AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1974

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 39 ■ Number 212

Pages 38625–38885

PART I



HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

FEDERAL REGISTER—Administrative Committee proposes comments on new CFR system; comments by 12–16–74.... 38699

FARM LOANS—
USDA/CCC provides for loans, for the farm storage and drying equipment program, which are subject to a semiannual adjustment; effective 10–1–74..... 38632
USDA/CCC announces grade loan rates for 1974 burley tobacco crop; effective 11–1–74..... 38631
USDA/CCC allows liens under loan and purchase program for wheat; effective 11–1–74..... 38631

EDUCATION—
HEW/Education Office issues allotment ratios for Federal assistance in strengthening instruction in critical subjects for FY 76 and 77..... 38697
HEW/Education Office extends closing date for applications for Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, College Work-Study and National Direct Student Loan programs..... 38697
HEW/Education Office proposes criteria for Vocational education curriculum for FY 75 and announces closing date for receipt of applications (2 documents).... 38666, 38698

(Continued inside)

PART II:

FOREIGN INVESTMENT—Treasury/Monetary Office proposes portfolio study regulations; comments by 11–22–74..... 38772
Treasury/Monetary Office publishes proposed forms and instructions for portfolio investment studies; comments by 11–22–74..... 38775

PART III:

MINIMUM WAGES—Labor/ESA determination for Federal and federally assisted construction..... 38796

WAGE DETERMINATION—Labor/ESA publishes index to decisions and modifications pursuant to the Davis-Bacon Act..... 38837

November 1, 1974—Pages 38625–38885

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

This list includes only rules that were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER after October 1, 1972.

page no. and date

DoT/FAA—Graduates of certified flying schools; testing and certification. 20056; 6–6–74

Pilot schools; standards for certification. 20146; 6–6–74

FHA—Project agreements; engineering and traffic operations..... 35347; 10–1–74

FEA—Mandatory petroleum allocations; administrative procedures and sanctions..... 36854; 10–15–74

FCC—Digital modulation techniques in microwave radio..... 35658; 10–3–74

INTERIOR/FWS—Hunting of migratory game birds in national refuges in certain states..... 36483; 10–10–74

LABOR/OSHA—Federal employees; safety and health provisions.. 36454; 10–9–74

SEC—Over-the-counter market and change in Form N–IR, securities; exemption. 36002; 10–7–74

USDA/AMS—Milk in the Southern Michigan marketing area; order amending order..... 33785; 9–20–74

CEA—Commodity Exchange Act; futures Commission merchant statements to customers..... 32981; 9–13–74

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5266. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240.

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

MILITARY SERVICE—Selective Service proposes revisions to induction regulations (3 documents); comments by 12–2–74 ..... 38670, 38674, 38675

COAL MINE SAFETY—Interior Department/MESA issues findings following hearing on proposal concerning refuse pile and impounding structure standards 38660

WORKING HOURS—Labor/Wage and Hour Division proposals for employees of agencies engaged in fire protection and law enforcement; comments by 12–2–74 38663

RADIO AND TV—FCC rules re-regulating broadcasting; effective 11–5–74 38650

FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOANS ASSOCIATIONS—FHLBB adopts rules on communications between members; effective 11–1–74 38635

NEW ANIMAL DRUGS—HEW/FDA approves safe use of new medicines in treatment of dogs and cats (3 documents); effective 11–1–74 38644

MEETINGS—
Commerce/NBS: Federal Information Processing Standards Task Group 15, Computer Systems Security, 12–10–74 38697
DIBA: National Industrial Energy Conservation Council, 12–18–74 38696
DOD/Navy: Board of Visitors, U.S. Naval Academy, 12–4 and 12–5–74 38678
EPA: Antimicrobial Program Advisory Committee, 11–19 and 11–20–74 38716
President's Air Quality Advisory Board, 11–20 through 11–22–74 38717
FEA: Consumer Affairs and Special Impact Advisory Committee, 11–18–74 38720
HEW/Education: National Advisory Council on Equality of Educational Opportunity, 12–6 and 12–7–74 38697
Interior Department: Bonneville Power Administration list of meetings for December 38678
BLM: Boise District Advisory Board, 11–25 and 11–26–74 38678
Burns District Grazing Advisory Board, 11–19–74 38678
Colorado District Advisory Boards Nos. 3 and 3A (2 documents) 11–19 and 11–20–74 38678
Grand Junction District Advisory Board, 11–14–74 38679
Shoshone District Advisory Board, 11–26–74 38679
NPS: Historic American Buildings Survey Advisory Board, 11–15 and 11–16–74 38694
National Science Foundation: Ocean Exploration Review Panel, 11–20 through 11–22–74 38732
SBA: Spokane District Advisory Council, 11–22–74 38737
Treasury/Comptroller of the Currency: Regional Advisory Committee on Banking Policies and Practices of the Third National Bank Region, 12–4–74 38677
USDA/AMS: Shippers Advisory Committee, 11–19, 11–26 and 12–26–74 38694
FS: Caribou National Forest Grazing Advisory Board, 11–26–74 38696
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, International Centre Committee, 11–19–74 38699
Council on Wage and Price Stability, 11-13-74 38716

CANCELLATION—
FPC/NPS: National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Finance, 11–4–74 38729

contents

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
Notices
Meetings:
International Centre Committee 38699

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Rules
Travel, international; implementation of policy determination requiring prior written approval 38645
Notices
Authority delegation:
Director, Office of Central and West African Regional Affairs 38677

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
Rules
Limitation of handling and shipping:
Lemons grown in Calif. and Ariz 38631
Proposed Rules
Dried prunes produced in California 38662
Notices
Meetings:
Shippers Advisory Committee 38694

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT
See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Farmers Home Administration; Forest Service; Soil Conservation Service.
Rules
Export sales reporting requirements; certain agricultural commodities; correction 38631

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Detroit Edison Co 38699
Indiana and Michigan Electric Co., et al 38701
Northern States Power Co 38700
Tennessee Valley Authority 38700
Washington Public Power Supply System 38700
Wisconsin Electric Power Co., et al 38701

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Meetings:
Environmental Office 38678

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD
Notices
Rates, increased, suspension; charges on air freight rates proposed by Flying Tiger 38701
Hearings, etc.:
International Air Transport Association 38706
Service class subsidy rate 38713
Trans World Airlines 38714

COAST GUARD
Proposed Rules
Welding and brazing; correction 38667

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT
See Domestic and International Business Administration; National Bureau of Standards.

(Continued on next page)

CONTENTS

COMMISSION ON THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GOVERNMENT FOR THE CONDUCT OF FOREIGN POLICY

Notices

Congressional-Executive relations; hearings 38715

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Rules

Loan and purchase programs:
- Farm storage and drying equipment 38632
- Tobacco (burley) 38631
- Wheat 38631

COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY

Notices

Meetings:
- Third National Bank Region Advisory Committee on Banking Policies and Practices 38677

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Notices

Architectural glass; development period extended 38715

CUSTOMS SERVICE

Notices

Tariff classification change; Micropaque 38677

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See Navy Department.

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meetings:
- National Industrial Energy Conservation Council 38696

EDUCATION OFFICE

Proposed Rules

Vocational education curriculum; additional criteria 38666

Notices

Federal Assistance for strengthening instruction in critical subjects; allotment of ratios 38697

Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants Program, College Work Study, and National Direct Student Loan Program; extended closing date for receipt of application 38697

Vocational Education Curriculum; closing date for receipt of applications 38698

EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

General wage determinations; index 38837

Minimum wages for Federal and federally-assisted construction; determination 38796

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules

Air quality implementation plans:
- California; correction 38645

Water quality standards:
- Missouri 38645

Proposed Rules

Water quality standards; navigable waters, Illinois 38668

Notices

Food additive petition:
- CIBA-GEIGY Corp 38716

Meetings:
- Antimicrobial Program Advisory Committee 38716
- President's Air Quality Advisory Board 38717

Pesticide chemicals; tolerances, etc.:
- Army Corps of Engineers 38717
- Wyoming Department of Public Health 38717

Pesticide registration; applications 38718

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Emergency areas:
- Illinois 38694
- Iowa 38694
- Mississippi 38695
- Missouri 38695
- Nebraska 38695
- Texas 38695

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Airworthiness directive:
- Bell 38636

VOR Federal airway 38637

Proposed Rules

Airworthiness directive:
- Bendix 38668
- Special Certificates 38667

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

Radio and television broadcasting; re-regulation 38650

Radio broadcast services; extension metering of broadcast transmitters 38653

Solid state transmitters; measurement of output power 38658

Proposed Rules

Calibration of remote control instruments 38668

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Feedstocks, allocation to synthetic natural gas facilities 38719

Meetings:
- Consumer Affairs and Special Impact Advisory Committee 38720

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

Rules

Board rulings; communications between members of Federal associations 38635

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

Rules

National flood insurance program; special hazard areas 38626

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Rules

Regulations to adjust or meet conditions unfavorable to shipping in foreign trade 38647

Notices

Agreements filed:
- Leonard J. Buck, Inc. et al 38721
- New York Passenger Terminal Users' Association 38720
- Trans-Pacific Freight Conference of Japan/Korea and Japan/Korea-Atlantic & Gulf Freight Conference 38721

Freight forwarder licenses:
- ALBARCO International (P. R.), Inc 38720
- WTC, International Inc 38721

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Meetings:
- National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee 38729

Hearings, etc.:
- Algonquin Gas Transmission Co 38722
- Appalachian Power Co., et al 38725
- Colorado Interstate Corp (2 documents) 38722
- Consolidated Gas Supply Corp 38723
- Consumers Power Co. and Detroit Edison Co 38723
- Delmarva Power & Light Co 38723
- Duke Power Co 38721
- Gulf Power Co 38724
- Illinois Power Co 38725
- Iowa Power & Light Co 38725
- Louisiana Power and Light Co 38725
- Mississippi River Basin 38725
- Northern Natural Gas Co 38726
- Public Service Co. of Colorado 38726
- Public Service Co. of Indiana 38726
- Public Service Co. of New Mexico 38727
- Southern California Edison Co 38727
- Texas Eastern Transmission Corp 38728
- Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp 38729
- United Gas Pipe Line Co 38729

FEDERAL REGISTER ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

Rules

CFR Checklist; 1974 issuances 38625

Notices

1975 CFR Supplement decision 38699

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notices

Applications, etc.:
- Community Financial Services, Inc 38729
- Levy Bancorp 38730
- Pittsburgh National Corp 38730
- Polo Bancorp., Inc 38731
- Worchester Bancorp., Inc 38731

CONTENTS

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules

Prohibited trade practices:
- Beacon Oil Co ... 38637
- Consolidated Chemical Corp., Inc., et al ... 38638
- Silhouette National Health Spas, Inc., et al ... 38640
- Tom Pogue Studios ... 38641
- Transworld Hairmakers, et al ... 38642
- Fisher, William ... 38643

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules

Public access, use and recreation:
- Moosehorn National Wildlife Refuge, Me ... 38659

Notices

Endangered species permits:
- Applications (6 documents) ... 38681–38684

Marine mammal applications:
- Lentfer, Jack W ... 38684

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Animal drugs:
- Bacitracin-polymyxin B-neomycin, sterile ophthalmic ointment, veterinary ... 38644
- Flurandrenolide with neomycin sulfate ointment ... 38644
- N-(Mercaptomethyl) phthalimide, S-(O, O-dimethyl phosphorodithioate); correction ... 38644
- Oxytetracycline hydrochloride with lidocaine injection ... 38644

Enforcement of Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act; correction ... 38644

FOREST SERVICE

Notices

Environmental statement:
- Mt. Hood National Forest, Ore ... 38696

Meetings:
- Caribou National Forest Grazing Advisory Board ... 38696

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Notices

Royalty payment on oil and gas lost, Outer Continental Shelf, Gulf of Mexico area ... 38684

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See Education Office; Food and Administration.

Notices

Meeting:
- National Advisory Council on Equality of Educational Opportunity ... 38697

HEARINGS AND APPEALS OFFICE

Notices

Applications, etc.:
- Bishop Coal Co ... 38685
- Eastern Coal Corp. (2 documents) ... 38686, 38687
- Island Creek Coal (2 documents) ... 38688, 38689
- Mathies Coal Co ... 38690
- Mid-Continent Coal & Coke Co ... 38691
- Pocahontas Fuel Co ... 38691
- Virginia Pocahontas Co ... 38691
- Youngstown Mines Corp ... 38692

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See Federal Insurance Administration.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Bonneville Power Administration; Fish and Wildlife Service; Geological Survey; Hearings and Appeals Office; Land Management Bureau; Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration; National Park Service.

INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION, UNITED STATES AND CANADA

Notices

Richelieu River and Lake Champlain; hearings ... 38731

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Rules

Coal cars, privately owned; distribution ... 38659

Notices

Fourth section application for relief ... 38737

Hearing assignments ... 38737

Motor carrier, broker, water carrier, and freight forwarder applications ... 38737

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Employment Standards Administration; Wage and Hour Division.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Rules

Public land orders:
- Alaska (2 documents) ... 38646

Notices

Applications:
- Colorado ... 38679
- New Mexico (2 documents) ... 38679
- Wyoming ... 38680

Meetings:
- Boise District Advisory Board ... 38678
- Burns District Grazing Advisory Board ... 38678
- Colorado District Advisory Board (2 documents) ... 38678
- Grand Junction District Advisory Board ... 38679
- Shoshone District Advisory Board ... 38679

Withdrawal and reservations of lands, proposed, etc.:
- Idaho ... 38679
- Oregon ... 38680
- Wyoming ... 38680

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Clearance of reports; list of requests ... 38732

MINING ENFORCEMENT AND SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Mandatory safety standards for surface coal mines and surface work areas of underground coal mines ... 38660

MONETARY OFFICES

Proposed Rules

Foreign Portfolio Investment Study ... 38772

Notices

Foreign portfolio investment study; proposed forms ... 38775

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Notices

Meeting:
- Federal Information Processing Standards Task Group 15 ... 38697

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Tire identification and recordkeeping; universal registration format ... 38658

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Notices

Applications, etc.:
- Gulf Islands National Seashore ... 38693

Meetings:
- Historic American Buildings Survey Advisory Board ... 38694

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notices

Meetings:
- International Decade of Ocean Exploration Proposal Review Panel ... 38732

NAVY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Meetings:
- Board of Visitors, U.S. Naval Academy ... 38678

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
- BBI, Inc ... 38734
- Canadian Javelin, Ltd ... 38734
- Delmarva Power & Light Co ... 38734
- Equity Funding Corp. of America ... 38735
- Indiana and Michigan Electric Co. and American Electric Power Co., Inc ... 38735
- Industries International, Inc ... 38736
- Middle South Utilities, Inc., et al ... 38733
- Nicoa Corp ... 38736
- Royal Properties Inc ... 38736
- Westgate California Corp ... 38736
- Wisconsin Gas Co ... 38733
- Winner Industries, Inc ... 38732
- Zenith Development Corp ... 38736

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM
Proposed Rules
Amendments to Selective Service regulations (3 documents) 38670, 38674, 38675

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
Notices
Applications, etc.:
Baneco Venture Capital Corp.. 38736
Meeting:
Spokane District Advisory Council 38737

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
Notices
Environmental impact statement: Honolua Watershed Project, Hawaii 38696

STATE DEPARTMENT
See also Agency for International Development.
Notices
Redelegation of authority; Central and West African regional affairs 38677

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
See Coast Guard; Federal Aviation Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT
See Comptroller of the Currency; Customs Service; Monetary Offices.

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION
Proposed Rules
Fire protection or law enforcement activities, employees engaged in; definitions 38663

WAGE AND PRICE STABILITY COUNCIL
Notices
Meeting 38716

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

1 CFR
Checklist 38625

7 CFR
20 38631
910 38631
1421 38631
1464 38631
1474 38632
PROPOSED RULES:
993 38662

12 CFR
555 38635

14 CFR
39 38636
71 38637
PROPOSED RULES:
21 38667
39 38668
43 38667
45 38667
65 38667
91 38667

16 CFR
13 (6 documents) 38637–38643

21 CFR
1 38644
135a (3 documents) 38644
135b 38644

24 CFR
1915 38626

29 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
553 38663

30 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
77 38660

31 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
129 38772

32 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
1602 38670
1603 38670
1604 ..... 38670, 38674
1608 38674
1613 38674
1622 38670
1623 38670
1624 38670
1625 38670
1631 38670
1632 38670
1641 38670
1643 38670
1660 38675
1661 38670
1680 38670

40 CFR
52 38645
120 38645
PROPOSED RULES:
120 38668

41 CFR
7–7 38645
7–15 38645

43 CFR
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
5438 38646
5439 38646

45 CFR
PROPOSED RULES:
103 38666

46 CFR
506 38647
PROPOSED RULES:
57 38667

47 CFR
1 38651
73 (2 documents) 38651, 38653
74 38652
83 38658
PROPOSED RULES:
73 38668

49 CFR
574 38656
1033 38658

50 CFR
28 38659

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—NOVEMBER

Pages	*Date*
38625–38885	Nov. 1

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 1—General Provisions

CHAPTER 1—ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER

CFR CHECKLIST

1974 Issuances

This checklist, prepared by the Office of the Federal Register, is published in the first issue of each month. It is arranged in the order of CFR titles, and shows the revision date and price of the volumes of the Code of Federal Regulations issued to date for 1974. New units issued during the month are announced on the back cover of the daily FEDERAL REGISTER as they become available.

The rate for subscription service to all revised volumes issued for 1974 is $350 domestic, $75 additional for foreign mailing.

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

CFR Unit (Rev. as of Jan. 1, 1974):

Title	Price
1	$1.10
2 [Reserved]	
3	3.15
3A 1973 Compilation	2.40
4	1.75
5	3.55
6 (Rev. Feb. 1, 1974)	4.45
7 Parts:	
0–45	4.65
46–51	3.45
52	4.80
53–209	5.10
210–699	4.10
700–749	3.55
750–899	2.35
900–944	3.60
945–980	1.80
981–999	2.00
100–1059	3.55
1060–1119	3.65
1120–1199	2.80
1200–1499	3.80
1500–end	5.00
8	2.05
9	4.75
10 Parts 0–199	3.90
11	1.10
12 Parts:	
1–299	5.10
300–end	4.95
13	2.50
14 Parts:	
1–59	4.80
60–199	4.95
200–end	5.90
15	3.90
16 Parts:	
0–149	5.05
150–end	4.45

CFR Unit (Rev. as of April 1, 1974):

17	$5.10
18 Parts:	
1–149	3.80
150–end	3.70
19	4.50
20 Parts:	
01–399	1.95
400–end	6.30
21 Parts:	
1–9	1.95
10–129	5.10
130–140	2.40
600–1299	1.75
1300–end	1.55
22	3.90
23	1.80
24	6.10
25	3.60
26 Parts:	
1 (§§ 1.0–1–1.169)	4.85
1 (§§ 1.170–1.300)	3.05
1 (§§ 1.301–1.400)	2.35
1 (§§ 1.401–1.500)	2.90
1 (§§ 1.501–1.640)	3.35
1 (§§ 1.641–1.850)	3.65
1 (§§ 1.851–1.1200)	4.40
1 (§ 1.1201–end)	5.70
2–29	2.70
30–39	2.85
40–169	4.40
170–299	5.90
300–499	2.95
500–599	3.15
600–end	1.40
27	1.30
45 Parts 100–199	3.95

CFR Unit (Rev. as of July 1, 1974):

28	$2.20
29 Parts:	
0–499	4.50
500–1899	5.50
31	4.35
32 Parts:	
1–8	5.95
9–39	4.05
40–399	4.85
400–589	4.10
590–699	1.95
700–799	5.65
800–999	4.40
1000–1399	1.70
1400–1599	3.05
1600–end	1.65
33 Parts:	
1–199	4.85
200–end	3.65
34	1.10
35	3.25
36	2.70
37	1.75
38	5.90
40 Parts:	
0–49	2.20
41 Chapters:	
1–2	5.20
10–17	3.10
19–100	2.60
101–end	5.00

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No. FI387]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities With Special Hazard Areas

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that comment and public procedure and the use of delayed effective dates in identifying the areas of communities which have special flood or mudslide hazards, in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1915, would be contrary to the public interest. The purpose of such identifications is to guide new development away from areas threatened by flooding. Since this publication is merely for the purpose of informing the public of the location of areas of special flood hazard and has no binding effect on the sale of flood insurance or the commencement of construction, notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest. Inasmuch as this publication is not a substantive rule, the identification of special hazard areas shall be effective on the date shown. Accordingly, section 1915.3 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table, which entry reads as follows:

§ 1915.3 List of communities with special hazard areas.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Alabama	Houston	Gordon, town of	H 010105 01	Alabama Development Office, Office of State Planning, State Office Bldg., 501 Dexter Ave., Montgomery, Ala. 36104. Alabama Insurance Department, Room 453, Administrative Bldg., Montgomery, Ala. 36104.	Mayor, City Hall, Gordon, Ala. 36343.	Oct. 25, 1974.
Do	Macon	Notasulga, town of.	H 010149 01 through H 010149 02	do	Mayor, City Hall, Notasulga, Ala. 36866.	Do.
Arkansas	Clay	Knobel, town of	H 050032 01	Division of Soil and Water Resources, State Department of Commerce, 1920 West Capitol Ave., Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Arkansas Insurance Department, 400 University Power Bldg., Little Rock, Ark. 72204.	Mayor, City Hall, Knobel, Ark. 72435.	Do.
Do	Lafayette	Buckner, city of	H 050115 01	do	City Council, Buckner, Ark. 71827	Do.
California	Colusa	Unincorporated areas.	H 060022 01 through H 060022 07	Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento, Calif. 95802. California Insurance Department, 107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012, and 1407 Market St., San Francisco, Calif. 94103.	Chairman, Colusa County Board of Supervisors, County Courthouse, Colusa, Calif. 95932.	Do.
Do	Sutter	Unincorporated area.	H 060394 01 through H 060394 03	do	Chairman, Sutter County Board of Supervisors, County of Sutter Office Bldg., Yuba City, Calif. 95991.	Do.
Colorado	Pueblo	do	H 080147 01 through H 080147 10	Colorado Water Conservation Board, Room 102, 1845 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Colorado Division of Insurance, 106 State Office Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80205.	Pueblo County Regional Planning Commission, 1 City Hall Place, Pueblo, Colo. 81003.	Do.
Connecticut	Fairfield	Norwalk, city of	H 090012 01 through H 090012 13	Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water and Related Resources, Room 207, State Office Bldg., Hartford, Conn. 06115. Connecticut Insurance Department, State Capitol Bldg., 165 Capitol Ave., Hartford, Conn. 06115.	Department of Public Works, Mathews Park, West Ave., Norwalk, Conn. 06556.	Do.
Georgia	Upson	Flowery Branch, city of.	H 130245 01	Department of Natural Resources, Office of Planning and Research, 270 Washington St. SW., Room 707, Atlanta, Ga. 30334. Georgia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Atlanta, Ga. 30334.	Mayor, City of Flowery Branch, Ga. 30542.	Do.
Illinois	Marshall	La Rose, village of.	H 170458 01	Governor's Task Force on Flood Control, P.O. Box 475, Lisle, Ill. 60532. Illinois Insurance Department, 525 West Jefferson St., Springfield, Ill. 62702.	Mayor, La Rose, Ill. 61541	Do.
Kansas	Johnson	Westwood Hills, city of.	H 200180 01	Division of Water Resources, State Board of Agriculture, Topeka, Kans. 66612. Kansas Insurance Department, 1st Floor, Statehouse, Topeka, Kans. 66612.	Mayor, City Hall, 4735 Adams, Shawnee Mission, Kans. 66205.	Do.
Do	Shawnee	Auburn, city of	H 200332 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Auburn, Kans. 66402.	Do.
Kentucky	Ohio	McHenry, town of.	H 210233 01	Division of Water, Kentucky Department of Natural Resources, Capitol Plaza Office Tower, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Kentucky Insurance Department, Old Capitol Annex, Frankfort, Ky. 40601.	Mayor, McHenry, Ky. 42354	Do.
Louisiana	Livingston	French Settlement, village of.	H 220117 01 through H 220117 04	State Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 44155, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804. Louisiana Insurance Department, Box 44214, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804.	Mayor, Village of French Settlement, French Settlement, La. 70733.	Do.
Do	Harrison	Little Sioux, town of.	H 220145 01	do	Mayor, Town of Little Sioux, Little Sioux, La.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Do	Jackson	Green Island, town of.	H 220159 01	do	Mayor, Town of Green Island, Green Island, La.	Do.
Do	Washington	Varnado, village of.	H 220234 01	do	Mayor, Village of Varnado, Varnado, La. 70467.	Do.
Maine	Lincoln	Jefferson, town of.	H 230085 01 through H 230085 07	Maine Soil and Water Conservation Commission, State House, Augusta, Maine 04330. Maine Insurance Department, Capitol Shopping Center, Augusta, Maine 04330.	1st Selectman, Town of Jefferson, Jefferson, Maine 04348.	Do.
Do	Sagadahoc	Phippsburg, town of.	H 230120 01 through H 230120 04	do	Chairman Selectman George Ames, Jr., Phippsburg, Maine 04562.	Do.
Do	Washington	Jonesport, town of.	H 230138 01 through H 230138 09	do	1st Selectman, Jonesport, Maine 04649.	Do.
Massachusetts	Middlesex	Chelmsford, town of.	H 250188 01 through H 250188 09	Division of Water Resources, Water Resources Commission, State Office Bldg., 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202. Massachusetts Division of Insurance, 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202.	Town Clerk's Office, Town Hall, Chelmsford, Mass. 01824.	Do.
Minnesota	Chisago	Stacy, city of	H 270074 01	Division of Water, Soils, and Minerals, Department of Natural Resources, Centennial Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. Minnesota Division of Insurance, R-210, State Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Mayor, City of Stacy, Stacy, Minn. 55079.	Do.
Do	Lac Qui Parle	Boyd, city of	H 270240 01	do	Mayor, Municipal Bldg., Boyd, Minn. 56218.	Do.
Do	Morrison	Bowlus, city of	H 270293 01	do	Mayor, City of Bowlus, Bowlus, Minn. 56314.	Do.
Do	do	Upsala, city of	H 270396 01 through H 270396 02	do	Mayor, City of Upsala, Upsala, Minn. 56384.	Do.
Do	Norman	Perley, city of	H 270326 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Perley, Minn. 56574	Do.
Do	Otter Tail	Richville, city of	H 270341 01	do	Mayor, City of Richville, Richville, Minn. 56576.	Do.
Do	Pine	Askov, city of	H 270345 01	do	Mayor, City of Askov, Askov, Minn. 55704.	Do.
Do	do	Sturgeon Lake, city of.	H 270352 01 through H 270352 02	do	Mayor, Sturgeon Lake, Minn. 65783	Do.
Do	Pope	Long Beach, city of.	H 270371 01 through H 270371 02	do	Robert R. Schneider, Mayor, Long Beach City, Long Beach, Minn. 56334.	Do.
Do	Rice	Dundas, city of	H 270403 01	do	Mayor, Dundas, Minn. 55019	Do.
Do	Stearns	New Munich, city of.	H 270451 01	do	Mayor, New Munich, Minn. 56356	Do.
Do	Pine	Brook Park, city of.	H 270557 01	do	Mayor, City of Brook Park, Brook Park, Minn. 55007.	Do.
Do	Todd	Burtrum, city of	H 270558 01	do	Mayor, City of Burtrum, Burtrum, Minn. 56318.	Do.
Do	Redwood	Delhi, city of	H 270563 01	do	Mayor, City of Delhi, Delhi, Minn. 56234.	Do.
Do	St. Louis	Iron Junction, city of.	H 270580 01	do	Mayor, City of Iron Junction, Iron Junction, Minn.	Do.
Do	Wadena	Verndale, city of	H 270607 01	do	Mayor, City of Verndale, Verndale, Minn. 56481.	Do.
Do	Traverse	Wheaton, city of	H 270611 01	do	City Hall, City of Wheaton, Wheaton, Minn. 65296.	Do.
Mississippi	Benton	Hickory Flat, town of.	H 280010 01	Mississippi Research and Development Center, P.O. Drawer 2470, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Mississippi Insurance Department, 910 Wolfolk Bldg., P.O. Box 79, Jackson, Miss. 39205.	Mayor, Hickory Flat, Miss. 38633	Do.
Do	Bolivar	Alligator, village of.	H 280012 01	do	Mayor, Alligator, Miss. 38720	Do.
Do	do	Pace, town of	H 280021 01	do	Mayor, Pace, Miss. 38764	Do.
Do	Leake	Lena, town of	H 280196 01	do	Mayor, Lena, Miss. 39094	Do.
Missouri	Bollinger	Zalma, village of	H 290033 01	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Program and Policy, Development, State of Missouri, 308 East High St., Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Missouri Insurance Commission, Division of Insurance, P.O. Box 690, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101.	Mayor, City Hall, Zalma, Mo. 63787	Do.
Do	Boone and Howard.	Rocheport, city of.	H 290038 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Rocheport, Mo. 65279.	Do.
Do	Camden	Linn Creek, city of.	H 290053 01	do	Mayor, Linn Creek, Mo. 65052	Do.
Do	Clark	Alexandria, city of.	H 290080 01	do	Office of the Mayor, Town Hall, Market and Des Moines Sts., Alexandria, Mo. 63430.	Do.
Do	Gasconade	Gasconade, city of.	H 290140 01	do	Mayor and City Council, Gasconade, Mo. 65036.	Do.
Do	Greene	Springfield, city of.	H 290149 01 through H 290149 46	do	Department of Planning and Public Works, City Hall, 830 Boonville Ave., Springfield, Mo. 65801.	Do.
Do	Miller	Tuscumbia, village of.	H 290228 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Tuscumbia, Mo. 65082.	Do.
Do	Buchanan	Lewis and Clark, village of.	H 290481 01	do	Lewis and Clark Firehouse, Lewis and Clark Village, Rushville, Mo. 64484.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Nebraska	Butler	Linwood, village of.	H 310028 01	Nebraska Natural Resources Commission, P.O. Box 94725, State House Station, Lincoln, Nebr. 68509. Nebraska Insurance Department, 1335 L St., Lincoln, Nebr. 68509.	Mayor, Linwood, Nebr. 68036	Do.
Do	Pawnee	Pawnee City, city of.	H 310170 01	do	Mayor, Pawnee City, Nebr. 68420	Do.
New Jersey	Salem	Mannington, township of.	H 340417 01 through H 340417 11	Bureau of Water Control, Department of Environmental Protection, P.O. Box 1390, Trenton, N.J. 08625. New Jersey Department of Insurance, State House Annex, Trenton, N.J. 08625.	Mayor, Township of Mannington, Town Hall, Salem-Woodstown Rd., Salem, N.J 08079.	Do.
Do	Morris	Jefferson, township of.	H 340522 01 through H 340522 06	do	Mayor, Township of Jefferson, Jefferson, N.J.	Do.
New Mexico	Los Alamos	Unincorporated areas.	H 350035 01 through H 350035 03	State Engineers Office, Bataan Memorial Bldg., Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501. New Mexico Department of Insurance, P.O. Box 1269, Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501.	County Manager, P.O. Box 30, Los Alamos, N. Mex. 87544.	Do.
Do	Rio Arriba	do	H 350049 01 through H 350049 58	do	Chairman, Rio Arriba County Commission, P.O. Box 123, Tierra Amarilla, N. Mex. 87575.	Do.
New York	Cattaraugus	Franklinville, town of.	H 360072 01 through H 360072 14	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Resources Management Services, Bureau of Water Management, Albany, N.Y. 12201. New York State Insurance Department, 123 William St., New York, N.Y. 10038.	Supervisor, Town of Franklinville, Franklinville, N.Y. 14737.	Do.
Do	Otsego	Gilbertsville, village of.	H 360455 01	do	Mayor, Village of Gilbertsville, Gilbertsville, N.Y. 13776.	Do.
Do	Warren	Johnsburg, town of.	H 360875 01 through H 360875 24	do	Adirondack Park Agency, P.O. Box 99, Town of Johnsburg, Ray Brook, N.Y. 12977.	Do.
Do	Cheming	Veteran, town of	H 361057 01 through H 361057 12	do	Mayor, Town of Veteran, Veteran, N.Y.	Do.
Do	Jefferson	Cape Vincent, town of.	H 361062 01 through H 361062 06	do	Supervisor, Town of Cape Vincent, Cape Vincent, N.Y. 13618.	Do.
Do	Cattaraugus	Little Valley, town of.	H 361066 01 through H 361066 04	do	Supervisor, Town of Little Valley, Little Valley, N.Y. 14755.	Do.
Do	Chautauqua	Ellington, town of.	H 361074 01 through H 361074 11	do	Town Board, Town Hall, Ellington, N.Y. 14732.	Do.
Do	do	Villenova, town of.	H 361102 01 through H 361102 03	do	Town Bd., Town Hall, Villenova, N.Y.	Do.
Do	Genessee	Oakfield, town of	H 361119 01 through H 361119 07	do	Mayor, Town of Oakfield, Oakfield, N.Y. 14125.	Do.
Do	do	Elba, town of	H 361120 01 through H 361120 10	do	Mayor, Town of Elba, Elba, N.Y. 14058.	Do.
Do	Franklin	Waverly, town of	H 361126 01 through H 361126 32	do	Mayor, Town of Waverly, Waverly, N.Y. 14892.	Do.
Do	Fulton	Johnstown, town of.	H 361131 01 through H 361131 09	do	Mayor, City Bldg., Johnstown, N.Y. 12095.	Do.
Do	Essex	St. Armand, town of.	H 361157 01 through H 361157 18	do	Mayor, Town of St. Armand, St. Armand, N.Y.	Do.
Do	do	Ticonderoga, town of.	H 361159 01 through H 361159 22	do	Mayor, Town of Ticonderoga, Ticonderoga, N.Y. 12883.	Do.
Do	St. Lawrence	Massena, town of	H 361182 01 through H 361182 15	do	Town Hall, Massena, N.Y. 13662	Do.
Do	Schenectady	Duanesburg, town of.	H 361191 01 through H 361191 18	do	Mayor, Town of Duanesburg, Duanesburg, N.Y. 12056.	Do.
Do	Schuyler	Reading, town of	H 361205 01 through H 361205 04	do	Mayor, Town of Reading, Reading, N.Y. 14876.	Do.
Do	Steuben	Thurston, town of	H 361213 01 through H 361213 05	do	Mayor, Town of Thurston, Thurston, N.Y. 14619.	Do.
Do	do	Tuscarora, town of.	H 361214 01 through H 361214 05	do	Mayor, Town of Tuscarora, Tuscarora, N.Y. 14562.	Do.
Do	Washington	Cambridge, town of.	H 361223 01 through H 361223 10	do	Mayor, Cambridge, N.Y. 12816	Do.
Do	Wyoming	Java, town of	H 361244 01 through H 361244 11	do	Mayor, Town of Java, Java, N.Y. 14083.	Do.
Do	Ontario	Victor, town of	H 361249 01 through H 361249 11	do	Mayor, Town of Victor, Victor, N.Y. 14564.	Do.
Do	Orleans	Barre, town of	H 361252 01 through H 361252 07	do	Mayor, Town of Barre, Barre, N.Y.	Do.
Do	do	Albion, town of	H 361252 01 through H 361252 08	do	Mayor, Albion, N.Y. 14411	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Oswego	Orwell, town of	H 361262 01 through H 361262 11	do	Mayor, Town of Orwell, Orwell, N.Y. 13426.	Do.
Do	Otsego	Hartwick, town of.	H 361271 01 through H 361271 11	do	Mayor, Town of Hartwick, Hartwick, N.Y. 13348.	Do.
Do	do	Pittsfield, town of.	H 361277 01 through H 361277 05	do	Mayor, Town of Pittsfield, Pittsfield, N.Y.	Do.
Do	do	Westford, town of.	H 361282 01 through H 361282 10	do	Mayor, Town of Westford, Westford, N.Y. 13488.	Do.
Do	Westchester	Ossining, town of.	H 361291 01 through H 361291 06	do	Mayor, Town of Ossining, Ossining, N.Y. 10562.	Do.
Do	Madison	Nelson, town of	H 361293 01 through H 361293 05	do	Mayor, Town of Nelson, Nelson, N.Y.	Do.
Do	do	Smithfield, town of.	H 361294 01 through H 361294 06	do	Mayor, Town of Smithfield, Smithfield, N.Y.	Do.
Do	Ontario	Farmington, town of.	H 361299 01 through H 361299 12	do	Zoning Inspector, Town of Farmington, Town Clerks Office, Collett Rd., R.D., Victor, N.Y. 14564.	Do.
Do	Columbia	Gallatin, town of	H 361316 01 through H 361316 12	do	Mayor, Town of Gallatin, Gallatin, N.Y.	Do.
Do	do	Kinderhook, town of.	H 361322 01 through H 361322 09	do	Mayor, Town of Kinderhook, Kinderhook, N.Y. 12106.	Do.
Do	Dutchess	Pawling, town of	H 361341 01 through H 361341 06	do	Mayor, Town of Pawling, Pawling, N.Y. 12564.	Do.
Oklahoma	Caddo	Lookeba, town of	H 400025 01	Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 2241 Northwest 40th St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Oklahoma Insurance Department, Room 408, Will Rogers Memorial Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105.	Mayor, Lookeba, Okla. 73053	Do.
Pennsylvania	Bucks	Richland, township of.	H 421095 01 through H 421095 08	Department of Community Affairs, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120. Pennsylvania Insurance Department, 108 Finance Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120.	Mayor, Township of Richland, Richland, Pa. 17087.	Do.
Do	Union	Kelly, township of.	H 421236 01 through H 421236 06	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Kelly, Rural Delivery Number 3, Lewisburg, Pa. 17837.	Do.
Do	Bradford	Franklin, township of.	H 421398 01 through H 421398 05	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Franklin, Rural Delivery 1, Monroeton, Pa. 18832.	Do.
Do	Chester	Schuylkill, township of.	H 421489 01 through H 421489 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Schuylkill, 801 Valley Park Rd., Phoenixville, Pa. 19460.	Do.
Do	Columbia	Stillwater, borough of.	H 421546 01 through H 421546 03	do	Mrs. Kline, Box 23, Stillwater, Pa. 17878.	Do.
Do	Crawford	Richmond, township of.	H 421569 01 through H 421569 03	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Richmond, Rural Delivery 1, Townville, Pa. 16360.	Do.
Do	Delaware	Aston, township of.	H 421602 01 through H 421602 03	do	Mayor, Township of Aston, Aston, Pa. 19014.	Do.
Do	Greene	Center, township of.	H 421668 01 through H 421668 07	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Center, Cameron Star Route, Waynesburg, Pa. 15370.	Do.
Do	Montgomery	Schwenksville, borough of.	H 421905 01 through H 421905 02	do	Mayor, Rural Delivery 2, Schwenksville, Pa. 19473.	Do.
Do	do	Upper Pottsgrove, township of.	H 421910 01 through H 421910 04	do	Mayor, Township of Upper Pottsgrove, Upper Pottsgrove, Pa.	Do.
Do	do	Perkiomen, township of.	H 421915 01 through H 421915 05	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Perkiomen, 674 Church Rd., Graterford, Pa. 19426.	Do.
Do	Montour	Cooper, township of.	H 421920 01	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery 5, Danville, Pa. 17821.	Do.
Do	Schuylkill	Mt. Carbon, borough of.	H 421995 01	do	Mayor and President of Council, 874 South Center St., Mount Carbon, Pottsville, Pa. 17901.	Do.
Do	do	New Ringgold, borough of.	H 421996 01 through H 421996 02	do	Mayor, New Ringgold, Pa. 17960	Do.
Do	Butler	Brady, township of.	H 422241 01 through H 422241 02	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery 1, Slippery Rock, Pa. 16057.	Do.
South Carolina	Aiken	Burnettown, town of.	H 450004 01	South Carolina Water Resources Commission, P.O. Drawer 164, 700 Knox Abbott Dr., Langley, S.C. 29834. South Carolina Insurance Department, 2711 Middleburg St., Columbia, S.C. 29204.	James M. Smith, Mayor, Town of Burnettown, P.O. Box 125, Langley, S.C. 29834.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Allendale	Sycamore, town of.	H 450011 01 through H 450011 02	do	R. F. Allen, Mayor, Sycamore, S.C. 29846.	Do.
Do	Charlestown	McClellanville, town of.	H 450039 01	do	Robert Ashley, Mayor, McClellanville, S.C. 29458.	Do.
Do	do	Meggett, town of	H 450040 01	do	Bagot Searson, Mayor, Meggett, S.C. 29460.	Do.
Do	Florence	Coward, town of	H 450077 01 through H 450077 02	do	John Larry Miles, Mayor, Box 67, Coward, S.C. 29530.	Do.
Do	Lexington	Gilbert, town of	H 450132 01	do	H. Ray Price, Mayor, P.O. Box 71, Gilbert, S.C. 29054.	Do.
South Dakota	Pennington	Box Elder, city of	H 460089 01 through H 460089 03	South Dakota Planning Agency, Office of Executive Management, State Capitol Bldg., Pune, S. Dak. 57501. South Dakota Department of Insurance, Insurance Bldg., Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.	Chairman, Board of Trustees, Box Elder, S. Dak. 57719.	Do.
Texas	Colorado	Unincorporated areas.	H 480144 01 through H 480144 05	Texas Water Development Board, P.O. Box 13087, County Courthouse, Columbus, Tex. 78934. Texas Insurance Department, 1110 San Jacinto St., Austin, Tex. 78701.	County Judge, Colorado County, Commissioners Court, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711.	Do.
Do	Comal	Garden Ridge, city of.	H 480148 01 through H 480148 02	do	Mayor, Route 3, Box 922, City of Garden Ridge, San Antonio, Tex. 78130.	Do.
Do	Henderson	Malakoff, city of	H 480329 01 through H 480329 03	do	Mayor, City Hall, Malakoff, Tex. 75148.	Do.
Do	Hill	Hillsboro, city of	H 480351 01 through H 480351 04	do	Mayor, Hillsboro, Tex. 76645	Do.
Do	Jackson	Unincorporated areas.	H 480379 01 through H 480379 06	do	County Judge, Jackson County, Edna, Tex. 77957.	Do.
Do	Willacy	San Perlita, city of.	H 480667 01	do	Mayor, San Perlita, Tex. 78590	Do.
Vermont	Addison	New Haven, town of.	H 500009 01 through H 500009 12	Management and Engineering Division, Water Resources Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602. Vermont Insurance Department, State Office Bldg., Montpellier, Vt. 05602.	Chairman, Board of Selectmen, Town of New Haven, New Haven, Vt. 05472.	Do.
Do	Orange	Bradford, village of.	H 500234 01 through H 500234 02	do	Chairman, Bradford Board of Selectmen, c/o Town Clerk, Bradford, Vt. 05033.	Do.
Do	do	Newbury, town of	H 500237 01 through H 500237 06	do	Town Clerks Office, Mr. A. S. Wells, Administrator, Chapel Street, Newbury, Vt.	Do.
Washington	Pacific	Unincorporated areas.	H 530126 01 through H 530126 05	Department of Ecology, Olympia, Wash. 98501. Washington Insurance Department, Insurance Bldg., Olympia, Wash. 98501.	Public Works Department, Pacific County, P.O. Box 66, Courthouse, South Bend, Wash. 98586.	Do.
Do	Skagit	do	H 530131 01 through H 530131 11	do	Chairman, Skagit County Board of County Commissioners, 120 West Kincaid, Courthouse Annex II, Mount Vernon, Wash. 98273.	Do.
Do	Yakima	Harrah, town of	H 530330 01	do	Harrah City Council, Harrah, Wash. 98933.	Do.
West Virginia	Mercer	Oakvale, town of	H 540127 01 through H 540127 02	Office of Federal-State Relations, Room West 115, Capitol Bldg., Charleston, W. Va. 25305. West Virginia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Charleston, W. Va. 25305.	Mayor, Town Hall, Oakvale, W. Va. 24739.	Do.
Do	Monongalia	Blacksville, town of.	H 540140 01	do	Mayor, Blacksville, W. Va. 26521	Do.
Do	Preston	Albright, town of.	H 540161 01	do	Charles Metheny, Mayor, Albright, W. Va. 26519.	Do.
Do	Tucker	Hendricks, town of.	H 540193 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Hendricks, W. Va. 26271.	Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 23, 1969 (33 F.R. 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408–410, Pub. L. 91–152, Dec. 24, 1969), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969)

Issued: October 17, 1974.

GEORGE K. BERNSTEIN,
Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.74–25234 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

[Amdt. 1]

PART 20—EXPORT SALES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Certain Agricultural Commodities

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-24608, appearing on page 37355 in the issue for Monday, October 21, 1974, in the first paragraph, between the third and fourth lines from the bottom, insert the following: "suant to this provision, and to refer to".

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Lemon Reg. 664]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Nov. 3-9, 1974. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 910. The quantity of lemons so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of lemons, the quantity of lemons currently available for market, the fresh market demand for lemons, lemon prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for lemons.

§ 910.964 Lemon Regulation 664.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this regulation to limit the quantity of lemons that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of lemons it deems advisable to be handled during the ensuing week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the demand for lemons so far this week is somewhat weaker, and auction supplies are more than adequate. Average f.o.b. price was $6.05 per carton the week ended October 26, 1974, compared to $6.75 per carton the previous week. Track and rolling supplies at 150 cars were down 2 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of lemons which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on October 29, 1974.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period November 3, 1974, through November 9, 1974, is hereby fixed at 200,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled", and "carton(s)" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: October 30, 1974.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.74-25695 Filed 10-31-74;11:52 am]

CHAPTER XIV—COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., 1970 and Subsequent Crops Wheat Supplement, Amdt. 5]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

1970 and Subsequent Crops Wheat Loan and Purchase Program; Warehouse Receipts

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 35 FR 8204, 9106, and 11690, as amended, containing provisions for price support loans and purchases applicable to the 1970 and subsequent crops of wheat are further amended as follows:

Section 1421.464(d) is amended to provide that a warehouseman may have a lien only for warehouse handling charges at not to exceed the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement rates.

§ 1421.464 Warehouse receipts.

* * * * *

(d) *Liens.* Warehouse receipts and the wheat represented thereby stored in an approved warehouse operating under the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement (hereinafter called "UGSA") may be subject to liens only for warehouse handling charges at not to exceed the UGSA rates. However, in no event shall a warehouseman be entitled to satisfy the lien by sale of the wheat when CCC is holder of the warehouse receipt.

* * * * *

Since this amendment is necessary to carry out the wheat loans and purchase program more effectively, compliance with the notice or proposed rulemaking and public participation procedure would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Therefore, this amendment is issued without compliance with such procedure.

(Secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c; secs. 107, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 1445a, 1421)

Effective date. November 1, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 22, 1974.

KENNETH E. FRICK,
Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.74-25388 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

PART 1464—TOBACCO

Tobacco Loan Program; 1974 Crop—Burley Tobacco, Loan Rate Schedule

On September 25, 1974, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 34421) a notice of proposed rule making setting forth the proposed price support grade loan rates for 1974 crop burley tobacco. Interested parties were given the opportunity to submit, not later than October 20, 1974, data, views and recommendations pertaining to the grade loan rates.

No unfavorable comments have been received and the proposed loan rates are hereby adopted without change and are set forth below. The material previously appearing under § 1461.21 remains applicable to the crop to which it refers.

Effective date. November 1, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 22, 1974.

GLENN A. WEIR,
Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

§ 1464.21 1974 Crop Burley Tobacco, Type 31, Loan Schedule.[1]

[Dollars per hundred pounds, farm sales weight]

Grade	Loan rate	Grade	Loan rate
B1F	91	T5GF	72
B2F	90	T4GR	70
B3F	89	T5GR	67
B4F	88	C1L	91
B5F	87	C2L	90
B1FR	90	C3L	89
B2FR	89	C4L	88
B3FR	88	C5L	87
B4FR	87	C1F	91
B5FR	85	C2F	90
B1R	88	C3F	89
B2R	87	C4F	88
B3R	86	C5F	87
B4R	85	C3K	86
B5R	84	C4K	84
B4D	77	C5K	79
B5D	73	C3M	87
B3K	86	C4M	85
B4K	84	C5M	81
B5K	79	C3V	88
B3M	86	C4V	86
B4M	84	C5V	82
B5M	78	C4G	76
B3VF	88	C5G	72
B4VF	85	X1L	91
B5VF	82	X2L	90
B3VR	81	X3L	89
B4VR	80	X4L	88
B5VR	77	X5L	87
B3GF	82	X1F	91
B4GF	80	X2F	90
B5GF	77	X3F	89
B3GR	75	X4F	88
B4GR	73	X5F	87
B5GR	70	X4M	85
T3F	87	X5M	79
T4F	84	X4G	80
T5F	81	X5G	74
T3FR	86	M1F	90
T4FR	83	M2F	89
T5FR	80	M3F	88
T3R	81	M4F	86
T4R	78	M5F	83
T5R	74	M3FR	85
T4D	73	M4FR	81
T5D	70	M5FR	77
T4K	72	N1L	83
T5K	71	N2L	76
T4VF	82	N1F	78
T5VF	77	N1R	72
T4VR	75	N2R	67
T5VR	71	N1G	70
T4GF	76	N2G	66

[1] Only the original producer is eligible to receive advances. Tobacco graded "U" (unsound), "W" (wet), "No. G" (no grade), or scrap will not be accepted. Cooperatives are authorized to deduct $1 per hundred pounds to apply against overhead costs.

[FR Doc.74-25389 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

PART 1474—FARM STORAGE FACILITIES

Farm Storage and Drying Equipment Loan Program Regulations

In order to incorporate amendments and program changes, the farm storage and drying equipment loan program regulations issued by Commodity Credit Corporation, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 1, 1967 (32 FR 9510), and amended, are hereby revised to read as set forth below.

This revision contains numerous editorial changes for clarification, but no substantial changes have been made in program provisions except for the changes regarding interest, which will conform these interest provisions with the provisions of the Commodity Credit Corporation interest rate announcement published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. In view of the fact that these interest rate changes were effective October 1, 1974, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the notice of proposed rulemaking procedure provided for in the statement of policy issued by the Secretary on July 20, 1971 (36 FR 13804), is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Accordingly, this revision is issued without following such procedure.

Sec.

1474.1 General statement.
1474.2 Administration.
1474.3 Availability of loans.
1474.4 Eligible borrowers.
1474.5 Eligible storage or drying equipment.
1474.6 Term of loan.
1474.7 Security for loan.
1474.8 Amount of loan and loan application approvals.
1474.9 Downpayment.
1474.10 Interest rate.
1474.11 Repayment of loan and acceleration of maturity date.
1474.12 Taxes.
1474.13 Maintenance and liability.
1474.14 Disbursement of loan.
1474.15 (Reserved).
1474.16 Sale or conveyance of security and assumption of loan indebtedness.
1474.17 Death, incompetency, or disappearance.
1474.18 Forms.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this subpart issued under secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 714b, 714c.

§ 1474.1 General statement.

This subpart sets forth the policies, procedures, and requirements governing loans by the Commodity Credit Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "CCC"), under the Farm Storage and Drying Equipment Loan Program, for the purchase, construction, erection, or installation of either farm storage or drying equipment, or both. Interested persons should also consider related procedures and forms adopted by each State ASC Committee to assure compliance with State law.

§ 1474.2 Administration.

(a) The Grain Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (hereinafter referred to as "ASCS"), will administer the provisions of this subpart under the general supervision and direction of the Deputy Administrator, Programs, ASCS. On matters involving individual loans which would otherwise require approval or other action by the Executive Vice President, the Deputy Administrator, Programs, is authorized to give such approval or take such other action as may be necessary. The program will be carried out through Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation State and county committees (hereinafter referred to as "State committee" and "county committee").

(b) Forms will be available in ASCS county offices.

(c) State and county committees and employees thereof do not have authority to waive any of the provisions of this subpart or any amendment thereto. Forms and procedures may be modified to the extent necessary to conform to any requirements of State law.

(d) No delegation herein to a State or county committee shall preclude the Executive Vice President, CCC, or his designee, from determining any question arising under the program or from reversing or modifying any determination made by a State or county committee.

(e) Except for Forms CCC–185, all documents required to be signed on behalf of CCC in connection with loans or other related actions under the Farms Storage and Drying Equipment Loan Program shall be signed by the chairman or a member of the county committee, or by the county executive director if he has the written authorization of the county committee to do so: *Provided*, That all approvals required for loans or other related actions have been given in accordance with the provisions of this subpart. Forms CCC–185 on loans approved by the county committee shall be signed by the chairman or a member of the county committee. Forms CCC–185 on loans approved by the State committee shall be signed by the chairman or a member of the State committee.

§ 1474.3 Availability of loans.

(a) *Time.* Loan applications may be submitted pursuant to this subpart beginning with the date of publication hereof.

(b) *Applications for loan.* An application for a loan shall be submitted to the county office of the county in which are maintained the records of the farm or farms to which the application relates. Upon request, the applicant shall furnish such information and documents as the State or county committee deem necessary to support the application. This may include but is not limited to financial statements, receipted bills, invoices, purchase orders, specifications, drawings or plats. The county office will be responsible for taking appropriate action on the application. Loan disbursements will be made by sight drafts drawn on CCC by the county offices.

§ 1474.4 **Eligible borrowers.**

(a) *Basic requirements.* The term "eligible borrower" means any person who as landowner, landlord, tenant, or sharecropper (1) produces one or more of the following eligible commodities: Corn, oats, barley, grain sorghum, wheat, rye, soybeans, flaxseed, rice, dry edible beans, peanuts (hereinafter called "price support commodities") and sunflower seed, and (2) needs farm storage and drying equipment for the storage or conditioning of one or more such eligible commodities. If two or more eligible borrowers join together in the purchase and erection, installation, or construction of eligible farm storage or drying equipment, each such borrower shall sign all documents and shall be liable jointly and severally for payment of the loan. The term "person" means any individual or individuals competent to enter into a binding contract, partnership, firm, joint-stock company, corporation, association, trust, estate, or other legal entity, or a State, political subdivision of a State, or any agency thereof.

(b) *Need for storage or equipment.* At the time any loan application is being considered, the county committee shall determine if the proposed farm storage or drying equipment is needed for the storage or conditioning of eligible commodities produced on the farm(s) to which the loan application relates: *Provided, however,* That in making this determination (1) production of a price support commodity on a farm shall not be included unless the applicant either is or indicates he will be eligible for price support on the commodity, (2) one year's estimated production of eligible commodities shall be used in determining whether the proposed drying equipment is needed, (3) the maximum storage space for which a loan may be made shall be the amount by which the total capacity of existing storage on the farm(s) which is suitable for storage of eligible commodities is less than the storage capacity necessary to store one year's production (computed on the basis of estimated yields) of all eligible commodities produced on the farm(s) to which the loan application relates. If the capacity of the storage to be purchased or erected by the applicant exceeds the need as determined above, the application may be approved, but the amount of such loan shall not exceed the maximum authorized in § 1474.8(b).

§ 1474.5 **Eligible storage or drying equipment.**

(a) *Basic Requirements.* Loans will be made only for the purchase, construction, erection, or installation of farm storage and drying equipment meeting the requirements of this section. The term "farm storage" means new or newly constructed conventional-type cribs, bins, or buildings designed for dry storage or used storage structures (including the real estate upon which such structures are located, if any), to be purchased from CCC. The term "farm storage" also means multipurpose (dry storage) structures provided that the area or space to be used for storage is isolated or closed off from other use areas, and provided further that only the cost of the portion or space used for storage will be included in determining the amount of the loan. The term "farm storage" shall not mean oxygen-limiting and other silo-type structures. The term "drying equipment" means new continuous-flow type dryers, or new drying systems with wagons, or trailers as integral parts thereof, or new batch or in-store drying systems (including integral parts and equipment) using heated or unheated air, equipment which conditions or facilitates drying by aerating, circulating, or stirring the commodity, or used drying equipment (including the real estate upon which such equipment is located, if any) to be purchased from CCC. For the purposes of this program, used farm storage and used drying equipment sold by CCC under the provisions of its security documents may be considered to be "purchased from CCC."

(b) *Additional requirements or provisions.* (1) If the farm storage or drying equipment is purchased from a source other than CCC, the supplier must be approved under Supplier's Agreement, Form CCC–308, before the loan is approved. CCC may also require the supplier to certify to the costs of the items and amounts paid and unpaid before the loan is disbursed.

(2) Farm storage or drying equipment shall not be delivered to the farm more than 30 days prior to the date of the application for the loan.

(3) Loans may be approved to cover the net cost of new materials and off-farm labor to be used in constructing new storage or drying equipment.

(4) Loans may be approved for the purchase of used farm storage or drying equipment only when it is purchased from CCC.

(5) Farm storage shall not be of a type (such as bags, snow fences, etc.) which requires the weight or bulk of the commodity stored to maintain its shape.

(6) Farm storage must, in the opinion of the county committee, have a usable life of at least 10 years.

(7) Loans for storage and drying equipment may include the conditioning, handling, and operating equipment considered essential to the practical operation of the proposed storage or drying unit. Loans may also be approved to add individual items of equipment to an existing storage or drying unit when the equipment is considered necessary to make the existing unit more practical and efficient: *Provided, however,* That any conditioning, handling, or operating equipment to be eligible for a loan or for inclusion in a loan shall not be for use with oxygen-limiting or other silo-type structures.

(8) Farm storage or drying equipment must include such ladders and simple safety devices as the county committee may require.

(c) *Loan proceeds not available.* Loan proceeds shall not be available to provide storage or drying equipment for commercial use or for the storing or drying of commodities which the borrower intends to purchase or to store or condition for others. Any farm storage or drying equipment which is located in working proximity to any commercial storing or drying operation shall be deemed to be a part of such operation. The foregoing does not preclude a borrower, who has qualified for a loan for drying equipment to dry his own commodities, from drying commodities for his neighbor.

§ 1474.6 **Term of loan.**

The maximum term of the loan shall be 5 years from the date of the note. The term of an individual loan, however, may be extended and reextended for terms of not to exceed one year each if the approving State or county committee determines in writing that the borrower is unable to meet the current payment when due because of conditions beyond his control.

§ 1474.7 **Security for loan.**

(a) *All States except Louisiana.* All loans shall be secured by a promissory note and security agreement covering the farm storage and drying equipment and granting CCC a security interest in the collateral which shall be perfected in the manner specified by the Uniform Commercial Code adopted in each State. CCC's security interest in the farm storage or drying equipment shall constitute the sole security interest in the collateral, except for prior liens on the underlying realty which by operation of law attach to the collateral if it is or will become a fixture. If any such prior lien on the realty does attach to the collateral, CCC may require that a subordination agreement or a disclaimer of any interest in the farm storage or drying equipment as fixtures be obtained in writing from each person, other than the borrower, having any interest in the real estate on which the farm storage or drying equipment is placed.

(b) *Louisiana.* All loans shall be secured by a chattel mortgage covering the farm storage or drying equipment, which shall be filed or recorded as required by State law and shall constitute the sole lien on such property, except for prior liens on the underlying realty which by operation of law attach to the collateral if it is or will become a fixture. If any such prior lien on the realty does attach to the collateral, CCC may require that a severance agreement be obtained from each person, other than the borrower, having any interest in the real estate on which the farm storage or drying equipment is placed.

(c) *First lien on real estate.* As additional security, a first lien, except as provided in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, on real estate shall be required for any loan of $10,000 or more, and may be required for any other loan in the discretion of the approving State or county committee. Such first lien shall be in the form of a real estate mortgage, deed of trust, or other form of security instrument approved by CCC. It shall attach to the borrower's farm or other real estate on which the farm storage or

drying equipment is to be located or on such acreage thereof as will, in the judgment of the county committee, make the site easily accessible for the use of other farmers, and, in the event of foreclosure will constitute a salable unit.

(1) Where the real estate is subject to a prior lien, the State committee may accept a second lien provided the borrower owns the land on which the farm storage or drying equipment is located and the State committee determines that the borrower has sufficient equity in the land to adequately protect CCC's interest.

(2) Where the real estate is subject to any other lien and a second lien is not acceptable under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the priority of CCC's lien must be obtained through a consent and subordination agreement which shall be filed or recorded with the lien on the real estate.

(d) *Fees.* Fees for filing or recording financing and continuation statements or chattel mortgages and any extensions thereof shall be paid by CCC. All other filing or recording fees, including those involving real estate liens, shall be paid by the borrower.

§ 1474.8 Amount of loan and loan application approvals.

(a) *Cost basis.* (1) The loan shall be based on the "net cost" which is the actual cost to the applicant, after deduction of any discount or rebate. "Net cost" may include the purchase price, local sales taxes payable by purchasers, and costs for transportation, delivery, and erection or installation of the farm storage or drying equipment: *Provided, however,* That "net cost" shall not include the costs of material and labor for concrete work and electrical wiring, the cost of used or second-hand material to be used in the proposed construction of otherwise new farm storage, or costs for labor performed by the applicant or other labor usually employed on the farm.

(b) *Amount of loan.* The amount of any loan, when added to the outstanding balance of any previous loan for farm storage and drying equipment, shall not result in an aggregate outstanding balance in excess of $25,000 and shall not exceed (1) 70 percent of the net cost of the applicant's needed farm storage and drying equipment or (2) the prorated cost for the applicant's farm storage which is needed and suitable for the storage of the eligible commodities when a farm storage structure has a larger capacity than the applicant's needed farm storage.

(c) *Loan application approvals.* (1) The county committee may approve or disapprove loan applications for amounts less than $10,000 without concurrence of the State committee: *Provided,* That no application may be so approved by the county committee if the amount thereof, plus the outstanding balance of any previous loan, would create for the applicant an aggregate outstanding balance of $15,000 or more.

(2) The State committee shall approve or disapprove all other loan applications.

(3) A loan application for $10,000 or more shall not be approved unless the applicant will provide the additional security in accordance with § 1474.7(c).

(4) A loan application shall not be approved where the approving authority determines that approval would not be in the best interest of the program.

(d) *Expiration of approvals.* If there has been no disbursement of the loan 4 months after the date the loan application was approved, the approval shall become null and void unless it is extended in writing by the approving State or county committee for a stated period not to exceed an additional 4 months: *Provided,* That the Executive Vice President, CCC, or his designee, may extend the approval for such additional periods of time as he may deem justified by the circumstances.

§ 1474.9 Downpayment.

A minimum downpayment of the difference between the amount of the loan determined pursuant to § 1474.8(b) and the net cost of the farm storage or drying equipment shall be made by the loan applicant to the supplier or contractor before the loan is disbursed. The minimum downpayment shall be in cash except that a reasonable trade-in allowance for farm equipment or other tangible property may be considered as cash. If the reasonable trade-in allowance of such equipment or property is in excess of the required minimum downpayment, the amount of the loan shall be reduced by the amount of such excess. If the trade-in allowance is in excess of a reasonable market value, only the reasonable market value may be counted toward the minimum downpayment. Any additional amount required to equal the minimum downpayment shall be paid in cash by the applicant to the supplier or contractor before the loan is disbursed. A downpayment shall not include any discount, rebate, credit, deferred payment, post-dated check, or promissory note to the supplier or contractor.

§ 1474.10 Interest rate.

(a) *Loans based on applications filed before October 1, 1974.* (1) Loans which have been disbursed before October 1, 1974, shall continue to bear interest at the rate set forth in the applicable "Promissory Note and Security Agreement."

(2) Loans which have not been disbursed before October 1, 1974, shall bear interest at the per annum rate of 8¾ percent.

(b) *Loans based on applications filed on or after October 1, 1974.* Loans shall bear interest at the per annum rate in effect on the date of disbursement: *Provided, however,* That CCC shall have the right to increase or decrease such interest rate semiannually and, upon such increase or decrease, the unpaid balance shall bear such adjusted rate of interest as published in a separate FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 1474.11 Repayment of loan and acceleration of maturity date.

(a) The principal of the loan shall be repayable in equal annual installments with interest as provided for in § 1474.10 on the unpaid balance from the date of disbursement of the loan or date of the last repayment, whichever is later, to but not including the date of repayment. The first installment plus interest on the unpaid balance, shall be payable during the 12-month period beginning on the first anniversary date of the note. A like installment shall be similarly payable during the 12 months following each anniversary date thereafter until the principal, together with the interest thereon, has been paid in full. Payment of each installment may be by cash, check, or money order: *Provided, however,* That any installment, due and payable, which has not been paid in cash, or by check or money order, shall be paid by deduction from any amounts due the borrower from price support loans and purchases, reseal storage payments, deficiency payments, or set-aside payments: *Provided, further,* That any such deductions shall not be made until after service charges and amounts due prior lienholders have been deducted. Payments shall be applied first to accrued interest and then to principal.

(b) Each installment must be paid not later than the end of the applicable 12-month period. Upon failure to pay any installment by the end of such period, the loan may be declared delinquent and, at the option of the approving State or county committee, the loan may be called and the entire unpaid amount of the loan shall become immediately due and payable. Any delinquent loan or any past due amount on any annual payment may be deducted and paid out of any amounts due the borrower under any program carried out by the Department of Agriculture or any other agency of the United States. Upon breach by the maker of the note of any covenants, agreements, terms, or conditions on his part to be performed under §§ 1474.1 to 1474.17 or under the loan application, promissory note, chattel mortgage, or other security instruments securing the note, or under any other instruments executed in connection with the loan, or if the farm storage or drying equipment is used in connection with any commercial operation including, but not limited to, elevators, warehouses, dryers, or processing plants, during the life of the loan, CCC may declare the entire indebtedness immediately due and payable.

(c) The loan may be paid in full or in part by the borrower at any time before maturity.

(d) Upon payment of a loan, the county committee shall release or obtain the release of security instruments, upon request therefor by the borrower, or as otherwise required by State law. The chairman or a member of the county

committee or the county executive director is authorized to act as agent of CCC in releasing or obtaining the release of security instruments.

§ 1474.12 Taxes.

The borrower must covenant to pay all real and personal property taxes which may affect CCC's security interest in all collateral securing the note evidencing the loan. To protect its interests, CCC may pay any unpaid taxes and add the amount of such payments to the borrower's indebtedness. CCC may provide in the loan instruments for increasing the lien on the collateral by the amount of such payments.

§ 1474.13 Maintenance and liability.

The borrower shall maintain the collateral in good condition and available for the storage or conditioning of one or more of the price support commodities named in § 1474.4(a). Until the loan has been repaid, the borrower shall be liable for all damages to or destruction of the farm storage or drying equipment.

§ 1474.14 Disbursement of loan.

Disbursement will be made when the farm storage or drying equipment has been delivered, erected, constructed, assembled, or installed, and (at the option of the county committee), has been inspected and approved by the county committee, or a representative of the county committee. Disbursement will be made only if the borrower furnishes satisfactory evidence of and certification as to the (a) total cost of the farm storage or drying equipment and (b) payment of all debts on the facility in excess of the amount of the loan. Disbursement shall be made jointly to the borrower and to the contractor(s) or supplier(s): *Provided, however*, That disbursement may be made to the borrower alone if the borrower submits to the county committee satisfactory evidence that he has paid any amounts due to the contractor(s) or supplier(s).

§ 1474.15 [Reserved].

§ 1474.16 Sale or conveyance of security and assumption of loan indebtedness.

(a) The collateral securing a loan shall be sold by CCC when a loan has been called and not repaid or when the borrower requests the county committee to sell the collateral before repaying the loan. When the borrower desires to sell or convey the facilities or other property securing a loan without repaying the loan in full, he shall apply to the county committee for approval of the sale or conveyance on behalf of CCC.

(b) Assumptions of the loans may be approved by CCC in the case of a sale of the farm storage or drying equipment by CCC or the borrower under paragraph (a) of this section or in the event of the death, incompetency, or disappearance of the borrower. Requests for approval of such assumptions shall be made to the county committee by the borrower or the successors or representatives of a borrower. If such approval is granted, the borrower or his successors or representatives shall execute an assumption agreement with the purchaser or the party assuming the loan. The assumption agreement shall be in the form prescribed by CCC. Such agreements may provide for the assumption of the unpaid balance of both the principal amount of the loan and the interest computed to the date of the assumption and any other charges which may be provided for in the loan application and approval and in the security instruments furnished by the borrower pursuant to § 1474.7.

§ 1474.17 Death, incompetency, or disappearance.

If a person who is entitled to the payment of any sum due in connection with a farm storage or drying equipment loan dies, disappears, or is declared incompetent, either before CCC has issued a draft in payment therefor or after CCC has issued a draft in payment but before the draft is negotiated, payment shall be made to his successors or representatives authorized to receive such payment. The order of precedence for payment is set forth in Part 707 of this title. Payment shall be made upon their proper application therefor on Form ASCS–325 to the office of the county committee which made the loan.

§ 1474.18 Forms.

The following forms shall be used in connection with the Farm Storage and Drying Equipment Loan Program: CCC–185 Loan Application and Approval, CCC–186 Promissory Note and Security Agreement, CCC–187 Disbursement, Repayment and Inspection Record, CCC–298 Assumption Agreement, CCC–299 Certificate of Title, CCC–308 Supplier's Agreement, CCC–401 Bill of Sale, CCC–500 Repayment Record. These forms, as well as any form required by State law, may be obtained in the ASCS State and county offices. The reporting and record-keeping requirements contained herein have been approved by, and subsequent reporting and record-keeping requirements will be subject to the approval of the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

Effective date. This amendment is effective with respect to loan applications filed on or after October 1, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on October 22, 1974.

GLENN A. WEIR,
Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.74-25390 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER V—FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

SUBCHAPTER C—FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN SYSTEM

[No. 74-1055]

PART 555—BOARD RULINGS

Amendment Relating to Communications Between Members of Federal Associations

OCTOBER 9, 1974.

The following summary of the amendment adopted by this Resolution is provided for the reader's convenience and is subject to the full provisions of this Resolution and the amended regulations set forth below.

I. *Regulations Prior to Present Amendments.* No specific provision stating that if an association has adopted the Board approved permissive bylaw regarding communications with members, the members are not entitled to obtain, inspect or copy the association's membership list.

II. *Amended Regulations.* Adds a Board Ruling, reaffirming a prior Board opinion, specifically stating that if an association has adopted the Board approved permissive bylaw regarding communications with members, the bylaw constitutes the sole and exclusive means of communication between members of the association and no member of the association is entitled to obtain, inspect or copy the membership list.

III. *Reason for Changing the Regulations.* To codify a prior opinion of the Board relating to communications between members of certain Federal associations.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board considers it desirable to amend Part 555 of the Rules and Regulations for the Federal Savings and Loan System (12 CFR Part 555) for the purpose of adding a ruling relating to communications between members of certain Federal savings and loan associations. Accordingly, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board hereby amends said Part 555 by adding a new § 555.16, immediately following § 555.15 thereof, to read as set forth below, effective November 1, 1974.

Since the above amendment relates to an interpretative rule, notice and public procedure are unnecessary under the provisions of 12 CFR 508.11 and 5 U.S.C. 553(b); and, for the same reason, publication for the 30-day period specified in 12 CFR 508.14 and 5 U.S.C. 553(d) prior to the effective date of the amendment is unnecessary; and the Board hereby provides that said amendment shall become effective as hereinbefore set forth.

§ 555.16 Permissive bylaw (g).

(a) Numerous Federal savings and loan associations have adopted permissive bylaw (g) governing communications between association members. Authority to adopt this bylaw amendment by Federal associations was granted by formal action of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, effective January 28, 1966. See § 544.6(g) of this chapter.

(b) On November 21, 1968, this Board issued an opinion explaining its intent and purpose in authorizing Federal associations to adopt bylaw (g). Such opinion, in pertinent part, stated:

It was the purpose of the Board in authorizing this bylaw amendment to provide a fair, effective and orderly means of communication between members of a Federal savings and loan association. It was intended that such bylaw, if adopted by a Federal association, provide the sole authorized means by which a member of the association may communicate in writing with the membership. In particular, the bylaw is intended to provide for proxy solicitations by members, thus obviating any need which otherwise might exist for a membership list or for copying

such information from the association's records. Further, the bylaw provision enables the solicitation of proxies to be carried out without the risk of harm to the association which might be caused by members who seek the identity of other members under the guise of proxy solicitation, but with the intention of utilizing the information thus obtained for improper purposes.

(c) The Board hereby reaffirms its November 21, 1968, opinion. It has been and still is the Board's position that when a Federal savings and loan association has adopted the bylaw set forth in § 544.6(g), said bylaw provides the sole and exclusive means of communication between members of the association, and no member of such association is entitled to obtain, inspect or copy the membership list. The Board considers that the release or disclosure of the membership list, even though such list does not reflect the amount of savings of each member, could cause substantial harm to the association and/or its members, and would violate the privacy of the members. Further, the Board believes that individual members of the association have no need to obtain, inspect or copy the membership list, so long as the association is complying with bylaw (g). In this regard, it has been the Board's experience that bylaw (g) provides a fair and effective means of proxy solicitation by members.

(Sec. 5, 48 Stat. 132, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1464. Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 FR 4981, 3 CFR, 1943–48 Comp., p. 1071)

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[SEAL] GRENVILLE L. MILLARD, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25538 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airworthiness Docket No. 74-SW-25; Amdt. 39-1999]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Bell Model 204B and 205A-1 Helicopters

Amendment 39-1963 (39 FR 34054), AD 74-20-06, superseded Amendment 39-1860 (39 FR 19448), AD 74-12-05 and required replacement of chains P/N 205-001-721-1, manufactured prior to June 1, 1974, prior to or upon attaining 50 hours total time in service, and required replacement of chains, P/N 205-001-721-1, manufactured on or after June 1, 1972 and chains, P/N 205-001-748-1, regardless of manufacturing date, prior to or upon attaining 150 hours total time in service. In addition, Amendment 39-1963 required tail rotor rigging in accordance with Bell Service Bulletin No. 205-05-74-1, Rev. A, and retained the repetitive inspections of Amendment 39-1860 on Bell Model 204B and 205A-1 helicopters. After issuing Amendment 39-1963 Bell Helicopter Company issued a message dated September 25, 1974, concerning a 50 hour retirement life on chains P/N 205-001-721-1 manufactured prior to June 20, 1974. Bell Helicopter Company had allegedly been notified that a small quantity of chains manufactured June 1 through June 19, 1974, did not receive all the required heat treat cycles. Therefore the Airworthiness Directive is being superseded by a new Airworthiness Directive that requires replacement of chains, P/N 205-001-721-1, manufactured prior to June 20, 1974, prior to or upon attaining 50 hours total time in service and that requires replacement of chains, P/N 205-001-721-1, manufactured on or after June 20, 1974, and chains, P/N 205-001-748-1, regardless of manufacturing date, prior to or on attaining 150 hours total time in service and that retains the tail rotor rigging requirements of Amendment 39-1963 and the repetitive inspection requirements of Amendment 39-1860.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive.

BELL. Applies to Bell Model 204B and 205A-1 helicopters certificated in all categories

Compliance required as indicated.

To detect cracks in the tail rotor pitch change link segments and to prevent possible failure of the tail rotor pitch change chains accomplish the following repetitive inspections on chains, P/N 205-001-721-1 and 205-001-748-1.

(a) Within five hours time in service after June 7, 1974, unless already accomplished, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 25 hours time in service from the last inspection, accomplish the following inspections:

(1) Remove the chain or chains from the helicopter in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual.

(2) Clean each chain with solvent and stiff bristle brush and air dry.

(3) Roll each chain into a tight flat disc and inspect the links' outer segments, both sides, for cracks, using a light and a ten-power or higher magnifying glass. Unroll the chain and with teeth up inspect inner segments of the links at the radius between the teeth. Turn each chain over and inspect the opposite side of the link segments.

(4) Replace chains with cracked segments before further flight.

(5) Install chains with uncracked link segments in accordance with the pertinent maintenance manual and rig tail rotor controls in accordance with the pertinent maintenance manual. If 212-010-701 Tail Rotor Hub and Blade Assembly is installed on the Model 205A-1, rig the controls in accordance with the maintenance manual and as specified in Bell Helicopter Company Service Bulletin No. 205-05-74-1, Rev. A, dated June 24, 1974, or later approved revision.

(b) Before the first flight of each day after June 7, 1974, accomplish the following repetitive inspections.

(1) Remove the cover from the chain assembly.

(2) Inspect each chain assembly for cracks in the link segments using a three-power or higher magnifying glass. Particular attention should be placed on the portion of each chain that travels over each sprocket and that extends three inches each side of this area or portion.

(3) Remove chains with cracked segments before further flight in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual.

(4) Install chains with uncracked segments in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual and rig the controls as specified in paragraph (a)(5) of this airworthiness directive.

(c) Replace chains, P/N 205-001-721-1 having manufacturing dates of June 20, 1974, or later etched on the clevis, as follows:

(1) Replace chains with more than 140 hours total time in service on the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive within ten hours time in service.

(2) Replace chains with less than 140 hours total time in service on the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive prior to attaining 150 hours total time in service.

(d) Replace chains, P/N 205-001-721-1 having manufacturing dates prior to June 20, 1974, as follows:

(1) Replace chains with more than 40 hours total time in service on the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive within ten hours time in service.

(2) Replace chains with less than 40 hours total time in service on the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive prior to attaining 50 hours total time in service.

(e) Replace chains, P/N 205-001-748-1, with more than 140 hours total time in service on the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive within ten hours total time in service. Replace chains, P/N 205-001-748-1, with less than 140 hours total time in service on the effective date of this Airworthiness Directive prior to attaining 150 hours total time in service.

The manufacturer's specifications and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to the Service Manager, Bell Helicopter Company, P.O. Box 482, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. These documents may also be examined at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, FAA, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas, and at FAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. A historical file on this Airworthiness Directive which includes the incorporated material in full is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C. and at the FAA Southwest Regional Office, Fort Worth, Texas.

(Bell telefax messages dated May 10, 1974; June 15, 1974; August 2, 1974; and September 25, 1974, pertain to this subject, and Information Letter to all Bell 204B/205A-1 operators, 35:WJD:jge-3152 dated August 12, 1974, also pertains to this subject.)

This supersedes Amendment 39-1963 (39 FR 34054), A.D. 74-20-06.

This amendment becomes effective November 3, 1974.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423), sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 18, 1974.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

(The incorporation by reference provisions in this document were approved by the Director of the Federal Register on June 19, 1967.)

[FR Doc.74-25484 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-RM-12]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of VOR Federal Airway

On August 21, 1974, a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 30164) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations that would designate a north alternate to V-4 from Denver, Colo., to Thurman, Colo.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

Subsequent to publication of the NPRM, a recomputation of the radials required to overlie the Byers intersection indicated that the V-8S radial from Akron, Colo., must be amended by one degree to 241°T (228°M) and the V-4 radial from Thurman, Colo., must be amended by one degree to 274°T (262° M). Since the required corrections are minor in nature but necessary for the respective radials to intersect precisely at the Byers intersection, action is taken herein to make the corrections.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., January 2, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 71.123 (39 FR 307, 30346, 38 FR 33393) is amended as follows:

1. In V-4: "INT Denver 103° and Thurman, Colo., 275° radials; Thurman, Colo.; 50 miles, 65 MSL, Goodland, Kans.;" is deleted and "INT Denver 103° and Thurman, Colo., 274° radials; Thurman, Colo.; including a north alternate via INT Denver 088° and Thurman 292° radials; 50 miles, 65 MSL, Goodland, Kans.;" is substituted therefor.

2. In V-8: "Akron, Colo.; including a south alternate via Denver 103° and Akron 242° radials;" is deleted and "Akron, Colo.; including a south alternate via Denver 103° and Akron 241° radials;" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 25, 1974.

CHARLES H. NEWPOL,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.74-25483 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. 8948]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Beacon Oil Co.

Subpart—Shipping merchandise, etc., in excess of/or without order: § 13.2195 Shipping merchandise, etc., in excess of/or without order.[1]

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721 (15 U.S.C. 46). Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, 82 Stat. 146, 147; 15 U.S.C. 45, 1601-1605.) [Cease and desist order, Beacon Oil Company, Hanford, Calif., Docket 8948, Sept. 3, 1974.]

In the matter of Beacon Oil Company, a corporation. Consent order requiring a Hanford, Calif., seller and distributor of petroleum and related products, among other things to cease issuing credit cards without specific request or application.

The Decision and Order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows:[2]

It is ordered, That respondent Beacon Oil Company, a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the issuance of credit cards, as "credit card" is defined in Regulation Z (12 CFR 226) of the Truth in Lending Act, as amended, (Pub. L. 90-321, 15 U.S.C. 1601 et. seq.), shall forthwith cease and desist from:

Issuing any credit card, other than a credit card issued in renewal of or in substitution for an accepted credit card, as "accepted credit card" is defined in § 226.13(a) of Regulation Z, unless:

1. In response to the recipient's separate, signed, affirmative and specific written request or written application therefor. Or:

2. In response to the recipient's specific oral request obtained pursuant to a telephone solicitation, *provided,* That the following procedures are employed:

A. The person making the oral solicitation must state the following, or words of similar meaning and import, at the very outset of the conversation with the person being solicited:

The purpose of this telephone call is to find out if you would like to have a Beacon Oil Company [or other specific name, as applicable] credit card.

And:

B. A detailed log of all oral solicitations is maintained for a period of at least two years, such a log to include:

(1) The name of the individual who made the oral solicitation;

(2) The name of the person with whom the solicitor spoke;

(3) The time and date of the solicitation; and

(4) Whether or not a credit card was requested. Or:

3. In response to recipient's specific oral request obtained pursuant to an oral solicitation at any of its own service stations or those operated by its independent dealers or distributors, *provided,* That the following procedures are employed:

A. The person making the oral solicitation must state the following, or words of similar meaning and import, at the very outset of the conversation with the person being solicited:

The purpose of this conversation is to find out if you would like to have a Beacon Oil Company [or other specific name, as applicable] credit card.

And:

B. A detailed log of all oral requests is maintained for a period of at least two years, such a log to include:

(1) The name of the individual who made the oral solicitation;

(2) The name and address of the person who requested the credit card;

(3) The date and place of the solicitation; and

(4) The nature and number of the credit identification used. And:

C. The following notice captioned "IMPORTANT NOTICE" printed on at least 4¼" x 5½" paper in type not smaller than eleven point shall be given to each person requesting a credit card immediately after the request:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Thank you for requesting a Beacon Oil Company [or other specific name, as applicable] credit card. This card will be mailed to you in the near future, if approved. If you reconsider your need for this card, contact us by telephoning [Beacon Oil Company's telephone number including area code] or write Beacon Oil Company [Beacon Oil Company's address].

It is further ordered, That respondent shall forthwith deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondent or other persons engaged in the solicitation for or issuance of respondent's credit cards, whether or not employed by respondent, and that respondent secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That respondent notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

---

[1] Revised.

[2] Copies of the complaint, decision and order, filed with original document.

It is further ordered, That respondent herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

The decision and order was issued by the Commission September 3, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25447 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2535]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Consolidated Chemical Corp., Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.10 *Advertising falsely or misleadingly;* § 13.50 *Dealer or seller assistance;* § 13.60 *Earnings and profits;* § 13.105 *Individual's special selection or situation;* § 13.135 *Nature of product or service;* § 13.143 *Opportunities;* § 13.170 *Qualities or properties of product or service;* 13.170-30 Durability or permanence; § 13.195 *Safety;* 13.195-30 Investment. Subpart—Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed: § 13.675 *Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed.* Subpart—Failing to maintain records: § 13.1051 *Failing to maintain records;* 13.1051-10 Accurate. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Goods: § 13.1608 *Dealer or seller assistance;* § 13.1615 *Earnings and profits;* § 13.1663 *Individual's special selection or situation;* § 13.1685 *Nature;* § 13.1697 *Opportunities in product or service;* § 13.1710 *Qualities or properties.* Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1889 *Risk of loss;* § 13.1892 *Sales contract, right-to-cancel provision;* § 13.1895 *Scientific or other relevant facts.* Subpart—Offering unfair, improper and deceptive inducements to purchase or deal: § 13.1935 *Earnings and profits;* § 13.1985 *Individual's special selection or situation;* § 13.2015 *Opportunities in product or service;* § 13.2045 *Sales assistance.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45.) [Cease and desist order, Consolidated Chemical Corporation, Inc., et al., Houston, Tex., Docket C-2535, Sept. 5, 1974.]

In the Matter of Consolidated Chemical Corporation, Inc., a Corporation, and David E. Worthington, William F. Wilson, and Judyann Duffala, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation, and Harold L. Thornton and Thomas Norton, Individually and as Former Officers of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring a now bankrupt Houston, Tex., seller and distributor of hot beverage dispensing machines and dried beverage cartridges, routes, licenses, franchises and distributorships, among other things to cease misrepresenting the nature of franchises or distributorships, the security of investment, earnings and profits a prospective purchaser may expect, and the quality or durability of its machines or product. Further respondents are required to furnish prospects with full particulars on the franchise operation in writing at the first contact, and allow future purchasers a 10-day cooling-off period to cancel the contract with full refund rights.

The Decision and Order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows: [1]

I. *It is ordered*, That respondents Consolidated Chemical Corporation, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, David E. Worthington, William F. Wilson, and Judyann Duffala, individually and as officers of said corporation and its successors and assigns and Harold L. Thornton and Thomas Norton, individually and as former officers of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale or distribution of hot beverage dispensing machines and dried beverage cartridges and routes, licenses, franchises, or distributorships in relation thereto, or any other route, franchise, license, distributorship, or product or service, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from representing directly or by implication, orally, in writing or visually, that:

1. Exclusive franchises or distributorships are being offered for established retail accounts or misrepresenting, in any manner, the nature of the franchises or distributorships.

2. Any amount invested is secured by an inventory worth the amount invested and there is no risk of losing all or any part of the investment or misrepresenting, in any manner, the amount of security provided by the inventory or the risk of losing all or any part of the investment.

3. Profitable accounts and routes are established or misrepresenting, in any manner, the profitability of the accounts and routes.

4. Persons who purchase any such products or services and engage in business can or will derive any stated amount of sales, profits or earnings, or representing directly or by implication, the past or present sales, profits or earnings of purchasers of any such products or services, routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships unless in fact the past sales, or the profits and earnings represented, are those of a substantial number of purchasers and accurately reflect the average sales, profits or earnings of such purchases under circumstances similar to those of the francisee or distributor or prospective franchisee or distributor to whom the representation is made or misrepresenting, in any manner, the past, present, or future sales, profits or earning from the engagement in business and resale of any such products or services.

5. Persons who purchase any such products or services to engage in business must be specially selected to qualify for purchases of any such products and engagement in business, or misrepresenting, in any manner, the qualifications for purchasing any such products or services and engaging in business.

6. Continuing assistance and advice to their franchisees or distributors is offered, or misrepresenting, in any manner, the nature, amount and duration of assistance and advice offered.

7. Any such machines are well constructed and are durable, or misrepresenting, in any manner, the quality and durability of the machines.

8. Any such products are top quality merchandise and have a long life, or misrepresenting, in any manner, the quality and durability of the products.

It is further ordered, That respondents maintain accurate records which substantiate that the past or present sales, profits or earnings represented are accurate and are those of a substantial number of franchisees or distributors and accurately reflect the average sales, profits or earnings, of such franchisees or distributors under circumstances similar to those of the franchisee or distributor or prospective franchisee or prospective distributor to whom the representation is being made.

II. *It is further ordered*, That the respondents named in the preamble to section I of this order shall furnish any prospective purchaser of its routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships, at the time when contact is first established between such prospective purchaser and such respondents, a written statement in a clear, permanent and straightforward form with the following information and none other in the indicated order:

1. A distinctive and conspicuous cover sheet with the following notice and none other in bold face type of not less than 12 point size:

INFORMATION FOR PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS REQUIRED BY FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER

This information is provided for your own protection. It is in your best interest to study it carefully before making any commitment. If you do sign a contract, you may cancel it, and obtain a full refund of any money paid, for any reason, within ten business days after either signing such contract or receiving this disclosure statement, whichever occurs later. Details appear on the contract itself.

2. A factual description of the route, license, franchise or distributorship offered or to be sold.

3. The business experience, stated individually, of each of the respondents' directors, stockholders owning more than ten percent of the stock, and the chief executive officers for the past ten years; and biographical data concerning all such persons.

4. The business experience of the respondents, including the length of time the respondents have conducted a business of the type to be operated by the

[1] Copies of the complaint, decision and order, filed with original document.

purchaser; has granted routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships for such business, and has granted routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships in other lines of business.

5. Where such is the case, a statement that the respondents or any of their directors or stockholders owning more than ten percent of the stock, or chief executive officers:

a. Have been held liable in a civil action, convicted of a felony, or pleaded nolo contendere to a felony charge in any case involving fraud, embezzlement, fradulent conversion, or misappropriation of property; or

b. Are subject to any currently effective injunctive or restrictive order or ruling relating to business activity as a result of action by any public agency or department; or

c. Have filed bankruptcy or been associated with management of any company that has been involved in bankruptcy or reorganization proceedings; or

d. Are, or have been, a party to any cause of action brought by purchasers against the respondent.

Such statement shall set forth the identity and location of the court, date of conviction or judgment, any penalty imposed or damages assessed, and the date, nature, and issuer of each such order or ruling.

6. The financial history of the respondent, including balance sheets and profit and loss statements for the most recent five-year period; and a statement of any material changes in the financial condition of the respondent since the date of such financial statements.

7. A description of the fee to be paid by the purchaser; and a statement indicating whether all or part of the fee may be returned to the purchaser and the conditions under which the fee will be refunded.

8. The formula by which the amount of such fee is determined if the fee is not the same in all cases.

9. A statement of the number of routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships presently operating and the number proposed to be sold, indicating which existing routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships, if any, are company owned and their addresses.

10. A statement of the number of franchises, if any, that operated at a loss during the previous year.

11. A statement that the prospective purchaser may inspect the profit and loss statements of all existing operators. (The names and addresses of the operators may be deleted from these profit and loss statements.)

12. A statement whether, by the terms of the agreement or by other device or practice, the purchaser is required to purchase or lease from the respondent or affiliated persons or their designee, services, supplies, products, signs, fixtures, or equipment relating to the establishment or operation of the business.

13. A statement of the amount and basis for any revenue received by the respondent from suppliers to its operators during the past 12 months.

14. A statement of the conditions under which the agreement may be terminated or renewal refused or repurchased at the option of the respondent, and a statement of the number of routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships that fell into each of these categories during the past 12 months.

15. A statement of the conditions and terms under which the respondents allow the operator to sell, lease, assign, or otherwise transfer his interests, or any part therein.

16. A statement whether, by the terms of the agreement or other device or practice, the purchaser is limited in the goods or services he may offer for sale.

17. A statement whether the respondent requires the purchaser to participate personally in the direct operation of the business.

18. A statement of the terms and conditions of any financing arrangement offered directly or indirectly by the respondents or affiliated persons, and a description of any payments received by the respondents from any persons for the placement of financing with such persons.

19. A list of at least ten representative operators with addresses and telephone numbers, similarly situated to the interests offered and located in the same geographic area, if possible.

20. A statement of the territorial protection granted by the respondents, in which the respondents will not establish another operator who is permitted to use the same trade name or trademark; in which the respondents will not establish a company-owned outlet using the same trade name or trademark; and in which the respondents or its parent will not establish other operators or company-owned outlets selling or leasing similar products or services under a different trade name or trademark.

21. If the respondents use the name of a "public figure", a statement of the promotional assistance the "public figure" is committed to provide to the respondents for the next year and the promotional assistance that the "public figure" will provide specifically to the new purchaser, and a description of any fees or conditions attendant upon such assistance.

22. A statement of the average length of service of personnel who are responsible for assisting the purchaser at his location, and average number of hours such personnel spent during the past year with each purchaser that was in business for less than one year.

23. If the respondents inform the prospective purchaser that they intend to provide him with training, the respondents must state the number of hours of instruction and furnish the prospective purchaser with a brief biography of the instructors who will conduct the training.

24. A statement explaining clearly the terms and effects of any covenant not to compete which a purchaser may be required to enter into.

III. *It is further ordered,* That the respondents named in the preamble to Section I of this Order shall not make any representations, directly or indirectly:

1. In any advertising, promotional material, or disclosure statement, or in any oral sales presentation, solicitation, or discussion between respondents' representatives and prospective purchasers, for which the respondents do not have substantiation in its possession, which substantiation shall be made available to prospective purchasers upon demand. This provision applies, but is not limited, to statements concerning the experience or qualifications, or lack of experience or qualifications, needed for success as an operator.

2. In any advertising or promotional material, or in any oral sales presentation, solicitation, or discussion between a respondents' representatives and prospective purchasers which contradicts or exceeds any of the statements required to be disclosed by Section II of this Order.

IV. *It is further ordered,* That the respondents named in the preamble to Section I of this order, in connection with the sale of routes, licenses, franchises or distributorships, shall:

1. Inform orally all persons to whom solicitations are made and provide in writing immediately above and on the same page as the purchaser's signature line or any contract establishing or confirming a route, license, franchise or distributorship, the following statement in bold face print at least 50 percent larger than any other print in the body of such contract, or in bold face print of a contrasting color:

NOTICE: You are entitled to certain important information concerning this transaction entitled, "information for prospective purchasers required by Federal Trade Commission Decision and Order". It is in your best interest to demand and study such information. *You may cancel this contract for any reason within ten business days after either signing this contract or receiving the required information, whichever occurs later.* If you do choose to cancel, you will be entitled to receive a full refund within ten business days after the seller receives notice of your cancellation. You may use any reasonable method to notify him of your cancellation within the grace period. For your own protection you may wish to use certified mail with return receipt requested, or a telegram, either of which should be sent to the address below. (Respondent will insert here the address and telephone number to which such notices should be sent.)

2. Cancel any contract for which a notice of cancellation was sent by any reasonable means within ten business days after either the contract's execution, or the purchasers' receipt of all required information, whichever occurs later, and refund any money paid by the purchasers within ten business days after the date of receipt of such notice of cancellation.

3. Furnish the prospective purchaser upon request at any time, and in the absence of any request, before consummation of any agreement, with a copy of the agreement proposed to be used.

V. *It is further ordered,* That the respondents named in the preamble to Section I of this Order shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the

manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

It is further ordered, That such individual respondents promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of their present business or employment and of their affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondents' current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which they are engaged as well as a description of their duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That such respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in any of the corporate respondents such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of this order.

Notwithstanding any other provision contained herein, Daniel E. O'Connell, Trustee in re Consolidated Chemical Corporation, Inc., Bankrupt, Bankruptcy No. 73–H–134, United States District of Texas, Houston Division, is executing this order as Trustee on behalf of Consolidated Chemical Corporation under authority of an Order entered in said Bankruptcy No. 73–H–134, and nothing in this order shall limit or restrict his activities as Trustee or individually nor shall anything in this order broaden his obligations individually or as Trustee.

The decision and order was issued by the Commission September 5, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74–25451 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. C–2532]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Silhouette National Health Spas, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleading: § 13.10 *Advertising falsely or misleading;* 13.10–1 Availability of merchandise, services and/or facilities;[1] § 13.15 *Business status, advantages or connections;* 13.15–165 International nature; 13.15–270 Size and extent; § 13.125 *Limited offers or supply;* § 13.240 *Special or limited offers.* Subpart—Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed: § 13.675 *Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed.* Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Business status, advantages or connections: § 13.1420 *Foreign status, branches, operations, etc;* § 13.1555 *Size, extent, or equipment;*—Goods: § 13.1572 *Availability of advertised merchandise, service and/or facilities;*[1] § 13.1747 *Special or limited offers.* Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure; § 13.1857 *Instruments' sale to finance companies;* § 13.1876 *Notice of third party sale of contract;* § 13.1892 *Sales Contract right-to-cancel provision;* § 13.1905 *Terms and conditions;* 13.1905–50 Sales contract.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45.) [Cease and desist order, Silhouette National Health Spas, Inc., et al., Indianapolis, Ind., Docket C–2532, Aug. 26, 1974.]

In the Matter of Silhouette National Health Spas, Inc., a Corporation, and Donald E. Gilman, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring an Indianapolis, Ind., operator of physical fitness and/or health salons, among other things to cease misrepresenting the efficacy of its facilities in weight reduction without regard to dietary considerations; the availability of memberships; their size; the extent, terms and conditions of special offers; and availability of facilities. Further, respondent is required to allow patrons to terminate programs within five business days and obtain a refund by delivering a letter from their physicians, stating that participation would be hazardous to their health.

The Decision and Order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows:[2]

It is ordered, That respondents Silhouette National Health Spas, Inc., a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers, and Donald E. Gilman, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' officers, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, and sale of health club memberships or other services or products, in commerce as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that purchasers of memberships in respondents' physical fitness and/or health salon facilities will lose weight as a result of using the facilities of respondents' physical fitness and/or health salons without regard to dietary habits.

2. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that health club memberships are available for any period of time less than the shortest period for which a significant number of memberships are in fact sold to the public.

3. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that respondents operate physical fitness and/or health salons in more than one state in the United States or in other countries.

4. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that the availability of any service, specially-priced program, free treatments or other inducement for membership is limited in time or otherwise when they are not a bona fide limited period sale; or failing to disclose completely and accurately in immediate conjunction with any represented promotional inducement all conditions and limitations on its availability.

5. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that any facilities are available at all clubs referred to in any particular advertisement unless they are, in fact, available at all facilities, and are available to persons of either sex at all said clubs during all of said clubs' business hours. If the facilities are not available to all members at all hours at each club referred to in such advertisement, such representation shall be qualified by a clear and conspicuous disclosure in immediate conjunction therewith providing that "such facilities and hours may differ at each location."

6. Failing to provide each purchaser a copy of each contract, note or other instrument of indebtedness executed by or on behalf of such customer; and failing to include, clearly and conspicuously, on the face of all such documents:

(a) A statement that the document is a contract and will become legally binding on said purchaser upon its acceptance by respondents.

(b) All terms and conditions of such document.

(c) The following statement:

NOTICE

If you are obtaining credit in connection with this purchase, you will be required to sign a promissory note, a sales contract or other instrument of indebtedness which may be purchased from the seller by a bank, finance company or any other third party. If such is the case, you will be required to make your payments to someone other than the seller. You should be aware that if this happens, you will have to pay the note, contract or other instrument of indebtedness in full to its new owner even if your purchase contract is not fulfilled.

7. Failing to disclose clearly, conspicuously, completely and accurately, both orally and in writing, before enrolling any person in any program:

(a) That prospective patrons with health problems or who are under a doctor's care should consult their physician to be sure that respondents' programs are not incompatible with figure control or other health plans prescribed by such physician.

(b) That the patron may terminate his program, cancel any indebtedness in connection with said program and obtain a refund of any monies paid to respondents by delivering a letter from his physician, stating clearly that participation in respondents' program would be hazardous to his health and setting forth the medical reasons for such opinion, to respondents within five (5) business days from the date of execution of said contract.

8. Failing to terminate the contract of any patron who requests termination pursuant to Subparagraph b of Paragraph 7, and failing to mail, within ten

[1] Revised.

[2] Copies of the complaint, decision and order, filed with original document.

(10) business days of receipt of said request, a refund in accordance with said Subparagraph.

It is further ordered, That respondents herein deliver by registered mail a copy of this Decision and Order to each of the present and future employees, salesmen, agents, solicitors, independent contractors, advertising agent, or to any other person who promotes, offers for sale, sells or distributes any health club membership or any other product or service offered by respondents.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

The decision and order issued by the Commission August 26, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25450 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2536]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Tom Pogue Studios

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.10 *Advertising falsely or misleadingly;* § 13.15 *Business status advantages or connections;* 13.15–10 Authorized distributor; 13.15–30 Connections or arrangements with others; § 13.75 *Free goods or services;* § 13.105 *Individual's special selection or situation;* § 13.155 *Prices;* 13.155–5 Additional charges unmentioned; 13.155–10 Bait; § 13.160 *Promotional sales plans.* Subpart—Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed: § 13.675 *Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed.* Subpart—Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc.: § 13.1042 *Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc.* Subpart—Failing to maintain records: § 13.1051 *Failing to maintain records;* 13.1051–20 Adequate. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Business status, advantages or connections: § 13.1395 *Connections and arrangements with others.* —Goods: § 13.1625 *Free goods or services;* § 13.1663 *Individual's special selection or situation.* —Prices: § 13.1778 *Additional costs unmentioned;* § 13.1779 *Bait;* § 13.1785 *Comparative.* —Promotional sales plans: § 13.1830 *Promotional sales plans.* Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1882 *Price;* 13.1882–10 Additional costs unmentioned;[1] § 13.1905 *Terms and conditions.* Subpart—Offering unfair, improper and deceptive inducements to purchase or deal: § 13.2013 *Offers deceptively made and evaded.* Subpart—Using deceptive techniques in advertising: § 13.2275 *Using deceptive techniques in advertising.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45.) [Cease and desist order, Thomas L. Pogue, t/a Tom Pogue Studios, Tuscaloosa, Ala., Docket C-2536, Sept. 5, 1974.]

In the Matter of Thomas L. Pogue, an Individual Trading as Tom Pogue Studios

Consent order requiring a Tuscaloosa, Ala., photographer, among other things to cease using bait advertisements; misrepresenting goods as free, promotional sales plans, connections or arrangements with others, and prices.

The Decision and Order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows:[2]

It is ordered, That respondent Thomas L. Pogue, an individual trading as Tom Pogue Studios, his sucessors and assigns, and respondent's agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, and sale of portraits, or any other products, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondent is making an offer to specially selected persons; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the class or numbers of persons to whom such offers are being made.

2. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondent will give any product free or as a gift or without charge, or that any product can be obtained free or as a gift or without charge in connection with the purchase of, or agreement to purchase, any product or service, or in connection with the testing programs of any product or service, unless the product "given" or tendered "without charge" may be retained separate and apart from a request for refund for the product "charged for."

3. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondent is conducting a testing service or program on any product as the representative of any manufacturer, distributor, or other person or entity unless respondent is properly authorized to conduct tests as advertised or represented in writing and in fact is conducting or participating in such advertised testing or product evaluation program and maintains adequate records for a period of three (3) years which disclose the factual basis for any representations or statements as to a testing or product evaluation program of any product, to include copies of all customer correspondence, customer evaluation submissions and respondent's test report submissions to party authorizing such tests.

4. Using, in any manner, any market, performance, or other testing scheme, or any advertising, sales plan, scheme or device wherein false, misleading, or deceptive statements or representations are made in order to obtain leads or prospects for the sale of other merchandise or services.

5. Making representations purporting to offer merchandise for sale when the purpose of the representation is not to sell the offered merchandise but to obtain leads or prospects for the sale of other merchandise at higher prices.

6. Discouraging the purchase of or disparaging any merchandise or services which are advertised or offered for sale.

7. Representing, directly or by implication, that any merchandise or services are offered for sale when such offer is not a bona fide offer to sell such merchandise or services.

8. Refusing or failing upon request to tender a refund when the representation has been made directly or by implication that a refund will be initiated upon request, and further failing to honor such refund requests and tender refund within twenty (20) days of notice of refund request.

9. Failing, clearly, emphatically, and unqualifiedly to disclose in all advertising, initial sales contact, and all subsequent sales solicitations of purchasers or prospective purchasers the amount of any charge for mailing and/or handling or any other element of cost in connection with the purchase of any portrait or other product or service.

10. Failing, clearly, emphatically and unqualifiedly to disclose in all advertising and initial sales contact the time at which any product, which is offered "without charge" to customers or prospective customers in connection with a sale or prospective sale of any product or service, is to be tendered or delivered by the respondent to customers or prospective customers.

11. Representing, directly or by implication, that competing merchandise of like grade and quality is customarily offered at a retail price of fifty dollars ($50), or misrepresenting in any manner, the price at which competing merchandise of like grade and quality is customarily offered for sale or sold.

12. Failing to maintain adequate records, for a period of three (3) years from the time of any representation, (a) which disclose the fact upon which any value claim, including comparative value claims discussed in Paragraph 11 of this Order are based; and (b) from which the validity of any value claim and similar representations of the type described in Paragraph 11 of this Order can be determined.

It is further ordered, That respondent deliver a copy of this Order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel

---

[1] Added.

[2] Copies of the complaint, decision and order, filed with the original document.

employed or engaged by respondent in the advertising and sale of respondent's products and services, and that respondent secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of a copy of said Order and containing an agreement to be bound by the terms of said Order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That respondent promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business, incorporation or other change in business structure and of his affiliation with a new business or employment or any other change in business organization which may affect compliance obligations arising out of this Order. Such notice shall include respondent's current business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That respondent shall, for a period of three (3) years from the date hereof, establish and maintain a record of all business and customer complaints, oral and written, relating to proscriptions of this Order, and which record will be made available for Commission inspection upon reasonable notice.

It is further ordered, That respondent shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon him or this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with this order.

The decision and order was issued by the Commission September 5, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25446 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2534]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Transworld Hairmakers, Etc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.10 *Advertising falsely or misleadingly;* § 13.135 *Nature of product or service;* § 13.170 *Qualities or properties of product or service;* 13.170–24 Cosmetic or beautifying; § 13.190 *Results;* § 13.195 *Safety;* 13.195–60 Product; § 13.205 *Scientific or other relevant facts.* Subpart—Contracting for sale any evidence of indebtedness prior to specified time: [1] § 13.527 *Contracting for sale any evidence of indebtedness prior to specified time.* Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Goods: § 13.1685 *Nature;* § 13.1710 *Qualities or properties;* § 13.1740 *Scientific or other relevant facts.* Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1870 *Nature;* § 13.1885 *Qualities or properties;* § 13.1890 *Safety;* § 13.1892 *Sales contract, right-to-cancel provision;* § 13.1895 *Scientific or other relevant facts.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 52.) [Cease and desist order, Mr. Hairmaker, Inc., t/a Transworld Hairmakers, Etc., et al., Philadelphia, Pa., Docket C-2534, Aug. 28, 1974.]

In the Matter of Mr. Hairmaker, Inc., a Corporation, Trading Also as Transworld Hairmakers and The Hairmakers, and Edward Sacks, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring a Philadelphia, Pa., operator of salons for and promoter of an implant hair replacement system, among other things to cease deceptively advertising its implant hair replacement system and to disclose the risks involved in the procedure. Further, respondent is required to offer a three-day cooling-off period during which time customers may cancel their contracts with full refund of monies paid.

The Decision and Order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows:[2]

It is ordered, That respondent Mr. Hairmaker, Inc., a corporation, trading also as Transworld Hairmakers and The Hairmakers or under any other name or names, its successors and assigns and its officers, and Edward Sacks, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device or through franchisees or licensees, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of the implant replacement system or other hair replacement product or process involving surgery (hereinafter sometimes referred to as the "System"), in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, or by the United States mails within the meaning of section 12(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act do forthwith cease and desist from representing, directly or by implication:

1. That the System does not involve wearing a device or cosmetic which is like a hairpiece or toupee;

2. That after the System has been applied, the hair applied becomes part of the anatomy like natural hair, and has the following characteristics of natural hair.

a. The same appearance in all applications as natural hair, upon normal observation, and upon extreme close-up examination;

b. It may be cared for like natural hair where care involves possible pulling on the hair;

c. The wearer may engage in physical activity and movement with the same disregard for his hair as he would if he had natural hair.

3. That after the system has been applied, the wearer can care for it himself, and will not have to seek professional or skilled assistance in maintaining the system, and that the customer will not incur maintenance costs over and above the cost of applying the System.

It is further ordered, that respondents, in advertising, offering for sale, selling or distributing the system, disclose clearly and conspicuously that:

1. The system involves a surgical procedure resulting in the implantation of synthetic sutures in the scalp, to which hair is affixed.

2. By virtue of the surgical procedure involving implantation of synthetic sutures in the scalp, and by virtue of the synthetic suture remaining in the scalp, there is risk of discomfort, pain, infection, scarring, and other skin disorders.

3. Continuing special care of the system is necessary to minimize the probabilities and risks referred to in subparagraph two of this paragraph, and such care may involve additional costs for medications and assistance.

4. The purchaser is advised to consult with his personal physician about the system before deciding whether to purchase it.

Respondents shall set forth the above disclosures separately and conspicuously from the balance of each advertisement or presentation used in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of the System, and shall devote no less than 15 percent of each advertisement or presentation to such disclosures. *Provided, however*, That in advertisements which consist of less than ten column inches in newspapers and periodicals, and in radio and television advertisements with a running time of one minute or less, respondents may substitute the following statement, in lieu of the above requirements:

WARNING: This application involves surgery whereby synthetic sutures are placed in the scalp. Discomfort, pain, and medical problems may occur. Continuing care is necessary. Consult your own physician.

No less than 15 percent of such advertisements shall be devoted to this disclosure such disclosure shall be set forth clearly and conspicuously from the balance of each of such advertisements, and if such disclosure is in a newspaper or periodical, it shall be in at least eleven point type.

It is further ordered, That respondents, in connection with the sale of the system, provide prospective purchasers with a separate disclosure sheet containing the information required in the immediately preceding paragraph of this Order, subparagraphs one (1) through four (4) thereof, and that respondents require that, prior to executing any contract to purchase said system, such prospective purchasers, sign and date the disclosure sheet after the sentence, "I have read the foregoing disclosures and understand what they mean," and that Mr. Hairmaker, Inc. provide a copy of said disclosure sheet to the customer and retain such signed disclosure sheet for at least three years.

It is further ordered, That, in connection with the sale of the System, no contract for application of the System shall become binding on the purchaser prior to midnight of the third day, excluding Sundays and legal holidays, after the day on which said contract for applica-

[1] Revised.

[2] Copies of the complaint, decision and order, filed with the original document.

tion of the System was executed, and that:

1. Respondents shall clearly and conspicuously disclose, orally prior to the time of sale, and in writing on any contract, promissory note or other instrument executed by the purchaser in connection with the sale of the system, that the purchaser may rescind or cancel any obligation incurred by mailing or delivering a notice of cancellation to the office responsible for the sale prior to midnight of the third day, excluding Sundays and legal holidays, after the day on which said contract for application of the system was executed.

2. Respondents shall provide a separate and clearly understandable form which the purchaser may use as a notice of cancellation.

3. Respondents shall not negotiate any contract, promissory note, or other instrument of indebtedness to a finance company or other third party prior to midnight of the fifth day, excluding Sundays and legal holidays, after the day on which said contract for application of the system was executed.

It is further ordered, That respondents, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of the system, serve a copy of this Order upon each present and every future licensee or franchisee, and upon each physician participating in application of respondents' system, and obtain written acknowledgment of the receipt thereof; and that respondents obtain from each present and future licensee or franchisee an agreement in writing, (1) to abide by the terms of this order, and (2) to cancellation of their license or franchise for failure to do so; and that respondents cancel the license or franchise of any licensee or franchisee that fails to abide by the terms of this order. Respondents shall retain such acknowledgments and agreements for so long as such persons or firms continue to participate in the application or sale of respondents' system.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That in the event that the corporate respondent merges with another corporation or transfers all or a substantial part of its business or assets to any other corporation or to any other person, said respondent shall require such successor or transferee to file promptly with the Commission a written agreement to be bound by the terms of this Order; *provided* that if said respondent wishes to present to the Commission any reason why said Order should not apply in its present form to said successor or transferee, it shall submit to the Commission a written statement setting forth said reasons prior to the consummation of said succession or transfer.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent Edward Sacks promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

The Decision and Order was issued by the Commission August 28, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25449 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2537]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

William Fisher

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.175 *Quality of product or service;* § 13.185 *Refunds, repairs and replacements.* Subpart—Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed: § 13.675 *Delaying or withholding corrections, adjustments or action owed.* Subpart—Failing to maintain records: § 13.1051 *Failing to maintain records.* Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Goods: § 13.1685 *Nature;* § 13.1710 *Qualities or properties;* § 13.1725 *Refunds.* Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1895 *Scientific or other relevant facts.* Subpart—Using deceptive techniques in advertising: § 13.2275 *Using deceptive techniques in advertising.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721 (15 U.S.C. 46). Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45.) [Cease and desist order, William Fisher, Moorestown, N.J., Docket C-2537, Sept. 5, 1974.]

In the Matter of William Fisher, an Individual and as a Former Officer of Camera Hut, Ltd., Inc.

Consent order requiring a former officer of Camera Hut, Ltd., a now-bankrupt, corporate mail order seller of cameras and photographic equipment, located in Moorestown, N.J., among other things to cease misrepresenting the quality, performance or characterisitcs of photographic lenses or the quality or grade of photographic equipment or supplies; failing to make refunds as advertised; and failing to maintain records of transactions.

The Decision and Order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows: [1]

It is ordered, That respondent William Fisher individually and respondent's agents, representatives, and employees directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale or distribution of photographic equipment and supplies in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing by any means, directly or by implication, that respondent's lenses possess sharpness of focusing characteristics which in fact they do not possess or which do not perform in the manner or to the extent represented; or misrepresenting in any manner the quality, performance, or characteristics of photographic lenses and other photographic equipment.

2. Misrepresenting by any means, directly or by implication, that photographic equipment is of the same grade and quality as other comparative photographic equipment and supplies; or in any other manner misrepresenting the grade and quality of photographic equipment and supplies.

3. Representing by any means, directly or by implication, that pictures or depictions used in connection with respondent's advertising are accurate demonstrations of advertised items where such is not the case; or misrepresenting in any manner through pictures, drawings, depictions or sketches the qualities, characteristics, or performance of photographic equipment and supplies.

4. Failing to make, without prior demand, a prompt refund to the buyer of all moneys paid for merchandise ordered by mail when the merchandise is not shipped within twenty-one (21) days from receipt of payment; *Provided*, That nothing in this pargaraph (4) is intended to preclude the respondent from shipping merchandise at a later time without having made a refund if:

(a) A clear and conspicuous disclosure of the estimated time required for shipment has been made in all advertisements, catalogs, and other materials soliciting orders; or

(b) The respondent obtains the express written consent of the buyer to a specified delay.

5. Failing to make, without prior demand, a prompt refund to the buyer of all moneys paid for merchandise ordered by mail when the merchandise is not shipped within that time stated in the advertisement, catalogs or other materials soliciting orders, or within that time expressly agreed to by the buyer, as provided in paragraph (4) (a) and (b).

6. Failing to disclose clearly and conspicuously in all advertisements, catalogs and other materials soliciting orders, the following statement:

---

[1] Copies of the complaint, decision and order, filed with original document.

NOTICE: All merchandise will be shipped within 21 days or all money will be refunded without prior demand.

This statement must appear in all materials soliciting orders unless such materials contain a clear and conspicuous disclosure of the estimated time required for shipment.

7. Failing to maintain for a period of one (1) year with regard to each and every transaction between the respondent and his customers, the nature of merchandise ordered, the date the order was received, and the date the merchandise was shipped.

It is further ordered, That nothing contained in this order shall be construed in any way to annul, invalidate, repeal, terminate, modify or exempt respondent from complying with agreements, orders or directives of any kind obtained by any other agency or act as a defense to actions instituted by municipal or state regulatory agencies.

Nothing in this Order shall be construed to imply that any past or future conduct of respondent is subject to and complies with the rules and regulations of, or the statutes administered by the Federal Trade Commission.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission in the event that he discontinues his present business or employment, and becomes affiliated with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which he is engaged, as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That respondent herein shall forthwith distribute a copy of this Order to each of his operating officers, agents and representatives.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon him of this Order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with this Order.

The decision and order was issued by the Commission September 5, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25448 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PART 1—REGULATIONS FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG, AND COSMETIC ACT AND THE FAIR PACKAGING AND LABELING ACT

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-23913, appearing at page 36858, in the issue for Tuesday, October 15, 1974, the last line of the first paragraph should read, "comments on the proposal within 60 days of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER."

SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS

PART 135a—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR OPHTHALMIC AND TOPICAL USE

N-(Mercaptomethyl) Phthalimide S-(O,O-Dimethyl Phosphorodithioate)

Correction

In FR Doc. 74-20447 appearing at page 32025 in the issue of Wednesday, September 4, 1974, the table in § 135a.14 (c) was set incorrectly. A correction document was issued at 39 FR 34520, September 26, 1974.

The table should read, however, as set forth below, and supersedes this portion of both of the previously issued documents:

§ 135a.14 N-(Mercaptomethyl) phthalimide S-(O,O-dimethyl phosphorodithioate) emulsifiable liquid.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

To control:	*Method of use*
Grubs	Dip, pour-on, or spray.
Lice	Dip, pour-on, or spray.
Hornflies	Spray.
Cattle ticks	Dip or spray.
Southern cattle ticks.	Dip or spray.

PART 135a—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR OPHTHALMIC AND TOPICAL USE

Bacitracin-Polymyxin B-Neomycin, Sterile Ophthalmic Ointment, Veterinary

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (65-114V) filed by the Upjohn Co., Kalamazoo, MI 49001, proposing safe and effective use of bacitracin-polymyxin B-neomycin, sterile ophthalmic ointment, veterinary, for the treatment of superficial bacterial infections of the eyelid and conjuctiva in dogs and cats. The supplemental application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), § 135a.45 is amended by revising the section heading, paragraphs (a), and (b) to read as follows:

§ 135a.45 Bacitracin-polymyxin B-neomycin ophthalmic ointment, veterinary; zinc bacitracin-polymyxin B-neomycin ophthalmic ointment, veterinary.

(a) *Specifications.* The drug conforms to the provisions of § 448.510e of this chapter.

(b) *Sponsor.* To firm(s) as sponsor(s) and identified by code numbers in § 135.-501(c) of this chapter, approvals of drugs as specified:

(1) To 037: approval of a drug which contains in each gram 500 units of bacitracin, 3.5 milligrams of neomycin base, and 5,000 units of polymyxin B.

(2) To 082: approval of a drug which contains in each gram 400 units of zinc bacitracin, 3.5 milligrams of neomycin base, and 5,000 units of polymyxin B.

* * * * *

Effective date. This order shall be effective November 1, 1974.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i))

Dated: October 24, 1974.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
Director,
Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.74-25423 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

PART 135a—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR OPHTHALMIC AND TOPICAL USE

Flurandrenolide With Neomycin Sulfate Ointment

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (13-133V) filed by Elanco Products Co., Post Office Box 1750, Indianapolis, IN 46206, proposing the safe and effective use of flurandrenolide with neomycin sulfate ointment for treatment of dogs. The supplemental application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 135a is amended by adding the following new section:

§ 135a.17 Flurandrenolide with neomycin sulfate ointment.

(a) *Specifications.* Each gram of flurandrenolide with neomycin sulfate ointment contains: 0.5 milligram of flurandrenolide and 5 milligrams of neomycin sulfate (equivalent to 3.5 milligrams of neomycin base) in a bland hydrophilic ointment base.

(b) *Sponsor.* See code No. 014 in § 135.501(c) of this chapter.

(c) *Conditions of use.* (1) The drug is recommended for use on dogs for the topical management of allergic dermatitis, otitis externa, and superficial pyoderma which may be expected to respond to corticosteroids and which may be threatened or complicated by bacterial infections. The drug is applied to the affected areas 2 or 3 times daily until all evidence of infection has disappeared.

(2) Federal law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

Effective date. This order shall be effective November 1, 1974.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i))

Dated: October 24, 1974.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
Director,
Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.74-25424 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

PART 135b—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR IMPLANTATION OR INJECTION

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride With Lidocaine Injection

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (49-948V) filed by Rachelle Laboratories, Inc., Long Beach,

CA 90801, proposing safe and effective use of an additional concentration of oxytetracycline in oxytetracycline hydrochloride with 2 percent lidocaine injection, for the treatment of dogs. The supplemental application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), § 135b.93 Oxytetracycline hydrochloride with lidocaine injection is amended in paragraph (a) by changing the phrase "50 mgs" to read "50 or 100 mgs."

