FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 32 • NUMBER 39

Tuesday, February 28, 1967 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 3331–3378

Agencies in this issue—

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



FEDERAL REGISTER

Area Code 202 Phone 963-3261

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies varies in proportion to the size of the issue (15 cents for the first 80 pages and 5 cents for each additional group of 40 pages, as actually bound). Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first Federal Register issue of each month.

Contents

(*Continued on next page*)

List of CFR Parts Affected

(Codification Guide)

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1967, and specifies how they are affected.

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Section 213.3384 is amended to show that the position of Deputy Director, Demonstration Cities Administration, is excepted under Schedule C. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (5) is added to paragraph (e) of § 213.3384 as set out below.

§ 213.3384 Department of Housing and Urban Development.

* * * * *

(e) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Demonstrations and Intergovernmental Relations.* * * *

(5) One Deputy Director, Demonstration Cities Administration.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2204; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER D—PROVISIONS COMMON TO MORE THAN ONE PROGRAM

PART 792—CONSERVING BASE AND DESIGNATED DIVERTED ACREAGE

Miscellaneous Amendments

The regulations governing conserving base and designated diverted acreage are amended as follows:

Section 792.2(c)(2) is amended and (c)(6) is added to read as follows:

§ 792.2 Farm conserving base.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(2) If noncropland is brought into cropland status, the conserving base for the farm shall be increased by an amount which bears the same proportion to the new cropland as the conserving base does to the total cropland on other similar type, similarly-operated farms in the locality.

* * * * *

(6) An acreage of sugarbeets or sugarcane with respect to which there is bona fide abandonment under applicable regulations shall not be considered as devoted to a conserving use.

§ 792.3 [Amended]

A. Section 792.3 *Designation, use, and care of diverted acreage under the feed grain, upland cotton, wheat diversion, and wheat certificate programs; approved conservation uses,* paragraph (a) is amended by deleting "for 1961 or subsequent years but prior to" in subparagraph (4) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "in at least 1 of the 4 years immediately preceding".

B. Section 792.3(b)(2) is amended by inserting after the word "harvested" the wording "or grazed", by changing the word "paragraph" to "paragraphs" and inserting after "(c)" the wording "and (d)".

C. Section 792.3(b)(7) is amended to read as follows:

"Land devoted to or considered devoted to a nonconserving use in the current year."

D. Section 792.3(c) is amended by deleting the wording "the feed grain, wheat diversion or upland cotton programs," and inserting in lieu thereof the wording "an adjustment program".

E. Section 792.3(d) is amended by deleting the wording "upon recommendation of the State committee, approval by the Deputy Administrator, and notice to the operator" and in lieu thereof insert the wording "at the election of the State committee with advance notice to the operator and to the Director, Farmer Programs Division".

Effective date: Date of signature.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 21, 1967.

H. D. GODFREY,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2192; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Tangelo Reg. 32]

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Shipments

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905; 31 F.R. 15059), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the committees established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of tangelos, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553 (1966)) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; and this regulation relieves restrictions on the handling of tangelos grown in Florida.

§ 905.492 Tangelo Regulation 32.

(a) Order: (1) Tangelo Regulation 31 (32 F.R. 121) is hereby terminated at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., February 27, 1967.

(2) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., February 27, 1967, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., August 1, 1967, no handler shall ship between the production area and any point outside thereof in the continental United States, Canada, or Mexico:

(i) Any tangelos, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2 Russet; or

(ii) Any tangelos, grown in the production area, which are of a size smaller than 2$^{9}/_{16}$ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of tangelos smaller than such minimum diameter shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in said U.S. Standards for Florida Oranges and Tangelos.

(b) Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order; and terms relating to grade and diameter, as used herein, shall have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in the amended U.S. Standards for Florida Oranges and Tangelos (§§ 51.1140–51.1178 of this title).

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: February 24, 1967.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2266; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

[Orange Reg. 14]

PART 906—ORANGES AND GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY IN TEXAS

Limitation of Shipments

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 906, as amended (7 CFR Part 906, 31 F.R. 10461), regulating the handling of oranges and grapefruit grown in the Lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendation of the Texas Valley Citrus Committee, established under the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553 (1966)) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after an open meeting of the Texas Valley Citrus Committee on February 21, 1967; such meeting was held to consider recommendations for regulation, after giving due notice of such meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; the provisions of this regulation, including the effective time hereof, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period hereinafter set forth so as to provide for the continued regulation of the handling of oranges; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of the persons subject thereto which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

§ 906.331 Orange Regulation 14.

(a) *Order*. (1) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., c.s.t., March 1, 1967, and ending at 12:01 a.m., c.s.t., April 1, 1967, no handler shall handle:

(i) Any oranges of any variety, grown in the production area, unless such oranges grade at least U.S. No. 3;

(ii) Any oranges of any variety, grown as aforesaid, which are of a size smaller than $2\frac{4}{16}$ inches in diameter, except that not more than 10 percent, by count, of such oranges in any lot of containers, and not more than 15 percent, by count, of such oranges in any individual container in such lot may be of a size smaller than $2\frac{4}{16}$ inches in diameter; or

(iii) Any oranges of any variety, grown as aforesaid, for which inspection is required unless an appropriate inspection certificate has been issued with respect thereto not more than 48 hours prior to the time of shipment.

All oranges of any variety, grown as aforesaid, handled during the period specified in this section are subject to all applicable container and pack requirements which are in effect pursuant to the aforesaid marketing agreement and order during such period.

(2) Terms used in the marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective terms in said marketing agreement and order; and terms relating to grade and diameter, when used herein, shall have the same meaning as is given to the respective terms in the U.S. Standards for Oranges (Texas and States other than Florida, California, and Arizona) (§§ 51.680–51.712 of this title).

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: February 24, 1957.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2267; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

[Grapefruit Reg. 15]

PART 906—ORANGES AND GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY IN TEXAS

Limitation of Shipments

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 906, as amended (7 CFR Part 906, 31 F.R. 10461), regulating the handling of oranges and grapefruit grown in the Lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendation of the Texas Valley Citrus Committee, established under the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553 (1966)) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after an open meeting of the Texas Valley Citrus Committee on February 21, 1967; such meeting was held to consider recommendations for regulation, after giving due notice of such meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; the provisions of this regulation, including the effective time hereof, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period hereinafter set forth so as to provide for the continued regulation of the handling of grapefruit; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of the persons subject thereto which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

§ 906.330 Grapefruit Regulation 15.

(a) *Order*. (1) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., c.s.t., March 1, 1967, and ending at 12:01 a.m., c.s.t., April 1, 1967, no handler shall handle:

(i) Any container of grapefruit of any variety, grown in the production area, unless such grapefruit grade U.S. Fancy; U.S. No. 1 Bright; U.S. No. 1; U.S. No. 1 Bronze; U.S. Combination, with not less than 60 percent, by count, of the grapefruit in each container thereof grading at least U.S. No. 1 grade; or U.S. No. 2;

(ii) Any grapefruit of any variety, grown in the production area, which are of a size smaller than $3\frac{9}{16}$ inches in diameter, except that not more than 10 percent, by count, of such grapefruit in any lot of containers, and not more than 15 percent, by count, of such grapefruit in any individual container in such lot, may be of a size smaller than $3\frac{9}{16}$ inches in diameter; or

(iii) Any grapefruit of any variety, grown as aforesaid, for which inspection is required unless an appropriate inspection certificate has been issued with respect thereto not more than 48 hours prior to the time of shipment.

All grapefruit of any variety, grown as aforesaid, handled during the period specified in this section are subject to all applicable container and pack requirements which are in effect pursuant to the aforesaid marketing agreement and order during such period.

(2) Terms used in the marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is

given to the respective term in said marketing agreement and order; and terms relating to grade and diameter, when used herein, shall have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in the U.S. Standards for Grapefruit (Texas and States other than Florida, California, and Arizona) (7 CFR 51.620-51.685).

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: February 24, 1967.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2268; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

Chapter X—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Milk), Department of Agriculture

[Milk Order 34]

PART 1034—MILK IN DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD, OHIO, MARKETING AREA

Order Amending Order

§ 1034.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of the said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

(b) *Additional findings.* It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in the marketing area.

The provisions of the said order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Regulatory Programs, issued February 2, 1967, and the decision of the Under Secretary containing all amendment provisions of this order was issued February 14, 1967. The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this amendment for 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. (5 U.S.C. 553(d) (1966))

(c) *Determinations.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order, amending the order, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as hereby amended; and

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, as follows:

A new § 1034.55 is added to read as follows:

§ 1034.55 Use of equivalent prices.

If for any reason a price quotation required by this part for computing class prices or for other purposes is not available in the manner described, the market administrator shall use a price determined by the Secretary to be equivalent to the price which is required.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Effective date: Upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 23, 1967.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2236; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

[Milk Order 101]

PART 1101—MILK IN KNOXVILLE, TENN., MARKETING AREA

Order Amending Order

§ 1101.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Knoxville, Tenn., marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest;

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held;

(4) All milk and milk products handled by handlers, as defined in the order as hereby amended, are in the current of interstate commerce or directly burden, obstruct, or affect interstate commerce in milk or its products; and

(5) It is hereby found that the necessary expense of the market administrator for the maintenance and function-

ing of such agency will require the payment by each handler, as his pro rata share of such expense, 4 cents per hundredweight or such amount not to exceed 4 cents per hundredweight as the Secretary may prescribe, with respect to skim milk and butterfat contained in (i) producer milk (including such handler's own production), (ii) other source milk allocated to Class I pursuant to § 1101.46 (a) (5) and (6) and the corresponding steps of § 1101.46(b), and (iii) Class I milk disposed of from a partially regulated distributing plant on routes in the marketing area that exceeds Class I milk received during the month at such plant from pool plants and other order plants.

(b) *Additional findings.* It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective not later than March 1, 1967. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in the marketing area.

The provisions of the said order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Regulatory Programs, was issued November 28, 1966, and the decision of the Assistant Secretary containing all amendment provisions of this order, was issued January 24, 1967. The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective March 1, 1967, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this order for 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553(d) (1966)).

(c) *Determinations.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order, amending the order, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as herein amended;

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area; and

(4) The issuance of an amended order containing the provisions of an amended base-excess plan is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who participated in a referendum in which each individual producer had one vote, and who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Knoxville, Tenn., marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended and as hereby amended, as follows:

1. Section 1101.5 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.5 Knoxville, Tenn., marketing area.

The "Knoxville, Tenn., marketing area" hereinafter called the "marketing area" means all the territory geographically within the boundaries of the following counties, all in the State of Tennessee, including all territory wholly or partly therein occupied by Government (Municipal, State, or Federal) reservations, installations, institutions, or other similar establishments.

Anderson.	Knox.
Blount.	Loudon.
Campbell.	Morgan.
Cocke.	Roane.
Cumberland.	Scott.
Grainger.	Sevier.
Hamblen.	Union.
Jefferson.	

2. Section 1101.7 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.7 Producer-handler.

"Producer-handler" means any person who:

(a) Operates a dairy farm and an approved plant from which Class I milk is disposed of on routes in the marketing area;

(b) Receives no fluid milk products from other dairy farmers or from sources other than pool plants; and

(c) Provides proof satisfactory to the market administrator that the care and management of the dairy animals and other resources necessary to produce the entire volume of fluid milk products handled (excluding receipts from pool plants) and the operations of the processing, packaging and distribution business are the personal enterprise and risk of such person.

3. Section 1101.12 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.12 Producer.

"Producer" means any person, except a producer-handler as defined in any order (including this part) issued pursuant to the Act, who produces milk in compliance with the inspection requirements of a duly constituted health authority, which milk is received at a pool plant or diverted pursuant to § 1101.13 from a pool plant to a nonpool plant.

4. Section 1101.13 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.13 Producer milk.

"Producer milk" means the skim milk and butterfat contained in milk:

(a) Received at a pool plant directly from a producer; or

(b) Diverted from a pool plant to a nonpool plant that is not an other order plant (except such a plant fully subject to the provisions of Part 1090 (Chattanooga, Tenn.) of this chapter) or a producer-handler plant, subject to the following:

(1) Milk so diverted for the account of a handler operating a pool plant shall be deemed to have been received by the handler at the pool plant from which diverted and if diverted for the account of a cooperative association, shall be deemed to have been received by the cooperative association at the location of the pool plant from which diverted;

(2) In any month of September through February that less than 4 days' production of a producer is delivered to pool plants, the quantity of milk of any such producer diverted during the month that exceeds that delivered to pool plants shall not be deemed to have been received at a pool plant and shall not be producer milk unless 4 or more days' production of such producer was delivered to pool plants in the immediately preceding month;

(3) A cooperative association may divert for its account the milk of any member-producer: *Provided,* That in any month of September through February, the total quantity of milk so diverted that exceeds 35 percent of the milk physically received from member-producers at all pool plants during the month shall not be deemed to have been received at a pool plant and shall not be producer milk;

(4) The operator of a pool plant, other than a cooperative association, may divert for his account the milk of any producer other than a member of a cooperative association: *Provided,* That in any month of September through February the total quantity of milk so diverted that exceeds 35 percent of the milk physically received at such pool plant during the month from producers who are not members of a cooperative association shall not be deemed to have been received at a pool plant and shall not be producer milk; and

(5) The diverting handler shall designate the dairy farmers whose milk is not producer milk pursuant to subparagraph (3) and (4) of this paragraph. If the handler fails to make such designation, no milk diverted by him shall be producer milk.

5. In § 1101.51, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.51 Class prices.

* * * * *

(b) *Class II milk price.* The price for Class II milk shall be the price determined pursuant to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph not to exceed the higher of the prices computed pursuant to subparagraphs (2) and (3) of this paragraph, and adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis by subtracting five times the butterfat differential for the month computed pursuant to § 1101.52(b) and rounding to the nearest cent.

(1) To the arithmetical average of the basic (or field) prices reported to have been paid or to be paid per hundredweight for milk of 4.0 percent butterfat content received from farmers during the month at the following plants or

places for which prices have been reported to the market administrator or to the Department of Agriculture, on or before the 6th day after the end of the month:

COMPANY AND LOCATION

Pet Milk Co., Bowling Green, Ky.
Pet Milk Co., Greeneville, Tenn.
Pet Milk Co., Abingdon, Va.
Carnation Co., Murfreesboro, Tenn.
Carnation Co., Statesville, N.C.
Carnation Co., Galax, Va.
Borden Co., Lewisburg, Tenn.
Borden Co., Chester, S.C.
Kraft Foods Co., Greeneville, Tenn.

Add 10 cents in the months of February through August and add 25 cents in all other months.

(2) The price per hundredweight computed as follows:

(i) Multiply by 6 the average price per pound of butter as described in § 1101.50;

(ii) Add an amount equal to 2.4 times the arithmetical average of the weekly prevailing price per pound of "Twins" during the month on the Wisconsin Cheese Exchange: *Provided*, That if the price of "Twins" is not quoted on such Exchange, the weekly prevailing price per pound of "Cheddars" shall be used; and

(iii) Divide by 7, add 30 percent thereof, and then multiply by 4.

(3) The price per hundredweight obtained by adding together the plus values computed pursuant to subdivisions (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph:

(i) Multiply by 4 the average price per pound of butter as described in § 1101.50 and add 20 percent thereof;

(ii) From the average of carlot prices per pound of nonfat dry milk solids, spray process, for human consumption, f.o.b. Chicago area manufacturing plants, as published for the period from the 26th day of the immediately preceding month through the 25th day of the current month by the Department of Agriculture, subtract 5 cents and multiply by 7.5.

6. In § 1101.52, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.52 Butterfat differentials to handlers.

* * * * *

(a) *Class I milk*. Multiply the average price per pound of butter for the month as described in § 1101.50 by 0.12.

* * * * *

7. Section 1101.60 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.60 Daily average base.

Subject to the rules set forth in § 1101.61, the daily average base for each producer shall be an amount calculated by dividing the total pounds of producer milk received from such producer by all handlers during the months of September through February immediately preceding by the number of days from the first day of delivery by such producer during such months to the last day of February, inclusive, but not less than 120 days: *Provided*, That in the case of producers delivering milk to a pool plant which was not a pool plant during all of the preceding months of September through February a daily average base for each such producer shall be computed pursuant to this section on the basis of his verifiable deliveries of milk to such plant during the period September through February preceding the month in which the plant became a pool plant.

8. In § 1101.85, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1101.85 Butterfat and location differentials to producers.

(a) *Butterfat differential to producers*. The applicable uniform price shall be increased or decreased for each one-tenth percent which the average butterfat content of such milk is above or below 3.5 percent, respectively, at the rate determined by multiplying the pounds of butterfat in producer milk allocated to each class pursuant to § 1101.46 by the respective butterfat differential for each class, dividing the sum of such values by the total pounds of such butterfat, and rounding the resultant figure to the nearest one-fifth cent.

* * * * *

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Effective date: March 1, 1967.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 23, 1967.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2237; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[Amdt. 1]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—Standards for Approval of Warehouses for Grain, Rice, Dry Edible Beans, and Seed

BASIC STANDARDS

In § 1421.5552, paragraph (d)(6) of the Standards for Approval of Warehouses for Grain, Rice, Dry Edible Beans, and Seed, published on October 29, 1966, in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 13910), is hereby amended to provide that certain warehouses must have equipment to permit load out of stored commodities within approximately ninety (90) working days. The amended paragraph reads as follows:

§ 1421.5552 Basic standards.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(6) Have adequate equipment to assure the load out (i) within approximately thirty (30) working days of that quantity of grain for which the warehouse is or may be approved under a Uniform Grain Storage Agreement, and (ii) within approximately ninety (90) working days of that quantity of grain for which the warehouse is or may be approved under a Uniform Rice Storage Agreement, a Milled Rice Storage Agreement, a Bean Storage Agreement, or an Agreement for Cleaning and Storage of Seed, except that the load out capacity of any such warehouse need not exceed 200 cars per day.

* * * * *

Effective date: Date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 23, 1967.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2210; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Amdt. 11]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—Provisions for Participation of Commercial Banks in Pools of CCC Price Support Loans on Certain Commodities

DECREASE IN INTEREST RATE

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in 29 F.R. 3614, as amended by 29 F.R. 4991, 8396, 15281, and 18212, 30 F.R. 14310 and 15582, 31 F.R. 474, 10179 and 13641, and 32 F.R. 122, containing the terms and conditions for participation of commercial banks in pools of CCC price support loans on certain commodities, are hereby further amended to change from 5.5 to 5.2 percent per annum, effective March 15, 1967, the rate of interest on certificates evidencing participation in financing price support loans.

Section 1421.3825(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 1421.3825 Rate of interest and basis of computation of interest earned.

(a) *Rate of interest*. Certificates shall earn interest at the rate of 4.9 percent per annum through and including July 31, 1966, 5.2 percent per annum from August 1, 1966, through and including October 21, 1966, 5.7 percent per annum from October 22, 1966, through and including January 21, 1967, 5.5 percent per annum from January 22, 1967, through and including March 14, 1967, and 5.2 percent per annum thereafter.

* * * * *

(Secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, 1072, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c)

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 23, 1967.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2260; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

[Amdt. 7]

PART 1427—COTTON

Subpart—Participation of Financial Institutions in Cotton Loan Pools

DECREASE IN INTEREST RATE

The regulations issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation published in 30 F.R. 7814, as amended by 30 F.R. 14310 and 15582, 31 F.R. 474, 10179, and 13641, and 32 F.R. 122, containing the terms and conditions for participation of financial institutions in pools of CCC price support loans on cotton are hereby further amended to change from 5.5 to 5.2 percent per annum, effective March 15, 1967, the rate of interest on certificates evidencing participation in financing price support loans.

Section 1427.2239(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 1427.2239 Rate of interest and basis of computation of interest earned.

(a) *Rate of interest.* Certificates shall earn interest at the rate of 4.9 percent per annum through and including July 31, 1966, 5.2 percent per annum from August 1, 1966, through and including October 21, 1966, 5.7 percent per annum from October 22, 1966, through and including January 21, 1967, 5.5 percent per annum from January 22, 1967, through and including March 14, 1967, and 5.2 percent per annum thereafter.

* * * * *

(Secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, 1072, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c)

Signed at Washington, D.C. on February 23, 1967.

H. D. GODFREY,
*Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.*

[F.R. Doc. 67-2261; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

The following amendments to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations are hereby prescribed:

PART 242—PROCEEDINGS TO DETERMINE DEPORTABILITY OF ALIENS IN THE UNITED STATES: APPREHENSION, CUSTODY, HEARING, AND APPEAL

Section 242.2 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 242.2 Apprehension, custody, and detention.

