AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

federal register

THURSDAY, JANUARY 9, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 6

Pages 1681–~~2172~~ 2182

PART I

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

GOLD OFFERINGS—SEC announces staff enforcement positions 1695

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT—Commerce/NOAA issues program approval regulations; effective 1–8–75 1683

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE—SEC requests public comment on proposed amendment of member/non-member trading regulations; comments by 1–20–75 1719

INCOME TAX—IRS issues rules relating to limitations on carryovers of unused credits and capital losses 1697

INORGANIC CHEMICALS MANUFACTURING—EPA proposes effluent limitations and guidelines; comments by 2–10–75 1712

TRUTH IN LENDING—
FRS clarifies rule on daily balance rates in open end credit 1681
FRS proposes amendments on various credit transactions; comments by 2–14–75 1717

CONDOMINUM AND COOPERATIVE OWNERSHIP—HUD notice of public hearing to obtain views on problems and abuses 1732

EXTRA LONG STAPLE COTTON—ASCS announces results of marketing quota referendum for 1975 crop; effective 1–8–75 1704

EGG AND POULTRY INSPECTION—USDA/AMS proposes provisions; comments by 3–10–75 1706

(Continued inside)

PART II:

ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY—
EPA issues effluent limitations guidelines; effective 2–10–75 1874
EPA proposes pretreatment standards for existing sources; comments by 2–10–75 1879

PART III:

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM—USDA/FNS republishes regulations; effective 1–9–75 1881

PART IV:

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM—
HUD proposes fair market rents for existing housing; comments by 1–28–75 1901

January 9, 1975—Pages 1681–2172

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

Justice/INS—Applications and petitions of aliens; consideration of nonrecord information..... 43055; 12–10–74

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5284. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

MEETINGS—
HEW/FDA: Advisory Committees, 1–24 and 1–25–75 ... 1725
HRA: Cooperative Health Statistics Advisory Committee, 2–6 and 2–7–75 ... 1726
GSA: Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, 1–28 through 1–31–75 ... 1753
Commission on Revision of the Federal Court Appellate System, 1–16 and 1–17–75 ... 1734
National Endowment for the Humanities: Fellowships Panel, 1–31 and 2–1–75 ... 1755
DOD: Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee, 2–5–75 ... 1721
OMB: Advisory Committee on the Balance of Payments Statistics Presentations, 1–24–75 ... 1755
FCC: Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics, 1–28 and 1–29–75 ... 1743

contents

THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order

Establishment of Hopi-Navajo Land Settlement Interagency Committee (see issue of Jan. 8, 1975) ... 1497

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules

Limitation of handling:
Oranges (navel) grown in Ariz. and Calif ... 1704

Proposed Rules

Grading and inspection:
Eggs and poultry; miscellaneous amendments ... 1706

Notices

Renewal of certain boards:
Distributors' Advisory Committee ... 1723
Hop Marketing Advisory Board ... 1724
Raisin Advisory Board ... 1724
Shippers Advisory Committee ... 1724

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

Rules

Marketing quotas and acreage allotments:
Cotton (extra long staple) ... 1704

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Stazilization and Conservation Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Federal Crop Insurance Corporation; Food and Nutrition Service; Forest Service.

Proposed Rules

Export sales reporting regulations; correction ... 1711

Notices

Renewal of Committee:
Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act-Industry Advisory Committee ... 1724

ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Drug Abuse National Advisory Council; establishment ... 1725

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Arkansas Power & Light Co ... 1758
Baltimore Gas & Electric Co ... 1759
Boston Edison Co ... 1757
C. F. Braun & Co ... 1762
Carolina Power & Light Co. (2 documents) ... 1756, 1761
Commonwealth Edison Co. (3 documents) ... 1762, 1783, 1784
Connecticut Light & Power Co. et al ... 1791
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (2 documents) ... 1762, 1785
Consumers Power Co ... 1786
Duke Power Co ... 1787
Florida Power & Light Co ... 1788
Georgia Power Co ... 1756
Indiana & Michigan Electric Co ... 1762
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co ... 1763
Jersey Central Power & Light Co ... 1764
Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co ... 1765
Massachusetts Institute of Technology ... 1792
Metropolitan Edison Co ... 1766
Nebraska Public Power District ... 1767
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. (2 documents) ... 1760, 1768
Northern States Power Co. (2 documents) ... 1769, 1770
Omaha Public Power District ... 1772
Philadelphia Electric Co ... 1772
Power Authority of the State of New York ... 1774
Rochester Gas & Electric Co ... 1775
Sacramento Municipal Utility District ... 1776
Tennessee Valley Authority ... 1777
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp ... 1778
Virginia Electric & Power Co ... 1779
Wisconsin Electric Power Co. and Wisconsin Michigan Power Co. (2 documents) ... 1760, 1780
Wisconsin Public Service Corp ... 1781
Yankee Atomic Electric Co ... 1782

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
Alaska Airlines, Inc.; correction ... 1732
American Airlines, Inc. et al ... 1732
Frontier Airlines, Inc ... 1732
International Air Transport Association (2 documents) ... 1732
Sullivan County et al ... 1732

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Rules

Excepted service:
Defense Department ... 1681
Federal Energy Administration ... 1681
Interior Department ... 1681
Navy Department; correction ... 1681

Notices

Meetings:
Federal Employees Pay Council; notice of closure ... 1733

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Domestic and International Business Administration; National Bureau of Standards; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

COMMISSION ON REVISION OF THE FEDERAL COURT APPELLATE SYSTEM

Notices

Meetings ... 1734

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Rules

Farm storage and drying equipment; eligible borrower requirement, revocation of ... 1705

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Notices

Environmental impact statements; availability ... 1734

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See also Navy Department.

Notices

Meetings:
Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee ... 1721

DISEASE CONTROL CENTER

Notices

Renewals:
Safety and Occupational Health Study Section; Medical Laboratory Services Advisory Committee ... 1725

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Scientific articles, duty free entry:
Princeton University ... 1721
University of Florida ... 1721
University of Utah ... 1722

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules

Water pollution; effluent limitations guidelines, point source categories:
Asbestos manufacturing ... 1873

CONTENTS

Proposed Rules

Air quality implementation plans:
Missouri 1711
Water pollution; effluent guidelines, etc.; point source categories:
Asbestos manufacturing; pretreatment standards 1879
Inorganic chemicals manufacturing 1712

Notices

Pesticide registration; applications 1736
Pesticide registration; Science Products Co., et al 1737

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Authority delegation:
Deputy Governor, Credit and Operations, et al 1737

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Disaster areas:
Kansas 1724

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Airworthiness directives:
Avco Lycoming 1682
Transition areas (2 documents) ... 1682

Proposed Rules

Airworthiness directives:
Whelen Engineering Company Inc. 1711

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

Radio broadcast services; substitution of two-tone attention signal for carrier-break and 1000 Hz signal; correction 1700

Proposed Rules

FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
Arizona 1714
Louisiana 1716

Notices

Common carrier services information; domestic public radio services applications 1737
Meetings:
Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics 1743
Hearings, etc.:
Defense Department and Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Co. 1739
Western Television Co. et al ... 1740

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION

Rules

Barley crop insurance; counties designated 1703
Wheat crop insurance; counties designated 1701

FEDERAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Disaster areas:
Oklahoma 1731

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Natural gas; national rates for jurisdictional sales; extension of time 1747
Hearings, etc.:
Consolidated Natural Gas Co... 1743
Eastern Shore Natural Gas Co... 1743
El Paso Alaska Co 1743
Florida Power & Light Co 1744
Holyoke Water Power Co. and Holyoke Power & Electric Co. 1744
Inter-City Minnesota Pipelines Ltd., Inc 1744
Mid Louisiana Gas Co 1744
Midwestern Gas Transmission Co. 1744
Mississippi Power Co 1745
Missouri Power & Light Co 1746
Nantahala Power & Light Co... 1747
Nevada Power Co 1747
New England Power Service Co. 1747
Pennsylvania Electric Co 1749
Southern Services, Inc 1749
UGI Corp. and Pennsylvania Power & Light Co 1749
United Gas Pipe Line Co 1750
Vermont Electric Power Co., Inc. 1751
Washington Water Power Co... 1751

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Rules

Truth in lending; daily periodic rates imposed on daily balances in open end credit 1681

Proposed Rules

Truth in lending; miscellaneous amendments 1717

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Clyde Bancorporation, Inc 1751
Mercantile Bancorporation Inc. 1751

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notices

Dairy industry mergers; supplement to enforcement policy; requirements for submission of special reports 1752

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules

Fishing:
Great Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Mass 1701
Mackay Island National Wildlife Refuge, N.C. and Va 1701
Public access, use, and recreation:
Oxbow National Wildlife Refuge, Mass 1701

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meetings:
Review of Laxative, Antidiarrheal, Antiemetic, and Emetic Drugs Panel 1725

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

Rules

Food stamps; republication of program regulations 1881

FOREST SERVICE

Notices

Environmental statements:
Umpqua National Forest, Oreg.; Dumont, Quartz, and Last Creek Roadless Areas 1724

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

Notices

Regulatory reports review; receipt of report proposals; Federal Communications Commission (3 documents) 1752, 1753

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Authority delegation:
Secretary of Defense (3 documents) 1754
Meetings:
Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering services (3 documents) 1753

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Notices

Oil and gas well completion and workover procedures, Gulf of Mexico; extension of time for comments 1723

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration; Disease Control Center; Food and Drug Administration; Health Resources Administration.

Notices

Organization and functions:
Office of Regional Director, Region VII 1726

HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meetings:
Cooperative Health Statistics Advisory Committee 1726

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See also Federal Disaster Assistance Administration; Low Rent Housing Office.

Notices

Condominium hearings 1732

INTERIM COMPLIANCE PANEL (COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY)

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Indian Head Mining Co 1754
Little Rock Coal Co 1755

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Geological Survey; Land Management Bureau.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Rules

Income tax:
Credits and capital losses, unused; limitations on carryovers 1697

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notices

Workers' determination petitions:
Allen Quimby Veneer Co 1754

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Notices

Car service orders:
Great Plains Railway Co. 1700

Proposed Rules

Freedom of Information Act; implementation 1718

Notices

Car service exemptions, mandatory:
Atlantic & Western Railway et al 1811
Fourth section application for relief 1811
Motor carrier, broker, water carrier, and freight forwarder applications 1799
Motor carriers:
Irregular route property carriers; gateway elimination 1805
Transfer proceedings 1811

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Wyoming (2 documents) 1723

LOW RENT PUBLIC HOUSING OFFICE

Proposed Rules

Housing assistance payments program; fair market rents 1901

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Meetings:
Balance of Payments Statistics Presentation Advisory Committee 1755

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Notices

Commercial standards:
Colors for molded urea plastics 1722
Machine-grooved shakes and rebutted-rejointed shingles 1722

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Notices

Meetings:
Fellowships Panel 1755

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Coastal zone management; program approval regulations 1683

Notices

Marine mammal permit applications:
Northwest Fisheries Center 1723

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notices

Panel renewals:
Engineering Chemistry and Energetics Advisory Panel 1755
Engineering Mechanics Advisory Panel 1755

NAVY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Organization and function:
Education and Training Advisory Board on (SABET) 1721

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Rules

Gold offerings; staff enforcement positions 1695

Proposed Rules

Securities brokers and dealers; fidelity bonding requirements 1719

Notices

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.; proposed rule change 1795
Hearings, etc.:
South Bay Corp. et al 1793

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Loan policy; preference restriction, interpretation of 1682

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Fong Venture Capital Corp. 1797
NIS Capital Corp. 1798
Small Business Investment Capital, Inc. 1798
United Business Capital, Inc. 1799
Walden Capital Corp. 1799
Disaster areas:
Maryland 1797
New Jersey 1798
Puerto Rico 1798
Lending institutions; maximum interest rates 1798

TARIFF COMMISSION

See International Trade Commission.

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See Federal Aviation Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Internal Revenue Service.

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR
213 (4 documents) 1681

7 CFR
270 1882
271 1884
272 1894
273 1897
274 1899
401 (2 documents) 1701, 1703
722 1704
907 1704
1474 1705
PROPOSED RULES:
20 1711
55 1706
56 1706
59 1706
70 1706

12 CFR
226 1681
PROPOSED RULES:
226 1717

13 CFR
120 1682

14 CFR
39 1682
71 (2 documents) 1682
PROPOSED RULES:
39 1711

15 CFR
923 1683

17 CFR
231 1695
241 1695
PROPOSED RULES:
240 1719

24 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
1280 1902

26 CFR
1 1697

40 CFR
427 1874
PROPOSED RULES:
52 1711
415 1712
427 1879

47 CFR
73 1700
PROPOSED RULES:
73 (2 documents) 1714, 1716

49 CFR
1033 1700
PROPOSED RULES:
1001 1718

50 CFR
28 1701
33 (2 documents) 1701

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during January.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:
4339 ... 749

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
6073 (Revoked in part by EO 11825) ... 1003
6260 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
6359 (Revoked in part by EO 11825) ... 1003
6556 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
6560 (See EO 11825) ... 1003
10289 (Revoked in part by EO 11825) ... 1003
10896 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
10905 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
11037 (Revoked by EO 11825) ... 1003
11126 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11145 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11183 (Commission continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11287 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11342 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11415 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11472 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11562 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11583 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11625 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11667 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11753 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11756 (See EO 11824) ... 751
11776 (Committee continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11807 (Council continued by EO 11827) ... 1217
11824 ... 751
11825 ... 1003
11826 ... 1004
11827 ... 1217
11828 ... 1219
11829 ... 1497

PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
Memorandum of December 30, 1974 ... 1221
Message to Congress ... 1637

5 CFR
213 ... 1499, 1681
352 ... 1223

7 CFR
180 ... 1026
220 ... 1499
270 ... 1882
271 ... 1884
272 ... 1894
273 ... 1897
274 ... 1899
301 ... 1223
401 ... 1701, 1703
722 ... 1704
730 ... 1027

7 CFR—Con.
874 ... 1028
907 ... 753, 1228, 1704
910 ... 753, 1228
916 ... 1499
971 ... 1028
1421 ... 1029
1474 ... 1705
1822 ... 1229

PROPOSED RULES:
20 ... 1711
55 ... 1706
56 ... 1706
59 ... 1706
70 ... 1706
916 ... 1515
917 ... 1516
928 ... 787
989 ... 787, 788
1121 ... 7
1126 ... 7
1127 ... 7
1128 ... 7
1129 ... 7
1130 ... 7
1872 ... 1253

9 CFR
73 ... 757
97 ... 757
113 ... 757
445 ... 1500
447 ... 1500

PROPOSED RULES:
112 ... 788
113 ... 788
114 ... 783

10 CFR
1 ... 1230

PROPOSED RULES:
19 ... 799
20 ... 799
51 ... 1005

12 CFR
226 ... 1681
265 ... 1505

PROPOSED RULES:
226 ... 1717
523 ... 1277
524 ... 1277
525 ... 1277
526 ... 1277
532 ... 1277
545 ... 1076, 1278
556 ... 1278
561 ... 1076
563 ... 1076
571 ... 1279
588 ... 1279

13 CFR
107 ... 1230, 1231
120 ... 1682
301 ... 1029

14 CFR
21 ... 1029
36 ... 1029
39 ... 1, 2, 1036, 1037, 1232, 1682
71 ... 299, 1038, 1507, 1508, 1682
73 ... 299, 1038
75 ... 299

14 CFR—Continued
97 ... 1232
121 ... 1039
239 ... 1039
288 ... 1040
372a ... 1233

PROPOSED RULES:
21 ... 1061
36 ... 1061
39 ... 1711
71 ... 1059–1061, 1518
73 ... 1518
91 ... 1072

15 CFR
377 ... 1041
399 ... 1041
923 ... 1683

16 CFR
2 ... 760
3 ... 761
4 ... 761
13 ... 761
1500 ... 1480

PROPOSED RULES:
1500 ... 1480, 1488, 1491, 1493
1512 ... 1493

17 CFR
200 ... 1009
210 ... 1012
231 ... 1695
240 ... 1012
241 ... 1695
249 ... 1013

PROPOSED RULES:
1 ... 789
210 ... 1078, 1079
240 ... 1079, 1520, 1719
249 ... 1079

18 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
1 ... 1077
3 ... 1077

19 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
1 ... 5

20 CFR
404 ... 1233
405 ... 1022
416 ... 1508
614 ... 3

PROPOSED RULES:
405 ... 797, 1057
730 ... 791

21 CFR
135 ... 1013
135c ... 1013, 1014
135e ... 1013
450 ... 1512
1308 ... 1236

PROPOSED RULES:
940 ... 8
1304 ... 787
1308 ... 787

22 CFR
51 ... 1512
PROPOSED RULES:
42 ... 1515

24 CFR
58 ... 1392
205 ... 3
1914 ... 766, 767
1915 ... 767, 776
PROPOSED RULES:
1280 ... 1902

25 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
221 ... 787

26 CFR
1 ... 1014, 1236, 1238, 1697
3 ... 1237
11 ... 1016
20 ... 1240
25 ... 1240
PROPOSED RULES:
1 ... 1044, 1250
31 ... 1251
301 ... 1044

27 CFR
4 ... 1240

29 CFR
512 ... 4
1952 ... 1512
PROPOSED RULES:
1910 ... 797
1952 ... 1082

31 CFR
316 ... 754
PROPOSED RULES:
223 ... 786

32 CFR
737 ... 1402
1459 ... 1240
1470 ... 1240

33 CFR
110 ... 1016
127 ... 1016
PROPOSED RULES:
263 ... 1612
380 ... 1619
384 ... 1620

36 CFR
7 ... 762

38 CFR
3 ... 1241
36 ... 1513

40 CFR
120 ... 1041
180 ... 1042, 1043, 1241
406 ... 915
427 ... 1874
432 ... 902
PROPOSED RULES:
52 ... 1711
180 ... 1276, 1519
406 ... 921
415 ... 1712
427 ... 1879
432 ... 912

42 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
23 ... 1204
72 ... 8

43 CFR
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
5462 ... 1017

45 CFR
75 ... 1242
141 ... 1017
PROPOSED RULES:
63 ... 1516
99 ... 1208
103 ... 8
189 ... 1053

46 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
538 ... 1280

47 CFR
2 ... 1243
73 ... 1700
91 ... 1021
95 ... 1243
PROPOSED RULES:
21 ... 800
73 ... 801, 1714, 1716

49 CFR
571 ... 4, 1246, 1248
1033 ... 1700
1064 ... 1248
1125 ... 1624
PROPOSED RULES:
213 ... 1076
571 ... 10
575 ... 1273
581 ... 10
1001 ... 1718
1124 ... 801

50 CFR
28 ... 762, 763, 1701
33 ... 764, 1701
216 ... 764
PROPOSED RULES:
17 ... 5

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JANUARY

Pages	*Date*
1–747	Jan. 2
749–1002	3
1003–1216	6
1217–1495	7
1497–1679	8
1681–2172	9

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 5—Administrative Personnel

CHAPTER I—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Defense

Section 213.3306 is amended to show that one position of Private Secretary to the Assistant to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective on January 9, 1975, §213.3306(a)(14) is added as set out below.

§ 213.3306 Department of Defense.

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(14) One Private Secretary to the Assistant to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense.

((5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302) E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 COMP. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-781 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of the Interior

Section 213.3312 is amended to reflect the following title change from: One Confidential Assistant (Administrative Assistant) to the Assistant Secretary—Congressional and Public Affairs, to One Confidential Assistant (Administrative Assistant) to the Assistant Secretary—Congressional and Legislative Affairs.

Effective on January 9, 1975, § 213.3312(a)(5) is revised as set out below.

§ 213.3312 Department of Interior.

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(5) Three Special Assistants to the Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks and one Confidential Assistant (Administrative Assistant) to each of the four Assistant Secretaries for Energy and Minerals, Land and Water Resources, Fish and Wildlife and Parks, and Congressional and Legislative Affairs.

((5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302) E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-783 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of the Navy; Correction

In the FEDERAL REGISTER (FR Doc. 73-16524) of December 11, 1973, on page 34103, one position of Special Assistant to the Military Assistant to the President was revoked in error.

Effective on January 9, 1975, § 213.3308(a)(9) is amended as set out below.

§ 213.3308 Department of the Navy.

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(9) Three Special Assistants to the Military Assistant to the President.

((5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302), E.O. 10577 3 CFR 1954–58 comp. p. 128)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.75-784 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Federal Energy Administration

Section 213.3388 is amended to show that one position of Confidential Secretary (Stenography) is excepted under Schedule C.

Effective on January 9, 1975, § 213.3388(e)(2) is added as set out below.

§ 213.3388 Federal Energy Administration.

* * * * *

(e) *Office of the General Counsel.* * * *

(2) One Confidential Secretary (Stenography) to the General Counsel.

((5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302); E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp. p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc. 75-782 Filed 1-8-75; 8:45 am]

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER II—FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. Z]

PART 226—TRUTH IN LENDING

Daily Periodic Rates Imposed on Daily Balances in Open End Credit

This amended interpretation of § 226.7(b)(8) is rewritten to clarify the disclosure requirements when the finance charge in an open end credit account is computed by the imposition of more than one daily periodic rate on a daily balance or balances. For example, some creditors impose one daily periodic rate (corresponding to one annual percentage rate) for balances up to a specified amount, and a lower daily periodic rate (corresponding to a lower annual rate) for that portion of balances above the specified amount.

§ 226.703 Finance charge based on average daily balance or daily balances in open end credit accounts.

(a) Section 226.7(b)(8) requires that periodic statements for open end accounts shall disclose, among other things, "The balance on which the finance charge was computed, and a statement of how that balance was determined." In some instances, creditors compute a finance charge on the average daily balance by application of a monthly periodic rate or rates. In such case, this information is adequately disclosed if the statement gives the amount of the average daily balance on which the finance charge was computed, and also states how the balance is determined.

(b) In other instances, the finance charge is computed on the balance each day by application of one or more daily periodic rates, and the question arises as to how the balance on which the finance charge was computed should be disclosed in such circumstances.

(c) If a single daily periodic rate is imposed, the balance to which it is applicable may be stated in any of the following ways:

(1) A balance for each day in the billing cycle; or

(2) A balance for each day in the billing cycle on which the balance in the account changes; or

(3) The sum of the daily balances during the billing cycle; or

(4) The average daily balance during the billing cycle, in which case the creditor shall state (on the face of the periodic statement, on its reverse side, or on an enclosed supplement) wording to the effect that the average daily balance is or can be multiplied by the number of days in the billing cycle and the periodic rate applied to the product to determine the amount of the finance charge.

(d) If two or more daily periodic rates may be imposed, the balances to which the rates are applicable may be stated in accordance with paragraphs (c)(1) or

(2) of this section or as two or more average daily balances, each applicable to the daily periodic rates imposed. For example, if the creditor imposes one daily periodic rate on balances up to $500 and another daily periodic rate on balances over $500, the creditor would show average daily balances of $500 and $200 in an account which had a $700 balance for the entire billing cycle. If the average daily balances are stated, the creditor shall state (on the face of the periodic statement, on its reverse side, or on an enclosed supplement) wording to the effect that the finance charge is or may be determined by (1) multiplying each of the average daily balances by the number of days in the billing cycle, (2) multiplying each of the results by the applicable daily periodic rate, and (3) adding these products together.

(Interprets and applies 12 CFR 226.7)

By order of the Board of Governors, December 27, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-740 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance

CHAPTER I—SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Rev. 6, Amdt. 2]

PART 120—LOAN POLICY

Interpretation of Preference Restriction

A long standing policy of the Small Business Administration in § 120.2(b)(3) has prohibited "preferences" in favor of participating lending institutions. This policy limitation has been interpreted and applied to varied factual situations. The purpose of this amendment is to illustrate the interpretation of this policy by setting forth certain examples immediately following the policy statement in § 120.2(b)(3).

Since this amendment 2 to revision 6 of Part 120 provides interpretation of an established policy, and neither changes nor revises said policy, it is not necessary to invite public comment prior to adoption of the amendment. However, any comments on this amendment may be submitted to David A. Wollard, Associate Administrator for Finance and Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20416.

Therefore, § 120.2(b)(3) is hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 120.2 Business Loans and Guarantees.

* * * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) No agreement to extend financial assistance under the Small Business Act shall establish any preferences in favor of a bank or other lending institution. "Preferences" as used herein shall include, but shall not be limited to, (i) any arrangement whereby a participating lender obtains a preferred position over the position of SBA in the repayment of any SBA participation loan, or in any collateral or any guaranty for said loan; (ii) any requirement for a separate or companion loan to the borrower which results in a preferred position to the participating lender in the repayment or in the securing of repayment of the participation loan; or (iii) any requirement that a borrower purchase a certificate of deposit or maintain a compensating balance which is not under the unrestricted control of the borrower.

* * * * * *

Dated: December 20, 1974.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-768 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 74-NE-61; Amdt. 39-2063]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE

Avco Lycoming Aircraft Engines

There have been impeller vane failures of centrifugal compressor impeller assemblies on Avco Lycoming T5313B turboshaft engines due to fatigue which have resulted in performance deterioration and partial or complete power loss. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other engines of the same model, an Airworthiness Directive is being issued to require the removal of the centrifugal compressor impeller assemblies and replacement with new improved centrifugal compressor impeller assemblies.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new Airworthiness Directive:

AVCO LYCOMING.—Applies to all Avco Lycoming T5313B turboshaft engines.

Compliance required, unless already accomplished, prior to the accumulation of 100 hours in service after the effective date of this AD.

To prevent possible centrifugal compressor impeller assembly vane failures leading to performance deterioration and partial or complete power loss, remove centrifugal compressor impeller assemblies, part numbers 1-100-078-03, 1-100-078-04, and 1-100-078-10 and replace with centrifugal compressor impeller assembly part number 1-100-078-08.

Equivalent methods of compliance must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, New England Region.

NOTE. (Avco Lycoming Service Bulletin Number 0042 pertains to this subject.)

This amendment becomes effective January 17, 1975.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423), and sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 27, 1974.

WILLIAM E. CROSBY,
Acting Director,
New England Region.

[FR Doc.75-760 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-NE-37]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES CONTROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Areas

On Page 38238 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated October 30, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would alter the North Conway, New Hampshire, 700-foot and 1200-foot Transition Areas.

Interested parties were given thirty (30) days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 28, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 23, 1974.

WILLIAM E. CROSBY,
Acting Director, ANE-1.

1. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by amending the existing description of the North Conway, New Hampshire, transition areas by deleting the words "This transition area is effective from sunrise to sunset daily."

[FR Doc.75-673 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-NE-40]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES CONTROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On Page 40960 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated November 22, 1974, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would designate a 700-foot Transition Area at Frenchville, Maine.

Interested parties were given thirty (30) days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 27, 1975.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 24, 1974.

WILLIAM E. CROSBY,
Acting Director,
New England Region.

Amend § 71.181 (38 FR 569) of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to add the following transition area:

FRENCHVILLE, MAINE

That airspace extending upwards from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of the center of the Northern Aroostook Regional Airport (latitude 47°17'15" N., longitude 68°19' 00" W.) and within 5 miles each side of the 115° bearing of the Frenchville (FVE) NDB (latitude 47°16'05" N., longitude 68°15'26" W.) extending from the six-mile radius to 11.5 miles southeast of the NDB, excluding the airspace within Canada.

[FR Doc.75-672 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 15—Commerce and Foreign Trade

CHAPTER IX—NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

PART 923—COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM APPROVAL REGULATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) on August 21, 1974, proposed guidelines (originally published as 15 CFR Part 923), pursuant to the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-583, 86 Stat. 1280), hereinafter referred to as the "Act," for the purpose of defining the procedures by which States can qualify to receive administrative grants under the Act.

Written comments were to be submitted to the Office of Coastal Zone Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, before November 22, 1974, and consideration has been given these comments.

The Act recognizes that the coastal zone is rich in a variety of natural, commercial, recreational, industrial and esthetic resources of immediate and potential value to the present and future well-being of the nation. Present State and institutional arrangements for planning and regulating land and water uses in the coastal zone are often inadequate to deal with the competing demands and the urgent need to protect natural systems in the ecologically fragile area. Section 305 of the Act authorizes annual grants to any coastal State for the purpose of assisting the State in the development of a management program for the land and water resources of its coastal zone (development grant). Once a coastal State has developed a management program, it is submitted to the Secretary of Commerce for approval and, if approved, the State is then eligible under Section 306 to receive annual grants for administering its management program (administrative grants).

The regulations below set forth (a) criteria and procedures to be utilized in reviewing and approving coastal zone management programs pursuant to section 306 of the Act, and (b) procedures by which coastal States may apply to receive administrative grants under section 306(a) of the Act. The criteria and procedures under (a) constitute the "guidelines for section 306" referred to in 15 CFR 920.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration is publishing herewith the final regulations describing procedures for applications to receive administrative grants under section 306 of the Act. The final regulations and criteria published herewith were revised from the proposed guidelines based on the comments received. A total of thirty-two (32) States, agencies, organizations and individuals submitted responses to the proposed section 306 guidelines published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 21, 1974. Of those responses received, nine (9) were wholly favorable as to the nature and content of the guidelines as they appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 21, 1974. Twenty-three (23) commentators submitted suggestions concerning the proposed Section 306 guidelines.

The following analysis summarizes key comments received on various sections of the draft regulations and presents a rationale for the changes made:

1. Several commentators asserted that the guidelines did not adequately reflect the environmental considerations contained in the Act. No changes were made in response to these comments since the guidelines more than adequately reflect the environmental concerns in the legislation as evidenced in part by the comment section under § 923.4:

> Management programs will be evaluated in the light of the Congressional findings and policies as contained in Section 302 and Section 303 of the Act. These sections make it clear that Congress, in enacting the legislation, was concerned about the environmental degradation, damage to natural and scenic areas, loss of living marine resources and wildlife, decreasing open space for public use and shoreline erosion being brought about by population growth and economic development. The Act thus has a strong environmental thrust, stressing the 'urgent need to protect and to give high priority to natural systems in the coastal zone.

2. Several comments were received on the necessity of the Secretary of Commerce preparing and circulating an environmental impact statement on each individual State application as required by § 923.5. The National Environmental Policy Act, 42 USC 4332, and implementing regulations, 38 FR 20562, August 1, 1973, require an environmental impact statement be prepared and circulated on each individual State's application. An environmental impact statement shall be prepared on each individual State's application by the Secretary, primarily on the basis of an environmental assessment, and other relevant data, prepared and submitted by the individual States. This section was amended to reflect the requirement of the National Environmental Policy Act environmental impact statement requirements.

3. Several comments indicated that the States did not have a clear understanding as to what was meant under § 923.11 (b)(4) which refers to Federal lands subject solely to the discretion of, or which is held in trust by, the Federal government, its officers and agents. This section has been amended in order to provide a procedure for identifying those lands which are within the framework of this section.

4. Several commentators indicated that there was uncertainty as to what the requirements of the national interest were pursuant to § 923.15. This section has been amended in order to more succinctly state what the requirements are pursuant to this section and how a State must meet these requirements during the development and administration of its coastal zone management program. At the request of several commentators, several additions have been made to the list of requirements which are other than local in nature.

5. Several commentators indicated that § 923.26, which pertains to the degree of State control needed to implement a coastal zone management program, did not offer sufficient guidance in interpreting the legislation. In response to these comments, § 923.26 has been expanded to include specific examples of how a State may implement this section.

6. Comments received indicate there was some misunderstanding in interpreting § 923.43, which deals with geographical segmentation. This section has been substantially amended in order to indicate that the segmentation issue refers to geographical segmentation of a State's coastal zone management program. The requirements for a State to receive approval on a segmented basis are clearly set forth in the amendment to the regulations.

7. Extensive discussions have taken place with various elements of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) concerning the applicability of air and water pollution requirements to the development, approval and implementation of State management programs pursuant to § 923.44 of the proposed regulations. State coastal zone management programs have also been surveyed in order to determine current and anticipated problems, issues and opportunities associated with carrying out the requirements of section 307(f) of the Coastal Zone Management Act, and § 923.44 of the draft approval regulations. Consolidated EPA comments have been received, together with State reviews, and one comment from the private sector. Specific clarifications and changes as a result of these reviews are contained in §§ 923.4, 923.12, 923.32 and § 923.44 of these regulations.

8. One commentator objected to the amount of detail required in section 306 applications and the undue administrative burden proposed pursuant to Sub-

part F of the proposed regulations. The revisions attempt to both clarify and reduce those requirements, while still requiring sufficient information for the Office of Coastal Zone Management to approve management programs and make sound funding decisions.

Accordingly, having considered the comments and other relevant information, the Administrator concludes by adopting the final regulations describing the procedure for application to receive administrative grants under section 306 of the Act, as modified and set forth below.

Effective date: January 8, 1975.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

ROBERT M. WHITE,
Administrator, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Subpart A—General

Sec.
923.1 Purpose.
923.2 Definitions.
923.3 Submission of management programs.
923.4 Evaluation of management programs—general.
923.5 Environmental impact assessment.

Subpart B—Land and Water Uses

923.10 General.
923.11 Boundary of the coastal zone.
923.12 Permissible land and water uses.
923.13 Areas of particular concern.
923.14 Guidelines on priorities.
923.15 National interest facilities.
923.16 Area designation for preservation and restoration.
923.17 Local regulations and uses of regional benefit.

Subpart C—Authorities and Organization

923.20 General.
923.21 Means of exerting State control over land and water uses.
923.22 Organizational structure to implement the management program.
923.23 Designation of a single agency.
923.24 Authorities to administer land and water uses, control development and resolve conflicts.
923.25 Authorities for property acquisition.
923.26 Techniques for control of land and water uses.

Subpart D—Coordination

923.30 General.
923.31 Full participation by relevant bodies in the adoption of management programs.
923.32 Consultation and coordination with other planning.

Subpart E—Miscellaneous

923.40 General.
923.41 Public hearings.
923.42 Gubernatorial review and approval.
923.43 Segmentation.
923.44 Applicability of air and water pollution control requirements.

Subpart F—Applications for Administrative Grants

923.50 General.
923.51 Administration of the program.
923.52 State responsibility.
923.53 Allocation.
923.54 Geographical segmentation.
923.55 Application for the initial administrative grant.
923.56 Approval of applications.
923.57 Amendments.
923.58 Applications for second and subsequent year grants.

AUTHORITY: 86 Stat. 1280 (16 U.S.C. 1451–1464).

Subpart A—General

§ 923.1 Purpose.

(a) This part establishes criteria and procedures to be employed in reviewing and approving coastal zone management programs submitted by coastal States and for the awarding of grants under Section 306 of the Act.

(b) The Act sets forth in sections 305, 306 and 307 a number of specific requirements which a management program must fulfill as a condition for approval by the Secretary. These requirements are linked together as indicated in the subparts which follow. Presentation of the State management program in a similar format is encouraged since it will enable more prompt and systematic review by the Secretary. However, there is no requirement that a State present its management program in the format which corresponds exactly to the listing of categories below. The broad categories are: Land and Water Uses, Subpart B; Authorities and Organization, Subpart C; Coordination, Subpart D; and Miscellaneous, Subpart E. Subpart F, Applications for Administrative Grants, deals with applications for administrative grants upon approval of State coastal zone management programs which will be subject to periodic review by the Secretary in accordance with Section 309 of the Act. In addition to providing criteria against which State coastal zone management programs can be consistently and uniformly judged in the approval process and establishing procedures for the application by States for administrative grants, it is the intent of this part to provide guidance to coastal States in the development of management programs. Therefore, many of the sections dealing with approval requirement in the subparts are followed by a "comment" which refers to a section or sections of the Act and indicates the interpretation placed upon the requirements of the Act or the regulation by the Secretary.

§ 923.2 Definitions.

In addition to the terms defined in the Act and 15 CFR 920.2, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated below:

"Final approval" means, with respect to a coastal zone management program, approval of a program which terminates the eligibility of the State for grants under Section 305 of the Act and makes the State eligible for grants under Section 306 of the Act. In cases where a State has elected to follow the geographical segmentation option pursuant to § 923.43, final approval will apply only to that specific geographical segment. The State will continue to remain eligible for development grants pursuant to Section 305 of the Act for the remainder of the State's coastal zone.

"Preliminary approval" means, with respect to a coastal zone management program, approval of a program which does not terminate the eligibility of the State for further grants under Section 305 of the Act, and which does not make the State eligible for grants under Section 306 of the Act.

"Use of regional benefit" means a land or water use that typically provides benefits to a significant area beyond the boundaries of a single unit of the lowest level of local, general-purpose government.

§ 923.3 Submission of management programs.

(a) Upon completion of the development of its management program, a State shall submit the program to the Secretary for review and final approval in accordance with the provisions of these regulations. A program submitted for final approval must comply with all of the provisions set forth in Subparts A–E of this part, including, in particular, Subpart C, which requires that certain authorities and plans of organization be in effect at the time of the submission.

(b) Optionally, the State may submit for the preliminary approval of the Secretary a program complying with the substantive requirements of this part, but for which the proposed authorities and organization complying with the provisions of Subpart C are not yet legally effective. In reviewing a program submitted for preliminary approval, the Secretary may grant such approval subject to establishment of a legal regime providing the authorities and organization called for in the program. If the State elects this option, it shall continue to be eligible for funding under Section 305 but it shall not yet be eligible for funding under Section 306 of the Act until such time as its program is finally approved. Upon a showing by the State that authorities and organization necessary to implement the program which has received preliminary approval are in effect, final approval shall be granted.

Comment. The purpose of the optional procedure is to provide a State with an opportunity for Secretarial review of its program before State legislation is enacted to put the program into legal effect. Some States may prefer not to utilize the optional procedure, especially those which have legislative authority enabling the coastal zone agency of the State to put the program into effect by administrative action. In any event, the Office of Coastal Zone Management will be available for consultation during all phases of development of the program.

(c) States completing the requirements set forth in Subpart B—Land and Water Uses, and Subpart D—Coordination, will be deemed to have fulfilled the statutory requirements associated with each criteria. If, however, a State chooses to adopt alternative methods and procedures, which are at least as comprehensive as the procedures set forth below, for fulfilling those statutory requirements contained in Subparts B and D, they may do so upon prior written approval of the Secretary. The States are encouraged to consult with the Office of Coastal Zone Management as early as possible.

Comment. The thrust of the Act is to encourage coastal States to exercise their full

authority over the lands and waters in the coastal zone by developing land and water use programs for the zone, including unified policies, criteria, standards, methods and processes for dealing with land and water uses of more than local significance. While the Act mandates a State to meet specific statutory requirements in order for the State to be eligible for administrative grants, it does not require the State to follow specific processes in meeting those requirements. The Secretary will review any State management program that meets the requirements contained in Subparts B and D in addition to the other subparts contained herein.

§ 923.4 Evaluation of management programs—general.

(a) In reviewing management programs submitted by a coastal State pursuant to § 923.3, the Secretary will evaluate not only all of the individual program elements required by the Act and set forth in Subparts B–E of this part, but the objectives and policies of the State program as well to assure that they are consistent with national policies declared in Section 303 of the Act.

(b) Each program submitted for approval shall contain a statement of problems and issues, and objectives and policies. The statements shall address:

(1) Major problems and issues, both within and affecting the State's coastal zone;

(2) Objectives to be attained in interagency and intergovernmental cooperation, coordination and institutional arrangements; and enhancing management capability involving issues and problem identification, conflict resolution, regulation and administrative efficiency at the State and local level;

(3) Objectives of the program in preservation, protection, development, restoration and enhancement of the State's coastal zone;

(4) Policies for the protection and conservation of coastal zone natural systems, cultural, historic and scenic areas, renewable and non-renewable resources, and the preservation, restoration and economic development of selected coastal zone areas.

(c) The Secretary will review the management program for the adequacy of State procedures utilized in its development and will consider the extent to which its various elements have been integrated into a balanced and comprehensive program designed to achieve the above objectives and policies.

Comment. Evaluation of the statutory requirements established in this subpart will concentrate primarily upon the adequacy of State processes in dealing with key coastal problems and issues. It will not, in general, deal with the wisdom of specific land and water use decisions, but rather with a determination that in addressing those problems and issues, the State is aware of the full range of present and potential needs and uses of the coastal zone, and has developed procedures, based upon scientific knowledge, public participation and unified governmental policies, for making reasoned choices and decisions.

Management programs will be evaluated in the light of the Congressional findings and policies as contained in Sections 302 and 303 of the Act. These sections make it clear that Congress, in enacting the legislation, was concerned about the environmental degradation, damage to natural and scenic areas, loss of living marine resources and wildlife, decreasing open space for public use and shoreline erosion being brought about by population growth and economic development. The Act thus has a strong environmental thrust, stressing the "urgent need to protect and to give high priority to natural systems in the coastal zone." A close working relationship between the agency responsible for the coastal zone management program and the agencies responsible for environmental protection is vital in carrying out this legislative intent. States are encouraged by the Act to take into account ecological, cultural, historic and esthetic values as well as the need for economic development in preparing and implementing management programs through which the States, with the participation of all affected interests and levels of government, exercise their full authority over coastal lands and waters.

Further assistance in meeting the intent of the Act may be found in the Congressional Committee Reports associated with the passage of the legislation (Senate Report 92–753 and House Report 92–1049). It is clear from these reports that Congress intended management programs to be comprehensive and that a State must consider all subject areas which are pertinent to the particular circumstances which prevail in the State. A comprehensive program should have considered at least the following representative elements:

(1) Present laws, regulations, and applicable programs for attainment of air and water quality standards, on land and water uses, and on environmental management by all levels of government;

(2) Present ownership patterns of the land and water resources, including administration of publicly owned properties;

(3) Present populations and future trends, including assessments of the impact of population growth on the coastal zone and estuarine environments;

(4) Present uses, known proposals for changes and long-term requirements of the coastal zone;

(5) Energy generation and transmission;

(6) Estuarine habitats of fish, shellfish and wildlife;

(7) Industrial needs;

(8) Housing requirements;

(9) Recreation, including beaches, parks, wildlife preserves, sport fishing, swimming and pleasure boating;

(10) Open space, including educational and natural preserves, scenic beauty, and public access, both visual and physical, to coastlines and coastal estuarine areas;

(11) Mineral resources requirements;

(12) Transportation and navigation needs;

(13) Floods and flood damage prevention, erosion (including the effect of tides and currents upon beaches and other shoreline areas), land stability, climatology and meteorology;

(14) Communication facilities;

(15) Commercial fishing; and

(16) Requirements for protecting water quality and other important natural resources.

The list of considerations is not meant to be exclusive, nor does it mean that each consideration must be given equal weight. State initiative to determine other relevant factors and consider them in the program is essential to the management of the coastal zone as envisioned by Congress.

In assessing programs submitted for approval, the Secretary, in consultation with other concerned Federal agencies, will examine such programs to determine that the full range of public problems and issues affecting the coastal zone have been identified and considered. In this connection, developments outside the coastal zone may often have a significant impact within the coastal zone and create a range of public problems and issues which must be dealt with in the coastal zone management program.

The Secretary encourages the States to develop objectives toward which progress can be measured and will review program submissions in this light. While it is recognized that many essential coastal zone management objectives are not quantifiable (e.g. public aspirations, "quality of life"), others are, and should be set forth in measurable terms where feasible (e.g. shore erosion, beach access, recreational demand, energy facility requirements). Identifying and analyzing problems and issues in measurable terms during the program development phase will facilitate the formulation of measurable objectives as part of the approval submission.

§ 923.5 Environmental impact assessment.

Individual environmental impact statements will be prepared and circulated by NOAA as an integral part of the review and approval process for State coastal zone management programs pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (Pub. L. 91–190, 42 USC 4321 et seq) and its implementing regulations. The Administrator of NOAA will circulate an environmental impact statement prepared primarily on the basis of an environmental impact assessment and other relevant data submitted by the individual applicant States.

Subpart B—Land and Water Uses

§ 923.10 General.

(a) This subpart deals with land and water uses in the coastal zone which are subject to the management program.

(b) In order to provide a relatively simple framework upon which discussion of the specific requirements associated with this subpart may proceed, it may be helpful to categorize the various types of land and water uses which the Act envisions.

(1) The statutory definition of the landward portion of the coastal zone states that it "extends inland from the shorelines only to the extent necessary to control shorelands, the uses of which have a direct and significant impact on the coastal waters." Thus, the coastal zone will include those lands and only those lands where any existing, projected or potential use will have a "direct and significant impact on the coastal waters." Any such use will be subject to the terms of the management program, pursuant to Section 305(b)(2).

(2) There may well be uses of certain lands included within the coastal zone which will not have such "direct and significant impact." Such uses may be subject to regulation by local units of government within the framework of the management program.

(3) The Act also requires that management programs contain a method of assuring that "local land and water use regulations within the coastal zone do not unreasonably restrict or exclude land and water uses of regional benefit." This requirement is described more fully in § 923.17.

(c) As part of the State's management program, it must address and exercise authority over the following:

(1) *Land and water uses which have a direct and significant impact upon coastal waters.* These uses are described more fully in § 923.12.

(2) *Areas of particular concern.* Section 305(b)(3) specifies that the management program include an inventory and designation of areas of particular concern within the coastal zone. Section 923.13 deals more thoroughly with this statutory requirement. Such areas must be considered of Statewide concern and must be addressed in the management program.

(3) *Siting of facilities necessary to meet requirements which are other than local in nature.* The management program must take "adequate consideration of the national interest involved in the siting of facilities necessary to meet requirements which are other than local in nature" (Section 306(c)(8)). This requirement is more fully discussed in § 923.15.

§ 923.11 Boundaries of the coastal zone.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in Section 305(b)(1), the management program must show evidence that the State has developed and applied a procedure for identifying the boundary of the State's coastal zone meeting the statutory definition of the coastal zone contained in Section 304(a). At a minimum this procedure should result in:

(1) A determination of the inland boundary required to control, through the management program, shorelands the uses of which have direct and significant impacts upon coastal waters,

(2) A determination of the extent of the territorial sea, or where applicable, of State waters in the Great Lakes,

(3) An identification of transitional and intertidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands and beaches,

(4) An identification of all Federally owned lands, or lands which are held in trust by the Federal government, its officers and agents in the coastal zone and over which a State does not exercise any control as to use.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 305(b)(1):

> Such management program shall include * * * an identification of the boundaries of the coastal zone subject to the management programs.

Useful background information concerning this requirement appears in Part 920.11, which is incorporated into this part by reference.

(1) The key to successful completion of this requirement lies in the development and use of a procedure designed to identify the landward extent of the coastal zone. Included in this procedure must be a method for determining those "shorelands, the uses of which have a direct and significant impact upon the coastal waters." These uses shall be considered the same as the "land and water uses" described in § 923.12, reflecting the requirements of Section 305(b)(2) of the Act regardless of whether those uses are found, upon analysis, to be "permissible." The coastal zone must include within it those lands which have any existing, projected or potential uses which have a direct and significant impact upon the coastal waters and over which the terms of the management program will be exercised. In some States, existing regulations controlling shoreland uses apply only in a strip of land of uniform depth (e.g. 250 feet, 1,000 yards, etc.) behind the shoreline. Such a boundary will be acceptable if it approximates a boundary developed according to the procedure outlined above and extends inland sufficiently for the management program to control lands the uses of which have a direct and significant impact upon coastal waters. States may wish, for administrative convenience, to designate political boundaries, cultural features, property lines or existing designated planning and environmental control areas, as boundaries of the coastal zone. While the Secretary will take into account the desirability of identifying a coastal zone which is easily regulated as a whole, the selection of the boundaries of the coastal zone must bear a reasonable relationship to the statutory requirement. Nothing in this part shall preclude a State from exercising the terms of the management program in a landward area more extensive than the coastal zone called for in this part. If such a course is selected, the boundaries of the coastal zone must nevertheless be identified as above and the provisions of the Act will be exercised only in the defined coastal zone. It should be borne in mind that the boundary should include lands and waters which are subject to the management program. This means that the policies, objectives and controls called for in the management program must be capable of being applied consistently within the area. The area must not be so extensive that a fair application of the management program becomes difficult or capricious, nor so limited that lands strongly influenced by coastal waters and over which the management program should reasonably apply, are excluded.

(2) Inasmuch as the seaward boundary of the coastal zone is established in the Act, the States will be required to utilize the statutory boundary, i.e. in the Great Lakes, the international boundary between the United States and Canada, and elsewhere the outer limits of the United States territorial sea. At present, this limit is three nautical miles from the appropriate baselines recognized by international law and defined precisely by the United States. In the event of a statutory change in the boundary of the territorial sea, the question of whether a corresponding change in coastal zone boundaries must be made, or will be made by operation of law, will depend on the specific terms of the statutory change and cannot be resolved in advance. In the waters of Lake Michigan, the boundary shall extend to the recognized boundaries with adjacent States.

(3) A State's coastal zone must include transitional and intertidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands and beaches. Hence the boundary determination procedure must include a method of identifying such coastal features. In no case, however, will a State's landward coastal zone boundary include only such areas in the absence of application of the procedure called for herein or in § 923.43.

(4) Since the coastal zone excludes lands the use of which is by law subject solely to the discretion of, or which is held in trust by the Federal government, its officers and agents, the coastal zone boundary must identify such lands which are excluded from the coastal zone. In order to complete this requirement, the State should indicate those Federally owned lands, or lands held in trust by the Federal government, *and* over which the State does not exercise jurisdiction as to use. In the event that a State fails to identify lands held by an agency of the Federal government as excluded lands, and the agency, after review of the program under Section 307(b), is of the opinion that such lands should be excluded, the disagreement will be subject to the mediation process set forth in said section.

§ 923.12 Permissible land and water uses.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirements contained in Section 305(b)(2), the management must show evidence that the State has developed and applied a procedure for defining "permissible land and water uses within the coastal zone which have a direct and significant impact upon the coastal waters," which includes, at a minimum:

(1) a method for relating various specific land and water uses to impact upon coastal waters, including utilization of an operational definition of "direct and significant impact,"

(2) an inventory of natural and man-made coastal resources,

(3) an analysis or establishment of a method for analysis of the capability and suitability for each type of resource and application to existing, projected or potential uses,

(4) an analysis or establishment of a method for analysis of the environmental impact of reasonable resource utilizations.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 305(b)(2):

> Such management program shall include * * * a definition of what shall constitute permissible land and water uses within the coastal zone which have a direct and significant impact upon the coastal waters.

Useful background information concerning this requirement appears in 15 CFR 920.12, which is incorporated into this part by reference. Completion of this requirement should be divided into two distinct elements: a determination of those land and water uses having a direct and significant impact upon coastal waters, and an identification of such uses which the State deems permissible.

(1) *Section 305(b)(4).* In identifying those uses which have a "direct and sig-

nificant impact," the State should define that phrase in operational terms that can be applied uniformly and consistently, and should develop a method for relating various uses to impacts upon coastal waters. Existing, projected and potential uses should be analyzed as to the level and extent of their impact, be it adverse, benign or beneficial, intrastate or interstate. These impacts should then be assessed to determine whether they meet the definition of "direct and significant impact upon coastal waters." (These are the ones by which the boundaries of the coastal zone are defined.) Those uses meeting that definition are automatically subject to control by the management program.

(2) In determining which land and water uses may be deemed permissible, a State should develop a method for assuring that such decisions are made in an objective manner, based upon evaluation of the best available information concerning land and water capability and suitability. This method should include at a minimum:

(i) An inventory of significant natural and man-made coastal resources, including but not limited to, shorelands, beaches, dunes, wetlands, uplands, barrier islands, waters, bays, estuaries, harbors and their associated facilities. This should not be construed as requiring long-term, continuing research and baseline studies, but rather as providing the basic information and data critical to successful completion of a number of required management program elements. States are encouraged, however, to continue research and studies as necessary to detect early warnings of changes to coastal zone resources. It is recognized that in some States a complete and detailed inventory of such resources may be expensive and time consuming in relation to the value of information gathered in the development of the management program. Much information, of course, already exists and should be integrated into the inventory. The Secretary, in reviewing this particular requirement, will take into account the nature and extent of the State's coastline, the funding available and existing data sources.

(ii) An analysis or establishment of a method for analysis of the capabilities of each resource for supporting various types of uses (including the capability for sustained and undiminished yield of renewable resources), as well as of the suitability for such resource utilization when evaluated in conjunction with other local, regional and State resources and uses. Resource capability analysis should include physical, biological and chemical parameters as necessary.

(iii) An analysis or establishment of a method for analysis of the impact of various resource uses upon the natural environment (air, land and water). Based upon these analyses and applicable Federal, State and local policies and standards, the State should define permissible uses as those which can be reasonably and safely supported by the resource, which are compatible with surrounding resource utilization and which will have a tolerable impact upon the environment. These analyses, in part, will be provided through existing information on environmental protection programs, and should be supplemented to the extent necessary for determining the relationship between land uses and environmental quality. Where a State prohibits a use within the coastal zone, or a portion thereof, it should identify the reasons for the prohibition, citing evidence developed in the above analyses. It should be pointed out that uses which may have a direct and significant impact on coastal waters when conducted close to the shoreline may not have a direct and significant impact when conducted further inland. Similarly, uses which may be permissible in a highly industrialized area may not be permissible in a pristine marshland. Accordingly, the definition may also be correlated with the nature (including current uses) and location of the land on which the use is to take place. The analyses which the State will undertake pursuant to this section should also be useful in satisfying the requirements of § 923.13 through § 923.17.

§ 923.13 Areas of particular concern.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirements contained in Section 305 (b)(3), the management program must show evidence that the State has made an inventory and designation of areas of particular concern within the coastal zone. Such designations shall be based upon a review of natural and man-made coastal zone resources and uses, and upon consideration of State-established criteria which include, at a minimum, those factors contained in 15 CFR 920.13, namely:

(1) Areas of unique, scarce, fragile or vulnerable natural habitat, physical feature, historical significance, cultural value and scenic importance;

(2) Areas of high natural productivity or essential habitat for living resources, including fish, wildlife and the various trophic levels in the food web critical to their well-being;

(3) Areas of substantial recreational value and/or opportunity;

(4) Areas where developments and facilities are dependent upon the utilization of, or access to, coastal waters;

(5) Areas of unique geologic or topographic significance to industrial or commercial development;

(6) Areas of urban concentration where shoreline utilization and water uses are highly competitive;

(7) Areas of significant hazard if developed, due to storms, slides, floods, erosion, settlement, etc.; and

(8) Areas needed to protect, maintain or replenish coastal lands or resources, including coastal flood plains, aquifer recharge areas, sand dunes, coral and other reefs, beaches, offshore sand deposits and mangrove stands.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 305(b)(3).

Such management program shall include * * * an inventory and designation of areas of particular concern within the coastal zone.

Useful background information concerning the requirement appears in 15 CFR 920.13, which is incorporated here by reference. It should be emphasized that the basic purpose of inventorying and designating areas of particular concern within the coastal zone is to express some measure of Statewide concern about them and to include them within the purview of the management program. Therefore, particular attention in reviewing the management program will be directed toward development by the State of implementing policies or actions to manage the designated areas of particular concern.

§ 923.14 Guidelines on priority of uses.

(a) *Requirement.* The management program shall include broad policies or guidelines governing the relative priorities which will be accorded in particular areas to at least those permissible land and water uses identified pursuant to § 923.12. The priorities will be based upon an analysis of State and local needs as well as the effect of the uses on the area. Uses of lowest priority will be specifically stated for each type of area.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 305(b)(5)

Such management program shall include * * * broad guidelines on priority of uses in particular areas, including specifically those uses of lowest priority.

As pointed out in 15 CFR 920.15, the priority guidelines will set forth the degree of State interest in the preservation, conservation and orderly development of specific areas including at least those areas of particular concern identified in § 923.13 within the coastal zone, and thus provide the basis for regulating land and water uses in the coastal zone, as well as a common reference point for resolving conflicts. Such priority guidelines will be the core of a successful management program since they will provide a framework within which the State, its agencies, local governments and regional bodies can deal with specific proposals for development activities in various areas of the coastal zone. In order to develop such broad guidelines, the management program shall indicate that a method has been developed and applied for (1) analyzing State needs which can be met most effectively and efficiently through land and water uses in the coastal zone, and (2) determining the capability and suitability of meeting these needs in specific locations in the coastal zone. In analyzing the States' needs, there should be a determination made of those requirements and uses which have Statewide, as opposed to local, significance. Section 302(h) of the Act states in part that land and water use programs for the coastal zone should include "unified policies, criteria, standards, methods and processes for dealing with land and water use decisions of more than local significance." The inventory and analyses of coastal resources and uses called for in § 923.12 will provide the State with most of the basic data needed to determine the specific locations where coastal resources are capable and suitable for meeting State-

wide needs. In addition, these analyses should permit the State to determine possible constraints on development which may be applied by particular uses. The program should establish special procedures for evaluating land use decisions, such as the siting of regional energy facilities, which may have a substantial impact on the environment. In such cases, the program should make provision for the consideration of available alternative sites which will serve the need with a minimum adverse impact. The identifying and ordering of use priorities in specific coastal areas should lead to the development and adoption of State policies or guidelines on land and water use in the coastal zone. Such policies or guidelines should be part of the management program as submitted by the State and should be consistent with the State's specified management program objectives. Particular attention should be given by the State to applying these guidelines on use priorities within those "areas of particular concern" designated pursuant to § 923.13. In addition, States shall indicate within the management program uses of lowest priority in particular areas, including guidelines associated with such uses.

§ 923.15 National interest in the siting of facilities.

(a) *Requirement.* A management program which integrates (through development of a body of information relating to the national interest involved in such siting through consultation with cognizant Federal and regional bodies, as well as adjacent and nearby States) the siting of facilities meeting requirements which are of greater than local concern into the determination of uses and areas of Statewide concern, will meet the requirements of Section 306(c)(8).

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(8):

> Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * the management program provides for adequate consideration of the national interest involved in the siting of facilities necessary to meet requirements which are other than local in nature.

This policy requirement is intended to assure that national concerns over facility siting are expressed and dealt with in the development and implementation of State coastal zone management programs. The requirement should not be construed as compelling the States to propose a program which accommodates certain types of facilities, but to assure that such national concerns are included at an early stage in the State's planning activities and that such facilities not be arbitrarily excluded or unreasonably restricted in the management program without good and sufficient reasons. It is recognized that there may or may not be a national interest associated with the siting of facilities necessary to meet requirements which are other than local in nature. Requirements which are other than local in nature shall be considered those requirements which, when fulfilled, result in the establishment of facilities designed clearly to serve more than one locality (generally, the lowest unit of local, general-purpose government, excluding situations such as with cities and counties which exercise concurrent jurisdiction for the same geographic areas). In order to provide assistance to the States in completing this requirement, a listing is presented below which identifies those requirements which are both (1) other than local in nature, and (2) possess siting characteristics in which, in the opinion of the Secretary, there may be a clear national interest. For each such need, there is a listing of associated facilities. In addition, the principal cognizant Federal agencies concerned with these facilities are also listed. This list must not be considered inclusive, but the State should consider each requirement and facility type in the development of its management program. Consideration of these requirements and facilities need not be seen as a separate and distinct element of the management program, and the listing is provided to assure that the siting of such facilities is not overlooked or ignored. As part of its determination of permissible uses in the coastal zone (§ 923.12), as well as of priority of uses (§ 923.14), the State will have developed a procedure for inventorying coastal resources and identifying their existing or potential utilization for various purposes based upon capability, suitability and impact analyses. The process for responding to the requirements of Section 306(c)(8) should be identical to, and part of, the same procedure. No separate national interest "test" need be applied and submitted other than evidence that the listed national interest facilities have been considered in a manner similar to all other uses, and that appropriate consultation with the Federal agencies listed has been conducted. As a preliminary to adequate consideration of the national interest, the State must determine the needs for such facilities. Management programs must recognize the need of local as well as regional and national populations for goods and services which can be supplied only through the use of facilities in the coastal zone in order to make reasonable provision for such facilities in light of the size and population of the State, the length and characteristics of its coast and the contribution such State is already making to regional and national needs. This will require the State to enter into discussions with appropriate Federal agencies and agencies of other States in the region, a process which should begin early in the development of the management program so that the full dimensions of the national interest may be considered as the State develops its program (§ 923.31 and §923.32). The management program should make reference to the views of cognizant Federal agencies as to how these national needs may be met in the coastal zone of that particular State. States should actively seek such guidance from these Federal agencies, particularly in view of the fact that all management programs will be reviewed with the opportunity for full comment by all affected Federal agencies prior to approval. It is recognized that Federal agencies will differ markedly in their abilities to articulate policies regarding utilization of individual State's coastal zones. NOAA's Office of Coastal Zone Management will encourage Federal agencies to develop policy statements regarding their perception of the national interest in the coastal zone and make these available to the States. The States should also consult with adjacent and nearby States which share similar or common coastal resources or with regional interstate bodies to determine how regional needs may be met in siting facilities. Specific arrangements of "tradeoffs" of coastal resource utilization should be documented with appropriate supporting evidence. The importance of this type of interstate consultation and cooperation in planning cannot be overemphasized for it offers the States the opportunity of resolving significant national problems on a regional scale without Federal intervention.

Requirements which are other than local in nature and in the siting of which there may be a clear national interest (with associated facilities and cognizant Federal agencies)

Requirements	Associated facilities	Cognizant Federal Agencies
1. Energy production and transmission.	Oil and gas wells; storage and distribution facilities; refineries; nuclear, conventional, and hydroelectric powerplants; deepwater ports.	Federal Energy Administration, Federal Power Commission, Bureau of Land Management, Atomic Energy Commission, Maritime Administration, Geological Survey, Department of Transportation, Corps of Engineers.
2. Recreation (of an interstate nature)	National seashores, parks, forests; large and outstanding beaches and recreational waterfronts; wildlife reserves.	National Park Service, Forest Service, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation.
3. Interstate transportation	Interstate highways, airports, aids to navigation; ports and harbors, railroads.	Federal Highway Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, Coast Guard, Corps of Engineers, Maritime Administration, Interstate Commerce Commission.
4. Production of food and fiber	Prime agricultural land and facilities; forests; mariculture facilities; fisheries.	Soil Conservation Service, Forest Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service.
5. Preservation of life and property	Flood and storm protection facilities; disaster warning facilities.	Corps of Engineers, Federal Insurance Administration, NOAA, Soil Conservation Service.
6. National defense and aerospace	Military installations; defense manufacturing facilities; aerospace launching and tracking facilities.	Department of Defense, NASA.
7. Historic, cultural, esthetic and conservation values.	Historic sites; natural areas; areas of unique cultural significance; wildlife refuges; areas of species and habitat preservation.	National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service.
8. Mineral resources	Mineral extraction facilities needed to directly support activity.	Bureau of Mines, Geological Survey.

§ 923.16 Area designation for preservation and restoration.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in Section 306(c)(9), the management program must show evidence that the State has developed and applied standards and criteria for the designation of areas of conservation, recreational, ecological or esthetic values for the purpose of preserving and restoring them.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(9):

> Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * the management program makes provision for procedures whereby specific areas may be designated for the purpose of preserving or restoring them for their conservation, recreation, ecological or esthetic values.

(1) This requirement is closely linked to that contained in § 923.13, dealing with designation of areas of particular concern. Unless the State can make a compelling case to the contrary, all areas designated according to the methods called for in this part shall also be considered as areas of particular concern.

(2) This requirement is reasonably self-explanatory. The State must develop procedures for the designation of areas with certain characteristics. The State, in doing so, must:

(i) Establish standards and criteria for the possible designation of coastal areas intended for preservation or restoration because of their conservation, recreational, ecological or esthetic values, and

(ii) Apply those standards and criteria to the State's coastal resources. (In this, the inventory associated with the requirement of § 923.13 will be most helpful.)

(3) The requirement of the statute goes to the procedures rather than substance; the fact that a State may be unable to move rapidly ahead with a program of preservation or restoration will not prevent the program from being approved. The State should also rank in order of relative priority areas of its coastal zone which have been designated for the purposes set forth in this section. As funds become available, such a ranking will provide a set of priorities for selecting areas to be preserved or restored.

§ 923.17 Local regulations and uses of regional benefit.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in Section 306(e)(2), the management program must show evidence that the State has developed and applied a method for determining uses of regional benefit, and that it has established a method for assuring that local land and water use controls in the coastal zone do not unreasonably or arbitrarily restrict or exclude those uses of regional benefit.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(e)(2):

> Prior to granting approval, the Secretary shall also find that the program provides * * * for a method of assuring that local land and water use regulations within the coastal zone do not unreasonably restrict or exclude land and water uses of regional benefit.

This requirement is intended to prevent local land and water use decisions from arbitrarily excluding certain land and water uses which are deemed of importance to more than a single unit of local government. For the purposes of this requirement, a use of regional benefit will be one which provides services or other benefits to citizens of more than one unit of local, general-purpose government (excluding situations such as in cities and counties which exercise jurisdiction over the same geographic areas). In order to assure that arbitrary exclusion does not occur, the State must first identify those uses which it perceives will affect or produce some regional benefit. This designation would normally be derived from the inventory and analysis of the uses contained in § 923.12. In any event, however, these uses should include those contained in the table of § 923.15. In addition, the State may determine that certain land and water uses may be of regional benefit under certain sets of circumstances; the State should then establish standards and criteria for determining when such conditions exist. There should be no blanket exclusion or restrictions of these uses in areas of the coastal zone by local regulation unless it can be shown that the exclusion or restriction is based upon reasonable considerations of the suitability of the area for the uses or the carrying capacity of the area. The requirement of this section does not exclude the possibility that in specific areas certain uses of regional benefit may be prohibited. However, such exclusions may not be capricious. The method by which the management program will assure that such unreasonable restrictions or exclusion not occur in local land and water use decisions will, of course, be up to the State, but it should include the preparation of standards and criteria relating to State interpretation of "unreasonable restriction or exclusion", as well as the establishment of a continuing mechanisms for such determination.

Subpart C—Authorities and Organization

§ 923.20 General.

This subpart deals with requirements that the State possess necessary authorities to control land and water uses and that it be organized to implement the management. It should be emphasized that before final approval of a coastal zone management program can be given by the Secretary of Commerce, the authorities and organizational structure called for in the management program must be in place. Preliminary approval, however, can be given to a proposal which will require subsequent legislative or executive action for implementation and eligibility for administrative grants under Section 306.

§ 923.21 Means of exerting State control over land and water uses.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirements contained in Sections 305(b)(4) and 306(c)(7), the management program must show evidence that the State has identified a means for controlling each permissible land and water use specified in § 923.12, and for precluding land and water uses in the coastal zone which are not permissible. The management program should contain a list of relevant constitutional provisions, legislative enactments, regulations, judicial decisions and other appropriate official documents or actions which establish the legal basis for such controls, as well as documentation by the Governor or his designated legal officer that the State actually has and is prepared to implement the authorities, including those contained in Section 306(d), required to implement the objectives, policies and individual components of the program.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 305(b)(4):

> Such management program shall include * * * an identification of the means by which the State proposes to exert control over the land and water uses referred to in paragraph (2) of this subsection, including a listing of relevant constitutional provisions, legislative enactments, regulations and judicial decisions;

Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(7):

> Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * the State has the authorities necessary to implement the program, including the authority required under subsection (d) of this section.

Useful information concerning this requirement appears in 15 CFR 920.14, which is incorporated into this part by reference. The key words in this requirement are, "to exert control over the land and water uses." This reflects the Congressional finding that the "key to more effective protection and use of the land and water resources of the coastal zone is to encourage the States to exercise their full authority over the lands and waters in the coastal zone * * *." It is not the intent of this part to specify for the States the "means" of control; this is a State responsibility. The State must, however, describe in the management program its rationale for developing and deciding upon such "means." The "means" must be capable of actually implementing the objectives, policies and individual components of the management program. As such, requirements shall be reviewed in close conjunction with § 923.24, 923.25 and § 923.26, relating to actual authorities which the State must possess. The management program should also indicate those specific land and water uses over which authority, jurisdiction or control will be exercised concurrently by both State and Federal agencies, particularly those uses affecting water resources, submerged lands and navigable waters. The management program must provide for control of land and water uses in the coastal zone, although the exercise of control may be vested in, or delegated to, various agencies or local government. As part of the approval of a management program, the Secretary must find that the means for controlling land and water uses identified in § 923.21 are established and in place, and that the means include the

authorities contained in § 923.24 and § 923.25. This finding will be based upon documentation by the Governor of the coastal State or his designated legal officer that the State possesses and is prepared to implement the requisite authorities.

§ 923.22 Organizational structure to implement the management program.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in Section 305(b)(6), the management program must contain a description of how the State is organized to implement the authorities identified in § 923.21. In addition, the management program must contain a certification by the Governor of the State or his designated legal officer that the State has established its organizational structure to implement the management program.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 305(b)(6):

> Such management program shall include * * * a description of the organizational structure proposed to implement the management program, including the responsibilities and interrelationships of local, areawide, State, regional and interstate agencies in the management process.

Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(6):

> Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * the State is organized to implement the management program required under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

Useful background information and guidance concerning this requirement appears in 15 CFR 920.16, which is incorporated into this part by reference. The legislative history of the Act makes it clear that the States should be accorded maximum flexibility in organizing for implementation of their coastal zone management programs. Thus, neither the Act nor this part provide an organizational model which must be followed. While individual State programs may have a wide range of interstate, State, local or areawide agency roles to play, the program will be reviewed closely for assurance that it constitutes an organized and unified program. Consistent with this principle, there must be a clear point of responsibility for the program, although program implementation may be undertaken by several State entities. In those cases, where a complex interagency and intergovernmental process is established, the State must submit a description of roles and responsibilities of each of the participants and how such roles and responsibilities contribute to a unified coastal zone management program. This description should be sufficiently detailed to demonstrate that a coherent program structure has been proposed by the State and the State is prepared to act in accordance with the objectives of the management program. Although the Act does not prescribe the creation of a central management agency at the State level, it envisions the creation of a coastal zone management entity that has adequate legislative and/or executive authority to implement the policies and requirements mandated in the Act. Review of the management program for compliance with this requirement will be undertaken as a single review with review of the requirements contained in § 923.31, full participation by interested bodies in adoption of management programs, and § 923.23, designation of a single State agency.

§ 923.23 Designation of a single agency.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement of Section 306(c)(5), the management program must contain appropriate documentation that the Governor of the coastal State has designated a single agency to be responsible for receiving and administering grants under Section 306 for implementing an approved management program.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(5):

> Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * the Governor of the State has designated a single agency to receive and administer the grants for implementing the management program required under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

This requirement is closely related to that contained in § 923.22, relating to a description of the organizational structure which will implement the management program. While this requirement is self-explanatory, it should be pointed out that States will undoubtedly come forward with a wide variety of organizational structures to implement approved management programs. Some will probably be quite complex, utilizing a variety of control techniques at a number of governmental levels. Nothing in this part should be construed as limiting the options available to a State for implementing its program. The purpose of the requirement is simply to identify a single agency which will be fiscally and programmatically responsible for receiving and administering the grants under Section 306 to implement the approved management program.

§ 923.24 Authorities to administer land and water uses, control development and resolve conflicts.

(a) *Requirement.* (1) The management program must contain documentation by the Governor or his designated legal officer that the agencies and governments chosen by the State to administer the management program have the authority to administer land and water regulations, control development in accordance with the management program and to resolve use conflicts.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(d)(1):

> Prior to granting approval of the management program, the Secretary shall find that the State, acting through its chosen agency or agencies, including local governments, areawide agencies designated under Section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, regional agencies, or interstate agencies, has authority for the management of the coastal zone in accordance with the management program. Such authority shall include power * * * to administer land and water use regulations, control development in order to ensure compliance with the management program and to resolve conflicts among competing uses * * *.

This requirement shall be reviewed in close conjunction with that of §§ 923.21, 923.25 and § 923.26, dealing with authorities which the State's organizational structure must possess in order to ensure implementation of the management program. The language of this requirement makes it clear that the State may choose to administer its program using a variety of levels of governments and agencies, but that if it does, the State must have available to it the authorities specified.

§ 932.25 Authorities for property acquisition.

(a) *Requirement.* The management program shall contain documentation by the Governor or his designated legal officer that the agency or agencies, including local governments, areawide agencies, regional or interstate agencies, responsible for implementation of the management program have available the power to acquire fee simple and less than fee simple interests in lands, waters and other property through condemnation or other means where necessary to achieve conformance with the management program. Where the power includes condemnation, the State shall so indicate. Where the power includes other means, the State shall specifically identify such means.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(d)(2):

> Prior to granting approval of the management program, the Secretary shall find that the State, acting through its chosen agency or agencies, including local governments, areawide agencies designated under Section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, regional agencies or interstate agencies, has authority for the management of the coastal zone in accordance with the management program. Such authority shall include power * * * to acquire fee simple and less than fee simple interests in lands, waters and other property through condemnation or other means when necessary to achieve conformance with the management program * * *.

In most cases, it will not be necessary to acquire fee simple ownership. Normally, appropriate use restrictions will be adequate to achieve conformance with the program. In other cases, an easement may be necessary to achieve conformance with the management program. Where acquisition is necessary, this section contemplates acquisition by condemnation or through other means. However, the mere authority to acquire an interest in lands or waters by purchase from a willing vendor will not be sufficient in cases where the acquisition of interests in real property is a necessary and integral part of the program. In such cases, the power of condemnation need be no broader than necessary to achieve conformance with the program. For example, if a State's program includes provisions expressly requiring that power transmission lines and pipelines be located in specified energy and transportation corridors to minimize environmental impact, and for State ac-

quisition of such transportation corridors, then the State should have the power to acquire corridors for such purposes through condemnation. It is not necessary that the power to acquire real property be held by any one particular agency involved in implementing the management program. The authority must, however, be held by one or more agencies or local governments with a statutory responsibility to exercise the authority without undue delay when necessary to achieve conformance with the management program.

§ 923.26 Techniques for control of land and water uses.

(a) *Requirement.* The management program must contain documentation by the Governor or his designated legal officer that all existing, projected and potential land and water uses within the coastal zone may be controlled by any one or a combination of the techniques specified in Section 306(e)(1).

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(e)(1):

Prior to granting approval, the Secretary shall also find that the program provides * * * for any one or a combination of the following general techniques for control of land and water uses within the coastal zone:

(1) Section 306(e)(1)(A) "State establishment of criteria and standards for local implementation, subject to administrative review and enforcement of compliance." This option requires the State to establish general criteria and standards within the framework of the coastal zone program for implementation by local government. Such criteria and standards would provide for application of criteria and standards to specific local conditions. Implementation by a local unit of government would consist of adoption of a suitable local zoning ordinance or regulation, and enforcement on a continuing basis. Administrative review at the State level requires provision for review of local ordinances and regulations and local enforcement activity for consistency with the criteria and standards as well as programs, not review of specific cases on the merits. In the event of deficiencies either in regulation or local enforcement, State enforcement of compliance would require either appropriate changes in local regulation or enforcement or direct State intervention.

(2) Section 306(e)(1)(B) "Direct State land and water use planning and regulation." Under this option the State would become directly involved in the establishment of detailed land and water use regulations and would apply these regulations to individual cases. Initial determinations regarding land and water use in the coastal zone would be made at the State level. This option preempts the traditional role of local government in the zoning process involving lands or waters within the coastal zone.

(3) Section 306(e)(1)(C) "State administrative review for consistency with the management program of all development plans, projects, or land and water regulations, including exceptions and variances thereto proposed by any State or local authority or private developer, with power to approve or disapprove after public notice and an opportunity for hearings." This option leaves the local unit of government free to adopt zoning ordinances or regulations without State criteria and standards other than the program itself, but subjects certain actions by the local unit of government to automatic State review, including public notice and a hearing when requested by a party. Such actions include:

(i) Adoption of land and water use regulations, ordinarily in the form of a zoning ordinance or regulation.

(ii) Granting of an exception or variance to a zoning ordinance or regulation.

(iii) Approval of a development plan or project proposed by a private developer. This may be defined to exclude approval of minor projects, such as small residences or commercial establishments, or those which do not have a significant impact.

(4) It should be noted that State review is for consistency with the management program, not of the merits or of the facts on which the local decision is based.

(5) The State may choose to utilize only one of the specified techniques, or more than one, or a combination of them in different locations or at different times. Within the parameters set forth in the requirement, there is a large variety of tools which the management program could adopt for controlling land and water uses. The program should identify the techniques for control of land and water uses which it intends to use for existing, projected and potential uses within the coastal zone. This requirement will be reviewed in close conjunction with those contained in §§ 923.21, 923.24 and 923.25, dealing with State authorities to implement the management program.

Subpart D—Coordination

§ 923.30 General.

One of the most critical aspects of the development of State coastal zone management programs will be the ability of the States to deal fully with the network of public, quasi-public and private bodies which can assist in the development process and which may be significantly impacted by the implementation of the program. Each State will have to develop its own methods for accommodating, as appropriate, the varying, often conflicting interests of local governments, water and air pollution control agencies, regional agencies, other State agencies and bodies, interstate organizations, commissions and compacts, the Federal government and interested private bodies. It is the intent of these requirements for coordination with governmental and private bodies to assure that the State, in developing its management program, is aware of the full array of interests represented by such organizations, that opportunity for participation was provided, and that adequate consultation and cooperation with such bodies has taken place and will continue in the future.

§ 923.31 Full participation by relevant bodies in the adoption of management programs.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in section 306(c)(1), the management program must show evidence that:

(1) The management program has been formally adopted in accordance with State law or, in its absence, administrative regulations;

(2) The State has notified and provided an opportunity for full participation in the development of its management program to all public and private agencies and organizations which are liable to be affected by, or may have a direct interest in, the management program. The submission of the management program shall be accompanied by a list identifying the agencies and organizations referred to in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the nature of their interest, and the opportunities afforded such agencies and organizations to participate in the development of the management program. These organizations should include those identified pursuant to § 923.32, which have developed local, areawide or interstate plans applicable to an area within the coastal zone of the State as of January 1 of the year in which the management program is submitted for approval; and

(3) The management program will carry out the policies enumerated in section 303 of the Act.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(1):

Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * (t)he State has developed and adopted a management program for its coastal zone in accordance with rules and regulations promulgated by the Secretary, after notice, and with the opportunity of full participation by relevant Federal agencies, State agencies, local governments, regional organizations, port authorities, and other interested parties, public and private, which is adequate to carry out the purposes of this title and is consistent with the policy declared in section 303 of this title.

This requirement embodies the actual approval by the Secretary of Commerce of a State's coastal zone management program pursuant to all of the terms of the Act, plus associated administrative rules and regulations. As the operative section, it subsumes all of the requirements included in this part, which shall be considered the "rules and regulations promulgated by the Secretary" mentioned in section 306(c)(1). The citation, however, also includes some specific additional requirements, for which guidance and performance criteria are necessary. These additional requirements include:

(1) Adoption of the management program by the State. The management program must demonstrate that it represents the official policy and objectives of the State. In general, this will require

documentation in the management program that the State management entity has formally adopted the management program in accordance with either the rules and procedures established by statute, or in the absence of such law, administrative regulations.

(2) Opportunity for full participation by relevant Federal agencies, State agencies, local governments, regional organizations, port authorities, and other interested parties, public and private. A major thrust of the Act is its concern for full participation and cooperation in the development and implementation of management programs by all interested and affected agencies, organizations and individuals. This is specifically included in the statement of national policy in section 303(c). The State must provide evidence that the listed agencies and parties were, in fact, provided with an opportunity for full participation. It will be left to the States to determine the method and form of such evidence, but it should contain at a minimum:

(i) A listing, as comprehensive as possible, of all Federal and State agencies, local governments, regional organizations, port authorities and public and private organizations which are likely to be affected by, or have a direct interest in, the development and implementation of a management program (including those identified in § 923.32), and

(ii) A listing of the specific interests of such organizations in the development of the management program, as well as an identification of the efforts made to involve such bodies in the development process.

(a) "Opportunity for full participation" is interpreted as requiring participation at all appropriate stages of management program development. The assistance which can be provided by these public and private organizations can often be significant, and therefore contact with them should be viewed not only as a requirement for approval, but as an opportunity for tapping available sources of information for program development. Early and continuing contact with these agencies and organizations is both desirable and necessary. In many cases it may be difficult or impossible to identify all interested parties early in the development of the State's program. However, the public hearing requirement of § 923.41 should afford an opportunity to participate to interested persons and organizations whose interest was not initially noted.

(3) Consistency with the policy declared in section 303 of the Act. In order to facilitate this review, the State's management program must indicate specifically how the program will carry out the policies enumerated in section 303.

§ 923.32 Consultation and coordination with other planning.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirements contained in section 306(c)(2), the management program must include:

(1) An identification of those entities mentioned which have plans in effect on January 1 of the year submitted,

(2) A listing of the specific contacts made with all such entities in order to coordinate the management program with their plans,

(3) An identification of the conflicts with those plans which have not been resolved through coordination, and continuing actions contemplated to attempt to resolve them, and

(4) Indication that a regular consultive mechanism has been established and is active, to undertake coordination between the single State agency designated pursuant to § 923.23, and the entities in paragraph (B) of Section 306(c)(2).

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(2):

"Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find * * * that the State has:

(A) Coordinated its program with local, areawide and interstate plans applicable to areas within the coastal zone existing on January 1 of the year in which the State's management program is submitted to the Secretary, which plans have been developed by a local government, an areawide agency designated pursuant to regulations established under section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, a regional agency, or an interstate agency; and

(B) Established an effective mechanism for continuing consultation and coordination between the management agency designated pursuant to paragraph (5) of this subsection and with local governments, interstate agencies, regional agencies and areawide agencies within the coastal zone to assure the full participation of such local governments and agencies in carrying out the purposes of this title."

Relevant background information on this requirement appears in 15 CFR 920.45(f), and is incorporated by reference herein. While the State will exercise its authority over land and water uses of Statewide significance in the coastal zone by one or more of the techniques set forth in § 923.28, the State management program must be coordinated with existing plans applicable to portions of the coastal zone. It should be noted that this section does not demand compliance of the State program with local plans, but the process envisioned should enable a State not only to avoid conflicts and ambiguities among plans and proposals, but to draw upon the planning capabilities of a wide variety of governments and agencies. Coordination implies a high degree of cooperation and consultation among agencies, as well as a mutual willingness on the part of the participants to accommodate their activities to the needs of the others in order to carry out the public interest. Perceptions of the public good will differ and it is recognized that not all real or potential conflicts can be resolved by this process. Nevertheless, it is a necessary step. Effective cooperation and consultation must continue as the management program is put into operation so that local governments, interstate, regional and areawide agencies can continue to participate in the carrying out of the management program. The "plans" referred to in (A) shall be considered those which have been officially adopted by the entity which developed them, or which are commonly recognized by the entity as a guide for action. The list of relevant agencies required under § 923.31 will be of use in meeting this requirement. It will enable the State to identify those entities mentioned in (A) which have such plans and to provide evidence that coordination with them has taken place. The process envisioned should not only enable a State to avoid conflicts between its program and other plans applying within its coastal zone, but to draw upon the planning capabilities of a wide variety of local governments and other agencies. In developing and implementing those portions of the program dealing with power transmission lines, pipelines, interstate transportation facilities and other facilities which will significantly impact on neighboring States of a region, particular attention should be paid to the requirements of this section.

Subpart E—Miscellaneous

§ 923.40 General.

The requirements in this subpart do not fall readily into any of the above categories but deal with several important elements of an approvable management program. They deal with public hearings in development of the management program, gubernatorial review and approval, segmentation of State programs and applicability of water and air pollution control requirements.

§ 923.41 Public hearings.

(a) *Requirements.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in section 306(c)(3), the management program must show evidence that the State has held public hearings during the development of the management program following not less than 30 days notification, that all documents associated with the hearings are conveniently available to the public for review and study at least 30 days prior to the hearing, that the hearings are held in places and at times convenient to affected populations, that all citizens of the State have an opportunity to comment on the total management program and that a report on each hearing be prepared and made available to the public within 45 days.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(3):

Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * (t)he State has held public hearings on the development of the management program.

Extensive discussion and statements of policy regarding this requirement appears in §§ 920.30, 920.31 and 920.32, which is incorporated herein by reference.

§ 923.42 Gubernatorial review and approval.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirement contained in section 306(c)(4), the management program must contain a certification signed by the Governor of the coastal State to the effect that he has reviewed and approved the management program and any amendments thereto. Certification may be omitted in

the case of a program submitted for preliminary approval.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(c)(4):

> Prior to granting approval of a management program submitted by a coastal State, the Secretary shall find that * * * the management program and any changes thereto have been reviewed and approved by the Governor.

This requirement is self-explanatory.

§ 923.43 Segmentation.

(a) *Requirement.* If the State intends to develop and adopt its management program in two or more segments, it shall advise the Secretary as early as practicable stating the reasons why segmentation is appropriate and requesting his approval. Each segment of a management program developed by segments must show evidence (1) that the State will exercise policy control over each of the segmented management programs prior to, and following their integration into a complete State management program, such evidence to include completion of the requirements of § 923.11 (Boundaries of the coastal zone) and § 923.15 (National interest in the siting of facilities) for the State's entire coastal zone, (2) that the segment submitted for approval includes a geographic area on both sides of the coastal land-water interface, and (3) that a timetable and budget have been established for the timely completion of the remaining segments or segment.

(b) *Comment.* Statutory citation: Section 306(h):

> At the discretion of the State and with the approval of the Secretary, a management program may be developed and adopted in segments so that immediate attention may be devoted to those areas within the coastal zone which most urgently need management programs: *Provided*, That, the State adequately provides for the ultimate coordination of the various segments of the management program into a single, unified program, and that the unified program will be completed as soon as reasonably practicable.

(1) This section of the Act reflects a recognition that it may be desirable for a State to develop and adopt its management program in segments rather than all at once because of a relatively long coastline, developmental pressures or public support in specific areas, or earlier regional management programs developed and adopted. It is important to note, however, that the ultimate objective of segmentation is completion of a management program for the coastal zone of the entire State in a timely fashion. Segmentation is at the State's option, but requires the approval of the Secretary. States should notify the Secretary at as early a date as possible regarding intention to prepare a management program in segments.

(2) Continuing involvement at the State as well as local level in the development and implementation of segmented programs is essential. This emphasis on State participation and coordination with the program as a whole should be reflected in the individual segments of a management program. Regional agencies and local governments may play a large role in developing and carrying out such segmented programs, but there must be a continuing State voice throughout this process. This State involvement shall be expressed in the first segment of the management program in the form of evidence that (i) the boundaries of the coastal zone for the entire State have been defined (pursuant to § 923.11) and (ii) there has been adequate consideration of the national interest involved in the siting of facilities necessary to meet requirements which are other than local in nature (pursuant to § 923.15) for the State's entire coastal zone. These requirements are designed to assure that the development of a Statewide coastal zone management program proceeds in an orderly fashion and that segmented programs reflect accurately the needs and capabilities of the State's entire coastal zone which are represented in that particular segment.

(3) The Act's intent of encouraging and assisting State governments to develop a comprehensive program for the control of land and water uses in the coastal zone is clear. This intent should therefore apply to segments as well, and segmented management programs should be comprehensive in nature and deal with the relationship between and among land and water uses. No absolute minimum or maximum geographic size limitations will be established for the area of coverage of a segment. On the one hand, segments should include an area large enough to permit comprehensive analyses of the attributes and limitations of coastal resources within the segment of State needs for the utilization or protection of these resources and of the interrelationships of such utilizations. On the other hand, it is not contemplated that a segmented management program will be developed solely for the purpose of protecting or controlling a single coastal resource or use, however desirable that may be.

(4) One of the distinguishing features of a coastal zone management program is its recognition of the relationship between land uses and their effect upon coastal waters, and vice versa. Segments should likewise recognize this relationship between land and water by including at least the dividing line between them, plus the lands or waters on either side which are mutually affected. In the case of a segment which is predominantly land, the boundaries shall include those waters which are directly and significantly impacted by land uses in the segment. Where the predominant part of the segment is water, the boundaries shall include the adjacent shorelands strongly influenced by the waters, including at least transitional and inter-tidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands and beaches (or similar such areas in Great Lake States).

(5) Segmented management programs submitted for approval will be reviewed and approved in exactly the same manner as programs for complete coastal zones, utilizing the same approval criteria, plus those of this section.

§ 923.44 Applicability of air and water pollution control requirements.

(a) *Requirement.* In order to fulfill the requirements contained in Section 307(f) of the Act the management program must be developed in close coordination with the planning and regulatory systems being implemented under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and Clean Air Act, as amended, and be consistent with applicable State or Federal water and air pollution control standards in the coastal zone. Documentation by the official or officials responsible for State implementation of air and water pollution control activities that those requirements have been incorporated into the body of the coastal zone management program should accompany submission of the management program.

(b) *Comment:* Statutory citation: Section 307(f):

> Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, nothing in this title shall in any way affect any requirement (1) established by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, or the Clean Air Act, as amended, or (2) established by the Federal government, or any State or local government pursuant to such Acts. Such requirements shall be incorporated in any program developed pursuant to this title, and shall be the water pollution control requirements and air pollution control requirements applicable to such program.

(1) The basic purpose of this requirement is to ensure that the management program does not conflict with the national and State policies, plans and regulations mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, and the Clean Air Act as amended. The policies and standards adopted pursuant to these Acts should be considered essential baselines against which the overall management program is developed. This is a specific statutory requirement that reflects the overall coastal zone management objective of unified state management of environmental laws, regulations and applicable standards. To this end, management programs should provide for continuing coordination and cooperation with air and water programs during subsequent administration of the approved management program.

(2) There are also significant opportunities for developing working relationships between air and water quality agencies and coastal zone management programs. These opportunities include such activities as joint development of Section 208 areawide waste treatment management planning and coastal zone management programs; consolidation and/or incorporation of various planning and regulatory elements into these closely related programs; coordination of monitoring and evaluation activities; increased management attention being accorded specifically to the coastal waters; consultation concerning the desirability of adjusting state water quality standards and criteria to complement coastal zone management policies; and designation of areas of particular concern or priority uses.

Subpart F—Applications for Administrative Grants

§ 923.50 General.

The primary purpose of administrative grants made under section 306 of the Act is to assist the States to implement coastal zone management programs following their approval by the Secretary of Commerce. The purpose of these guidelines is to define clearly the processes by which grantees apply for and administer grants under the Act. These guidelines shall be used and interpreted in conjunction with the Grants Management Manual for Grants under the Coastal Zone Management Act, hereinafter referred to as the "Manual." This Manual contains procedures and guidelines for the administration of all grants covered under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. It has been designed as a tool for grantees, although it addresses the responsibilities of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and its Office of Coastal Zone Management, which is responsible for administering programs under the Act. The Manual incorporates a wide range of Federal requirements, including those established by the Office of Management and Budget, the General Services Administration, the Department of the Treasury, the General Accounting Office and the Department of Commerce. In addition to specific policy requirements of these agencies, the Manual includes recommended policies and procedures for grantees to use in submitting a grant application. Inclusion of recommended policies and procedures for grantees does not limit the choice of grantees in selecting those most useful and applicable to local requirements and conditions.

§ 923.51 Administration of the program.

The Congress assigned the responsibility for the administration of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 to the Secretary of Commerce, who has designated the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) as the agency in the Department of Commerce to manage the program. NOAA has established the Office of Coastal Zone Management for this purpose. Requests for information on grant applications and the applications themselves should be directed to:

Director, Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM)
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce
Rockville, Maryland 20852

§ 923.52 State responsibility.

(a) The application shall contain a designation by the Governor of a coastal State of a single agency to receive and have fiscal and programmatic responsibility for administering grants to implement the approved management program.

(b) A single State application will cover all program management elements, whether carried out by State agencies, areawide/regional agencies, local governments, interstate or other entities.

§ 923.53 Allocation.

Section 306(f) allows a State to allocate a portion of its administrative grant to sub-State or multi-State entities if the work to result from the allocation contributes to the effective implementation of the State's approved coastal zone management program. The requirements for identifying such allocations are set forth in § 923.55(e).

§ 923.54 Geographical segmentation.

Authority is provided in the Act for a State's management program to be developed and adopted in segments. Additional criteria for the approval of a segmented management program are set forth in Subpart E § 923.43. Application procedures for an administrative grant to assist in administering an approved segmented management program will be the same as set forth in this subpart for applications to administer an approved management program for the entire coastal zone of a State.

§ 923.55 Application for the initial administrative grant.

(a) The Form CD-288, Preapplication for Federal Assistance, required only for the initial grant, must be submitted 120 days prior to the beginning date of the requested grant. The preapplication shall include documentation, signed by the Governor, designating the State office, agency or entity to apply for and administer the grant. Copies of the approved management program are not required. The preapplication form may be submitted prior to the Secretary's approval of the applicant's management program provided, after consultation with OCZM, approval is anticipated within 60 days of submittal of the preapplication.

(b) All applications are subject to the provisions of OMB Circular A-95 (revised). The Form CD-288, Preapplication for Federal Assistance, will be transmitted to the appropriate clearinghouses at the time it is submitted to the Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM). If the application is determined to be Statewide or broader in nature, a statement to that effect shall be attached to the Preapplication form submitted to OCZM. Such a determination does not preclude the State clearinghouse from involving areawide clearinghouses in the review. In any event, whether the application is considered to be Statewide or not, the Preapplication form shall include an attachment indicating the date copies of the Preapplication form were transmitted to the State clearinghouse and if applicable, the identity of the areawide clearinghouse(s) receiving copies of the Preapplication form and the date(s) transmitted. The Preapplication form may be used to meet the project notification and review requirements of OMB Circular A-95 with the concurrence of the appropriate clearinghouses. In the absence of such concurrence the project notification and review procedures, established State and areawide clearinghouses, should be implemented simultaneously with the distribution of the preapplication form.

(c) Costs claimed as charges to the grant project must be beneficial and necessary to the objectives of the grant project. The allowability of costs will be determined in accordance with the provisions of FMC 74-4. Administrative grants made under section 306(a) of the Act are clearly intended to assist the States in administering their approved management programs. Such intent precludes tasks and related costs for long range research and studies. Nevertheless it is recognized that the coastal zone and its management is a dynamic and evolving process wherein experience may reveal the need for specially focused, short-term studies, leading to improved management processes and techniques. The OCZM will consider such tasks and their costs, based upon demonstrated need and expected contribution to more effective management programs.

(d) The Form CD-292, Application for Federal Assistance (Non-Construction Programs), constitutes the formal application and must be submitted 60 days prior to the desired grant beginning date. The application must be accompanied by evidence of compliance with A-95 requirements including the resolution of any problems raised by the proposed project. The OCZM will not accept applications substantially deficient in adherence to A-95 requirements.

(e) The State's work program implementing the approved management program is to be set forth in Part IV, Program Narrative, of the Form CD-292 and must describe the work to be accomplished during the grant period. The work program should include:

(1) An identification of those elements of the approved management program that are to be supported all or in part by the grant and the matching share, hereinafter called the grant project. In any event, activities related to the establishment and implementation of State responsibilities pursuant to Section 307 (c)(3) and Section 307(d) of the Act, are to be included in the grant project.

(2) A precise statement of the major tasks required to implement each element.

(3) For each task, the following should be specified:

(i) A concise statement of how each task will accomplish all or part of the program element to which it is related. Identify any other State, areawide, regional or interstate agencies or local governments that will be allocated responsibility for carrying out all or portions of the task. Indicate the estimated cost of the subcontract/grant for each allocation.

(ii) For each task indicate the estimated total cost. Also indicate the estimated total man-months, if any, allocated to the task from the applicant's in-house staff.

(iii) For each task, list the estimated cost using the object class categories 6.a. through k., Part III, Section B—Budget Categories of Form CD-292.

(4) The sum of all the task costs in sub-paragraph (3) of this paragraph should equal the total estimated grant project costs.

(5) Using two categories, Professional and Clerical, indicate the total number of personnel in each category on the applicant's in-house staff, that will be assigned to the grant project. Additionally indicate the number assigned full time and the number assigned less than full time in the two categories.

(6) An identification of those management program elements, if any, that will not be supported by the grant project, and how they will be implemented.

§ 923.56 Approval of applications.

(a) The application for an administrative grant of any coastal State with a management program approved by the Secretary of Commerce, which complies with the policies and requirements of the Act and these guidelines, shall be approved by OCZM, assuming available funding.

(b) Should an application be found deficient, OCZM will notify the applicant in writing, setting forth in detail the manner in which the application fails to conform to the requirements of the Act or this subpart. Conferences may be held on these matters. Corrections or adjustments to the application will provide the basis for resubmittal of the application for further consideration and review.

(c) OCZM may, upon finding of extenuating circumstances relating to applications for assistance, waive appropriate administrative requirements contained herein.

§ 923.57 Amendments.

Amendments to an approved application must be submitted to, and approved by, the Secretary prior to initiation of the change contemplated. Requests for substantial changes should be discussed with OCZM well in advance. It is recognized that, while all amendments must be approved by OCZM, most such requests will be relatively minor in scope; therefore, approval may be presumed for minor amendments if the State has not been notified of objections within 30 working days of date of postmark of the request.

§ 923.58 Applications for second and subsequent year grants.

(a) Second and subsequent year applications will follow the procedures set forth in this subpart, with the following exceptions:

(1) The preapplication form may be used at the option of the applicant. If used, the procedures set forth in § 923.55 (b) will be followed and the preapplication is to be submitted 120 days prior to the beginning date of the requested grant. If the preapplication form is not used, the A-95 project notification and review procedures established by State and areawide clearinghouses should be followed.

(2) The application must contain a statement by the Governor of the coastal State or his designee that the management program as approved earlier by the Secretary of Commerce, with any approved amendments, is operative and has not been materially altered. This statement will provide the basis for an annual OCZM certification that the approved management program remains in effect, thus fulfilling, in part, the requirements of section 309(a) for a continuing review of management programs.

(3) The Governor's document designating the applicant agency is not required, unless there has been a change of designation.

(4) Copies of the approved management program or approved amendments thereto are not required.

[FR Doc.75-738 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 17—Commodities and Securities Exchange

CHAPTER II—SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release Nos. 33-5552, 34-11156]

PART 231—INTERPRETATIVE RELEASES RELATING TO THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 AND GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS THEREUNDER

PART 241—INTERPRETATIVE RELEASES RELATING TO THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AND GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS THEREUNDER

Gold Offerings

The Securities and Exchange Commission today made public the text of three no-action letters issued by its Division of Corporation Finance with respect to certain proposed arrangements for the sale of gold bullion. Restrictions on the private ownership of gold by United States citizens are due to be terminated on December 31, 1974, and the Commission has received numerous informal inquiries regarding the applicability of the Federal securities laws to various arrangements for the sale of gold to public investors.

There are presently no regular markets in the United States for dealings in gold by ordinary investors nor are there any established procedures or practices for handling such transactions. Consequently, there are great uncertainties about the application of the federal securities laws to gold investment plans. It is not possible to resolve these uncertainties at the present time. And, the Commission is aware that the sale of gold involves questions of national financial and economic policy not presented by the sale of interests in other commodities. These are policies as to which the Commission has no expertise and which it does not wish to disrupt, at least in the absence of further information and experience concerning the needs of investors. There appears, however, to be considerable interest on the part of broker-dealers and others in offering plans for the purchase of gold to investors. Consistent with its intention not to disrupt the establishment of national economic and financial policies, the Commission believes that its staff should provide such guidance as is possible under the circumstances, and, for that purpose, is publishing three no-action letters stating the enforcement position of the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance.

The texts of the letters, with identifying details deleted, are as follows:

LETTER No. 1

This is with reference to your letter, dated December 17, 1974, requesting, among other things, an interpretation as to the applicability of the Securities Act of 1933 to proposed plans of X National Bank ("X") to sell gold bullion, after December 31, 1974, to individual purchasers.

We understand the facts, which are set forth more fully in your letter, to be as follows. X will sell gold bullion cast in various size ingots and bars at a price equal to X's "asked price" as a gold bullion dealer plus a mark-up which is estimated to be ½ percent for registered broker-dealers and between 2-3 percent for individual purchasers. A buyer from X may either take physical delivery of the gold bullion purchased or may request that such bullion be held for safekeeping and storage in one of X's gold depositories which are expected to be established in the United States and Switzerland. X intends to enter into an agreement with each such depository which will provide either that (i) the depository will hold specifically identified bars or ingots of gold for X, maintain insurance covering such gold at prescribed limits and deliver gold bullion upon request by X or the owner of the gold or (ii) the depository will lease a portion of its vault directly to X which will handle such insurance and delivery functions. If the buyer's gold bullion is to be stored by X at its depositories, X will issue in registered form to the buyer a Gold Bullion Safekeeping Certificate ("Safekeeping Certificate") indicating the number of ounces purchased by the buyer. The buyer will be required to pay in advance the annual storage cost of the buyer's gold bullion plus a fixed fee of $5 per certificate. Upon presentment of the Safekeeping Certificate for delivery of the gold bullion, X will arrange to deliver gold bars or ingots in sizes selected by the Certificate holder.

