINFECTANT CONCENTRATE COEF. 7. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 2.00%; Isopropanol 1.00%; Essential Oils 0.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8506-T. Blaine Chemical. BLAINE LEMON DISINFECTANT CONCENTRATE COEF. 38. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 10.00%; Isopropanol 5.00%; Essential oils 1.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1459-UN. Bullen Chemical Co., Hook Rd. & Primos Ave., Folcroft PA 19032. BULLEN PRO-SEPT HEAVY DUTY CLEANER DISINFECTANT-FUNGICIDE-SANITIZER & DEODORIZER. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 0.8%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 0.8%; Sodium metasilicate 2.4%; Tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 1.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34224-T. Chemrite Corp., 12600 S. Daphne Ave., Hawthorne CA 90250. CHEMRITE CR-316 SANIRITE. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 2.25%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 2.25%; Sodium Carbonate 3.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 239-2404. Chevron Chemical Co., 940 Hensley St., Richmond CA 94804. CHEVRON ORTHO MONITOR 4 SPRAY. Active Ingredients: 0, S-dimethyl phosphoramidothioate 40.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 352-341. E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Legal Dept., Wilmington DE 19898. BENLATE BENOMYL FUNGICIDE PLUS MANZATE 200 FUNGICIDE. Active Ingredients: Manganese 16%; Zinc 2%; Ethylenebisdithiocarbamate ion (C4H6N2S4) 62%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34538-R. Ecological and Specialty Products Co., 1188 Tice Place, Westfield NJ 07090. COMPACTO-CIDE D. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.12%; Piperonyl Butoxide, Technical 1.20%; Petroleum Distillate 0.48%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 33278-E. Environmental Research Co., PO Box 42131, Cincinatti OH 45242. SYN-CIDE WATER TREATMENT MICROBIOCIDE. Active Ingredients: Didecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride 12.5%; Isopropyl alcohol 5.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2393-EAA. Hopkins Agricultural Chem. Co., PO Box 584, Madison WI 53701. HOPKINS DIMETHOATE 267 E. C. SYSTEMIC INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Dimethoate [0,0-dimethyl S-(N-methylcarbamoylmethyl) phosphorodithioate] 30.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 635-122. E-Z Flo Chemical Co., Div. of Kirsto Co., PO Box 808, Lansing MI 48903. E-Z-FLO ZIRAM 76 WP. Active Ingredients: Ziram (Zinc dimethyldithiocarbamate) 76.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 635-194. E-Z Flo Chemical Co. E-Z-FLO CAPTAN 7.5 DUST. Active Ingredients: Captan (N-trichloromethylthio-4-cyclohexene-1, 2-dicarboximide) 7.50%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 635-268. E-Z Flo Chemical Co. E-Z FLO ROTENONE 1 D. Active Ingredients: Rotenone 1.0%; Other Cube Resins 2.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 635-397. E-Z Flo Chemical Co. E-Z FLO MALATHION LV CONCENTRATE. Active Ingredients: Malathion 95.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 6836-UN. Lonza Inc., 22-10 Rte. 208, Fair Lawn NJ 07410. BARDAC LF. Active Ingredients: Dioctyl dimethyl ammonium chloride 50.0%; Ethyl alcohol 10.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 30950-U. R. Maldonado & Co., Inc., G.P.O. Box 3231, San Juan PR 00936. MALDONADO MALATHION 57% EMULSIFIABLE CONCENTRATE. Active Ingredients: Malathion (0,0-dimethyl dithiophosphate of diethyl mercaptosuccinate 58.90%; Xylene 33.10%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 1021-340. McLaughlin Gormley King Co., 8810 10th Ave. N., Minneapolis MN 55427. TOTAL RELEASE INSECT AEROSOL FROM PYROCIDE INTERMEDIATE 5192. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.50%; Piperonyl butoxide, technical 1.00%; N-octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide 1.67%; Petroleum distillate 11.83%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 12310-RU. Misco International Chem., Inc., 1021 S. Noel Ave., Wheeling IL 60090. PURGE A CONTACT, NONSELECTIVE VEGATATION KILLER. Active Ingredients: Diquat dibromide 6,7-Dihydropyrido (1,2-a:2',1'-c) pyrazinedium dibromide 2.18%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 35565-R. Natural Brands, Inc., 101 E. 32 St., New York NY 10016. NATURAL BRANDS SKAT. Active Ingredients: Polybutenes 90%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 707-78. Rohm and Haas, Independence Mall W., Philadelphia PA 19105. DITHANE M-45 AGRICULTURAL FUNGICIDE. Active Ingredients: Manganese 16%; Zinc 2%; Ethylene bisdithiocarbamate ion (C4H6N2S4) 62%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 33404-L. Stat Enterprises Inc., 1865 New Hwy., Farmingdale NY 11735. BULLS-EYE LIQUID WEED KILLER WITH DRIFT CONTROL. Active Ingredients: Petroleum oil 94.94%; 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, isooctyl ester 1.09% Bromacil (5-bromo-3-sec-butyl-6-methyluracil) 0.98%; Pentachlorophenol 0.80%; Other chlorophenols 0.09%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 2459-155. Stevens Industries, Inc., PO Box 272, Dawson GA 31742. METHYL PARATHION EMULSIFIABLE CONCENTRATE. Active Ingredients: 0,0-Dimethyl 0-p-Nitrophenyl Thiophosphate 45.8%; Xylene Range Aromatic Solvent 36.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1729-RNI. Tesco Chemicals, Inc., PO Box 6433, Marietta GA 30062. STA-CLOR. Active Ingredients: Sodium dichloro-s-triazinetrione dihydrate 49.1%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 148-984. Thompson-Hayward Chemical Co., PO Box 2383, Kansas City KS 66110. T-H DROP-LEAF DEFOLIANT. Active Ingredients: Sodium Chlorate 28.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 148-1027. Thompson-Hayward Chemical Co., PO Box 2383, Kansas City KS 66110. 10% SEVIN DUST. Active Ingredients: Carbaryl (1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate) 10%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2724-EAA. Thuron Industries, Inc., 12200 Denton Dr., Dallas TX 75234. SUPER STRENGTH GOLDEN MALRIN RF-70 FLY BAIT. Active Ingredients: 2,2-Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate 0.050%; Related compounds 0.004%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2724-EAU. Thuron Industries, Inc., SUPER STRENGTH GOLDEN MALRIN RF-71 FLY BAIT. Active Ingredients: 2-(1-Methylethoxy) phenol methylcarbamate 0.025%; 2,2-Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate 0.074%; Related compounds 0.006%; 0,0-Dimethyl 0-2,4,5-trichlorophenyl phosphorothioate 0.200%; (Z)-9-Tricosene 0.025%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2724-EAG. Thuron Industries, Inc. SUPER STRENGTH GOLDEN MALRIN RF-73 FLY BAIT. Active Ingredients: (Z)-9-Tricosene 0.057%; 2,2-Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate 0.040%; Related compounds 0.003%; 2-(1-Methlethoxy) phenol methylcarbamate 0.025%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2724-EAL. Thuron Industries, Inc. SUPER STRENGTH GOLDEN MALRIN RF-72 FLY BAIT. Active Ingredients: 2-(1-Methylethoxy) phenol methylcarbamate 0.025%; (Z)-9-Tricosene 0.025%; 2,2-Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate 0.025%. Related compounds 0.002%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 2935-324. Wilbur-Ellis Co., PO Box 1286, Fresno CA 93715. RED-TOP PERTHANE 4 E. C. Active Ingredients: Diethyl diphenyl dichloroethane 40.6%; Related reaction products 5.5%; Xylene 34.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

Republished Items

The following items represents a correction in the list of Applications Received published in the Federal Register of December 18, 1974 (39 FR 43758).

EPA File Symbol 241-EUL. American Cyanamid Co., Agricultural Div., PO Box 400, Princeton NJ 08540. PROWL TECHNICAL HERBICIDE Active Ingredients: [N-(1-ethylpropyl-3,4-dimethyl-2,6-dinitrobenzenaminel)] 90.0%. Originally published as [N-(1-ethylpropxyl-3,4-dimethyl-2,6-dinitrobensamine)] 90.0%.

The following item represents a correction in the list of Applications Received published in the Federal Register of December 16, 1974 (39 FR 43579).

EPA File Symbol 10336-RR. Aquaphase Laboratories, Inc., 1425 E. Michigan St., Adrian MI 49221. S-TEC 11A. Originally published as EPA File Symbol 10336XRR.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

Martin Rogoff,
Acting Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc.75-873 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Canadian List 334]

CANADIAN BROADCAST STATIONS

Notification List

DECEMBER 4, 1974.

List of new stations, proposed changes in existing stations, deletions, and corrections in assignments of Canadian standard broadcast stations modifying the assignments of Canadian broadcast stations contained in the appendix below to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting January 30, 1941.

Call letters	Location	Power kW	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Antenna height (feet)	Ground system: Number of radials	Ground system: Length (feet)	Proposed date of commencement of operation
		670 kHz							
CJNW (assignment of call letters).	Musgravetown, Newfoundland, N. 48°24'10", W. 53°-55'00".	10	DA-2	U	II				
		710 kHz							
CKVO (assignment of call letters).	Clarenville, Newfoundland, N. 48°08'40", W. 53°57'30".	10	DA-1	U	II				
		730 kHz							
(New) (delete immediately)	Rutland, British Columbia, N. 49°51'35", W. 119°26'50".	1	DA-1	U	II				
		790 kHz							
CFCW (now in operation with increased power).	Camrose, Alberta, N. 52°57'37", W. 112°57'29".	50	DA-2	U	III				
		790 kHz							
CHIC (change in daytime operation—PO 790 kHz, 1D/0.5N, 5 kW, DA-2).	Brampton, Ontario, N. 43°35'-20", W. 79°52'54".	5	DA-2	U	III				
		980 kHz							
(New) (delete immediately)	Musgravetown, Newfoundland, N. 48°24'10", W. 53°55'00".	10	DA-N ND-D-182	U	III				
		1240 kHz							
CJAR (now in operation)	The Pas, Manitoba, N. 53°-48'46", W. 101°16'33".	1D/0.5N	ND-175	U	IV	135	120	324	
		1540 kHz							
(New) (delete immediately)	Sussex, New Brunswick, N. 45°44'00", W. 65°29'53".	0.25	ND-182.4	U	IV	140	120	294	
		1540 kHz							
CKMG (correction to ground system length given in List No. 333).	Maniwaki, Quebec, N. 46°22'-40", W. 75°56'55".	1D/0.25N	ND-188	U	IV	180	120	233	
		1580 kHz							
(New) (delete immediately)	Moncton, New Brunswick, N. 46°03'27", W. 64°52'05".	10	DA-1	U	III				
		1480 kHz							
CJMT (now in operation with increased power).	Chicoutimi, Quebec, N. 48°-24'15", W. 71°05'55".	10D/2.5N	DA-N ND-D-190	U	III				
		1590 kHz							
(New) (delete immediately)	Guelph, Ontario, N. 43°29'38", W. 80°10'52".	10	DA-1	U	III				

[SEAL]

WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau,
Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc.75-763 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Canadian List 335]

CANADIAN BROADCAST STATIONS

Notification List

DECEMBER 12, 1974.

List of new stations, proposed changes in existing stations, deletions, and corrections in assignments of Canadian standard broadcast stations modifying the assignments of Canadian broadcast stations contained in the appendix below to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting January 30, 1941.

Call letters	Location	Power kW	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Antenna height (feet)	Ground system: Number of radials	Ground system: Length (feet)	Proposed date of commencement of operation
		860 kHz							
CFNS (PO 1170 kHz, 1 kW, DA-1).	Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, N. 52°15'43", W. 106°40'00".	10	DA-2	U	II				E.I.O. 12-12-75.
		1110 kHz							
CKJD (PO 1250 kHz, 1 kW, DA-2).	Sarnia, Ontario, N. 42°52'12", W. 82°23'50".	10D/0.5N	DA-2	U	II				E.I.O. 12-12-75.
		1580 kHz							
CKLC (now in operation with increased night power at new site).	Kingston, Ontario, N. 44°12'-30", W. 76°28'05".	10	DA-2	U	III				
		1150 kHz							
CHEF (now in operation with increased power at new site).	Granby, Quebec, N. 45°19'03", W. 72°41'43".	10D/5N	DA-2	U	IV				
		1190 kHz							
CKLR (correction to coordinates).	L'Annonciation, Quebec, N. 46°25'36", W. 74°52'40".	1D/0.25N	ND-195	U	IV	180	120	264	

[SEAL]

WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau,
Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc.75-762 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

COMMERCIAL TELEVISION LICENSEES/PERMITTEES TO FILE ANNUAL PROGRAMMING REPORT

Postponement of Filing Time

DECEMBER 27, 1974.

The filing date for The Annual Programming Report (FCC Form 303–A) has been postponed to February 15, 1975.

The following dates will constitute the composite week for use in the preparation of the Annual Programming Report (FCC Form 303–A):

Sunday, May 5, 1974
Monday, March 11, 1974
Tuesday, February 19, 1974
Wednesday, April 17, 1974
Thursday, October 3, 1974
Friday, September 20, 1974
Saturday, June 1, 1974

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-906 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

RADIO TECHNICAL COMMISSION FOR AERONAUTICS

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics Special Committee 125—MLS Implementation. It is to be held on February 4–5, 1975, in Conference Room 3201, FAA Transport Building, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, D.C., commencing at 9:30 a.m.

The Agenda is as follows:

1. Welcome by Chairman.
2. Summary of November 18–19, 1974, meeting by Secretary.
3. Introduction of new members and guests.
4. Reports from Special Groups.
   a. Follow-up data on past studies.
   (1) National Plan for Development of MLS.
   (2) Proposed Implementation Plan for Civil MLS.
   (3) Cost analysis of MLS.
   (4) Development of MLS Implementation.
   b. Report on on-going studies.
   c. Data Base.
   d. Implementation Milestones.
5. Implementation Strategy.
6. Special Announcements.
7. Other Business.
8. Date and place of next meeting.

The meeting is open to the public on a space available basis. Any members of the public may file a written statement with the Commission either before or after the meeting. Any member of the public wishing to make an oral statement must consult with the Commission prior to the meeting.

Those desiring to attend the meeting or more specific information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, Suite 655, 1717 H Street NW. Washington, D.C. 20006, or phone area code 202/296–0484.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-904 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Report No. 735]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION [1]

Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing [2]

JANUARY 6, 1975.

Pursuant to §§ 1.227(b)(3) and 21.30 (b) of the Commission's rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the attached list, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cut-off dates are set forth in the alternative—applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed in the appendix if filed by the end of the 60 day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to section 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to § 21.27 of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

20926–CD–P–(2)–75, Interstate Telephone Company (KIQ512). C.P. to change antenna system operating on 152.51 MHz and for additional facilities to operate on 152.72 MHz located at 1118 N. 18th Street, Lanett, Alabama.

20927–CD–AL–(3)–75, Credit Bureau of Decatur, Inc. Consent to Assignment of License from Credit Bureau of Decatur, Inc., Assignor, to Aircall Communications, Inc., Assignee. Stations: KRM965 & KSJ823, Decatur, Illinois, and KSJ770, Olney, Illinois.

[1] All applications listed in the appendix are subject to further consideration and review and may be returned and/or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission's Rules, regulations and other requirements.

[2] The above alternative cut-off rules apply to those applications listed in the appendix as having been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-to-Point Microwave Radio and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the Rules).

20928–CD–P–(3)–75, Airvoice (new). C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.06 MHz, base and 459.25 MHz, repeater at Loc. #1: 2 miles N. of U.S. Hwy. 41S and Georgia Hwy. 33, Cordele, Georgia, and 454.25 MHz, control at Loc. #2: 906 Angus Drive, Americus, Georgia.

20929–CD–MP–75, RAM Broadcasting of South Carolina, Inc. (KWB376). Mod. Permit to replace transmitter, change antenna system, and relocate facilities operating on 454.675 MHz, signaling, and 454.800 MHz, base, to be located at 18 Broad Street, Charleston, South Carolina (Air-Ground).

20930–CD–P–75, John C. Tompkins (new). C.P. for a new 1-way station to operate on 158.70 MHz to be located at 202 W. Heard Street, Refugio, Texas.

20931–CD–P–(3)–75, Rad Com Electronics, Inc. (new). C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.06 MHz, base and 459.225 MHz, repeater at Loc. #1: Abernathy Mountain, 8.3 miles SSW. of Ryderwood, Washington, and 454.225 MHz, control at Loc. #2: 800 feet N. of Jackson Hwy and Hwy 12 junction, Cowlitz, Washington.

20932–CD–P–(3)–75, Mobilefone Northwest (KLF563). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.275, 454.225, and 454.325 MHz to be located at Mica Peak, 9.3 miles East of Spokane, Washington.

20933–CD–P–(3)–75, Tel-Car, Inc. (new). C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.06 MHz, base & 459.300 MHz repeater at Loc. #1: 11 miles SW. of Fairfield, Idaho, and 454.30 MHz, control at Loc. #2: 408 6th Avenue West, Twin Falls, Idaho.

20934–CD–P–(2)–75, Continental Telephone Company of Virginia (KIY580). C.P. to change antenna system operating on 152.63 MHz and for additional facilities to operate on 152.60 MHz located 200′ SW. of south end of Hillcrest, on grounds of Eastern Mennonite College Sanitary District, Park View, Virginia.

20935–CD–P–75, The Conestoga Telephone & Telegraph Company (KGI769). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 35.58 MHz located at Gibraltar Hill, 0.5 mile West of Seyfert, Pennsylvania.

20936–CD–P/L–75, Pine Telephone Company, Inc. (KLB702). C.P. and License to reinstate expired facilities operating on 152.54 MHz located at 6th and Park Drive, Broken Bow, Oklahoma.

20937–CD–AL–75, Jennings B. Bacon dba Telephone Message Exchange. Consent to Assignment of License from Telephone Message Exchange, Assignor, to Pass Word, Inc., Assignee. Station KRS678, Spokane, Washington.

20938–CD–P–75, Mutual Telephone Company (KUC901). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 152.78 MHz located 1 mile East of Sioux Center, Iowa.

20939–CD–P–(3)–75, Halstad Telephone Company (KAI932). C.P. to change antenna system on 152.54 and 152.63 MHz and replace transmitter on 152.54 MHz, relocate facilities operating on 152.54 and 152.63 MHz to be located at Main Street, Fisher, Minnesota; and C.P. to replace transmitter, change antenna system and relocate facilities operating on 152.81 MHz to be located 0.6 mile WSW. of Halstad, Minnesota.

20940–CD–P–75, RAM Broadcasting of Texas, Inc. (KWB371) C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 454.675, signaling and 454.900 MHz, base located at Doscher Building, 3rd and Locust, Sweetwater, Texas. (Air-Ground)

20941–CD–P–75, W. L. & R. L. Meadow, dba, Jacksonville Radio Dispatch Service (KTS 254) C.P. to replace transmitter operating on 454.100 MHz located at 373 Dobbs Rd., St. Augustine, Florida.

20942–CD–P–75, Harry L. Parker, dba, Parker Electronics (KUC856) C.P. to add antenna Loc. #2 to operate on 152.12 MHz to be located at 619 Front St., Georgetown, South Carolina.

20943–CD–P–75, Thomas O. Michaels, dba, Alliance Radiophone (new) C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.03 MHz to be located at 302 Box Butte Avenue, Alliance, Nebraksa.

20944–CD–P–75, Thomas O. Michaels, dba, Ogallala Radiophone (new) C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.03 MHz to be located on Hwy. 61, 1 mile N. of Ogallala, Nebraska.

20945–CD–P–(2)–75, Airsignal International, Inc. (KAH661) C.P. to add antenna Locs. #4 and 5 to operate on 35.22 MHz, Loc. #4: 779 East 7th St., St. Paul, Minnesota; and Loc. #5: 1500 Cliff Road, Burnsville, Minnesota.

Corrections

21086–C2–P–(2)–74, Lehigh Valley Mobile Telephone Company, New Jersey. Should have been listed as additional channels for KTS217 and included in 21080–C2–P–(3)–74. All other particulars to remain as reported on PN #693 dated March 25, 1974.

Informative

It appears that the following applications may be mutually exclusive and subject to the Commission's Rules regarding Ex Parte presentations by reasons of potential electrical interference.

Lehigh Valley Mobile Telephone Co. (KTS 217), 21085–C2–P–(3)–74, Montana, New Jersey.

Tra-Mar Communications, Inc. (new), 7587–C2–P–73, Hainesville, New Jersey.

RURAL RADIO SERVICE

60218–CR–AL–75, Credit Bureau of Decatur, Inc. Consent to Assignment of License from Credit Bureau of Decatur, Inc., Assignor, to Aircall Communications, Inc., Assignee: Station: KSQ52, Temporary-Fixed.

60219–CR–P–75, The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (new). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 157.77 MHz located 20.6 miles ESE. of Points-of-Rocks, Wyoming.

60220–CR–P–75, South Central Bell Telephone Company (KOB46). C.P. to change frequency from 157.83 MHz to 157.86 MHz located approximately 5 miles SE. of Buras, Louisiana.

60221–CR–P–75, The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (WSM52). C.P. to replace transmitter and change antenna system operating on 152.51 MHz located 11.5 miles SSE. of Rock Springs, Wyoming.

60222–CR–P/L–75, The Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Company (new). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 157.77 MHz to be located 20.6 miles ESE. of Point-of-Rocks, Wyoming.

60223–CR–P–75, Robert H. Larson, dba Oregon Mobile Radio (new). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 158.49 MHz located at Mt. Ashland Ski Lodge, Mt. Ashland, Oregon.

60224–CR–P–75, Answering by Birken, Inc. (new). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 158.49 MHz located on Hwy. 87 North, 34.4 miles North of Billings, Montana.

60225–CR–P/L–75, Montana Power Company (new). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 158.49 MHz located 20 miles SE. of Fishtail, Montana.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE RADIO SERVICE

2043–CF–ML–75, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KJH79), Brentwood, 7.5 miles South of Nashville, Tennessee. Lat. 36°00'16" N., Long. 86°50'01" W. Mod. of License to delete 3770H, 3850H, 3930H, 4010H, 4090H, 4170H MHz towards Pegram, Tennessee, on azimuth 313 degrees/25 minutes; add 3770V, 3850V, 3930V, 4010V, 4090V, 4170V MHz towards Nashville, Tennessee, on azimuth 15 degrees/59 minutes (Formerly licensed to South Central Bell Telephone Company; delete frequency 4010V MHz and point of communication at Dickson, Tennessee, on azimuth 280 degrees/58 minutes.

2044–CF–ML–75, Same (KIK38), 215 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee. Lat. 36°09'51" N., Long. 86°46'38" W. Mod. of License to add 3730V, 3810V, 3890V, 4050V, and 4130V MHz towards Brentwood, Tennessee, on azimuth 196 degrees/00 minutes (Formerly licensed to South Central Bell Telephone Company).

2050–CF–P–75, United Telephone Company of Ohio (KQK45), 2.5 miles East of Bellefontaine, Ohio. Lat. 40°22'02" N., Long. 83°-43'07" W. C.P. to add 11665.0V and 11425.0V MHz towards Marysville, Ohio, on azimuth 114 degrees/00 minutes.

2051–CF–P–75, Same (KQL22), 0.1 mile Northeast of Marysville, on state route #36, Marysville, Ohio. Lat. 40°14'25" N., Long. 83°20'55" W. C.P. to add 10895.0V and 11135.0V MHz towards Bellefontaine, Ohio, on azimuth 294 degrees/15 minutes and correct coordinates as stated above.

2054–CF–P–75, Northwestern Bell Telephone Company (KAU55), 15 Fourth Avenue, SW., Aberdeen, South Dakota. Lat. 45°27'39" N., Long. 98°29'20" W. C.P. to change transmitter and power on 6375.2V and 10855H MHz towards Bristol, South Dakota, on azimuth 102 degrees/36 minutes.

2055–CF–P–75, Same (KBT59), 3.2 miles WSW. of Bristol, South Dakota. Lat. 45°-21'29" N., Long. 97°48'51" W. C.P. to change power and transmitter on 6063.8V and 11685.0H MHz towards Wallace, South Dakota, on azimuth 131 degrees/36 minutes 6123.1V and 11545.0H MHz towards Aberdeen, South Dakota, on azimuth 283 degrees/05 minutes.

2056–CF–P–75, Same (KBT61), 1.5 miles WSW. of Wallace, South Dakota. Lat. 45°04'51" N., Long. 97°30'16" W. C.P. to change power and transmitter on 6315.9V and 10955.0V MHz towards Watertown, South Dakota, on azimuth 121 degrees/02 minutes; 6345.5V and 10755.0V MHz towards Bristol, South Dakota, on azimuth 321 degrees/49 minutes.

2057–CF–P–75, Same (KBT62), 3.2 miles ESE. of Watertown, South Dakota. Lat. 44°53'03" N., Long. 97°02'49" W. C.P. to change power and transmitter on 6093.5V and 11405.0V MHz towards Wallace, South Dakota, on azimuth 301 degrees/22 minutes.

2080–CF–ML–75, South Central Bell Telephone Company (KIU60), 215 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee. Lat. 36°09'51" N., Long. 86°46'38" W. Mod. of License to delete 3730V, 3810V, 3890V, 4050V, and 4130V MHz towards Brentwood, Tennessee, on azimuth 196 degrees/00 minutes and transfer them to American Telephone and Telegraph Company station (KIK38). Change alarm center location to 201 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee.

2081–CF–ML–75, Same (KJG58), Brentwood, 7.5 miles South of Nashville, Tennessee. Lat. 36°00'16" N., Long. 86°50'01" W. Mod. of License to delete frequencies 3770V, 3850V, 3930V, 4010V, 4090V, and 4170V MHz towards Nashville, Tennessee, on azimuth 15 degrees/58 minutes and transfer them to American Telephone and Telegraph Company Station (KJH79); delete frequencies 3770V, 3850V, 3930V, 4090V, and 4170V MHz and point of communication at Dickson, Tennessee, on azimuth 280 degrees/58 minutes; correct alarm center location to 201 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee.

2083–CF–P–75, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (KIU56), 45 North Magnolia Street, Orlando, Florida. Lat. 28°32'34" N., Long. 81°22'38" W. C.P. to change frequency, power and replace transmitter to 11565 H MHz towards WESH-TV Studio, on azimuth 8 degrees/22 minutes.

2097–CF–ML–75, General Telephone Company of the Southeast, (KIA70), 214 Freeze Street, Cookeville, Tennessee. Lat. 36°09'51" N., Long. 85°30'03" W. Mod. of Lic. to increase power on frequency 3770.0V MHz and 3850V MHz towards Short Mountain, Tennessee, on azimuth 231 degrees/58 minutes.

2086–CF–P–75, Southern Pacific Communications Company (new), 60 Broadway, Providence, Rhode Island. Lat. 41°49'18" N., Long. 71°25'09" W. C.P. for a new station on frequency 10775.0V MHz toward Woonsocket, Rhode Island, on azimuth 352°51'.

2087–CF–MP–75, Same. (WAH563), 100 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts. Lat. 42°21'18" N., Long. 71°03'24" W. Mod. C.P. to replace transmitter, change frequency and points of communication from 10815V MHz toward Woburn, Mass., to 6256.5V MHz toward Woonsocket, Rhode Island, on azimuth 219°08'.

2088–CF–MP–75, Same (WLJ86), Beacon Pole Hill, 3.8 Miles East of Woonsocket, Rhode Island. Lat. 41°59'43" N., Long. 71°26'54" W. Mod. C.P. to add frequencies 5974.8V MHz toward Charlton, Mass., on azimuth 296°21' and 11265.0H MHz toward Providence, Rhode Island, on azimuth 172°50', and 6004.5H MHz toward Boston, Mass., on azimuth 38°52'.

2089–CF–MP–75, Same (WLJ88), 1.3 Miles North of Charlton, Massachusetts. Lat. 42°11'28" N., Long. 71°59'00" W. Mod. C.P. to change point of communication from 6226.9V MHz toward Woburn, Mass., to 6226.9V MHz toward Woonsocket, Rhode Island, on azimuth 115°59'.

2029–CF–ML–75, Interdata Communications Inc., Architects Building, 117 South 17th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Lat. 39°57'02" N., Long. 75°10'09" W. Mod. License to add point of communication 11305.0V MHz toward Camden, New Jersey, on azimuth 95°50'.

2030–CF–P–75, Same (new), SW. Corner of Front and Cooper Streets, Camden, New Jersey. Lat. 39°56'50" N., Long. 75°07'37" W. C.P. for a new station on 10735.0V MHz toward Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on azimuth 275°52'.

Correction

Informative: (Correct to read:)

It appears that the following sets of applications may be mutually exclusive and subject to the Commission's Rules regarding ex-parte presentations, reasons of potential economic competition and electrical interference, to provide video for CATV system servicing Pittsburg, Kansas.

Mid-America Microwave, Inc., 483–CF–P–75 thru 487–CF–P–75.

United Video, Inc., 1772–CF–P–75 thru 1783–CF–P–75.

[FR Doc.75-905 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

FLORIDA/BAHAMAS RATE

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before January 30, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

Lebron Shields, Executive Vice President, Universal Alco Limited, Port Laudania, Dania, Florida 33004.

Agreement No. 10150 is a rate agreement among Universal Alco Limited, Gulf Shipping Line, Ltd., Norwegian Caribbean Line and Tropical Shipping and Construction Co., Ltd., in the trade between South Florida ports and ports in the Bahama Islands.

Dated: January 7, 1975.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-938 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1298]

HANIEL INTERNATIONAL INC. AND PHOENIX SHIPPING CO., INC.

Change of Corporate Name

On November 14, 1974, the Federal Maritime Commission revoked independent ocean freight forwarder license of Phoenix Shipping Co., Inc., FMC No. 529. The License was voluntarily submitted for revocation by Phoenix Shipping Co., Inc. because Haniel International, Inc., independent ocean freight forwarder licensee FMC No. 1298 had purchased the goodwill and certain assets of Phoenix Shipping Co., Inc. and had requested approval of the Federal Maritime Commission to continue operations under the name of Phoenix Shipping Co., Inc.

Notice is therefore given that the name Phoenix Shipping Co., Inc. will continue to be used under License FMC No. 1298.

WM. JARREL SMITH, JR.,
Deputy Managing Director.

[FR Doc.75-935 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

IBERIAN/U.S. NORTH ATLANTIC WESTBOUND FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before January 30, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

Stanley O. Sher, Esquire, Billig, Sher & Jones, P. C. Suite 300, 1126 Sixteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036.

Agreement No. 9615-13 requires that minutes and proceedings of the Conference are to be treated confidentially and their unauthorized disclosure subject to such fines as the Enforcement Authority of the Associated North Atlantic Freight Conferences may consider appropriate.

Dated: January 7, 1975.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-936 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

TRANS-PACIFIC PASSENGER CONFERENCE AND INTERNATIONAL PASSENGER SHIP ASSOCIATION

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to Section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before January 20, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the Commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed for approval by:

Mr. Ronald C. Lord, General Manager, Trans-Pacific Passenger Conference, 311 California Street, San Francisco, California 94104.

Agreement No. 10070 between the Trans-Pacific Passenger Conference (TPPC) and the International Passenger Ship Association (IPSA) is a cooperative working agreement whereby TPPC and IPSA shall engage in discussions relating to the possibility of their mutual reorganization, including the creation of new entities, as they may determine from time to time will benefit them and the traveling public. The parties shall keep the Commission apprised of such discussions. No activity beyond such discussions is authorized by Agreement No. 10070.

Dated: January 7, 1975.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-937 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP75-44-2]

ALABAMA-TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO. AND TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Filing of Petition for Emergency Interim Relief and Permanent Relief From Natural Gas Curtailment

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Public notice is hereby given that on December 23, 1974, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), filed in the instant docket a petition for emergency interim relief and permanent relief pursuant to § 1.7 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and § 2.78 of the Commission's rules and regulations. TVA states that it is a federal agency charged with the statutory duty, pursuant to the Tennessee Valley Act of 1933, 16 U.S.C. §§ 831–831dd, to develop, produce, and sell fertilizer. In discharging these responsibilities it operates the National Fertilizer Development Center (Center), Muscle Shoals, Alabama.

TVA states that in its production of ammonia fertilizer at the Center, it is totally dependent upon natural gas supplied by Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee). TVA was notified on December 16, 1974 by Alabama-Tennessee that its firm gas supply would be curtailed by 26 percent. This curtailment, according to TVA, will reduce its production of ammonia, which is used in the production of fertilizer, by about 46 tons per day. In terms of food, if curtailment remains at the present level through March, 1975, the amount of food in grain that will be lost will equal an amount sufficient to feed 15,000 persons for a year.

TVA states that its ammonia plant uses natural gas 23 percent more efficiently than the average U.S. fertilizer plant; it produces one ton of ammonia per 27.8 Mcf. TVA adds that it is currently taking all possible steps to conserve gas, including a long-term program to convert all natural gas burning heat sources at the center to the use of alternate fuels.

Accordingly, TVA requests the Commission order Alabama-Tennessee to immediately restore the full firm contract supply of natural gas to TVA's ammonia plant on an emergency interim basis. Furthermore, TVA requests the Commission, after hearing, order Alabama-Tennessee to restore the full firm contract supply of natural gas to TVA's ammonia plant on a permanent basis.

A shortened notice period in this proceeding may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 or 1.10 of the Commisison's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-829 Filed 1-7-75;10:44 am]

[Docket No. RP74-92]

ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Further Extension of Time

JANUARY 3, 1975.

On December 26, 1974, Bay State Gas Company, et al. filed a motion to extend the time for filing evidence fixed by order issued June 28, 1974 as most recently modified by notice issued December 2, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Intervenor's Testimony, January 17, 1975.
Service of Company Rebuttal, February 14, 1975.
Hearing (Unchanged), February 27, 1975 (10:00 a.m., e.s.t.).

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-842 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Rate Change Pursuant to Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin Gas), on December 5, 1974, tendered for filing Second Revised Sheet No. 10 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1.

This sheet is being filed pursuant to Algonquin Gas' Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision set forth in Section 17 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. Algonquin states that the rate change is being filed to reflect higher purchased gas costs to be paid by Algonquin Gas to its supplier, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), on January 1, 1975. Algonquin Gas requests that the Commission waive the requisite notice and grant special permission to permit such Second Revised Sheet No. 10 to become effective on January 1, 1975, which will synchronize Algonquin Gas' rates with those of Texas Eastern.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8, 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 10, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-845 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8137, E-8217]

BOSTON EDISON CO. ET AL.

Further Extension of Procedural Dates

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 27, 1974, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued June 17, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued December 6, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Company Rebuttal, January 30, 1975.
Hearing, February 11, 1975 (10:00 a.m., e.s.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[Filed Doc.75-862 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8810]

BOSTON EDISON CO.

Further Extension of Procedural Dates

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 27, 1974, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued June 21, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued December 6, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Company Rebuttal, February 7, 1975.
Hearing, February 12, 1975 (10:00 a.m., e.s.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-861 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9138]

CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase, Establishing Procedures, and Filing of a Revised Fuel Clause

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 29, 1974, Central Illinois Public Service Company (Central Illinois) tendered for filing Agreements between Central Illinois and eleven electric

cooperatives,[1] to become effective January 1, 1975, for the purchase of wholesale electric service. Central Illinois' November 29, 1974 filing states that Central Illinois is providing wholesale electric service to the eleven electric cooperatives under substantially similar rate agreements which became effective January 1, 1967. Central Illinois also states that its November 29, 1974, filing is a result of renegotiations of new agreements which would contain an updated adjustment in the rate levels to more adequately reflect current and anticipated economic conditions.

The November 29, 1974, filing proposes a rate increase of approximately $4,197,593 based upon the sales and revenues for the twelve months immediately preceding January 1, 1975. Furthermore, the November 29, 1974, filing revises Central Illinois, fuel clause to provide for an adjustment of .011¢ per Kwh for each 1.0¢ change in the base fuel cost of 20¢ per million BTU.

Central Illinois' November 29, 1974, filing was noticed on December 4, 1974, with comments, protests or petitions to intervene due on or before December 23, 1974. To date, no comments, protests or petitions to intervene have been filed with this Commission.

Our review of Central Illinois' November 29, 1974, filing indicates that the fuel clause proposed therein is not consistent with Opinion No. 633 in that it imputes the company's own fuel cost variations from its own generation to its purchased energy. Hence, the rates resulting from the implementation of the proposed fuel clause may be excessive under the applicable ratemaking standards of the Commission and therefore, may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential or otherwise unlawful within the meaning of the Federal Power Act. Therefore, we shall suspend Central Illinois' November 29, 1974, filing for one day until January 2, 1975, when it shall become effective subject to refund, and provide for the filing of a revised fuel adjustment clause within 30 days of the issuance of this order which conforms to Opinion No. 633. Alternatively, Central Illinois may submit a revised fuel clause in accordance with Commission Order No. 517, issued November 13, 1974, in Docket No. R-479, as permitted by Ordering Paragraph (B) of that order. Upon the filing of a revised fuel clause in compliance with Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517, we shall make the revised fuel clause effective as of January 2, 1975, terminate Central Illinois' refund obligation and order such interim refunds as may be required. Failure to file a substitute fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517 will require a hearing in accordance with the schedule hereinafter ordered.

The Commission finds. It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the Federal Power Act that the tendered filing be suspended as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

The Commission orders. (A) Central Illinois' November 29, 1974 filing is hereby accepted for filing and suspended until January 2, 1975, when it shall become effective subject to refund. Within 30 days of the date of the issuance of this order, Central Illinois shall substitute a fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517, as discussed above. Upon receipt of a substitute fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517, we shall make the substitute clause effective as of January 2, 1975, order such interim refunds as may be required and terminate Central Illinois' refund obligation. Failure to file a revised fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517 will require a hearing as hereinafter ordered.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR Ch. I), a public hearing shall be held on April 1, 1975, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges contained in Central Illinois' proposed filing.

(C) On or before February 25, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. Any intervenor evidence will be filed on or before March 11, 1975. Any rebuttal evidence by Central Illinois shall be served on or before March 25, 1975.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5 (d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(E) Nothing contained herein shall be construed as limiting the rights of parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of Settlement pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(F) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-834 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[1] Clay Electric Cooperative, Inc., Coles-Moultrie Electric Cooperative; Eastern Illinois Power Cooperative; Edgar Electric Cooperative Association; Illini Electric Cooperative; Norris Electric Cooperative; Shelby Electric Cooperative and the partial requirements customers consisting of McDonough Power Cooperative; Southwestern Electric Cooperative; Wayne-White Counties Electric Cooperative; Western Illinois Power Cooperative.

[Docket No. G-7007]

CITIES SERVICE OIL CO.

Application for Temporary Relief

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Take notice that on December 16, 1974, Cities Service Oil Company (Applicant), Box 300, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102, filed in Docket No. G-7007 an application for temporary relief pending issuance of a final order by the Commission on Applicant's petition filed in this docket on August 16, 1974. That petition sought to amend the order of the Commission issued in the subject docket on October 29, 1964, as amended July 11, 1969, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, by extending authorization for the release of up to 3,000 Mcf of gas per day from volumes certificated in the instant docket in order to allow delivery of such released volumes to the Columbian Division of Cities Service Company, formerly Columbian Carbon Company, (Columbian) for use in the manufacture of carbon black at the latter's Hickok Plant located in Grant County, Kansas, for an additional five-year period, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that by order issued October 29, 1964 in the instant docket the Commission authorized the release of up to 8,000 Mcf of gas per day from volumes previously certificated in said docket in order to allow delivery of such gas to Columbian for a five-year period which ended October 29, 1969. Applicant states further that by Commission order issued July 11, 1969, this release authorization was extended an additional five years until October 29, 1974, and provided for the release of volumes of up to 5,000 Mcf per day.

The petition indicates that Columbian has advised Applicant that it has a continuing need for supplies of natural gas and has requested that Applicant make available to it up to 3,000 Mcf of gas per day for an additional period of five years. The petition further indicates that by letter agreement dated June 4, 1974 Cities Service Gas Company (Cities Gas) has agreed to release up to 3,000 Mcf per day for delivery to Columbian's Hickok Plant for a term of five years from October 29, 1974; however, Cities Gas reserves the right to curtail, interrupt or discontinue the release of such gas at any time if it deems such action is essential to maintaining service to higher priority gas customers.

Columbian indicates that natural gas and low gravity residual oil are raw materials required for the manufacture of its carbon black products. Columbian's products are described as relatively low surface area furnace-type carbon blacks with unique properties required in specific applications. The petition states that about 5 percent of the production is used in the paint, ink and electrical industries, with the balance used in specialized rubber compounds and that at the present

time the Hickok Plant provides about 15 percent of total industry requirements for these applications.

Applicant requests in the instant application that deliveries of 2,100 Mcf per day to Columbian be permitted until a final order of the Commission is issued with regards to the petition in this docket.

A shortened notice period in this proceeding may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application for temporary relief, other than those already party to these proceedings, should on or before January 17, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-827 Filed 1-7-75;10:44 am]

[Docket No. CP75-174]

CITY OF HAZARD, KENTUCKY, AND KENTUCKY WEST VIRGINIA GAS CO.

Application

JANUARY 2, 1975.

Take notice that on December 16, 1974, City of Hazard, Kentucky, a municipal corporation (Hazard), Hazard, Kentucky 41701, filed in Docket No. CP75-174 an application pursuant to section 7(a) of the Natural Gas Act for an order of the Commission directing Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company (Respondent) to sell and deliver natural gas to Hazard for resale to consumers in Hazard under the same terms and conditions as Respondent has sold gas to Hazard's predecessor, the Hazard Gas Company, Incorporated, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Hazard has submitted in its application a copy of an order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky authorizing Hazard to purchase the distribution system located in Hazard from the Hazard Gas Company, Incorporated, and an executed gas purchase contract between Respondent and Hazard providing for the sale of gas to Hazard.

Hazard states that it purchased the distribution system from the Hazard Gas Company, Incorporated, on November 15, 1974. Hazard further states that it is now obtaining the natural gas used in its distribution system from natural gas wells owned by it, from H.S.&G. Drilling Company, and from Respondent, and that, therefore, the present supply of gas available to Hazard from Respondent is adequate to supply Hazard's present customers. Hazard, accordingly, proposes to purchase gas from Kentucky West at the same quantities and at the same price as was contained in the gas purchase agreement between Hazard Gas Company, Incorporated, and Respondent. Applicant includes in its application, a copy of a service agreement between itself and Respondent providing for the sale of peak day and annual volumes of 3,450 and 400,000 Mcf of gas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to said application should on or before January 15, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulation under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 156.9). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-857 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Project No. 2338]

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

Extension of Time

JANUARY 3, 1975.

On December 26, 1974, Scenic Hudson Preservation Conference filed a motion to extend the time for responding to the licensee's motion dated December 23, 1974 in the above-designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural date for filing an answer to the motion of Consolidated Edison is extended to January 10, 1975 pursuant to § 1.12(c) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-840 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ID-1750]

CLARENCE F. WERNER

Initial Application

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that on November 29, 1974, Clarence F. Werner (Applicant) filed an initial application with the Federal Power Commission. Pursuant to section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act, Applicant seeks authority to hold the following positions:

Director & President, Brockton Edison Company, Public Utility.

Director, Montaup Electric Company, Public Utility.

Brockton Edison Company ("Brockton"), a Massachusetts corporation having its principal place of business at 36 Main Street, Brockton, Massachusetts, owns and operates facilities for the transmission and distribution of electric energy at retail in the City of Brockton and 16 surrounding towns in Massachusetts. Brockton also supplies electric energy to Newport Electric Corporation and the Town of Middleborough for resale. Most of the energy sold by Brockton is purchased from Montaup Electric Company.

Montaup Electric Company ("Montaup"), a Massachusetts corporation having its principal place of business in Somerset, Massachusetts, owns and operates facilities in that town for the generation of electric energy and facilities in Somerset and elsewhere in Massachusetts for the transmission of such energy. Most of the electric energy which it generates, together with additional energy which it purchases, is sold to Blackstone Valley Electric Company ("Blackstone"), Brockton and Fall River Electric Light Company ("Fall River") which companies together own all of Montaup's outstanding securities except short-term notes.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 20, 1975 file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-844 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. CP74-227, CP73-135, CP74-212]

DISTRIGAS CORP. AND DISTRIGAS OF MASSACHUSETTS CORP.

Postponement of Hearing

JANUARY 2, 1975.

On December 20, 1974, Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation and Distrigas Corporation filed a motion to extend the hearing date fixed by order issued December 4, 1974 in the above-designated matter.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the hearing date in the above matter is postponed until January 15, 1975, at 10:00 a.m., (e.s.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-853 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-28]

EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO.

Filing of Revised Tariff Sheets Relating to Curtailment Plan

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Public notice is hereby given that on December 13, 1974, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee) tendered for filing Fifth Revised Sheet No. 23, Third Revised Sheet No. 46, Second Revised Sheet No. 47, and Original Sheet No. 47-A to its FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1. East Tennessee requests an effective date of January 1, 1975, and waiver of the notice requirements of Section 154.63 of the Commission's regulations to permit the requested effective date.

East Tennessee states that several of its customers have brought to its attention that the tariff sheets as presently constituted create a practical operating problem as to the customers' ability to obtain Supplemental Winter Service (SWS) during periods of curtailment, since such service, under the present tariff, is not available until a customer is obtaining its full Contract Demand under the CD, CR, CPR, G, or SG Rate Schedules. The revised sheets are intended to rectify this problem.

East Tennessee states that copies of this filing have been sent to all customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

A shortened notice period may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 15, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene; provided, however, that any person who has previously filed a petition to intervene in this proceeding is not required to file a further petition. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-825 Filed 1-7-75;10:43 am]

[Docket No. RP75-41-2]

EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO. AND COLONIAL NATURAL GAS CO.

Filing of Petition for Extraordinary Relief

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Take notice that on December 23, 1974, Colonial Natural Gas Company (Colonial), filed in Docket No. RP75-41-2, a petition pursuant to § 2.78 of the Commission's rules and regulations, requesting relief from the curtailment imposed by East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee).

Colonial states that under the curtailment as presently imposed by East Tennessee imposed it cannot continue to provide service to customers in priorities one and two, even though it has ceased serving all customers with alternate services of fuel and all customers below priorities one and two.

Colonial further states that it was informed by East Tennessee that it will not grant Colonial's request for relief because it did not comply with the requirements of § 24.4 of the General Terms and Conditions of East Tennessee's FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1.

A shortened notice period in this proceeding may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-824 Filed 1-7-75;10:43 am]

[Docket No. RP75-41-4]

EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO. AND ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA

Filing of Petition for Extraordinary Relief

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Public notice is hereby given that on December 27, 1974, the Aluminum Company of America (Alcoa) filed in the instant docket, a petition for extraordinary relief pursuant to § 1.7 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and 2.78 of the Commission's rules and regulations requesting (1) extraordinary relief from curtailment; and (2) interim relief *pendente lite*, from the curtailment imposed by Alcoa's sole supplier of natural gas East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee). Specifically, Alcoa requests that the Commission order East Tennessee to supply Alcoa with an average of 2100 Mcf per day over Alcoa's curtailment period quantity entitlement (CPQE) for the period January 1, 1975 to March 31, 1975.

Alcoa operates a complex of three plants at Alcoa, Blount County, Tennessee, at which it receives natural gas pursuant to a firm direct sale contract with East Tennessee providing for the delivery of up to 18,000 Mcf per day of natural gas. Alcoa has 2,861 natural gas burner tips installed in its three plants.

On December 13, 1974, Alcoa states it was orally informed by East Tennessee that its supply of natural gas would be subject to curtailment commencing December 16, 1974. Alcoa states that under the present implementation of East Tennessee's curtailment plan it will receive 10,739 Mcf per day for the period December 16-December 31, 1974, and an average of 6360, 8326, and 6449 Mcf during the months of January, February and March, 1975, respectively. However, Alcoa adds that these estimated volumes of gas imperil Alcoa's operations at its three plants, since an average of not less than 9,100 Mcf per day of natural gas is required until the end of the 1974-1975 winter heating season to maintain production at Alcoa's plants.

Furthermore, Alcoa states, that interim extraordinary relief *pendente lite* should be granted because a reduction in operating levels will have a devasting impact on the economy of Blount County and the Knoxville area in particular, and on Tennessee and the Tennessee Valley area in general.

A shortened notice period may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-826 Filed 1-7-75;10:43 am]

[Docket No. RP75-41-3]

EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO. AND ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Filing of Petition for Extraordinary Relief

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Take notice that on December 23, 1974, the United States Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) filed a petition for extraordinary relief pursuant to § 2.78 of the Commission's rules and regulations requesting relief for the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) from the curtailment imposed by East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee).

AEC states that the programs carried on at the Oak Ridge site were authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and were characterized therein as being in the national welfare and defense. Further, disruptions, of certain of these programs have resulted from the latest curtailment imposed by East Tennessee. Additionally, AEC states that important energy research is being carried out at ORNL, and that this curtailment will cause delays in those programs.

AEC states that under the present implementation of East Tennessee's curtailment plan its average daily entitlement has been reduced to 238 Mcf per day, effective as of December 16, 1974. Further, AEC points out that East Tennessee refused to classify natural gas used at ORNL during volume allocation computation periods in priority 2, which AEC states was caused by an erroneous submission of data by AEC in May, 1974. AEC therefore states that the fact that gas being used at this facility was mistakenly classified as industrial fuel rather than commercial fuel should not force cutbacks in programs which have as their goal the alleviation of energy shortages. Accordingly, AEC states that it is imperative that East Tennessee be required to supply its Oak Ridge facilities with 725 Mcf per day, pending a determination as to AEC's entitlement under its contract with East Tennessee, and/or the tariff filed by East Tennessee with the Commission.

A shortened notice period in this proceeding may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-828 Filed 1-7-75;10:44 am]

[Docket No. CP75-20, CI75-116]

FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION CO. ET AL.

Extension of Time

JANUARY 3, 1975.

On December 27, 1974, Florida Gas Transmission Company filed a request to extend the date for filing evidence fixed by order issued December 23, 1974 in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the date for filing evidence in the above matter is extended to January 17, 1975. The hearing date will remain as scheduled, January 23, 1975 at 10 a.m., e.s.t.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-852 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Project No. 1494]

GRAND RIVER DAM AUTHORITY

Application for Change in Land Rights

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Public notice is hereby given that an application was filed on May 28, 1974, under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a–825r) by Grand River Dam Authority (GRDA) (Correspondence to: Mr. Richard W. Lock, General Manager, Grand River Dam Authority, P.O. Drawer G, Vinita, Oklahoma 74301) for change in land rights for the constructed Pensacola Project No. 1494 located on the Grand River, a navigable waterway of the United States, in Craig, Delaware, Mayes, Muskogee, Ottawa, Rogers, Tulsa, and Wagoner Counties, Oklahoma, and McDonald County, Missouri.

GRDA, licensee for the Pensacola Project No. 1494, requests permission to lease a 20-acre island on the Spring River, adjacent to the crossing of the Spring River by Oklahoma Highway 10 in Ottawa County, Oklahoma, to the Ottawa County Conservation District for development of a park and access point to be called the Moccasin Bend Park and Access Point. Facilities proposed for this park and access point include picnic tables, grills, trash receptacles, a canoe and boat landing, a water well, an access road with parking spaces, and comfort stations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said application should on or before February 3, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to a proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-835 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9182]

GULF STATES UTILITIES CO.

Change in Delivery Points

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that on December 19, 1974, Gulf States Utilities Company (GSU) tendered for filing a proposed change in its delivery points to the Mid South Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Mid South). GSU states that in accordance with agreements between it and Mid-South, the McDate temporary metering station (the subject of Docket No. E-8502) has been discontinued as of May 1, 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 16, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-839 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9052]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO.

Filing of Revised Fuel Clause

JANUARY 6, 1975.

Take notice that on December 30, 1974, the Indiana & Michigan Electric Company (I&M) tendered for filing a supplemental agreement to the Interconnection Agreement (dated March 8, 1950) between I&M and the Michigan Power Company (Michigan).[1] I&M states that the supplemental agreement contains a substitute fuel clause designed to conform with Opinion No. 517, as ordered to be filed by Commission Order dated November 29, 1974, in this proceeding.

The above-mentioned order states that the suspension of the fuel adjustment clause will be lifted and further proceedings in this docket terminated upon receipt of a substitute fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633, at which time the substituted fuel clause will be made effective as of December 2, 1974. I&M states that upon Commission acceptance of the present filing, it will recalculate the amounts billed to Michigan under the supplemental agreement dated October 7, 1974, will adjust its billings to Michigan to reflect differences resulting from the substituted fuel clause, plus interest, and will submit a report to the Commission of such billing adjustments.

I&M states that it has served copies of this filing upon all parties, as well as the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol

[1] Designated as I&M's FPC Rate Schedule No. 25.

Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20425, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 23, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-830 Filed 1-7-75;10:44 am]

[Docket No. E-9023]

INTERSTATE POWER CO.

Order Denying Request To Lift Suspension of Fuel Clause Currently in Effect

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On September 19, 1974, Interstate Power Company (Interstate) tendered for filing proposed changes in its wholesale electric rate to firm customers. By order issued October 18, 1974, in the above-referenced docket, the Commission accepted for filing and suspended for one day the proposed rate increase,[1] including fuel adjustment clause. The order also noted that Interstate's proposed fuel clause imputes the Company's own fuel cost variations to its purchased energy, contrary to Opinion No. 633. Accordingly, the order stated that, within thirty days of issuance of said order, Interstate should file a revised fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633. In this regard, the order added:

> Upon the filing of a revised fuel clause in compliance with Opinion No. 633, we shall lift the suspension as it relates to the fuel clause, make the revised fuel clause effective as of October 21, 1974, terminate Interstate's refund obligation with respect to the fuel clause, and order such interim refunds as may be required.

The time period within which to file the revised fuel clause was subsequently extended to December 4, 1974, pursuant to the Motion for Extension of Time * * * filed by Interstate on November 18, 1974.[2]

On December 2, 1974, Interstate filed a request that the suspension of the current fuel clause be lifted pending submission by the Company of a revised fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517. In support of this request, Interstate submits data purporting to show that use of the presently-effective fuel clause actually results in a fuel-cost benefit to the Company's wholesale customers. Therefore, the Company asserts, the present fuel clause is just and reasonable and should be "accepted without subjection to adjustment until the filing of a revised clause pursuant to Order No. 517 * * *" Interstate states further that it intends to make such revised fuel clause filing early in 1975.