* * * * *

(e) *Privilege of communication.* Every detained alien shall be notified that he may communicate with the consular or diplomatic officers of the country of his nationality in the United States. Existing treaties require immediate communication with appropriate consular or diplomatic officers whenever nationals of the following countries are detained in exclusion or expulsion proceedings, whether or not requested by the alien, and, in fact, even if the alien requests that no communication be undertaken in his behalf:

China, Republic of.	Philippines.
Costa Rica.	Scotland.
Cyprus.	Sierra Leone.
England.	Singapore.
Ghana.	Southern Rhodesia.
Northern Ireland.	Trinidad and Tobago.
Jamaica.	Wales.
Kuwait.	Zambia.
Malaysia.	
Nigeria.	

PART 244—SUSPENSION OF DEPORTATION AND VOLUNTARY DEPARTURE

The first sentence of § 244.1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 244.1 Application.

Pursuant to Part 242 of this chapter and section 244 of the Act, a special inquiry officer in his discretion may authorize the suspension of an alien's deportation, or authorize an alien to depart voluntarily from the United States in lieu of deportation within such time and under such conditions as the district director shall direct if the alien establishes that he is willing and has the immediate means with which to depart promptly from the United States. * * *

PART 342—ADMINISTRATIVE CANCELLATION OF CERTIFICATES, DOCUMENTS, OR RECORDS

Section 342.5 is amended by adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 342.5 Conduct of examination.

* * * * *

(d) *Prior statements.* The naturalization examiner assigned to consider the case may receive in evidence any oral or written statement which is material and relevant to any issue in the case previously made by the respondent or by any other person during any investigation, examination, hearing, trial, proceeding, or interrogation.

(Sec. 103, 66 Stat. 173; 8 U.S.C. 1103)

This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Compliance with the provisions of section 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code (P.L. 89-554, 80 Stat. 383), as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date is unnecessary in this instance because the rules prescribed by the order relate to agency procedure.

Dated: February 16, 1967.

RAYMOND F. FARRELL,
*Commissioner of
Immigration and Naturalization.*

[F.R. Doc. 67-2200; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket No. C-1167]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Edward W. Putnam and Blue Diamond Chinchillas

Subpart—Advertising Falsely or Misleadingly: § 13.60 *Earnings and profits;* § 13.175 *Quality of product or service.* Subpart—Misrepresenting Oneself and Goods—Goods: § 13.1615 *Earnings and profits;* § 13.1715 *Quality.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Edward W. Putnam, doing business as Blue Diamond Chinchillas, Sioux City, Iowa, Docket C-1167, Feb. 8, 1967]

In the Matter of Edward W. Putnam, an Individual Doing Business as Blue Diamond Chinchillas

Consent order requiring a Sioux City, Iowa, distributor of chinchilla breeding stock to cease misrepresenting the quality and fecundity of their animals and profits to be made from home breeding of chinchillas.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent, Edward W. Putnam, an individual doing business as Blue Diamond Chinchillas, or under any other trade name, and respondent's representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale or distribution of chinchilla breeding stock in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from representing, directly or by implication:

(1) That it is practicable to raise chinchillas in the home or that large profits can be made in this manner.

(2) That breeding chinchillas for profit can be achieved without previous knowledge or experience in the feeding, care and breeding of such animals.

(3) That chinchilla breeding stock sold by respondent is of select or top quality or the best grade that can be obtained; or misrepresenting in any manner the quality of breeding stock sold by respondent.

(4) That mated pairs of chinchillas sold by respondent will produce each year an average of two or more litters of two animals per litter or will produce four live offspring per year; or representing, directly or by implication, that chinchillas purchased from respondent or the offspring of said chinchillas will produce during a given period of time offspring in excess of the number usually and customarily produced by chinchillas sold by respondent or the offspring of said chinchillas; or misrepresenting the number of live chinchilla offspring resulting for any specified period of time.

(5) That the national average production of female chinchillas is as much as two litters per year with two offspring per litter; or misrepresenting in any manner the number of offspring produced by female chinchillas generally.

(6) That chinchilla pelts produced from respondent's breeding stock will sell for any amount in excess of that usually and customarily received for pelts by other purchasers of respondent's breeding stock; or misrepresenting in any manner the quality of pelts from the offspring of said animals.

(7) That four mated pairs of chinchilla breeding stock purchased from respondent will in 3 years result in an annual income of as much as $8,000; or representing that the income derived from raising chinchillas is any amount in excess of the amount usually and customarily earned by breeders of chinchillas purchased from respondent.

(8) That breeding chinchillas by mated pairs will produce more or better quality offspring than by polygamous breeding.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon him of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with this order.

Issued: February 8, 1967.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2175; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. C-1168]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

V. C. Sportswear Corp. et al.

Subpart—Misbranding or Mislabeling: § 13.1185 *Composition:* 13.1185-90 Wool Products Labeling Act; § 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.1212-90 Wool Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Neglecting, Unfairly or Deceptively, to Make Material Disclosure: § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements:* 13.1852-80 Wool Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, secs. 2-5, 54 Stat. 1128-1130; 15 U.S.C. 45, 68) [Cease and desist order, V. C. Sportswear Corp. et al., New York City, N.Y., Docket C-1168, Feb. 8, 1967]

In the Matter of V. C. Sportswear Corp., a Corporation, and Herman Cohen, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation, and Marvin Cohen, Individually and as Manager, and Barry Cohen, Individually and as Assistant Manager, of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring a New York City manufacturer of wool products to cease misbranding the fiber content of its merchandise.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents V. C. Sportswear Corp., a corporation, and its officers, and Herman Cohen, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and Marvin Cohen, individually and as manager, and Barry Cohen, individually and as assistant manager of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction, or manufacture for introduction, into commerce, or offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution, delivery for shipment, or shipment, in commerce, of wool products, as "commerce" and "wool product" are defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:

1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling, or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein.

2. Failing to securely affix to or place thereon a stamp, tag, label, or other means of identification correctly showing in a clear and conspicuous manner each element of information required to be disclosed by section 4(a)(2) of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: February 8, 1967.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2176; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 20—EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS

Chapter II—Railroad Retirement Board

PART 340—RECOVERY OF BENEFITS

Pursuant to the general authority contained in section 12 of the act of June 25, 1938 (52 Stat. 1107; as amended, 45 U.S.C. 362), Part 340 of the Regulations under such act is adopted by Board Order 67-22, dated February 8, 1967, to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 340 issued under sec. 12, 52 Stat. 1107, as amended; 45 U.S.C. 362.

§ 340.1 Statutory provisions.

(a) Section 2(d) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act provides that:

If the Board finds that at any time more than the correct amount of benefits has been paid to any individual under this Act or a payment has been made to an individual not entitled thereto (including payments made prior to July 1, 1940) recovery by adjustments in subsequent payments to which such individual is entitled under this Act or any other Act administered by the Board may, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, be made under regulations prescribed by the Board. If such individual dies before recovery is completed, recovery may be made by setoff or adjustments, under regulations prescribed by the Board, in subsequent payments due, under this Act or any other Act administered by the Board to the estate, designee, next of kin, legal representative, or surviving spouse of such individual, with respect to the employment of such individual.

Adjustments under this subsection may be made either by deductions from subsequent payments or, with respect to payments which are to be made during a lifetime or lifetimes, by subtracting the total amount of benefits paid in excess of the proper amount from the actuarial value, as determined by the Board, of such payments to be made during a lifetime or lifetimes and recertifying such payments on the basis of the reduced actuarial value. In the latter case recovery shall be deemed to have been completed upon such recertification.

There shall be no recovery in any case in which more than the correct amount of benefits has been paid to an individual or payment has been made to an individual not entitled thereto (including payments made prior to July 1, 1940) who, in the judgment of the Board, is without fault when, in the judgment of the Board, recovery would be contrary to the purpose of this Act or would be against equity or good conscience.

No certifying or disbursing officer shall be held liable for any amount certified or paid by him in good faith to any person where the recovery of such amount is waived under the third paragraph of this subsection or has been begun but cannot be completed under the first paragraph of this subsection.

(b) Section 2(f) of the Act provides, in part, that:

If (i) benefits are paid to any employee with respect to unemployment or sickness in any registration period, and it is later determined that remuneration is payable to such employee with respect to any period which includes days in such registration period which had been determined to be days of unemployment or sickness, and (ii) the person or company from which such remuneration is payable has, before payment thereof, notice of the payment of benefits upon the basis of days of unemployment or sickness included in such period, the remuneration so payable shall not be reduced by reason of such benefits but the remuneration so payable, to the extent to which benefits were paid upon the basis of days which had been determined to be days of unemployment or sickness and which are included in the period for which such remuneration is payable, shall be held to be a special fund in trust for the Board. * * *

(c) Section 4(a-1) of the Act provides, in part, that:

There shall not be considered as a day of unemployment or as a day of sickness, with respect to any employee— * * *

(ii) Any day in any period with respect to which the Board finds that he is receiving or will have received annuity payments or pensions under the Railroad Retirement Act of 1935 or the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937, or insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act, or unemployment, maternity, or sickness benefits under an unemployment, maternity, or sickness compensation law other than this Act, or any other social insurance payments under any law: *Provided*, That if an employee receives or is held entitled to receive any such payments, other than unemployment, maternity, or sickness payments, with respect to any period which include days of unemployment or sickness in a registration period, after benefits under this Act for such registration period will have been paid, the amount by which such benefits under this Act will have been increased by including such days as days of unemployment or as days of sickness shall be recoverable by the Board: *Provided further*, That, if that part of any such payment or payments, other than unemployment, maternity, or sickness payments, which is apportionable to such days of unemployment or days of sickness is less in amount than the benefits under this Act which, but for this paragraph, would be payable and not recoverable with respect to such days of unemployment or days of sickness, the preceding provisions of this paragraph shall not apply but such benefits under this Act for such days of unemployment or days of sickness shall be diminished or recoverable in the amount of such part of such other payment or payments;

(d) Section 12(o) of the Act provides that:

Benefits payable to an employee with respect to days of sickness shall be payable regardless of the liability of any person to pay damages for such infirmity. The Board shall be entitled to reimbursement from any sum or damages paid or payable to such employee or other person through suit, compromise, settlement, judgment, or otherwise on account of any liability (other than a liability under a health, sickness, accident, or similar insurance policy) based upon such infirmity, to the extent that it will have paid or will pay benefits for days of sickness resulting from such infirmity. Upon notice to the person against whom such right or claim exists or is asserted, the Board shall have a lien upon such right or claim, any judgment obtained thereunder, and any sum or damages paid under such right or claim, to the extent of the amount to which the Board is entitled by way of reimbursement.

(e) Section 3, Public Law 89-508, 80 Stat. 308, provides that:

(a) The head of an agency or his designee, pursuant to regulations prescribed by him and in conformity with such standards as may be promulgated jointly by the Attorney General and the Comptroller General, shall attempt collection of all claims of the United States for money or property arising out of the activities of, or referred to, his agency.

(b) With respect to such claims of the United States that have not been referred to another agency, including the General Accounting Office, for further collection action and that do not exceed $20,000, exclusive of interest, the head of an agency or his designee, pursuant to regulations prescribed by him and in conformity with such standards as may be promulgated jointly by the Attorney General and the Comptroller General, may (1) compromise any such claim, or (2) cause collection action on any such claim to be terminated or suspended where it appears that no person liable on the claim has the present or prospective financial ability to pay any significant sum thereon or that the cost of collecting the claim is likely to exceed the amount of recovery. The Comptroller General or his designee shall have the foregoing authority with respect to claims referred to the General Accounting Office by another agency for further collection action. The head of an agency or his designee shall not exercise the foregoing authority with respect to a claim as to which there is an indication of fraud, the presentation of a false claim, or misrepresentation on the part of the debtor or any other party having an interest in the claim, or a claim based in whole or in part on conduct in violation of the antitrust laws; nor shall the head of an agency, other than the Comptroller General of the United States, have authority to compromise a claim that arises from an exception made by the General Accounting Office in the account of an accountable officer.

(c) A compromise effected pursuant to authority conferred by subsection (b) of this section shall be final and conclusive on the debtor and on all officials, agencies, and courts of the United States, except if procured by fraud, misrepresentation, the presentation of a false claim, or mutual mistake of fact. No accountable officer shall be liable for any amount paid or for the value of property lost, damaged, or destroyed, where the recovery of such amount or value may not be had because of a compromise with a person primarily responsible under subsection (b).

§ 340.2 Amount recoverable.

For purposes of this part, an "amount recoverable" is an amount of unemployment, sickness, or maternity benefits paid under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act which is:

(a) Determined to have been paid erroneously;

(b) Recoverable under section 2(f) of the Act because remuneration is found to be payable with respect to a period which includes days which had been determined to be days of unemployment or sickness;

(c) Recoverable under section 4(a-1)(ii) of the Act because of the employee's having received or been held entitled to receive annuity payments under the Railroad Retirement Act, insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act, unemployment, sickness or maternity benefits under any law other than the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, or any other social insurance payments under any law; or

(d) Recoverable under section 12(o) of the Act by virtue of the Board's right to reimbursement from any sum or damages payable through suit, compromise, settlement, judgment, or otherwise on account of liability based upon an infirmity, to the extent that it will have paid or will pay benefits for days of sickness resulting from that infirmity.

§ 340.3 When amounts recoverable to be recovered.

Amounts recoverable shall be recovered in all cases except those in which recovery is waived under § 340.10 or a compromise is approved under § 340.13.

§ 340.4 Methods of recovery of amounts recoverable.

An amount recoverable may be recovered by any one or a combination of the methods described in §§ 340.5, 340.6, 340.7, and 340.8.

§ 340.5 Recovery by cash payment.

The Board shall have the right to require that amounts recoverable be immediately and fully repaid in cash and any debtor shall have the absolute right to repay such amount recoverable in this manner. However if the debtor is financially unable to pay the indebtedness in a lump sum, payment may be accepted in regular installments. The amount and frequency of such installment payments should bear a reasonable relation to the size of the debt and the debtor's ability to pay. Whenever possible installment payments should be sufficient in amounts and frequency to liquidate the debt in not more than three years.

§ 340.6 Recovery by setoff.

An amount recoverable may be recovered by setoff against any subsequent payments to which the individual from whom the amount is recoverable is entitled under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act or the Railroad Retirement Act, or, in the case of that individual's death, from any payments due under those Acts to his estate, designee, next of kin, legal representative, or surviving spouse. In any case in which full recovery is not effected by setoff, the balance due may be recovered by one or more of the other methods described in this part. If the individual dies before recovery is completed, such recovery shall be made from his estate or heirs.

§ 340.7 Recovery by deduction in computation of death benefit under Railroad Retirement Act of 1937.

In computing the benefit under section 5(f)(2) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1937 with respect to the death of an individual, the Board shall include in the benefits deducted from the applicable percentages of the aggregate compensation mentioned in that section all amounts recoverable, not otherwise recovered, that were paid to the individual or paid to others as benefits accrued to him but not paid at his death.

§ 340.8 Recovery by adjustment in connection with subsequent payments under the Railroad Retirement Act.

Adjustment with respect to an amount recoverable from an individual may be made by substracting the amount recoverable from the actuarial value, as determined by the Board, of any annuity payments due and becoming due under the Railroad Retirement Act to any individual on the basis of the same compensation and recertifying such annuity on the basis of the reduced actuarial value. The adjustment described in this section may not be made unless all the following conditions are shown to exist:

(a) That the person or persons whose annuities are being adjusted are alive on the date that the annuity is recertified and on the due date of the first annuity payment affected by the adjustment;

(b) That, on the dates mentioned in paragraph (a) of this section, there are annuities accruing to one of such persons;

(c) That the Board has waived in accordance with § 340.11 any right to recover by the methods described in §§ 340.5 and 340.6 but has not waived recovery in accordance with § 340.10.

§ 340.9 Effect of adjustment in connection with subsequent payments.

Adjustment by the method described in § 340.8 shall constitute recovery of the amount recoverable.

§ 340.10 Waiver of recovery.

Recovery of an amount recoverable may be waived in whole or in part if, in the judgment of the Board, the individual who received the amount recoverable is without fault and if, in the judgment of the Board, such recovery by any of the methods described in §§ 340.5, 340.6, 340.7, and 340.8 would be against equity and good conscience.

§ 340.11 Waiver of methods of recovery.

The Board may waive any right to recover all or any part of an amount recoverable by any one or more methods without waiving the right to recover by some other method or methods if, in the judgment of the Board, the individual is without fault and if, in the judgment of the Board, recovery by the methods waived would be against equity and good conscience and recovery by such other methods would not be against equity and good conscience.

§ 340.12 Waiver not a matter of right.

A waiver under § 340.10 or § 340.11 is not a matter of right, but is at all times within the judgment of the Board.

§ 340.13 Compromise of amounts recoverable.

The Board or its designee may compromise an amount recoverable, provided such amount does not exceed $20,000. Compromise of an amount recoverable may not be considered in any case in which there is an indication of fraud, the presentation of a false claim or misrepresentation on the part of the debtor or his representative. Compromise is at all times within the discretionary authority of the Board or its designee.

§ 340.14 Factors due to be considered in a compromise.

The following indicate the character of reasons which will be considered in approving a compromise:

(a) The debtor's ability to repay the full amount within a reasonable time;

(b) The debtor's refusal to pay the claim in full and the Board's inability to effect collection in full within a reasonable time by other collection methods;

(c) Doubt concerning the Board's ability to prove its case in court for the full amount because of a bona fide dispute as to the facts or because of the legal issues involved;

(d) The cost of collecting the amount recoverable does not justify the enforced collection of the full amount.

§ 340.15 Suspension or termination of collection action.

Collection action on a Board claim may be suspended or terminated under the following conditions:

(a) Collection action on a Board claim may be suspended temporarily when the debtor cannot be located and there is reason to believe future collection action may be productive or collection may be effected by offset in the near future.

(b) Collection action may be terminated when:

(1) The debtor is unable to make any substantial payment;

(2) The debtor cannot be located and offset is too remote to justify retention of the claim;

(3) The cost of collection action will exceed the amount recoverable;

(4) The claim is legally without merit or cannot be substantiated by the evidence.

Dated: February 21, 1967.

By authority of the Board.

LAWRENCE GARLAND,
Secretary of the Board.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2182; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 26—INTERNAL REVENUE

Chapter I—Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury

SUBCHAPTER A—INCOME TAX

[T.D. 6912]

PART 14—TEMPORARY REGULATIONS UNDER ACT OF APRIL 14, 1966, RELATING TO ELECTING SMALL BUSINESS CORPORATIONS

In order to prescribe temporary regulations relating to the time for, and manner of, making the election and consents provided by section 3(b) of the Act of April 14, 1966 (Public Law 89-389, 80 Stat. 115), relating to passive investment income of electing small business corporations, the following regulations are adopted:

§ 14.1-1 Election relating to passive investment income of electing small business corporations.

(a) *In general.* Section 3(a) of the Act of April 14, 1966 (Public Law 89-389) amends section 1372(e)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (relating to passive investment income of electing small business corporations). This amendment, which applies to taxable years of electing small business corporations ending after April 14, 1966, provides, in general, that an election of a small business corporation under section 1372(a) of the Code shall not terminate for a taxable year of the corporation in which it has gross receipts more than 20 percent of which is passive investment income, if—

(1) Such taxable year is the first taxable year in which the corporation commenced the active conduct of any trade or business or the next succeeding taxable year; and

(2) The amount of passive investment income for such taxable year is less than $3,000.

Section 3(b) of the Act of April 14, 1966, provides that the amendment made by section 3(a) thereof shall also apply to taxable years of a corporation beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966, if the corporation elects to have the amendment apply to such years, and all persons (or their personal representatives) who were shareholders of such corporation at any time during any of such years consent to such election and the application of the amendment. This section prescribes the time for, and manner of, making such election and consents, and also extends the time within which certain new shareholders may consent to an election under section 1372(a) of the Code.

(b) *Application of amendment to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966*—(1) *In general.* An election by a corporation under section 1372(a) of the Code shall not be treated as terminated under section 1372(e)(5) of the Code for any taxable year of the corporation beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966, if—

(i) Such taxable year is the first taxable year in which the corporation commenced the active conduct of any trade or business, or the next succeeding taxable year;

(ii) The amount of passive investment income for such taxable year is less than $3,000;

(iii) The corporation makes an election, within such time and in such manner as provided in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph; and

(iv) All persons (or their personal representatives) who were shareholders of the corporation at any time during any taxable year of the corporation beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966, consent to such election, within such time and in such manner as provided in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph.

If an election by a corporation under section 1372(a) of the Code is not treated as terminated for a taxable year of the corporation as a result of an election and consents under this paragraph, such election under section 1372(a) of the Code shall be treated as being in effect with respect to all subsequent taxable years of the corporation unless it is otherwise terminated or revoked for any such subsequent year pursuant to section 1372(e) of the Code.

