THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
LITTERA SCRIPTA MANET

FEDERAL REGISTER

OF THE UNITED STATES
1934

VOLUME 21 NUMBER 208

Washington, Thursday, October 25, 1956

TITLE 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 24—FORMAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR APPOINTMENT TO CERTAIN SCIENTIFIC, TECHNICAL, AND PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

1. The headnote and paragraph (a) of § 24.107 are amended as set out below.

§ 24.107 *Public Welfare Research Analyst (Child Welfare), GS-102-9-12*—(a) *Educational requirement.* Applicants must have successfully completed 1 year of graduate study in an accredited school of social work, and they must have had at graduate or undergraduate level, 9 semester hours in statistics, or 6 semester hours in statistics and 3 semester hours in methods of social research.

2. The headnote and paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 24.108 are amended as set out below.

§ 24.108 *Public Welfare Adviser (Child Welfare) and Public Welfare Adviser-Specialist (Child Welfare), GS-102-9-13*—(a) *Educational requirement.* Applicants must have successfully completed 2 years of graduate study in an accredited school of social work. This study must have included courses in case work (courses in group work for consultant on group work), and supervised field work.

(b) *Duties.* With duties varying in responsibility in accordance with the grade, Public Welfare Advisers (Child Welfare) and Public Welfare Adviser-Specialists (Child Welfare) work with public and private, national, and international groups in developing, strengthening, and extending social services to children and youth. They advise on broad phases of planning for the social well-being of children and youth (such as legislation and other protective measures including adoption safeguards and licensing of child care institutions and agencies) and on administration and standards of social services directed toward facilitating the adjustment of children in their own homes, preventing development of conditions that require removal of children from their homes, and, for the child that cannot be cared for in his own home, providing for adequate and appropriate foster care. They also advise on the technical phases of providing and improving case work services for special groups of children (such as the dependent, neglected, and delinquent), on community programs to develop and strengthen services and facilities for children, and on staff development and training to improve the quality of case work services.

3. The headnote and paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 24.109 are amended as set out below.

§ 24.109 *Social Worker (Medical and/or Psychiatric), GS-185-7-13, and Public Welfare Adviser-Specialist (Medical and/or Psychiatric), GS-102-11-13*—(a) *Educational requirement.* Applicants must have successfully completed 2 full years of graduate study in an accredited school of social work. This study must have included courses in case work and supervised field work in case work.

(b) *Duties.* (1) With duties varying in responsibility in accordance with the grade Social Workers (Medical and/or Psychiatric) are responsible for rendering psychiatric and medical social services or for directing operating medical and psychiatric social work programs in hospitals and clinics, including those of a demonstration nature in which new techniques for treatment and preventive services are tested.

(2) With duties varying in responsibility in accordance with the grade, Public Welfare Adviser-Specialists (Medical and/or Psychiatric) work with public and private, national, and international groups in developing, strengthening, and extending medical and/or psychiatric social services. They develop program standards in the field of medical and/or psychiatric social work, are responsible for the medical and/or psychiatric social component in the administration of grant-in-aid-programs, and give consultation to other Federal agencies, regional offices, State agencies, and others on the development of program policies, standards, and procedures with special reference to social and emotional factors. They also participate in com-

(Continued on p. 8177)

CONTENTS

FEDERAL REGISTER

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

CONTENTS—Continued

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

munity planning for the development and extension of health services and assist State agencies, voluntary organizations, professional schools, and others in educational activities.

4. The headnote and paragraph (b) of § 24.110 are amended as set out below.

§ 24.110 *Public Welfare Adviser (Public Assistance) and Public Welfare Adviser-Specialist (Public Assistance), GS-102-9-13.* * * *

(b) *Duties.* Public Welfare Advisers (Public Assistance) and Public Welfare Adviser-Specialists (Public Assistance) render advisory services for operating social welfare programs in the development and carrying out on a nationwide basis of a broad public welfare program for financial assistance and case work services to the needy aged, needy blind, and dependent children. They exercise leadership in assisting State welfare departments to use effectively Federal and State resources and to develop programs not only to meet economic need but also to develop and strengthen case work services. They advise State welfare departments on legislation, organization, social policies, and methods of social investigations and social treatment that will facilitate efficient and equitable administration by the States and extend to individual recipients opportunity to utilize fully benefits and services. They report back to the Federal agency on the impact of the program in communities and in typical individual case situations for the purpose of guiding the development or modification of policy.

(Sec. 11, 58 Stat. 390; 5 U. S. C. 860)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. C. HULL,
Executive Assistant.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8654; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Agricultural Marketing Service (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 52—PROCESSED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES, PROCESSED PRODUCTS THEREOF, AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS

SUBPART—UNITED STATES STANDARDS FOR GRADES OF DRIED PRUNES [1]

On July 28, 1956, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (21 F. R. 5693) regarding a proposed revision of the United States Standards for Grades of Dried Prunes.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposal set forth in the aforesaid notice, the following United States Standards for Grades of Dried Prunes are hereby promulgated pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 1087 et seq., as amended, 7 U. S. C. 1621 et seq.):

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION, VARIETAL TYPES, SIZES, GRADES

Sec.
52.3181 Product description.
52.3182 Varietal types of dried prunes.
52.3183 Sizes of dried prunes.
52.3184 Grades of dried prunes.

MOISTURE, UNIFORMITY OF SIZE, DEFECTS

52.3185 Moisture limits.
52.3186 Definitions for uniformity of size.
52.3187 Definitions and explanations of defects.

WORK SHEET

52.3188 Work sheet for dried prunes.

AUTHORITY: §§ 52.3181 to 52.3188 issued under sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 1624.

[1] Compliance with the provisions of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION, VARIETAL TYPES, SIZES, GRADES

§ 52.3181 *Product description.* Dried prunes are prepared from sound, properly matured prune plums from which the greater portion of moisture is removed by drying. The dried prunes are cleaned to assure a wholesome product and may be treated with water or steam.

§ 52.3182 *Varietal types of dried prunes*—(a) *Type I.* French; or Robe; or a mixture of French and Robe.

(b) *Type II.* Italian.

(c) *Type III.* Imperial; or Sugar; or a mixture of Imperial and Sugar.

(d) *Type IV.* Any other types; or mixtures of any types other than mixtures in Type I and Type III of this section.

§ 52.3183 *Sizes of dried prunes*—(a) *Count-sizes.* Except for U. S. Grade A or U. S. Fancy, the count-sizes of dried prunes are not incorporated in the grades of dried prunes. The count-sizes of dried prunes in this subpart refer to the count (or number) of prunes per pound of dried prunes. The various sizes of dried prunes for the respective varietal types are commonly designated as follows, but may be designated by other numerical expressions:

(1) *Type I; Type IV.* 30/40, 40/50, 50/60, 60/70, 70/80, 80/90, 90/100, 100/120, 120 and over.

(2) *Type II.* 25/35, 35/45, 30/40, 40/50, 50/60, 60/70, 70/80, 80/90, 90/100.

(3) *Type III.* 15/20, 18/24, 20/30, 30/40, 40/50, 50/60, 60/70.

(b) *Recommended size nomenclature.* The following size nomenclature is recommended for the respective "count-sizes" of dried prunes:

Extra large	Average: Not more than 43 prunes per pound.
Large	Average: Not more than 53 prunes per pound.
Medium	Average: Not more than 67 prunes per pound.
Small	Average: Not more than 85 prunes per pound.

§ 52.3184 *Grades of dried prunes.* (a) "U. S. Grade A" or "U. S. Fancy" is the quality of dried prunes that, except for mixed types, possess similar varietal characteristics; that are fairly uniform in size and average 85 prunes or less per pound; that meet the applicable moisture limits in Table IV of this subpart but regardless of size and kind of packaging are reasonably uniform in moisture; and that do not exceed the total allowances and limitations for defects shown in Table I of this subpart.

(b) "U. S. Grade B" or "U. S. Choice" is the quality of dried prunes that, except for mixed types, possess similar varietal characteristics; that are fairly uniform in size; that meet the applicable moisture limits in Table IV of this subpart but regardless of size and kind of packaging are reasonably uniform in moisture; and that do not exceed the total allowances and limitations for defects shown in Table II of this subpart.

(c) "U. S. Grade C" or "U. S. Standard" is the quality of dried prunes that, except for mixed types, possess similar varietal characteristics; that are fairly uniform in size; that meet the applicable moisture limits in Table IV of this subpart but regardless of size and kind of packaging are reasonably uniform in moisture; and that do not exceed the total allowances and limitations for defects shown in Table III of this subpart.

(d) "Substandard" is the quality of dried prunes that meet the applicable moisture limits in Table IV of this subpart but regardless of size and kind of packaging are reasonably uniform in moisture; and that may fail to meet other requirements for U. S. Grade C or U. S. Standard, but not more than 5 percent, by weight, of the dried prunes may be affected by mold, dirt, foreign material, insect infestation, or decay: *Provided*, That not more than 1 percent, by weight, of the dried prunes may be affected by decay.

TABLE I—U. S. GRADE A OR U. S. FANCY; ALLOWANCES FOR DEFECTS

Total allowance	Limitations		
Not more than a total of 10 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: Off-color. Poor texture. End cracks. Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 6 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: Poor texture. End cracks. Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 3 percent, by weight, may be affected by: Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 1 percent, by weight, may be affected by: Decay.

TABLE II—U. S. GRADE B OR U. S. CHOICE; ALLOWANCES FOR DEFECTS

Total allowance	Limitations		
Not more than a total of 15 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: Off-color. Poor texture. End cracks. Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 8 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: Poor texture. End cracks. Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 4 percent, by weight, may be affected by: Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 1 percent, by weight, may be affected by: Decay.

TABLE III—U. S. GRADE C OR U. S. STANDARD ALLOWANCES FOR DEFECTS

Total allowance	Limitations		
Not more than a total of 20 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: Off-color. Poor texture. End cracks.[1] Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 10 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: End cracks.[1] Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 8 percent, by weight, may be damaged or affected by: Skin or flesh damage. Fermentation. Scars. Heat damage. Insect injury. Other means. Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay.	Not more than 5 percent, by weight, may be affected by: Mold. Dirt. Foreign material. Insect infestation. Decay. *Provided, That* not more than 1 percent, by weight, may be affected by decay.

[1] Except that each 1 percent of end cracks to, and including, 8 percent, by weight, shall be considered as ½ percent damaged by end cracks; and any additional end cracks shall be calculated as true percentage, by weight.

MOISTURE, UNIFORMITY OF SIZE, DEFECTS

§ 52.3185 *Moisture limits.* Dried prunes shall not exceed the moisture limits for the applicable grades and kind and size of packaging as designated in Table IV of this subpart. "Moisture" means the percentage by weight of the finished dried prunes, exclusive of pits, that is moisture when determined by the Dried Fruit Moisture Tester Method or in accordance with methods that give equivalent results. The moisture limits in Table IV apply only to so-called "bulk packs" of dried prunes packaged in non-hermetically sealed containers holding 10 pounds or more of dried prunes. Such containers include, but are not limited to, wood boxes or fiber boxes.

TABLE IV—MOISTURE ALLOWANCES FOR DRIED PRUNES (Non-hermetically sealed containers; 10 pounds or more)

Grades	Maximum moisture limits	
	Counts averaging 60 or less per pound	Counts averaging 61 or more per pound
	Percent	*Percent*
U. S. Grade A or U. S. Fancy	25	24
U. S. Grade B or U. S. Choice	25	24
U. S. Grade C or U. S. Standard	25	24
Substandard	25	24

§ 52.3186 *Definitions for uniformity of size*—(a) *Fairly uniform in size.* "Fairly uniform in size" means that in a sample of 100 ounces:

(1) For prunes that average 53 prunes or less per pound, the count per pound of 10 ounces of the smallest prunes does not vary from the count per pound of 10 ounces of the largest prunes by more than 25 points; or

(2) For prunes that average 54 prunes or more per pound, the count per pound of 10 ounces of the smallest prunes does not vary from the count per pound of 10 ounces of the largest prunes by more than 45 points.

§ 52.3187 *Definitions and explanations of defects.* Dried prunes damaged or affected by the following are scorable as defects:

(a) *Off-color.* "Off-color" means a skin color different from characteristic black, blue-black, reddish-purple, or other characteristic skin color for the type.

(b) *Poor texture.* "Poor texture" means porous, woody, or fibrous flesh or immature prunes or prunes possessing flesh interspersed with excessive air pockets in which the texture of the flesh is noticeably different from the flesh of mature prunes which have been properly dried, handled, and processed. "Excessive air pockets" means that the prunes are affected by air pockets to the extent that the appearance and eating quality is seriously affected.

(c) *End cracks.* "End cracks" means callous apex-end cracks which, singly or in the aggregate on a prune, are more than ⅜ inch in length but not more than ½ inch in length.

(d) *Skin or flesh damage.* "Skin or flesh damage" means:

(1) Callous growth cracks (other than callous apex-end cracks) which, singly or in the aggregate on a prune, exceed ⅜ inch in length; and callous apex-end cracks which, singly or in the aggregate on a prune, exceed ½ inch in length.

(2) Splits or skin breaks not having callous edges when the flesh is mashed out beyond the protecting skin so as to affect materially the normal appearance of the prune;

(3) Any cracks, splits, or skin breaks open to the pit;

(4) Any skin damage from multiple short skin breaks giving a very "rough" appearance to the prune such as may result from over-dipping, rain damage, processing, or other causes which in Type I dried prunes affect materially the appearance or edibility of the prune, or which in other types or other mixtures

affect markedly the appearance or edibility of the prune.

(e) *Fermentation.* "Fermentation" means that the prune is fermented as evidenced by a distinct sour taste or odor or by darkening in color characteristic of fermentation or souring.

(f) *Scars.* "Scars" mean:

(1) Tough or thick scab which, singly or in combination on a prune, exceeds the area of a circle ⅜ inch in diameter such as may result from thrip injury, mildew, leaf chafing, limb rubs, or other means; or

(2) Scab which is not tough or thick and which, singly or in combination on a prune, exceeds the area of a circle ¾ inch in diameter and which is inconsequential but unsightly though practically blending in color with the skin on the portion of the prune not affected.

(g) *Heat damage.* "Heat damage" means burning or scorching from the sun or in dehydration so as to damage materially the skin or flesh, or both, of the prune.

(h) *Insect injury.* "Insect injury" means healed or unhealed surface blemishes and healed or unhealed blemishes extending into the flesh which affect materially the appearance, edibility, or keeping quality of the prune but which do not possess evidence of insect infestation.

(i) *Other means.* "Other means" includes damage by any injury or defect or group of defects not specifically mentioned in this section which materially affects the appearance, edibility, or keeping quality of the fruit, but "other means" does not include defects of a nature such as defined in paragraph (j), (k), (l), (m), or (n) of this section.

(j) *Mold.* "Mold" means a characteristic fungus growth as evidenced by a moldy or smutty condition and which, singly or in the aggregate on a prune, is equal to or exceeds the area of a circle ³⁄₁₆ inch in diameter.

(k) *Dirt.* "Dirt" means the presence of any quantity of such substance, whether imbedded or adhering to the prune, which gives the prune a dirty, smudgy appearance and which may not be removed readily by washing.

(l) *Foreign material.* "Foreign material" means the presence of any quantity of such substance.

(m) *Insect infestation.* "Insect infestation" means the presence of dead insects, insect fragments, or insect remains. (No live insects are permitted).

(n) *Decay.* "Decay" means a state of decomposition, wholly or in part, of the prune.

WORK SHEET

§ 52.3188 *Work sheet for dried prunes.*

Size and kind of container..........
Container mark or identification..........
Label or brand..........
Varietal type..........
Size: Count per pound (Average).......... Uniformity..........
( ) Extra large. | ( ) Large.
( ) Medium. | ( ) Small.
Moisture content..........percent; Uniformity..........
Varietal characteristics: ( ) Similar. ( ) Dissimilar.

Defects and summary of allowances [1]	Grade A maximum	Grade B maximum	Grade C maximum	Substandard maximum
Total of all defects, including off-color	10 percent	15 percent		No limit except as indicated below.
Total of all defects, including off-color and poor texture.			20 percent	
Poor texture, end cracks, skin or flesh damage, fermentation, scars, heat damage, insect injury, other means, mold, dirt, foreign material, insect infestation, decay.	But no more than 6 percent.	But no more than 8 percent.		
End cracks,[1] skin or flesh damage, fermentation, scars, heat damage, insect injury, other means, mold, dirt, foreign material, insect infestation, decay.			10 percent [2]	
Skin or flesh damage, fermentation, scars, heat damage, insect injury, other means, mold, dirt, foreign material, insect infestation, decay.			But no more than 8 percent.	
Mold, dirt, foreign material, insect infestation, decay.	3 percent	4 percent	5 percent	5 percent.
Decay	But no more than 1 percent.	But no more than 1 percent.	But no more than 1 percent.	But no more than 1 percent.

Total..........
U. S. Grade (including all factors)..........

[1] Percentages of defects are "by weight."
[2] Except that each 1 percent of end cracks to, and including 8 percent, by weight, shall be considered as ½ percent damaged by end cracks; and any additional end cracks shall be calculated as true percentage, by weight.

The United States Standards for Grades of Dried Prunes (which is the fourth issue) contained in this subpart shall become effective 30 days after the date of publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER and thereupon will supersede the United States Standards for Grades of Dried Prunes which have been in effect since June 30, 1945.

Dated: October 19, 1956.

[SEAL] FRANK E. BLOOD,
Deputy Administrator,
Marketing Service.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8600; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:45 a. m.]

Chapter III—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

[P. P. C. 612, 3d Rev., Supp. 1]

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

SUBPART—KHAPRA BEETLE

ADMINISTRATIVE INSTRUCTIONS DESIGNATING PREMISES AS REGULATED AREAS

Pursuant to § 301.76-2 of the regulations supplemental to the Khapra Beetle Quarantine (7 CFR 301.76-2, 20 F. R. 1012) under sections 8 and 9 of the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, as amended (7 U. S. C. 161, 162), revised administrative instructions issued as 7 CFR 301.76-2a (21 F. R. 6634), effective September 4, 1956, are hereby amended in the following respects:

(a) The designation as regulated areas of the following premises, included in the list contained in such instructions, is hereby revoked, and the reference to such premises in the list is hereby deleted, it having been determined by the Chief of the Plant Pest Control Branch that adequate sanitation measures have been practiced for a sufficient length of time to eradicate the khapra beetle in and upon such premises:

ARIZONA

Allen Ranch, Route 5, Box 528, Tucson.

Amado's Consumers Appliance & Fritz's Meats, 4734 East Speedway, Tucson.

Arizona Grain Storage Co., 100 South Nevada, Chandler.

Annie Black Chicken Yard, 225 West Pastime Road, Tucson.

Elloc Farm, Route 4, Box 182, Phoenix.

Frank Kornegay Farm (storage bins), 10th Street and Avenue D., Yuma.

Long Brothers Hog Feed Yard, Buckeye.

McElhaney Cattle Company, cattle feed lot, 44 North Central Avenue, located 1 mile south and 1½ miles east of Tempe, on east Broadway, Phoenix.

Norton's Used Furniture, 25 East Southern Avenue, Phoenix.

Peterson's Feed & Supply, 940 North Stone Avenue, Tucson.

Shamrock Hill Farm, P. O. Box 5524, Tucson.

Tiemann Feed & Supply Co., 2001 North Stone Avenue, Tucson.

Valley Hay Market, 334 West Prince Road, Tucson.

CALIFORNIA

Hershel Brady Ranch, 1531 East A Street, Brawley.

Fred Brown property, located southwest corner of intersection of Highway 111 and County Road 79. Mail address P. O. Box 11, Calipatria.

Hogan Dillinger Ranch, Route 2, Box 217, Brawley.

K. H. Henderson property, Route 1, Box 65, Brawley.

Ray J. Hovely Ranch, Old Calipatria Highway, 2½ miles north of Brawley, Brawley.

Johnson & Drysdale Cattle Co., Route 1, Box 143, Calexico.

Henry Kirchener Dairy, on west side of County Road East B, one-fourth mile north of County Road 28, El Centro.

Vernon G. Monte Feed Lot, Route 1, Box 120, Brawley.

Philip E. Ramirez (tenant dealer) property (Florena D. Baca, owner), 1151 N. C. Perry Avenue, mail address Route 1, Box 96A, Calexico.

Starkey Bros. Dairy, Imperial.

D & A Wittenberg Ranch, located south side of Tulare Avenue, one-half mile west of Scaroni Avenue, 3 miles west of Shafter, Route 1, Box 238, Shafter.

William Youtsler Ranch, intersection of West J and Road 58, Route 1, Brawley.

(b) The following premises are added to the list, contained in such instructions, of warehouses, mills, and other premises in which infestations of the khapra beetle have been determined to exist. Such premises are thereby designated as regulated areas within the meaning of said quarantine and regulations:

ARIZONA

Joe Carlos Market, Box 576, Sonora.

D. K. Frost Stable, Route 2, Box 129, Scottsdale.

Miller Ranch, Starr Route, Mammoth.

Miller Ranch Dry Camp No. 1, General Delivery, Mammoth.

Miller Ranch No. 2 (Holy Joe Camp), General Delivery, Mammoth.

Sherrill-LaFollette Fairview Farm, Box 105, Roll.

Synder Ranch, Box 31, Tacna.

Tortilla Ranch, located 7½ miles east and 2½ miles south of Apache Trail, Tortilla Flats.

CALIFORNIA

Ed Seigel property, located at Avenue 256, Sec. 19, T. 19 S., R. 27 E., M. D. B. & M. Mail address Box 772, Lindsay.

This amendment shall become effective October 25, 1956.

This amendment revokes the designation as regulated areas of certain premises, it having been determined by the Chief of the Plant Pest Control Branch that adequate sanitation measures have been practiced for a sufficient length of time to eradicate the khapra beetle in and upon such premises. It also adds premises to the list of premises in which khapra beetle infestations have been determined to exist, and designates such premises as regulated areas under the khapra beetle quarantine and regulations.

This amendment in part imposes restrictions supplementing khapra beetle quarantine regulations already effective. It also relieves restrictions insofar as it revokes the designation of presently regulated areas. It must be made effective promptly in order to carry out the purposes of the regulations and to permit unrestricted movement of regulated products from the premises being removed from designation as regulated areas. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the foregoing amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause is found for making the effective date thereof less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 9, 37 Stat. 318; 7 U. S. C. 162. Interprets or applies sec. 8, 37 Stat. 318, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 161)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 22d day of October 1956.