Effective date. This order shall be effective November 1, 1974.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i))

Dated: October 24, 1974.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
Director,
Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.74-25422 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER C—AIR PROGRAMS

[FRL 246-8]

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

California Parking Review Regulations; Correction

This action corrects paragraph references which appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 15, 1974. (39 FR 1848).

The following corrections are made in the regulatory section:

1. "c. Subpart F—paragraphs (c), (d), and (g) of § 52.251" is changed to read: "c. Subpart F—paragraphs (c), (d), and (j) of § 52.251"; and:

2. "5. In Subpart F, paragraph (j) of § 52.251 is amended by changing 'March 31, 1974' to 'October 15, 1974'," is changed to read:

"5. In Subpart F, paragraph (1) of § 52.251 is amended by changing 'March 31, 1974' to 'October 15, 1974'."

Dated: October 25, 1974.

EDWARD F. TUERK,
Acting Assistant Administrator
for Air and Waste Management.

[FR Doc.74-25383 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FRL 283-2]

PART 120—WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

Navigable Waters of State of Missouri

On September 20, 1973 (38 FR 26358), notice was published announcing the adoption by the State of Missouri and approval by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of water quality standards for the State of Missouri pursuant to section 303(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1313(a)). It was erroneously indicated in that notice that Missouri was one of several States for which no water quality standards changes were requested. The purpose of this notice is to correct that notice, rescinding it insofar as it refers to Missouri Water Quality Standards, and superseding it by the material contained herein.

Regulations adopted by the State of Missouri on June 17, 1973, setting forth standards of water quality to be applicable to the navigable waters of the State are contained in the document entitled, "Missouri Water Quality Standards, Missouri Clean Water Commission, June 1973". The criteria and use elements of the standards are consistent with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended. The standards are such as to protect the public health and welfare, enhance the quality of water, and serve the purposes of the Federal Act. With one exception, these regulations shall hereafter be the standards applicable to the waters for which adopted.

One portion of section IV of the regulations refers to the application of a "no-discharge" policy for the Big Piney River and its tributaries. EPA is taking no action with respect to that portion. EPA has reservations on the "no-discharge" provision included in water quality standards for the Big Piney because of the potential that a more serious pollution condition may occur as a result of a "no-discharge" policy. Since it may not be cost effective to pipe the wastes out of the basin, it has been proposed by Missouri that wastes be disposed of by irrigation although such irrigation in the Big Piney area might contaminate water supply wells. EPA has no regulatory control over land disposal procedures in this instance.

EPA does support no-discharge goals and requirements where they are feasible. Effluent limitations guidelines and standards being developed by EPA include some "no-discharge" limitations, and several other States have adopted "no-discharge" requirements for selected waters. Such a requirement is appropriate for other waters in Missouri, such as the Big Blue River Basin, where it is an integral element in achieving water quality criteria and uses on the Big Blue River and will not cause other adverse environmental impacts.

EPA has suggested that the "no-discharge" policy on the Big Piney River should be contingent on a more complete examination of the need for such a policy and a more comprehensive review of its possible consequences. EPA believes upon examination of the total environmental situation within the Big Piney basin that adequate effluent limitations other than "no-discharge" can be established for discharge into the Big Piney River while still supporting the Class A classification.

Waste treatment and control requirements for point sources are reviewed at the time that specific effluent limitations necessary to meet water quality criteria and uses, or antidegradation provisions are incorporated into the discharge permit.

The EPA position of exempting from our consideration that portion of Section IV which refers to the application of a "no-discharge" policy to the Big Piney River and its tributaries is to indicate that the effects of alternative courses need to be more intensively studied. The position taken by the EPA does not alter the status of the federally approved use classification of the Big Piney River and its tributaries or the federally approved water quality criteria established for those waters. The EPA is not disapproving any of the water quality criteria and uses approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission. Thus, it is not necessary for EPA to promulgate additional criteria or use classification as a result of its exempting from consideration the "no-discharge" effluent limitation for the Big Piney River Basin.

Accordingly, it has been determined that public notice and comment are not necessary and that the notice can become effective upon publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, § 120.10 of 40 CFR Part 120 is amended by substituting the following for the paragraph entitled "Missouri":

§ 120.10 Standards adopted.

* * * * *

MISSOURI

Water quality standards established by Missouri on May 25, 1973, and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency on July 13, 1973, contained in the document entitled, "Missouri Water Quality Standards, Missouri Clean Water Commission, June 1973", hereafter will be the water quality standards for the State of Missouri, except that EPA exempts from consideration that portion of Section IV which refers to the application of a "no-discharge" policy to the Big Piney River and its tributaries. The use classification and water quality criteria established for the Big Piney River in the referenced standards are approved.

* * * * *

Effective date: November 1, 1974.

(Sec. 303 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended; Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1313(a))

Dated: October 24, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-25417 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 41—Public Contracts and Property Management

CHAPTER 7—AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, DEPARTMENT OF STATE

PART 7-7—CONTRACT CLAUSES

PART 7-15—CONTRACT COST PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

Implementataion of Policy Determination Requiring Prior Written Approval of International Travel

AIDPR Notice 75-2 implements Policy Determination (PD) No. 59 of July 15,

1974. PD 59 formalized the Agency's practice of requiring prior written approval of international travel directly and identifiably financed by AID, and established a requirement that a contractor notify the cognizant AID Mission before commencing approved international travel. This Notice modifies the contract General Provisions in AIDPR 7–7, and the cost principles in AIDPR 7–15.2 as required to implement PD 59.

1. The Contents of Part 7–7 are amended to add § 7–7.5402 International Travel Approval and Notification Requirements.

Subpart 7–7.50—Clauses for Cost Reimbursement Type Contracts

2. § 7–7.5002–3 is amended to add the following language immediately following the heading "(a) International Travel":

§ 7–7.5002–3 Travel and transportation expenses.

* * * * *

(a) *International travel.* Prior written approval by the contracting officer is required for all international travel directly and identifiably funded by AID under this contract. The Contractor shall therefore present to the contracting officer an itinerary for each planned international trip, showing the name of the traveler, purpose of the trip, origin/destination (and intervening stops), and dates of travel, as far in advance of the proposed travel as possible, but in no event less than three weeks before travel is planned to commence. The contracting officer's prior written approval may be in the form of a letter or telegram or similar device, or may be specifically incorporated into the Schedule of the Contract. At least one week prior to commencement of approved international travel, the Contractor shall notify the cognizant Mission, with a copy to the contracting officer, of planned travel, identifying the travellers and the dates and times of arrival.

* * *

Subpart 7–7.54—Clauses for Fixed Price Type Contract for Technical Services

3. A new § 7–7.5402–9 is added as follows:

§ 7–7.5402–9 International travel approval and notification requirements.

Prior written approval by the contracting officer is required for all international travel directly and identifiably funded by AID under this contract. The Contractor shall therefore present to the contracting officer an itinerary for each planned international trip, showing the name of the traveler, purpose of the trip, origin/destination (and intervening stops), and dates of travel, as far in advance of the proposed travel as possible, but in no event less than three weeks before travel is planned to commence. The contracting officer's prior written approval may be in the form of a letter or telegram or similar device, or may be specifically incorporated into the Schedule of the Contract. At least one week prior to commencement of approved international travel, the Contractor shall notify the cognizant Mission, with a copy to the contracting officer, of planned travel, identifying the travellers and the dates and times of arrival.

4. The Contents of Part 7–15 are amended to add § 7–15.205–46.53 International Travel.

Subpart 7–15.2—Principles and Procedures for Use in Cost Reimbursement Type Supply and Research Contracts With Commercial Organizations

5. Add a new § 7–15.205–46.53 as follows:

§ 7–15.205–46.53 International travel.

International travel costs are allowable only when the travel has received the specific prior approval of the contracting officer. For purposes of this provision, international travel is defined as any travel outside of the United States, or its territories and possessions. (See AIDPR 7–7.5002–3.)

Filing. This Notice should be filed in front of the main text of the AIDPR.

Authority. This AIDPR Notice No. 75–2 is an interim procurement instruction and is issued pursuant to AIDPR 7–1.104–4.

Effective date. This notice is effective immediately.

Dated: October 7, 1974.

WILLARD H. MEINECKE,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Program and Management Services.

[FR Doc.74–25477 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

Title 43—Public Lands: Interior

[P.L.O. 5439]

CHAPTER II—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

ALASKA

Amendment of Public Land Order No. 5169

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), and by virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 11(a)(3) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971 (hereinafter referred to as the Act), 43 U.S.C. 1610(a)(3), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 5169 of March 9, 1972, as amended by Public Land Order No. 5191 of March 17, 1972, Public Land Order No. 5256 of September 12, 1972, and Public Land Order No. 5428 of July 25, 1974, withdrawing certain lands for selection by the Arctic Slope Regional Corporation under section 12 of the Act, is hereby modified to add the following described land to paragraph 1c (Point Hope) of said order:

KATEEL RIVER MERIDIAN

PROTRACTED DESCRIPTION

T. 32 N., R. 32 W.,
Sec. 8.

The area described contains approximately 5 acres.

2. The purpose of this order is to add lands which should have been included in the previous orders but were inadvertently omitted.

3. Subject to valid existing rights, all of the lands described in paragraph 1 of this order immediately become subject to all of the terms and conditions of Public Land Order No. 5169.

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25474 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

[P.L.O. 5438]

ALASKA

Amendment of Public Land Order No. 5175

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior in section 11(a)(3) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, 85 Stat. 688, 696 (hereinafter called the "Act"), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 5175 of March 9, 1972, which withdrew lands for selection by village corporations and regional corporations in the Aleut League Region, is hereby amended to add the following described land to paragraph 1 of said order:

SEWARD MERIDIAN

PROTRACTED DESCRIPTIONS

T. 52 S., Rs. 74, 75 W., (S½).

2. The above described lands are hereby made subject to all of the terms and conditions of Public Land Order No. 5175, and its amendments, Public Land Order No. 5191 of March 17, 1972, and Public Land Order No. 5394 of September 14, 1973.

3. Paragraph 4 of Public Land Order No. 5175 is hereby amended to read as follows:

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 FR 4831), and by virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior in section 17(d)(1) of said Act, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the lands described in Public Land Order No. 5175, and its amendments, including this order, are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including sections by the State of Alaska under the Alaska Statehood Act, 72 Stat. 339, and from location and entry under the mining laws, 30 U.S.C. Ch. 2, and from leasing under the Mineral Leasing Act of February 25, 1920, as amended, 30 U.S.C. sections 181–287 (1970), but not from selection pursuant to section 12 of said Act by corporations formed pursuant to section 7 or section 8 of said Act, and are hereby reserved for study and review by the Secretary of the Interior for the purpose of classification or reclassification of any lands not conveyed pursuant to section 14 of said Act, or for possible addition to the refuge system pursuant to section 22(e).

JACK O. HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25478 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

Title 46—Shipping

CHAPTER IV—FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL PROVISIONS

[General Order No. 33; Docket No. 72-62]

PART 506—REGULATION TO ADJUST OR MEET CONDITIONS UNFAVORABLE TO SHIPPING IN THE FOREIGN TRADE OF THE UNITED STATES

The Merchant Marine Act of 1920, was enacted to provide for the promotion and maintenance of the American merchant marine. The act in section 19(1)(b), authorized and directed the United States Shipping Board:

To make rules and regulations affecting shipping in the foreign trade not in conflict with the law and order to adjust or meet general or special conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade, whether in any particular trade or on any particular route or in commerce generally, which arise out of or result from foreign laws, rules, or regulations or from competitive methods or practices employed by owners, operators, agents, or masters of vessels of a foreign country.

The functions of making rules and regulations to adjust or meet conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade under the provisions of section 19 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1920 were vested in the Federal Maritime Commission under the provisions of Reorganization Plan No. 7 of 1961 (75 Stat. 840).

The Federal Maritime Commission, in January of 1961, established General Order No. 8 which announced the broad general intention to promulgate offsetting regulations if and when discriminatory practices against vessels documented under the law of the United States are found (46 CFR 506 et seq.). Those regulations proved to be insufficient to promptly and adequately deal with quickly changing conditions which were unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States. Therefore, the Federal Maritime Commission instituted this proceeding, by notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 19, 1972, to promulgate rules and regulations to implement the provisions and to accomplish the purpose of section 19, Merchant Marine Act of 1920.

In response to the notice of proposed rulemaking, comments were submitted by or on behalf of a variety of interested parties. Replies to these comments have been filed by the Commission's hearing counsel and replies thereto have been received. The Commission has carefully considered the position of all of the parties and the final rules promulgated herein have been drafted with the parties' comments and arguments in mind. A section-by-section discussion of the rules and major comments thereon is appropriate. In the issuance of these final regulations the order of the sections has been arranged in a more logical progression. Reference from the proposed rules may be made by use of this table:

CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Revised section	*Original section*
506.1	506.1
506.2	506.2
506.3	506.3
506.4	506.8
506.5	506.11
506.6	506.12
506.7	506.9
506.8	506.13
506.9	506.4
506.10	506.6
506.11	506.6
506.12	506.5
506.13	506.7
506.14	506.10
506.15	506.14

The following section-by-section discussion is made with reference to the numbering of the final rules. The major comments to the proposed rules are likewise discussed under the corresponding revised section.

Section 506.1 *Purpose,* declares the intention to implement and give effect to the authority granted under section 19 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1920. It was suggested that language should be added to clearly indicate that the full authority of the Act to countervail both the actions of foreign nations and the competitive methods or practices of owners, operators, agents, or masters of vessels of a foreign country was being implemented. This suggested revision is consistent with the intent of the Commission and the purpose of the enabling legislation. It is therefore being adopted into our final rules.

The suggestion was also made that the regulations be restricted to protecting U.S. flag ships by substituting the words "U.S. ships" for the word "shipping" wherever used in this and the other sections of the regulation. It was also proposed that other shipping interests in the foreign trade of the United States be protected in separate regulations. The intent of the Commission is not, however, so narrow. It is shipping in the foreign trade which is to be protected. These suggested changes have therefore not been adopted into the final rules.

Section 506.2 *Scope,* sets forth the general conditions which will precipitate actions and regulations of the Commission under this part. Language has been added to this section to limit the scope of this regulation to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States rather than to shipping in foreign trade generally.

A proposal was made to enlarge the conditions which will precipitate actions and regulations to actions permitted by foreign governments as well as actions by foreign governments. Since it is the clear purpose of the Act and the intent of the Commission to remove the conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the U.S. wherever originating, this section has been amended to fully implement the authority granted in the Act.

Reference to diplomatic resolutions has been dropped as being duplicative of § 506.8.

Section 506.3 *Conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States,* sets forth the conditions which, if found to exist, are unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States per se. This section was amended to encompass the private as well as governmental acts which are unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States as authorized by section 19 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1920.

Section 506.3 (a), (b) and (c) originally applied only to conditions affecting vessels "documented under the laws of the United States." The interests of the United States are much broader, however, than the conditions affecting U.S. flag ships. Therefore, these rules have been amended to broaden the application to conditions affecting shipping in the foreign trade of the United States which are unfavorable.

Changes have been made to broaden the application of paragraph (a) to include restrictions as well as other unequal burdens placed on the vessels in the trade of the United States.

The preclusion or intention to preclude vessels from competing on an equal basis has been changed also. The intentions of a party are actually irrelevant to the discriminations. The regulations deal with the effects of fees, charges, requirements, or restrictions not the mens rea of the persons enacting or enforcing them.

Reference to the standard of a "reasonable economic basis" has been dropped as being too vague and restrictive and paragraph (a) has been changed to clearly apply an equal treatment requirement.

The concept of equal treatment is also manifested in several other changes to the final rules. Reservation of cargo to national flag or any other vessels without equal access is unfavorable under the amended paragraph (b).

Reference to unjust discrimination in paragraphs (c) and (d) has been dropped because of the vagueness of the term "unjust." The final rules clearly indicate that any discrimination in the foreign trade of the U.S. is unfavorable and is subject to action or regulations by the Commission. Language has also been changed to indicate that the public whose interests the Commission is protecting is that of the United States.

Paragraph (e) has been dropped as an unnecessary duplication of paragraph (c).

Section 506.4 *Petitions for section 19 relief—General—Who may file,* sets out the parties who may petition the Commission for findings of conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States and/or may petition the Commission for relief of such conditions. The regulations, as proposed, allow persons to file for relief who have been harmed by existing conditions or who can reasonably expect to be harmed by impending conditions. Amendments were suggested to grant standing to persons who can reasonably expect to be harmed by existing conditions as well. Since the amendment is within the original inten-

tion of the Commission and the class of persons is clearly entitled to protection under section 19 of the Act, this suggestion has been incorporated into the final rules.

The existing or impending conditions required for standing to petition relief or action by the Commission must not only have caused actual or impending harm to the petitioner but must also be conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

A by no means all-inclusive enumeration of interests which may seek relief or action from the Commission has been included in the final rules. Bulk and transport carriers are specifically included for protection under these regulations. Specific reference to third-flag operators was also urged. Third-flag operators may, however, be included in the classes enumerated and therefore no specific reference is felt to be necessary.

Section 506.5 *Petitions—How filed,* sets forth the filing requirements under this regulation. The requirement of a written petition was added to this section.

Section 506.6 *Petitions—Contents.* This section specifies the allegations which need to be set out in the petition for a finding of conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States. Because of possible disputes concerning the accuracy of translations, certified copies in the original language are required in addition to a certified English translation. Language has also been added to this section to allow documents and other evidence of conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States to be introduced as evidence in any proceeding. This section has been amended further by annexing the requirement of proposed section 506.11 *Petitions—For specific relief* into this section. Paragraph § 506.6 (d) (3) is a requirement (which has been added to the final rules) for a statement of support of the representativeness of the period chosen as the representative period for a showing of harm.

Section 506.7 *Petitions—Amendment or dismissal of.* Procedure of notification for failure of a petitioner to comply with the requirements established in this regulation is set out in this section. Opportunity for amendment is provided before dismissal occurs. No substantive changes in this section have been made.

Section 506.8 *Initial action to meet apparent conditions unfavorable—Resolution through diplomatic channels.* It is the feeling of the Commission that the utilization of diplomatic channels may be desirable at any stage of a proceeding under the rules of this part, not just subsequent to a finding of conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States. The final rules have been amended to achieve this result.

Section 506.9 *Actions to meet conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States,* sets forth the actions and regulations contemplated by the Commission after a finding of unfavorable conditions. The listing of possible actions by the Commission serves both to put all potentially affected parties on notice of the possible consequences of their actions and indicates that the Commission will be guided by the concept of prompt retaliation "in kind" to discriminations which unfavorably affect shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

Section 506.10 *Participation of interested persons,* sets out the circumstances under which a public proceeding will be allowed. This section has been amended to provide for participation by interested parties only when it is deemed necessary by the Commission.

Section 506.11 *Production of information,* has been amended to a considerable extent. The circumstances under which information may be ordered furnished to the Commission have been broadened. The Commission may order information to be furnished in order to aid in the determination of whether conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States exist and/or in order to aid in the formulation of appropriate regulations to offset unfavorable conditions in foreign trade of the United States.

Section 506.12 *Production of information—Failure to produce.* Provides sanctions for failure to produce any information requested under the provisions of § 506.11. Certain comments point out that this section may bring about conflict of laws, situations in which foreign carriers might be required to produce evidence to the Commission and, at the same time, are prohibited from doing so by the laws of another country. In the opinion of the Commission this is the very situation in which sanctions are required. The activities of nations or persons which are unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States should not be allowed to continue merely because a party refuses to make information available to the Commission. This section would not necessarily apply, however, to situations where there is a finding of a bona fide effort to comply.

Reference to the regulation of sailings has been dropped as such power is one of those enumerated under § 506.9, which may be used as a sanction for failure to produce information ordered by the Commission.

This section has also been amended to provide that a failure to produce information ordered by the Commission under § 506.11 will be deemed as an admission by that party that conditions unfavorable to the foreign trade of the United States do exist.

Section 506.13 *Postponement, suspension or discontinuance of action,* provides for suspension of the actions taken by the Commission under the provisions of these rules upon its own motion or upon petition. This section has been amended to incorporate postponement, discontinuance, or suspension of action for reasons of foreign policy or national security.

Section 506.14 *Content and effective date of regulation.* Actions taken by the Commission shall be printed in the FEDERAL REGISTER and, except where conditions warrant and for good cause, they shall not become effective until thirty days after publication.

Other nonsubstantive changes were made to these final rules to conform with the amendments discussed herein. This discussion has not dealt with those comments which were either irrelevant or immaterial.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority of section 19(1) (b) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1920 (46 U.S.C. § 876(1) (b)), section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. § 553), sections 21 and 43 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 820, 841(a)), and Title V of the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. § 483(a)), and Reorganization Plan No. 7 of 1961 (75 Stat. 840), Part 506 of Title 46 CFR is hereby revised to read as follows:

Sec.

506.1 Purpose.
506.2 Scope.
506.3 Findings—Conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.
506.4 Petitions for section 19 relief—General—Who may file.
506.5 Petitions—How filed.
506.6 Petitions—Contents.
506.7 Petitions—Amendment or dismissal of.
506.8 Initial action to meet apparent conditions unfavorable — Resolution through diplomatic channels.
506.9 Actions to meet conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.
506.10 Participation by interested persons.
506.11 Production of information.
506.12 Production of information—Failure to produce.
506.13 Postponement, suspension, or discontinuance of action.
506.14 Content and effective date of regulation.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 19(I) (b) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1920 (46 U.S.C. § 876(1) (b)), section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. § 553), sections 21 and 43 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. § 820, 841(a)), and Title V of the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. § 483(a)), and Reorganization Plan No. 7 of 1961 (75 Stat. 840).

§ 506.1 Purpose.

It is the purpose of the regulations of this part to declare certain conditions resulting from governmental actions by foreign nations or from the competitive methods or practices of owners, operators, agents, or masters of vessels of a foreign country unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States and to establish procedures by which persons who are or can reasonably expect to be adversely affected by such conditions may petition the Federal Maritime Commission for the issuance of regulations under the authority of section 19 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1920. It is the further purpose of the regulations of this part to afford notice of the general circumstances under which the authority granted to the Commission under section 19 will be invoked and the nature of the regulatory actions contemplated.

§ 506.2 Scope.

Regulatory action will be taken when the Federal Maritime Commission finds, on its own motion or upon petition, that a foreign government has promulgated and enforced or intends to enforce laws, decrees, regulations or the like, or has engaged in or intends to engage in practices which presently have or prospectively could create conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States, or when owners, operators, agents or masters of foreign vessels engage in or intend to engage in, competitive methods which have created or could create such conditions.

§ 506.3 Findings—Conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

For the purposes of this part, conditions created by governmental action or competitive methods of owners, operators, agents, or masters of foreign vessels which:

(a) Impose upon vessels in the foreign trade of the United States fees, charges, requirements, or restrictions different from those imposed on other vessels competing in the trade, or which preclude or tend to preclude vessels in the foreign trade of the United States from competing in the trade on the same basis as any other vessel;

(b) Reserve substantial cargoes to the national flag or other vessels and fail to provide, on reasonable terms, for effective and equal access to such cargo by vessels in the foreign trade of the United States;

(c) Are otherwise discriminatory or unfair to vessels in the foreign trade of the United States;

(d) Are discriminatory or unfair as between carriers, shippers, exporters, importers, or ports or between exporters from the United States and their foreign competitors and which cannot be justified under generally-accepted international agreements or practices and which operate to the detriment of the foreign commerce or the public interest of the United States;

are found unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

§ 506.4 Petitions for section 19 relief—General—Who may file.

Any person, importer, exporter, shipper, consignee, or owner, operator or charterer of a liner, bulk, or tramp vessel, who has been harmed by, or who can reasonably expect harm from existing or impending conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States, may file a petition for the relief under the provisions of this part.

§ 506.5 Petitions—How filed.

All requests for relief from conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade shall be by written petition. An original and fifteen copies of a petition for relief under the provisions of this part shall be filed with the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

§ 506.6 Petitions—Contents.

Petitions for relief from conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States shall set forth the following:

(a) A concise description and citation of the foreign law, rule, regulation, practice or competitive method complained of;

(b) A certified copy of any law, rule, regulation or other document involved and, if not in English, a certified English translation thereof;

(c) Any other evidence of the existence of such practice or competitive method;

(d) A clear description, in detail, of the harm already caused or which may reasonably be expected to be caused petitioner, including:

(1) Statistics for the representative period showing a present or prospective cargo loss if harm is alleged on that basis, such statistics shall include figures for the total cargo carried or projected in the trade for the period;

(2) Statistics or other evidence for the representative period showing increased costs, inferior service or other harm to cargo interest if injury is claimed on that basis; and

(3) A statement as to why the period is representative.

(e) A recommended regulation, the promulgation of which will in view of the petitioner, adjust or meet the alleged conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

§ 506.7 Petitions—Amendment or dismissal of.

Upon the failure of a petitioner to comply with the provisions of this part, the petitioner will be notified by the Secretary and afforded reasonable opportunity to amend his petition. Failure to timely amend the petition will result in its dismissal. For good cause shown additional time for amendment may be granted.

§ 506.8 Initial action to meet apparent conditions unfavorable—Resolution through diplomatic channels.

Upon the filing of a petition, or on its own motion when there are indications that conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States may exist, the Commission may notify the Secretary of State that such conditions apparently exist, and request he seek resolution of the matter through diplomatic channels. The Commission will give every assistance in such efforts, and the Commission may request the Secretary to report the results of his efforts at a specified time.

§ 506.9 Actions to meet conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

Upon a submission of a petition filed under the rules of this part, or upon its own motion, the Commission may find that conditions unfavorable to the foreign trade of the United States do exist, and may, without further proceeding, issue regulations. Such regulations may effect the following:

(a) Imposition of equalizing fees or charges;

(b) Limitation of sailings to and from United States ports or of amount or type of cargo during a specified period;

(c) Suspension, in whole or in part, of any or all tariffs filed with the Commission for carriage to or from United States ports; and

(d) Any other action the Commission finds necessary and appropriate in the public interest to adjust or meet any condition unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States.

§ 506.10 Participation of interested persons.

In the event that participation of interested persons is deemed necessary by the Commission, notice will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and interested persons will then be allowed to participate in this procedure by the submission of written data, views or arguments, with or without opportunity to present same orally.

§ 506.11 Production of information.

In order to aid in the determination of whether conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States exist, or in order to aid in the formulation of appropriate regulations subsequent to a finding that conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States exist, the Commission may, when it deems necessary or appropriate, and without further proceedings, order any owner, operator, or charterer in the affected trade to furnish any or all of the following information:

(a) Statistics for a representative period showing cargo carried to and from the United States in the affected trade on vessels owned, operated or chartered by him by type, source, value and directions;

(b) Information for a representative period on the activities of vessels he owns, operates, or charters, including sailings to and from United States ports, costs incurred, taxes or other charges paid to authorities, and subsidies or other payments received from foreign authorities;

(c) Information for a specified future period on the prospective activities of vessels which he owns, operates or charters or plans to own, operate or charter, to and from United States ports, including projected sailings, anticipated costs, taxes or other charges to be paid to authorities, and expected subsidies or other payments to be received from foreign authorities.

§ 506.12 Production of information—Failure to produce.

Failure to produce any information ordered by the Commission to be produced under § 506.11 will be deemed an admission that conditions unfavorable to shipping in the foreign trade of the United States do exist.

§ 506.13 Postponment, discontinuance, or suspension of action.

The Commission may, on its own motion or upon petition, postpone, discontinue, or suspend any and all actions taken by it under the provisions of this part. The Commission shall postpone or discontinue any or all such actions if the President informs the Commission that postponement, discontinuance, or suspension is required for reasons of foreign policy or national security.

§ 506.14 Content and effective date of regulation.

The Commission shall incorporate in any regulations adopted under the rules of this part a concise statement of their basis and purpose. Regulations shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Except where conditions warrant and for good cause, regulations promulgated under the rules of this part shall not become effective until 30 days after the date of publication.

Effective date. The provisions of this Part 506 will become effective December 2, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] FRANCIS C. HURNEY, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25521 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FCC 74-1149]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING

Order

1. As a result of the continuing study by its Task Force on Re-regulation of broadcasting, the Commission has under consideration the matter of amending certain provisions in Parts 1, 73 and 74 of its rules and regulations.

2. These amendments up-date certain rules, delete parts of others which are no longer applicable, and eliminate various requirements which are no longer necessary.

3. The following rule changes are made for the reasons indicated:

(a) We have received comments regarding the headnote of § 1.526, which reads "Records to be maintained locally for public inspection by applicants, permittees and licensees." When the headnote is literally interpretated, it seems to some to say, "why must licensees publicly inspect their own records?" The headnote is amended to read "Records of applicants, permittees, and licensees to be maintained locally for public inspection."

(b) Section 73.39(c) states that a thermocouple type ammeter "shall be permanently installed in the antenna circuit" or, that "a suitable jack and plug arrangement may be made to permit removal of the meter from the antenna circuit." If the latter installation is used by the licensee, he must, among other requirements, store the meter "in a suitable housing at the base of the tower in which it is used." Many comments direct from licensees and through the Field Operations Bureau state that storage in such housing is undesirable because it subjects these sensitive instruments to probability of serious damage. Damage, in such housing, results from humidity, lightning, insects, temperature variations and the like. To avoid such damage, the rule is revised to simply require the meter to be "readily available" to the tower for normal measurements. Such rule revision allows the licensee to store the meters in a more protective area than the housing at the tower, such as the transmitter building. Licensees are reminded that great care must be exercised in handling and moving the meters to prevent damage which would impair their accuracy. Meters, moved from tower-base housings to more protective areas, should be clearly labeled to identify the tower in which they are used.

(c) When §§ 73.113 and 73.114 were revised in November 1973, one of the goals was to move from operating log requirements (§ 3.113) to mainteance log requirements (§ 73.114), all log entry rules for the recording of any function which a first class radiotelephone operator must exclusively perform. At that time § 73.39, Indicating instruments—specifications, should have been amended accordingly. Paragraph (d)(1)(vii) of § 73.39 requires the results of meter calibrations be entered in the operating log, and this should have been changed to an entry in the maintenance log, but was not. Change in § 73.39(d)(1)(vii) directing log entries in the maintenance log and not the operating log, for meter calibrations, is made.

(d) When the operator rules were amended in June, 1972 (Docket 18930), it was intended to extend to first class radiotelegraph operators and to second class radiotelephone and radiotelegraph operators the same limited broadcast operating authority granted to operators with radiotelephone and radiotelegraph third class permits endorsed for broadcast use. It was believed that these higher class operators had the technical knowledge to assume limited broadcast operating duties, and that it did not seem practical or necessary to require these operators to obtain the broadcast endorsement. While §§ 73.93(c), 73.265(c) and 73.565(c) do extend the limited authority to the higher class operators as intended, review of §§ 73.93(d), (e), 73.265(d), and 73.565(d) reveals that the limited authority was inadvertently omitted for first class radiotelegraph operators. Corrective amendments are made to remove this discrepancy. Clarification is made in §§ 73.93(c), 73.265(c) and 73.565(c) at the same time to clearly state that "operators" as stated in these sections means radiotelegraph and radiotelephone operators.

(e) In paragraph (d) of § 73.295, Operation under Subsidiary Communications Authorizations, reference is made to "* * * requirements imposed by §§ 73.282, 73.283, 73.284, 73.287, 73.288 and 73.289 * * *". Section 73.288 has been deleted from the rules and regulations, and per Commission Order dated December 15, 1972, the contents and requisites thereof were incorporated into Subpart H, Part 73 in § 73.1208. Change and correction is made in § 73.295(d) by deleting references to § 73.288 and changing reference to the regulation formerly contained therein to § 73.1208.

(f) § 73.595(c) of the noncommercial educational FM rules directs licensees with subchannel leasing arrangements to reduce them to writing and "file with the Commission pursuant to § 1.613 * * *". Section 1.613, Filing of contracts, was modified on December 15, 1972, and licensees were directed to reduce subchannel leasing arrangements to writing and keep them at the station, and make them available for inspection upon request by the FCC. Section 73.595(c) is amended to conform to this modification of § 1.613.

(g) Section 73.595(d)—Operation under Subsidiary Communications Authorizations—refers, in paragraph (d), to "* * * requirements imposed by §§ 73.582, 73.583, 73.584, 73.587 and 73.588 * * *". Section 73.588 was deleted from the rules and per Commission order dated December 15, 1972, the requisites therein were incorporated into § 73.1208—Subpart H of Part 73. Change and correction is made in § 73.595(d) by deleting references to § 73.588 and changing reference to the regulation formerly contained therein to § 73.1208.

(h) § 73.595(g) requires all noncommercial educational FM stations (whatever their power authorizations) to measure SCA subcarrier frequencies at least once each 40 days. For noncommercial educational FM stations with authorized power of 10 watts or less, this is a more stringent requirement than that prescribed for main carrier frequency measurements for such low power stations. The rule is amended to conform with the less stringent main carrier frequency measurements required for such stations.

(i) Paragraph (b) of § 73.596, Stereophonic broadcasting, sets forth the requirement that licensees of noncommercial educational FM stations, engaging in stereophonic broadcasting, shall measure stereo-pilot subcarrier frequencies at least once every 40 days. As written, the rule includes stations with authorized transmitter power of 10 watts or less. This requisite is, then, stricter than that set forth for main carrier frequency measurements of such stations. The rule is amended and clarified to conform with the less stringent main carrier frequency measurement requirements for such stations.

(j) When certain equipment changes are made in TV broadcast translator stations, formal applications for authority must be made to the Commission on FCC Form 346. One of the changes now requiring such formal application is "any change in the location of the transmitter except a move within the same building or upon the same pole or tower". (§ 74.751(b)(4).) This requirement is changed to permit such transmitter moves be reported via written notification to the F.C.C. in Washington and to the Engi-

neer in Charge of the district in which the translator is located. This change will reduce the administrative detail and work load of the staff incurred when filings on FCC Form 346 are made. Also it makes the change of location notification much simpler for the licensee and the Commission.

(k) Under existing TV broadcast translator station rules, failure of a TV translator to operate for a period of 30 days or more, except for causes beyond the licensee's control, is considered to be a discontinuance of operation, and the station license will be cancelled. This same proviso exists in the FM broadcast translator station rules. Use of the mandatory expression "will be cancelled", has brought about a lack of flexibility. The staff's procedures and general operating policies clearly indicate a less mandatory word than "will". Further, the public interest will, no doubt, be better served if the subject translator can become operative even after the passage of the thirty day period. Finally, the staff should be allowed to make their decisions on the merits of each case and render them accordingly. The sentence in §§ 74.763(c)—TV translators, and 74.1263(c)—FM translators, stating that a station's license will be cancelled if inoperative for 30 days or more, is changed to "may be cancelled at the discretion of the Commission."

4. We conclude that, for the reasons set forth above, adoption of these amendments will serve the public interest. Prior notice of rule making, effective date provisions, and public procedure thereon are unnecessary, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure and Judicial Review Act provisions of 5 U.S.C. 533(b)(3)(b), inasmuch as the amendments are minimal in nature, conform to accepted good broadcasting practices, impose no additional burdens and raise no issue upon which comments would serve any useful purpose.

5. Therefore, *it is ordered*, That, pursuant to sections 4(i) and 303 (j) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Parts 1, 73 and 74 of the Commission's rules and regulations are amended, as set forth below, effective November 5, 1974.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: October 22, 1974.

Released: October 29, 1974.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

1. The heading of § 1.526 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1.526 Records of applicants, permittees, and licensees to be maintained locally for public inspection.

* * * * *

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

2. In § 73.39, paragraph (c) and (d)(1)(vii) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.39 Indicating instruments—specifications.

* * * * *

(c) A thermocouple type ammeter meeting the requirement of paragraph (b) of this section shall be permanently installed in the antenna circuit or a suitable jack and plug arrangement may be made to permit removal of the meter from the antenna circuit so as to protect it from damage by lightning. Where a jack and plug arrangement is used, contacts shall be made of silver and capable of operating without arcing or heating, and shall be protected against corrosion. Insertion and removal of the meter shall not interrupt the transmissions of the station. When removed from the antenna circuit, the meter shall be labelled to clearly identify the tower in which it is used, and shall be stored in a location which is readily available to that tower. Care shall be exercised in handling the meter to prevent damage which would impair its accuracy. Where the meter is permanently connected in the antenna circuit, provision may be made to short or open the meter circuit when it is not being used to measure antenna current. Such switching shall be accomplished without interrupting the transmission of the station.

(d) * * *

(1) * * *

(vii) Using indications of remote control equipment, provided that the indicating instruments are capable of being connected directly into the antenna circuit at the same point as, but below (transmitter side), the antenna ammeter. The meter(s) in the remote control equipment may utilize an arbitrary scale division provided a calibration curve showing the relationship between the arbitrary scale and the scale of the antenna ammeter is maintained at the remote control point. The meter(s) in the remote control equipment must be calibrated once a week against the regular meter and the results thereof entered in the maintenance log.

* * * * *

3. In § 73.93, paragraph (c), (d), and the introductory text to paragraph (e) and (f) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.93 Operator requirements.

* * * * *

(c) A station using a non-directional antenna with nominal power of 10 kilowatts or less may employ first-class radiotelegraph operators, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators, or operators with third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permits endorsed for broadcast station operation for routine operation of the transmitting system if the station has at least one first-class radiotelephone operator readily available at all times. This operator may be in full-time employment or, as an alternative, the licensee may contract in writing for the services, on a part-time basis, of one or more such operators. Signed contracts with part-time operators shall be kept in the files of the station and shall be made available for inspection upon request by an authorized representative of the Commission.

(d) A station using a non-directional antenna, during periods of operation with authorized power in excess of 10 kilowatts, may employ first-class radiotelegraph operators, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators, or radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators with third-class permits endorsed for broadcast station operation of the transmitting system if the station has in full-time employment at least one first-class radiotelephone operator and complies with the provisions of paragraphs (f) and (g) of this section.

(e) A station using a directional antenna system, which is required by the station authorization to maintain the ratio of the currents in the elements of the system within a tolerance which is less than 5 percent or the relative phases of those currents within a tolerance which is less than 3 degrees shall, without exemption, employ first-class radiotelephone operators who shall be on duty and in actual charge of the transmitting system as specified in paragraph (a) of this section during hours of operation with a directional radiation pattern. A station whose authorization does not specifically require therein the maintenance of phase and current relationships within closer tolerances than above specified shall employ first-class radiotelephone operators for routine operation of the transmitting system during periods of directional operation: *Provided, however,* That holders of first-class radiotelegraph licenses, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone licenses, or third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permits endorsed for broadcast station operation, may be employed for routine operation of the transmitting system, if the following conditions are met:

* * * * *

(f) Subject to the conditions set forth in paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of this section, the routine operation of the transmitting system may be performed by an operator holding a first-class radiotelegraph license, a second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, or a third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permit endorsed for broadcast operation. Unless, however, performed under the immediate and personal supervision of an operator holding a first-class radiotelephone license, an operator holding a first-class radiotelegraph license, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, or third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permit endorsed for broadcast station operation, may make adjustments only of external controls, as follows:

* * * * *

4. In § 73.265, paragraphs (c) and (d) and the introductory text to paragraph (e) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.265 Operator requirements.

* * * * *

(c) A station with authorized transmitter output power of 25 kilowatts or

less may employ first-class radiotelegraph operators, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators, or operators with third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permits endorsed for broadcast station operation for routine operation of the transmitting system if the station has at least one first-class radiotelephone operator readily available at all times. This operator may be in full-time employment or, as an alternative, the licensee may contract in writing for the services on a part-time basis, of one or more such operators. Signed contracts with part-time operators shall be kept in the files of the station and shall be made available for inspection upon request by an authorized representative of the Commission.

(d) A station with authorized transmitter output power in excess of 25 kilowatts may employ first-class radiotelegraph operators, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators, or operators with third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permits endorsed for broadcast station operation for routine operation of the transmitting system if the station has in full-time employment at least one first-class radiotelephone operator and complies with the provisions of paragraph (e) of this section and the following:

* * * * *

(e) Subject to the conditions set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, routine operation of the transmitting system may be performed by an operator holding a first-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, a second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, or a third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permit endorsed for broadcast station operation. Unless, however, performed under the immediate and personal supervision of an operator holding a first-class radiotelephone license, an operator holding a first-class radiotelegraph license, a second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, or a third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permit endorsed for broadcast station operation, may make adjustment only of external controls, as follows:

* * * * *

5. In § 73.295, paragraph (d) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.295 Operation under subsidiary communications authorizations.

* * * * *

(d) The logging announcement, and other requirements imposed by §§ 73.282, 73.283, 73.284, 73.287, 73.289 and 73.1208 are not applicable to material transmitted on authorized subcarrier frequencies.

* * * * *

6. In § 73.565, paragraph (c) and the introductory text to paragraphs (d) and (e) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.565 Operator requirements.

* * * * *

(c) A noncommercial educational FM station with authorized transmitter output power not in excess of 25 kilowatts may employ first-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators or operators with third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permits endorsed for broadcast station operation, for the routine operation of the transmitting system, if the station has at least one operator of a class specified for this station's power category in paragraph (b) of this section, readily available at all times. This operator may be in full-time employment or, as an alternative, the licensee may contract in writing for the services, on a part-time basis, of one or more such operators. Signed contracts with part-time operators shall be kept in the files of the station and shall be made available for inspection upon request by an authorized representative of the Commission.

(d) A station with authorized transmitter output power in excess of 25 kilowatts may employ first-class radiotelegraph operators, second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone operators, or operators with third-class radiotelephone or radiotelegraph permits endorsed for broadcast station operation for routine operation of the transmitting system if the station has in full-time employment at least one first-class radiotelephone operator and complies with the provisions of paragraph (e) of this section and the following:

* * * * *

(e) Subject to the conditions set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, routine operation of the transmitting system may be performed by an operator holding a first-class radiotelegraph or telephone license, a second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, or a third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permit endorsed for broadcast station operation. Unless, however, performed under the immediate and personal supervision of an operator holding a first-class radiotelephone license, an operator holding a first-class radiotelegraph license, a second-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone license, or a third-class radiotelegraph or radiotelephone permit endorsed for broadcast station operation, may make adjustments only of external controls, as follows:

* * * * *

6. In § 73.595, paragraph (c), (d), and (g) are amended to read as follows:

§ 73.595 Operation under subsidiary communications authorizations.

* * * * *

(c) In all arrangements entered into with outside parties affecting SCA operation, the licensee or permittee must retain control over all material transmitted over the station's facilities, with the right to reject any material which it deems inappropriate or undesirable. Subchannel leasing arrangements shall be reduced to writing, kept at the station, and made available for inspection upon request from the Commission.

(d) The logging, announcement, and other requirements imposed by §§ 73.582, 73.583, 73.584, 73.587, and 73.1208 are not applicable to material transmitted on authorized subcarrier frequencies.

* * * * *

(g) The frequency of each SCA subcarrier shall be measured as often as necessary to ensure that it is kept at all times within 500 Hz of the authorized frequency. In any event, however, SCA subcarrier frequencies shall be measured in accordance with the following schedule:

(1) For stations authorized to operate with transmitter power in excess of 10 watts, each SCA subcarrier frequency shall be measured at least once each calendar month with not more than 40 days expiring between successive measurements.

(2) For stations authorized to operate with transmitter power of 10 watts or less, each SCA subcarrier frequency will be measured:

(i) When the SCA subcarrier generator is initially installed;

(ii) At any time the frequency determining elements of the SCA subcarrier generator are changed:

(iii) At any time the licensee may have reason to believe the SCA subcarrier frequency is not within the frequency tolerance prescribed by the Commission's rules.

* * * * *

8. In § 73.596, paragraph (b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.596 Stereophonic broadcasting.

* * * * *

(b) Each licensee or permittee engaging in stereophonic broadcasting shall measure the pilot subcarrier frequency as often as necessary to ensure that it is kept at all times within 2 Hz of the authorized frequency. In any event, however, the stereo-pilot subcarrier frequency shall be measured in accordance with the following schedule:

(1) For stations authorized to operate with transmitter power in excess of 10 watts, the pilot subcarrier frequency shall be measured at least once each calendar month with not more than 40 days expiring between successive measurements.

(2) For stations authorized to operate with transmitter power of 10 watts or less, the pilot subcarrier frequency shall be measured:

(i) When the stereo-pilot subcarrier generator is initially installed;

(ii) At any time the frequency determining elements of the stereo-pilot subcarrier generator are changed;

(iii) At any time the licensee may have reason to believe the stereo-pilot subcarrier frequency is not within the frequency tolerance prescribed by the Commission's rules.

PART 74—EXPERIMENTAL, AUXILIARY, AND SPECIAL BROADCAST AND OTHER PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONAL SERVICES

9. In § 74.751, paragraphs (b) (4) through (7) are amended, subparagraph (8) deleted, and paragraph (c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 74.751 Equipment changes.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) Any horizontal change of the location of the antenna structure which

would (i) be in excess of 500 feet, or (ii) require notice to the Federal Aviation Administration pursuant to § 17.7 of this chapter.

(5) A change in frequency assignment.

(6) A change in the primary TV station being retransmitted.

(7) A change of authorized operating power.

(c) Any change in the location of the transmitter, except a move within the same building or upon the same pole or tower must be reported to the FCC in Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge of the District in which the translator is located within 30 days after the move. Other equipment changes not specifically referred to herein may be made at the discretion of the licensee, provided that the Engineer in Charge of the District in which the translator is located and the FCC in Washington, D.C. are notified in writing upon completion of such changes, and that the changes are appropriately reflected in the next application for renewal of license of the television broadcast translator station.

10. In § 74.763, paragraph (c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 74.763 Time of operation.

* * * * *

(c) Failure of a television translator station to operate for a period of 30 days or more, except for causes beyond the control of the licensee, shall be deemed evidence of discontinuance of operation and the license of the station may be cancelled at the discretion of the Commission.

* * * * *

11. In § 74.1263, paragraph (c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 74.1263 Time of operation.

* * * * *

(c) Failure of an FM translator station to operate for a period of 30 days or more, except for causes beyond the control of the licensee, shall be deemed evidence of discontinuance of operation and the license of the station may be cancelled at the discretion of the Commission.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 74-25508 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 19906 RM-1786; FCC 74-1150]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Extension Metering of Broadcast Transmitters; Report and Order

1. In its continuing effort concerning the re-regulation of the broadcasting services the Commission released a notice of proposed rule making in this proceeding on December 28, 1973. The closing dates for comments and reply comments were March 5, 1974, and March 26, 1974, respectively. Publication was made in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 4, 1974 (39 FR 1070).

2. Comments were filed by parties listed in Appendix A[1]. No reply comments were filed.

3. This proceeding was instituted to consider rule amendments which would permit the use of extension meters. Without exception, the parties filing comments urged favorable consideration of the concept embodied in the proposal but more than two-thirds of the parties recommended that one or more of the conditions be adopted in a revised form. Many of the parties urged that the Commission not require that the transmitter location be confined to the same building as, and within one floor of, the normal operating location and that the path from the normal operating location to the transmitter not be limited to 100 feet. In lieu of these limitations it was urged that the rules should permit locating the transmitter in an adjoining building; locating the transmitter on the same property or on the same premises or within the same complex; locating the transmitter 300–400 feet from the normal operating location; using some time standard rather than a distance standard, such as, access in 30 seconds and access in 5 minutes; and that the relative location of the transmitter and normal operating location be determined solely on the basis of operating convenience.

4. A number of parties additionally urged that the Commission not require that modulation monitors and antenna monitors be co-located with extension meters and that extension metters for plate voltage and plate current of the visual transmitter at television stations not be required. The parties suggest that the modulation and antenna monitors are better located at the transmitter for use when testing or performing maintenance on that equipment and that indications provided by the monitors may reliably be extended to the normal operating location. The parties oppose adoption of a requirement that extension meters for the plate voltage and current of visual transmitters be installed, contending that it would be unnecessarily burdensome since there is no corresponding requirement that these parameters be logged.

5. Some parties suggested that, if extension meters are employed, it should be required that the operating location be equipped with an on-off switch so that the transmitter may be activated and deactivated from that location. It is suggested that: in an emergency situation the output of the transmitter could be interrupted immediately from the operating location without the delay of an operator having to go to the location of the transmitter; it would facilitate interrupting the transmitter carrier in connection with EBS tests and alerts; it would facilitate timely switch-overs in connection with operation of share-time stations; and, it would permit the operator to be at the operating location at all times when the transmitter is supplying power to the antenna.

6. Finally, parties have urged that the Commission not specify that all required meters be extended and indicate continuously. These parties contend that, since the transmitter will be readily accessible it should be sufficient to extend only the meter indicating antenna power, with other parameters set to give a visual or audible alarm to alert the operator if tolerance limits are approached or exceeded. Alternatively, it has been suggested that use of a digital meter to sample specified parameters sequentially or on command would provide adequate surveillance of station performance.

DISCUSSION

7. This proceeding was instituted for the very limited purpose of providing relief for those licensees who, pursuant to existing requirements regarding transmitter visibility from the operator's location, have found it necessary to take such measures as installing a window in a studio wall or resorting to mirrors or a closed-circuit TV system. The alternative is to apply for authority to operate by remote control.

8. That aspect of the Commission's proposal which drew the greatest number of comments was its proposal to require that the transmitter be located in the same building as, and no more than one floor above or below, the operator's location and that the path from the operator's location to the transmitter be no greater than 100 feet. Parties variously urged that the rules permit installing the transmitter in a separate building, or on the same premises, or within the same complex, or within the confines of the same building. Additionally, some parties urged that a standard specifying a distance in excess of 100 feet or a standard in terms of time rather than distance be adopted. In developing its proposal the Commission considered, at great length, standards precisely in line with these suggestions and found them unacceptable. It concluded that standards less restrictive than those set out in the Notice would not be in keeping with the limited purpose, for which this proceeding was instituted and would not strike the proper balance between affording greater flexibility in regard to designing station facilities and installing equipment, and retaining the operator's capability to exercise transmitter control with minimum delay. Upon considering the comments submitted, the Commission is not persuaded that its earlier conclusion should be modified. Accordingly, the pertinent rules will be adopted as proposed.

9. Upon weighing those comments questioning the proposed requirement that antenna monitors be installed at the extension meter location, the Commission is persuaded that its proposal

[1] Appendix A filed as part of the original document.

should be modified. Accordingly, the rules adopted herein will not specify that the antenna monitor be installed at the same location as extension meters. The location of such monitors will therefore be governed by § 73.69, which requires that they be installed at the transmitter. Pursuant to this requirement, operators at a number of stations employing extension meters will be required to visit the transmitter location at intervals not exceeding 3 hours in order to observe and log readings of phase and antenna current ratios. While many licensees may view this as a highly desirable exercise, others may view it as an inconvenience to be avoided, if possible. The rules being adopted will accommodate both viewpoints, in that, while they continue to require that the antenna monitor be installed at the transmitter, they will additionally provide that stations equipped with a type approved antenna monitor may, at the licensee's option, employ external meters installed at the extension meter location if such external meters meet the specifications for accuracy and repeatability prescribed for the monitor itself, and, when connected to the monitor, do not adversely affect its performance.

10. A number of parties urged that the Commission not require that a stations' modulation monitor be installed at the extension meter location. The Commission's proposal in this regard was designed to make available to the operator indications provided by the monitor's peak flasher. Because of its response characteristics, the flasher is a better indicator of modulation excesses than the percent modulation meter and is the more useful tool for observing instances of overmodulation. As some parties have noted, most modulation monitors readily adapt to providing indications on an external meter without loss of reliability. The same is not true however, in regard to extending indications of the peak flasher. Since the Commission's concern is that the peak flasher be available to the operator, the rules adopted herein will meet this objective. This can be achieved, however, while still providing a degree of flexibility. Accordingly, the rules will prescribe that stations employing extension meters shall install modulation monitors at the extension meter location, except that, the modulation monitor may be installed at the transmitter if the extension meter location is equipped with a percent modulation meter and peak flasher (for aural transmitters) and apparatus for monitoring visual signals to determine that such signals comply with Commission requirements (for video transmitters), and such equipment provides continuous and accurate indications of pertinent modulation characteristics.

11. The Commission concurs in the view expressed by some parties concerning the proposed requirement that extension meters for the plate voltage and current of visual transmitters be installed. Accordingly, the rules adopted will not require extension meters for these parameters.

12. The Commission is not persuaded that the rule amendments adopted herein should include a requirement that the extension meter location be equipped with a transmitter on-off switch. The thrust of this proceeding is to develop standards which provide for the use of extension meters while retaining an adequate monitoring capability. On-off control of the transmitter involves an activity distinctly apart from the monitoring function and inclusion of the suggested requirement is not necessary to satisfactory resolution of this proceeding. The Commission is further persuaded that a substantial plus factor accrues to having the operator at the transmitter controls when the "on" switch is activated so that any necessary adjustments can be made without delay.

13. In its consideration of comments urging that the rules not specify that all required meters be extended and indicate continuously, the Commission again directs attention to the limited purpose for which this proceeding was instituted, i.e., to relax the "transmitter visibility" requirement. In keeping with that purpose, proposals to modify existing monitoring capabilities must be regarded as beyond the scope of this proceeding. This becomes even more apparent when one considers that the question of what constitutes adequate surveillance of station performance has application for all modes of station operation and is not confined to operations employing extension meters. Under these circumstances, the Commission is persuaded that the rules set out in its Notice should be adopted, essentially in the form proposed. The one respect in which the final rules reflect a change is in regard to installing extension meters to monitor base currents of each antenna element. As adopted, the rules will substitute therefore a requirement that the antenna current for nondirectional operations be monitored.

14. As a final matter, the rules adopted include several editorial revisions required to conform related rules sections with changes effected herein.

15. Having considered all of the information before it, and in accordance with the foregoing discussion, *it is ordered*, That, effective February 5, 1975, Part 73 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended as set forth below.

16. Authority for the action taken herein is contained in sections 4(i), and 303 (j) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

17. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: October 22, 1974.

Released: October 30, 1974.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

1. In § 73.56, Paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.56 Modulation monitors.

(a) Each station shall have in operation, either at the transmitter or the extension meter location, or at the place the transmitter is controlled, a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission.

NOTE.—Approved modulation monitors are included on the Commission's "Radio Equipment List". Copies of this list are available for inspection at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., and at each of its field offices.

* * * * *

2. The undesignated heading "Remote Control" preceding the line, 73.66 Remote Control Authorizations, is deleted.

3. Section 73.70 is added new to read as follows:

§ 73.70 Extension meters.

The extension of specified meters and monitoring devices is permitted, without prior authorization of the Commission, upon compliance with each of the following:

(a) That the transmitter is in the same building as the normal operating location of the station's licensed operator and is no more than one floor above or below the normal operating location.

(b) That the path from the normal operating location to the transmitter is no longer than 100 feet and provides the operator with ready access to the transmitter.

(c) That the required extension meters and monitoring devices are sufficiently close to the operator's normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of such instruments can be observed from that location.

(d) That extension meters shall be installed for monitoring the DC plate circuit current and voltage of the last radio frequency amplified stage, and the antenna current for non-directional operation or common point current for directional operation. The installation and operation of these meters shall be in accordance with requirements prescribed for their corresponding regular meters.

(e) That each of the extension meters required in paragraph (d) of this section shall continuously sample the parameter for which it is installed and constantly indicate that parameter.

(f) That, for each mode of operation, the extension meters required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section are calibrated against their corresponding regular meters as often as necessary to insure their accuracy, but in no event less than once a week and:

(1) The results of such calibration shall be entered in the station's maintenance log.

(2) In no event shall an extension meter be calibrated against another extension or remote meter.

(3) Each extension meter shall be accurate within 2 percent of the value read on its corresponding regular meter.

(g) That the station's modulation monitor is installed at the extension meter location: Provided that, the modulation monitor may be installed at the transmitter if the extension meter location is equipped with a percentage modulation meter and peak indicating device which provide continuous and accurate indications of pertinent modulation levels.

(h) That, if the station is equipped with a type approved antenna monitor, external meters meeting the specifications for accuracy and repeatability prescribed for the monitor itself may be installed at the extension meter location.

(i) That in the event a malfunction of any component of the extension meter system causes inaccurate readings, the pertinent entries required in the station's operating log must be read and logged at the specified intervals from the meters located at the transmitter. If a malfunction results in inaccurate extended indications of the antenna monitor, the pertinent entries required in the station's operating log must be read and logged at the specified intervals from the meters incorporated in the antenna monitor. If a malfunction affects extended indications of the modulation monitor, the licensee shall, pending repair or replacement, employ a cathode ray oscilloscope or other suitable means for monitoring modulation at the extension meter location. When a malfunction is detected, an appropriate entry shall be made in the station's maintenance log, showing the date of occurrence and identifying the indicating device(s) affected. An entry, appropriately dated, shall also be made when repair or replacement is completed. If a malfunctioning component cannot be repaired or replaced within 60 days from the date faulty operation is detected, the Engineer in Charge of the radio district in which the station is located shall be notified and request shall be made for such additional time as is needed to complete the necessary repair or replacement.

(j) That the transmitter is so installed and protected that it is not accessible to persons other than those duly authorized by the licensee.

4. Section 73.92(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.92 Station and operator licenses; posting of.

* * * * *

(b) The operator license, or Form 759 (Verification of Operator License or Permit), of each station operator employed full-time, part-time or via contract, shall be permanently posted and shall remain posted so long as the operator is employed by the licensee.

(1) The operator licenses shall be posted:

(i) Either at the transmitter or extension meter location; or

(ii) At the principal remote control point, if the station license authorizes operation by remote control.

(2) Posting of operator licenses shall be accomplished by affixing the license to the wall at the posting location, or enclosing in a binder, or inserting in folder and retaining at the posting location so that the licenses will be readily available and easily accessible at that location.

5. Section 73.93(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.93 Operator requirements.

(a) One or more operators holding a radio operator license or permit of a grade specified in this section shall be in actual charge of the transmitting system, and shall be on duty at the transmitter location, or at an authorized remote control point, or the position at which extension meters, as authorized pursuant to § 73.70 of this Subpart are located. The transmitter and required monitors and metering equipment, or the required extension meters and monitoring equipment and other required metering equipment, or the controls and required monitoring and metering equipment in an authorized remote control operation, shall be readily accessible to the licensed operator and located sufficiently close to the normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of required instruments can be observed from that location.

* * * * *

6. Section 73.114 is amended by adding a new paragraph (a)(1)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 73.114 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(vii) A notation of the results of calibration of each extension meter against its corresponding regular meter, as required by § 73.70. The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to recalibration of the extension meters.

* * * * *

7. Section 73.253(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.253 Modulation monitors.

(a) Each station shall have in operation either at the transmitter or the extension meter location, or at the place where the transmitter is controlled, a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission for non-multiplex operation: Provided, That: (1) If the station is engaged in stereophonic operation as contemplated by § 73.297, the licensee shall have in operation a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission for monitoring stereophonic operation, and (2) if the station is engaged in operation with a Subsidiary Communications Authorization, as contemplated by § 73.295, the licensee shall have in operation a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission for monitoring SCA operation.

NOTE: Approved modulation monitors (non-multiplex, stereophonic, and SCA) are included on the Commission's "Radio Equipment List". Copies of this list are available for inspection at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., and at each of its field offices.

* * * * *

8. Section 73.264(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.264 Station and operator licenses; posting of.

* * * * *

(b) The operator license, or Form 759 (Verification of Operator License or Permit), of each station operator employed full-time, part-time or via contract, shall be permanently posted and shall remain posted so long as the operator is employed by the licensee.

(1) The operator licenses shall be posted:

(i) Either at the transmitter or extension meter location; or

(ii) At the principal remote control point, if the station license authorizes operation by remote control.

(2) Posting of operator licenses shall be accomplished by affixing the license to the wall at the posting location, or enclosing in a binder, or inserting in folder and retaining at the posting location so that the licenses will be readily available and easily accessible at that location.

9. Section 73.265(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.265 Operator requirements.

(a) One or more operators holding a radio operator license or permit of a grade specified in this section shall be in actual charge of the transmitting system, and shall be on duty at the transmitter location, or at an authorized remote control point, or the position at which extension meters, as authorized pursuant to § 73.276 of this Subpart, are located. The transmitter and required monitors and metering equipment, or the required extension meters and monitoring equipment, or the controls and required monitoring and metering equipment in an authorized remote control operation, shall be readily accessible to the licensed operator and located sufficiently close to the normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of required instruments can be observed from that location.

* * * * *

10. Section 73.276 is redesignated Section 73.277 and a new Section 73.276 is added to read as follows:

§ 73.276 Extension meters.

The extension of required meters is permitted, without prior authorization of the Commission, upon compliance with each of the following:

(a) That the transmitter is in the same building as the normal operating location of the station's licensed operator and is no more than one floor above or below the normal operating location.

(b) That the path from the normal operating location to the transmitter is no longer than 100 feet and provides the operator with ready access to the transmitter.

(c) That the required extension meters and monitoring devices are sufficiently close to the operator's normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of such instruments can be observed from that location.

(d) That extension meters shall be installed for monitoring the direct plate voltage and current of the last radio stage and the transmission line radio frequency current, voltage, or power. The installation and operation thereof must comply with the same requirements prescribed by the rules for their corresponding regular meters.

(e) That each of the extension meters required in paragraph (d) of this section shall continuously sample the parameter for which it was installed and constantly indicate that parameter.

(f) That the extension meters required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section are calibrated against their corresponding regular meters as often as necessary to insure their accuracy, but in no event less than once a week and:

(1) The results of such calibration shall be entered in the station's maintenance log.

(2) In no event shall an extension meter be calibrated against another extension or remote meter.

(3) Each extension meter shall be accurate within 2 percent of the value read on its corresponding regular meter.

(g) That the station's modulation monitor is installed at the same location as the extension meters: Provided that, the modulation monitor may be installed at the transmitter if the extension meter location is equipped with a percentage modulation meter and peak indicating device which provide continuous and accurate indications of pertinent levels of total modulation.

(h) That in the event a malfunction of any component of the extension meter system causes inaccurate readings, the pertinent entries required in the station's operating log must be read and logged at the specified intervals from the meters located at the transmitter. If a malfunction affects extended indications of the modulation monitor, the licensee shall, pending repair or replacement, provide other suitable means for monitoring modulation at the extension meter location. When a malfunction is detected, an appropriate entry shall be made in the station's maintenance log, showing the date of occurrence and identifying the indicating device(s) affected. An entry, appropriately dated, shall also be made when repair or replacement is completed. If a malfunctioning component cannot be repaired or replaced within 60 days from the date faulty operation is detected, the Engineer in Charge of the radio district in which the station is located shall be notified and request made for such additional time as is needed to complete the necessary repair or replacement.

(i) That the transmitter is so installed and protected that it is not accessible to persons other than those duly authorized by the licensee.

11. Section 73.284 is amended by inserting a new paragraph (a)(4) and renumbering the present paragraphs (a)(4), (5), (6) and (7) as (5), (6), (7) and (8), to read as follows:

§ 73.284 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(4) A notation of the results of calibration of each extension meter against its corresponding regular meter, as required by § 73.276. The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to recalibration of the extension meters.

* * * * *

12. Section 73.553(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.553 Modulation monitors.

(a) The licensee of each station licensed for transmitter power output above 10 watts shall have in operation, either at the transmitter or the extension meter location, or at the place the transmitter is controlled, a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission for non-multiplex operation: Provided, That: (1) If the station is engaged in stereophonic operation, as contemplated by § 73.596, the licensee shall have in operation a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission for monitoring stereophonic operation, and (2) if the station is engaged in operation with a Subsidiary Communications Authorization, as contemplated by § 73.595, the licensee shall have in operation a modulation monitor of a type approved by the Commission for monitoring SCA operation.

NOTE: Approved modulation monitors (non-multiplex, stereophonic, and SCA) are included on the Commission's "Radio Equipment List". Copies of this list are available for inspection at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., and at its field offices.

* * * * *

13. Section 73.564(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.564 Station and operator licenses; posting of.

* * * * *

(b) The operator license, or Form 759 (Verification of Operator License or Permit), of each station operator employed full-time, part-time or via contract, shall be permanently posted and shall remain posted so long as the operator is employed by the licensee.

(1) The operator licenses shall be posted:

(i) Either at the transmitter or extension meter location; or

(ii) At the principal remote control point, if the station license authorizes operation by remote control.

(2) Posting of operator licenses shall be accomplished by affixing the license to the wall at the posting location, or enclosing in a binder, or inserting in folder and retaining at the posting location so that the licenses will be readily available and easily accessible at that location.

14. Section 73.565(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.565 Operator requirements.

(a) One or more operators holding a radio operator license or permit of a grade specified in this section shall be in actual charge of the transmitting system, and shall be on duty at the transmitter location, or at an authorized remote control point, or the position at which extension meters, as authorized pursuant to § 73.574 of this Subpart, are located. The transmitter and required monitors and metering equipment, or the required extension meters and monitoring equipment or the controls and required monitoring and metering equipment in an authorized remote control operation, shall be readily accessible to the licensed operator and located sufficiently close to the normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of required instruments can be observed from that location.

* * * * *

15. Section 73.574 is added new to read as follows:

§ 73.574 Extension meters.

The extension of required meters is permitted, without prior authorization of the Commission, upon compliance with each of the following:

(a) That the transmitter is in the same building as the normal operating location of the station's licensed operator and is no more than one floor above or below the normal operating location.

(b) That the path from the normal operating location to the transmitter is no longer than 100 feet and provides the operator with ready access to the transmitter.

(c) That the required extension meters and monitoring devices are sufficiently close to the operator's normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of such instruments can be observed from that location.

(d) That, for stations licensed for transmitter power output greater than 10 watts, extension meters shall be installed for monitoring the direct plate voltage and current of the last radio stage and the transmission line radio frequency current, voltage, or power. The installation and operation thereof must comply with the same requirements prescribed by the rules for their corresponding regular meters.

(e) That each of the extension meters required in paragraph (d) of this section shall continuously sample the parameter for which it was installed and constantly indicate that parameter.

(f) That the extension meters required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section are calibrated against their corresponding regular meters as often as necessary to insure their accuracy, but in no event less than once a week and:

(1) The results of such calibration shall be entered in the station's maintenance log.

(2) In no event shall an extension meter be calibrated against another extension or remote meter.

(3) Each extension meter shall be accurate within 2 percent of the value read on its corresponding regular meter.

(g) That, for stations licensed for transmitter output greater than 10 watts,

the station's modulation monitor is installed at the same location as the extension meters: Provided, that, the modulation monitor may be installed at the transmitter if the extension meter location is equipped with a percentage modulation meter and peak indicating device which provide continuous and accurate indications of pertinent levels of total modulation. Stations licensed for transmitter power output of 10 watts or less shall have in operation at the extended operating location, a percent modulation indicator or a calibrated program level meter from which a satisfactory indication of the percentage of modulation of the transmitter can be determined.

(h) That in the event a malfunction of any component of the extension meter system causes inaccurate readings, the pertinent entries required in the station's operating log must be read and logged at the specified intervals from the meters located at the transmitter. If a malfunction affects extended indications of the modulation monitor, the licensee shall, pending repair or replacement, provide other suitable means for monitoring modulation at the extension meter location. When a malfunction is detected, an appropriate entry shall be made in the station's maintenance log, showing the date of occurrence and identifying the indicating device(s) affected. An entry, appropriately dated, shall also be made when repair or replacement is completed. If a malfunctioning component cannot be repaired or replaced within 60 days from the date faulty operation is detected, the Engineer in Charge of the radio district in which the station is located shall be notified and request made for such additional time as is needed to complete the necessary repair or replacement.

(i) That the transmitter is so installed and protected that it is not accessible to persons other than those duly authorized by the licensee.

16. Section 74.584 is amended by inserting a new paragraph (a)(4) and renumbering present paragraphs (a)(4), (5), (6) and (7) as (5), (6), (7) and (8) to read as follows:

§ 73.584 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(4) A notation of the results of calibration of each extension meter against its corresponding regular meter, as required by § 73.574. The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to recalibration of the extension meters.

* * * * *

17. Section 73.660(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.660 Station and operator licenses; posting of.

* * * * *

(b) The operator license, or Form 759 (Verification of Operator License or Permit), of each station operator employed full-time, part-time or via contract, shall be permanently posted and shall remain posted so long as the operator is employed by the licensee.

(1) The operator licenses shall be posted:

(i) Either at the transmitter or extension meter location; or

(ii) At the principal remote control point, if the station license authorizes operation by remote control.

(2) Posting of operator licenses shall be accomplished by affixing the license to the wall at the posting location, or enclosing in a binder, or inserting in a folder and retaining at the posting location so that the licenses will be readily available and easily accessible at that location.

18. Section 73.661 is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.661 Operator requirements.

One or more operators holding a valid radiotelephone first-class operator license shall be on duty at the place where the transmitting apparatus is located; or where extension meters and monitoring devices for monitoring critical parameters of the transmitter are located, as provided by § 73.678 of this Subpart; or at an authorized remote control point established pursuant to the provisions of § 73.677 of this Subpart; and shall be in actual charge thereof whenever the transmitter is delivering power to the transmitting antenna. The licensed operator on duty and in charge of the television broadcast transmitter may, at the discretion of the licensee, be employed for other duties or for operation of another station or stations in accordance with the class of license which he holds and the rules and regulations governing such other stations. However, such other duties shall in nowise impair or impede the required supervision of the television broadcast transmitter. The transmitter and required monitors and metering equipment, or the required extension meters and monitoring equipment and other required metering equipment, or the controls and required monitoring and metering equipment in an authorized remote control operation, shall be readily accessible to the licensed operator and located sufficiently close to the normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of required instruments can be observed from that location.

19. Section 73.672 is amended by inserting a new paragraph (a)(3) and renumbering present paragraphs (a)(3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8) as (4), (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9), and as amended, will read as follows:

§ 73.672 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(3) A notation once each week indicating results of calibration of each extension meter against its corresponding regular meter, as required by § 73.678. The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to recalibration of the extension meters.

* * * * *

20. Section 73.678 is redesignated Section 73.633 and a new Section 73.678 is added to read as follows:

§ 73.678 Extension meters.

The extension of required meters is permitted, without prior authorization of the Commission, upon compliance with each of the following:

(a) That the transmitter is in the same building as the normal operating location of the station's licensed operator and is no more than one floor above or below the normal operating location.

(b) That the path from the normal operating location to the transmitter is no longer than 100 feet and provides the operator with ready access to the transmitter.

(c) That the required extension meters and monitoring devices are sufficiently close to the operator's normal operating location that deviations from normal indications of such instruments can be observed from that location.

(d) That extension meters shall be installed for monitoring the direct plate voltage and current of the last radio stage of the aural transmitter and the transmission line radio frequency current, voltage, or power of both the aural and the visual transmitters. The installation and operation thereof must comply with the same requirements prescribed by the rules for their corresponding regular meters.

(e) That each of the extension meters required in paragraph (d) of this section shall continuously sample the parameter for which it was installed and constantly indicate that parameter.

(f) That the extension meters required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section are calibrated against their corresponding regular meters as often as necessary to insure their accuracy, but in no event less than once a week and:

(1) The results of such calibration shall be entered in the station's maintenance log.

(2) In no event shall an extension meter be calibrated against another extension meter or remote meter.

(3) Each extension meter shall be accurate within 2 percent of the value read on its corresponding regular meter.

(g) That the station's modulation monitor for the aural transmitter and visual monitoring equipment used to determine that the visual signal is maintained in accordance with the requirements of this subpart are installed at the same location as the extension meters: Provided, that, these monitoring devices may be installed at the transmitter if the extension meter location is equipped with: (1) Percentage modulation meter and peaking indicating devices which provide continuous and accurate indications of pertinent levels of aural modulation, and (2) suitable equipment for monitoring the visual signal so that it may be maintained in accordance with Commission requirements.

(h) That in the event a malfunction of any component of the extension meter system causes inaccurate readings, the pertinent entries required in the station's operating log must be read and logged at the specified intervals from the meters located at the transmitter. If a malfunction affects extended indications

of the aural modulation monitor or the visual monitoring equipment, the licensee shall, pending repair or replacement, provide other suitable means for monitoring modulation at the extension meter location. When a malfunction is detected, an appropriate entry shall be made in the station's maintenance log, showing the date of occurrence and identifying the indicating device(s) affected. An entry, appropriately dated, shall also be made when repair or replacement is completed. If a malfunctioning component cannot be repaired or replaced within 60 days from the date faulty operation is detected, the Engineer in Charge of the radio district in which the station is located shall be notified and request made for such additional time as is needed to complete the necessary repair or replacement.

(i) That the transmitter is so installed and protected that it is not accessible to persons other than those duly authorized by the licensee.

21. Section 73.691(a) is amended to read:

§ 73.691 Modulation monitors.

(a) The licensee of each television broadcasting station shall have in operation, at the transmitter or extension meter location, an approved modulation monitor for the aural transmitter and sufficient monitoring equipment for the visual signal to determine that the signal complies with the requirements of this subpart.

NOTE: Approved aural modulation monitors are included on the Commission's "Radio Equipment List". Copies of this list are available for inspection at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., and at each of its field offices.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.74-25505 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FCC 74-1137]

PART 83—STATIONS ON SHIPBOARD IN THE MARITIME SERVICES

Solid State Transmitters; Measurement of Output Power

1. By this order, it is intended to amend § 83.484(d)(2) to include the provision for the measurement of the output power of solid state transmitters at their characteristic nominal output impedance of 50 ohms.

2. The Commission, in its Order, FCC 73-1132, released November 5, 1973, amended Subparts T and U of Part 83 to make provision for use of an artificial antenna of 50 ohms nominal impedance to match the output impedance of solid state transmitters. This amendment improved the accuracy of the output power measurement by eliminating the need for matching the transmitter output impedance of 50 ohms to that of the prescribed artificial antenna of 10 ohms effective resistance and 200 picofarads capacitance and compensating for any losses in the matching network. At that time, the need to amend Subpart S, which contained identical requirements, was inadvertently overlooked.

3. Authority for this amendment is contained in sections 4(i), 303 (f) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Since the amendment is minor in nature and one in which the public is not particularly interested, the prior notice and procedure provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553 are unnecessary.

4. Accordingly, Part 83 of the Commission's rules is amended as shown below, effective December 6, 1974.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: October 22, 1974.

Released: October 25, 1974.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

Part 83 of Chapter I of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. In § 83.484, paragraph (d)(2) is amended to read as follows:

§ 83.484 Radiotelephone transmitter.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) The transmitter has been demonstrated, or is of a type which has been demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the Commission as capable, with normal operating voltages applied, of delivering not less than 25 watts carrier power for A3 emission or 50 watts peak envelope power for A3H emission on each of the frequencies 2182 and 2638 kHz into either an artificial antenna consisting of a series network of 10 ohms effective resistance and 200 picofarads capacitance or an artificial antenna of 50 ohms nominal impedance; Provided, however, That an individual demonstration of the power output capability of the transmitter, with the radiotelephone installation normally installed on board ship, may be required whenever in the judgment of the Commission this is deemed necessary.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.74-25507 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER V—NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 70-12; Notice 21]

PART 574—TIRE IDENTIFICATION AND RECORDKEEPING

Universal Registration Format

This notice amends 49 CFR Part 574 to provide that the Universal Registration Forms supplied by dealers must conform in size and be similar in format to Figure 3 of the regulation.

On June 2, 1974, 49 CFR Part 574 was amended to require a Universal Registration Format when tire registration forms are supplied by manufacturers to dealers (39 FR 19482). Three petitions for reconsideration were received in response to this notice. All three, Michelin Tire Corporation, Rubber Manufacturers Association, and the Firestone Tire and Rubber Company, requested that the regulation be amended to require that dealer-supplied registration forms also conform in size and be similar in format to Figure 3 of the regulation. The petitioners pointed out that registration handling methodology has been standardized throughout the industry, and that the use of different sizes and formats would be costly and inefficient. The NHTSA concurs in this assessment, and therefore amends 49 CFR 574.7(a) to require that the dealer-supplied forms must conform in size and be similar in format to Figure 3.

In addition, Firestone petitioned to revise Figure 3 slightly and to extend the effective date of the amendment to 120 days after the response to the petitions for reconsideration. Since 49 CFR 574.7 currently requires only that the forms be "similar" to Figure 3, Firestone's proposed modification is authorized by the regulation and no amendment to the standard is needed. Firestone's request to extend the effective date of the standard is denied, as NHTSA has determined sufficient lead time was available from the date the amendment was issued to prepare forms.

In consideration of the foregoing, the last sentence of 49 CFR 574.7(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 574.7 Information requirements—tire manufacturers, brand name owners, retreaders.

(a) * * * Forms conforming in size and similar in format to Figure 3 shall be provided to those dealers who request them, or if a dealer prefers, he may supply his own form as long as it contains the required information, conforms in size, and is similar in format to Figure 3.

* * * * *

Effective date. November 1, 1974.

(Secs. 103, 112, 113, 119, 201, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718, 15 U.S.C. 1392, 1401, 1402, 1407, 1421; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.51)

Issued on October 29, 1974.

JAMES B. GREGORY,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-25510 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[2nd Rev. S.O. No. 1186]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Distribution of Privately Owned Coal Cars

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held in Washington, D.C., on the 23rd day of October 1974.

It appearing, that an acute shortage of hopper cars exists in certain sections of the country; that shippers are being deprived of such cars required for loading coal to electric utility generating stations, steel plants and other industries vital to the nation's economy; that coal

stockpiles of several of the aforementioned are being depleted; that certain car distribution regulations prescribed by the Commission in Docket 12530 (80 ICC 520, 93 ICC 701, and 346 ICC 327) limit the use of privately-owned freight cars used for the transportation of coal; and that fuller utilization of shipper-owned or receiver-owned coal cars in unit-train service will substantially assist in relieving the existing emergency and advance the public interest by contributing to a steady and ample supply of fuel to electric utility generating stations, steel plants and other industries vital to the nation's economy.

It is the opinion of the Commission that an emergency exists requiring immediate action to promote car service in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people. Accordingly, the Commission finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than thirty days' notice.

It is ordered, That:

§ 1033.1186 **Service Order No. 1186.**

(a) *Distribution of privately owned coal cars.* Each common carrier by railroad subject to the Interstate Commerce Act shall observe, enforce, and obey the following rules, regulations, and practices with respect to its car service:

(1) Place promptly in a position, for loading coal for transportation in unit-train service to electric utility generating stations, steel plants or other industries vital to the nation's economy, (see part (2) herein), without regard to the provisions of the Commission's Order in Docket 12530 (80 ICC 520, 93 ICC 701 and 346 ICC 327), all coal cars owned by the shipper or consignee which are available for placement for loading and which are ordered placed by the car owner.

(2) Other industries vital to the nation's economy shall be specifically designated by the Railroad Service Board, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

(3) No common carrier by railroad subject to the Interstate Commerce Act shall accept from shipper any privately owned coal cars furnished under the provisions of paragraph (1) herein, unless loaded in unit-train service for ultimate delivery, within the United States, to electric utility generation stations, steel plants or other industries designated as being vital to the nation's economy.

(b) Industries seeking to designation under the provisions of (a)(2) of this order as being "Other Industries Vital to the Nation's Economy" shall make application to R. D. Pfahler, Director, Railroad Service Board, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

(c) The term "Unit-Train Service" used in this order means the movement of a single shipment of coal of not less than 1,700 tons, tendered to one carrier, on one bill-of-lading, at one origin, or concentration point, on one day and destined to one consignee, at one plant, at one destination, via one route.

(d) The term "Privately Owned Coal Cars" used in this order means any open top freight car listed in the Official Railway Equipment Register, ICC R.E.R. No. 392, issued by W. J. Trezise, or successive issues thereof, as having a mechanical designation "GA," "GB," "GD," "GH," "GS," "GT," "HK," "HM," or "HT," and which are owned or leased by either the coal shipper, electric utility company, steel plant or other industries vital to the nation's economy.

(e) *Application.* The provisions of this order shall apply to intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce.

(f) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., October 31, 1974.

(g) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., June 15, 1975, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10–17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10–17), 15(4) and 17(2))

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order and direction shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this order be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25515 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 28—PUBLIC ACCESS, USE, AND RECREATION

Moosehorn National Wildlife, Maine

The following special regulation is issued and is effective during the period December 1, 1974 through April 15, 1975.

§ 28.7 **Special regulations; operation of vehicles.**

MAINE

MOOSEHORN NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The use of snowmobiles is permitted on the Baring and Edmunds Units subject to the following special conditions:

(1) Use is restricted to the period December 1, 1974 through April 15, 1975.

(2) Use shall be in accordance with all applicable State laws and regulations governing snowmobiles.

(3) Use is permitted 24 hours a day limited to designated roads delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters or from the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, John W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern recreation on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 28, and are effective during the period specified herein.

WILLIAM C. ASHE,
Acting Regional Director,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25462 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration

[30 CFR Part 77]

MANDATORY SAFETY STANDARDS FOR SURFACE COAL MINES AND SURFACE WORK AREAS OF UNDERGROUND COAL MINES

Refuse Piles and Water, Sediment or Slurry Impoundments; Notice of Findings of Fact

Background. Pursuant to the authority contained in section 101(a) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, as amended (83 Stat. 745; 30 USC 811 (a)), there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for January 16, 1974 (39 FR 2004) a notice of proposed rulemaking which set forth requirements for the construction of refuse piles and extinguishment of fires; reporting pertinent information on refuse piles; certifying its stability; abandonment; and identification of the refuse pile. The proposal also set forth requirements for developing and approval of plans for construction of impounding structures; inspection of impoundments; notification of potentially hazardous conditions; and identification of impoundments.

Written objections were timely filed with the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration stating the grounds for objections and requesting a public hearing on the proposed amendments. In accordance with section 101 (f) of the Act, a notice of objections filed and hearing requested was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for May 14, 1974 (39 FR 17234).

Following this notice, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for June 7, 1974 (39 FR 20213), a notice of public hearing to be held for the purpose of receiving relevant evidence on issues raised in the written comments.

The public hearing was held on July 23, 1974 in the House Chamber, State Capitol Building, Charleston, West Virginia. Information was submitted by the following organizations and individuals: Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration, American Mining Congress, Bituminous Coal Operator's Association, United Mine Workers of America, Mr. Paul Kaufman, Citizens for Environmental Protection, Inc., Campaign for Clean Water, Mr. James Summerville, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Appalachian Research and Defense Fund of Kentucky, Appalachian Research and Defense Fund of West Virginia, Gates Engineering Co., E. D'Appolonia Consulting Engineers, Inc., National Mines Corp., W. A. Wahler Associates, West Virginia Coal Association, West Virginia Surface Mining and Reclamation Association, Zapata Coal Corp., Harlan County Coal Association, Peabody Coal Co., Millburn Colliery Co., and Mr. Curt Seltzer. The record was held open until August 29, 1974, to permit submission of additional data and information.

The transcript of the hearing and data and information submitted for the record are available for public inspection in the Office of the Assistant Administrator, Coal Mine Health and Safety, MESA, United States Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240.

Findings. Section 101(g) of the Act (83 Stat. 747; 30 USC 811(g)) provides, in part, that within 60 days after completion of any public hearing on proposed mandatory safety standards, the Secretary of the Interior shall make findings of fact which shall be public. The findings of fact are based on relevant evidence submitted in written comments, statements, and data received in response to the proposed rulemaking and the public hearing. Wherever appropriate, the findings which are set forth below have been identified with the issues listed in the notice of public hearing (39 FR 20213, June 7, 1974) or raised at the hearing. The findings follow:

1. *General findings.* Coal refuse piles and structures used to impound water, sediment or slurry in connection with coal mining operations can present a hazard to health and safety. The proposed standards, modified to the extent required to comport with these findings of fact, will improve the mandatory safety standards for the protection of life fecting coal miners on coal mine property.

2. *Issue.* Whether the regulations should specifically state that they cover only refuse piles and impoundments affecting coal miners on coal mine property.

Finding. The Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 limits the authority of the Secretary to the promulgation of regulations protecting coal miners on coal mine property, and such limitation is implicit in all the regulations.

3. *Issue.* Whether the regulations should be limited to refuse piles and impoundments which actually present a danger or whether the regulations should extend coverage to refuse piles and impoundments which can present a danger.

Finding. To prevent the occurrence of hazardous conditions the regulatory body must have authority over each refuse pile and impounding structure that can potentially present a hazard to miners.

4. *Issue.* Whether refuse piles and impoundments located below natural surface drainage, such as in mined-out strip pits, should be exempted from the regulations.

Finding. Some refuse piles and impounding structures located below natural drainage can present a hazard to men working on or below them, therefore no blanket exemption is warranted.

5. *Issues.* Whether the requirement for a maximum lift of two feet before compaction for refuse piles should be set at a greater height depending upon material compacted, location, and disposal pattern; and whether the requirement for maintaining a maximum slope of 27 degrees for refuse piles is too restrictive and slopes of a greater degree should be permitted depending upon the material, base soil conditions, and climate. And whether a standard safety factor should be used for refuse piles rather than the lift thickness and slope limitations.

Finding. Absent unusual circumstances, unsaturated refuse piles with slopes of 27 degrees and compacted lifts of 2 feet will be stable. If refuse piles are constructed in layers greater than 2 feet in thickness and/or with slopes greater than 27 degrees, the stability is questionable. Safety factors can be useful for determining the stability of a given refuse pile. If constructing the refuse piles in greater than 2 ft. lifts and/or with slopes greater than 27 degrees can achieve a safety factor greater than 1.5 which is substantiated by valid engineering data, then the refuse pile will have an acceptable level of stability.

6. *Issue.* Whether inert material should be deposited between compacted lifts.

Finding. Inert material placed between compacted lifts of a refuse pile will usually reduce stability of the refuse pile due to undesirable effects resulting from changes in permeability characteristics of the material and hydrostatic pressures at various locations within the pile.

7. *Issue.* Whether the extinguishing of burning refuse piles should be permitted by methods other than sealing or excavation of the burning material.

Finding. Burning refuse piles present a health and safety hazard, and that hazard will be decreased or eliminated when the burning pile is extinguished by any safe and effective means. Technology associated with refuse piles is rapidly advancing, and by not specifying the extinguishing technique new and improved methods are encouraged.

8. *Issue.* Whether a plan for extinguishing refuse pile fires should be submitted to the District Manager for approval; such plan would include training of miners, supervision, procedures for

eliminating potentially hazardous conditions, and procedures for removing miners from potentially hazardous conditions.

Finding. A comprehensive plan for extinguishing refuse pile fires, approved by MESA, will provide reasonable assurance that fires will be extinguished in a safe and effective manner. The decision as to whether the plan should include training of miners, supervision, procedures for eliminating potentially hazardous conditions and procedures for removal of miners from those conditions should be made by the District Manager who can best evaluate the need for such procedures in light of the size and nature of the particular fire.

9. *Issue.* Whether a standardized reporting form should be adopted by MESA.

Finding. Standardized reporting forms for impounding structure plan approval and refuse pile monitoring will reduce confusion as to what information is required from the operator, and it will facilitate the review process.

10. *Issue.* Whether the 180 day reporting requirement for both refuse piles and impoundments should be longer because of limited numbers of qualified engineers or whether no extension of time should be granted.

Finding. 180 days is an adequate and reasonable time in view of the availability of qualified engineers for completion of the surveying and reporting requirements of the proposed regulations as listed below:

(a) placement of identification markers on refuse piles and impounding structures,

(b) survey and submission of the survey report for refuse piles,

(c) after notification by MESA that a refuse pile can present a hazard, certification or submission of a report stating what additional work is required before a certification can be made,

(d) submission of a plan for the continued use or abandonment of impounding structures including the necessary certification, and

(e) preparation of an inspection, maintenance and emergency action plan for impounding structures.

11. *Issue.* Whether the determination that a refuse pile or impoundment can present a danger should be made by MESA, a registered engineer or the operator.

Finding. The responsibility for determining which refuse piles and impounding structures can present a hazard and are thus subject to the requirements of the regulations properly belongs to the regulatory authority (MESA) as a primary function of the exercise of its jurisdiction.

12. *Issue.* Whether the District Manager should be required to respond in writing within specified time limits to operators who have submitted required information.

Finding. MESA has the responsibility to respond in writing to the written submissions of required information from the operator within 60 days to ensure effective enforcement.

13. *Issue.* Whether the perimeter survey requirement for refuse piles should be less than 500 feet.

Finding. To adequately evaluate site conditions, perimeter surveys for refuse piles must show all physical features which may affect the refuse pile regardless of distance from the refuse pile. Such features include, but are not limited to, significant drainage patterns, location of impounding structures, fault lines and ridge lines.

14. *Issue.* Whether the "largest (map) scale available" referred to in §§ 77.215 (a)(1) and 77.216–1(a)(2) should be specified.

Finding. U.S.G.S. quadrangle maps of 7½ or 15 minutes will adequately show the location of refuse piles or impounding structures. However, some coal producing areas have not been mapped adequately for this purpose by U.S.G.S. In those cases, topographic maps of comparable scale must be found or drawn to adequately locate the refuse pile or impounding structure and pertinent surrounding features.

15. *Issue.* Whether the terms "active," "abandoned" and "final abandonment" when used with reference to refuse piles and impoundments should be defined.

Finding. In light of the technical nature of the proposed regulations, definitions of those terms susceptible to various meanings are necessary to prevent confusion.

16. *Issue.* Whether the requirement in § 77.215–1(a)(6) for reporting the proximity of the nearest stream should also include reporting proximity and location of any other stream which potentially could adversely affect the stability of the pile.

Finding. Any stream that can adversely affect the stability of the refuse pile should be reported in order to adequately apprise MESA of the total site conditions.

17. *Issue.* Whether the periodic certification reports required for refuse piles and impoundments are necessary.

Finding. Periodic certification of refuse piles and impounding structures is necessary to ensure that the stability of the structure is maintained.

18. *Issue.* Whether the Coal Mine Health and Safety District Manager should have the discretion, provided for by §§ 77.215–1(a)(8) and 77.216–1(a)(18), to require "other information" concerning refuse piles and impoundments.

Finding. Refuse piles and impounding structures have many similar characteristics that are amenable to general regulations. However, individual refuse piles and impounding structures may have individual characteristics pertaining to their stability which must be known in order to make a proper evaluation.

19. *Issue.* Whether a registered engineer should be required to certify a refuse pile or impoundment as "safe."

Finding. It is not reasonable from an engineering practice point of view to require that a registered engineer unconditionally certify a refuse pile or impounding structure as "safe." It is reasonable to require a registered engineer to attest to the stability of the structure and that the structure was constructed in accordance with the applicable regulations.

20. *Issue.* Whether a registered engineer should be required to design and supervise construction of refuse piles as well as impounding structures in accordance with specified requirements.

Findings. It is not necessary for a registered engineer to design and supervise construction of refuse piles in all cases in order to attain an acceptable level of safety. Small refuse piles constructed of material with well known physical properties in lifts of 2 ft. or less and with slopes of less than 27 degrees need not be designed or have construction supervised by a registered engineer to ensure stability.

21. *Issue.* Whether abandonment planning should be required to be undertaken during initial evaluation or design of refuse piles and impoundments.

Finding. A competent design plan for refuse piles and impounding structures requires consideration of the end result during initial planning. Such consideration need not entail a comprehensive plan that would not allow for deviation. A summary plan to apprise MESA of the operator's intentions and the alternatives for safe abandonment is necessary.

22. *Issue.* Whether abandoned and inactive refuse piles should be required to be sealed, covered with topsoil and revegetated to insure stability.

Finding. Sealing and revegetating abandoned and inactive refuse piles may be necessary to attain stable conditions including the prevention of fires and erosion.

23. *Issue.* Whether abandonment plans required by §§ 77.215–3 and 77.216–1(d) should contain provisions to "preclude future impoundment" of water or silt, or major slope instability or whether the provision should preclude "probable future impoundment" or some other alternatives.

Finding. Abandonment plans for refuse piles must have provisions to prevent future impoundment of water in order to ensure their stability. Abandonment plans for impounding structures must have provisions to either prevent future impoundment or in the alternative prevent major slope instability in order to ensure their stability.

24. *Issue.* Whether there is a purpose and need for identification markers on refuse piles and impoundments, and if so, what information should they contain.

Finding. Identification markers containing the site name, the name of the operator and the site identification number for all refuse piles and impounding structures are necessary for adequate physical identification on sites that can be related to existing records.

25. *Issue.* Whether impounding structures should be eliminated.

Finding. Impounding structures can be designed, constructed, and maintained so as to be stable, and elimination of them is therefore unwarranted.

26. *Issue.* Whether impounding structures made of refuse materials should be immediately drained.

Finding. Impounding structures made of coal refuse material can be designed, constructed, and maintained so as to be stable, and immediate draining of all such structures is therefore unwarranted.

27. *Issue.* Whether the term "silt" should be replaced by other terms such as, "sediment" or "fine refuse."

Finding. In order to include all types of fine material the term "sediment" is preferable to the term "silt."

28. *Issue.* Whether the depth of impounded water and silt should be measured from the upstream toe of the impoundment.

Finding. Measurement of the depth of impounded water, sediment or slurry from the upstream toe of the impounding structure will yield information necessary to evaluate the quantity of the impoundment and is needed as a reference in order to achieve uniformity in the submitted information.

29. *Issue.* Whether the height of the impoundment should be measured from the upstream toe or downstream toe of the impoundment.

Finding. Measurement of the height of the impounding structure from the downstream toe of the impounding structure will yield information necessary to evaluate the size of the structure and is needed as a reference in order to achieve uniformity in the submitted information.

30. *Issue.* Whether storage volume of 20 acre feet or impoundment of water or silt to a height of 20 feet warrants an assumption of a hazardous condition.

Finding. Neither storage volume of 20 acre feet nor impoundment of water, sediment or slurry to a height of 20 feet warrants an assumption of a hazardous condition; however, these values represent a reasonable cut-off point for those impoundments with which MESA should be concerned.

31. *Issue.* Whether the phrase "maximum probable flood" in 77.216–1(a)(8) should be changed to "100 year storm" or other term.

Finding. The runoff attributable to the probable maximum precipitation over a 6 hour period must be considered in design planning for impounding structures in order to obtain the highest degree of protection against loss of life. Probable maximum precipitation over a 6 hour period is a standard figure available from the Weather Bureau for areas throughout the country and for the purposes of these regulations will provide a more relevant and realistic criteria than "100 year storm." By relating the runoff attributable to the probable maximum precipitation over a 6 hour period, confusion over the term "maximum probable flood" is also avoided.

32. *Issue.* Whether the reporting requirements of downstream conditions in §§ 77.216–1(a) (14) and (15) should be replaced by a discussion of analyses and design considerations of downstream conditions or whether the requirements should be deleted.

Finding. The information required by these two paragraphs of the proposed regulation is either redundant or unnecessary for MESA to fulfill its responsibility of evaluating impounding structures and adopting measures to ensure their stability.

33. *Issue.* Whether any changes or modifications in impounding structures should be required to be reported to the District Manager or whether only those changes "adversely affecting the stability of the impoundments" should be required to be reported.

Finding. MESA must be aware of all changes in the impounding structure in order to evaluate the effect of those changes on the stability of the structure. Seemingly minor changes can have an adverse cumulative effect.

34. *Issue.* Whether abandonment plans required by § 77.216–1(d) for impoundments should be limited to those impoundments required to be reported under § 77.216.

Finding. Abandonment plans are necessary to ensure safety only for those impounding structures which can present a hazard. In determining which structures can present a hazard MESA must consider the maximum extent of possible impoundment and other pertinent factors.

35. *Issue.* Whether all water and silt impoundments should be inspected weekly.

Finding. Inspections, by the operator, conducted at least on a weekly basis, of impounding structures that can present a hazard is necessary to ensure quick recognition of any hazardous or unstable condition.

36. *Issue.* Whether provision should be made for issuance of administrative variances from methods and requirements specified in the regulations.

Finding. Refuse piles and impounding structures have individual characteristics that may require different regulatory measures than those specified in the general regulations, and administrative variances to take care of those instances is provided for in the Act and should be provided for in the regulations.

37. *Issue.* Whether refuse material should be required to be disposed of underground.

Finding. The development of technology for the underground storage of refuse material must continue to be pursued as an alternative to surface dumping of this material. At the present time, the disadvantages with regard to health and safety outweigh the advantages of requiring underground storage through regulations of general applicability.

38. *Issue.* Whether foundation sites for refuse piles should be required to be cleared of vegetation and other material.

Finding. Preparation of foundation sites for refuse piles by removing undesirable overburden material and vegetation is essential in order to assure stability of the pile.

39. *Issue.* Whether periodic reports are necessary for abandoned refuse piles and impounding structures.

Finding. Once a coal waste disposal site is abandoned in accordance with an approved abandonment plan which assures the stability of the site, periodic reports should not be necessary.

JACK W. CARLSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25392 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 993]

DRIED PRUNES PRODUCED IN CALIFORNIA

Proposed Amendment of Administrative Rules and Regulations Size Tolerances for Undersized Prunes

Notice is hereby given of a proposal to establish tolerances for deviations from the size of the prunes (i.e., undersized prunes) that pass through the applicable openings established pursuant to § 993.49(c) of the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 993, as amended (7 CFR Part 993), regulating the handling of dried prunes produced in California. The amended marketing agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674). The proposal was unanimously recommended by the Prune Administrative Committee.

Section 993.49(c) of the order authorizes the Secretary, upon recommendation of the Committee, to establish a size regulation with respect to undersized prunes. Such a regulation has been established for the 1974–75 crop year (§ 993.401; 39 FR 32733). Pursuant to §§ 993.49(c) and 993.401, undersized prunes are any prunes which pass freely through a round opening as follows: For French prunes $^{23}/_{32}$ inch in diameter; for non-French prunes, $^{28}/_{32}$ inch in diameter.

Section 993.50(g) prohibits handlers from shipping or disposing of undersized prunes for human consumption. However, paragraph (g) permits handlers, under the direction and supervision of the Committee, to dispose of undersized prunes in nonhuman consumption outlets. Prunes so disposed of must be of the same variety as, and reasonably comparable in size to, the undersized prunes received by the handler. Paragraph (g) provides that in making the determination with respect to comparability in size, the inspection service shall apply a tolerance permitting a deviation from the applicable opening established pursuant to § 993.49 (c).

A tolerance is authorized because normal commercial size grading practices cannot duplicate the measurement

of the quantity of undersized prunes in a lot by inspection methods. In commercial size grading, the movement of prunes over the various screens is at such a rate and in such volume that some of the undersized prunes may not be removed by the applicable screen, but may override this screen and fall through screens with larger openings. The removal of the exact quantity of undersized prunes, as determined by inspection analysis, would be costly and impractical.

Thus, paragraph (g) provides for disposition of prunes which are reasonably comparable in size with undersized prunes received by a handler. Consistent with this, the Committee recommended the following tolerances: For any quantity of French prunes disposed of by a handler in compliance with § 993.50 (g), the quantity shall not contain more than 15 percent by weight of prunes which do not pass freely through a round opening $^{24}/_{32}$ of an inch in diameter; and for any quantity of non-French prunes so disposed of, the quantity shall not contain more than 10 percent by weight of prunes which do not pass freely through a round opening $^{30}/_{32}$ of an inch in diameter. These tolerances would be included as a new paragraph (g)(3) of § 993.150 of Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations (7 CFR 993.101–993.174).

Consideration will be given to any written data, views, or arguments pertaining to the proposal which are received by the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than November 20, 1974. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice should be in quadruplicate and will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The proposal is to amend § 993.150 of Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations by adding a new paragraph (g)(3) reading as follows:

§ 993.150 Disposition of prunes by handlers.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(3) *Tolerances permitting a deviation in prune sizes from applicable undersized openings.* (i) *Undersized French prunes.* Any quantity of French prunes disposed of by a handler in compliance with § 993.50(g) shall not contain more than 15 percent by weight of prunes which do not pass freely through a round opening $^{24}/_{32}$ of an inch in diameter; and

(ii) *Undersized non-French prunes.* Any quantity of non-French prunes disposed of by a handler in compliance with § 993.50(g) shall not contain more than 10 percent by weight of prunes which do not pass freely through a round opening $^{30}/_{32}$ of an inch in diameter.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[FR Doc.74-25386 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

[29 CFR Part 553]

EMPLOYEES OF PUBLIC AGENCIES ENGAGED IN FIRE PROTECTION OR LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (INCLUDING SECURITY PERSONNEL IN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

Proposed Definitions and Rules for Determining Hours Worked and What Constitutes a Work Period

The Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), as amended by the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259, 88 Stat. 55), extends the Act's minimum wage, overtime, equal pay and recordkeeping requirements to most public agency employees. It provides, however, a partial overtime exception in section 7(k) for public agency employees who are engaged in fire protection and law enforcement activities (including security personnel in correctional institutions), and a complete overtime exemption in section 13(b)(20) for these employees if they are employed by a public agency which has, during the workweek, less than five employees engaged in fire protection or law enforcement activities, as the case may be.

Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. 207(k) and 213(b)(20)), in 29(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259; 88 Stat. 55, 60, 61, 76) and in Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1946 (60 Stat. 1095, 5 U.S.C. Appendix) and No. 6 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1263, 5 U.S.C. Appendix), I propose to issue a new 29 CFR Part 553, defining employees engaged in fire protection and law enforcement activities and prescribing the rules for determining hours worked and what constitutes a work period.

Interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, data, or arguments in regard to this proposal on or before December 2, 1974. Such comments should be directed to the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division, United States Department of Labor, Constitution Avenue and 14th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210. In addition, a further public hearing on this proposal will be conducted on November 18, 1974, beginning at 9 a.m., in Conference Room B of the Departmental Auditorium between 13th and 14th Streets on Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., at which time oral presentations may be made. Persons wishing to participate in this hearing should notify the Administrator of that fact on or before November 15, 1974.

New Part 553 reads, in its proposed form, as follows:

PART 553—EMPLOYEES OF PUBLIC AGENCIES ENGAGED IN FIRE PROTECTION OR LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (INCLUDING SECURITY PERSONNEL IN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS); DEFINITIONS AND RULES FOR DETERMINING HOURS WORKED AND WHAT CONSTITUTES A WORK PERIOD

Sec.

553.1 Statutory provisions.
553.2 Purpose and scope.

EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN FIRE PROTECTION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES (INCLUDING SECURITY PERSONNEL IN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS)

553.3 Fire protection activities.
553.4 Law enforcement activities.
553.5 Public agency employees engaged in both fire protection and law enforcement activities.
553.6 Employees attending training facilities.
553.7 Ambulance and rescue service employees.
553.8 Fire protection or law enforcement employees who perform unrelated work for another public agency or private employer.
553.9 Mutual aid agreements.
553.10 Fire enforcement and law enforcement volunteers.

RULES FOR DETERMINING HOURS WORKED AND WHAT CONSTITUTES A WORK PERIOD

553.11 General statement.
553.12 Tour of duty.
553.13 Work period.
553.14 Sleeping and meal time as compensable hours of work.
553.15 Early relief.
553.16 Trading time.
553.17 Compensatory time.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 553 are issued under sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. 207(k) and 213(b)(20); 88 Stat. 60–61) and section 29(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–259, 88 Stat. 76).

§ 553.1 Statutory provisions.

(a) In extending protection to certain public agency employees, the Fair Labor Standards Act (hereafter the Act), by virtue of section 13(b)(20), provided a complete overtime exemption for any employee of a public agency who is engaged in fire protection or law enforcement activities (including security personnel in correctional institutions) during the period between the effective date of the 1974 Amendments to and through December 31, 1974. Beginning January 1, 1975, this complete overtime exemption may be claimed only with respect to "any employee of a public agency who in any workweek is employed in fire protection activities or any employee of a public agency who in any workweek is employed in law enforcement activities (including security personnel in correctional institutions), if the public agency employs during the workweek less than five employees in fire protection or law enforcement activities, as the case may be."

(b) Beginning January 1, 1975, public agencies not qualifying for the complete overtime exemption provided in section 13(b)(20) will be required to pay overtime compensation to their fire protection and law enforcement employees on a workweek basis as required by section 7(a) of the Act unless they elect to take

advantage of the partial overtime exemption provided in section 7(k) which applies, not on a workweek basis, but on a work period basis as follows:

* * * No public agency shall be deemed to have violated subsection (a) with respect to the employment of any employee in fire protection activities or any employee in law enforcement activities (including security personnel in correctional institutions) if—

(1) In a work period of 28 consecutive days the employee receives for tours of duty which in the aggregate exceed 240 hours; or

(2) In the case of such an employee to whom a work period of at least 7 but less than 28 days applies, in his work period the employee receives for tours of duty which in the aggregate exceed a number of hours which bears the same ratio to the number of consecutive days in his work period as 240 hours bears to 28 days, compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed.

(B) Effective January 1, 1976, section 7(k) is amended by striking out "240 hours" each place it occurs and inserting in lieu thereof "232 hours".

(C) Effective January 1, 1977, such section is amended by striking out "232 hours" each place it occurs and inserting in lieu thereof "216 hours".

(D) Effective January 1, 1978, such section is amended—

(i) By striking out "exceed 216 hours" in paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof "exceed the lesser of (A) 216 hours, or (B) the average number of hours (as determined by the Secretary pursuant to section 6(c)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Amendments of 1974) in tours of duty of employees engaged in such activities in work periods of 28 consecutive days in calendar year 1975"; and

(ii) By striking out "as 216 hours bears to 28 days" in paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "as 216 hours (or if lower, the number of hours referred to in clause (B) of paragraph (1)) bears to 28 days * * *.

(c) These statutory provisions, as is apparent from their terms, are limited to public agencies and do not apply to any private organization engaged in furnishing fire protection or law enforcement services, and this is so even if the services are provided under contract with a public agency.

(d) In determining whether a public agency qualifies for the section 13(b)(20) exemption after January 1, 1975, the fire protection and law enforcement activities are considered separately. Thus, for example, if a public agency employs less than five employees in fire protection activities but five or more employees in law enforcement activities (including security personnel in a correctional institution), it may claim the exemption for the fire protection employees but not for the law enforcement employees. No distinction is made between full-time and part-time employees, and both must be counted in determining whether the exemption applies. Bona fide volunteers may be excluded. This determination of the number of employees engaged in each of the two named activities must be made on a workweek basis.

§ 553.2 Purpose and scope.

The purpose of this Part 553 is to define the pertinent statutory terms used in sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20) and to set forth the rules for determining hours worked and what constitutes a work period.

Employees Engaged in Fire Protection and Law Enforcement Activities (Including Security Personnel in Correctional Institutions)

§ 553.3 Fire protection activities.

(a) As used in sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20) of the Act, the term "any employee in fire protection activities" refers to any employee (1) who is employed by an organized fire department or fire protection district and who, pursuant to statute or local ordinance, has been trained and has the legal authority and responsibility to engage in the prevention, control or extinguishment of a fire, and (2) who performs activities which are required for, and directly concerned with, the prevention, control or extinguishment of a fire of whatever type. The term would include all such employees, regardless of their status as "trainee," "probationary," or permanent employee, or of their particular speciality (e.g., fire fighter, engineer, hose or ladder operator, fire specialist, fire inspector), or of their job title (e.g., lieutenant, captain, inspector, fire marshal, battalion chief, deputy chief, or chief), and regardless of their assignment to support activities of the type described below. The term would also include rescue and ambulance service personnel if such personnel form an integral part of the public agency's fire protection activities. See § 553.7.

(b) Not included in the term "employee in fire protection activities" are the so-called "civilian" employees of a fire department or fire district who engage in such support activities as dispatcher, alarm operator, apparatus and equipment repair and maintenance, bulldozer operator, tractor driver, fire control laborer, clerk, stenographer, etc.

§ 553.4 Law enforcement activities.

(a) As used in sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20) of the Act, the term "any employee in law enforcement activities" refers to any employee (1) who is a sworn, uniformed or plainclothed member of a body of trained officers and subordinates who are empowered by statute or local ordinance to enforce various laws designed to maintain public peace and order and to protect both life and property from accidental or willful injury, and to prevent and detect crimes, (2) who has the power of arrest, and (3) who has undergone a course of instruction and study which typically includes physical training, self-defense, firearm proficiency, criminal and civil law principles, investigative and law enforcement techniques, community relations, medical aid and ethics. Employees meeting these three tests would typically include, for example, city police; district or other local police; sheriffs, under sheriffs or deputy sheriffs who are regularly employed and paid as such; court marshals or deputy marshals; constables and deputy constables who are regularly employed and paid as such; state troopers or highway patrol officers; and criminal investigative agents assigned to the office of a district attorney, an attorney general, a solicitor general or any other law enforcement agency concerned with keeping public peace and order and protecting life and property. These employees would be engaged in law enforcement activities regardless of their rank or status as "trainee," "probationary" or permanent employee and regardless of their assignment to support activities of the type described in paragraph (d) of this section. The term would also include rescue and ambulance service personnel if such personnel form an integral part of the public agency's law enforcement activities. See § 553.7.

(b) Employees who meet some but not all of the criteria listed in paragraph (a) of this section are not engaged in "law enforcement activities," as that term is used in sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20). Such employees would include, for example, (1) fish and game wardens, (2) building inspectors (other than those defined in § 53.3(a)), (3) health inspectors, (4) animal control personnel, (5) sanitarians, (6) civilian or unsworn traffic control employees who direct vehicular and pedestrian traffic at specified intersections or other control points, (7) civilian or other unsworn parking checkers who patrol assigned areas for the purpose of discovering parking violations and issuing appropriate warnings or appearance notices, (8) wage and hour compliance officers, (9) equal employment opportunity compliance officers, (10) tax compliance officers, (11) coal mining inspectors, and (12) building guards whose primary duty is to protect the lives and property of persons within the limited area of the building.

(c) The term "any employee in law enforcement activities" also includes, by express reference, "security personnel in correctional institutions." A correctional institution is any government facility maintained as part of a penal system for the incarceration or detention of persons suspected or convicted of having breached the peace or committed some other crime. Typically, such facilities include penitentiaries, prisons, prison farms, county, city and village jails, precinct house lock-ups, and reformatories. Employees of correctional institutions who qualify as "security personnel" are those who have been sworn and who perform potentially dangerous duties involving the direct supervision, custody and safeguarding of inmates whether inside the correctional institution or outside the institution as in the case of road gangs, probationers, or parolees.

(d) Not included in the term "employee in law enforcement activities" are the so-called "civilian" employees of law enforcement agencies or correctional institutions who have not been sworn and who engage in support activities relating to law enforcement (e.g., dispatchers, radio operators, apparatus and equipment maintenance and repair workers, janitors, clerks, and stenographers) or to

the general administration or housekeeping of a correctional institution (e.g., maintenance and repair workers, janitors, clerks, stenographers, kitchen help, and clinical employees).

§ 553.5 Public agency employees engaged in both fire protection and law enforcement activities.

Some public agencies have employees (sometimes referred to as public safety officers) who engage in both law enforcement and fire protection activities, depending upon agency needs at the time. This dual assignment does not defeat either the section 7(k) or 13(b)(20) exemption, provided that each of the activities performed meets the appropriate tests set forth in §§ 553.3(a), 553.4(a), and 553.4(c), and this is so regardless of how the employees divide their time between the two types of activities. If, however, the fire protection or law enforcement activities do not meet the tests of § 553.3(a) or §§ 553.4 (a) and (c), and if such non-qualifying activities, standing alone or in conjunction with some other nonexempt activity, exceed 20 percent of the employee's total hours of work, neither exemption would apply. See Section 553.8.

§ 553.6 Employees attending training facilities.

Employees of a public agency who are attending a bona fide fire or police academy or similar training facility operated by a public agency for the purpose of training or further training employees in fire protection or law enforcement activities, are engaged in such activities for purposes of sections 7(k) and 13(b)(20) of the Act.

§ 553.7 Ambulance and rescue service employees.

(a) Ambulance and rescue service employees of a public agency other than a fire protection or law enforcement agency may be treated as employees engaged in fire protection or law enforcement activities of the type contemplated by section 7(k) and by section 13(b)(20) if their services are substantially related to fire fighting or law enforcement activities in that (1) the ambulance and rescue service employees have received special training in the rescue of fire victims or fire fighters injured in the performance of their firefighting duties, and (2) the ambulance and rescue service employees are regularly dispatched to fires, riots, natural disasters, and accidents.

(b) Ambulance and rescue service employees of public agencies subject to the Act prior to the 1974 amendments do not come within the section 7(k) or section 13(b)(20) exemptions, since it was not the purpose of those amendments to deny the Act's protection of previously covered employees. This would include employees of public agencies engaged in the operation of a hospital; an institution primarily engaged in the care of the sick, the aged, the mentally ill or defective who reside on the premises of such institution; a school for mentally or physically handicapped or gifted children; an elementary or secondary school; an institution of higher education; a street, surburban, or interurban electric railway; or local trolley or motor bus carrier.

(c) Ambulance and rescue service employees of private organizations do not come within the section 7(k) or section 13(b)(20) exemptions even if their activities are substantially related to the fire protection and law enforcement activities performed by a public agency.

§ 553.8 Fire protection or law enforcement employees who perform unrelated work for another public agency or private employer.

(a) Where fire protection or law enforcement employees work for two or more public agencies of the same State or political subdivision, their employment for the several agencies constitutes a single employment for determining both their hours of work and their exempt or non-exempt status under section 7(k). If the employees spend more than 20 percent of their time in any given work period in activities other than those described in § 553.3(a), § 553.4(a), and § 553.4(c), the exemption is defeated and such employees must be compensated for all weekly overtime hours in the manner prescribed by section 7(a) of the Act. Moreover, even if the 20 percent tolerance is not exceeded, overtime compensation must be paid for all of the combined hours which exceed the maximum permitted by section 7(k).

(b) The principles set forth in paragraph (a) of this section also apply where fire protection or law enforcement employees are jointly employed by a fire protection or law enforcement agency and by a public agency of some other State or political subdivision or by a private organization (as in the case of a city police officer who also works either as a State highway toll collector or as a private security guard). Rules for determining the existence or non-existence of a joint employment relationship are set forth in 29 CFR Part 791.

§ 553.9 Mutual aid agreements.

The fire protection and law enforcement agencies of two or more jurisdictions frequently enter into agreements for mutual aid in the case of disasters. These agencies generally do not charge for any aid rendered pursuant to the mutual agreement. Nonetheless, all hours worked by their employees in rendering such aid must be added to their regular hours of work, and overtime compensation paid if the combined hours exceed the maximum permitted by section 7(k).

§ 553.10 Fire protection and law enforcement volunteers.

(a) Individuals who volunteer to perform fire protection or law enforcement activities, usually on a part-time basis, as a public service and without contemplation of pay, are not considered to be employees of the public agency which receives their services. Such individuals do not lose their volunteer status because they are reimbursed for approximate out-of-pocket expenses incurred incidental to answering a call or to the cost of replacing clothing or other items of equipment which may have been consumed or damaged in responding to a call. Nor is the volunteer status of such individuals lost where the only material recognition afforded them is the holding of an annual party, the furnishing of a uniform and related equipment, or their inclusion in a retirement or relief fund. If, however, individuals who perform fire protection or law enforcement activities on a part-time basis are compensated on a per-call basis, a flat monthly fee basis (with or without board, lodging, or other facilities), or other paid basis, in an amount greater than required for reimbursement purposes, they will be considered employees for purposes of the Act.

(b) Volunteers engaged in fire protection and law enforcement activities may include individuals who are employed in some other capacity by the same or a related public agency. For example, a civilian PBX operator of a public agency engaged in law enforcement activities may also be a volunteer member of the local police reserve force. Similarly, an employee of a village Department of Parks and Recreation may serve as a volunteer fireman in his local community.

RULES FOR DETERMINING HOURS WORKED AND WHAT CONSTITUTES A WORK PERIOD

§ 553.11 General statement.

In extending the Act's coverage to public agency employees engaged in fire protection and law enforcement activities, Congress was aware that the work schedule of these employees vary from the work schedules of other employees, and clearly recognized that some adjustment would have to be made in the usual rules for determining hours of work in their case. Congress, in section 7(k), also adopted a new work period concept which may be used in lieu of the usual workweek basis for determining overtime hours.

§ 553.12 Tour of duty.

(a) The term "tour of duty," as used in section 7(k), means the period during which an employee is on duty. It may be a scheduled or unscheduled period. Scheduled periods refer to shifts, i.e., the period of time which elapses between scheduled arrival and departure times, or to scheduled periods outside the shift, as in the case of a special detail involving crowd control during a parade or other such event. Unscheduled periods refer to time spent in court by police officers, time spent handling emergency situations, or time spent after a shift in order to complete required work. When an employee actually works fewer hours than those scheduled, the employee's tour of duty is reduced accordingly.

(b) Under section 7(k), employers may not establish any work period of less than 7 days or more than 28 consecutive days. For those employees who have a work period of at least 7 but less than

28 consecutive days, no overtime compensation is required until the ratio between the number of days in the work period and the hours worked during such work period exceeds the ratio between a work period of 28 days and 240 hours, at which point all additional hours are paid for at one and one-half times the employee's regular rate of pay.

(c) The ratio of 240 hours to 28 days is 8.57143 hours per day (8.6 hours rounded). Overtime compensation at a rate of not less than one and one-half times the employee's regular rate of pay must be paid during calendar year 1975 for all hours worked in excess of the following maximum hours standards:

Work period (days)	Ratio	Maximum hours standard
28	8.6	240
27	8.6	232
26	8.6	224
25	8.6	215
24	8.6	206
23	8.6	198
22	8.6	189
21	8.6	181
20	8.6	172
19	8.6	163
18	8.6	155
17	8.6	146
16	8.6	138
15	8.6	129
14	8.6	120
13	8.6	112
12	8.6	103
11	8.6	95
10	8.6	86
9	8.6	77
8	8.6	69
7	8.6	60

§ 553.13 Work period.

Neither the Act nor its legislative history indicates any particular method for establishing a work period, provided that it is at least 7 and no more than 28 consecutive days. Thus, the employer is not restricted by any work cycle applicable to his employees. So, for example, if the employees work three 24-hour shifts followed by 48 hours, the employer is not required to establish a work period based on some multiple of 3.

§ 553.14 Sleeping and meal time as compensable hours of work.

(a) Sleep and meal time will not be excluded from hours worked in the case of any public agency employee engaged in fire protection or law enforcement activities when such employee is required to be on duty for 24 hours or less.

(b) Sleep and meal time may, however, be excluded in the case of fire protection or law enforcement employees who are on duty for more than 24 hours, but only if the employer and the employee have agreed to exclude such time. In the absence of any such agreement, sleep and meal time will constitute hours of work. If, on the other hand, the agreement provides for the exclusion of sleep time, the amount of such time shall, in no event, exceed 8 hours, which is also the amount of time permitted when the agreement fails to specify the duration of sleep time. If such sleep time is interrupted by a call to duty, the interruption must be counted as hours worked, and if the period is interrupted to such an extent that the employee cannot get a reasonable night's sleep (which, for enforcement purposes, means at least 5 hours), the entire time must be counted as hours of work.

(c) 29 CFR Part 785, which prescribes the general rules for determining hours of work, remains, except insofar as modified above, in full force and effect.

§ 553.15 Early Relief.

It is a common practice among employees engaged in fire protection or law enforcement activities to relieve employees on the previous shift or tour of duty prior to the scheduled starting time. Such early relief may occur pursuant to employee agreement, either expressed or implied. This practice will not have the effect of increasing the number of compensable hours of work where it is voluntary on the part of the employees and does not result, over a period of time, in their failure to receive proper compensation for all hours actually worked.

§ 553.16 Trading time.