(2) *Election by corporation*. An election by a corporation pursuant to subparagraph (1)(iii) of this paragraph shall be filed with the district director with whom the corporation was required to file its return of income (see section 6037 of the Code and the regulations thereunder) for the earliest of its taxable years beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966, for which an election terminated under section 1372(e)(5) of the Code. Such election shall be filed within 3 years after the date prescribed by law (not including any extension thereof) on which such return was required to be filed, or within 90 days from February 28, 1967, whichever is later. (However, credit or refund of any overpayment attributable to the election may not be allowed or made if claim therefor has not been filed within the time prescribed by law; and, see subparagraph (3) of this paragraph providing that the statutory period for assessment of certain deficiencies against shareholders may not have expired on the date the election and consents under this paragraph are filed.) Such election shall be in the form of a statement, signed by a person authorized to sign the corporation's return of income, which shall expressly provide that the corporation elects the application of section 1372(e)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended by Public Law 89-389, with respect to its taxable years beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966. The statement shall set forth the name, address, and employer identification number of the corporation; the internal revenue officer with whom the corporation's returns of income have been filed for each of its taxable years beginning after December 31, 1962; the names and addresses of all persons who have been shareholders of the corporation at any time during each of its taxable years beginning after December 31, 1962; computations showing the amount of the corporation's overpayment or deficiency of tax for any taxable year which is attributable to the election under this paragraph; and computations showing each shareholder's portion of the undistributed taxable income (determined as provided in section 1373(b) of the Code) or net operating loss (determined as provided in section 1374(c) of the Code) for each taxable year of the corporation beginning after December 31, 1962, unless such computations were made on the corporation's returns of income for each of such years. In order for an election under this paragraph to be effective, it must be accompanied by the consents of certain shareholders as provided in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph.

(3) *Consents by shareholders*. An election by a corporation pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by the consent of each person who was a shareholder of the corporation at any time during any taxable year of the corporation beginning after December 31, 1962, and ending before April 15, 1966. This includes persons who may not be shareholders on the date the election is filed. Where stock of the corporation was owned by a husband and wife as community property (or the income from which was community property), or was owned by tenants in common, joint tenants, or tenants by the entirety, each person who had a community interest in such stock and each tenant in common, joint tenant, and tenant by the entirety must consent to the election. The consent of a minor shall be made by the minor or by his legal guardian, or by his natural guardian if no legal guardian has been appointed. The consent of an estate shall be made by the executor or administrator thereof. If a person who is required to file a consent under this subparagraph is deceased, the executor or administrator of such person's estate, or other person charged with the property of such person, shall file the required consent. The consent of each shareholder shall be in the form of a statement signed by the shareholder in which he states that he consents to the election by the corporation under this paragraph. Each of such statements shall set forth the name and address of the corporation and of the shareholder; the number of shares of stock of the corporation owned by such shareholder at any time during any taxable year of the corporation beginning after December 31, 1962; the date (or dates) on which such stock was acquired, and, if disposed of, the date (or dates) of disposition; and the internal revenue officer with whom the shareholder's income tax returns have been filed for each of such taxable years in which he owned any such stock. In addition, a consent under this paragraph is not effective unless (i) the statutory period for assessment of any deficiency for each taxable year for which there would be a deficiency attributable to the election and consents under this paragraph has not expired on the date the election and consents under this paragraph are filed, and (ii) there is included in, or attached to, the statement of consent a written consent that the statutory period for assessment of any deficiency for any taxable year (to the extent that such deficiency is attributable to the election and consents under this paragraph) shall not expire before the expiration of 1 year after the date the election and consents under this paragraph are filed. Each of the statements of consent under this subparagraph shall be filed with the corporation's election under this paragraph. The consents of all shareholders may be incorporated in one statement.

(4) *Election and consents are binding*. The election and consents under this paragraph are binding and may not be withdrawn.

(c) *New shareholders*. Section 1372(e)(1) of the Code provides that an election by a corporation under section 1372(a) of the Code shall terminate if certain new shareholders do not consent to such election within the time prescribed by regulations. New shareholders of a corporation which makes an election under paragraph (b) of this section may not have consented to the corporation's election under section 1372(a) of the Code within such prescribed time as a result of a termination of such election under section 1372(e)(5) of the Code prior to the enactment of Public Law 89-389. Therefore, notwithstanding the provisions of section 1372(e)(1) of the Code, and the regulations thereunder, an election by a corporation under section 1372(a) of the Code shall not be treated as terminated for the failure of any new shareholder to file a timely consent under section 1372(e)(1) of the Code, for any of the taxable years of the corporation between and including the earliest taxable year determined under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, and the taxable year during which the corporation files an election under paragraph (b) of this section, if—

(1) The corporation's election under section 1372(a) of the Code would have terminated for a taxable year under section 1372(e)(5) of the Code in the event it had not made an election under paragraph (b) of this section, and

(2) A proper consent under section 1372(e)(1) of the Code is filed by such new shareholder with the corporation's election under paragraph (b) of this section.

Because this Treasury decision merely provides temporary regulations designed to inform taxpayers how to make certain elections, it is found unnecessary to issue this Treasury decision with notice and public procedure thereon under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act, approved June 11, 1946, or subject to the effective date limitation of section 4(c) of that Act.

(Sec. 7805, Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805))

[SEAL] SHELDON S. COHEN,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: February 20, 1967.

STANLEY S. SURREY,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2153; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SO-88]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On February 3, 1967, F.R. Doc. No. 67-1194 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (32 F.R. 2370) amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations.

In the amendment, an extension to the Hazlehurst, Ga., transition area was described as "* * * within 2 miles each side of the Alma VORTAC 342° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 18 miles NE of the VORTAC * * *."

Subsequent to the publication of the rule, it was determined that this portion should have been described as "* * * within 2 miles each side of the Alma VORTAC 342° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 18 miles N of the VORTAC * * *."

Since this amendment is editorial in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, effective immediately, F.R. Doc. No. 67-1194 is amended as follows:

Beginning on line seven of the Hazlehurst, Ga., transition area description "* * * 18 miles NE of the VORTAC * * *" is deleted and "* * * 18 miles N of the VORTAC * * *" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348(a))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on February 15, 1967.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2159; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-SW-50]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

On December 17, 1966, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 16208) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter controlled airspace in the Altus, Okla., terminal area by removing the Altus, Okla., Municipal Airport from Altus, Okla., control zone.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0001 e.s.t., April 27, 1967, as herein set forth.

In § 71.171 (32 F.R. 2073) the Altus, Okla., control zone is amended to read:

ALTUS, OKLA.

Within a 5-mile radius of the Altus AFB (latitude 34°39'40" N., longitude 99°16'30" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Altus AFB ILS localizer S course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 1.5 miles S of the OM; and within 2 miles each side of the Altus AFB TACAN 185° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 9 miles S of the TACAN, excluding that airspace within a 1½ mile radius of the Altus, Okla., Municipal Airport, (latitude 34°41'57" N., longitude 99°20'21" W.).

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on February 17, 1967.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2160; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 39—POSTAL SERVICE

Chapter I—Post Office Department

PART 114—INFORMATION ON POSTAL MATTERS

PART 126—SECOND-CLASS BULK MAILINGS

Miscellaneous Amendments

The regulations of the Post Office Department are amended as follows and are effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

I. In § 114.4, paragraph (d) (1) (i) is revised to permit the presentation of subpoenaed records in court by a postal official who is conveniently located to the court. As so revised, paragraph (d) (1) (i) reads as follows:

§ 114.4 Available records.

* * * * *

(d) *Compliance with subpenas duces tecum*—(1) *Conditions for compliance.* (i) Time, leave and payroll records of postal employees are subject to production when a subpena duces tecum has been served. Authority is hereby delegated to Regional Directors, Postal Data Center Directors, and in those regions which have regional counsels, to the regional counsels to authorize the production of time, leave and payroll records in response to a properly served subpena duces tecum. The custodian of the records may designate a postmaster, postal inspector or other postal officials conveniently located to the court to present the records. The presentation by such a designee must meet with the approval of the attorneys for each side.

* * * * *

NOTE: The corresponding Postal Manual section is 114.441a.

II. In Part 126 make the following changes:

§ 126.3 [Amended]

A. In § 126.3, *Mailings*, the illustrations of sack labels for second-class publications are updated. Publishers who prepare their own sack labels will be allowed to use their stocks of labels on hand until they are exhausted. Accordingly, make the following changes in § 126.3:

1. The present sack label illustrations in paragraph (b) (6) (i) (b), (ii) (b), (iii) (b), (iv) (b), and (v) (b) are deleted and the following new sack labels illustrations are inserted in lieu thereof respectively:

Philadelphia PA 19118
ORD P
News Boston MA

Philadelphia PA 191
ORD P
News Boston MA

SCP Philadelphia PA 190
ORD P
Post Boston MA

Kansas City MO DIS 640
ORD P MO
Star San Francisco CA

Chicago IL DIS 606
ORD P Mixed States
Record Chicago IL

NOTE: The corresponding Postal Manual sections are 126.326a(2), 126.326b(2), 126.326c(2), 126.326d(2), and 126.326e(2).

2. The present sack label illustrations in paragraph (c) (3) and (4) are deleted and the following new sack label illustrations are inserted in lieu thereof respectively.

(Show appropriate postal concentration center.)
(Show military address.)

PCC New York NY 110
ORD P APO 96360
The Recorder New York NY

(Show appropriate postal concentration center.)
(Show FPO when applicable.)

PCC San Francisco CA 962
ORD P APO Mail
The Recorder New York NY

NOTE: The corresponding Postal Manual sections are 126.333 and 126.334 respectively.

B. In § 126.4 *Newspaper treatment*, paragraph (b) is revised to show new sack label illustrations; however, publishers who prepare their own labels will be allowed to use up their stocks on hand until depleted. As so revised paragraph (b) now reads:

§ 126.4 Newspaper treatment.

* * * * *

(b) *Preparation for mailing.* Newspapers must be made up in sacks plainly labeled "Newspapers" or NEWS. Sacks will be made in accordance with § 126.3(b). Label in the following manner:

CINCINNATI OH 452
News
The Register Columbus OH

PITTS & ST LOU TR 32
News PA
The Register Columbus OH

PCC SAN FRANCISCO CA 962
News APO 96360
The Recorder New York NY

PCC NEW YORK NY 110
News APO Mail
The Recorder New York NY

* * * * *

NOTE: The corresponding Postal Manual section is 126.42.

C. In § 126.7 *Key rate*, exhibits A through C under paragraph (d) (3) are updated and new exhibits D and E are added to include examples for classroom publications and for publications issued by qualified nonprofit organizations. The updated and new exhibits showing computation of second-class postage appear as follows:

§ 126.7 Key rate.

* * * * *

(d) *Computation.* * * *
(3) * * *

EXHIBIT A—COMPUTATION OF NEW KEY RATE
(Form 3542)

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER OF COPIES OF SECOND-CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATION MAILED

1. CITY	2. STATE AND ZIP CODE	3. DATE
Mayville	Maryland 21104	January 1, 1967

4. NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT: Mayville Press

5. DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES: January 1, 1967

6. DATE OF MAILING:

7. CHECK TYPE(S) OF PUBLICATION, IF APPLICABLE
☐ FEWER THAN 5,000 COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY ☐ CONTAINS 5% OR LESS ADVERTISING ☐ QUALIFIED CLASSROOM PUBLICATION

When this statement is for ONE ISSUE only, furnish the following information:		When this statement is for ALL ISSUES for a calendar month, furnish the following information:	
8. AVERAGE WEIGHT PER COPY FOR THE ISSUE	.248750 LBS.	10. WEIGHT OF ONE SHEET	LBS.
		11. COMBINED WEIGHT OF ONE COPY FROM EACH ISSUE	LBS.
9. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING IN THE ISSUE	%	12. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING COMPUTED ON CONTENTS OF ALL ISSUES	%

13. When postage is computed at the key rate, the lines for Zones 1 to 8 need not be completed except for one issue each calendar year at 12-month intervals. The total zone mailings must be entered in item 14 during the 12-month intervals.

	POSTAL ZONES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES		SAMPLE COPIES	
		NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER OF POUNDS	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER OF POUNDS
	1 AND 2	2,621			
	3	1,971			
	4	1,052			
	5	170			
	6	124			
	7	38			
	8	21			
14. TOTAL TO ALL ZONES		5,997			
15. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY WITH NO ADVERTISING					
16. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY AT SPECIAL RATE FOR AUTHORIZED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS					
17. COPIES WITHIN COUNTY AT POUND RATE					
18. LOCAL OR HEADQUARTERS COPIES AT 1 OR 2 CENTS EACH					
FOREIGN 19. COPIES TO PUAS COUNTRIES—INCLUDING CANADA AND MEXICO (*211.2, Postal Manual*)					
FOREIGN 20. COPIES TO OTHER THAN PUAS COUNTRIES					
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATIONS					
21. DOMESTIC—133, POSTAL MANUAL					
22. FOREIGN—222, 411d, POSTAL MANUAL					

/s/ Joe Mays
(*Signature*)

POD FORM 3542
MAR. 1966

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 OF—207-605

COMPUTATION OF NEW KEY RATE—Continued
(Form 3541)

POST OFFICE AND STATE: Mayville, Maryland 21104
NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT: Mayville Press - Daily except Sundays and holidays
DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES:
DATE OF MAILING: January 1, 1967

		A ZONE	B COPIES	C POSTAGE	D TOTAL (pounds)	E ADVERTISING PORTION (pounds)	F POSTAGE RATE PER POUND OR FRACTION	G MINIMUM RATE PER COPY OR PIECE	H COMPUTED POSTAGE	I POSTAGE CHARGED
OUTSIDE COUNTY	1. Advertising portion. PERCENTAGE:	1 and 2	2621 x 4.2¢	$110.082			4.2¢			
		3	1971 x 5.2¢	102.492			5.2			
		4	1052 x 7.2¢	75.744			7.2			
		5	170 x 9.2¢	15.640			9.2			
		6	124 x 11.2¢	13.888			11.2			
		7	38 x 12.0¢	4.560			12.0			
		8	21 x 14.0¢	2.940			14.0			
	2. TOTAL POUNDS ALL ZONES		5997	$325.346			.054251	← Key rate if used / At key rate →		
	3. Total advertising portion (Column E, item 2).									
	4. Nonadvertising portion (Column D, item 2 minus item 3). $\frac{\$325.346}{5997}$ = $.054251						2.8			
	5. Total pound rate postage (Column H). Follow instructions in items 6, 7, and 8.									
	6. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5. Do not use this item if publication qualifies for special lower minimum. Use item 7 or 8.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		1.0¢		
	7. Publications mailing fewer than 5,000 copies per issue outside county regardless of the amount of advertising. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.5		
	8. Publications containing 5 percent or less advertising, but mailing 5,000 or more copies outside county. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.75		
	9. Authorized classroom publication. Compute postage charged (Column I this item) at 60 percent of the highest amount entered in Column H, items 5, 6, 7, or 8.									
	10. Copies at special rate for authorized nonprofit organizations.				Total pounds		1.8			
	11. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 10.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.125		
INSIDE COUNTY	12. Copies within county at pound rate.				Total pounds		1.25			
	13. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 12.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.125		
	14. Copies at local or headquarters letter-carrier rates of 1 or 2 cents each.					No. of copies		1 or 2		
FOREIGN	15. Copies to PUAS countries (includes Canada and Mexico).					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
	16. Copies to other than PUAS countries.					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1½¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION	17. Controlled circulation publications—domestic (charge pound rate or minimum copy rate, whichever is higher).				Total pounds	No. of copies	13.5	1		
	18. Controlled circulation publications—foreign.					No. of copies	4¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 2¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		

POD Form 3541 March 1966

COMPUTATION OF SECOND CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION POSTAGE
(Attach this form to Form 3542 from which computation is made)

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 O—206-067

Computed by /s/ William Preston Verified by /s/ James Barn

TOTAL POSTAGE CHARGE $

No. 39——3

EXHIBIT B—COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ONE ISSUE ONLY
(Form 3542)

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER OF COPIES OF SECOND-CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATION MAILED

1. CITY Mayville	2. STATE AND ZIP CODE Maryland 21104	3. DATE February 1, 1967
4. NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT Mayville Press		
5. DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES February 1, 1967	6. DATE OF MAILING February 1, 1967	
7. CHECK TYPE(S) OF PUBLICATION, IF APPLICABLE ☐ FEWER THAN 5,000 COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY	☐ CONTAINS 5% OR LESS ADVERTISING	☐ QUALIFIED CLASSROOM PUBLICATION

When this statement is for ONE ISSUE only, furnish the following information:		When this statement is for ALL ISSUES for a calendar month, furnish the following information:	
8. AVERAGE WEIGHT PER COPY FOR THE ISSUE	.248750 LBS.	10. WEIGHT OF ONE SHEET	LBS.
		11. COMBINED WEIGHT OF ONE COPY FROM EACH ISSUE	LBS.
9. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING IN THE ISSUE	65 %	12. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING COMPUTED ON CONTENTS OF ALL ISSUES	%

13. When postage is computed at the key rate, the lines for Zones 1 to 8 need not be completed except for one issue each calendar year at 12-month intervals. The total zone mailings must be entered in item 14 during the 12-month intervals.

	POSTAL ZONES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES NUMBER OF COPIES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES NUMBER OF POUNDS	SAMPLE COPIES NUMBER OF COPIES	SAMPLE COPIES NUMBER OF POUNDS
	1 AND 2				
	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
14. TOTAL TO ALL ZONES		5,997			
15. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY WITH NO ADVERTISING					
16. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY AT SPECIAL RATE FOR AUTHORIZED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS					
17. COPIES WITHIN COUNTY AT POUND RATE		1,176			
18. LOCAL OR HEADQUARTERS COPIES AT 1 OR 2 CENTS EACH		50			
FOREIGN 19. COPIES TO PUAS COUNTRIES—INCLUDING CANADA AND MEXICO (*211.2, Postal Manual*)					
FOREIGN 20. COPIES TO OTHER THAN PUAS COUNTRIES					
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATIONS					
21. DOMESTIC—133, POSTAL MANUAL					
22. FOREIGN—222, 411d, POSTAL MANUAL					

/s/ Joe Mays
(Signature)

POD FORM 3542
MAR. 1966

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 OF—237-805

COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ONE ISSUE ONLY—Continued
(Form 3541)

POST OFFICE AND STATE Mayville, Maryland 21104

NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT Mayville Press - Daily except Sundays and holidays

DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES February 1, 1967

DATE OF MAILING February 1, 1967

		A ZONE	B SAMPLE COPIES (pounds)	C SUBSCRIBER'S COPIES (pounds)	D TOTAL (pounds)	E ADVERTISING PORTION (pounds)	F POSTAGE RATE PER POUND OR FRACTION	G MINIMUM RATE PER COPY OR PIECE	H COMPUTED POSTAGE	POSTAGE CHARGED
OUTSIDE COUNTY	1. Advertising portion	1 and 2					4.2¢			
	PERCENTAGE 65.00	3					5.2			
		4					7.2			
		5					9.2			
		6					11.2			
		7					12.8			
		8					14.8			
	2. TOTAL POUNDS ALL ZONES			1,492	1,492	970	.054251	Key rate if used ← / At key rate →	52.63	
	3. Total advertising portion (Column E, item 2).				970					
	4. Nonadvertising portion (Column D, item 2 minus item 3).				522		2.8		14.62	
	5. Total pound rate postage (Column H). Follow instructions in items 6, 7, and 8.								67.25	67.25
	6. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5. Do not use this item if publication qualifies for special lower minimum. Use item 7 or 8.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 5,997		1.0¢	59.97	
	7. Publications mailing fewer than 5,000 copies per issue outside county regardless of the amount of advertising. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.5		
	8. Publications containing 5 percent or less advertising, but mailing 5,000 or more copies outside county. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.75		
	9. Authorized classroom publication. Compute postage charged (Column I this item) at 60 percent of the highest amount entered in Column H, items 5, 6, 7, or 8.									
	10. Copies at special rate for authorized nonprofit organizations.				Total pounds		1.8			
	11. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 10.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.125		
INSIDE COUNTY	12. Copies within county at pound rate.			293	Total pounds 293		1.25		3.67	
	13. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 12.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 1,176		.125	1.47	3.67
	14. Copies at local or headquarters letter-carrier rates of 1 or 2 cents each.					No. of copies 50		1 or 2		50
FOREIGN	15. Copies to PUAS countries (includes Canada and Mexico).					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
	16. Copies to other than PUAS countries.					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1½¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION	17. Controlled circulation publications—domestic (charge pound rate or minimum copy rate, whichever is higher).				Total pounds	No. of copies	13.5	1		
	18. Controlled circulation publications—foreign.					No. of copies	4¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 2¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		

POD Form 3541 March 1966 COMPUTATION OF SECOND CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION POSTAGE
(Attach this form to Form 3542 from which computation is made)

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 OF—208-047

Computed by /s/ William Preston

TOTAL POSTAGE CHARGE $ $71.42

EXHIBIT C—COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ALL ISSUES FOR CALENDAR MONTH
(Form 3542)

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER OF COPIES OF SECOND-CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATION MAILED

1. CITY	2. STATE AND ZIP CODE	3. DATE
Mayville	Maryland 21104	February 28, 1967

4. NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT: Mayville Press

5. DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES: February 1-28, 1967 inclusive

6. DATE OF MAILING: February 1-28, 1967 inclusive (23 issues)

7. CHECK TYPE(S) OF PUBLICATION, IF APPLICABLE
☐ FEWER THAN 5,000 COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY ☐ CONTAINS 5% OR LESS ADVERTISING ☐ QUALIFIED CLASSROOM PUBLICATION

When this statement is for ONE ISSUE only, furnish the following information:		When this statement is for ALL ISSUES for a calendar month, furnish the following information:	
8. AVERAGE WEIGHT PER COPY FOR THE ISSUE	LBS.	10. WEIGHT OF ONE SHEET	.045833 LBS.
		11. COMBINED WEIGHT OF ONE COPY FROM EACH ISSUE	5.706208 LBS.
9. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING IN THE ISSUE	%	12. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING COMPUTED ON CONTENTS OF ALL ISSUES	65 %

13. When postage is computed at the key rate, the lines for Zones 1 to 8 need not be completed except for one issue each calendar year at 12-month intervals. The total zone mailings must be entered in item 14 during the 12-month intervals.