The holder of a Safekeeping Certificate may demand delivery of the gold bullion represented by such Certificate at any time by (a) presenting the Certificate to X in New York City or to one of X's depositories listed on the Certificate, (b) payment of any unpaid storage charges, (c) payment of a gold bar or ingot fee in accordance with a fixed schedule, (d) payment of any applicable sales taxes and (e) payment of applicable shipping charges. The bar or ingot fees shall be based on the value of gold bullion set at the 3:00 p.m., London "fixing" on the day immediately preceding the day upon which delivery is requested. X and its depositories may not maintain substantial supplies of all sizes of bars and ingots. Accordingly, while it is expected that 400 ounce bars always will be deliverable upon demand, X may reserve the right to make deliveries of other size bars and ingots within several days after demand.

X will have no obligation to repurchase any gold bullion sold by it or any Safekeeping Certificates issued by it. X intends, however, to continue to act as a gold dealer and accordingly expects to continue to make bids on gold bullion offered for sale in the gold market, including offers of gold bullion by holders of Safekeeping Certificates. Such trading activities will be conducted as and to the extent deemed advisable by X in light of existing gold market conditions.

X will act for its own account in all sales of gold bullion, and will either deliver gold

bullion from its gold inventory or will purchase gold in the market within two business days from the time of sale. X does not contemplate providing potential gold purchasers with investment advice or consultation with regard to the advisability of investing in gold, the timing of investment in gold or any other matters regarding the purchase or sale of gold (although advertisements and brochures regarding the availability of X's services will be published). All sales of gold bullion will be made on a cash basis and X does not presently contemplate providing any financing in connection with purchases of gold bullion from it.

X will sell gold bullion to individual purchasers either directly through offices of X or through registered broker-dealers. X presently intends to enter into an agreement with a broker-dealer pursuant to which the broker-dealer will make purchases from X on behalf of its customers. Such agreement may be on an exclusive basis for a limited period of time. It is expected that broker-dealers will charge commissions on sales by them to their customers, but X expects that buyers of gold will pay the same price whether they purchase through broker-dealers or directly from X.

Orders received directly from purchasers of gold will be executed as received, with settlement to be completed by delivery of the gold or the Safekeeping Certificate against payment by the purchaser on the second business day after execution of the order. Similarly, orders received by X from registered broker-dealers will be executed as received, also with two-day settlement. Upon settlement the broker-dealer will deliver to X a check in the aggregate amount due for all of such broker-dealer's orders which are to be settled on such day, and X will (unless otherwise instructed) deliver to the broker-dealer a single Safekeeping Certificate registered in such broker's names which represents the aggregate number of ounces of gold bullion for which settlement has been made. If customers of the broker-dealer request delivery of gold bars, on the settlement day the bars will be shipped directly to the customers. The broker-dealer or its customers may deliver their Safekeeping Certificates to X at any time in order to have the Certificates registered in different names or issued in smaller denominations. X expects to handle the transfer and registration of Safekeeping Certificates through one of its internal bank departments.

While it is not entirely clear from the facts presented, we assume that in every case an investor will be sold either specific identified gold bars or an undivided but specific interest in bars or ingots of gold and that the investor's interest may not be encumbered by action of either the seller or the depository. On that basis and on the basis of the other facts presented, this Division would not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if X engages in the activities described in your letter without compliance with the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

LETTER No. 2

This is with reference to your letters of August 28 and December 2, 1974, requesting an interpretation, among other things, of the applicability of the Securities Act of 1933 to the proposed operations of Y, a retail gold dealer.

We understand the facts to be as follows. Y proposes to operate as a retail gold dealer. Y would advertise in newspapers and magazines of general circulation, wherein it would offer to purchase gold on behalf of any member of the public from an exchange, from a wholesale dealer, or from a bank at a price equal to the current price of gold. Y will use its best efforts to purchase and sell gold at least once daily on any day on which an order and payment therefore is received. Purchases and sales by Y will be on a net basis based upon total purchase and sale orders received during that day or during the prior business day. Each initial purchase would be required to be at least $1,000 and all subsequent purchases at least $500.

Y believes that a substantial number of purchasers of gold will not desire actual delivery of gold. Y, therefore, proposes to deposit the gold, which purchasers do not want delivered, in a vault at a major bank or banks and to deliver each such purchaser a gold custody receipt ("Receipt"). Each Receipt would represent an undivided but specific interest in the gold in Troy ounces held for the account of the purchaser. A storage fee would be charged on an annual basis to each purchaser for maintaining his gold.

Receipts would be in non-negotiable form for the protection of purchasers. Receipts could be sold, transferred or assigned only by delivery of the Receipt to Y or a bank serving as Transfer Agent with instructions as to transfer signed by the purchaser with his signature guaranteed. A Receipt could be exchanged at any time for the amount of gold represented by the Receipt less a nominal delivery fee. A purchaser wishing to sell some or all of the gold represented by the Receipt would deliver his Receipt of Y with instructions as to the amount of gold to be sold. As previously stated, Y will purchase or sell gold on a net basis on commodity exchanges or through wholesale dealers at least once daily and the purchaser would receive a dollar amount equal to the price for gold obtained by Y following receipt of the Receipt and the instructions to sell, less a stated commission payable to Y. Y will use its best efforts to sell gold at least once daily on any day on which a properly submitted request to sell is received by Y; however, Y will not guarantee a resale market.

Y would act primarily as an agent in executing purchase and sale orders for gold. It expects that it would maintain a small inventory of gold bullion primarily for the purpose of rounding out orders. Y will not provide gold purchasers with investment advice.

While it is not entirely clear from the facts presented we assume that the undivided but specific interest in gold purchased by the investor may not be encumbered by action of either the seller or the depository. On that basis and on the basis of the other facts presented this Division would not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if Y engages in the activities described in your letter without compliance with the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

LETTER No. 3

This is with reference to your letter of December 26, 1974 concerning the intention of Z, a registered broker-dealer and member of the New York Stock Exchange, to sell gold bullion to its customers on or after December 31, 1974, without registration under the Securities Act of 1933.

We understand the facts, which are set forth more fully in your letter, to be as follows. Z, as principal, proposes to offer and sell gold bullion to its customers in minimum quantities of five troy ounces. All such gold bullion transactions will be based upon the opening price of the London Gold Market at 5:30 A.M. EST (the "London Fixing") on the day after the entry of the order by a customer of Z, plus a fixed charge for such purchases or sales.

Z will effect transactions in gold bullion for two types of customers—retail customers who purchase for their own account and wholesale customers who purchase for resale. Z will offer either type of customer the choice of (i) accepting delivery of the gold, or (ii) having the gold held in the customer's account with a reliable custodian in London. Each customer who elects to have his gold held in safekeeping will have an undivided interest, to the extent of the amount of gold he has purchased, in specifically identified gold bars. The gold bars will be stored in bulk segregation for the benefit of Z's customers in a manner so as to assure customers that it is free from the claims of any creditors of the custodian or of Z. Z has represented that a customer's right to obtain delivery of his gold will not depend upon the continued solvency of Z. The gold bars will be insured, and Z's customers will not be charged any custodian or storage fees for gold held in their accounts until after December 31, 1975.

Upon execution of his order, a customer will be sent the same form of confirmation as for any other commodity transaction as well as a disclosure statement for gold purchases as required by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. The customer will receive no certificate or evidence of ownership other than the Z confirmation, which will describe the details of his particular transaction. A separate monthly or quarterly statement will be issued to those customers who store gold with the custodian. The Z confirmation is not a negotiable document of title.

Z intends to stand ready to repurchase from any customer the same gold purchased by such customer from Z at the London Fixing price on the business day following the entry of the sell order, less Z's applicable charges in effect at the time. The repurchase will be contingent on Z being able to execute the order at the London Fixing price on the business day following its entry, and on the continuing acquiescence in public purchases of gold by the United States Government or governments with jurisdiction over the major market on which public orders can be executed. Z will make no guarantee of the price at which the gold will be repurchased from a customer, or that there will be a market for the gold proposed to be sold. There is no other return or other inducement to a customer in the nature of a separate benefit apart from the ownership of the gold bullion.

Z's only function in connection with gold transactions will be to execute purchase and sale orders as principal at the price previously indicated. However, Z will form an opinion as to whether or not a purchase of gold bullion is suitable for a particular customer in accordance with the requirements of Rule 405 of the New York Stock Exchange. The Z research department will prepare, and continually update, an opinion indicating its views on whether gold will increase or decrease in price in the future. This opinion will be made known to customers, but the choice of when, whether and at what price to purchase or sell gold will be made solely by the individual customer.

On the basis of the facts presented, this Division would not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if Z engages in the activities described in your letter without compliance with the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

In determining to take a no-action position with respect to the activities described in the foregoing letters, the Division took note, inter alia, of certain facts common to these proposals.

(1) It does not appear that, in the gold investment program described in these letters, the economic benefits to the purchaser are to be derived from the managerial efforts of the seller, promoter, or a third party.

(2) It does not appear that the services to be offered in connection with these

offers to sell gold rise to the level of being those essential managerial efforts upon which the purchaser must rely in order to make a profit from his purchase. In this regard:

a. The purchaser will pay full value in cash for the gold purchased and purchases will not be made on margin.

b. The depository arrangement is limited to the storage of the gold with a reputable storage facility, insurance against loss or theft from the storage facility, and the issuance of a document which would evidence the right of the purchaser, or his successors and assigns to take possession of the gold; and

c. Neither X, Y, Z, nor anyone acting on their behalf has any obligation to repurchase the gold or ownership documents from the purchaser, nor to sell such gold or ownership documents for the purchaser's account, but they may repurchase the gold at the then prevailing market price.

The arrangements described in the foregoing no-action letters are only three of a number of proposals for the public offering and sale of gold which have been brought to the attention of the Commission. Some of these appear to involve the offering of a security and others do not. This would depend upon all the facts of a particular case, and variations in the facts of such cases may lead to different results. Accordingly, if a company wishes to file a registration statement, the Division will be available to provide assistance on any questions which might arise in connection with the preparation of a registration statement.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

DECEMBER 26, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-733 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 26—Internal Revenue

CHAPTER I—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

SUBCHAPTER A—INCOME TAX

[T.D. 7343]

PART 1—INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1953

Limitations on Carryovers of Unused Credits and Capital Losses

By a notice of proposed rulemaking appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER for Wednesday, October 16, 1974 (39 FR 36968), an amendment to the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 1) under section 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 was proposed in order to conform such regulations to the provisions of section 302 of the Revenue Act of 1971 (85 Stat. 521), relating to limitations on the carryover of unused credits and capital losses. On Monday, November 4, 1974 (39 FR 38906) and on Friday, November 8, 1974 (39 FR 39560) notices of correction were published with respect to the notice of proposed rulemaking in order to delete certain material which was erroneously printed and to correct typographical errors. After consideration of all such relevant matter as was presented by interested persons regarding the rules proposed, the amendment of the regulations as proposed is adopted by this document without change.

Section 383 provides that the limitations contained in section 382, which apply to the carryover of net operating losses of a corporation, shall also apply to the carryover of any unused investment credit of the corporation which may otherwise be carried forward under section 46(b), any unused work incentive program credit of the corporation which may otherwise be carried forward under section 50A(b), any unused foreign taxes of the corporation which may otherwise be carried forward under section 904(d), and any net capital losses of the corporation which may otherwise be carried forward under section 1212.

Section 382 imposes two separate limitations on the carryover of a corporation's net operating losses. Section 382(a) provides for the complete elimination of all net operating loss carryovers, if at the end of a taxable year, there has been a change in the ownership and business of the corporation occurring in a specified manner. Section 382(b) provides for a percentage reduction of the net operating loss carryovers of a corporation which may otherwise be carried forward to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer, if, as a result of a reorganization described in section 381(a)(2), the former stockholders of the corporation own, immediately after the reorganization, less than 20 percent of the fair market value of the outstanding stock of the acquiring corporation.

The purpose of the amendment is to provide rules to govern the manner in which the limitations provided in section 382(a) and section 382(b) are to apply to the carryover of the items listed in section 383. The regulations are divided into three sections. The first section (§ 1.383-1) provides general introductory material. The second section (§ 1.383-2) provides rules for applying the limitation provided in section 382(a) to the items listed in section 383, and the last section (§ 1.383-3) provides rules for applying the limitation provided in section 382(b). The regulations are based principally on the regulations under section 382 and incorporate by reference those provisions of the regulations which apply in the same manner to the carryover of the items listed in section 383.

Since the limitation provided in section 382(a) on the carryover of net operating losses applies in the same manner and without need for modification to the carryover of each of the items listed in section 383, the regulations under section 382(a) have been incorporated by reference into the regulations under section 383 to govern the manner in which such limitation is to apply.

The regulations apply the principles of § 1.382(b)-1 (relating to the limitation on the carryover of net operating losses) for purposes of determining the manner in which the limitation provided in section 382(b) is to apply to the carryover of the items listed in section 383, subject however, to the following modifications.

The regulations contain a rule which insures that where carryovers to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer are reduced under section 382(b), the amount of the reduction will be properly taken into account in computing carryovers to subsequent taxable years. This rule provides that if the limitation of section 382(b) applies, then the amount of the reduction so computed shall be applied against and eliminate the oldest carryovers, whether of the transferor corporation or of the acquiring corporation, which may otherwise be carried to the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer.

The regulations contain a special rule in § 1.383-3 which provides that for purposes of applying the limitation of section 382(b) to the carryover of unused foreign taxes, the amount of the reduction shall be computed separately for each group of carryovers which are of the same origin. Also, in the absence of a provision in section 381(c) relating to unused foreign tax carryovers, a provision was added in the regulations (§ 1.383-3(b)(1)) to provide that in determining the amount of unused foreign tax of a transferor corporation which may be taken into account by an acquiring corporation in a reorganization described in section 381(a)(2), the provisions of § 1.381(c)(23)-1 (relating to the carryover of unused investment credits) shall apply.

The regulations provide that the limitation contained in section 382(a) shall apply to the carryover of the items listed in section 383 only in the case of a change in ownership occurring after December 10, 1971, pursuant to a contract entered into on or after September 29, 1971. For purposes of this rule only increases in stock ownership occurring after December 10, 1971, are counted in determining whether a change in ownership has occurred. Similarly, the limitation contained in section 382(b) shall apply only with respect to reorganizations occurring after December 10, 1971, pursuant to a plan of reorganization or a contract entered into on or after September 29, 1971.

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENT TO THE REGULATIONS

On Wednesday, October 16, 1974, notice of proposed rule making with respect to the amendment of the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 1) under section 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, in order to conform such regulations to the provisions of section 302 of the Revenue Act of 1971 (85 Stat. 521), relating to limitations on the carryover of unused credits and capital losses, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 36968). Notices of correction were published on Monday, November 4, 1974 (39 FR 38906), and on Friday, November 8, 1974 (39 FR 39560). After consideration of all such relevant matter as was presented by interested persons regarding the rules proposed, the amendment of

the regulations as proposed is hereby adopted without change.

(This Treasury decision is issued under the authority contained in section 383 (85 Stat. 521; 26 U.S.C. 383) and section 7805 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954).

[SEAL] DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: January 3, 1975.

ERNEST S. CHRISTIAN, Jr.,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

PARAGRAPH 1. The last sentence of paragraph (a) of § 1.381(a)–1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1.381(a)–1 General rule relating to carryovers in certain corporate acquisitions.

(a) *Allowance of carryovers.* * * * These items shall be taken into account by the acquiring corporation subject to the conditions and limitations specified in sections 381, 382(b), and 383 and the regulations thereunder.

* * * * *

PAR. 2. There are added immediately after § 1.382(c)–1 the following new sections:

§ 1.383 Statutory provisions; special limitations on carryovers of unused investment credits, work incentive program credits, foreign taxes, and capital losses.

SEC. 383. *Special limitations on carryovers of unused investment credits, work incentive program credits, foreign taxes, and capital losses.* If,

(1) The ownership and business of a corportation are changed in the manner described in section 382(a)(1), or

(2) In the case of a reorganization specified in paragraph (2) of section 381(a), there is a change in ownership described in section 382(b)(1)(B).

then the limitations provided in section 382 in such cases with respect to the carryover of net operating losses shall apply in the same manner, as provided under regulations prescribed by the Secretary or his delegate, with respect to any unused investment credit of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 46(b), to any unused work incentive program credit of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 50A(b), to any excess foreign taxes of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 904(d), and to any net capital loss of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 1212.

(Sec. 383 as added by sec. 302, Rev. Act 1971 (85 Stat. 521))

§ 1.383–1 Special limitations on carryovers of unused investment credits, work incentive program credits, foreign taxes, and capital losses.

Section 383 provides that if the ownership and business of a corporation are changed in the manner described in section 382(a)(1) or, in the case of a reorganization specified in section 381(a)(2), if there is a change in ownership described in section 382(b)(1)(B), then the limitations provided in section 382 in such cases with respect to the carryover of net operating losses shall apply in the same manner, as provided under regulations prescribed by the Secretary or his delegate, with respect to any unused investment credit of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 46(b), to any unused work incentive program credit of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 50A(b), to any unused foreign taxes of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 904(d), and to any net capital loss of the corporation which can otherwise be carried forward under section 1212. Sections 1.383–2 and 1.383–3 are prescribed pursuant to the authority granted the Secretary or his delegate by section 383 to prescribe regulations governing the manner in which the limitations provided in section 382 shall apply with respect to the above-mentioned items.

§ 1.383–2 Purchase of a corporation and change in its trade or business.

(a) *In general.* If the ownership and business of a corporation are changed in the manner described in section 382(a) and the regulations thereunder, then the limitation applicable in such cases to the carryover of the net operating losses of such corporation shall also apply to the carryover of the unused investment credits of such corporation which could otherwise be carried forward under section 46(b), the unused work incentive program credits of such corporation which could otherwise be carried forward under section 50A(b), the unused foreign taxes of such corporation which could otherwise be carried forward under section 904(d), and the net capital losses of such corporation which could otherwise be carried forward under section 1212. Thus, if the limitation provided in section 382(a) is applicable at the end of a corporation's taxable year, then all investment credit carryovers, all work incentive program credit carryovers, all unused foreign tax carryovers, and all capital loss carryovers from prior taxable years of such corporation are excluded in computing tax liability for such taxable year and for subsequent taxable years.

(b) *Effective date.* (1) The limitation provided in this section shall apply only with respect to changes in ownership occurring after December 10, 1971, pursuant to a contract entered into on or after September 29, 1971.

(2) For purposes of applying section 382(a) in determining whether the limitation provided in this section applies, the beginning of the taxable years specified in clauses (i) and (ii) of section 382(a)(1)(A) shall be the beginning of such taxable years or December 10, 1971, whichever occurs later. Thus, if X Corporation made its returns for 1971 and 1972 on the basis of the calendar year, then in determining whether section 382(a) would apply as of December 31, 1971, the beginning of the taxable years specified in clauses (i) and (ii) of section 382(a)(1)(A) would be December 10, 1971, and in determining whether section 382(a) would apply as of December 31, 1972, the beginning of the taxable year specified in clause (i) of section 382(a)(1)(A) would be January 1, 1972, and the beginning of the taxable year specified in clause (ii) of section 382(a)(1)(A) would be December 10, 1971.

§ 1.383–3 Change in ownership as the result of a reorganization.

(a) *In general.* (1) If, in the case of a reorganization specified in section 381(a)(2), (i) the transferor corporation or the acquiring corporation has an unused investment credit, an unused work incentive program credit, an unused foreign tax or a net capital loss which may be carried forward to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer, and (ii) as a result of the reorganization there is a change in ownership of such corporation within the meaning of section 382(b)(1)(B), then the limitation applicable in such cases to the carryover of the net operating losses of such corporation shall apply in the manner provided in this section to the carryover of any unused investment credits, any unused work incentive program credits, any unused foreign taxes, and any net capital losses of such corporation. Thus, if the limitation provided in section 382(b)(1) would apply in such a case to the net operating loss carryovers of a corporation (whether or not such corporation has any such carryovers), a similar limitation, computed with the modifications provided in this paragraph, shall apply to the investment credit carryovers, to the work incentive program credit carryovers, to the foreign tax carryovers, and to the capital loss carryovers of such corporation.

(2)(i) If there is a change in ownership of a corporation within the meaning of section 382(b)(1)(B), then the amount of the reduction provided in section 382(b)(1) shall be determined with respect to the total carryovers of such corporation from taxable years ending on or before the date of transfer which may otherwise be carried to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after such date. In such a case, for purposes of computing carryovers of the transferor and acquiring corporations from taxable years ending on or before the date of transfer to taxable years of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer, the amount of the reduction shall be applied against the earliest carryover, whether a carryover of the transferor corporation or of the acquiring corporation, which may otherwise be carried to the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer, then against the next earliest carryover which may otherwise be carried to such first taxable year, etc. To the extent of the amount of the reduction, such carryovers shall be eliminated and shall not be included in computing the total carryover to the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer or to subsequent taxable years.

(ii) For purposes of this subparagraph, if the date of transfer is on a day other

than the last day of a taxable year of the acquiring corporation, then the amount of the reduction shall be applied only against the carryovers of the transferor corporation or of the acquiring corporation which may be carried to the acquiring corporation's postacquisition part year under the principles of § 1.381(c)(23)–1(e) (relating to investment credit carryovers).

(iii) For purposes of this subparagraph, a carryover from a taxable year of the transferor corporation ending on or before the last day of a taxable year of the acquiring corporation shall be considered to be a carryover from a taxable year prior to such taxable year of the acquiring corporation.

(3) The provisions of paragraph (a)(2) of this section may be illustrated by the following example dealing with the carryover of unused investment credit:

Example. X Corporation and Y Corporation are organized on January 1, 1970, and each makes its return on the basis of the calendar year. On December 31, 1972, Y acquires the assets of X in a reorganization described in section 381(a)(2). Immediately after the reorganization, those persons who were stockholders of X immediately before the reorganization, as the result of owning stock of X, own 10 percent of the fair market value of the outstanding stock of Y, so that the investment credit carryovers of X as of the close of the date of transfer are reduced under section 382(b)(2) by 50 percent. The investment credit carryovers as of the close of the date of transfer of X Corporation and Y Corporation for taxable years 1970 through 1972 are as follows:

Investment credit carryovers from year(s) of origin

Taxable years	X Corporation (transferor)	Y Corporation (acquiring)
1970	$100	$100
1971	100	100
1972	500	200

For the first taxable year ending after the date of transfer, the acquiring corporation has an excess limitation of $500 (i.e., the excess of the limitation based on the amount of tax for such year over the amount of credit earned for such year). The computation of investment credit carryovers from prior taxable years of the transferor and acquiring corporations to the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer and to subsequent taxable years is as follows:

(i) The amount of the reduction computed under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph with respect to the investment credit carryovers from prior taxable years of X Corporation is $350 ($700 x 50%). One hundred dollars of such reduction is first applied against and eliminates X's $100 carryover from 1970; $100 of such reduction is applied against and eliminates Y's $100 carryover from 1970; $100 of such reduction is applied against and eliminates X's $100 carryover from 1971; the remaining $50 of such reduction is applied against Y's $100 carryover from 1971 and reduces such carryover to $50. After the reduction, the total carryover to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer is $750 (i.e., Y's $50 carryover from 1971, X's $500 carryover from 1972, and Y's $200 carryover from 1972).

(ii) Since the excess limitation for the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer is $500, Y's $50 carryover from 1971 and $450 of X's carryover from 1972 may be added to the amount of credit allowed by section 38 for such year. The total carryover to taxable years of the acquiring corporation subsequent to such first taxable year is $250 (i.e., the remainder of X's carryover from 1972, $50, plus Y's $200 carryover from 1972).

(b) *Special rules for foreign tax carryovers.* (1) The amount of unused foreign tax of a transferor corporation which may be carried to taxable years of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer shall be determined under the principles of section 381(c)(23) and the regulations thereunder (relating to the carryover of unused investment credit). Thus, to determine the amount of such carryovers as of the close of the date of transfer, and to integrate them with any carryovers and carrybacks of the acquiring corporation for purposes of determining the amount of credit allowed by section 901 to the acquiring corporation for taxable years ending after the date of transfer, it is necessary to apply the provisions of section 904(d) in accordance with the principles of section 381(c)(23) and the regulations thereunder.

(2) If the limitation provided in section 382(b)(1) applies to the carryover of unused foreign taxes of a corporation, then for purposes of computing the amount of the reduction under paragraph (a) of this section, the following rules shall apply. If all of the unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of the corporation are of the same origin, the amount of the reduction shall be determined by applying the percentage computed under section 382(b)(2) to the total of such carryovers. If the unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of the corporation are not of the same origin, that is, where one or more carryovers originated in taxable years to which the per-country limitation applied and one or more carryovers originated in taxable years to which the overall limitation applied, or where, even though all the carryovers originated in per-country limitation years, all of such carryovers are not attributable to taxes paid or accrued to the same foreign country or possession of the United States, the amount of the reduction shall be determined separately with respect to carryovers of the same origin. That is, the percentage computed under section 382(b)(2) shall be applied separately to the total of the carryovers originating in overall limitation years and separately to the total of the carryovers attributable to taxes paid or accrued to each particular country or United States possession in per-country limitation years. Thus, if a corporation has (as of the close of the date of transfer) total unused foreign tax carryovers of $200 attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country X and total unused foreign tax carryovers of $150 attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country Y from one or more taxable years to which the per-country limitation applied, and also has total unused foreign tax carryovers of $100 from taxable years to which the overall limitation applied, and if the percentage computed under section 382(b)(2) is 50 percent, then the amount of reduction in carryovers attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country X is $100, the amount of reduction in carryovers attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country Y is $75, and the amount of reduction in carryovers from taxable years to which the overall limitation applied is $50.

(3) After having determined the reduction or reductions under subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, it is necessary, for purposes of computing unused foreign tax carryovers of the transferor and acquiring corporations from taxable years ending on or before the date of transfer to taxable years of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer, to apply such reduction or reductions against the earliest carryover of the same origin, whether a carryover of the transferor corporation or of the acquiring corporation, which may otherwise be carried to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer, then against the next earliest carryover of the same origin which may otherwise be carried to such first taxable year, etc. To the extent of the amount of the reduction, such carryovers shall be eliminated and shall not be included in computing the total carryover to the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer or to subsequent taxable years.

(4) The provisions of subparagraphs (2) and (3) of this paragraph may be illustrated by the following example:

Example. T, a domestic corporation, and S, a domestic corporation, are organized on January 1, 1970, and each makes its return on the basis of the calender year. On December 31, 1972, S Corporation acquires the assets of T Corporation in a reorganization described in section 381(a)(2). Immediately after the reorganization, those persons who were stockholders of T Corporation immediately before the reorganization, as the result of owning stock of T, own 10 percent of the fair market value of the outstanding stock of S, so that T's foreign tax carryovers as of the close of the date of transfer are reduced by 50 percent. The unused foreign tax carryovers as of the close of the date of transfer of T Corporation and S Corporation for taxable years 1970 through 1972 are as follows:

Unused foreign tax carryovers from year(s) of Origin

Taxable year	T Corporation (transferor)	S Corporation (acquiring)
1970	Per country: Country X—50 Country Y—100 Country Z—100	Per country: Country X—100. Country Y—50.
1971	Per country: Country X—150 Country Y—100	Overall: Aggregate—50.
1972	Overall: Aggregate—200	Overall: Aggregate—100.

For the first taxable year ending after the date of transfer, the acquiring corporation, which has elected the overall limitation, has an excess limitation of $200 (i.e., the excess of the limitation based on amount of tax for such year over the amount of credit earned for such year). The computation of unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of the transferor and acquiring corporations to the acquiring corporation's first taxable year ending after the date of transfer and to subsequent taxable years is as follows:

(i) *Unused foreign tax carryovers attribut-*

able to country X. The amount of the reduction computed under subparagraph (2) of this paragraph with respect to the total unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of T Corporation attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country X is $100 ($200 × 50%). Fifty dollars of such reduction is applied against and eliminates T's $50 carryover from 1970. The remaining $50 of such reduction is then applied against S's $100 carryover from 1970 and reduces such carryover to $50. After the reduction, the total carryover to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country X is $200 (i.e., S's $50 carryover from 1970 and T's $150 carryover from 1971). Since the acquiring corporation has elected the overall limitation for its first taxable year ending after the date of transfer, the carryovers attributable to country X from per-country limitation years may not be applied in such first taxable year and the total $200 is carried to the next succeeding taxable year.

(ii) *Unused foreign tax carryovers attributable to country Y.* The amount of the reduction computed under subparagraph (2) of this paragraph with respect to the total unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of T Corporation attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country Y is $100 ($200 x 50%). The total $100 reduction is applied against and eliminates T's carryover from 1970. After the reduction, the total carryover to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country Y is $150 (i.e., S's $50 carryover from 1970 and T's $100 carryover from 1971). Since the acquiring corporation has elected the overall limitation for its first taxable year ending after the date of transfer, the carryovers attributable to country Y from per-country limitation years may not be applied in such first taxable year and the total $150 is carried to the next succeeding taxable year.

(iii) *Unused foreign tax carryovers attributable to country Z.* The amount of reduction computed under subparagraph (2) of this paragraph with respect to the total unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of T Corporation attributable to taxes paid or accrued to country Z is $50 ($100 x 50%). The total $50 reduction is applied against T's $100 carryover from 1970 and thus reduces such carryover to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer to $50. Since the acquiring corporation has elected the overall limitation for its first taxable year ending after the date of transfer, the carryover attributable to country Z from the per-country limitation year may not be applied in such first taxable year and the total $50 is carried to the next succeeding taxable year.

(iv) *Unused foreign tax carryovers from overall limitation years.* The amount of the reduction computed under subparagraph (2) of this paragraph with respect to the total unused foreign tax carryovers from prior taxable years of T Corporation to which the overall limitation applied is $100 ($200 × 50%). Fifty dollars of such reduction is applied against and eliminates S's $50 carryover from 1971 and the remaining $50 of such reduction is applied against T's $200 carryover from 1972 and reduces such carryover to $150. After the reduction, the total carryover to the first taxable year of the acquiring corporation ending after the date of transfer attributable to taxes paid or accrued in taxable years to which the overall limitation applied is $250 (i.e., T's $150 carryover from 1972 and S's $100 carryover from 1972). Since for such first taxable year the acquiring corporation has an excess limitation of $200 with respect to carryovers arising in overall limitation years, T's $150 carryover from 1972 and $50 of S's $100 carryover from 1972 may be added to the amount of credit allowed by section 901 for such year. The total carryover to taxable years of the acquiring corporation subsequent to such first taxable year attributable to taxes paid or accrued in overall limitation years is $50 (i.e., the remainder of S's carryover from 1972, $50).

(c) *Effective date.* (1) The limitation provided in this section shall apply only with respect to reorganizations occurring after December 10, 1971, pursuant to a plan of reorganization or a contract entered into on or after September 29, 1971.

(2) For purposes of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, a reorganization shall be considered to occur on the date of transfer as defined in section 381(b)(2) and § 1.381(b)-1(b).

(3) For purposes of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, a plan of reorganization or a contract shall be considered to have been entered into on the date on which the duly authorized representatives of the transferor and acquiring corporations enter into an agreement evidencing the plan of reorganization, or on the date on which the plan of reorganization is adopted by the shareholders of the transferor and acquiring corporations, whichever occurs earlier.

(Sec. 383, 85 Stat. 521 (26 U.S.C. 383); sec. 7805, 68A Stat. 917 (26 U.S.C. 7805) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954)

[FR Doc.75-816 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Two-Tone Attention Signal System; Correction

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

In the Matter of Amendment of § 73.906 of the Commission's rules to substitute a Two-Tone Attention Signal for the carrier-break and 1000 Hz signal presently in use.

At the request of the FCC, the Office of the Federal Register printed a correction to Order, FCC 74-1285, released December 5, 1974, in the above entitled matter which was published December 12, 1974, at 39 FR 43301. The correction was printed December 24, 1974, at 39 FR 44454. It so happens that the Order as printed on December 12 was correct and the correction published on December 24 is hereby voided. For clarification, § 73.906(a) (the paragraph in question) is reprinted correctly below:

§ 73.906 Attention signal.

* * * * *

(a) Tone frequencies. The two audio signals shall have fundamental frequencies of 853 and 960 hertz and shall not vary over ± 0.5 hertz.

* * * * *

Released: January 6, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc74-758 Filed 1-8-74;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Service Order No. 1205]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Great Plains Railway Co. Authorized To Operate Over Tracks of Union Pacific Railroad Co.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 26th day of December 1974.

It appearing, That due to deterioration of track facilities the Great Plains Railway Company (GP) has discontinued use of its Sormer crossing over tracks of the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP), at Davenport, Thayer County, Nebraska; that an alternate facility has been installed in this area to permit the GP to operate over a portion of the UP at a point of connection at UP milepost 191.2 and continuing for approximately 750 feet over UP tracks to a point of connection with the GP; that the UP has consented to use of these tracks by the GP pending disposition of application with the Commission for permanent authority to operate over the aforementioned tracks of the UP; that such operation is necessary to enable the GP to continue line haul service in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people; that notice and public procedure herein are impracticable and contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than thirty days' notice.

It is ordered, That:

§ 1033.1205 S.O. 1205 (Great Plains Railway Company authorized to operate over tracks of Union Pacific Railroad Company).

(a) The Great Plains Railway Company (GP) be, and it is hereby, authorized to operate over a portion of the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) at a point of connection at UP milepost 191.2 and continuing for approximately 750 feet over UP tracks to a point of connection with the GP.

(b) Application. The provisions of this order shall apply to intrastate, interstate, and foreign traffic.

(c) Nothing herein shall be considered as a pre-judgment of the application of the GP seeking permanent authority to operate over these tracks.

(d) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., December 31, 1974.

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 12:01 a.m., June 30, 1975, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2).)

It is further ordered, That copies of this order shall be served upon the Asso-

ciation of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-814 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 28—PUBLIC ACCESS, USE, AND RECREATION

Oxbow National Wildlife Refuge, Mass.

The following special regulations are issued and are effective during the period January 1, 1975 through December 31, 1975.

§ 28.28 Special regulations, public access, use, and recreation; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

MASSACHUSETTS

OXBOW NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Entry by foot, bicycle, or motor vehicle is permitted along the tank road. Parking for vehicles is available at designated areas. Foot and bicycle travel is permitted for the purposes of nature study, photography, hiking, and cross-country skiing. Pets are permitted if on a leash not exceeding 10 feet in length.

The refuge, comprising approximately 2,700 acres, is delineated on a map available at the Jackson Road entrance gate to Fort Devens; the Refuge Manager, Great Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, 191 Sudbury Road, Concord, Massachusetts 01742, or from the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, John W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern recreation on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 28, and are effective through December 31, 1975.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
Regional Director,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-751 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Great Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Mass.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective during the period January 1, 1975 through December 31, 1975.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

MASSACHUSETTS

GREAT MEADOWS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing and foot entry for this purpose are permitted in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

Areas open for fishing are delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, or from the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, John W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement regulations which govern sport fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1975.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
Regional Director,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-752 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Mackay Island National Wildlife Refuge, N.C. and Va.

The following special regulations are issued and are effective during the period January 1, 1975 through December 31, 1975.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NORTH CAROLINA AND VIRGINIA

MACKAY ISLAND NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Mackay Island National Wildlife Refuge is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations subject to the following special conditions:

(1) The sport fishing season on the refuge extends from April 1 through October 15. Fishing is permitted in Corey's Ditch and in the canal adjacent to the Knotts Island Causeway, on a year-round basis, for bank fishing only.

(2) Fishing is permitted during daylight hours only.

(3) No limitations on size of motors used on boats. Airboats are prohibited.

The refuge, comprising 6,974 acres, is delineated on a map available from the Refuge Manager, Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Pembroke #2 Bldg., Suite 218, 287 Pembroke Office Park, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462, or from the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, John W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1975.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
Regional Director,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-753 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER IV—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR WHEAT CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for wheat crop insurance for the 1976 crop year.

ARIZONA

Maricopa	Yuma
Pinal	

ARKANSAS

Chicot	Desha
Clay	Greene
Craighead	Mississippi
Crittenden	Poinsett
Cross	St. Francis

CALIFORNIA

Fresno	Merced
Imperial	Modoc
Kern	San Joaquin
Kings	Stanislaus
Madera	Tulare

COLORADO

Adams	Logan
Arapahoe	Morgan
Cheyenne	Phillips
Elbert	Sedgwick
Kit Carson	Washington
Larimer	Weld
Lincoln	Yuma

GEORGIA

Houston

IDAHO

Ada	Jefferson
Bannock	Jerome
Benewah	Kootenai
Bingham	Latah
Bonneville	Lewis
Camas	Lincoln
Canyon	Madison
Caribou	Minidoka
Cassia	Nez Perce
Franklin	Oneida
Fremont	Power
Gooding	Teton
Idaho	Twin Falls

ILLINOIS

Adams	Douglas
Bond	Edgar
Brown	Effingham
Cass	Fayette
Champaign	Fulton
Christian	Greene
Clark	Hancock
Clay	Iroquois
Clinton	Jasper
Coles	Jefferson
Crawford	Jersey
Cumberland	Kankakee
De Witt	Lawrence

ILLINOIS—Continued

Logan
McDonough
McLean
Macon
Macoupin
Madison
Marion
Mason
Menard
Monroe
Montgomery
Morgan
Moultrie
Piatt
Pike
Randolph
Richland
St. Clair
Sangamon
Schuyler
Scott
Shelby
Tazewell
Vermilion
Washington
Wayne

INDIANA

Adams
Allen
Bartholomew
Benton
Blackford
Boone
Carroll
Cass
Clay
Clinton
Daviess
Decatur
De Kalb
Delaware
Elkhart
Fayette
Fountain
Fulton
Gibson
Grant
Greene
Hamilton
Hancock
Hendricks
Henry
Howard
Huntington
Jackson
Jasper
Jay
Johnson
Knox
Kosciusko
Lagrange
Madison
Marion
Marshall
Miami
Montgomery
Morgan
Newton
Noble
Parke
Posey
Pulaski
Putnam
Randolph
Ripley
Rush
Shelby
Sullivan
Tippecanoe
Tipton
Union
Vermillion
Vigo
Wabash
Warren
Wayne
Wells
White
Whitley

KANSAS

Allen
Anderson
Atchison
Barber
Barton
Bourbon
Brown
Butler
Chase
Chautauqua
Cherokee
Cheyenne
Clark
Clay
Cloud
Coffey
Comanche
Cowley
Crawford
Decatur
Dickinson
Doniphan
Douglas
Edwards
Elk
Ellis
Ellsworth
Finney
Ford
Franklin
Geary
Gove
Graham
Grant
Gray
Greeley
Greenwood
Hamilton
Harper
Harvey
Haskell
Hodgeman
Jackson
Jefferson
Jewell
Johnson
Kearny
Kingman
Kiowa
Labette
Lane
Lincoln
Linn
Logan
Lyon
McPherson
Marion
Marshall
Meade
Miami
Mitchell
Montgomery
Morris
Nemaha
Neosho
Ness
Norton
Osage
Osborne
Ottawa
Pawnee
Phillips
Pottawatomie
Pratt
Rawlins
Reno
Republic
Rice

KANSAS—Continued

Riley
Rooks
Rush
Russell
Saline
Scott
Sedgwick
Seward
Shawnee
Sheridan
Sherman
Smith
Stafford
Stanton
Stevens
Sumner
Thomas
Trego
Wabaunsee
Wallace
Washington
Wichita
Wilson
Woodson

KENTUCKY

Christian

MARYLAND

Caroline
Kent
Queen Annes

MICHIGAN

Bay
Branch
Calhoun
Cass
Clinton
Eaton
Gratiot
Hillsdale
Huron
Ingham
Ionia
Jackson
Kalamazoo
Lenawee
Livingston
Monroe
Saginaw
St. Clair
St. Joseph
Sanilac
Shiawassee
Tuscola
Washtenaw

MINNESOTA

Becker
Big Stone
Blue Earth
Chippewa
Clay
Dakota
Douglas
Faribault
Freeborn
Grant
Kandiyohi
Kittson
Lac qui Parle
Le Sueur
Lincoln
Mahnomen
Marshall
Meeker
Norman
Otter Tail
Pennington
Polk
Pope
Red Lake
Redwood
Renville
Roseau
Stevens
Swift
Traverse
Waseca
Wilkin
Yellow Medicine

MISSISSIPPI

Bolivar
Coahoma
Humphreys
Quitman
Sharkey
Sunflower
Tallahatchie
Tunica
Washington

MISSOURI

Adair
Andrew
Audrain
Barton
Bates
Boone
Buchanan
Butler
Caldwell
Callaway
Cape Girardeau
Carroll
Cass
Chariton
Clark
Clinton
Cooper
Dade
Daviess
DeKalb
Dunklin
Franklin
Gentry
Harrison
Henry
Holt
Howard
Jackson
Jasper
Johnson
Knox
Lafayette
Lawrence
Lewis
Lincoln
Linn
Livingston
Macon
Marion
Mississippi
Monroe
Montgomery
New Madrid
Nodaway
Pemiscot
Pettis
Pike
Platte
Ralls
Randolph
Ray
St. Charles
Saline
Scotland
Scott
Shelby
Stoddard
Sullivan
Vernon

MONTANA

Big Horn
Blaine
Carbon
Cascade
Chouteau
Custer
Daniels
Dawson
Fallon
Fergus
Glacier
Golden Valley
Hill
Judith Basin
Liberty
McCone
Musselshell
Petroleum
Phillips
Pondera
Prairie
Richland
Roosevelt
Rosebud
Sheridan
Stillwater
Teton
Toole
Treasure
Valley
Wheatland
Wibaux
Yellowstone

NEBRASKA

Adams
Banner
Box Butte
Butler
Cass
Chase
Cheyenne
Clay
Dawes
Deuel
Dodge
Fillmore
Franklin
Frontier
Furnas
Gage
Garden
Gosper
Hall
Hamilton
Harlan
Hayes
Hitchcock
Jefferson
Johnson
Kearney
Keith
Kimball
Lancaster
Lincoln
Merrick
Morrill
Nance
Nemanha
Nuckolls
Otoe
Pawnee
Perkins
Phelps
Polk
Red Willow
Richardson
Saline
Saunders
Scotts Bluff
Seward
Sheridan
Thayer
Washington
Webster
York

NEW MEXICO

Curry

NORTH DAKOTA

Adams
Barnes
Benson
Bottineau
Bowman
Burke
Burleigh
Cass
Cavalier
Dickey
Divide
Dunn
Eddy
Emmons
Foster
Golden Valley
Grand Forks
Grant
Griggs
Hettinger
Kidder
La Moure
Logan
McHenry
McIntosh
McKenzie
McLean
Mercer
Morton
Mountrail
Nelson
Oliver
Pembina
Pierce
Ramsey
Ransom
Renville
Richland
Rolette
Sargent
Sheridan
Sioux
Slope
Stark
Steele
Stutsman
Towner
Traill
Walsh
Ward
Wells
Williams

OHIO

Allen
Ashland
Auglaize
Butler
Champaign
Clark
Clinton
Crawford
Darke
Defiance
Delaware
Erie
Fairfield
Fayette
Franklin
Fulton
Greene
Hancock
Hardin
Henry
Highland
Huron
Knox
Licking

OHIO—Continued

Logan	Preble
Lucas	Putnam
Madison	Richland
Marion	Sandusky
Medina	Seneca
Mercer	Shelby
Miami	Union
Montgomery	Van Wert
Morrow	Wayne
Ottawa	Williams
Paulding	Wood
Pickaway	Wyandot

OKLAHOMA

Alfalfa	Jackson
Beaver	Kay
Beckham	Kingfisher
Blaine	Kiowa
Caddo	Logan
Canadian	Major
Comanche	Mayes
Cotton	Noble
Craig	Nowata
Custer	Osage
Delaware	Ottawa
Dewey	Pawnee
Ellis	Payne
Garfield	Texas
Grady	Tillman
Grant	Washington
Greer	Washita
Harmon	Woods
Harper	Woodward

OREGON

Gilliam	Sherman
Jefferson	Umatilla
Klamath	Union
Linn	Wallowa
Malheur	Wasco
Morrow	Wheeler

PENNSYLVANIA

Adams	Lancaster
Chester	Lebanon
Cumberland	Perry
Dauphin	York
Franklin	

SOUTH DAKOTA

Aurora	Hughes
Beadle	Hutchinson
Bennett	Hyde
Bon Homme	Jones
Brown	Kingsbury
Campbell	Lyman
Clark	McPherson
Codington	Marshall
Corson	Meiette
Day	Miner
Deuel	Perkins
Dewey	Potter
Douglas	Roberts
Edmunds	Spink
Faulk	Stanley
Grant	Sully
Haakon	Tripp
Hamlin	Walworth
Hand	

TENNESSEE

Dyer	Obion
Lake	Robertson
Lauderdale	

TEXAS

Baylor	Hansford
Carson	Hartley
Castro	Hutchinson
Collin	Jones
Cooke	Knox
Dallam	Lipscomb
Deaf Smith	Moore
Denton	Ochiltree
Fannin	Oldham
Floyd	Parmer
Foard	Randall
Gray	Sherman
Grayson	Swisher
Hale	Wilbarger

UTAH

Box Elder	Salt Lake
Cache	Utah
Davis	Weber

WASHINGTON

Adams	Klickitat
Asotin	Lincoln
Benton	Okanogan
Columbia	Spokane
Douglas	Walla Walla
Franklin	Whitman
Garfield	Yakima
Grant	

WYOMING

Goshen	Platte
Laramie	

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516))

[SEAL] M. R. PETERSON,
Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc. 75-805 Filed 1-8-75; 8:45 am]

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Subpart—Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

APPENDIX; COUNTIES DESIGNATED FOR BARLEY CROP INSURANCE

Pursuant to authority contained in § 401.101 of the above-identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for barley crop insurance for the 1976 crop year.

ARIZONA

Maricopa	Yuma
Pinal	

CALIFORNIA

Fresno	Modoc
Kern	San Joaquin
Kings	Stanislaus
Madera	Tulare
Merced	

COLORADO

Boulder	Morgan
Larimer	Weld

IDAHO

Ada	Jerome
Bannock	Kootenai
Benewah	Latah
Bingham	Lewis
Bonneville	Lincoln
Camas	Madison
Canyon	Minidoka
Caribou	Nez Perce
Cassia	Oneida
Franklin	Owyhee
Fremont	Power
Gooding	Teton
Idaho	Twin Falls
Jefferson	

MARYLAND

Caroline	Queen Annes
Kent	

MINNESOTA

Becker	Otter Tail
Big Stone	Pennington
Chippewa	Polk
Clay	Pope
Douglas	Red Lake
Grant	Roseau
Kittson	Stevens
Mahnomen	Swift
Marshall	Traverse
Norman	Wilkin

MONTANA

Big Horn	Carbon
Blaine	Cascade

MONTANA—Continued

Chouteau	Pondera
Daniels	Prairie
Dawson	Richland
Fallon	Roosevelt
Fergus	Rosebud
Glacier	Sheridan
Golden Valley	Stillwater
Hill	Teton
Judith Basin	Toole
Liberty	Valley
McCone	Wheatland
Musselshell	Yellowstone
Phillips	

NORTH DAKOTA

Barnes	McLean
Benson	Mercer
Bottineau	Mountrail
Burke	Nelson
Burleigh	Oliver
Cass	Pembina
Cavalier	Pierce
Dickey	Ramsey
Divide	Ransom
Dunn	Renville
Eddy	Richland
Emmons	Rolette
Foster	Sargent
Golden Valley	Sheridan
Grand Forks	Stark
Grant	Steele
Griggs	Stutsman
Hettinger	Towner
Kidder	Traill
La Moure	Walsh
Logan	Ward
McHenry	Wells
McKenzie	Williams

OREGON

Gilliam	Sherman
Jefferson	Umatilla
Klamath	Union
Linn	Wallowa
Malheur	Wasco
Morrow	Wheeler

PENNSYLVANIA

Adams	Franklin
Chester	Lebanon
Cumberland	York
Dauphin	

SOUTH DAKOTA

Beadle	Grant
Brookings	Hamlin
Brown	Kingsbury
Clark	McPherson
Codington	Marshall
Day	Miner
Deuel	Roberts
Edmunds	Spink
Faulk	

UTAH

Cache	Utah
Davis	Weber
Salt Lake	

WASHINGTON

Adams	Klickitat
Asotin	Lincoln
Columbia	Spokane
Franklin	Walla Walla
Garfield	Whitman
Grant	

WYOMING

Big Horn	Park
Goshen	Washakie

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516))

[SEAL] M. R. PETERSON,
Manager, Federal Corp Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.75-804 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER VII—AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE (AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 722—COTTON

Subpart—1975 Crop of Extra Long Staple Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

NATIONAL MARKETING QUOTA REFERENDUM RESULT

Section 722.564 is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.). This section announces the result of the national marketing quota referendum with respect to the 1975 crop of extra long staple cotton held during the period December 9 to 13, 1974, each inclusive.

Since the only purpose of § 722.564 is to announce the referendum result, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the notice, public procedure and 30-day effective date requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 is unnecessary. Accordingly, § 722.564 shall be effective January 8, 1975.

§ 722.564 Result of the national marketing quota referendum for the 1975 crop of extra long staple cotton.

(a) *Referendum period.* The national marketing quota referendum for the 1975 crop of extra long staple cotton was held by mail ballot during the period December 9 to 13, 1974, each inclusive, in accordance with § 722.561 (39 FR 37181) and Part 717 of this chapter.

(b) *Farmers voting.* A total of 1,349 farmers engaged in the production of the 1974 crop of extra long staple cotton voted in the referendum. Of those voting, 1,244 farmers, or 92.2 percent, favored the 1975 national marketing quota, and 105 farmers, or 7.8 percent, opposed the 1975 national marketing quota.

(c) *1975 national marketing quota continues in effect.* The national marketing quota for the 1975 crop of extra long staple cotton of 82,481 bales proclaimed in § 722.528 (39 FR 37181) shall continue in effect since two-thirds or more of the extra long staple cotton farmers voting in the referendum favored the quota.

(Sec. 343, 63 Stat. 670, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1343))

Effective date: January 8, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on January 6, 1975.

GLENN A. WEIR,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.75-802 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Navel Orange Regulation 334]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period January 10-16, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907. The quantity of Navel oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Navel oranges, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Navel oranges, Navel orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Navel oranges.

§ 907.634 Navel Orange Regulation 334.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the respective quantities of Navel oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3 during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Navel orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantities of Navel oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Navel oranges continues to be slow. Prices f.o.b. averaged $3.56 per carton on a reported sales volume of 787 carlots last week, compared with an average f.o.b. price of $3.64 per carton and sales of 862 carlots a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 355 cars were down 28 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Navel oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 7, 1974.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period January 10, 1975, through January 16, 1975, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 824,000 cartons;

(ii) District 2: 98,826 cartons;

(iii) District 3: 26,000 cartons."

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: January 9, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.75-1000 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

CHAPTER XIV—COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[CCC Farm Storage and Drying Equipment Loan Program Regulations, Amdt. 1]

PART 1474—FARM STORAGE FACILITIES

Subpart—Farm Storage and Drying Equipment Loan Program Regulations

The subpart of Part 1474, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 1, 1974 (39 FR 38632), is amended to effect the change as set forth below.

This amendment removes the requirement that a commodity be eligible for price support before its production can be considered in determining a need for farm storage or drying equipment.

So that the amendment may benefit persons who file loan applications after December 31, 1974, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the notice of proposed rulemaking procedure provided in the Statement of Policy issued by the Secretary on July 20, 1971 (36 FR 13804), is impracticable and unnecessary.

Section 1474.4 is revised to remove the provision that a commodity be eligible for price support before its production can be considered in determining need for farm storage and drying equipment. The revised section reads as follows:

§ 1474.4 Eligible borrowers.

(a) *Basic requirements.* The term "eligible borrower" means any person who as landowner, landlord, tenant, or sharecropper (1) produces one or more of the following commodities, hereinafter called "eligible commodities": Corn, oats, barley, grain sorghum, wheat, rye, soybeans, flaxseed, rice, dry edible beans, peanuts, and sunflower seed, and (2) needs farm storage and drying equipment for the storage or conditioning of one or more such eligible commodities. If two or more eligible borrowers join together in the purchase and erection, installation, or construction of eligible farm storage or drying equipment, each such borrower shall sign all documents and shall be liable jointly and severally for payment of the loan. The term "person" means any individual or individuals competent to enter into a binding contract, partnership, firm, joint-stock company, corporation, association, trust, estate, or other legal entity, or a State, political subdivision of a State, or any agency thereof.

(b) *Need for storage or equipment.* At the time any loan application is being considered, the county committee shall determine if the proposed farm storage or drying equipment is needed for the storage or conditioning of eligible commodities produced on the farm(s) to which the loan application relates: *Provided, however,* That in making this determination (1) one year's estimated production of eligible commodities shall be used in determining whether the proposed drying equipment is needed, (2) the maximum storage space for which a loan may be made shall be the amount by which the total capacity of existing storage on the farm(s) which is suitable for storage of eligible commodities is less than the storage capacity necessary to store one year's production (computed on the basis of estimated yields) of all eligible commodities produced on the farm(s) to which the loan application relates. If the capacity of the storage to be purchased or erected by the applicant exceeds the need as determined above, the application may be approved, but the amount of such loan shall not exceed the maximum authorized in § 1474.8(b).

(Secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended, (15 U.S.C. 714 b and c))

Effective date: This amendment is effective with respect to loan applications filed on or after January 1, 1975.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on: December 31, 1974.

KENNETH E. FRICK,
Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.75-803 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Parts 55, 56, 59, 70]

EGGS AND POULTRY

Federal Grading and Inspection; Miscellaneous Amendments

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Agriculture is considering amendments to the Regulations Governing the Voluntary Inspection and Grading of Egg Products (7 CFR Part 55); the Regulations Governing the Grading of Shell Eggs and U.S. Standards, Grades, and Weight Classes for Shell Eggs (7 CFR Part 56); the Regulations Governing the Inspection of Eggs and Egg Products (7 CFR Part 59); and the Regulations Governing the Grading and Inspection of Poultry and Edible Products Thereof, and U.S. Classes, Standards, and Grades With Respect Thereto (7 CFR Part 70), under authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.), and the Egg Products Inspection Act (84 Stat. 1620 et seq., 21 U.S.C. 1031–1056).

STATEMENT OF CONSIDERATIONS

A review of the voluntary grading regulations for poultry and eggs, and the mandatory and voluntary regulations for egg products, indicates a need to update these regulations and to provide, in some cases, additional information. The amendments being proposed are designed to accomplish these purposes.

In the mandatory egg and egg products regulations, the definition of "egg product" would be amended to add additional products considered as exempt from being classified as egg products required to be inspected under the mandatory egg products program. Such exempted products would include freeze-dried products, imitation egg products, egg substitutes, and dietary foods. The egg products used in such foods would need to be prepared from inspected egg products.

The proposed amendments would provide information for nutrition labeling of egg products and shell eggs. Such labeling is required for consumer packaged foods that contain added nutrients such as vitamins, minerals, or protein, or for which nutritional claims are made.

The amendments would require that nutrition labeling comply in all respects with the provisions of the Regulations for the Enforcement of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act. Egg products containing added nutrients and shipped in bulk form for use solely in the manufacture of other foods would be exempt from the complete nutrition labeling requirements, but any nutrition statement would have to be truthful. Egg products containing added nutrients or for which a nutritional claim is made on the label, which are supplied for institutional use only, are exempt from nutrition labeling requirements, provided, that the manufacturer or distributor of the product provides the required nutrition information to the institution.

Nutrition labeling would be required on consumer packaged shell eggs when a nutritional claim or information is made on the labeling.

The shell egg portion of the mandatory egg and egg products regulations provides for some exemptions from the provisions of the Egg Products Inspection Act. These exemptions are granted to producers who sell eggs of their own production at the site of production directly to household consumers, or deliver them on a route to household consumers. Eggs packers are also exempt on the sale of eggs at the packing station direct to household consumers. Such sales could include undesirable eggs unless prohibited by State law. To prevent the sale of such eggs, the proposed amendments would prohibit the sale of any eggs containing more leaker or loss eggs than permitted in the official standards for U.S. Consumer Grade B eggs. Also, in the mandatory egg and egg products regulations, the proposed amendments would limit the sale of eggs to household consumers at the site of production, on a delivery route, or at a packing station to 30 dozen restricted eggs. This is a reasonable figure for household consumption and discourages purchase of eggs for the purpose of resale.

Under the proposal, red and brown dyes, meat and fish by-products, and milling by-products would be added to the materials presently approved as denaturants for egg products.

Since the procedures for approving plants for inspection service are the same for the voluntary and the mandatory egg products programs, the voluntary regulations would be amended to reference the requirements contained in the mandatory regulations.

The examples of the inspection shield for egg products and the grade shields for poultry and eggs presently indicate that when such shields are imitated or simulated, it would constitute a representation that the product was officially inspected in the case of egg products, or officially graded in the case of poultry and eggs. The proposed amendments would clarify the fact that this would be true only if the shields contained the letters "USDA."

The regulations for voluntary grading of shell eggs have permitted eggs to be prewetted by submission. Research has proven that soaking of shell eggs considerably increases the bacterial spoilage of eggs. Static water used for washing and prewetting eggs produces more spoilage than running sprayed water. Spray systems for prewetting eggs have proven to be satisfactory. The proposed amendments would prohibit prewetting by submersion for eggs washed under the voluntary grading program.

When the "Nest Run" standards for shell eggs were promulgated, the tolerance for quality of individual cases within a lot was inadvertently omitted. The proposed amendments would provide this tolerance.

In the voluntary poultry grading regulations, the grade standard for oven-ready (pan) raw roasts would be amended to permit the use of up to 8 percent of comminuted (mechanically deboned) meat. Such meat could be substituted in part for skin allowed in the product. This would permit better utilization of poultry meat without affecting the quality of the roast. The proposal would also permit the exposed portion of oven-ready (pan) roasts to be prepared without a skin cover or to be covered with emulsified rather than whole skin. Cooking trials have shown that the quality of the roasts with either whole or emulsified skin was equal. Roasts without skin cover, cooked according to the manufacturing directions, were equal in quality to the covered roasts.

The other proposed changes in the voluntary poultry, shell egg, and egg products regulations are for the most part minor in nature and are of a clarifying or housekeeping nature. Several minor changes are also being proposed for the mandatory egg products regulations solely for the sake of clarification and removal of obsolete material. For example, dates for the implementation of certain requirements for the program have expired, and reference to these dates would be eliminated.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or comments in connection with this proposal shall file the same in duplicate with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than March 10, 1975.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The proposed amendments are as follows:

PART 55—VOLUNTARY INSPECTION AND GRADING OF EGG PRODUCTS

1. Section 55.140 would be revised to read:

§ 55.140 Application for inspection in official plants; approval.

Any person desiring to process products under inspection service must receive approval of such plant and facilities as an official plant prior to the installation of such service. The initial survey, drawings, and specifications to be submitted, changes and revisions in the official plant, and final survey and procedure for plant approval shall be in accordance with and conform with the applicable provisions of § 59.146 of Part 59 of this chapter, regulations governing the inspection of eggs and egg products.

2. Section 55.300 would be amended to read:

§ 55.300 Approval of official identification.

Labeling procedures, required information on labels, and method of label approval, shall be in accordance with and conform with the applicable provisions of § 59.411 of Part 59 of this chapter, regulations governing the inspection of eggs and egg products, except where "egg product(s)" is used in § 59.411, the word "product(s)" shall be substituted and used for this Part 55.

3. In § 55.310, paragraph (a) and Figure 1 would be revised to read:

§ 55.310 Form of official identification symbol and inspection mark.

(a) The shield set forth in Figure 1, containing the letters "USDA," shall be the official identification symbol for the purposes of this part and, when used, imitated, or simulated in any manner in connection with a product shall be deemed to constitute a representation that the product has been officially inspected for the purpose of § 55.5.

* * * * *

FIGURE 1

§ 55.330 [Amended]

4. In § 55.330, the first sentence of paragraph (a) would be changed to read: "Containers or labels which bear official identification approved for use pursuant to § 55.300 shall be used only for the purpose for which approved," and in paragraph (c), the last sentence would be changed to read: "The approvals shall be identified by the date of approval, approval number, and the name of the product."

PART 56—GRADING OF SHELL EGGS AND UNITED STATES STANDARDS, GRADES, AND WEIGHT CLASSES FOR SHELL EGGS

1. In § 56.1, the definition of "Nest Run eggs" would be amended by adding a period after the word "removed" and deleting the words "at the time of gathering," and a new definition for "Regional director" would be added to read:

§ 56.1 Meaning of words and terms defined.

* * * * *

"Regional director" means any employee of the Department in charge of the shell egg grading service in a designated geographical area.

* * * * *

§ 56.6 [Amended]

2. In § 56.6, the first sentence would be amended to read: "All grading service shall be subject to supervision at all times by the applicable State supervisor, regional director, and national supervisor."

3. Section 56.35 would be revised to read:

§ 56.35 Authority to use, and approval of official identification.

(a) *Authority to use official identification.* Authority to officially identify product graded pursuant to this part is granted only to applicants who make the services of a grader or supervisor of packaging available for use in accordance with this part. Packaging materials bearing official identification marks shall be approved pursuant to §§ 56.35 to 56.39, inclusive, and shall be used only for the purpose for which approved and prescribed by the Administrator. Any unauthorized use or disposition of approved labels or packaging material which bears any official identification may result in cancellation of the approval and denial of the use of labels or packaging material bearing official identification or denial of the benefits of the Act pursuant to the provisions of § 56.31.

(b) *Approval of official identification.* No label, container, or packaging material which bears official identification may contain any statement that is false or misleading. No label, container, or packaging material bearing official identification may be printed or prepared for use until the printers' or other final proof has been approved by the Administrator in accordance with the regulations in this part, the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act, and the regulations promulgated under these acts. The use of finished labels must be approved as prescribed by the Administrator. A grader may apply official identification stamps to shipping containers if they do not bear any statement that is false or misleading. If the label is printed or otherwise applied directly to the container, the principal display panels of such container shall for this purpose be considered as the label. The label shall contain the name, address, and ZIP Code of the packer or distributor of the product, the name of the product, a statement of the net contents of the container, and the U.S. grademark.

(c) *Nutrition labeling.* Nutrition information may be included on the label of consumer packaged shell eggs, providing, such labeling complies with the provisions of Title 21, Chapter 1, Part 1, Regulations for the Enforcement of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act. Nutrition labeling is required when a nutritional claim or information is presented on the labeling of consumer packages. Labeling will not be approved by the Department without comments from the Food and Drug Administration regarding nutritional claims and test data.

4. In § 56.36, the last sentence of paragraph (b)(2) would be deleted, and paragraph (a)(1) and (2), paragraph (b)(1), and Figure 1 would be revised to read:

§ 56.36 Information required on, and form of grademark.

(a) *Information required on grademark.* (1) Except as otherwise authorized, each grademark provided for in this section shall conspicuously and legibly indicate the letters "USDA" and the U.S. grade of the product it identifies, such as "A Grade" (illustrated in Figure 2). The letters "USDA" shall be printed in a light color on and surrounded by a dark field, and the U.S. grade printed in a dark color on a light field.

(2) The size or weight class of the product such as "Large" and such terms as "Federal-State Graded" or words of similar import may be shown within the grademark, provided, it appears prominformation shall be printed in a dark color on a light field. However, such terms as "Federal-State Graded" need not be shown. The size or weight class of the product may be omitted from the grademark, provided, it appears prominently on the main panel of the carton.

* * * * *

(b) *Form of official identification symbol and grademark.* (1) The shield set forth in Figure 1 containing the letters "USDA" shall be the official identification symbol for purposes of this part and when used, imitated, or simulated in any manner in connection with shell eggs, shall be deemed to constitute a representation that the product has been officially graded for the purpose of § 56.2.

FIGURE 1

* * * * *

§ 56.38 [Amended]

5. In § 56.38, the last sentence would be revised to read: "The approvals shall be identified by the date of approval, approval number, and the grade, weight class, and brand name of the product as applicable."

§ 56.43 [Amended]

6. In § 56.43, paragraph (e) would be deleted.

§ 56.64 [Amended]

7. In § 56.64, paragraph (c) would be amended by changing the last sentence to read: "The assignment of the grader(s) who will make the appeal grading requested under § 56.61(b) shall be made by the regional director or the chief of the grading branch."

8. In § 56.76, paragraph (e) would be revised to read:

§ 56.76 Minimum facility and operating requirements for shell egg grading and packing plants.

* * * * *

(e) *Shell egg cleaning operations.* (1) Shell egg cleaning equipment shall be kept in good repair and shall be cleaned after each day's use or more frequently, if necessary.

(2) The temperature of the wash water shall be maintained at 90° F. or higher, and shall be at least 20° F. warmer than the temperature of the eggs to be washed. These temperatures shall be maintained throughout the cleaning cycle.

(3) An approved cleaning compound shall be used in the wash water. (The use of metered equipment for dispensing the compound into solution is recommended.)

(4) Wash water shall be changed approximately every 4 hours or more often if needed to maintain sanitary conditions, and at the end of each shift. Remedial measures shall be taken to prevent excess foaming during the egg washing operation.

(5) Replacement water shall be added continuously to the wash water of washers to maintain a continuous overflow. Rinse water, chlorine, or quaternary sanitizing rinse may be used as part of the replacement water, provided, they are compatible with the washing compound. Iodine sanitizing rinse may not be used as part of the replacement water.

(6) Only potable water may be used to wash eggs. Each official plant shall submit certification to the national office stating that their water supply is potable. An analysis of the iron content of the water supply, stated in parts per million, is also required. When the iron content exceeds 2 parts per million, equipment shall be provided to correct the excess iron content. Frequency of testing shall be determined by the Administrator. When the water source is changed, new tests are required.

(7) Waste water from the egg washing operation shall be piped directly to drains.

(8) The washing and drying operation shall be continuous and shall be completed as rapidly as possible. Eggs shall not be allowed to stand or soak in water. Immersion-type washers shall not be used.

(9) Prewetting shell eggs prior to washing may be accomplished by spraying a continuous flow of water over the eggs in a manner which permits the water to drain away or other methods which may be approved by the Administrator. The temperature of the water shall be the same as prescribed in this section.

(10) Washed eggs shall be spray rinsed with warm water containing an approved sanitizer of not less than 50 p/m nor more than 200 p/m of available chlorine or its equivalent.

(11) Test kits shall be provided and used to determine the strength of the sanitizing solution.

(12) During any rest period, eggs shall be removed from the washing and rinsing area of the egg washer and from the scanning area whenever there is a buildup of heat.

(13) Washed eggs shall be reasonably dry before cartoning or casing.

(14) When steam or vapors originate from the washing operation, they shall be continuously and directly removed to the outside of the building.

* * * * *

§ 56.230 [Amended]

9. In § 56.230, the following sentence would be added: "No case may contain less than 75 percent A quality and AA quality eggs in any combination."

§ 56.231 [Amended]

10. In § 56.231, Table I would be amended by placing footnote Figure 6 next to the title "A Quality or better," and adding footnote 6 at the bottom of the table to read: "* No case may contain less than 75 percent A quality and AA quality eggs in any combination."

PART 59—INSPECTION OF EGGS AND EGG PRODUCTS

1. In § 59.5, the second sentence in the definition of "Egg product," and the definition of "Potable water," would be amended and new definitions "Producer-Packer" and "Shell Egg Packer" would be added, to read, respectively:

§ 59.5 Terms defined.

* * * * *

"Egg product" * * * "For the purposes of this part, the following products, among others, are exempted as not being egg products: freeze-dried products, imitation egg products, egg substitutes, dietary foods, dried no-bake custard mixes, egg nog mixes, acidic dressings, noodles, milk and egg dip, cake mixes, French toast, and sandwiches containing eggs or egg products, provided, such products are prepared from inspected egg products or eggs containing no more restricted eggs than are allowed in the official standards for U.S. Consumer Grade B shell eggs. Balut and other similar ethnic delicacies are also exempted from inspection under this part." * * *

* * * * *

"Potable water" means water that has been approved by a State health authority or other agency or laboratory acceptable to the Administrator as safe for drinking and suitable for food processing.

* * * * *

"Producer-packer" means any producer who sorts eggs only from his own production and packs them into their various qualities.

* * * * *

"Shell egg packer" (grading station) means any person engaged in the sorting of eggs from sources other than or in addition to his own production into their various qualities, either mechanically or by other means.

* * * * *

§ 59.16 [Deleted]

2. Section 59.16 would be deleted.

3. A new § 59.17 would be added to read:

§ 59.17 Nondiscrimination.

The conduct of all services and the licensing of graders and inspectors under these regulations shall be accomplished without discrimination as to race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

§ 59.28 [Amended]

4. In § 59.28, paragraph (a)(1) would be amended by inserting the words "and hatcheries," and a comma before the wording "such inspections shall be made each calendar quarter."

§ 59.45 [Amended]

5. In § 59.45, paragraph (b) would be amended by changing the period at the end of the paragraph to a comma and adding the following: "unless they are denatured or decharacterized and identified as required by the regulations in this part."

6. Section 59.100 would be amended by deleting paragraph (1), changing the semicolon at the end of paragraph (c) to a period, and adding the sentence "Each sale of restricted eggs shall be limited to no more than 30 dozen eggs;" and revising the opening text and paragraph (f) to read, respectively:

§ 59.100 Specific exemptions.

The following are exempt to the extent prescribed as to the provisions for control of restricted eggs in section 8(a)(1) and (2) of the Act and the provision for continuous inspection of processing operations in Section 5(a) of the Act: *Provided*, That as to paragraphs (c) through (f) of this section, the exemptions do not apply to restricted eggs when prohibited by State or local law, and the exemptions also do not apply to restricted eggs containing more loss and leakers than allowed in the official standards for U.S. Consumer Grade B shell eggs, and as to paragraph (c) of this section, the sale of eggs to consumers at an established place of business away from the site of production: And provided further, That the sale of "hard-cooked shell eggs" or "peeled hard-cooked shell eggs" prepared from checks is subject to the conditions for exemp-

tion in paragraphs (c), (d) and (f) of this section, and provided further, That the conditions for exemption and provisions of these regulations are met:

* * * * *

(f) The sale of eggs by shell egg packers on the premises where the grading station is located, directly to household consumers for use by such consumer and members of his household and his nonpaying guests and employees, and the transportation, possession, and use of such eggs. Each such sale of "restricted eggs" shall be limited to no more than 30 dozens eggs;

* * * * *

§ 59.105 [Amended]

7. In § 59.105, paragraph (a) would be amended by deleting "or (i)."

§ 59.124 [Amended]

8. Section 59.124 would be amended by changing the phrase "5 days per week" in the first sentence to read: "5 consecutive days per week."

9. In § 59.160, paragraphs (d) and (e) would be revised to read:

§ 59.160 Refusal, suspension, or withdrawal of service.

* * * * *

(d)(1) Any applicant for inspection at a plant where the operations thereof may result in any discharge into the navigable waters in the United States is required by subsection 401(a)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), to provide the Administrator with a certification as prescribed in said subsection that any such discharge will comply with the applicable provisions of sections 301, 302, 306, and 307 of the Act (33 U.S.C. 1311, 1312, 1316, and 1317). No grant of inspection can be issued after April 3, 1970 (the date of enactment of the Water Quality Improvement Act), unless such certification has been obtained, or is waived, because of failure or refusal of the State, interstate agency, or the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to act on a request for certification within a reasonable period (which shall not exceed 1 year after receipt of such request). Further, upon receipt of an application for inspection and a certification as required by 401(a)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, the Administrator (as defined in § 59.5) is required by subparagraph (1) of said subsection to notify the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency for proceedings in accordance with that subsection. No grant of inspection can be made until the requirements of said subparagraph (1) have been met.

(2) In the case of any activity which will affect water quality, but for which there are no applicable water quality standards, the certification shall so provide, and such grant of inspection will be conditioned upon a requirement of compliance with the purposes of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as provided in subsection 401(a)(1) of said Act.

(e) Inspection may also be suspended, revoked, or terminated as provided in Section 401(a)(4) and (5) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1341(a)(4) and (5)).

* * * * *

10. Section 59.410 would be revised to read:

§ 59.410 Egg products required to be labeled.

Containers and portable tanks of edible egg products prior to leaving the official plant shall be labeled in accordance with §§ 59.411 through 59.415 and shall bear the official identification shown in Figure 2 of § 59.412 or Figure 3 or 4 of § 59.415. Bulk shipments of pasteurized egg products to nonofficial outlets need not be sealed. Bulk shipments of egg products transported from one official plant to another shall be sealed and accompanied by an official certificate.

11. In § 59.411, the last sentence of paragraph (c)(1) would be deleted, the present paragraph (e) would be redesignated (f), paragraphs (a) and (b) would be revised and a new paragraph (e) would be added to read, respectively:

§ 59.411 Requirement of formulas and approval of labels for use in official egg products plants.

(a) No label, container, or packaging material which bears official identification may bear any statement that is false or misleading. Any label, container, or packaging material which bears any official identification shall be used only in such manner as the Administrator may prescribe. No label, container, or packaging material bearing official identification may be used, unless it is approved by the Administrator in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section. The use of finished labels must be approved as prescribed by the Administrator. If the label is printed on or otherwise applied directly to the container or packaging material, the principal display panel thereof shall be considered as the label.

(b) No label, container, or packaging material bearing official identification may be printed or prepared for use until the printers' or other final proof has been approved by the Administrator in accordance with the regulations in this part, the Egg Products Inspection Act, the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act, and the regulations promulgated under these acts. Copies of each label submitted for approval shall be accompanied by:

* * * * *

(e) Nutrition information may be included on the label of egg products, providing such labeling complies with the provisions of 21 CFR Part 1, Regulations for the Enforcement of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act. Since these regulations have different requirements for consumer packaged products than for bulk packaged egg products not for sale or distribution to household consumers, label submission shall be accompanied with information indicating whether the label covers consumer packaged or bulk packaged product. Nutrition labeling is required when nutrients, such as proteins, vitamins, and minerals are added to the product, or when a nutritional claim or information is presented on the labeling, except for the following which are exempt from nutrition labeling requirements:

(1) Egg products shipped in bulk form for use solely in the manufacture of other foods and not for distribution to household consumers in such bulk form or containers.

(2) Products containing an added vitamin, mineral, or protein, or for which a nutritional claim is made on the label, or in advertising, which is supplied for institutional food use only: *Provided*, That the manufacturer or distributor provides the required nutrition information directly to those institutions.

(3) Any nutrient(s) included in product solely for technological purpose may be declared solely in the ingredients statement, without complying with nutrition labeling, if the nutrient(s) is otherwise not referred to in labeling or in advertising. Labels will not be approved by the Department without comments from the Food and Drug Administration regarding nutritional claims, formulation, and test data.

* * * * *

12. In § 59.412, paragraph (a) and Figure 1 would be revised to read:

§ 59.412 Form of official identification symbol and inspection mark.

(a) The shield set forth in Figure 1 containing the letters "USDA" shall be the official identification symbol for purposes of this part and, when used, imitated, or simulated in any manner in connection with a product, shall be deemed to constitute a representation that the product has been officially inspected.

* * * * *

FIGURE 1

* * * * *

§ 59.417 [Amended]

13. In § 59.417, the first sentence of paragraph (a) would be changed to read: "Containers or labels which bear official identification approved for use pursuant

to § 59.411 shall be used only for the purpose for which approved.", and in paragraph (c), the last sentence would be changed to read: "The approvals shall be identified by the date of approval, approval number, and the name of the product."

14. In § 59.430, paragraph (b) would be revised to read:

§ 59.430 Limitation on entry of material.

* * * * *

(b) Inedible egg products may be brought into an official plant for storage and reshipment: *Provided*, they are handled in such a manner that adequate segregation and inventory controls are maintained at all times. Inedible egg products may be processed in official plants: *Provided*, that prior approval is obtained from the Administrator and under such conditions and time limitations as the Administrator may specify. The processing of inedible egg products shall be done under conditions which will not affect the processing of edible products, such as processing in separate areas, or at time when no edible product is being processed. All equipment and processing areas must be thoroughly cleaned and sanitized prior to processing any edible product.

§ 59.500 [Amended]

15. In § 59.500, the first sentence of paragraph (h) would be amended by adding the words "pressure and" between the words "adequate facilities."

§ 59.504 [Amended]

16. In § 59.504, the first sentence of paragraph (c) would be revised to read: "All loss and inedible eggs or egg products shall be placed in a container clearly labeled "inedible" and containing a sufficient amount of approved denaturant or decharacterant, such as FD&C red, brown, blue, black, or green colors, meat and fish by-products, grain and milling by-products, or any other substance, as approved by the Administrator, that will accomplish the purposes of this section."

§ 59.510 [Amended]

17. In § 59.510, paragraph (d)(2) would be amended by changing the word "conduct" to "contact."

18. In § 59.515, paragraph (a) would be revised to read:

§ 59.515 Egg cleaning operations.

(a) The following requirements shall be met when washing shell eggs to be presented for breaking:

(1) Shell egg cleaning equipment shall be kept in good repair and shall be cleaned after each day's use or more frequently if necessary.

(2) The temperature of the wash water shall be maintained at 90° F. or higher, and shall be at least 20° F. warmer than the temperature of the eggs to be washed. These temperatures shall be maintained throughout the cleaning cycle.

(3) An approved cleaning compound shall be used in the wash water. (The use of metered equipment for dispensing the compound into solution is recommended.)

(4) Wash water shall be changed approximately every 4 hours or more often if needed to maintain sanitary conditions and at the end of each shift. Remedial measures shall be taken to prevent excess foaming during the egg washing operation.

(5) Replacement water shall be added continuously to the wash water of washers to maintain a continuous overflow. Rinse water and chlorine sanitizing rinse may be used as part of the replacement water. Iodine sanitizing rinse may not be used as part of the replacement water.

(6) Waste water from the egg washing operation shall be piped directly to drains.

(7) The washing operation shall be continuous and shall be completed as rapidly as possible. Eggs shall not be allowed to stand or soak in water. Immersion-type washers shall not be used.

(8) Prewetting shell eggs prior to washing may be accomplished by spraying a continuous flow of water over the eggs in a manner which permits the water to drain away, or by other methods which may be approved by the Administrator. The temperature of the water shall be the same as prescribed in this section.

(9) Washed eggs shall be spray rinsed with an approved sanitizer of not less than 100 p/m nor more than 200 p/m of available chlorine or its equivalent.

* * * * *

19. In § 59.522, paragraph (o) and paragraph (aa)(3) would be revised to read:

§ 59.522 Breaking room operations.

* * * * *

(o) Test kits shall be provided and used to determine the strength of the sanitizing solution. (See § 59.515(a)(9) and § 59.552.)

* * * * *

(aa) * * *

(3) Mechanical egg breaking equipment shall be clean and sanitized prior to use, and during operations the machines shall be cleaned and sanitized approximately every 4 hours or more often if needed to maintain them in a sanitary condition. This equipment shall be cleaned at the end of each shift.

§ 59.690 [Amended]

20. Section 59.690 would be amended by deleting "prior to July 1, 1972" in the first sentence, and revising the last sentence to read: "Persons as those listed above who are establishing a business will be required to register before they start operations."

§ 59.720 [Amended]

21. In § 59.720, the second sentence of paragraph (a)(4) would be revised to read: "Except that, lots of eggs containing significant percentages of blood spots or meat spots, but no other types of loss or inedible eggs may be shipped directly to official egg products plants, provided they are conspicuously labeled with the name and address of the shipper and the wording "Spots—For Processing Only In Official Egg Products Plants."

§ 59.800 [Amended]

22. Section 59.800 would be amended by adding the following: "When eggs are packed in immediate containers, e.g., cartons, sleeve packs, overwrapped 2½ or 3 dozen packs, etc., for sale to household consumers under the exemptions provided for in § 59.100(c), (d), or (f), they shall be deemed to be satisfactorily identified in accordance with the requirements of this part if such immediate containers bear the packer's name and address and the quality of the eggs. Alternatively, a point of sale sign may be displayed showing the above information.

23. A new § 59.801 would be added to read:

§ 59.801 Nest run or current receipt eggs.

Eggs which are merchandised as they come from the production facilities without washing, grading, or sizing are exempt from the labeling provisions in § 59.800. However, when such eggs are packed and sold to consumers, they may not contain more loss and leakers than allowed in the official standards for U.S. Consumer Grade B shell eggs.

§ 59.930 [Amended]

24. In § 59.930(c), the last paragraph of the "warning notice" would be revised to read: "If the product is found to be acceptable upon inspection, the product may be released to the consignee, or his agent, and this warning notice defaced."

25. Section 59.940 would be revised to read:

§ 59.940 Marking of egg products offered for importation.

Egg products which, upon inspection, are found to be acceptable for importation into the United States, and are properly labeled and bear the inspection mark of the country of origin, need no further identification. However, each shipping container of egg products rejected shall be marked "U.S. Refused Entry."

PART 70—GRADING AND INSPECTION OF POULTRY AND EDIBLE PRODUCTS THEREOF; AND UNITED STATES CLASSES, STANDARDS, AND GRADES WITH RESPECT THERETO

1. Section 70.90 would be revised to read:

§ 70.90 Approval of official identification and wording on labels.

Any label or packaging material which bears any official grade identification shall be used only in such a manner as the Administrator may prescribe, and such labeling or packaging materials, including the wording used on such materials, shall be approved in accordance with and conform with the provisions of this Part 70 and the applicable provisions of §§ 381.115 through 381.141 of 9 CFR Part 381, Poultry Products Inspection Regulations.

2. In § 70.91, paragraph (b)(1) and Figure 1, would be revised to read:

§ 70.91 Marking graded products.

* * * * *

(b) *Form of official identification symbol and grademark.* (1) The shield set forth in Figure 1 containing the letters "USDA," shall be the official identification symbol for purposes of this part and, when used, imitated, or simulated in any manner in connection with poultry shall be deemed prima facie to constitute a representation that the product has been officially graded for the purposes of § 70.2.

FIGURE 1

* * * * *

3. In § 70.356, the third sentence of paragraph (d) would be deleted and the opening text would be revised and a new paragraph (j) would be added to read, respectively:

§ 70.356 Poultry roast—A Quality.

The standard of quality contained in this section is applicable to raw poultry products labeled in accordance with 9 CFR Part 381 as ready-to-cook "Rolls," "Roasts" or with words of similar import.

* * * * *

(j) Product packaged in an oven-ready container shall meet all the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (i) of this section, except that with respect to skin covering, the exposed surface of the roast need not be covered with skin. If skin is used to cover the exposed surface, it may be whole or emulsified. Additionally, for roasts packaged in oven-ready containers, comminuted (mechanically deboned) meat may be substituted in part for skin, but may not exceed 8 percent of the total weight of the product.

Done at Washington, D.C. this 2nd day of January 1975.

E. L. PETERSON,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-656 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

[7 CFR Part 20]

[Amdt. 2]

EXPORT SALES REPORTING REGULATIONS

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-30262 appearing at page 44764 in the issue for Friday, December 27, 1974, the signature in the third column which presently reads "Richard J. Brodner" should read "Richard J. Goodman".

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 74-NE-53]

AIRCRAFT INCORPORATING WHELEN ENGINEERING CO., INCORPORATED A427 STROBE LIGHT FLASH TUBES MANUFACTURED BEFORE NOVEMBER 1, 1974

Proposed Airworthiness Directive

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to aircraft incorporating Whelen Engineering Company Incorporated A427 strobe light flash tubes manufactured before November 1, 1974. There has been an incident involving an airplane where spilled fuel on the wing tip area is suspected to have been ignited by arcing at the wing tip strobe light. Although the Whelen Engineering Company Incorporated A427 strobe light flash tube was not involved in the cited incident, this flash tube is also susceptible to arcing. Since this situation may exist or develop in aircraft which have the flash tube installed, the proposed airworthiness directive would require the installation of insulating material.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803. All communications received on or before January 28, 1975, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new Airworthiness Directive:

WHELEN ENGINEERING COMPANY INCORPORATED. Applies to aircraft incorporating Whelen Engineering Company Incorporated A427 Strobe Light Flash Tubes manufactured before November 1, 1974.

Compliance required within the next 100 hours time in service unless already accomplished.

(a) To preclude possible ignition of flammable fluids or vapors by arcing at the strobe light flash tube, install on the base of Whelen Engineering Company Incorporated A427 flash tubes a pressure sensitive vinyl label conforming to Whelen Engineering Company Incorporated Drawing A-30052, Revision 1, dated October 15, 1974, or later FAA approved revision. Scotch Brand Type 33+ vinyl plastic electrical tape or equivalent tape approved by an FAA Maintenance/Avionics Inspector can be used in lieu of the vinyl label. If vinyl plastic electrical tape is used, it must be formed to cover the rivet at the rear of the flash tube without covering the identifying part number. If the flash tube incorporates a label, the new label or tape may be installed directly over the old label. Install the label or tape only when the label or tape and the flash tube are at a temperature above 50°F.

(b) The installation required by this AD constitutes preventive maintenance and may be performed by persons authorized to perform preventive maintenance under FAR 43.

(c) Upon request with substantiating data submitted through an FAA Maintenance/Avionics Inspector, equivalent methods of compliance with this AD may be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, New England Region.

NOTE: For the requirements regarding the listing of compliance and method of compliance with this AD in the airplane's permanent maintenance record, see FAR 91.173.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 27, 1974.

WILLIAM E. CROSBY,
Acting Director,
New England Region.

[FR Doc.75-671 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

[FRL 316-8]

MISSOURI

Approval of Compliance Schedules

On May 31, 1972 (37 FR 10842), pursuant to section 110 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, the Administrator approved portions of State plans for implementation of the national ambient air quality standards.

During May, June, and November 1974, the State of Missouri submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency compliance schedules to be considered as proposed revisions to the approved plans pursuant to 40 CFR 51.6. 40 CFR 51.8 requires the Administrator to approve compliance schedules submitted by the States. Therefore, the Administrator proposes the approval of the compliance schedules listed below.

The approvable schedules were adopted by the States and submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency after notice of public hearings in accordance with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 51.4 and 51.6 and the substantive requirements of 40 CFR 51.15 pertaining to compliance schedules. The compliance schedules have been reviewed and determined to be consistent with the approved control strategies of Missouri.

Each approved revision establishes a new date by which the individual source must comply with the applicable emission limitation in the federally approved State Implementation Plan. This date is indicated in the table below under the heading "Final Compliance Date." In all cases, the schedule include incremental steps toward compliance with the

applicable emission limitations. While the tables below do not include these interim dates, the actual compliance schedules do. The "Effective Date" column in the table indicates the date the compliance schedules become effective for purposes of federal enforcement.

In the indication of proposed approval of individual compliance schedules, the individual schedules are included by reference only. In addition, since the large number of compliance schedules preclude setting forth detailed reasons for approval of individual schedules in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an evaluation report has been prepared for each individual compliance schedule. Copies of these evaluation reports and the compliance schedules proposed to be approved are available for public inspection at the Environmental Protection Agency Regional Office, 1735 Baltimore, Kansas City, Missouri.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the Region VII office at the above address. All comments submitted on or before February 10, 1975 will be considered. All comments received, as well as copies of the applicable implementation plans, will be available for inspection during normal business hours at the Regional Office.

This proposed rulemaking is issued under authority of section 110(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1857c-5.

Date: December 20, 1974.

CHARLES V. WRIGHT,
Acting Regional Administrator.

It is proposed to amend Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

Subpart AA—Missouri

1. In § 52.1335, the table in paragraph (a) is amended by adding the following:

§ 52.1335 Compliance schedules.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

Missouri

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date adopted	Effective date	Final compliance date
* * *		*	*	*	*
Kansas City Power & Light, Hawthorne Plant:					
Units 1 and 2	Kansas City	18.86B [1], 18.87A.	Nov. 19, 1973	Immediately	Nov. 19, 1974
Units 3, 4, and 5	do	18.86B [1], 18.87A.	Nov. 11, 1974	do	July 31, 1975
City of Hannibal: Asphalt Batch Plant.	Hannibal	S-V, S-VII, S-VIII.	Aug. 21, 1974	do	Jan. 1, 1975
Independent Stave Co.:					
Dust Handling Equipment	Lebanon	S-V, S-VII, S-VIII.	do	do	July 1, 1975
Char Fire Stacks and Boiler	do	S-V, S-VII, S-V-III.	do	do	Do.
Armco Steel: Heat Treating Process.	Kansas City	18.87A [1]	June 3, 1974	do	Oct. 1, 1974
Certain-Teed Products: Asphalt Heating and Blowing.	do	18.87A [1]	Apr. 22, 1974	do	Dec. 6, 1974
Kaiser Refractories: Rotary Kiln No. 2.	Mexico	S-V, S-VII, S-VIII.	Aug. 21, 1974	do	Oct. 18, 1974
A. P. Green Refractories: Rotary Calcining Kiln.	do	S-V, S-VII, S-VIII.	do	do	May 31, 1975
W. H. Arnold Log & Lumber Co.: Incinerator.	Hannibal	S-IV	Sept. 25, 1974	do	Do.
C-E Refractories: Rotary Dryer	Vandalia	S-V, S-VII, S-VIII.	do	do	July 1, 1975
Marion County Milling Co.: Alfalfa Dehydrator.	Hannibal	S-VII, S-VIII	do	do	July 31, 1975
Missouri Power & Light: No. 5 and No. 6 Steam Generating Boilers.	Jefferson City	S-VI, S-VIII	Nov. 20, 1974	do	July 28, 1975
National Alfalfa Dehydrating and Milling: Alfalfa Dehydrator.	Henrietta	VI	May 23, 1974	do	Apr. 30, 1975
Allied Chemical Corp.:					
Crushers	Owensville	S-V, S-VIII	Oct. 23, 1974	do	Jan. 1, 1975
Rotary Kiln	do	S-V, S-VIII	do	do	Do.
Rotary Cooler	do	S-V, S-VIII	do	do	Do.

[1] Air pollution control code of Kansas City, Mo.

[FR Doc.75-515 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[40 CFR, Part 415]

[FRL 315-3]

INORGANIC CHEMICALS MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Effluent Limitations and Guidelines for Existing Sources; Performance and Pretreatment Standards for New Sources

Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to amend 40 CFR Part 415, Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing Point Source Category, Subpart H, Hydrofluoric Acid Production Subcategory, §§ 415.81, 415.82, and 415.85, as set forth below. 40 CFR Part 415 was promulgated on March 12, 1974 pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b), and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500 (the Act).

The promulgated effluent guidelines for hydrofluoric acid were challenged by two major producers of this chemical. The original exemplary plant data as supplied to the EPA contractor indicated that hydrofluoric acid manufacturing plants using recycle pond technology could achieve zero discharge for process waste water pollutants. At a meeting with producers representatives and the EPA technical and legal staff, the producers' representatives stated that the data submitted to the contractor was in error and that an effluent stream from hydrofluoric acid manufacture is necessary.

In response to an EPA request for supporting documentation, revised and expanded data from the exemplary plant and data from another plant was received. A technical review committee of the Effluent Guidelines Division evaluated the new data and recommended amendment to the promulgated guidelines. The new data is the basis for this proposed amendment.

A brief summary of the findings and data collected during this investigation will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which is available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, should indicate why such data is essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the Agency in establishing an effluent limitation guideline or standard of performance, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304(b), 306 and 307 of the Act. All comments received on or before February 10, 1975, will be considered. Steps previously taken by the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate public response within this time period are outlined in the advance notice concerning public review procedures published on August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202).

Dated: December 30, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Administrator.

It is proposed to amend Part 415 as follows:

1. Section 415.81 is amended by adding paragraphs (b), (c), (d) and (e) to read as follows:

§ 415.81 Specialized definitions.

* * * * *

(b) The term "product" shall mean hydrofluoric acid as anhydrous hydrofluoric acid.

(c) For all impoundments constructed prior to March 12, 1974 the term "within the impoundment" for purposes of calculating the volume of process waste water which may be discharged, shall

mean the water surface area within the impoundment at maximum capacity plus the area of the inside and outside slopes of the impoundment dam and the surface area between the outside edge of the impoundment dam and an immediately adjacent seepage ditch upon which rain falls and is returned to the impoundment. For the purpose of such calculations, the surface area allowance for external appurtenances to the impoundment shall not be more than 30 percent of the water surface area within the impoundment dam at maximum capacity.

(d) For all new impoundments or new source impoundments constructed on or subsequent to March 12, 1974 the term "within the impoundment" for purposes of calculating the volume of process water which may be discharged shall mean the water surface area within the impoundment at maximum capacity.

(e) The term "pond water surface area" for the purpose of calculating the volume of waste water which may be discharged shall mean the pond water surface area at normal operating level. This surface shall in no case be less than one-third of the surface area within the impoundment dam at maximum capacity.

§ 415.82 [Amended]

2. Section 415.82 (a), (c), and (d) are revised to read as follows:

(a) Subject to the added allowances provided for in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section, the following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged in process waste water from the production of hydrofluoric acid:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent Limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
Metric units (kilograms per 1,000 kg of product)		
Fluoride	0.36	0.18
TSS	0.42	0.21
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
English units (pounds per 1,000 lbs of product)		
Fluoride	0.36	0.18
TSS	0.42	0.21
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

* * * * *

(c) During any calendar month there may be discharged from a process waste water impoundment either a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the evaporation from the pond water surface area for that month, or if greater, a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the mean precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the mean evaporation from the pond water surface area for that month as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, for the area in which such impoundment is located (or as otherwise determined if no monthly data have been established by the National Climatic Center).

(d) Any process waste water discharged pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall comply with each of the following requirements:

Effluent Characteristic	Effluent Limitation	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
Metric units (milligrams per liter)		
Fluoride	60	30
TSS	70	35
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
English units (parts per million)		
Fluoride	60	30
TSS	70	35
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 415.83 [Amended]

3. Section 415.83 is amended by revising paragraph (a) and by adding new paragraphs (c) and (d) to read as follows:

(a) Subject to the added allowances provided for in paragraph (b), (c) and (d) of this section, the following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged in process waste water from the production of hydrofluoric acid:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
Metric units (kilograms per 1,000 kg of product)		
Fluoride	0.144	0.072
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
English units (pounds per 1,000 lbs of product)		
Fluoride	0.144	0.072
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

* * * * *

(c) During any calendar month there may be discharged from a process waste water impoundment either a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the evaporation from the pond water surface area for that month as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, for the area in which such impoundment is located (or as otherwise determined if no monthly data have been established by the National Climatic Center).

(d) Any process waste water discharged pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall comply with each of the following requirements:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent Limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
Metri units (mg/l)		
Fluoride	40	20
TSS	50	25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
English units (ppm)		
Fluoride	40	20
TSS	50	25
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 415.85 [Amended]

4. Section 415.85 is amended by revising paragraph (a) and by adding new paragraphs (c) and (d) to read as follows:

(a) Subject to the added allowances provided for in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section, the following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged in process waste water from the production of hydrofluoric acid:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent Limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
Metric units (kilograms per 1,000 kg of product)		
Fluoride	0.24	0.12
TSS	0.29	0.145
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
English units (pounds per 1,000 lbs of product)		
Fluoride	0.24	0.12
TSS	0.29	0.145
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

* * * * *

(c) During any calendar month there may be discharged from a process waste water impoundment either a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the precipitation for that month that falls within the im-

poundment and the evaporation from the pond water surface area for that month, or if greater, a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the mean precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the mean evaporation from the pond water surface area for that month as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, for the area in which such impoundment is located (or as otherwise determined if no monthly data have been established by the National Climatic Center).

(d) Any process waste water discharged pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall comply with each of the following requirements:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
Metric units (mg/l)		
Fluoride	50	25
TSS	60	30
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
English units (ppm)		
Fluoride	50	25
TSS	60	30
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

[FR Doc.75-294 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 20312; RM-2230, RM-2315, RM-2231, RM-2320]

FM BROADCAST STATIONS IN ARIZONA; TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. Notice of Proposed Rule Making is hereby given concerning amendment of the FM Table of Assignments (§ 73.202 (b) of the Commission's rules and regulations) as concerns Phoenix, Chandler, Sedona, Scottsdale, and Tolleson, Arizona. As to Phoenix, there are two petitions for rule making (RM's 2315 and 2320) each seeking the assignment of a seventh Class C FM channel to that city. The petitions for channel assignments to Chandler and Sedona (RM's 2230 and 2231) conflict with the petitions for Phoenix. And, on our own motion, we propose the assignment of Channel 264 from Tolleson to Scottsdale to conform the place of assignment to the place where licensed as Station KDOT-FM.

2. In RM-2315, Julia S. Zozaya and Humberto R. Precidao (hereinafter referred to as "Z & P") propose the assignment of Channel 260 to Phoenix, Arizona; this conflicts with the petition of Joseph P. Tabback to assign Channel 261A to Sedona, Arizona (RM-2231), that is, the separation between the reference points is only 102 miles (Section 73.208 (a)(2)). RM's 2230 and 2320 are conflicting proposals to assign Channel 300 to Chandler and Phoenix, Arizona, respectively; the petition in RM-2230 is Ralph L. Borkman, d/b/a Chandler Communications Company ("Borkman" or "CCC"); and the petitioner in RM-2320 is KIFN Radio, Inc. (KIFN), licensee of daytime-only AM Station KIFN, Phoenix, which as pertinent here is the only Spanish language station at Phoenix (see footnote 6 below). In accord with our general practice to consider petitions for rule making to add channels to the same community, RM's 2315 and 2320 are considered together and RM's 2230 and 2231 are part of this proceeding in light of the conflict with the respective Phoenix petitions.

3. Phoenix, population 581,562[1] is the county seat of Maricopa County (population 967,522, which constitutes the Phoenix SMSA) and the State capital. KIFN says that Phoenix is the dominant—most populous—city in the southwest, west of Ft. Worth-Dallas, south of Denver, and east of Los Angeles. Presently assigned to Phoenix are Channels 233, 238, 245, 254, 268, and 273, respectively occupied by Stations KOOL-FM, KRFM, KMEO-FM, KTAR-FM, KHEP-FM, and KNIX,[2] all of which operate from the Phoenix antenna farm at South Mountain.[3] Chandler, population 13,763, is located 19 miles southeast of Phoenix in Maricopa County. Sedona is an unincorporated community with a population of 2,022 located 102 miles north northeast of Phoenix and 23 miles southwest of Flagstaff and in Coconino and Yavapai Counties (respectively populations 48,326 and 36,733). Both Scottsdale (population 67,823) and Tolleson (population 3,881) are in Maricopa County.

4. RM-2230. Borkman in support of his petition for Channel 300 claims that Chandler merits a local outlet since it is isolated geographically from the other urbanized parts of Maricopa County and it has its own trading area of 35,000 persons including the communities of Gilbert, Higley, Queen Creek, Chandler Heights, Ocotillo, Gila River Indian Reservation, and Williams Air Force Base. CCC claims a present population of between 17,000 and 18,000 with 34,000 and 52,000 population projected for 1980 and 1990. CCC claims that significant growth and expansion in the Chandler area primarily of major subdivisions and planned communities which are under development of which those within a 15 mile radius are projected for a total population of 143,250, nearly 100,000 of which are not within the boundary of another city. We are told that the local economy is agriculture, manufacturing, and tourism. In realization that normally a community the size of Chandler does not merit a Class C channel, Borkman states that a Class A channel could not be found. CCC, however, further asserts entitlement to a channel on the basis that under the population criteria, that is, it adequately qualifies both in population and as to class; it feels that it is significant that Chandler is the only community in Arizona with a population in excess of 10,000 without a local radio outlet.

5. RM-2231. Tabback in support of his petition alleges that Sedona is the commercial and trade center of Oak Creek Canyon, a residential, scenic, and recreational area, the principal attractions for which are camping and recreation. The economy of the area is largely "retirement services, tourism and recreation" and the area is a "major nationally known scenic attraction and art center." Additionally, Tabback urges that Sedona and Oak Creek Canyon are without an FM service and receives only secondary minimal nighttime service. We are also told that the actual population as of the first quarter of 1973 is approximately 6,000.