Another common practice or agreement among employees engaged in fire protection or law enforcement activities is that of substituting for one another on regularly scheduled tours of duty in order to permit an employee to absent himself or herself from work to attend to purely personal pursuits. This practice is commonly referred to as "trading time." Although the usual rules for determining hours of work would require that the additional hours worked by the substituting employee be counted in computing his or her total hours of work, the legislative history makes it clear that Congress intended the continued use of "trading time" "both within the tour of duty cycle * * * and from one cycle to another within the calendar or fiscal year without the employer being subject to [additional overtime compensation] by virtue of the voluntary trading of time by employees" (Congressional Record, March 28, 1974, page S4692). Accordingly, the practice of "trading time" will be deemed to have no effect on hours of work if the following criteria are met: (a) The trading of time is done voluntarily by the employees participating in the program and not at the behest of the employer; (b) The reason for trading time is due, not to the employer's business operations, but to the employee's desire or need to attend to personal matters; (c) A record is maintained by the employer of all time traded by his employees; (d) The period during which time is traded and paid back does not extend beyond the calendar year or the fiscal year.

§ 553.17 Compensatory time.

It has been the Department's longstanding position that section 7(a) of the Act does not permit employers to give employees compensatory time off in lieu of cash for weekly hours over 40. Section 7(k) creates an exception to this general rule by allowing employers to establish work periods up to 28 days in duration throughout which time extra hours worked in one week may be balanced against fewer hours worked in subsequent weeks in the same work period. This statutory exception for fire protection and law enforcement employees establishes the outer limits within which overtime hours worked in one week may be offset against hours worked in another but shorter week, and overtime performed in one work period may not be averaged over subsequent work periods.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of October 1974.

BETTY SOUTHARD MURPHY,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-25608 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education

[45 CFR Part 103]

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CURRICULUM

Additional Criteria

Pursuant to the authority contained in section 191 of Part I of the Vocational Education Act of 1963, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 1391, notice is hereby given that the Commissioner of Education, with the approval of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, proposes to amend Part 103 of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding an Appendix C to read as set forth below. The proposed Appendix C would contain additional criteria for selection of applications under the program of support for Vocational Education Curriculum projects for fiscal year 1975.

1. *Program purpose.* Section 191 of Part I of the Vocational Education Act of 1963, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 1391, provides for federally administered grants or contracts for programs and projects for the development of curriculums for new and changing occupations, and for coordination of improvements in, and dissemination of, existing curriculum materials. The purposes of these projects are to: (a) Promote the development and dissemination of vocational education curriculum materials for use in teaching occupational subjects, including curriculums for new and changing occupational fields, and including those in public service; (b) develop standards for curriculum development in all occupational fields; (c) coordinate efforts of the States in the preparation of curriculum materials and also in the preparation of current lists of curriculum materials available in all occupational fields; (d) survey curriculum materials produced by other agencies of Government, including the Department of Defense; (e) evaluate vocational-technical education curriculum materials and their uses; and (f) train personnel in curriculum development.

2. *Other program information.* The projects are conducted under grants or contracts awarded by the Commissioner of Education, in accordance with the

provisions of Part I of the Act and with the applicable Federal regulations (45 CFR Part 103). Eligible applicants may include local educational agencies, State Boards for vocational education, and public and private agencies, institutions or organizations. Under departmental policy profit-making applicants will be awarded a contract.

In fiscal year 1975 two projects will be supported. These project awards will initiate a rotation plan whereby six curriculum coordination centers will constitute a national network for curriculum coordination in vocational and technical education and will be placed on a competitive basis.

3. *Regulations and criteria.* Regulations for the vocational education discretionary programs were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 15, 1974, 39 FR 29361. Regulations published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 6, 1973, 38 FR 30654 relate to general fiscal and administrative provisions for all Office of Education programs. These general regulations contain general criteria for the review of applications under Office of Education project type programs (45 CFR 100a.26) and are applicable to the programs under Part I of the Act.

In addition to the criteria for review of applications already published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as described above, it is proposed that the additional criteria set forth in the proposed Appendix C to the regulations in 45 CFR Part 103 will be applicable in connection with the review of applications for grants to be awarded in fiscal year 1975.

4. *Written comments.* Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding such proposed additional criteria to the Division of Research and Demonstration, U.S. Office of Education, 7th and D Streets, SW., Room 5002–ROB#3, Washington, D.C. 20202, on or before December 2, 1974. Comments received shall be available for public inspection at the above office, Monday through Friday between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4 p.m. All relevant materials received not later than December 2, 1974, will be considered.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 13.496: Vocational Education—Curriculum)

Dated: September 16, 1974.

T. H. BELL,
Commissioner of Education.

Approved: October 25, 1974.

FRANK CARLUCCI,
Acting Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

APPENDIX C—VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CURRICULUM FISCAL YEAR 1975

The Office of Education contemplates supporting two project grants in fiscal year 1975 from funds available for the Vocational Education Curriculum program. The awards will be made to begin January 1, 1975, with a two-year multi-year approval and will be funded on a non-competing annual basis. The applicants will submit their project goals and activities over a two-year period. Multi-year approval is intended to offer a project a reasonable degree of stability over time and to facilitate long-range planning. Approval of a multi-year project shall not commit the Office of Education to provide financial assistance from appropriations not currently available.

A. *Awarded applicants' obligations.* One award will provide leadership for the following geographic area: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee. The other award will provide leadership for the following geographic area: American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. Each awardee will be the catalyst in bringing these States to: (1) Share information and plans regarding curriculum materials and needs in order to reduce duplication of efforts, and (2) plan for cooperation in development, testing, evaluation, dissemination and implementation of materials.

In addition each awardee will become a member of the national network for curriculum coordination in vocational and technical education; and as a member awardee will (1) share information regarding materials available and under development; (2) develop and recommend guidelines for curricula and curriculum development that will enhance effectiveness and transportability; (3) establish and maintain a system for determining curriculum needs in vocational and technical education and for reporting conclusions to the field; and (4) coordinate and implement development, diffusion, and utilization activities that will improve the use and acceptance of curriculum products.

The Office of Education will entertain requests for these grants to support travel and travel-related activities necessary for coordination with the consortium States and the national network for curriculum coordination and to facilitate communications and concerted planning on a quarterly basis. Key staff time to be committed to coordination efforts will require a minimum of 15 percent full-time equivalent.

B. *Application Review Criteria.* Criteria will be utilized by the Federal and non-Federal reviewers in reviewing formally transmitted applications in fiscal year 1975. These criteria are consistent with section 100a.26, Review of Applications, in the Office of Education's General Provisions for Programs, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 38 FR 30654 on November 6, 1973. Segments or a segment of the application must address each criterion area. Each criterion is weighted and includes the minimum acceptable score and the maximum score that can be given to a segment of an application in relation to the criteria. Each criterion and the maximum and minimum points therefor are as follows:

Criteria	*Score range*
1. *Need and Problem*—Application clearly defines the need for the project and delineates the problem rather than symptoms of the problem	5–15
2. *Objectives*—Objectives are clearly stated, capable of being attained by the proposed procedures, and capable of being measured	5–15
3. *Plan*—The management plan shows services to be provided; and procedures for accomplishing each objective are proposed	5–15
4. *Results*—Proposed outcomes are identified and described in terms of impact at State and local levels, Part I program purposes, and cost effectiveness and efficiency	5–15
5. *Institutional Capability*—The application sets forth clearly current curriculum strengths and capability of the applicant to immediately initiate liaison functions with consortium States, and provides assurances of support from cooperating institutions and agencies necessary for project success	7–20
6. *Personnel*—The qualifications and experience of key staff are appropriate for the project	3–10
7. *Budget*—The estimated cost is reasonable in relation to anticipated results and the geographic area, scope, and duration of the project	3–10

[FR Doc.74-25496 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[46 CFR Part 57]

WELDING AND BRAZING

Proposed Adoption of the 1974 Edition, Section IX, ASME Code

Correction

In FR Doc. 74–22398, appearing at page 34565 in the issue for Thursday, September 26, 1974, in § 57.03–1(b)(4), the table should be changed to read as set forth below:

TABLE 57.03-1(b).—*Low temperature weld procedure qualification thickness ranges*

Thickness, "t" of test plate or pipe as welded (inches)	Range of thickness of materials qualified by test plate or pipe (inches)	
	Minimum	Maximum
1/16 to 3/8, inclusive	1/16	3/8
Over 3/8 but less than 3/4	*3/8	3/4
3/4 to 3, inclusive	3/4	**t

*For thicknesses less than 5/8 inch, the thickness of the test plate or pipe is the minimum thickness qualified.
**Where "t" is the thickest material over 3/4 inch to be used in production.

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Parts 21, 43, 45, 65, 91]

[Docket No. 13954; Notice 74–29A]

SPECIAL CERTIFICATES

Extension of Comment Period

The Federal Aviation Administration proposed in Notice 74–29, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 14, 1974 (39 FR 29189), to amend Part 21 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to limit the issuance of experimental certificates to aircraft engaged in genuine experimental operations, except for certain amateur-built aircraft, and to establish new special certificate classifications for other aircraft that are currently issued experimental certificates. Amendments to Parts 43, 45, 65, and 91 that are considered necessary to the implementation of the Part 21 proposal were also proposed in Notice 74–29.

The Experimental Aircraft Association has requested a 60-day extension of time for the submission of comments.

The extension is requested to enable the Experimental Aircraft Association, many of whose members would be directly affected by rule making based on the Notice, to receive additional comments from its members and to permit additional time for the development of comments on the proposed amendment.

I find that the petitioner has shown a substantive interest in the proposed rule, that good cause exists for the extension, and that the extension is consistent with the public interest.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.45), the time within which comments on Notice 74-29 will be received is extended to January 6, 1975.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on October 25, 1974.

R. P. SKULLY,
Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.74-25567 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 74-EA-60]

BENDIX

Airworthiness Directive

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive applicable to Bendix S-20, S-200 and S-1200 series magnetos.

There have been reports of failure of the sintered iron bushing which causes the failure of other engine parts by disintegration of the bushing. Since this deficiency can exist or develop in aircraft incorporating the same series magnetos, it is proposed to issue an airworthiness directive which will require replacement of the iron bushing.

Interested parties are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting written data or views. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Office of Regional Counsel, FAA, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430.

All communications received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this Notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available in the Office of Regional Counsel for examination by interested parties.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to issue a new airworthiness directive as hereinafter set forth:

BENDIX ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS DIVISION: Applies to certain Bendix (Scintilla) S-20, S-200 and S-1200 Series Magnetos listed on Bendix Service Bulletin No. 556B.

a. Red nameplate magneto models listed in the Equipment Affected section, excepting those with change designations as shown in Table No. 1 or later.

b. Blue nameplate (Bendix remanufactured) magneto models listed in the Equipment Affected section excepting those having serial number 349001 or higher.

c. Black nameplate magnetos bearing a part number listed in the Equipment Affected section.

Compliance required as indicated unless already accomplished. Within 200 hours in service or 90 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, insure that the affected magnetos incorporate a solid steel drive shaft bushing by complying with all provisions, except the compliance paragraph, of Bendix Electrical Components Division Service Bulletin No. 556B or subsequent FAA approved revision or an equivalent procedure approved by the Chief, Engineering & Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.

The manufacturer's specifications and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to the Bendix Electrical Components Division, Sidney, New York 13838. These documents may also be examined at FAA Eastern Region, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430, and at FAA headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. A historical file on this AD which includes the incorporated material in full is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C. and at the Eastern Region.

As permitted by FAR's 21.197 and 21.199, aircraft may be flown to a base where maintenance required by this Airworthiness Directive can be accomplished.

This amendment is made under the authority of section 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423], and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on October 18, 1974.

ROBERT H. STANTON,
Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.74-25485 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 120]

[FRL 286-2]

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

Navigable Waters, State of Illinois; Withdrawal of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The purpose of this notice is to withdraw notice of proposed rulemaking published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, (38 FR 32822) on November 28, 1973 in which the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed to amend 40 CFR Part 120 to set out water quality standards for the State of Illinois.

The November 28, 1973 notice of proposed rulemaking was issued pursuant to section 303 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., the "Act"), which provides for Federal promulgation of water quality standards where a State fails to adopt water quality standards meeting the requirements of the Act. The EPA is required to promulgate standards within 190 days of the proposal, unless by such time the State shall have adopted water quality standards which are found to be in accordance with the requirements of the Act.

The Illinois Pollution Control Board adopted amendments to the water quality standards on January 31, 1974 in response to the directives of the Act. Although the State did not meet the law's time schedule which required initiation of Federal promulgation procedures, the standards revision was completed prior to termination of the Federal Register comment period required by the promulgation. The Illinois standards were approved by EPA in their entirety on June 6, 1974 obviating the need for process. Therefore, EPA has decided by completing the Federal promulgation the action to withdraw the notice of proposed rulemaking published on November 28, 1973 (38 FR 32822).

In consideration of the foregoing, the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 32822) on November 28, 1973, entitled "Water Quality Standards, Navigable Waters for the State of Illinois", is hereby withdrawn.

This withdrawal is issued under the authority of section 303 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.).

Dated: October 24, 1974.

JOHN QUARLES,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-25418 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 73]

[FCC 74-1151, Docket No. 20215]

CALIBRATION OF REMOTE CONTROL INSTRUMENTS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. As a result of its Task Force study on the re-regulation of broadcasting, the Commission proposes to amend certain of its rules in Part 73, to clarify its requirements regarding calibration of remote control instruments.

2. Rules of the AM service require licensees to calibrate the indicating instruments of the remote control equipment against the instruments at the transmitter to assure accuracy of the indications at the remote control point. This calibration check must be performed weekly and an entry made in the maintenance log as stated in § 73.114(a)(1)(vi).

3. In the TV rules (in Subpart E of Part 73) there is stated in § 73.676—Remote Control Operation, the calibration requirement concerning TV remote control instruments. The rule requires that inspection, calibration and testing must be done:

(1) At least 5 days each week, with an interval of not less than 12 hours, or

(2) If the station is equipped with additional transmitting and/or switching facilities, which will insure continued

operation at a transmitter power output level of not less than 20% of the authorized output power of the malfunctioning transmitter, calibration, testing and inspection may be made at successive times no longer than one week apart (§ 73.676(g)). The results of such calibration, testing and inspection must be entered in the maintenance log (per § 73.676(h)).

4. While the TV remote control operation rules contain precise directions regarding calibration and the requirement to note the results in the maintenance log, no calibration logging requirement is stated in the maintenance log section of the TV rules (§ 73.672). In the AM service, where the maintenance log contains the requirement to log on a weekly basis "the calibration check of indicating instruments at each remote control point against the instruments at the transmitter", there are no precise calibrating requirements in § 73.67—Remote Control operation. § 73.67(a)(4) does state "Control and monitoring equipment shall be installed so as to allow the licensed operator at the remote control point to perform all the functions in a manner required by the Commission's rules." Citations for infraction of remote instrument calibration checks refer to this rule as well as § 73.114(a)(1)(vi), Maintenance log requirements.

5. In Subpart B—FM broadcast stations, and Subpart C, Noncommercial Educational FM Broadcasting Stations, the remote control rules (§§ 73.275 and 73.573, respectively) state the requirements pertaining to "control and monitoring equipment" just as stated in § 73.67(a)(4) for the AM service (see para. 4 above). As in the TV rules, there is no reference to logging a calibration check after making it, in either of these services' maintenance log sections.

6. It becomes obvious that these requirements need "pulling together". Clearer, more precise statements are required for proper licensee guidance in the Remote Control operation sections of the AM and FM rules; and in the maintenance log sections of the FM and TV rules. A licensee using remote control must assure the accurate calibration of his remote control instruments. Throughout the industry, this technical housekeeping exercise is a standard of good engineering practice for remotely controlled stations. How else can the licensee conform to the remote control requirement stating that "control and monitoring equipment shall be installed so as to allow the licensed operator at the remote control point to perform all the functions in a manner required by the Commission's rules"? (In the FM rules [§ 73.275(a)(4)], the sentence ends "* * * in a manner required by the provisions of this part").

7. Clarity is also needed in the matter of the "accuracy range" of indicating instruments at the remote control point. Much confusion exists. Questions to the staff and observations in the field by the Field Operations Bureau reveal many misinterpretations and great puzzlement on the part of licensees' technical staffs. These questions and observations reveal that some licensees interpret the 2 percent accuracy range of the full scale reading, as stated in § 73.39, indicating instruments, specifications, as applicable to remote control instrument readings. Others assert that, since remote reading antenna ammeters (which must be calibrated at least once a week against the regular meter) shall be "calibrated to indicate within 2 percent of the regular meter over the entire range above one-third or one-fifth full scale", the same must apply to remote control indicating meters. The proposed rule will provide that the remote indicating meter shall be calibrated to read within 2 percent of the corresponding regular meter. Example: If an ammeter scale is calibrated from 0 to 6, and the reading is 5.2 amperes, the remote meter must be calibrated within 2 percent of the 5.2 amperes. This will be a relaxation of one interpretation of the rule to the extent that it is now, at times, thought to require that remote indications of the transmitter meter readings must be on a one-to-one or exact reading basis. Accordingly, we will clarify the rule, as written for the remote control sections of the separate services.

8. Therefore, we issue this notice proposing to amend our rules to clarify the matter of remote meter calibration and to clearly state the rules regarding accuracy range of remote control indicating instruments.

9. Amendment to the rules as proposed herein are set forth in the attached Appendix. These amendments are:

Clarification of the requirements for calibrating remote control indicating instruments against the transmitter instruments will be provided for in the "Remote Control Operation sections of the AM, FM and noncommercial educational FM service. §§ 73.67, 73.275, 73.573.

This will provide these services with precise rules such as those stated in the TV service, § 73.676 (g) and (h).

The maintenance log sections for FM (§ 73.284) and for noncommercial educational FM (§ 73.584) will clearly state the requirements for logging the results of calibration checks in the maintenance log.[1]

The maintenance log section for TV (§ 73.672) will be revised to carry the requirement to note the remote control and monitoring equipment calibration and testing requirements in § 73.676 (g) and (h).[1]

The remote control operation section for AM, FM, noncommercial educational FM and TV will be revised to include, with clarity, the requirements regarding the accuracy range of remote control instruments.

[1] The numbering of §§ 73.284(a)(5); 73.584(a)(5); and 73.672(a)(4) and the change in the numbering of the succeeding subparagraphs, as shown in this Appendix, takes cognizance of the change in these Maintenance Log rules as adopted in the Report and Order in Docket 19906—Extension metering.

10. Since each licensee using remote control operation must assure himself of constant, accurate calibration between the transmitter and the remote control point, and since these amendments are, after all, simply designed to clarify practices which are deeply ingrained in good station operation procedures, they could, we feel, have been made via an Order. However, we are inviting comments on these proposals via the rule making process, to aid in finalizing our clarifications.

11. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in § 1.415 of the Commission's rules, interested parties may file comments on or before December 6, 1974, and reply comments on or before December 16, 1974. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by this Notice.

12. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all comments, replies, pleadings, briefs, and other documents shall be furnished to the Commission. However, in an effort to obtain the widest possible response to this proceeding, especially from small market stations, informal comments (without extra copies) will be accepted. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its Headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Adopted: October 22, 1974.

Released: October 30, 1974.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

1. Amend § 73.67 to read as follows:

§ 73.67 Remote control operation.

(a) * * *

(5) Calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point shall be made against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter. Not more than 7 days shall elapse between calibrations. Results of calibrations shall be entered in the maintenance log. Remote control point meters shall be calibrated to read within 2 percent of the instrument reading at the transmitter.

* * * * *

2. Amend § 73.114(a)(1)(vi) to read as follows:

§ 73.114 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(vi) A notation of the results of calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter, as required by § 73.67(a)(5). The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to

recalibration of the remote control point meters.

* * * * *

3. Amend § 73.275 to read as follows:

§ 73.275 Remote control operation.

(a) * * *

(5) Calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point shall be made against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter. Not more than 7 days shall elapse between calibrations. Results of calibration shall be entered in the maintenance log. Remote control point meters shall be calibrated to read within 2 percent of the corresponding instrument reading at the transmitter.

* * * * *

4. In § 73.284, a new paragraph (a)(5) is added, present paragraph (a)(5) (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv) redesignated paragraph (a)(6) (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv), and present paragraph (a) (6) through (8) redesignated paragraph (a) (7) through (9).

§ 73.284 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(5) A notation of the results of calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter, as required by § 73.275(a)(5). The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to recalibration of the remote control point meters.

* * * * *

5. In § 73.573, paragraph (a)(5) is amended to read as follows:

§ 73.573 Remote control operation.

(a) * * *

(5) Calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point shall be made against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter. Not more than 7 days shall elapse between calibrations. Results of calibration shall be entered in the maintenance log. Remote control point meters shall be calibrated to read within 2 percent of the corresponding instrument reading at the transmitter.

* * * * *

6. In § 73.584, paragraph (a)(5) is added, present (a)(5) (i), (ii), (iii), & (iv) redesignated (a)(6) (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv), and present paragraph (a) (6) through (8) redesignated paragraph (a) (7) though (9).

§ 73.584 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(5) A notation of the results of calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter, as required by § 73.573(a)(5). The entry shall include a showing of each meter reading observed prior to recalibration of the remote control point meters.

* * * * *

7. In § 73.672, new paragraph (a)(4) is added, present paragraph (a)(4) redesignated paragraph (a)(5), present (a)(5) (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv) redesignated paragraph (a)(6) (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv), and present paragraph (a)(6) through (9) redesignated paragraph (a) (7) through (10).

§ 73.672 Maintenance log.

(a) * * *

(4) A notation of the results of calibration of indicating instruments at each remote control point against the corresponding instruments at the transmitter as required by § 73.676 (g) and (h). The entry shall include a showing of actual meter readings observed prior to recalibration of remote control point meters. The remote control point meters shall be calibrated to read within 2 percent of the corresponding instrument reading at the transmitter.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.74-25506 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

Office of the Director

[32 CFR Parts 1602, 1603, 1604, 1622, 1623, 1624, 1625, 1631, 1632, 1641, 1643, 1661, 1630]

SELECTIVE SERVICE REGULATIONS

Proposed Amendments

Pursuant to the Military Selective Service Act, as amended (50 U.S. Code App., sections 451 et seq.), and Executive Order Number 11623 dated October 12, 1971, the Director of Selective Service hereby gives public notice that consideration is being given to the following proposed amendments to the Selective Service Regulations constituting a portion of Chapter XVI of Title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations. These Regulations implement the Military Selective Service Act, as amended (50 U.S. Code App., sections 451 et seq.).

The proposed revision of §§ 1602.1 and 1602.10 would correct the citation of the Military Selective Service Act. The proposed deletion of §§ 1602.4 and 1602.6 would eliminate the definition of words unused in the regulations.

The proposed revision of § 1603.3 reflects the revision of § 1604.31. The proposed revision of § 1604.31 would establish a medical specialty advisor to the State Director for each of the medical specialties for which the Secretary of Defense places a call for the induction of men into the Armed Forces. Such advisor would furnish advice regarding the deferment of registrants in his respective medical specialty at the request of the State Director.

Section 1622.2 would be revised to eliminate the listing of the various classes established in Part 1622.

Section 1622.14 would be amended to provide that registrants who had been separated from the Armed Forces by reason of conscientious objection to participation in both combatant and noncombatant training and service in the Armed Forces would be classified in Class 1-O.

Section 1622.15(a) would be revised to eliminate the reference to a provision of the Military Selective Service Act that has expired.

Section 1622.17 would be added to establish Class 1-A-OM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist available for noncombatant military service instead of identifying such personnel in Class 1-A-O in accord with the present section 1622.11 (b). Section 1624.1(b), Part 1661, and § 1680.11 would be modified to reflect this change.

Section 1622.19 would be added to establish Class 1-OM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist available for alternate service instead of identifying such personnel in Class 1-O in accord with the present § 1622.14(b). Section 1624.1(b), Part 1661, and § 1680.9 would be modified to reflect this change.

The proposed revision of § 1622.26(a) would restrict Class 2-M to registrants pursuing their first professional degree in the medical, dental, or allied specialist category.

Section 1622.40 would be revised to remove duplicative provisions, archaic date references, and the distinction in result of discharges for the convenience of the Government and other discharges from the Armed Forces.

Section 1622.42 would be revised to give specific recognition to the treaty provisions respecting dual nationals for purposes of classification into Class 4-C. The proposed revision would also exempt from classification in Class 4-C an alien registrant who had left the country while under an order for induction or alternate service. Section 1625.14 would also be modified to reflect this change.

Section 1622.47 would be added to establish Class 4-FM: Medical specialist registrant not qualified for military service and § 1622.44 would be modified to reflect this change. Medical specialists are evaluated under different criteria than regular registrants, and being disqualified as a regular registrant does not disqualify the registrant from service as a medical specialist. To remove confusion, we should have separate disqualified classes for these two groups, just as we have separate available classes for the two groups. The last paragraph of each of §§ 1622.44 and 1622.47 will permit local boards to classify registrants into Class 4-F if they are incarcerated. This has been a problem in the past, as there was no way to find the registrant disqualified for service without sending them to the Armed Forces Examining and Entrance Stations which for obvious reasons could not be done.

The proposed revision of § 1622.46 provides for a minimum of six months of satisfactory alternate service in order to qualify for Class 4-W.

Section 1623.2 would be revised to reflect the creation of Class 1-A-OM, Class 1-OM, and Class 4-FM; to place Class 2-M below Class 1-H and Class 4-F; and to place Class 4-G above Class 1-H. These changes will facilitate the maintaining of an inventory of medical specialist students and medical specialists and conserve, in time of peace, the time

of local boards in classifying registrants entitled to classification in Class 4–G: Registrant exempted from service during peace.

The proposed revocation of § 1623.7 reflects that one card serves both purposes of evidencinig registration and classification.

Section 1631.6(b) would be amended to authorize the ordering prior to January 1 of a registrant to report for induction after January 1 when he has been identified as a member of one of the categories eligible for induction after January 1. "Unsatisfactory reservists" would not be inducted under the revised regulation.

Section 1632.1 would be amended to add paragraph (e) to contain the material formerly in § 1622.42(a) dealing with the computation of time of residence of an alien in the United States.

The proposed revision of § 1632.12 would eliminate references to specific forms used by the United States Army Recruiting Command and the warning that a reservist who ceases to serve satisfactorily may be inducted.

The proposed revocation of §§ 1632.15, 1632.20, and 1632.21 would eliminate administrative details not appropriate for regulations. Section 1632.30 would be revoked because its subject matter is dealt with in §§ 1622.12, 1622.44, and 1625.2(a). Section 1632 31 would be revoked because it is inconsistent with the provisions of § 1632.12 which should govern.

Section 1641.1 would be amended to require a registrant to notify his local board of his entry into medical or other medical specialist school.

The proposed revision of § 1641.6 would eliminate the requirements a registrant have certain documents in his personal possession except when he is on active duty and that he surrender such documents when he is inducted or enters upon active duty in the Armed Forces.

Part 1643, Parole, would be revoked because it is misleading in view of the fact that there is no authority to induct parolees at the present time.

The proposed revocation of section 1641.7 would eliminate the requirement that a registrant obtain documents that he would no longer be required to have in his personal possession.

Section 1680.5 would be revised to clarify the definition of the year of prime vulnerability of medical and allied specialists, particularly for aliens.

All persons who desire to submit views to the Director on the proposals should prepare them in writing and forward them to the Director, Selective Service System, Attn: General Counsel, 1724 F Street Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20435. Comments received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

The proposed amendments follow:

1. Section 1602.1 is amended to read follows:

§ 1602.1 Definitions to govern.

The definitions contained in section 16 of the Military Selective Service Act, and the definitions contained in this part shall govern in the interpretation of the regulations in this chapter.

2. Section 1602.10 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1602.10 Selective service law.

The term "selective service law" includes the Military Selective Service Act, and all rules and regulations issued thereunder.

3. Sections 1602.4 and 1602.6 are revoked.

§ 1602.4 [Revoked]

§ 1602.6 [Revoked]

4. Section 1603.3 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1603.3 Uncompensated services.

The services of registrars (except as the Director of Selective Service may otherwise provide), members of local boards, members of appeal boards, medical specialty advisors to the State Directors of Selective Service, advisors to registrants, and all other persons volunteering their services to assist in the administration of the selective service law shall be uncompensated, and no such person serving without compensation shall accept remuneration from any source for services rendered in connection with selective service matters.

5. Section 1604.31 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1604.31 Medical specialty advisors to the State Directors of Selective Service.

The Director of Selective Service may appoint, upon the recommendation of the State Director of Selective Service, as a Medical Specialty Advisor to such State Director of Selective Service a licensed practitioner in the field of each of the medical specialties for which the Secretary of Defense places a call for the induction of men into the Armed Forces. It shall be the duty of each advisor to furnish advice regarding the deferment of registrants in his respective medical specialty at the request of the State Director of Selective Service.

6. Section 1622.2 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.2 Classes.

Each registrant shall be classified in one of the classes established in this Part. * * *

7. Section 1622.11 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.11 Class 1–A–O: Conscientious objector available for noncombatant military service only.

In Class 1–A–O shall be placed every registrant who would have been classified in Class 1–A but for the fact that he has been found, by reason of religious, ethical, or moral belief, to be conscientiously opposed to participation in combatant training and service in the Armed Forces.

8. Section 1622.14 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.14 Class 1–O: Conscientious objector available for alternate service.

In Class 1–O shall be placed every registrant

(a) Who has been found, by reason of religious, ethical, or moral belief to be conscientiously opposed to participation in war in any form and to be conscientiously opposed to participation in both combatant and noncombatant training and service in the armed forces; or

(b) Who has been separated from the armed forces (including their reserve components) by reason of conscientious objection to participation in both combatant and noncombatant training and service in the armed forces.

§ 1622.15 Class 1–AM: Medical, dental or allied specialist.

9. Paragraph (a) of section 1622.15 is amended to read as follows:

(a) In Class 1–AM shall be placed every registrant who is or becomes a doctor of medicine, dentist, a doctor of optometry, a doctor of osteopathy, a doctor of podiatry, a veterinarian, or a registered nurse.

10. Section 1622.17 is added to read as follows:

§ 1622.17 Class 1–A–OM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist available for noncombatant military service.

(a) In Class 1–A–OM shall be placed every registrant who would have been classified in Class 1–AM but for the fact that he has been found, by reason of religious, ethical, or moral belief, to be conscientiously opposed to participation in combatant training and service in the Armed Forces.

(b) A registrant classified in Class 1–A–OM shall be identified as follows: Class 1–A–OMM for doctor of medicine; Class 1–A–OMD for dentist; Class 1–A–OME for doctor of optometry; Class 1–A–OMO for doctor of osteopathy; Class 1–A–OMP for doctor of podiatry; Class 1–A–OMV for veterinarian; and Class 1–A–OMN for registered nurse.

11. Section 1622.19 is added to read as follows:

§ 1622.19 Class 1–OM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist available for alternate service.

(a) In Class 1–OM shall be placed every registrant who would have been classified in Class 1–AM but for the fact that (1) he has been found, by reason of religious, ethical, or moral belief, to be conscientiously opposed to participation in both combatant and noncombatant training and service in the Armed Forces; (2) has been separated from the Armed Forces (including their reserve components) by reason of conscientious objection to participation in both combatant and noncombatant training and service in the Armed Forces.

(b) A registrant classified in Class 1–OM shall be identified as follows: Class 1–OMM for doctor of medicine; Class 1–OMD for dentist; Class 1–OME for doctor of optometry; Class 1–OMO for doctor of osteopathy; Class 1–OMP for doctor of podiatry; Class 1–OMV for veterinarian; and Class 1–OMN for registered nurse.

12. Paragraph (a) of § 1622.26 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.26 Class 2–M: Registrant deferred because of study preparing for a specified medical specialty.

(a) In Class 2–M shall be placed any registrant other than a registrant who is in a medical, dental or allied specialist category, who is satisfactorily pursuing a full-time course of study leading to a professional degree in medicine, dentistry, optometry, osteopathy, podiatry, veterinary medicine, or licensure as a registered nurse.

13. Section 1622.40 is amended to read as follows:

§1622.40 Class 4–A: Registrant who has completed military service.

(a) In Class 4–A shall be placed any registrant other than a registrant eligible for classification in Class 1–C or Class 1–D who is within any of the following categories:

(1) A registrant who was discharged after having served honorably on active duty for a period of not less than 6 months in the Army, the Air Force, the Navy, the Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard.

(2) A registrant who has served on active duty for a period of not less than twenty-four months as a commissioned officer in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or in the Public Health Service, provided that such period of active duty in the Public Health Service as a commissioned Reserve officer commencing after June 30, 1967, shall have been performed by the registrant while assigned to staff any of the various offices and bureaus of the Public Health Service including the National Institutes of Health, or while assigned to the Coast Guard, or the Bureau of Prisons of the Department of Justice, or the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or the Environmental Protection Agency.

(3) A registrant who while an alien has served on active duty for a period of not less than 12 months in the armed forces of a nation determined by the Department of State to be a nation with which the United States is associated in mutual defense activities and which grants exemption from training and service in its armed forces to citizens of the United States who have served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States for a period of not less than 12 months: *Provided*, That all information which is submitted to the local board concerning the registrant's service in the armed forces of a foreign nation shall be written in the English language.

(4) A registrant who has completed six years of satisfactory service as a member of one or more of the Armed Forces including the Reserve components thereof.

14. The title and paragraphs (a) and (c) of § 1622.42 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.42 Class 4–C: Aliens or Dual Nationals.

(a) In Class 4–C shall be placed any registrant who establishes that he is a national of the United States and of a country with which the United States has a treaty or agreement that provides that such person is exempt from liability for military service in the United States.

* * * * *

(c) In Class 4–C shall be placed any registrant who is an alien and who has departed from the United States prior to being issued an order to report for induction or alternate service that has not been canceled. If any registrant who is classified in Class 4–C pursuant to this paragraph returns to the United States his classification shall be reopened and he shall be classified anew."

15. Section 1622.44 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.44 Class 4–F: Registrant not qualified for military service.

(a) In Class 4–F shall be placed any registrant, other than a registrant who is a medical, dental, or allied specialist, who is found by an Armed Forces Examining and Entrance Station, under applicable physical, mental or administrative standards, to be not qualified for service in the Armed Forces; except that no such registrant whose further examination or re-examination is determined by AFEES to be justified shall be placed in Class 4–F until such further examination has been accomplished and such registrant continues to be found not qualified for military service.

(b) In Class 4–F shall be placed any registrant other than a registrant who is a medical, dental, or allied specialist, who is confined in a prison, mental institution, or recognized drug rehabilitation center.

16. Section 1622.46 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1622.46 Class 4–W: Registrant who has completed alternate service in lieu of induction.

In Class 4–W shall be any registrant who subsequent to being ordered by the local board to perform alternate service in lieu of induction has been released from such service by the local board after satisfactorily performing the work for a period of 24 months, or has been granted an early release by the Director or State Director of Selective Service after completing at least 6 months of satisfactory service as prescribed in § 1660.10 of this Chapter.

17. Section 1622.47 is added to read as follows:

§ 1622.47 Class 4–FM: Medical specialist registrant not qualified for military service.

(a) In Class 4–FM shall be placed any registrant who is found by an Armed Forces Examining and Entrance Station, under standards applicable to medical, dental, and allied specialists, to be not qualified for service in the Armed Forces; except that no such registrant whose further examination or re-examination is determined by AFEES to be justified shall be placed in Class 4–FM until such further examination has been accomplished and such registrant continues to be found not qualified for military service.

(b) In Class 4–FM shall be placed any registrant in the medical, dental, and allied specialist categories who has applied for an appointment as a Reserve officer in one of the Armed Forces in any of such categories and has been rejected for such appointment on the sole ground of a physical disqualification.

(c) In Class 4–FM shall be placed any registrant in a medical, dental, or allied specialist category, who is confined in a prison, mental institution, or recognized drug rehabilitation center.

18. Section 1623.2 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1623.2 Consideration of classes.

Every registrant shall be placed in Class 1–A under the provisions of § 1622.10 of this chapter, except that when grounds are established to place a registrant in any one of the classes listed in the following table, the registrant shall be classified in the lowest class for which he is determined to be eligible, with Class 1–AM considered the highest class and Class 1–C considered the lowest class, according to the following table:

Class 1–AM: Registrant in any of the specified medical, dental, and allied specialist categories.
Class 1–A–O: Conscientious objector available for noncombat military service only.
Class 1–A–OM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist available for noncombatant military service.
Class 1–O: Conscientious objector available for alternate service.
Class 1–OM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist available for alternate service.
Class 2–AM: Medical, dental, or allied specialist deferred because of community essentiality.
Class 2–S: Registrant deferred because of activity in study.
Class 2–D: Registrant deferred because of study preparing for the ministry.
Class 3–A: Registrant deferred because of dependency of others.
Class 4–B: Officials deferred by law.
Class 4–C: Aliens or dual nationals.
Class 4–D: Minister of religion.
Class 4–G: Registrant exempted from service during peace.
Class 1–H: Registrant not currently subject to processing for induction.
Class 4–F: Registrant not qualified for military service.
Class 2–M: Registrant deferred because of study preparing for a specified medical specialty.
Class 4–FM: Medical specialist registrant not qualified for military service.
Class 4–W: Conscientious objector who has completed alternate service in lieu of induction.
Class 4–A: Registrant who has completed military service.
Class 1–D: Member of Reserve component or student taking military training.
Class 1–W: Conscientious objector performing alternate service in lieu of induction.
Class 1–C: Member of the Armed Forces of the United States, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, or the Public Health Service.

19. Section 1623.7 is revoked.

§ 1623.7 [Revoked]

20. Paragraph (b) of § 1624.1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1624.1 Opportunity for personal appearance.

* * * * *

(b) Registrant who has filed a claim for classification in Class 1–A–O, Class 1–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–OM, or Class 3–A, upon his written request, shall be afforded an opportunity to appear in person before the local board before his classification is determined by the local board. Should such registrant appear in person before the local board in advance of this classification being determined, the provisions of § 1624.4 shall apply, and he shall not be afforded an opportunity to appear concerning such classification after such determination.

21. Section 1625.14 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1625.14 Cancellation of order to report for induction or for alternate service by reopening of classification.

The reopening of the classification of a registrant by the local board shall cancel any order to report for induction or alternate service which may have been issued to him.

22. Paragraph (b) of § 1631.6 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1631.6 Action by local board upon receipt of allocation.

* * * * *

(b) Registrants shall be selected and ordered to report for induction in the following categories and in the other indicated: *Provided*, That a registrant who has been identified, in accord with procedures prescribed by the Director of Selective Service, as one who will become a member of one of the following categories on the next January 1, may, prior to January 1, be selected and ordered to report for induction on a date after January 1 as a member of such category:

(1) Volunteers who have not attained the age of 26 years in the sequence in which they have volunteered for induction.

(2) Nonvolunteers in the Extended Priority Selection Group who have not attained the age of 26 years in the order in which their random sequence number had been reached.

(3) Nonvolunteers in the First Priority Selection Group for the current calendar year in the order of their random sequence number established by random selection procedures prescribed in accordance with § 1631.1.

(4) Nonvolunteers who have not attained the age of 26 years in each of the lower priority selection groups, in turn, within the group in the order of their random sequence number established by random selection procedures prescribed in accordance with § 1631.1.

(5) Nonvolunteers who have attained the age of 19 years during the calendar year but who have not attained the age of 20 years, in the order of their random sequence number established by random selection procedures prescribed in accordance with § 1631.1.

(6) Nonvolunteers who have attained the age of 26 years and each year thereafter in turn, most recent year first, within the year group in the order of their random sequence number established by random selection procedures prescribed in accordance with § 1631.1: *Provided*, That the random sequence number established on December 1, 1969, will apply to any registrant born prior to January 1, 1944.

(7) Nonvolunteers who have attained the age of 18 years and 6 months and who have not attained the age of 19 years in the order of the random sequence number established by random selection procedures prescribed in accordance with § 1631.1 for registrants who during the current calendar year have attained the age of 19 years but who have not attained the age of 20 years.

23. Paragraph (e) of § 1632.1 is added to read as follows:

§ 1632.1 Order to report for induction.

* * * * *

(e) No local board shall issue an order to report for induction or alternate service to a registrant who is an alien unless he shall have resided in the United States for one year. When such a registrant has been within the United States for two or more periods (including periods before his registration) and the total of such periods equals one year, he shall be deemed to have resided in the United States for one year. In computing the length of such periods, any portion of one day shall be counted as a day.

24. Section 1632.12 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1632.12 Enlistment of registrants ordered for induction.

Whenever a local board receives official notification that one of its registrants to whom an induction order has been issued has been enlisted or appointed in the Armed Forces of the United States, including the Reserve components thereof, and the date of enlistment or appointment is at least 10 days prior to his scheduled reporting date for induction it shall reopen his classification and classify him anew.

25. Sections 1632.15, 1632.20, 1632.21, 1632.30 and 1632.31 are revoked.

§§ 1632.15, 1632.20, 1632.21, 1632.30, 1632.31 [Revoked]

26. Section 1641.1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1641.1 Reporting by registrants of their current status.

(a) It shall be the duty of every registrant, so long as his file is actively maintained by his local board, to keep his local board currently informed in writing of the address where mail will reach him.

(b) It shall be the duty of every registrant until his liability for training and service has terminated, to inform his local board in writing of his entrance into a professional course of study leading to a professional degree in a medical, dental or allied specialist category, together with his current address, and to inform his local board in writing of the receipt of any such professional degree, together with his current address.

(c) It shall be the duty of every registrant to submit to his local board information concerning his status within ten days after the date on which the local board mails him a request therefor, or within such longer period as may be fixed by the local board.

27. Section 1641.6 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1641.6 Effect of failure to have unaltered documents in personal possession.

The failure of any person to have his registration certificate (SSS Form 2) or status card (SSS Form 7) in his personal possession shall be prima facie evidence of his not having registered.

28. Section 1641.7 is revoked.

§ 1641.7 [Revoked]

29. Part 1643 is revoked.

§ 1643 Parole.

30. Paragraphs (a) and (b)(4) of § 1661.1 are amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.1 Purpose; definitions.

(a) The provisions of this part govern the consideration of a claim by a registrant for classification in Class 1–A–O (§ 1622.11 of this chapter), Class 1–A–OM (§ 1622.17 of this chapter), Class 1–O (§ 1622.14 of this chapter, of Class 1–OM (§ 1622.19 of this chapter).

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) Prima Facie Claim. A nonfrivolous claim, which, if true, would be sufficient on its face to warrant granting classification in Class 1–A–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–O, or Class 1–OM.

§ 1661.2 The claim of conscientious objection.

31. Section 1661.2 is amended to read as follows:

A claim to classification in Class 1–A–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–O, or Class 1–OM may be made by the registrant in writing, such document shall be placed in his File Folder.

32. The title of § 1661.3 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.3 Basis for Classification in Class 1–A–O or Class 1–A–OM.

33. The title of § 1661.4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.4 Basis for Classification in Class 1–O or Class 1–OM.

34. The title of § 1661.5 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.5 Exclusion from Class 1–A–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–O, and Class 1–OM.

35. Section 1661.6 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.6 Analysis of religious training and belief.

(a) A registrant claiming conscientious objection is not required to be a member of a "peace church" or any other church, religious organization, or religious sect to qualify for a 1–A–O, 1–A–OM, 1–O, or 1–OM classification; nor is it necessary that he be affiliated with any particular group opposed to participation in war in any form.

36. Paragraph (i) of § 1661.9 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.9 Considerations relevant to granting or denying a prima facie claim for classification as a conscientious objector.

* * * * *

(i) The registrant may submit letters of reference and other supporting statements of friends, relatives and acquaintances to corroborate the sincerity of his claim, although such supplemental documentation is not essential to approval of his claim. A finding of insincerity based on these letters or supporting statements must be carefully explained in the board's decision, specific mention being made of the particular material relied upon for denial of classification in Class 1–A–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–O, or Class 1–OM.

37. Section 1661.10 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1661.10 Types of decisions.

(a) The following are the types of decisions which may be made by the local and appeal board when a prima facie claim of conscientious objection has been stated.

(1) Decision to grant a claim for classification in Class 1–A–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–O, or Class 1–OM, as requested, based on a determination that the truth or sincerity of the registrant's prima facie claim is not refuted by any information contained in the registrant's file or obtained during his personal appearance.

(2) Decision to deny a claim for classification in Class 1–A–O, Class 1–A–OM, Class 1–O or Class 1–OM, finding on the basis of all information before the board, that the claim fails to meet the tests specified in §§ 1661.3 and 1661.4. If supported by evidence in the file the board may find that the facts presented by the registrant in support of his claim are untrue.

(3) Decision to grant classification in Class 1–A–O or Class 1–A–OM to a registrant even though he requested reclassification in Class 1–O or Class 1–OM. It should be noted that the registrant who requests classification in Class 1–O or Class 1–OM should be classified in Class 1–A–O or Class 1–A–OM only when the information presented demonstrates clearly that the registrant is opposed only to bearing arms and that he does not subject to noncombatant service.

§ 1680.5 [Amended]

38. Paragraph (b) of § 1680.5 is amended by revoking the text that follows the first sentence of subparagraph (6).

39. Paragraph (c) of § 1680.5 is added to read as follows:

* * * * *

(c) As used in paragraph (b) of this section, the year of prime vulnerability for an alien who enters the United States after he has completed the professional training described in § 1622.15(c) of this chapter will begin when he completes one year of residence in the United States: *Provided,* That the year of prime vulnerability for an alien who has resided in the United States in a nonpermanent resident status will begin when he completes one year of residence in the United States, or when he registers for Selective Service, whichever is later. The year of prime vulnerability for all other persons will begin upon attainment of the first appropriate professional degree or diploma, or upon completion of one year of internship or equivalent training, whichever is later. The one year of internship or equivalent training must follow immediately upon the attainment of the appropriate professional degree.

40. Section 1680.9 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1680.9 Alternate service.

A registrant in Class 1–OM will be ordered to alternate service in lieu of induction in accord with Part 1660 of his chapter at the time that he would be called for induction if he were in Class 1–AM.

41. Section 1680.11 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1680.11 Noncombatant military service.

A registrant classified in Class 1–A–OM will be ordered for induction at the time that he would be ordered for induction if he were in Class 1–AM.

BYRON V. PEPITONE,
Director.

OCTOBER 29, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25511 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

[32 CFR Parts 1604, 1608, 1613]

SELECTIVE SERVICE REGULATIONS

Proposed Amendments

Pursuant to the Military Selective Service Act, as amended (50 U.S. Code App., sections 451 et seq.) and § 1604.1 of Selective Service Regulations (32 CFR 1604.1), the Director of Selective Service hereby gives public notice that consideration is being given to the following proposed amendments to the Selective Service Regulations constituting a portion of Chapter XVI of Title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations. These Regulations implement the Military Selective Service Act, as amended (50 U.S. Code App., sections 451 et seq.).

Section 1604.25 would be amended to provide for the disqualification of an appeal board member instead of the appeal board in certain cases. Section 1604.26 is amended to add a sentence to provide that an appeal board may continue to function so long as a quorum of the prescribed membership is present at each official meeting.

Section 1604.55 would be amended to provide for the disqualification of a local board member instead of the local board in certain cases. Section 1604.56 is amended to add a sentence to provide that a local board may continue to function so long as a quorum of the prescribed membership is present at each official meeting.

Section 1608.6 would be revoked because it is obsolete in its references to a medical advisor. Sections 1608.12(d) and 1608.13(b) would be amended to delete obsolete references to the Office of Public Information. Section 1608.12(f)(1) would be revised to delete an obsolete citation. Section 1608.17 would be revised to reflect the new procedures for processing demands of courts or other authorities for information protected by regulations in view of the closing of the Regional Counsel offices.

Section 1613.4 would be revised to reflect the use of a new form in registrant processing. The issuance of a replacement card would be conditional upon a representation that the card last issued to the registrant had been lost, stolen or destroyed.

All persons who desire to submit views to the Director on the proposals should prepare them in writing and forward them to the Director, Selective Service System, Attn.: General Counsel, 1724 F Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20435. Comments received on or before December 2, 1974 will be considered.

The proposed amendments follow:

1. Section 1604.25 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1604.25 Disqualification.

(a) No member of an appeal board shall act on the case of a registrant who is the member's first cousin or closer relation, either by blood, marriage, or adoption, or who is the member's employer, employee, or fellow employee, or stands in the relationship of superior or subordinate of the member in connection with any employment, or is a partner or close business associate of the member, or is a fellow member or employee of the appeal board.

(b) A member of an appeal board may disqualify himself in any matter in which he would be restricted for any reason in making an impartial decision.

(c) Whenever a quorum of the appeal board cannot act on the case of a registrant, and there is no panel of the appeal board to which the case may be transferred, the appeal board shall transmit such case to the State Director of Selective Service for transfer to another appeal board.

2. Section 1604.26 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1604.26 Organization and meeting.

Each appeal board or panel shall elect a chairman and a secretary. A majority of the members of an appeal board or panel when present at any meeting shall

constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. A majority of the members present at any meeting at which a quorum is present shall decide any question. Every member present, unless disqualified, shall vote on every question or classification. In case of a tie vote on a question or classification, the board shall postpone action until it can be decided by a majority vote. If any member is absent so long as to hamper the work of the board, the chairman, a member or employee of the board or panel concerned shall recommend to the State Director of Selective Service that such member be removed and a new member appointed. If, through death, resignation, or other cause, the membership of a appeal board of panel thereof, falls below the prescribed number of members, the board or panel shall continue to function, provided a quorum of the prescribed membership is present at each official meeting.

3. Section 1604.55 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1604.55 Disqualification.

(a) No member of a local board shall act on the case of a registrant who is the member's first cousin or closer relation, either by blood, marriage, or adoption, or who is the member's employer, employee, or fellow employee, or stands in the relationship of superior or subordinate of the member in connection with any employment, or is a partner or close business associate of the member, or is a fellow member or employee of the local board.

(b) A member of the local board may disqualify himself in any matter in which he would be restricted, for any reason, in making an impartial decision.

(c) Whenever a quorum of a local board cannot act on the case of a registrant, the local board shall transmit such case to the State Director of Selective Service for transfer to another local board."

4. Section 1604.56 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1604.56 Organization and meeting.

Each local board shall elect a chairman and a secretary. A majority of the membership of the local board shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. A majority of the members present at any meeting at which a quorum is present shall decide any question or classification. Every member present, unless disqualified, shall vote on every question or classification. In case of a tie vote on any question or classification, the board shall postpone action on the question or classification until it can be decided by a majority vote. If any member is absent so long as to hamper the work of the local board, the chairman, a member, or employee of the local board shall recommend to the State Director of Selective Service that such member be removed and a new member appointed. If through death, resignation or other cause, the membership of a local board falls below the prescribed number of members it shall continue to function provided a quorum of the prescribed membership is present at each official meeting.

5. Section 1608.6 *Disclosure or furnishing of information relating to physical or mental condition of registrants* is revoked.

§ 1608.6 [Revoked]

6. Paragraphs (d) and (f)(1) of § 1608.12 are amended to read as follows:

§ 1608.12 Available information.

* * * * *

(d) The Registrants Processing Manual may be inspected at the office of any local board, the office of the State Director of Selective Service for any State or at the National Headquarters, Selective Service System.

* * * * *

(f) * * *

(1) The names, positions, titles, grades, salaries and duty station of employees of the Selective Service System are public information.

7. Paragraph (b) of § 1608.13 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1608.13 Places where information may be obtained.

(b) Requests for information concerning the national administration of the Military Selective Service Act shall be addressed to the National Headquarters, Selective Service System, 1724 F Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20435.

8. Section 1608.17 is amended as follows:

§ 1608.17 Demands of courts or other authorities for records or information protected by these regulations.

No officer or employee of the Selective Service System will comply with a request, demand or order of a court or other authority to produce information the disclosure of which is prohibited or restricted by the provisions of this part without the prior aproval of the Director of Selective Service.

9. Section 1613.4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1613.4 Issuing replacement Status Card.

A replacement Status Card (SSS Form 7) will be issued to a registrant by the local board with which he is registered upon its receipt of his written request therefor, and statement that the Status Card last issued to him has been lost, stolen or destroyed.

BYRON V. PEPITONE,
Director.

OCTOBER 29, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25512 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[32 CFR Part 1660]

SELECTIVE SERVICE REGULATIONS

Proposed Amendments

Pursuant to sections 6(j) and 13(b) of the Military Selective Service Act, as amended (50 App. U.S. Code, sections 451 et seq.), the Director of Selective Service hereby gives public notice that consideration is being given to the following proposed amendments to the Selective Service Regulations constituting a portion of Chapter XVI of Title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations. These Regulations implement section 6(j) of the Military Selective Service Act, as amended (50 App. U.S. Code, 456(j)).

Section 1660.9(e) would be amended to eliminate the requirement that a registrant traveling to his alternate service assignment request travel, meal and lodging before they will be provided. Sections 1660.9(b), (c), (d), and (f) would be revised to remove the detailed instructions to the State Director in conducting an investigation when a registrant performing alternate service leaves his job. The State Director would be given clear authority to report a registrant for prosecution or to reassign him to a job. Hardship, medical or other bona fide reasons are prescribed as the criteria for approving a registrant's request for reassignment.

Sections 1660.10 and 1660.11 would be revised to make clear the registrant's entitlement to classification in Class 4–W and a certificate of release if he is released after six months of satisfactory service.

All persons who desire to submit views to the Director on the proposals should prepare them in writing and forward them to the Director, Selective Service System, Attn: General Counsel, 1724 F Street Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20435. Comments received on or before December 2, 1974 will be considered.

The proposed amendments follow:

1. Paragraphs (b) through (f) of § 1660.9 are amended to read as follows:

§ 1660.9 Administration of Alternate Service.

* * * * *

(b) Whenever the State Director has reason to believe that a registrant was refused employment, or the registrant refused or constructively refused employment or was relieved for cause or left his job without authority he will determine whether the registrant was at fault. If he determines that the registrant was at fault, he may report the registrant for prosecution or he may request the registrant's local board to order the registrant to an appropriate job by issuing an Amendment to Order to Report for Alternate Service (SSS Form 153A). Time not spent on an approved job will not be creditable toward completion of his alternate service obligation.

(c) If the State Director finds no failure of the registrant to perform satisfactorily, he will request the registrant's local board to order the registrant to an appropriate job by issuing an Amendment to Order to Report for Alternate Service (SSS Form 153A). If the registrant complies with the order, the intervening time between jobs will not

constitute a break in the required period of alternate service.

(d) The State Director may reassign a working registrant at any time he determines (1) that the job to which the registrant is assigned ceases to be acceptable as alternate service as defined in Section 1660.6, or (2) that there is a hardship, medical, or other bona fide basis for such reassignment. To reassign the registrant, the State Director shall request the registrant's local board to issue an Amendment to Order to Report for Alternate Service (SSS Form 153A). Prior to the issuance of an Amendment to Order to Report for Alternate Service (SSS Form 153A) in accord with this paragraph, the registrant is required to continue in the alternate service to which he had been ordered most recently.

(e) The Director of Selective Service or the State Director of Selective Service will issue travel orders, tickets or transportation requests and meal and lodging requests to the registrant for his travel (1) from the office of his local board or local board nearest the place of his residence at the time he is selected for alternate service to the place of performance of the alternate service to which he is ordered within the United States, (2) for his return travel from such place to the office of the local board from which he traveled to the place of performing alternate service upon his satisfactorily completing his period of work or his travel to any other place upon his satisfactorily completing his period of alternate service whenever the cost of such transportation would not exceed the cost of travel to the local board from which he traveled, and (3) for his travel from one place of employment to another when his employment is transferred under the provisions of paragraph (c) or (d) of this section.

(f) No action shall be required of a registrant by an Amendment to Order to Report for Alternate Service (SSS Form 153A) without his consent within 10 days after the issuance of such form.

2. Section 1660.10 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1660.10 Release from Alternate Service.

The State Director of the State in which a registrant is working or the Director may release a registrant prior to his completion of 24 months of service upon a determination of hardship, medical, or other bona fide basis for such early release. If the registrant is working outside the State in which he is registered, the decision should be made in consultation with the State Director of the State in which the registrant is registered.

3. Section 1660.11 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1660.11 Completion of Alternate Service.

When a registrant's local board is informed by the State Director that the registrant has been released from alternate service after six months or more of satisfactory service, the local board shall classify the registrant in Class 4–W and issue a Certificate of Release from Alternate Service (SSS Form 154).

BYRON V. PEPITONE,
Director.

OCTOBER 29, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25513 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

[AFR No. 127]

FROM THE ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR AFRICA TO THE DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF CENTRAL AND WEST AFRICAN REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Redelegation of Authority

1. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me as Assistant Administrator for Africa under the Administrator's Delegation of Authority No. 96, I hereby redelegate to the Director, Office of Central and West African Regional Affairs ("Director"), located in Washington, D.C., with respect to the administration of foreign assistance programs for The Gambia, Guinea, Mali, Mauritania, Senegal, Dahomey, Ivory Coast, Niger, Togo, Upper Volta, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Gabon, Equatorial Guinea, and Congo (Brazzaville), with authority to redelegate, in whole or in part, to those officials identified in paragraph 2, the following authorities, subject to the limitations applicable to the exercise of such authority by A.I.D. Mission Directors:

(a) The authority delegated to the Directors of Missions of the Agency for International Development (A.I.D.) in the unpublished Delegation of Authority of January 10, 1955.

(b) The authority delegated to me by Delegation of Authority 99, § 1(b)(2), to sign or approve project implementation orders-technical services (PIO/T).

In addition to the foregoing, there is hereby delegated to the aforesaid official, with authority to redelegate to the officials designated in paragraph 2, the authority delegated to A.I.D. Mission Directors in A.I.D. manual orders, regulations (published or otherwise), policy directives, policy determinations, memoranda and other instructions as they may be amended, supplemented or superseded from time to time.

2. The Director shall have the power to redelegate, in whole or in part, those powers specified in paragraph 1, to the following A.I.D. officials, for their respective areas or countries of responsibility:

(a) Regional Development Officers (RDO):
(1) RDO in Dakar, Senegal: Senegal, The Gambia, and Guinea.
(2) RDO in Niamey, Niger: Niger, Ivory Coast, Togo, and Dahomey.
(3) RDO in Yaounde, Cameroon: Cameroon, Gabon, Central African Republic, Congo (Brazzaville), and Equatorial Guinea.
(b) Country Development Officers (CDO):
(1) Nouakchott, Mauritania.
(2) Bamako, Mali.
(3) Ouagadougou, Upper Volta.
(4) Ndjamena, Chad.
(c) Such other officials as the Director may from time to time designate.

3. There are hereby rescinded the following Redelegations of Authority to the Director of the Regional USAID for Africa:

(1) Africa Redelegation of Authority No. 62, dated June 30, 1964;
(2) Africa Redelegation of Authority No. 68, dated September 21, 1964;
(3) Africa Redelegation of Authority No. 75, dated January 4, 1965;
(4) Africa Redelegation of Authority No. 78, dated February 24, 1965; and
(5) Africa Redelegation of Authority No. 100, dated July 3, 1967;

4. There is hereby rescinded Redelegation of Authority No. 125 to the Director.

5. This Redelegation of Authority shall be effective as of October 21, 1974, and includes ratification of all acts taken prior hereto which are consistent with the terms and scope of this Redelegation of Authority.

Dated: October 22, 1974.

SAMUEL C. ADAMS, Jr.,
Assistant Administrator for Africa.

[FR Doc.74-25472 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

REGIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON BANKING POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF THE THIRD NATIONAL BANK REGION

Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the Comptroller of the Currency's Regional Advisory Committee on Banking Policies and Practices of the Third National Bank Region will be held Wednesday, December 4, 1974, in the Board Room of The Philadelphia National Bank, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, beginning at 10:30 a.m.

The purpose of this meeting is to assist the Regional Administrator and the Comptroller of the Currency in a continuing review of bank regulations and policies. The meeting will also apprise agency officials of current conditions and problems banks are experiencing in the Region.

These meetings are concerned with the liquidity, solvency and continuity of the banking system and involve discussion of commercial and financial information obtained in confidence and required to be kept confidential.

It is hereby determined pursuant to section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463 that the meeting is concerned with matters listed in section 552(d) of Title 5 of the United States Code and particularly with exceptions (3), (4) and (8) thereof, and is therefore exempt from the provisions of section 10(a) (1) and (3) of the Act (Pub. L. 92-463) relating to open meeting and public participation therein.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

[SEAL] JAMES E. SMITH,
Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc.74-25421 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Customs Service

[T.D. 74-280]

MICROPAQUE

Tariff Classification

OCTOBER 21, 1974.

A notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 7, 1974 (39 FR 28448) that the United States Customs Service was reviewing the existing uniform and established practice of classifying Micropaque, a barium sulfate product used in obtaining roentgenograms, which contains a benzenoid sweetener, under the provision for other drugs, including synthetic drugs, in item 439.50, Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS).

On review of all the evidence submitted, and in the light of Headnote 1, Part 1C, Schedule 4, TSUS, defining benzenoid chemical products, the Customs Service is of the opinion that Micropaque is classifiable under the provision for mixtures in whole or in part of any of the (benzenoid) products provided for in this subpart (c), in item 409.00, TSUS.

Since this ruling will result in the assessment of duties at a rate higher than that previously assessed on Micropaque, the higher rate will be applied only to such merchandise as may be entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after the expiration of 90 days after the date of the publication of this notice in the weekly Customs Bulletin.

[SEAL] VERNON D. ACREE,
Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: October 21, 1974.

DAVID R. MACDONALD,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.74-25537 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

BOARD OF VISITORS, U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY

Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. I), notice is hereby given that the Board of Visitors, U.S. Naval Academy, will hold a meeting at the U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, on December 4 and 5, 1974. The agenda will consist of such inquiry as the Board shall deem necessary into the state of morale and discipline, the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, and academic methods of the Navy Academy.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MERLIN H. STARING,
Rear Admiral, JAGC, U.S. Navy,
Judge Advocate General of the Navy.

[FR Doc.74-25486 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bonneville Power Administration

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

Public Meetings

The Bonneville Power Administration hereby gives notice of a series of public meetings to be held to discuss BPA's Fiscal Year 1976 Draft Environmental Statement. The purpose of the meetings is twofold: to present to the public BPA's proposed Fiscal Year 1976 Program, and to elicit comments from the public with respect to the environmental impact of BPA's proposed program. The dates, hours, and place of these meetings are as follows: December 2, 1974, 1:30 p.m., Clallam County PUD Office, Port Angeles, Washington; December 3, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Veradale Irrigation District Office, Veradale, Washington; December 4, 1974, 7 p.m., Wilsonville Grade School, Wilsonville, Oregon; December 5, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Kent Memorial Park, Kent, Washington; December 9, 1974, 3 p.m., Mason County PUD Office, Shelton, Washington; December 10, 1974, 7 p.m., Mari-Lynn Elementary School, Lyons, Oregon; December 11, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Lone Pine Grange Hall, Wapinitia, Oregon; December 11, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Cheney City Hall, Cheney, Washington; December 12, 1974, 7 p.m., Rainier Elementary School, Rainier, Oregon; December 12, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Aberdeen Federal Savings Building, Aberdeen, Washington; December 12, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Arlington City Hall, Arlington, Oregon; December 16, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Whitman County Library, Colfax, Washington; December 17, 1974, 7:30 p.m., Franklin County PUD Auditorium, Pasco, Washington; December 17, 1974, 2 p.m., Garfield County Courthouse, Pomeroy, Washington.

Additional or clarifying information may be obtained by writing or calling the Environmental Office, Bonneville Power Administration, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, Oregon 97208; Area Code 503-234-3361.

Dated: October 29, 1974.

WILLIAM H. CLAGETT,
Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc.74-25492 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Bureau of Land Management

BOISE DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD

Change of Meeting Dates

The Bureau of Land Management Boise District Advisory Board will meet November 25 and 26, 1974, at 9:30 am, at the Boise District Office, 230 Collins Road, Boise, Idaho.

This is a change from the originally scheduled dates of November 14 and 15, as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of October 24, 1974, on page 37785.

WILLIAM L. MATHEWS,
State Director.

[FR Doc.74-25461 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

BURNS DISTRICT GRAZING ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

The Burns District Grazing Advisory Board, Oregon 2, will meet at 9 a.m. on November 19, 1974, at the Bureau of Land Management District Office, 74 S. Alvord, Burns, Oregon. Items which will be discussed at this meeting are: grazing applications and transfers of grazing privileges, proposed change in the licensing of horse use, exchange-of-use agreements, wild horses, as well as any other topics of interest.

Also, the Burns Grazing District will hold a protest meeting on December 18, 1974 at 9 a.m. at the Burns District Office. Purpose of this meeting will be to hear any protests resulting from action taken at the November 14, 1974 meeting. These meetings are open to the public. Interested persons may make oral or written presentations to the committee or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the official listed below at least one day prior to the meetings.

Further information concerning these meetings may be obtained from L. Christian Vosler, District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 74 S. Alvord, Burns, Oregon 97720, telephone 503-573-2071. Minutes of the meetings will be available for public inspection and copying two weeks after the meetings, at the Burns District Office, 74 S. Alvord, Burns, Oregon.

Dated: October 22, 1974.

L. CHRISTIAN VOSLER,
District Manager.

[FR Doc.74-25434 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

COLORADO DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD NO. 3A

Notice of Meeting

OCTOBER 16, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that the Colorado Grazing District Board No. 3A will hold its meeting on Tuesday, November 19, 1974, at the San Juan Resource Headquarters of the Montrose District, 1211 Main Street, Durango, Colorado, starting at 10 a.m. in the Resource Area Manager's room.

The agenda for the meeting will include a discussion of the organization of the Advisory Board. Other items to be brought before the Board: grazing applications for the 1975 grazing year, applications to transfer grazing privileges and Range Improvement Program.

The meeting will be open to the public as space is available. Time will be available for a limited number of brief statements by members of the public. Those wishing to make an oral statement should inform the Advisory Board Chairman, James Suckla, prior to the meeting of the Board. Oral statements will be heard at 1 p.m. Any interested person may file a written statement with the Board for its consideration. Written statements should be submitted to James Suckla, c/o District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1269, Montrose, Colorado 81401.

MARLYN V. JONES,
District Manager.

[FR Doc.74-25463 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

COLORADO DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD NO. 3

Notice of Meeting

OCTOBER 16, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that the Colorado Grazing District Board No. 3 will hold its meeting on Wednesday, November 20, 1974, at the District Office, Highway 550 South, Montrose, Colorado, starting at 9 A.M. in the conference room.

The agenda for the meeting will include a discussion of the organization of the Advisory Board. Other items to be brought before the Board: grazing applications for the 1975 grazing year, applications to transfer grazing privileges and Range Improvement Program.

The meeting will be open to the public as space is available. Time will be available for a limited number of brief statements by members of the public. Those wishing to make an oral statement should inform the Advisory Board Chairman, Lawrence E. Phelps, prior to the meeting of the Board. Oral statements will be heard at 11 a.m.

Any interested person may file a written statement with the Board for its consideration. Written statements should be

submitted to Lawrence E. Phelps, c/o District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1269, Montrose, Colorado 81401.

MARLYN V. JONES,
District Manager.

[FR Doc.74-25502 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

GRAND JUNCTION DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that the Grand Junction District Advisory Board will hold a regular meeting November 14, 1974, beginning at 9:30 a.m. in Room 201, U.S. Post Office Building, Glenwood Springs, Colorado.

The agenda for the meeting will be the consideration of grazing applications, base property transfers, exchange of use applications and licenses, and range line agreements.

The meeting will be open to the public. Those members of the public wishing to make an oral statement should advise the Co-Chairman prior to the meeting to aid in scheduling the time available. Any interested person may file a written statement for consideration by the Board by sending it to the Co-Chairman, Grand Junction District Manager, P.O. Box 1509, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501.

DALE R. ANDRUS,
State Director.

[FR Doc.74-25432 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

SHOSHONE DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that the Shoshone District Grazing Advisory Board will hold a regular meeting November 26, 1974, beginning at the District Office, 122 Cherry Street, Shoshone, Idaho, at 9:30 a.m.

The agenda for the meeting will be to make recommendations on all types of grazing applications, agreements, transfers of base property qualifications, and other miscellaneous matters.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any interested person wishing to meet with the Advisory Board should inform the Advisory Board Chairman, Allen Bauscher, Fairfield, ID 83327, prior to the meeting. Written statements may also be filed for consideration with Mr. Bauscher.

CHARLES J. HASZIER,
District Manager.

[FR Doc.74-25454 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Serial No. I-3378]

IDAHO

Partial Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

Notice of an application Serial No. I-3378, for withdrawal and reservation of lands, was published as FEDERAL REGISTER Document No. 70-2376 on page 3827 of the issue for February 27, 1970. The Bureau of Reclamation has cancelled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 2300, such lands will be at 10 am on November 27, 1974 relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

T. 1 N., R. 44 E.,
Sec. 17, W½SE¼NE¼SE¼SE¼, E½SW¼NE¼SE¼SE¼, S½NW¼SE¼SE¼, NE¼SW¼SE¼SE¼, E½NW¼SW¼SE¼SE¼, S½SW¼SE¼SE¼, W½SE¼SE¼SE¼, W½NW¼SW¼SE¼, S½NE¼SW¼SE¼, E½NW¼NE¼SW¼SE¼, NE¼NE¼SW¼SE¼.

The area described aggregates 55 acres.

VINCENT S. STROBEL,
Chief, Branch of L&M Operations.

[FR Doc.74-25433 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[NM 23444]

NEW MEXICO

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Company has applied for a 4½ inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 19 S., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 15, SE¼NW¼ and E½SW¼.

This pipeline will convey natural gas across .445 mile of national resource lands in Lea County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1397, Roswell, New Mexico 88201.

FRED E. PADILLA,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.74-25459 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[NM 23358]

NEW MEXICO

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Company has applied for a 4½-inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following land:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 31 N., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 14, Lot 5

This pipeline will convey natural gas across .179 miles of national resource lands in San Juan County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 3550 Pan American Freeway, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

FRED E. PADILLA,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.74-25460 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

WESTERN SLOPE GAS CO.

Pipeline Application

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (41 Stat. 449), as amended (30 USC 185), Western Slope Gas Company, P.O. Box 840, Denver, Colorado 80201, has applied for a right of way for two four inch natural gas gathering pipelines across the following lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO

T. 6 S., R. 104 W.,
Section 27: S½SE¼;
Section 34: E½NE¼, NE¼SE¼.

The pipelines will connect Fuelco wells 1-26 and 1-27 to applicant's Carbonera Natural Gas Gathering System, thence to the West Douglas to Grand Junction Natural Gas Transmission Line. The purpose of this project is to meet continuing and increasing demands for adequate supplies of natural gas in the Grand Junction market area.

The purposes of this notice are: To inform the public that the Bureau of Land Management will be proceeding with the preparation of environmental and other analyses necessary for determining whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions; to allow interested parties to comment on the application, and to allow any persons asserting a claim to the lands or having bona fide objections to the proposed pipeline right of way to file their objections in this office. Any person asserting a claim to the lands or having bona fide objections must include evidence that a copy thereof has been served on the applicant.

Any comment, claim, or objections must be filed with the Chief, Branch of Land Operations, Bureau of Land Management, Colorado State Office, Room 700, Colorado State Bank Building, 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80202, by December 3, 1974.

EVERETT K. WEEDIN,
Chief, Branch of Land Operations.

[FR Doc.74-25475 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Wyoming 086847]

WYOMING

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), the Amoco Production Company has applied to amend oil pipeline right-of-way grant W-086847 to relocate the pipeline on the following lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 50 N., R. 101 W.,
Sec. 28, lots 3 and 4.

The pipeline will affect 0.395 miles of national resource lands.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved and, if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 119, Worland, Wyoming 82401.

PHILIP C. HAMILTON,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.74-25479 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Wyoming 47613]

WYOMING

Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

The Bureau of Land Management, United States Department of the Interior, has filed an application, Serial Number Wyoming 47613, for the withdrawal of the public lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, except the mining and mineral leasing laws, pursuant to authority of Executive Order 10355.

The applicant wishes to assure tenure of the lands to facilitate their orderly classification under the Desert Land Entry Laws, where appropriate.

All persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing no later than December 5, 1974, to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 2120 Capitol Avenue, Cheyenne, Wyoming 82001.

If circumstances warrant, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

WASHAKIE COUNTY

T. 48 N., R. 92 W.,
Sec. 18, lots 2 and 4;
Sec. 19, lot 1.
T. 47 N., R. 92½ W.,
Sec. 1, lot 1.
T. 48 N., R. 92½ W.,
Sec. 1, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, SW¼NE¼, and W½SE¼;
Sec. 12, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and W½E½;
Sec. 13, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, NW¼NE¼, S½NE¼, and SE¼;
Sec. 24, all;
Sec. 25, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, W½NE¼, and SW¼SE¼.
T. 47 N., R. 93 W.,
Secs. 1 to 4, inclusive;
Sec. 5, lot 5, SE¼NE¼, and E½SE¼;
Sec. 8, NE¼NE¼;
Secs. 9 to 11, inclusive;
Sec. 12, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, NW¼NE¼, NW¼, NE¼SW¼, and W½SW¼;
Sec. 13, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and W½W½;
Secs. 14 and 15, inclusive;
Sec. 22, NE¼, and NE¼SE¼;
Sec. 23, lots 1 to 5, inclusive, NE¼, SW¼NW¼, NW¼SW¼, and NW¼SE¼;
Sec. 24, lots 1 to 4, inclusive;
Sec. 26, lot 1.
T. 48 N., R. 93 W.,
Secs. 1 to 6, inclusive;
Sec. 8, E½;
Secs. 9 to 15, inclusive;
Sec. 21, NE¼NE¼;
Secs. 22 to 26, inclusive;
Sec. 27, NE¼NE¼;
Sec. 32, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 33, S½S½;
Sec. 34, S½S½;
Secs. 35 and 36, inclusive.
T. 48 N., Rs. 92½, 93 W., W½ of Tract 37

BIG HORN COUNTY

T. 49 N., R. 93 W.,
Sec. 1, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 2, lot 3, S½NW¼, and S½;
Secs. 3 to 11, inclusive;
Secs. 14 to 23, inclusive;
Secs. 26 to 35, inclusive.
T. 50 N., R. 93 W.,
Sec. 4, lots 1 to 5, inclusive, lot 10, SW¼NW¼, and NW¼SW¼;
Secs. 5 to 8, inclusive;
Sec. 9, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and lot 6;
Sec. 17, NE¼NE¼, W½E½, and W½;
Secs. 18 to 20, inclusive;
Sec. 21, lot 1, W½W½, and SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 28, NW¼NE¼, SW¼NE¼, lot 2, W½, and W½SE¼;
Secs. 29 to 32, inclusive;
Sec. 33, W½NE¼, NW¼, and S½;
Sec. 34, NW¼SW¼, S½S½.
T. 51 N., R. 93 W.,
Sec. 5, lot 4;
Secs. 6 to 7, inclusive;
Sec. 8, lots 1 to 3, inclusive, and SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 17, lot 1, W½W½, SE¼NW¼, and NE¼SW¼;
Secs. 18 and 19, inclusive;
Sec. 20, NE¼NW¼, W½W½, and SE¼SW¼;
Sec. 28, lot 4;
Sec. 29, NW¼NE¼, S½NE¼, NW¼, and S½;
Secs. 30 to 32, inclusive;
Sec. 33, W½W½, lots 3, 4.
T. 52 N., R. 93 W.,
Sec. 30, lots 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, and 14;
Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, W½NE¼, and E½W½.
T. 49 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 1, all;
Sec. 12, all;
Sec. 13, E½;
Sec. 25, E½;
Sec. 36, E½.
T. 50 N., R. 94 W.,
Secs. 1 to 12, inclusive;
Sec. 13, N½N½, SE¼NE¼, SW¼NW¼, NW¼SW¼, S½S½, and NE¼SE¼;
Secs. 14 to 15, inclusive;
Secs. 17 to 30, inclusive;
Sec. 33, N½;
Sec. 34, N½;
Sec. 35, all.
T. 51 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 1, lots 1 to 6 inclusive, S½NE¼, SW¼SW¼, E½SW¼, and SE¼;
Sec. 2, lots 2 and 3;
Sec. 11, E½NE¼, S½SW¼, and SE¼;
Secs. 12 to 14, inclusive;
Sec. 15, lots 2 to 4, inclusive, S½NE¼, SE¼NW¼, E½SW¼, and SE¼;
Sec. 16, lots 1 to 4, inclusive;
Sec. 19, lots 2, 6, 7, SE¼SW¼, and SE¼;
Sec. 20, E½NE¼, SW¼NE¼, and S½;
Secs. 21 to 35, inclusive;
Sec. 36, lots 1 and 2.
T. 52 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 36, lots 1 and 2.

The areas described aggregate a total of 94,648.73 acres of public lands.

DANIEL P. BAKER,
State Director.

[FR Doc.74-25458 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[OR 10035]

OREGON

Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

The Department of Agriculture, on behalf of the Forest Service, has filed application, OR 10035, for withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the mining laws (30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws nor the disposal of materials under the act of July 31, 1947 (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601, 604), subject to valid existing rights.

The applicant desires the lands for the granting of easements for road rights-of-way.

All persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing no later than November 29, 1974, to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, (729 NE. Oregon Street), P.O. Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208.

The authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, to eliminate lands needed for purposes more essential than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

He will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency.

The determination by the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The lands involved in the application are:

FREMONT NATIONAL FOREST

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

River Road No. 330

T. 33 S., R. 18 E., W.M., Oregon,
Sec. 27: SE¼NE¼, E½SW¼, N½SE¼;
Sec. 33: Lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 9;
Sec. 34: N½NW¼, SW¼NW¼.
T. 34 S., R. 18 E., W.M., Oregon,
Sec. 4: Lots 5, 10, W½SW¼.

A strip of land 100 feet in width, being 50 feet in width on each side of the centerline of the River Road No. 330, over and across said subdivisions.

The area described aggregates approximately 30 acres in Lake County, Oregon.

LELAND D. MORRISON,
Acting Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.74-25500 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Fish and Wildlife Service

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:

Mr. James A. H. Smith, Owner
Hubert Castle International Circus
1960 Peavy Road
Dallas, Texas 75228
Re: Hubert Castle International Circus
Mr. C. R. BAVIN,
Chief, Division of Law Enforcement,
United States Department of the Interior,
Fish and Wildlife Service,
Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife,
Washington, D.C. 20240

AUGUST 27, 1974.

DEAR MR. BAVIN: The undersigned is making application for permit under section 10A of the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The following information is submitted pursuant to §§ 13.12 and 17.23 of Volume 39, No. 3, Part III of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Section 13.12. 1 Applicant's name, mailing address and telephone number:

James A. H. Smith D/B/A Hubert Castle International Circus, 1960 Peavy Road, Dallas, Texas 75228, 214 Area Code 321-5568.

2. Where applicant is an individual, his date of birth, height, weight, color of hair, color of eyes and sex; and business or institutional affiliation, if any, having to do with the wildlife to be covered by the permit.

Date of Birth—April 8, 1912
Height—5' 11½"
Weight—176 lbs.
Color of hair—Brown
Color of eyes—Blue
Sex—Male

Applicant is the owner of the Hubert Castle International Circus.

3. Where the applicant is a corporation, firm, partnership, institution or agency, either private or public, the name and address of the president or principal officer.

Not applicable.

4. Location where the permitted activity is to be conducted.

Applicant's circus will be engaged in the cities and on the dates as set forth in Exhibit A—Entitled "Official Route" attached hereto and made a part thereof.

Section 17.23. 1. Common and scientific names of the species or subspecies, number, age and sex of the wildlife to be covered in the permit.

Common name—Bengal Tigers
Scientific name—Panthera Tigris (Felis Tigris)
Two males—Approximately 8 years old
One female—approximately 8 years old
One female—21 months old
One male—21 months old

2. Copy of the contract or other agreement under which such wildlife is to be imported, showing the country of origin, names and address of the seller or consignor, date of the contract, number and weight (if available), and description of the wildlife.

Not applicable.

3. A full statement of justification for the permit, including details of the project or other plans for utilization of the wildlife in relation to zoological, educational, scientific or propagational purposes as appropriate and the planned disposition of the wildlife upon termination of the project.

All of these tigers are domestic, having been born here in the U.S.A. To the best of my knowledge—All their ancestors for at least ten generations were born in captivity in the U.S.

While these tigers are utilized as performers in the circus—their utilization is educational in that the public has an opportunity to view a wild animal in a controlled environment—especially in those cities which are not fortunate enough to have zoos. In addition these animals are fed and watered, when not performing, in a place visible to the public. Many times entire classrooms view these animals as part of their school program and the undersigned furnishes an attendant who is instructed to answer all questions regarding these animals. This type of visit, whether by school children or other groups, is encouraged by the undersigned.

Any of the above animals and their offspring, not used as circus performers, will be made available to zoos or other approved organizations interested in the propagation of the species.

A permit is justifiable as the undersigned is engaged, among other things, in a project to enhance the survivorship of the species in the following manner:

The applicant is engaged in a breeding program with regard to these animals in order to enhance survivorship of the species. As a matter of fact—two of the tigers for which applicant requests a permit are the result of a breeding program wherein the mother (Goldie) and the father (Taber) are two of the tigers above mentioned—for which a permit is requested. The remaining tiger is a brother of the father of the two offspring. Goldie will be bred again in September in order to enhance the survival of the species.

4. A description and address of the institution or other facility where the wildlife will be used or maintained.

See Exhibit "A"—Attached hereto.

5. A statement that at the time of application the wildlife to be imported is still in the wild, was born in captivity, or has been removed from the wild.

None removed from the wild. All born in captivity in the U.S.A. See paragraph 3—Under Section 17.23.

6. A resume of the applicant's attempts to obtain the wildlife to be imported from sources which would not cause the death or removal of additional animals from the wild, if appropriate.

Not applicable.

7. If wildlife is to be imported.

Wildlife is Not to be imported.

Certification. I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts of sub-chapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

JAMES A. H. SMITH.

Official Route. August/September/October, 1974. Earlier schedule on file in office of Fish & Wildlife Service.

AUGUST

1—Columbus, Nebraska.
7—Springdale, Arkansas.
8, 9 and 10—Joplin, Missouri.
12—Ft. Smith, Arkansas.
14—Great Bend, Kansas.
15, 16 and 17—St. Joseph, Missouri.
19, 20 and 21—Crown Point, Indiana.

SEPTEMBER

6, 7 and 8—London, Ontario (Great Western Fair).
10, 11—Allegan, Michigan.
14, 15 and 16—Minot, North Dakota.
25—Yuma, Arizona.
27, 28 and 29—Phoenix, Arizona.
30—Globe, Arizona.

OCTOBER

2 thru 6—El Paso, Texas.
9 thru 13—Colorado Springs, Colorado.
18 thru 20—Chattanooga, Tennessee.
23 thru 26—Utica, New York.

Crown Point, Indiana, and Allegan, Michigan, are sponsored by the fairs in these cities.

Our performance in Yuma, Arizona, is sponsored by the Optimists Club.

All other cities listed are sponsored by the Shriners.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74-25405 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Notice of Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:

Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge
Route 1, Box 84
Brooksville, Mississippi 39739
Travis H. McDaniel, Refuge Manager

To: Director (FWS/LE), USFWS, U.S. Dept. of the Int., DATE: 9/11/74, Washington, D.C. 20240.

From: Refuge Manager, Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge, Rt. #1, Box 84, Brooksville, Mississippi 39739.

Subject: Permit application—endangered species.

Below is the information requested by § 13.12, Part 13, and § 17.23, Part 17, Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, for applications relating to endangered fish and wildlife.

Applicant. Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge, Route #1, Box 84, Brooksville, Mississippi 39739, Phone A/C 601 323–5548.

Principal officer. Travis H. McDaniel, Refuge Manager, 2309 McArthur Drive, Starksville, Miss. 39759, Phone A/C 601 323–60616.

Location. With the exception of shipping or transporting animals to a scientific or educational institution, all activities would be limited to the confines of Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge. The refuge is located in the following Mississippi counties: Noxubee, Oktibbeha and Winston.

Additional information. Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge provides year round or seasonal habitat for the following endangered species:

1. Red-cockaded woodpecker (*Dendrocopos borealis*.

2. Southern Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*).

In the past, refuge personnel have found and kept dead endangered species until they could be placed with a scientific or educational institution. Therefore, please issue a permit to allow the possession, transportation, shipment, of dead specimens of the above mentioned endangered species, any parts thereof, and their eggs.

Effective date. From issue date until revoked.

Certification. I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Dated: September 11, 1974.

TRAVIS H. MCDANIEL,
Refuge Manager.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74–25410 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–205).

Applicant:

Atlanta Zoological Park
800 Cherokee Avenue, SE.
Atlanta, Georgia 30316

R. Howard Hunt,
Curator, Herpetology

SEPTEMBER 3, 1974.

I would like to send by air freight (to leave the United States from New York), ten live Morelet's crocodiles, *Crocodylus moreleti*. The specimens are 12–30 inches in length, 6 months to 2 years old, and are unsexed. These animals would be sent to the Herpetological Station, 165 00 Prague 6-Suchdol, Olsova 6, Czechoslovakia, telephone 344464, by March 15, 1975.

These young animals were captive-hatched at the Atlanta Zoological Park from Mexican parents, and I need to reduce my inventory of *C. moreleti* or a number of them will die in fights because of overcrowding. I will keep as many as possible, including the young in the large solarium, for release by Professor Del Toro. I will tag all *C. moreleti* leaving the Atlanta Zoo.

Professor Zdenek Vogel, director of the Herpetological Station, is a world famous zoologist and is competent at keeping crocodilians in captivity. His attached letter states his intentions for these animals and his facilities for keeping them.

I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Sincerely yours,

R. HOWARD HUNT,
Curator, Herpetology.

AUGUST 8, 1974.

Mr. R. HOWARD HUNT,
Atlanta Zoological Park,
Atlanta, Georgia

DEAR MR. HUNT, Thank you for your letter of 2nd July, regarding the Morelet's Crocodiles (Crocodylus moreleti) and American Crocodiles (Crocodylus acutus). We would need both species, first of all, the Morelet's Crocodiles which so far we haven't had the opportunity to study. According to your letter they are juvenile, born in your Zoological Park, the length of which is now about 12 to 18 inches. This is very important to us, as we are doing mostly ecology and ethology of crocodiles so that we become thoroughly acquainted with the changes of their nourishment during their growth, mutual relations, optimal temperature, exchange of teeth, as well as we can do a research of their diseases and parasites. Animals born in captivity are for our purposes especially suitable.

The results of our scientific work are serving above all to the International Office for the Protection of Nature in Morges (Switzerland) and to countries, where animals are threatened with extinction. For modern protection of animals it is necessary to know precisely all their needs, so that they may in protected areas live their normal life and increase.

For the young Morelet's Crocodiles we have two terrarias 12 foot long and 5 foot wide with water basins and sandy banks. Into the tanks the sun can shine from above during the warm season directly, otherwise will the reptiles be irradiated by special bulbs. Next year during June, July and August the crocodiles could be placed in a natural basin which is doing them well. If necessary, there is also a possibility of letting down an artificial rain. We are giving the young crocodiles manifold food—mice, young rats, hamsters, young rabbits, chicken, sparrows, various kinds of fish and crickets. We are keeping now about 12 species of crocodiles/also very rare: Cuban Crocodiles, Orinoco-Crocodiles, Gavials/—when they reach the age of sexual maturity, we usually send them into their native country. When necessary, their food is enriched by vitamins and hormonal extracts. All our crocodiles are under a permanent sanitary attention carried out by the States Veterinary Institution.

Detailed datas of our work's results are being published in specialized periodicals and books. We can send you regular reports about the animals condition. We should appreciate if you let us know which Central American country your Morelet's Crocodiles are coming from so that we get thoroughly acquainted with their life condition in the nature.

Yours sincerely,

PROF. Z. VOGEL,
Director.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74–25411 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–205).

Applicant:

Wayne C. Hanson
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544
DIRECTOR (FWS/LE)
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
U.S. Department of the Interior,
Washington, D.C. 20240

SEPTEMBER 20, 1974.

DEAR SIR: Pursuant to 50 CFR 13.12, and 50 CFR 17.23, I hereby apply for a permit to engage in activities involving an endangered wildlife species for scientific purposes.

General information is as follows, per § 13.12(a):

(1) *Name.* Wayne C. Hanson.

Mailing Address. Environmental Studies, Group H-8, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, M.S. 490, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544.

Telephone. (505) 667-5021.

(2) *Date of Birth.* 5 September 1923.

Height. 5'8".

Weight. 165.

Color of Hair. Gray.

Color of Eyes. Hazel.

Sex. Male.

Institutional Affiliation. Environmental Studies, Group H-8, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

(3) *Institutional Principal Officer.* Dr. Harold M. Agnew, Director, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544.

(4) *Location Where Permitted Activity is to be Conducted.* Los Alamos County, New Mexico.

(5) Appropriate additional information, § 17.23, per Subpart C of 50 CFR 17:

(i) Common name of species involved: American Peregrine Falcon.

Scientific name of species involved. *Falco peregrinus anatum.*

Number and ages of animals involved: ≥2 adults + young.

Sex of animals involved: Adult males and females + young.

(ii) No importation contemplated.

(iii) Justification: Continuous records have been kept of peregrine falcon aeries in the vicinity of Los Alamos over the past 11 years by a local person who has conducted cooperative information exchanges with Dr. James Enderson of Colorado State College at Colorado Springs. With the establishment of an Ecology Section within Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) in June 1973, and subsequent proposal to designate the LASL as a National Environmental Research Park, the individual volunteered his records and services as a part of the ecological studies to be conducted. With the past data it is possible to map the aeries by years and to investigate the remains of eggshell fragments from 1964–1974 nesting attempts. These would be removed during the period October-February when the falcons are not occupying the aerie and would be measured for eggshell thickness and analyzed for pesticides through the efforts of Dr. Enderson.

The land immediately adjacent to the aerie habitat was unfortunately declared surplus by the Los Alamos Area Office of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and sold by the GSA to a private bidder in October 1973.

Considerable political effort was necessary to correct the situation and return the property to the AEC on the basis that an endangered species occupied the area and that subsequent development of the area by intry would probably cause the desertion of the aerie.

We hope to include the aerie within the LASL National Environmental Research Park for the purposes of (1) affording the birds maximum sanctuary without undue publicity; (2) to further monitor the reproductive performance of the known pair of nesting peregrines; and (3) evaluate other habitats of the Los Alamos area for the presence of other peregrines. A total of 30 young perregrines were fledged from the aerie of record during 1964–1973; however, the birds were unsuccessful this year. All studies will be conducted under U.S. Atomic Energy Commission sponsorship.

At some future time we would consider cooperating in a program to exchange fragile eggs for fledglings raised in an attempt to propagate the species, if such a program were approved. Our immediate objective is to secure the future of the birds through scientific research into possible causes of decreasing reproduction.

(iv) Address of Institution Maintaining the Species:

The birds are free and unconfined within the immediate area of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

(v) At the time of this application the wildlife is free and unconfined and will remain so.

(vi) Not appropriate (import sources).

(vii) Not appropriate (import plans).

Section 13.12(a)(6) Not applicable (foreign import plans).

(7) *Certification.* I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

(8) *Desired effective date.* From earliest possible date to continue indefinitely. We would like to have the permit in time to examine the eleven aeries before snowfall makes rockclimbing too hazardous.

(9) *Dated.* 20 September 1974.

(10) *Signature of Applicant.* W. C. Hanson.

(11) *Other relevant information.*

(a) I have conducted scientific ecological studies involving wildlife for 26 years, including a 21-year study of a Columbia River Canada goose population and other studies from New Mexico to Alaska. During that time I have held scientific banding and collector's permits in several states as well as Federal permits, and have never been faulted for my performance.

I currently hold New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Scientific Collecting Permit No. 862 authorizing me to remove eggshells from peregrine falcon aeries for pesticide analysis by Colorado State College and USFWS Bird Banding Permit No. 20184.

Sincerely yours,

WAYNE C. HANSON,
H-8 Associate Group Leader,
Environmental Studies.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74-25409 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:

Mr. Tommy Hanneford
Osprey, Florida 33559

Mr. C. R. BAVIN,
U.S. Department of the Interior,
Fish and Wildlife Service,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I hereby make application for a permit for the transportation of endangered species consisting of the following below named animals across the state lines in the United States of America:

One (1) female Bengal tiger, Jaipur, 1 year old, 150 lbs.
One (1) male Bengal-Siberian tiger, Obie, 1 year old, 300 lbs.
One (1) male Bengal-Siberian tiger, Chyack, 10 mo. old, 150 lbs.
One (1) male Bengal-Siberian tiger, Pasha, 1 year old, 400 lbs.
One (1) male black panther, Roma, 15 years old, 150 lbs.

I respectfully request a breeder's permit for these animals. Presently, with the exception of the black panther, they are too young to be bred. As soon as they reach breeding age I do intend and will breed these animals. The black panther is a proven breeder and he has fathered many litters.

My name is Tommy Hanneford, Hanneford Motel, Osprey, Florida 33559. My telephone number is area code 813-966-3212. I was born September 18, 1927 in Ozone Park, New York. My height is 5' 10" and I weigh 170 lbs. I am a male with black hair, brown eyes. I am self-employed as a circus performer and I am a United States citizen.

My breeding facilities for these animals consists of one holding cage for each, four feet high, four feet wide, and seven feet long; together with a thirty foot in diameter exercise arena. I transport the above described animals across state lines for the purpose of exhibiting them and when transporting them I have them in an enclosed van type vehicle. During the winter months I winter-quarter in Osprey, Florida at the address shown above.

I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13 of the code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Sub-chapter B of Chapter 1 of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statements herein may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Yours truly,

TOMMY HANNEFORD.

P.S. I am enclosing a check for $50.00 for the permit fee. If there is any additional information that you desire from me or if you require further funds, would you please contact my attorney, Richard M. Rhodes, 25 Court Street, Peru, Indiana 46970.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or

before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74-25408 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:

B. G. Whiteside, Ph.D.,
Aquatic Station
Biology Department
Southwest Texas State University
San Marcos, Texas 78666

AUGUST 29, 1974.

DIRECTOR (FWS/LE)—Permits,
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service,
U.S. Department of the Interior,
Washington, D.C. 20240

DEAR SIR: Enclosed is a copy of a letter that I sent to you on June 14, 1974 and the additional information that you requested.

Applicant's Name. Bobby Gene Whiteside.

Address. Aquatic Station, Biology Department, Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas 78666.

Phone. 512-245-2284.

Birth Date. June 16, 1940.

Height. 5 ft. 11 inches.

Weight. 150 lbs.

Color of hair. Black.

Color of eyes. Blue.

Sex. Male.

Institutional affiliation. Southwest Texas State University.

Name and address of President of Institution. Lee H. Smith, President, Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas 78666.

Location where the permitted activity is to be conducted. The upper part of the San Marcos River, Hays County, San Marcos, Texas and the Comal River, Comal County, New Braunfels, Texas.

Permit Requested. under Part 17:

17.12 Endangered native wildlife, Darter, fountain; *Etheostoma fonticola.*

17.23 Scientific and educational permit.

I am requesting this permit to enable me to finish a research project that was begun before the passing of the Endangered Species Act of 1973. I would like permission to continue the work as stated on page 7 and 8 of the attached grant proposal (underlined in red). Based on previous work we have estimated the San Marcos River population of fountain darters at approximately 100,000. Therefore, if *E. fonticola* is not found to be present in the Comal River, the specimens needed from the San Marcos River to restock this river would not endanger the San Marcos population.

Pages 18-21 of the attached grant proposal will give you additional background information about myself.

I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and the other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Desired effective date. To start as soon as possible and to be effective for a one-year period.

Dated. 8-29-74

Signature of the applicant.

BOBBY GENE WHITESIDE.

OFFICE OF ENDANGERED SPECIES AND INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES
Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife,
U.S. Department of Interior,
Washington, D.C. 20240

JUNE 14, 1974.

DEAR SIR: I am seeking a collecting permit which will enable Mr. John Schenck (a graduate student of mine) and myself to collect specimens of an endangered species of fish, *Etheostoma fonticola* from the San Marcos and Comal Rivers. We have some preserved specimens on hand that were previously collected from the San Marcos River as part of a master's thesis. In order to complete a study of the ecology of *Etheostoma fonticola*, we will need to collect a limited number of specimens each month for a one-year period. Only a few of the specimens collected each month will be sacrificed and the remainder will be counted and returned to the river. The San Marcos River supports a population of this species which numbers into the thousand, thus, their limited removal would not further endanger the population. On the other hand, there is a strong possibility that habitat modification, destruction, or lessened flow of springs in the river would exterminate this species. Thus, it is imperative that the ecology of this species be studied.

Further information will be supplied upon request.

The issuing of this permit would be appreciated.

The following is a list of a few people who are prominent in the field of fisheries that, I am sure, would be willing to write me a letter of recommendation: Dr. George A. Moore, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma; Bobby Gene Grinstead, Oklahoma Fisheries Research Lab., North Campus, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma; Robert M. Jenkins, National Reservoir Research Program, 113 S. E. St., Fayetteville, Arkansas,

Sincerely,

B. G. WHITESIDE,
Associate Professor of Biology.

THE FOUNTAIN DARTER (ETHEOSTOMA FONTICOLA)

We plan an additional 200 man-hours of sampling in the fall of 1974 to further evaluate the status of this species in the Comal River. If it is determined that *E. fonticola* is no longer present in the Comal River, a program will be initiated to reintroduce this species utilizing specimens from the San Marcos River. If *E. fonticola* are found in the Comal River, no attempt will be made to introduce additional specimens in order to avoid crossing the different genetic stocks. If a reintroduction program becomes necessary, it will be performed by a series of introductions. We feel that a series of introductions in which each introduction contains a small number of *E. fonticola* instead of a single introduction containing a large number of specimens would insure that the San Marcos River population would not be significantly reduced. Strawn (1955) indicated that *E. fonticola* spawns year-around, thus this type of reintroduction program would allow the San Marcos River population to maintain its numbers. The Comal River would be periodically checked to evaluate the status of the introduced specimens and to note any signs of reproduction.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before December 2, 1974, will be considered.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74-25407 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

MARINE MAMMALS

Issuance of Permit

On September 10, 1974, a notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 32635-32636) that an application had been filed with the Fish and Wildlife Service by Jack W. Lentfer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 813 D Street, Anchorage, Alaska, for a permit to monitor movements of instrumented polar bears, for scientific research purposes.

Notice is hereby given that on October 22, 1974, as authorized by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), the Fish and Wildlife Service issued a permit to Jack W. Lentfer, subject to certain conditions set forth therein. The permit is available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Fish and Wildlife Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20240.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

MARSHALL L. STINNETT,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.74-25406 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Geological Survey

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OIL AND GAS LEASES

Payment of Certain Royalties

On June 28, 1974, Notices were sent to lessees and operators of Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas leases setting forth procedures for the payment of royalties on oil and gas lost during drilling and producing operations. Since that time, a reexamination of pertinent lease terms indicates a modification of those requirements should be made. Therefore, the following Notice will be issued to lessees and operators in the Gulf of Mexico OCS Area which permits the

use of gas for lease purposes without the payment of royalty on those leases which provide that "gas used for purposes of production from and operations upon the leased area or unavoidably lost" is not subject to royalty. However, this is subject to possible change after review of this provision by the Comptroller General. A similar Notice will be issued to lessees and operators in the Pacific OCS Area.

JACK W. CARLSON,
Assistant Secretary
of the Interior.

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

ROYALTY PAYMENT ON OIL AND GAS LOST

LESSEES AND OPERATORS OF FEDERAL OIL AND GAS LEASES ON THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF, GULF OF MEXICO AREA

This Notice supersedes the notice issued June 28, 1974, concerning the payment of royalties on oil and gas lost.

Effective June 1, 1974, royalty will be due on all oil and gas produced from all OCS leases, except gas production as provided in paragraph 3. of this Notice. The production subject to royalty, except as provided in paragraph 3., includes that oil and gas which is lost in spills, blowouts, and fires, gas which is vented or flared, and oil and gas used on such leases, all of which are referred to as lost oil and gas in this Notice.

The amount of oil and gas lost and the royalty value accruing to the United States as a result of these losses shall be reported monthly on Form 9–153. Payment of royalty shall be made on these amounts of oil and gas subject to the determination by the Oil and Gas Supervisor that such royalty value is properly calculated pursuant to 30 CFR 250.20. Additional measurement equipment shall be required where present methods are inadequate to satisfy the purposes of this notice.

The operator shall comply with the following specific requirements:

1. Volume Determination.

A. Oil and Gas Lost After Measurement. When the amount of oil and gas produced has been measured pursuant to 30 CFR 250.60 and 250.61, prior to any loss of such oil and gas, that measurement shall be the basis for the volume determination.

B. Oil and Gas Lost Prior to Measurement. The volume of oil and gas lost prior to the point of measurement shall be determined as follows:

(1) Emergencies. During emergency situations, the volume of oil and gas lost shall be estimated by comparison with the last measured throughput from the production facilities on the lease or unitized area where the loss occurs considering factors such as the duration of the emergency, individual well tests, daily lease production rates, historical production data, or by such other methods as may be approved by the Supervisor.

(2) Well Purging and Evaluation Tests. The results of previous production tests and the duration of the test period shall form the basis for determining the volume of oil and gas lost during well evaluation tests. The volumes of oil and gas lost as a result of well purgings shall be similarly determined.

2. Value Determination for Royalty Purposes.

A. Gas. In the absence of a sales contract or other agreement, gas which is lost shall be valued at no less than the highest price then provided by existing contracts for gas for the same lease or unitized area, field, or general area or at the prevalent area or other rate established by the Federal Power Commission in accordance with 30 CFR 250.64.

B. Oil. In computing the royalty due on oil which is lost, the value of such oil shall be computed in the same manner as the Supervisor calculates the value of other oil sold from the lease or unitized area, field, or general area in accordance with 30 CFR 250.64.

3. Gas Production on Which Royalty Shall Not Accrue.

A. Royalty shall accrue on all gas produced under leases which are issued pursuant to section 8 of the OCS Lands Act (43 U.S.C. section 1337) and which provide that "gas used for purposes of production from and operations upon the leased area or unavoidably lost" is not subject to royalty, except as provided below. All lessees are advised, however, that on August 26, 1974, the Chairman, Conservation and Natural Resources Subcommittee of the House Committee on Government Operations requested the Comptroller General to determine the validity of this provision which has been included in section 8 leases. Accordingly, the Department will consider the opinion of the Comptroller General when issued and decide subsequently whether the payment of additional royalty may be required of these lessees. Therefore, all lessees whose leases are subject to paragraph 3A are required to keep records of all gas volumes excluded under paragraph 3A so that the amount of royalty may be determined if the lease provision is ultimately found to be invalid.

(1) Reinjected Gas. Gas which is produced pursuant to a lease or unit agreement, and which is reinjected into a well or formation subject to that lease or unit agreement to aid in the recovery of oil and gas in a manner which renders that gas reasonably subject to later extraction, will not be subject to the payment of royalty until finally produced and not so reinjected. However, the operator shall report monthly to the Supervisor on Form 9–152 the volume of gas so produced and reinjected.

(2) Other Lease Use. Gas produced pursuant to a lease or unit agreement and used for operations or production activities pursuant to that same lease or unit agreement as a fuel or otherwise in the operation of machinery or equipment shall not be subject to royalty, unless it is a use which the Supervisor has prohibited.

(3) Gas Vapor Losses. Gas vapors which are released from storage tanks or other low-pressure production vessels and which are not collected by a vapor recovery unit or similar system when the Supervisor determines that such vapors may not feasibly be collected shall not be subject to royalty.

(4) Other Lost Gas. Gas which is lost in blowouts, fires, or otherwise exclusive of subparagraph 3.A.(3) above shall be considered unavoidably lost and not subject to royalty unless (i) there has been negligence on the part of the lessee or operator, or (ii) the lessee or operator has failed to take all reasonable precautions against such loss, or (iii) there has been a violation of the applicable regulations or OCS Orders. Where gas is intentionally flared or vented, the gas shall not be considered unavoidably lost and royalty shall accrue.

B. Royalty shall accrue on all gas produced under leases which are issued pursuant to section 8 of the OCS Lands Act and which do not provide that "gas used for purposes of production from and operations upon the leased area or unavoidably lost" is not subject to royalty, and under leases maintained pursuant to section 6 of the Act, except as provided below.

(1) Reinjected Gas. Gas which is produced pursuant to a lease or unit agreement, and which is injected into a well or formation subject to that lease or unit agreement to aid in the recovery of oil and gas in a manner which renders that gas reasonably subject to later extraction, will not be subject to the payment of royalty until finally produced and not so reinjected. However, the operator shall report monthly to the Supervisor on Form 9–152 the volume of gas so produced and reinjected.

(2) Gas Vapor Losses. Gas vapors which are released from storage tanks or other low-pressure production vessels and which are not collected by a vapor recovery unit or similar system when the Supervisor determines that such vapors may not feasibly be collected, shall not be subject to royalty.

[FR Doc.74–25476 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

Office of Hearings and Appeals

[Docket No. M 75–52]

BISHOP COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. Section 861(c) (1970), Bishop Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1704–2(e) to its Bishop No. 34 Mine, Pocahontas, Virginia.

30 CFR 75.1704–2(e) provides:

Practice escapeway drills shall be conducted so that all miners are kept informed of the route of escape, any necessary ventilation changes, the location of fire doors, check curtains, or smoke-retarding doors, and plans for diverting smoke from escapeways. Such practice drills shall ensure that each miner travels the escapeways through his respective working section up to the main escapeways at least once every 90 days, and that at least two miners, including the supervisor, on each producing section travel through the main escapeways up to the portal at least once every six weeks.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

Petitioner has purchased a large number of reflector signs, made of masonite with white reflective paint and taped arrows—green for intake escapeway and red for return—which measure approximately 2 feet by 1 foot.

Petitioner has installed said signs in the center of each intersection and at every turn and at frequent intervals in the straight roadbeds of the Bishop No. 34 Mine. These signs are visible for fourteen breakthroughs. The number of signs installed in this mine varies according to the length of the road and the number of turns.

Petitioner is of the opinion that the installation of said signs is a safer method of ensuring the miners' knowledge about the escapeways since the signs are almost constantly in view of the miners while they are working. The proposed alternative achieves the result intended by § 75.1704–2(e) and will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners in said mine by such standard.

PETITIONER'S PLAN FOR AN ALTERNATIVE SYSTEM

Petitioner proposes to install and maintain reflective signs at the center

of each intersection and at every turn and at frequent intervals on the straight roadbed in lieu of conducting escapeway drills for all miners.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Secretary modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1704–2(e) by eliminating the requirement of escapeway drills for all miners and granting the modification to permit use of petitioner's proposed alternative method of ensuring the miners' knowledge of the escapeways.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25444 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75–41]

EASTERN COAL CORP.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 861 (c) (1970), Eastern Coal Corporation has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Stone No. 7 Mine, Pike County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

To be read concurrently with § 75.1405 is 30 CFR 75.1405–1 which provides:

The requirement of § 75.1405 with respect to automatic couplers applies only to track haulage cars which are regularly coupled and uncoupled.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

The mine cars involved are used for hauling men and supplies and are one hundred and twenty-five in number. These are Sanford-Day cars and their ages range considerably, representing the capital expenditures for additional or replacement by Petitioner over the years. All of the mine cars are fitted with standard pin-and-link coupling devices.

Investigation of the possibility of installation of automatic couplers on the coal cars had been conducted, but serious safety problems have been presented which suggest a diminution of safety over the present method. Many of the haulage rail switches leading from the main line into the working areas of the mine were installed when the mine was first being developed and are of shorter radius than those which would be needed to accommodate cars joined together with automatic couplers. These switches cannot be replaced with wider-radius switches without removing portions of the adjacent coal pillars which help provide roof support. The relative lack of flexibility of automatic couplers both vertically and horizontally could cause derailments and require that workers position themselves between mine cars to retrack and recouple affected mine cars.

In recent weeks, mine management has become aware of the existence of an alternate method which does not possess the disadvantages of the automatic coupler and which achieves the same measure of protection to miners sought to be achieved by use of the automatic coupler. (See March 14, 1974, decision by Administrative Law Judge Kennedy in Docket No. MORG 74–22).

It is Petitioner's understanding that the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration has further interpreted the phrase "regularly coupled and uncoupled" as excluding mine cars which are coupled together with pin and link arrangements provided the pin, when in coupling position, is secured by a suitable lock pin, nut or other locking device so that the cars thus coupled cannot be uncoupled simply by lifting the pin. Thus, a string of coal cars which have been coupled together by link and fixed pin arrangements are not required to have automatic couplers except on the end of the exterior car of the string which is from time to time (irregularly) coupled to some other piece of haulage equipment. This interpretation has not been published.

Petitioner submits that the application of the foregoing provision of the Act and the foregoing regulations and interpretations, if applied to Petitioner's mine, would result in a diminution of safety over the present system in effect. Beyond this, however, in order to better achieve the purposes sought to be achieved by the Act and regulations and thus to provide a standard which provides a better measure of protection to the miners in said mine, Petitioner proposes an alternate method, as set forth hereinafter.

ALTERNATE METHOD

Approval of this alternate system as a satisfactory replacement of the standard which otherwise would become effective in mandating automatic couplers on mine cars at this mine is subject to the following understandings and conditions which are incorporated as parts of the alternate system:

A. All cars in use at the captioned mine for transporting supplies will be coupled together in units or strings of cars using pin and link couplings and each pin will be fixed in position by welding a stop on the mine car to prevent the link from being disengaged. The coupling end of all haulage electric locomotives and the rear end of the last car of each string will be fitted with a coupling lever so designed as to permit an employee to lift or drop the pin through the car bumper to secure or release a link that has been inserted from another haulage unit and to do this without the necessity of positioning himself between the units being coupled or uncoupled. If it becomes necessary in the coupling operating to position the link, this also will be done without the employee positioning himself between the units—he will effectuate this alignment by using a specially designed hand link aligner tool which shall be part of the equipment on all haulage crews. (Attachment A contains the detailed specifications for the coupling lever on mine cars and the hand link aligners.) The front end of the haulage locomotives (that is the end opposite the deck end) will be fitted with similar coupling levers.

B. All employees at the captioned mine will be trained and instructed in the proper operation and use of the coupling levers and the hand link aligners and their proper use will be mandatory requirements for coupling and uncoupling of all mine car haulage units at this mine. More specifically in this regard:

(1) All present employees at this mine will be instructed on the function and use of the coupling lever and hand link aligners.

(2) Thereafter, any new employee hired at this mine will be given instruction on the function and use of the coupling lever and hand link aligners as part of his orientation before he commences actual work.

(3) This instruction of all employees will again be repeated at six month intervals. Employees absent from work during the normal reinstruction period will be reinstructed after they return to work.

(4) The Company will maintain a permanent record of the names and dates when each mine employee received this instruction and reinstruction.

(5) The requirement that, upon conversion of supply haulage mine cars at this mine, coupling and uncoupling be done by means of coupling levers and hand link aligners shall be a mandatory safety rule at this mine and a notice to this effect shall be posted on the regular company and union bulletin boards at the mine.

(6) Should the alternative methods be approved, as each electric haulage locomotive and as each multiple mine car unit is converted and placed into operation, such locomotive and multiple car unit will be subject to the modified standard set forth in this petition and such haulage locomotive or multiple car units will be coupled and uncoupled by use of the coupling lever and hand link aligner in accord with the modified standard. Effective upon a date agreeable with all of the parties hereto, all electric haulage locomotives and all multiple mine car units in operation at this mine must have been converted and the modified standard will become fully operative except as an extension of time has been provided by stipulation of all

the parties or by order of an Administrative Law Judge of the Interior Department Office of Hearings and Appeals. In the event shortages and/or unavailability of materials or other conditions beyond the control of management prevent completion of full conversion by such agreed upon date, Petitioner will endeavor to arrange by stipulation with the other parties for a mutually agreeable period of extension.

Failing such agreement, Petitioner may request from the Office of Hearings and Appeals the assignment of an Administrative Law Judge and conduct of a hearing for the purposes of determining whether an extension of time should be granted and, if granted, the duration of such extension. The parties by stipulation, or the assigned Administrative Law Judge by order following notice to all parties and conduct of hearing, shall have authority to provide for an appropriate extension if the circumstances make it reasonable to do so.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

The alternate method set forth above will provide no less than the same measure of protection to miners at the Stone No. 7 mine than that sought to be afforded by section 314(f) of the Act and Interior Department Regulations 30 CFR 75.1405 and 75.1405–1. Under the circumstances at this mine it will actually provide greater protection and thus avoid the diminution of safety that would result if automatic couplers were used, because:

1. Automatic couplers lack the flexibility of permitting mine cars to negotiate some of the rail curves in this mine. If this were attempted, the result would be derailments with possible roof falls and other sources of injury to mine personnel. The radii of these rail curves cannot be enlarged without removing some of the coal from the adjacent coal pillars. Such removal would reduce the protection from roof falls, a serious and overriding cause of concern in underground coal mines.

2. Any modification of the present mine cars with automatic couplers would have to be accomplished by affixing coupler units to the bumpers of the present cars. The point where such couplers are affixed to the present chassis would be subject to severe strain and stress that would be much more likely to cause coupling misalignment and failure than in the case of the pin-link arrangement or in the case of new car units where the automatic couplers have been designed as part of the car chassis. The prospect of derailments and runaway cars, with attendant dangers to employees, is less likely if pin-and-link couplings are used.

3. The type of mine car, particularly under the haulage layout at this mine, requires a much more flexible coupler arrangement than is possible with the relatively rigid automatic couplers, which pose the danger of derailments and runaway cars. Furthermore, dips occur along the main haulageway which could possibly cause uncoupling of automatic couplers. The coupling arrangement proposed in this alternate system, involving the flexible pin and link coupling, with safeguards, provides a much safer operation.

4. No imminent danger is presently involved. Petitioner proposes his alternate method in the sincere conviction that it provides a safer method than the use of automatic couplers.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25437 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-42]

EASTERN COAL CORP.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 861(c) (1970), Eastern Coal Corporation has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Stone No. 8 Mine, Pike County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

To be read concurrently with § 75.1405 is 30 CFR 75.1405–1 which provides:

The requirement of § 75.1405 with respect to automatic couplers which applies only to track haulage cars are regularly coupled and uncoupled.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

The mine cars involved are used for hauling men and supplies and are one hundred and twenty-five in number. These are Sanford-Day cars and their ages range considerably, representing the capital expenditures for additional or replacement by Petitioner over the years. All of the mine cars are fitted with standard pin-and-link coupling devices.

Investigation of the possibility of installation of automatic couplers on the coal cars had been conducted, but serious safety problems have been presented which suggest a diminution of safety over the present method. Many of the haulage rail switches leading from the main line into the working areas of the mine were installed when the mine was first being developed and are of shorter radius than those which would be needed to accommodate cars joined together with automatic couplers. These switches cannot be replaced with wider-radius switches without removing portions of the adjacent coal pillars which help provide roof support. The relative lack of flexibility of automatic couplers both vertically and horizontally could cause derailments and require that workers position themselves between mine cars to retrack and recouple affected mine cars.

In recent weeks, mine management has become aware of the existence of an alternate method which does not possess the disadvantages of the automatic coupler and which achieves the same measure of protection to miners sought to be achieved by use of the automatic coupler. (See March 14, 1974, decision by Administrative Law Judge Kennedy in Docket No. MORG 74–22).

It is Petitioner's understanding that the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration has further interpreted the phrase "regularly coupled and uncoupled" as excluding mine cars which are coupled together with pin and link arrangements provided the pin, when in coupling position, is secured by a suitable lock pin, nut or other locking device so that the cars thus coupled cannot be uncoupled simply by lifting the pin. Thus, a string of coal cars which have been coupled together by link and fixed pin arrangements are not required to have automatic couplers except on the end of the exterior car of the string which is from time to time (irregularly) coupled to some other piece of haulage equipment. This interpretation has not been published.

Petitioner submits that the application of the foregoing provision of the Act and the foregoing regulations and interpretations, if applied to Petitioner's mine, would result in a diminution of safety over the present system in effect. Beyond this, however, in order to better achieve the purposes sought to be achieved by the Act and regulations and thus to provide a standard which provides a better measure of protection to the miners in said mine, Petitioner proposes an alternate method, as set forth hereinafter.

ALTERNATE METHOD

Approval of this alternate system as a satisfactory replacement of the standard which otherwise would become effective in mandating automatic couplers on mine cars at this mine is subject to the following understandings and conditions which are incorporated as parts of the alternate system:

A. All cars in use at the captioned mine for transporting supplies will be coupled together in units or strings of cars using pin and link couplings and each pin will be fixed in position by welding a stop on the mine car to prevent the link from being disengaged. The

coupling end of all haulage electric locomotives and the rear end of the last car of each string will be fitted with a coupling lever so designed as to permit an employee to lift or drop the pin through the car bumper to secure or release a link that has been inserted from another haulage unit and to do this without the necessity of positioning himself between the units being coupled or uncoupled. If it becomes necessary in the coupling operation to position the link, this also will be done without the employee positioning himself between the units—he will effectuate this alignment by using a specially designed hand link aligner tool which shall be part of the equipment on all haulage crews. (Attachment A contains the detailed specifications for the coupling lever on mine cars and the hand link aligners). The front end of the haulage locomotives (that is the end opposite the deck end) will be fitted with similar coupling levers.

B. All employees at the captioned mine will be trained and instructed in the proper operation and use of the coupling levers and the Hand Link Aligners and their proper use will be mandatory requirements for coupling and uncoupling of all mine car haulage units at this mine. More specifically in this regard:

(1) All present employees at this mine will be instructed on the function and use of the coupling lever and hand link aligners.

(2) Thereafter, any new employee hired at this mine will be given instruction on the function and use of the coupling lever and hand link aligners as part of his orientation before he commences actual work.

(3) This instruction of all employees will again be repeated at six month intervals. Employees absent from work during the normal reinstruction period will be reinstructed after they return to work.

(4) The Company will maintain a permanent record of the names and dates when each mine employee received this instruction and reinstruction.

(5) The requirement that, upon conversion of supply haulage mine cars at this mine, coupling and uncoupling be done by means of coupling levers and hand link aligners shall be a mandatory safety rule at this mine and a notice to this effect shall be posted on the regular company and union bulletin boards at the mine.

(6) Should the alternative methods be approved, as each electric haulage locomotive and as each multiple mine car unit is converted and placed into operation, such locomotive and multiple car unit will be subject to the modified standard set forth in this petition and such haulage locomotive or multiple car units will be coupled and uncoupled by use of the coupling lever and hand link aligner in accord with the modified standard. Effective upon a date agreeable with all of the parties hereto, all electric haulage locomotives and all multiple mine car units in operation at this mine must have been converted and the modified standard will become fully operative except as an extension of time has been provided by stipulation of all the parties or by order of an Administrative Law Judge of the Interior Department Office of Hearings and Appeals. In the event shortages and/or unavailability of materials or other conditions beyond the control of management prevent completion of full conversion by such agreed upon date, Petitioner will endeavor to arrange by stipulation with the other parties for a mutually agreeable period of extension. Failing such agreement, Petitioner may request from the Office of Hearings and Appeals the assignment of an Administrative Law Judge and conduct of a hearing for the purposes of determining whether an extension of time should be granted and, if granted, the duration of such extension. The parties by stipulation, or the assigned Administrative Law Judge by order following notice to all parties and conduct of hearing, shall have authority to provide for an appropriate extension if the circumstances make it reasonable to do so.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

The alternate method set forth above will provide no less than the same measure of protection to miners at the Stone No. 8 mine than that sought to be afforded by section 314(f) of the Act and Interior Department Regulations 30 CFR 75.1405 and 75.1405–1. Under the circumstances at this mine it will actually provide greater protection and thus avoid the diminution of safety that would result if automatic couplers were used, because:

1. Automatic couplers lack the flexibility of permitting mine cars to negotiate some of the rail curves in this mine. If this were attempted, the result would be derailments with possible roof falls and other sources of injury to mine personnel. The radii of these rail curves cannot be enlarged without removing some of the coal from the adjacent coal pillars. Such removal would reduce the protection from roof falls, a serious and overriding cause of concern in underground coal mines.

