

FEDERAL REGISTER

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
 OF THE UNITED STATES
 1934

VOLUME 26 NUMBER 80

Washington, Thursday, April 27, 1961

Contents

THE PRESIDENT

Proclamation

Prayer for Peace, Memorial Day, 1961..... 3603

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

Agricultural Marketing Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Apples for processing; U.S. standards for grades..... 3604

Agriculture Department

See Agricultural Marketing Service.

Atomic Energy Commission

NOTICES:
American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corp.; issuance of utilization facility export license..... 3618
Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc., and Trustees of Columbia University in City of New York; issuance of facility license amendment..... 3619
Yankee Atomic Electric Co.; hearing..... 3619

Civil Aeronautics Board

NOTICES:
Frontier Airlines, Inc.; "use it or lose it" investigation..... 3620

Federal Aviation Agency

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Control area extension; alteration..... 3606
Control zones; alterations (2 documents)..... 3606
Federal airway and associated control areas; alteration..... 3605
Federal airway, associated control areas and reporting points; revocation..... 3605
Restricted area, revocation; and alteration of control area extension and control zone..... 3606

Federal Communications Commission

NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Jackson Broadcasting & Television Corp. (WKHM)..... 3621
Parks Robinson (WISV)..... 3621
Seaway Broadcasting Co., Inc..... 3621
Walmac Co..... 3621
WGRY, Inc. (WGRY) et al..... 3620

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Aviation services; developmental operation..... 3614
Table of assignments, television broadcast stations; Athens and Coshocton, Ohio..... 3614

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Aviation services; single side-band transmissions, and type acceptance of transmitters, bandwidth, and spurious emissions..... 3610
Table of assignments, television broadcast stations; certain areas in Maine..... 3608

Federal Home Loan Bank Board

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Practice and procedure; adjudications under Administrative Procedure Act; scope of regulations..... 3604

Fish and Wildlife Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Hunting; Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, Oklahoma..... 3612
Sport fishing; Minnesota..... 3612

Interior Department

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

Interstate Commerce Commission

NOTICES:

Motor carrier transfer proceedings..... 3622

Land Management Bureau

NOTICES:

Alaska:

Classification order, Anchorage Land District..... 3616
Proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands..... 3617
California; proposed withdrawals and reservations of lands (2 documents)..... 3617
Nevada; small tract classification..... 3617
Utah; filing of protraction diagrams..... 3618

Panama Canal

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Operation and navigation; general requirements while transiting..... 3608

Post Office Department

NOTICES:

Keyways in key-type lock boxes; acquisition and standardization..... 3616

Railroad Retirement Board

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Benefits:

Exhaustion of rights..... 3607
Registration and claims..... 3607

Securities and Exchange Commission

NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Amoskeag Co..... 3621
Pennsylvania Electric Co..... 3622
Telectro Industries Corp..... 3622

Treasury Department

NOTICES:

Determination of no sales at less than fair value:
Portland cement from Tunisia... 3616
Rayon staple fiber from Italy... 3616

(Continued on next page)

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

3409 ----- 3603

7 CFR

51 ----- 3604

12 CFR

509 ----- 3604

14 CFR

600 (2 documents) ----- 3605

601 (6 documents) ----- 3605, 3606

20 CFR

325 ----- 3607

336 ----- 3607

35 CFR

4 ----- 3608

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

487 (see F.R. Doc. 61-3815) ----- 3616

800 (see F.R. Doc. 61-3815) ----- 3616

47 CFR

3 ----- 3608

9 ----- 3610

PROPOSED RULES:

3 ----- 3614

9 ----- 3614

50 CFR

32 ----- 3612

33 ----- 3612

Final revision

PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS IN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

Appointed January 20—
April 20, 1961

A listing of more than 350 appointments of key officials made after January 20, 1961. Serves as a supplement to the 1960-61 edition of the U.S. Government Organization Manual

Price: 15 cents

Compiled by: Office of the Federal Register,
National Archives and Records Service,
General Services Administration

Order from: Superintendent of Documents,
Government Printing Office, Washington
25, D.C.



Telephone

WOrth 3-3261

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Proclamation 3409

PRAYER FOR PEACE, MEMORIAL DAY, 1961

By the President of the United States
of America

A Proclamation

WHEREAS the high courage and the supreme sacrifice of Americans who gave their lives in battle have made it possible for our land to flourish under freedom and justice; and

WHEREAS the ideals and patriotism of those who answered the call to service stand as an inspiration to every new generation of Americans; and

WHEREAS the same principles and revolutionary beliefs for which our forbears fought and died are still at issue in the world and the challenge against them can be met only through the same qualities of courage, strength, and unflinching determination shown by our noble dead; and

WHEREAS Memorial Day each year provides a fitting occasion upon which our people may not only commemorate the Nation's heroic dead but also unite in prayer for the preservation of liberty and peace free from the threat of war; and

WHEREAS to this end the Congress, in a joint resolution approved May 11, 1950 (64 Stat. 158), requested the Presi-

dent to issue a proclamation calling upon the people of the United States to observe each Memorial Day as a day of prayer for permanent peace:

NOW, THEREFORE, I, JOHN F. KENNEDY, President of the United States, do hereby urge the people of the United States to observe Tuesday, May 30, 1961, Memorial Day, by invoking the blessing of God on those who have died in defense of our country, and by praying for a new world of law where peace and justice shall prevail and a life of opportunity shall be assured for all; and I designate the hour beginning in each locality at eleven o'clock in the morning of that day as the time to unite in such prayer.

I also urge the press, radio, television, and all other media of information to cooperate in this observance.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

DONE at the City of Washington this 24th day of April in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and [SEAL] sixty-one, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and eighty-fifth.

JOHN F. KENNEDY

By the President:

DEAN RUSK,
Secretary of State.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3942; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
11:10 a.m.]

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Agricultural Marketing Service (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 51—FRESH FRUITS, VEGETABLES AND OTHER PRODUCTS (INSPECTION, CERTIFICATION, AND STANDARDS)

Subpart—United States Standards for Grades of Apples for Processing¹

On May 6, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 3986) regarding a proposed revision of United States Standards for Grades of Apples for Processing (7 CFR 51.340 to 51.344).

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposal set forth in the aforesaid notice, the following United States Standards for Grades of Apples for Processing are hereby promulgated pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (secs. 202–208, 60 Stat. 1087, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627).

GRADES	
Sec.	
51.340	U.S. No. 1.
51.341	U.S. No. 2.
51.342	U.S. Cider.
CULLS	
51.343	Culls.
SIZE	
51.344	Size.
APPLICATION OF STANDARDS	
51.345	Application of standards.
TOLERANCES	
51.346	Tolerances.
APPLICATION OF TOLERANCES	
51.347	Application of tolerances.
DEFINITIONS	
51.348	One variety.
51.349	Overripe.

AUTHORITY: §§ 51.340 to 51.349 issued under secs. 202–208, 60 Stat. 1087, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

GRADES

§ 51.340 U.S. No. 1.

“U.S. No. 1” consists of apples of one variety, unless designated as mixed varieties, which are not overripe, which are free from decay, worm holes, freezing injury and internal breakdown and free from any other defect, or combination of defects, the removal of which in the usual commercial preparation for use will cause a loss of more than 5 percent, by weight, of the apple.

¹ Packing of the product in conformity with the requirements of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or with applicable State laws and regulations.

§ 51.341 U.S. No. 2.

“U.S. No. 2” consists of apples of one variety, unless designated as mixed varieties, which are not overripe, which are free from decay, worm holes, freezing injury and internal breakdown and free from any other defect, or combination of defects, the removal of which in the usual commercial preparation for use will cause a loss of more than 12 percent, by weight, of the apple.

§ 51.342 U.S. Cider.

“U.S. Cider” consists of apples which are free from decay, worm holes and internal breakdown.

CULLS

§ 51.343 Culls.

“Culls” consist of apples which fail to meet the requirements of U.S. Cider Grade.

SIZE

§ 51.344 Size.

(a) The minimum and maximum sizes or range of sizes shall be determined as agreed upon by buyer and seller.

(b) Unless otherwise specified, the minimum and maximum sizes or range of sizes shall be determined by the use of an approved sizing chain of the exact dimension specified in the agreement between buyer and seller.

(c) Size is the dimension of the apples determined by the smallest opening through which it will pass.

APPLICATION OF STANDARDS

§ 51.345 Application of standards.

(a) When a lot of apples is required to meet a specific U.S. grade, the tolerances as set forth in § 51.346 shall apply. When packed in closed packages the application of tolerances in § 51.347 shall apply. The application of tolerances shall not apply to apples in open or bulk containers.

(b) In the application of these standards to determine the percentage of the lot which meets the requirements of each of the grades, tolerances shall not apply.

TOLERANCES

§ 51.346 Tolerances.

When a lot of apples is required to meet one of the U.S. grades, the apples shall not be further advanced in maturity than generally firm ripe, and the following tolerances, by weight, shall apply:

(a) *For defects.* 10 percent for apples which fail to meet the requirements of the grade: *Provided*, That included in this amount not more than the following percentages shall be allowed for the defects listed:

(1) 2 percent for apples which are affected by decay;

(2) 2 percent for apples which are affected by internal breakdown; and,

(3) 5 percent for apples which are affected by worm holes.

(b) *For off-size.* 5 percent for apples which are smaller than any specified minimum size, and 10 percent for apples larger than any specified maximum size.

APPLICATION OF TOLERANCES

§ 51.347 Application of tolerances.

Apples in closed packages are subject to the following limitations provided the averages for the entire lot are within the tolerances specified for the grade:

(a) For a tolerance of 10 percent, individual packages shall have not more than one and one-half times the tolerance specified. For a tolerance of less than 10 percent, individual packages shall have not more than double the tolerances specified.

DEFINITIONS

§ 51.348 One variety.

“One variety” within the meaning of these standards shall include all bud sports and strains of the specified variety.

§ 51.349 Overripe.

“Overripe” means apples which are dead ripe, and with flesh very mealy or soft.

The United States Standards for Grades of Apples for Processing contained in this subpart shall become effective June 1, 1961, and will thereupon supersede the United States Standards for Apples for Processing which have been in effect since September 2, 1946 (7 CFR 51.340 to 51.344).

Dated: April 24, 1961.

ROY W. LENNARTSON,
Deputy Administrator,
Marketing Services.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3834; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 12—BANKS AND BANKING

Chapter V—Federal Home Loan Bank Board

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

[No. 14541]

PART 509—RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE; ADJUDICATIONS UNDER ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

Scope of Regulations

APRIL 24, 1961.

Resolved that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, upon the basis of consideration by it of the advisability of amendment of the provisions of Part 509 of the general regulations of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (12 CFR Part 509) so as to effect the extension as hereinafter set forth of the provisions of said part to the hearings referred to hereinbelow in the second sen-

tence of § 509.1 of said part as hereby amended, and for the purpose of effecting such extension, hereby amends § 509.1 of the general regulations of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (12 CFR 509.1) to read as follows, effective April 27, 1961:

§ 509.1 Scope of regulations.

The provisions of this part shall govern hearings to determine whether cause exists, under the provisions of section 6(i) of the Federal Home Loan Bank Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1426(i)), for the removal of any member of a Federal Home Loan Bank from membership or for depriving any nonmember borrower of the privilege of obtaining advances from a Federal Home Loan Bank; hearings under the provisions of section 5(d) of the Home Owners' Loan Act of 1933, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1464(d)), involving alleged violations of law or regulation by a Federal savings and loan association and upon the existence of grounds for the appointment of a conservator or receiver for a Federal savings and loan association; and hearings to determine whether cause exists for the termination of the insured status of any institution insured by the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, as provided in section 407 of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1730). The provisions of this part shall also govern hearings for either or both of the following purposes: (a) The affording of the opportunity for hearing referred to in paragraph (3) of subsection (a) of section 408 of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1730a(a)(3)) as to whether a company directly or indirectly exercises a controlling influence over the management and policies of an institution or other organization and (b) the affording of the opportunity for hearing referred to in paragraph (2) of subsection (b) of said section 408 (12 U.S.C. 1730a(b)(2)) as to whether, at the time of the approval of an application of a institution for insurance as therein set forth, such institution was controlled by a company which also controlled another insured institution, or another applicant for insurance if the application of such other applicant was approved. For the purposes of the hearings referred to in the sentence next preceding, the term "institution", as used in this part exclusive of this section, shall include a company referred to in said sentence.

(Sec. 17, 47 Stat. 736, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1437. Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 F.R. 4981, 3 CFR, 1947 Supp. Interprets or applies sec. 6, 47 Stat. 727, as amended, secs. 5, 407, 408, 48 Stat. 132, as amended, 1260, as amended, 1261, as added by 73 Stat. 691, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1426, 1464, 1730, 1730a)

Resolved further that, as said amendment relates to rules of agency procedure or practice, and is deemed to apply to rules of Board procedure or practice, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary under § 508.12 of the general regulations of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (12 CFR 508.12) or section 4(a) of the Administrative Pro-

cedure Act; and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board hereby finds that there is an immediate necessity for the commencement of proceedings under section 408 of the National Housing Act, as amended, that it is in the public interest that the provisions of Part 509 of the General Regulations of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board as amended by said amendment be applicable to said proceedings, and that the delay to such applicability which would be incident to compliance with section 4(c) of said Act with respect to said amendment is contrary to the public interest, and said Board therefore provides that said amendment shall be effective as hereinbefore set forth.

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[SEAL]

HARRY W. CAULSEN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3833; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 61-LA-1]

PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On February 2, 1961, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (26 F.R. 1035) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to extend VOR Federal airway No. 95 and its associated control areas from Phoenix, Ariz., to Gila Bend, Ariz.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. In § 600.6095 (25 F.R. 6462) the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption "Phoenix" is deleted and "Gila Bend" is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "From the Phoenix, Ariz., VORTAC via the" is deleted and "From the Gila Bend, Ariz., VOR via the

INT of the Gila Bend VOR 096° and the Phoenix, Ariz., VORTAC 204° radials; Phoenix VORTAC;" is substituted therefor.