Our review of Interstate's request that we lift the suspension of the presently-effective fuel clause, pending submission of a fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517, indicates that such request cannot be granted. Assuming, arguendo, that, as Interstate asserts, the present fuel clause results in a fuel-cost benefit to the Company's wholesale customers, there is, nevertheless, no assurance that this fuel clause will continue to produce such benefit. Interstate's cost of fuel for its own generation may, for example, in the future exceed the cost of fuel paid by companies from which Interstate purchases energy. For this reason, we believe that the suspension of the present fuel clause should not be lifted. Accordingly, Interstate's request shall be denied, and the question of the justness and reasonableness of the fuel clause shall be an issue at the hearing provided for in our order of October 18, 1974, in this docket. This denial is, of course, without prejudice to Interstate's right to file a substitute fuel clause which conforms to Order No. 517.[3]

The Commission finds. Good cause does not exist to grant Interstate's request to lift the suspension of the fuel clause now in effect.

The Commission orders. (A) Interstate's December 2, 1974, request to lift the suspension of the fuel clause now in effect is hereby denied, and the question of the justness and reasonableness of the fuel clause shall be an issue at the hearing provided for in our order of October 18, 1974, in this docket.

(B) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-832 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. R-389-B; Opinion 699-F]

JUST AND REASONABLE NATIONAL RATES FOR SALES OF, AND NEW DEDICATIONS OF NATURAL GAS TO INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Order Modifying in Part

JANUARY 3, 1975.

On November 21, 1974, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Transwestern Pipeline Company (hereinafter referred to as Texas Eastern) petitioned the Commission for clarification of Opinion No. 699-F.[1]

Texas Eastern is concerned that language in Opinion No. 699-F will inhibit an emergency purchase from a producer where one interstate pipeline has already made an emergency purchase from that producer and a second pipeline desires to make a subsequent emergency purchase from the same producer through the facilities of the first pipeline.

Confusion was created when in Opinion No. 699-F, in response to an application for rehearing filed by Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) we modified Opinion No. 699-C to permit the sale of natural gas under § 157.29 for periods of up to 60 days to more than one pipeline so long as there was not a second such sale to any one purchaser. Upon further review the Commission on its own motion finds that such sales should be limited to a single sixty (60) day period. It is the Commission's view that the public interest requires that a producer be permitted to sell natural gas in interstate commerce without certificate authority for no more than sixty (60) days.

The Commission orders:

(A) Ordering Paragraph (B) of Opinion No. 699-F is hereby rescinded in its entirety.

(B) Ordering Paragraph (B) of Opinion No. 699-C is hereby reinstated as issued in this proceeding on September 26, 1974, to read as follows:

However, it should be emphasized that in answering the petition for clarification in the affirmative it is confined strictly to those instances where the previous emergency sale was initiated and terminated prior to the issuance of Opinion No. 699, and that there will be permitted only a single period of sixty (60) days for sales from the same well or wells under the provisions of § 157.29, as amended, regardless of the identity of the seller or sellers—in those cases where the well or wells may be jointly owned—and regardless of a change in the identity of a purchaser.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-836 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-32; PGA 75-1A]

KANSAS-NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

PGA Rate Change

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that on December 16, 1974, Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc. (K-N) tendered for filing Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. PGA-1 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. K-N states that its December 16, 1974, is being made pursuant to ordering paragraph (B) of a Commission order, issued November 29, 1974, in Docket No. RP72-32, PGA 75-1. K-N states that the enclosed tariff excludes the increased purchased gas costs associated with small producer and emergency purchases which are in excess of the rate levels prescribed in Opinion No. 699. K-N states that these rates are proposed to become effective December 1, 1974.

K-N also tendered for filing on December 16, 1974. Fourth Revised Sheet No. PGA-1 pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (A) of the aforesaid order wherein the

[1] Following the one day suspension, the proposed changes in rates and charges became effective, subject to refund, on October 21, 1974.

[2] This extension was granted by Notice issued by the Secretary on November 21, 1974.

[3] See: Ordering Paragraph (B) of Order No. 517, issued November 13, 1974, in Docket No. R-479.

[1] —— F.P.C. —— (November 7, 1974).

Commission authorized K–N to collect, beginning December 2, 1974, subject to refund, purchased gas costs associated with small producer and emergency purchases at rates established by Opinion No. 699.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–841 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73–23]

LAWRENCEBURG GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Filing of Tariff Sheet

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that on December 23, 1974, Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corporation (Lawrenceburg) tendered for filing Eight Revised Sheet No. 3–A (superseding Seventh Revised Sheet No. 3–A) and Eighth Revised Sheet No. 18–B (superseding Seventh Revised Sheet No. 18B). Lawrenceburg states that these sheets are being filed pursuant to its purchased gas adjustment clause to reflect a change in the cost of gas purchased from Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) which is proposed to become effective February 1, 1975. Accordingly, Lawrenceburg requests waiver of the notice requirements of Section 154.51 of the Commission's regulations to permit its tariff sheets to become effective on February 1, 1975, or on such other date as the Commission may permit the Texas Gas increase to become effective.

Lawrenceburg states that copies of this filing are being mailed to its two wholesale customers, Lawrenceburg Gas Company and Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, and to the two interested state Commissions, Public Service Commission of Indiana and The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–843 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E–9046]

MONTAUP ELECTRIC CO.

Order Granting Late Intervention

JANUARY 2, 1975.

On October 1, 1974, as completed November 18, 1974, Montaup Electric Company (Montaup) and its affiliated owner companies within the Eastern Utilities Associates System (EUA), filed a series of documents intended to effectuate a complete reorganization of their wholesale power supply arrangements. Montaup's filing was noticed by the Commission on November 21, 1974, with protests or petitions to intervene due on or before December 10, 1974.

A late petition to intervene in the above docket was filed by the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities on December 12, 1974. Having reviewed the above petition to intervene, we believe that the petitioner has sufficient interest in the proceedings to warrant intervention.

The Commission finds. It is desirable and in the public interest to allow the above-named petitioner to intervene.

The Commission orders. (A) The above-named petitioner is hereby permitted to intervene in these proceedings subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the petition to intervene: *And provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that it might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(B) The intervention granted herein shall not be the basis for delaying or deferring any procedural schedules heretofore established for the orderly and expeditious disposition of this proceeding.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–856 Filed 1–9–75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Order of Renewal

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

This order renews the National Gas Survey Technical Advisory Committee—Supply, Technical Advisory Committee—Transmission, and Technical Advisory Committee—Distribution, each for the term from and after December 31, 1974, to and including a date not later than December 31, 1975. As presently constituted, the three Advisory Committees terminate December 31, 1974.

These technical advisory committees were established pursuant to Commission order issued April 6, 1971, 36 FR 6922, and subsequently extended for a period of one year by Commission order issued December 28, 1973, 39 FR 1540. The aforementioned orders make reference to an earlier Commission order of February 23, 1971, 36 FR 3851, authorizing formation of such committees and prescribing procedures therefor. The committees are effected by subsequent Commission orders amending prior orders issued April 25, 1972, 37 FR 8578; June 27, 1972, 37 FR 13306; and December 19, 1972, 37 FR 28658.

By notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 30, 1974, 39 FR 45083, the Chairman of the Commission has determined and certified that the renewal of these committees for the period set forth herein is necessary in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Commission by law. The Office of Management and Budget, Advisory Committee Management, has ascertained that the renewal of the committees is in accord with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 86 Stat. 770.

The Commission continues these committees in accordance with the provisions of this order, the provisions of an order of the Commission issued February 23, 1973, 38 FR 5940, which restates for convenience purposes, the content of the aforementioned Commission's February 23, 1971, order so as to reflect, in one order format, provisions of succeeding orders of this Commission which have changed portions of the February 23, 1971, order as necessary from time to time by reason of Commission determinations and subsequently enacted Executive orders and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, and the provisions of the Commission's General Order 464–A, issued August 2, 1974, 39 FR 28929. The purpose, function, and membership of these committees are as follows:

1. *Purpose.* The purposes of the Technical Advisory Committees of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein are as set forth in the Commission's order of April 6, 1971, Paragraph 1, *Purpose,* 45 FPC 532, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein.

It is intended that the subject committees will provide a framework for a continuing national gas survey and that from time to time we may request establishment of task forces subordinate to these technical advisory committees. Such task forces will carry out specific assignments submitted by their respective parent committees.

2. *Membership.* The membership of the Technical Advisory Committees of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein are as set forth in the Commission's

order of April 6, 1971, Paragraph 2, *Membership*, 45 FPC 532, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein. The current membership of the committees proposed to be renewed herein are designated in the appendix hereto.

The following paragraphs of the aforementioned order of February 23, 1973, are hereby incorporated by reference herein:

2. Selection of Committee Members.
3. Conduct of Meetings.
4. Minutes and Records.
5. Secretary of the Committee.
6. Location and Time of Meetings.
7. Advice and Recommendations Offered by the Committee.

The National Gas Survey Technical Advisory Committee—Supply, Technical Advisory Committee—Transmission and Technical Advisory Committee—Distribution, as continued by this order, shall terminate not later than December 31, 1975. Estimated operating cost for the calendar year 1975 for the Technical Advisory Committee—Supply is $5,393; for the Technical Advisory Committee—Transmission, $5,315; and for the Technical Advisory Committee—Distribution, $4,833.

Neither the Technical Advisory Committees proposed to be renewed herein, nor such other committee or committees as may be established shall be permitted to receive, compile, or discuss data or reports showing the current or projected nonpublic commercial operations of identified business enterprises. Data or reports of a nonpublic nature that are requested from identified business enterprises shall be submitted directly to the Director of the National Gas Survey, or to such person on his staff as designated by the Director, and such data or reports will be composited with that submitted by other identified business enterprises and reported on a composite basis and the provisions of section 8(b) of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717(g), and the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C 552(b)(4), shall apply.

The Secretary of the Commission shall file with the Chairman, Committee on Commerce, United States Senate, Chairman, Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee, House of Representatives, and Librarian, Library of Congress, copies of this order, as constituting the charter of the National Gas Survey Committees as hereinabove described.

This order is effective January 1, 1975.

The Secretary of the Commission shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY

SUPPLY-TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Vice Chairman Myron A. Wright, Chairman of the Board, Exxon Company, U.S.A.
Deputy Vice Chairman William T. Slick, Jr., Senior Vice President, Exxon Company, U.S.A.
FPC Survey Coordinating Representative and Secretary, Frederick W. Lawrence, Director, National Gas Survey, Bureau of Natural Gas, Federal Power Commission.

FPC REPRESENTATIVES

Robert M. Jimeson, Assistant Advisor on Environmental Quality, Office of the Advisor on Environmental Quality, Federal Power Commission.
Dr. Haskell P. Wald, Chief, Office of Economics, Federal Power Commission.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Dr. Morris A. Adelman, Professor of Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
J. Dennis Bonney, Vice President, Standard Oil Company of California.
LeRoy Culbertson, Vice President, Phillips Petroleum Company.
W. Timothy Dowd, Executive Secretary, Interstate Oil Compact Commission.
Arthur T. Guernsey, Planning Manager, Shell Oil Company.
Dr. John W. Harbaugh, Chairman, Geology Department, Stanford University.
Thomas L. Kimball, Executive Director, National Wildlife Federation.
Stanley Learned, Consultant—Independent.
Hon. Duke R. Ligon, Assistant Administrator, Energy Resource Development, Federal Energy Administration.
Hon. Vincent E. McKelvey, Director of Geological Survey, Department of the Interior.
Howard A. McKinley, Vice President—New Business Development, Western Hemisphere Petroleum Division, Continental Oil Company.
Dr. Edward J. Mitchell, Visiting Professor of Economics, Graduate School of Business and Public Administration, Cornell University.
Jeff Montgomery, President, Kirby Industries, Inc.
Gene P. Morrell, Vice President, Lone Star Gas Company.
Richard J. Murdy, Vice President and General Manager, CNG Producing Company.
Dr. Bruce C. Netschert, Vice President, National Economic Research Associates, Inc.
Ernest L. Petree, Vice President, Exploration and Production, Gulf Oil Corporation.
John W. Ohenicle, Vice President, Amoco Production Company.
Dr. Howard W. Pifer, III, Director of Energy and Environmental Studies, Temple, Barker, and Sloane, Inc.
Sam H. Schurr, Director, Energy and Mineral Resources, Resources for the Future, Inc.
Comdr. Joseph P. Trunz, Jr., Director, Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves, Department of the Navy.
Dr. Sherman A. Wengerd, Professor of Geology, University of New Mexico.
R. Earle Wright, Vice President—Gas Department, Texaco, Inc.

TRANSMISSION-TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Vice Chairman, Willis A. Strauss, Chairman of the Board, Northern Natural Gas Company.
Deputy Vice Chairman, Ferdinand L. Gagne, Manager, Industry Relations, Northern Natural Gas Company.
FPC Survey Coordinating Representative and Secretary, Dr. Edwin D. Goebel, Technical Director, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Commission.
FPC Representative, Dr. Richard F. Hill, Deputy Chief, Bureau of Power, Federal Power Commission.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Orval C. Davis, President, Peoples Gas Company.
Dr. Robert O. Herrmann, Associate Professor of Agricultural Economics, Pennsylvania State University.
George F. Kirby, President, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.
Wilber H. Mack, Chairman of the Board, American Natural Gas Company.
John W. Morton, President, Cities Service Gas Company.
William E. Towell, Executive Vice President, American Forestry Association.

DISTRIBUTION-TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Vice Chairman G. J. Tankersley, President, Consolidated Natural Gas Co.
Deputy Vice Chairman Ralbern H. Murray, Senior Vice President and General Manager, CNG Energy Company.
FPC Survey Coordinating Representative and Secretary, G. Patrick Sanders, General Engineer, Systems Operations Division, Federal Power Commission.
Alternate, FPC Survey Coordinating Representative and Secretary, James R. Spor, Industry Economist, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Commission.
FPC Representatives Dr. Richard F. Hill, Deputy Chief, Bureau of Power, Federal Power Commission.
Gordon K. Zareski, Chief, Planning and Development Division, Bureau of Natural Gas, Federal Power Commission.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Buell G. Duncan, Chairman of the Board, Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.
James F. Gary, President, Honolulu Gas Company, Limited.
Calvin R. Henze, President, Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division.
Robert R. Herring, President, Houston Natural Gas Corporation.
C. C. Ingram, Chairman of the Board, Oklahoma Natural Gas Company.
Hon. Virginia H. Knauer, Special Assistant to the President and Director, Office of Consumer Affairs.
Paul W. Kraemer, President, Minneapolis Gas Company.
George L. Morrow, President, The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company.
John W. Partridge, Chairman of the Board, Columbia Gas System, Inc.
Robert T. Person, President, Public Service Company of Colorado.
Joseph R. Rensch, President, Pacific Lighting Corporation.
M. Frederik Smith, Member—The National Parks Advisory Board and National Planning Association.
Robert H. Willis, President, Connecticut Natural Gas Corporation.
William P. Woods, Chairman of the Board, Washington Natural Gas Company.

[FR Doc.75-865 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, COORDINATING COMMITTEE AND COORDINATING TASK FORCE

Order of Renewal

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

This order renews the National Gas Survey Executive Advisory Committee, Coordinating Committee and Coordinating Task Force, each for the term from and after December 31, 1974, to a date

not later than December 31, 1975. As presently constituted, these committees and task force terminate December 31, 1974.

The Executive Advisory Committee was established by Commission order issued April 6, 1971, 36 FR 6922, and renewed for a period of one year by Commission order issued December 28, 1973, 39 FR 1540. The Coordinating Committee was established by Commission order issued May 10, 1971, 39 FR 8910, and renewed for a period of one year by Commission order issued December 28, 1973, 39 FR 1540. The Coordinating Task Force was established by Commission order issued December 21, 1971, 36 FR 25183, and renewed for a period of one year by Commission order issued December 28, 1973, 39 FR 1482.

The aforementioned orders all referred to an earlier order of the Commission issued February 23, 1971, 36 FR 3851, authorizing establishment of National Gas Survey advisory committees and prescribing procedures therefor. These committees are effected by subsequent Commission orders amending prior orders issued April 25, 1972, 37 FR 8578; June 27, 1972, 37 FR 13306; and December 19, 1972, 37 FR 28658.

By notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 30, 1974, 39 FR 45083, the Chairman of the Commission has determined and certified that the renewal of these committees for the period set forth herein is necessary in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Commission by law. The Office of Management and Budget, Advisory Committee Management, has ascertained that the renewal of the committees is in accord with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 86 Stat. 770.

The Commission continues these committees in accordance with the provisions of this order, the provisions of an order of the Commission issued February 23, 1973, 38 FR 5940, which restates for convenience purposes, the content of the aforementioned Commission's February 23, 1971, order so as to reflect, in one order format, provisions of succeeding orders of this Commission which have changed portions of the February 23, 1971, order as necessary from time to time by reason of Commission determinations and subsequently enacted Executive orders and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, and the provisions of the Commission's General Order 464–A, issued August 2, 1974, 39 FR 28929. The purpose, function, and membership of these committees are as follows:

1. *Purpose.* The purposes of the Executive Advisory Committee of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein are as set forth in the Commission's order of April 6, 1971, Paragraph 1, *Purpose*, 45 FPC 530, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein. The purposes of the Coordinating Committee of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein are as set forth in the Commission's order of May 10, 1971, Paragraph 1, *Purpose*, 45 FPC 940, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein. The purposes of the Coordinating Task Force of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein are as set forth in the Commission's order of December 21, 1971, Paragraph 1, *Purpose*, 46 FPC 1352, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein.

It is intended that the subject committees will provide a framework for a continuing national gas survey and that from time to time we may request establishment of task forces subordinate to these renewed advisory committees. Such task forces will carry out specific assignments submitted by their respective parent committees.

2. *Membership.* The membership of the Executive Advisory Committee of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein is as set forth in the Commission's order of April 6, 1971, Paragraph 2, *Membership*, 45 FPC 530, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein. The membership of the Coordinating Committee of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein is as set forth in the Commission's order of May 10, 1971, Paragraph 2, *Membership*, 45 FPC 940, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein. The membership of the Coordinating Task Force of the National Gas Survey as renewed herein is as set forth in the Commission's order of December 21, 1971, Paragraph 2, *Membership*, 46 FPC 1352, and that Paragraph is hereby incorporated by reference herein. The current membership of the committees proposed to be renewed herein are designated in the appendix hereto.

The following paragraphs of the aforementioned order of February 23, 1973, are hereby incorporated by reference herein:

2. Selection of Committee Members.
3. Conduct of Meetings.
4. Minutes and Records.
5. Secretary of the Committee.
6. Location and Time of Meetings.
7. Advice and Recommendations Offered by the Committee.

The National Gas Survey Executive Advisory Committee, Coordinating Committee and Coordinating Task Force, as continued by this order, shall terminate not later than December 31, 1975. Estimated operating cost for the calendar year 1975 of the Executive Advisory Committee is $2,542; for the Coordinating Committee, $6,242; and for the Coordinating Task Force, $5,640.

Neither the Executive Advisory Committee, the Coordinating Task Force, the Coordinating Committee, nor such other committee or committees as may be established shall be permitted to receive, compile or discuss data or reports showing the current or projected nonpublic commercial operations of identified business enterprises. Data or reports of a nonpublic nature that are requested from identified business enterprises shall be submitted directly to the Director of the National Gas Survey, or to such person on his staff as designated by the Director, and such data or reports will be composited with that submitted by other identified business enterprises and reported on a composite basis and the provisions of section 8(b) of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717(g), and the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4), shall apply.

The Secretary of the Commission shall file with the Chairman, Committee on Commerce, United States Senate, Chairman, Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee, House of Representatives, and Librarian, Library of Congress, copies of this order, as constituting the charter of the National Gas Survey Committees as hereinabove described.

This order is effective January 1, 1975.

The Secretary of the Commission shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY

EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Chairman William M. Elmer, Chairman of the Board, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation.

Secretary Frederick W. Lawrence, Director, National Gas Survey, Bureau of Natural Gas, Federal Power Commission.

MEMBERS

Robert O. Anderson, Chairman of the Board, Atlantic Richfield Company.

Donald F. Bittinger, Chairman of the Board, Washington Gas Light Company.

William J. Bowen, President, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation.

Howard Boyd, Chairman of the Board, El Paso Natural Gas Company.

Harry Bridges, President, Shell Oil Company.

Richard C. Byrd, General Counsel, Interstate Oil Compact Commission.

Marvin Chandler, Chairman of the Board, Northern Illinois Gas Company.

B. R. Dorsey, Chairman of the Board, Gulf Oil Corporation.

Buell G. Duncan, Chairman of the Board, Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.

Frank E. Fitzsimmons, General President, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers of America.

Dean Lawrence E. Fouraker, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.

Nelson W. Freeman, Chairman of the Board, Tenneco, Inc.

Baxter D. Goodrich, Chairman of the Board, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

Maurice F. Granville, Chairman of the Board, Texaco, Inc.

A. F. Grospiron, President, Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers International Union.

John W. Heiney, President, Indiana Gas Co., Inc.

Dale Helmerich, President, American Public Gas Association.

Robert R. Herring, President, Houston Natural Gas Corporation.

William W. Keeler, Chairman of the Board, Phillips Petroleum Company.

Hon. Virginia H. Knauer, Special Assistant to the President and Director, Office of Consumer Affairs.

Stanley Learned, Independent Consultant.

Claude F. Machen, Chairman of the Board, Boston Gas Company.

Ralph T. McElvenny, Consultant, American Natural Gas Company.
Dean A. McGee, Chairman of the Board, Kerr-McGee Corporation.
Otto N. Miller, Chairman of the Board, Standard Oil Company of California.
George P. Mitchell, President, George Mitchell & Associates, Inc.
Robert Mosbacher, Independent.
Richard L. O'Shields, President, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.
John W. Partridge, Chairman of the Board, Columbia Gas System, Inc.
Hon. Dixy Lee Ray, Chairman, Atomic Energy Commission.
Joseph R. Rensch, President, Pacific Lighting Corporation.
John S. Shaw, Jr., President, Southern Natural Gas Company.
Hon. Raymond J. Sherwin, Judge, Superior Court, Calif.; Director, Sierra Club.
Shermer L. Sibley, Chairman of the Board, Pacific Gas & Electric Co.
Willis A. Strauss, Chairman of the Board, Northern Natural Gas Co.
John E. Swearingen, Chairman of the Board, Standard Oil Co. (Indiana).
G. J. Tankersley, President, Consolidated Natural Gas Co.
Hon. E. Russell Train, Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency.
Henry A. True, Jr., Partner, True Oil Company.
Dean William R. Upthegrove, College of Engineering, University of Oklahoma.
Rawleigh A. Warner, Jr., Chairman of the Board, Mobil Oil Corporation.
Hon. Ben Wiggins, Chairman, Georgia Public Service Commission.
Myron A. Wright, Chairman of the Board, Exxon Company, U.S.A.

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY

COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Chairman—Frederick Lawrence, Director, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Commission.
Secretary—Russell B. Mamone, Trial Attorney, Federal Power Commission.
FPC Representative—Gordon K. Zareski, Chief, Planning and Development Division, Bureau of Natural Gas, Federal Power Commission.

MEMBERS

William M. Elmer, Chairman—EAC [1].
Ferdinand L. Gagne, Deputy to Mr. Strauss.
William J. McCabe, Geologist, Natural Gas Survey, Federal Power Commission.
G. Patrick Sanders, Jr., General Engineer, Systems Operations Division, Federal Power Commission.
Ralbern H. Murray, Deputy to Mr. Tankersley.
Dr. Edwin D. Goebel, Technical Director, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Commission.
William T. Slick, Jr., Deputy to Mr. Wright.
Willis A. Strauss, Member—EAC,[1] Vice Chairman—Transmission—TAC [2].
G. J. Tankersley, Member—EAC,[1] Vice Chairman, Distribution—TAC [2].
Myron A. Wright, Member—EAC,[1] Vice Chairman, Supply—TAC [2].
Richard C. Young, Deputy to Mr. Elmer.

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY

COORDINATING TASK FORCE

TF Director—Richard C. Young, Member of Coordinating Committee and Deputy to Mr. Elmer.
TF FPC Survey Coordinating Representative and Secretary—William J. McCabe, Geologist, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Comm.
FPC Representative—Edwin D. Goebel, Technical Director, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Comm.
FPC Representative—G. Patrick Sanders, Jr., General Engineer, Systems Operations Div., Bureau of Natural Gas, Federal Power Comm.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Ferdinand L. Gagne, Members of Coordinating Committee, Deputy Vice Chairman, Transmission—TAC.[2]
Ralbern H. Murray, Member of Coordinating Committee, Deputy Vice Chairman, Distribution—TAC.[2]
William T. Slick, Jr., Member of Coordinating Committee, Deputy Vice Chairman, Supply—TAC.[2]

[1] Executive Advisory Committee.
[2] Technical Advisory Committee.

[1] TAC—Technical Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc.75-864 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9148]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase and Establishing Procedures

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 2, 1974, Northern States Power Company (Northern) tendered for filing proposed increases in rates to its sixteen total requirements wholesale customers. (See Appendix A.) The proposed rate increases would amount to $2,219,600 on a 1975 test-year basis, an increase of approximately 30 percent over the existing rate filed on June 1, 1973 in Docket No. E-8252.

In its December 2, 1974 filing, Northern has requested the Commission to give its filing an effective date of January 1, 1975, and further requested the Commission to suspend the proposed rates until June 1, 1975. The basis of this request is a moratorium provision in the proposed settlement agreement in Docket No. E-8252.[1] That provision would prohibit Northern from increasing the settlement rate prior to June 1, 1975. The provision would allow Northern to submit for filing a proposed rate increase and request an effective date prior to June 1, 1975, provided Northern requested suspension of the proposed rate increase until June 1, 1975.

Northern has stated that in the event the Commission does not accept the tendered rate settlement in its entirety, Northern reserves its right to withdraw its request for a suspension to June 1, 1975, and to propose a shorter suspension period.

Notice of Northern's filing was issued on December 11, 1974, with comments, protests, and petitions to intervene due on or before December 24, 1974. No comments have been filed.

Our review of the instant filing and the issues raised therein indicates that the proposed changes have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential or otherwise unlawful. Upon this analysis and the request by Northern to suspend the filing until June 1, 1975, we shall accordingly suspend the filing for five months. Our action is however without prejudice to Northern's right to seek a shorter suspension period in the event the Commission does not accept the tendered rate settlement in Docket No. E-8252 in its entirety. We shall further establish hearing procedures to determine the justness and reasonableness of Northern's proposed rates.

The Commission finds. It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission accept for filing Northern's December 2, 1974 filing in Docket No. E-9148, suspend the effectiveness thereof for five months, and enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the proposed rates and charges contained therein, as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act, a public hearing shall be held on May 6, 1975, at 10 a.m., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the proposed rates tendered herein by Northern in Docket No. E-9148.

(B) Pending hearing and a final decision thereon, Northern's proposed rate increases filed on December 2, 1974, in Docket No. E-9148, are hereby accepted for filing and suspended for five months and the use thereof deferred until June 1, 1975.

(C) On or before March 25, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. The prepared testimony and exhibits of any intervenors shall be served on or before April 8, 1975. Any rebuttal evidence of Northern shall be filed on or before April 22, 1975.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(E) Nothing contained herein should be construed as limiting the rights of the parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of settlement pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(F) The action taken herein is without prejudice to Northern's right to seek a shorter suspension period in the event the Commission does not accept the tendered rate settlement in Docket No. E-8252 in its entirety.

[1] The proposed settlement agreement in Docket No. E-8252 between Northern and its sixteen total requirements wholesale customers was tendered to be certified to the Commission for its approval on September 30, 1974. That settlement agreement is still currently pending before the Commission.

(G) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

Wholesale customers:	*FPC rate Schedule No.*
City of Anoka	338
City of Arlington	378
City of Brownton	324
Village of Buffalo	369
City of Chaska	323
City of Granite Falls	355
Home Light and Power Company	335
Village of Kasota	318
Village of Kasson	379
City of Lake City	361
Village of North Saint Paul	371
City of Saint Peter	325
City of Shakopee	368
Town of Valley Springs	366
City of Waseca	380
City of Winthrop	364

[FR Doc.75-866 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-25]

TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Postponement of Hearing

JANUARY 2, 1975.

On December 4, 1974, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the hearing date fixed by notice issued October 29, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the hearing date in the above matter is postponed to February 19, 1975 at 10 a.m. (e.s.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-854 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9193]

NEW BEDFORD GAS AND EDISON LIGHT CO.

Filing of Supplemental Data

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that on December 23, 1974, New Bedford Gas and Edison Light Company (New Bedford) tendered for filing data pertaining to applicable gross investments, combined Federal Income and franchise tax rates, and local tax rates for the twelve month period ending December 31, 1973.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 20, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-851 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9173]

PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Initial Rate Filing

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that Pacific Power and Light Company (Pacific) on December 13, 1974, tendered for filing, in accordance with § 35.12 of the Commission's regulations, a new rate schedule for energy sales to Portland General Electric Company.

The proposed rate schedule provides for nonfirm, thermal-generated electric energy at transmission interconnections in amounts and at times when available at a rate of not more than 12 mills per kilowatt-hour as agreed before delivery. Pacific states that no estimate of quantities of energy to be delivered or revenues to be derived therefrom can be made.

Pacific requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit the rate schedule to become effective December 8, 1974, which it claims is the date of commencement of service.

Copies of the filing were supplied to the regulatory commissions in the States of Oregon, Washington and California.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-833 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-49; PGA 75-3-A]

SOUTH GEORGIA NATURAL GAS CO.

Revision to Tariff

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that on December 20, 1974, South Georgia Natural Gas Company (South Georgia) tendered for filing as part of Original Volume No. 1 to its FPC Gas Tariff the following substitute revised tariff sheets:

Substitute Tenth Revised Sheet No. 3A.
Substitute Thirty-Fifth Revised Sheet No. 5.
Substitute Thirty-Fourth Revised Sheet No. 6.
Substitute Twenty-Sixth Revised Sheet No. 9.
Substitute Twenty-Fifth Revised Sheet No. 11.
Substitute Twenty-Ninth Revised Sh· t No. 12B.

South Georgia states that the above sheets represent a rate change under its PGA clause, such clause approved to become effective April 14, 1973, by Commission Order in FPC Docket No. RP73-49 issued April 13, 1973. The company further states that it proposes to increase its rates $571,959 for the purpose of tracking a substitute rate increase filing by Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern). Such substitute filing is in lieu of the filing made by Southern on November 15, 1974. The instant substitute filing will increase South Georgia's cost of gas $913,769 annually. An effective date of January 1, 1975, is requested.

South Georgia has requested waiver of the forty-five (45) day notice requirements as set forth in § 14.2(e) of the General Terms and Conditions of South Georgia's FPC Gas Tariff. South Georgia states that knowledge of Southern's substitute filing, which South Georgia proposes to track, was not known to South Georgia in time to make it possible for South Georgia to comply with the forty-five (45) day notice requirement.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this application are on file with the commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-849 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-91, Phase II, etc.]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Additional Designation

JANUARY 2, 1975.

Take notice that the proceedings involving the filings made on May 16, 1974, June 6, 1974, and July 12, 1974, in the above-designated matter, insofar as they reflect additional advance payments, shall henceforth be designated as follows:

Docket No. RP72-91
(Phase II), et al
(AP 5/16/74)

This new designation shall include among the pertinent materials the order of June 28, 1974, accepting for filing

tariff sheets filed 5/16/74, and suspending in part proposed changes in rate, granting intervention and establishing hearing procedures; the order of July 19, 1974, accepting for filing, making subject to refund proposed change in rates, consolidating proceedings, and prescribing procedures; the order of August 23, 1974, accepting for filing and making subject to refund proposed rate increase and consolidating proceedings; and the notice issued November 4, 1974, granting an extension of the procedural dates.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-859 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-91 (Phase II, etc.) (AP5/16/74)]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Further Extension of Procedural Dates

JANUARY 2, 1975.

On December 20, 1974, Southern Natural Gas Company filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued July 19, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued November 4, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Company, rebuttal, February 18, 1975.
Hearing, March 4, 1975 (10 a.m., e.d.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-860 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-40]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Proposed Changes in Gas Tariff

JANUARY 2, 1975.

Take notice that Southern Natural Gas Company, on December 16, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 3. Southern states that the proposed changes would increase revenues from off-system field sales and service by $1,553 based on an estimated sales volume for the twelve-month period succeeding the proposed effective date of February 1, 1975.

This filing is being made to reflect a contractual increase in price limited to the area rate adjusted for Btu and pressure base.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20425, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 10, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-838 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-64, PGA 75-2A]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Proposed Changes in Gas Tariff

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) on December 19, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, to become effective January 1, 1975, on or such later date as the Commission allows two pipeline suppliers to place increased rates into effect. Such filing is pursuant to section 17 (Purchased Gas Adjustment) of the General Terms and Conditions of Southern's FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1. The proposed change would increase the commodity and one-part rates. The increase is due solely to the tracking of two pipeline supplier increases with proposed effective dates of January 1, 1975.

Copies of the filing are being served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-847 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-91-15]

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE COMPANY

Order Granting Temporary Extraordinary Relief, Granting Interventions, Setting Formal Hearing, and Prescribing Procedures

JANUARY 2, 1975.

On November 15, 1974, Kerr-McGee Chemical Corporation (Kerr-McGee), the sole direct industrial customer of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco, Inc. (Tennessee) filed in Docket No. RP74-91-15, a petition for extraordinary relief pursuant to § 1.7 of the Commissions rules of practice and procedure and 2.78 of the Commission's rules and regulations requesting, (1) that the Commission order Tennessee to increase the curtailment period quantity entitlement (CPQE) for Kerr-McGee's Hamilton, Mississippi plant by at least 984 Mcf per day for 90–120 days, effective as of and since November 1, 1974, or until alternate fuel facilities are installed and operational; (2) that the relief requested herein be granted on a temporary basis pending final order or formal hearing; and (3) that the Commission further provide in any order issued herein that additional relief shall be available from Tennessee in the event that existing propane or natural gas deliveries to the Hamilton plant are further reduced.

Kerr-McGee states that the natural gas requested herein will be used to (1) generate steam for process purposes until alternate fuel facilities are installed and operational at the Hamilton plant,[1] and (2) to assure that all process gas requirements will be satisfied during the winter period.[2]

Kerr-McGee states that it was informed by letter of September 23, 1974, that its deliveries of natural gas would be curtailed by approximately 10% from September 23, 1974, to October 31, 1974, due to damage to Tennessee's facilities in the Gulf Coast area caused by hurricane Carmen. The September 23 letter stated however, that Kerr-McGee's November–December, 1974 CPQE would not be reduced, but would remain at the level fixed in a December 27, 1973, letter from Tennessee to Kerr-McGee (217,770 Mcf). On October 25, 1974, Tennessee informed Kerr-McGee that due to a supply deficiency its entitlement for the entire winter season (November 1, 1974–March 31, 1975) would be reduced to 267,270 Mcf or an average of 1,770 Mcf per day. However, on December 13, 1974, Kerr-McGee was advised by Tennessee that effective December 16, 1974, its CPQE would be reduced for the December 16, 1974–March 31, 1975, period to 78,519 Mcf, or an average of 700 Mcf per day. Kerr-McGee states it will thereby be curtailed 29% of its Priority 2 usage, and accordingly, requests in a letter of December 13, 1974, that the Commission issue an order granting its petition for relief, thereby enabling Kerr-McGee to continue the operation of its chemical plant.

In light of the additional curtailment imposed by Tennessee on December 16, 1974, it is apparent that the 984 Mcf requested by Kerr-McGee in its filing herein will be insufficient to allow it to continue operations at its Hamilton plant; we note in this regard that Kerr-McGee stated in its petition that the relief requested therein (984 Mcf) was the minimum required for continued plant operations and further was contingent upon no increase in curtailment by Tennessee, nor decrease in availability of alternate fuel. We are thus called upon, in

[1] Kerr-McGee estimates that the alternate fuel facilities will be installed and operational on 90–120 days.

[2] Kerr-McGee states that on a daily basis 1,770 Mcf are required for process needs and 984 Mcf are required to generate steam for process purposes.

this instance, to order Tennessee to supply to Kerr-McGee for 90–120 days, or until completion of alternate facilities, 2,754 Mcf per day, or 2,054 Mcf per day over Kerr-McGee's average daily allocation. In light of Kerr-McGee's reliance on Tennessee's representations up to October 25, 1974, that curtailment due to inadequate supply would not be necessary; the inadequate notification once the need for curtailment due to a supply deficiency was apparent; and the impossibility of installing alternate fuel facilities in time to continue operations at the Hamilton plant, we shall direct Tennessee to deliver to Kerr-McGee's Hamilton, Mississippi plant 2,754 Mcf per day for 90 days as of and since November 1, 1974, and 1,770 Mcf per day thereafter, pending further order of the Commission. Further, all volumes delivered to Kerr-McGee over and above its CPQE shall be subject to payback. We shall also order a formal hearing be convened to determine Kerr-McGee's long-term need for relief and its effect on the Tennessee system. Accordingly, Kerr-McGee is to present, at the hearing hereinafter ordered, testimony and evidence detailing the feasibility of installing and utilizing alternate fuel at the Hamilton plant, the availability of alternate fuel, etc. Tennessee is to show the immediate and long range effect of providing the relief ordered herein on its system; Tennessee is therefore to present the most recent data available regarding its present and future supply situation.

Timely petitions to intervene were filed by Tennessee, Equitable Gas Company, and Bay State Gas Company, et al.[a] An untimely petition to intervene and request for hearing was filed by General Motors Corporation (GM).

The Commission finds. (1) It is desirable and in the public interest to allow the above-named parties to intervene in the instant proceeding.

(2) That a formal hearing is necessary and proper in the instant proceeding and that the procedures hereinafter established are required for the hearing.

(3) That Tennessee should be directed and authorized to deliver to Kerr-McGee's Hamilton, Mississippi plant 2,754 Mcf per day for 90 days as of and since November 1, 1974, and 1,770 Mcf per day thereafter; all volumes delivered by Tennessee to Kerr-McGee over and above the effective CPQE shall be subject to payback.

[a] Bay State Gas Company filed a joint petition to intervene with, The Berkshire Gas Company, Blackstone Gas Company, Boston Gas Company, Commonwealth Gas Company, Concord Natural Gas Corporation, The Connecticut Gas Company, Connecticut Natural Gas Corporation, Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company, Gas Service, Inc., Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc., The Hartford Electric Light Company, Haverhill Gas Company, City of Holyoke, Massachusetts Gas and Electric Department, Lawrence Gas Company, Lowell Gas Company, Manchester Gas Company, The Southern Connecticut Gas Company, Valley Gas Company, City of Westfield Gas and Electric Light Department.

The Commission orders. (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act, a public hearing shall be held on February 12, 1975, at 10:00 a.m. in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

(B) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for this purpose (see Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5 (d)) shall preside at the hearing in these proceedings pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(C) The above-named parties are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in said petitions for leave to intervene: *And provided, further,* That the admission of said intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they might be aggrieved by any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(D) Kerr-McGee and Tennessee are hereby ordered and required to file their testimony and exhibits in response to, but not limited to the issues set out above on all parties, including Commission Staff on or before January 30, 1975.

(E) Tennessee is hereby ordered to deliver to Kerr-McGee's Hamilton, Mississippi chemical plant, 2,754 Mcf per day for 90 days, effective as of and since November 1, 1974, and 1,770 Mcf per day thereafter; all volumes delivered by Tennessee to Kerr-McGee over and above the effective CPQE shall be subject to payback.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-868 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-41 PGA 75-4A]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

Proposed Changes in Gas Tariff

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (TETCO) on December 27, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following sheets:

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 14
Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 14A
Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 14B
Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 14C
Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 14D

TETCO states that these sheets are issued pursuant to the purchased gas cost adjustment provision contained in section 23 of the General Terms and Conditions of TETCO's FPC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1 and that the change in TETCO rates proposed by this filing reflects a change in the cost of gas purchased by one of Texas Eastern's pipeline suppliers, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation. The proposed effective date of the above tariff sheets is February 1, 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20425, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 20, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD, *Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-848 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-184]

TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Application

JANUARY 2, 1975.

Take notice that on December 19, 1974, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP75-184 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, as implemented by § 157.7(d) of the Commission's Regulations thereunder (18 CFR 157.7(d)), for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction, during the 36-month period commencing August 28, 1974, and operation of certain natural gas facilities for the testing and development of underground storage reservoirs, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The purpose of this budget-type application is to augment Applicant's ability to engage in a continuing program of testing and developing reservoirs for the underground storage of natural gas for the benefit of its system operations and service to its customers. The application states that only gas available from Applicants system during off-peak periods would be used for testing.

Applicant states that the total volume of natural gas to be injected into the prospective storage fields will not exceed a total of 10,000,000 Mcf, with no more than 2,000,000 Mcf being injected into any single field, and that total expenditures for the proposed three-year project will not exceed $3,000,000 and will not exceed $1,000,000 in any one year. Applicant plans to finance these costs from funds on hand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 17, 1975, file with the Federal Power

Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-858 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-99]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Order Accepting for Filing and Making Effective Proposed Tariff Sheets Subject to Conditions

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 27, 1974, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing proposed tariff sheets[1] reflecting a curtailment plan for use during the period November 16, 1974, through November 15, 1975. The curtailment plan was originally filed as a proposed Settlement Agreement on September 30, 1974, and rejected by Commission order dated November 12, 1974, in this docket. By order issued November 26, 1974, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, in "Consolidated Edison v. F.P.C.", — U.S. App. D.C. —, — F.2d —, No. 73-1999, mandated that the proposed Settlement Agreement plan originally filed on September 30, 1974, be made effective as of November 16, 1974, as an interim curtailment plan pending further action by the Commission in Docket No. RP72-99.

Pursuant to the Court's mandate, we shall accept the proposed tariff sheets for filing to become effective November 16, 1974, subject to the Commission's determination by Order entered on November 12, 1974, in this docket that the curtailment rules therein contained are unjust, unreasonable, unlawful and unduly discriminatory.

This acceptance for filing is given only because of the mandate of the Court and shall not be construed as a waiver of the requirements of Sections 4 or 7 of the Natural Gas Act, as amended; nor shall it be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service contained in Transco's tariff; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against Transco.

The tariff sheets conditionally accepted hereby are to govern curtailment allocation until such time as further orders are entered by the Commission: *Provided, however,* That no change in rates or service may be effectuated pursuant to §§ 13.2(e)(ii), 13.2(e)(iii), 13.2(f)(i), 13.2(f)(ii); and paragraph 20 (as paragraph 20 relates to the aforementioned sections of paragraph 13) of Transco's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 without prior compliance with the requirements of section 4 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's regulations thereunder.

The Commission finds. The tariff sheets listed in Appendix A should be accepted for filing and made effective as of November 16, 1974, as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

The Commission orders. (A) The tariff sheets listed in Appendix A are accepted for filing to become effective November 16, 1974, pursuant to the Court's mandate in "Consolidated Edison v. F.P.C., — U.S. App. D.C. ——, — F.2d ——, No. 73-1999," issued November 26, 1974, but subject to the conditinos set forth in Ordering paragraphs (B) and (C) below and to the Commission's determination by Order entered on November 12, 1974, in this docket that the curtailment rules therein contained are unjust, unreasonable, unlawful and unduly discriminatory.

(B) This acceptance for filing is given only because of the mandate of the Court and shall not be construed as a waiver of the requirements of sections 4 or 7 of the Natural Gas Act, as amended; nor shall it be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service contained in Transco's tariff; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against Transco.

(C) The tariff sheets conditionally accepted hereby are to govern curtailment allocation until such time as further orders are entered by the Commission: *Provided, however,* That no change in rates or service may be effectuated pursuant to §§ 13.2(e)(ii), 13.2(e)(iii), 13.2(f)(i), 13.2(f)(ii); and paragraph 20 (as paragraph 20 relates to the aforementioned sections of Paragraph 13) of Transco's FPC Gas Tariff First Revised Volume No. 1 without prior compliance with the requirements of section 4 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's regulations thereunder.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

TRANSCONTINETAL GAS PIPE LINE CORPORATION

List of Tariff Sheets to FPC Gas Tariff

FIRST REVISED VOLUME NO. 1

First Revised Sheet No. 7
First Revised Sheet No. 8
First Revised Sheet No. 9
First Revised Sheet No. 10
First Revised Sheet No. 11
Third Revised Sheet No. 12
First Revised Sheet No. 17
Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 18
Second Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 20
First Revised Sheet No. 23
Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 24
Second Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 26
First Revised Sheet No. 32
First Revised Sheet No. 33
First Revised Sheet No. 81
First Revised Sheet No. 119
First Revised Sheet No. 136
Third Revised Sheet No. 138
Third Revised Sheet No. 139
Third Revised Sheet No. 140
Third Revised Sheet No. 141
Third Revised Sheet No. 142
Second Revised Sheet No. 143
Second Revised Sheet No. 144
Second Revised Sheet No. 145
Second Revised Sheet No. 146
First Revised Sheet No. 147
Original Sheet No. 147A
Original Sheet No. 147B
Original Sheet No. 147C
First Revised Sheet No. 150
First Revised Sheet No. 151
First Revised Sheet No. 152
First Revised Sheet No. 153
First Revised Sheet No. 154
Third Revised Sheet No. 155
Original Sheet No. 155A
Second Revised Sheet No. 158
Second Revised Sheet No. 159

ORIGINAL VOLUME NO. 2

Second Revised Sheet No. 1-A

[FR Doc.75-837 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[1] See Appendix A.

[Docket No. RP75-3]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Proposed Changes in Gas Tariff

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Take notice that Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), on December 23, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2. According to Transco, the proposed tariff sheets to become effective February 1, 1975, are as follows:

FIRST REVISED VOLUME NO. 1

Second Substitute Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 5
Substitute Eighth Revised Sheet No. 6
Reserved Sheet Nos. 13, 14, 15, and 16
Second Revised Sheet No. 17
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 18
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 19
Reserved Sheet Nos. 20, 21, and 22
Second Revised Sheet No. 23
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 24
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 25
Reserved Sheet Nos. 26, 27, and 28
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 148
Reserved Sheet No. 149

ORIGINAL VOLUME NO. 2

Substitute Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 52
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 121
Substitute Tenth Revised Sheet No. 321
Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 351
Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 416
Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 495

Transco states that such tariff sheets are those necessary to place its rates, suspended by Order issued August 30, 1974, in Docket Nos. RP74-48 and RP75-3, into effect at the end of the prescribed suspension period, updated to reflect the elimination of costs associated with facilities in Docket Nos. CP74-33 and CP75-8 as required by the aforesaid Order. It is further stated that the rates have been updated to reflect the effect of three tracking filings which have been made by Transco since the original filing in this docket.

Transco also states that copies of the filings have been mailed to each of its jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-850 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9200]

UPPER PENINSULA POWER CO.

Proposed Rate Change

JANUARY 6, 1975.

Take notice that on December 30, 1974, the Upper Peninsula Power Company (UPPCO) tendered for filing proposed changes in the rate schedules for service to the Alger-Delta Cooperative Electric Association, The Ontonagon County Rural Electrification Association, Village of Baraga, City of Gladstone, Village of L'Anse, City of Negaunee, and to the Wisconsin Michigan Power Company.

UPPCO asserts that the filing is in accordance with Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations and that the schedule in the rate filed will supersede the schedule presently on file with the Commission.

UPPCO states that the proposed changes would increase revenues from these jurisdictional sales by $287,079 based on the 12-month period ended July 31, 1974. UPPCO requests an effective date for the proposed increase of January 31, 1975.

Reasons stated by UPPCO for the increase are: (1) To overcome the revenue deficiency from this type of service occasioned by the continued inflationary impact on its costs during the 13-year period the existing rates have been in effect, and (2) to conform its fuel adjustment clause to current Commission policy.

Copies of the filing were served upon UPPCO's affected jurisdictional customers, and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 22, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-831 Filed 1-7-75;10:44 am]

[Docket No. E-9143]

WEST TEXAS UTILITIES CO.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase, Establishing Procedures, and Providing for the Filing of a Revised Fuel Clause

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 29, 1974, West Texas Utilities Company (West Texas) tendered for filing a proposed rate increase[1] for electric service to Gate City Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Gate City). The proposed increase would apply to all electric power and energy rendered to Gate City at its Childress, Texas, delivery point. West Texas contends that the proposed increase would produce additional revenues of $14,413, based on the twelve-month period ended June 30, 1974. The proposed rate would yield an overall rate of return of 8.46 per cent, including an 11.68 per cent return on common equity, according to the Company. The proposed effective date for the requested increase is January 1, 1975.

In support of the requested increase, West Texas states that all aspects of its business have been affected by inflation during the past few years. The Company states further that the increased cost of borrowed money and "added environmental costs built into all new plant construction" have necessitated the instant filing.

Notice of West Texas' filing was issued on December 5, 1974, with comments, protests or petitions to intervene due on or before December 17, 1974. No comments, protests or petitions were received.

Our review of West Texas's filing indicates that certain issues raised therein may require development in evidentiary proceedings. The proposed increase in rates and charges has not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall suspend the proposed rate increase for one day until January 2, 1975, and establish hearing procedures to determine the justness and reasonableness of the proposed rates and charges.

We note that West Texas's proposed fuel adjustment clause is not consistent with Opinion No. 633 in that it imputes the Company's own fuel cost variations to its purchased energy. Therefore, the fuel clause is subject to suspension since it may result in rates that are not just and reasonable. Accordingly, we shall provide for the filing, within 30 days of the issuance of this order, of a revised fuel adjustment clause conforming to Opinion No. 633. In lieu of filing a fuel clause conforming to Opinion No. 633, West Texas may file, within 30 days, a clause conforming to the new fuel clause regulations set forth in Order No. 517.[2] Upon the filing of a revised fuel clause in compliance with either Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517, we shall lift the suspension as it relates to the fuel clause, make the revised fuel clause effective as of January 2, 1975, terminate West Texas's refund obligation with respect to the fuel clause and order such interim refunds as may be required.

---

[1] Designated as West Texas Utilities Company Rate Schedule FPC No. 22, superseding Rate Schedule FPC No. 11.

[2] See: Ordering Paragraph (B) of Order No. 517, issued November 13, 1974, in Docket No. R-479.

The Commission finds. (1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges contained in West Texas' proposed filing in this docket and that the tendered rate schedule be suspended as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders. (A) Pending a hearing and a decision thereon, West Texas' proposed changes in its rates and charges, tendered on November 29, 1974, are accepted for filing and suspended for one day, the use thereof deferred until January 2, 1975.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly section 205 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the Regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR Ch. I), a public hearing shall be held on May 27, 1975, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges contained in West Texas' instant rate filing.

(C) On or before February 12, 1975, West Texas shall file its direct evidentiary case. On or before April 15, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. Any intervenor evidence will be filed on or before April 29, 1975. Any rebuttal evidence by West Texas shall be served on or before May 13, 1975.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(E) Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, West Texas shall file a revised fuel adjustment clause conforming to either Opinion No. 633 or Order No. 517, as set forth above. Upon the filing of a revised fuel clause conforming to either said Opinion or said Order, we shall lift the suspension as it relates to the fuel clause, make the revised fuel clause effective as of January 2, 1975, terminate West Texas's refund obligation with respect to the fuel clause, and order such interim refunds as may be required.

(F) Nothing contained herein shall be construed as limiting the rights of parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of settlement pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(G) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-855 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-16-6]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Petition for Extraordinary Relief

JANUARY 8, 1975.

Take notice that on December 31, 1974, the City of Danville, Virginia (Danville), Danville, Virginia 24541, filed in Docket No. RP75-16-6 a petition pursuant to section 4 of the Natural Gas Act and § 2.78 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.78) for extraordinary relief from natural gas curtailment imposed by its sole supplier Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Danville requests relief as of January 1, 1975, from a curtailment of which it claims to been notified on December 27, 1974. Danville alleges that if such relief is not granted as requested the immediate result would be severe unemployment. Danville claims that the economic welfare of its citizens is almost totally dependent upon four industrial facilities, Dan River, Inc., U.S. Gypsum Company, Corning Glass Works, and Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company, all of which require natural gas for processing. The four industries are said to employ about 10,700 people in a city with a populace of about 47,000.

Danville states that due to a prior curtailment the firm industrial process gas of the aforesaid four industries is the lowest priority presently being served on its system.[1] Danville further states that according to Transco's communication of December 27, 1974, gas supply curtailment would be increased from approximately 44 percent to 55 percent of Danville's contract amount (from 2,213,000 Mcf of gas to 1,747,000 Mcf of gas). Under such curtailment Danville predicts that its entire supply of natural gas including storage (except for about 4.5 percent reserve for severe weather) will be utilized by residential customers and others in higher priorities than the four industries even if this winter is mild. As a result, Danville maintains it will have no gas available to the four industries, which have a minimum composite winter seasonal requirement of 247,800 Mcf of gas, and they will be forced to shut down either totally or partially, leaving up to 9,452 people unemployed within two weeks of curtailment initiation.

In a self-help effort, Danville claims to be seeking additional gas from Transco, from other gas suppliers, and through diversion from higher priorities. Danville further claims to be seeking propane for its peak shaving plant. In addition, Danville represents that it has imposed a moratorium on natural gas use in new homes and businesses and has stepped up its public conservation campaign.

Danville states that it faces the choice of serving residential and other high priority uses at the risk of massive unemployment or gambling the safety and security of family residents by continuing to serve the industries. To avoid the necessity to make such a choice Danville requests an emergency allocation from January 1 to April 15, 1975, of 247,800 Mcf of gas above the curtailment proposed by Transco for the following:

Dan River for high temperature fabric processing, curing and finishing:
7,000 thousand cubic feet per week.
30,100 thousand cubic feet per month.
Corning Glass Works for glass melting, annealing, and finishing:
4,900 thousand cubic feet per week.
21,070 thousand cubic feet per month.
U.S. Gypsum for preheating hardboard mat and tempering hardboard:
2,200 thousand cubic feet per week.
9,600 thousand cubic feet per month.
Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company for manufacture of tires:
2,400 thousand cubic feet per week.
10,320 thousand cubic fet per month.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to provide a shortened period for the filing of petitions to intervene and protests.

Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before January 20, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-980 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI62-72, etc.]

CERTIFICATES, ABANDONMENT OF SERVICE AND PETITIONS TO AMEND CERTIFICATES[1]

Applications

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that each of the Applicants listed herein has filed an application or petition pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to sell natural gas in interstate commerce or to abandon service as described herein, all as more fully described in the respective applications and amendments which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

[1] Danville states that its curtailment program is identical to that of the Commission.

[1] This notice does not provide for consolidation for hearing of the several matters covered herein.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before January 15, 1975, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on all applications in which no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein if the Commission on its own review of the matter believes that a grant of the certificates or the authorization for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. Where a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or where the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per Mcf	Pressure base
C162-72..... D 11-29-74	Cities Service Oil Co., P.O. Box 300, Tulsa, Okla. 74102.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Camrick Unit, Texas and Beaver Counties, Okla.	Uneconomical	.....
C165-735..... C 12-4-74	Exxon Corp., P.O. Box 2180, Houston, Tex. 77001.	Cities Service Gas Co., Waynoka Field, Woods County, Okla.	[1] 50.0	14.65
C173-687..... C 11-22-74	Sun Oil Co., P.O. Box 2880, Dallas, Tex. 75221.	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Spraberry (Dean Formation) Field, Reagan County, Tex.	[2] 46.225	14.65
C174-223..... D 12-9-74	Devon Corp., 3300 Liberty Tower, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Elk District, Kanawha County, W. Va.	Depleted	.....
C174-396..... (C872-730) F 1-15-74 [3]	Union Texas Petroleum, a division of Allied Chemical Corp. (successor to Southern Hydrocarbons Production Co., Inc.), P.O. Box 2120, Houston, Tex. 77001.	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp., Orange Grove Field, Terrebonne Parish, La.	[1] [2] 50.0196	15.025
C174-704..... A 6-10-74	Phillips Petroleum Co. (operator), Bartlesville, Okla. 74004.	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., Anadarko Basin Area, Woodward County, Okla.	[4] 40.0	14.65
C175-283..... (G-3913) F 11-1-74	C. E. Richner, agent for R. E. Riley and Co. (successor to Ashland Oil, Inc.), drawer 310, Pineville, W. Va. 24874.	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Millers Creek Field, Pike County, Ky.	30.0	15.325
C175-357..... A 12-3-74	Lone Star Producing Co., 301 South Harwood St., Dallas, Tex. 75201.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, San Martine Field, Reeves County, Tex.	[1] 43.183	14.65
C175-358..... A 11-29-74	Monsanto Co., 5051 Westheimer, 1300 Post Oak Tower, Houston, Tex. 77027.	United Gas Pipe Line Co., West Bryceland Field, Bienville Parish, La.	[5] 50.86	15.025
C175-359 (C166-578) B 12-2-74	Larlo Oil & Gas Co., 301 South Market St., Wichita, Kans. 67202.	Cities Service Gas Co., Nurse Northeast Field, Barber County, Kans.	Depleted	.....
C175-361 12-9-74	Atlantic Richfield Co. (successor to National Bank of Tulsa, executor of the estate of James A. Chapman, deceased), P.O. Box 2819, Dallas, Tex. 75221.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Evetts-Haley and Crittendon Fields, Loving and Winkler Counties, Tex.	[1] [6] 23.0 [1] [7] 46.24 [1] [8] 47.31	14.65 14.65 14.65
C175-362..... (G-7545) B 12-3-74	Spartan Gas Co., 518 Kanawha Valley Bldg., Charleston, W. Va. 25301.	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Brown Shale, Lincoln County, W. Va.	Acreage sold to purchaser.	.....
C175-363..... (C169-269) B 12-3-74	Spartan Gas Co	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Rocky Fork, Poca District, Kanawha County, W. Va.	do	.....
C175-364..... (CI70-822) B 12-3-74	do	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., Elk District, Kanawha County, W. Va.	Water encroachment.	.....
C175-366..... (G-7547) B 12-3-74	do	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., Banks District, Upshur County, W. Va.	Depleted	.....

Filing code: A—Initial service.
B—Abandonment.
C—Amendment to add acreage.
D—Amendment to delete acreage.
E—Succession.
F—Partial succession.

See footnotes at end of table.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per Mcf	Pressure base
CI75-367 A 12-6-74	Pennzoil Co., 900 Southwest Tower, Houston, Tex. 77002.	El Paso Natural Gas Co., South Carlsbad Field, Eddy County, N. Mex.	[1] [2] 71.60	14.73
CI75-368 A 12-9-74	Cabot Corp., P.O. Box 1101, Pampa, Tex. 79065.	Northern Natural Gas Co., Hemphill Granite Wash Field, Hemphill County, Tex.	[11] 52.0	14.65
CI75-369 A 12-9-74	....do	....do	[11] 52.0	14.65
CI75-370 A 12-9-74	....do	....do	[11] 52.0	14.65

[1] Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment.
[2] Includes 3.225 cents per Mcf tax reimbursement and is subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment.
[3] Being renoticed, because by amended application filed Dec. 12, 1974, Applicant requests a higher price.
[4] Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment; estimated adjustment is 4.32 cents per Mcf. Applicant states that the gas sales contract provides that if the Federal Power Commission shall establish an applicable area price higher than the price provided by contract, the contract price shall be increased in accordance with such order establishing such area price.
[5] Subject to downward Btu adjustment.
[6] Rate for gas covered by contracts prior to Oct. 1, 1968.
[7] Rate for gas from wells from effective date until Jan. 1, 1975.
[8] Rate for gas from wells after Jan. 1, 1975.
[9] Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment; upward adjustment is 0.15 cents per Mcf.
[10] Applicant is willing to accept a certificate in accordance with Opinion No. 699.
[11] Subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment; estimated initial upward adjustment is 11.5 cents per Mcf.

[FR Doc.75-479 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-77, etc.]

JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

DECEMBER 20, 1974.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds. It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders. (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR, Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf * Rate in effect	Cents per Mcf * Proposed increased rate	Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
RI75-77	Gulf Oil Corp.	174	12	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Dry Piney Area, Sublette County, Wyo.) (Rocky Mountain Area).	$1,464	11-20-74	.....	6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.0	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-88.
RI75-78	Union Oil Co. of California	89	8	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Moncrief Federal No. 1-22 Gas Unit, San Juan County, N. Mex.) (San Juan Basin Sub Area) (Rocky Mountain Area).	933	11-25-74	.....	6-1-75	[1] 24.9805	[1] 25.4816	RI74-131.
	....do	170	7	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Dakota B Zone of 29-6 Unit, Rio Arriba County, N. Mex.) (San Juan Basin Sub Area) (Rocky Mountain Area).	208	11-25-74	.....	6-1-75	[1] 24.9810	[1] 25.4810	RI74-131.
RI75-79	Gulf Oil Corp.	138	15	West Texas Gathering Co., (Kermit South Devonian Field, Winkler County, Tex.) (Permian Basin).	3,654	11-20-74	.....	6-1-75	[4] 30.7097	[4] 31.7987	RI74-88.
RI75-80	Texas Pacific Oil Co., Inc.	65	[3] 9	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Halley South Field, Winkler County, Tex.) (Permian Basin).	.....	11-25-74	12-26-74	[5] Accepted	.....	.....	
	....do		10	....do	174,753	11-25-74	.....	5-26-75	[4] 20.3450	[4] 47.2301	

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 15.025 lb/in²a.
[1] Base rate—subject to applicable taxes and Btu adjustment.
[2] Rate includes 1 cent for high pressure gas.
[3] Letter agreement dated Oct. 30, 1974.
[4] The pressure base is 14.65 lb/in²a.
[5] Accepted, for filing as of the date set forth in the "Effective Date Unless Suspended" column.

The proposed rate increases exceed the area ceiling rate set forth in Opinion Nos. 662 and 658, as applicable, and are suspended for five months.

In regard to any sale of natural gas for which the proposed increased rate is filed under the provisions of Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974, in Docket No. R-389-B, no part of the proposed rate increase above the prior applicable area ceiling rate may be made effective until the seller submits a statement in writing demonstrating that Opinion No. 699-H is applicable to the particular increased rate filing, in whole or in part. The proposed increased rates for which such support shall have been satisfactorily demonstrated on or before January 31, 1975, will be made effective as of June 21, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-485 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI75-81]

JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Change in Rate, and Allowing Rate Change To Become Effective Subject to Refund

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Respondent has filed a proposed change in rate and charge for the jurisdictional sale of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rate and charge may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing regarding the lawfulness of the proposed change, and that the supplement herein be suspended and its use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders: (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed change.

(B) Pending hearing and decision thereon, the rate supplement herein is suspended and its use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. This supplement shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplement, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of this proceeding or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf*		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI75-81	Amoco Production Co.	193	21	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$53,130	11-25-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	195	41	do	50,600	11-25-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	199	30	do	27,830	11-26-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	233	18	do	25,300	11-26-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	302	23	do	12,650	11-26-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	320	11	do	380	11-26-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	363	44	do	683,100	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI94-105.
	do	397	18	do	7,590	11-26-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	405	9	do	13,600	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	411	14	do	93,610	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	467	14	Southern Union Gathering Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	6,133	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-262.
	do	468	8	do	5,352	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-262.
	do	469	14	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	3,795	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	484	12	do	380	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	485	14	do	380	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	497	25	do	380	11-25-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	498	30	do	759	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	499	22	do	3,795	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	500	19	do	2,783	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	535	12	do	374	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-105.
	do	566	11	do	1,673	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.5	[1] [2] 25	RI74-262.

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 14.73 lb/in²a.

[1] For gas delivered from wells completed prior to June 1, 1970, and covered by Opinion No. 658.

[2] Base rate subject to applicable taxes and Btu adjustment from a base of 1,000 Btu.

Amoco's proposed rate increases exceed the applicable area ceiling rate in Opinion No. 658 and are suspended for five months.

In regard to any sale of natural gas for which the proposed increased rate is filed under the provisions of Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974, in Docket No. R-389-B, no part of the proposed rate increase above the prior applicable area ceiling rate may be made effective until the seller submits a statement in writing demonstrating that Opinion No. 699-H is applicable to the particular increased rate filing, in whole or in part. The proposed increased rates for which such support shall have been satisfactorily demonstrated on or before January 31, 1975, will be made effective as of June 21, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-484 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-94, etc.]

JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds. It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders. (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until	Cents per Mcf*		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI75-94	PWG partnership	1	19	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$1,158	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 24.5	[2] 25.0	RI74-99.
	do	2	11	do	13	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 24.5	[2] 25.0	RI74-99.
	do	4	8	El Paso Natural Gas Co. and Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	9	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 24.5	[2] 25.0	RI74-99.
	do	5	13	do	702	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 24.5	[2] 25.0	RI74-99.
RI75-95	Skelly Oil Co	156	9	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).		11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [5] 26.9314	[3] [5] 27.4368	RI74-132.
RI75-96	Beta Development Co	1	20	El Paso Natural Gas Co. and Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	[4] 31,829 [2] 2,895	12- 9-74 12- 9-74		6-9-75 6-9-75	[4] [6] 29.1614 [2] [6] 31.2017	[4] [6] 29.6614 [2] [6] 31.7017	RI74-140. RI74-140.

*Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 14.73 lb/in²a.
[1] Inclusive of tax and Btu adjustment.
[2] Plus applicable tax and Btu adjustment.
[3] Subject to applicable Btu adjustment.
[4] Applica ble to gas production from wells completed prior to June 1, 1970.
[5] Applicable to gas production from wells completed on or subsequent to June 1, 1970.
[6] The pressure base is 15.025 lb/in²a.

The proposed rate increases exceed the applicable area ceiling in Opinion No. 658 and they are suspended for five months from the expiration of the 30 day statutory notice period.

In regard to any sale of natural gas for which the proposed increased rate is filed under the provisions of Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974, in Docket No. R-389-B, no part of the proposed rate increase above the prior applicable area ceiling rate may be made effective until the seller submits a statement in writing demonstrating that Opinion No. 699-H is applicable to the particular increased rate filing, in whole or in part. The proposed increased rates for which such support shall have been satisfactorily demonstrated on or before January 31, 1975, will be made effective as of June 21, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-481 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-88, RI75-89]

JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds. It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders. (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf*		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
R175-88	Northwest Production Corp.	1	[4] 87	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	[1] $30,368	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [3] 24.98	[1] [5] 25.48	R174-100.
	do			do	[2] 167	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] [3] 28.5	[2] [3] 29.0	R174-100.
	do	3	8	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rockey Mountain Area).	3:3	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 24.98	[2] 25.48	R174-100.
	do	4	16	do	[1] 31	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [3] 24.98	[1] [3] 25.48	R174-100.
	do			do	(3)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] [3] 28.5	[2] [3] 29.0	R174-100.
	do	5	16	do	[1] 608	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [3] 24.98	[1] [3] 25.48	R174-100.
	do			do	(3)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] [3] 28.5	[2] [3] 29.0	R174-100.
	do	6	16	do	[1] 577	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [3] 24.98	[1] [3] 25.48	R174-100.
	do			do	(3)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] [3] 28.5	[2] [3] 29.0	R174-100.
R175-89	Burmah Oil and Gas Co.	8	10	Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	90,901	11-29-74		6-2-75	[1] [6] 31.01	[1] [6] 34.015	

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 15.025 lb/in [2]a.
[1] Suspended in Docket No. RI75-8.
[2] Base rate-exclusive of applicable taxes and Btu adjustment.
[3] Applicable to gas from wells completed on or after June 1, 1970.
[4] Covers sales in New Mexico and Colorado.
[5] Applicable to gas from wells completed prior to June 1, 1970.
[6] The pressure base is 14.73 lb/in [2]a.

The proposed rate increases exceed the applicable area ceiling rate in Opinion No. 658 and they are suspended for five months from the respective proposed effective dates.

In regard to any sale of natural gas for which the proposed increased rate is filed under the provisions of Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974, in Docket No. R-389-B, no part of the proposed rate increase above the prior applicable area ceiling rate may be made effective until the seller submits a statement in writing demonstrating that Opinion No. 699-H is applicable to the particular increased rate filing, in whole or in part. The proposed increased rates for which such support shall have been satisfactorily demonstrated on or before January 31, 1975, will be made effective as of June 21, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-482 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-90, etc.]

JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds. It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders. (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements shall become effective, subject to refund, as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf*		Rate in effect subject to refund in dockets Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
R175-90	Tenneco Oil Co	1	11	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$111	11-27-74		6-1-75	27.0414	27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	17	12	do	217	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-74	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	26	11	do	318	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	37	12	do	106	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	38	12	do	318	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	39	12	do	451	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	45	14	do	9,545	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	47	12	do	90	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	51	12	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$5	11-27-74		5-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	121	12	do	2,519	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	124	13	do	2,541	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	126	11	do	106	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	142	15	do	40,781	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0306	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-146.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-130.
	do	144	15	do	186	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0306	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-83.
	do	151	12	do	19	11-27-74		5-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	152	12	do	424	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	161	18	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$42	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	163	13	do	5	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	164	10	do	98	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 25.0157	[1] [2] 25.5062	R174-125.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.5000	[3] [4] 29.0260	R174-86.
	do	172	10	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	292	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	176	30	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	14,583	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-153.
	do			do	( )	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8153	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	180	10	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	680	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	196	10	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	34	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 25.0157	[1] [2] 25.5062	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.5000	[3] [4] 29.0260	R174-86.
	do	198	9	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	3,367	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	203	8	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	80	11-27-74		5-1-75	[2] 27.0414	[2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do	223	17	do	$7,955	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-153.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	225	9	do	345	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	228	9	do	186	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	230	11	do	265	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	257	12	do	64	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do	(2)	11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
	do	21	12	do	265	11-27-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 27.0414	[1] [2] 27.5717	R174-126.
	do			do		11-27-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8152	[3] [4] 29.3207	R174-86.
R175-91	Mobil Oil Corporation	199	[1] 12	do	44	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9266	[4] 27.4321	R174-117.
	do	200	[1] 16	do	48	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9266	[4] 27.4321	R174-117.
	do	361	[1] 17	do	176	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.2095	[4] 27.0016	
	do	446	[1] 11	do	64	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 27.0119	[4] 27.5173	R174-117.
	do	427	[1] 22	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	527	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 27.0	[4] 27.5071	R174-117.
	do	314	[1] 21	do	1,360	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9487	[4] 27.4541	R174-117.
	do	370	[1] 11	do	1,112	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9415	[4] 27.447	R174-117.
	do	422	[1] 10	do	216	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9415	[4] 27.447	R174-117.
	do	38	[1] 24	do	16,701	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9336	[4] 27.4391	R174-117.
	do	313	[1] 18	do	727	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.1721	[4] 26.6721	R174-179.
R175-92	Northern Natural Gas Producing Co.	25	[1] 27	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	30	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9714	[4] 27.4769	R174-118.
	do	26	[1] 26	do	467	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9714	[4] 27.4769	R174-118.
	do	27	[1] 27	do	553	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9714	[4] 27.4769	R174-118.
	do	30	[1] 13	do	294	11-29-74		6-1-75	[4] 26.9415	[4] 27.447	R174-118.

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate sched. No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf*		Rate in effect subject to refund in dockets Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
R175-93	Continental Oil Co.	198	[1] 19	do	2,074	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[3] 20	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	199	[1] 14	do	558	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do	208	[1] 20	do	4,419	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do	231	[1] 17	do	231	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 18	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	257	[3] 20	Southern Union Gathering Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$54,137	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-130.
	do	266	[1] 13	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	3	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 14	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.3197	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	267	[1] 13	do	177	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 14	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	277	[1] 18	do	137	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[3] 19	do	15	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	278	[1] 12	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 13	do	(4)	11-29-74		1-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	242	[1] 17	do	39	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 18	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	259	[1] 19	do	773	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[7] 20	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R171-178.
	do	279	[1] 13	do	15	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 14	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	280	[1] 9	do	94	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 25.0157	[2] 25.5262	R174-178.
	do		[5] 10	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.5285	[2] 29.0290	R174-178.
	do	287	[1] 13	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	5628	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 14	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	295	[1] 12	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	828	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 13	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	296	[1] 23	do	7,390	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 24	do	9,596	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	309	[1] 11	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	4,066	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 12	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	332	[1] 12	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	6,699	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 13	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	334	[1] 12	do	73	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 13	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	336	[1] 15	do	5,489	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 16	do	(4)	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	339	[1] 15	do	908	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 16	do	665	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	340	[1] 12	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$156	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.
	do		[5] 13	do	101	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 28.8117	[2] 29.3197	R174-178.
	do	389	[1] 7	do	147	11-29-74		6-1-75	[2] 26.9985	[2] 27.5171	R174-178.

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 15.025 lb/in²a.
[1] Applies to wells completed before June 1, 1970.
[2] Subject to Btu adjustment below 1,000 and above 1,050.
[3] Applies to wells completed after June 1, 1970.
[4] Subject to Btu adjustment above or below 1,000.
[5] Not available.
[6] No current production.
[7] Does not apply to gas from wells completed on leases added by Supplement Nos. 6 and 8.
[8] Includes applicable tax and Btu adjustments.

The proposed rate increases exceed the applicable area ceiling rate in Opinion No. 658, and they are suspended for five months.

In regard to any sale of natural gas for which the proposed increased rate is filed under the provisions of Opinion No. 699–H, issued December 4, 1974, in Docket No. R–389–B, no part of the proposed rate increase above the prior applicable area ceiling rate may be made effective until the seller submits a statement in writing demonstrating that Opinion No. 699–H is applicable to the particular increased rate filing, in whole or in part. The proposed increased rates for which such support shall have been satisfactorily demonstrated on or before January 31, 1975, will be made effective as of June 21, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-460 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RI75-86, RI75-87]

JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Rate Changes To Become Effective Subject to Refund [1]

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Respondents have filed proposed changes in rates and charges for jurisdictional sales of natural gas, as set forth in Appendix A below.

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders: (A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspended Until" column. Each of these supplements shall become effective, subject to refund,

as of the expiration of the suspension period without any further action by the Respondent or by the Commission. Each Respondent shall comply with the refunding procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder.

(C) Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period, whichever is earlier.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX A

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until	Cents per Mcf* Rate in effect	Cents per Mcf* Proposed increased rate	Rate in effect subject to refund in docket No.
RI75-86	Texaco, Inc.	197	15	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	$1,150	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.98	[1] [2] 25.48	RI74-84.
	do			do		11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.5	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-84.
	do	346	12	do	900	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] 24.98	[1] 25.48	RI74-133.
	do	347	10	do	25	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.98	[1] [2] 25.48	RI74-82.
	do			do		11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.5	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-82.
	do	210	9	do	50	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] 24.98	[1] 25.48	RI74-84.
	do	211	22	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	17,960	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] 25.48	[1] 26.48	RI74-133.
	do	340	12	do	750	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.98	[1] [2] 25.48	RI74-84.
	do			do		11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.5	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-84.
	do	324	15	Southern Union Gathering Co. (Rocky Mountain Area).	5,925	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.98	[1] [2] 25.48	RI74-252.
	do			do		11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.5	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-252.
	do	200	18	El Paso Natural Gas Co. & Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Rocky Mountain Area).	2,259	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.98	[1] [2] 25.48	RI74-84.
	do			do		11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.5	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-84.
	do	341	19	do	7,500	11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 24.98	[1] [2] 25.48	RI74-84.
	do			do		11-29-74		6-1-75	[1] [2] 28.5	[1] [2] 29.0	RI74-84.
RI75-87	Skelly Oil Co.	144	18	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (San Juan Basin Area, San Juan County, N. Mex.) (San Juan Basin Sub Area) (Rocky Mountain Area).		11-25-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.8117	[3] [4] 29.3172	RI74-132.
	do			do		11-25-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 26.9314	[3] [4] 27.4368	RI74-132.
	do	211	17	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Ignacio-Blanco Field, La Plata County, Colo.) (San Juan Basin Sub Area) (Rocky Mountain Area).	$238	11-25-74		6-1-75	[3] [4] 25.0	[3] [4] 25.5	RI74-132.
	do			do		11-25-75		6-1-75	[3] [4] 28.5	[3] [4] 29.0	RI74-132.
	do	215	8	Northwest Pipeline Corp. (San Juan Basin Area, San Juan County, N. Mex. and La Plata County, Colo.) (San Juan Basin Sub Area) (Rocky Mountain Area).	277	11-25-74		6-1-75	[3] [5] [6] 26.9314	[3] [5] [6] 27.4368	RI74-132.
	do			do		11-25-74		6-1-75	[3] [5] [6] 28.8117	[3] [5] [6] 29.3172	RI74-132.
	do			do	42	11-25-74		6-1-75	[3] [5] 25.0	[3] [5] 25.5	RI74-132.

* Unless otherwise stated, the pressure base is 15.025 lb/in²a.
[1] Subject to applicable tax and Btu adjustment.
[2] Applicable to gas produced from wells completed prior to June 1, 1970.
[3] Applicable to gas produced from wells completed on or after June 1, 1970.
[4] Subject to applicable Btu adjustment.
[5] Colorado production.
[6] New Mexico production.

The proposed rate increases exceed the applicable area ceiling rate in Opinion No. 658, and they are suspended for five months.

In regard to any sale of natural gas for which the proposed increased rate is filed under the provisions of Opinion No. 699-H, issued December 4, 1974, in Docket No. R-389-B, no part of the proposed rate increase above the prior applicable area ceiling rate may be made effective until the seller submits a statement in writing demonstrating that Opinion No. 699-H is applicable to the particular increased rate filing, in whole or in part. The proposed increased rates for which such support shall have been satisfactorily demonstrated on or before January 31, 1975, will be made effective as of June 21, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-483 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

BANKSHARES OF INDIANA, INC.

Order Approving Acquisition of Goodwin Brothers Leasing, Inc.

Bankshares of Indiana, Inc., Merrillville, Indiana, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval, under section 4(c)(8) of the Act and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, to acquire[1] all of the assets of Goodwin Brothers Leasing, Inc., Lexington, Kentucky ("Company"), a company that engages in the activities of leasing on a full-payout basis real and personal property and commercial financing. Such activities have been determined by the Board to be closely related to banking (12 CFR 225.4(a)(1) and 6(a) and (b)).

In an Order dated November 19, 1974 (39 FR 41585), the Board denied Applicant's application to acquire Company. In its Order, the Board indicated that, while the acquisition of Company would initially improve the capital position of Applicant's subsidiary bank somewhat, the capital improvement would be only temporary and at some future time Applicant would be called upon to provide additional funds to expand or to support Company's leasing activities. Subsequently, Applicant filed a Request for Reconsideration stating that during the period its application was on file with the Board, it revised its purpose for the acquisition of Company as a result of the changed economic environment. Applicant states that it now views Company as a means to increase, by nearly $2 million, the capital of Applicant's subsidiary bank and intends to "run off" the assets of Company. However, such intentions were never communicated to the Reserve Bank or to the Board and were not presented to the Board for its consideration. Furthermore, Applicant now commits to the Board that it will sell Company's assets and engage in leasing activities only on a *de novo* basis subject to the Board's prior approval, and that Company will no longer enter into commercial loan transactions. By Order dated December 9, 1974, the Board granted Applicant's request for reconsideration.

Notice of the Board's action granting Applicant's request for reconsideration of the denial of the application to acquire Company has been given (39 FR 43336). The time for filing comments and views has expired. The Board has reconsidered this application, all original and supplementary materials received in connection therewith and all comments received in light of the public interest factors set forth in section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)).

Applicant controls one bank, Bank of Indiana, N. A. ("Bank"), Gary, Indiana,

[1] Upon acquisition of Company, Applicant proposes to transfer the assets and liabilities of Company to a wholly-owned operating subsidiary of Applicant's banking subsidiary (See 12 CFR 7.7376 and 7.7380).

with deposits of $155 million, which represent about 1 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in Indiana. Applicant is the fifteenth largest banking organization in the State.[3]

Company, organized in 1957, has total assets of $7.2 million and total lease receivables of $5.7 million.[4] Company is primarily engaged in leasing personal property on a full-payout basis; Company also presently engages in leasing real property. Company leases construction equipment, restaurant and grocery equipment, furniture and fixtures, vehicles and dairy cows. With offices in Lexington and Louisville, Kentucky, and in Nashville and Memphis, Tennessee, Company serves portions of Tennessee, Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, West Virginia, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi and Arkansas. Company competes with numerous national and regional lessors and is regarded as a minor competitive factor in each of the markets in which it operates. Applicant does not engage in any leasing activity directly or indirectly and there is no evidence in the record that, absent this proposal, Applicant would be likely to engage *de novo* in leasing operations in the near future.

Company also engages in commercial lending activities incidental to its leasing operations and, in 1973, Company had commercial finance receivables of $762,000. This activity is primarily conducted from the Lexington office and is engaged in for a limited number of customers. Thus, Company appears to be a relatively minor competitive factor in the commercial lending market that it serves. Company does not derive any of its commercial loans from Bank's market area and it appears that Bank and Company are not significant competitors.

On the basis of the above and other facts of record, it appears to the Board that acquisition by Applicant of Company would not result in the elimination of significant existing competition in any relevant area. Moreover, with respect to potential competition, in view of the relatively small size of Company in the leasing and commercial finance markets in which it operates, Company's limited share of those markets, and the large number of competitors operating in those markets, approval of this application would not have a significant adverse effect on potential competition.

In its order denying the acquisition of Company, the Board cited as a basis for its denial the fact that Applicant experienced significant growth in its assets over the past few years and that such growth has not been accompanied by an equivalent increase in Applicant's equity base. Further, although Applicant's overall capital position in relation to its total assets had improved somewhat, it was the Board's judgment that further augmentation was needed. The Board concluded that the proposal would detract from Applicant's overall financial condition and reduce its ability to provide additional financial support to its subsidiary bank. Accordingly, the Board denied the application.

In connection with its Request for Reconsideration, Applicant has provided information that was not previously available to the Board at the time of its earlier consideration of the proposal. Applicant states that it intends to use the assets of Company for the purpose of increasing the capital position of Bank and that it does not propose to enlarge the leasing activities of Company; instead, Applicant intends to "run off" the assets of Company. In addition, Applicant states it is now willing to commit to the Board that it will sell Company's assets and engage in leasing activities only on a *de novo* basis subject to the Board's prior approval. Applicant further commits itself and Company not to enter into any commercial loan financing transactions; that Company will collect the outstanding leases as they mature in the Lexington, Kentucky office or at an office of Bank; and, that Company will discontinue doing business in Memphis, Nashville, and Louisville.

Under the present structure of Applicant's proposal, capital will be provided to Bank and the likelihood of further demands upon Applicant for funds to enable Company to expand its operations has been eliminated. Accordingly, the adverse factors found by the Board to require denial of the original application have been corrected. It is the Board's view that approval of the present application will result in benefits to the public which will outweigh any possible adverse effects of the proposal since the overall financial condition of Applicant and Bank will be strengthened.

There is no evidence in the record indicating that consummation of the proposed acquisition would result in an undue concentration of resources, unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices, or other adverse effects.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record,[4] the Board has determined that the balance of the public interest factors the Board is required to consider under section 4(c)(8) is favorable. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. This determination is subject to the condition that Applicant fully comply with the above-mentioned commitments. This determination is further subject to the conditions set forth in § 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of the holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof. The acquisition of Company shall be made no later than three months after the effective date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[5]

effective December 31, 1974.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-916 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[3] Banking data are as of December 31, 1973.
[4] Company data are as of March 31, 1974.

[4] Dissenting Statement of Governors Wallich and Coldwell filed as part of the original document. Copies available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

[5] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Sheehan and Holland. Voting against this action: Governors Wallich and Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Bucher.

GOOSE RIVER HOLDING CO.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Goose River Holding Company, Mayville, North Dakota, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 93.1 per cent or more of the voting shares of The Goose River Bank, Mayville, North Dakota. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 to be received not later than February 4, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 3, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-917 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ROSELAND STATE CO.

Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Company and Acquisition of a General Insurance Agency

Roseland State Company, Roseland, Nebraska, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a bank holding company through acquisition of all of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Roseland State Bank, Roseland, Nebraska ("Bank"). Applicant has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and section 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, for permission to acquire the Roseland Insurance Agency, Roseland, Nebraska ("Agency") a company that engages in the activities of a general insurance agency in a community with a population not exceeding 5,000 persons. Such activities have been determined by the Board to be closely related to banking (12 CFR 225.4(a)(9)(iii)).

Notice of the applications, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with sections 3 and 4 of the Act (39 Federal Register 32194). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the applications and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act and the considerations specified in section 4(c)(8) of the Act.

Applicant is a recently organized corporation formed for the purposes of becoming a bank holding company through the acquisition of Bank and of operating as a general insurance agency. Bank (deposits of $3.8 million),[1] the only bank in Roseland, controls approximately 3 per cent of total deposits in commercial banks in Adams County, the relevant banking market, and is the smallest of the five banking organizations in the market. Since the proposal represents merely a restructuring of the present ownership of Bank and Agency, and Applicant has no present subsidiaries, consummation of the proposal would have no adverse effects on existing or potential competition. Therefore, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the applications.

The financial condition, managerial resources, and future prospects of Bank are regarded as satisfactory and consistent with approval of the application. The management of Applicant is satisfactory, and Applicant's financial condition and future prospects, which are dependent upon profitable operations by both Bank and Agency, appear favorable. Although Applicant will incur debt in connection with the proposal, its projected income from Bank and the insurance agency activities should provide sufficient revenue to retire the debt without impairing the financial condition of Bank. Consummation of the transaction would have no immediate effect on the area's banking convenience and needs; however, such considerations are consistent with approval of the application to acquire Bank. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application to acquire Bank should be approved.

Agency is a general insurance agency and conducts its business currently from the premises of Bank in Roseland. Applicant proposes to engage in these insurance agency activities, pursuant to § 225.4(a)(9)(iii) of Regulation Y, as a result of its acquisition of Agency. Approval of this proposal would enable Applicant to continue to offer Bank's customers a convenient source of insurance services, which factor the Board regards as being in the public interest. It does not appear that Applicant's acquisition of Agency would have any significant effect on existing or future competition, and there is no evidence in the record indicating that consummation of the proposal would result in any undue concentration of resources, unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices or other adverse effects on the public interest.

Based on the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board has determined, in accordance with the provisions of section 4(c)(8), that consummation of the proposal with respect to Agency can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public that outweigh possible adverse effects and the application to acquire Agency should be approved.

Accordingly, the applications are approved for the reasons summarized above. The acquisition of Bank shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order. The acquisition of Bank and Agency shall be made not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City pursuant to delegated authority. The determination as to Applicant's insurance activities is subject to the conditions set forth in § 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the Board's authority to require reports by, and make examinations of, holding companies and their subsidiaries and to require such modification or termination of the activities of a bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

By order of the Board of Governors,[2] effective December 30, 1974.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-918 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[1] Banking data are as of December 31, 1973.

UNION CREDIT CORP.

Order Granting Determination Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the request by Union Credit Corporation, Huron, South Dakota ("Union"), for a determination pursuant to section 2(g)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended.

Union, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Act, on the basis of its ownership of 89.4 per cent of the stock of First Security Bank, Morristown, South Dakota ("Bank"), seeks to terminate said status as a bank holding company as a result of having sold and transferred all of its shares of Bank, including all its rights, title and interest in and to Morristown Insurance Agency and McIntosh Insurance Agency, to Stephen Adams.

Union seeks a determination pursuant to section 2(g)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1841(g)(3)) that it will not in fact be capable of controlling Bank notwithstanding the existence of indebtedness owed it by the purchaser under a sale agreement whereby approximately 42 per cent of the balance of the purchase price of the Bank and insurance agencies will be paid to Union by the purchaser, Stephen Adams, of Edina, Minnesota, over a period of approximately four and one-half years, secured by a second lien on the stock of Bank. Mr. Adams serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Bank.

Under the provisions of section 2(g)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1841(g)(3)), shares transferred after January 1, 1966, by any bank holding company directly or indirectly to any transferee that is indebted to the transferor, or has one or more officers, directors, trustees, or beneficiaries in common with or subject to control by the transferor, shall be deemed to be indirectly owned or controlled by the transferor unless the Board, after opportunity for hearing, determines that the transferor is not in fact capable of controlling the transferee.

Union, Bank, and Stephen Adams have submitted documentary evidence to support their contention that Union does not in fact control Bank.

Notice of an opportunity for hearing with respect to the request of Union for a determination under section 2(g)(3) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 3, 1973 (38 FR 33343). The time provided for requesting a hearing expired on December 17, 1973. No such request has been received by the Board, nor has any evidence been submitted suggesting that Union is in fact capable of controlling Bank.

It is hereby determined that Union Credit Corporation is not in fact capable of controlling Bank. This determination is based upon the evidence of record in this matter including: (1) Stock Purchase Agreement and Supplemental Agreement dated June 12, 1973, by and between Union and Stephen Adams, for the sale and transfer of all rights, title, and interest of Union in Bank to Stephen Adams; (2) certified copies of resolutions passed by the Board of Directors of Union and Bank, both of which declare that no control is or will be exercised by Union, either directly or indirectly, over the management and policies of Bank, its officers, directors or stockholders through Union's loan agreement with Stephen Adams, Chairman of the Board of Bank, or in any other manner whatsoever, and further, that there will be no common directorships between Union and Bank; (3) an affidavit from Stephen Adams averring that, as Chairman of the Board of Bank or in any other capacity, he does not and will not represent in any way the interests of Union; that he has never been an officer, director, employee of, or affiliated in any way with, Union; that he is not acting pursuant to instructions from or under an agreement, either oral or written, with Union; that he is not now and will not be subject to control, either directly or indirectly, by Union in acting in his capacity as Chairman of the Board of Bank; and that he will not report the proceedings or actions taken at meetings

[2] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Sheehan, Holland, and Wallich. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governors Bucher and Coldwell.

of the Board of Directors of Union to Bank; and (4) a commitment that in the event Union reacquires the shares of Bank as a result of default on the extension of credit to Stephen Adams, Union will dispose of such shares no later than one year from the date of such reacquisition.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That the request of Union for a determination pursuant to section 2(g)(3) be, and hereby is, granted.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel pursuant to delegated authority (12 CFR 265.2(b)), effective December 31, 1974.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-919 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

UNITED MICHIGAN CORP.

Acquisition of Bank

United Michigan Corporation, Flint, Michigan, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares of Gaylord State Bank, Gaylord, Michigan. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

United Michigan Corporation is also engaged in the origination and servicing of mortgage loans. In addition to the factors considered under section 3 of the Act (banking factors), the Board will consider the proposal in the light of the company's nonbanking activities and the provisions and prohibitions in section 4 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1843).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than February 4, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 2, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-920 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Federal Property Management Regs.; Temporary Reg. F-323]

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Delegation of Authority

1. *Purpose.* This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent the interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in an electric rate proceeding.

2. *Effective date.* This regulation is effective immediately.

3. *Delegation.* a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201(a)(4) and 205(d) (40 U.S.C. 481(a)(4) and 486(d)), authority is delegated to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Ohio Public Utilities Commission involving the application of the Ohio Power Company for an increase in electric rates (Application No. 74-484-Y).

b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegate this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials, and employees thereof.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-921 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Federal Property Management Regs.; Temporary Reg. F-324]

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Delegation of Authority

1. *Purpose.* This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in intrastate rate proceedings.

2. *Effective date.* This regulation is effective immediately.

3. *Delegation.* a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201(a)(4) and 205(d) (40 U.S.C. 481(a)(4) and 486(d)), authority is delegated to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Michigan Public Service Commission (Docket No. U-4685) involving the application of Upper Peninsula Power Company for general increases in its electrical rates.

b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegate this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials and employees thereof.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-922 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

MUSIC ADVISORY PANEL

Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Music Advisory Panel to the National Council on the Arts will be held on January 24 and 25, 1975 from 9 a.m.-5 p.m. in the 14th floor conference room of the Columbia Plaza office building, 2401 E Street NW., Washington, D.C.

A portion of this meeting will be open to the public on January 24 from 10 a.m.-noon on a space available basis. Accommodations are limited. During the open session there will be a policy discussion.

The remaining session of this meeting on January 25 is for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman published in the Federal Register of January 10, 1973, these sessions, which involve matters exempt from the requirements of public disclosure under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b), (4) and (5), will not be open to the public.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Mrs. Luna Diamond, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call (202) 634-6110.

EDWARD M. WOLFE,
Administrative Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

[FR Doc.75-922 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on January 6, 1974 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

The symbol (x) identifies proposals which appear to raise no significant issues, and are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this Daily List may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget Washington, D.C. 20503, (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Complaint of Discrimination in the Federal Government, Form SF 2816, Occasional, CVA (395-3532), Lowry (395-3772), Individuals.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Health Resources Administration: Student Selection Processes in Schools of Nursing, Form HRABHRD 1220, Single time, Caywood (395-3443), Administrators of and applicants to RN schools.

Social and Rehabilitation Service: Impact Evaluation of Services in Preventing or Reducing, Institutionalization, Form ____, Single time, Gonzales (395-3793), Adults who need or are receiving long term care.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Departmental: Status of State Planning Agency Audits of Grantees, Form 7140, Single time, Lowry (395-3772), All state and territory law enforcement planning agencies.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Supplementary Information: Wood Residue Availability and Related Data, Form TVA 20004A, Occasional, Weiner (395-4890), Wood residue producers.

REVISIONS

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Application for Nonimmigrant Visa and Alien Registration, Form Op. 154, Occasional, Lowry (395-3772), Aliens visiting U.S.

Visitor Visa Application, Form Op. 156, Occasional, Lowry (395-3772), Aliens visiting U.S.

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Application for Headstone or Marker, Form 40-1330, Occasional, Caywood (395-3443), Relatives of deceased veterans.

EXTENSIONS

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Municipal Water Supply Sanitary Survey, Form ____, Occasional, Evinger (395-3648), Government agencies.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health: Application for Minority Schools Biomedical Support Grant, Form NIH 1860, Occasional, Lowry (395-3772), State colleges, private colleges.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-978 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

AMERICAN AGRONOMICS CORP.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 3, 1975.

The common stock of American Agronomics Corp. being traded on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of American Agronomics Corp. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from 9:30 a.m. (e.s.t.) on January 3, 1975 through midnight (e.s.t.) on January 12, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-939 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

BBI, INC.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 3, 1975.

The common stock of BBI, Inc., being traded on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of BBI, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 4, 1975 through January 13, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-951 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

BURMAH OIL COMPANY LTD.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 3, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Burmah Oil Company Limited being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from 12 noon (e.s.t.) on January 3, 1975 through midnight (e.s.t.) on January 12, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-952 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[70-5600]

CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER CO.

Proposal To Issue and Sell First Mortgage Bonds at Competitive Bidding

Notice is hereby given that The Connecticut Light and Power Company ("CL&P") Selden Street, Berlin, Connecticut 06037, an electric utility subsidiary company of Northeast Utilities, a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the following proposed transaction. All interested parties are referred to said application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

CL&P proposes to issue and sell, at competitive bidding, up to $85 million principal amount of its First Mortgage Bonds, Series BB ("Bonds"). The maturity date of the bonds will be not less than five nor more than thirty years from February 1, 1975. The interest rate, which shall be a multiple of ⅛ of 1 percent, and the price, which will be not less than 99 percent nor more than 102.75 percent of the principal amount thereof, will be determined by competitive bidding. The Bonds will be issued under the Indenture of Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of May 1, 1921 ("Indenture") between CL&P and Bankers Trust Company, Trustee, as supplemented and amended from time to time, and as further supplemented by a supplemental indenture to be dated February 1, 1975 ("Supplemental Indenture"). The Supplemental Indenture provides, among other things, that Bonds shall not be redeemed at the applicable general redemption price prior to February 1, 1980, from the proceeds of borrowings secured by CL&P at an effective interest cost to CL&P of less than the effective interest cost of the Bonds.

The application states that CL&P will use the net proceeds from the sale of Bonds, together with a capital contribution of $25 million which Northeast Utilities will make in January, 1975, to repay a portion of short-term borrowings incurred for the purpose of financing CL&P's construction program (estimated to total $148 million for 1975). Such short-term borrowings will aggregate an estimated $125 million at the time of the aforementioned sale.

A statement of the fees, commissions, and expenses incurred or to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction will be supplied by amendment. The approval of the Connecticut Public Utilities Commission is required for the

issuance of the Bonds. It is stated that no other State commission, and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 27, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-958 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

EQUITY FUNDING CORPORATION OF AMERICA

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 6, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, warrants to purchase the stock, 9½ percent debentures due 1990, 5½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1991, and all other securities of Equity Funding Corporation of America being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 7, 1975 through January 16, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-953 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[70-5332]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO.

Increase in Maximum Amount of Short-Term Indebtedness; Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks and to Dealers in Commercial Paper; Exception From Competitive Bidding

Notice is hereby given that Indiana & Michigan Electric Company ("I&M") 2101 Spy Run Avenue, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46801, an electric utility subsidiary company of American Electric Power Company, Inc., a registered holding company, has filed with this Commission a post-effective amendment to its application previously filed and amended in this matter, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50(a)(5) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application as now amended, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

By orders dated June 29, 1973, June 7, 1974, June 11, 1974, July 5, 1974, October 25, 1974 and December 31, 1974 (Holding Company Act Release Nos. 18014, 18445, 18453, 18479, 18629 and 18743), this Commission, among other things, authorized the issuance and sale of short-term notes by I&M to 38 banks and to dealers in commercial paper in an aggregate amount not to exceed $150,000,000 outstanding at any one time. The notes were to be issued from time to time prior to June 30, 1975 as funds are required, provided that none of the notes would mature later than December 31, 1975.

It is now proposed that the maximum aggregate amount of I&M's short-term indebtedness be increased to $165,000,000. In no event would the borrowings by I&M of short-term funds through the issuance and sale of notes to banks and commercial paper to dealers in commercial paper exceed the maximum amount allowable both under I&M's Articles of Acceptance and the consent granted by I&M's Cumulative Preferred stockholders permitting an increase in the amount of unsecured short-term debt that I&M could incur, which maximum amount as of September 30, 1974, was approximately $168,000,000.

Each note payable to a bank to be issued by I&M will be dated as of the date of the borrowing which it evidences and will mature not more than 270 days after the date of issuance or renewal thereof. The notes are to be issued from time to time prior to June 30, 1975, as funds are required, provided that none of the notes will mature later than December 31, 1975.

Each note will bear interest no greater than the prime rate of commercial banks at the time of issuance or in effect from time to time and will be prepayable at any time without premium or penalty. It is stated that I&M will not pay any fees or charges to any of such banks in connection with the issuance and sale of the notes. Sufficient bank balances to meet operating and financial needs are kept at the banks to satisfy any compensating balance requirements of such banks in connection with the borrowing. If the average of such bank balances were maintained solely in order to fulfill the prevailing compensating balance requirements of such banks, generally between 15 percent and 20 percent, the effective interest cost to I&M of issuance and sale of such notes to banks, assuming a 10¼ percent prime interest rate, would range between 12.06 percent and 12.8 percent.

The commercial paper will be in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than $50,000 nor more than $5,000,000 and will be of varying maturities, with no maturity more than 270 days after the date of issue; none will be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper notes will be sold directly to not more than two dealers at a discount not in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and maturity. No commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity more than 90 days at an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which I&M could borrow from banks. The dealers will reoffer the commercial paper notes to not more than 100 of their customers identified and designated in a list (nonpublic) prepared in advance. It is expected that I&M's commercial paper notes will be held by each dealer's customers to maturity but if the customers wish to resell prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to a verbal repurchase agreement, will repurchase the notes and reoffer them to others in its group of 100 customers.

I&M requests exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 for the proposed issuance and sale of its commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a)(5) thereof on the grounds that it is not practicable to invite competitive bids for commercial paper. I&M also requests authority to file certificates under Rule 24 with respect to the issue and sale of commercial paper hereafter consummated pursuant to this proceeding on a quarterly basis.

The proceeds from the issue and sale of the notes will be used by I&M to repay short-term debt presently outstanding and to pay part of the cost of its future construction program. Such construction expenditures for the first half of 1975 is estimated to total $100,000,000. The application states that, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, all of the short-term debt of I&M will be retired prior to December 31, 1975, from internal cash resources, debt or equity financing, or cash capital contributions.

It is stated that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. It is also stated that no fees or expenses are expected to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 31, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issue of fact or law raised by said post-effective amendments to the application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as now amended or as it may be further amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-959 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 6, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Industries International, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 7, 1975 through January 16, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-954 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

NICOA CORP.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 3, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Nicoa Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 4, 1975 through January 13, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-955 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File Nos. 2-15302 (22-2606), etc.]

PAN AMERICAN WORLD AIRWAYS, INC.

Application and Opportunity for Hearing

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Pan American World Airways, Inc. (the "Company"); File Nos. 2-15302, (22-2606); 2-21935, (22-3630); 2-25281, (22-4187); 2-31420, (22-5357); 2-46554 (22-7421); has filed an application under clause (ii) of section 310(b)(1) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (the "Act") for a finding by the Commission that the trusteeship of the United States Trust Company of New York ("U.S. Trust") under an indenture dated as of January 15, 1973, and heretofore qualified under the Act, and five other indentures of which four are qualified under the Act, is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify the bank from acting as trustee under any of the indentures.

Section 310(b) of the Act provides, inter alia, that if a trustee under an indenture qualified under the Act has or shall acquire any conflicting interest (as defined in the section), it shall within ninety days after ascertaining that it has such conflicting interest either eliminate such conflicting interest or resign. Subsection (1) of this section provides, with certain exceptions, that a trustee is deemed to have a conflicting interest if it is acting as trustee under another indenture of the same obligor. However, pursuant to clause (ii) of subsection (1), there may be excluded from the operation of this provision another indenture or indentures under which other securities of such obligor are outstanding, if the issuer shall have sustained the burden of proving on application to the Commission, and after opportunity for hearing thereon, that trusteeship under the qualified indenture and such other indenture is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify such trustee from acting as trustee under any of such indentures.

The Company alleges that:

1. It has outstanding as of November 30, 1974, $75,000,000 principal amount of 7½ percent Convertible Subordinated Debentures Due January 15, 1998 entered into between the Company and U.S. Trust, as trustee, which has been qualified under the Act (the "1973 Indenture").

2. It also has outstanding as of November 30, 1974, the following obligations, among others:

A. $4,138,500 principal amount of 4⅞ percent Convertible Subordinate Debentures Due August 1, 1979 which were issued under a qualified indenture dated as of July 15, 1959 (the "1959 Indenture").

B. $17,932,880 principal amount of 4½ percent Convertible Subordinate Debentures Due January 15, 1974 which were issued under a qualified indenture dated as of January 15, 1964 (the "1964 Indenture").

C. $175,000,000 principal amount of 4½ percent Convertible Subordinate Debentures Due August 1, 1986 which were issued under a qualified indenture dated as of August 1, 1966 (the "1966 Indenture").

D. $175,000,000 principal amount of 5¼ percent Convertible Subordinate Debentures Due February 15, 1989 which were issued under a qualified indenture dated as of February 15, 1969 (the "1969 Indenture").

E. $30,000,000 principal amount of 5¼ percent Subordinate Guaranteed Debentures Due September 1, 1998 which were issued by Pan American Overseas Capital Corporation N.V., wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, which was dissolved on March 30, 1974 and is presently in liquidation (the "Overseas Indenture").

3. The Company has been notified by the Trustees under the 1959 Indenture, the 1964 Indenture, the 1966 Indenture, the 1969 Indenture and the Overseas Indenture of their resignations as Trustee.

4. The Company intends to appoint U.S. Trust as successor trustee under the 1959 Indenture, the 1964 Indenture, the 1966 Indenture, the 1969 Indenture and the Overseas Indenture upon the receipt of the order applied for by means of the application.

5. Under the express terms of the Indentures, the Debentures will for all purposes rank equally, pari passu, with each other and with certain other indebtedness of the Company.

6. The existence of implicit cross-default provisions have the effect that the acceleration of the Company's obligations under one of the Indentures will

cause a default under the terms of all the other Indentures.

7. It is the opinion of the Company that such differences as exist among the several Indentures are not so likely to involve U.S. Trust in a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify U.S. Trust from acting as successor trustee under any one or more of such Indentures while continuing to serve as Trustee under the 1973 Indenture.

The Company waives notice of hearing and waives hearing, and waives any and all rights to specify procedures under Rule 8(b) of the Commission's rules of practice with respect to the application.

For a more detailed account of the matters of fact and law asserted, all persons are referred to said application, which is a public document on file in the offices of the Commission at 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 28, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of law or fact raised by such application which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. At any time after said date, the Commission may issue an order granting the application, upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may deem necessary or appropriate in the public interest and the interest of investors, unless a hearing is ordered by the Commission.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporation Finance, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-960 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

WESTGATE CALIFORNIA CORP.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 6, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock (class A and B), the cumulative preferred stock (5 percent and 6 percent), the 6 percent subordinated debentures due 1979 and the 6½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1987 being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 7, 1975 through January 16, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-956 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

ZENITH DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 6, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Zenith Development Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 7, 1975 through January 16, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-957 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

RENEGOTIATION BOARD

EXCESSIVE PROFITS AND REFUNDS

Interest Rate

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 105(b)(2) of the Renegotiation Act of 1951, as amended, the Secretary of the Treasury has determined that the rate of interest applicable, for the purposes of said section 105(b)(2) and section 108 of such act, to the period beginning on January 1, 1975, and ending on June 30, 1975, is 8⅞ per centum per annum.

Dated: January 7, 1975.

REX M. MATTINGLY,
Acting Chairman.

[FR Doc.75-940 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION

[USRA Docket No. 75-66]

PENN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CO.

Proposed Interim Abandonment of Part of Branch

The Trustees in Bankruptcy of the Penn Central Transportation Company ("Penn Central") propose to abandon a portion of the Lansing Branch in Albion, Calhoun County, Michigan, and have made a request to the United States Railway Association ("USRA") for the authorization required for that purpose under section 304(f) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 ("the Act"), Pub. L. 93-236.

Section 304(f) provides:

> After [January 2, 1974], no railroad in reorganization may discontinue service or abandon any line of a railroad other than in accordance with the provisions of [the Act], unless it is authorized to do so by the Association and unless no affected State or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes such action, notwithstanding any provision of any other Federal law, the constitution or law of any State, or decision or order of or the pendency of any proceeding before any Federal or State court, agency, or authority.

The portion of the Lansing Branch sought to be abandoned extends from milepost 23.6 at Albion, Michigan, to its terminus at milepost 23.8, a distance of 0.2 miles, in Calhoun County, Michigan.

There are no stations on this line.

In support of its request, Penn Central asserts that:

(1) The line is in extremely poor condition. Continued use of the line would require extensive restoration and renewal work due to progressive wear and tear.

(2) This segment has not been used since September 1968.

(3) Alternate rail service in the future will be provided by the applicant's team track and trail van (TOFC) facilities.

(4) The area is amply served by motor common carriers and private carriers.

(5) No passenger service is provided on the line involved.

(6) The line is not operated as a joint facility.

The request is accompanied by exhibits providing more detailed information.

To assist USRA in its analysis and disposition of this request, all affected or interested parties are invited to submit written statements, views, arguments, or comments either favoring or opposing the discontinuance proposal.

Any such submissions must identify, by its Docket No., the request to which it relates, and must be filed with the Docket Clerk, United States Railway Association, Room 2222, Trans Point Building, 2100 Second Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20595, by February 10, 1975, to enable timely consideration by USRA. The docket containing the original application and all submissions received shall be available for public inspection at that address at the Division Superintendent's Office, Penn Central Station, 501 East Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Michigan, and at the General Manager's Office, Room 400, Penn Central Station, 2405 West Vernor Highway, Detroit, Michigan.

In addition to this publication, Penn Central shall, by January 10, 1975, furnish a copy of this notice and invitation of written submissions, to known shippers on the portion of the Lansing Branch sought to be abandoned, to each creditor holding an obligation secured by that property, and to each labor union whose members are employed on that part of its line. It shall also post and prominently display a copy of this notice at each station along that part of the line continually during the period from January 10, 1975 to February 10, 1975.