6. RM-2315 and RM-2320. Z & P and KIFN independently petitioned for the addition of a seventh FM channel at Phoenix. Both petitioners bottom their respective petitions on the need of programming for the Spanish-American population of Phoenix and environs. Z & P, in support of its petition, argues that another channel should be assigned to Phoenix because of its substantial increase in population and the large number of Spanish-Americans in Phoenix and the State of Arizona. As concerns population, it is noted that there was a population gain of 46.1% of the Phoenix SMSA between 1960 and 1970 and that the present population of the Phoenix SMSA is over 1,000,000. As concerns Spanish-American population, reference is made to the Census estimates for the state (18.8%), Maricopa County (14%), and the city of Phoenix (13.9%). KIFN states that this is the most significant minority group living there which special circumstances demonstrates the need for another FM channel at Phoenix. KOOL Radio-Television, Inc., licensee of Stations KOOL, KOOL-FM, and KOOL-TV, filed comments in support of the Z & P petition; a number of informal comments also have been filed in support of the Z & P petition. KIFN also claims that under the population criteria Phoenix is "clearly" * * * *entitled* to the addition of a seventh FM channel assignment" (emphasis added).

7. CCC opposes the KIFN petition on the basis that the Z & P petition already seeks a seventh FM channel at Phoenix and because of the conflict with its own

[1] All population data are from the 1970 Census unless otherwise indicated.

[2] The Phoenix market has 24 aural broadcast stations with total broadcast revenue of $22.4 million and total broadcast income of $2.4 million, AM-FM Broadcast Financial Data—1972. The market includes stations at Glendale, Mesa, Tempe, and Scottsdale, including five operating FM stations (Class C channels).

[3] Two of the stations provide service to 10,480 square miles and 947,696 persons; another two cover 10,980 square miles and 982,121 persons; while the others cover 11,190 and 13,478 square miles and 1,012,496 and 1,219,045, respectively. KIFN plans a station to cover 11,190 square miles and a population of 1,012,496.

petition for the assignment of Channel 300 at Chandler which it claims is the only one available for that city. KIFN filed a reply asking that the Z & P petition to assign Channel 260 to Phoenix (RM-2315), its petition to assign Channel 300 to Phoenix (RM-2320), and CCC's petition to assign Channel 300 to Chandler (RM-2230) be consolidated for consideration. In effect, we are taking this action.

8. We first discuss KIFN's argument that Phoenix is "entitled" to another channel under the population criteria. The population criteria were adopted as a "rule of thumb" to provide a fair, efficient, and equitable distribution of FM broadcast services as required by § 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. In this respect, we attempted to assign 6 to 10 channels to a city with a population of 250,000 to 1,000,000. See Para. 4 of the Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in Docket No. 14185 (FCC 62-867), which was incorporated by reference in the Third Report, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 40 F.C.C. 747, 758 (1963). Phoenix (population 439,170, 1960 Census) was assigned six channels; a proposal to assign a seventh channel was denied and the channel was assigned to Mesa (40 F.C.C. at 785).[4] Indeed, we immediately realized that "literal fulfillment of the [population] criteria * * * [is] beyond the realm of reason" (40 F.C.C. at 754). Thus, population is more of a guideline rather than an immutable standard which is subservient to more paramount considerations.[5] In other words a city which reaches a population of 250,000 is not automatically assigned six channels and as its population increases others are added so that when the population reaches 1,000,000 it will have 10 channel assignments. This plainly is not feasible considering the number of channels available and the Nation's population increase. On the other hand, either Channel 260 or 300 may be assigned to Phoenix without any change elsewhere, although there is the question of conflicting petitions for Sedona and Chandler.

9. To the extent that Z & P and KIFN rely on the need of Spanish language programming, we should point out that programming while of some significance is not a substitute for the requirements of § 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to make a fair, efficient, and equitable number of assignments. See generally Third Report and Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order in Docket No. 19551, adopted December 11, 1974 (FCC 74-1379), ____ F.C.C. 2d ____. In this respect, we note that Phoenix is not only served by its own six FM channels but also by five FM stations at nearby Glendale, Mesa, Scottsdale, and Tempe. Moreover, it would appear that the existing stations have some duty to provide programming to meet the needs of the sizable Spanish-American minority group at Phoenix and environs; see Primer on Ascertainment of Community Needs, 27 F.C.C. 2d 650 (1971).

10. Both Z & P and KIFN refer to our earlier action denying a seventh channel at Phoenix, 8 F.C.C. 2d 391, 393-4 (1967), affirmed on reconsideration, 11 F.C.C. 2d 204 (1968). We do not regard that decision as dispositive. However, in view of the paucity of available channels for assignment in the area, it is best to proceed here on the basis that only one additional assignment might be made to Phoenix, since this would permit assignments at both Sedona and Chandler. More specifically, if Channel 260 is assigned to Phoenix, Channel 261A could be assigned to Sedona, and Channel 300 to Chandler. The conflict between Channel 260 at Phoenix and Channel 261A at Sedona is more apparent than real, inasmuch as the requisite 105 mile adjacent channel separation could be met by judicious siting of the transmitter(s); in this respect, it seems more than likely that a Phoenix station would be at the Phoenix antenna farm at South Mountain (as KIFN proposes) nine miles south of Phoenix which is 107.6 miles from the Sedona reference point.

11. As concerns Sedona, Tabback claims lack of a local service. In this respect, Sedona is the size community which we have said should have two broadcast services but not necessarily a local broadcast outlet. Broadcast Station Assignment Standards, 39 F.C.C. 2d 645, 670 (1973). As concerns FM service, the appropriate test to determine whether there is service is under the Roanoke Rapids, 9 F.C.C. 672 (1967), decision, that is, assuming both existing stations and channel assignments. Tabback should furnish information as to the latter based on the assumption that any Class A channel operates at full height and power and a Class C channel operates with 75 kW power and an antenna height of 500 feet a.a.t. To the extent that Tabback relies on individuality of Sedona and the Oak Creek Canyon and population growth, we should like a more substantial showing. If feasible, we should like population data from a governmental source. Additionally, to the extent that Tabback relies on tourism to the Sedona-Oak Creek Canyon, we should like figures for the year, whether seasonal, and the average number of tourists per week during the year or tourist season whichever is more representative.

12. As concerns a channel assignment at Chandler, we should like fuller information as to the present state of the "planned communities" and a map showing their location in relation to Chandler and the other centers of population. We here are proceeding on the basis that it is feasible to assign a channel to smaller communities in the Phoenix SMSA.[7] Glendale (population 36,228); Mesa (population 62,853); Tempe (population 62,907); and Tolleson (population 3,881), have FM channel assignments. Because of its size (population 67,823), it would appear that Scottsdale should also have a channel assignment; however, in fact, Station KDOT-FM, which operates on Tolleson's Channel 264, is licensed there, and for that reason we here are also proposing reassignment of that channel to conform to actual use.[8]

13. In view of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations, the FM Table of Assignments, as concerns the following communities:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Phoenix, Ariz	233, 238, 245, 254, 268, 273.	233, 238, 245, 254, 268, 273, and 260 or 300.
Sedona, Ariz		261A.
Chandler, Ariz		300.
Tolleson, Ariz	264	
Scottsdale, Ariz		264.

Because the cities referred to above are located within the 199-mile border area covered by the United States-Mexico FM Broadcasting Agreement, it will be necessary to obtain the consent of the United Mexican States to the proposed changes.

14. Authority for the action taken herein may be found in sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), 307(b), and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b)(6) of the Commission's rules and regulations.

15. Showings required. Comments are invited as to the proposals referred to above. The parties are expected to respond to the specific issues which have been raised. Failure to file comments or address the issues raised may result in denial.

16. Cut-off procedures. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding:

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments.

---

[4] Seven other cities were assigned six channels; nine were assigned less; seven were assigned seven; five were assigned eight; nine were assigned nine; and the remaining eight, 10 or more. 24 of the 45 cities in this population grouping were assigned only channels for existing stations. The composition of this group and the number of assignments to each has little changed. We have even deleted a channel from a city in this population category in order to make an assignment elsewhere; see, e.g., Cincinnati, 40 F.C.C. 972-977-8 (1965); and St. Louis, 4 F.C.C. 2d 401 (1966).

[5] See, e.g., Colorado Springs, 44 F.C.C. 2d 1047, 1054 (1974); Pensacola 44 F.C.C. 2d 1056, 1061 (1974); Yakima 42 F.C.C. 2d 548-550 (1973); and Fresno, 38 F.C.C. 2d 525, 526 (1973).

[6] KIFN is "programmed for Spanish speaking Mexican-Americans and Latin-Americans" (Standard Rate and Data Service).

[7] See Modesto and Albuquerque, 35 F.C.C. 2d 230, 231-232, 235 (1972); Whaleyville, 28 F.C.C. 2d 641 (1971), on reconsideration, 34 F.C.C. 2d 856 (1973); and compare Wilmington 36 F.C.C. 2d 440 (1972), affirmed, 35 F.C.C. 2d 735, 736 (1972); and Colorado Springs, 44 F.C.C. 2d 1047, 1054 (1974); see also Cayce, 30 F.C.C. 2d 180, 181, 184 (1971).

[8] Since the license of Station KDOT-FM already specifies Scottsdale as the community of license and Channel 264 as the authorized channel, it is unnecessary to issue an order to show cause directed as the licensee of KDOT-FM.

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposals in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given, as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

17. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.415 of the Commission's rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments on or before February 14, 1975, and reply comments on or before March 3, 1975. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings.

18. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and fourteen copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its Headquarters, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

19. It is directed, That the Secretary of the Commission send a copy of this Notice certified mail, return receipt requested to Central Arizona Broadcasting Company, 4601 North Scottsdale Road, Scottsdale, Arizona.

Adopted: December 19, 1974.

Released: January 2, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] PAUL WM. PUTNEY,
Acting Chief,
Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.75-756 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 20313; RM-2249]

FM BROADCAST STATIONS IN LOUISIANA; TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. Notice is hereby given concerning amendment of the FM Table of Assignments (§ 73.202(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations) with respect to the petition of Stan R. Hagan, proposing the assignment of Channel 261A as the second FM assignment to Bastrop, Louisiana. Petitioner is the principal stockholder of the corporation owning Station KVOB, Bastrop's unlimited-time AM station.

2. Bastrop is the parish (county) seat of predominantly rural Morehouse Parish. Located 25 miles north of Monroe, Louisiana, and 50 miles southeast of El Dorado, Arkansas, Bastrop is the only community in the parish which has a population in excess of 1,000. The 1970 Census figures show that the populations of the community and parish are 14,713 and 32,463 respectively. The population of Bastrop has, according to census data, declined by approximately 4% during the period between the 1960 and 1970 Censuses. Petitioner avers, however, that the actual population is roughly 20,000. He supports this Statement by including a letter from the Morehouse Parish Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber mentions that the 1970 Census figures are incorrect for 88% of the Louisiana cities. In order to arrive at a more accurate population figure the group conducted a survey of the number of utilities connections in the community and multiplied that number by the number of people in the average national household. The racial composition of Bastrop is 67 percent white, 32 percent black and 1 percent other.

3. Bastrop contains one each of high, junior high and private schools and three parochial schools. Forty-eight churches serve the community's population. Additionally, since it is the parish seat, Bastrop contains a number of government offices. Petitioner states that Morehouse Parish contains a number of agriculturally related plants and that since 1970, three industrial plants, employing a total of 600 persons, have situated in Bastrop. One of these recently announced plans to undertake a 60 percent expansion. The above-mentioned Chamber of Commerce letter also indicates a recent increase in Bastrop's retail sales and services facilities. Approximately 18 new businesses have opened and at the time the petition was filed a $2.5 million shopping center was planned for construction. This center would compete with Bastrop's two existing shopping centers.

4. Petitioner presents a table of the number of utility meters to show that Bastrop has grown since 1970. He also states that Bastrop building permits have increased from $463,394 in 1960, to $861,100 in 1970, to approximately $2.3 million in 1973. These figures are not dispositive of the growth issue because we are unable to determine what portion of the increases is attributable to inflation, to the building of residential garages or attics, or to expansion or establishment of income producing businesses. We hope that petitioner addresses this issue in his comments filed in response to this Notice.

5. Bastrop currently has three local broadcast outlets: KTRY-FM (Channel 232A), daytime-only AM Station KTRY, and petitioner's unlimited-time Class IV AM Station, KVOB.

6. With respect to the technical feasibility of an additional Bastrop FM channel assignment, adoption of petitioner's proposal would not affect any existing FM assignments. Petitioner's preclusion study indicates that preclusion would occur only as to the requested channel. Use of the adjacent channels is already precluded by existing stations. The area which would be precluded from using Channel 261A, if that channel were assigned to Bastrop, is an area northwest of Bastrop which petitioner alleges is predominantly rural in character and contains only one community having a population in excess of 1,000, El Dorado, Arkansas (population 25,283). El Dorado currently has the following broadcast services: one television, two FM and two AM stations. Petitioner contends that "Bastrop is in need of a second FM allocation before El Dorado receives its third." He cites several cases for the proposition that this Commission can and has assigned 2 FM channels to a community with a population of less than 20,000.[1] Commission precedent is cited to demonstrate that the facts that a city involved is the largest city in the county and is the county seat are relevant criteria in assigning a second FM channel. Stillwater, Oklahoma, 3 F.C.C. 2d 387, 391 (1966).

7. Although El Dorado is the only community located in the area that would be precluded from using Channel 261A if that channel is assigned to Bastrop, the Commission notes that Farmerville, Louisiana (population 3,416), even though not located in the preclusion area, would also be precluded from using that channel. The reason for this is that Farmerville is situated just outside the southern edge of the preclusion area. It is also slightly less than 105 miles north of Alexandria, Louisiana, where Station KDBS-FM operates on Channel 262. Under the provisions of Section 73.208 (a) (4) of the Commission's Rules, Channel 261A could be used at Farmerville and meet the spacing requirements as to KDBS-FM if a Farmerville transmitter site were located slightly north of the city, namely, just inside the preclusion area. Hence, assignment of Channel 261A to Bastrop would preclude its use at Farmerville. Since Farmerville now has only one aural broadcast outlet, daytime-only AM Station KTDL, and no FM assignment, information is requested concerning the availability of an alternative FM channel assignment for Farmerville should some party later wish to request such an assignment.

8. In view of the foregoing, pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5 (d) (1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 0.281(b) (6) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, as concerns Bastrop, Louisiana as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Bastrop, La.	232A	232A, 261A.

9. Showings required. Comments are invited on the proposal discussed above. Petitioner is expected to answer whatever issues are raised in this Notice. He should also restate his present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned and if authorized, to build the station promptly. Failure to do so may result in denial of the petition.

---

[1] FM Channel Assignments cases at 5 F.C.C. 2d 188 (1966), 6 F.C.C. 2d 339 (1967), 14 R.R. 2d 1582 (1968), 17 F.C.C. 2d 952 (1969), 27 F.C.C. 2d 844 (1971).

10. Cut-off procedures. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments.

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given, as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

11. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.415 of the Commission's rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments on or before February 21, 1975, and reply comments on or before March 14, 1975. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings.

12. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and fourteen copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

13. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its Headquarters, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Adopted: December 23, 1974.

Released: January 3, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.75-757 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[12 CFR Part 226]

[Reg. Z]

TRUTH IN LENDING

Miscellaneous Amendments

In order to implement the amendments to the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. Chapter 41, §§ 1601–1681) included in Title IV of Pub. L. 93–495 (secs. 401–416), the Board proposes to amend Regulation Z. These amendments would provide:

(1) That advertisements concerning extensions of credit repayable in more than four installments and for which there is no finance charge identified shall state that the cost of credit is included in the price of the goods and services.

(2) That credit transactions primarily for agricultural purposes where the amount financed exceeds $25,000 are exempt from the disclosure provisions of the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z.

(3) That the right of rescission in residential real property transactions is limited to three years from the date of the consummation of the transaction or the sale of the property, whichever occurs earlier.

(4) That issuers of credit cards and businesses or organizations may contract without regard to the other relevant provisions of Regulation Z regarding the liability for unauthorized use of the cards if (a) the card issuer issues 10 or more cards for use by employees of a single business or organization, and (b) the liability imposed on such employees for unauthorized use does not exceed $50, the amount permitted by Regulation Z.

(5) That any credit transaction involving an agency of a State as creditor is not subject to the right of rescission.

(6) That the creditor of an open end account may allow a longer period than that disclosed to the customer in which to make payment in full and avoid additional finance charges.

(7) For a revised § 226.1(b)(1), which refers to the enforcement of Regulation Z and Chapter 41 of 15 U.S.C., to delete the Interstate Commerce Commission as an enforcing agency and add the Farm Credit Administration.

(8) For a revised § 226.1(c), which refers to statutory civil and criminal penalties, to include provisions for (a) criminal liability for certain fraudulent acts related to credit cards, (b) civil liability in individual or class actions for creditors who fail to comply with Chapter 2 or Chapter 4 (Title III of Pub. L. 93–495) and corresponding provisions of Regulation Z, (c) a creditor's defense for good faith compliance with Regulation Z, (d) single recovery for multiple failures to disclose in a single account, and (e) civil liability of assignees for violations of disclosure requirements where the violation is apparent on the face of the instrument assigned.

Pursuant to the authority granted in 15 U.S.C. 1604 (1970), the Board proposes to amend Regulation Z, 12 CFR Part 226, as follows:

1. To implement secs. 403, 406, 407, 408, 413 and 414, § 226.1(b)(1) and (c) would be revised as follows:

§ 226.1 Authority, scope, purpose, etc.

* * * * *

(b) *Administrative enforcement.* (1) As set forth more fully in section 108 of the Act, administrative enforcement of the Act and this Part with respect to certain creditors and credit card issuers is assigned to the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Home Loan Bank Board (acting directly or through the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation), Administrator of the National Credit Union Administration, Civil Aeronautics Board, Secretary of Agriculture, Farm Credit Administration, and Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

* * * * *

(c) *Penalties and liabilities.* Section 112 of the Act provides criminal liability for willful or knowing failure to comply with any requirement imposed under the Act and this Part. Section 134 provides for criminal liability for certain fraudulent activities related to credit cards. Section 130 provides for civil liability in individual or class actions for any creditor who fails to comply with any requirement imposed under Chapter 2 or Chapter 4 of the Act and the corresponding provisions of this Part, provides a defense for creditors complying in good faith with the provisions of the Part, and provides that a multiple failure to disclose in connection with a single account shall permit but a single recovery. Section 115 provides for civil liability for an assignee of an original creditor where the original creditor has violated the disclosure requirements and such violation is apparent on the face of the instrument assigned, unless the assignment is involuntary. Pursuant to Section 108 of the Act, violations of the Act or this Part constitute violations of other Federal laws which may provide further penalties.

2. To implement sec. 402, § 226.3(e) would be added as follows:

§ 226.3 Exempted transactions.

* * * * *

(e) *Agricultural credit transactions.* Credit transactions primarily for agricultural purposes, including real property transactions, in which the amount financed [14] exceeds $25,000 or in which the transaction is pursuant to an express written commitment by the creditor to extend credit in excess of $25,000.

3. To implement § 415, § 226.7 (a)(1) and (b)(9) would be revised as follows:

§ 226.7 Open end credit accounts—specific disclosures.

(a) *Opening new account.* * * *

(1) The conditions under which a finance charge may be imposed, including an explanation of the time period, if any, within which any credit extended may be paid without incurring a finance charge, except that the creditor may, at his option and without disclosure, impose no such finance charge if payment is received after the termination of such time period.

* * * * *

(b) *Periodic statements required.* * * *

(9). The closing date of the billing cycle and the outstanding balance in the account on that date, using the term "new balance," accompanied by the statement of the date by which, or the period, if any, within which payment must be made to avoid additional finance charges, except that the creditor may, at his option and without disclosure, impose no such additional finance charges if payment is received after such date or termination of such period.

4. To implement sec. 412, § 226.9 (g)(5) and (h) would be added as follows:

§ 226.9 Right to rescind certain transactions.

* * * * *

[14] For this purpose, the amount financed is the amount which is required to be disclosed under § 226.8(c)(7), or (d)(1), as applicable, or would be so required if the transaction were subject to this Part 226.

(g) *Exceptions to general rule.* * * *
(5) Any transaction in which an agency of a State is the creditor.

(h) *Time limit for right of rescission.* A customer's right to rescind a transaction pursuant to this section shall expire three years after the date of consummation of the transaction or upon the date that the customer transfers title to the property, whichever occurs earlier, notwithstanding any failure of the creditor to deliver to the customer the disclosures required by this section or the other material disclosures required by this Part 226.

5. To implement sec. 401, § 226.10(f) would be added as follows:

§ 226.10 Advertising credit terms.

* * * * *

(f) *Credit payable in more than four instalments; no identified finance charge.* Any advertisement to aid, promote, or assist directly or indirectly an extension of consumer credit repayable by agreement in more than four instalments shall, unless a specific finance charge is or will be imposed, state clearly and conspicuously: "The cost of credit is included in the price quoted for the goods and services."

6. To implement sec. 410, § 226.13(l) would be added as follows:

§ 226.13 Credit cards—issuance and liability.

* * * * *

(l) *Business use of credit cards.* If 10 or more credit cards are issued by one card issuer for use by the employees of a single business or other organization, nothing in this section prohibits the card issuer from agreeing by contract with such business or other organization as to liability for unauthorized use of any such credit cards without regard to the provisions of this section, but in no case may any business or other organization or card issuer impose liability on any employee of such business or other organization with respect to unauthorized use of such credit card except in accordance with and subject to the other liability limitations of this section.

This notice is published pursuant to section 553(b) of title 5 United States Code, and § 262.2(a) of the rules of procedure of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (12 CFR 262.2(a)). To aid in the consideration of these matters by the Board, interested persons are invited to submit relevant data, views, or arguments in writing to the Office of the Secretary, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than February 14, 1975. Such material will be made available upon request, except as provided in 12 CFR 261.6(a) of the Board's Rules Regarding Availability of Information.

By order of the Board of Governors, December 27, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-741 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[49 CFR Part 1001]

[Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 11)]

IMPLEMENTATION OF RECENT AMENDMENTS TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

Notice of Proposed Rules, Making

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 6th day of January, 1975.

It is ordered, That based on the reasons set forth in the attached notice, a proceeding be, and it is hereby, instituted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, 553, and 559 (the Administrative Procedure Act), for the purpose of implementing recent amendments to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552).

It is further ordered, That the attached notice be, and it is hereby, adopted and is incorporated by reference into this order;

And it is further ordered, That notice of the institution of this proceeding shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy of this order and the attached notice in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy of the notice to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER as notice to interested persons.

The Interstate Commerce Commission has always sought to make public information readily available pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). The Congress has recently amended the Freedom of Information Act in an effort better to assure the public of ready access to government records. These amendments require certain modifications in our existing rules (49 CFR Part 1001).

The existing regulation provides that requests to inspect records other than those now deemed to be of a public nature shall be addressed to the Secretary (49 CFR 1001.4). The proposed modification would require the Secretary to decide within 10 days (except Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) whether a requested record could be made available and would require the Secretary to inform a requesting party in writing of any refusal to provide information with a detailed explanation of why the requested records cannot be made available. If the Secretary rules that records cannot be made available [see 5 U.S.C. 522(a)(3) which provides for exemptions to the Freedom of Information Act], then the existing regulation provides for an appeal to the Chairman whose decision shall be administratively final. The proposed modification would require the filing of such an appeal within 30 days of the date of the Secretary's denial letter and would also require the Chairman to render a decision within 20 days (except Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) of receipt of any appeal. The Chairman would issue an order explaining the reasons for his decision.

Because this Commission desires to make information readily available to the public, we will waive our right to charge a fee for requests for information. We will, however, be required to continue our practice of charging 25 cents a page to xerox records [49 CFR 1002.1(e)].

Oral hearings do not appear necessary at this time and none is contemplated. Anyone wishing to present views and evidence, either in support of, or in opposition to, the action proposed in this notice may do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. An original (and 15 copies whenever possible) of such data, views, or arguments shall be filed with this Commission on or before January 15, 1975. This relatively short comment period is necessitated by the fact that the statutory amendments are due to become effective on February 19, 1975.

All written submissions will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C.

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of sections 552, 553, and 559 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 552, 553, and 559).

Issued in Washington, D.C., January ,1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

Accordingly, it is proposed to modify 49 CFR 1001.4 so that it would read as follows:

§ 1001.4 Requests to inspect other records not considered public under 5 U.S.C. 552.

Requests to inspect records other than those now deemed to be of a public nature shall be in writing and addressed to the Secretary. The Secreary shall determine within 10 days (excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) whether a requested record will be made available. If the Secretary determines that a request cannot be honored, he must inform the requesting party in writing of his decision and such letter shall contain a detailed explanation of why the requested material cannot be made available. If the Secretary rules that such records cannot be made available because they are exempt under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(3) (sec. 1, 81 Stat. 54), appeal from such ruling may be addressed to the Chairman whose decision shall be administratively final. Such an appeal must be filed within 30 days of the date of the Secretary's letter. The Chairman shall formally act on such appeals within 20 days (excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) of receipt of any appeals, unless unusual circumstances require an extension of no more than 10 working days for the proper processing of the particular request.

[FR Doc.75-949 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 240]

[Release No. 34-11155; File No. S7-544]

SECURITIES BROKERS AND DEALERS

Proposal To Adopt Fidelity Bonding Requirements for Non-Members of a National Securities Association

The Securities and Exchange Commission has announced a proposal to adopt Rule 15b10-11 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule") (17 CFR 240.15b10-11), to establish mandatory fidelity bonding requirements for registered broker-dealers which are not members of a registered national securities association [1] ("SECO broker-dealers"). Section 15(b)(10) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") authorizes the Commission to adopt rules for SECO broker-dealers which are designed " * * * to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to promote safeguards against unreasonable profits or unreasonable rates of commission or other charges, and in general to protect investors and the public interest, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market." Moreover, Section 15(c)(3) of the Act authorizes the Commission to adopt rules providing "safeguards with respect to the financial responsibility and related practices of brokers and dealers."

As a result of a request made by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC") in mid-1971 that misappropriation of assets of NASD members through employee theft and dishonesty be excluded from the losses covered by SIPC, the NASD Board of Governors in December of that year established a Committee on Bonding Coverage and instructed it to study the present bonding practices of the exchanges with a view to requiring similar coverage for NASD members. As a result of the NASD Committee's recommendations, the NASD Board of Governors adopted Article III, Section 32 (and Appendix "C" thereto) ("Section 32") of the NASD Rules of Fair Practice. The Commission subsequently considered the NASD rule and did not disapprove it on October 24, 1973 subject to certain conditions which later were satisfied. New Section 32 became effective earlier this year. Proposed Rule 15b10-11 is designed to impose comparable bonding requirements on SECO broker-dealers. Also, as in the case of Section 32, the proposed Commission rule would provide exemptions for those firms which do not have employees as well as firms which are not required to comply with Rule 15c3-1 (17 CFR 240.15c3-1) under the Act,[2] the "net capital" rule, or to be members of SIPC.

[1] The National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") is the only association so registered.

[2] Members of certain exchanges, the American Stock Exchange, the Boston Stock Exchange, the Midwest Stock Exchange, the New York Stock Exchange, the Pacific Stock Exchange, the PBW Stock Exchange, and the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., are presently exempt from the provisions of Rule 15c3-1 under the Act. However, it should be noted that such members are generally subject to comparable or more stringent bonding requirements except for certain specified classes of members.

Description of Proposed Rule

Proposed Rule 15b10-11 (17 CFR 240.15b10-11) would require that SECO broker-dealers carry a fidelity bond in the form, amount and type of coverage prescribed by the Rule. The bond would be required to contain agreements covering at least the following areas: a "fidelity" insuring clause to indemnify the insured broker-dealer against loss of property through any dishonest or fraudulent acts of employees (this clause also generally covers losses due to "fraudulent trading" by employees); an "on premises" agreement insuring against losses resulting from common law and statutory crimes such as burglary and theft including a "misplacement" clause specifically covering misplacement and "mysterious unexplainable disappearances" of property of the insured (no matter where located); an "in transit" clause indemnifying against losses occurring while property is in transit; a "forgery and alteration" agreement insuring against loss due to forgery or alteration of various kinds of negotiable instruments (including checks); and a "securities loss" clause protecting the insured against losses incurred through forgery and alteration of securities, or written documents relating to securities ownership or conveyance.

In addition, proposed Rule 15b10-11 (17 CFR 240.15b10-11) would require SECO broker-dealers to obtain certain minimum coverages similar to the coverages set forth in the NASD's Section 32. The minimum coverage for any of the insuring agreements described above could not be less than $25,000; however, the required coverage for the "fidelity", "on premises", "in transit", "misplacement", and "forgery and alteration" agreements would increase in proportion to the individual broker-dealer's minimum net capital requirements provided for in Rule 15c3-1 under the Act. The broker-dealer would be required initially to determine minimum required coverage of the bond by reference to the highest net capital required during the continuous 12 month period immediately preceding issuance of the bond. Necessary revisions in the amount of coverage would be determined thereafter in the same fashion, at least annually, on the anniversary date of the bond's issuance. The required coverage would be computed on a percentage basis, i.e., 120% of minimum net capital for individual firms with minimum capital requirements up to $600,000. For firms with minimum capital requirements beyond $600,000, however, coverage would be determined by reference to a table provided in subparagraph (c)(1)(B). The fixed scale of coverage which would be provided in the table is generally comparable to that imposed on member firms by the New York Stock Exchange's ("NYSE") mandatory bonding rule.[3] However, the minimum coverage which would be required under proposed Rule 15b10-11 is, in general, less than that under the NYSE rule in keeping with the somewhat lower net capital requirements and capitalization of SECO broker-dealers. Coverage under the "fraudulent trading" provision would be the greater of $25,000 or 50% of the minimum required with respect to the five categories listed above. The requisite coverage for "securities forgery" would be the greater of $25,000 or 25% of the coverage required for each of the five above mentioned categories.[4]

SECO broker-dealers subject to the proposed Rule would be permitted to have a deductible provision included in the bond of the greater of $5,000 or 10% of their minimum insurance requirement.[5] It appears that this deductible feature enables firms to acquire bonding coverage at a more reasonable cost. In addition, the proposed rule would require notice of any "cancellation, termination or substantial modification" [6] of a fidelity bond to be given by the acting party to the affected party [7] and to the Commission not less than sixty days prior to such cancellation, termination or substantial modification. The bond shall include a cancellation rider which shall make it incumbent on the insurance carrier to comply with the notice requirements, as they apply to the carrier, on a "best efforts" basis. In the event a SECO broker or dealer wishes to terminate his existing bond with a view to acquiring an appropriate substitute bond, however, he may satisfy this reporting oligation by providing the insurance carrier and the Commission with notice of such action no later than ten days before the effective date of termination of the previous bond. Notice to the Commission shall include either a copy of the replacement insurance contract or the binder for such contract.

The Securities and Exchange Commission acting pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and particularly sections 15(b), 15(c), and 23(a) thereof, and deeming it in the public interest and to aid it in execut-

[3] Cf. NYSE Rule 319 (CCH NYSE Manual, ¶ 2319.)

[4] These special coverages are necessitated by the more limited availability and greater expense of acquiring these indemnifications as compared to the other insuring agreements.

[5] Rule 319 of the NYSE allows members to self-insure to the extent of the greater of $10,000 or 5% of the minimum insurance requirement set by the Exchange.

[6] Paragraph (d) of the proposed Rule defines "substantially modified" or "substantial modification" of a fidelity bond as any change in the "type or amount of fidelity bonding coverage, or in the exclusions to which the bond is subject, or any other change in the bond such that it no longer complies with the requirements of this rule."

[7] Of course, determination of which party (i.e., whether the insurance carrier of the insured broker-dealer) is the "acting" or "affected party" in a given case depends on which party initiates such action on the bond.

ing the functions vested in it, hereby proposes to amend Part 240 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adopting § 240.15b10–11 which is set forth below:

§ 240.15b10–11 Fidelity bonds.

(a) Every non-member broker or dealer which is a member of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation, is subject to Rule 15c3–1 under the Act, and has employees shall carry a blanket fidelity bond covering officers and employees of such broker or dealer in the form, amount and type of coverage hereinafter prescribed.

(b) Every non-member broker or dealer subject to the rule must carry either the Stockbroker's Blanket Bond, Standard Form No. 14 of the Surety Association of America with such riders as may be required by this rule, as revised to the effective date of this rule, or an equivalent bond, covering the officers and employees of such broker or dealer, which provides against loss and has insuring agreements covering at least the following:

(1) Fidelity;
(2) On Premises;
(3) In Transit;
(4) Misplacement;
(5) Forgery and Alteration (including check forgery);
(6) Securities Loss (including securities forgery); and
(7) Fraudulent Trading

(c)(1) Minimum monetary coverage for each of the Fidelity, On Premises, In Transit, Misplacement, and Forgery and Alteration insuring agreements shall be the greater of $25,000 or such other amount as shall be determined by reference to minimum required net capital computed under Rule 15c3–1 under the Act as follows:

(i) Each non-member broker or dealer whose required net capital does not exceed $600,000 must maintain minimum coverage of not less than 120 percent of such requirement.

(ii) Each non-member broker or dealer whose required net capital is equal to or exceeds $600,000 must maintain minimum coverage as indicated in the following table:

Net capital requirement under rule 15c3–1	*Minimum coverage*
$600,001 up to $1,000,000	$750,000
Over $1,000,001 up to $2,000,000	1,000,000
Over $2,000,001 up to $3,000,000	1,500,000
Over $3,000,001 up to $4,000,000	2,000,000
Over $4,000,001 up to $6,000,000	3,000,000
Over $6,000,001 up to $12,000,000	4,000,000
Over $12,000,001	5,000,000

(2) Minimum monetary coverage for the Fraudulent Trading insuring agreement shall not be less than $25,000 or 50% of the coverage required in paragraph (c)(1) of this section whichever is greater.

(3) Minimum monetary coverage for the Securities Forgery insuring agreement shall not be less than $25,000 or 25% of the coverage required in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, whichever is greater.

(4) Every non-member broker or dealer subject to this rule may have a deductible provision included in his bond of up to $5,000 or 10 percent of the minimum insurance requirement established hereby, whichever is greater, for any individual loss.

(5) The minimum required coverages prescribed hereinabove shall be determined initially on issuance of the bond by reference to the highest required net capital computed under Rule 15c3–1 under the Act for the 12 month period immediately preceding such issuance or, if the non-member broker or dealer was subject to Rule 15c3–1 for less than 12 months, for such lesser period immediately preceding such issuance as such broker or dealer was subject to that rule. Thereafter, such coverage shall be redetermined annually, as of the anniversary date of the issuance of the bond, by reference to the highest required net capital for the immediately preceding 12 month period, which amount shall be used to determine the minimum required coverage for the succeeding 12 month period. Any necessary adjustments shall be made within 30 days after the anniversary of the bond.

(6) The bond shall provide that it shall not be cancelled, terminated or substantially modified except after written notice given by the acting party to the affected party and to the Commission not less than sixty days prior to the effective date of such cancellation, termination or modification; providing, however, that in the event the non-member broker or dealer elects to cancel, terminate or modify the provisions of the bond upon notice of less than sixty days such non-member broker or dealer may do so on the condition that such non-member broker or dealer shall so notify the Commission not less than ten days prior to the effective date of such notice by providing the Commission with either a copy of the replacement insurance contract or a copy of the binder for such contract. Any bond issued pursuant to this rule shall include a cancellation rider providing that the insurance carrier will use its best efforts to comply with the applicable reporting requirements of this paragraph.

(d) Definitions. For the purpose of this Rule:

(1) The term "non-member broker or dealer" shall mean any broker or dealer registered under Section 15 of the Act which is not a member of a national securities association registered with the Commission under Section 15A of the Act.

(2) The term "substantial modification" or "substantially modified" shall mean any change in the type or amount of fidelity bonding coverage, or in the exclusions to which the bond is subject or any other change in the bond such that it no longer complies with the requirements of this Rule.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed Rule. Written statements of views and comments should be addressed to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549 on or before February 10, 1975 and should refer to File No. S7–544. All such comments will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

DECEMBER 26, 1974.

[FR Doc.75–669 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

SECRETARY OF THE NAVY'S ADVISORY BOARD ON EDUCATION AND TRAINING (SABET)

Establishment, Organization and Functions

In accordance with the provisions of Public Law 92–463, Federal Advisory Committee Act notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Navy's Advisory Board on Education and Training (SABET), has been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law. The Office of Management and Budget has also reviewed the justification for this advisory committee and concurs with its establishment.

The charter for the Secretary of the Navy's Advisory Board on Education and Training (SABET) is as follows:

The Advisory Board advises the Secretary of the Navy on policy concerning all facets of education and training for Navy and Marine Corps personnel, officer and enlisted, active and inactive. The Board shall review Navy and Marine Corps education and training policy and programs as designated by the Secretary of the Navy or Service representatives to the Board, and shall make appropriate recommendations to the Secretary of the Navy regarding Navy and Marine Corps education and training, and assist the Secretary in formulating policy on new and projected programs of education and training.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Directorate for Correspondence and Directives, DASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75–796 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Public Law 92–463, effective January 5, 1973, notice is hereby given that a closed Panel meeting of the DIA Scientific Advisory Committee will be held at the Space and Missiles System Organization, Los Angeles, California on:

Wednesday, 5 February 1975

The entire meeting commencing at 0900 hrs. is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552(b), Title 5 of the U.S. Code, therefore will be closed to the public.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

JANUARY 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75–799 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 FR 3892 et seq).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 75–00075–33–46040. Applicant: Princeton University, Department of Biology, Guyot Hall, Washington Road, Princeton, New Jersey 08540. Article: Electron Microscope, Model JEM 100C. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in a wide range of research projects some of which include the following: (1) Cell adhesion and cell movement studies; (2) Studies on in vitro assembly of microtubules with high resolution microscopy; (3) Examination of various tissue cultures of Tobacco teratome under conditions of varying sucrose content in order to determine the ultrastructure of the different classes of chloroplasts induced under these conditions; (4) Differentiation in the cellular slime molds; (5) Ultrastructural studies of differentiating plant cells; (6) Development studies in Caulobacter cresentus; (7) Drosophila Y chromosome structure and function. In addition, the article will be used to train personnel in electron microscopy techniques, particularly graduate students engaged in some aspect of research.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign article has a specified resolving power of 3 Angstroms (Å) point to point and is equipped with a high resolution universal top entry goniometer stage with a guaranteed point to point resolution of 5Å. The most closely comparable domestic instrument is the Model EMU–4C electron microscope supplied by the Adam David Company. We are advised by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) in its memorandum dated November 21, 1974 that the characteristics of the foreign article described above are pertinent to the applicants research studies. HEW further advises that the EMU–4C does not have a scientifically equivalent goniometer stage nor resolution. We, therefore, find that the EMU–4C is not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Acting Director, Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75–722 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

Decison on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 FR 3892 et seq).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 74–00433–63–46040. Applicant: University of Florida, Institute of Food & Agri. Sciences, Agri. Research Center, 3205 S.W. 70th Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314. Article: Electron Microscope, Model EM 201. Manufacturer: Philips Electronic Instruments NVD, The Netherlands. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of biological materials. Initially ultra thin sections of diseased palm trees as well as potential insect vectors will be studied during research on Lethal Yellowing Disease of coconuts.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application denied. An instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This application is a resubmission of Docket Number 74–00097–63–46040 which was denied with-

out prejudice to resubmission on December 20, 1973.

In reply to Question 8 the applicant alleges that the foreign article provides the following characteristics of which are not possessed by the most closely comparable domestic instrument or apparatus:

(1) The EM 201 has highly efficient specimen and camera airlocks.

(2) The EM 201 is equipped with both a plate camera capable of taking 16 exposures with one loading, and a 35MM roll camera capable of taking 40 exposures with one loading. These cameras may be used intermittently without breaking vacuum and without changing film.

(3) The objective lens current of the EM 201 varies automatically with change in magnification and condenser with one control.

(4) The EM 201 is the only high resolution transmission electron microscope commercially available which is small enough to fit in the installation site at this Research Center.

At the time the foreign article was ordered two domestically manufactured electron microscopes were available, the Model ETEM–101 (a relatively simple, easy to operate, low resolution instrument) produced by Elektros Incorporated, and the Model EMU–4C supplied by Adam David Company. The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) reviewed this application and found the EMU–4C to be the most closely comparable domestic instrument. In its memorandum dated June 28, 1974, HEW provides the following advice with respect to the characteristics cited above:

Characteristics 1 and 2.—"The EMU–4C has a specimen airlock and 35 mm camera with 30 exposures, or a 70 mm [camera] with 240 exposures, so that a camera airlock is not pertinent. [It is further noted that the EMU–4C's plate camera system permits 18 or more exposures in one loading.]

Characteristics 3 and 4.—"The use [of the article] is in research. . . . The volume of specimens and micrographs will require an experienced operator, therefore, any relative ease of operation is not clearly relevant nor is the article's size."

Accordingly, HEW recommends that the application be denied since the research purposes intended do not establish a pertinent specification of the article, [within the meaning of § 701.2(n) of the regulations], that justifies duty-free entry. Therefore, we find that the Model EMU–4C is of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Acting Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75–721 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Article

The following is a decision on an application for duty-free entry of a scientific article pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 FR 3892 et seq.).

A copy of the record pertaining to this decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 75–00088–33–46040. Applicant: University of Utah, Biology Department, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112. Article: Electron Microscope, Model Elmiskop 101. Manufacturer: Siemens AG, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for high resolution work on the study of the structure and arrangement in situ of the component molecules of associations of Kinetoplast—DNA in Trypanosomes and related organisms being carried out in an attempt to elucidate how this DNA is packaged in the cell and how it replicates. The article will also be used in a study of the form and structure of DNA molecules from Mitochondria, Chloroplasts and the Chromosomes of higher organisms.

Comments: No comments have been received with respect to this application. Decision: Application approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the foreign article was ordered (May 10, 1974). Reasons: The foreign article has a specified resolving capability of 3.5 Angstroms (Å). The most closely comparable domestic instrument available at the time the article was ordered was the Model EMU–4C electron microscope supplied by Adam David. The Model EMU–4C had a specified resolving capability of 5 Å. (Resolving capability bears an inverse relationship to its numerical rating in Å, i.e., the lower the rating, the better the resolving capability.)

We are advised by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) in its memorandum dated November 29, 1974 that the best resolution available is pertinent to the applicant's intended uses of the article, which includes examination of negatively and positively stained DNA in a study to elucidate how DNA is packaged in the cell and how it replicates. HEW further advised that domestic instruments did not offer a guaranteed resolution equivalent to that of the foreign article at the time the article was ordered. We, therefore, find that the EMU–4C was not of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article for such purposes as this article is intended to be used.

The Department of Commerce knows of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign article, for such purposes as this article is intended to be used, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time the article was ordered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Acting Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.75–723 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

National Bureau of Standards

COLORS FOR MOLDED UREA PLASTICS

Commercial Standard Action on Proposed Withdrawal

In accordance with § 10.12 of the Department's "Procedures for the Development of Voluntary Product Standards" (15 CFR Part 10, as revised; 35 FR 8349 dated May 28, 1970), notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of Commercial Standard CS 147–47, "Colors for Molded Urea Plastics."

It has been determined that this standard is technically inadequate, no longer used by the industry and that revision would serve no useful purpose. This action is taken in furtherance of the Department's announced intentions as set forth in the public notice appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 25, 1974 (39 FR 41191), to withdraw this standard.

The effective date for the withdrawal of this standard will be March 10, 1975. This withdrawal action terminates the authority to refer to this standard as a voluntary standard developed under the Department of Commerce procedures.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS,
Director.

[FR Doc.75–735 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

WOOD SHINGLES AND MACHINE-GROOVED SHAKES AND REBUTTED-REJOINTED SHINGLES

Commercial Standards Action on Proposed Withdrawal

In accordance with § 10.12 of the Department's "Procedures for the Development of Voluntary Product Standards" (15 CFR Part 10, as revised; 35 FR 8349 dated May 28, 1970), notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of Commercial Standards CS 31–52, "Wood Shingles (Red Cedar, Tidewater Red Cypress, California Redwood)" and CS 199–55, "Machine-Grooved Shakes and Rebutted-Rejointed Shingles."

It has been determined that these standards are technically inadequate, no longer used by the industry and that revision would serve no useful purpose. This action is taken in furtherance of the Department's announced intentions as set forth in the public notice appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 25, 1974 (39 FR 41191), to withdraw these standards.

The effective date for the withdrawal of these standards will be March 10, 1975.

This withdrawal action terminates the authority to refer to these standards as voluntary standards developed under the Department of Commerce procedures.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS,
Director.

[FR Doc.75-736 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NORTHWEST FISHERIES CENTER

Issuance of Marine Mammals and Endangered Species Permits

On November 4, 1974, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 38920), that applications had been filed by the Northwest Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington 98112, for permits to take, by marking, marine mammals, and to import marine mammal specimen materials, for the purpose of Scientific Research, under the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407), and for Scientific Purposes, under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531–43).

Notice is hereby given that, on January 3, 1975, the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Scientific Research Permit, as authorized by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, and a Scientific Purposes Permit, as authorized by the provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, to the Northwest Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, subject to certain conditions set forth therein. Issuance of the Scientific Purposes Permit, as required by the Endangered Species Act of 1973, is based on a finding that such permit (1) was applied for in good faith, (2) if granted and exercised, will not operate to the disadvantage of the endangered species which are the subject of the permit application, and (3) will be consistent with the purposes and policy set forth in Section 2 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The Permits authorize the participation in a cooperative U.S./U.S.S.R. whale marking cruise sponsored by the All-Union Research Institute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography of the Soviet Union. The Permits, under the provisions of the two above cited statutes, authorize the taking, by marking, of the following marine mammals, which are treated as endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973:

50 fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*).
75 sei whales (*Balaenoptera borealis*).
200 sperm whales (*Physeter catodon*).

and authorize the importation of specimen materials from such whales which are accidentally killed in the course of the marking.

The Scientific Research Permit, under the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, also authorizes the taking, by marking, of the following marine mammals, which are not treated as endangered:

50 Bryde's whales (*Balaenoptera edeni*).
100 minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*).

and authorizes the importation of specimen materials from such whales which are accidentally killed in the course of the marking.

The Permits are available for review by interested persons in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235, and the Office of the Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Region, Lake Union Building, 1700 Westlake Avenue, North, Seattle, Washington 98109.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Acting Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.75-737 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Wyoming 48902]

WYOMING

Notice of Application

JANUARY 2, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), the Western Oil Transportation Company, Incorporated has applied for an oil pipeline right-of-way across the following lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 32 N., R. 85 W.,
Sec. 5, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 8, N½NW¼, SE¼NW¼;
Sec. 15, NW¼SW¼.
T. 33 N., R. 85 W.,
Sec. 31, Lots 3, 4, SE¼SW¼.
T. 32 N., R. 86 W.,
Sec. 1, lot 1.
T. 33 N., R. 86 W.,
Sec. 25, SW¼NW¼, NW¼SW¼, SW¼SE¼;
Sec. 26, N½N½, SE¼NE¼.

The pipeline will convey oil across approximately 3.48 miles of national resource land in Natrona County, Wyoming.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 2834, Casper, WY 82601.

PHILIP C. HAMILTON,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-740 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Wyoming 48968]

WYOMING

Notice of Application

JANUARY 2, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), the Northwest Pipeline Corporation has applied for natural gas pipelines across the following lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 27 N., R. 112 W.,
Sec. 29, Lot 4;
Sec. 30, NE¼NE¼.
T. 28 N., R. 113 W.,
Sec. 32, SE¼NE¼;
Sec. 33, SW¼NW¼;
Sec. 34, NE¼NE¼.

The pipeline will convey natural gas across 0.754 mile of national resource lands in Sublette County, Wyoming.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1869, Rock Springs, WY 82901.

PHILIP C. HAMILTON,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.75-748 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Geological Survey

OIL AND GAS WELL COMPLETION AND WORKOVER ORDER, GULF OF MEXICO AREA

Extension of Time for Comments

On December 11, 1974, comments were solicited as to the suggested content of a Proposed OCS Order for Oil and Gas Well Completion and Workover Procedures, Gulf of Mexico Area (39 FR 43234). Comments were to be submitted on or before January 20, 1975. The Geological Survey is now extending the comment period to March 3, 1975 to provide interested parties additional time to submit suggestions regarding the proposed Order.

W. A. RADLINSKI,
Acting Director.

[FR Doc.75-754 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

DISTRIBUTORS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Renewal

Notice is hereby given that the Distributors' Advisory Committee is being renewed for an additional period of 2 years, effective January 5, 1975, under provisions of Pub. L. 92-463.

The purpose of the Distributors' Advisory Committee is to submit its recommendations for regulation of shipments of peaches to the Industry Committee under Federal Marketing Order No. 918 each time the Industry Committee meets.

The Distributors' Advisory Committee represents the Georgia peach industry as prescribed in the order. The three shippers who shipped the largest proportion of peaches shipped during the preceding season are entitled to one member each. The remaining four members are selected from the remaining handlers. Each

district shall be represented by at least one member and one alternate member.

Authority for this Board will expire January 5, 1977, unless it is determined that continuance is in the public interest.

This notice is given in compliance with OMB Circular A–63, Revised.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.75–799 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

HOP MARKETING ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Renewal

Notice is hereby given that the Hop Marketing Advisory Board is being renewed for an additional period of 2 years, effective January 5, 1975, under provisions of Pub. L. 92–463.

The purpose of the Board is to advise the Hop Administrative Committee under Federal Marketing Order No. 991 concerning marketing policy and other operational matters as the Committee requests.

This Board represents handlers of hops. Representation for most is based on the quantities of hops handled; and one representative is for extractors.

Authority for this Board will expire January 5, 1977, unless it is determined that continuance is in the public interest.

This notice is given in compliance with OMB Circular A–63, Revised.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.75–798 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

RAISIN ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Renewal

Notice is hereby given that the Raisin Advisory Board is being renewed for an additional period of 2 years, effective January 5, 1975, under provisions of Pub. L. 92–463.

The purpose of the Board is to advise the Raisin Administrative Committee under Federal Marketing Order No. 989 concerning marketing policies, free and reserve percentages, and other operational matters as the Committee requests.

This Board represents all segments of the raisin industry. Grower representation is based upon the quantity of raisins produced in specified districts in California. Cooperative and independent handlers, dehydrators, processors and producer bargaining associations, are also represented.

Authority for this Board will expire January 5, 1977, unless it is determined that continuance is in the public interest.

This notice is given in compliance with OMB Circular A–63, Revised.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.75–800 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

SHIPPERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Renewal

Notice is hereby given that the Shippers Advisory Committee is being renewed for an additional period of 2 years, effective January 5, 1975, under provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (86 Stat. 770).

The purpose of the committee is to recommend to the Growers Administrative Committee under Federal Marketing Order No. 905 appropriate regulations for any variety of fruit, covered by the order, during such period or periods as it deems appropriate.

The committee represents the Florida citrus industry as prescribed in the Order. At least three members and their alternates shall be from nominees submitted by bona fide cooperative marketing organizations which are handlers. The remaining members and their alternates shall be from nominees submitted by handlers other than cooperative marketing organizations, with at least three members and their alternates being handlers and likewise producers.

Authority for this committee will expire January 5, 1977, unless it is determined that continuance is in the public interest.

This notice is given in compliance with OMB Circular A–63, Revised.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.75–801 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

Farmers Home Administration

[Notice of Designation Number A120]

KANSAS

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Kansas:

Anderson	Leavenworth
Chase	Osage

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of drought June 9 to August 20, 1974.

Therefore, the Sercetary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93–237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Robert B. Docking that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than February 24, 1975, for physical losses and September 29, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of January, 1975.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.75–806 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

Forest Service

UMPQUA NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON

Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for the management of Dumont, Quartz and Last Creek Roadless Areas, Umpqua National Forest, Oregon. USDA–FS–R–6–DES–(Adm)–75–06.

The environmental statement concerns a proposal for management direction of three roadless areas within the Umpqua National Forest, Douglas County, State of Oregon.

This draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on January 3, 1975.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service
South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3231
12th St. & Independence Ave., SW
Washington, D.C. 20250

USDA, Forest Service
Pacific Northwest Region
319 SW Pine Street
Portland, Oregon 97204

Umpqua National Forest
Federal Office Building
Roseburg, Oregon 97470

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor, Umpqua National Forest, P.O. Box 1008, Roseburg, Oregon 97470.

KENT T. CHURCHILL,
Acting Forest Supervisor, Umpqua National Forest.

[FR Doc.75–734 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES ACT–INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Renewal

Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture has renewed the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act-Industry Advisory Committee for an additional period of 2 years.

This committee represents all segments of the fruit and vegetable industry and provides advice and counsel in the administration of the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act.

The Chairman of the committee is Mr. Floyd F. Hedlund, Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Authority for this committee will expire January 3, 1977, unless the Secretary formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

This notice is given in compliance with Pub. L. 92-463.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

JOSEPH R. WRIGHT, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc.75-807 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON DRUG ABUSE

Notice of Establishment

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776), the Alcohol Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration announces the establishment by the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, on December 26, 1974, of the following advisory committee:

Designation. National Advisory Council on Drug Abuse.

Purpose. The National Advisory Council on Drug Abuse shall advise, consult with, and make recommendations to the Secretary concerning matters relating to the activities and functions of the Secretary in the field of drug abuse, including, but not limited to, the development of new programs and priorities, the efficient administration of programs, and the supplying of needed scientific and statistical data and program information to professionals, paraprofessionals, and the general public. The Council shall also advise and make recommendations to the Secretary concerning policies and priorities respecting grants and contracts in the field of drug abuse and shall perform all statutory required grant and contract review and such other grant and contract review as directed by the Chairman. In carrying out these functions the Council may make recommendations to the Secretary covering policies related to drug abuse education, prevention, training, treatment, rehabilitation, or research.

This charter is effective through December 26, 1976, unless rechartered by the Secretary on or before that date.

Dated: January 6, 1975.

JAMES D. ISBISTER,
Acting Administrator, Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration.

[FR Doc.75-790 Filed 1-8-75;8:46 am]

Center for Disease Control

SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH STUDY SECTION; MEDICAL LABORATORY SERVICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Renewals

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776), the Center for Disease Control announces the approval of renewals by the Secretary, DHEW, on December 26, 1974, with concurrence by the Office of Management and Budget Committee Management Secretariat, of the following public advisory committees.

Designation: Safety and Occupational Health Section.

Purpose: The Study Section advises and makes recommendations to the Secretary and the Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Center for Disease Control, on scientific, research, and training areas in need of special emphasis and on the competency available to meet such needs. It provides scientific and technical review of all research, research training, technical and technological training, and demonstration grant applications, and fellowships in the program areas related to injury prevention and control, occupational health and industrial hygiene; and makes recommendations to appropriate National Advisory Councils as to grant applications which merit support.

Designation: Medical Laboratory Services Advisory Committee.

Purpose: The Committee advises the Secretary and the Director, Center for Disease Control, on various laboratory matters and proposed regulations and evaluates the approaches of the Bureau of Laboratories, Center for Disease Control, and recommends revisions or additions.

Authority for these committees will expire June 30, 1976, unless the Secretary, DHEW, with the concurrence by the Office of Management and Budget Committee Management Secretariat, formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

DAVID J. SENCER,
Director, Center for Disease Control.

[FR Doc.75-823 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Food and Drug Administration

PANEL ON REVIEW OF LAXATIVE, ANTIDIARRHEAL, ANTIEMETIC, AND EMETIC DRUGS

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776; 5 U.S.C. App.), the Food and Drug Administration announces the following public advisory committee meeting and other required information in accordance with provisions set forth in section 10(a)(1) and (2) of the act:

Committee name	Date, time, place	Type of meeting and contact person
Panel on Review of Laxative, Antidiarrheal, Antiemetic, and Emetic Drugs.	Jan. 24 and 25, 9 a.m., Conference Room J, Parklawn Bldg., 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md.	Open January 24, 9 a.m. to 10 a.m., closed after 10 a.m.; closed Jan. 25. John T. McElroy (HFD-109), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, 301-443-4960.

Purpose. Reviews and evaluates available data concerning the safety and effectiveness of active ingredients of currently marketed nonprescription drug products containing laxative, antidiarrheal, antiemetic, and emetic drugs.

Agenda. Open session: Comments and presentations by interested persons. Closed session: Continuing review of over-the-counter laxative, antidiarrheal, antiemetic, and emetic drug products under investigation.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

During the open sessions shown above, interested persons may present relevant information or views orally to any committee for its consideration. Information or views submitted to any committee in writing before or during a meeting shall also be considered by the committee.

A list of committee members and summary minutes of meetings may be obtained from the contact person for the committee both for meetings open to the public and those meetings closed to the public in accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Most Food and Drug Administration advisory committees are created to advise the Commissioner of Food and Drugs on pending regulatory matters. Recommendations made by the committees on these matters are intended to result in action under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and these committees thus necessarily participate with the Commissioner in exercising his law enforcement responsibilities.

The Freedom of Information Act recognized that the premature disclosure of regulatory plans, or indeed internal discussions of alternative regulatory approaches to a specific problem, could have adverse effects upon both public and private interests. Congress recognized that such plans, even when finalized, may not be made fully available in advance of the effective date without damage to such interests, and therefore provided for this type of discussion to remain confidential. Thus, law enforcement activities have long been recognized as a legitimate subject for confidential consideration.

These committees often must consider trade secrets and other confidential information submitted by particular manufacturers which the Food and Drug Administration by law may not disclose, and which Congress has included within the exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act. Such information includes safety and effectiveness information, product formulation, and manufacturing methods and procedures, all of which are of substantial competitive importance.

In addition, to operate most effectively, the evaluation of specific drug or device products requires that members of committees considering such regulatory matters be free to engage in full and frank discussion. Members of committees have frequently agreed to serve and to provide their most candid advice on the understanding that the discussion would be private in nature. Many experts would be unwilling to engage in candid public discussion advocating regulatory action against a specific product. If the committees were not to engage in the deliberative portions of their work on a confidential basis, the consequent loss of frank and full discussion among committee members would severely hamper the value of these committees.

The Food and Drug Administration is relying heavily on the use of outside experts to assist in regulatory decisions. The Agency's regulatory actions uniquely affect the health and safety of every citizen, and it is imperative that the best advice be made available to it on a continuing basis in order that it may most effectively carry out its mission.

A determination to close part of an advisory committee meeting does not mean that the public should not have ready access to these advisory committees considering regulatory issues. A determination to close the meeting is subject to the following conditions: First, any interested person may submit written data or information to any committee, for its consideration. This information will be accepted and will be considered by the committee. Second, a portion of every committee meeting will be open to the public, so that interested persons may present any relevant information or views orally to the committee. The period for open discussion will be designated in any announcement of a committee meeting. Third, only the deliberative portion of a committee meeting, and the portion dealing with trade secret and confidential information, will be closed to the public. The portion of any meeting during which nonconfidential information is made available to the committee will be open for public participation. Fourth, after the committee makes its recommendations and the Commissioner either accepts or rejects them, the public and the individuals affected by the regulatory decision involved will have an opportunity to express their views on the decision. If the decision results in promulgation of a regulation, for example, the proposed regulation will be published for public comment. Closing a committee meeting for deliberations on regulatory matters will therefore in no way preclude public access to the committee itself or full public comment with respect to the decisions made based upon the committee's recommendation.

The Commissioner has been delegated the authority under section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act to issue a determination in writing, containing the reasons therefor, that any advisory committee meeting is concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b), which contains the exemptions from the public disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act. Pursuant to this authority, the Commissioner hereby determines, for the reasons set out above, that the portions of the advisory committee meetings designated in this notice as closed to the public involve discussion of existing documents falling within one of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552 (b), or matters that, if in writing, would fall within 5 U.S.C. 552(b), and that it is essential to close such portions of such meetings to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid undue interference with Agency and committee operations. This determination shall apply only to the designated portions of such meetings which relate to trade secrets and confidential information or to committee deliberations.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

A. M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-724 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Health Resources Administration

COOPERATIVE HEALTH STATISTICS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the Administrator, Health Resources Administration, announces the meeting dates and other required information for the following National Advisory body scheduled to assemble during the month of February 1975:

Committee name and date/time/place	*Type of meeting and/or contact person*
Cooperative Health Statistics Advisory Committee, Feb. 6-7, 1975—9 a.m., Twin Bridges Marriott, Arlington Room, U.S. 1 and I-95, Washington, D.C. 20001.	Open. Contact: Dr. James Robey, Room 8-11, Parklawn Bldg., 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md., code 301-443-1314.

Purpose: The Committee is to represent the interests of the people of the United States in providing advice and guidance to the Secretary and the National Center for Health Statistics on policies and plans in developing a major new national network of integrated or coordinated subsystems of data collections, processing, and analysis over a wide range of questions relating to general health problems of the population, health care resources, and the utilization of health care services.

Agenda: The Committee will discuss the Budget and Legislative Reports; hear Task Force Reports on Cost Sharing, Communications, Confidentiality, and System Definitions. A report of the Albuquerque Workshop will be presented; recommendation for a technical consultant panel on Hospital Care Statistics will be offered. Also a report on the Transfer of Manpower Projects to NCHS from BHRD, and discussion of other related general matters.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

This meeting is open to the public for observation and participation. Anyone wishing to participate, obtain a roster of members, or other relevant information, should contact the person listed above.

Dated: December 27, 1974.

DANIEL F. WHITESIDE,
Associate Administrator for Operations and Management, Health Resources Administration.

[FR Doc.75-795 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR, REGION VII, KANSAS CITY, MO.

Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part 1 of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of the Secretary is amended to delete sections 1E (35 FR 13546), 8/25/70, and 1E8109 (39 FR 20713) 6/13/74. Section 1E80, Assistant Regional Director for Human Development (38 FR 17262) 6/29/73, is retained and redesigned 1R95. New sections are added for the several regions. Section 1E8 reflects the official organization of the Office of the Regional Director, Region VII, whose headquarters is Kansas City, Missouri. The new Chapter reads as follows:

SECTION *1E87 .00 Mission.* The Regional Director represents the Secretary in his Region. Under his direction, the Office of the Regional Director provides leadership and coordination in various Department programs and activities within the Region and represents the Department in direct official dealings with State and other governmental units, representatives of the Congress, and the general public.

SEC. *1E87 .10 Organization.* The Office of the Regional Director, Region VII, is under the direction and control of the Regional Director who reports directly to the Secretary and Under Secretary and consists of the following:

Regional Director.
Deputy Regional Director.
Office of Long Term Care Standards Enforcement.
Office of the Regional Attorney.
Office of Equal Employment Opportunity.
Executive Secretariat.
Congressional Liaison.
Office of the ARD for Public Affairs.
Office of the ARD for Intergovernmental Affairs.
Office of the ARD for Administration and Management.
Office of the ARD for Planning and Evaluation.
Office of the ARD for Financial Management.
Office for Civil Rights.

Office of Audit.
Office of the Regional Commissioner, Social and Rehabilitation Service.
Office of the Regional Commissioner, Office of Education.
Office of the ARD for Human Development.
Office of the Regional Commissioner, Social Security Administration.
Office of the Regional Health Administrator.

SEC. 1E87.20 *Functions.* A. Regional Director, Region VII. The functions of the Regional Director are:

1. Serves as the Secretary's representative in direct official dealings with State and other governmental units, and evaluates Regional, State, and local activities related to the Department's programs.

2. Develops regional priorities which emphasize the Department goals and highlight areas of particular needs or opportunities in the Region so that efforts and resources may be brought to bear on them. Formulates regional plans for each priority and assures that regional agency heads achieve all their objectives in accordance with their plans. Conducts formalized planning conferences with regional representatives to assure a complete exchange of significant management information.

3. Exercises general coordination and supervision of personnel and activities in the Region to ensure proper execution of policies, regulations, and instructions applicable to the Department as a whole. Recognizes interprogram disparities, exercises leadership to keep these disparities within constructive limits to assure effective, efficient, and responsive actions in the interest of total service to the public.

4. Assures that staff offices provide full support to agency operating programs.

5. Provides coordination of the activities of the principal representatives of the principal operating components who are stationed in or detailed to the region, including determination of regional program priorities and official communications with representatives of State or other Federal agencies.

6. Through coordination and supervision, exercises leadership in bringing about necessary awareness of the status of other programs of the Regional Office, and fosters cooperative relationships among program and staff representatives in seeing that plans are effectively made, operations are smoothly carried out, and performance is adequately evaluated.

7. Promotes general public understanding of the programs, policies, and objectives of the Department, and participates in the development and carrying out of a Regionwide information and public information program.

8. Establishes and maintains working relationships with Governors and key State and local officials; furnishes advice and assistance and strives to develop a mutually beneficial Federal-State-local partnership. Provides guidance to regional staff members on the priorities, emphases, and merits of various requirements based on expressions of need and analyses by governors, mayors, and other key officials.

9. Maintains working relationships with private agencies and institutions; develops ways in which their plans and programs and those of the Department can actively complement each other.

10. Develops continuing cooperative relationships with officials of the Federal agencies in the Region; through the medium of Regional Councils seeks ways in which interdepartmental delivery of program services can be made more effective.

11. In accordance with regulations and guidelines established at headquarters, administers the child development programs in the region, including the Head Start program. Makes certain Head Start grants and takes other grants actions, as required.

12. Through liaison, periodic conferences, and other means, takes action to coordinate and integrate activities which are not directly associated with the regional office with regional office activities.

13. Develops plans for emergency preparedness and directs all Department activities necessary to ensure continuity of essential functions within the Region in case of an emergency due to enemy action; maintains a written plan for regional emergency operations; maintains liaison with all Federal authorities engaged in mobilization planning; acts in cooperation with them in an emergency situation; directs on behalf of Secretary all Department activities in the Region if communications with national headquarters are cut off.

14. Directs regional activities for assistance and alleviation of distress within the region resulting from natural disasters; maintains a plan for regional response to natural disasters, including emergencies and major disasters under the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 Pub. L. 93-288; takes all necessary and appropriate action in connection with disaster situations and reports thereon.

15. In accordance with regulations and guidelines established at headquarters, administers, through the Office of Long Term Care Standards Enforcement, activities as herein described relating to the approval and termination of agreements with skilled nursing facilities for the purpose of participation in either the Medicare (Title XVIII) or in both the Medicare and Medicaid (Title XIX) programs.

B. Deputy Regional Director Region VII. Serves as Acting Regional Director in the absence or disability of the Regional Director or in the event of a vacancy in the Office of Regional Director. The Deputy Regional Director performs other duties and functions at the request of the Regional Director.

C. Executive Secretariat Region VII. Monitors the decisionmaking process for the Regional Director and facilitates the internal processes of coordination and communication, as follows:

a. Screens Regional Director's correspondence and filters out those items which require immediate attention by the Regional Director and Regional Director's staff, as well as the assignment of time deadlines for Regional Director's action items. Takes appropriate action to clarify issues and instructions before a request for information is forwarded to the appropriate action office. Provides current and consolidated information or indicates where such information may be obtained for all policy issues and projects in the Region.

b. Operates a comprehensive system for tracking action items and ensure that the Regional Director has timely and quality input from all appropriate offices on which to base his decisions. Assures that all outgoing correspondence are quality products that represent the best possible presentation of the Regional Director's views; synthesizes detailed responses from various offices into a single document for outgoing correspondence going to the Secretary and other Headquarters units, and for Regional Director's decision memoranda.

c. Provides for feedback to the Regional Director on the impact of his decisions. By obtaining periodic status reports on selected key issues and projects, ensures proper compliance with past decisions, highlights problem areas for renewed Regional Director's attention, and develops an ever current supply of data for management conferences and for responding to incoming requests from the Secretary, various elected officials, and regional staff.

D. Office of the Regional Attorney Region VII. The functions of the Office of the Regional Attorney are as follows:

1. Advises and counsels the Regional Director and operating program personnel on legal issues relating to their responsibilities within the region. On all matters within the competence of the legal profession the Regional Attorney is subject to the supervision of the General Counsel; on all other matters he is subject to the supervision of the Regional Director.

2. As requested by the Regional Director, assists in legal aspects of program development and of policy problem solution;

3. Provides professional legal services, such as preparation of legal instruments, memoranda, reports, and interpretive analyses;

4. Represents or counsels the Regional Director in negotiations to resolve actual and potential problems of a legal nature;

5. Provides appropriate legal assistance to state agencies and officials in connection with DHEW programs, as requested by the Regional Director;

6. As requested by the General Counsel, prepares for and conducts administrative hearings, aids the U.S. attorney in preparation for and conduct of litigation, and performs such other duties as may be requested by the General Counsel;

7. Seeks to so order his time and workload priorities as to meet the needs of the Regional Office as determined by the Regional Director;

8. Subject to final approval by the Regional Director, selects, promotes, and takes all personnel actions with respect to his professional and clerical staff, in

accordance with the personnel policies of the Office of the General Counsel.

E. Office of Equal Employment Opportunity Region VII. Serves as the Regional Director's staff for the establishment and maintenance of a positive program of non-discrimination in Departmental employment in the Region. Has responsibility for the Regional HEW Federal Women's Program and the Regional Spanish-Surnamed Program. Monitors the Regional EEO complaint system and issues decisions on all formal complaints. Prepares the Regional Annual Affirmative Action Plan.

F. Office of Long Term Care Standards Enforcement Region VII. Performs these functions as follows:

1. Provides recommendations to the Regional Director on administrative actions necessary to carry out those portions of Titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act related to the certification by State agencies of skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) for participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Those activities, within the region, which pertain to Title XVIII and the Title XIX certification include: the Issuance of Title XVIII time limited agreements; for homes participating under Title XVIII or under both Titles XVIII and XIX, the approval of corrective plans of action for deficiencies in SNFs which participate either as components of larger institutions or as free standing units; granting waivers of provisions of the Life Safety Code of the National Fire Protection Association (21st edition, 1967) or provisions of Standard No. A117.1 of the American National Standards Institute, and waivers of certain other provisions of physical environment standards as they pertain to SNFs; public disclosure of State agency reports of deficiencies in SNF compliance with standards in accordance with section 1864(a) of the Social Security Act; approval of State fire codes in lieu of the Life Safety Code; and granting waivers, under specified circumstances, of the requirement that an SNF have on duty more than one registered nurse more than 40 hours per week.

2. Establish and maintain close working relationships with administrators of State health, welfare, and other departments involved under established agreements in the certification of and assistance to SNFs and ICFs. Perform evaluations of: State agency performance with respect to enforcing health and safety standards for SNFs and ICFs; and the State agencies' recommendations for waivers of provisions of the 1967 Life Safety Code with respect to SNFs and ICFs. Monitor States' Implementation of the ICF regulations.

3. Participate in the negotiations of budget with State survey agencies for their services and review those portions of the State agency budget relative to SNF/ICF certification and the provision of State consultative services to SNFs and ICFs and recommend to the Social Security Administration (SSA), Regional Commissioner and to the Social and Rehabilitation Service (SRS), Regional Commissioner, amounts that should be approved for SNF and ICF certification and certification-related activities.

4. Participate with other appropriate Federal programs in evaluation of State agency certification operations which are designed to assess State survey agency performance in program management, in applying established health, safety, and Life Safety Code standards and in evaluating quality of care (e.g., participates in SSA's comprehensive program reviews of State survey agency performance and in SRS's program reviews of the Title XIX single state agency).

5. Develop and implement procedures to assure the timely and effective conduct of the following: (a) State surveys of individual SNFs and ICFs, (b) Federal review and processing of State agency certifications and documentations pertaining to SNF compliance, (c) Federal decisions approving agreements, terminations or the granting of waivers to SNFs and (d) Federal Validation surveys of selected SNF and ICF facilities.

6. Provide technical assistance for the professional training of State agency personnel of their duties in survey/certification and evaluation of the functional performance of SNFs and ICFs with respect to the quality of health care delivered.

7. Assist State agencies to develop their capabilities for the provisions of specialized technical assistance to SNFs and ICFs on highly complex aspects of the survey requirements and on the development of acceptable plans of corrective action for overcoming deficiencies.

8. Assist States, provider organizations, and educational institutions in the stimulation, development, and implementation of training opportunities for SNF and ICF personnel in order to correct deficiencies and upgrade the quality of care offered, including mental health aspects of long term care.

9. Review complaints received by the Regional Directors concerning State agency and SNF/ICF activities and initiate appropriate action for investigation and resolution.

10. With SSA, SRS and the Public Health Service (PHS), as appropriate, provide information and interpretations concerning standards for the delivery of SNF and ICF services to media, consumer and provider groups, professional health associations, and other health and welfare groups.

11. Based on regional conditions and trends related to SNFs and ICFs, make recommendations to the Office of Nursing Home Affairs (ONHA) or through ONHA, to the headquarters components of SSA, PHS, and SRS, as appropriate, on revisions to present program policies criteria, standards or procedures.

12. Provide Data and reports on ONHA on SNF/ICF survey/certification activities on SNF and ICF health service utilization and on the impact of certification and assessment procedures on the delivery on SNF and ICF health service utilization and on the impact of certification and assessment procedures on the delivery of SNF and ICF health services. Provide reports to SSA, SRS, and PHS on the status of SNF and ICF facility compliance in the region.

13. Work with and provide information as requested, to the Social Security Administration, on the following SNF related activities:

a. Utilization review processes of SNFs;

b. Change of provider status in the Medicare program (e.g., change of ownership, termination because of failure to provide proper financial information or because of requests for payment substantially in excess of costs or for improper or unnecessary services, or withdrawal from program);

c. Certification of SNF's as a "distinct part" of another facility; and

d. Requests for hearings on terminated SNFs participating in Medicare.

14. Work with, and provide information on request to, the Social and Rehabilitation service, on the following SNF and ICF related activities:

a. Utilization and periodic medical review procedures for SNFs;

b. Utilization and independent professional review procedures for ICFs;

c. Level of care determinations;

d. Recipient eligibility issues; and

e. Cost-sharing requirements.

15. Work with, and provide information as requested to, the Public Health Service on the following SNF and ICF related activities:

a. Health care standards development efforts of the Bureau of Quality Assurance;

b. Utilization review determinations under Professional Standards Review Organizations;

c. Provider improvement program initiatives of the Health Resources Administration;

d. Comprehensive health planning determinations under section 1122 of the Social Security Act; and

e. Other relevant SNF and ICF program activities conducted by the Health Resources Administration, Health Services Administration, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institutes of Health, Center for Disease Control, and the Food and Drug Administration.

16. Coordinate with the Office of Human Development in the areas of their delegated responsibilities for, and concern with, the mentally retarded and aging.

17. Coordinate, under the Office for Civil Rights in monitoring the implementation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 with respect to SNFs and ICFs.

18. Coordinate, under the direction of the Regional Director, with regional personnel of the Office of Facilities Engineering and Property Management on matters relating to the interpretation and enforcement of provisions of the Life Safety Code.

19. Coordinate with the Department of Housing and Urban Development in implementation of Public Law 93-204.

G. Office of the Assistant Regional Director for Public Affairs, Region VII.

1. Provide briefing material and other intelligence for visits to the region by the President, Vice President, the Secretary, the Under Secretary, and other top officials, including members of Congress.

2. Maintain in close liaison with groups outside the Federal Government—national media, publication houses, constitutent agencies in State and local government, major health/education/welfare organizations, Governor's offices, and mayors of various cities.

3. Serves as a principal advisor to the Regional Director in the formulation of policies, approaches, and procedures in the field of public information and in the formulation of approaches to major policy issues and has a broad range of responsibility in developing overall strategies and techniques for long range Public Affairs activities, in line with the Secretary's policy and the trend toward interagency coordination and Departmental control.

4. Advises key officials of the Regional Office, including the Regional Director and agency representatives on public information, public reporting, and related aspects of program matters.

5. Serves as a central point of communication with the press, radio and TV news media, issuing all news materials originating within the Regional Office and amplifying, clarifying or explaining the impact and affect within the Region of national news issued by Departmental headquarters.

6. Is responsible for overall program supervision of the Regional Office's total public information program. Coordinates and exercises functional supervision over information services and all other activities of the Regional Office related to publications, public reports, and other informational and public affairs matters. Is responsible for the clearance of all information for public distribution before its release and certification as to the necessity for illustrations and related materials.

7. Prescribes procedures for planning, production, clearance, release, and distribution of all material prepared within the Region for release through Government channels.

8. Issues policies, standards, and procedures as may be necessary to carry out the public affairs functions and responsibilities of the Regional Office.

9. Serves as the official denial authority for all regional documents requested under the Freedom of Information Act.

H. Office of the Assistant Regional Director for Planning and Evaluation. [Reserved]

I. Office of the Assistant Regional Director for Intergovernmental Affairs. [Reserved]

J. Office of the Assistant Regional Director for Financial Management.

1. Provides financial management support to the Regional Director and Regional program personnel. Under policies and procedures established by the Office of the Assistant Secretary, Comptroller, supervises the performance of the following financial management functions: accounting and financial reporting, budget formulation and execution; and work with grantees to include technical assistance, indirect cost negotiation, single letter of credit activities, and audit follow-up.

2. Represents the Department in the Regions with the HEW Audit Agency, GAO, and the Treasury Department.

3. Is responsible for the financial administration and management of OS Departmental Management and Working Capital Fund allotments or allowances which are given to the Regional Director.

4. Performs Regional Accounting and Reporting activities: accounting, controlling, and reporting for all HEW funds in the Region; serves as the focal point for all DHEW and grantee financial information concerning the Region; and maintains status reports on and provides accounting services for single letter of credit activities in the Region.

5. Carries on cost allocation and payment systems activities as follows: Is responsible for all indirect cost rate negotiations (including State and local cost allocation plans) based on cost policies and procedures established by the Division of Cost Policy and Negotiations; provides financial management technical assistance to State and local governments, public welfare agencies and other HEW clientele; develops the single letter of credit system within the Region; and provides assistance in settling audit findings of major managerial significance as disclosed by audit reviews of selected grantees' management systems.

6. Performs budget activities as follows: Prepares consolidated Regional budget estimates and justifications. Acts as advocate for budget priorities based on Regional needs and characteristics. Provides assistance to the Regional planning offices in formulating a Regional plan. Assesses Regional impact of Agency budget proposals for use of the Regional Director in providing comments to the Secretary on the Department budget; supervises budget execution in the Region, including accountability for distribution of budgeted fiscal and manpower resources; prepares recommended allowances and manpower allocations for submission to the Regional Director; implements development of a budget data system capable of maintaining current information of fund and position availability for all Regional programs.

K. Office of the Assistant Regional Director for Administration and Management.

1. Serves as the principal adviser to the Regional Director on and directs or participates actively in all aspects of administrative management, including organization, procedures, management systems, delegations of authority, management surveys and studies, and paperwork management.

2. Directs and coordinates the regional activities related to the operation of the Operational Planning System.

3. Serves as the principal adviser to the Regional Director on all aspects of personnel management. Administers the regional program, including the classification of positions, the processing of appointments, and selected on-the-job training activities.

4. Reviews grants and contracts proposals for general adherence to program goals and management soundness and exercises regional sign-off authority as appropriate.

5. Provides the leadership in the establishment, maintenance, and effective use of management information and the system related thereto.

6. Administers the Regional Surplus Property Utilization program.

7. Establishes a system of effective property management, including the maintenance of item and financial property accounts.

8. Conducts periodic inspections of regional space and facilities to assure the application of optimum standards and practices related to physical and personnel safety and security.

9. Provides office services to all activities in and near the regional headquarters location, including mail pick-up and delivery; procurement, stocking, and distribution of common supplies; maintenance of the official regional files; printing and reproduction services, moving and storage services.

10. Assures the delivery of the total architectural/engineering services in support of HEW grant and loan and direct Federal construction programs and of HEW owned and utilized facilities.

L. Office of the Assistant Regional Director for Human Development. (See Chapter 1R95, HEW *Organization Manual* (38 FR 17262 6/29/73) (formerly numbered as 1E80).)

Sec. 1E87.30 Relationships to Agency Regional Staffs and Regional Audit and Regional Civil Rights Staff. Agency regional staffs and Regional Civil Rights and Regional Audit staffs are under the line direction and control of their parent headquarters organizations. The regional staffs are subject to the general leadership and coordination of the Regional Director and receive administrative, financial, and other support services from him and his staff. The functional statements for these offices are to be found with the statements of their parent organizations.

Sec. 1E87.40 Order of Succession. In the absence or disability of the Regional Director, the Deputy Regional Director serves as acting Regional Director. In the event of the absence or disability of both the Regional Director and Deputy Regional Director and where there is a vacancy in both positions, the Secretary or Under Secretary will designate the acting Regional Director.

Sec. 1E87.50 Delegations of Authority. The delegations of authority of the Regional Director are:

A. Surplus Property Utilization.

1. Regional Directors have been delegated certain authority which may not be redelegated as follows:

a. Real Property. This delegation relates to the conveyance and utilization of

surplus real property and related personal property for educational and public health purposes, pursuant to section 203(k) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended. Each Regional Director, consistent with policies and procedures set forth in applicable regulations of the Department, is authorized:

(1) To execute deeds, contracts of sale, and all instruments incident or corollary to the transfer of land and improvements thereon, or in modification of previous transfers with respect to land and improvement cost of property was less than $1 million;

(2) To execute all instruments of conveyance or in modification of previous transfers with respect to land and improvements thereon where the acquisition and improvement cost was $1 million or more and the Office of Surplus Property Utilization specifically authorizes closing the transaction by the Regional Office; and

(3) To execute all instruments of conveyance relating to the transfer of improvements located outside his jurisdiction and intended for removal to and use within his jurisdiction.

b. Personal Property. To act or designate a member of his staff (other than the SPU Regional Representative) to act as reviewing officer to approve or disapprove determination by the Regional Representative authorizing State Agencies to abandon or destroy surplus personal property having a line item acquisition cost of $1,000 or more.

2. Regional Directors have been delegated certain authority related to real property which they may redelegate in writing to the SPU Regional Representative as follows:

a. Consistent with policies and procedures set forth in applicable regulations of the Department, to perform or take the actions stated below, with respect to disposal and utilization of surplus real and related personal property.

(1) To request and accept assignments from Federal agencies of:

a. Improvements for removal and use away from the site;

b. Improvements for removal to and use in another regional jurisdiction; and

c. Land and improvements thereon where the acquisition and improvement cost of the property was less than $1 million.

(2) To make determinations incident to the disposal of assigned property described in a(1)(a) and a(1)(c) above;

(3) To issue and execute licenses and interim permits affecting assigned property described in a(1)(a) and a(1)(c) above;

(4) To execute instruments of transfer relative to property described in a(1)(a) above; except in those cases provided for in A1a(3).

(5) Except for execution of instruments of conveyance or in modification of previous transfers, to take all action with respect to land and improvements thereon where the acquisition and improvement cost was $1 million or more and the Office of Surplus Property Utilization specifically authorizes closing of the transaction by the Regional Director; and

(6) Incident to the exercise of the authority hereinbefore provided to receive remittances and performance guarantee deposits and bonds, to request refunds or payments, and to request forfeiture or release of performance bonds.

b. Consistent with the policies and procedures set forth in applicable regulations of the Department, with respect to the disposal of educational and public health purposes of surplus real property improvements and related personal property located outside his jurisdiction, but intended for removal to and use within his jurisdiction, to take actions set forth in a(2), a(3), and a(6) above.

c. Consistent with the policies and procedures set forth in applicable regulations of the Department, with respect to property within his jurisdiction previously conveyed for educational and public health purposes:

(1) To make determinations concerning the utilization and the enforcement of compliance with the terms and conditions of disposal of:

(a) Improvements for removal and use away from the site; and

(b) Land and any improvements thereon regardless of the acquisition and improvement cost;

(2) To accept voluntary reconveyances and to effect reverter of title to land and improvements located thereon, without regard to acquisition cost;

(3) To report to the General Services Administration revested properties excess to program requirements in accordance with applicable regulations;

(4) To execute instruments necessary to carry out, or incident to the exercise of, the authority delegated in this paragraph; and

(5) Incident to the exercise of the authority delegated in this paragraph, to receive remittances and performance guarantee deposits and bonds, to request refunds or payments, and to request forfeiture or release of performance bonds.

d. With respect to the States within the jurisdiction of his region, consistent with the policies and procedures of the Department, to enter into cooperative agreements, under section 203(n) of the Act, with State Agencies for Surplus Property.

3. Regional Directors may redelegate in writing the following authority related to personal property to the SPU Regional Representative; the latter may likewise redelegate in writing the authority to the Assistant Regional Representative. Regional Representative may also redelegate in writing to his allocator(s) the authority stipulated in a(1)(a), a(1)(b) and a(1)(e), insofar as a(1)(e) pertains to a(1)(a) and a(1)(b):

a. Consistent with policies set forth in applicable regulations and procedures of the Department.

(1) To perform or take the actions stated below with respect to the allocation for donation of surplus personal, health, or civil defense purposes.

(a) To make determinations concerning the usability of and need for surplus personal property by educational or health institutions and civil defense organizations;

(b) To allocate surplus personal property and to take all actions necessary to accomplish donation, or transfer of property so allocated;

(c) To make determinations of eligibility of educational and public health donees to acquire donable property;

(d) To designate individuals recommended by State agencies as State representatives for the purpose of inspecting and screening surplus personal property; and

(e) To execute all instruments, documents, and forms necessary to carry out, or incident to the exercise of, the foregoing authority.

(2) To allocate property within his jurisdiction to any other regional jurisdiction and to take the actions set forth in (1)(b) above in connection with such out-of-region allocation.

(3) To take the actions set forth in (1)(b), (c), and (e) above in connection with any property that is available for transfer to his jurisdiction from another region.

(4) With respect to personal property located within his jurisdiction and in possession of State agencies for subsequent donation for educational, public health, and civil defense purposes;

(a) To effect redistribution of usable and needed property to other State Agencies;

(b) To authorize and execute instruments necessary to carry out cannibalization, secondary utilization, and revision of acquisition cost of property;

(c) To recommend to GSA for disposal, property excess to the needs of State agencies; and

(5) With respect to personal property located within his jurisdiction previously donated for educational and public health purposes:

(a) To make determinations and take actions appropriate thereto concerning the utilization of such property, including retransfer and the enforcement of compliance with terms and conditions which may have been imposed on and which are currently applicable to such property;

(b) To execute instruments necessary to carry out, or incident to the exercise of, the authority delegated in (a) above;

(c) To recommend to GSA for disposal, property excess to the needs of donees, except boats over 50 feet in length and aircraft;

(d) Incident to the exercise of the authority delegated in this paragraph, to request refunds or payments; and

(e) To authorize and execute instruments necessary to carry out sales, abrogations, revision of the period of restriction, secondary utilization or cannibalization, revision of acquisition cost, trade-in of an item on a similar replacement, and destruction or abandonment of property in the custody of donees.

(6) With respect to the States within the jurisdiction of his region, to approve State plans of operation and amend-

ments thereto submitted by State agencies for surplus property: Provided, however, that disapproval of a State plan in whole or in part is concurred in by the Director, Office of Surplus Property Utilization.

(7) With respect to the States within the jurisdiction of his region, to enter into cooperative agreements, under section 203(n) of the Act, with State agencies for surplus property of such States, either individually or collectively.

4. Regional Representatives have been delegated certain authority related to personal property directly by the Director of the Office of Surplus Property Utilization; the authority may be redelegated in writing to the Assistant Regional Representative:

(a) Consistent with policies set forth in applicable regulations and procedures of the Department.

(1) To authorize destruction or abandonment by a determination in writing that the property has no commercial value, subject, however, to approval of such determination in the case of property having a line item acquisition cost of $1,000 or more, by a reviewing officer before authorization to destroy or abandon is given to the State agency.

Sec. 1E87.50 Delegations of Authority. B. Human Development.

1. Regional Directors have been delegated the certain authorities by the Assistant Secretary for Human Development as follows:

a. Under the general policies and in such form as prescribed by the Director, Office of Child Development (and approved by the Assistant Secretary for Human Development) and in conformity to the allocations and financial guidelines of the Director, Office of Child Development to make grants under section 222(a)(1) of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 (Project Head Start), except insofar as such grants are for programs which primarily serve migrants or Indians living on Federal reservations. This authority may be redelegated.

(b) Under the general policies and in such form as prescribed by the Assistant Secretary for Human Development and in conformance with the allocations and financial guidelines issued by him, Regional Directors are authorized to make grants or contracts under the authority of Title I of the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Act. The Regional Director is authorized to redelegate this authority only to the Assistant Regional Director for Human Development without the concurrence of the Assistant Secretary for Human Development.

(c) To make, amend, suspend, and cancel the grants and contracts authorized in "a." and "b." above and to issue audit disallowances as well as to receive appeals on and make final decisions on such disallowances.

Dated: July 6, 1973.

Sec. 1E87.50 Delegations of Authority. C. Long Term Care Standards Enforcement.

1. Regional Directors have been delegated the following authorities under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, as amended, which pertain to skilled nursing facility standards enforcement and which may be redelegated only to the Director, Office of Long Term Care Standards Enforcement:

a. To approve or disapprove certifications made by State agencies under the provisions of section 1864(a), that a health care institution is or is not a skilled nursing facility as defined in section 1861(j);

b. To enter into agreements with skilled nursing facilities as provided in section 1866(a), including authority to determine the term of such agreements;

c. To terminate agreements, under the provisions of section 1866(b)(2)(B), with skilled nursing facilities where such facilities no longer substantially meet the requirements of section 1861(j);

d. To waive, for such periods as are deemed appropriate, specific provisions of the Life Safety Code of the National Fire Protection Association (21st edition, 1967) as provided in section 1861(j)(13);

e. To determine, in accordance with section 1861(j)(13), that the Life Safety Code of the National Fire Protection Association (21st edition, 1967) is not applicable in a State because a fire and safety code, imposed by State law, adequately protects patients in skilled nursing facilities;

f. To waive the requirements that a skilled nursing facility engage the services of a registered professional nurse for more than 40 hours a week as provided in section 861(g)(15);

g. To waive in accordance with 20 CRF 405.1134(c), for such periods as are deemed appropriate, specific provisions of American National Standards Institute Standard No. A117.1, American Standard Specifications for Making Buildings and Facilities Accessible to, and Usable by, the Physically Handicapped;

h. To waive, based on regulations, 20 CFR 405.1134(e), requirements relating to the number of beds per room and the minimum size for rooms in skilled nursing facilities; and

i. To determine, under the provisions of section 1864(a), that State agency survey reports (including reports of follow-up reviews), and statements of deficiencies based upon official survey reports, relating to the certification of skilled nursing facilities for compliance with the applicable provisions of section 1861 are final and official. This includes the authority to: (1) Assure that references to internal tolerance rules and practices are excluded from such reports or deficiency statements; (2) determine that such reports and deficiency statements have not identified individual patients, physicians, other practitioners, or individuals; (3) determine that involved skilled nursing facilities have been afforded a reasonable opportunity to offer comments; and (4) make final and official reports and deficiency statements available to the public in readily accessible form and place, along with any pertinent written statements submitted by skilled nursing facilities.

2. Regional Directors have been delegated the following authorities under Title XIX of the Social Security Act, as amended, which pertain to nursing facility standards enforcement and which may be redelegated only to the Director, Office of Long Term Care Standards Enforcement:

a. Authority under the provisions of section 1910(b) to notify the State agency administering the Title XIX State plan of the approval or disapproval of any institution which has applied for certification under Title XVIII, and the term of such approval.

b. Authority to waive, for Title XIX skilled nursing facilities for such periods as are deemed appropriate, specific provisions of the Life Safety Code of the National Fire Protection Association (21st edition, 1967) as provided in section 1861(j)(13) of the Social Security Act.

c. Authority to waive for Title XIX skilled nursing facilities the requirement that a skilled nursing facility engage the services of a registered professional nurse for more than 40 hours a week as provided in section 1861(j)(15) of the Social Security Act.

d. Authority vested in the Secretary under section 1905(c) of the Social Security Act to certify intermediate care facilities located on Indian reservations.

e. Authority vested in the Secretary under section 1905(h) of the Social Security Act to certify skilled nursing facilities located on Indian reservations.

Dated: December 31, 1974.

JOHN OTTINA,
Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

[FR Doc.75-788 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Disaster Assistance Administration

[Docket No. NFD-244; FDAA-453-DR]

OKLAHOMA

Amendment to Notice of Major Disaster

Notice of Major Disaster for the State of Oklahoma, dated November 26, 1974, is hereby amended to include the following counties among those counties determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of November 26, 1974:

The Counties of:

Dewey
Major
Payne

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 14.701, Disaster Assistance)

Dated: January 2, 1975.

WILLIAM E. CROCKETT,
Acting Administrator, Federal Disaster Assistance Administration.

[FR Doc.75-789 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-75-259]

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

Notice of Condominium Hearings

Section 821 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 mandated HUD to conduct a full investigation and study of condominiums and cooperatives and to report to Congress before August 22, 1975. Pursuant to this requirement, HUD is conducting a study of condominiums and cooperatives and the alleged problems and abuses involved in these markets that will include:

(a) Current data available on the number, characteristics, location, builders, financers and purchasers of condominums and cooperatives in the United States.

(b) Unit data on new construction and conversions, broken down by characteristics and structure type.

(c) Demographic and market data including prices and marketing trends.

(d) Data analysis to disclose trends, relationships, distributions, multiple correlates and other significant factors which might shed light on the reasons for the increased use of condominium and cooperative forms of tenure and/or the problems or abuses which may arise therefrom.

(e) Documentation and investigation and study of claimed problems, difficulties, abuses and potential abuses applicable to condominium and cooperative housing.

Notice is hereby given that HUD will hold a public hearing for the purpose of obtaining from all interested public and private sources views relating to reported problems and potential problems and abuses involved in condominium and cooperative ownership. Written and oral comments are solicited in connection with, but not limited to the following topics:

(a) The alleged abuses and problems surrounding condominium and cooperative development including issues relating to project development and management, and project conversions.

(b) The need for, scope and potential costs of, legislation to correct whatever problems may exist and the forms it might take.

The public hearing of record on these matters will be held on February 10 at 9 a.m. to end no later than February 12, 1975, at a place to be announced in a subsequent issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Requests to appear and present written comments and any other communications regarding this hearing should be submitted, together with a brief outline of the topics to be presented, no later than January 31, 1975, to the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, Attention: Condominium Task Force, Room 8110.

Any other written comments and information from parties not wishing to appear at the hearing should be submitted to the same address, preferably prior to the date of the public hearing and in any event no later than February 12, 1975. All comments and information submitted will be available to the public under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (Section 552 of Title 5, United States Code).

Dated: January 3, 1975.

MICHAEL MOSKOW,
Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

[FR Doc.75-725 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 26993; Order 74-12-80]

ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.

Order to Show Cause

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-30105, appearing at page 44797 in the issue for Friday, December 27, make the following changes:

1. The words "on the 20th day of December, 1974," should follow the second line of the first paragraph.

2. In the fourth paragraph, the fifth word should read: "five".

[Dockets 25280, 26494; Agreements C.A.B. 24714 R-4, 24816 R-1 through R-5, 24817 R-1 through R-9; Order 74-12-70]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Cargo Rate, Passenger Fare, and Currency Matters

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-29986, appearing at page 44798 in the issue for Friday, December 27, 1974, make the following change: On page 44799, in the first table, second column, the third entry should read "022i".

[Docket 25940]

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. ET AL.

Notice of Reassignment of Proceeding

In the matter of container loading and/or unloading charges proposed by American Airlines, Inc., Braniff Airways, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc.

This proceeding is hereby reassigned from Administrative Law Judge Milton H. Shapiro to Administrative Law Judge Arthur S. Present. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Present.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 3, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.75-791 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket 22162]

COUNTY OF SULLIVAN ET AL. (REMAND)

Notice of Reassignment of Proceeding

This proceeding is hereby reassigned from Administrative Law Judge Milton H. Shapiro to Administrative Law Judge Burton S. Kolko. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Kolko.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 3, 1975.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.75-792 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket 26530]

FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC.

Deletion of Columbus, Nebraska; Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a public hearing will be held in this proceeding on February 5, 1975, at 10 a.m. (local time) in Room 616, Union Pacific Plaza Bldg., 110 North 14th St., Omaha, Neb., before the undersigned.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 6, 1975.

[SEAL] HENRY WHITEHOUSE,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.75-793 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket 25280; Agreement C.A.B. 24851, 24873 R-1 through R-6; Order 75-1-14]

JOINT TRAFFIC CONFERENCES OF THE INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Relating to North and Mid Atlantic Cargo Rates

Issued under delegated authority January 6, 1975.

Agreements have been filed with the Board, pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations, between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Traffic Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA).

Agreement C.A.B. 24873, proposed to be effective February 1, 1975 through September 30, 1975 would establish cargo rates over the North Atlantic. In general the agreement would increase all rates, except the 30,000 kilogram rates, 10 cents per kilogram. The scheduled service 30,000 kilogram rates would be increased 15 cents per kilogram with an equivalent increase in minimum cargo charter rates in order to maintain the same differential vis-a-vis the 30,000 kilogram rates. Charges for minimum shipments would remain unchanged and westbound 30,000 kilogram rates from certain European countries would be specified in local currency. Finally Agreement C.A.B. 24851 would increase all general cargo and specific commodity rates between the U.S. Virgin Islands/Puerto Rico and Europe by 5 percent for effect February 1, 1975.

The purpose of this order is to establish dates for the submission of carrier justifications in support of the subject agreements, and comments from other interested persons. The carriers' justifications should include historical data, as reported to the Board in Form 41 reports by functional account, for total transatlantic services for the year ended September 30, 1974, adjusted to exclude scheduled and charter passenger services so as to establish the present economic status of cargo services on the areas covered by the subject agreements.[1] The

[1] A suggested format is shown in the Appendix, which should also be used to set forth historical and forecast information relating to traffic and capacity.

carriers will also be expected to include a forecast of operations for the year ending September 30, 1975 for the affected areas both including and excluding the increased rates for which they seek approval. Although Seaboard World Airlines is not a party to the IATA agreements, we will nevertheless expect it to provide data comparable to that requested from the U.S. IATA members.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. All United States air carrier members of the International Air Transport Association shall file within twenty days after the date of service of this order, full documentation and economic justification (as described above) in support of the subject agreement;

2. Seaboard World Airlines, Inc. shall file within twenty days after the date of service of this order, data similar to that required of the IATA carriers;

3. Comments and/or objections from interested persons shall be submitted within thirty days after the date of service of this order; and

4. Tariffs implementing the subject agreements shall not be filed in advance of Board action on the subject agreements.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

JAMES L. DEEGAN,
Chief Passenger and Cargo Rates Division, Bureau of Economics.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

Operating Revenues and Expenses International—Atlantic Area

	Historical					Forecast—Year ended Sept. 30, 1975: Total Atlantic scheduled and charter cargo operations	
		Exclusions		Total Atlantic cargo services scheduled and charter [2]			
	Year ended Sept. 30, 1974 [1]	Scheduled passenger and passenger charter services	Other [3]	As reported	Accounting adjustments [4]	At present rates	At proposed rates
Revenues:							
Total passenger revenues (scheduled)							
Express (scheduled)							
Freight (scheduled)							
Mail (scheduled)							
Charter, passenger							
Charter, freight							
Other transport:							
Overall transport revenues							
Nontransport revenue							
Overall operating revenues							
Expenses:							
Flying operations—fuel							
Maintenance—direct							
Maintenance—indirect							
Passenger service							
Aircraft and traffic servicing							
Promotion and sales							
General and Administrative—Transport related							
Amortization of development and Preop. Exp. etc							
Depreciation, flight equipment							
Depreciation, other than flight equipment							
Overall operating expenses							
Operating profit (loss)							
Other nonoperating income and expense, net							
Net income before tax							
Income tax at 48 percent							
Special items—net							
Income after tax and special items							
Additional interest expense							
Return element							
Investment							
ROI							

[1] As reported in form 41.
[2] Includes services performed for the military. Pan American is requested to exclude its total intra-German operations.
[3] Balance belly and all cargo services, including mail.
[4] To include but not limited to an annualization of present revenues and expenses—explain fully.

[FR Doc.75-794 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES PAY COUNCIL

Closure of Meetings

On December 5, 1974, it was announced that the meeting of the Federal Employees Pay Council scheduled for January 8, 1975, would be open to the public. On December 13, 1974, it was similarly announced that the meeting of the Federal Employees Pay Council scheduled for January 22, 1975, would be open to the public.

Since these announcements, the Chairman of the Civil Service Commission, who acts for the President's agent in making such determinations under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, has determined that both of these meetings will consist of discussions and exchanges of opinion about the fiscal year 1976 comparability adjustment which, if written, would fall within exemptions (2), (3), or (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

Therefore, he has determined that, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, both of these meetings shall be closed to the public, and minutes and other papers pertaining to them shall not be available for public inspection or copying.

We regret the necessity to close meetings which had previously been announced as open. We also regret that this determination as to the closure of the meeting of January 8 was not made in time to provide the same 15-day notice which is to be provided when meetings are originally announced.