2. Any modification of the present mine cars with automatic couplers would have to be accomplished by affixing coupler units to the bumpers of the present cars. The point where such couplers are affixed to the present chassis would be subject to severe strain and stress that would be much more likely to cause coupling misalignment and failure than in the case of the pin-link arrangement or in the case of new car units where the automatic couplers have been designed as part of the car chassis. The prospect of derailments and runaway cars, with attendant dangers to employees, is less likely if pin-and-link couplings are used.

3. The type of mine car, particularly under the haulage layout at this mine, requires a much more flexible coupler arrangement than is possible with the relatively rigid automatic couplers, which pose the danger of derailments and runaway cars. Furthermore, dips occur along the main haulageway which could possibly cause uncoupling of automatic couplers. The coupling arrangement proposed in this alternate system, involving the flexible pin and link coupling, with safeguards, provides a much safer operation.

4. No imminent danger is presently involved. Petitioner proposes his alternate method in the sincere conviction that it provides a safer method than the use of automatic couplers.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

[FR Doc.74–25435 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75–48]

ISLAND CREEK COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. Section 861 (c) (1970), Island Creek Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.521 to its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine, Buchanan, Virginia.

30 CFR 75.521 provides:

Each ungrounded, exposed power conductor * * * that leads underground shall be equipped with suitable lightning arresters of an approved type within 100 feet of the point where the circuit leaves the mine. Lightning arresters shall be connected to a low resistance grounding medium on the surface which shall be separated from neutral grounds by a distance of not less than 25 feet.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

1. The Coal Mine Safety Inspection Manual for Underground Mines as issued by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, in September 1972, section 75.521, provides:

Conductors that are provided with metallic shields or that are jacketed by a grounded metal covering or enclosure, installed under grounded metal framework, or are buried in the earth, are not considered exposed for the length so protected * * *.

To comply with this section, alternating-current circuits shall be provided with lightning protection for each ungrounded phase conductor. Three-phase circuits shall be provided either with separate lightning arresters in one case having a common ground terminal. Lightning arresters installed in the primary circuit of a transformer do not provide lightning protection in the secondary circuit.

Lightning arrester ground fields shall be separated from neutral ground fields by at least 25 feet. This distance prevents lightning surges from being transmitted to the

neutral ground field where it could cause the frames of equipment grounded to the neutral field to become momentarily energized.

When the grounding connection on lightning arresters is connected to a ground field, the ground field shall be maintained with as low a resistance to earth as possible, preferably less than 3 ohms and never more than 25 ohms resistance * * *. The only way that the resistance of a ground rod or ground field can be determined is to measure it.

2. During the week of November 30, 1973, MESA informed the Petitioner that the Bureau was going to enforce 30 CFR 75.521 of the regulations at its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine.

3. The Petitioner asserts that the safety of the grounding system would be diminished by modification of the grounding hook up because of the nature of the way in which the grounding system is installed and connected.

4. The Petitioner has secured the services of a neutral party, Appalachian Power Company, to ascertain the resistance of the grounding medium at its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine's substation site. The results fall within the accepted standards for a good grounding system established by the IEEE.

5. The Petitioner asserts that the grounding resistance falls within the "preferred" resistance range indicated by the MESA's manual for coal mine inspectors.

6. Furthermore, the Petitioner presents and asserts that the subject grounding system represents as safe or safer a grounding medium as would result from modification of said ground system. The subject ground system consists not only of a substation ground mat but also includes steel pilings to bedrock in all major surface plant structures including preparation plant, hoist, aerial trams, and associated yard buildings. The Petitioner asserts that the accumulated grounding surface is sufficient to render this ground capable of dissipating any conceivable lightning surges in the incoming Appalachian Power Company's static wire.

7. The Petitioner maintains and asserts that the secondary medium voltage circuits used for mine power do not require lightning arresters (although such arresters are provided) because said power conductors are installed so as to be considered "covered" by the definition used in the Act.

8. The Petitioner asserts that the section of the law pertaining to lightning arresters and grounding was written so as to provide a reliable standard against which ground techniques could be compared. Many mining operations have been undertaken with little thought given to grounding or lightning protection. Many systems have been found to possess marginal or inadequate grounding presenting a definite hazard to the miners. The subject grounding system was installed according to the best engineering practices of the day and is characterized by the attention paid to the safety of personnel and to efficient operation. A system such as proposed by MESA was considered at the time these miners were installed and rejected in favor of the present system.

Wherefore, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Secretary modify the Application of section 308(b) by relieving Petitioner of the obligations to make the changes as more specifically provided in 30 CFR 75.521 in the above mentioned mine.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25441 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-50]

ISLAND CREEK COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. Section 861(c) (1970), Island Creek Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.521 to its Virginia Pocahontas No. 4 Mine, Buchanan, Virginia.

30 CFR 75.521 provides:

Each ungrounded, exposed power conductor * * * that leads underground shall be equipped with suitable lightning arresters of an approved type within 100 feet of the point where the circuit leaves the mine. Lightning arresters shall be connected to a low resistance grounding medium on the surface which shall be separated from neutral grounds by a distance of not less than 25 feet.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

1. The Coal Mine Safety Inspection Manual for Underground Mines as issued by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, in September 1972, section 75.521, provides:

Conductors that are provided with metallic shields or that are jacketed by a grounded metal covering or enclosure, installed under grounded metal framework, or are buried in the earth, are not considered exposed for the length so protected * * *.

To comply with this section, alternating-current circuits shall be provided with lightning protection for each ungrounded phase conductor. Three-phase circuits shall be provided either with separate lightning arresters in one case having a common ground terminal. Lightning arresters installed in the primary circuit of a transformer do not provide lightning protection in the secondary circuit.

Lightning arrester ground fields shall be separated from neutral ground fields by at least 25 feet. This distance prevents lightning surges from being transmitted to the neutral ground field where it could case the frames of equipment grounded to the neutral field to become momentarily energized.

When the grounding connection on lightning arresters is connected to a ground field, the ground field shall be maintained with as low a resistance to earth as possible, preferably less than 3 ohms and never more than 25 ohms resistance * * *. The only way that the resistance of a ground rod or ground field can be determined is to measure it.

2. During the week of November 30, 1973, MESA informed the Petitioner that the Bureau was going to enforce 30 CFR 75.521 of the regulations at its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine.

3. The Petitioner asserts that the safety of the grounding system would be diminished by modification of the grounding hook up because of the nature of the way in which the grounding system is installed and connected.

4. The Petitioner has secured the services of a neutral party, Appalachian Power Company, to ascertain the resistance of the grounding medium at its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine's substation site. The results fall within the accepted standards for a good grounding system established by the IEEE.

5. The Petitioner asserts that the grounding resistance falls within the "preferred" resistance range indicated by the MESA's manual for coal mine inspectors.

6. Furthermore, the Petitioner presents and asserts that the subject grounding system represents as safe or safer a grounding medium as would result from modification of said ground system. The subject ground system consists not only of a substation ground mat but also includes steel pilings to bedrock in all major surface plant structures including preparation plant, hoist, aerial trams, and associated yard buildings. The Petitioner asserts that the accumulated grounding surface is sufficient to render this ground capable of dissipating any conceivable lightning surges in the incoming Appalachian Power Company's static wire.

7. The Petitioner maintains and asserts that the secondary medium voltage circuits used for mine power do not require lightning arresters (although such arresters are provided) because said power conductors are installed so as to be considered "covered" by the definition used in the Act.

8. The Petitioner asserts that the section of the law pertaining to lightning arresters and grounding was written so as to provide a reliable standard against which ground techniques could be compared. Many mining operations have been undertaken with little thought given to grounding or lightning protection. Many systems have been found to possess marginal or inadequate grounding presenting a definite hazard to the miners. The subject grounding system was installed according to the best engineering practices of the day and is characterized by the attention paid to the safety of personnel and to efficient operation. A system such as proposed by MESA was considered at the time these

miners were installed and rejected in favor of the present system.

Wherefore, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Secretary modify the Application of section 308(b) by relieving Petitioner of the obligations to make the changes as more specifically provided in 30 CFR 75.521 in the above mentioned mine.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25453 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-51]

MATHIES COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 861(c) (1970), Mathies Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.305 to its Mathies Mine, Washington County, Pennsylvania.

30 CFR 75.305 provides:

> In addition to the pre-shift and daily examinations required by this Subpart, examinations for hazardous conditions, including tests for methane, and for compliance with the mandatory health or safety standards, shall be made at least once each week by a certified person designated by the operator in the return of each split of air where it enters the main return, on pillar falls, at seals, in the main return, at least one entry of each intake and return aircourse in its entirety, idle workings, and insofar as safety considerations permit, abandoned areas. Such weekly examinations need not be made during any week in which the mine is idle for the entire week, except that such examination shall be made before any other miner returns to the mine. The person making such examinations and tests shall place his initials and the date and time at the places examined, and if any hazardous condition is found, such condition shall be reported to the operator promptly. Any hazardous condition shall be corrected immediately.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

Mathies Coal Company seeks modification of that portion of 30 CFR 75.305 which requires a certified person to make a weekly examination in each return split of air with respect to the return air course between the pitmouth and 17 Face.

The subject mine is an extensive mining operation located in Washington County, Pennsylvania. Coal from the Pittsburgh Seam, measuring about 64" in thickness is mined from under an average of three hundred feet of cover. Ventilation of and access to the coal seam is provided by five shafts, three of which are also equipped with portal facilities, and one set of drift entries through which coal is hauled to the preparation plant via the main haulage system. Since the opening of the mine in 1944, mining has progressed underground to a distance of over seventeen miles from the preparation plant. Presently, over 550 people are employed by the Mathies Coal Company with about 480 of these making up the underground work force. Ten coal producing units operate on three shifts for an average of 27 machine shifts daily. Even at this great rate of coal extraction, Mathies Mine is projected for 60 more years. Retreat mining employs the pocket and fender system. All sections are ventilated using a two split ventilation system.

Weekly Examination. At the subject mine, over 50 miles of primary return air courses are used to ventilate the haulage roads and active sections. Of this length, approximately 25 miles of return air courses must be examined weekly in accordance with section 303(f) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.

The mine entries from the pitmouth to 17 Face (a distance of 6.2 miles) were driven in the period from 1944, when the mine opened, to 1954. Most of the development was done before roof bolts were used at this mine for roof support, and timbers were used for support as part of the mining cycle. These timbers have long since deteriorated due to adverse conditions (moisture, roof pressure, etc.) leaving most of the roof unsupported. Even in the areas where roof bolts were used, the roof has deteriorated above the anchorage point and fallen, leaving the roof unsupported. Only the haulage road entries have been rebolted. As the roof weathered and deteriorated numerous falls have occurred, filling the entries and leaving little clearance in most areas. High, tight falls have also come in numerous places. Travel through this area is extremely difficult, following a zig-zag path, and extremely dangerous in some areas due to the deteriorated ribs and flaking roof that could fall when disturbed. Water also forms an impassable barrier in several places.

Due to the poor conditions (roof falls, deteriorated roof and ribs, and water) in the return air course between the pitmouth and 17 Face, weekly examinations for hazardous conditions were considered, in themselves, too hazardous to be performed. As a result, these examinations were not performed in violation of both the old Federal Code and the Health and Safety Act of 1969. Only the mainline haulage (empty and loaded track) is located in this area. Mingo Fan, the only fan in this area, handles approximately 90,000 cubic feet per minute of air at .05 percent methane. Only 8,000 c.f.m. of air from this area comes to Thomas Fan, located above 17 Face and handling several active sections. No escapeways travel and no air returns from active workings through this area. The air returning to Mingo Fan and the small amount returning to Thomas Fan comes from bleeder entries and leaking stoppings along the motor road.

To attempt to rehabilitate the 6.25 miles of return air courses would be a difficult task requiring tremendous expenditures of labor and money with years until completion. No mechanical equipment would be used in the return air course to aid in the rehabilitation work so all work would have to be performed by hand. The entire rehabilitation work would be hazardous and would be exposing workmen to unnecessary danger that would exist despite safety precautions or actions taken by the company. In addition, access to the return is limited due to falls and places where the roof has been shot down to grade the haulage, forcing needed material to be hauled long distances in the return air course. Since the required rehabilitation is neither practical or feasible, an alternate method is proposed that would guarantee the miners at Mathies Mine no less than the same measure of protection afforded by the mandatory standard.

ALTERNATE METHOD

Despite the condition existing in the return air course, at certain points air and methane readings can be made to assure that methane accumulations have not occurred and to assure the air flow in its proper course and usual volume. The alternate method proposed is based on this and would satisfy all criteria outlined in section 303(f) of the Federal Health and Safety Act of 1969. Five air measuring stations would be established underground. One would be located at 7 Face, one at the Old Shop, one at Bryant Shaft, one at 13 Face Bleeders, and one at 17 Face. These locations and an air flow diagram for this area are shown on the attached map. In addition, the air reading taken at Mingo Fan will be kept on record and supplement the data from the measuring stations.

Guidelines for the establishment of air measuring stations would be as follows:

1. Methane and air readings will be made by a certified, competent person.
2. Methane will not be permitted to accumulate in the return air course, as determined at the underground measuring stations, beyond legal limits.
3. Both access to and the vicinity of the measuring stations will be kept in travelable and safe conditions.
4. A date board will be located at each measuring station and air quantity and methane readings will be taken and recorded along with the certified person's initials, date, and time.
5. A map showing the area around the measuring station along with the air flow directions will be posted at each station.
6. Examinations will be made at each measuring station daily or as required by section 303(f) of the Act.
7. All employees required to perform measurements at the underground stations will be certified for such work on the basis of state examinations.

MEASURE OF PROTECTION

The proposed measuring stations will satisfy all criteria of section 303(f) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. Use of the measuring stations would also eliminate all the hazards involved in the rehabilitation of the return air course. The net effect of the proposed measuring stations would be the same as if the returns were capable of being traveled and will provide an accurate and knowledgeable picture of conditions in the return air course from the pitmouth to 17 Face. The proposed alternative will provide an equal measure of protection to all miners at Mathies Mine as would be provided by the mandatory standard.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25443 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-47]

MID-CONTINENT COAL AND COKE CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 861(c) (1970), Mid-Continent Coal and Coke Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.-1405 to its Dutch Creek No. 2 Mine, Carbondale, Colorado.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30, 1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

To be read concurrently with § 75.-1405 is 30 CFR 75.1405-1 which provides:

The requirement of § 75.1405 with respect to automatic couplers applies only to track haulage cars which are regularly coupled and uncoupled.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

The above standard should be declared inapplicable or else waived as to track haulage cars used in Petitioner's Dutch Creek No. 2 Mine for the following reasons:

(a) Cars hauling supplies and personnel are so constructed that they may be coupled and uncoupled without exposing personnel between the contacting ends of the equipment to injury.

(b) The alternative method of manual coupling and uncoupling of these supply cars can be accomplished with greater safety than would be provided by the use of automatic couplers for the following reasons:

(1) These cars are never in motion while being coupled and uncoupled. One car is always blocked and the other car is held stationary by the slope hoist.

(2) The cars are equipped with safety chains or cables in addition to the main coupling. These safety devices must necessarily be coupled and uncoupled manually.

(3) The track equipment is required to negotiate a sharp radius (50-foot) 180° curve. Derailments occur periodically due to the short curve radius, and the greater flexibility of a pin and link connection will reduce the number of derailments and the possibility of the cars becoming uncoupled during the derailment or while being rerailed. The hazard of attempting to use automatic couplers under these conditions would be greater than for the existing manual couplings.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Departmental Hearings Branch, U.S. Department of the Interior, 6432 Federal Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25438 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-54]

POCAHONTAS FUEL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 861 (c) (1970), Pocahontas Fuel Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.1605(k) to its Matthews Mine, Claiborne County, Tennessee.

30 CFR 77.1605(k) provides:

Berms or guards shall be provided on the outer bank of elevated roadways.

Petitioner asks that § 77.1605(k) be waived for the subject mine, with the result that Petitioner no longer be required to provide berms or guards on the outer bank of the elevated roadway. A waiver of this requirement will provide no less effective means of maintaining safety for several reasons:

(1) The haulroad runs along the stream valley and has virtually no grade.

(2) The berm would take up roadway width which could better be used for passing.

(3) Berms interfere with the normal drainage of a roadbed which increases the probability of pot holes and ruts thus increasing the possibilities of an accident.

(4) Berms are not effective in stopping trucks and haulage units which have large open wheels.

Such a modification has been granted to several other operators under similar conditions, see Docket Nos. M 73-38, M 73-74, M 73-76, M 73-77, M 73-78, and M 73-79.

PETITIONER'S PLAN FOR AN ALTERNATIVE SYSTEM

Petitioner proposes to provide such other safeguards as necessary to meet the specific road conditions at the Matthews Mine in lieu of providing berms or guards on the outer banks of elevated roadways.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Secretary waive the requirement for berms or guards on the outer banks of the roadways at the Matthews Mine. Petitioner's proposed alternative will provide a no less effective means of maintaining safety than that provided in 30 CFR 77.1605(k).

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25439 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-49]

VIRGINIA POCAHONTAS CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 861(c) (1970), Virginia Pocahontas Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.521 to its Virginia Pocahontas No. 2 Mine, Buchanan, Virginia.

30 CFR 75.521 provides:

Each ungrounded, exposed power conductor * * * that leads underground shall be equipped with suitable lightning arresters of an approved type within 100 feet of the point where the circuit leaves the mine. Lightning arresters shall be connected to a low resistance grounding medium on the surface which shall be separated from neutral grounds by a distance of not less than 25 feet.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

1. The Coal Mine Safety Inspection Manual for Underground Mines as issued

by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, in September 1972, section 75.521, provides:

> Conductors that are provided with metallic shields or that are jacketed by a grounded metal covering or enclosure, installed under grounded metal framework, or are buried in the earth, are not considered exposed for the length so protected * * *.
>
> To comply with this section, alternating-current circuits shall be provided with lightning protection for each ungrounded phase conductor. Three-phase circuits shall be provided either with separate lightning arresters in one case having a common ground terminal. Lightning arresters installed in the primary circuit of a transformer do not provide lightning protection in the secondary circuit.
>
> Lightning arrester ground fields shall be separated from neutral ground fields by at least 25 feet. This distance prevents lightning surges from being transmitted to the neutral ground field where it could case the frames of equipment grounded to the neutral field to become momentarily energized.
>
> When the grounding connection on lightning arresters is connected to a ground field, the ground field shall be maintained with as low a resistance to earth as possible, preferably less than 3 ohms and never more than 25 ohms resistance * * *.
>
> The only way that the resistance of a ground rod or ground field can be determined is to measure it.

2. During the week of November 30, 1973, MESA informed the Petitioner that the Bureau was going to enforce 30 CFR 75.521 of the regulations at its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine.

3. The Petitioner asserts that the safety of the grounding system would be diminished by modification of the grounding hook up because of the nature of the way in which the grounding system is installed and connected.

4. The Petitioner has secured the services of a neutral party, Appalachian Power Company, to ascertain the resistance of the grounding medium at its Virginia Pocahontas No. 3 Mine's substation site. The results fall within the accepted standards for a good grounding system established by the IEEE.

5. The Petitioner asserts that the grounding resistance falls within the "preferred" resistance range indicated by the MESA's manual for coal mine inspectors.

6. Furthermore, the Petitioner presents and asserts that the subject grounding system represents as safe or safer a grounding medium as would result from modification of said ground system. The subject ground system consists not only of a substation ground mat but also includes steel pilings to bedrock in all major surface plant structures including preparation plant, hoist, aerial trams, and associated yard buildings. The Petitioner asserts that the accumulated grounding surface is sufficient to render this ground capable of dissipating any conceivable lightning surges in the incoming Appalachian Power Company's static wire.

7. The Petitioner maintains and asserts that the secondary medium voltage circuits used for mine power do not require lightning arresters (although such arresters are provided) because said power conductors are installed so as to be considered "covered" by the definition used in the Act.

8. The Petitioner asserts that the section of the law pertaining to lightning arresters and grounding was written so as to provide a reliable standard against which ground techniques could be compared. Many mining operations have been undertaken with little thought given to grounding or lightning protection. Many systems have been found to possess marginal or inadequate grounding presenting a definite hazard to the miners. The subject grounding system was installed according to the best engineering practices of the day and is characterized by the attention paid to the safety of personnel and to efficient operation. A system such as proposed by MESA was considered at the time these miners were installed and rejected in favor of the present system.

Wherefore, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Secretary modify the Application of section 308(b) by relieving Petitioner of the obligations to make the changes as more specifically provided in 30 CFR 75.521 in the above mentioned mine.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25442 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M 75-43]

YOUNGSTOWN MINES CORP.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 861(c) (1970), Youngstown Mines Corporation has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1105 to its Dehue Mine, Logan County, West Virginia.

30 CFR 75.1105 provides:

> Underground transformer stations, battery-charging stations, substations, compressor stations, shops, and permanent pumps shall be housed in fireproof structures or areas. Air currents used to ventilate structures or areas enclosing installations shall be coursed directly into the return. Other underground structures installed in a coal mine as the Secretary may prescribe shall be of fireproof construction.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

This petition applies specifically to the area of the mine known as the Dabney Corridor and to an underground shop and three underground transformer stations for belt conveyor drives located in the corridor. The main haulage belt and a parallel usable mine track pass through the corridor.

Three separate belt drives are necessary to operate the approximately 4,200 feet of haulage belt that passes through the corridor. Each belt drive requires the input of electrical power from a transformer, thus, there are three separate transformers located in the Dabney Corridor. The three transformers are identical and are described as a Silpak Power Center Model No. K-150. The manufacturer's general description is as follows:

> The Power Center is enclosed in a steel enclosure, mounted on a skid base with rubber tired wheels, and is equipped with removable top and side panels. It is designed for use as a portable power supply for underground mining.

The underground shop is a small maintenance and welding area located only approximately 1,400 feet from the mine portal.

Investigation of the possibility of development of another entry in the Dabney Corridor has been conducted, but very serious safety problems have been presented which suggest a diminution of safety over the proposed alternate method. In order to develop another entry the Petitioner and the mine employees would encounter dangerous top conditions, serious ventilation problems and a lengthy development period of one to two years. Thus, it is asserted that development of a return air entry through the Dabney Corridor would cause lengthy exposure to safety hazards and would not necessarily provide as safe an area as now exists with the proposed alternative safety precautions.

In recent weeks mine management has become aware of the existence of an alternate method which does not pose the disadvantages of development of another entry and which achieves the same measure of protection to miners sought to be achieved by use of the return air entry. (See June 4, 1974, decision by Administrative Law Judge Steffey in Docket No. M 74-49).

ALTERNATE METHOD

Approval of this alternate system as a satisfactory replacement of the standard is subject to the following understandings and conditions which are incorporated as components of the proposed alternate system:

(1)(a) Each of the transformers located in the Dabney Corridor shall be encased in a one-eighth inch noncombustible steel enclosure.

(b) An approved McJunkin automatic fire alarm and heat sensor device shall be placed adjacent to each transformer. This alarm, in the event of heat discharge, emits a sharp audible warning and automatically cuts off the conveyor belt and causes water to be poured onto the entire conveyor belt.

(c) An approved transformer power switch shall be connected to each transformer and shall operate so as to switch

all power to such transformer in the event of a power overload.

(d) An approved failsafe ground shall be connected to and maintained for each transformer.

(e) An approved mine telephone shall be located in proximity to each transformer.

(f) Two dry chemical fire extinguishers shall be placed adjacent to each transformer.

(g) Two hundred and forty pounds of rock dust in bags shall be placed near each transformer.

(h) No combustible materials will be stored near the transformers.

(i) Daily inspections of each transformer shall be made.

(j) An approved fire and heat sensoring device shall be placed directly above each transformer and such device shall be connected to a panel board in the dispatcher's office. Such panel board will operate so as to emit a warning signal should any heat discharge from the transformers occur. The dispatcher will monitor the above described panel board.

(2) (a) The underground shop shall have seals in the appropriate places and shall be ventilated with an air flow of not less than 3,000 cubic feet per minute of air.

(b) Three separate means of communication will be provided at the underground shop as follows:

1. An approved mine paging system capable of transmission and receipt of oral messages connected to the dispatcher's office and other areas of the mine; and

2. An approved telephone system that is connected to the C. and P. Telephone Company's regular lines and to the dispatcher's office; and

3. An approved mine telephone system connected to the dispatcher's office and other points in the mine.

(c) Four hundred and eighty pounds of rock dust in bags shall be maintained in the underground shop.

(d) Four dry chemical fire extinguishers shall be located in the shop.

(e) Personnel working in the shop shall be provided at all times with transportation that may be used for evacuating the area.

(f) An approved fire and heat sensoring device shall be placed in the underground shop and such device shall be connected to a panelboard in the dispatcher's office. Such panelboard will operate so as to emit a warning signal should any heat inappropriately occur in the shop. The dispatcher will monitor the above described panelboard.

The above proposed alternate system will be implemented in the Dabney Corridor of the Dehue Mine within 30 days after approval of the above discussed alternate system. In the event that material shortages and/or the unavailability of materials necessary to implement the proposed alternate system or other conditions beyond the control of management prevent completion of the alternate system by January 1, 1975, the Petitioner will endeavor to arrange by stipulation with the other parties for a mutually agreeable period of extension. Failing such stipulated agreement the Petitioner may request from the Office of Hearings and Appeals the assignment of an administrative law judge and conduct of a hearing for the purpose of determining whether an extension of time should be granted and, if granted, the duration of such extension. The parties by stipulation, or the assigned administrative law judge by order following notice to all parties and conduct of hearing, shall have authority to provide for an appropriate extension.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

The alternate method set forth above will provide no less than the same measure of protection to miners at the Youngstown Mines Corporation, Dehue Mine, than that sought to be afforded by section 311(c) of the Act and Interior Department regulations 30 CFR 75.1105. Under the circumstances at this mine it will actually provide greater protection and thus avoid the diminution of safety that would result if an attempt were made to course the air flowing through the underground shop into a return. A diminution of safety would likewise exist if an attempt were made to course the air flowing over the transformers into a return. This is because:

1. The Dabney Corridor, a single entry, was opened in 1968 and it passes through the abandoned workings of the old Hutchinson Mine. Since the corridor has a single entry there are no available return air courses through which to vent air flowing through the underground shop nor the air that passes over the transformers. The old Hutchinson Mine has been abandoned for several decades and the precise conditions in that worked out mine are unknown.

2. Any attempt to develop a return air course through the old Hutchinson Mine would involve entry into these abandoned workings and tampering with the present air flow which could cause dangers to arise in the Dehue Mine. Methane, block damp, and a loose roof are known to exist in the Old Hutchinson Mine creating extremely dangerous conditions for anyone exposed to this area.

3. Should an attempt be made to develop return air courses through the old Hutchinson Mine (despite the grave dangers) the extremely poor roof conditions and large amounts of water due to proximity to the Guyandotte River existing in that area could present insurmountable difficulties that would prevent proper flow of return air through this area.

4. No imminent danger is presently involved in the Dabney Corridor. Petitioner proposes his alternate method in the sincere conviction that it provides a safer method than the dangerous attempt to develop a return air course.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before December 2, 1974. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

OCTOBER 23, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25440 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

National Park Service

GULF ISLANDS NATIONAL SEASHORE, FLORIDA-MISSISSIPPI

Public Hearings Regarding Wilderness Proposal

Notice is hereby given in accordance with section 8 of the Act of January 8, 1971 (84 Stat. 1969 (16 U.S.C. 459h–7)), and in accordance with Departmental procedures as identified in 43 CFR 19.5 that public hearings will be held beginning at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time on December 2, 1974, in Biloxi Community Center, 500 East Howard Avenue, Biloxi, Mississippi, and at 1:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on December 3, 1974, in the National Seashore Headquarters, Fort Pickens, Santa Rosa Island, Florida, for the purpose of receiving comments and suggestions as to the appropriateness of a proposal for the establishment of wilderness comprising about 1,510 acres within the Gulf Islands National Seashore, Florida and Mississippi.

A packet containing a preliminary wilderness study report, and providing additional information about the proposal, may be obtained from the Park Manager, Gulf Islands National Seashore, P.O. Drawer T, Ocean Springs, Mississippi 39564, or from the Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 3401 Whipple Avenue, Atlanta, Georgia 30344.

A description of the preliminary boundaries and a map of the areas proposed for establishment as wilderness are available for review in the above offices and in Room 1210 of the Department of the Interior Building at 18th and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested individuals, representatives of organizations and public officials are invited to express their views in person at the aforementioned public hearings, provided they notify the Hearing Officer, in care of the Park Manager, Gulf Islands National Seashore, P.O. Drawer T, Ocean Springs, Mississippi 39564, by November 30, 1974, of their desire to appear. Those not wishing to appear in person may submit written statements on the wilderness proposal to the Hearing Officer at that address for inclusion in the official record which will be held open for written statements until January 2, 1975.

Time limitations may make it necessary to limit the length of oral presentations and to restrict to one person the

presentation made in behalf of an organization, An oral statement may, however, be supplemented by a more complete written statement which may be submitted to the Hearing Officer at the time of presentation of the oral statement. Written statements presented in person at the hearings will be considered for inclusion in the transcribed hearing record. However, all materials so presented at the hearings shall be subject to determinations that they are appropriate for inclusion in the transcribed hearing record. To the extent that time is available after presentation of oral statements by those who have given the required advance notice, the Hearing Officer will give others present an opportunity to be heard.

After an explanation of the proposal by a representative of the National Park Service, the Hearing Officer, insofar as possible will adhere to the following order in calling for the presentation of oral statements:

(1) Governor of the State or his representative.
(2) Members of Congress.
(3) Members of the State Legislature.
(4) Official representative of the counties in which the proposed wilderness is located.
(5) Officials of other Federal agencies or public bodies.
(6) Organizations in alphabetical order.
(7) Individuals in alphabetical order.
(8) Others not giving advance notice, to the extent there is remaining time.

Dated: October 22, 1974.

RICHARD C. CURRY,
Associate Director,
National Park Service.

[FR Doc.74-25490 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

HISTORIC AMERICAN BUILDINGS SURVEY ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Historic American Buildings Survey (HABS) Advisory Board will be held on November 15 and 16 at the Henry Francis Du Pont Winterthur Museum and the Hagley Museum, Wilmington, Delaware.

The HABS Advisory Board was established by the Secretary of the Interior on November 17, 1933, to render advice on matters related to the task of preserving records of the historic architectural monuments of the United States.

The present membership of the Advisory Board is as follows:

Mr. D. O. Davies, New Castle, Pennsylvania.
Dr. John Douglas Forbes, Charlottesville, Virginia.
Dr. Richard W. Hale, Jr., Boston, Massachusetts (Secretary).
Mr. John D. Henderson, AIA, San Diego, California.
Mrs. Victorine Du Pont Homsey, FAIA, Wilmington, Delaware.
Dr. Barclay G. Jones, AIA, AIP, Ithaca, New York (Vice-Chairman).
Mr. William Bodley Lane, AIA, St. Louis, Missouri (ex officio).
Mr. George McMath, AIA, Portland, Oregon.
Dr. L. Quincy Numford, Washington, D.C. (ex officio).
Prof. F. Blair Reeves, AIA, Gainesville, Florida (Chairman).
Miss Barbara Wriston, Chicago, Illinois.

Times and places of meeting, and significant agenda items are as follows:

NOV. 15, 9:15 A.M., WINTERTHUR MUSEUM

Reports on HABS 1974 activities and individual staff reports on measured drawings program, photographic recording, and exhibitions.

NOV. 15, 1:15 P.M., WINTERTHUR MUSEUM

HABS reports on certificates, and publications program. Discussion of professional architectural standards for measured drawings program. Report on extent of State donations to HABS program.

NOV. 16, 9:15 A.M., HAGLEY MUSEUM

Library of Congress report on HABS Archives. Report on AIA Committee on Historic Resources. Report of Sub-committees.

The meeting is open to the public, and any person may file with the Board a written statement concerning the matters being discussed; however, facilities and space for accommodating members of the public are limited.

Further information concerning these meetings may be obtained from the Historical and Architectural Surveys Division, Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. (202) 523-5295.

A. R. MORTENSEN,
Director, Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation.

[FR Doc.74-25489 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

SHIPPERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Public Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of meetings of the Shippers Advisory Committee established under Marketing Order No. 905 (7 CFR Part 905). This order regulates the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida and is effective pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The committee will hold meetings on November 19, November 26, and December 3, 1974, at 10:30 a.m. in the A. B. Michael Auditorium of the Florida Citrus Mutual Building, 302 South Massachusetts Avenue, Lakeland, Florida.

The meetings will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside at each meeting for public comments and questions. The agenda of each meeting includes analysis of current information concerning market supply and demand factors, and consideration of recommendations for regulation of shipments of the named fruits.

The names of committee members, agenda, and other information pertaining to each meeting may be obtained from Frank D. Trovillion, Manager, Growers Administrative Committee, P.O. Box R, Lakeland, Florida 33802; telephone 813-682-3103.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

E. L. PETERSON,
Administrator,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.74-25540 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Farmers Home Administration

[Notice of Designation Number AO80]

ILLINOIS

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Illinois:

Clay	Morgan
Edwards	Richland

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of excessive rainfall and flooding December 1, 1973, through June 30, 1974, in Clay County; excessive rainfall April 1 through June 30, 1974, in Edwards and Richland Counties; and heavy rains, flooding, windstorms, and hailstorms beginning about May 16 through July 1, 1974, in Morgan County.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Daniel Walker that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than December 16, 1974, for physical losses and July 18, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October, 1974.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.74-25425 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number AO81]

IOWA

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Iowa:

Adair	Madison
Appanoose	Marion
Audubon	Mills
Boone	Monona
Cass	Monroe
Clay	Montgomery
Crawford	O'Brien
Dallas	Osceola
Davis	Page
Delaware	Polk
Dickinson	Pottawattamie
Fremont	Shelby
Greene	Taylor
Guthrie	Union
Harrison	Warren
Lucas	Woodbury
Lyon	

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of excessive rainfall May 1 through June 9, 1974, in Boone County; excessive rainfall May 1 through July 3 followed by drought through August 18, 1974, in Appanoose, Davis, Lucas, Monroe, and Union Counties; a hailstorm and tornado August 12, 1974, in Delaware County; hailstorms August 18 and drought June 1 through August 18, 1974, in O'Brien and Osceola Counties; and drought June 1 through August 15, 1974, in the remaining 24 counties.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Robert D. Ray that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than December 16, 1974, for physical losses and July 18, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of October, 1974.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.74-25426 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number AO78]

MISSISSIPPI

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following county in Mississippi:

Tallahatchie

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of excessive rainfall and flooding from April 21 through August 22, 1974.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated this area as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Bill Waller that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than December 16, 1974, for physical losses and July 18, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated area makes it impracticable and contrary to the public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October, 1974.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.74-25430 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number AO82]

MISSOURI

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Missouri:

Barton	Howard
Charlton	Lawrence
Clinton	Ozark
Daviess	Ralls
DeKalb	Stoddard
Douglas	Vernon

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of freeze March 23-24, drought June 10 through August 15, and windstorm August 9, 1974, in Barton County; drought June 15 through August 15, 1974, in Clinton County; excessive rainfall between April 1 and June 15 and drought June 15 through August 15, 1974, in Charlton, Daviess, DeKalb, Howard, and Ralls Counties; excessive rainfall between March 1 and June 10, freeze March 23-24, and drought June 10 through August 15, 1974, in Douglas, Lawrence, Ozark, and Vernon Counties; and excessive rainfall between March 1 and June 10 and drought June 10 through August 15, 1974, in Stoddard County.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Christopher S. Bond that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than December 16, 1974, for physical losses and July 18, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October, 1974.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.74-25427 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number A079]

NEBRASKA

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Nebraska:

Butler	Seward
Dakota	Thurston
Dodge	Washington

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of drought from June 1 through August 5, 1974, in all of these counties and also damaging frost September 3, 1974, in Butler and Seward Counties.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor J. James Exon that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than December 16, 1974, for physical losses and July 18, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October, 1974.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.74-25428 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Notice of Designation Number A077]

TEXAS

Designation of Emergency Areas

The Secretary of Agriculture has found that a general need for agricultural credit exists in the following counties in Texas:

Baylor
Borden

The Secretary has found that this need exists as a result of a natural disaster consisting of prolonged drought in Baylor County from December 1973 through August 9, 1974, and from

September 15, 1973, through August 20, 1974, in Borden County.

Therefore, the Secretary has designated these areas as eligible for Emergency loans, pursuant to the provisions of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93–237, and the provisions of 7 CFR 1832.3(b) including the recommendation of Governor Dolph Briscoe that such designation be made.

Applications for Emergency loans must be received by this Department no later than December 16, 1974, for physical losses and July 18, 1975, for production losses, except that qualified borrowers who receive initial loans pursuant to this designation may be eligible for subsequent loans. The urgency of the need for loans in the designated areas makes it impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give advance notice of proposed rule making and invite public participation.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October, 1974.

FRANK B. ELLIOTT,
Administrator,
Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.74–25429 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

Forest Service

CARIBOU NATIONAL FOREST GRAZING ADVISORY BOARD

Public Meeting

The Caribou National Forest Grazing Advisory Board will meet at 1:30 p.m. November 26, 1974, at the Pocatello Public Library in Pocatello, Idaho.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss local and national policies concerning the management of the Forests as it relates to Forest grazing permittees. Some subjects that could be discussed are: what implications will more grass-fat livestock for market have on demands for Forest grazing; impact of increased phosphate mining activity on grazing allotments; condition of big game winter ranges and trends of game populations; and permittee input needs on planning and implementation of off-road vehicle use on National Forest lands. The current bylaws for the Board should also be approved and signed during the meeting.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons who wish to attend should notify the Caribou National Forest, P.O. Box 4189, Pocatello, Idaho, 83201, or phone 232–1142. Written statements may be filed with the Board before or after the meeting. Public participation will be scheduled following the close of the regular schedule of business.

Dated: October 21, 1974.

D. A. SCHULTZ,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc.74–25457 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

SALMON RIVER-ROARING RIVER PLANNING UNITS; MT. HOOD NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON

Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a Final Environmental Statement for the Salmon River-Roaring River Planning Units (USDA–FS–R6–FES (Adm)–74–7).

The environmental statement concerns a proposed land use management plan for the Salmon River and Roaring River Planning Units, Zigzag, Estacada, Bear Springs and Clackamas Ranger Districts, Mt. Hood National Forest in Clackamas County, Oregon. The proposed plan applies to approximately 81,669 acres within the National Forest Boundary. The proposed action includes one area of 23,500 acres to be classified as a Special Interest Zone-Scenic, 734 acres as a Special Interest Zone-Geologic, and an 18,560 acre area to be managed for backcountry recreation. The remaining 38,875 acres will be managed for a variety of uses including timber production, water, recreation and wildlife.

The Final Environmental Statement was transmitted to CEQ on October 24, 1974.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service
South Agriculture Bldg, Room 3231
12th Street and Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20250

USDA, Forest Service
Pacific Northwest Region
319 S.W. Pine Street
Portland, OR 97204

USDA, Forest Service
Mt. Hood National Forest
2440 S.E. 195th
Portland, OR 97233

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor, Wright T. Mallery, Mt. Hood National Forest, 2440 SE. 195th, Portland, OR 97233.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Dated: October 24, 1974.

WRIGHT T. MALLERY,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc.74–25473 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

HONOLUA WATERSHED PROJECT, HAWAII

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and § 650.7(e) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Honolua Watershed Project, Maui County, Hawaii, USDA–SCS–EIS–WS–(ADM)–75–1(D)–HI.

The EIS concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement provide for conservation land treatment, 8 desilting basins, about 0.8 mile of floodwater diversions, and about 0.7 mile of floodwater channels.

A limited supply of the draft EIS is available at the following location to fill single copy requests.

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 440 Alexander Young Building, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Copies of the draft EIS have been sent for comment to various federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to Francis C. H. Lum, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 440 Alexander Young Building, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.

Comments must be received on or before December 4, 1974, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

Dated: October 17, 1974.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services)

EUGENE C. BUIE,
Acting Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.74–25431 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSERVATION COUNCIL

Public Meeting

A meeting of the National Industrial Energy Conservation Council will be held on Wednesday, December 18, 1974, from 10 a.m. to 12 noon in Room 4830, Main Commerce Building, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Council will report and advise the Secretary of Commerce on programs and problems within the industrial and commercial sectors relating to energy conservation; provide a forum for the exchange of views on conservation between government and the industrial-commercial sectors; advise on policies, plans, and

actions of government agencies involving energy use and conservation. The Council may identify and evaluate current and potential energy conservation plans within industry.

Secretary of Commerce Dent will make a statement to the Council. In addition, the agenda will include reports and discussions by Council members regarding the Project Independence Blueprint.

The public will be permitted to attend and a limited number of seats will be available for that purpose. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Council. Interested persons are also invited to file written statements with the Council before or after the meeting.

Persons who wish to attend the meeting should contact Edward Blecksmith at the Office of Energy Programs, Room 2204, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230—(202) 967–2757.

HERBERT K. SCHMITZ,
Executive Director, National Industrial Energy Conservation Council.

[FR Doc.74–25481 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

National Bureau of Standards

FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS TASK GROUP 15 COMPUTER SYSTEMS SECURITY

Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. II, 1972), notice is hereby given that the Federal Information Processing Standards Task Group 15 (FIPS TG–15), "Computer Systems Security," will hold a meeting from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 10, 1974, in Room B–255, Building 225, of the National Bureau of Standards at Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss a plan of work to be undertaken by the Task Group and to initiate specific efforts for the development of guidelines and standards in the area of computer systems security.

The public will be permitted to attend, to file written statements, and, to the extent that time permits, to present oral statements. Persons planning to attend should notify Dr. Dennis K. Branstad, Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234 (Phone 301–921–3861).

Dated: October 25, 1974.

RICHARD W. ROBERTS,
Director.

[FR Doc.74–25403 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON EQUALITY OF EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY

Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), that the National Advisory Council on Equality of Educational Opportunity will convene at 9 a.m. on Friday, December 6 until 4 p.m. and reconvene at 9 a.m. on Saturday, December 7 until 1 p.m. at the Channel Inn, 650 Water Street, SW., Washington, D.C.

The National Advisory Council on Equality of Educational Opportunity is established under section 716 of the Emergency School Aid Act (Pub. L. 92–318, Title VII). The Council is established to advise the Assistant Secretary for Education with respect to the operation of programs under the Act, and to review the operation of such programs.

The meeting of the Council shall be open to the public. The proposed agenda includes subcommittee reports from the curriculum subcommittee, the legal and interagency subcommittee, and the state departments of education subcommittee. The report writing committee will submit its second interim report for final approval. There will be a special report on Puerto Rican concerns and their involvement in the Emergency School Aid Act. There will also be a report by staff of the U.S. Office of Education on the status of Emergency School Aid Act.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on October 24, 1974.

HERMAN R. GOLDBERG,
Associate Commissioner for Equal Educational Opportunity.

[FR Doc.74–25470 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR STRENGTHENING INSTRUCTION IN CRITICAL SUBJECTS

Allotment Ratios

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 302 of the National Defense Education Act of 1958 (20 U.S.C. 442), the following ratios for the several States of the Union and the District of Columbia are hereby promulgated for each of the two fiscal years in the period beginning July 1, 1975, and ending June 30, 1977 for financial assistance under Title III–A of the Act (20 U.S.C. 441–45) for strengthening instruction in science, mathematics, modern foreign languages, and other critical subjects. The ratios are computed on the basis of the income per child of school age in each of the States of the Union and the District of Columbia in relation to the whole of the United States for the calendar years 1971, 1972, and 1973, being the three most recent consecutive years for which satisfactory data were available as of August 21, 1974 from the Department of Commerce.

Alabama	0.6184
Alaska	.4159
Arizona	.5314
Arkansas	.6244
California	.4448
Colorado	.4983
Connecticut	.4045
Delaware	.4242
Florida	.5132
Georgia	.5661
Hawaii	.4367
Idaho	.5795
Illinois	.4291
Indiana	.5139
Iowa	.5120
Kansas	.4946
Kentucky	.6012
Louisiana	.6085
Maine	.5959
Maryland	.4520
Massachusetts	.4696
Michigan	.4554
Minnesota	.5103
Mississippi	.6527
Missouri	.5226
Montana	.5479
Nebraska	.5033
Nevada	.4257
New Hampshire	.5303
New Jersey	.4122
New Mexico	.6148
New York	.4218
North Carolina	.5786
North Dakota	.5146
Ohio	.4966
Oklahoma	.5745
Oregon	.5230
Pennsylvania	.5022
Rhode Island	.5087
South Carolina	.6169
South Dakota	.5733
Tennessee	.5947
Texas	.5503
Utah	.5921
Vermont	.5905
Virginia	.5189
Washington	.4929
West Virginia	.6049
Wisconsin	.5281
Wyoming	.5342
District of Columbia	.3645

Dated: October 24, 1974.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.483; Strengthening Instruction Through Equipment and Minor Remodeling)

Certified to be a true copy of the original document

T. H. BELL,
Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc.74–25494 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM, COLLEGE WORK-STUDY, AND NATIONAL DIRECT STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM

Extended Closing Date for Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 413D(B), 446, and 463(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1070–3(b), 42 U.S.C. 2753, and 20 U.S.C. 1070b–3(b), 42 U.S.C. 2753, and 20 accordance with the provisions of Paragraph B below, submit applications for participation, during the academic year 1975–76, in the Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Program, the College Work-Study Program, and the National Direct Student Loan Program (Title IV, Part A–2, Title IV, Part C and Title IV, Part E of the Higher Education Act, respectively). Such applications must be received by the Regional Office of the Office of Education serving the area in which the applicant institution is located on or before November 13, 1974.

A. *Background.* On September 13, a Notice of Closing Date was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER stating that, in

order to receive consideration for these programs, applications must be received by the Office of Education on or before October 18. This information was also printed, in bold-face type, in the application materials that were mailed to potential applicants, beginning in August. In addition, the Office of Education sought to remind all potential applicants of the firmness of this closing date, through letters, telegrams and telephone calls. The National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators also assisted the Office of Education in emphasizing to its constituents the need to meet this deadline. Nonetheless, several institutions failed to submit applications on time.

The applications already submitted could not be fairly and properly reviewed if there were a general extension of the earlier published deadline. It is also apparent, however, that needy students attending those institutions which did not submit applications on time will be severely disadvantaged if those institutions are not permitted to participate in these programs. Consequently, the policy set forth in Paragraph B below has been adopted as the best means of affirming the published closing date, providing full and fair review for all applications that were filed on time, and avoiding delays in the review process, while still avoiding the hardships that would befall students at institutions which did not submit applications on time.

B. *Form of applications received after October 18.* The following policy will be applied to all applications which are received by the Office of Education after the previously published October 18 deadline and on or before the closing date published herein:

(1) *Applications from institutions which received approved funding requests for these programs for the academic year 1973–74 or the academic year 1974–75.* The application review process does not permit that applications in this category be given full review. Consequently, only short form applications—as described in the Application to Participate in Federal Student Financial Aid Programs, July 1, 1975 through June 30, 1976 (OE Form 1036, 6/74)—will be reviewed for such institutions. Institutions which received an approved funding request for any of these programs for either of the academic years stated, irrespective of whether or how large a grant was received, may either submit a short form at this time or, if a complete application has already been submitted, may have that application treated as a short form application. Thus, all institutions in this category will receive consideration for the academic year 1975–76 at the same level as their most recent approved funding request.

(2) *Applications from institutions which have not received approved funding requests for the academic year 1973–74 or the academic year 1974–75.* The number and complexity of any applications received in this category is not expected to cause as serious a problem for the review process as those in the category set forth in subparagraph (1). Since institutions in this category have not received recent review of the financial aid needs of their students, there is no equitable way to treat their applications for this year other than full review. Consequently, such institutions may submit the regular application form.

C. *Addresses and procedures for filing applications.* The addresses of the Regional Offices are as follows:

Office of Education, DHEW, Region I
JFK Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

Office of Education, DHEW, Region II
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Office of Education, DHEW, Region III
P.O. Box 13716 (3535 Market Street)
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Office of Education, DHEW, Region IV
50 Seventh Street, N.E., Room 507
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Office of Education, DHEW, Region V
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Office of Education, DHEW, Region VI
1114 Commerce Street
Dallas, Texas 75202

Office of Education, DHEW, Region VII
601 East 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Office of Education, DHEW, Region VIII
9017 Federal Office Building
19th and Stout Streets
Denver, Colorado 80202

Office of Education, DHEW, Region IX
50 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94102

Office of Education, DHEW, Region X
Arcade Plaza Building
1321 Second Avenue—M.S. 621
Seattle, Washington 98101

(1) *Applications sent by mail.* An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time in the Regional Office of the Office of Education serving the area in which the institution is located if:

(a) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth calendar day prior to the closing date (or if such fifth calendar day is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, not later than the next following business day), as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(b) The application is received on or before the closing date in the mail room of the Regional Office of the Office of Education serving the area in which the institution is located. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail room or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.)

(2) *Hand delivered applications.* An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the Regional Office of the Office of Education serving the area in which the institution is located. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. in the appropriate Regional Office except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holidays. Applications will not be accepted in the appropriate Regional Office after 4:00 p.m. on the closing date.

(20 U.S.C. 1070b–3(b), 42 U.S.C. 2753, and 20 U.S.C. 1087(b))

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 13.418, Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Program; No. 13.463, College Work-Study Program; and 13.471, National Direct Student Loan Program)

Dated: October 29, 1974.

T. H. BELL,
Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc.74–25498 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CURRICULUM

Closing Date for Receipt of Applications

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in section 191 of Part I of the Vocational Education Act of 1963, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 1391, applications are being accepted for two vocational education grant awards for the fiscal year 1975.

Applications must be received by the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center on or before December 10, 1974.

A. *Applications sent by mail.* An application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.496. An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth calendar day prior to the closing date (or if such fifth calendar day is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, not later than the next following business day), as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.)

B. *Hand delivered applications.* An application to be hand delivered must be delivered to the Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building Three, 7th & D Streets SW., Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturday,

Sunday, or Federal holiday. Applications will not be accepted after 4 p.m. on the closing date.

C. *Program information and forms.* Information and application forms may be obtained from the Division of Research and Demonstration, Bureau of Occupational and Adult Education, Office of Education, Room 5002, 7th & D Streets SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.

D. *Applicable regulations.* The regulations applicable to this program include the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 CFR 100a) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 6, 1973 at 38 FR 30654 and the Vocational Education Regulations, Parts C, D and I (45 CFR, Part 103) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 15, 1974 at 39 FR 29361).

(20 U.S.C. 1391)

Dated: September 25, 1974.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.496; Vocational Education—Curriculum)

T. H. BELL,
Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc.74-25495 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER

1975 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

Proposed Issuance of Supplements; Decision

The purpose of this notice is to announce the results of our request for comments on a proposed conversion to a supplement system for the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The notice requesting comments appeared at 39 FR 9568, March 12, 1974.

Commenters opposing a supplement system outnumbered those in favor of such a system by a substantial majority. Generally, those favoring supplements did so on the basis of the anticipated cost savings and earlier availability of CFR material which were both mentioned in the notice requesting comments.

The most frequently stated reasons given by those opposed to a supplement system were:

(1) It would simply add another step to an already complex research process;

(2) Savings gained through reduction of production costs would be negated by the greater amount of time required for research;

(3) Multi-titled supplement volumes would greatly restrict the usefulness of individual volumes. Commenters pointed out that this would be particularly a problem in libraries where a CFR set must be shared by all users.

Not surprisingly, the one area in which there was a consensus was in the need for speedier availability of CFR volumes whether the present system is retained or revised in some manner. A number of suggestions advocated alternative systems, most focusing on some form of loose-leaf system.

Therefore, the Office of the Federal Register has concluded that there is not sufficient support to warrant conversion to a supplement system at this time. However, the comments received have convinced us that the present system does not, and that the proposed supplement system would not, meet all of the needs of CFR subscribers. In an effort to meet these needs, we propose to undertake a thorough study of various alternative approaches, including possible new systems and the latest techniques of printing and reproduction.

We would like to invite users of the CFR to submit their ideas, comments, and suggestions on what a new CFR system should encompass. As well as being rapid and efficient, a new system should be designed to serve as many user requirements as possible. Comments should be submitted to the following address on or before December 16, 1974:

Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Service
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20408

Dated: October 29, 1974.

For the Administrative Committee.

FRED J. EMERY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25503 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE COMMITTEE

Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) that the regular meeting of the International Centre Committee of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation will be held on November 19, 1974, at 10 a.m. in Room 2010 of the New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. This meeting will be open to the public.

The International Centre Committee coordinates United States membership and participation in the International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and the Restoration of Cultural Property in Rome, Italy. The Committee identifies special preservation problems in the United States, arranges for International Centre assistance in solving them, reviews American applicants for Centre training courses, convenes meetings of experts, and makes recommendations on American criteria and standards for preservation and restoration. The Committee's membership includes representatives of 28 national institutions and Federal agencies interested in the Centre's activities.

The agenda is as follows:

Call to Order
Chairman's Welcome
Review of March, 1974, Meeting Minutes
I. Committee Administrative Matters
II. Selection of U.S. delegation to attend the International Centre General Assembly, April 23-26, 1975.
III. Report on the Polish-American Cultural Exchange Seminar, October 8-23, 1974
IV. Studying preservation in Rome—Comments by U.S. students on the training courses offered by the International Centre
V. Follow-up reports on International Centre Committee projects

Additional information is available from the Executive Director, International Centre Committee, Suite 430, 1522 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005.

ANN WEBSTER SMITH,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.74-25501 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-452, 50-453]

DETROIT EDISON CO.

Order; Hearing

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

In the matter of Greenwood Energy Center, Units 2 and 3.

We have decided to hear oral argument on the Licensing Board's ruling respecting its jurisdiction (1) to consider the environmental effects of off-site transmission lines associated with a nuclear power plant; and (2) to impose conditions concerning the routing, design, and construction of such lines.[1] The argument will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, November 22, 1974, in the Appeal Panel hearing room, fifth floor, East West Towers, 4350 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland. Counsel should arrange to be present in the hearing room no later than 9:45 a.m.

The applicant is allotted one hour for its argument. The AEC regulatory staff and the Michigan Nature Association (MNA) are allotted a total of one hour for their arguments, which is to be equally divided between them unless they agree upon some other division of the time. The Secretary of this Board should be advised by letter, no later than November 15, 1974, of the name(s) of counsel who will present argument on behalf of each of the respective parties.

In their preparation and presentation of oral argument, counsel may assume that the Board will have carefully examined all briefs on file with it and will therefore be familiar with the positions of the respective parties.

Under the schedule established in the Board's order of October 7, 1974, the briefs of the regulatory staff and MNA are due for filing on or before November 8, 1974. The applicant may, if it so desires, file a reply brief on or before November 15, 1974.

It is so ordered.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board.

ROMAYNE M. SKRUTSKI,
Secretary to the Appeal Board.

[FR Doc.74-25420 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[1] That ruling was referred to us on October 1, 1974 and the reference was accepted in our order of October 7, 1974.

[Docket No. 50-282]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 6 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-42, issued to Northern States Power Company, which revised the license for operation of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1 (the facility), located in Goodhue County, Minnesota. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revised the license to incorporate broad possession and use limits for byproducts and special nuclear materials, and the technical specifications to provide clarification necessitated by operating experience during the past year.

The applications for the amendment comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated May 24, September 3, and October 4, 1974; (2) Amendment No. 6 to License No. DPR-42, with any attachments; and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Environmental Library of Minnesota, 1222 S.E. 4th Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing—Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 25th day of October 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

KARL KNIEL,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch 2-2, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.74-25336 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-508, 50-509]

WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

Notice and Order for Special Prehearing Conference

In the matter of WPPSS Nuclear Project, Nos. 3 and 5.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Atomic Energy Commission's notice of hearing on application for construction permits, dated August 9, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 23, 1974 (39 FR 30535), and in accordance with § 2.751a of the Commission's rules of practice, 10 CFR Part 2, a special prehearing conference will be held at the Thruston County Courthouse, Eleventh and Capitol Way, Courtroom No. 1, Olympia, Washington 98501, commencing at 1 p.m., local time, on Tuesday, November 19, 1974.

This special prehearing conference will deal with the following matters:

1. Pending petitions for intervention and oppositions and responses thereto filed in this proceeding;
2. Identification of the key issues;
3. Consideration of a schedule for further action; and
4. Such other matters as may aid in the orderly and expeditious conduct of the hearing.

At the special prehearing conference, the Board will entertain oral argument on the pending petition to intervene by Donald F. X. Finn. In connection with said oral argument, the petitioner and counsel for the parties shall address themselves to the matters regarding the basis for intervention, including the matters set forth in § 2.714 of the Commission's regulations.

Members of the public are invited to attend this special prehearing conference, as well as the evidentiary hearing to be held at a later date to be fixed by the Board. Members of the public wishing to make limited appearances may identify themselves at this special prehearing conference, but oral or written statements to be presented by limited appearance will not be received at this Conference. The Board will receive such statements at the aforementioned evidentiary hearing.

It is so ordered.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 25th day of October 1974.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

MAX D. PAGLIN,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.74-25351 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-518, etc.]

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Receipt of Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses; Availability of Environmental Report; Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

The Tennessee Valley Authority (the applicant), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed an application, which was docketed September 13, 1974, for authorization to construct and operate four generating units utilizing four boiling water reactors. The application was tendered on July 1, 1974. Following a preliminary review for completeness, the application [environmental report and site suitability information required for an authorization to conduct certain onsite work in accordance with 10 CFR 50.10(e)] was found to be acceptable for docketing. Docket Nos. STN 50-518, STN 50-519, STN 50-520, and STN 50-521 have been assigned to the application and they should be referenced in any correspondence relating to the application. The Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR) was also tendered on July 1, 1974. However, it was determined that additional information was required prior to initiation of the review. It is anticipated that the PSAR will be resubmitted by November 11, 1974.

The proposed nuclear facilities designated by the applicant as the Hartsville Nuclear Plant A, Units 1 and 2; and B, Units 1 and 2, are to be located approximately 5 miles southeast of Hartsville in Trousdale and Smith Counties, Tennessee. Each unit is designed for initial operation at approximately 3579 megawatts (thermal), with a net electrical output of approximately 1220 megawatts.

A notice of hearing with opportunity for public participation is being published separately.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before December 26, 1974. The request should be filed in connection with Docket Nos. STN 50-518-A, STN 50-519-A, STN 50-520-A, and STN 50-521-A.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, and at the Fred A. Vought Library, 311 White Oak Street, Hartsville, Tennessee 37074.

The applicant has also filed, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the regulations of the Commission in 10 CFR Part 51, an environmental report, dated September 10, 1974. The report, which discusses environmental consideration related to the construction and operation of the proposed facilities, is being made available for public inspection at the aforementioned locations and at the Mid-Cumberland Council of Governments, 226 Capitol Boulevard Building, Nashville, Tennessee 37219; and Upper Cumberland Development District, Box 5076, Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, Tennessee 38501.

After the environmental report has been analyzed by the Commission's Director of Regulation or his designee, a draft environmental statement will be prepared by the Commission's Regulatory staff. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft statement, with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made available when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to

the draft environmental statement, the Regulatory staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of October, 1974.

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION,
DENNIS M. CRUTCHFIELD,
Acting Chief, Light Water Reactors Projects Branch 2-1, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.74-24745 Filed 10-24-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-502 and STN 50-503]

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER CO., ET AL.

Receipt of Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses; Availability of Applicants' Environmental Report: Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Wisconsin Power & Light Company, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, and Madison Gas and Electric Company (the applicants), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, have filed an application which was docketed August 9, 1974, for authorization to construct and operate two nuclear generating units utilizing two pressurized water reactors (the facilities). The application was tendered on May 28, 1974. Following a preliminary review for completeness, the application was found to be acceptable for docketing on July 9, 1974. Docket Nos. STN 50-502 and STN 50-503 have been assigned to the application and should be referenced in any correspondence relating to the application. The proposed nuclear facilities, designated by the applicants as Koshkonong Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, are to be located in Jefferson County, Wisconsin, and each is designed for initial operation at 2785 megawatts thermal with a net electric output of 900 megawatts. The application was filed and is being processed pursuant to the "Duplicate Plant" approach of the Commission's standardization policy for nuclear power plants.

A notice of hearing with opportunity for public participation is being published separately.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before December 24, 1974. The request should be filed in connection with Docket Nos. STN 50-502-A and STN 50-503-A.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, and at the Dwight Foster Public Library, 102 Milwaukee Avenue, East, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin 53538.

The applicant has also filed, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the regulations of the Commission in 10 CFR Part 51, and environmental report dated September 6, 1974. The report, which discusses environmental considerations related to the construction and operation of the proposed facility, is being made available for public inspection at the aforementioned locations and at the State Clearinghouse, Bureau of Planning and Budget, Department of Administration, 1 West Wilson, State Office Building, Madison, Wisconsin 53702.

After the environmental report has been analyzed by the Commission's Director of Regulation or his designee, a draft environmental statement will be prepared by the Commission's regulatory staff. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft statement with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made available when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft environmental statement, the regulatory staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 10th day of October, 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

KARL KNIEL,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch 2-2, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.74-24637 Filed 10-24-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-315]

INDIANA AND MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO. INDIANA AND MICHIGAN POWER CO.

Issuance of a Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the Atomic Energy Commission (the Commission) has issued Facility Operating License No. DPR-58 to Indiana and Michigan Electric Company and Indiana and Michigan Power Company authorizing fuel loading and subcritical testing of the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, in accordance with the provisions of the license and the Technical Specifications. The Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, is a pressurized water nuclear reactor, designed for operation at 3250 megawatts thermal, and located at the licensee's site in Berrien County, Michigan.

The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license. The application for the license complies with the standards and requirements of the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations.

The license is effective as of its date of issuance and shall expire one year from date of issuance.

A copy of (1) Facility Operating License No. DPR-58, complete with Technical Specifications (Appendices "A" and "B"); (2) the report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, dated October 17, 1973; (3) the Directorate of Licensing's Safety Evaluation dated September 20, 1973, and supplements thereto; (4) the Final Safety Analysis Report and amendments thereto; (5) the applicant's Environmental Report dated February 1971, and supplements thereto; (6) the Draft Environmental Statement dated December 1972; and (7) the Final Environmental Statement dated August 1973, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and the St. Joseph Public Library, 500 Market Street, St. Joseph, Michigan 49085. A copy of the license and the Safety Evaluation may be obtained upon request addressed to the United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 25th day of October 1974.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

KARL KNEIL,
Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch 2-2, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.74-25493 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Order 74-10-128; Docket 27044; Docket 22859]

FLYING TIGER LINE, INC.

Order of Suspension Regarding Proposed Increased Air Freight Rates

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 24th day of October, 1974.

By tariff revisions marked to become effective November 3, 1974, The Flying Tiger Line Inc. (Tiger) proposes to increase its bulk and container rates and charges typically as follows:

[In percent]

Mileage Block	General commodity rates		Specific commodity rates
	Westbound	Eastbound	
Under 1,000	11.1	11.1	10
1,000 to 1,800	7.4	10.2	10
1,801 and over	5.0	8.8	10

Bulk minimum charges per shipment are to be increased in general according to the above percentages. The carrier is also proposing to cancel certain specific commodity rates, most of which are alleged to account for little traffic.

In support of its proposal, Tiger asserts, inter alia, that the proposed rates will generate an estimated $6.4 million over the following 12 months, but will result in an operating profit of only $7.8

million, which would still be more than $3.1 million short of that required to earn a 10.7 percent after-tax return on calendar year 1974 investment; that the purpose of the proposal is to obtain needed revenues to offset fuel and other inflationary costs; that the carrier has a serious and immediate need for additional air freight revenues underscored by the fact that its domestic net operating profit for the 12 months ended June 30, 1974, dropped to only $862,000, as compared to the 12 months ended December 31, 1973, when it earned $9.1 million; and that it has been seriously hurt by the Board's suspension of earlier proposed increases which have cost it as much as $4.5 million in otherwise obtainable revenues.

The carrier adds that it has reexamined the Bureau of Economics' costing formula, as submitted in Docket 22859, to determine the scope of rate increases which should be properly permitted on the assumption that the Board will rely upon such formula. Tiger states that in doing so it is guided by the Board's prior practice under similar circumstances in regulation of passenger fares in Order 73–11–93; that the costing formula underlying the Board's freight rate decisions has been based upon carrier 1974 forecasts in Docket 22859 adjusted only for experienced fuel cost increases; and that these 1974 forecasts grossly understate the cost increases actually experienced by the carriers in 1974.

A complaint has been filed by the Western Growers Association[1] (WGA) requesting that the proposed increases be "denied or in the alternative that it be modified to a minimum pending reconsideration." The complainant alleges, inter alia, that the method of application by use of a sliding scale on general commodities and a flat percentage on specific commodities sets apart these classes of shippers; that the increased rates represent an undue and unreasonable burden upon eastbound shippers, particularly shippers of perishable commodities; that air freight charges constitute a large percentage of the delivered price of fresh produce and this increase will also be passed on to the consumer; and that past increases, coupled with the increasing difficulty shippers experience in locating sufficient available air cargo space for fresh produce, have resulted in and will continue to result in the diversion of this traffic from air freight to surface transport.

In answer to the complaint, Tiger asserts that there is no reference anywhere in the complaint to particular specific commodity rates complained against; that the complainant takes no account that Tiger exempted numerous produce rates from the instant increase; that because the percentage increases adopted for specifics are applied to rates lower than the general commodity level, the actual dollar amount of the increase per weight unit is considerably less than the increases applied to general-commodity-rated traffic; that strawberries and other perishable commodities already move at rates significantly below those applicable to other commodities; that, absent any specific data with respect to the actual effect of increased air freight rates on the delivered price of produce, air freight volumes, or shipper profit margins, no weight can be given to WGA's impact arguments in light of the historical record; and that it would not be in the public interest for air carriers to continue to absorb cost increases applicable to costs of carrying produce when shippers of other commodities are required to bear their share of the increased burden.

The proposed rates come within the scope of the Domestic Air Freight Rate Investigation, Docket 22859, and their lawfulness will be determined in that proceeding. The issue now before the Board is whether to suspend the proposal or to permit it to become effective pending investigation.

The chief thrust of Tiger's argument, as indicated above, is that the Board's cost standards, used as a basis for its suspension actions, do not properly reflect recent cost increases particularly in capacity costs other than fuel.[2] The carrier supports this contention by presenting data purporting to show increases in capacity costs other than fuel for domestic all-cargo services of the major cargo carriers. These data indicate an average increase in unit non-fuel capacity costs of 17.3 percent between those forecasted by the carriers in the Domestic Air Freight Rate Investigation (DAFRI) for 1974 (used as a basis for the determination of rates) and those based on reported costs for the first six months of 1974.

The cost schedule advocated by Tiger would exceed the costs relied upon by the Board, reflecting fuel prices as of August 1974, by varying amounts, depending upon the size of shipment as well as the length of haul. For a 100-pound shipment, for example, the increases would range between 2.5 percent for a 100-mile haul and 4.8 percent for a 2,700-mile haul. For a 1,000-pound shipment, Tiger's proposed cost schedule would exceed the Board's cost standards by percentages ranging from 3.4 percent at a haul of 100 miles to 5.4 percent at a 2,700-mile haul. At an average freight haul of approximately 1,100 miles, Tiger's proposed costing would exceed the Board's cost standards by between 3.8 percent for a 100-pound shipment and 4.6 percent at 1,000 pounds.

Tiger's analysis, however, gives no weight to improvements in productivity recently enjoyed by the carriers. Thus, load factors have increased significantly in the past several years. The average load factor in domestic all-cargo operations for all carriers in 1972, 51.7 percent, rose to 55.8 percent in 1973 and reached over 57 percent for the first six months of 1974. Load factors in the cargo compartments for wide-bodied aircraft have similarly risen substantially. For example, the belly-load factors for B–747 aircraft (assuming baggage capacity excluded on an actual load-factor basis) rose from 16.2 for calendar 1972 to 19.5 percent for the 12 months ended March 31, 1974, an increase of over 20 percent. These increases, by spreading capacity costs over more ton-miles, tend to offset higher material prices and wage rates.

In addition, the carriers have been able to produce more available ton-miles for each gallon of fuel consumed. For example, for all types of aircraft in domestic operations, the trunks reported 4.71 available ton-miles per gallon of fuel consumed, 6.6 percent above the figure for the comparable period for 1973. For all-cargo aircraft alone, the available ton-miles reported for the first quarter of 1974 amounted to 8.68 per gallon of fuel, 9.7 percent above the first quarter of the previous year.

Furthermore, Tiger's analysis gives no consideration to the operations of other than all-cargo aircraft in spite of the fact that approximately half of the total freight ton-miles transported domestically are carried on mixed-service aircraft. Many communities do not and have never received all-cargo service.

The cost standard used by the Board in evaluating, pending the decision in the DAFRI, the rate increases recently proposed by the carriers, consider both all-cargo and mixed-service aircraft. We do not intend to depart from these standards in evaluating Tiger's current proposal.

In considering Tiger's proposal, the Board has estimated the domestic costs of transporting freight during the first six months of 1974 from data reported by the carriers to the Board in their Form 41 reports. These indicate that freight operating expenses per revenue ton-mile exceeded those forecast by the carriers for 1974 in the DAFRI by only 4.2 percent.[2a] It should be noted, however, that the Board's suspension actions have been based upon the carrier's 1974 forecast costs increased by the latest fuel costs available, in addition to an adjustment for additional expenses for on-line connections amounting to approximately 2 percent. These increases, including the latter adjustment, have resulted in raising the forecast costs for 1974 by varying amounts, depending upon distance

[1] The Western Growers Association is an association of growers and shippers of fresh fruits and vegetables including strawberries. The commodities grown and marketed by WGA members are shipped from origins in the States of California and Arizona to destinations throughout the country.

[2] Tiger asserts that fuel prices increased by 93.6 percent between the average for the year ended June 1973 and the month of June 1974. This is precisely the figure used by the Board in adjusting costs for recent fuel prices through the latter month. In fact, the Board increased the costs to reflect August 1974 fuel prices, which reflect even larger increases. Tiger asserts that no recent increases in non-capacity costs should be considered, inasmuch as management controls should keep such costs from rising.

[2a] See Appendix B.

and size of shipment. At approximately the average haul of 1,100 miles, the increase reflecting the August 1974 fuel price was 8.4 percent for a 100-pound shipment and 10.3 percent for a 1,000-pound shipment. For a 100-pound shipment, the increases including the average fuel price as of August 1974 range from 3.2 percent at a 100-mile haul to 12.9 percent at 2,700 miles. For a 1,000-pound shipment, the increases vary between 4.3 percent at 100 miles and 14.8 percent at 2,700 miles. Inasmuch as the average cost increase of 4.2 percent referred to above reflects the average increase in fuel prices during the first half of 1974, it is not inconsistent with the average percentage increases in costs above 1974 considered by the Board.

Among the contentions presented by Tiger is that the carriers' 1974 forecast unit costs represented only an increase of 1.5 percent over the 1972 base period, while both the wholesale and consumer price indices rose by 30.1 and 16.2 percent, respectively, between 1972 and 1974. It appears to us, however, that such a comparison is of little validity. As the Board stated in its decision in Docket 25315, Airport Security Charges Proposed by Various Scheduled Air Carriers, "Price indices which show the overall inflationary trends in the economy are simply not probative of airline costs in general or of specific areas of airline costs" (footnote omitted), Order 74–9–82, September 23, 1974, at pages 7 and 8.

In sum, the Board has reviewed the cost criteria used in evaluating suspension issues,[3] including on-line connecting costs and recent fuel price increases, and a full return on investment, in the light of the most recent reported domestic carrier costs. The Board concludes that such costs reasonably approximate the current costs of carrying domestic freight, giving consideration to increased productivity. Based upon these criteria, a significant number of Tiger's proposed rates and charges are excessive in relation to costs. The rates found to exceed costs are both eastbound and westbound and vary by market, weight-break, or container type. In addition, all increases in premium rates on human remains should be suspended.

With respect to WGA's complaint, it has not advanced any valid reason for our differentiating between the carrier's proposals for the commodities of interest to WGA and other commodities.[4] The rates for fruits and vegetables, especially eastbound, have been, and will continue to be, lower than those for most other commodities. Furthermore, the Board has stated that it normally leaves the offering and justification of specific commodity rates to the carriers' initiative.[5]

In dismissing the WGA complaint in this instance, we note that the proposed increases for fruits and vegetables amount to 10 percent, only slightly above the 8.8 percent increase proposed for eastbound general commodity rates for hauls above 1,800 miles. (Eastbound increases for shorter-haul general commodity rates would amount to between 10.2 and 11.1 percent, depending upon length of haul.) Furthermore, most fruit and vegetable rates will continue to enjoy discounts of between 30 and 50 percent below general commodity rates.

In view of the foregoing and upon consideration of all other relevant factors, the Board finds that the proposal, to the extent it applies to such rates as set forth in detail in Appendix A, should be suspended. The remaining portions of the proposal, including the increased bulk minimum charges per shipment and the cancellation of numerous specific commodity rates and charges, appear sufficiently related to costs that the Board will permit them to become effective.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered that: 1. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the increased rates, charges, and provisions described in Appendix A hereto are suspended and their use deferred to and including January 31, 1975, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no change be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

2. Except to the extent granted herein, the complaint of the Western Growers Association in Docket 27044 is dismissed; and

3. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariffs.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[3] In Order 74–7–120, dated July 26, 1974, the Board sets forth in some detail the bases for use of the costing methodology in evaluating tariff increases pending final decision in the DAFRI. These bases are equally applicable herein and will not be repeated.

[4] We are suspending, however, certain eastbound rates on fruits and vegetables inasmuch as they exceed our cost criteria.

[5] In its decision in Docket 22157, United Air Lines, Inc., Specific Commodity Rates on Periodicals, Floral Products, and Seafood, Order 72–11–78, November 20, 1972, the Board stated, "Because of their inherently preferential nature, the Board does not normally require carriers to publish SCR's, but leaves the offering and justification of SCR's to the carriers' initiative. Once a carrier institutes a particular SCR, it is still afforded a fairly broad area of discretion in revising such rates between the parameters of fully-allocated and incremental costs, subject to the Board's review."

APPENDIX A

TARIFF CAB NO. 169, ISSUED BY AIRLINE TARIFF PUBLISHERS, INC., AGENT

1. All increased general commodity (GEN) rates from the point in Column A to the point(s) in Column B subject to the minimum weight(s) in pounds shown in Column C on the pages listed in Paragraph 4.

Column A	Column B	Column C
BGM	BOS, BUF, ORD, CLE, DET, BDL, MKE, NYC, PHL, SYR.	100.
BGM	LAX, PDX	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
BGM	SFO	3000.
BGM	SEA	100, 2000, 3000.
BOS	BGM, BUF, CLE, DET, BDL, NYC, PHL, SYR	100.
BOS	ORD, MKE	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
BOS	LAX	2000, 3000.
BOS	PDX	100.
BOS	SFO, SEA	3000.
BUF	BGM, ORD, CLE, DET, BDL, MKE, NYC, PHL, SYR.	100.
BUF	LAX, PDX, SFO, SEA	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
ORD	BGM, BUF, CLE, DET, MKE, SYR	100.
ORD	BOS	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
ORD	BDL, NYC	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
ORD	LAX, PDX, SFO, SEA	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
ORD	PHL	1000.
CLE	BGM, BOS, BUF, ORD, DET, BDL, MKE, NYC, PHL, SYR.	100.
CLE	LAX, PDX, SFO, SEA	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
DET	BGM, BOS, BUF, ORD, CLE, BDL, MKE, PHL, SYR.	100.
DET	LAX, PDX, SFO	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
DET	SEA	100, 1000, 2000.
BDL	BGM, BOS, BUF, CLE, DET, NYC, PHL, SYR	100.
BDL	ORD, MKE	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
BDL	LAX, PDX	100, 2000, 3000.
BDL	SFO, SEA	3000.
LAX	BGM, MKE, SYR	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
LAX	BOS, BUF, ORD, CLE, BDL, NYC, PHL, PDX, SEA	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
LAX	DET	100, 1000, 2000.
MKE	BGM, BUF, ORD, CLE, DET	100.
MKE	BOS	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
MKE	BDL, NYC, SYR	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
MKE	LAX, PDX, SFO, SEA	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
MKE	PHL	100, 200, 1000.
LAX	SFO	100.
NYC	BGM, BOS, BUF, CLE, DET, BDL, PHL, SYR	100.
NYC	ORD, MKE	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
NYC	LAX	100, 2000, 3000.
NYC	PDX, SEA	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
NYC	SFO	3000.
PHL	BGM, BOS, BUF, CLE, DET, BDL, NYC, SYR	100.
PHL	ORD, MKE	100, 200, 1000.
PHL	LAX, PDX, SEA	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
PHL	SFO	100, 2000, 3000.
PDX	BGM, BUF, ORD, CLE, DET, LAX, MKE, SYR	100, 200, 1000, 2000.

Column A	Column B	Column C
PDX	BOS, BDL, NYC, PHL	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
PDX	SFO, SEA	100.
SFO	BGM	100, 2000, 3000.
SFO	BOS, BDL, PHL	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
SFO	BUF, ORD, CLE, MKE	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
SFO	DET	100, 1000, 2000.
SFO	NYC, SYR	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
SFO	LAX, PDX	100.
SFO	SEA	100, 200, 1000.
SEA	BGM, BUF, CLE, DET, LAX, MKE	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
SEA	BOS	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
SEA	ORD, BDL, NYC, PHL	100, 200, 1000, 2000, 3000.
SEA	PDX	100.
SEA	SFO	100, 200, 1000.
SEA	SYR	200, 1000, 2000.
SYR	BOS, BUF, ORD, CLE, DET, BDL, NYC, PHL	100.
SYR	LAX, PDX	100, 1000, 2000, 3000.
SYR	MKE	100, 200, 1000, 2000.
SYR	SFO	1000, 2000, 3000.
SYR	SEA	100, 2000, 3000.

2. All increased rates stated to apply from the point in Column A to the point(s) in Column B on the "SCR" number shown in Column C subject to the minimum weight(s) in pounds shown in Column D on the pages listed in Paragraph 4.

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
BOS	ORD	3661000	500.
ORD	LAX	3651216	100, 1000.
ORD	LAX, SFO	3661000	500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 5000, 10000.
LAX	BOS	0120000	500, 1000.
LAX	BOS	0122310, 0129993, 0130000, 2741000, 3678000	500.
LAX	BOS	3300000	500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 5000' 10000.
LAX	BOS	3941000	500, 1000, 3000.
LAX	BOS	3651100, 3651200, 3651300	500.
LAX	ORD	0123100, 2200000, 3300000, 3589900, 3630000, 3678000, 3861315, 3941000, 3949000.	500.
LAX	CLE	2389000, 3141000, 3300000, 3589900, 3678000, 3949000.	500, 1000, 2000.
LAX	CLE	2831000	500.
LAX	CLE	3941000	500, 2000.
LAX	DET	0120000	500.
LAX	NYC	0122310, 0123100, 0129993, 0130000, 0139800, 2999900, 3611000.	500.
LAX	NYC	3071000, 3300000	500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 5000.
LAX	NYC	3589900, 3652132, 3861315, 3949000	500, 1000, 2000, 3000.
LAX	PHL	0122000, 0122310, 0129993, 2831000, 3678000	500.
LAX	PHL	2741000, 3300000, 3428173, 3500000, 3600000	500, 1000, 2000.
LAX	PHL	3574111	500, 1000.
PHL	LAX, SFO	4111700	2000.
PDX	BOS	0861400	1000.
PDX	BOS	3611000	500.
SEA	BOS	019115	1000, 2000.
SEA	ORD	2389000, 3141000	500.

3. All increased rates and provisions applicable thereto on the pages listed in Paragraph 4 below insofar as they would be used in determination of rates and minimum charges in conjunction with exception ratings to general commodity rates named in Item No. 340 on behalf of "FT."

4. Pages which contain rates and charges and provisions suspended in Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 above:

20th, 21st, and 22d revised pages 614–A
20th, 21st, and 22d revised pages 618–B
25th and 26th revised pages 619
25th and 26th revised pages 620
31st, 32d, and 33d revised pages 621
31st, 32d, and 33d revised pages 622
24th, 25th, and 26th revised pages 623
24th, 25th, and 26th revised pages 624
30th, 31st, and 32d revised pages 625
30th, 31st, and 32d revised pages 626
37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, and 41st revised pages 627
37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, and 41st revised pages 628
27th, 28th, and 29th revised pages 629
27th, 28th, and 29th revised pages 630
30th revised page 631
30th revised page 632
26th and 27th revised pages 633
26th and 27th revised pages 634
29th and 30th revised pages 635
29th and 30th revised pages 636
28th and 29th revised pages 637
28th and 29th revised pages 638
25th, 26th, and 27th revised pages 639
25th, 26th and 27th revised pages 640
32d and 33d revised pages 641
32d and 33d revised pages 642
30th and 31st revised pages 643
30th and 31st revised pages 644
27th, 28th, 29th, 31st and 32d revised pages 645
27th, 28th, 29th, 31st, and 32d revised pages 646
29th, 30th, and 31st revised pages 647
29th, 30th, and 31st revised pages 648

All rates and charges on the following pages which are reissues of rates and charges suspended on the pages above:
33d revised page 645
33d revised page 646

TARIFF C.A.B. NO. 227, ISSUED BY AIRLINE TARIFF PUBLISHERS, INC., AGENT

1. All increased general commodity (GEN) rates and charges from the point in Column A to the point(s) in Column B on the container type(s) specified in Column C on the pages listed in Paragraph 3. (MW 3200) used in Column C denotes minimum weight 3200 pounds only.

Column A	Column B	Column C
BGM	BUF, ORD, MKE, SYR	D
BGM	LAX	B, D
BGM	PDX	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
BGM	SFO, SEA	B, B2, D
BOS	ORD	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
BOS	DET, BDL	D
BOS	LAX, PDX, SFO, SEA	B, B2, D
BOS	MKE	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
BUF	BGM, SYR	D.
BUF	LAX, PDX, SEA	B, B2, D
BUF	SFO	B2, D
ORD	BGM, BDL, MKE, PHL	D
ORD	BOS, SFO, SEA	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
ORD	LAX	A1 (MW3200), A2 (MW3200), A3 (MW3200), B, B2, D
ORD	PDX	A1, A2 (subject to NOTE "a" only), A3, B, B2, D
CLE	DET, LAX, PDX, SFO, SEA	B, B2, D
DET	BOS, CLE, SFO, SEA	D
DET	LAX, PDX	B, B2, D
BDL	BOS, ORD, MKE, NYC	D
BDL	LAX, SFO	B, B2, D
BDL	PDX, SEA	B, B2, D, FTC
LAX	BGM, CLE, NYC, PHL, PDX, SEA, SYR	D
LAX	BOS, BDL	B, B2, D
MKE	BGM, ORD, BDL, PHL, SYR	D
MKE	BOS, LAX, SFO	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
MKE	PDX	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D, FTC
MKE	SEA	D, FTC
NYC	ORD, BDL, PHL	D
NYC	LAX, SFO, SEA	B, B2, D
NYC	PDX	B, B2, D, FTC
PHL	ORD, MKE, NYC	D
PHL	LAX, SFO, SEA	B, B2, D
PHL	PDX	B, B2, D, FTC
PDX	BGM, LAX, PHL, SEA, SYR	D
PDX	BOS, BDL, NYC	B, B2, D
SFO	BGM, BOS, BDL, NYC	B, B2, D
SFO	ORD, CLE, SEA, SYR	D
SFO	MKE	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
SFO	PHL	B, B2
SEA	BGM, BOS, BUF, BDL, NYC, PHL, PDX, SFO, SYR	D
SYR	BGM, BUF, ORD, MKE, SFO	D
SYR	LAX	B, D
SYR	PDX	A1, A2, A3, B, B2, D
SYR	SEA	B, B2, D

2. All increased rates and charges stated to apply from the point in Column A to the point in Column B on the "SCR" number shown in Column C on the container type specified in Column D on the pages listed in Paragraph 3.

COLUMN A	COLUMN B	COLUMN C	COLUMN D
BOS	ORD	0192940	A2
BOS	ORD	3071725	D
ORD	NYC	3652130	D
LAX	BOS, ORD	2389900	LN
LAX	PHL	2831192	A2
LAX	PDX	2741742	A2
PHL	LAX, SFO	4111700	A2
SEA	BOS	3585721	A2
SEA	ORD, BDL, NYC, PHL	3339972	A2

3. Pages which contain rates and charges suspended in Paragraphs 1 and 2 above:

7th revised page 159.
7th revised page 160.
9th revised page 161.
9th revised page 162.
7th revised page 163.
7th revised page 164.
8th and 9th revised pages 165.
8th and 9th revised pages 166.
7th revised page 167.
7th revised page 168.
9th, 10th, and 11th revised pages 169.
9th, 10th, and 11th revised pages 170.
9th and 11th revised pages 171.
9th, 10th, and 11th revised pages 172.
6th and 7th revised pages 173.

EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS APPENDIX

BGM	Binghamton	MKE	Milwaukee
BOS	Boston	NYC	New York/Newark
BUF	Buffalo	PHL	Philadelphia
ORD	Chicago	PDX	Portland, Oregon
CLE	Cleveland	SFO	San Francisco/Oakland
DET	Detroit	SEA	Seattle/Tacoma
BDL	Hartford	SYR	Syracuse
LAX	Los Angeles		

APPENDIX B

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES AND EXPENSES ASSIGNED TO FREIGHT DOMESTIC SCHEDULED OPERATIONS, TRUNKS AND FLYING TIGER FORECAST 1974 AND ACTUAL JANUARY–JUNE 1974

Item	Forecast Calendar 1974 *	Actual Jan.–June 1974	Percent change over forecast 1974 (percent)
Total traffic:			
Capacity Costs ($000):			
Passenger Combination:			
Actual	$5,152,659		
Adjusted for standard l.f.[2]	$4,763,727		
All cargo	$217,924		
Total	$4,981,651		
Non-capacity Costs ($000)	$3,128,220		
Total Operating Costs ($000)	$8,109,871	**$4,422,418	
Total RTM's (000,000)	14,503	**7,610	
Total Operating Costs per RTM (cents)	55.92 (cents)	58.11 (cents)	+3.92
Total Operating Costs Assigned to Freight ($000)	$700,028	[3]$340,084	
Percent of Costs Assigned to Freight	8.63%	[4]7.69%	−10.90
Freight RTM's (000,000)	2,793	**1,302	
Freight Operating Cost per RTM (cents)	25.07	26.12	+4.19
Freight as Percent of Total RTM's	19.26%	17.11%	−11.16

[1] Includes both scheduled and unscheduled operations. The latter comprised only 1.93 percent of total revenue ton-miles of all types of traffic, and 0.17 percent for freight alone.
[2] Adjustment for standard load factor, −7.55% (BE–D–4003).
[3] Obtained by multiplying total operating costs by 7.69 percent, the percent of total operating costs assigned to freight.
[4] Percent of costs assigned to freight in forecast 1974, 8.63%, reduced by the percent reduction in the percent of freight revenue ton-miles between forecast 1974 and actual first 6 months of 1974, 17.16 percent, as compared to 19.26 percent, or a reduction of 10.9 percent.
* Source: BE exhibits in Docket 22859.
** Source: Form 41 reports.

[FR Doc.74-25239 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Order 74–10–106; Docket 26494; Agreement C.A.B. 24623 R–1 through R–40]

NORTH AND MID-ATLANTIC PASSENGER FARES

Notice of Agreement

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 21st day of October, 1974.

By Order 74–9–21, the Board established procedural dates for the receipt of carrier justification, comments and/or objections by interested persons, and reply comments, on an agreement of the carrier members of the International Air Transport Association (IATA) which would establish North and Mid-Atlantic passenger fares for the period November 1, 1974 through March 31, 1976.

Generally speaking the agreement would increase all current fares by amounts ranging from 3.6 percent for the shoulder-season normal economy fare to more than 19 percent for the winter affinity-group fare. The 14/21-day and 22/45-day excursion fares would be increased on the order of 7.5 percent and 18.0 percent, respectively.[1] The agreement also proposes a new, advance-purchase excursion (APEX) fare at levels essentially at the present 22/45-day excursion fare. Reservations and full payment (subject to a 25 percent charge for cancellation prior to departure) would be required two calendar months before departure; a minimum/maximum stay of 22/45 days would apply; no stopovers would be permitted; and sales would be limited to 20 percent of each carrier's weekly economy-class capacity between any two points.[2]

The agreement includes, as a recommended practice rather than a binding agreement, the minimum charter rate structure tentatively agreed to at the Ft. Lauderdale meeting of North Atlantic Charter Fare Conference this June.[3] This agreement has not been finalized and the agreement on fares for scheduled service here before us includes an escape clause providing for a special IATA meeting to determine whether the package should become effective in this event.

Certain amendments in conditions applicable to various fares are also proposed. The minimum group size for the all-year 14/21-day group inclusive tour (GIT) fare would be reduced from 15 to 10 persons, and the minimum tour price increased from $100 to $120 for the first 14 days, and from $7 to $10 per day thereafter.[4] Youth fares from Canada to Europe would be retained, but a new residence requirement would exclude holders of U.S. passports from use of these fares during the peak season (with the exception of those who present either an official Canadian Immigrant Visa or Student Visa, or documentary evidence of at least three months' residence in Canada). Finally, the agreement includes a new 4/9-day incentive group fare to Israel at New York/Boston-Tel Aviv levels of $461 winter, and $517 shoulder, applicable for groups of at least 80 passengers.

With respect to Mid-Atlantic fares, the agreement proposes a general increase of five percent effective November 1. Fares between the Western Hemisphere and Traffic Conference 3 (Asia/Australia/Pacific) via Europe, Africa or the Middle East are proposed to be increased seven percent.

Statements of justification and supporting data have ben submited by National Airlines, Inc. (National), Pan American World Airways, Inc. (Pan American) and Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA). Comments and/or objections to the agreement have been filed by Northwest Airlines, Inc. (Northwest), member carriers of the National Air Carrier Association (NACA),[5] Saturn Airways, Inc. (Saturn), Overseas National Airways, Inc. (ONA), and by Donald L. Pevsner, Esq. The Department of Transportation (DOT) submitted a motion to file an otherwise unauthorized document to which it attaches its comments.[6] Reply comments have been filed by TWA.

Carrier justification. All three U.S. carriers project significant increases in passenger revenue for 1975 under the proposed agreement compared with the results anticipated if status quo fares were retained. National, Pan American, and TWA indicate that the proposed agreement would bring about an improvement in operating results of $.3 million, $22.8 million, and $26.4 million, respectively, and would produce rates of return on investment of 19.4 percent, 1.0 percent and (0.4) percent. Traffic, on the other hand, is expected to decline (1.5 percent, 2.3 percent and 2.8 percent) under the proposed fares compared with the anticipated results under status quo fares.

In their accompanying comments, Pan American and TWA both contend that the agreement generally meets the objectives of the Board as stated in its policy statement issued prior to the Fort Lauderdale IATA Conference in June 1974. The agreement would introduce a new 22/45-day advance-purchase excursion fare for individual travel, whereas historically the lowest fares have been available only for group travel, the level of which is higher than the present 22/45-day excursion fare and the availability

[1] Present and proposed fares for New York-London travel are detailed in Appendix A.

[2] Sales would additionally be limited to 50 percent of the economy class capacity of the aircraft, or 80 seats, whichever is greater.

[3] For U.S.-originating charters, the minimums (net price to carrier) range from 2.8 cents to 4.1 cents per seat-mile depending on the season and particular aircraft configuration. The public selling price would be based on a 5.26 percent markup in the case of affinity/own use/incentive type charters, and a 25 percent markup based on the rate for aircraft having less than 230 seats in the case of ABC/TGC charters.

[4] In the case of the 7/8-day Winter GIT, the maximum-stay period would be extended to 10 days for traffic originating at U.S. west coast points.

[5] On behalf of Capitol International Airways, Inc., Overseas National Airways, Inc., Saturn Airways, Inc., Trans International Airlines, Inc. and World Airways, Inc.

[6] DOT's motion will be granted.

of which is so restricted that fewer passengers will use the fare than has been the case in the past with the lowest promotional fare. Increases in other fares in the structure, the carriers contend, comply with the Board's objectives in terms of being more cost related while at the same time reducing significantly the spread between normal economy fares and discount fares.

TWA indicates that experiments such as merger of the 14/21-day and 22/45-day excursion fares and elimination of the group inclusive tour fares as mentioned in the Board's policy statement would severely handicap the U.S.-flag carriers' efforts to improve their financial position since a significant portion of the traffic would be subjected to very large fare increases with a consequent adverse impact on the market.

Pan American alleges that the revenue improvement which would result from this agreement represents the maximum amount of profit improvement feasible at the present time. Approval of the agreement will permit Pan American to make important strides in reducing its operating loss, although it will still fall short of enabling the carrier to reach an operating breakeven result. In view of the overall economic situation, prior fare increases this year, the charter-scheduled carrier competitive environment, and recent disappointing declines in traffic, additional relief through fare increases is not a realistic possibility in the immediate future on the Atlantic. Further profit improvement, the carrier contends, must come from the elimination of excess capacity and discriminatory practices against U.S.-flag carriers.

Comments. Northwest suggests that the ticketing and reservations procedures associated with the APEX fare will require special handling and expense for the domestic carriers selling this fare. Because there are no provisions for the pro-ration of the 25 percent nonrefundable deposit, the domestic-selling carrier could be involved in a great deal of time and expense with no reimbursement in the event of passenger cancellation. Northwest urges the Board to condition approval of the agreement so that carriers not engaged in transatlantic air travel be precluded as the ticketing or reservation-making carrier. Under the terms of the Northwest proposal, the passenger would make his reservation and be ticketed by a travel agent or a transatlantic carrier, and the latter would in turn make the reservation on the domestic carrier. Such a procedure, Northwest contends, would insure that the domestic market does not incur expenses attributable to transatlantic carriage.

Donald L. Pevsner opposes the agreement. Mr. Pevsner contends that the significant increase in the 22/45-day excursion fare cannot begin to be justified by the rising cost of fuel. The net result of the agreement, Mr. Pevsner alleges, is to limit the passenger who cannot afford high transatlantic fares to an extremely restrictive APEX fare at essentially the level of today's 22/45-day excursion fare. It is therefore highly probable that a large percentage of this market will simply forego transatlantic travel. Mr. Pevsner poses the question as to whether an empty seat is preferable to the present uneconomic 22/45-day excursion fares. With reference to the residence requirement proposed for youth fares out of Canada, Mr. Pevsner contends that this is a noxious and unwarranted interference with the freedom of a U.S. air traveler who otherwise meets the requirements of these fares. If the carriers believe these fares to be uneconomic, they should be wholly discontinued. The carriers are obviously making money with these fares, Mr. Pevsner contends, since they are being continued and accordingly they should not be denied to U.S. residents. Mr. Pevsner concludes that if the desired economic turnaround is not produced by raising the fares again and again, the entire fare structure should be revised to achieve uniform equity.

NACA directs its comments to the inclusion of passenger charter rates in an IATA fare agreement albeit on a recommended basis and principally for the purpose of establishing an escape resolution. NACA urges that the Board make clear that approval of the resolutions would not in any respect constitute a precedent for the adoption of charter rate agreements at IATA traffic conferences, from which charter-only airlines are excluded.

Saturn is concerned with any attempt by the Board, through approval of the IATA agreement or by other means, to impose upon the supplemental carriers the charter rates contained in the Recommended Practice. This concern is heightened by the proposed level and conditions on the APEX fares, which are designed to compete directly with charters and which Saturn contends smack strongly of "part charters." It is contended that the low level of these fares (which are discounted from 43 to 46 percent below economy fares), coupled with capacity limitations which will permit substantial use, could lead to unfair competitive practices through various merchandising techniques, violations of the Federal Aviation Act, and extreme damage to the supplementals.[1] ONA expresses similar concern about the capacity aspects of the proposed APEX fares.

DOT recommends the agreement be approved by the Board as it should improve both TWA's and Pan American's financial posture. DOT has reservations as to whether stopover charges properly reflect carrier costs for such service pointing out the failure of the carriers to address this question. DOT suggests that the Board condition its approval to require that, where applicable, stopover charges be increased to $30 in order to compensate carriers more appropriately for the cost of providing service.

Replies. TWA has replied to the comments of NACA, ONA, Saturn, and Donald L. Pevsner, urging that the Board reject the relief requested. In responses to NACA's comments, TWA indicates that the agreement is premised on the establishment of a meaningful charter floor, in the absence of which scheduled carriers will be forced to lower promotional fares to preclude further traffic diversion to the supplemental charter carriers and minimize financial losses during 1975. Saturn's assertion that the APEX fares smack strongly of "part charter," TWA contends, is utterly false. TWA states that the APEX fares are not group fares but individual fares, established at higher levels than the 1974 22/45-day excursion fares and more restrictive in their application than previous promotional fares. With reference to the employment of "bait and switch" tactics by IATA carriers, TWA contends that illegal practices can develop under any fare structure and be pursued by any class of carriers. If, in fact, such practices did develop, the Board has at its disposal the power to correct the situation. Regarding the question of APEX capacity to be made available, TWA reiterates that the 20 percent seat limitation restricts availability to significantly fewer passengers than have historically used the lowest promotional fares. Finally, TWA contends in response to Mr. Pevsner that the increased fares merely reflect cost increases to which the carriers have been subjected in the past year, and that the residence requirement attached to the Canadian youth fares is required to reduce the severe diversion the U.S. carriers suffered during the past year.

Findings. Prior to the June 1974 meeting of the IATA carriers to negotiate North Atlantic air fares for the period commencing November 1, 1974, the Board detailed a list of nine priorities which it considered necessary to arrive at an economic fare structure. We had hoped that similar views, at least with regard to obtaining a cost-oriented fare structure although not necessarily complying with all of our suggestions, would be shared by other governments. However, the ultimate agreement reached and the conference documents available to the Board appear to indicate that once again the diverse views of carriers with varying interests were such as to create an agreement which is essentially designed to meet low-priced charter competition and does not provide for the major restructuring of fares that this government seeks to achieve—fares responsive to the costs of providing the service. The agreement before us does accomplish in small measure some of our stated objectives. But simplification of the fare structure, reasonable stopover charges on economy-class fares, elimination of discounts for inclusive tour travel not justified by demonstrated cost savings, and elimination of group-travel

[1] Saturn alleges that the scheduled carriers have the ability to use bait and switch tactics (switching charter groups to discount scheduled fares) to the detriment of the supplementals.

discounts where carriers undertake formation of the group, remain to be accomplished.

Both Pan American and TWA argue that the Board's suggestions, if adopted in toto, would have a severe adverse effect on traffic because of the very large price increase to passengers heretofore using low promotional fares. We agree that this argument has merit in today's economic climate, particularly in the face of the sharp decline in transatlantic traffic this past summer. However, we are nevertheless concerned with the added complexity of the structure stemming from introduction of the APEX fare without elimination of several of those promotional fares already offered, and the continued offering of stopovers either without charge or with an insufficient charge. We are also not fully convinced by the carriers' justification of the generative nature of the GIT fares, nor do we agree as to the measure of their economic worth.

In these circumstances, the basic question arises of whether the Board should now stand its ground and disapprove the resolutions before it or whether it should approve them. In determining the course which we should follow, we must make an assessment of the benefits which may be expected from the instant agreement as contrasted to the alternatives of disapproval. We are again faced with problems akin to those before us with respect to the 1973 North Atlantic passenger fares in which our selection of alternative led to judicial reversal (Pillai v. C.A.B., 485 F.2d 1018 (D.C. Cir 1973), and our Order 73-10-55 on remand which detailed the consequences to the public and the carriers of an open-rate situation and noted that the power to suspend rates in foreign air transportation is not an adequate tool for resolution by the United States of rate problems in international air transportation The basic considerations there noted continue to exist. Further, the diverse views of the carriers as reflected in the conference documents provide no assurance that an agreement embodying our objectives will be forthcoming if the instant agreement is disapproved. While this nation has not conducted the round of diplomatic negotiations which preceded our approval of the 1973 agreement, we have no basis for assurance that we will be able to obtain United States objectives through use of the suspension power and diplomatic negotiations. Rather, as before, we are faced yet again with a choice of alternatives in the face of essentially unprovable levels of risk.[8]

Absent the unusual circumstances which prevail in today's economic climate, we would be disposed to disapprove the agreement out of hand. However, we are faced with a critical and overriding consideration, namely the risk that disapproval of the agreement will create a further deterioration in the already serious financial condition of our major U.S.-flag international carrier and in the unsatisfactory operating results of the other U.S.-flag North Atlantic carriers. In these regards, the facts are stark indeed. Worldwide monetary fluctuations and continuing inflation in the United States, the United Kingdom, and Western Europe have contributed to a significant decline in traffic. This traffic declined, combined with spectacular increases in the cost of fuel and other cost escalations has precipitated a financial crisis for Pan American. This crisis has led the carrier to seek subsidy from the United States government in amounts approaching $200,000,000 annually. We need not document the full scope of that carrier's plight. TWA is also incurring substantial losses on its international operations which in turn cause a severe drain on its resources.

As detailed in Appendix D, both carriers have sustained signficant operating losses in the year ended June 30, 1974. But even these operating losses understate the magnitude of the current problem since they do not reflect the major fall off in traffic which occurred in the 1974 peak summer season. Nor are these unsatisfactory operating results peculiar to the U.S. carriers. As indicated elsewhere, the U.S.- and foreign-flag carriers as a group are currently incurring enormous losses in North Atlantic operations.

We are thus faced with an immediate critical situation which must take precedence over our long-term goal of achieving a more cost-oriented fare structure. Any attempt to achieve that goal now would necessitate disapproval of the agreement before us resulting in an immediate open-rate situation. As previously indicated, there is no way to predict how that open-rate situation would be resolved. It is almost certain, however, that disapproval at a minimum would throw the Atlantic fare structure into a period of uncertainty which would last several months. This, in turn, would jeopardize the improvements in yield which are anticipated from the instant agreement and thus deprive the carriers of revenues which may be vital to their continued viability.[9] We are unwilling to accept this risk notwithstanding our disappointment with the agreement before us.

Pan American and TWA project rates of return of 1.0 percent and (0.4) percent respectively. However, these returns are predicated on anticipatory fuel costs and a decline in the traffic level for 1975 compared with the result anticipated under status quo fares. We are not persuaded that given a stable competitive market, charter versus scheduled, and the low level of the APEX fares there will in fact be such a decline in traffic. Our adjustment of the traffic levels to those expected for 1975 at status quo fares and use of the latest fuel price data brings the estimated rate of return under the proposed fares to 5.8 percent and 5.7 percent for Pan American and TWA, respectively (see Appendix G).

The Board has considered it of cardinal importance that the 22/45-day excursion fare, if retained, be substantially increased. This is accomplished by the new agreement, the discount from normal economy fares being reduced from 40 to 33 percent in the peak season. The increases proposed in the normal economy, 14/21-day excursion, and GIT fares are more moderate, but would appear consistent with the increased cost of doing business. The new APEX fare is established at essentially the level of today's 22/45-day excursion fares and is, in our mind, of somewhat questionable economic validity. On the other hand, the significant restrictions on its availability in contrast to the 22/45-day excursion fare should forestall excessive use of the fare despite its relatively low level. The carriers argue and we are inclined to agree in today's circumstances, that the APEX fare is necessary if they are to retain traffic which would otherwise be lost due to the substantial increases in other promotional fares, particularly the 22/45-day excursion fare. By the same token, the restrictive features of the fare should preclude significant diversion from higher-fare services, and/or a total loss of price discretionary traffic to charter operations. Pan American projects a net contribution to revenue improvement of $3.5 million from the APEX fare. While the Board would think a more stringent limitation on capacity allotted to this service desirable, we are nevertheless prepared to accept the 20 percent of total weekly capacity as an experimental first step with this new service concept.[10]

Recommended Practice 1045 sets forth the rules and minimum rates for charters between the United States and Europe upon which the fares agreed for scheduled service are predicated. The resolutions relating to fares for scheduled service are, in turn, subject to an escape clause providing that the total agreement may fall if the Recommended Practice does not come into effect. In the absence of an inter-carrier agreement on minimum charter rates, the Board is

[8] We have considered the alternative of selective disapproval of particular resolutions. However, the resolutions before us are essentially presented as an overall "package" not readily severable, and we have no assurance that our objectives would be advanced to any greater degree through selective disapproval if indeed any such disapproval did not have the consequence of terminating the entire package. It may be that a disapproval of the fare resolutions insofar as they relate to points on National's system would not cause the total agreement to fall. However, the agreement is not so easily severable and the effect of precluding fare increases to National could cause adverse competitive impact upon Pan American and TWA as well as the foreign carriers.

[9] Additionally this would create a situation of uncertainty regarding the future traveling plans of the public and the overall sale of air transportation.

[10] Pan American and TWA project that 12 and 20 percent of the traffic, respectively, will use APEX fares in 1975, compared with 28 and 33 percent of the passengers who would use the 22/45-day excursion fares at status quo.

issuing a statement establishing minimum-charter-rate guidelines for purposes of evaluating individual carrier tariff filings.[11] While Recommended Practice 1045 does not have the force of an agreement, it indicates a majority view that a penalty of 50 percent of the total charter price should be imposed if the cancellation occurs within 30 days of departure. For the reasons stated in Order 74–9–72, the Board considers a penalty of this magnitude unjustifiable and would not be disposed to permit tariffs to this effect to become effective for U.S.-originating charters.

We will not condition our approval of the agreement, as DOT suggests, by requiring that the price for stopover charges, where applicable, be increased to $30 each. Although we share DOT's concern, we would point out that our policy statement related to stopover charges associated with all economy fares in the structure, not just those where optional stopovers are available at additional cost. Of all the public fares proposed only the GIT fares allow limited additional stopovers at a price of $10 each. Normal economy fares, on the other hand, permit unrestricted free stopovers while two free stopovers in each direction are permitted in conjunction with the 14/21-day excursion fare. Accordingly, we believe it more appropriate to deal with this significant issue on an overall basis, rather than on a piecemeal basis as DOT suggests.

With respect to Northwest's comments concerning sale of the APEX fare, we can appreciate that domestic carriers will incur additional expense as the originating carrier and that a considerable volume of business may be involved. On the other hand, there is nothing to require a carrier's participation in the sale of this transportation if it is felt that the associated expense is too great; a request need only be referred to a transatlantic carrier or a travel agent.

As for Mr. Pevsner's request that increases in the 22/45-day excursion fares and affinity fares be disapproved, the Board has made clear its opinion that fares at these low levels, particularly the virtually unrestricted excursion fare, are largely responsible for today's uneconomic operation on the North Atlantic. The Board would prefer to see elimination of the 22/45-day excursion fare; but, failing that, a significant increase is essential. The alternative is an industry unable to meet its costs and continuation of a situation in which higher-rated passengers bear more than their share of these costs in a discriminatory manner. As for continuation of youth fares from Canada, this involves an area beyond the Board's primary jurisdiction. Insofar as transportation to/from the United States is concerned, we have found such fares unjustly discriminatory under our law, a decision not changed by their availability from a contiguous country.

[11] Since the Board is issuing a Policy Statement on minimum charter rate guidelines, we need not reach the issue raised by NACA.

Upon consideration of foregoing, the carrier justifications, the comments and responses, we have decided to approve the scheduled-fare package. Our analysis indicates that the fares proposed will increase carrier revenues and produce some limited measure of profitability. Suffice it to say that this is the first priority in the present economic climate.[12]

[12] IATA sources indicate that carriers on the North Atlantic route suffered operating losses of $130 million in 1973, and a loss of $300 million is anticipated in 1974.

We approve the agreement, however, with considerable misgivings about the apparent lack of attention to those changes which must be made to achieve a realistically cost based, and nondiscriminatory transatlantic fare structure over the longer term.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), 404(b), 412 and 1002 of the Act, makes the following findings:

1. It is not found that the following resolutions set forth in Agreement C.A.B. 24623 are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act:

Agreement CAB	IATA No.	Title	Application
24623:			
R-1	001aa	Special Escape Resolution—North Atlantic (New)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-2	001cc	Special Amending and Escape Resolution—North Atlantic (New)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-3	001w	North Atlantic Escape—Discount Percentages (New)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-5	001b	North Atlantic Special Effectiveness Resolution (Tie-In)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-6	001dd	North Atlantic Escape for Normal and Special Fares (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-7	001jj	Duration of North Atlantic Agreement (New)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-8	002	Standard Revalidation Resolution	1/2; 1/2/3; N. Atlantic.
R-9	003g	General Increases in Passenger Fares (New)	1/2/3; N. Atlantic.
R-12	022z	Special Rules for Sales of Passenger Air Transportation from TC2 to TC1 (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-13	054a	North Atlantic First-Class Fares	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-14	064a	North Atlantic Economy-Class Fares	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-15	070d	North Atlantic 14/21- and 14/45-Day Excursion Fares (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-16	070t	North Atlantic 14/21-Day Excursion Fares—Amman, Baghdad, Beirut, Cairo, Damascus, Jerusalem, Kuwait, Nicosia, Teheran (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-17	070x	North Atlantic 14/21-Day Excursion Fares—Amman, Baghdad, Beirut, Cairo, Damascus, Jerusalem, Kuwait, Nicosia, Teheran, (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-19	071g	North Atlantic 22/45-Day Excursion Fares (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-33	084x	Travel at Group Fares within Scandinavia (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-36	095b	North Atlantic Fares for U.S. and Canadian Military Personnel and Dependents (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-37	002	Standard Revalidating Resolution	1/2; 1/2/3; Mid-Atlantic.
R-38	003h	General Increases in Passenger Fares (New)	1/2/3; Mid-Atlantic.
R-40	022y	JT12 (Mid-Atlantic) Special Rules for Sales of Passenger Air Transportation from TC2 to TC1 (Amending).	1/2; Mid-Atlantic.

2. It is not found that the following resolutions set forth in Agreement C.A.B. 24623 are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act, provided that approval is subject to conditions previously imposed by the Board:

Agreement CAB	IATA No.	Title	Application
24623:			
R-10	015	North Atlantic Proportional Fares North American (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-11	016	North Atlantic Fare Development Program (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-20	075hh	North Atlantic 30-Day Winter Group Fares—Middle East (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-21	075l	North Atlantic Group Fares—Israel (Revalidating and Amending)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-22	075r	North Atlantic 8/21-Day Group Fares—Israel (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-23	075rr	North Atlantic 21-Day Group Fares—Amman, Beirut, Cairo, Damascus, Jerusalem, Nicosia (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-24	076e	North Atlantic Affinity Group Fares (Revalidating and Amending)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-26	076m	North Atlantic Bulk Affinity and Incentive Group Fares—Portugal/Spain (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-28	084a	North Atlantic 21- and 28-Day Inclusive Tour Fares (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-29	084c	North Atlantic Winter Group Inclusive Tour Fares to Israel (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-30	084cc	North Atlantic Winter Group Inclusive Tour Fares to Middle East (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-31	084p	North Atlantic 7/8-, 7/10- and 7/13-Day Winter Group Inclusive Tour Fares—Europe (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-32	084pp	North Atlantic 6/16-Day Winter Group Inclusive Tour Fares—Africa (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-39	013a	Mid-Atlantic Fare Development Program (New)	1/2; Mid-Atlantic.

3. It is not found that the following resolutions set forth in Agreement C.A.B. 24623 are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act, provided that approval is subject to the following conditions;

Agreement CAB	IATA No.	Title	Application
24623:			
R-18	071p	North Atlantic Advance-Purchase Excursion Fares (new)	½; N. Atlantic.

Provided with respect to Resolution 071p:

1. In the event a passenger discontinues his journey en route for any reason, the amount of the fare paid may be applied as a credit toward the purchase of transportation at the applicable fare calculated from the original point of origin.

2. Full refund shall be made in the event of death or illness of the passenger or of a member of the passenger's immediate family prior to travel.

Agreement CAB	IATA No.	Title	Application
24623:			
R-25	076b	North Atlantic 45-Day Incentive Group Fares to Israel (new)	1/2; N. Atlantic.
R-27	076p	North Atlantic 14-Day Incentive Group Fares (Revalidating and Amending).	1/2; N. Atlantic.

Provided with respect to Resolutions 076h and 076k:

1. The provision which at departure time would permit a lesser number of passengers than that prescribed by the Resolution to travel shall not be limited to situations caused by circumstances beyond the control of the passengers dropping out of the group and the balance of the group may travel at no added costs.

2. In the event a passenger discontinues his journey en route for any reason, the amount of the fare paid may be applied as a credit towards the purchase of transportation at the applicable fare calculated from the original point of origin.

3. The amount of the forfeiture to be imposed in the event of cancellation by the group or members of the group prior to or at departure time for any reason shall not exceed 25 percent of the fare paid and after departure the forfeiture shall not exceed 25 percent of the excess of the price of the group-fare ticket over the cost of normal-fare transportation from the point of origin to the point of cancellation.

4. Full refund of the group fares paid shall be made in the event of cancellation of travel arrangements by a carrier on the ground that the group or any member of the group is ineligible for the group fares.

5. The travel group shall be formed only for own use of one person (which expression shall include an individual person or a legal entity such as an association, partnership, company, or corporation) provided that the purchaser shall not, wholly or partially, directly or indirectly, share the cost of the air transportation with other persons interested in obtaining such transportation including the passengers carried.

4. It is not found that the following resolution set forth in Agreement C.A.B. 24623 affects air transportation within the meaning of the Act:

Agreement CAB	IATA No.	Title	Application
24623:			
R-34	092f	North Atlantic Individual Youth Fares (Revalidating and Amending).	½; N. Atlantic.
R-35	092g	North Atlantic Group Youth Fares (Revalidating and Amending)	½; N. Atlantic.

5. It is not found that Recommended Practice 1045 incorporated in Agreement C.A.B. 24623, R-4, is a binding resolution requiring Board action.

Accordingly, *It is ordered that:* 1. Those portions of Agreement C.A.B. 24623 set forth in paragraph 1 above be and hereby are approved;

2. Those portions of Agreement C.A.B. 24623 set forth in paragraph 2 above be and hereby are approved subject to conditions previously imposed by the Board;

3. Those portions of Agreement C.A.B. 24623 set forth in paragraph 3 above be and hereby are approved subject to the conditions stated therein;

4. Jurisdiction is disclaimed with reference to those portions of Agreement C.A.B. 24623 set forth in paragraph 4 above;

5. Tariffs implementing Agreement C.A.B. 24623 shall be marked to expire March 31, 1976; and

6. The motion of the United States Department of Transportation to file on otherwise unauthorized document is hereby granted.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board: [1]

[SEAL]

EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[1] Minetti and West, members, will issue a concurring and dissenting statement at a later date.

APPENDIX A.—*New York-London round-trip fares* [1]

Fare category	Present	Proposed	Percent change
First class	$1,084	$1,156	6.6
Normal economy:			
Winter	538	583	8.4
Shoulder	604	626	3.6
Peak	726	764	5.2
14/21-day excursion:			
Basic	472	509	7.8
Peak	553	594	7.4
22/45-day excursion:			
Winter	317	374	18.0
Shoulder	339	403	18.9
Peak	433	509	17.6
Affinity group:			
Winter	285	340	19.3
Shoulder	312	371	18.9
Peak	408	477	16.9
Group inclusive tour (GIT):			
Basic	334	366	9.6
Peak	418	462	10.5
Winter GIT	305	329	7.9
APEX (new):			
Winter		313	
Shoulder		339	
Peak		435	

[1] Includes 6-percent devaluation-related surcharge on U.S.-originating dollar fares.

APPENDIX B.—*U.S. North Atlantic carriers, capacity and carriage statistics, scheduled services*

	National [1]	PAA	TWA
1970:			
Available seat-miles (thousands)		13,631,284	10,846,563
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)		7,287,223	5,598,720
Load factor (percent)		53.5	51.6
1971:			
Available seat-miles (thousands)	409,459	15,169,718	12,097,686
Change from previous period (percent)		11.3	11.5
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	211,486	7,512,438	6,116,796
Change from previous period (percent)		3.1	9.3
Load factor (percent)	51.7	49.5	50.6
Change from previous period (pts.)		−4.0	−1.0
1972:			
Available seat-miles (thousands)	582,886	14,860,048	13,018,118
Change from previous period (percent)	42.4	−2.0	7.6
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	321,308	8,663,083	7,665,975
Change from previous period (percent)	51.9	15.3	25.3
Load factor	55.1	58.3	58.9
Change from previous period (pts.)	3.4	8.8	8.3
1973:			
Available seat-miles (thousands)	774,112	16,692,492	12,681,719
Change from previous period (percent)	32.8	12.3	−2.6
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	395,509	9,131,005	7,196,481
Change from previous period (percent)	23.1	5.4	−6.1
Load factor (percent)	51.1	54.7	56.7
Change from previous period (pts.)	−4.0	−3.6	−2.2
12 months ended June 30, 1974:			
Available seat-miles (thousands)	882,265	15,801,818	12,638,224
Change from previous period (percent)	14.0	−5.3	−.3
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	443,189	8,603,269	6,711,971
Change from previous period (percent)	12.1	−5.8	−6.7
Load factor (percent)	50.2	54.4	53.1
Change from previous period (pts.)	−.9	−.3	−3.6

[1] Commenced service June 15, 1970.

Source: CAB form 41 reports.

APPENDIX C.—*U.S. North Atlantic carriers, revenue and yield statistics, scheduled services*

	National [1]	PAA	TWA
1970:			
Passenger revenue (thousands)		$367,952	$263,484
Revenue passenger miles (thousands)		7,287,223	5,598,720
Yield (cents)		5.05	4.71
1971:			
Passenger revenues (thousands)	$10,968	$387,310	$288,782
Change from previous period (percent)		5.3	9.6
Revenue passenger miles (thousands)	211,486	7,512,438	6,116,796
Change from previous period (percent)		3.1	9.3
Yield (cents)	5.19	5.16	4.72
Change from previous period (percent)		2.2	.2
1972:			
Passenger revenues (thousands)	$15,842	$439,216	$338,745
Change from previous period (percent)	44.4	13.4	17.3
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	321,308	8,663,083	7,665,975
Change from previous period (percent)	51.9	15.3	25.3
Yield (cents)	4.93	5.07	4.42
Change from previous period (percent)	−5.0	−1.7	−6.4
1973:			
Passenger revenues (thousands)	$20,542	$495,716	$340,508
Change from previous period (percent)	29.7	12.9	.5
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	395,509	9,131,005	7,196,481
Change from previous period (percent)	23.1	5.4	−6.1
Yield (cents)	5.19	5.43	4.73
Change from previous period (percent)	5.3	7.1	7.0
12 months ended June 30, 1974:			
Passenger revenues (thousands)	$24,570	$508,604	$352,703
Change from previous period (percent)	19.6	2.6	3.6
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	443,189	8,603,269	6,711,971
Change from previous period (percent)	12.1	−5.8	−6.7
Yield (cents)	5.54	5.91	5.25
Change from previous period (percent)	6.7	8.8	11.0

[1] Commenced Service June 15, 1970.

Source: CAB form 41 reports.