This action is taken pursuant to section 304(f) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-236.

Copies of this notice have been sent by USRA to the Governor of Michigan, the Chief of the Marketing Division, Michigan Department of Agriculture, the Michigan Department of Transportation, the Public Service Commission of Michigan, the Board Chairman of Calhoun County, the Mayor of Albion, the Supervisors of Albion and Sheridan Townships, the Director of the Rail Services Planning Office, the Chairman of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the President of the National Rail Passenger Corporation, and also to newspapers and radio and television stations servicing the area involved.

Publication of this notice does not mean that the Association has reached any conclusion as to merits of the application. The Association will consider the application in the light of the public comments received and the requirements and purposes of the Act before making a decision. The Association will deny any application which a State or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes, or where the authorization requested is inconsistent with the requirements and purposes of the Act; it will grant the application if that action is consistent with the requirements and purposes of the Act.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of December 1974.

EDWARD G. JORDAN,
President,
United States Railway Association.

[FR Doc.75-1091 Filed 1-9-75;10:03 am]

[USRA Docket No. 75-67]

PENN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CO.

Proposed Interim Abandonment of Branch

The Trustees in Bankruptcy of the Penn Central Transportation Company ("Penn Central") propose to abandon the Eaton Rapids segment of the Lansing Branch, Eaton County, Michigan and have made a request to the United States Railway Association ("USRA") for the authorization required for that purpose under section 304(f) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 ("the Act"), Pub. L. 93-236.

Section 304(f) provides:

After [January 2, 1974], no railroad in reorganization may discontinue service or abandon any line of a railroad other than in accordance with the provisions of [the Act], unless it is authorized to do so by the Association and unless no affected State or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes such action, notwithstanding any provision of any other Federal law, the constitution or law of any State, or decision or order of or the pendency of any proceeding before any Federal or State court agency, or authority.

The part of the Eaton Rapids segment of the Lansing Branch sought to be abandoned extends from milepost 41.9 at Eaton Rapids, Michigan, to its terminus at milepost 42.5, a distance of 0.6 miles, in Eaton County, Michigan. There are no stations on this line.

In support of its request, Penn Central asserts that:

(1) The line is generally in extremely poor condition. Continued use of the line would require extensive restoration and renewal work due to progressive wear and tear.

(2) This segment has not been used for revenue traffic since October 1972.

(3) Alternate rail service in the future will be provided by applicant's team track and Trailvan (TOFC) facilities.

(4) The area is amply served by motor common carriers, as well as by private carriers which will adequately accommodate any demand for freight service in the area.

(5) No passenger service is provided on the line involved.

(6) The line is not operated as a joint facility.

The request is accompanied by exhibits providing more detailed information.

To assist USRA in its analysis and disposition of this request, all affected or interested parties are invited to submit written statements, views, arguments or comments either favoring or opposing the discontinuance proposal.

Any such submissions must identify, by its Docket No., the request to which it relates, and must be filed with the Docket Clerk, United States Railway Association, Room 2222, Trans Point Building, 2100 Second Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20595, by February 10, 1975, to enable timely consideration by USRA. The docket containing the original application and all submissions received shall be available for public inspection at that address, at the Division Superintendent's Office, Penn Central Station, 501 East Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Michigan, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., and at the General Manager's Office, Penn Central Transportation Company, Room 400, Penn Central Station, 2405 West Vernor Highway, Detroit, Michigan, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

In addition to this publication, Penn Central shall, by January 10, 1975, furnish a copy of this notice and invitation for written submission, to known shippers on the Eaton Rapids segment of the Lansing Branch sought to be abandoned, to each creditor holding an obligation secured by that property, and to each labor union whose members are employed on that part of its line. It shall also post and prominently display a copy of this notice at each station along that part of the line, continually during the period from January 10, 1975 to February 10, 1975.

This action is taken pursuant to section 304(f) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-236.

Copies of this notice have been sent by USRA to the Governor of Michigan, the Chief of the Marketing Division, Michigan Department of Agriculture, the Michigan Department of Transportation, the Public Service Commission of Michigan, the Board Chairman of Eaton County, the Mayor of Eaton Rapids, and the Supervisors of Delta, Eaton Rapids, Hamlin, and Windsor Townships, the Director of the Rail Services Planning Office, the Chairman of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the President of the National Rail Passenger Corporation, and also to newspapers and radio and television stations servicing the area involved.

Publication of this notice does not mean that the Association has reached any conclusion as to merits of the application. The Association will consider the application in the light of the public comments received and the requirements and purposes of the Act before making a decision. The Association will deny any application which a State or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes, or where the authorization requested is inconsistent with the requirements and purposes of the Act; it will grant the application if that action is consistent with the requirements and purposes of the Act.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of December 1974.

EDWARD G. JORDAN,
President,
United States Railway Association.

[FR Doc.75-1092 Filed 1-9-75;10:03 am]

[USRA Docket No. 75-68]

PENN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CO.

Proposed Interim Abandonment of Part of Branch

The Trustees in Bankruptcy of the Penn Central Transportation Company ("Penn Central") propose to abandon a portion of the Lansing Branch, a line of railroad in Ingham County, Michigan, and have made a request to the United States Railway Association ("USRA") for the authorization required for that purpose under section 304(f) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 ("the Act"), Pub. L. 93-236.

Section 304(f) provides:

After [January 2, 1974], no railroad in reorganization may discontinue service or abandon any line of a railroad other than in accordance with the provisions of [the Act], unless it is authorized to do so by the Association and unless no affected State or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes such action, notwithstanding any provision of any other Federal law, the constitution or law of any State, or decision or order of or the pendency of any proceeding before any Federal or State court, agency, or authority.

The portion of the Lansing Branch sought to be abandoned extends from milepost 59.7 near Lansing, Michigan, to its terminus at milepost 60.4, a distance of 0.7 miles, in Ingham County, Michigan.

There are no stations on the line.

In support of its request, Penn Central asserts that:

(1) The line is in extremely poor condition. Continued use of the line would require extensive restoration and renewal work due to progressive wear and tear.

(2) The City of Lansing seeks to purchase railroad right of way in connection with an urban renewal project.

(3) All shippers formerly using this segment were relocated prior to 1974 and no revenue traffic has been handled since that time.

(4) Alternate rail service in the future will be provided by applicant's team track and Trailvan (TCFC) facilities.

(5) The area is amply served by motor common carriers, as well as by private carriers which will adequately accommodate any demand for freight service in the area.

(6) No passenger service is provided on the line involved.

(7) The line is not operated as a joint facility.

The request is accompanied by exhibits providing more detailed information.

To assist USRA in its analysis and disposition of this request, all affected or interested parties are invited to submit written statements, views, arguments or comments either favoring or opposing the discontinuance proposal.

Any such submissions must identify, by its Docket No., the request to which it relates, and must be filed with the Docket Clerk, United States Railway Association, Room 2222, Trans Point Building, 2100 Second Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20595, by February 10, 1975, to enable timely consideration by USRA. The docket containing the original application and all submissions received shall be available for public inspection at that address, at the Division Superintendent's Office, Penn Central Station, 501 East Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Michigan, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., and at the General Manager's Office, Penn Central Station, 501 East Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Michigan, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., and at the General Manager's Office, Penn Central Transportation Company, Room 400, Penn Central Station, 2405 West Vernor Highway, Detroit, Michigan, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

In addition to this publication, Penn Central shall, by January 10, 1975, furnish a copy of this notice and invitation for written submission, to known shippers on the portion of the Lansing Branch sought to be abandoned, to each creditor holding an obligation secured by that property, and to each labor union whose members are employed on that part of its line. It shall also post and prominently display a copy of this notice at each station along that part of the line, continually during the period from January 10, 1975 to February 10, 1975.

This action is taken pursuant to section 304(f) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-236.

Copies of this notice have been sent by USRA to the Governor of Michigan, the Chief of the Marketing Division, Michigan Department of Agriculture, the Michigan Department of Transportation, the Public Service Commission of Michigan, the Board Chairman of Ingham County, the Mayor of Lansing, the Supervisors of Lansing and Delhi Townships, the Director of the Rail Services Planning Office, the Chairman of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the President of the National Rail Passenger Corporation, and also to newspapers and radio and television broadcasting stations servicing the area involved.

Publication of this notice does not mean that the Association has reached any conclusion as to merits of the application. The Association will consider the application in the light of the public comments received and the requirements and purposes of the Act before making a decision. The Association will deny any application which a State or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes, or where the authorization requested is inconsistent with the requirements and purposes of the Act; it will grant the application if that action is consistent with the requirements and purposes of the Act.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of December 1974.

EDWARD G. JORDAN,
President,
United States Railway Association.

[FR Doc.75-1093 Filed 1-9-75;10:03 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 669]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

JANUARY 6, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

MC 115279 Sub 7, Click Messenger Service, Inc., now being assigned February 24, 1975, at New York, New York, in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 138952 Sub 1, Central City Express, Inc., now being assigned February 25, 1975 (4 days), at Frankfort, Ky., in a hearing room to be later designated.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-944 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Notice 670]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

JANUARY 7, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

NOR No. 36056, Oklahoma Intrastate Rail Freight and Charges—1974, now being assigned April 1, 1975 (4 days), at Oklahoma City, Okla., in a hearing room to be later designated.

I&S No. 9002, Increased Grain Rates, to Louisiana Gulf Ports, now being assigned April 1, 1975 (4 days), at Kansas City, Missouri, in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 138741 Sub 11, E. K. Motor Service, Inc., now being assigned February 10, 1975 (1 week), at Chicago, Ill., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-C-8412, Bowman Transportation, Inc.—Investigation and Revocation of Certificates, now being assigned February 25, 1975 (2 days), at Atlanta, Ga., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 20783 Sub 103, Tompkins Motor Lines, Inc., now being assigned Feburary 27, 1975 (2 days), at Atlanta, Ga., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 106644 Subs 182 & 183, Superior Trucking Company, Inc., now being assigned March 3, 1975 (2 days), at Atlanta, Ga., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 139743 Sub 2, Georgia Carpet Express, Inc., now being assigned March 5, 1975 (3 days), at Atlanta, Ga., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 52979 Sub 3, Hunt Truck Lines, Inc., now being assigned February 24, 1975 (2 days), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 128007 Sub 61, Hofer, Inc.,) now being assigned February 26, 1975 (1 day), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 114239 Sub 32, Farris Truck Line, now being assigned February 27, 1975 (2 days), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-945 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[No. 36078]

KANSAS INTRASTATE FREIGHT RATES AND CHARGES

JANUARY 7, 1975.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 2, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 31st day of December, 1974.

It appearing, that by joint petition filed October 23, 1974, petitioners The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, Burlington Northern, Inc., Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company, Garden City Western Railway Company, The Kansas City Southern Railway Company, Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, and Union Pacific Railroad, nine common carriers by railroad operating in interstate, foreign and intrastate commerce in the

State of Kansas, seek an order from this Commission, pursuant to sections 3, 13 and 15a of the Interstate Commerce Act, authorizing them to increase their intrastate rates in Kansas corresponding to the increase of 10 percent, subject to certain conditions, authorized in Ex Parte No. 305, Nationwide Increase of Ten Percent in Freight Rates and Charges, 1974:

It further appearing, that the current general level of freight rates and charges maintained by petitioners in Kansas reflects increases authorized by this Commission through Ex Parte No. 301 and Ex Parte No. 303;

It further appearing, that petitioners sought to increase the Kansas intrastate freight rates and charges by the same amounts as authorized by this Commission in the initial decision in No. 35972, Kansas Intrastate Freight Rates and Charges, 1974, relating to Ex Parte No. 281, Increased Freight Rates and Charges, 1972, 341 I.C.C. 288 (1972); Ex Parte No. 295, Increased Freight Rates and Charges, 1973, 344 I.C.C. 589 (1973); Ex Parte No. 301, The Energy Crisis and the Need for Emergency Transportation Legislation, decided February 20, 1974 (actually (the fuel) Surcharge Tariff X-301); and, Ex Parte No. 303, Increased Freight Rates and Charges, 1974, Nationwide, decided December 3, 1973; that the Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas refused to allow the increases to be placed in effect voluntarily, although they were placed in effect October 5, 1974 pursuant to the order in No. 35972; and that petitioners have concluded by the failure of the Corporation Commisison of the State of Kansas to acquiesce in this Commission's order in No. 35972 and by its failure and refusal to grant petitioners appropriate rate increases in earlier proceedings that any application to the Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas for the increase sought herein would not receive either prompt or favorable consideration;

It further appearing, that this Commission has authority under section 13 (4) of the Interstate Commerce Act to institute and proceed with an investigation into intrastate rates regardless of prior consideration, or pendency of consideration, by any State agency, of any proceeding relating thereto. See Intrastate Freight Rates and Charges 1969, 339 I.C.C. 670 (1971) affm'd sub nom. State of N.C. Ex Rel. North Carolina Utilities Com'n v. I.C.C., 347 F.Supp. 103 (E.D.N.C., 1972), affm'd sub nom. North Carolina Utilities Commission et al. v. Interstate Commerce Commission, et al., 410 U.S. 919 (1973);

It further appearing, that petitioners contend that the rates and charges now in effect upon the commodities moving in intrastate commerce in the State of Kansas do not produce a fair share of the revenues required to meet maintenance and operating costs of petitioners and to yield a fair return on the value of their properties devoted to transportation services for both interstate and intrastate commerce, and do not contribute fairly and fully to the need in the public interest of adequate and efficient railway transportation services at the lowest costs consistent with the furnishing of such services, and to the need of the revenue sufficient to enable petitioners under honest, economical and efficient management to provide such services;

It further appearing, that petitioners also contend that the failure of intrastate traffic within the State of Kansas to bear the increase granted by this Commission in Ex Parte No. 305 causes undue or unreasonable or unjust discrimination against or undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce; that the sought increase which has been found reasonable for application on interstate traffic is necessary on intrastate traffic in order to eliminate the existing undue and unreasonable advantage and prejudice as between persons and localities in intrastate commerce on the one hand, and persons and localities in interstate commerce on the other, which is prohibited by the provisions of section 13(4) of the Interstate Commerce Act; that the rates applicable to interstate movements are just and reasonable and comply with the provisions of the Interstate Commerce Act and the applicable Kansas intrastate rates, to the extent they fail to bear the increase sought herein are, and for the future will be, unjustly and unreasonably low for the services performed, until the sought increase is granted; and that the increase sought will result in rates which are not in excess of maximum reasonable rates and charges, will not cause traffic to be diverted to other forms of transportation, and will, instead, produce substantial increased revenues for petitioners of approximately $3.2 million annually, which are necessary to prevent an undue burden upon interstate revenues and commerce and to enable petitioners to maintain adequate and efficient service at the lowest costs consistent with the furnishing of such service;

It further appearing, that petitioners also contend that interstate and intrastate traffic handled by them in Kansas is commingled and transported in the same trains from and to the same points; that unit costs, therefore, of handling intrastate traffic are as great as, or greater than that of interstate traffic; and, consequently, transportation conditions affecting intrastate traffic are no different than or are not more favorable than those in connection with the movement of interstate traffic;

It further appearing, that petitioners request that this Commission institute an investigation into the rates and charges applicable to Kansas intrastate commerce, and after such hearing as this Commission may direct to be held, to make such findings as may be necessary and to enter order or orders as may be required to remove said violations of law herein complained of and to prescribe rates and charges for the transportation of freight traffic moving in intrastate commerce within the State of Kansas which shall carry the increase in the same measure and to the same degree as authorized by this Commission for interstate application in Ex Parte No. 305;

And it further appearing, that, accordingly, there has been brought in issue by the instant petition matters sufficient to require an investigation into the lawfulness of the intrastate rates and charges made or imposed by the State of Kansas to the extent the increase in Ex Parte No. 305 is not reflected therein, and that, therefore, an investigation should be instituted and the matter set for hearing;

Wherefore, and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That the petition be, and it is hereby, granted; and that an investigation be, and it is hereby, instituted under sections 13 and 15a of the Interstate Commerce Act to determine whether the Kansas intrastate freight rates and charges of carriers by railroad, or any of them, operating in the State of Kansas, cause or will cause, by reason of the failure of such rates and charges to include the increase corresponding to the interstate increase authorized in Ex Parte No. 305, any undue or unreasonable advantage, preference or prejudice as between persons or localities in intrastate commerce, on the one hand, and those in interstate or foreign commerce, on the other, or any unjust discrimination against or undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, and to determine what rates and charges, if any, or what maximum, or minimum, or maximum and minimum charges shall be prescribed to remove the unlawful advantage, preference, discrimination, or undue burden, if any, that may be found to exist.

It is further ordered, That all carriers by railroad operating in the State of Kansas, subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission be, and they are hereby, made respondents in this proceeding.

It is further ordered, That all persons who wish to actively participate in this proceeding and to file and receive copies of pleadings shall make known that fact by notifying the Office of Proceedings, Room 5342, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423, on or before January 27, 1975. Although individual participation is not precluded, to conserve time and to avoid unnecessary expense, persons having common interests should endeavor to consolidate their presentations to the greatest extent possible. The Commission desires participation only of those who intend to take an active part in the proceeding.

It is further ordered, That as soon as practicable after the date of indicating a desire to participate in the proceeding has passed, the Commission will serve a list of the names and addresses of all persons upon whom service of all pleadings must be made and that thereafter this proceeding will be assigned for oral hearing or handling under modified procedure.

And it is further ordered, That a copy of this order be served upon each of the

petitioners herein; that the State of Kansas be notified of the proceeding by sending copies of this order and of the instant petition by certified mail to the Governor of the State of Kansas and the Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas, Topeka, Kansas; and that further notice of this proceeding be given to the public by depositing a copy of this order in the office of the Secretary of the Interstate Commerce Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

By the Commission, Division 2.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-947 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. MC-43]

LEASE AND INTERCHANGE OF VEHICLES BY MOTOR CARRIERS

JANUARY 7, 1975.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Motor Carrier Leasing Board, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 24th day of December 1974.

It appearing, that a petition has been filed by Clark Transport, Inc. (MC-106647 and subs 39 and 41) and Car Carriers, Inc. (MC-133324) under common control, for waiver of paragraph (c) of Section 1057.4 of the Lease and Interchange of Vehicles Regulations (49 CFR 1057), concerning equipment leased between petitioner;

It further appearing, that petitioners have a jointly administered program applying the same standards of inspection and maintenance to equipment in accordance with the motor carrier safety regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation;

It further appearing, that the U.S. Department of Transportation recommends that the petition be granted because of substantial compliance on the part of the petitioner Clark and there is no adverse information pertaining to petitioner Car Carrier's inspection and maintenance program;

It is ordered, That waiver of paragraph (c) of § 1057.4 be, and, it is hereby granted provided that the equipment is inspected on the day it is to be leased and found to meet the requirements of the motor carrier safety regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation and that petitioners remain in satisfactory compliance with those regulations and under common control;

By the Commission, Motor Carrier Leasing Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-943 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Notice 214]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 10, 1975.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before January 30, 1975. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-75248. By order of December 17, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Orville A. Neisen, Quincy, Ill., of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 123769 issued December 27, 1966, to Gerald P. Carolan and Joel E. Schlipman, a partnership, doing business as J & J Trucking, Mendon, Ill., authorizing the transportation of gravel, sand, and stone, from LaGrange and Ewing, Mo., to points in Adams County, Ill. Albert L. Rendlen, Rendlen Building, Hannibal, Mo. 63401, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75444. By order of December 26, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Egle Truck Lines, Inc., Monmouth, N.J., of that portion of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 22005 issued August 14, 1962, to Don-Dee Trucking Corporation, North Bergen, N.J., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, with the usual exceptions, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Passaic, and Union Counties, N.J., and those in Middlesex County on and north of New Jersey Highway 33. N. Neil Garson, 1400 North Uhle Street, Arlington, Va. 22201, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75544. By order of December 20, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Bill G. Carr and Phyliss R. Carr, a partnership, doing business as Arrowhead Transportation, Billings, Mont., of the Certificate of Registration in No. MC 120521 (Sub-No. 1) issued April 22, 1965, to Laurel Transfer, Inc., Laurel, Mont., and authorized to be acquired by G. R. Easton, R. W. Easton, Jr., and Cecelia Easton, a partnership, doing business as Laurel Transfer, Laurel, Mont., pursuant to approval in No. MC-FC-75545 (approved concurrently with the instant proceeding), evidencing a right of the holder to engage in transportation in interstate or foreign commerce corresponding in scope to the grant of authority in Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity M.R.C. No. 807 dated December 9, 1959, issued by the Board of Railroad Commissioners of Montana. Larry D. Herman, 5 First Avenue, P.O. Box 217, Laurel, Mont. 59044, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75545. By order of December 20, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to G. R. Easton, R. W. Easton, and Cecelia Easton, a partnership, doing business as Laurel Transfer, Laurel, Mont., of the Certificate of Registration in No. MC 120521 (Sub-No. 1) issued April 22, 1965, to Laurel Transfer, Inc., Laurel, Mont., evidencing a right of the holder to engage in transportation in interstate or foreign commerce corresponding in scope to the grant of authority in Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity M.R.C. No. 807 dated December 9, 1959, issued by the Board of Railroad Commissioners of Montana. Larry D. Herman, 5 First Avenue, P.O. Box 217, Laurel, Mont. 59044, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-75600. By order entered 12.22.74 the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to St. J. Company, Inc., Cambridge, Mass., of the operating rights set forth in Certificates Nos. MC 108473, MC 108473 (Sub-No. 2), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 3), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 11), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 12), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 14), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 15), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 16), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 17), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 18), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 19), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 20), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 22), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 23), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 24), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 25), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 26), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 27), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 28), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 29), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 30), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 31), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 32), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 33), MC 108473 (Sub-No. 34), and MC 108473 (Sub-No. 35), issued by the Commission January 5, 1956, April 7, 1953, August 11, 1949, October 15, 1953, December 19, 1955, November 6, 1958, October 30, 1957, January 25, 1961, May 12, 1961, March 12, 1962, March 13, 1964 (as corrected May 6, 1964), April 19, 1965, February 9, 1966, April 30, 1965, January 5, 1966, February 8, 1966, February 9, 1966, May 17, 1967, April 22, 1968, September 15, 1969, May 6, 1970, January 23, 1970, November 24, 1970, March 30, 1972, September 22, 1972, and March 1, 1973, respectively, to St. Johnsbury Trucking Company, Inc., Cambridge, Mass., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, and certain specified commodities, from, to, and between, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia. Herbert Burstein, One

World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048, attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-948 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

[Notice 1]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

JANUARY 3, 1975.

The following are notices of filing of application, except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application, for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67, (49 CFR Part 1131) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protests must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 19945 (Sub-No. 52 TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: BEHNKEN TRUCK SERVICE, INC., Route #13, New Athens, Ill. 62264. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks, II, 1301 Ambassador Building, St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flue dust*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Armco Steel Co. at Kansas City, Mo., to Frit Industries, Inc., at Humboldt, Iowa, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: James M. Wyatt, Manager, Frit Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 1324, Ozark, Ala. 36360. Send protests to: Harold C. Jolliff, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, P.O. Box 2418, Springfield, Ill. 62705.

No. MC 21227 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed December 28, 1974. Applicant: MIDLAND TRUCK LINES, INC., 311 Marion Street, St. Louis, Mo. 63104. Applicant's representative: George M. Catlett, 703-706 McClure Bldg., Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Evansville, Ind. and the plantsite of Ardco, Inc., Elkton, Ky. and (2) from Evansville, Ind., over U.S. Highway 41 to junction of Pennyrile Parkway, thence over Pennyrile Parkway to junction of U.S. Highway 41 near Madisonville, Ky., thence over U.S. Highway 41 to junction of Pennyrile Parkway, thence over Pennyrile Parkway to junction of U.S. Highway 68, thence over U.S. Highway 68 to Elkton, Ky., and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Ardco, Inc., P.O. Box 457, Elkton, Ky. 42220. Send protests to: District Supervisor J. P. Werthmann, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 1465, 210 N. 12th Street, St. Louis, MO. 63101.

NOTE.—Applicant states it will tack and/or interline with any other carrier with authorities in MC 21227 and subs thereunder.

No. MC 34027 (Sub-No. 6 TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: GEETINGS, INC., 214 South Clark, P.O. Box 82, Pella, Iowa 50219. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 900 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tires and tubes*, between Pella, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, Oklahoma City, Okla.; and Fridlay, Dayton, and Cincinnati, Ohio, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: K. B. Tire Co., 201 Franklin Street, Pella, Iowa 50219. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 104683 (Sub-No. 36 TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 1524, Hattiesburg, Miss. 39401. Applicant's representative: W. Guy McKenzie, Jr., P.O. Box 1200, Tallahassee, Fla. 32302. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crude oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Wayne County, Miss., to Gilbertown, Ala., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Hunt Oil Company, Quitman, Miss. 39355. Send protests to: Alan C. Tarrant, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 212, 145 East Amite Building, Jackson, Miss. 39201.

No. MC 108393 (Sub-No. 85TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: SIGNAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 201 East Ogden Avenue, Hinsdale, Ill. 60521. Applicant's representative: Eugene L. Cohn, One North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Electrical and gas appliances, parts of electrical and gas appliances and equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the manufacture, distribution, and repair of electrical and gas appliances (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), (1) Between Lombard, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Elkhart, Fulton, Kosciusko, Lake, La Porte, Marshall, Porter, Pulaski, St. Joseph and Starke Counties, Ind.; and points in Berrien, Cass, St. Joseph, and Van Buren Counties, Mich. and (2) from St. Joseph, Mich.; Clyde, Marion, and Findlay, Ohio; Danville, Ky.; La Porte, Ind.; and St. Paul, Minn., to Lombard, Ill., restricted to operations for the account of Whirlpool Corporation, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Carl R. Anderson, Director—Transportation, Whirlpool Corporation, Benton Harbor, Mich. 49022. Send protests to: William J. Gray, Jr., District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 109689 (Sub-No. 281TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: W. S. HATCH CO., 643 South 800 West St., Woods Cross, Utah 84087. Applicant's representative: Mark K. Boyle, 345 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid gilsonite asphalt sealers and preservatives*, in bulk, from Mack, Colo. and points within 5 miles, to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The Gilsabind Company, North 7326 Division Street, Suite 200, Spokane, Wash. 99208 (Robert K. Zentner, President). Send protests to: District Supervisor Lyle D. Helfer, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 5301 Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138.

No. MC 111485 (Sub-No. 17 TA), filed December 19, 1974. Applicant: PASCHALL TRUCK LINES, INC., Route 4, Murray, Ky. 42071. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Kinker, 711 McClure Building, P.O. Box 464, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Monumental stone*, from points in Elbert, Wilkes, Oglethorpe, Madison, Hart, Pickens, and Dawson Counties, Ga., to points in Missouri, Illinois, that part of Indiana in and west of Elkhart, Mosciusko, Fulton, Miami, Cass, Carroll, Tippecanoe, Montgomery, Boone, Putnam, Morgan, Monroe, Greene, Martin, Dubois, and Spencer Counties, Ind., and that part of Tennessee in and west and north of Stewart, Houston, Humphreys, Benton, Carroll, Henderson, Madison, Haywood, and Lauderdale Counties, Tenn.; and (2) *Monumental stone*, from points in Elbert, Wilkes, Oglethorpe, Madison, Hart, Pickens, and Dawson Counties, Ga., to points in that part of Kentucky west of the Tennessee River, for 180 days.

NOTE.—Applicant states that the purpose of this filing is to permit it to lawfully continue a service previously performed by tacking and subject to the rules promulgated in

Gateway Elimination, 119 MCC 530 (1974), 49 CFR 1065. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 37 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined here at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below.

Send protests to: District Supervisor Floyd A. Johnson, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 435 Federal Office Bldg., 167 North Main Street, Memphis, Tenn. 38103.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 489TA), filed December 27, 1974. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Radiopharmaceuticals, radioactive drugs, medical isotopes, and related supplies and accessories*, between Arlington Heights, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, Minnesota, Nekraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Amersham/Searle, 2636 South Clearbrook Drive, Arlington Heights, Ill. 60005. Send protests to: Anthony D. Giaimo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007.

No. MC 112750 (Sub-No. 315TA), filed December 27, 1974. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commercial papers, documents and written instruments* (except currency and negotiable securities), between Atlanta, Augusta, and Savannah, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina, for 90 days. Supporting shipper: C & S Data Processing, Inc., 222 Mitchell Street, Atlanta, Ga. Send protests to: Anthony D. Giaimo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y.

No. MC 113828 (Sub-No. 224TA), filed December 27, 1974. Applicant: O'BOYLE TANK LINES, INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 30006, Washington, D.C. 20014. Applicant's representative: Michael A. Grimm (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime, limestone and limestone products*, in bulk, from Kimballton, Va., to points in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Indiana, Ohio, Tennessee, Kentucky, and Georgia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Virginia Lime Company, Star Route, Ripplemead, Va. 24150. Send protests to: W. C. Hersman, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 12th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 317, Washington, D.C. 20423.

No. MC 114965 (Sub-No. 56TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: CYRUS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 327, Iola, Kans. 66749. Applicant's representative: Charles H. Apt, P.O. Box 328, Iola, Kans. 66749. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Propane*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Moderngas, Inc., at or near LeCompton, Kans. and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room Kans., to points in Missouri, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Moderngas, Inc., P.O. Box 886, Lawrence, Kans. 66044. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 501 Petroleum Building, Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 116004 (Sub-No. 33TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: TEXAS OKLAHOMA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 47112, Dallas, Tex. 75247. Applicant's representative: Clayte Binion, 1108 Continental Life Building, Fort Worth, Tex. 76102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Oleomargarine and vegetable oil shortening*, and *returned or rejected shipments*, (A) *Oleomargarine and vegetable oil shortening* from the plantsite of Standard Brands Incorporated at Denison, Tex. as follows: (1) from Denison, Tex. over U.S. Highway 75 to Atoka, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 69 to junction Indian Nation Turnpike, and thence over Indian Nation Turnpike, to Henryetta, Okla., and return over the same route serving no intermediate points; (2) from Denison, Tex. over U.S. Highway 69 to Durant, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 70 to Ardmore, Okla., and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (3) from Denison, Tex. over U.S. Highway 75 to Sherman, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 82 to the junction of U.S. Highway 82 and U.S. Highway 77 (Interstate Highway 35), thence over U.S. Highway 77 (Interstate Highway 35), to Marietta, Okla., and return over the same routes, serving no intermediate points, for 180 days.

NOTE.—Applicant proposes to join routes (1), (2), and (3) with its existing routes at Henryetta, Ardmore, and Marietta, Okla., respectively, so as to provide a through service from Denison, Tex., to points in Oklahoma, Kansas and Missouri with applicant serves direct, and from such points on return to Denison. Applicant proposes to interline with other carriers at its direct service points in Oklahoma, Kansas, and Missouri.

Restriction: Restricted to the use of vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration. Supporting shipper: Standard Brands Incorporated, Plant Traffic Manager, 625 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10022. Send protests to: District Supervisor Gerald T. Holland, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1100 Commerce Street, Room 13C12, Dallas, Tex. 75202.

No. MC 116073 (Sub-No. 313TA), filed December 27, 1974. Applicant: BARRETT MOBILE HOME TRANSPORT, INC., 1825 Main Avenue, P.O. Box 919, Moorhead, Minn. 56560. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Tessar (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Travel trailers*, from plantsite of Wilderness Industries at or near LaFayette, Colo., to points in Colorado, Montana, Idaho, Utah, Texas, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Wyoming, Missouri, and Iowa, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Fleetwood Enterprises, Incorporated, 3125 Myers Street, P.O. Box 7635, Riverside, Calif. 92503. Send protests to: Joseph H. Ambs, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, P.O. Box 2340, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102.

No. MC 117551 (Sub-No. 8TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: NEWS & FILM SERVICE, INC., 745 Lipan Street, Denver, Colo. 80204. Applicant's representative: John H. Lewis, The 1650 Grant St. Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Motion picture and television film and theatre supplies*, between Denver, Colo., on the one hand, and, on the other, all points east of Limon, Colo., to the Colorado-Kansas state line on U.S. Highway 24 and Interstate Highway 70, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Moon Theatre, Stratton, Colo.; Midway Theatre Co., Box 397, Burlington, Colo. 80807; and Flagler Theatre, Flagler, Colo. send protests to: District Supervisor Roger L. Buchanan, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 2022 Federal Building, 1961 Stout Street, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 120800 (Sub-No. 58TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: CAPITOL TRUCK LINE, INC., 2500 North Alameda Street, Compton, Calif. 90222. Applicant's representative: David P. Christianson, 825 City National Bank Bldg., 606 South Olive Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90222. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquefied natural gas*, from points in Elmore County, Ala., to points in Orange County, Calif., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: J. C. Carter Company, 671 West 17th Street, Costa Mesa, Calif. Send protests to: Walter W. Strakosch, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 7708 Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.

No. MC 126548 (Sub-No. 12TA), filed December 24, 1974. Applicant: ELMER A. FEHRLE, doing business as FEHRLE TRUCKING, 2329 18th Street, SW, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52404. Applicant's representative: Kenneth F. Dudley, P.O. Box 279, Ottumwa, Iowa 52501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber, pallets, skids, sawdust, chips, and raggle boards*, from points in Iowa, to points in Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky,

Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Utah, and Washington, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: McGuffin Lumber, Inc., 3142 Central Street, Evanston, Ill. 60201 and Werner Saw Mill Co., 1511 State Street, Tama, Iowa 52339. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 875 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 127577 (Sub-No. 11TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: D. DONNELLY LIMITED, 191 Murray Street, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3C 2C9. Applicant's representative: W. Norman Charles, 80 Bay Street, Glens Falls, N.Y. 12801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ferro alloys*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at Highgate Springs, Vt., and Champlain, N.Y., to Bridgeport, Conn., for 90 days. Supporting shipper: Philipp Bros. (Canada) Ltd., 1245 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1G2. Send protests to: District Supervisor Paul D. Collins, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 548, 87 State Street, Montpelier, Vt. 05602.

No. MC 133119 (Sub-No. 62TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: HEYL TRUCK LINES INC., 235 Mill Street, Akron, Iowa 51001. Applicant's representatives: Don Heyl (same address as applicant) and A. J. Swanson, 521 South 14th Street, (P.O. Box 81849), Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass beads, glass spheres, and glass cullet* in bags and boxes, from ports of entry on the International Boundary between the United States and Canada located in North Dakota, Minnesota, and Montana, to points in Minnesota and Wyoming, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Canasphere Industries Limited, Rod Parker, General Manager, P.O. Box 1444, Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan, Canada S6H 4R3. Send protests to: District Supervisor Carroll Russell, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Suite 620 Union Pacific, Plaza, 110 No. 14 Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68102.

No. MC 134142 (Sub-No. 7TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: BROWN REFRIGERATED EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 603, Ft. Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Center, 3535 N.W. 58th, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Lee's Summit, Mo., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah, and Washington, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: R. B. Rice Company, Inc., P.O. Box 328, Lee's Summit, Mo. 64063. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 501 Petroluem Building, Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 134323 (Sub-No. 68TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: JAY LINES, INC., 720 North Grand, Amarillo, Tex. 79105. Applicant's representative: Gailyn Larsen, P.O. Box 80806, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Salt* (in bags), (a) from Hutchinson and Lyons, Kans., to Plainview and Friona, Tex.; and Rockport, Mo. and (b) from Grand Saline, Tex., to Wichita, Kans.; (2) *Boxes and containers*, from Wichita, Kans. and Denver, Colo., to Plainview, Tex. and Rockport, Mo.; (3) *Boneguard cloth and cryovac bags*, from Holyoke, Mass. and Cedar Rapids, Iowa, to Wichita, Kans.; Plainview, Tex.; and Rockport, Mo. and (4) *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, (a) from Wichita, Kans., to points in Nebraska, Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, and Oklahoma and (b) from Plainview and Friona, Tex.; Guymor, Okla.; Rockport, Mo.; and Omaha, Nebr., to Wichita, Kans., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: MBPXL Corporation, P.O. Box 910, Plainview, Tex. 79072. Send protests to: Haskell E. Ballard, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Box H-4395 Herring Plaza, Amarillo, Tex. 79101.

No. MC 136211 (Sub-No. 28TA), filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: MERCHANTS HOME DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 210 St. Mary's Drive, Suite G (P.O. Box 5067), Oxnard, Calif. 93030. Applicant's representative: Joseph E. Rebman, 1230 Boatmen's Bank Bldg., 314 North Broadway, St. Louis, Mo. 63102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) *New furniture, new home furnishings, appliances, and recreational equipment*, (1) from the facilities of the Levitz Corporation, located at or near Calumet City, Rolling Meadows, and Hillside, (all near Chicago), Ill., to points in that part of Wisconsin on, south and east of a line beginning at Lake Michigan at Racine, Wis. and extending westerly along Wisconsin Highway 11 to junction Wisconsin Highway 67, thence south along Wisconsin Highway 67 to junction Wisconsin Highway 36, thence south along Wisconsin Highways 67 and 36 to the Wisconsin-Illinois state line; and (2) from the facilities of the Levitz Corporation, located at or near Calumet City, Rolling Meadows, and Hillside (all near Chicago), Ill., to points in that part of Indiana on and east of a line beginning at Lake Michigan at Tremont, Ind. and extending south along Indiana Highway 14 to the Illinois-Indiana state line; and (B) *return shipments of new furniture, new home furnishings, appliances, and recreational equipment* from points in the destination territories described in paragraphs (1) and (2) above, under a continuing contract or contracts with the Levitz Furniture Corporation, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Levitz Furniture Corporation, Mannheim & Madison Streets, Hillside, Ill. 60162. Send protests to: Walter W. Strakosch, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 7708 Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.

No. MC 139198 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed December 17, 1974. Applicant: PECOS VALLEY, INC., P.O. Box 280, Carlsbad, N. Mex. 88220. Applicant's representative: Jack Smith, Suite 1627, National Building, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Salt, salt products, animal and poultry feeds and feed ingredients* and (2) agricultural commodities as described in Section 203(b)(6) when moved in mixed loads with commodities described in (1) above, between points in Eddy County, N. Mex. and points in Oklahoma and points in that part of Texas, on west and north of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line and extending along U.S. Highway 83 to Abilene, Tex., thence west, southwestward along U.S. Highway 80 (and Interstate Highway 20), to junction with U.S. Highway 285 at or near Pecos, Tex., thence north northwestward along U.S. Highway 285 to the Texas-New Mexico State line, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Seven Rivers Cattle Company, Seven Rivers, N. Mex. 88254; United Salt Corporation, P.O. Drawer SS, Carlsbad, N. Mex. 88220; and Hi-Pro Feeds, P.O. Box 1088, Friona, Tex. Send protests to: District Supervisor John H. Kirkemo, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1106 Federal Office Building, 517 Gold Avenue, SW, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87101.

NOTE.—Applicant states it will not tack and/or interline with any other carriers.

No. MC 139499 (Sub-No. 4TA), filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: U.S. TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 6, Bakersfield, Ca. 93303. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Stecher, 140 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Laminated plastics, adhesive liquids*, except in bulk from Coshocton, Ohio to Cerritos, Calif., for 180 days. Supporting shipper(s): General Electric Co., 1350 S. Second St., Coshocton, Ohio. Send protests to: Walter W. Strakosch, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 7708 Federal Building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.

No. MC 139759 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed December 27, 1974. Applicant: BENJAMIN FERNANDEZ, doing business as DIRECT COURIER, 2780 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Va. 22202. Applicant's representative: Gerald K. Gimmel, 303 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Md. 20760. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Live laboratory animals*, between Port Washington, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, and Virginia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Primate Imports, Inc., 34 Munson St., Port Washington, N.Y. 11050. Send protests to: W. C. Hersman, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 12th and Constitution Avenue NW., Room 317, Washington, D.C. 20423.

No. MC 139783 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed December 24, 1974. Applicant: CHARLES J. METTLER AND ROSEMAY J. METTLER, doing business as METTLER TRUCKING, 4110 Milton Avenue East, Tacoma, Wash. 98424. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials*, such as *doors, lumber, and particle board and related hardware* used in manufacture of doors, from Lacey, Wash., to Portland, Beaverton, and Harrisburg, Oreg., and Sacramento, Calif., and *particle board*, from Medford, Oreg., to Lacey, Wash., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Nu-Dor Inc., P.O. Box 3532, Lacey, Wash. 98503. Send protests to Transportation Specialist L. D. Boone, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 858, Federal Office Building, 915 2nd Avenue, Seattle, Wash. 98174.

No. MC 139987 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed December 18, 1974. Applicant: MILTON B. ANDERSON AND MELVIN K. ANDERSON, doing business as OVERLAND EXPRESS, 790 East Glendale Road, Sparks, Nev. 89431. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Stecher, 140 Montgomery Street, 4th Floor, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Class A and B explosives and Class A and B poisons, limited to 70 pounds per package, not to exceed 200 pounds per shipment), between points in Nevada on and north of Interstate Highway 80 (U.S. Highway 40) and between points on and north of Interstate Highway 80 (U.S. Highway 40), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Lincoln County, Nev., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 42 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined herr at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: District Supervisor Robert G. Harrison, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 203 Federal Building, 705 North Plaza Street, Carson City, Nev. 89701.

NOTE.—Applicant states it will tack at Reno, Wells, and Elko, Nev., with common carriers.

No. MC 140143 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed December 20, 1974. Applicant: JOE A. GIBSON, Newkirk, Okla. 74647. Applicant's representative: Tom L. Schwinn, Box 549, Wellington, Kans. 67152. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicles, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt, urea, feed, and feed ingredients*, including but not limited to *alfalfa meal and pellets, cottonseed cake and meal, linseed cake and meal, soybean cake and meal and fertilizer, commercial* (liquid, bag, and bulk) *molasses*, between the plant site of McDaniel Grain Co. at Gueda Springs, Sumner County, Kans., and points surrounding said plant site in eastern Sumner and west Cowley Counties, Kans., and northern Kay County, Okla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma, Texas, and Louisiana, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: McDaniel Grain Company, Howard McDaniel, Owner, Gueda Springs, Kans. 67051. Send protests to: C. L. Phillips, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 240, Old P.O. Building, 215 NW. Third, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 140480 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed December 24, 1974. Applicant: EDWIN J. MEIERS, doing business as GRAPHIC ARTS EXPRESS, R.F.D. 1, Oregon, Ill. 61061. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Levy, 29 South LaSalle, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rotogravure cylinders*, between Mt. Morris, Ill., and New Berlin and Milwaukee, Wis., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Harold L. Kelley, Traffic Manager, Kable Printing Company, 404 N. Wesley Avenue, Mt. Morris, Ill. 61054. Send protests to: Robert G. Anderson, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 140492 TA, filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: JACK N. WILKERSON, doing business as ANNISTON MOVING AND STORAGE COMPANY, 515 South Noble Street, Anniston, Ala. 36201. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used household goods*, between points in Bibb, Blount, Calhoun, Cherokee, Clay, Cleburne, Cullman, De Kalb, Etowah, Fayette, Jefferson, Lamar, Marion, Pickens, Randolph, Saint Clair, Shelby, Talladega, Tuscaloosa, Walker, and Winston Counties, Ala., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Richardson Forwarding Company, 992 East Artesia Boulevard, Long Beach, Calif. 90805, and International Export Packers, Inc., 5360 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Va. 22304. Send protests to: Clifford W. White, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 1616, 2121 Building, Birmingham, Ala. 35203.

No. MC 140493 TA, filed December 26, 1974. Applicant: SANDEL ASSOCIATES, INC., 110 Amsterdam Avenue, Roselle, N.J. 07203. Applicant's representative: Nicholas J. Marino, 11 Commerce Street, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Scrap metal*, between Elizabeth, N.J., and Wilmington, Del., on the one hand, and, on the other, Coatesville and East Greenville, Pa.; Baltimore, Md.; Boston, Mass.; and Wilmington, Del., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: M & T Chemicals, Inc., 700 Kapkowski Road, Elizabeth, N.J. 07201. Send protests to: District Supervisor R. E. Johnston, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 9 Clinton Street, Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 140494 TA, filed December 23, 1974. Applicant: JIMMY D. LAWSON, doing business as JL TRUCKING, 912 North Oak, Ponca City, Okla. 74601. Applicant's representative: Jimmy D. Lawson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, having a prior or subsequent movement by rail, between points in Oklahoma, within a radius of 50 miles of Ponca City, including Ponca City, Okla., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Acme Foundry & Machine Co., Inc., Roger L. Goff, Treas., 400 E. Frisco (Box 520), Blackwell, Okla. 74631, and Ingham Lumber Co., Inc., Harold F. Sorrell, Pres., 117 West 8th, Stillwater, Okla. Send protests to: C. L. Phillips, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 240, Old Post Office Building, 215 NW. Third, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-946 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, JANUARY 10, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 7

PART II

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

■

RUBBER PROCESSING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Effluent Guidelines Standards

Title 40—Protection of the Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER N—EFFLUENT GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

[FRL 315-7]

PART 428—RUBBER PROCESSING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Final Effluent Limitations Guidelines for Existing Sources and Standards of Performance and Pretreatment Standards

On August 23, 1974, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 30632), that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) was proposing effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources within the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; wet digestion reclaimed rubber; pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber; latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber; and latex foam subcategories of the rubber processing category of point sources.

The purpose of this notice is to establish final effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources in the rubber processing category of point sources, by amending 40 CFR Ch. I, Subchapter N, Part 428 by adding thereto the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory (Subpart E); the medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory (Subpart F); the large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory (Subpart G); the wet digestion reclaimed rubber subcategory (Subpart H); the pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber subcategory (Subpart I); the latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber subcategory (Subpart J); and the latex foam subcategory (Subpart K). This final rulemaking is promulgated pursuant to sections 301, 304(b) and (c), 306(b) and (c) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, (the Act); 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314(b) and (c), 1316(b) and (c) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500. Regulations regarding cooling water intake structures for all categories of point sources under section 316(b) of the Act will be promulgated in 40 CFR Part 402.

In addition, the EPA is simultaneously proposing a separate provision which appears in the proposed rules section of the FEDERAL REGISTER, stating the application of the limitations and standards set forth below to users of publicly owned treatment works which are subject to pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act. The basis of that proposed regulation is set forth in the associated notice of proposed rulemaking.

The legal basis, methodology and factual conclusions which support promulgation of this regulation were set forth in substantial detail in the notice of public review procedures published August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202) and in the notice of proposed rulemaking for the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; wet digestion reclaimed rubber; pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber; latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber; and latex foam subcategories. In addition, the regulations as proposed were supported by two other documents: (1) The document entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Fabricated and Reclaimed Rubber Segment of the Rubber Processing Point Source Category" (August 1974) and (2) the document entitled "Economic Analysis of Proposed Effluent Guidelines, The Rubber Processing Industry (Phase II)" (August 1974). Both of these documents were made available to the public and circulated to interested persons at approximately the time of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking.

Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking by submitting written comments within 30 days from the date of publication. Prior public participation in the form of solicited comments and responses from the States, Federal agencies, and other interested parties were described in the preamble to the proposed regulation. The EPA has considered carefully all of the comments received and a discussion of these comments with the Agency's response thereto follows.

(a) *Summary of comments.* The following responded to the request for written comments contained in the preamble to the proposed regulation: Uniroyal, Inc.; B. F. Goodrich Co.; Gates Rubber Company; Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.; Firestone Tire and Rubber Co.; Centrex Corporation; and the Rubber Manufacturers Association.

Each of the comments received was carefully reviewed and analyzed. The following is a summary of the significant comments and the Agency's response to them.

(1) The comment was made that EPA did not take into consideration plant age in the development of the regulations.

As stated within the Development Document, plant age was considered and determined not to affect the ability of a plant to comply with the regulations presented herein. A substantial portion of the data used in developing the limitations for the molded and extruded subcategories were obtained from older plants in the industry. Complete redesign and repiping are not considered necessary. Isolation of pollutants and proper housekeeping should be capable of achieving compliance with the regulations.

(2) One commenter stated that consideration was not given to existing flood control procedures, involving both, the plant's and borough's storm water discharges that flow through the plant's flood station outfalls.

This type of situation was not considered and is not intended to be covered by the regulations presented herein. Other regulations and procedures exist which address this problem. It is intended that the plant control process waste water discharges as described in the Development Document. The plant may be required to monitor for pollutants prior to plant waters mixing with storm water.

(3) It was recommended that footwear be placed in a separate subcategory due to the greater number of process steps at a footwear facility as opposed to other general molded, extruded, and fabricated plants.

The data currently available to the Agency shows that footwear facilities have approximately the same raw waste loads as other types of plants in the subcategory. Therefore, the Agency considers footwear plants as being capable of meeting the same guidelines as other general molded, extruded, and fabricated plants. The greater processing steps utilized in the manufacture of footwear tend to be dry processes and therefore do not contribute to the pollutants discharged.

(4) The same commenter stated that the TSS of the incoming water is higher than the allowable limit, and that it would be more reasonable to issue guidelines based on the solids added.

EPA agrees that under certain conditions net limitations should be allowed. Amendments to 40 CFR Part 125 have been proposed so that credit for intake pollutants may be requested by the discharger.

(5) Questions were raised concerning lead treatment, process flow and cost of treatment presented in the proposed regulation.

EPA reevaluated the problems at lead-sheathed hose plants by reviewing data submitted by commenters and by plant visits and discussions with plant personnel. It was concluded that EPA underestimated the flow of lead-laden waters and the cost of treatment, and has therefore revised the supporting information. The BPCTCA lead limitation has now been set at 0.0007 kg/kkg of raw material. Plants are currently achieving this limitation, and the economic analysis indicates that the possible additional cost would not impact the industry sector. Sufficient lead reduction is attained by BPCTCA, therefore, the BATEA lead limitation has also been set at 0.0007 kg/kkg of raw material.

(6) Two commenters questioned the accuracy of the sample analysis, comparing their results on split samples with those of the contractor.

The comments received on sample analysis were concerned with large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants and reclaimed rubber plants. EPA evaluated all of the data received and concluded that the limitations were still attainable for large-sized

general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants. Further reduction of pollutants has been demonstrated by wet digestion rubber reclaiming data. The limits, therefore, have been adjusted to reflect the performance of wet digestion rubber reclaiming.

(7) Several commenters questioned the applicability of these limitations to "process water" which includes in some cases utility, sanitary, boiler blowdown, and non-contact cooling waters.

EPA has clearly defined "process waste water" for which the regulations presented herein are applicable. The development of the regulations is based upon treatment of waters which do not include utility, sanitary and non-contact cooling water. In plants where these waters become process waste water, isolation and treatment of pollutant sources can be conducted as a means of achieving compliance with the regulation. Pollutant discharge allowances for utility, sanitary, and non-contact cooling operations will be covered by separate regulations at a future date.

(8) A commenter questioned the use of hexane extraction for oil and grease measurements by stating that the U.S. EPA Regional Offices are only accepting oil and grease test results if performed using freon extraction procedures.

Results of oil and grease measurement from the use of freon or hexane are approximately equivalent and the use of either would not be detrimental to water quality. For uniformity and because there is less variability in the data the test required for oil and grease is freon extraction.

(9) One commenter stated that metal parts are frequently a component of the final product and therefore should be included in the definition of "raw material".

The term "raw material" is defined to serve as a basis of comparison when limiting pollutant discharge. After evaluation of the use of metals within the rubber industry, EPA does not consider that the pollutant contributions from metals would be properly covered by inclusion within the definition of raw material for the rubber guidelines. Pollutant limitations for metal finishing will be more properly covered by regulations for the electroplating and fabricated metal products industries.

(10) A commenter stated that the quantity of water used in developing the guidelines is small.

The quantity of water used in developing the guidelines is based upon permit data and plant inspections and is considered to be a typical volume of process water which a plant should discharge. Many plants within the rubber industry do not need to utilize and discharge process water. Spills and leaks tend to be a major contributor to pollutants loadings and flow rates. If spills and leaks are of such an extent as to create problems in meeting the regulations, it is the plant's responsibility to minimize these occurrences.

(11) Two commenters questioned the level of treatment for TSS and oil and grease. One commenter stated that oil and grease was too close to the treatability limit.

The regulation on suspended solids is based upon 40 mg/l, a value which has been demonstrated as attainable by various treatment systems within the industry. The oil and grease limitations were based upon 10 mg/l; however, this has been altered to 15 mg/l after reevaluation and further data analysis to ensure minimum analytical variability.

(12) One commenter stated that plant H's water usage was significantly higher than other large plants and therefore footwear should be separately subcategorized.

In examining water usage listed in the Development Document, noncontact cooling waters and utility waters have been included in some cases thereby giving an improper impression of the treatability. Spills and leaks can be isolated and treated or prevented within footwear plants to a level equivalent to other molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants. One source of additional process water at plant H is the use of wet scrubbers. However, EPA has added additional limitations to cover pollutants discharged by wet scrubbers from the molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber subcategories.

(13) One commenter stated that pretreatment standards should be set only for components which will be harmful to municipal treatment systems.

In establishing pretreatment standards EPA is required by section 307(b) to regulate pollutants that will pass through untreated or interfere with the municipal treatment system.

(14) A company stated that § 428.54 along with other sections of the proposed regulation are reserved and that they could not agree to endorsing a section without being able to evaluate its contents.

The sections that have been reserved will be utilized for pretreatment regulations applicable to existing sources. However, these sections are being proposed concurrently with promulgation of this regulation and will be promulgated after the comment and review period.

(15) One comment indicated that energy estimates to meet the proposed regulations are unrealistically low, partially because they fail to include horsepower for recirculation of cooling water.

A plant may use whatever technology it desires to achieve compliance with the regulations. EPA does not consider recirculation of cooling water necessary to achieve compliance with the regulations. The treatment design contained within the Development Document did not require recirculation of cooling water, and therefore, energy requirements for such a system were not included.

(16) Questions have been raised concerning the availability of standards or guidelines applicable to the disposal of solid wastes resulting from the operation of pollution control systems.

The principles set forth in "Land Disposal of Solid Wastes Guidelines" (40 CFR Part 241) may be used as guidance for acceptable land disposal techniques. Potentially hazardous wastes may require special considerations to ensure their proper disposal. Additionally, state and local guidelines and regulations should be considered wherever applicable.