[SEAL] E. D. BURGESS,
Chief,
Plant Pest Control Branch.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8673; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:55 a. m.]

Chapter VIII—Commodity Stabilization Service (Sugar), Department of Agriculture

Subchapter B—Sugar Requirements and Quotas

[Sugar Reg. 811, Amdt. 6]

PART 811—CONTINENTAL SUGAR REQUIREMENTS AND AREA QUOTAS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Basis and purpose. The purpose of Sugar Regulation 811 is to determine, pursuant to section 201 of the Sugar Act of 1948 as amended (hereinafter called the "act"), the amount of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in the continental United States for the calendar year 1956 and to establish, pursuant to sections 202, 204 and 411 of the act sugar quotas and prorations for the supplying areas in terms of short tons of sugar, raw value, equal to the quantity determined by the Secretary of Agriculture to be needed in 1956. This regulation also establishes pursuant to section 207 the quantity of quota that may be filled by direct-consumption sugar and pursuant to section 208, quotas of liquid sugar which may be entered into the continental United States.

The act requires that the Secretary shall revise the determination of sugar requirements at such times during the calendar year as may be necessary. It now appears that an increase in the requirements for the calendar year 1956 is necessary. The purpose of this amendment is to make such determination conform to the requirements indicated on the basis of the factors specified in section 201 of the act and to establish quotas and prorations, including the apportionment of portions thereof which certain countries are unable to fill, in accordance with the provisions of the act as amended, and to give effect to such quotas. In addition to the deficits in prorations to full duty countries found and apportioned in Amendment 5, a deficit in the quota for the Virgin Islands of 3,059 short tons, raw value, equal to the increase in basic quota established in this amendment to § 811.81, is found and determined and such additional quantity is prorated to other domestic areas in § 811.83.

The quotas and prorations established herein differ from those in effect under Sugar Regulation 811, Amendments 4 and 5 (21 F. R. 6009; 7206; 7843). To permit areas for which larger quotas or prorations are hereby established to plan to market or to market in an orderly manner the larger quantity of sugar, it is essential that this amendment be made effective immediately. Therefore, it is hereby determined and found that compliance with the notice, procedure and effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001), is impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest. The amendments made herein shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (61 Stat. 922, 65 Stat. 318, 7 U. S. C. 1100, Public Law 545, 84th Congress), and the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237), §§ 811.80, 811.81, 811.82, 811.84 (a) and 811.85 (a) and (b) (1) of Sugar Regulation 811, as amended (20 F. R. 9848; 21 F. R. 2805, 4653, 5709, 6009, 7206, 7843), are further amended and § 811.83 is added to read as hereinafter set forth.

1. Section 811.80 is amended to read:

§ 811.80 *Sugar requirements, 1956.* The amount of sugar needed to meet the requirements of consumers in the continental United States for the calendar year 1956 is hereby determined to be 8,725,000 short tons, raw value.

2. Section 811.81 is amended to read:

§ 811.81 *Basic quotas for domestic areas.* There are hereby established, pursuant to subsection (a) of section 202 of the act, for domestic sugar producing areas for the calendar year 1956 the following quotas:

Area:	*Quotas in terms of short tons, raw value*
Domestic beet sugar	1,892,402
Mainland cane sugar	582,310
Hawaii	1,056,145
Puerto Rico	1,104,334
Virgin Islands	15,059

3. Section 811.82 is amended to read:

§ 811.82 *Basic quotas for other areas.* There are hereby established, pursuant to subsections (b) and (c) of section 202 of the act, for foreign countries for the calendar year 1956, the following quotas:

Area:	*Quotas in terms of short tons, raw value*
Republic of the Philippines	980,000
Cuba	2,970,960
Other foreign countries	123,790

4. A new § 811.83 is added to read as follows:

§ 811.83 *Determination and proration of area deficits*—(a) *Deficit in quota for the Virgin Islands.* It is hereby determined, pursuant to section 204 (a) of the act, that the Virgin Islands will be unable to fill the quota established in § 811.81 to the extent that such quota exceeds 12,000 short tons, raw value.

(b) *Proration of deficit in quota for the Virgin Islands.* The deficit in quota determined in paragraph (a) of this section amounting to 3,059 short tons, raw value, is hereby prorated, pursuant to section 204 (a) of the act to all other domestic areas, as follows:

Area:	*Short tons, raw value*
Domestic beet sugar	1,249
Mainland cane sugar	384
Hawaii	697
Puerto Rico	729
Total	3,059

5. Section 811.84 (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 811.84 *Proration of quotas for foreign countries other than Cuba and the Republic of the Philippines*—(a) *Prorations and apportionment of deficits.* Pursuant to section 202 (c) of the act the 1956 quota for foreign countries other than Cuba and the Republic of the Philippines is prorated; pursuant to section 204 (b) it is found that El Salvador is unable to utilize any proration of such quota and Nicaragua is unable to utilize any proration in excess of 4,530 short tons, raw value, and resulting deficits are apportioned; and pursuant to section 411, adjustments are made and the following adjusted prorations are established;

Country:	Prorations as adjusted short tons, raw value
Dominican Republic	35,573
El Salvador	------
Haiti	3,443
Mexico	14,749
Nicaragua	4,530
Peru	59,305
Unspecified countries	6,190
Total	123,790

6. Section 811.85 (a) and (b) (1) are amended to read as follows:

§ 811.85 *Direct-consumption portion of quotas or prorations*—(a) *Domestic areas.* Pursuant to subsections (a), (b) and (c) of section 207 of the act the quotas established in § 811.81 for offshore domestic areas may be filled by direct-consumption sugar not exceeding the following quantity for each such area:

Area:	Short tons, raw value
Hawaii	29,733
Puerto Rico	128,873
Virgin Islands	0

Of the quantity for Puerto Rico which may be filled by direct-consumption sugar, 126,033 short tons, raw value, may be filled only by sugar principally of crystalline structure.

(b) *Other areas.* (1) Pursuant to subsections (d), (e) and (h) of section 207 of the act, the quotas established in § 811.82 for the following listed areas may be filled by direct-consumption sugar not in excess of the following amount for each such area:

	Direct-consumption sugar short tons, raw value
Republic of the Philippines	59,920
Cuba	375,000
Other foreign countries	42,089

STATEMENT OF BASES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Requirements. The level of sugar requirements for 1956 was established at 8,675,000 short tons, raw value, on August 7, 1956.

Distribution during the first nine months of 1956 totaled 6,714,000 tons, about 220,000 tons more than in the corresponding period of 1955. Participation in the total distribution by East Coast, Gulf and West Coast suppliers and sugar beet processors differs markedly from the pattern of other recent years. The supplies most readily available to various areas of the country within present quotas reflect this unusual pattern of sugar deliveries. This supply situation, also, is reflected in price differentials between various areas and indicates that the supply may not provide for the needs of consumers in all areas for the balance of the year.

The New York price of raw sugar, duty paid, ranged between 5.83 and 6.09 cents per pound during the first half of the year, and between 6.05 and 6.15 in the third quarter except for three days in September at 6.00 and 6.02. In October such prices began at 6.15 and reached 6.25 cents on October 9. On October 3, an increase to 8.85 cents was announced in the New York wholesale price for refined sugar to be effective for shipments made after October 13. This price was made effective generally in the Northeast. In recent years refined sugar prices reached this level only during a few months in 1953. Similar increases from lower bases have been announced for other areas with the effective dates either indefinite or later than those in the Northeast.

The probable distribution pattern in the final quarter of 1956 cannot be expected to offset, geographically, the pattern followed in the first three quarters in a manner which will provide adequate availability within the present quotas. Also, shipping and other difficulties may impair the availability of remaining quota balances and the necessity for allotting certain quotas to sellers make increases late in the year difficult to fill. The possibility that the total supplies available may not be adequate to supply consumers in 1956 in all areas has already affected the stability of the market. These factors along with indication that total distribution may be higher than previously expected require making available now a limited additional quantity of sugar.

Accordingly, it is believed that quotas totalling 8,725,000 short tons, raw value, as established herein, are required to serve the objectives of the act.

Quotas. The 50,000 short tons, raw value, by which sugar requirements are increased by this amendment results in increases in the quotas for domestic areas of 27,500 tons and those of Cuba and other foreign countries of 22,500 tons. Of the total increase for domestic areas, 6,250 and 3,000 tons to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, respectively, fulfill the "priorities" established in section 202 (a) (2) of the act and the remaining 18,250 tons is distributed to all domestic areas on the basis of their quotas as adjusted by prior increases. These changes are made in § 811.81. The increases amounting to 96 percent of 22,500 tons, or 21,600 tons, for Cuba and the balance of 900 tons for "Other foreign countries" are established in § 811.82 in accordance with section 202 (c) (1) of the act.

The Virgin Islands has only a small inventory of sugar which is unlikely to be shipped. Accordingly, the 3,059 tons by which its quota is increased in § 811.81 is determined to represent a deficit and is prorated to other domestic areas in § 811.83, pursuant to section 204 (a) of the act. If shipping proves to be available, the sugar remaining in the Virgin Islands may be brought in pursuant to section 204 (c) of the act despite this action.

The increase in quota for "Other foreign countries" is prorated, 5 percent to "Unspecified countries" and the balance to the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Mexico, as provided for by sections 202 (c) (1) and 204 (b) of the act, the other specified countries being limited to their present total pursuant to section 411 of the act.

In § 811.85 (a) and (b) (1) the portions of the quotas that may be filled by direct-consumption sugar for Hawaii, Puerto Rico and "Other foreign countries" are increased by 117, 1,235 (over that established in S. R. 811, Amendment 5) and 306, short tons, raw value, respectively, as provided for in paragraphs (a), (b) and (h) (1) of section 207 of the act.

(Sec. 403, 61 Stat. 932; 7 U. S. C. 1153)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 23d day of October 1956.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE, *Acting Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8713; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:57 a. m.]

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

PART 904—MILK IN GREATER BOSTON, MARKETING AREA

TABLE OF STANDARD WEIGHTS

Findings and determinations. In accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237), there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of December 17, 1955 (20 F. R. 9495), notice that the market administrator under Order No. 4, regulating the handling of milk in the Greater Boston, Massachusetts, marketing area (7 CFR Part 904), was considering the issuance of a proposed amendment to the rules and regulations (7 CFR 904.101 et seq.) issued by him to effectuate the terms and provisions of that order.

The aforesaid notice specified that all persons who desired to submit data, views, or arguments in connection with the proposed amendment to the rules and regulations should submit them in writing to the market administrator in time to be received not later than 5:15 p. m., December 22, 1955. No such data, views, or arguments were received within the time specified in the notice.

It is hereby found and determined that the amendment to the rules and regulations herein set forth is necessary to effectuate the terms and provisions of Order No. 4, as amended, and as further amended effective November 1, 1956. Since it does not require substantial or extensive preparation by the persons affected, it is impractical and unnecessary to delay the effective date of this amendment to the rules and regulations. Therefore, pursuant to authority contained in said Order No. 4, the following amendment to the rules and regulations is hereby issued, to be effective on and after the 1st day of November 1956.

Amend the table of standard weights in § 904.141 to provide the following standard weights for half and half, to be used in the absence of specific weights:

Product	Butterfat test	Weight (pounds)	
		Per quart container	Per 40-quart container
Half and half	Any test	2.14	84.5

Issued at Boston, Massachusetts, this 19th day of October 1956.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

[SEAL] RICHARD D. APLIN,
Market Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8671; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:55 a. m.]

PART 989—RAISINS PRODUCED FROM RAISIN VARIETY GRAPES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

ORDER FURTHER AMENDING ORDER, AS AMENDED, REGULATING HANDLING

§ 989.0 *Findings and determinations*—(a) *Previous findings and determinations.* The findings and determinations set forth below in this section are supplementary, and in addition, to the findings and determinations which were previously made in connection with the original issuance of this marketing order (14 F. R. 5136) as supplemented by the findings and determinations which were made in connection with the amendment of such marketing order (7 CFR Part 989; 20 F. R. 6435) issued on August 26, 1955, and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and confirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(b) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure thereunder (7 CFR Part 900; 19 F. R. 57), a public hearing was held at Fresno, California, on June 18 and 19, 1956, upon proposed amendments of Marketing Agreement No. 109, as amended, and Order No. 89, as amended (20 F. R. 6435), regulating the handling of raisins produced from raisin variety grapes grown in California. Upon the basis of the evidence adduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is hereby found that:

(1) The said amended marketing order, as hereby further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(2) The said amended marketing order, as hereby further amended, is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activities specified or necessarily included in the proposals upon which the amendatory hearing was held; and

(3) There are no differences in the production and marketing of raisins in the production area covered by the said amended marketing order, as hereby further amended, which make necessary different terms applicable to different parts of such area.

(c) *Additional findings.* It is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making the provisions of this amendatory order effective not later than the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER; and that it would be contrary to the public interest to postpone the effective date until 30 days after such publication (see 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.). The new crop year under the existing amended marketing order began on September 1, 1956, and raisins are now being handled under the provisions of the said order. The provisions of these further amendments should be made effective as early in the crop year as practicable. The provisions of this amendatory order are well known to handlers. The public hearing in connection therewith was held at Fresno, California, on June 18 and 19, 1956, and the recommended decision and the final decision were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 8, 1956 (21 F. R. 6832), and September 27, 1956 (21 F. R. 7403), respectively. Copies of the amendments were made available to all known interested persons, and compliance with their provisions will require no advance preparation on the part of persons subject thereto.

(d) *Determinations.* It is hereby determined that:

(1) The "Agreement Further Amending the Marketing Agreement, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Raisins Produced from Raisin Variety Grapes Grown in California," upon which the aforesaid public hearing was held, has been signed by handlers (excluding cooperative associations of producers who are not engaged in processing, distributing, or shipping raisins covered by the amended order which is hereby further amended) who, during the period July 1, 1955, through June 30, 1956, handled not less than 50 percent of the volume of such raisins covered by the amended order which is hereby further amended;

(2) The issuance of this order further amending the aforesaid amended order is favored or approved by at least two-thirds of the producers who participated in a referendum on the question of its approval and who, during the determined representative period (July 1, 1955, through June 30, 1956), were engaged, within the State of California, in the production of raisin variety grapes for market; and

(3) The issuance of this order further amending the aforesaid amended order is favored or approved by producers who participated in the aforesaid referendum and who, during the determined representative period, produced at least two-thirds of the raisin variety grapes represented in such referendum and produced within the State of California for market.

It is therefore ordered, That, on and after the date of the publication of this document in the FEDERAL REGISTER, all handling of raisins produced from raisin variety grapes grown in California, shall be in conformity to, and in compliance with, the terms and conditions of the aforesaid amended order, as hereby further amended as follows:

1. Amend the provisions of § 989.52 (a) to read as follows:

§ 989.52 *Procedure.* (a) All decisions of the committee reached at an assembled meeting shall be by majority vote of the members present and a quorum must be present. All votes in an assembled meeting shall be cast in person. The presence of nine members shall be required to constitute a quorum. The committee may vote by mail or telegraph when there is no assembled meeting, but any proposition to be so voted upon first shall be explained accurately, fully, and identically in a notice by mail or telegraph to all members, or alternates acting in the place and stead of the members. Said notice shall contain a statement of a reasonable time not to exceed 10 days in which a member or alternate must vote by mail or telegraph in order that the vote may be counted. A unanimous vote of all selected and eligible members or alternates acting in the place and stead of members shall be required to reach a decision on a mail or telegraphic vote. Failure of any such member or alternate to vote within the prescribed time shall be held to be a dissenting vote. No action to recommend a marketing policy or volume regulation can be taken on the basis of a mail or telegraphic vote.

2. Amend the provisions of § 989.58 (e) to read as follows:

(e) *Options as to off-grade natural condition raisins.* Any natural condition raisins tendered to a handler which fail to meet the applicable minimum grade standards may at the option of either the handler or the person making the tender: (1) Be returned to the person tendering the raisins; (2) if storable, be turned over to the handler to be held by him as off-grade natural condition raisins for the account of the committee; or (3) be turned over to the handler for reconditioning under the terms of a written agreement between the person making the tender and the handler. If the handler is to acquire such raisins after they are reconditioned, his obligations with respect to such raisins shall be based on the weight of the raisins (if stemmed, adjusted to natural condition weight) after they have been reconditioned. If after such reconditioning, such raisins meet the minimum grade standards but are no longer natural condition raisins, any handler who acquires such raisins shall meet his surplus and reserve tonnage obligations from natural condition raisins acquired by him. Any off-grade raisins (including stemmer waste and raisin offal) accumulated as a final residual by a handler in reconditioning raisins shall, during or after reconditioning has been completed, be disposed of by the handler, without further inspection, for distillation, animal feed, or uses other than for human consumption. Off-grade raisins received by a handler for reconditioning shall be kept by him separate and apart from all other raisins until after the raisins have been reconditioned and the quality of the raisins is established by inspection and certification. The committee shall establish, with the approval of the Secretary, such rules and procedures as may be necessary to insure adequate control over the reconditioning of off-grade raisins and the use of the residual matter from such reconditioning operations.

3. Amend the provisions of § 989.59 (a) to read as follows:

§ 989.59 *Regulation of the handling of raisins subsequent to their acquisition* by handlers—(a) *Regulation.* Unless otherwise provided in this part, no handler shall: (1) Ship or otherwise make final disposition of natural condition raisins unless they meet the effective applicable minimum grade and condition standards for natural condition raisins; or (2) ship or otherwise make final disposition of packed raisins unless they at least meet the following minimum grade standards or such standards as modified pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section: (i) With respect to all raisins except Layer Muscats and Zante Currants, "U. S. Grade C" as defined in effective United States Standards for Grades of Processed Raisins; (ii) with respect to Golden Seedless and Sulfur Bleached raisins, the color requirements for "bleached color" (or "choice color") as defined in the said standards; (iii) with respect to Layer Muscat raisins, "U. S. Grade B" as defined in the said standards; and (iv) with respect to Zante Currant raisins, "U. S. Grade B" as defined in the effective United States Standards for Grades of Dried Currants: *Provided*, That nothing contained in this paragraph shall prohibit the shipment or final disposition of any raisins to which the prescribed standards are not applicable.

3a. Amend the provisions of the first paragraph of § 989.97 to read as follows:

Raisins meeting the varietal standards set forth hereinafter shall be considered as standard raisins and those failing to meet such standards shall be considered as off-grade raisins. Where the raisins in any lot consist of two or more varietal types commingled within their containers, the lot shall be considered as standard raisins if each varietal type in the lot meets the applicable minimum standards for that varietal type: *Provided*, That, in the event Layer Muscat raisins are commingled within their containers with Natural (Sun-dried) Muscat raisins, the entire lot shall be considered as Natural (sun-dried) Muscat raisins, and as standard raisins if the lot as a whole meets the minimum standards for Natural (sun-dried) Muscat raisins: *Provided further*, That, should the requirements with respect to the maximum moisture content differ as between any two or more varietal types which are commingled, the lower (lowest) maximum moisture content requirement shall apply for each varietal type. In each category, only those raisins which have been properly dried and cured in original natural condition, are free from active infestation, and are in such condition that they are capable of being received, stored, and packed without undue deterioration or spoilage, shall be considered as storable raisins.

4. Amend the provisions of § 989.59 (e) to read as follows:

(e) *Inter-plant and inter-handler transfers.* Any handler may transfer from his plant to his own or another handler's plant within the State of California any free tonnage raisins without having had such raisins inspected as provided in paragraph (d) of this section. The transferring handler shall transmit promptly to the committee a report of such transfer, except that transfers between plants owned or operated by the same handler need not be reported. Before shipping or otherwise making final disposition of such raisins, the receiving handler shall comply with the requirements of this section.

5. Amend the provisions of § 989.59 (f) to read as follows:

(f) *Off-grade raisins accumulated by handlers.* Any off-grade raisins (including stemmer waste and raisin offal) which may be received by a processor or accumulated by a handler by removing them from his standard raisins, and any raisins acquired as standard raisins by a handler which do not meet the applicable grade and condition standards for shipment or final disposition as raisins, shall be disposed of or marketed, without further inspection, for distillation, animal feed, or uses other than for human consumption: *Provided*, That this shall not preclude a packer from recovering raisins from such accumulations or acquisitions. The committee shall establish, with the approval of the Secretary, such rules and procedures as may be necessary to insure such uses. The provisions of this paragraph are not intended to excuse any failure to comply with all applicable food and sanitary rules and regulations of city, county, state, federal or other agencies having jurisdiction.

6. Amend the provisions of § 989.63 (a) to read as follows:

§ 989.63 *Recommendation for designation of percentages.* (a) If the committee concludes that the supply and demand conditions for raisins make it advisable to designate the percentages of standard raisins acquired by handlers in any crop year which shall be free tonnage, reserve tonnage, and surplus tonnage, respectively, it shall recommend such percentages to the Secretary. The committee may recommend such percentages separately, for each varietal type. The committee also shall submit, together with any recommendation with respect to percentages, the information on the basis of which such recommendation was made, and the recommendation of the board, and also shall specify for each varietal type of raisins the outlets which were considered in determining the free and surplus tonnages and the free and surplus percentages. In the event the committee subsequently deems it desirable to modify, suspend, or terminate any designation by the Secretary of such percentages, it shall submit to the Secretary its recommendation in that regard along with the information on the basis of which such modification, suspension or termination is recommended, and the recommendation of the board. The committee shall file with its recommendation to the Secretary, a verbatim record of that portion of its meeting or meetings, relating to the free, reserve, and surplus percentages. The recommendations of the committee for the fixing of the initial free, reserve, and surplus percentages for any crop year shall be made not later than October 5 of such year, but this date may be extended by the committee not more than five days if warranted by a late crop.