APPENDIX D.—*U.S. North Atlantic carriers, cost and profit statistics*

	National [1]	PAA	TWA
1970:			
Revenues (thousands)		$476,746	$332,652
Operating expenses (thousands)		$506,362	$316,551
Operating profit (thousands)		−$29,616	$16,101
1971:			
Operating revenues (thousands)	$12,202	$515,537	$371,705
Change from previous period (percent)		8.1	11.7
Operating expenses (thousands)	$9,649	$551,174	$345,150
Change from previous period (percent)		8.8	9.0
Operating profit (thousands)	$2,553	−$35,637	$26,554
Change from previous period (percent)		−20.3	64.9
1972:			
Operating revenues (thousands)	$17,358	$580,937	$440,604
Change from previous period (percent)	42.3	12.7	18.5
Operating expenses (thousands)	$14,015	$603,443	$417,175
Change from previous period (percent)	45.2	9.5	20.9
Operating profit (thousands)	$3,343	−$22,506	$23,429
Change from previous period (percent)	30.9	36.8	−11.8
1973:			
Operating revenues (thousands)	$22,560	$678,208	$462,982
Change from previous period (percent)	30.0	16.7	5.1
Operating expenses (thousands)	$16,892	$685,226	$420,665
Change from previous period (percent)	20.5	13.6	3.0
Operating profit (thousands)	$5,668	−$7,019	$33,317
Change from previous period (percent)	69.5	68.8	42.2
12 months ended June 30, 1974:			
Operating revenues (thousands)	$27,598	$725,654	$486,270
Change from previous period (percent)	22.3	7.0	18.6
Operating expenses (thousands)	$19,878	$732,410	$489,944
Change from previous period (percent)	17.7	6.9	14.0
Operating profit (thousands)	$7,720	−$6,756	−$3,674
Change from previous period (percent)	36.2	3.7	−111.0

[1] Commenced operations June 15, 1970.

Source: CAB form 41 reports.

APPENDIX E.—*U.S. North Atlantic carriers, rate of return on corporate investment*

[In percent]

	12 months ended June 30, 1973	12 months ended June 30, 1974
National	14.63	25.26
Pan American	.81	2.26
Trans World	6.85	3.97

Source: CAB form 41 reports.

APPENDIX F.—*U.S. North Atlantic carriers, selected economic indicators, 2d quarter 1973 compared with 2d quarter 197*

	2d quarter 1973				2d quarter 1974							
	National	PAA	TWA	Total	National	Change (percent)	PAA	Change (percent)	TWA	Change (percent)	Total	Change (percent)
Available seat-miles (thousands)	215,897	4,376,886	3,712,461	8,305,244	253,224	17.3	3,716,128	−15.1	3,645,127	−1.8	7,614,479	−8.3
Revenue passenger-miles (thousands)	104,871	2,382,312	2,251,169	4,738,352	132,156	26.0	1,972,287	−17.2	1,981,459	−12.0	4,085,902	−13.8
Load factor (percent)	48.6	54.4	60.6	57.1	52.2	[1] 3.6	53.1	[1]−1.3	54.4	[1]−6.2	53.7	[1]−3.4
Passenger revenues (thousands)	$5,452	$128,163	$102,524	$236,141	$8,049	47.6	$134,363	4.8	$112,409	9.6	$254,821	7.9
Yield	[2] 5.20	[2] 5.38	[2] 4.55	[2] 4.98	[2] 6.09	17.1	[2] 6.81	26.6	[2] 5.67	24.6	[2] 6.24	25.3
Operating revenues (thousands)	$5,938	$177,868	$131,296	$315,102	$9,254	55.8	$201,996	13.6	$146,932	11.9	$358,182	13.7
Operating expenses (thousand)	$4,586	$172,405	$119,171	$296,162	$6,160	34.3	$192,248	11.5	$147,463	23.7	$345,871	16.8
Operating profit (thousands)	$1,352	$5,463	$12,125	$18,940	$3,094	128.8	$9,748	78.4	−$531	−104.4	$12,311	−35.0
Revenue aircraft-miles—passenger service (thousands)	793	18,840	17,228	36,861	792	−.1	15,813	−16.1	14,635	−15.1	31,240	−15.2

[1] Pts.
[2] Cents.

Source: CAB form 41 reports.

APPENDIX G.—*Pan American and TWA's forecasts for 1975 under the proposed fares and board adjustments*

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

	Pan American			TWA		
	As presented	Fuel adjusted [1]	Fuel and traffic adjusted [2]	As presented	Fuel adjusted [1]	Fuel and traffic adjusted [2]
Total operating revenues	$583,895	$583,895	$595,131	$644,400	$644,400	$657,700
Total operating expenses	593,311	565,311	568,702	656,900	627,200	635,100
Operating profit (loss)	(9,416)	18,584	26,429	(12,500)	17,200	22,600
Interest expense	18,429	18,429	18,429	10,800	10,800	10,800
Net income before Federal income tax	(27,845)	155	8,000	(23,300)	6,400	11,800
Tax at 48 percent	13,366	74	3,840	11,200	3,072	5,664
Net income	(14,479)	81	4,160	(12,100)	3,328	6,136
Return element	3,950	18,510	22,589	(1,300)	14,128	16,936
Investment	392,102	392,102	392,102	294,700	294,700	294,700
Return on investment (percent)	1.0	4.7	5.8	(0.4)	4.8	5.7

[1] The carrier uses anticipatory fuel prices. This adjustment reflects the actual August 1974 cost of fuel in North Atlantic operations.
[2] Reflecting the higher traffic anticipated for 1975 under status quo.

[FR Doc.74-25236 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 25659; Order 74-10-126]

LOCAL SERVICE CLASS SUBSIDY RATE

Investigation; Class Rate VII; Order

By Order 74-9-65 the Board, inter alia, issued a revised and updated Table VI to replace the original Table VI which was issued as a part of Appendix P to Order 74-1-123. Table VI contains instructions for distributing passenger revenues by city-pair segment as well as formulas that are used to develop yields for beyond-segment traffic by each carrier.

Texas International Airlines, Inc., filed exceptions to Order 74-9-65 indicating disagreement with the mileages used in determining its beyond-segment yield formula. The carrier also noted that error was introduced into the regression estimates by virtue of duplication of several markets in the formula calculations. No exceptions were received from any other carrier.

The mileages used in revisions of the beyond-segment yield formulas issued as part of Order 74-9-65 are identical to those used for this purpose in the instructions in Appendix P to Order 74-1-123 and those used throughout Class Rate VII. Furthermore, they are consistent with mileages used for developing revenue formulas since 1969. (Class Rates V and VI.) Because the mileages—obtained from on-line origin-destination data reported to the Board—have endured for some five years in subsidy determination, we are not disposed to change them at this time. We have no evidence, and the carrier has presented none, that would convince us that substitution of official C.A.B. miles as requested by Texas International would materially affect payments under the formula. Consequently, we will deny Texas International's exception relating to this matter.

On the other hand, we will grant Texas International's exception applying to duplication of some nine markets in the final regression analysis used to determine beyond-segment yield formulas. In markets where use of pure-jet and propeller or prop-jet equipment was evenly divided, duplicate data were used in the regression techniques to test whether or not beyond-segment yields are associated with equipment types. Such a relationship provided valid only for Frontier Airlines and equipment type was discarded in the final regressions to determine yield formulas for the other six local carriers. However, as pointed out by Texas International, data inputs to final regressions incorrectly contained duplicate data cards. Although such duplication does not, as alleged by Texas International, necessarily introduce error in the regression analysis,[1] statistical convention dictates that they should have been removed when equipment was discarded as an independent variable. Accordingly a corrected page 7 of Revised Table VI will be issued as an attachment to this order. This corrected page should be substituted for the corresponding page attached to Order 74-9-65.[2]

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, and the regulations promulgated in 14 CFR Part 302,

It is ordered, That: 1. The exception of Texas International Airlines, Inc., to Order 74-9-65 relating to the mileages used in determining beyond-segment yield formulas be and it hereby is dismissed.

2. The exception of Texas International Airlines, Inc., to Order 74-9-65 relating to duplication of markets in determination of beyond-segment yield formulas, be and it hereby is granted. Therefore, the corrected page 7 of Revised Table VI attached to this order should be substituted for the corresponding page attached to Order 74-9-65.

3. This order shall be served upon all parties to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

APPENDIX P

CORRECTION

This page replaces page 7 of Table VI attached to Order 74-9-65.

LOCAL SERVICE CARRIERS
DILUTION FACTORS, HOP-HAUL RELATIONSHIPS
FORMULAS FOR BEYOND-TRAFFIC YIELDS [1]

III. Yield per Revenue Passenger-Mile—Beyond Traffic (Undiluted).

[1] In the case of North Central, which had the greatest incidence of duplication, the revenue formula was virtually unchanged when duplicated data was removed. In fact, it appears the changes will produce slight effects in most cases. For example, in the Austin-Houston city-pair with beyond revenues of over $327,000—using Texas International's traffic data for the year ended March 31, 1974—the total undiluted beyond revenues computed with a formula without duplicated markets were only $130 different from the results obtained using the formula contained in Order 74-9-65.

[1] Based on fares in effect as of July 1, 1974.
[2] Because of the finding that equipment and yield were relatively closely associated for Frontier Airlines, Inc., formulas in Order 74-9-65 will remain unchanged as they apply to Frontier.

	Jet	Prop
Frontier	$\frac{12.18+(0.07146 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{15.70+(0.07146 * X_2)}{X_1}$
Hughes Airwest	$\frac{12.06+(0.07576 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{12.06+(0.07576 * X_2)}{X_1}$
North Central	$\frac{13.33+(0.07691 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{13.33+(0.07691 * X_2)}{X_1}$
Ozark	$\frac{15.11+(0.06996 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{15.11+(0.06996 * X_2)}{X_1}$
Piedmont	$\frac{14.20+(0.07367 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{14.20+(0.07367 * X_2)}{X_1}$
Southern	$\frac{13.96+(0.06966 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{13.96+(0.06966 * X_2)}{X_1}$
Texas International	$\frac{13.81+(0.07242 * X_2)}{X_1}$	$\frac{13.81+(0.07242 * X_2)}{X_1}$

[FR Doc.74-25363 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Order 74-10-137, Docket Nos. 27092, 19923]

TRANS WORLD AIRLINES, INC.

Liability and Claim Rules and Practices Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 29th day of October, 1974.

By tariff revisions issued September 30 and marked to become effective October 30, 1974, Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA) proposes to eliminate the $5,000 minimum declared value on the acceptance of articles of extraordinary value[1] subject to special requirements.

The current provisions governing the acceptance of articles of extraordinary value, applicable to shipments with a declared value of $5,000 or more, provide, among other things, that (1) advance arrangements must be made; (2) the shipper must tender the shipment at an area designated by the carrier not more than three hours prior to scheduled departure; (3) the shipper shall state in writing that the consignee will accept delivery at the destination airport within three hours of arrival; and (4) if the consignee fails to pick up the shipment as required, the carrier will make necessary arrangements for delivery or storage, and all such charges incurred by the carrier shall be added to the transportation bill. TWA's proposal extends these requirements of shippers or consignees to all articles of extraordinary value regardless of declared value.

A complaint requesting suspension of the proposed revision has been filed by the American Watch Association, Inc. (AWA).[2] The complaint alleges, inter alia, that the proposal is contrary to the position of the Bureau of Economics in the Liability and Claim Rules and Practices Investigation, Docket 19923; that the Board should not permit an interim change in a rule currently under investigation; that the proposal would subject relatively low-valued shipments to the more stringent conditions previously applicable only to shipments valued $5,000 or more; and that the support is silent as to the carrier's claim experience with shipments less than $5,000 declared value and has failed to make a definite showing of need to expand the current $5,000 limitation.

In support of its proposal, TWA asserts, inter alia, that this change is instituted in order to reduce the number of claims experienced for the loss of or damage to shipments of articles of extraordinary value where the declared value of such shipments was less than $5,000; that while the proposed action will result in an added burden upon the shipping public, a similar burden exists for the carrier in administering these added security measures; that requiring all shipments of articles of extraordinary value to be subject to the qualified acceptance provisions will greatly reduce their exposure to damage and loss owing to the added security such shipments are afforded under the proposal; that the very nature of these commodities makes them more susceptible to loss, regardless of the value of the shipment; that the claims payments for articles of $5,000 or more in 1974 were 55 percent below those for the six months of 1973; and that amending the provisions to cover all shipments regardless of value is expected to result in further reductions in TWA's claims payments.

The proposed rule is already within the scope of the Liability and Claim Rules and Practices Investigation, Docket 19923, and its lawfulness will be determined in that proceeding, which is awaiting Board decision. The issue now before the Board is whether to suspend the proposal or to permit it to become effective pending that decision.

This proposal, applicable to relatively inexpensive items, places a requirement upon shippers which appears unduly burdensome. The carriers are required to exercise a reasonable degree of care and security over all shipments, regardless of value or other special required conditions of acceptance.

In our opinion, TWA does not adequately support its proposal. The carrier fails to indicate what the current claims experience is with respect to shipments of such articles valued less than $5,000 or, for that matter, any shipments not covered by the current special provisions applicable to articles of extraordinary value. As the complainant indicates, many of the named articles of extraordinary value may in fact have relatively little value, while many commodities not named as such may be far more valuable. Under these circumstances, the Board will suspend TWA's proposal.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered, That: 1. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the addition of "(Not applicable to TW)" in Rule No. 23(B)(i) on 80th, 81st, and 82nd 12-B of Airline Tariff Publishers, Inc., Agent's C.A.B. No. 96, is suspended and its use deferred to and including January 27, 1975, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension, except by order or special permission of the Board;

2. Except to the extent granted herein, the complaint of the American Watch Association, Inc. in Docket 27092 is dismissed; and

3. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariff.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

Adopted: October 29, 1974.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25535 Filed --:--:--74;8:45 am]

[1] Articles of extraordinary value are defined in Rule 2 of the Airlines' Rules Tariff, C.A.B. No. 96. For TWA, these are the following:
Art Works
Bills of Exchange
Bonds
Bullion or Precious Metals
Clocks, watches and parts thereof
Currency
Deeds
Dore Bullion
Evidences of Debt
Furs
Gems, cut or uncut
Gold Bullion, Coined, Uncoined, Cyanides, Dust or Sulfides
Jewelry (Other than costume jewelry)
Money
Pearls
Platinum
Promissory Notes
Securities, Negotiable
Silver Bullion, Coined, Uncoined, Concentrates, Cyanides, Precipitates or Sulfides
Stamps, Postage or Revenue
Stock Certificates

[2] The American Watch Association is a trade organization of U.S. companies engaged in the importation of watches and watch movements for assembly and sale in the U.S. and world markets. The members of AWA import and distribute throughout the U.S. annually over $100 million worth of watches.

COMMISSION ON THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GOVERNMENT FOR THE CONDUCT OF FOREIGN POLICY

CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE RELATIONS, INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC POLICY

Public Hearings

Notice is hereby given that the Commission on the Organization of the Government for the Conduct of Foreign Policy will hold public hearings, on the subject areas noted below, in Chicago, Illinois, on December 3, 1974, at 9:30 a.m., in the U.S. District Courthouse, 219 S. Dearborn Street. The hearings are held in furtherance of the Commission's mandate, as stated in section 603(a) of Public Law 93–352 (92nd Congress, H.R. 14734):

Section 603(a). The Commission shall study and investigate the organization, methods of operation, and powers of all departments, agencies, independent establishments, and instrumentalities of the United States Government participating in the formulation and implementation of United States foreign policy and shall make recommendations which the Commission considers appropriate to provide improved governmental processes and programs in the formulation and implementation of such policy, including, but not limited to, recommendations with respect to—

(1) The reorganization of the departments, agencies, independent establishments, and instrumentalities of the executive branch participating in foreign policy matters;

(2) More effective arrangements between the executive branch and Congress, which will better enable each to carry out its constitutional responsibilities;

(3) Improved procedures among departments, agencies, independent establishments, and instrumentalities of the United States Government to provide improved coordination and control with respect to the conduct of foreign policy;

(4) The abolition of services, activities, and functions not necessary to the efficient conduct of foreign policy; and

(5) Other measures to promote peace, economy, efficiency, and improved administration of foreign policy.

The hearing will focus upon two specific subject areas:

1. *Congressional-Executive Relations.* The Commission is seeking views of informed persons on the Congressional-Executive relationship in the formulation and implementation of U.S. foreign policy, views offering specific criticism and recommendations for improving Congressional-Executive organization in the following areas:

Operational Congressional Reforms: Staffing and Support Systems
Institutional Congressional Reforms: Committee Roles and Jurisdictions
Congressional Oversight Over the Executive Branch and Foreign Policy
Congressional-Executive Organization: Role of Congress and Executive Branch
Congressional-Executive Organization: The Constitutional Framework

A partial listing of some issues raised which testimony should focus upon include the organization of committee jurisdictions for efficient consideration of foreign policy issues; the utilization of staff and support to give expertise to the Congress in its partnership with the Executive on foreign policy matters; the Congressional oversight of foreign policy, including military, programs and policies and the intelligence agencies; Congress' access to timely information, the classification system, secrecy in foreign policy; Congress' role in the treaty-making process, and the use of Executive Agreements; the issue of constitutional primacy in foreign affairs between Congress and the Executive; use of force policies, war powers, and the Commander-in-Chief authority; the constitutional and practical aspects of Congress' power of the purse in foreign policy.

Testimony need not be limited to the above issues. The only restriction is that witnesses confine themselves to organizational, structural, and procedural matters and not to substantive policy matters.

2. *International Economic Policy.* The objective of the Commission in soliciting testimony from private sector witnesses on the subject of international economic policy organization is to receive suggestions, comments and criticisms aimed at improving governmental organization in the following specific functional areas:

International Trade
International Investment and Multinational Corporations
International Finance, Monetary Policy and Taxation
Economic Assistance to Less Developed Countries
Raw Materials and Natural Resource Availability
Labor

Testimony should be directed at identifying problem areas and suggesting improvements in the organization, procedures, and personnel in the manner in which the Federal Government formulates, implements, and conducts international economic policy and maintains relations with the domestic business community. The Commission is interested in compiling a detailed perspective of the private sector's experiences in dealing with the Government in the field of international economic policy. Specifically, we hope that testimony would touch on such issues as the ability to make private sector attitudes known and understood in the Government, the ability to receive explanations of governmental policies and intentions, policy inconsistencies and shifting jurisdictional responsibilities among governmental agencies, and comparisons of organizational and procedural techniques with those of foreign governments. Testimony need not be limited to such issues; the only restriction is that witnesses confine themselves to organizational, procedural and personnel matters and not to substantive policy matters.

Interested persons are encouraged to present information and views in the course of the Commission hearing, subject to the following conditions and procedures:

(1) Any person wishing to present information and views to the Commission shall submit a request in writing, specifying the date of the hearing for which the request is made, a concise summary of the proposed presentation, and the amount of time requested for oral testimony (if any). Such request must be received at the offices of the Commission no less than 14 days prior to the proposed hearing date.

(2) The Chairman shall, within three (3) days from receipt of any such request, notify the requesting person whether the proposed presentation is consistent with the legislative mandate of the Commission, and how much time (if any) can be allotted for oral testimony.

(3) Two (2) typewritten copies of all material to be presented to the Commission shall be filed with the Commission no less than two (2) business days prior to the hearing to which it relates. Any statement or material for which no time for oral presentation has been allotted or is available shall be included in the official hearing record.

(4) Any person who takes exception to any determination of the Commission may present in writing to any Commissioner the basis for such exception and thereafter the decision of the Chairman shall be considered by the Commission and may be overruled by a majority vote. Such person shall be notified by certified mail of the decision of the Commission, which shall be final.

Requests for further information, questions, and other communications should be addressed to the Executive Director, Commission on the Organization of the Government for the Conduct of Foreign Policy, 2025 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506, Suite 3002, Telephone 202–254–9850 or to The Chicago Council on Foreign Relations, 116 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60603, Telephone 312–726–3860.

By the Commission.

FRANCIS O. WILCOX,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.74–25452 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

ARCHITECTURAL GLASS

Extension of Standard Development Period

The purpose of this notice is to announce that the Commission has granted the Consumer Safety Glazing Committee's request for an extension of time from October 25, 1974 until January 24, 1975 for the development of a safety standard for architectural glass.

By notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of May 28, 1974 (39 FR 18502), the Commission commenced a proceeding under section 7 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2056) for the development of a consumer product safety standard applicable to architectural glass. On August 15, 1975, the Commission accepted the offer of the Consumer Safety Glazing Committee to develop a standard and

published a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 30191) on August 21, 1974 announcing the acceptance. The Commission agreed to contribute $14,175 toward the offeror's cost in developing the standard. These funds were to be used only in connection with certain consumer participation costs. The expiration period for the development of the standard was specified in the notice as October 25, 1974. However, section 7(e)(3) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 2056(e)(3)) and the regulations issued under section 7 (16 CFR 1105) provide that the Commission may extend the development period of good cause is shown and the reasons for such extension are published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

A review of the status of work leading to the development of a recommended standard for architectural glass shows diligent technical and administrative efforts on the part of the offeror; this, accompanied by the presence of unanticipated problems, both technical and administrative, has led the Commission to determine the Consumer Safety Glazing Committee has shown good cause for extending the period of development of a recommended standard. The Commission has, therefore, extended the development period until January 24, 1975. In addition, the Commission has provided additional support funds for consumer participation in the amount of $15,480. A request for funds to cover additional administrative expenses was denied.

The Commission believes the additional period for development is justified for the reasons listed below:

(1) Due to an initial delay by the Commission in accepting an offer to develop a standard, Consumer Safety Glazing Committee had only 71 days from the date of acceptance of the offer until the expiration of the development period in which to develop a standard, rather than the 90 day period anticipated.

(2) The extension was believed to be necessary in order to afford all interested persons an adequate period of time in which to comment on the draft standard prepared by Consumer Safety Glazing Committee, and in order to allow Consumer Safety Glazing Committee to tabulate and summarize the comments received. In this connection, a greater number of persons than anticipated by the offeror responded to its mailings of announcements and questionnaires.

(3) The Commission's policy of openness and affording all interested persons an opportunity to participate in the proceedings apparently increased the work and time requirements of the offeror. In this regard, numerous inquiries and responses were received from consumers and other interested persons; and increased reproduction and communication efforts were necessary to keep all persons informed of the development process.

(4) The project manager for Consumer Safety Glazing Committee found it necessary to resign for personal health reasons and some delay was encountered in securing a qualified replacement.

(5) In order to develop energy levels and test procedures for windows, it was necessary for the offeror to determine the cause of injury; product location; product type and description; and product thickness and size. In order to accurately determine these factors, there was a need to obtain additional technical information. This has resulted in additional delay in the development of the standard.

For the reasons specified above, the Commission has found that an extension until January 24, 1975 as requested by Consumer Safety Glazing Committee is justified and in the public interest.

Dated: October 29, 1974.

SADYE E. DUNN,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc.74-25488 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

COUNCIL ON WAGE AND PRICE STABILITY

SHELF INVENTORY REPRICING PRACTICES

Meeting

Notice is hereby given under Public Law 93-387 of a hearing called by the Council on Wage and Price Stability concerning shelf inventory repricing practices in supermarkets and other retail stores, to be held at 9:30 a.m., November 13, 1974, in Room 2008 of the New Executive Office Building, 17th and H Sts. NW., Washington, D.C. Co-chairpersons will be Albert Rees, Council Director, and Mrs. Virginia Knauer, Special Assistant to the President for Consumer Affairs.

The hearing will consist of an inquiry into justification and impact of the practice on the part of some retail stores of changing the price of merchandise already on the shelf. It will consist of testimony by representatives of major food chains, consumer groups, and other interested person.

Attendance is open to the interested public but is limited to the space available. Persons wishing to testify should contact JoAnne Kilday, Office of Consumer Affairs, (202) 245-6268, at least one day in advance of the meeting. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Council before, during, or after the meeting.

ALBERT REES,
Director, Council on Wage and Price Stability.

[FR Doc.74-25687 Filed 10-31-74;10:29 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 289-2]

ANTIMICROBIAL PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 707), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Antimicrobial Program Advisory Committee will be held at 8:30 a.m., November 19, and 20, 1974. The meeting will be held in Conference Room 1112 Crystal Mall Building No. 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia.

This will be the seventh meeting of the Committee. The Agenda will allow a maximum time of one hour for public participation at the beginning of each session, provided the procedures established by the Committee for public participation have been followed. Any member of the public who desires to present an oral statement must: (1) Notify the Executive Secretary or the Chairman at least 48 hours prior to the meeting; (2) Identify himself by name and affiliation; (3) Identify the subject of the statement; (4) Estimate the time that will be required to present the statement; and (5) Limit the statement to the agenda of the meeting, as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The Agenda will be restricted solely to the methodology currently available to evaluate disinfectant-type products, and the recent progress which has been made in the development of new methodology, and the interpretation of results by some of the older methods. Tentatively, it is anticipated that a member of the staff of the office of the Deputy General Counsel will attend the meeting and discuss with the membership some of the legal issues involved, and those which must be considered in any recommendations by the Committee.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any member of the public wishing to participate or present written or oral views should contact Dr. William G. Roessler, Executive Secretary, Antimicrobial Program Advisory Committee (703-557-7351), at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

JOHN T. RHETT,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials.

[FR Doc.74-25414 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FRL 288-6]

CIBA-GEIGY CORP.

Filing of Petition for Food Additive

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409

(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 5H5057) has been filed by CIBA-GEIGY Corp., P.O. Box 11422, Greensboro, NC 27409, proposing establishment of a food additive tolerance (21 CFR Part 121) for residues of the herbicide procyazine (2-[[4 - chloro - 6 - (cyclopropylamino) - 1,3,5 - triazin - 2 - yl]amino]-2-methyl-propanenitrile) in sweet corn cannery waste at 0.2 part per million. This herbicide is to be used in an experimental program involving application to growing corn.

Dated: October 23, 1974.

JOHN B. RITCH, JR.,
Director, Registration Division.

[FR Doc.74-25415 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FRL 290-2; OPP-180025]

WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Rabid Bat Control Program; Denial of Application To Use DDT

Pursuant to the provisions of section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973), notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has denied an application from the Wyoming Department of Public Health (hereafter referred to as the "Applicant") for a specific exemption to use DDT in a rabid bat control program near Moose, Wyoming (Teton County). The application did not meet the criteria set forth in 40 CFR Part 166, issued December 3, 1973 (38 FR 33303), which prescribes requirements for exemption of Federal and State agencies for the use of pesticides under emergency conditions.

All interested parties are referred to the application on file in the Office of the Director, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Room 347, East Tower, Washington, D.C. 20460. The statements contained in the application and other relevant facts are briefly summarized below, followed by a discussion of the basis for the denial of a specific exemption by EPA.

Discussion. According to the application the emergency is caused by a single colony of little brown bats (Myotis lucifugus) at a guest ranch near Moose, Wyoming. A single bat flew several times into a swimming pool in the daytime; it was subsequently killed, sent to a diagnostic laboratory and tested positive for rabies. A local veterinarian inspected the ranch and confirmed a bat roost in a barn. Reports from people at the ranch indicate that bats may also be roosting in sheds and states that use of DDT is necessary to protect humans and other animal life.

The registration for DDT, the only toxicant ever registered for bat control, has been cancelled for this use except "For use by the distribution to only U.S. Public Health Service Officials or for distribution by or on approval by the U.S. Public Health Service to other Health Service Officials for control of vector diseases" (37 FR 13376).

According to criteria in 40 CFR Part 166:

> An emergency will be deemed to exist when: (a) A pest outbreak has or is about to occur and no pesticide registered for the particular use, or alternative method of control, is available to eradicate or control the pest, (b) significant economic or health problems will occur without use of the pesticide * * * Section 166.1.

Evaluation against these criteria show:

1. The little brown bat is endemic to Wyoming as well as other States and is probably a reservoir of the rabies disease. Therefore, a pest outbreak would mean an increase either in the number of bats or an increase in the incidence of rabies among little brown bats or an increase of rabies in other animals having contact with bats. The data submitted by Wyoming did not, however, support any of these conclusions. No increased incidence of rabies in bats or an increased incidence of rabies in animals in contact with bats has occurred or is about to occur. In a recent approval to use DDT in controlling bats in Massachusetts (37 FR 13369) on little and big brown bats, the bats were roosting in the attics of occupied homes in which case the normal incidence of rabies among these species of bats posed an unacceptable risk to human occupants of the homes. In the Wyoming case, the bats occupy a barn and other remote outbuildings. There is no information that the remoteness is overcome by an increased incidence of rabies among bats or an increase of rabies among animals having contact with bats. We must conclude, therefore, that the threat to humans in the case of Wyoming is remote.

2. A direct method of control is available: Properly immunized personnel can shoot the bats with birdshot and can repeat this control method as often as necessary until cooler weather causes the bats to migrate. In the Massachusetts case this alternative was not an acceptable means of control in residential homes. The open nature of the unoccupied buildings in the Wyoming case does not lend itself easily to modifications to prevent reinfestation. The alternative of shooting bats with birdshot is not only practical but is preferable to the use of DDT since control is more positive and immediate and poses less risk to the environment.

3. The applicant has not suggested that any significant economic loss has or will result from non-use of DDT. The threat to human health from rabid bats near the Wyoming guest ranch appears remote for the reasons discussed in 1. above.

Conclusions. EPA has discussed the Wyoming application with personnel of the Rabies Control Unit Center, Communicable Disease Center, Lawrenceville, Georgia, and has found independent agreement in all material respects with our own conclusions that an emergency does not exist within the meaning of 40 CFR Part 166. The application of the Wyoming Department of Public Health is, therefore, denied.

If new or additional facts become available which the Wyoming Department of Public Health feels demand reconsideration of this denial, EPA will reconsider the Wyoming application without prejudice.

Dated: October 23, 1974.

JAMES L. AGEE,
Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials.

[FR Doc.74-25416 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[OPP-180028; FRL 289-6]

ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Receipt of Application for Specific Exemption To Control Alligatorweed and Water Hyacinth

On October 2, 1974, the Army Corps of Engineers applied to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for a specific exemption to use Silvex and 2,4-D to control alligatorweed and water hyacinth, respectively. The locations involved are the following: Town Bluff Dam-B.A. Steinhagan Lake, Neches River, Texas, and the Sam Rayburn Dam and Reservoir, Angelina River, Texas. This specific exemption, if granted, will be valid for no longer than one (1) year from the date of approval by EPA.

This application is in accordance with the provisions of section 18 (40 CFR Part 166) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended (86 Stat. 973). Part 166 was issued on December 3, 1973 (38 FR 33303), and prescribes requirements for exemption of Federal and State agencies for the use of pesticides under emergency conditions.

This notice does not indicate a decision by this Agency on the application. Interested parties may review the application in the Office of the Director, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 M St. SW., Room E-347, Washington, D.C. 20460.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

JOHN T. RHETT,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials.

[FR Doc.74-25568 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FRL 289-3]

PRESIDENT'S AIR QUALITY ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that the President's Air Quality Advisory Board, which is established under section 117 of the Clean Air Act, will hold a meeting November 20-22, 1974, in the auditorium of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Training Center, National Environmental Research Center, Research Triangle Park,

North Carolina 27711. The Board will address itself to: a) EPA's performance in communicating and working with external organizations, b) the problem of sulfur oxides air pollution, and c) EPA's proposed "no significant deterioration" regulations.

The meetings will be open to the public as observers. Any member of the public desiring additional information should contact the Executive Secretary, Mr. Robert Perman, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460. The telephone number is (202) 245-3029.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

EDWARD F. TUERK,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Waste Management.

[FR Doc.74-25384 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[FRL 286-4; OPP-32000/135]

RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS FOR PESTICIDE REGISTRATION

Data To Be Considered in Support of Applications

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 31862) its interim policy with respect to the administration of section 3(c)(1)(D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended. This policy provides that EPA will, upon receipt of every application for registration, publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice containing the information shown below. The labeling furnished by the applicant will be available for examination at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB-31, East Tower, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

On or before December 31, 1974, any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after October 21, 1972, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim for compensation under section 3(c)(1)(D) for such use of his data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data, must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Every such claimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the interim policy of November 19, 1973.

Applications submitted under 2(a) or 2(b) of the interim policy will be processed to completion in accordance with existing procedures. Applications submitted under 2(c) of the interim policy cannot be made final until the 60 day period has expired. If no claims are received within the 60 day period, the 2(c) application will be processed according to normal procedure. However, if claims are received within the 60 day period, the applicants against whom the claims are asserted will be advised of the alternatives available under the Act. No claims will be accepted for possible EPA adjudication which are received after December 31, 1974.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

EPA File Symbol 12512-G. Acco Products Co., 520 E. Mary St., Bristol VA 24201. ACCO PINE ODOR DISINFECTANT COEF. 13. Active Ingredients: Isopropanol 9.50%; Pine Oil 7.90%; Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 3.95%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 5481-RTA. Amvac Chemical Corp., 4100 E. Wash. Blvd., Los Angeles CA 90023. ALCO VAPAM. Active Ingredients: Sodium methyldithiocarbamate (anhydrous) 32.7%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 6308-OE. The Ansul Co., 1 Stanton St., Marinette WI 54143. SUCKER-PLUCKER CONCENTRATE. Active Ingredients: Fatty Alcohols (C6 0.42%, C8 38.68%, C10 45.90%) 85%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 5185-ETA. Bio-Lab, Inc., PO Box 1489, Decatur GA 30031. BIO-KLEEN LBC-103. Active Ingredients: Hydrogen Chloride 7.20%; Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 0.50%; Essential oils 0.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 5185-ETL. Bio-Lab, Inc. BIO-GUARD CLC CALCIUM HYPOCHLORITE. Active Ingredients: Calcium hypochlorite 65.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA Reg. No. 5185-118. Bio-Lab, Inc. GUARD-ALL X-185. Active Ingredients: Cresylic acid 30.0%; Soap 17.8%; Isopropanol 16.0%; Sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate 5.1%; 4- and 6-chloro-2-phenylphenol 4.0%; o-benzyl-p-chlorophenol 3.0%; Tributyltin neodecanoate 0.5%; para-tertiary-amylphenol 0.2%; 2,2'-methylene bis (3,4, 6-trichlorophenol) 0.1%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34969-E. Central Solvents & Chem. Co., 7601 S. 190th St., Kent WA 98031. YARMOR 302 PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34969-G. Central Solvents & Chem. Co. HERCO PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34969-R. Central Solvents & Chem. Co. YARMOR 302W PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7478-RA. Chem Pak Co., PO Box 757, Miami FL 33143. LEAFY VEGETABLE DUST. Active Ingredients: Maneb Manganese Ethylene Bisdithiocarbamate 2.000%; Zineb (Zinc Ethylene Bisdithiocarbamate) 4.000%; Malathion 5.000%; Bacillus thuringiensis, Berliner, 0.048%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7478-RT. Chem-Pak Co., PO Box 757, Miami FL 33143. WORMEX A HOME GARDEN BIOLOGICAL INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Bacillus thuringiensis, Berliner 0.86%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34970-E. Chemical Services, Inc., PO Box 82918, Atlanta GA 30354. YARMOR 302W PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34970-R. Chemical Services, Inc. YARMOR 302 PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34970-G. Chemical Services, Inc. HERCO PINE OIL FOR FORMULATION OF DISINFECTANTS. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7173-RLG. Chempar Chemical Co., Inc., 260 Madison Ave., New York NY 10016. CHEMPAR MULTI PURPOSE FLYING AND CRAWLING INSECT KILLER. Active Ingredinets: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl)methyl 2,2 - dimethyl - 3 - (2 - methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate 0.200%; Related compounds 0.027%; d-trans Allethrin (allyl homolog of Cinerin I) 0.200%; Related compounds 0.030%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 0.265%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 100-LTN. Ciba-Geigy Corp., Agricultural Div., PO Box 11422, Greensboro NC 27409. AQUAZINE ALGICIDE. Active Ingredients: Simazine: 2-chloro-4,6-bis (ethylamino)-s-triazine 80%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 9444-GT. Cline-Buckner, Inc., 16317 Piuma Ave., Cerritos CA 90701. PURGE TOTAL RELEASE INSECTICIDE WITH VAPONA AND CHLORPYRIFOS. Active Ingredients: 2,2-Dichlorovinyl Dimethyl Phosphate 6.50%; related compounds 0.50%; Chlorpyrifos [O,O-diethyl O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate] 1.00%; Epichlorohydrin 0.25%; petroleum distillates 6.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 9444-GI. Cline-Buckner, Inc. PURGE CONCENTRATED HOSPITAL STRENGTH DETERGENT DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 6.250%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 6.250%; Tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 3.600%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 9444-GO. Cline-Buckner, Inc. PURGE HOSPITAL STRENGTH DISINFECTANT CLEANER. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 2.250%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 2.250%; Sodium Carbonate 3.000%; Tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 1.000%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1544-AG. Frank J. Curran Co., 8101 S. Lemont Rd., Downers Grove IL 60515. ZOREX PARA SWEET PINE MOTH KILLER DEODORIZER. Active Ingredients: Paradichlorobenzene 98%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1544–AE. Frank J. Curran Co., 8101 S. Lemont Rd., Downers Grove IL 60515. ZOREX PARA SWEET ROSE MOTH KILLER DEODORIZER. Active Ingredients: Paradichlorobenzene 98%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 464–LRR. The Dow Chemical Co., PO Box 1706, Midland MI 43640. DOW TELONE II SOIL FUMIGANT. Active Ingredients: 1,3-Dichloropropene 92%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 279–EOOE. FMC Corp., Agricultural Chemical Div., 100 Niagara St., Middleport NY 14105. STREPTOMYCIN 3000 DUST BACTERICIDE. Active Ingredients: Streptomycin 0.30%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 8764–UA. FMC Corp., Citrus Machinery Div., PO Box 552, Riverside CA 92502. STA-FRESH 404 FUNGICIDAL FRUIT COATING. Active Ingredients: sec-Butylamine 2.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 4584–OG. Gem Inc., One Gem Blvd., Byh Alia MS 38611. AEROCOS SPACE SPRAY. Active Ingredients: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl) methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate 0.250%; Related compounds 0.034%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 0.332%; Petroleum distillate 99.124%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11275–A. Guth Corp., PO Box 302, Naperville IL 60540. KALZATE 'A' WEED KILLER. Active Ingredients: Potassium 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetate 47.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 10107–RL. Harris Serum & Supply Co., Inc., PO Box 410, McCook NB 69001. DIAZINON 6000 GRANULAR TURF INSECT CONTROL. Active Ingredients: 0,0-diethyl 0-(2-isopropyl-6-methyl-4-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 10107–RA. Harris Serum & Supply Co., Inc., PO Box 410, McCook NB 69001. DIAZINON GRANULAR TURF INSECT CONTROL. Active Ingredients: 0,0-diethyl 0-(2-isopropyl-β-methyl-4-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate 2%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1658–EE. Hillyard Chemical Co., 302 N. 4th St., St. Joseph MO 64502. PINE-O-CIDE PINE ODOR DISINFECTANT, DETERGENT, DEODORANT. Active Ingredients: Isopropanol 4.75%; Pine oil 3.95%; Alkyl (C14 58%; C16 28%; C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 1.97%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 407–GIU. Imperial, Inc., PO Box 423, Shenandoah IA 51601. IMPERIAL READY TO USE 1% VAPONA. Active Ingredients: Dichlorvos: 2,2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate 0.93%; Related Compounds 0.07%; Deodorized Kerosene 49.50%; Mineral Seal Oil 49.50%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2693–RNN. International Paint Co., Inc., PO Box 386, Union NJ 07083. TROPEX ANTIFOULING PAINT 1600. Active Ingredients: Cuprous Oxide 38.7%; Bis(Tri-n-Butyltin) Oxide 1.7%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2693–RNN. International tories Inc., 2214 6th St., Berkeley CA 94710. INSTRUMENT GERMICIDE. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 50%, C12 40%, C16 10%) Dimethyl Benzyl Ammonium Chlorides 0.133%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 7601–RR. Libby Laboratories Inc., 2214 6th St., Berkeley CA 94710. GERMICIDAL SOLUTION ALCOHOLIC. Active Ingredients: Isopropyl Alcohol 50.000%; Alkyl (C14 50%, C12 40%, C16 10%) Dimethyl Benzyl Ammonium Chloride 0.133%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 11800–RA. Midwest Agricultural Warehouse Co., 200 S. Main, Fremont NB 68025. CLEAN CROP ATRAZINE 80W. Active Ingredients: Atrazine (2-chloro-4-ethylamino-6-isopropylamino - s - triazine) 76%; Related Compounds 4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 2831–UU. Napasco International, Inc., PO Box 1219, Thibodaux LA 70301. NAPASCO STEROLITE DISINFECTANT—SANITIZER—DEODORIZER. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 50%, C12 40%, C16 10%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 10.00%; Ethanol 2.50%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34971–E. Texas Solvents & Chemicals Co., PO Box 24335, Houston TX 77029. YARMOR 302W PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34971–R. Texas Solvents & Chemicals Co. YARMOR 302 PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34971–G. Texas Solvents & Chemicals Co. HERCO PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34972–G. Texas Solvents & Chemicals Co., PO Box 23188, San Antonio TX 78223. HERCO PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34972–E. Texas Solvents & Chemicals Co. YARMOR 302 PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34972–R. Texas Solvents & Chemicals Co. YARMOR 302W PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2 (b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34966–R. Toledo Solvents & Chemicals Co., 4051 South Ave., Toledo OH 43615. YARMOR 302W PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34966–E. Toledo Solvents & Chemicals Co. YARMOR 302 PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34966–G. Toledo Solvents & Chemicals Co. HERCO PINE OIL. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 99.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 34780–R. 3 P Co., Div. of Weber Consumable Products, 3444 De La Cruz, Santa Clara CA 95050. SEPTIC SOAK. Active Ingredients: Didecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride 4.5%; Tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 2.0%; Sodium carbonate 1.0%; Sodium metasilicate, anhydrous 0.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

Republished Items

The following items represent a correction in the list of Applications Received published in the Federal Register of October 15, 1974 (39 FR 36898).

EPA File Symbol 34894–G. Amsco Solvents & Chemicals Co., 4619 Reading Rd., Cincinnati OH 45229. HERCULES YARMOR 302W PINE OIL. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy rather than 2(i) as published.

EPA File Symbol 1021–RGET. McLaughlin Gormley King Co., 8810 Tenth Ave., N. Minneapolis MN 55427. PYROCIDE FORMULA 7228. Originally published as EPA File Symbol 1021–RGE. T. McLaughlin Gormley King Co.

EPA File Symbol 34889–E. Missouri Solvents & Chemicals Co., 419 DeSota Ave., St. Louis MO 63147. HERCULES YARMOR 302 PINE OIL. Originally published as EPA File Symbol 34888–R.

Dated: October 22, 1974.

MARTIN H. ROGOFF,
Acting Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc.74-25110 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

ALLOCATION OF FEEDSTOCKS TO SYNTHETIC NATURAL GAS FACILITIES

Petitions for Assignment or Adjustment of Base Period Volumes

On July 31, 1974, the Federal Energy Administration issued a Statement of Policy and Special Rule governing the allocation of petroleum feedstocks to synthetic natural gas (SNG) plants (39 FR 27910). The special rule sets forth the criteria which are to be considered by the FEA in granting or denying the petition of an SNG manufacturer for assignment or adjustments of its base period volume under § 211.29 of FEA's Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations. In the introduction to its statement of policy, the FEA indicated that such petitions would generally be considered on a case-by-case basis. The case-by-case approach was adopted in order to develop fully the information necessary to ascertain the effects on competing energy users of an allocation decision respecting a given SNG facility.

The Statement of Policy further provided that "in specific cases, opportunity will be afforded interested parties to challenge or support the implementation of this policy through factual or legal presentation." FEA has determined that personal service of notice on all persons who might be aggrieved by the issuance of a decision and order on an SNG petition is impracticable since such persons are not, at this point, readily identifiable. Therefore, in accordance with the July 31 statement and pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR §§ 205.23 and 205.33, the FEA hereby provides notice of all petitions recently filed under § 211.29. The specific information on each petition is set forth in the Appendix to this notice.

A complete file containing all information and data filed in conjunction with the petitions listed in the Appendix, other

than confidential business information which FEA has determined to be exempt from the disclosure requirements of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be made available for public inspection and copying at the Administrator's Reception Area of the FEA, Room 3400, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Written comments regarding the petitions summarized in the Appendix to this notice will be accepted and considered if filed on or before November 11, 1974. Any person submitting written comments with respect to a petition summarized in this notice should comply with the requirements of § 205.23 or § 205.33 of the FEA procedural regulations, as appropriate. Comments should be submitted to the Office of Specialty Fuels and Products, Federal Energy Administration, Room 6222B, 2000 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Comments should be identified on the outside envelope and on documents submitted to FEA with the designation "Allocation of Petroleum Products to Synthetic Natural Gas Plants." Five copies should be submitted.

Issued in Washington, D.C., October 25, 1974.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
General Counsel.

APPENDIX

Petitioner	Feedstock (specific chemical content)	Annual capacity (millions of cubic feet/day)	Assignment or adjustment of base period volumes requested (barrels for each base period)	Supplier	Status [1]
Algonquin SNG, Inc.	Naphtha	120	2,475,200	Exxon	B
Columbia LNG Corp.	Natural gas liquids, liquid petroleum gas, naphtha.	250	6,370,000	Dome Petroleum, La Gloria, Sohio.	A
Consumers Power	Liquid petroleum gas, natural gas liquids.	200	4,595,500	Dome, Amoco, Michigan Consolidated.	A
Northern Illinois Gas Co.	Liquid petroleum gas, natural gas liquids, naphtha.	166	4,349,800	UPG, Inc., ARCO, San Juan Oil Co.	A

[1] A—petition received; B—filing complete.

[FR Doc.74-25369 Filed 10-29-74;10:38 am]

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND SPECIAL IMPACT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given that the Consumer Affairs and Special Impact Advisory Committee will meet Monday, November 18, 1974, at 9 a.m., Room 3400, 12th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

This Committee was established to provide the Federal Energy Administration with diversified knowledge and experiences possessed by a wide range of highly qualified individuals who have been extensively involved in planning, development, and implementation of programs to remedy the problems of the consumer, the poor, the elderly, and the handicapped persons in rural and urban America.

The Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Organizational Matters.
2. Project Independence Blueprint.
3. Conservation—Voluntary vs. Mandatory.
4. Price Issue—Energy Tax and Deregulation.

The meeting is open to the public; however, space and facilities are limited.

The chairman of the committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in his judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with the committee will be permitted to do so, either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements should inform Lois Weeks, Advisory Committee Management Office (202) 961-7022 at least 5 days before the meeting and reasonable provision will be made for their appearance on the agenda.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Advisory Committee Management Office.

Minutes of the meeting will be made available for public inspection at the Federal Energy Administration, Washington, D.C.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on October 29, 1974.

ROBERT E. MONTGOMERY, Jr.,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.74-25436 Filed 10-29-74;11:58 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[FMC-142]

NEW YORK PASSENGER TERMINAL USERS' ASSOCIATION

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, (46 U.S.C. 814)).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW, Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before November 11, 1974. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the act or detriment to the Commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed For Approval By:

Donald L. Wallace, Esq.
Whitman & Ransom
522 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Agreement No. 9851-2 by and between the members of the New York Passenger Terminal Users' Association (NYPTUA) modifies paragraph 2(c) of Agreement No. 9851 to read as follows:

"(c) A majority of the members shall constitute a quorum. No business other than the selection of an Acting Chairman and the adjournment of the meeting to a date certain shall be conducted in the absence of the quorum."

Presently nine members shall constitute a quorum.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25391 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

INDEPENDENT OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDER LICENSE NO. 1356; ALBARCO INTERNATIONAL (P.R.), INC.

Order of Revocation

By letter dated September 12, 1974, Albarco International (P.R.), Inc., P.O. Box 3248, Old San Juan, Puerto Rico 00904 was advised by the Federal Maritime Commission that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1356 would be automatically revoked or suspended unless a valid surety bond was filed with the Commission on or before October 8, 1974.

Section 44(c), Shipping Act, 1916, provides that no independent ocean freight forwarder license shall remain in force unless a valid bond is in effect and on file with the Commission. Rule 510.9 of Federal Maritime Commission General Order 4, further provides that a license

will be automatically revoked or suspended for failure of a licensee to maintain a valid bond on file.

Albarco International (P.R.), Inc. has failed to furnish a valid surety bond.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 1 (revised) section 7.04(g) (dated 9/15/73);

It is ordered, that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1356 of Albarco International (P.R.), Inc. be returned to the Commission for cancellation.

It is further ordered, that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1356 be and is hereby revoked effective October 8, 1974.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Albarco International (P.R.), Inc.

WM. JARREL SMITH, Jr.,
Deputy Managing Director.

[FR Doc.74-25520 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

INDEPENDENT OCEAN FREIGHT FORWARDER LICENSE NO. 879-R; WTC INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Order of Revocation

On October 15, 1974, WTC International, Inc., 5959 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90045 voluntarily surrendered its Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 879-R for revocation.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 1 (revised) section 7.04(f) (dated 9/15/73);

It is ordered, that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 879-R be and is hereby revoked effective October 15, 1974, without prejudice to reapply for a license at a later date.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon WTC International, Inc.

WM. JARREL SMITH, JR.,
Deputy Managing Director.

[FR Doc.74-25518 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

LEONARD J. BUCK ET AL.

Agreements Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreements have been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreements at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreements at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before November 21, 1974. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreements shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreements (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Mr. Ralph E. Casey, Poor & Havens
Federal Bar Building
1815 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Agreement No. T-2994, among Leonard J. Buck, Incorporated (Buck), Olin Corporation (Olin) and Ormet Corporation (Ormet), is a 5-year operating agreement whereby Buck, as an independent contractor responsible to the management of Ormet, will act as terminal operator, providing all services and supervision relating to the handling of liquid, bulk and general cargo, such as receiving, loading, discharging, stevedoring, transferring, transshipping, and storing, at the Burnside Terminal, Louisiana. As compensation, Ormet will reimburse Buck all actual costs incurred plus a sum of $30,000 per annum to cover all overhead, general and administrative expense, and other indirect costs. In addition, Ormet will pay Buck an additional fee of $.40 per ton of cargo handled at the Terminal for the first 2,500,000 net tons, exclusive of Olin's, Revere's, Ormet's, or any of their subsidiaries' or affiliates' tonnage. Buck shall collect, for the account of Ormet, all rates and charges due for the use of the Terminal pursuant to a tariff issued to all users of the Terminal. Buck shall make no change or modification in said tariff without the prior written approval of Ormet.

Agreement No. T-2994-1, among the same parties, modifies the basic Agreement No. T-2994. The purpose of the modification is to provide for Buck's maximum compensation of $300,000 per annum based on (1) a base fee of $90,000 per annum, (2) 4 percent of the Terminal's revenue less operating costs, up to a maximum amount equivalent to 4 percent of the Terminal rent and depreciation, and (3) 20 percent of the Terminal's revenue in excess of the total costs.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: October 29, 1974.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25517 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

TRANS-PACIFIC FREIGHT CONFERENCE OF JAPAN/KOREA; JAPAN/KOREA-ATLANTIC AND GULF FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Agreements Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreements have been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreements at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreements at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before November 21, 1974. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreements shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreements (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreements Filed by:

Charles F. Warren, Esq.
1100 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Agreements Nos. 150-59, filed by the Trans-Pacific Freight Conference of Japan/Korea, and 3103-55, filed by the Japan/Korea-Atlantic and Gulf Freight Conference, are identical in language and provide that Conference members shall be "jointly and severally liable for the payment of [Conference] expenses as the Conference(s) from time to time determines, provided the period of liability does not exceed three years following the date of the Member's withdrawal from, and/or termination of this Agreement."

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: October 29, 1974.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25519 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 2232]

DUKE POWER CO.

Application for Change in Land Rights

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Public notice is hereby given that application for Conveyance of Interests in

Project Lands has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a—825r) by Duke Power Company of North Carolina (correspondence to Mr. George W. Ferguson, Jr., Associate General Counsel, Duke Power Company, Power Building, Box 2178, Charlotte, North Carolina) for Project 2232 (Catawba Wateree Project) located on the Catawba River in North Carolina and the Catawba and Wateree Rivers in South Carolina in Alexander, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln, McDowell, and Mecklenburg Counties, North Carolina and Chester, Fairfield, Kershaw, Lancaster, and York Counties, South Carolina. The Project lands to be conveyed are located on Paw Creek, an arm of Lake Wylie, and are located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

Applicant is seeking Commission approval to grant a 30 foot wide easement to the City of Charlotte, North Carolina for use as a right-of-way for a sanitary sewer line. Approximately 1300 feet of the right-of-way would be totally or partially within the project boundary surrounding Paw Creek. The sewer line would be constructed of 24 inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe and would be buried a minimum of one foot below the ground.

The sewer line would cross a 25 foot wide portion of Paw Creek (by means of an inverted siphon pipe buried three feet below the creek bottom) and then parallel the creek. No sewage effluent would be discharged into Paw Creek within the Project Boundary.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said application should on or before December 2, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to a proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25550 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP72-110, PGA 75-1-A]

ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Rate Change Pursuant to Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Take notice that Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin Gas) on October 11, 1974, tendered for filing Substitute Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 3-A to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

Algonquin states that this sheet is being filed pursuant to Algonquin Gas' Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision set forth in Section 22 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 and that the change in Algonquin's rates proposed by this filing reflects a reduction in the November 1, 1974, rates proposed by Algonquin Gas in its September 27, 1974, PGA filing. Algonquin further states that this reduction is occasioned by a decrease in the rates previously proposed by Texas Eastern's September 17, 1974, PGA filing to be effective November 1, 1974, to reflect a decrease in its cost of gas purchased from United Gas Pipe Line Company as reflected in United's Motion in Docket No. RP74-83.

The proposed effective date of the substitute revised tariff sheet is November 1, 1974, the same date as the proposed effective date of the related rate change of Texas Eastern.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8, 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 5, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25549 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-122; PGA 75-1-A]

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Proposed Change in Rates Pursuant to Commission Order Dated September 26, 1974

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

Take notice that Colorado Interstate Gas Company, a division of Colorado Interstate Corporation (CIG), on October 10, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, all pursuant to ordering paragraph (D) of the Commission's Order, issued on September 26, 1974, in the above docket.

CIG states that pursuant to said Commission order such filing reflects rates which exclude increased purchased gas costs associated with small producer and emergency purchases at rates in excess of the rates established by Opinion No. 699. As authorized by the above order, the adjusted rates are proposed to be effective for one day, October 1, 1974.

Copies of the filing have been served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and other interested persons, including public bodies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 11, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties in the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25558 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-122; PGA 75-2]

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Proposed Change in Rates Under Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause Provisions

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

Take notice that Colorado Interstate Gas Company, a division of Colorado Interstate Corporation (CIG), on October 15, 1974, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. The proposed changes would increase the commodity rate under each of CIG's jurisdictional rate schedules by 4.21 cents per Mcf.

The filing is made pursuant to the provisions of section 21 of CIG's FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, which authorizes the company to change its rates coincident with a pipeline supplier rate change, and is solely for the purpose of compensating CIG for increased costs from one of its pipeline suppliers, Northwest Pipeline Corporation. The filing is proposed to be effective on November 1, 1974, the anticipated date of the Northwest increase to CIG, or on such other date the Northwest increase is permitted to go into effect.

Copies of the filing have been served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and other interested persons, including public bodies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 4, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25553 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-157, PGA 75-2]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Deferment of Rate Revision

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Take notice that on October 10, 1974, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Consolidated) filed a request for permission to defer a second revision of its September 16, 1974 Purchased Gas and R&D adjustment filing under Sections 12 and 13 of its tariff. The proposed effective date of Consolidated's original filing in this docket is November 1, 1974. On September 25, 1974 Consolidated revised that filing after it became aware of a proposed increase by Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), which was also to become effective November 1, 1974. Consolidated states that it has just been advised that Texas Eastern proposes to revise its earlier filing which will have the effect of reducing Texas Eastern's November 1st proposed rates by 0.35 cents per Mcf.

In order to avoid another revision in its rates to become effective November 1, 1974, Consolidated now proposes to defer reflecting this latest change until its next anticipated filing to be effective on December 1, 1974. Consolidated alleges that the impact of the Texas Eastern reduction for the month of November will be approximately $59,200. This amount is to be reflected in Consolidated's deferred account. Consolidated further alleges that its unrecovered purchase gas costs have been averaging in excess of $200,000 per month so that the inclusion of the Texas Eastern reduction in the deferred account will be an off-set to such unrecovered costs.

Consolidated states that it has mailed copies of this proposal to each of its jurisdictional customers and to the interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 4, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25548 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-7984]

CONSUMERS POWER CO. AND DETROIT EDISON CO.

Certification to the Commission

OCTOBER 22, 1974.

Take notice that on October 12, 1973, Presiding Administrative Law Judge Levant certified to the Commission (1) a proposed settlement agreement drawn and submitted by Consumers Power Company, Detroit Edison Company and Commonwealth Edison Company with the concurrence of all parties to the proceeding, and (2) a motion to accordingly terminate this proceeding and certify the record to the Commission, offered by Staff Counsel at the October 12, 1973 prehearing conference conducted herein. The proposed settlement agreement involving Detroit Edison sale of portions of the Ludington Pumped Storage Plant to Commonwealth Edison Company over the first fifteen years of plant operation was transcribed in the October 12, 1973 prehearing conference record.

Any person desiring to make comments or suggestions for the Commission's consideration with respect to the certification should submit the same in writing on or before November 1, 1974, to the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25542 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8947]

DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT CO. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Order Denying Rehearing Motions, Filing Requirements Waiver; and for Rejection, and Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rate Increase; Hearing, Procedures, and Interventions

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

On August 1, 1974, Delmarva Power & Light Company and its subsidiaries (Delmarva) tendered for filing a proposed change in its FPC Electric Tariff,[1] and Rate Schedule FPC No. 35 of Delmarva Power & Light Company. Delmarva contends that the proposed increase results in an estimated increase of $4,188,340 for the period ending September 30, 1975, and a realized rate of return of 9.41%.

In support, Delmarva contends that the increased revenues are necessary to offset increased operating expenses and to provide sufficient earnings to permit the Company to obtain necessary financing, at a reasonable cost, to continue its construction program and meet its obligation to provide its customers with adequate and dependable electric service.

Notice of Delmarva's filing was issued on August 12, 1974, with comments, protests, or petitions to intervene due on or before August 23, 1974. Timely protests and petitions to intevene were filed by the cities of Dover, Newark, Seaford, Milford, Delmarva, the Town of Smyra, Delaware and Accomack-Northampton Electric Cooperative, Choptank Electric Cooperative, Inc., Delaware Electric Cooperative, Inc. and the Delmarva Electric Cooperative, Inc. Untimely protests and petitions to intervene were filed by the Town of Middletown, Delaware and the Board of Public Works of the City of Lewes, Delaware.

Petitioners request that the proposed increase be suspended for five months and set for hearing and that the petitioners be given additional time within which to plead and prepare proof and evidence. Petitioners who have filed timely shall be permitted to intervene. As to those petitioners who have filed untimely, their participation may be in the public interest and will not delay these proceedings, therefore, they also shall be permitted to intervene.

On September 24, 1974, Delmarva Power and Light Company (Delmarva) filed a motion for rehearing of the letter sent to them by the Secretary of the Commission dated August 30, 1974, which assessed the deficiencies in Delmarva's August 1, 1974, filing in this docket. In the alternative, Delmarva moved for waiver of the filing requirements of the Commission's Regulations in order that their August 1, 1974, filing be made effective as originally proposed on October 1, 1974.

The letter from the Secretary dated August 30, 1974, stated that Delmarva's August 1, 1974, filing, which proposed rate schedule changes for service to certain utility customers at wholesale for resale, failed to meet certain filing requirements as set forth in the Commission's regulations. Specifically, the Secretary's letter stated that Delmarva had failed to file budgets, forecasts and working papers showing the derivations of the projected Period II data and the demand data for Periods I and II that are required in section 35.13(b)(4)(iii) of the Commission's regulations. Finally, the Secretary's letter stated that a filing date would not be assigned to Delmarva's submittal pending receipt of the requested information.

Delmarva alleged in its motion for rehearing that to require the volumes of work behind the budget and forecasts showing the derivation of projected Period II data as a filing requirement is "prohibitively burdensome." As to the demand data required, Delmarva contended that such information was irrelevant because the type of data required was not used in their allocation method. Delmarva further states that it could not provide the non-coincident and coincident demand data, including date and hour for the system and for the service, for Period II because it has no way of making such projections. Finally, Delmarva states that the demand data for Period I, which is required by § 35.13(b)(4)(iii) of the Commission's regulations, refers to the composite of customers comprising the service and not the individual figures for each separate customer as requested in the Secretary's letter.

Delmarva concluded that the information filed with its new rate schedule was adequate to provide the Commission with informatioin from which it can decide whether Delmarva's proposed rate increase should be permitted to go into effect on its proposed effective date, or

[1] FPC Electric Tariff Volume Nos. 4 & 5 of Delmarva Power & Light Co., FPC Electric Tariff Volume Nos. 4 & 5 of Delmarva Power & Light Company of Maryland and FPC Electric Tariff Volume No. 2 of Delmarva Power & Light Company of Virginia.

to suspend the rate for up to five months. Delmarva submitted that the action of the Secretary was arbitrary and capricious and beyond his discretionary authority under § 35.2(c) of the Commission's regulations. Delmarva also argued, that compliance with the Secretary's letter will cause a delay in the proposed effective date of October 1, 1974, since under § 35.3 of the Commission's regulations the proposed changes could not become effective until 30 days after the deficiencies are cured, and to defer the effective date beyond October 1, 1974, without a suspension, exceeded the authority of the Commission under the Federal Power Act and was unlawful.

We fail to find any merit in Delmarva's contention that the filing requirements of the Commission's regulations are overly burdensome and require the filing of irrelevant information and data which may be difficult to obtain. The information required to be filed under the Commission's regulations is necessary for a complete evaluation of Delmarva's proposed rate increase.

Delmarva's allegation that the Secretary's action was arbitrary and capricous is unfounded. Delmarva's motion for rehearing indicates that it was fully aware of the filing requirements in the Commission's regulations, and these requirements are consistently applied and constitute the standard for determining the adequacy of electric rate filings. Delmarva's argument that the demand data for Period I, required by § 35.13(b)(4)(iii) of the Commission's regulations, refers to composite customers comprising the service is also unfounded. This regulation was intended to refer to the individual figures for each separate customer, as the Secretary's August 30, 1974, letter pointed out.

Delmarva's argument that the Secretary's letter exceeded the authority of the Commission under the Federal Power Act is without merit. As Delmarva correctly points out, section 205(d) of the Federal Power Act provides that no rate change shall be made "except after thirty days' notice to the Commission and to the public". The filing requirements set forth in § 35.13(b)(4)(iii) of the Commission's regulations set forth in detail a portion of what is required to constitute "notice to the Commission and to the public" and fully complies with section 205(d) of the Federal Power Act. It follows that if the filing requirements are not met, the thirty days' notice, as required in section 205(d) of the Federal Power Act, does not begin to run until the deficiencies have been cured. For these reasons we shall deny Delmarva's motion for rehearing of the Secretary's August 30, 1974, letter. Also, we shall deny Delmarva's motion for waiver of the filing requirements as good cause has not been shown.

On September 24, 1974, the intervening tariff customers of Delmarva Power and Light Company [2] (Intervenors) filed a motion to reject the proposed rate schedules tendered for filing on August 1, 1974. Intervenors stated that the proposed rate schedules should be rejected for the reason that Delmarva's filing failed to meet the requirements of section 35.13 of the Commission's regulations. Intervenors stated that in the absence of an outright rejection by the Commission, "the matter will become embroiled in protracted and expensive litigation regarding the procedural aspects of the case and the Commission's authority to take further action regarding the Commission's proposed tariff changes." As previously noted, we believe that the Secretary's letter did not exceed the authority of the Commission under the Federal Power Act, and the Commission has full authority to take further action regarding Delmarva's filing. Therefore, we shall deny Intervenor's motion to reject.

Our review of Delmarva's filing and the issues raised therein indicates that the proposed changes have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall suspend the proposed changes for one day and establish hearing procedures to determine the justness and reasonableness of Delmarva's filing.

On September 25, 1974, the deficiency in Delmarva's filing was cured by the submission of additional information sufficient to comply with the requisite filing requirements, and we shall therefore assign that date as the filing date for Delmarva's proposed changes.

The Commission finds: (1) The assignment of error and grounds for rehearing set forth in the motion for rehearing filed by Delmarva on September 24, 1974, present no facts or legal principles which would warrant any change in or modification of the Secretary's letter dated August 30, 1974.

(2) Good cause does not exist to grant Delmarva's motion for waiver of the filing requirements of the Commission's Regulations.

(3) Good cause exists to deny Intervenors' motion to reject.

(4) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Federal Power Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates and charges contained in Delmarva's revised rate schedule proposed in this docket and that the tendered rate schedules be suspended as hereinafter provided.

The Commission orders: (A) The motion for rehearing filed by Delmarva in this proceeding on September 24, 1974, is denied.

(B) The motion for waiver of the filing requirements of the Commission's Regulations is denied.

(C) The motion to reject filed by Intervenors on September 24, 1974, is denied.

(D) Pending a hearing and a decision thereon, Delmarva's proposed changes in its rates and charges, tendered on August 1, 1974, are acepted for filing, as of September 25, 1974, and suspended for one day and the use thereof deferred until October 26, 1974, subject to refund.

(E) Pursuant to authority of the Federal Power Act, particularly section 205 thereof, and the Commission's rules and regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I), a hearing for purposes of cross-examination concerning the lawfulness and reasonableness of the rates and charges in Delmarva's FPC Rate Schedule, as proposed to the amended herein shall be held commencing on February 25, 1975, at 10 a.m., EST in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

(F) On or before January 10, 1975, the Commission Staff shall serve its prepared testimony and exhibits. Any intervenor evidence will be filed on or before January 24, 1975. Any rebuttal evidence by Delmarva shall be served on or before February 7, 1975.

(G) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (See Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided, and shall control this proceeding in accordance with the policies expressed in § 2.59 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(H) The above mentioned petitioners are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting the rights and interests specifically set forth in the respective petitions to intervene; and *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition that they or any of them might be aggrieved because of any order or orders issued by the Commission in this proceeding.

(I) Nothing contained herein shall be construed as limiting the rights of parties to this proceeding regarding the convening of conferences of offers of settlement pursuant to § 1.18 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(J) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25554 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8911]

GULF POWER CO.

Extension of Procedural Dates

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

On October 11, 1974, Staff Counsel filed a motion to extend the procedural dates fixed by Order issued August 13, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that the company and intervenors do not oppose the motion.

Notice is hereby given that the procedural dates in the above matter are modified as follows:

[2] The City of Dover, Delaware, the City of Newark, Delaware, the City of Seaford, Delaware, the Town of Smyrna, Delaware, the Town of Middletown, Delaware, the City of Milford, Delaware, and the Board of Public Works of Lewes, Delaware.

Service of Staff Evidence, January 31, 1975.
Service of Intervenor Evidence, February 28, 1975.
Service of Company Rebuttal, March 31, 1975.
Hearing, April 29, 1975 (10:00 a.m., EDT).

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25557 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-7468]

ILLINOIS POWER CO.

Fourth Supplemental Application

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

Take notice that on October 17, 1974, Illinois Power Company (Applicant) filed a fourth supplement to its application in Docket No. E-7468 seeking a supplemental order pursuant to Section 204 of the Federal Power Act authorizing the extension of the final maturity date on the short-term notes that may be issued by Applicant to December 31, 1976 from that previously authorized by the supplemental order of the Commission issued January 11, 1974 in Docket No. E-7468.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Illinois and operates as an electric and gas public utility therein. The notes proposed to be issued pursuant to this fourth supplemental application will be unsecured promissory notes with maturity dates not more than 360 days after their respective dates of issue, and in any event will be payable on or before December 31, 1976. The notes will be issued in an aggregate principal amount of not to exceed $125,000,000 outstanding at any one time, either to (1) commercial banks under the provisions of revolving credit agreements or otherwise, (2) commercial paper dealers, or (3) regular purchasers of commercial paper for their own account. With respect to such of the notes as are issued to commercial banks, the interest rate applicable to the notes shall be at the prime commercial rate of the Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago in effect on the date of each borrowing and adjusted to the prime commercial rate in effect on the first date of each calendar quarter thereafter, and with respect to such notes as are issued to commercial paper dealers or to regular purchasers of commercial paper for their own account, the interest rate applicable to the notes will be the market rate (or discount rate) on the date of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and of the particular maturity sold.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the notes will be added to working capital for ultimate application toward the cost of gross additions to utility properties and/or to reimburse applicant's treasury for construction expenditures. Applicant's construction program, as now scheduled, calls for expenditures of approximately $905,000,000 for the five-year period of 1974-78.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 20, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25555 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8995]

IOWA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Renotice of Proposed Rate Increase

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

On August 5, 1974 Iowa Power and Light Company (Iowa Power) tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Rate Schedule Nos. 9, 10 and 13 affecting the municipalities of Carlisle, Imogene and Neola, Iowa.

The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and service by a total of $37,377.82 for the three cities based on the twelve month period ended May, 1974. Iowa Power's filing also included a cost of fuel adjustment clause.

Iowa Power's August 5, 1974 filing was noticed on September 10, 1974 with comments, protests and petitions to intervene due on or before September 23, 1974.

By letters received October 15, 1974 Iowa Power completed its filing by submitting cost justification for its proposed rate increase and also requested the Commission to allow a modification of the August 5, 1974 filing by withdrawing its cost of fuel adjustment clause tendered therein.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application and change in application and who has not already filed a petition to intervene or protest should file a petition to intervene and protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 4, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25545 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8615]

LOUISIANA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Further Extension of Procedural Dates

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

On September 3, 1974, Louisiana Power and Light Company filed a motion to defer the procedural dates fixed by notice issued July 23, 1974, in the above-designated matter, in order to suspend proceedings while a proposed settlement agreement is considered by the Commission. A notice granting an extension of the above procedural dates was issued September 13, 1974.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that a further extension of the above-mentioned procedural dates is granted as follows:

Service of Intervenor's Evidence, November 25, 1974.
Service of Rebuttal evidence by Company, December 9, 1974.
Hearing, December 17, 1974 (10 a.m., EST).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25556 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-7671]

MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN

Further Extension of Time

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

On October 21, 1974, Bladin Paper Company, Northwest Paper Division of Potlach Corporation, and Minnesota Power and Light Company filed a joint motion to extend the time in which to respond to the Annex to the March, 1973 Supplemental Report of the Commission's Bureau of Power dated April, 1974, on the Investigation of Headwater Benefits 1925-1965 which was transmitted by letter dated July 4, 1974. The present deadline was fixed by notice issued July 26, 1974, which extended an earlier date.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the date for responding in the above matter is extended to and including January 27, 1975.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25546 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RM74-22, E-8589; E-8550]

NEW ENGLAND POWER POOL PARTICIPANTS, ET AL.

Order Granting Rehearing for Purposes of Further Consideration

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

On August 26, 1974, we issued an order in these proceedings in which we permitted the New England Power Pool Participants to withdraw the petition for emergency relief that they had earlier filed with us, and in which we accepted certain rate schedules that had been the subject of a settlement agreement. Two parties to the proceedings have filed timely applications for rehearing—Richmond Power & Light of the City of

Richmond, Indiana, and Congressman Michael J. Harrington of Massachusetts. Each had objected to the settlement agreement, and each now alleges that we erred in several particulars in our order of August 26.

That order contained, in ordering paragraph (G), a requirement that within 20 days from the August 26 issuance date, certain public utilities must file rate schedules, as well as other data and reports described in that paragraph. Our experience in connection with this requirement has indicated to us that the 20-day filing period was too brief. Not all of the necessary filings have been made, and a number of utilities have requested extensions of time. We therefore conclude that that period should be extended, and we shall extend it through November 4, 1974. At the same time, the reports to be filed pursuant to paragraph (G) and the rehearing applications deal in part with the same subject, so we cannot give consideration to the applications for rehearing until there has been full compliance with paragraph (G). Accordingly, we shall grant the applications for rehearing, solely for the purpose of affording us an opportunity to give consideration to the applications after the pertinent material (including rate schedule concurrences), that is required by our August 26 order, is filed with us. We shall direct our Secretary to give prompt notice of this order to each affected utility that has not yet complied fully with paragraph (G).

In a letter to us dated September 13, 1974, the Appalachian Power Company has purported to comply with our order of August 26 in these proceedings. In addition, Appalachian attaches to its letter a number of proposed changes that it contends are errata. We shall speak to the bulk of those changes in our order upon rehearing, but we think it may be helpful at this time if we state that the changes proposed by Appalachian to ordering paragraph (G) of the August 26 order (set forth as item No. 9 on page 2 of the attachment to its letter) constitute substantive changes which we are unwilling to accept. We shall speak further to the point in our order on rehearing. At this time we are undertaking to state only that we shall expect full compliance with paragraph (G) by all affected parties to these proceedings.

The Commission finds: In order to afford further time for the pertinent public utilities to comply with paragraph (G) of the order of August 26, 1974, and to afford further time for the consideration of these matters, it is appropriate in the administration of the Federal Power Act that rehearing of such order, for purposes of further consideration, be granted.

The Commission orders: (A) The deadlines set forth in paragraph (G) of the order issued on August 26, 1974, in these proceedings, are, in each instance, extended to November 4, 1974.

(B) The applications for rehearing filed by Richmond Power & Light of the City of Richmond, Indiana, and Congressman Michael J. Harrington of Massachusetts with respect to our order in these proceedings issued on August 26, 1974, are granted in order to permit us, following the filings described in paragraph (G) of such order, to consider further the issues raised in such applications.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25560 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-48]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Request for Delayed Effective Date

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Take notice that on October 3, 1974, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing a request for delay in the effective date of their PGA increase.

On August 22, 1974, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) had submitted for filing a First Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 3a to become part of Northern's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume 4. This gas tariff reflected the net effect of Colorado Interstate's rate settlement at Docket No. RP73-93, their general rate increase filing at Docket No. RP74-77 and a PGA increase which was filed to become effective October 1, 1974.

Northern states that on September 26, 1974 it was informed Colorado Interstate's general rate increase was approved to become effective October 1, 1974, pending the filing of revised tariff sheets and that the PGA increase would be suspended to become effective October 2, 1974 subject to refund.

Northern has determined that the additional expense required to prepare special billing to its customers to recover the portion of Colorado Interstate's increase accepted for the one day of October 1, 1974 would exceed the additional revenues that might be gained by Northern.

Accordingly, Northern proposes to delay effectuation of the entire 3.46¢ per Mcf PGA increase until October 2, 1974, and agrees to make such refunds as may be required pending the Commission's final decision regarding Colorado Interstate's October 2, 1974 rates.

Northern also requests that the FPC waive whichever of its Regulations may be required and accept the First Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 3a to become effective October 2, 1974.

Northern states that copies of these requests have been served upon Felt Water Development Company, Clayton, New Mexico, Southern Union Gas Company, Dallas, Texas, New Mexico State Corporation Commission, Santa Fe, New Mexico and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 4, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD, *Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25544 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-8882]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF COLORADO

Filing of Supplement to Service Agreement

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Take notice that on August 30, 1974, the Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCC) tendered for filing a supplement to its service agreement with the Home Light and Power Company, designated FPC Rate Schedule No. 9. PSCC originally filed the service agreement with the Commission on July 1, 1974, and by order issued August 30, 1974, the Commission suspended the proposed service agreement for five months and set the matter for hearing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said supplement should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 5, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this supplement are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25562 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-8586 and E-8587]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF INDIANA, INC.

Order Granting Late Petition To Intervene

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

On October 4, 1974, Hoosier Energy Division of Indiana Statewide Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Hoosier) filed a motion to intervene out of time in these dockets, which have been consolidated by order issued March 7, 1974. A timely protest and petition to intervene was filed by Indiana Municipal Electric Association and certain municipalities (IMEA Cities), which alleges among other things that the proposed rate for

firm service to IMEA Cities is substantially higher than the rate filed by Public Service Company of Indiana, Inc. (PSCI) for service to Hoosier, and that PSCI's realized rate of return from service to IMEA Cities is substantially higher than the realized rate of return from PSCI's service to Hoosier, thus unduly discriminatory and unlawful. Hoosier now alleges that although it does not contest the reasonableness of the rates which PSCI proposes for services to Hoosier, it did not until recently recognize that it had a direct and substantial interest in the contention advanced by IMEA Cities. Hoosier further states that its untimely intervention will not delay the administrative process.

The Commission finds: Participation by Hoosier in this proceeding may be in the public interest and good cause exists for permitting such intervention.

The Commission orders: (A) The above mentioned petitioner is hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding, subject to the Rules and Regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* That the participation of such intervenor shall be limited to matters affecting the rights and interests specifically set forth in its petition to intervene; and *Provided, further,* That the admission of such intervenor shall not be construed as recognition that it might be aggrieved because of any order or orders issued by the Commission in this proceeding.

(B) The intervention granted herein shall not be the basis for delaying or deferring any procedural schedules heretofore established for the orderly and expeditious disposition of this proceeding.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25559 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9062]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NEW MEXICO

Agreement for Service

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Take notice that on October 15, 1974, the Public Service Company of New Mexico (PSCNM) tendered for filing an agreement to provide power transmission service for the State of Nevada, Division of Colorado River Resources (DCRR) for a period of one year.

PSCNM states that the contract was negotiated to permit DCRR to obtain capacity from the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, by enabling DCRR to return energy associated therewith to the Bureau from PSCNM. PSCNM further states that the Bureau of Reclamation required DCRR to contract to receive its energy during specified time periods commencing October 1, 1974, and therefore DCRR was required to enter into an agreement for return of Bureau energy by October 1, 1974. PSCNM therefore requests the Commission to waive its notice requirements and permit the Agreement between PSCNM and DCRR to become effective October 1, 1974.

PSCNM states that the proposed rate for service has been agreed upon by the parties and that copies of the filing have been mailed to DCRR, and the New Mexico Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 5, 1974. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25543 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9038]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

Order Accepting Rate Increase for Filing Subject to Refund, Proposed Effective Date, and Granting Waiver of Notice Requirement

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

On September 26, 1974, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing a proposed extension of its Power Supply Agreement with Sierra Pacific Power Company (Sierra). The agreement, FPC Rate Schedule No. 49, which according to Edison terminated by its own terms on September 30, 1974, was proposed to be extended until December 1, 1974. Under the terms of the extension agreement, Edison would substitute the rates charged under its wholesale-for-resale rate R-2[1] for the rates previously in effect. Edison also requested a waiver of the 30 day prior notice requirement set forth in section 205(d) of the Federal Power Act in order to allow the establishment of an October 1, 1974 effective date.

A brief discussion of the chronological development in this docket will be helpful. By letter dated February 21, 1974, Sierra informed Edison of the former's status regarding construction of interconnection and distribution systems as Sierra planned to discontinue the service received by Edison and to generate its own power. Being uncertain as to a precise date the facilities would be available, Sierra requested an extension of service for the short period necessary to complete the facilities. In a letter dated April 9, 1974, Edison agreed to the requested possible extension but stated that the extension service would be at increased rates.

By letter dated August 27, 1974, Sierra replied that it would need service from Edison until December 1, 1974[2] and requested a finalization of this date. As a consequence of the above letter, the instant submittal for increased rates was filed September 26, 1974. A telegram dated September 30, 1974, was received by the Commission from Sierra protesting Edison's requested waiver of the 30-day prior notice requirement as Sierra had no comparable waiver provision available under the regulations of the Nevada Public Service Commission. Further, Sierra stated that it now anticipated an extension of service from Edison until October 18, 1974, as opposed to their previous indications of December 1, 1974.

The Nevada Public Service Commission forwarded a telegram dated October 1, 1974 to the Commission wherein they objected to Edison's proposed waiver of the prior notice requirement. A telegram was sent to the Commission from Edison, dated October 3, 1974, the substance of which was that Sierra had ample time to inform the Nevada Public Utilities Commission as Sierra was given more than four months prior notice of the terms of the extension agreement. Yet another telegram, dated October 18, 1974, was received by the Commission from Sierra stating, among other things, that Sierra was no longer purchasing power from Edison and that the present rate increase application would cover purchases from October 1, 1974 to October 18, 1974.

Our review of the filing and correspondence indicates that the proposed change in rates for service to Sierra should be accepted for filing and made effective October 1, 1974, subject to refund pending resolution of the proceeding in Docket No. E-8570.

The Commission finds: (1) Good cause exists to accept for filing the instant submittal by Edison effective October 1, 1974.

(2) Rate R-2 for service to Sierra should be subject to such refund as may be required in Docket No. E-8570.

(3) Good cause exists to grant the requested waiver of the notice requirement.

The Commission orders: (A) Edison's instant submittal is hereby accepted for filing.

(B) October 1, 1974 is hereby established as the effective date for service to Sierra under Rate R-2.

(C) The Rate R-2 for service to Sierra shall be subject to such refund as may be required in Docket No. E-8570.

(D) The requested waiver of the prior notice requirement set forth in Section 205(d) of the Federal Power Act is hereby granted.

---

[1] Wholesale-for-resale rate R-2, which is Supplement No. 1 to FPC Rate Schedule No. 49, is currently being charged subject to refund to Edison's other large resale customers.

[2] The service through December 1, 1974 would be rendered at Tonopah.

(E) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25561 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-39-13]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP., PENN FUEL GAS, INC.

Order Granting Temporary Extraordinary Relief, Providing for Hearing, and Establishing Procedures

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

On October 21, 1974, Penn Fuel Gas, Inc. (Penn Fuel) filed a petition for emergency relief pursuant to section 1.7 (b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Penn Fuel requests that the Commission issue an order requiring Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation TETCO) to deliver a minimum of 8,957 Mcf per day to Penn Fuel's subsidiary, Lewistown Gas Company (Lewistown), for the use of Standard Steel (Division of Titanium Metals Corporation of America, Inc.)

Penn Fuel is a public utility holding company having several affiliated gas distribution companies in Eastern Pennsylvania. Several of these distribution companies including Lewistown[1] purchase their entire supply of natural gas from Texas Eastern.

Standard Steel purchases its entire supply from Lewistown under a contract providing for a maximum demand of 14,000 Mcf per day. Standard Steel, a manufacturer of specialty steels, is Lewistown's only large industrial customer and accounts for approximately 90 percent of Lewistown's contract demand. It also bears the brunt of Lewistown's curtailment. Standard Steel has been curtailed in widely varying degrees since January, 1971, but in the last year curtailments have substantially increased. For two weeks in January, 1974, half of Standard Steel's supply was curtailed. On October 21, 1974, Standard Steel was curtailed by 100 percent. Six other industrial customers served by members of the Pottsville Group were also curtailed by 100 percent on that day.

Several of the uses Standard Steel makes of its gas supply appear to be high priority industrial uses. Thus it appears that Lewistown is being forced to curtail 100 percent of Standard's priority 2 load as end-use priorities are defined in TETCO's FPC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1.

Standard Steel manufactures specialty steel components for nuclear, gas, and steam powered electric generating facilities; railroad and rapid transit applications; jet engines and missile systems; and oil and gas production.

[1] Lewistown is listed under Pottsville Gas Company, et al. (Pottsville Group), in TETCO's Index of Purchasers.

The complete curtailment of Standard Steel on October 21, 1974 forced the company to cease its operations. It immediately laid off 560 people and expects that lay-offs will exceed 1000 by October 25 1974.

Standard Steel began converting its facilities to alternate fuel in 1971 and by last year it had reduced its minimum gas requirements by 30 percent even while it increased production. The company claims that it is continuing to study conversion techniques, but that most of the remaining natural gas applications cannot be converted.

Standard claims that to maintain full production it must have no less than 8,957 Mcf per day, a 37 percent reduction of its contract demand.

Penn Fuel has provided a breakdown of the minimum gas required by Standard Steel as follows:

	Mcf/Day
Heat treating plant	1,900
Bay 30 heat treating	450
Ring mill furnace (heat treating)	270
Melt shop	650
Steel preparation center	450
Automatic forging machine	1,500
Open die forge shop	400
Preheating and heat treating furnaces, burning torches, etc	635
Upper ring mill	1,200
Machine shop No. 2	50
Boilers	50
Miscellaneous	200
Seasonal weather adjustment	388
10 percent Meter adjustment	814
Total	8,957

The Commission believes that Penn Fuel has demonstrated a need for an immediate grant of relief pending notice, hearing and decision. We are concerned, however, with several of the figures provided in the breakdown of Standard Steel's uses of natural gas displayed above. Explanatory footnotes at page 15 of the petition describe adjustments to the actual requirements of the plant, i.e., 200 Mcf/day for miscellaneous requirements, 388 Mcf per day for a seasonal weather adjustment, and 814 Mcf/day for a 10 percent meter adjustment. We believe that none of these three above volumes is supported in sufficient detail to include them in a grant of relief at this time. Thus, we shall at this time, reduce this temporary grant of relief by 1402 Mcf/day from the amount sought by petitioners without prejudice to the filing of additional support therefor.

Penn Fuel has appended to its petition 40 affidavits, letters, and telegrams in support of its request.

Under these circumstances, good cause has been shown to authorize and direct TETCO to supply Penn Fuel with sufficient volumes of natural gas so that its affiliate Lewistown can supply Standard Steel with 7,555 Mcf per day, such gas to be placed in TETCO's end-use priority one, pending notice, hearing and decision on the merits of the Penn Fuel petition. Accordingly, we grant Penn Fuel temporary extraordinary relief on the basis of sworn allegations made pending hearing and decision on its petition. All or part of this relief may be subject to payback as provided in 18 CFR 2.78(a) (ii). The relief shall extend for 60 days or until a final decision is issued in this proceeding, whichever first occurs.

The Commission finds: (1) The grant of Penn Fuel's petition on a temporary basis, as hereinafter ordered, is in the public interest and is consistent with the purposes of the Natural Gas Act.

(2) Good cause exists to set the proceedings initiated by Penn Fuel's petition for formal hearing.

The Commission orders: (A) The relief requested by Penn Fuel in its petition filed October 21, 1974, is hereby granted in the amount of 7,555 Mcf/day on a temporary basis pending hearing and decision on the merits of the petition. The grant of relief shall extend for 60 days from the date of this order or until a final decision is issued in this proceding, whichever first occurs.

(B) Pending hearing and decision, all of the relief hereby granted may be subject to payback.

(C) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act, a public hearing shall be held on November 18, 1974, at 10:00 a.m. in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, concerning the Penn Fuel petition.

(D) On or before November 12, 1974, petitioners and all parties supporting or opposing petitioner's request shall serve with the Commission and upon all parties to the proceeding including Commission Staff their testimony and exhibits in support of their position.

(E) As part of its case in chief Penn Fuel and Standard Steel shall provide evidence of any contact between itself and the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) and any assistance provided by FEA.

(F) As part of its case in chief Penn Fuel shall provide evidence regarding availability of gas supply on all of its systems from all sources, intrastate and interstate.

(G) An Administrative Law Judge to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for this purpose, shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding and shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided.

(H) The Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall render his initial decision within 45 days of the close of the record.

(I) Briefs on exceptions must be filed within 10 days of the date on which the Initial Decision is issued. No briefs Opposing Exceptions will be accepted for filing.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH F. PLUMB, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25551 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP75-16-2]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP. (FARMERS CHEMICAL ASSOCIATION, INC.)

Petition for Extraordinary Relief

OCTOBER 18, 1974.

Take notice that on October 16, 1974, Farmers Chemical Association, Inc. (FCA) filed a petition for extraordinary relief, pursuant to § 1.7(b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. FCA requests that the Commission issue an order directing Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) between November 16, 1974 through April 15, 1975, to deliver to North Carolina Natural Gas Corporation (NCNG) for FCA an average of 32,700 Mcf per day of gas for a total of 4,937,700 of gas for the entire 151 day period with daily peak requirements not exceeding 35,000 Mcf per day. FCA further requests that, pending a hearing, the Commission grant interim relief pendente lite.

FCA states that it employs natural gas in the manufacture of nitrogen fertilizer at its Tunis, North Carolina facility, that FCA's gas is entirely provided by NCNG with whom FCA has a firm twenty-year contract, and that NCNG is a resale customer of Transco, which is NCNG's sole supplier.

FCA claims that on October 16, 1974, Transco informed NCNG that it would not be able to provide the winter volumes set forth in its interim settlement agreement filed September 30, 1974. At the volumes now estimated by Transco, the interim plan imperils all of FCA's production during the 1974–75 winter.

In support of its petition, FCA alleges that there is a serious fertilizer shortage in the United States and claims that the area it serves—the Southeast—faces an especially critical situation in obtaining adequate fertilizer supplies. FCA states that it is a major fertilizer supplier to the farmers of the Southeast, accounting for over one-third of the nitrogen fertilizer production in Georgia, South Carolina and North Carolina. FCA alleges that Transco 1974–75 winter curtailments place in extreme peril FCA's continued production with the resulting risk of a dangerous additional shortfall of fertilizer for the 1975 planting season in the Southeast. Accordingly, FCA states that expected curtailments will cause FCA, the farmers served by FCA, and the general public irreparable injury since each day's reduction or cessation of fertilizer production is lost forever.

FCA requests that the Commission order Transco to provide NCNG up to a total of 35,000 Mcf per peak day for the 1974–75 winter period (November 16, 1974 through April 15, 1975) for FCA's fertilizer operations. However, because FCA expects a small amount of lost production time during the 151 day winter period, FCA states that its anticiapted average consumption is estimated at 32,700 Mcf per day, or a total of 4,937,700 Mcf for the entire winter period, and therefore FCA requests relief to this extent. FCA states that it expects to use the purchased gas for the following purposes:

(a) 40 percent would fall into Order No. 467–B Priority 2 as firm industrial requirements for feedstock;

(b) 38 percent would fall into Order No. 467–B Priority 2 as process gas for which alternative fuels are not technically feasible.

(c) 22 percent would fall into Order No. 467–B, Priority 5 as firm industrial requirements for boiler fuel use of more than 3,000 Mcf per day.

FCA claims that because NCNG is expected to be curtailed by Transco at a level in excess of 35 percent in the 1974–75 winter under the interim plan filed on September 30, 1974, NCNG is unable to assure FCA that it will be able to provide FCA's Priority 2 (feedstock and process) needs. Moreover, FCA alleges that none of FCA's Priority 5 needs (boiler fuel) will be provided. FCA states that the North Carolina Utilities Commission will impose a curtailment plan effective November 16, 1974, which is generally similar to the Order 467–B priorities. Under the plan during the 1974–75 winter, at anticipated Transco curtailment levels, NCNG will be unable to provide minimum volumes to maintain existing production levels or even to keep the Tunis plant in operation.

A shortened notice period in this matter may be in the public interest. Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said petition should, on or before November 1, 1974, file with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the proper action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to a proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to particiapte as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The petition is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25541 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74-83 and RP74-20]

UNITED GAS PIPE LINE CO.

Extension of Time

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

On October 24, 1974, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation and Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation filed a motion to extend the date for service of intervenors' testimony fixed by Notice issued Sept. 27, 1974, in the above-designated matter. The motion states that Alabama Gas Corporation, Algonquin Gas Transmission Corporation, Florida Gas Transmission Company, Laclede Gas Company, Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division, Mississippi Valley Gas Company, Mobile Gas Service Corporation, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Public Service Commission of New York, Southern Natural Gas Company, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, The Brooklyn Union Gas Company, United Municipal Distributors Group and United Gas Pipe Line Company and the Commission Staff Counsel, were contacted and do not object to the extension.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that the date for serving intervenors' evidence is extended to November 4, 1974.

MARY B. KIDD,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25547 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Meeting Cancellation Notice

The meeting of the National Power Survey Technical Advisory Committee on Finance, announced for November 4, 1974, is cancelled.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25603 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

COMMUNITY FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Order Approving Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Community Financial Services, Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) of formation of a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Community Bank & Trust Company, Salt Lake City, Utah ("Bank"), a proposed new bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant is a recently organized corporation formed for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through the purchase of Bank's shares. Bank is to be located in the Salt Lake City banking market. Since Applicant has no operating subsidiaries and Bank is a proposed new bank, consummation of the proposed transaction would not have adverse effects on competition in any relevant area. Therefore, the Board concludes that the competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The future prospects of Applicant are entirely dependent upon the financial resources of Bank. Although Bank has no operating history, its proposed capitalization and management appear satisfactory, and Bank's future prospects appear favorable. Applicant will incur some debt

in connection with this proposal, however, it appears that applicant would be able to service the debt while maintaining adequate capital at Bank. Thus, the banking factors are consistent with approval. The entry of a new bank into the Salt Lake City area would provide area residents with an additional source of banking services. Therefore, the considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend some weight to approval of the application. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposal would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this order or (b) later than three months after that date, and (c) Community Bank & Trust Company, Salt Lake City, Utah, shall be opened for business not later than six months after the effective date of this order. Each of the periods described in (b) and (c) may be extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[1] effective October 23, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.74-25469 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

LEVY BANCORP

Order Approving Retention of Thielscher Mortgage and Investment Co.

Levy Bancorp, Oxnard, California, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval, under section 4(c)(8) of the Act and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, to retain all of the voting shares of Thielscher Mortgage and Investment Company, Oxnard, California ("TMIC"), a company that engages in the activities of making or acquiring, and servicing mortgage loans for its own account or for the account of others. Such activities have been determined by the Board to be closely related to banking (12 CFR 225.4(a)(1) and (3)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (39 FR 29054). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)).

Applicant controls one bank, Bank of A. Levy, Oxnard, California ("Bank"), with aggregate deposits of $120 million, representing 0.2 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in California, and thereby ranks as the 33rd largest banking organization in the State.[1]

Applicant acquired TMIC in October of 1970, prior to becoming subject to the provisions of the Bank Holding Company Act. Applicant now seeks to retain TMIC under section 4(c)(8) of the Act.[2] The Board regards the standards under section 4(c)(8) for the retention of a nonbanking company to be the same as the standards for a proposed section 4(c)(8) acquisition. Accordingly, the Board must find that the proposed retention can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency that outweigh possible adverse effects such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices. TMIC was acquired one month after its formation by Applicant. Since TMIC was essentially a de novo company, its acquisition by Applicant had no adverse effects on competition.

At present, TMIC (total assets of approximately $69 thousand) engages primarily in the origination of conventional and second mortgage loans for other lenders through three offices located in Oxnard, Thousand Oaks and Encino, California, respectively. In 1973 TMIC originated a total of $3.5 million in real estate loans in Ventura and Los Angeles Counties, which approximate the relevant geographic markets. Bank also engages in conventional mortgage lending on real estate. However, in Ventura County, TMIC and Bank each hold less than one-half of one percentage point of the total mortgage loans outstanding. In Los Angeles County, TMIC and Bank each hold less than one-tenth of one percentage point of the total mortgage loans outstanding. In view of the relatively insignificant market shares held by TMIC and Bank in the relevant markets, and the size and number of competitors in such markets, it does not appear that the retention of TMIC by Applicant would have any significant adverse effects on existing or potential competition. Moreover, there is no evidence in the record indicating that Applicant's retention of TMIC would result in any undue concentration of resources, unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices, or other adverse effects on the public interest.

Based on the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board has determined, in accordance with the provisions of section 4(c)(8), that consummation of this proposal can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public that outweigh possible adverse effects. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. This determination is subject to the conditions set forth in § 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

The transaction shall be made not later than three months after the effective date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.

By order of the Board of Governors,[3] effective October 23, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.74-25464 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

PITTSBURGH NATIONAL CORPORATION

Order Approving Retention of The Kissell Co.

Pittsburgh National Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval, under section 4(c)(8) of the Act and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, to retain all of the voting shares of The Kissell Company, Springfield, Ohio ("Kissell"),[1] a company that engages in the activities of a mortgage banker, including originating, selling, and servicing mortgage loans for its own account or for the accounts of others. Such activities have been determined by the Board to be closely related to banking (12 CFR 225.4(a)(1) and (3)).

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views on the public interest factors, has been duly published (39 FR 27191). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered all comments received in the light of the public interest factors set forth in section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)).

Applicant, a one-bank holding company, controls the Pittsburgh National Bank ("PNB"), Jeanette, Pennsylvania, the fifth largest commercial bank in Pennsylvania, with deposits of $2.0 billion, representing approximately 5 per cent of total deposits in commercial banks in the State.[2] PNB operates 92 banking offices throughout the Pittsburgh metropolitan area and is the second largest commercial bank in that

[1] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Sheehan, Holland and Wallich. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Bucher.

[1] All financial data are as of December 31, 1973, and reflect all holding company acquisitions and formations approved through August 31, 1974.

[2] Under the provisions of section 4(a)(2) of the Act, Applicant would be required to divest TMIC before January 1, 1981.

[3] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Sheehan, Holland and Wallich. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Bucher.

[1] Such shares were acquired by Applicant's lead bank on November 24, 1969, and were subsequently transferred to Applicant on December 30, 1970. Under the provisions of section 4(a)(2) of the Act, Applicant may not retain the shares of Kissell beyond December 31, 1980, without Board approval.

[2] Banking data are as of December 31, 1973.

area. Applicant engages in mortgage banking activities through PNB and two other direct subsidiaries, Pittsburgh National Mortgage Corporation ("PNMC") with an office in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and Kissell.

Kissell is the nation's tenth largest mortgage banking company based on its mortgage servicing portfolio of approximately $1.3 billion (as of December 31, 1973) and engages in a full range of mortgage banking activities including the origination, sale, and servicing of all types of mortgage loans through 28 offices located in 14 States. Kissell has three wholly-owned subsidiaries which are presently active: Kissell Development Company, Inc., Springfield, Ohio, which services pools of mortgages which it arranged and marketed prior to 1969 and also services a small volume of land contracts which it arranged on property prior to 1968; Kissell Virginia, Inc., Springfield, Ohio, which engages in mortgage banking and brokerage; and National Community Advisors, Inc., Springfield, Ohio, which serves as trustee under deeds of trust given to secure loans made by Kissell in California.[3] Kissell's mortgage servicing portfolio has increased approximately 57 per cent since 1968, the last full year of its operation as an independent mortgage company.

The Board regards the standards under section 4(c)(8) for retention of shares to be the same as the standards for a proposed acquisition. In 1968, the last full year preceding the acquisition, the total originations of Kissell's Pittsburgh office were $300,000 of 1–4 family residential mortgages while Applicant, through PNB,[4] originated $10.9 million of 1–4 family residential mortgages, all from within the Pittsburgh SMSA. Thus, although both PNB and Kissell were originating 1–4 family mortgages in the Pittsburgh SMSA at the time of Applicant's acquisition of Kissell, it does not appear that any significant amount of existing competition was eliminated as a result of the acquisition. While it also appears that Applicant had sufficient resources to enter some of the local 1–4 family residential mortgage markets that were served by Kissell at the time of the acquisition, it does not appear that this acquisition eliminated any significant amount of potential competition with respect to residential mortgages in view of the large number of alternative sources for such mortgages in virtually all of the residential mortgage markets in which Kissell operated.

On a regional or national basis, Kissell originated $26 million of construction loans and $6.5 million of mortgages on income-producing property in 1968 while PNB originated $73 million of construction loans and $1.2 million of mortgages on income-producing property. Also, it appears that Applicant had sufficient resources to compete with Kissell on a larger scale in the markets for construction loans and loans on income-producing property. However, these markets are at least regional in scope and neither Kissell nor PNB had a significant share of the market in 1968. Further, there are a large number of firms competing in such markets and many potential entrants. On this basis, it does not appear that a significant amount of existing or potential competition with respect to these loans was eliminated by this acquisition.

Affiliation with Applicant has provided Kissell with a readily accessible lower cost source of funds, principally through the operations of Applicant's commercial paper subsidiary, Pittsburgh National Discount Corporation. Funds advanced to Kissell by Applicant have increased from $7 million in 1968 to $100 million in 1973. Since affiliating with Applicant, Kissell has expanded its operations into five additional states and has established seven de novo offices. Kissell's servicing of an increasingly large volume of mortgages through a central facility has resulted in operating efficiencies which have enabled Kissell to reduce the servicing fees it charges its investors. On the basis of these and other facts of record, the Board concludes that the benefits to the public resulting from Applicant's acquisition of Kissell outweigh any adverse effects on competition that could have resulted, or did result, from the affiliation. Further, it is the Board's view that approval of Applicant's retention of Kissell can reasonably be expected to continue to produce benefits to the public that would outweigh possible adverse effects.

Kissell's subsidiary, National Community Advisors, Inc., still retains title to Florida real estate acquired for development in 1962. However, Applicant has committed itself to dispose of this property as soon as practicable.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board has determined, in accordance with the provisions of section 4(c)(8), that the application should be approved. This determination is subject to the condition that Applicant dispose of the above-mentioned Florida real estate at the earliest practical time, but in accordance with the provisions of section 4(a)(2) of the Act, no later than December 31, 1980, and keep the Board advised of progress with respect thereto. This determination is further subject to the conditions set forth in § 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder or to prevent evasion thereof.

By order of the Board of Governors,[5] effective October 23, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.74-25465 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

POLO BANCORP, INC.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Polo Bancorp, Inc., Polo, Illinois, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 97.2 percent or more of the voting shares of Polo National Bank, Polo, Illinois. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank, to be received not later than November 5, 1974.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 24, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.74-25468 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

WORCESTER BANCORP, INC.

Acquisition of Bank

Worcester Bancorp, Inc., Worcester, Mass., has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares of The Peoples National Bank of Marlborough, Marlborough, Mass. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than November 25, 1974.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 24, 1974.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.74-25467 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION UNITED STATES AND CANADA

REGULATION OF RICHELIEU RIVER AND LAKE CHAMPLAIN

Public Hearings

The Governments of the United States and Canada last year requested the In-

[3] This subsidiary also holds Florida real estate. Applicant has committed to divest this property at the earliest practical time. In any event, this property may not be retained after December 31, 1980.

[4] PNB was Applicant's only mortgage-granting subsidiary prior to its acquisition of Kissell.

[5] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Sheehan, Holland, and Wallich. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Bucher.

ternational Joint Commission to investigate and report upon the feasibility and desirability of regulating the Richelieu River in the Province of Quebec for the purpose of alleviating extreme water conditions in the River and in Lake Champlain. The Commission's inquiry has entered its final phase with receipt of the Final Report of its International Champlain-Richelieu Engineering Board. Before preparing its own report and recommendations to the two Governments, the International Joint Commission will conduct hearings to obtain the public's views concerning regulation of the Richelieu River and Lake Champlain and its reactions to the Board's Report.

Copies of the Board's Final Report may be obtained without charge from the Secretary of either Section of the Commission. Copies of a French translation of the report are similarly available, without charge. The hearings are international in nature and irrespective of the location in which they are being held, the citizens of both the United States and Canada are invited to attend and participate. At the hearings, information, statements and opinions may be presented orally or in writing. If written statements are to be submitted, it is requested that if possible, thirty (30) copies be provided ten (10) days before the hearing for the Commission's use. Additional copies may be deposited with the Secretaries at the hearings for the use of the news media and others present.

TIMES AND PLACES OF HEARINGS

Tuesday, December 3, 1974, 10:00 a.m., Ramada Inn, 1117 Williston Road, South Burlington, Vermont

Wednesday, December 4, 1974, 10:00 a.m., State University-Plattsburgh Hawkins Hall, Large Auditorium, Beckman Street, Plattsburgh, New York.

Thursday, December 5, 1974, 10:30 a.m., Auditorium, Ecole Polyvalante Chanoine Armand Racicot, 940 Normandie Boulevard, St. Jean, Quebec

W. A. BULLARD,
Secretary, United States Section, International Joint Commission.

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

EDITORIAL NOTE: D. G. CHANCE, *Secretary, Canadian Section, International Joint Commission,* also signed as Canadian representative.

[FR Doc.74-25471 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

INTERNATIONAL DECADE OF OCEAN EXPLORATION PROPOSAL REVIEW PANEL

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the International Decade of Ocean Exploration Proposal Review Panel to be held at 8:30 a.m. on November 20-22, 1974, in Room 704, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of this Panel is to provide advice and recommendations as part of the review and evaluation process for specific proposals and projects.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Panel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Science Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provisions of section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463.

For further information about this Panel, please contact Mr. Feenan Jennings, Head, International Decade of Ocean Exploration, Rm. 710, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202-632-7356.

FRED K. MURAKAMI,
Committee Management Officer.

OCTOBER 18, 1974.

[FR Doc.74-25419 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on October 29, 1974 (44 USC 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

The symbol (x) identifies proposals which appear to raise no significant issues, and are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this Daily List may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget Washington, D.C. 20503, (202-395-4529).

NEW FORMS

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Health Resources Administration: Questionnaire on the Revision of the Standard Certificate of Fetal Death, Form HRANCHS 1003, Single time, Hall (395-4697), State vital statistics officers.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Electrification Administration: Development of Power Requirements Studies, Forms REA 4, 4a, 5, 156, 341, 341a, 342, 343, 344, 345, 733a, 736, 802a Occasional, Lowry (395-3772), Weiner (395-4890), REA electric borrowers.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health: Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program, Form OS# NIH HL 13, Occasional, Reese (395-5630), Hypertensive study participants.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service: Warehouseman's Report of Space Availability, Form MP 140, Occasional, Evinger (395-3648), Processed commodity warehouses.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey:

Alaska Coal Lease Report, Form 9-519, Monthly, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

Application for Financial Assistance in Minerals Exploration Pursuant to Public Law 85-701 Defense Production, Form MME-40, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Certificate of Guardian-in-fact or Person in Loco Parentis, Form G-469, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

Husband's Certification, Form G-346, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

Supplemental Doctor's Statement, Form SI-7, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

Husband's Statement in Connection with Wife's Election to Have her Future Wife's Annuity Reduced, Form G-340, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

Claim for Credit for Military Service (RUI Act), Form UI44, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

Certification Regarding Rights to Unemployment Benefits, Form UI45, Occasional, Evinger (x) (395-3648).

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.74-25643 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

WINNER INDUSTRIES, INC.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Winner Industries, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 25, 1974 through November 3, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25532 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[70-5259]

MIDDLE SOUTH UTILITIES, INC., ET AL.

Post-Effective Amendment Regarding Line of Credit Between Bank and Nonutility Subsidiary Company To Finance Fuel Procurement for Owner Associate Utility Companies

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that Middle South Utilities, Inc. ("Middle South"), (280 Park Avenue, New York, New York, 10017), a registered holding company, its public-utility subsidiary companies, Arkansas Power & Light Company ("Arkansas"), (Ninth and Louisiana Streets, Little Rock, Arkansas, 72203), Louisiana Power & Light Company ("Louisiana"), (142 Delaronde Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70174), Mississippi Power & Light Company ("Mississippi"), (Electric Building, Jackson, Mississippi, 39205), and New Orleans Public Service Inc. ("NOPSI"), (P.O. Box 60340, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70160), (collectively referred to as "Operating Companies"), and System Fuels, Inc. ("SFI"), (P.O. Box 61532, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70161), a jointly-owned nonutility subsidiary company of Operating Companies, have filed with this Commission a post-effective amendment to the declaration in this proceeding pursuant to sections 6(a), 7, and 12(b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") and Rule 45 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the amended declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

By orders in this proceeding dated December 8, 1972, and September 17, 1973 (HCAR Nos. 17797 and 18097), the Commission authorized SFI to issue and sell its unsecured promissory notes, in an aggregate amount not exceeding $25,090,000 outstanding at any one time, to Hibernia National Bank in New Orleans ("Hibernia") from time to time for a period of two years from the date of a loan agreement (December 8, 1972) among Hibernia, SFI, Operating Companies, and Middle South. Under the loan agreement, nine other banks are participating to the extent of $21,590,000 or 86.1 percent of the borrowings. It is stated that as of October 1, 1974, SFI had issued notes under the line of credit in the aggregate principal amount of $25,090,000, the proceeds of which were applied by SFI toward the purchase of oil for use as fuel by Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, NOPSI, and Arkansas-Missouri Power Company.

It is now proposed that the period of the borrowings be extended for two years so that notes issued pursuant to the loan agreement will be payable on or before December 8, 1976. The companies state that section 6(b) of the loan agreement, relating to the restriction on SFI's repayment of any existing or future indebtedness of SFI to Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, NOPSI, or all of them, will also be amended to except from such restriction repayment by SFI of advances made by said companies to SFI pursuant to a certain Advance Agreement, dated as of September 9, 1974, among the companies. All the other terms and conditions related to the borrowings remain the same.

No State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 20, 1974, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as amended or as it may be further amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25530 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[70-5566]

WISCONSIN GAS CO.

Competitive Bidding; Exceptions in Issue and Sale of Notes to Banks, Borrowings from Trust Departments

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that Wisconsin Gas Company ("Wisconsin Gas") (626 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53201), a gas subsidiary company of American Natural Gas Company, a registered holding company, has filed an application-declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6 and 7 of the Act and rules 42(b)(2), 50(a)(2), 50(a)(5) and 70(b)(2) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Wisconsin Gas proposes to borrow from commercial banks on its promissory notes ("Notes") under lines of credit aggregating $28 million; to borrow from the Trust Department of M&I Marshall and Ilsley Bank ("Trust Department") up to $5 million; or, to issue and sell up to $10 million of its commercial paper through a dealer. The total of all such borrowings will not exceed $28 million at any one time.

Accordingly, Wisconsin Gas has arranged lines of credit with five commercial banks providing for the borrowing of up to $28 million on its Notes maturing November 27, 1975. The banks and their respective commitments are as follows:

Name of bank:	*Amount of commitment*
First Wisconsin National Bank of Milwaukee, Wis.	$12,000,000
M&I Marshall & Ilsley Bank Milwaukee, Wis.	6,000,000
First National City Bank, New York	4,000,000
Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, New York	3,000,000
Marine National Exchange Bank Milwaukee, Wis.	3,000,000
Total	$28,000,000

Each Note will be dated as of the date of issuance and will bear interest at the prime rate in effect at the lending bank on the date of each borrowing, which interest rate will be adjusted to the prime rate effective with any change in said rate. Interest shall be payable at the end of each 90-day period subsequent to the date of the first borrowing and at maturity. There is no commitment fee, closing or other related charges payable to the banks, and the Notes may be prepaid at any time without penalty. In connection with the lines of credit, Wisconsin Gas is required to maintain compensating balances with the banks, the effect of which is to increase the effective interest cost by approximately 2¼ percent above the prevailing prime rate of 11¾ percent.

Wisconsin Gas also proposes that it may, in lieu of the issuance and sale of promissory notes to the above listed banks, issue and sell its promissory notes, to the extent funds are available, up to a maximum of $5 million outstanding at any one time to the Trust Department of the M&I Marshall & Ilsley Bank, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. It is stated that the Trust Department has a continuous flow of funds from its internal operations and follows a practice of pooling these funds for loans to various corporations.

The interest rate on the proposed notes with the Trust Department will be equivalent to the highest rate paid daily by General Telephone & Electronics Corporation on its commercial paper with a maturity of 180 days. Wisconsin Gas will be notified by the Trust Department of any change in the interest rate. The notes issued from January 1 to June 30 will mature July 1 of the same year and those issued July 1 to December 31 will mature January 1 of the following year. The Trust Department

will have the right, however, to demand payment at any time of all or any part of the principal of the note or notes outstanding. Wisconsin Gas will have the right to prepay the notes at any time without penalty.

Wisconsin Gas anticipates, under the proposed arrangement with the Trust Department, that it will be able to borrow money at a lower cost than borrowing from banks under lines of credit. It states as an example, that borrowings from the Trust Department during the period from January, 1973 to October, 1974 have been at interest rates ranging from 5½ percent to 9⅜ percent, whereas the prime rate ranged from 6 percent to 12 percent.

Wisconsin Gas further proposes, in lieu of the issuance and sale of its Notes to the above-listed banks, to issue and sell from time to time, commercial paper up to a maximum of $10 million outstanding at any one time to Goldman, Sachs & Co., New York, New York, a dealer in commercial paper. The commercial paper will have varying maturities of not more than 270 days after the date of issue and will be issued and sold in varying denominations of not less than $50,000 and not more than $2 million directly to Goldman, Sachs & Co. at a discount which will not be in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the date of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and like maturities. Wisconsin Gas proposes to sell commercial paper only so long as the discount rate or the effective interest cost for such commercial paper does not exceed the equivalent cost of borrowings from commercial banks (after taking into consideration compensating balances) on the date of sale, except for commercial paper of maturity not exceeding 90 days issued to refund outstanding commercial paper, if in the judgment of Wisconsin Gas, it would be impractical to borrow from commercial banks to refund such outstanding commercial paper.

Goldman, Sachs & Co., as principal, will reoffer such commercial paper at a discount not to exceed ⅛ of 1 percent per annum less than the prevailing discount rate to Wisconsin Gas. Such commercial paper will be reoffered to not more than 200 identified and designated customers in a list (non-public) prepared in advance by Goldman, Sachs & Co., and no additions will be made to the customer lists without approval of the Commission. It is anticipated that the commercial paper will be held by customers to maturity; however, if any commercial paper is repurchased by Goldman, Sachs & Co., such paper will be reoffered to others in the group of 200 customers. No commission or fee will be payable by Wisconsin Gas in connection with the issue and sale of such commercial paper notes.

Wisconsin Gas intends to use the amounts borrowed to repay notes outstanding on November 28, 1974 (estimated to aggregate $25 million) and to partially finance its 1974 construction program (estimated at $13,308,000). It is anticipated that funds required to retire the notes and commercial paper will be obtained from long-term financing and funds generated internally.

Wisconsin Gas also requests authority to file certificates of notification required by rule 24 on a quarterly basis with respect to the proposed transactions with the Trust Department and Goldman, Sachs & Company. Wisconsin Gas submits that the issuance of its commercial paper should be excepted from the competitive bidding requirements of rule 50 by reason of clause (a) (5) thereof since it is not practical to invite competitive bids for commercial paper.

Fees and expenses incident to the proposed transactions are estimated at $3,900, including counsel fees of $1,400. It is stated that no approval or consent of any regulatory body other than this Commission is necessary for the consummation of the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 21, 1974, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant-declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25531 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

BBI, INC.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

The common stock of BBI, Inc., being traded on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of BBI, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

THEREFORE, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 26, 1974 through November 4, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25522 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

CANADIAN JAVELIN, LTD.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

The common stock of Canadian Javelin, Ltd. being traded on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Canadian Javelin, Ltd. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to sections 19(a)(4) and 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 25, 1974 through November 3, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25526 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[70-5564]

DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT CO.

Proposal to Issue and Sell Common Stock Through Dividend Reinvestment and Common Share Purchase Plan

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that Delmarva Power & Light Company ("Delmarva"), (800 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19899), a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6 and 7 thereof as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a

complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Delmarva proposes to issue and sell 200,000 shares of its common stock, par value $3.37½ per share, to the holders of record of its outstanding common stock. Such new shares will be issued through a voluntary dividend reinvestment and common share purchase plan ("Plan"), under which shareholders may elect to invest regular cash dividends and/or optional cash payments of between $25 and $3,000 per quarter in such additional shares. It is stated that the purchase price will be the average of the highest and lowest prices on the New York Stock Exchange on the pricing date, which will normally be the dividend payment date. The net proceeds from the sale of shares pursuant to the Plan, assuming all shares are sold at $8.50 per share, will amount to $1,700,000 and will be applied to Delmarva's construction program.

The Plan will be administered by BT Bradford Stock Service, Inc., an affiliate of Bankers Trust Company of New York ("Bank"), which will serve as agent for the Plan participants. All shares purchased will be held for the exclusive benefit of the Plan participants. All record holders of Delmarva's outstanding common stock are eligible to participate in the Plan and may join by executing an authorization form and returning it to the Bank. A participant may withdraw from the Plan at any time upon giving written notice to the Bank. Upon withdrawal, certificates for whole shares credited to a participant's account will be issued and a cash payment will be made for any fractional shares so credited.

It is stated that all costs for administering the Plan will be paid by Delmarva and that there will be no brokerage fees when shares are purchased under the Plan. However, if a participant withdrawing from the Plan requests the Bank to sell all whole and fractional shares credited to his account, there will be brokerage commissions and transfer taxes.

The Bank will not vote any shares held by it under the Plan. Participants will receive a single proxy with respect to full shares which they own of record or which are credited to their accounts under the Plan.

The fees, commissions, and expenses incurred or to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction will be in an aggregate amount of $40,500, which includes printing and engraving costs of $25,200 and legal fees of $5,000. It is stated that The Public Service Commission of Delaware has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 18, 1974, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules under the Act as provided in rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25529 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

EQUITY FUNDING CORP. AMERICA

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, warrants to purchase the stock, 9½ percent debentures due 1990, 5½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1991, and all other securities of Equity Funding Corporation of America being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 29, 1974 through November 7, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25525 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[70-5565]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO.; AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER CO., INC.

Sale of Utility Assets

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

Notice is hereby given that American Electric Power Company, Inc. ("AEP"), (2 Broadway, New York, N.Y., 10004), a registered holding company, and its electric utility subsidiary company, Indiana & Michigan Electric Company ("I&M"), (2101 Spy Run Ave., Fort Wayne, Ind., 46801), have filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and rule 44 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

I&M proposes to sell three mobile gas turbine generating units ("the units"), presently located at I&M's Breed Plant, Sullivan, Indiana, to Pacific Gas & Electric Company ("PG&E"), which is in no manner affiliated with either I&M or AEP. The units proposed to be sold consist of three 17,000 kilowatt Pratt & Whitney Mobile Power Pac gas turbine generating units which were acquired and installed by I&M in 1968. After concluding that these units should not be retained as long-term installations, I&M has actively sought to sell these units.

PG&E has offered to purchase, and I&M has agreed to sell, all three units for a total cash consideration of $3,000,000, subject to all regulatory approval. The purchase price will constitute payment for the three gas turbine generating units and all associated equipment and spare parts as well as the trailers upon which the units are mounted (but not including the tractors and fuel supply facilities). The three units to be sold had a total original cost to I&M of $4,637,378 and had a net depreciated value, as of September 1, 1974, on I&M's books of account of $2,887,509.

The fees and expenses to be incurred by I&M and AEP in connection with the proposed transaction are estimated at $128,000, including a brokerage fee of $125,000. It is stated that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than November 18, 1974, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in rules

20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25528, Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Industries International, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

THEREFORE, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 29, 1974 through November 7, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25524 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

NICOA CORP.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Nicoa Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 26, 1974 through November 4, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25534 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

ROYAL PROPERTIES INC.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 24, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Royal Properties Incorporated being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 25, 1974 through November 3, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25527 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

WESTGATE CALIFORNIA CORP.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock (class A and B), the cumulative preferred stock (5 percent and 6 percent), the 6 percent subordinated debentures due 1979 and the 6½ percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1987 being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 29, 1974 through November 7, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25523 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

ZENITH DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Suspension of Trading

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Zenith Development Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from October 29, 1974 through November 7, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.74-25533 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License Application No. 02/02-5309]

BANECO VENTURE CAPITAL CORPORATION

Application for License as a Small Business Investment Company

An application for a license to operate as a small business investment company under the provisions of section 301(d) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), has been filed by Baneco Venture Capital Corporation (applicant) with the Small Business Administration (SBA) pursuant to 13 CFR 107.102 (1974).

The officers, directors and stockholders of the applicant are as follows:

Jose Luis Garcia, No. 288 Jesus T. Pinero Avenue, Hyde Park, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00927, Chairman of the Board.

Jobino de Jesus, E-2 Santiam Street, Town Park, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00924, President, Director.

Enrique Vila, Fourth Street, No. 20, Villas de Parana, Cupey, Puerto Rico 00926, Treasurer.

Juan E. Rodriquex-Diaz, Calle 6 F-3, Parkside, Caparra Heights, Puerto Rico 00920, Secretary, Director.

Luis A. Martinez-Almodovar, Condominium Montecielo, Penthouse Norte, No. 831, Calle Marti, Miramar, Santurce, Puerto Rico 00907, Director.

Luis Benitez-Carle, Penthouse C-61, Pine Grove Condominium, Isla Verde, Puerto Rico 00913, Director.

William de la Cruz, No. 272, Calle 19 Extension, San Agustin, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00923, Director.