(b) *Revision of the proposed regulations prior to promulgation.* As a result of public comments and continuing review and evaluation of the proposed regulation by the EPA, the following changes have been made in the regulation.

(1) The BPCTCA lead limitation was reduced to 0.0007 kg/kkg of raw material.

(2) The daily maximum effluent limitations for all subparts were altered.

(3) Limitations were amended within Subparts E, F, and G to take into consideration pollutants from wet scrubbers.

(c) *Economic impact.* There are two changes which affect the economic impact analysis: the cost of lead treatment has been raised in response to comments and the cost of treatment for the rubber reclaim plants has been clarified. In response to higher lead treatment costs, a reevaluation of the economic impact concludes that the revised costs would not materially affect the previous conclusions. For the reclaim rubber segment, a plant-by-plant assessment indicated that no plants would be expected to close, rather than the three possible closures forecast earlier.

(d) *Cost-benefit analysis.* The detrimental effects of the constituents of waste waters now discharged by point sources within the fabricated and reclaimed rubber segment of the rubber processing point source category are discussed in Section VI of the report entitled "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines for the Fabricated and Reclaimed Rubber Segment of the Rubber Processing Point Source Category" (November 1974). It is not feasible to quantify in economic terms, particularly on a national basis, the costs resulting from the discharge of these pollutants to our Nation's waterways. Nevertheless, as indicated in Section VI, the pollutants discharged have substantial and damaging impacts on the quality of water and therefore on its capacity to support healthy populations of wildlife, fish and other aquatic wildlife and on its suitability for industrial, recreational and drinking water supply uses.

The total cost of implementing the effluent limitations guidelines includes the direct capital and operating costs of the pollution control technology employed to achieve compliance and the indirect economic and environmental costs identified in Section VIII and in the supplementary report entitled "Economic Analysis of Proposed Effluent Guidelines The Rubber Processing Industry (Phase II)" (August 1974). Implementing the effluent limitations guidelines will substantially reduce the environmental harm which would otherwise be attributable to the continued discharge of polluted waste waters from existing and newly constructed plants in the rubber processing industry. The Agency believes

that the benefits of thus reducing the pollutants discharged justify the associated costs which, though substantial in absolute terms, represent a relatively small percentage of the total capital investment in the industry.

(e) Publication of information on processes, procedures, or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants.

In conformance with the requirements of section 304(c) of the Act, a manual entitled, "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Fabricated and Reclaimed Rubber Segment of the Rubber Processing Point Source Category," has been published and is available for purchase from the Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 for a nominal fee.

Copies of the economic analysis document previously cited will be available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

(f) Final rulemaking.

In consideration of the foregoing, 40 CFR Ch. I, Subchapter N, Part 428, Rubber Processing Point Source Category, is hereby amended by adding additional Subparts E, F, G, H, I, J, and K to read as set forth below. This final regulation is promulgated as set forth below and shall be effective immediately on January 10, 1975.

Dated: December 30, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

Subpart E—Small-Sized General Molded, Extruded and Fabricated Rubber Plants Subcategory

Sec.

428.50 Applicability; description of the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory.
428.51 Specialized definitions.
428.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.54 [Reserved]
428.55 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.56 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart F—Medium-Sized General Molded, Extruded and Fabricated Rubber Plants Subcategory

428.60 Applicability; description of the medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory.
428.61 Specialized definitions.
428.62 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.63 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.64 [Reserved]
428.65 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.66 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart G—Large-Sized General Molded, Extruded, and Fabricated Rubber Plants Subcategory

428.70 Applicability; description of the large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory.
428.71 Specialized definitions.
428.72 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.73 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.74 [Reserved]
428.75 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.76 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart H—Wet Digestion Reclaimed Rubber Subcategory

428.80 Applicability; description of the wet digestion reclaimed rubber subcategory.
428.81 Specialized definitions.
428.82 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.83 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.84 [Reserved]
428.85 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.86 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart I—Pan, Dry Digestion, and Mechanical Reclaimed Rubber Subcategory

428.90 Applicability; description of the pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber subcategory.
428.91 Specialized definitions.
428.92 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.93 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.94 [Reserved]
428.95 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart J—Latex-Dipped, Latex-Extruded, and Latex-Molded Rubber Subcategory

Sec.

428.100 Applicability; description of the latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber subcategory.
428.101 Specialized definitions.
428.102 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.103 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.104 [Reserved]
428.105 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart K—Latex Foam Subcategory

428.110 Applicability; description of the latex foam subcategory.
428.111 Specialized definitions.
428.112 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
428.113 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
428.114 [Reserved]
428.115 Standards of performance for new sources.
428.116 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306 (b) and (c), 307(c), Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the Act); 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316 (b) and (c), 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500.

Subpart E—Small-Sized General Molded, Extruded, and Fabricated Rubber Plants Subcategory

§ 428.50 Applicability; description of the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory.

The following provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the production of molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber products, foam rubber backing, rubber cement-dipped goods, and retreaded tires by small-sized plants. Specifically excluded from the provisions of this subpart are the discharges resulting from the production of latex-based products, tires and inner tubes, and those discharges from textile plants subject to the provisions of Part 410 of this Chapter.

§ 428.51 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "raw material" shall mean all natural and synthetic rubber, carbon black, oils, chemical compounds, and fabric used in the manufacture of general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber products.

(c) The term "raw material equivalent" shall be equal to the raw material usage multiplied by the volume of air scrubbed via wet scrubbers divided by the total volume of air scrubbed.

(d) The term "small-sized plants" shall mean plants which process less than 3,720 kg/day (8,200 lbs/day) of raw materials.

§ 428.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.70	0.25
TSS	1.28	0.64
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1,000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.70	0.25
TSS	1.28	0.64
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.52(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

(c) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to wet scrubbers, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.52(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material equivalent		
TSS	5.8	2.9
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material equivalent		
TSS	5.8	2.9

§ 428.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.70	0.25
TSS	1.28	0.64
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1,000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.70	0.25
TSS	1.28	0.64
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.53(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

(c) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to wet scrubbers, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.53(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material equivalent		
TSS	1.0	0.5
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material equivalent		
TSS	1.0	0.5

§ 428.54 [Reserved]

§ 428.55 Standards of performance for new sources.

(a) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.70	0.25
TSS	1.28	0.64
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.70	0.25
TSS	1.28	0.64
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.55(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

§ 428.56 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this Chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this Chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this Chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	Do.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.56(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

Subpart F—Medium-Sized General Molded, Extruded, and Fabricated Rubber Plants Subcategory

§ 428.60 Applicability; description of the medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory.

The following provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the production of molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber products, foam rubber backing, rubber cement-dipped goods, and retreaded tires by medium-sized plants. Specifically excluded from the provisions of this subpart are the discharges resulting from the production of latex-based products, tires and inner tubes, and those discharges from textile plants subject to the provisions of Part 410 of this chapter.

§ 428.61 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "raw material" shall mean all natural and synthetic rubber, carbon black, oils, chemical compounds, and fabric used in the manufacture of general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber products.

(c) The term "raw material equivalent" shall be equal to the raw material usage multiplied by the volume of air scrubbed via wet scrubbers divided by the total volume of air scrubbed.

(d) The term "medium-sized plants" shall mean plants which process between 3,720 kg/day (8,200 lbs/day) and 10,430 kg/day (23,000 lbs/day) of raw materials.

§ 428.62 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.42	0.15
TSS	0.80	0.40
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.42	0.15
TSS	0.80	0.40
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.62(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

(c) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to wet scrubbers, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.62(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material equivalent		
TSS	5.8	2.9
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material equivalent		
TSS	5.8	2.9

§ 428.63 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of new material		
Oil and Grease	0.42	0.15
TSS	0.80	0.40
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.42	0.15
TSS	0.80	0.40
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable ot lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.63(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

(c) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to wet scrubbers, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.63:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material equivalent		
TSS	1.0	0.5
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material equivalent		
TSS	1.0	0.5

§ 428.64 [Reserved]

§ 428.65 Standards of performance for new sources.

(a) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.42	0.15
TSS	0.80	0.40
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.42	0.15
TSS	0.80	0.40
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.65(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

§ 428.66 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	Do.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.66(a):

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	Pretreatment Standards	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

Subpart G—Large-Sized General Molded, Extruded, and Fabricated Rubber Plants Subcategory

§ 428.70 Applicability; description of the large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory.

The following provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the production of molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber products, foam rubber backing, rubber cement-dipped goods, and retreaded tires by large-sized plants. Specifically excluded from the provisions of this subpart are the discharges resulting from the production of latex-based products, tires and inner tubes, and those discharges from textile plants subject to the provisions of Part 410 of this chapter.

§ 428.71 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "raw material" shall mean all natural and synthetic rubber, carbon black, oils, chemical compounds, and fabric used in the manufacture of general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber products.

(c) The term "raw material equivalent" shall be equal to the raw material usage multiplied by the volume of air scrubbed via wet scrubbers divided by the total volume of air scrubbed.

(d) The term "large-sized plants" shall mean plants which process more than 10,430 kg/day (23,000 lbs/day) of raw materials.

§ 428.72 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily value for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.26	0.093
TSS	0.50	0.25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.26	0.093
TSS	0.50	0.25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.72(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

(c) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to wet scrubbers, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.72(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material equivalent		
TSS	5.8	2.9
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material equivalent		
TSS	5.8	2.9

§ 428.73 **Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.**

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.26	0.093
TSS	0.50	0.25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.26	0.093
TSS	0.50	0.25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.73(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

(c) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to wet scrubbers, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.73(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material equivalent		
TSS	1.0	0.5
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material equivalent		
TSS	1.0	0.5

§ 428.74 [Reserved]

§ 428.75 **Standards of performance for new sources.**

(a) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.26	0.093
TSS	0.50	0.25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	0.26	0.093
TSS	0.50	0.25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.75(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

§ 428.76 **Pretreatment standards for new sources.**

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this Chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this Chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this Chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment Standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	Do.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) the following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.76(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

Subpart H—Wet Digestion Reclaimed Rubber Subcategory

§ 428.80 **Applicability; description of the wet digestion reclaimed rubber subcategory.**

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the production of reclaimed rubber by use of the wet digestion process.

§ 428.81 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

§ 428.82 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations. The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	1.04	0.52
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	1.04	0.52
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 428.83 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The folowing limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	1.04	0.52
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	1.04	0.52
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 428.84 [Reserved].

§ 428.85 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	1.04	0.52
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	1.04	0.52
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 428.86 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the wet digestion reclaimed rubber subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	Pretreatment Standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment Standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l.	
TSS	No limitation	
pH	No limitation	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l.	
TSS	No limitation	
pH	No limitation	

Subpart I—Pan, Dry Digestion, and Mechanical Reclaimed Rubber Subcategory

§ 428.90 Applicability; description of the pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the production of reclaimed rubber except when produced by the wet digestion process.

§ 428.91 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

§ 428.92 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	0.384	0.192
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	0.384	0.192
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section and attributable to pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber processes which are integrated with a wet digestion reclaimed process, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.92(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	6.7	2.8
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	6.7	2.8

§ 428.93 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	0.384	0.192
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	0.384	0.192
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber processes which are integrated with a wet digestion reclaimed rubber process, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.93(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	6.7	2.8
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	6.7	2.8

§ 428.94 [Reserved]

§ 428.95 Standards of performance for new sources.

(a) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	0.384	0.192
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
Oil and Grease	0.40	0.144
TSS	0.384	0.192
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section and attributable to pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber processes which are integrated with a wet digestion reclaimed rubber process, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.95:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	6.7	2.8
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	6.7	2.8

§ 428.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this Chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	Do.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality or pollutant properties controlled by this section and attributable to pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber processes which are integrated with a wet digestion reclaimed rubber process, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.96(a):

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	Pretreatment Standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment Standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	6.7	2.8
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	6.7	2.8

Subpart J—Latex-Dipped, Latex-Extruded, and Latex-Molded Rubber Subcategory

§ 428.100 Applicability; description of the latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the manufacture of latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded products with the exception of those discharges from textile plants subject to the provisions of Part 410 of this chapter.

§ 428.101 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "chromium" shall mean total chromium.

(c) The term "raw material" shall mean all latex solids used in the manufacture of latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded products.

§ 428.102 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	2.0	0.73
BOD_5	3.72	2.20
TSS	6.96	2.90
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	2.0	0.73
BOD_5	3.72	2.20
TSS	6.96	2.90
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to plants employing the chromic acid form-cleaning operation, by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.102(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036

§ 428.103 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

(a) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	2.0	0.73
BOD5	3.72	2.20
TSS	6.96	2.90
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	2.0	0.73
BOD5	3.72	2.20
TSS	6.96	2.90
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section and attributable to plants employing the chromic acid form-cleaning operation, by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.103(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036

§ 428.104 [Reserved]

§ 428.105 Standards of performance for new sources.

(a) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Oil and Grease	2.0	0.73
BOD5	3.72	2.20
TSS	6.96	2.90
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Oil and Grease	2.0	0.73
BOD5	3.72	2.20
TSS	6.96	2.90
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

(b) The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to plants employing the chromic acid form-cleaning operation, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.102(a):

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036

§ 428.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
BOD5	Do.
TSS	Do.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutant properties controlled by this section and attributable to plants employing the chromic acid form-cleaning operation, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.106(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0036

Subpart K—Latex Foam Subcategory

§ 428.110 Applicability; description of the latex foam subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to process waste water discharges resulting from the manufacture of latex foam except for those discharges from textile plants subject to the provisions of Part 410 of this chapter.

§ 428.111 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and meth-

ods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "raw material" shall mean all latex solids used in the manufacture of latex foam.

§ 428.112 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations. The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	2.4	1.4
TSS	2.26	0.94
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	2.4	1.4
TSS	2.26	0.94
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 428.113 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	2.4	1.4
TSS	2.26	0.94
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	2.4	1.4
TSS	2.26	0.94
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 428.114 [Reserved]

§ 428.115 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	2.4	1.4
TSS	2.26	0.94
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	2.4	1.4
TSS	2.26	0.94
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 428.116 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the latex foam subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	Pretreatment Standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment Standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	No limitation	
TSS	No limitation	
pH	No limitation	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	No limitation	
TSS	No limitation	
pH	No limitation	

[FR Doc.75-270 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 428]

[FRL 315-8]

RUBBER PROCESSING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Proposed Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources

Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 307(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the Act) ; 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1317(b) ; 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500, that the proposed regulation set forth below proposes pretreatment standards for pollutants introduced into publicly owned treatment works. The proposal will amend 40 CFR Part 428—Rubber Processing Point Source Category, establishing for each subcategory therein the extent of application of effluent limitations guidelines to existing sources which discharge to publicly owned treatment works. The regulation is intended to be complementary to the general regulation for pretreatment standards set forth at 40 CFR Part 128. The general regulation was proposed July 19, 1973 (38 FR 19236), and published in final form on November 8, 1973 (38 FR 30982).

The proposed regulation is also intended to supplement a final regulation being simultaneously promulgated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) which provides effluent limitations and guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources within the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; wet digestion reclaimed rubber; pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber; latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber; and the latex foam subcategories of the rubber processing point source category. The latter regulation applies to the portion of a discharge which is directed to the navigable waters. The regulation proposed below applies to users of publicly owned treatment works which fall within the description of the point source category to which the limitations and standards (40 CFR Part 428) promulgated simultaneously apply. However, the proposed regulation applies to the introduction of pollutants which are directed into a publicly owned treatment works, rather than to discharges of pollutants to navigable waters.

The general pretreatment standard divides pollutants discharged by users of publicly owned treatment works into two broad categories: "compatible" and "incompatible." Compatible pollutants are generally not subject to pretreatment standards. However, 40 CFR 128.131 (prohibited wastes) may be applicable to compatible." Compatible pollutants are cal pretreatment requirements may apply (see 40 CFR 128.110). Incompatible pollutants are subject generally to pretreatment standards as provided in 40 CFR 128.133.

The regulation proposed below is intended to implement that portion of § 128.133, above, requiring that a separate provision be made stating the application to pretreatment standards of effluent limitations guidelines based upon best practicable control technology currently available.

Questions were raised during the public comment period on the proposed general pretreatment standard (40 CFR Part 128) about the propriety of applying a standard based upon best practicable control technology currently available to all plants subject to pretreatment standards. In general, EPA believes the analysis supporting the effluent limitations guidelines is adequate to make a determination regarding the application of those standards to users of publicly owned treatment works. However, to ensure that those standards are appropriate in all cases, EPA now seeks additional comments focusing upon the application of effluent limitations guidelines to users of publicly owned treatment works.

Sections 428.56, 428.66, 428.76, 428.86, 428.96, 428.106, and 428.116 of the proposed regulation for point sources within the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; large-size general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; wet digestion reclaimed rubber; pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber; latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber; and the latex foam subcategories (August 23, 1974; 39 FR 30632), contained the proposed pretreatment standard for new sources. The regulation promulgated simultaneously herewith contains §§ 428.56, 428.66, 428.76, 428.86, 428.96, 428.106, and 428.116 which state the applicability of standards of performance for purposes of pretreatment standard for new sources.

A preliminary Development Document was made available to the public at approximately the time of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking and the final Development Document entitled "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Fabricated and Reclaimed Rubber Segment of the Rubber Processing Point Source Category" will be published. The economic analysis report entitled "Economic Analysis of Proposed Effluent Guidelines, the Rubber Processing Industry (Phase II)", (August 1974) was made available at the time of proposal. Copies of the final Development Document and economic analysis report will continue to be maintained for inspection and copying during the comment period at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. Copies will also be available for inspection at EPA regional offices and at State water pollution control agency offices. Copies of the Development Document may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Copies of the economic analysis report will be available for purchase through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

The Development Document referred to above contains information available to the Agency concerning the major environmental effects of the regulation proposed below. The information includes: (1) The identification of pollutants present in waste waters resulting from the manufacture of rubber products, the characteristics of these pollutants, and the degree of pollutant reduction obtainable through implementation of the proposed standard; and (2) the anticipated effects on other aspects of the environment (including air, subsurface waters, solid waste disposal and land use, and noise) of the treatment technologies available to meet the standard proposed.

The Development Document and the economic analysis report referred to above also contain information available to the Agency regarding the estimated cost and energy consumption implications of those treatment technologies and the potential effects of those costs on the price and production of rubber products. To the extent possible, significant aspects of the material have been presented in summary form in the preamble to the proposed regulation containing effluent limitations guidelines, new source performance standards and pretreatment standards for new sources within the rubber processing category (39 FR 30632; August 23, 1974). Additional discussion is contained in the analysis of public comments on the proposed regulation and the Agency's response to those comments. This discussion appears in the preamble to the promulgated regulation (40 CFR Part 428) which currently is being published in the Rules and Regulations section of the FEDERAL REGISTER as part of the Part II.

The options available to the Agency in establishing the level of pollutant reduction obtainable through the best practicable control technology currently available, and the reasons for the particular level of reduction selected are discussed in the documents described above. In applying the effluent limitations guidelines to pretreatment standards for the introduction of incompatible pollutants into municipal systems by existing sources in the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants; wet digestion reclaimed rubber; pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber; latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber; and the latex foam subcategories, the Agency has essentially three options. The first is to allow unrestricted discharge to publicly owned treatment works of materials known to be adequately treated in such

works (commonly classed as compatible pollutants). The second is to require the application of BPT based (1977) limitations to those pollutants which interfere with, pass through or otherwise are incompatible with such works. The third is to establish a different discharge limitation for those pollutants which are treated to a known degree in publicly owned treatment works but such treatment is relatively inadequate.

Some of the pollutants contained in the waste waters (BOD and TSS) are readily treated in typical publicly owned treatment works and should not require specific pretreatment. Oil and grease should be limited to 100 mg/l to reflect the capabilities of publicly owned treatment systems. COD, lead, zinc, and chromium should be pretreated to BPCTCA limitations.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which are available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data are essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the Agency in establishing pretreatment standards for existing sources, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304 and 307(b) of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. The EPA information regulation, 40 CFR Part 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby proposed that 40 CFR Part 428 be amended to add §§ 428.54, 428.64, 428.74, 428.84, 428.94, 428.104, and 428.114 as set forth below. All comments received on or before February 10, 1975, will be considered.

Dated: December 30, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

Part 428 is proposed to be amended as follows:

Subpart E is amended by adding § 428.54 as follows:

§ 428.54 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the small-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pretreatment Standard	*Pollutant or Pollutant Property*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by an existing point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.54(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

Subpart F is amended by adding § 428.64 as follows:

§ 428.64 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the medium-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	*Pretreatment Standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l daily maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.64(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

Subpart G is amended by adding § 428.74 as follows:

§ 428.74 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the large-sized general molded, extruded, and fabricated rubber plants subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	*Pretreatment Standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l Daily Maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, and attributable to lead-sheathed hose production, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.74(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Lead	0.0017	0.0007

Subpart H is amended by adding § 428.84 as follows:

§ 428.84 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the wet digestion reclaimed rubber subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l.	
TSS	No limitation.	
pH	No limitation.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	14.7	6.11
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l.	
TSS	No limitation.	
pH	No limitation.	

Subpart I is amended by adding § 428.94 as follows:

§ 428.94 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	*Pretreatment Standard*
pH	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l Daily Maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutant properties controlled by this section and attributable to pan, dry digestion, and mechanical reclaimed rubber processes which are integrated with a wet digestion reclaimed rubber process, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.94(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
COD	6.7	2.8
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
COD	6.7	2.8

Subpart J is amended by adding § 428.104 as follows:

§ 428.104 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the latex-dipped, latex-extruded, and latex-molded rubber subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply.

(a) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	*Pretreatment Standard*
pH	No limitation.
BOD5	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
Oil and Grease	100 mg/l Daily Maximum.

(b) The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality or pollutant properties controlled by this section and attributable to plants employing the chromic acid form-cleaning operation, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart, in addition to the limitations set forth by § 428.104(a):

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0035
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Chromium	0.0086	0.0035

Subpart K is amended by adding § 428.114 as follows:

§ 428.114 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the latex foam subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), consistent with the requirements in Part 128 of this chapter, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards: Maximum for any one day	Pretreatment standards: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	No limitation.	
TSS	No limitation.	
pH	No limitation.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of raw material		
Zinc	0.058	0.024
BOD5	No limitation.	
TSS	No limitation.	
pH	No limitation.	

[FR Doc.75-271 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, JANUARY 10, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 7

PART III

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

■

PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS (TARS AND ASPHALT) POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Effluent Limitations Guidelines

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 443]

[PRL 316-6]

PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS (TARS AND ASPHALT) POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Proposed Effluent Limitations and Guidelines

Notice is hereby given that effluent limitations and guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Asphalt Emulsion subcategory (Subpart A), the Asphalt Concrete subcategory (Subpart B), the Asphalt Roofing subcategory (Subpart C), and the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory (Subpart D) of the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tar and Asphalt) point source category pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b), and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; (Pub. L. 92-500) (the Act).

(a) *Legal authority*—(1) *Existing point sources.* Section 301(b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) to the Act.

Section 304(b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods and other alternatives. The regulation proposed herein sets forth effluent limitations and guidelines, pursuant to sections 301 and 304(b) of the Act, for the Asphalt Emulsion subcategory (Subpart A), the Asphalt Concrete subcategory (Subpart B), the Asphalt Roofing subcategory (Subpart C), and the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory (Subpart D) of the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tars and Asphalt) point source category.

(2) *New sources.* Section 306 of the Act requires the achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 306(b)(1)(B) of the Act requires the Administrator to propose regulations establishing Federal standards of performance for categories of new sources included in a list published pursuant to section 306(b)(1)(A) of the Act. The regulations proposed herein set forth the standards of performance applicable to new sources for the Asphalt Emulsion subcategory (Subpart A), the Asphalt Concrete subcategory (Subpart B), the Asphalt Roofing subcategory (Subpart C), and the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory (Subpart D), of the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tars and Asphalt) point source category.

Section 307(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to promulgate pretreatment standards for new sources at the same time that standards of performance for new sources are promulgated pursuant to section 306. Sections 443.16, 443.26, 443.36, and 443.46, proposed below, provide pretreatment standards for new sources within the Asphalt Emulsion subcategory (Subpart A), the Asphalt Concrete subcategory (Subpart B), the Asphalt Roofing subcategory (Subpart C), and the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory (Subpart D), of the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tars and Asphalt) point source category.

Section 304(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to issue to the States and appropriate water pollution control agencies information on the processes, procedures or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants to implement standards of performance under section 306 of the Act. The report or "Development Document" referred to below provides, pursuant to section 304(c) of the Act, information on such processes, procedures or operating methods.

(b) Summary and basis of proposed effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources.

(1) *General methodology.* The effluent limitations, guidelines and standards of performance proposed herein were developed in the following manner. The point source category was first studied for the purpose of determining whether separate limitations and standards are appropriate for different segments within the category. This analysis included a determination of whether differences in raw material used, product produced, manufacturing process employed, age, size, waste water constituents and other factors require development of separate limitations and standards for different segments of the point source category. The raw waste characteristics for each such segment were then identified. This included an analysis of the source, flow and volume of water used in the process employed, the sources of waste and waste waters in the operation and the constituents of all waste water. The constituents of the waste waters which should be subject to effluent limitations and standards of performance were identified.

The control and treatment technologies existing within each segment were identified. This included an identification of each distinct control and treatment technology, including both in-plant and end-of-process technologies, which are existent or capable of being designed for each segment. It also included an identification of, in terms of the amount of constituents and the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of pollutants, the effluent level resulting from the application of each of the technologies. The problems, limitations and reliability of each treatment and control technology were also identified. In addition, the nonwater quality environmental impact, such as the effects of the application of such technologies upon other pollution problems, including air, solid waste, noise and radiation were identified. The energy requirements of each control and treatment technology were determined as well as the cost of the application of such technologies.

The information, as outlined above, was then evaluated in order to determine what levels of technology constitute the "best practicable control technology currently available," "best available technology economically achievable" and the "best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives." In identifying such technologies, various factors were considered. These included the total cost of application of technology in relation to the effluent reduction benefits to be achieved from such application, the age of equipment and facilities involved, the process employed, the engineering aspects of the application of various types of control techniques, process changes, nonwater quality environmental impact (including energy requirements) and other factors.

The data upon which the above analysis was performed included EPA permit applications, EPA sampling and inspections, consultant reports, and industry submissions.

The pretreatment standards proposed herein are intended to be complementary to the pretreatment standards proposed for existing sources under 40 CFR Part 128. The basis for such standards is set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 19, 1973, 38 FR 19236. The provisions of Part 128 are equally applicable to sources which would constitute "new sources," under section 306 if they were to discharge pollutants directly to navigable waters, except for § 128.133. That section provides a pretreatment standard for "incompatible pollutants" which requires application of the "best practicable control technology currently avail-

able," subject to an adjustment for amounts of pollutants removed by the publicly owned treatment works. Since the pretreatment standards proposed herein apply to new sources, §§ 443.16, 443.26, 443.36, and 443.46 below amend § 128.133 to specify the application of the standard of performance for new sources rather than the "best practicable" standard applicable to existing sources under sections 301 and 304(b) of the Act.

(2) Summary of conclusions with respect to the Asphalt Emulsion subcategory (Subpart A), the Asphalt Concrete subcategory (Subpart B), the Asphalt Roofing subcategory (Subpart C), and the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory (Subpart D), of the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tar and Asphalt) point source category.

(i) *Categorization.* For the purpose of studying waste treatment and effluent limitations, the paving and roofing materials (tars and asphalt) category was divided into four discrete subcategories, primarily based on a consideration of raw materials utilized, production processes employed, products produced, size and age of mills, waste water characteristics and treatability, and geographic location as outlined in the Development Document for the paving and roofing materials (tars and asphalt) category of point sources. These subcategories are defined as:

(1) *Subpart A—Asphalt Emulsion subcategory.* This subcategory includes plants engaged in the production of oxidized asphalt for use in roofing or paving products. The oxidizing process consists of blowing hot air through hot asphalt for a period of time. The resulting oxidized asphalt can be either stored as paving asphalt or emulsified with a water and chemical mixture to produce roofing and paving emulsions.

(2) *Subpart B—Asphalt Concrete subcategory.* This subcategory includes plants engaged in the production of asphalt concrete for use in paving materials. The process consists of mixing asphalt with crushed rock or gravel.

(3) *Subpart C—Asphalt Roofing subcategory.* This subcategory includes plants engaged in the production of roofing felts, impregnated roofing felts, roofing asphalts and tars, tar papers, canal liners, expansion joints, roofing cements, and other asphalt-related items. The process consists of saturating and coating an organic felt with asphalt. The coated felt may then be impregnated with crushed rock.

(4) *Subpart D—Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory.* This subcategory includes plants engaged in the production of linoleum and printed asphalt felt floor coverings. The process consists of painting or embossing a design on a saturated felt backing.

(ii) *Waste characteristics.* The significant pollutant parameters in the waste waters resulting from the paving and roofing materials (tars and asphalt) category include total suspended nonfilterable solids (TSS), freon extractible oils and grease, and pH.

The suspended solids present in the waste waters from this category are inorganic in nature and settle readily. The oils and greases are of a petroleum nature and are usually of a floating type which are easily removed by skimming.

Effluent limitation guidelines and standards of performance are established below to control each of the above pollutants. No limitations have been established for several other waste water pollutants which are considered to be of lesser importance because: Available data have indicated they are normally removed when the TSS is removed; they occur in insignificant quantities; and technology is not available to control the pollutant discharge.

(iii) Origin of waste water pollutants in the paving and roofing materials (tar and asphalt) category.

(1) *Subpart A—Asphalt Emulsion subcategory.* The major water use in this subcategory is for cooling pumps and process controls. Since it is generally noncontact in nature, it is relatively pollutant free. Runoff caused by precipitation is the only known source of contaminated water. It contains freon extractible oils and greases which originate from spills and saturated production grounds. Total suspended non-filterable solids are also present.

(2) *Subpart B—Asphalt Concrete subcategory.* The major water use in this subcategory is to control air emission and the dust that is collected is discharged in a slurry form. The solids, which are of an inorganic nature, settle readily.

(3) *Subpart C—Asphalt Roofing subcategory.* The major water use in this subcategory is to cool the product so that it can be packaged. The water is sprayed onto the hot saturated felts. This spray washes off some of the coating granules and backing material (mica or talc). The quantity washed off depends on the amount of spray used. Runoff from the oxidizing areas contains oils and greases.

(4) *Subpart D—Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory.* The major water use in this subcategory is for clean-up operations. The waste waters contain dried paints and inks that are picked up when the mixing vats are washed out.

(iv) *Treatment and control technology.* Waste water treatment and control technologies have been studied for each subcategory of the industry to determine what is (a) the best practicable control technology currently available, (b) the best available technology economically achievable, and (c) the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives.

(1) *Treatment in the Asphalt Emulsion subcategory.* The best practicable control technology currently available is the collection of all runoff from the plant production area and the skimming off of floating oils.

Treatment recommended to achieve best available technology economically achievable and best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives is essentially the same as best practicable control technology currently available, but more rigorous treatment efficiencies are needed and a sedimentation basin is used.

(2) *Treatment in the Asphalt Concrete subcategory.* The best practicable control technology currently available is to allow the solids to settle out in sedimentation basins and then to reuse the waste water. Since the application of the best practicable control technology currently available obviates the discharge of process waste water, no modifications are necessary to meet best available technology economically achievable, or best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives.

(3) *Treatment in the Asphalt Roofing subcategory.* The best practicable control technology currently available is the use of sedimentation basins to settle solids out of the waste waters that are generated, usually during cooling-type operations. The waste water is then discharged.

Treatment recommended to achieve best available technology economically achievable and best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives is essentially the same as best practicable control technology currently available but more rigorous treatment efficiencies are needed and process modifications include the use of cooling drums supplemented with mist. The proper use of this type cooling system will keep many of the solids from entering the waste water flow and will reduce the total volume of water used.

(4) *Treatment in the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory.* The best practicable control technology currently available at plants in this subcategory involves diverting wash waters into settling sumps or sedimentation basins.

Treatment recommended to achieve best available technology economically achievable and best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives is essentially the same as best practicable control technology currently available but, more rigorous treatment efficiencies are needed.

(v) *Cost estimates for control of waste water pollutants.* The costs for providing in-plant controls are largely those associated with capital investment for process and equipment modifications. The costs associated with end-of-pipe treatment include amortization of capital expenditures over a 10-year period, debt servicing, and operation and maintenance.

(vi) *Energy requirements and non-water quality environmental impacts.* Added energy requirements are those associated with operation of treatment facilities and constitute only a small fraction of the total energy used in the plant.

Solid wastes must be considered.

The use of water by plants in the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tar and Asphalt) category and the treatment

process employed generate a predominately inorganic type of solid waste mainly sand and gravel. Solid wastes from the Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt subcategory may contain some potentially harmful solvents.

Best practicable control technology and best available control technology require disposal of the pollutants removed from waste waters in this industry in the form of solid wastes and liquid concentrates. In most cases these are nonhazardous substances requiring only minimal custodial care. However, some constituents may be hazardous and may require special consideration. In order to ensure long term protection of the environment from these hazardous or harmful constituents, special consideration of disposal sites must be made. All landfill sites where such hazardous wastes are disposed should be selected so as to prevent horizontal and vertical migration of these contaminants to ground or surface waters. In cases where geologic conditions may not reasonably ensure this, adequate precautions (e.g. impervious liners) should be taken to ensure long term protection to the environment from hazardous materials. Where appropriate, the location of solid hazardous materials disposal sites should be permanently recorded in the appropriate office of legal jurisdiction.

(vii) *Economic impact analysis.* Generally, the costs of compliance are low and are not expected to significantly affect prices, profitability, industry production, or growth. In most cases, it is expected that these costs can be passed on to the consumer through price increases ranging from approximately 0.02 to 1.4 percent for 1977 with an additonal zero to 0.52 percent in 1983. However, in the asphalt concrete segment, some producers, especially the smaller ones in urban areas, may be forced to absorb part of these costs in their profit margins. As a result, it is estimated that ten to fifteen small plants in this segment may close. These plants represent only 0.2 percent of industry capacity, and would, thus, result in an insignificant impact on production since the industry normally operates at only 35–40 percent of capacity. Approximately fifty employees would be affected by these closures; however, there should be no significant impact on the local communities, balance of trade, or industry growth for these segments.

The report entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tars and Asphalt) Point Source Category" details the analysis undertaken in support of the regulation being proposed herein and is available for inspection in the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C., at all EPA regional offices, and at State water pollution control offices. A supplementary analysis prepared for EPA of the possible economic effects of the proposed regulation is also available for inspection at these locations. Copies of both of these documents are being sent to persons or institutions affected by the proposed regulation, or who have placed themselves on a mailing list for this purpose (see EPA's Advance Notice of Public Review Procedures, 38 FR 21202, August 6, 1973). An additional limited number of copies of both reports are available. Persons wishing to obtain a copy may write the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman.

The report entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Paving and Roofing Materials (Tars and Asphalt) Point Source Category" contains information available to the Agency concerning the major environmental effects of the regulation proposed below, including: (1) The pollutants presently discharged into the Nation's waterways by manufacturers of Paving and Roofing Materials and the degree of pollution reduction obtainable from implementation of the proposed guidelines and standards (see particularly Sections IV, V, VI, IX, X, and XI); (2) the anticipated effects of the proposed regulation on other aspects of the environment including air, solid waste disposal and land use, and noise (see particularly Section VIII); and (3) options available to the Agency in developing the proposed regulatory system and the reasons for its selecting the particular levels of effluent reduction which are proposed (see particularly Sections VI, VII, and VIII).

The supplementary report entitled "Economic Analysis of Proposed Effluent Guidelines, the Asphalt Paving and Roofing Industry" contains an estimate of the cost of pollution control requirements and an analysis of the possible effects of the proposed regulation on prices, production levels, employment, communities in which paving and roofing materials plants are located, and international trade. In addition, the Development Document describes, in Section VIII, the cost and energy consumption implications of the proposed regulations.

The two reports described above in the aggregate exceed 200 pages in length and contain a substantial number of charts, diagrams, and tables. It is clearly impracticable to publish the material contained in these documents in the FEDERAL REGISTER. To the extent possible significant aspects of the material have been presented in summary form in foregoing portions of this preamble. Additional discussion is contained in the following analysis of comments received and the Agency's response to them. As has been indicated, both documents are available for inspection at the Agency's Washington, D.C. and regional offices and at State water pollution control agency offices. Copies of each have been distributed to persons and institutions affected by the proposed regulations or who have placed themselves on a mailing list for this purpose. Finally, so long as the supply remains available, additional copies may be obtained from the Agency as described above.

When this regulation is promulgated, revised copies of the Development Document will be available from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Copies of the Economic Analysis will be available through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, 22151.

(c) *Summary of public participation.* Prior to this publication, the agencies and groups listed below were consulted and given an opportunity to participate in the development of effluent limitations, guidelines and standards proposed for the paving and roofing materials (tar and asphalt) point source category. All participating agencies have been informed of project developments. An initial draft of the Development Document was sent to all participants and comments were solicited on that report. The following are the principal agencies and groups consulted: (1) Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee (established under section 515 of the Act); (2) all State and U.S. Territory Pollution Control Agencies; (3) Government of Guam, and Government of Samoa; Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands; (4) Puerto Rico; (5) National Asphalt Pavement Association; (6) Bureau of National Affairs, Inc.; (7) Stroud Roofing Manufacturing Co.; (8) Warren Brothers Co.; (9) Valley Asphalt Corp.; (10) Chevron Asphalt Co.; (11) Armstrong Cork Co.; (12) Brewer Co.; (13) Celotex Corp.; (14) Johns-Manville Corp.; (15) Flintkote Co.; (16) G.A.F. Corp.; (17) Del-Val Asphalt Corp.; (18) Bird and Son, Inc.; (19) Trumbull Asphalt; (20) Lloyd A. Fry Roofing; (21) Certain-teed Products Corp.; (22) Carthage Mills; (23) Logan Long Co.; (24) Congoleum Industries Inc.; and (25) Mannington Mills, Inc.

The following responded with comments:

Federal Power Commission; Water Resources Council; National Asphalt Pavement Association; Johns-Manville Corp.; Certain-teed Products Corp.; G.A.F. Corp; Bird and Son, Inc.; State of Arizona; State of Colorado; State of Delaware; State of Illinois; State of Kentucky; State of Minnesota; State of North Carolina; and the Delaware River Basin Commission.

The comments received ranged from total approval to complete rejection because the proposed regulations were either too stringent or not restrictive enough.

The primary issues raised in the development of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance and the treatment of these issues herein are as follows:

(1) A common criticism was that the recycling of waste water in the asphalt concrete subcategory is commonly done.

After careful re-examination of the information indicated, it was found that approximately 3,360 plants in this subcategory are currently not discharging any waste water or are recycling most of it. Therefore, no discharge is recommended for best practicable control technology currently available.

(2) Some commenters questioned the lack of limitations on oil and grease for the asphalt roofing subcategory.

At the time the draft development document was published it was believed that oils and grease were not present. After re-examination of the information, it was found that freon extractable oil and grease are present in the runoff from this subcategory, but not in sufficient quantities to warrant setting a limit. It should be noted, however, that safeguards, such as good housekeeping, must be utilized so that concentrations are kept low.

(3) Several reviewers questioned the statement that dry air collection systems are used to a greater degree than wet air collection systems in the asphalt concrete subcategory.

There are more wet collection systems in operation at plants in this subcategory today than dry systems, but increasing numbers of plants are continuing to convert to dry systems.

(4) Several commenters took the position that temperature limits should be imposed.

The maximum waste water temperature reported for any plant in this category was 71 degrees C. (160 degrees F), and at the small flows reported, temperature limits are not warranted for effluent guidelines.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulation are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which are available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data are essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the Agency in establishing an effluent limitations guideline or standard of performance, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304(b), 306 and 307 of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. A copy of preliminary draft contractor reports, the Development Document and economic study referred to above, and certain supplementary materials supporting the study of the industry concerned will also be maintained at this location for public review and copying. The EPA information regulation, 40 CFR Part 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

All comments received on or before February 10, 1975, will be considered. Steps previously taken by the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate public response within this time period are outlined in the advance notice concerning public review procedures published on August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202).

Dated: December 26, 1974.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

PART 443—EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING SOURCES AND STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS FOR NEW SOURCES FOR THE PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS (TARS AND ASPHALT) POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Subpart A—Asphalt Emulsion Subcategory

Sec.

443.10 Applicability; description of the asphalt emulsion subcategory.
443.11 Specialized subcategory.
443.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
443.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
443.14 [Reserved].
443.15 Standards of performance for new sources.
443.16 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart B—Asphalt Concrete Subcategory

443.20 Applicability; description of the asphalt concrete subcategory.
443.21 Specialized definitions.
443.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
443.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
443.24 [Reserved].
443.25 Standards of performance for new sources.
443.26 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart C—Asphalt Roofing Subcategory

443.30 Applicability; description of the asphalt roofing subcategory.
443.31 Specialized definitions.
443.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
443.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
443.34 [Reserved]
443.35 Standards of performance for new sources.
443.36 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart D—Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt Subcategory

443.40 Applicability; description of the linoleum and printed asphalt felt subcategory.
443.41 Specialized definitions.
443.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
443.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
443.44 [Reserved]
443.45 Standards of performance for new sources.
443.46 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304 (b) and (c) and 306(b) and 307(c), Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); (86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500) (the Act).

Subpart A—Asphalt Emulsion Subcategory

§ 443.10 Applicability; description of the asphalt emulsion subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the production of paving and roofing emulsions.

§ 443.11 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) the term "production area size" is that area in which the oxidation towers, loading facilities, and all buildings that house product processes are located.

(c) The term "process wastewater pollutants" shall mean any pollutants present in the process wastewaters and runoff.

§ 443.12 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will

make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharged effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations. The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/cu m of Runoff		
Oil and grease	0.020	0.015
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 gal of Runoff		
Oil and grease	0.167	0.125
pH	Within the range 6.0 9.0.	

§ 443.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/cu m of Runoff		
TSS	0.023	0.015
Oil and grease	0.015	0.010
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 gal of Runoff		
TSS	0.188	0.125
Oil and grease	0.125	0.083
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.14 [Reserved]

§ 443.15 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily value for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/cu m of Runoff		
TSS	0.023	0.015
Oil and grease	0.015	0.010
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 gal of Runoff		
TSS	0.188	0.125
Oil and grease	0.125	0.083
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.16 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standard under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the asphalt emulsion subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	*Pretreatment Standard*
BOD5	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
pH	No limitation.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l.

Subpart B—Asphalt Concrete Subcategory

§ 443.20 Applicability; description of the asphalt concrete subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the production of Asphalt Concrete.

§ 443.21 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "process wastewater" shall mean any water which, during the manufacturing process, comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, or product used in or resulting from the production of paving asphalt concrete.

(c) The term "process wastewater pollutants" shall mean any pollutants present in the process wastewater.

§ 443.22 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations. The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available: There shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 443.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable: There shall be no

discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 443.24 [Reserved]

§ 443.25 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 443.26 Pretreatment standard for new sources.

The pretreatment standard under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the asphalt concrete subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	*Pretreatment Standard*
BOD5	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
pH	No limitation.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l.

Subpart C—Asphalt Roofing Subcategory

§ 443.30 Applicability; description of the asphalt roofing subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the production of Asphalt Roofing materials.

§ 443.31 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "process wastewater" shall mean any water which, during the manufacturing process, comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, or product used in or resulting from the production of asphalt roofing materials.

(c) The term "process wastewater pollutants" shall mean any pollutants present in the process wastewater.

§ 443.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations. The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
TSS	0.056	0.038
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
TSS	0.056	0.038
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
TSS	0.028	0.019
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
TSS	0.028	0.019
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.34 [Reserved]

§ 443.35 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
TSS	0.028	0.019
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
TSS	0.028	0.019
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.36 Pretreatment standard for new sources.

The pretreatment standard under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the asphalt roofing subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in Part 128 of this chapter (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	Pretreatment Standard
BOD5	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
pH	No limitation.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l.

Subpart D—Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt Subcategory

§ 444.40 Applicability; description of the linoleum and printed asphalt felt subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the production of Linoleum and Printed Asphalt Felt floor coverings.

§ 443.41 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in Part 401 of this chapter shall apply to this subpart.

(b) The term "process wastewater" shall mean any water which, during the manufacturing process, comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, or product used in or resulting from the production of linoleum and printed asphalt felt floor coverings.

(c) The term "process wastewater pollutants" shall mean any pollutants present in the process wastewater.

§ 443.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currenly available.

In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations. The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily value for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
TSS	0.038	0.025
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
TSS	0.038	0.025
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
TSS	0.019	0.013
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
TSS	0.019	0.013
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.44 [Reserved]

§ 443.45 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed—
(Metric units) kg/kkg of product		
TSS	0.019	0.013
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lb/1000 lb of product		
TSS	0.019	0.013
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 443.46 Pretreatment standard for new sources.

The pretreatment standard under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the linoleum and printed asphalt felt subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in part 128 of this chapter (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in part 128 of this chapter, for existing sources, except that, for the purpose of this section, §§ 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 of this chapter shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or Pollutant Property	Pretreatment Standard
BOD5	No limitation.
TSS	No limitation.
pH	No limitation.
Oil and grease	100 mg/l.

[FR Doc.75-398 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, JANUARY 10, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 7

PART IV

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

■

COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT

Programs under Title VI

Title 29—Labor

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF LABOR

PART 99—PROGRAMS UNDER TITLE VI OF THE COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT

The Emergency Jobs and Unemployment Assistance Act of 1974 (hereinafter referred to as the "Emergency Jobs Act"), Pub. L. 93-567, 88 Stat. 1845, was signed into law by President Ford on December 31, 1974, and became effective immediately.

Title I of the Emergency Jobs Act amends the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973 (hereinafter referred to as CETA), Pub. L. 93-203, 87 Stat. 839, by inserting a new Title VI and redesignating the existing Title VI as Title VII.

The purpose of the new Title VI of CETA is to provide, through grants to local prime sponsors and Indian tribes, for additional public service jobs and training programs for unemployed persons. Special provisions are also provided for areas of excessively high unemployment. Grants under Title VI are generally limited to a thirteen month period except where the ARDM determines that exceptional circumstances exist.

In order to implement Title VI in the most expeditious manner possible, the following regulations are hereby promulgated, pursuant to section 702(a) of CETA, to be effective January 9, 1975, without regard to 29 CFR 2.7.

Pursuant to the legislative intent of CETA, permission for the immediate effective date of these regulations has been obtained from the appropriate committees of Congress.

Although these regulations are being published in final form and are made effective immediately, it is the policy of the Department of Labor to solicit and consider comments on its regulations. Accordingly, comments will be received, just as though this document were a proposal until February 14, 1975, after which the comments received will be evaluated and, if warranted, the regulations will be appropriately amended. Meanwhile, however, in the interest of expediting the program, these regulations shall remain in force until amended.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments, data or arguments to: Assistant Secretary for Manpower, United States Department of Labor, 6th and D Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20213. Attention: Pierce A. Quinlan, Associate Manpower Administrator for Manpower Development Programs.

The regulations set forth below, which become effective January 10, 1975, amend Title 29 by adding the following new Part 99:

Subpart A—General

Sec.
99.1 Scope and purpose of Part 99.
99.2 Allocation of funds.
99.3 Eligibility for funds.

Subpart B—Grant Application

Sec.
99.10 General.
99.11 Program planning; planning councils.
99.12 Initial funding.
99.13 Comments and publication procedures relating to submission of application for initial funding.
99.14 Review and approval of application for initial funding.
99.15 Full funding.
99.16 Comments and publication procedures on modification for full funding.
99.17 Standards for reviewing grant application.
99.18 Application approval and disapproval.
99.19 Use of alternative eligible applicants.
99.20 Modification of grant agreements.
99.21 Modification of an employment plan.

Subpart C—Program Operation Requirements for Eligible Applicants

99.30 General.
99.31 Basic responsibilities of eligible applicants.
99.32 Program performance requirements for eligible applicants.
99.33 Basic responsibilities of program agents; relationship with applicant.
99.34 Public service job activities that may be funded under Title VI.
99.35 Maintenance of effort; responsibility for selecting participants; special limitation on programs and participant selection.
99.36 Eligibility for participation in a Title VI program.
99.37 Eligibility considerations for special groups.
99.38 Serving significant segments of the population.
99.39 Linkages with other manpower programs.
99.40 Placement goals.
99.41 Compensation and working conditions for participants.
99.42 Worksite standards for public service jobs funded under Title VI.
99.43 Retirement benefits for public service job participants.

Subpart D—Special Provisions for Areas of Excessively High Unemployment

99.50 General.
99.51 Basic responsibilities of eligible applicants.
99.52 Public service job activities that may be funded in areas of excessively high unemployment.
99.53 Eligibility for participation in a project or program in a Title VI area of excessively high unemployment.

Subpart E—Administrative Provisions

99.70 General.
99.71 Payments, financial management systems and audit.
99.72 Reporting requirements.
99.73 Reallocation of funds.
99.74 Allowable Federal costs.
99.75 Eligible applicant contracts and subgrants.
99.76 Allocations of allowable costs among program activities.
99.77 Basic personnel standards for eligible applicants.
99.78 Adjustments in payments.
99.79 Termination of grant and grant closeout procedures.
99.80 Retention of records.
99.81 Program income and procurement standards.
99.82 Nondiscrimination, equal employment opportunities, nepotism and restriction on political activities.
99.83 Assessment and evaluation.
99.84 Hearing and judicial review.

Subpart F—Special Conditions for Grants to Indian Tribes on Federal or State Reservations

Sec.
99.90 General.
99.91 Grant responsibility.
99.92 Distribution of funds.
99.93 Eligibility for funds.
99.94 Funding of prime sponsors.
99.95 Participant eligibility.
99.96 Comments and publication procedures relating to submission of applications for funding.
99.97 Planning process; advisory councils.
99.98 Travel requirements.
99.99 Nepotism.
99.100 Nondiscrimination.
99.101 Subgrants.

AUTHORITY: Comprehensive Employment Training Act of 1973, as amended (Pub. L. 93-203, 87 Stat. 839; Pub. L. 93-567, 88 Stat. 1845), sec. 702(a), unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—General

§ 99.1 Scope and purpose of Part 99.

(a) This Part 99 contains the Department of Labor's regulations providing for the establishment and operation of a public service employment and manpower training program under Title VI of the Act, as amended by the Emergency Jobs and Unemployment Assistance Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-567, 88 Stat. 1845.

(b) This program, which is necessitated by the current increase in unemployment rates, is designed to have an immediate impact at the local level. By making funds available for a specific, limited period of time, and allowing local governments increased flexibility in the use of these funds, it will be possible to quickly provide a maximum number of individuals with employment opportunities.

(c) To accomplish the objectives of this employment program, eligible applicants are encouraged to develop large, labor intensive employment projects which provide immediate jobs for a maximum number of participants.

(d) An eligible applicant may establish on an area basis for participants in programs funded under Title VI of the Act jobs and wage structures, taking into account the average wages in the area served and the cost of living in such areas, with the aim of effecting a nationwide federally supported annual average wage rate equivalent to $7,800 per full-time position within the overall $10,000 federally supported salary limitation provided to public service job holders. To assist in achieving this goal, eligible applicants should give consideration to the development of projects utilizing public service jobs, work experience, on-the-job training, and institutional training structures and should establish wage structures considering such factors as the average wages in the area or areas served by an eligible applicant and the cost of living in such areas. However, this in no way is intended to impair an eligible applicant's right to pay the maximum wage rate allowable.

(e) In order to assure that selection criteria and time requirements of local Civil Service laws do not prevent the prompt

hiring of individuals under this program, eligible applicants may wish to redefine job classifications and take other necessary action to facilitate the prompt and effective hiring of participants.

(f) To assure the establishment of the most beneficial employment possible, eligible applicants are encouraged to place participants in employment with all available public service employing agencies within the community, including local public employers, private-nonprofit agencies, and State, and where possible on an "outstanding basis," Federal agencies. Local communities should also give consideration to placing participants in State Employment and Unemployment Insurance Service offices to assist in handling the increased workload caused by current unemployment rates and special unemployment assistance legislation.

(g) In order to provide for the most effective utilization of employment programs, eligible applicants shall attempt to place participants in unsubsidized employment as soon as is feasible. It is understood, however, that economic conditions in many areas will make it impossible to meet established placement goals. Although these are goals and not requiremets, waivers will be provided to local areas experiencing severe economic slow-downs.

(h) It is recognized that some local governments are experiencing severe revenue reductions and, in revising their budgets, are deciding to lay-off employees. Those employees legitimately laid-off under these conditions, to the extent they meet the other eligibility requirements under the Act (30 days unemployment, etc.), may be enrolled in this program: *Provided*, That such employees were not laid-off with the purpose of calling them back into jobs funded under this program. Eligible applicants shall continue to meet the "maintenance of effort" requirements of the Act and these regulations and shall provide substantive documentation in their grants for legitimate lay-offs and call backs which are expected during the period of a grant.

(i) To achieve the objectives of this employment program, special provisions are available for eligible applicants of areas of excessively high unemployment. These special provisions include a 15 day unemployment eligibility period for unemployed persons, rather than the 30 day period otherwise required, and several special program designs which will enable eligible applicants to create employment projects for community improvement and beautification.

(j) To provide a maximum impact on the current economic situation, the use of funds under this employment program is limited to a specific period, not to exceed 13 months. Eligible applicants shall plan to hire individuals during this period on a basis which will provide the most effective services to the unemployed. Accordingly, the ARDM's will review the monthly performance of eligible applicants in terms of their ability to hire the planned number of individuals. To the extent that eligible applicants are not able to perform effectively, funds may be deobligated and redistributed to alternative deliverers within the State.

(k) Definitions not found in this part for abbreviations and major terms may be found in Part 94 of this chapter.

(l) Statutory authority for the regulations contained in this Part 99 is found in section 702(a) of the Act. Other relevant sections of the Act are generally noted at the end of each substantive provision of these regulations.