7. Amend the provisions of § 989.66 (e) (4) to read as follows:

(4) (i) Except as provided in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph for new handlers, each handler's share of surplus tonnage raisins offered for sale in export prior to February 1 of any crop year shall be determined as the same proportion of the quantity offered that the free tonnage raisins acquired by him during the preceding crop year is of the free tonnage raisins acquired by all handlers during the preceding crop year who remain handlers. Subsequent to January 31, each handler's share shall be determined as the same proportion of the quantity offered that the free tonnage raisins acquired by the handler during the then current crop year is of the total free tonnage raisins acquired by all handlers during the then current crop year. With respect to any offer other than the initial offer, each handler's share of the total quantity offered as of that date (the then current offer plus all prior offers of that crop year) shall first be determined by the appropriate formula. His share of the current offer shall then be determined by subtracting from his share of the total quantity offered, the total of his share of prior offers from the beginning of the crop year.

(ii) If any handler did not acquire raisins during the preceding crop year, the basis for his share of any quantity of surplus tonnage raisins offered prior to February 1 shall be his acquisitions of free tonnage raisins during the then current crop year. The current free tonnage acquisitions of all such new handlers shall, for the purpose of determining the shares of all handlers prior to February 1, be added to the total acquisitions of free tonnage raisins during the preceding crop year of all handlers in business at the time the offer is made.

(iii) If prior to February 1 of any crop year, a handler's share of any offer exceeds the quantity of surplus tonnage raisins held by him for the account of the committee (the shortage being for reasons other than deferment of his set aside obligations pursuant to § 989.66 (c)), and upon the committee concluding that the handler's acquisitions of surplus as of January 31 will exceed the total of his shares or upon said handler furnishing the committee such written undertaking secured by a bond as the committee may require, the committee may permit the handler to borrow, for a period not to exceed 30 days (or ending not later than January 31) from the date of the acceptance of the offer, raisins from any reserve tonnage held by him for the account of the committee. Any handler who has not repaid all prior loans from the reserve pool by the end of the required 30-day period or by January 31, whichever date is earlier, may not participate in any subsequent offers of surplus tonnage until the loan is repaid.

(iv) If prior to the close of any offer of surplus tonnage raisins for sale in export and subsequent to any share reservation period the entire offer has not been purchased, any handler who has purchased his entire share and makes application to the committee shall be allocated additional surplus tonnage raisins from such raisins held by him. In the event such handler no longer holds any surplus tonnage raisins for the account of the committee, the committee shall, subsequent to any period the committee may prescribe for handlers to purchase their holdings, allocate and deliver to the handler, surplus tonnage raisins held by other handlers. In making such allocation, the committee shall, insofar as is practicable, first withdraw surplus tonnage raisins from those handlers who have purchased for sale in export the smallest percentage of the surplus tonnage raisins acquired by them or who for other reasons are holding the largest percentage of their acquisitions of surplus tonnage. The cost of transporting any such surplus tonnage raisins from one handler to another shall be paid by the committee from surplus pool funds.

(v) Whenever essentially all of the surplus tonnage raisins acquired as surplus, or the reserve tonnage which becomes surplus on July 1, have been offered on a share basis, and any unpurchased or unoffered tonnage of surplus is offered to handlers, approval of applications may be made in the same order in which the applications are filed with the committee.

(vi) Whenever a handler's share or allocation pursuant to this subparagraph is less than or exceeds his holdings of surplus by a minor quantity, the committee may adjust the handler's share or allocation so as to avoid the cost of the physical transfer. The maximum quantity by which a handler's share or allocation may be so adjusted shall be prescribed in rules and procedures with respect to the allocation of surplus tonnage raisins to handlers which the committee shall establish with the approval of the Secretary.

8. Amend the provisions of § 989.67 (b) to read as follows:

(b) Reserve tonnage of any varietal type shall not be sold at a price below that which the committee concludes reflects the average price received by producers for free tonnage of the same varietal type purchased by handlers during the current crop year up to the time of any offer for sale of reserve tonnage by the committee, to which shall be added the costs incurred by the committee on account of the receiving, inspecting, storing, insuring, and holding of said raisins: *Provided*, That where the outlook for the next crop year or other factors have caused a downward trend in the prices received by producers for free tonnage raisins or in the prices received by handlers for free tonnage packed raisins, reserve tonnage may be sold to handlers at the currently prevailing or the approximate computed field price for free tonnage raisins, as determined by the committee. No offer to sell reserve tonnage raisins to handlers shall be made by the committee until five days (exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays) have elapsed from the time it files with the Secretary complete information as to varietal type, quantity, and price involved in such offer, and the Secretary may disapprove the offer or any term thereof: *Provided*, That at any time prior to the expiration of the five-day period, the offer may be made to handlers upon the committee receiving from the Secretary notice that he does not disapprove the making of the offer.

9. Amend the provisions of § 989.68 (d) and (e) to read as follows:

(d) Surplus tonnage raisins shall be sold to handlers at prices and in a manner intended to maximize producer returns and achieve complete disposition of such raisins by August 31 of the crop year. No offer to sell surplus tonnage raisins to handlers shall be made by the committee until five days (exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays) have elapsed from the time it files with the Secretary complete information as to varietal type, quantity, and price involved in such offer, and the Secretary may disapprove the offer or any term thereof: *Provided*, That at any time prior to the expiration of the five-day period, the offer may be made to handlers upon the committee receiving from the Secretary notice that he does not disapprove the making of the offer.

(e) The committee may sell surplus tonnage raisins as provided in paragraph (b) (3) of this section only when such country is not included in the list of specified countries established pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section and may sell surplus tonnage raisins to foreign government agencies or foreign importers in any country removed from such list. No agreement to sell surplus tonnage raisins shall be entered into by the committee until five days (exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays) have elapsed from the time it files with the Secretary complete information as to varietal type, quantity, price, and foreign country involved in any such proposed sale, and the Secretary may disapprove such sale or any term thereof: *Provided*, That at any time prior to the expiration of the five-day period, the sale may be made upon the committee receiving from the Secretary notice that he does not disapprove the making of the sale.

10. Amend the provisions of § 989.48 to read as follows:

§ 989.48 *Compensation and expenses*. The members of the committee and the board, and the alternate members when acting as members, shall serve without compensation but shall be allowed their necessary expenses as approved by the committee. Whenever specifically authorized in advance by the committee, or when requested to attend due to the anticipated absence of a member, an alternate member of the committee shall be reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred by him in attending not to exceed three committee meetings per crop year when the committee member for whom he serves as alternate also attends such meetings.

11. Amend the provisions of § 989.79 to read as follows:

§ 989.79 *Expenses*. The committee is authorized to incur such expenses (other than those specified in § 989.82) as the Secretary finds are reasonable and likely to be incurred by it during each crop year, for the maintenance and functioning of the committee and the board. The funds to cover such expenses shall be obtained by levying assessments as provided in § 989.80. The committee shall file with the Secretary for each crop year a proposed budget of these expenses and a proposal as to the assessment rate to be fixed pursuant to § 989.80, together with a report thereon. Such filing shall be not later than October 5 of the crop year, but this date may be extended by the committee not more than five days if warranted by a late crop. Also, it shall file at the same time a proposed budget of the expenses likely to be incurred during the crop year in connection with reserve, surplus, or off-grade raisins held for the account of the committee, exclusive of the receiving, storing, and handling expenses which are covered by a schedule of payments to handlers effective pursuant to § 989.66 (f) or any rules and procedures established by the committee, and exclusive of any expenses it may incur in connection with the disposition of such raisins and which are unknown at the time. The said report shall also cover this proposed budget.

11a. Amend the provisions of § 989.54 to read as follows:

§ 989.54 *Marketing policy*. Prior to or simultaneously with making its recommendation to the Secretary for fixing the initial free, reserve, and surplus percentages for any crop year (which shall be not later than October 5 of such crop year unless this date is extended by the committee not more than five days as provided in § 989.63 (a)), the committee shall hold a meeting to formulate and adopt a marketing policy for the marketing of raisins for the crop year and shall submit promptly to the Secretary a report setting forth its marketing policy for the regulation of the handling of raisins in such crop year. The report shall include the data and information used by the committee in formulating the marketing policy, and the recommendation of the board. In developing the marketing policy, the committee shall give consideration to the following factors with respect to each varietal type of raisins:

(a) The estimated tonnage of raisins held by producers and handlers;

(b) The estimated tonnage of raisins which will be produced during the crop year;

(c) An appraisal of the quality of raisins of the crop to be produced in such crop year, including the estimated tonnage of standard raisins and off-grade raisins, respectively;

(d) The tonnage of raisins marketed during recent crop years in the domestic market and in Canada;

(e) The tonnage of raisins marketed in recent crop years in foreign markets, segregated to show the quantities marketed from free and surplus tonnage raisins and the countries in which such raisins were marketed;

(f) The current price being received for raisins by producers and handlers;

(g) The estimated trade demand during the crop year for raisins in normal market channels both domestic and foreign;

(h) The trend and level of consumer income in the domestic market;

(i) The estimated probable market requirements for raisins during the crop year in foreign markets segregated by countries or groups of countries;

(j) Such factors, if any, which in the supplying of foreign markets, may tend to directly affect or burden the normal domestic market;

(k) Any other pertinent factors bearing on the marketing of raisins; and

(l) The conditions, including pricing formula, for the sale of surplus tonnage raisins in foreign markets pursuant to the provisions of § 989.68.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: October 19, 1956.

[SEAL] EARL L. BUTZ,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8623; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:46 a. m.]

TITLE 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

Appendix—Public Land Orders

[Public Land Order 1349]

OREGON AND ARIZONA

RESERVING LANDS WITHIN NATIONAL FORESTS FOR USE OF FOREST SERVICE AS ADMINISTRATIVE SITES

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by the act of June 4, 1897 (30 Stat. 34, 36; 16 U. S. C. 473), and otherwise, and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands within the national forests hereinafter designated, are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public-land laws, including the mining but not the mineral-leasing laws, and reserved for use of the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, as administrative sites as indicated:

OREGON

[Oregon 04881]

MALHEUR NATIONAL FOREST

Willamette Meridian

Deer Creek Administrative Site:
T. 16 S., R. 28 E.,
Sec. 14, S½NE¼.
The area described contains 80 acres.

ARIZONA

[Arizona 08106]

COCONINO NATIONAL FOREST

Gila and Salt River Meridian

Buck Springs Administrative Site:
T. 12 N., R. 11 E.,
Sec. 1, S½SE¼;
Sec. 12, NE¼.
The areas described aggregate 240 acres.

TONTO NATIONAL FOREST

Gila and Salt River Meridian

Old Pinal CCC Camp:
T. 1 S., R. 14 E.,
Sec. 22, SW¼SE¼.
The area described contains 40 acres.

This order shall take precedence over but not otherwise affect the existing reservation of the lands for national forest purposes.

F. E. WORMSER,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8631; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:47 a. m.]

TITLE 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 210—PROCUREMENT ACTIVITIES OF THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS

RULES OF THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS CLAIMS AND APPEALS BOARD

Section 210.4 is amended by adding a new paragraph (s), as follows:

§ 210.4 *Rules of the Corps of Engineers Claims and Appeals Board.* * * *

(s) *Rule 19, Appeals pending litigation.* The Board will not proceed with its consideration of an appeal if at any stage during the appellate process the same cause of action between the same parties which is the subject of the appeal is pending in litigation before any court; unless: (1) The appellant within a reasonable time obtains and files with the Board a copy of an order of such court certified by the clerk thereof staying or suspending further proceedings in such court pending completion of administrative action by the Board, or upon remand, by the contracting officer involved; and (2) the Board in its discretion undertakes to and does obtain clearance from the Department of Justice for further administrative consideration and final disposition of the appeal.

[Regs., October 11, 1956, ENGAC-12] (R. S. 161; 5 U. S. C. 22. Interprets or applies 62 Stat. 21; 41 U. S. C. 151-161)

[SEAL] HERBERT M. JONES,
Major General, U. S. Army,
Acting The Adjutant General.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8622; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:45 a. m.]

TITLE 36—PARKS, FORESTS, AND MEMORIALS

Chapter II—Forest Service, Department of Agriculture

PART 212—ADMINISTRATION OF THE FOREST DEVELOPMENT ROAD AND TRAIL FUND

CONSTRUCTION AND EXPENDITURE

1. Section 212.1 (e) is revised to read as follows:

(e) "Construction" means the supervising, inspecting, actual building, and all expenses incidental to the construction or reconstruction of a road or trail, including locating, surveying, and mapping (including establishment of temporary and permanent geodetic markers in accordance with the specifications of the Coast and Geodetic Survey in the Department of Commerce), costs of rights-of-way, and elimination of hazards of railway-grade crossings.

2. Section 212.2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 212.2 *Expenditure.* The Forest Development Road and Trail Fund shall be expended according to the relative needs of the various national forests, taking into consideration the existing transportation facilities, value of timber, or other resources served, relative fire danger, and comparative difficulties of road and trail construction.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Department of Agriculture to be affixed, in the city of Washington, this 22d day of October 1956.

(Sec. 18, 42 Stat. 216, as amended; 23 U. S. C. 19)

[SEAL] E. L. PETERSON,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8675; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:56 a. m.]

TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION

Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce

[Amdt. 16]

PART 600—DESIGNATION OF CIVIL AIRWAYS

THERMAL, CALIF., SALINAS, CALIF.; ALTERATION

The civil airway alteration appearing hereinafter has been coordinated with the civil operators involved, the Army, the Navy and the Air Force, through the Air Coordinating Committee, Airspace Panel, and is adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to public interest and therefore is not required.

Part 600 is amended as follows:

1. Section 600.6137 *VOR civil airway No. 137 (Thermal, Calif., to Salinas, Calif.)* is amended by changing the portion which reads: "point of intersection of the Bakersfield, Calif., omnirange 210° True and the Coalinga omnirange 153° True radials;" to read: "point of intersection of the Bakersfield, Calif., omnirange 210° True and the Coalinga omnirange 152° True radials;".

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interprets or applies sec. 302, 52 Stat. 985, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 452)

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e. s. t. November 1, 1956.

[SEAL] S. A. KEMP,
Acting Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8627; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:46 a. m.]

[Amdt. 16]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, AND REPORTING POINTS

ENIWETOK ISLAND; CONTROL AREA EXTENSION

The control area appearing hereinafter has been coordinated with the civil operators, the Army, the Navy and the Air Force, through the Air Coordinating Committee, Airspace Panel, and is adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to public interest and therefore is not required.

Part 601 is amended as follows:

1. Section 601.1413 is added to read:

§ 601.1413 *Control area extension (Eniwetok Island).* All the airspace from 700 feet upwards within a radius of 50 nautical miles of the Eniwetok nondirectional radio beacon at latitude 11°21′00″ North, longitude 162°20′00″ East.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interprets or applies sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

This amendment shall become effective 0001 local time November 1, 1956.

[SEAL] S. A. KEMP,
Acting Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8628; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:46 a. m.]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, AND REPORTING POINTS

CANTON ISLAND; TEMPORARY CONTROL AREA AND CONTROL ZONE

The control area and control zone appearing hereinafter are adopted to meet anticipated air traffic control requirements generated by the Melbourne Olympic Games and "Operation Deepfreeze". The control area and control zone have been coordinated with the civil operators, the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, through the Air Coordinating Committee, Airspace Panel, and are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to public interest and therefore is not required.

Part 601 is amended as follows:

1. Section 601.1414 is added to read:

§ 601.1414 *Control area extension (Canton Island).* All of the airspace from 700 feet upwards within a radius of 100 nautical miles centered on the Canton Island nondirectional radio beacon.

2. Section 601.2395 is added to read:

§ 601.2395 *Canton Island control zone.* That airspace from the surface upwards within a radius of 5 nautical miles centered on Canton Airport, at latitude 02°46′ 10″ South, longitude 171°43′15″ West.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interprets or applies sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

This amendment shall be effective from 0001 Greenwich time November 5, 1956, to 2400 Greenwich time December 31, 1956.

[SEAL] S. A. KEMP,
Acting Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8629; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:47 a. m.]

[Amdt. 218]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

PROCEDURE ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required.

Part 609 is amended as follows:

NOTE: Where the general classification (LFR, VAR, ADF, ILS, GCA, or VOR), location, and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a procedure is cancelled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended.

1. The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.6 are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.

If an LFR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums: Condition	2 engines or less: 65 knots or less	2 engines or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2 engines; more than 65 knots	If visual contact not established at authorized minimums after passing facility within distance specified or if landing not accomplished
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CLEVELAND, OHIO. Hopkins, 789′. SBRAZ-CLE. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 7. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 6, dated December 8, 1953. Major change: Missed approach altitude revised. Caution note revised.	Elyria FM	----------	1,400 (final)	S side of W course: 276° outbound. 096° inbound. 1,900′ within 10 miles.	1,400	098—0.9	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 800-2	200-½ 500-1½ 800-2	Within 0.9 mile climb to 3,000′ on N side of E course of Cleveland LFR within 20 miles. CAUTION: 1,971′ television towers approximately 7 miles ESE of airport.

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums				If visual contact not established at authorized minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	2 engines or less		More than 2 engines; more than 65 knots	
								65 knots or less	More than 65 knots		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
DAGGETT, CALIF. Daggett, 1,927' SBRAZ-DTV-DAG. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 5. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 4, dated February 12, 1955. Major changes: Conversion to nautical miles; add missed approach limit.	Daggett VOR	233—4.3	6,000	N side of W course: 244° outbound. 064° inbound. 6,000' Within 10 miles.	3,900	On airport	*T-dn C-dn A-dn	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	Within 0 mile, climb to 9,000' on E course DAG-LFR within 20 miles. NOTE: *500-1 authorized for takeoffs on runways 3 and 7.

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.8 are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.

If an ADF instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums				If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	2 engines or less		More than 2 engines (more than 65 knots)	
								65 knots or less	More than 65 knots		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
SAN JUAN, P. R. Isla Grande, 9'. SBRAZ—SJU. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 4. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 3, dated October 6, 1956. Major changes: Correct shuttle procedure.	Borinquen Radiobeacon	101—53	3,000	N side of course: 277° outbound. 097° inbound. 1,200' within 15 miles.	800	090—3.6	T-dn C-dn S-dn 9R A-dn	300-1 600-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 600-1 400-1 800-2	200-½ 600-1½ 400-1 800-2	Within 3.6 miles, climb to 1,200' on course of 090° from SJU LFR within 25 miles. SHUTTLE: 097° inbound 277° outbound on SJU LFR at 3,000' within 25 miles. CAUTION: 483' tower 2 miles SW of airport. *Privately owned facilities.
	South Caicos Radiobeacon	126—354	3,000								
	Piarco Radiobeacon (Port of Spain).	336—550	4,100								
	St. Croix Radiobeacon*	308—91	5,000								
	St. Thomas Radiobeacon*	282—69	3,000								
	Oscar-7 (Intersection 164° bearing to SJU and 196° to BQN).	164—105	3,000								
	Bronson (Intersection 126° bearing to SJU and 216° to BQN).	126—48	3,000								
	Charley Fox-2 (Intersection 029° bearing to SJU and 320° to HIV).	029—160	5,500								
	Lima-5 (Intersection 012° bearing to SJU and 312° to HIV).	012—148	5,500								

3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.9 are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses, and radials are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.

If a VOR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums: Condition	2 engines or less: 65 knots or less	2 engines or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2 engines; more than 65 knots	If visual contact not established at authorized minimums after passing facility within distance specified or if landing not accomplished
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
DAGGETT, CALIF. Daggett, 1,927'. BVOR-DAG. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 5. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 4, dated February 12, 1955. Major changes: Conversion to nautical miles; correct course from DAG-LFR; correct missed approach course.	Daggett LFR	053—4.3	6,000	N side of course: 053° outbound. 233° inbound. 6,000' within 10 miles.	4,000	233—3.6	*T-dn C-dn A-dn	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	Within 3.6 miles, climb to 6,000' on 242° radial of DAG-VOR within 20 miles. NOTE: *500-1 authorized for takeoffs on runways 3 and 7.

4. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.11 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.