	POSTAL ZONES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES — NUMBER OF COPIES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES — NUMBER OF POUNDS	SAMPLE COPIES — NUMBER OF COPIES	SAMPLE COPIES — NUMBER OF POUNDS
	1 AND 2				
	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
14. TOTAL TO ALL ZONES		5,997			
15. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY WITH NO ADVERTISING					
16. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY AT SPECIAL RATE FOR AUTHORIZED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS					
17. COPIES WITHIN COUNTY AT POUND RATE		1,176			
18. LOCAL OR HEADQUARTERS COPIES AT 1 OR 2 CENTS EACH		50			
FOREIGN: 19. COPIES TO PUAS COUNTRIES—INCLUDING CANADA AND MEXICO (*211.2, Postal Manual*)					
FOREIGN: 20. COPIES TO OTHER THAN PUAS COUNTRIES					
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATIONS					
21. DOMESTIC—133, POSTAL MANUAL					
22. FOREIGN—222, 411d, POSTAL MANUAL					

/s/ Joe Mays
(Signature)

POD FORM 3542
MAR. 1966

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 OF—227-605

COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ALL ISSUES FOR CALENDAR MONTH—Continued
(Form 3541)

POST OFFICE AND STATE Mayville, Maryland 21104 | NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT Mayville Press - Daily except Sundays and holidays

DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES February 1-28, 1967 inclusive (23 issues) | DATE OF MAILING February 1-28, 1967 inclusive (23 issues)

		A ZONE	B SAMPLE COPIES (pounds)	C SUBSCRIBER'S COPIES (pounds)	D TOTAL (pounds)	E ADVERTISING PORTION (pounds)	F POSTAGE RATE PER POUND OR FRACTION	G MINIMUM RATE PER COPY OR PIECE	H COMPUTED POSTAGE	I POSTAGE CHARGED
OUTSIDE COUNTY	1. Advertising portion. PERCENTAGE: 65.00	1 and 2					4.2¢			
		3					5.2			
		4					7.2			
		5					9.2			
		6					11.2			
		7					12.0			
		8					14.0			
	2. TOTAL POUNDS ALL ZONES			34,221	34,221	22,244	.054251	Key rate if used ← / At key rate →	1,206.76	
	3. Total advertising portion (Column E, item 2).				22,244					
	4. Nonadvertising portion (Column D, item 2 minus item 3).				11,977		2.8		335.36	
	5. Total pound rate postage (Column H). Follow instructions in items 6, 7, and 8.								1,542.12	1,542.12
	6. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5. Do not use this item if publication qualifies for special lower minimum. Use item 7 or 8.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 137,931		1.0¢	1,379.31	
	7. Publications mailing fewer than 5,000 copies per issue outside county regardless of the amount of advertising. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.5		
	8. Publications containing 5 percent or less advertising, but mailing 5,000 or more copies outside county. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.75		
	9. Authorized classroom publication. Compute postage charged (Column I this item) at 60 percent of the highest amount entered in Column H, items 5, 6, 7, or 8.									
	10. Copies at special rate for authorized nonprofit organizations.				Total pounds		1.8			
	11. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 10.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.125		
INSIDE COUNTY	12. Copies within county at pound rate.			6,711	Total pounds 6,711		1.25		83.89	
	13. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 12.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 27,048		.125	33.81	83.89
	14. Copies at local or headquarters letter-carrier rates of 1 or 2 cents each.					No. of copies 1,150		1 or 2		11.50
FOREIGN	15. Copies to PUAS countries (includes Canada and Mexico).					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
	16. Copies to other than PUAS countries.					No. of copies	3¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1½¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION	17. Controlled circulation publications—domestic (charge pound rate or minimum copy rate, whichever is higher).				Total pounds	No. of copies	13.5	1		
	18. Controlled circulation publications—foreign.					No. of copies	4¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 2¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		

POD Form 3541, March 1966 — COMPUTATION OF SECOND CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION POSTAGE (Attach this form to Form 3542 from which computation is made)

Computed by /s/ William Preston

TOTAL POSTAGE CHARGE $ $1,637.51

EXHIBIT D—COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ONE ISSUE OF A QUALIFYING CLASSROOM PUBLICATION ISSUED WEEKLY

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER OF COPIES OF SECOND-CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATION MAILED

1. CITY	2. STATE AND ZIP CODE	3. DATE
Mayville	Maryland 21104	July 1, 1967

4. NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT
Mayville Primary Review

5. DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES	6. DATE OF MAILING
July 1, 1967	July 1, 1967

7. CHECK TYPE(S) OF PUBLICATION, IF APPLICABLE
☐ FEWER THAN 5,000 COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY ☐ CONTAINS 5% OR LESS ADVERTISING ☒ QUALIFIED CLASSROOM PUBLICATION

When this statement is for ONE ISSUE only, furnish the following information:		When this statement is for ALL ISSUES for a calendar month, furnish the following information:	
8. AVERAGE WEIGHT PER COPY FOR THE ISSUE	.125000 LBS.	10. WEIGHT OF ONE SHEET	LBS.
		11. COMBINED WEIGHT OF ONE COPY FROM EACH ISSUE	LBS.
9. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING IN THE ISSUE	NONE %	12. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING COMPUTED ON CONTENTS OF ALL ISSUES	%

13. When postage is computed at the key rate, the lines for Zones 1 to 8 need not be completed except for one issue each calendar year at 12-month intervals. The total zone mailings must be entered in item 14 during the 12-month intervals.

	POSTAL ZONES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES		SAMPLE COPIES	
		NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER OF POUNDS	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER OF POUNDS
	1 AND 2				
	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
14. TOTAL TO ALL ZONES					
15. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY WITH NO ADVERTISING		120,000	15,000		
16. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY AT SPECIAL RATE FOR AUTHORIZED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS					
17. COPIES WITHIN COUNTY AT POUND RATE		500	63		
18. LOCAL OR HEADQUARTERS COPIES AT 1 OR 2 CENTS EACH					
FOREIGN: 19. COPIES TO PUAS COUNTRIES—INCLUDING CANADA AND MEXICO (*211.2, Postal Manual*)					
FOREIGN: 20. COPIES TO OTHER THAN PUAS COUNTRIES					
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATIONS					
21. DOMESTIC—133, POSTAL MANUAL					
22. FOREIGN—222, 411d, POSTAL MANUAL					

/s/ Joe E. Mays
(Signature)

POD FORM 3542
MAR. 1966

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 OF—207-908

COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ONE ISSUE OF A QUALIFYING CLASSROOM PUBLICATION ISSUED WEEKLY—Continued

POST OFFICE AND STATE: Mayville, Maryland 21104
DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES: July 1, 1967
NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT: Mayville Primary Review - Weekly
DATE OF MAILING: July 1, 1967

	Item	A ZONE	B SAMPLE COPIES (pounds)	C SUBSCRIBER'S COPIES (pounds)	D TOTAL (pounds)	E ADVERTISING PORTION (pounds)	F POSTAGE RATE PER POUND OR FRACTION	G MINIMUM RATE PER COPY OR PIECE	H COMPUTED POSTAGE	I POSTAGE CHARGED
OUTSIDE COUNTY	1. Advertising portion. PERCENTAGE: None	1 and 2					4.2¢			
		3					5.2			
		4					7.2			
		5					9.2			
		6					11.2			
		7					12.0			
		8					14.0			
	2. TOTAL POUNDS-ALL ZONES			15,000	15,000			Key rate if used ← / At key rate →		
	3. Total advertising portion (Column E, item 2).				-------					
	4. Nonadvertising portion (Column D, item 2 minus item 3).				15,000		2.8		420.00	
	5. Total pound rate postage (Column H). Follow instructions in items 6, 7, and 8.								420.00	
	6. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5. Do not use this item if publication qualifies for special lower minimum. Use item 7 or 8.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		1.0¢		
	7. Publications mailing fewer than 5,000 copies per issue outside county regardless of the amount of advertising. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542).		.5		
	8. Publications containing 5 percent or less advertising, but mailing 5,000 or more copies outside county. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 120,000		.75	900.00	
	9. Authorized classroom publication. Compute postage charged (Column I this item) at 60 percent of the highest amount entered in Column H, items 5, 6, 7, or 8.									540.00
	10. Copies at special rate for authorized nonprofit organizations.				Total pounds		1.8			
	11. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 10.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.125		
INSIDE COUNTY	12. Copies within county at pound rate.			63	Total pounds 63		1.25		.79	.79
	13. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 12.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 500		.125	.63	
	14. Copies at local or headquarters letter-carrier rates of 1 or 2 cents each.					No. of copies		1 or 2		
FOREIGN	15. Copies to PUAS countries (includes Canada and Mexico).					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
	16. Copies to other than PUAS countries.					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1¼¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION	17. Controlled circulation publications—domestic (charge pound rate or minimum copy rate, whichever is higher).				Total pounds	No. of copies	13.5	1		
	18. Controlled circulation publications—foreign.					No. of copies	4¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 2¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		

POD Form 3541, March 1966

COMPUTATION OF SECOND CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION POSTAGE
(Attach this form to Form 3542 from which computation is made)

Computed by: /s/ William Preston

TOTAL POSTAGE CHARGE: $ 540.79

EXHIBIT E—COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ONE ISSUE OF A QUALIFIED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION ISSUED WEEKLY

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER OF COPIES OF SECOND-CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATION MAILED

1. CITY	2. STATE AND ZIP CODE	3. DATE
Mayville	Maryland 21104	July 1, 1967

4. NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT
Mayville Bulletin

5. DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES	6. DATE OF MAILING
July 1, 1967	July 1, 1967

7. CHECK TYPE(S) OF PUBLICATION, IF APPLICABLE
☐ FEWER THAN 5,000 COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY ☐ CONTAINS 5% OR LESS ADVERTISING ☐ QUALIFIED CLASSROOM PUBLICATION

When this statement is for ONE ISSUE only, furnish the following information:		When this statement is for ALL ISSUES for a calendar month, furnish the following information:	
8. AVERAGE WEIGHT PER COPY FOR THE ISSUE	.093750 LBS.	10. WEIGHT OF ONE SHEET	LBS.
		11. COMBINED WEIGHT OF ONE COPY FROM EACH ISSUE	LBS.
9. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING IN THE ISSUE	NONE %	12. PERCENTAGE OF ADVERTISING COMPUTED ON CONTENTS OF ALL ISSUES	%

13. When postage is computed at the key rate, the lines for Zones 1 to 8 need not be completed except for one issue each calendar year at 12-month intervals. The total zone mailings must be entered in item 14 during the 12-month intervals.

	POSTAL ZONES	SUBSCRIBERS' COPIES		SAMPLE COPIES	
		NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER OF POUNDS	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER OF POUNDS
	1 AND 2				
	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
14. TOTAL TO ALL ZONES					
15. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY WITH NO ADVERTISING					
16. COPIES OUTSIDE COUNTY AT SPECIAL RATE FOR AUTHORIZED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS		10,000	938		
17. COPIES WITHIN COUNTY AT POUND RATE		15,000	1407		
18. LOCAL OR HEADQUARTERS COPIES AT 1 OR 2 CENTS EACH					
FOREIGN 19. COPIES TO PUAS COUNTRIES—INCLUDING CANADA AND MEXICO (211.2, Postal Manual)					
FOREIGN 20. COPIES TO OTHER THAN PUAS COUNTRIES					
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION PUBLICATIONS					
21. DOMESTIC—133, POSTAL MANUAL					
22. FOREIGN—222, 411d, POSTAL MANUAL					

/s/ Joe Mays
(Signature)

POD FORM MAR. 1966 3542

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1966 OF—207-908

COMPUTATION OF POSTAGE BASED ON MAILINGS OF ONE ISSUE OF A QUALIFIED NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION ISSUED WEEKLY—Continued

POST OFFICE AND STATE: Mayville, Maryland 21104	NAME OF PUBLICATION OR NEWS AGENT: Mayville Bulletin - Weekly
DATE OF ISSUE PRINTED IN COPIES: July 1, 1967	DATE OF MAILING: July 1, 1967

	Item	A ZONE	B SAMPLE COPIES (pounds)	C SUBSCRIBER'S COPIES (pounds)	D TOTAL (pounds)	E ADVERTISING PORTION (pounds)	F POSTAGE RATE PER POUND OR FRACTION	G MINIMUM RATE PER COPY OR PIECE	H COMPUTED POSTAGE	I POSTAGE CHARGED
OUTSIDE COUNTY	1. Advertising portion PERCENTAGE: None	1 and 2					4.2¢			
		3					5.2			
		4					7.2			
		5					9.2			
		6					11.2			
		7					12.0			
		8					14.0			
	2. TOTAL POUNDS ALL ZONES							← Key rate if used | At key rate →		
	3. Total advertising portion (Column E, item 2).									
	4. Nonadvertising portion (Column D, item 2 minus item 3).						2.8			
	5. Total pound rate postage (Column H). Follow instructions in items 6, 7, and 8.									
	6. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5. Do not use this item if publication qualifies for special lower minimum. Use item 7 or 8.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		1.0¢		
	7. Publications mailing fewer than 5,000 copies per issue outside county regardless of the amount of advertising. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.5		
	8. Publications containing 5 percent or less advertising, but mailing 5,000 or more copies outside county. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds total pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 5.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542)		.25		
	9. Authorized classroom publication. Compute postage charged (Column I this item) at 50 percent of the highest amount entered in Column H, items 5, 6, 7, or 8.									
	10. Copies at special rate for authorized nonprofit organizations.				Total pounds 938		1.8		16.89	16.89
	11. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 10.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542) 10,000		.125	12.50	
INSIDE COUNTY	12. Copies within county at pound rate.				Total pounds 1,407		1.25		17.59	
	13. Compute postage at minimum rate shown in Column G (this item) on each copy or piece entered in Column E (this item) and charge this amount if it exceeds pound rate postage entered in Column H, item 12.					No. copies or pieces (From Form 3542). 15,000		.125	18.75	18.75
	14. Copies at local or headquarters letter-carrier rates of 1 or 2 cents each.					No. of copies		1 or 2		
FOREIGN	15. Copies to PUAS countries (includes Canada and Mexico).					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
	16. Copies to other than PUAS countries.					No. of copies	2¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 1½¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		
CONTROLLED CIRCULATION	17. Controlled circulation publications—domestic (charge pound rate or minimum copy rate, whichever is higher).				Total pounds	No. of copies	13.5	1		
	18. Controlled circulation publications—foreign.					No. of copies	4¢ FIRST 2 OUNCES 2¢ EACH ADDITIONAL 2 OUNCES	Rate per copy:		

POD Form 3541, March 1966 — COMPUTATION OF SECOND CLASS OR CONTROLLED CIRCULATION POSTAGE (Attach this form to Form 3542 from which computation is made)

Computed by: /s/ William Preston

TOTAL POSTAGE CHARGE $ 35.64

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

As the foregoing amendments to and revisions in Parts 114 and 126 do not affect substantive rights, public rulemaking procedures and advance rulemaking procedures and advance notice as well as a delayed effective date are unnecessary and would be contrary to the public interest.

(5 U.S.C. 301, 39 U.S.C. 501)

TIMOTHY J. MAY,
General Counsel.

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2128; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

PART 155—CITY DELIVERY

Authorized Manufacturers of Apartment House Mailboxes

The regulations of the Post Office Department are amended as follows:

In § 155.6 *Apartment house receptacles*, delete the following name from the list of manufacturers and distributors of apartment house mailboxes as contained in paragraph (f)(1):

Leigh Products, Inc., Coopersville, Mich. 49404.

As the foregoing deletion relates to a proprietary function of the Government and does not affect substantive rights, public rule making procedures, as well as a delayed effective date, are unnecessary and would be contrary to the public interest.

(5 U.S.C. 301, 39 U.S.C. 501)

TIMOTHY J. MAY,
General Counsel.

FEBRUARY 23, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2180; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 31—MONEY AND FINANCE: TREASURY

Chapter II—Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury

SUBCHAPTER B—BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

PART 342—OFFERING OF U.S. SAVINGS NOTES

The regulations in Treasury Department Circular, Public Debt Series No. 3–67 (31 CFR Part 342), and the table of redemption values and investment yields incorporated therein, set forth below, are issued under authority of Revised Statutes, section 161 (5 U.S.C. 22), and the Second Liberty Bond Act, sections 18 and 20 (31 U.S.C. 753 and 754b), both as amended. This offering of U.S. Savings Notes is a matter concerning the fiscal policy of the United States and notice and public procedures thereon are unnecessary. The regulations were adopted on February 22, 1967.

Dated: February 22, 1967.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. BARR,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 342 issued under sec. 18, 40 Stat. 1309, as amended, sec. 20, 48 Stat. 343, as amended; 31 U.S.C. 753, 754b, 5 U.S.C. 301.

§ 342.0 Offering of notes.

The Secretary of the Treasury under the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, hereby offers for sale U.S. Savings Notes which may be purchased only with the simultaneous purchase of Series E bonds under payroll savings plans or through the bond-a-month plan. The investment yield on the notes (hereinafter generally referred to as "savings notes" or "notes") will be approximately 4.74 percent per annum, compounded semiannually, if held to maturity. This offering of notes, which shall be effective May 1, 1967, will continue until terminated by the Secretary of the Treasury.

§ 342.1 Definition of words and terms as used in this offer.

(a) "Payroll savings plans" refer to voluntary systems maintained by employers whereby their officers and employees authorize regular deductions from their salaries or wages for the purchase of U.S. Savings Bonds of Series E, referred to herein as "Series E bonds."

(b) "The bond-a-month plan" refers to the plan whereby depositors maintaining accounts with financial institutions authorize regular monthly deductions from such accounts for the purchase of Series E bonds.

(c) "Participants" refer to individuals having regular deductions made from their salaries or wages for the purchase of Series E bonds pursuant to payroll savings plans, or depositors having regular monthly deductions made from their accounts for the purchase of Series E bonds under the bond-a-month plan.

§ 342.2 Description of notes.

(a) *General.* Savings notes bear a facsimile of the signature of the Secretary of the Treasury and of the Seal of the Department of the Treasury. They are issued only in registered form and are nontransferable.

(b) *Denominations and prices.* Savings notes are issued on a discount basis at 81 percent of their face amounts (maturity values). The denominations and purchase prices are:

Denomination (face amount)	*Purchase price*
$25.00	$20.25
$50.00	40.50
$75.00	60.75
$100.00	81.00

(c) *Inscription and issue.* At the time of issue the authorized issuing agent will (1) inscribe on the face of each savings note the name and address of the owner, and the name of the beneficiary, if any, or the names of the co-owners and the address of the first-named coowner,[1] (2) enter the issue date in the right-hand portion of the note in the space provided for that purpose, and (3) imprint thereunder, by use of the agent's validating stamp for the issue of U.S. Savings Bonds, the date the note is actually inscribed. A note shall be valid only if an authorized issuing agent receives payment therefor and duly inscribes, dates, stamps, and delivers it.

(d) *Term.* A savings note shall be dated as of the first day of the month in which payment of the purchase price is received by an issuing agent. This date is the issue date and the note will mature and be payable at its maturity value 4 years and 6 months from such issue date. The note may not be called for redemption by the Secretary of the Treasury prior to maturity, and is not redeemable during the first year from issue date. Thereafter, the note may be redeemed at fixed redemption values at the option and request of the owner.

(e) *Investment yield (interest).* The investment yield on a savings note will be approximately 4.74 percent per annum, compounded semiannually, if the note is held to maturity, but the yield will be less if the note is redeemed prior to maturity. The interest will be paid as part of the redemption value. The note will increase in value 1 year after issue date, and at the beginning of each half-year period thereafter until maturity, at which time interest will cease. If the note is redeemed before maturity, interest will cease at the end of the interest period next preceding the redemption date, except that if redeemed on a date on which the redemption value of the note increases, interest will cease on that date. (See table.)