(m) Conforming changes to existing language in Parts 94 through 98 of this Title 29 shall be promulgated at an early date.

§ 99.2 Allocation of funds.

(a) Funds appropriated under Title VI shall be allocated only to eligible applicants as defined in § 99.3 (sec. 602(e)).

(b) Not less than 90 percent of the amount appropriated under Title VI shall be allocated among eligible applicants according to the following basic formula (sec. 603(a)(1)):

(1) Fifty percent of the funds shall be allocated among eligible applicants in proportion to the relative number of unemployed persons who reside in areas within the jurisdiction of each such applicant as compared to the number of unemployed persons who reside in all such areas in all the States (sec. 603(a)(2)(A)).

(2) Twenty-five percent of the funds shall be allocated among eligible applicants on the basis of the relative excess number of unemployed persons, as defined below, who reside within the jurisdiction of the eligible applicant as compared to the total excess number of unemployed persons who reside within the jurisdiction of all eligible applicants.

(i) For the purpose of allocating funds to an eligible applicant which is not a State, the term "excess number" means the number of unemployed persons in excess of 4.5 percent of the labor force in the jurisdiction of the eligible applicant in whose jurisdiction such persons reside.

(ii) For the purpose of allocating funds to an eligible applicant which is a State, the term "excess number" means such number as defined in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section, or the number of unemployed persons in excess of 4.5 percent of the labor force in areas eligible for assistance under Title II located in the geographic area served by such State prime sponsors under Title I or Title II, whichever is greater (sec. 603(a)(2)(C)).

(3) Twenty-five percent of the funds shall be allocated for use in areas of substantial unemployment. An area of substantial unemployment, other than in relation to an Indian tribe, is any area which has a population of at least 10,000 persons, qualifies for a minimum allocation of $25,000 under Title II of the Act, and has a rate of unemployment of at least 6.5 percent for a period of three consecutive months as determined by the Secretary of Labor at least once each fiscal year. In relation to an Indian tribe, an area of substantial unemployment is an Indian reservation, as a whole, with a rate of unemployment of at least 6.5 percent for a period of three consecutive months as determined by the Secretary of Labor at least once each fiscal year. These funds shall be allocated among eligible applicants in accordance with the number of unemployed persons residing in areas of substantial unemployment within the jurisdiction of the eligible applicant as compared to the total number of unemployed persons residing in all such areas of substantial unemployment (sec. 603(a)(2)(B)).

(4) For the purpose of determining the allocations under paragraph (b) of this section, the term "jurisdiction" includes the jurisdiction of each unit of general local government as described in section 102(a)(2) of the Act, whether or not such unit has entered into a combination of units of general local government for the purposes of sections 102(a)(3) and 102(a)(4) of the Act. In determining the amount to be allocated to eligible applicants which are consortia under sections 102(a)(3) and (4), the formula set out in paragraph (b) of this section shall be applied to each such unit of such eligible applicant (sec. 603(c)).

(c) The remaining 10 percent not allocated as provided in paragraph (b) of this section may be distributed by the Secretary as he deems appropriate to carry out the purpose of Title VI, taking into account changes in rates of unemployment (sec. 603(b)).

(d) (1) An eligible applicant shall distribute to a program agent, as defined in paragraph (d)(3) of this section, those funds that are allocated to the eligible applicant under the formula set forth in paragraph (b) of this section due to the level of unemployment within the program agent's jurisdiction, unless the program agent declines to operate a program under Title VI of the Act, in which case, the eligible applicant will make other arrangements to serve that jurisdiction (sec. 204(d)(1)).

(2) To the extent that the Secretary does not specify an amount to be distributed to the program agent the eligible applicant shall, to the best of its ability, determine the amount to be so distributed through the application of the allocation formula set forth in paragraph (b) of this section. Such allocation shall be computed by comparing the number of unemployed persons who reside in the program agent's jurisdiction with the number of unemployed persons who reside in the eligible applicant's jurisdiction, the excess number of unemployed persons who reside within the program agent's jurisdiction with the excess number of unemployed persons with the eligible applicant's jurisdiction; and, in the event that the program agent's jurisdiction is, or contains, an area of substantial unemployment, the number of unemployed persons who reside within the area or areas of substantial unemployment within the program agent's jurisdiction with the number of unemployed persons who reside within all areas of substantial unem-

ployment within the eligible applicant's jurisdiction. For the purpose of determining the amount to be distributed to the program agent, the eligible applicant shall utilize unemployment information provided by the State Employment Security Agency (SESA), or when the SESA cannot provide such information, the best unemployment information available subject to review by the ARDM. The eligible applicant shall describe in its grant application, the basis used for making such determinations (secs. 204(d)(1)).

(3) For the purpose of Title VI, the term "program agent" means any unit of general local government (or combination of such units) located within the eligible applicant's jurisdiction which has a population of 50,000 or more persons (sec. 204(d)(1)).

(4) Notwithstanding paragraph (d)(1) above, a program agent who is a member of a consortium may make such agreements as may be mutually agreeable to the program agent and the eligible applicant (the consortium) with regard to the administration of Title VI funds for the benefit of the residents of the eligible Title VI program agent area.

§ 99.3 Eligibility for funds.

(a) Funds shall be allocated by the Secretary only to eligible applicants. For the purpose of this Part 99 the term "eligible applicant" means prime sponsors qualified for Fiscal Year 1975 under Title I and Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations (sec. 602(e)).

(b) A State shall not qualify as an eligible applicant for any geographical area within the jurisdiction of any other eligible applicant within the State unless the non-State eligible applicant has not submitted an approvable application for Title VI funds, or has stated to the ARDM, in writing, its desire to be served by the State (sec. 204(a)).

(c) A unit of general local government shall not qualify as an eligible applicant with respect to any area within the jurisdiction of another eligible unit of general local government unless such smaller unit has not submitted an approvable application for such areas, or states its desire to the ARDM, in writing, to be served by such larger unit (sec. 204(a)).

(d) (1) An eligible applicant shall distribute funds to program agents as provided in § 99.2(d) of this Part 99 (sec. 204(d)(2)).

(2) No program agent shall receive or continue to receive funds for any area within the jurisdiction of another program agent unless the ARDM determines that the smaller program agent has not carried out its administrative responsibility consistent with the application for financial assistance developed by the eligible applicant for developing, funding, overseeing, and monitoring programs within its area (sec. 204(d)(3)).

(e) An eligible applicant or program agent, as appropriate, shall to the maximum extent feasible make available for services to eligible residents of identifiable subareas within the eligible applicant's or program agent's jurisdiction, including units of local government, those funds allotted to the eligible applicant under the provisions of §§ 99.2 tions due to the level of unemployment (b)(1) and 99.2(b)(2) due to the level of unemployment within those identifiable subareas, utilizing the principles contained in these two sections.

(f) *Funds for areas of substantial unemployment.* (1) An eligible applicant or program agent which contains areas of substantial unemployment shall make available for services to residents of each such area those funds under § 99.2(b)(3) allocated to the eligible applicant due to the level of unemployment within the area of substantial unemployment (sec. 603(a)(2)(B)).

(2) An eligible applicant, other than a State, or a program agent, whose entire jurisdiction qualifies as an area of substantial unemployment shall, to the extent feasible, allocate funds under § 99.2(b)(3) for services to residents of identifiable subareas which meet the unemployment rate requirements of areas of substantial unemployment according to the procedure set forth in § 96.3(f)(1) of this subtitle.

(3) Where the eligible applicant is a State that has an unemployment rate for its entire jurisdiction of at least 6.5 percent, the State shall, to the extent feasible, allocate its funds under § 99.2(b)(3) for residents of individual areas of substantial unemployment within its jurisdiction according to the procedure set forth in § 96.3(f)(2) of this subtitle.

(4) If an eligible applicant finds that there is an area of substantial unemployment within its jurisdiction that has not been designated by the Secretary to receive assistance, it may recommend that such area be considered for assistance by the Secretary. In making any such recommendation the eligible applicant must include a precise geographical definition of the area to be served and population data. Such recommendation shall be submitted to the ARDM prior to the submission of the modification for full funding. The Secretary shall, within a reasonable time, make a determination on the recommendation and inform the eligible applicant of his decision and the reasons therefore.

Subpart B—Grant Application

§ 99.10 General.

(a) This Subpart B provides the procedures for program planning and for obtaining grants to operate programs under Title VI of the Act (sec. 602(a)).

(b) Grants under Title VI of the Act shall be executed in a two stage process to assure rapid implementation of Title VI programs. Grants shall not exceed a 13-month period except as provided in § 99.74(a)(6). The first stage will be the execution of an initial funding grant. The initial grant will be superseded by a modification for full funding. The modification for full funding will be submitted within 30 days of the submission of the initial application or within a greater period of time as determined to be necessary by the ARDM.

(c) Applications for initial funding and modifications for full funding will be reviewed by the ARDM and approved to the extent the ARDM determines that a plan meets the requirements of law and regulations, including the provision of appropriate manpower services to meet the needs of the community and impact upon the local unemployment situation. Such services shall include the provision of job opportunities to the maximum number of people.

§ 99.11 Program planning; planning councils.

Eligible applicants shall within the time constraints imposed by these regulations utilize appropriate prime sponsor planning councils established pursuant to § 95.13 in the planning and review of the programs operated under Title VI of the Act.

§ 99.12 Initial funding.

(a) *General.* (1) The initial funding of programs under Title VI of the Act shall consist of a portion of each eligible applicant's allocation under § 99.2. In order to receive initial funding an eligible applicant shall submit an application to the appropriate ARDM not later than the date set by the Secretary. The approval of the application for initial funding shall commence the 13-month grant period except as provided for in § 99.74(a)(6).

(2) The forms for the application for initial funding shall be sent to eligible applicants by the respective ARDM's.

(b) *Initial grant application forms—*(1) *Application Sheet.* The application sheet explains the conditions for initial funding. All statements and information contained on the application sheet become binding on the eligible applicant upon execution of the grant. Such statements and information shall include:

(i) An agreement by the applicant to submit a modification for full funding within 30 days of submission of the initial application or within a greater period of time as determined to be necessary by the ARDM;

(ii) A statement that the grant period will be for a maximum of 13 months from the date of approval of the initial application;

(iii) The assurance that subgrants and contracts will not extend beyond the grant period;

(iv) A commitment to commence hiring immediately;

(v) The first month hiring level;

(vi) The authority of the eligible applicant to receive, expend, distribute, and grant funds for the described purpose; and

(vii) The authority of the eligible applicant to require compliance of subgrantees and contractors.

(2) *Assurances and certification sheet.* The assurances and certification sheet contains those assurances referenced in § 95.14(b)(3) of this subtitle and additional assurances established for Title VI programs under the Act. These assur-

ances and certifications are specified in the "Initial Funding Grant for Title VI CETA", and are binding upon the eligible applicant, upon execution of the grant.

(3) *Initial program narrative.* (i) The initial program narrative provides for a brief statement of certain essential information in the proposed 13-month program.

(ii) The narrative shall describe the planned use of the total estimated allocation.

(iii) The narrative shall also provide:

(A) A brief description of the economic conditions and unemployment situation in the eligible applicant's jurisdiction, including identification of the groups as described in § 99.36(b), to be given preferred consideration, and other significant segments to be served by the eligible applicant (i.e. § 99.37);

(B) A list and description of projects and activities to be funded including a statement of first month hiring goal;

(C) An explanation of the program design of paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section.

(D) Information on the geographic distribution of funds;

(E) A certification as described in § 99.15(b)(1)(i)(D)(2) if applicable.

(F) A certification as described in § 99.15(b)(1)(i)(D)(*1*) if applicable.

(G) A statement on waiver of placement goals pursuant to section 211(b) of the Act, if such a waiver is sought by the eligible applicant.

(4) *Consortia.* Only an approved consortium formed to operate programs under Title I or Title II of the Act may operate a program under Title VI of the Act. Such a consortium desiring funds to operate a program under Title VI of the Act shall submit, with its application for initial funding, an attestation that the consortium agreement covers or has been amended to cover activities under Title VI. The attestation shall be signed in accordance with the consortium agreement.

(5) *Initial funding signature sheet.* The initial grant will contain a grant signature sheet for funds provided under Title VI.

§ 99.13 Comments and publication procedures relating to submission of application for initial funding.

(a) As provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, each eligible applicant shall, no later than the date of its submission of the initial application to the ARDM, provide an opportunity for comment on the application. The initial grant application may, however, be reviewed, negotiated and approved or disapproved immediately upon its receipt. Any comments received by the prime sponsor after the initial application approval will be considered before the grant is modified for full funding.

(b) (1) Each eligible applicant shall publish a summary of the initial application, in a newspaper(s) (including minority newspapers where feasible) which will provide for a general circulation throughout the area to be served by the eligible applicant's plan. Such publication shall be for one issue. A copy of the newspaper article shall be transmitted to the ARDM. For Fiscal Year 1975, the publication shall be no later than the date of submission of the application to the ARDM.

(2) The information published shall include:

(i) The total estimated numbers of individuals to be served, including a specification of the first month's hiring goal;

(ii) The projects and activities to be provided by the program in each geographic area, and the funds planned for each project and activity;

(iii) If applicable, a certification that the eligible applicant seeks to qualify as an area of excessively high unemployment as described in § 99.15(b)(1)(i)(D)(*1*).

(iv) The location and hours that the complete grant application can be reviewed and the address and phone numbers where comments may be directed; and

(v) The proposed sub-allocation of funds.

(c)(1) In addition to publication in a newspaper of general circulation, each eligible applicant shall provide a copy of its application, for the purpose of commenting thereon, to the Governor and the appropriate State and sub-State A–95 clearinghouse(s). It shall provide a summary to appropriate units of general local government with a population of at least 10,000 persons, to Indian prime sponsors, and to labor organizations representing employees engaged in similar work in the same area as that for which participants will receive subsidized training or employment.

(2) For grants for Fiscal Year 1975, an eligible applicant shall submit a copy of its application to the appropriate State and sub-State A–95 clearinghouse(s) at the same time it submits its application to the ARDM. The copy of the application sent to the clearinghouse(s) shall be accompanied by the following statement: "Due to the time constraints on implementation of Title VI of the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act, the program plan required by this Part 99 is being submitted to the clearinghouse(s) and the Department of Labor simultaneously. Clearinghouses are requested to forward any comments directly to the eligible applicant and the ARDM."

(d) Comments pursuant to paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section shall be made to the eligible applicant and the ARDM within 30 days of publication. Notwithstanding this provision, the ARDM shall take appropriate action on the application, including, if warranted, approval of the application immediately upon its receipt.

(e) An eligible applicant shall, within a reasonable period of time, acknowledge any comment made pursuant to this section. It shall inform any party submitting a substantive comment of whether any plan revision will be made in response to the comment and the reasons for the eligible applicant's determination. All substantive comments and responses will be transmitted to the ARDM.

§ 99.14 Review and approval of application for initial funding.

(a) An application for initial funding will be reviewed to determine if it meets the requirements of the Act, the regulations promulgated under the Act, and other applicable law, including whether the application meets the needs of the unemployed population of the community and institutes immediate hiring procedures consistent with the first month's goal.

(b) The application will be reviewed by the ARDM. Any revisions will be discussed with the eligible applicant. The ARDM will approve the application when it meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 99.15 Full funding.

(a) *General.* No later than 30 days after the submission of the application for initial funding, an eligible applicant shall submit a modification for full funding as provided in § 99.74(a)(6). Approval of the modification will incorporate into the grant the balance of the eligible applicant's allocation under § 99.2 of these regulations.

(b) *Modification for full funding.* The modification for full funding will consist of the employment plan and grant signature sheet. To apply for a modification for full funding, eligible applicants will submit the following forms:

(1) *The employment plan.* The employment plan is a statement of how the eligible applicant intends to use Title VI funds. The employment plan will propose the use of the total Title VI allocation during the grant period. The employment plan as described below consists of the full funding narrative description of the program, the project operating plan, the occupational summary, and the program summary.

(i) *Narrative description of the program.* The narrative description of the program supersedes the initial narrative. It provides a detailed statement of the eligible applicant's program under Title VI of the Act. This narrative covers the entire grant period starting at the date of initial funding. The following information shall be provided in the narrative description of the program.

(A) *Objectives and need for this assistance.* (*1*) This item describes the general economic conditions in the area to be served and projects general economic indicators for the grant period. It includes information on the current and projected hiring situation of major employers in the area served.

(2) This item describes the demographic characteristics of the labor force in the area to be served. To the extent the information is available, the program narrative shall include information on the number of unemployed persons who have exhausted their unemployment compensation benefits, those not eligible for unemployment compensation benefits (except those lacking work experience),

and those who have been unemployed longer than 15 weeks.

(3) This item describes any unmet need for services in the jurisdiction.

(4) This item briefly states the intended use of funds, indicating how the plan addresses the conditions in the community as described in paragraphs (b)(1)(i)(A)(1), (2), and (3) of this section.

(B) *Results and benefits.* This item explains how the quantified results in section I of the Project Operating Plan impact on the needs of the labor force and are consistent with the economic projections described in paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) of this section. For each project, it explains the community services which will be provided, their effect on the labor force, and the economic conditions in the area.

(C) *Approach.* (1) This item describes the methods which will be used to give preferred consideration, to the maximum extent feasible, in providing employment to unemployed persons who either have exhausted their unemployment insurance benefits, are not eligible to receive such benefits (except those lacking work experience), or have been unemployed for 15 weeks or longer (sec. 602(d)). In addition, this item describes the eligible applicant's plans for providing service to those individuals who will exhaust benefits under Title II of the Emergency Jobs and Unemployment Assistance Act of 1974. It further describes the recruitment and selection of otherwise eligible participants and it estimates a percent of those to be served who will not come from the above categories.

(2) This item describes the design of each project and activity. Locally designed projects should include labor intensive functions of limited duration which will utilize participants to meet additional community needs. For each project, this item shall explain the types of activity(s) the participants will engage in, and the organization of each activity.

(3) This item explains the steps to be taken to comply with applicable provisions of collective bargaining agreements, comparability of wage rates and working conditions for participants.

(4) This item provides an explanation of the arrangements and efforts which may be initiated by the eligible applicant to establish on an area basis for participants in programs funded under Title VI of the Act jobs and wage structures, taking into account the average wages in the area served and the cost of living in such areas, with the aim of effecting a nation-wide federally supported annual average rate equivalent to $7,800 per full-time position within the overall $10,000 federally supported salary limitation provided to public service job holders. However, this in no way is intended to impair an eligible applicant's right to pay the maximum wage rate allowable. Such efforts may include special projects and activities such as public service employment, work experience, or institutional and on-the-job training, designed to take into consideration the average wages in the eligible applicant's area and the cost of living in such areas. The narrative description of these efforts should describe the program designs selected by the eligible applicant and the wage rates for each job, as indicated on the occupational summary. The ARDM in fulfilling this responsibility shall make general recommendations to eligible applicants on an area basis, as may be deemed appropriate, to accomplish a nation-wide average wage rate equivalent to $7,800 per full-time employment opportunity funded under Title II of the Act.

(5) For those eligible applicants not certifying as areas of excessively high unemployment, this item explains how activities and projects will, to the extent possible:

(i) Be linked to upgrading and other manpower activities and services,

(ii) Provide employment of a transitional nature, and

(iii) Contribute to the career advancement and upward mobility of participants.

(D) *Certifications.* (1) If an eligible applicant wishing to qualify as an area of excessively high unemployment has not provided certification in its initial application for funding, as specified in § 99.12(b)(3)(iii)(F), it shall certify to the ARDM that there is a necessity to operate public service employment programs under the waived provisions of career advancement, upward mobility, linkages to other manpower programs, or jobs in expanding occupational fields, in order to provide sufficient job opportunities and that:

(i) It has an average unemployment rate in excess of 7 percent for the most recent three consecutive months, or

(ii) It is either a rural CEP prime sponsor as described in section 102(a)(5) of the Act, an exceptional circumstance prime sponsor as described in section 102(a)(4) of the Act, or State prime sponsor serving a Title II area of substantial unemployment.

(2) If a consortium has an unemployment rate of 7 percent or less, and a member of that consortium which qualifies as an eligible applicant has an unemployment rate in excess of 7 percent or is a Title II area within a balance of State, that individual member may so certify under (D)(1)(i) of this subdivision to the ARDM. Such member certifies, along with the consortium's initial grant application or modification for full funding, that activities permitted to areas of excessively high unemployment are necessary in order to provide sufficient job opportunities within its jurisdiction.

(3) An eligible applicant may upon proper certification to the ARDM make payments to public employers to expand the provision of job opportunities to additional programs, such as:

(i) Jobs during the summer months for economically disadvantaged youth otherwise eligible for participation,

(ii) Community work activities for the chronically unemployed poor,

(iii) Special programs which provide unemployed or low-income persons with jobs leading to career opportunities,

(iv) Special work-related services and part-time or temporary employment for middle aged and older unemployed persons.

NOTE. The eligible applicant shall explain why any such programs under paragraphs (b)(1)(i)(D) (a), (b), (c), and (d) above are necessary to provide sufficient job opportunities. The explanation shall be specific for each of the programs listed and shall include a justification of how each such program will meet the needs of the community.

(4) Any eligible applicant which wishes to request a waiver of the placement goals under section 211(b) of the Act shall explain why such a waiver is warranted by local conditions. The eligible applicant shall also request that such a waiver be granted.

(E) *Maintenance of effort data.* An eligible applicant shall estimate the number of jobs that will be filled by recalling former employees who have been terminated or laid-off. The eligible applicant shall, in addition, provide data (including fiscal and budgetary documents) and explanatory materials which establish that no termination or lay-off of employees was done to utilize Federal funds in lieu of local funds, but was the result of local budgetary conditions and would have occurred even if no Federal funds were available, under the Act, to provide for the employment of local or State governmental employees. This data shall include relevant materials related to the eligible applicant's local budget situation, including any relevant materials relating to special purpose local governments such as, but not limited to, transportation authorities. Other maintenance of effort requirements are found at §§ 96.24 and 99.35.

(ii) *Project Operating Plan.*—(A) *General.* The project operating plan requires an eligible applicant to provide a quantitative statement of planned expenditures, enrollment levels, and outcomes for program participants. It also requires an eligible applicant to indicate planned expenditures by cost category and by program activity. For the purposes of providing a plan for the use of funds under Title VI of the Act, section III(C) of the project operating plan will not be completed.

(b) *Monthly Plan.* In addition to the project operating plan under Title VI, a monthly estimate of total individuals on board and total expenditures will be provided. This monthly schedule will be used in reviewing the monthly progress report as described in § 99.72(c). The monthly schedule will be used in the monthly review of performance as described in § 99.32. Such monthly plans must reflect the activity for each month during the grant period under Title VI and indicate efforts to hire immediately.

(iii) *Occupational summary.* For the purpose of Title VI of the Act the occupational summary requires an eligible applicant operating a Title VI program to provide a description of projects, reg-

ular public service employment jobs, training and other opportunities, including a comparison of wages paid to participants with wages for similar nonsubsidized jobs in the community.

(iv) *Program summary.* The Program Summary presents a distribution of jobs and funds to be provided to eligible applicants and subgrantees; it designates the areas to be served and the population of each area.

(2) *Grant Signature Sheet.* The grant signature sheet for the modification for full funding will reflect the eligible applicants entire allocation under Title VI of the Act.

§ 99.16 Comments and publication procedures on modification for full funding.

No later than the date of submission of the modification for full funding the eligible applicant shall provide an opportunity for comment on the modification as specified in § 99.13, including review by appropriate labor organizations, and State and sub-State A-95 clearinghouses.

§ 99.17 Standards for reviewing grant application.

(a) An application for modification for full funding will be reviewed to determine if it meets the requirements of the Act, the regulations promulgated under the Act, and other applicable law.

(b) In reviewing a grant application as provided in paragraph (a) of this section, the ARDM shall determine whether the application demonstrates that funds will be fully utilized in the grant period to provide manpower services that meet the needs of the community, including the immediate employment opportunities for the maximum number of individuals. Any extension of the 13 month grant period will be made as provided in § 99.74 (a)(6).

§ 99.18 Application approval and disapproval.

For the approval of an application for initial funding and the modification for full funding, the requirements of § 96.15 of this subtitle apply. Disapproval of either application will follow the requirements of § 96.16(a), (b)(1), and (b)(2) of this subtitle. Discussion concerning any defect or disapproval of the application will be completed within 30 days of its submission.

§ 99.19 Use of alternative eligible applicants.

The provisions of § 96.17 shall apply to grant applications submitted under Title VI of the Act.

§ 99.20 Modification of grant agreements.

Subsequent to the modification for full funding, any modification to the grant agreement shall be made in accordance with § 96.18 (a), (b), and (d) of this subtitle. The comment procedure provided in § 99.13 will apply.

§ 99.21 Modification of an employment plan.

Modifications to the plan shall be made in accordance with § 96.19 of this subtitle, except that the comment procedures of § 99.13 will apply, excluding submission to clearinghouses.

Subpart C—Program Operation Requirements for Eligible Applicants

§ 99.30 General.

This Subpart C provides the program operation requirements for eligible applicants in creating and expanding public service job opportunities for unemployed and underemployed persons (sec. 205, 602(a)).

§ 99.31 Basic responsibilities of eligible applicants.

(a) An eligible applicant is responsible for administering its projects and programs funded under Title VI of the Act consistent with the provisions described in § 96.21 of this subtitle.

(b) Each eligible applicant shall allocate job opportunities among State and local public agencies within its area, considering such factors as opportunities for transition, early hiring opportunities, and number of job opportunities available within each agency.

§ 99.32 Program performance requirements for eligible applicants.

(a) An eligible applicant is responsible for utilizing funds allocated under Title VI of the Act in accordance with the expenditure levels and enrollment levels described in the approved employment plan and within the monthly goals of the grant period.

(b) The ARDM shall review the program performance of each eligible applicant on a monthly basis and determine the adequacy of the eligible applicant's performance with respect to the expenditure and enrollment levels provided for in the project operating plan. Acceptable performance levels shall be as follows:

(1) Eligible applicants whose expenditure or enrollment levels are less than 100 percent but at least 80 percent of the levels described in the approved plan may be subject to corrective action and technical assistance prescribed by the ARDM. Such efforts shall be undertaken to assist the eligible applicant to comply with the program performance levels described in the approved plan.

(2) Eligible applicants whose expenditure or enrollment levels are less than 80 percent of the levels described in the approved plan may be subject to the corrective actions initiated by the ARDM and the reallocation provisions of Subpart E of this Part 99. Such efforts shall be undertaken to assist the eligible applicant to comply with the program performance levels described in the approved plan.

(c) The ARDM shall on a monthly basis make a general review of the eligible applicant's performance and goals to determine the responsiveness of the eligible applicant's operation to the unemployment rates of its area and the employment needs of the persons within its jurisdiction.

§ 99.33 Basic responsibilities of program agents; relationship with eligible applicant.

(a) The responsibilities of program agents, as defined in § 99.2(d)(3), shall be those provided in § 96.22 of this subtitle.

(b) Irreconcilable differences between an eligible applicant and a program agent shall be submitted to the ARDM. The ARDM shall make the final decision on differences. Irreconcilable differences include, but are not limited to, disputes in reference to the method and data used to allocate funds to the program agents, disputes resulting from project and program designs, and disputes resulting from program performance in response to the employment plan.

§ 99.34 Public service job activities that may be funded under Title VI.

Funds allocated to eligible applicants for programs under this Subpart C shall only be used for manpower program activities and services consistent with the purposes of Title VI of the Act and these regulations. Such program activities and services are:

(a) Public service jobs in employment projects which provide maximum employment opportunities for unemployed and underemployed persons (sec. 602 (a));

(b) Public service employment programs which meet the requirements of § 96.23 (sec. 602(a));

(c) Basic manpower activities and services described in § 95.33(d) (sec. 210);

(d) Job opportunities with public employers, as described in paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) of section 304(a) of the Act, when the eligible applicant certifies to the Secretary in the grant application that such activities are necessary to provide sufficient job opportunities in the area served by the eligible applicant. (sec. 604(a)).

§ 99.35 Maintenance of effort; responsibility for selecting participants; special limitation on programs and participant selection.

(a) Public service jobs funded under Title VI of the Act shall only be in addition to employment which would otherwise be financed by the eligible applicant without assistance under this title. (secs. 602(c), 205(c)(25)).

(b) To assure maintenance of effort, a public service employment program under Title VI of the Act:

(1) Shall result in an increase in employment opportunities over those which would otherwise be available;

(2) Shall not result in the displacement of currently employed workers, in-

cluding partial displacement such as a reduction in hours of non-overtime work, wages or employment benefits;

(3) Shall not impair existing contracts for service or result in the substitution of Federal funds for other funds in connection with work that would otherwise be performed; and

(4) Shall not substitute public service jobs for existing federally assisted jobs (secs. 602(c), 208(a)(1)).

(c) Eligible applicants and grantees of funds under this Act may not terminate or lay-off an employee for the purpose of hiring an individual under a Title VI program (secs. 602(c), 205(c)(8)). However, the hiring of former employees who lost their jobs due to a bona fide lay-off is not prohibited if it does not constitute a violation of the maintenance of effort provisions of the Act and these regulations.

(d) Eligible applicants shall, at the direction of the ARDM, submit budgetary expenditure documentation, revenue statements, and other information relevant to determination under this section.

(e) The selection of participants for public service jobs funded under Title VI of the Act shall be made in accordance with the provisions of § 96.25 of this subtitle.

(f) The provisions of § 96.26 on special limitations on programs and participant selection shall apply to public service jobs funded under Title VI of the Act.

§ 99.36 Eligibility for participation in a Title VI program.

(a) (1) A person who has been unemployed for at least 30 days, as defined in § 94.4(xx), prior to application or who is underemployed, as defined in § 94.4 (vv), and resides in the area of the eligible applicant is eligible to participate in programs funded under Title VI of the Act. The provisions of residency, citizenship and hiring of persons on lay-off, described in § 96.27 (d), (e), and (f) shall apply to persons eligible to participate in programs funded under Title VI of the Act.

(2) In the case where the Title VI eligible applicant is a consortium, residents within the boundaries of the consortium, who meet the eligibility criteria of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, may be employed at any site within such boundaries: *Provided*, That the total amount of funds available for residents of each participating eligible applicant equals the amount of funds that the area would have received if the consortium had not been formed.

(3) Funds allocated under Title VI of the Act to an eligible applicant for an area of substantial unemployment shall only be used to provide project and program opportunities to persons residing in that area of substantial unemployment, as defined in section 204(c). (sec. 603(a)(2)).

(b) Eligible applicants in selecting persons to participate in programs funded under Title VI of the Act shall to the maximum extent feasible give preferred consideration to unemployed persons who have exhausted their unemployment insurance benefits, to unemployed persons who are not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits (except for persons lacking work experience), and to unemployed persons who have been unemployed for 15 or more weeks. A person who is lacking work experience is a person who is a new entrant into the labor force.

(c) Eligible applicants shall inform persons applying for participation of their rights for unemployment compensation benefits under regular unemployment insurance laws, extended benefits, and special and emergency benefits. This would include benefits provided under the Special Unemployment Assistance Program for workers who could not otherwise receive unemployment payments under any other law and the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Program which provides extended benefit coverage for workers.

(d) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, persons who meet the eligibility requirements of § 99.36 may be enrolled to participate in programs funded under Title VI of the Act under the conditions described in the approved employment plan.

§ 99.37 Eligibility considerations for special groups.

(a) The provisions of § 96.28 and § 96.30 shall apply in giving special consideration to the most severely disadvantaged persons, veterans, welfare recipients, and former manpower trainees in public service jobs funded under Title VI of the Act.

(b) Each eligible applicant in selecting participants for programs under Title VI of the Act, shall take into consideration the extent that unemployed special veterans and individuals who served in the Armed Forces and were discharged within 4 years before the date of their application for the program are available in the area. Specific effort should be made to develop appropriate full or part-time job opportunities for such veterans. The eligible applicant should utilize the assistance of the local Veterans Employment Service representative in formulating its program objectives.

(c) Each eligible applicant shall, on a continuing and timely basis, provide information on job vacancies and training opportunities funded under Title VI of the Act to the local Veterans Employment Service representative for the purpose of disseminating information to eligible veterans (sec. 104(b) of Emergency Jobs and Unemployment Assistance Act of 1974).

§ 99.38 Serving significant segments of the population.

The significant segments of an eligible applicant's population shall be served in public service jobs on an equitable basis as provided in § 96.29 of this subtitle.

§ 99.39 Linkages with other manpower programs.

An eligible applicant, where appropriate, shall maintain and provide linkages with other manpower programs as provided under the provisions of § 96.32 of this subtitle.

§ 99.40 Placement goals.

(a) Public service employment programs funded under Title VI of the Act shall, to the extent feasible, meet placement goals as described in and under the provisions of § 96.33 of this subtitle. (sec. 211(b)).

(b) Placement goals established consistent with the provisions of § 96.33 of this subtitle are to be understood as goals and are not prescribed as placement requirements. (sec. 211(b)).

(c) Any eligible applicant shall have the right to request a waiver of such placement goals. The request for a waiver may be submitted at any time, and may be granted by the ARDM when in the ARDM's judgment local economic conditions and budgetary constraints warrant such a waiver. (sec. 211(b)).

(d) Whenever such a waiver has been granted by the ARDM, failure to meet the placement goals shall not be cited in any official review or evaluation of that eligible applicant's program. (sec. 211(b)).

§ 99.41 Compensation and working conditions for participants.

(a) The rate of compensation and the working conditions for Title VI participants in public service jobs, including part-time public service jobs for persons who are unable because of age, handicap or other factors to work full-time, shall be as specified in §§ 96.34 and 96.35 of this subtitle. Compensation to any participant from Title VI funds is limited to a maximum full-time rate of $10,000 per year, plus the cost of fringe benefits. These wages may be supplemented by local funds to exceed the Federal limit of $10,000.

(b) An eligible applicant may establish on an area basis for participants in programs funded under Title VI of the Act jobs and wage structures, taking into account the average wages in the area served and the cost of living in such areas, with the aim of effecting a nationwide federally supported annual average wage rate equivalent to $7,800 per full-time position within the overall $10,000 federally supported salary limitation provided to public service job holders. However, this in no way is intended to impair an eligible applicant's right to pay the maximum wage rate allowable. The ARDM is authorized to make recommendations, on an area basis, to eligible applicants pertaining to the policies set forth in this paragraph.

(c) Participants in classroom training programs funded under Title VI of the Act shall be compensated according to § 95.34.

(d) Participants in on-the-job training shall be compensated according to § 95.35.

(e) Participants in work experience may be compensated according to either § 95.34 or § 95.35.

§ 99.42 Worksite standards for public service jobs funded under Title VI.

(a) Public service job opportunities may be developed by eligible applicants with public agencies and private nonprofit organizations which provide public services.

(b) Participants in public service jobs may be placed at workstations hosted by Federal agencies, when such agencies agree to accept the stationing of such participants.

(c) Participants in public service jobs funded under Title VI of the Act shall not be deemed Federal employees.

(d) Eligible applicants may develop job opportunities with the local State Employment Service and Unemployment Insurance Service to assist these agencies in meeting increased workload occurring through the implementation of the Special Unemployment Assistance Program.

§ 99.43 Retirement benefits for public service job participants.

The provisions of § 96.36 of this subtitle shall apply to the retirement benefits. The payment of retirement benefits is not required by the Act. However, the Act does not prohibit payments into a retirement fund on behalf of Title VI participants.

Subpart D—Special Provisions for Areas of Excessively High Unemployment

§ 99.50 General.

(a) This Subpart D provides special provisions for eligible applicants of areas of excessively high unemployment. In addition to the provisions of this Subpart D, the program operation requirements described in Subpart C of this Part 99 shall also apply to programs in areas of excessively high unemployment unless such provisions have been specifically excepted in this subpart.

(b) An eligible applicant whose jurisdiction is an area of excessively high unemployment shall be:

(1) An eligible applicant having an average unemployment rate in excess of 7 percent for the most recent three consecutive months based upon the best available information and subject to review by the ARDM and certifies in the grant application to the ARDM that the application of the provisions of this Subpart D is necessary in order to provide sufficient job opportunities in the area;

(2) An eligible applicant which is an "exceptional circumstance" prime sponsor under section 102(a)(4) of the Act and certifies in the grant application to the ARDM that application of the provisions of this Subpart D is necessary in order to provide sufficient job opportunities in the area;

(3) An eligible applicant which is a "concentrated employment program" prime sponsor under section 102(a)(5) of the Act and certifies in the grant application to the ARDM that the application of the provisions of this Subpart D is necessary in order to provide sufficient job opportunities in the area; or

(4) An eligible applicant which is a State prime sponsor serving an area which is eligible for assistance under the Title II of the Act and certifies in the grant application to the ARDM that the application of the provision of this Subpart D is necessary in order to provide sufficient job opportunities in the Title II area.

§ 99.51 Basic responsibilities of eligible applicants.

An eligible applicant is responsible for administering its projects and program funded under Title VI of the Act consistent with the requirements of § 99.31 and the provisions of § 96.21 of this subtitle except that the provisions of § 96.21 (c), (d), and (e) of this subtitle shall not apply.

§ 99.52 Public service job activities that may be funded in areas of excessively high unemployment.

(a) Funds allocated to eligible applicants for programs under this Subpart D may be used for manpower program activities and services described in § 99.34.

(b) Funds allocated to eligible applicants may also be used for special program activities and services (sec. 604). Such activities and services are:

(1) The funding of jobs in areas of public service which meet the requirements of § 96.23 of this subtitle, except that § 96.23(b) (2), (3), and (5) shall not apply;

(2) The funding of jobs with public employers on community capital improvement projects, which would not be otherwise carried out. Such projects may include the rehabilitation, alteration, or improvement of public buildings, roads and other public transportation facilities, health and education facilities, and other facilities for the improvement of the community in which the project is or will be located. Funds shall not be used however, for employment in new building and highway construction work and other work which inures primarily to the benefit of a private profit-making organization. (sec. 604(b)); and

(3) The funding of jobs, in projects, which would not otherwise be carried out. Such projects may include construction, rehabilitation, alteration, or improvement of water and waste disposal facilities in communities having populations of 10,000 individuals or less which are outside the boundaries of a standard metropolitan statistical area.

§ 99.53 Eligibility for participation in a project or program in a Title VI area of excessively high unemployment.

(a) A person who has been unemployed, as defined in § 94.4(xx), (except for the provisions of § 94.4(xx)(3)), for at least 15 days shall be eligible to participate in a program or project in a Title VI area of excessively high unemployment. Provided, however; that no funds received under Title VI of the Act will be used to hire any person to fill a job opening created by the action of an employer in laying-off or terminating the employment of any regular employee not supported under Title VI in anticipation of filling the vacancy so created by hiring a participant to be supported by funds provided under Title VI to areas of excessively high unemployment.

(b) Participants on construction projects shall be paid wages and rates not less than those prevailing on similar construction in the locality as determined by the Secretary in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act, as amended (40 U.S.C. 276a–276–5).

Subpart E—Administrative Provisions

§ 99.70 General.

This Subpart E describes Federal requirements relating to the administration of grants by a grantee for programs under Title VI of the Act with references to the sections of Part 98 of this subtitle which apply and set forth requirements where they differ from Part 98 of this subtitle.

§ 99.71 Payments, financial management systems and audit.

Sections 98.2 through 98.6 of this subtitle relating to payments, financial management systems and audits apply (secs. 702(b), and 713).

§ 99.72 Reporting requirements.

(a) Section 98.7 shall apply (secs. 702 (12), and 713).

(b) *Quarterly progress report.* Section 98.8 which provides for submission of a Quarterly Progress Report shall apply and in addition a monthly report is required as set forth in paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) *Monthly report.* The Quarterly Progress Report form shall be used to submit a monthly report to be received by the ARDM no later than 10 working days after the end of each month. For this report Part I, Part III and Part VIII shall be completed. The planned information for this monthly report will be from the monthly schedule provided by the applicant as part of its Project Operating Plan.

(d) Section 98.9 of this subtitle requiring submission of a Quarterly Summary of Client Characteristics shall apply.

(e) Section 98.10 of this subtitle requiring submission of a Report of Federal Cash Transactions shall apply.

§ 99.73 Reallocation of funds.

(a) Irrespective of requirements under § 98.11 the ARDM may make such reallocation as he deems appropriate of any amount of any allocation under this title to the extent that he determines that an eligible applicant will not be able to use such amount within a reasonable period of time.

(b) When the ARDM determines that a reallocation is appropriate, he will give the grantee and the appropriate Governor 30-days notice of the proposed action to remove funds from the grant.

(c) The grantee and the Governor will be invited to submit comments on a proposed reallocation of funds. These comments shall be submitted to the ARDM within 30 days of the notice. After reviewing any comments submitted during the 30 days, the ARDM shall notify the Governor and affected prime sponsors on any decision to reallocate funds

and shall have any such decision published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(d) The procedures set out in this section are in lieu of any other procedures which might otherwise be applicable under § 98.40, et seq. of this subtitle.

(e) Any reallocation of funds shall be to an alternate eligible applicant to serve the area or to other eligible applicants within the same State (sec. 606)).

§ 99.74 Allowable Federal costs.

(a) Section 98.12 of this subtitle concerning allowable Federal costs shall apply to Title VI, except for § 98.12(a)(1) of this subtitle. The following requirements shall also apply to Title VI funds in regard to allowable costs.

(1) Not less than 90 percent of the funds appropriated pursuant to Title VI of the Act which are used by the eligible applicant for public service employment programs shall be expended only for wages and fringe benefits. (sec. 602(b)).

(2) Allowable costs chargeable to fringe benefits include training materials, work tools, uniforms and other equipment ordinarily provided by the employer to his regular employees and for the ownership of the participants.

(3) (i) The 10 percent of funds used by an eligible applicant for public service employment programs under Title VI, after the 90 percent requirement of § 99.74(b) is met, may be used for administration, training, and supportive services, including equipment and materials used in the training of participants, as defined in § 98.12(e)(3) of this subtitle. In addition, the provisions of § 96.38(c) of this subtitle shall apply.

(ii) None of the 10 percent may be used for acquisition, rental, or leasing of supplies, equipment, material or real property for administration of the program whether these expenses are budgeted as a direct cost, indirect cost or overhead cost.

(4) If the eligible applicant operates programs other than public service employment programs under the authorization contained in section 210 of the Act, the requirements set forth in paragraphs (a)(1), (2), and (3) of this section do not apply. For these programs, § 98.12 of this title applies. Specifically, a fixed percentage of wages and benefits is not required, but a goal of 20 percent for administrative cost, is established in § 98.12(e)(1), instead of the 10 percent maximum for public service employment programs under Title VI, and the purchase or rental of supplies, equipment, materials and real property for administrative purposes is allowed.

(5) The cost of participants salaries or allowances and fringe benefits in areas of excessively high unemployment may include jobs on community capital improvement projects, which would not otherwise be carried out, including the rehabilitation, alteration, or improvement of public building, roads, and other transportation facilities, health, and education facilities, and other facilities for the improvement of the community in which the program or project is to be located, but such funds shall not be used for employment in new building and highway construction work and other work which inures primarily to the benefits of a private profit-making organization. (sec. 604(b)(3)). Also, the costs of participants salaries or allowances and fringe benefits in areas of excessively high unemployment is allowable for construction, rehabilitation, alteration, or improvement of water and waste disposal facilities which would not otherwise be carried out, in communities having populations of 10,000 individuals or less which are outside the boundaries of a standard metropolitan statistical area (sec. 604(a)(3)).

(6) Under Title VI of the Act, funds are allowable for expenditure for 13 months from the date of approval of initial funding with no funds other than close out costs available for expenditure after the termination at the end of 13 months provided that this period shall be extended for any period of time exceeding 7 calendar days which elapse between a timely submission of the modification for full funding (as provided under § 99.10(6)) and the approval of such modification by the ARDM; Whenever an eligible applicant fails to submit his modification for full funding by the date prescribed by the ARDM such extension of its grant period shall be reduced by one day for each day that the submission of its modification is delayed.

§ 99.75 Eligible applicant contracts and subgrants.

Section 95.41 of this title shall apply with the following exceptions:

(a) Contracts may be entered into between the eligible applicant and any party, public or private, for purposes set forth in an approved plan, except § 95.41 (f) of this subtitle shall not apply. The procurement of these contracts shall be in conformance with the standards set forth in § 98.20 of this subtitle.

(b) Contracts or subgrants which propose to expend Federal funds for a public service employment program may be entered into only with other public agencies or with nonprofit agencies. Any contractual arrangement for the procurement of administrative services, training, or supportive services may be entered into with private profit-making organizations, but, must be limited only to such type of service and shall not include direct public service employment program services such as the employment of participants. Contracts and subgrants may not extend beyond the term of the grant (sec. 702(b)).

§ 99.76 Allocations of allowable costs among program activities.

Section 98.13 of this subtitle shall apply to Title VI of the Act.

§ 99.77 Basic personnel standards for eligible applicants.

(a) Section 98.14 of this title shall apply to Title VI of the Act (sec. 703(14)).

(b) For an eligible applicant's program under Title VI basic personnel standards, as set forth in § 98.14 of this subtitle shall apply only to its staff and not to program participants. An eligible applicant is encouraged to review Civil Service requirements and restructure its jobs so they may be immediately available to a maximum number of individuals in this time of high unemployment and difficult economic conditions. However, in filling public service jobs funded under Title VI of the Act, eligible applicants shall insure that applicable personnel procedures and collective bargaining agreements have been met (sec. 205(c)(24)).

§ 99.78 Adjustments in payments.

Section 98.15 of this subtitle shall apply to Title VI of the Act (sec. 702(b)).

§ 99.79 Termination of grant and grant closeout procedures.

Sections 98.16 and 98.17 of this subtitle shall apply to Title VI of the Act (sec. 702(b)).

§ 99.80 Retention of records.

Section 98.18 of this subtitle shall apply to Title VI of the Act (secs. 703(12), and

§ 99.81 Program income and procurement standards.

Sections 98.19 and 98.20 of this subtitle shall apply to Title VI of the Act.

§ 99.82 Nondiscrimination, equal employment opportunities, nepotism and restriction on political activities.

(a) Sections 98.21 and 98.23(a)(2) of this subtitle apply with the following change (secs. 703(1), 710 and 712): In § 98.23(a)(2) "Title II funded program" should be interpreted to read "programs funded by the Act."

(b) Section 98.22 of this subtitle shall not apply to Title VI. Sections 96.26(c) and 96.44 of this subtitle shall apply.

§ 99.83 Assessment and evaluation.

Sections 98.30 through 98.34 shall apply to Title VI (sec. 703(14)).

§ 99.84 Hearings and judicial review.

Subpart C §§ 98.40 through 98.49 of this subtitle shall apply to Title VI (except as otherwise provided in this Part 99).

Subpart F—Special Conditions for Grants to Indian Tribes on Federal and State Reservations

§ 99.90 General.

This Subpart F contains special conditions for grants to Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations. To the extent that any provisions of this Subpart F differ from any other provision of this Part 99, the prcvision of this Subpart F shall govern. In all other matters, the requirements of Part 99 apply to this Subpart F.

§ 99.91 Grant responsibility.

The Division of Indian Manpower Programs in the Office of National Programs shall have full responsibility for all matters pertaining to funds allocated to Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations under Title VI of the Act. All refer-

ences to ARDM in Part 99 shall be read as Director, Division of Indian Manpower Programs.

§ 99.92 Distribution of funds.

(a) Funds for programs under Title VI of the Act for Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations shall be determined as follows:

(1) Funds for use under this Subpart F shall be determined by treating all eligible reservations as a single unit in determining the total funds to be allocated to such reservations by the application of the statutory formula under § 99.2.

(2) Once such total funds have been determined, they shall be allocated among the reservations on the basis of each reservations unemployment compared to the unemployment on all eligible reservations.

(3) In making such allocations, the Secretary shall utilize the best data available.

§ 99.93 Eligibility for funds.

(a) Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations which meet the requirements of the Act shall be eligible to receive Title VI funds.

(b) Prime sponsors shall be eligible applicants that meet the requirements of § 96.42.

§ 99.94 Funding of prime sponsors.

(a) In order to be funded, a prime sponsor must update its letter of intent by requesting funding pursuant to Title VI of the Act. An eligible applicant which has not previously submitted a letter of intent shall comply with § 97.111 of this subtitle. Letters of intent shall be postmarked no later than January 31, 1975.

(b) For a consortium to obtain funding, it shall amend its consortium agreement to insure that it covers activities under Title VI of the Act.

(c) All grants executed pursuant to Title VI will operate for a period of 13 months, such period to commence, if feasible, February 1, 1975, and terminate February 29, 1976. No costs may be incurred subsequent to February 29, 1976, nor will subgrants and contracts extend beyond the grant period. All grants will, if feasible, be fully funded by March 1, 1976. There will be no initial funding period for Indian Title VI grants.

(d) Each eligible applicant will receive a tentative allocation against which it will prepare and submit its grant application.

(e) The grant application will consist of the Employment Plan and Grant Sheet. The Employment Plan shall consist of:

(1) A full narrative description of the program;

(2) A project operating plan;

(3) An occupational summary;

(4) A program summary;

(5) A monthly plan; and

(6) Assurance and certification (§ 99.15).

§ 99.95 Participant eligibility.

Unemployed and underemployed Indians may participate in programs funded with all other eligible applicants under Title VI. Non-reservation prime sponsors may subgrant or contract with Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations eligible to receive funds pursuant to this subpart.

§ 99.96 Comments and publication procedures relating to submission of application for funding.

For purpose of grants to Indian tribes on Federal or State reservations under Part 99, only § 99.13(a) shall apply.

§ 99.97 Planning process; advisory councils.

Eligible applicants shall utilize the services of their planning councils authorized under § 97.113 of this subtitle for Indian Manpower Programs funded under section 302 of the Act.

§ 99.98 Travel requirements.

Travel regulations for grantees under this subpart shall be consistent with the travel regulations that will be provided under Subtitle A, Part 97, Subpart B, Indian Manpower Programs, § 97.161 (7) *Travel Regulations*.

§ 99.99 Nepotism.

(a) No eligible applicant or subgrantee under this Subpart F shall hire, or permit the hiring of, any person in a position funded under Title VI of the Act, if a member of the person's immediate family is employed in an administrative capacity by the eligible applicant. For the purposes of this section, the term "immediate family" means wife, husband, son, daughter, mother, father, brother, and sister; the term "administrative capacity" means persons who have selection, hiring, or supervisory responsibilities for participants in a program under this Part 99, or operational responsibility for the program.

(b) If an eligible applicant or subgrantee under this Subpart F has a population of less than 1,000 persons and cannot hire program participants without an immediate family member being included, the Director of the Division of Indian Manpower Programs may waive the requirement of paragraph (a) of this section if adequate justification is received from such subgrantee that no other persons within the subgrantee's jurisdiction are eligible and available for participation.

(c) Where a tribal policy regarding nepotism exists which is more restrictive than this policy, the eligible applicant or subgrantee shall follow the tribal rule in lieu of this policy.

§ 99.100 Non-discrimination.

Section 99.82 shall be applicable to Indian programs funded pursuant to Title VI of the Act, except to the extent that such provisions conflict with 42 U.S.C. 2000 e(b).

§ 99.101 Subgrants.

In addition to the requirements concerning subgrants, Indian tribes may require that subgrantees agree, to the maximum extent feasible, to hire qualified Indians to provide services called for pursuant to the subgrant in accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000 e-2(i).

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 6th day of January 1975.

PETER J. BRENNAN,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.75-818 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, JANUARY 12, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 7

PART V

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

■

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

General Wage Determination Decisions

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions To General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions. General Wage Determination Decisions of the Secretary of Labor specify, in accordance with applicable law and on the basis of information available to the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and from other sources, the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed in construction activity of the character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of such prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24–70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determinations by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138), and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 12–71 and 15–71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and Federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue construction industry wage determination frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General Wage Determination Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision together with any modifications issued subsequent to its publication date shall be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR Part 5. The wage rates contained therein shall be the minimum paid under such contract by contractors and subcontractors on the work.

Modifications and supersedeas decisions to general wage determination decisions. Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions are based upon information obtained concerning changes in prevailing hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments since the decisions were issued.

The determinations of prevailing rates and fringe benefits made in the Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24–70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 13–71 and 15–71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in foregoing General Wage Determination Decisions, as hereby modified, and/or superseded shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and Federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged in contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the wages determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Special Wage Standards, Division of Wage Determinations, Washington, D.C. 20210. The cause for not utilizing the rulemaking procedures prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 has been set forth in the original General Wage Determination Decision.

MODIFICATION TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISION

The numbers of the decisions being modified and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State.

Alabama:	
AQ–4088	Mar. 15, 1974
AQ–4125	June 14, 1974
AR–4015	Aug. 9, 1974
AR–4033	Sept. 13, 1974
AR–4043	Oct. 4, 1974
AR–4060	Dec. 6, 1974
AR–4067	Dec. 20, 1974
Arizona:	
AR–1008; AR–1009; AR–1010	Aug. 9, 1974
Illinois:	
AR–3174	Nov. 29, 1974
Indiana:	
AR–3082; AR–3083; AR–3085; AR–3086; AR–3090; AR–3091; AR–3092; AR–3123; AR–3124; AR–3125	Aug. 23, 1974
Oklahoma:	
AR–88	Dec. 13, 1974
Texas:	
AR–48	Sept. 27, 1974

SUPERSEDEAS DECISIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

The numbers of the decisions being superseded and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State. Supersedeas Decision numbers are in parentheses following the numbers of the decision being superseded.