For the President's agent.

RICHARD H. HALL,
Advisory Committee Management Officer for the President's Agent.

[FR Doc.75-785 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON REVISION OF THE FEDERAL COURT APPELLATE SYSTEM

NOTICE OF MEETING

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that the Commission on Revision of the Federal Court Appellate System will meet Thursday and Friday January 16 and 17, 1975, at 9:30 a.m., in Room S-146 of the Capitol.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss recommendations which may be included in the Commission's Preliminary Report on structure and internal operating procedures of the Federal courts of appeal system. The agenda includes design of a new federal appellate court, devices for managing the large circuit court, and means of assuring adequate judicial manpower in the federal appellate system. Included within the last-mentioned subject are the following specific topics: (1) Mechanisms for creating new judgeships without specific new legislation when caseloads reach a certain level; (2) Mechanisms for "filling" long vacant judgeships on a temporary basis in the absence of Presidential or Congressional action; (3) Appointment of a pool of at-large judges; (4) Encouraging circuit judges to take senior status at an earlier time.

The meeting is open to all interested persons.

A. LEO LEVIN,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.75-739 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS
Availability

Environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality from December 23 through December 27, 1974. The date of receipt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under Council Guidelines the *minimum* period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is forty-five (45) days from this FEDERAL REGISTER notice of availability (February 10, 1975). The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins on the date that the statement is made available to the Council *and* to commenting parties.

Copies of individual statements are available for review from the originating agency. Back copies will also be available, at cost, from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Fred H. Tschirley, Acting Coordinator, Environmental Quality Activities, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 331-E, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447-3965.

AGRICULTURAL STAB. AND CONSERV. SERVICE

Draft

Rice Act of 1974, December 24: The statement refers to legislation which would result in the establishing of improved programs for the benefit of producers and consumers of rice for the 1975 through 1977 crops. Environmental impacts of the program are related to the use of irrigation, herbicides, pesticides, and fertilizer and other production practices. (ELR Order No. 41929.)

FOREST SERVICE

Draft

Timber Management, Modoc N. F., Modoc, Siskiyou, and Lassen Counties, California, December 23: The statement refers to the ten year (1975-1984) timber management plan for the Modoc National Forest. The plan proposes a total Potential Yield of 756.4 million board feet and an annual harvest of 62.6 mmbf. The plan also includes construction and reconstruction of roads for timber sales and general public use. Adverse impact includes slight degradation of air and water quality, and temporary aesthetic loss. (ELR Order No. 41922.)

Timber Management, Gunnison N.F., Colorado, December 26: The statement refers to the 10 year (1975-1984) timber management plan for the Gunnison National Forest. Under the plan, 67,000 acres would be subject to silvicultural management that would result in the harvest of 345 thousand cunits of wood products, including 170 million board feet of sawtimber. Also included is the construction of 209 miles of roads and the reforestation of 4,000 acres of "understocked" forest lands. The Gunnison N.F. contains 128,465 acres of unroaded land which will undergo road construction and timber harvest. (ELR Order No. 41931.)

Warren Planning Unit, Payette N.F., Idaho and Valley Counties, Idaho, December 26: The statement refers to a proposed land use plan for the 352,000 Warren Planning Unit of the Payette National Forest. The plan identifies similar units of land and allocates these units to differing intensities of management. The land will be managed for wilderness characteristics, timber production, mineral exploration, domestic livestock grazing, and related objectives. Implementation of the plan would result in the loss of the wilderness option on 132,000 acres of potential wilderness area. The plan retains 35,500 acres to be managed as wilderness; an additional 126,000 acres would remain in primitive status (131 pages). (ELR Order No. 41934.)

Timber Plan, Arapaho N.F., Colorado, December 23: The statement refers to a proposed revised timber plan for the Arapaho National Forest. Under the plan, timber management activities would be applied on from 2,250 to 4,200 acres annually. There will be impact to air, water, soil, and visual qualities from timber harvest and road construction. (ELR Order No. 41911.)

Fall Cankerworm Spraying, Prince William County, Virginia, December 23: Proposed is the suppression of fall cankerworm infestations on 514 acres of wooded residential area in Prince William County, Virginia. The EIS discusses the aerial application of bacterial insecticide Bacillus thuringiensis. Adverse impacts discussed in the EIS include the noise effects from project aircraft and the temporary displacement of wildlife. (ELR Order No. 41915.)

Ruby Mountains, Humboldt N.F., Elko, White, and Pine Counties, Nevada, December 26: The statement refers to a proposed land use plan for the 355,155 acre Ruby Mountains-East Humboldt Planning Unit of the Humboldt National Forest. Land use objectives include watershed protection; maintenance of wildlife habitat; maintenance of livestock grazing; development of winter sports facilities; construction of access roads for mineral development; and related objectives. There will be adverse impact to air, water, and natural landscape qualities. The adverse impacts will result in the loss of wilderness characteristics on 3,603 acres to be committed to the winter sports development. (ELR Order No. 41933.)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Draft

West Upper Maple River Watershed, Clinton and Gratiot Counties, Michigan, December 23: Proposed is a watershed protection and flood prevention project for 4,300 acres of the West Upper Maple River Watershed. Project measures include: 9.5 miles of levee; 9.2 miles of collection channels and 2 pumps; 1.8 miles of channel work; land treatment measures; and recreational facilities. Fourteen acres of land will be inundated; 310 acres of wildlife habitat will be converted to crop production. There will be adverse impacts from recreational uses. (ELR Order No. 41924.)

County Line Creek Watershed, Rockingham and Caswell Counties, North Carolina, December 27. (ELR Order No. 41945.)

Elk Creek Watershed, Barbour, Harrison, and Upshur Counties, West Virginia, December 26. (ELR Order No. 41942.)

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Contact: For Non-Regulatory Matters: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington, Office of Assistant General Manager, E-201, AEC, Washington, D.C. 20545, (301) 973-4241. For Regulatory Matters: Mr. A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, P-722, AEC, Washington, D.C. 20545, (301) 973-7373.

Draft

Hartsville Nuclear Plants (TVA) Smith and Trousdale Counties, Tennessee, December 26. (ELR Order No. 41941.)

Final

Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Ocean County, New Jersey, December 26: Proposed is the issuance of a full-term operating license to the Jersey Central Power and Light Company for operation of the 1930 MWt, 620 MWe (net) Station. Exhaust steam is cooled by a once-through flow system with water from Barnegat Bay. Periodic fish kills occur during winter shutdowns of the station. Impingement on intake screens results in the significant annual loss of 32,000 blue crabs and 24,000 winter flounder, in an area heavily used for sport fishing. Comments made by: USDA, COE, DOC, HEW, DOI, DOT, EPA, FPC, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 41936.)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 967-4335.

Final

U.S.S. Monitor Research Marine Sanctuary, South Carolina, December 27: The statement refers to the proposal to preserve the wreckage of the U.S.S. Monitor for historic and cultural research. The wreck lies in 220 feet of water on a hard and shell bottom 16.10 miles south-southeast of Cape Hatteras. Because of the preservation, fishing activities would be limited to non-trawling types. Comments made by: USN, COE, DOI, STAT, EPA, USCG, AEC, DOC, NSF, State and regional agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 41947.)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ARMY

Contact: Mr. George A. Cunney, Jr., Acting Chief, Environmental Office, Directorate of Installations, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics, Washington, D.C. 20310, (202) OX 4-4269.

Draft

Blackbird Control, Army Installations, Kentucky and Tennessee, December 23: The statement refers to the proposed reduction of blackbird populations that have established winter roosts at Fort Campbell, Kentucky, and Milan Army Munition Plant, Tennessee. The roosts would be treated with Compound PA-14, Avian Stressing Agent, a biodegradable wetting agent. Operations would be conducted in cooperation with the Department of the Interior. An increase in soil insect populations may result. If the operation is successful, disposal of bird carcasses may be a problem: at Fort Campbell they would be removed to a landfill; at Milan they would be left to decay. Army has requested a waiver of a portion of the commenting period, to be ended January 20, 1975. (ELR Order No. 41917.)

ARMY CORPS

Contact: Mr. Francis X. Kelly, Director, Office of Public Affairs, Attn: DAEN-PAP, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20314, (202) 693-7168.

Draft

Mariner's Island Development, San Mateo County, California, December 26: The statement refers to the regulatory permit application of the Security Savings and Loan Association for the Mariner's Island Development Project. The plan includes the placing of approximately 1,380,000 cubic yards of imported fill on a 190 acre portion of a 207 acre site at Mariner's Island and construction of a 900,000 sq. ft. shopping center, office buildings, multi-family dwellings, automotive sales center research and development center, and satellite commercial development. Adverse impacts include increase in localized noise and traffic and loss of 190 acres of wildlife habitat. (San Francisco District). (ELR Order No. 41932.)

Bayou Barataria-Bayou Perot, Jefferson County, Louisiana, December 26: Proposed is the construction of 5.25 miles of channel to provide a navigation route from the Barataria Bay Waterway at Lafitte to the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway at Bayou Perot. Implementation of the plan involves removal of 5,700,000 cubic yards of material, 3 permanent camps, and relocation of an 8,000-volt submarine cable. Nearly 240 acres of ponds, including their vegetation and aquatic organisms, will be affected. (ELR Order No. 41938.)

Marquette and Presque Isle Harbors, Maintenance, Michigan, December 23: The statement concerns continued maintenance dredging of Marquette Harbor and Presque Isle Harbor in Marquette, Michigan in order to afford continued use of the harbor. Adverse impacts include: air and water contamination, disruption of the benthic habitat, increased turbidity, and in the case of an on-land disposal facility for Presque Harbor, altered land use (St. Paul District) (55 pages). (ELR Order No. 41921.)

Draft

Grand River Basin Water Resources Plan, Michigan, December 23. The statement refers to the Grand River Comprehensive Water Resources Plan which considers the water resources needs of the Basin with respect to water quality, water supply, valley preservation, recreation, fish and wildlife, flood damage reduction, upstream watershed management and land treatment programs, electric power and navigation. The Plan consists largely of non-structural measures except for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities, three impoundments, and channel projects for flood control (Detroit District) (61 pages). (ELR Order No. 41912.)

Saginaw Harbor Confined Disposal Facility, Bay County, Michigan, December 23: Proposed is the construction of a contained disposal facility for polluted dredge materials from Saginaw Bay navigation channel. The project will create 285 acres of upland in the bay, replacing two small islands created by former dredging. An irretreivable loss of approximately 200 acres of Saginaw Bay bottomland and open water, with associated aquatic communities, will occur. Also, a stone facing of the dike provides a stable substrate for nuisance growths (Detroit District) (65 pages). (ELR Order No. 41923.)

Wild Rice River Dam, Minnesota, December 23: Proposed is the construction of an earth-fill dam across the Wild Rice River upstream from Twin Valley. A permanent pool of 7,500 acre-feet would be created, for flood control and recreational benefits. Existing floodplain forest, agricultural, and stream bed ecosystems would be destroyed (St. Paul District). (ELR Order No. 41925.)

County Line Lake, James River Basin (2), Missouri, December 26. (ELR Order No. 41939.)

Final

Fernandina Harbor, Maintenance Dredging, Florida, December 23: The statement refers to the proposed maintenance of the authorized depths of the Fernandina navigation channels. About 1,200,000 cubic yards of material will be removed and placed in upland and open sea disposal areas. Adverse impacts include loss of some benthic organisms, temporary siltation and turbidity caused by dredging, and some loss and temporary displacement of wildlife habitat on the upland disposal areas (Jacksonville District). Comments made by: EPA, DOI, USDA, DOC, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 41913.)

Carlyle Lake, (Kaskaskia Valley), Clinton, Fayette, and Bond Counties, Illinois, December 23: The statement refers to the proposed continuation of operation and maintenance measures of a multi-purpose reservoir which provides flood control for the Kaskaskia Valley. Adverse impact results from water level fluctuations (St. Louis District). Comments made by: USDA, DOI, HUD, DOT, DPA, State agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 41914.)

East Moline Flood Protection System, Illinois, December 23. (ELR Order No. 41948.)

Twin Valley Lake, Minnesota, December 23. (ELR Order No. 41925.)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders, Executive Director of Environmental Affairs, General Services Administration, 18th and F Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20405, (202) 343-4161.

Final

Disposal of Forbes AFB, Shawnee County, Kansas, December 26: The statement refers to the eventual disposal of the 3,152 acre Forbes AFB. Three parcels (known collectively as the 700 area), totalling 41.78 acres and 15 buildings are studied in this statement. The parcels would be ultimately conveyed or sold to the State of Kansas, for health laboratories, a printing plant, and highway department use. The remainder of the property will ultimately be disposed of for airport, housing, educational, recreational, and defense uses. Comments made by: DOI, EPA, USAF, HEW, DOT, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 41935.)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun, Acting Director, Office of Community and Environmental Standards, Room 7206, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-5980.

Draft

Niagara Falls Rainbow Center, New York, December 23. (ELR Order No. 41926.)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Final

Peaking Plan, Gallatin Steam Plant, December 23. Proposed is the construction and operation of a nominal 300 NW gas turbine peaking plant at the Gallatin Steam Plant. There will be some land disturbance and construction disruption; increases in the discharge of SO_x and NO_x, and particulates; and possible spillage of oil into Old Hickory Reseryoir. Comments made by: EPA, FPC, USDA, DOT, HUD, COE, DOI, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 41927.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director, Office of Environmental Quality, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 426-4357.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Draft

S.R. 912, Lake County, Indiana, December 23: Proposed is the construction of 5.5 miles of six-lane S.R. 912 from I 80-94 to U.S. 12. An unspecified number of families and businesses would be displaced by the project. (ELR Order No. 41927.)

Guthrie Avenue, Des Moines (Addendum), Iowa, December 24. (ELR Order No. 41930.)

Baltimore City Boulevard Ring, I-395, Baltimore County, Maryland, December 23: The statement is in anticipation of the design and construction of City Boulevard Ring and I-395, Baltimore, Maryland. The roadway will be a variable width Inner City Boulevard Ring, constructed partially on elevated structure and partially at-grade, extending from Russell St. to Battery Ave. The major adverse impact will be the displacement of an unspecified number of families, businesses, and non-profit institutions. (ELR Order No. 41928.)

State Road 283, San Miguel County, New Mexico, December 27. (ELR Order No. 41946.)

U.S. 80, Arlington, Tarrant County, Texas, December 23. (ELR Order No. 41918.)

Draft

City Boulevard from Eutaw St. to Russell St., Baltimore County, Maryland, December 23: Proposed is the construction of a 6-lane section of Baltimore City Boulevard Ring between Eutaw St. and Russell St. The major impact of the project was the displacement of an unspecified number of businesses and non-profit organizations, but over the past five years all the properties have been acquired and the buildings demolished. (ELR Order No. 41916.)

Final

Garden State Parkway, Middlesex County, New Jersey, December 26: Proposed construction of entrance and exit ramps on the Garden State Parkway at Metro Park in Woodbridge. A 4(f) statement will be filed as public park land would be taken by the project. Comments made by: USDA, DOI, HUD, USCG, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 41937.)

State Highway 34, Kaufman County, Texas, December 26: Proposed is the construction of a four-lane divided highway through Terrell and the improvement of the existing two lane facility from a point north of Terrell to the Kaufman-Hunt County line. Project length is 9.70 miles, with approximately 2.10 miles requiring new location. One family and two businesses will be displaced (38 pages). Comments made by: DOT, HUD, DOI, EPA, COE, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 41940.)

U.S. COAST GUARD

Final

Hillsborough River Bridges, Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida, December 26: The statement refers to the proposed Coast Guard

approval of location and plans for dual fixed highway bridges and approaches across the Hillsborough River. The bridge project, which includes the construction of 5.2 miles of highway, will be part of the South Crosstown Expressway in Tampa. Adverse impact will include the displacement of 184 residences, 63 businesses, and 40 trailer dwelling sites. Land piers will encroach upon a public park, necessitating a 4(f) determination. Comments made by: DOT, DOI, EPA, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 41944.)

FAA

Draft

Brookneal Municipal Airport, Virginia, December 23. (ELR Order No. 41920.)

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Draft

OCS Leasing, Central Gulf of Mexico, December 23. (ELR Order No. 41949.)

Final

Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park, Alaska and Washington, December 26. (ELR Order No. 41943.)

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-732 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP—32000/165; FR 314-7]

RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS FOR PESTICIDE REGISTRATION

Data To Be Considered in Support of Applications

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31862) its interim policy with respect to the administration of section 3(c) (1) (D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended. This policy provides that EPA will, upon receipt of every application for registration, publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice containing the information shown below. The labeling furnished by the applicant will be available for examination at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB-31, East Tower, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

On or before March 10, 1975, any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after October 21, 1972, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim for compensation under section 3(c) (1) (D) for such use of his data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data, must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Every such claimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the interim policy of November 19, 1973.

Applications submitted under 2(a) or 2(b) of the interim policy will be processed to completion in accordance with existing procedures. Applications submitted under 2(c) of the interim policy cannot be made final until the 60 day period has expired. If no claims are received within the 60 day period, the 2(c) application will be processed according to normal procedure. However, if claims are received within the 60 day period, the applicants against whom the claims are asserted will be advised of the alternatives available under the Act. No claims will be accepted for possible EPA adjudication which are received after March 10, 1975.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

EPA File Symbol 264-EOE. Amchem Products, Inc., Brookside Ave., Ambler PA 19002. ETHREL PLANT REGULATOR FOR TOBACCO. Active Ingredients: Ethephon [(2-chloroethyl) phosphonic acid] 21.2%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 10088-UE. Athea Laboratories, 4180 N. 1st St., Milwaukee, WI 53212 B1C 2125H 10% LIQUID SANITIZER DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 5%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorines. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 662-AR. BASF Wyandotte Corp., Chemical Specialties Div., 1609 Biddle Ave., Wyandotte MI 48192. WYANDOTTE MULTI-CHLOR C. Active Ingredients: Sodium dichloro-s-triazinetrione dihydrate 14.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1130-I. Burnishine Products Inc., 8140 N. Ridgeway Ave., Skokie IL 60078. BURNISHINE 2 in 1 BAR GLASS WASH & SANITIZER. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 1.6%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 1.6%; Sodium Carbonate 3.0%; Tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 1.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7350-RO. Chaska Chemical, 304 Master Ave., Savage MN 55378. TACSAN DISINFECTANT-CLEANER-SANITIZER-FUNGICIDE-DEODORANT. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (50% C12, 40% C14, 10% C16) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 5.0%; Tetrasodium salt of ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid 2.3%; Sodium Carbonate 2.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 239-EUGR. Chevron Chemical Co., 940 Hensly St., Richmond CA 94804. CHEVRON BOLERO TECHNICAL. Active Ingredients: S-[(4-chlorophenyl)-methyl]diethylcarbamothioate 93%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 13898-GT. Contract Packing, Inc., 1505 N. Ave., Norwalk IA 50211. CPI INDOORS-OUTDOORS PLANT SPRAY. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.056%; Rotenone 0.024%; Other cube resins 0.048%; Petroleum distillate 0.224%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 14943-U. Corporate Brands Inc., 9340 S. Dorchester Ave., Chicago IL 60628. CONTROL. Active Ingredients: Didecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride 2.5%; Tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 2.0%; Sodium carbonate 1.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 35666-R. M. J. Daly Co., Inc., 38 Elm St., Ludlow KY 41016. DARK CREOSOTE WOOD PRESERVATIVE. Active Ingredients: Coal Tar Creosote 96.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 16158-R. Dernehl-Taylor Co., 304 E. Florida St., Milwaukee WI 53204. DERNEHL'S COLD BRAND. Active Ingredients: Sodium Hypochlorite 5.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 904-EGE. B. G. Pratt Div., Gabriel Chem., Ltd., 204 21st Ave., Paterson NJ 07509. WASP & HORNET SPRAY BASE. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.103%; Piperonyl Butoxide, Technical 0.206%; N-Octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide 0.340%; o-Isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate 0.500%; Petroleum distillates 86.442%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 904-EGR. B. G. Pratt Div., Gabriel Chem., Ltd. DP-2 SPECIAL INSECT SPRAY CONCENTRATE 10. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 1.00%; Technical Piperonyl Butoxide 2.00%; N-Octyl Bicycloheptene Dicarboximide 7.00%; O,O-Diethyl O-(2-isopropyl-4-methyl-6-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate 5.00%; Petroleum Distillates 84.11%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 904-EGN. B. G. Pratt Div., Gabriel Chem., Ltd. PRATT DP-2 REPACK. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.100%; Technical Piperonyl Butoxide 0.200%; N-Octyl Bicyclopheptene Dicarboximide 0.700%; O,O-Diethyl O-(2-isopropyl-4-methyl-6-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate 0.500%; Petroleum Distillates 98.411%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7364-EO. Great Lakes Biochemical Co., Inc., 6120 W. Douglas Ave., Milwaukee WI 53218. GLB BRAND START-UP C. Active Ingredients: Sodium dichloro-s-triazinetrione dihydrate 49.1%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 869-RLU. Green Light Co., PO Box 16192, San Antonio TX 78246. SEARS CHELATED B-1 VITAMIN. Active Ingredients: 1-Naphthaleneacetic acid 0.10%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 869-RLG. Green Light Co., PO Box 16192, San Antonio TX 78246. SEARS LAWN RENOVATOR. Active Ingredients: Sodium Cacodylate 6.74%; Dimethylarsinic Acid (Cacodylic Acid) 1.17%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 9931-RA. Hanco Mfg. Co., Inc., PO Box 4476, Memphis TN 38104. HANCO LEMON 980 DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients: Akyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 4.0%; Isopropanol 2.0%; Essential oils 0.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 9931-RL. Hanco Mfg. Co., Inc., PO Box 4476, Memphis TN 38104. HANCO MINT 970 DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 4.00%; Isopropanol 4.00%; Methyl salicylate 1.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8901-RA. Kocide Chem. Corp., PO Box 45539, Houston TX 77045. KOCIDE BRAND BASIC COPPER SULPATE. Active Ingredients: Copper 53%.

Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1926-TL. Navy Brand Mfg. Co., 5111 SW. Ave., St. Louis MO 63110. MORTE' DISINFECTANT - SANITIZER - DEODORIZER. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 5%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 397-RR. Noble Pine Products Co., Centuck Station, Yonkers NY 10710. STERI-FAB II. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% X12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 0.05%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 0.05%; Isopropyl alcohol 58.60%; Technical piperonyl butoxide, pyrethrine (equivalent to 0.48% (butyl carbityl) (6-propylpiperonyl) ether and 0.12% related compounds) 1.60%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1258-OIL. Olin Chemicals, Olin Corp., 120 Long Ridge Rd., Stamford CT 06904. SODIUM CHLORITE TECHNICAL INDUSTRIAL MICROBIOSTAT. Active Ingredients: Sodium Chlorite 79%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 335-ERT. Pennwalt Corp., 3 Parkway, Philadelphia PA 19102. PENNCLOR SANITIZER-GERMICIDE RINSE. Active Ingredients: Sodium dichloro-s-triazinetrione dihydrate 28%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11715-UR. Speer Products, Inc., PO Box 9383, Memphis TN 38109. SPEER FLEA & TICK SPRAY FOR DOGS & CATS. Active Ingredients: o-Isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate 0.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 148-RRGR. Thompson-Hayward Chemical Co., 5200 Speaker Rd., Kansas City KS 66106. T-H DE-FEND-TOX. Active Ingredients: Toxaphene 47.7%; Dimethoate 8.0%; Xylene 23.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8640-L. Truett Laboratories, PO Box 34029, Dallas TX 75234. IODINE (TECHNICAL GRADE). Active Ingredients: Iodine 99.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 876-EUR. Velsicol Chemical Corp., 341 E. Ohio St., Chicago IL 60611. VELSICOL RAMIK RED. Active Ingredients: Diphacinone (2-Diphenylacetyl-1, 3-Indandione) 0.005%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 876-EUN. Velsicol Chemical Corp. VELSICOL RAMIK BROWN. Active Ingredients: Diphacinone (2-Diphenylacetyl-1, 3-Indandione) 0.005%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 876-EUE. Velsicol Chemical Corp. VELSICOL RAMIK GREEN. Active Ingredients: Diphacinone (2-Diphenylacetyl-1, 3-Indandione) 0.005%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2935-UNL. Wilbur-Ellis Co., PO Box 1286, Fresno CA 93715. RED-TOP SUPERIOR SPRAY OIL N.W. Active Ingredients: Mineral Oil 99.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

Dated December 26, 1974.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc.75-276 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[FIFRA Docket No. 245, etc.; FRL 317-7]

SCIENCE PRODUCTS COMPANY, ET AL.

Hearing

In the matter of Science Products Co., et al., registrants.

Take notice that hearings in this proceeding under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136, et seq.) and the proposed cancellations of a number of registrations of pesticides cited in the footnotes, will commence on January 21, 1975, at 10 a.m. in Room 3908, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C.

The initial hearings will deal with the substance QAC and will continue until completed. Beginning on March 18, 1975, further hearings will commence, at 10 a.m. at a place later to be designated in Washington, D.C., with respect to the substance BNOA; at the commencement of the March 18, 1975 hearing the date for remaining sessions of hearings, dealing with the substance NABAC will be determined and announced.

Any of the hearings may be moved to a different location and may be continued from day to day or recessed to a later date without other notice than announcement thereof at the hearing.

Inquiries concerning the hearing may be addressed to the Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FREDERICK W. DENNISTON,
Administrative Law Judge.

JANUARY 2, 1975.

APPENDIX

Substance	*Registration No.*
QAC	10324-11, 5185, 5187, 5188, 10324-11-116.
BNOA	2125, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2139, 5887-70.
NABAC	6044-16.

[FR Doc.75-719 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

[Farm Credit Administration Order No. 775]

GOVERNOR, FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Authority of Officers in the Event that the Governor is Absent or not Able to Perform the Duties of His Office for any Other Reason (Revocation of FCA Order No. 774)

JANUARY 3, 1975.

1. In the event that the Governor of the Farm Credit Administration is absent or is not able to perform the duties of his office for any other reason, the officer of the Farm Credit Administration who is the highest on the following list and who is available to act is hereby authorized to exercise and perform all functions, powers, authority, and duties pertaining to the office of Governor of the Farm Credit Administration:

(1) Deputy Governor, Credit and Operations;

(2) Deputy Governor, Finance and Research;

(3) Deputy Governor, Administration;

(4) General Counsel;

(5) Chief Examiner;

(6) Any other officer of the Farm Credit Administration designated by the Governor.

2. This order shall be effective on the above written date, and supersedes Farm Credit Administration Order No. 774, dated November 1, 1974 (39 FR 24050).

W. M. HARDING,
Governor,
Farm Credit Administration.

[FR Doc.75-678 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 734]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION [1]

Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing [2]

DECEMBER 30, 1974.

Pursuant to §§ 1.227(b)(3) and 21.30 (b) of the Commission's rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the attached list, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cut-off dates are set forth in the alternative—applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed in the appendix if filed by the end of the 60 day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to sec-

[1] All applications listed in the appendix are subject to further consideration and review and may be returned and or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission's rules, regulations and other requirements.

[2] The above alternative cut-off rules apply to those applications listed in the appendix as having been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-to-Point Microwave Radio and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the rules).

tion 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to § 21.27 of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

20764-CD-P-(8)-75—The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (KOE 513). C.P. to change antenna system operating on 152.57 152.63 152.75 152.69 & 152.81 MHz and for additional facilities to operate on 152.54 & 152.66 MHz at Loc. #1: 6.0 miles SSW of Casper, Natron County, Wyoming; and for additional test facilities to operate on 157.80 & 157.92 MHz at Loc. #2: 103 North Durbin Street, Casper, Wyoming.

20911-CD-MP-75—Phenix Communications Company, Inc. (KRS661). Mod. Permit to change antenna system operating on 152.24 MHz located at 809 S. 7th Street, Opelika, Alabama.

20912-CD-P-(3)-75—The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (KOK 341). C.P. to replace transmitter and change antenna system operating on 152.63 152.69 and 152.75 MHz located 11.5 miles SSE of Rock Springs, Wyoming.

20913-CD-P-(4)-75—Radio Telephone Company of Gainesville, Inc. (KFL922). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.150 454.200 454.300 & 454.350 MHz located at 1609 South Main Street, Gainesville, Florida, Loc. #2.

20914-CD-P-75—Upper Peninsula Telephone Company (New). C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.60 MHz to be located 0.2 miles S. of Little Perch Lake, Michigan.

20915-CD-P-75—Reservation Telephone Cooperative (KAF646). C.P. to replace transmitter and change antenna system operating on 152.57 MHz located 1.2 miles North of Parshall, North Dakota.

20916-CD-P-75—Reservation Telephone Cooperative (KAH660). C.P. to replace transmitter and change antenna system and relocate facilities operating 152.51 MHz to be located 3¼ miles North of Keene, North Dakota.

20917-CD-P-75—Reservation Telephone Cooperative (KRM990). C.P. to replace transmitter operating on 152.60 MHz located 6 miles E. & 1.5 miles S. of Roseglen, North Dakota.

20918-CD-P-75—Farmers Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (KIJ355). C.P. to replace transmitter operating on 152.57 MHz located at Corner of 3rd Avenue and U.S. Route No. 52, Kingstree, South Carolina.

20919-CD-P-(4)-75—Airsignal International, Inc. (KIE953). C.P. to change antenna system operating on 35.58 MHz at Loc.#2: Lenox Towers, 3390 Peachtree Rd., N.E., Atlanta, Georgia; change antenna system operating on 35.58 MHz at Loc. #3: Atlanta International Center, 1001 International Blvd., Atlanta, Georgia; change antenna system operating on 35.58 MHz at Loc. #4: One West Court Square, Decatur, Georgia; and change antenna system operating on 35.58 MHz at Loc. #5: 4848 Austell Rd., Austell, Georgia.

20921-CD-P/L-75—United Telephone Company of Indiana, Inc. (KSJ618). C.P. to reinstate expired facilities operating on 152.69 MHz located at 209 E. Washington Street, Monroe, Indiana.

20922-CD-MP-75—Valcom, Inc. (KUC943). Mod. Permit to change antenna system operating on 152.24 MHz located at Mt. Ascutney, 4 miles SW Windsor, Vermont.

20923-CD-MP-75—Valcom, Inc. (KUC842). Mod. Permit to change antenna system operating on 152.18 MHz located at Mt. Ascutney, 4 miles SW Windsor, Vermont.

20924-CD-P-75—Franklin Business Service (New). C.P. for a new 1-way station to operate on 158.70 MHz to be located at 10861 Cadillac Rd., Cadillac, Michigan.

20925-CD-P-75—Tel-Car, Inc. (New). C.P. for a new 2-way station to operate on 152.12 MHz to be located at Bald Mountain, 2.4 miles SW of Ketchum, Idaho.

RURAL RADIO SERVICE

60214-CR-P-75—Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (New). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 157.98 MHz to be located 12 miles NE of Zapata, Texas.

60215-CR-P-75—The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (KPY37). C.P. to replace transmitter operating on 158.01 MHz and for additional facilities to operate on 157.77 MHz located at Flying "M" Land and Cattle Company, 29 miles SE of Flagstaff, Arizona.

60216-CR-P-75—South Georgia Communications, Inc. (New). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 158.61 MHz to be located at East Ridge Trail, Little Cumberland Island, Georgia.

60217-CR-P/L-75—The Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (New). C.P. for a new rural subscriber-fixed station to operate on 157.77 & 158.01 MHz to be located at Yo Lo Ranch, 21 miles NE of Bagdad, Arizona.

POINT TO POINT MICOWAVE RADIO SERVICE

1999-CF-P-75—General Telephone Company of the Southwest (New). West corner of intersection of Avenue C and Station Street, Port Aranssa, Texas. Lat. 27°49'44" N., Long. 97°03'43" W. C.P. for a new station on 2126.8V MHz towards Corpus Christi, Texas on azimuth 263 degrees/13 minutes.

2001-CF-P-75—Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (KKW21), 401 North Broadway, Corpus Christi, Texas. Lat. 27°47'35" N., Long. 97°23'48" W. C.P. to add 2176.8V MHz towards a new point of communication at Port Aransas, Texas on azimuth 83 degrees/05 minutes.

2004-CF-MP-75—Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (KFG36), 501 West 9th Street, Sanford, Florida. Lat. 28°48'13" N., Long. 81°16'21" W. Mod. C.P. to change polarization on 11425 MHz from Vertical to Horizontal towards Longwood, Florida on azimuth 214 degrees/39 minutes.

2005-CF-MP-75—Same (KFO73), 1 mile north of Longwood, Florida. Lat. 28°42'49" N., Long. 81°20'35" W. Mod. C.P. to change frequency 5945.2H MHz to 5974.8H MHz towards Orlando, Florida on azimuth 190 degrees/01 minutes; change frequency 11385V MHz to 11015V MHz towards Sanford, Florida on azimuth 34 degrees/37 minutes.

2006-CF-MP-75—Same (KIU56), 45 North Magnolia Street, Orlando, Florida. Lat 28°32'34" N., Long. 81°22'38" W. Mod. of C.P. to change frequency 6197.2V MHz to 6226.9H MHz towards Longwood, Florida on azimuth 10 degrees/0 minutes.

2011-CF-P-75—The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company (KMN30), 345 North San Joaquin, Street, Stockton, California. Lat. 37°57'24" N., Long. 121°17'15" W. C.P. to change frequencies and replace transmitter, & change power on 5937.8H, 5997.1H, 6056.4H and 6115.7H MHz to 5945.2H, 6004.5H, 6063.8H and 6123.1H MHz towards Angels Peak, California on azimuth 82 degrees/27 minutes.

2012-CF-P-75—Same (KMW70), Angels Peak, 4 miles SW of Angels Camp, California. Lat. 38°01'38" N., Long. 120°35'43" W. C.P. to change frequencies, replace transmitter and change power from 6189.8H, 6249.1H, 6308.4H and 6367.7H MHz to 6197.2H, 6256.5H, 6315.9H and 6375.2H MHz towards Stockton, California on azimuth 262 degrees/52 minutes.

2019-CF-P-75—Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (WIV84), 2.6 miles SW of Oak Mountain, Georgia. Lat. 33°33'27" N., Long. 85°01'23" W. C.P. to add 6034.2H MHz towards a new point of communication at Buchanan, Georgia on azimuth 332 degrees/56 minutes.

2020-CF-P-75—Same (New), 2 miles SE of Buchanan, Georgia. Lat. 33°47'02" N., Long. 85°09'42" W. C.P. for a new station on 6286.2V MHz towards Oak Mountain, Georgia on azimuth 152 degrees/51 minutes; 6286.2V MHz towards Cedartown, Georgia on azimuth 349 degrees/29 minutes.

2021-CF-P-75—Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (New), 7.3 miles North of Cedartown, Georgia. Lat. 30°07'02" N., Long. 85°14'10" W. C.P. for a new station on 6043.2H MHz towards Buchanan, Georgia on azimuth 169 degrees/26 minutes; 6034.2H MHz towards Rome, Georgia on azimuth 22 degrees/45 minutes.

2022-CF-P-75—Same (New), 708 East First Street, Rome, Georgia. Lat. 34°15'19" N., Long. 85°09'59" W. C.P. for a new station on 6286.2V MHz towards Cedartown, Georgia on azimuth 202 degrees/47 minutes.

2023-CF-P-75—American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KED61), 400 Hamilton Avenue, White Plains, New York. Lat. 41°02'06" N., Long. 73°45'59" W. C.P. to add 3830V MHz towards Jackie Jones, New York on azimuth 309 degrees/32 minutes.

2031-CF-P-75—RCA Alaska Communications, Inc. (New), Alyeska Pipeline Site 152 miles NW of Fairbanks, Alaska. Lat. 66°48' 47" N., Long. 150°39'54" W. C.P. to add 2112.0H MHz towards Alps-Eagle, Alaska on azimuth 13 degrees/23 minutes.

2032-CF-P-75—Same (WAH432), Alps-Eagle RPTR, Remote repeater site, 27 miles ENE of Evansville Village, and 162 miles NW of Fairbanks, Alaska. Lat. 66°57'16" N., Long. 150°34'45" W. C.P. to add 2162.0H MHz towards Pump Station #5, Alaska on azimuth 193 degrees/28 minutes.

CORRECTIONS

1895-CF-P-75—Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (KSW26), correct entry to read: change 6189.8H and 6308.4H MHz directed towards Mustang, Oklahoma to 6286.2V and 6404.8V MHz. (Rest same as reported on Public Notice #732 dated December 16, 1974.)

1896-CF-P-75—Same (KSW28), correct entry to add: C.P. to change point of communication, power, replace transmitter and change frequencies to 6286.2H and 6404.8H MHz towards Yukon, Oklahoma on azimuth 34 degrees/30 minutes. (Rest same as reported on Public Notice #732 dated December 16, 1974.)

1898-CF-P-75—Same (KSW32), correct entry to read: change 10895V and 10815V MHz to 6152.8H and 6034.2H MHz. (Rest same as reported on Public Notice #732 dated December 16, 1974.)

[FR Doc.75-761 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20304; FCC 74-1408]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND PACIFIC NORTHWEST BELL TELEPHONE CO.

Memorandum Opinion and Order Instituting a Hearing

1. The Commission has before it a formal Complaint filed February 20, 1973, by the United States Department of Defense (DOD) against the Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Co. (Pacific Northwest Bell). An answer to the formal complaint was filed by Pacific Northwest Bell on March 28, 1973. DOD alleges that Pacific Northwest Bell has unreasonably and unjustly failed and refused to allow DOD credits for interruptions of service over special construction facilities provided to the Army at Bothell, Washington and that such conduct is in violation of section 201(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

2. Pacific Northwest Bell was authorized to specially construct jointly with the General Telephone Company of the Northwest (General Telephone) a hardened cable facility for the Army between the telephone office at North Bend, Washington and DOD's premises at Bothell, Washington. Pacific Northwest Bell concurs in the American Telephone and Telegraph Co. (AT&T's) Tariff F.C.C. No. 262 which provides that the excess costs of specially constructed facilities are to be recovered from the customer requesting the special facilities. Pacific Northwest Bell construes excess costs as the estimated costs of the specially constructed facilities which were in excess of the estimated costs of the portion of its existing facilities which would have been utilized but for DOD's request for other than normal routing. Under this tariff the costs of special construction may be recovered from the customer either by means of an initial nonrecurring charge for the estimated specially constructed facility cost, which is in excess of the estimated cost of facilities which would be otherwise utilized, and recurring monthly charges for excess recurring costs, if any, Tariff F.C.C. No. 262, § 2.2a (2) (a), or solely by means of monthly recurring charges covering all estimated excess costs of the specially constructed facilities, Tariff F.C.C. No. 262, § 2.2a(2) (b). DOD opted to pay the monthly recurring charges for the excess costs of the specially constructed facilities in the amount of $2,533 per month. During February and March 1972, service on the specially constructed facilities suffered periods of interruption and the services provided on these facilities were rerouted through Pacific Northwest Bell's existing facilities between Bothell, Washington and North Bend, Washington. DOD was afforded credit allowances on the basis of charges for all the channels and service terminals during the period of interruptions pursuant to AT&T's Tariff F.C.C. No. 260, but no credit allowance was applied to the recurring charges for the excess costs of the specially constructed facilities, under AT&T's Tariff F.C.C. No. 262.

3. DOD alleges that the process of determining the cost of excess special construction is hypothetical and places the customer at a disadvantage since the carrier determines what is normal and what is excess construction. Moreover, DOD contends that when a interruption occurs not only the normal portion of the service is impaired but also the total service including the excess construction portion is impaired. DOD seeks $3,292.77, or such other and greater amounts as may be shown by the evidence, together with interest as damages. In addition, it requests that Pacific Northwest Bell be directed to amend Tariff F.C.C. No. 262 to provide for credit allowances and such other relief as the Commission may deem appropriate.

4. Pacific Northwest Bell contends that both the initial nonrecurring and monthly recurring charges made pursuant to Tariff F.C.C. No. 262 are for the excess costs associated with specially constructed facilities and are neither charges for the total cost of the special construction nor are they charges for service. Pacific Northwest Bell denies that its procedure to determine the excess costs of the specially constructed facilities was hypothetical or a paper operation and maintains such charges were related to the estimated costs of the specially constructed facilities which were in excess of the estimated costs of the portion of its existing facilities which would have been utilized but for DOD's request for other than normal routing. The charges related to the excess costs of specially constructed facilities are covered by Tariff F.C.C. No. 262, while the monthly charges for service on these facilities are covered by Tariff F.C.C. No. 260 which provides for certain credit allowances for periods of interruptions. Pacific Northwest Bell asserts such credit allowances were accorded DOD for the interruptions during February and March 1972 pursuant to this tariff. However, Pacific Northwest Bell alleges that tariff F.C.C. No. 260 does not provide for credit allowances with respect to excess construction charges and contends that providing such credit allowances for excess construction would shift the burden of such charges to users of other services, for those periods during which service is rerouted from specially constructed facilities. Pacific Northwest Bell concludes that it has acted properly in accordance with its tariffs and that the regulations set forth in Tariff F.C.C. No. 262 are just, reasonable and lawful.

5. There is basic agreement between the parties hereto as to the facts of this matter. The question before us is whether DOD should be afforded credit allowances with respect to its excess construction charges. It is clear, as Pacific Northwest Bell contends, that Tariff F.C.C. No. 262 does not provide for such credit allowances. Pacific Northwest Bell argues that to afford DOD such credit allowances as it requests, would be a violation of section 203 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and would shift the burden of the excess costs of specially constructed facilities to the users of other services. However, it is undeniable that during the period of interruptions DOD was unable to utilize the very facilities for which it was paying the recurring charges for the excess costs. We are unable to conclude that the provisions of Tariff F.C.C. No. 262 are reasonable insofar as credit allowances are concerned and deem an investigation and hearing are warranted in this matter.

6. Since, however, there is basic agreement upon the facts concerning this matter, no need appears at this time for an evidentiary hearing. We propose that the parties file briefs and replies thereto upon a schedule established by the Administrative Law Judge to be designated for this proceeding and that thereafter the Administrative Law Judge would render his Initial Decision. If, however, at any juncture the Administrative Law Judge believes an evidentiary hearing necessary to his deliberations concerning this matter, then he is authorized to conduct such hearings. It is contemplated, however, that the Administrative Law Judge will be circumspect in his utilization of the evidentiary procedure.

7. Accordingly, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), 201–209 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, this matter is designated for hearing at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., at a time to be specified, and that the Administrative Law Judge to be designated to preside at the hearing shall, upon close of the record, prepare an initial decision which shall be subject to the submittal of exceptions and requests for oral argument as provided in 47 CFR §§ 1.276 and 1.277 after which the Review Board shall issue its decision as provided in 47 CFR 0.365.

8. *It is further ordered*, That the issues in this proceeding shall be as follows:

1. Whether the failure of Pacific Northwest Bell to afford the United States Department of Defense credit allowances towards the monthly recurring charges for the excess costs of the specially constructed facilities during the periods of interruption in February and March 1972 was unjust, unreasonable and unlawful within the meaning of section 201(b) of the Act;

2. Whether in light of the evidence adduced under the foregoing issue, DOD is entitled to monetary damages and, if so, how much, and whether any revisions should be made for the future in the tariffs of Pacific Northwest Bell with respect to such credit allowances.

9. *It is further ordered*, That DOD shall have the burden of proof as to the issues.

10. *It is further ordered*, That the Administrative Law Judge shall schedule dates for the filing of briefs and replies thereto and thereafter hold oral argument.

11. *It is further ordered*, That the Administrative Law Judge is authorized to conduct evidentiary proceedings, if he deems such proceedings warranted.

12. *It is further ordered*, That a copy of this order shall be served on the United States Department of Defense and the Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Co. who are hereby designated parties to this proceeding.

Adopted: December 18, 1974.

Released: January 2, 1975.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-759, Filed 1-8-75; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. 20093, File No. BCPT-4640 etc.]

WESTERN TELEVISION CO., ET AL.

Construction Permit Applications; Memorandum Opinion and Order Enlarging Issues

In the matter of applications of Western Television Co., Rapid City, South Dakota, Docket No. 20093, File No. BPCT-4640; Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc., Rapid City, South Dakota, Docket No. 20094, File No. BPCT-4627; for construction permit for new television broadcast station Western Television Co., Lead, South Dakota, Docket No. 20095, File No. BPCT-4641; Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc., Lead, South Dakota, Docket No. 20096, File No. BPCT-4628; for construction permit for new television broadcast station.

1. By Order, 39 FR 24955, published July 8, 1974, the Commission designated for hearing the above-captioned mutually exclusive applications. Presently before the Review Board is a petition to enlarge issues, filed July 23, 1974, by Western Television Co. (Western) seeking the addition of § 1.65, § 1.514, and Suburban issues against Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc. (Dakota), and the inclusion of comparative efforts and meritorious programming issues to this proceeding.[1]

SECTIONS 1.65 AND 1.514 ISSUES

2. In support of its request for an issue to determine whether Dakota has failed to comply with the provisions of §§ 1.514 and/or 1.65 of the Commission's rules, Western questions a number of transactions involving the past and present principals of Dakota. Initially, Western points out that on December 7, 1973, the Dakota application was amended to show that Robert J. Flittie and Craig A. Anderson were new directors and stockholders in Dakota, holding 100 shares (3.3%) and 150 shares (5%), respectively.[2] However, in affidavits attached to Western's petition, both Flittie and Anderson deny having been issued stock and further state that they entered into written stock subscription agreements whereby the stock would be distributed at the time a license was issued. In view of the attached affidavits, petitioner contends that a sufficient basis exists for inquiring into the apparent misrepresentations made concerning Flittie and Anderson's stock interests in Dakota and in Dakota's failure to file the subscription agreements in violation of § 1.514 of the rules, citing *Lake Erie Broadcasting Co.*, 34 FCC 2d 354, 24 RR 2d 16 (1972); *RKO General, Inc. (WNAC-TV)*, 34 FCC 2d 265, 24 RR 2d 16 (1972), in support.[3] Western also claims that various stock fluctuations and transfers in Dakota, involving the departure of Theodore M. Cormaney, former Dakota president and stockholder, raise sufficient questions to warrant addition of a § 1.65 issue. Specifically, Western notes that the June 1974 amendment does not include Cormaney as a stockholder; yet, according to petitioner, the Articles of Incorporation still state that Cormaney is a director of Dakota. In addition, Western questions whether the present stockholders of Dakota acquired their stock from the corporation or from Cormaney, Anderson and/or Flittie in possible violation of the pre-incorporation agreement which proscribes the resale of stock to others.[4] Western next contends that conflicting information provided by Dakota regarding the broadcast interests of Peter G. Sieler raise questions of carelessness, deserving attention under § 1.65 of the rules. Petitioner points out that the ownership report for Station KTOQ, filed October 23, 1973, indicates that Sieler has a 2½% interest in Midland Broadcasting Co. (the licensee of standard broadcast Station KTOQ, Rapid City), while the Dakota application states that Sieler has a 5% stock holding. Similarly, the ownership report for Station KYUS-TV, filed January 4, 1971, indicates that Sieler holds no stock in Custer Broadcasting Co., licensee of Station KYUS-TV, Miles City, Montana, while the Dakota application represents that Sieler's interest in Custer totals 10%.[5]

3. In opposition, Dakota maintains that both Anderson and Flittie were considered by Dakota to be valid shareholders of its stock and attaches the statement of Cormaney, explaining that in late 1973, 3,000 shares of stock had been fully paid for by the original Dakota principals, and both Anderson and Flittie were offered five percent and three percent interests, respectively, with the understanding that repayment for the stock was to occur when and if a construction permit was issued to Dakota. Although subscription agreements were executed, Cormaney states that since the shares had been fully paid for, both Anderson and Flittie were considered by Dakota to be stockholders rather than subscribers; therefore, he did not feel it was necessary to file the agreements. Additionally, Dakota states that all of the Dakota principals mutually agreed to waive the proscription against stock resale and thereby validly authorized the issuance of paid-up stock to Anderson and Flittie.[6] With regard to the departure of Cormaney, Dakota maintains that, despite the fact that Dakota's Articles of Incorporation still reflect Cormaney's interest, the actual change in ownership has been fully reported to the Commission.[7] Furthermore, Dakota claims that it recapitalized to permit Corner and Moyle to increase their interests. Dakota next asserts that the balance sheet of Mr. Corner shows sufficient liquidity to meet his $25,000 pledge to the applicant; therefore, Western's allegations regarding Dakota's legal and financial qualifications are unwarranted. Finally, as to Western's allegations of a conflict of information concerning Sieler's broadcast interests, Dakota asserts that the Commission's records reflect that Sieler's interest in Midland is five percent, as it reported,[8] and that Sieler's proportionate ownership interest in Custer is not readily determinable because Custer has failed to disclose the full extent of each principal's interest. The Broadcast Bureau supports issues inquiring into Dakota's representations concerning the stock held by Flittie and Anderson and its failure to file the subscription agreements executed by them.

---

[1] Other related pleadings before the Board are: (a) Opposition to petition to enlarge issues, filed August 16, 1974, by Dakota; (b) Broadcast Bureau's comments, filed August 16, 1974; (c) reply to opposition, filed September 9, 1974, by Western; (d) reply to comments, filed September 9, 1974, by Western; (e) supplement to reply, filed September 16, 1974, by Western; (f) petition for withdrawal, filed October 11, 1974, by Western; and (g) Broadcast Bureau's opposition to (f), filed October 23, 1974; and (h) supplement to petition for withdrawal, filed December 17, 1974, by Western. The Board will deny Western's petition requesting withdrawal of all pending petitions to enlarge issues. The petition for withdrawal is predicated on a pending joint petition for approval of agreement whereby Western would dismiss its application. However, the Board is of the view that it is obligated to examine Western's petition to determine whether any substantial questions have been raised regarding its opponent's qualifications, and we do not believe that it would be in the public interest to permit withdrawal under the circumstances here.

[2] In an amendment, filed June 19, 1974, Dakota removed Anderson and Flittie from the list of stockholders, directors and proposed employees.

[3] It is also petitioner's contention that Flittie and Anderson could not have obtained this stock from the other shareholders, as indicated in the December 7, 1974, amendment, without violating the Dakota pre-incorporation agreement of March 1973, which proscribes the resale of stock to others.

[4] The Dakota amendment filed June 19, 1974, represents that four stockholders hold all 4,500 shares of stock issued and outstanding in the following proportion: Corner—1,575 shares, Stiles—1,125 shares, Moyle—1,575 shares, and Sieler—225 shares.

[5] Petitioner also questions the ability of Dakota to finance the new station even though it does not specifically request such an issue. Western states that the Dakota application represents that Corner and Moyle each pledged $25,000 to Dakota to be paid in after the license is issued by the FCC; however, contends petitioner, there are no current balance sheets to show the ability of Corner and Moyle to make these $25,000 pledges to Dakota. The Board is of the view that the balance sheets of Corner and Moyle, as of March 1973, are sufficient to establish their ability to make the loans to Dakota.

[6] Dakota asserts that the cases relied upon by Western to support its request are distinguishable from the instant situation in that they both involve the absolute or near absolute failure to report information of the principals' business interests as compared to Dakota's prompt but perhaps inaccurate disclosure of all stockholders' interests.

[7] Dakota states that the removal of Cormaney in June 1974, as director and registered agent will be noted, pursuant to state law, with the filing of its next Annual Report.

[8] Dakota also notes that on July 3, 1974, Midland filed an Ownership Report indicating Sieler's interest in Midland to be 5%.

4. The Board is of the view that the requested §§ 1.65 and 1.514 issues are not warranted.[8] Although Dakota inaccurately represented the stock interest of two of its principals, the discrepancies are minor, they appear to be of no decisional significance, and we can perceive no intent to mislead or deceive the Commission in light of Dakota's explanation that they were considered stockholders rather than subscribers. In similar vein, inasmuch as Dakota's failure to file the stock subscription agreements appears to have been an unintentional violation and of questionable significance, a § 1.65 issue will not be added. See Post-Newsweek Stations, Florida, Inc. (*WPLG–TV*), FCC 74R–365, released October 2, 1974. Additionally, the Board is of the view that petitioner's allegations concerning Cormaney's departure and the resultant stock transfers in the applicant to do not require addition of the requested § 1.65 issue. Although Dakota's Articles of Incorporation might still represent Cormaney as a director of the corporation, the actual change in ownership as well as other changes in the minority stockholders were fully reported by Dakota in an amendment to its application and petitioner has made no showing that the State has found the applicant to be in violation of state law. See WIOO, Inc., 40 FCC 2d 217, 26 RR 2d 1611 (1973). Finally, we believe that the differences reflected in the ownership reports and Dakota's application concerning Sieler's broadcast interests are of minor significance, not meriting further inquiry. Cf. Lexington County Broadcasters, Inc., 40 FCC 2d 694, 27 RR 2d 416 (1973). Therefore, we will deny the requested issues.[9]

SECTION 73.35 ISSUE

5. Western also requests a § 73.35 issue on the ground that Sieler is a stockholder of Midland Broadcasting Co., the licensee of standard broadcast Station KTOQ, Rapid City, and is the station manager of KRSD–TV, Rapid City. Western contends that Sieler could not have become a stockholder and officer in the licensee of a radio station in Rapid City, at the same time that he remained the station manager of television Station KRSD–TV, Rapid City, without violating § 73.35 of the Commission's rules.[11] In opposition, Dakota claims that shortly after Sieler acquired his interest in Dakota and the matter of the conflict of interest was brought to his attention, he took immediate steps to dispose of his 5% interest in Midland Broadcasting Co. Dakota also argues that the multiple ownership problem is irrelevant because it directly involves two licensees totally unrelated to Dakota. The Board will deny the requested § 73.35 issue. Sieler's interest in KRSD–TV does not involve the kind of control prohibited by § 73.35 (or § 73.240 in the case of television stations);[12] there is, therefore, no violation of the Commission's multiple ownership rules. However, the Board will add a cross-interest issue. Sieler's interest in Dakota and his position as station manager of Station KRSD–TV, Rapid City, does raise a substantial question of possible violation of the Commission's cross-interest policy; therefore, an appropriate issue will be added.[13]

SUBURBAN AND COMPARATIVE EFFORTS ISSUES

6. Western next seeks addition of an issue to determine the validity of Dakota's community leader survey. Initially, Western argues that some 59 interviews conducted by Cormaney should be disregarded because he is no longer a principal of Dakota. Western maintains that the purpose of ascertainment is to create a dialogue between the principals of the applicant and community leaders and, therefore, according to petitioner, once a principal leaves, his previous community contacts are no longer meaningful, citing Sarkes Tarzian, Inc., 27 FCC 635, 17 RR 905 (1959); and the Primer on Ascertainment of Community Problems by Broadcast Applicants, 27 FCC 2d 650, 21 RR 2d 1507 (1971).[14] Petitioner next challenges Dakota's supplemental community surveys conducted in May 1974, as not being in conformance with the Primer.[15] Western submits affidavits from various persons who either have no recollection of having been interviewed by Dakota,[16] or were unaware of and not told who was conducting the survey or that the survey was for Dakota. In addition, Western attaches affidavits from other interviewees stating that in some instances the surveys consisted of merely distributing written questionnaires with no discussion of community problems. Petitioner further questions the validity of these supplemental surveys on the grounds that if Sieler conducted the interviews, there is no indication from the Dakota application that Sieler was a principal or proposed management-level employee at that time as required by the Primer. Western also challenges Dakota's community leader survey on the ground that Dakota failed to ascertain the needs of significant groups in Rapid City, Lead and other communities within the proposed coverage area.[17] These groups, according to petitioner, include consumer organizations, government and politics, social services, labor organizations and Indians. Finally, Western claims that its survey of over 160 persons from about 140 different organizations surpasses that of Dakota and, therefore, it requests an issue to determine, on a comparative basis, the significant differences between the ascertainment efforts of Dakota and Western.

7. In opposition, Dakota contends that it is undisputed that Cormaney conducted his consultations pursuant to the precepts of the Primer and the fact that he withdrew as a principal has no relevance to the quality of the surveys. Dakota also attaches affidavits of Ted Jacobs attesting to the fact that he was, in fact, consulted in regard to Dakota's application by Gilbert Moyle. Dakota next submits an affidavit of Sieler attempting to show that sufficient steps were taken to acquaint each leader with the purpose of the consultation. Furthermore, contrary to the charges made by Western, Sieler attests that he did contact the persons alleged by Western as not having been interviewed.[18] Moreover, Dakota argues that Sieler's surveys are within the purview of the Primer, either as a prospective management-level employee or as a principal because Sieler was offered a management-level position as sales manager for Dakota well before he perfected his ownership interest in the applicant. With respect to Western's allegation that Dakota's survey efforts in the "gain" area are inadequate, Dakota notes that consultations in the "gain" area need not be as extensive as

[8] Western's motion to enlarge issues is somewhat confusing as to whether petitioner is requesting a § 1.65 or a misrepresentation issue as a result of Dakota's representations concerning Flittie and Anderson's stock interests. We have treated the request as a misrepresentation issue. It also appears that the failure to file the subscription agreements should more appropriately be treated as a § 1.65 issue since the subscription agreements were executed subsequent to the filing of Dakota's application.

[9] The Board sees no basis for adding an issue, as requested by petitioner, to determine whether Flittie and Anderson are undisclosed principals of Dakota by virtue of the stock subscription agreements; their affidavits make clear that they are not involved in and have no obligation to Dakota.

[11] Western argues that Sieler's position as station manager involves "control, management and operation" of the television station, as required by § 73.35 of the rules.

[12] See K & M Broadcasters, Inc., 19 FCC 2d 947, 17 RR 2d 543 (1969).

[13] Since the grant of Dakota's application was made subject to the divestiture by Sieler of his interest in Midland Broadcasting Co., see Order, Mimeo No. 25535, released June 28, 1974, specification of a cross-interest issue on this ground is not warranted.

[14] With regard to the original community leader survey, Western attaches an affidavit of Ted Jacobs, one of the interviewees, who states Dakota never conducted an interview with him.

[15] Western alleges that because the interviews conducted by Cormaney must be disregarded, the Commission can rely only on the May 1974 total of 20 interviews as the whole Dakota ascertainment effort.

[16] The affidavits of Dean Parsons, Fred Dusek, John McMahon, J. D. Davenport, Ted Jacobs and Martin Ferrero, state they were not interviewed by Dakota. However, see paragraphs 7 and 9, infra.

[17] Western also contends that Dakota's survey of the "gain" area it intends to serve is inadequate in that it failed to survey several counties within its proposed service area.

[18] Dakota also attaches the affidavits of John McMahon, Luverne Noziska and Sandra Kelley, all averring that they were interviewed by Sieler.

for the city of license,[19] and contends that Western has made no showing that the problems and needs in the "gain" areas are different from those in the areas surveyed by Dakota or that the groups allegedly omitted "wield the kind of influence or lack thereof which merits special consideration by [Dakota] in its survey efforts", citing RKO General, Inc. (WOR–TV), 47 FCC 2d 824, 826, 30 RR 2d 955, 958 (1974). Finally, the applicant argues that the requested comparative survey issue is not warranted absent a showing by Western that the problems and needs of all significant groups and communities have not been ascertained by Dakota. The Broadcast Bureau rejects Western's attempts to invalidate the leadership surveys conducted by Cormaney since Cormaney was a principal at the time he conducted the surveys and, according to the Bureau, the Primer does not indicate that such interviews cease to be valid upon the departure of such principals. However, the Bureau believes, on the basis of Western's other allegations, that an issue to inquire into the adequacy of Dakota's community leader survey appears justified. Also, the Bureau claims that since Western's community leader survey appears critically deficient, specification of a comparative efforts issue is unwarranted.

8. In its replies, Western reasserts its claim that the Primer (Q. & A. 11(a)) does provide a basis for eliminating the Cormaney interviews in that it emphasizes the importance of a continuing dialogue with the community leaders and the importance of a contact at the station with whom the interviewee can discuss problems. Therefore, petitioner contends, when Cormaney left, the community leaders lost their "contact," cutting off any dialogue. Furthermore, Western claims that Dakota's supplemental interviews are not re-surveys but rather confirmations of interviews with Cormaney.[20] In addition, Western maintains that it does not have to show that the problems in the service area outside the cities of license are different, but merely that the applicant failed to ascertain them, falling short of the requirements of the Primer (Q. & A. 6).

9. The Board will add a Suburban issue. Initially, we reject petitioner's contention that Cormaney's departure as a principal invalidates his community leader surveys. See Itawamba County Broadcasting Co., Inc., 46 FCC 2d 60, 29 RR 2d 1154 (1974). The Primer only requires that consultations with community leaders be conducted by principals or management-level employees and there is no dispute that Cormaney was a principal at the time he conducted his interviews. The Primer does not indicate that such interviews cease to be valid upon the departure of such principal. However, the Board finds that several of the remaining Suburban allegations warrant further inquiry. First, in the Board's opinion, serious questions have been raised concerning the truthfulness of Dakota's representations of its community leader survey. It appears from the affidavits that four of the community leaders allegedly surveyed by Dakota aver having no recollection of being contacted by anyone on behalf of Dakota, and Sieler's affidavit attesting that he did contact these persons is not adequate to answer their assertions to the contrary.[21] In these circumstances, the addition of a misrepresentation issue is warranted. See California Stereo, Inc., 39 FCC 2d 401, 26 RR 2d 887 (1973); Belo Broadcasting Corporation, 42 FCC 2d 1011, 28 RR 2d 732 (1973). Furthermore, several leaders state that questionnaires were used in their consultations without any discussion of community problem. The Primer (Q. & A. 17) explicitly states that a questionnaire cannot be used in lieu of personal consultations. In addition, a review of Dakota's surveys reveals that little or no systematic attempt was made to contact representatives of various local groups, e.g., no interviews with labor leaders or Indians are indicated.[22] See Southern Broadcasting Company, 26 FCC 2d 992, 20 RR 2d 677 (1970). Thus, the apparent deficiencies in Dakota's survey warrant an appropriate issue to determine whether that applicant satisfactorily ascertained the community needs and interests of the areas to be served.[23] Finally, Western's request for a comparative efforts issue is predicated primarily on the allegation that it contacted substantially more leaders than Dakota, particularly in the "gain" area. However, as previously indicated (see note 23, supra), petitioner has not shown that there was any necessity to make contacts in the area in question. Moreover, substantial questions have been raised with regard to Western's community leader survey.[24] Under these circumstances, we do not believe a comparative efforts issue is warranted Cf. KFPW Broadcasting Co., 13 FCC 2d 98, 13 RR 2d 344 (1968).

MERITORIOUS PROGRAMMING ISSUE

10. Western finally seeks an issue to inquire into the allegedly good broadcast record of Sturgis Radio Co., Inc., licensee of KBHB and KBHB–FM, Sturgis, South Dakota. Western bases its request on the ground that Leslie J. Kleven, a major stockholder and president of Western and its proposed full-time general manager, is also controlling stockholder, president and general manager of the Sturgis stations.[25] In opposition, Dakota contends that the Policy Statement, supra, permits consideration of an "unusually good" programming record at the comparative hearing. The Board will deny the requested issue. As noted by the Broadcast Bureau, the Board has previously held that a special issue is not required in order to adduce evidence of a past broadcast record under a standard comparative issue. See Pleasant Broadcasting Co., 19 FCC 2d 964, 17 RR 2d 465 (1969). Rather, the appropriate procedure is for the petitioner to make a threshold showing to the Presiding Judge that its past broadcast record is "unusually good",[26] whereupon the comparative programming record will be examined at the hearing.

11. Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That the petition for withdrawal filed October 11, 1974, by Western Television Co., is denied; and

12. *It is further ordered*, That the petition to enlarge issues, filed July 23, 1974, by Western Television Company is granted to the extent indicated herein, and is denied in all other respects; and that the issues in this proceeding are enlarged to include the following issues:

(a) To determine whether a grant of the application of Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc. would contravene the Commission's cross-interest policy requiring divorcement of interests between stations in the same broadcast service and serving substantially the same area, and if so, the effect thereof on the applicant's basic or comparative qualifications to be a Commission licensee.

(b) To determine whether Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc., misrepresented facts to the Commission in connection with its survey of community leaders, and, if so, to determine the effect of this conduct on the basic and/or comparative qualifications of Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc., to be a Commission licensee.

(c) To determine the efforts made by Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc., to ascertain the community needs and interests of the area to be served and the means by which the applicant proposes to meet those needs and interests; and

[19] Dakota cites Meredith Colon Johnston, 33 FCC 2d 324, 23 RR 2d 671 (1972), in support.

[20] In a supplement to reply, petitioner submits the affidavit of Leslie J. Kleven, President of Western, stating that as represented to him, the contacts made by Sieler were not interviews for community ascertainment purposes, but rather an audit of Cormaney's surveys.

[21] The affidavits of Parsons, Dusek, Davenport and Ferrero, denying contact by Dakota, remain uncontested.

[22] Dakota's interviews with two Indians are deficient in that questionnaires were used with no discussion of community problems.

[23] Western's argument that Dakota failed to adequately survey its "gain" area must fail. A sizeable portion of the counties allegedly not surveyed are either not within the proposed service area or are on its fringe. Furthermore, petitioner has not indicated that any major communities are within the proposed service area of the counties allegedly not surveyed.

[24] See *Western Television Company*, FCC 74R–392, __ FCC 2d __, released October 22, 1974, where the Board added an issue to determine the extent of Western's use of mail questionnaires.

[25] In support, Western cites the Policy Statement on Comparative Broadcast Hearings, 1 FCC 2d 393, 5 RR 2d 1901 (1965); Lamar Life Broadcasting Co., 24 FCC 2d 618, 19 RR 851 (1970); and Veterans Broadcasting Company, Inc., 38 FCC 25, 4 RR 2d 375 (1965), indicating the Commission will consider the "unusually good" programming record of a station where there is full and equal participation in the operating station and the proposed station.

[26] Policy Statement on Comparative Broadcast Hearings, 1 FCC 2d 393, 5 RR 2d 1901 (1965).

13. *It is further ordered*, That the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof under issues (a) and (c) added herein shall be on Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc. and the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence under issue (b) added herein shall be on Western Television Company and the burden of proof shall be on Dakota Broadcasting Co., Inc.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-760 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

RADIO TECHNICAL COMMISSION FOR AERONAUTICS

Meeting and Agenda

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics Special Committee 128—Preparation of Minimum Performance Standards for Airborne Ground Proximity Warning System. It is to be held on January 28-29, 1975, in Conference Room 4342, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C., commencing at 9 a.m.

AGENDA

1. Introductory Remarks.
2. Review FAA Draft.
3. Prepare Draft Minimum Performance Standards.
4. Other Business.
5. Closing Remarks.

The meeting is open to the public on a space available basis. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Commission either before or after the meeting. Any member of the public wishing to make an oral statement must consult with the Commission prior to the meeting.

Those desiring to attend the meeting or more specific information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, Suite 655, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20006, or phone area code 202/296-0484.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-764 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-115]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

CONSOLIDATED NATURAL GAS CO.

Filing of Revised Tariff Sheets

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that on December 16, 1974, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Consolidated) tendered for filing Second Revised Sheet No. 51-A, Second Revised Sheet No. 51-B, and Second Revised Sheet No. 51-C to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 to be effective as of December 15, 1975.

Consolidated states that the Tariff Sheets now in effect provide for curtailment of certain partial requirements customers of Consolidated on a pro rata basis and that the gas shortage curtailment provisions in the revised Tariff Sheets provide for end use curtailment.

Consolidated states that the Tariff Sheets now in effect provide for the determination of industrial requirements on the basis of the highest twelve consecutive calendar months in the most recent twenty-four month period for which data is available. The revised Tariff Sheets will provide for the curtailment of industrial requirements on the basis of data for the twelve month period ended September 30, 1974.

Consolidated also states that the Revised Tariff Sheets would permit the filing of petitions for extraordinary relief by any buyer, including the Hope Natural Division, on behalf of any consumer.

The December 15, 1974, effective date is requested as a result of the announced implementation of curtailment by Consolidated commencing February 1, 1975. Consolidated requests that the Tariff Sheets be made effective without suspension or with suspension for only one day to facilitate the orderly implementation of curtailment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 14, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene; provided, however, that any person who has previously filed a petition to intervene in this proceeding is not required to file a further petition. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-608 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-134; PGA 75-6]

EASTERN SHORE NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment To Rates and Charges

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (Eastern Shore) on December 18, 1974, tendered for filing Second Substitute Tenth Revised Sheet No. 3A and Second Substitute Tenth Revised PGA-1 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 to become effective January 1, 1975. The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and service by approximately $75,815 annually based on sales for the twelve-month period ending November 30, 1974. Eastern Shore also filed Alternate Second Substitute Tenth Revised Sheet No. 3A and Alternate Second Substitute Tenth Revised PGA-1 to its tariff. These alternative sheets would increase revenues by approximately $65,358 annually.

Pursuant to the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause contained in its tariff, Eastern Shore proposes to increase the commodity or delivery charges in its rate schedules CD-1, CD-E, G-1, PS-1, E-1, and I by amounts equivalent to the increases in the similar rates of its sole supplier, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, as contained in the latter's filing in Docket No. RP72-99 dated November 27, 1974, as corrected December 13, 1974. Consistent with Transcontinental's filing of its rate changes on alternative bases, Eastern Shore has submitted corresponding alternative changes in its rates and charges. Eastern Shore requests waiver of the notice requirements of § 154.22 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act and Section 20.2 of the General Terms and Conditions of its Tariff, to the extent necessary, to permit the proposed tariff sheets corresponding to the Transcontinental rate change accepted by the Commission, to become effective as of January 1, 1975, coincident with the proposed effective date of Transcontinental's rate changes.

Copies of the filing have been mailed to each of the Company's jurisdictional customers and to interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a Petition to Intervene or Protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 15, 1975.

Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a Petition to Intervene, copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-609 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-96]

EL PASO ALASKA CO.

Extension of Time

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 20, 1974, El Paso Alaska Company filed a motion to extend the time for filing a fee as required by Section 159.2(a) of the Commission's Regulations in the above-designated matter.

Notice is hereby given that the time for filing the above fee is extended to 15 days after final action by the Commission on the Request by Governmental Agency noticed November 18, 1974 in this Docket.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-610 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8008]

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Extension of Time

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 18, 1974, Florida Power and Light Company filed a motion for extension of time for filing briefs on exceptions to the initial decision of the Presiding Administrative Law Judge issued November 26, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that all parties have been contacted and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the time for filing briefs on exceptions is extended to January 24, 1975 and the date for filing briefs opposing exceptions is extended to February 13, 1975.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-611 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8843]

HOLOYOKE WATER POWER CO. AND HOLOYOKE POWER AND ELECTRIC CO.

Extension of Procedural Dates

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 18, 1974, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued August 9, 1974, as most recently modified by notice issued December 4, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Staffs Testimony, December 30, 1974.
Service of Intervenor's Testimony, January 17, 1975.
Service of Company Rebuttal, January 28, 1975.
Hearing, February 4, 1975 (10 a.m. EST).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-612 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-66]

INTER-CITY MINNESOTA PIPELINES LTD., INC.

Order Permitting PGA Rate Increase and Rejecting Transportation Rate Increase

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 5, 1974, Inter-City Minnesota Pipelines, Ltd., Inc. (Inter-City) tendered for filing Third Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, designated PGA No. 2, proposing a uniform 19.325 cents per Mcf increase under each of its jurisdictional sales rates. The increase is filed under Inter-City's tariff PGA rate provision and is proposed to become effective January 1, 1975. The revised rates reflect the increased rates of Inter-City's sole supplier, IGT Transmission Limited, which have been required to be increased to $1.03 per Mcf (including Btu and exchange rate adjustments) by the Canadian government and the Canadian National Energy Board to be effective on January 1, 1975. Inter-City purchases the gas from IGT at the U.S.-Canadian border at Sprague, Manitoba.

Inter-City's proposed PGA increase appears to be properly computed and uniformly applied to each of its rates in accordance with the applicable tariff PGA provisions. The net gas purchase cost increase amounts to $1,537,073 annually, while expected revenues will increase by $1,570,253, inclusive of $33,180 in Inter-City's unrecovered purchased gas account accumulated during the period July 5, to September 30, 1974. We find the proposed PGA increase is reasonable and proper under the circumstances, and it will therefore be approved.

Inter-City also filed herein on December 5, 1974, proposed First Revised Sheet No. 11 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, increasing the rate for its transportation service for ICG by $90,830 annually. A change in rate design is also proposed for the transportation service to eliminate a reduction of 2 cents per Mcf in the charge for all volumes delivered to IGT in excess of 2.9 million Mcf per year, and to substitute a uniform charge of 7.798 cents per Mcf to all volumes transported.

Inter-City states that the basis for the proposed increase in its transportation rate is a requested increase in depreciation rate from the present 1.67 percent to 5.9115 percent. The latter figure represents the calculation of depreciation rate based on the 15 year, 10 month remaining life of the gas supply contract between IGT and its sole supplier, Trans-Canada Pipe Lines, Ltd.

Inquiries by the Commission staff concerning the proposed increase in transportation rate have revealed that the increase is predicated on something more than the indicated change in depreciation rate, including an increase in ad valorem taxes of approximately $24,000 and an increase in Inter-City's rate of return to an overall rate of 14.68 percent, yielding 20% on equity capital.

Inter-City's proposed increase in its transportation rate represents a change in rate within the meaning of section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, and is governed by § 154.63 of the Commission's regulations under the Act. Inter-City did not submit the supporting materials required by the regulations, and its filing is therefore not in compliance with the Commission's regulations. We are unable on the record before us, and in the absence of the information required by § 154.63 of our regulations, to approve the requested increase in Inter-City's transportation rate. We shall therefore reject such increase, without prejudice, however, to its resubmission by Inter-City together with Statements L, M, and N, as required by § 154.63(b)(4) of the regulations.

The Commission orders:

(A) Inter-City's proposed Third Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, designated PGA No. 2, is accepted for filing, and the Commission's applicable regulations are waived to permit such tariff sheet to become effective on January 1, 1975.

(B) Inter-Citys proposed First Revised Sheet No. 11 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, is rejected, without prejudice, however to its resubmission together with Statements L, M, and N as required by § 154.63(b)(4) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-613 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-43; PGA75-2]

MID LOUISIANA GAS CO.

Proposed Rate Change

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that Mid Louisiana Gas Company (Mid Louisiana) on December 20, 1974, tendered for filing as a part of first Revised Volume No. 1 of its FPC Gas Tariff, Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 3a.

Mid Louisiana states that the purpose of the filing is to reflect a Purchased Gas Cost Current Adjustment to Mid Louisiana's Rate Schedules G-1, SG-1, I-1 and E-1; that the revised tariff sheet is proposed to be effective February 1, 1975, and that the filing is being made to reflect the increased rates of its supplier United Gas Pipe Line Company filed December 18, 1974, in Docket No. RP75-22; and that copies of the filing were served on interested customers and state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20425, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 16, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-614 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP71-16 and RP74-29; PGA 75-4]

MIDWESTERN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Notice of Filing Pursuant to Tariff Rate Adjustment Provisions

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that on December 16, 1974, Midwestern Gas Transmission Company

(Midwestern) tendered for filing Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, to be effective February 1, 1975. Midwestern states that the sole purpose of the revised tariff sheet is to reflect adjustments to its rates pursuant to rate adjustment provisions in Articles XVII, XVIII, and XIX of the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff.

Midwestern states that as to the Southern System, Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5 reflects a net PGA rate reduction of 0.39 cents per Mcf, resulting from (1) the Current Purchased Gas Cost Rate Adjustment based on increased rates filed by Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), on November 15, 1974; and (2) a negative Surcharge for Amortizing the Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account for the Southern System. Midwestern states that the balance in such account reflects the crediting of refunds received from Tennessee on October 15, 1974, as specified by Section 4 of Article XVII. According to Midwestern, the revised tariff sheet also reflects a Current Rate Adjustment of 0.11 cents pursuant to Section 9 of Article XIX to reflect curtailment demand charge credits applicable to the Southern System.

Midwestern states that as to the Northern System, Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5 reflects a net PGA rate adjustment of 1.04 cents per Mcf based on the Surcharge for Amortizing the Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account for the Northern System.

Midwestern states that as to the Northern System, Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5 reflects a net PGA rate adjustment of 1.04 cents per Mcf based on the Surcharge for Amortizing the Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account for the Northern System.

Midwestern states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 15, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene; provided, however, that any person who has previously filed a petition to intervene in this proceeding is not required to file a further petition. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-615 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9135]

MISSISSIPPI POWER CO.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase and Prescribing Hearing Procedures

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 29, 1974, the Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi) tendered for filing Second Revised Sheets Nos. 3 and 4 to its FPC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1. Mississippi states that the proposed increase will produce added revenues of $3,380,418.10 based on the twelve month period following the effective date of January 1, 1975, which would yield an overall return of 9.47%.

The proposed wholesale rate is applicable to three cooperatives, Coast Electric Power Association, East Mississippi Power Association, and Singing River Electric Power Association. The cooperatives receive electrical power from Mississippi through a total of thirty-five delivery points, and a separate contract was executed by Mississippi to cover service at each point. Nineteen of the contracts will be affected by Mississippi's proposed rate increase.[1]

According to Mississippi, the added revenue is needed because of the following factors: (1) the adverse financial impact of inflation, (2) the cost of new pollution control equipment, (3) the cost of converting generating facilities to alternate fuels because of the energy crisis and the resultant shortage of primary fuels, (4) customer conservation efforts that have reduced Mississippi's total revenues, and (5) the need to finance a large construction program. Mississippi also states that, although its total sales have decreased due to customer conservation efforts, its peak demand requirements are continuing to increase, requiring additional expenditures to provide the necessary capacity.

Notice of the filing was issued on December 5, 1974, with protests or petitions to intervene due on or before December 20, 1974. No such protests or petitions have been filed to date.

As we concluded in Opinion No. 665, Docket No. E-7625 (issued September 27, 1973), the contracts that Mississippi proposes to modify in this proceeding are fixed term contracts, and therefore, the Mobile-Sierra rule would prohibit any unilateral filings by Mississippi during the term of each contract.[2] The issue that must be resolved is whether the notice of cancellation tendered on December 21, 1972, by Mississippi to all of its customers satisfies the contractual provision(s) that govern the term of each contract.

Six of the contracts provide for an initial period of service of five years, after which termination is permitted following two years notice to the customer.[3] The initial period has terminated for these contracts, and accordingly, since notice was provided to the customers, we shall accept these contracts for filing pursuant to Section 205, subject to the conditions hereinafter ordered.

The other thirteen contracts have two different provisions[4] that appear to govern the term of each agreement. Article 18 of the agreements provides for an initial period varying from seven months to ten years, after which termination is possible following two years notice. Article 5 provides the Company with the right to substitute new and different rate schedules applicable to these customers at the conclusion of their initial contract terms for five year terms and permits rate adjustments only if notice is given at least two years prior to the end of the preceding five year term. A review of the rate schedules in question on file with the Commission indicates that Article 5 has not come into play since the Company did not substitute new and different rate schedules for the MRA-9 rate at the conclusion of the initial terms of the contracts. Since no new rate schedules have been substituted under Article 5, the provisions of Article 18 govern the right of the Company to terminate service under the contracts. Accordingly, since Mississippi tendered adequate contractual notice to its customers under the thirteen contracts, both as to the right to terminate service under the presently effective rate schedules and as to the right to file new and different rate schedules, we shall accept these contracts for filing pursuant to Section 205, subject to the conditions hereinafter ordered.

Our review of Mississippi's filing shows that the proposed changes in rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential or otherwise unlawful. Therefore, we find it to be in the public interest to suspend Mississippi's filing for a period of one day and establish hearing procedures to determine the justness and reasonableness of the proposed rates.

With regard to Mississippi's proposed fuel clause, Section 35.14(a)(1) of the Commission's Regulations states that the intent of the fuel clause is "to reflect changes in the fuel component (and fuel only) per kilowatt hour of delivered energy cost." The proposed clause, however, would reflect the total cost of economy purchases rather than reflecting only the cost of fuel included in such purchases. For this reason, we shall reject the fuel clause[5] without prejudice to Mississippi's right to file a revised

[1] FPC Rate Schedules 40, 41, 43, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 66, 70, 71, 72, 76, 77, 84, 94, 100, and 104.

[2] *United Gas Pipe Line Co.* v. *Mobile Gas Service Corp.*, 350 U.S. 332 (1956) and *F.P.C.* v. *Sierra Pacific Power Company*, 350 U.S. 348 (1956).

[3] FPC Rate Schedules 40, 41, 43, 70, 71, and 84.

[4] The specific provisions of the contract which are referred to are represented as Appendix A to this order.

[5] See: *Rockland Electric Company*, order issued September 27, 1974 in Docket No. E-9001.

fuel clause conforming with the Regulations as set forth in Section 35.14(a)(1) or, in the alternative, a revised clause conforming to the new fuel clause regulations as set forth Order No. 517.[2]

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and appropriate in the public interest, and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission accept for filing Mississippi's November 29, 1974 proposed rate increase in Docket No. E-9135 and enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the proposed rates and charges contained in Mississippi's proposed rate increase and that such proposed changes be suspended as hereinafter ordered.

(2) Good cause exists to reject Mississippi's proposed fuel adjustment clause as hereinafter ordered.

(3) The disposition of this proceeding should be expedited in accordance with the procedure set forth below.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly Sections 205 and 206 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the Regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held on July 1, 1975, at 10 a.m., in a hearing room at the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the rate revision proposed by Mississippi in its November 29, 1974, filing.

(B) On or before May 20, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. On or before June 4, 1975, all intervenors shall serve their prepared testimony and exhibits. Any rebuttal evidence by Mississippi shall be served on or before June 19, 1975.

(C) Pending hearing and a final decision thereon, the proposed changes in Mississippi's November 29, 1974, filing are hereby suspended for one day, and the use thereof deferred until January 2, 1975, subject to refund.

(D) Mississippi's proposed fuel clause is hereby rejected without prejudice to Mississippi's right to file a revised fuel clause reflecting only changes in the fuel component per kilowatt hour of delivered energy cost, as prescribed by Section 35.14(a)(1) of the Regulations or, in the alternative, a revised fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517, as set forth above.

(E) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(F) Nothing contained herein should be construed as limiting the rights of the parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of settlement pursuant to Section 1.18 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(G) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX A

ARTICLE 5:

The Customer agrees to pay to the Company monthly for service hereunder in accordance with the Company's Rate Schedule "MRA-9" attached hereto and made a part hereof. This schedule shall be effective for a period commencing with the effective date of this contract and ending (five (5) years from and after) _____, herein called the "Initial Period." The Company shall have the right to substitute a new and different rate schedule applicable to service under this contract for a five (5) year period commencing _____, and for each subsequent five (5) year period thereafter of this contract, in accordance with the following procedure: (words in brackets are part of Rate Schedule Nos. 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, and 60, but not part of Rate Schedule Nos. 66, 72, 76, 77, 94, 100, and 104).

* * * * *

ARTICLE 18 OF RATE SCHEDULE NOS. 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60:

This contract shall become effective upon the date of its approval by the Administrator of the Rural Electrification Administration, and shall continue in effect for a period of _____ years (not less than ten or more than fifteen) from and after _____, and thereafter until either party shall notify the other in writing of its intention to terminate, such notice to be given at least two (2) years prior to the effective date of such termination. It is understood that this contract, when it becomes effective as forwarded herein, shall supersede an existing contract between the parties hereto, dated _____, and that such superseded contract shall terminate concurrently with the effectiveness of this contract.

ARTICLE 18 OF RATE SCHEDULE NOS. 60, 66, 72, 76, 77, 94, 100, AND 104

This contract shall become effective upon the date the power of the Company is connected to the power system of the Customer for service under this agreement, which date is _____, 19__, and shall continue in effect for a period of _____ from and after _____, and thereafter until either party shall notify the other in writing of its intention to terminate, such notice to be given at least two (2) years prior to the effective date of such termination. It is understood that this contract, when it becomes effective as provided herein, shall supersede an existing contract between the parties hereto, dated _____, and that such superseded contract shall terminate concurrently with the effectiveness of this contract.

[FR Doc.75-616 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[2] See: Ordering Paragraph (B) of Order No. 517, issued November 13, in Docket No. R-479.

[Docket No. E-9063]

MISSOURI POWER & LIGHT CO.

Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase, Establishing Procedures, and Providing for the Filing of a Revised Fuel Clause

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On October 15, 1974, as completed on November 29, 1974, Missouri Power & Light Company (MP&L) tendered for filing a supplemental agreement for wholesale service to the City of Centralia, Missouri (Centralia).[1] The proposed Municipal Electric Service Wholesale Rate (MESWR) will result in additional revenue of $33,376 based upon sales for the 12 months ended August 31, 1975.

Notice of the filing and the supplement were issued on October 29, 1974, and December 18, 1974, with comments due on or before November 7, 1974, and December 26, 1974, respectively. No responses were received.

Our review of MP&L's filing indicates that the fuel clause proposed therein provides for losses on a system basis rather than on a wholesale basis.[2] Hence, the rates resulting from the implementation of the proposed fuel clause may be excessive under the applicable ratemaking standards of the Commission and therefore, may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential or otherwise unlawful within the meaning of the Federal Power Act. Therefore, we shall suspend MP&L's filing for one day until January 3, 1975, when it shall become effective subject to refund, and provide for the filing of a revised fuel adjustment clause within 30 days of the issuance of this order which provides for losses on a wholesale basis. Alternatively, MP&L may submit a revised fuel clause in accordance with Commission Order No. 517, issued November 13, 1974, in Docket No. R-479, as permitted by Ordering Paragraph (B) of that order. Upon the filing of a revised fuel clause providing for losses on a wholesale basis or a fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517, we shall make the revised fuel clause effective as of January 3, 1975, terminate MP&L's refund obligation and order such interim refunds as may be required. Failure to file a substitute fuel clause providing for losses on a system basis or clause conforming to Order No. 517 will require a hearing in accordance with the schedule hereinafter ordered.

The Commission finds:

It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the Federal Power Act that the tendered filing be suspended as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

[1] See Attachment for designation, filed as part of the original document.

[2] See: Arizona Public Service Company, ____ FPC ____ issued June 4, 1974, in Docket No. E-8624.

The Commission orders:

(A) MP&L's October 15, 1974, filing, as completed on November 29, 1974, is hereby accepted for filing and suspended until January 3, 1975, when it shall become effective subject to refund. Within 30 days of the date of the issuance of this order, MP&L shall file a substitute fuel clause providing for losses on a wholesale basis or a fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517, as discussed above. Upon receipt of a substitute fuel clause providing for losses on a wholesale basis or a fuel clause conforming to Order No. 517, we shall make the substitute clause effective as of January 3, 1975, order such interim refunds as may be required and terminate MP&L's refund obligation. Failure to file a revised fuel clause as provided above will require a hearing as hereinafter ordered.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held on April 8, 1975, at 10 a.m., E.d.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges contained in MP&L's proposed filing.

(C) On or before January 28, 1975, MP&L shall file its direct evidentiary case. On or before February 25, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. Any intervenor evidence will be filed on or before March 11, 1975. Any rebuttal evidence by MP&L shall be served on or before March 25, 1975.

(D) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(E) Nothing contained herein shall be construed as limiting the rights of parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences or offers of Settlement pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(F) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-617 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9161]

NANTAHALA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Proposed Tariff Change and Motion to Consolidate Proceedings

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that Nantahala Power and Light Co. (Nantahala) on December 16, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Electric Rate Schedule PL. The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales or service by $84,879, based on the 12 month period ending on September 30, 1974. Nantahala has also filed a motion to consolidate the instant filing with Docket No. E-7942.

The proposed rate changes and rate charges are designed to increase the revenue from Haywood Electric Membership Corporation, the Town of Highlands and Western Carolina University, Nantahala Power and Light Company's only jurisdictional customers, sufficiently to recover the proportionate share of the increase in the cost of purchased power from Tennessee Valley Authority and to raise the rate of return on the investment necessary to serve jurisdictional customers to an acceptable level. The filing also introduces a purchased power cost adjustment into Nantahala's tariff. The proposed effective date of the proposed rate is February 1, 1975.

Copies of the filing were served upon Nantahala's jurisdictional customers and on the regulatory commission of the State of North Carolina.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 14, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-618 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RM75-14]

NATURAL GAS

National Rates for Jurisdictional Sales; Extension of Time

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

In the matter of national rates for jurisdictional sales of natural gas dedicated to interstate commerce on or after January 1, 1973, for the period January 1, 1975 to December 31, 1976.

On December 13, 1974, Texaco Incorporated and twenty-seven other producers filed a motion to extend the time for filing comments fixed by order issued December 4, 1974 in the above-designated matter. On December 19, 1974, United Distribution Companies and on December 20, 1974, Jones and Laughlin Steel Corporation filed similar motions for extension.

Notice is hereby given that the date for filing comments in the above matter is extended to March 17, 1975, and the date for filing reply comments is extended to April 14, 1975.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-627 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9104]

NEVADA POWER CO.

Filing Supplemental Data and Petition for Waiver of Notice Requirements

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that on December 20, 1974, Nevada Power Co. (NPC) tendered supplemental data intended to make complete its original filing of November 12, 1974. This action is in response to a deficiency letter issued by the Secretary of the Federal Power Commission.

NPC states that it has reduced its requested rate increase from $1,073,219 to $998,486 thus producing an application of under $1,000,000 and thereby making submission of the data, required under § 35.13(b)(4)(iii) of the Federal Power Act, voluntary rather than mandatory. NPC states that this revenue decrease was accomplished by utilizing $45.566 per Kw and $7.023 per Kw for thermal capacity and transmission pricing, respectively, in place of the cost figures of $47.584 per Kw and $7.334 per Kw contained in Statement M.

Due to the above mentioned reduced rate request NPC has submitted a revised Statement N and also new rate schedules, Schedule CPH and Schedule CPN which are to be substituted for the previously submitted schedules. NPC requested in their initial filing in this docket that the effective date be as of the receipt of this application.

NPC indicates that it has mailed copies of the subject supplemental data to all parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 14, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-619 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-9136 and E-9140]

NEW ENGLAND POWER SERVICE CO.

Order Accepting Tariff Sheets for Filing, Suspending Use Thereof, Consolidating Proceedings and Providing for Hearing, Permitting Intervention and Denying Motions to Reject

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 26, 1974, New England Power Service Co., (NEPCO), ten-

dered for filing at Docket No. E–9136, copies of an agreement dated November 22, 1974, between NEPCO and its affiliate, The Narragansett Electric Company (Narragansett) which amends its Service Agreement for Primary Service for Resale with The Narragansett Electric Co. and NEPCO's FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume Number 1. The filing reflects two amendments to the agreement which is in the nature of a lease of facilities in the future. The first amendment provides for adjustments in the Generation and Transmission Credits which Narragansett will receive based upon the Narragansett facilities which will be used by NEPCO in 1975. The second amendment provides for Narragansett's fossil fuel for generation costs to be credited on a current month basis. The proposed changes would increase the fixed credits allowed Narragansett on its purchased power billing by NEPCO in the amount of $1,646,700 annually based on the 12 month period ending December 31, 1975. The amended agreement is proposed to become effective on January 1, 1975. A review of NEPCO's filing indicates that the major portion of its proposed increase is the result of increases in the level of depreciation expense and rate of return.

Notice of NEPCO's filing in Docket No. E–9136 was issued on December 6, 1974, with protests and petitions to intervene due on or before December 23, 1974. On December 24, 1974, a petition to intervene was filed by those parties listed at Appendix A.

On November 29, 1974, NEPCO tendered for filing, at Docket No. E–9140, copies of amendments [1] to its FPC Tariff, Original Volume Number 1 to be effective January 1, 1975. The amendments would result in a rate increase of approximately $25 million annually, based on the calendar year 1975.

The Company's filing will alter the current R–8 rate by increasing the demand charge from $3.18 per kilowatt of demand to $7.69 per kilowatt and decrease the energy charge from 9.8 mills per kilowatt hour to 2.1 mills per kilowatt hour. The credit for high tension delivery has been reduced from 30¢ per kilowatt of demand to 15¢ per kilowatt. The General Terms and Conditions and Terms and Conditions governing specified types of service have also been amended to increase various notice provisions from two to seven years, increase the interest accruing on unpaid bills from an annual rate of 7 percent to 1½ percent per month, and decrease from sixty to thirty days the time allowed for payment of bills. NEPCO requests waiver of the requirement to file Statement P since the Price Commission is no longer active.

Notice of NEPCO's filing in Docket No. E–9140 was issued on December 5, 1974, with protests and petitions to intervene due on or before December 23, 1974. On December 17, 1974, a protest was received from Torbert H. Macdonald, M.C. On December 23, 1974, a protest, petition to intervene and motion to reject was filed by the group of NEPCO's customers listed in Appendix A (Customers). Customers have requested that the Federal Power Commission reject the filing or in the alternative to suspend the rate increase for five months. Customers raise several additional issues other than those discussed above, i.e., 1) that NEPCO has inserted a seven year notice provision on proposed changes in entitlements or sources of supply while at the same time altered their rate design and 2) included approximately $75 million of construction work in progress in the rate base. Another timely petition for rejection, suspension for five months and leave to intervene was filed by Michael J. Harrington M.C. in which he alleged discrimination between the 29 municipal utilities who purchase power wholesale from NEPCO and NEPCO's own affiliate Massachusetts Electric Co. In addition, Congressman Harrington supports the pleadings filed by Customer.

Our review of NEPCO's filing, as well as the pleadings filed by the petitioners in Docket Nos. E–9136 and E–9140, reveals certain issues which, while not sufficient to warrant a summary rejection, may require development in an evidentiary proceeding. The issues raised indicate that the proposed changes have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall suspend the proposed changes for five months and establish hearing procedures to determine the justness and reasonableness of NEPCO's filing. Moreover, we are of the opinion that the most expeditious way of resolving the issues in these tendered filings is to consolidate the proceedings at Docket No. E–9136 with those at Docket No. E–9140 for purposes of hearing and decision.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the terms, conditions, rates and charges contained in NEPCO's filings at these dockets and that the tendered rate schedules be suspended as hereinafter provided.

(2) Good cause exists to deny petitioners' motions for rejection of NEPCO's tendered filing.

(3) Good cause exists to grant NEPCO's requested waiver of the requirement to file Statement P.

The Commission orders:

(A) New England Power Service Company's filings in Docket Nos. E–9136 and E–9140 are accepted for filing and suspended for five months, subject to refund, and permitted to become effective June 1, 1975.

(B) The request for a rate increase in NEPCO's November 29, 1974 filing in Docket No. E–9140 is hereby consolidated with the proceedings in Docket No. E–9136.

(C) Those motions to reject filed by Customers and Congressman Harrington are hereby denied.

(D) Pursuant to the authority of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations (18 CFR Chapter I), in order to determine the justness and reasonableness of the terms and conditions and the rates and charges contained within the filings tendered by NEPCO, a hearing shall be held commencing on May 20, 1975, at 10 a.m., E.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

(E) On or before March 4, 1975, NEPCO shall file its prepared testimony and exhibits. The Commission staff shall file its prepared testimony and exhibits on or before April 8, 1975. Any intervenor evidence shall be filed on or before April 22, 1975. NEPCO shall file its rebuttal evidence on or before April 29, 1975.

(F) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose, (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in § 2.59 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(G) NEPCO's request for waiver of the requirements of § 35.13 insofar as that section requires filing of Statement P is granted.

(H) The petitioners listed at Appendix A, together with Congressman Michael J. Harrington, are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting the rights and interests specifically set forth in the respective petitions to intervene; and *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition that they or any of them might be aggrieved because of any order or orders issued by the Commission in this proceeding.

(I) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX A

NEPCO CUSTOMER RATE COMMITTEE

The Massachusetts Towns and Cities of:

Ashburnham	Marblehead
Boylston	Merrimac
Danvers	Middleton
Georgetown	North Attleboro
Groton	Paxton
Hingham	Peabody
Holden	Princeton
Hudson	Shrewsbury
Hull	Sterling
Ipswich	Templeton
Littleton	Wakefield
Mansfield	West Boylston

[1] Schedule I, First Revised Page No. 3; Schedule I, First Revised Page No. 7; Schedule I, First Revised Page No. 8; Schedule I, First Revised Page No. 9; Schedule II–A, Third Revised Page No. 1; Schedule II–A, Third Revised Page No. 2; Schedule III–A, Third Revised Page No. 2; Schedule III–B, First Revised Page No. 5; Schedule III–C, First Revised Page No. 9; Schedule III–D, First Revised Page No. 8.

Littleton, New Hampshire
Manchester Electric Co.
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc.

[FR Doc.75-620 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-7718 and E-8435]

PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC CO.

Extension of Procedural Dates

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On December 24, 1974, Pennsylvania Electric Co. filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by order issued November 11, 1974 in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the parties have been notified and have no objection.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

Service of Company Rebuttal, January 31, 1975.
Hearing, February 14, 1975. (10 a.m. E.s.t.).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-621 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9133]

SOUTHERN SERVICES, INC.

Order Accepting and Suspending Rate Filing, Granting Interventions and Denying Motions To Consolidate

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 27, 1974, Southern Services, Inc. (Southern), filed its annual revision to the interchange agreement between itself and the participating companies (Alabama Power Co., Georgia Power Co., Gulf Power Co., and Mississippi Power Co.) who are all affiliates of the Southern Co., a holding company organized pursuant to the provisions of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935. Southern states that the proposed changes, which would realign the pooled costs among the participating companies without causing any net decrease or increase in revenues to the combined companies, provide for the continuing exchange of power and equitable participation in the benefits arising from integrated operation.

Notice of Southern's filing was issued on December 10, 1974, with comments, protests, or petitions to intervene due on or before December 26, 1974. On December 17, 1974 the Water, Light and Sinking Fund Commission of the City of Dalton, Georgia (Dalton), filed a petition to intervene and a motion to consolidate the proceedings in the present docket with the proceedings in Docket No. E-8514. On December 20, 1974, forty-seven municipal customers[1] of Georgia Power Co. (Cities) and the Power Section, Georgia Municipal Association, Inc. jointly filed a petition to intervene, protest, request for suspension and motion to consolidate the two proceedings. On December 24, 1974, Alabama Power Co., Georgia Power Co., Gulf Power Co., and Mississippi Power Co. filed a petition to intervene and an answer to the protest and petition of the Cities.

On November 23, 1973, Southern filed its annual revision to the interchange agreement to apply to calendar year 1974. By order of May 8, 1974 in Docket No. E-8514, the Commission suspended for one day the effectiveness of the 1974 amendments and established a hearing schedule for that proceeding. Due to various delays the hearing in Docket No. E-8514 has not yet commenced and is scheduled to begin on March 17, 1975.

Our review of Southern's filing indicates that the proposed amendment has not been shown to be just and reasonable, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Our review further indicates that the issues presented in the present proceeding are the same issues presented in Docket No. E-8514.

Because of the similarity of issues, we would consider consolidation of the two proceedings. However, such a consolidation would serve only to cause a substantial and further delay in the presently-set procedure. In light of the fact that amendments to the interchange agreement are filed annually, it is essential that a final disposition of the issues involved in the interchange agreement be arrived at as expeditiously as possible. For this reason we decline to consolidate the two dockets and therefore will deny Dalton's and Cities' motions to consolidate.

We have further considered the alternative of setting Docket No. E-9133 for a separate hearing and establishing a procedural schedule. We are concerned however that the adoption of this procedure would serve to require the parties to twice litigate the identical issues. Because such a procedure would be unnecessarily repetitive and also be an unwarranted diversion of the resources of both the parties and the Commission staff, we have chosen to reject the alternative of setting Docket No. E-9133 for a separate procedural schedule.

Because Southern's present filing has not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential or otherwise unlawful, and because a consolidation with the present proceeding in Docket No. E-8514 or setting it for a separate hearing would not serve to promote the public interest, we shall make our determination of the issues in Docket No. E-9133 subject to our final disposition in Docket No. E-8514 and such further proceedings, if any, as may be required at that time. We shall therefore, accept Southern's November 27, 1974 filing in Docket No. E-9133, suspend it for one day and make it subject to refund.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest, and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act, that the Commission accept Southern's November 27, 1974 filing in Docket No. E-9133, suspend it for one day subject to refund, and make it subject to our final determination in Docket No. E-8514 and such further proceedings, if any, as may be required at that time.

(2) Good cause exists to permit the intervention of the above-named petitioners.

(3) Good cause does not exist to grant the motions to consolidate the proceedings in Docket Nos. E-8514 and E-9133.

The Commission order:

(A) Southern's November 27, 1974 filing in Docket No. E-9133 is hereby accepted for filing, suspended for one day subject to refund, and made subject to the Commission's final determination in Docket No. E-8514 and such further proceedings, if any, as may be required at that time.