2. In the caption of § 601.6095 (25 F.R. 6462) "Phoenix" is deleted and "Gila Bend" is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall be effective 0001 e.s.t., June 29, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3809; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-152]

PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points

On February 15, 1961, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (26 F.R. 1309) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke Blue Federal airway No. 23 in its entirety, its associated control areas and reporting points.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the notice, parts 600 and 601 (14 CFR Parts 600, 601) are amended by revoking the following sections:

1. Section 600.623 Blue Federal airway No. 23 (Norfolk, Va., to Chincoteague, Va.).

2. Section 601.623 Blue Federal airway No. 23 control areas (Norfolk, Va., to Chincoteague, Va.).

3. Section 601.4623 Blue Federal airway No. 23 (Norfolk, Va., to Chincoteague, Va.).

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. June 29, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3810; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 61-NY-21]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.2020 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the Richmond, Va., control zone.

The Richmond control zone is presently designated in part within 2 miles either side of the southwest course of the Richmond radio range extending from the radio range to the Chester Fan Marker.

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering decommissioning the Chester Fan Marker. Therefore, action is taken herein to redescribe the portion of the Richmond control zone based on the southwest course of the Richmond radio range to extend to a point 9 miles southwest of the radio range. This will not involve the assignment of additional airspace.

Since this amendment imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), § 601.2020 (14 CFR 601.2020) is amended to read:

§ 601.2020 Richmond, Va., control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of Byrd Field (Lat. 37°30'20" N., Long. 77°18'30" W.), within 2 miles either side of the SW course of the Richmond RR extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 9 miles SW of the RR, within 2 miles either side of the ILS localizer course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles SW of the OM and 10 miles NE of the MM.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3806; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 61-NY-23]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.2204 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the Presque Isle, Maine, control zone.

The Presque Isle control zone is designated, in part, with reference to the Spragueville radio range.

The Federal Aviation Agency is planning to convert the Spragueville radio range to a nondirectional radio beacon. Therefore, action is taken herein to redescribe the control zone in order to eliminate the reference to the Spragueville radio range. This action does not involve the designation of additional airspace.

Since this amendment imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), § 601.2204 (14 CFR 601.2204) is amended to read:

§ 601.2204 Presque Isle, Maine, control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the Presque Isle Airport (Lat. 46°41'30" N., Long. 68°02'30" W.), within 5 miles either side of a line bearing 167° from the airport extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 13.7 miles S of the airport.

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3807; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-187]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Area Extension

On February 10, 1961, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (26 F.R. 1189) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to amend § 601.1215 of the regulations of the Administrator by increasing the width of the Galveston, Tex., control area extension.

Since this action involves the designation of navigable airspace outside of the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to

me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

Section 601.1215 (14 CFR 601.1215) is amended to read:

§ 601.1215 Control area extension (Galveston, Tex.).

The airspace within an area bounded by a line beginning at Lat. 29°02'10" N., Long. 95°05'00" W.; extending northeast 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the United States shoreline to Lat. 29°19'00" N., Long. 94°40'30" W.; to Lat. 28°15'00" N., Long. 92°07'00" W.; to Lat. 28°15'00" N., Long. 94°00'00" W.; to Lat. 27°32'00" N., Long. 95°09'00" W.; to Lat. 26°50'20" N., Long. 95°30'00" W.; to Lat. 27°00'00" N., Long. 95°30'00" W.; to point of beginning; excluding that portion below 2,500 feet MSL.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., June 29, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a) and 1110, 72 Stat. 749 and 800; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1510, E.O. 10854, 24 F.R. 9565)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3808; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 61-NY-19]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

PART 608—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Revocation of Restricted Area, Alteration of Control Area Extension and Control Zone

The purpose of these amendments to §§ 601.1341, 601.2227, and 608.28 of the regulations of the Administrator is to revoke the Milford, Del., Restricted Area R-2802, and alter the descriptions of the Dover, Del., control zone and control area extension to delete the reference to this restricted area.

The Department of the Navy has stated that due to the destruction of the target in this restricted area, there is no longer a requirement for the area. Therefore, this area is unjustified as an assignment of designated airspace and revocation thereof is in the public interest.

Since this amendment reduces a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, public procedure, and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary and it may be made effective immediately.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), the following actions are taken:

§ 608.28 [Amendment]

1. In § 608.28 *Delaware* (26 F.R. 876), "R-2802 Milford, Del." is revoked.
2. Section 601.1341 (25 F.R. 10798, 26 F.R. 718) is amended to read:

§ 601.1341 Control area extension (Dover, Del.).

That airspace S of the Kenton, Del., VORTAC bounded on the N by VOR Federal airway No. 16, on the E by VOR Federal airway No. 239, on the SE by VOR Federal airway No. 1, on the SW by VOR Federal airway No. 268. The portion of this control area extension which coincides with R-2803 shall be used only after obtaining prior approval from appropriate authority.

3. Section 601.2227 (14 CFR 601.2227, 26 F.R. 718) is amended to read:

§ 601.2227 Dover, Del., control zone.

Within a 6-mile radius of the Dover AFB (Lat. 39°07'45" N., Long. 75°27'50" W.), within 2 miles either side of the Dover AFB ILS localizer course extending from the 6-mile radius zone to 10 miles S of the OM, and within 2 miles either side of the 126° bearing of the Dover AFB RBN extending from the 6-mile radius zone to 10 miles SE of the RBN. The portion of this control zone which coincides with R-2803 shall be used only after obtaining prior approval from appropriate authority.

These amendments shall become effective upon date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3811; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

Title 20—EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS

Chapter II—Railroad Retirement Board

PART 325—REGISTRATION AND CLAIMS FOR BENEFITS

PART 336—EXHAUSTION OF RIGHTS TO UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Miscellaneous Amendments

Pursuant to the general authority contained in section 12 of the act of June 25, 1938 (52 Stat. 1094, 1107; 45 U.S.C. 362), §§ 325.1 and 325.12(a) of Part 325 (20 CFR 325.1, 325.12(a)) and § 336.1 of Part 336 (20 CFR 336.1) of the regulations under such act are amended and § 336.3 is added by Board Order 61-50, dated April 12, 1961, to read as follows:

§ 325.1 Statutory provisions.

Section 2 of the Temporary Extended Railroad Unemployment Insurance Benefits Act of 1961 provides that:

An employee as defined in the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act who has, after

June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962, exhausted (within the meaning prescribed by the Railroad Retirement Board by regulation) his right to unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, shall be paid unemployment benefits in accordance otherwise with the provisions of such Act for days of unemployment, not exceeding sixty-five, and not exceeding in the aggregate, an amount equal to 50 per centum of the total amount of unemployment benefits which were payable to him in the benefit year in which he last exhausted his rights before making his first claim under this Act, which occur in registration periods, as defined in the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, beginning on or after [April 8, 1961] the fifteenth day after the date of enactment of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1961, and before April 1, 1962, and which would not be days with respect to which he would be held entitled otherwise to receive unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act * * *.

§ 325.12 Registration.

(a) *Method of registration.* Registration with respect to any day shall be made by the employee's appearing before an unemployment claims agent at a free employment office during such agent's working hours and claiming the day by signing for it on the registration and claim form provided by the Board: *Provided, however,* That, except for registrations for benefits in extended benefit periods, for benefits provided in section 303(b), Public Law 86-28, 73 Stat. 31, and for benefits provided in the Temporary Extended Railroad Unemployment Insurance Benefits Act of 1961, no registration shall be deemed to have been made with respect to any day which, if registration were made with respect to it, would be the first day of a registration period in a benefit year in which (1) the employee is not a qualified employee under section 3 of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, or (2) benefits have already been payable to him for 130 days of unemployment, or (3) benefits for days of unemployment have already been payable to him in an amount equal to his compensation in the base year: *And provided further,* That if registration is made with respect to any day, and the claim to such day as a day of unemployment on the basis of such registration is not withdrawn, nothing done subsequent to such registration, except re-registration under § 325.50, shall be deemed registration with respect to such day: *And provided further,* That if, at the time of establishment of an extended benefit period, it is apparent that beginning the extended benefit period with a particular day would clearly be to the employee's disadvantage, no registration shall be deemed to have been made with respect to such day.

§ 336.1 Statutory provisions.

Section 2 of the Temporary Extended Railroad Unemployment Insurance Benefits Act of 1961 provides that:

An employee as defined in the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act who has, after

June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962, exhausted (within the meaning prescribed by the Railroad Retirement Board by regulation) his right to unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, shall be paid unemployment benefits in accordance otherwise with the provisions of such Act for days of unemployment, not exceeding sixty-five, and not exceeding in the aggregate, an amount equal to 50 per centum of the total amount of unemployment benefits which were payable to him in the benefit year in which he last exhausted his rights before making his first claim under this Act, which occur in registration periods, as defined in the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, beginning on or after (April 8, 1961) the fifteenth day after the date of enactment of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1961, and before April 1, 1962, and which would not be days with respect to which he would be held entitled otherwise to receive unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act * * *.

§ 336.3 Exhaustion of right to benefits within meaning of Temporary Extended Railroad Unemployment Insurance Benefits Act of 1961.

An employee shall be deemed to have exhausted his right to unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act after June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962, within the meaning of the Temporary Extended Railroad Unemployment Insurance Benefits Act of 1961, if:

(a) He has exhausted his current rights to normal benefits for days of unemployment in accordance with § 336.2 (a) or (b), an extended benefit period is not established under section 2(c) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act because he has less than ten years of service or because he voluntarily left work without good cause or voluntarily retired, and the last day for which unemployment benefits were paid is after June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962; or

(b) He has exhausted his current rights to normal benefits for days of unemployment in accordance with § 336.2 (c), an extended benefit period is not established under section 2(c) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act because he has less than ten years of service or because he voluntarily left work without good cause or voluntarily retired, and the last day of benefit year is after June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962; or

(c) An extended benefit period established under section 2(c) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act in his case has ended, he has no current rights to normal benefits for days of unemployment, and the last day of the extended benefit period is after June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962; or

(d) Benefits have been held to be payable for 65 days in an extended benefit period of seven registration periods established under section 2(c) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act in his case, and the last day for which benefits are payable is before the last day of the extended benefit period and is after June 30, 1960, and before April 1, 1962.

(Sec. 12, 52 Stat. 1107, as amended; 45 U.S.C. 362)

Dated: April 20, 1961.

By authority of the Board.

MARY B. LINKINS,
Secretary of the Board.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3821; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 35—PANAMA CANAL

Chapter I—Canal Zone Regulations

PART 4—OPERATION AND NAVIGATION OF PANAMA CANAL AND ADJACENT WATERS

General Requirements While Transiting

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Governor of the Canal Zone by 35 CFR 4.11 as adopted by Canal Zone Order 30, January 6, 1953 (18 F.R. 280), § 4.125 of such title is amended to read as follows:

§ 4.125 General requirements while transiting.

(a) Manila or hemp rope shall be used for mooring to piers, lock walls, or buoys.

(b) While moored to piers and during transit, except when entering or passing through locks, vessels carrying inflammable or combustible cargoes shall have connecting shackles or wire pendants of sufficient strength, one forward and one aft, fastened to bitts or to the deck and overboard, so that they can be used for emergency towing.

(c) No tanks shall be cleaned in Canal Zone waters without permission of Panama Canal authorities. Tank cleaning and repairing shall be done in accordance with Panama Canal Rules and Regulations for Repairs to Ships and Structures Containing Gases.

(d) Vessels transporting Grade "A", "B", or "C" cargo shall start transit of the Canal at the discretion of the Port Captain concerned, with due regard to the safety of the Canal and the shipping in transit. So far as practicable, they will be dispatched through Gaillard Cut so as not to meet any traffic therein.

(e) Normally, vessels transporting Grade "A", "B", or "C" cargo when overdraft, as defined in the Panama Canal Commercial Tariff, shall transit the Canal during daylight hours only: *Provided*, That the Port Captain may, when he considers it safe and reasonable to do so, permit such vessels to transit during other hours.

(f) Grade "A", "B", or "C" vessels shall be prepared to keep the tank top decks effectively covered with a film of water throughout the transit when such action is required by the Canal Zone authorities.

Issued at Balboa Heights, Canal Zone, April 10, 1961.

[SEAL]

W. A. CARTER,
Governor.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3805; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 13852; FCC 61-522]

PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Table of Assignments, Television Broadcast Stations; Certain Areas in Maine

1. The Commission has under consideration two partially conflicting proposals set forth in its notice of proposed rule making (FCC 60-1376) adopted on November 16, 1960, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 23, 1960 (25 F.R. 11116). Proposal No. 1 is that made by Leon P. Gorman of Bangor, Maine, in a Petition for Rule Making (RM-102) to amend § 3.606 of the rules by deleting Channel 7 from Calais, Maine, and assigning it to Bangor, Maine. Proposal No. 2 was submitted by the University of Maine in its Petition for Rule Making (RM-183) to amend the aforementioned section of the rules by reserving for educational use Channel 10 in Augusta, Maine, Channel 7 in Calais, Maine, and Channel 10 in Presque Isle, Maine, which channels are presently assigned for commercial use. These proposals are as follows:

PROPOSAL No. 1

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Bangor, Maine.....	2-, 5+, *16-	2-, 5+, 7-, *16-
Calais, Maine.....	7-, 20-	20-

PROPOSAL No. 2

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Augusta, Maine.....	10-, 29+	*10-, 29+
Calais, Maine.....	7-, 20-	*7-, 20-
Presque Isle, Maine...	8, 10+, 19	8, *10+, 19

A counterproposal was submitted by Coastal Broadcasting Company, Inc.,¹ suggesting that Channel 7 be deleted from Calais and assigned to Ellsworth, Maine, as follows:

COUNTERPROPOSAL

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Ellsworth, Maine.....		7-
Calais, Maine.....	7-, 20-	20-

¹ Radio Station WGUY, Bangor, Maine, submitted a letter which also contained a counterproposal. The letter, which was received on the last day for filing comments herein, did not conform with the requirements of § 1.215 of the Commission's Rules and for this reason is unacceptable. Moreover, the counterproposal was defective with regard to minimum co-channel separation between Augusta and Orono, and minimum adjacent channel separation between Augusta and Portland.