Alaska:	
AR–1042(AK75–4001)	Nov. 1, 1974
New Mexico:	
AR–34(NM75–4002)	Oct. 11, 1974
Texas:	
AR–36(TX75–4001)	Sept. 20, 1974

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3d day of January 1975.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

MODIFICATIONS P. 1

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AQ-4088 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 10085 - March 15, 1974) Statewide, Alabama					
Change:					
Zone I: Jefferson:					
Electricians	$8.50	.30	1%		.5%
DECISION #AQ-4125 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 20911 - June 14, 1974) Tuscaloosa County, Alabama					
Change:					
Plumbers; Steamfitters	8.70	.30	.25		.05
Sheet metal workers	9.00	.45	.40		.05
DECISION #AR-4015 - Mod. #4 (39 FR 28772 - August 9, 1974) Colbert and Lauderdale Counties, Alabama					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Electricians	8.35	.30	1%		.5%
Cable splicers	8.60	.30	1%		.5%
Elevator constructors	8.02	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Sheet metal workers	9.00	.45	.40		.05
DECISION #AR-4033 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 33145 - September 13, 1974) Lawrence, Limestone, & Morgan Counties, Alabama					
Change:					
Carpenters:					
Carpenters & Soft floor layers	6.25	.30	.20		.03
Millwrights	6.78	.30	.20		.03
Piledrivermen	6.62	.30	.20		.03
Electricians:					
Electricians; Linemen	8.35	.30	1%		.5%
Cable splicers	8.60	.30	1%		.5%
Groundmen	7.30	.30	1%		.5%
Elevator constructors	8.02	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Sheet metal workers	9.00	.45	.40		.05

MODIFICATIONS P. 2

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-4043 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 35918 - October 4, 1974) Jefferson County, Alabama					
Change:					
Elevator constructors	$8.02	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Sheet metal workers	9.00	.45	.40		.05
DECISION #AR-4060 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 42814 - December 6, 1974) Mobile County, Alabama					
Change:					
Elevator constructors	8.58	.395	.26	2½%+b+c	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.395	.26	2½%+b+c	.02
Truck drivers:					
GROUP A	$5.43	.30	.35		
GROUP B	6.20	.30	.35		
GROUP C	6.51	.30	.35		
GROUP D	6.78	.30	.35		
GROUP E	7.08	.30	.35		
GROUP F	7.28	.30	.35		
GROUP G	8.06	.30	.35		
GROUP H	8.76	.30	.35		

GROUP A: Truck helpers

GROUP B: Truck helpers when unloading creosote or coppertox materials; Drivers-trucks up to but not including 1½ tons; Station wagons; Jeeps and automobiles; Truck spotters; General warehousemen

GROUP C: Mechanic helper; Filling station attendant; Tire repairmen; Grease and wash rack man

GROUP D: Drivers 1½ tons up to but not including 5 tons

GROUP E: Receiving and issuing clerk

GROUP F: Scalemen

GROUP G: Truck drivers on rated 5 tons or 6 yards and over, including heavy equipment such as pole trucks; Miss or corning wagons; Dumpsters; Semi-drivers; Agitators; Ross carriers; Dempsey dumps; Euclid trucks; Forklift trucks in warehouse and similar equipment such as tractors; 10 wheelers; Jeeps or dump trucks or pickup trucks pulling two or four wheel trailers hauling equipment

GROUP H: Truck & automobile mechanics

MODIFICATIONS P. 3

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-4067 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 44151 - December 20, 1974) Madison County, Alabama					
Change:					
Electricians; Linemen	8.35	.30	1%		.5%
DECISION #AR-1008 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 28773 - August 9, 1974) Statewide, Arizona					
Change:					
Drywall:					
(From Court House in Phoenix, Mesa, incl. Williams AFB and Luke AFB):					
Tapers:					
Zone A (0-40 miles)	$ 8.90	.49		.50	.07
Zone B (41-60 miles)	10.40	.49		.50	.07
Zone C (61 miles & over)	11.15	.49		.50	.07
Texture Spraymen:					
Zone A (0-40 miles)	9.00	.49		.50	.07
Zone B (41-60 miles)	10.50	.49		.50	.07
Zone C (61 miles & over)	11.25	.49		.50	.07
Painters (Phoenix Area)					
Zone A					
Brush	8.10	.57	.38	.50	.05
Spray	8.35	.57	.38	.50	.05
Steel & bridge, brush	8.45	.57	.38	.50	.05
Steel & bridge, spray	8.65	.57	.38	.50	.05
Zone B					
Brush	9.10	.57	.38	.50	.05
Spray	9.35	.57	.38	.50	.05
Steel & bridge, brush	9.45	.57	.38	.50	.05
Steel & bridge, spray	9.65	.57	.38	.50	.05
Zone C					
Brush	9.60	.57	.38	.50	.05
Spray	9.85	.57	.38	.50	.05
Steel & bridge, brush	9.95	.57	.38	.50	.05
Steel & bridge, spray	10.15	.57	.38	.50	.05
Soft Floor Layers (Phoenix Area)					
Zone A	7.85	.49	.12		.12
Zone B	8.85	.49	.12		.12
Zone C	9.85	.49	.12		.12

MODIFICATIONS P. 4

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-1009 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 28781 - August 9, 1974) Maricopa County, Arizona					
Change:					
Drywall:					
(From Court House in Phoenix Mesa, incl. Williams AFB and Luke AFB):					
Tapers:					
Zone A (0-40 miles)	$ 8.90	.49		.50	.07
Zone B (41-60 miles)	10.40	.49		.50	.07
Zone C (61 miles & over)	11.15	.49		.50	.07
Texture Spraymen:					
Zone A (0-40 miles)	9.00	.49		.50	.07
Zone B (41-60 miles)	10.50	.49		.50	.07
Zone C (61 miles & over)	11.25	.49		.50	.07
Painters:					
Zone A					
Brush; Tapers	8.10	.57	.38	.50	.05
Spray; Paperhangers	8.35	.57	.38	.50	.05
Zone B					
Brush; Tapers	9.10	.57	.38	.50	.05
Spray; Paperhangers	9.35	.57	.38	.50	.05
Zone C					
Brush; Tapers	9.60	.57	.38	.50	.05
Spray; Paperhangers	9.85	.57	.38	.50	.05
Soft Floor Layers					
Zone A	7.85	.49	.12		.12
Zone B	8.85	.49	.12		.12
Zone C	9.85	.49	.12		.12

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-1010 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 38787 - August 9, 1974) Pima County, Arizona					
Change:					
Bricklayers; Stonemasons					
Zone A	$ 9.395	.60	.60		.06
Zone B	9.77	.60	.60		.06
Zone C	10.145	.60	.60		.06
Zone D	10.895	.60	.60		.06
Marble Setters; Terrazzo Workers; Tile Setters	8.43	.60	.60		
Painters, brush					
Zone A	7.15	.47	.25		.02
Zone B	7.90	.47	.25		.02
Zone C	8.65	.47	.25		.02
Zone D	9.15	.47	.25		.02
Painters, structural steel, brush					
Zone A	8.15	.47	.25		.02
Zone B	8.90	.47	.25		.02
Zone C	9.65	.47	.25		.02
Zone D	10.15	.47	.25		.02
Roofers					
Zone A	7.27	.65	.20		.03
Zone B	9.02	.65	.20		.03
Sheet Metal Workers					
Zone A	8.46	.63	1.30		.01
Zone B	8.96	.63	1.30		.01
Zone C	9.96	.63	1.30		.01
Zone D	10.96	.63	1.30		.01

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-3174 - Mod. #1 (39 41663 November 29, 1974) Madison & St. Clair Counties, Illinois					
CHANGE:					
Laborers - Madison County					
Glen Carbon:					
Common Laborer	$8.50		.35		.035
Mason & Plasterer Tenders	9.00		.35		.035
Dynamite Men	10.10		.35		.035
Highland:					
Common Laborer	8.35		.40		.035
Mason & Plasterer Tenders	8.85		.40		.035
Workmen cutting & burning w/ torch	8.60		.40		.035
Livingston:					
Common Laborer	8.55		.20		.035
Working on the Bottom of Sewer	8.80		.20		.035
Mason & Plasterer Tenders	9.05		.20		.035
Troy:					
Common Laborer	8.45		.30		.035
Mason & Plasterer Tender	8.95		.30		.035
Working on the Bottom of Sewer	8.70		.30		.035
St. Jacob:					
Common Laborer	8.35		.40		.035
Mason & Plasterer Tender	8.85		.40		.035
Working in Sewer Trenches	8.60		.40		.035
Laborers - St. Clair County					
Freeburg & Vicinity:					
Laborers	8.30	.25	.20		.035
All Sewer Work	8.55	.25	.20		.035
Mason Tenders & Plasterer Tenders	8.80	.25	.20		.035
Dynamite Men	9.90	.25	.20		.035
New Athens & Vicinity:					
Laborers	8.20	.25	.30		.035
Bottom Men on Sewer Work	8.45	.25	.30		.035
Mason & Plaster Tenders	8.70	.25	.30		.035

MODIFICATIONS P. 7

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-3082 - Mod. #2						
(39 FR 30703 - August 23, 1974)						
Benton and Tippecanoe Counties, Indiana						
CHANGE:						
Glaziers:						
Tippecanoe County	$ 9.89					
Line Construction:						
Tippecanoe County & Bolivar Township in Benton County						
Linemen & Technician	8.18	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "A"	7.77	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "B"	6.40	.35	1%		.5%	
Powderman & Equipment Mechanic	6.25	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.35	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.14	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver WO/Winch	4.50	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman After 5 Years	5.13	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman after 12 Months	4.99	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman 0 - 12 Months	4.23	.35	1%		.5%	
Remainder of Benton County						
Line Construction						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.96	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Calumet Area						
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Sprinkler Fitters	9.40	.50	.70		.08	

MODIFICATIONS P. 8

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-3083 - Mod. #2						
(39 FR 30706 - August 23, 1974)						
Dearborn County, Indiana						
CHANGE:						
Electricians	$10.00	.60	1%+.30		.5%	
Ironworkers:						
Structural & Ornamental	9.995	.55	.55		.03	
Line Construction:						
Linemen & Technician	8.18	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "A"	7.77	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "B"	6.40	.35	1%		.5%	
Powderman & Equipment Mechanic	6.25	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.35	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.14	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver WO/Winch	4.50	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman after 5 Years	5.13	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman after 12 Months	4.99	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman 0 - 12 Months	4.23	.35	1%		.5%	
Sprinkler Fitters	9.40	.50	.70		.08	
DECISION #AR-3085 - Mod. #2						
(39 FR 30711 - August 23, 1974)						
Grant County, Indiana						
CHANGE:						
Elevator Constructors:						
Elevator Constructors	$ 8.04	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	6.14	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Elevator Constructors' Helpers(Prob)	4.385					
Ironworkers	9.70	.55	.55		.01	
Line Construction:						
Linemen & Technician	8.18	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "A"	7.77	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "B"	6.40	.35	1%		.5%	
Powderman & Equipment Mechanic	6.25	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.35	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.14	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver WO/Winch	4.50	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman After 5 Years	5.13	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman after 12 Months	4.99	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman 0-12 Months	4.23	.35	1%		.5%	
Sprinkler Fitters	9.40	.50	.70		.08	

MODIFICATIONS P. 9

DECISION #AR-3086 - Mod. #2
(39 FR 30714 - August 23, 1974)
Lake County, Indiana

CHANGE:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Electricians	$ 9.55	5%	1%+%.3%	4%	.7%	
Elevator Constructors:						
Elevator Constructors	9.97	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	6.98	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Elevator Constructors' Helpers (Prob.)	4.985					
Line Construction:						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.95	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Calumet Area						
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Pipefitters	10.35	.55	.70		.02	
Plasterers:						
Hammond Area	7.90	.60	.55			
Plumbers:						
Remainder of County	10.02	.50	1.00		.02	
Sheet Metal Workers	9.07	.48	.52		.08	
Sprinkler Fitters:						
Within 30 Mile Radius of Chicago City Hall	10.00	.65	.50		.02	
Remainder of County	9.40	.50	.70		.08	
Truck Drivers:(Bldg., Hvy. & Highway 2-3 Axle Trucks	7.10	d16.00	d18.00	.25		
4 Axle Trucks	7.30	d16.00	d18.00	.25		
5 Axle Trucks	7.50	d16.00	d18.00	.25		
6 Axle Trucks	7.70	d16.00	d18.00	.25		

MODIFICATIONS P.10

DECISION #AR-3090 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 30726 - August 23, 1974)
Porter County, Indiana

CHANGE:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Elevator Constructors:						
Elevator Constructors	$ 9.97	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Helpers	6.98	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Helpers (Prob.)	4.985					
Line Construction:						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.96	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundmen:						
0 to 12 Months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Calumet Area						
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Painters:						
Remainder of County						
Brush	8.00					
Spray	9.00					
Pipefitters	10.35	.55	.70		.02	
Plumbers	10.02	.50	1.00		.02	
Roofers	10.30	.50	.35			
Sprinkler Fitters	9.40	.50	.70		.08	

MODIFICATIONS P. 11

DECISION #AR-3091 - Mod. #2
(39 FR 30730 - August 23, 1974)
St. Joseph County, Indiana

CHANGE:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Electricians	$ 8.99	2.8%	5%		.2%	
Elevator Constructors:						
Elevator Constructors	8.68	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02	
Helpers	6.08	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02	
Helpers (Prob.)	4.34					
Line Construction						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.96	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundmen:						
0 to 12 Months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Calumet Area						
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Plumbers & Steamfitters	9.35	.38	.40		.10	
Sprinkler Fitters	9.40	.50	.70		.08	
Truck Drivers:						
Pick-Up Trucks	6.54		c	c		
Single Axle Trucks	6.75		c	e		
Tandem Trucks	6.86		c	e		
Tri-Axle Trucks	6.91		c	e		
Semi-Trailer	6.96		c	e		

FOOTNOTE:
e. 1 week paid vacation for 3 years service, two weeks for 10 years service and 3 weeks for 15 years of service.

MODIFICATIONS P. 12

DECISION #AR-3092 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 30734 - August 23, 1974)
Vanderburgh County, Indiana

CHANGE:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Electricians	$ 9.25	.30	1%		.125%	
Elevator Constructors:						
Elevator Constructors	9.16	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Helpers	6.41	.445	.29	3%+a&b	.02	
Helpers (Prob.)	4.58					
Sheet Metal Workers	8.80	.35	.35		.02	
Sprinkler Fitters	9.40	.50	.70		.08	

MODIFICATIONS P. 13

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-3123 - Mod. #2						
(39 FR 20739 - August 23, 1974)						
Adams, Allen, DeKalb, Elkhart, Huntington, Kosciusko, Wells, LaGrange, Marshall, Noble, Starke, Steuben & Whitley Counties, Indiana						
CHANGE:						
Cement Masons:						
Starke County	$ 8.62	.45	.70		.03	
Elkhart, Kosciusko & LaGrange Counties	8.73		.30		.02	
Ironworkers:						
Remainder of Counties	9.70	.55	.55		.01	
Line Construction:						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.96	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Calumet Area:						
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver						
With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%	
FOOTNOTE:						
a. 3 Paid Holidays: Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day and New Year's Day, provided employee has been on payroll for a period of 30 working days prior to the holiday and works the work days immediately preceding and following the holiday.						

MODIFICATIONS P. 14

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-3124 - Mod. #3						
(39 FR 30744 - August 23, 1974)						
Benton, Carroll, Cass, Clinton, Fulton, Howard, Jasper, Miami, Newton, Pulaski, Tippecanoe, Tipton, Wabash & White Counties, Indiana						
CHANGE:						
Cement Masons:						
Cass, Fulton & Miami Counties	$ 6.35	.15			.01	
Ironworkers:						
Wabash County	9.70	.55	.55		.01	
Line Construction:						
Carroll, Cass, Clinton, Howard, Miami, Tippecanoe, Tipton, Wabash Counties						
Linemen and Technicians	8.18	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "A"	7.77	.35	1%		.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operator "B"	6.40	.35	1%		.5%	
Powderman and Equipment Mechanic	6.25	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.35	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.14	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver WO/Winch	4.50	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman after 5 Years	5.13	.35	1%		.5%	
Senior Groundman after 12 Months	4.99	.35	1%		.5%	
Groundman 0 - 12 Months	4.23	.35	1%		.5%	
Benton, Fulton, Jasper, Newton, Pulaski and White Counties:						
Line Construction						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.96	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundmans:						
0 to 12 Months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	

Page #2

DECISION NO. AR-3124 - Mod. #3

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Line Construction (continued)					
Calumet Area					
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%
Heavy Equipment Operators:					
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%
Groundman Truck Driver:					
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%
Groundman:					
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%
Painters:					
Newton County:					
Brush and Roller	8.65	.32	.35		.02
Spray	9.40	.32	.35		.02

FOOTNOTE:
a. 3 Paid Holidays: Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day and New Year's Day, provided employee has been on payroll for a period of 30 working days prior to the holiday and works the work days immediately preceding and following the holiday.

DECISION #AR-3125 - Mod. #2
(39 FR 30748 - August 23, 1974)
Blackford, Delaware, Fayette, Grant, Hamilton, Hancock, Henry, Jay, Johnson, Madison, Marion, Randolph, Rush, Shelby, Union and Wayne Counties, Indiana

CHANGE:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Cement Masons:						
Shelby County	$ 8.30					
Fayette, Rush, Union and Wayne Counties	8.00	.40				
Ironworkers:						
Marion County	9.45	.55	.65		.05	
Line Construction:						
Jay County						
North Indiana Exclusive of Calumet Area						
Linemen	7.96	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operators:						
Class "A"	7.18	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.28	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.92	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.33	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.04	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.82	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Calumet Area						
Linemen	8.26	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Heavy Equipment Operaters:						
Class "A"	7.25	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Class "B"	6.34	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Senior Groundman Truck Driver With Winch	5.37	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman Truck Driver:						
With Winch	4.99	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Without Winch	4.40	.35	1%	a	.5%	
Groundman:						
0 to 12 Months	4.14	.35	1%	a	.5%	
After 12 Months	4.94	.35	1%	a	.5%	

Page #2

DECISION NO. AR-3125 - Mod. #2

Line Construction (continued):	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Remainder of Counties:					
Linemen and Technician	8.18	.35	1%		.5%
Heavy Equipment Operator "A"	7.77	.35	1%		.5%
Heavy Equipment Operator "B"	6.40	.35	1%		.5%
Powderman and Equipment Mechanic	6.25	.35	1%		.5%
Senior Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.35	.35	1%		.5%
Groundman Truck Driver W/Winch	5.14	.35	1%		.5%
Groundman Truck Driver WO/Winch	4.50	.35	1%		.5%
Senior Groundman After 5 Years	5.13	.35	1%		.5%
Senior Groundman After 12 Months	4.99	.35	1%		.5%
Groundman 0 to 12 Months	4.23	.35	1%		.5%

FOOTNOTE:
a. 3 Paid Holidays: Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day and New Year's Day, provided employee has been on payroll for a period of 30 working days prior to the holiday and works the work days immediately preceding and following the holiday.

DECISION NO. AR-88 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 43454 - December 13, 1974)
Pittsburg County, Oklahoma

CHANGE:

Ironworkers	$8.34	.30	.35		.08
Sheet metal workers	8.09	.45	.45		.06

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-48 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 35060 - September 27, 1974) Jefferson & Orange Counties, Texas					
Change:					
Bricklayers-Stonemasons	$9.11	.275	.30		.04

STATE: Alaska
DECISION NUMBER: AK75-5001
STATEWIDE
DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AR-1042 dated November 1, 1974, in 39 FR 38818
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building (Including Residential Construction consisting of single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), Heavy and Highway Construction and Dredging

AREA I (North of the 63rd Parallel) AREA II (South of the 63rd Parallel and West of the 141st Meridian) AREA III (East of the 141st Meridian	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
ASBESTOS WORKERS	$12.17	.51	.65		
BOILERMAKERS	9.56	.65	1.00	.50	.02
BRICKLAYERS; Blocklayers; Stonemasons	12.43	.40	1.75		.09
CARPENTERS:					
Area I					
Carpenters	11.78	.60	1.50		.15
Powder actuated tool operator	12.00	.60	1.50		.15
DeWalt or similar type saw operator; Marlite & Acoustical; Fire or Flood Repair work Applicators; Nailing Machine Operator; Saw Filer	12.06	.60	1.50		.15
Millwrights	12.17	.60	1.50		.15
Piledrivermen	11.42	.60	1.50		.15
Areas II and III					
Journeyman Carpenters	11.21	.60	1.50		.15
Acoustical and Marlite Applicators; Floor Workers; Radial Air Saw Operators; Fire and Flood Workers	11.59	.60	1.50		.15
Millwrights	11.74	.60	1.50		.15
Piledrivermen	11.42	.60	1.50		.15
CEMENT MASONS:					
Cement Masons, paving (concrete) curb, gutter and sidewalks	10.88	.60	1.60		.18
Operating, Screeding and Bodding Machines	11.23	.60	1.60		.15
Application of all Composition, Mastic; Plastic Material; Hand powered Grinder	11.41	.60	1.60		.15
Form Setters	11.03	.60	1.60		.15

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CULINARY WORKERS:					
Baker of combination cook-baker; Head cook; Working camp manager	$9.48	.40	1.00		
Cook	9.12	.40	1.00		
Butcher	9.06	.40	1.00		
Head waiter or head waitress	8.57	.40	1.00		
Head bullcook	8.47	.40	1.00		
Camp worker (waiter, waitress, bullcook, general helper, miscellaneous worker); Bartender	8.31	.40	1.00		
ELECTRICIANS:					
Electricians; Equipment Operators	11.93	.50	1%+1.00		.10
Cable Splicers	13.18	.50	1%+1.00		.10
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS	11.17	.395	.26	2½%+a	.02
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS	70%JR	.395	.26	2½%+a	.02
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS (PROB.)	50%JR				
GLAZIERS:					
Area I	10.53	.50			
Areas II and III	10.04	.45	.10		.10
IRONWORKERS:					
Bender Operator; Bridge; Fence Erector; Machinary Mover; Ornamental; Reinforcing; Sheeters; Structural	12.60	.55	1.25		.13
LATHERS	12.41	.60		1.08	.04
LINE CONSTRUCTION:					
Groundmen	9.93	.50	1%+1.00		.10
Equipment Operators; Linemen; Technicians	11.93	.50	1%+1.00		.10
Cable Splicers	13.18	.50	1%+1.00		.10
MARBLE SETTERS; Terrazzo Workers	12.43	.40	1.75		.09
PAINTERS:					
Area I					
Zone 1 (within a 10 mile radius of the Fairbanks P. O. including Ft. Wainwright)					
Zone 2 (Beyond 10 miles and up to 40 miles radius of the Fairbanks P. O. including Eielson Air Force Base and all the Sites within this territory)					

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
PAINTERS: Cont'd)					
Zone 3 (Beyond 40 miles radius of the Fairbanks P. O.)					
Zone 1 and 3:					
Brush; Paperhangers	$11.00	.50	1.00		.05
Carpet and Tile	11.02	.50	1.00		.05
Swing Stage, Sign Painters; Utilidors	11.25	.50	1.00		.05
Hand Tapers	11.27	.50	1.00		.05
Structural Steel; Sand Blasters; Spray Painters	11.50	.50	1.00		.05
Buffer Operator	11.53	.50	1.00		.05
Machine Tapers	11.77	.50	1.00		.05
Hardwood Floor Finishers	11.75	.50	1.00		.05
Epoxy Applicators & Spray Steel	12.00	.50	1.00		.05
Swing and Boom Spray	12.25	.50	1.00		.05
Zone 2:					
Brush; Paperhangers	11.85	.50	1.00		.05
Swing Stage; Sign Painters; Utilidors	12.10	.50	1.00		.05
Tapers, Hand	12.12	.50	1.00		.05
Structural Steel, Sand Blasters; Spray Painters	12.35	.50	1.00		.05
Hardwood Floor Finishers	12.60	.50	1.00		.05
Tapers, Machine	12.62	.50	1.00		.05
Spray Steel; Epoxy Applicator	12.85	.50	1.00		.05
Swing and Boom Spray	13.10	.50	1.00		.05
Buffer Operator	12.38	.50	1.00		.05
Areas II and III					
Hardwood Floor Sander or Finisher; Paperhanger; Sign, Brush, and Roller Painters	10.80	.50	1.00		.05
Swing Stage	11.05	.50	1.00		.05
Structural Steel; Tapers and Drywall Sander	11.20	.50	1.00		.05
Pot Tenders; Sandblasters; Spray	11.40	.50	1.00		.05
Epoxy and Tar Applicator	11.80	.50	1.00		.05
Steeplejack; Towers Painters	12.60	.50	1.00		.05
PLASTERERS	12.00	.60	1.60		.15
PLUMBERS; Steamfitters:					
Area I	12.82	.85	1.30		.45
Area II	13.00	.73	1.00		.10
Area III	11.95				
ROOFERS:					
Area I	12.30	.55	1.25		.10
Areas II and III	12.00	.55	1.25		.10

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
SHEET METAL WORKERS:					
Area I	$14.76	.52	.50		
Areas II and III	11.82	.41	.50		.07
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS:					
Area I					
Zones 1 and 3	10.02	.70	.88		.05
Zone 2	10.87	.70	.88		.05
Areas II and III	11.00	.45	.50	4%	.10
SPRINKLER FITTERS	11.74	.50	.70		.08
TERRAZZO WORKERS' & TILE SETTERS'					
HELPERS	9.58	.40	1.75		.09
TILE SETTERS	11.76	.40	1.75		.09
RIGGERS; Welder: Receive rates for carft performing operation to which rigging or welding is incidental/					
FOOTNOTE:					
a. Employer contributes 4% basic hourly rate for over 5 years' service and 2% basic hourly rate for 6 months to 5 years' service as Vacation Pay Credit.					
Six Paid Holidays: A through F.					
PAID HOLIDAYS:					
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.					
LABORERS					
Group 1	9.60	.60	1.75		.09
Group 2	9.77	.60	1.75		.09
Group 3	9.81	.60	1.75		.09
Group 4	9.84	.60	1.75		.09
Group 5	9.88	.60	1.75		.09
Group 6	9.89	.60	1.75		.09
Group 7	9.94	.60	1.75		.09
Group 8	10.02	.60	1.75		.09
Group 9	10.27	.60	1.75		.09
Group 10	10.30	.60	1.75		.09
Group 11	11.38	.60	1.75		.09
Group 12	10.40	.60	1.75		.09
Group 13	10.41	.60	1.75		.09
Group 14	10.52	.60	1.75		.09
Group 15	10.64	.60	1.75		.09
Group 16	10.77	.60	1.75		.09
Group 17:					
Class A	9.60	.60	1.75		.09
Class B	9.79	.60	1.75		.09
Class C	10.10	.60	1.75		.09
Class D	10.66	.60	1.75		.09
Class E	10.81	.60	1.75		.09
Class F	11.05	.60	1.75		.09

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 1					
a	$10.83	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.72	.70	2.00		.10
Group 2	10.76	.70	2.00		.10
Group 3					
a	10.76	.70	2.00		.10
b	10.89	.70	2.00		.10
c	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
d	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 4	10.89	.70	2.00		.10
Group 5					
a	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
b	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
Group 6	11.15	.70	2.00		.10
Group 7					
a	10.32	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.21	.70	2.00		.10
Group 8					
a	10.89	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.48	.70	2.00		.10
Group 9					
a	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
c	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
d	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
e	13.31	.70	2.00		.10
f	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
g	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
h	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
i	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
j	13.31	.70	2.00		.10
Group 10	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
Group 11	11.72	.70	2.00		.10
Group 12	11.72	.70	2.00		.10
Group 13	10.84	.70	2.00		.10
Group 14	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
Group 15	11.21	.70	2.00		.10

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 16					
a	$10.83	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.48	.70	2.00		.10
c	11.24	.70	2.00		.10
d	10.74	.70	2.00		.10
e	11.21	.70	2.00		.10
f	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
g	10.83	.70	2.00		.10
h	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
i	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
j	13.31	.70	2.00		.10
Group 17					
a	10.32	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.15	.70	2.00		.10
Group 18	11.27	.70	2.00		.10
Group 19	10.21	.70	2.00		.10
Group 20					
a	11.16	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.72	.70	2.00		.10
c	10.83	.70	2.00		.10
Group 21					
a	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 22	11.72	.70	2.00		.10
Group 23	10.48	.70	2.00		.10
Group 24					
a	9.66	.70	2.00		.10
b	9.81	.70	2.00		.10
c	10.25	.70	2.00		.10
d	10.25	.70	2.00		.10
Group 25	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 26	10.76	.70	2.00		.10
Group 27					
a	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 28					
a	10.83	.70	2.00		.10
b	10.83	.70	2.00		.10
c	10.42	.70	2.00		.10
Group 29					
a	10.63	.70	2.00		.10
b	10.21	.70	2.00		.10
Group 30	10.76	.70	2.00		.10
Group 31	11.78	.70	2.00		.10

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 32					
a	$10.76	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.21	.70	2.00		.10
Group 33	10.84	.70	2.00		.10
Group 34					
a	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
b	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
c	13.31	.70	2.00		.10
Group 35	10.84	.70	2.00		.10
Group 36	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
Group 37	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 38					
a	10.21	.70	2.00		.10
b	10.83	.70	2.00		.10
c	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 39					
a	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
c	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
d	13.31	.70	2.00		.10
e	14.08	.70	2.00		.10
f	14.75	.70	2.00		.10
Group 40					
a	10.83	.70	2.00		.10
b	11.41	.70	2.00		.10
Group 41	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS DREDGING					
Group 1	9.58	.45	1.00		
Group 2	9.71	.45	1.00		
Group 3	10.64	.45	1.00		
Group 4	10.70	.45	1.00		
Group 5	10.76	.45	1.00		
Group 6	11.27	.45	1.00		
Group 7					
a	12.05	.45	1.00		
b	12.80	.45	1.00		

TRUCK DRIVERS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 1	$10.23	.73	2.00		.04
Group 2	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 3	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 4	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 5	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 6					
a	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
b	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
c	10.61	.73	2.00		.04
d	11.01	.73	2.00		.04
e	11.32	.73	2.00		.04
f	11.61	.73	2.00		.04
g	11.92	.73	2.00		.04
h	12.23	.73	2.00		.04
i	12.54	.73	2.00		.04
j	12.85	.73	2.00		.04
k	13.16	.73	2.00		.04
l	13.46	.73	2.00		.04
Group 7	10.75	.73	2.00		.04
Group 8	9.48	.73	2.00		.04
Group 9	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 10	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 11	10.61	.73	2.00		.04
Group 12	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 13	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 14	10.23	.73	2.00		.04
Group 15	10.23	.73	2.00		.04
Group 16	10.61	.73	2.00		.04
Group 17	10.61	.73	2.00		.04
Group 18					
a	9.91	.73	2.00		.04
b	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
c	10.59	.73	2.00		.04
d	10.85	.73	2.00		.04
e	11.12	.73	2.00		.04
f	11.39	.73	2.00		.04
g	11.56	.73	2.00		.04
Group 19	10.61	.73	2.00		.04
Group 20	9.48	.73	2.00		.04
Group 21	9.48	.73	2.00		.04
Group 22	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 23	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 24	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 25	10.53	.73	2.00		.04
Group 26	9.76	.73	2.00		.04

TRUCK DRIVERS (Cont'd)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 27	$10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 28	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 29	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 30	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 31					
a	9.65	.73	2.00		.04
b	9.48	.73	2.00		.04
Group 32	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 33	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 34	9.48	.73	2.00		.04
Group 35	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 36	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 37					
a	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
b	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 38	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 39					
a	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
b	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 40	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 41					
a	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
b	9.76	.73	2.00		.04
Group 42	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 43	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
Group 44					
a	10.29	.73	2.00		.04
b	9.76	.73	2.00		.04

LABORERS

Group 1: Laborers (including building, clearing and grading, bridges, dams, and etc. and stone pipe crews); Carpenter Tenders, Material Handlers and Hook Tenders; Form Strippers; Signal Man; Riggers; Sandblast Pot Tender; Saw Tenders; Dump Man; Pit Man; Brush Cutters; Ditch Diggers; Sewer Monolithic Workers; Dryerman; Window Cleaners

Group 2: Base and Floor Machine Man

Group 3: Choke Setters

Group 4: Concrete Labor including Vibrationman, Power Buggy and Concrete Power Saw; Curb and Gutter Man; Asphalt Crew (including plant crew)

Group 5: Pneumatic or Power Tools

Group 6: Stake Hopper, Grademan; Steampoint or Water jet Operator

Group 7: Tank Cleaners

Group 8: Jackhammer and Pavement Breakers; Chuck Tender, Wagon and Air Track Drills

Group 9: Mason Tender and Mud Mixers

Group 10: Gunnite Operator; Pipelayers, Culvert and Multiplate; High Scaler; Clearing and grading, slope (over 20 feet); Chain, Power Saws; Sandblaster; Sewer Pipelayer; Sewer Caulkers; Timberman; All monolithic crews; Pipe Builders; Cement or Lime Handler (sack or bulk)

Group 11: Asphalt Rakers

Group 12: Tenders to plasterers, Bricklayers and Cement Finishers; Burning and Cutting Torch (except intermittent torch work)

Group 13: Wagon Driller (except air tract)

Group 14: Timber Faller; Air Tract Wagon Driller

Group 15: Chain Saw Filer

Group 16: High Riggers and Tree Topper, Powderman

Group 17: TUNNEL or SHAFT:
Class a: Topman and Bull Gang; Brakeman
Class b: Muckers and Laborers; Nippers
Class c: Chuck Tender
Class d: Retimberman; Miners
Class e: Miners, Shafts and Raises
Class f: Powderman

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

Group 1: Batch Plant Operator:
(a) Batch and Mixer, 200 yards per hour and under
(b) Batch and Mixer, Over 200 yards

Group 2: Brooms, power Wayne, Saginaw and similar types

Group 3: Bulldozers:
(a) Under D6 or similar
(b) D6 through D9 or similar
(c) T. C. 12, Quad 9, Pay Dozers, Linked Pusher, HD 41 and/or similar
(d) Bulldozers engaged in Yo Yo operation

Group 4: Bump Cutter (Concut, Christenson or similar types)

Group 5: Cableways and Highlines:
(a) 3 yards and under
(b) Over 3 yards

Group 6: Cement Hogs

Group 7: Compressors:
(a) Excavating
(b) Steel Erection, including sand blasting, painting of same, pile driving

Group 8: (a) Conveyors
(b) Conveyors, Beltcrete with power pack and similar types

Group 9: Cranes:
(a) "A" Frame Trucks, deck winches, single power drum
(b) "A" Frame Trucks, deck winches, double power drum; Helicopter
(c) Crawler, truck type, floating, locomotive, whirley, either 3 yards and under, or 150' boom including jibs and under or 45 tons and under
(d) Crawler, truck type, floating, locomotive, whirley, either over 3 yards and under 6 yards, or over 150' boom, including jibs or over 45 tons
(e) Crawler, truck type, floating, locomotive, whirley, either 6 yards or over, or over 200" boom, including jibs or over 150 tons
(f) Tower cranes, Pecco, Lorraine, Bucyrus and similar types
(g) Hydralifts or Transporter
(h) Hyster cat cranes and attachments, sidebooms 45 tons and under
(i) Hyster cat cranes and attachments, sidebooms over 45 tons thru 100 tons
(j) Hyster cat cranes and attachments, sidebooms over 100 tons

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)

Group 10: Crushers, rock, washing and screening plants

Group 11: Derricks, all

Group 12: Drilling machines, core, cable rotary and exploration

Group 13: Finishing machine operator, concrete paving, sidewalk curb and gutter machine

Group 14: Hoists, Air Tuggers, Elevators

Group 15: Hoists on Steel Erection, Towermobiles and Air Tuggers

Group 16: Loaders:
(a) Elevating-Athey, Barber Greene and similar types
(b) Elevating belt type, Euclid and similar types
(c) Elevator, grader type, Dumor and similar types
(d) Fork lifts or lumber carrier (on construction job site)
(e) Fork lifts with tower
(f) Fork lifts with power boom and swing attachment
(g) Overhead and front end, under 2½ yards
(h) Overhead and front end, 2½ yards and under 4 yards
(i) Overhead and front end, 7 yards up to and including 10 yards
(j) Overhead and front end, over 10 yards

Group 17: Locomotives:
(a) Dinkey (Air, steam, gas and electric) Speeders
(b) Rod and geared engines

Group 18: Mechanics, Welders, Machinists heavy duty

Group 19: Mechanics, light duty

Group 20: Mixers:
(a) Asphalt
(b) Mobile type with hoist combination
(c) Concrete Mixers and Batch, 200 yards per hour and under

Group 21: Motor Patrol Graders:
(a) Model 14 or similar types and under
(b) Over Model 14 or similar types

Group 22: Mucking Machines, Mole Tunnel Drill, and/or shield

Group 23: Oil, Blower Distributors

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)

Group 24: (a) Stake Hopper, Grademan
(b) Oilers, Brakemen (Grade Checkers-oiler combination), Safety Observer or Oiler Rigger
(c) Journeyman Equipment Service, Oilers and Fireman
(d) Oiler, either over 3 yards or over 150' boom or over 45 tons

Group 25: Piledriver engineers, L. B. Foster Puller or similar, Paving breaker

Group 26: Post hole diggers, mechanical

Group 27: Power plant, turbine operators:
(a) Under 300 k.w.
(b) 300 k.w. and over (power plants or combination of power units over 200 k.w. on highway or airfield construction projects or quarry operations.)

Group 28: Pumps:
(a) Fuller Kenyon
(b) Pump Crete and Concrete
(c) Water

Group 29: Rollers, Tampers and Vibrators:
(a) On plant or road mix materials
(b) Other than plant or road mix (where towed, to pay rate of equipment used to pull)

Group 30: Saws, Concrete

Group 31: Sauerman-Bagley

Group 32: Scrapers:
(a) Carryall type, single
(b) Carryall type, tandem

Group 33: Screed Man or Slurry Operator

Group 34: Shovels:
(a) Crawler and truck type, all attachments, 3 yards and under
(b) Crawler and truck type, all attachments, over 3 yards and under 6 yards
(c) Crawler and truck type, all attachments, 6 yards and over

Group 35: Spreaders; Blaw Knox, Cedarapids, Barber Greene, Railroad Wing Spreader or similar types

Group 36: Slip Form Paver, C.M.I. and similar types

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)

Group 37: Sub Grader (Gurries, C.M.I. and similar types)

Group 38: (a) Tractors, Farmall type, less than 50 h.p.
(b) Tractors, Farmall type, 50 h.p. and over
(c) Tractors, Farmall type, used as backhoes, rubber tired (Ford, Ferguson, Case and similar types)

Group 39: (a) Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid, Scrapers and similar type equipment 25 yards and under
(b) Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 25 yards through 40 yards
(c) Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 40 yards through 55 yards
(d) Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 55 yards through 70 yards
(e) Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 70 yards through 85 yards
(f) Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 85 yards through 100 yards

Group 40: Trenching Machines:
(a) Under 16 inches
(b) 16 inches and over or remote controlled underwater trenching

Group 41: Hover Craft, Flex Craft, Loadmaster, air cushion terrain vehicle, helicopter Transporter, Cableways, Rollagon, dredge barge-cable, highline or cable car, camp maintenance engineer, boat coxwains

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS - Dredging

Group 1: Assistant Mate (Deckhand)

Group 2: Fireman; Oiler

Group 3: Assistant Engineer (electric, diesel, steam or booster pumps); Mates and Boatmen

Group 4: Engineer Welder; Craneman

Group 5: Assistant Engineer (electric generator operator for primary pump, power barge or dredge)

Group 6: Leverman, Hydraulic

Group 7: Leverman, Dipper
(a) 5 yards and under
(b) Over 5 yards

TRUCK DRIVERS

Group 1: Buggymobile

Group 2: Semi or Truck and Trailer

Group 3: Dumpsters

Group 4: Batch Trucks up to and including 5 yds.

Group 5: Batch Trucks over 5 yds.

Group 6: (a) Dump Trucks (including Rockbuggy and Trucks with pups) up to and including 5 yds.

(b) Over 5 yds. up to and including 10 yds.

(c) Over 10 yds. up to and including 20 yds.

(d) Over 20 yds. up to and including 30 yds.

(e) Over 30 yds. up to and including 40 yds.

(f) Over 40 yds. up to and including 50 yds.

(g) Over 50 yds. up to and including 60 yds.

(h) Over 60 yds. up to and including 70 yds.

(i) Over 70 yds. up to and including 80 yds.

(j) Over 80 yds. up to and including 90 yds.

(k) Over 90 yds. up to and including 100 yds.

(l) Over 100 yds. (above scales to be paid on actual water level measurements)

Group 7: Turn-O-Wagonor DW-10 when not self-loading

Group 8: Gravel Spreader Box operator on truck

Group 9: Fuel truck

Group 10: Greasers or grease trucks

Group 11: Tireman

Group 12: Flat Beds, single rear axle

Group 13: Flat Beds, dual rear axle

Group 14: Hyster Operators (handling bulk aggregate)

TRUCK DRIVERS (Cont'd)

Group 15: Lumber Carriers

Group 16: Lowbed Heavy-Duty Trailer

Group 17: Oil Distributor Drivers

Group 18: Ready Mix:

(a) Up to and including 3 yds.

(b) Over 3 yds. up to and including 5 yds.

(c) Over 5 yds. up to and including 7 yds.

(d) Over 7 yds. up to and including 9 yds.

(e) Over 9 yds. up to and including 12 yds.

(f) 13 yds. and over

(g) Semi with double bowl mixer

Group 19: Compactor (when pulled by rubber-tired equipment)

Group 20: Pickup (pilot cars and all light-duty vehicles)

Group 21: Swampers and Riggers

Group 22: Water Wagon, Semi

Group 23: Water Wagon, Single Axle

Group 24: Water Wagon, Dual Axle

Group 25: Water Wagon, when pulled by Euclid or similar type equipment

Group 26: Winch Truck, flat bed including "A" Frame manufactured rating 5 tons and under

Group 27: Winch Truck, flat bed including "A" Frame manufactured rating over 5 tons

Group 28: Bull Lifts and Fork Lifts up to and including 5 tons

Group 29: Bull Lifts and Fork Lifts over 5 tons

Group 30: Front End Loader with/Forks

Group 31: Warehouseman
(a) Class 1
(b) Class 2

TRUCK DRIVERS (Cont'd)

Group 32: Bus Operator, up to 30 passengers

Group 33: Bus Operator, over 30 passengers

Group 34: Farm Type rubber tired tractor (Material hauling)

Group 35: Track Truck Equipment

Group 36: All Terrain Vehicles

Group 37: Foam Distributor Truck

(a) Double Axle
(b) Single Axle

Group 38: Ambulance

Group 39: Hydro Seeders:

(a) Double Axle
(b) Single Axle

Group 40: Team Drivers (Horses, Mules and similar equipment)

Group 41: Vacuum Trucks and Truck Sweepers:

(a) Double Axle
(b) Single Axle)

Group 42: Loadmaster (air and water operations)

Group 43: Air Cushion or similar type vechicle

Group 44: Fire Trucks:

(a) Double Axle
(b) Single Axle

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: New Mexico COUNTY: Statewide
DECISION NO.: NM75-4002 DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AR-34 dated October 11, 1974, in 39 FR 36762
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Commercial Building and Heavy Engineering Construction (including Residential in Santa Fe, McKinley and Bernalillo Counties, but not on the Navajo Indian Reservation).

GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Asbestos Workers (Statewide, except Union, Harding, Lea, Roosevelt, Curry, and Quay Counties)	$7.95	.50	72a		.03
Asbestos Workers (Union, Harding, Lea, Roosevelt, Curry, and Quay Counties)	7.50	.30	.25		.02
Boilermakers	7.00	.60	.75		.02
Bricklayers - Stonemasons:					
Zone I-A	7.93	.43	.40		.02
Zone I-B	8.43	.43	.40		.02
Zone I-C	8.93	.43	.40		.02
Zone II	8.24	.43	.40		.02
Zone III	8.74	.43	.40		.02
Zone IV	7.09	.43			

Bricklayers' Zone Definitions

Zone I - Union, Harding, Santa Fe, Valencia, Torrence, Taos, Socorro, Mora, McKinley, Colfax, Catron, San Miguel, San Juan, Sandoval, Rio Arriba, Bernalillo and Los Alamos Counties:
From basing point of Albuquerque Main Post Office:
Zone I-A - 0 to 25 road miles
Zone I-B - 25 to 50 road miles
Zone I-C - Over 50 road miles

Zone II - Chaves, Curry, Roosevelt, DeBaca, Guadalupe, Quay, Lea, Eddy and Otero Counties

Zone III - Lincoln County

Zone IV - Dona Ana, Luna, Grant, Hidalgo and Sierra Counties

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CARPENTERS:					
Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height:					
Zone 1-A	$7.36	.65	.60		.20
Zone 1-B	8.11	.65	.60		.20
Zone 1-C	8.61	.65	.60		.20
General Building and Heavy Engineering and Residential Construction (Dwelling Houses and apartments over two stories in height):					
Zone 2-A	7.86	.65	.60		.20
Zone 2-B	8.61	.65	.60		.20
Zone 2-C	9.11	.65	.60		.20

CARPENTERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

CARPENTERS (STATEWIDE) - From nearest basing points of the following Cities or Towns: Alamogordo, Albuquerque, Artesia, Bayard, Belen, Carlsbad, Clovis, Deming, Espanola, Eunice, Farmington, Gallup, Grants, Hobbs, Las Cruces, Las Vegas, Lordsburg, Lovington, Portales, Raton, Roswell, Ruidoso, Santa Fe, Santa Rosa, Silver City, Socorro, Taos, and Tucumcari:
Zone I - Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height:
Zone 1-A - 0 to 15 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone 1-B - 15 to 35 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone 1-C - Over 35 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone II - General Building and Heavy Engineering and Residential Construction (Dwelling Houses and Apartments over two stories in height):
Zone 2-A - 0 to 15 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone 2-B - 15 to 35 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone 2-C - Over 35 road miles from nearest basing point

MILLWRIGHTS AND PILEDRIVERMEN:					
Zone 1	8.36	.65	.60		.20
Zone 2	9.11	.65	.60		.20
Zone 3	9.28	.65	.60		.20

MILLWRIGHTS & PILEDRIVERMEN ZONE DEFINITIONS

MILLWRIGHTS & PILEDRIVERMEN (STATEWIDE) - From nearest basing points of the following cities or towns: Albuquerque City Limits; Santa Fe City Limits; the Main Post Office at Las Cruces and Carlsbad
Zone 1 - 0 to 15 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone 2 - 15 to 35 road miles from nearest basing point
Zone 3 - Over 35 road miles from nearest basing point

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CEMENT MASONS:					
Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height:					
Cement Mason	$6.37	.43	.30		
Dwelling houses and apartments over two stories in height:					
Cement Mason	6.57	.43	.30		
Composition or Mastic	6.82	.43	.30		
ELECTRICIANS:					
ZONE I					
Zone 1-A	8.40	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
Zone 1-B	8.99	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
Zone 1-C	9.49	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
Zone 1-D	10.08	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
ZONE II	9.49	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
ZONE III	5.80	.30	1%		1/4%
ZONE IV					
Zone 4-A	7.30		1%		1/10%
Zone 4-B	7.55		1%		1/10%
Zone 4-C	7.70		1%		1/10%
ZONE V					
Zone 5-A	7.05	.25	1%		1/2%
Zone 5-B	7.80	.25	1%		1/2%
ZONE VI					
Zone 6-A	8.45	.30	1%		1/2%
Zone 6-B	9.04	.30	1%		1/2%
Zone 6-C	9.55	.30	1%		1/2%
Zone 6-C	10.14	.30	1%		1/2%
ZONE VII					
Zone 7-A	7.85	.30	1%		.01
Zone 7-B	8.20	.30	1%		.01
Zone 7-C	8.35	.30	1%		.01
Zone 7-D	8.60	.30	1%		.01

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

ELECTRICIANS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

ELECTRICIANS

ZONE I - Bernalillo, Santa Fe, Torrance, DeBaca, Guadalupe, Quay, San Miguel, Mora, Harding, Union, Colfax, Taos, Rio Arriba, Sandoval, Valencia, Socorro, Catron, Sierra and Grant Counties and all San Juan County excluding the Navajo Indian Reservation.

From nearest basing point cities or towns and mileage from main post office in the following towns:

Albuquerque - 15 miles
Santa Fe - 10 miles
Las Vegas - 8 miles
Farmington - 8 miles
Raton - 6 miles
Socorro - 6 miles

Zone 1-A - Shall be established at each of these locations which shall comprise an area as designated from the main post office in the town
Zone 1-B - Shall extend up to 20 miles beyond Zone 1-A
Zone 1-C - Shall extend up to 30 miles beyond Zone 1-A
Zone 1-D - Any area beyond 30 miles from Zone 1-A

ZONE II - Los Alamos County

ZONE III - Single or multiple, family dwelling or apartments up to and including 26 units under one roof not exceeding two stories - Bernalillo, Santa Fe Counties and all of San Juan County excluding the Navajo Indian Reservation

ZONE IV - Chaves, Curry, Lincoln and Roosevelt Counties - the following zones shall be designated from the main post office in Roswell, Rudioso, Portales and Carrizozo:

Zone 4-A - 0 to 12 miles from main post office
Zone 4-B - 12 to 22 miles from main post office
Zone 4-C - Anything beyond 22 miles from main post office

ZONE V - Dona Ana, Otero, Luna, Hidalgo Counties

Zone 5-A - Within 5 mile radius from the post office in Las Cruces and Alamogordo
Zone 5-B - Dona Ana, Otero, Luna and Hidalgo Counties (except that area specified in Zone 5-A)

ZONE VI - McKinley County

Zone 6-A - Includes that City of Gallup and extending 10 road miles from Main Post Office
Zone 6-B - Extending up to 20 road miles beyond Zone 6-A
Zone 6-C - Extending up to 30 road miles beyond Zone 6-A
Zone 6-D - Anything extending 30 road miles beyond Zone 6-A

ZONE VII - Eddy and Lea Counties - the following zones shall be designated from the main post office of Arteasia, Carlsbad, Hobbs and Lovington:

Zone 7-A - 0 to 12 miles from main post office
Zone 7-B - 12 to 22 miles from main post office
Zone 7-C - 22 to 40 miles beyond main post office
Zone 7-D - 40 miles and beyond main post office

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	Apr. Tr.
CABLE SPLICERS					
ZONE I					
Zone 1-A	$9.24	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
Zone 1-B	9.83	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
Zone 1-C	10.33	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
Zone 1-D	10.92	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
ZONE II	10.33	.30	1%+.70		1/4%
ZONE III					
Zone 3-A	7.65		1%		1/10%
Zone 3-B	7.90		1%		1/10%
Zone 3-C	8.05		1%		1/10%
ZONE IV					
Zone 4-A	7.30	.25	1%		1/2%
Zone 4-B	8.05	.25	1%		1/2%
ZONE V					
Zone 5-A	9.30	.30	1%		1/2%
Zone 5-B	9.89	.30	1%		1/2%
Zone 5-C	10.40	.30	1%		1/2%
Zone 5-D	10.99	.30	1%		1/2%
ZONE VI					
Zone 6-A	8.20	.30	1%		.01
Zone 6-B	8.55	.30	1%		.01
Zone 6-C	8.70	.30	1%		.01
Zone 6-D	8.95	.30	1%		.01

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

CABLE SPLICERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

CABLE SPLICERS

ZONE I - Bernalillo, Santa Fe, Torrance, DeBaca, Guadalupe, Quay, San Miguel, Mora, Harding, Union, Colfax, Taos, Rio Arriba, Sandoval, Valencia, Socorro, Catron, Sierra, and Grant Counties and all San Juan County excluding the Navajo Indian Reservation.

From nearest basing point cities or towns and mileage from main post office in the following towns:

Albuquerque - 15 miles
Santa Fe - 10 miles
Las Vegas - 8 miles
Farmington - 8 miles
Raton - 6 miles
Socorro - 6 miles

Zone 1-A - Shall be established at each of these locations which shall comprise an area as designated from the main post office in the town
Zone 1-B - Shall extend up to 20 miles beyond Zone 1-A
Zone 1-C - Shall extend up to 30 miles beyond Zone 1-A
Zone 1-D - Any area beyond 30 miles from Zone 1-A

ZONE II - Los Alamos County

ZONE III - Chaves, Curry, Lincoln and Roosevelt Counties - the following zones shall be designated from the main post office of Roswell, Ruidoso, Portales and Carrizozo:

Zone 3-A - 0 to 12 miles from main post office
Zone 3-B - 12 to 22 miles from main post office
Zone 3-C - Anything beyond 22 miles from main post office

ZONE IV - Dona Ana, Otero, Luna, Hidalgo Counties

Zone 4-A - Within 5 miles radius from the post office in La Cruces and Alamogordo
Zone 4-B - Dona Ana, Otero, Luna, and Hidalgo Counties (except that area specified in Zone 4-A)

ZONE V - McKinley County

Zone 5-A - Includes that City of Gallup and extending 10 road miles from Main Post Office
Zone 5-B - Extending up to 20 road miles beyond Zone 5-A
Zone 5-C - Extending up to 30 road miles beyond Zone 5-A
Zone 5-D - Anything extending 30 road miles beyond Zone 5-A

ZONE VI - Eddy and Lea Counties - the following zones shall be designated from the main post office of Artesia, Carlsbad, Hobbs, and Lovington:

Zone 6-A - 0 to 12 miles from main post office
Zone 6-B - 12 to 22 miles from main post office
Zone 6-C - 22 to 40 miles beyond main post office
Zone 6-D - 40 miles and beyond main post office

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

GENERAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS:					
Bernalillo, Catron, Colfax, Curry, DeBaca, Guadalupe, Harding, Lincoln, Los Alamos, McKinley, Mora, Quay, Rio Arriba, Roosevelt, Sandoval, San Juan, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Socorro, Taos, Torrance, Union and Valencia Counties:					
Elevator constructors	$7.585	.395	.26	2½%+b+c	.02
Elevator constructors helper	70%JR	.395	.26	2½%+b+c	.02
Elevator constructors prob.	50%JR				
Chaves, Hidalgo, Dona Ana, Eddy, Grant, Lea, Luna, Otero and Sierra Counties:					
Elevator constructors	6.49	.395	.26	2½%+b+c	.02
Elevator constructors helper	70%JR	.395	.26	2½%+b+c	.02
Elevator constructors prob.	50%JR				
GLAZIERS:					
Zone I	5.47	.24			
Zone II	6.09				.02

GLAZIERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

GLAZIERS

Zone I - Dona Ana, Luna, Otero and Quay Counties

Zone II - Catron, Chaves, Colfax, Curry, DeBaca, Eddy, Grant, Guadalupe, Harding, Hidalgo, Lea, Lincoln, Los Alamos, McKinley, Mora, Rio Arriba, Roosevelt, Sandoval, San Juan, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Sierra, Socorro, Taos, Torrance, Union and Valencia Counties.