If an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date.	Transition to ILS: From—	Transition to ILS: To—	Transition to ILS: Course and distance	Transition to ILS: Minimum altitudes (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope interception inbound (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—: Outer marker	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—: Middle marker	Ceiling and visibility minimums: Condition	2 engines or less: 65 knots or less	2 engines or less: More than 65 knots	More than 2 engines (more than 65 knots)	If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
CHARLOTTE, N. C. Douglas 748'. ILS-CLT. LOM-CL. Combination ILS and ADF. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 10. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 9, dated September 24, 1955. Major changes: York and Clover Intersections transition added. Changes missed approach. Deletes Sharon Intersection and Fort Mill transition.	Charlotte LFR	LOM	250—4.6	2,100	S side of SW course: 229° outbound. 049° inbound. 2,300' within 10 miles.	ILS 2,300	2,290—4.6	950—0.5	T-dn C-dn	300-1 400-1	300-1 500-1	200-½ 500-1½	Within 4.6 miles of LOM, climb to 2,300' on course of 049° from LOM or NE course ILS; when directed by ATC turn left climb to 2,500' on N course LFR (358°) within 20 miles. *400-3/4 required when glide slope not utilized. **Clover Intersection Charlotte VOR 328° and CLT-ILS-SW course. (To be shown on A-L Chart only.)
	Intersection N course Charlotte LFR and SW course ILS.	LOM	229—5.6	2,200		ADF 1,500 over LOM			S-dn 5 ADF	400-1	400-1	400-1	
	York Intersection	LOM	049—14.0	2,200					*ILS	200-½	200-½	200-½	
	Charlotte VOR	Clover Intersection**.	328—9.0	2,300					A-dn ADF	800-2	800-2	800-2	
	Clover Intersection** (final).	LOM	049—3.0	ILS 2,300					ILS	600-2	600-2	600-2	
				ADF 1,500									

ILS Standard Instrument Approach Procedure—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Transition to ILS: From—	Transition to ILS: To—	Transition to ILS: Course and distance	Transition to ILS: Minimum altitudes (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope interception inbound (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—: Outer marker	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—: Middle marker	Ceiling and visibility minimums: Condition	Ceiling and visibility minimums: 2 engines or less: 65 knots or less	Ceiling and visibility minimums: 2 engines or less: More than 65 knots	Ceiling and visibility minimums: More than 2 engines (more than 65 knots)	If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
DAYTON, OHIO. Dayton Airport, 1,008'. ILS-DAY. LOM-DA. Combination ILS-ADF. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 11. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment. 10, dated April 1, 1954. Major change: Note added.	Dayton VOR	LOM	210—6.9	2,300	W side of SW course: 236° outbound. 056° inbound. 2,300' within 10 miles.	ILS 2,300	2,270—3.8	1,235—0.6	T-dn C-dn	300-1 400-1	300-1 500-1	200-½ 500-1½	Within 3.8 miles after passing LOM (ADF), climb to 2,200' on 360° course from Tipp City MHW within 10 miles. #Intersection W course Wright Patterson LFR and SW course ILS or bearing 056° to LOM. *400-¾ required with glide slope inoperative.
	Wright-Patterson LFR	LOM	297—10.4	3,000		ADF 1,700 over LOM			S-dn 6 *ILS	200-½	200-½	200-½	
	W course Columbus LFR and ILS NE course or bearing 236° to LOM.	LOM	236—15.6	2,300					ADF	400-1	400-1	400-1	
	Liberty Intersection	LOM	015—6.2	2,300					A-dn ILS	600-2	600-2	600-2	
	Dayton VOR radial 086° and ILS NE course or bearing 236° to LOM.	LOM	236—11.3	2,300					ADF	800-2	800-2	800-2	
	West Alexandria Intersection# (final).	LOM	056—12.2	2,300 ILS 1,700 ADF									
LOUISVILLE, KY. Standiford, 497'. ILS-SDF. Back course approach. Procedure No. 2. Amendment No. 1. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment Original, dated April 23, 1955. ADF approach not authorized. This procedure authorized only for aircraft equipped to receive ILS and VOR simultaneously. Major changes: Radar transition altitude added; deletes Cave Hill Intersection; changes to nautical miles.	Louisville LFR	Jeffersonville Intersection.*	320—5.7	2,100	E side N course: 010° outbound. 190° inbound. 2,000' within 10 miles N of Jeffersonville Intersection.* Procedure turn conducted E to avoid 1,590' tower 10 miles NW of Louisville.	No glide slope 2,000' over Harbor Intersection.#	No markers Descend to landing minimums after passing Harbor Intersection.# Distance and bearing to runway 19—4.8 miles 190°.		T-dn C-dn **S-dn 19 A-dn	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	200-½ 500-1½ 500-1 800-2	4.8 miles, after passing Harbor Intersection, climb to 2,100' on S course of ILS to LOM. #Harbor Intersection N course of ILS and Louisville VOR radial 327°. *Jeffersonville Intersection: N course of ILS and Louisville radial 332°. **Do not descend below 1,100' mean sea level until past Louisville VOR 320° radial.
	Louisville VOR	Jeffersonville Intersection.*	332—13.3	2,100									
	Nabb Intersection	Jeffersonville Intersection.*	190—19.1	2,000									
	Intersection W course Louisville LFR and N course of ILS.	Jeffersonville Intersection.*	010—4.8	2,000									
	Jeffersonville Intersection	Harbor Intersection.#	190—4.8	2,000 (final)									
	Radar terminal area transitions.		Within 25 miles.	2,500									
ROCHESTER, N. Y. Monroe County, 558'. ILS-ROC. LOM-RO. LMM-OC. Combination ILS-ADF. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 5. Effective date: November 17, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 4, dated August 18, 1956. Major changes: Middle and outer marker altitudes revised.	Rochester LFR	LOM	076—3.2	2,000	N side of E course: 097° outbound. 277° inbound. 2,000 within 10 miles of LOM.	ILS 2,000	2,000—4.5	%780—0.6	T-dn ILS	300-¾	300-¾	200-½	Within 4.5 miles after passing LOM, climb to 2,000' on W course of Rochester LFR. Note: Glide slope provides approximately 183' clearance over smoke spire 756' mean sea level located 3.2 miles W of the LOM. Air Carrier Note: Takeoff on Runway 12 and landing on runway 30 not authorized. %Minimum altitude 1,300' over LMM with glide slope inoperative or when conducting ADF approach. *Circling minimums applicable for ADF approach or with glide slope inoperative. #All installed components of the ILS must be operating otherwise alternate minimums of 800-2 apply.
	Rochester VOR	LOM	096—5.2	2,000		ADF 1,500 over LOM			ADF	300-1	300-1	200-½	
	Rush Intersection	LOM	047—7.3	2,000					C-dn *S-dn 28 ILS	500-1 300-¾	600-1 300-¾	600-1½ 300-¾	
	Farmington Intersection	LOM	313—8.7	2,000					A-dn #ILS	600-2	600-2	600-2	
	Farmington Intersection	ILS E course (Final transition)	333—6.2	2,000					ADF	800-2	800-2	800-2	

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

[SEAL] JAMES T. PYLE,
Acting Administrator,
of Civil Aeronautics.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8363; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:45 a. m.]

TITLE 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce

Subchapter B—Export Regulations

[8th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 17 [1]]

PART 371—GENERAL LICENCES

PART 373—LICENSING POLICIES AND RELATED SPECIAL PROVISIONS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

1. Section 371.8 *General license GRO; shipments of non-Positive List commodities*, paragraph (c) *Surplus agricultural commodities and manufactures thereof*, subparagraph (1) *Contracts of sale amounting to $10,000 or more* is amended by postponing the effective date of the provisions of this subparagraph from October 1, 1956, to November 15, 1956.

2. Section 373.41 *Nonferrous commodities, including ores, concentrates, or unrefined products*, paragraph (b) *Nickel-copper alloy scrap* is amended to read as follows:

(b) *Nickel scrap and nickel alloy scrap*—(1) *Nickel-copper alloy scrap.* (i) License applications to export nickel-copper alloy scrap (including monel scrap), Schedule B No. 654502, will be considered for approval only where the scrap is to be exported under a conversion agreement providing that not less than 90 percent of the nickel content of the nickel-copper scrap exported will be returned to the United States in the form of nickel metal.

(ii) Such applications shall include the following certification:

I (we) certify that not less than 90 percent of the nickel content of the scrap exported will be returned to the United States in the form of nickel metal.

(iii) A copy of the Bill of Lading covering each such return shipment of the nickel metal to the United States, shall be forwarded to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Att: FC-2630, Washington 25, D. C., no later than 30 days after receipt of the shipments in the United States.

(2) *Unusable or unsalable grindings; crushed radio tubes and slags; and skimmings and drosses.* Applications for licenses to export grindings; crushed radio tubes and slags; and skimmings and drosses containing more than 1 percent chromium shall be suported by evidence of unusability or unsalability in the domestic market, as provided below. This evidence shall be submitted in the form of a letter or other statement from the applicant, supplier, or persons to whom the commodities have been offered for sale without success in the normal domestic market at reasonable and competitive prices. It shall include as a minimum, the names and addresses of the potential users to whom the commodities have been offered, the terms at which they have been offered, and the reason(s) for rejection of offers to sell.

This part of the amendment shall become effective as of October 25, 1956.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7, as amended; 50 U. S. C. App. 2023. E. O. 9630, 10 F. R. 12245, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp., E. O. 9919, 13 F. R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

LORING K. MACY,
Director,
Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8655; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

[1] This amendment was published in Current Export Bulletin No. 772, dated October 25, 1956.

TITLE 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter V—Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture

Subchapter B—Export and Domestic Consumption Programs

PART 530—POULTRY AND POULTRY PRODUCTS

SUBPART—POULTRY EXPORT PAYMENT PROGRAM XMX 87a

CLAIMS FOR PAYMENT SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The announcement with respect to the Poultry Export Payment Program XMX 87a (21 F. R. 8039) is hereby amended as follows:

Section 530.106 (a) (2) is amended to read as follows:

(2) One certified copy of the sales invoice to the buyer which will either show or be accompanied by a certified statement showing:

(i) The f. a. s. sales price to the exporter (including any export payment),

(ii) The payment to be made by the Secretary,

(iii) The balance f. a. s. U. S. port to be paid by the buyer (other charges, if any, such as ocean freight insurance, etc., shall be shown separately on the invoice), and

(iv) In the case of an invoice to a party named by the buyer as the party to be billed, the exporter shall furnish a certified copy of the directions by the buyer to bill such party;

(Sec. 32, 49 Stat. 774, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 612c)

This amendment shall become effective immediately upon issuance.

Dated: October 22, 1956.

[SEAL] HERMAN I. MILLER,
Authorized Representative of
the Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8672; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:55 a. m.]

TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 11766; FCC 56-1010]

[Rules Amdt. 3-37]

PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS; TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS (YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO, AND NEW CASTLE, PA.)

1. The Commission has before it for consideration its notice of proposed rule making issued in this proceeding on July 9, 1956 (FCC 56-631), and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 13, 1956 (21 F. R. 5236), in response to a petition filed by Community Telecasting Company requesting the substitution of Channel 33 for Channel 73 in Youngstown, Ohio, as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Youngstown, Ohio	21−, 27, 73−	21−, 27, 33
Pittsburgh, Pa	2−, 11, *13−, 16, 47−, 53+	2−, 11, *13−, 16, 22, 53+
Clarksburg, W. Va	12+, 22, 69−	12+, 69−, 79+

Comments were also requested from interested parties on three alternative proposals originally advanced on May 20, 1955, by WKST, Inc., to assign Channel 45 to Youngstown, as follows:

PLAN I

City	Present	Proposed
Youngstown, Ohio	21−, 27, 73−	21−, 27, 45−, 73−
New Castle, Pa	45−	33
Pittsburgh, Pa	2−, 11, *13−, 16, 47−, 53+	2−, 11, *13−, 16, 22, 53+
Clarksburg, W. Va	12+, 22, 69−	12+, 69−, 79+

PLAN II

City	Present	Proposed
Youngstown, Ohio	21−, 27, 73−	21−, 27, 45, 73−
New Castle, Pa	45	51+
Wheeling-Steubenville, W. Va	7, 9+, 51+	7, 9, 22
Clarksburg, W. Va	12+, 22, 69−	12+, 69−, 79+
Meadville, Pa	37	62+

PLAN III

City	Present	Proposed
Youngstown, Ohio	21−, 27, 73−	21−, 27, 45−, 73−
New Castle, Pa	45−	55−
Akron, Ohio	49+, *55−, 61+	49+, *61, 71−

Parties were also requested to comment on a proposal to assign either Channel 33 or 45 to Youngstown, Ohio-New Castle, Pa., as a hyphenated community.

2. Comments were filed by WKBN Broadcasting Corporation (WKBN-TV), The Vindicator Printing Company (WFMJ-TV), Akron Broadcasting Corporation, WKST, Inc. (WKST-TV), Community Telecasting Company (WXTV), and Myron Jones, (WHOT).

3. Community Telecasting Company is authorized to operate television Station WXTV on Channel 73 in Youngstown. In support of its petition for Channel 33, Community Telecasting alludes to the

problems inherent in the operation of television stations on the higher UHF channels in light of difficulties in obtaining proper equipment, network and advertiser reluctance in utilizing such stations, and competition with other stations in the community on lower assignments. Community Telecasting urges that no other stations would suffer loss of service as a result of its proposal and that the proposed assignments would comply with the minimum spacing requirements. Since petitioner's proposal would substitute Channel 33 for its presently authorized frequency, Community Telecasting requests that it be ordered to show cause why its outstanding authorization should not be so modified. In addition, Station WTVQ is authorized to operate on Channel 47 in Pittsburgh, and Community Telecasting requests that this station also be ordered to show cause why its authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 22, which would replace Channel 47 in Pittsburgh under petitioner's proposed amendment. Community Telecasting opposes the assignment of Channel 45 to Youngstown as a fourth assignment on the grounds that it is unnecessary and unwarranted; that it would have an economically destructive effect on existing stations; that it would delay the use of Channel 73; and that the Commission has previously denied this request.

4. WKST, Inc., is presently authorized to operate Station WKST-TV as a New Castle station. In order to implement its proposal it would be required to shift the operation of its station to Youngstown. Under Plan I, Channel 22 would replace Channel 47 in Pittsburg. Golden Triangle Television Corporation is authorized to operate Station WTVQ on this frequency. Under Plan II, Channel 22 would replace Channel 51 in Wheeling-Steubenville. Polan Industries is authorized to operate Station WLTV on this frequency. In support of its previous request to assign Channel 45 to Youngstown, in order that WKST-TV may become a Youngstown station, WKST, Inc., recited the history of the station and the arguments advanced in its former proposal, which was denied by the Commission in Docket No. 11280. (See Report and Order of January 13, 1956, FCC 56-45.) Since the contentions of WKST, Inc., are outlined therein, no useful purpose would be served by repeating them here. WKST, Inc., now states that it accepts the decision of the Commission in that Report and Order and withdraws its proposal for the three alternative plans to shift Channel 45 from New Castle to Youngstown by making shifts in other communities. WKST, Inc., submits that the Commission's conclusions in its decision apply equally well to the proposal of Community Telecasting and urges that the latter not be adopted, and asks instead that Channel 45 be assigned to Youngstown-New Castle for these reasons: (1) The hyphenated allocation can be accomplished without disrupting assignments in any other city; (2) it would permit the expansion of service to the area, including Youngstown and New Castle; (3) it would increase the local and network program choices; and (4) it would improve the opportunities for effective competition among a greater number of stations. WKST, Inc., submits that the two cities have developed as a single television market with Youngstown, the larger city, as the hub; that a station in a city (New Castle) one fourth the size of a larger city (Youngstown) and at a distance of only 17 miles cannot compete with those in the larger city; that this fact has been recognized by the stations in Youngstown and by the national networks; that a station in the area would in any event serve both cities and the surrounding area; and that the hyphenated allocation would be consistent with previous Commission policy and procedures.

5. The Vindicator Printing Company and WKBN Broadcasting Co., permittees of the two operating UHF stations in Youngstown oppose both the original proposals of WKST, Inc., and the proposal of Community Telecasting, incorporating by reference their comments made in Docket No. 11280. They further oppose the hyphenation of either Channel 33 or 45 as a Youngstown-New Castle channel, alleging that this would result in four assignments to Youngstown; that in 19 of the instances where communities were hyphenated the Commission followed the definition of standard metropolitan areas and Youngstown and New Castle are not in the same metropolitan district; and that such a hyphenated allocation would, in effect, deprive New Castle of its only assignment. They further argue that the Commission has hyphenated communities because they were considered as one business, industrial, and trading area and that this is not the case with Youngstown and New Castle. They submit that these cities are in separate states and are distinct with respect to economic market, trade area, and community of interests.

6. Akron Broadcasting Corp., licensee of radio Station WCUE in Akron, Ohio, opposes the adoption of WKST, Inc.'s alternative Plan III since it would substitute a higher UHF channel for the one presently assigned to Akron. Myron Jones, licensee of radio Station WHOT, Campbell, Ohio, urges that Youngstown is large and important enough to warrant the assignment of another UHF channel but argues that neither Community Telecasting nor WKST, Inc., should be permitted to receive Orders to Show Cause why their authorizations should not be modified to specify operation on the new assignment. Rather, he suggests that the assignment be made available to any interested party on a comparative basis.

7. In reply to the oppositions of WKBN and Vindicator, WKST, Inc., urges that the Youngstown market is large enough to support additional stations, that this area should have the opportunity to receive outlets for a third network and for other local programs, and that the existing stations are not entitled to protection from competition. With respect to the hyphenation of Youngstown and New Castle, WKST, Inc., argues that the contention that these cities are not part of the same metropolitan area and that such hyphenations only apply to such areas is without merit. It contends that the census definitions are not controlling, that groups of cities in the Sixth Report and Order do not coincide with the census definitions, and that these definitions have not been accepted by the Commission as controlling for television allocation purposes.

8. We are here presented with separate proposals: (1) Substitution of Channel 33 for Channel 73 at Youngstown; and (2) a shift of Channel 45 from New Castle to Youngstown, with another Channel (33, 51 or 55) substituted in New Castle. In our January 13, 1956, Report and Order in Docket No. 11280 (FCC 56-45), we refused to shift Channel 45 from New Castle to Youngstown. Our decision was predicated on the fact that, in addition to two operating television stations in Youngstown, there was a recent grant of a construction permit for a new station on Channel 73; so it appeared that Youngstown would soon thereafter have three stations. We held that the assignment of a fourth channel to Youngstown, which would necessitate the substitution of channels in other communities, was not warranted. However, the permittee of Channel 73 has not constructed its station; it now asks that its channel be deleted and that Channel 33 be substituted therefor. While we conclude that the objections to the previous proposal to shift Channel 45 still obtain, it now appears that, if a lower UHF channel is not assigned to Youngstown, there will not be three—much less four—television stations on the air in the area in the immediately foreseeable future. Thus, an allocation of a lower channel in the community may very well result in an earlier commencement of an additional service.

9. Channel 45 can be allocated to the Youngstown area by changing the assignment of that channel from New Castle to the hyphenated allocation, Youngstown-New Castle. This shift has certain advantages over the various proposals advanced by the parties. No other assignments would be disrupted. Since Station WKST-TV operated on Channel 45 in New Castle until January 14, 1955, many receivers in the hands of the public are capable of receiving that channel. Thus, we conclude that Channel 45 should be allocated to Youngstown-New Castle.

10. We are not unmindful of the contentions of some of the parties concerning hyphenation of cities for channel allocation purposes. This assignment principle has been used for various reasons, including among others, the question of trade, industrial and cultural unity between cities. Upon a careful review of all the arguments and data in this proceeding, we are of the view that the assignment of Channel 45 to Youngstown-New Castle would serve the public interest.

11. We have also considered the advisability of substituting Channel 33 for Channel 73 in Youngstown. This proposal would necessitate shifting channels in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and Clarks-

burg, West Virginia. We believe that such channel changes are not warranted for the same reasons noted in our Report and Order of January 11, 1956 (Docket No. 11280).

12. WKST, Inc., has asked that it be issued an Order to Show Cause why its authorization should not be changed to specify operation on Channel 45 in Youngstown. We are of the view that no show cause order should be issued in this proceeding.

13. Authority for the adoption of the subject amendment to § 3.606 of the Commission's rules is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), 307 (b) and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

14. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That effective November 21, 1956, the Table of Assignments contained in § 3.606 of the Commission's rules and regulations, is amended, insofar as the communities named are concerned, as follows:

City:	Channel No.
Youngstown, Ohio (see also Youngstown, Ohio-New Castle, Pa.)	21—, 27, 73—
Youngstown, Ohio-New Castle, Pa.	45—
New Castle, Pa. (see Youngstown, Ohio-New Castle, Pa.)	---1—

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies secs. 301, 303, 307, 48 Stat. 1081, 1082, 1083; 47 U. S. C. 301, 303, 307)

Adopted: October 17, 1956.

Released: October 22, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8663; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

[1] Commissioner Hyde not participating; Commissioner Bartley concurring but would vote to add Channel 33 in addition to Channel 45 inasmuch as it is also available; Commissioner Craven disqualifying himself from participation in this matter.

PROPOSED RULE-MAKING

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[25 CFR Part 3]

OPERATION OF U. S. M. S. "NORTH STAR" BETWEEN SEATTLE, WASH. AND STATIONS OF THE BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, ALASKA

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Notice is hereby given of intention to revise the regulations dealing with the operation of the U. S. M. S. "North Star," to read as set forth below. The primary effects of this revision are to delegate to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs authority to establish rates for passage on the "North Star" and to modify certain operating procedures.

All interested persons are hereby given the opportunity to submit in writing views, data and arguments concerning the proposed revision to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

AUTHORITY: §§ 3.1 to 3.9 issued under R. S. 161; 5 U. S. C. 22. R. S. sec. 463, 25 U. S. C. 2; 63 Stat. 84.

§ 3.1 *Responsibility for operation.* (a) The Administrative Officer and Special Representative of the Area Director, Juneau Area Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, with offices in Seattle, Washington, has responsibility for the operation of the ship for the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, including repair, upkeep, payment of bills and employment of personnel.

(b) Itineraries for each voyage shall be made by the Administrative Officer and Special Representative in consultation with the Area Director of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Juneau, Alaska. Preference is to be accorded to the work of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The Area Director is vested with authority to direct the use of the ship to perform special services which may arise and to act in any emergency.

§ 3.2 *Transportation of freight for Federal agencies and others.* (a) All agencies of the Federal Government, including activities of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Alaskan Natives, cooperatives of Alaskan Natives, business enterprises owned and operated by Alaskan Natives and Federal employees shall be charged for freight, lighterage and longshoring and other terminal charges in accordance with tariff rates and terminal charges established by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

(b) Baggage and other personal property of passengers that cannot be readily accommodated in the passengers' staterooms will be manifested as freight and charged for at the established rates.

(c) Commercial freight may be carried between points where adequate service is not provided by commercial vessels. Commercial freight, when carried, shall be prepaid at the same tariff rates established under paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) All freight accepted must be properly manifested, giving the name and address of the consignor and the consignee, and a description of the goods, including gross weight.

(e) Freight will be accepted only on condition that the United States will not be held responsible for any loss, damage or non-delivery.

§ 3.3 *Employment of Natives.* Natives shall be employed on the "North Star" to fill all positions for which they are qualified.

§ 3.4 *Prohibition of trade with Natives.* Officers and members of the crew on the vessel are prohibited from engaging in trade with the Natives.

§ 3.5 *Passenger rates.* Rates for transportation of passengers shall be established by the Commissioner and shall be the same for all passengers, except as provided in § 3.7. Rates shall be on file for public inspection at the Bureau of Indian Affairs offices in Seattle, Washington and Juneau, Alaska.

§ 3.6 *Private passengers.* No private passengers (except Federal employees and their families and Natives of Alaska) shall be transported on the "North Star" between points where adequate services are provided by commercial vessels. Where such commercial vessels are not available, and where accommodations can be furnished on the "North Star" without detriment to Government business, private travelers may be transported at the rates established pursuant to § 3.5.

§ 3.7 *Transportation of children.* The rates established for the transportation of children shall be subject to the following rules:

(a) No charge shall be made for transportation of children under two years of age.

(b) Children who have reached their second birthday, but not their twelfth birthday shall be transported at half the rate established pursuant to § 3.5 for all other passengers.

§ 3.8 *Transportation of Federal employees and their families and Natives.* (a) All agencies of the Federal Government will be billed at the rates established pursuant to § 3.5 for each employee traveling on official business.

(b) When not in official travel status, Federal employees and families of Federal employees will be transported at the rates established pursuant to § 3.5.