Parties filing comments and reply comments. 2. Comments and reply comments were filed by American Broadcasting Company (ABC), Coastal Broadcasting Company, Inc. (licensee of standard broadcast Station WDEA, Ellsworth, Maine), Leon P. Gorman, Bangor, Maine, Pine Tree Telecasting Corporation (permittee of WPTT, Augusta, Maine), and the University of Maine located at Orono, Maine. Reply comments were also filed by the Eastern Educational Network and by Community Telecasting Service (licensee of Station WABI-TV, Bangor, Maine, and with interlocking ownership relationship with Station WAGM-TV, Presque Isle, Maine).

Present status of the channels involved. 3. Channels 2 and 5 in Bangor are now in use by Stations WLBZ-TV and WABI-TV, respectively. Channel 8 in Presque Isle is used by Station WAGM-TV. Pine Tree Telecasting Corporation, controlled since September 21, 1960, by Bates College of Lewiston, Maine, has a construction permit for Station WPTT on Channel 10 in Augusta. After the commencement of this rule making proceeding, Community Telecasting Service submitted an application for a construction permit for Channel 7 in Calais which was accepted for filing on March 22, 1961, and is now pending (BPCT-2860). No licenses or construction permits are outstanding for any of the remaining channels mentioned above, and no applications are pending.

Decision of the Commission. 4. The Commission has carefully considered the comments filed in this proceeding and is of the opinion that it is in the public interest to adopt Proposal No. 1 and so much of Proposal No. 2 as pertains to the reservation for educational purposes of Channel 10 in Augusta and Channel 10 in Presque Isle. We reject the counterproposal.

Reasons for adopting Proposal No. 1. 5. Assignment of Channel 7 to Bangor would meet all of the mileage separation requirements of the Commission's rules without deprivation or disruption of any existing service. It would provide for additional service in the Bangor area and improve the competitive situation by providing for a third outlet in that market. It could also bring a third network facility to Bangor with its full program schedule made available to the third outlet. Although the record shows that many of the programs of the third network are presently carried either live or on a delayed basis by the two presently operating stations in Bangor (each of which is affiliated with one of the other two networks), we are of the opinion that introduction of the full program schedule of the third network into the market would be in the public interest. Comments opposing Proposal No. 1 question whether a city the size of Bangor can support three VHF stations. Having a population of 38,912 (1960 U.S. Census), it is the third largest city in Maine, and it is located in the second largest county in the state (Penobscot) with a population of 126,346 (1960 U.S. Census). We believe that the population of the area is sufficient to allow the healthful competition of three stations.

6. In our notice of proposed rule making herein we emphasized that, in determining what allocation of channels would best serve the public interest, we would take into account the likelihood that a particular channel assignment would in fact be utilized. To aid us in this consideration, parties were requested to submit the most specific and detailed information possible concerning their plans and preparations for use of the channels in which they were interested. The record leads us to the conclusion that the best chance for utilization of Channel 7 in the foreseeable future lies in Bangor. Leon P. Gorman has already taken steps preparatory to applying for the channel if it is assigned to Bangor; and he gives assurance that an application will not only be submitted promptly but that if granted the new station will be in operation at an early date in the absence of obstacles presently unforeseen. On the other hand, the channel has remained idle since its assignment to Calais about nine years ago. If it were to remain there and be reserved for educational purposes, it seems unlikely that it would be put to use in the near future inasmuch as construction of a station in Calais is relegated to the position of Phase 4, the penultimate step in the overall plan of the University of Maine for a statewide network. We are not unmindful of the fact that the record contains a letter from an official of the St. Croix Broadcasting Company, Inc., licensee of radio station WQDY, Calais, stating that Calais is one of the few television "white areas" of the nation and that it is the desire of his company to provide television service for an area until recently without radio service. Nor do we fail to note that Community Telecasting Service has filed an application for a construction permit for Channel 7 in Calais and has pointed out in its Reply Comments the importance of retaining Channel 7 in Calais to provide a first television service to a substantial "white area."² The expression of interest by the St. Croix official is commendable but does not contain specific or detailed information about plans or preparation for the use of the channel so that we are left with only the indefinite possibility of use of the channel in Calais by his company. Concerning the application of Community Telecasting

Service, the interest of that company would appear to be diluted in that the channel is applied for by Community with the specific proviso that they favor its reservation for education and make their application contingent upon the channel's not being reserved for education.

7. We note that Coastal Broadcasting Company, Inc., has indicated an intention to apply for a construction permit for the channel if it is assigned to Ellsworth. According to the record, the antenna site suggested by Coastal, approximately halfway between the 25-mile distance separating Bangor and Ellsworth, is about five miles from the present Bangor transmitters and would provide city grade service to both cities. City grade service is presently provided to both cities by the two stations operating in Bangor and two of the major networks now cover Ellsworth through the Bangor stations. The third network has indicated an interest in affiliating with a possible third station in Bangor and supports Proposal No. 1 while opposing the Coastal counter-proposal. The population of Bangor is just under 40,000 and that of Ellsworth is 4,444 (1960 U.S. Census), with an increase in the summer caused by recreation and tourist activity. Although Coastal sets forth the need for a local service, we are of the opinion that a station serving both Bangor and Ellsworth should be located in Bangor, the principal city of that area, especially in view of the interest of the third network in affiliating with a third Bangor outlet.

8. We cannot agree with the suggestion made in the comments that assignment of Channel 7 to Bangor with its concomitant removal from Calais cannot be reconciled with the mandate of section 307(b) of the Act. There still remains allocated to that small community (population 4,223 by 1960 U.S. Census) Channel 20, available for any qualified applicant. If, as was pointed out by several parties, the Calais area is substantially a "white area," this would seem to present an ideal situation for use of a UHF channel with little problem of conversion. An additional possible method of serving the Calais area also exists: Although no ultimate decision has been reached by Leon P. Gorman, he has indicated that he favors the placing of a translator station in Calais if his group begins operation in Bangor.

9. Since the University of Maine proposes a satellite operation in Calais, there is prospect that a UHF channel would serve its purpose. If it develops that Channel 20 is utilized by a commercial station in Calais before the University is ready to request reservation of the channel, other UHF channels are likely to be available to Calais for educational use. Comments favoring the removal of Channel 7 from Calais also suggested that the programs of the educational network could be brought to Calais by means of a translator picking up the signal from Orono. The University has indicated that its plan for a statewide network would be such that Augusta would serve approximately 54 percent of the state's population, Orono would serve 20

percent, Presque Isle would serve 10 percent, Durham, N.H. would serve 10 percent, Calais would serve 4 percent, and the remaining 2 percent of the population would be served by translators. We view the translator proposal for serving the small population of the Calais area as a feasible alternative.

10. We indicated in our notice of proposed rule making herein that because Bangor is less than 250 miles from the U.S.-Canadian border, assignment of Channel 7 to Bangor would require concurrence of the Canadian Authorities under the provisions of the U.S.-Canadian Agreement of 1952 (TIAS 2594). Consistent with the "Working Arrangement for Allocations of VHF Television Broadcast Stations under the Canada-U.S.A. Television Agreement of 1952" made public on March 29, 1961, the Canadian authorities have concurred with the operation of a station at Bangor on Channel 7 with transmitter located at least 170 miles from Station CHLT-TV, Sherbrooke, Quebec, and with power equivalent to 20 dbk 500' toward that station. Because of this concurrence, final action on Proposal No. 1 is possible.

Reasons for Adopting Parts of Proposal No. 2—General. 11. The University of Maine, representing itself and other interested groups, has presented in great detail the steps that have been taken by way of planning and studies aimed at the ultimate goal of providing an educational television service to the citizens of Maine. They have pointed out the need for such a service to the state which contains large rural areas and which has many primary and secondary schools which are substandard. Not only do they indicate the promise of the medium for classroom instruction on all levels including the College level, but they advert to the potential of educational television in the fields of extension course work, adult education and instruction of farmers, to name a few. They envision a network stretching from Washington, D.C., to Montreal, Canada. Two stations of the network are already in operation—one in Boston and the other at the University of New Hampshire in Durham. It is pointed out that the use of a network would allow stations along the network with limited personnel, facilities, and budgets to tap the wealth of cultural and educational material originating in cities like, for example, Boston. This would not only add to the programs offered, but would enable such stations to concentrate more intensively on improving the quality of their local programming.

12. As indicated in paragraph 1, above, Proposal No. 1 requests the reservation for educational use of channels in Augusta, Calais and Presque Isle. These channels plus the already existing reservation at Orono and the presently operating station at Durham, N.H., would provide educational television for about 98 percent of the Maine population. Those not so served would be covered by translator stations. With the exception of Presque Isle and Calais, which would be operated as satellites and connected with Orono by one-way microwave and off-air relays, stations at Boston, Durham, Augusta and Orono would be interconnected by two-way microwave

² Community Telecasting Service filed reply comments urging that Channel 7 be reserved for educational purposes in Calais, but stating that if such a reservation were not made the channel should remain in Calais for commercial use. They pointed out that prior to the filing of the reply comments they had tendered for filing an application for that channel in Calais contingent upon the non-reservation of that channel for educational purposes. Leon P. Gorman filed a Petition to Strike or to Receive Reply Comments to the Community Reply Comments on the ground that they presented a counterproposal to which all interested parties should have an opportunity to respond. To this petition, Community filed an Opposition. We here deny the Gorman petition and sustain the Community opposition on the ground that the Community reply comments did not contain a new proposal but were merely a support of Proposal No. 2.

relays. The plan envisages five phases beginning with the construction of the stations at Orono and Augusta with interconnections, and ending with the construction of translators to fill in coverage areas involving about 2 percent of the state population not covered by the stations of the network. Exclusive of the cost of the station at Augusta and its interconnection with Durham which is expected to be constructed with private funds, the cost of the network is expected to be somewhat over \$1,000,000 for the initial capital expenditure. It is stated in the comments that this sum amounts to about \$7.50 per pupil or \$2.07 per citizen, and that operating costs would be less than \$1.00 per pupil per year. It is indicated that the initial capital outlay is the same as the cost of one high school building, and that (citing the experience of educational institutions elsewhere in the nation) this is a most economical way to strengthen the school system and to release dollars saved thereby for student counselling, health, library and other services.

13. It is clear from the record that there is substantial public support of Proposal No. 2, great public interest in it, and surely a definite need for the development of educational television throughout Maine. There are perhaps other ways of satisfying the need, but, after a thorough study, the University has suggested this method which appears to show promise, and which impresses us because of the advantage of a network system.

Offers to make time available to educators. 14. Coastal stated that, if Channel 7 were assigned to Ellsworth and Coastal operated a station thereon, they would set aside time for the University of Maine, making the hours of 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. available for in-classroom educational programs prepared by or in cooperation with the educators and making additional hours available for general education. Leon P. Gorman indicated in his comments that if Channel 7 were assigned to Bangor and licensed to his group they would make available to the educational interests, without cost, several hours per day for providing of educational service. We are inclined to agree with the parts of the comments of the University of Maine and of the Eastern Educational Network which are directed at these offers. It is pointed out that there is no assurance that if Channel 7 were assigned to Bangor or Ellsworth Mr. Gorman's group or Coastal would become the licensees; that such an arrangement cannot meet educational requirements because educational programming on commercial television is unsatisfactory, not enough time being available and time being subject to preemption if an advertiser requests that time; that to provide day-by-day supplemental education to school rooms across the state, on planned schedules, will require television broadcasting facilities for this purpose alone; and that such an arrangement would undermine the whole idea of a network with all of its advantages of interchanging of programs. Eastern Educational Network also points out that agreements with

unions, publishers, film suppliers, other networks, and similar organizations contain many special provisions applicable to production and broadcasting of programs over noncommercial television stations only, and that such advantageous provisions are lost if the programs are broadcast over commercial stations.

Augusta. 15. Bates College which controls Pine Tree Broadcasting Corporation has consented to the reservation of Channel 10 for educational purposes and has indicated that it intends to use the channel solely for such purposes whether the channel is reserved or not. The only objection to such a reservation, voiced by Leon P. Gorman, was based on the possibility of failure of Bates College to use the channel and the possible ultimate reallocation of that channel to Portland, but we are of the opinion that the objection is based upon too many contingencies. In the light of the expressed educational intention of Bates College, the agreement advantages accruing to those who broadcast educational programs on educational channels as mentioned in the immediately preceding paragraph, the fact that WPTT would be a key link in the proposed network reaching about half of the population in the State, and the fact that Pine Tree has made it clear that it will go on the air (its application for a modification of its construction permit to specify a different transmitter site was granted on February 27, 1961, BMPCT-5551, and it was indicated that construction would commence as soon as weather permitted after this grant), we favor reserving Channel 10 at Augusta for educational purposes.