(B) The petitions to intervene filed by the above-named petitioners are hereby granted.

(C) The motions to consolidate proceedings in Docket Nos. E-8514 and E-9133 of the Water, Light, and Sinking Fund Commission of the City of Dalton, Georgia and by the Cities are hereby denied.

(D) The Secretary of the Commission shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-622 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9172]

UGI CORP. AND PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Supplement to Interconnection Operating Principles and Practices

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that on December 13, 1974 the UGI Corp. (LU) and the Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. (PL) tendered for filing proposed Supplement dated December 11, 1974 to the LU-PL Interconnection Operating Principles and Practices (effective June 1, 1960) issued in accordance with the Interconnection Agreement dated August 1, 1965 between UGI Corporation and the Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. (UGI Corporation Rate Schedule FPC No. 3 and Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. Rate Schedule FPC No. 46).

UGI has entered into an agreement with Monogahela Power Co., the Potomac Edison Co., and West Penn Power Co. (the APS Companies) to become effective January 1, 1975, providing for a sale by the APS Companies to UGI of 50,000 kw of capacity and energy from the APS

[1] Cities of Acworth, Adel, Albany, Barnesville, Blakely, Braselton, Brinson, Buford, Cairo, Camilla, Cartersville, College Park, Commerce, Covington, Doerun, Douglas, East Point, Elberton, Ellaville, Fairburn, Fitzgerald, Forsyth, Fort Valley, Grantville, Griffin, Hampton, Hogansville, Jackson, LaFayette, LaGrange, Lawrenceville, Mansfield, Marietta, Monroe, Monticello, Moultrie, Newnan, Norcross, Palmetto, Sandersville, Sylvania, Sylvester, Thomaston, Thomasville, Washington, West Point, and Whigham, Georgia.

Companies Harrison Steam Electric Station (Harrison Station).

The proposed Supplement provides for the accounting under the LU–PL Interconnection Operating Principles and Practices for the 50,000 kw of energy and capacity for which LU has contracted for with the APS Companies and for delivery of said capacity and energy through the PL transmission system.

It is estimated that in the 12 months ended December 31, 1975, the charges to be paid PL by LU for the delivery of 50,000 kw of Harrison Station capacity and energy to the LU system under the current unit cost will total approximately $252,500.

No facilities need be installed or modified in connection with the proposed Supplement.

Applicants have requested the Commission to accept the Supplement for filing on or before January 1, 1975 as they intend to commence operation under this Supplement as of January 1, 1975 so that UGI can receive its purchase of Harrison power and energy from the APS Companies into its system as of that date.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the subject matter of this notice should, on or before January 14, 1975 file with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The documents referred to herein are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-623 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-133; PGA 75-1]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Order Accepting for Filing, Suspending Proposed PGA Rate Increase and Permitting Intervention

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

On November 15, 1974, United Gas Pipe Line Co. (United) tendered for filing alternate purchased gas adjustment (PGA) increases[1] pursuant to its PGA clause which reflect an 11.11¢/Mcf rate increase designed to track $101,374,024 in the current cost of purchased gas and alternate surcharges to recover the balance in United's Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account as of September 30, 1974. The lower surcharge of .79¢/Mcf assumes Commission acceptance of United's National Rate Surcharge filed in Docket No. RP75–22, while the higher surcharge of 2.73¢/Mcf assumes denial of the National Rate Surcharge. United has requested a January 1, 1975, effective date for the filing.

Notice of United's filing was issued on November 26, 1974, with protests, notices of intervention and petitions to intervene due on or before December 11, 1974. In response to this notice, Wilmut Gas and Oil Co. filed a petition to intervene on December 11, 1974, but did not request a hearing.

By order issued November 29, 1974, in Docket No. RP75–22, we denied United's Petition for Special Relief in which United proposed its National Rate Surcharge. Accordingly, we shall reject that portion of United's November 15, 1974 filing[2] which assumes approval of the National Rate Surcharge.

As to the Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet contained in Appendix B of United's filing, we note that it is partly based upon anticipated increases in producer rates which are expected to become effective as of January 1, 1975, but some of which have not yet been filed. In addition, our review indicates that United's alternate proposal is based, in part, upon small producer and emergency purchases at rates in excess of the rate levels prescribed by Opinion No. 699–H[3] and upon certain alleged nonjurisdictional purchases. Therefore, the proposed rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or otherwise unlawful; and accordingly, we shall accept United's Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet for filing and suspend it for one day to become effective January 2, 1975, subject to refund. Our acceptance is expressly conditioned upon United modifying its proposed alternate sheet to eliminate all producer rate changes which do not become effective by January 1, 1975.

In regard to the question of small producer purchases, we note that the United States Supreme Court has recently remanded the small independent producer rulemaking in order for the Commission to enunciate the standards in determining the justness and reasonableness of the prices for small producer purchases.[4] Furthermore, we note in regard to emergency purchases that the question of the standards which the Commission must use in determining the justness and reasonableness of the prices for such purchases is presently the subject of court action.[5] For these reasons we believe that it would be premature to establish a hearing schedule in this docket at the present time. Moreover, we note that the instant filing includes costs associated with non-jurisdictional purchases which are at issue in the rate proceeding pending in Docket No. RP74–83 and costs associated with purchases from affiliates which are the subject of proceedings in Docket Nos. RP70–13, et al. Consequently, the inclusion of such costs will be subject to the ultimate disposition of the proceedings in these two aforementioned dockets.

Our review of United's Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet indicates that the claimed increased costs, other than those costs associated with alleged nonjurisdictional purchases and with that portion of the small producer and emergency purchases in excess of the rate levels prescribed in Opinion No. 699–H comply with the standards set forth in Docket No. R–406. Accordingly, United may file substitute tariff sheets to become effective January 1, 1975, reflecting increased costs other than that portion of claimed increased costs associated with small producer and emergency purchases in excess of the rate levels prescribed in Opinion No. 699–H. Acceptance of this optional filing will also be conditioned upon United modifying its proposed increase to eliminate all anticipated producer rate changes which do not become effective by January 1, 1975.

Finally, we note that on December 18, 1974, in response to our order issued November 29, 1974, in Docket No. RP75–22, United tendered for filing Substitute Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 which reflects the inclusion of a surcharge designed to recover all Opinion No. 699 (with the exception of Opinion No. 699–H) producer increases incurred during the period June 21, 1974, through December 31, 1974. Our action in regard to the instant filing is made without prejudice to any future action which we may take concerning United's December 18, 1974, filing.

The Commission finds:

(1) Good cause exists to reject United's proposed Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, contained in Appendix A of United's November 15, 1974 filing.

(2) It is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the Natural Gas Act that United's Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet, Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, contained in Appendix B of United's November 15, 1974 filing, be accepted for filing, suspended and permitted to become effective January 2, 1975, subject to refund and to the condition that United modify its Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet to eliminate all producer rate changes which do not become effective by January 1, 1975.

(3) The claimed increased costs, other than those associated with that portion of small producer and emergency purchases in excess of the rate levels prescribed in Opinion No. 699–H have been reviewed and found to be in compliance

---

[1] Both sheets designated Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1.

[2] Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 which reflects the .79¢/Mcf surcharge, contained in Appendix A of United's filing and designated, by United, as "Proposed Tariff Sheet."

[3] Opinion No. 699–H, Docket No. R–389–B, issued December 4, 1974.

[4] Federal Power Commission v. Texaco, Inc., et al., CADC, Docket Nos. 72–1490 and 72–1491, Opinion issued June 10, 1974.

[5] Consumer Federation of America v. F.P.C., CADC, Docket No. 73–2009, petition filed September 21, 1973.

with the standards set forth in Docket No. R–406.

(4) Good cause exists to permit the intervention of the above-named intervenor.

The Commission orders:

(A) United's proposed Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, contained in Appendix A of United's November 15, 1974 filing is hereby rejected for filing.

(B) United's Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet, Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, contained in Appendix B of United's November 15, 1974 filing is hereby accepted for filing, suspended for one day and permitted to become effective January 2, 1975, subject to refund, pending further Commission order in this docket. This acceptance is conditioned upon United modifying its Alternate Proposed Tariff Sheet to eliminate all producer rate changes which do not become effective until January 1, 1975, and is without prejudice to any future action which may be taken in regard to United's December 18, 1974 filing.

(C) United may file to become effective January 1, 1975, substitute tariff sheets reflecting that portion of United's rates as filed in Appendix B of United's November 15, 1974 filing which reflect increased costs other than those costs associated with that portion of small producer and emergency purchases in excess of the rate levels prescribed in Opinion No. 699–H. Acceptance of this substitute filing will be conditioned upon United eliminating from this substitute tariff sheet all costs based upon producer rate changes which do not become effective by January 1, 1975.

(D) The inclusion of costs in the instant filing associated with non-jurisdictional purchases which are at issue in the rate proceeding pending in Docket No. RP74–83 and the inclusion of costs associated with purchases from affiliates which are the subject of the proceedings in Docket Nos. RP70–13, et al., will be subject to the ultimate disposition of the proceedings in these two respective dockets.

(E) The intervention of the above-named intervenor is hereby granted.

(F) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–624 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E–9178]

VERMONT ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC.

Purchase Agreement

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that on December 16, 1974, Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. (Velco) tendered for filing the following rate schedule:

Purchase Agreement for the sale of fifteen thousand kilowatts (15,000 KW) and related energy from the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Electric Generating Unit to the Public Service Company of New Hampshire by Velco as dated on September 1, 1974.

Velco states that the service to be rendered under the rate schedule consists of 15,000 KW capacity and related energy and that this service is to commence 11:59 p.m. on October 31, 1974, and terminates at 11:59 p.m. on April 30, 1975. Velco further states that the service is being provided at the monthly rate of approximately $135,000 per month and that this charge includes all relevant capacity, maintenance and net energy charges by Vermont Yankee. Finally Velco's December 16, 1974 filing requests waiver of § 35.11 of the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 15, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–625 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E–9169]

WASHINGTON WATER POWER CO.

Proposed Sale of Surplus Energy

DECEMBER 31, 1974.

Take notice that on December 12, 1974, the Washington Water Power Co. (Washington) tendered for filing a proposed Memorandum Agreement dated October 21, 1974, providing for the sale of surplus energy by Washington to the City of Pasadena Water and Power Department.

Washington asks that the 30 day prior notice requirement as set forth in section 205(d) of the Federal Power Act be waived to allow an effective date of October 21, 1974.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before January 15, 1975. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75–626 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

CLYDE BANCORPORATION, INC.

Formaton of Bank Holding Company

Clyde Bancorporation, Inc., Clyde, Kansas, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Exchange National Bank of Clyde, Clyde, Kansas. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 to be received not later than February 3, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 2, 1975.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75–742 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

MERCANTILE BANCORPORATION INC.

Acquisition of Bank

Mercantile Bancorporation Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 90 percent or more of the voting shares of United Bank of Macon, Macon, Missouri. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Mercantile Bancorporation Inc. is also engaged in the following nonbank activities: consumer finance and mortgage banking. In addition to the factors considered under section 3 of the Act (banking factors), the Board will consider the proposal in the light of the company's nonbanking activities and the provisions and prohibitions in section 4 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1843).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than February 3, 1975.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 31, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.75-743 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

DAIRY INDUSTRY MERGERS; SUPPLEMENT TO ENFORCEMENT POLICY

Requirements for Submission of Special Reports

The Federal Trade Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 17770, July 3, 1973) its enforcement policy and criteria for assessing future mergers with respect to mergers in the dairy industry to guard against concentration-increasing acquisitions.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Trade Commission has, as a supplement to its enforcement policy, approved, adopted and entered of record the following resolution requiring certain corporations engaged in the dairy industry to file Special Reports:

Whereas, the dairy industry may become increasingly concentrated in a significant part as a result of additional mergers among larger dairy companies; and

Whereas, the Commission, on June 19, 1973, issued its "Enforcement Policy with Respect to Mergers in the Dairy Industry"; and

Whereas, the Commission has authority under sections 3, 6, 9 and 10 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. secs. 43, 46, 49 and 50) to prosecute any inquiry necessary to its duties in any part of the United States, to require corporations engaged in commerce to file reports as to their organization, business, conduct, practices, management, and relation to other corporations, partnerships and individuals, and to investigate such corporations with respect to such matters; to require by subpoena the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of all documentary evidence relating to any matter under investigation; and, at all reasonable times to have access to, for the purpose of examination, and the right to copy any documentary evidence of any corporation being investigated or proceeded against and

Whereas, the public interest requires that the Commission discharge expeditiously and uniformly its duties under the statutes which it administers with respect to mergers and acquisitions among dairy companies, particularly, but not limited to section 7 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. sec. 18) and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. sec. 45), and that to assist in the implementation of its enforcement policy for mergers and acquisitions in the dairy industry the Commission learn of prospective mergers and acquisitions in advance of their consummation;

Now, therefore, it is hereby resolved by the Federal Trade Commission that, by the exercise of all its powers and by the use of any and all of its voluntary and compulsory processes, certain corporations engaged in the dairy industry shall be required to file Special Reports sixty (60) days prior to the consummation of any merger or acquisition involving any company processing more than 300 million pounds of Class I milk annually (excluding home delivery sales) or which when combined with an acquired company processes that amount, when (1) the acquired assets, stock or other share capital relate to any fluid milk processing plant or distribution facility which is within 500 miles of any such assets of the acquiring company, or (2) when the acquired company had fluid milk product sales in excess of $2.5 million or processed 26 million pounds or more of Class I milk during any one of the preceding three years (excluding retail home delivery sales in each case).

Issued: October 7, 1974.

By direction of the Commission dated December 19, 1974.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-744 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Regulatory Reports Review; Receipt of Report Proposals

The following requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public were received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on January 3, 1975. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Further information about the items on this list may be obtained from the Regulatory Reports Review Officer, 202-376-5425.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Request for review and clearance of a revision to an existing FCC Form 402-Application for Microwave Station Authorization in the Safety and Special Radio Services. The revision involves a supplement, FCC Form 402-S-Supplemental Technical Information to FCC Form 402. The Commission presently uses FCC Form 402 in licensing private operational-fixed microwave stations. This form requires the applicant to furnish a limited amount of technical information on the operation of each of his stations. To obtain additional technical details, a supplemental form, FCC Form 402-S, will be completed by every licensee for each station he is presently authorized to operate. All new applications filed after December 31, 1974, will be filed on FCC Form 402 with the supplement. Potential respondents are approximately 3,000 applicants for Microwave Station Authorization. Frequency of filing is on occasion and an estimated 3,000 responses will be filed annually. Average respondent burden is estimated at 1 hour per respondent per response.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc.75-810 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Regulatory Reports Review; Receipt of Report Proposals

The following requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public were received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on January 2, 1975. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Further information about the items on this list may be obtained from the Regulatory Reports Review Officer, 202-376-5425.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 308, entitled Application for Permit to Deliver Programs to Foreign Broadcast Stations. This form is to be used in applying under section 325(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, for authority to locate, use, or maintain a studio in the U.S. for the purpose of supplying program material to a foreign radio or TV broadcast station whose signals are consistently received within the U.S., or an extension of existing authority. If an applicant holds a valid license or CP, an informal application (in letter form) may be used in lieu of this form. Burden is estimated to average 2 man-hours per respondent.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 310 entitled Application for an International, Experimental Television, Experimental Facsimile, or a Developmental Broadcast Station License. This form is to be submitted by permittees of classes of stations indicated who desire to apply for license following construction of new or changed facilities. Burden is estimated to average 8 man-hours per respondent.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 346, entitled Application for Authority to Construct or Make Changes in a TV or FM Broadcast Translator Station. The form is to be filed by those applying for authority to construct or make changes in an existing TV or FM Broadcast Translator Station. Respondent burden is estimated to average 12 man-hours per response.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form

346–A, entitled Application for Authority to Construct or Make Changes in a UHF Translator Signal Booster. Applications for authority to construct and operate a UHF translator signal booster will be submitted on this form. Respondent burden is estimated to average 4 man-hours per response.

Requests for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 347, entitled Application for TV or FM Broadcast Translator Station License. Frequency is on occasion; potential respondents are applicants for a TV or FM Broadcast Translator Station License; respondent burden is estimated to average 1 man-hour per response.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 348, entitled Application for Renewal of TV or FM Broadcast Translator Station License. This form is to be filed when applying for Renewal of TV or FM Broadcast Translator Station License. Respondent burden is estimated to average 12 man-hours per response.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports, Review Officer.

[FR Doc.75-809 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Regulatory Reports Review; Receipt of Report Proposals

The following requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public were received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on December 27, 1974. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Further information about the items on this list may be obtained from the Regulatory Reports Review Officer, 202–376–5425.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Requests for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 403, Application for Radio Station License or Modification Thereof Under Parts 21, 23, or 25. Potential respondents are applicants for a radio station or a modification of a station license. Approximately 4,000 applications are received annually. The average estimated burden per response is one man-hour.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 406, Application for Ground Station Authorization in the Aviation Services. Potential respondents are those applying for a station or for assignment of ground station authorization. An average of 3,115 applications are filed annually. The average estimated burden per response is one man-hour.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 480, Application for Civil Air Patrol Radio Station Authorization. Applicants for a land station used exclusively for communications of the Civil Air Patrol must file this form. Approximately 1,500 applications are filed annually. The estimated average burden on the respondent is ½ man-hour per response.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 501, Application for Ship Radio Station License. This application is filed for a new, modified, or renewal ship station license in the case of radiotelephone stations subject to the Safety of Life at Sea Convention and stations having radiotelegraph equipment. Approximately 1,500 applications are filed annually. The average estimated burden is 20 minutes per response.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 610–A, Application for Alien Amateur Radio Licensee for Permit to Operate in the United States. Potential respondents are alien amateur radio licensee applicants. Approximately 500 respondents must file annually. Estimated average burden is 20 minutes per filing.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 714, Supplement to Application for New or Modified Radio Station Authorization. This form must be filed as a supplement to applications for construction of antennas, except broadcast, when the antenna exceeds specifications in the Commission Rules. Approximately 18,600 applications are filed annually. The estimated burden is 5 minutes per response.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 724, Registration of Industrial, Scientific and Medical Equipment. Potential respondents are registrants of industrial, scientific and medical equipment that may be operated without a license. Approximately 900 registrations are filed each year. The burden is estimated to average ½ man-hour per filing.

Request for review and clearance of an extension without change of FCC Form 755, Application for Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit by Alien Aircraft Pilots. Potential filers of this application are alien aircraft pilots. Approximately 1100 applications are filed each year. The estimated average burden per filing is 10 minutes.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc.75-808 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

REGIONAL PUBLIC ADVISORY PANEL ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, Region 4, January 30 and 31, 1975, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m., each day, Room 5B, 1776 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia. The meeting will be devoted to the initial step of the procedures for screening and evaluating the qualifications of architect-engineers under consideration for selection to furnish professional services for the proposed U.S. Courthouse, Federal Office Building, and Parking Facility, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. Frank and open discussion of the professional qualifications of the firms being considered is essential to insure selection of the best qualified firms. Accordingly, pursuant to a determination that it will be concerned with a matter listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5) the meeting will not be open to the public.

L. D. STROM,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-729 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

REGIONAL PUBLIC ADVISORY PANEL ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, Region 4, January 28, 1975, from 10:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Room 5B, 1776 Peachtree Street, NW., Atlanta, Georgia. The meeting will be devoted to the initial step of the procedures for screening and evaluating the qualifications of architect-engineers under consideration for selection to furnish professional services for the proposed U.S. Courthouse, Federal Office Building, Parking Facility and Maintenance Facility, Columbia, South Carolina. Frank and open discussion of the professional qualifications of the firms being considered is essential to insure selection of the best qualified firms. Accordingly, pursuant to a determination that it will be concerned with a matter listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5) the meeting will not be open to the public.

L. D. STROM,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-730 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

REGIONAL PUBLIC ADVISORY PANEL ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, Region 4, January 29, 1975, from 10:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Room 53, 1776 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia. The meeting will be devoted to the initial step of the procedures for screening and evaluating the qualifications of architect-engineers under consideration for selection to furnish professional services for the proposed Federal Office Building, Jackson, Mississippi. Frank and open discussion of the professional qualifications of the firms being considered is essential to insure selection of the best

qualified firms. Accordingly, pursuant to a determination that it will be concerned with a matter listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5) the meeting will not be open to the public.

L. D. STROM,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-731 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[F.P.M.R. Temp. Reg. F-320]

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Delegation of Authority

1. *Purpose.* This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in intrastate rate proceedings.

2. *Effective date.* This regulation is effective immediately.

3. *Delegation.* a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201(a)(4) and 205(d) (40 U.S.C. 481(a)(4) and 486(d)), authority is delegated to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Indiana Public Service Commission involving the application of the Indiana Bell Telephone Company for increases in its intrastate rates and charges.

b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegate this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials, and employees thereof.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-745 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[F.P.M.R. Temp. Reg. F-321]

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Delegation of Authority

1. *Purpose.* This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent the interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in electric rate proceedings.

2. *Effective date.* This regulation is effective immediately.

3. *Delegation.* a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201(a)(4) and 205(d) (40 U.S.C. 481(a)(4) and 486(d)), authority is delegated to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Florida Public Service Commission involving the application of the Tampa Electric Company for an increase in its electric rates (Docket No. 74597-EU).

b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegate this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials, and employees thereof.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

JANUARY 2, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-746 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[F. P. M. R. Temp. Reg. F-322]

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Delegation of Authority

1. *Purpose.* This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in intrastate rate proceedings.

2. *Effective date.* This regulation is effective immediately.

3. *Delegation.* a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201(a)(4) and 205(d) (40 U.S.C. 481(a)(4) and 486(d)), authority is delegated to the Secretary of the Defense to represent the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Kansas State Corporation Commission involving the application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for increases in its intrastate rates and charges.

b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegate this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials, and employees thereof.

ARTHUR F. SAMPSON,
Administrator of General Services.

JANUARY 3, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-747 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[TEA-W-259]

COLUMBIA PLYWOOD CORP.

Notice of Investigation

On the basis of a petition filed under section 301(a)(2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Allen Quimby Veneer Company division, Bingham, Maine, of Columbia Plywood Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Columbia Corp., Portland, Oregon, the United States International Trade Commission (formerly the United States Tariff Commission), on January 3, 1975, instituted an investigation under section 301(c)(2) of the Act to determine whether, as a result in major part of concessions granted under trade agreements, articles like or directly competitive with birch plywood doorskins and birch veneer panels (of the types provided for in items 240.14 and 240.34 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States) produced by said firm are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to cause, or threaten to cause, the unemployment or underemployment of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof.

The optional public hearing afforded by law has not been requested by the petitioners. Any other party showing a proper interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a hearing, provided such request is filed on or before January 20, 1975.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 8th and E Streets, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the New York City Office of the International Trade Commission located at 6 World Trade Center.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 3, 1975.

KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-676 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

INTERIM COMPLIANCE PANEL (COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY)

INDIAN HEAD MINING COMPANY

Noncompliance Permit Renewal; Notice of Opportunity for Public Hearing

Applications for Renewal Permits for Noncompliance with the Electric Face Equipment Standard prescribed by the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 have been received for items of equipment in underground coal mines as follows:

ICP Docket No. 4291-000, INDIAN HEAD MINING COMPANY, Indian Head Mine No. 3, Mine ID No. 15 02378 0, Hazard, Kentucky.

ICP Permit No. 4291-003-R-1 (Porter End Dump Battery Buggy, I.D. No. B-3).

ICP Permit No. 4291-004-R-1 (Porter End Dump Battery Buggy, I.D. No. B-4).

ICP Permit No. 4291-013-R-1 (Joy 10SC Shuttle Car, I.D. No. S-1).

In accordance with the provisions of Section 504.7(b) of Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations, notice is hereby given that requests for public hearing as to an application for a renewal permit may be filed within 15 days after publication of this notice. Requests for public hearing must be filed in accordance with 30 CFR Part 505 (35 Fed. Reg. 11296, July 15, 1970), as amended, copies of which may be obtained from the Panel upon request.

A copy of each application is available for inspection and requests for public

hearing may be filed in the office of the Correspondence Control Officer, Interim Compliance Panel, Room 800, 1730 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

C. DONALD NAGLE,
Vice Chairman,
Interim Compliance Panel.

JANUARY 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-726 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

LITTLE ROCK COAL CO.

Noncompliance Permit Renewal; Notice of Opportunity for Public Hearing

Application for a Renewal Permit for Noncompliance with the Electric Face Equipment Standard prescribed by the Federal Coal Mile Health and Safety Act of 1969 has been received for the item of equipment in underground coal mine as follows:

ICP Docket No. 4386-000, LITTLE ROCK COAL COMPANY,
Mine No. 13, Mine ID No. 44 01871 0,
Grundy, Virginia,
ICP Permit No. 4386-001-R-1 (Joy 14BU7 Loading Machine, Ser. No. 3AE).

In accordance with the provisions of Section 504.7(b) of Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations, notice is hereby given that requests for public hearing as to an application for a renewal permit may be filed within 15 days after publication of this notice. Requests for public hearing must be filed in accordance with 30 CFR Part 505 (35 Fed. Reg. 11296, July 15, 1970), as amended, copies of which may be obtained from the Panel upon request.

A copy of each application is available for inspection and requests for public hearing may be filed in the office of the Correspondence Control Officer, Interim Compliance Panel, Room 800, 1730 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

C. DONALD NAGLE,
Vice Chairman,
Interim Compliance Panel.

JANUARY 6, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-727 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

ADVISORY PANEL FOR ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY AND ENERGETICS

Notice of Renewal

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, it is hereby determined that the renewal of the Advisory Panel for Engineering Chemistry and Energetics is necessary and is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the National Science Foundation by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, and other applicable law. This determination follows consultation with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), pursuant to section 14(a)(1) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and OMB Circular No. A-63, Revised.

Authority for this advisory panel shall expire on January 2, 1977, unless the Director of the National Science Foundation formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

H. GUYFORD STEVER,
Director.

[FR Doc.75-766 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

ADVISORY PANEL FOR ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Renewal

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, it is hereby determined that the renewal of the Advisory Panel for Engineering Mechanics is necessary and is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the National Science Foundation by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, and other applicable law. This determination follows consultation with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), pursuant to section 14(a)(1) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and OMB Circular No. A-63, Revised.

Authority for this advisory panel shall expire on January 2, 1975, unless the Director of the National Science Foundation formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

H. GUYFORD STEVER,
Director.

[FR Doc.75-765 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Humanities

FELLOWSHIPS PANEL

Meeting

JANUARY 3, 1975.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Fellowships Panel will be held at Washington, D.C. on January 31 and February 1, 1975.

The purpose of the meeting is to review Independent Fellowship applications submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities for 1975-1976 fellowship grants.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial information and personnel and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202-382-2031.

JOHN W. JORDAN,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-777 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS STATISTICS PRESENTATION

Public Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Balance of Payments Statistics Presentation to be held on Friday, January 24, 1975 in room 10104 of the New Executive Office Building, 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, D.C. starting at 9:30 a.m.

The objective of the Committee is to develop recommendations to improve the presentation of the official statistics of the U.S. balance of payments which are published quarterly by the Department of Commerce in press releases and in the Survey of Current Business. The Committee will consider the merits of the present and alternative methods of presenting and summarizing the accounts which would facilitate a more meaningful analysis by the government and the public, and will recommend to the Director of OMB improvements in the tables which could be implemented with the available basic data. The discussion at the meeting will be concerned primarily with the usefulness of the principal overall balances—the official reserve transactions and net liquidity balances and the balance on current and long-term capital account—as analytic and descriptive tools in understanding the U.S. balance of payments, and exchange rate developments.

The members of the Committee are:

Edward Bernstein, President, EMB Ltd.
James Burtle, Vice President, W. R. Grace and Co.
Rimmer de Vries, Vice President, Morgan Guaranty Trust Co.
Peter Kenen, Professor of Economics, Princeton University.
Walter Salant, Senior Fellow, Brookings Institution.
Wilson Schmidt, Chairman, Department of Economics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
Robert Ulin, Economist-Finance, Mobil Oil Corp.
Marina Whitman, Professor of Economics, University of Pittsburgh.

The meeting will be open to public observation and participation. Further information regarding the meeting may be obtained from the Statistical Policy Division, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C., telephone (202) 395-4730.

VELMA N. BALDWIN,
Assistant to the Director
for Administration.

[FR Doc.75-786 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-321]

GEORGIA POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 6 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 issued to the Georgia Power Co. which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Unit 1, located in Appling County, Georgia. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment permits a modification to the limits and surveillance of reactor coolant chemistry.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated November 1, 1974, and December 19, 1974 (2) Amendment No. 6 to License No. DPR-57, with Change No. 7, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Appling County Library, Parker Street, Baxley, Georgia.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing-Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch, #3, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-681 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-324]

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Carolina Power and Light Co. (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-62, which authorize operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2 in Brunswick County, North Carolina. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974. In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Brunswick facilities submitted on August 5, 1974. This is described in Supplement No. 3 to the Safety Evaluation Report of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-324 and 50-325, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 5, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Supplement No. 3 to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 5, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report Supplement No. 3, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Supplement No. 3 to Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accord-

---

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 F.R. 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 F.R. 24082, December 18, 1971.

ance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 5, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of CFR § 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 5, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describes the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 40, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Supplement No. 3 to the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Southport-Brunswick County Library at 109 W. Moore Street, Southport, North Carolina 28461. A single copy of each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-688 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-293]

BOSTON EDISON CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Boston Edison Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-35, which authorizes operation of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, in Plymouth, Plymouth County, Massachusetts. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 19, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Co. ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Pilgrim facility submitted on August 19, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Pilgrm Nuclear Power Staton Unit 1, Docket No. 50-293, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 19, 1974, are necessary to asure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46 (b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 19, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the staff Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a) (2) (v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a) (1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 19, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 19, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendors evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington D.C., and at the Plymouth Public Library, North Street, Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc. 75-687 Filed 1-8-74; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-313]

ARKANSAS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Arkansas Power and Light Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-51, which authorizes operation of the Arkansas Nuclear One—Unit 1 Plant in Pope County, Arkansas. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 2, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Babcock and Wilcox Co. ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock and Wilcox ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Arkansas Unit 1 plant submitted on August 2, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Arkansas Nuclear One—Unit 1 Plant dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that the operating limitations for the plant proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 2, 1974 will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern the calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling. However, the Regulatory staff believes that these limitations should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria[1] should be required in addition to conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 2, 1974 submittal. These limitations will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that further restrictions on facility operation, as set forth in this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It Is Ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, § 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Arkansas Nuclear One—Unit 1 Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

---

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 2, 1974.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, from the date of publication of this Order in the FEDERAL REGISTER the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 2, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock and Wilcox ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Arkansas Polytechnic College, Russellville, Arkansas 72801. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-685 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-317]

BALTIMORE GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-53, which authorizes operation of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, in Calvert County, Maryland. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 12, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by Combustion Engineering, Incorporated ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Calvert Cliffs facility submitted on September 12, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1, Docket No. 50-317, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that the operating limitations for the plant proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 12, 1974 will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

However, the Regulatory staff believes that these limitations should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria[1] should be required in addition to conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 12, 1974 submittal. These limitations will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that further restrictions on facility operation, as set forth in this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is Ordered,* That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 12, 1974.

---

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 F.R. 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 F.R. 24082, December 18, 1971.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, from the date of publication of this Order in the FEDERAL REGISTER the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 12, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Calvert County Library, Prince Frederick, Maryland 20678. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-686 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[DOCKET NO. 50-301]

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY AND WISCONSIN MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene having been filed following publication of the notice of proposed action in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 13, 1974 (39 FR 40062), notice is hereby given that the Atomic Energy Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 7 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-27, issued to the Wisconsin Electric Power Co. and the Wisconsin Michigan Power Co., revising Technical Specifications for operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant Unit No. 2 located in the Town of Two Creeks, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendment permits operation of Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit No. 2, in Cycle 2 for 20,000 Effective Full Power Hours, following refueling using prepressurized fuel that is of a higher pressure than the present fuel. Amendment No. 6 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-27, issued on December 13, 1974, authorized the Wisconsin Electric Power Co. and the Wisconsin Michigan Power Co. to operate Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant Unit No. 2 in Cycle 2 for 14,000 Effective Full Power Hours.

The Commission has found that the application for amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

For further details with respect to this license amendment see (1) Amendment No. 7 to Facility License No. DPR-27 with any attachment, (2) the related Safety Evaluation, and (3) additional information submitted by the licensee in a letter dated October 7, 1974, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the University of Wisconsin—Stevens Point Library, Stevens Point, Wisconsin. A copy of items (1) and (2) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing—Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 26th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #3, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-684 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-220]

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

Issuance of a Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (the Commission) has issued Facility Operating License No. DPR-63 to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (the licensee) authorizing operation of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit No. 1 at steady state reactor core power levels not in excess of 1850 megawatts (thermal), in accordance with the provisions of the license and the Technical Specifications. The Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit No. 1 is a boiling light water reactor located on the Nine Mile Point site on the southeast shore of Lake Ontario in Oswego County, New York.

The Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit No. 1 has been operated since August 22, 1969, under Provisional Operating License No. DPR-17. Facility Operating License No. DPR-63 supersedes Provisional Operating License No. DPR-17 in its entirety.

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Full-Term Operating License was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 5, 1972 (37 FR 25870). The full-term operating license was not issued previously, pending review of the environmental considerations required by the September 9, 1971 revision of Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 50. The Regulatory staff has completed its review and the Final Environmental Statement was issued in January, 1974 (notice of which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 25, 1974 (39 FR 3309)).

The application for the full-term operating license complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license.

The license is effective as of its date of issuance and shall expire on April 11, 2005.

For further information concerning this action, see (1) the licensee's application for a full-term operating license notarized June 27, 1972, accompanied by the licensee's Environmental Report, (2) Amendment Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to the application for the full-term operating license dated November 21, 1973, and March 14 and April 24, 1974 respectively, (3) applications for amendments to license notarized September 26 and November 18, 1974, (4) the Commission's Draft Environmental Statement dated June 3, 1973, (5) the Final Environmental Statement dated January 21, 1974, (6) Facility Operating License No. DPR-63, complete with Technical Specifications (Appendices A and B), (7) the related Safety Evaluation prepared by the Directorate of Licensing dated July 3, 1974, (8) the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated September 10, 1974, and (9) Supplement 1 to the Safety Evaluation prepared by the Directorate of Licensing dated November 15, 1974, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Oswego City Library at 120 East Second Street, Oswego, New York.

A copy of item (5), (6), (7), (8), and (9) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing—Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 26th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #3, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-683 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-261]

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-23, which authorizes operation of the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit No. 2 in Darlington County, South Carolina. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 2, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Corporation ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of the Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Robinson 2 facility submitted on October 2, 1974. This is described in the staff Safety Evaluation Report of the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit No. 2 dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of October 2, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's October 2, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is Ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, section 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Robinson Steam Electric Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in the Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on October 2, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated October 2, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Hartsville Memorial Library, Hartsville, South Carolina.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C.

---

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 F.R. 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 F.R. 24082, December 18, 1971.

20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-689 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[DOCKET NO. STN 50-532]

C. F. BRAUN AND CO.

Notice of Receipt of a Standard Safety Analysis Report

C. F. Braun and Company, in response to Option No. 1 of the policy statement of the Atomic Energy Commission (the Commission) entitled, "Methods Achieving Standardization of Nuclear Power Plants," issued on March 5, 1973, and pursuant to the proposed Appendix 0 to 10 CFR Part 50, has filed with the Commission a document entitled "Braun Safety Analysis Report," (BRAUN SAR) which was docketed December 21, 1974.

BRAUN SAR was tendered on October 9, 1974. Following a preliminary review for completeness, it was concluded on November 18, 1974 that BRAUN SAR could be accepted for docketing subject to the Commission's Regulatory staff review of additional information. Additional information was submitted on December 13, 1974, and BRAUN SAR was found to be acceptable for docketing. Docket No. STN 50-532 has been assigned to BRAUN SAR and should be referenced in any correspondence relating thereto.

BRAUN SAR has been submitted in accordance with the "Reference System" option wherein an entire facility design or major fractions of it can be identified as a standard design to be used in multiple applications. BRAUN SAR describes and analyzes the design of the Turbine Building which is to be utilized in conjunction with the General Electric Company's Standard Reactor Island Design as described in General Electric Company's Standard Safety Analysis Report (GESSAR) Docket No. STN 50-447. The General Electric Reactor Island Boiling Water Reactor includes a BWR/6 designed for initial operation at approximately 3579 megawatts thermal, with a corresponding net electrical output of approximately 1220 megawatts.

When its review of BRAUN SAR is complete, the Commission's Regulatory staff will prepare and publish a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) documenting the results of the review. In addition, BRAUN SAR will be referred to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) for its review and a report thereon. Copies of the SER and the ACRS report will be made available to the public. A notice relating to the availability of these documents will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

A copy of BRAUN SAR is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20545. When available, the SER and the ACRS report will also be made available for public inspection at the above location.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 2nd day of January 1975.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

JOHN F. STOLZ,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Project Branch 2-1, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-679 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-247]

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission ("the Commission") has issued Amendment No. 12 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-26 issued to Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2, located in Westchester County, New York. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment permits an expanded program of inservice inspection of the reactor vessel.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended ("the Act"), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

For further details with respect to this section, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 1, 1974, (2) Amendment No. 12 to License No. DPR-26, with Change No. 9, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Hendrick Hudson Free Library, 31 Albany Post Road, Montrose, New York.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing-Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 31st day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

PETER B. ERICKSON,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #3, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-680 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-315]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO. AND INDIANA & MICHIGAN POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Indiana & Michigan Electric Company and Indiana & Michigan Power Company (the licensees) are the holders of facility license DPR-58, which authorizes operation of the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1 in Berrien County, Michigan. This license provides, among other things, that the licensees are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.4 Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 6, 1974, the licensees submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed Technical Specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric Company ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974. In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the D. C. Cook facility submitted on September 6, 1974. This is described in Supplement No. 4 to the Safety Evaluation of the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1, dated December 24, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has

determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 6, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A of Supplement 4 of the Safety Evaluation Report consist of modifications to the limit governing the total peaking factor. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of Supplement No. 4 of the Staff Safety Evaluation, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54,

It is ordered That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Supplement No. 4 to the Safety Evaluation for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1, dated December 24, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria; and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 6, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 6, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model; (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric Company ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K; (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974; (4) Supplement No. 4 to the Safety Evaluation dated December 24, 1974; and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the St. Joseph Public Library, 500 Market Street, St. Joseph, Michigan 49085. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-699 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-331]

IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Iowa Electric Light and Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-49, which authorizes operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center in Linn County, Iowa. This license provides among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendors' evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evalua-

tion model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Duane Arnold Energy Center, submitted on August 9, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, Docket No. 50-331, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 5, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established in the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR § 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 9, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *it is ordered*, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, the license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 9, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 9, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Reference Service, Cedar Rapids Public Library, 426 3rd Avenue, SE., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52041.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-700 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

[Docket No. 50-219]

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Jersey Central Power & Light Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-16, which authorizes operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station located in Ocean County, New Jersey. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on December 10, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with evaluation models developed by the General Electric Company and Exxon Nuclear Company ("the vendors"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation models developed by the vendors have been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the General Electric model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. The status of the Regulatory staff's evaluation of the Exxon model is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, issued December 27, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendors' evaluation models were not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such con-

formity. The Regulatory staff assessments in regard to the General Electric model were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974. In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

The Exxon model is still under review but its application to the Oyster Creek facility was considered by the staff in its Safety Evaluation Report, dated December 27, 1974. Required modifications are similar to those for the General Electric model.

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendors' evaluation models the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Oyster Creek facility submitted on December 10, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Docket No. 50-219, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of December 10, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate and the minimum critical power ratio. These further restrictions will assure that EECS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon approved evaluation models in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Reguatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's December 10, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendors' evaluation models as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Aceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions, imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on December 10, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated December 10, 1974, General Electric Company's topical reports and Exxon Nuclear Company's reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which described the vendors' evaluation models, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington D.C., and at the Ocean County Library, 15 Hooper Avenue, Toms River, New Jersey 08753. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A, Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-701 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-309]

MAINE YANKEE ATOMIC POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-36, which authorize operation of the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station in Lincoln County, Maine. The license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 1, 1974, and November 20, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by Combustion Engineering, Inc. ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed Technical Specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into con-

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

formity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluations of ECCS performance for the Maine Yankee facility submitted on October 1, 1974 and November 20, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station, Docket No. 50-309, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that the operating limitations for the plant proposed in the licensee's submittal of October 1, 1974 and November 20, 1974 will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling. However, the regulatory staff believes that these limitations should be verified by a reanalysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria[1] should be required in addition to conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's October 1, 1974 and November 20, 1974 submittals. These limitations will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that further restrictions on facility operation, as set forth in this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on October 1, 1974, and November 20, 1974.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated October 1, 1974, and November 20, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittals, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) Amendment No. 8 to Facility License DPR-36 dated December 20, 1974, (3) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering ECCE Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (4) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (5) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (6) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Wiscasset Public Library Association, High Street, Wiscasset, Maine 04578. A single copy each of items (3) through (6) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-702 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-289]

METROPOLITAN EDISON CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Metropolitan Edison Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-50, which authorizes operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Babcock and Wilcox Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock and Wilcox ECCS

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, submitted on September 5, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Docket No. 50-289, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that the operating limitations for the plant proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 5, 1974 will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling. However, the Regulatory staff believes that these limitations should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria[1] should be required in addition to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 5, 1974 submittal. These limitations will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a) (2) (v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a) (1) and that further restrictions on facility operation, as set forth in this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54, *It is ordered* That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 5, 1974.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 5, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation mode, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, Box 1601 (Education Building), Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17126. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-703 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 a.m.]

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

[Docket Nos. 50-298]

NEBRASKA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT

Order for Modification of License

I. The Nebraska Public Power District (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-46, which authorizes operation of the Cooper Nuclear Station in Nemaha County, Nebraska. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 21, 1974 and December 19, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the abovementioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were re-

viewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Cooper Nuclear Station submitted on October 21, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Cooper Nuclear Station, Docket No. 50-298 dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of October 21, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's October 21, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the staff Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is ordered*, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing may core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Cooper Nuclear Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on October 21, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated October 21, 1974 and December 19, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974 (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Auburn Public Library, 1118-15th Street, Auburn, Nebraska 68305. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-705 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-220]

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-63, which authorizes operation of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 Nuclear Power Station in Oswego County, New York. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on Ocotber 31, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 facility submitted on August 2, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 Nuclear Power Station, Docket No. 50-220, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 2, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate and specification of a minimum critical power ratio limit. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a reanalysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 2, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2,204, 50.46, and 50.54. *It is ordered*, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 2, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 2, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Oswego City Library, 120 E. Second Street, Oswego, New York 13126.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-706 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-263]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Northern States Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-22, which authorizes operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant in Monticello, Wright County, Minnesota. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 20, 1974 and December 11, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the abovementioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Monticello facility submitted on August 20, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Docket No. 50-263, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittals of December 11, and August 20, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 20 and December 11, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions of facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. the Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is ordered* That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 20 and December 11, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated August 20, 1974 and December 11, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Environmental Conservation Library, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc. 75-707 Filed 1-8-75 8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Northern States Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-42 and DPR-60 which authorize operation of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, respectively, in Goodhue County, Minnesota. These licenses provide, among

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971

other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors," on September 6, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed Technical Specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models." The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric Company's ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974. In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50."

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Prairie Island plant submitted on September 6, 1974. This is described in Supplement No. 4 to the Safety Evaluation Report of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306, dated December 24, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 6, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A of Supplement No. 4 to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing the total peaking factor. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6, 1974, submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of Supplement No. 4 of the Staff Safety Evaluation will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Supplement No. 4 to Safety Evaluation for the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, dated December 24, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 6, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A, attached hereto. The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a Notice of Hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 6, 1974, and the vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric Company ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K; (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Supplement No. 4 to the Safety Evaluation dated December 24, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Environmental Library of Minnesota, 1222 S.E. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-708 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

[Docket No. 50-285]

OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT

Order for Modification of License

I. The Omaha Public Power District (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-40, which authorizes operation of the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1, in Washington County, Nebraska. This license provides, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 4 and December 2, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by Combustion Engineering, Inc. ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering, Inc. ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1 submitted on October 4 and December 2, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1, Docket No. 50-285, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that the operating limitations for the plant proposed in the licensee's submittal of December 2, 1974 will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling. However, the Regulatory staff believes that these limitations should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR § 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued performance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria[1] should be required in addition to conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's December 2, 1974 submittal. These limitations will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that further restrictions on facility operation, as set forth in this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on December 2, 1974.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated October 4 and December 2, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering, Inc. ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Blair Public Library, 1665 Lincoln Street, Blair, Nebraska 68008. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-709 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

[Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Philadelphia Electric Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-44 and DPR-56, which authorize operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, respectively, in Peach Bottom, York County, Pennsylvania. These licenses provide, among other things, that they

are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Peach Bottom facilities submitted on August 5, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 2 and 3, Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 5, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 5, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is ordered*, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 5, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A. The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 5, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Martin Memorial Library, 159 E. Market Street, York, Pennsylvania 17401. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-710 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

[Docket No. 50-333]

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Order for Modification of License

I. The Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-59, which authorizes operation of the James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant, in Scriba, Oswego County, New York. The license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 16, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company (the vendor), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Fitzpatrick submitted on October 16, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Docket No. 50-333, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of October 16, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Supplement to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry, and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's October 16, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on October 16, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated October 16, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Oswego City Library, 120 East Second Street, Oswego, New York 13126. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12347, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-704 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-244]

ROCHESTER GAS & ELECTRIC CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Rochester Gas & Electric Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-18, which authorizes operation of the Robert E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant in Wayne County, New York. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Company (the vendor), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models." The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974; and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50."

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the R. E. Ginna facility submitted on September 6, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Robert E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, Docket No. 50-244, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 6, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing the total peaking factor. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry, and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Robert E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 6, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 6, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Lyons Public Library 67, Canal Street, Lyons, New York and Rochester Public Library, 115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York. A single copy of each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ERSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-711 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-312]

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Order for Modification of License

I. The Sacramento Municipal Utility District (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-54, which authorizes operation of the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1 in Sacramento County, California. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 2, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Babcock & Wilcox Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock and Wilcox ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, submitted on August 2, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that the operating limitations for the plant proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 2, 1974, will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling. A further limitation based on different considerations concerning control rod ejection, submitted by the licensee on December 6, 1974, results in slightly more restrictive limits than those of the licensee's August 2, 1974, submittal. These are included in Appendix A to this Order.

The Regulatory staff believes that these limitations should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria[1] should be required in addition to conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 2, 1974 submittal as modified by Appendix A. These limitations will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered.

III. In view of the foregoing, and in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that further restrictions on facility operation as set forth in this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46 and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 2, 1974, as modified by the restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period, any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated August 2, 1974 and December 6, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock & Wilcox ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. and at the Business and Municipal Department, Sacramento City-County Library, 828 I Street, Sacramento, California. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-712, Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-259 and 50-260]

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Order for Modification of License

I. The Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-33 and DPR-52, which authorize operation of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, respectively, in Limestone County, Alabama. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 1, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation. The proposed Technical Specifications were amended by the licensee by submittals on August 2, 1974, and September 10, 1974. The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Browns Ferry facilities submitted on August 1, 1974. This is described in Supplement No. 7 to the Safety Evaluation Report of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Units 1, 2 and 3, Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260 and 50-296 dated December 23, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 1, 1974, and amended by submittals on August 2, 1974, and September 10, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Supplement to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS coolable geometry and long-term cooling of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long-term cooling. These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 1, 1974, submittal as amended by Submittals on August 2, 1974, and September 10, 1974, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report Supplement No. 7, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Supplement No. 7 to Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, dated December 23, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 1, 1974, and amended by submittals on August 2, 1974, and September 10, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A, attached hereto. The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 1, 1974, and amendments submitted August 2, 1974, and September 10, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Supplement No. 7 to the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 23, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Athens Public Library, South and Forrest, Athens, Alabama.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-713 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-271]

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

Order for Modification of License

I. The Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-28, which authorizes operation of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station near Vernon, Vermont. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 31, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974; and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, date November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Vermont Yankee facility submitted on October 31, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Docket No. 50-271, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of October 31, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a reanalysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's October 31, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, § 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on October 31, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A, attached hereto.

---

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated October 31, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, Vermont 06301.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-714 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281]

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

1. The Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-32 and DPR-37, which authorize operation of the Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2, respectively, in Surry County, Virginia. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46 "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 9, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Surry facilities submitted on September 9, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281, dated December 27, 1974.

On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 9, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing the total peaking factor. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 9, 1974, submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report to the Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Spe-

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

cifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 9, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 9, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Supplement No. 1 to the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Swem Library, College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-715 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY AND WISCONSIN MICHIGAN POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Michigan Power Company (the licensees) are the holder of facility licenses DPR-24 and DPR-27 which authorize operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, respectively, in Two Creeks, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 6, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed Technical Specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of the Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, submitted on September 6, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 6, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of § 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a) (2) (v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a) (1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975 or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provi-

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

sions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 6, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensees shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensees may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 6, 1974 and vendor's topical report referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50 Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Adivsory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Stevens Point Library, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point, Wisconsin. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTES Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-716 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-305]

WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORP.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-43, which authorizes operation of the Kewaunee Atomic Power Station, in Kewaunee County, Wisconsin. This license provides, among other things that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 4, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Company (the vendor), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models." The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Kewaunee facility submitted on September 4, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Kewaunee Atomic Power Station, Docket No. 50-305, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 4, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry, and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 4, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR, 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Kewaunee Atomic Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 4, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR § 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 4, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. and at the Kewaunee Public Library, 314 Milwaukee Street; Kewaunee, Wisconsin. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-717 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-29]

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Yankee Atomic Electric Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-3, which authorizes operation of the Yankee Nuclear Power Station in Rowe, Massachusetts. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on July 31, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation (the vendor). On December 12, 1974, certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation were submitted.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Yankee Nuclear Power Station submitted July 31, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Yankee Nuclear Power Station, Docket No. 50-29, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittals, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing total peaking factor and peak linear heat rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry, and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's December 12, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 F.R. 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 F.R. 24082, December 18, 1971.

the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Yankee Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on December 12, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's rules of practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated July 31 and December 12, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittals, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report, dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-718 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-254, 50-265]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-29 and DPR-30, which authorize operation of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, respectively, in Rock Island County, Illinois. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's requirements in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 22, 1974, the license submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Model". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50". Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Quad Cities facilities submitted on August 22, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 22, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 22, 1974 submittal,

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a) (2) (v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a) (1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR §§ 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54, *It is ordered*, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 22, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A. The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 22, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Moline Public Library, 504-17th Street, Moline, Illinois 61265. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-692 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-295, 50-304]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-39 and DPR-48, which authorize operation of the Zion Station, Units 1 and 2, respectively, in Zion, Illinois. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 3, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Company (the vendor), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Zion facility, submitted on September 3, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Zion Station Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 6, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46 (b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing the total peaking factor. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry, and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Cri-

teria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Zion Station Units 1 and 2, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 6, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated September 3 and September 6, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittals, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D. C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-693 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-247]

CONSOLIDATED EDISON CO. OF NEW YORK INC.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Consolidated Edison Company of New York Inc. (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-26, which authorizes operation of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2, in Buchanan, Westchester County, New York. This license provides among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 6, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed Technical Specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of the Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, Issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2, submitted on September 6, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2, Docket No. 50-247, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 5, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46 (b) are satisfied. These additional changes are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, § 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report for the Indian Point Nuclear Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 6, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated September 6, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluatibn model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974 and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Hendrick Hudson Free Library, 31 Albany Post Road, Montrose, New York. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-695 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-255]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Consumers Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-20, which authorizes operation of the Palisades Plant in Covert Township, Van Buren County, Michigan. The license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on October 21 and December 16, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Combustion Engineering, Inc. (the vendor). On November 4, 1974, certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation were submitted.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Palisades Plant submitted on October 21 and December 16, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Palisades Plant, Docket No. 50-255, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of November 4, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing peak linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation,

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry, and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evalation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's November 4, 1974, submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is ordered*, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Palisades Plant, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on November 4, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated October 21, November 4, and December 16, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Combustion Engineering ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Kalamazoo Public Library, 315 South Rose Street, Kalamazoo, Michigan. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-694 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-269; 50-270; 50-287]

DUKE POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Duke Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55, which authorize operation of the Oconee Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, respectively, in Oconee County, South Carolina. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Babcock and Wilcox Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock and Wilcox ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were were required in order to achieve such conformity. The regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory Staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Oconee facilities submitted on August 5, 1974 and September 20, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2, and 3, Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of September 20, 1974 and August 5, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long-term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 20, 1974 and August 5, 1974 submittals, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Staff Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a) (2) (v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a) (1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1955, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, § 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Oconee Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on September 20, 1974 and August 5, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated September 20, 1974 and August 5, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittals, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Babcock and Wilcox ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Oconee County Library, 201 South Spring Street, Walhalla, South Carolina 29691. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27 day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-696 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

[Dockets Nos. 50-250, 50-251]

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-31 and DPR-41, which authorize operation of the Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4, respectively, in Dade County, Florida. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on September 6, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation ("the vendor"), and on September 6 and 27, 1974 proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of the Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4 submitted on September 6, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4, Dockets Nos. 50-250 and 50-251, dated December 27, 1974.

On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittals of September 6 and 27, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's September 6 and 27, 1974 submittals, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and that the further restrictions set forth in this Order are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, § 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4 Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditons imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on Setember 6 and 27, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensee shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated September 6 and 27, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittals, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Lily Lawrence Public Library, 212 NW. First Avenue, Homestead, Florida.

A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-697 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-321]

GEORGIA POWER CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Georgia Power Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility license DPR-57 which authorizes operation of the Hatch Nuclear Plant Unit 1 in Appling County, Georgia. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 5, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the abovementioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Hatch facility submitted on August 5, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Unit 1, Docket No. 50-321, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 5, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46 (b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously subbelieves that these restrictions should be verified by a reanalysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 5, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is ordered*, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a reevaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, § 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Safety Evaluation Report for the Hatch Nuclear Plant Unit 1, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 5, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975 the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittal dated August 5, 1974 and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensingi n the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Appling County Public Library, Baxley, Georgia. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ERNST G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-698 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-237; 50-249]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Order for Modification of License

I. The Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) is the holder of facility licenses DPR-19 and DPR-25, which authorize operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, respectively, in Grundy County, Illinois. These licenses provide, among other things, that they are subject to all rules, regulations and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 22, 1974, the licensee submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications for Dresden 3 necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation. Proposed technical specifications for Dresden 2 based on the August 22, 1974, submittal were submitted November 7, 1974. The proposed specifications for Unit 2 apply to operation with the core reloaded in the Fall 1974 refueling outage.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Model". The Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that cer-

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

tain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974, and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50". Since the licensee's evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensee's evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for Dresden 2 and 3 submitted on August 22, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3, Docket Nos. 50-237 and 50-249, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensee's submittal of August 22, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in § 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long-term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a re-analysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensee's August 22, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the staff Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 FR 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended, 36 FR 24082, December 18, 1971.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46(a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensee is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54.

It is ordered, That:

1. On or before July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a re-evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensee on August 22, 1974 for Dresden 3 and November 7, 1974 for Dresden 2, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The license shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

IV. On or before February 10, 1975, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensee's submittals dated August 22, 1974, and November 7, 1974, and vendors topical reports referenced in the licensee's submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) the Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Morris Public Library, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois 60451. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this December 27, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-691 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-245]

THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT & POWER CO. ET AL.

Order for Modification of License

The Connecticut Light & Power Company, The Hartford Electric Light Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, and Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (the licensees) are the holders of facility license DPR-21 which authorizes operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, located in the Town of Waterford, Connecticut. This license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II. Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria and Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Nuclear Power Reactors", on August 22, 1974, the licensees submitted an evaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an evaluation model developed by the General Electric Company ("the vendor"), along with certain proposed technical specifications necessary to bring reactor operation into conformity with the results of the evaluation.

The evaluation model developed by the vendor has been analyzed by the Regulatory staff for conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, "ECCS Evaluation Models". The

Regulatory staff's evaluation of the vendor's model is described in two previously published documents: Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, issued October 15, 1974, and a Supplement to the Status Report, issued November 13, 1974. Based on its evaluation, the Regulatory staff has concluded that the vendor's evaluation model was not in complete conformity with the requirements of Appendix K and that certain modifications described in the above-mentioned documents were required in order to achieve such conformity. The Regulatory staff assessments were reviewed by the Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in meetings held on October 26, 1974 and November 14, 1974.

In its Report to the Chairman of the AEC, dated November 20, 1974, the Advisory Committee has concluded that "the four light-water reactor vendors have developed Evaluation Models which, with additional modifications required by the Regulatory staff, will conform to Appendix K to Part 50".

Since the licensees' evaluation of ECCS cooling performance is based upon the vendor's evaluation model, the licensees' evaluation is similarly deficient. The Regulatory staff has assessed the effect of the changes required in the evaluation model upon the results of the evaluation of ECCS performance for the Millstone facility submitted on August 22, 1974. This is described in the Safety Evaluation Report of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1, Docket No. 50-245, dated December 27, 1974. On the basis of its review, the Regulatory staff has determined that changes in operating conditions for the plant, in addition to those proposed in the licensees' submittal of August 22, 1974, are necessary to assure that the criteria set forth in 50.46(b) are satisfied. These additional changes, which are set forth in Appendix A to the Safety Evaluation Report, consist of modifications to the limit governing maximum average planar linear heat generation rate. These further restrictions will assure that ECCS cooling performance will conform to all of the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.46(b), which govern calculated peak clad temperature, maximum cladding oxidation, maximum hydrogen generation, coolable geometry and long term cooling.

These further restrictions were established on the basis of studies of the effect of model changes on the previously submitted evaluations. The Regulatory staff believes that these restrictions should be verified by a reanalysis based upon an approved evaluation model, in conformity with 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K. During the interim, before an evaluation in conformity with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 can be submitted and evaluated, the Regulatory staff has concluded that continued conformance to the requirements of the Commission's Interim Acceptance Criteria,[1] and conformance to the restrictions contained in the licensees' August 22, 1974 submittal, together with the additional limitations set forth in Appendix A of the Safety Evaluation Report will provide reasonable assurance that the public health and safety will not be endangered. These additional restrictions are set forth as Appendix A to this Order.

III. In view of the foregoing and, in accordance with the provisions of § 50.46 (a)(2)(v), the Acting Director of Licensing has found that the evaluation of ECCS cooling performance submitted by the licensees is not consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and, therefore, that the further restrictions on facility operation, set forth in Appendix A to this Order, are required to protect the public health and safety. The Acting Director of Licensing has also found that the public health, safety, and interest require that the following Order be made effective immediately. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.204, 50.46, and 50.54 *It is Ordered*, That:

1. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than July 9, 1975, or prior to any license amendment authorizing any core reloading, whichever occurs first, the licensee shall submit a reevaluation of ECCS cooling performance calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model which conforms with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50, 50.46. Such evaluation may be based upon the vendor's evaluation model as modified in accordance with the changes described in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, dated December 27, 1974. The evaluation shall be accompanied by such proposed changes in Technical Specifications or license amendments as may be necessary to implement the evaluation results.

2. Effective immediately, reactor operation shall continue only within the limits of:

(a) The requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, the Technical Specifications, and license conditions imposed by the Commission in accordance with the requirements of the Interim Acceptance Criteria, and

(b) The limits of the proposed Technical Specifications submitted by the licensees on August 22, 1974, as modified by the further restrictions set forth in Appendix A.

The licensees shall conform operation to the foregoing limitations until such time as the proposed Technical Specifications required to be submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 above are approved or modified and issued by the Commission. Subsequent notice and opportunity for hearing will be provided in connection with such action.

[1] Interim Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Power Reactors, 36 F.R. 12247, June 29, 1971, as amended 36 F.R. 24082, December 18, 1971.

IV. On or before February 10, 1974, the licensees may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order. Within the same thirty (30) day period any other person whose interest may be affected may file a request for a hearing with respect to this Order in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. If a request for a hearing is filed within the time prescribed herein, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the licensees' submittal dated August 22, 1974, and vendor's topical reports referenced in the licensees' submittal, which describe the vendor's evaluation model, (2) the Status Report by the Directorate of Licensing in the Matter of General Electric ECCS Evaluation Model Conformance to 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, (3) Supplement 1 thereto dated November 13, 1974, (4) Safety Evaluation Report dated December 27, 1974, and (5) Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated November 20, 1974. All of these items are available at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Waterford Public Library, Rope Ferry Road, Route 156, Waterford, Connecticut 06385. A single copy each of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of December 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director,
Directorate of Licensing.

NOTE: Copies of Appendix A to Order for Modification of License, dated December 27, 1974, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., or may be obtained upon request addressed to the Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545.

[FR Doc.75-690 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-20]

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MITR REACTOR

Order Extending Construction Completion Date

Massachusetts Institute of Technology is the holder of Construction Permit No. CPRR-118 issued by the Commission on April 9, 1973, for the modification of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology research reactor presently under modification on its campus in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

On December 9, 1974, the licensee filed a request for an extension of the facility modification until September 1, 1975. The extension has been requested because delays in construction progress

have occurred. These construction delays have resulted from: (1) A delay in the delivery of the main reactor tanks prevented the start of construction until May 27, 1974, (2) manufacturing difficulties with the core support structure resulted in a design change that caused further delay in its scheduled delivery until February, 1975; and (3) additional time required, prior to their installation, for the review of design and construction documentation for the core and reflector tanks to assure that the tanks would fulfill the function for which they are intended.

This action involves no significant hazards consideration, good cause has been shown for the delay, and the requested extension is for a reasonable period. The bases for these conclusions are set forth in an evaluation dated

It is hereby ordered, That the latest completion date for CPRR–118 is extended from January 1, 1975, to September 1, 1975.

Date of Issuance: December 30, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Acting Assistant Director for Operating Reactors, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75–682 Filed 1–8–75;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[812–3713, Rel. No. 8625]

SOUTH BAY CORP. ET AL.

Application

DECEMBER 27, 1974.

In the matter of The South Bay Corp., 425 Park Avenue, New York, New York, 10022; Utilities & Industries Management Corp., 1740 Broadway, New York, New York, 10019; Utilities & Industries Corp., 1740 Broadway, New York, New York, 10019; and The Carter Group, Inc., 425 Park Avenue, New York, New York, 10022.

Notice is hereby given that The South Bay Corp. ("South Bay"), a closed-end non-diversified management investment company, Utilities & Industries Corp. ("U&I"), a New York Corp., Utilities and Industries Management Corp. ("U&I Management"), a wholly owned subsidiary of U&I, and The Carter Group Inc. ("Carter Group"), a Delaware Corporation, have filed an application on October 2, 1974, and an amendment on December 17, 1974, pursuant to section 17 (b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") and rule 17d–1 promulgated under section 17(d) of the Act for an order of the Commission permitting participation in the settlement described below by South Bay, U&I, U&I Management, Carter Group (herein collectively referred to as "Applicants") and the other defendant parties to the stipulation of settlement.[1] All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.

Until 1962, South Bay, then known as Fifth Avenue Coach Lines, Inc., and its subsidiaries were engaged in the surface transportation business in the Metropolitan New York area. In 1962, South Bay's assets in New York City were acquired by condemnation and the proceeds of such condemnation were subsequently invested in securities. In 1968, South Bay was declared to be an investment company by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and was placed in temporary receivership. The receiver registered South Bay under the Act. In June, 1972, the receivership was terminated.

Of South Bay's common stock, 300,329 shares representing approximately 46 percent of the shares outstanding are owned by U&I, through U&I Management. U&I acquired these shares between December 1970 and October 1973, primarily in privately negotiated transactions, at an average price of $19.91 a share. Under section 2(a)(9) of the Act, U&I is presumed, by reason of such stock ownership, to control South Bay, and Carter Group, which owns approximately 51 percent of the outstanding stock of U&I, is presumed to control U&I.

In June 1973, a shareholder of South Bay, suing derivatively on behalf of South Bay and as a representative of all other South Bay shareholders similarly situated, commenced two actions (the "Shareholder Suits") against U&I Management, U&I, Carter Group, the present and certain former officers of South Bay, and nominally against South Bay. One suit, entitled Charles Monheit v. Arthur Carter, et al., is now pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Federal Suit"), while the other suit, entitled Charles Monheit v. Herbert Braasch, et al., is now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York (the "State Suit").

It was alleged in one or both of the Shareholder Suits that, among other things, U&I Management, U&I, Carter Group and the individual defendants caused South Bay to operate ultra vires, South Bay's management caused it to make speculative investments resulting in a decrease in net asset value, U&I Management and the other defendants violated federal securities laws in connection with U&I Management's acquisition of its present interest in South Bay, the proxy statement distributed in connection with South Bay's 1973 meeting of stockholders was false in material respects, and the defendants have operated South Bay in violation of various sections of the Act. In the Federal Suit the defendants have denied the material allegations. In the State Suit, after the original complaint was dismissed for legal insufficiency, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint and the defendant's time to respond to the amended complaint was extended.

The plaintiff in the Shareholder Suits and all of the defendants in the Federal Suit have entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise and Settlement (the "Settlemnt Stipulation"). These parties have also agreed that if the Court approves the Settlement Stipulation as fair, reasonable and adequate and dismisses the Federal Suit (subject to fulfillment of the Settlement Stipulation), they will enter into a stipulation dismissing the State Suit upon the judgment in the Federal Suit becoming final. The obligations of the parties to the stipulation are made subject to the issuance by the Commission or the orders requested in the application.

The Settlement Stipulation provides for the dissolution of South Bay, assuming the necessary shareholder approval, and for such dissolution to be preceded by a cash tender offer by South Bay to all of its shareholders (the "Tender Offer"). The tender offer is to be made within 15 days after the "Effective Date" (defined as the later of the date any stay thereof expires, or the date the required orders are obtained from the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission")). U&I, the other defendant parties to the stipulation besides Herbert Braasch, members of their immediate families, and any entity controlled by any of them are prohibited from tendering any of their South Bay shares in the Tender Offer. Braasch is a director of South Bay who, as of September 30, 1974, held of record 18,100 South Bay shares. In addition, U&I may not otherwise dispose of any of its South Bay shares prior to the dissolution of South Bay.

The Settlement Stipulation provides that the price per share under the Tender Offer shall be the net asset value per share (determined in a manner consistent with South Bay's audited financial statements as of June 30, 1973) as of the close of business on the third business day preceding the commencement of the Tender Offer less a "Contingency Factor" of $1.40 per share. The Contingency Factor takes into account the following: (i) The estimated expenses to be paid or payable by South Bay in consummating the Settlement Stipulation subsequent to the determination of the amount of consideration to be paid in the Tender Offer including the expenses of carrying out the Tender Offer and the Plan of Dissolution, plaintiff's counsel fees and expenses to the extent allowed by the Federal District Court, and expenses of winding up; (ii) possible disallowance of certain Federal and New York State Tax refunds; (iii) possible liabilities to the City of New York as a result of a number of legal actions brought by the City against South Bay in connection with the franchises held by it prior to 1962 to operate bus lines (Applicants state that settlement negotiations with the City have been proceeding); (iv) a "Blockage" discount, reflect-

[1] Subsequent to the filing of the original application, U&I was merged with a subsidiary of Carter Group. As part of that merger, U&I changed its name to New York Water Service Corp. At the same time, Carter Group changed its name to Utilities and Industries Corporation.

ing the probability that the sale of large blocks of securities in South Bay's portfolio will incur a discount of approximately 5 percent from market value; and (v) delays and market uncertainties inherent in the liquidation process. However, South Bay and plaintiff may agree to a tender offer price higher than the Tender Offer consideration determined by using the $1.40 Contingency Factor, provided that such price may not exceed South Bay's net asset value per share on the third business day preceding the Tender Offer (or such later date as the parties may determine). If South Bay includes, as an asset in its Statement of Assets and Liabilities, the discounted value of a debt due from Victor Muscat, a former director, resulting from the Settlement of an earlier litigation, the contingency factor would be $1.55 instead of $1.40. Muscat's debt was not included as an asset in South Bay's Statement of Assets and Liabilities of June 30, 1974.

If the net asset value per share less the Contingency Factor, determined on either a date five days prior to the Federal District Court hearing on the parties' application to approve the settlement or on the third business day prior to commencement of the Tender Offer, is less than $17 a share, then plaintiff may elect to (i) terminate the settlement, (ii) permit the Tender Offer to proceed, or (iii) give notice to the defendants not to cause South Bay to make the Tender Offer but to proceed to convene a shareholder's meeting to take action with respect to the dissolution of South Bay. However, notwithstanding such notice to defendants, plaintiff may thereafter require South Bay to make the Tender Offer, at any time not later than 30 days prior to the shareholder meeting if the Tender Offer consideration, based on net asset value on the date of commencement of such Tender Offer, would not be less than $17 per share.

Upon expiration of the Tender Offer (if made), and not later than 90 days after "Final Judgment" (defined as the later of the date that the Federal District Court Order becomes final or the date all required orders are obtained from the Commission), South Bay is required to convene a special meeting of stockholders to consider and take action with respect to a proposal that South Bay be dissolved and liquidated. South Bay, U&I and the other defendants are also required to exercise their best efforts to cause such a proposal to be approved and to cause the first liquidating distribution to be made not later than 210 days after "Final Judgment". Braasch may, however, vote against the Plan of Dissolution if he so chooses.

After shareholder approval of the Plan of Dissolution, South Bay will sell its remaining portfolio, except for its shareholdings in Elgin National Industries, Inc. ("Elgin") and Giant Portland Cement Co. ("Giant Portland"). Applicants state that it is likely that following dissolution of South Bay, U&I or an affiliate will propose a merger with Elgin.

Under the Plan of Dissolution, a participating shareholder (other than U&I Management) may elect to receive his total distribution in cash (the "Cash Option"). Upon receipt of Letters of Transmittal from shareholders electing the Cash Option, South Bay will mail to each such shareholder an initial liquidating distribution consisting of (i) his pro rata share of the cash remaining after payment or provision for all liabilities and expenses but before provision for the payments to electing shareholders; (ii) cash in an amount equal to such electing shareholders' pro rata share of the market values of Elgin and Giant Portland shares on the Effective Date of Dissolution (the last day of the month following the month in which shareholder approval of the Plan is obtained), and (iii) a "Stub".

The Stub will represent each shareholder's right to receive any assets of South Bay remaining after the first liquidation distribution to all shareholders, the distribution of Elgin and Giant Portland shares to non-electing shareholders, and payment of all expenses and liabilities. The Stub will include the right to receive the proceeds of (i) the liquidation value of certain bonds on deposit with New York State agencies securing injury and damage and workmen's compensation claims, less the actual amount of claims recoverable, (ii) the liquidation value of certain bonds on deposit with the City of New York which cannot be released until the aforementioned litigation is terminated, (iii) amounts received from former officers and directors of South Bay from whom accounts receivable are presently outstanding, pursuant to the terms of the settlement of a prior litigation, and (iv) possible excess reserves.

Upon receipt of Letters of Transmittal from non-electing South Bay shareholders, South Bay will mail to each such shareholder an initial liquidating distribution consisting of (a) his pro rata share of the cash remaining after payment or provision for all liabilities and expenses of South Bay and provision for payments to all electing shareholders and (b) the "Stub". Thereafter, such non-electing shareholders would receive their pro rata share of South Bay's shares of Elgin and Giant Portland common stock.

The Cash Option will not be available to shareholders electing it if (i) as of the Effective Date of Dissolution, the amount of cash payable to all shareholders duly electing the Cash Option exceeds the amount of cash available to South Bay without the sale of any Elgin or Giant Portland shares or (ii) both plaintiff in the Shareholder Suits and South Bay determine it is inadvisable to provide such option. South Bay may, however, sell shares of Elgin or Giant Portland to make available the Cash Option.

The Settlement provides that it will become null and void if it is finally disapproved by the Federal Court, if all required orders of the Commission are not obtained or if the Settlement Stipulation expires by its terms. The Settlement Stipulation provides that it will so expire if (i) the Tender Offer is required to be made but is not made within 180 days after the "Effective Date" or (ii) the Tender Offer is not required to be made, for the reasons described below, and either the shareholders disapprove the Plan of Dissolution or the first liquidating distribution is not made within 210 days after "Final Judgment".

Under the terms of the Settlement Stipulation, if (i) the Tender Offer is made within the 180 day period referred to above, (ii) South Bay convenes a shareholder meeting to take action on the dissolution proposal within 90 days after "Final Judgment", and (iii) the parties use best efforts to obtain approval of such dissolution proposal (including the voting of their South Bay shares in favor thereof), then the settlement will be deemed to be final even if the dissolution proposal should not be approved by the required vote of two-thirds of the then outstanding shares or even if the shareholder meeting to take action with respect to the Plan of Dissolution or the Plan itself is permanently enjoined for any reason other than the defendants' failure to comply with relevant State statutory provisions concerning corporate dissolutions or with the disclosure requirements of the federal securities laws.

Applicant alleges that the Plan of Dissolution would permit shareholders to receive cash and/or securities having a value significantly in excess of the market price of South Bay shares immediately prior to the public announcement of the settlement and significantly in excess of the price at which they could reasonably expect to be able to sell their shares in the future, were South Bay not to be dissolved, unless South Bay's net asset value were to increase substantially. Applicant states that the non-affiliates' participation in the settlement is different from the affiliates only in the sense that non-affiliates are given preferential treatment. Applicants submit that a corporate dissolution in which all shareholders may participate on exactly equal terms and which is otherwise fair and reasonable is fully consistent with the general purposes of the Act. Applicants further state that they have obtained an order from the Court approving the settlement as fair, reasonable and adequate.

Section 17(a) of the Act, as here pertinent, prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company, or an affiliated person of such a person, from purchasing from such company any property unless the Commission, upon application pursuant to section 17(b), grants an exemption from the provisions of section 17(a) if evidence establishes that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, and that the transaction is consistent with the policy of the registered investment company concerned and with the general purposes of the Act.

Section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 thereunder, taken together provide,

among other things, that it shall be unlawful for any affiliated person of a registered investment company or any affiliated person of a registered investment company or any affiliated person of such a person, acting as principal, to participate in, or effect any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or arrangement in which any such registered company is a participant unless an application regarding such arrangement has been granted by an order of the Commission, and that, in passing upon such an application, the Commission will consider whether the participation of such registered company in such arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from, or less advantageous than, that of other participants.

Because South Bay shareholders, other than U&I Management, have an election as to the assets of South Bay which they may receive, U&I Management's participation in the distribution of South Bay's assets constitutes a purchase of property of South Bay, an investment company, by an affiliated person, U&I Management, that is subject to the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act. The participation of Applicants and the affiliated defendants in the proposed settlement is a joint transaction subject to the provisions of section 17(d) and rule 17d-1 of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 22, 1975, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application will be issued as of course following January 22, 1975, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive any notices or orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-658 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[34-11151; S7-543]

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

Proposed Amendment to Rule 394(b)

The Securities and Exchange Commission today announced that it has received the following letter from the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. to amend its Rule 394(b).

MR. LEE A. PACKARD,
Director, Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549.

OCTOBER 4, 1974.

DEAR MR. PICKARD: At its meeting on October 3, 1974, the Board of Directors approved, in principle, an amendment to Rule 394(b).

As you know, Rule 394(b)(1) presently requires a member to report to a Floor Governor certain details of a proposed third market transaction—the name of the stock, size of the order, number of shares, etc.—before soliciting a qualified non-member marketmaker. This requirement would be eliminated under the proposed amendment. However, the Rule would continue to require members to bring customers' orders to the Exchange Floor and make "a diligent effort to explore the feasibility of obtaining a satisfactory execution of the order on the Floor."

Presently, subparagraph (5) requires that when a member organization has met the conditions under which it may solicit a non-member market-maker and has made such solicitation, it must again bring the order to the Floor immediately prior to effecting an off-Board trade. Today the Rule allows any better bids or offers made on the Floor to replace the non-member's bid or offer. Under the proposed amendment to subparagraph (5), public bids or offers at a better price or the same price represented in the market when the member organization returns to the Floor after soliciting a non-member would still take precedence over the non-member market-maker's bid or offer. However, bids or offers on behalf of specialists, registered traders, odd-lot dealers or members or member organizations known to be acting for their own account—which are at the same price as the non-member's bid or offer, or at a better price—could replace the non-member market-maker's bid or offer only to the extent that those members or member organizations expressed an interest in participating at an indicated price or prices when informed that a non-member was going to be solicited. The effect of the proposed amendment is that Exchange professionals would have first opportunity to participate in a transaction for their own accounts and could not "second guess" the non-member marketmaker if his bid or offer were accepted.

The final provision of the proposed amendment would delete present subparagraph .10 of the Supplementary Material of the Rule. At present, if a non-member market-maker expresses a willingness to be solicited by a member organization in a particular issue, subparagraph .10 bars the member organization from consummating a trade with that non-member in that issue under Rule 394(b). A new proposed subparagraph .10 would make it clear that in the situation just described, a transaction could be consummated between the member organization and the non-member market-maker under Rule 394(b) if all the provisions of that Rule are followed.

This letter is being sent to you pursuant to Rule 17a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Three copies of the proposed amendment to Rule 394(b) are enclosed.

Any questions you may have regarding this matter may be directed to Bruce Davis (212) 623-6763.

Sincerely,

JAMES E. BUCK.

Enclosures.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULE 394

Deletions are bracketed; proposed new language is Underlined.

RULE 394

(a) Except as otherwise specifically exempted by the Exchange, members and member organizations must obtain the permission of the Exchange before effecting a transaction in a listed stock off the Exchange, either as principal or agent.

(b) Solicitation of Non-Member Market-Makers to Participate in Transactions Off-the-Floor of the Exchange.

(1) A member or member organization holding a customer's round-lot order for the purchase or sale of stock may, if he so desires, solicit a qualified non-member market-maker, *if he or it believes a better price can be obtained for the customer,* to participate in the execution of the order for the non-member's own account [,] off-the-Floor of the Exchange [,] provided [he has reported to a Floor Governor, other than the specialist in the stock, that all of the following conditions have been met]:

[(A)] (*i*) A diligent effort to explore the feasibility of obtaining a satisfactory execution of the order on the Floor has been made during that market session [.]*; and*

[(B) The member or member organization has provided the Floor Governor with the following information:

[(i) the name of the stock and size of the order;

[(ii) details of the effort made to explore the feasibility of obtaining a satisfactory execution of the order on the Floor;

[(iii) the number of shares, if any, he is taking or supplying for his own account; and

[(iv) the extent, if any, of the interest the specialist has indicated in participating at an indicated price or prices.]

(*ii*) *All of the other conditions in the Rule, as specified below, are fulfilled.*

(2) A qualified non-member market-maker in a stock is a broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission as a broker-dealer, who meets the capital and other applicable requirements and who has notified the Exchange that he is available to be solicited for his own account by members and member organizations pursuant to this rule for bids and offers in that stock.

(3) The member or member organization must file a report promptly after the completion of a transaction made pursuant to this Rule listing all parties to the transaction; the amount of participation of each; the price; the time of receipt of the order [,] *and* the time of the off-Floor execution [and the name of the Governor to whom he reported].

(4) Notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 104, the specialist may buy on a plus or zero plus tick or sell on a minus or zero minus tick, any or all of the stock with respect to which a third market-maker is to be asked to participate.

(5) Under the provision of this Rule, a member must ask other members in the Crowd immediately prior to the off-Floor trade if they have orders to execute at the same price *or a better price* and on the same side of the market *as the non-member market-maker.* If such be the case, the non-member market-maker's bid or offer may be displaced in whole or in part by:

(i) any or all *such* bids or offers [at that price] on the specialist's book and any or all bids or offers made by other brokers [acting as agents for other than Registered Traders,

registered odd-lot dealers or members or member organizations known by the broker to be acting for their own account] *on behalf of public customers' orders;* or

(ii) *a bid or offer made for or by* the specialist in the stock, acting as a dealer, [if the specialist before the third market-maker was solicited, advised the member or member organization of] *a Registered Trader a registered odd-lot dealer or a member or member organization known to be acting for his or its own account* to the extent [of his] *that such member or member organization's* interest *had been expressed* at an indicated price or prices [at which the transaction is to be made] *when the member or member organization contemplating an off-Board trade announced his or its intention to solicit a non-member market-maker.*

(6) No member shall effect a purchase for its customer from a market-maker if, on the basis of information supplied to the member by the market-maker, the market-maker's transaction would involve a short sale on a minus or zero minus tick based on Exchange transactions at the time of the solicitation; provided, however, that this shall not prohibit a transaction which includes a short sale of less than one round lot.

* * * Supplementary Material:

[.10 Situations not in compliance with Rule 394(b).—Listed below are examples of situations that would not comply with Rule 394(b). The Rule is intended only to apply to situations where member firms have solicited the participation of a qualified non-member market-maker. If, in the course of such a solicitation, the non-member market-maker asks to participate in the purchase or sale of any other security or of the same security in a different transaction, that transaction does not qualify under Rule 394(b).

[(1) A member firm solicits a qualified non-member market-maker to participate in the purchase or sale of stock X. The market-maker is not interested in stock X but tells the member firm to solicit him in some other listed stock in which he does have an interest. If the member firm then solicits the market-maker in response to such request, a subsequent transaction in that other stock would not qualify under Rule 394(b). It must take place on board with a full commission charged to the non-member market-maker.

[(2) A qualified non-member market-maker advises, other than by the ordinary written advertisements, notification, or publication, a particular member firm during the day that he wishes to be solicited in a given stock or stocks. The subsequent solicitation by the member firm, in response to the third market-maker's request, will disqualify the resulting transactions from qualifying under Rule 394(b).

[(3) A member firm has an understanding with a qualified non-member market-maker to solicit him under Rule 394(b) whenever he has customers' orders in these stocks in which the third market-maker is qualified. Such an understanding will disqualify any transaction made pursuant to the understanding from Rule 394(b).

[Any effort to accomplish indirectly that which is not directly permitted by the Rule, or the intent of the Rule as indicated in the Rule itself, and the supplementary material, will result in the transaction not qualifying under the Rule.]

.10 *Before a member or member organization may solicit a non-member market-maker to participate in the transaction, the member or member organization must first comply with the provisions of Rule 394(b)(1)(i). The fact that a non-member market-maker previously expressed a willingness to be solicited in a particular stock will not prevent a member or member organization from soliciting him and subsequently effecting a transaction with the non-member market-maker provided the above mentioned provisions of the Rule are fulfilled.*

.20 List of guaranteed and preferred stocks exempt from Rule 394(a).—The following guaranteed and preferred stocks have been exempted from the provisions of Rule 394(a), above. However, because of the basic concept of the Exchange Constitution that all transactions in listed stocks be executed on the Floor, every proposed transaction in these securities should be reviewed in the light of the factors involved, including the market on the Floor, the price, and the size, so that whenever possible the transaction may be effected on the Floor.

(List will remain the same)

The Commission responded to the proposed rule change with the following letter.

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

MR. JAMES E. BUCK,
Secretary,
New York Stock Exchange,
11 Wall Street,
New York, New York 10005

DEAR MR. BUCK: This is in response to your letter of October 4, 1974, which submitted, pursuant to Rule 17a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act, proposed amendments to Rule 394(b).

To enable us to give proper consideration to the proposed modifications of Rule 394(b) we would appreciate receiving additional information clarifying the meaning of certain language in the Rule and an explanation of your Exchange's understanding of the manner in which the proposed modifications would affect certain aspects of a member organization's brokerage duties in connection with the Rule's operation.

Please advise us of the following:

(1) What will a member broker be required to do or demonstrate in order to have a basis for belief that a better price can be obtained for his customer off the floor of your exchange? (Rule 394(b)(1)).

(2) Please explain whether Rule 394(b)(5), as proposed, or any other provision of the Rule, requires a member, contemplating making a trade off board in the third market, to indicate, on the floor, at the specialist's post, to the specialist or to any member other than the specialist (a) the general size of his customer's buying or selling interest, or (b) the precise size of such interest. Would the broker also be required to invite, at the specialist's suggestion, a bid or offer from any other member and if so, would the broker be obliged to solicit the other member on the floor or off the floor as well?

(3) Rule 394(b)(5)(ii), as proposed, requires that bids or offers on behalf of member or member organizations acting for their own account could replace the non-member market-maker's bid or offer only to the extent that those member or member organizations expressed an interest in participating at an indicated price or prices when informed that a non-member market-maker was going to be solicited. Please explain what constitutes such an expression of interest? Specifically, does this require that the member or member organization wishing to participate must indicate an interest for a firm number of shares and at a specific price or prices? May the member or member organization "back away" from such interest when the member or member organization contemplating trading with a non-member market-maker returns to the floor with such non-member market-maker's bid or offer?

(4) Please indicate whether, and to what extent, the procedure outlined in the proposed amendments to Rule 394(b) differs from NYSE Rule 127(a) respecting (a) exploration of the market on the floor, (b) exercise of professional judgment and (c) the responsibility of the specialist subsequent to learning of a contemplated transaction.

We request that the New York Stock Exchange not adopt or put into effect its proposed amendment to Rule 394(b) until the Commission staff has received and has an opportunity to consider the responses of the Exchange staff to these inquiries.

Very truly yours,

LEE A. PICKARD,
Director.

The New York Stock Exchange, Inc. responded to the request for supplemental information with the following letter.

NOVEMBER 26, 1974.

MR. LEE A. PICKARD,
Director, Securities and Exchange Commission, Division of Market Regulation, 500 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549.

DEAR MR. PICKARD: This will answer your letter dated October 24, 1974. You requested that the Exchange clarify the meaning of certain language in Rule 394(b) and explain its understanding of the manner in which the proposed modifications to the Rule would affect certain aspects of member organizations' brokerage duties. Before answering your specific questions, we would like to make clear that the modifications to Rule 394(b) were not intended to change a member organization's brokerage duties. They were intended to simplify the procedure by which a member organization could solicit a non-member market-maker.

You inquired as to what a member will be required to do or demonstrate in order to have a basis for believing that a better price can be obtained for his customer off the Floor of the Exchange.

There is no requirement contained in Rule 394(b) concerning what a member must do or demonstrate to corroborate his belief that a better price can be obtained for his customer off the Floor of the Exchange. The presumption is that a knowledgeable Floor broker, using his professional judgment and that of his firm, would be well aware of what a satisfactory price for his order should be, based on the size of the order, the particular stock in question and his appraisal of the current market.

You asked whether Rule 394(b)(5), as proposed, or any other provision of the Rule, requires a member contemplating making a trade off-Board in the third market, to indicate, on the Floor, at the post, to the specialist or to any member other than the specialist either the general size or the precise size of his customer's buying or selling interest. You also asked whether the broker would be required to invite, at the specialist's suggestion, a bid or offer from any other member and, if so, whether the broker would be obliged to solicit the other members on the Floor or off the Floor.

Experience with the Rule since its inception has not shown any need to spell out what a broker actually must do to meet the requirement that "a diligent effort to explore the feasibility of obtaining a satisfactory execution of the order on the Floor has been made during that market session." Therefore, in the absence of going through the procedures of adopting a policy that would do this, we can only answer your questions with a generalization.

Generally speaking, it is difficult to see how a broker could make a diligent effort to explore the market without divulging at least to the specialist the full size of his order. To be able to give a broker a realistic bid or offer, the specialist would have to

know the size involved so that he could see at the various price levels the extent to which the orders on the book could satisfy the member's order and what he would have to do for his own account at those price levels to fill the order. In addition, disclosure to the specialist of the member's buying or selling interest permits the specialist to bring other brokers into the situation—including Floor professionals since Registered Traders et al. would have only one opportunity to participate in a transaction for their own account under proposed subparagraph (b)(5)—who have indicated an interest in the stock. Since the main concern of the broker is that he obtain the best price for his customer, what he divulges to other brokers in the Crowd, or those brokers brought into the situation by the specialist, most likely would be governed by what he learns of their interest. The same would hold true with respect to contacting the offices of firms which the specialist felt or knew had an interest on the opposite side of the order. By exploring the possibility of member organizations participating in the execution of the order, the broker handling the order not only assures himself that he has attempted to get the best price for his customer but also gives customers of other member organizations an opportunity to participate.

In answer to your third question, the term "expression of interest" refers to a bid or offer to buy or sell a stated number of shares at a specific price or prices. This means that the broker making the bid or offer is ready to trade at that point in time. (It is stressed that the proposed amendment to subparagraph (5) of Rule 394(b), if adopted, will have the effect of encouraging Floor professionals to give their best bid or offer when an order is first brought to the Floor.) If the broker representing the customer's order determines to explore the third market and not trade with the bids or offers made on the Floor, the brokers who have made those bids or offers should not be penalized by that decision, either by holding them to the bid or offer until such time as the broker is ready to trade or shutting them out subsequently. They should have the opportunity to trade with the broker at the prices they originally expressed when he comes back to the Floor. On the other hand, they should not be held for an unreasonable amount of time to a bid or offer made earlier.

With respect to your question (4), it might be helpful to review the intent of Rule 127 and Rule 394(b).

Rule 127 was designed to provide for public participation when a block of stock with a total market value of $200,000 or more is to be crossed in the auction market at a premium or a discount from the current price. On the other hand, the intent of Rule 394(b) is to provide a member organization with the opportunity to solicit a non-member if it feels a better price can be obtained for the order than that available on the Floor. Therefore, one rule is based on the assumption that the order will be executed in the auction market on the Floor while the other provides for situations where all or part of an order may be executed other than on this Exchange. In most, if not all cases, the orders which are covered by Rule 394(b) probably are of the type where the firms handling them are not able to solicit orders on the opposite side of the market to fill the order and thus earn commissions on both sides.

The method of exploring the market, including the checking of the specialist, has already been discussed in relation to Rule 394(b) earlier in the letter.

Rule 127, as it relates to exploration of the market, provides that a member organization that receives a block order explore in depth the market on the Floor even though it is aware that the block may not readily be absorbed in the market. Exploration of the market is beneficial since it may reduce the amount of time and effort spent in generating customers' orders on the other side to fill the block order. In addition, exploring the Floor market minimizes the possibility of any embarrassment to the firm and possible loss of customer goodwill resulting from the firm not being able to execute orders which they have solicited because of the Rule 127 requirements that give preference to public orders represented on the Floor.

Rule 127(a) provides that, if professional judgment dictates, a member organization need not consult with the specialist. However, although a member is not required to check the specialist when effecting a transaction under Rule 127, subparagraph (c) of that Rule nevertheless provides that the Floor member be prepared to fill the reasonable needs of the specialist. This is so the specialist can effectively respond to the after market in the stock after a block trades at a discount or premium. If the Floor broker misjudges the specialist's needs, and there is a disagreement between him and the specialist, then the Rule suggests that a Floor Official be consulted to resolve the difference.

With respect to your question 4(c) concerning "the responsibility of the specialist subsequent to learning of a contemplated transaction", it is the same under Rule 394(b) as under Rule 127.

Sincerely,

J. E. BUCK.

The Commission wishes to solicit the written views of all interested persons concerning the proposed amendment of NYSE Rule 394(b) as set forth above. Such views should be submitted to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549, no later than January 20, 1975. Reference should be made to File No. S7-543.

By the Commission.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

DECEMBER 24, 1974.

[FR Doc.75-779 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 09/09-5181]

FONG VENTURE CAPITAL CORP.

Application for License as a Small Business Investment Company

An application for a license to operate as a small business investment company under the provisions of section 301(d) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), has been filed by Fong Venture Capital Corp. (applicant), with the Small Business Administration pursuant to 13 CFR 107.102 (1974).

The officers and directors of the applicant are as follows:

Walter Fong, 1278 43rd Avenue, Sacramento, California, President, Director.

Carl M. Stein, 3500 American River Drive, Sacramento, California 95825, Vice President, Director.

D. Herbert Gray, 2245 Park Towne Circle, Sacramento, California 95825, Secretary/Treasurer, Director.

The applicant, a California corporation, having its principal place of business located at 2245 Park Towne Circle, Sacramento, California 95825, will begin operations with $1,000,000 of paid-in capital and paid-in surplus derived from the sale of 100,000 shares of common stock to Mr. Walter Fong, owner of a number of retail super markets.

As a small business investment company under Section 301(d) of the Act, the applicant has been organized and chartered solely for the purpose of performing the functions and conducting the activities contemplated under the Small Business Act of 1958, as amended from time to time, and will provide assistance solely to small business concerns which will contribute to a well-balanced national economy by facilitating ownership in such concerns by persons whose participation in the free enterprise system is hampered because of social or economic disadvantages.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the applicant include the general business reputation and character of the proposed owners and management, and the probability of successful operation of the applicant under their management, including adequate profitability and financial soundness, in accordance with the Small Business Investment Act and SBA rules and regulations.

Any person may, on or before January 24, 1975, submit to SBA written comments on the proposed applicant. Any such communication should be addressed to the Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in Sacramento, California.

Dated: December 20, 1974.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.75-769 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area 1108]

MARYLAND

Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of December, because of the effects of a certain disaster, damage resulted to property located in the State of Maryland;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and received reports of other investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act, as amended:

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b)(1) of the Small Business Act, as amended, may be received and considered by the office be-

low indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in Charles, St. Marys, and Baltimore Counties, Maryland, and adjacent affected areas, suffered damage or destruction resulting from severe storms, high winds, and abnormally high tides beginning on or about December 1, 1974. Adjacent areas include only counties within the state for which the declaration is made and do not extend beyond state lines.

Office: Small Business Administration, District Office, 7800 York Road, Towson, Maryland 21204.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this declaration will not be accepted subsequent to February 20, 1975.

EIDL applications will not be accepted subsequent to September 22, 1975.

Dated: December 20, 1974.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-774 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

LENDING INSTITUTIONS

Maximum Interest Rates

Notice is given that the Small Business Administration ("SBA") has established the maximum rates of interest that lending institutions participating with SBA may charge on loans approved by SBA on or after January 2, 1975, under section 7 of the Small Business Act, as amended, and section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act, as amended.

Effective January 2, 1975, the maximum rate of interest acceptable to SBA on a guaranteed loan or guaranteed revolving line of credit shall be eleven and one-half percent (11½%) a year, and the maximum rate on an immediate-participation loan shall be ten and one-half percent (10½%) a year. These maximum interest rates are unchanged from those published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 12, 1974 (39 FR 32946), and shall remain in effect until notification of a change is issued by SBA.

This notice is issued under 13 CFR 120.3(b)(2)(vi).

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs: No. 59.012 Small Business Loans; No. 59.013 State and Local Development Company Loans; No. 59.014 Coal Mine Health and Safety Loans; No. 59.017 Meat and Poultry Inspection Loans (Consumer Protection Loans); No. 59.018 Occupational Safety and Health Loans; No. 59.001 Displaced Business Loans; No. 59.003 Economic Opportunity Loans for Small Business).

Dated: December 31, 1974.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-767 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area 1107]

NEW JERSEY

Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of December, because of the effects of a certain disaster, damage resulted to property located in the State of New Jersey;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and received reports of other investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act, as amended:

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b)(1) of the Small Business Act, as amended, may be received and considered by the office below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in Atlantic, Cape May, Cumberland, Monmouth and Ocean Counties, and adjacent affected areas, suffered damage or destruction resulting from severe storms, high winds and abnormally high tides, which occurred on or about December 1, 1974. Adjacent areas include only counties within the state for which the declaration is made and do not extend beyond state lines.

Office: Small Business Administration, District Office, 970 Broad Street, Room 1635, Newark, New Jersey 07102.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this declaration will not be accepted subsequent to February 20, 1975. Applications for EIDL loans under the authority of this declaration will not be accepted subsequent to September 22, 1975.

Dated: December 20, 1974.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-775 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Proposed License No. 02/02-0310]

NIS CAPITAL CORP.

Application for a License To Operate as a Small Business Investment Company

Notice is hereby given that an application has been filed with the Small Business Administration (SBA), pursuant to § 107.102 of the regulations governing small business investment companies (13 CFR § 107.102 (1974)) under the name of NIS Capital Corp., 34 South Broadway, White Plains, New York 10601, for a license to operate as a small business investment company under the provisions of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (the Act), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

The proposed officers, directors and shareholders are as follows:

Howard B. Blank,[1] 9 Kolbert Drive, Scarsdale, New York 10583, President, General Manager, Director.

Edward J. Landau,[1] Winfield Avenue, Harrison, New York 10528, Secretary, Director.

Stuart Schulein,[1] 80 Copley Street, Staten Island, New York 10314, Treasurer, Director.

National Industrial Services Corp.,[2] 34 South Broadway, White Plains, New York 10601, Parent Company and direct owner of 100% of stock.

[1] Messrs. Blank, Laudau and Schulein own 10.09%, 10.02% and 1.10%, respectively, of the shares of the parent, National Industrial Services Corp.

The company proposes to commence operations with a capitalization of $500,000. Applicant proposes to finance small concerns which are located throughout the United States and its territories.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the application include the general business reputation and character of owners and management, and the probability of successful operations of the new company, in accordance with the Act and Regulations.

Notice is further given that any person may, on or before January 24, 1975, submit to SBA, in writing, relevant comments on the proposed licensing of this company. Any such communications should be addressed to: Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20416.

Dated: December 24, 1974.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.75-770 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Notice of Disaster Loan Area 1106; Amdt. 1]

PUERTO RICO

Amendment to Notice of Disaster Relief Loan Availability

As a result of the President's declaration of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico as a major disaster area resulting from severe storms, landslides and flooding, beginning about October 23, 1974, applications for disaster relief loans will be accepted by the Small Business Administration from disaster victims in the following additional municipalities: Guanica, Guayanilla, Maricao, Sabana Grande, San Sebastian, and Yauco, and adjacent affected areas. (See 39 FR 43427)

Applications may be filed at the:

Small Business Administration
District Office
255 Ponce De Leon Avenue
Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00919

and at such temporary offices as are established. Such addresses will be announced locally.

Applications for disaster loans under this announcement must be filed not later than February 14, 1975. EIDL applications will not be accepted subsequent to September 15, 1975.

Dated: December 20, 1974.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-776 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Proposed License No. 06/06-0175]

SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT CAPITAL, INC.

Application for a License To Operate as a Small Business Investment Company

Notice is hereby given that an application has been filed with the Small Business Administration (SBA) pursuant to § 107.102 of the regulations governing small business investment companies

(13 CFR § 107.102 (1974)) under the name of Small Business Investment Capital, Inc., 10003 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203, for a license to operate as a small business investment company under the provisions of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (the Act), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

The proposed officers, directors, and shareholders are as follows:

Charles E. Toland, #1 Talmage Drive, Little Rock, Arkansas, President, General Manager, Director.
Othella E. Fiser, Rt. #1, Box 11, Sheridan, Arkansas, Director.
Billy Ray Cox, 9 Magnolia, Searcy, Arkansas, Director.
Weldon H. McWhirter, Sr., 8621 Oman Road, Little Rock, Arkansas, Director.
Norman W. Kelley, Rt. 1, Paragould, Arkansas, Director.
Shur-Valu Stamps, Inc., 10003 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, Arkansas, 100% common stock.

Shur-Valu Stamps, Inc., is 45 percent owned by Affiliated Food Stores, Inc., a cooperative of retail grocers located in Little Rock, 35 percent owned individually by members of the cooperative, and 20 percent owned by former members of the cooperative.

The company proposes to commence operations with a capitalization of $750,000. Applicant proposes to conduct its operations principally in the State of Arkansas, and will emphasize loans to retail grocers as its investment policy.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the application include the general business reputation and character of management, and the probability of successful operations of the new company in accordance with the Act and regulations.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, on or before January 24, 1975, submit to SBA, in writing, relevant comments on the proposed licensing of this company. Any such communications should be addressed to Associate Administrator for Finance and Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published by the proposed licensee in a newspaper of general circulation in Little Rock, Arkansas.

Dated: DECEMBER 21, 1974.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.75-771 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[License No. 06/10-0098]

UNITED BUSINESS CAPITAL, INC. Application for Transfer of Control of Licensed Small Business Investment Company

Notice is hereby given that an application has been filed with the Small Business Administration (SBA), pursuant to § 107.701 of the regulations governing small business investment companies (13 CFR § 107.701 (1974)), for transfer of control of United Business Capital, Inc. (United), 1102 South Broadway, La Porte, Texas 77571, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (the Act), (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

United was licensed on October 28, 1963, and has a paid-in capital and paid-in surplus of $163,649. The transfer of control is being made pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement between Mr. Ben Fleming, the sole shareholder of the licensee, and three individuals. The proposed transfer of control is subject to, and contingent upon, the approval of SBA.