Robert Kogan, No. 26, Washington Street, Santurce, Puerto Rico 00908, Director.

Juan Martinez-Echevarria, 911 Fordham Street, University Gardens, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00927, Director.

Julio Cesar Mirabal, No. 113, H. P. Hanting Street, Garden Hills, Bayamon, Puerto Rico 00619, Director.

Oscar Prieto Morgado, No. 781, Harvard Street, University Gardens, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00927, Director.

Jorge Colon Nevares, E-1, Garfield Street, Parkville, Guaynabo, Puerto Rico 00657, Director.

Sebastian Maso Presas, No. 815, Calle Jose Marti, Miramar, Santurce, Puerto Rico 00907, Director.

Joaquin Rodriquez, Jr., No. 517, Benavente Street, Purple Tree, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00926, Director.

Ricardo Antonio Viejo, C-13 Parklane, Garden Hills, Bayamon, Puerto Rico 00619, Director.

Banco Economias, No. 221, Ponce De Leon Avenue, GPO Box BV, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936, 40% Ownership.

Union Industrial Bancaria, S.A. (BANKUNION), c/o Sebastian Maso Presas, No. 815, Calle Jose Marti, Miramar, Santruce, Puerto Rico 00907, 40% Ownership.

First Diversified Investment Corporation, Suite 1501, Banco Economias Building, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918, 20% Ownership.

The applicant, a Puerto Rico Corporation with its principal place of business located at Suite 1504, Banco Economias Building, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918, will begin operations with $500,000 of paid-in capital and paid-in surplus, derived from the sale of 200,000 shares of

common stock to Banco Economias, 200,000 shares of common stock to BANKUNION and 100,000 shares of common stock to First Diversified Investment Corporation.

Banco Economias is a large publicly owned bank having its principal office located in Hato Rey, Puerto Rico. BANKUNION is a large publicly owned industrial bank having its principal office located in Madrid, Spain. First Diversified Investment Corporation is a venture capital and management consulting firm located in Hato Rey, Puerto Rico.

As a small business investment company under section 301(d) of the Act, the applicant has been organized and chartered solely for the purpose of performing the functions and conducting the activities contemplated under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended from time to time, and will provide assistance solely to small business concerns which will contribute to a well-balanced national economy by facilitating ownership in such concerns by persons whose participation in the free enterprise system is hampered because of social or economic disadvantages.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the applicant include the general business reputation and character of the proposed management, and the probability of successful operation of the applicant under their management, including adequate profitability and financial soundness, in accordance with the Small Business Investment Act and SBA rules and regulations.

Any person may, on or before November 18, 1974, submit to SBA written comments on the proposed applicant. Any such communication should be addressed to the Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.74-25456 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

SPOKANE DISTRICT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Meeting

The Small Business Administration Spokane District Advisory Council will meet at 10 a.m., Pacific Standard Time, Friday, November 22, 1974, at the Black Angus Motel in Walla Walla, Washington, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the Small Business Administration, and others attending. For further information, call or write William S. Schumacher, Small Business Administration, 651 U.S. Courthouse, P.O. Box 2167, Spokane, Washington 99210, (509) 456-3777.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

JOHN JAMESON,
Director, Office of Advisory Councils, Small Business Administration.

[FR Doc.74-25455 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Office of Hearings

[Notice No. 621]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

OCTOBER 29, 1974.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

No. 35831, Container Interchange Contracts—Petition for Investigation; No. 35835, American Export Lines, Inc., et al. v. The Alabama Great Southern Railroad Company, et al, and No. 35843, Maritime Administration, United States Department of Commerce v. Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company, et al, now being assigned for continued hearing on November 12, 1974, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

FF 426 Sub 1, Express Forwarding and Storage Co., Inc., now being assigned for continued hearing on November 19, 1974, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 130228, Moreno Tours, Inc., now being assigned hearing December 5, 1974, at New York, N.Y.

MC 114273 Sub 164, Cedar Rapids Steel Transportation, Inc., now assigned November 1, 1974, at Omaha, Nebr., is postponed to December 4, 1974, at Washington, D.C.

MC 127042 Sub 145, Hagen, Inc., now assigned November 7, 1974, at Denver, Colo., is cancelled and the application is dismissed.

W-1275, Hovertransport, Inc., now assigned December 5, 1974, at New Haven, Conn., is cancelled, and transferred to Modified Procedure.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25514 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Fourth Section Application for Relief

OCTOBER 29, 1974.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before November 18, 1974.

FSA No. 42892—*Rubber to Pedricktown, N.J.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-495), for interested rail carrier. Rates on rubber, in carloads, as described in the application, from points in Louisiana and Texas, to Pedricktown, N.J.

Grounds for relief—Rate relationship and water competition.

Tariff — Supplement 98 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 13-E, I.C.C. No. 4982. Rates are published to become effective on November 28, 1974.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25516 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 86]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

OCTOBER 25, 1974.

The following applications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by Special Rule 1100.247[1] of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with § 247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of § 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that

[1] Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

No. MC 531 (Sub-No. 298), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: YOUNGER BROTHERS, INC., 4904 Griggs Road, P.O. Box 14048, Houston, Tex. 77021. Applicant's representative: Wray E. Hughes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Mulberry, Fla., to points in Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Mississippi, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Alabama, and Louisiana.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Houston, Tex.

No. MC 531 (Sub-No. 299), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: YOUNGER BROTHERS, INC., 4904 Griggs Road, P.O. Box 14048, Houston, Tex. 77021. Applicant's representative: Wray E. Hughes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Harris County, Tex., to points in the United States (except Alabama, Alaska, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Mississippi).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Houston, Tex.

No. MC 730 (Sub-No. 373), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: PACIFIC INTERMOUNTAIN EXPRESS CO., a Corporation, 1417 Clay Street, P.O. Box 958, Oakland, Calif. 94604. Applicant's representative: Alfred G. Krebs (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving Des Moines, Iowa, as an off-route point in connection with carrier's authorized regular route operations.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Applicant states that it has been serving the Des Moines Commercial Zone since January, 1973 under an interpretation of the Commission's Superhighway Rules Title 49 CFR 1042. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa, San Francisco, Calif., and Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 1824 (Sub-No. 69), filed September 19, 1974. Applicant: PRESTON TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 151 Easton Boulevard, Preston, Md. 21655. Applicant's representative: Thomas M. Auchincloss, Jr., 700 World Center Building, 918 16th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), Between Hagerstown, Md., and Pittsburgh, Pa., serving all intermediate and off-route points in Maryland west of Interstate Highway 81, and intermediate points on Pennsylvania Highway 51 between Uniontown and Pittsburgh, Pa.: From Hagerstown over U.S. Highway 40 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence over Interstate Highway 70 to Hancock, Md., thence over U.S. Highway 40 to Uniontown, Pa., thence over Pennsylvania State Highway 51, to Pittsburgh, and return.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 2368 (Sub-No. 45), filed September 9, 1974. Applicant: BRALLEY-WILLETT TANK LINES, INC., PO Box 495, 2212 Deepwater Terminal Road, Richmond, Va. 23204. Applicant's representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Dry plastic granules and pellets*, in bulk, from Hopewell, Va., to points on and east of a line beginning at the Gulf of Mexico following the Mississippi River to its intersection with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn., thence northerly along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, and (2) *chemicals*, in bulk, from Hopewell, Va., to points in North Carolina and South Carolina.

NOTE.—Applicant states that the requested authority in (2) above can be tacked with its existing authority (A) in Sub-No. 22 at Hopewell, Va. to provide a through service from points in Virginia, to points in North Carolina and South Carolina; and (B) tacking in Sub-No. 22 with pending authority in Sub-No. 44 and the authority requested herein at Richmond, Va., to provide a through service from Louisville, Ky., to points in North Carolina and South Carolina. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Richmond, Va.

No. MC 3468 (Sub-No. 165), filed October 9, 1974. Applicant: F. J. BOUTELL DRIVEAWAY CO., INC., 705 South Dort Highway, P.O. Box 308, Flint, Mich. 48501. Applicant's representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 11th St. NW. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New automobiles, trucks, and chassis*, in initial movements, by truckaway, from Detroit, Mich., to points in Massachusetts, restricted to traffic originating at General Motors Corporation plants.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 8535 (Sub-No. 51), filed October 7, 1974. Applicant: GEORGE TRANSFER AND RIGGING COMPANY, INCORPORATED, Interstate 83 at Route 439, Parkton, Md. 21120. Applicant's representative: John Guandolo, 1000 16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ferroalloys and silicon metal* (except commodities in bulk), from Brilliant and Philo, Ohio, to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 14702 (Sub-No. 65), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: OHIO FAST FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box 808, Warren, Ohio 44482. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Beery, 8 East Broad St., Ninth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles*, from Centerville, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, Kentucky, Maryland, Delaware, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *equipment, materials, and supplies*, used in the manufacture of iron and steel, and iron and steel articles, from the destination points named in (1) above, to Centerville, Iowa.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 19227 (Sub-No. 204), filed September 19, 1974. Applicant: LEONARD BROS. TRUCKING CO., INC., 2515 NW. 20th Street, Miami, Fla. 33152. Applicant's representative: Bernard H. English, 6270 Firth Road, Fort Worth, Tex. 76116. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron and steel articles*, from the plant site and storage facilities of Chaparral Steel Co., Inc., in Ellis County, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Tennessee; and (2) *scrap iron and steel*, including scrap automobile bodies, from points in Arkansas, Colorado, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and

Tennessee, to the plant site and storage facilities of Chaparral Steel Co., in Ellis County, Tex.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 22229 (Sub-No. 90) (Correction), filed September 3, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 10, 1974, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: TERMINAL TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 248 Chester Avenue SE., Atlanta, Ga. 30316. Applicant's representative: Raoph B. Matthews (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), Between Louisville, Ky., and St. Louis, Mo.: From Louisville, Ky., over U.S. Highway 150 to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence over U.S. Highway 50 to St. Louis, Mo., and return over the same route, as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with carrier's authorized regular route operations, serving no intermediate points.

NOTE.—The purpose of this correction is to show that the proper route sought is from Louisville, Ky., over U.S. Highway 150 (instead of U.S. Highway 50), to junction U.S. Highway 50, thence over U.S. Highway 50 to St. Louis, Mo. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 149), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Applicant's representative: Thomas Harper, P.O. Box 43, Kelley Bldg., Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wooden and wirebound box and crating material*, from the plantsite of the Chicago Mill and Lumber Company located at West Helena, Ark., to points in Ohio.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn., or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 29910 (Sub-No. 150), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: ARKANSAS-BEST FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 301 South 11th Street, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Applicant's representative: Thomas Harper, P.O. Box 43, Kelley Building, Fort Smith, Ark. 72901. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flattened car bodies and scrap metal* for recycling, from points in Arkansas, Missouri, and Tennessee, to the Chicago, Ill., Commercial Zone, including Gary and Hammond, Ind.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 30237 (Sub-No. 27), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: YEATTS TRANSFER COMPANY, a Corporation, Box 666, Altavista, Va. 24517. Applicant's representative: J. J. Eller, Jr., Box 551, Altavista, Va. 24517. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of furniture, from points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Delaware, New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, and the District of Columbia, to the plantsites of The Lane Company, Inc., located at Altavista and Rocky Mount, Va., Thomasville Furniture Industries, located at Appomattox, Va., Keller Manufacturing Co., located at Culpeper, Va., Henkel-Harris, Co., Inc., located at Winchester, Va., and Bridgewater Manufacturing Co., located at Bridgewater, Va.; and (2) *Refused, returned, or rejected shipments* of new furniture, from points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Delaware, New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, and the District of Columbia, to Altavista, Rocky Mount, Culpeper, Winchester, and Bridgewater, Va.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 31809 (Sub-No. 10), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: CLAY'S TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 1131, Rocky Mount, N.C. 27801. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., Pennsylvania Ave. & 13th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic articles*, from the plantsite of Evans Products Company at or near Chesapeake, Va., to points in Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 35628 (Sub-No. 363), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: INTERSTATE MOTOR FREIGHT SYSTEM, 134 Granville SW., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Applicant's representative: Leonard D. Verdier, Jr., 900 Old Kent Building, Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving the plantsite of General Motors Corporation, Fisher Body Division, located at Tecumseh, Mich., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's regular route operations between Toledo, Ohio and Lansing, Mich.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Detroit or Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 36509 (Sub-No. 24) (amendment), filed August 16, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of September 19, 1974, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: LOOMIS ARMORED CAR SERVICE, INC., 55 Battery Street, Seattle, Wash. 98121. Applicant's representative: George H. Hart, 1100 IBM Building, Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Special nuclear material*, between Richland, Wash., and Hanford Works U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Reservation, at or near Richland, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the Seattle, Wash., Commercial Zone, restricted to shipment having an immediate prior or subsequent interstate movement, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Edlow International Company of Washington, D.C., and the Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to include the Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company as a contracting shipper. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 42261 (Sub-No. 117), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: LANGER TRANSPORT CORP., Box 305, Jersey City, N.J. 07303. Applicant's representative: W. C. Mitchell, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic pellets and dry chemicals*, in bulk, from Selkirk, N.Y., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 43593 (Sub-No. 5), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: JUNK'S HAULING SERVICE, INC., 2750 Grant Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa. 19114. Applicant's representative: Alan Kahn, 1920 Two Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, dangerous explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), between the facilities of F. W. Woolworth Co. located in Denver, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, Yonkers, New Rochelle, Garden City, Freeport, and New York, N.Y., Baltimore, Md., and points in Delaware, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 48213 (Sub-No. 39), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: C. E. LIZZA, INC., P.O. Box 447, Latrobe, Pa. 15601. Applicant's representative: William A. Gray, 2310 Grant Building, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Synthetic fiber yarn and materials, equipment and supplies* used or useful in the manufacture and distribution thereof, between Painesville, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Shelbyville, Tenn., under a continuing contract or contracts with IRC Fibers Co., Inc.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 61403 (Sub-No. 229), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: THE MASON AND DIXON TANK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 969, Highway 11W, Kingsport, Tenn. Applicant's representative: W. C. Mitchell, Suite 1201, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Methyl ethyl ketone*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Smithfield, Ky., to Brownwood (Brown County), Tex., and (2) *Scrap methyl ethyl ketone*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Brownwood (Brown County), Tex., to Smithfield, Ky.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 325), filed September 24, 1974. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 697, R.R. 3, Jeffersonville, Ind. 47130. Applicant's representative: E. A. DeVine, P.O. Box 737, 101 First Avenue, Moline, Ill. 61256. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal pipe, metal sheets*, and *fittings and supplies* used in the installation thereof, from the plantsite of Hall Signs, Inc., at or near Bloomington, Ind., to points in Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Maine Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, and Vermont; and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of the commodities in (1) above (except commodities in bulk), from points in Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, and Vermont, to the plantsite of Hall Signs, Inc., at or near Bloomington, Ind.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 326), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 697, R.R. 3, Jeffersonville, Ind. 47130. Applicant's representative: E. A. DeVine, P.O. Box 737, 101 First Avenue, Moline, Ill. 61265. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Burial crypts*, from points in Winnebago County, Wis., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 328), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 697, R.R. 3, Jeffersonville, Ind. 47130. Applicant's representative: E. A. DeVine, P.O. Box 737, 101 First Avenue, Moline, Ill. 61265. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Refrigerated dressing, spices, and breakfast cereals*, from points in Cook County, Ill., to points in Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, and Florida.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 63973 (Sub-No. 15), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: KALER FREIGHT LINES, INC., 504 12th Street SE., Mason City, Iowa 50401. Applicant's representative: Gerald Kaler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), serving Lake Mills, Iowa, as an off-route point in connection with carrier's authorized regular route operations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 64600 (Sub-No 44), filed September 16, 1974. Applicant: WILSON TRUCKING CORPORATION, P.O. Drawer 2, Fishersville, Va. 22939. Applicant's representative: William J. Jones (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) Between Lynchburg, Va., and Riverville, Va., serving all intermediate points: (a) From Lynchburg, over U.S. Highway 29 to junction U.S. Highway 60, thence over U.S. Highway 60 to junction Virginia Highway 600, thence over Virginia Highway 600 to Riverville and return over the same route; and (b) From Lynchburg, over U.S. Highway 29 to junction Virginia Highway 622, thence over Virginia Highway 622 to junction Virginia Highway 600, thence over Virginia Highway 600 to Riverville and return over the same route; (2) Between George's Tavern, Va., and Cartersville, Va., serving all intermediate points: From George's Tavern over Virginia Highway 45 to junction Virginia Highway 649, thence over Virginia Highway 649 to Cartersville and return over the same route; and (3) Between Mount Jackson, Va., and Orkeny Springs, Va., serving all intermediate points: From Mount Jackson over Virginia Highway 263 to Orkeny Springs and return over the same route.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Richmond, Va.

No. MC 64932 (Sub-No. 538), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: ROGERS CARTAGE CO., a corporation, 10735 South Cicero Avenue, Oak Lawn, Ill. 60453. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Corn products, and blends containing corn products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Indianapolis, Ind., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 73165 (Sub-No. 355), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: EAGLE MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 11086, 830 North 33rd Street, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Carl U. Hurst (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Refined copper and materials and supplies* used in the manufacturing and distribution of refined copper, between Amarillo, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Houston, Tex.

No. MC 74321 (Sub-No. 105), filed September 23, 1974. Applicant: B. F. WALKER, INC., P.O. Box 17–B, Denver, Colo. 80217. Applicant's representative: Richard P. Kissinger (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections; (2) *building sections and building panels;* (3) *parts and accessories* used in the installation thereof; and (4) *metal prefabricated structural components and panels;* from Portland, Tenn., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Memphis, Tenn., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 76065 (Sub-No. 25), filed September 6, 1974. Applicant: EHRLICH-NEWMARK TRUCKING CO., INC., 505 West 37th Street, New York, N.Y. 10018. Applicant's representative: Michael R. Werner, 2 West 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel*, on hangers and in containers, and *materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the manu-

facture of wearing apparel (except commodities in bulk), between New York, N.Y., and points in New Jersey, on the one hand, and, on the other, Bridgewater, Christianburg, Clifton Forge, Dublin, Elkton, Fairfield, Harrisonburg, Independence, Manassas, Parnassas, Staunton, Suffolk, and Wytheville, Va.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 82918 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 18, 1974. Applicant: E & M TRUCKING INC., doing business as DELLA ROSA TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 150, Martinez, Calif. 94533. Applicant's representative: Reginald G. Hearn, 100 Calif. St., San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vanadium catalyst*, in bulk, package, or drums and *flued coke*, between points in Contra Costa, Alameda, San Francisco, Santa Clara, and San Joaquin Counties, Calif.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Martinez or San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 83835 (Sub-No. 119), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: WALES TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 6186, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: James W. Hightower, 136 Wynnerwood Professional Building, Dallas, Tex. 75224. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fabricated metals products;* and (2) *pallets*, from Jonesburg, Mo., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 85788 (Sub-No. 9), filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: JACOBSEN TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 47, Fairmont, Nebr. 68354. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, and meat by-products* (except hides and commodities in bulk), as described in Section A of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, (1) from Lexington, Nebr., to points in Illinois (except Rockford and Chicago), Ohio, Michigan, and Wisconsin; and (2) from Minden, Nebr., to points in Tennessee, restricted to traffic originating at the named origin points and destined to the named destination states.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 129720, and Sub 3 thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Omaha or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. 915), filed September 16, 1974. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 1940 Monroe Drive, P.O. Box 1636, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Applicant's representative: Jerome F. Marks (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs, meats, and meat products* (except hides and commodities in bulk), from points in Colorado, to points in the United States in and east of Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, and Louisiana (except Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 99780 (Sub-No. 46), filed September 20, 1974. Applicant: CHIPPER CARTAGE COMPANY, INC., 1327 NE. Bond Street, Peoria, Ill. 61603. Applicant's representative: John R. Zang, P.O. Box 1345, Peoria, Ill. 61601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such mechandise* as is dealt in by wholesale and retail grocers, (1) from the plantsite and storage facilities of Lever Brothers Co. located at Hammond, Ind., and Gary, Ind., to points in Illinois, and that portion of Iowa bounded on the west by U.S. Route 218 including all of Waterloo and all of Cedar Rapids, Iowa, and the state line on the north, south, and east and Kenosha, Milwaukee, Racine, and Waukesha Counties, Wis., and (2) from the plantsite and storage facilities of Lever Brothers Co., located at Chicago, Ill., and Chicago Commercial Zone to that portion of Iowa bounded on the west by U.S. Route 218 including all of Waterloo, and all of Cedar Rapids, Iowa, and Kenosha, Milwaukee, Racine, and Waukesha Counties, Wis., restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above named origins and destined to the above named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 103926 (Sub-No. 43), filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: W. T. MAYFIELD SONS TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 1560 Bankhead Highway NW., P.O. Box 947, Mableton, Ga. 30059. Applicant's representative: Charles Ephraim, Suite 600, 1250 Connecticut Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Air conditioning, air filtration, refrigeration and humidifying equipment*, the transportation of which, because of size or weight, requires the use of special equipment or handling, from Winston-Salem, Rockingham, and Greensboro, N.C., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Greensboro or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 103926 (Sub-No. 44), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: W. T. MAYFIELD SONS TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 1560 Bankhead Highway NW., P.O. Box 947, Mableton, Ga. 30059. Applicant's representative: K. Edward Wolcott, Suite 1600, First Federal Bldg., Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prestressed and precast concrete products*, from points in Bibb County, Ga., to points in Alabama, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta or Macon, Ga.

No. MC 104430 (Sub-No. 41) (Clarification), filed August 28, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 10, 1974, and republished as clarified this issue. Applicant: CAPITAL TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 408, Highway 24 West, McComb, Miss. 39648. Applicant's representative: Donald B. Morrison, 717 Deposit Guaranty Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum lubricating oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicle, from New Orleans and Good Hope, La., to points in Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Arkansas.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate the applicant's representative which was inadvertently omitted in the previous publication. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 105269 (Sub-No. 59), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: GRAFF TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 2110 Lake Street, P.O. 986, Kalamazoo, Mich. 49005. Applicant's representative: Tom Woodworth (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper, paper products, paper mill products, and paper mill materials and supplies*, between Kalamazoo, Mich., and points in Kentucky.

NOTE.—Applicant states that it intends to tack the requested authority at Kalamazoo, Mich., to provide service between points in Wisconsin and Kentucky. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 105501 (Sub-No. 12), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: TERMINAL WAREHOUSE COMPANY, a Corporation, 498 1st St. NW., P.O. 2767, New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Joseph J. Dudley, W–1260 First National Bank Bldg., Saint Paul, Minn. 55101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Iron and steel articles and material and supplies*, used in the fabrication of iron and steel articles, between St. Paul, Minn. (plantsite of Paper Calmenson & Co.), and La Crosse, Wis. (plantsite of Paper Calmenson & Co.); (2) *fabrications of iron and steel, sheet and plate,*

from La Crosse, Wis. (plantsite of Paper Calmenson & Co.), to Fargo, N. Dak.; and (3) *rejected iron and steel articles*, from Fargo, N. Dak., to La Crosse, Wis. (plantsite of Paper Calmenson & Co.), under contract with Paper Calmenson & Co., restricted to transportation under a continuing contract with Paper Calmenson & Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. 713), filed April 25, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., a Corporation, 100 South Main Street, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Mack Stephenson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building and construction materials, and related materials, supplies, and accessories* incidental thereto and the manufacture thereof, between points in Arkansas, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Minnesota, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, restricted such that shipments originating in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington are restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Boise Cascade Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Boise, Idaho, Denver, Colo., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 107295 (Sub-No. 743), filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: PRE-FAB TRANSIT CO., a Corporation, 100 South Main Street, Farmer City, Ill. 61842. Applicant's representative: Mack Stephenson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Boards of ground wood* with decorative facing, and *particle board*, from points in the St. Louis, Mo.-St. Louis, Ill., Commercial Zone, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 920), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., Ten West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., 666 Eleventh St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Motor fuel antiknock compound*, from Baton Rouge, La., to Seattle, Wash.; and (2) *liquid detergents*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lima, Ohio, to Danville, Ill.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 69), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, P.O. Box 608, Pompano Beach, Fla. 33061. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A, C, and D of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and hides), from the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Illini Beef Packers, Inc., at or near Joslin, Ill., and Bettendorf and Davenport, Iowa, to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Kentucky, restricted to traffic originating at said origins and destined to said destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 70), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 280 NW. 12th Avenue, P.O. Box 608, Pompano Beach, Fla. 33061. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from the plantsites and facilities of Shurtenda Foods, Inc., located at or near Cedartown, Ga., to points in the United States in and east of Mississippi, Tennessee, Missouri, Iowa, and Minnesota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Jacksonville, Fla., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 108169 (Sub-No. 20), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: K & W BOAT TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 116A, Drumore, Pa. 17518. Applicant's representative: Charles Ephraim, 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 600, Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Boats and parts and equipment* thereof, from Lancaster, Pa., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin; (2) *Boats*, from Lancaster, Pa., to points in Arkansas, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Texas, and Vermont; (3) *Boats*, from Lancaster, Pa., to points in Delaware, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; (4) *Boats and parts and equipment* thereof, from Dundalk, Md., to points in New Jersey; and (5) *Boats*, from Dundalk, Md., to points in Delaware, New Jersey, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Applicant may presently perform the requested authority via circuitious movement, the purpose of this application is to eliminate existing gateways. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 109294 (Sub-No. 21), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRUCK COMPANY LTD., 230 Brunette Street, New Westminster, B.C., Canada. Applicant's representative: Joseph O. Earp, 607 3rd Avenue, Seattle, Wash. 98104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials, plastic pipe, iron and steel articles, and nails*, between points in Washington and Oregon, on the one hand, and, on the other, ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada at or near Blaine, Sumas, Oroville, Wash.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 110144 (Sub-No. 14), filed September 13, 1974. Applicant: JACK C. ROBINSON, doing business as ROBINSON FREIGHT LINES, 3600 Paper Mill Road, P.O. Box 10234, Knoxville, Tenn. 37919. Applicant's representative: Warren A. Goff, 5100 Poplar Avenue, Memphis, Tenn. 38137. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment), between points in Polk County, Tenn., and their commercial zones, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Tennessee on and east of U.S. Highway 27.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Applicant intends to tack its requested authority with its regular route authority in Sub-No. 7 at Chattanooga, Tenn., to provide service between points in Polk County, Tenn., and Memphis, Tenn. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Cleveland, Tenn.

No. MC 110144 (Sub-No. 15), filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: JACK C. ROBINSON, doing business as ROBINSON FREIGHT LINES, 3600 Paper Mill Road, P.O. Box 10234, Knoxville, Tenn. 37919. Applicant's representative: Warren A. Goff, 2008 Clark Tower, 5100 Poplar Avenue, Memphis, Tenn. 38137. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between points in Loudon and Roane Counties, Tenn., on the one hand, and, on the other, Knoxville, Tenn.

NOTE.—Common control was approved in MC-FC-68951. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Knoxville, Tenn.

No. MC 112713 (Sub-No. 173), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., P.O. Box 7270, 10990 Roe Avenue, Shawnee Mission, Kans. 66207. Applicant's representative: David B. Schneider (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor

vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Electrical transformers and parts*, from the plant sites of RTE Corporation, at Waukesha, Wis., to points in Indiana, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware, Connecticut, Ohio, New York, Maryland, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island.

If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. 351), filed September 23, 1974. Applicant: BRAY LINES INCORPORATED, 1401 N. Little Street, P.O. Box 1191, Cushing, Okla. 74023. Applicant's representative: William W. Frick (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, (1) from Greenville, Miss., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas; and (2) from the facilities used and owned by Vlasic Foods, located in Bridgeport, Imlay City, and Memphis, Mich., to points in Mississippi.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La., or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 113545 (Sub-No. 15), filed September 23, 1974. Applicant: CORMETT FORWARDING CO., INC., P.O. Box 38, Jersey City, N.J. 07303. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Radiopharmaceuticals, radioactive drugs, and medical isotopes*, from the Miami Airport at Miami, Fla., to points in Florida, under a continuing contract or contracts with Medi-Physics, Inc., restricted to traffic having a prior movement by air in interstate commerce.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 113855 (Sub-No. 298), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2450 Marion Road SE., Rochester, Minn. 55901. Applicant's representative: Alan Foss, 502 First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Self-propelled mechanical lifting devices, and parts* and *attachments* of self-propelled mechanical lifting devices, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Mark Industries, Inc., at Long Beach, Calif., to points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles or San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 113981 (Sub-No. 10), filed September 20, 1974. Applicant: VEGAS TRUCKING AND MOVING, INC., 2853 Cedar Street, Las Vegas, Nev. 89104. Applicant's representative: Donald E. Fernaays, Suite 312, 4040 East McDowell Road, Phoenix, Ariz. 85008. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as define by the Commission, petroleum products in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Los Angeles, California, and Pahrump and Lathrop Wells, Nev., serving all intermediate points in Nevada, and serving points in Los Angeles, Orange, and San Bernardino counties, Calif., and those points in Nevada within an area southeast of Nevada Highway 58, south of U.S. Highway 95 and west of the Nye and Clark county line as off-route points: (a) From Los Angeles over Interstate Highway 10 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence over Interstate Highway 15 to junction of California Highway 127, thence over California Highway 127 to junction of California Highway 178, thence over California Highway 178 to the California-Nevada State Boundary line, thence over Nevada Highway 52 to Pahrump, and return over the same route, and (b) From Los Angeles over Interstate Highway 10 to junction Interstate Highway 15, thence over Interstate Highway 15 to junction of California Highway 127, thence over California Highway 127 to the California-Nevada State Boundary line, thence over Nevada Highway 29 to Lathrop Wells, and return over the same route.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Pahrump or Las Vegas, Nev.

No. MC 114004 (Sub-No. 149), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: CHANDLER TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 8828 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, Ark. 72209. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 North St. Asaph Street, Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles in initial movements and *buildings* in sections, from points in Woodbury County, Iowa, to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114004 (Sub-No. 150), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: CHANDLER TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 8828 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, Ark. 72209. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 North St. Asaph Street, Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Travel trailers*, from Crawfordsville, Ind., to poinnts in North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Nebraska, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky, Georgia, Alabama, Ohio, and Michigan.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 405), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: J. B. Stuart (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals* in containers, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Houston, Tex,. to Los Angeles, Calif., Chicago, Ill., and Philadelphia, Pa.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Dallas or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 114194 (Sub-No. 178), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: KREIDER TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 8003 Collinsville Road, East St. Louis, Ill. 62201. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, 1301–02 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Corn products, and blends containing corn products*, in bulk, from Indianapolis, Ind., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *dry corn products*, in bulk, from Mt. Vernon, Ind., to points in Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 207), filed October 7, 1974. Applicant DART TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 780 N. Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Zell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned goods*, from Ottawa and Columbus Grove, Ohio, and Hoopeston, Ill., to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Minnesota, Iowa, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 114569 (Sub-No. 111), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: SHAFFER TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 418, New Kingstown, Pa. 17072. Applicant's representative: James W. Hagar, 100 Pine Street, P.O. Box 1166, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by American Beef Packers, Inc. at or near Cactus (Moore County), Tex., to points in Maryland, the District of Columbia, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, and points in Tennessee on and east of U.S. Highway 45, restricted to traffic originating at the

above named origin points, and destined to the above named destination points.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 114725 (Sub-No. 67), filed September 19, 1974. Applicant: WYNNE TRANSPORT SERVICE, INC., 2606 North 11th Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68110. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid feed and feed ingredients*, from Blair, Nebr., to points in Arizona and California.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 300), filed September 12, 1974. Applicant: POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Drawer 500, Evergreen, Ala. 36401. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wood residuals*, between points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Mobile, Ala., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 116544 (Sub-No. 153), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: ALTRUK FREIGHT SYSTEMS, INC., 2619 "N" Street, P.O. Box 7364, South Omaha, Nebr. 68107. Applicant's representative: Roland Rice, Suite 618 Perpetual Bldg., 1111 E. Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas, and agricultural commodities*, as defined in Section 203(b)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended, when transported at the same time and in the same vehicle with bananas, from Mobile, Ala., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediate prior movement by water.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at (1) Miami, Fla., (2) Mobile, Ala.

No. MC 117883 (Sub-No. 198), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: SUBLER TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 62, Versailles, Ohio 45380. Applicant's representative: Edward J. Subler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk), from Allegheny, Beaver, Butler, Lawrence, Mercer, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at the named origins and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 117883 (Sub-No. 199), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: SUBLER TRANSFER, INC., 791 East Main Street, P.O. Box 62, Versailles, Ohio 45380. Applicant's representative: Edward J. Subler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), between Wagner, S. Dak., and Sioux City, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Washington, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to, the plantsites and storage facilities of Yankton Sioux Industries, located at Wagner, S. Dak., and Sioux City, Iowa.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 117993 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: FRUIT BELT PRODUCE TRUCKING LIMITED, 12 Smith Street, St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada L2P 3H9. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Ogborn, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from the plantsites and facilities utilized by Kitchens of Sara Lee located at or near New Hampton, Iowa, to ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada located in Michigan, restricted to traffic moving in foreign commerce.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 118806 (Sub-No. 39), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: ARNOLD BROS. TRANSPORT, LTD., 739 Lagimodiere Boulevard, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. Applicant's representative: Daniel C. Sullivan, 327 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tractors* (except truck tractors), *agricultural implements and machinery*, and *attachments and equipment* designed for use with such articles when moving in mixed loads therewith, from Rock Island and East Moline, Ill., to ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located in New York, Maine, Michigan, and Vermont, restricted to traffic originating at the facilities of International Harvester Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119175 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 12, 1974. Applicant: DOUGLAS S. WHYTE and TONY I. CENBRANO, doing business as MOLASSES TRUCK SERVICE, 7077 Redwood Road, Stockton, Calif. 95201. Applicant's representative: Richard E. Macey, 1122 North El Dorado Street, Stockton, Calif. 95202. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Natural spring water*, potable, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Millcreek, Calif., to Las Vegas, Henderson, and Boulder City, Nev., under a continuing contract or contracts with Five Springs Water Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Stockton or San Francisco, Calif., or Las Vegas, Nev.

No. MC 119489 (Sub-No. 38), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: PAUL ABLER, doing business as CENTRAL TRANSPORT COMPANY, P.O. Box 249, Norfolk, Nebr. 68701. Applicant's representative: Gailyn L. Larsen, 521 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed and feed ingredients*, from Norfolk, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Colorado, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at (1) Lincoln, Nebr. (2) Omaha, Nebr., or (3) Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119489 (Sub-No. 39), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: PAUL ABLER, doing business as CENTRAL TRANSPORT COMPANY, P.O. Box 249, Norfolk, Nebr. 68701. Applicant's representative: Gailyn L. Larsen, 521 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid feed and feed ingredients*, from Blair, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Illinois, Missouri, Kansas, Wisconsin, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Colorado, Wyoming, Oklahoma, Arizona, and California.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Lincoln, Nebr., Omaha, Nebr., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 129), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., West 20th Street Road, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: J. J. Knotts, Jr., P.O. Box

1196, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) (1) *Roofing and roofing material, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and installation of roofing and roofing materials, and (2) *Petroleum lubricating grease not*, other than axle, in containers (except in bulk in tank vehicles), (A) above, from Olathe, Kans., to points in Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Arkansas; and (B) *Roofing and roofing materials, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and installation of roofing and roofing materials, from Wichita, Kans., to points in Missouri, Oklahoma, Illinois, Arkansas, Iowa, and Nebraska.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Wichita, Kans., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. 308), filed October 4, 1974. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., P.O. Drawer L, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Ronald E. Butler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Lumber, plywood, and particleboard*, from Silsbee, Tex., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *plywood*, from Bon Wier, Tex., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 221), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., P.O. Box 6188, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: James K. Newbold, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Drugs and toilet preparations*, from Momence, Ill., to points in California and Texas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 222), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., P.O. Box 6188, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: James K. Newbold, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, in containers, from Plymouth, Ind., and points in Allegan County, Mich., to points in Arizona, California, and New Mexico.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at South Bend, Ind., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 119792 (Sub-No. 45), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: CHICAGO SOUTHERN TRANSPORTATION CO., a Corporation, 3215 South Hamilton Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60608. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas and agricultural commodities* exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(6) of the Act, when transported in mixed shipments with bananas, from New Orleans, La., to points in Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 224), filed October 7, 1974. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., P.O. Box 6188, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Hugh T. Matthews, 630 Fidelity Union Tower, Dallas, Tex. 75201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grass seed*, in containers, *fertilizer compounds*, in containers, *fertilizer and seed distributors, grass catchers, weed killing compounds*, in containers, *agricultural pesticides*, in containers, *mowers and mower parts, agricultural implements, turf aerators, rubber or plastic products, lawn sprinklers, and electric metal signs and advertising displays and matter*, from the facilities of O. M. Scott & Sons at Marysville, Ohio, to points in Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, and California.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 121450 (Sub-No. 8), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: McCOMAS TRUCK LINES, INC., 604 North 2nd Street, Chickasha, Okla. 73018. Applicant's representative: Robert A. Miller, 2505 City National Tower, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, Between Duncan, Okla., and Wichita Falls, Tex.: From Duncan, Okla., over U.S. Highway 81 to Waurika, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 70 to junction Oklahoma Highway 79, thence over Oklahoma Highway 79 to the Texas-Oklahoma State Boundary line, thence over Texas Highway 79 to Wichita Falls, Tex., and return over the same route, serving Comanche and Waurika, Okla., as intermediate points.

NOTE.—By the instant application, applicant seeks authority to convert its rights held as Certificates of Registration in MC 121450 (Sub-No. 1 and other subs) to Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Wichita Falls, Tex., or Duncan or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 123407 (Sub-No. 204), filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: SAWYER TRANSPORT, INC., South Haven Square, U.S. Highway 6, Valparaiso, Ind. 46383. Applicant's representative: Robert W. Sawyer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and lumber products*, from points in Lawrence County, S. Dak., to points in North Dakota, Nebraska, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Omaha, Nebr., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 123872 (Sub-No. 38), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: W & L MOTOR LINES, INC., State Road 1148, P.O. Drawer 2607, Hickory, N.C. 28601. Applicant's representative: Theodore Polydoroff, 1250 Connecticut Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Furniture parts, gas grill casings, lawnmower frames, and street light hoods and parts* (except in bulk), from Grafton, Wis., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas; and (2) *furniture parts, furniture, furniture material and furniture packaging material and supplies*, used in the manufacture of furniture (except in bulk), from Little Rock, Ark., to points in Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, Tennessee, and Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Hickory or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 124004 (Sub-No. 28), filed October 9, 1974. Applicant: RICHARD DAHN, INC., 620 West Mountain Road, Sparta, N.J. 07871. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Ave., Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal and poultry feed, and animal and poultry feed ingredients and cracklings*, from points in Iowa, Nebraska, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Michigan, to points in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, New Jersey, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, New York, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 124947 (Sub-No. 33), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: MACHINERY TRANSPORTS, INC., P.O. Box 417, Stroud, Okla. 74097. Applicant's representative: Rufus H. Lawson, 106 Bixler Building, 2400 NW. 23rd Street, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bottle handling equipment, packaging machinery, soil stabilizers, rotary tillers, bituminous distributors, cement haulers, road rollers, compactors, and parts and accessories* for the commodities named above, from points in Waukesha County, Wis., to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 124964 (Sub-No. 20), filed September 24, 1974. Applicant: JOSEPH

M. BOOTH, doing business as J. M. BOOTH TRUCKING, P.O. Box 907, Eustis, Fla. 32726. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Ave., Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Juices, drinks, and concentrates*, not frozen, and *equipment, materials, and supplies*, used in the manufacture and sale of juices, drinks, and concentrates (except in bulk); and (2) *fruit salads*, in mixed loads with the commodities described in (1) above, between the facilities of Doric Foods Corporation, at Anaheim, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, under contract with Doric Foods Corporation, restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at or destined to the facilities of Doric Foods Corporation at Anaheim, Calif., and limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract, or contracts with Doric Foods Corporation, at Mount Dora, Fla.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Jacksonville or Tampa, Fla.

No. MC 125114 (Sub-No. 6), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2413 Lakeside Drive, P.O. Box 2295, Lynchburg, Va. 24501. Applicant's representative: Frank B. Hand, P.O. Box 187, Berryville, Va. 22611. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and motor vehicles), (1) between Lynchburg, Va., and the plant site of Virginia Fibre Corporation, located at Riverville (Amherst County), Va.; and (2) between the plant site of Virginia Fibre Corporation, located at Riverville, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, the ports of Norfolk, Newport News, and Portsmouth, Va., restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by rail or by water.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 126196 (Sub-No. 10), filed September 23, 1974. Applicant: LUVERNE CHRISTENSEN, doing business as CHRISTENSEN TRUCK LINE, 206 West 11th Street, Redwood Falls, Minn. 56283. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Johnson, 425 Gate City Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural machinery and agricultural equipment* and *attachments, parts, and accessories* for agricultural machinery and agricultural equipment: (1) from the plantsites, storage facilities, and experimental farms of Deere and Company in Rock Island County, Ill., and Polk, Wapello, Dubuque, and Black Hawk Counties, Iowa, to points in Yellow Medicine, Renville, Lyon, Redwood, Kandiyohi, McLeod, Swift, Lac qui Parle, Watonwan, Brown, Cottonwood, Nobles, Murray, Sibley, Lincoln, and Chippewa Counties, Minn.; and (2) from the plantsites, storage facilities, and experimental farms of International Harvester Company in Rock Island and Fulton Counties, Ill., to points in Yellow Medicine, Renville, Lyon, Redwood, Kandiyohi, McLeod, Swift, Lac qui Parle, Watonwan, Brown, Cottonwood, Nobles, Murray, Sibley, Lincoln, and Chippewa Counties, Minn.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Sioux Falls, S. Dak.

No. MC 126246 (Sub-No. 6), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANSFER AND STORAGE COMPANY, a Corporation, 2665 Villa Creek Drive, P.O. Box 34410, Dallas, Tex. 75234. Applicant's representative: Phillip Robinson, P.O. Box 2207, Austin, Tex. 78767. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used household goods*, between points in Arkansas, Baxter, Cleburne, Conway, Faulkner, Fulton, Garland, Grant, Hot Springs, Independence, Izard, Jefferson, Lonoke, Marion, Perry, Prairie, Pulaski, Saline, Searcy, Sharp, Stone, Van Buren, and White Counties, Ark., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Little Rock, Ark., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 126276 (Sub-No. 104), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: FAST MOROR SERVICE, INC., 9100 Plainfield Road, Brookfield, Ill. 60513. Applicant's representative: Albert A. Andrin, 29 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal containers, and metal container ends* (except refuse containers), from Perrysburg, Ohio, to points in Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Missouri, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Kentucky, Iowa, and Ohio, under contract with Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 126305 (Sub-No. 64), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: BOYD BROTHERS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., RD 1, Clayton, Ala. 36016. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 60 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Spheres, highway marking strip glass, ballotini and glass, crushed, ground, or powdered*, from the facilities of Potters Industries, Inc., located at Brownwood, Tex., to points in California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming; and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and sale of glass spheres and glass spheres (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the above named destination points, to the facilities of Potters Industries, Inc., located at Brownwood, Texas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 127042 (Sub-No. 150), filed August 30, 1974. Applicant: HAGEN, INC., 3232 Highway 75 North, P.O. Box 98, Leeds Station, Sioux City, Iowa 51108. Applicant's representative: R. L. Wright (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen potatoes and potato products, and meat, meat products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, and foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Bettendorf, Iowa, to points in Iowa, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Illinois, Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, and Kansas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 127834 (Sub-No. 105), filed October 21, 1974. Applicant: CHEROKEE HAULING AND RIGGING, INC., 540–542 Merritt Avenue, Nashville, Tenn. 37203. Applicant's representative: K. Edward Wolcott, 1600 First Federal Bldg., Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cranes, crane attachments and accessories, and parts of such commodities, and materials and supplies* used in the construction thereof, between the plant and warehouse facilities of The Grove Manufacturing Co., a Division of Walter Kidde, located at Horry County, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in and east of Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, and Minnesota.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Charleston, S.C.

No. MC 128030 (Sub-No. 79), filed August 9, 1974. Applicant: THE STOUT TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 177, Urbana, Ill. 61801. Applicant's representative: James F. Flanagan, 111 West Washington Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, (1) From Allentown, Pa., to Terre Haute, Ind., (2) from Atlanta, Ga., to Bloomington and Lincoln, Ill., (3) from Baltimore, Md., to Lawrenceville, Ill., and Vincennes, Ind., (4) from Belleville, Ill., to Lawrenceville, Ill., and Frankfort and Terre Haute, Ind., (5) from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Terre Haute, Ind. (6) from Cleveland, Ohio, to Bloomington,

Lawrenceville, and Lincoln, Ill., and Terre Haute, Ind., (7) from Columbus, Ohio, to Lebanon and Vincennes, Ind., and Waukegan, Ill., (8) from Cumberland, Md., to Lafayette, Ind., (9) from Detroit, Mich., to Lawrenceville, Ill., and Vincennes, Ind., and (10) from Evansville, Ind., to Carterville, Jerseyville, Lawrenceville, and Streator, Ill., (11) from Fort Wayne, Ind., to Batavia, Highwood, and Mount Vernon, Ill., and Louisville, Ky., (12) from Frankenmuth, Mich., to Bloomington, Lawrenceville, and Lincoln, Ill., and Frankfort and Terre Haute, Ind., (13) from Kansas City, Mo., to Loda, Olney, and Streator, Ill., and Terre Haute, Ind., (14) from LaCrosse, and Sheboygan, Wis., to Lafayette, Terre Haute, and Vincennes, Ind., and Lawrenceville, Ill., (15) from LaTrobe, Pa., to Lafayette, Ind., (16) from Longview, Tex., to Loda, Olney, and Streator, Ill., and Terre Haute, Ind., (17) from Milwaukee, Wis., to Frankfort, Lafayette, Lebanon, and Vincennes, Ind., and Jerseyville, Ill., (18) from Memphis, Tenn., to Loda, Olney, and Streator, Ill., and Terre Haute, Ind., (19) from Louisville, Ky., to Frankfort and Lebanon, Ind., (20) from Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., to Batavia and Lawrenceville, Ill., and Frankfort, Lafayette, Lebanon, and Terre Haute, Ind., (21) from Newport, Ky., to Carterville, Danville, Jerseyville, Lawrenceville, Paris, Streator and Taylorville, Ill., and Lafayette, Lebanon, and Vincennes, Ind., (22) from Peoria-Peoria Heights, Ill., to Frankfort, Lafayette, Lebanon, and Vincennes, Ind., (23) from St. Louis, Mo., to Batavia, Champaign, Highwood, Mount Vernon, Waukegan, and Taylorville, Ill., and Lafayette, Lebanon, and Vincennes, Ind., and Louisville, Ky., (24) from Trenton, N.J., to Terre Haute, Ind.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 5352, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Springfield or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128073 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: BANANA SHIPPING SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 1345, Montgomery, Ala. 36102. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 29 S. La Salle St., Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas*, and agricultural commodities, exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(6) of the Act, when transported in mixed loads with bananas, from Mobile, Ala., to points in Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediate prior movement by water.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Miami, Fla., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 128095 (Sub-No. 11), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: PARKER TRUCK LINE, INC., Westmoreland Drive, P.O. Box 1402, Tupelo, Miss. 38801. Applicant's representative: Fred W. Johnson, Jr., 717 Deposit Guaranty Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Urethane and urethane products*, from points in Lee County, Miss., to points in Florida, Georgia, Alabama, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas, Tennessee, Kentucky, Missouri, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Oklahoma, and Kansas; and (2) *damaged or rejected shipments of such commodities* on return.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Tupelo, Miss., Memphis, Tenn., or Jackson, Miss.

No. MC 128220 (Sub-No. 13), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: RALPH LATHAM, doing business as LATHAM TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 508, Burnside, Ky. 42517. Applicant's representative: John M. Nader, P.O. Box E, Bowling Green, Ky. 42101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Charcoal, charcoal briquettes, wood chips, vermiculite, lighter fluid, fireplace logs, paper bags, and spices and sauces used in outdoor cooking*, (a) from Parsons, West Virginia, and Burnside, Ky., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin, and (b) between the plantsites and storage facilities of the Kingsford Company located at or near Burnside, Ky.; Parsons, W. Va.; Dothan, Ala.; and Belle, Mo.; and (2) *materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the manufacture, processing, and distribution of the commodities in (1) above, (a) from points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin, to points in Burnside, Ky., and Parsons, W. Va.; (b) between the plantsites and storage facilities of the Kingsford Company located at or near Burnside, Ky.; Parsons, W. Va.; Dothan, Ala.; and Belle, Mo.; (c) from points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, and Michigan (except points in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan), to the plantsite and storage facilities of the Royal Oak Charcoal Company located at or near Cookeville, Tenn.; and (d) from points in Pulaski County, Tenn.; and (3) *fireplace logs*, from Dothan, Ala., to points in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and Mississippi.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 128297 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: S & T MOTORS, INC., 6381 South Kostner Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, and classes A and B explosives), between Burns Harbor, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kankakee, Lake, and Will Counties, Ill., restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by water.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128981 (Sub-No. 7) (Amendment), filed October 24, 1973, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 20, 1973, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: LAND-AIR DELIVERY, INC., 1736 North 79th, Kansas City, Kans. 66112. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, P.O. Box E, Bowling Green, Ky. 42101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, commodities which require special equipment, and automobiles, trucks, and buses as defined by the Commission in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209), between Kansas City International Airport and Kansas City Municipal Airport, Kansas City, Mo., and Fairfax Airport, Kansas City, Kans., and points in Arizona, California, Nevada, and New Mexico on and West of Interstate Highway 25, restricted to traffic moving from, to, or between Air Lines and Air Freight Forwarders.

NOTE.—The purpose of this amendment is to restrict the commodity description. Hearing on the instant application was commenced on October 10, 1974, at Kansas City, Mo., and has been postponed indefinitely pending publication of the above amendment in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC 128988 (Sub-No. 54), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: JO/KEL, INC., 159 South Seventh Avenue, P.O. Box 1249, City of Industry, Calif. 91749. Applicant's representative: Patrick E. Quinn, 605 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Copper wire*, from Abingdon, Va., to points in California, restricted against the transportation of commodities which by reason of size or weight require the use of special equipment and commodities in bulk and further restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Westinghouse Electric Corporation of Pittsburgh, Pa.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 129350 (Sub-No. 50), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: CHARLES E. WOLFE, doing business as EVERGREEN EXPRESS, 15 South 21st Street, Box 212, Billings, Mont. 59103. Applicant's representative: Clayton Brown (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Tires and tubes*, from Dayton, Ohio, to Philip and Spearfish, S. Dak., Dickinson, N. Dak., and Lewiston, Idaho.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont.

No. MC 129600 (Sub-No. 19), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: POLAR TRANSPORT, INC., 176 King Street, Hanover, Mass. 02339. Applicant's representative: Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, Mass. 02108. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packing houses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk) from the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia, under a continuing contract or contract with Iowa Beef Processors, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Amarillo, Tex.

No. MC 129749 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: FOUNDRY SERVICE CORPORATION, 11 South 3rd Street, P.O. Box 499, Hammonton, N.J. 08037. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sand and gravel*, from South Vineland, N.J., to points in Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract or contracts with Jesse S. Morie and Son, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 133139 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: MADISON RIVER TERMINAL, INC., 140 Vaughn Drive, Madison, Ind. 47250. Applicant's representative: Robert W. Loser II, 1009 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal*, from Jackson, Rockcastle, Lee, Owsley, Leslie, Laurel, Knox, and Breathitt Counties, Ky., to river terminals located at ar near Madison, Ind.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 133233 (Sub-No. 33), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: CLARENCE L. WERNER, an individual doing business as WERNER ENTERPRISES, 805—32nd Avenue, P.O. Box 831, Council Bluffs, Iowa 51501. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Kimball, 2310 Colorado State Bank Bldg., 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (unfrozen) in containers, from Stockton, Modesto, Pittsburg, and Antioch, Calif., to points in Missouri, Oklahoma, Kansas, Texas, Wisconsin, Ohio, Arkansas, Kentucky, Tennessee, Indiana, and Michigan, service to be performed under a continuing contract with Tillie Lewis Foods, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 138328 and other subs, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 133324 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: CAR CARRIERS, INC., 13101 So. Torrence Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60633. Applicant's representative: Daniel C. Sullivan, 327 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Automobiles, trucks, bodies, cabs, and chassis*, in secondary movements, in truckaway service, from Mattawan, Mich., to points in Cook, Dupage, Lake, McHenry, Kane, Kendall, and Will Counties, Ill., and Lake and Porter Counties, Ind.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133646 (Sub-No. 13), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: YELLOWSTONE MOLASSES SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 404, Billings, Mont. 59103. Applicant's representative: William E. O'Leary, P.O. Box 225, Helena, Mont. 59601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Molasses*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, and *beet pulp pellets*, (1) from Billings and Sidney, Mont., to points in Idaho, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming; (2) from Lovell and Worland, Wyoming, to points in Idaho, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota; and (3) from Torrington, Wyo., to points in Idaho and Montana.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont., Lovell or Worland, Wyo.

No. MC 133796 (Sub-No. 24), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: GEORGE APPEL, 249 Carverton Road, Trucksville, Pa. 18708. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Davis, 999 Union Street, Taylor, Pa. 18517. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motorcycles, and accessories thereto*, (1) from Long Beach, Vernon, West Los Angeles, and Gardena, Calif., to points in New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and New Jersey; (2) from Columbus, Ohio, to points in New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and West Los Angeles, Calif.; and (3) from Port Facilities in New York and New Jersey within the New York, New York Harbor area, as defined by the Commission in Ex Parte No. 140, *Determination of the limits of New York Harbor and Harbors contiguous thereto*, to Columbus, Ohio, and Gardena, Long Beach, Vernon, and West Los Angeles, Calif.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 129239, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 133796 (Sub-No. 25), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: GEORGE APPEL, 249 Carverton Road, Trucksville, Pa. 18708. Applicant's representative: Kenneth R. Davis, 999 Union Street, Taylor, Pa. 18517. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Peg board and metal accessories*, used in connection therewith; *automobile bicycle carrying racks, bicycles, and their accessories;* and *skis and ski paraphernalia*, from Shelburne, Vt., to Sparks, Nev.; and Los Angeles and San Francisco, Calif.; (2) *peg board and metal accessories*, used in connection therewith; *automobile bicycle carrying racks, and bicycles and their accessories*, from Shelburne, Vt., to Toledo, Ohio; Oconomowoc, Wis.; Denver, Colo.; and Seattle and Takoma, Wash.; and (3) *bicycles and accessories*, and *automobile bicycle carrying racks*, (a) from Tacoma and Seattle, Wash., to Oconomowoc, Wis., Toledo, Ohio, and Schaghticoke, N.Y.; and (b) from Schaghticoke, N.Y., to Oconomowoc, Wis., Toledo, Ohio, and Seattle and Tacoma, Wash.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 129239, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 134145 (Sub-No. 52), filed September 23, 1974. Applicant: NORTH STAR TRANSPORT, INC., Rt. 1, Hwy. 1 & 59 West, Thief River Falls, Minn. 56701. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Parts, computing machine* (except commodities in bulk), (1) from Mayville, Wis., to points in Campton, Ky.; and (2) from Beaver Dam, Wis., to points in Rochester, and Mount Clemens, Mich., Campton, Ky., and Nashville, Tenn., under a continuing contract with Computers Peripherals, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 134838 (Sub-No. 11) (Clarification), filed September 6, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 10, 1974, and republished as clarified this issue. Applicant: SOUTHEASTERN TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., INC., P.O. Box 39236, Bolton Station, Atlanta, Ga. 30318. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 246, 1252 West Peachtree St. NW., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to

operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Machinery, and machinery parts* when moving in the same vehicle with machinery, *road construction machinery, contractors equipment, iron and steel articles, and commodities* which because of size or weight require special equipment or handling, between points in Georgia.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to clarify the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 135422 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: B & W TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 1625 Earl Drive, Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Applicant's representative: Carl E. Munson, 469 Fischer Building, Dubuque, Iowa 52001. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used lift trucks and parts thereto*, between points in Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin, under a continuing contract or contracts with Wickes Lumber and Building Supplies, Division of the Wickes Corporation.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 135556 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: RAYMOND R. CARPENTER AND JAMES E. CARPENTER, a partnership, doing business as CARPENTER BROS. TRUCKING, Rt. #2, Box 2005, Bucyrus, Ohio 44820. Applicant's representative: Gerald P. Wadkowski, 85 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except articles of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk), in trailers-on-flat-car service and having an immediate prior or subsequent movement by rail, between Bucyrus, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, under a continuing contract with Bucyrus Steel Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 135732 (Sub-No. 8), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: AUBREY FREIGHT LINES, INC., 625 Grove Street, P.O. Box 503, Elizabeth, N.J. 07202. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic articles* (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Paeco, Inc., at Perth Amboy, N.J., to points in California, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin, restricted to shipments originating at the above facilities and destined to the above named points.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 110884 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 136008 (Sub-No. 42), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: JOE BROWN COMPANY, INC., 20 Third St. P.O. Box 1669, Ardmore, Okla. 73401. Applicant's representative: Rufus H. Lawson, 106 Bixier Building, 2400 Northwest 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer* in bulk, open top self-unloading vehicles, between points in Oklahoma, Kansas, Texas, and Louisiana.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 136008 (Sub-No. 43), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: JOE BROWN COMPANY, INC., 20 Third Street, NE., P.O. Box 1669, Ardmore, Okla. 73401. Applicant's representative: Rufus H. Lawson, 106 Bixler Building, 2400 Northwest 23rd Street, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crushed limestone and mixed road asphalt*, in open top dump trucks, from points in Atoka County, Okla., to points in Bowie, Camp, Cass, Delta, Ellis, Fannin, Franklin, Harrison, Henderson, Hopkins, Hunt, Johnson, Kaufman, Lamar, Marion, Morris, Navarro, Panola, Rains, Red River, Rockwall, Rusk, Shelby, Smith, Titus, Upshur, Van Zandt, and Wood Counties, Tex.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla., or Tulsa, Okla.

No. MC 136647 (Sub-No. 18), filed September 16, 1974. Applicant: GREEN MOUNTAIN CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 1319, Albany, N.Y. 12201. Applicant's representative: Gordon Sands, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pharmaceuticals, and materials* used in the manufacture thereof (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical temperature control units: (1) from points in Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, and Wisconsin, to the plant of Ayerst Laboratories at Rouses Point, N.Y.; (2) from Beecham Pharmaceuticals at Clifton, N.J., to the warehouse sites of Ayerst Laboratories at Cleveland, Ohio, Niles, Ill., and Chamblee, Ga.; (3) from Atlanta, Ga., to the warehouse sites of Ayerst Laboratories at Little Falls, N.J., Cleveland, Ohio, and Niles, Ill.; (4) from Ayerst Laboratories at Rouses Point, N.Y., to Baltimore, Md., Clifton, Newark, Little Falls, and Lakewood, N.J., and Detroit, Mich.; (5) from Baltimore, Md., and Lakewood, N.J., to the warehouse sites of Ayerst Laboratories at Little Falls, N.J., Chamblee, Ga., Cleveland, Ohio, and Niles, Ill.; and (6) from Chicago, Ill., to the warehouse sites of Ayerst Laboratories at Cleveland, Ohio, Chamblee, Ga., and Little Falls, N.J.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albany, N.Y., or Burlington or Montpelier, Vt.

No. MC 136775 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: ERKEL TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 6, Le Center, Minn. 56057. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Materials and supplies* used in the construction and building of precut homes and garages, and *parts, floor coverings, and accessories* installed at the time of construction (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Jordan, Minn., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming; and (2) *refused, rejected, or returned shipments* on return, under a continuing contract or contracts with Martin Homes, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds motor common carrier authority in MC 56863 (Sub-No. 2 and other subs), therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 138164 (Sub-No. 4), filed June 28, 1974. Applicant: CAN-AM MARINE TRANSIT LTD., P.O. Box 145, Hudson Heights, Quebec, Canada. Applicant's representative: J. P. Vermette, 250 Napoleon-Provost Street, Repentigny, Quebec, Canada J6A-1H5. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sailboats*, from ports of entry on the International Boundary Line, between the United States and Canada, located in Minnesota, Michigan, New York, Vermont, and Maine, to points in West Virginia, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Mississippi, and Alabama, restricted to traffic having an immediate prior movement in foreign commerce originating in the Province of Quebec, Canada.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Montpelier, Vt., or Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 138359 (Sub-No. 3), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: LENNEMAN TRANSPORT, INC., 116 Erie Street South, Hutchinson, Minn. 55350. Applicant's representative: Thomas H. Lenneman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, from Peoria, Ill., to Hutchinson, Minn., under a continuing contract or contracts with Lenneman Beverage Distributors, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 138770 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 19, 1974. Applicant: BOYD

TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 901, Dalton, Ga. 30720. Applicant's representative: Frank D. Hall, Suite 713, 3384 Peachtree Rd. NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Carpet, carpeting, rugs, tufted textile products, yarn, jute, and burlap*, between points in Bartow, Whitfield, Murray, Catoosa, and Gordon Counties, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Applicant states that the requested authority will be tacked: (a) at Chatsworth, Ga., to provide service from the states named above to Winchester, Tenn.; (b) at points in Bartow, Whitfield, Murray, Catoosa, and Gordon Counties, Ga., to provide service from Decatur, Tenn., to the states named above; and (c) at Dalton and Ringgold, Ga., to provide service from Winchester, Tenn., to the states named above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Atlanta, Ga., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 138941 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 25, 1974. Applicant: COUNTRY WIDE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 1315 East Seventh Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90021. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic articles* (except in bulk), from points in Wayne, Ontario, and Monroe Counties, N.Y., to points in Illinois, under a continuing contract with Mobil Chemical Company, Plastics Division.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 139064 (Sub-No. 1) (Amendment), filed May 3, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 13, 1974, and August 22, 1974, and republished, as amended this issue. Applicant: BRADBERRY FARMS, INC., 192 East Maine Street, Piggot, Ark. 72454. Applicant's representative: Lance L. Hanshaw, 1433 Donaghey Building, Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed and feed ingredients* in bulk (except tank vehicles and except in bags, barrels, or boxes), (1) from Springfield, Joplin, Blackwater, and Kennett, Mo., Memphis, Tenn.; Decatur and Gibson City, Ill.; and points in Arkansas; Mississippi; and Louisiana, to Peoria, Lincoln, Mendota, Rock Falls, Pitchfield, Fairberry, Ipava, Greenville, Effingham, Springfield, Beardstown, Danville, Monmouth, Vandalia, Gibson City, Jacksonville, and Moline, Ill.; Ottumwa, West Branch, Clinton, Olin, Iowa City, Belmond, Des Moines, Muscatine, Iowa; New Paris, Plymouth, Indianapolis, Napanee, and Decatur, Ind.; Janesville and Madison, Wis.; Kansas City, Moberly, Springfield, and Dexter, Mo.; Park City, Ky.; Montgomery, Ala.; Washington and Warsaw, Ind.; Abilene and Hutchinson, Kans.; Portland, Mich.; Hastings, Nebr.; Monroe and Wilson, N.C.; Marion, Ravenna, and Cincinnati, Ohio; Newberry, Spartanburg, and Orangeburg, S.C.; Chattanooga and Memphis, Tenn.; Athens and Tifton, Ga.; points in Arkansas and Mississippi; and (2) from Chicago, Ill., to points in Arkansas, (1) and (2) above, under a continuing contract or contracts with Wilbur-Ellis, Central Soya, I. H. French & Co., and Mid-South Milling.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the commodity description by indicating that applicant seeks to perform bulk transportation. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Memphis, Tenn., or Springfield, Mo.

No. MC 139193 (Sub-No. 19), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: ROBERT & OAKE, INC., 208 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Applicant's representative: Jacob P. Billig, 1126 16th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as defined by the Commission in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except liquid commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of John Morrell & Co. located at East St. Louis, Ill., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *such commodities* as are used by meat packers in the conduct of their business, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to East St. Louis, Ill., restricted to traffic transported under continuing contracts with John Morrell & Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139468 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL CONTRACT CARRIERS, INC., 14628 Hempstead Highway, Houston, Tex. 77040. Applicant's representative: David R. Parker, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Buildings, complete*, knocked down, and in sections; (2) *building sections and building panels;* (3) *parts and accessories*, used in the installation and completion of the commodities in (1) and (2) above, and (4) *pre-fabricated structural components and panels, and accessories*, used in the installation and completion thereof, from the plantsites and storage facilities of Stran-Steel Corporation, at or near LaGrange, Ga., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, under contract with Stran-Steel Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Houston, Tex.

No. MC 139565 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: PETRY EXPRESS AND STORAGE CO., a Corporation, 2870 Brunswick Pike, Trenton, N.J. 08638. Applicant's representative: Edward F. Bowes, 744 Broad Street, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Exhibit animals*, in cages, and *empty cages*, between Jackson Township, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York and Newburgh, N.Y., Philadelphia, Pa., Baltimore, Md., and Washington, D.C.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Trenton, N.J.

No. MC 139619 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: ANDOR TRUCKING, INC., 117 Weiler Street, Elk Grove, Ill. 60007. Applicant's representative: Philip A. Lee, 120 West Madison Street, Suite 618, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Carpeting and related floor and wall covering materials*, from points in Cook County, Ill., to points in Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 139673 (Sub-No. 3) (Correction), filed July 16, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 17, 1974, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: JAMES H. STEGER, doing business as STEGER BUS LINES, 4150 Aldebaran Way, Mobile, Ala. 36609. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate, P.O. Box 517, Evergreen, Ala. 36401. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Naval Air Station employees and workers*, between Mobile, Spanish Fort, Robertsdale, Point Clear, and Fairhope, Ala., on the one hand, and, on the other, Forrest Sherman Naval Air Station, Mainside, Saufley Naval Air Station, Whiting Naval Air Station and Ellyson Naval Air Station, located in Escambia County, Fla.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate the correct Docket Number assigned to this proceeding in MC 139673 (Sub-No. 3). If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Mobile or Montgomery, Ala.

No. MC 139772 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: ROBERTS TRUCKING, INC., Rt. 1, Eldorado, Wis. 54932. Applicant's representative: John J. Keller, 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, Wis. 54956. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cheese, and cheese and supplies* used in pizza making, from Van Dyne, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, and (2) *equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of cheese and cheese supplies used in pizza making, from points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, to Van Dyne, Wis., under

contract with Lone Elm Cheese Factory, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 139811 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 12, 1974. Applicant: SCARR MOVING & STORAGE, INC., 1359 Dayton Street, P.O. Box 8, Salinas, Calif. 93901. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1776 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used household goods*, in containers, between points in Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Benito, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Clara Counties, Calif., restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such shipments.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salinas, Calif.

No. MC 139867 (Sub-No. 1), filed October 2, 1974. Applicant: ARTHUR CROWDER, 1115 East Washington Street, Pittsfield, Ill. 62363. Applicant's representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, Ill. 62701. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry feed ingredients*, in bulk or bags, from points in Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin, to the plantsite of Webel Feed Mill at or near Pittsfield, Ill., under a continuing contract or contracts with Webel Feed Mill.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 139964 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 3, 1974. Applicant: SECURITY TRANSPORT AND DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., Route 419 Avenham Ext., Roanoke, Va. 24014, P.O. Box 6037, Roanoke, Va. 24017. Applicant's representative: Richard E. Mabry (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in by wholesale and retail drug business houses, from Roanoke, Va., to points in that part of North Carolina on, west, and north of a line beginning at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extending along U.S. Highway 501 to Durham, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 70 through Greensboro, Salisbury, and Statesville, N.C., to Hickory, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 321 to Boone, N.C., thence along U.S. Highway 421 to the North Carolina-Virginia State line; points in Washington, Sullivan, Carter, and Johnson Counties, Tenn., and points in McDowell, Wyoming, and Mercer Counties, W. Va.; points in Raleigh County, W. Va., on and east of West Virginia Highway 16, and points in that part of West Virginia on and north of a line beginning at the West Virginia State line and extending along the northern boundary of Mercer County to the intersection with Raleigh County; thence along the northern boundary of Raleigh County to the intersection of U.S. Highway 19, thence on and east of a line extending along U.S. Highway 19 to the intersection of U.S. Highway 60, thence on and south of a line extending along U.S. Highway 60 from its intersection with U.S. Highway 19 to the West Virginia State line, under a continuing contract or contracts with McKesson & Robbins Drug Company, at Roanoke, Va.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Roanoke, Va., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 140029 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 3, 1974. Applicant: CLIFFORD H. HALL, INC., Bliss, N.Y. 14024. Applicant's representative: William J. Hirsch, Suite 1125, 43 Court Street, Buffalo, N.Y. 14202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid feed and feed ingredients*, between Arcade, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y.

No. MC 140123 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: J. C. BEASLEY, Route 3, Linden, Tenn. 37096. Applicant's representative: A. O. Buck, 618 Hamilton Bank Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Railroad cross ties, switch ties, crossing blanks, and related rail tiles*, from points in Dickson, Hickman, Humphreys, Lawrence, Lewis, Perry, Wayne, and Williamson Counties, Tenn., and Trigg County, Ky., to points in Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 140191 (Correction), filed September 9, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 3, 1974, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: TEX-PACK EXPRESS OF DALLAS, a corporation, 2001 Irving Blvd., Dallas, Tex. 75247. Applicant's representative: Austin L. Hatchell, 1102 Perry Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex 78701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, between points within the Dallas, Tex., Commercial Zone, restricted against service provided in the transportation of packages and articles weighing in the aggregate more than 100 pounds, from one consignor located at one location to one consignee at one location on any one day.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to (1) indicate that applicant seeks to perform operations at the Dallas, Tex., Commercial Zone in lieu of the Houston, Tex., Commercial Zone; and (2) correct the service restriction. Common control was approved in MC-FC-72144 and MC-FC-72456. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Dallas or Fort Worth, Tex.

No. MC 140192 (Correction), filed September 9, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of October 3, 1974, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: TEX-PACK EXPRESS OF HOUSTON, a corporation, 1203 McKee St., Houston, Tex. 77002. Applicant's representative: Austin L. Hatchell, 1102 Perry Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex. 18701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, between points in the Houston, Tex., Commercial Zone, restricted against service in the transportation of packages and articles weighing in the aggregate more than 100 pounds from one consignor located at one location to one consignee at one location on any one day.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the service restriction. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Houston or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 140202, filed September 11, 1974. Applicant: SEA & SHORE ENTERPRISES, INC., P.O. Box 101, Quinby, Va. 23423. Applicant's representative: W. M. Moore (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk and Classes A and B explosives), between Quinby, Va., and points in Accomack County, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Delaware, Florida, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Quinby, Painter, or Exmore, Va.

No. MC 140207 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 20, 1974. Applicant: INCOME BENEFITS, INC., doing business as DUVAL TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 1010 Lake Adair Boulevard, Orlando, Fla. 32804. Applicant's representative: John J. Duval (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, between the Tampa International Airport at Tampa, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pasco, Hillsboro, Polk, Osceola, Seminole, Lake, Marion, Orange, and Alachua Counties, Fla., under a continuing contract or contracts with Stromberg-Carlson Corporation, restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement in interstate commerce by air.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Orlando or Jacksonville, Fla.

No. MC 140245, filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: PROFESSIONAL DRIVER SERVICES, INC., 1631 Lebanon

Road, Nashville, Tenn. 37210. Applicant's representative: Maxwell A. Howell, 1100 Investment Bldg., 1511 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motor vehicles and motor vehicle chassis* (except automobiles), in initial and secondary driveaway and truckaway service, and *bodies, cabs and parts of and accessories* for such vehicles, between Birmingham, Ala., Bryan and Columbus, Ohio, Hickory and Wilson, N.C., Mitchell, Ind., Buffalo, N.Y., Hayward, Calif., Allentown and Macungie, Pa., Milwaukee, Wis., and Knoxville, Memphis, and Nashville, Tenn., under a continuing contract or contracts with Knoxville Mack Truck Sales, Inc., Knoxville, Tenn., Tri-State Mack Truck Sales, Inc., Memphis, Tenn., and Neely Cable Company, Nashville, Tenn.

NOTE—Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 140250, filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: SCOTT TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, Bldg. A–1, Air Cargo Complex, Baltimore-Washington International Airport, Baltimore, Md. 21240. Applicant's representative: Louis G. Omansky, 25 South Calvert Street, Baltimore, Md. 21202. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cleaning compounds, food supplements, vitamins, cosmetics, plastic and printed literature*, intended for household use, from Shaklee Corporation Warehouse located at or near Bellmawr, N.J., to Shaklee representatives, distributors, and customers located in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract or contracts with Shaklee Marketing Corp.

NOTE.—Common control and dual operaapplicant requests it be held at Baltimore, Md., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 140251, filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: KNUDSEN TRUCKING, INC., 1320 West Main Street, Red Wing, Minn. 55066. Applicant's representative: Robert D. Gisvold, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Edible nuts, such products* as are distributed by processors of edible nuts, and *materials, equipment, and supplies* utilized in the manufacture of edible nuts, between points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under a continuing contract with Fisher Nut Company, Division of Beatrice Foods Company.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in No. MC 105159, Sub-No. 17 and other Subs, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 140255, filed September 20, 1974. Applicant: DELBERT DEWEY, doing business as DEWEY'S TRUCKING, 1227 F Street, Fairbury, Nebr. 68352. Applicant's representative: Duane L. Stromer, 605 South 14 Street, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cheese*, from the plantsite of Swan Enterprises, Inc., located at or near Hebron, Nebr., to points in California, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania, restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Swan Enterprises, Inc., of Hebron, Nebr.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 140261, filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: JOHN E. SHUMAN, doing business as AIR FREIGHT DELIVERY AND PICK-UP, Box 65, Montoursville, Pa. 17754. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between the Williamsport-Lycoming County Airport in the Borough of Montoursville (Lycoming County), Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Lycoming, Clinton, Union, Northumberland, and Montour Counties, Pa.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 140262, filed September 20, 1974. Applicant: VIKING TRANSPORT, INC., 1384 East F Street, Oakdale, Calif. 95361. Applicant's representative: Eldon M. Johnson, 650 California Street, Suite 2808, San Francisco, Calif. 94108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crude and concentrated mine ores and minerals* (except coal or salt); *volcanic cinders, volcanic rock and volcanic ash; lime; soda ash; and potash, in bulk*, in dump vehicles, between points in California and Nevada.

NOTES —If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at (1) San Francisco, Calif., or (2) Stockton, Calif.

No. MC 140263, filed September 26, 1974. Applicant: JERRY L. WHITE, doing business as J. L. W. TRUCKING, 5200 South Washington, Saginaw, Mich. 48601. Applicant's representative: William B. Elmer, 21635 East Nine Mile Road, St. Clair Shores, Mich. 48080. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Aquariums*, and *materials, accessories, and supplies* used in the installation and operation thereof, from Saginaw, Mich., to points in Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin; and (2) *materials and supplies* used in described in (1) above, from points in Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massathe manufacture of the commodities chusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, to Saginaw, Mich., under a continuing contract or contract with O'Dell Manufacturing, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lansing or Detroit, Mich., or Chicago, Ill.

PASSENGER APPLICATIONS

No. MC 61599 (Sub-No. 141), filed October 1, 1974. Applicant: CONTINENTAL SOUTHEASTERN LINES, INC., 417 West Fifth Street, Charlotte, N.C. 28201. Applicant's representative: Lawrence E. Lindeman, 425 13th Street NW., Suite 1032, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, and *express and newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers, between Atlanta, Ga., and Greenville, S.C.: From Atlanta, Ga., over Interstate Highway 85 to Greenville, S.C., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Greenville, S.C.

No. MC 116212 (Sub-No. 7), filed September 30, 1974. Applicant: EYRE'S BUS SERVICE, INC., Union Chapel Road, Woodbine, Md. 21797. Applicant's representative: Bruce E. Mitchell, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Road NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and express and newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers, between Columbia, Md., and Frederick, Md., serving all intermediate points: From Columbia over U.S. Highway 29 to junction Interstate Highway 70N, thence over Interstate Highway 70N to Frederick, Md., and return over the same route.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Frederick, Md.

No. MC 129644 (Sub-No. 6), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: C & J TRAVEL, INC., 163 Central Avenue, Dover, N.H. 03820. Applicant's representative: Mary E. Kelley, 11 Riverside Avenue, Medford, Mass. 02155. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Passengers and their baggage*, in special operations; and (2) *general commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), beginning and ending at points in Carroll County, N.H., and extending to Logan International Airport located at Boston, Mass., restricted to the transportation of packages or articles weighing not more than 200 pounds in the aggregate from one consignor at one location, to one consignee at one location during a single day.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Maine, or Portsmouth, N.H.

No. MC 139774 (Sub-No. 2), filed October 7, 1974. Applicant: AMBASSADOR CHARTER EXPRESS, LTD., 216 39th Avenue NE., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2E2M5. Applicant's representative: Joe Gerbase, 100 Transwestern Bldg., 404 North 31st St., Billings, Mont. 59101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, between points on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Washington, Idaho, and Montana, and points in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, California, and Nevada.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont., or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 140078 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 16, 1974. Applicant: JAMES F. STRASSER, JAMES A. STRASSER, HOWARD B. CONKEY, ALLEN L. MOORE, AND MARK Q. MOORE, a Partnership, doing business as TRAVELERS LIMOUSINE SERVICE, 2222 West Sixth, Lawrence, Kans. 66044. Applicant's representative: D. S. Hults, Box 225, Lawrence, Kans. 66044. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, (1) Between Lawrence, Kans., and the Kansas City International Airport at Kansas City, Mo.: From Lawrence, Kans., easterly over U.S. Highways 24–40 to junction Kansas Highway 32, thence easterly over Kansas Highway 32 to the Kansas Turnpike extension, thence easterly over Interstate Highway 70 to Kansas City, Mo., thence northerly over Broadway Street in Kansas City, Mo., to Interstate Highway 29, thence northerly over Interstate Highway 29 to the Kansas City International Airport, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Kansas City Municipal Airport, Kansas City, Mo.; and (2) Between Lawrence, Kans., and Kansas City International Airport at Kansas City, Mo.: From Lawrence, Kans., easterly over Kansas Turnpike to Kansas City, Mo., thence northerly over Broadway Street in Kansas City, Mo., to junction Interstate Highway 29, thence northerly over Interstate Highway 29 to Kansas City International Airport, and return over the same route, as an alternate route, operating convenience only.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 140094 (Correction), filed August 5, 1974, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of September 12, 1974, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: LATIN EXPRESS SERVICE, INC., 5353 N.W. 36th Street, Suite 3A, Miami Springs, Fla. 33166. Applicant's representative: John P. Bond, 2766 Douglas Road, Miami, Fla. 33133. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers*, and their baggage, Between Miami, Fla., and Union City, N.J., serving no intermediate points: From Miami over the Florida Sunshine State Parkway to Fort Pierce, Fla., thence over Interstate Highway 95 to the Delaware Memorial Bridge, thence over the Delaware Memorial Bridge to the New Jersey Turnpike, thence over the New Jersey Turnpike to Union City, and return over the same route.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate the regular routes over which applicant intends to perform its operations. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Miami, Fla.

No. MC 140113 (Sub-No. 2) (Correction), filed September 16, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of October 18, 1974, as MC 138828 (Sub-No. 6) and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: MAPLEWOOD EQUIPMENT COMPANY, a Corporation, 419 Anderson Avenue, Fairview, N.J. 07022. Applicant's representative: Edward F. Bowes, 744 Broad Street, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers*, between the premises of American Book Stratford Press, Inc., at Saddle Brook, N.J., and the George Washington Bridge Bus Terminal of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey at New York, N.Y., under a continuing contract or contracts with American Book Stratford Press, Inc.

NOTE.—The purpose of this correction is to indicate that the correct docket number assigned to this application is MC 140113 (Sub-No. 2), in lieu of MC 138828 (Sub-No. 6). Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Newark, N.J.

BROKERAGE APPLICATION

No. MC 130270, filed September 20, 1974. Applicant: TAYLOR TRAVEL & TOURS, INC., 5709 Friendly Avenue, Greensboro, N.C. 27410. Applicant's representative: Benjamin S. Marks, Jr., 304 Southeastern Bldg., Greensboro, N.C. 27401. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Greensboro, N.C., to sell or offer to sell transportation by motor common carrier of *passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in special operations, in all expense roundtrip camping tours, beginning and ending at points in Guilford, Randolph, Davidson, and Forsyth Counties, N.C., and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii, restricted to the transportation of teenagers.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Raleigh or Charlotte, N.C. or Washington, D.C.

FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATION

No. FF 459, filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: RICHARDSON FORWARDING COMPANY, a Corporation, 922 East Artesia Boulevard, Long Beach, Calif. 90805. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate commerce, as a *freight forwarder*, through use of the facilities of common carriers by rail, motor, water, and express, in the transportation of (1) *Used household goods and unaccompanied baggage*, and (2) *Used automobiles*, between points in the United States including Hawaii but excluding Alaska; (2) above, restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement in foreign commerce.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

WATER CARRIER APPLICATION

No. W 104 (Sub-No. 28), filed September 27, 1974. Applicant: UNION MECHLING CORP., One Oliver Plaza, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222. Applicant's representative: S. S. Eisen, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce as a *common carrier*, by water in the transportation of *general commodities*, (A) by non-self propelled vessels with the use of separate towing vessels and by towing vessels in the performance of general towage, between the following points: (1) Missouri River from Kansas City, Kans., to and including Sioux City, Iowa; (2) Mississippi River below and including Minneapolis, Minn.; the St. Croix River below and including Stillwater, Minn.; the Minnesota River below its headwaters; (3) Tennessee River below and including Knoxville, Tenn., and its tributaries, including the Barkley Canal; (4) Cumberland River and its tributaries below and including Celina, Tenn., including the Barkley Canal; (5) Green River and its tributaries below its headwaters; (6) Yazoo River and its tributaries below its headwater; (7) Gulf Intracoastal Waterway from St. Marks, Fla., to New Orleans, La., and all tributaries and connecting ship channels including the Alabama, Coosa, Mobile, Tombigbee, Warrior, and Black Warrior Rivers, including the Locust and Mulberry Forks of the Black Warrior River, and Short Creek, and their tributaries, and the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers and their tributaries; and (8) Port Inglis, Fla., Fort Meyers, Fla., Miami, Fla., Fort Lauderdale, Fla., and Port Everglades, Fla.; and (B) by towing vessels in the performance of general towage, between Port Arthur, Tex., and Brownsville, Tex., including tributary and connecting waterway.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. Applicant intends to join the requested authority with his existing and pending authority, to provide service between the requested authority, on the one hand, and, on the other, ports and points generally along the Gulf of Mexico, Atlantic and Pacific Coasts and tributary waterways, Alabama, Coosa, Mobile, Tombigbee, Warrior, and Black Warrior Rivers. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25373 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1974

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 39 ■ Number 212

PART II

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Monetary Offices

■

FOREIGN PORTFOLIO INVESTMENT STUDY REGULATIONS; FORMS

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Monetary Offices

[31 CFR Part 129]

FOREIGN PORTFOLIO INVESTMENT STUDY REGULATIONS

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Notice is hereby given that the Department of the Treasury proposes to add a new Part 129 to Title 31 of the Code of Federal Regulations which will include the Foreign Portfolio Investment Study Regulations. The reporting requirements prescribed under 31 CFR Part 128 are not affected by these provisions. The proposed regulations are promulgated under the authority of the Foreign Investment Study Act (Pub. L. 93–479) which directs the Secretary of the Treasury "to conduct a comprehensive, overall study" of foreign portfolio investment and authorizes the Secretary to issue such rules as he deemes necessary to carry out his functions under the Act. The study, which will be the first complete survey of foreign portfolio investment in the United States since 1941, will provide complete statistics on such investment as of year-end 1974 and supply a data base from which estimates of annual balance-of-payments figures for later years may be extrapolated.

The proposed regulations define foreign portfolio investment for purposes of the study, set forth recordkeeping and reporting requirements with respect to such investment applicable to United States issuers and United States holders of record, outline confidentiality provisions applicable to reports, describe reporting forms available, and specify penalties for violation of the regulations. These regulations shall become effective when published in final form in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Prior to the adoption of these regualtions, consideration will be given to any comments, data, views, arguments, or suggestions pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing by November 22, 1974. Such comments or suggestions should be directed to the Foreign Portfolio Investment Project, Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs, Room 5064, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C. 20220.

The proposed regulations are as follows:

PART 129—FOREIGN PORTFOLIO INVESTMENT STUDY REGULATIONS

Sec.

Subpart A—Definitions

129.1 United States.
129.2 Person.
129.3 United States person.
129.4 United States issuer.
129.5 United States holder of record.
129.6 Foreign person.
129.7 Foreign official institution.
129.8 The Act.
129.9 The Secretary.

Subpart B—Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements

129.10 Determination by the Secretary.
129.11 Reports.
129.12 Exemptions.
129.13 Records.
129.14 Protection of information.

Subpart C—Description of Forms Prescribed Under this Part

129.20 Copies.
129.21 Form FPI–1. Reporting Form for United States Issuers.
129.22 Form FPI–2. Reporting Form for United States Holders of Record.
129.23 Special reports.

Subpart D—Penalties

129.30 Civil and criminal penalties.
129.31 Fraudulent reporting.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 7, 88 Stat. 1450.

Subpart A—Definitions

§ 129.1 United States.

The term "United States" means the 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Panama Canal Zone, and all territories and possessions of the United States.

§ 129.2 Person.

The term "person" means an individual, partnership, association, trust, corporation, or other organization, including the Federal, State, and local governments and any agencies, corporations, financing institutions, or other instrumentalities thereof.

§ 129.3 United States person.

The term "United States person" means any individual resident in the United States or any other person organized or created under the laws of the United States, including the Federal, State, and local governments and any instrumentalities thereof.

§ 129.4 United States issuer.

The term "United States issuer" means any United States person who issues, through public or private distribution, any security or other evidence of ownership, participation, or indebtedness, including the following:

(a) Stocks or investment company shares, including rights, warrants, and scrip;

(b) Debt obligations having an original maturity of more than one year, including such obligations as bonds, debentures, notes, mortgages, bank and other long-term loans and other obligations, certificates of deposit, and certificates of participation;

(c) Business or investment trust certificates or shares;

(d) Limited partnership interests; transferable shares in a business venture, association, or syndicate; or any fractional interest in oil and gas, real estate, crops, or other investment property.

§ 129.5 United States holder of record.

The term "United States holder of record" means any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States who is carried on stock transfer records or other ownership records as having title to any security or other evidence of ownership, participation, or indebtedness of a United States person which he is holding on behalf of another person. The term "United States holder of record" may include such persons as nominees, custodians, agents, trustees, other fiduciaries, banks, brokers, or other intermediaries, who hold title to investments on behalf of the beneficial owners.

§ 129.6 Foreign person.

The term "foreign person" includes:

(a) Any individual, including a citizen of the United States, resident outside the United States.

(b) Any partnership, association, corporation, or other organization created or organized under the laws of a foreign country, excepting branches and agencies thereof located in the United States.

(c) Any branch, subsidiary, or other allied organization within a foreign country of a partnership, association, corporation, or other organization, created or organized under the laws of a foreign country or of the United States. A branch of an American broker or dealer located outside the United States, including a branch of a person subject to the reporting requirements of these regulations, should be regarded as a foreign person. In addition, overseas head offices of branches, subsidiaries, and agencies which are located in the United States, as well as other branches of such head offices which are located outside the United States, should be regarded as foreign persons.

(d) Any government of a foreign country and any subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof, including all foreign official institutions, even if located in the United States.

(e) Any official international or regional organization, or subordinate or affiliated agency thereof, created by treaty or convention between sovereign states, even though located in the United States; and any private relief, philanthropic or other organization of an international or regional character with headquarters abroad and with a membership of organizations from more than one country.

(f) Any person in the United States to the extent such person is acting on behalf of, for the account of, or for the benefit of, a foreign person, except for a holder of record as defined in § 129.5 of this part.

§ 129.7 Foreign official institution.

The term "foreign official institution" includes central governments of foreign countries and their possessions and recognized central banks of issue, including the following:

(a) The treasuries, including ministries of finance, or corresponding departments of national governments; central banks, including all departments thereof; stabilization funds, exchange control offices, or other governmental exchange authorities; and fiscal agents of the national governments which have as an important part of their functions, activities similar to those of a treasury, central bank, or stabilization fund, *Provided*, That branches or agencies of

foreign official banking institutions which are located in the United States shall not be regarded as foreign official institutions for purposes of these regulations.

(b) Diplomatic and consular establishments and other departments and agencies of national governments, such as army and navy departments, purchasing commissions, and state trading organizations.

(c) Any international or regional organization, or subordinate or affiliated agency thereof, created by treaty or convention between sovereign states. *Provided*, That the term "foreign official institution" shall not include the following: (i) Nationalized or other government-owned banks or corporations (Nationalized or other government-owned banks should be regarded as "foreign banks" and nationalized or other government-owned corporations should be included in the category "other foreign holders" unless such banks or corporations fall within one of the categories set forth in paragraph (a) of this section), and (ii) personal accounts of foreign diplomatic and other official representatives of foreign countries.

§ 129.8 The Act.

The purposes of this part, "the Act" means the Foreign Investment Study Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–479, 88 Stat. 1450).

§ 129.9 The Secretary.

The term "the Secretary" means the Secretary of the Treasury.

Subpart B—Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements

§ 129.10 Determination by the Secretary.

The Secretary hereby determines that the recordkeeping and reporting provisions of this subpart are necessary to enable him to carry out his functions under the Act.

§ 129.11 Reports.

(a) *United States Issuers.* A report is required on Form FPI–1 (described in § 129.21 of this part) from United States issuers as follows:

(1) *Routine Large Issuer Reporters; Assets Test.* A report is required on Form FPI–1 from every United States issuer, irrespective of whether it has evidence of foreign investment, which, as of the latest available closing date of its books, had:

(i) Total consolidated assets of more than $20 million, if it is a nonbanking organization;

(ii) Total consolidated assets of more than $50 million, if it is a banking organization;

(2) *Selective Small Issuer Reporters; Foreign Ownership Test.* Except as provided in section 129.12(a) of this part, a report on Form FPI–1 is required from every United States issuer which is not a routine reporter under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, which has evidence of foreign ownership of any of its securities or other evidences of ownership or indebtedness. This requirement applies without regard to the size of the issuer's assets determined under paragraphs a(1) (i) or (ii) of this section.

(3) *Ackowledgment Required.* Copies of Form FPI–1 and general and special instructions will be mailed to certain issuers. These issuers will be selected on the basis of information indicating that they have or have had foreign ownership, or may be of special interest to foreign investors on account of size, industry, international operations, or other factors. Each such issuer is required to submit an acknowledgement of receipt as provided in special instructions pertaining to Form FPI–1, even if it is not required to submit a report under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or if it falls within the exemption of § 129.12(a) of this part. This requirement is necessary to insure the compcompliance with the reporting, requirements, and efficient administration of the study.

(b) *United States Holders of Record.* Except as provided in § 129.12(b) of this part, a report is required on Form FPI–2 from every United States holder of record who holds on behalf of a foreign person any security or any other evidence of ownership, participation, or indebtedness of a United States issuer. Included among holders of record who must report are trustees holding investments for revocable trusts organized under the laws of the United States where the trustor is a foreign person.

(1) *Consolidated Reporters.* Where several nominees, trustees, or other holders of record are affiliated with or under the common control of a single bank, broker, or other institution, such parent institution shall submit a consolidated Form FPI–2 on behalf of all such holders of record.

(2) *Acknowledgment Required.* Copies of Form FPI–2 and general and special instructions will be mailed to certain nominees and other holders of record or their parent institutions. These holders of record will be selected based on the 1974 Nominee List published by the American Society of Corporate Secretaries, Inc. and other information indicating that such institutions either offer their services to the public as nominees or may be acting on behalf of foreign investors. Each such holder of record is required to submit an acknowledgment of receipt as provided in special instructions pertaining to Form FPI–2 even if it is not required to file a report under paragraph (b) of this section or if it falls within the exemption of § 129.12 (b) of this part. This requirement is necessary to insure the completeness and accuracy of reporting, compliance with the reporting requirements, and efficient administration of the study.

(c) *Time and Place of Filing Reports* Reports on Forms FPI–1 and FPI–2 should be filed not later than March 1, 1975 with the Foreign Portfolio Investment Project, Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs, Room 5100, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C. 20220.

§ 129.12 Exemptions.

(a) *United States Issuers.* A report on Form FPI–1 is not required from any United States issuer, irrespective of whether it has knowledge of foreign ownership, which, as of the latest available closing date of its books, had:

(1) Total annual sales or revenues of less than $1,000,000; and

(2) Total consolidated assets of less than $1,000,000.

(b) *United States Holders of Record.* A report on Form FPI–2 is not required from the following holders of record: (1) Holders of record which held for foreign customers investments in United States issuers aggregating $25,000 or less based on market value of stocks or face value for debt obligations as of December 31, 1974. This exemption does not apply to holders of record under management or control of a single bank, broker, or other parent institution, except where the aggregate holdings of holders of record under a single parent institution equal $25,000 or less.

(2) Parents acting as custodians for minors.

(3) Estates or trusts where the foreign heirs, legatees, or beneficiaries do not have full control of the management or disposition of the assets of the estate or trust.

(c) *Special Exemption.* The Secretary or his delegate may by written order or authorization grant additional exemptions, in whole or in part, from the requirements of this part, which may apply to particular persons or classes of persons, and which shall be revocable in the discretion of the Secretary or his delegate.

§ 129.13 Records.

(a) Every person subject to the provisions of this part, whether or not such person is exempt from the reporting requirements of this part, shall maintain a full record of any information which the Secretary directs (including worksheets, journals or other books of original entry, minute books, stock transfer records, lists of shareholders, or financial statements) as being germane to his functions in carrying out the foreign portfolio investment study.

(b) Such information shall be maintained for such period as the Secretary directs, but shall not be required to be maintained beyond the date fixed by Section 10 of the Act for final submission of the study to Congress.

§ 129.14 Protection of Information.

(a) *Confidentiality.* In addition to the Secretary, the only individuals who may have access to information furnished under the provisions of this part are those sworn employees, including consultants, of the Department of the Treasury designated by the Secretary. Neither the Secretary nor any such employee may—

(1) Use any information furnished under this part except for analytical or statistical purposes within the United States government; or

(2) Publish, or make available to any other person in any manner, any such information in a manner that the information furnished under this part by any person can be specifically identified with such person except for purposes of an enforcement proceeding under the Act: *Provided*, That the Secretary may exchange information furnished under the provisions of this part with the Secretary of Commerce in order to prevent any duplication or omission in the studies conducted by each Secretary pursuant to the Act.

(b) *Notification of Customers.* (1) Section 7(b)(2) of the Act provides that:

> Whenever an order under clause (2) of this subsection requires a person to produce information which can be specifically identified as being part of the records of its customers, the Secretary shall, upon being provided the names and addresses of such customers, send a notice to such customers that information from their records will be disclosed pursuant to this Act; * * *

Notice to customers is not required under the Act where a reporter is "directly involved in the ownership or management of assets for the customer as nominee, agent, partner, fiduciary, trustee, or in a similar relationship."

(2) Should any person be required to submit, pursuant to the provisions of the Act or any amendment to this part, information which can be specifically identified as being part of the records of its customers where such person is not directly involved in the ownership or management of assets for the customers as a nominee, agent, partner, fiduciary, trustee, or in a similar relationship, the Secretary, upon being provided the names and addresses of such customers, shall send a notice to such customers that information from their records will be disclosed pursuant to the Act. Any reporter having submitted names of customers pursuant to the provisions of this paragraph shall be required to submit a report on its due date or within 10 days of being notified by the Secretary that notice to such customers has been given, whichever is later.

Subpart C—Description of Forms Prescribed Under this Part

§ 129.20 Copies.

Copies of the forms described in this subpart may be obtained from the Foreign Portfolio Investment Project, Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C. 20220.

§ 129.21 Form FPI–1. Reporting Form for United States Issuers.

On this form, United States issuers of securities or other evidences of ownership, participation, or indebtedness are required to report foreign ownership, by country and issue, of stocks and debt obligations issued by them which were held by foreign persons as of December 31, 1974, and to supply certain information relating the ownership distribution of outstanding issues, and information regarding foreign placement of securities, stock-for-stock or stock-for-assets exchanges with foreign persons, conversions of debt obligations held by foreign persons, and foreign representation on the issuer's board of directors.

§ 129.22 Form FPI–2. Reporting Form for United States Holders of Record.

On this form, United States holders of record are required to report foreign ownership, by country and issue, of those stocks and debt obligations which they held on behalf of foreign persons as of December 31, 1974.

§ 129.23 Special reports.

Any person subject to the provisions of this part may be required to submit a special report which the Secretary deems necessary to supplement information supplied by such person on Forms FPI–1 or FPI–2 or which may otherwise be necessary to enable the Secretary to carry out his functions under the Act.

Subpart D—Penalties

§ 129.30 Civil and Criminal Penalties.

In accordance with section 8 of the Act, the penalties and enforcement procedures described in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section shall apply to violations of this part and any reporting requirement imposed hereunder or any other rule, regulation, order, or instruction promulgated by the Secretary under the authority of the Act.

(a) *Civil penalty.* Attention is directed to section 8(a) of the Act which provides:

> Whoever fails to furnish any information required pursuant to the authority of this Act, whether required to be furnished in the form of a report or otherwise, or to comply with any rule, regulation, order, or instructions promulgated pursuant to the authority of this Act may be assessed a civil penalty not exceeding $10,000 in a proceeding brought under subsection (b) of this section. (---- Stat. ----).

(b) *Civil proceeding; injunction.* Attention is directed to section 8(b) of the Act which provides:

> Whenever it appears to either the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary of Commerce that any person has failed to furnish any information required pursuant to the provisions of this Act, whether required to be furnished in the form of a report or otherwise, or has failed to comply with any rule, regulation, order, or instruction promulgated pursuant to the authority of this Act, such Secretary may in his discretion bring an action, in the proper district court of the United States or the proper United States court of any territory or other place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, seeking a mandatory injunction commanding such person to comply with such rule, regulation, order, or instruction, and upon a proper showing by such Secretary of the relevance to the purposes of the Act of such rule, regulation, order, or instructions, a permanent or temporary injunction or restraining order shall be granted without bond, and such person shall also be subject to the civil penalty provided in subsection (a) of this section if the judge finds that such penalty is necessary to obtain compliance with such injunction or restraining order.

(c) *Criminal penalties.* Attention is directed to section 8(c) of the Act which provides:

> Whoever willfully fails to submit any information required pursuant to this Act, whether required to be furnished in the form of a report or otherwise, or willfully violates any rule, regulation, order, or instruction promulgated pursuant to the authority of this Act shall, upon conviction, be fined not more than $10,000 or, if a natural person, may be imprisoned for not more than one year or both; and any officer, director, or agent of any corporation who knowingly participates in such violation may be punished by a like fine, imprisonment, or both. (---- Stat. ----).

§ 129.31 Fraudulent reporting.

Attention is also directed to section 1001 of the United States Criminal Code which provides:

> Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both. (18 U.S.C. 1001)

Dated: October 25, 1974.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. COOPER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.74-25412 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Monetary Offices

FOREIGN PORTFOLIO INVESTMENT STUDY

Notice of Proposed Forms

Notice is hereby given that the Department of the Treasury proposes to promulgate forms and instructions to implement the proposed Foreign Investment Study Regulations (see notice of proposed rulemaking in today's FEDERAL REGISTER, p. 38772). The proposed forms and instructions are promulgated under the authority of the Foreign Investment Study Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–479) which directs the Secretary of the Treasury "to conduct a comprehensive, overall study" of foreign portfolio investment, and which authorizes the Secretary to require from any person the submission of reports which he determines are necessary to carry out the study.

The proposed forms include Form FPI–1, which is required of United States issuers, and Form FPI–2, which is required of United States holders of record. Also promulgated herewith are general instructions and special instructions applicable to both forms. These forms and instructions shall become effective when published in final form in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Prior to the adoption of these forms and instructions, consideration will be given to any comments, data, views, arguments, or suggestions pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing by November 22, 1974. Such comments or suggestions should be directed to the Foreign Portfolio Investment Project, Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs, Room 5064, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C. 20220.

Dated: October 25, 1974.

[SEAL] CHARLES A. COOPER,
Assistant Secretary.

SURVEY OF FOREIGN PORTFOLIO INVESTMENTS IN THE UNITED STATES AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1974 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

PART I. INTRODUCTION

This survey is being conducted by the Treasury Department pursuant to the Foreign Investment Study Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–479, October 26, 1974), which directs the Secretary of the Treasury "to conduct a comprehensive, overall study" of foreign portfolio investment in the United States. A parallel study of foreign direct investment in the United States is being conducted by the Secretary of Commerce under the same law.

Section 7 of the Foreign Investment Study Act authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to establish by regulation whatever rules he deems necessary to carry out his functions under the Act. The Secretary of the Treasury, having published regulations governing the study, as well as the following instructions and forms, in the FEDERAL REGISTER on _____, 1974 (____ Fed. Reg. ____), and having consulted with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, has determined that the following instructions and forms are necessary to carry out the foreign portfolio investment study authorized and directed by Congress in the Act. The filing of these forms is therefore mandatory under section 7 of the Act.

Information furnished in these forms will be held in confidence by the Secretary of the Treasury in accordance with section 7(c) of the Act which states:

In addition to the Secretary of Commerce and the Secretary of the Treasury, the only individuals who may have access to information furnished under subsection (b)(2) are those sworn employees, including consultants, of the Department of Commerce or Department of the Treasury designated by the Secretary of either such Department. Neither such Secretary nor any such employee may—

(1) Use any information furnished under subsection (b)(2) except for statistical or analytical purposes provided by this Act; or

(2) Publish, or make available to any other person in any manner, any such information in a manner that the information furnished under subsection (b)(2) by any person can be specifically identified, except for the purposes of a proceeding under section 8 [an enforcement proceeding under the Act].

Such Secretaries may exchange any such information furnished under subsection (b)(2) in order to prevent any duplication or omission in the studies compiled by each such Secretary.

PART II. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

A. *Who Must Report.*

1. *United States Issuers.* The reporting obligations of United States corporations, partnerships, investment companies, joint stock associations, joint ventures, and other organizations or groups of persons subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, which issue shares, certificates, or other evidence of ownership, participation, or indebtedness, are governed by the following classifications:

a. *Routine Large Issuer Reporters—Assets Test.* A report is required on Form FPI–1 from every United States issuer, irrespective of whether it has evidence of foreign investment which, as of the latest available closing date of its books, had:

(1) Total consolidated assets of more than $20 million, if it is a nonbanking organization;

(2) Total consolidated assets of more than $50 million, if it is a banking organization;

b. *Selective Small Issuer Reporters—Foreign Ownership Test.* Except as otherwise provided in these instructions, a report on Form FPI–1 is required from every United States issuer which is not a routine reporter under subparagraph a. above, which has evidence of foreign ownership, participation, of any of its securities or other evidences of ownership or indebtedness. This requirement applies without regard to the size of the issuer's assets determined under subparagraphs a. (1) or (2) above.

c. *Exempted Issuers—Annual Sales/Assets Tests.* A report on Form FPI–1 is not required from any United States issuer, irrespective of whether it has knowledge of foreign ownership, which, as of the latest available closing date of its books, had:

(1) Total annual sales or revenues of less than $1,000,000; and

(2) Total consolidated assets of less than $1,000,000.

d. *Acknowledgement Required.* The Treasury will mail copies of Form FPI–1 and general and special instructions to certain issuers. These issuers will be selected on the basis of information indicating that they have or have had foreign ownership, or may be of special interest to foreign investors on account of size, industry, international operations, or other factors. Each such issuer of foreign investment is required to submit an acknowledgement of receipt as provided in specific instructions pertaining to Form FPI–1, even if it is not required to submit a report under subparagraphs (b) and (c) above. This requirement is deemed necessary to insure the completeness and accuracy of reporting, compliance with the reporting requirements, and efficient administration of the study.

2. *United States Holders of Record.* Except as otherwise provided by these instructions, a report is required on Form FPI–2 from any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States who acts on behalf of a foreign person as a holder of record of any security or any other evidence of ownership, participation or indebtedness of a United States issuer. Included among holders of record who must report are trustees holding investments for revocable trusts organized under the laws of the United States where the trustor is a foreign person.

a. *Consolidated Reporters.* Where several nominees, trustees, or other holders of record are affiliated with or under the common control of a single bank, broker, or other institution, such parent institution should file a consolidated Form FPI–2 on behalf of all such holders of record.

b. *Exempted Holders of Record.* A report on Form FPI–2 is not required from the following holders of record:

(1) Holders of record which held for foreign customers investments in United States issuers aggregating $25,000 or less based on fair market value as of December 31, 1974. This exemption does not apply to holders of record under common management or control as described in subparagraph (a) above, except parent institution equal $25,000 or less.

(2) parents acting as custodians for minors.

(3) Estates or trusts where the foreign heirs, legatees, or beneficiaries do not have full control of the management or disposition of the assets of the estate or trust.

(c) *Acknowledgment Required.* The Treasury will mail copies of Form FPI–2 and general and special instructions to certain nominees and other holders of record or their parent institutions. These holders will be selected based on the 1974 Nominee List published by the American Society of Corporate Secretaries, Inc. and other information indicating that such institutions either offer their services to the public as nominees or may be acting on behalf of foreign investors. Each such holder is required to submit an acknowledgment of receipt as provided in specific instructions pertaining to Form FPI–2 even if it is not required to submit a report under Paragraph 2.b. above. This requirement is deemed necessary to insure the completeness and accuracy of reporting, compliance with the reporting requirements, and efficient administration of the study.

B. *What must be reported?*

1. *Inclusions.* Except as otherwise provided in these instructions, reporters must report on both Forms FPI–1 and Form FPI–2 ownership by a foreign person of any of the following interests in a United States issuer:

a. Stocks or investment company shares, including rights, warrants, and script (But see the direct investment exclusion, paragraph 2.b. (1) below)

b. Debt obligations having an original maturity of more than one year. (Portions of obligations having an original maturity of more than one year which will fall due in the current year must be reported even if carried on an issuer's books as current obligations.) Include such obligations as bonds, debentures, notes, mortgages, bank and other long-term loans, and, in the case of financial institutions, certificates of deposit, and certificates of participation. In addition to private obligations, the survey also covers foreign holdings of debt obligations of the Federal, State and local governments including any agencies, corporations, financing institutions, or other instrumentalities thereof.

c. Business or investment trust certificates or shares.

d. Limited partnership interests; transferable shares in a business venture, association, or syndicate; or any fractional interest in oil and gas, real estate, crops, or other investment property.

2. *Exclusions:* Certain foreign investments should be excluded from reports as follows:

a. *Form FPI–1 and Form FPI–2:*

Mutual Insurance Companies. Equity interests in mutual insurance companies arising from insurance policies.

b. Form FPI–1 only (See also specific instructions on Form FPI–1):

(1) *Direct Investment.* Data should not be filed on Schedules A or B of Form FPI–1 on investment by a foreign person in a United States issuer, if the holdings of such foreign person amount to 10 percent or more of the total voting power to the United States issuer. A foreign person who owns such a 10 percent or greater interest is defined as a "direct investor" in the issuer. In such a case, holdings by the direct investor in that issuer should be reported to the Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce. However, holdings by foreign persons other than a direct investor in the United States issuer should be reported to the Treasury on Form FPI–1.

(2) *Investment by Foreign Affiliates.* Investment in the equity or debt of a United States parent company by a foreign subsidiary should not be reported. For purposes of the survey, a foreign subsidiary is defined as a foreign enterprise in which a United States corporation owns at least 10 percent of the voting stock or equivalent ownership interest.

c. *Form FPI–2 only (See also specific instructions on Form FPI–2);*

Certain United States Trusts. Assets of a revocable or irrevocable non-business inter vivos or testamentary trust, where the trustor is a United States person, even if a beneficiary is a foreign person; or of an irrevocable non-business inter vivos or testamentary trust, even if the trustor is a foreign person.

C. *Space on Form Insufficient.*

When space does not permit a full answer to any question on the form, the information required should be submitted on supplementary sheets appropriately labeled and incorporated by reference under the question. To assist respondents in fulfilling this requirement, continuation sheets have been provided. All supplementary sheets, including continuation sheets, should be attached to the form to which they pertain.

D. *Industry Classification of Reporter.*

The Enterprise Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) will be used to identify reporters by the kind of economic activity in which they are engaged. The code for use in this survey consists of two digits, which breaks economic activity down into seventy-five major groups. The code is reproduced in Annex A of these instructions and should be entered in item 1e of Part I in reporting Forms FPI–1 or FPI–2. For those companies involved in several different types of economic activity, use the code number that describes the major activity of the company.

E. *Country Detail.*

Information on Schedules A and B has to be prepared in accordance with the country list shown in Annex B. For convenience of use, countries are listed in alphabetical sequence. Please note that the country identification to be used in the report should include both the name of the country and the code number provided for each country.

F. *Cusip Number.*

Each stock, debt obligation, and instrument reportable in Part II, in item 15 of Part III, and on Schedules A, B, and C, of Form FPI–1 or on Schedule C of Form FPI–2 must be identified by its Cusip number in the space provided. If a particular stock or debt obligation does not have a Cusip number, no entry should be made in this space.

G. *Foreign Currency Securities.*

All amounts requested for security issues denominated in foreign currency should be given in that foreign currency only and should not be converted into U.S. dollars. This applies particularly to items 12 and 15 and to Schedule B of Form FPI–1, and to Schedule C of Form FPI–2.

H. *Dollar Conversions.*

Amounts that have to be converted to U.S. dollars should be converted in agreement with standard accounting principles prescribed by the APB Accounting Principles Board.

If the company uses different conversion procedures, these may be used but a statement should be appended describing these accounting practices or procedures.

I. *Required Information Not Available.*

All reasonable efforts should be made to obtain the required information. When actual information cannot be obtained, estimates will be acceptable but should be so marked with the notation "Est." whenever used. If any information not available at the time of reporting is obtained thereafter, a supplementary report should be filed promptly with a full explanation. Reporters must answer every question on the appropriate reporting form. However, lines and columns on attached schedules which are not applicable to a particular reporter (e.g. certain country lines) should be left entirely blank.

J. *Number of Copies.*

A single original copy of the report shall be filed. In addition, each person reporting shall retain a copy of his report.

K. *Time and Place of Filing Reports.*

Reports shall be filed no later than March 1, 1975, or 60 days after final publication of reporting requirements in the FEDERAL REGISTER, whichever is later. Reports shall be mailed to: U.S. Department of Treasury, Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs, Foreign Portfolio Investment Project, Room ____, Washington, D.C. 20220.

L. *Extension of the Reporting Deadline.*

If a report cannot be filed within the time allotted, an application for an extension of the filing date should be submitted to the Treasury at least one week prior to the original filing date (see Paragraph K. above) stating the reason for the anticipated delay and the time required to submit an accurate report. Upon receipt of an application, additional time for filing may be granted for good cause shown.

M. *Information Regarding Preparation of Reporters.*

Anyone desiring information concerning preparation of reports or copies of forms or instructions, or requiring an extension of the reporting period (see Paragraph L above) should direct inquiries to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs, Foreign Portfolio Investment Project, Washington, D.C. 20220, or he may call Area Code (202) 964–____, or 964–____.

PART III. DEFINITIONS

1. "United States" means the 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Panama Canal Zone, and all territories and possessions of the United States.

2. "Person" means an individual, partnership, association, trust, corporation, or other organization, including the Federal, State, and local governments and any agencies, corporations, financing institutions, or other instrumentalities thereof.

3. "United States person" means any individual resident in the United States or any other person organized or created under the laws of the Federal, State, and local governments and any instrumentalities thereof.

4. "United States issuer" means any United States person who issues, through public or private distribution, any security or other evidence of ownership, participation, or indebtedness, including the following:

(a) Stocks or investment company shares, including rights, warrants, and scrip;

(b) Debt obligations having an original maturity of more than one year, including such obligations as bonds, debentures, notes, mortgages, bank and other long-term loans and other obligations, certificates of deposit, and certificates of participation;

(c) Business or investment trust certificates or shares;

(d) Limited partnership interests; transferable shares in a business venture, association, or syndicate; or any fractional interest in oil and gas, real estate, crops, or other investment property.

5. "United States holder of record" means any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States who is carried on stock transfer records or other ownership records as having title to any security or other evidence of ownership, participation or indebtedness of a United States issuer which he is holding on behalf of another person. The term "United States holder of record" may include such persons as nominees, custodians, agents, trustees, other fiduciaries, banks, brokers, or other intermediaries, who hold title to investments on behalf of the beneficial owners.[1]

6. "Foreign person" includes:

(a) Any individual, including a citizen of the United States, resident outside the United States.

(b) Any partnership, association, corporation, or other organization created or organized under the laws of a foreign country, excepting branches and agencies thereof located in the United States.

(c) Any branch, subsidiary or other allied organization within a foreign country of a partnership, association, corporation or other organization created or organized under the laws of a foreign country or of the United States. Thus, for example, branches of American brokers and dealers (including a reporter's own branches) located in foreign countries should be considered as foreign persons. Branches, subsidiaries, and agencies located in the United States of foreign brokers and dealers should consider their overseas head offices and other overseas

[1] Section 7(b)(2) of the Act provides that whenever any person is required to submit information which may be specifically identified as having been obtained from the records of its customers, the Secretary, after having been provided names and addresses of such customers, shall send a notice to such customers that information from their records will be disclosed pursuant to the Act. This notification requirement does not apply where a reporter is "directly involved in the ownership or management of assets for the customer as nominee, agent, partner fiduciary, trustee, or in a similar relationship."

Form FPI–2 is required only of holders of record who stand in one of the foregoing named relationships to a foreign customer. While the regulations promulgated pursuant to the Act provide for notice to customers that information derived from their records will be reported in situations where notice is required by the Act, the survey does not, under the provisions of these regulations, extend to such situations.

branches of such head offices as foreign persons.

(d) Any government of a foreign country and any subdivision, agency or instrumentality thereof, including all foreign official institutions, even though located in the United States.

(e) Any official international or regional organization, or subordinate or affiliated agency thereof, created by treaty or convention between sovereign states, even though located in the United States; and any private relief, philanthropic or other organization of an international or regional character with headquarters abroad and with a membership of organizations from more than one country.

(f) Any person in the United States to the extent such person is acting on behalf of, or for the account of, or for the benefit of a foreign person except for a holder of a record. 7. "Foreign official institution" means central governments of foreign countries and their possessions and recognized central banks of issue, including the following:

(a) The treasuries, including ministries of finance, or corresponding departments of national governments; central banks, including all departments thereof; stabilization funds, including official exchange stabilization funds, exchange control offices, or other governmental exchange authorities; and fiscal agents of the national governments which have as an important part of their functions, activities similar to those of a treasury, central bank or stabilization fund. *Provided*, That branches or agencies of foreign official banking institutions which are located in the United States shall not be considered foreign official institutions for purposes of these instructions.

(b) Diplomatic and consular establishments and other departments and agencies of national governments, such as army and navy departments, purchasing commissions and state trading organizations.

(c) Any international or regional organization, or subordinate or affiliated agency thereof, created by treaty or convention between sovereign states.

Provided, That the term "foreign official institution" shall not include the following: (i) Nationalized or other government-owned banks or corporations (Nationalized or other government-owned banks should be regarded as "foreign banks" and nationalized or other government-owned corporations should be included in the category "other foreign holders" unless such banks or corporations fall within one of the categories set forth in subparagraph (a) above); and (ii) personal accounts of foreign diplomatic and other official representatives of foreign countries.

8. "Employer's identification number" means the unique taxpayer's identification number assigned to the employer by the Internal Revenue Service (This is the number which should be entered in Part I, Item 1 (Identification of Reporter) on Form FPI–1 and FPI–2).

9. "Consolidated financial data" means the financial data regarding an issuer, developed in accordance with standard accounting concepts used by the issuer, shown in the consolidated report to stockholders included in its annual 10K report to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

ANNEX A:

ENTERPRISE STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION

A: Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing:
- 01—Agricultural production—crops
- 02—Agricultural production—livestock
- 07—Agricultural services
- 08—Forestry
- 09—Fishing, hunting and trapping

B: Mining:
- 10—Metal mining
- 11—Coal mining
- 13—Oil and gas extraction
- 14—Nonmetallic minerals, except fuels

C: Construction:
- 15—General building contractors
- 16—Heavy construction contractors
- 17—Special trade contractors

D: Manufacturing:
- 20—Food and kindred products
- 21—Tobacco manufactures
- 22—Textile mill products
- 23—Apparel and other textile products
- 24—Lumber and wool products
- 25—Furniture and fixtures
- 26—Paper and allied products
- 27—Printing and publishing
- 28—Chemicals and allied products
- 29—Petroleum and coal products
- 30—Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products
- 31—Leather and leather products
- 32—Stone, clay and glass products
- 33—Primary metal industries
- 34—Fabricated metal products
- 35—Machinery, except electrical
- 36—Electrical and electronic equipment
- 37—Transportation equipment
- 38—Instruments and related products
- 39—Miscellaneous manufacturing industries

E: Transportation and Public Utilities
- 40—Railroad transportation
- 41—Local and interurban passenger transit
- 42—Trucking and warehousing
- 43—U.S. postal service
- 44—Water transportation
- 45—Transportation by air
- 46—Pipe Lines, except natural gas
- 47—Transportation services
- 48—Communication
- 49—Electric gas, and sanitary services

F: Wholesale Trade
- 50—Wholesale trade—durable goods
- 51—Wholesale trade—nondurable goods

G: Retail Trade
- 52—Building materials and garden supplies
- 53—General merchandise stores
- 54—Food stores
- 55—Automotive dealers and services stations
- 56—Apparel and accessory stores
- 57—Furniture and home furnishing stores
- 58—Eating and drinking places
- 59—Miscellaneous retail

H: Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate
- 60—Banking
- 61—Credit agencies other than banks
- 62—Security, commodity brokers and services
- 63—Insurance carriers
- 64—Insurance agents, brokers and service
- 65—Real estate
- 66—Combined real estate, insurance, etc.
- 67—Holding and other investment companies

I: Services
- 70—Hotels and other lodging places
- 72—Personal services
- 73—Business services
- 75—Auto repair, services and garages
- 76—Miscellaneous repair services
- 78—Motion pictures
- 79—Amusement and recreation services
- 80—Health services
- 81—Legal services
- 82—Educational services
- 83—Social services
- 86—Membership organizations
- 89—Miscellaneous services

J: Public Administration
- 90—Public administration

K: Nonclassifiable Enterprises
- 99—Nonclassifiable enterprises

ANNEX B:

LISTING OF COUNTRIES AND COUNTRY CODES

The foreign holdings of U.S. securities are required to be reported in the country detail listed below. Insert in schedules A and B on additional lines, the respective country names and codes that are needed in addition to those already printed on the report forms.