§ 342.3 Purchase—registration.

(a) *Purchase.* Savings notes may be purchased only with the simultaneous purchase of Series E bonds, as provided in § 342.0. Employers may obtain notes for participants in payroll savings plans from authorized issuing agents[2] or from any Federal Reserve Bank or Branch, or the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Securities Division, Washington, D.C. 20220. Participants in the bond-a-month plan may obtain the notes from authorized financial institutions. Payments for the notes may be made in the same manner as payments for United States Savings Bonds. Issuing agents will deliver the notes at the time of purchase, or by mail at the risk and expense of the United States, but only within the United States, its territories and posses-

---

[1] When placing a taxpayer identifying number (an individual's social security account number) on a note, the issuing agent should place the number on the note in the same position as on the companion Series E bond.

[2] Generally, incorporated banks, trust companies, and other agencies as have been duly qualified as issuing agents of Series E bonds.

sions, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Canal Zone. No mail deliveries elsewhere will be made.

(b) *Registration*. On original issue a savings note (1) is limited to registration in the name of a natural person (whether adult or minor), alone or with another natural person as coowner or beneficiary, and (2) must be identical in registration to the companion Series E bond purchased under the payroll savings plan or the bond-a-month plan.

§ 342.4 **Limitations.**

(a) *On deductions*. Deductions for savings notes shall not exceed $1.08 for each $1 of deductions for the purchase of Series E bonds. In addition, deductions for the notes, under a payroll savings plan, shall not be more than $20.25 per weekly pay period, or $40.50 per biweekly or semimonthly pay period, or $81 per monthly pay period, and under the bond-a-month plan, shall not exceed $81 per month. A participant, upon discontinuing his participation in a payroll savings plan or the bond-a-month plan, becomes ineligible for further purchases of the notes until such time as he again enrolls in a plan.

(b) *On holdings*. The total face amount of savings notes originally purchased by or issued to any one person during any 1 calendar year, including those registered in the name of that person as owner and those registered in his name with another person as coowner, that may be held by that person at any one time is limited to $1,350.

§ 342.5 **Taxation.**

(a) *General*. For the purpose of determining taxes and tax exemptions, the increment in value represented by the difference between the purchase price and the redemption value received for a savings note will be considered as interest. The interest is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The notes are subject to estate, inheritance, gift, or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal and interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

(b) *Federal income tax on notes*. An owner of savings notes who is a cash basis taxpayer may use either of two methods for reporting the increase in the redemption value of the notes for Federal income tax purposes, as follows:

(1) Defer reporting of the increase until the year of maturity, actual redemption, or other disposition, whichever is earlier, or

(2) Elect to report the increase for the year in which it accrues, in which case the election will apply also to all Series E bonds then owned by him and those thereafter acquired, as well as to any other similar obligations sold on a discount basis.

If the method in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph is used, the taxpayer may change to the method in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph without obtaining permission from the Internal Revenue Service. However, once the election to use the method in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph is made, the taxpayer may not change the method of reporting, unless he obtains permission to do so from the Internal Revenue Service. Inquiries requesting further information on Federal taxes should be addressed to the District Director, Internal Revenue Service, of the taxpayer's district, or the Internal Revenue Service, Washington, D.C. 20224.

§ 342.6 **Payment or redemption.**

(a) *General*. At any time 1 year or more after the issue date, a savings note may be redeemed upon presentation and surrender of the note with a duly executed request for payment to any Federal Reserve Bank or Branch, or the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Securities Division, Washington, D.C. 20220, or to any financial institution which has been designated as paying agent by the Secretary of the Treasury.

(b) *Judgment creditors*. Payment of a savings note to the purchaser at a sale under a levy or to the officer authorized to levy upon the property of the owner under appropriate process to satisfy a money judgment will not be made until 1 year after the issue date of the note.

§ 342.7 **Governing regulations.**

Savings notes are subject to the regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing U.S. Savings Bonds, contained in Department Circular No. 530, current revision (Part 315 of this chapter),[2] except as otherwise specifically provided herein.

§ 342.8 **Fiscal agents.**

Federal Reserve Banks and Branches, as fiscal agents of the United States, are authorized to perform such services as may be requested of them by the Secretary of the Treasury in connection with the issue, delivery, redemption, and payment of savings notes.

§ 342.9 **Reservations.**

(a) *Issue of notes*. The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to reject any application for purchase of savings notes, in whole or in part, and to refuse to issue or permit to be issued hereunder any such notes in any case or any class or classes of cases if he deems such action to be in the public interest, and his action in any such respect shall be final.

(b) *Terms of offer*. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time or from time to time supplement or amend the terms of this offering of notes, or of any amendments or supplements thereto.

---

[2] Copies may be obtained from any Federal Reserve Bank or Branch, or the Bureau of the Public Debt, Division of Loans and Currency Branch, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, Ill. 60605.

U.S. SAVINGS NOTES—REDEMPTION VALUES AND INVESTMENT YIELDS

Table shows: (1) Redemption values, by denomination, during each successive half-year term of holding after first year[1] following the date of issue; (2) the approximate investment yield on the purchase price from issue date to the beginning of each half-year period;[2] and (3) the approximate investment yield on the current redemption value from the beginning of each half-year period[2] to maturity. Yields are expressed in terms of rate percent per annum compounded semiannually.

Maturity value / Issue price	$25.00 / 20.25	$50.00 / 40.50	$75.00 / 60.75	$100.00 / 81.00	Approximate investment yield	
Period after issue date	(1) Redemption values during each half-year period after the first year (values increase on first day of period shown)[1]				(2) On purchase price from issue date to beginning of each half-year period[2]	(3) On current redemption value from beginning of each half-year period to maturity[2,3]
					Percent	Percent
1 to 1½ years	$21.07	$42.14	$63.21	$84.28	4.01	4.95
1½ to 2 years	21.53	43.06	64.59	86.12	4.13	5.04
2 to 2½ years	22.03	44.06	66.09	88.12	4.26	5.12
2½ to 3 years	22.56	45.12	67.68	90.24	4.37	5.20
3 to 3½ years	23.14	46.28	69.42	92.56	4.50	5.22
3½ to 4 years	23.74	47.48	71.22	94.96	4.60	5.24
4 to 4½ years	24.36	48.72	73.08	97.44	4.67	5.23
Maturity value (4½ years from issue date)	25.00	50.00	75.00	100.00	4.74	

[1] Savings notes are not redeemable before 1 year from issue date.
[2] Except the first half-year.
[3] 4.74 percent for entire period from issuance to maturity.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2207; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 9—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 9-3—PROCUREMENT BY NEGOTIATION

PART 9-6—FOREIGN PURCHASES

Subpart 9-6.1—Buy American Act—Supply Service Contracts

PART 9-7—CONTRACT CLAUSES

Subpart 9-7.50—Use of Standard Clauses

PART 9-9—PATENTS AND COPYRIGHTS

Subpart 9-9.51—Copyrights

PART 9-15—CONTRACT COST PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

1. Section 9-3.000-50, *Policy, cost-type contractor procurement*, is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-3.000-50 Policy, cost-type contractor procurement.

The following portions of the Federal Procurement Regulations Part 3 and this AECPR Part 3 constitute specific provisions which the contracting officer shall bring to the attention of cost-type contractors as constituting areas which require appropriate treatment in the development of statements of contractor procurement practices, in order to carry out the basic AEC procurement policies set forth in AECPR 9-1.5203.

Section or subpart	*Subject*
FPR:	
1-3.101 (b) (4) and (c).	General requirements for negotiation.
1-3.102 ------	Factors to be considered in negotiating contracts.
1-3.103 (b) and (c).	Dissemination of procurement information.
1-3.4 (all)---	Types of Contracts.
1-3.601 -----	Purpose.
1-3.602 -----	Policy.
1-3.603 -----	Competition.
1-3.606 -----	Blanket purchase arrangements.
1-3.8 (all)---	Price Negotiation Policies and Techniques.
AECPR:	
9-3.103 -----	Dissemination of procurement information.
9-3.4 (all)---	Types of Contracts.
9-3.600 -----	Scope of subpart.
9-3.603-2 ---	Data to support small purchases.
9-3.8 (all)---	Price Negotiation Policies and Techniques.

2. Section 9-6.151, *AEC list of supplies excepted from Buy American Act (supplies to be procured for public use)*, is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-6.151 AEC list of supplies excepted from Buy American Act (supplies to be procured for public use).

Antimony.
Asbestos.
Bauxite.
Bismuth.
Books, trade, text, technical or scientific; newspapers, magazines; periodicals; printed briefs and films; not printed in the United States, and for which domestic editions are not available.
Cadmium.
Calcium cyanamide.
Castor oil.
Chrome ore or chromite.
Cobalt (ore and metals).
Cork.
Cryolite, natural.
Diamonds, industrial and abrasive.
Graphite, natural.
Iodine.
Jute and jute burlaps.
Logs, veneer, and lumber from balsa, greenheart, lignum vitae, mahogany, and teak.
Mica.
Nickel.
Crude petroleum, petroleum fuels, and petroleum lubricants.
Platinum and related group metals.
Radium salts, source and special nuclear materials.
Rubber, crude and latex.
Rutile.
Shellac.
Spare parts for equipment of foreign manufacture, and for which domestic parts are not available.
Sperm oil.
Tartaric acid.
Tin.

Suggestions for changes in and additions to the above lists, with appropriate justifications, shall be submitted to the Division of Contracts.

3. In § 9-7.5006-10, *Allowable costs and fixed fee (supply contracts and research and development contracts with concerns other than educational institutions)*, the Note following subdivision (d)(8)(vii) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-7.5006-10 Allowable costs and fixed fee (supply contracts and research and development contracts with concerns other than educational institutions).

* * * * *

(d) *Examples of items of allowable cost.* * * *

(8) *Personnel costs and related expenses* * * *

(vii) * * *

NOTE: In appropriate circumstances, the lead sentence in subparagraph (8) may be changed to read as follows:

* * * * *

4. In § 9-7.5006-12, *Allowable costs and fixed fee (Architect-Engineer Contracts)*, the Note following subdivision (d)(8)(vi) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-7.5006-12 Allowable costs and fixed fee (Architect-Engineer Contracts).

* * * * *

(d) *Examples of items of allowable cost.* * * *

(8) * * *

(vi) * * *

NOTE: In appropriate circumstances, the lead sentence in subparagraph (8) may be changed to read as follows:

* * * * *

5. In § 9-7.5006-45, *Consultant or other comparable employment services of contractor employees*, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-7.5006-45 Consultant or other comparable employment services of contractor employees.

* * * * *

(b) The following clause shall be included in all cost-type contracts identified in AECPR 9-12.5401(d):

The contractor shall require all employees who are employed full-time (an individual who performs work under the cost-type contract on a full-time annual basis) or part-time (50 percent or more of regular annual compensation received under terms of a contract with the Commission) on the contract work to disclose to the contractor all consultant or other comparable employment services which the employees propose to undertake for others. The contractor shall transmit to the Contracting Officer all information obtained from such disclosures. The contractor will require any employee who will be employed full-time on the contract work to agree, as a condition of his participation in such work, that he will not perform consultant or other comparable employment services for another Commission cost-type contractor or in the atomic energy field for another organization except with the prior approval of the contractor. If the contractor believes, with respect to any employee who is employed full-time on the contract work, that any proposed consultant or other comparable employment service for an organization in the atomic energy field other than a Commission cost-type contractor may involve: (1) A rate of remuneration significantly in excess of the employee's regular rate of remuneration; (2) a significant question concerning possible conflict with the Commission's policies regarding conduct of employees of the Commission's contractors; (3) the contractor's responsibility to report fully and promptly to the Commission all significant research and development information; or (4) the patent provisions of the contractor's contract with the Commission, the contractor shall obtain the prior approval of the Contracting Officer for such consultant or other comparable employment service.

6. In § 9-9.5103, *Rights in copyrightable material under contracts*, paragraph (f) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-9.5103 Rights in copyrightable material under contracts.

* * * * *

(f) If the circumstances warrant special arrangements, such arrangements should be reviewed at the time of negotiation, or if proposed during the course of the contract, at the time of proposal with the Managers of Field Offices and with the Assistant General Counsel for Patents, Headquarters.

7. Section 9-15.000-50, *Policy, cost-type contractor procurement*, is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-15.000-50 Policy, cost-type contractor procurement.

The following subpart of FPR 1-15 and this AECPR 9-15 constitute specific provisions which the contracting officer shall bring to the attention of Class A and Class B cost-type contractors as constituting areas which require appropriate treatment in the development of statements of contractor procurement practices in order to carry out the basic AEC procurement policies set forth in AECPR 9-1.5203:

Subpart or part	*Subject*
FPR 1-15.3	Principles for Determining Costs Applicable to Research and Development Under Grants and Contracts with Educational Institutions.
AECPR 9-15	Contract Cost Principles and Procedures.

(Sec. 161, Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 68 Stat. 948; 42 U.S.C. 2201; sec. 205, Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486).

Effective date. These amendments are effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 21st day of February 1967.

For the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

JOSEPH L. SMITH,
Director, Division of Contracts.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2158; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[25 CFR Part 221]

FLATHEAD INDIAN IRRIGATION PROJECT, MONT.

Operation And Maintenance Charges

Basis and purpose. Pursuant to section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act of June 11, 1946 (60 Stat. 238), and authority contained in the Acts of Congress approved August 1, 1914, May 18, 1916, and March 7, 1928 (38 Stat. 583; 39 Stat. 142), and by virtue of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs (Order No. 2508; 14 F.R. 258), and by virtue of the authority delegated by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to the Area Director (Bureau Order No. 551, Amendment No. 1; 16 F.R. 5454-7), notice is hereby given of the intention to modify §§ 221.16 and 221.17 of Title 25, Code of Federal Regulations, dealing with the irrigable lands of the Flathead Indian Irrigation Project, Montana, that are not subject to the jurisdiction of the several irrigation districts. The purpose of the amendment is to establish the assessment rate for nondistrict lands of the Flathead Indian Irrigation Project for 1967 and thereafter until further notice.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public the opportunity to participate in the rule making process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the proposed amendment to the Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Mont., within 30 days of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Section 221.16 is amended to read as follows:

§ 221.16 Charges, Jocko Division.

(a) An annual minimum charge of $3.10 per acre, for the season of 1967 and thereafter until further notice, shall be made against all assessable irrigable land in the Jocko Division that is not included in an Irrigation District organization, regardless of whether water is used.

(b) The minimum charge when paid shall be credited on the delivery of the pro rata per acre share of the available water up to 1½ acre-feet per acre for the entire assessable area of the farm unit, allotment, or tract. Additional water, if available, will be delivered at the rate of two dollars and seven cents ($2.07) per acre foot or fraction thereof.

Section 221.17 is amended to read as follows:

§ 221.17 Charges, Mission Valley, and Camas Divisions.

(a) (1) An annual minimum charge of $3.48 per acre, for the season of 1967 and thereafter until further notice, shall be made against all assessable irrigable land in the Mission Valley Division that is not included in an Irrigation District organization regardless of whether water is used.

(2) The minimum charge when paid shall be credited on the delivery of the pro rata per acre share of the available water up to 1½ acre-feet per acre for the entire assessable area of the farm unit, allotment, or tract. Additional water, if available, will be delivered at the rate of two dollars and thirty-two cents ($2.32) per acre foot or fraction thereof.

(b) (1) An annual minimum charge of $3.50 per acre, for the season of 1967 and thereafter until further notice, shall be made against all assessable irrigable land in the Camas Division that is not included in an Irrigation District organization regardless of whether water is used.

(2) The minimum charge when paid shall be credited on the delivery of the pro rata per acre share of the available water up to 1½ acre-feet per acre for the entire assessable area of the farm unit, allotment, or tract. Additional water, if available, will be delivered at the rate of two dollars and thirty-three cents ($2.33) per acre foot or fraction thereof.

NED O. THOMPSON,
Acting Area Director.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2177; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 1002]

[Docket No. AO 71 A50]

MILK IN NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY MARKETING AREA

Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at New York, N.Y., on January 16-25, 1967, pursuant to notice thereof issued on December 15, 1966 (31 F.R. 16273), and supplemental notice issued December 27, 1966 (31 F.R. 16716).

The material issues on the record of the hearing related to:

1. Providing for skim milk and butterfat accounting in lieu of the present butterfat equivalent accounting procedure.
2. Changing the classification scheme from three classes of milk utilization (and the use of a fluid skim milk differential) to two classes of utilization.
3. Establishing a single butterfat differential applicable to class prices and the uniform price.
4. Modification of provisions on loss or shrinkage of milk in handling and processing.
5. Accounting procedure to be followed in assignment of receipts of skim milk and butterfat to classes of utilization.
6. Modification of the Class I price provisions to neutralize the effect on price level of amendments adopted to accommodate standardization.
7. Incidental and corollary changes.
8. Temporary modification of the Class I pricing provisions to offset artificially induced losses in Class I utilization reflected under the existing accounting procedure when skim milk is used in standardization.
9. Whether there is need for emergency action with respect to temporary modification of the Class I pricing provisions.

This decision deals only with issues No. 8 and No. 9. All of the remaining material issues will be considered in a further decision on the record.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:

8. The computation of the utilization percentages in the Class I-A price formula should be modified on a temporary basis to offset the downward price adjustment reflected through a reduction in the Class I utilization percentages under the existing accounting procedure when skim milk is used in standardizing fluid milk. This may appropriately be accomplished by including, for purposes of computing the Class I utilization percentage each month, the volume of skim milk subject to the skim milk differential which is in excess of such volume for the same month of the preceding year.

The Class I-A price formula provides for the computation of a Class I utilization percentage for each month, which percentage is used as a Class I price adjustment factor. The price is adjusted upward when the proportion of pool milk receipts used in Class I-A and Class I-B increases and is adjusted downward when the proportion of such receipts so used decreases. This is commonly referred to as a supply-demand adjustment. With the advent of milk standardization by New York handlers in recent months the butterfat equivalent accounting procedure for determining Class I-A milk volume under the order no longer provides an adequate measurement of the total volume of milk used in Class I-A.

Under the present accounting procedure the volume of butterfat in Class I-A products is divided by the average butterfat test of milk received from producers to determine the hundredweight of Class I disposition. This procedure was acceptable as long as handlers were required to package the milk received without adjustment of the butterfat content. Since November 1966, New York State has authorized standardization of milk. Standardization has been permitted in New Jersey since mid-1964. This involves changing the average butterfat content by the addition of either skim milk or butterfat. In most instances standardization is done to lower the butterfat content of the finished product. Accordingly, the butterfat equivalent accounting employed under the order no longer accounts for the total volume of milk and skim milk actually used in Class I-A products. Since the actual volume of Class I disposition is relatively unchanged and the skim milk used in standardization is not reflected in the computed utilization percentages the pricing formula currently inappropriately acts to reduce the Class I price level.

Both producers and handlers requested that an emergency temporary amendment be issued to offset the impact of standardization which under the present accounting system results in an apparent reduction in the Class I-A utilization. Such amendment they contended should remain in effect until permanent amendments to fully accommodate skim milk and butterfat accounting can be issued.

The current effect of standardization on the Class I-A price level as reflected through the reduced utilization percentages is substantial. In November 1966, the first month in which standardization was permitted in New York State, there was a sharp reduction in "volume" of Class I-A milk and a very substantial increase in the amount of skim milk subject to the fluid skim differential. During the months of July through October 1966 the volume of Class I-A milk ranged from 2 to 2.5 percent below that of the corresponding months of 1965. However, in November 1966 the volume of Class I-A milk was 5.1 percent below that of November 1965. Milk subject to the fluid skim differential ranged from 3.9 to 4.8 percent of the Class I-A volume during the first 10 months of 1966 while in November and December it was 7.87 and 7.69 percent, respectively, of such volume.

The change in the amount of milk subject to the fluid skim differential is concluded to be a satisfactory temporary measure of the volume of skim milk actually used in standardization. Under the terms of the order the fluid skim differential is applicable to the hundredweight of skim milk, other than that derived from Class I-A or Class I-B milk subject to such class prices, which is disposed of in the marketing area as milk, skim milk, half and half, cultured milk drinks containing 3 percent but not more than 5 percent of butterfat and in other specific disposition of bulk skim milk. Thus, when skim milk is used to standardize milk to a lower butterfat content the volume of skim milk so used is subject to the fluid skim differential.

During the first 10 months of 1966 the amount of skim milk subject to the fluid skim differential averaged 20 million pounds per month and ranged between 17.3 and 22.9 million pounds. In November and December the volume of skim milk subject to the differential was 34.3 and 35.1 million pounds, respectively. Thus, the amount of increase from the 20 million pound average for the first 10 months was 14.3 and 15.1 million pounds in November and December 1966, respectively. The actual volume of skim milk used in standardization for November and December 1966 was 14.3 and 16.4 million pounds, respectively. If such amounts were added to the volume of Class I milk in November and December the utilization percentages would have been 1.7 and 1.9 percent higher, respectively, than the percentages actually used.