Presque Isle. 16. Comments of Mr. Gorman state that there is nothing to prevent the University from applying for the unused channel in Presque Isle without its being reserved. It is true that the educators are not prevented from applying for the unused channel at Presque Isle without its being reserved. However, in view of the need to encourage a statewide educational network to meet a pressing educational necessity, and the previously mentioned advantages of a network on reserved channels, we feel that the channel should be reserved. Proposal No. 2 contains a farsighted and constructive plan that would bring to the entire State of Maine a sorely needed educational service of high quality. Although Presque Isle is not the home of a college or university, it is not disqualified from having a VHF educational reservation. Moreover, reservation of this channel may serve to expedite the obtaining of funds to finance the proposed network.

Calais. 17. As stated in paragraphs 6, 8, and 9 above, the reservation of Channel 7 in Calais for educational purposes does not appear to be justified. It would, in our opinion, not be in the public interest in view of the fact that construction of a station at Calais is far down on the list in terms of priority in Proposal No. 2, that assignment of the channel to Bangor will permit its early use as a third competitive outlet for the third largest city and second largest

county in the State, and that the need for a satellite in Calais can be satisfied by a UHF station there or by a translator for that area which contains only a small segment of the population of the State.

Order. 18. Authority for the adoption of the amendments proposed herein is contained in sections 4 (i) and (j), 303, and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

19. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered,* That, effective May 26, 1961, the Table of Assignments, contained in § 3.606 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended insofar as the communities named are concerned, to read as follows:

City	Channel No.
Augusta, Maine.....	*10-, 20+
Bangor, Maine.....	2-, 5+, 7-, *16-
Calais, Maine.....	*20+
Presque Isle, Maine.....	8, *10+, 19

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interpret or apply secs. 301, 303, 307, 48 Stat. 1081, 1082, 1083; 47 U.S.C. 301, 303, 307)

Adopted: April 19, 1961.

Released: April 24, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,

Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3845; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 11513 etc.; FCC 61-511]

PART 9—AVIATION SERVICES

Use of Single Sideband Transmissions; Type Acceptance of Transmitters, Bandwidth, and Spurious Emissions

In the matter of amendment of Parts 6 and 9 of the Commission's rules and regulations to require the use of single sideband transmissions in fixed radiotelephone service below 25,000 kc, except Alaskan and maritime fixed, Docket No. 11513; and in the matter of amendment of Part 9 of the Commission's rules to require the use of single sideband transmission in the aeronautical mobile service for radiotelephony on frequencies below 25,000 kc, Docket No. 11678; and in the matter of amendment of Parts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, and 19 of the Commission's rules concerning type acceptance of transmitters bandwidth and spurious emissions, Docket No. 11654.

1. On October 16, 1957, the Commission adopted a further notice of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter insofar as Part 9 of the rules is concerned, which notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 24, 1957 (22 F.R. 8386). Comments were to be filed by February 3, 1958, and replies within ten days thereafter. The Commission subsequently granted petitions for additional time to file comments. The time was extended finally to October 15, 1958 and replies within twenty days thereafter.

2. This Report and Order completes rule-making with regard to the Commission's proposals to specify the applicable

technical standards for voluntary single sideband operation below 25,000 kc in the Aviation Services (Part 9). Set forth below are appropriate amendments to Part 9 of the Commission's rules.

3. Comments in response to this further notice of proposed rule making were submitted by Aeronautical Radio, Inc. (ARINC), Barker & Williamson, Inc., Collins Radio Co. and Motorola, Inc. ARINC comments incorporate, by reference, the conclusions of RTCA SC-84 contained in "Characteristics of Aeronautical Single Sideband Systems." Reply comments were filed by Collins Radio Co.

4. In general, the comments favored the principle of Single Sideband (SSB) operation and none opposed it. Motorola, Inc., however, proposed a technical approach to SSB operation different from the suppressed and full carrier modes of operation proposed by the Commission.

5. Motorola, Inc. advocates synchronous single sideband, pilot carrier, operation instead of suppressed carrier operation, citing, among other reasons, that no doppler shift will be experienced regardless of aircraft speed and the frequency stability requirements can be materially relaxed, thus enabling the use of techniques which are technically and economically feasible.

6. In regard to the Motorola proposal of synchronous single sideband operation, it should be noted that the Commission's proposal requires both full carrier and suppressed carrier operation to insure compatibility with double sideband equipment. This Report and Order is not intended to prohibit operation in other modes of single sideband; it is intended only to preclude the use of large amounts of equipment which may eventually prove unsuitable for integration into an ultimate single sideband system. Therefore, in this respect, the single sideband transmitter specifications will be as proposed.

7. Concerning the frequency stability requirements of Docket No. 11654, Motorola, Inc. has expressed concern that the requirements are extreme and may not be technically and economically feasible. Collins Radio Company in its reply comments indicated that they had found, as a matter of fact, that the requirements are technically feasible and economically feasible. The Commission finds that these requirements are technically and economically feasible.

8. Comments have been submitted which are not considered to be within the scope of the issues as proposed, and they are accordingly rejected. This includes proposals as to the techniques of making power measurements and the question of supplemental use of the lower sideband.

9. Collins Radio Company proposed that the frequency tolerance be changed from the proposed 5 cycles per second for ground based equipment and 20 cycles per second for airborne equipment to 15 and 25 cycles respectively. The present tolerance has been relaxed from that originally proposed to 10 and 20 cycles respectively. This revision is

in accordance with the recommendation by RTCA SC-84, however, it does not reach the accuracy proposed by Collins.

10. Concerning spurious emissions, the Commission has followed the recommendations of the RTCA which is considered by the Commission as an expert body representing government and industry.

11. Some parties have recommended that the carrier be suppressed by at least 40 db in single sideband suppressed carrier operations. In the suppressed carrier mode, it is desirable that the carrier be attenuated as much as is practicable. For regulatory purposes, however, it is found necessary to require only a minimum attenuation of 26 db below peak envelope power.

12. In connection with the assignment of a "carrier frequency" as set forth in § 9.178(c), it was noted that the term "carrier frequency" loses meaning with many types of multiplexing and data signaling. It should suffice to say that this proceeding relates only to radiotelephony and it is so entitled.

13. While this rule making adopts technical standards to allow single sideband operation, it is important to note the requirement for compatibility of operation with existing double sideband equipment. In view of this, requests for air ground operation using SSB emission with carrier suppressed more than 6 db will not be granted on a regular basis nor will the fact that a station may have only capability of 6A3 emission be in itself sufficient grounds for authorizing an additional station to serve the same area utilizing single sideband emission. Potential users of HF single sideband are not urged by this Report and Order to increase the use of high frequencies domestically and, in fact, are cautioned that there is no present reliable estimate as to how long HF air/ground communications will be justified domestically in the United States. The trend in the United States has been for domestic aviation operations to be conducted on VHF frequencies. In this connection, the Geneva Radio Regulations (1959), presently before Congress for ratification, provides, among other things, in Resolution No. 14 that administrations take the necessary steps "to make as great a use as possible of very high frequencies in order to lessen the load on the high frequency (R) bands."

14. The matter of a possible conversion program to compulsory single sideband may be considered again at a later date when development and implementation have progressed sufficiently to enable the Commission to accumulate more detailed data on equipment and systems performance under normal operating conditions.

15. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, Pursuant to the authority contained in section 303 (e), (f), and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that effective May 26, 1961, Part 9 of the Commission's rules is amended as set forth below.

16. *It is further ordered*, That the proceeding in Docket No. 11678 is terminated and the proceedings in Dockets No. 11513 and 11654 are terminated insofar as they relate to Part 9 of the Commission's rules.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Adopted: April 19, 1961.

Released: April 24, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

1. Section 9.178 is amended by adding new paragraph (c) as follows:

§ 9.178 Frequencies.

(c) The frequency coinciding with the center of an authorized bandwidth of emission shall be specified as the assigned frequency; for single sideband emission, the carrier frequency shall also be specified.

2. In § 9.179, paragraphs (b) and (c) are deleted and new paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) are added, as follows:

§ 9.179 Power.

(b) Except as indicated in paragraph (c) of this section, the power authorized for use at any station shall be specified in terms of peak envelope power at the transmitter output terminals. Peak envelope power is defined as the mean power during one radio frequency cycle at the highest crest of the modulation envelope.

(c) For stations using amplitude modulated emission and transmitting both sidebands and a full carrier, authorized power will be specified in terms of unmodulated radio frequency carrier power at the transmitter output terminals.

(d) Power may be determined either by direct measurement or by multiplying the plate input power to the final amplifier by an appropriate factor.

3. In § 9.180, the introductory text of paragraph (a) is amended, paragraphs (c) and (d) are redesignated (d) and (e), and new paragraph (c) is added, as follows:

§ 9.180 Frequency stability.

(a) Except for microwave stations, for which the frequency stability is specified in § 9.190 and when transmitting single sideband emissions, the carrier frequency of each station in the Aviation Services shall be maintained within the applicable following percentage of the assigned frequency.

(c) When transmitting single sideband emissions, the carrier frequency shall be maintained within the applicable following number of cycles per second of the specified carrier frequency.

- (1) All ground stations----- 10 cps
- (2) All aircraft stations----- 20 cps

(d) Radar transmitters operated on frequencies above 2400 Mc shall meet the following requirements in lieu of a frequency tolerance: The frequency at which maximum emission occurs shall be within the authorized frequency band and shall not be closer than 1.5/T Mc to the upper and lower limits of the author-

ized bandwidth, where T is the pulse duration in microseconds.

(e) Tolerances other than those specified in this section may be authorized upon a satisfactory showing of need therefore. In such cases, the tolerance authorized may be specified on the instrument of authorization.

4. In § 9.181, the table in paragraph (b) and paragraph (d) are amended to read as follows:

§ 9.181 Types of emission.

Class of emission	Emission designator	Authorized bandwidth	
		Below 50 Mc	Above 50 Mc
		<i>Kilocycles</i>	<i>Kilocycles</i>
A1	0.1A1	0.25	
A2	2.1A2	2.724	
A3	6A3	8.0	50
A3a ²	3A3a ²	4.0	
F1	1.7F1	1.7	
F	2.5F1	2.5	
	(1)	(1)	

¹ To be specified on the authorization.

² For the purposes of this Part A3a includes single sideband full carrier and single sideband with carrier suppressed; however, operation with carrier suppressed more than 6db below peak envelope power will be authorized only on a developmental basis except for stations operating in the aeronautical fixed service. A3a emission will be authorized only below 25,000 kc.

(d) An authorization to use radiotelephone emission will be construed to include use of tone signals or signaling devices whose sole function is to establish or maintain voice communications.

5. Section 9.183(a) (1) and (2) is amended to read:

§ 9.183 Emission limitations.

(a) * * *

(1) When using transmissions other than single sideband (A3a):

(i) On any frequency removed from the assigned frequency by more than 50 percent up to and including 100 percent of the authorized bandwidth: at least 25 decibels;

(ii) On any frequency removed from the assigned frequency by more than 100 percent up to and including 250 percent of the authorized bandwidth: at least 35 decibels.

(2) When using single sideband (A3a) transmission:

(i) On any frequency removed from the assigned frequency by more than 50 percent up to and including 150 percent of the authorized bandwidth: at least 25 decibels.

(ii) On any frequency removed from the assigned frequency by more than 150 percent up to and including 250 percent of the authorized bandwidth: at least 35 decibels.

6. Section 9.184 is revised to read as follows:

§ 9.184 Modulation requirements.

(a) When double sideband full carrier amplitude modulation is used for telephony, the modulation percentage shall be sufficient to provide efficient communication and shall normally be

maintained above 70 percent on peaks but shall not exceed 100 percent.

(b) When special types of emission are employed, the modulation requirements may be specified.

(c) In order to meet the requirements for type acceptance in the Aviation Services, a double sideband full carrier amplitude modulated radiotelephone transmitter with rated carrier power output exceeding 10 watts shall be capable of automatically preventing modulation in excess of 100 percent. In the event that operation of any licensed radiotelephone transmitter causes harmful interference to any authorized radio service because of excessive modulation, the Commission may require that the use of such transmitter be discontinued until it is rendered capable of automatically preventing modulation in excess of 100 percent.

(d) In order to meet the requirements for type acceptance in the Aviation Services, a single sideband transmitter shall be capable of operation in both of the following modes:

(1) With the carrier suppressed at least 26 db below peak envelope power.

(2) With the carrier transmitted at a level between 3 and 6 db below peak envelope power.

(e) In single sideband operation, the sideband on the higher frequency side of the carrier frequency shall be transmitted.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3844; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, Oklahoma

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

OKLAHOMA

TISHOMINGO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of upland game on the Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, Oklahoma, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 3,100 acres or 19 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Hunting is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Squirrels only, during the season specified below.

(b) Open season: May 15, 1961, through January 1, 1962.

(c) Daily bag limits: Squirrels—6 per day. Possession limit 12.

(d) Methods of hunting:

(1) Shotguns only may be used for hunting.

(2) Dogs may be used.

(3) During the open season specified in (b) above, rabbits, coyotes, bobcats, wolves and crows which are not protected under State regulations may be taken without limit on the area designated as open to hunting.

(e) Other provisions:

(1) The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32.

(2) A Federal permit is not required to enter the public hunting area, but hunters, upon entering and leaving, shall report at designated checking stations as may be established for the regulation of the hunting activity and shall furnish information pertaining to their hunting as requested.

(3) The provisions of this special regulation are effective to January 2, 1962.

JOHN C. GATLIN,
Regional Director, Bureau of
Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

APRIL 19, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3814; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Minnesota

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

MINNESOTA

RICE LAKE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Rice Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Minnesota, is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. This open area, comprising 40 acres or 7 percent of the total water area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis 8, Minnesota. Sport fishing is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Northern pike, walleyed pike, crappies, and other minor species permitted under State regulations.

(b) Open season: June 13, 1961 through September 15, 1961; daylight hours only.

(c) Daily creel limits:

Northern pike—3.
Walleyed pike—6.
Crappies—15.

Creel limits for other minor species are as prescribed by State regulations.