(c) Natives of Alaska, who are not indigent, will be charged the same rates as all other passengers.

§ 3.9 *Preferential passengers.* In the booking of passage on the ship, preference shall be given to employees of the Bureau of Indian Affairs and their families and to Natives of Alaska.

F. E. WORMSER,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8635; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:48 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 908]

[Docket No. AO-243-A4]

MILK IN CENTRAL ARKANSAS MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREEMENT AND TO ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held in the Hearing Room, Fish and Game Building, State Capitol Grounds, Little Rock, Arkansas, beginning at 10:00 a. m., c. s. t., Tuesday, November 13, 1956, for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the proposed amendments hereinafter set forth, or appropriate modification thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement heretofore approved by the Secretary of Agriculture and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Central Arkansas marketing area (7 CFR 908 et seq.). The proposed amendments have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

The proposed amendments to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Central Arkansas milk marketing area are as follows:

Proposed by Arthur Cook's Ice Cream and Dairy Company, Humphrey's Dairy, and The Borden Company, Hot Springs, Arkansas; Young's Dairy, The Terry Dairy Products Company, Coleman Dairy, and Dixon Dairy, Little Rock, Arkansas; Wirges Dairy Farm, North Little Rock, Arkansas; O. K. Ice Cream and Candy Company, Pine Bluff, Arkansas; and Clark County Dairy Products Company, Arkadelphia, Arkansas:

1. Amend § 908.4 *Marketing area* by adding the following counties: Conway, Pope, Saline, Monroe, Hot Spring, Grant, Poinsett, Cross and St. Francis, all in the State of Arkansas.

2. Delete § 908.41 (b) (3) and substitute the following:

(3) Disposed of as skim milk and butterfat and used for livestock feed.

3. Add a new § 908.41 (b) (5) as follows:

(5) Any loss of skim milk or butterfat involved in processing or transportation casualty losses, subject to verification by the market administrator.

4. Amend § 908.45 to provide that producer milk shall not receive preferential classification as Class I over other source receipts, unless producer receipts at pool plants are at least 115 percent of total Class I sales of pool plants.

5. Add a new § 908.54 (c) as follows:

(c) The foregoing rates apply to pool plants only in the event that producer receipts of pool plants are at least 115 percent of total Class I sales of pool plants.

6. Amend §§ 908.8, 908.61 and any other sections of the order to provide that a plant physically located within the marketing area shall be a fully regulated handler under Order No. 8, regardless of the percentage of Class I sales in any other regulated market. This proposal is not intended to alter the provision of § 908.8 which establishes the standard 10 percent of Class I sales in defining a distributing plant.

7. Amend the order to provide an equitable supply-demand adjustment based on supply and demand in the Central Arkansas area, with the provision that no adjustment in any month shall be more or less than 40 cents per hundredweight.

Proposed by the Central Arkansas Milk Producers' Association, Little Rock, Arkansas:

8. Amend § 908.4 to read as follows:

§ 908.4 *Central Arkansas marketing area.* "Central Arkansas marketing area" hereinafter called the "marketing area" means all territory included within the boundaries of the counties of Pulaski, Jefferson, Faulkner, White, Clark, Garland, Conway, Saline, Lonoke, Grant, Pope, Hot Spring and Monroe, all in the State of Arkansas.

9. Amend § 908.6 to read as follows:

§ 908.6 *Producer.* "Producer" means any person other than a producer-handler, who produces milk in compliance with Grade A inspection requirements of a duly constituted health authority which milk is received during the month at a pool plant: *Provided*, That if such milk is diverted from a pool plant by a handler as defined in § 908.12 (a) to a nonpool plant for his account, the milk so diverted shall be deemed to have been received at a pool plant at the location of the plant from which diverted: *And provided further*, That if such milk is diverted from a pool plant by a handler as defined in § 908.12 (b) to a nonpool plant for his account any day during the months of February through August, or on not more than 10 days during any other month, the milk so diverted shall be deemed to have been received at a pool plant at the location of the plant from which diverted.

10. Amend § 908.8 to read as follows:

§ 908.8 *Distributing plant.* "Distributing plant" means an approved plant located within the marketing area or an approved plant located outside the marketing area from which Class I milk equal to not less than 50 percent of its receipts of producer milk and fluid milk products from other pool plants is disposed of during the month, on routes or through plant stores, to wholesale or retail outlets (except pool plants) and from which Class I milk equal to not less than 10 percent of such receipts is disposed of during the month on routes or through plant stores, to wholesale or retail outlets (except pool plants) located in the marketing area.

11. Amend § 908.51 (a) to read as follows:

(a) *Class I milk price.* The Class I price shall be the price computed pursuant to § 918.51 (a) (1), (2) and (3) of this chapter, regulating the handling of milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, marketing area plus thirty-five (35) cents during all months of the year: *Provided*, That the Class I price shall in no event be less than the price computed pursuant to § 976.51 (a) of this chapter regulating the handling of milk in the Ft. Smith, Arkansas, marketing area.

12. Amend the introductory paragraph of § 908.51 (b) to read as follows:

(b) For the months of April through June the Class II milk price shall be the price determined pursuant to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph rounded to the nearest cent. For all other months it shall be the basic formula price, or the price determined pursuant to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, plus 25 cents, whichever is less.

13. Amend the introductory paragraph of § 908.61 to read as follows:

§ 908.61 *Plants subject to other Federal orders.* A plant specified in paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section and located outside the Central Arkansas milk marketing area shall be a nonpool plant for purposes of this part except that the operator of such plant shall, with respect to the total receipts and utilization or disposition of skim milk and butterfat at the plant, make reports to the market administrator at such time and in such manner as the market administrator may require (in lieu of the reports required pursuant to § 908.30), and allow verification of such reports by the market administrator.

14. Amend § 908.80 (a) (2) (iii) to read as follows:

(iii) Plus adjustments for errors made in previous payments made to such producer.

15. Amend § 908.91 (b) to read as follows:

(b) All or any part of a base shall be transferred from a person holding such base to any other person or persons effective as of the end of any month during which an application for such transfer is received by the market administrator, such application to be on forms approved by the market administrator and signed by the baseholder, or his heirs, and by the person or persons to whom such base is to be transferred: *Provided*, That if a base is held jointly, the entire base shall be transferrable only upon the receipt of such application signed by all joint holders or their heirs, and by the person or persons to whom such base is to be transferred.

16. Amend § 908.91 by adding a new paragraph (c) reading as follows:

(c) If a handler, who has been subject to regulation by another Federal milk marketing order and agreement becomes subject to regulation by this order any time after the beginning of the base setting period, the market administrator shall determine the bases of producers delivering to such handler in the same manner as if that handler had been subject to regulation by this order during the entire preceding base setting period.

Proposed by The Borden Company, Texarkana, Texas:

17. Delete the city Gurdon, Arkansas, from the marketing area, or amend the order to eliminate the compensatory payment on a reasonable volume of Class I sales in the marketing area from a nonpool plant.

Proposed by Midwest Dairy Products Company, Little Rock, Arkansas:

18. Amend paragraphs (a) and (b) of § 908.54 as follows:

(a) For the months of April, May and June, subtract the Class II price adjusted by the Class II butterfat differential, from the Class I milk price adjusted by the Class I butterfat differential, and in the case of fluid milk products by the Class I location differential.

(b) For the months of July through March subtract the uniform, or the weighted average of the base and excess price to producers from the Class I milk price.

19. At the end of § 908.62 delete the phrase: "by the rate of compensatory payment calculated pursuant to § 908.54" and substitute the following: "by the difference between the average pay price at the nonpool plant, and the Federal order average price for the month."

Proposed by Newport Dairy Company, Newport, Arkansas; Blue Ribbon Dairy, McGehee, Arkansas; Mack Farm Dairy, Batesville, Arkansas; and Glenwood Dairy, Glenwood, Arkansas:

20. Delete § 908.54 and substitute the following:

§ 908.54 *Rate of compensatory payment on unpriced milk.* The rate of compensatory payment per hundredweight for each month shall be calculated by subtracting the uniform or the weighted average of the base and excess prices to producers from the Class I milk price.

Proposed by the E. W. Prickett Dairy, Benton, Arkansas:

21. Exempt from regulation under Order No. 8 any handler who distributes 2,000 gallons, or less, of milk per day in the marketing area.

Proposed by the Dairy Division, Agricultural Marketing Service:

22. Make such changes as may be required to make the entire marketing agreement and order conform with any amendments thereto which may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and of the order now in effect may be procured from the Market Administrator, 1611 Main Street, Little Rock, Arkansas, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C., or may be there inspected.

Dated: October 22, 1956.

[SEAL] ROY W. LENNARTSON,
Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8625; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:46 a. m.]

[7 CFR Part 961]

[Docket No. AO-160-A18]

MILK IN PHILADELPHIA, PA., MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF RECONVENED HEARING ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO TENTATIVELY APPROVED MARKETING AGREEMENT AND TO ORDER AS AMENDED, REGULATING HANDLING

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of the reconvening of a public hearing to be held in the Junior and Locust Rooms, Sylvania Hotel, Broad and Locust Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, beginning at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., November 13, 1956. This hearing was recessed on August 22, 1956, to a time to be later announced. The issues under consideration at this hearing are set forth in the notice of hearing issued by the Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, on May 17, 1956 and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 22, 1956 (21 F. R. 3386, F. R. Doc. 56-3983).

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 18th day of October 1956.

[SEAL] WILL ROGERS,
Hearing Examiner.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8624; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:46 a. m.]

Agricultural Research Service

[7 CFR Part 319]

FOREIGN QUARANTINE NOTICES

RHODODENDRONS UNDER POSTENTRY QUARANTINE

Notice is hereby given under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1003) that the Administrator of the Agricultural Research Service, pursuant to sections 5 and 9 of the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, as amended (7 U. S. C. 159, 162), is considering the amendment of § 319.37-19 (c) of the regulations supplemental to the quarantine relating to the importation of nursery stock, plants, and seeds (7 CFR 319.37-19 (c); 21 F. R. 2589) by revising the item therein relating to "Rhododendron spp., including azaleas (evergreen plants of all species and varieties; and any deciduous species or varieties in foliage)" to read as follows:

Plants to be grown under postentry quarantine	*Where imported from*
Rhododendron spp., including azaleas (evergreen plants of all species and varieties; and any deciduous species or varieties in foliage).	Europe (except Belgium and The Netherlands), Asia, New Zealand, and North America north of the United States-Canadian border. (If the United States Department of Agriculture acquires evidence it considers to be conclusive, indicating that the rust, Chrysomyxa ledi (Alb. & Schw.) d By. var. rhododendri (d By.) Savile (formerly known as C. rhododendri (DC.) d By.), does not occur within any country in the areas named and that it is being prevented entry therein by adequate plant quarantine measures, such plants may be permitted entry in accordance with the provisions of § 319.37-6.)

This amendment would exempt Rhododendron spp. (including azaleas) of the categories designated, imported from Belgium, from the list of restricted material that is to be grown under postentry quarantine. A similar proposal with respect to Rhododendron spp. grown in The Netherlands was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 15, 1956 (21 F. R. 6977).

The United States Department of Agriculture has acquired evidence which it considers to be conclusive that the rust, Chrysomyxa ledi (Alb. & Schw.) d By. var. rhododendri (d By.) Savile, does not occur in Belgium and that the rust is being prevented entry therein by adequate plant quarantine measures. Therefore, such Rhododendron spp. would be permitted entry in accordance with the provisions of § 319.37-6.

The acquired evidence that is considered to be conclusive includes negative rust surveys in Belgium during 1953, 1954, and 1956 by plant pathologists of the Department. Furthermore, the disease has not been observed by either Federal or State inspectors in any Belgian-grown azaleas or rhododendrons grown here under postentry quarantine. There are no known authentic reports in world literature of occurrence of the rust in Belgium, nor has the rust ever been observed in Belgian-grown Rhododendron spp. offered for import into the United States. Also, a formal statement has been received from the Belgian Phytopathological Service affirming that this species of rust does not occur in that country and citing the quarantine measures adopted to prevent its entry from other countries. These quarantine measures are deemed adequate.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with this matter should file the same with the Chief of the Plant Quarantine Branch, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C., within 30 days after the date of the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Secs. 5, 9, 37 Stat. 316, 318; 7 U. S. C. 159, 162)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 19th day of October 1956.

[SEAL] B. T. SHAW,
Administrator,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8674; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:55 a. m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[14 CFR Part 40]

[Draft Release No. 56-27]

OPERATION IN ICING CONDITIONS

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Pursuant to authority delegated by the Civil Aeronautics Board to the Bureau of Safety Regulation, notice is hereby given that the Bureau will propose to the Board the amendment of § 40.392 (b) of part 40 of the Civil Air Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Civil Aeronautics Board, attention Bureau of Safety Regulation, Washington 25, D. C. In order to insure their consideration by the Board before taking further action on the proposed rule, communications must be received by Dec. 31, 1956. Copies of such communications will be available after Jan. 3, 1957, for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section of the Board, Room 5412, Department of Commerce Building, Washington, D. C.

Currently effective § 40.392 (b) states "No airplane shall take off when frost, snow, or ice is adhering to the wings, control surfaces, or propellers of the airplane."

Section 40.392-1 of Civil Aeronautics Manual 40 issued by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics interprets the existing Civil Air Regulation to mean that only where frost, snow, or ice adhering to the airplane might adversely affect its performance, would take-off be prohibited.

Since the Board intended that the take-off prohibition apply to situations in which performance might be affected, it is proposed to amend the existing regulation to correspond to the existing CAA interpretation.

In view of the foregoing, notice is hereby given that it is proposed to recommend to the Board that § 40.392 (b) of Part 40 of the Civil Air Regulations be amended to read as follows:

§ 40.392 *Operation in icing conditions*. * * *

(b) No take-off shall be made when frost, snow, or ice adhering to any part of the airplane might adversely affect its performance.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of Title VI of the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended. The proposal may be changed in the light of comments received in response to this notice of proposed rule making.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply secs. 601-610, 52 Stat. 1007-1012, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551-560)

Dated at Washington, D. C., October 16, 1956.

By the Bureau of Safety Regulation.

[SEAL] JOHN M. CHAMBERLAIN,
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8670; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:54 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11752; FCC 56-1006]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS; NEW ORLEANS, LA., AND MOBILE, ALA.

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENT

In the matter of amendment of § 3.606 *Table of assignment*, rules governing Television Broadcast Stations (New Orleans, La.-Mobile, Ala.).

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the Motion of Loyola University, New Orleans, Louisiana, filed August 29, 1956, requesting amendment of the notice of proposed rule making (FCC 56-593), issued on June 26, 1956, in this proceeding, so as to delete Channel 6 at New Orleans from the channel assignments proposed for New Orleans in addition to Channel 4.

2. On June 25, 1956, the Commission adopted a Report and Order in the general television allocation proceeding in Docket No. 11532 outlining a long-range program designed to improve the television allocation structure and at the same time specifying the bases on which consideration would be given in the interim to channel changes to improve the immediate situation in individual communities. As a part of this interim program of channel reassignments and in accordance with the general principles outlined in the above Report and Order, the Commission on the same date adopted a notice of proposed rule making in Docket No. 11752 proposing the following channel changes in Mobile and New Orleans:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Mobile, Ala	5+, 10+, *42, 48+.	4+, 5+, 10+, *48+.
New Orleans, La	4+, 6+, *8, 20−, 26, 32+, 61.	6+, *8, 20−, 26, 32+, 42, 61.

3. The counterproposal of petitioner would change the proposed channel assignments in New Orleans, as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
New Orleans, La	4+, 6+, *8, 20−, 26, 32+, 61.	*8, 20−, 26, 32+, 42, 61.

4. The instant request for the deletion of Channel 6 in New Orleans should be considered in conjunction with the Commission's outstanding rule making proposal in the above-entitled proceeding, which is considering a proposal to shift Channel 4 from New Orleans to Mobile but which would retain Channel 6 in New Orleans. We are of the view, therefore, that Loyola University's alternative proposal should also be considered in this proceeding. We do not believe there is any need to amend the present notice of rule making or to institute further rule making on petitioner's proposal. Petitioner may fully prosecute its counterproposal to eliminate Channel 6 from New Orleans in this proceeding, and its petition will be so construed.

5. In view of the foregoing; *It is ordered*, That the motion of Loyola University, insofar as it requests amendment of the notice of proposed rule making issued on June 26, 1956, in this proceeding, is denied.

Adopted: October 17, 1956.

Released: October 22, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8664; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11754; FCC 56-1008]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS; MADISON, WIS., ROCKFORD, ILL., AND FOND DU LAC, WIS.

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

In the matter of amendment of § 3.606 *Table of assignments*, Television Broadcast Stations (Madison, Wis.-Rockford, Ill.-Fond du Lac, Wis.).

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the petition of Winnebago Television Corporation, Rockford, Illinois filed August 27, 1956, requesting amendment of the notice of proposed rule making (FCC 56-595) issued on June 26, 1956, in this proceeding, so as to invite comments on its proposal for the deletion of Channel 3 from Madison, Wisconsin, and its assignment to Rockford, Illinois, by shifting the assignment at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, from 54 to 68, as an alternative to the Commission's proposal to shift the educational reservation at Madison from Channel 21 to Channel 3. Winnebago Television also requests the Commission to order it to show cause why its outstanding authorization for television Station WTVO at Rockford should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in lieu of Channel 39.

2. On June 25, 1956, the Commission adopted a Report and Order in the general television allocation proceeding in Docket No. 11532 outlining a long-range program designed to improve the television allocation structure and at the same time specifying the bases on which consideration would be given in the interim to channel changes to improve the immediate situation in individual communities. As a part of this interim program of channel reassignments and in accordance with the general principles outlined in the above Report and Order, the Commission on the same date adopted a notice of proposed rule making in Docket No. 11754 proposing the following channel changes in Madison:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Madison, Wis.	3, *21–, 27–, 33+.	*3, 21–, 27–, 33+.

3. The counterproposal of petitioner would change the proposed channel assignments in Madison and make the following channel changes at Rockford and Fond du Lac, as follows:

4. The instant request for the shift of Channel 3 from Madison to Rockford should be considered in conjunction with the Commission's rule making proposal in the above-entitled proceeding, which would retain Channel 3 in Madison for noncommercial educational use. We do not believe there is any necessity for amending the Notice of Rule Making or for instituting further rule making in this proceeding. Petitioner may fully prosecute its proposal to shift Channel 3 from Madison to Rockford as a counterproposal in this proceeding, and its petition will be so construed.

5. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That the petition of Winnebago Television Corporation, insofar as it requests amendment of the notice of proposed rule making issued on June 26, 1956, in this proceeding, is denied.

Adopted: October 17, 1956.

Released: October 22, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8665; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[FCC 56-1007]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS; LOUISVILLE, KY., AND EVANSVILLE, IND.

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

In the matter of amendment of § 3.606 *Table of assignments*, rules governing Television Broadcast Stations (Louisville, Ky., and Evansville, Ind.).

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the petitions of Senator Robert Humphreys of Kentucky, filed July 13, 1956; Governor A. B. Chandler of Kentucky, filed July 12, 1956; Mayor Andrew Broaddus of Louisville, Kentucky, filed July 16, 1956; and Mid-America Broadcasting Corporation, permittee of Station WKLO-TV at Louisville, filed July 13, 1956, requesting that the Commission's Table of Television Assignments, set out in Section 3.606 of the Rules, be amended so as to assign Channel 7 to Louisville by its deletion from Evansville, Indiana. It is urged that transmitter sites are available for Channel 7 in Louisville so that minimum mileage spacings and other requirements can be met. Mid-Continent also requests the Commission to order it to show cause why its outstanding authorization for television Station WKLO-TV at Louisville should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 7 in lieu of Channel 21.

2. On June 25, 1956, the Commission adopted a Report and Order in the general television allocation proceeding in Docket No. 11532 outlining a long-range program designed to improve the television allocation structure and at the same time specifying the bases on which consideration would be given in the interim to channel changes to improve the immediate situation in individual communities. As a part of this interim program of channel reassignments, the Commission on the same date adopted a notice of proposed rule making in Docket No. 11757 proposing to shift the educational reservation in Evansville from UHF Channel 56 to Channel 7.

3. Channel 7 cannot be assigned to both Evansville and Louisville in accordance with the minimum mileage cochannel separation requirements specified in § 3.610 of the rules. The instant requests for the assignment of Channel 7 to Louisville, therefore, are mutually exclusive with the Commission's rule making proposal for the reservation of Channel 7 for noncommercial educational use in the Evansville area. We are of the view, therefore, that these petitions should be considered in the Evansville proceeding with the Commission's alternative proposal for the reassignment of Channel 7 in Evansville.

4. Mid-America also filed its request for the assignment of Channel 7 to Louisville as a counterproposal in the above-entitled Evansville proceeding on July 13, 1956. We do not believe that there is any need to institute further rule making or to amend the notice of rule making in this proceeding with respect to the subject requests. We think that they may be properly considered as counterproposals in the Evansville proceeding and the petitions will be so construed.

5. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That the petitions of Senator Robert Humphreys, Governor A. B. Chandler, Mayor Andrew Broaddus and Mid-America Broadcast Corporation, insofar as they seek separate rule making proceedings, are denied.

Adopted: October 17, 1956.

Released: October 22, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8666; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:54 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

AIRCRAFT PRODUCTION RESOURCES AGENCY

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO RESCHEDULE AND/OR CROSS SCHEDULE DELIVERY OF MATERIALS AND TO SCHEDULE OR RESCHEDULE PRODUCTION AND DELIVERY OF APRA CLASS B PRODUCTS

Pursuant to delegation of authority published at 19 F. R. 5446 from Secretary of Defense to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Supply and Logistics), and in accordance with Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and BDSA Delegation 1, Supplement 1, as amended September 25, 1956, published at 21 F. R. 7465, there is hereby delegated to the Director, Aircraft Production Resources Agency, authority:

1. To reschedule deliveries of materials which are required in support of the Department of Defense Aircraft Program (A1); *Provided, however*, (a) That such authority shall be applicable only to reschedule deliveries on orders rated DO-A1 and Authorized Controlled Material Orders bearing the program identification A1 issued by or under the authority of the Department of Defense except as provided in paragraph 3 below; and (b) that such rescheduling of deliveries requires no change in production schedules of the person making the deliveries.