Name of country:	*Country code*
Afghanistan	AF–4040–1
Albania	AL–1510–5
Algeria	AG–5010–5
Argentina	AR–3010–4
Australia	AS–6008–9
Austria	AU–1018–9
Bahamas	BF–3531–9
Bahrain	BA–4070–3
Bangladesh	BG–4074–6
Barbados	BB–3015–5
Belgium	BE–1021–9
Belize (British Honduras)	BH–3571–8
Bermuda	BD–3560–2
Bhutan	BT–4081–9
Bolivia	BL–3020–1
Botswana	BC–5050–4
Brazil	BR–3030–9
British West Indies [1]	BW–3600–5
British Oceania	BO–6020–9
British West Africa	WA–5070–9
Brunei	BX–4100–9
Bulgaria	BU–1520–2
Burma	BM–4110–6
Burundi	BY–5080–6
Cambodia	CB–4120–3
Brunei	BX–4100–9
Bulgaria	BU–1520–2
Burma	BM–4110–6
Burundi	BY–5080–6
Cambodia	CB–4120–3
Cameroon	CM–5100–4
Canada	CA–2999–8
Canary Islands	CR–5110–1
Central African Republic	CT–5130–6
Chad	CD–5140–3
Chile	CI–3040–6
China, Peoples Republic of (Mainland China)	CH–4140–8
China, Republic of (Taiwan)	TW–4630–2
Colombia	CO–3050–3
Comoro Islands	CN–5151–9
Congo (Brazzville)	CF–5160–8
Costa Rica	CS–3058–9
Cuba	CU–3070–8
Cyprus	CY–1040–5
Czechoslovakia	CZ–1528–8
Dahomey	DM–5180–2
Denmark	DA–1050–2
Dominican Republic	DR–3080–5
Ecuador	EC–3100–3
Egypt	EG–5700–2
El Salvador	ES–3108–9
Equitorial Guinea (Fernando Po and Rio Muni)	EK–5194–2
Estonia	EN–1540–7
Ethopia, including Eritrea	ET–5210–8
Falkland Islands	FA–3630–7
Fiji	FJ–6060–7
Finland	FI–1070–7
France	FR–1080–4
French Polynesia	FP–6070–4
French West Indies and Guiana	FG–3660–9
F.T.A.I. (French Somaliland)	FT–5230–4
Gabon	GB–5241–8
Gambia	GA–5250–7
German Democratic Republic	GC–1600–4
Germany, Federal Republic of	GE–1100–2
Ghana	GH–5260–4
Gibraltar	GI–1108–8
Goa	GO–4171–8
Greece	GR–1120–7
Grenada	GJ–3670–6
Guatemala	GT–3120–8
Guinea	GV–5270–1
Guyana	

Footnotes at end of table.

Name of country:	Country code
Haiti	HA–3140–2
Honduras	HO–3148–8
Hong Kong	HK–4200–5
Hungary	HU–1550–4
Iceland	IC–1130–4
India	IN–4210–2
Indonesia	ID–4221–8
Iran	IR–4230–7
Iraq	IZ–4240–4
Ireland	EI–1140–1
Israel	IS–4250–1
Italy	IT–1150–9
Ivory Coast	IV–5300–7
Jamaica	JM–3160–7
Japan	JA–4260–9
Jordan	JO–4270–6
Kenya	KE–5310–4
Korea, Republic of	KS–4300–1
Kuwait	KU–4310–9
Laos	LA–4330–3
Latvia	LV–1560–1
Lebanon	LE–4341–9
Lesotho	LT–5315–5
Liberia	LI–5320–1
Libya	LY–5330–9
Lithuania	LH–1570–9
Luxembourg	LU–1160–6
Macao	MC–4350–8
Malagasy Republic (Madagascar)	MA–5340–6
Malawi	MI–5350–3
Malaysia	MY–4360–5
Maldive Islands	MV–4370–2
Mali	ML–5358–9
Malta, including Gozo	MT–1181–9
Mauritania	MR–5370–8
Maruitius	MP–5380–5
Mexico	MX–3170–4
Monaco	MN–1200–9
Mongolia	MG–4381–8
Morocco	MO–5400–3
Mozambique	MZ–5408–9
Nauru	NR–6130–1
Nepal	NP–4420–2
Netherlands	NL–1210–6
Netherlands Antilles and Surinam	NA–3730–1
New Caledonia	NC–6140–9
New Zealand	NZ–6168–9
Nicaragua	NU–3180–1
Niger	NG–5420–8
Nigeria	NI–5430–5
Northern Korea	KN–4440–7
North Viet-Nam	VN–4450–4
Norway	NO–1220–3
Oman (Muscat and Oman)	MU–4410–5
Other Western Europe [2]	OE–1790–6
Pakistan	PK–4470–9
Panama	PN–3188–7
Paraguay	PA–3210–7
Peru	PE–3220–4
Philippines	RP–4480–6
Poland	PL–1576–8
Portugal	PO–1231–9
Portuguese Timor	PT–4500–4
Portuguese West Africa	PW–5448–8
Qatar	QA–4510–1
Reunion Island	RE–5460–7
Rhodesia (Southern)	RH–5470–4
Romania	RO–1580–6
Rwanda	RW–5501–8
St. Pierre and Miquelon Islands	SB–6221–9
Saudi Arabia	SA–4560–8
Senegal	SG–5530–1
Seychelles	SE–5540–9
Sierra Leone	SL–5550–6
Singapore	SN–4601–9
Somalia	SO–5560–3
South Africa	SF–5571–9
Spain	SP–1250–5
Spanish Sahara	SS–5600–3
Sri Lanka (Ceylon)	CE–4131–9
Sudan	SU–5610–3
Swaziland	WZ–5621–9
Sweden	SW–1260–2
Switzerland	SZ–1268–8
Syria	SY–4620–5
Tanzania	TZ–5640–5
Thailand	TH–4641–8
Togo	TO–5620–2
Tonga	TN–6244–8
Trinidad and Tobago	TD–3240–9
Tunisia	TS–5670–7
Turkey	TU–1280–7
Uganda	UG–5680–4
United Arab Emirates	TC–4660–4
United Kingdom	UK–1300–5
United States Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands	TT–6205–2
Upper Volta	UV–5711–8
Uruguay	UY–3260–3
U.S.S.R.	UR–1610–1
Vatican City	VT–1310–2
Venezuela	VE–3271–9
Viet-Nam, Republic of	VS–4680–9
Western Samoa	WS–6261–8
Yemen (Aden)	YS–4708–2
Yemen (San'a)	YE–4710–4
Yugoslavia	YO–1321–8
Zaire	CG–5170–5
Zambia	ZA–5720–7
International and Regional:	
African regional organizations [3]	AZ–7690–2
Asian regional organizations [4]	AX–7590–6
Bank for International Settlements	BS–1330–7
European Fund	EF–1340–4
European regional organizations [5]	EO–7390–3
International organizations [6]	IL–7290–7
Latin American regional organizations [7]	LR–7491–8

[1] Includes Anguilla, Antigua, British Virgin Islands, Caicos Islands, Cayman Islands, Dominica, Montserrat, Saint Christopher and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and Turk Islands.

[2] Includes Andorra and San Marino.

[3] Includes Food and Agriculture Organizations, International Atomic Energy Agency, International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, International Children's Emergency Fund, International Development Association, International Finance Corporation, International Labor Organization, International Monetary Fund, International Refugee Organization, United Nations and its Specialized Agencies and Commissions, Universal Postal Union, and World Health Organizations.

[4] Includes European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom), European Coal and Steel Community, European Economic Community, European Investment Bank, North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

[5] Includes Central American Bank for Economic Integration, Inter-American Development Bank, Organization of American States (Pan American Union) and affiliated organizations.

[6] Includes Asian Development Bank and Southeast Asia Treaty Organization.

[7] Includes African Development Bank.

ANNEX C: LOCATION CODE FOR STATES, TERRITORIES & POSSESSIONS

State and Region	Code No.
New England States:	
Connecticut	110
Maine	120
Massachusetts	130
New Hampshire	140
Rhode Island	150
Vermont	160
Middle Atlantic States:	
New Jersey	210
New York	220
Pennsylvania	230
South Atlantic States:	
Delaware	310
Florida	320
Georgia	330
Maryland	340
North Carolina	350
South Carolina	360
Virginia	370
West Virginia	380
East North Central States:	
Indiana	410
Illinois	420
Michigan	430
Ohio	440
Wisconsin	450
East South Central States:	
Alabama	510
Kentucky	520
Mississippi	530
Tennessee	540
West North Central States:	
Iowa	610
Kansas	620
Minnesota	630
Missouri	640
Nebraska	650
North Dakota	660
South Dakota	670
West South Central States:	
Arkansas	710
Louisiana	720
Oklahoma	730
Texas	740
Mountain States:	
Arizona	810
Colorado	820
Idaho	830
Montana	840
Nevada	850
New Mexico	860
Utah	870
Wyoming	880
Pacific States:	
Alaska	910
California	920
Hawaii	930
Oregon	940
Washington	950
Territories and Possessions:	
Canal Zone	010
Commonweath of Puerto Rico	020
District of Columbia	030
Guam	040
Virgin Islands	050
Other Islands in the Pacific (American Samoa, Midway and Wake Islands)	060

ANNEX D:

CODE OF STOCKS AND LONG-TERM DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Type of security or obligation:	Code No.
Voting common stock	A1
Non-voting common stock	A2
Warrants, rights or transferable stock options	A3
Voting preferred stock	B1
Non-voting preferred stock, cumulative	B2
Non-voting preferred stock, noncumculative	B3
Registered bonds	C1
Bearer bonds	C2
Convertible debentures	D1
Non-convertible debentures	D2
Notes to banks	E1
Notes to others	E2
Bank loans	F1
Other long-term loans	F2
Mortgages	G1
Certificates of participation	H1
Certificates of deposit	I1
Commercial paper	J1
Other ownership shares or certificates	K1
Other long-term debt obligations	L1

Footnotes at end of table.

The data furnished on this report will be held in strict confidence

Treasury Form FPI-1

Reporting Form for U.S. Issuers of Securities

NOTE: Mail completed form to:

U.S. Treasury Department
Office of the Assistant Secretary for International Affairs
Foreign Portfolio Investment Project
Washington, D.C. 20220

Give name, title and telephone number of person to be consulted concerning any question regarding this report.

Name: _____ Title: _____

Telephone: Area Code ( ) Number: _____

Part I: Information Relating to the Reporting Company: (Entries with arrows should be made directly in the "code spaces" column)	Code Spaces
1. Identification of reporter:	
a. Employer's Identification Number---->	/ / / / / / / / /
b. Name of company _____	
c. Address _____	Zip Code
----->	/ / / / /
d. Type of organization (check box)---->	Corporation ☐ 1 Partnership ☐ 2 Business or investment trust ☐ 3 Other ☐ 4
e. Principal business of reporter (use Enterprise SIC Code, in annex A)---->	/ /

FPI-1

-2-

2. Trading of Securities:

a. Check the appropriate box (boxes) to identify the exchanges on which your securities are listed in the United States.

b. Check the appropriate box (boxes) to identify the exchanges on which your securities are listed outside the United States:

	Code Spaces			
	Common (Voting) Stock	Other Stock	Bonds or debentures	Other Debt
(1) New York Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(2) American Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(3) Pacific Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(4) Midwest Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(5) Other U.S. stock exchanges ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(6) NASDAQ ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(7) None of the above ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(1) London Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(2) Amsterdam Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(3) Frankfurt Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(4) Zurich Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(5) Paris Bourse ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(6) Tokyo Stock Exchange ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐
(7) Other (identify)_____ ---------->	☐	☐	☐	☐

	Code Spaces
3. Consolidated financial data:	
(make entries in code spaces provided in right hand margin)	(Dollars) bill. million thousand
a. Total assets ---------->	/ , // , //
b. Total liabilities (excl. net worth)->	/ , // , //
c. Total net worth (lines a-b)---------->	/ , // , //
d. Total net sales or revenues --------->	/ , // , //
e. Total net earnings (after taxes)---->	/ , // , //
	Actual amounts: dollars . cents
f. Earnings per share of common stock-->	// . /
g. Cash dividends declared per share of common stock---------->	// . /
4. If a foreign person(s) holds an interest in your company's voting stock of 10 percent or more, give extent of combined ownership, in percent, of such foreign holder(s)---------->	Ownership percent //

Certification: The undersigned official executing this certification on behalf of the company hereby certifies that the information contained in this report, including any addenda, schedules or statements attached hereto, is correct and complete to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Name of company _____

Signature of authorized official _____

Title of official _____ Date _____

Part II: Information concerning ownership of reporter's security issues as of 12/31/74
Common (voting) stock, warrants, rights, etc., and other stock having voting privileges.
(Fill in required detail on an issue by issue basis.
Use extra sheet for additional issues, if needed).

Item	Voting common stock (with one vote per share voting power)	Warrants, rights or transferable stock options for common stock (no voting power)	Other voting stock (describe and state voting power)
	Cusip No.	Cusip No.	Cusip No.
5. Number of shares, warrants, rights or transferable stock options issued and still outstanding, total --->			
a) Held by U.S. persons excluding U.S. holders of record acting as nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers for other owners --->			
b) Held by U.S. holders of record acting as nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers for other owners --->			
c) Held by foreign persons --->			
6. Value per share of stock, or per warrant, right or transferable stock option:			
a) Market value per share, or unit of warrant, right, etc. as of 12/31/74 --->			
b) Bookvalue as of 12/31/74 per share of stock, or other value (identify_____, per unit of warrant, right etc., based on company books --->			
7. Cash dividends declared per share for 12-month period to 12/31/74 --->			

If, based on your records, any portion of the company's voting stocks were held by foreign residents (see item 5c above) give detail by country and by issue as requested on Schedule A.

Capital stock other than voting stock, such as preference stock or non voting issues of common stock as of 12/31/74
(Fill in required detail on an issue-by-issue basis
Use extra sheet for additional issues, if needed).

Item	Describe each issue below: 1) Cusip No.	2) Cusip No.	3) Cusip No.	4) Cusip No:
8. Number of shares issued and still outstanding, total ->				
a) Held by U.S. persons excluding U.S. holders of record acting as nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers for other owners ----->				
b) Held by U.S. holders of record acting as nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers for other owners ----->				
c) Held by foreign persons----->				
9. Value per share of stock:				
a) Market value as of 12/31/74----->				
b) Bookvalue as of 12/31/74 based on company books----->				
10. Cash dividends declared per share for the twelve-month period to 12/31/74----->				

If, based on your records, any portion of these securities were held by foreign residents (see item 8c above) give detail by country and by issue as requested on Schedule A.

Bonds, debentures, notes and other long-term debt obligations as of 12/31/74
(Fill in required detail on an issue by issue basis.
Use extra sheet for additional issues, if needed).

11. Description of issue	1) Cusip No:	2) Cusip No:	3) Cusip No:	4) Cusip No:
a) Type of issue or nature of loan or other obligation. (Identify each individual issue or other long-term obligation by code number; see special instruction ___ for code references).-->				
b) Year of original offering date. ---------->				
c) Year of maturity date. ---------->				
d) Interest rate (in percent). Give rate on a 2 digit decimal basis. (Example: 6 1/4% show as 6.25) ---------->				
e) Interest payment date. Give months of scheduled interest payments. (Example: June and December show as 6-12; March and September show as 3-9) ---------->				
f) Convertibility. Identify issues that are convertible into stock as (1); those that are not convertible as (2)---------->				
g) Market value as of 12/31/74. Give price per $100 face amount on a 2 digit decimal basis. (Example: 64 1/8 show as 64.12)---------->				
h) Currency in which the issue is denominated, if other than U.S. dollars. Use country code from Annex (B) of the General Instruction to designate currency---------->				
12. Face amount of issue outstanding, total---------->				
a) Held by U.S. persons excluding U.S. holders of record acting as nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers for other owners ------->				
b) Held by U.S. holders of record acting as nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers for other owners ---------->				
c) Held by foreign persons---------->				

If, based on your records, any portion of these debt obligations were held by foreign persons (see item 12c above) give detail by country and by issue as requested in Schedule B.

13. Give list of U.S. nominees, custodians, fiduciaries, or brokers who are registered as holders of record for 1,000 shares or more of your company's stocks, or $100,000 face amount or more of one of your company's debt issues, except for names listed in the 1974 issue of "Nominee List" published by the American Society of Corporate Secretaries, Inc.

FPI-1

Part III: Additional Information on Reporters.

14 Show if foreign stockholders had special or separate representation on your Board of Directors (check box) ----------> ☐ Yes ☐ No

15(a) Did you make primary placements or sales of your securities (including securities of your financing and other U.S. subsidiaries) in foreign countries since 1965? (Check box)---> ☐ Yes ☐ No

(b) Did you issue your company's stock in exchange for stock of foreign companies, for tangible foreign assets, for intangible items such as patents, know-how, manufacturing rights, etc., or for conversion of your own obligations held by foreigners into your company's stock since 1965? (Check box) ----------> ☐ Yes ☐ No

(c) If the answer to either item (a) or (b) above is "yes", fill out the following schedules below as applicable:

Schedule to item 15.(a):

Give description of issue (1)	Cusip No. (2)	Year of transaction (3)	Value of primary placement or sale of: Debt issues (including U.S. subsidiaries) (4)	Stock (including U.S. subsidiaries) (5)

Schedule to item 15.(b):

Give description of your stock (1)	Cusip No. (2)	Year of transaction (3)	Value of your stock exchanged for: Foreign corporate stock (4)	Foreign tangible assets (5)	Foreign intangible assets (6)	Your own Obligations (7)

Code Spaces

*16. Relationship to Treasury Foreign Exchange Forms

(a) Did you engage on your own behalf, or on behalf of your customers, in transactions in long-term securities with foreigners during the year 1974? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

If your answer to (a) above is yes, do you now file reports with the Treasury, through a Federal Reserve Bank, on Form S-1 (Purchases and Sales of "Long-Term" Securities by Foreigners)? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

(b) Are you a banking firm (check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

If your answer to (b) above is yes, did you have liabilities to, or claims on, foreigners for your own account or for the account of your domestic customers during the year 1974? ——→ [|] Yes No

If your answer to (b) above is yes, do you now file reports with the Treasury, through a Federal Reserve Bank, on Form B-1? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

On Form B-2? ——→ [/] Yes No

On Form B-3? ——→ [/] Yes No

(c) Are you a non banking firm? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

If your answer to (c) above is yes, did you have liabilities to, or claims on, non affiliated foreigners during the year 1974 (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

If your answer to (c) above is yes, do you now file reports with the Treasury, through the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, on Form C-1/2? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

(d) Are you a brokerage firm or security dealer? (Check box) → [/] Yes No

If your answer to (d) above is yes, did you have debit or credit balances for account of, or with, foreigners during the year 1974? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

If your answer to (d) above is yes, do you now file reports with the Treasury, through a Federal Reserve Bank, on Form S-4? (Check box) ——→ [/] Yes No

FPI-1
Schedule A.

REPORTING FORM FOR U.S. ISSUERS OF SECURITIES

BREAKDOWN OF FOREIGN OWNERSHIP IN U.S. STOCKS AND OTHER EQUITY INTERESTS, BY COUNTRY 1/

Report filed by:
Name: _____
E.I. No. _____

This is page ___ of ___ pages

Identify stock issue: _____ Cusip No. | / / / / / / / / / | Code No. _____

Foreign countries	Code No.	Number of shares held by foreign persons as of 12/31/74													
				Individuals Residing Abroad				All Other Foreign Persons							
		Total (Columns (4) to (9))		U.S. nationals residing in foreign countries		Foreign nationals residing in foreign countries		Foreign official institutions		Foreign banks, brokers and nominees		Investment companies, insurance companies, pension funds & other employee benefit funds or trusts		Other foreign holders such as corporations partnerships, etc. 2/	
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)		(9)	
		No. of holders (3a)	No. of shares (3b)	No. of holders (4a)	No. of shares (4b)	No. of holders (5a)	No. of shares (5b)	No. of holders (6a)	No. of shares (6b)	No. of holders (7a)	No. of shares (7b)	No. of holders (8a)	No. of shares (8b)	No. of holders (9a)	No. of shares (9b)
Grand Total	9999-6														
Canada	2999-8														
United Kingdom	1300-5														
Switzerland	1268-4														
Belgium	1021-9														
France	1080-4														
Germany	1100-2														
Italy	1150-9														
Luxembourg	1160-6														
Netherlands	1210-6														
Hong Kong	4200-5														
Japan	4260-9														
Bahamas	3531-9														
Panama	3188-7														
Other countries: (See Instructions)															

1/ Exclude direct and indirect holdings in U.S. securities by foreign direct investors. A foreign direct investor is any foreign person owning 10% or more of the voting stock of the U.S. company. (See paragraph B.2.b. of the General Instructions.)

2/ Include foreign holders whose identity is unknown.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 39, NO. 212—FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1974

FPI-1
Schedule B

Reporting Form for U.S. Issuers of Securities

Breakdown of Foreign Ownership in U.S. Long-Term Debt Obligations, By Country 1/

(Give all amounts shown below in the currency of issue)

Identify debt issue or obligation _____

Cusip No. | | | | | | | | | |

Code No. _____

If this is a foreign currency issue, identify currency of issue _____

Report filed by:
Name: _____
E.I. No. _____

This is page ____ of ____ pages

Foreign countries (1)	Code No. (2)	Face Amount of Issue Held by Foreign Persons as of 12/31/74													
		Total (Columns (4) to (9)) (3)		Individuals Residing Abroad				All Other Foreign Persons							
				U.S. nationals residing in foreign countries (4)		Foreign nationals residing in foreign countries (5)		Foreign official institutions (6)		Foreign banks, brokers and nominees (7)		Investment companies, insurance companies, pension funds & other employee benefit funds or trusts (8)		Other foreign holders such as corporations partnerships, etc. 2/ (9)	
		No. of holders (3a)	Face amount (3b)	No. of holders (4a)	Face amount (4b)	No. of holders (5a)	Face amount (5b)	No. of holders (6a)	Face amount (6b)	No. of holders (7a)	Face amount (7b)	No. of holders (8a)	Face amount (8b)	No. of holders (9a)	Face amount (9b)
All countries, total	9999-6														
Canada	2999-8														
United Kingdom	1300-5														
Switzerland	1268-4														
Belgium	1021-9														
France	1080-4														
Germany	1100-2														
Italy	1150-9														
Luxembourg	1160-6														
Netherlands	1210-6														
Hong Kong	4200-5														
Japan	4260-9														
Bahamas	3531-9														
Panama	3188-7														
Other countries: (See Instructions)															

1/ Exclude direct and indirect holdings of U.S. debt obligations by foreign direct investors. A foreign direct investor is any foreign person owning 10% or more of the voting stock of the U.S. company. (See paragraph B.2.b. of the General Instructions.)

2/ Include foreign holders whose identity is unknown.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS RELATING TO FORM FPI–1

1. *Who must report.* Form FPI–1 is required only of United States issuers. For information as to which types of issuers are required to submit Form FPI–1, as well as the exemption level for certain small issuers, please refer to Paragraph A.1. of Part II of the General Instructions.

2. *What must be reported.* General information as to what must be reported by United States issuers filing Form FPI–1 may be found in Paragraph B.1. of Part II of the General Instructions.

3. *Acknowledgment Required.* The regulations and General Instructions require selected issuers receiving copies of Form FPI–1 from the Treasury to submit an acknowledgment of receipt. Form FPI–1, when published in final form and reproduced for distribution, will include a tearoff sheet, reply card, or checkoff entry to be used for this purpose.

4. *Instructions pertaining to specific items on Form FPI–1:*

Item 1.a. For a definition of "Employer's Identification Number", refer to Paragraph 8 of Part III of the General Instructions.

Item 1.c. See Annex C to the General Instructions for the code number of the State or territory listed in the issuer's address.

Item 3. Consolidated financial data should be stated for the latest available year-end or closest 12-month period. Information should be based on consolidations used in reports to stockholders or to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 3.f. If the reporter is not a corporation, enter here the closest equivalent of per-share earnings for other units of ownership.

Item 3.g. This item applies only to corporations.

Items 4. If a foreign person(s) holds an interest in the reporter's voting stock of 10 percent or more, enter the extent of combined foreign ownership by such foreign holder(s).

Items 5(a)(b), 8(a)(b), and 12(a)(b). For a definition of the term holder of record as used in these items, refer to Paragraph 5 of Part III of the General Instructions.

Items 6(a), 9(a), and 11(g). Enter market value as of December 31, 1974. If no transactions in these securities occurred in the market on December 31, 1974, enter market value as of the latest available preceding date when such transactions occurred.

Items 6(b) and 9(b). This information should be supplied as of December 31, 1974. However, if the reporter does not ordinarily close its books as of that date, enter book value as of the nearest available closing date.

Item 7. Stock dividends or dividends in kind should be included in this item.

Item 11(h). If an issue is denominated in a currency other than United States dollars, it must be reported in that currency. For purposes of making this entry, use the country code from Annex B of the General Instructions to designate the currency.

Schedule to Item 15 (a), col. 4. State amounts in this column at face value rather than market value.

Schedule to Item 15(a), col. 5. Enter number of shares and market value at time of placement or sale.

Schedule to Item 15(b), cols. 4, 5, and 6. Give value of stock exchanged at market value at the time of the exchange.

Schedules A and B:

Code Number. Where an interest in an issuer, whether equity or debt, does not have a Cusip Number (e.g. private placements, certificates of deposit), enter the appropriate classification code for the investment from Annex D of the General Instructions.

Country detail. Complete country detail must be entered in columns 1 and 2. If an applicable country is not listed on the form, enter the additional country or countries, including code number, in columns 1 and 2. Use continuation sheets if necessary.

Column 7. If a foreign holder engages in multiple activities, list it under the column representing its primary activity. Example: A foreign bank which operates an investment company should be listed in column 7.

General Note: Neither the number of holders nor the number of shares or amounts should be rounded. Enter actual figures, including fractional shares.

The data furnished on this report will be held in strict confidence

Treasury Form FPI-2

REPORTING FORM FOR U.S. HOLDERS OF RECORD

Note: Mail completed form to:

U. S. Department of the Treasury
Office of the Assistant Secretary
for International Affairs
Foreign Portfolio Investment Project
Washington, D.C. 20220

Give name, title and telephone number of person to be consulted concerning any question regarding this report.

Name: _____ Title: _____

Telephone number: Area Code ( ) Number _____

Part I. Information Relating to the Reporting Firm (entries with arrows should be made directly in the "Code Spaces" column.)

	Code Spaces
1. Identification of reporter.	
a. Employer's Identification Number ---------->	/ / / / / / / / /
b. Name of company _____	Zip Code
c. Address _____ ---->	/ / / / /
_____	
d. Type of organization (Check box) ---------->	Corporation ☐ Other ☐
e. Principal business of reporter (use Enterprise SIC code in Annex A of the instructions)---------->	/ /

Part II. Information Relating to U.S. corporate securities, including debt obligations, held for foreign accounts or customers.

2. Are you a holder of record, directly or indirectly, of shares of stock or of any debt obligations for foreign persons? (Check one) ---------->	☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, file the necessary Schedules C, one each for each foreign person. Number of Schedules C submitted ---------->	/ / / /

Certification: The undersigned official executing this certification on behalf of the company hereby certifies that the information contained in this report, including any addenda, schedules or statements attached hereto, is correct and complete to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Name of Company _____

Signature of authorized official _____

Title of official _____ Date _____

FPI-2

Schedule C

BREAKDOWN OF INVESTMENTS HELD FOR FOREIGN PERSONS

Name of reporter: _____

1. Total number of foreign accounts in your filing: _____

2. This is the report for account No. ____

3. This is sheet No. ____ of ____ sheets for report covered in item 2 above.

4. Country of foreign owner _____
   Country code _____

5. Kind of foreign owner (check box)

   a. U.S. national residing abroad ☐
   b. Foreign national residing abroad ☐
   c. Official institution ☐
   d. Bank, broker or nominee ☐
   e. Investment company, insurance company, pension funds and other employee benefit funds or trusts ☐
   f. Other business firms ☐
   g. Identity unknown ☐

Stocks and long-term debt obligations held for foreign person as of 12/31/74

Identification of investment (Name of issuer and type of stock or non corporate ownership interest, type of debt issue or other obligation) (1)	Cusip No. (2)	Stocks: No of shares (3)	Debt obligations (4)		
			Code (See instruction) (4a)	Face amount (in dollars or foreign currency (4b)	Foreign currency code (See instruction) (4c)

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS RELATING TO FORM FPI–2

1. *Who Must Report:*

Form FPI–2 is required only of United States holders of record. For information as to which holders of record are required to submit Form FPI–2, as well as the exemption level, and the requirement of consolidated reports from multiple holders under a single parent institution, please refer to Paragraph A.2. of the General Instructions.

2. *What Must be Reported:*

General information as to what must be reported by United States holders of record filing Form FPI–2 may be found in Paragraphs B. 1. and 2. of the General Instructions.

3. *Acknowledgment Required:*

The regulations and General Instructions require selected holders of record receiving copies of Form FPI–2 from the Treasury to submit an acknowledgment of receipt. Form FPI–2, when published in final form and reproduced for distribution, will include a tearoff sheet, reply card, or checkoff entry to be used for this purpose.

4. *Instructions pertaining to specific items on Form FPI–2:*

Item 1.a. For a definition of "Employer's Identification Number" refer to Paragraph 8 of Part III of the General Instructions.

Item 1c. Enter both address and code reference. See Annex C to the General Instructions for the code number of the State or territory listed in your address.

Item 1e. Enter the appropriate code from Annex A of the General Instructions.

Schedule C:

Submit Schedule C for each account held for a foreign person.

Item 2. Assign an arbitrary identifying number to each foreign account in sequential order from 1 to the total number of accounts reported. Do not use actual internal account numbers. Enter the appropriate identifying number in this item.

Item 4. Enter the appropriate country code from Annex B of the General Instructions.

Item 5. If the foreign person falls into several categories enter only the category for its major activity. Example: A foreign bank which operates an investment company should be identified only as a "bank".

Column 2. The Cusip number must be listed for any security that has such a Cusip number assigned to it. If an issue has no Cusip number, enter "N.C." (No Cusip).

Column 3. Enter the number of shares of stock certificates, or other units of ownership. Enter actual numbers; do not round.

Column 4. Enter face amount of debt obligations in column 4.b. in the currency of the issue. Do not convert foreign currency amounts into U.S. dollars, and do not round.

Column 4.a. Enter the appropriate classification by inserting the proper code from Annex D of the General Instructions.

Column 4.c. Enter the country code in Annex B of the General Instructions as means of indentification of currency for foreign currency items.

[FR Doc.74–25413 Filed 10–31–74;8:45 am]

federal register

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1974

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 39 ■ Number 212



PART III

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

■

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

General Wage Determination Decisions, Modifications, and Supersedeas Decisions; Index

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor specify, in accordance with applicable law and on the basis of information available to the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and from other sources, the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed in construction activity of the character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of such prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order 24–70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determinations by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138), and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 12–71 and 15–71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue construction industry wage determination frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR, Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision together with any modifications issued subsequent to its publication date shall be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR, Part 5. The wage rates contained therein shall be the minimum paid under such contract by contractors and subcontractors on the work.

MODIFICATIONS AND SUPERSEDEAS DECISIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

Modifications and supersedeas decisions to general wage determination decisions are based upon information obtained concerning changes in prevailing hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments since the decisions were issued.

The determinations of prevailing rates and fringe benefits made in the modifications and supersedeas decisions have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24–70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates (37 FR 21138), and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 13–71 and 15–71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in foregoing general wage determination decisions, as hereby modified, and/or superseded shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged in contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Modifications and supersedeas decisions are effective from their date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR, Parts 1 and 5.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the wages determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Special Wage Standards, Division of Wage Determinations, Washington, D.C. 20210. The cause for not utilizing the rule-making procedures prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 has been set forth in the original General Wage Determination Decision.

NEW GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

Georgia	AR–4051
Iowa	AR–73
	AR–74
	AR–75
Nebraska	AR–75
Texas	AR–72

MODIFICATIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

The numbers of the decisions being modified and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State.

Alabama:	
AR–4020	Aug. 23, 1974
Colorado:	
AR–1022	Do.
AR–1032; AR–1033; AR–1034; AR–1035.	Sept. 27, 1974
Delaware:	
AR–2031	Aug. 30, 1974
Iowa:	
AR–19; AR–26; AR–27	Sept. 20, 1974
AR–57; AR–58; AR–61; AR–62; AR–64; AR–65; AR–66; AR–67.	Oct. 11, 1974
Kentucky:	
AQ–4101	Apr. 19, 1974
AR–4016	Aug. 9, 1974
Maryland:	
AR–2023	Aug. 23, 1974
Massachusetts:	
AR–3132; AR–3136; AR–3139; AR–3140.	Aug. 30, 1974
Minnesota:	
AR–3053	July 12, 1974
Mississippi:	
AR–4030	Sept. 6, 1974
Nebraska:	
AR–14	Aug. 16, 1974
New Jersey:	
AQ–2090	Mar. 29, 1974
AQ–2105	Apr. 26, 1974
New York:	
AR–2064; AR–2068	Oct. 11, 1974
New Mexico:	
AR–34	Do.
Ohio:	
AR–3041	Aug. 23, 1974
Pennsylvania:	
AQ–2085	Apr. 19, 1974
AQ–2121	May 24, 1974
AR–2002; AR–2003; AR–2004; AR–2005; AR–2006.	July 12, 1974
Tennessee:	
AR–4007	July 5, 1974
AR–4021; AR–4022	Aug. 30, 1974
AR–4035; AR–4036	Sept. 13, 1974
Texas:	
AR–39; AR–40; AR–41	Sept. 20, 1974
AR–69	Oct. 18, 1974
Virginia:	
AR–2025	Aug. 23, 1974
Washington, D.C.:	
AR–2026	Do.
Wisconsin:	
AR–3146	Sept. 27, 1974

SUPERSEDEAS DECISIONS TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS

The numbers of the decisions being superseded and their dates of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER are listed with each State. Supersedeas Decision numbers are in parentheses following the number of the decisions being superseded.

Alaska:	
AR–1000 (AR–1042)	July 5, 1974
Kentucky:	
AQ–4020 (AR–4047)	Oct. 12, 1973
New York:	
AQ–2126 (AR–2069)	June 7, 1974
AR–2061 (AR–2071)	Oct. 11, 1974
Texas:	
AQ–113 (AR–70)	June 21, 1974

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October 1974.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

NEW DECISION

STATE: Georgia
DECISION NUMBER: AR-4051
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Heavy Construction

COUNTY: *See Below
DATE: Date of Publication

31-GA-2-A

*Counties: Clayton, Cobb, Dekalb, Fulton, & Gwinnett

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Bricklayers	4.50				
Carpenters	5.23				
Cement masons	4.65				
Electricians	9.55	6%	7% + 1%		½ of 1%
Ironworkers	6.40				
Laborers:					
Unskilled	3.32				
Pipelayers	4.06				
Line Construction:					
Lineman	7.11				
Groundman	3.84				
Painters	5.00				
Power Equipment Operators					
Asphalt Distributor Opr.	5.10				
Backhoe	5.32				
Bulldozer	4.48				
Cranes, Derricks, Draglines	7.85	.30	.15		.07
Loader	4.15				
Mechanics	5.00				
Motor Graders, Motor Patrol	4.41				
Oiler	5.58	.35	.20		.07
Scraper	4.00				
Trenching Machines	5.69				
Truck Drivers to 2½ tons	3.56				
Truck Drivers over 2½ tons	3.75				

NEW DECISION

STATE: IOWA
DECISION NUMBER: AR-73
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

COUNTY: APPANOOSE, DAVIS, JEFFERSON, VAN BUREN AND WAPELLO
DATE: DATE OF PUBLICATION

HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CARPENTERS	$5.50				
CEMENT MASONS	4.15				
LABORERS:					
COMMON	3.14				
RAKER	4.65				
STRINGMAN	3.15				
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:					
CRANE	5.00				
DISTRIBUTOR	4.00				
FRONT END LOADER	3.00				
MECHANIC	4.83				
MOTOR PATROL	6.30	.40	.30		.03
PAVER, ASPHALT	4.65				
POWER SHOVEL	4.25				
FARM TRACTOR W/ATTACHMENTS	3.25				
ROLLER	4.25				
SCRAPER, SELF LOAD	6.30	.40	.30		.03
SCRAPER, 10 c.y. and OVER	6.30	.40	.30		.03
TRACTOR, BULLDOZER	6.30	.40	.30		.03
TRACTOR, PUSHER	6.30	.40	.30		.03
TRUCK DRIVER	3.43				

NEW DECISION

STATE: IOWA

COUNTY: BENTON, IOWA, JOHNSON, KEOKUK MAHASKA, POWESHIEK, TAMA & WASHINGTON

DECISION NUMBER: AR-74

DATE: DATE OF PUBLICATION

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CARPENTERS:					
Zone I-Keokuk	$6.55	.31			
Zone II -Benton, Iowa, Johnson, Mahaska, Poweshiek, Tama & Washington	5.25				
CEMENT MASONS	4.49				
LABORERS:					
Unskilled:					
Zone I-Keokuk	3.59				
Zone II-Benton, Iowa, Johnson, Mahaska, Poweshiek, Tama & Washington	5.10				
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:					
Asphalt plants	3.00				
Bulldozers, cranes, derricks, draglines	6.30	.40	.30		.03
Backhoes	5.17				
Front end loaders	4.58				
Mechanics	5.13				
Motor patrols, finish	5.71				
Motor patrols, rough	4.60	.40	.20		.01
Scrapers, self-loading	4.72				
Slip-form paver	4.80	.40	.20		.01
TRUCK DRIVERS:					
Single axle	3.80	.20			
Tandem	3.90	.20			

NEW DECISION

STATE: Iowa & Nebraska

COUNTIES: That portion of Missouri River in Nebraska & Iowa down stream to the Kansas-Missouri Line

DECISION NO.: AR-75

DATE: DATE OF PUBLICATION

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Channel stabilization work including dredging and rockfilled pile dikes and revetments.

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Derrick; dragline, clam bucket, or orange peel or crane; sideboom, towboat operator	6.575	.35	.20		
Dredge operator of leverman, engineer on dredge (18" and over)	6.075	.35	.20		
Pile driver, (or Engine Man)	6.025	.35	.20		
Mechanic or welder, engineer on dredge (12" up to 18")	5.775	.35	.20		
Dozer, front end loader or high lift, scoop or similar equipment	5.725	.35	.20		
Winch or boom truck, winch (power operator)	5.475	.35	.20		
Boiler (or fireman), oiler	5.375	.35	.20		
LABORERS:					
Piledriver deckhands and piledriver leadsman	5.25	.35	.20		
Laborers and deckhands unloading barge, piledriver, towboat deckhand	5.05	.35	.20		

NEW DECISION

STATE: Texas COUNTY: Taylor
DECISION NO.: AR-72 DATE: Date of Publication
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories). (See General Wage Determination AR-36 for Paving & Utilities Incidental to Building Construction).

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
AIR CONDITIONING MECHANICS	$4.00				
ASBESTOS WORKERS	7.98	.30	.51		.025
BOILERMAKERS	7.80	.30	.76		.02
BRICKLAYERS	7.20				
CARPENTERS	6.00	.25	.30		
CEMENT MASONS	5.41				
ELECTRICIANS	6.95	.30	1%		1/4%
GLAZIERS	4.50				
IRONWORKERS	6.65	.55	.50		.10
LABORERS:					
Laborers	3.20				
Mason tenders	3.80				
PAINTERS:					
Brush, tape & bedding, paperhanger	5.75				
Stage work	6.00				
Spray	6.625				
PLASTERERS	6.60				
PLUMBERS & PIPEFITTERS	7.05		.40		.03
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:					
Backhoes	4.75				
Cranes, derricks, draglines	5.575				
Drilling	5.575				
Oilers	4.65				
ROOFERS	3.94				
SHEET METAL WORKERS	6.26				
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS	3.75				
TILE SETTERS	4.00				
TRUCK DRIVERS	3.00				
WELDERS - receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.					

DECISION #AR-4020 - Mod. #4
(39 FR 30671 - August 23, 1974)
Mobile County, Alabama

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
Painters:					
Brush	$7.88	.30		d	.01
Industrial	8.13	.30		d	.01
Hazardous	8.38	.30		d	.01
Spraying bituminous coatings	8.88	.30		d	.01

DECISION NO. AR-1022 - Mod. #2
(39 FR 30673 - August 23, 1974)
Statewide, Colorado

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
Electricians					
Archuleta, Baca, Bent, Chaffee, Crowley, Custer, Fremont, Huerfano, Kiowa, Las Animas, Mineral, Otero, Prowers, Pueblo, and Rio Grande Counties					
Zone 1 (within 12 miles of Pueblo Main P.O.)					
Electricians	$9.25	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	10.18	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Zone 2 (12 to 20 miles from Pueblo Main P.O.) and (0 to 12 miles from P.O. in Canon City)					
Electricians	9.65	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	10.58	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Zone 3 (20 to 40 miles from Pueblo Main P.O.)					
Electricians	10.00	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	10.93	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Zone 4 (40 miles and over from Pueblo Main P.O.)					
Electricians	10.75	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	11.68	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Electricians on electrical contracts less than $5,000 in Zones 3 and 4	6.91	.42	1%+.25		1/10%

DECISION #AR-1032 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 34957-September 27, 1974)
Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Clear Creek, Denver, Douglas, Eagle, Elbert, Gilpin, Grand, Jefferson, Lake, Larimer, Morgan, Park, Summit and Weld Counties, Colorado

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
Building Construction:					
Sheet Metal Workers	9.12	.30	.90		.07

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION NO. AR-1033 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 34967-September 27, 1974) Garfield, Gunnison, Mesa, Montrose and Pitkin Counties, Colorado					
Change:					
Building Construction:					
Sheet Metal Workers	$9.12	.30	.90		.07
DECISION #AR-1034 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 34970-September 27, 1974) Las Animas and Pueblo Counties, Colorado					
Change:					
Building Construction:					
Electricians:					
Zone I (0-12 miles from P.O.)					
Electricians	9.25	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	10.18	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Zone II (12-20 miles from P.O.)					
Electricians	9.65	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	10.58	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Zone III (20-40 miles from P.O.)					
Electricians	10.00	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	10.93	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Zone IV (Over 40 miles from P.O.)					
Electricians	10.75	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Cable Splicers	11.68	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Electrical contracts less than $5.000 in Zone III and IV	6.91	.42	1%+.25		1/10%
Sheet Metal Workers	9.12	.30	.90		.07
DECISION #AR-1035 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 34976-September 27, 1974) El Paso County, Colorado					
Change:					
Building Construction:					
Sheet Metal Workers	9.12	.30	.90		.07

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-2031 - Mod. #4 (39 FR 31787 - August 30, 1974) Statewide Delaware					
Change:					
Boilermakers (New Castle Co.)	10.20	.65	1.00		.01
Elevator Constructors	10.23	.44	.29	2½+a&b	.02
Elevator Constructors' helpers	7.16	.44	.29	2½+a&b	.02
Elevator Constructors' helpers (probationary)	5.115				
Lathers	8.35	.20	.15		.01

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-62--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36736--October 11, 1974) Dubuque County (City of Dubuque & abutting municipalities), Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
CARPENTERS:						
Carpenters	7.55		.37			
Piledrivermen	7.95		.37			
Millwrights	8.05		.37			
Electricians	8.37	.30	1%		.01	
Roofers	7.35		(.20)			
DECISION #AR-27--MOD. #1 (39-FR-33950--September 20, 1974) Johnson County (City of Iowa City & abutting municipalities), Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
Electricians	9.10	.33	1%		1%	
DECISION #AR-57--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36724--October 11, 1974) Linn County, Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
DECISION #AR-61--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36733--October 11, 1974) Polk County, Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
PAINTERS:						
Brush,roller,drywall,finisher	$8.14			(.25)	.03	
Paperhangers	8.39			(.25)	.03	
Spray,struc.steel,sandblasting	8.64			(.25)	.03	
Tower work over 100',stack	9.24			(.25)	.03	
ADD:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Marble, Terrazzo, Tile setters	7.60					
Marble, Tile setters', Terrazzo Helpers	7.28					

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-65--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36745--October 11, 1974) Black Hawk County (City of Waterloo & abutting municipalities), Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
Plumbers, Steamfitters	9.29	.42	.50		.08	
DECISION #AR-66--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36748--October 11, 1974) Cerro Gordo County (City of Mason City Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
PAINTERS:						
Brush	$6.37		.20			
Tapers	6.47		.20			
Spray	6.87		.20			
DECISION #AR-64--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36742--October 11, 1974) Clinton County (City of Clinton & abutting municipalities), Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
Carpenters	7.74	.35	.35			
Piledrivermen	8.14	.35	.35			
DECISION #AR-58--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36727--October 11, 1974) Des Moines County (City of Burlington & abutting municipalities and Burlington Ordnance Plant), Iowa						
CHANGE:						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:						
Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
Plumbers,Steamfitters	8.05	.30	.60		.05	

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-67--MOD. #1 (39-FR-36751--October 11, 1974) Pottawattamie County (City of Council Bluffs & the area within 3 miles from the city limits)						
CHANGE: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION: Bricklayers:Stonemasons	$8.375	.35	.30		.60	
DECISION #AR-19--MOD. #1 (39-FR-33926--September 20, 1974) Scott County, Iowa						
CHANGE: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION: Asbestos Workers	$9.00	.30	.25		.10	
Plumbers-Steamfitters	9.00	.40	.35		.10	
DECISION #AR-26--MOD. #1 (39-FR-33948--September 20, 1974) Woodbury County (City of Sioux City & abutting municipalities), Iowa						
CHANGE: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION: Bricklayers-Stonemasons	$8.56		.20		.01	

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-4016 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 28833 - August 19, 1974) Hardin, Jefferson & Meade Counties Kentucky					
Change: Asbestos Workers	9.71	.35	.40		
Millwrights	9.70	.30	.30		.02
Omit: Groundmen:					
Zone 1	5.82	.29	1%+.40		½ of 1%
Zone 2	6.17	.29	1%+.40		½ of 1%
DECISION #AQ-4101 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 14113 - April 19, 1974) Franklin County, Kentucky					
Change: Cement Masons	8.45				
Electricians	10.00	.40	1%		½ of 1%

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-2023 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 30761 - August 23, 1974) Baltimore City and Baltimore County, Maryland					
Change: Footnote "j" to read: Holidays A through F plus the employee's birthday, Good Friday and Christmas Eve (providing the employee has worked one day and has been available for work during the holiday week).					
DECISION #AR-3132 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 31810 - August 30, 1974) Essex County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Painters:					
Brush; Taper	8.76	.62	.85		.04
Spray	9.76	.62	.85		.04
Steel	10.88	.62	.85		.04
Plumbers; Steamfitters: Lynn, Lynnfield, Nahant, Saugers, & Swampscott Plumbers	10.25	.70	.60		.03
Sheet metal workers	9.63	.71	.75		.06
Sprinkler fitters	9.63	.50	.70		.08

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-3136 - Mod #3 (39 FR 31827 - August 30, 1974) Middlesex County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Painters:					
Arlington, Belmont, Cambridge, Malden, Medford, Melrose, Somerville, Stoneham, Wakefield, Winchester, Woburn, Reading & N. Reading					
New Construction:					
Brush, Taper	8.76	.62	.85		.04
Spray	9.76	.62	.85		.04
Steel	10.88	.62	.85		.04
Repaint, Alternation & Residential					
Brush	8.01	.62	.85		.04
Spray	9.01	.62	.85		.04
Steel	10.88	.62	.85		.04
Ashby, Ayer, Groton, Pepperell Shirley & Townsend:					
Brush	8.98	.75	.50		.02
Sandblasting, spray	9.98	.75	.50		.02
Steel	11.10	.75	.50		.02
Repaint	8.01	.75	.50		.02
Plumbers:					
Arlington, Cambridge, Everett, Malden, Medford, Melrose, N. Reading, Reading, Somerville,, Stoneham, Wakefield, Watertown, Winchester & Woburn	10.25	.70	.60		.03
Sheet metal workers	9.63	.71	.75		.06
Sprinkler fitters	9.63	.50	.70		.08

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-3139 - Mod. #3					
(39 FR 31840 - August 30, 1974)					
Suffolk County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Painters:					
New Construction:					
Brush	$ 8.76	.62	.85		.04
Steel	10.88	.62	.85		.04
Spray	9.76	.62	.85		.04
Repaint, alterations & Residential					
Brush	8.01	.62	.85		.04
Steel	10.88	.62	.85		.04
Spray	9.01	.62	.85		.04
Plumbers	10.25	.70	.60		.03
Sheet metal workers	9.63	.71	.75		.06
DECISION #AR-3140 - Mod. #3					
(39 FR 31844 - August 30, 1974)					
Worcester County, Massachusetts					
Change:					
Carpenters; Soft floor layers:					
Blackstone, Millville	8.44	.60	.40		
Lathers:					
Clinton, Lancaster, Leominster, Southboro & Uxbrudge	8.72	1.35	.25		.01
Painters:					
Remainder of County:					
Brush	8.98	.75	.50		.02
Steel	11.10	.75	.50		.02
Sandblasting, Spray	9.98	.75	.50		.02
Sprinkler fitters	9.63	.50	.70		.08

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-3053 - Mod. #2						
(39 FR 25873 - July 12, 1974)						
Aitken, Anoka, Benton, Blue Earth, Carlton, Carver, Chisago, Cook, Crow Wing, Dakota, Dodge, Fairbault, Fillmore, Freeborn, Goodhue, Hennepin, Houston, Isanti, Itaska, Jackson, Kanabec, Koochiching, Lake, LeSueur, Martin, Meeker, McLeod, Mille Lacs, Morrison, Mower, Nicollet, Nobles, Olmsted, Pine, Ramsey, Rice, Rock, Scott, Sherburne, Sibley, Stearns, Steele, St. Louis, Wabasha, Waseca, Washington, Winona & Wright Counties, Minnesota						
CHANGE:						
Laborers and Truck Drivers:						
Laborers:						
Zone 1						
Class 1	$6.75	.40	.35	.40		
Class 2	6.85	.40	.35	.40		
Class 3	6.90	.40	.35	.40		
Class 4	7.00	.40	.35	.40		
Class 5	7.05	.40	.35	.40		
Class 6	7.05	.40	.35	.40		
Class 7	7.15	.40	.35	.40		
Class 8	7.18	.40	.35	.40		
Class 9	7.20	.40	.35	.40		
Class 10	7.38	.40	.35	.40		
Class 11	7.43	.40	.35	.40		
Zone 2						
Group I	6.93	.25	.15	.25		
Group II	7.03	.25	.15	.25		
Group III	7.18	.25	.15	.25		
Group IV	7.23	.25	.15	.25		
Group V	7.28	.25	.15	.25		
Group VI	7.33	.25	.15	.25		
Group VII	7.43	.25	.15	.25		
Group VIII	7.53	.25	.15	.25		

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
DECISION #AR-3053 - Mod. #2 (Cont'd)						
Zone 3						
Class I	$6.83	.20	.15	.25		
Class II	6.88	.20	.15	.25		
Class III	7.08	.20	.15	.25		
Class IV	7.13	.20	.15	.25		
Class V	7.18	.20	.15	.25		
Class VI	7.23	.20	.15	.25		
Class VII	7.33	.20	.15	.25		
Class VIII	7.43	.20	.15	.25		
Zone 4						
Class I	6.10	.40	.35	.40		
Class II	6.20	.40	.35	.40		
Class III	6.45	.40	.35	.40		
Class IV	6.24	.40	.35	.40		
Class V	6.50	.40	.35	.40		
Class VI	6.55	.40	.35	.40		
Class VII	6.60	.40	.35	.40		
Zone 5						
Class I	6.90	.20	.15			
Class II	7.00	.20	.15			
Class III	7.05	.20	.15			
Class IV	7.15	.20	.15			
Class V	7.30	.20	.15			
Class VI	7.35	.20	.15			
Class VII	7.40	.20	.15			
Zone 6						
Class 1	5.85	.20	.15			
Class 2	5.95	.20	.15			
Class 3	6.10	.20	.15			
Class 4	6.20	.20	.15			
Class 5	6.25	.20	.15			
Class 6	6.30	.20	.15			
Class 7	6.35	.20	.15			
Class 8	6.00	.20	.15			
Truck Drivers:						
Zone 1						
Group 1	$7.75	.35	.30			
Group 2	7.45	.35	.30			
Group 3	7.35	.35	.30			
Group 4	7.15	.35	.30			
Zone 2						
Group 1	7.22	.35	.30			
Group 2	6.92	.35	.30			
Group 3	6.82	.35	.30			
Group 4	6.62	.35	.30			

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-4030 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 32454 - September 6, 1974) Hinds County, Mississippi					
Change:					
Boilermakers	7.50	.40	.90		.02
Bricklayers	6.92				.02
Stonemasons	6.92				.02

DECISION NO. AR-14 - Mod. #4
(39 FR 29885 - August 16, 1974)
Douglas and Sarpy Counties, Nebraska

OMIT:
Classifications applicable to Channel Stabilization work including Dredging and Rockfilled Pile Dikes and Revetments:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AO-2090 - Mod. #5 (39 FR 11822 - March 29, 1974) Hudson County, New Jersey					
Change:					
Electricians	$11.23	3%	6%+.58		1½%
DECISION #AO-2105 - Mod. #5 (39 FR 11873 - April 26, 1974) Bergen County, New Jersey					
Change:					
Electricians	11.23	3%	6%+.58		1½%

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-2064 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 36799 - October 11, 1974) Albany County, New York					
Change:					
Laborers, Building:					
West side of Hudson River, extending westerly along the north side of 1st St., Watervliet, to half-mile of Rt., 9 to Shaker Road to Rt., 9 northerly to the N. of the Line of Albany Co.:					
Laborers	$7.60	.55	.70		.02
Pipelayers (2 man team) hod carriers mortar mixers (hand or machine), jackhammer op., well pointing, all air or gas driven tools, vibrators, power driven buggies	7.75	.55	.70		.02
Asphalt rakers	7.60	.55	.70		.02
Demolition	7.85	.55	.70		.02
Form setters (curb)	7.775	.55	.70		.02
Wagon drill operator	7.625	.55	.70		.02
Acetylene burners	7.875	.55	.70		.02
Blasters	8.075	.55	.70		.02
Change:					
Building, Heavy & Highway Construction:					
Line Construction:					
Linemen	$9.79	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Cable splicer	10.54	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman digging machine Op.	8.81	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman mobile equipment Op.	8.30	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman truck driver	7.90	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman dynamite man	8.30	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Omit: Building Construction power equipment operators schedule originally issued.					
Add: Building Construction power equipment operators schedule attached.					

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION - POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:

GROUP 1: Oilers

GROUP 2: Firemen and heavy duty greasers, all boilers and steam generators

GROUP 3: Pumps, vibrators, concrete mixers, spreaders, concrete finishing machines, mortar mixers, air compressors, dust collectors, welding machines well points, two or more Herman Nelson and like heaters, batch and plant op., seed and mulching machines, generators, temporary light plants, concrete pump, beltcrete power pac (beltcrete system), electric submersible pump 4" and over

GROUP 4: Dinkey locomotives, Barber Greene loaders, loaders and conveyors, tractors, scoopmobiles, bulldozers, road rollers, form fine graders, power brooms and sweepers

GROUP 5: Black top spreaders, black top rollers, high lifts, fork lifts, one drum hoist or hod hoists, post hole diggers, traxcavators, core and well well drillers (one drum), economobile and similar type machines, elevators, A-L frame winches, power hoisting (single drum)

GROUP 6: LeTourneau graders or scrapers, trenching machines, push cart

GROUP 7: Tractor road pavers, cranes, power road graders, shovels, backhoes, draglines, pile drivers, hoists two or more drums, three drum engines, hysters, two drum and swinging engines, three drum swinging engine, locomotive cranes, gradalls, hydrocrane, model CHB Vibrotamp or similar, Murphy type diesel generator-beltcrete system, side booms, hydro hammer, tractor mounted drill (quarry master), euclid loaders, concrete pumps, all CMI equipment, concrete central mix plant, automated asphalt, concrete central plant, derrick, whirlies, tower cranes, cableways, hydraulic cranes, power hoisting (2 drum and over), mucking machine

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GROUP 1	$8.60	.45	.45+.30	a	.10
GROUP 2	8.66	.45	.45+.30	a	.10
GROUP 3	8.76	.45	.45+.30	a	.10
GROUP 4	8.94	.45	.45+.30	a	.10
GROUP 5	9.24	.45	.45+.30	a	.10
GROUP 6	9.37	.45	.45+.30	a	.10
GROUP 7	9.54	.45	.45+.30	a	.10

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTES:
a. Holidays: A through F.

DECISION #AR 2068 - Mod. #1
(39 Fr 36818 - October 11, 1974)
Rensselaer County, New York

Change:
Laborers, Building:
Begin at Hudson River extending easterly along Washington Ave., Rensselaer to West Sand Lake to Cherry Plains into the Mass., Line:

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Laborers	$7.60	.55	.70		.02
Pipelayers (2 man team), hod carriers, mortar mixers (hand or machine) jackhammer op., well pointing, all air or gas driven toolls, vibrators, power driven buggies	7.75	.55	.70		.02
Demolition	7.85	.55	.70		.02
Form setters (curb)	7.775	.55	.70		.02
Wagon drill operator	7.825	.55	.70		.02
Acetylene burners	7.875	.55	.70		.02
Blasters	8.075	.55	.70		.02

Page 1

DECISION NO. AR-34 - Mod. #2
(39 FR 36762 - October 11, 1974)
Statewide, New Mexico

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Omit:					
PLUMBERS-PIPEFITTERS:					
Zone 1-F	$9.50	.42	.75		.09
Zone 1-F Definition					
CHANGE:					
PLUMBERS-PIPEFITTERS ZONE I					
Zone 1-A	9.34	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-B	9.74	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-C	10.19	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-D	10.64	.42	.75		.12
Zone 1-E	11.09	.42	.75		.12
Plumbers-Pipefitters Zone Definition to read:					
Zone 1-D - shall extend a distance of ten miles inclusive beyond the outer perimeter of zone 1-c					
Zone 1-E - shall apply to all areas not within zones 1-A, 1-B, 1-C or 1-D					
Plumbers-pipefitters - Zone II	9.97	.42	.75		.12
BRICKLAYERS-Stonemasons:					
Zone IV	7.09	.43			
CEMENT MASONS:					
Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height:					
Cement masons	6.37	.43	.30		
Dwelling houses and apartments over two stories in height:					
Cement masons	6.57	.43	.30		
Composition or mastic	6.82	.43	.30		
ELECTRICIANS:					
Zone I					
Zone 1-A	8.40	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone 1-B	8.99	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone 1-C	9.49	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone 1-D	10.08	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone II	9.49	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone III	5.80	.30	1%		1/4%

Page 2

DECISION NO. AR-34

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CHANGE:					
CABLE SPLICERS					
ZONE I					
Zone 1-A	$9.24	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone 1-B	9.83	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone 1-C	10.33	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
Zone 1-D	10.92	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
ZONE II	10.33	.30	1%+.70		1/7%
IRONWORKERS:					
Zone I	7.99	.50	.75		
Zone II	7.99	.55	.60		.10
Zone III	8.99	.55	.60		.10
LABORERS:					
General Building, Heavy Engineering and Residential Construction (Dwelling Houses and Apartments over two stories in height):					
Group I	5.07	.30	.25		
Group II	5.37	.30	.25		
Group III	5.47	.30	.25		
Group IV	5.675	.30	.25		
Group V	5.825	.30	.25		
Residential Construction(Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height					
Group I	4.52	.30	.25		
Group II	4.92	.30	.25		
Group III	5.02	.30	.25		
Group IV	5.225	.30	.25		
Group V	5.375	.30	.25		
LATHERS:					
Zone I	7.045	.43		.50	.02
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: (Residential and General Building Construction)					
Group 1	5.88	.30	.30		.08
Group 2	6.42	.30	.30		.08
Group 3	6.50	.30	.30		.08
Group 4	6.56	.30	.30		.08
Group 5	6.62	.30	.30		.08
Group 6	6.72	.30	.30		.08
Group 7	6.82	.30	.30		.08
Group 8	7.00	.30	.30		.08
Group 9	7.80	.30	.30		.08

DECISION NO. AR-34

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CHANGE:					
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:					
(Heavy Engineering Construction)					
Group 1	$5.78	.30	.30		.08
Group 2	6.32	.30	.30		.08
Group 3	6.40	.30	.30		.08
Group 4	6.46	.30	.30		.08
Group 5	6.52	.30	.30		.08
Group 6	6.62	.30	.30		.08
Group 7	6.72	.30	.30		.08
Group 8	6.90	.30	.30		.08
Group 9	7.70	.30	.30		.08
SPRINKLER FITTERS	9.05	.50	.70		.08
TRUCK DRIVERS:					
(General Building and Residential Construction - Dwelling houses and apartments over two stories in height)					
Group 1	5.00	.43	.30		
Group 2	5.12	.43	.30		
Group 3	5.20	.43	.30		
Group 4	5.32	.43	.30		
Group 5	5.37	.43	.30		
Group 6	5.47	.43	.30		
Group 7	5.57	.43	.30		
Group 8	5.71	.43	.30		
Group 9	5.86	.43	.30		
(Residential Construction-Dwelling houses and apartments not to exceed two stories in height)					
Group 1	4.70	.43	.30		
Group 2	4.82	.43	.30		
Group 3	4.90	.43	.30		
Group 4	5.02	.43	.30		
Group 5	5.07	.43	.30		
Group 6	5.17	.43	.30		
Group 7	5.27	.43	.30		
Group 8	5.41	.43	.30		
Group 9	5.56	.43	.30		

DECISION NO. AR-34

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CHANGE:					
TRUCK DRIVERS:					
(Heavy Engineering Construction)					
Group 1	$5.20	.43	.25		
Group 2	5.32	.43	.25		
Group 3	5.40	.43	.25		
Group 4	5.52	.43	.25		
Group 5	5.57	.43	.25		
Group 6	5.67	.43	.25		
Group 7	5.77	.43	.25		
Group 8	5.91	.43	.25		
Group 9	6.06	.43	.25		
SHEET METAL WORKERS:					
Zone 1	9.06	.48	.40		.02
OMIT:					
Los Alamos County from Zone 1 Sheet metal workers Definition					
ADD:					
SHEET METAL WORKERS:					
Zone 4	10.06	.48	.40		.02
Sheet metal worker's Definition Zone 4 - Los Alamos County					
PLASTERERS	7.25	.43	.30		.06

DECISION #AR-3041 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 30788 - August 23, 1974)
Lucas and Fulton Counties, Ohio

Change:					
Bricklayers & Stonemasons	$10.335	.55	.40		.01
Marble setters	10.335	.55	.40		.01
Ironworkers	10.32	.55	7½%		.04

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AQ-2085 - Mod. #4					
(39 FR 14115 - April 19, 1974)					
Warren County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Painters:					
Townships of Spring Creek, Southwest Truimp and Eldred					
Commercial					
Brush	7.70	.40	.50		.01
Roller	8.00	.40	.50		.01
Spray	8.70	.40	.50		.01
Industrial					
Brush	8.40	.40	.50		.01
Roller	8.70	.40	.50		.01
Spray	9.40	.40	.50		.01
Plumbers & Steamfitters:					
Remainder of County	9.53	.30	.50		.04
Laborers (See Below)					
Omit:					
Truck Drivers					
Schedule Issued in Mod. #3					

DECISION No. AQ-2085 - Mod. # 4

PA-42-Lab-1-j

PA-42-Lab-1-j

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
Laborers:		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
Common laborer, carpenter tender, scaffold builder for masons, window cleaner, form stripper and mover, scaffold and runways, building materials handlers (Loading and Unloading), concrete pitman, puddler, mason tender.	7.02	.40	.40		½%	
Mechanical tampers (power) powered wheelbarrows and buggies & work-lifts, sweepers and similar, mortar mixer, bell bottom man on furnaces and stacks, jackhammer man, concrete buster, wagon drill helper, concrete saw operator, blaster's helper, drill runner's helper (Includes drill mounted truck, track or similar) sheeters and shores, vibrator operators, power tamper operators, y-gun, burner-cutting torch, carryayable pumps, chain saw operator, pipe layers, all material conveyors and elevators, signal man, walk behind fork lift or similar, whacker, sand blaster, maintenance man, west brick buggies or similar, scaffold builder for plasterers' regardless of height, hod carrier, plaster tender, form cleaning machine operator, plaster applicating and/or pump machine operator, paving breaker, asphalt raker, lancer, berfix cutting tool, gunnite potman, blacksmith, tool dresser (cable tools	7.27	.40	.40		½%	
Blasters, wagon drill operator, drill runner, gunnite nozzle man, grout machine operator, walk behind power roller and temper, walder, driller (cable tools)	7.82	.40	.40		½%	

MODIFICATIONS P. 25

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AQ-2121 - Mod. #4					
(39 FR 18398 - May 24, 1974)					
Forest & McKean Counties, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Painters:					
Forest County:					
Brush	$7.70	.40	.50		.01
Roller	8.00	.40	.50		.01
Spray	8.70	.40	.50		.01
Plumbers & Steamfitters					
Forest County					
Contracts Under $10,000	8.29	.44	.45		.04
Contracts Over $10,000	8.80	.44	.45		.04
Laborers (See Below)					
Omit:					
Truck Drivers					
Schedule issued in Mod. #3					

MODIFICATIONS P. 26
PA-42-Lab-1-j

DECISION NO. AQ-2121 - Mod. # 4

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION						
Laborers:						
Common laborer, carpenter tender, scaffold builder for masons, window cleaner, form stripper and mover, scaffold and runways, building materials handlers (Loading and Unloading), concrete pitman, puddler, mason tender.	7.02	.40	.40		1/2%	
Mechanical tampers (power) powered wheelbarrows and buggies & work-lifts, sweepers and similar, mortar mixer, bell bottom man on furnaces and stacks, jackhammer man, concrete buster, wagon drill helper, concrete saw operator, blaster's helper, drill runner's helper (Includes drill mounted truck, track or similar) sheeters and shores, vibrator operators, power tamper operators, y-gun, burner-cutting torch, carryayable pumps, chain saw operator, pipe layers, all material conveyors and elevators, signal man, walk behind fork lift or similar, whacker, sand blaster, maintenance man, west brick buggies or similar, scaffold builder for plasterers' regardless of height, hod carrier, plaster tender, form cleaning machine operator, plaster applicating and/or pump machine operator, paving breaker, asphalt raker, lancer, berfix cutting tool, gunnite potman, blacksmith, tool dresser (cable tools	7.27	.40	.40		1/2%	
Blasters, wagon drill operator, drill runner, gunnite nozzle man, grout machine operator, walk behind power roller and temper, walder, driller (cable tools)	7.82	.40	.40		1/2%	

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-2002 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 25892 - July 12, 1974) Delaware County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Asbestos Workers	$10.52	.50	.50		
Boilermakers	10.20	.65	1.00		.01
Bricklayers:					
Randnor & Haverford Twps.	9.50	.80	.68		.01
Cement Masons	8.83	.91	1.00		
Drywall finishers	8.54	.85	.25	.85	.03
Elevator Constructors	10.23	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers	7.16	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers (prob.)	5.115				
Ironworkers:					
Structural & Ornamental	10.22	.64	1.06		.04
Reinforcing	9.97	.64	1.06		.04
Tile Setters	8.445	.80	.40		
Sheet Metal Workers	10.69	.52	.50		.01
Steamfitters	10.42	.52	.92		.08
DECISION #AR-2003 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 25895 - July 12, 1974) Chester County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Asbestor Workers	$10.52	.50	.50		
Boilermakers	10.20	.65	1.00		.01
Bricklayers & Stonemasons	9.50	.80	.68		.01
Cement Masons:					
Remainder of County	8.83	.91	1.00		
Drywall finishers	8.54	.85	.25	.85	.03
Elevator Constructors	10.23	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers	7.16	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers (prob.)	5.115				
Ironworkers	9.85	.64	1.06		.05
Tile Setters	8.445	.80	.40		
Sheet Metal Workers	10.69	.52	.50		.01

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-2004 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 25899 - July 12, 1974) Montgomery County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Asbestos Workers	$10.52	.50	.50		
Boilermakers	10.20	.65	1.00		.01
Cement Masons:					
Remainder of County	8.83	.91	1.00		
Drywall finishers	8.54	.85	.25	.85	.03
Elevator Constructors	10.23	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers	7.16	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers (prob.)	5.115				
Ironworkers:					
Structural & Ornamental	10.22	.64	1.06		.04
Reinforcing	9.97	.64	1.06		.01
Bricklayers:					
Lower Marion, Abington, Upper Moreland and Cheltenham	9.50	.80	.68		.01
Plumbers:					
Lower Marion, Horsham, Upper Dublin, Moreland, Lower Moreland, Abengton, Springfield and Cheltenham	10.42	.57	.92		.08
Steamfitters:					
Lower Marion, Springfield, Moreland, Horsham, Upper Dublin and Abington Twps.	10.42	.57	.92		.08
Sheet Metal Workers	10.69	.52	.50		.01
Tile Setters	8.445	.80	.40		
Glaziers:					
Pottstown, Lower Pottsgrove, Upper Pottsgrove, Limvick, Lower Drederick, Upper Salford, Sounderton, Greenland, Upper Hanover, New Hanover, Douglas, Marlboro Twps.	8.14	.35	.10		.01

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR 2005 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 25902 - July 12, 1974) Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Asbestos Workers	$10.52	.50	.50		
Boilermakers	10.20	.65	1.00		.01
Bricklayers	9.50	.80	.68		.01
Cement Masons	8.83	.91	1.00		
Drywall finishers	8.54	.85	.25	.85	.03
Elevator Constructors	10.23	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers	7.16	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers (prob.)	5.115				
Ironworkers:					
Structural & Ornamental	10.22	.64	1.06		.04
Reinforcing	9.97	.64	1.06		.01
Tile Setters	8.445	.80	.40		
Sheet Metal Workers	10.69	.52	.50		.01
Plumbers & Steamfitters	10.42	.57	.92		.08
DECISION #AR-2006 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 25905 - July 12, 1972) Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery & Philadelphia Counties, Pennsylvania					
Change:					
Asbestos Workers	$10.52	.50	.50		
Boilermakers	10.20	.65	1.00		.01
Bricklayers	9.50	.80	.68		.01
Cement Masons	8.83	.91	1.00		
Drywall finishers	8.54	.85	.25	.85	.03
Elevator Constructors	10.23	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers	7.16	.44	.29	2%+a+b	.02
Elevator Constructors Helpers (prob.)	5.115				
Ironworkers:					
Structural & Ornamental	10.22	.64	1.06		.04
Reinforcing	9.97	.64	1.06		.01
Tile Setters	8.445	.80	.40		
Sheet Metal Workers	10.69	.52	.50		.01
Steamfitters & Plumbers	10.42	.57	.92		.08

DECISION #AR-4007 - Mod. #4
(39 FR 24806 - July 5, 1974)
Anderson and Roane Counties, Tennessee

Change:
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

LABORERS:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GROUP A	$4.78	.15	.15		.01
GROUP B	4.93	.15	.15		.01
GROUP C	4.93	.15	.15		.01
GROUP D	4.96	.15	.15		.01
GROUP E	5.08	.15	.15		.01
GROUP F	5.58	.15	.15		.01
GROUP G	5.28	.15	.15		.01
Tunnel Construction:					
GROUP H	4.78	.15	.15		.01
GROUP I	5.18	.15	.15		.01
GROUP J	5.33	.15	.15		.01
GROUP K	5.48	.15	.15		.01
GROUP L	5.58	.15	.15		.01

GROUP A - Construction laborers

GROUP B - Mortar mixer, plasterers' tenders

GROUP C - Hod carriers, power buggies, yarner, potman, grademan, snake man, form setter & strippers, pipelayers, Asphalt raker, jackhammer op., air tool op., vibrator op., chain saw op., barco tamp op., all power driven tool ops.

GROUP D - Acetlyene burner

GROUP E - Wagon drill operator

GROUP F - Caisson hole man

GROUP G - Powderman

Tunnel Construction:

GROUP H - Outside laborer

GROUP I - Tunnel laborer

GROUP J - Chuck tender

GROUP K - Concrete gun op., nozzleman

GROUP L - Tunnel miner

DECISION #AR-4021 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 31868 - August 30, 1974)
Davidson County, Tennessee

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
Bricklayers	$8.00				

DECISION #AR-4022 - Mod. #1
(39 FR 31869 - August 30, 1974)
Knox County, Tennessee

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION					
LABORERS:					
GROUP A	$4.78	.15	.15		.01
GROUP B	4.93	.15	.15		.01
GROUP C	4.93	.15	.15		.01
GROUP D	4.96	.15	.15		.01
GROUP E	5.08	.15	.15		.01
GROUP F	5.58	.15	.15		.01
GROUP G	5.28	.15	.15		.01
Tunnel Construction:					
GROUP H	4.78	.15	.15		.01
GROUP I	5.18	.15	.15		.01
GROUP J	5.33	.15	.15		.01
GROUP K	5.48	.15	.15		.01
GROUP L	5.58	.15	.15		.01

GROUP A - Construction laborers

GROUP B - Mortar mixer, plasterers' tenders

GROUP C - Hod carriers, power buggies, yarner, potman, grademan, snake man, form setter & strippers, pipelayers, Asphalt raker, jackhammer op., air tool op., vibrator op., chain saw op., barco tamp op., all power driven tool ops.

GROUP D - Acetlyene burner

GROUP E - Wagon drill operator

GROUP F - Caisson hole man

GROUP G - Powderman

Tunnel Construction:

GROUP H - Outside laborer

GROUP I - Tunnel laborer

GROUP J - Chuck tender

GROUP K - Concrete gun op., nozzleman

GROUP L - Tunnel miner

DECISION #AR-4035 - Mod. #3
(39 FR 33191 - September 13, 1974)
Hamilton County, Tennessee

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
Plasterers	$7.25				

DECISION #AR-4036 - Mod. #3
(39 FR 33193 - September 13, 1974)
Shelby County, Tennessee

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Change:					
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION					
LABORERS:					
GROUP A	$4.95	.20	.20		.05
GROUP B	5.05	.20	.20		.05
GROUP C	5.10	.20	.20		.05
GROUP D	5.30	.20	.20		.05
GROUP E	5.50	.20	.20		.05
GROUP F	5.15	.20	.20		
GROUP G	5.25	.20	.20		

GROUP A : General and common laborers, concrete laborers, track laborers-walkers, cement finisher helpers, plumber helpers, carpenter tenders, asphalt rakers-tampers, form strippers, roofing helpers.

GROUP B : Well driller helpers, storm and sanitary pipe layers, mat weavers, motor buggie operators.

GROUP C : Chain saw operators, jackhammer, vibrator and electric hammers and all air tool and pneumatic tools.

GROUP D : Deep hole man

GROUP E : Powdermen, toolroom attendant, torchman on demolition and salvage, sand hog (free air).

GROUP F : Hod carriers, mason tenders and plasterer tenders.

GROUP G : Mortar mixers

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-39 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 34002 - September 20, 1974) Cameron, Hidalgo, Starr & Willacy Counties, Texas					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Electrical contracts under $300,000	$6.21	.35	1%		1/2%
Electrical contracts $300,000 & over	7.85	.35	1%		1/2%
DECISION #AR-40 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 34004 - September 20, 1974) Cameron, Hidalgo, Starr & Willacy Counties, Texas					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Electrical contracts under $300,000	6.21	.35	1%		1/2%
Electrical contracts $300,000 & over	7.85	.35	1%		1/2%

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-41 - Mod. #3 (39 FR 34005 - September 20, 1974) Galveston & Harris Counties, Texas					
Change:					
Bricklayers & Stonemasons (Galveston County)	$8.69	.325	.30		.05
DECISION #AR-69 - Mod. #1 (39 FR 37352 - October 18, 1974) El Paso County, Texas					
Change:					
Elevator constructors	6.72	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	70%JR	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers (prob.)	50%JR				
DECISION #AR-2025 - Mod. #4 (39 FR 30763 - August 23, 1974) Montgomery and Prince Georges Counties, Maryland; Arlington and Fairfax Counties, the City of Alexandria and Dulles International Airport, Virginia					
Change:					
Plumbers	$ 9.43	.58	.55		.18
Stone Masons	10.10	.35	.30		

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
DECISION #AR-2026 - Mod. #4 (39 FR 30814 - August 23, 1974) Washington, D. C.					
Change: (Sewer & Water Lines & Building):					
Plumbers	$ 9.43	.58	.55		.18
Stone Masons	10.10	.35	.30		
DECISION #AR-3146 - Mod. #2 (39 FR 35092 - September 27, 1974) Statewide, Wisconsin					
Change:					
Electricians:					
Zone 10 - Ashland, Bayfield, Douglas & Iron & the remainder of Burnett , Price Sawyer and Washburn Counties	$ 8.45	1%	1%	11%	3%
Zone 15 - Racine County	9.16	.38	1%	7%	3/4%

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: Alaska STATEWIDE
DECISION NUMBER: AR-1042 DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AR-1000 dated July 5, 1974, in 39 FR 24788
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building (Including Residentail Construction consisting of single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), Heavy and Highway Construction and Dredging

AREA I (North of the 63rd Parallel) AREA II (South of the 63rd Parallel and West of the 141st Meridian) AREA III (East of the 141st Meridian)	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
ASBESTOS WORKERS	$12.17	.51	.65		
BOILERMAKERS	9.56	.65	1.00	.50	.02
BRICKLAYERS; Blocklayers; Stonemasons	11.43	.40	1.00		.09
CARPENTERS:					
Area I					
Carpenters	11.78	.60	1.50		.15
Powder actuated tool operator	12.00	.60	1.50		.15
DeWalt or similar type Saw Operator; Marlite and Acoustical; Fire or Flood Repair work Applicators; Nailing Machine Operator; Saw Filer	12.06	.60	1.50		.15
Millwrights	12.17	.60	1.50		.15
Piledrivermen	10.22	.60	1.50		.15
Areas II and III					
Journeyman Carpenters	11.21	.60	1.50		.15
Acoustical and Marlite Applicators; Floor Workers; Radial Air Saw Operators; Fire and Flood Workers	11.59	.60	1.50		.15
Millwrights	11.74	.60	1.50		.15
Piledrivermen	10.22	.60	1.50		.15
CEMENT MASONS:					
Cement Masons	8.50	.35	1.00		.06
Power Machine	8.80	.35	1.00		.06
Composition, Mastic and colored cement; Hand power grinders	8.96	.35	1.00		.06
CULINARY WORKERS:					
Barkers of combination cook-baker; Head Cook; Working camp manager	9.48	.40	1.00		
Cook	9.12	.40	1.00		
Butcher	9.06	.40	1.00		
Head waiter or Head waitress	8.57	.40	1.00		
Camp worker (waiter, waitress, bullcook, general helper, miscellaneous worker); Bartender	8.47	.40	1.00		
	8.31	.40	1.00		
ELECTRICIANS (Cont'd)					
Electricians; Equipment Operators	$11.91	.50	1%+1.00		.10
Cable Splicers	13.16	.50	1%+1.00		.10
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS	11.17	.395	.26	2%	.02
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS	70%JR	.395	.26	2%	.02
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTORS' HELPERS (PROB.)	50%JR				
GLAZIERS:					
Area I	10.53	.50			
Areas II and III	10.04	.45	.10		.10
IRONWORKERS:					
Bender Operator; Bridge; Fence Erector; Machinary Mover; Ornamental; Reinforcing Sheeters; Structural	12.60	.55	1.25		.13
LATHERS	10.81	.45		1.08	
LINE CONSTRUCTION:					
Groundmen	9.91	.50	1%+1.00		.10
Equipment Operators; Linemen; Technicians	11.91	.50	1%+1.00		.10
Cable Splicers	13.16	.50	1%+1.00		.10
MARBLE SETTERS; Terrazzo Workers	11.43	.40	1.00		.09
PAINTERS					
Area I					
Zone 1 (Within a 10 mile radius of the Fairbanks P. O. including Ft. Wainwright)					
Zone 2 (Beyond 10 miles and up to 40 miles radius of the Fairbanks P.O. including Eielson Air Force Base & all the Sites within this territory)					
Zone 3 (Beyond 40 miles radius of the Fairbanks P. O.)					
Zones 1 and 3:					
Brush; Paperhangers	11.00	.50	1.00		.05
Carpet and Tile	11.02	.50	1.00		.05
Swing Stage, Sign Painters; Utilidors	11.25	.50	1.00		.05
Hand Tapers	11.27	.50	1.00		.05
Structural Steel; Sand Blasters; Spray Painters	11.50	.50	1.00		.05
Buffer Operator	11.53	.50	1.00		.05
Machine Tapers	11.77	.50	1.00		.05

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
PAINTERS: (Cont'd)					
Zones 1 and 3: (Cont'd)					
Hardwood Floor Finishers	$11.75	.50	1.00		.05
Epoxy Applicators and Spray Steel	12.00	.50	1.00		.05
Swing and Boom Spray	12.25	.50	1.00		.05
Zone 2:					
Brush; Paperhangers	11.85	.50	1.00		.05
Swing Stage; Sign Painters; Utilidors	12.10	.50	1.00		.05
Tapers, Hand	12.12	.50	1.00		.05
Structural Steel, Sand Blasters; Spray Painters	12.35	.50	1.00		.05
Hardwood Floor Finishers	12.60	.50	1.00		.05
Tapers, Machine	12.62	.50	1.00		.05
Spray Steel; Epoxy Applicator	12.85	.50	1.00		.05
Swing and Boom Spray	13.10	.50	1.00		.05
Buffer Operators	12.38	.50	1.00		.05
Areas II and III					
Hardwood Floor Sander or Finisher; Paperhanger; Sign, Brush, and Roller Painters	10.80	.50	1.00		.10
Swing Stage	11.05	.50	1.00		.10
Structural Steel; Tapers and Drywall Sander	11.20	.50	1.00		.10
Pot Tenders; Sandblasters; Spray	11.40	.50	1.00		.10
Epoxy and Tar Applicator	11.80	.50	1.00		.10
Steeplejack; Towers Painter	12.60	.50	1.00		.10
PLASTERERS	9.46	.35	1.00		.06
PLUMBERS; Steamfitters:					
Area I	10.57	.85	1.30		.05
Area II	13.00	.73	1.00		.10
Area III	11.95				
ROOFERS:					
Area I	10.10	.45	.50		.10
Areas II and III	9.80	.45	.50		.10

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
SHEET METAL WORKERS:					
Area I	$ 14.76	.52	.50		
Areas II and III	11.82	.41	.50		.07
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS:					
Area I					
Zones 1 and 3	10.02	.70	.88		.05
Zone 2	10.87	.70	.88		.05
Areas II and III	11.00	.45	.50	4%	.10
SPRINKLER FITTERS	11.74	.50	.70		.08
TERRAZZO WORKERS' & TILE SETTERS' HELPERS	8.59	.40	1.00		.09
TILE SETTERS	10.77	.40	1.00		.09
RIGGERS; Welder: Receive rates for craft performing operation to which rigging or welding is incidental.					
LABORERS					
Group 1	8.58	.45	1.25		.14
Group 2	8.74	.45	1.25		.14
Group 3	8.78	.45	1.25		.14
Group 4	8.80	.45	1.25		.14
Group 5	8.84	.45	1.25		.14
Group 6	8.85	.45	1.25		.14
Group 7	8.90	.45	1.25		.14
Group 8	8.97	.45	1.25		.14
Group 9	9.21	.45	1.25		.14
Group 10	9.24	.45	1.25		.14
Group 11	9.31	.45	1.25		.14
Group 12	9.33	.45	1.25		.14
Group 13	9.34	.45	1.25		.14
Group 14	9.38	.45	1.25		.14
Group 15	9.45	.45	1.25		.14
Group 16	9.56	.45	1.25		.14
Group 17	9.68	.45	1.25		.14
Group 18					
Class A:	8.58	.45	1.25		.14
Class B:	8.76	.45	1.25		.14
Class C	9.05	.45	1.25		.14
Class D:	9.24	.45	1.25		.14
Class E:	9.58	.45	1.25		.14
Class F:	9.72	.45	1.25		.14
Class G:	9.95	.45	1.25		.14

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 1	$10.83	.70	2.00		.10
Group 2	11.72	.70	2.00		.10
Group 3	10.76	.70	2.00		.10
Group 4	10.89	.70	2.00		.10
Group 5	11.78	.70	2.00		.10
Group 6	12.56	.70	2.00		.10
Group 7	11.15	.70	2.00		.10
Group 8	10.32	.70	2.00		.10
Group 9	11.21	.70	2.00		.10
Group 10	11.48	.70	2.00		.10
Group 11	10.97	.70	2.00		.10
Group 12	13.31	.70	2.00		.10
Group 13	10.84	.70	2.00		.10
Group 14	11.24	.70	2.00		.10
Group 15	10.74	.70	2.00		.10
Group 16	11.27	.70	2.00		.10
Group 17	11.57	.70	2.00		.10
Group 18	9.66	.70	2.00		.10
Group 19	10.21	.70	2.00		.10
Group 20	11.16	.70	2.00		.10
Group 21	10.48	.70	2.00		.10
Group 22	9.81	.70	2.00		.10
Group 23	10.25	.70	2.00		.10
Group 24	10.42	.70	2.00		.10
Group 25	14.08	.70	2.00		.10
Group 26	14.75	.70	2.00		.10
Group 27	11.41	.70	2.00		.10
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS DREDGING					
Group 1	9.58	.45	1.00		
Group 2	9.71	.45	1.00		
Group 3	10.64	.45	1.00		
Group 4	10.70	.45	1.00		
Group 5	10.76	.45	1.00		
Group 6	11.27	.45	1.00		
Group 7					
(a)	12.05	.45	1.00		
(b)	12.80	.45	1.00		

TRUCK DRIVERS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Group 1	9.50	.68	1.60		.04
Group 2	9.18	.68	1.60		.04
Group 3	9.24	.68	1.60		.04
Group 4	8.71	.68	1.60		.04
Group 5	9.56	.68	1.60		.04
Group 6	9.96	.68	1.60		.04
Group 7	10.27	.68	1.60		.04
Group 8	10.56	.68	1.60		.04
Group 9	10.87	.68	1.60		.04
Group 10	9.70	.68	1.60		.04
Group 11	8.43	.68	1.60		.04
Group 12	8.86	.68	1.60		.04
Group 13	9.54	.68	1.60		.04
Group 14	9.80	.68	1.60		.04
Group 15	10.07	.68	1.60		.04
Group 16	10.34	.68	1.60		.04
Group 17	10.51	.68	1.60		.04
Group 18	9.48	.68	1.60		.04
Group 19	8.60	.68	1.60		.04

LABORERS

Group 1: Brush cutters; Building laborers; Carpenter tenders; Ditch diggers; Dryer man; Dump man; Form strippers; General laborer; Hook tenders; Material handlers; Monolithic workers (sewer); Pit man; Sandblast pot tenders; Signal man

Group 2: Base and floor machine man

Group 3: Choke setters

Group 4: Asphalt - general crew; Concrete labor (including Vibratorman, Power buggy, and Concrete power saw); Curb and gutter man

Group 5: Pneumatic or power tools

Group 6: Grademan; Stake hopper; Steam point or waterman jet operator

Group 7: Tank cleaners

Group 8: Chuck tender (wagon and air tract drills); Jackhammer and pavement breakers

Group 9: Mason tender and mud mixer

Group 10: Cement or lime dumper or handler (sack or bulk); Gunnite operator; High scaler; Monolithic crews (tunnel-all); Pipe builders; Pipe layers, culvert and multiplate (including sewer); Sandblaster; Sewer caulker; Slope (over 20 feet); Timber faller, bucker, chain and other power saws; Timberman

Group 11: Asphalt raker

Group 12: Burning and cutting torch (except intermittent torch work)

Group 13: Wagon driller (except air tract)

Group 14: Tenders to plasterers, bricklayers, and cement finishers

Group 15: Air tract wagon driller

Group 16: Chain saw filer

Group 17: High rigger and the topper; Powderman

Group 18: TUNNEL OR SHAFT

Class A: Brakeman; Topman and bull gang
Class B: Muckers and laborers; Nippers
Class C: Chuck tenders
Class D: Maintenance man
Class E: Miners; Retimberman
Class F: Miners, shaft and raises
Class G: Powderman

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

Group 1: Batch Plant Operator: Batch and Mixer, 200 yards per hour and under; Loader; Elevating - Athey, Barber Greene and similar types; Over - head and Front-end under 2- yards; Mixers: Concrete Mixers and Batch, 200 yards per hour and under; Pumps: Fuller Kenyon, Pumpcrete and Concrete, Rollers, Tampers and Vibrators: On Plant or Road Mix Materials; Tractors, Farmall type, 50 h.p. and over; Trenching Machines: Under 16 inches

Group 2: Batch Plant Operator: Batch and Mixer, over 200 yards; Derrick all, Drilling Machines, Core, Cable Rotary and Exploration; Mixers: Mobile type with hoist combination; Mucking Machines, Mole, Tunnel Drill and/or Shield

Group 3: Brooms, Power Wayne, Saginaw and similar types; Bulldozers: Under D6 or similar; Post Hole Diggers, Mechanical; Saws, Concrete; Scrapers: Carryall type, single

Group 4: Bulldozers: D6 through D9 or similar; Bump Cutter (Concut Christenson or similar types); Conveyors

Group 5: Bulldozers: TC 12, Quad 9, Pay Dozers, Linked Pusher, H.D. 41 and/or similar; Bulldozers engaged in Yo Yo Operation; Cableways and Highlines: 3 yards and under; Cranes: "A" Frame Trucks, Deck Winches, single power drum; "A" Frame Trucks Helicopter (Deck Winches, double power drum); Crawler, Truck type, Floating Locomotive, Whirley, either 3 yards and under or 150 boom including jibs and under 45 tons and under; Hydralifts or Transporter, Hyster Cat Cranes and attachments, Sidebooms 45 tons and under; Loaders: Overhead and Front End 2½ yards and under 4 yards; Motor Patrol Graders: Over Model 14 or similar types; Piledrivers Engineers, L. B. Foster Puller or similar Paving Breaker; Power Plant Turbine Operators; 300 K.W. on highway or airfield construction projects or Quarry Operations); Sauerman-Bagley; Shovels: Crawler and truck type, all attachments, 3 yards and under; Sub Grader (Gurries, C.M.I. and similar types); Tractors Farmall type, used as Backhoes, Rubber-tired (Ford, Ferguson, Case and similar types); Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 25 yards through 40 yards; Hover Craft, Flex Craft, Loadmaster, Air Cushion, Terrain Vehicle, Helicopter Transporter, Cableways, Rollagon, Dredge Barge Cable, Highline or Cable Car, Camp Maintenance Engineer, Boat Coxswains

Group 6: Cableways and Highlines: Over 3 yards; Cranes: Crawler, Truck type, Floating, Locomotive, Whirley, either over 3 yards and under 6 yards, or over 150' boom, including jibs of over 45 tons; Tower Cranes, Pecos, Lorraine, Bucyrus and similar types; Hyster Cat Cranes and attachments, Sidebooms over 45 tons thru 100 tons; Loader: Overhead and Front-end 4 yards up to and including 10 yards; Shovels: Crawler and Truck type, all attachments, 3 yards and under 6 yards; Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 40 yards through 55 yards; Slip Form Paver, C.M.I. and similar types

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)

Group 7: Cement Hogs; Locomotives: Rod and Geared Engines

Group 8: Compressors: Excavating; Locomotives: Dinkey (air, steam, gas, and electric) Speeders

Group 9: Compressors: Steel Erection including Sand Blasting, Painting of same, Pile Driving, Hoists on Steel Erection; Loaders: Fork Lifts with Tower; Scrapers: Carryall type, Tandem

Group 10: Conveyors, Beltcrete with power pack and similar types; Loaders: Elevating Belt type, Euclid and similar types

Group 11: Cranes: "A" Frame Trucks, Deck Winches, single power drum; Crushers, rock, washing and screening plants; Hoist Air Tuggers, Elevators; Motor Patrol Graders: Model 14 or similar types and under; Power Plant Turbine Operators: under 300 K.W.; Shovels; Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid, Scrapers and similar type equipment 25 yards and under

Group 12: Cranes: Crawler, truck type, Floating, Locomotive, Whirley, either 6 yards or over 200' of boom including Jibs or over 150 tons; Hyster Cat Cranes and attachments, Sidebooms over 100 tons; Loaders: Overhead and Front-end over 10 yards; Shovels: Crawler and Truck type, all attachments, 6 yards and over; Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 55 yards through 70 yards

Group 13: Finishing Machine Operator, Concrete Paving, Sidewalk Curb and Gutter Machine; Screen Man or Slurry Operator; Spreaders - Blaw Knox, Cedarapids, Barber Greene, Railroad Wing Spreader or similar types

Group 14: Loaders: Elevating Grader type, Dumor and similar types

Group 15: Loaders: Fork Lifts or Lumber Carrier (on construction job site)

Group 16: Mechanics, Welders, Machinists, heavy duty

Group 17: Mechanic Leadman

Group 18: Mechanics' Helpers, heavy duty shop, Stake Hopper, Grademan

Group 19: Mechanic, light duty; Rollers, Tampers and Vibrators: Others than plants or road mix (where towed, to pay rate of equipment used to pull); Tractors, Farmall type less than 50 h.p.

Group 20: Mixers: Asphalt Mixer

Group 21: Oil, Blower Distributors

Group 22: Safety Observer or Oiler Rigger

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (Cont'd)

Group 23: Journeyman Equipment Service Oilers and Firemen; Oiler, either over 3 yards or over 150' boom or over 45 tons

Group 24: Pumps: Water

Group 25: Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 70 yards through 85 yards

Group 26: Tournapulls, Caterpillar, Euclid Scrapers and similar type equipment over 85 yards through 100 yards

Group 27: Trenching Machines: 16 inches and over or Remote Controlled Underwater Trenching

POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS - DREDGING

Group 1: Assistant Mate (Deckhand)

Group 2: Fireman; Oiler

Group 3: Assistant Engineer (electric, diesel, steam of booster pumps); Mates and Boatmen

Group 4: Engineer Welder; Craneman

Group 5: Assistant Engineer (electric generator operator for primary pump, power barge, or dredge)

Group 6: Leverman, Hydraulic

Group 7: Leverman, Dipper
(a) 5 yards and under
(b) Over 5 yards

TRUCK DRIVERS

Group 1: Rockbuggy

Group 2: Buggymobile; Hyster Operators (handling bulk aggregate); Lumber Carriers

Group 3: Semi or Truck and Trailer; Dumpsters; Batch Trucks over 5 yds.; Batch Trucks over 5 yds. up to and incl. 10 yds.; Greaser, tire service men; Flat Beds, dual rear axle; Ready Mix; Over 3 yds. up to and including 5 yds.; Water Wagon, Semi; Water Wagon, Dual axle; Winch truck, flat bed including "A" Frame manufactured rating over 5 tons; Bull Lifts and Fork Lifts over 5 tons; Partsman and Outside Expeditor; Bus Operators, over 30 passengers.

TRUCK DRIVERS (Cont'd)

Group 4: Batch Trucks up to and including 5 yds.; Dump trucks up to and including 5 yds.; Flat Beds, single rear axle; Water Wagon, single axle; Winch Truck, flat bed, including "A" Frame manufactured rating 5 tons and under; Bull Lifts and Fork Lifts up to and including 5 tons; Bus Operators, up to 30 passengers

Group 5: Dumpsters: Over 10 yds. up to and including 20 yds.; Lowbed Heavy Duty Trailer; Oil Distributor Driver; Ready Mix: Compactor (when pulled by rubber tired equipment)

Group 6: Dumpsters: Over 20 yds. up to and including 30 yds.

Group 7: Dumpsters: Over 30 yds. up to and including 40 yds.

Group 8: Dumpsters: Over 40 yds. up to and including 50 yds.

Group 9: Dumpsters: Over 50 yds.

Group 10: Turn-O-Wagon or DW-10 when not self loading

Group 11: Gravel Spreader box operator on truck; Pickup; Swapers and Riggers

Group 12: Ready Mix: Up to and including 3 yds.

Group 13: Ready Mix: Over 5 yds. up to and including 7 yds.

Group 14: Ready Mix: Over 7 yds. up to and including 9 yds.

Group 15: Ready Mix: Over 9 yds. up to and including 12 yds.

Group 16: 13 yards and over

Group 17: Semi with double bowl mixer

Group 18: Water Wagon, when pulled by Euclid or similar type equipment

Group 19: Warehousemen

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: Kentucky COUNTY: Boyd
DECISION NUMBER: AR 4047 DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AQ-4020 dated October 12, 1973 in 38 FR 28516
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories).

10-KY-1-P

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Asbestos workers	$8.65	.25	.25		.02
Boilermakers-Blacksmiths	7.95	.30	.60		.01
Boilermakers-Blacksmiths' helpers	7.70	.30	.60		.01
Bricklayers:					
Bricklayers	9.13				
Stone masons	9.13				
Carpenters:					
Carpenters	8.18	.40	.50		.03
Millwrights	8.70	.30	.37		.02
Piledrivermen	8.45	.40	.50		.03
Cement masons	8.93				
Electricians:					
Electricians	8.90	.30	1% + .32	1.02	.04
Cable splicers	9.79	30	1% + .32	1.02	.04
Linemen	8.31	.25	1% + .27	1.02	.02
Groundmen	6.65	.25	1% + .27	1.02	.02
Cable splicers	9.14	.25	1% + .27	1.02	
Elevator constructors	8.08	.17	.185	½+a&b	.005
Elevator constructors helpers	70%JR	.17	.185	½+a&b	.005
Elevator constructors helpers (prob.)	50%JR				
Ironworkers:					
Structural	9.66	.55	.55		.01
Ornamental	9.66	.55	.55		.01
Reinfrocing	9.66	.55	.55		.01
Lathers	7.05		.10		
Leadburners	8.25	.30		d	.01
Painters:					
Commercial:					
Brush	6.23				
Spray	6.78				
Industrial:					
Brush	7.24				
Spray	7.44				
Plasterers	8.93				
Plumbers and steam fitters:					
Within a 5 mile radius of 17th Street & Winchester Ave., Ashland	7.40	.35	.60	c+1.00	.10
Over 5 mi. & within a 15 mile radius of 17th Street & Winchester Ave., Ashland	7.60	.35	.60	c+1.00	.10
Over 15 & within 30 mi. radius of 17th & Winchester Ave., Ashland	7.80	.35	.75	c+1.00	.10
Over 30 miles radius of 17th St. & Winchester Ave., Ashland	7.90	.35	.60	c+1.00	.10

10-KY-1-P

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Roofers	8.82	.45	.30		
Sheet metal workers	9.21	.45	.40		.05
Soft floor layers	8.18	.40	.50		.03
Sprinkler fitters	9.10	.50	.70		.08
Welders-Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental					

Footnotes:

a. Six paid holidays: A through F

b. Employer contributes 1% of regular hourly rate to vacation pay credit for employee who has worked in business more than 5 years. Employer contributes 2% of regular hourly rate to vacation pay credit for employee who worked in business less than 5 years.

c. Two paid holidays: C and F.

d. Nine paid holidays: A through F, plus Washington's Brithday, Good Friday and Christmas Eve providing employee has worked 45 full days during the 120 calendar days prior to the holiday and the regular scheduled work days immediately preceding and following the holiday.

PAID HOLIDAYS

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

KY-10-LAB-1-M (1-1)

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GROUP I	5.86	.25	.25		
GROUP II	6.01	.25	.25		
GROUP III	6.03	.25	.25		
GROUP IV	6.06	.25	.25		
GROUP V	6.11	.25	.25		
GROUP VI	6.36	.25	.25		
GROUP VII	6.56	.25	.25		
GROUP VIII	7.06	.25	.25		

GROUP I
Laborers, carpenters' helpers, concrete men, wreckers, wall men, handlers of empty oxygen and acetylene bottles

GROUP II
Hod carriers, mortar men, cement finishers' helpers, lathers and plasterers' tenders

GROUP III
Wrapping, heating and applying hot and cold tar on all pipes, applying tape on pipes and operating of tester

GROUP IV
Deck hand and scow men

GROUP V
Jackhammer and electrical, gas or air power driven tools, burning torch, wagon drill operators and tile layers, handling of all creosote material, signal men, tool room men, asphalt raker and sandblasters

GROUP VI
Rock and powdermen

GROUP VII
Sand hog or mucker, tunnel miners

GROUP VIII
Caisson workers

KY-1-PEO-1-I

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
CLASS A	7.75	.25	.25		
CLASS B	6.11	25	.25		
CLASS C	6.67	.25	.25		

CLASS A
Auto patrol, batcher plant, bituminous paver, cableway, central compressor plant, Clamshell, concrete mixer (21 cu. ft. or over), concrete pump, crane, crusher plant, derrick, derrick boat, ditching and trenching machine, dragline, dredge operator, dredge engineer, elevating grader and all types of loaders, hoetype machine, hoisting engine (2 or more drums) locomotive, motor scraper, carry-all scoop, bulldozer, heavy duty welder, mechanic, orangepeel bucket, pile driver, power blade, motor grader, roller (bituminous), scarifier, shovel, tractor shovel, truck crane, winch truck, push dozer, highlift, forklift (regardless of lift height), all types of boom cats, core drill, hopto, tow or push boat, A-Frame winch truck, concrete paver, gradeall, hoist, hyster, pumpcrete, ross carrier, side boom, tail boom rotary drill, hydro hammer, mucking machine, rock spreader attached to equipment, scoopmobile, KeCal loader, tower cranes (French, German and other types), hydro crane, backfiller, gurries, sub-grader

CLASS B
All air compressors, (600 cu. ft. per min. or greater capacity), bituminous mixer, joint sealing machine, concrete mixer (under 21 cu. ft.), form grader, roller (rock), tractor (50 H. P. and over) bull float, finish machine outboard motor boat, flexo-plane, fireman, boom type tamping machine, truck crane oiler, greaser on grease facilities servicing heavy equipment, switchman or brakeman, mechanic helper, whirley oiler, self propelled compactor, tractair and road widening trencher and farm tractor with attachments except backhoe, highlift and end loader, elevator (regardless of ownership when used for hoisting any building material), hoisting engine (one drum or buck hoist), well points, grout pump, throttle-valve man, tugger, electric vibrator compactor

CLASS C
Bituminous distributor, cement gun, conveyor, mud jack, paving joint machine, roller (earth), tamping machine, tractors (under 50 HP), vibrator, oiler, concrete saw, burlap and curing machine, hydro-seeder, power form handling equipment, deckhand oiler, hydraulic post driver, and drill helper

10-KY-1-I

TRUCK DRIVERS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GROUP I	6.60	a	b	c	
GROUP II	6.70	a	b	c	
GROUP III	6.85	a	b	c	
GROUP IV	6.90	a	b	c	
GROUP V	7.25	a	b	c	
GROUP VI	7.00	a	b	c	
GROUP VII	6.95	a	b	c	

GROUP I
Warehousemen, yardmen, truck helpers, pick-ups, station wagons,, panel trucks, Flatbody material trucks (straight jobs), greasers, washers, tiremen, gas pump attendants, dump trucks (up to 5 cu. yds.)

GROUP II
Tank Trucks (straight)

GROUP III
Dump trucks (5 cu. yds. or over), semi-dump trucks, semitrailers whether flat, rack or pole & hauling or pushed by trucks or tractors, agitator or mixer trucks (up to 5 cu. yds.), farm type tractors, tank trucks (semi)

GROUP IV
Lowboys trailers, winch trucks, fork trucks, distributors trucks (front and back end), truck cranes, momorail

GROUP V
Euclids, dumpsters, Turnarockers, Ross carriers, Athey wagons or similar equipment, A-Frame, Hydro-lifts, dual purpose trucks & mechanics

GROUP VI
Agitators or mixer trucks (5 cu. yds. & over)

GROUP VII
Material checkers and receivers machanics helpers

FOOTNOTES:

a. $28.50 per month for employees employed over 30 days or more.
b. $26.00 per month for employees employed over 30 days or more.
c. Employees working a minimum of 480 hours receive 1 hour pay for each 40 hours worked with limit to 52 hours pay.

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: NEW YORK COUNTY: STEUBEN

DECISION NO.: AR-2069 DATE: DATE OF PUBLICATION

Supersedeas Decision No. AQ-2126 dated June 7, 1974 in 39 FR 20303
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), heavy and highway construction.

51-NY-1-2-3-Y 1 of 4

BUILDING, HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Asbestor workers	$10.31	.73	.35		.03
Boilermakers	9.45	.50	.85		.02
Bricklayers, cement masons, marble setters, plasterers, stone masons, terrazzo workers, tile setters:					
Twps. of Wayne, Bradford, Campbill, Hornby, Erwin, Corning, Caton, Lindley, Tuscaroo, Thurston, Addison and the eastern portion of Bath Twps.,					
Rathbone and Borden	8.35		.50		
Remainder of County	8.40		.95	a	
Carpenters: Building					
Village of Hammondsport, Pleasent Valley to Reheims, Village of Prattsburgh, Tyrone to Watkins Glen:					
Carpenters	8.30	.40	100+.30		.005
Millwrights and piledrivermen	8.40	.40	100+.30		.005
Heavy and Highway:					
Carpenters and piledrivermen	7.52	.40	100+.25		.025
Building:					
Twps. of Troupsburg, Hartsville, Fremont, Wayland, Jasper, Hornell, City of Hornell, Avoca, Cohocton, Canisteo, Dansville, Howard, Greenwood, W. Union:					
Carpenters, soft floor layers	7.92	.50	.85		.05
Millwrights, piledrivermen	8.17	.50	.85		.05
Heavy and Highway:					
Carpenters	7.87	.50	.85		.025
Building:					
Remainder of County:					
Carpenters and soft floor layers	7.91	.40	.85		.02
Millwrights and piledrivermen	8.16	.40	.85		.02
Heavy and Highway:					
Carpenters	7.97	.40	.85		.025

51-NY-1-2-3-Y 2 of 4

BUILDING, HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Cement Masons, heavy & highway:					
Twps. of Wayne, Bradford, Campbell, Hornby, Erwin, Corning, Caton, Lindley, Tuscarora, Thurston, Addition and eastern portion of Bath Twps., Rathbone and Borden	$ 8.20		.30		
Remainder of County	8.35	.25	.50		
Electricians	10.00	.50	1% + .50	b	.05
Glaziers	6.83	.35	.30		.01
Ironworkers, structural, ornamental and reinforcing:					
Twps. of Wayland, Chocton, Atlantic and S. Dansville	9.63	.52	.57		
Remainder of County	8.68	.45	.57		.03
Laborers, Building:					
Laborers	5.85	.35	.25		
Mortar mixer (hand or machine for 3 or more men), jackhammer, pavement breakers, pipelayers (over 6"), gin buggy, work on scaffold, chain saw op., and all other gas, electric and air tools	6.05	.35	.25		
Lathers	8.33		.20		.01
Lead burners	9.25	.35		c	.01
Line Construction:					
Linemen	9.33	.50	1% + .40	d	3/8%
Cable splicer	10.08	.50	1% + .40	d	3/8%
Groundman digging machine op.	8.80	.50	1% + .40	d	3/8%
Groundmen mobile equipment op.	8.30	.50	1% + .40	d	3/8%
Groundmen truck drivers & machine	7.90	.50	1% + .40	d	3/8%
Groundmen dynamite man	8.30	.50	1% + .40	d	3/8%

BUILDING, HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Painters:					
Twps. of Camercon, Bath, Thurston, Bradford, Campbell, Erwin, Lindley, Hornby, Corning City, Caton, Addison and Rathbone:					
Painters	$ 5.45	.25	.25		
Swing scaffold, boatswain chair, bridges, structural steel under 35'	5.80	.25	.25		
Structural steel over 35' and spray	6.15	.25	.25		
Remainder of County:					
Painters	8.655	.525	.75		.10
Swing stage, sandblasting, spray, scaffold work, bosun chair	8.905	.525	.75		.10
Steel, tanks, towers, stacks, flag poles and radio-TV-towers	9.515	.525	.75		.10
Bridges 35' high or in depth of 35' from road level	10.32	.525	.75		.10
Plumbers and Steamfitters:					
Twps. of Corning, Additon, Cameron, Mills, Horby, Caton, Lindley, Tuscarora, Rathbone, Thurston, Campbell, Bradford, part of Wayne, Reuka, Urbana, Bath including the Veterans facility Hornell and vicinity	7.32	.30	.20	25+e	.03
Remainder of County	7.69	.31	.45		.05
Roofers	8.00	.44	.59		
Sheet metal workers	8.14	.46	.60		
Sprinkler fitters	9.60	.50	.70		.08
Truck drivers, Building:					
Twps. of Wayland	7.67	.30	.25		
Twps. of Addison, Corning, Bath, Hammondsport, Caton, Lindley, Tuscarora, Woodhull, Erwin, Rathbone, Camero, Thurston, Campbell, Urbana, Hornley, Bradford and Wayne	5.40	f		g	
Welders - receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidential					

BUILDING, HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

PAID HOLIDAYS

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTES:

a. Paid Holidays, A through F providing the employee works the day before and after the holiday.

b. Four hours with pay Christmas Day providing the employee has worked the previous four hours.

c. Paid Holidays, A through F, Washington's Birthday, Good Friday and Christmas Eve providing the employee has worked 45 full days during the 120 calendar day year prior to the holiday and the regular scheduled work days immediately preceding and following the holiday

d. Paid Holidays A through F, Washington's Birthday; Election Day for the President of the U. S. and Governor of New York State (provided the employee works the day before and after the holiday.

e. Paid Holidays A through F and two hours off with pay on Election Day for the President of the U. S. and Governor of New York State.

f. Employer contributes $2.40 per day to a combined Heath, Welfare and Pension fund.

g. Paid Holidays A through F.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
REMAINDER OF COUNTY:						
TRUCK DRIVERS:						
Warehouse, yardmen, truck helper, pickups, panel trucks, flatboy, material trucks (straight jobs), single axel, dump trucks, dumpsters, material checkers and receivers, greasers, truck tiremen, mechanic-helpers, parts chaser	$7.48	.45	.40	a		
Tandems and batch trucks, mechanics, dispatcher	7.53	.45	.40	a		
Semi-trailers, lowboy trucks, asphalt distributor trucks and agitator, mixer trucks, dumpcrete type vehcles, truck mechanic	7.58	.45	.40	a		
Specialized earth moving equipment-euclid type or similar off-highway equipment, where not self loaded, and strattle (ross) carrier	7.73	.45	.40	a		
Off-highway tandem back-dump, twin engine equipment and double hitched equipment where not self-loaded	7.92	.45	.40	a		

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day;
C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day;
E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTES:
a. Employee who have worked 150 days or more in the first contract year receive 2 weeks with pay at classification at which they worked the greatest number of days, second year of employment the employee is required to work 100 days in the contract year to receive 2 weeks vacation.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION - POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

GROUP I: Cranes:
A. 121 ft. & under
B. Between 121 - 151 ft.
C. Between 151 - 201 ft.
D. Between 201 - 251 ft.
E. Between 251 - 301 ft.
F. Between 301 - 351 ft.
G. Between 351 - 401 ft.
H. Betweeb 401 - 451 ft.

GROUP II: Air tugger, backhoe, clamshell, dragline, shovel and similar machines over three eighths (3/8) cu. yd. capacity (factory rating), big generator plant, bridge crane (all types), cableway, caisson auger & similar type machine, climbing and/or tower crane (all kinds and all types), derrick, dredge, forklift (with factory rating of fifteen (15) ft. or more of lift) hoist (on steel erection), mucking machine, Ross Carrier (and similar types), three drum hoist (when all drums are in use.),

GROUP III: "A" Frame truck, back filling machine, Barber Green and similar type loader, belt crete and similar type machine, bituminous spreading machine, bulldozer, carry-all type scraper, compressors; four (4) not to exceed 2000 c.f.m. combined capacity, three (3) or less with more than 1200 c.f.m., but not to exceed 200 c.f.m., concrete mixer, concrete pump, core drill, crane-hoe-shovel (3/8 yd. capacity or less), (factory rating), dinky locomotive (all types), elevating type grader, elevator, fine grade machine (all kinds), front end loader, forklift (with factory rating of less than (15) fifteen ft. of lift.), high pressure boiler (over 15 pounds pressure), hoist (1 or 2 drums), Motor grader, maintenance engineer post hole digger, sheepsfoot roller, side boom, stone crusher, submersible Electric Pump, when used in lieu of well point system, tandem roller, Tournedozer and similar types, tournapull, tower mobile and similar kinds trenching machine, vibratory type roller and similar equipment of all kinds, welder, well drill, well point system,

GROUP IV: Any combination (not to exceed three (3) pieces of equipment) pumps, welding machines or mechanical conveyors, (over 12 ft. in length), belt crete generator, compressors - 3 or less not to exceed 1200 c.f.m. combined capacity, Fireman, longitudinal float, mechanical heater, roller (fill and grade), rubber tired tractor, oilers

PAID HOLIDAYS:

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTE:

a. Holidays A through F; providing employee works the working day immediately preceding the Holiday, or on the scheduled work day immediately following the holiday.

NEW YORK-25-PEO-1-A 2 of 2

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vocation	App. Tr.
GROUP I					
A	$ 9.57	.40	.70	a	.10
B	9.85	.40	.70	a	.10
C	10.12	.40	.70	a	.10
D	10.40	.40	.70	a	.10
E	10.95	.40	.70	a	.10
F	11.51	.40	.70	a	.10
G	12.05	.40	.70	a	.10
H	12.61	.40	.70	a	.10
GROUP II	9.57	.40	.70	a	.10
GROUP III	9.38	.40	.70	a	.10
GROUP IV	8.245	.40	.70	a	.10

N.Y.-13-LAB-2-3-B

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vocation	App. Tr.
LABORERS:					
Laborers and driller helpers	$6.85	.45	.45	a	
Concrete aggregate-bin, mortar mixer, hand or machine vibrator gin buggy, mason tenders, concrete bootmen, chain saw, jackhammer, pavement breaker and all other gas, electric oil and air-tool operators, bull float tamper, pipelayers	7.05	.45	.45	a	
Drillers, asphalt rakers, stone or granite curb setters and acetylene torch operator	7.25	.45	.45	a	
Blasters, form setters, stone or granite curb setters	7.45	.45	.45	a	

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTE:
a. Holidays A through F, providing the employee works the day before and after the holiday.

HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION - POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:

GROUP I: Automated concrete spreader (CMI), automatic fine grader, backhoe (except tractor mounted, rubber tired), belt placer (CMI type), blacktop plant (automated), cherry picker (over 5 tons capacity), concrete pump (8" or over), crane, cranes & derricks (steel erection), dragline, dredge, dual drum paver, excavator (all purpose-hydraulically operated), fork lift (factory rated 15 ft. and over), front end loader 4 c.y. and over), head tower (sauerman or equal), hoist (2 or 3 drum), mine hoist, mucking machine or male, overhead crane (gantry or straddle type), piledriver, power grader, quarry master (or equivalent), scraper, shovel, sideboom, slip form paver (if second man is nuded, he shall be on oiler), tractor drawn belt type loader, truck crane, tunnel shovel

GROUP II: Backhoe (tractor mounted, rubber tired), bituminous spreader and mixer, blacktop plant (non-automated), blast or rotary drill truck or tractor mounted), boring machine, cage-hoist, central mix plant (non-automated) and all concrete batching plants), cherry picker (5 tons capacity and under), compressors (4 or less) exceeding 2000 C.F.M. combined capacity, concrete paver (over 165), concrete pump (under 8"), crusher, diesel power unit, drill rigs (tractor mounted), front end loader (under 4 c.y.), hi-pressure - boiler (15 lbs. and over), hoist (one drum), Kolman plant loader and similar type loaders (if another man is required to clean screen or to mountain the equipment, he shall be on oiler), locomotive, maintenance/engineer/greaseman/ welder, mixer (for stabilized base self-propelled), monorail machine, plant engineer, pump crete, ready mix concrete plant, refrigeration equipment (for soil stabilization), road widener, roller (all above subgrade), tractor with dozer and/or pusher, trencher, tugger-hoist, winch, winch cot

GROUP III: A-frame truck, compressors (4 not to exceed 2000 C.F.M. combined capacity or 3 or less with more than 1200 C.F.M. but not to exceed 200 C.F.M.), compressors (any size but subject to other provisions for compressors), dust collectors, generators, pumps, welding machines (4 of any type or combination), concrete pavement spreaders and finishers, conveyor, drill-core, drill-well, electric pumps used in conjunction with well point system, farm tractor with accessories, fine grade machine, fork lift (under 15 ft.), gunite machine, homers (hydraulic-self propelled), post hole digger and post driver, power sweeper, roller (grade and fill), submersible electric pump (when used in lieu of well point system), tractor with towed accessories, vibratory compactor, vibro tamp, well point

GROUP IV: Aggregate plant, boiler (used in conjunction with production), cement and bin operator, compressors (3 or less not to exceed 1200 c.f.m. combined capacity), compressor (any size, bust subject to other provisions for compressors), dust collectors, generators pumps, welding machines (3 or less of any combination), concrete paver or mixer (165 and under), concrete saw (self propelled), fireman, form tamper, hydraulic pump (jacking system), lighting plants, mulching machine, oiler, parapet concrete or pavement grinder, power broom (towed), power heaterman, revinius widener, shell winder, steam cleaner, tractor

HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vocation	App. Tr.
GROUP I	$8.70	.45	.45+a	b	.10
GROUP II	8.40	.45	.45+a	b	.10
GROUP III	7.85	.45	.45+a	b	.10
GROUP IV	7.15	.45	.45+a	b	.10

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Indpendence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTES:

a. Employer contributes $.30 per hour to an Supplemental Unemployment Benefit Fund.

b. Paid Holidays A through F; providing the works the day day before and after the holiday.

AR-2069 P. 11

N.Y. 1-TD-2-3 - H

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments				
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.	Others
TRUCK DRIVERS:						
Warehouse, yardmen, truck helpers, pick-ups, panel trucks, flatbody material trucks (straight jobs), single axle dump, dumpsters, material checkers and receivers, greasers, tireman, mechanic helpers and parts chaser	$6.90	.50	.45	a		
Tandems and batch trucks, mechanics dispatcher	6.95	.50	.45	a		
Semi-trailers, lowboy trucks asphalt distributor, agitator, mixer trucks and dumpcrete type vehicles	7.00	.50	.45	a		
Specialized earth moving equipment, Euclid type or similar off-highway equipment, where not self-loaded	7.15	.50	.45	a		
Off-highway tandem back dump, twin engine equipment and double hitched equipment where not self-loaded	7.30	.50	.45	a		

PAID HOLIDAYS:

A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day;
C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day;
E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTE:

a. Holidays: A through F, provided employee has worked the working day before and the working day after the holiday.

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: NEW YORK
COUNTY: NIAGARA
DECISION NO.: AR-2071
DATE: Date of Publication
Supersedes Decision No. AR-2061 dated October 11, 1974 in 39 FR 36784
DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building Construction, (excluding single family homes and garden type apartments up to and including 4 stories), heavy and highway construction.