After the proposed transfer of control, the officers, directors and shareholders will be as follows:

Charles E. Hughes, Rt. 2, Box 225, Broken Bow, Oklahoma, President, Director, 33⅓%.
James A. Wooten, 602 S.E. Adams, Idabel, Oklahoma, Vice President, Director, 33⅓%.
Carl Sherman, 9 E. Main, Idabel, Oklahoma, Secretary, Treasurer, 33⅓%.
L. W. Brummett, Box 268, Eagletown, Oklahoma, General Manager, Director.

The capitalization of the licensee will be increased through the sale of $200,000 non-voting preferred stock to a total of ten, or fewer, individuals and/or corporations in Oklahoma. The principal offices will be transferred from La Porte, Texas to 19 East Main, Idabel, Oklahoma 74745. The area of operations will include the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas and Louisiana.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the application include the general business reputation and character of management, and the probability of successful operations of the new company, in accordance with the Act and Regulations.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, on or before January 24, 1975, submit to SBA, in writing, relevant comments on the proposed licensing of this company. Any such communications should be addressed to: Associate Administrator for Finance and Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 "L" Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published by the proposed licensee in a newspaper of general circulation in Idabel, Oklahoma and Houston, Texas.

Dated: December 20, 1974.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.75-773 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[License No. 09/09-0175]

WALDEN CAPITAL CORP.

Issuance of a License To Operate as a Small Business Investment Company

On September 26, 1974, a notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 34611) stating that an application had been filed with the Small Business Administration pursuant to § 107.102 of the regulations governing Small Business Investment Companies for a license to operate as a small business investment company by Walden Capital Corporation, 680 Beach Street, San Francisco, California 94109.

Interested parties were invited to submit their written comments to SBA. No comments were received.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the provisions of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), after having considered the application and all other pertinent information and facts with regard thereto, SBA has issued License No. 09/09-0175 to Walden Capital Corp. to operate as a small business investment company.

Dated: December 23, 1974.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.75-772 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 2]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

JANUARY 3, 1974.

The following applications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by Special Rule 1100.247[1] of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include

[1] Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

No. MC 43963 (Sub-No. 7), filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: CHIEF TRUCK LINES, INC., 1479 Ripley Street, East Gary, Ind. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Kerwin, 127 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lift trucks, attachments, and parts and articles*, used in the manufacture and maintenance of same, between the plantsite of Hyster Company, at or near Berea, Ky., and points in Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 408), filed December 12, 1974. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT, INC., 2661 South Broadway, Green Bay, Wis. 54304. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 2440 E. Commercial Blvd., Fort Lauderdale, Fla. 33308. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal containers and metal container ends* (except refuse containers) from Perrysburg, Ohio, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, Tennessee and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 59583 (Sub-No. 148), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: THE MASON AND NIXON LINES, INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 969, Kingsport, Tenn. 37662. Applicant's representative: D. W. Penland (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving the plantsite of the Firestone Tire & Rubber Company in Rutherford County, Tenn. in connection with applicant's authorized regular route operations to and from Nashville, Tenn.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 74321 (Sub-No. 110), filed Dec. 9, 1974. Applicant: B. F. WALKER, INC., P.O. Box 17-B, Denver, Colo. 80217. Applicant's representative: Richard P. Kissinger (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles, fabricated and unfabricated*, from Birmingham, Ala., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and Texas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 94635 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: INTERSTATE SAND & GRAVEL TRANSPORTATION, INC., 717 Elmer Street, Vineland, N.J. 08360. Applicant's representative: Jacob P. Billig, 1126 16th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Crushed stone and slag*, from points in Bucks, Burks, Lehigh, Lebanon, Lancaster, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery and Philadelphia Counties, Pa., and points in Delaware, to points in Burlington, Camden, Gloucester, Salem, Cumberland, Cape May, and Atlantic Counties, N.J.; and (2) *sand, gravel, stone and clay*, from points in Burlington, Camden, Gloucester, Salem, Cumberland, Cape May and Atlantic Counties, N.J., to points in Delaware, restricted to transportation services performed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Dun-Rite Sand & Gravel Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 106451 (Sub-No. 11), filed Nov. 25, 1974. Applicant: COOK MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1391, Akron, Ohio 44309. Applicant's representative: John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): Serving the plant sites and warehouse facilities of Westvaco Corp., located at or near Luke, Md., and at a point approximately two and one-half miles east of Westernport, Md., as off-route points in connection with applicant's otherwise authorized operations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107460 (Sub-No. 50), filed December 11, 1974. Applicant: WILLIAM Z. GETZ, INC., 3055 Yellow Goose Road, Lancaster, Pa. 17601. Applicant's representative: Donald D. Shipley (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aluminum doors and windows, glazed and unglazed and aluminum extrusions*, from the plantsite of Capitol Products Corporation at Kentland, Ind., to the plantsite of Capitol Products Corporation at Mechanicsburg, Pa., the plants of National Homes Corporation located at Horseheads, N.Y., Terryville, Conn., and Collinsville, Va., and the plantsite of Knox Homes located at Thomson, Ga., under contract with Capitol Products Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 107913 (Sub-No. 15), filed December 10, 1974. Applicant: F & W EXPRESS, INC., 575 South Front Street, Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Grogan, Suite 2020, First National Bank Bldg., Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment); Between Clarksdale, Miss., and West Helena, Ark., serving all intermediate points: From Clarksdale, Miss., over U.S. Highway 49, to the Mississippi-Arkansas state line, thence over U.S. Highway 49 via Helena, Ark., to West Helena, Ark., and return over the same route.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Helena, Ark., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 108340 (Sub-No. 30), filed December 11, 1974. Applicant: HANEY TRUCK LINE, a corporation, Number 1 Haney Lane, P.O. Box 485, Cornelius, Oreg. 97113. Applicant's representative: Lawrence V. Smart, Jr., 419 N.W. 23rd Avenue, Portland, Oreg. 97210. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles and pipe* (except iron and steel pipe), and *accessories, connections, couplings and fittings therefor*, from the plantsite of the Armco Steel Corporation in Washington County, Oreg., to points in Idaho and Washington.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 108676 (Sub-No. 75), filed December 12, 1974. Applicant: A. J. METLER HAULING & RIGGING, INC., 117 Chicamauga Avenue, N.E., Knoxville, Tenn. 37917. Applicant's representative: William T. McManus (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cast iron pipe and valves, cast iron pressure pipe fittings, fire hydrants and fire hydrant sections*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Mueller Co., located at or near Albertsville, Ala., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) and (2) *components, parts, attachments, accessories and supplies* used in connection with commodities described in (1) above, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Mueller Co., located at Chattanooga, Tenn., to points in the United States located east of New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming, and Montana.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C. or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 111383 (Sub-No. 40), filed May 20, 1974. Applicant: BRASWELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., 3925 Singleton Blvd., P.O. Box 4447, Dallas, Tex. 75208. Applicant's representative: James Smith (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) Between Memphis, Tenn. and Oklahoma City, Okla., over Interstate Highway 40, as an alternate route for operating convenience only; (2) Between junction Muskogee Turnpike (Kansas) and Interstate Highway 40 and Tulsa, Okla.; over Muskogee Turnpike, as an alternate for operating convenience only; (3) Between Abilene, Tex. and Oklahoma City, Okla.: From Abilene over U.S. Highway 277 to junction H.E. Bailey Turnpike, thence over H. E. Bailey Turnpike to Oklahoma City, and return over the same route, as an alternate for operating convenience only; (4) Between Phoenix, Ariz. and Oklahoma City, Okla.: From Phoenix over Interstate Highway 17 to junction Interstate Highway 40 at Flagstaff, Ariz., thence over Interstate Highway 40 to Oklahoma City, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only; (5) Between junction Interstate Highways 30 and 40 at Little Rock, Ark. and Dallas, Tex., over Interstate Highway 30, as an alternate route for the purposes of joinder only; (6) Between Big Spring, Tex. and Amarillo, Tex., over U.S. Highway 87, as an alternate route for the purposes of joinder only; (7) Between El Gaso, Tex. and Amarillo, Tex.: From El Gaso over U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence over U.S. Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 60 near Farwell, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 87, thence over U.S. Highway 87 to Amarillo, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only;

(8) Between junction U.S. Highway 82 (also U.S. Highway 62) and U.S. Highway 380 at or near Brownsfield, Tex. and Roswell, N. Mex., over U.S. Highway 380, as an alternate route for the purposes of joinder only; (9) Between Las Cruces, N. Mex. and junction U.S. Highways 70 and 54 at Alamogordo, N. Mex., over U.S. Highway 70, as an alternate route for the purposes of joinder only; (10) Between junction U.S. Highways 82 and 277 at Seymour, Tex. and Odessa, Tex.: From junction U.S. Highways 82 and 277 over U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 62 to near Ralls, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 62 to junction U.S. Highway 384 at Brownsfield, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 384 (and also U.S. Highway 62) to Odessa, Tex., as an alternate route for purposes of joinder only; (11) Between El Paso, Tex. and Seminole, Tex., over U.S. Highway 62 (also known as U.S. Highway 180), as an alternate route for purposes of joinder only; (12) Between Minden, La. and Montrose, Ark.: From Minden over U.S. Highway 79 to junction Louisiana State Highway 9, thence over Louisiana State Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 167, thence over U.S. Highway 167 to El Dorado, Tex., thence easterly over U.S. Highway 82 to Montrose, Ark., and return over the same route, as an alternate route for purposes of joinder only; (13) Between Monroe, La. and Natchez, Miss.: From Monroe over Louisiana State Highway 15 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence over U.S. Highway 65 to junction U.S. Highway 84, thence over combined U.S. Highways 65 and 84 to Natchez, Miss., and return over the same routes, as an alternate route for the purposes of joinder only; and (14) Between Marshall, Tex. and Mount Pleasant, Tex.: From Marshall over U.S. Highway 59 to Jefferson, Tex., thence over Texas State Highway 49 to Mount Pleasant, and return over the same routes, as an alternate route for operating convenience only.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 476) (correction), filed November 4, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 12, 1974, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 2 Nevada Drive, Lake Success, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Radiopharmaceuticals, diagnostic test kits, medical instruments, biochemicals, equipment and supplies*, between Orangeburg, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia; (2) *business papers, records, audit and accounting media of all kinds*, (a) between Mansfield, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Buffalo, Jamestown and Rochester, N.Y.; (b) between Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lincoln and Omaha, Nebr.; (c) between Willard, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Chicago, Ill.; Butler and Pittsburgh, Pa.; Batavia, Buffalo, Dunkirk, Hamburg, Rochester and Syracuse, N.Y.; and (3) *ophthalmic goods and emergency optical machinery replacement parts*, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 50 pounds, from one consignor to one consignee on any one day, (a) between Mansfield, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Buffalo, Jamestown, and Rochester, N.Y.; and (b) between Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lincoln and Omaha, Nebr.; and (4) *daily telephone addendas, press and bindery samples and approvals, artwork, and advertising material of all kinds*, between Willard, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Chicago, Ill.; Butler and Pittsburgh, Pa.; Batavia, Buffalo, Dunkirk, Hambert, Rochester and Syracuse, N.Y.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the spelling of the commodity description in item 4 of said application which was previously published in error. Common control may be involved. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112963 (Sub-No. 57), filed December 11, 1974. Applicant: ROY BROS., INC., 764 Boston Road, Pinehurst, Mass. 01866. Applicant's representative: Leonard E. Murphy (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Tewksbury, Mass., to Glen Falls, Greenwich, Newburgh, and Tonawanda, N.Y.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 113678 (Sub-No. 572), filed December 6, 1974. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., 4810 Pontiac Street, Commerce City (Denver) Colo. 80022. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Peterson, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Blood Plasma, Human*, from Pueblo, Colo., to Berkeley and Oakland, Calif.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 113678 (Sub-No. 573), filed December 6, 1974. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., 4810 Pontiac Street, Commerce City (Denver), Colo. 80022. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Peterson, P.O. Box

81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and facilities of Krey Packing Company, at St. Louis, Mo., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Vermont, and Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 13678 (Sub-No. 574), filed December 6, 1974. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., 4810 Pontiac Street, Commerce City (Denver), Colo. 80022. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Peterson, P.O. Box 11849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned and preserved foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Paramount Foods, Inc., at Louisville, Ky., to points in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, and West Virginia, restricted to traffic originating at the above named origin, and destined to the above named points.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky.; Indianapolis, Ind.; or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 113843 (Sub-No. 215), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC., 316 Summer Street, Boston, Mass. 02210. Applicant's representative: William J. Boyd, 600 Enterprise Drive, Suite 222, Oak Brook, Ill. 60521. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food and food products*, from Bloomsburg, Centre Hall and Hanover, Pa., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Baltimore, Md. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115669 (Sub-No. 148), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: DAHLSTEN TRUCK LINE, INC., 101 West Edgar Street, P.O. Box 95, Clay Center, Nebr. 68933. Applicant's representative: Howard N. Dahlsten (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry animal and poultry feed ingredients*, between points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 492), filed December 11, 1974. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., 105 Vulcan Road, Suite 200, P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Beverage preparations* (except in bulk), from Northbrook, Ill., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, and Tennessee, restricted to traffic originating at the named origin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 116314 (Sub-No. 29), filed December 4, 1974. Applicant: MAX BINSWANGER TRUCKING, 13846 Firestone Boulevard, Santa Fe Springs, Calif. 90670. Applicant's representative: Carl H. Fritze, 1545 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from Colton, Crestmore, Monolith, Oro Grande and Victorville, Calif., and the plantsite of General Portland Inc., California Division, at or near Gorman, Calif., to Port Hueneme, Calif., and points in the Los Angeles Harbor Commercial Zone.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 116519 (Sub-No. 27), filed Dec. 11, 1974. Applicant: FREDERICK TRANSPORT LIMITED, R.R. 6, Chatham, Ontario, Canada. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry commodities*, in bulk, between points of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Michigan and New York, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at, or destined to, points in Canada.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 133), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., West 20th Street Road, P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: J. J. Knotts, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Unfrozen canned goods*, from Elliott, N.C., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill. or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 124813 (Sub-No. 122), filed December 6, 1974. Applicant: UMTHUN TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 910 South Jackson Street, Eagle Grove, Iowa 50533. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. Leahy, Jr., 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Twine*, (1) from Duluth, Minn., to points in Iowa, South Dakota, North Dakota, Nebraska, and Wisconsin and (2) from Des Moines and Eagle Grove, Iowa, to points in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic moving on flatbed equipment.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 118468, Sub-16 and other subs, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr. or Kansas City, Mo. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 126458 (Sub-No. 7), filed December 11, 1974. Applicant: ASCENZO & SONS, INC., 535 Brush Avenue, Bronx, N.Y. 10465. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Treated and untreated piling*, on special equipment, from Baltimore, Hollywood and Salisbury, Md., Warsaw and Laurel, Va., and Fayetteville and Williamston, N.C., to points in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Delaware, Maryland and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract or contracts with C. K. Forest Products, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds motor common carrier authority in MC-95965, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 127811 (Sub-No. 3), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: BRYNWOOD TRANSFER, INC., 175 8th Avenue SW., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Bulk storage tanks and smokestacks*, which because of unusual size or weight require special handling and the use of special equipment and (2) *related parts and equipment* when transported in the same vehicle at the same time with the commodities described in (1) above, from the plant sites of Arrow Tank and Engineering Company located at Cambridge, Minn., to points in Iowa, North

Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 127811 (Sub-No. 4), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: BRYNWOOD TRANSFER, INC., 175 8th Avenue, SW., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Pre-cast and pre-stressed concrete products*, which because of unusual size or weight require special handling and the use of special equipment; and (2) *related parts and equipment*, when transported in the same vehicle at the same time, with the commodities described in (1) above, from Osseo, Lino Lakes and Anoka, Minn., to points in Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and those points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 129063 (Sub-No. 8), filed November 25, 1974. Applicant JIMMY T. WOOD, P.O. Box 248, Ripley, Tenn. 38063. Applicant's representative: Thomas A. Stroud, 2008 Clark Tower, 5100 Poplar Ave., Memphis, Tenn. 38137. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Bricks*, between points in Tennessee (except Gallaway and its Commercial Zone), Mississippi, Arkansas, Kentucky, and Missouri; (2) *bricks*, between points in Tennessee (except Gallaway and its Commercial Zone), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Virginia, North Carolina, Georgia, and Alabama; (3) *concrete blocks*, between points in Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky, Virginia, North Carolina, Georgia, and Alabama; and (4) *lightweight aggregate*, in dump vehicles, from points in Lonoke and Crittenden Counties, Ark., to points in Tennessee, Mississippi, Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, and Oklahoma.

NOTE.—Applicant states that he intends to tack the requested authority with its existing authority on clay and shale cinders, at points in Tennessee, Missouri, and Mississippi, to provide a through service from England, Ark., to the terminal states described in (1) through (3) above, and at points in Crittenden County, Ark., to provide a through service from England, Ark. to the territory described in (4) above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 134400 (Sub-No. 16), filed December 12, 1974. Applicant: MILLER'S TRUCKING AND RENTAL, INC., 200 Southern Avenue, Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Applicant's representative: Carl E. Munson, 469 Fischer Bldg., Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Rough lumber, wooden pallets and wooden pallet parts*, from Bruce, Wis., to Dubuque, Iowa; (2) *veneer*, from Dubuque, Iowa, to New York, N.Y. and points in North Carolina and Virginia; (3) *wood chips*: (a) from Dubuque, Iowa, to Peoria, Ill.; and (b) from Anamosa, Dubuque, Edgewood, Guttenberg, La Motte and Oxford Junction, Iowa, to Joliet and Peoria, Ill.; and (4) *wooden pallets and wooden pallet parts*, from Dubuque, Iowa, to Stockton, Ill., under a continuing contract or contracts with Donel Pallet Company, Dubuque Chips, Inc. and R. S. Bacon Veneer Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill. or Dubuque, Iowa.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 109), filed December 10, 1974. Applicant: B. J. McADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, Ark. 72118. Applicant's representative: Don E. Garrison (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Polyurethane ceiling beams, polyurethane siding, and polyurethane molded product and compounds*, from Dunbar, W. Va., to points in Arizona, New Mexico, California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Utah, Nevada, Montana, Oklahoma, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charleston, W. Va., or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 135454 (Sub-No. 15), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: DENNY TRUCK LINES, INC., 893 Ridge Road, Webster, N.Y. 14580. Applicant's representative: Francis P. Barrett, 60 Adams Street, P.O. Box 238, Milton, Mass. 02187. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs, materials and supplies* used in the processing of preserved foodstuffs, from the plantsites and warehouses of Duffy-Mott Co., Inc., at Aspers, Pa., to the plantsites and warehouses of Duffy-Mott Co., Inc., in Monroe and Wayne Counties, N.Y.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 135519 (Sub-No. 5), filed December 11, 1974. Applicant: ANTHONY G. AYALA, doing business as QUEEN CITY TRUCKING, 16618 127th SE., P.O. Box 24383, Renton, Wash. 98055. Applicant's representative: George Kargianis, 2120 Pacific Bldg., Seattle, Wash. 98104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron and steel articles*, from Seattle and Tacoma, Wash., and Portland, Oreg., to Spokane, Wash., and points in Idaho and Montana; (2) *scrap metal*, and pipe, from points in Idaho and Montana to Seattle and Tacoma, Wash., and Portland, Oreg.; and (3) *hides, green and salted*, from points in Montana, Idaho and Washington, to Seattle and Tacoma, Wash., and Portland, Oreg.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 136512 (Sub-No. 6), filed Dec. 12, 1974. Applicant: SPACE CARRIERS, INC., 444 Lafayette Road, St. Paul, Minn. 55101. Applicant's representative: James E. Ballenthin, 630 Osborn Building, St. Paul, Minn. 55102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment because of size or weight), between Fort Dodge, Iowa, and Fairmont, Minn., restricted to the transportation of traffic moving between plantsites and storage facilities of Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 136553 (Sub-No. 30), filed December 12, 1974. Applicant: ART PAPE TRANSFER, INC., 1080 East 12th Street, Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Dry fertilizer and dry fertilizer materials*, from Marshall, Minn., to points in Iowa, Illinois, Wisconsin, Nebraska, South Dakota and North Dakota; and (2) *soil stabilization limestone*, from Gilmore City, Iowa, and Irene, S. Dak., to Marshall, Minn.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 136821 (Sub-No. 3), filed November 22, 1974. Applicant: SMERBER TRANSPORTATION, INC., Bldg. 504 Space Center, Mira Loma, Calif. 91752. Applicant's representative: James Smerber (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fibrous glass products and materials, insulating products and materials, and supplies and equipment*, used in the production and distribution thereof, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Johns-Manville Fiber Glass, Inc., at Willows, Calif., to points in Arizona, Nevada, Idaho, Utah, Oregon, and Washington, under contract with Johns-Manville Fiber Glass Corp., at Willows, Calif.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Los Angeles, or San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 138741 (Sub-No. 14), filed December 6, 1974. Applicant: E. K. MOTOR SERVICE, INC., 2005 North Broadway, Joliet, Ill. 60435. Applicant's representative: Tom B. Kretsinger, 910 Fairfax Bldg., 101 W. Eleventh Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Plastic resins and plastic sheets* (except in bulk): (a) from the plantsites and shipping facilities of the General Electric Company at or near Mt. Vernon, Ind., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa,

Kansas, Kentucky, the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin; and (b) *refused, rejected, or retendered shipments* on return; (2) *shipping containers*, from points in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin, to the plantsites and shipping facilities of the General Electric Company at or near Mt. Vernon, Ind., and (3) *packaging and shipping materials*, from points in Illinois, St. Louis, Mo. and its commercial zone, and Louisville, Ky. and its commercial zone, to the plantsites and shipping facilities of the General Electric Company at or near Mt. Vernon, Ind., restricted in (1), (2) and (3) above to traffic originating at or destined to the plantsites and shipping facilities of the General Electric Company at or near Mt. Vernon, Ind.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Regarding dual operations, applicant states that it has filed an application in MC–138741 (Sub-No. 10) requesting conversion of its outstanding Permits to Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 139836 (Sub-No. 2), filed Dec. 9, 1974. Applicant: LINT TRANSFER, INC., 4549 Delaware, Des Moines, Iowa 50313. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 900 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), between Des Moines, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Iowa bounded by U.S. Highway 20 on the north, U.S. Highway 63 on the east, U.S. Highway 34 on the south, and U.S. Highway 71 on the west, restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by rail TOFC service.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 140023 (Sub-No. 3), filed Dec. 12, 1974. Applicant: COLUMBIA TRANSIT CORPORATION, 404 Walnut Street, Waldo, Ark. 71770. Applicant's representative: W. H. Boswell (Same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wood residuals* (wood chips, sawdust, bark and shavings), between points in Columbia County, Ark., Bowie and Cass Counties, Tex.; and Webster, Union, Jackson, Lincoln, Ouachita and Natchitoches Parishes, La.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Little Rock, or El Dorado, Ark.

No. MC 140152 (Sub-No. 1), filed December 12, 1974. Applicant: SPECIAL DISPATCH, INC., 10619 Liberty, St. Louis, Mo. 63132. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, 1301 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fabricated machine parts; castings; mill supply items; metal stampings; electrical motors and parts; gauges and valves; tools; ink; hospital supplies; construction, industrial and maintenance equipment, and parts thereof*, between St. Louis, Mo. and points in St. Louis County, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Madison and St. Clair Counties, Ill., restricted to emergency shipments not exceeding 1,000 pounds from any one consignor to any one consignee on any one day.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo. or Springfield, Ill.

No. MC 140257 (Sub No. 2), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: BENNETT & SON TRANSPORT, LTD., 234 12th Ave., East, P.O. Box 681, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4P 3A3. Applicant's representative: Richard P. Anderson, 502 First National Bank Bldg., Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cullet, glass spheres and glass beads*, from points on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Washington, Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and Minnesota, to points in Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, Utah, Colorado, Nebraska, Iowa, Missouri, and Illinois, restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior movement in foreign commerce; and (2) *flattened vehicles, scrap metal, iron ore pellets, and iron ore concentrates*, for remelting and recycling, from points in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, Minnesota, Nebraska, Colorado, and Utah, to points on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in North Dakota and Montana, restricted to the transportation of shipments having an immediate subsequent movement in foreign commerce.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Williston or Fargo, N. Dak., or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 140301 (Sub-No. 1), filed December 4, 1974. Applicant: EMORY SHUPE, doing business as SHUPE TRUCKING, 24 Montvale Road, Newark, Del. 19711. Applicant's representative: Samuel H. Lewis, 1226 King Street, Wilmington, Del. 19801. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Steel drums, plastic containers and fibre drums*, from points in Delaware, to points in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Maryland, and Virginia, under a continuing contract or contracts with Container Corporation of America.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Wilmington or Newark, Del.

No. MC 140356, filed November 18, 1974. Applicant: AVTEC SERVICES, INC., 1750 N.W. 26th Avenue, Miami, Fla. 33126. Applicant's representative: Richard B. Austin, Suite 214 Palm Coast II Bldg., 5255 N.W. 87th Avenue, Miami, Fla. 33166. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk and commodities which, by reason of size or weight, require specialized handling, and equipment), (1) between Miami International Airport at Miami, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Tennessee, Texas, and Mississippi; and (2) between points in South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Tennessee, Texas, and Mississippi, on the one hand, and, on the other, Miami International Airport, restricted to traffic moving under a continuing contract or contracts with Span East Airlines, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Miami, Fla.

PASSENGERS APPLICATION(S)

No. MC 1515 (Sub-No. 202), filed December 6, 1974. Applicant: GREYHOUND LINES, INC., Greyhound Tower, Phoenix, Ariz. 85077. Applicant's representative: Anthony P. Carr, 1400 West Third Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44113. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers: (1) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 221 and South Carolina Highway 10 (approximately 2 miles south of Bradley, S.C.) and McCormick, S.C.: From the junction of U.S. Highway 221 and South Carolina Highway 10 over U.S. Highway 221 to McCormick, S.C., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (2) Between Cumberland, Md., and the junction of Maryland Highway 36 and U.S. Highway 40 (east of Frostburg, Md.): From Cumberland, Md., over U.S. Highway 48 to junctiorr Maryland Highway 36, thence over Maryland Highway 36 to junction U.S. Highway 40, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (3) Between Baltimore, Md., and Ridgeville, Md.: From Baltimore over U.S. Highway 40 to Ridgeville, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (4) Between Baltimore, Md., and the junction of Interstate Highway 70 and U.S. Highway 40: From Baltimore over Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 40, and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, serving no intermediate points; and (5) Between Harrisburg, Pa., and Easton, Pa.: From Harrisburg over Interstate Highway 83 to junction Interstate Highway 81, thence over Interstate Highway

81 to junction Interstate Highway 78, thence over Interstate Highway 78 to junction U.S. Highway 22 near Fogelsville, Pa., then over U.S. Highway 22 to Easton, Pa., serving all intermediate points and serving Hamburg, Allentown, and Bethlehem, Pa., as off-route points.

NOTE.—In connection with (1) above, applicant proposes to abandon that portion of its present authority from the junction of U.S. Highway 221 and South Carolina Highway 10, via South Carolina Highway 10 to the junction of South Carolina Highway 28, thence via South Carolina Highway 28 to McCormick, S.C., as contained in MC–1501 Sub 172 (renumbered MC–1515 Sub 8, not yet reissued) Sheet No. 10. In connection with (2) above, applicant proposes to abandon that portion of its present authority from Cumberland, Md. via U.S. Highway 40 to the junction of Maryland Highway 36, as contained in MC–1501 Sub 110 (renumbered MC–1515 Sub 8, not yet reissued) Sheet Nos. 2 and 3. In connection with (3) above, applicant proposes to abandon that portion of its present authority from Baltimore, Md. via Maryland Highway 144 to Ridgeville, Md., as contained in MC–1501 Sub 110 (renumbered MC–1515 Sub 8, not yet reissued) Sheet Nos. 2 and 3, and that portion of its present operating authority from Baltimore, Md. via U.S. Highway 40 to the junction of Maryland Highway 144 as an alternate route for operating convenience only serving no intermediate points as contained in MC–1501 Sub 110 (renumbered MC–1515 Sub 8, not yet reissued) Sheet No. 5. In connection with (5) above, applicant proposes to abandon that portion of its present authority between Easton, Pa. and Bethlehem, Pa. via old U.S. Highway 22 (renumbered Pennsylvania Legislative Route 159) and between Allentown, Pa. and Harrisburg, Pa. via old U.S. Highway 22 (renumbered Pennsylvania Legislative Routes 157, 443, 39084, 975, 721, Pennsylvania Highway 501 and Pennsylvania unnumbered highway) and U.S. Highway 22 as contained in MC–1501 Sub 92 (renumbered MC–1515 Sub 8, not yet reissued) Sheet No. 1. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that operating testimony be held at Washington, D.C., and public witness testimony be held at Harrisburg, Pa.; Baltimore, Md.; and Columbia, S.C.

No. MC 29948 (Sub-No. 9), filed November 14, 1974. Applicant: EMPIRE LINES, INC., W. 1125 Sprague Avenue, Spokane, Wash. 99210. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733 Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular and regular routes, transporting: (A) IRREGULAR ROUTES: (1) *Passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in charter operations, between points in Asotin, Chelan, Douglas, Ferry, Garfield, Grant, Kittitas, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pend Oreille, Spokane, Stevens and Whitman Counties, Wash.; and Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Kootenai, Latah, Nez Perce, and Shoshone Counties, Idaho, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii; and (2) *passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in special, and round trip operations beginning and ending at points in Asotin, Chelan, Douglas, Ferry, Garfield, Grant, Kittitas, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pend Oreille, Spokane, Stevens, and Whitman Counties, Wash.; and Benewah, Bonner, Boundary, Kootenai, Latah, Nez Perce, and Shoshone Counties, Idaho, and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii; and (B) REGULAR ROUTE: *passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, Between Wenatchee and Ellensburg, Wash.: From Wenatchee, Wash. over Washington State Highway 28 to Quincy, Wash., thence over Washington Highway 281 to George, Wash., thence over Interstate Highway 90 to Ellensburg, Wash., and return over the same route serving all intermediate points.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Spokane, Wash.

BROKER APPLICATION(S)

No. MC 130180 (Sub-No. 1), filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: CINCINNATI CONVENTION AND CREATIVE SERVICES, INC., doing business as TOURCRAFTERS, 3 East 4th Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Applicant's representative: Maxwell A. Howell, 1100 Investment Bldg., 1511 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Cincinnati, Ohio, to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *Passengers*, individually and in groups, and *their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, in one-way and round-trip charter and special operations, from points in Hamilton County, Ohio, to points in Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Cincinnati, Ohio.

No. MC 130286, filed December 9, 1974. Applicant: NORTHERN TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., Ripley Road, Center Rutland, Vt. 05736. Applicant's representative: David W. Curtis, 192 College Street, P.O. Box 567, Burlington, Vt. 05401. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Rutland, Vt., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *General commodities*, between points in Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Rutland or Montpelier, Vt.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-650 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

IRREGULAR-ROUTE MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

Elimination of Gateway Letter Notices

JANUARY 6, 1975.

The following letter-notices of proposals to eliminate gateways for the purpose of reducing highway congestion, alleviating air and noise pollution, minimizing safety hazards, and conserving fuel have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Gateway Elimination Rules (49 CFR 1065(a)), and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules.

An original and two copies of protests against the proposed elimination of any gateway herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission on or before January 20, 1975. A copy must also be served upon applicant or its representative. Protests against the elimination of a gateway will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operation.

Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under these rules will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identification. Protests, if any, must refer to such letter-notices by number.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E248), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, the District of Columbia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E349), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E350), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E352), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority

sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E353), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E354), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E355), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Burlington, Iowa or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E356), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E358), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cairo, Ill., or any point in Illinois within 25 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E359), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Tex. 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire. The purpose of this filng is to eliminate the gateway (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; and (3) Hoosick Falls, N.Y.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E360), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Nebraska, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Vermont. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; and (3) Hoosick Falls, N.Y.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E361), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Hoosick Falls, N.Y., (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; and (3) Kansas City, Mo., or any point within 30 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E362), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Wisconsin on and west of a line beginning at the Illinois-Wisconsin State line, thence along U.S. Highway 51 to Jamesville, thence along Wisconsin Highway 26 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence along U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence along U.S. Highway 41, to the Wisconsin-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Hoosick Falls, N.Y.; (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; and (3) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E363), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas on and west of a line beginning at the Texas-Oklahoma State line, thence along U.S. Highway 259 to Lufkin, thence along U.S. Highway 59 to Houston, thence along U.S. Highway 75 to Galveston. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Hoosick Falls, N.Y.; (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; (3) Kansas City, Mo., or any point within 30 miles thereof; and (4) any point in Okmulgee County, Ill.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E364), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Dakota. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Hoosick Falls, N.Y.; (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; (3) Burlington, Iowa, or any point in Iowa within 50 miles thereof, and (4) any point which is both within 35 miles of Alden Minn., and within that part of Minnesota or Iowa on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi River, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Mississippi River.

No. MC 31463 (Sub-No. E365), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in New Hampshire, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Dakota. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Hoosick Falls, N.Y.; (2) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point in Indiana within 40 miles thereof; (3) Burlington, Iowa, or any points in Iowa within 50 miles thereof; and any point which is both within 35 miles of

Alden, Minn., and within that part of Minnesota or Iowa on and south of a line beginning at the Mississippi River, thence along U.S. Highway 16 to junction U.S. Highway 71, thence along U.S. Highway 71 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence along U.S. Highway 20 to the Mississippi River.

No. MC 31462 (Sub-No. E391), filed May 13, 1974. Applicant: PARAMOUNT MOVERS, INC., P.O. Box 309, Lancaster, Texas 75146. Applicant's representative: R. L. Rork (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of West Virginia, on, north and east of a line beginning at the West Virginia-Ohio state line, thence along West Virginia Highway 47 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along U.S. Highway 33 to the West Virginia-Virginia State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of (1) Fort Wayne, Ind., or any point within 40 miles thereof; and (2) points in that part of Missouri which are within 25 miles of Cairo, Ill.

No. MC 83745 (Sub-No. E16) (Correction), filed June 4, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER December 23, 1974. Applicant: BOND TRANSPORT, INC., 4620 Rolling Road, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15236. Applicant's representative: William Lavelle, 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Machinery and such commodities* generally requiring rigging, special equipment, or specialized handling (except articles requiring special vehicular equipment), for over the road movement between Butler, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points on and west of a line beginning at the Ohio-Michigan State line and extending along Interstate Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 68, thence along U.S. Highway 68 to junction Ohio Highway 31, thence along Ohio Highway 31 to junction Ohio Highway 736, thence along Ohio Highway 736 to junction Ohio Highway 161, thence along Ohio Highway 161 to junction U.S. Highway 33, thence along Ohio Highway 33 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence along Interstate Highway 70 to junction Ohio Highway 60 to the West Virginia-Ohio State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E291), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Non-flammable liquid chemicals* (except petroleum products and coal tar products), in bulk, from points in Pennsylvania to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, and Ohio (except points which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Washington, Pa., and Natrium, W. Va.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E297), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry commodities* (except fly ash and cement), in bulk, from points in Kentucky, to points in Hancock, Lucas, Ottama, and Wood Counties, Ohio. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Pataskala, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E306), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, from points in Kentucky to points in that part of New York east of a line beginning at Oswego, thence along New York Highway 57 to its junction with U.S. Highway 11, thence along U.S. Highway 11 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Zanesville, Ohio, and Lewistown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E306A), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in vehicles specially designed for the transportation of dry bulk commodities (except fly ash, salt, cement, and plastic materials), from points in Kentucky, to points in that part of New York west of a line beginning at Oswego, thence along New York Highway 57 to its junction with U.S. Highway 11, thence along New York Highway 11 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Painesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E307), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Kentucky to points in New Jersey and Maryland (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lewistown, Pa., and Zanesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E326), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in West Virginia, to points in that part of Indiana north of U.S. Highway 50. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Pittsburgh, Pa., and Zanesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E327), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in West Virginia, to points in New Jersey and New York (except Chautauqua County). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Pittsburgh, Pa., and Lewistown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E329), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemical*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in New Jersey and New York (except Chautauqua County). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lewistown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E330), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in that part of Illinois on and north of U.S. Highway 50 and in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Zanesville, Ohio, and Fort Wayne, Ind.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E331), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in that part of Pennsylvania east of U.S. Highway 220 (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Riverview, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E332), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in that part of Kentucky west of U.S. Highway 65. The

purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Riverview, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E333), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry plastics*, in bulk, from points in West Virginia, to points in Arkansas, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and that part of Missouri north and west of a line beginning at the Missouri-Illinois State line, thence along Missouri Highway 72 to its junction with U.S. Highway 66, thence along U.S. Highway 66 to its junction with U.S. Highway 65, thence along U.S. Highway 65 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Pataskala, Ohio, and Circleville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E399), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gas, kerosene, diesel engine fuel, fuel oil*, from points in Ohio which within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Tiltonsville, Ohio (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Tiltonsville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E403), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in that part of Ohio on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to its junction with U.S. Highway 30S, thence along U.S. Highway 30S to its junction with U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.), to points in Pennsylvania (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Cleveland, Ohio, and Congo, W. Va.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E405), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in that part of Ohio on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to its junction with U.S. Highway 30S, thence along U.S. Highway 30S to its junction with U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State line (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.), to points in that part of Kentucky west of U.S. Highway 541 (except points in Henderson and Union Counties, Ky.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Cleveland, Ohio, and Condit, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E506), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petro-chemicals* as described in Appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Lucas County, Ohio, to points in that part of Indiana north and west of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to its junction with U.S. Highway 36, thence along U.S. Highway 36 to its junction with U.S. Highway 31, thence along U.S. Highway 31 to the Indiana-Michigan State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Niles, Mich.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E314), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles (except petroleum chemicals), from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Congo, W. Va.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E316), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Landsdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Non-flammable liquids*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, except petroleum and petroleum products other than medicinal petroleum products and liquid wax, and except wine, cider, vinegar, milk, road oil, coal tar, and coal tar products) from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Pennsylvania (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Uniontown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E317), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry commodities*, in bulk (except fly ash, salt, cement, and plastic materials), from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in that part of New York west of U.S. Highway 11 (except Chautauqua County, N.Y.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Painesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E319), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soda ash*, in bulk in tank vehicles, from Nitro, W. Va., to points in that part of Illinois on and north of U.S. Highway 50 and in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Zanesville, Ohio, and Fort Wayne, Ind.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E320), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Litharge, red lead, lead silicates, and lead chemicals*, dry, in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles, from Charleston, W. Va., to points in that part of Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 50 and in Michigan. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Riverview, Ohio, and Zanesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E321), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soda ash*, in bulk, in vehicles specially designed for the transportation of dry bulk commodities and in bulk shipping containers which require the use of special equipment for loading and unloading, from Nitro, W. Va., to points in that part of Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 50 and in Michigan. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Zanesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E322), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soda ash*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Nitro, W. Va., to points in New Jersey and New York (except Chautauqua County, N.Y.). The purpose

of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Lewistown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E323), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime and limestone products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Maryland, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and West Virginia, which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Erie County and Sandusky County, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E324), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry commodities*, in bulk (except fly ash), in vehicles specially designed for the transportation of dry bulk commodities and in bulk shipping containers which require the use of special equipment for loading and unloading, from points in West Virginia which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in Indiana, Michigan, and that part of Kentucky west of U.S. Highway 65. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Zanesville, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E454), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vegetable oils*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Ohio (except Columbus), to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and New Jersey (except Salem and Cumberland Counties). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Boonton, N.J.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E461), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Ironton and South Point, Ohio, to points in Kansas and Missouri (except points in Pemiscot, New Madrid, Dunklin, Mississippi, Scott, and Stoddard Counties). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Fort Wayne, Ind., and Millsdale, Ill.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E490), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vegetable oils and vegetable oil products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Columbus, Ohio, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island (except points within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa.). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Wheeling, W. Va., and Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E490A), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vegetable oils and vegetable oil products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Columbus, Ohio, to points in Pennsylvania within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Chester, W. Va.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E491), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Lake, Licking, Muskingum, Summit, Wayne, and Franklin Counties, Ohio, to points in Kansas and that part of Missouri on the north and east of a line beginning at the Illinois-Missouri State line, thence along Missouri Highway 72 to its junction with U.S. Highway 67, thence along U.S. Highway 67 to the Missouri-Arkansas State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Fort Wayne, Ind., and Millsdale, Ill.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E595), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spent catalyst*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Camden, Carneys Pt., Deepwater, and Gibbsboro, N.J., Elkton and Baltimore, Md., and points in that part of New Jersey north of New Jersey Highway 33, to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Michigan, Louisiana, Kentucky, Texas, Ohio, Oklahoma, West Virginia, Kansas, Minnesota, New York, Wisconsin, Virginia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E597), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Camden, Carneys Point, Deepwater, and Gibbsboro, N.J., to points in that part of Pennsylvania which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Camden, N.J., and Philadelphia and Johnstown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E597A), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in that part of New Jersey north of New Jersey Highway 33, to points in Butler, Cambria, Erie, Lawrence, McKean, Mercer, and Warren Counties, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Philadelphia, Pa., and Camden, N.J.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E597B), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in that part of New Jersey on and north of New Jersey Highway 33, to points in that part of Pennsylvania south of Interstate Highway 80 which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of points in Cambria County, Pa., Camden, N.J., and Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E612), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Maryland, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in that part of Kansas north and west of a line beginning at the Kansas-Nebraska State line, thence along U.S. Highway 77 to its junction with U.S. Highway 54, thence along U.S. Highway 54 to the Kansas-Oklahoma State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Natrium, W. Va., and Millsdale, Ill.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E613), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in New Jersey, to points in that part of Pennsylvania south and west of U.S. Highway 322 which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Philadelphia, Pa., and Johnstown, Pa.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E614), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*,

by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in that part of Kentucky east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Tennessee State line, thence along U.S. Highway 25E to its junction with Kentucky Highway 11, thence along Kentucky Highway 11 to the Kentucky-Ohio State line, to points in that part of Illinois north of a line beginning at the Illinois-Indiana State line, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to its junction with Illinois Highway 116, thence along Illinois Highway 116 to its junction with U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to the Illinois-Iowa State line (except points in Grundy, Kankakee, and Livingston Counties), and in Wisconsin. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Fort Wayne, Ind., Defiance, Ohio, and Patskala, Ohio.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E615), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in that part of Pennsylvania west of U.S. Highway 219 which are within 150 miles of Monongahela, Pa., to points in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Pt. Pleasant, W. Va.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. E616), filed May 29, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., 10 W. Baltimore Ave., Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: John Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry plastics and dry resins*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Camden, N.J., to points in New York (except points in Queens, Nassau, Suffolk, Westchester, Rockland, Putnam, Orange, and Dutchess Counties). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Pottstown, Pa.

No. MC 114004 (Sub-No. E7), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: CHANDLER TRAILER CONVOY, INC., P.O. Box 1715, Little Rock, Ark. 72203. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 North St. Asaph Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Buildings*, in sections, moving on wheeled undercarriages, from the facilities of Fleetwood Homes of Mississippi, Inc., at Lexington, Miss., to points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado, Wyoming, Montana, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, California, Utah, New Mexico, and Arizona. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Cabot, and West Memphis, Ark., and points in Mississippi and Pulaski Counties, Ark.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E195), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe* (other than pipe used in or in connection with the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas, and petroleum and their products and by-products) and *fittings and accessories* therefore when moving with such pipe from points in that part of Indiana on and south of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line, thence along U.S. Highway 40 to the Indiana-Ohio State line and points in that part of Kentucky on and west of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Ohio State line, thence along U.S. Highway 127 to the Kentucky-Tennessee State line to points in Utah and Idaho and points in that part of Arizona on and west of a line beginning at the Arizona-Colorado State line, thence along U.S. Highway 160 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence along Interstate Highway 40 to junction Arizona Highway 87, thence along Arizona Highway 87 to junction Arizona Highway 188, thence along Arizona Highway 188 to junction Arizona Highway 88, thence along Arizona Highway 88 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to junction Arizona Highway 77, thence along Arizona Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 89, thence along U.S. Highway 89 to the Arizona-Mexican International Boundary line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site—Griffin Pipe Co.—Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E205), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe and fittings* therefore when moving with such pipe points in that part of Missouri on and north of a line beginning at the Kansas-Missouri State line, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence along U.S. Highway 63 to junction Missouri Highway 68, thence along Missouri Highway 68 to junction Missouri Highway 8, thence along Missouri Highway 8 to junction Missouri Highway 21, thence along Missouri Highway 21 to junction Missouri Highway 72, thence along Missouri Highway 72 to junction Missouri Highway 25, thence along Missouri Highway 25 to junction Missouri Highway 77, thence along Missouri Highway 77 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence along U.S. Highway 60 to the Missouri-Illinois State line to points in Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, and those points in that part of Nebraska on and north of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Iowa State line, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction Nebraska Highway 92, thence along Nebraska Highway 92 to junction U.S. Highway 30, thence along U.S. Highway 30 to junction U.S. Highway 281, thence along U.S. Highway 281 to junction U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to the Nebraska-Colorado State line and to points in that part of Colorado on and north of a line beginning at the Nebraska-Colorado State line, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence along Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to junction Colorado Highway 82, thence along Colorado Highway 82 to junction Colorado Highway 133, thence along Colorado Highway 133 to junction Colorado Highway 92, thence along Colorado Highway 92 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence along U.S. Highway 50 to junction Colorado Highway 90, thence along Colorado Highway 90 to junction Colorado Highway 141, thence along Colorado Highway 141 to junction U.S. Highway 666, thence along U.S. Highway 666 to the Colorado-Utah State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site—Griffin Pipe Co.—Council Bluffs, Iowa.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. E207), filed June 4, 1974. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Nelson (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cast iron pressure pipe* (other than pipe used in or in connection with the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas, and petroleum and their products, and by-products) and *fittings and accessories* therefor when moving with such pipe from points in West Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, and to points in that part of North Carolina on and east of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line, thence along U.S. Highway 321 to junction U.S. Highway 421, thence along U.S. Highway 421 to junction North Carolina Highway 16, thence along North Carolina Highway 16 to junction North Carolina Highway 90, thence along North Carolina Highway 90 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction North Carolina Highway 49, thence along North Carolina Highway 49 to junction U.S. Highway 220, thence along U.S. Highway 220 to junction North Carolina Highway 211, thence along North Carolina Highway 211 to junction U.S. Highway 401, thence along U.S. Highway 401 to junction North Carolina Highway 87, thence along North Carolina Highway 87 to junction U.S. Highway 74, thence along U.S. Highway 74 to Wilmington, N.C., and to points in that part of Virginia on and east of a line beginning at the Kentucky-Virginia State line, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 58, thence along Alternate U.S. Highway 58 to junction U.S. Highway 19, thence along U.S. Highway 19 to junction Interstate Highway

81, thence along Interstate Highway 81 to the Virginia-Kentucky State line and to points in that part of Ohio on and east of a line beginning at Sandusky, Ohio, thence along Ohio Highway 4 to junction Ohio Highway 98, thence along Ohio Highway 98 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to the Ohio-Kentucky State line. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of the plant site, Griffin Pipe Co., Council Bluffs, Iowa.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-811 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JANUARY 6, 1975.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before January 24, 1975.

FSA No. 42923—*Industrial Sand to Points in Southern Territory.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. B-494), for interested rail carriers. Rates on sand, industrial, in carloads, as described in the application, from specified points in Arkansas, Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas, to points in southern territory.

Ground for relief—Revision of rate structure and rate relationship.

Tariff—Supplement 44 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 162-Y, I.C.C. No. 5103. Rates are published to become effective on January 30, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-815 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 212]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 9, 1975.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before January 29, 1975. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC FC 75239. By order of December 16, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board on reconsideration approved the transfer to North Coast Express Co., a corporation, Portland, Oreg., of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 134310 issued October 15, 1974, to Ed Stortz and Edwin Stortz, a partnership, doing business as Highway Fuel Company, Salem, Oreg., authorizing the transportation of wood residuals between points in Marion, Polk, Linn, and Yamhill Counties, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Clark and Cowlitz Counties, Wash. Lawrence V. Smart, 419 NW. 23rd Avenue, Portland, Oreg. 97210 Attorney for applicants.

No. MC FC 75529. By order of December 24, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Floyd R. Wangerin and Lorraine C. Wangerin, a partnership, doing business as Wangerin Trucking Co., Stephenson, Mich., of the operating rights in Certificates Nos. MC 123263, MC 123263 (Sub-No. 1), MC 123263 (Sub-No. 3), and MC 123263 (Sub-No. 5) issued May 25, 1962, July 28, 1965, June 19, 1969, and September 21, 1971, to Belgium Trucking Co., Inc., Belgium, Wis., authorizing the transportation of animal and poultry feed specialities in bulk, and in bulk and bags in mixed shipments, from Davenport, Iowa, to points in Wisconsin, the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, and Wadsworth, Ill., and from Fond du Lac, Wis., to points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan; dry animal and poultry feed, in bulk and in bags, from Fond du Lac, Wis., to Circleville, Ohio, points in that part of Illinois on and north of Interstate Highway 80, and points in Iowa and Minnesota; and dry animal and poultry feed concentrates, from Bloomington, Monmouth, and Mt. Pulaski, Ill., and Richmond, Ind., to points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, and from Fond du Lac, Wis., to points in Ohio. John Duncan Varda, Box 2509, Madison, Wis. 53701, Attorney for applicants.

No. MC FC 75533. By order of December 16, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Robert Frank Deason, doing business as Deason Grain Co., Lewisburg, Tenn., of the operating rights in Permit No. MC 134121 (Sub-No. 2) issued October 23, 1970, to Charles Deason and Frank Deason, a partnership, doing business as Deason Brothers, Lewisburg, Tenn., authorizing the transportation of animal feed blocks from Pulaski, Tenn. to points in Kentucky and Ohio. Roland M. Lowell, 618 Hamilton Bank Bldg., Nashville, Tenn., 37219 Attorney for applicants.

No. MC FC 75584. By order of December 17, 1974, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Bolster Movers, Inc. Brattleboro, Vt., of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 106495 issued October 7, 1953, to Robert E. Bolster, doing business as Bolster Movers, Brattleboro, Vt., authorizing the transpotration of various commodities from, to, and between specified points and areas in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. John G. Kristensen, 5 Grove St., Brattleboro, Vt., 05301 Attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-812 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. 241; Rule 19; Seventeenth Rev. Exemption No. 12]

ATLANTIC AND WESTERN RAILWAY ET AL.

Exemption Under Mandatory Car Service Rules

JANUARY 3, 1975.

It appearing, That the railroads named herein own numerous plain boxcars; that under present conditions, there is virtually no demand for these cars on the lines of the car owners; that return of these cars to the car owners would result in their being stored idle on these lines; that such cars can be used by other carriers for transporting traffic offered for shipments to points remote from the car owners; and that compliance with Car Service Rules 1 and 2 prevents such use of plain boxcars owned by the railroads listed herein, resulting in unnecessary loss of utilization of such cars.

It is ordered, That pursuant to the authority vested in me by Car Service Rule 19, plain boxcars described in the Official Railway Equipment Register, I.C.C. R.E.R. No. 393, issued by W. J. Trezise, or successive issues thereof, as having mechanical designation XM, and bearing reporting marks assigned to the railroads named below, shall be exempt from the provisions of Car Service Rules 1(a), 2(a), 2(b).

Atlantic and Western Railway Reporting marks: ATW.

Chicago & Illinois Midland Railway Company Reporting marks: CIM.

*Fonda, Johnstown and Gloversville Railroad Company Reporting marks: FJG.

Minneapolis, Northfield and Southern Railway Reporting marks: MNS.

Pickens Railroad Company Reporting marks: PICK.

Roscoe, Snyder and Pacific Railway Company Reporting marks: RSP.

Wellsville, Addison & Galeton Railroad Corporation Reporting marks: WAG.

Effective December 23, 1974, and continuing in effect until further order of this Commission.

Issued at Washington, D.C., December 23, 1974.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] R. D. PFAHLER, *Agent.*

[FR Doc.75-813 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

federal register

THURSDAY, JANUARY 9, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 6

PART II

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

■

ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Effluent Guidelines and Standards

Title 40—Protection of the Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER N—EFFLUENT GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

[FRL 315-5]

PART 427—ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

On August 29, 1974, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 31592), that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) was proposing effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources within the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory, the solvent recovery subcategory, the vapor absorption subcategory, and the wet dust collection subcategory of the asbestos manufacturing category of point sources.

The purpose of this notice is to establish final effluent limitations and guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources in the asbestos manufacturing category of point sources by amending 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N, Part 427 by adding thereto the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory (Subpart H), the solvent recovery subcategory (Subpart I), the vapor absorption subcategory (Subpart J) and the wet dust collection subcategory (Subpart K). This final rulemaking is promulgated pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306 (b) and (c) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the Act); 33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316 (b) and (c) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500. A regulation regarding cooling water intake structures for all categories of point sources under section 316(b) of the Act will be promulgated in 40 CFR 402.

In addition, the EPA is simultaneously proposing a separate provision which appears in the proposed rules section of the FEDERAL REGISTER, stating the application of the limitations and standards set forth below to users of publicly owned treatment works which are subject to pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act. The basis of that proposed regulation is set forth in the associated notice of proposed rulemaking.

The legal basis, methodology and factual conclusions which support promulgation of this regulation were set forth in substantial detail in the notice of public review procedures published August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202) and in the notice of proposed rulemaking for the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory, the solvent recovery subcategory, the vapor absorption subcategory, and the wet dust collection subcategory. In addition, the regulation as proposed was supported by two other documents: (1) The document entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Textile, Friction Materials and Sealing Devices Segment of the Asbestos Manufacturing Point Source Category" (August 1974) and (2) the document entitled "Economic Impact of 1972 Federal Water Pollution Control Amendments on the Asbestos Industry" (August 1974). Both of these documents were made available to the public and circulated to interested persons at approximately the time of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking.

Interested persons were invited to participate in the rulemaking by submitting written comments within 30 days from the date of publication. Prior public participation in the form of solicited comments and responses from the States, Federal agencies, and other interested parties were described in the preamble to the proposed regulation. The EPA has considered carefully all of the comments received and a discussion of these comments with the Agency's response thereto follows.

a. Summary of comments. The following responded to the request for written comments contained in the preamble to the proposed regulation: Asbestos Information Association/North America and Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc.

Each of the comments received was carefully reviewed and analyzed. The following is a summary of the significant comments and the Agency's response to them.

1. Several comments were made which questioned the appropriateness of carbon absorption columns as the best available technology economically achievable (BATEA) for the solvent recovery subcategory on the basis of both costs and benefits of the waste reduction attained through use of the technology.

Review of all available data indicates that for the larger facilities within the solvent recovery subcategory the use of carbon absorption columns as an added waste treatment step would remove approximately 4.5 pounds per day of chemical oxygen demand at a cost of more than $25.00 per pound. Comparable waste reductions for the other technologies proposed for the various subcategories range from 25 to 200 pounds per day with costs ranging from $0.25 to $2.00 per pound.

In consideration of the very limited benefits attained and the extremely high cost per unit of waste reduction, the use of carbon absorption columns has been eliminated from BATEA. The guidelines for BATEA in the solvent recovery subcategory thus become the same as those for best practicable control technology currently available (BPCTCA).

2. One comment was made which questioned the imposition of zero discharge limitations for the wet dust collection subcategory that are based on a BATEA entailing replacement of wet scrubbers with dry collection systems.

A reexamination of all pertinent information reaffirms the reasonableness of the proposed limitations. Most facilities in the affected segment of the asbestos industry currently employ dry dust collection. Dry dust collection systems more effectively control atmospheric emissions. Waste control is attained at lower costs per unit of reduction and reduced energy requirements through use of dry dust collection systems. And lastly, by the effective date for BATEA (July 1, 1983) many of the existing wet scrubbers will have neared the end of their expected lifetime (25 years), thus reducing the true economic impact of replacement.

(3) The comment was made that the limitations should be expressed in unit loadings rather than concentrations to make them more definitive and to parallel those of guidelines for other industries.

In the effluent limitations guidelines herewith promulgated, this has been accomplished by expressing the limitations in terms of weight of pollutants per weight of finished coated textiles for the solvent recovery subcategory and weight of pollutants per unit of air scrubbed for the wet dust collection subcategory.

b. *Revision of the proposed regulations prior to promulgation.* As a result of public comments and continuing review and evaluation of the proposed regulation by the EPA, the following changes have been made in the regulation.

(1) The applicable technology and limitations for BATEA within the solvent recovery subcategory have been modified to be equal to those of BPCTCA.

2. Limitations for specific pollutants are expressed in terms of unit loadings, not in concentrations as was the case in the proposed regulations.

c. *Economic impact.* The economic impact of the limitations for the textiles, friction materials and sealing devices segment of the asbestos manufacturing point source category is very limited. Since many of the operations (e.g., textile weaving and sealing devices manufacture) are representative of dry industry and since much of the waste water from wet operations is discharged to municipal systems, only five plants are affected. Affected plants include one sheet gasket material plant and four friction materials plants. None of the affected plants is expected to close due to the economic impact of the limitations.

For the various segments of the industry the estimated net after tax returns as percent of sales are: textiles, 3.3 percent; friction materials, 3.0 percent; and sealing devices, 5.0 percent. For implementation of BPCTCA (1977) limitations, one sheet gasket plant in the solvent recovery subcategory incurs costs equal to 0.7 percent of sales. For implementation of BATEA (1983) limitations, four friction materials plants in the wet dust collection subcategory incur costs ranging from 0.05 to 1.2 percent of sales. It is believed that most of the waste control costs can be recovered by increased prices. Complete recovery would require price increases of less than 1.5 percent for any affected plant.

d. Cost-benefit analysis. The detrimental effects of the constituents of waste waters now discharged by point

sources within the textile, friction materials and sealing devices segment of the asbestos manufacturing point source category are discussed in Section VI of the report entitled "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines for the Textile, Friction Materials and Sealing Devices Manufacturing Segment of the Asbestos Manufacturing Point Source Category" (August 1974). It is not feasible to quantify in economic terms, particularly on a national basis, the costs resulting from the discharge of these pollutants to our Nation's waterways. Nevertheless, as indicated in Section VI, the pollutants discharged have substantial and damaging impacts on the quality of water and therefore on its capacity to support healthy populations of wildlife, fish and other aquatic wildlife and on its suitability for industrial, recreational and drinking water supply uses.

The total cost of implementing the effluent limitations includes the direct capital and operating costs of the pollution control technology employed to achieve compliance and the indirect economic and environmental costs identified in Section VIII and in the supplementary report entitled "Economic Impact of 1972 Federal Water Pollution Control Amendments on the Asbestos Industry" (August 1974). Implementing the limitations will substantially reduce the environmental harm which would otherwise be attributable to the continued discharge of polluted waste waters from existing and newly constructed plants in the asbestos manufacturing industry. The Agency believes that the benefits of thus reducing the pollutants discharged justify the associated costs which, though substantial in absolute terms, represent a relatively small percentage of the total capital investment in the industry.

e. *Publication of information on processes, procedures, or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants.* In conformance with the requirements of Section 304(c) of the Act, a manual entitled, "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Textile, Friction Materials and Sealing Devices Manufacturing Segment of the Asbestos Manufacturing Point Source Category," will be published and will be available for purchase from the Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 for a nominal fee.

Copies of the economic analysis document previously cited will be available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

f. *Final rulemaking.* In consideration of the foregoing, 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N, Part 427, Asbestos Manufacturing Point Source Category, is hereby amended by adding additional subparts H, I, J and K to read as set forth below. This regulation is being promulgated pursuant to an order of the Federal District Court for the District of Columbia entered in Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Train (Cv. No. 1609–73). That order requires that effluent limitations requiring the application of best practicable control technology currently available for this industry be effective upon publication. Accordingly, good cause is found for the final regulation promulgated below establishing best practicable control technology currently available for each subpart to be effective January 9, 1975.

The final regulation promulgated below establishing the best available technology economically achievable, the standards of performance for new sources and the new source pretreatment standards shall become effective February 10, 1975.

Dated: December 27, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

Subpart H—Coating or Finishing of Asbestos Textiles Subcategory

Sec.
427.80 Applicability; description of the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory.
427.81 Specialized definitions.
427.82 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
427.83 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
427.84 [Reserved]
427.85 Standards of performance for new sources.
427.86 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart I—Solvent Recovery Subcategory

427.90 Applicability; description of the solvent recovery subcategory.
427.91 Specialized definitions.
427.92 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
427.93 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
427.94 [Reserved]
427.95 Standards of performance for new sources.
427.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart J—Vapor Absorption Subcategory

427.100 Applicability; description of the vapor absorption subcategory.
427.101 Specialized definitions.
427.102 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
427.103 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
427.104 [Reserved]
427.105 Standards of performance for new sources.
427.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart K—Wet Dust Collection

427.110 Applicability; description of the wet dust collection subcategory.
427.111 Specialized definitions.
427.112 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
427.113 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
427.114 [Reserved]
427.115 Standards of performance for new sources.
427.116 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

AUTHORITY: Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816 et seq. (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314(b) and (c), 1316(b) and (c) and 1317(c)).

Subpart H—Coating or Finishing of Asbestos Textiles

§ 427.80 Applicability; description of the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the process of coating or impregnating asbestos textiles with materials which impart specific desired qualities to the finished product.

§ 427.81 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR Part 401 shall apply to this subpart.

§ 427.82 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will

make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.83 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.84 [Reserved]

§ 427.85 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.86 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR Part 128, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters) shall be the same standard as set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	Do.
COD	Do.
TSS	No limitation.

Subpart I—Solvent Recovery Subcategory

§ 427.90 Applicability; description of the solvent recovery subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the process of solvent recovery in the manufacture of asbestos products.

§ 427.91 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR Part 401 shall apply to this subpart.

§ 427.92 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of finished asbestos product		
COD	0.30	0.15
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lbs/1000 lbs of finished asbestos product		
COD	0.30	0.15
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 427.93 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of finished asbestos product		
COD	0.30	0.15
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lbs/1000 lbs of finished asbestos product		
COD	0.30	0.15
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 427.94 [Reserved]

§ 427.95 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations: Maximum for any one day	Effluent limitations: Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/kkg of finished asbestos product		
COD	0.30	0.15
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lbs/1000 lbs of finished asbestos product		
COD	0.30	0.15
TSS	0.18	0.09
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 427.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the solvent recovery subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR Part 128, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

Subpart J—Vapor Absorption

§ 427.100 Applicability; description of the vapor absorption subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the removal of volatilized organic materials from atmospheric emissions by means of wet scrubbers.

§ 427.101 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations, and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR Part 401 shall apply to this subpart.

§ 427.102 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop, and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.103 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.104 [Reserved]

§ 427.105 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the vapor absorption subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR Part 128, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

Subpart K—Wet Dust Collection

§ 427.110 Applicability; description of the wet dust collection subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the removal of dust (particulates) from atmospheric emissions by means of wet scrubbers.

§ 427.111 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart:

(a) Except as provided below, the general definitions, abbreviations and methods of analysis set forth in 40 CFR Part 401 shall apply to this subpart.

§ 427.112 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

(a) In establishing the limitations set forth in this section, EPA took into account all information it was able to collect, develop and solicit with respect to factors (such as age and size of plant, raw materials, manufacturing processes, products produced, treatment technology available, energy requirements and costs) which can affect the industry subcategorization and effluent levels established. It is, however, possible that data which would affect these limitations have not been available and, as a result, these limitations should be adjusted for certain plants in this industry. An individual discharger or other interested person may submit evidence to the Regional Administrator (or to the State, if the State has the authority to issue NPDES permits) that factors relating to the equipment or facilities involved, the process applied, or other such factors related to such discharger are fundamentally different from the factors considered in the establishment of the guidelines. On the basis of such evidence or other available information, the Regional Administrator (or the State) will make a written finding that such factors are or are not fundamentally different for that facility compared to those specified in the Development Document. If such fundamentally different factors are

found to exist, the Regional Administrator or the State shall establish for the discharger effluent limitations in the NPDES permit either more or less stringent than the limitations established herein, to the extent dictated by such fundamentally different factors. Such limitations must be approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Administrator may approve or disapprove such limitations, specify other limitations, or initiate proceedings to revise these regulations.

(b) The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best practicable control technology currently available:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for any one day	Average of daily values for thirty consecutive days shall not exceed
(Metric units) kg/1000 std cu m of air scrubbed		
TSS	0.08	0.04
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	
(English units) lbs/MM std cu ft of air scrubbed		
TSS	5.0	2.50
pH	Within the range 6.0 to 9.0.	

§ 427.113 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart after application of the best available technology economically achievable: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.114 [Reserved]

§ 427.115 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following standards of performance establish the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged by a new source subject to the provisions of this subpart: there shall be no discharge of waste water pollutants to navigable waters.

§ 427.116 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act for a new source within the wet dust collection subcategory which is a user of a publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR 128, for existing sources (and which would be a new point source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the same standard as set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132 and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties, controlled by this section, which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a new point source subject to the provisions of this subpart.

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standard*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

[FR Doc.75-268 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

Environmental Protection Agency

[40 CFR Part 427]

[FRL 315-6]

ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY; PRETREATMENT STANDARDS FOR EXISTING SOURCES

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 307(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the Act); 33 U.S.C. 1251 1317(b); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500, that the proposed regulation set forth below proposes pretreatment standards for pollutants introduced into publicly owned treatment works. The proposal will amend 40 CFR Part 427, Asbestos Manufacturing Point Source Category, establishing for the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory, the solvent recovery subcategory, the vapor absorption subcategory, and the wet dust collection subcategory therein the extent of application of effluent limitations guidelines to existing sources which discharge to publicly owned treatment works. The regulation is intended to be complementary to the general regulation for pretreatment standards set forth at 40 CFR Part 128. The general regulation was proposed July 19, 1973 (38 FR 19236), and published in final form on November 8, 1973 (38 FR 30982).

The proposed regulation is also intended to supplement a final regulation being simultaneously promulgated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) which provides effluent limitations and guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources within the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles, the solvent recovery, vapor absorption and the wet dust collection subcategories of the asbestos manufacturing point source category. The latter regulation applies to the portion of a discharge which is directed to the navigable waters. The regulation proposed below applies to users of publicly owned treatment works which fall within the description of the point source category to which the limitations and standards (40 CFR 427) promulgated simultaneously apply. However, the proposed regulation applies to the introduction of pollutants which are directed into a publicly owned treatment works, rather than to discharges of pollutants to navigable waters.

The general pretreatment standard divides pollutants discharged by users of publicly owned treatment works into two broad categories: "compatible" and "incompatible." Compatible pollutants are generally not subject to pretreatment standards. However, 40 CFR 128.131 (prohibited wastes) may be applicable to compatible pollutants. Additionally, local pretreatment requirements may apply (see 40 CFR 128.110). Incompatible pollutants are subject generally to pretreatment standards as provided in 40 CFR 128.133.

The regulation proposed below is intended to implement that portion of § 128.133, above, requiring that a separate provision be made stating the application to pretreatment standards of effluent limitations guidelines based upon best practicable control technology currently available.

Questions were raised during the public comment period on the proposed general pretreatment standard (40 CFR Part 128) about the propriety of applying a standard based upon best practicable control technology currently available to all plants subject to pretreatment standards. In general, EPA believes the analysis supporting the effluent limitations guidelines is adequate to make a determination regarding the application of those standards to users of publicly owned treatment works. However, to ensure that those standards are appropriate in all cases, EPA now seeks additional comments focusing upon the application of effluent limitations guidelines to users of publicly owned treatment works.

Sections 427.86, 427.96, 427.106 and 427.116 of the proposed regulation for point sources within the coating or finishing of asbestos textile, the solvent recovery, the vapor absorption, and the wet dust collection subcategories (August 29, 1974; 39 FR 31592), contained the proposed pretreatment standard for new sources. The regulation promulgated simultaneously herewith contains sections 427.86, 427.96, 427.106 and 427.116 which state the applicability of standards of performance for purposes of pretreatment standard for new sources.

A preliminary Development Document was made available to the public at approximately the time of publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking and the final Development Document entitled "Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the Textile, Friction Materials and Sealing Devices Segment of the Asbestos Manufacturing Point Source Category" is now being published. The economic analysis report entitled "Economic Impact of 1972 Federal Water Pollution Control Amendments on the Asbestos Industry" (August 1974) was made available at the time of proposal. Copies of the final Development Document and economic analysis report will continue to be maintained for inspection and copying during the comment period at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. Copies will also be available for inspection at EPA regional offices and at State water pollution control agency offices. Copies of the Development Document may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Copies of the economic analysis report will be available for purchase through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

The Development Document referred to above contains information available to the Agency concerning the major environmental effects of the regulation proposed below. The information includes: (1) the identification of pollutants present in waste waters resulting from the manufacture of asbestos textiles, friction materials and sealing devices, the characteristics of these pollutants, and the degree of pollutant reduction obtainable through implementation of the proposed standard; and (2) the anticipated effects on other aspects of the environment (including air, subsurface waters, solid waste disposal and land use, and noise) of the treatment technologies available to meet the standard proposed.

The Development Document and the economic analysis report referred to above also contain information available to the Agency regarding the estimated cost and energy consumption implications of those treatment technologies and the potential effects of those costs on the price and production of asbestos textiles, friction materials and sealing devices. To the extent possible, significant aspects of the material have been presented in summary form in the preamble to the proposed regulation containing effluent limitations guidelines, new source performance standards and pretreatment standards for new sources within the asbestos manufacturing point source category (39 FR 31592; August 29, 1974). Additional discussion is contained in the analysis of public comments on the proposed regulation and the Agency's response to those comments. This discussion appears in the preamble to the promulgated regulation (40 CFR Part 427) which currently is being published in the Rules and Regulations section of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The options available to the Agency in establishing the level of pollutant reduction obtainable through the best practicable control technology currently available, and the reasons for the particular level of reduction selected are discussed in the documents discribed above. In applying the effluent limitations guidelines to pretreatment standards for the introduction of incompatible pollutants into municipal systems by existing sources in the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles, the solvent recovery, the vapor absorption and the wet dust collection subcategories, the Agency has, essentially three options. The first is to allow unrestricted discharge to publicly owned treatment works of materials known to be adequately treated in such works (commonly classed as compatible pollutants). The second is to require the application BPT based (1977) limitations to those pollutants which interfere with, pass through or otherwise are incompatible with such works. The third is to establish a different discharge limitation for those pollutants which are treated to a known degree in publicly owned treatment works but such treatment is relatively inadequate.

The pollutants contained in the waste waters (suspended solids and non-refractory organic materials) are readily treated in typical publicly owned treatment works and should not require specific pretreatment. It is noted that most facilities in the textiles, friction materials

and sealing devices segment of the asbestos industry are dry operations or discharge to publicly owned systems with no problems reported. Therefore, the first option is applicable and unrestricted discharge of these pollutants to publicly owned treatment works is allowed.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which are available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data are essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the Agency in establishing pretreatment standards for existing sources, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304 and 307(b) of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. The EPA information regulation, 40 CFR 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby proposed that 40 CFR Part 427 be amended to add §§ 427.84, 427.94, 427.104, 427.114 as set forth below. All comments received on or before February 10, 1975 will be considered.

Date: December 27, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

Part 427 is proposed to be amended as set forth below:

Subpart H is amended by adding § 427.84 as follows:

§ 427.84 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under Section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the coating or finishing of asbestos textiles subcategory which is a user of publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR 128 (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart.

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standards*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

Subpart I is amended by adding § 427.94 as follows:

§ 427.94 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the solvent recovery subcategory which is a user of publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR Part 128 (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart.

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standards*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

Subpart J is amended by adding § 427.104 as follows:

§ 427.104 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the vapor absorption subcategory which is a user of publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR Part 128 (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart.

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standards*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

Subpart K is amended by adding § 427.114 as follows:

§ 427.114 Pretreatment standards for existing sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(b) of the Act for a source within the wet dust collection subcategory which is a user of publicly owned treatment works and a major contributing industry as defined in 40 CFR 128 (and which would be an existing point source subject to section 301 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to the navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in 40 CFR Part 128, except that, for the purpose of this section, 40 CFR 128.121, 128.122, 128.132, and 128.133 shall not apply. The following pretreatment standard establishes the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties controlled by this section which may be discharged to a publicly owned treatment works by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart.

Pollutant or pollutant property	*Pretreatment standards*
pH	No limitation.
COD	Do.
TSS	Do.

[FR Doc.75-269 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

federal register

THURSDAY, JANUARY 9, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 6

PART III

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

■

FOOD STAMPS

Republication of Certain Regulations

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOOD STAMPS

Republication of Certain Regulations

In view of the numerous amendments to the regulations governing the operation of the Food Stamp Program, the Department of Agriculture is issuing an editorial republication of Parts 270–274 of the Food Stamp Program Regulations, 7 CFR Parts 270–274. This republication, which contains no substantive changes, supersedes any previous Food Stamp Program Regulations and amendments issued thereto relevant to these Parts. New Part 275, which is an amendment to the Food Stamp Program Regulations, issued at 39 FR 43691, is not affected by this republication.

SUBCHAPTER C—FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

Part
270 General information and definitions.
271 Participation of State agencies and eligible households.
272 Participation of retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, meal services, and banks.
273 Administrative and judicial review—food retailers, food wholesalers, and meal services.
274 Emergency food assistance for victims of disasters.

PART 270—GENERAL INFORMATION AND DEFINITIONS

Sec.
270.1 General purpose and scope.
270.2 Definitions.
270.3 Administration.
270.4 Coupons as obligations of the United States, crimes and offenses.
270.5 Miscellaneous provisions.

AUTHORITY: 78 Stat. 703, as amended (7 U.S.C. 2011–2026).

§ 270.1 General purpose and scope.

(a) Section 2 of the Food Stamp Act states:

It is hereby declared to be the policy of Congress, in order to promote the general welfare, that the Nation's abundance of food should be utilized cooperatively by the States, the Federal Government, local governmental units, and other agencies to safeguard the health and well-being of the Nation's population and raise levels of nutrition among low-income households. The Congress hereby finds that the limited food purchasing power of low-income households contributes to hunger and malnutrition among members of such households. The Congress further finds that increased utilization of food in establishing and maintaining adequate national levels of nutrition will promote the distribution in a beneficial manner of our agricultural abundances and will strengthen our agricultural economy, as well as result in more orderly marketing and distribution of food. To alleviate such hunger and malnutrition, a food stamp program is herein authorized which will permit low-income households to purchase a nutritionally adequate diet through normal channels of trade.

(b) This Part 270 contains general information, definitions, and other material applicable to all parts of this subchapter. Part 271 of this subchapter sets forth policies and procedures governing the manner in which State agencies desiring to participate in the program will carry out the administrative responsibilities assumed by them under the provisions of the Food Stamp Act, and further prescribes the manner in which eligible households can obtain and use coupons issued to them by such State agencies. Part 272 of this subchapter sets forth additional terms and conditions relating to the participation of retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, meal services, and banks. Part 273 of this subchapter sets forth the procedure for an administrative and judicial review requested by food retailers, food wholesalers, and meal services. Part 274 of this subchapter sets forth the procedure for issuing emergency coupon allotments to households unable to purchase adequate amounts of food due to disaster.

§ 270.2 Definitions.

(a) "Affidavit" means a signed statement, in a form approved by FNS, executed by the head of the household, or his authorized representative, who is making application for participation in the program on behalf of a household in which all members are included in a federally aided public assistance or general assistance grant. The affidavit may be included in the appropriate federally aided public assistance or general assistance application.

(b) "Agency" means that agency of the State government which has the responsibility for the administration of the federally aided public assistance programs within the State and does not include any counterpart local agency administering such programs.

(c) "Application for Participation" means the application to participate in the program, in a form approved by FNS, which is executed by the head of the household, or his authorized representative, on behalf of a household other than one in which all members are included in a federally aided public assistance or general assistance grant.

(d) "Application form" means any one of FNS forms, "Retailer Application for Authorization to Participate in the Food Stamp Program," or "Meal Service Application for Authorization to Participate in the Food Stamp Program for Communal Dining Facilities, Drug Addiction or Alcoholic Treatment and Rehabilitation Programs, and Nonprofit Meal Delivery Services," or "Wholesaler Application for Authorization to Participate in the Food Stamp Program," as required by the context.

(e) "ATP" means an authorization-to-purchase card which is issued by the State agency to an eligible household to show the face value of the coupon allotment the household is entitled to receive on presentation of such document and the amount to be paid by such household for such allotment.

(f) "Authorization" means the approval by FNS of retail food stores, meal services, and wholesale food concerns to participate in the program.

(g) "Authorization card" means the FNS form which evidences approval of a retail food store, a meal service, or a wholesale food concern to participate in the program.

(h) "Authorized representative" means a person designated by the head of the household to act in his behalf in the purchase and use of coupons, and under certain conditions to act in his behalf in making application for the program. It shall also mean a private nonprofit organization or institution conducting a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation center which acts on behalf of households defined in paragraph (jj)(3) of this section.

(i) "Bank" means member and nonmember banks of the Federal Reserve System.

(j) "Boarder" means an individual to whom a household furnishes meals, or lodging and meals, for compensation at a monthly rate at least equal to the value of the monthly coupon allotment for a one-person household.

(k) "Boarding house" means a place where three or more individuals are furnished meals or lodging and meals for compensation.

(l) "Certification determinations" means action necessary to determine the eligibility of households other than those which consist solely of recipients of federally aided public assistance or general assistance. Such action includes interviews, verification, approval, denial, quality control verification, field investigation, analyses and corrective action necessary to insure the prompt, efficient and correct certification of eligible households.

(m) "Communal dining facility" means senior citizens' centers, apartment buildings occupied primarily by elderly persons, any public or nonprofit private school which prepares meals especially for elderly persons, any public or nonprofit private eating establishment which prepares meals especially for elderly persons during special hours, and any other public or nonprofit private establishment approved by FNS which prepares and serves meals and meets the requirements of § 272.1 of this subchapter. It shall also mean a private establishment which is under contract with an appropriate State or local agency to offer, at concessional prices, meals prepared especially for elderly persons during regular or special hours and which meets the requirements of § 272.1 of this subchapter.

(n) "Coupon" means any coupon, stamp, or type of certificate issued pursuant to the provisions of this subchapter for the purchase of eligible food.

(o) "Coupon allotment" means the total value of coupons a household is authorized to receive during each month or other time period.

(p) "Department" means the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

(q) "Drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program" means any drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program conducted by a private nonprofit organization or institution which is certified by the State agency or agencies designated by the Governor as responsible for the administration of the State's pro-

grams for alcoholics and drug addicts pursuant to Public Law 91-616, "Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970" and Public Law 92-255, "Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972" as providing treatment that can lead to the rehabilitation of drug addicts or alcoholics.

(r) "Elderly person" means a person 60 years of age or older who:

(1) Is not a resident of a boarding house or an institution;

(2) Is living alone or only with spouse, whether or not he has cooking facilities in his home;

(3) If he has no cooking facilities, is eligible for and elects to use coupons issued to him to purchase meals prepared for and delivered to him by a nonprofit meal delivery service authorized by FNS to accept food coupons or elects to use coupons to purchase meals prepared especially for the elderly at communal dining facilities authorized by FNS for such purpose.

(s) "Eligible food" means any food or food product for human consumption except alcoholic beverages and tobacco and also includes seeds and plants for use in gardens to produce food for the personal consumption of the eligible household. It shall also mean meals prepared and delivered by an authorized nonprofit meal delivery service or served by a communal dining facility for the elderly to elderly persons and their spouses and to households eligible under § 271.3(a)(2) or (a)(3) of this subchapter; and meals prepared and served by an authorized drug addiction and alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program to households eligible under § 271.3(a)(4).

(t) "Eligible household" means a household which lives in a project area and meets the standards of eligibility set forth in this subchapter.

(u) "Federal fiscal year" means a period of 12 calendar months beginning with July 1 of any calendar year and ending with June 30 of the following calendar year.

(v) "Federally aided public assistance" means any of the following programs authorized by the Social Security Act of 1935, as amended: Old-Age Assistance, Aid to Families with Dependent Children, Aid to the Blind, and Aid to the Permanently and Totally Disabled.

(w) "Federal reserve banks" means the 12 Federal Reserve Banks and their 24 branches.

(x) "Firm" means, as the context may require, a retail food store or a wholesale food concern or meal service.

(y) "FNS" means the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

(z) "Food assistance" means either the Food Stamp Program or the Food Distribution Program, administered by the Department.

(aa) "Food retailer" means any individual, partnership, corporation, or other legal entity owning or operating a retail food store.

(bb) "Food Stamp Act" means the Food Stamp Act of 1964, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 2011-2025.

(cc) "Food wholesaler" means any individual, partnership, corporation, or other legal entity owning or operating a wholesale food concern.

(dd) "Free coupons" means the portion of the coupon allotment that is in excess of the amount paid by an eligible household for its coupon allotment, or the total coupon allotment when the household is eligible for a coupon allotment with no purchase requirement.

(ee) "General assistance" means the State or local public assistance programs, determined by FNS to apply a criteria of need the same as, or similar to, those applied under any of the federally aided public assistance programs.

(ff) "General assistance agency" means any agency of the State using State or local funds to provide assistance to persons not receiving federally aided public assistance.

(gg) "Head of the household" means the member of the household in whose name application is made for participation in the program.

(hh) "Hearing authority" means a hearing official who renders final administrative decisions in hearings under § 271.1(o) of this subchapter.

(ii) "Hearing official" means a person or persons designated by the Agency or the State agency to act on its behalf in conducting hearings under § 271.1(o). Such persons shall not have been involved in the action in question. Medically qualified persons who make medical determinations or provide testimony on medical issues in hearing proceedings and the person who prepares the official hearing record may also be considered hearing officials.

(jj) "Household" means a group of persons, excluding roomers, boarders and live-in-attendants necessary for medical, housekeeping or child care reasons, who are not residents of an institution or boarding house, and who are living as one economic unit sharing common cooking facilities and for whom food is customarily purchased in common: *Provided*, That residents of federally subsidized housing for the elderly, built under either Section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 or Section 236 of the National Housing Act, or any narcotics addict or alcoholic meeting the criteria in paragraph (jj)(3) of this section, shall not be considered residents of an institution or boarding house. It shall also mean (1) a single individual living alone who purchases and prepares food for home consumption, (2) an elderly person as defined in this section and his spouse, or (3) a narcotics addict or alcoholic who resides at a facility or treatment center under the supervision of a private nonprofit organization or institution for the purpose of regular participation in a drug or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program: *Provided*, That such person must have access to individual or shared cooking facilities or the program in which he is participating has been authorized by FNS to accept food coupons.

(kk) "Hunting and fishing equipment" means equipment for the purpose of procuring food for the eligible household, including nets, fish lines, fish hooks, fishing rods, dip nets, harpoons, hunting knives, gasoline for outboard motors and snowmobiles, tents, foul weather winter clothing and other equipment necessary for subsistence hunting and fishing in areas of Alaska as specified in § 271.3(a)(5); it does not include firearms, ammunition and other explosives.

(ll) "Meal service" means an organization which prepares and serves meals and meets the requirements of § 272.1 of this subchapter, including nonprofit meal delivery services, communal dining facilities for elderly persons and drug addiction and alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation programs.

(mm) "Nonprofit meal delivery service" means a political subdivision or a private nonprofit organization which prepares and delivers meals and meets the requirements of § 272.1 of this subchapter.

(nn) "Outreach" means any communicative effort performed cooperatively or singularly by Federal, State, or local agencies and organizations, or by individuals, to inform low-income households of the availability and benefits of the program and to encourage the participation of eligible households.

(oo) "Program" means the Food Stamp Program conducted under the Food Stamp Act and the provisions of this subchapter.

(pp) "Project area" means the political subdivision within a State which has been approved for participation in the program by the Department.

(qq) "Purchase requirement" means the amount to be paid by an eligible household for its coupon allotment.

(rr) "Redemption certificate" means either of FNS forms, "Retail Merchants Food Stamp Program Redemption Certificate," or "Wholesalers Food Stamp Program Redemption Certificate," as required by the context.

(ss) "Retail food store" means an establishment, including a recognized department thereof, or a house-to-house trade route which sells eligible food to households for home consumption.

(tt) "Roomer" means an individual to whom a household furnishes lodging for compensation.

(uu) "State" means any one of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands of the United States.

(vv) "State agency" means the agency of the State government, including the local offices thereof, which has the responsibility for the administration of the federally aided public assistance programs within the State and, in those States where such assistance programs are operated on a decentralized basis, it includes the counterpart local agencies which administer such assistance programs for the State agency.

(ww) "State issuing agency" means another agency of the State government or contractual agent of the State agency to which the State agency delegates its administrative responsibilities in connection with the issuance of coupons.

(xx) "Student" means an individual who is attending at least half-time, as defined by the institution, a preschool, kindergarten, grade school, high school, vocational school, technical school, training program, college or university.

(yy) "Training allowance" means payments made on behalf of or received by a household member from the Work Incentive Program, Manpower Development Programs or similar State or local government-sponsored vocational rehabilitation programs.

(zz) "Wholesale food concern" means an establishment which sells eligible food to retail food stores or meal services for resale to households.

§ 270.3 Administration.

(a) Within the Department, FNS shall act on behalf of the Department in the administration of the program. When authority is delegated to FNS in the regulations in this subchapter, such authority may be exercised by the Administrator or by such other official of FNS he may designate.

(b) The State agency shall, except as provided in this subchapter, be responsible for the administration of the program within the State, including, but not limited to, the certification of applicant households; the acceptance, storage, and protection of coupons after their delivery to receiving points within the State; outreach to potentially eligible households; and the issuance of coupons to eligible households and the control and accountability therefor: *Provided*, That the State agency may, subject to State law, and under agreement or contract, delegate its administrative responsibility in connection with the issuance of coupons to a State issuing agency. If such administrative responsibility is delegated as permitted by this section the State issuing agency shall administer the applicable provisions of this subchapter under the direction of the State agency. However, the State agency shall be responsible to the Department for carrying out the delegated responsibilities and for paying any claims arising out of any failure of the State issuing agency to carry out such delegated responsibilities.

(c) Except as provided in Part 274 of this subchapter, only employees of the State agency meeting the requirements of § 271.1(g) of this subchapter may perform the interviews required in § 271.4 (a)(2)(ii) of this subchapter of applicants for food stamp eligibility. Volunteers and other non-State agency employees may not be used to interview or certify food stamp applicants for eligibility for program benefits, although such persons may be used in related activities such as outreach, or assisting applicants in completing the application and securing the needed verification. Individuals and organizations, or the facilities thereof, who are parties to a strike or lockout may not be used in the certification process except as a source of verification for information supplied by the applicant. Only authorized employees of the State agency or a State issuing agency shall be permitted access to food coupons, ATP cards or other issuance documents.

§ 270.4 Coupons as obligations of the United States, crimes and offenses.

(a) Coupons are an obligation of the United States within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 8. The provisions of title 18 of the United States Code, "Crimes and Criminal Procedure," relative to counterfeiting and alteration of obligations of the United States and the uttering, dealing in, etc., of counterfeit obligations of the United States are applicable to coupons.

(b) Any unauthorized issuance, use, transfer, acquisition, alteration, possession, or presentation of coupons or ATP cards may subject any individual, partnership, corporation, or other legal entity involved to prosecution under sections 14 (b) and (c) of the Food Stamp Act. These sections of the Food Stamp Act read as follows:

(b) Whoever knowingly uses, transfers, acquires, alters, or possesses coupons or authorization to purchase cards in any manner not authorized by this Act, or the regulations issued pursuant to this Act shall, if such coupons or authorization to purchase cards are of the value of $100 or more, be guilty of a felony and shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both, or if such coupons or authorization to purchase cards are of a value of less than $100, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than $5,000 or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both.

(c) Whoever presents, or causes to be presented, coupons for payment or redemption of the value of $100 or more, knowing the same to have been received, transferred, or used in any manner in violation of the provisions of this Act or the regulations issued pursuant to this Act shall be guilty of a felony and shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both, or, if such coupons are of a value of less than $100, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than $5,000 or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both.

(c) All individuals, partnerships, corporations, or other legal entities including State agencies and their delegatees (referred to in this paragraph as "persons") having custody, care and control of coupons and ATP cards shall at all times, in receiving, storing, transmitting, or otherwise handling coupons and ATP cards, take all precautions necessary to avoid acceptance, transfer, negotiation, or use of spurious, altered, or counterfeit coupons and ATP cards and to avoid any unauthorized transfer, negotiation, or use of coupons and ATP cards. Such persons shall also safeguard coupons and ATP cards from theft, embezzlement, loss, damage, or destruction.

(d) Any false statement knowingly made by any person in any application or certification required by this subchapter, by the Plan of Operation of any State agency, or by the instructions of FNS, any willful failure by any person to report changes in income and household circumstances which affect participation as required by § 271.3(a)(1)(iii) of this subchapter, or any fraudulent issuance, acquisition, transfer, use, or alteration by any person of Form FNS-286, Certification of Household Transfer, may subject such person to criminal prosecution under any applicable provision of Federal law or to civil liability under the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 231 or either, or both, as well as to any legal sanctions as may be maintained under State law.

§ 270.5 Miscellaneous provisions.

(a) FNS shall have the power to determine the amount of and to settle and adjust any claim or claims arising under the provisions of the Food Stamp Act or this subchapter, and to compromise or deny all or part of any such claim or claims.

(b) Persons or agencies desiring information concerning the program should write to the appropriate FNS Regional Office as follows:

(1) For project areas in Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Virgin Islands and West Virginia: Northeast Regional Office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 729 Alexander Road, Princeton, New Jersey 08540.

(2) For project areas in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee: Southeast Regional Office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 1100 Spring Street, NW., Room 200, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

(3) For project areas in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio and Wisconsin: Midwest Regional Office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

(4) For project areas in Arkansas, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah and Wyoming: West-Central Regional Office U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 1100 Commerce Street, Suite 5-D-22, Dallas, Texas 75202.

(5) For project areas in Alaska, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon and Washington: Western Regional Office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 550 Kearny Street, Room 400, San Francisco, California 94108.

(c) *Saving clause.* The Department reserves the right at any time to withdraw, modify or amend this subchapter.

PART 271—PARTICIPATION OF STATE AGENCIES AND ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLDS

Sec.

271.1 General terms and conditions for State agencies.
271.2 Payments for certain costs of the State agency.
271.3 Household eligibility.
271.4 Certification of households.
271.5 Basis for issuing coupons to eligible households.
271.6 Methods of distributing, issuing, and accounting for coupons and receipts.
271.7 Financial liabilities of the State agency.

271.8 Plans of operation.
271.9 Use or redemption of coupons by eligible households.

AUTHORITY: 78 Stat. 703, as amended (7 U.S.C. 2011-2026).

§ 271.1 General terms and conditions for State agencies.

(a) *Federally donated foods.* In areas where the program is in operation, there shall be no distribution of federally donated foods to households, except that such distribution may be made:

(1) During temporary emergency when FNS determines that commercial channels of food distribution have been disrupted;

(2) For such period of time as FNS determines, not to exceed three months, upon request of a State agency and submission of facts by such State agency showing that the continued distribution of such donated foods is necessary in order to effect an orderly transition in an area in which the distribution of federally donated foods to households is being replaced by the program; or

(3) For such period of time as FNS determines, not to exceed 12 months, upon request of a Ctate agency and submission of facts by such State agency showing that the continued distribution of such donated foods is necessary in order to effect an orderly transition on an Indian Reservation on which the distribution of federally donated foods to households is being replaced by the program under the following conditions:

(i) No such period may extend beyond June 30, 1977.

(ii) If a State agency has received approval of continued distribution of donated foods on a reservation under paragraph (a)(2) of this section prior to the submission of a request for an extended period of transition under this subsection, the total length of time during which the distribution of donated foods may be continued while such distribution is being replaced by the Food Stamp Program may not exceed 12 months;

(iii) The State agency will establish controls which will prevent any household from participating in the Food Stamp Program and also simultaneously receiving household distribution of federally donated foods and,

(iv) The State agency will establish controls which will prevent any household which has been certified for and has begun participation in the Food Stamp Program from resuming receipt of household distribution of federally donated foods.

(4) On request of the State agency, under the following conditions:

(i) Factual information showing the need for concurrent operation of both programs in the same area is submitted by the State agency to the Secretary of Agriculture together with proposed amendments to the State agency's plan of operation which shall set forth, among other things, that:

(a) No funds received from this Department will be used in carrying out the administrative responsibilities of the State agency in the handling and issuing of federally donated foods;

(b) The household eligibility requirements and certification procedures prescribed in this subchapter will be applied by the State agency in determining the eligibility of all applicant households whether for the Food Stamp Program or for federally donated foods;

(c) The State agency will establish controls which will prevent any household from participating in the program and also simultaneously receiving household distribution of federally donated foods; and

(ii) The Secretary determines that conditions in the area are such that the concurrent operation of both programs is needed in order to effectively provide food assistance to low-income households in the area, and that the proposals of the State agency for local administration will meet the need and otherwise satisfy the requirements of paragraph (a)(3) of this section. Such determination will be based upon all relevant information available, including that provided by the State agency as well as information obtained by the Department from other sources.

The State agency will be informed in writing of the Secretary's decision and the reasons therefor.

(b) *Free coupons as income or resources.* Free coupons provided to any eligible household shall not be considered to be income or resources for any purpose under the Social Security Act of 1935, as amended, or under any other Federal or State laws including, but not limited to, laws relating to taxation, welfare, and public assistance programs.

(c) *Prohibition of aid reduction.* States or political subdivisions shall not decrease welfare grants or other similar aid extended to any person or persons as a consequence of such person's or persons' participation in the program.

(d) *Nondiscrimination.* In the certification of applicant households for the program and in the issuance of coupons to eligible households, there shall be no discrimination against any household by reason of race, religious creed, national origin, or political beliefs.

(e) *Residency and citizenship.* No durational residency requirement shall be imposed as a condition of eligibility by any State or project area. However, each State agency shall prohibit participation in the program by any person who is not either (1) a citizen or (2) an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence or otherwise permanently residing in the United States under color of law (including any alien who is lawfully present in the United States as a result of the application of the provisions of Section 203(a)(7) or Section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act).

(f) *Disclosure.* Each State agency shall restrict the use or disclosure of information obtained from applicant households to persons directly connected with the administration or enforcement of the provisions of the Food Stamp Act or this subchapter.

(g) *Personnel standards.* Each State agency shall undertake the certification of applicant households in accordance with the personnel standards used by it in the certification of applicants for benefits under its federally aided public assistance programs.

(h) *Administrative financing.* Except as provided in § 271.2, each State agency shall finance or cause to be financed, from funds available to the State or political subdivisions thereof, the costs of carrying out the administrative responsibilities assigned to it under the provisions of this subchapter, including providing adequate staff and facilities to process applicant households within 30 days of receipt of an affidavit or an Application for Participation.

(i) *Plan of Operation requirement.* (1) Each State agency shall submit for approval of FNS a Plan of Operation, prepared in accordance with the provisions of § 271.3. Such plan shall cover a Federal fiscal year and may be extended for succeeding Federal fiscal years at the option of FNS unless sooner terminated or suspended as provided in paragraph (s) of this section. Each State agency shall, prior to January 1, 1974, submit for approval of FNS a plan specifying the manner in which it intends to conduct the Food Stamp Program in every political subdivision in the State, unless such State agency can demonstrate that for any political subdivision it is impossible or impracticable to extend the program to such subdivision. FNS shall make a determination of approval or disapproval of such plan in sufficient time to permit institution of such plan by no later than June 30, 1974.

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph (i), Indian reservations on which Food Stamp Program operations were instituted on or after August 21, 1973 (referred to in this subparagraph as "eligible reservations") may elect to request reinstitution of the Food Distribution Program on such reservations. The Department will approve such request: *Provided*, That the Food Distribution Program is carried out pursuant to the regulations of this Department governing that program, Part 250 of this chapter. Each eligible reservation which elects reinstitution of the Food Distribution Program shall, through the appropriate State agency, inform the Department of its election. Eligible reservations which, pursuant to this subparagraph, elect reinstitution of the Food Distribution Program shall, by no later than June 30, 1977, complete conversion back to the Food Stamp Program. Any Indian reservation which, with the approval of the Department, participates in the Food Distribution Program may, after reasonable notice to the applicable State agency and this Department, discontinue participation in that program and begin participation in the Food Stamp Program.

(j) *Administration of certification and issuance.* Each State agency shall administer the program in accordance with the provisions of this subchapter, all FNS Instructions issued pursuant thereto, and its Plan of Operation.

(k) *Outreach.* Each State agency shall take effective action pursuant to an approved outreach plan, using State agency personnel and the services provided by federally funded and other agencies and organizations to inform low-income households, with due regard to ethnic groups, of the availability and benefits of the program and encourage the participation of eligible households.

(l) *Records and reports.* Each State agency shall keep such records and submit such reports and other information as may from time to time be required by FNS.

(m) *Retention of records.* (1) Each State agency shall provide that all program records be retained in an orderly fashion for audit review purposes for a period of three years from the month of origin of each such record. Information from executed ATPs may be retained in any one of the following three methods:

(i) The ATPs may be retained in serial or case number order by transaction month for a period of three years following the month of origin.

(ii) The ATPs may be destroyed after a period of one year provided the reconciliation required by § 271.6(f) has been completed, and provided a listing containing the case name, number, and address; ATP serial number; purchase requirement paid and total value of coupons issued by ATP transaction month is available for review and audit by USDA for three years following the month of origin of the ATPs so listed.

(iii) The ATPs may be destroyed after having been microfilmed front and back in ATP or case number order by transaction month provided the reconciliation required by § 271.6(f) has been completed and provided the microfilm is available for review and audit by USDA for a period of three years following the month of origin.

(2) Where ADP systems are used to prepare and reconcile ATPs, all pertinent records related to the preparation and reconciliation of ATPs shall be retained and made available for review and audit by USDA for a period of three years from the month of origin of such records. No ATPs or other program records shall be destroyed when the State agency has been instructed in writing by FNS or the Department to retain the documents.

(n) *Notice of adverse action.* (1) Prior to any action to reduce or terminate a household's program benefits within the certification period, the State agency shall, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (n)(2) of this section, provide such household 10 days' advance notice before such action is taken. The notice shall explain the reasons for the proposed action, the household's right to request a hearing and the circumstances under which participation is continued if a hearing is requested.

(2) Individual notices of adverse action are not required when:

(i) Mass changes in benefits are required for certain classes of recipients because of changes required by Federal or State law or Federal Regulation affecting the basis of issuance tables, income standards, or other eligibility criteria. Such changes include, but are not limited to, changes in maximum income limitations and basis of issuance tables prescribed in the general notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to § 271.5, and changes in social security payments or public assistance grants;

(ii) The State agency receives a written statement from the head of the household or his authorized representative that food stamp assistance is no longer desired or that supplies information that requires reduction or termination of benefits and the recipient acknowledges in writing that he knows the required action will be taken;

(iii) The State agency receives notification of the death of the head of a single person household;

(iv) The State agency receives notification that the household has moved from the project area; or

(v) The action is taken as a result of the normal expiration of the certification period as provided in § 271.4(a)(3).

(3) When the advance notice requirement is not required under the conditions specified in paragraph (n)(2)(i) of this section, the State agency shall publicize the possibility of a change in benefits through the various news media or through a general notice mailed out with ATP cards and with notices placed in food stamp and welfare offices.

(4)(i) If a household requests a hearing during the advance notice period, its participation in the program shall be continued on the basis authorized immediately prior to the notice of adverse action. If a hearing request is not made within the advance notice period, benefits will be reduced or terminated as proposed, except that, if the household establishes that its failure to make such request within such period is for good cause, the State agency may provide for reinstatement of benefits on the prior basis. When benefits are reduced or terminated without advance notice as provided in paragraph (n)(2)(i) of this section, participation on the prior basis shall be reinstated if the issue being appealed is that food stamp eligibility or benefits were improperly computed.

(ii) Once continued or reinstated, benefits shall not be reduced or terminated prior to a hearing decision unless:

(*a*) A determination is made at the hearing that the sole issue is one of Federal law, Regulation or policy and not a matter of fact or judgment relating to an individual case; or

(*b*) A change affecting the household's eligibility or basis of issuance occurs while the hearing decision is pending and the household fails to request a hearing after the subsequent notice of adverse action.