2. To reschedule deliveries of materials which are required in support of the Department of Defense Guided Missiles Systems Program (A2); *Provided, however*, (a) That such authority shall be applicable only to reschedule deliveries on orders issued by or under the authority of the Department of the Air Force and/or Bureau of Aeronautics, Department of the Navy, which are rated DO-A2 and Authorized Controlled Material Orders bearing the program identification A2, except as provided in paragraph 3 below; and (b) that such scheduling of deliveries requires no change in production schedules of the person making the deliveries.

3. To divert deliveries of materials between the Aircraft Program (A1) orders issued by or for any military department and the Guided Missiles Systems Program (A2) orders issued by or for the Department of the Air Force and/or Bureau of Aeronautics, Department of the Navy: *Provided, however*, (a) That such authority shall be applicable only to reschedule delivery on orders rated DO-A1 and A2 and Authorized Controlled Material Orders bearing the program identification A1 and A2; and (b) that such scheduling of deliveries requires no change in the production schedules of the person making the deliveries.

4. To schedule or reschedule the production and delivery of any APRA Class B products shown under Product Class Code No. 9500 in the BDSA Official Class B Product List: *Provided, however*, (a) That the consent of the manufacturer or producer of any such Class B product proposed to be scheduled or rescheduled is first obtained; (b) that in providing for

such scheduling or rescheduling the manufacturer or producer is not required or authorized to displace the production of, or delay the delivery of, any product other than an APRA Class B product; (c) that the Aircraft Production Resources Agency shall require the manufacturer or producer whose production or delivery has been so rescheduled to notify immediately in writing all customers whose deliveries have been changed as a result of such rescheduling; and (d) that this authority does not extend to the rescheduling of the production or delivery of materials or products furnished by suppliers to manufacturers of APRA Class B products.

5. To require a copy of an order board or in lieu thereof, the necessary scheduling data, covering APRA Class B products only, from a manufacturer of any such products which are in critically short supply and the lack of which products is seriously interfering with the accomplishment of other aircraft component or aircraft end-item schedules.

The exercise of this authority shall conform to the terms of the regulations and orders of the Business and Defense Services Administration and to such priorities and allocations policy directives and procedures as may be issued by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Supply and Logistics) to implement policies and procedures issued by the Business and Defense Services Administration.

This delegation shall take effect September 27, 1956.

Delegation of authority, subject as above, published at 17 F. R. 9780, is hereby superseded and cancelled.

R. C. LANPHIER, Jr.,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Supply and Logistics).

[F. R. Doc. 56-8621; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:45 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Office of the Secretary

RALPH F. STARZ

REPORT OF APPOINTMENT AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INTERESTS

Report of appointment and statement of financial interests required by section 710 (b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

Report of Appointment

1. Name of appointee: Mr. Ralph F. Starz.
2. Employing agency: Department of Commerce, Business and Defense Services Administration.
3. Date of appointment: October 18, 1956.
4. Title of position: Consultant.
5. Name of private employer: Ladish Company, Cudahy, Wisconsin.

CARLTON HAYWARD,
Director of Personnel.

SEPTEMBER 24, 1956.

Statement of Financial Interests

6. Names of any corporations of which the appointee is an officer or director or within 60 days preceding appointment has been an officer or director, or in which the appointee owns or within 60 days preceding appointment has owned any stocks, bonds, or other financial interests; any partnerships in which the appointee is, or within 60 days preceding appointment was, a partner; and any other businesses in which the appointee owns, or within 60 days preceding appointment has owned, any similar interest.

Ladish Company, Dole Engineering, Rental Property, Bank deposits.

RALPH F. STARZ.

OCTOBER 18, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8656; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES

ISSUANCE TO VARIOUS INDUSTRIES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 201 et seq.), and Part 522 of the regulations issued thereunder (29 CFR Part 522), special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates applicable under section 6 of the act have been issued to the firms listed below. The employment of learners under these certificates is limited to the terms and conditions therein contained and is subject to the provisions of Part 522. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners and learning periods for certificates issued under general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.12) are as indicated below; conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations. Special certificates authorizing the employment of student-workers as learners in school-operated industries, as provided in Part 527 (29 CFR Part 527), have been issued to the educational institutions listed hereinbelow; the effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners and learning periods are indicated.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.20 to 522.24, as amended March 1, 1956, 21 F. R. 1349).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes and, except as otherwise indicated below, not more than 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers were authorized for employment.

Archbald Sewing Co., 140 Cherry Street, Archbald, Pa.; effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57 (children's dresses).

Blue Ridge Shirt Manufacturing Co., Inc., Fayetteville, Tenn.; effective 10-20-56 to 10-19-57 (sport shirts).

Buttnick Manufacturing Co., 204 First Avenue South, Seattle, Wash.; effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57; 5 learners (men's sportswear and outerwear).

Sam Ciappetta, 212 East 128th Street, New York, N. Y.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; 5 learners (children's dresses).

Classic Dress Co., 12 Classic Street, Hoosick Falls, N. Y.; effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57; 4 learners (ladies' dresses).

Cowden Manufacturing Co., 22d and Oklahoma, Woodward, Okla.; effective 10-18-56 to 10-17-57 (denim dungarees).

E & W Manufacturing Co. or Canton, Canton, Miss.; effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57; 10 learners (men's sport, work and dress shirts).

Enro Shirt Co., Inc., 1010 South Preston Street, Louisville, Ky.; effective 10-19-56 to 10-18-57 (pajamas, shirts).

Hagale Garment Manufacturing Co., Ozark, Mo.; effective 9-28-56 to 9-27-57 (work pants).

Hagale Garment Manufacturing Co., Reeds Spring, Mo.; effective 9-28-56 to 9-27-57 (work pants).

Hane Manufacturing Co., Snyder Co., Shamokin Dam, Pa.; effective 10-13-56 to 10-12-57; 10 learners (boys' sport and dress shirts).

Hazelhurst Manufacturing Co., Inc., Vidalia Division, Vidalia, Ga.; effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57 (girdles, garter belts, brassieres).

Hollywood Corset Co., 202 North College Street, Eastland, Tex.; effective 10-4-56 to 10-3-57 (brassieres) (replacement certificate).

J. & B. Sportswear Co., Maple Street, Tresckow, Pa.; effective 10-5-56 to 10-4-57; 5 learners (women's and children's sportswear).

I. Janov Shirt Co., 489 West Broad Street, Hazleton, Pa.; effective 10-5-56 to 10-4-57 (men's dress and sport shirts).

Leecraft Manufacturing Co., Spencer, Tenn.; effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57 (sport shirts).

Goldstone Bros., 300 Main Street, Petaluma, Calif., effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57 (men's work clothes).

Goldstone Bros., 26 Sabastopol Avenue, Santa Rosa, Calif.; Effective 10-8-56 to 10-7-57 (men's work clothes).

Meyers & Son Manufacturing Co., Corner First and Jefferson Streets, Madison, Ind.; effective 10-3-56 to 10-2-57 (coveralls).

Mode O'Day Corp., 607 Main Street, Osawatomie, Kans.; effective 10-3-56 to 10-2-57 (ladies' blouses).

Ray Lee, Inc., 1405 Warford Avenue, Memphis, Tenn.; effective 10-4-56 to 10-3-57 (ladies' sportswear).

Rice-Stix, Inc., No. 16, Water Valley, Miss.; effective 10-15-56 to 10-14-57 (work pants and shirts).

Salemburg Manufacturing Co., Salemburg, N. C.; effective 10-18-56 to 10-17-57 (cotton dresses).

Troutman Shirt Co., Inc., Troutman, N. C.; effective 10-10-56 to 10-9-57 (work and sport shirts).

Zulick's Underwear Mill, Rear 128 Centre Avenue, Schuylkill Haven, Pa.; effective 10-5-56 to 10-4-57; 5 learners (polo shirts, cardigans creepers).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The number of learners authorized is indicated:

Blue Bell, Inc., Homer, Ga.; effective 10-4-56 to 4-3-57; 40 learners (men's and boys' work and play clothes).

Carolina Sportswear Co., Warrenton, N. C.; effective 10-3-56 to 3-2-57; 55 learners (knit shirts).

The New England Sportswear House, 400 Pine Street, Pawtucket, R. I.; effective 10-5-56 to 4-4-57; 10 learners (jackets lined and unlined).

Standard Garments, Inc., Chase City, Va.; effective 10-3-56 to 4-2-57; 30 learners (sport shirts).

Strasburg Manufacturing Co., Inc., Strasburg, Va.; effective 10-2-56 to 4-1-57; 50 learners (women's shirts and blouses).

Cigar Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.80 to 522.85, as amended March 1, 1956, 21 F. R. 629).

Associated Cigar Co., 29-33 West Maple Street, Dallastown, Pa.; effective 10-3-56 to 10-2-57; 1 learner for normal labor turnover purposes.

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended March 1, 1956, 21 F. R. 629).

Greensboro Hosiery Mills, Greensboro, N. C.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; 20 learners for expansion purposes (full-fashioned).

Kale Knitting Mills, Inc., Mebane, N. C.; effective 10-4-56 to 4-3-57; 10 learners for expansion purposes (seamless).

Long Finishing Mills, Inc., 225 Trade Street, Burlington, N. C.; effective 10-3-56 to 4-2-57; 20 learners for expansion purposes (full-fashioned and seamless).

Independent Telephone Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.70 to 522.74, as amended March 1, 1956, 21 F. R. 581).

Northern Ohio Telephone Co., Delaware, Ohio; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57.

Northern Ohio Telephone Co., Galion, Ohio; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57.

Northern Ohio Telephone Co., Oberlin, Ohio; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57.

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.30 to 522.35, as amended March 1, 1956, 21 F. R. 581).

Clarida Undergarment Co., 42 East 12th Street, New York, N. Y.; effective 10-4-56 to 4-3-57; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' and girl's knitted underwear).

National Knitting Co., 50 South First Avenue, Royersford, Pa.; effective 10-5-56 to 10-4-57; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (men's T-shirts).

Van Raalte Co., Inc., Main Street, Bristol, Vt.; effective 10-3-56 to 10-2-57; 5 percent of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (women's nylon knitted underwear).

Regulations applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.12, as amended February 28, 1955, 20 F. R. 645).

The following learner certificates were issued to the Companies listed below manufacturing miscellaneous products for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods and the number or proportion of learners authorized to be employed, are as indicated.

S. Cluck & Co., Inc., 1666 Callowhill Street, Philadelphia, Pa.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 80 cents per hour for the first 160 hours and 85 cents per hour for the remaining 160 hours of the 320-hour learning period, for the occupation of hand lining sewer; authorizing the employment of 10 learners (lamp shades).

Doyle Stationery, Inc., 119 North Lafayette Street, Marshall, Saline Co., Mo.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 80 cents per hour for the first 240 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 240 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of press feeder, folding machine operator and addressograph operator; authorizing the employment of 4 learners (printed paper napkins and bags).

Hart Schaffner & Marx, 165 North Joliet Street, Joliet, Ill.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for the first 280 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 200 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of sewing machine operator, final presser, hand sewer and finishing operations involving hand sewing; authorizing the employment of five percent of factory production workers (men's outerwear).

Alex Hirschenfang Co., 725 Broadway, New York, N. Y.; effective 10-4-56 to 4-3-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for the first 280 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 200 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupation of sewing machine operator; authorizing the employment of 2 learners (men's sack coats).

B. Kuppenheimer & Co., 4101 West 18th Street, Chicago, Ill.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for the first 280 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 200 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of sewing machine operator, final presser, hand sewer and finishing operations involving hand sewing; authorizing the employment of five percent of factory production workers (men's suits, top and overcoats).

Langenberg Hat Co., Marthasville, Mo.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for a maximum of 240 hours, for the occupation of sewing machine operator; authorizing the employment of not more than 2 learners on any work day (men's, boys' and children's caps).

Michaels Stern & Co., Inc., 214 Liberty Street, Penn Yan, N. Y.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for the first 280 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 200 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of sewing machine operator, final presser, hand sewer and finishing operations involving hand sewing; authorizing the employment of five percent of factory production workers (men's suits and topcoats).

Herman D. Oritsky & Co., 106 Grape Street, Reading, Pa.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for the first 280 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 200 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of sewing machine operator, final presser, hand sewer and finishing operations involving hand sewing; authorizing the employment of five percent of factory production workers (men's suits, Army and Navy uniforms).

Yale Clothing Co., 232 North 11th Street, Philadelphia, Pa.; effective 10-8-56 to 4-7-57; not less than 85 cents per hour for the first 280 hours and 90 cents per hour for the remaining 200 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of sewing machine operator, final presser, hand sewer and finishing operations involving hand sewing; authorizing the employment of 5 learners (men's sack coats).

The following special learner certificates were issued in Puerto Rico to the companies hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods and the number or proportion of learners authorized to be employed, are as indicated:

Atlantic Sportswear Inc., Rio Piedras, P. R.; effective 8-17-56 to 2-16-57; not less than 50 cents per hour for the first 240 hours and 55 cents per hour for the remaining 240 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupation of sewing machine operator; authorizing the employment of 20 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (cloth and leather jackets).

Barry Corp., 263 Carpenter Road, Hato Rey, P. R.; effective 9-17-56 to 3-16-57; not less than 44 cents per hour for the first 240 hours and 51 cents per hour for the remaining 240 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupation of sewing machine operator; authorizing the employment of 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (fabric gloves).

Beatrice Needle Craft, Inc., Malecon Road, Mayaguez, P. R.; effective 9-12-56 to 3-11-57; not less than 55 cents per hour for the first 320 hours and 63 cents per hour for the remaining 160 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupation of sewing machine operator; authorizing the employment of 25 learners for expansion purposes (brassieres).

The Bravada Corp., Arecibo, P. R.; effective 9-17-56 to 3-16-57; not less than 45 cents per hour for the first 240 hours and 50 cents per hour for the remaining 240 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupation of sewing machine operator; authorizing the employment of 22 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (men's underwear).

Finrico, Inc., Cayey, P. R.; effective 9-1-56 to 2-28-57; not less than 38 cents per hour for the first 240 hours and 44 cents per hour for the remaining 240 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of machine stitching and pressing; authorizing the employment of 15 learners for expansion purposes (sweaters).

William H. Towles Corp. of Puerto Rico; Carolina, P. R.; effective 9-19-56 to 1-26-57; not less than 45 cents per hour for the first 240 hours and 50 cents per hour for the remaining 240 hours of the 480-hour learning period, for the occupations of sewing machine operator and presser; not less than 45 cents per hour for a maximum of 160 hours, for the occupation of final inspection of completed garment; authorizing the employment of 30 learners for expansion purposes (men's pajamas and undershorts).

The following learner certificate was issued in the Virgin Islands, to the company hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods, and the number or proportion of learners authorized to be employed, are as indicated:

Virgin Islands Art Flowers, Inc., 9 Curacao Gade, St. Thomas, V. I.; effective 9-11-56 to 3-10-57; not less than 38 cents per hour for a maximum of 160 hours, for the manufacture by hand of artificial flowers, except cutting, pressing and packing; authorizing the employment of 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (artificial flowers).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Student-Workers (29 CFR 527.1 to 527.9, October 14, 1955, 20 F. R. 7737).

Walla Walla College, Drawer 1, College Place, Wash.; effective 9-24-56 to 8-31-57; printing industry: not less than 80 cents per hour for the first 500 hours and 85 cents per hour for the remaining 500 hours of the 1,000-hour learning period, for the occupation of compositor, pressman, bindery worker and related skilled and semi-skilled occupations; authorizing the employment of 8 student-workers; bookbindery industry: not less than 80 cents per hour for the first 300 hours and 85 cents per hour for the remaining 300 hours of the 600-hour learning period, for the occupations of bookbinder, bindery worker and related skilled and semi-skilled occupations; authorizing the employment of 25 student-workers (corrected certificated).

Each certificate has been issued upon the employer's representation that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be cancelled in the manner provided in the regulations and as indicated in the certificates. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of Parts 522 and 527.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 12th day of October 1956.

MILTON BROOKE,
Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8636; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:48 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bonneville Power Administration

ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR ET AL.

EMERGENCY PROCUREMENT AND HIRING; REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

The redelegations of authority published in 20 F. R. 1412, are hereby amended by adding a new section 11 thereto to read as follows:

SEC. 11. *Emergency procurement and hiring.* Authorized holders of valid "disaster authority" cards (BPA Form No. 83), are authorized on behalf of the United States to acquire materials, services, and equipment and to employ temporary personnel for periods of not to exceed 30 days needed to maintain continuity of power service and operation of the Bonneville Power Administration transmission system in emergency situations caused by military attack, serious fire, flood, earthquake, or similar disaster.

(Secretary's Order No. 2751 of March 19, 1954; Secretary's Order No. 2563, 15 F. R. 3193; Secretary's Order No. 2753, 19 F. R. 2146; 50 Stat. 731, as amended; 16 U. S. C. 832, et seq.)

Dated: October 15, 1956.

WM. A. PEARL,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8630; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:47 a. m.]

Bureau of Land Management

NEW MEXICO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

OCTOBER 18, 1956.

The Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army, has filed an application, Serial No. New Mexico 025192, for the withdrawal of the land described below, from all forms of appropriation, including the general mining and mineral leasing laws.

The applicant desires the land for use in the development of a solar furnace for experimental purposes.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P. O. Box 1251, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved are:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

LINCOLN NATIONAL FOREST

T. 15 S., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 23, SE¼;
Sec. 24, S½;
Sec. 25, W½, NE¼, N½SE¼;
Sec. 26, E½.

The area described aggregates 1,360 acres.

ADLAI S. BAKER,
Acting State Supervisor.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8632; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:47 a. m.]

WISCONSIN

NOTICE OF FILING OF PLAT OF SURVEY AND ORDER PROVIDING FOR OPENING OF PUBLIC LANDS

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

1. Plat of survey of omitted lands described below will be officially filed in the Eastern States Land Office, Washington 25, D. C., effective at 10:00 a. m., on November 27, 1956.

WISCONSIN

FOURTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 43 N., R. 6 E.,
Sec. 16, lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.

The area described aggregates 133.84 acres.

2. Available information indicates that the soil of lots 10, 11, 12, and 15 is a sandy loam with some gravel, reaching to an elevation of 100 feet above the lake level; that the lands are covered by second growth timber of hemlock, birch, maple, basswood, poplar and pine of 4 to 30 inches in diameter.

3. Available information also indicates that lots 13 and 14 are principally swamp in character and appear to be swamp and overflowed within the meaning of the act of September 28, 1850 (9 Stat. 519). Should the land finally be determined to be swamp and overflowed in character, it must be held to have inured to the State and any application adverse to the State in conflict with swamp land claim will be governed by § 271.2 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

4. The remaining lands are hereby opened to disposal only under the act of August 24, 1954 (68 Stat. 789) and the act of February 27, 1925 (43 Stat. 1013, 43 U. S. C. 994). Claimants under the 1925 act, supra, have a preferred right of application for a period of 90 days from November 27, 1956. Applications for public lands under the 1954 act, supra, must be filed within one year from November 27, 1956. No patents will be issued for the above-described lands prior to November 27, 1957.

5. Any of the above-described land not patented under the acts of 1925 and 1954, supra, shall not become subject to disposition under the general public land laws until it is so provided by an appropriate order.

6. Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Acting Manager, Eastern States Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C.

H. K. SCHOLL,
Acting Manager.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8633; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:47 a. m.]

Bureau of Reclamation

COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, NEW MEXICO

ORDER OF REVOCATION

SEPTEMBER 7, 1956.

Pursuant to the authority delegated by Departmental Order No. 2765 of July 30, 1954 (19 F. R. 5004), I hereby revoke Departmental Order of December 6, 1915, insofar as said order affects the following described lands: *Provided, however,* That such revocation shall not affect the withdrawal of any other lands by said order or affect any other orders withdrawing or reserving the lands hereinafter described.

NEW MEXICO

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 30 N., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 9, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 10, SE¼NE¼, NE¼SW¼, S½SW¼ and N½SE¼;
Sec. 11, S½NW¼ and S½;
Sec. 12, SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 13, NE¼, N½NW¼, SE¼NW¼, NE¼SW¼ and N½SE¼;
Sec. 15, NW¼;
Sec. 17, SE¼SW¼ and SE¼;
Sec. 19, SE¼NE¼, E½SW¼, N½SE¼ and SW¼SE¼;
Sec. 20, NW¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼ and S½NW¼;
Sec. 22, E½SE¼;
Sec. 26, NW¼NW¼;
Sec. 27, NE¼NE¼;
Sec. 30, lots 2 and 3, W½NE¼, E½NW¼ and NE¼SW¼.
T. 29 N., R. 9 W.,
Sec. 2, lots 2, 3 and 4, SW¼NE¼ and S½NW¼;
Sec. 3, lots 1 to 4, incl., S½N½ and N½S½;
Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4, incl., S½N½, N½S½, S½SW¼ and SW¼SE¼.
T. 30 N., R. 9 W.,
Sec. 25, NE¼, W½NW¼, SW¼ and N½SE¼;
Sec. 26, SE¼NE¼ and E½SE¼;
Sec. 35, NE¼NE¼, S½NE¼, SE¼NW¼ and S½;
Sec. 36, W½NW¼ and NW¼SW¼.

The above areas aggregate 4,800.81 acres.

E. G. NIELSEN,
Assistant Commissioner.

[566100]

[NM-024998]

OCTOBER 18, 1956.

I concur. The released lands have been patented without a reservation of minerals to the United States.

EARL J. THOMAS,
Acting Director, Bureau of Land Management.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8634; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:48 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 10268 etc.; FCC 56 M-977]

WJR, GOODWILL STATION, INC., ET AL.

ORDER SCHEDULING HEARING

In re applications of WJR, the Goodwill Station, Inc., Flint, Michigan, Docket No. 10268, File No. BPCT-967; Trebit Corporation, Flint, Michigan, Docket No. 10269, File No. BPCT-968; W. S. Butterfield Theatres, Inc., Flint, Michigan, Docket No. 10270, File No. BPCT-953; for construction permits for new television stations.

It is ordered, This 19th day of October 1956, that Herbert Sharfman will preside at the further hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which was directed by the Commission in its order entered October 17, 1956, the said further hearing to be held in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D. C., commencing October 29, 1956.