32-New York-1-2-3-Y 1 of 3

BUILDING, HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Asbestos workers	$ 9.21	.67	.55		.04
Boilermakers	9.45	.50	.85		.02
Bricklayers:					
North Tonawanda:					
Bricklayers & stone masons	9.79	.55	1.00		.01
Terrazzo workers & tile setters	9.41	.55	1.00		
Marble setters	9.505	.55	1.00		
Remainder of County:					
Bricklayers & stone masons	9.57	.42	.52		
Marble masons, terrazzo works & tile setters	9.33	.42	.52		
Carpenters: Building					
North Tonawanda:					
Carpenters and millwrights	8.78	1.25	1.10		
Soft floor layers	8.20	1.25	1.10		
Remainder of County:					
Carpenters & soft floor layers	8.95	1.10	.70		.02
Millwrights	9.05	1.10	.70		.02
Carpenters, Heavy & Highway					
North Tonawanda:					
Carpenters, piledrivermen	6.87	1.25	1.10		.025
Remainder of County:					
Carpenters & Piledrivermen	7.42	1.10	.70		.02
Cement masons	9.07		.25+.56		
Electricians:					
Electricians	10.78	.35+.20	1%+.30		1/4 of 1%
Cable splicers	11.28	.35+.20	1%+.30		1/4 of 1%
Elevator constructors	10.215	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers	7.15	.445	.29	3%+a+b	.02
Elevator constructors' helpers (prob.)	5.11				
Glaziers	8.64	.50	.90		.05
Ironworkers:					
Structural, ornamental & reingorcing	9.16	.51	.40		.01
Lathers	9.625		.20		.01
Lead burners	9.25	.35		c	.01

32-New York-1-2-3-Y 2 of 3

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Linemen:					
Linemen	$ 9.79	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman digging machine operator	9.17	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman mobile equipment op operator	8.30	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman truck driver and mechanic	7.90	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Groundman dynamite man	8.30	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Cable splicer	10.54	.50	1%+.50	d	3/8%
Marble, tile and terrazzo workers' helpers	7.36	.40	1.40		
Painters:					
Twps., of Somerset, Hartland, Royalton, Newfane, Lockport, Pendleton and the eastern half of Cambria and Wilson:					
Brush	8.125	.525+.45	.30		.10
Steel, tanks, towers, stacks, flag poles, radio TV towers	8.625	.525+.45	.30		.10
Sandblasting, swing stage spray, bosun chair	8.375	.525+.40	.30		.10
Bridges 35' high or in depth of 35' from road level	9.71	.525+.40	.30		.10
Remainder of County:					
Brush	6.945	.525+.40	.30		.10
Spray, steel steeple jack, swing scaffold	7.345	.525+.40	.30		.10
Bridge-crossing the Niagara River	9.86	.525+.40	.30		.10
Piledrivermen:					
Piledrivermen, dick carpenters and drovers' tenders	8.50	1.05	1.00		
Drivers	15.84	1.05	1.00		
Plasterers	9.91				
Plumbers and Steamfitters	10.30	.575	.60		.025
Roofers:					
Composition, damp, waterproofers sprayers, asphalt mastic, wood block floor workers, steen roofers & siders	8.12	1.09	1.10		.02

32-New York-1-2-3-Y 3 of 3

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
Slate, tile, asbestos & pre-cast	$ 8.27	1.09	1.10		.02
Sheet metal workers, commercial	9.98	.40	.95		.08
Sprinkler fitters	10.34	.50	.70		.06
Truck Drivers:					
Building, heavy & highway:					
Contractor drivers	8.695	.50	.55	e + f	
Ready mix	7.965	.50	.55	e + f	
Dump	7.925	.50	.55	e + f	
Welders-Craft Rate					

PAID HOLIDAYS:
A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTES:

a. Holidays: A through F.

b. Employer contributes 4% of basic hourly rate for 5 years or more of service or 2% basic hourly rate after 6 months to 5 years of service as Vacation Pay Credit.

c. Holidays: A through F; Washington's Birthday, Good Friday, and Christmas Eve, providing employee has worked 45 full days during the 120 calendar days prior to the holiday, and the regular schedule work days immediately preceding and following the holiday.

d. Holidays: A through F; Washington's Birthday, Election Day for President of the United States and Election of Governor of New York State (provided employee works the day before and after the holiday.

e. After one year, one weeks vacation; 2 years, 6 days vacation; 3 years, 7 days vacation; up to 2 weeks maximum vacation after completion of the 5th year, full vacations are earned by a driver who works 1040 hours or more in the calendar year, vacation will be prorated for either 1 or 2 weeks of vacation on the basis of using the number of hours worked as the numerator and 1040 as the denominator.

f. Paid Holidays, A through F, Friday after Thanksgiving Day except where the employee is laid off 2 or more weeks prior to the holiday, however employees laid off less than 2 weeks prior to election Day will automatically be eligible for the subsequent Friday after Thanksgiving as a paid holiday even though laid off.

N.Y.-6-LAB-1-2-3 - F

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
LABORERS:					
Building, Heavy, Highway & Airport Construction:					
Laborers	$7.03	.60	1.45		
Form setter, wagon drill opr., road finishers, blasters, gunite nozzlemen, operator of concrete saw burning torch	7.53	.60	1.45		
Pipelayers, pavement breakers or busters, jackhammer operators, Barco rammers, chain-saw, powder monkey, black top rakers, scalers, drill helpers, mortar mixers, swing scaffold, bosun chair, suspended cage or bucket, caissons below 8 ft. concrete motor buggy, all other operators of mechanical tools, including vibrators regardless of type of power	7.23	.60	1.45		
Rock Tunnel Free Air:					
Driller, shaft driller	7.38	.60	1.45		
Chucker, mucking machine tender, shaft mucker	7.28	.60	1.45		
Nipper, car pusher-tramer, track gang, bull gang, cage man, concrete gang, powder monkey	7.23	.60	1.45		
Blasters, burning torch on demolition	7.53	.60	1.45		
Rodman	7.63	.60	1.45		

BUILDING, HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION - POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:

GROUP I: Road rollers (over 7 tons) when used on blacktop, crane work, shovels, derricks, steel erection, overhead or bridge cranes and clam buckets, all excauating machines, trenchers, backfillers, cableways, draglines, backhoes, piledriving rigs, post drivers (except truck mounted), concrete mixer one yard and over, tunnel mucking machines, all tractors used in connection with scoper wagons, snowloader, all repair work or maintenance work under the supervision of a master mechanics, lubriation engineers, winch tractors, bulldozers, graders, blacktop spreaders, air-hoist commonly known as air tuggers when hoisting materials provided an engineer operates both machines and also provided the compressor and tugger are not separated by more than one hundred feet, front and back loaders (except small types), power driver stone spreaders, portable stone crushers, crawler or rubber tire tractor with blade or bucket and crane boom or hoe boom or shovel boom attached (except farm typed crawler or rubber tire tractor unless used with hydraulic backhoe), compressor with paving breaker attached, graders with bulldozer blades, multiple drum hoist with air compressor when used simultaneously and for any make portable concrete batching machine, automatic batch plant op., concrete spreader op., finishing machine op., form puller, self propelled rollers if on blacktop, scraper, either double or single bowl, CMI grading machine, truck mounted concrete pump, self-propelled riding vibrators, hydraulic concrete joint jammer, Rolman loaders, concrete planers, mechanic, wilder, euclid type belt loaders, mechanical and hydraulic pipe pushing machine, scoop-mobiles, fortlifts and hoist which lift higher than 25 feet.

GROUP II: Elevators, material hoist, road rollers except as prescribed in group I, tractors, pavement busters, jeep trenches, pumps over 4 inches, concrete blowers, air compressors over 165 cubic ft., compressors when used in banks of (2) and not over (3) within a 50 foot radius if such is possible, but atleast within a 100 foot radius, and if fuel is stored it will be stored within the same radius, gunite machines, locomotives, scoop-mobiles (when used as a stationary hoist or one which does not lift over twenty five feet, concrete pumps, conveyors, gas or diesel driven temporary lighting and power systems of 25 kilowatt capacity or over, stone crushers and winch hoist mounted on trucks, all earth drills, le tourneau turnatrailers, highlift hoist which does not lift over 25 feet, gasoline heaters used in banks of (2) and not over (3) within an area of 100 foot radius, and for (2) but not over (3) gasoline or diesel driven welding machines, trenchers on the back of a jeep, small trenchers which excavate to a depth of not more than 4 feet, truck mounted post drivers, snow-go, small front and back loaders, small type crawler or rubber tire tractor with blade or bucket not to exceed ½ yd. capacity, single drum hoist for hoisting materials other than steel, pug machine, pin puller, self propelled rollers not on blacktop and under 7 tons, bobcat loader or forklift which does not lift over twenty five feet.

BUILDING, HEAVY & HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION - POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (CONT'D):

GROUP III: Oilers on (shovels, cranes, draglines, backhoes (over 3/4 cu. yds.) dredges, derrick boats, pavers (excluding stationary set-ups), trenching machines, pile drivers, quarry master (or its equivalent), hydrcranes, automated batch plants (wet or dry mix plants), compressors (165 cu. ft. per minute or under), pumps up to and including 4 inches.

GROUP IV: A truck crane oiler will be provided on rubberized equipment, when there is a separate cab to the house

GROUP V: Steam Boiler Operator

Building, Heavy & Highway Construction Power Equipment Operator's	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
GROUP I	$9.47	.40	.40+.40	a	.10
GROUP II	8.90	.40	.40+.40	a	.10
GROUP III	7.96	.40	.40+.40	a	.10
GROUP IV	8.15	.40	.40+.40	a	.10
GROUP V	8.60	.40	.40+.40	a	.10

PAID HOLIDAYS: A-New Year's Day; B-Memorial Day; C-Independence Day; D-Labor Day; E-Thanksgiving Day; F-Christmas Day.

FOOTNOTE:

a. Holidays: A through F; (providing employee works the day before and the day after the holiday.

SUPERSEDEAS DECISION

STATE: Texas

COUNTIES: Dimmit, Jim Hogg, LaSalle, Maverick, Webb, Zapata & Zavala

DECISION NO.: AR-70

DATE: Date of Decision

Supersedes Decision No. AQ-113, dated June 21, 1974, in 39 FR 22361.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK: Building (including Residential) Construction

	Basic Hourly Rates	Fringe Benefits Payments			
		H & W	Pensions	Vacation	App. Tr.
AIR CONDITIONING MECHANIC	$4.45				
BRICKLAYERS	4.85				
CARPENTERS	3.70				
CEMENT MASONS	3.20				
ELECTRICIANS	4.20				
GLAZIERS	3.14				
IRONWORKERS	2.60				
LABORERS:					
Laborers	2.00				
Asphalt rakers	2.25				
Mason tenders	2.70				
PAINTERS, BRUSH	4.30				
PLUMBERS & PIPEFITTERS	4.35				
ROOFERS:					
Roofers	4.00				
Kettlemen	2.90				
SHEET METAL WORKERS	4.33				
SOFT FLOOR LAYERS	4.30				
TRUCK DRIVERS	2.00				
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:					
Asphalt finishers	2.50				
Backhoes	2.50				
Bulldozers	2.50				
Cranes	2.70				
Front end loaders	2.50				
Motor graders	2.75				
Rollers	2.40				
Scrapers	3.00				
WELDERS - receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.					

[FR Doc.74-25348 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

Employment Standards Administration

INDEX TO GENERAL WAGE DETERMINATION DECISIONS AND MODIFICATIONS AS OF OCTOBER 4, 1974

There is set forth below an index to general wage determination decisions and modifications as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the Davis-Bacon and related Acts. The index lists general wage determination decisions and modifications by State and county. An updated index is published on the first Friday of each month.

The index is published for the convenience of the public and the Department of Labor will endeavor to keep it accurate and up to date. In the event the data in the index and published general decisions do not coincide, the published general decisions shall control.

ABBREVIATIONS

(B)—Building Construction
(D)—Dredging Construction
(F)—Flood Control Construction
(H)—Heavy Construction
(Hw)—Highway Construction
(R)—Residential Construction
Mod.—Modification
(HE)—Heavy Engineering
(LE)—Light Engineering
(U)—Utility
(S)—Streets
(W&S)—Water and Sewer Lines

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of October 1974.

RAY J. DOLAN,
Assistant Administrator,
Wage and Hour Division.

ALABAMA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Decision #AQ-4088 (Hw)(Excluding Airport Construction)
39 FR 10085 - 3/15/74
AUTAUGA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BALDWIN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4060 (R)
39 FR 3374 - 1/25/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
BARBOUR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BIBB COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BLOUNT COUNTY
Decision #AM-448 (R)
36 FR 16359 - 8/20/71
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BULLOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BUTLER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
CHAMBERS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHILTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See STatewide
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLARKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

ALABAMA (Cont'd)

CLAY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLEBURNE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
COFFEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
COLBERT COUNTY
Decision #AR-4015 (B)
39 FR 28772 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33147 - 9/13/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
CONECUH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
COOSA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See STatewide
COVINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CRENSHAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CULLMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DALLAS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DE KALB COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
ELMORE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
ESCAMBIA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
ETOWAH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

ALABAMA (Cont'd)

FAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GENEVA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4043 (B)
39 FR 35918 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAMAR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(B) - See Colbert County
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4033 (B)
39 FR 33145 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35903 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LIMESTONE COUNTY
(B) - See Lawrence County
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

ALABAMA (cont'd)

LOWNDES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARENGO COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MOBILE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4020 (B)
39 FR 30671 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33147 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35903 - 10/4/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AP-184 (B)
38 FR 11244 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13103 - 5/18/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24781 - 7/5/74
Decision #AQ-4082 (R)
39 FR 8100 - 3/1/74
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(B) - See Lawrence County
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
PICKENS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

ALABAMA (Cont'd)

PIKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
RUSSELL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
SHELBY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
SUMTER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
TALLADEGA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Blount County
TALLAPOOSA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
TUSCALOOSA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-4125 (B)
39 FR 20911 - 6/14/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33147 - 9/13/74
WALKER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baldwin County
WILCOX COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WINSTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

ALASKA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1000 (B,H,Hw,D,R)
39 FR 24788 - 7/5/74

ARIZONA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1008 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 28773 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33147 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35903 - 10/4/74
APACHE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1086 (R)
(Navajo and Hopi Indian Reservations Only)
39 FR 6987 - 2/22/74
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
COCHISE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
COCONINO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Apache County
GILA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRAHAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENLEE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARICOPA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-1009 (R)
39 FR 28781 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31772 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33148 - 9/13/74
MOHAVE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
NAVAJO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Apache County
PIMA COUNTY
Decision #AR-1010 (R)
39 FR 28787 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33149 - 9/13/74
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PINAL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
YAVAPAI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
YUMA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

ARKANSAS

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-89 (Construction, Alteration, and/or repair of streets, highways, runways, and Water & Sewer Utilities)
39 FR 11822 - 3/29/74
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
ARKANSAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15603 - 5/3/74
ASHLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BAXTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BENTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BOONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
BRADLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CHICOT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLEBURNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D)- See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CLEVELAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CONWAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
CRITTENDEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GROSS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
DALLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
DESHA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

DREW COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
FAULKNER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
FULTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GARLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
HEMPSTEAD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F(- See Arkansas County
HOT SPRING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
INDEPENDENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
IZARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) (D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd.)

JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
LENOKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (Cont'd)

MILLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - see Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
NEVADA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
NEWTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - Arkansas County
OUACHITA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
POINSETT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
POLK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (CONT'D)

POPE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PRAIEIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-33 (B)
39 FR 31784 - 8/30/74
Decision #AP-356 (R)
37 FR 23489 - 11/3/72
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
ST. FRANCIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SALINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SEARCY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SEBASTIAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
SHARP COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

ARKANSAS (CONT'D)

STONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
Decision #AQ-110 (B)
39 FR 18410 - 5/24/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20913 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 31772 - 8/30/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WHITE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
WOODRUFF COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County
YELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Arkansas County

CALIFORNIA

ALAMEDA COUNTY
Decision #AR-1037 (B,H,Hw,D)
39 FR 34930 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35916 - 10/4/74
Decision #AR-1038 (R)
39 FR 34945 - 9/27/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35917 - 10/4/74
ALPINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
AMADOR COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
BUTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
CALAVERAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
COLUSA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
DELNORTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
ELDORADO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
FRESNO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
GLENN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
IMPERIAL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1094 (B,H,Hw,D)
39 FR 11004 - 3/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 13409 - 4/12/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 16985 - 5/10/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 17651 - 5/17/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 24781 - 7/5/74
Decision #AQ-1095 (R)
39 FR 11013 - 3/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 13409 - 4/12/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 16986 - 5/10/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 17652 - 5/17/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 24781 - 7/5/74
INYO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
KERN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)

KING COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
LASSEN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
MADERA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
MARIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
MARIPOSA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
MENDOCINO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw, D) - See Alameda County
MERCED COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
MODOC COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
MONO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
MONTEREY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
NAPA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
NEVADA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
ORANGE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
PLACER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
PLUMAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN BENITO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SAN DIEGO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1092 (B,H,Hw,D)
39 FR 10086 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 17651 - 5/17/74

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd.)

SAN DIEGO COUNTY (Cont'd.)
Decision #AQ-1093 (R)
39 FR 10092 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 17651 - 5/17/74
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SAN MATEO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County
SANTA CLARA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SHASTA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SIERRA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
SISKIYOU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
SOLANO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
SONOMA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
STANISLAUS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
SUTTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
TEHAMA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
TRINITY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
TULARE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda Cuunty
TUOLUMNE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
VENTURA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Imperial County
(R) - See Imperial County

CALIFORNIA (Cont'd)

YOLO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County
YUBA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Alameda County
(R) - See Alameda County

COLORADO

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1022 (Hw)
39 FR 30673 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AR-1032 (B,H)
39 FR 34957 - 9/27/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1099 (R)
39 FR 14123 - 4/19/74
ALAMOSA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ARAPAHOE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
ARCHULETA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BACA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BOULDER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
CHAFFEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHYENNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

COLORADO (cont'd)

CLEAR CREEK
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Adams County
CONEJOS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COSTILLA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CROWLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DELTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DENVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
DOLORES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
EAGLE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
ELBERT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
EL PASO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-1035 (B,H)
39 FR 34976 - 9/27/74
FREMONT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
Decision #AR-1033 (B,H)
39 FR 34964 - 9/27/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
GILPIN COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Adams County
GRAND COUNTY
(B,H) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GUNNISON COUNTY
(B,H) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HINDSDALE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUERFANO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

COLORADO (Cont'd)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Adams County
(R) - See Adams County
KIOWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
KIT CARSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LA PLATA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LARIMER COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAS ANIMAS COUNTY
Decision #AR-1034 (B,H)
39 FR 34970 - 9/27/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MESA COUNTY
(B,H) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MINERAL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MOFFAT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTEZUMA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTROSE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
OTERO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
OURAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PARK COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PITKIN COUNTY
(B,H) - See Garfield County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PROWERS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PUEBLO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,H) - See Las Animas County

COLORADO (Cont'd)

RIO BLANCO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RIO GRANDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ROUTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAGUACHE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SAN MIGUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEDGWICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMIT COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
TELLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WELD COUNTY
(B,H) - See Adams County
(Hw) - See Statewide
YUMA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

CONNECTICUT

FAIRFIELD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3094 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 29730 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35904 - 10/4/74
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
HARTFORD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3095 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29735 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35904 - 10/4/74
Decision #AQ-3096 (R)
39 FR 5961 - 2/15/74
(D) - See FAirfield County
LITCHFIELD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3096 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 29739 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35904 - 10/4/74
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #AR-3097 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29743 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35904 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Fairfield County
NEW HAVEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3098 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29747 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35905 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Fairfield County
NEW LONDON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3099 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 29752 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35905 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Fairfield County
TOLLAND COUNTY
Decision #AR-3100 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29756 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35905 - 10/4/74
WINDHAM COUNTY
Decision #AR-3101 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 29760 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31773 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35906 - 10/4/74

DELAWARE

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
Decision #AR-2031 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 31787 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
KENT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
NEW CASTLE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUSSEX COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

FLORIDA

ALACHUA COUNTY
Decision #AR-4026 (B)
39 FR 31791 - 8/30/74
Decision #AQ-4031 (Hw)
38 FR 31092 - 11/9/73
BAKER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4004 (Hw)
38 FR 22841 - 8/24/73
BAY COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4107 (B)
39 FR 15638 - 5/3/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26558 - 7/19/74
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Decision #AQ-4030 (Hw)
38 FR 29727 - 10/26/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 31098 - 11/9/73
BRADFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County
BREVARD COUNTY (Cape Kennedy Kennedy Space Flight Center & Patrick AFB only)
Decision #AQ-4121 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 20300 - 6/7/74
Decision #AQ-4067 (D)
39 FR 5069 - 2/8/74
(Remainder of County)
Decision #AQ-4006 (Hw)
38 FR 22842 - 8/24/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 5047 - 2/8/74
BROWARD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4003 (Hw)
38 FR 22841 - 8/24/73
Decision #AQ-4091 (B)
39 FR 10991 - 3/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 17652 - 5/17/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 26557 - 7/19/74
(D) - See Brevard County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
CHARLOTTE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4019 (Hw)
38 FR 27703 - 10/5/73
(D) - See Brevard County
CITRUS COUNTY
Decision #AR-4000 (R)
39 FR 24775 - 7/5/74
Decision #AQ-4039 (Hw)
38 FR 33203 - 11/30/73
(D) - See Brevard County
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baker County
COLLIER COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County

FLORIDA (cont'd)

DADE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4115 (B)
39 FR 17659 - 5/17/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26558 - 7/19/74
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
DESOTO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4017 (Hw)
38 FR 27718 - 10/5/73
DIXIE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Alachua County
DUVAL COUNTY
Decision #AR-4027 (B)
39 FR 31793 - 8/30/74
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
ESCAMBIA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4055 (B)
39 FR 3388 - 1/25/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 12583 - 4/5/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 22366 - 6/21/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 26557 - 7/19/74
Decision #AP-178 (R)
38 FR 11259 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15604 - 5/3/74
(D) - See Bay County
Decision #AQ-4005 (Hw)
38 FR 22842 - 8/24/73
FLAGLER COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County
GADSDEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-179 (R)
38 FR 11260 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15604 - 5/3/74
(Hw) - See Bay County
GILCHRIST COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County
GLADES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
GULF COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County
HARDEE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4040 (R)
38 FR 34565 - 12/14/73
(Hw) - See De Soto County
HENDRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
HERNANDO COUNTY
(D)-See Brevard County
HIGHLANDS COUNTY
(Hw) - See De Soto County

FLORIDA (cont'd)

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
Decision #AR-4040 (B)
39 FR 34982 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-4018 (Hw)
38 FR 27703 - 10/5/73
(D) - See Brevard County
(R) - See Citrus County
HOLMES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
INDIAN RIVER COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Brevard Co. (Remainder of Co.)
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(R) - See Gadsden County
(Hw) - See Bay County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4033 (R)
39 FR 33157 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
(Hw) - See Brevard Co. (Remainder of Co.)
LEE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Charlotte County
LEON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4028 (B)
39 FR 31795 - 8/30/74
(Hw) - See Bay County
(R) - See Gadsden County
LEVY COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Citrus County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County
MADISON COUNTY
(R) - See Gadsden County
(Hw) - See Alachua County
MANATEE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Citrus County
MARTIN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4092 (B)
39 FR 10993 - 3/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 17652 - 5/17/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 26558 - 7/19/74
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County

FLORIDA (cont'd)

MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
NASSAU COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
OKALOOSA COUNTY
(B) - See Escambia County
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Escambia County
Decision #AP-180 (R)
38 FR 11260 - 5/4/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15604 - 5/3/74
OKEECHOBEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See DeSoto County
ORANGE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4127 (B)
39 FR 24190 - 6/28/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26558 - 7/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 31774 - 8/30/74
(Hw) - See Brevard Co. (Remainder of Co.
(R) - See Lake County
OSCEOLA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Brevard Co. (Remainder of Co.
(R) - See Lake County
PALM BEACH COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(B) - See Martin County
(Hw) - See Broward County
PASCO COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
(R) - See Citrus County
PINELLAS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4100 (B)
39 FR 13425 - 4/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26558 - 7/19/74
(R) - See Citrus County
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
(R) - See Citrus County
POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See DeSoto County
(R) - See Hardee County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Baker County
ST. JOHNS COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Baker County
ST. LUCIE COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Broward County
SANTA ROSA COUNTY
(B) - See Escambia County
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Escambia County
(R) - See Okaloosa County

FLORIDA (Cont'd)

SARASOTA COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Hillsborough County
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Brevard Co. (Remainder of Co.)
(R) - See Lake County
SUMTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Citrus County
(R) - See Citrus County
SUWANNEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County
TAYLOR COUNTY
(D) - See Brevard County
(R) - See Gadsden County
(Hw) - See Alachua County
UNION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alachua County
VOLUSIA COUNTY (Except Cape Kennedy, Kennedy Space Flight Center & Patrick Air Force Base only and including Melabar Radar Site)
Decision #AR-4001 (B)
39 FR 24776 - 7/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26558 - 7/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 27391 - 7/26/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 31774 - 8/30/74
(B,H,Hw) - See Brevard Co. (Cape Kennedy,Etc)
(D) - See Brevard County
(Hw) - See Brevard County (Remainder of Co.)
WAKULLA COUNTY
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County
WALTON COUNTY
(B) - See Escambia County
(D) - See Bay County
(Hw) - See Bay County
(R) - See Okaloosa County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bay County

GEORGIA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-4038 (Hw)
39 FR 34984 - 9/27/74
APPLING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ATKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BAKER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4089 (R)
39 FR 10067 - 3/15/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
BALDWIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BANKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BARROW COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4108 (R)
39 FR 14841 - 4/26/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
BARTOW COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BEN HILL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BERRIEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BIBB COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BLECKLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BRANTLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BROOKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BRYAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-2039 (D)
39 FR 3395 - 1/25/74
BULLOCH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BURKE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4085 (R)
39 FR 9333 - 3/8/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
BUTTS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
CAMDEN COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CANDLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CARROLL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

CATOOSA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHARLTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHATHAM COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4069 (B)
39 FR 5979 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 9345 - 3/8/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 15605 - 5/3/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-4058 (R)
39 FR 3394 - 1/25/74
(D) - See Bryan County
CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4086 (R)
39 FR 9334 - 3/8/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHATTOOGA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLARKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
CLAY COUNTY
(R) - See Baker County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLAYTON COUNTY
(B) - See Fulton County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLINCH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COBB COUNTY
(B) - See Fulton County
(R) - See Fulton County
(Hw) - See Statewide
COFFEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COLQUITT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Hw) - See Statewide
COOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
COWETA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CRISP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DADE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAWSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DECATUR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

DEKALB COUNTY
(B)(R) - See Fulton County
(Hw) - See Statewide
DODGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOOLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGHERTY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EARLY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
ECHOLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EFFINGHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ELBERT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
EMANUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EVANS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FANNIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FLOYD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FORSYTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FULTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4029 (B)
39 FR 32445 - 9/6/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34910 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-4052 (R)
39 FR 2328 - 1/18/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
GILMER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GLASCOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
GLYNN COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
GORDON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRADY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
GREENE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

GWINNETT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HABERSHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
HARALSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARRIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
HART COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
HEARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
IRWIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
JASPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFF DAVIS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
JENKINS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAMAR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LANIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAURENS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4124 (B)
39 FR 20912 - 6/14/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
LIBERTY COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

LOWNDES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LUMPKIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MCDUFFIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
McINTOSH COUNTY
(D) - See Bryan County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
MERIWETHER COUNTY
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MILLER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
MONROE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
MURRAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MUSCOGEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
NEWTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
OCONEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
OGLETHORPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barrow County
PAULDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PEACH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PICKENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Ware County
PIKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

POLK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
QUITMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
RABUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
RICHMOND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4106 (B)
39 FR 15601 - 5/3/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 17653 - 5/17/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 22366 - 6/21/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
ROCKDALE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SCHLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
SCREVEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
SPALDING COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEPHENS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEWART COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
SUMTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
TALBOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
TALIAFERRO COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
TATTNALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TAYLOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
TELFAIR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TERRELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Baker County
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GEORGIA (Cont'd.)

TIFT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TOOMBS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TOWNS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TREUTLEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TROUP COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
TURNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TWIGGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UPSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALKER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Barron County
WARE COUNTY
Decision #AP-155 (B)
38 FR 4073 - 2/9/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5088 - 2/23/73
Decision #AR-4037 (R)
39 FR 33919 - 9/20/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
WAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Chattahoochee County
WHEELER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WHITE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WHITEFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILCOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILKES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILKINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WORTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

GUAM

GUAM
Decision #AR-1029 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 32448 - 9/6/74

HAWAII

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1096 (B,H,Hw,D,R)
39 FR 14124 - 4/19/74

IDAHO

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1011 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 34985 - 9/27/74
ADA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1029 (R)
38 FR 24513 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 26543 - 9/21/73
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ADAMS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BANNOCK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BEAR LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BENEWAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BINGHAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BLAINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOISE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BONNER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BONNEVILLE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOUNDARY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BUTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CAMAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CANYON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARIBOU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CASSIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLEARWATER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ELMORE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

IDAHO (Cont'd)

FREMONT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GEM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GOODING COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
IDAHO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEROME COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
KOOTENAI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LATAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEMHI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEWIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MINIDOKA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
NEZ PERCE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ONEIDA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
OWYHEE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PAYETTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
POWER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHOSHONE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TETON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TWIN FALLS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
VALLEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

ILLINOIS

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AR-3059 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28030 - 8/2/74
ALEXANDER COUNTY
Decision #AR-3062 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28041 - 8/2/74
Decision #AQ-3094 (D)
39 FR 5070 - 2/8/74
BOND COUNTY
Decision #AR-3061 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28037 - 8/2/74
BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3055 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28014 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33148 - 9/13/74
BROWN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
BUREAU COUNTY
Decision #AR-3056 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28018 - 8/2/74
CALHOUN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
CASS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3063 (B)
39 FR 28798 - 8/9/74
Decision #AR-3058 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28022 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30665 - 8/23/74
CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
CLAY COUNTY
Decision #AR-3060 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28033 - 8/2/74
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
COLES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
COOK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3064 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 28800 - 8/9/74
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74

ILLINOIS

CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
DEKALB COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
DEWITT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
DU PAGE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3065 (B,R)
39 FR 28803 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
EDGAR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
EDWARDS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
EFFINGHAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
FORD COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
FULTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3057 (H,Hw)
39 FR 28022 - 8/2/74
GALLATIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
(D) - See Alexander County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
GRUNDY COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
HARDIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
(D) - See Alexander County
HENDERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
IROQUIIS COUNTY
None
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
(D) - See Alexander County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

JASPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
JERSEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
JO DAVIESS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
KANE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3066 (B,R)
39 FR 28805 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
KANKAKEE COUNTY
None
KENDALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3067 (B,R)
39 FR 28807 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33149 - 9/13/74
(D) - See Cook County
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
LA SALLE COUNTY
None
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
LEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
None
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MCDONOUGH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
MCHENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
MCCLEAN COUNTY
None
MACON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
MAUCOPIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
MADISON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3068 (B,R)
39 FR 28809 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Bond County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
MARSHALL COUNTY
None
MASON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MASSAC COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
MENARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
MOULTRIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
OGLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
PEORIA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3069 (B,R,D)
39 FR 28813 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
PIATT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
POPE COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
PUTNAM COUNTY
None
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
RICHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
Decision #AR-3070 (B)
39 FR 28817 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
Decision #AR-3071 (B,R)
39 FR 28819 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
(D) - See Alexander County

ILLINOIS (Cont'd)

SALINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
SANGAMON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3072 (B,R)
39 FR 28822 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SCHUYLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(D) - See Alexander County
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
STARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
STEPHENSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
TAZEWELL COUNTY
(B,R,D) - See Peoria County
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw,D) - See Alexander County
VERMILLION COUNTY
Decision #AR-3073 (B)
39 FR 28824 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Champaign County
WABASH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
WARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Fulton County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bond County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
WHITE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Clay County
WHITEWIDE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
WILL COUNTY
Decision #AR-3075 (B,R)
39 FR 28828 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3074 (B)
39 FR 28826 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Alexander County
WINNEBAGO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3076 (B)
39 FR 28831 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Bureau County
WOODFORD COUNTY
None

INDIANA

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AR-3123 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30739 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34913 - 9/27/74
ALLEN COUNTY
Decision AR-3080 (B)
39 FR 30698 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34911 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-3000 (R)
39 FR 22341 - 8/17/73
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY
Decision #AR-3081 (B)
39 FR 30701 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33149 - 9/13/74
Decision #AR-3127 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30755 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33152 - 9/13/74
BENTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3082 (B)
39 FR 30703 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33149 - 9/13/74
Decision #AR-3124 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30744 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33151 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34913 - 9/27/74
BLACKFORD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3125 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30748 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33151 - 9/13/74
BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AM-668 (R)
38 FR 13247 - 5/18/74
Decision #AR-3126 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30752 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33152 - 9/13/74
BROWN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
CASS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3094 (D)
39 FR 5070 - 2/8/74
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3128 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30759 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Clark County
DAVIESS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
DEARBORN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3083 (B)
39 FR 30706 - 8/23/74

INDIANA (cont'd)

DECATUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
DEKALB COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3084 (B)
39 FR 30708 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33150 - 9/13/74
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
DUBOIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
ELKHART COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
FLOYD COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
FOUNTAIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
FULTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
GIBSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
GRANT COUNTY
Decision #AR-3085 (B)
39 FR 30711 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33150 - 9/13/74
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
(R) - See Boone County
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
(R) - See Boone County
HARRISON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
HENDRICKS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
(R) - See Boone County
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
HUNTINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
JASPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
JAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
JENNINGS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
(R) - See Boone County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
KOSCIUSKO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LAGRANGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3086 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 30714 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34911 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74
LAPORTE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3087 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 30717 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34912 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Lake County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
MARION COUNTY
Decision #AR-3088 (B)
39 FR 30721 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33150 - 9/13/74
(R) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MARTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
MIAMI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
MONROE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3089 (B)
39 FR 30723 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33151 - 9/13/74
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
MORGAN COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
NEWTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
NOBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

INDIANA (Cont'd.)

OHIO COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
ORANGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
OWEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
PARKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
PORTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-3090 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 30726 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34912 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Lake County
POSEY COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
RIPLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
RUSH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
SAINT JOSEPH COUNTY
Decision #AR-3091 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 30730 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34913 - 9/27/74
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
SHELBY COUNTY
(R) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
SPENCER COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
STARKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
STEUBEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
SWITZERLAND COUNTY
(D) - See Bartholomew County
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County

INDIANA (Cont'd)

TIPPECANOE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Benton County
TIPTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
VANDERBURGH COUNTY
Decision #AR-3092 (B)
39 FR 30734 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
VERMILLION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
VIGO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3093 (B)
39 FR 30736 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33151 - 9/13/74
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
WABASH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
WARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
WARRICK COUNTY
(D) - See Clark County
(H,Hw) - See Crawford County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bartholomew County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Blackford County
WELLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
WHITE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Benton County
WHITLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

IOWA

ADAIR COUNTY
None
ADAMS COUNTY
None
ALLAMAKEE COUNTY
None
APPANOOSE COUNTY
None
AUDUBON COUNTY
None
BENTON COUNTY
None
BLACK HAWK COUNTY
Decision #AR-25 (B,H,Hw) (City of Waterloo & abutting Municipalities
39 FR 33945 - 9/20/74
BOONE COUNTY
None
BREMER COUNTY
None
BUCHANAN COUNTY
None
BUENA VISTA COUNTY
None
BUTLER COUNTY
None
CALHOUN COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
Decision #AM-6,712 (H,Hw)
37 FR 7429 - 4-14-72
CASS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
CEDAR COUNTY
None
CERRO GORDO COUNTY (MASON CITY)
Decision #AR-21 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33932 - 9/20/74
CHEROKEE COUNTY
None
CHICKASAW COUNTY
None
CLARKE COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd)

CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
CLAYTON COUNTY
None
CLINTON COUNTY (City of Clinton and abbutting municipalities)
Decision #AR-24 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33942 - 9/20/74
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
DALLAS COUNTY
None
DAVIS COUNTY
None
DECATUR COUNTY
None
DELAWARE COUNTY
None
DES MOINES COUNTY (City of Burlington and Abutting Municipalities; and Burlington Ordnance Plant)
Decision #AR-22 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33935 - 9/20/74
DICKINSON COUNTY
None
DUBUQUE COUNTY (City of Dubuque and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AR-29 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33956 - 9/20/74
EMMET COUNTY
None
FAYETTE COUNTY
None
FLOYD COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
None
FREMONT COUNTY
None
GREENE COUNTY
None
GRUNDY COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd)

GUTHRIE COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
None
HANCOCK COUNTY
None
HARDIN COUNTY
None
HARRISON COUNTY
None
HENRY COUNTY
None
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
None
IDA COUNTY
None
IOWA COUNTY
None
JACKSON COUNTY
None
JASPER COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
None
JOHNSON COUNTY (City of Iowa City and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AR-27 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33950 - 9/20/74
JONES COUNTY
None
KEOKUK COUNTY
None
KOSSUTH COUNTY
None
LEE COUNTY
None
LINN COUNTY (City of Cedar Rapids and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AR-28 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33953 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35906 - 10/4/74
LINN COUNTY (Except City of Cedar Rapids and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AP-536 (H,Hw)
38 FR 18139 - 7/6/73

IOWA (Cont'd)

LOUISA COUNTY
None
LUCAS COUNTY
None
LYON COUNTY
None
MADISON COUNTY
None
MAHASKA COUNTY
None
MARION COUNTY
None
MARSHALL COUNTY
None
MILLS COUNTY
None
MITCHELL COUNTY
None
MONONA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
MONROE COUNTY
None
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
None
MUSCATINE COUNTY
None
O'BRIEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
OSCEOLA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
PAGE COUNTY
None
PALO ALTO COUNTY
None
PLYMOUTH COUNTY
None
POCAHONTAS COUNTY
None
POLK COUNTY (City of Des Moines and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AR-20 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33929 - 9/20/74
POLK COUNTY (Except City of Des Moines and Abutting Municipalities)
Decision #AP-215 (H,Hw)
37 FR 16266 - 8-11-72
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 17653 - 5/17/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 18406 - 5/24/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 20284 - 6/7/74

IOWA (Cont'd)

POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY (City of Council Bluffs and the area within 3 miles from the City limits)
Decision #AR-18 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33923 - 9/20/74
POWESHIEK COUNTY
None
RINGGOLD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
SAC COUNTY
None
SCOTT COUNTY
Decision #AR-19 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33926 - 9/20/74
SHELBY COUNTY
None
SIOUX COUNTY
None
STORY COUNTY (City of Ames and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AR-23 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33939 - 9/20/74
TAMA COUNTY
None
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
UNION COUNTY
None
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Carroll County
WAPELLO COUNTY
None
WARREN COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
None
WAYNE COUNTY
None
WEBSTER COUNTY (FORT DODGE)
Decision #AQ-102 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33920 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 16988 - 5/10/74
WINNEBAGO COUNTY
None
WINNESHIEK COUNTY
None

IOWA (Cont'd.)

WOODBURY COUNTY (City of Sioux City and abutting municipalities)
Decision #AR-26 (B)
39 FR 33948 - 9/20/74
WORTH COUNTY
None
WRIGHT COUNTY
None

KANSAS

ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #AP-519 (Hw, W&S)
38 FR 7732 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
ANDERSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
ATCHISON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-21 (D)
38 FR 23647 - 8/31/73
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
BARBER COUNTY
Decision #AP-521 (Hw, W&S)
38 FR 7734 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
BARTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
BOURBON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
BROWN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
BUTLER COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
CHASE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
CHEYENNE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd.)

CLAY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
CLOUD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
COFFEY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
COMANCHE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
COWLEY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
DECATUR COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
DICKINSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
DONIPHAN COUNTY
(D) - See Atchison County
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
Decision #AP-503 (Hw)
37 FR 17349 - 8/25/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 20389 - 9/29/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 6523 - 3/9/73
Mod. #3 - 38 FR 9389 - 4/13/73
Mod. #4 - 38 FR 20164 - 7/27/73
Mod. #5 - 38 FR 22850 - 8/24/73
EDWARDS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
ELK COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
ELLIS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
ELLSWORTH COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
FINNEY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
FORD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
GEARY COUNTY
Decision #AQ-88 (R)
39 FR 11791 - 3/29/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
GOVE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
GRAHAM COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

GRAY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
GREELEY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
GREENWOOD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
HAMILTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
HARPER COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen Courty
HARVEY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
HASKELL COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
HODGEMAN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Douglas County
JEWELL COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber Courty
JOHNSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-44 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 31780 - 11/16/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26559 - 7/19/74
Decision #AQ-62 (R)
38 FR 32388 - 11/23/73
KEARNY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
KINGMAN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
KIOWA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
LABETTE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
LANE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
LEAVENWORTH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-36 (B)
38 FR 28511 - 10/24/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 31754 - 11/16/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33911 - 9/20/74
(Hw) - See Douglas County
(D) - See Atchison County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
LINN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

LYON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
McPHERSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
MARION COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
MEADE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
MIAMI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Douglas County
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
MORRIS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
MORTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
NEMAHA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
NEOSHO COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
NESS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
NORTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
OSAGE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
OSBORNE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
OTTAWA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
PAWNEE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
POTTAWATOMIE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
PRATT COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
RAWLINS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber Courty

KANSAS (Cont'd)

RENO COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
REPUBLIC COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
RICE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
RILEY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See See Allen County
(R) - See Geary County
ROOKS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
RUSH COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber Courty
RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
SALINE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
SEDGWICK COUNTY
Decision #AP-533 (R)
38 FR 16573 - 6/22/73
Decision #AP-532 (B)
38 FR 13922 - 5/25/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 20166 - 7/27/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 27163 - 9/28/73
Decision #AP-520 (Hw, W&S)
38 FR 7733 - 3/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22313 - 8/17/73
SEWARD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
SHAWNEE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-32 (B)
38 FR 27169 - 9/28/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26559 - 7/19/74
Decision #AQ-33 (R)
38 FR 27172 - 7/19/74
(Hw) - See Douglas County

KANSAS (Cont'd)

SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
SHERMAN COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
SMITH COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See See Barber County
STAFFORD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
STANTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
STEVENS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
SUMNER COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See See Barber County
TREGO COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See See Barber County
WABAUNSEE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
WALLACE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
WICHITA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Barber County
WILSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
WOODSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Allen County
WYANDOTTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Johnson County
(R) - See Johnson County
(D) - See Atchison County

KENTUCKY

ADAIR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4075 (H,Hw)
39 FR 5983 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 8102 - 3/1/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20913 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 29705 - 8/16/74
ALLEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4084 (H,Hw)
39 FR 8118 - 3/1/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14849 - 4/26/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20913 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 29706 - 8/16/74
ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4116 (H,Hw)
39 FR 17661 - 5/17/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20914 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29706 - 8/16/74
BALLARD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4002 (D)
38 FR 21037 - 8/3/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 4264 - 2/1/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 15603 - 5/3/74
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
BARREN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BATH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
Decision #AQ-4066 (R)
39 FR 4305 - 2/1/74
BELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
Decision #AQ-4126 (B)
39 FR 22359 - 6/21/74
BOONE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4034 (B)
39 FR 33158 - 9/13/74
Decision #AQ-4036 (H,Hw)
38 FR 32349 - 11/23/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 33879 - 12/7/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 1189 - 1/4/74
Decision #AQ-3094 (D)
39 FR 5070 - 2/8/74
BOURBON COUNTY
(Hw,H) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
BOYD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4020 (B)
38 FR 28516 - 10/12/73
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
BOYLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
BRACKEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
BREATHITT COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4076 (H,Hw)
39 FR 5987 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 8102 - 3/1/74

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-183 (R)
38 FR 11278 - 5/4/73
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
BULLITT COUNTY
(D) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
BUTLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CALDWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CALLAWAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(B,H,D,Hw) - See Boone County
CARLISLE COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
CARTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
CASEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CRITTENDEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DAVIESS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4122 (B)
39 FR 20281 - 6/7/74
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
EDMONSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
ELLIOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
ESTILL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

FAYETTE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4018 (B)
39 FR 28836 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32442 - 9/6/74
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
FLEMING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
FLOYD COUNTY
Decision #AR-4002 (B)
39 FR 24777 - 7/5/74
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4101 (B)
39 FR 14113 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30665 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
FULTON COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
GALLATIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
GARRARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
GRAVES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
GRAYSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GREENUP COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

HARDIN COUNTY
(B) - See Jefferson County
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
HARLAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
HART COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HENDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4025 (B)
39 FR 31796 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
HICKMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Ballard County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
HOPKINS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4016 (B)
39 FR 28833 - 8/9/74
(D) - See Boone County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
JESSAMINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

JOHNSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4080 (H,Hw)
39 FR 7033 - 2/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14848 - 4/26/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20913 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 29705 - 8/16/74
KENTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Boone County
KNOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LARUE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
LAUREL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Johnson County
LEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair cOunty
LESLIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LETCHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
LEWIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair county
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
LYON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
McCRACKEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-4014 (B)
39 FR 28044 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30665 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Allen County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

McCREARY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
McLEAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
MAGOFFIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
MARTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
MASON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
MEADE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(B) - See Jefferson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
MENIFEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
METCALFE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
MUHLENBERG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd)

NELSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
NICHOLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
OHIO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
OLDHAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
(D) - See Boone County
OWEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
OWSLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PENDLETON COUNTY
(B)(D) - See Boone County
(H,Hw) - See Boone County
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
PIKE COUNTY
(B) - See Floyd County
(H,Hw) - See Breathitt County
POWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
ROCKCASTLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ROWAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
RUSSELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County

KENTUCKY (Cont'd.)

SIMPSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
SPENCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
TODD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
TRIGG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
TRIMBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(D) - See Boone County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
(D) - See Boone County
WARREN COUNTY
Decision #AR-4023 (B)
39 FR 32449 - 9/6/74
(H,Hw) - See STatewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Breckinridge County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allen County
WHITLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WOLFE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WOODFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anderson County
(R) - See Bath County

LOUISIANA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Decision #AR-56 (B (Including R in Bossier, Caddo & Calcasieu Parishes), Hw, Roads, Streets, Parking Area (except those let with a building contract)
39 FR 35920 - 10/4/74
ACADIA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15602 - 5/3/74
(B) - See Statewide
ALLEN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ASCENSION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ASSUMPTION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
AVOYELLES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
BEAUREGARD PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
BIENVILLE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
BOSSIER PARISH
(B,R) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(Hw) - See Statewide
CADDO PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B,R) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CALCASIEU PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B,R) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

CALDWELL PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
CAMERON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
CATAHOULA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
CLAIBORNE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
CONCORDIA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
DE SOTO PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
EAST CARROLL PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
EAST FELICIANA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
EVANGELINE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
IBERIA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

IBERVILLE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON PARISH
Decision #AR-3 (R)
39 FR 25777 - 7/12/74
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON DAVIS PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
LAFAYETTE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
LAFOURCHE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Paris
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
LA SALLE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
LIVINGSTON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
MOREHOUSE EARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
NATCHIOTOCHES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ORLEANS PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B)(Hw) - SEe Statewide
(R) - See Jefferson Parish
QUACHITA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-116 (R)
39 FR 22397 - 6/21/74
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

PLAQUEMINES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Jefferson Parish
POINTE COUPEE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
RAPIDES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
RED RIVER PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
SABINE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. BERNARD PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Jefferson Parish
ST. CHARLES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. HELENA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. JAMES PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. LANDRY PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. MARTIN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
ST. MARY PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide

LOUISIANA (Cont'd)

ST. TAMMANY PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
TANGIPAHOA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
TENSAS PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
TERREBONE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
UNION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
VERMILLION PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
VERNON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
WEST CARROLL PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
WEST FELICIANA PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide
WINN PARISH
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Acadia Parish
(B)(Hw) - See Statewide

MAINE

ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY
None
AROOSTOOK COUNTY
None
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
FRANKLIN COUNTY
None
HANCOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
KENNEBEC COUNTY
None
KNOX COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
OXFORD COUNTY
None
PENOBSCOT COUNTY
None
PISCATAQUIS COUNTY
None
SAGADAHOC COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
SOMERSET COUNTY
None
WALDO COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County
YORK COUNTY
(D) - See Cumberland County

MARYLAND

ALLEGANY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2022 (H,Hw)
39 FR 30661 - 8/23/74
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2039 (D)
39 FR 3395 - 1/25/74
Decision #AR-2050 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 32451 - 9/6/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35906 - 10/4/74
BALTIMORE CITY & BALTIMORE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2052 (Hw)
39 FR 34904 - 9/27/74
Decision #AR-2053 (Hw)
39 FR 34905 - 9/27/74
Decision #AR-2023 (B,H)
39 FR 30761 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35906 - 10/4/74
Decision #AQ-2072 (R)
39 FR 8122 - 3/1/74
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
CALVERT COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
CAROLINE COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
None
CECIL COUNTY
(R) - See Baltimore County
CHARLES COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
DORCHESTER COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
FREDERICK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2076 (R)
39 FR 10069 - 3/15/74
GARRETT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Allegany County
HARFORD COUNTY
(R) - See Baltimore County
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
HOWARD COUNTY
(R) - See Baltimore County
KENT COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County

MARYLAND (Cont'd.)

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2025 (B)
39 FR 30763 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32441 - 9/6/74
Decision #AP-450 (R)
37 FR 25117 - 11/25/72
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
(B) - See Montgomery County
(R) - See Montgomery County
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
QUEEN ANNES COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
ST. MARYS COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
SOMERSET COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
TALBOT COUNTY
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
None
WICOMICO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2075 (R)
39 FR 10068 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14849 - 4/26/74
(D) - See Anne Arundel County
WORCESTER COUNTY
(D) - See Baltimore County
Decision #AR-2012 (B)
39 FR 27991 - 8/2/74

MASSACHUSETTS

BARNSTABLE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3129 (B,H,Hw, & MArine)
39 FR 31798 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34913 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
BERKSHIRE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3130 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 31802 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34914 - 9/27/74
BRISTOL COUNTY
Decision #AR-3131 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
39 FR 31806 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34914 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Barnstable County
DUKES COUNTY
(D) - See Barnstable County
ESSEX COUNTY
Decision #AR-3132 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
39 FR 31810 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34915 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Barnstable County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3133 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 31815 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34915 - 9/27/74
HAMPDEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3134 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 31819 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34916 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-3032 (R)
39 FR 30361 - 11/2/73
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3135 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 31823 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34916 - 9/27/74
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #AR-3136 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
39 FR 31827 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34917 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Barnstable County
NANTUCKET COUNTY
(D) - See Barnstable County
NORFOLK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3137 - (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 31833 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34918 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Barnstable County
PLYMOUTH COUNTY
Decision #AR-3138 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 31836 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34918 - 9/27/74
SUFFOLK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3139 (B,H,Hw,D,R, & Marine)
39 FR 31840 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34918 - 9/27/74
WORCESTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-3140 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 31844 - 8/30/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34919 - 9/27/74

MICHIGAN

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-3141 (Hw, W&S)
39 FR 30765 - 8/23/74
ALCONA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ALGER COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ALLEGAN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3102 (B,H)
39 FR 29784 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33152 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33911 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34920 - 9/27/74
Decision #AM-398 (R)
36 FR 15891 - 8/18/71
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(D) - See Alcona County
ALPENA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3103 (B,H)
39 FR 29784 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33153 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33911 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34921 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ANTRIM COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ARENAC COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
BARAGA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3104 (B,H)
39 FR 29790 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33153 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35907 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
BARRY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
BAY COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
BENZIE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

BERRIEN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3105 (B,H)
39 FR 29793 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33154 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33911 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34921 - 9/27/74
Decision #AM-399 (R)
36 FR 15892 - 8/18/71
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
BRANCH COUNTY
Decision #AM-401 (R)
36 FR 15894 - 8/18/71
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3106 (B,H)
39 FR 29797 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33154 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33912 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34922 - 9/27/74
Decision #AM-400 (R)
36 FR 15892 - 8/18/71
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CASS COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(R) - See Branch County
CHARLEVOIX COUNTY
Decision #AR-3122 (B,H)
39 FR 29850 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33155 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33916 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 34922 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CHEBOYGAN COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3107 (B,H)
39 FR 29801 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31774 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33912 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35907 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CLARE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CLINTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

DELTA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
DICKINSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
EATON COUNTY
Decision #AM-8041 (R)
36 FR 24027 - 12/17/71
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
EMMET COUNTY
Decision #AR-3108 (B,H)
39 FR 29803 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33155 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33912 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35908 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
GENESEE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3109 (B,H)
39 FR 29807 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33912 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35908 - 10/4/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
GLADWIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GOGEBIC COUNTY
Decision #AR-3110 - (B,H)
39 FR 29811 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31774 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33913 - 9/30/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35909 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3111 (B,H)
39 FR 29814 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33913 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35909 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
GRATIOT COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
HILLSDALE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
HOUGHTON COUNTY
(B,H) - See Baraga County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
HURON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3112 (B,H)
39 FR 29817 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31774 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33913 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35910 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

INGHAM COUNTY
Decision #AR-3113 (B,H)
39 FR 29820 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33154 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33914 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35910 - 10/4/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(R) - See Eaton County
IONIA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
IOSCO COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
IRON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ISABELLA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
KALAMAZOO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3114 (B,H)
39 FR 29823 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33914 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35910 - 10/4/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(R) - See Branch County
KALKASKA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
KENT COUNTY
Decision #AR-3054 (B,H)
39 FR 25858 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33155 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34920 - 9/27/74
Decision #AM-402 (R)
36 FR 15895 - 8/18/71
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
KEWEENAW COUNTY
(B,H) - See Baraga County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
LAPEER COUNTY
(B,H) - See Genessee County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
LEELANAU COUNTY
(B,H) - See Grand Traverse County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
LANAWEE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
LUCE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MACKINAC COUNTY
(B,H) - See Chippewa County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MACOMB COUNTY
Decision #AR-3121 (B,H,R)
39 FR 39846 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33916 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35913 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

MANISTEE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MARQUETTE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3115 (B,H)
39 FR 29827 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31175 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33914 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35911 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MASON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3116 (B,H)
39 FR 29830 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33914 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35911 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Alcona County
MECOSTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MENOMINEE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona COunty
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MIDLAND COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MISSAUKEE COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MONTCALM COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(R) - See Kent County
MONTMORENCY COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
MUSKEGON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3117 (B,H)
39 FR 29833 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33915 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35912 - 10/4/74
Decision #AM-403 (R)
36 FR 15896 - 8/18/71
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
NEWAYGO COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
OAKLAND COUNTY
(B,H,R) - See Macomb County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
OCEANA COUNTY
(B,H,R) - See Muskegon County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
OGEMAW COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ONTONAGON COUNTY
(B,H) - See Baraga County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide

MICHIGAN (Cont'd.)

OSCEOLA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
OSCODA COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
OTSEGO COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
OTTAWA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(R) - See Allegan County
PRESQUE ISLE COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
ROSOCOMMON COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
SAGINAW COUNTY
Decision #AR-3118 (B,H)
39 FR 29837 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31775 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33915 - 9/20/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35912 - 10/4/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
SAINT CLAIR COUNTY
Decision #AR-3119 (B,H,R)
39 FR 29840 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33915 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35912 - 10/4/74
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
SAINT JOSEPH COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
(R) - See Branch County
SANILAC COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
SCHOOLCRAFT COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
SHIAWASSEE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Genessee County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
TUSCOLA COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
WASHTENAW COUNTY
Decision #AR-3120 (B,H)
39 FR 29843 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33916 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35913 - 10/4/74
WAYNE COUNTY
(B,H,R) - See Macomb County
(D) - See Alcona County
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide
WEXFORD COUNTY
(Hw, W&S) - See Statewide

MINNESOTA

AITKIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
ANOKA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3049 (B,R)
39 FR 25861 - 7/12/74
Decision #AR-3053 (H,Hw)
39 FR 25873 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31775 - 8/30/74
BECKER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3104 (H,Hw)
39 FR 9369 - 3/8/74
BELTRAMI COUNTY
None
BENTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
BIG STONE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3105 (H,Hw)
39 FR 9370 - 3/8/74
BLUE EARTH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
BROWN COUNTY
None
CARLTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
CARVER COUNTY
Decision #AR-3050 (B,R)
39 FR 25864 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 27394 - 7/26/74
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Big Stone County
CHISAGO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
CLAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
CLEAR WATER COUNTY
None
COOK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
COTTONWOOD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3124 (H,Hw)
39 FR 9335 - 3/8/74
CROW WING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
DAKOTA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3051 (B,R)
39 FR 25867 - 7/12/74
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd.)

DODGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
FAIRBAULT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
FILLMORE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
FREEBORN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
GOODHUE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
HENNEPIN COUNTY
(B,R) - See Carver
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
HOUSTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
HUBBARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Becker County
ISANTI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
ITASKA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
KANABEC COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
KANDIYOHI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
KITTSON COUNTY
None
KOOCHICHING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
LAC QUI PARLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big STone County
LAKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
(D) - See Cook County
LAKE OF THE WOODS COUNTY
None
LE SHUEUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cottonwood County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd.)

LYON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cottonwood County
MCLEOD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
MAHNOMEN COUNTY
None
MARSHALL COUNTY
None
MARTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
MEEKER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
MILLE LACS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
MORRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
MOWER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
MURRAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cottonwood County
NICOLLET COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
NOBLES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
NORMAN COUNTY
None
OLMSTEAD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
OTTER TAIL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Becker County
PENNINGTON COUNTY
None
PINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
PIPESTONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cottonwood County
POLK COUNTY
None
POPE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
RAMSEY COUNTY
(B,R) - See Dakota County
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
RED LAKE COUNTY
None
REDWOOD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cottonwood County
RENVILLE COUNTY
None
RICE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
ROCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County

MINNESOTA (Cont'd.)

ROSEAU COUNTY
None
SAINT LOUIS COUNTY
(D) - See Cook County
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
CITY OF DULUTH
Decision #AR-3052 (B,R)
39 FR 25870 - 7/12/74
SCOTT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
(B,R) - See Carver County
SHERBURNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
SIBLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
STEARNS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
STEELE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
STEVENS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
SWIFT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
TODD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Becker County
TRAVERSE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Big Stone County
WABASHA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
WADENA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Becker County
WASECA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,R) - See Dakota County
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
WATONWAN COUNTY
None
WILKIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Becker County
WINONA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
WRIGHT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Anoka County
YELLOW MEDICINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Cottonwood County

MISSISSIPPI

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15603 - 5/3/74
Decision #AQ-4113 (H,Hw)
39 FR 17664 - 5/17/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34923 - 9/27/74
ADAMS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ALCORN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
AMITE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ATTALA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOLIVAR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARROLL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHICKASAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLAIBORNE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLARKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

MISSISSIPPI (cont'd)

CLAY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COAHOMA COUNTY
Decision #AR-4024 (R)
39 FR 31771 - 8/30/74
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COPIAH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County
COVINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DE SOTO COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FORREST COUNTY
Decision #AM-8264 (R)
37 FR 13017 - 6/30/72
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GEORGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(R) - See Harrison County
GREENE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw)- See Statewide
GRENADA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw,W&S) - See Harrison County
(R) - See Harrison County
HARRISON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4041 (B,H,Hw,W&S)
39 FR 34996 - 9/27/74
Decision #AP-174 (R)
38 FR 10584 - 4/27/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 8102 - 3/1/74
(D,F) - See Statewide

MISSISSIPPI (cont'd)

HINDS COUNTY
Decision #AR-4030 (B)
39 FR 32454 - 9/6/74
Decision #AQ-4120 (R)
39 FR 20302 - 6/7/74
(D,F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOLMES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HUMPHREYS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ISSAQUENA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ITAWAMBA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw,W&S) - See Harrison County
(R) - See Harrison County
JASPER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON DAVIS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Forest County
KEMPER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

MISSISSIPPI (cont'd)

LAMAR COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEAKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County
LEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEFLORE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOWNDES COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd)

NESHOBA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NEWTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NOXUBEE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OKTIBBEHA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PANOLA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PEARL RIVER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw,W&S) - See Harrison County
(R) - See Harrison County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PIKE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PONTOTOC COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PRENTISS COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
QUITMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RANKIN COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd)

SCOTT COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County
SHARKEY COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SIMPSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County
SMITH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hinds County
STONE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Harrison County
SUNFLOWER COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Coahoma County
TALLAHATCHIE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TATE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TIPPAH COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TISHOMINGO COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TUNICA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

MISSISSIPPI (Cont'd)

WALTHALL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4112 (B)
39 FR 16978 - 5/10/74
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Coahoma County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4072 (B)
39 FR 5933 - 2/15/74
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(R) - See Coahoma County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
WILKINSON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WINSTON COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
YALOBUSHA COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
YAZOO COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Statewide

MISSOURI

ADAIR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-85 (H,Hw)
39 FR 9371 - 3/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 11815 - 3/29/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 15607 - 5/3/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 30666 - 8/23/74
ANDREW COUNTY
Decision #AQ-21 (D)
38 FR 23647 - 8/31/73
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ATCHISON COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
AUDRAIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BARRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BARTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BATES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BENTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BOLLINGER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BOONE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BUCHANAN COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
BUTLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CALDWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CALLAWAY COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CAMDEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CAPE GIRARDEAU COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3094 (D)
39 FR 5070 - 2/8/74
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CARROLL COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CARTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd.)

CASS COUNTY
Decision #AO-44 (B,H,Hw)
38 31780 - 11/16/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26559 - 7/17/74
Decision #AO-62 (R)
38 FR 32388 - 11/23/73
(H,Hw)-See Statewide
CEDAR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CHARITON COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CHRISTIAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CLAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
(R) - See Cass County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
COLE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
COOPER COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DADE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DALLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DAVIESS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DE KALB COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
DENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
DUNKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GASCONADE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GENTRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

GRUNDY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HICKORY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HOLT COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HOWARD COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
HOWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
IRON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
JACKSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
(R) - See Cass County
JASPER COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girdeau County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LACLEDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
LAFAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(Hw) - See Adair County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LEWIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LINN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MC DONALD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MARIES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MILLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
MONITEAU COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
NEW MADRID COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
NEWTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
NODAWAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
OREGON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
OSAGE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
OZARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
PEMISCOT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
PERRY COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PETTIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PHELPS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PLATTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
(R) - See Cass County
POLK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

PULASKI COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
PUTNAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
RALLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
RAY COUNTY
(B,R) - See Cass County
(H,Hw) - See Cass County
(D) - See Andrew County
REYNOLDS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
RIPLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
ST. CHARLES COUNTY
Decision #AO-91 (B)
39 FR 14157 - 4/19/74
(D) - See Andrew County
Decision #AO-94 (R)
39 FR 15649 - 5/3/74
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ST. CLAIR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ST. FRANCOIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(B,R) - See St. Charles County
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
STE. GENEVIEVE COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
SALINE COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
SCHUYLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
SCOTLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
SCOTT COUNTY
(D) - See Cape Girardeau County
(Hw) - See Adair County
SHANNON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
STODDARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MISSOURI (Cont'd)

STONE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
TANEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
TEXAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
VERNON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WARREN COUNTY
(D) - See Andrew County
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WAYNE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County
WORTH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adair County
WRIGHT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adair County

MONTANA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1039 (B)
39 FR 34999 - 9/27/74
Decision #AR-1017 (H,Hw)
39 FR 29853 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31776 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34923 - 9/27/74
BEAVERHEAD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BIG HORN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BLAINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BROADWATER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARBON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CASCADE COUNTY
Decision #AR-1012 (R)
39 FR 28838 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31776 - 8/30/74
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHOUTEAU COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DANIELS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAWSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DEERLODGE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-1013 (R)
39 FR 28848 - 8/9/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34923 - 9/27/74
FALLON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
FERGUS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
FLATHEAD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cascade County
GALLATIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Deerlodge County
GARFIELD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GLACIER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

MONTANA (Cont'd)

GOLDEN VALLEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANITE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
HILL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cascade County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
JUDITH BASIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEWIS & CLARK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LIBERTY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MC CONE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MEAGHER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw)- See Statewide
MINERAL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MISSOULA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MUSSELSHELL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PARK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PETROLEUM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PHILLIPS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PONDERA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
POWDER RIVER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
POWELL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PRAIRIE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
RAVALLI COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

MONTANA (Cont'd)

RICHLAND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROOSEVELT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROSEBUD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANDERS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cascade County
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SILVERBOW COUNTY
(R) - See Deerlodge County
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
STILLWATER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SWEETGRASS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TETON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TOOLE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TREASURE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
VALLEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cascade County
WHEATLAND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WIBAUX COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
YELLOW STONE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEBRASKA

STATEWIDE (Except Douglas & Sarpy)
Decision #AQ-119 (Constr., alteration & repair of streets, roads, highways (excluding bridges across navigable waterways), runways, and water and sewer utilities, SCS Floodwater retarding structures
39 FR 22398 - 6/21/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 31777 - 8/30/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 32442 - 9/6/74
ADAMS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ANTELOPE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ARTHUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BANNER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-79 (B)
39 FR 5933 - 2/15/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BLAINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOX BUTTE COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOYD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - SEe Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BUFFALO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BURT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BUTLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CASS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CEDAR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-93 (B)
39 FR 15653 - 5/3/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHASE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHEYENNE COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLFAX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CUMING COUNTY
(B) - See Cedar County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEBRASKA (Cont'd.)

CUSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See STatewide
DAKOTA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAWES COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAWSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DUEL COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DIXON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DODGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
Decision #AR-14 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29885 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32443 - 9/6/74
Decision #AR-13 (R)
39 FR 29884 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32442 - 9/6/74
DUNDY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FILLMORE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRONTIER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FURNAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GAGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARDEN COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GOSPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREELEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HALL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-24 (B)
38 FR 24486 - 9/7/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 30330 - 11/2/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEBRASKA (Cont'd.)

HAMILTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARLAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HAYES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HITCHOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOLT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOOKER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KEARNEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KIETH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KEYAPAHA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KIMBALL COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LANCASTER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-65 (B)
38 FR 34597 - 12/14/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22367 - 6/21/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 26560 - 7/19/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 27394 - 7/26/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 31777 - 8/30/74
Decision #AM-6140 (R)
36 FR 21736 - 11/12/71
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOUP COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MC PHERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MERRICK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEBRASKA (Cont'd.)

MORRILL COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NANCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NEMAHA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-21 (D)
38 FR 23647 - 8/31/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NUCKOLLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OTOE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PAWNEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PERKINS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PHELPS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(B) - See Cedar County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PLATTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RED WILLOW COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RICHARDSON COUNTY
(D) - See Neemaha County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SALINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SARPY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Douglas County
SAUNDERS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SCOTTS BLUFF COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SEWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHERMAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SIOUX COUNTY
(B) - See Banner County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEBRASKA (Cont'd)

STANTON COUNTY
(B) - See Cedar County
(Hw) - See Adams County
THAYER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
THOMAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
THURSTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
VALLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WAYNE COUNTY
(B) - See Cedar County
(Hw) - See Adams County
WEBSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
WHEELER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County
YORK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Adams County

NEVADA

STATEWIDE (Excluding the Nevada Test Site & Tonopah Test Range)
Decision #AQ-1080 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 5131 - 2/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22369 - 6/21/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24178 - 6/28/74
CHURCHILL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1081 (R) (Excluding the Nevada Test Site)
39 FR 5992 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1-39 FR 22369 - 6/21/74
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-1083 (B,H,Hw) (Nevada Test Site including the Tonopah Test Range
39 FR 6001 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22369 - 6/21/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24178 - 6/28/74
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ELKO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ESMERALDA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
EUREKA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LANDER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LYON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MINERAL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
NYE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Clark County (Nevada Test Site)
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ORMSBY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PERSHING COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
STOREY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHOE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1082 (R)
39 FR 5996 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22369 - 6/21/74
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WHITE PINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEW HAMPSHIRE

BELKNAP COUNTY
None
CARROLL COUNTY
None
CHESHIRE COUNTY
None
COOS COUNTY
None
GRAFTON COUNTY
None
HILLSBORO COUNTY
Decision #AR-3142 (B,H,Hw,R & Marine)
39 FR 35021 - 9/27/74
MERRIMACK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3143 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
39 FR 35024 - 9/27/74
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
Decision #AR-3144 (B,H,Hw, & Marine)
39 FR 35027 - 9/27/74
STRAFFORD COUNTY
Decision #AR-3145 (B,H,Hw,R & Marine)
39 FR 35031 - 9/27/74
SULLIVAN COUNTY
None

NEW JERSEY

ATLANTIC COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2094 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 12657 - 4/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14120 - 4/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 19397 - 5/31/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28003 - 8/2/74
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19143 - 5/31/74
BERGEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2105 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 14873 - 4/26/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 19403 - 5/31/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24179 - 6/28/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28764 - 8/9/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 29716 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
BURLINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2098 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 13491 - 4/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14851 - 4/26/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 19399 - 5/31/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28755 - 8/9/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAMDEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2093 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 12651 - 4/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14120 - 4/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 19396 - 5/31/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28745 - 8/9/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
CAPE MAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Atlantic County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2097 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 13485 - 4/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20291 - 6/7/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28750 - 8/9/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
ESSEX COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2102 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 14161 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 19400 - 5/31/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24782 - 7/5/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28760 - 8/9/74
GLOUCESTER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Camden County
(D) - See Atlantic County
HUDSON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2090 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 11823 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14850 - 4/26/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 19393 - 5/31/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28741 - 8/9/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 29706 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
HUNTERDON COUNTY
None

NEW JERSEY (Cont'd.)

MERCER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2104 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 14168 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 19402 - 5/31/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29712 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Decision #AR-2040 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33164 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34923 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
MONMOUTH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2096 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 13478 - 4/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20290 - 6/7/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29707 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
MORRIS COUNTY
Decision #AR-2041 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33172 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34924 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
OCEAN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2106 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 14880 - 4/26/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20293 - 6/7/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29717 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
PASSAIC COUNTY
Decision #AR-2042 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 33179 - 9/13/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
SALEM COUNTY
(D) - See Atlantic County
SOMERSET COUNTY
Decision #AR-2043 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 32456 - 9/6/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34925 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
SUSSEX COUNTY
None
UNION COUNTY
Decision #AR-2044 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 32464 - 9/6/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34925 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Atlantic County
WARREN COUNTY
None

NEW MEXICO

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-18 (Streets, Highways, Utilities and Light Engineering Construction)
38 FR 21714 - 8/10/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 22853 - 8/24/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 32443 - 9/6/74
Decision #AR-6 (Building, including residential in McKinley, Santa Fe, San Juan & Bernalillo Cos.) and Heavy engineering construction.
39 FR 25880 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 27395 - 7/26/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28768 - 8/9/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 31778 - 8/30/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 32443 - 9/6/74
Mod. #5 - 39 FR 34926 - 9/27/74
BERNALILLO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See Statewide
CATRON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHAVES COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLFAX COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CURRY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DE BACA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DONA ANA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-340 (R)
37 FR 19869 - 9/22/72
EDDY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GUADALUPE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARDING COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See STatewide
HIDALGO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOS ALAMOS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LUNA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
McKINLEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See Statewide
MORA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEW MEXICO (Cont'd)

OTERO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
QUAY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
RIO ARRIBA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROOSEVELT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANDOVAL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See Statewide
SAN MIGUEL COUNTY
(B, H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANTA FE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R) - See Statewide
SIERRA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SOCORRO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TAOS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TORRANCE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
VALENCIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

NEW YORK

ALBANY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2054 (H,Hw,B)
39 FR 35034 - 9/27/74
ALLEGANY COUNTY
None
BRONX COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2107 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 14887 - 4/26/74
Decision #AQ-2120 (R)
39 FR 17670 - 5/17/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20918 - 6/14/74
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19143 - 5/31/74
BROOME COUNTY
Decision #AR-2017 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29888 - 8/16/74
CATTARAUGUS COUNTY
None
CAYUGA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74
CHAUTAUGUA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2014 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 28735 - 8/9/74
(D) - See Cayuga County
CHEMUNG COUNTY
None
CHENANGO COUNTY
None
CLINTON COUNTY
None
COLUMBIA COUNTY
None
CORTLAND COUNTY
None
DELAWARE COUNTY
None

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

DUTCHESS COUNTY
Decision AQ-2028 (B,H,Hw)
36 FR 31135 - 11/9/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 5066 - 2/8/74
(D) - See Bronx County
ERIE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2051 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33185 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35913 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Cayuga County
ESSEX COUNTY
None
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
FULTON COUNTY
None
GENESEE COUNTY
None
GREENE COUNTY
None
HAMILTON COUNTY
None
HERKIMER COUNTY
None
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-2013 (B)
39 FR 28708 - 8/2/74
(D) - See Cayuga County
KINGS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
LEWIS COUNTY
None
LIVINGSTON COUNTY
None
MADISON COUNTY
None

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

MONROE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2024 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 30768 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34926 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Cayuga County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
None
NASSAU COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2001 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 18845 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 24491 - 9/7/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 5950 - 2/15/74
(D) - See Bronx County
NEW YORK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
NIAGARA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2048 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 4368 - 2/1/74
(D) - See Cayuga County
ONEIDA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2019 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29897 - 8/16/74
ONONDAGA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2118 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29893 - 8/16/74
ONTARIO COUNTY
None
ORANGE COUNTY
Decision #AP-845 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 15346 - 6/8/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 18820 - 7/13/73
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 5950 - 2/15/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 25787 - 7/12/74
(D) - See Bronx County
ORLEANS COUNTY
(D)- See Cayuga County
OSWEGO COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
OTSEGA COUNTY
None

NEW YORK (Cont'd)

PUTNAM COUNTY
None
QUEENS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
RENSSELAER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2010 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 27398 - 7/26/74
RICHMOND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,R,D) - See Bronx County
ROCKLAND COUNTY
(D) - See Albany County
ST LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Cayuga County
SARATOGA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2020 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 29903 - 8/16/74
SCHENECTADY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2011 (B,H,Hw)
38 FR 27402 - 7/26/74
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 21028 - 8/3/73
SCHOHARIE COUNTY
None
SCHUYLER COUNTY
None
SENECA COUNTY
None
STEUBEN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2126 (B,H,Hw,R)
39 FR 20303 - 6/7/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 24783 - 7/5/74
SUFFOLK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2112 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 15673 - 5/3/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22369 - 6/21/74
(D) - See Bronx County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
None
TIOGA COUNTY
None

NEW YORK (cont'd)

TOMPKINS COUNTY
None
ULSTER COUNTY
None
WARREN COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
None
WAYNE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2128 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 24163 - 6/28/74
(D) - See Cayuga County
WESTCHESTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2021 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 33979 - 9/20/74
(D) - See Bronx County
WYOMING COUNTY
None
YATES COUNTY
None

NORTH CAROLINA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-4064 (H,Hw)
39 FR 4371 - 2/1/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 7018 - 2/22/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 15609 - 5/3/74
Decision #AP-156 (Sewer & Water)
38 FR 4618 - 2/16/73
ALAMANCE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4078 (R)
39 FR 7010 - 2/22/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
ALEXANDER COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
ALLEGHANY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd.)

ANSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
ASHE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
AVERY COUNTY
Decision #AR-4017 (B)
39 FR 28739 - 8/9/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
BEAUFORT COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2039 (D)
39 FR 3395 - 1/25/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
BERTIE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4079 (R)
39 FR 7010 - 2/22/74
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
BLADEN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
BRUNSWICK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
Decision #AR-4031 (R)
39 FR 32441 - 9/6/74
BUNCOMBE COUNTY
Decision #AR-4005 (B)
39 FR 24778 - 7/5/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
BURKE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4105 (B)
39 FR 14842 - 4/26/74
Decision #AQ-4117 (R)
39 FR 18397 - 5/24/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CABARRUS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CALDWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CAMDEN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARTERET COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4083 (R)
39 FR 8101 - 3/1/74
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CASWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CATAWBA COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHATHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See STatewide
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

CHOWAN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
(R) - See Bertie County
CLAY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLEVELAND COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLUMBUS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CRAVEN COUNTY
(R) - See Carteret County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4087 (R)
39 FR 9337 - 3/8/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
CURRITUCK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
DARE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
DAVIDSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAVIE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
DUPLIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
DURHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
EDGECOMBE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
FORSYTH COUNTY
Decision #AR-4019 (R)
39 FR 29702 - 8/16/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
GASTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
GATES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
GRAHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANVILLE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
GUILFORD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Alamance County

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd.)

HALIFAX COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
HARNETT COUNTY
(R) - See Cumberland County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
HAYWOOD COUNTY
(R) - See Cumberland County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
HENDERSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
HERTFORD COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
(R) - See Bertie County
HOKE COUNTY'
(R) - See Cumberland County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
HYDE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Beaufort County
IREDELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
JONES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
LEE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
LENOIR COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Burke County
MCDOWELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARTIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
MECKLENBURG COUNTY
Decision #AP-161 (B)
38 FR 5753 - 3/2/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 7166 - 3/16/73
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 31770 - 11/16/73
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
MITCHELL COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Avery County

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
MOORE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
NASH COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See STatewide
NEW HANOVER COUNTY
(R) - See Brunswick County
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
ONSLOW COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Brunswick County
ORANGE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
PAMILICO COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
PASQUOTANK COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
PENDER COUNTY
(B) - See Brunswick County
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Brunswick County
PERQUIMANS COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
PERSONS COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
PITT COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
POLK COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Alamance County
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROBESON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Alamance County
ROWAN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
RUTHERFORD COUNTY
(R) - See Burke County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH CAROLINA (Cont'd)

SAMPSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cumberland County
SCOTLAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
STANLY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
STOKES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
SURRY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Forsyth County
SWAIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY
Decision #AR-4042 (B)
39 FR 34906 - 9/27/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
TYRRELL COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
VANCE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
WAKE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4032 (B)
38 FR 31093 - 11/9/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 5066 - 2/8/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Beaufort County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
WATAUGA COUNTY
(B) - See Avery County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carteret County
WILKES COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
WILSON COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bertie County
YADKIN COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
YANCEY COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH DAKOTA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1000 (Hw)
38 FR 18849 - 7/13/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 33800 - 12/7/73
ADAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BARNES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BILLINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BOTTINEAU COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BOWMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BURKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BURLEIGH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-1036 (B)
39 FR 35038 - 9/27/74
CASS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
CAVALIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DICKEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DIVIDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DUNN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EDDY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EMMONS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FOSTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GOLDEN VALLEY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

NORTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

GRAND FORKS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
GRANT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRIGGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HETTINGER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
KIDDER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAMOURE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McHENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McINTOSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McKENZIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
McLEAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MERCER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
MOUNTRAIL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
NELSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
OLIVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PEMBINA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RANSOM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RENVILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County

NORTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

ROLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SARGENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SIOUX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SLOPE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEELE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
STUTSMAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TOWNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TRAILL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALSH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
WARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Burleigh County
WELLS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILLIAMS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-3048 (H,Hw)
39 FR 27992 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 29722 - 8/16/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34927 - 9/27/74
ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3094 (D)
39 FR 5070 - 2/8/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ALLEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ASHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ASHTABULA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ATHENS COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
AUGLAIZE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BELMONT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BUTLER COUNTY
Decision #AR-3033 (B)
39 FR 30774 - 8/23/74
Decision #AP-683 (R)
38 FR 14049 - 5/25/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARROLL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3034 (B)
39 FR 30776 - 8/23/74
DEcision #AP-684 (R)
38 FR 14049 - 5/25/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLERMONT COUNTY
Decision #AM-685 (R)
38 FR 14050 - 5/25/73
(B) - See Hamilton County
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO (Cont'd)

CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLUMBIANA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COSHOCTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CUYAHOGA COUNTY
Decision #AR-3035 (B,R)
39 FR 30778 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DARKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DEFIANCE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #AM-420 (R)
36 FR 15963 - 8/18/71
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 4630 - 2/16/73
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ERIE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FAIRFIELD COUNTY
(R) - See Delaware County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3036 (B)
39 FR 30780 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Delaware County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FULTON COUNTY
(B) - See Lucas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GALLIA COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GEAUGA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3037 (B)
39 FR 30782 - 8/23/74
Decision #AM-422 (R)
36 FR 15965 - 8/18/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 8619 - 4/28/72
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GUERNSEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HAMILTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3038 (B)
39 FR 30784 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Adams County
(R) - See Clermont County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO (Cont'd)

HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARDIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HIGHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOCKING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOLMES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HURON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(B,R) - See Cuyahoga County
(D) - See Ashtabula County
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LICKING COUNTY
Decision #AR-3039 (B)
39 FR 30786 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Delaware County
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LORAIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-3040 (B,R)
39 FR 30663 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Ashtabula County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LUCAS COUNTY
Decision #AR-3041 (B)
39 FR 30788 - 8/23/74
(D) - See Ashtabula County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(B) - See Franklin County
(R) - See Delaware County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO (Cont'd)

MAHONING COUNTY
Decision #AR-3042 (B,R)
39 FR 30790 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MEDINA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MEIGS COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MIAMI COUNTY
(R) - See Greene County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(B) - See Greene Countv
(R) - See Greene County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORROW COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MUSKINGUM COUNTY
Decision #AR-3043 (B)
39 FR 30792 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NOBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OTTAWA COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PAULDING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PICKAWAY COUNTY
(B) - See Franklin County
(R) - See Delaware County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PORTAGE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3044 (B,R)
39 FR 30794 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OHIO (Cont'd.)

PREBLE COUNTY
(R) - See Greene County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROSS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANDUSKY COUNTY
(D) - See Ashtabula County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SCIOTO COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SENECA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
STARK COUNTY
Decision #AR-3045 (B,R)
39 FR 30796 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMITT COUNTY
Decision #AR-3046 (B,R)
39 FR 30798 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34927 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TRUMBULL COUNTY
Decision #AR-3047 (B,R)
39 FR 30800 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TUSCARAWAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
VAN WERT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
VINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(R) - See Butler County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(D) - See Adams County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WILLIAMS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WOOD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WYANDOT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA

STATEWIDE (Except the City of Muskogee)
Decision #AQ-124 (Constr., alteration, and/or repair of streets, highways, runways, erosion control structures, well drilling, and water and sewer utilities)
39 FR 24194 - 6/28/74
ADAIR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ALFALFA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ATOKA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BEAVER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BECKHAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BLAINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BRYAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-341 (R)
37 FR 19870 - 9/22/72
CADDO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CANADIAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Oklahoma County
(R) - See Oklahoma County
CARTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHOCTAW COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CIMARRON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLEVELAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Oklahoma County
(B) - See Oklahoma County
COAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COMANCHE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-741 (R)
38 FR 18164 - 7/6/73
COTTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - SEe Statewide
CRAIG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CREEK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA (cont'd)

CUSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DELAWARE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DEWEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ELLIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARVIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRADY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARMON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HASKELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HUGHES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KINGFISHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KIOWA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LATIMER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEFLORE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Oklahoma County
(B) - See Oklahoma County
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOVE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
McCLAIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
McCURTAIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA (cont'd)

MCINTOSH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MAJOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MAYES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MURRAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MUSKOGEE COUNTY
Decision #AR-35 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 35043 - 9/27/74
NOBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NOWATA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OKFUSKEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OKLAHOMA COUNTY
Decision #AR-15 (B)
39 FR 29907 - 8/16/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33917 - 9/20/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34928 - 9/27/74
Decision #AP-304 (R)
37 FR 15789 - 8/4/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 28799 - 12/28/72
Mod. #2 - 38 FR 4078 - 2/9/73
OKMULGEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OSAGE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Tulsa County
OTTAWA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PAWNEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PITTSBURG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PONTOTOC COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
POTTAWATOMIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Oklahoma County
(B) - See Oklahoma County
PUSHMATAHA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROGER MILLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OKLAHOMA (Cont'd)

ROGERS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SEMINOLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SEQUOYAH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
STEPHENS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TEXAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TILLMAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TULSA COUNTY
Decision #AR-50 (B)
39 FR 35046 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-320 (R)
37 FR 17899 - 9/1/72
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 5099 - 2/23/73
WAGONER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHITA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WOODS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WOODWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

OREGON

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1019 (B,H,Hw,D)
39 FR 30802 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 33155 - 9/13/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34928 - 9/27/74
BAKER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLACKAMAS COUNTY
Decision #AR-1016 (R)
39 FR 29910 - 8/16/74
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLATSOP COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COOS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CROOK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CURRY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
DESCHUTES COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GILLIAM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw,B,D) - See Statewide
HARNEY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
HOOD RIVER COUNTY
(H,Hw,B,D) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw,B,D) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
JOSEPHINE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
KLAMATH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LANE COUNTY
Decision #AR-1015 (R)
39 FR 29702 - 8/16/74
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LINN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Lane County

OREGON (Cont'd.)

MALHEUR COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(R) - See Lane County
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MORROW COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MULTNOMAH COUNTY
(R) - See Clackamas County
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
SHERMAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
TILLAMOOK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
UMATILLA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WALLOWA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WASCO COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clackamas County
WHEELER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
YAMHILL COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide

PENNSYLVANIA

ADAMS COUNTY
Decision #AR-2029 (H,Hw)
39 FR 31853 - 8/30/74
Decision #AQ-2042 (B)
39 FR 5044 - 2/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14121 - 4/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24785 - 7/5/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 29725 - 8/16/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 31780 - 8/30/74
ALLEGHENY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2033 (B)
39 FR 35048 - 9/27/74
Decision #AQ-2049 (R)
39 FR 5043 - 2/8/74
(H,Hw) - See Beaver County
ARMSTRONG COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2058 (H,Hw)
39 FR 9410 - 3/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 2237 - 6/21/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33918 - 9/20/74
Decision #AR-2034 (B)
39 FR 35051 - 9/27/74
BEAVER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2059 (H,Hw)
39 FR 6004 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22370 - 6/21/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 26562 - 7/19/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 33918 - 9/20/74
Decision #AQ-2057 (B)
39 FR 5943 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 11002 - 3/22/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 31780 - 8/30/74
BEDFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2060 (H,Hw)
39 FR 9410 - 3/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 22372 - 6/21/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 33918 - 9/20/74
Decision #AQ-2084 (B)
39 FR 11808 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 13411 - 4/12/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
BERKS COUNTY
Decision #AR-2027 (B)
39 FR 31850 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
BLAIR COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2083 (B)
39 FR 12578 - 4/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 29725 - 8/16/74

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd)

BLAIR COUNTY (Cont'd.)
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
BRADFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
BUCKS COUNTY
Decision #AR-2006 (R)
39 FR 25905 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28012 - 8/2/74
Decision #AR-2028 (H,Hw)
39 FR 33984 - 9/20/74
BUTLER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2036 (B)
39 FR 33988 - 9/20/74
Decision #AQ-2062 (H,Hw)
39 FR 6011 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14121 - 4/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 22373 - 6/21/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 33918 - 9/20/74
CAMBRIA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2081 (B)
39 FR 11805 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
CAMERON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
CARBON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
CENTRE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2061 (H,Hw)
39 FR 6008 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 9357 - 3/8/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 14121 - 4/19/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 22373 - 6/21/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 33918 - 9/20/74
CHESTER COUNTY
(H,Hw,R) - See Bucks County
Decision #AR-2003 (B)
39 FR 25895 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28012 - 8/2/74
CLARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
CLEARFIELD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Centre County
CLINTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
CRAWFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2123 (B)
39 FR 19379 - 5/31/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29725 - 8/16/74
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd)

CUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AR-2009 (B)
39 FR 26619 - 7/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 29725 - 8/16/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
DAUPHIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(B) - See Cumberland County
DELAWARE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2002 (B)
39 FR 25892 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28012 - 8/2/74
(R,H,Hw) - See Bucks County
ELK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2046 (B)
39 FR 9338 - 3/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 18408 - 5/24/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
ERIE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2067 (B)
39 FR 6030 - 2/15/74
(H,Hw) - SEe Butler County
FAYETTE COUNTY
(B) - See Butler County
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
FOREST COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2121 (B)
39 FR 18398 - 5/24/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20920 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2001 (B)
39 FR 24803 - 7/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26562 - 7/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28010 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
FULTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
GREENE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Centre County
HUNTINGDON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
INDIANA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2037 (B)
39 FR 31859 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Centre County
JUNITA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(B) - See Cumberland County
LACKAWANNA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2092 (B)
39 FR 13499 - 4/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30667 - 8/23/74

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd)

LANCASTER COUNTY
Decision #AR-2030 (B)
39 FR 31857 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LAWRENCE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2068 (B)
39 FR 6034 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 18409 - 5/24/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 31780 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
LEBANON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2080 (B)
39 FR 12571 - 4/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 24785 - 7/5/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 26564 - 7/19/74
LEHIGH COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2099 (B)
39 FR 14175 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30667 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LUZERNE COUNTY
Decision #AR-2008 (B)
39 FR 27406 - 7/26/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
LYCOMING COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2079 (B)
39 FR 11803 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30667 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MC KEAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
(B) - See Forest County
MERCER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2066 (B)
39 FR 6026 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 13411 - 4/12/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 18409 - 5/24/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 31780 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
MIFFLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Decision #AR-2004 (B)
39 FR 25898 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28012 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw,R) - See Bucks County
MONTOUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2016 (B)
39 FR 22356 - 8/17/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 4289 - 2/1/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 30666 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2044 (B)
39 FR 7014 - 2/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 10079 - 3/15/74

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd.)

NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY (Cont'd.)
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 16990 - 5/10/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 24785 - 7/5/74
Mod. #4 - 39 FR 30666 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
PERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2005 (B)
39 FR 25902 - 7/12/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28012 - 8/2/74
Decision AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19143 - 5/31/74
(H,Hw,R) - See Bucks County
PIKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
POTTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Bedford County
SCHUYKILL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2050 (B)
39 FR 5938 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 10079 - 3/15/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 16990 - 5/10/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 30667 - 8/23/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SNYDER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SOMERSET COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
SULLIVAN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2070 (B)
39 FR 10070 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26562 - 7/19/74
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
TIOGA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
UNION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
VENANGO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2043 (B)
39 FR 7011 - 2/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 18408 - 5/24/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 20919 - 6/14/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong County
WARREN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2085 (B)
39 FR 14115 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20920 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28008 - 8/2/74
(H,Hw) - See Armstrong county
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-2039 (B)
39 FR 35054 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
WAYNE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County

PENNSYLVANIA (Cont'd.)

WESTMORELAND COUNTY
Decision #AR-2038 (B)
39 FR 31862 - 8/30/74
(H,Hw) - See Butler County
WYCOMING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Adams County
YORK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Adams Co. (Excluding New Cumberland Depot)
(B) - See Cumberland County (New Cumberland Depot)
(H,Hw) - See Adams County (New Cumberland Depot)

PUERTO RICO

PUERTO RICO
Decision #AR-2016 (R)
39 FR 28859 - 8/9/74
Decision #AQ-2052 (B)
39 FR 5161 - 2/8/74
Decision #AQ-2019 (H,Hw)
38 FR 24847 - 9/14/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 27395 - 7/26/74

RHODE ISLAND

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-2125 (D)
39 FR 19413 - 5/31/74
BRISTOL COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(B,H,Hw, & Marine) - See Providence Co.
KENT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw, & Marine) - See Providence Co.
(D) - See Statewide
NEWPORT COUNTY
Decision #AR-3078 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
39 FR 28087 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 29726 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Statewide
PROVIDENCE COUNTY
Decision #AR-3077 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
39 FR 28083 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 29726 - 8/16/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34929 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-3079 (B,H,Hw,R, & Marine)
39 FR 28090 - 8/2/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 29726 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Statewide

SOUTH CAROLINA (Cont'd.)

RICHLAND COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Lexington County
SALUDA COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMTER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4097 (B)
39 FR 12581 - 4/5/74
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(B) - See Abbeville County
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide
YORK COUNTY
(Sewer & Water, H,Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-908 (Hw)
38 FR 15807 - 6/15/73
AURORA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BEADLE COUNTY
Decision #AR-1028 (H)
39 FR 31867 - 8/30/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENNETT COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
BON HOMME COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
BROOKINGS COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
BRULE COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
BUFFALO COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
BUTTE COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHARLES MIX COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CODINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(H) - See Beadle County
CORSON COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
CUSTER COUNTY
(H) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAVISON COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DEUEL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
DEWEY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

DOUGLAS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
EDMUNDS COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
FALL RIVER COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
FAULK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
GREGORY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAAKON COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAMLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HANSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARDING COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUGHES COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUTCHINSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
HYDE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
JERAULD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JONES COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
KINGSBURY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LYMAN COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd)

Mc COOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
Mc PHERSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MEADE COUNTY
Decision #AR-1027 (B)
39 FR 31866 - 8/30/74
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MELLETTE COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MINER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
MINNEHAHA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1091 (R)
39 FR 8146 - 3/1/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 14856 - 4/26/74
Decision #AR-1026 (B)
39 FR 31865 - 8/30/74
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
MOODY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PENNINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Meade County
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PERKINS COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
POTTER COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
ROBERTS COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
SANBORN COUNTY
(Hw)- See Statewide
SHANNON COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
SPINK COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
STANLEY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
SULLY COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide

SOUTH DAKOTA (Cont'd.)

TODD COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
TRIPP COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
TURNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
WALWORTH COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHABAUGH COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
YANKTON COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide
ZIEBACH COUNTY
(H) - See Beadle County
(Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE

STATEWIDE
Decision #AP-1107 (F)
38 FR 17358 - 6/29/73
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 15602 - 5/3/74
Decision #AQ-4049 (Hw)
39 FR 1712 - 1/11/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 3373 - 1/25/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 25788 - 7/12/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 35914 - 10/4/74
ANDERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4007 (B) (Oak Ridge and Atomic Energy Commission)
39 FR 24806 - 7/5/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 26564 - 7/19/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28013 - 8/2/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 31782 - 8/30/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
BEDFORD COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BLEDSOE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BLOUNT COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
BRADLEY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CANNON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CARROLL COUNTY
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CARTER COUNTY
Decision #AP-104 (B)
37 FR 13915 - 7/14/72
Decision #AQ-4128 (R)
39 FR 24198 - 6/28/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHEATHAM COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4061 (R)
39 FR 3426 - 1/25/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

CHESTER COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE (Cont'd.)

CLAIBORNE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
COCKE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
COFFEE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CROCKETT COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DAVIDSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4021 (B)
39 FR 31868 - 8/30/74
(R) - See Cheatham County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DECATUR COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DEKALB COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
DICKSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
DYER COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4073 (B)
39 FR 5947 - 2/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 9357 - 3/8/74
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FENTRESS COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GIBSON COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Dyer County

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

GILES COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRAINGER COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GREENE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRUNDY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAMBLEN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAMILTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-4035 (B,H)
39 FR 33191 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34929 - 9/27/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HARDEMAN COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
HARDIN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAWKINS COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HAYWOOD COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HENDERSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HENRY COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HICKMAN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
HUMPHREYS COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE (CONT'D)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
KNOX COUNTY
Decision #AR-4022 (B)
39 FR 31869 - 8/30/74
Decision #AQ-4051 (R)
39 FR 2321 - 1/18/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAKE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4074 (R)
39 FR 5947 - 2/15/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAWRENCE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
LEWIS COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
LOUDON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MC MINN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MC NAIRY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MACON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4094 (B)
39 FR 10997 - 3/22/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34929 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(D) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

MARSHALL COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
MAURY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
MEIGS COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MOORE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
OBION COUNTY
(R) - See Lake County
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
OVERTON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
PERRY COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
PICKETT COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
RHEA COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
ROANE COUNTY
(B) - See Anderson County (Oak Ridge and Atomic Energy Commission)
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County

TENNESSEE (Cont'd)

RUTHERFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-4114 (B)
39 FR 16980 - 5/10/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28013 - 8/2/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 29726 - 8/16/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 31782 - 8/30/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
SCOTT COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEQUATCHIE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHELBY COUNTY
Decision #AR-4036 (B,H, & Utility)
39 FR 33193 - 9/13/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 34929 - 9/27/74
Decision #AP-152 (R)
38 FR 4176 - 2/9/73
(D) - See Carroll County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
SMITH COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
STEWART COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
SULLIVAN COUNTY
Decision #AM-8623 (B)
37 FR 12013 - 6/16/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 23065 - 10/27/72
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 11815 - 3/29/74
Mod. #3 - 39 FR 17655 - 5/17/74
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Carter County
SUMNER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
TIPTON COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
TROUSDALE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County

TENNESSEE (cont'd)

UNICOI COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
UNION COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
VAN BUREN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WARREN COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
Decision #AP-100 (B)
37 FR 13419 - 7/7/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 17316 - 8/25/72
Mod. #2 - 37 FR 22708 - 10/20/72
(R) - See Carter County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WEAKLEY COUNTY
(D) - See Carroll County
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WHITE COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
(F) - See Statewide
(Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Cheatham County
WILSON COUNTY
(R) - See Cheatham County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(F) - See Statewide

TEXAS

STATEWIDE (Excluding Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport)
Decision #AR-36 (H (Excluding tunnels and dams), Hw
Incidental shore work, and paving and utilities incidental to general building construction)
39 FR 33992 - 9/20/74
ANDERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ANDREWS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ANGELINA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ARANSAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-4013 (D)
39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
ARCHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ARMSTRONG COUNTY
Decision #AR-52 (B)
39 FR 35066 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-53 (R)
39 FR 35069 - 9/27/74
ATASCOSA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
AUSTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BAILEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-352 (R)
37 FR 21786 - 10/13/72
BANDERA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BASTROP COUNTY
Decision #AR-1 (R)
39 FR 24809 - 7/5/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BEE COUNTY
Decision #AR-16 (R)
39 FR 29703 - 8/16/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-54 (B)
39 FR 35071 - 9/27/74

TEXAS (Cont'd)

BEXAR COUNTY
Decision #AR-45 (B)
39 FR 34015 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35915 - 10/4/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-44 (R)
39 FR 34013 - 9/20/74
BLANCO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
BORDEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOSQUE COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOWIE COUNTY
Decision #AR-55 (B)
39 FR 35901 - 10/4/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BRAZORIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-11 (R)
39 FR 29910 - 8/16/74
(D) - See Aransas County
BRAZOS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BREWSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BRISCOE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BROOKS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BURLESON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BURNETT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CALDWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
CALHOUN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
CALLAHAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CAMERON COUNTY
Decision #AR-40 (B)
39 FR 34004 - 9/20/74
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-39 (R)
39 FR 34002 - 9/20/74
CAMP COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

CARSON COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Armstrong County
CASS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CASTRO COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Armstrong County
CHAMBERS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
CHEROKEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CHILDRESS COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Armstrong County
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See STatewide
COCHRAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bailey County
COKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLEMAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLLIN COUNTY
Decision #AR-43 (B-excluding Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport)
39 FR 34009 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1-39 FR 35915 - 10/4/74
Decision #AQ-87 (R)
39 FR 10106 - 3/15/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COLLINGSWORTH COUNTY
(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Armstrong County
COLORADO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COMAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COMANCHE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CONCHO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COOKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CORYELL COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
COTTLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

CRANE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AP-347 (R)
37 FR 20499 - 9/29/72
CROCKETT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CROSBY COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CULBERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DALLAM COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DALLAS COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAWSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DEAF SMITH COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DELTA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DENTON COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DE WITT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DICKENS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DIMMIT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DONLEY COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DUVAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
EASTLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ECTOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
EDWARDS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ELLIS COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
EL PASO COUNTY
Decision #AR-49 (B)
39 FR 35062 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ERATH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

FALLS COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FANNIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
FISHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FLOYD COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FOARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FORT BEND COUNTY
(R) - See Brazoria County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FREESTONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FRIO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GAINES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GALVESTON COUNTY
Decision #AR-41 (B)
39 FR 34005 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35915 - 10/4/74
(R) - See Brazoria County
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARZA COUNTY
(R) - See BAiley County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GILLESPIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GLASSOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GOLIAD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GONZALES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRAY COUNTY
(R&B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRAYSON COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREGG COUNTY
Decision #AQ-112 (B)
39 FR 22360 - 6/21/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 28769 - 8/9/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 34929 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

GRIMES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GUADALUPE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HALE COUNTY
(R) - See BAiley County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HAMILTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HANSFORD COUNTY
(R&B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARDEMAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARDIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARRIS COUNTY
(B) - See Galveston County
(R) - See Brazoria County
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARRISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARTLEY COUNTY
(R&B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HASKELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HAYS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
HEMPHILL COUNTY
(R&B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HENDERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HIDALGO COUNTY
(R&B) - See Cameron County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HILL COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOCKLEY COUNTY
(R) - See BAiley County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOOD COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
Decision #AP-301 (R)
37 FR 15232 - 7/28/72
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 20395 - 9/29/72
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

HOPKINS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOUSTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HOWARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HUDSPETH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HUNT COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HUTCHINSON COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
IRION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JASPER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFF DAVIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
Decision #AR-48 (B,R)
39 FR 35060 - 9/27/74
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JIM HOGG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JIM WELLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hood County
JONES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KARNES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

KAUFMAN COUNTY
(R&B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KENDALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KENEDY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
KENT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KERR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KIMBLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KINNEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KLEBERG COUNTY
Decision #AR-38 (B)
39 FR 34000 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35915 - 10/4/74
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bee County
KNOX COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAMAR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAMB COUNTY
(R) - See BAiley County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAMPASAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LA SALLE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LAVACA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County

TEXAS (Cont'd)

LEON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LIBERTY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LIMESTONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LIPSCOMB COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
LIVE OAK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LLANO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOVING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
LUBBOCK COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-47 (B)
39 FR 35059 - 9/27/74
LYNN COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
McCULLOCH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
McLENNAN COUNTY
(B) - See Bell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
McMULLEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MADISON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARTIN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

MASON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

MATAGORDA COUNTY
(R) - See Brazoria County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
MAVERICK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MEDINA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MENARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MIDLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
MILAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MILLS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MITCHELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONTAGUE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(R) - See Brazoria County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MOORE COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORRIS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MOTLEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NACOGDOCHES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NAVARRO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

NEWTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NOLAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NUECES COUNTY
(B) - See Kleberg County
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bee County
OCHILTREE COUNTY
(R) (B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OLDHAM COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ORANGE COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Jefferson County
(Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
PALO PINTO COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hood County
PANOLA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PARKER COUNTY

(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hood County
PARMER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PECOS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
POLK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
POTTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) (R) - See Armstrong County
PRESIDIO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RAINS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RANDALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
REAGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
REAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RED RIVER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

REEVES COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
REFUGIO COUNTY
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROBERTS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) (R) - See Armstrong County
ROBERTSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ROCKWALL COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RUNNELS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RUSK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SABINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN AUGUSTINE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JACINTO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN PATRICIO COUNTY
(R) - See Bee County
(D) - See Aransas County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN SABA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See STatewide
SCHLEICHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SCURRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHACKELFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHELBY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SHERMAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
SMITH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SOMERVELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
STARR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B)(R) - See Cameron County
STEPHENS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

TEXAS (Cont'd)

STERLING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
STONEWALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUTTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SWISHER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B)(R) - See Armstrong County
TARRANT COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-117 (R)
39 FR 22400 - 6/21/74
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TERRELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TERRY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bailey County
THROCKMORTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TITUS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TOM GREEN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TRAVIS COUNTY
Decision #AR-42 (B)
39 FR 34007 - 9/20/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 35915 - 10/4/74
(R) - See Bastrop County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TRINITY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TYLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
UPSHUR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
UPTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
UVALDE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See STatewide
VAL VERDE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
VAN ZANDT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
VICTORIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
WALKER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Brazoria County

TEXAS (Cont'd.)

WALLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WARD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBB COUNTY
Decision #AQ-113 (B)
39 FR 22361 - 6/21/74
(H,Hw) - See STatewide
WHARTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WHEELER COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Armstrong County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WICHITA COUNTY
Decision #AR-51 (R)
39 FR 35064 - 9/27/74
Decision #AR-46 (B)
39 FR 35057 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WILBARGER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WILLACY COUNTY
(R)(B) - See Cameron County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(D) - See Aransas County
WILLIAMSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Bastrop County
WILSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WINKLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Crane County
WISE COUNTY
(B) - See Collin County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(R) - See Hood County
WOOD COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
YOAKUM COUNTY
(R) - See Bailey County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
YOUNG COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ZAPATA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
ZAVALA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

UTAH

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1040 (B,H,Hw)
39 FR 35940 - 10/4/74
BEAVER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOX ELDER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CACHE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
CARBON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAGGETT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DAVIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
DUCHESNE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
EMERY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRAND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
IRON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
JUAB COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
KANE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MILLARD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
PIUTE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
RICH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SALT LAKE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SANPETE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SEVIER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMIT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
TOOELE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
UNITAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
UTAH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASATCH COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

UTAH (Cont'd)

WAYNE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBER COUNTY
(B,H,Hw) - See Statewide

VERMONT

Statewide (Except Rutland County)
Decision #AQ-3184 (Hw)
39 FR 24203 - 6/28/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 27397 - 7/26/74
ADDISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BENNINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CALEDONIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CHITTENDEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ESSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GRAND ISLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LAMOILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ORANGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
ORLEANS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
RUTLAND COUNTY
None
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WINDHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WINDSOR COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide

VIRGINIA

ACCOMACK COUNTY
Decision #AP-805 (Hw)
38 FR 11279 - 5/4/73
Mod. #1 - 38 FR 13127 - 5/18/73
Decision #AQ-2039 (D)
39 FR 3395 - 1/25/74
ALBERMARLE COUNTY
Decision #AM-1874 (Hw)
36 FR 16342 - 8/20/71
ALEXANDRIA CITY
Decision #AR-2025 (B)
39 FR 30763 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32444 - 9/6/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35916 - 10/4/74
Decision #AP-450 (R)
37 FR 25117 - 11/25/72
ALLEGHANY COUNTY
Decision #AM-1875 (Hw)
36 FR 16343 - 8/20/71
Mod. #1 - 37 FR 5169 - 3/10/72
AMELIA COUNTY
Decision #AR-2032 (Hw) -
39 FR 31871 - 8/30/74
AMHERST COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2032 (Hw)
38 FR 32259 - 11/30/73
APPOMATTOX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
ARLINGTON COUNTY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(R) - See Alexandria City
(D) - See Accomack County
AGUSTA COUNTY
Decision #AM-9320 (R)
37 FR 10267 - 5/19/72
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
BATH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
BEDFORD CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
BEDFORD COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2021 (Hw)
38 FR 27744 - 10/5/73
BLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2020 (Hw)
38 FR 27744 - 10/5/73
BOTECOURT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

BRISTOL CITY
(Hw) - See Bland County
BRUNSWICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
BUCHANAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
BUCKINGHAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
BUENA VISTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
CAROLINE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2031 (Hw)
38 FR 33258 - 11/30/73
CARROLL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
CHARLES CITY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
CHARLOTTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
CHARLOTTSVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
CHESAPEAKE CITY
Decision #AR-2047 - (B)
39 FR 32472 - 9/6/74
Decision #AP-494 (Hw)
38 FR 7693 - 3/23/73
(D) - See Accomack County
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
CLARK COUNTY
Decision #AP-406 (R)
37 FR 15234 - 7/28/72
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
CLIFTON FORGE CITY
(Hw) - See Allegheny County
COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
COVINGTON CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
CRAIG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

CULPEPER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
DANVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
DICKENSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
DINWIDDIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
EMPORIA CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
ESSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
FAIRFAX COUNTY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(R) - See Alexandria City
(D) - See Accomack County
FAIRFAX CITY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(R) - See Alexandria City
FALLS CHURCH CITY
(B) - See Alexandria City
(R) - See Alexandria City
FAUQUIER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
FLOYD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
FLUVANNA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
FORT MONROE CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
FRANKLIN CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
FREDERICK COUNTY
(R) - See Clarke County
(Hw) - See Alleghany County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

FREDERICKSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
GALAX CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
GILES COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
GLOUCESTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
GOOCHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
GRAYSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
GREENE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
GREENSVILLE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
HALIFAX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
HAMPTON CITY
Decision #AQ-2103 (R)
39 FR 14119 - 4/19/74
Mod. #1 - 15612 - 5/3/74
(B,H,W&S) - See York County
(Hw) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
HANOVER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
HARRISONBURG GITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
HENRICO COUNTY
Decision #AR-2048 (B)
39 FR 32474 - 9/6/74
(Hw) - See Amelia County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd.)

HENRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
HIGHLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
HOPEWELL CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
JAMES CITY COUNTY
Decision #AP-407 (R)
37 FR 15235 - 7/28/72
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
KING AND QUEEN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
KING GEORGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
LANCASTER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
LEE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
LOUDOUN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
LOUISA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County
LUNENBURG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
LYNCHBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
MADISON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alemarle County
MARTINSVILLE CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

MATHEWS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
MECKLENBURG COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
MIDDLESEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
NANSEMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
NELSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
NEW KENT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
NEWPORT NEWS CITY
(B,H,W&S) - See York County
(Hw) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
(R) - See Hampton County
NORFOLK CITY
(Hw,B) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
NORTON CITY
(Hw) - See Bland County
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
NOTTOWAY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
ORANGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albemarle County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

PAGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
PATRICK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
PETERSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
PORTSMOUTH CITY
(Hw,B) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
POWHATAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Amelia County
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
(D) - See Accomack County
PULASKI COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
RADFORD CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
RAPAHANNOCK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Albermarle County
RICHMOND CITY
(B) - See Henrico County
(Hw) - See Amelia County
RICHMOND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
ROANOKE CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
ROANOKE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
ROCKBRIDGE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
(R) - See Clarke County
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
RUSSELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
SALEM CITY
(Hw) - See Bedford County
SCOTT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
SHENANDOAH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
(R) - See Clarke County
SMYTH COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County

VIRGINIA (Cont'd.)

SOUTH BOSTON CITY
(Hw) - See Amherst County
SPOTSYLVANIA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
STAUNTON CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
STAFFORD COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
SUFFOLK CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
SURRY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County
SUSSEX COUNTY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
TAZEWELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
Decision #AM-9321 (R)
37 FR 10266 - 5/19/72
(Hw,B) - See Chesapeake City
(D) - See Accomack County
WARREN COUNTY
(R) - See Clarke County
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
WAYNESBORO CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
WESTMORELAND COUNTY
(Hw) - See Caroline County
(D) - See Accomack County
WILLIAMSBURG CITY
(Hw) - See Accomack County
WINCHESTER CITY
(Hw) - See Alleghany County
WISE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
WYTHE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Bland County
YORK COUNTY
Decision #AR-2049 (B,H,S&W)
39 FR 32476 - 9/6/74
(R) - See James County
(Hw) - See Accomack County
(D) - See Accomack County

WASHINGTON

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-1031 (B,H,Hw,D)
39 FR 35074 - 9/27/74
ADAMS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
ASOTIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
BENTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CHELAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLALLAM COUNTY
Decision #AR-1030 (R)
39 FR 34017 - 9/20/74
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
COWLITZ COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
FERRY COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
FRANKLIN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GARFIELD COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
ISLAND COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
KING COUNTY
(R) - See Clallam County
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
KITSAP COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
KITTITAS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
KLICKITAT COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide

WASHINGTON (Cont'd.)

LEWIS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
MASON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D) - See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
OKANOGAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
PACIFIC COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
PEND OREILLE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(R) - See Clallam County
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SAN JUAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SKAGIT COUTNY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
SKAMANIA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SNOHOMISH COUNTY
(R) - See Clallam County
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
SPOKANE COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
STEVENS COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
THURSTON COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
WAHKIAKUM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
WALLA WALLA COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
WHATCOM COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
(R) - See Clallam County
WHITMAN COUNTY
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide
YAKIMA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-1109 (R)
39 FR 18416 - 5/24/74
(B,H,Hw,D)-See Statewide

WASHINGTON, D. C.

WASHINGTON, D. C.
Decision #AR-2026 (B,Hw, W&S)
39 FR 30814 - 8/23/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 32444 - 9/6/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 35916 - 10/4/74
Decision #AP-450 (R)
37 FR 25117 - 11/25/72
Decision #AQ-2039 (D)
39 FR 3395 - 1/25/74

WEST VIRGINIA

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-2086 (H,Hw)
39 FR 11838 - 3/29/74
BARBOUR COUNTY
Decision #AR-2055 (B)
39 FR 34907 - 9/27/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BERKELEY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BOONE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BRAXTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
BROOKE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CABELL COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2087 (B)
39 FR 11843 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 24187 - 6/28/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28770 - 8/9/74
Decision #AQ-3094 (D)
39 FR 5070 - 2/8/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CALHOUN COUNTY
Decision #AR-2007 (B)
39 FR 25779 - 7/12/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
CLAY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
DODDRIDGE COUNTY
(B) - See Barbour County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GILMER COUNTY
(B) - See Barbour County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
GREENBRIER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HANCOCK COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARDY COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
HARRISON COUNTY
(B) - See Barbour County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Calhoun County

WEST VIRGINIA (Cont'd)

JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
KANAWHA COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2088 (B)
39 FR 11845 - 3/29/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 20922 - 6/14/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 24188 - 6/28/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
Decision #AR-2058 (R)
39 FR 35948 - 10/4/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LEWIS COUNTY
(B) - See Barbour County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
LOGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MC DOWELL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARION COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MARSHALL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MASON COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MERCER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MINERAL COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MINGO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONOGALIA COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
MORGAN COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
NICHOLAS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
OHIO COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PENDLETON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PLEASANT COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Calhoun County

WEST VIRGINIA (Cont'd.)

PRESTON COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
PUTNAM COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RALEIGH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RANDOLPH COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
RITCHIE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
(B) - See Calhoun County
ROANE COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
SUMMERS COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TUCKER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
TYLER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
UPSHUR COUNTY
(B) - See Barbour County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WAYNE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-2051 (B)
39 FR 10072 - 3/15/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 24186 - 6/28/74
Mod. #2 - 39 FR 28770 - 8/9/74
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WEBSTER COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WETZEL COUNTY
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WIRT COUNTY
(B) - See Calhoun County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WOOD COUNTY
(B) - See Calhoun County
(D) - See Cabell County
(H,Hw) - See Statewide
WYOMING COUNTY
(H,Hw) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN

STATEWIDE
Decision #AR-3146 (H,Hw,W&S)
39 FR 35092 - 9/27/74
ADAMS COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
ASHLAND COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3095 (D)
39 FR 5982 - 2/15/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
BARRON COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
BAYFIELD COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
BROWN COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3106 (B)
39 FR 7064 - 2/22/74
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
BUFFALO COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
BURNETT COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
CALUMET COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
CHIPPEWA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
CLARK COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
COLUMBIA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
CRAWFORD COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
DANE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-3107 (B,R)
39 FR 7069 - 2/22/74
DODGE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S)-See Statewide
DOOR COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
DOUGLAS COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
DUNN COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
EAU CLAIRE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3108 (B)
39 FR 7073 - 2/22/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
FLORENCE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
FOND DU LAC COUNTY

(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN (Cont'd.)

FOREST COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
GRANT COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
GREEN COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
GREEN LAKE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
IOWA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
IRON COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
JACKSON COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
JEFFERSON COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
JUNEAU COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-3109 (B)
39 FR 7077 - 2/22/74
KENOSHA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
Decision #AQ-3110 - (B)
39 FR 7082 - 2/22/74
KEWAUNEE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
LA CROSSE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3111 (B,R)
39 FR 7086 - 2/22/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
LA FAYETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
LANGLADE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See STatewide
MANITOWOC COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
MARATHON COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3112 (B)
39 FR 7091 - 2/22/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
MARINETTE COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
MARQUETTE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
MENOMINEE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
MILWAUKEE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3113 (B,R)
39 FR 7095 - 2/22/74
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide

WISCONSIN (Cont'd.)

MONROE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
OCONTO COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
ONEIDA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See STatewide
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
OZAUKEE COUNTY
(B,R) - See Milwaukee County
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
PEPIN COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
PIERCE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
POLK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3114 (B)
39 FR 7100 - 2/22/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
PORTAGE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
PRICE COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
RACINE COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3115 (B)
39 FR 7104 - 2/22/74
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
RICHLAND COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
ROCK COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3116 (B)
39 FR 7108 - 2/22/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
RUSK COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
SAINT CROIX COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
SAUK COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
SAWYER COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
SHAWANO COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
SHEBOYGAN COUNTY
(D) - See Ashland County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
TAYLOR COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
TREMPEALEAU COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
VERNON COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S)- See STatewide

WISCONSIN (Cont'd.)

VILAS COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See STatewide
WALWORTH COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
WASHBURN COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
WASHINGTON COUNTY
(B,R) - See Milwaukee county
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
WAUKESHA COUNTY
(B,R) - See Milwaukee County
(H,Hw,W&S) - See STatewide
WAUPACA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
WAUSHARA COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
WINNEBAGO COUNTY
Decision #AQ-3117 (B)
39 FR 7112 - 2/22/74
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide
WOOD COUNTY
(H,Hw,W&S) - See Statewide

WYOMING

STATEWIDE
Decision #AQ-1078 (Hw)
39 FR 5163 - 2/8/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 11003 - 3/22/74
ALBANY COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
BIG HORN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CAMPBELL COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CARBON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
CONVERSE COUNTY
Decision #AR-1004 (B,H)
39 FR 27415 - 7/26/74
Mod. #1 - 39 FR 30670 - 8/23/74
(Hw) - See Statewide
CROOK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
FREMONT COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
GOSHEN COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County
(Hw) - See Statewide
HOT SPRINGS COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
JOHNSON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
LARAMIE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County
(Hw) - See Statewide
LINCOLN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
NATRONA COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County
(Hw) - See Statewide
NIOBRARA COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County
(Hw) - See Statewide
PARK COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
PLATTE COUNTY
(B,H) - See Converse County
(Hw) - See Statewide
SHERIDAN COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SUBLETTE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
SWEETWATER COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
TETON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
UINTA COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WASHAKIE COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
WESTON COUNTY
(Hw) - See Statewide
YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK
(Hw) - See Statewide

[FR Doc.74-25349 Filed 10-31-74;8:45 am]

NOTICE TO FEDERAL REGISTER READERS

As part of its continuing program to improve the quality of the daily FEDERAL REGISTER and CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, the Office of the Federal Register is soliciting the views of interested persons on the effectiveness of individual Federal Register documents and on regulations contained in the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Our goal is twofold:

First—to make each document published in the FEDERAL REGISTER easily understandable, thus making compliance easier, more efficient, and less costly; and

Second—to identify and correct any existing Federal regulations which are obsolete, unnecessarily wordy, or unclearly stated.

We believe this effort is consistent with the objectives stated by President Ford in his October 8th speech on the economy in which he announced "a joint effort by the Congress, the executive branch and the private sector to identify and eliminate existing Federal rules and regulations that increase costs to the consumer without any good reason in today's economic climate."

The Office of the Federal Register welcomes your comments and suggestions. The survey blank below is provided for that purpose. All comments received will be maintained in a public docket and will be available for inspection in the Office of the Federal Register to any interested persons or agencies. Comments which point out the need for substantive changes in existing regulations also will be forwarded to the responsible agency.

- -

I. For the following reasons I found it difficult to understand the document from ________ (agency) in column ______, page ______ of the ________ (date) issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER:

☐ only technical language was used; ☐ document contained long and difficult sentences; ☐ preamble did not contain a clear and concise explanation of the document's purpose; ☐ other (explain) ________________________________

____

____

II. I believe that the requirement(s) contained in:

A. The document from ________ (agency) in column ______, page ______ of the ________ (date) issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, or

B. Section(s) ________ of Title ________ of the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

impose(s) an: ☐ unnecessary; ☐ unreasonable; ☐ impractical; or ☐ obsolete requirement on those persons subject to that regulation.

My reasons are: ________________________________

____

____

III. (Optional) I suggest that the provision(s) mentioned above be rewritten as follows:

____

____

____

Please mail to:

Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Service
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20408

Name and address (optional)

____

____

____