IRONWORKERS:					
Zone I	7.99	.50	.75		
Zone II	7.99	.55	.60		.10
Zone III	8.99	.55	.60		.10

IRONWORKERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

IRONWORKERS

Zone I - Bernalillo, Catron, Colfax, DeBaca, Guadalupe, Lincoln, Los Alamos, McKinley, Mora, Rio Arriba, Sandoval, San Juan, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Socorro, Taos, Torrance and Valencia Counties, New Mexico

Zone II - Dona Ana County with the exception of that portion of the county that lies within the White Sands Missile Range; Chaves County, Eddy County except the Potash Basin and defined as the area 10 road miles on Highway 62 and Highway 180, east of Carlsbad.

Zone III - Curry, Harding, Quay, Union, Hidalgo, Grant, Lea, Luna, Otero, and Sierra Counties; also Potash Basin, White Sands and McGregor Missile Ranges

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

LABORERS:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GENERAL BUILDING, HEAVY ENGINEERING AND RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION (Dwelling Houses and Apartments over two stories in height):					
Group I	$5.07	.30	.25		
Group II	5.37	.30	.25		
Group III	5.47	.30	.25		
Group IV	5.675	.30	.25		
Group V	5.825	.30	.25		

LABORERS' CLASSIFICATION DEFINITIONS

GROUP I - UNSKILLED: Building and Common Laborers, Carpenter tenders, Concrete workers, Chainmen-stakedrivers, Concrete buggy operators, hand

GROUP II - SEMI-SKILLED: Air and power tool operator, Asphalt rakers, Demolition, Gunite rebound men, Fog Machine Operator, Power Buggy Operators, Rodmen, Sand blasterers (pot men), Nozzlemen, Window washer, wagon, core, and diamond drillers tenders outside

GROUP III - Wagon Core, Diamond Drillers

GROUP IV - Concrete burner, Cement mason tenders, Hodcarriers, Mortar mixers, Plaster spreader operators, Plaster tenders, Gunite nozzlemen, Pipe layer, Pumpcrete nozzlemen

GROUP V - Powdermen and Blasterers

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
LABORERS:					
RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION (Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height):					
Group I	$4.52	.30	.25		
Group II	4.92	.30	.25		
Group III	5.02	.30	.25		
Group IV	5.225	.30	.25		
Group V	5.375	.30	.25		

LABORERS' CLASSIFICATION DEFINITIONS

GROUP I - UNSKILLED: Building and Common Laborers, Carpenter tenders, Concrete workers, Chainmen-stakedrivers, Concrete buggy operators, hand

GROUP II - SEMI-SKILLED: Air and power tool operator, Asphalt rakers, Demolition, Gunite rebound men, Fog Machine operator, power buggy operator, Rodmen, Sand blasterers (pot men), Nozzlemen, Window washer, wagon, core, and diamond drillers tenders outside

GROUP III - Wagon Core, Diamond Drillers

GROUP IV - Concrete Burner, Cement mason tenders, Hod carriers, Mortar mixers, Plaster spreader operators, Plaster tenders, Gunite nozzlemen, Pipe layer, Pumcrete nozzlemen

GROUP V - Powdermen and Blasterers

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
LATHERS:					
Zone 1	$7.045	.43		.50	.02
Zone 2	5.70				.01

LATHERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

LATHERS
Zone 1 - Catron, Grant, Bernalillo, Roosevelt, Union, Sandoval, San Juan, Socorro, Torrance and Valencia Counties
Zone 2 - Colfax, Los Alamos, Mora, Rio Arriba, San Miguel, Santa Fe and Taos Counties

LINE CONSTRUCTION:					
Bernalillo County					
Cable Splicers	7.71	.30	1%		1/2%
Linemen	7.17	.30	1%		1/2%
Technicians	7.17	.30	1%		1/2%
Equipment Operators	6.82	.30	1%		1/2%
Equipment Mechanics	6.25	.30	1%		1/2%
Powderman	6.25	.30	1%		1/2%
Groundmen and Jackhammers Oprs.:					
1st 6 months	3.77	.30	1%		1/2%
2nd 6 months	4.29	.30	1%		1/2%
Experienced	5.02	.30	1%		1/2%
STATEWIDE (except Bernalillo Co.)					
Cable Splicers	8.42	.30	1%		1/2%
Linemen	7.85	.30	1%		1/2%
Technicians	7.85	.30	1%		1/2%
Equipment Operators	7.46	.30	1%		1/2%
Equipment Mechanics	6.82	.30	1%		1/2%
Powdermen	6.82	.30	1%		1/2%
Groundmen and Jackhammer Oprs.:					
1st 6 months	4.13	.30	1%		1/2%
2nd 6 months	4.69	.30	1%		1/2%
Experienced	5.49	.30	1%		1/2%

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
MARBLE, Tile and Terrazzo Workers:					
Zone I	$6.375	.30			
Zone II	7.50	.30			
MARBLE, Tile and Terrazzo Helpers:					
Zone I	4.80	.30			
Zone II	5.925	.30			

MARBLE, TILE, TERRAZZO WORKERS & HELPERS ZONE DEFINITIONS

ZONE I - Bernalillo, Sandoval, Santa Fe, and Valencia Counties with the exception of a 15 mile radius from the center of the City of Cuba in Sandoval County and Grants in Valencia

ZONE II - Catron, Chaves, Colfax, Curry, DeBaca, Dona Ana, Eddy, Grant, Guadalupe, Harding, Hidalgo, Lea, Lincoln, Los Alamos, Luna, McKinley, Mora, Otero, Quay, Rio Arriba, Roosevelt, San Juan, San Miguel, Sierra, Socorro, Taos, Torrance and Union Counties, and 15 mile radius from the center of the City of Cuba in Sandoval and Grants in Valencia

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
PAINTERS: ZONE I					
Zone 1-A	$6.27	.30	.20		.01
Zone 1-B	6.77	.30	.20		.01
Zone 1-C	6.52	.30	.20		.01
Zone 1-D	6.65	.30	.20		.01
Zone 1-E	6.60	.30	.20		.01
Zone 1-F	6.90	.30	.20		.01
Zone 1-G - ½ cent per foot above the 75 foot scale		.30	.20		.01
PAINTERS: ZONE II					
Zone 2-A	5.70	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-B	5.95	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-C	6.35	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-D	6.20	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-E	6.20	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-F	6.20	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-G	7.40	.30	.20		.01
Zone 2-H	7.70	.30	.20		.01
PAINTERS: ZONE III					
Zone 3-A	6.04	.24			.01
Zone 3-B	6.455	.24			.01
Zone 3-C	6.185	.24			.01
Zone 3-D	6.465	.24			.01
Zone 3-E	6.89	.24			.01
Zone 3-F	7.14	.24			.01
PAINTERS: ZONE IV					
Zone 4-A	5.50	.30			
Zone 4-B	5.75	.30			
Zone 4-C	6.00	.30			
PAINTERS: ZONE V					
Zone 5-A	6.35	.30			
Zone 5-B	6.85	.30			
Zone 5-C	6.60	.30			

DECISION NO. NM75-4002 PAINTERS' ZONE AND CLASSIFICATION DEFINITIONS PAGE 13

PAINTERS

ZONE I - San Juan, McKinley, Bernalillo, Torrance, Guadalupe, Quay, Catron, Socorro, Lincoln, DeBaca, Roosevelt, Chaves, Valencia, Sierra, Grant, Hidalgo and Curry Counties:

- Zone 1-A - Painters, roller and hand textures
- Zone 1-B - Painters, spray, sandblasting, painter on steel beidges, tanks towers, pipe and structural
- Zone 1-C - Paperhanger
- Zone 1-D - Drywall finisher; Ames tool operator
- Zone 1-E - Hand finisher machine texture
- Zone 1-F - Painting 30 to 75 feet above ground or floor
- Zone 1-G - Painting 75 feet or more above ground floor

ZONE II - Colfax, Harding, Los Alamos, Mora, Sandoval, San Miguel, Rio, Arriba, Taos, Union, and Santa Fe Counties,

- Zone 2-A - Painters and roller
- Zone 2-B - Paperhangers
- Zone 2-C - Spray, Sandblast, pressure roller and gunnite operator
- Zone 2-D - Steel painter; bridges, structural steel, machinery tank, towers, pipe boiler rooms and power plant brush and spray
- Zone 2-E - Drywall finisher tool and machine texture
- Zone 2-F - High pay - 30 to 75 feet
- Zone 2-G - High pay - 75 to 100 feet
- Zone 2-H - High pay - 100 feet and over

ZONE III- Luna, Otero and Dona Ana Counties

- Zone 3-A - Brush and Tapers
- Zone 3-B - Spray, swing stage, sand water blasting; Spray and blasting on stage
- Zone 3-C - Ames tool and steel brush
- Zone 3-D - Stripping machine
- Zone 3-E - Radio towers, water tanks, smoke stacks - over 100 feet
- Zone 3-F - Radio towers, water tanks, smoke stacks 200 to 300 feet

ZONE IV - Lea and Eddy Counties shall encompass Carlsbad, New Mexico and an area within a radius of 40 miles from the courthouse in Carlsbad, New Mexico

- Zone 4-A - Brush and roller
- Zone 4-B - Paperhangers and sandblaster
- Zone 4-C - Spray and dry wall finisher

ZONE V - Lea and Eddy Counties shall include the rest of the area in Eddy and Lea Counties

- Zone 5-A - Brush, roller and drywall finisher
- Zone 5-B - Spray
- Zone 5-C - Paperhanger and sandblaster

Page 14

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
PLASTERERS					
PLUMBERS-PIPEFITTERS - Zone I	$7.25	.43	.30		.06
Zone 1-A	9.34	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-B	9.74	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-C	10.19	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-D	10.64	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-E	11.09	.42	.75		.12
PLUMBERS-PIPEFITTERS - Zone II	9.97	.42	.75		.12

PLUMBERS-PIPEFITTERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

ZONE I - Basing point Cities or Towns: Albuquerque, Alamogordo, Anthony, Artesia, Belen, Carlsbad, Clovis, Deming, Espanola, Farmington, Gallup, Grants, Hobbs, Las Cruces, Las Vegas, Lordsburg, Lovington, Portales, Raton, Roswell, Rudioso, Santa Fe, Silver City, Santa Rosa, Taos, Trucumcari, Truth or Consequences.

- Zone 1-A - Shall extend a distance of five road miles inclusive beyond the city or town limits of any of the basing point cities or towns as set forth above
- Zone 1-B - Shall extend a distance of eight road miles inclusive beyond the outer perimeter of Zone 1-A
- Zone 1-C - Shall extend a distance of ten miles inclusive beyond the perimeter of Zone 1-B
- Zone 1-D - Shall extend a distance of ten road miles inclusive beyond the outer perimeter of Zone 1-C
- Zone 1-E - Shall apply to all areas not within Zones 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, or 1-D

ZONE II Los Alamos, White Rock, South Mesa, McGregor Range, White Sands Missile Range and/or Proving Grounds, Atlas Missile Complex Sites in Chaves and Lincoln Counties, and the Oro Grande Camp in Dona Ana and Otero Counties.

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GENERAL BUILDING AND HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION					
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: (Residential and General Building Construction)					
Group 1	$5.88	.30	.30		.08
Group 2	6.42	.30	.30		.08
Group 3	6.50	.30	.30		.08
Group 4	6.56	.30	.30		.08
Group 5	6.62	.30	.30		.08
Group 6	6.72	.30	.30		.08
Group 7	6.82	.30	.30		.08
Group 8	7.00	.30	.30		.08
Group 9	7.80	.30	.30		.08
Group 10 - $.15 per hour above regular rate		.30	.30		.08
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Heavy Engineering Construction)					
Group 1	5.78	.30	.30		.08
Group 2	6.32	.30	.30		.08
Group 3	6.40	.30	.30		.08
Group 4	6.46	.30	.30		.08
Group 5	6.52	.30	.30		.08
Group 6	6.62	.30	.30		.08
Group 7	6.72	.30	.30		.08
Group 8	6.90	.30	.30		.08
Group 9	7.70	.30	.30		.08

RESIDENTIAL AND GENERAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:

Group 1: Firemen, Oiler, Helper - Mechanics Welders, Greaser Truck Screedman Scale Operator such a (Bin-A-Batch), Rubber-tired Farm-type Tractor, Tractors under 50 HP w/o attachments, Breakman, Concrete Paving Curing Machine (bridge type)

Group 2: Rollers, Sheepsfoot or Pneumatic Self-propelled w/o Dozer, Concrete Conveyor, Service Truck Operator (head oiler), Air Compressor (300 CFM and over), Pumps (6" and over), Screening Plant, Concrete Mixers (under 1 c.y.), Concrete Saw of Grinder-span type, Hoists, 1 drum, Air Tugger, Elevating Belt Type Loaders, Forklift Lumber Stacker, Tractor-farm type (under 50 HP w/attachments) Motor Man and Industrial Locomotive Operator, Winch Trucks, Front End Loader (under 2 c.y.), Power Plants which generate over 15 KW, Welding Machines

Group 3: Bituminous Distributors Boilers, Retort and Hot Oil Heaters, Concrete Paver-single drum, Drilling Equipment, Motor Graders (Rough), Shaft and Tunnel Equipment-Refrigeration, Slusher, jumbo form, Trenching Machines (all types), Pump Crete and Gunite Machines, Slipform Paver, Mechanical Bullfloats, Concrete Slab, Spreading Machine, Concrete Slab Finishing Machine, Asphalt Plants, Bituminous Finishing Machines, Crushing Plants

Group 4: Fornt End Loader (2 thru 10 c.y.), Rollers, Steel Wheeled-all types, Bulldozers, Scrapers, (Motor or Towed), Elevating Graders, Concrete Batching Plants, Self-propelled Rollers-equiped with Dozer, Twin-bowl Scrapers and Quad 8 or 9 Pushers (35c over basic rate) Three Bowl Scrapers (60c over basic rate)

Group 5: Hydraulic Cranes - with less than 50 ft. of boom (20 tons and under), Concrete Paver-double drum, Cat Cranes, Hysters, Side and Swingboom Cats, Hoist-2 drum, Auto Fine Grader

Group 6: Mucking Machines - all types, Motor Grader-Finish, Mechanic-Welder

Group 7: Steam Engineers, Loader (Front End over 10 c.y.)

Group 8: All Shovel type Equipment:
Cranes, Draglines, Backhoes, Derricks, Guy and Stiff Leg, Pipemoble, (No.20p) Pile Driver, Hydraulic Cranes (20 tons and over), Mine Hoist (Belt Loader "CMI" type), Cranes, Draglines - with Booms and Jib over 150 ft. 25c per hour additional

Group 9: Shovel (wheel type), Boring Machine (Tunnel or Shaft Mole), Pipe Mobile

Group 10: Shaft and Tunnel Work

HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

Group 1: Firemen, Oiler, Helper - Mechanics Welders, Greaser Truck, Screedman Scale Operator such a (Bin-A-Batch), Rubber-tired Farm-type Tractor, Tractors under 50 HP w/o attachments, Breakman, Concrete Paving Curing Machine (bridge type)

Group 2: Rollers, Sheepsfoot or Pneumatic Self-propelled w/o Dozer, Concrete Conveyor, Service Truck Operator (head oiler), Air Compressor (300 CFM and over), Pumps (6" and over), Screening Plants, Concrete Mixers (under 1 c.y.), Concrete Saw of Grinder-span type, Hoists, 1 drum, Air Tugger, Elevating Belt Type Loaders, Forklift Lumber Stacker, Tractor-farm type (under 50 Hp w/attachments) Motor Man and Industrial Locomotive Operator, Winch Trucks, Front End Loader (under 2 c.y.), Power Plants which generate over 15 KW, Welding Machines

Group 3: Bituminous Distributors Boilers, Retort and Hot Oil Heaters, Concrete Paver - single drum, Drilling Equipment, Motor Graders (Rough), Shaft and Tunnel Equipment - Refrigeration, Slusher, jumbo form, Trenching Machines (all types), Pump Crete and Gunite Machines, slipform Paver, Mechanical Bullfloats, Concrete Slab, Spreading Machine, Concrete Slab Finishing Machine, Asphalt Plants, Bituminous Finishing Machines, Crushing Plants

Group 4: Front End Loader (2 thru 10 c.y.), Rollers, Steel Wheeled-all types, Bulldozers, Scrapers, (Motor or Towed), Elevating Graders, Concrete Batching Plants, Self-propelled Rollers - equiped with Dozer, Twin-bowl Scrapers and Quad 8 or 9 Pushers (35c over basic rate) Three Bowl Scrapers (60c over basic rate)

Group 5: Hydraulic Cranes - with less than 50 ft. of Boom (20 tons and under), Concrete Paver - double drum, Cat Cranes, Hysters, Side and Swingboom Cats, Hoist - 2 drum, Auto Fine Grader

Group 6: Mucking Machines - all types, Motor Grader - Finisher, Mechanic - Welder

Group 7: Steam Engineers, Loader (Front End over 10 c.y.)

Group 8: All Shovel Type Equipment:
Cranes, Draglines, Backhoes, Derricks, Guy and Stiff Leg, Pipenoble (No. 20n) Pile Driver, Hydraulic Cranes (20 tons and over), Mine Hoist (Belt Loader "CMI" type), Cranes, Draglines - with Booms and Jib over 150 ft. 25c per hour additional

Group 9: Shovel (wheel type), Boring Machine (Tunnel or Shaft Hole), Pipe Mobile

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
ROOFERS	$5.70				
SHEET METAL WORKERS					
Zone 1	9.06	.48	.40		.02
Zone 2	7.70	.29	.10		.005
Zone 3	8.35	.20			.05
Zone 4	10.06	.48	.40		.02

SHEET METAL WORKERS ZONE DEFINITIONS

Zone 1 - Bernalillo, Catron, Chaves, Colfax, Curry, DeBaca, Guadalupe, Harding, Lincoln, McKinley, Mora, Quay, Rio Arriba, Roosevelt, Sandoval, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Socorro, Union, Taos, Torrance, Valencia, San Juan Counties, Kirtland Air Force Base,

Zone 2 - Dona Ana, Eddy, Grant, Hidalgo, Lea, Luna, Sierra and Otero Counties
Zone 3 - Holloman Air Force Base, White Sands and McGregor Ranges
Zone 4 - Los Alamos County

SOFT FLOOR LAYERS					
Zone 1	5.61	.24			
Zone 2	6.35	.30			.02

SOFT FLOOR LAYERS' ZONE DEFINITIONS

Zone 1 - Dona Ana, Lina and Otero Counties
Zone 2 - Statewide (excluding Dona Ana, Luna and Otero Counties)

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
SOUND INSTALLERS:					
Zone I - Bernalillo County					
Zone II - Valencia, Sandoval, Santa Fe, Torrance and Socorro, Counties					
Zone III - Guadalupe, DeBaca, Quay, San Miguel, Mora, Harding, Union, Colfax, Taos, Rio Arriba, Catron, Sierra, Grant, Roosevelt, Chaves, Lincoln, Curry and Los Alamos Counties, all of San Juan County excluding the Navajo Indian Reservation.					
Soundman:					
Zone I	$8.10	.30	1%		1/4%
Zone II	8.225	.30	1%		1/4%
Zone III	8.475	.30	1%		1/4%
Technicians:					
Zone I	6.48	.30	1%		1/4%
Zone II	6.48	.30	1%		1/4%
Zone III	6.605	.30	1%		1/4%
Sound Installers:					
Zone I	5.27	.30	1%		1/4%
Zone II	5.395	.30	1%		1/4%
Zone III	5.645	.30	1%		1/4%
SPRINKLER FITTERS	9.05	.50	.70		.08
Truck Drivers (General Building and Residential Construction - Dwelling houses and apartments over two stories in height)					
Group 1	5.00	.43	.30		
Group 2	5.12	.43	.30		
Group 3	5.20	.43	.30		
Group 4	5.32	.43	.30		
Group 5	5.37	.43	.30		
Group 6	5.47	.43	.30		
Group 7	5.57	.43	.30		
Group 8	5.71	.43	.30		
Group 9	5.86	.43	.30		

DECISION NO. NM75-4002

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
TRUCK DRIVERS (Cont'd) (RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION - Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height)					
Group 1	$4.70	.43	.30		
Group 2	4.82	.43	.30		
Group 3	4.90	.43	.30		
Group 4	5.02	.43	.30		
Group 5	5.07	.43	.30		
Group 6	5.17	.43	.30		
Group 7	5.27	.43	.30		
Group 8	5.41	.43	.30		
Group 9	5.56	.43	.30		
TRUCK DRIVERS (HEAVY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION)					
Group 1	5.20	.43	.25		
Group 2	5.32	.43	.25		
Group 3	5.40	.43	.25		
Group 4	5.52	.43	.25		
Group 5	5.57	.43	.25		
Group 6	5.67	.43	.25		
Group 7	5.77	.43	.25		
Group 8	5.91	.43	.25		
Group 9	6.06	.43	.25		

CLASSIFICATION DEFINITIONS

TRUCK DRIVERS:

Group 1: Pickup 3/4 ton and under; Light Tire Repair or Washer; Swamper or Riding Helper; Teamster 2 or 4 up

Group 2: Bus or Taxi Driver; Dump or Batch Truck under 8 C.Y.W.L.A.; Flatbed (bobtail) 2 ton and under; Warehouse including Material Checker; Mechanic and Welder Helper; Forklift, under 5 ton M&C

Group 3: Dump Truck (including all highway and off-highway) 8 up to 16 C.Y.W.L.C.; Water, Fuel or Oil Trucks less than 3,000 gallons; Flatbed (bobtail) over 2 tons

Group 4: Distributors Driver; Heavy Tire Repair; Lumber Carrier Driver; Yound Buggy or similar equipment transit mix or agitator 2 or 3 axle bobtail equipment; Scissor Truck; Bulk Cement Bobtail 2 or 3 axles; Semi-trailer Driver (flatbed or van single axle); Forklift 5 ton and over M.R.C.; Field Equipment Serviceman

Group 5: Dumpsters and Dumpcrete Driver; Water, Fuel or Oil Truck, 3,000 to 6,000 gallon capacity; Lowboy, light equipment driver; Euclid type tank wagon under 6,000 gallons

Group 6: Vacuum Truck; Dump Truck (including all highway and off-highway 16 up to 22 C.Y.W.L.C.

Group 7: Transit Mix or Agitator semi or 4 axle equipment driver; Flaherty Truck type Spreader Box Driver; Slurry Trucks 4 axle Bobtail; Winch Truck and "A" Frame Dump Trucks (including all highway and off-highway) 22 C.Y. up to 35 C.Y.W.L.C, Head Field Equipment Serviceman

Group 8: Euclid Diesel powered Turnarocker; Terra Cobra; DW 10; DW 20; LeTourneau Pulls and similar diesel powered equipment when used to haul materials and assigned to a Teamster; Lowboy Heavy Equipment Driver; Water, Fuel or Oil Trucks 6,000 gal. and over (including tank wagon drivers); Semi-trailer Driver (flatbed or van tandems); Light equipment mechanic; Dump Trucks (including all highway and off-highway) 35 C.Y.W.L.C. and over; Truck and Trailer or Semi-trailer (flatbed eject all)

Group 9: Lowboy (heavy equipment, double gooseneck); Heavy equipment mechanics; Welder (body and fender man)

TEAMSTERS CLASSIFICATION not listed, shall be paid a rate comparable to classification listed.

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

FOOTNOTES:

a. Includes $0.07 contribution to the Occupational Health Fund.
b. 1st 6 months - none; 6 months to years, 2%; over 5 years, 4% of basic hourly rate.
c. Paid Holidays: A through F.

PAID HOLIDAYS:

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

STATE: Texas

COUNTY: Statewide (excluding Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport)

DATE: Date of Publication

DECISION NO.: TX75-4001

Supersedes Decision No. AR-36, dated September 20, 1974, in 39 FR 33992.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: See "Area Covered by Various Zones"

	ZONE 1	ZONE 2	ZONE 3	ZONE 4	ZONE 5
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Air Tool Man	$2.95	$2.85	$2.75	$2.75	$2.25
Asphalt Heaterman	3.50	3.00	3.00	-	3.00
Asphalt Raker	3.70	2.35	3.25	2.75	3.00
Asphalt Shoveler	-	3.25	2.50	2.00	-
Batching Plant Scaleman	4.10	-	3.25	3.25	3.25
Batterboard Setter	-	-	-	-	2.80
Carpenter	3.95	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.65
Carpenter Helper	3.60	3.25	2.75	2.75	2.50
Concrete Finisher (Paving)	4.30	4.00	3.50	2.75	3.75
Concrete Finisher Helper (Paving)	3.55	-	2.75	-	3.00
Concrete Finisher (Structures)	4.25	4.00	3.70	3.10	3.50
Concrete Finisher Helper (Structures)	3.35	3.00	2.50	2.55	3.00
Concrete Rubber	-	-	-	-	2.60
Electrician	-	5.95	5.90	5.75	6.20
Electrician Helper	-	2.50	-	4.25	3.20
Fireman	-	-	-	-	-
Form Builder (Structures)	4.55	3.75	3.75	3.05	3.50
Form Builder Helper (Structures)	2.90	-	2.90	2.55	2.50
Form Liner (Paving and Curb)	-	-	-	-	-
Form Setter (Paving and Curb)	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.00	3.50
Form Setter Helper (Paving and Curb)	-	3.55	2.90	2.65	-
Form Setter (Structures)	4.80	4.00	4.00	3.30	3.45
Form Setter Helper (Structures)	3.65	2.90	2.75	2.65	2.50
Laborer, Common	2.60	2.25	2.50	2.00	2.00
Laborer, Utility Man	3.00	2.90	2.90	2.30	2.50
Manhole Builder, Brick	3.25	-	-	2.95	-
Mechanic	4.20	3.50	3.60	3.60	3.85
Mechanic Helper	3.50	-	3.00	2.80	3.25
Oiler	3.55	3.40	3.00	3.00	2.80
Serviceman	3.70	3.00	3.00	3.35	3.00
Painter (Structures)	-	-	3.50	-	-
Painter Helper (structures)	-	-	-	-	-
Piledriverman	-	-	-	-	-
Pipelayer	3.00	2.50	-	2.65	3.00
Pipelayer Helper	2.60	2.25	-	2.50	2.50
Powderman	4.00	4.00	3.70	3.30	3.25
Powderman Helper	-	-	2.75	-	-
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Paving)	4.35	-	2.90	-	3.25
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Structures)	4.00	3.85	4.00	3.00	3.65
Reinforcing Steel Setter Helper	3.05	-	2.75	2.55	2.70
Steel Worker (Structural)	-	-	-	5.00	3.00
Steel Worker Helper (Structural)	-	-	-	2.50	2.50
Sign Erector	$3.50	$2.75	$ -	$ -	$3.50
Sign Erector Helper	3.10	-	-	-	2.90
Spreader Box Man	3.60	3.25	3.25	3.00	3.25
Swamper	3.10	-	-	-	2.75
Power Equipment Operators:					
Asphalt Distributor	4.00	3.00	3.25	2.75	3.25
Asphalt Paving Machine	4.45	3.25	3.45	3.00	3.30
Broom or Sweeper Operator	2.80	2.50	3.00	-	-
Bulldozer, 150 HP and Less	3.70	3.00	3.25	3.25	3.25
Bulldozer, over 150 HP	4.05	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.50
Concrete Paving Curing Machine	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Finishing Machine	3.25	-	-	4.00	-
Concrete Paving Joint Machine	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Longitudinal Float	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Mixer	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Saw	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Spreader	-	-	-	-	-
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (less than 1½ CY)	4.25	3.80	3.75	3.75	3.50
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (1½ CY and Over)	4.80	4.80	4.00	4.00	4.25
Crusher or Screening Plant Operator	4.15	3.50	3.20	3.00	3.25
Elevating Grader	-	4.00	-	-	-
Foundation Drill Operator (Crawler Mounted)	3.70	-	-	-	3.50
Foundation Drill Operator (Truck Mounted)	4.75	-	5.50	-	4.95
Foundation Drill Operator Helper	3.25	-	-	-	3.10
Front End Loader (2½ CY and Less)	3.70	3.00	3.00	3.25	3.00
Front End Loader (Over 2½ CY)	4.20	3.65	3.50	3.65	3.50
Mixer (Over 16 CF)	-	-	-	-	-
Mixer (16 CF and Less)	-	3.75	-	-	3.00
Motor Grader Operator, Fine Grade	4.80	4.40	4.25	4.00	4.00
Motor Grader Operator	4.45	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.75
Roller, Steel Wheel (Plant-Mix Pavements)	3.50	3.25	2.75	2.75	3.00
Roller, Steel Wheel (Other-Flat Wheel or Tamping)	3.30	2.95	2.65	2.50	2.50
Roller, Pneumatic (Self-Propelled)	3.15	2.75	2.50	2.50	2.55
Scrapers (17 CY and Less)	3.70	3.00	3.25	3.25	3.00
Scrapers (Over 17 CY)	4.20	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
Self-Propelled Hammer	-	-	-	-	3.50

	ZONE 1	ZONE 2	ZONE 3	ZONE 4	ZONE 5
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Power Equipment Operators (Cont'd):					
Side Boom	$ -	$3.50	$ -	$ -	$ -
Tractor (Crawler Type) 150 HP and Less	3.25	3.10	2.75	-	2.50
Tractor (Crawler Type) over 150 HP	3.55	3.50	3.00	3.35	3.25
Tractor (Pneumatic) 80 HP and Less	3.00	2.75	2.50	2.75	2.50
Tractor (Pneumatic) over 80 HP	3.40	3.00	3.00	2.75	2.75
Traveling Mixer	3.75	-	-	3.25	2.50
Trenching Machine, Light	3.00	3.50	-	-	2.75
Trenching Machine, Heavy	-	-	-	-	-
Wagon Drill, Boring Machine or Post Hole Driller Operator	3.50	3.45	3.50	3.25	3.50
Truck Drivers:					
Single Axle, Light	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.45
Single Axle, Heavy	3.00	3.10	2.85	2.75	2.50
Tandem Axle or Semitrailer	3.00	3.00	2.75	2.65	2.70
Lowboy-Float	-	-	3.10	2.50	3.00
Transit-Mix	-	-	2.60	2.75	-
Winch	-	-	-	-	-
Vibrator Man (Hand Type)	-	-	-	-	-
Weighman (Truck Scales)	2.65	2.55	2.50	2.50	-
Welder	4.80	3.75	3.85	3.25	4.00
Welder Helper	2.75	-	-	-	3.10

	ZONE 6	ZONE 7	ZONE 8	ZONE 9	ZONE 10
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Air Tool Man	$ -	$ -	$2.75	$3.00	$ -
Asphalt Hesterman	-	2.50	2.70	3.15	-
Asphalt Raker	2.85	2.50	2.70	3.50	3.75
Asphalt Shoveler	-	2.50	-	-	2.75
Batching Plant Scaleman	3.00	3.75	-	3.50	3.95
Batterboard Setter	-	3.00	-	-	-
Carpenter	3.65	3.00	3.85	3.60	4.20
Carpenter Helper	2.00	2.65	2.55	2.50	3.00
Concrete Finisher (Paving)	2.75	-	4.00	4.25	4.00
Concrete Finisher Helper (Paving)	2.55	-	-	3.00	3.25

	ZONE 6	ZONE 7	ZONE 8	ZONE 9	ZONE 10
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Concrete Finisher (Structures)	$3.50	$3.50	$3.75	$3.90	$4.00
Concrete Finisher Helper (Structures)	2.25	2.75	2.75	2.50	3.00
Concrete Rubber	-	3.00	-	3.25	-
Electrician	6.85	5.00	6.75	-	6.75
Electrician Helper	-	-	-	-	-
Fireman	2.20	-	-	-	-
Form Builder (Structures)	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.00	3.80
Form Builder Helper (Structures)	2.75	3.00	2.50	-	3.00
Form Liner (Paving and Curb)	-	-	3.25	-	4.15
Form Setter (Paving and Curb)	3.00	3.00	2.95	3.85	3.70
Form Setter Helper (Paving and Curb)	2.75	-	2.80	-	3.00
Form Setter (Structures)	3.50	3.80	3.40	4.00	3.75
Form Setter Helper (Structures)	2.55	2.75	2.90	2.75	3.00
Laborer, Common	2.00	2.25	2.25	2.35	2.50
Laborer, Utility Man	2.55	2.50	2.60	2.75	2.75
Manhole Builder, Brick	-	-	-	-	-
Mechanic	3.25	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.00
Mechanic Helper	2.75	3.35	3.00	3.25	3.00
Oiler	2.65	2.95	3.00	3.00	3.50
Serviceman	2.50	3.10	2.90	3.25	-
Painter (Structures)	-	4.60	-	-	-
Painter Helper (Structures)	-	-	-	-	-
Piledriverman	3.50	3.00	-	-	-
Pipelayer	2.50	3.15	3.15	3.10	3.75
Pipelayer Helper	2.30	2.75	2.25	-	-
Powderman	-	-	3.10	3.55	4.00
Powderman Helper	-	-	-	-	-
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Paving)	2.75	-	-	-	-
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Structures)	3.00	3.75	4.10	4.00	3.95
Reinforcing Steel Setter Helper	2.50	2.75	3.25	2.75	3.00
Steel Worker (Structural)	-	-	-	4.00	3.70
Steel Worker Helper (Structural)	-	-	-	-	3.25
Sign Erector	-	-	-	3.25	-
Sign Erector Helper	-	-	-	-	-
Spreader Box Man	3.10	3.00	3.25	3.40	-
Swamper	3.25	-	-	3.05	-
Power Equipment Operators:					
Asphalt Distributor	3.25	3.30	3.75	3.60	3.25
Asphalt Paving Machine	3.50	3.40	3.45	3.25	4.00
Broom or Sweeper Operator	2.85	3.00	-	-	3.00
Bulldozer, 150 HP and Less	3.00	3.25	3.25	3.75	3.75
Bulldozer, over 150 HP	3.60	3.60	3.50	4.00	4.00

	ZONE 6	ZONE 7	ZONE 8	ZONE 9	ZONE 10
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Power Equipment Operators (Cont'd):					
Concrete Paving Curing Machine	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$4.00
Concrete Paving Finishing Machine	-	-	-	-	4.10
Concrete Paving Joint Machine	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Longitudinal Float	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Mixer	-	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Saw	-	-	2.75	-	3.55
Concrete Paving Spreader	-	-	-	-	-
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (Less than 1½ CY)	3.00	3.45	3.75	4.00	3.95
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (1½ CY and Over)	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.20
Crusher or Screening Plant Operator	-	-	-	3.75	-
Elevating Grader	-	-	-	-	-
Foundation Drill Operator (Crawler Mounted)	-	-	-	-	-
Foundation Drill Operator (Truck Mounted)	-	-	4.25	3.25	4.75
Foundation Drill Operator Helper	-	-	3.25	-	-
Front End Loader (2½ CY and Less)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.45	3.30
Front End Loader (Over 2½ CY)	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.50	4.20
Mixer (Over 16 CF)	-	-	-	-	-
Mixer (16 CF and Less)	-	-	-	-	-
Motor Grader Operator, Fine Grade	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.20
Motor Grader Operator	3.35	3.50	3.65	4.00	3.85
Roller, Steel Wheel (Plant-Mix Pavements)	2.65	2.75	2.95	2.90	3.75
Roller, Steel Wheel (Other-Flat Wheel or Tamping)	2.35	2.55	2.50	2.70	3.35
Roller, Pneumatic (Self-Propelled)	2.40	2.25	2.60	2.75	3.00
Scrapers (17 CY and Less)	3.00	3.10	3.25	3.25	3.95
Scrapers (Over 17 CY)	3.50	3.20	3.55	3.75	4.00
Self-Propelled Hammer	-	-	-	-	-
Side Boom	-	-	-	-	-
Tractor (Crawler Type) 150 HP and Less	2.60	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.85
Tractor (Crawler Type) over 150 HP	3.20	3.15	3.35	3.50	4.05
Tractor (Pneumatic) 80 HP and Less	2.50	2.50	2.60	2.75	-
Tractor (Pneumatic) over 80 HP	2.85	2.95	3.00	3.05	3.75
Traveling Mixer	3.00	2.75	-	-	-
Trenching Machine, Light	3.50	-	2.95	3.00	-
Trenching Machine, Heavy	-	-	-	-	-

	ZONE 6	ZONE 7	ZONE 8	ZONE 9	ZONE 10
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Power Equipment Operators (Cont'd):					
Wagon Drill, Boring Machine or Post Hole Driller Operator	$ -	$ -	$3.05	$3.00	$3.80
Truck Drivers:					
Single Axle, Light	2.00	2.25	2.25	2.75	3.00
Single Axle, Heavy	2.00	2.70	2.50	2.50	3.50
Tandem Axle or Semitrailer	2.00	2.50	3.00	2.50	3.50
Lowboy-Float	3.00	3.00	-	-	-
Transit-Mix	-	-	-	-	-
Winch	-	-	-	-	-
Vibrator Man (Hand Type)	-	-	-	-	-
Weighman (Truck Scales)	-	-	-	2.35	-
Welder	3.75	3.00	4.30	3.60	4.15
Welder Helper	-	-	3.40	-	-

	ZONE 11	ZONE 12	ZONE 13	ZONE 14	ZONE 15
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Air Tool Man	$2.75	$ -	$ -	$ -	$3.40
Asphalt Heaterman	-	2.75	3.25	-	3.45
Asphalt Raker	4.00	3.50	3.25	3.00	3.65
Asphalt Shoveler	-	2.50	2.60	-	-
Batching Plant Scaleman	3.50	3.80	3.00	3.00	4.00
Batterboard Setter	3.00	-	-	-	3.75
Carpenter	4.00	3.85	4.35	3.95	4.00
Carpenter Helper	2.50	3.25	2.75	3.30	3.25
Concrete Finisher (Paving)	4.00	4.00	3.35	3.65	4.50
Concrete Finisher Helper (Paving)	3.00	3.10	-	-	3.50
Concrete Finisher (Structures)	4.00	4.00	3.85	3.95	4.00
Concrete Finisher Helper (Structures)	3.00	3.25	3.20	2.85	3.50
Concrete Rubber	2.50	-	-	-	3.65
Electrician	5.50	7.25	5.25	-	6.25
Electrician Helper	-	-	-	-	-
Fireman	-	-	2.75	-	-
Form Builder (Structures)	3.85	-	3.50	4.25	4.20
Form Builder Helper (Structures)	2.75	-	-	2.55	3.45
Form Liner (Paving and Curb)	4.25	3.75	3.50	-	4.50
Form Setter (Paving and Curb)	4.00	3.00	-	-	4.00
Form Setter Helper (Paving and Curb)	3.00	2.90	-	-	3.40

	ZONE 11 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 12 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 13 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 14 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 15 Basic Hourly Rates
Form Setter (Structures)	$4.00	$4.00	$3.50	$3.50	$4.35
Form Setter Helper (Structures)	3.25	3.10	-	3.00	3.50
Laborer, Common	2.50	2.50	2.45	2.45	2.50
Laborer, Utility Man	2.60	2.95	2.80	2.50	3.00
Manhole Builder, Brick	4.00	4.00	-	-	3.95
Mechanic	4.00	3.90	4.00	3.95	4.50
Mechanic Helper	3.50	3.35	3.50	3.00	3.50
Oiler	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.60
Serviceman	3.25	2.90	3.00	2.75	3.50
Painter (Structures)	-	-	-	-	5.00
Painter Helper (Structures)	-	-	-	-	3.35
Piledriverman	-	-	-	-	5.10
Pipelayer	3.50	3.25	3.25	3.50	3.75
Pipelayer Helper	2.65	3.10	-	3.00	3.00
Powderman	4.00	-	-	3.40	-
Powderman Helper	-	-	-	-	-
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Paving)	2.50	3.15	-	-	3.40
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Structures)	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.95
Reinforcing Steel Setter Helper	-	-	-	-	2.75
Steel Worker (Structural)	3.95	3.90	-	-	4.00
Steel Worker Helper (Structural)	2.50	-	-	-	3.50
Sign Erector	-	-	-	-	-
Sign Erector Helper	-	-	-	-	-
Spreader Box Man	3.65	3.10	3.25	-	3.75
Swamper	-	2.90	-	-	-
Power Equipment Operators:					
Asphalt Distributor	4.00	3.25	3.50	3.35	3.25
Asphalt Paving Machine	3.80	3.50	3.50	3.25	4.00
Broom or Sweeper Operator	-	3.25	-	-	3.65
Bulldozer, 150 HP and Less	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.35	3.65
Bulldozer, over 150 HP	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.05	4.50
Concrete Paving Curing Machine	-	-	-	-	[illegible]
Concrete Paving Finishing Machine	4.50	4.50	-	-	3.50
Concrete Paving Joint Machine	-	-	-	-	4.10
Concrete Paving Longitudinal Float	-	-	-	-	4.30
Concrete Paving Mixer	4.50	-	-	-	4.50
Concrete Paving Saw	3.75	-	-	-	-
Concrete Paving Spreader	3.50	3.50	-	-	4.50
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (less than 1½ CY)	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.85	4.00
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (1½ CY and Over)	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.75

	ZONE 11 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 12 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 13 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 14 Basic Hourly Rates	ZONE 15 Basic Hourly Rates
Power Equipment Operators (Cont'd):					
Crusher or Screening Plant Operator	$ -	$ -	$ -	$3.25	$ -
Elevating Grader	-	-	-	-	-
Foundation Drill Operator (Crawler Mounted)	-	-	-	-	4.50
Foundation Drill Operator (Truck Mounted)	5.10	4.95	-	5.65	4.40
Foundation Drill Operator Helper	3.65	3.50	-	-	4.00
Front End Loader (2½ CY and Less)	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.00	3.65
Front End Loader (Over 2½ CY)	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.15
Mixer (Over 16 CF)	3.75	-	4.35	-	-
Mixer (16 CF and Less)	-	-	-	-	-
Motor Grader Operator, Fine Grade	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.75
Motor Grader Operator	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.95
Roller, Steel Wheel (Plant-Mix Pavements)	4.00	3.25	3.25	3.00	3.50
Roller, Steel Wheel (Other-Flat Wheel or Tamping)	3.25	3.00	3.10	3.00	3.00
Roller, Pneumatic (Self-Propelled)	3.15	2.75	3.25	2.50	3.35
Scrapers (17 CY and Less)	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.55
Scrapers (Over 17 CY)	4.00	3.75	3.85	3.75	4.00
Self-Propelled Hammer	-	-	-	-	-
Side Boom	-	-	-	-	-
Tractor (Crawler Type) 150 HP and Less	2.75	3.00	-	2.75	-
Tractor (Crawler Type) over 150 HP	4.00	3.75	4.35	3.50	3.50
Tractor (Pneumatic) 80 HP and Less	3.00	2.75	-	2.50	3.00
Tractor (Pneumatic) over 80 HP	-	3.00	3.75	3.75	3.00
Traveling Mixer	3.25	3.45	3.50	-	3.25
Trenching Machine, Light	4.00	3.50	-	3.00	4.75
Trenching Machine, Heavy	4.00	-	-	-	-
Wagon Drill, Borine Machine or Post Hole Driller Operator	-	-	-	-	3.60
Truck Drivers:					
Single Axle, Light	3.00	2.65	2.75	2.50	3.00
Single Axle, Heavy	3.00	2.50	2.95	2.50	3.25
Tandem Axle or Semitrailer	2.50	3.00	2.75	2.75	3.35
Lowboy-Float	-	-	4.35	-	3.75
Transit-Mix	2.90	3.15	-	-	3.30
Winch	3.25	-	-	-	3.30
Vibrator Man (Hand Type)	-	-	-	-	3.00
Weighman (Truck Scales)	-	2.75	-	2.75	2.75
Welder	4.00	4.35	4.10	3.75	4.50
Welder Helper	-	-	-	-	-

	ZONE 16				
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Air Tool Man	$ -				
Asphalt Hesterman	-				
Asphalt Raker	3.65				
Asphalt Shoveler	-				
Batching Plant Scaleman	-				
Batterboard Setter	-				
Carpenter	4.25				
Carpenter Helper	3.50				
Concrete Finisher (Paving)	4.50				
Concrete Finisher Helper (Paving)	-				
Concrete Finisher (Structures)	4.10				
Concrete Finisher Helper (Structures)	--				
Concrete Rubber	-				
Electrician	6.20				
Electrician Helper	-				
Fireman	-				
Form Builder (Structures)	-				
Form Builder Helper (Structures)	-				
Form Liner (Paving and Curb)	-				
Form Setter (Paving and Curb)	4.75				
Form Setter Helper (Paving and Curb)	-				
Form Setter (Structures)	4.45				
Form Setter Helper (Structures)	-				
Laborer, Common	2.75				
Laborer, Utility Man	3.60				
Manhole Builder, Brick	-				
Mechanic	4.25				
Mechanic Helper	-				
Oiler	3.25				
Serviceman	3.15				
Painter (Structures)	4.50				
Painter Helper (Structures)	-				
Piledriverman	-				
Pipelayer	3.05				
Pipelayer Helper	-				
Powderman	-				
Powderman Helper	-				
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Paving)	-				
Reinforcing Steel Setter (Structures)	-				
Reinforcing Steel Setter Helper	-				
Steel Worker (Structural)	-				
Steel Worker Helper (Structural)	-				

	ZONE 16				
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Sign Erector	$ -				
Sign Erector Helper	-				
Spreader Box Man	4.50				
Swamper	-				
Power Equipment Operators:					
Asphalt Distributor	3.95				
Asphalt Paving Machine	4.25				
Broom or Sweeper Operator	-				
Bulldozer, 150 HP and Less	4.00				
Bulldozer, over 150 HP	4.30				
Concrete Paving Curing Machine	4.50				
Concrete Paving Finishing Machine	4.50				
Concrete Paving Joint Machine	-				
Concrete Paving Longitudinal Float	4.50				
Concrete Paving Mixer	-				
Concrete Paving Saw	-				
Concrete Paving Spreader	4.50				
Crane, Clamshell Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (less than 1½ CY)	4.50				
Crane, Clamshell, Backhoe, Derrick, Dragline, Shovel (1½ CY and Over)	4.70				
Crusher or Screening Plant Operator	-				
Elevating Grader	-				
Foundation Drill Operator (Crawler Mounted)	-				
Foundation Drill Operator (Truck Mounted)	-				
Foundation Drill Operator Helper	-				
Front End Loader (2½ CY and Less)	3.55				
Front End Loader (Over 2½ CY)	4.20				
Mixer (Over 16 CF)	-				
Mixer (16 CF and Less)	-				
Motor Grader Operator, Fine Grade	4.50				
Motor Grader Operator	4.00				
Roller, Steel Wheel (Plant-Mix Pavements)	4.25				
Roller, Steel Wheel (Other-Flat Wheel or Tamping)	4.25				
Roller, Pneumatic (Self-Propelled)	3.65				
Scrapers (17 CY and Less)	4.00				
Scrapers (Over 17 CY)	4.25				
Self-Propelled Hammer	-				

	ZONE 16				
	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates	Basic Hourly Rates
Power Equipment Operators (Cont'd):					
Side Boom	$ -				
Tractor (Crawler Type) 150 HP and Less	3.00				
Tractor (Crawler Type) over 150 HP	4.35				
Tractor (Pneumatic) 80 HP and Less	2.75				
Tractor (Pneumatic) over 80 HP	4.50				
Traveling Mixer	2.75				
Trenching Machine, Light	-				
Trenching Machine, Heavy	-				
Wagon Drill, Boring Machine or Post Hole Driller Operator	-				
Truck Drivers:					
Single Axle, Light	3.25				
Single Axle, Heavy	3.25				
Tandem Axle or Semitrailer	2.75				
Lowboy-Float	4.60				
Transit-Mix	-				
Winch	-				
Vibrator Man (Hand Type)	-				
Weighman (Truck Scales)	-				
Welder	4.60				
Welder Helper	-				

DECISION NO. TX75-4001

AREA COVERED BY VARIOUS ZONES

ZONE 1 - Archer, Armstrong, Baylor, Briscoe, Carson, Castro, Childress, Clay, Collingsworth, Dallam, Deaf Smith, Donley, Gray, Hall, Hansford, Hardeman, Hartley, Hemphill, Hutchinson, Lipscomb, Montague, Moore, Ochiltree, Oldham, Parmer, Potter, Randall, Roberts, Sherman, Swisher, Wheeler, Wichita & Wilbarger Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 2 - Bailey, Borden, Cochran, Cottle, Crosby, Dawson, Dickens, Fisher, Floyd, Foard, Gaines, Garza, Hale, Haskell, Hockley, Jones, Kent, King, Knox, Lamb, Lubbock, Lynn, Motley, Scurry, Shackelford, Stephens, Stonewall, Terry, Throckmorton, Yoakum & Young Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 3 - Andrews, Brown, Callahan, Coke, Coleman, Comanche, Concho, Crane, Crockett, Eastland, Ector, Erath, Glasscock, Howard, Irion, Kimble, Loving, Martin, McCulloch, Menard, Midland, Mills, Mitchell, Nolan, Reagan, Runnels, San Saba, Schleicher, Sterling, Sutton, Taylor, Tom Green, Upton, Ward & Winkler Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 4 - Brewster, Culberson, El Paso*, Hudspeth, Jeff Davis, Pecos, Presidio, Reeves & Terrell Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

*Not to be used for Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction in El Paso County

DECISION NO. TX75-4001

ZONE 5 - Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Comal, Dimmit, Edwards, Frio, Guadalupe, Kendall, Kerr, Kinney, LaSalle, Maverick, McMullen, Medina, Real, Uvalde, Val Verde, Wilson & Zavala Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 6 - Brooks, Cameron, Duval, Hidalgo, Jim Hogg, Kenedy, Starr, Webb, Willacy & Zapata Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction, Incidental Shore Work and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 7 - Aransas, Bee, Calhoun, DeWitt, Goliad, Jackson, Jim Wells, Karnes, Kleberg, Lavaca, Live Oak, Nueces, Refugio, San Patricio & Victoria Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction, Incidental Shore Work and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 8 - Austin, Bastrop, Blanco, Burnet, Caldwell, Colorado, Fayette, Gillespie, Gonzales, Hays, Lee, Llano, Mason, Travis & Williamson Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 9 - Bell*, Bosque, Coryell*, Falls, Freestone, Hamilton, Hill, Lampasas, Limestone, McLennan & Navarro Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

*Not to be used for Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction in Bell & Coryell Counties

DECISION NO. TX75-4001

ZONE 10 - Cooke, Denton, Hood, Jack, Johnson, Palo Pinto, Parker, Somervell, Tarrant* & Wise Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels, dams & work performed on the site of water or sewage treatment facilities) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

*Not to be used for Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction in Tarrant County

ZONE 11 - Collin, Dallas, Ellis, Grayson & Rockwall Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels, dams & work performed on the site of water or sewage treatment facilities) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 12 - Bowie, Camp, Cass, Delta, Fannin, Franklin, Gregg, Harrison, Hopkins, Hunt, Kaufman, Lamar, Marion, Morris, Rains, Red River, Rusk, Smith, Titus, Upshur, Van Zandt & Wood Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 13 - Anderson, Angelina, Cherokee, Henderson, Houston, Jasper, Nacogdoches, Newton, Panola, Polk, Sabine, San Augustine, San Jacinto, Shelby, Trinity & Tyler Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction and Incidental Shore Work and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

DECISION NO. TX75-4001

ZONE 14 - Brazos, Burleson, Grimes, Leon, Madison, Milam, Robertson, Walker & Washington Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams and Highway Construction and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

ZONE 15 - Brazoria, Fort Bend, Galveston*, Harris, Matagorda, Montgomery, Waller & Wharton Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction, Incidental Shore Work and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes & garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

*Not to be used for Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction on Galveston Island and work performed on the site of water or sewage treatment facilities in Galveston County

ZONE 16 - Chambers, Hardin, Jefferson*, Liberty & Orange* Counties

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy (excluding tunnels & dams) and Highway Construction, Incidental Shore Work and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction. This wage determination does not apply to any residential construction (single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

*Not to be used for Heavy Construction, Incidental Shore Work and Paving & Utilities Incidental to General Building Construction in Jefferson & Orange Counties

[FR Doc.75-631 Filed 1-9-75;8:45 am]

NOTICE TO FEDERAL REGISTER READERS

As part of its continuing program to improve the quality of the daily FEDERAL REGISTER and CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, the Office of the Federal Register is soliciting the views of interested persons on the effectiveness of individual Federal Register documents and on regulations contained in the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Our goal is twofold:

First—to make each document published in the FEDERAL REGISTER easily understandable, thus making compliance easier, more efficient, and less costly; and

Second—to identify and correct any existing Federal regulations which are obsolete, unnecessarily wordy, or unclearly stated.

We believe this effort is consistent with the objectives stated by President Ford in his October 8th speech on the economy in which he announced "a joint effort by the Congress, the executive branch and the private sector to identify and eliminate existing Federal rules and regulations that increase costs to the consumer without any good reason in today's economic climate."

The Office of the Federal Register welcomes your comments and suggestions. The survey blank below is provided for that purpose. All comments received will be maintained in a public docket and will be available for inspection in the Office of the Federal Register to any interested persons or agencies. Comments which point out the need for substantive changes in existing regulations also will be forwarded to the responsible agency.

- -

I. For the following reasons I found it difficult to understand the document from _____ (agency) in column _____, page _____ of the _____ (date) issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER:

☐ only technical language was used; ☐ document contained long and difficult sentences; ☐ preamble did not contain a clear and concise explanation of the document's purpose; ☐ other (explain) _____

_____

_____

II. I believe that the requirement(s) contained in:

A. The document from _____ (agency) in column _____, page _____ of the _____ (date) issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, or

B. Section(s) _____ of Title _____ of the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS impose(s) an: ☐ unnecessary; ☐ unreasonable; ☐ impractical; or ☐ obsolete requirement on those persons subject to that regulation.

My reasons are: _____

_____

_____

III. (Optional) I suggest that the provision(s) mentioned above be rewritten as follows:

_____

_____

_____

Please mail to:

Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Service
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20408

Name and address (optional)

_____

_____

_____