The decline in the utilization percentages resulting from standardization is contrary to the intent of the supply-demand adjustment computation in the Clas I-A price. The impact of such reduced percentages in the Class I-A price computation is currently about 9 cents per hundredweight and can be expected to reach about 12 cents in the future months. Such a price change due to a failure of the accounting procedure employed in the order to realistically reflect the actual volume of milk used for Class I-A purposes is unwarranted and if continued could adversely affect the maintenance of an adequate milk supply. Thus, the order should be amended promptly to more accurately reflect the volume of milk utilized in Classes I-A and I-B for purposes of determining the utilization percentages.

The pricing formula provides for the use of the supply-demand experience in the second and third preceding months in constructing the utilization percentage to be applied in determining the current month's Class I price. Hence the full impact of standardization first occurred in the month of February. In this regard, official notice is taken of the suspension action issued January 31, 1967 (32 F.R. 2362), the effect of which was to increase the Class I price by 6 cents in February to offset the adverse effect of standardization on the utilization percentage.

Various methods were proposed for computing the volume of skim milk in standardization. Producer witnesses proposed that handlers be required to report such usage and that the actual amount as determined by the market administrator be included as a Class I disposition for purposes of computing the utilization percentage. Handlers, on the other hand, proposed that that volume of skim milk subject to the fluid skim differential which is in excess of 20 million pounds be added in the computation of the utilization percentage each month. They contended that by using this method the computations would be known in advance to handlers since the total volume of skim milk subject to the differential is reported by handlers and is released by the market administrator each month along with the "uniform price announcement."

It must be recognized that the use of a 20 million pound base each month for the purpose of computing the volume of skim milk used in standardization fails to reflect variations in the volume of skim milk subject to the differential resulting from variation in number of days in the month and from seasonality. In 1966 the volume of skim milk subject to the differential was 1.9 million pounds less in February (28 days) than in January (31 days) while the daily average volume was slightly greater during February than in January. The seasonal variation in the volume of skim milk subject to the differential is about 20 percent. In 1965 the volume of skim milk subject to the differential declined 22.6 percent from May to August and in 1966 it declined 18.3 percent from May to July.

With seasonal and monthly variations of such magnitude in the volume of skim milk subject to the differential the use of the 20 million pounds per month figure would necessarily inject considerable bias in the computation. Also, since the utilization percentages for the identical months of the preceding 2 years are employed in calculating the trend in utilization it is important that monthly and seasonal variations be reflected when determining the current volume of skim milk to be included in the computations.

The use of actual amounts of skim milk disposed of through standardization would be most appropriate if it were available. Handlers, however, have not been accustomed to reporting such data to the market administrator. Handlers are required to report the volume of skim milk subject to the differential, but many of them do not report the added details as to the various uses of the skim milk which would be needed to determine that portion which was used in standardization. To obtain this information on a current basis would impose an extra burden on handlers and the market administrator which need not be incurred pending consideration of a completely revised basis of the accounting procedure. The actual amounts of skim milk used in standardization placed in the record for the months of November and December 1966, were estimates of the market administrator based on handler reports and telephone inquiries of the nature of the use of skim milk subject to the differential.

The shortcomings of either of the above methods for determining the volume of skim milk used in standardization can be overcome by using the volume of skim milk subject to the differential which is in excess of such volume which was subject to the differential in the corresponding month of the period November 1965, through October 1966. This procedure would appropriately reflect the monthly and seasonal variations in the amount of skim milk subject to the differential and also result in a monthly volume to be added to

Class I milk which would be representative of the amount of skim milk actually used in standardization. Such amount can be determined from the regular "uniform price announcements" and thereby does not require extra reporting or impose an administrative burden. This method of determining the volume to be added to the amount of Class I-A and Class I-B milk in the computation of the utilization percentage was suggested by the cooperatives in their briefs as an alternative to the above methods. In principle it is the same method as proposed by handlers.

To appropriately reflect the impact of standardization in the computation of Class I-A price beginning with the effective date of this proposed amendment it is necessary to employ the above procedure in recomputing utilization percentages for each month beginning with November 1966, when such monthly utilization percentage is employed in the computation.

In view of the foregoing consideration, it is concluded that the computation of the utilization percentages in Class I-A pricing provisions of the order should be amended to provide that for the months beginning with November 1966, there shall be added to the volume of milk in Class I-A and Class I-B the pounds of skim milk subject to the fluid skim differential in excess of that volume subject to the differential for the corresponding month of the previous year.

Other proposed temporary amendments to the order should not be adopted. Cooperative associations proposed that the amount of the fluid skim differential be increased pending amendment of the order pursuant to the other issues on the record. Also, if such proposals were denied, that such differential be increased insofar as it applies to skim milk used in standardization.

The fluid skim differential is based on the difference between the Class I-A and Class II prices. The cooperatives proposed that such differential be based on the difference between the Class I-A and Class III prices. The cooperatives contended that the current amount of the differential is unrealistically low, particularly as it applies to skim milk used in standardization. The present prescribed application of the fluid skim differential presumes that the butterfat portion of the milk is used in Class II. Adoption of the proponent's proposal necessarily assumes that the butterfat is used in Class III.

There is no basis on this record for concluding that in any circumstances in which skim milk is used in standardization the butterfat associated with such skim milk is used in Class III. The adoption of such proposal could substantially modify the cost to handlers for milk used in many products. Such costs would vary among handlers depending on whether they had Class II or Class III utilization. The impact of this proposal would in effect alter the price to handlers for the skim milk and butterfat components of various milk products.

Other proposals on the record not here considered and which relate to skim milk and butterfat accounting, classification, and butterfat differentials also would change handlers cost of the skim milk and butterfat components of various milk products. In fact, adoption of such proposals would in effect be an alternative to the use of a fluid skim differential provision. Such issues are inextricably associated with the fluid skim differential proposals. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to act on these proposals in the absence of consideration on such other proposals at the same time. Under the circumstances it is concluded that no action should be taken to provide a change in the fluid skim differential pending consideration of the remaining issues on the record.

9. The due and timely execution of the function of the Secretary under the Act imperatively and unavoidably requires the omission of a recommended decision and opportunity for exceptions thereto on Issue No. 8. The conditions in the market are such that it is urgent that remedial action be taken as soon as possible. Any delay in implementing the conclusions made will tend to make ineffective the relief sought. The time necessarily involved in the preparation, filing and publication of a recommended decision and the filing of exceptions thereto would in this instance result in an inappropriate reduction in the level of the Class I price and it would contribute to the downward trend in milk production and thereby threaten the maintenance of an adequate supply of milk for the market.

The notice of hearing stated that consideration would be given to the economic and emergency marketing conditions relating to this proposed amendment. Action under the procedure described above was requested by representatives of both producers and handlers at the hearing.

It is, therefore, found that good cause exists for omission of the recommended decision and opportunity for filing exceptions thereto.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. Briefs and proposed findings and conclusions were filed on behalf of certain interested parties. These briefs, proposed findings and conclusions and the evidence in the record were considered in making the findings and conclusions set forth above. To the extent that the suggested findings and conclusions filed by interested parties are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the requests to make such findings or to reach such conclusions are denied for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

General findings. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Marketing agreement and order. Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled, respectively, "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Area" and "Order Amending the Order, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Area," which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions.

It is hereby ordered, That all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order, as hereby proposed to be amended by the attached order which will be published with this decision.

Determination of representative period. The month of October 1966 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the purpose of ascertaining whether the issuance of the attached order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, regulating the handling of milk in the New York-New Jersey milk marketing area is approved or favored by producers, as defined under the terms of the order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, and who, during such representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale within the aforesaid marketing area.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 23, 1967.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

Order[1] Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Milk in the New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Area

§ 1002.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and

[1] This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been met.

in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the New York-New Jersey milk marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the New York-New Jersey milk marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended and as hereby further amended, as follows:

In § 1002.40 paragraph (a)(3) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1002.40 Class prices.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(3) For each month during the 3-year period ending with the second preceding month, calculate to one decimal place the percentage that the total volume of milk in Class I-A and Class I-B was of the total volume of reported receipts of pool milk (these percentages to be referred to as utilization percentages): *Provided,* That for the purpose of computing such utilization percentage each month there shall be added to the volume of milk in Class I-A and Class I-B the pounds of skim milk subject to the fluid skim differential which is in excess of that volume subject to the differential for the corresponding month of the period November 1965, through October 1966.

* * * * *

For this purpose the utilization percentages for the months of November 1966, to the effective date of this order shall be recomputed on this same basis.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2238; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Labor-Management and Welfare-Pension Reports

[29 CFR Part 465]

UNITED STATES SAVINGS AND LOAN LEAGUE

Notice of Proposed Exemption From Bonding Requirements

Pursuant to section 13(e) of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act (76 Stat. 39) and the provisions of 29 CFR Part 465, notice is hereby given that an exemption from the bonding requirements of section 13 of the Act is proposed to be granted to petitioner, the United States Savings & Loan League, on behalf of certain savings and loan associations which maintain welfare and pension benefit plans for their employees.

The petition requests that savings and loan associations insured by the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation be exempted from the requirements of section 13 of the Act under the authority of section 13(e). Section 13 requires administrators, officers and employees of welfare or pension benefit plans covered by the Act to be bonded in an amount of not less than 10 percent of the amount of plan funds handled by the particular administrator, officer or employee. Section 13(e) of the Act provides that when, in the opinion of the Secretary of Labor, the administrator of a plan offers adequate evidence of the financial responsibility of the plan, or that other bonding arrangements would provide adequate protection of the beneficiaries or participants, he may exempt such plan from the requirements of section 13.

In effect, the petition asserts that rigorous standards of financial responsibility are required of savings and loan associations subject to applicable Federal law, and that the continual maintenance of these standards is assured by the nature of the supervision undertaken by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board. The petition also asserts that such savings and loan associations maintain adequate fidelity bonding coverage subject to examination and review by Federal supervisory authorities. In addition, it is stated that the factors which form the basis for the Department of Labor's ruling exempting banking institutions from the scope of the bonding regulations, with respect to covered plans for the benefit of their own employees (see 29 CFR 465.19 and 465.20), form an equally sound basis for granting the requested exemption.

Upon consideration of the petition filed, I find that adequate evidence has been presented as to the financial responsibility of savings and loan associations subject to Federal law and supervision by Federal agencies.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority of section 13(e) of the Act, Secretary's Order No. 24-63 (28 F.R. 9172), Secretary's Order No. 25-63 (28 F.R. 9173) and 29 CFR 465.1 to 465.11, I propose to amend Title 29 CFR, Chapter IV, Subchapter B, Part 465 by adding new §§ 465.21 and 465.22 to Subpart B, to read as follows:

SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS SUBJECT TO FEDERAL REGULATION

Sec.
465.21 Exemption.
465.22 Conditions of exemption.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 465.21 and 465.22 issued under sec. 13(e), 76 Stat. 39; 29 U.S.C. 308d; Secretary's Order No. 24-63, 28 F.R. 9172; Secretary's Order No. 25-63, 28 F.R. 9173; 29 CFR 465.1-465.11.

§ 465.21 Exemption.

An exemption from the bonding requirements of subsections 13 (a) and (b) of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act is granted whereby savings and loan associations (including building and loan associations, cooperative banks and homestead associations) specified in § 465.22 are not required to comply with subsections 13 (a) and (b) of the Act, with respect to welfare and pension benefit plans covered by the Act for the benefit of their own employees, where such a savings and loan association is the administrator of such plans.

§ 465.22 Conditions of exemption.

This exemption applies only to those savings and loan associations (including building and loan associations, cooperative banks and homestead associations) subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 465.6, interested persons are invited within 15 days from the date of publication of the proposed exemption in the FEDERAL REGISTER to file with the Director, Office of Labor-Management and Welfare-Pension Reports, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210, objections thereto. Such objections shall be in writing and shall show wherein the person will be adversely affected by the proposed exemption and specify with particularity the provisions of the proposed exemption deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in triplicate.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 17th day of February 1967.

JAMES J. REYNOLDS,
Labor-Management Services Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2179; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

[29 CFR Part 1602]

APPRENTICESHIP AND LABOR ORGANIZATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Notice of Change in Date of Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the date of the public hearing on the proposed Apprenticeship Information Report EEO-2 and the proposed Labor Organization Information Report EEO-3 has been changed from March 7, 1967, to March 21, 1967. The hearing will be conducted by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on Tuesday, March 21, 1967, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in the auditorium, first floor, north entrance, Museum of History and Technology, Smithsonian Institution, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The public hearing, required by section 709(c), Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964, will concern: (1) The proposed information report form which all joint labor-management apprenticeship committees, and employers and labor organizations in the construction industry operating apprenticeship programs other than joint labor-management programs, will be required to file with the Commission or its delegate on or before August 31, 1967, and annually thereafter, provided they are subject to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; (2) the proposed information report form which all labor organizations subject to Title VII will be required to file with the Commission or its delegate on or before October 31, 1967, and annually thereafter; and (3) proposed regulations relating to the making, keeping, and preservation of membership, job referral and apprenticeship program records and the filing of reports therefrom, by the joint apprenticeship committees, employers, and labor organizations referred to above.

Interested persons are invited to participate in, and to present evidence, views and arguments with respect to the proposed forms and regulations at the hearing on March 21, 1967. Pertinent statements from interested persons not desiring to participate in the hearing may be submitted in writing to the Director, Office of Research and Reports, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Washington, D.C. 20506, at any time prior to 5:30 p.m., e.s.t., March 20, 1967.

Copies of the proposed apprenticeship information report form, tentatively entitled "EEO-2," and of the proposed labor organization information report form, tentatively entitled "EEO-3," are available for inspection at the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives Building, Washington, D.C., or at the Office of Research and Reports, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 1800 G Street NW., Room 1216, Washington, D.C. 20506.

The earlier notice of the public hearing was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Tuesday, February 14, 1967, 32 F.R. 2852-2860. It contained descriptions of the proposed report forms, the proposed instructions, and the proposed rules and regulations.

STEPHEN N. SHULMAN,
Chairman.

FEBRUARY 24, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2252; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-CE-1]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter controlled airspace in the Grand Island, Nebr., terminal area.

(1) The Grand Island, Nebr., control zone is designated as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Grand Island Municipal Airport (latitude 40°58'04" N., longitude 98°18'51" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the Grand Island VORTAC 360° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 8 miles N of the VORTAC and within 2 miles each side of the Grand Island VORTAC 306° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 8 miles NW of the VORTAC, and within 2 miles each side of the Grand Island VORTAC 180° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 7 miles S of the VORTAC.

(2) The Grand Island, Nebr., transition area is designated as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of the Grand Island Municipal Airport (latitude 40°58'04" N., longitude 98°18'51" W.); and within 5 miles E and 8 miles W of the Grand Island VORTAC 360° radial extending from the 8-mile radius to a point 12 miles N of the VORTAC; and within 5 miles NE and 8 miles SW of the Grand Island VORTAC 304° radial extending from the 8-mile radius to a point 12 miles NW of the VORTAC; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 5 miles E and 8 miles W of the Grand Island VORTAC 360° radial extending from 12 miles N of the VORTAC to the S edge of V-172; and within 5 miles E of the Grand Island VORTAC 180° radial and 5 miles W of the Grand Island VORTAC 201° radial bounded on the N by the arc of an 8-mile radius circle centered on the Grand Island Municipal Airport, bounded on the S by a line 5 miles NW of and parallel to the Hastings VOR 066° radial, bounded on the W by a line 5 miles NE of and parallel to the Hastings VOR 338° radial.

Instrument approach procedures for Grand Island Municipal Airport have now been revised to utilize the DME capabilities of the Grand Island VORTAC, as a navigational aid, with the result that presently designated airspace at Grand Island, Nebr., does not adequately provide controlled airspace protection for the revised instrument approach procedures.

In consideration of the foregoing, and as the result of a comprehensive review of the airspace structural requirements at Grand Island, Nebr., the Federal Aviation Agency proposes to modify the control zone and transition area at Grand Island, Nebr., as follows:

(1) Redesignate the Grand Island, Nebr., control zone as the airspace within a 5-mile radius of Grand Island Municipal Airport (latitude 40°58'03" N., longitude 98°18'51" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Grand Island VORTAC 304° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles NW of the VORTAC; within 2 miles each side of the Grand Island VORTAC 360° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles N of the VORTAC; within 2 miles W of the Grand Island VORTAC 180° radial clockwise to 2 miles E of the Grand Island VORTAC 179° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles S of the VORTAC; and within 2 miles each side of the Grand Island VORTAC 002° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 5 miles N of the VORTAC.

(2) Redesignate the Grand Island, Nebr., transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of Grand Island Municipal Airport (latitude 40°58'03" N., longitude 98°18'51" W.); within 8 miles SW and 5 miles NE of the Grand Island VORTAC 304° radial, extending from the 8-mile radius area to 12 miles NW of the VORTAC; and within 8 miles W and 5 miles E of the Grand Island VORTAC 360° radial, extending from the 8-mile radius area to 12 miles N of the VORTAC; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 17-mile radius of Grand Island VORTAC, extending clockwise from the Grand Island VORTAC 241° radial to the Grand Island VORTAC 084° radial; within a 20-mile radius of the Grand Island VORTAC, extending from a line 5 miles N of and parallel to the Grand Island VORTAC 084° radial clockwise to a line 5 miles NW of and parallel to the Grand Island VORTAC 241° radial; and within 5 miles E and 7 miles W of the Grand Island VORTAC 002° radial, extending from the 17-mile radius area to the S edge of V-219 and V-172, excluding the portion which overlies the Hastings, Nebr., transition area.

The proposed control zone will provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing prescribed arrival and departure procedures at Grand Island Municipal Airport during descent from 1,000 feet above the surface and during climb to 700 feet above the surface.

The proposed 700-foot floor transition area will provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing the prescribed instrument approach and departure procedures during descent from 1,500 to 1,000 feet above the surface and during climb from 700 to 1,200 feet above the surface. The 1,200-foot floor transition area will provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing the prescribed instrument approach procedures during the portion of those procedures executed at and above 1,500 feet above the surface. It will also provide this protection for aircraft holding at the Grand Island VOR and for radar vector patterns.

The floors of the airways that traverse the transition area proposed herein will automatically coincide with the floors of the transition area.

Since the action proposed herein was developed to provide controlled airspace protection for revised instrument approach procedures, no procedural changes will be effected.

Specific details of this proposal and the procedures which it was developed to protect may be examined by contacting the Chief, Standards and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on February 13, 1967.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2161; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 67-SW-7]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the Brownsville, Tex., control zone and the Brownsville, Tex., transition area.

The reasons for alteration of the controlled airspace are the decommissioning of the Brownsville RBN and to conform with present criteria.

The Brownsville, Tex., control zone is presently designated as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Rio Grande Valley International Airport (latitude 25°54'25" N., longitude 97°25'25" W.); within 2 miles each side of the 344° bearing from latitude 25°56'50" N., longitude 97°26'15" W., extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles N of latitude 25°56'50" N., longitude 97°26'15" W., and within 2 miles each side of the Brownsville VORTAC 071° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 7 miles E of the VORTAC, excluding the portion outside the United States.

The Brownsville, Tex., transition area is presently designated as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Rio Grande Valley International Airport (latitude 25°54'25" N., longitude 97°25'25" W.), within 2 miles each side of the Brownsville ILS localizer N course extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles N of the OM, within 2 miles each side of the Brownsville VORTAC 071° radial extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles E of the VORTAC, and within 2 miles each side of the 344° bearing from latitude 25°56'50" N., longitude 97°26'15" W., extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles N of latitude 25°56'50" N., longitude 97°26'15" W., excluding the portion outside the United States; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within the area bounded by a line beginning at latitude 26°30'00" N., longitude 98°18'00" W.; to latitude 26°51'00" N., longitude 97°58'30" W.; to latitude 26°50'00" N., longitude 97°51'00" W.; thence NE to a point 3 nautical miles from the shoreline at latitude 27°11'20" N.; thence 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline south to the United States/Mexican border, thence W via the United States/Mexican border to longitude 98°25'00" W.; thence N via longitude 98°25'00" W., to latitude 26°17'00" N., longitude 98°25'00" W.; to point of beginning.

It is proposed to redesignate the Brownsville, Tex., control zone as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Rio Grande Valley International Airport (latitude 25°54'25" N., longitude 97°25'25" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the Brownsville VORTAC 071° (062° magnetic) radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles east of the VORTAC, excluding the portion outside the United States.