Released: October 19, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8667; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11710 etc.; FCC 56-999]

KNORR BROADCASTING CORP. ET AL.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Knorr Broadcasting Corporation, Lansing, Michigan, Docket No. 11710, File No. BP-10391; Capitol Broadcasting Company, East Lansing, Michigan, Docket No. 11848, File No. BP-10604; W. A. Pomeroy, Tawas City-East Tawas, Michigan, Docket No. 11849, File No. BP-10629; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 17th day of October 1956;

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned applications of the Capitol Broadcasting Company and W. A. Pomeroy, each for a construction permit to operate on 730 kilocycles with power of 500 watts and 250 watts, respectively, daytime only, the Capitol Broadcasting Company utilizing a directional antenna, at East Lansing and Tawas City-East Tawas, Michigan, respectively;

It appearing that each of the applicants is legally, technically, financially and otherwise qualified, except as may appear from the issues specified below, to operate its proposed station, but that the operation of both stations as proposed and the operation of the station proposed in the above-captioned application of the Knorr Broadcasting Corporation for a construction permit for a new standard broadcast station to operate on 730 kilocycles with a power of 500 watts, directional antenna, daytime only at Lansing, Michigan, would result in mutually destructive interference; that the application of the Knorr Broadcasting Corporation was designated for hearing on May 23, 1956 (released on May 28, 1956), and the applications of the Capitol Broadcasting Company and W. A. Pomeroy were timely filed on May 24 and June 4, 1956, respectively, to be entitled to consolidation in the proceeding on the application of Knorr Broadcasting Corporation, pursuant to § 1.724 (b) of the Commission's rules; that the proposed operation of the Capitol Broadcasting Company would cause interference to Station WGN, Chicago, Illinois (720 kc, 50 kw, U); that the interference which may be received by the proposed operation of the Capitol Broadcasting Company from Stations WGN, WWBG, Bowling Green, Ohio (730 kc, 250 w, DA, Day) and CBL, Toronto, Canada (740 kc, 50 kw, U) may affect more than 10 percent of the population in its proposed normally protected primary service area in contravention of § 3.28 (c) of the Commission's rules; that the interference which would be received by the proposed operation of W. A. Pomeroy from Station CBL would affect more than 10 percent of the population in his proposed normally protected primary service area in contravention of the aforementioned section of the rules; and that it has not yet been determined whether the proposed antenna of W. A. Pomeroy would constitute a hazard to air navigation; and

It further appearing, that, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the subject applicants were advised by letter dated August 17, 1956, of the aforementioned deficiencies and that the Commission was unable to conclude that a grant of any of the applications would be in the public interest; and

It further appearing that counsel for Station WGN expressed an intention of appearing and participating in a hearing by letter of September 5, 1956; and

It further appearing that W. A. Pomeroy filed a timely reply to the Commission's letter; and

It further appearing that W. A. Pomeroy requested a waiver of § 3.28 (c) of the Commission's rules "in order that the Tawases may be brought their first locally originated radio service"; but that we are of the opinion that this is not a valid basis for a waiver of this rule; and

It further appearing that the Capitol Broadcasting Company filed a reply with engineering affidavit attached on September 17, 1956, requesting that the applications be designated for hearing contending that interference received by its proposed operation would not be excessive under § 3.28 (c) of the Commission's rules and that measurements on Station WGN which were the basis of the finding of mutual interference between its proposed operation and Station WGN are inadequate for such finding; and that an issue be included in the order of designation under which the program service of Station WGN may be considered and that the burden of proof of such issue be placed on WGN; and

It further appearing that the measurements on Station WGN indicate that mutual interference would occur between Station WGN and the proposed operation of the Capitol Broadcasting Company but that further evidence must be taken in order to determine the extent of the interference which may be suffered by Station WGN; and

It further appearing that additional data is required to determine the extent of the interference which may be received by the proposed operation of the Capitol Broadcasting Company; and

It further appearing that the Commission, after consideration of the above, is of the opinion that a hearing on the applications of the Capitol Broadcasting Company and W. A. Pomeroy is necessary;

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said applications of the Capitol Broadcasting Company and W. A. Pomeroy are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding with the application of the Knorr Broadcasting Corporation (Docket No. 11710, File No. BP-10391);

It is further ordered, That the issues set forth in the Commission order of May 23, 1956, are deleted; and that the said applications of Knorr Broadcasting Corporation, Capitol Broadcasting Company and W. A. Pomeroy will be heard upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which would receive primary service from each of the proposed operations, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the proposed operation of Knorr Broadcasting Corporation or Capitol Broadcasting Company would cause objectionable interference to Station WGN, Chicago, Illinois, or any other existing standard broadcast stations, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine whether, because of the interference received, the proposals of the Capitol Broadcasting Company and W. A. Pomeroy would comply with § 3.28 (c) of the Commission's rules; and if compliance with § 3.28 (c) is not achieved, whether circumstances exist which would warrant a waiver of said section of the rules.

4. To determine the type and character of the program service rendered by Station WGN, Chicago, Illinois, and to be rendered by the proposed operations of Knorr Broadcasting Corporation and the Capitol Broadcasting Company.

5. To determine whether the antenna system proposed by Knorr Broadcasting Corporation or W. A. Pomeroy would constitute a hazard to air navigation.

6. To determine whether a grant of the application of Knorr Broadcasting Corporation would be in contravention of the provisions of § 3.35 of the Commission's rules on multiple ownership.

7. To determine in the light of section 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which of the operations proposed in the above-captioned applications would better provide a fair, efficient and equitable distribution of radio service.

8. To determine in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, which, if any, of the above-captioned applications should be granted.

It is further ordered, That WGN, Inc., licensee of Station WGN, is made a party to the proceeding.

Released: October 22, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8668; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11806; FCC 56M-976]

INDIAN CITY BROADCASTING CO.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re application of Odis L. Echols, Sr. and Odis L. Echols, Jr., d/b as Indian City Broadcasting Company, Anadarko, Oklahoma, Docket No. 11806, File No. BP-10413; for construction permit.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a "Request for Continuance" filed by counsel for Indian City Broadcasting Company on October 18, 1956;

It appearing that counsel for the Broadcast Bureau, the only other participant, has no objection to a grant of the relief requested and has consented to the immediate consideration of the pleading;

It is ordered, This 19th day of October 1956, that the "Request for Continuance" is granted; and that 1) the date for the applicant to furnish proposed exhibits is extended from October 19 to November 5, 1956; 2) the start of the evidentiary hearing is continued from October 31 to Wednesday, November 21, 1956, at 10:00 a. m., in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D. C.; 3) the date for applicant to advise of its plans, if any, to call any other witnesses is extended from October 23 to November 9, 1956; and 4) the date for the Broadcast Bureau to notify counsel for applicant of its desire to cross-examine applicant's engineer is extended from October 26 to November 15, 1956.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8669; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:54 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-11244]

CHARLES H. OSMOND ET AL.

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED CHANGE IN RATES

Charles H. Osmond, et al. (Osmond), on September 11, 1956, tendered for filing a proposed change in the presently effective rate schedules for sales subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed change, which constitutes an increased rate, is contained in the following designated filing which is proposed to become effective on the date shown:

Description; Purchaser; Rate Schedule Designation and Effective Date [1]

Notice of change dated September 6, 1956; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Supplement No. 4 to Osmond's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1; November 1, 1956.

The increased rates and charges proposed in the aforesaid filing have not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the said proposed change, and that the above-designated supplement be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's general rules and regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing be held upon a date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of said proposed change in rates and charges; and, pending such hearing and decision thereon, the above-designated supplement be and the same hereby is suspended and the use thereof deferred until April 1, 1957, and until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Neither the supplement hereby suspended, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered thereby shall be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(C) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37 (f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)).

Issued: October 18, 1956.

By the Commission.[2]

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8637; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:48 a. m.]

[1] The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required thirty days notice, or the effective date proposed by Osmond if later.

[2] Commissioner Digby dissenting.

[Docket No. G-11245]

B. B. ORR

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED CHANGE IN RATES

B. B. Orr (Orr), on September 17, 1956, tendered for filing a proposed change in the presently effective rate schedules for sales subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed change, which constitutes an increased rate, is contained in the following designated filing which is proposed to become effective on the date shown:

Description; Purchaser; Rate Schedule Designation; and Effective Date [3]

Notice of change dated September 13, 1956; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Supplement No. 6 to Orr's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1; November 1, 1956.

The increased rates and charges proposed in the aforesaid filing have not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the said proposed change, and that the above-designated supplement be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's general rules and regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing be held upon a date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of said proposed change in rates and charges; and, pending such hearing and decision thereon, the above-designated supplement be and the same hereby is suspended and the use thereof deferred until April 1, 1957, and until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Neither the supplement hereby suspended, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered thereby shall be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(C) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37 (f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)).

Issued: October 18, 1956.

By the Commission.[3]

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8638; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[3] The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required thirty days notice, or the effective date proposed by Orr if later.

[Docket No. G-11246]

SUNRAY MID-CONTINENT OIL CO.

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED CHANGE IN RATES

Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company (Sunray), on September 17, 1956, tendered for filing a proposed change in the presently effective rate schedules for sales subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed change, which constitutes an increased rate, is contained in the following designated filing which is proposed to become effective on the date shown:

Description; Purchaser; Rate Schedule Designation; and Effective Date[1]

Notice of change, dated September 14, 1956; United Fuel Gas Company; Supplement No. 2 to Sunray's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 71; November 1, 1956.

The increased rates and charges proposed in the aforesaid filing have not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the said proposed change, and that the above-designated supplement be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's general rules and regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing be held upon a date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of said proposed change in rates and charges; and, pending such hearing and decision thereon, the above-designated supplement be and the same hereby is suspended and the use thereof deferred until April 1, 1957, and until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Neither the supplement hereby suspended, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered thereby shall be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(C) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37 (f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)).

Issued: October 18, 1956.

By the Commission.[2]

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8639; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[1] The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required thirty days notice, or the effective date proposed by Sunray if later.

[2] Commissioner Digby dissenting.

[Docket No. G-10669]

HOWELL, HOLLOWAY & HOWELL

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

Take notice that Howell, Holloway & Howell (applicant), a partnership composed of G. B. Howell, W. G. Holloway, Jr., and Vernon C. Howell with principal office and place of business at 1610 Life of America Building, Dallas, Texas, filed, on June 29, 1956, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 (c) of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicant proposes to sell natural gas in interstate commerce from production of various interests in 73 leases, Thibodaux Area, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana, to Texas Gas Transmission Corporation for resale.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations, and to that end:

Take further notice that protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before October 31, 1956.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8640; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[Project No. 16]

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT OF LICENSE

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

Public notice is hereby given that application has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U. S. C. 791a-825r) by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Licensee) for amendment of license for Project No. 16 on the Niagara River at Niagara Falls, New York. The Schoellkopf generating plant of Project No. 16 was damaged by a rock slide on June 7, 1956 and has been out of operation since that time. The Licensee states that sections 3-B and 3-C of the Schoellkopf plant were substantially destroyed by the rock slide. The application for amendment of license requests authorization: to restore 104,000 kilowatts of 60-cycle generating capacity at section 3-A of the Schoellkopf Plant of which four units totaling 32,000 kilowatts were formally designed and used for 25-cycle operation; to concrete line a portion of the Canal Basin, section 3-B inlet and forebay and to utilize thereafter for operation of section 3-A, the tunnel instead of the canal; and to erect a coffer dam across the lower end of the hydraulic canal to keep the canal from filling with water.

Protests or petitions to intervene in this proceeding may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests and petitions to intervene may be filed is November 30, 1956. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8641; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[Project No. 2221]

EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR LICENSE

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

Public notice is hereby given that application has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U. S. C. 791a-825r) by The Empire District Electric Company, of Joplin, Missouri, for license for constructed water power Project No. 2221 located on the White River in Taney County, Missouri, affecting navigable waters of the United States. The project dam is located at river mile 506.1 and is in the vicinity of the towns of Forsyth and Branson. The project consists of a dam about 1,270 feet long, including a fishway, creating a reservoir (Lake Taneycomo) with water surface at elevation 699.1 feet (U. S. G. S. datum) with 2-foot flashboards installed on the spillway crest and elevation 700.1 feet (U. S. G. S. datum) with 3-foot flashboards in use; a powerhouse integral with the dam containing four turbines each rated at 7,250 horsepower at a head of 55 feet and driving a 4,000-kilowatt generator with space for additional generating units; transmission facilities connecting the powerhouse to applicant's interconnected transmission system; and appurtenant facilities.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last day upon which protests or petitions may be filed is December 3, 1956. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8642; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-10946 etc.]

GULF REFINING CO. ET AL.

NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

In the matters of Gulf Refining Company, Docket No. G-10946; H. L. Hawkins, H. L. Hawkins, Jr., Louis J. Roussel, Frank S. Kelly, Jr., Docket No. G-11031; Benedum-Trees Oil Company, Docket No. G-11056.

Take notice that: Gulf Refining Company (Gulf), a Delaware corporation with address at P. O. Drawer 2100, Houston 1, Texas, filed, on August 20, 1956, in Docket No. G-10946, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 (c) of the Natural Gas Act (act), authorizing Gulf to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

H. L. Hawkins, H. L. Hawkins, Jr., Frank S. Kelly, Jr., and Louis J. Roussel, individuals with addresses respectively at 202 Whitney Bank Building, New

Orleans, Louisiana; 609 Bank of the Southwest Building, Houston 2, Texas; Post Office Box No. 1775, Shreveport, Louisiana; and 501 Whitney Bank Building, New Orleans, Louisiana, filed, on September 7, 1956, in Docket No. G-11031, a joint application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 (c) of the Act, authorizing said parties to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Benedum-Trees Oil Company, a West Virginia corporation, filed, on September 10, 1956, as amended September 18, 1956, and September 26, 1956, in Docket No. G-11056, for itself and on behalf of Hiawatha Oil and Gas Company and Plymouth Oil Company, Delaware corporations, all with principal places of business at 223 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburgh 22, Pa., an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 (c) of the act, authorizing said parties to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

The aforesaid Applicants propose to sell natural gas in interstate commerce from production of certain interests in leases as indicated below in each docket to Texas Gas Transmission Corporation for resale.

Docket Numbers; Applicants; and Sources of Gas

G-10946; Gulf Refining Company; Various oil and gas leases and interests in South Bell City Field, Calcasieu Parish, La.

G-11031; H. L. Hawkins, H. L. Hawkins, Jr., Frank S. Kelly, Jr., Louis J. Roussel; Louis J. Roussel, et al., Gilbert Fontenot Unit Well; South Bell City Field, Calcasieu Parish, La.

G-11056; Benedum-Trees Oil Company; One-third interest in acreage in South Lake Arthur Field, Jefferson Davis Parish, La. Hiawatha Oil and Gas Company; one-third interest in acreage in South Lake Arthur Field, Jefferson Davis Parish, La. Plymouth Oil Company; one-third interests in acreage in South Lake Arthur Field, Jefferson Davis Parish, La.

These related matters should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations, and to that end: Take further notice that protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before October 31, 1956.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8643; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-11258]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED CHANGE IN SERVICE AGREEMENT

On September 21, 1956, Northern Natural Gas Company (Applicant) submitted for filing a proposed change in its presently effective form of service agreement for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. Such proposed change is contained in First Revised Sheets Nos. 50 and 51 and Original Sheet No. 51a to Applicant's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, and First Revised Sheets Nos. 30 and 31 and Original Sheet No. 31a to Applicant's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The principal change proposed by the filing is a modification of Applicant's standard form of service agreement for firm service by the addition of the following provision:

It is agreed that Northern shall have the right to make, and to file with the Federal Power Commission in accordance with Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, such changes in rates and new rates or rate schedules as are required to enable Northern to recover its cost of service, including a fair return; provided, however, Gas Utility shall have the right to protest any such changes in rates and new rates or rate schedules before said Commission.

Applicant requests that such change be made effective October 27, 1956.

Applicant states, in effect, that the proposed provision merely states more definitely the existing rights of itself and its utility customers as previously interpreted, and should be incorporated in its tariffs by the time, October 27, 1956, when it commences service to certain new customers in order that there may be no misunderstanding on the part of such new customers.

All of Applicant's utility customers were afforded the opportunity to comment on the proposal. Several of such customers have either protested or urged rejection of the change.

Upon consideration of the proposed change, the reasons advanced in support thereof, the comments and objections received with respect thereto, it appears that the proposed change in Applicant's tariff sheets has not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the said proposed change, and that the above-designated tariff sheets be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4, 5, 15 and 16 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's general rules and regulations (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing be held on November 14, 1956, at 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street, Northwest, Washington 25, D. C., concerning the lawfulness of the above-described proposed change, and pending such hearing and decision thereon, First Revised Sheets Nos. 50 and 51 and Original Sheet No. 51a to Applicant's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, and First Revised Sheets Nos. 30 and 31 and Original Sheet No. 31a to Applicant's FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, be and the same hereby are suspended and the use thereof deferred until March 27, 1957, and until such further time as such change may be made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(B) Neither the revised sheets hereby suspended nor the gas tariffs sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(C) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37 (f) (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Issued: October 19, 1956.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 56-8644; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:50 a. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Investigation and Suspension Docket No. 6615]

[4th Sec. Application 32204]

EQUALIZATION OF RATES AT NORTH ATLANTIC PORTS

IMPORT AND EXPORT RATES FROM AND TO NORTH ATLANTIC PORTS

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 18th day of October A. D. 1956.

In the matters of (1) assigning the time and place of hearing, and (2) prescribing special rules governing interchange of prepared material prior to hearing.

Upon consideration of the record made at the prehearing conference in the above entitled proceedings held at Washington, D. C., on September 11, 1956, and the need for special rules of procedure to expedite hearing and disposition of the matters in issue, while affording opportunity to all interested parties to be fully heard;

It is ordered, That these proceedings be, and they are hereby assigned for hearing on February 18, 1957, at 9:30 o'clock a. m., United States Standard time, and for further hearing on April 16, 1957, at 9:30 a. m., United States Standard time, at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D. C., before Examiner Marion L. Boat;

It is further ordered, That the following special rules shall be applicable herein:

1. *Interchange of prepared statements and documentary evidence in chief before hearings.* (a) The parties shall prepare in writing the testimony in chief of their witnesses and serve upon all parties shown on the list later to be issued pursuant to Rule 2 hereof, copies thereof, together with any exhibits they intend to present with such testimony.

(b) All such testimony and exhibits intended to be offered by respondents proposing equalization of the port rates and by all parties supporting the proposed equalization, shall be served by them on or before January 15, 1957.

(c) All similar testimony and exhibits intended to be offered by respondents opposing equalization of the port rates and by all parties supporting their position, shall be served by them on or before March 15, 1957.

(d) A copy of all testimony and exhibits served pursuant to this rule shall also be mailed to Examiner Marion L. Boat, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington 25, D. C. No other copies thereof need be filed with the Commission prior to the hearing at which the material is tendered in evidence.

2. *Notification of desire to become a party and to be served with testimony and exhibits.* Any person not a respondent or applicant in these proceedings, who has an interest in and desires to become a party to these proceedings shall, on or before December 3, 1956, give notice to the Secretary of the Interstate Commerce Commission of his intention to appear at and participate in the hearings therein, together with his address. If any party desires to be served with copies of testimony and exhibits, as provided in Rule 1 hereof, such party shall notify the Secretary on or before December 3, 1956, of such desire, indicating the number if more than one copy is desired. Thereafter, a list of parties upon whom such service should be made will be compiled, and a copy thereof served upon all parties.

3. *Participation limited.* Except as good cause therefor shall otherwise be shown at the hearings, and subject to such limitations as may be found justified by the presiding examiner with respect to participation by late intervention, the reception of evidence in these proceedings will be limited to respondents and those persons who have become parties as provided in Rule 2 hereof.

4. *General specifications.* Prepared statements shall conform to Rule 15 of the general rules of practice in respect to style, mimeographing or printing, etc. Evidence offered should be prepared carefully with conciseness and clarity and so as to avoid extraneous, immaterial, and irrelevant matter, and undue cumulation of testimony upon any point. The statements should be factual in character. Argument should not be incorporated in the testimony. If not so limited the prepared statement may be excluded in whole or in part. Also the Commission on its own motion or on objection may exclude a statement or any portion thereof which is (a) not material or relevant to the questions presented in the proceeding, or (b) obviously incompetent.

5. *Verification; relief from cross examination and personal appearance.* There is no requirement that a prepared statement shall have an affidavit attached, but this does not preclude attaching an affidavit to the prepared statements. If the latter is done, the following, or its equivalent, should appear in the margin on the top of the first sheet of the statement:

This statement is verified. Unless written request for cross examination is received by affiant or his attorney not later than 10 days prior to the hearing at which such evidence otherwise would be offered, affiant desires that the statement be considered for incorporation in the record without his personal appearance as a witness.

A witness making such a request and thereafter receiving a demand for cross examination must personally report at the hearing, or his verified statement may not be received. If there is no demand for cross examination as above provided (indiscriminate demands for cross examination should be avoided), the privilege of cross examination will be deemed to be waived if the statement is verified and the witness making the statement has requested to be relieved from personal appearance as above provided. It will be presumed that a witness preparing an unsworn statement intends personally to appear at the hearing for cross examination and to be sworn at that time. An unsworn statement will be admitted only if the affiant is personally present at the hearing. The original signed and notarized copy of a verified statement should be retained by affiant or his counsel for incorporation of the statement into the record at the appropriate hearing.

6. *How admitted to the record.* To become a part of the record it is necessary for the witness, or some one qualified to represent him, formally to offer the prepared statement in evidence at the hearings; and unless good reason shall otherwise appear, the statement will be admitted as an exhibit.

7. *Materiality reserved.* A prepared statement received in evidence with or without objection as to its admissibility is subject to subsequent challenge as to the weight to be accorded to the facts in such statement.

8. *Witness examination.* The examination of a witness should be conducted in a manner so as to make it rapid, distinct, and as little annoying to the witness as is consistent with eliciting the facts, and to this end counsel on the same side of an issue should agree upon one person to examine a witness.