(d) Methods of fishing:

1. No more than one line and one hook may be used, except that three artificial flies may be used in angling for crappies and other minor species, and except that a single artificial bait or trolling spoon may contain more than one hook.

2. The use of boats, without motors, is permitted.

(e) Other provisions:

1. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33.

2. A Federal permit is not required to enter the public fishing area.

3. The provisions of this special regulation are effective to September 16, 1961.

TAMARAC NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Tamarac National Wildlife Refuge, Minnesota, is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. This open area, comprising 9,260 acres or 59 percent of the total water area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the

refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis 8, Minnesota. Sport fishing is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Northern pike, walleyed pike, bass, crappies, and other minor species permitted under State regulations.

(b) Open season: May 15, 1961 through September 30, 1961, for all species except bass; for bass the season is May 27 through September 30, 1961; daylight hours only.

(c) Daily creel limits:

Northern pike—3.

Walleyed pike—6.

Bass—6.

Crappies—15.

Creel limits for other minor species are as prescribed by State regulations.

(d) Methods of fishing:

1. No more than one line and one hook may be used, except that three artificial flies may be used in angling for crappies and other minor species, and except that

a single artificial bait or trolling spoon may contain more than one hook.

2. The use of boats is not permitted on Chippewa Lake; the use of boats, without motors, is permitted on Lost and Wauboose Lakes.

3. The use of minnows or fish, or parts thereof, either dead or alive, for bait is not permitted.

(e) Other provisions:

1. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33.

2. A Federal permit is not required to enter the public fishing area.

3. The provisions of this special regulation are effective to October 1, 1961.

R. W. BURWELL,
*Regional Director, Bureau of
Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.*

APRIL 20, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3813; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 14053; FCC 61-523]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

Table of Assignment; Athens and Coshocton, Ohio

In the matter of amendment of § 3.606 Table of assignments, Television Broadcast Stations (Athens and Coshocton, Ohio); Docket No. 14053, RM-236.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making concerning changes in the UHF television channel assignments, as discussed below.

2. The Commission has under consideration the petition of the National Educational Television and Radio Center (hereinafter referred to as NET) filed February 21, 1961, which requests the institution of rule making looking toward the assignment of Channel 20 to Athens, Ohio (wherein Ohio University is situated) and its reservation therein for noncommercial educational use. Petitioner suggests this change in the Table of Assignments may be made by deleting Channel 20 from Coshocton, Ohio (where it is unused and unapplied for), assigning it to Athens, and replacing Channel 20 in Coshocton with Channel 71. NET avers that these assignments may be made in full conformity with the Commission's rules and mileage separation requirements as well as Canadian assignments and authorizations.

3. The addition of Channel 20 to Athens is sought for the purpose of making a low UHF Channel available for which Ohio University has plans to apply. Channel 62 is presently available in Athens but NET states that the terrain in and around Athens is very hilly and the University desires, therefore, the assignment of a channel which will provide maximum coverage with minimum shadow problems. An application was filed in 1953 by Ohio University for a construction permit on Channel 62 but insufficient financial support prevented the grant of the application which was dismissed in 1960. NET states, however, that funds are now available for a television station, initial planning is underway for the filing of an application with the Commission, and that the University plans to file an "application promptly upon the reassignment of Channel 20" to Athens.

4. Upon consideration of petitioner's proposal, the Commission is of the view that rule making should be instituted so as to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on petitioner's proposal and to submit their views and relevant data. Rule making is hereby instituted looking toward the following changes in the Television Table of Assignments:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Athens, Ohio.....	62—	*20, 62—
Coshocton, Ohio.....	20	71—

5. Authority for the adoption of the amendment proposed herein is contained in sections 4 (i) and (j), 303 and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

6. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.213 of the Commission's rules, interested persons may file comments on or before May 19, 1961, and reply comments on or before May 31, 1961. In reaching its decision on the rule changes which are proposed herein, the Commission will not be limited to consideration of comments of record, but will take into account all relevant information obtained in any manner from informed sources.

7. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all written comments and statements shall be furnished to the Commission.

Adopted: April 19, 1961.

Released: April 24, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3846; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[47 CFR Part 9]

[Docket No. 14052; FCC 61-521]

AVIATION SERVICES

Developmental Operation

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. In order that the Commission may more effectively discharge its statutory responsibility to study new uses of radio and encourage the more effective use thereof in the public interest, it is proposed to make specific provision for developmental operation in the Aviation Services under the terms and conditions set forth in the attached Appendix.

3. Authority for the proposed amendment is contained in sections 301, 303 (g) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

4. Any interested person who is of the opinion that the proposed amendments should not be adopted, or should not be adopted in the form set forth herein, may file with the Commission on or before May 31, 1961, written data, views, or arguments setting forth his comments. Comments in support of the proposed amendments may also be filed on or before the same date. Rebuttal comments may be filed within ten days from the last day for filing of original comments.

The Commission will consider all such comments prior to taking final action in this matter, provided that, notwithstanding the provisions of § 1.213 of the rules, the Commission's consideration will not be limited solely to the comments filed in this proceeding.

5. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the Commission's rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs, or comments shall be furnished to the Commission.

Adopted: April 19, 1961.

Released: April 24, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

Part 9 is proposed to be amended as follows:

1. Section 9.113 is amended to read as follows:

§ 9.113 License period.

(a) For all stations in the Aviation Services, except those engaged in developmental operation, the license period is normally five years.

(b) Authorization for stations engaged in developmental operation will be made upon a temporary basis for a specific period of time, but in no event to extend beyond one year from date of grant.

2. Add new Subpart X as follows:

Subpart X—Developmental Operation

- 9.1401 Eligibility.
- 9.1402 Showing required.
- 9.1403 Limitations on use.
- 9.1404 Frequencies available.
- 9.1405 Special provisions.
- 9.1406 Change or cancellation of authorization without hearing.
- 9.1407 Report of operation.

Subpart X—Developmental Operation

§ 9.1401 Eligibility.

An authorization for developmental operation in any of the services in this part will be issued only to those applicants who are eligible to operate stations in those services on a regular basis.

§ 9.1402 Showing required.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, each application for developmental operation shall be accompanied by a showing that:

(1) The applicant has an organized plan of development leading to a specific objective;

(2) A point has been reached in the program where actual transmission by radio is essential to the further progress thereof;

(3) The program has reasonable promise of substantial contribution to the expansion or extension of the radio art, or is along lines not already investigated;

(4) The program will be conducted by qualified personnel;

(5) The applicant is legally and financially qualified, and possesses adequate technical facilities for conduct of the program as proposed; and

(6) The public interest, convenience or necessity will be served by the proposed operation.

(b) The showing under paragraph (a) of this section may not be required when an application is made for developmental operation solely for the reason that the frequency requested is restricted to such developmental use.

§ 9.1403 Limitations on use.

(a) Stations used for developmental operation shall be constructed and used in such a manner as to conform with all of the technical and operating requirements of this part, unless deviation therefrom is specifically provided for in the station authorization.

(b) All developmental operation shall be subject to the condition that no harmful interference is caused to the operation of stations licensed on a regular basis under any part of this chapter.

§ 9.1404 Frequencies available.

The frequency or frequencies assigned generally will be from those available

for the service in which operation is proposed.

§ 9.1405 Special provisions.

(a) The developmental program described in the application shall be substantially followed except as modified by the terms of any authorization which may be issued under this part.

(b) Where some phases of the developmental program are not covered by the provisions of this chapter, the authorization may specify supplemental or additional requirements or conditions in each case, as deemed necessary in the public interest, convenience, or necessity.

(c) From time to time, a station engaged in developmental work may be required, by modification of the instrument of authorization, to conduct special tests which are reasonable and desirable to the authorized developmental program.

§ 9.1406 Change or cancellation of authorization without hearing.

Every application for authority to engage in developmental operation shall be accompanied by a statement signed by the applicant in which it is agreed that any authorization issued pursuant thereto will be accepted with the expressed understanding of the applicant that it is subject to change in any of its

terms or to cancellation in its entirety at any time, upon reasonable notice but without a hearing, if, in the opinion of the Commission, circumstances should so require.

§ 9.1407 Report of operation.

A report on the results of the developmental program shall be filed with and made a part of each application for renewal of authorization, or in cases where no renewal is requested, such report shall be filed within 60 days of the expiration of such authorization. In addition, interim reports may be required in certain instances. Matters which the applicant does not wish to disclose publicly may be so labeled; they will be used solely for the Commission's information, and will not be publicly disclosed without permission of the applicant. The report or reports shall include comprehensive and detailed information on at least the following:

- (a) The final objective;
- (b) Results of operation to date;
- (c) Analysis of the results obtained;
- (d) Copies of any published reports;
- (e) Need for continuation of the program; and
- (f) Such other information as may be specified in the instrument of authorization.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3847; Filed, Apr. 26 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

[AA 643.3-0]

RAYON STAPLE FIBER FROM ITALY

Determination of No Sales at Less Than Fair Value

APRIL 21, 1961.

A complaint was received that rayon staple fiber from Italy was being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act of 1921.

I hereby determine that rayon staple fiber from Italy is not being, nor likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)).

Statement of reasons. It was determined that home market price and purchase price should be compared for fair value purposes.

In arriving at the net adjusted home market price, adjustment was made for cash discount, interest costs, selling commission, inland freight, packing, and moisture regain.

Purchase price was computed on the basis of the f.o.b. Italian port price plus the amounts of rebated transaction tax, drawback of customs duties paid, and rebated processing taxes, less freight to port.

Purchase price was higher than home market price in every instance.

This determination and the statement of reasons therefor are published pursuant to section 201(c) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(c)).

[SEAL]

A. GILMORE FLUES,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3830; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[AA 643.3-M]

PORTLAND CEMENT FROM TUNISIA

Determination of No Sales at Less Than Fair Value

APRIL 21, 1961.

A complaint was received that portland cement, other than white, nonstaining portland cement, from Tunisia, was being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act of 1921.

I hereby determine that portland cement, other than white, nonstaining portland cement, from Tunisia is not being, nor likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)).

Statement of reasons. The available information indicated that a comparison between purchase price and home market

price was appropriate for the purpose of a fair value comparison.

The purchase price was computed on the basis of the C&F price less included ocean freight and cost of spare bags included in the shipments. The home market price information did not establish the existence of any included costs, charges, or expenses for which adjustment could be made; therefore, the net home market price per unit was compared with the purchase price.

It was found that the purchase price of importations received during the period under inquiry was lower than the home market price.

All the importations were received and appraised prior to the date of the complaint. There have been no importations since August 1960. Under these circumstances, the importations in question were considered to be not more than insignificant. Upon receipt of notice that the purchase price of such prior importations appeared to be lower than the home market price, the manufacturer gave firm assurance that there would be no further shipments to the United States at less than home market price.

This determination and the statement of reasons therefor are published pursuant to section 201(c) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(c)).

[SEAL]

A. GILMORE FLUES,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3831; Filed Apr. 26, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

KEYWAYS IN KEY-TYPE LOCK BOXES

Acquisition and Standardization

Notice is hereby given that:

1. By contract dated January 25, 1961, the United States of America acquired from Yale and Towne Manufacturing Company, 11 South Broadway, White Plains, New York, all of that Company's rights in and to the keyway of that Company's Lock No. 308 (which is also sometimes known as its Lock No. C-501).

2. By contract dated February 15, 1961, the United States of America acquired from Independent Lock Company, Fitchburg, Massachusetts, all of that Company's rights in and to the keyway of that Company's Lock No. 999-RA (which is also sometimes known as a Flour City lock).

3. The United States Post Office Department has concluded to adopt as standard in all patron key-type lock boxes, the keyway used in Yale and Towne's Lock No. 308 (which is also sometimes known as its Lock No. C-501), and in Independent's Lock No. 999-RA (which is also sometimes known as a Flour City lock).

The attention of contractors and manufacturers for sale of locks, keys and keyway blanks is specifically invited to section 1704 of Title 18, United States Code, Crimes and Criminal Procedure. Among other things, section 1704 makes it a crime for any contractor or manufacturer for sale of any lock or key to deliver to any person not authorized by the Post Office Department to receive the same, any finished or unfinished lock or the interior part thereof, or any key, which is used or designed for use by the Post Office Department.

Contractors and manufacturers for sale of locks and keys are advised that, effective July 1, 1961, they may not legally:

a. Make or sell a key blank which will fit the keyway of the aforesaid Yale and Towne Lock No. 308 (which is also sometimes known as its Lock No. C-501), or make a key blank which will fit the keyway of the aforesaid Independent Lock No. 999-RA (which is also sometimes known as a Flour City lock), or

b. Cut or sell a key which will operate the aforesaid Yale and Towne Lock No. 308 (which is also sometimes known as its Lock No. C-501), or the aforesaid Independent Lock No. 999-RA (which is also sometimes known as a Flour City lock), without the prior approval and authorization of the Post Office Department.

Samples of the keyways of the aforesaid locks, and an enlarged shop's drawing thereof, are on file in the Bureau of Facilities, Post Office Department, Washington 25, D.C., and may be inspected there if a proper request is made. The drawing is No. 14-312, dated September 22, 1959, as revised November 8, 1959; January 7, 1960; May 2, 1960, and August 15, 1960.

(R.S. 161, as amended, sec. 1, 62 Stat. 778, sec. 501, 74 Stat. 580 (Pub. Law 86-682); 5 U.S.C. 22, 18 U.S.C. 1704, 39 U.S.C. 501)

[SEAL]

LOUIS J. DOYLE,
Acting General Counsel.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3848; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA

[Classification Order No. 116]

Anchorage Land District

APRIL 19, 1961.