It is proposed to redesignate the Brownsville, Tex., transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Rio Grande Valley International Airport (latitude 25°54'25" N., longitude 97°25'25" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the Brownsville ILS localizer N course extending from the 7-mile radius area to 8 miles N of the OM, excluding the portion outside the United States; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within the area bounded by a line beginning at latitude 26°30'00" N., longitude 98°18'00" W.; to latitude 26°51'00" N., longitude 97°58'30" W.; to latitude 26°50'00" N., longitude 97°51'00" W.; thence NE to a point 3 nautical miles from the shoreline at latitude 27°11'20" N., thence 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline south to the United States/Mexican border, thence W via the United States/Mexican border to longitude 98°25'00" W.; thence N via longitude 98°25'00" W., to latitude 26°17'00" N., longitude 98°25'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Agency, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Agency, Fort Worth, Tex. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Air Traffic Division.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on February 14, 1967.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2162; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[Antidumping—ATS 643.3-b]

PIG IRON FROM CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Withholding of Appraisement Notice

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

Pursuant to section 201(b) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(b)), notice is hereby given that there are reasonable grounds to believe or suspect, from information presented to me, that the purchase price of pig iron from Czechoslovakia is less, or likely to be less, than the constructed value as defined, respectively, in sections 203 and 206 of that Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 162 and 165).

Customs officers are being directed to withhold appraisement in accordance with the provisions of § 14.9(a) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 14.9(a)), of all importations of pig iron from Czechoslovakia subject to this order which are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The information alleging that the merchandise under consideration was being sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act was received in proper form on January 31, 1967. Pursuant to § 14.6(d), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 14.6(d)), an "Antidumping Proceeding Notice" pertaining to this merchandise was published on page 2901 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 15, 1967.

This notice is published pursuant to § 14.6(e) of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 14.6(e)).

[SEAL] LESTER D. JOHNSON,
Commissioner of Customs.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2205; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

UNIFORM QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

Notice of Revised Specifications for Uniform Items for Motor Vehicle Employees

The Post Office Uniform Quality Control Office, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, has revised specifications for uniform items for Motor Vehicle employees. Specifications for these newly revised items have been issued to the uniform industry. Requirements covering these items and the effective dates for wear and reimbursement are specified below:

I. *Uniform items.* Only authorized and specified fabrics in color Post Office Blue 5000 shall be used in the manufacture of items A through E.

A. *Jacket.* PODUQC—No. 28: The color of the sleeve braid shall be ultramarine Blue Cable No. 65010.

B. *Trousers.* PODUQC—No. 30: There shall be no braid or reinforcing patches on these trousers.

C. *Cap.* PODUQC—No. 33: This cap must be manufactured in accordance with the requirements in POD Bulletin No. 22, item b, as follows:

(b) *Cap for Motor Vehicle Service Craft:*
1. Cover—Post Office Blue 5000, uniform fabric.
2. Chinstrap—Black extruded vinyl strap.
3. Braid—Ultramarine Blue No. 65010, flat rayon braid.
4. One (1) Round Badge Eyelet—Set centered 1¾ inches above top of band in bevel of cap at front.

D. *Surcoat.* PODUQC—No. 29: The color of the sleeve braid shall be Ultramarine Blue Cable No. 65010.

E. *Sweater.* PODUQC—No. 34: Type I—Lightweight, type II—heavyweight.

F. *Shirts:* These shirts shall be manufactured in the same color (Post Office Blue 5001), fabric and specifications worn by all uniformed categories.

G. *Ties:* 1. Specifications manufactured in accordance with specifications appearing in PODUQC—No. 7.

2. Color—Ultramarine Blue Cable No. 65010.

H. *Belt.* PODUQC—No. 32: Black leather. Type II, 1¼ inch minimum.

II. *Insignia for shirts, jackets, surcoats.* A. Color shall be white letter, border, and figures on Ultramarine Blue Cable No. 65010 background.

B. Sleeve Insignia. Post Office Department embroidered circular insignia with horse in forward motion, and arc tab "Motor Vehicle Service," worn at shoulder of left sleeve.

C. Breast Insignia. Stitched ½ inch and centered above pockets. Rectangular embroidered insignia—"U.S. Mail" above right breast pocket, "Vehicle Service" above left breast pocket.

III. *Effective dates.* Effective April 1, 1967, items manufactured in accordance with the above specifications are authorized for wear. Reimbursement is authorized for purchases made on and after April 1, 1967.

Until July 31, 1967, motor vehicle employees may continue to purchase and be reimbursed for uniform items which conformed to the specifications in effect prior to issuance of these new specifications. On and after August 1, 1967, reimbursement shall be made only for uniform items manufactured in conformity with the new specifications and color.

IV. *Wearout period.* Uniform items which conform to the prior specifications may continue to be worn until no longer serviceable.

V. *Quality control provisions.* Employees are reminded that the Quality Control certificate number must be used on a label attached to each garment indicating that the garment has been manufactured in accordance with the new specifications.

VI. *Shoes for motor vehicle employees.* It is reiterated that in the case of shoe purchases only safety-toe shoes are reimbursable for Motor Vehicle Employees.

VII. *Liners.* Effective immediately lining not physically attached to the outer garment is not a uniform item and is not reimbursable. Liners with front zipper will no longer be a reimbursable item. Only liners which zip into the jacket without a self-closing front zipper, manufactured in accordance with POD Bulletin No. 26, are reimbursable.

VIII. *Raingear.* New specifications for raingear are not yet available. Therefore, employees are authorized to purchase and be reimbursed for the presently authorized raingear.

(5 U.S.C. 301, 31 U.S.C. 686, 39 U.S.C. 501, 3116)

TIMOTHY J. MAY,
General Counsel.

FEBRUARY 23, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2181; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Montana 1374]

MONTANA

Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

1. In an exchange of lands under the provisions of section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1272), as amended June 26, 1936 (49 Stat. 1976; 43 U.S.C. 315g), the following lands have been reconveyed to the United States:

PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, MONTANA

T. 12 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 34, W½SE¼.

The area described contains 80 acres.

2. The land is located approximately 27 miles southeast of Missoula, Mont., in the southeastern portion of Missoula County. The tract consists of 80 acres of cutover timberland. Timber species include Douglas fir, ponderosa pine, lark, and lodgepole pine. The soil is shallow, rocky loam. The terrain is steeply sloping.

3. Subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and

the requirements of applicable laws, the lands are hereby opened to application, petition, location, and selection including location under the U.S. mining laws. The mineral rights are subject to a reservation in favor of Missoula County of 6¼ percent royalty in the oil, gas, and minerals produced and saved from the above-described land. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m., on March 28, 1967, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

4. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Land Office Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Billings, Mont. 59101.

EUGENE H. NEWELL,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2199; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

Fish and Wildlife Service

MALHEUR LAKE AND HARNEY LAKE WILDERNESS STUDY AREAS

Notice of Public Hearing Regarding Wilderness Study

Notice is hereby given in accordance with provisions of the Wilderness Act of September 3, 1964 (P.L. 88-577; 78 Stat. 890, 892; 16 U.S.C. 1131, 1132), that a public hearing will be held beginning at 9 a.m. on May 2, 1967, in the courtroom, Harney County District Court House, Burns, Oreg., on a proposal leading to a recommendation to be made to the President of the United States by the Secretary of the Interior regarding the desirability of including the Malheur Lake and Harney Lake Wilderness study areas in the National Wilderness Preservation System. The units consists of approximately 48,317 and 30,117 acres respectively within Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, and are located in Harney County, State of Oregon.

A brochure containing a map and information about the Malheur Lake and Harney Lake Wilderness study areas may be obtained from the Refuge Manager, Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, Post Office Box 113, Burns, Oreg. 97720, or the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Post Office Box 3737, Portland, Oreg. 97208.

Individuals or organizations may express their oral or written views by appearing at this hearing, or they may submit written comments for inclusion in the official record to the Regional Director at the above address by May 2, 1967.

ABRAM V. TUNISON,
Deputy Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

FEBRUARY 24, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2213; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:50 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

ELLERTON E. WALL

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past 6 months:

(1) None.
(2) Standard Oil Company of California, 2,215 shares. Tenneco—Sold all shares.
(3) None.
(4) None.

This statement is made as of February 9, 1967.

Dated: February 23, 1967.

E. E. WALL.

FEBRUARY 9, 1967.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2178; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

MAMMOTH SPRING LIVESTOCK AUCTION CO. ET AL.

Deposting of Stockyards

It has been ascertained, and notice is hereby given, that the livestock markets named herein, originally posted on the respective dates specified below as being subject to the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), no longer come within the definition of a stockyard under said Act and are, therefore no longer subject to the provisions of the Act.

Name, location of stockyard, and date of posting

Mammoth Spring Livestock Auction Co., Mammoth Spring, Ark., June 29, 1957.
Winamac Sale Barn, Winamac, Ind., June 16, 1959.
Charles City Livestock Exchange, Charles City, Iowa, Mar. 5, 1957.
Lawn Hill Livestock Auction, Lawn Hill, Iowa, May 16, 1959.
Chuck Vawter Sales Management Service, Inc., Oakley, Kans., May 15, 1964.
Somerville Auction Sales, Somerville, Ohio, June 16, 1959.
Trinity County Auction, Groveton, Tex., Sept. 29, 1966.
North Houston Auction Division of the Port City Stockyards, Houston, Tex., Oct. 18, 1962.

Notice or other public procedure has not preceded promulgation of the foregoing rule since it is found that the giving of such notice would prevent the due and timely administration of the Packers and Stockyards Act and would, therefore, be impracticable and contrary to the public interest. There is no legal warrant or justification for not deposting promptly a stockyard which is no longer within the definition of that term contained in the Act.

The foregoing is in the nature of a rule granting an exception on relieving a restriction and, therefore, may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. This notice shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(42 Stat. 159, as amended and supplemented; 7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.)

Done at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of February 1967.

CHARLES G. CLEVELAND,
Chief, Registrations, Bonds, and Reports Branch, Packers and Stockyards Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2194; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

PARADISE VALLEY AUCTION ET AL.

Posted Stockyards

Pursuant to the authority delegated under the Packers and Stockyards Act of 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), on the respective dates specified below it was ascertained that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 202), and notice was given to the owners and to the public by posting notice at the stockyards as required by said section 302.

Name, location of stockyard, and date of posting

ARIZONA

Paradise Valley Auction, Phoenix, Jan. 26, 1967.

IOWA

Tri-State Livestock Auction Company, Sioux Center, Jan. 25, 1967.

MARYLAND

Barcus Livestock Sales, Centreville, Dec. 15, 1966.

TENNESSEE

Hartsville Livestock Market, Hartsville, Jan. 21, 1967.

TEXAS

Havard's Horse Sale, Nacogdoches, Feb. 7, 1967.

WASHINGTON

Chehalis Livestock Market, Chehalis, Jan. 28, 1967.
Tacoma Livestock Market, Spanaway, Jan. 28, 1967.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 16th day of February, 1967.

CHARLES G. CLEVELAND,
Chief, Registrations, Bonds and Reports Branch, Packers and Stockyards Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2195; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

ASSISTANT REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR AND DEPUTY ASSISTANT REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR FOR HOUSING ASSISTANCE; REGION VI (SAN FRANCISCO)

Redelegations of Authority With Respect to College Housing Loan Program and Program of Loans for Housing for Elderly or Handicapped; Revocations

FEBRUARY 23, 1967.

SECTION A. *Authority redelegated to Assistant Regional Administrator for Housing Assistance and Deputy Assistant Regional Administrator for Housing Assistance.* The Assistant Regional Administrator for Housing Assistance and the Deputy Assistant Regional Administrator for Housing Assistance, Region VI (San Francisco), each is hereby authorized to exercise the power and authority of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development described below with respect to the following programs:

1. College Housing Loan Program under Title IV of the Housing Act of 1950, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1749–1749c):

a. To execute loan agreements involving loans for student and/or faculty housing and for other educational facilities.

b. To execute amendments or modifications of such loan agreements.

2. Program of Loans for Housing for the Elderly or Handicapped under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701q):

a. To execute loan agreements and regulatory agreements and amendments or modifications of loan agreements and regulatory agreements.

b. To execute amendments or modifications of notes, mortgages, and other collateral security instruments.

SEC. B. *Revocations.* The redelegations of authority to the Regional Director of Community Facilities with respect to these programs effective July 19, 1960, and July 15, 1964 (25 F.R. 7111, July 27, 1960, and 30 F.R. 9337, July 27, 1965, as corrected 30 F.R. 9893, Aug. 7, 1965), are hereby revoked as of the date of publication of this document in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Redelegations of authority by Assistant Secretary for Renewal and Housing Assistance effective July 1, 1966 (31 F.R. 8969, June 29, 1966), as amended effective Nov. 9, 1966 (31 F.R. 16375, Dec. 22, 1966))

Effective date. The redelegations of authority in section A shall be effective as of November 9, 1966.

ROBERT B. PITTS,
Regional Administrator, Region VI.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2206; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 16766; Order E-24779]

NORTH CENTRAL AIRLINES, INC.

Order Granting Motion for Expedited Action

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 23d day of February 1967.

Application of North Central Airlines, Inc., for amendment of its certificate of public convenience and necessity; Docket 16766.

There is pending before the Board a motion by North Central Airlines, Inc. (North Central), for an expedited hearing on the carrier's application in Docket 16766 in which North Central seeks authority between the terminal point of Sioux Falls, S. Dak., the intermediate point Rochester, Minn., and the terminal point, Chicago, Ill. Answers opposing North Central's motion have been filed by Northwest Airlines, Inc. (Northwest), and Ozark Air Lines, Inc. (Ozark). Answers or motions supporting expedited consideration of North Central's application have been filed by the cities of Pierre, Sioux Falls, Huron, and Rapid City, S. Dak., the city of Rochester, Minn., and the North Dakota Aeronautics Commission.

Upon consideration of the matters set forth in the pleadings we have decided to grant expedited consideration to North Central's application. North Central's application holds sufficient promise of service improvement and subsidy reduction to warrant expedited consideration under the Board's standards for determining priorities of hearing.[1]

The new Sioux Falls-Rochester-Chicago route which North Central seeks would permit the carrier to offer improved service in a number of markets. North Central presently has authority to operate between Sioux Falls and a number of points in the Dakotas and proposes to provide a substantial amount of single-plane service between eight of these points[2] and Rochester/Chicago if it is granted the authority it requests in its application. None of these eight Dakota points presently has single-plane service to Rochester, and only two of these eight Dakota points presently receive single-plane service to Chicago.[3]

Additionally, North Central estimates that the service it proposes would reduce its subsidy need by $64,300 in 1967 and the carrier states that it is willing to operate the new route on a subsidy-ineligible basis. Although Northwest, in its answer, criticizes North Central's estimates of both revenues and expenses, a preliminary staff analysis indicates that North Central's route proposal holds sufficient promise of subsidy reduction to warrant expedited consideration.[4]

Since a subsidy reduction is indicated from North Central's proposed service, and since North Central is willing to take the new route on a subsidy-ineligible basis, the Board will not consider granting subsidy eligibility for any new authority awarded in this proceeding.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. That North Central's motion for expedited hearing on its application in Docket 16766 be and it hereby is granted;

2. That any authority awarded in this proceeding shall be ineligible for subsidy;

3. That this proceeding shall be set down for hearing before an Examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

4. That a copy of this order shall be served upon North Central, Northwest, Ozark, the cities of Bismarck and Minot, N. Dak., the cities of Pierre, Mitchell, Sioux Falls, Aberdeen, Huron, and Rapid City, S. Dak., the city of Rochester, Minn., the city of Chicago, Ill., the North Dakota Aeronautics Commission and the South Dakota Aeronautics Commission.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2201; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[1] See § 399.60 of the Board's policy statements.

[2] Aberdeen, Bismarck, Huron, Minot, Mitchell, Pierre, Rapid City, and Sioux Falls.

[3] Bismarck presently receives single-plane service to Chicago from Northwest and Sioux Falls presently receives single-plane service to Chicago from Ozark.

[4] In reaching this conclusion we have considered Ozark's contention that North Central would divert traffic from it and that reductions in North Central's need for subsidy would be offset by increases in Ozark's need for subsidy. In its answer, Ozark did not submit a diversion estimate and our preliminary analysis indicates that the diversion is not likely to be of such a magnitude as would warrant a denial of expedited consideration. In any event, if it so desires, Ozark will have ample opportunity to prove its case on the record in this proceeding.

[Docket No. 18174]

SOCIEDAD AERONAUTICA DE MEDELLIN CONSOLIDADA, S.A. SAM

Notice of Prehearing Conference

Application for renewal of the foreign air carrier permit of Sociedad Aeronautica de Medellin Consolidada, S.A. SAM so as to authorize it to engage in foreign air transportation with respect to property and mail in scheduled and nonscheduled operations between a point or points in Colombia and the terminal point Miami, Fla.

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on March 21, 1967, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in Room 211, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Walter W. Bryan.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 21, 1967.

[SEAL] THOMAS L. WRENN,
Associate Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2202; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

CITY OF ANCHORAGE AND SEA-LAND SERVICE, INC.

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609; or may inspect agreements at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 15 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter), and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed for approval by:

J. Scot Provan, Terminal and Fleet Streets, Post Office Box 1050, Elizabeth, N.J. 07207.

Agreement No. T-1685-2 between the city of Anchorage (City) and Sea-Land Service, Inc. (Sea-Land), modifies the basic agreement which provides for the lease and preferential use of berth space and transit shed at Anchorage, Alaska. The purpose of the modification is to:

(1) Increase the number of preferential berthing assignments per calendar year to Sea-Land;

(2) Provide that Sea-Land give the City 30 days advance notice of its sailing schedule. Upon completion of berth No. 2 or August 31, 1967, whichever is earlier, advance notice shall be reduced to 15 days;

(3) Provide that Sea-Land make every effort to vacate the berth within 44, rather than 54, hours after arrival and mooring;

(4) Provide for deference by Sea-Land to vessels of the Alaska State Ferry System; and

(5) Provide for an increase in revenue payments to the City.

Dated: February 23, 1967.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2196; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

MARSEILLES NORTH ATLANTIC U.S.A. FREIGHT POOL

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609; or may inspect agreements at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed for approval by:

Mr. Guy L. Retournat, Secretary, Marseilles North Atlantic U.S.A. Freight Pool Agreement, 10, Place de la Joliette, Marseilles 2, France.

Agreement 9361-1, between the carriers parties to the Marseilles North Atlantic U.S.A. Freight Pool (Agreement 9361) modifies the basic agreement (1) to provide for the division of net freight revenue instead of gross freight revenue; (2) to amend Article 4(h) to provide that reefer cargo will contribute to pool 50 percent of net revenue after deduction of carrying money; (3) to provide in Article 8 for the deduction of carrying money from freight earnings prior to crediting them to the pool common fund; (4) by the addition to Article 18 of a provision to institute, cancel or modify carrying money.

Dated: February 23, 1967.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2197; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

PACIFIC FAR EAST LINE, INC., AND MICRONESIAN LINE

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609; or may inspect agreements at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed for approval by:

Mr. Mark P. Schlefer, Kominers and Fort, Tower Building, 1401 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Agreement 9621, between Pacific Far East Line, Inc. (PFEL) and Micronesian Line (ML), covers the transportation of cargo under through bills of lading between Pacific Coast ports of the United States and Hawaii served by PFEL and ports in the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands served by ML with transshipment at Guam, Marianas Islands, under terms and conditions set forth in the agreement.

Dated: February 23, 1967.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2198; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

NURSES, DETROIT, MICH.

Notice of Adjustment of Minimum Rates and Rate Ranges

1. Under authority of 5 U.S.C. 5303 and E.O. 11073 the Civil Service Commission has increased the minimum rates and rate ranges for positions of Nurse, GS-610-4 and 5, and Clinical Nurse (all specializations) GS-610-6 and 7. The revised rate ranges are:

PER ANNUM RATES

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
GS-4	$5,736	$5,896	$6,056	$6,216	$6,376	$6,536	$6,696	$6,856	$7,016	$7,176
GS-5	6,211	6,387	6,563	6,739	6,915	7,091	7,267	7,443	7,619	7,795
GS-6	6,659	6,857	7,055	7,253	7,451	7,649	7,847	8,045	8,243	8,441
GS-7	6,877	7,090	7,303	7,516	7,729	7,942	8,155	8,368	8,581	8,794

2. This authorization does not cover nurses employed in occupational health programs.

3. Geographic coverage is the Detroit, Mich., Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area which includes the counties of Macomb, Oakland, and Wayne.

4. The effective date will be the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after February 26, 1967.

5. All new employees in the specified occupational levels will be hired at the new minimum rate.

6. As of the effective date, all agencies will process a pay adjustment to increase

the pay of employees on the rolls in the affected occupational levels. An employee who immediately prior to the effective date was receiving basic compensation at one of the rates of the statutory rate range shall receive basic compensation at the corresponding numbered rate authorized by this notice on and after such date. The pay adjustment will not be considered an equivalent increase within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 5335.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2203; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP67-227]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

FEBRUARY 17, 1967.