(iii) The State agency shall promptly inform the claimant in writing if benefits are reduced or terminated pending the hearing decision.

(o) *Fair hearing.* Each Agency shall provide any household aggrieved by any action of the State agency or State issuing agency in its administration of the program which affects the participation of the household in the program with a fair hearing before the Agency or with an evidentiary hearing at the local level with a right to appeal to an Agency hearing. When the Agency adopts a system of evidentiary hearings with an appeal to an Agency hearing, it may, in some project areas, permit local evidentiary hearings, and in others, provide for a single hearing before the Agency. Prompt, definitive and final administrative action must be taken by the State agency within 60 days of any request for a hearing.

(1) Each household shall be informed in writing (and, if practical, orally) at the time of application of its right to a hearing, the method by which a hearing may be requested and that its case may be presented by a household member or an authorized representative, such as legal counsel, a relative, friend or other spokesman. Hearing procedures shall be published by the Agency and made available to any interested party.

(2) A household must be provided a reasonable period of time in which to request a hearing. This request may be made by any clear expression (oral or written) by the household (or person acting for it, such as a legal representative, relative or friend) to the effect that an opportunity to present the case to a higher authority is desired. The freedom to make such a request must not be limited or interfered with in any way. State agency emphasis must be on helping the client submit and process the request, and prepare the case, if needed. Information and referral services shall be provided to help claimants make use of any legal services available in the community that can provide legal representation at the hearing. Upon request, the State agency shall make available without charge the specific materials necessary for a household or its representative to determine whether a hearing should be requested or to prepare for a hearing.

(3) The State agency shall not deny or dismiss a request for a hearing unless:

(i) The request has been withdrawn in writing; or

(ii) The request is abandoned, that is, the claimant or his authorized representative fails, without good cause, to appear at the scheduled hearing.

(4) Continuation of benefits pending a hearing decision is treated in paragraph (n)(4) of this section.

(5) The time, date, and place of the hearing shall be convenient to the household and adequate advance written notice thereof shall be provided. The hearing shall be conducted by a hearing official or officials as defined in § 270.2(ii) of this subchapter. When the hearing involves medical issues, a medical assessment other than that of the person(s) involved in making the original decision will be obtained from a source mutually satisfactory to the claimant and the State agency and made a part of the record if the hearing official(s) consider(s) it necessary. The household or its representative must be given adequate opportunity to:

(i) Examine all documents and records to be used at the hearing at a reasonable time before the date of the hearing as well as during the hearing;

(ii) Present the case itself or have it presented by a legal counsel or other person;

(iii) Bring witnesses;

(iv) Advance arguments without undue interference;

(v) Question or refute any testimony or evidence, including an opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses; and

(vi) Submit evidence to establish all pertinent facts and circumstances in the case.

(6) State agencies may respond to a series of individual requests for hearings by conducting a single group hearing. State agencies may consolidate only cases in which the sole issue is one of Federal law, Regulation or policy. In all group hearings the policies governing hearings must be followed. Each individual claimant shall be permitted to present his own case or be represented by his authorized representative.

(7) The hearing authority shall render a final administrative decision in the name of the Agency on all issues that have been the subject of the hearing. Such decision shall be binding on the State agency. Decisions of the hearing authority shall not run counter to Federal law, Regulations or policy and shall be based exclusively on evidence and other material introduced at the hearing. The verbatim transcript or recording of testimony and exhibits, or an official report containing the substance of what transpired at the hearing, together with all papers and requests filed in the proceeding, and the recommendations of the hearing official(s) shall constitute the exclusive record for decision by the hearing authority. This record shall be available to the claimant at a place accessible to him or his representative at any reasonable time.

(8) A decision by the hearing authority rendered in the name of the Agency shall:

(i) In the event of an evidentiary hearing, consist of a memorandum decision summarizing the facts and identifying the regulations supporting the decision;

(ii) In the event of an Agency hearing, specify the reasons for the decision and identify the supporting evidence and regulations.

(9) The claimant shall be notified of the decision in writing and the appeal rights available to him. (i) After an adverse Agency hearing decision, the claimant shall be notified of his right to pursue judicial review of his claim.

(ii) After an adverse evidentiary hearing decision, the claimant shall be advised of his right to appeal to a hearing before the Agency and of his right to request a de novo hearing. Unless a de novo hearing is specifically requested by the appellant, the Agency hearing may consist of a review of the evidentiary hearing record by an Agency hearing official to determine if the decision of the hearing authority was supported by substantial evidence in the record. The claimant must appeal the decision of the evidentiary hearing within 15 days of the mailing date of the hearing decision notice. The State agency must take prompt, definitive and final administrative action within 60 days of such request. Benefits shall not be continued after an adverse decision to the claimant at an evidentiary hearing.

(10) All State agency hearing decisions shall be accessible to the public, subject to the disclosure safeguards provided for in paragraph (f) of this section.

(11) The State agency is responsible for assuring that all hearing decisions are promptly implemented. When the hearing authority rules that a household has been improperly denied program benefits or has been overcharged for its coupon allotment, credit for such lost benefits or refund of the overcharge shall be promptly provided if appropriate.

(p) *Refunds to households.* A household shall be entitled to a cash refund for any amount that it has been overcharged for its coupon allotment as a result of an error by the State agency in the administration of the food stamp program: *Provided*, That, if the household owes a balance on a claim under paragraph (d) of this section, the State agency shall offset the amount due under this paragraph against such balance. The State agency shall make such refunds from funds collected in payment of the purchase requirement or from its own funds or those of the project area, or, if no such funds are available for this purpose, the State agency shall request FNS to make such refunds directly to the households. If State agency or project area funds are used to make refunds, FNS will credit or reimburse the State agency or project area therefor.

(q) *Public information.* (1) Regulations, Plans of Operation and Federal procedures which affect the public shall be maintained in the State and local offices of the State agency as well as in the Food Stamp Division and FNS Regional Offices for examination by members of the public on regular workdays during regular office hours.

(2) Copies of Regulations, Plans of Operation, and Federal procedures may be obtained from FNS in accordance with regulations of FNS governing the availability of information to the public, Part 295 of this chapter.

(r) *Implementation.* Each State agency shall (1) Put into effect for all households the coupon allotments, purchase requirements and household income eligibility standards contained in revised FSP notices which are issued pursuant to a part of this subchapter on the effective date prescribed in each such notice.

(2) With respect to any amendment to this subchapter (other than provided in the paragraph (r)(1) of this section) which relates directly to the certification of households by the State agency, put such amendment into effect for all households newly applying or being certified subsequent to their first application not later than 60 days after the effective date of such amendment, and for all other households not later than 120 days after such date, unless otherwise provided in such amendment.

(3) With respect to any other amendment to this subchapter, implementation shall be effected as prescribed in each such amendment.

(4) Except for paragraph (r)(1) of this section, the time limitations may be extended by FNS upon written request and justification by a State agency.

(s) *State agency failure to comply.* If FNS determines that, in the administration of the program, a State agency has failed to comply substantially with the provisions of this subchapter, with instructions issued pursuant to this subchapter, or with the State Plan of Operation, FNS shall inform such State agency of such failure and shall allow the State agency a reasonable period of time, as determined by FNS, for the correction of such failure. If, prior to the expiration of such period, corrective action has not been taken, FNS shall direct that there be no further issuance of coupons in the project areas where such failure has occurred until corrective action has been taken.

§ 271.2 Payment for certain State agency administrative costs.

(a) FNS is authorized to pay to each State agency an amount equal to 50 percentum of administrative costs incurred pursuant to § 270.3(b) of this subchapter on or after October 1, 1974.

(b) In any case, where an administrative cost of a State agency is paid with funds which have been contributed by another Federal agency or by an agency from other federally furnished funds, FNS will pay up to 50 percentum of the administrative cost, but in no case will the total of the FNS payment and the other federally contributed or furnished funds total more than 100 percent. Unless authorized by Federal legislation, outlays charged to other Federal grants or to Federal contracts may not be considered as State agency costs.

(c) Submission of claims for payments of such costs shall be in accordance with Part 275 of this subchapter.

§ 271.3 Household eligibility.

(a) *Household.* (1) Eligibility for and participation in the program shall be on a household basis.

(i) Eligibility shall be denied or terminated if the applicant household refuses to cooperate in providing information necessary for making a determination of eligibility or ineligibility. Applicants must assure that all statements made on the application are correct and complete.

(ii) If a participating food stamp household refuses to cooperate in providing the information necessary to complete a quality control review, the household may be subject to denial of further and/or future food stamp benefits. However, after such action and subsequent termination or denial, a household may reapply and be certified for participation in the Food Stamp Program: *Provided*, That the household cooperates fully and completely in supplying to the certifying officer full verification of all information prior to such certification.

(iii) Households shall report or cause to be reported to the State agency any of the following changes which occur during the certification period within 10 days of the date when such changes become known to the household: (*a*) changes in gross monthly income in excess of $25; (*b*) changes in total monthly deductible expenses in excess of $25; and (*c*) changes in any other household circumstances which are required to be reported by the application form. The State agency shall take necessary action on all changes within 10 days from the date they are reported (*1*) to insure the issuance of a notice of adverse action, where appropriate, and that these changes will be reflected in the first issuance period after the expiration of the advance notice period; or, (*2*) for all other changes affecting the household's eligibility or basis of issuance, to insure the change is reflected by no later than the first issuance period after the 10th day from the date the change was reported.

(2) Eligible household members 60 years of age or older who are housebound, feeble, physically handicapped or otherwise disabled to the extent that they are unable to adequately prepare all their meals, an elderly person as defined in § 270.2(r) of this subchapter, who is housebound, feeble, physically handicapped or otherwise disabled to the extent that he cannot adequately prepare all of his meals and the spouse of such an elderly person may use all or any part of the coupons issued to them to purchase meals prepared for and delivered to them by a nonprofit meal delivery service authorized by FNS.

(3) Eligible household members 60 years of age or older, or elderly persons as defined in § 270.2(r) of this subchapter and the spouse of such an elderly person may use all or any part of the coupons issued to them to purchase meals prepared especially for them at communal dining facilities authorized by FNS for such purpose.

(4) Members of eligible households who are narcotics addicts or alcoholics and who regularly participate in a drug or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program on a nonresident basis may use all or any part of the coupons issued to them to purchase food prepared for or served to them during the course of such program by a private nonprofit organization or institution authorized by FNS. Narcotics addicts or alcoholics who regularly participate in a drug or alcoholic treatment program on a resident basis shall be certified for program participation through the use of an authorized representative which shall be the private nonprofit organization or institution that is administering such treatment and rehabilitation program. Such organization or institution shall apply on behalf of such addict or alcoholic and receive and spend the coupon allotment for meals prepared by or served to such addict or alcoholic. Such organization or institution shall notify the State agency as provided in paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of this section of changes in income and household circumstances and when such addict or alcoholic leaves the treatment and rehabilitation center. Such organization or institution shall be responsible for any misrepresentation or fraud committed in the certification of center residents and shall assume total liability for food coupons held on behalf of resident recipients.

(5) Eligible households in Alaska residing in areas determined by FNS as areas where access to retail food stores is difficult and who rely substantially on hunting and fishing for subsistence may use all or any part of the coupons issued to them to purchase hunting and fishing equipment, excluding firearms, ammunition and other explosives.

(b) *Income and resource eligibility standards of public assistance and general assistance households.* Households in which all members are included in a federally aided public assistance or general assistance grant shall, if otherwise eligible under this subchapter, be determined to be eligible to participate in the program while receiving such grants without regard to the income and resources of the household members.

(c) *Income and resource eligibility standards of other households.* Each State agency shall apply the uniform national income and resource standards of eligibility established by the Secretary to determine the eligibility of all other applicant households, including those in which some members are recipients of federally aided public assistance or general assistance.

(1) *Definition of income.* (i) Monthly income means all income which is received or anticipated to be received during the month. To compute maximum monthly income for purposes of determining eligibility, income shall mean any of the following but is not limited to:

(*a*) All compensation for services performed as an employee;

(*b*) Net income from self-employment, which shall be the total gross income from such enterprise (including the total gain received from the sale of any capital goods or equipment related to such enterprise), less the cost of producing that income. The following shall not be considered as the cost of producing income:

(*1*) Payments on the principal of the purchase cost of income-producing real estate. Any payments of principal, interest, and taxes on the home shall be subject to paragraph (c)(1)(iii)(*h*) of this section;

(*2*) Payments on the principal of the purchase cost of capital assets, equipment, machinery, and other goods;

(*3*) Depreciation; and

(*4*) A net loss sustained in any previous period;

(*c*) The total amount of a roomer's payment to the household;

(*d*) The total payment received from each boarder less a deduction for each boarder of the value of the monthly coupon allotment for a one-person household;

(*e*) Payments received as an annuity; pension; retirement or disability benefit; veterans', workmen's or unemployment compensation; and old-age, survivors, or strike benefit;

(*f*) Payments received from federally aided public assistance programs, general assistance programs, or other assistance programs based on need;

(*g*) Payments received from Government-sponsored programs such as Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service programs, the Work Incentive Program, or Manpower Training Program;

(*h*) Payments, except those for medical costs, made on behalf of the household by a person other than a member of the household;

(*i*) Cash gifts or awards (except as provided in paragraph (c)(1)(ii)(*e*) of this section) for support, maintenance, or the expenses of education.

(*j*) Scholarships, educational grants (including loans on which repayment is deferred until completion of the recipient's education), fellowships, and veteran's educational benefits;

(*k*) Support and alimony payments;

(*l*) Rents, dividends, interest, royalties, and all other payments from any source whatever which may be construed to be a gain or benefit; and

(*m*) The actual value of housing received from an employer by members of a household as income in kind, in lieu of or supplemental to household income, not to exceed $25 per month. No value is to be assigned to housing received as payment in kind which has been condemned or declared substandard under Federal, State, or local housing codes.

(ii) The following shall not be considered income to the household (this list is inclusive and no other exclusions from income shall be allowed):

(*a*) Income received as compensation for services performed as an employee or income from self-employment by a child residing with the household who is a student and who has not attained his eighteenth birthday;

(*b*) Payments received under Title II of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970;

(*c*) Any gain or benefit which is not in money (except as provided in paragraph (c)(1)(i)(*m*) of this section);

(*d*) That income of a household in a quarter which is received too infrequently or irregularly to be reasonably anticipated: *Provided*, That such infrequent or irregular income of all household members does not exceed $30 in the quarter;

(*e*) Monies received from insurance settlements, sale of property (except for property related to self-employment provided for in subdivision (c)(1)(i)(b) of this section), cash prizes, awards, and gifts, inheritances, retroactive lump-sum Social Security or Railroad Retirement pension payments, income tax refunds and similar nonrecurring lump-sum payments;

(*f*) All loans, except loans on which repayment is deferred until completion of the recipient's education;

(*g*) Income received by volunteers for services performed in the National Older Americans Volunteer Program as

stipulated in the 1973 amendments to the Older Americans Act of 1965, Public Law 93-29 (87 Stat. 30); and

(h) Payments received under the WIC (Women, Infants and Children) Program.

(iii) Deductions for the following household expenses shall be made (this list is inclusive and no other deductions from income shall be allowed):

(a) Ten per centum of income from compensation for services performed as an employee or training allowance not to exceed $30 per household per month. This deduction shall be made before the following deductions.

(b) Mandatory deductions from earned income which are not elective at the option of the employee such as local, State, and Federal income taxes, Social Security taxes under FICA, and union dues;

(c) Payments for medical expenses, exclusive of special diets, when the costs exceed $10 per month per household;

(d) The payments necessary for the care of a child or other persons when necessary for a household member to accept or continue employment, or training or education which is preparatory for employment;

(e) Unusual expenses incurred due to an individual household's disaster or casualty losses which could not be reasonably anticipated by the household;

(f) Tuition and mandatory fees assessed by educational institutions (no deductions shall be made for any other education expenses such as, but not limited to, the expense of books, school supplies, meals at school, and transportation);

(g) Court-ordered support and alimony payments; and

(h) Shelter costs in excess of 30 percentum of the household's income after the above deductions. The State agency may develop, subject to FNS approval, standard utility allowances for use in calculating shelter costs: *Provided*, That the State agency must use actual utility costs if the household so requests and can verify such costs; and *Provided further*, That the State agrees to make annual reviews and adjust the standard, as necessary, to reflect deviations revealed by quality control, State agency surveys of utility company rates, or any other methods developed by the State and approved by FNS.

(2) *Handling of income.* (i) To determine the eligibility and basis of issuance of households, income and deductions may be averaged over the appropriate certification period.

(ii) To determine the basis of issuance for households whose primary source of income is from self-employment (including self-employed farmers), or regular farm employment with the same employer, income may be averaged evenly or prorated unevenly over the certification period not to exceed one year.

(iii) To determine the eligibility and basis of issuance for households with income from scholarships, educational grants, fellowships, and veterans' educational benefits, the income shall be averaged over the period which it was intended to cover.

(iv) To determine the eligibility of households with members who receive compensation on other than an hourly or piecework basis under a contract which is renewable on a yearly or longer basis (such as, but not limited to, school employees), such members shall be deemed to be receiving compensation continuously for an entire year even though predetermined nonwork (vacation) periods are involved or actual compensation payments are scheduled for payment during work periods only. For such persons, compensation received under such contracts shall be averaged over a 12-month period. This provision shall not apply in situations where the other party to the contract cannot or will not make payments specified in the contract, or where the flow of earnings specified in the contract is otherwise interrupted.

(3) *Income standards.* Uniform national income standards of eligibility for participation of nonassistance households in the program shall be the higher of: (i) The income poverty guidelines issued by the Secretary of Agriculture based on the statistics on poverty levels reported by the Census Bureau's Current Population Reports; or (ii) the level at which the total coupon allotment equals 30 percent of income. These income standards for each nonassistance household size will be prescribed in General Notices published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(4) *Resource definition and standards*—(i) *Maximum allowable resources.* The maximum allowable resources—including both liquid and nonliquid assets—of all members of the household shall not exceed $1,500 for the household, except that, for households of two or more persons with a member or members age 60 or over, such resources shall not exceed $3,000.

(ii) *Included in resources.* In determining the resources of a household, the following shall be included and identified in sufficient detail to permit verification:

(a) Liquid resources which are readily negotiable, such as cash on hand, in a checking or savings account in a bank or other savings institution, U.S. savings bonds, stocks or bonds; and

(b) Nonliquid resources, such as buildings, land, or other real or personal property not excluded under paragraph (c)(4)(iii) (a) or (b) of this section.

(iii) *Exclusions from resources.* In determining the resources of a household, the following shall be excluded. This list is inclusive and no other exclusions from resources shall be allowed:

(a) The home and lot normal to the community, one licensed vehicle, household goods, cash value of life insurance policies and pension funds, and personal effects.

(b) Income-producing property which is producing income consistent with its fair market value, or other property such as another vehicle needed for purposes of employment, the tools of a tradesman or the machinery of a farmer, deemed essential to the employment of a household member;

(c) Indian lands held jointly with the Tribe, or land that can be sold only with the approval of the Bureau of Indian Affairs;

(d) Resources whose cash value is not accessible to the household, such, as but not limited to, irrevocable trust funds and property in probate;

(e) Payments under Title II of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Properties Acquisition Act of 1970; and

(f) Payments under the WIC (Women, Infants, and Children) Program.

(iv) *Value of resources.* The value of resources shall be determined at fair market value, less encumbrances.

(d) *Work registration requirement.* At the time of application and at least once every six months thereafter, each able-bodied person between the ages of 18 and 65, who is a member of a household, including a person who is not working because of a strike or lockout at his place of employment (except mothers or other members of the household who have responsibility for the care of dependant children under 18 years of age or of incapacitated adults; students enrolled at least half-time in any school or training program recognized by any Federal, State, or local governmental agency; or persons working at least 30 hours per week), shall register for employment by executing the registration form which shall be provided by the State agency, and which the State agency shall forward to the State or Federal employment office having jurisdiction over the area where the registrant resides: *Provided*, That any narcotics addict or alcoholic who regularly participates as a resident or nonresident in a drug or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program shall not be considered "able-bodied" for the purpose of this section. For the purposes of paragraph (d)(3)(iv) and (5) of this section, the term "strike" shall not include a strike which has pursuant to a court decision currently in force been determined to be unlawful.

(1) Such member who is required to register shall also:

(i) Report for an interview to the State or Federal employment office where he is registered upon reasonable request;

(ii) Respond to a request from the State or Federal employment office requiring supplemental information regarding employment status or availability for work;

(iii) Report to an employer to whom he has been referred by such office;

(iv) Accept a bona fide offer of suitable employment to which he is referred by such office; and

(v) Continue suitable employment to which he was referred by such office. Such household member shall continue such suitable employment until the employment is no longer considered suitable, or until the household member becomes exempt from the work requirement, as provided in this paragraph, or he is terminated from employment due to circumstances beyond his control.

(2) If the State agency determines that a household member has refused without good cause to comply with the requirements of this paragraph, the household of which he is a member shall be ineligible to participate in the program. Such ineligibility shall continue:

(i) For 1 year from the date of his refusal without good cause to comply with such requirements; or

(ii) Until such household member complies as follows, whichever is earlier:

(*a*) Refusal to register—registration by the household member.

(*b*) Refusal to report for an interview to the State or Federal employment office where he is registered—reporting for the required interview.

(*c*) Refusal to respond to a request from the State or Federal employment office requiring supplemental information regarding employment status or availability for work—response to the employment office correspondence.

(*d*) Refusal to report to an employer to whom he has been referred by such office—reporting to such employer or another employer to whom he is referred.

(*e*) Refusal to accept a bona fide offer of suitable employment to which he was referred by such office—acceptance of such employment or of other employment of at least 30 hours per week.

(*f*) Refusal to continue suitable employment to which he has been referred by such office—returning to such employment or acceptance of other employment of at least 30 hours per week.

(iii) In determining whether good cause existed for failure to comply, the State agency shall consider all facts and circumstances, including information submitted by the State or Federal employment office, the employer, and the registrant or recipient himself.

(3) No employment shall be considered suitable for the purpose of this paragraph if:

(i) The wages offered are less than the highest of:

(*a*) The applicable Federal minimum wage;

(*b*) The applicable State minimum wage;

(*c*) The applicable wage established by valid regulation of the Federal Government authorized by existing law to establish such regulations; or

(*d*) $1.30 per hour;

(ii) The employment offered is on a piece-rate basis and the average hourly yield the employee can reasonably be expected to earn is less than the applicable hourly wages specified under paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section;

(iii) The registrant, as a condition of employment, is required to join, resign from, or refrain from joining any legitimate labor organization; or

(iv) The work offered is at a site subject to a strike or a lockout at the time of the offer.

(4) Any employment offered a particular registrant shall be considered suitable unless he can demonstrate that:

(i) The degree of risk to his health and safety is unreasonable;

(ii) He is physically or mentally unfit to perform the employment, as established by documentary medical evidence or reliable information from other sources;

(iii) For a period of 30 days after registration only, the employment offered is not in his major field of experience;

(iv) The distance of the employment from his residence is unreasonable. Determinations in this connection shall be based upon estimates of the time required for going to and from work by means of transportation that is available or expected to be used, and whether or not it would be reasonable for the registrant to expend the time and cost involved for the expected remuneration from the work. In no event shall commuting time per day represent more than 25 percent of the registrant's total work time.

(5) No household shall be denied participation in the program solely on the grounds that a member of the household is not working because of a strike or a lockout at his place of employment.

(6) Registration for participation in the Work Incentive Program (WIN) by members of a household who are required to register for work as stipulated above shall be regarded by FNS as having fulfilled the requirements of this section.

(7) The provisions of this paragraph shall not relieve a household of its responsibility to report acceptance of any employment or receipt of income from employment obtained through any source by any member of the household.

(8) State agencies shall report monthly the number of households and persons whose benefits were reduced or terminated because they accepted employment, and the number who were terminated because of refusal to accept suitable employment.

§ 271.4 Certification of households.

(a) *Household certification*—(1) *Certification of public assistance and general assistance households.* The State agency shall provide for the certification of households in which all members are included in a federally aided public assistance or general assistance grant, solely on the basis of information contained in an affidavit and the assistance case file.

(2) *Certification of other households.* The State agency shall provide for the certification of other households by:

(i) Completion of the Application for Participation;

(ii) An interview at initial certification and any subsequent certification with the applicant or his authorized representative in a personal contact in the office, in a home visit, or by a telephone call (the interview requirement will be continued until quality control demonstrates to FNS the effectiveness of the forms and certification system); and

(iii) Verification of income upon initial certification and, if the amount of household income has changed substantially or if the source of the income has changed, upon any subsequent certification. Verification is required for other factors of eligibility only to the extent that the information furnished by the applicant is unclear, incomplete, or inconsistent or otherwise raises doubt concerning any factor affecting eligibility or the basis of coupon issuance. In any case where a household indicates that it has income so low that there is a likelihood that a change must occur in order for the household to continue to subsist as an economic unit, verification of factors necessary to substantiate the facts of eligibility is required unless expenditures and income are so stable as to indicate that the household could maintain this level of existence for an extended period of time. At least one collateral contact is mandatory in cases of this type. Certification may be made for 30 days without verification of eligibility factors with respect only to households which report an income so low that they have no purchase requirement and which appear, on the basis of other information furnished, to be eligible for participation. With respect only to households which report receipt of social security benefits, each State agency, at its option, may obtain verification of reported social security benefits through the use of the automated data processing system jointly administered by the Social Security Administration and the Social and Rehabilitation Service, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. State agencies electing to use this option shall use the amount of social security benefits reported by such households for certification purposes pending receipt by the local certifying agency of the automated data processing system verification, provided all other household income is verified in accordance with this section.

(3) *Application processing.* The State agency shall provide for the processing of each affidavit or Application for Participation and notify the applicant household of the action taken within reasonable State-established time standards, which shall not exceed 30 days after receipt of such documents. Any subsequent certification, or notification to recipient households of any intention to deny further participation in the program at the end of the certification period, must be completed prior to the expiration of such period.

(4) *Certification periods.* The State agency shall establish limited periods of eligibility as indicated below. At the expiration of each such period entitlement to food stamp benefits shall be established only upon a subsequent certification based upon a newly completed application, an interview, and such verification as required in paragraph (a)(2)(iii), of this section.

(i) Certification periods shall conform as much as possible to calendar months.

(ii) Households in which all members are included in a federally aided public assistance or general assistance grant shall be assigned certification periods which coincide with the period of the assistance grant.

(iii) Other households shall be assigned certification periods based on predictability of income and stability of household circumstances. Households shall be certified for 3 months except as follows:

(a) Households may be certified for less than 3 months when there is a possibility of frequent changes in income and household status, for example, day laborers and migrant workers during the work season.

(b) Households may be certified for up to 6 months if there is little likelihood of changes in income and household status.

(c) Households consisting of unemployable persons with very stable income may be certified for up to 12 months, provided other household circumstances are expected to remain stable, for example, social security recipients, and persons who receive pensions or disability payments.

(d) Households whose primary source of income is from self-employment (including self-employed farmers) or regular farm employment with the same employer may be certified for up to 12 months, provided income can be readily predicted and household circumstances are not likely to change. This determination is made in light of the ability of the worker who is regularly employed by the same employer (as opposed to the worker who has a number of employers during a period of time) to control the amount of money available to the household jointly with the employer through prior arrangement, sometimes known as "furnish."

(5) *Quality control.* The State agency shall provide for a quality control system (a method of continuing review on a sampling basis) to validate the accuracy of determinations of program eligibility and determine the extent to which households are paying the proper purchase requirements and receiving the coupon allotments to which they are entitled. The State agency's system of quality control shall be implemented through:

(i) Application of the sampling methods prescribed by FNS;

(ii) Use of FNS-prescribed schedules and instructions, or schedules which provide for identical information;

(iii) Field investigations, including personal interviews with all households which fall within the sample of participating households and, as necessary, with households that have been denied participation or whose eligibility has been terminated;

(iv) Immediate action to reduce errors where tolerance limits established by FNS are exceeded, and followup corrective action to remove causes of errors;

(v) Use of qualified staff under appropriate direction; and

(vi) Reporting to FNS as prescribed.

(6) *Certification continuation.* (i) The State agency shall provide for continuing the certification of any household for 60 days after the date the household moves from one project area to another: *Provided,* That (a) the household membership does not change; (b) the household continues to meet the definition of a household as provided in § 270.2(jj) of this subchapter; and (c) the household was not certified under disaster eligibility standards as provided in Part 274 of this subchapter.

(ii) The project area from which the household is moving shall prepare the documents necessary to transfer certification. If the household has received its full coupon allotment for the month in which the move takes place, the project area shall authorize the full coupon allotment for the two months subsequent to the move. If the household has received only a portion of its full coupon allotment, as evidenced by surrender of any unused issuance authorization documents, the project area shall authorize a coupon allotment for the balance of the allotment due the household in addition to the full coupon allotment for the two subsequent months.

(iii) The project area to which the household moves shall accept the transfer document and promptly issue coupons based on the information provided thereon. The household may request certification at any time during the 60-day period. Upon expiration of the 60-day period, the household shall be certified in accordance with the usual procedures prescribed in this part.

(iv) The State agency shall provide for the secure storage of Form FNS-286, Certification of Household Transfer, and shall maintain controls to prevent or detect unauthorized issuance, acquisition, acceptance, use, transfer, or alteration of this form. State agencies shall report the number of Forms FNS-286 issued and redeemed as prescribed by FNS.

(7) *Identification card.* The State agency shall provide for issuance of an identification card to each household certified as eligible to participate in the program. Identification cards indicating special eligibility shall be issued to the following groups:

(i) Households and elderly persons and their spouses eligible for and desiring to use coupons to purchase meals from a nonprofit meal delivery service; and

(ii) Households residing in remote sections of Alaska that have been determined by FNS as areas in which food coupons may be used to purchase hunting and fishing equipment (except firearms, ammunition, and other explosives).

(8) *State agency instructions.* The State agency shall issue written program instructions to personnel responsible for the certification of applicant households. No State agency instruction or interpretation of FNS Instructions shall be issued to local agencies without prior approval by FNS, unless FNS fails to respond to a request for approval within 30 days after the acknowledgment of its receipt by FNS.

§ 271.5 Basis for issuing coupons to eligible households.

The monthly coupon allotments and purchase requirements for eligible households are prescribed in a General Notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 271.6 Methods of distributing, issuing, and accounting for coupons and receipts.

(a) FNS will distribute coupons, printed in such denominations as it may determine necessary, directly to the receiving points designated by the State agency in the Plan of Operation. While in the course of shipment to receiving points coupons shall be considered to be at the risk of the Department.

(b) The State agency shall arrange for the prompt verification of and receipting for the contents of each coupon shipment.

(c) The State agency shall arrange for:

(1) The adequate safekeeping of its supplies of coupons;

(2) The maintenance of a reasonable working inventory of coupons;

(3) The ordering of coupons; and

(4) The maintenance of proper inventory and accounting controls for such coupons.

(d) The State agency or the State issuing agency shall arrange for the issuance of coupons to eligible households and for the collection of sums required from eligible households for the purchase requirement. The coupon allotment to be issued to any household shall be in the amount determined in accordance with § 271.5.

(1) The State agency may issue coupons through the facilities of the U.S. mail. Unless FNS notifies the State agency to the contrary, FNS will accept the risk of loss of nondelivery of coupons to eligible households after deposit of such coupons in the mail, if the State agency issues such coupons through the mail in accordance with instructions provided by FNS.

(2) The State agency shall permit as soon as possible but no later than July 1, 1975, any household participating in the program, if it so elects, to have the cost of its full monthly coupon allotment deducted from any grant or payment such household may be entitled to recieve under Title IV of the Social Security Act, and have its full monthly coupon allotment distributed to it.

(3) The State agency shall within the limits of the frequency of issuance available to the household under paragraph (c)(4) of this section, permit any eligible household, except those participating under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, to elect at the time of issuance to receive a coupon allotment having a face value of all, three-quarters, one-half, or one-quarter of the monthly coupon allotment authorized in accordance with § 271.5, and to have such household pay an amount that shall be in the same ratio to the total purchase requirement as the coupon allotment chosen is to the total monthly coupon allotment.

(4) The State agency shall insure that eligible households are offered the frequency of coupon issuance that is best geared to the frequency of their receipt of income: *Provided,* That at a minimum, all project areas shall make provision for a monthly and semimonthly

schedule of issuance: and *Provided further*, That the State agency shall insure that each eligible household is offered the option at the time of certification of choosing to receive coupons on a semimonthly basis.

(5) The State agency shall upon its determination that any certified household has failed to participate for three consecutive months, stop issuing ATP cards to such household until notified by such certified household of its intention to resume participation in the program.

(e) The State agency may authorize, under written agreement with public or private agencies, the acceptance of vouchers or warrants issued by such agencies in payment for coupon allotments issued to eligible households. Such vouchers or warrants shall be converted into cash as soon as practicable thereafter as determined by FNS.

(f) The State agency shall arrange for the reconciliation of coupon inventories, coupon issuances, sums collected from eligible households, vouchers, warrants accepted from public or private agencies, and other receipts. All such receipts shall be safeguarded at all times and promptly deposited. In any issuance system utilizing ATP cards, coupon issuances shall further be reconciled to the master file of certified eligible households.

(g) The State agency shall issue written program instructions to personnel responsible for the issuance of coupons. No State agency instruction or interpretation of FNS instructions shall be issued to local agencies without prior approval by FNS, unless FNS fails to respond to a request for approval within 30 days after the acknowledgment of its receipt by FNS.

(h) Every official or employee, except officials and employees of the U.S. Postal Service, who is responsible for receiving and issuing coupons or accepting cash or other receipts from eligible households shall be covered by an appropriate form of surety bond in favor of the State agency or the State issuing agency. The amount of such surety bonding shall be adequate to protect the financial interests of the State agency in case of loss.

§ 271.7 Financial liabilities of the State agency.

(a) If FNS determines that there has been gross negligence or fraud on the part of the State agency in the initial certification of households, any subsequent certification of households, or the issuance of coupons, the State shall, on demand by FNS, pay to FNS a sum equal to the amount of any free coupons issued as a result of such negligence or fraud. Gross negligence shall include those State agency actions in connection with certification and coupon issuance which are in substantial noncompliance with the provisions of this subchapter and which result in a loss of Federal funds. It shall also include those State agency actions with respect to certification and coupon issuance which would not be considered grossly negligent in themselves, but which, after previous admonition by FNS and the lapse of a reasonable period of time to take corrective measures, continue to result in substantial losses of Federal funds.

(b) If FNS determines that there has been a loss of coupons distributed to the State agency, or of the sums required to be collected by it in payment of the purchase requirement, including the cash equivalent of any vouchers or warrants accepted by it in accordance with § 271.6(c), including, but not limited to, coupons or funds lost as a result of thefts, embezzlements or unexplained causes, the State agency shall, on demand by FNS, pay to FNS the face amount of any such coupons, and the amount of such cash or cash equivalent. Coupons which are lost will be presumed to have been redeemed in the customary channels of redemption: *Provided*, That the State agency will be relieved of liability for such coupons which it establishes to the satisfaction of FNS were recovered or destroyed prior to presentation for redemption.

(c) The State agency shall be liable to FNS for any overissuance of coupons or undercollections of cash as a result of mathematical or changemaking errors by personnel of any issuing office. The State agency shall also be liable to FNS for the bonus value of all coupons purchased through the use of documents which are stolen or embezzled from or lost by the State agency.

(d) Upon a determination of the State agency that a participating household has fraudulently obtained coupons, the State agency, on behalf of FNS, shall make demand upon such household for repayment of the value of the free coupons issued to such household as a result of such fraud. Such actions shall be documented in the files of the State agency, and any funds collected as a result of such actions shall be remitted to FNS by the State agency. Demand and payment of any such amounts shall not relieve or discharge such household of any liability, either civil or criminal, for such additional amounts as may be due under any other applicable provisions of law. If the State agency finds that any eligible household has failed substantially to comply with the provisions of this part, the Plan of Operation, or any procedures or instructions issued by FNS or the State agency resulting in the fraudulent acquisition of coupons, such household may be disqualified from further participation in the program by the State agency for such period of time as the State agency shall determine.

(e) If excess free coupons are issued because of a certification error by the State agency or a misunderstanding of program provisions by a participating household, the State agency shall take appropriate corrective action to prevent any further issuance of excess free coupons to such household and, on behalf of FNS, make demand upon such household for repayment of the value of the free coupons issued to the household as a result of such certification error or misunderstanding of program provisions. The State agency may decline collection action to recover the value of the excess free coupons from the recipient household in any case in which such value is less than $400 under the following conditions:

(1) The issuance of excess free coupons did not involve gross negligence or fraud covered by paragraphs (a) and (d) of this section; and

(2) The State agency determines that either:

(i) It cannot collect or enforce collection of any significant sum from the household,

(ii) The cost of collection action likely will exceed the amount recoverable thereby, or

(iii) Evidence necessary to prove the claim cannot be produced.

In any case described in this paragraph in which the value of excess coupons issued is $400 or more, the State agency may decline collection action under the conditions specified herein only with the concurrence of FNS. In any such case, the State agency shall submit a statement of the facts and its proposed determination to FNS for review and concurrence.

§ 271.8 Plans of operation.

(a) The State agency shall prepare and submit a Plan of Operation to FNS for its approval.

(b) No coupons shall be issued prior to the date on which the Plan of Operation is approved by FNS.

(c) Such Plan of Operation shall contain:

(1) An agreement that the State agency will administer the program in conformance with the provisions of this subchapter and all FNS Instructions issued pursuant thereto;

(2) A provision that the State agency will submit for FNS approval any other internal policies, procedures, methods, forms or records that it will use in carrying out the administrative responsibilities assigned to it under the provisions of this subchapter and all FNS Instructions;

(3) Assurances of compliance in accordance with the Departmental Regulations on Nondiscrimination (Part 15 of this title);

(4) A State plan to undertake effective outreach action;

(5) A method for computing and claiming reimbursable program costs;

(6) A quality control plan which shall include:

(i) A brief description of the State's sampling plan, including the system of selecting the sample;

(ii) The State's plan for use of staff; and

(iii) The plan for analysis of and action on findings.

(7) The name of the State issuing agency, if any;

(8) Such other information as may be required by FNS; and

(9) Any other provisions for approval by FNS.

(d) No amendment to the Plan of Operation of any State agency or any

revision to the instructions issued by the State agency or by FNS shall be made without prior approval of FNS.

(e) FNS may require amendment of any State agency's Plan of Operation or written instructions as a condition of continuing approval.

§ 271.9 Use or redemption of coupons by eligible households.

(a) The head of the eligible household or his authorized representative shall sign each book of coupons provided to the head of the household or his authorized representative. The coupons may be used only by the head of the household or other persons selected by him to purchase eligible food for the household, except that eligible households residing in certain designated areas of the State of Alaska may purchase with their food coupons hunting and fishing equipment. Coupons may not be used to pay for deposits on bottles or other returnable food containers. Uncancelled and unendorsed coupons of 50-cent denomination returned as change by authorized retail food stores or meal services may be presented as payment for eligible food purchased in or delivered by an authorized retail food store or prepared and served by a meal service. All other coupons which have been detached from the coupon book prior to the time of purchase or delivery of eligible food may be presented as payment for eligible food purchased in or delivered by an authorized retail food store or meal service, only if the coupons are accompanied by the coupon books which bear the same serial numbers as the detached coupons. It is the right of the head of the household or his authorized representative to deatch the coupons from the book.

(b) Upon request, the head of the eligible household or his selected representative shall present the identification card of the head of the household to the retail food store or meal service when exchanging food coupons for eligible food.

(c) Coupons shall not be used to pay for any eligible food purchased prior to the time at which the coupons are presented to authorized retail food stores or meal services.

(d) When change in an amount of less than 50 cents is required in a coupon transaction, it is the right of the head of the household or his authorized representative to exercise the option to receive credit for an equivalent value (not to exceed 49 cents) of eligible food, to trade out in eligible food the difference between the cost of the purchase and the next higher 50-cent increment, or to pay in cash the difference between the cost of the purchase and the next lower 50-cent increment.

(e) If after investigation the State agency finds that any eligible household intentionally has failed substantially to comply with the provisions of this part, the Plan of Operation, or any procedures or instructions issued by the State agency or FNS relating to the use of coupons issued to the household, such household shall be disqualified from further participation by the State agency for such period of time as the State agency shall determine.

(f) In the event of voluntary termination of participation in the program by a household or death of the head of the household, properly issued coupons may be returned to the State agency, or to FNS for a refund on the same ratio of cash to coupons as was applied by the State agency in the issuance of the coupons to the household. A request for a refund shall be submitted to the State agency. The request for such a refund shall be made in accordance with the following requirements:

(1) It shall be in ink or typed.

(2) It shall contain the applicant's address.

(3) It shall be dated and signed.

(4) The unused coupons shall be attached.

(5) There shall be attached any additional documents or statements required by paragraph (g) of this section to show the claimant's right to a refund.

(g) Refunds under paragraph (f) of this section may be requested and paid in the following order of precedence and in accordance with the following conditions:

(1) To the household member who applied for participation in the program, or his or her spouse;

(2) When the head of the eligible household is incompetent, to a guardian, close relative, or other individual or organization which has assumed partial or complete financial responsibility for his care and custody, provided a statement is furnished describing the relationship between the claimant and the incompetent and the claimant certifies that the appointment of a legal representative is not contemplated and that the refund will be used for the benefit of the incompetent;

(3) When the head of the eligible household is deceased, the administrator, executor, or other legally authorized representative of the estate, when supported by a copy of the court order or other document legally establishing his authority to act;

(4) In the absence of such administrator, executor, or other legally authorized representative, to the sole heir or any one of a number of heirs to the estate of the deceased, provided in the latter case he affirms that the refund will be applied toward the payment of outstanding obligations of the deceased or shared with other heirs in accordance with the laws of the State in which the deceased resided;

(5) In any event, to a general assistance agency to which the previously issued coupons were returned and for which such agency directly paid the purchase requirement.

(h) State agencies may make direct refund to claimants other than State or local general assistance agencies from food stamp collections or from State or project area funds. Credit or reimbursement will be made directly to the State or project area by FNS.

(i) Refunds to State or local general assistance agencies will be made by FNS. State agencies unable to use the direct payment methods outlined in paragraph (h) of this section may also forward claims to FNS for payment. The claimant's request for a refund, a statement explaining the basis of the household's participation, the unused coupons, and any additional documents required by paragraph (g) of this section shall be forwarded to FNS by the State agency.

(j) Eligible households participating under the provision of § 271.6(d)(2) may return properly issued coupons to the State agency for a refund on the same ratio of cash to coupons as was applied by the State agency in the issuance of the coupons to the household. Such refunds shall be made from food stamp collections or from State or project area funds. Credit or reimbursement will be made directly to the State or project area by FNS.

(k) None of the provisions outlined above will preclude an eligible claimant from waiving a claim for the unused coupons returned.

§ 271.10 Eligibility and certification of supplemental security income recipients.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this subchapter, recipients of supplemental security income (SSI) payments shall be treated according to the provisions of this section for purposes of determining eligibility for and certification in the program. The provisions of this section will expire June 30, 1975.

(b) *Definitions.* For the purposes of this section the following definitions shall apply:

(1) "Supplemental security income (SSI)" means supplemental security income payments made under Title XVI of the Social Security Act, State supplemental payments made under section 1616 of that Act or payments made under Section 212(a) of Public Law 93–66.

(2) "Supplemental security income (SSI) recipient" means an individual or an individual and his eligible spouse who receives supplemental security income.

(3) "Essential person" means an individual who is considered an essential person under the terms of Public Law 93–66.

(c) *Food stamp eligibility of SSI recipients*—(1) *Ineligible SSI recipients.* (i) No SSI recipient shall be considered a household member or an elderly person for food stamp program purposes if he resides in the States of California, Massachusetts, Nevada (only applies to aged and blind), New York and Wisconsin. The Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare has determined, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 93–233, that such States provide State supplementary payments which have been specifically increased to include the value of bonus food coupons. The letter

constituting this determination is published herewith.[1]

(ii) SSI recipients in such States shall be terminated from participation in the program immediately, inasmuch as the statutory provisions requiring this result became effective January 1, 1974.

(iii) In such States the income and resources of an SSI recipient who resides with eligible household members or elderly persons shall not be considered available to the household members or elderly persons (except as provided in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section), nor shall his presence be considered in determining the household coupon allotment and purchase requirement. In such instances any payment for deductible expense made on behalf of the household by the ineligible SSI recipient shall not be counted as income to the household nor shall the household be allowed a deduction for such expense. If deductible household expenses are shared among household members and an ineligible SSI recipient, the household shall be granted a deduction based on that proportion of the expense which is actually borne by the household.

(2) *Eligible SSI recipients.* (i) In States other than those specified in paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, SSI recipients shall be considered household members or elderly persons. If otherwise eligible under this subchapter, households consisting solely of SSI recipients or of an SSI recipient and an essential person shall be eligible to participate in the program without regard to the income and resources of the household. The State agency shall provide for the certification of such households according to the terms of § 271.4(a)(2).

(ii) In States where SSI recipients are considered household members or elderly persons, (*a*) households which consist exclusively of SSI recipients (or an SSI recipient and an essential person) and individuals who are recipients of federally aided public assistance or general assistance shall be classified as public assistance households and (*b*) households which consist of SSI recipients and individuals who are not exclusively recipients of federally aided public assistance or general assistance shall be classified as nonpublic assistance households and shall be subject to the regular program income and resource standards of eligibility.

(3) *Essential persons.* (i) If otherwise eligible under this subchapter, an essential person shall be considered a household member or an elderly person for purposes of participation in the program.

(ii) The amount of the SSI payment made on behalf of such essential person shall be counted as income available to the household.

---

[1] Dear Mr. Secretary: As indicated in my letter of January 25, 1974, I determined on January 16, that the States of California, Hawaii, Massachusetts, Nevada, New York, and Wisconsin were providing supplementary payments which meet the criteria set forth in sections 8(b) and 8(c) of Public Law 93-233. (The State of Nevada provides such payments to aged and blind recipients only.) The State of Hawaii was the only such State which elected not to retain the bonus value of food stamps in its adjusted payment level. As of this writing, each of the other five States continues to meet the criteria and has retained the option of including the bonus value of food stamps in the adjusted payment level.

Therefore, it is my understanding that, in accordance with Public Law 93-335 for fiscal year 1975, as for January through June 1974, recipients of State supplementary payments residing in California, Massachusetts, Nevada, New York, and Wisconsin will not be eligible to participate in certain food assistance programs of the Department of Agriculture.

NOTE: The recordkeeping and/or reporting requirements herein specified have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

PART 272—PARTICIPATION OF RETAIL FOOD STORES, WHOLESALE FOOD CONCERNS, MEAL SERVICES, AND BANKS

Sec.

272.1 Approval of retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and meal services.
272.2 Participation of retail food stores and meal services.
272.3 Participation of wholesale food concerns.
272.4 Procedure for redeeming coupons.
272.5 Participation of banks.
272.6 Disqualification of retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and meal services.
272.7 Determination and disposition of claims—retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and meal services.
272.8 Administrative review—retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and meal services.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 272 issued under 78 Stat. 703, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 2011-2026.

§ 272.1 Approval of retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and meal services.

(a) Firms desiring to participate in the program shall file an application with FNS, in such form as FNS may prescribe.

(b) An applicant shall provide sufficient data on the nature and scope of the firm's business for FNS to determine whether such applicant's participation will effectuate the purposes of the program. In making such determination FNS shall consider:

(1) The nature and the extent of the food business conducted by the applicant;

(2) The volume of food stamp business which may be reasonably expected to be done by the applicant;

(3) The business integrity and reputation of the applicant; and

(4) Such other factors as FNS considers pertinent to the application under consideration.

(c) A nonprofit meal delivery service or communal dining facility desiring to prepare and serve meals to households eligible under § 271.3(a)(2) and (a)(3) of this subchapter, in addition to meeting the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, must establish that:

(1) It is not receiving federally donated foods from the Department for use in the preparation of meals to be exchanged for food coupons, unless it is funded under the provisions of Title VII of the Older Americans Act of 1965; and

(2) It is recognized as a tax-exempt organization by the Internal Revenue Service; or

(3) It is a private establishment with which a State or local agency has contracted for meals prepared especially for elderly persons and sold at concessional prices during regular or special hours; *Provided*, That approval to participate shall be automatically withdrawn at the time of expiration or cancellation of the contract with the State or local agency.

(d) Drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation programs desiring to prepare and serve meals to households eligible under § 271.3(a)(4) of this subchapter must, in addition to meeting requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (c)(1) and (2) of this section, be certified by the State agency or agencies designated by the Governor as responsible for the State's programs for alcoholics and drug addicts pursuant to Public Law 91-616, "Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment, and Rehabilitation Act of 1970" and Public Law 92-255, "Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972", as providing treatment that can lead to the rehabilitation of drug addicts or alcoholics: *Provided*, That approval to participate shall be automatically withdrawn at any time that a program loses its certification from the State agency or agencies.

(e) Upon approval, FNS shall issue a nontransferable authorization card to the firm. Such authorization card shall be retained by the firm until superseded, surrendered, or revoked as provided in this part.

(f) FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that such firm's participation will not effectuate the purposes of the program. FNS will not consider the participation of a retail food store as effectuating the purposes of the program unless its food sales include staple foods for home preparation which are most needed in the diets of eligible households. If FNS determines that a firm does not qualify for participation in the program, a notice to that effect shall be issued to the firm. Such notice shall be delivered by certified mail or personal service. If such firm is aggrieved by such action, it may seek administrative review of such action as provided in § 272.8.

(g) FNS may from time to time, but not more frequently than once each Federal fiscal year, require all authorized firms within a project area to submit new applications if such firms wish to continue to participate in the program: *Provided*, That any individual firm may be required to submit a new application at any time FNS receives new or additional information with respect to such firm, relating to any of the criteria set forth in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section. FNS shall review the new application and within 30 days of receipt, make a determination as to whether the

firm's continued participation serves to effectuate the purposes of the program. Applications received under this paragraph shall be considered by FNS under the same criteria and subject to the same rights of administrative review as provided in this section for initial applications.

(h) The filing of any application containing false information may result in the denial or withdrawal of approval to participate in the program and may subject the firm and persons responsible to civil or criminal action under applicable provisions of the law. FNS may also deny or withdraw approval to participate where information regarding the firm's business integrity and reputation becomes available which, in the opinion of FNS, indicates the firm is not willing or does not have the ability to abide by the provisions of this part. Any such withdrawal or denial of authorization to participate in the program shall be subject to administrative review under the provisions of § 272.8. The contents of applications or other information furnished by firms under the provisions of this section shall not be used or disclosed to anyone except for purposes directly connected with the administration and enforcement of the provisions of the Food Stamp Act and the provisions of this subchapter.

§ 272.2 Participation of retail food stores, and meal services.

(a) Authorized retail food stores shall post in the store the "Official Food List" issued by FNS or a notice of similar import.

(b) Coupons shall be accepted by an authorized retail food store or meal service only in exchange for eligible food as defined in § 270.2(s) of this subchapter, except that authorized food stores in Alaska may accept food coupons for hunting and fishing equipment from eligible households participating in accordance with § 271.3(a)(5) of this subchapter. An authorized food retailer or meal service shall not accept coupons in payment for deposit on bottles or other returnable food containers.

(c) Coupons shall be accepted for eligible foods at the same prices and on the same terms and conditions applicable to cash purchases of the same foods at the same store: *Provided*, That nothing in this part shall be construed as authorizing FNS to specify the prices at which food may be sold by retail food stores or meal services.

(d) No retail food store or meal service authorized to receive coupons shall accept coupons marked "paid," "cancelled," or "specimen," coupons marked with the name or authorization number of any other firm, coupons bearing the name of any bank, or coupons of other than 50-cent denomination which have been detached from the coupon books prior to the time of purchase or delivery of eligible food unless the detached coupons are accompanied by the coupon books which bear the same serial numbers that appear on the detached coupons. It is the right of the head of the household or his selected representative to detach the coupons from the book.

(e) Change in cash shall not be given for coupons. An authorized food retailer or meal service must use for the purpose of making change in an amount of 50 cents or more, those uncanceled and unmarked coupons having a denomination of 50 cents which were previously accepted in exchange for eligible foods. If change in an amount of less than 50 cents is required, the eligible household shall have the option of receiving credit from the authorized firm for future delivery of an equivalent value of eligible foods, or of trading out in eligible food the difference between the cost of the purchase and the next higher 50-cent increment, or of paying in cash the difference between the cost of the purchase and the next lower 50-cent increment. Credit in excess of 49 cents shall not be returned in coupon transactions. Tokens or credit slips used for change in coupon transactions shall conform with the following:

(1) Tokens shall not resemble U.S. coins in any way.

(2) Tokens shall be dissimilar in size and material to lawful coins.

(3) Credit slips or tokens shall bear language similar to the following: "Redeemable only in eligible food and only at (insert the name of the issuing store or chain) store(s)."

(4) Credit slips or tokens shall not bear the seal of the Department.

(5) Credit slips shall be clearly and easily distinguishable from the official food coupons.

(6) Credit slips or tokens shall not in any way indicate that they are obligations of the United States or the Department.

(7) Credit slips or tokens issued by one authorized firm shall not be accepted by another authorized firm unless the two firms are under single ownership, or are members of a food marketing cooperative and hold themselves out to be members of the same cooperative by use of the same name, trademarks, house brands, etc.

(f) An authorized food retailer or meal service shall not retain custody of any unexpended coupons of eligible households, or use or adopt any trick, scheme, or device to prevent an eligible household from using unexpended coupons in making purchases from other authorized firms.

(g) Coupons shall not be accepted by an authorized retail food store or meal service in payment for any eligible foods sold or delivered by such firm prior to the time at which the coupons are tendered in payment for eligible foods.

(h) Authorized retail food stores and meal services which receive coupons in accordance with the provisions of this part, shall be entitled to receive payment for the face value of such coupons upon presentation through the banking system or through authorized wholesale food concerns.

(i) Coupons shall not knowingly be accepted from persons who have no right to possession of such coupons for use as prescribed in this part. If a food retailer or meal service has any cause to believe that a person presenting coupons has no right to possession thereof, such food retailer or meal service should request such person to show the identification card of the head of the household to establish the right of such person to possession of coupons.

(j) A nonprofit meal delivery service shall request the recipient of a delivered meal to show the marked identification card establishing the recipient's right to use coupons for such a service the first time that such recipient offers coupons in payment for such a service, or states his intention of doing so, and shall request such marked identification card at any time such nonprofit meal delivery service has cause to question the continued eligibility of such recipient to use coupons for delivered meals.

(k) Authorized Alaskan retailers shall request food coupon customers wanting to purchase hunting and fishing equipment with food coupons to show their identification cards in order to determine that they live in an area designated by FNS as one in which persons are dependent upon hunting and fishing for subsistence.

§ 272.3 Participation of wholesale food concerns.

(a) An authorized wholesale food concern may accept endorsed coupons for redemption only from authorized retail food stores and meal services, and only when coupons are presented with the authorized retail food store's or meal service's properly executed, signed redemption certificate, and when such coupons have not been marked "paid," "cancelled," or "specimen."

(b) An authorized wholesale food concern which has received coupons in accordance with the provisions of this part shall be entitled to receive payment through the banking system for the face value of such coupons, upon presentation of the coupons together with:

(1) The authorized retail food store's or meal service's properly executed, signed redemption certificate for such coupons; and

(2) The authorized wholesale food concern's properly executed, signed redemption certificate.

§ 272.4 Procedure for redeeming coupons.

(a) Coupons accepted by a retail food store, meal service, or a wholesale food concern prior to the receipt by such firm of an authorization card from FNS shall not be presented for redemption unless such redemption has been approved by the FNS Officer-in-Charge under § 272.7(b). Burned or mutilated coupons shall not be presented for redemption under this section, but shall be presented to the FNS Officer-in-Charge under § 272.7(c).

(b) Each authorized retail food store, meal service, or authorized wholesale food concern shall stamp or otherwise indicate its authorization number or the name of such firm on each coupon prior to the time such coupons are presented for redemption under the procedure provided in this part.

(c) Authorized firms will be provided by FNS with redemption certificates which shall be used in presenting coupons to commercial banks for credit or for cash. Authorized retail food stores and meal services shall also use such certificates in presenting coupons to authorized wholesale food concerns for redemption.

§ 272.5 Participation of banks.

(a) Banks may accept coupons for redemption from authorized retail food stores, authorized meal services, and authorized wholesale food concerns in accordance with the provisions of this part and the instructions of the Federal Reserve Banks. Coupons submitted to banks for credit or for cash must be properly endorsed in accordance with § 272.4 and shall be accompanied by a properly executed redemption certificate. No bank shall knowingly accept coupons used by ineligible persons or transmitted for collection by unauthorized firms or any other unauthorized persons, partnerships, corporations, or other legal entities. Banks may require persons presenting coupons for redemption to show their authorization card. The redemption certificates shall be held by the receiving bank until final credit has been given by the Federal Reserve Bank after which the wholesale food concerns' redemption certificates shall be forwarded to the FNS Field Office and the retail food stores' and meal services' redemption certificates to:

Food Stamp Control Unit
ASCS Commodity Office
U.S. Department of Agriculture
3930 West 65th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55435

Coupons accepted for deposit or for payment in cash must be cancelled by or for the first bank receiving the coupons by indelibly marking "paid" or "cancelled" together with the name of the bank, or its routing symbol transit number, on the coupons by means of an appropriate stamp. A portion of a coupon consisting of less than three-fifths of a whole coupon shall not be accepted for redemption by banks. Banks which are members of the Federal Reserve System, nonmember clearing banks, and nonmember banks which have arranged with a Federal Reserve Bank to deposit coupons for credit to the account of a member bank on the books of the Federal Reserve Bank may forward cancelled coupons directly to the Federal Reserve Bank for payment in accordance with applicable regulations or instructions of the Federal Reserve Banks. Other banks may forward cancelled coupons through ordinary collection channels.

(b) Federal Reserve Banks, acting as fiscal agents of the United States, are authorized to receive cancelled coupons for collection as cash items from armed forces installations, member banks of the Federal Reserve System, nonmember clearing banks, and nonmember banks which have arranged with a Federal Reserve Bank to deposit coupons for credit to the account of a member bank on the books of the Federal Reserve Bank, and to charge such items to the general account of the Treasurer of the United States.

(c) (1) FNS shall be liable for losses of shipments of canceled coupons while in transit to Federal Reserve or correspondent banks: *Provided*, That:

(i) Coupons shall not be deemed to be in transit while in the custody and care of either the transmitting bank, or of the Federal Reserve, or of the correspondent bank, or of their employees.

(ii) The bank is unable to recover the loss from the carrier: *and Provided further*, That, in the event of a partial loss, there is evidence of the package having been tampered with or damaged in transit. The Federal Reserve and correspondent bank shall record the condition of packages which on receipt appear to have been tampered with or damaged in transit.

(iii) A statement is obtained from the Federal Reserve or correspondent bank that the shipment or part of the shipment was not received. In the event of a partial loss, this statement shall specify the condition of the package upon receipt and state whether it appeared to have been tampered with or damaged in transit.

(2) The commercial bank shall give a prompt written report of loss, destruction, or damage to the Post Office, or other carrier.

(3) Commercial banks shall submit the following documents to FNS in support of any claim for payment for coupons lost in transit:

(i) A copy of the notification of loss to the Post Office or other carrier;

(ii) A statement of facts concerning the loss and the bank's procedures for making the shipment. This statement shall specify that either all or part of the loss cannot be recovered from the carrier. If a partial recovery has been or will be made, the amount shall be stated;

(iii) A statement from the Federal Reserve or correspondent bank that the shipment or part of the shipment was not received. In the event of a partial loss, this statement shall specify that the loss may have resulted from the package being tampered with or damaged in transit;

(iv) All the Redemption Certificates received from the firms which relate to the shipment; and

(v) A copy of the cash letter which transmitted the shipment.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of this subchapter to the contrary, coupons may be issued to, purchased by, or presented for redemption by persons authorized by FNS to use such coupons in examining and inspecting program operations and compliance with program regulations, and for other purposes determined by FNS to be required for proper administration of the program. Such coupons which have been so issued and used, as well as any coupons which have been issued under paragraph (f) of this section, or which FNS believes may have been issued, transferred, negotiated, used, or received in violation of any provisions of this subchapter or of any applicable statute, shall at the request of any person acting on behalf of FNS and on issuance of a receipt therefor by such persons, be released and turned over to FNS by the bank receiving such coupons, or by any other person to whom such request is addressed, together with the certificate(s) of redemption accompanying such coupons, if any. Any such coupons so requested shall not thereafter be eligible for redemption through Federal Reserve Banks or other collection channels: *Provided*, That FNS may redeem such coupons from any such bank or person by payment of the face amount thereof upon determination by FNS that such direct redemption of coupons is warranted under all of the circumstances of the examination or inspection in which such coupons were used. Coupons received by FNS under this paragraph shall be held by FNS for such disposition as may be determined by FNS on completion of the examination or inspection in which such coupons were used. In the event such coupons have not been redeemed by FNS as provided in this paragraph, claims or demands relative thereto may be mailed to the local FNS Field Office for the project area involved.

(e) Under the authority contained in paragraph (d) of this section, FNS will sell coupons at face value to any authorized retail food store which wishes to use coupons to conduct internal checks of its employees' handling of coupon transactions: *Provided*, That such retail food store submits a written request to FNS which shall include a certification that the store recognizes that its use of coupons will in no way affect FNS action to enforce program regulations and that the requested coupons will be used only for internal checks of the store's employees and only to uncover sales of items other than eligible foods, as defined in § 270.2(s). The request shall further include the name of the city or county in which the stores to be checked through the use of the requested coupons are located and the name and address of any outside agency with which the retail food store has or will have a contract to conduct checks of the store's employees using coupons. The request shall be directed to the Food Stamp Division, FNS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, and shall be accompanied by a check or money order made payable to the Food and Nutrition Service to cover the face value cost of the coupons requested. Coupons purchased by retail food stores for use in internal checks may be subsequently redeemed for full value in accordance with § 272.4, and in redeeming such coupons, retail food stores are authorized to make the certification required for redemption.

(f) Upon the written request of Federal, State, or local governmental agencies which have authority to investigate, and are investigating, suspected violations of Federal or State statutes relating to the enforcement of the Food Stamp Act or the regulations issued thereunder, the State agency may allow households which it believes are or may

be ineligible for the program to continue program participation and to receive and use ATP cards and food coupons. The State agency may allow such households to continue participation in the program until the earlier of (1) expiration of the period of 90 days after such request is received or of such longer period as FNS, upon request of the State agency, may for good cause approve in a particular case, or (2) receipt of notification from the investigative agency that such participation may be terminated or that the investigation has been completed. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this subchapter, the State agency shall not be liable to FNS for the bonus value of any coupons issued to households which the State agency allows to continue participation in the program in conformity with the provisions of this paragraph.

§ 272.6 Disqualification of retail food stores, meal services, and wholesale food concerns.

(a) Any authorized retail food store, authorized meal service, or authorized wholesale food concern may be disqualified from further participation in the program by FNS for a reasonable period of time, not to exceed three years, as FNS may determine, if such firm fails to comply with the Food Stamp Act or the provisions of this part; except that, if the disqualification is based on failure of such firm to pay a monetary claim determined by FNS pursuant to § 272.7, such disqualification may be continued until such claim is paid. Any firm which has been so disqualified and which desires to be reinstated upon the end of the period of disqualification or at any time thereafter shall file a new application so that FNS may determine whether reinstatement is appropriate under the provisions of this part. Such an application may be filed starting ten days before the end of the period of disqualification.

(b) Any firm considered for disqualification under paragraph (a) of this section shall have full opportunity to submit to FNS information, explanation, or evidence concerning any instances of non-compliance before a final determination is made by FNS as to the administrative action to be taken. Prior to such determination, the firm shall be sent a letter of charges by the appropriate Regional Office, FNS. The letter shall specify the violations or actions which FNS believes constitute a basis for disqualification. Such letter shall inform the firm that it may respond either orally or in writing to the charges contained therein within ten days of the mailing date thereof, which response shall set forth a statement of evidence, information, or explanation pertaining to the specified violations or acts. Such response, if any, shall be made to the Officer-In-Charge, FNS Field Office, who has responsibility for the project area in which the firm is located.

(c) The letter of charges, the response, and such other information as may be available to FNS shall be reviewed and considered by the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, who shall then issue his determination.

(d) The determination of the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, shall be final and not subject to further administrative or judicial review unless a written request for review is filed within ten days in accordance with § 272.8.

(e) The mailing by certified mail or delivery by personal service of any notice required of FNS by this part will constitute notice to the addressee of its contents.

§ 272.7 Determination and disposition of claims—retail food stores, meal services, and wholesale food concerns.

(a) If FNS determines that a retail food store, meal service, or wholesale food concern accepted coupons in violation of the provisions of the Food Stamp Act or the provisions of this part, FNS may deny the claim for redemption of such coupons. In the event such coupons have been redeemed, FNS may determine a monetary claim against such firm with respect to the coupons involved in such violations and may collect such claim by setoff against the amounts due the firm upon redemption of other coupons. The firm shall promptly pay such claim if FNS does not collect it by setoff. Failure of a firm to pay a claim will be cause for disqualifying the firm or denying an application for reauthorization submitted by the firm if previously disqualified.

(b) The FNS Officer-In-Charge may approve the redemption under §272.4 of coupons accepted by firms prior to the receipt of an authorization card from FNS if the following conditions exist:

(1) The coupons were received in accordance with the provisions of this part governing acceptance of coupons except the provisions requiring that the firm be authorized before acceptance;

(2) The coupons were accepted by the firm in good faith, and without any intent to circumvent the provisions of this part; and

(3) The firm applies for and receives authorization to participate in the program. Firms seeking approval to redeem such coupons shall present a written application for approval to the local FNS Field Office. This application shall be accompanied by a full written statement signed by the firm of the circumstances surrounding the acceptance of the coupons. The statement shall also include a certification that the coupons were accepted in good faith, and without any intent to circumvent the requirements of this part.

(c) FNS may redeem burned or mutilated coupons only to the extent that the Bureau of Engraving and Printing of the United States Treasury Department can determine the value of the coupons. The firm presenting burned or mutilated coupons for redemption shall submit the coupons to the local FNS Field Office with a properly filled-out redemption certificate. In the section of the redemption certificate for entering the amount of coupons to be redeemed, an estimate of the value of the burned or mutilated coupons submitted for redemption shall be entered if the exact value of the coupons is unknown. The phrase "Finance and Program Accounting Division, FNS, USDA," should be entered in the section of the redemption certificate for entering the name and address of the bank or wholesaler.

(d) If a claim under the provisions of this section is denied in whole or in part, notification of such action shall be sent to the firm by certified mail or personal service. If the firm is aggrieved by such action, it may seek administrative review as provided in § 272.8.

§ 272.8 Administrative review—retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and meal services.

(a) A food retailer, food wholesaler, or meal service aggrieved by administrative action under the provisions of §§ 272.1, 272.6 and § 272.7 may within 10 days of the date of delivery to the firm of notice of such administrative action, file a written request for review of such administrative action with the Food Stamp Review Officer. On receipt of such request for review, the questioned administrative action shall be stayed pending disposition of such request for review by the Food Stamp Review Officer.

(b) The request for review shall be filed with the Food Stamp Review Officer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

(c) The procedure for food stamp reviews is published in Part 273 of this subchapter, and is available upon request from the Food Stamp Review Officer.

NOTE: The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained herein have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

PART 273—ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL REVIEW—FOOD RETAILERS, FOOD WHOLESALERS AND MEAL SERVICES

Subpart A—Administrative Review—General

Sec.

273.1 Scope and purpose.
273.2 Food Stamp Review Officer.
273.3 Authority and jurisdiction.
273.4 Rules of Procedure.

Subpart B—Rules of Procedure

273.5 Manner of filing requests for review.
273.6 Content of requests for review.
273.7 Action upon receipt of a request for review.
273.8 Determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer.
273.9 Legal advice and extensions of time.

Subpart C—Judicial Review

273.10 Judicial review.

AUTHORITY: 78 Stat. 703, as amended (7 U.S.C. 2011–2026).

Subpart A—Administrative Review—General

§ 273.1 Scope and purpose.

This Subpart A sets forth the procedure for the designation of Food Stamp Review Officers and the authority and jurisdiction of such officers. Subpart B of

this part sets forth the rules of procedure to be followed in the filing and disposition of the requests for review for which provision is made in § 272.8 of this subchapter. Subpart C of this part relates to the provisions governing the rights of food retailers, food wholesalers, and meal services to judicial review of the final determinations of the Food Stamp Review Officer.

§ 273.2 Food Stamp Review Officer.

(a) The Administrator, FNS, shall designate one or more persons to act as Food Stamp Review Officers.

(b) Such officers shall serve for such periods as the Administrator, FNS, shall determine. Changes in designations and additional designations, may be made from time to time at the discretion of the Administrator, FNS. When more than one Food Stamp Review Officer has been designated, requests for review will be assigned for handling to individual Food Stamp Review Officers by a person designated by the Administrator, FNS. The names of the Food Stamp Review Officers shall be on file in the Office of the Administrator, FNS.

§ 273.3 Authority and jurisdiction.

(a) A Food Stamp Review Officer shall act for the Department on requests for review filed by firms aggrieved by any of the following actions:

(1) Denial of an application to participate in the program under § 272.1 of this subchapter; or

(2) Disqualification from participation in the program under § 272.6 of this subchapter; or

(3) Denial of all or any part of any claim under § 272.7 of this subchapter.

(b) The determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer on such a review shall be the final administrative determination of the Department, subject, however, to judicial review as provided in section 13 of the Food Stamp Act and Subpart C of this part.

§ 273.4 Rules of procedure

Rules of procedure for the orderly filing and disposition of requests for review of firms submitted in accordance with § 273.5 are issued in Subpart B of this part. The Administrator, FNS, may subsequently issue amendments to such rules of procedure as he deems appropriate.

Subpart B—Rules of Procedure

§ 273.5 Manner of filing requests for review.

(a) Requests for review submitted by firms shall be mailed to or filed with "Food Stamp Review Officer, FNS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250."

(b) Such requests shall be in writing and shall state the name and business address of the firm involved, and the name, address and position with the firm of the person who signed the request. The request shall be signed by the owner of the firm, an officer or partner of the firm, or by counsel, and need not be under oath.

(c) Such a request shall be filed with the Food Stamp Review Officer within ten calendar days of the date of delivery of the notice of the action for which review is requested. For the purpose of determining whether such a request was timely filed, the filing date shall be deemed to be the postmark date of the request, or equivalent if the written request is filed by a means other than mail.

§ 273.6 Content of requests for review.

(a) Requests for review shall clearly identify the administrative action from which the review is requested. Such identification shall include the date of the letter or other written communication notifying the firm of the administrative action, the name and title of the person who signed such letter or other communication, and whether the action under appeal concerns a denial of an application for participation, a disqualification from further participation, or a denial of all or any part of a claim.

(b) Such requests shall include information in support of the request showing the grounds on which the review is being sought from the administrative action, or it shall state that such information will be filed in writing at a later date. In such event, the Food Stamp Review Officer shall notify the firm of the date by which such information must be filed. The firm requesting review may ask for an opportunity to appear before the Food Stamp Review Officer in person: *Provided*, That any information so submitted in person shall, if directed by the Food Stamp Review Officer, be reduced to writing by the firm and subsequently filed with the Food Stamp Review Officer within such period as he shall specify.

§ 273.7 Action upon receipt of a request for review.

(a) Upon receipt of a request for review of a disqualification action, the Food Stamp Review Officer shall notify the Chief of the Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, FNS, in writing, of the action under review and shall direct that the administrative action shall be held in abeyance until the Review Officer has made his determination. Upon receipt of a request for review of a denial of application to participate in the program; or of a denial of a claim, the Food Stamp Review Officer shall notify the Chief of the Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, FNS, in writing, of the action under review and shall direct that the firm shall not be approved for participation or paid any part of the disputed claim until the Review Officer has made his determination. In any case, notice to the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch shall be accompanied by a copy of the request filed by the firm.

(b) If the request filed by the firm includes a request for an opportunity to file written information in support of its position at a later date, the Food Stamp Review Officer shall promptly notify the firm of the date by which such information shall be filed. If the firm fails to file any information in support of its position by the designated date, the information submitted with the original request shall be deemed to be the only information submitted by the firm. In such event, if no information in support of the firm's position was submitted with the original request, the action of the appropriate FNS Regional Office, or of the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, FNS, whichever is applicable shall be final.

(c) If the firm filing the request for review asked for an opportunity to appear before the Food Stamp Review Officer in person, such Officer shall promptly notify the firm of the date, time and place set for such appearance. If such firm fails to appear before the Food Stamp Review Officer as specified, any written information timely submitted in accordance with this section shall be deemed to be the only information submitted by such firm.

(d) The Food Stamp Review Officer shall require the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, FNS to promptly submit, in writing, all information which was the basis for the administrative action for which the review has been requested.

§ 273.8 Determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer.

(a) The Food Stamp Review Officer shall make a determination based upon:

(1) The information submitted by the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, FNS;

(2) Information submitted by the firm in support of its position; and

(3) Such additional information, in writing, as may be obtained by such Officer from any other person having relevant information.

(b) In the case of a request for review of a denial of an application to participate in the program, the determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer shall sustain the action under review or shall direct that the firm be approved for participation.

(c) In the case of a request for review of action disqualifying a firm from participation in the program, the determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer shall sustain the action under review or specify a shorter period of disqualification, direct that an official warning letter be issued to the firm in lieu of any period of disqualification, or direct that no administrative action be taken in the case.

(d) In the case of a request for review of a denial of all or part of a claim of a firm, the determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer shall sustain the action under review or shall specify the amount of the claim to be paid by FNS.

(e) The Food Stamp Review Officer shall notify the firm of his determination by certified mail. Such notification shall be sent to the representative of the firm who filed the request for review.

(f) The Food Stamp Review Officer shall send a copy of his notification to the firm to the Chief, Retailer-Wholesaler Branch, Food Stamp Division, FNS,

who shall undertake such action as may be necessary to comply with the determination of such Officer.

(g) The determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer shall take effect 15 days after the date of delivery of such determination to the firm.

§ 273.9 Legal advice and extensions of time.

(a) If any request for review involves any doubtful questions of law, the Food Stamp Review Officer shall obtain the advice of the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

(b) Upon timely written request to the Food Stamp Review Officer by the firm requesting the review, the Food Stamp Review Officer may grant extensions of time, if, in his discretion, additional time is required for the firm to fully present information in support of its position: *Provided*, That no extensions shall be made in the time allowed for the filing of a request for review.

Subpart C—Judicial Review

§ 273.10 Judicial review.

(a) A firm aggrieved by the determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer, may obtain judicial review of such determinations, by filing a complaint against the United States in the U.S. District Court for the district in which he resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. Such complaint must be filed within 30 days after the date of delivery or service upon him of the notice of determination of the Food Stamp Review Officer in accordance with § 273.8(e), otherwise such determination shall be final.

(b) Service of the summons and complaint in any such action shall be made in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure for the U.S. District Courts. The copy of the summons and complaint required by such Rules to be served on the officer or agency whose order is being attacked shall be sent by registered or certified mail to the person in charge of the applicable Regional Office of FNS listed in § 270.5 of this subchapter.

(c) The suit in the U.S. District Court or in the State court, as the case may be, shall be a trial de novo by the court in which the court shall determine the validity of the questioned administrative action in issue. If the court determines that such administrative action is invalid, it shall enter such judgment or order as it determines is in accordance with the law and the evidence.

(d) During the pendency of such judicial review, or any appeal therefrom, the administrative action under review shall remain in full force and effect, unless the firm makes application to the court upon not less than ten days' notice and, after hearing thereon and a showing of irreparable injury, the court temporarily stays the administrative action under review pending disposition of the de novo trial or an appeal therefrom.

NOTE: The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained herein have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

PART 274—EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE FOR VICTIMS OF DISASTERS

Subpart A—Major Disasters Declared by the President

Sec.
274.1 General purpose and scope.
274.2 Administration.
274.3 Definitions.
274.4 Determination of the need for emergency food assistance.
274.5 Certification of households and issuance of coupons.
274.6 Duration of emergency food issuance.
274.7 Reporting.

Subpart B—Other Disasters Declared by FNS

Sec.
274.8 General purpose and scope.
274.9 Administration.
274.10 Definitions.
274.11 Determination of the need for temporary emergency food stamp assistance.
274.12 Certification of households and issuance of coupons.
274.13 Duration of temporary emergency food stamp assistance.
274.14 Reporting.

Subpart A—Major Disasters Declared by the President

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart A issued under the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-288, 88 Stat. 143).

§ 274.1 General purpose and scope.

Section 409 of the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 authorizes the President to distribute through the Secretary of Agriculture emergency food coupon allotments to low-income households who are unable to purchase adequate amounts of nutritious food as a result of a major disaster. This Subpart A implements section 409 of the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 in project areas where the program is in operation. In areas where the program is not in operation, emergency food assistance need in a major disaster will be met as provided in regulations governing the distribution of federally donated foods.

§ 274.2 Administration.

(a) By Executive Order, the authority provided the President under section 409 of the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 has been delegated to the Secretary of Agriculture.

(b) Within the Department, such authority is delegated to FNS, which shall act in behalf of the Department in the administration of section 409 of the Disaster Relief Act of 1974.

(c) Except as provided in this subpart, the regulations and procedures governing the administration of the program shall remain effective through the period during which emergency food assistance is being made available.

§ 274.3 Definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart the term:

(a) "Major disaster" means any hurricane, tornado, storm, flood, high water, wind-driven water, tidal wave, tsunami, earthquake, volcanic eruption, landslide, mudslide, snowstorm, drought, fire, explosion or other catastrophe which is determined to be a "major disaster" by the President pursuant to the Disaster Relief Act of 1974.

(b) "Emergency food coupon allotment" means up to one-month's allotment of food coupons based upon the household size at no cost to the household.

§ 274.4 Determination of the need for emergency food assistance.

The distribution by the State agency of emergency food coupon allotments is authorized to households residing in a food stamp project area in an area determined to have been adversely affected by a major disaster, only upon a determination by FNS that such households, because of reduction in or inaccessibility of income or resources resulting from such major disaster, are in need of food assistance which cannot be met by the existing program in such project areas. Further, the area authorized by FNS for emergency food coupon issuance may or may not have boundaries congruent with that area designated as a major disaster by the President.

§ 274.5 Certification of households and issuance of coupons.

(a) The eligibility of each applicant household for an emergency food coupon allotment shall be determined by the State agency or any designated disaster relief agency. Any such disaster relief agency must be designated by the State agency with the approval of FNS, or by FNS. An applicant household shall be determined eligible for an emergency food coupon allotment if such household establishes to the satisfaction of the State agency, or designated disaster relief agency, that it is in need of food assistance because of reduction in or inaccessibility of income or resources resulting from a major disaster, without regard to the eligibility standards for households under Part 271 of this subchapter.

(b) The issuance of emergency food coupon allotments shall be by the normal procedures in effect in a project area: *Provided*, That if such issuance is not practical because of the effects of the major disaster, the State agency, with FNS approval, may make temporary arrangements during the emergency period to facilitate issuance to eligible households. Such temporary arrangements shall in no way affect the accountability and the liability of the State agency for coupons and cash as provided for in §§ 271.6 and 271.7 of this subchapter.

§ 274.6 Duration of emergency food assistance.

(a) Emergency food asistance shall be available for such period of time as FNS may prescribe, but not in excess of 30 days: *Provided*, That the emergency period may be extended by FNS on the basis of a redetermination that continuing emergency food assistance is necessary because of the continuing effects of the major disaster.

(b) Following the termination of the emergency period, the eligibility of households shall be determined through normal certification procedures including appropriate consideration of continuing hardship factors resulting from the major disaster.

§ 274.7 Reporting.

The State agency shall keep such records and submit such reports and other information concerning this subpart as may from time to time be required by FNS.

NOTE.—The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained herein have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

Subpart B—Other Disasters Declared by FNS

AUTHORITY: Public Law 88-525, 78 Stat. 703, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 2011-2026.

§ 274.8 General purpose and scope.

The Food Stamp Act provides that the Secretary may establish temporary emergency standards of eligibility for the duration of the emergency without regard to income and other financial resources, for households that are victims of a mechanical disaster which disrupts the distribution of coupons, and for households that are victims of a disaster which disrupted commercial channels of food distribution when he determines that such households are in need of temporary food assistance, and that such commercial channels have again become available to meet the temporary food needs of such households. This subpart implements these temporary emergency provisions of the Food Stamp Act in project areas where the program is in operation. In areas where the program is not in operation, emergency food assistance need in a disaster will be met as provided in regulations governing the distribution of federally donated foods.

§ 274.9 Administration.

(a) Within the Department, FNS shall act on behalf of the Department in the administration of the provisions of this subpart.

(b) Except as provided in this subpart, the regulations and procedures governing the administration of the program shall remain effective through the period during which emergency food assistance is being made available.

§ 274.10 Definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart, the term:

(a) "Mechanical Disaster" means the cessation for not less than 15 consecutive calendar days of the operation of all equipment available to the State agency for the production of ATP cards, by reason of causes beyond the control of the State agency: *Provided*, That such causes shall not include strikes, lockouts, or work stoppages, or any failure on the part of assigned personnel to operate said equipment.

(b) "Disrupts the distribution of coupons" means that by reason of a mechanical disaster the State agency is unable to produce ATP cards or equivalent authorization-to-purchase documents necessary for the normal issuance of coupons to households.

(c) "Temporary emergency" means an emergency caused by a mechanical disaster or any other disaster, resulting from either natural or human causes, other than a major disaster declared by the President under the Disaster Relief Act of 1974, which is determined by FNS to have disrupted the distribution of coupons or to have disrupted commercial channels of food distribution.

(d) "Temporary standards of eligibility" means standards of eligibility for victims of a disaster for temporary food assistance as provided in this subpart.

(e) "Victims of a disaster" means households which as a result of a temporary emergency are in need of temporary food assistance due to a reduction in or inaccessibility of income or resources, or due to the disruption of the distribution of coupons by reason of a mechanical disaster: *Provided*, That in the case of a mechanical disaster the term includes only households already certified as eligible to participate in the program as of the month in which such disaster occurred.

(f) "Commercial channels of food distribution" means firms as defined in this subchapter.

§ 274.11 Determination of the need for temporary emergency food stamp assistance.

FNS shall determine the need for temporary food assistance for households which are victims of mechanical and other disasters, including the fact of the existence of a temporary emergency, the disruption of the distribution of coupons, and the disruption of commercial channels of food distribution, and of the fact that commercial channels of food distribution have again become available to meet the temporary food needs of such households.

§ 274.12 Certification of households and issuance of coupons.

(a) The eligibility of each applicant for temporary emergency food stamp assistance under this subpart shall be determined by the State agency under the temporary standards of eligibility. An applicant household shall be determined eligible for temporary emergency participation if such household establishes to the satisfaction of the State agency that it is in need of food assistance because of a temporary reduction of or inaccessibility of income or resources or because of the disruption of coupon distribution without regard to eligibility standards for households under Part 271 of this subchapter, resulting from a temporary emergency, as determined by FNS, and after a determination by FNS that commercial channels of food distribution are available to meet the temporary food needs of such households.

(b) Issuance of emergency food assistance in the form of food coupons shall be in an amount deemed by the State agency to be sufficient to meet the temporary food needs of the applicant household, but in no case shall such a coupon allotment issued in any one month exceed one-month's issuance for the size of the household determined under the basis of issuance for households under Part 271 of this subchapter. Such issuance shall be at no cost to the household.

§ 274.13 Duration of temporary emergency food stamp assistance.

(a) Temporary emergency food stamp assistance shall be available in a food stamp project area for such period of time as FNS may prescribe, but not in excess of 30 days: *Provided*, That the emergency period may be extended by FNS on the basis of a redetermination that continuing temporary emergency food stamp assistance is necessary because of the continuing effects of the temporary emergency.

(b) Following the termination of the emergency period, the eligibility of households which were certified under emergency standards shall be determined through normal certification procedures under Part 271 of this subchapter.

§ 274.14 Reporting.

The State agency shall keep such records and submit such reports and other information concerning this subpart as may from time to time be required by FNS.

NOTE: The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained herein have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs No. 10.551, National Archives Reference Services)

Effective date. This revision shall become effective January 9, 1975.

Dated: January 3, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-728 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

federal register

THURSDAY, JANUARY 9, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 6

PART IV

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Low Rent Public Housing

■

SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCIES PROGRAM)

Proposed Fair Market Rents

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Low Rent Public Housing

[24 CFR Part 1280]

[Docket No. R-74-311]

PROPOSED FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCIES PROGRAM)

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) published on December 19, 1974, proposed regulations for Fair Market Rents for the section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program (39 FR 43943). The proposed regulations reserved space for publication of the regulations and schedules for Fair Market Rents with respect to existing housing.

Notice is hereby given that HUD now proposes to further amend Title 24, Chapter VIII, Part 1280, by adding a § 1280.102, which sets forth the regulations concerning Fair Market Rents for existing housing (including the State Housing Finance and Development Agencies Program), and a Schedule B which sets forth such Fair Market Rents.

Interested parties are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, and objections regarding the proposed regulations and the proposed Fair Market Rents by January 28, 1975, addressed to the Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit/FHA Commissioner, Room 6182, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410. All relevant material will be considered before adoption of the final regulations. A copy of each communication will be available for public inspection during business hours at the above address.

Since the regulations to which these Fair Market Rents pertain are effective as of January 1, 1975, the Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit—FHA Commissioner has determined it to be reasonable and in the public interest to allow for a 15-day comment period.

It is, therefore, proposed that 24 CFR Part 1280 be amended by adding § 1280.102 and Schedule B to read as follows:

Subpart A—Fair Market Rents

Sec.

1280.102 Fair Market Rents for Existing Housing.

Schedule B—Fair Market Rents for Existing Housing (including State Agencies Program)

AUTHORITY: Sec. 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)); sec. 5(b) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f).

§ 1280.102 Fair market rents for existing housing.

(a) *Purpose and applicability.* Schedule B of this Part sets forth the Fair Market Rents, as determined by HUD, for the section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program for existing housing (including State Housing Finance and Development Agencies Program, 24 CFR Part 1278). (See 24 CFR 1275.102(i) for the definition of Fair Market Rents.)

(b) *Categories.* These Fair Market Rents are established by unit size and by two structural categories, i.e., non-elevator buildings and elevator buildings.

(c) *Geographic area.* The Fair Market Rents for existing housing are established by county as specified in Schedule B. Uniform schedules are established for (1) all counties within each standard metropolitan statistical area as defined by the Office of Management and Budget in April, 1974, and (2) other counties within individual blocks of counties grouped by the U.S. Bureau of the Census into entities with population of over 250,000 for purposes of special statistical summaries.

Issued at Wash. D.C., December 30, 1974.