1. Pursuant to the authority re-delegated to me from Bureau Order No. 541, dated April 21, 1954 (19 F.R. 2473), as amended, by the Anchorage Operations Supervisor in an order dated January 19, 1961 (26 F.R. 800), I hereby classify the following described public lands, totaling approximately 172.57 acres in the Anchorage Land District, Alaska, as suit-

able for lease and sale for residential purposes under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609, 43 USC 682a), as amended:

KENAI AREA

T. 5 N., R. 11 W., S.M.,
Sec. 6: Lots 41-60 inc., 62-126 inc., 133-151 inc., 159-166 inc.;
T. 5 N., R. 12 W., S.M.,
Sec. 1: Lots 2-6 inc.

Containing approximately 172.57 acres.

2. Classification of the above-described lands by this order segregates them from all appropriations, including locations under the mining laws, except as to applications under the mineral leasing laws or selections by the State of Alaska in accordance with and subject to the limitations and requirements of the Act of July 28, 1956 (70 Stat. 709; 48 U.S.C. 46-36) and section 6g of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339).

3. The lands described in paragraph 1 above were revoked from the reserve created by Public Land Order No. 487 of June 16, 1948, by Public Land Order No. 800 of February 1, 1952, but were retained in reserved status pending classification and opening under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609; 43 U.S.C. 682a), as amended.

4. The lands classified by this order shall not become subject to application under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609; 43 U.S.C. 682a), as amended, until it is so provided by an order to be issued by an authorized officer opening the lands to application or bid.

5. All valid applications filed prior to June 16, 1948, will be granted, as soon as possible, the preference right provided for by 43 CFR 257.5.

GEORGE E. M. GUSTAFSON,
Lands and Minerals Officer,
Anchorage.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3815; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

[Classification No. 120]

NEVADA

Small Tract Classification;
Amendment

Effective April 18, 1961, paragraph 1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

1. Pursuant to authority delegated to me by Bureau Order 541, dated April 21, 1954 (19 F.R. 2473), I hereby classify the following described lands, totaling 235.00 acres in Washoe County, Nevada, as suitable for sale for residence purposes under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609, 43 U.S.C. 682a), as amended:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 19 N., R. 20 E.,
Sec. 34, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

MAX W. BRIDGE,
Acting State Supervisor.

APRIL 18, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3816; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

No. 80—3

ALASKA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and
Reservation of Lands

APRIL 19, 1961.

The Fish and Wildlife Service has filed an application, Serial Number A. 054175 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws including the mining and mineral leasing laws.

The applicant desires the land for use as a Headquarters Site for the Izembek National Wildlife Range.

For a period of 60 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Anchorage Operations Office, Cordova Building, Anchorage, Alaska.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

Cold Bay Area

Beginning at a point marked by a stamped brass shell-case set in concrete, at approximate 55°12'38.96" North Latitude and 162°42'52.93" West Longitude, which point is approximately 90 feet south of mean high tide of Cold Bay, thence: South 698.44 feet; East 800.00 feet; North 850.00 feet, approximately, to a point on mean high tide of Cold Bay at approximate 55°12'38.46" North Latitude and 162°42'39.14" West Longitude; Westerly 840 feet, approximately, along line of mean high tide to a point 90 feet north of the point of beginning; South 90 feet, approximately, to the point of beginning.

Containing 15.6 acres, approximately.

L. T. MAIN,
Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3817; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and
Reservation of Lands

APRIL 19, 1961.

The Bureau of Land Management, United States Department of the Interior, has filed an application, Serial Number Sacramento 066474 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws including the general mining and mineral leasing laws.

The applicant desires the land for use as a watershed study area.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned

officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Room 1000, California Fruit Building, 4th and J Streets, Sacramento 14, California.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 21 N., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 6: Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7: Lots 1, 2, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 21 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 1: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 2: Lots 5, 6, 7;
Sec. 12: NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 22 N., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 31: Lots 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 22 N., R. 16 W.,
Sec. 17: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22: Lots 1, 2, 9, 10, 11;
Sec. 26: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 27: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34: Lots 1, 2, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described above aggregate approximately 3,694.66 acres of Federal lands.

WALTER E. BECK,
Manager, Land Office,
Sacramento.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3818; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Amendment of Proposed
Withdrawal and Reservation of
Lands

APRIL 18, 1961.

Notice of an application Serial No. Sacramento 065037, for withdrawal and reservation of lands, was published as Federal Register Document No. 61-3369 on page 3207 of the issue for April 14, 1961.

The applicant agency has requested that the notice be amended to read as follows:

Under "The lands involved in the application":

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 33 N., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 13: Lot 3 (Less patented Mineral Lots 46 and 68), NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ (except portions in M.S. Nos. 2401, 2402, and 5045), NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Under "The lands terminated":

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 33 N., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 13: Those portions of patented Mineral Lots 46 and 68 extending into Lot 3, those portions of M.S. Nos. 2401, 2402, and 5045 extending into the S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

NOTICES

The notice is corrected to include the date of May 8, 1961, as the date the terminated land is relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

WALTER E. BECK,
Manager, Land Office,
Sacramento.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3819; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

UTAH

Notice of Filing of Utah Protraction Diagrams

APRIL 19, 1961.

Notice is hereby given that effective with this publication, the following protraction diagrams are officially filed of record in the Utah Land Office, Darling Building, Salt Lake City, Utah. In accordance with 43 CFR 192.42a(c) (24 F.R. 4140, May 22, 1959), these protractions will become the basic record for the description of oil and gas lease offers, and other authorized uses filed at or subsequent to 10:00 a.m., on the thirty-first day after the publication of this notice.

Utah Protraction Diagrams Nos. 24 to 51, Inclusive

SALT LAKE MERIDIAN

Unsurveyed sections in:

No. 24

Ts. 23, 24, and 25 S., R. 8 E.,
T. 23 S., R. 8½ E.,
T. 22 S., R. 5 E.

No. 25

T. 22 S., Rs. 9, 10, 12, and 13 E.,
T. 23 S., Rs. 9, 12, and 13 E.,
T. 24 S., Rs. 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 E.,
T. 25 S., Rs. 9, 10, and 11 E.

Nos. 26 and 26A

T. 18 S., R. 25 E.,
T. 19 S., R. 26 E.,
T. 22 S., R. 26 E.,
T. 24 S., R. 24 E.,
T. 25 S., Rs. 24, 25, and 26 E.,
T. 26 S., R. 24 E.

Nos. 27, 27A, 27B and 27C

T. 25 S., R. 6 W.,
T. 26 S., Rs. 2 and 3 W.,
T. 27 S., Rs. 2½, 5, and 7 W.,
T. 28 S., Rs. 1, 2, 2½, and 5 W.

No. 28

T. 26 S., Rs. 5 and 6 E.,
T. 27 S., R. 6 E.,
T. 28 S., Rs. 5 and 6 E.,
T. 29 S., Rs. 3 and 6 E.,
T. 30 S., Rs. 4 and 6 E.

No. 29

T. 26 S., Rs. 7, 8, 9, and 10 E.,
T. 27 S., Rs. 7, 8, and 10 E.,
T. 28 S., Rs. 7 and 8 E.,
T. 29 S., R. 7 E.,
T. 30 S., R. 7 E.

No. 30

T. 26 S., R. 11 E.,
Ts. 27, 28, 28 and 30 S., R. 13 E.,
Ts. 26, 28 and 30 S., R. 14 E.

No. 31

T. 26 S., Rs. 16, 17, 17½, and 18 E.,
T. 27 S., Rs. 17, 17½, and 18 E.,
T. 28 S., Rs. 15, 16, 17, 17½, and 18 E.,
T. 28½ S., R. 18 E.,

T. 29 S., Rs. 15, 16, 17, 18, and 18½ E.,
T. 30 S., Rs. 15, 16, 17, and 18 E.

Nos. 32 and 32A

T. 25 S., Rs. 17, 17½, 18, and 19 E.,
Ts. 26 and 27 S., R. 19 E.,
Ts. 28 and 29 S., Rs. 19 and 21 E.,
T. 29½ S., R. 19 E.,
T. 30 S., Rs. 19 and 22 E.,
T. 28 S., R. 24 E.

Nos. 33 and 33A

T. 32 S., Rs. 3, 4, 4½, and 5 W.,
T. 33 S., Rs. 3, 4, and 4½ W.,
T. 34 S., Rs. 3, 4, and 4½ W.,
T. 36 S., R. 7 W.

No. 34

Ts. 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35 S., R. 2 E.,
Ts. 32, 33, and 34 S., R. 1 E.,
T. 35 S., Rs. 1 and 2 W.

No. 35

T. 30½ S., R. 5 E.,
T. 31 S., Rs. 4, 5, and 6 E.,
T. 32 S., Rs. 3, 4, 5, and 6 E.,
T. 33 S., Rs. 3, 4, and 6 E.,
T. 34 S., Rs. 3, 5, and 6 E.,
T. 35 S., Rs. 3, 4, and 6 E.

No. 36

T. 31 S., R. 7 E.,
T. 33 S., Rs. 7, 8, and 9 E.,
Ts. 34 and 35 S., Rs. 7, 8, 9, and 10 E.

No. 37

T. 31 S., Rs. 13 and 14 E.,
T. 32 S., Rs. 11, 13, and 14 E.,
T. 32½ S., R. 14 E.,
T. 33 S., Rs. 13 and 14 E.,
Ts. 34 and 35 S., Rs. 11, 12, 13, and 14 E.,
T. 35½ S., R. 13 E.

No. 38

T. 30½ S., Rs. 16, 17, and 18 E.,
T. 30½ S., R. 15 E.,
Ts. 31, 32, 33, and 34 S., Rs. 15, 16, 17, and 18 E.,
T. 35 S., Rs. 15, 16, and 18 E.

No. 39

Ts. 30½, 31, and 32 S., Rs. 19 and 20 E.,
T. 31 S., R. 21 E.,
T. 33 S., Rs. 19, 21, and 22 E.,
T. 34 S., Rs. 19, 20, 21, and 22 E.,
T. 35 S., R. 19 E.

No. 40

T. 39 S., Rs. 11 and 12 W.,
T. 40 S., Rs. 10, 10½, and 11 W.,
T. 40½ S., Rs. 9½ and 10 W.,
T. 41 S., Rs. 9½, 10, and 11 W.,
T. 42 S., Rs. 9½ and 10 W.

Nos. 41, 41A and 41B

T. 36 S., Rs. 1, 2, and 3 W.,
T. 37 S., Rs. 1, 3, and 4 W.,
T. 38 S., R. 4 W.,
T. 39 S., Rs. 1 and 2 W.,
T. 40 S., Rs. 1, 2, and 3 W.,
T. 41 S., R. 6 W.,
T. 42 S., R. 8 W.

No. 42

T. 36 S., R. 1 E.,
T. 37 S., R. 4 E.,
Ts. 38, 39 and 40 S., Rs. 1, 2, 3, and 4 E.

No. 43

Ts. 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 S., Rs. 5, 6, 7, and 8 E.

No. 44

T. 35½ S., R. 12 E.,
Ts. 36 and 37 S., Rs. 9, 10, 11, and 12 E.,
T. 38 S., Rs. 9, 9½, 10, 11, and 12 E.,
T. 38½ S., Rs. 10 and 11 E.,
Ts. 39 and 40 S., Rs. 9, 9½, 10, and 11, and 12 E.,
T. 40½ S., R. 9 E.

No. 45

T. 40 S., R. 13 E.,
Ts. 36, 37, 38 and 39 S., Rs. 13, 14, 15, and 16 E.

No. 46

T. 36 S., Rs. 19 and 20 E.,
Ts. 37 and 38 S., Rs. 17, 18, 19, and 20 E.,
T. 39 S., Rs. 17 and 20 E.

No. 47

T. 41 S., Rs. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 E.,
Ts. 42, 43 and 44 S., Rs. 5 and 6 E.,
T. 44 S., R. 1 W.,
T. 44 S., R. 1 E.

No. 48

Ts. 41 and 42 S., Rs. 7, 8, 8½, 9, and 10 E.,
T. 42½ S., Rs. 6½, 7, and 8 E.,
T. 43 S., Rs. 6½, 7, 8, 9, and 10 E.

No. 49

T. 40 S., R. 21 E.,
Ts. 41, 42 and 43 S., Rs. 18, 19, 20, and 21 E.

No. 50

Ts. 40, 41, 42 and 43 S., Rs. 22, 23, and 24 E.,
T. 41 S., R. 25 E.,
Ts. 42 and 43 S., Rs. 25 and 26 E.

Nos. 51, 51A and 51B

T. 36 S., Rs. 13 and 14 W.,
Ts. 37½, 38, 39 and 40 S., R. 14 W.,
Ts. 39 and 40 S., R. 15 W.,
T. 42 S., R. 18 W.

Copies of these diagrams are for sale at one dollar (\$1.00) each by the Utah State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Darling Building, Salt Lake City, Utah.

ERNEST E. HOUSE,
Land Office Manager,
Utah Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3820; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-175]

AMERICAN RADIATOR & STANDARD
SANITARY CORP.Notice of Issuance of Utilization
Facility Export License

Please take notice that no request for a formal hearing having been filed following filing of a notice of receipt of the application with the Office of the Federal Register, the Atomic Energy Commission has issued License No. XR-41 to American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corporation, represented by Advanced Technology Laboratories, a Division of American-Standard, authorizing export of a nuclear research reactor to Kinki University, Fuse, Osaka, Japan. The notice of filing of the application, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 7, 1961 (26 F.R. 137), described the reactor as a 0.1 watt, water-moderated, graphite-reflected, teaching and research nuclear reactor.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 18th day of April 1961.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. L. KIRK,
Deputy Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3803; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. 50-17]

INDUSTRIAL REACTOR LABORATORIES, INC., AND TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY**Notice of Issuance of Facility License Amendment**

Please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission has issued Amendment No. 7 set forth below to License No. R-46 authorizing Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc., and The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York to perform a Pressure Tube Reactor Critical Experiment, and adding certain new conditions to the license. The experiment authorized by Amendment No. 7 will be performed in the Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc., facility located in Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey, in accordance with procedures described in application for license amendment dated December 1, 1960. The Commission has found that conduct of the experiment in accordance with the terms and conditions of the license as amended will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

The Commission has reviewed the shutdown procedures submitted by the licensee on January 27, 1961. A condition has been added to the license requiring the licensee to comply with these procedures, and eliminating exceptions to these procedures proposed by the licensee.