Take notice that on February 13, 1967, El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Tex. 79999, filed in Docket No. CP67-227 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain facilities and the sale and delivery of natural gas to Cascade Natural Gas Corp. (Cascade), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant proposes to construct and operate a positive displacement measuring and regulating station and necessary appurtenances at a point adjacent to its 6⅝-inch O.D. Wenatchee lateral pipeline located in Chelan County, Wash. Cascade proposes to construct and operate approximately 500 feet of 4-inch main, an odorizer, regulator and two sales meters, from Applicant's site of proposed construction to the Kawecki Chemical Co. (Kawecki) who will use the natural gas for space heating and in its aluminum alloying reverberatory furnaces as a processing fuel.

Applicant also seeks authorization to sell to Cascade the following third year maximum volumes of natural gas: A maximum peak day volume of 443 Mcf and a maximum yearly volume of 132,122 Mcf.

Applicant estimates the cost of the proposed construction at approximately $8,302 and Applicant will finance said construction out of working funds.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before March 17, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2164; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Project No. 2634]

GREAT NORTHERN PAPER CO.

Notice of Application for License for Constructed Project

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

Public notice is hereby given that application for license under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a–825r) by Great Northern Paper Co. (correspondence to: Robert Hellendale, Vice President and Secretary, Great Northern Paper Co., 522 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10036), for constructed Project No. 2634, known as the Canada Falls Headwater Storage Project, located on South Branch of the West Branch of the Penobscot River in Somerset County, Maine.

The existing Canada Falls Headwater Storage Project consists of: (1) A concrete dam about 760 feet long (maximum height about 30 feet), made of a gravity section about 170 feet long, tied to the right abutment by a concrete wing wall about 320 feet long and to the left abutment by a concrete wing wall about 270 feet long; (2) a reservoir with surface area of 2,521 acres at an elevation of 1,235 feet, and usable storage capacity of 23,400 acre-feet at a drawdown of 26 feet; (3) three waste gates 9 by 10 feet and a log sluice gate 14 by 11 feet; (4) a fish passage section 18 feet wide; and (5) a spillway section 87 feet long with 3-foot flashboards.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests or petitions may be filed is April 13, 1967. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2165; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Project No. 2636]

GREAT NORTHERN PAPER CO.

Notice of Application for License for Constructed Project

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

Public notice is hereby given that application for license has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a–825r) by Great Northern Paper Co. (correspondence to: Robert Hellendale, Vice President and Secretary, Great Northern Paper Co., 522 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10036), for constructed Project No. 2636, known as the Millinocket Lake Headwater Storage Project, located on Millinocket Stream, a tributary of West Branch of Penobscot River, in Penobscot County, Maine.

The existing Millinocket Lake Headwater Storage Project consists of: (1) A composite dam about 600 feet long and approximately 20 feet high, made of a concrete gravity section 190 feet long and an earthfill section 410 feet long; (2) a reservoir with surface area of 8,931 acres at an elevation of 478 feet, and usable storage capacity of 43,600 acre-feet at a drawdown of 10 feet; (3) an uncontrolled spillway at right abutment side of the concrete gravity section with total opening of 91 feet and a 19-foot uncontrolled spillway at the transition of concrete gravity and earthfill section; (4) four waste gates 8 by 9 feet and a sluice gate 8 by 8 feet; and (5) a pumphouse with two 100 cfs diesel pumps (used in pumping water from the reservoir to applicant's Ambajejus Lake for use in Penobscot Mills Project) and about 520 feet of 54-inch pipe.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests or petitions may be filed is April 7, 1967. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2166; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Project No. 2638]

GREAT NORTHERN PAPER CO.

Notice of Application for License for Constructed Project

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

Public notice is hereby given that application for license has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a–825r) by Great Northern Paper Co. (correspondence to: Great Northern Paper Co., Robert Hellendale, Vice President and Secretary, 522 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10036) for constructed Project No. 2638, known as the Seboomook Headwater Storage Project, located on the West Branch of Penobscot River, in Seboomook Township, Somerset County, Maine.

The existing Seboomook Headwater Storage Project consists of: (1) A composite dam about 700 feet long (maximum height about 60 feet) made of a concrete gravity section 426 feet long, tied to the abutments by earth dikes; (2) a reservoir with surface area of 6,838 acres at an elevation of 1,072 feet, and usable storage capacity of 157,000 acre-feet at a drawdown of 16 feet; (3) a spillway section with five 20- by 15-foot flood gates and one 20- by 10-foot sluice gate; and (4) four small sluice gates at nonoverflow section.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests or petitions may be filed is April 7, 1967. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2167; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. G-17344, G-17426]

JACK PROPERTIES, INC.

Order Accepting Offers of Settlement, Severing and Terminating Proceedings and Requiring Refunds

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

On December 27, 1966, Jack Properties, Inc. (Respondent) filed offers of settlement in these proceedings, pursuant to § 1.18(e) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, involving sales of natural gas which were made by it to Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco, Inc. (TGP) from the Morales Field, Jackson County, Tex., under its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2, and from the Tolson Field, Victoria County, Tex., under its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 3 (Each in Texas Railroad Commission District No. 2). Sales under each of said rate schedules have ceased, and abandonment authorization has been granted by the Commission.

In its proposals Respondent offers to make refunds to TGP in accordance with the formula set forth by the Commission in Jack W. Grigsby (Operator) et al., Docket Nos. RI61-96 and RI62-536, 32 FPC 529. In accordance with the Grigsby refund formula Respondent will refund to TGP 25 percent of all revenues collected subject to refund during 1959 and 1960, and 70 percent of such revenues collected during 1961 and 1962, with applicable interest, above the proposed settlement rates of 13.78069 cents per Mcf of natural gas at 14.65 p.s.i.a. (the proposed settlement rates reflect a 0.21931 cent per Mcf dehydration charge deduction). The amount of refunds will total approximately $9,100, exclusive of interest.

The Commission finds: The proposed settlement of the above-designated proceedings, on the basis described herein, as more fully set forth in the offers of settlement filed with the Commission by Respondent on December 27, 1966, is in the public interest and appropriate to carry out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and should be approved and made effective as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) The offers of settlement filed with the Commission by Respondent on December 27, 1966, are hereby approved in accordance with the provisions of this order.

(B) Respondent shall compute the difference between the rates collected subject to refund and the settlement rate of 13.78069 cents per Mcf of natural gas at 14.65 p.s.i.a., with applicable interest to the date of this order, and shall within 30 days from the date of issuance of this order make such refunds to TGP, and 10 days thereafter submit a report to the Commission setting out the amount of refunds (showing separately the principal and applicable interest) the bases used for such determination, with TGP's written concurrence and receipt thereof.

(C) Upon notification by the Secretary of the Commission that Respondent has complied with the terms and conditions of this order the proceedings in Docket Nos. G-17344 and G-17426 shall be severed from the consolidated proceeding, Docket No. AR61-2, and will terminate without further order of the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2170; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP67-230]

MICHIGAN GAS STORAGE CO.

Notice of Application

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

Take notice that on February 13, 1967, Michigan Gas Storage Co. (Applicant), 212 West Michigan Avenue, Jackson, Mich. 49201, filed in Docket No. CP67-230 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the establishment of three new delivery points between Applicant and Consumers Power Co. (Consumers), the establishment of a new delivery point between Applicant and Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Panhandle) and the construction and operation of the necessary metering and regulating facilities at the proposed new delivery points, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks authorization for the following three projects:

(1) To establish three new delivery points for the delivery of natural gas between Consumers and Applicant;

(i) In section 24, Sylvan Township, Washtenaw County, Mich.

(ii) In section 7, Atlas Township, Genesee County, Mich., and

(iii) In section 21, Genesee Township, Genesee County, Mich.

(2) To establish a new delivery point for the delivery of natural gas between Panhandle and Applicant in section 21, Genesee Township, Genesee County, Mich.; and

(3) To construct and operate the necessary metering and regulating facilities at the proposed delivery points in section 21, Genesee Township, Genesee County, Mich.

The purpose of the proposed new delivery point in section 24, Sylvan Township, Washtenaw County, Mich., is to afford greater security to the systems of Applicant and Consumers and to increase the capacity of said systems.

The purpose of the proposed new delivery points in section 7, Atlas Township, Genesee County, Mich., and in section 21, Genesee Township, Genesee County, Mich., is to increase the ability of Consumers to deliver natural gas into the Flint, Mich., area in order to meet increased requirements of Consumers' customers and to assure continuity of service in such area.

Applicant estimates the total cost of the proposed construction at approximately $92,000, said cost to be financed out of funds on hand.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before March 20, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2168; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP67-229]

MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Application

FEBRUARY 17, 1967.

Take notice that on February 13, 1967, Mississippi River Transmission Corp. (Applicant), 9900 Clayton Road, St.

Louis, Mo. 63124, filed in Docket No. CP67-229 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities and the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant proposes to construct and operate approximately 20 miles of 6⅝-inch pipeline and related facilities extending from Applicant's existing Main Lines Nos. 1 and 2 to the site of the plant of Pilot Knob Pellet Co. (Pilot) at Pilot Knob, Iron County, Mo., and approximately 10 miles of 4½-inch pipeline and related facilities extending from the aforementioned 6⅝-inch pipeline to the site of the plant of American Smelting & Refining Co. (American) at Glover, Iron County, Mo. It is estimated that Applicant will use the proposed facilities to deliver the following quantities of natural gas on an interruptible basis:

	Peak day (Mcf)	Peak year (Mcf)
Pilot	2,100	500,000
American	1,250	230,000

Applicant estimates the cost of the proposed facilities at approximately $792,000, said cost to be financed from cash on hand.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before March 17, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2169; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP64-73]

UNITED FUEL GAS CO.

Notice of Petition To Amend

FEBRUARY 17, 1967.

Take notice that on February 9, 1967, United Fuel Gas Co. (Petitioner), Post Office Box 1273, Charleston, W. Va. 25325, filed in Docket No. CP64-73 a petition pursuant to section 16 of the Natural Gas Act to amend the order issued by the Commission in said docket June 19, 1964, to authorize an increase of 1,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to be delivered to Gas Transport, Inc., all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The abovementioned order authorized Petitioner to make firm delivery of 6,500 Mcf of natural gas per day to Gas Transport, Inc.

Specifically, Petitioner seeks authorization to deliver an additional 1,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to Gas Transport, Inc., making a total firm delivery of 7,500 Mcf of natural gas per day, said increase being sought by Gas Transport, Inc., and made part of their revised estimated requirements for 1967.

Petitioner alleges that the proposed increase of 1,000 Mcf of natural gas per day in its sales to Gas Transport, Inc., will have no adverse effect upon the capacity of existing facilities, Petitioner's available gas supply or service to other customers.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 15, 1967.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2171; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP67-228]

UNITED FUEL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

FEBRUARY 20, 1967.

Take notice that on February 14, 1967, United Fuel Gas Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 1273, Charleston, W. Va. 25325, filed in Docket No. CP67-228 an application pursuant to sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon certain natural gas facilities and for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant seeks authorization for the following five separate and unrelated projects:

(1) To construct and operate 10.3 miles of 6-inch pipeline from Applicant's existing 20-inch pipeline in Pike County, Ky., northward to its existing distribution system serving the area of Williamson, Mingo County, W. Va., together with the necessary measuring and regulating facilities.

(2) To construct and operate approximately 4.3 miles of 4-inch pipeline extending northward from Applicant's 20-inch pipeline in Monroe County, W. Va., to the areas of Ronceverte and Lewisburg in Greenbrier County, W. Va., replacing approximately 4.3 miles of existing 3- and 4-inch pipeline which Applicant proposes to abandon.

(3) To construct and operate approximately 0.2 of a mile of 16-inch pipeline which crosses under Buck Creek in Martin County, Ky., west of Applicant's Kermit Compressor Station, replacing approximately 0.2 of a mile of existing multiple 10-inch river crossing which Applicant proposes to abandon.

(4) To retire and abandon 4,125 horsepower of compressor engines at Kermit Compressor Station in Mingo County, W. Va., and to replace the above with two 1,320-horsepower two-stage compressor units, and

(5) To construct and operate two small segments of 8- and 10-inch pipeline located south of Milton, Cabell County, W. Va., and replacing two small segments of 10- and 20-inch pipeline which Applicant proposes to abandon. The first replacement involves approximately 0.1 of a mile of quadruple 10-inch pipeline crossing under Mud River by the installation of a single section of 10-inch pipeline. The second replacement involves approximately 0.1 of a mile of 20-inch pipeline by the installation of 8-inch pipeline.

Applicant estimates the total net debit to retirement resulting from its proposed retirement of facilities at approximately $572,069. Applicant estimates the cost of the proposed construction at approximately $1,587,600, said cost to be financed through cash on hand or the issuance and sale of promissory notes or common stock to its parent company, the Columbia Gas System, Inc.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (§ 157.10) on or before March 20, 1967.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2172; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7336]

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER CO.

Notice of Application

FEBRUARY 17, 1967.

Take notice that on February 9, 1967, Wisconsin Electric Power Co. (Applicant) filed an application pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act seeking authority to acquire $13 million in par amount of common stock of its subsidiary, Wisconsin Michigan Power Co. (Subsidiary).

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of Wisconsin with its principal business office at Milwaukee, Wis., and is engaged principally in the electric utility business in southeastern Wisconsin.

The Subsidiary is incorporated under the laws of Wisconsin with its principal business office at Milwaukee, Wis., and is engaged principally in the electric utility business in east-central and north-eastern Wisconsin and in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. All of the outstanding shares of common stock of Subsidiary are presently owned by the Applicant.

Applicant seeks approval to acquire $13 million in par amount of common stock of Subsidiary, of which $10 million is to be purchased for cash at the par amount thereof and $3 million is to be delivered by Subsidiary to Applicant as a common stock dividend. It is proposed that the $10 million of common stock will be issued in amounts and at intervals between March 27, 1967, and December 31, 1967, as required to meet construction expenditures of Subsidiary.

Applicant represents that the proposed undertaking, including the acquisition by Applicant of the common stock of Subsidiary, is reasonable and consistent with the public interest since the proceeds to be paid by Applicant to Subsidiary will be expended by that company for additions to its utility plant, thereby benefiting the public served by it and Applicant further represents that the purchase price to be paid for the 500,000 shares of common stock which it proposes to purchase is reasonable and bears a fair relationship to the sums invested in the utility assets underlying the stock to be acquired.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the application should on or before March 10, 1967, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2173; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

MARSHALL & ILSLEY BANK STOCK CORP.

Order Approving Application Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the application of Marshall & Ilsley Bank Stock Corp., Milwaukee, Wis., for approval of acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Bank of Greenfield, Greenfield, Wis.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3), as amended by Public Law 89-485), and § 222.4(a)(3) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.4(a)(3)), an application by Marshall & Ilsley Bank Stock Corp., Milwaukee, Wis., for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the outstanding voting shares of Bank of Greenfield, Greenfield, Wis.

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board notified the Commissioner of Banks for the State of Wisconsin of the application and requested his views and recommendation. The Commissioner recommended approval.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 21, 1966 (31 F.R. 13624), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposal. Time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered by the Board.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the Board's Statement[1] of this date, that said application be and hereby is approved, provided that the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day after the date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 20th day of February 1967.

By order of the Board of Governors.[2]

[SEAL] MERRITT SHERMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2174; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:46 a.m.]

---

[1] Filed as part of the original document. Copies available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

[2] Voting for this action: Chairman Martin, and Governors Robertson, Shepardson, Mitchell, Daane, Maisel, and Brimmer.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-3421]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 10 cents par value of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976, otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2183; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[70-4458]

HARTFORD ELECTRIC LIGHT CO.

Notice of Proposed Charter Amendment and Order Authorizing Solicitation of Proxies in Connection Therewith

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

Notice is hereby given that The Hartford Electric Light Co. ("Hartford"), 176 Cumberland Avenue, Wethersfield, Conn., an electric utility subsidiary company of Northeast Utilities, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), proposing the amendment of its certificate of incorporation and the solicitation of proxies from preferred stockholders in connection therewith. Hartford has designated sections 6(a), 7, and 12(e) of the Act and Rules 62 and 65 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposals. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed amendment.

Hartford proposes to amend its certificate of incorporation (1) to bring it into conformity with the requirements of this Commission's statement of policy regarding preferred stock subject to the Act, (2) to make other minor changes in the preferred stock provisions, and (3) to increase its authorized preferred stock from 1 million shares to 2 million shares. The proposed amendment of the certificate of incorporation includes, among other things, restrictions on the payment of dividends on common stock;

a provision for the election of a majority of the board of directors upon nonpayment of four quarterly dividends; changes in the restrictions on the authorization and issuance of any stock senior to the preferred stock; and a new limitation regarding merger or sale of assets.

Hartford intends to submit the proposed amendment of the certificate of incorporation to its shareholders for their approval at its annual meeting of shareholders to be held on March 28, 1967. In connection therewith, the company proposes to solicit proxies from the holders of its preferred stock through the use of solicitation material which sets forth the proposed amendment in detail. The declaration states that under the applicable provisions of the Connecticut Stock Corporation Act, the proposed amendment requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of preferred and common stock voting as separate classes. Northeast Utilities, holder of 98.77 percent of the outstanding shares of Hartford's common stock, has indicated that all such shares will be voted in favor of the proposed amendment.

The fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions are to be filed by amendment. The declaration states that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Hartford has requested that the effectiveness of its declaration with respect to the solicitation of proxies from the preferred stockholders be accelerated as provided in Rule 62.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than March 20, 1967, request in writing that a hearing be held with respect to the proposed amendment of the certificate of incorporation, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

It appearing to the Commission that Hartford's declaration regarding the proposed solicitation of proxies should be permitted to become effective forthwith pursuant to Rule 62 and that jurisdiction should be reserved with respect to the expenses thereof:

It is ordered, That the declaration regarding the proposed solicitation of proxies be, and hereby is, permitted to become effective forthwith pursuant to Rule 62 and that jurisdiction be, and hereby is, reserved with respect to the expenses thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2184; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 1-1686]

LINCOLN PRINTING CO.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

The common stock, 50 cents par value, and the $3.50 cumulative preferred stock, no par value, of Lincoln Printing Co., being listed and registered on the Midwest Stock Exchange pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the 8 percent convertible debenture bonds due March 13, 1968, being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15 (c)(5) and 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the Midwest Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2185; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 0-592]

PAKCO COMPANIES, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Pakco Companies, Inc., and all other securities of Pakco Companies, Inc., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2186; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

PINAL COUNTY DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the 5⅞ percent Industrial Development Revenue Bonds of Pinal County Development Association due April 15, 1989, otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in such bonds be summarily suspended this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2187; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4407]

SPORTS ARENAS, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 1 cent par value of Sports Arenas, Inc., and the 6 percent convertible debentures being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2188; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:47 a.m.]

UNDERWATER STORAGE, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Underwater Storage, Inc., otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2189; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

UNITED SECURITY LIFE INSURANCE CO.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $1 par value, of United Security Life Insurance Co., Birmingham, Ala., otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2190; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4371]

WESTEC CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

FEBRUARY 21, 1967.

The common stock, 10 cents par value, of Westec Corp., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Westec Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15(c)(5) and 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the American Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period February 22, 1967, through March 3, 1967, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2191; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:48 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

FEBRUARY 23, 1967.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 40906—*Crushed stone from Salida and Wellsville, Colo.* Filed by Western Trunk Line Committee, agent (No. A-2489), for interested rail carriers. Rates on crushed stone and related articles, in carloads, from Salida and Wellsville, Colo., to points in southern territory.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 34 to Western Trunk Line Committee, agent, tariff ICC A-4620.

FSA No. 40907—*Substituted service—Chicago & North Western Railway, for Beer Transit, Inc.* Filed by Beer Transit, Inc. (No. 1), for itself and on behalf of the Chicago & North Western Railway Co. Rates on malt beverages and empty malt beverage containers loaded in trailers and transported on railroad flatcars, between Butler (Milwaukee) Wis., on the one hand, and St. Paul, Minn., on the other, on traffic originating at or destined to such points or points beyond as described in the application.

Grounds for relief—Motortruck competition.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2211; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

[Notice 343]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

FEBRUARY 23, 1967.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules in Ex Parte No. MC 67 (49 CFR Part 240), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protest must certify that such service has been made. The protest must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined, at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 563 TA) (Correction), filed February 9, 1967, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of February 17, 1967, under No. MC 107595 (Sub-No. 563 TA), and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., Post Office Box 10799, Station A, 3901 Jonesboro Road SE., Atlanta, Ga. 30310. Applicant's representative: B. L. Gandlach (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, as follows: *Liquid varnish or resin polyester*, from Kansas City, Mo., to Miami, Fla. (and its commercial zone as defined by the Commission), and Orlando, Fla., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Cook Paint & Varnish Co., Post Office Box 389, Kansas City, Mo. 64141. Send protests to: William L. Scroggs, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 300, 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Atlanta, Ga. 30308. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number as MC 107515 (Sub-No. 563 TA), erroneously shown as MC 107595 (Sub-No. 563 TA), in the previous issue.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 67-2212; Filed, Feb. 27, 1967; 8:49 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—FEBRUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during February.