DAVID M. DEWILDE,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing Production and Mortgage Credit/FHA Commissioner.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BANGOR, MAINE INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: LEWISTON-AUBURN, ME							
COUNTY:ANDROSCOGGIN	00201	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	193
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	213
SMSA: PORTLAND, ME							
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	00201	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	193
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	213
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AROOSTOOK	00101	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:HANCOCK	00101	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:KENNEBEC	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:KNOX	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:LINCOLN	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:OXFORD	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:PENOBSCOT	00101	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:PISCATAQUIS	00101	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:SAGADAHOC	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:SOMERSET	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	116	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BANGOR,MAINE INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WALDO	00101	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	00101	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:YORK	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
STATE:ME		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BOSTON, MA							
COUNTY:MIDDLESEX	00403	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	172	204	237	269
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	165	189	225	260	296
COUNTY:NORFOLK	00404	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	172	204	237	269
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	165	189	225	260	296
COUNTY:SUFFOLK	00406	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	172	204	237	269
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	165	189	225	260	296
SMSA: BROCKTON, MA							
COUNTY:PLYMOUTH	00405	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	172	204	237	269
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	165	189	225	260	296
SMSA: LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MA-NH							
COUNTY:ESSEX	00402	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	172	204	237	269
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	165	189	225	260	296
SMSA: NEW BEDFORD, MA							
COUNTY:BRISTOL	00401	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	174	190
SMSA: PITTSFIELD, MA							
COUNTY:BERKSHIRE	00604	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	168	185
SMSA: SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE, MA-CT							
COUNTY:HAMPDEN	00505	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
COUNTY:HAMPSHIRE	00505	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
SMSA: WORCESTER, MA							
COUNTY:WORCESTER	00407	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	180	196
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	126	144	171	198	216
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BARNSTABLE	00410	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	197	216
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	217	237

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DUKES	00410	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	197	216
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	217	237
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	00506	NON-ELEVATOR:	137	156	185	205	225
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	151	172	204	226	247
COUNTY:NANTUCKET	00410	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	197	216
STATE:MA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	217	237

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BURLINGTON, VERMONT INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADDISON	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:BENNINGTON	00609	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	168	185
COUNTY:CALEDONIA	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:CHITTENDEN	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:ESSEX	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:GRAND ISLE	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:LAMOILLE	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:ORANGE	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:ORLEANS	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:RUTLAND	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:WINDHAM	00506	NON-ELEVATOR:	137	156	185	205	225
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	151	172	204	226	247

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BURLINGTON, VERMONT INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WINDSOR	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:VT		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HARTFORD,CONNECTICUT AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BRIDGEPORT, CT							
COUNTY:FAIRFIELD	01311	NON-ELEVATOR:	152	173	205	237	258
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	167	191	226	261	284
SMSA: HARTFORD, CT							
COUNTY:HARTFORD	00501	NON-ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	225	246
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	159	181	215	248	271
COUNTY:TOLLAND	00501	NON-ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	225	246
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	159	181	215	248	271
SMSA: NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN, CT							
COUNTY:NEW HAVEN	00503	NON-ELEVATOR:	137	156	185	214	234
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	151	172	204	235	257
SMSA: NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI							
COUNTY:NEW LONDON	00504	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	205	224
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	226	247
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LITCHFIELD	00502	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	141	160	190	211	231
COUNTY:MIDDLESEX	00502	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	141	160	190	211	231
COUNTY:WINDHAM	00504	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	205	224
STATE:CT		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	226	247

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: MANCHESTER, NH							
COUNTY:HILLSBOROUGH	00408	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
COUNTY:MERRIMACK	00408	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BELKNAP	00408	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
COUNTY:CARROLL	00408	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
COUNTY:CHESHIRE	00506	NON-ELEVATOR:	137	156	185	205	225
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	151	172	204	226	247
COUNTY:COOS	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:GRAFTON	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193
COUNTY:ROCKINGHAM	00408	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	135	160	185	203
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	130	149	176	204	223
COUNTY:STRAFFORD	00202	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	167	183
COUNTY:SULLIVAN	00301	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
STATE:NH		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	176	193

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 1	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PROVIDENCE,RHODE ISLAND INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET, RI-MA							
COUNTY:BRISTOL	00409	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	154	168
STATE:RI		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	169	185
COUNTY:KENT	00409	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	154	168
STATE:RI		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	169	185
COUNTY:PROVIDENCE	00409	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	154	168
STATE:RI		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	169	185
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NEWPORT	00410	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	197	216
STATE:RI		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	217	237
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	00410	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	197	216
STATE:RI		ELEVATOR:	145	165	195	217	237

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ALBANY,NEW YORK INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY							
COUNTY:ALBANY	00601	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	00602	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:RENSSELAER	00602	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:SARATOGA	00602	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:SCHENECTADY	00602	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
SMSA: BINGHAMTON, NY-PA							
COUNTY:BROOME	01101	NON-ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	168	184
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	185	202
COUNTY:TIOGA	01101	NON-ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	168	184
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	185	202
SMSA: POUGHKEEPSIE, NY							
COUNTY:DUTCHESS	01310	NON-ELEVATOR:	143	163	193	213	234
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	157	179	212	235	257
SMSA: SYRACUSE, NY							
COUNTY:MADISON	00702	NON-ELEVATOR:	130	148	175	203	221
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	143	163	193	223	243
COUNTY:ONONDAGA	00702	NON-ELEVATOR:	130	148	175	203	221
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	143	163	193	223	243
COUNTY:OSWEGO	00702	NON-ELEVATOR:	130	148	175	203	221
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	143	163	193	223	243
SMSA: UTICA-ROME, NY							
COUNTY:HERKIMER	00703	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	161	176
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	194

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ALBANY, NEW YORK INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: UTICA-ROME, NY							
COUNTY:ONEIDA	00703	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	161	176
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	194
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CAYUGA	00705	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:CHENANGO	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:CLINTON	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	00604	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	168	185
COUNTY:CORTLAND	00705	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:DELAWARE	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:ESSEX	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	00704	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:FULTON	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:GREENE	00604	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	168	185
COUNTY:HAMILTON	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	00704	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ALBANY,NEW YORK INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LEWIS	00709	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:OTSEGO	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:SCHOHARIE	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:ST LAWRENCE	00709	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:SULLIVAN	01309	NON-ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	204	223
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	224	245
COUNTY:TOMPKINS	00705	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:ULSTER	01309	NON-ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	204	223
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	224	245
COUNTY:WARREN	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	00603	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	110	125	149	165	182

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BUFFALO,NEW YORK AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BUFFALO, NY							
COUNTY:ERIE	00801	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	152	177	201
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	122	140	167	195	222
COUNTY:NIAGARA	00801	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	152	177	201
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	122	140	167	195	222
SMSA: ELMIRA, NY							
COUNTY:CHEMUNG	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
SMSA: ROCHESTER, NY							
COUNTY:LIVINGSTON	00701	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	221	241
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	243	266
COUNTY:MONROE	00701	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	221	241
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	243	266
COUNTY:ONTARIO	00701	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	221	241
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	243	266
COUNTY:ORLEANS	00701	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	221	241
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	243	266
COUNTY:WAYNE	00701	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	221	241
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	243	266
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALLEGANY	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:CATTARAUGUS	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:CHAUTAUQUA	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:GENESEE	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BUFFALO,NEW YORK AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SCHUYLER	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:SENECA	00705	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226
COUNTY:STEUBEN	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:WYOMING	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:YATES	00705	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	206	226

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ATLANTIC CITY, NJ							
COUNTY:ATLANTIC	01403	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	174	190
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	191	209
SMSA: PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ							
COUNTY:BURLINGTON	01404	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
COUNTY:CAMDEN	01405	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
COUNTY:GLOUCESTER	01405	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
SMSA: TRENTON, NJ							
COUNTY:MERCER	01401	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
SMSA: VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ							
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	01403	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	174	190
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	191	209
SMSA: WILMINGTON, DE-NJ-MD							
COUNTY:SALEM	01411	NON-ELEVATOR:	127	145	171	198	217
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	140	159	189	218	238
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CAPE MAY	01402	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	218	239
COUNTY:OCEAN	01402	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	218	239

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NEW YORK,NEW YORK AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: NASSAU, NY							
COUNTY:NASSAU	01306	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:SUFFOLK	01306	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
SMSA: NEW YORK CITY, NY-NJ							
COUNTY:BRONX	01305	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:KINGS	01301	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:NEW YORK	01302	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:PUTNAM	01308	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:QUEENS	01303	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:RICHMOND	01304	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:ROCKLAND	01308	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:WESTCHESTER	01308	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ORANGE	01309	NON-ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	209	223
STATE:NY		ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	224	245

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Col), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NEWARK,NEW JERSEY AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA-NJ							
COUNTY:WARREN	01414	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	169	186
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	186	204
SMSA: JERSEY CITY, NJ							
COUNTY:HUDSON	01312	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	206
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	132	151	179	207	226
SMSA: LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK, NJ							
COUNTY:MONMOUTH	01320	NON-ELEVATOR:	146	166	196	217	238
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	160	182	216	239	262
SMSA: NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE, NJ							
COUNTY:MIDDLESEX	01319	NON-ELEVATOR:	155	176	209	231	253
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	170	194	230	254	278
SMSA: NEW YORK CITY, NY-NJ							
COUNTY:BERGEN	01308	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	202	234	266
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	164	188	223	258	292
SMSA: NEWARK, NJ							
COUNTY:ESSEX	01315	NON-ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	238	270
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	168	192	227	262	297
COUNTY:MORRIS	01316	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	212	232
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	233	255
COUNTY:SOMERSET	01316	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	212	232
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	233	255
COUNTY:UNION	01317	NON-ELEVATOR:	142	162	191	212	232
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	156	178	211	233	255
SMSA: PATERSON-CLIFTON-PASSAIC, NJ							
COUNTY:PASSAIC	01314	NON-ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	238	270
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	168	192	227	262	297
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HUNTERDON	01318	NON-ELEVATOR:	148	169	200	221	243
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	163	186	220	244	267

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 2	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SUSSEX	01318	NON-ELEVATOR:	198	169	200	221	243
STATE:NJ		ELEVATOR:	163	186	220	244	267

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BALTIMORE, MD							
COUNTY:ANNE ARUNDEL	01603	NON-ELEVATOR:	124	141	168	194	220
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	213	242
COUNTY:BALTIMORE	01602	NON-ELEVATOR:	124	141	168	194	220
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	213	242
COUNTY:BALTIMORE CY	01601	NON-ELEVATOR:	124	141	168	194	220
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	213	242
COUNTY:CARROLL	01603	NON-ELEVATOR:	124	141	168	194	220
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	213	242
COUNTY:HARFORD	01603	NON-ELEVATOR:	124	141	168	194	220
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	213	242
COUNTY:HOWARD	01603	NON-ELEVATOR:	124	141	168	194	220
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	136	155	184	213	242
SMSA: WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA							
COUNTY:CHARLES	01606	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
SMSA: WILMINGTON, DE-NJ-MD							
COUNTY:CECIL	01411	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	202
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	131	149	177	204	223
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALLEGANY	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:CALVERT	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:CAROLINE	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:DORCHESTER	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FREDERICK	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:GARRETT	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:KENT	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:QUEEN ANNES	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:SOMERSET	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:ST MARYS	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:TALBOT	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:WICOMICO	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:WORCESTER	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: CHARLESTON, WV							
COUNTY:KANAWHA	04802	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:PUTNAM	04802	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
SMSA: HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH							
COUNTY:CABELL	04801	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:WAYNE	04801	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
SMSA: PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH							
COUNTY:BIRT	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	125	139	153
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:WOOD	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	125	139	153
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
SMSA: STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV							
COUNTY:BROOKE	06205	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:HANCOCK	06205	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
SMSA: WHEELING, WV-OH							
COUNTY:MARSHALL	06205	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:OHIO	06205	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BARBOUR	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:BERKELEY	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BOONE	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:BRAXTON	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:CALHOUN	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:CLAY	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:DODDRIDGE	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:FAYETTE	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:GILMER	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:GRANT	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:GREENBRIER	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:HAMPSHIRE	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:HARDY	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:HARRISON	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:JACKSON	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:LEWIS	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:LINCOLN	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:LOGAN	04809	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:MARION	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:MASON	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:MCDOWELL	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:MERCER	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:MINERAL	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:MINGO	04809	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:MONONGALIA	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:MONROE	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:MORGAN	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NICHOLAS	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:PENDLETON	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:PLEASANTS	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	125	139	153
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:POCAHONTAS	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:PRESTON	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:RALEIGH	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:RITCHIE	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	125	139	153
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:ROANE	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:SUMMERS	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:TAYLOR	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:TUCKER	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:TYLER	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHARLESTON,WEST VIRGINIA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:UPSHUR	06101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:WEBSTER	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	126
COUNTY:WETZEL	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:WYOMING	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:WV		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	126

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PHILADELPHIA,PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA-NJ							
COUNTY:CARBON	01913	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	169	186
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	186	204
COUNTY:LEHIGH	01913	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	169	186
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	186	204
COUNTY:NORTHAMPTON	01919	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	169	186
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	186	204
SMSA: BINGHAMTON, NY-PA							
COUNTY:SUSQUEHANNA	01101	NON-ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	168	184
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	185	202
SMSA: HARRISBURG, PA							
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	01501	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	160	175
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	176	192
COUNTY:DAUPHIN	01501	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	160	175
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	176	192
COUNTY:PERRY	01501	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	160	175
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	176	192
SMSA: LANCASTER, PA							
COUNTY:LANCASTER	01502	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	174	190
SMSA: NORTHEAST, PENNSYLVANIA							
COUNTY:LACKAWANNA	01202	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:LUZERNE	01201	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	121	140	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	154	168
SMSA: PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ							
COUNTY:BUCKS	01907	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
COUNTY:CHESTER	01909	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ							
COUNTY:DELAWARE	01910	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	01908	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
COUNTY:PHILADELPHIA	01906	NON-ELEVATOR:	134	152	180	209	237
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	147	168	199	230	260
SMSA: READING, PA							
COUNTY:BERKS	01912	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	206
SMSA: WILLIAMSPORT, PA							
COUNTY:LYCOMING	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
SMSA: YORK, PA							
COUNTY:ADAMS	01503	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	193
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	213
COUNTY:YORK	01503	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	193
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	213
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BRADFORD	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:CENTRE	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
COUNTY:CLINTON	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	01202	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	01509	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	138	151
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166

PREPARED BY HUD - EHAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PHILADELPHIA,PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:JUNIATA	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:LEBANON	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:MIFFLIN	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:MONROE	01415	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	84	101	112	123
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	81	93	111	123	136
COUNTY:MONTOUR	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:NORTHUMBRLND	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:PIKE	01202	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:SCHUYLKILL	01415	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	84	101	112	123
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	81	93	111	123	136
COUNTY:SNYDER	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:SULLIVAN	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
COUNTY:TIOGA	01102	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	143	159	174
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	157	174	191
COUNTY:UNION	01505	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	120	132
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:WAYNE	01202	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WYOMING	01202	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PITTSBURGH,PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ALTOONA, PA							
COUNTY:BLAIR	01504	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	138	151
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
SMSA: ERIE, PA							
COUNTY:ERIE	00901	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	154	168
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	169	185
SMSA: JOHNSTOWN, PA							
COUNTY:CAMBRIA	06201	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	125	137
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	138	151
COUNTY:SOMERSET	06201	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	91	108	125	137
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	87	100	119	138	151
SMSA: PITTSBURGH, PA							
COUNTY:ALLEGHANY	06202	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	173	196
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	190	215
COUNTY:BEAVER	06204	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	173	196
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	190	215
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	06204	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	173	196
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	190	215
COUNTY:WESTMORELAND	06203	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	173	196
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	190	215
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ARMSTRONG	06206	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
COUNTY:BEDFORD	01504	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	138	151
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
COUNTY:BUTLER	06206	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
COUNTY:CAMERON	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CLARION	06206	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
COUNTY:CLEARFIELD	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	00903	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	156
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	172
COUNTY:ELK	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
COUNTY:FAYETTE	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	122	134
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:FOREST	00903	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	156
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	172
COUNTY:FULTON	01504	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	138	151
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
COUNTY:GREENE	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	122	134
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:HUNTINGDON	01504	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	138	151
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
COUNTY:INDIANA	06206	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	01001	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	164
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	148	164	180
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	00903	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	156
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	172
COUNTY:MCKEAN	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PITTSBURGH,PENNSYLVANIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MERCER	00903	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	156
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	172
COUNTY:POTTER	00802	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	106	126	139	153
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	168
COUNTY:VENANGO	00903	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	156
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	172
COUNTY:WARREN	00903	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	156
STATE:PA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	172

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN VA							
COUNTY:BRISTOL	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:SCOTT	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
SMSA: LYNCHBURG, VA							
COUNTY:AMHERST	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:APPOMATTOX	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:CAMPBELL	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:LYNCHBURG	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
SMSA: NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON, VA							
COUNTY:GLOUCESTER	02001	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:HAMPTON	02001	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:JAMES CITY	02001	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:NEWPORT NEWS	02001	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:WILLIAMSBURG	02001	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:YORK	02001	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA-NC							
COUNTY:CHESAPEAKE	02003	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:NANSEMOND	02003	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:NORFOLK	02002	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:PORTSMOUTH	02003	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:SUFFOLK	02003	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
COUNTY:VA BEACH	02003	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
SMSA: PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS-HOPEWELL, VA							
COUNTY:COLONIAL HTS	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:DINWIDDIE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:HOPEWELL	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	216
COUNTY:PETERSBURG	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:PRINCEGEORGE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
SMSA: RICHMOND, VA							
COUNTY:CHARLES CITY	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:CHESTERFIELD	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: RICHMOND, VA							
COUNTY:GOOCHLAND	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:HANOVER	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:HENRICO	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:POWHATAN	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:RICHMOND	01901	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
SMSA: ROANOKE, VA							
COUNTY:BOTETOURT	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:CRAIG	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:ROANOKE	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:ROANOKE	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:SALEM	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ACCOMACK	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:ALBEMARLE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:ALLEGHANY	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AMELIA	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:AUGUSTA	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:BATH	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:BEDFORD	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:BEDFORD	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:BLAND	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:BRUNSWICK	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:BUCHANAN	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:BUCKINGHAM	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:BUENA VISTA	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:CAROLINE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:CARROLL	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:CHARLOTTE	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHARLOTTESVL	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:CLARKE	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:CLIFTONFORGE	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:COVINGTON	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:CULPEPER	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:DANVILLE	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:DICKENSON	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:EMPORIA	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:ESSEX	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:FAUQUIER	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:FLOYD	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:FLUVANNA	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA (CITY)		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:FREDERICK	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:FREDERICKSBG	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:GALAX	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:GILES	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:GRAYSON	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:GREENE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:GREENSVILLE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:HALIFAX	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:HARRISONBURG	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:HENRY	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:HIGHLAND	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ISLE OFWIGHT	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:KING GEORGE	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:KING WILLIAM	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:KING + QUEEN	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:LANCASTER	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:LEE	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:LEXINGTON	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:LOUISA	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:LUNENBURG	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:MADISON	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:MARTINSVILLE	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:MATHEWS	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MECKLENBURG	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MIDDLESEX	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:NELSON	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:NEW KENT	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:NORTHAMPTON	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:NORTHUMBERLD	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:NORTON	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:NOTTOWAY	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:ORANGE	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:PAGE	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:PATRICK	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:PITTSYLVANIA	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:PRINCEEDWARD	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3.	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PULASKI	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:RADFORD	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:RAPPAHANNOCK	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:RICHMOND	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:ROCKBRIDGE	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:ROCKINGHAM	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:RUSSELL	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:SHENANDOAH	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:SMYTH	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:SOUTH BOSTON	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:SOUTHAMPTON	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:SPOTSLYVANIA	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:STAFFORD	01609	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RICHMOND,VIRGINIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:STAUNTON	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:SURRY	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:SUSSEX	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:TAZEWELL	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:WARREN	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:WAYNESBORO	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:WESTMORELAND	01902	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	181	198
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	218
COUNTY:WINCHESTER	01701	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
COUNTY:WISE	04702	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:WYTHE	01802	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
WASHINGTON,D.C. AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA							
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	01604	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:DC		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	01605	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:PRINCE GEORG	01606	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:MD		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:ALEXANDRIA	01607	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:ARLINGTON	01607	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:FAIRFAX	01608	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:FAIRFAX	01608	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:FALLS CHURCH	01608	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:LOUDOUN	01608	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292
COUNTY:PRINCE WILLIA	01608	NON-ELEVATOR:	150	171	203	234	266
STATE:VA		ELEVATOR:	165	188	223	258	292

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 3	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
WILMINGTON,DELAWARE INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: WILMINGTON, DE-NJ-MD							
COUNTY:NEW CASTLE	01911	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	202
STATE:DE		ELEVATOR:	131	149	177	204	223
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DE SUSSEX	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:DE		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:KENT	01610	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:DE		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ALBANY, GA							
COUNTY:DOUGHERTY	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:LEE	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
SMSA: ATLANTA, GA							
COUNTY:BUTTS	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:CLAYTON	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:COBB	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:DE KALB	04001	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:FAYETTE	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:FORSYTH	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:FULTON	04002	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:GWINNETT	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:HENRY	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ATLANTA, GA							
COUNTY:NEWTON	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:PAULDING	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:ROCKDALE	04001	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
COUNTY:WALTON	04003	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	150	178	206	233
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	145	165	196	226	256
SMSA: AUGUSTA, GA-SC							
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	02901	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	176	192
COUNTY:RICHMOND	02901	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	176	192
SMSA: CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA							
COUNTY:CATOOSA	04401	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:DADE	04401	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:WALKER	04401	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
SMSA: COLUMBUS, GA-AL							
COUNTY:CHATTAHOOCHE	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:COLUMBUS	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
SMSA: MACON, GA							
COUNTY:BIBB	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: MACON, GA							
COUNTY:HOUSTON	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:JONES	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:TWIGGS	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
SMSA: SAVANNAH, GA							
COUNTY:BRYAN	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:CHATHAM	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:EFFINGHAM	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:APPLING	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:ATKINSON	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:BACON	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:BAKER	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BALDWIN	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:BANKS	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:BARROW	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BARTON	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:BEN HILL	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BERRIEN	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BLECKLEY	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:BRANTLEY	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:BROOKS	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BULLOCH	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:BURKE	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:CALHOUN	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:CAMDEN	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:CANDLER	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:CARROLL	04006	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	139
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
COUNTY:CHARLTON	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHATTOOGA	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:CLARKE	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:CLAY	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:CLINCH	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:COFFEE	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:COLQUITT	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:COOK	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:COWETA	04006	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	139
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:CRISP	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:DAWSON	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:DECATUR	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:DODGE	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA, GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DOOLY	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:EARLY	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ECHOLS	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ELBERT	04009	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:EMANUEL	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:EVANS	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	126	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:FANNIN	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:FLOYD	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	04009	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:GILMER	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:GLASCOCK	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:GLYNN	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:GORDON	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA:GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GRADY	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:GREENE	04009	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:HABERSHAM	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:HALL	04009	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:HANCOCK	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:HARALSON	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:HARRIS	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:HART	04009	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:HEARD	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:IRWIN	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:JACKSON	04009	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:JASPER	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:JEFF DAVIS	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA, GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:JENKINS	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:JOHNSON	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:LAMAR	04006	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	139
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
COUNTY:LANIER	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:LAURENS	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:LIBERTY	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:LINCOLN	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:LONG	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:LOWNDES	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:LUMPKIN	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:MACON	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:MADISON	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MARION	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:MCDUFFIE	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:MCINTOSH	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:MERIWETHER	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:MILLER	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MITCHELL	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MONROE	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:MORGAN	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:MURRAY	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:OCONEE	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:OGLETHORPE	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:PEACH	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PICKENS	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:PIERCE	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	106	128	142	155
COUNTY:PIKE	04006	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	139
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
COUNTY:POLK	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:PULASKI	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:PUTNAM	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:QUITMAN	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:RABUN	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:SCHLEY	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:SCREVEN	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:SEMINOLE	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:SPALDING	04006	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	139
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Col), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA, GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:STEPHENS	04004	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:STEWART	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:SUMTER	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:TALBOT	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:TALIAFERRO	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:TATTNALL	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:TAYLOR	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:TELFAIR	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:TERRELL	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:THOMAS	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:TIFT	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:TOOMBS	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:TOWNS	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:TREUTLEN	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:TROUP	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:TURNER	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:UNION	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:UPSON	04006	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	139
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
COUNTY:WARE	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:WARREN	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:WAYNE	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:WEBSTER	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:WHEELER	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:WHITE	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:WHITFIELD	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CD), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ATLANTA,GEORGIA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WILCOX	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:WILKES	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:WILKINSON	03801	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:WORTH	03701	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:GA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BIRMINGHAM,ALABAMA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BIRMINGHAM, AL							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	04101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	137	150
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	165
COUNTY:SHELBY	04101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	137	150
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	165
COUNTY:ST CLAIR	04101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	137	150
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	165
COUNTY:WALKER	04101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	137	150
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	165
SMSA: COLUMBUS, GA-AL							
COUNTY:RUSSELL	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
SMSA: FLORENCE, AL							
COUNTY:COLBERT	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:LAUDERDALE	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
SMSA: GADSDEN, AL							
COUNTY:ETOWAH	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
SMSA: HUNTSVILLE, AL							
COUNTY:LIMESTONE	04302	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:MADISON	04302	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:MARSHALL	04302	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
SMSA: MOBILE, AL							
COUNTY:BALDWIN	12201	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	120	131
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION +	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: MOBILE, AL							
COUNTY:MOBILE	12201	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	120	131
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
SMSA: MONTGOMERY, AL							
COUNTY:AUTAUGA	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:ELMORE	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
SMSA: TUSCALOOSA, AL							
COUNTY:TUSCALOOSA	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BARBOUR	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:BIBB	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:BLOUNT	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:BULLOCK	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:BUTLER	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:CALHOUN	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:CHAMBERS	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:CHILTON	04109	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:CHOCTAW	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:CLARKE	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:CLAY	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:CLEBURNE	04005	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:COFFEE	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:CONECUH	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:COOSA	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:COVINGTON	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:CRENSHAW	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	96	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:CULLMAN	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:DALE	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DALLAS	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:DE KALB	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:ESCAMBIA	03501	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	156	170
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	172	187
COUNTY:FAYETTE	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:GENEVA	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:GREENE	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:HALE	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:HENRY	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:HOUSTON	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:JACKSON	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:LAMAR	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LEE	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:LOWNDES	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:MACON	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:MARENGO	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:MARION	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:MONROE	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MORGAN	04302	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:PERRY	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:PICKENS	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	134	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162
COUNTY:PIKE	03602	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	03901	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:SUMTER	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:TALLADEGA	04102	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:TALLAPOOSA	03601	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	130	142
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:WILCOX	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:WINSTON	04104	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	139	147
STATE:AL		ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	162

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CORAL GABLES,FLORIDA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: FORT LAUDERDALE-HOLLYWOOD, FL							
COUNTY:BROWARD	03301	NON-ELEVATOR:	161	183	217	250	273
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	177	202	238	275	300
SMSA: FORT MYERS, FL							
COUNTY:LEE	03307	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	180	197
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
SMSA: MIAMI, FL							
COUNTY:DADE	03302	NON-ELEVATOR:	160	182	216	249	282
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	176	201	237	274	310
SMSA: WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL							
COUNTY:PALM BEACH	03305	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	229
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	148	169	200	231	252
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHARLOTTE	03307	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	180	197
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
COUNTY:COLLIER	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:GLADES	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:HENDRY	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:MARTIN	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:MONROE	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: AUGUSTA, GA-SC							
COUNTY:AIKEN	02901	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	176	192
SMSA: CHARLESTON, SC							
COUNTY:BERKELEY	02902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	117	133	157	182	199
COUNTY:CHARLESTON	02902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	117	133	157	182	199
COUNTY:DORCHESTER	02902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	117	133	157	182	199
SMSA: COLUMBIA, SC							
COUNTY:LEXINGTON	02701	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	176	192
COUNTY:RICHLAND	02701	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	113	128	152	176	192
SMSA: GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG, SC							
COUNTY:GREENVILLE	02601	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:PICKENS	02601	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:SPARTANBURG	02601	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ABBEVILLE	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:ALLENDALE	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:ANDERSON	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BAMBERG	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:BARNWELL	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:BEAUFORT	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:CALHOUN	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:CHESTER	02904	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:CHESTERFIELD	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:CLARENDON	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:COLLETON	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:DARLINGTON	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:DILLON	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:EDGEFIELD	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:FAIRFIELD	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FLORENCE	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:GEORGETOWN	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:GREENWOOD	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:HAMPTON	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:HORRY	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:JASPER	03001	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	137
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:KERSHAW	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:LANCASTER	02409	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:LAURENS	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:LEE	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:MARION	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:MARLBORO	02801	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:MCCORMICK	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBIA,SOUTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NEWBERRY	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:OCONEE	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:ORANGEBURG	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:SALUDA	02903	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:SUMTER	02702	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:UNION	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:WILLIAMSBURG	02601	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	92	109	121	133
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	146
COUNTY:YORK	02909	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:SC		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ASHEVILLE, NC							
COUNTY:BUNCOMBE	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:MADISON	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
SMSA: BURLINGTON, NC							
COUNTY:ALAMANCE	02309	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	140	154
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	154	169
SMSA: CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA, NC							
COUNTY:GASTON	02401	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	177	194
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
COUNTY:MECKLENBURG	02401	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	177	194
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
COUNTY:UNION	02401	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	177	194
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
SMSA: FAYETTEVILLE, NC							
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	02109	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
SMSA: GREENSBORO--WINSTON-SALEM--HIGH POINT, NC							
COUNTY:DAVIDSON	02302	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:FORSYTH	02302	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:GUILFORD	02301	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	02302	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:STOKES	02302	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO,NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: GREENSBORO--WINSTON-SALEM--HIGH POINT, NC							
COUNTY:YADKIN	02302	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
SMSA: NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA-NC							
COUNTY:CURRITUCK	02003	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	165	181
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	181	199
SMSA: RALEIGH-DURHAM, NC							
COUNTY:DURHAM	02101	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	214	234
COUNTY:ORANGE	02101	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	214	234
COUNTY:WAKE	02101	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	214	234
SMSA: WILMINGTON, NC							
COUNTY:BRUNSWICK	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:NEW HANOVER	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALEXANDER	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:ALLEGHANY	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:ANSON	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:ASHE	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:AVERY	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO,NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BEAUFORT	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:BERTIE	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BLADEN	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:BURKE	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:CABARRUS	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:CALDWELL	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:CAMDEN	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:CARTERET	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:CASWELL	01801	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	162	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:CATAWBA	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:CHATHAM	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:CHOWAN	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CLAY	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:CLEVELAND	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:COLUMBUS	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:CRAVEN	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:DARE	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:DAVIE	02304	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	140	154
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:DUPLIN	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:EDGECOMBE	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:GATES	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:GRAHAM	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:GRANVILLE	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:GREENE	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO,NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HALIFAX	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HARNETT	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:HAYWOOD	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:HENDERSON	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:HERTFORD	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HOKE	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:HYDE	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:IREDELL	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:JACKSON	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:JOHNSTON	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:JONES	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:LEE	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:LENOIR	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO,NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LINCOLN	02404	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MACON	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:MARTIN	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:MCDOWELL	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:MITCHELL	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	02304	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	140	154
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:MOORE	02304	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	140	154
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:NASH	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:NORTHAMPTON	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ONSLOW	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:PAMLICO	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:PASQUOTANK	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PENDER	02201	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO,NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PERQUIMANS	02004	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PERSON	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PITT	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:POLK	02602	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:RICHMOND	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:ROBESON	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:ROCKINGHAM	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:ROWAN	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:RUTHERFORD	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:SAMPSON	02104	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:SCOTLAND	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:STANLY	02403	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:SURRY	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GREENSBORO,NORTH CAROLINA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SWAIN	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:TRANSYLVANIA	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:TYRRELL	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:VANCE	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:WARREN	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:WATAUGA	02402	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:WAYNE	02103	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	166	182
COUNTY:WILKES	02303	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:WILSON	02102	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:YANCEY	02501	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:NC		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BILOXI-GULFPORT, MS							
COUNTY:HANCOCK	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:HARRISON	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:STONE	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
SMSA: JACKSON, MS							
COUNTY:HINDS	12001	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	142	156
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	157	171
COUNTY:RANKIN	12001	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	142	156
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	157	171
SMSA: MEMPHIS, TN-AR							
COUNTY:DE SOTO	04201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	12309	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:ALCORN	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:AMITE	12309	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:ATTALA	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:BENTON	04209	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:BOLIVAR	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON,MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CALHOUN	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:CARROLL	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CHICKASAW	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:CHOCTAW	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:CLAIBORNE	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CLARKE	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:CLAY	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:COAHOMA	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:COPIAH	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:COVINGTON	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:FORREST	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:GEORGE	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON,MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GREENE	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:GRENADA	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:HOLMES	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:HUMPHREYS	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:ISSAQUENA	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:ITAWAMBA	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:JACKSON	12202	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:JASPER	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:JEFFERSON DA	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:JONES	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:KEMPER	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:LAFAYETTE	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON,MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LAMAR	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:LAUDERDALE	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:LEAKE	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:LEE	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:LEFLORE	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:LINCOLN	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:LOWNDES	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:MADISON	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:MARION	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:MARSHALL	04209	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:MONROE	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON,MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NESHOBA	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:NEWTON	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:NOXUBEE	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:OKTIBBEHA	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:PANOLA	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:PEARL RIVER	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:PERRY	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:PIKE	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:PONTOTOC	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:PRENTISS	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:QUITMAN	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:SCOTT	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:SHARKEY	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON,MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SIMPSON	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:SMITH	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:SUNFLOWER	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:TALLAHATCHIE	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:TATE	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:TIPPAH	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:TISHOMIGO	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:TUNICA	04204	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:UNION	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:WALTHALL	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	66	74	88	98	108
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:WARREN	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:WAYNE	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSON,MISSISSIPPI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WEBSTER	04103	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:WILKINSON	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:WINSTON	12101	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	118	131	144
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	145	159
COUNTY:YALOBUSHA	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:YAZOO	12002	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:MS		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSONVILLE,FLORIDA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: GAINESVILLE, FL							
COUNTY:ALACHUA	03102	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
SMSA: JACKSONVILLE, FL							
COUNTY:BAKER	03101	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	172
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	173	189
COUNTY:CLAY	03101	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	172
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	173	189
COUNTY:DUVAL	03101	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	172
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	173	189
COUNTY:NASSAU	03101	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	172
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	173	189
COUNTY:ST JOHNS	03101	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	172
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	111	126	150	173	189
SMSA: PENSACOLA, FL							
COUNTY:ESCAMBIA	03501	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	156	170
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	172	187
COUNTY:SANTA ROSA	03501	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	156	170
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	172	187
SMSA: TALLAHASSEE, FL							
COUNTY:LEON	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:WAKULLA	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BAY	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:BRADFORD	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CALHOUN	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:DIXIE	03102	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:FLAGLER	03203	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:GADSDEN	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:GILCHRIST	03102	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:GULF	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:HAMILTON	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:HOLMES	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:JACKSON	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:LAFAYETTE	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LEVY	03102	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:LIBERTY	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:MADISON	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:MARION	03102	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:OKALOOSA	03501	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	156	170
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	172	187
COUNTY:PUTNAM	03102	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:SUWANNEE	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:TAYLOR	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:UNION	03103	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	141
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	142	155
COUNTY:WALTON	03501	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	156	170
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	172	187
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	03401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN							
COUNTY:BOONE	05802	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	201
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	222
COUNTY:CAMPBELL	05802	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	201
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	222
COUNTY:KENTON	05802	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	177	201
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	195	222
SMSA: CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, IN KY							
COUNTY:CHRISTIAN	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
SMSA: EVANSVILLE, IN-KY							
COUNTY:HENDERSON	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
SMSA: HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH							
COUNTY:BOYD	04801	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	92	105	126	145	158
COUNTY:GREENUP	04801	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	92	105	126	145	158
SMSA: LEXINGTON-FAYETTE , KY							
COUNTY:BOURBON	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:CLARK	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:FAYETTE	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:JESSAMINE	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:SCOTT	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: LEXINGTON-FAYETTE , KY							
COUNTY:WOODFORD	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
SMSA: LOUISVILLE, KY-IN							
COUNTY:BULLITT	05001	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	05001	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
COUNTY:OLDHAM	05001	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	195
SMSA: OWENSBORO, KY							
COUNTY:DAVIESS	05101	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAIR	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:ALLEN	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:ANDERSON	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:BALLARD	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:BARREN	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:BATH	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:BELL	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	106	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BOYLE	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:BRACKEN	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:BREATHITT	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:BRECKINRIDGE	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:BUTLER	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:CALDWELL	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:CALLOWAY	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:CARLISLE	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:CARROLL	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:CARTER	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:CASEY	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:CLAY	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:CLINTON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CRITTENDON	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:EDMONSON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:ELLIOTT	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:ESTILL	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:FLEMING	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:FLOYD	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:FULTON	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:GALLATIN	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:GARRARD	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:GRANT	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:GRAVES	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GRAYSON	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:GREEN	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:HANCOCK	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:HARDIN	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:HARLAN	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:HARRISON	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:HART	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:HENRY	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:HICKMAN	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:HOPKINS	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:JACKSON	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:JOHNSON	04809	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:KNOTT	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:KNOX	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:LARUE	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:LAUREL	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:LEE	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:LESLIE	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:LETCHER	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:LEWIS	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:LINCOLN	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:LIVINGSTON	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:LOGAN	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:LYON	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:MADISON	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MAGOFFIN	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:MARION	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:MARSHALL	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:MARTIN	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:MASON	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:MCCRACKEN	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:MCCREARY	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:MCLEAN	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:MEADE	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:MENIFEE	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:MERCER	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:METCALFE	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:MONROE	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:MORGAN	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:MUHLENBERG	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:NELSON	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:NICHOLAS	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:OHIO	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:OWEN	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:OWSLEY	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:PENDLETON	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:PERRY	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:PIKE	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:POWELL	04901	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	110	130	151	164
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	166	181
COUNTY:PULASKI	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ROBERTSON	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	116	137	159	173
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	112	127	151	174	191
COUNTY:ROCKCASTLE	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:ROWAN	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	76	93	103	113
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	125
COUNTY:RUSSELL	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:SHELBY	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:SIMPSON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:SPENCER	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:TAYLOR	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
COUNTY:TODD	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:TRIGG	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:TRIMBLE	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:UNION	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:WARREN	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOUISVILLE,KENTUCKY AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	112	133	147	161
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	162	177
COUNTY:WAYNE	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:WEBSTER	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:WHITLEY	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:WOLFE	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	61	70	83	93	102
STATE:KY		ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112

PREPARED BY HUD - EHAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KNOXVILLE,TENNESSEE AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA							
COUNTY:HAMILTON	04401	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:MARION	04401	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:SEQUATCHIE	04401	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
SMSA: KINGSPORT-BRISTOL ,TN VA							
COUNTY:HAWKINS	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:SULLIVAN	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
SMSA: KNOXVILLE, TN							
COUNTY:ANDERSON	04601	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:BLOUNT	04601	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:KNOX	04601	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:UNION	04601	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BLEDSOE	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:BRADLEY	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:CAMPBELL	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CARTER	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:CLAIBORNE	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:COCKE	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:FENTRESS	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:GRAINGER	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:GREENE	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:GRUNDY	04902	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:HAMBLEN	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:HANCOCK	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:JOHNSON	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:LOUDON	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KNOXVILLE,TENNESSEE AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MCMINN	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	86	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:MEIGS	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	86	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:MONROE	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:MORGAN	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:PERRY	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:PICKETT	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:POLK	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	86	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:RHEA	04402	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	86	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:ROANE	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:SCOTT	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:SEVIER	04602	NON-ELEVATOR:	72	82	97	108	119
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	131
COUNTY:UNICOI	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	04701	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	133	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	146	160

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO): DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: MEMPHIS, TN-AR							
COUNTY:SHELBY	04201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:TIPTON	04201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BENTON	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	146
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:CARROLL	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:CHESTER	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:CROCKETT	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:DECATUR	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:DYER	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:FAYETTE	04209	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:GIBSON	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:HARDEMAN	04209	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:HARDIN	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:HAYWOOD	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HENDERSON	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:HENRY	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:LAKE	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:LAUDERDALE	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:MADISON	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:MCNAIRY	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:OBION	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:WEAKLEY	04203	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, IN KY							
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
SMSA: NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON, TN							
COUNTY:CHEATHAM	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:DAVIDSON	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:DICKSON	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:ROBERTSON	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:RUTHERFORD	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:SUMNER	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:WILLIAMSON	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
COUNTY:WILSON	04501	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BEDFORD	04504	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:CANNON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:CLAY	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:COFFEE	04504	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DE KALB	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	04302	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:GILES	04509	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:HICKMAN	04509	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:HOUSTON	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:HUMPHREYS	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:JACKSON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	04509	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:LEWIS	04509	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:LINCOLN	04302	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:MACON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:MARSHALL	04509	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:MAURY	04509	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NASHVILLE,TENNESSEE INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MOORE	04504	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:OVERTON	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:PUTNAM	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:SMITH	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:STEWART	04502	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	135	148
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	149	163
COUNTY:TROUSDALE	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:VAN BUREN	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:WARREN	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:WAYNE	04301	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:WHITE	04503	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:TN		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TAMPA-FLORIDA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: DAYTONA BEACH, FL							
COUNTY:VOLUSIA	03203	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
SMSA: LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL							
COUNTY:POLK	03306	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
SMSA: MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-COCOA, FL							
COUNTY:BREVARD	03202	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	178	195
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	131	149	177	196	214
SMSA: ORLANDO, FL							
COUNTY:ORANGE	03201	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	177	194
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
COUNTY:OSCEOLA	03201	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	177	194
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
COUNTY:SEMINOLE	03201	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	177	194
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	195	213
SMSA: SARASOTA, FL							
COUNTY:SARASOTA	03307	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	180	197
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
SMSA: TAMPA-ST PETERSBURG, FL							
COUNTY:HILLSBOROUGH	03303	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:PASCO	03303	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
COUNTY:PINELLAS	03309	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	169
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	170	186
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CITRUS	03306	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 4	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TAMPA:FLORIDA :INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DE SOTO	03307	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	180	197
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
COUNTY:HARDEE	03307	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	180	197
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
COUNTY:HERNANDO	03306	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	162
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	163	179
COUNTY:HIGHLANDS	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:INDIAN RIVER	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:LAKE	03203	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165
COUNTY:MANATEE	03307	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	180	197
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	198	217
COUNTY:OKEECHOBEE	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:ST LUCIE	03308	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	143	157
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	157	172
COUNTY:SUMTER	03203	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	137	150
STATE:FL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	165

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: CHICAGO, IL							
COUNTY:COOK	07201	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	199	169	200	231	262
COUNTY:DU PAGE	07202	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	199	169	200	231	262
COUNTY:KANE	07203	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	199	169	200	231	262
COUNTY:LAKE	07204	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	199	169	200	231	262
COUNTY:MCHENRY	07205	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	199	169	200	231	262
COUNTY:WILL	07205	NON-ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	199	169	200	231	262
SMSA: DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IA-IL							
COUNTY:ROCK ISLAND	07401	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
SMSA: ROCKFORD, IL							
COUNTY:BOONE	07601	NON-ELEVATOR:	117	134	158	183	200
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	129	147	174	202	220
COUNTY:WINNEBAGO	07601	NON-ELEVATOR:	117	134	158	183	200
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	129	147	174	202	220
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CARROLL	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:DE KALB	07208	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:GRUNDY	07208	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CHICAGO,ILLINOIS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:JO DAVIESS	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:KANKAKEE	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:KENDALL	07208	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:LEE	07602	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:OGLE	07602	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:STEPHENSON	07602	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:WHITESIDE	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CINCINNATI,OHIO INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN							
COUNTY:CLERMONT	05802	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	156	180	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	126	144	171	198	225
COUNTY:HAMILTON	05801	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	156	180	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	126	144	171	198	225
COUNTY:WARREN	05802	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	156	180	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	126	144	171	198	225
SMSA: DAYTON, OH							
COUNTY:GREENE	05901	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	187	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	206	225
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	05901	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	187	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	206	225
COUNTY:PREBLE	05901	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	187	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	206	225
SMSA: HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH							
COUNTY:BUTLER	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:BROWN	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:CLINTON	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:HIGHLAND	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CLEVELAND,OHIO INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: AKRON, OH							
COUNTY:PORTAGE	06301	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	142	168	194	212
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	137	156	185	214	233
COUNTY:SUMMIT	06301	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	142	168	194	212
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	137	156	185	214	233
SMSA: CANTON, OH							
COUNTY:CARROLL	06303	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:STARK	06302	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
SMSA: CLEVELAND, OH							
COUNTY:CUYAHOGA	06304	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	162	188	213
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	131	150	178	207	235
COUNTY:GEAUGA	06305	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	162	188	213
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	131	150	178	207	235
COUNTY:LAKE	06305	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	162	188	213
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	131	150	178	207	235
COUNTY:MEDINA	06305	NON-ELEVATOR:	119	136	162	188	213
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	131	150	178	207	235
SMSA: LIMA, OH							
COUNTY:PUTNAM	06401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	149	163
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	164	179
SMSA: LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR							
COUNTY:LORAIN	06306	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	189	206
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	133	152	180	208	227
SMSA: MANSFIELD, OH							
COUNTY:RICHLAND	06308	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	174	190
SMSA: STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	06205	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CLEVELAND,OHIO INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: TOLEDO, OH-MI							
COUNTY:FULTON	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:LUCAS	06501	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
COUNTY:OTTAWA	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:WOOD	06501	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
SMSA: YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH							
COUNTY:MAHONING	00902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	199
COUNTY:TRUMBULL	00902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	199
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ASHLAND	06307	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:ASHTABULA	06307	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:COLUMBIANA	06303	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	06308	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	156	173
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	174	190
COUNTY:DEFIANCE	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:ERIE	06307	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:HANCOCK	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CLEVELAND,OHIO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HARRISON	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
COUNTY:HENRY	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:HOLMES	06303	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:HURON	06307	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:PAULDING	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:SANDUSKY	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:SENECA	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:TUSCARAWAS	06303	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:WAYNE	06307	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:WILLIAMS	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:WYANDOT	06308	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	174	190

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBUS,OHIO AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: COLUMBUS, OH							
COUNTY:DELAWARE	06001	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:FAIRFIELD	06004	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	167
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	06001	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
COUNTY:MADISON	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:PICKAWAY	06001	NON-ELEVATOR:	112	128	151	175	191
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	192	210
SMSA: DAYTON, OH							
COUNTY:MIAMI	05901	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	187	205
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	206	225
SMSA: HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH							
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	04801	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	117	135	148
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	149	163
SMSA: LIMA, OH							
COUNTY:ALLEN	06401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	149	163
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	164	179
COUNTY:AUGLAIZE	06401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	149	163
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	164	179
COUNTY:VAN WERT	06401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	149	163
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	164	179
SMSA: PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH							
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
SMSA: SPRINGFIELD, OH							
COUNTY:CHAMPAIGN	05902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBUS,OHIO AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: SPRINGFIELD, OH							
COUNTY:CLARK	05902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
SMSA: WHEELING, WV-OH							
COUNTY:BELMONT	06205	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ATHENS	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:COSHOCTON	06303	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:DARKE	05902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:FAYETTE	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:GALLIA	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:GUENSEY	06004	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	167
COUNTY:HARDIN	06401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	149	163
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	164	179
COUNTY:HOCKING	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:JACKSON	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:KNOX	06308	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	174	190
COUNTY:LICKING	06004	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	167

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBUS, OHIO AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LOGAN	05902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:MARION	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:MEIGS	04803	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:MERCER	06401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	149	163
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	164	179
COUNTY:MONROE	06207	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
COUNTY:MORGAN	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:MORROW	06308	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	174	190
COUNTY:MUSKINGUM	06004	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	167
COUNTY:NOBLE	06002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:PERRY	06004	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	139	152
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	116	138	153	167
COUNTY:PIKE	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:ROSS	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:SCIOTO	04804	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128

PREPARED BY HUD - EHAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
COLUMBUS,OHIO AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SHELBY	05902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:UNION	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:VINTON	06003	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:OH		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DETROIT,MICHIGAN AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ANN ARBOR, MI							
COUNTY:WASHTENAW	06605	NON-ELEVATOR:	155	176	209	241	263
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	171	194	230	265	289
SMSA: BAY CITY, MI							
COUNTY:BAY	06701	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
SMSA: DETROIT, MI							
COUNTY:LAPEER	06603	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	234
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	258
COUNTY:LIVINGSTON	06603	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	234
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	258
COUNTY:MACOMB	06602	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	234
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	258
COUNTY:OAKLAND	06603	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	234
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	258
COUNTY:ST CLAIR	06603	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	234
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	258
COUNTY:WAYNE	06601	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	234
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	258
SMSA: FLINT, MI							
COUNTY:GENESEE	06609	NON-ELEVATOR:	127	145	172	198	216
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	140	159	189	218	238
COUNTY:SHIAWASSEE	06609	NON-ELEVATOR:	127	145	172	198	216
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	140	159	189	218	238
SMSA: SAGINAW, MI							
COUNTY:SAGINAW	06701	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
SMSA: TOLEDO, OH-MI							
COUNTY:MONROE	06501	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DETROIT, MICHIGAN AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALCONA	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:ALPENA	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:ARENAC	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:GLADWIN	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:HURON	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:IOSCO	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:LENAWEE	06502	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	113	135	149	164
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:MIDLAND	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:MONTMORENCY	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:OGEMAW	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:OSCODA	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:PRESQUE ISLE	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:SANILAC	06605	NON-ELEVATOR:	155	176	209	241	263
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	171	194	230	265	289

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DETROIT,MICHIGAN AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:TUSCOLA	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GRAND RAPIDS,MICHIGAN INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: BATTLE CREEK, MI							
COUNTY:BARRY	06902	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	124	147	162	178
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:CALHOUN	06902	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	124	147	162	178
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
SMSA: GRAND RAPIDS, MI							
COUNTY:KENT	06801	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	199
COUNTY:OTTAWA	06801	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	199
SMSA: JACKSON, MI							
COUNTY:JACKSON	06902	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	124	147	162	178
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
SMSA: KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE , MI							
COUNTY:KALAMAZOO	06903	NON-ELEVATOR:	131	149	176	204	222
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	245
COUNTY:VAN BUREN	06903	NON-ELEVATOR:	131	149	176	204	222
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	245
SMSA: LANSING-EAST LANSING , MI							
COUNTY:CLINTON	06901	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	236
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	238	259
COUNTY:EATON	06901	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	236
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	238	259
COUNTY:INGHAM	06901	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	236
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	238	259
COUNTY:IONIA	06901	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	236
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	238	259
SMSA: MUSKEGON-MUSKEGON HEIGHTS, MI							
COUNTY:MUSKEGON	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182

PREPARED BY HUD - EHAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GRAND RAPIDS,MICHIGAN INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: MUSKEGON-MUSKEGON HEIGHTS, MI							
COUNTY:OCEANA	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALGER	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:ALLEGAN	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:ANTRIM	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:BARAGA	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:BENZIE	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:BERRIEN	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:BRANCH	06902	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	124	147	162	178
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:CASS	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:CHARLEVOIX	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:CHEBOYGAN	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:CHIPPEWA	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:CLARE	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GRAND RAPIDS,MICHIGAN INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:DELTA	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:DICKINSON	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:EMMET	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:GOGEBIC	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:GRATIOT	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:GRD TRAVERSE	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:HILLSDALE	06902	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	124	147	162	178
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	179	196
COUNTY:HOUGHTON	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:IRON	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:ISABELLA	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:KALKASKA	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:KEWEENAW	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GRAND RAPIDS,MICHIGAN INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LAKE	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:LEELANAU	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:LUCE	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:MACKINAC	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:MANISTEE	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:MARQUETTE	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:MASON	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:MECOSTA	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:MENOMINEE	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:MISSAUKEE	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:MONTCALM	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:NEWAYGO	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:ONTONAGON	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
GRAND RAPIDS,MICHIGAN INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:OSCEOLA	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182
COUNTY:OTSEGO	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:ROSCOMMON	06702	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:SCHOOLCRAFT	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:ST JOSEPH	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:WEXFORD	06802	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	152	166
STATE:MI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	167	182

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION S	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ANDERSON, IN							
COUNTY:MADISON	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
SMSA: CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN							
COUNTY:DEARBORN	05802	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	156	180	205
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	126	144	171	198	225
SMSA: EVANSVILLE, IN-KY							
COUNTY:GIBSON	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:POSEY	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:VANDERBURGH	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:WARRICK	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
SMSA: FORT WAYNE, IN							
COUNTY:ADAMS	07001	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	209
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	230
COUNTY:ALLEN	07001	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	209
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	230
COUNTY:DE KALB	07001	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	209
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	230
COUNTY:WELLS	07001	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	209
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	230
SMSA: GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO, IN							
COUNTY:LAKE	07206	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:PORTER	07206	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: INDIANAPOLIS, IN							
COUNTY:BOONE	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
COUNTY:HAMILTON	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
COUNTY:HANCOCK	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
COUNTY:HENDRICKS	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
COUNTY:JOHNSON	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
COUNTY:MARION	05601	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	169	190	215
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	237
COUNTY:MORGAN	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
COUNTY:SHELBY	05602	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	176	200
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	124	142	168	194	220
SMSA: LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE, IN							
COUNTY:TIPPECANOE	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
SMSA: LOUISVILLE, KY-IN							
COUNTY:CLARK	05001	NON-ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	182
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	183	200
COUNTY:FLOYD	05001	NON-ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	182
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	117	134	159	183	200
SMSA: MUNCIE, IN							
COUNTY:DELAWARE	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: SOUTH BEND, IN							
COUNTY:MARSHALL	07101	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	187	205
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	206	225
COUNTY:ST JOSEPH	07101	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	187	205
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	206	225
SMSA: TERRE HAUTE, IN							
COUNTY:CLAY	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
COUNTY:SULLIVAN	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
COUNTY:VERMILLION	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
COUNTY:VIGO	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BARTHOLOMEW	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:BENTON	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:BLACKFORD	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:BROWN	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:CARROLL	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:CASS	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:CLINTON	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	136	151	165
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	166	182
COUNTY:DAVIESS	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:DECATUR	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:DUBOIS	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:ELKHART	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:FAYETTE	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:FOUNTAIN	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:FULTON	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:GRANT	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:GREENE	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
COUNTY:HARRISON	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	136	151	165
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	166	182
COUNTY:HENRY	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HOWARD	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:HUNTINGTON	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:JACKSON	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:JASPER	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:JAY	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	136	151	165
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	166	182
COUNTY:JENNINGS	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:KNOX	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:KOSCIUSKO	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:LA PORTE	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:LAGRANGE	07102	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	166	182
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	122	139	165	183	201
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:MARTIN	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MIAMI	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:MONROE	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:NEWTON	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:NOBLE	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:OHIO	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:ORANGE	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	136	151	165
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	166	182
COUNTY:OWEN	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:PARKE	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
COUNTY:PERRY	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:PIKE	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:PULASKI	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:PUTNAM	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:RIPLEY	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:RUSH	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:SCOTT	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	136	151	165
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	166	182
COUNTY:SPENCER	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:STARKE	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:STEUBEN	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:SWITZERLAND	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:TIPTON	05603	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	154	170	187
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	187	205
COUNTY:UNION	05803	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:WABASH	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:WARREN	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	05002	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	136	151	165
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	166	182

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WAYNE	05701	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:WHITE	05501	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:WHITLEY	07002	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IN		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: APPLETON-OSHKOSH, WI							
COUNTY:CALUMET	07801	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	127	145	172	198	217
COUNTY:OUTAGAMIE	07801	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	127	145	172	198	217
COUNTY:WINNEBAGO	07801	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	127	145	172	198	217
SMSA: DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI							
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	08001	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
SMSA: GREEN BAY, WI							
COUNTY:BROWN	07802	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
SMSA: KENOSHA, WI							
COUNTY:KENOSHA	07701	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	189	206
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	133	152	180	208	227
SMSA: LA CROSSE, WI							
COUNTY:LA CROSSE	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	149	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
SMSA: MADISON, WI							
COUNTY:DANE	07702	NON-ELEVATOR:	143	163	193	223	243
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	158	180	212	245	268
SMSA: MILWAUKEE, WI							
COUNTY:MILWAUKEE	07703	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	206	234
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	257
COUNTY:OZAUKEE	07704	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	206	234
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	257
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	07704	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	206	234
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	257

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: MILWAUKEE, WI							
COUNTY:WAUKESHA	07704	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	206	234
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	257
SMSA: MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL, MN							
COUNTY:ST CROIX	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
SMSA: RACINE, WI							
COUNTY:RACINE	07701	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	189	206
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	133	152	180	208	227
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:ASHLAND	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:BARRON	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:BAYFIELD	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:BUFFALO	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:BURNETT	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:CHIPPEWA	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:CLARK	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:DODGE	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:DOOR	07802	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:DUNN	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:EAU CLAIRE	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:FLORENCE	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:FOND DU LAC	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:FOREST	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:GRANT	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:GREEN	07602	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:GREEN LAKE	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:IOWA	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:IRON	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MILWAUKEE,WISCONSIN AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:JACKSON	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:JUNEAU	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:KEWAUNEE	07802	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:LAFAYETTE	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:LANGLADE	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:LINCOLN	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:MANITOWOC	07802	NON-ELEVATOR:	105	120	142	164	179
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	115	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:MARATHON	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:MARINETTE	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:MARQUETTE	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:MENOMINEE	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:MONROE	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION'S	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:OCONTO	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:ONEIDA	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:PEPIN	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:PIERCE	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:POLK	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:PORTAGE	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:PRICE	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:RICHLAND	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:ROCK	07602	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:RUSK	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:SAUK	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:SAWYER	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:SHAWANO	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MILWAUKEE,WISCONSIN AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SHEBOYGAN	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:TAYLOR	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:TREMPEALEAU	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:VERNON	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:VILAS	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194
COUNTY:WALWORTH	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:WASHBURN	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:WAUPACA	07803	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	159
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	106	121	144	160	175
COUNTY:WAUSHARA	07705	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	167
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	111	127	151	167	183
COUNTY:WOOD	07901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	123	145	161	177
STATE:WI		ELEVATOR:	118	135	160	177	194

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI							
COUNTY:ST LOUIS	08001	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
SMSA: FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN							
COUNTY:CLAY	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
SMSA: MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL, MN							
COUNTY:ANOKA	08203	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:CARVER	08201	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:CHISAGO	08203	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:DAKOTA	08203	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:HENNEPIN	08201	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:RAMSEY	08202	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:SCOTT	08203	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	08203	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
COUNTY:WRIGHT	08201	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	224	254
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	159	181	214	247	280
SMSA: ROCHESTER, MN							
COUNTY:OLMSTED	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION S	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: ST CLOUD, MN							
COUNTY:BENTON	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:SHERBURNE	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:STEARNS	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AITKIN	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:BECKER	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:BELTRAMI	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:BIG STONE	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:BLUE EARTH	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:BROWN	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:CARLTON	08092	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:CASS	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:CHIPPEWA	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:CLEARWATER	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:COOK	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:COTTONWOOD	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:CROW WING	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:DODGE	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:FARIBAULT	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:FILLMORE	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:FREEBORN	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:GOODHUE	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:GRANT	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:HOUSTON	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:HUBBARD	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:ISANTI	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ITASCA	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:JACKSON	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:KANABEC	08209	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:KANDIYOHI	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:KITTSON	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:KOOCHICHING	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:LAC QUI PARL	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:LAKE	08002	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:LAKE OF WOOD	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:LE SUEUR	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:LINCOLN	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:LYON	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:MAHNOMEN	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MARSHALL	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:MARTIN	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:MCLEOD	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:MEEKER	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:MILLE LACS	08209	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:MORRISON	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:MOWER	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:MURRAY	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:NICOLLET	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:NOBLES	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:NORMAN	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:OTTER TAIL	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:PENNINGTON	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PINE	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:PIPESTONE	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:POLK	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:POPE	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:RED LAKE	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:REDWOOD	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:RENVILLE	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:RICE	08204	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:ROCK	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:ROSEAU	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:SIBLEY	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:STEELE	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:STEVENS	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
MINNEAPOLIS-ST.PAUL,MINNESOTA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SWIFT	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:TODD	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:TRAVERSE	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:WABASHA	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	169	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:WADENA	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149
COUNTY:WASECA	08205	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	169	182	200
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	200	220
COUNTY:WATONWAN	08206	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	191	210
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	141	161	190	210	231
COUNTY:WILKIN	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:WINONA	08101	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:YELLOW MEDIC	08207	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
STATE:MN		ELEVATOR:	90	102	122	135	149

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL							
COUNTY:MCLEAN	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	169	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
SMSA: CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL, IL							
COUNTY:CHAMPAIGN	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
SMSA: DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IA-IL							
COUNTY:HENRY	07401	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
SMSA: DECATUR, IL							
COUNTY:MACON	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
SMSA: PEORIA, IL							
COUNTY:PEORIA	07301	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
COUNTY:TAZEWELL	07301	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
COUNTY:WOODFORD	07301	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
SMSA: ST LOUIS, MO-IL							
COUNTY:CLINTON	10102	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:MADISON	10101	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:MONROE	10102	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:ST CLAIR	10102	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
SMSA: SPRINGFIELD, IL							
COUNTY:MENARD	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: SPRINGFIELD, IL							
COUNTY:SANGAMON	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:ALEXANDER	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BOND	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:BROWN	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:BUREAU	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:CALHOUN	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:CASS	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:CHRISTIAN	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:CLARK	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205
COUNTY:CLAY	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:COLES	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	05201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	171	187
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	188	205

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CUMBERLAND	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
COUNTY:DE WITT	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
COUNTY:EDGAR	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
COUNTY:EDWARDS	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:EFFINGHAM	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:FAYETTE	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:FORD	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:FULTON	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:GALLATIN	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:GREENE	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:HAMILTON	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD,ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HANCOCK	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:HARDIN	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HENDERSON	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:IROQUOIS	07207	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:JACKSON	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:JASPER	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:JERSEY	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:JOHNSON	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:KNOX	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:LA SALLE	07208	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:LIVINGSTON	07208	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD:ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LOGAN	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:MACOUPIN	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:MARION	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:MARSHALL	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:MASON	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:MASSAC	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MCDONOUGH	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:MERCER	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:MORGAN	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:MOULTRIE	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:PERRY	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:PIATT	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD,ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PIKE	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:POPE	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PULASKI	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PUTNAM	07208	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	137	162	179	197
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	132	151	178	197	216
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:RICHLAND	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:SALINE	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:SCHUYLER	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:SCOTT	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:SHELBY	05301	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	196
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
COUNTY:STARK	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:UNION	10202	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:VERMILION	05401	NON-ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	190	208
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	134	153	181	209	228

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 5	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPRINGFIELD:ILLINOIS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WABASH	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:WARREN	07302	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	125	148	164	179
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	120	137	163	180	197
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:WAYNE	10107	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	118	131	144
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
COUNTY:WHITE	05102	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:WILLIAMSON	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:IL		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ALBUQUERQUE:NEW MEXICO INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: ALBUQUERQUE, NM							
COUNTY:BERNALILLO	12901	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	122	145	167	182
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	118	135	159	183	200
COUNTY:SANDOVAL	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CATRON	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:CHAVES	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:COLFAX	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:CURRY	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:DE BACA	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:DONA ANA	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:EDDY	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:GRANT	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:GUADALUPE	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:HARDING	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:HIDALGO	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ALBUQUERQUE,NEW MEXICO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LEA	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:LINCOLN	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:LOS ALAMOS	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:LUNA	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:MCKINLEY	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:MORA	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:OTERO	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:QUAY	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:RIO ARRIBA	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:ROOSEVELT	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:SAN JUAN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SAN MIGUEL	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:SANTE FE	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Col), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ALBUQUERQUE,NEW MEXICO INSUKING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SIERRA	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:SOCORRO	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	95	113	125	137
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
COUNTY:TAOS	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:TORRANCE	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:UNION	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	125	136
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	137	150
COUNTY:VALENCIA	12902	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:NM		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DALLAS, TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: DALLAS-FORT WORTH , TX							
COUNTY:COLLIN	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:DALLAS	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:DENTON	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:ELLIS	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:KAUFMAN	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:ROCKWALL	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
SMSA: KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX							
COUNTY:BELL	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:CORYELL	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
SMSA: SHERMAN-DENISON, TX							
COUNTY:GRAYSON	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
SMSA: TYLER, TX							
COUNTY:SMITH	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
SMSA: WACO, TX							
COUNTY:MCLENNAN	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ANDERSON	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DALLAS, TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CAMP	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:COOKE	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:DELTA	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:FALLS	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:FANNIN	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:FREESTONE	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:GREGG	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:HENDERSON	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:HILL	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:HOPKINS	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:HUNT	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DALLAS,TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LAMAR	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:LIMESTONE	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:MILAM	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:NAVARRO	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:RAINS	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:RED RIVER	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:RUSK	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:TITUS	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:UPSHUR	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:VAN ZANDT	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:WOOD	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FORT WORTH, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: ABILENE, TX							
COUNTY:CALLAHAN	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:JONES	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:TAYLOR	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
SMSA: DALLAS-FORT WORTH , TX							
COUNTY:HOOD	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:JOHNSON	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:PARKER	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:TARRANT	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
COUNTY:WISE	11301	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	165	191	216
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	210	238
SMSA: SAN ANGELO, TX							
COUNTY:TOM GREEN	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
SMSA: WICHITA FALLS, TX							
COUNTY:CLAY	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:WICHITA	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ARCHER	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FORT WORTH, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BAYLOR	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:BOSQUE	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:BROWN	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:COKE	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:COLEMAN	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:COMANCHE	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:CONCHO	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:CROCKETT	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:EASTLAND	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:ERATH	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:FOARD	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:HAMILTON	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:HARDEMAN	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FORT WORTH, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HASKELL	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:IRION	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:JACK	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:KIMBLE	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:KNOX	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:LAMPASAS	11401	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	138	151
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	152	166
COUNTY:MASON	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MCCULLOCH	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MENARD	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MILLS	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MONTAGUE	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:PALO PINTO	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:REAGAN	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FORT WORTH, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:RUNNELS	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SAN SABA	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SCHLEICHER	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SHACKLEFORD	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SOMERVELL	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:STEPHENS	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:STERLING	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SUTTON	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:THROCKMORTON	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:WILBARGER	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:YOUNG	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170

PREPARED BY HUD-- EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HOUSTON, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE, TX							
COUNTY:HARDIN	12501	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	134	147
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	148	162
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	12501	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	134	147
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	148	162
COUNTY:ORANGE	12501	NON-ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	134	147
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	94	108	128	148	162
SMSA: BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX							
COUNTY:BRAZOS	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
SMSA: GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX							
COUNTY:GALVESTON	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
SMSA: HOUSTON, TX							
COUNTY:BRAZORIA	12502	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	203
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	223
COUNTY:FORT BEND	12502	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	203
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	223
COUNTY:HARRIS	12502	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	203
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	223
COUNTY:LIBERTY	12502	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	203
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	223
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	12502	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	203
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	223
COUNTY:WALLER	12502	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	155	179	203
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	223
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ANGELINA	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HOUSTON,TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AUSTIN	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:BURLESON	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:CHAMBERS	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:COLORADO	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:GRIMES	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:HOUSTON	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:JASPER	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:LEON	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:MADISON	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:MATAGORDA	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:NACOGDOCHES	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:NEWTON	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:POLK	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HOUSTON,TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ROBERTSON	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:SABINE	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:SAN AUGUSTIN	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:SAN JACINTO	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:SHELBY	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:TRINITY	11602	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	123	135
COUNTY:TYLER	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:WALKER	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:WHARTON	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE, AR							
COUNTY:BENTON	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
SMSA: FORT SMITH, AR-OK							
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:SEBASTIAN	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
SMSA: LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR							
COUNTY:PULASKI	10401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:SALINE	10401	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
SMSA: MEMPHIS, TN-AR							
COUNTY:CRITTENDEN	04201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	124	147	169	185
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	119	136	161	186	203
SMSA: PINE BLUFF, AR							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
SMSA: TEXARKANA, TX-AR							
COUNTY:LITTLE RIVER	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:MILLER	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:BOWIE	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ARKANSAS	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ASHLEY	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:BAXTER	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:BOONE	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:BRADLEY	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CALHOUN	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CARROLL	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:CHICOT	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CLARK	10902	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:CLAY	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:CLEBURNE	10902	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:CLEVELAND	10903	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CONWAY	10902	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CRAIGHEAD	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:CROSS	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:DALLAS	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:DESHA	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:DREW	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:FAULKNER	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:FULTON	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:GARLAND	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:GRANT	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:GREENE	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:HEMPSTEAD	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:HOTSPRING	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE 8- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LITTLE ROCK,ARKANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HOWARD	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:INDEPENDENCE	10902	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:IZARD	10902	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:JACKSON	10903	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:JOHNSON	10902	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:LAFAYETTE	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:LEE	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:LINCOLN	10903	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:LOGAN	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:LONOKE	10903	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:MADISON	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:MARION	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MISSISSIPPI	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:MONROE	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:NEVADA	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:NEWTON	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:OUACHITA	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:PERRY	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:PHILLIPS	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:PIKE	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:POINSETT	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:POLK	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:POPE	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:PRAIRIE	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:SCOTT	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:SEARCY	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	128	140
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	140	154
COUNTY:SEVIER	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:SHARP	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:ST FRANCIS	04202	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	126	138
COUNTY:STONE	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:UNION	11901	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:VAN BUREN	10402	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	102	112
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	112	123
COUNTY:WHITE	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:WOODRUFF	10403	NON-ELEVATOR:	77	88	104	116	127
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
COUNTY:YELL	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:AR		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LUBBOCK, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: AMARILLO, TX							
COUNTY:POTTER	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:RANDALL	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
SMSA: EL PASO, TX							
COUNTY:EL PASO	12801	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	165	181
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	117	133	157	182	199
SMSA: LUBBOCK, TX							
COUNTY:LUBBOCK	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
SMSA: MIDLAND, TX							
COUNTY:MIDLAND	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
SMSA: ODESSA, TX							
COUNTY:ECTOR	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ANDREWS	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:ARMSTRONG	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:BAILEY	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:BORDEN	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:BREWSTER	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:BRISCOE	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LUBBOCK, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CARSON	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:CASTRO	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:CHILDRESS	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:COCHRAN	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:COLLINGSWORT	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:COTTLE	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:CRANE	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:CROSBY	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:CULBERSON	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:DALLAM	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:DAWSON	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:DEAF SMITH	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:DICKENS	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LUBBOCK, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DONLEY	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:FISHER	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:FLOYD	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:GAINES	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:GARZA	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:GLASSCOCK	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:GRAY	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:HALE	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:HALL	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:HANSFORD	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:HARTLEY	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:HEMPHILL	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:HOCKLEY	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LUBBOCK, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HOWARD	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:HUDSPETH	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:HUTCHINSON	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:JEFF DAVIS	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:KENT	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:KING	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:LAMB	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:LIPSCOMB	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:LOVING	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:LYNN	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:MARTIN	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:MITCHELL	12201	NON-ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	120	131
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
COUNTY:MOORE	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LUBBOCK,TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MOTLEY	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:NOLAN	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:OCHILTREE	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:OLDHAM	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:PARMER	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:PECOS	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:PRESIDIO	12802	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	93	110	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	89	102	121	135	148
COUNTY:REEVES	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:ROBERTS	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:SCURRY	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SHERMAN	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:STONEWALL	11201	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:SWISHER	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LUBBOCK, TEXAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:TERRELL	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:TERRY	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155
COUNTY:UPTON	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:WARD	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:WHEELER	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:WINKLER	11101	NON-ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	136	148
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	95	109	129	149	163
COUNTY:YOAKUM	11001	NON-ELEVATOR:	82	94	111	129	141
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	142	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BATON ROUGE, LA							
COUNTY:ASCENSION	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:E BATON ROUG	12301	NON-ELEVATOR:	117	134	158	183	200
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	129	147	174	201	220
COUNTY:LIVINGSTON	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:W BATON ROUG	12301	NON-ELEVATOR:	117	134	158	183	200
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	129	147	174	201	220
SMSA: FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE, AR							
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
SMSA: LAFAYETTE, LA							
COUNTY:LAFAYETTE	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
SMSA: LAKE CHARLES, LA							
COUNTY:CALCASIEU	12402	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	138	151
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	152	166
SMSA: NEW ORLEANS, LA							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	12303	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	183
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	201
COUNTY:ORLEANS	12302	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	183
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	201
COUNTY:ST BERNARD	12303	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	183
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	201
COUNTY:ST TAMMANY	12303	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	183
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	201
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ACADIA	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALLEN	12402	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	138	151
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	152	166
COUNTY:ASSUMPTION	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BEAUREGARD	12402	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	138	151
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	152	166
COUNTY:CAMERON	12402	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	138	151
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	152	166
COUNTY:E FELICIANA	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:EVANGELINE	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:IBERIA	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:IBERVILLE	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:JEFFERSON DA	12402	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	138	151
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	152	166
COUNTY:LAFOURCHE	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PLAQUEMINES	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:POINTE COUPE	12304	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:ST CHARLES	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
NEW ORLEANS,LOUISIANA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ST HELENA	12309	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:ST JAMES	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ST JOHN THE	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ST LANDRY	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:ST MARTIN	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:ST MARY	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:TANGIPAHOA	12306	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:TERREBONNE	12305	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	117	129
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:VERMILION	12401	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128
COUNTY:W FELICIANA	12309	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: LAWTON, OK							
COUNTY:COMANCHE	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
SMSA: OKLAHOMA CITY, OK							
COUNTY:CANADIAN	10701	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	176
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	194
COUNTY:CLEVELAND	10701	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	176
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	194
COUNTY:MCCLAIN	10701	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	176
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	194
COUNTY:OKLAHOMA	10701	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	176
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	194
COUNTY:POTTAWATOMIE	10701	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	161	176
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	194
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALFALFA	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:BEAVER	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:BECKHAM	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:BLAINE	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:CADDO	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:CARTER	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:CIMARRON	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:COTTON	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:CUSTER	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:DEWEY	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:GARFIELD	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:GARVIN	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:GRADY	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:GRANT	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:GREER	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:HARMON	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:HARPER	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:JACKSON	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:JOHNSTON	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OKLAHOMA CITY,OKLAHOMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:KAY	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:KINGFISHER	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:KIOWA	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:LINCOLN	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:LOGAN	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:LOVE	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:MAJOR	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:MARSHALL	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:MURRAY	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:NOBLE	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:PAYNE	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:PONTOTOC	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:ROGER MILLS	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OKLAHOMA CITY,OKLAHOMA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SEMINOLE	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:STEPHENS	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:TEXAS	10901	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	122	134
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	135	147
COUNTY:TILLMAN	10801	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	122	141	154
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	155	170
COUNTY:WASHITA	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:WOODS	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:WOODWARD	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: AUSTIN, TX							
COUNTY:HAYS	11501	NON-ELEVATOR:	130	148	175	202	220
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	143	162	192	222	242
COUNTY:TRAVIS	11501	NON-ELEVATOR:	130	148	175	202	220
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	143	162	192	222	242
SMSA: BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX							
COUNTY:CAMERON	12701	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	106	116
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
SMSA: CORPUS CHRISTI, TX							
COUNTY:NUECES	12702	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
COUNTY:SAN PATRICIO	12702	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	128	148	162
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
SMSA: LAREDO, TX							
COUNTY:WEBB	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
SMSA: MC ALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG, TX							
COUNTY:HIDALGO	12701	NON-ELEVATOR:	67	77	92	106	116
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
SMSA: SAN ANTONIO, TX							
COUNTY:BEXAR	12601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	142	156
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	157	171
COUNTY:COMAL	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:GUADALUPE	12601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	142	156
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	157	171
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ARANSAS	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN ANTONIO:TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ATASCOSA	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:BANDERA	12601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	142	156
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	157	171
COUNTY:BASTROP	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:BEE	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:BLANCO	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:BROOKS	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:BURNET	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:CALDWELL	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:CALHOUN	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:DE WITT	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:DIMMIT	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:DUVAL	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:EDWARDS	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN ANTONIO,TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FAYETTE	12503	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	132	144
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	92	105	125	145	158
COUNTY:FRIO	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:GILLESPIE	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:GOLIAD	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:GONZALES	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:JACKSON	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:JIM HOGG	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:JIM WELLS	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:KARNES	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:KENDALL	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:KENEDY	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:KERR	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:KINNEY	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN ANTONIO:TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:KLEBERG	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:LA SALLE	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:LAVACA	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:LEE	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:LIVE OAK	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:LLANO	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:MAVERICK	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:MCMULLEN	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:MEDINA	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:REAL	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:REFUGIO	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:STARR	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:UVALDE	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN ANTONIO,TEXAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:VAL VERDE	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:VICTORIA	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:WILLACY	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:WILLIAMSON	11502	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	140
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	93	107	126	140	154
COUNTY:WILSON	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
COUNTY:ZAPATA	12703	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	164
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	110	125	148	164	180
COUNTY:ZAVALA	12602	NON-ELEVATOR:	80	91	108	120	132
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SHREVEPORT,LOUISIANA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: ALEXANDRIA, LA							
COUNTY:GRANT	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:RAPIDES	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
SMSA: MONROE, LA							
COUNTY:OUACHITA	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
SMSA: SHREVEPORT, LA							
COUNTY:BOSSIER	11601	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	157
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
COUNTY:CADDO	11601	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	157
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
COUNTY:WEBSTER	11601	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	157
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	101	115	137	158	173
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AVOYELLES	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:BIENVILLE	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CALDWELL	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:CATAHOULA	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:CLAIBORNE	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	109	115
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:CONCORDIA	12309	NON-ELEVATOR:	70	80	95	106	116
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	77	88	105	116	128

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SHREVEPORT,LOUISIANA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DE SOTO	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:EAST CARROLL	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:JACKSON	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:LA SALLE	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:LINCOLN	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:MADISON	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:MOREHOUSE	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:NATCHITOCHES	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:RED RIVER	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:RICHLAND	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:SABINE	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:TENSAS	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SHREVEPORT,LOUISIANA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:UNION	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:VERNON	12402	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	120	138	151
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	97	111	132	152	166
COUNTY:WEST CARROLL	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:WINN	11801	NON-ELEVATOR:	74	85	101	117	128
STATE:LA		ELEVATOR:	82	93	111	129	141
COUNTY:CASS	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:HARRISON	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:MARION	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126
COUNTY:MORRIS	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:PANOLA	11603	NON-ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	104	115
STATE:TX		ELEVATOR:	76	87	103	115	126

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TULSA, OKLAHOMA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: FORT SMITH, AR-OK							
COUNTY:LE FLORE	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:SEQUOYAH	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
SMSA: TULSA, OK							
COUNTY:CREEK	10601	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	152	166
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	183
COUNTY:MAYES	10601	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	152	166
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	183
COUNTY:OSAGE	10601	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	152	166
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	183
COUNTY:ROGERS	10601	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	152	166
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	183
COUNTY:TULSA	10601	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	152	166
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	183
COUNTY:WAGONER	10601	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	152	166
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	167	183
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAIR	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:ATOKA	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:BRYAN	11303	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:CHOCTAW	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CD), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TULSA, OKLAHOMA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:COAL	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:CRAIG	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	71	81	96	107	117
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
COUNTY:DELAWARE	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:ELLIS	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:HASKELL	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:HUGHES	10703	NON-ELEVATOR:	63	72	86	95	105
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	69	79	94	105	115
COUNTY:LATIMER	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:MCCURTAIN	11701	NON-ELEVATOR:	65	74	88	98	108
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	71	81	97	108	118
COUNTY:MCINTOSH	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:MUSKOGEE	10602	NON-ELEVATOR:	79	90	107	119	130
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	87	99	117	130	143
COUNTY:NOWATA	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:OKFUSKEE	10702	NON-ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	113	124
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	83	95	112	125	137
COUNTY:OKMULGEE	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 6	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TULSA,OKLAHOMA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:OTTAWA	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	71	81	96	107	117
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
COUNTY:PAWNEE	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134
COUNTY:PITTSBURG	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:PUSHMATAHA	10501	NON-ELEVATOR:	68	78	93	107	118
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	75	86	102	118	129
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	10603	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	84	100	111	122
STATE:OK		ELEVATOR:	81	92	110	122	134

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: CEDAR RAPIDS, IA							
COUNTY:LINN	07402	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	196	213
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	215	235
SMSA: DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IA-IL							
COUNTY:SCOTT	07401	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	144	170	197	215
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	217	236
SMSA: DES MOINES, IA							
COUNTY:POLK	09301	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	225
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	248
COUNTY:WARREN	09301	NON-ELEVATOR:	133	151	179	207	225
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	146	166	197	227	248
SMSA: DUBUQUE, IA							
COUNTY:DUBUQUE	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
SMSA: SIOUX CITY, IA-NE							
COUNTY:WOODBURY	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
SMSA: WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA							
COUNTY:BLACK HAWK	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAIR	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:ADAMS	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:ALLAMAKEE	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:APPANOOSE	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AUDUBON	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:BENTON	07402	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	196	213
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	215	235
COUNTY:BOONE	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:BREMER	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:BUCHANAN	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:BUENA VISTA	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:BUTLER	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:CALHOUN	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:CARROLL	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:CASS	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:CEDAR	07402	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	196	213
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	215	235
COUNTY:CERRO GORDO	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHICKASAW	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:CLARKE	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:CLAY	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:CLAYTON	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:CLINTON	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:DALLAS	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:DAVIS	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:DECATUR	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:DELAWARE	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:DES MOINES	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:DICKINSON	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:EMMET	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FAYETTE	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:FLOYD	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:FREMONT	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:GREENE	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:GRUNDY	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:GUTHRIE	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:HAMILTON	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:HANCOCK	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:HARDIN	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:HARRISON	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:HENRY	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:HOWARD	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HUMBOLDT	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:IDA	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:IOWA	07402	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	196	213
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	215	235
COUNTY:JACKSON	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:JASPER	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:JOHNSON	07402	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	196	213
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	215	235
COUNTY:JONES	07402	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	143	169	196	213
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	138	157	186	215	235
COUNTY:KEOKUK	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:KOSSUTH	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:LEE	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:LOUISA	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:LUCAS	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LYON	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:MADISON	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MAHASKA	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MARION	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MARSHALL	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MILLS	09902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:MITCHELL	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:MONONA	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:MONROE	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	09902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:MUSCATINE	07403	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:O BRIEN	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:OSCEOLA	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES, IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PAGE	09902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:PALO ALTO	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:PLYMOUTH	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:POCAHONTAS	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:POWESHIEK	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:RINGGOLD	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:SAC	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:SHELBY	09902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:SIOUX	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:STORY	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:TAMA	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:TAYLOR	09902	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:UNION	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DES MOINES,IOWA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:VAN BUREN	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:WAPELLO	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	07903	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	174
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	174	191
COUNTY:WAYNE	09302	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:WEBSTER	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:WINNEBAGO	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:WINNESHIEK	07501	NON-ELEVATOR:	95	108	129	143	157
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	157	172
COUNTY:WORTH	09201	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	163	178
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	179	195
COUNTY:WRIGHT	09101	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KANSAS CITY:KANSAS AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: KANSAS CITY, MO-KS							
COUNTY:JOHNSON	09801	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
COUNTY:WYANDOTTE	09802	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
COUNTY:CASS	09801	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
COUNTY:CLAY	09802	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
COUNTY:JACKSON	09803	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
COUNTY:PLATTE	09802	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
COUNTY:RAY	09802	NON-ELEVATOR:	123	140	166	191	217
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	135	154	182	211	239
SMSA: ST JOSEPH, MO							
COUNTY:ANDREW	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:BUCHANEN	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
SMSA: SPRINGFIELD, MO							
COUNTY:CHRISTIAN	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:GREENE	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ATCHISON	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KANSAS CITY:KANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BARRY	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:BARTON	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:BATES	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:BENTON	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:CALDWELL	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:CAMDEN	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:CARROLL	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:CEDAR	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:CHARITON	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:CLINTON	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:DADE	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:DALLAS	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:DAVIESS	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KANSAS CITY,KANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DE KALB	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:GENTRY	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:GRUNDY	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:HARRISON	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:HENRY	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:HICKORY	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:HOLT	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:JASPER	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:JOHNSON	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:LACLEDE	10108	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:LAFAYETTE	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:LINN	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KANSAS CITY:KANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LIVINGSTON	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:MCDONALD	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:MERCER	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:MILLER	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:MORGAN	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:NEWTON	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:NODAWAY	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:PETTIS	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:POLK	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:PULASKI	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:PUTNAM	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:SALINE	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
COUNTY:ST CLAIR	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
KANSAS CITY,KANSAS AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:STONE	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:SULLIVAN	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:TANEY	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:VERNON	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:WEBSTER	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:WORTH	09806	NON-ELEVATOR:	85	97	115	128	141
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA, NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: LINCOLN, NE							
COUNTY:LANCASTER	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
SMSA: OMAHA, NE-IA							
COUNTY:POTTAWATTAMI	09401	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	189	206
STATE:IA		ELEVATOR:	133	152	180	208	227
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	09401	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	189	206
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	133	152	180	208	227
COUNTY:SARPY	09401	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	189	206
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	133	152	180	208	227
SMSA: SIOUX CITY, IA-NE							
COUNTY:DAKOTA	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:ANTELOPE	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:ARTHUR	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:BANNER	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:BLAINE	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:BOONE	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:BOX BUTTE	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA, NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BOYD	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:BROWN	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:BUFFALO	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:BURT	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:BUTLER	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:CASS	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:CEDAR	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:CHASE	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:CHERRY	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:CHEYENNE	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:CLAY	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:COLFAX	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:CUMING	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA,NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CUSTER	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:DAWES	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:DAWSON	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:DEUEL	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:DIXON	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:DODGE	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:DUNDY	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:FILLMORE	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:FRONTIER	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:FURNAS	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:GAGE	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:GARDEN	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA, NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GARFIELD	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:GOSPER	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:GRANT	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:GREELEY	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HALL	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HAMILTON	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HARLAN	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HAYES	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HITCHCOCK	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HOLT	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:HOOKER	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:HOWARD	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA, NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:JOHNSON	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:KEARNEY	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:KEITH	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:KEYA PAHA	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:KIMBALL	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:KNOX	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:LINCOLN	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:LOGAN	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:LOUP	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:MADISON	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:MCPHERSON	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:MERRICK	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:MORRILL	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA,NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NANCE	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:NEMAHA	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:NUCKOLLS	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:OTOE	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:PAWNEE	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:PERKINS	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:PHELPS	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:PIERCE	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:PLATTE	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:POLK	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:RED WILLOW	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:RICHARDSON	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:ROCK	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA, NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SALINE	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:SAUNDERS	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169
COUNTY:SCOTTS BLUFF	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:SEWARD	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:SHERIDAN	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:SHERMAN	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:SIOUX	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	100	119	132	145
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	97	110	131	145	160
COUNTY:STANTON	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:THAYER	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	146	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176
COUNTY:THOMAS	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:THURSTON	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:VALLEY	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	09402	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	154
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	154	169

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
OMAHA,NEBRASKA AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WAYNE	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:WEBSTER	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:WHEELER	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	102	121	135	148
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	99	113	134	148	163
COUNTY:YORK	09501	NON-ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	160
STATE:NE		ELEVATOR:	102	117	139	161	176

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: COLUMBIA, MO							
COUNTY:BOONE	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
SMSA: ST LOUIS, MO-IL							
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	10105	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	10105	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:ST CHARLES	10105	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:ST LOUIS	10104	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
COUNTY:ST LOUIS CTY	10103	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	133	157	182	206
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	128	146	173	200	227
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAIR	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:AUDRAIN	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:BOLLINGER	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:BUTLER	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:CALLAWAY	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:CAPE GIRARDE	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:CARTER	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Col), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CLARK	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:COLE	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:COOPER	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	116	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:DENT	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:DUNKLIN	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:GASCONADE	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:HOWARD	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:HOWELL	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:IRON	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:KNOX	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	116	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:LEWIS	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LINCOLN	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:MACON	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:MADISON	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:MARIES	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:MARION	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:MISSISSIPPI	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:MONITEAU	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:MONROE	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:NEW MADRID	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:OREGON	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:OSAGE	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:OZARK	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PEMISCOT	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:PERRY	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:PHELPS	10106.	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:PIKE	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:RALLS	10001	NON-ELEVATOR:	96	109	130	144	158
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	105	120	143	158	174
COUNTY:RANDOLPH	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:REYNOLDS	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:RIPLEY	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:SCHUYLER	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:SCOTLAND	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186
COUNTY:SCOTT	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:SHANNON	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158
COUNTY:SHELBY	09901	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	118	139	155	169
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	153	170	186

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ST.LOUIS,MISSOURI AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ST FRANCOIS	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:STE GENEVIEV	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:STODDARD	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:TEXAS	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:WARREN	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	10106	NON-ELEVATOR:	90	103	123	136	149
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	150	164
COUNTY:WAYNE	10201	NON-ELEVATOR:	81	92	109	122	134
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	134	147
COUNTY:WRIGHT	10301	NON-ELEVATOR:	83	95	113	131	143
STATE:MO		ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	144	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: TOPEKA, KS							
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	09809	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
COUNTY:OSAGE	09809	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
COUNTY:SHAWNEE	09809	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
SMSA: WICHITA, KS							
COUNTY:BUTLER	09701	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	176	192
COUNTY:SEDGWICK	09701	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	160	175
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	176	192
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALLEN	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:ANDERSON	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:ATCHISON	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:BARBER	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:BARTON	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:BOURBON	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:BROWN	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:CHASE	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHAUTAUQUA	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:CHEROKEE	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:CHEYENNE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:CLARK	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:CLAY	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:CLOUD	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:COFFEY	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:COMANCHE	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:COWLEY	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:CRAWFORD	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:DECATUR	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:DICKINSON	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:DONIPHAN	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	09804	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
COUNTY:EDWARDS	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ELK	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:ELLIS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:ELLSWORTH	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:FINNEY	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:FORD	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:GEARY	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:GOVE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:GRAHAM	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:GRANT	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:GRAY	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA, KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GREELEY	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:GREENWOOD	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HAMILTON	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HARPER	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HARVEY	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HASKELL	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:HODGEMAN	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:JACKSON	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:JEWELL	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:KEARNY	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:KINGMAN	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:KIOWA	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:LABETTE	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LANE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:LEAVENWORTH	09804	NON-ELEVATOR:	110	126	149	172	188
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	121	138	164	189	207
COUNTY:LINCOLN	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:LINN	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:LOGAN	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:LYON	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:MARION	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MARSHALL	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:MCPHERSON	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:MEADE	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:MIAMI	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:MITCHELL	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:MONTGOMERY	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MORRIS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:MORTON	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:NEMAHA	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:NEOSHO	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:NESS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:NORTON	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:OSBORNE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:OTTAWA	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:PAWNEE	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:PHILLIPS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:POTTAWATOMIE	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:PRATT	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:RAWLINS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:RENO	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:REPUBLIC	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:RICE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:RILEY	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:ROOKS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:RUSH	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:RUSSELL	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:SALINE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:SCOTT	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:SEWARD	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:SHERIDAN	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:SHERMAN	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:SMITH	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 7	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
TOPEKA,KANSAS INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:STAFFORD	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:STANTON	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:STEVENS	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:SUMNER	09702	NON-ELEVATOR:	78	89	106	118	129
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	86	98	116	129	142
COUNTY:THOMAS	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:TREGO	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:WABAUNSEE	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:WALLACE	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	09805	NON-ELEVATOR:	89	101	120	133	147
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
COUNTY:WICHITA	09601	NON-ELEVATOR:	84	96	114	127	139
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	93	106	126	140	153
COUNTY:WILSON	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133
COUNTY:WOODSON	10302	NON-ELEVATOR:	73	83	99	110	121
STATE:KS		ELEVATOR:	80	91	109	121	133

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CASPER, WYOMING INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALBANY	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:BIG HORN	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:CAMPBELL	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:CARBON	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:CONVERSE	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:CROOK	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165
COUNTY:FREMONT	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:GOSHEN	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:HOT SPRINGS	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:JOHNSON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:LARAMIE	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:LINCOLN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:NATRONA	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION B	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
CASPER,WYOMING INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NIOBRARA	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:PARK	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:PLATTE	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SHERIDAN	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SUBLETTE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SWEETWATER	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:TETON	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:UINTA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:WASHAKIE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:WESTON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	137	150
STATE:WY		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	165

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DENVER,COLORADO INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: COLORADO SPRINGS, CO							
COUNTY:EL PASO	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:TELLER	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
SMSA: DENVER-BOULDER , CO							
COUNTY:ADAMS	13101	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
COUNTY:ARAPAHOE	13101	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
COUNTY:BOULDER	13102	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
COUNTY:DENVER	13103	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	13101	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
COUNTY:GILPIN	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	13102	NON-ELEVATOR:	129	146	173	191	208
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	142	161	190	210	229
SMSA: PUEBLO, CO							
COUNTY:PUEBLO	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALAMOSA	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:ARCHULETA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DENVER, COLORADO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BACA	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:BENT	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:CHAFFEE	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:CHEYENNE	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:CLEAR CREEK	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:CONEJOS	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:COSTILLA	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:CROWLEY	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:CUSTER	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:DELORES	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:DELTA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:EAGLE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:ELBERT	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DENVER, COLORADO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FREMONT	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:GARFIELD	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:GRAND	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:GUNNISON	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:HINSDALE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:HUERFANO	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:JACKSON	08801	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:KIOWA	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:KIT CARSON	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:LA PLATA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:LAKE	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:LARIMER	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:LAS ANIMAS	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DENVER,COLORADO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LINCOLN	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:LOGAN	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:MESA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:MINERAL	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:MOFFAT	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:MONTEZUMA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:MONTROSE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:MORGAN	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:OTERO	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:OURAY	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:PARK	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:PHILLIPS	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:PITKIN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
DENVER, COLORADO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:PROWERS	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:RIO BLANCO	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:RIO GRANDE	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:ROUTT	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SAGUACHE	13001	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	168	183
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	185	202
COUNTY:SAN JUAN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SAN MIGUEL	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SEDGWICK	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:SUMMIT	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:WELD	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184
COUNTY:YUMA	13104	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	153	167
STATE:CO		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	168	184

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FARGO,NORTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN							
COUNTY:CASS	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:BARNES	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:BENSON	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:BILLINGS	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:BOTTINEAU	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:BOWMAN	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:BURKE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:BURLEIGH	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:CAVALIER	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:DICKEY	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:DIVIDE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:DUNN	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION B	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FARGO,NORTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:EDDY	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:EMMONS	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:FOSTER	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:GOLDEN VALLY	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:GRAND FORKS	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:GRANT	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:GRIGGS	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:HETTINGER	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:KIDDER	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:LA MOORE	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:LOGAN	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:MCHENRY	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MCINTOSH	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FARGO,NORTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:MCKENZIE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MCLEAN	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MERCER	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MORTON	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:MOUNTRAIL	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:NELSON	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:OLIVER	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:PEMBINA	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:PIERCE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:RAMSEY	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:RANSOM	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:RENVILLE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:RICHLAND	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Col), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FARGO:NORTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ROLETTE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:SARGENT	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:SHERIDAN	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:SIOUX	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:SLOPE	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:STARK	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:STEELE	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:STUTSMAN	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:TOWNER	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:TRAILL	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:WALSH	08301	NON-ELEVATOR:	102	117	138	153	168
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	112	128	152	169	185
COUNTY:WARD	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177
COUNTY:WELLS	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WILLIAMS	08901	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	132	147	161
STATE:ND		ELEVATOR:	107	123	145	161	177

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION B	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HELENA, MONTANA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: BILLINGS, MT							
COUNTY:YELLOWSTONE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
SMSA: GREAT FALLS, MT							
COUNTY:CASCADE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BEAVERHEAD	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:BIG HORN	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:BLAINE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:BROADWATER	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:CARBON	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:CARTER	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:CHOUTEAU	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:CUSTER	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:DANIELS	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:DAWSON	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:DEER LODGE	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HELENA,MONTANA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:FALLON STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:FERGUS STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:FLATHEAD STATE:MT	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:GALLATIN STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:GARFIELD STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:GLACIER STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:GOLDEN VALLE STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:GRANITE STATE:MT	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:HILL STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:JEFFERSON STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:JUDITH BASIN STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:LAKE STATE:MT	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:LEWIS+ CLARK STATE:MT	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HELENA, MONTANA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LIBERTY	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:LINCOLN	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:MADISON	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:MCCONE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:MEAGHER	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:MINERAL	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:MISSOULA	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:MUSSELSHELL	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:PARK	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:PETROLEUM	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:PHILLIPS	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:PONDERA	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:POWDER RIVER	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION B	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HELENA, MONTANA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:POWELL	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:PRAIRIE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:RAVALLI	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:RICHLAND	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:ROOSEVELT	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:ROSEBUD	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:SANDERS	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:SHERIDAN	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:SILVER BOW	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:STILLWATER	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:SWEET GRASS	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:TETON	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:TOOLE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HELENA, MONTANA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:TREASURE	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:VALLEY	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:WHEATLAND	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
COUNTY:WIBAUX	08401	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:YL-ST-NT-PK	08501	NON-ELEVATOR:	92	105	124	143	156
STATE:MT		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: PROVO-OREM, UT							
COUNTY:UTAH	13201	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	179
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
SMSA: SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN, UT							
COUNTY:DAVIS	13202	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	122	145	167	182
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	118	135	159	183	200
COUNTY:SALT LAKE	13202	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	122	145	167	182
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	118	135	159	183	200
COUNTY:TOOELE	13202	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	122	145	167	182
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	118	135	159	183	200
COUNTY:WEBER	13202	NON-ELEVATOR:	108	122	145	167	182
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	118	135	159	183	200
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BEAVER	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:BOX ELDER	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:CACHE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:CARBON	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:DAGGETT	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:DUCHESNE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:EMERY	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:GARFIELD	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SALT LAKE CITY,UTAH INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GRAND	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:IRON	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:JUAB	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:KANE	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:MILLARD	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:MORGAN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:PIUTE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:RICH	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SAN JUAN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SANPETE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SEVIER	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:SUMMIT	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:UINTAH	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SALT LAKE CITY,UTAH INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:#ASATCH	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:#ASHINGTON	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:#AYNE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:UT		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SOUIX FALLS,SOUTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: SIOUX FALLS, SD							
COUNTY:MINNEHAHA	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:AURORA	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:BEADLE	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:BENNETT	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:BON HOMME	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:BROOKINGS	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:BROWN	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:BRULE	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:BUFFALO	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:BUTTE	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:CAMPBELL	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:CHARLES MIX	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:CLARK	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION B	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SOUIX FALLS,SOUTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CLAY	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:CODINGTON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:CORSON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:CUSTER	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:DAVISON	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:DAY	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:DEUEL	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:DEWEY	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:EDMUNDS	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:FALL RIVER	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:FAULK	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:GRANT	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SOUIX FALLS,SOUTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:GREGORY	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:HAAKON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:HAMLIN	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:HAND	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:HANSON	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:HARDING	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:HUGHES	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:HUTCHINSON	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:HYDE	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:JACKSON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:JERAULD	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:JONES	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:KINGSBURY	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION B	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SOUIX FALLS,SOUTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LAKE	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:LAWRENCE	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:LINCOLN	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:LYMAN	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:MARSHALL	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:MCCOOK	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:MCPHERSON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:MEADE	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:MELLETTE	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:MINER	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:MOODY	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:PENNINGTON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:PERKINS	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 8	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SOUIX FALLS,SOUTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:POTTER	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:ROBERTS	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:SANBURN	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:SHANNON	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:SPINK	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:STANLEY	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:SULLY	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:TODD	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:TRIPP	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:TURNER	08701	NON-ELEVATOR:	104	119	141	156	171
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	114	130	155	171	188
COUNTY:UNION	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:WALWORTH	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166
COUNTY:WASHABAUGH	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION &	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SOUIX FALLS,SOUTH DAKOTA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:YANKTON	09001	NON-ELEVATOR:	94	107	127	141	155
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	103	118	140	156	171
COUNTY:ZIEBACH	08601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	124	137	151
STATE:SD		ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	151	166

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
HONOLULU,HAWAII INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: HONOLULU, HI							
COUNTY:HONOLULU	14901	NON-ELEVATOR:	200	227	267	307	334
STATE:HI		ELEVATOR:	220	250	294	338	368
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:HAWAII	14902	NON-ELEVATOR:	200	227	267	307	334
STATE:HI		ELEVATOR:	220	250	294	338	368
COUNTY:KAUAI	14902	NON-ELEVATOR:	200	227	267	307	334
STATE:HI		ELEVATOR:	220	250	294	338	368
COUNTY:MAUI	14902	NON-ELEVATOR:	200	227	267	307	334
STATE:HI		ELEVATOR:	220	250	294	338	368

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
LOS ANGELES,CALIFORNIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: BAKERSFIELD, CA							
COUNTY:KERN	14303	NON-ELEVATOR:	101	115	136	157	171
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	173	188
SMSA: LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH, CA							
COUNTY:LOS ANGELES	14301	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	245
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	153	174	206	237	269
SMSA: OXNARD-SIMI VALLEY-VENTURA, CA							
COUNTY:VENTURA	14305	NON-ELEVATOR:	131	148	175	202	220
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	144	163	193	222	242
SMSA: SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC, CA							
COUNTY:SANTA BARBAR	14308	NON-ELEVATOR:	141	160	189	217	237
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	155	176	207	239	260
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:SAN LUIS OBI	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PHOENIX,ARIZONA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: PHOENIX, AZ							
COUNTY:MARICOPA	14101	NON-ELEVATOR:	144	164	194	223	243
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	159	180	213	245	267
SMSA: TUCSON, AZ							
COUNTY:PIMA	14102	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	138	163	188	205
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	134	152	179	207	225
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:APACHE	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:COCHISE	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:COCONINO	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:GILA	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:GRAHAM	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:GREENLEE	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:MOHAVE	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:NAVAJO	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:PINAL	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:SANTA CRUZ	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173
COUNTY:YAVAPAI	14103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PHOENIX, ARIZONA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:YUMA	19103	NON-ELEVATOR:	97	110	130	144	157
STATE:AZ		ELEVATOR:	106	121	143	158	173

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RENO,NEVADA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: LAS VEGAS, NV							
COUNTY:CLARK	14001	NON-ELEVATOR:	156	177	209	241	263
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	172	195	230	265	289
SMSA: RENO, NV							
COUNTY:WASHOE	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CARSON CITY	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:CHURCHILL	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:ELKO	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:ESMERALDA	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:EUREKA	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:HUMBOLDT	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:LANDER	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:LINCOLN	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:LYON	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:MINERAL	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
RENO, NEVADA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NYE	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:ORMSLEY	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:PERSHING	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:STOREY	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247
COUNTY:WHITE PINE	14002	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	205	225
STATE:NV		ELEVATOR:	153	174	205	226	247

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: SACRAMENTO, CA							
COUNTY:PLACER	14501	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	145	172	198	215
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	141	160	189	217	237
COUNTY:SACRAMENTO	14501	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	145	172	198	215
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	141	160	189	217	237
COUNTY:YOLO	14501	NON-ELEVATOR:	128	145	172	198	215
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	141	160	189	217	237
SMSA: STOCKTON, CA							
COUNTY:SAN JOAQUIN	14401	NON-ELEVATOR:	114	130	153	177	192
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	126	143	168	194	212
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALPINE	14402	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:AMADOR	14402	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:BUTTE	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:CALAVERAS	14402	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:COLUSA	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:EL DORADO	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:GLENN	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:LASSEN	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:MODOC	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SACRAMENTO,CALIFORNIA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:NEVADA	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:PLUMAS	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:SHASTA	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:SIERRA	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:SISKIYOU	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:SUTTER	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:TEHAMA	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:TRINITY	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:TUOLUMNE	14402	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:YUBA	14502	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN DIEGO,CALIFORNIA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: SAN DIEGO, CA							
COUNTY:SAN DIEGO	14201	NON-ELEVATOR:	138	157	185	214	242
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	152	173	204	235	266
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:IMPERIAL	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: FRESNO, CA							
COUNTY:FRESNO	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	175	191
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	125	142	167	193	210
SMSA: MODESTO, CA							
COUNTY:STANISLAUS	14902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
SMSA: SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY, CA							
COUNTY:MONTEREY	14701	NON-ELEVATOR:	132	149	176	203	222
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	145	164	194	224	244
SMSA: SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND, CA							
COUNTY:ALAMEDA	14702	NON-ELEVATOR:	157	178	210	242	274
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	173	196	231	266	302
COUNTY:CONTRA COSTA	14703	NON-ELEVATOR:	157	178	210	242	274
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	173	196	231	266	302
COUNTY:MARIN	14703	NON-ELEVATOR:	157	178	210	242	274
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	173	196	231	266	302
COUNTY:SAN FRANCISC	14704	NON-ELEVATOR:	157	178	210	242	274
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	173	196	231	266	302
COUNTY:SAN MATEO	14705	NON-ELEVATOR:	157	178	210	242	274
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	173	196	231	266	302
SMSA: SAN JOSE, CA							
COUNTY:SANTA CLARA	14706	NON-ELEVATOR:	158	180	212	244	276
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	174	198	233	269	304
SMSA: SANTA CRUZ, CA							
COUNTY:SANTA CRUZ	14708	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	154	178	194
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	127	144	170	196	213
SMSA: SANTA ROSA, CA							
COUNTY:SONOMA	14708	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	154	178	194
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	127	144	170	196	213

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA							
COUNTY:NAPA	14707	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	142	168	194	211
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	138	156	185	213	232
COUNTY:SOLANO	14707	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	142	168	194	211
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	138	156	185	213	232
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:DEL NORTE	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:HUMBOLDT	14601	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:KINGS	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:LAKE	14707	NON-ELEVATOR:	125	142	168	194	211
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	138	156	185	213	232
COUNTY:MADERA	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:MARIPOSA	14902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:MENDOCINO	14708	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	154	178	194
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	127	144	170	196	213
COUNTY:MERCED	14902	NON-ELEVATOR:	106	120	142	164	179
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	180	197
COUNTY:SAN BENITO	14708	NON-ELEVATOR:	115	131	154	178	194
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	127	144	170	196	213
COUNTY:TULARE	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 9	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SANTA ANA:CALIFORNIA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN GROVE, CA							
COUNTY:ORANGE	14302	NON-ELEVATOR:	151	171	202	233	264
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	166	188	222	256	290
SMSA: RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINA-ONTARIO, CA							
COUNTY:RIVERSIDE	14306	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	179	196
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	128	145	171	197	215
COUNTY:SAN BERNADIN	14307	NON-ELEVATOR:	116	132	156	179	196
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	128	145	171	197	215
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:INYO	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179
COUNTY:MONO	14309	NON-ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
STATE:CA		ELEVATOR:	110	126	148	164	179

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ANCHORAGE;ALASKA INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: ANCHORAGE; AK							
COUNTY:ANCHORAGE	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ALEUTIAN I.	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:ANGOON	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:BARROW	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:BETHEL	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:BRISTOL BAY	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:BRISTOL B.B.	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:CORDOVA-MCCA	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:FAIRBANKS	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:HAINES	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:JUNEAU	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:KENAI-COOK	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:KETCHIKAN	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	293	276	326	359	392

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 10	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ANCHORAGE,ALASKA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:KOBUK	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:KODIAK	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:KUSKOKWIM	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:MATANUSKA-SU	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:NOME	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:OUTER KETCHK	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:PR. OF WALES	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:SE FAIRBANKS	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:SEWARD	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:SITKA	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:SKGWY-YKTT	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:UPPER YUKON	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:VLDZ-CHTN-WH	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
ANCHORAGE,ALASKA INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:WADE HAMPTON	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:WRNGLL-PTRSR	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392
COUNTY:YKN-KOYKK	14801	NON-ELEVATOR:	221	251	296	326	357
STATE:AK		ELEVATOR:	243	276	326	359	392

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BOISE, IDAHO INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: BOISE CITY, ID							
COUNTY:ADA	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:BANNOCK	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:BEAR LAKE	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:BINGHAM	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:BLAINE	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:BOISE	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:BONNEVILLE	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:BUTTE	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:CAMAS	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:CANYON	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:CARIBOU	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:CASSIA	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BOISE, IDAHO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CLARK	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:CUSTER	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:ELMORE	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:FREMONT	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	196
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	216
COUNTY:GEM	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:GOODING	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:JEROME	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:LEMHI	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:LINCOLN	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:MADISON	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:MINIDOKA	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
BOISE, IDAHO INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ONEIDA	13203	NON-ELEVATOR:	88	101	119	131	144
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	97	111	131	145	158
COUNTY:ONYHEE	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:PAYETTE	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:POWER	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:TETON	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:TWIN FALLS	13301	NON-ELEVATOR:	121	137	162	179	195
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	133	151	178	196	215
COUNTY:VALLEY	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:BAKER	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	109	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:MALHEUR	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 10	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PORTLAND, OREGON AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: EUGENE, OR							
COUNTY:LANE	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
SMSA: PORTLAND, OR-WA							
COUNTY:CLACKAMAS	13702	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
COUNTY:MULTNOMAH	13701	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
COUNTY:WASHINGTON	13702	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
COUNTY:CLARK	13702	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
SMSA: SALEM, OR							
COUNTY:MARION	13703	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
COUNTY:POLK	13703	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BENTON	13703	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
COUNTY:CLATSOP	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:COOS	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:CROOK	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION 10	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PORTLAND, OREGON AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CURRY	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:DESCHUTES	13704	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:GILLIAM	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:GRANT	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:HARNEY	13901	NON-ELEVATOR:	109	123	146	161	176
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	119	136	160	177	194
COUNTY:HOOD RIVER	13704	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:JACKSON	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	13704	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:JOSEPHINE	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:KLAMATH	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:LAKE	13801	NON-ELEVATOR:	103	117	139	160	174
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	113	129	152	176	192
COUNTY:LINCOLN	13703	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
PORTLAND, OREGON AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:LINN	13703	NON-ELEVATOR:	126	143	169	195	212
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	139	158	186	214	234
COUNTY:MORROW	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:SHERMAN	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:TILLAMOOK	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:UMATILLA	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:UNION	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:WALLOWA	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:WASCO	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:WHEELER	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:YAMHILL	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:OR		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:KLICKITAT	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:SKAMANIA	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SEATTLE,WASHINGTON AREA OFFICE							
SMSA: SEATTLE-EVERETT, WA							
COUNTY:KING	13501	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	245
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	152	174	206	237	269
COUNTY:SNOHOMISH	13502	NON-ELEVATOR:	139	158	187	216	245
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	152	174	206	237	269
SMSA: TACOMA, WA							
COUNTY:PIERCE	13503	NON-ELEVATOR:	120	136	161	185	202
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	132	150	177	204	222
SMSA: YAKIMA, WA							
COUNTY:YAKIMA	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:CHELAN	13902	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:CLALLAM	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:COWLITZ	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:DOUGLAS	13902	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:GRAYS HARBOR	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:ISLAND	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:JEFFERSON	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:KITSAP	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CD), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SEATTLE,WASHINGTON AREA OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:KITTITAS	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	146
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:LEWIS	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:MASON	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:OKANOGAN	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:PACIFIC	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:SAN JUAN	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:SKAGIT	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:THURSTON	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199
COUNTY:WAHKIAKUM	13709	NON-ELEVATOR:	99	112	132	146	160
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	108	123	146	161	176
COUNTY:WHATCOM	13509	NON-ELEVATOR:	111	127	150	165	181
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	122	139	164	182	199

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON INSURING OFFICE							
SMSA: RICHLAND-KENNEWICK, WA							
COUNTY:BENTON	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:FRANKLIN	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
SMSA: SPOKANE, WA							
COUNTY:SPOKANE	13401	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	151	165
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	166	181
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:BENEWAH	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:BONNER	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:BOUNDARY	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:CLEARWATER	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:IDAHO	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:KOOTENAI	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:LATAH	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:LEWIS	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:NEZ PERCE	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:SHOSHONE	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:ID		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (CO), DECEMBER 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM

SCHEDULE B- FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR EXISTING HOUSING (INCLUDING STATE AGENCIES PROGRAM)

REGION ID	COUNTY GROUP		0 BEDROOMS	1 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOMS	3 BEDROOMS	4+BEDROOMS
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON INSURING OFFICE							
NON SMSA COUNTIES							
COUNTY:ADAMS	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:ASOTIN	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:COLUMBIA	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:FERRY	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:GARFIELD	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:GRANT	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:LINCOLN	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:PEND OREILLE	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:STEVENS	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174
COUNTY:WALLA WALLA	13601	NON-ELEVATOR:	91	104	123	136	148
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	100	114	135	149	163
COUNTY:WHITMAN	13402	NON-ELEVATOR:	98	111	131	145	159
STATE:WA		ELEVATOR:	107	122	144	159	174

PREPARED BY HUD - EMAD (Co), DECEMBER 1974

GBNKPT PRINTS

[FR Doc.75-123 Filed 1-8-75;8:45 am]

DO NOT SCALE

Would you like to know IF ANY CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE IN CERTAIN TITLES OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS without reading the Federal Register every day? If so, you may wish to subscribe to the "List of CFR Sections Affected."

?

List of CFR Sections Affected

$10.00 per year

The "List of CFR Sections Affected" is designed to lead users of the Code of Federal Regulations to amendatory actions published in the Federal Register, and is issued monthly in cumulative form. Entries indicate the nature of the changes.

Also available on a subscription basis . . .

The Federal Register Index

$8.00 per year

Indexes covering the contents of the daily Federal Register are issued monthly and annually. Entries are carried primarily under the names of the issuing agencies. Significant subjects are also carried.

A finding aid is included at the end of each publication which lists Federal Register page numbers with the date of publication in the Federal Register.

Order from: Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

Note to FR Subscribers: FR Indexes and the "List of CFR Sections Affected" will continue to be mailed free of charge to regular FR subscribers.