A condition has also been added to the license requiring the licensee to report deviations from predicted operating characteristics which might otherwise not be reported.

The Commission has further found that prior public notice of proposed issuance of this amendment is not necessary in the public interest since the conduct of the proposed experiment would not present any substantial change in the hazards to the health and safety of the public from those previously considered and evaluated in connection with the previously approved operation of the facility.

In accordance with the Commission's rules of practice (10 CFR Part 2), the Commission will direct the holding of a formal hearing on the matter of issuance of the license amendment upon receipt of a request therefor from the licensee or an intervener within 30 days after the issuance of the license amendment. Petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed by mailing a copy to the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., or by delivery of a copy in person to the Office of the Secretary, Germantown, Maryland, or the AEC's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

For further details see (a) the application for license amendment by Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc., and The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York, and (b) a hazards analysis of the proposed experiment prepared by the Division of Licensing and Regulation, all on file at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. A copy of item (b) above may be obtained at the

Commission's Public Document Room, or upon request addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 20th day of April 1961.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. L. KIRK,
Deputy Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[License No. R-46; Amdt. 7]

License No. R-46, as previously amended, is further amended as follows:

1. In addition to the activities previously authorized by the Commission at the Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc. reactor facility under License No. R-46, as amended, Industrial Reactor Laboratories, Inc. and The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York are hereby authorized to perform the Pressure Tube Reactor Critical Experiment in accordance with the procedures and subject to the limitations described in the application for amendment dated December 1, 1960 and subject to the conditions contained in License No. R-46, as amended.

2. The following new conditions, 4A(5), 4C(3), and 4C(4) are added as follows:

"4A(5) Columbia University shall comply with the procedures and precautions described in its submittal dated January 27, 1961, and the following additional limitations:

a. Written procedures shall be prepared in advance and approved by the reactor supervisor or by a designated technically-qualified alternate for all operations on the reactor when it is shutdown which could involve change in core reactivity or alter the subcritical margin including the insertion or removal of in-core and core peripheral experiments, flux monitors, calibration strips, and the draining or filling of the beam tubes.

b. Columbia University shall not permit the manipulation of any core component, change in core position, installation or removal of in-core and core peripheral flux monitors, and calibration strips when the reactor is shut down except under the direct supervision of a technically qualified supervisor.

c. Columbia University shall employ without exception closely observed nuclear instrumentation at all times during the manipulation of any core component or change in the position of the core or the insertion or the removal of in-core and core peripheral experiments or any other activity which could alter the sub-critical margin when the reactor is shut down."

"4C(3) As promptly as practicable, but no later than 60 days after the initial criticality of the Pressure Tube Reactor Critical Experiments, Columbia University shall submit a written report to the Commission describing the measured values of the operating conditions or characteristics listed below and evaluating any significant variation of a measured value from the corresponding predicted value:

(1) Maximum excess reactivity of the reactor, not including the worth of control rods or other control devices such as burnable poison strips or soluble poison, or any experiments;

(2) Total control rod worth;

(3) Minimum shutdown margin both at room and operating temperature;

(4) Maximum worth of the single control rod of highest reactivity value; and

(5) Maximum total and individual worth of any fixed or movable experiments inserted in the reactor."

"4C(4) Columbia University shall promptly submit a written report to the Com-

mission whenever, during operation of the reactor any of the operating conditions or characteristics of the reactor, including those described in paragraph 4C(3) above and the application, which might affect nuclear safety, is observed to vary significantly from its predicted value."

This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

Date of issuance: April 20, 1961.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. L. KIRK,
Deputy Director,
Division of Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3804; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. 50-29]

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC CO.**Notice of Hearing**

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held to consider a report filed by Yankee Atomic Electric Company ("Yankee") dated February 13, 1961, covering operations of the reactor during the six months' period ending January 29, 1961, results of the 500 hour test run at 392 MW thermal, which concluded on February 8, 1961, and the issues specified below. The hearing will commence at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., on May 12, 1961, or on such later date as may be designated by the Presiding Officer, in the Auditorium of the Atomic Energy Commission's Headquarters, Germantown, Maryland. The hearing will be conducted by a Presiding Officer to be designated by Chief Hearing Examiner, Office of Hearing Examiners, Atomic Energy Commission. The Presiding Officer will render a decision pursuant to § 2.751(a) of the Commission's "Rules of Practice".

The issues to be considered at the hearing will be the following:

1. Whether on the basis of the report dated February 13, 1961, filed by Yankee, the processes to be performed, the operating procedures, the facility and equipment, the use of the facility and the technical specifications, collectively, provide reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation of the facility at power levels up to 392 MW thermal steady state.

2. Whether an operating license should be issued to Yankee Atomic Electric Company pursuant to § 50.56 of the Commission's regulations (10 CFR Part 50), such license to expire on a date 40 years after the date of the Construction Permit CPER-5, viz, November 4, 1997.

For further information, all interested persons are referred to Facility License No. DPR-3, as amended, and the report filed by Yankee dated February 13, 1961. These documents and the record of prior proceedings in this docket are on file and available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Prior reports of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in this matter are available for public inspection in the Public Document Room, and copies may be obtained by request

addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation. Any further reports of the Advisory Committee will be made available for public inspection in the Public Document Room upon receipt.

Petitions for leave to intervene must be received in the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or in the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, not later than May 10, 1961, or, in the event of a postponement of the hearing date specified above, at such time as the Presiding Officer may provide. Yankee shall file an answer to this notice pursuant to § 2.736 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice" on or before May 5, 1961.

Papers required to be filed with the AEC in this proceeding shall be filed by mailing to the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., or may be filed in person at the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or at the AEC's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Pending further order of the Presiding Officer, parties shall file twenty copies of each such paper with the AEC and where service of papers is required on other parties shall serve five copies of each.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 25th day of April 1961.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. LOWENSTEIN,
Acting Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3903; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
11:29 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 12078; Order No. E-16710]

FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC.; "USE IT OR LOSE IT" INVESTIGATION

Supplemental Order Expanding Investigation and To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 24th day of April 1961.

Pursuant to the order of investigation herein, Order E-16313, January 26, 1961, Frontier Airlines, Inc. (Frontier), has submitted traffic data with respect to Cheyenne, Riverton-Lander, Rock Springs, and Laramie, Wyoming, Scottsbluff, Nebraska, and Vernal, Utah.

We have carefully examined the traffic results at these points, and find, on the basis of the materials submitted by Frontier and the data contained in the attached appendix, that the following city has or may have originated less than an average of five passengers daily on the segment indicated; and that the investigation in Docket 12078 should include, in addition to the issues as outlined in our order of investigation, the issue of whether Frontier's certificate should be amended so as to delete this point from the indicated segment:

Cheyenne, Wyoming—segment 12.

We further find that there do not appear to exist such unusual circumstances with respect to the point listed above to preclude its inclusion in a "use it or lose it" investigation at this time.

Additionally, it appears that Cheyenne and Laramie might not now meet the five average daily-passenger requirement on segments 5 and 7 individually. However, the segments overlap between Denver and Riverton, and traffic at Cheyenne and Laramie over the combined segments does exceed the traffic standard. Therefore, there does not appear to be any reason to place in issue deletion of either point from Frontier's certificate on segments 5 and 7. However, since segments 5 and 7 are duplicative in part, we will direct the parties to show cause why Frontier's certificate should not be re-drafted to combine segments 5 and 7, in the following manner:

Between the terminal point Denver, Colorado, the intermediate points Cheyenne, Laramie, Rawlins, Casper and Riverton-Lander, Wyoming, and (a) beyond Riverton-Lander, the intermediate points Rock Springs, Wyoming, and Vernal, Utah, and the terminal point Salt Lake City, Utah, and (b) beyond Riverton-Lander, the intermediate point Jackson, Wyoming, and the terminal point Idaho Falls, Idaho, and (c) beyond Riverton-Lander, the intermediate points Worland and Lovell-Powell-Cody, Wyoming and the terminal point Billings, Montana.

Additionally, to preserve Frontier's existing authority over segments 5 and 7 the parties will be directed to show cause why a new condition 5(e) should not be added to Frontier's certificate indicating that the carrier "(e) may render non-stop service between Laramie and Casper, Wyo." No change in Frontier's authority will result from this simplified route description and other points on Frontier's segments 5 and 7 will not be affected thereby. Therefore, no change in Frontier's service pattern will be made by the revision.

Traffic considerations relevant to Rock Springs, Wyoming, and Vernal, Utah will be deferred until such time as the awards made in the Montana Area Local Service Case, Docket 6293, come before us for review, since Rock Springs and Vernal are on segment 7 and segment 7 traffic considerations have been deferred until we review the awards in the Montana case (Cf. Order E-16313). Therefore, no issue of deletion of either Rock Springs or Vernal from Frontier's certificate will be tried in this proceeding.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. That the investigation in Docket 12078 be expanded to include the issue of whether the public convenience and necessity require continuation, and if so, for what period, suspension, or elimination of the authority of Frontier Airlines to serve:

Cheyenne, Wyoming, on segment 12.

2. That all interested parties are directed to show cause¹ why the public convenience and necessity do not require and the Board should not order the

¹ The Board expects that any objecting parties will respond to the show cause directive with appropriate exhibits and other evidentiary submissions in further stages of this proceeding to be established later.

amendment of the certificate of public convenience and necessity of Frontier Airlines, so as to consolidate its segments 5 and 7 in the following manner:

Between the terminal point Denver, Colorado, the intermediate points Cheyenne, Laramie, Rawlins, Casper and Riverton-Lander, Wyoming, and (a) beyond Riverton-Lander, the intermediate points Rock Springs, Wyoming, and Vernal, Utah, and the terminal point Salt Lake City, Utah, and (b) beyond Riverton-Lander, the intermediate point Jackson, Wyoming, and the terminal point Idaho Falls, Idaho, and (c) beyond Riverton-Lander, the intermediate points Worland and Lovell-Powell-Cody, Wyoming and the terminal point Billings, Montana.

3. That all interested parties are directed to show cause why, if segments 5 and 7 are consolidated, a new condition 5(e) should not be added to the certificate of public convenience and necessity of Frontier to read:

(e) May render nonstop service between Laramie and Casper, Wyo.

4. That a copy of this order shall be served on all persons made parties to this proceeding by Order E-16313; and

5. That a copy of this order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL]

JAMES L. DEEGAN,
Acting Secretary.

APPENDIX A

PASSENGER ORIGINATIONS BY SEGMENT FOR POINTS SERVED ON TWO OR MORE SEGMENTS¹

	Segment No.	Passengers originated per day ²
Cheyenne.....	5	11.8
	7	2.2
	10	10.9
	12	0

¹ From data furnished by Frontier for the year ended 12-31-60 in response to the Board's request in Order E-16313.

² Frontier submitted data in the form of total passengers on and off. We have assumed that one-half of the total represents originated passengers.

³ Served for 252 days in 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3832; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 14044-14048; FCC 61M-718]

WGRY, INC. (WGRY) ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of WGRY, Inc. (WGRY), Gary, Indiana, Docket No. 14044, File No. BP-13163; KSUM Broadcasting Company (KSUM), Fairmont, Minnesota, Docket No. 14045, File No. BP-13261; Telegraph Herald (KDTH), Dubuque, Iowa, Docket No. 14046, File No. BP-13862; Prairie Radio Corporation (WPRC), Lincoln, Illinois, Docket No. 14047, File No. BP-14206; Central Wisconsin Broadcasting, Inc. (WCCN), Neillsville, Wisconsin, Docket No. 14048, File No. BP-14299; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 20th day of April 1961, that David I. Kraushaar will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on July 6, 1961, in Washington D.C.

Released: April 21, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3842; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14004; FCC 61M-719]

JACKSON BROADCASTING & TELEVISION CORP. (WKHM)

Order Rescheduling Prehearing Conference

In re application of Jackson Broadcasting & Television Corporation (WKHM), Jackson, Michigan, Docket No. 14004, File No. BP-13600; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 21st day of April 1961, that the prehearing conference now scheduled for April 26, 1961, be, and the same is hereby rescheduled for April 28, 1961, 10:00 a.m., in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: April 21, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3837; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14005; FCC 61M-716]

PARKS ROBINSON (WISV)

Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In re application of Parks Robinson (WISV), Viroqua, Wisconsin, Docket No. 14005, File No. BP-13321; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 20th day of April 1961, that pursuant to § 1.111 of the Commission's rules, a prehearing conference will be held in this proceeding on April 27, 1961, 10:00 a.m., in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: April 21, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3838; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14051; FCC 61M-707]

SEAWAY BROADCASTING CO., INC.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of revocation of construction permit of Seaway Broadcasting Company, Inc., for Standard Broadcasting Station WMPP Chicago Heights, Illinois, Docket No. 14051.

It is ordered, This 19th day of April 1961, that Charles J. Frederick will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on July 6, 1961, in Chicago, Illinois.

Released: April 20, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3839; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13289, 13290; FCC 61M-705]

WALMAC CO. ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In re applications of Howard W. Davis, tr/as The Walmac Company, San Antonio, Texas, Docket No. 13289, File No. BR-411; for renewal of licenses of Stations KMAC(AM) and KISS(FM), Docket No. 13290, File No. BRH-691.

It is ordered, This 19th day of April 1961, on the Hearing Examiner's own motion, that due to the Hearing Examiner's hearing commitments the further prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding, now scheduled at 9:00 a.m., April 28, 1961, is continued to 1:15 p.m., May 4, 1961.

Released: April 20, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3840; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 812-1334]

AMOSKEAG CO.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Exempting Loan by Investment Company

APRIL 20, 1961.

Notice is hereby given that Amoskeag Company ("Amoskeag"), Boston, Mass., a registered closed-end, non-diversified investment company, has filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order of the Commission exempting from the provisions of section 21(b) of the Act the loan by Amoskeag as hereinafter described.

Amoskeag, a New Hampshire voluntary association, owns approximately 75 percent of the outstanding voting securities of Fieldcrest Mills ("Fieldcrest"), a textile manufacturing company. The application states that Dumaines, a private New Hampshire trust, owns 26.8 percent of the outstanding voting securities of Amoskeag but has no interest in Fieldcrest except indirectly through its interest in Amoskeag. The applica-

tion further states that in order to provide Fieldcrest with additional working capital, on July 29, 1960, Amoskeag loaned \$1,200,000 to Fieldcrest evidenced by the latter's subordinated serial notes, bearing interest at the rate of 1 percent over the prime bank rate.

Section 21(b) of the Act, in pertinent part, prohibits a registered investment company from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any person who controls or is under common control with such registered company. Section 2(a)(9) of the Act provides in pertinent part that "Any person owning beneficially, either directly or through one or more controlled companies, more than 25 percentum of the voting securities of a company shall be presumed to control such company."

The application indicates that the loan was made upon the assumption that section 21(b) is applicable only when the controlling person controls both the lender and the borrower through direct holdings of voting securities of each, and not, as in the instant case, through ownership of voting securities of the lender which in turn holds voting securities of the borrower. In support of its application it is pointed out, in effect, that Dumaines has no direct interest in Fieldcrest and its interest in the transaction is identical with the interests of all of Amoskeag's security holders. It is further pointed out that there is no public interest in the Fieldcrest common stock.

Section 6(c) of the Act authorizes the Commission by order upon application to exempt, conditionally or unconditionally, any transaction from any provision of the Act or of any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that the Commission finds that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 5, 1961, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3822; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 70-3950]

PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC CO.**Notice of Proposed Issuance and Sale at Competitive Bidding of First Mortgage Bonds**

APRIL 20, 1961

Notice is hereby given that Pennsylvania Electric Company ("Penelec"), Johnstown, Pa., a public-utility subsidiary company of General Public Utilities Corporation, a registered holding company, has filed an application pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50 thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions.

All interested persons are referred to the application on file at the office of the Commission for a statement of the proposed transactions which are summarized as follows:

Penelec proposes to issue and sell pursuant to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act, \$10,000,000 principal amount of its First Mortgage Bonds, to be dated May 1, 1961, and to mature May 1, 1991. The interest rate (which shall be a multiple of $\frac{1}{8}$ of 1 percent) and the price exclusive of accrued interest, to be paid to Penelec (which shall be not less than 100 percent nor more than 102 $\frac{1}{4}$ percent of the principal amount thereof) will be determined by the competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under the Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of January 1, 1942, of Penelec to Bankers Trust Company, Trustee, as heretofore supplemented and amended, and as to be further supplemented and amended by a Supplemental Indenture.

The proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used for construction expenditures and for payment of promissory notes issued for such purpose.

The fees and expenses to be incurred by Penelec in connection with the proposed transaction are estimated to aggregate \$60,435 and include Federal issue tax of \$11,000; printing and engraving costs of \$19,400; legal fees of \$16,000; accounting fees of \$1,440; Indenture Trustee fees and expenses of \$5,300; filing, recording, and miscellaneous fees and expenses of \$7,295. The fees and expenses of the independent counsel for the underwriters, Beekman and Bogue, will be paid by the successful bidder, and are to be supplied by amendment.

It is stated that the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the State commission of the State in which Penelec is organized and doing business, has jurisdiction with respect to Penelec's proposed issuance and sale of the bonds and that a copy of the order of that Commission will be supplied by amendment. It is further stated that no other State commission, or Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 8, 1961, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matters, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the filing which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, the application, as amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 61-3823; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4336]

TELECTRO INDUSTRIES CORP.**Order Summarily Suspending Trading**

APRIL 21, 1961.

The common stock, 10 cents par value, of Telectro Industries Corp., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, April 24, 1961, to May 3, 1961, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 61-3824; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]**INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION**

[Notice 485]

**MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER
PROCEEDINGS**

APRIL 24, 1961.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's general rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 30 days from the date of service of the order. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 63143. By order of April 20, 1961, Division 3, Acting as an Appellate Division, approved the transfer to Arnold Ligon, doing business as Arnold Ligon, Specialized Hauler, Madisonville, Ky., of portion of Certificate No. MC 35396, issued October 21, 1959, to Arnold Ligon Truck Line, Inc., Madisonville, Ky., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, lumber, oak treads, oak risers, oak sills, and oak moldings, pallets, skids, bases, crates, boxes, veneer, baskets, commodities, the transportation of which, because of their size or weight, require the use of special equipment, related machinery parts, related contractors' materials and supplies, also their transportation is incidental to the transportation of such commodities, except prefabricated buildings, and except oilfield commodities, building and excavating contractors' and mining machinery and equipment, road building equipment and machinery, such commodities as require special handling because of size or weight (except oilfield commodities, such bulk commodities as are usually transported in dump vehicles, in bulk, in dump vehicles, radioactive semiprocessed feed material, in granular form, in hopper type containers, mine roof bolts, assembled or unassembled, from, to or between points and areas varying with the commodity transported, in Tennessee, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana, Minnesota, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Wisconsin, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia. Robert M. Pearce, Box 127, Frankfort, Ky., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 61-3827; Filed, Apr. 26, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—APRIL

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during April.

1 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page	9 CFR	Page
Appendix A	2887	727	3519, 3520	74	3063
3 CFR		729	3296	83	2857
PROCLAMATIONS:		730	2819	201	3361
1844	3051	811	2774	PROPOSED RULES:	
1931	3051	812	2775	17	2756, 3070, 3570
1932	3051	815	2775	10 CFR	
1938	3051	820	3358	2	3238
2035	3051	849	3520	25	3361
2060	3051	850	3296	37	2821
2061	3051	857	3520	40	3063
2218	3051	861	3266	140	3455
2219	3051	862	3266	PROPOSED RULES:	
2220	3051	877	3269	30	3571
2313	3051	902	3557	50	3030
2318	3051	913	3559	140	3204, 3490
2319	3051	914	2742	12 CFR	
2336	3051	922	2743, 3018, 3237, 3453, 3561	509	3604
2357	3051	928	2777	541	3273
2362	3051	933	2857, 3018, 3019	545	3150, 3273
2363	3051	936	3561	563	2821
2384	3051	947	3272	PROPOSED RULES:	
3019	2959	953	2743, 3020, 3062, 3237, 3453, 3521	563	2758
3383	2849	955	3454	13 CFR	
3400	2741	962	3454	108	3063
3401	2849	963	3561	120	3064
3402	2959	1001	3237	121	2778, 3064
3403	2959	1016	3092	14 CFR	
3404	2959	1031	2744	1	3274
3405	3091	1032	3454	29	3418
3406	3265	1033	2820, 3143, 3361	40	3460
3407	3399	PROPOSED RULES:		41	3461
3408	3555	52	3366, 3367, 3369	42	3461
3409	3603	68	3467	241	3020
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		319	3112	294	3100
May 24, 1905	3528	729	3249	302	3239
July 2, 1910	2797	900—1070	3311, 3474	507	2778, 3021, 3239, 3298
1896	3424	902	3106	514	2745, 3299
2242	3528	903	3566	550	3144
3797-A	3151	906	3568	600	2745, 3274, 3275, 3299, 3419, 3462-3464, 3521-3523, 3565, 3605
6132	3151	907	3478	601	2779, 3065, 3274, 3275, 3299, 3300, 3419, 3462-3465, 3521-3523, 3565, 3605, 3606
6886	3051	911	2750	602	3274, 3275
7127	3528	914	2799, 2864	608	2779, 3464, 3465
7359	3051	922	2865, 3568	609	2851, 3144
10374	3051	927	3484	610	2823, 3240
10923	3185	932	3191	PROPOSED RULES:	
10932	3051, 3265	943	2750	40	2871, 3438
10933	3185	947	2799	41	2871, 3438
10934	3233	949	2751	42	2871, 3438
10935	3507	952	2751	234	3204
10936	3555	953	3568	296	2806
5 CFR		960	3371	297	2806
6	2742, 2769, 2817, 2857, 3092, 3266, 3296, 3400, 3557	963	2801, 3431	507	2757, 3032, 3385
24	2769	967	3436	600	3113, 3156, 3278, 3538-3541, 3572
27	3186	968	2752, 3070	601	2807, 3113, 3157, 3278-3280, 3316, 3440, 3538-3541, 3572-3574
88	3508	975	3371	602	3157, 3440, 3542
350	2742	982	2755	608	3157, 3441
6 CFR		990	3030	15 CFR	
10	3055	998	2756	202	3007
421	2769, 2771, 2961, 3055	1018	3535	205	2858
430	2822	1019	2867, 2988	208	2858
438	2963	1030	2870	210	2858
464	3092	8 CFR		215	2859
482	2773, 3513	103	3563	368	3066
485	2823	211	3563	371	3066, 3069
7 CFR		214	3455, 3563	372	3066
51	2817, 3604	264	3563	373	3066
81	2819	282	3563		
301	3057, 3355, 3517	292	3563		
723	3517, 3518	299	3563		
725	3518, 3519	PROPOSED RULES:			
		231	3535		
		299	3535		

15 CFR—Continued

379	Page 3066
399	3426

16 CFR

13	2746-2748, 2823, 2824, 2964, 2965, 3100-3102, 3141, 3142, 3466
301	3186

17 CFR

1	2968
2-11	2968
15	2968, 3069
16-21	2969-2972
201	3102
230	3102, 3276
240	3102
250	3102
260	3102

PROPOSED RULES:

21	3537
239	3280
275	3070

18 CFR

2	2824, 3066
154	2850

19 CFR

4	2965
6	2779, 2966
10	2779
14	3427
16	3234, 3276
23	3022
24	2749
31	2967

20 CFR

325	3607
336	3607

21 CFR

17	3300
20	3022
120	3023, 3066, 3245
121	2780, 3143, 3245-3247, 3301, 3302
146a	2780, 2967, 2968, 3247
146c	2968, 3247
PROPOSED RULES:	
15	3070
27	3537
51	3154
120	2990, 3154, 3155, 3316
121	2807, 2990, 3155, 3156, 3249, 3438, 3538, 3570

22 CFR

22	2850
41	2780
46	3069, 3188
61	3103
201	3362

24 CFR

200	2981, 3103, 3302
222	3188
232	3363
235	3104
241	3363
268	3104
271	2981
292a	2795

25 CFR

124	3150
221	3303

26 CFR

1	2781, 2982, 3276, 3523
19	2781
151	3188

26 CFR—Continued**APPENDIX (1939):**

39	Page 3276
----	-----------

PROPOSED RULES:

1	2987
---	------

29 CFR

522	3247
526	3304

PROPOSED RULES:

602	3154
603	3154
657	3154

30 CFR**PROPOSED RULES:**

301	2799
-----	------

31 CFR

316	3401
-----	------

32 CFR

276	3524
277	3524
536	2795, 2859
750	3400
753	3400
1472	3527

33 CFR

82	3190, 3527
203	2825, 3304
208	3190
401	2972

35 CFR

4	3608
16	3142

36 CFR

6	2824
7	3363, 3431, 3564

PROPOSED RULES:

6	2750
21	3467

39 CFR

168	3305
-----	------

PROPOSED RULES:

1-204	3205
56	3566
61	3566
100-176	3311

41 CFR

1-7	3234, 3363
1-6	3234, 3363
5-2	3307

43 CFR

191	3420
192	3420
270	2985

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

201	3051
262	3528
295	3424
357	3051
436	2825
487	3616
503	2826
523	3051
800	3616
861	3529
868	2825
1359	3051
1468	2825
1805	2825
2161	3248
2165	3248
2194	3024
2290	2797
2313	2797
2314	2797

43 CFR—Continued**PUBLIC LAND ORDERS—Continued**

2315	2797
2316	2798
2317	2825
2318	2826
2319	3024
2320	3024
2321	3024
2322	3024
2323	3151
2324	3151
2325	3151
2326	3152
2327	3189
2328	3189
2329	3248
2330	3424
2331	3424
2332	3424
2333	3425
2334	3425
2335	3425
2336	3528
2337	3528
2338	3528
2340	3529

44 CFR

55	3363
60	3363
99	3363
101	3363
102	3363

46 CFR

10	3308
75	3308
187	3308
201	3189
221	3308

PROPOSED RULES:

201-380	3203
---------	------

47 CFR

1	2860, 3104
3	2861, 3105, 3152, 3529, 3608
7	2826
8	2826, 2860, 2861
9	3610
10	3105
13	3105

PROPOSED RULES:

1	3542
2	3249
3	2757, 3114, 3316, 3542, 3614
7	3163
9	3114, 3163, 3614
10	3115, 3163, 3317
11	3163
12	2875, 2876
16	3163, 3317
19	3163
21	3249

49 CFR

1	2828
136	3248
193	2863, 3309
205	3428

PROPOSED RULES:

72	3318
73	3318
74	3321
78	3321

50 CFR

32	3612
33	2798, 3153, 3363, 3428-3430, 3465, 3565, 3612
255	2986
301	3025