9. *Due dates defined.* All dates specified in these rules are the latest dates on which the parties in the performance of an act contemplated by these rules may make deposit in the mails, except (a) as to any date respecting which there is an express provision otherwise, and (b) any date therein provided for the filing of a petition with, or dispatch of notification to, the Commission shall be governed by the provisions of Rule 4 (b) of the general rules of practice, namely, receipt in the Commission and not the date of deposit in the mails shall be determinative.

It is further ordered, That changes in the dates for hearing and in the time schedules specified in this order may be made, in accordance with the provision of the Commission's general rules of practice, by letter addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, and upon good cause shown such dates and time schedules may be changed, and parties notified, by notice from the Secretary without formal order. Other requirements of the order may be changed for good cause shown upon order issued by the Chairman of the Commission to whom such matters are hereby assigned.

And it is further ordered, That in addition to service hereof upon all parties of record, a copy hereof also shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8645; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:50 a. m.]

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

SURETY COMPANIES

SEALED PROPOSALS FOR POSITION SCHEDULE SURETY BOND

The Railroad Retirement Board will receive sealed proposals for a position schedule surety bond covering certain federal employee positions in various locations throughout the Continental United States. Bidding will be limited to corporate surety companies holding certificates of authority from the Secretary of the Treasury under the act of July 30, 1947 (6 U. S. C. 1-15), as acceptable sureties on Federal bonds. Approximately seventy-four (74) positions are to be bonded in penalty amounts ranging from $5,000 to $25,000, most of which fall into the former category. The bond will be conditioned upon the faithful performance of the duties of the individuals occupying the positions bonded and will run solely in favor of the United States. The effective date of the bond will be January 1, 1957, and the term will be two years.

Requests for Invitation to Bid and Specifications should be directed to the Director of Supply and Service, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 Rush Street, Chicago 11, Illinois. Bids will be opened at 2 o'clock p. m., c. s. t., on December 6, 1956, in Room 1200, 844 Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois.

Date approved: October 19, 1956.

By direction of the Board.

[SEAL] MARY B. LINKINS,
Secretary of the Board.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8646; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:50 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-3827]

GREAT SWEET GRASS OILS LTD.

ORDER FOR AND NOTICE OF HEARING

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

I. Great Sweet Grass Oils Limited (hereinafter called "Registrant"), a corporation organized and incorporated under the laws of the Province of Ontario, Dominion of Canada, registered its capital stock, $1 par value, with the American Stock Exchange on November 22, 1954, on Form 10, pursuant to section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (hereinafter called "the act") and the rules and regulations adopted by the commission thereunder and filed a duplicate original of Form 10 with the Commission on that date. The registration of such securities became effective on December 23, 1954, and such securities were admitted to trading on the American Stock Exchange on January 10, 1955.

II. On January 24, 1956, registrant filed with the Commission a current report on Form 8-K, pursuant to section 13 of the act, for the month of December, 1955. The Commission has reason to believe that the report so filed was false and misleading in the following regards:

1. In stating that registrant acquired assets from Depositors Mutual Oil Development Company, an Oklahoma corporation, consisting of 9,470,000 barrels of proven oil reserves, 18,167 MCF proven gas reserves, 93,600,000 barrels of unproven oil reserves and 238,500 MCF of unproven gas reserves.

2. In stating that registrant acquired assets from Pitt Petroleums, Ltd., an Alberta corporation, which included 155,351 barrels of probable additional oil.

III. On May 16, 1956, registrant filed with the Commission an annual report on Form 10-K, pursuant to section 13 of the act, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1955. The Commission has reason to believe that the balance sheet included in such report for December 31, 1955, was false and misleading in valuing oil and gas properties to be acquired from Depositors Mutual Oil Development Company at $6,597,500.

It is ordered, That a public hearing, pursuant to section 19 (a) (2) of the act, be held at 10:00 a. m., e. s. t., on Tuesday, November 13, 1956, in Room 193 at the offices of the Commission, 425 Second Street, NW., Washington, D. C., to determine whether it is necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or to withdraw, the registration of the capital stock of registrant on the American Stock Exchange for failure to comply with section 13 of the act and the rules and regulations adopted thereunder as set forth in paragraphs II and III above.

It is further ordered, That Mr. James G. Ewell is hereby designated and assigned as Hearing Officer in this proceeding and is authorized to exercise the powers and perform the duties specified in the rules of practice of the Commission and any other duties which he may be authorized to perform in accordance with law.

Notice of such hearing is hereby given to registrant, the American Stock Exchange and to any other person or persons whose participation in such proceedings may be necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors. Any such further persons desiring to be heard in such proceedings should file with the Hearing Officer or the Secretary of the Commission on or before November 9, 1956, his application therefor as provided by the rules of practice of the Commission, setting forth therein any of the above matters or issues of fact or law upon which he desires to be heard and any additional issues he deems raised by the aforesaid order.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN, *Assistant Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8648; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:50 a. m.]

[File No. 70-3515]

MANUFACTURERS LIGHT AND HEAT CO. AND COLUMBIA GAS SYSTEM, INC.

ORDER AUTHORIZING SALE OF UTILITY ASSETS TO NON-AFFILIATE PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE AGREEMENT

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

The Columbia Gas System, Inc. ("Columbia"), a registered holding company, and its wholly-owned public utility subsidiary The Manufacturers Light and Heat Company ("Manufacturers") have filed a joint declaration pursuant to section 12 (d) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") and Rule U-44 thereunder regarding the following proposed transaction:

Pursuant to an exchange agreement dated November 16, 1955 between Manufacturers and Carnegie Natural Gas Company ("Carnegie"), a non-affiliated public utility company, Manufacturers proposes to sell and convey to Carnegie certain gas utility assets consisting of oil and gas leases, wells and pipelines located in Washington and Greene Counties, Pennsylvania, having a net original cost of $238,624, and to pay Carnegie $200,000 in cash, in consideration of the conveyance by Carnegie to Manufacturers of certain gas utility assets located in Marshall and Wetzel Counties, West Virginia and in Greene County, Pennsylvania.

Manufacturers will use the acquired properties in connection with the development of its Victory Storage Field for the underground storage of gas. The proposed acquisition of utility assets by Manufacturers, having been expressly authorized by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and by the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, is exempt from the jurisdiction of this Commission pursuant to section 9 (b) (1) of the act.

Due notice having been given of the filing of said declaration, and a hearing not having been requested of or ordered by the Commission; and the Commission finding, with respect to the proposed sale of utility assets by Manufacturers, that the applicable provisions of the act and the rules promulgated thereunder are satisfied and that no adverse findings are necessary, and deeming it appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors and consumers that the declaration be permitted to become effective forthwith:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule U-23 and the applicable provisions of the act, that said declaration be, and hereby is, permitted to become effective forthwith, subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in Rule U-24.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN, *Assistant Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8649; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:51 a. m.]

[File No. 70-3516]

LAWRENCE ELECTRIC CO. ET AL.

ORDER REGARDING ISSUANCE AND SALE BY SUBSIDIARIES OF A REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANY OF SHORT-TERM NOTES TO BANKS AND TO PARENT COMPANY AND ACQUISITION OF NOTES BY PARENT COMPANY

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

In the matter of Lawrence Electric Company, The Lowell Electric Light Corporation, Quincy Electric Company, Weymouth Light and Power Company, Worcester County Electric Company, and New England Electric System; File No. 70-3516.

New England Electric System ("NEES"), a registered holding company, and five of its public-utility subsidiary companies, namely, Lawrence Electric Company ("Lawrence"), The Lowell Electric Light Corporation ("Lowell"), Quincy Electric Company ("Quincy"), Weymouth Light and Power Company ("Weymouth"), and Worcester County Electric Company ("Worcester") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the borrowing companies") have filed a joint application-declaration and an amendment thereto pursuant to sections 6, 7, 9, 10 and 12 (f) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") and Rule U-43 promulgated thereunder regarding certain proposed transactions which are summarized as follows:

The borrowing companies propose to issue notes to a bank or to NEES in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed $15,875,000 outstanding at any one time. These borrowings will be distributed among the borrowing companies as follows: Lawrence, $5,225,000; Quincy, $1,900,000; Weymouth, $2,550,000; Worcester, $5,300,000; and Lowell, $900,000. Of this total borrowing, $10,525,000 will be from NEES and the balance, $5,350,000, will be from The First National Bank of Boston.

The Commission has heretofore granted and permitted effectiveness to a joint application-declaration by NEES and certain of its subsidiaries, including all the subsidiaries of NEES embraced in the instant application-declaration except Lowell (File No. 70-3442). By the terms of that prior application-declaration the four subsidiaries (not including Lowell) embraced in the instant filing received authorizations to have outstanding short-term notes to banks or to NEES in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed $14,060,000. The present filing seeks to increase this amount to $15,875,000.

As in the case of the prior application-declaration, the notes to be issued will be promissory notes maturing not later than March 31, 1957, with provisions for prior payment, in whole or in part, without premium and bearing interest at not in excess of the prime rate charged by banks for similar loans at the time such loans are made. The proceeds to be derived from the issuance and sale of the proposed notes will be used by the borrowing companies to discharge outstanding notes or to pay construction costs.

Incidental services in connection with the proposed transactions are to be performed at cost by New England Power Service Company, an affiliated service company. The costs of these services are estimated by the applicants-declarants at not to exceed $150 for each of the parties or an aggregate of $900.

Notice of filing of this joint application-declaration having been duly given in the manner prescribed by Rule U-23 and no hearing having been ordered by, or requested of, the Commission; the Commission finding that the incidental expenses, if they do not exceed the estimate, are not unreasonable and that the applicable provisions of the act and the rules thereunder are satisfied; and concluding that the joint application-declaration should be granted and permitted to become effective forthwith:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule U-23 and the applicable provisions of the act, that said joint application-declaration be, and the same hereby is, granted and permitted to become effective forthwith subject to the terms and conditions contained in Rule U-24.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8652; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:51 a. m.]

[File No. 70-3520]

EMPLOYEES WELFARE ASSOCIATION, INC., AND GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES CORP.

ORDER AUTHORIZING SALE OF SUBSIDIARY EMPLOYEES' LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND REACQUISITION OF SUBSIDIARY EMPLOYEES' PENSION COMPANY

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

General Public Utilities Corporation ("GPU"), a registered holding company, and its wholly owned subsidiary Employees Welfare Association, Incorporated, a Delaware corporation ("EWADEL"), have filed an application-declaration pursuant to sections 10, 11 (b) and 12 (f) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") and Rules U-43 and U-44 (c) thereunder, regarding the following proposed transactions:

(1) GPU proposes to sell to Brown, Crosby & Co., Inc., a nonaffiliate, for a cash consideration of $1,000, all the outstanding stock of EWADEL, consisting of 10 shares of Class B common stock without par value.

(2) EWADEL proposes to sell, and GPU proposes to acquire, for a cash consideration of $1,000, all the outstanding stock of Employees Welfare Association, Inc., a New Jersey corporation ("EWANJ"), consisting of 1,000 shares of common stock of the par value of $1 per share.

EWADEL, organized in 1931, is engaged in servicing employees' group life and ordinary life policies issued under the GPU system's life insurance plan. In addition to servicing such policies in respect of employees of the presently constituted GPU system, EWADEL has continued to administer policies relating to employees of certain companies which were formerly, but are no longer, part of the GPU system. In 1951, GPU was ordered by the Commission, pursuant to section 11 (b) (1) of the act, to dispose of that part of the business relating to the servicing of the insurance policies of employees of those companies which are no longer a part of its system (Holding Company Act Release No. 10982). Having concluded that it would not be economically or administratively feasible to attempt to reduce the scope of EWADEL's activities to the servicing of employee policies of the present GPU system, GPU accordingly proposes to divest itself of its entire interest in EWADEL. EWADEL operates on a nonprofit basis; its operating expenses (approximately $21,000 in the twelve months ended June 30, 1956) are reimbursed through deductions from dividends received by it on the life insurance policies, the balance of such dividends being remitted by it to the employing companies. The proposed sales price of $1,000 is equal to the present book value of EWADEL's outstanding capital stock.

EWANJ, a wholly owned subsidiary of EWADEL, was organized in 1937 as a necessary corporate link in the establishment of a pension trust for employees of the holding company system of Associated Gas and Electric Company, GPU's predecessor in interest. Apart from certain nominal administrative functions in respect of the pension trust (which is in process of liquidation) EWANJ is inactive and has no income or expenses. Its only asset, carried on its books at $1, consists of an interest in the pension trust agreement stemming from its original deposit of $1,000 with the pension trustee. In the Commission's order of 1951, GPU was permitted to retain its interest in EWANJ pending the latter's orderly liquidation. Accordingly, GPU proposes to acquire EWANJ from EWADEL and to hold the company as a direct subsidiary pending its liquidation.

Due notice having been given of the filing of said application-declaration, and a hearing not having been requested of or ordered by the Commission; and the Commission finding that the applicable provisions of the act and the rules promulgated thereunder are satisfied, and deeming it appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors and consumers that the application-declaration be granted and permitted to become effective forthwith:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule U-23 and the applicable provisions of the act, that said application-declaration be, and hereby is, granted and permitted to become effective forthwith, subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in Rule U-24.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8650; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:51 a. m.]

[File No. 70-3519]

OHIO POWER CO. AND AMERICAN GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

ORDER AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE AND SALE OF FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS, PREFERRED STOCK, AND COMMON STOCK AND ACQUISITION OF COMMON STOCK BY PARENT, PREPAYMENT OF NOTES BY SUBSIDIARY, AND CASH CONTRIBUTION BY PARENT AND TRANSFER THEREOF BY SUBSIDIARY TO CAPITAL STOCK ACCOUNT

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

Ohio Power Company ("Ohio"), a public utility company, and its parent American Gas and Electric Company ("American"), a registered holding company, have filed with this Commission a joint application-declaration and amendments thereto pursuant to sections 6 (b), 10, 12 (b) and 12 (c) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") and Rules U-42, U-45 and U-50 thereunder regarding certain proposed transactions, which are summarized as follows:

Ohio proposes to issue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule U-50,

(a) $28,000,000 principal amount of its First Mortgage Bonds __ percent Series, due 1986. The interest rate (which shall be a multiple of 1/8 of 1 percent) and the price to be paid to the company (which shall be not less than 100 percent and shall not exceed 102 3/4 percent), will be determined by competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under and secured by the company's outstanding mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated October 1, 1938, as heretofore supplemented, and a new indenture supplemental thereto to be dated November 1, 1956; and

(b) 60,000 shares of __ percent Cumulative Preferred Stock, par value $100 per share. The dividend rate (which shall be in a multiple of 0.04 of 1 percent) and the price to be paid Ohio (which shall be not less than $100 per share nor more than $102.75 per share), will be determined by competitive bidding.

Ohio also proposes to issue and sell, and American proposes to acquire, 110,000 shares of its common stock, no par value for $11,000,000 cash.

American Gas also proposes, prior to the sale of the above securities, to make a capital contribution of $9,000,000 to Ohio which will be credited to Ohio's capital surplus account and thereupon transferred to its common stock account. This transaction will not increase Ohio's cash resources since it is expected that, concurrently with the making of the contribution, Ohio will pay to American a special cash dividend of $9,000,000 on its common stock.

The net proceeds from the sales of bonds, preferred stock and common stock are to be applied, to the extent available, to the prepayment, without premium, of notes payable to banks. At the present time, notes payable to banks are outstanding in the amount of $23,500,000 and it is expected that up to a further additional amount of $7,500,000 may be issued, making an aggregate of not in excess of $31,000,000 to be outstanding at the time of issuance and delivery of the securities described above. Any remaining proceeds will be added to Ohio's treasury funds and will be applied to extensions, additions and improvements to its properties.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio issued an order on September 18, 1956, authorizing the proposed issue and sale of bonds, preferred and common stock by Ohio. It is represented that no other regulatory commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

The estimated fees, commissions and expenses incurred or to be incurred and paid in connection with the proposed transactions are, and are to be allocated, as follows:

	New bonds	New preferred stock
Filing fee for registration statement	$2,891	$630
Federal issuance stamp taxes	30,800	6,600
State filing and recordation fees and expenses [1]	3,500	----------
Printing registration statement, prospectus, supplemental indenture, charter amendment, bidding papers, etc.[1]	20,000	4,000
Printing and engraving definitive new bonds and new preferred stock certificates [1]	9,000	500
Charges of trustee (including counsel fees) [1]	12,600	----------
Charges of transfer agent and registrar [1]	----------	600
Certified public accountants charges [1]	5,200	1,000
Legal fees of counsel to the Company:		
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett [1]	12,000	3,000
Pomerene, Burns & Milligan [1]	5,000	1,000
Handlan, Garden, Matthews & Hess [1]	2,000	----------
Miscellaneous expenses including traveling, blue sky, postage, telegraph and incidental expenses [1]	3,500	1,500
Total	106,491	18,730

[1] Estimated.

Legal fees of Winthrop, Stimson, Putnam and Roberts, counsel for the purchasers of bonds and purchasers of the preferred stock are estimated at $7,000 with respect to the bonds and $2,000 with respect to the preferred stock and will be paid by such purchasers. Federal Tax Stamps relating to the issuance by Ohio of 110,000 shares of common stock, no par value, amounting to $12,100 are to be paid by Ohio.

Notice of the filing of the application-declaration having been given in the form and manner prescribed by Rule U-23 promulgated pursuant to the act, and the Commission not having received a request for a hearing and not having ordered a hearing thereon; and

The Commission finding with respect to the application-declaration, as amended, that the applicable statutory standards are satisfied; that the fees and expenses set forth above, if they do not exceed the estimates, are not unreasonable; that it is unnecessary to impose terms or conditions other than those set forth below; and that the application-declaration, as amended, should be granted and permitted to become effective forthwith subject to the terms and conditions hereinafter provided:

It is ordered, Pursuant to said Rule U-23 and the applicable provisions of the act that the application-declaration, as amended, be and the same hereby is granted and permitted to become effective forthwith, subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in Rules U-24 and U-50 and subject to the following additional terms and conditions:

That in the event Ohio issues the 60,000 shares of -- percent Cumulative Preferred Stock, so long as any shares of the -- percent Cumulative Preferred Stock are outstanding Ohio shall not:

A. Without the consent (given by vote at a meeting called for that purpose) of the holders of a majority of the total number of shares of the Cumulative Preferred Stock then outstanding, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of its properties unless such sale or disposition shall have been ordered, approved, or permitted under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935; and

B. Redeem, purchase or otherwise acquire any shares of the Cumulative Preferred Stock during any period when dividends payable on the Cumulative Preferred Stock shall be in default unless all shares of the Cumulative Preferred Stock shall be so redeemed, purchased or otherwise acquired, or unless such redemption, purchase or acquisition shall have been ordered, approved or permitted under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935.

C. Without the consent (given by vote at a meeting called for that purpose) of the holders of a majority of the total number of shares of Cumulative Preferred Stock then outstanding, issue, sell or otherwise dispose of any shares, or reissue any reacquired shares, of Cumulative Preferred Stock or of any other class of stock ranking on a parity with the outstanding shares of Cumulative Preferred Stock as to dividends or assets for any purpose other than to refinance an equal par value of the Cumulative Preferred Stock or an equal par value or stated value of stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the Cumulative Preferred Stock as to dividends or assets if, assuming that the term "Depreciation Deficiency" was defined as provided in the following sentence in lieu of the definition appearing in subparagraph (c) of paragraph (7) (B) of the Agreement of Merger, dated January 21, 1955, of the Company, such issuance, reissuance, sale or other disposition would, without such consent, be in contravention of said subparagraph (c) of said paragraph (7) (B). For the purpose of this subdivision (C) only, the term "Depreciation Deficiency" shall mean, as to any specified period, an amount computed for such period as provided in section 7 (II) (ii) (2) of the Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of October 1, 1938, of the Company, as amended by the Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 1, 1956.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN, *Assistant Secretary*.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8653; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:51 a. m.]

[File No. 70-3523]

BOTTLED GAS CORPORATION OF VIRGINIA AND COMMONWEALTH NATURAL GAS CORP.

NOTICE OF FILING OF APPLICATION REGARDING THE ACQUISITION BY SUBSIDIARY OF OUTSTANDING STOCK OF A GAS UTILITY COMPANY

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

Notice is hereby given that Commonwealth Natural Gas Corporation ("Commonwealth"), an exempt holding company and its wholly owned nonutility subsidiary, Bottled Gas Corporation of Virginia ("Bottled Gas"), have filed a joint application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") and have designated sections 9 (a) (2), 10 (a) (1) and 10 (b) as applicable to the proposed transactions.

All interested persons are referred to the application on file in the offices of the Commission for a statement of the transactions therein proposed which are summarized as follows:

The application states that Bottled Gas now owns 9 out of the 200 outstanding shares of the capital stock of Henrico Gas Service Corporation ("Henrico"), and under contract with Henrico operates Henrico's business. Henrico owns a small tract of land and propane gas storage facilities near Richmond, Virginia, and an underground distribution system which supplies propane gas from its storage tanks to the tenants of Lewis Gardens, a multiple dwelling housing project. Its propane gas supply is furnished by Bottled Gas. The stockholders of Henrico, other than Bottled Gas, a number of whom are officers or directors of either Commonwealth or Bottled Gas or both approached Commonwealth and Bottled Gas with a proposal to sell all of their stock of Henrico to Bottled Gas at an aggregate price of 191/200ths of $60,000, plus the net retained earnings of Henrico from November 30, 1955 to the end of the month prior to the date of closing.

The application further states that since the operations of Henrico are in the area served by Bottled Gas, savings can be obtained by the elimination of the two sets of officers, books, records, etc. Also since the price of the stock in relation to the earnings of Henrico seems reasonable, Commonwealth considers the acquisition of Henrico stock to be advantageous and accordingly has authorized its subsidiary, Bottled Gas to contract with the stockholders of Henrico to acquire all of its outstanding stock.

The application states that no State or Federal commission other than this Commission has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested party may, not later than November 1, 1956, at 5:30 p. m., request the Commission in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said filing which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may hereafter be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule U-23 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rules U-20 (a) and U-100 or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8651; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:51 a. m.]

[File No. 811-474]

SUNSET INTERNATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP.

NOTICE OF FILING OF APPLICATION FOR ORDER DECLARING COMPANY HAS CEASED TO BE AN INVESTMENT COMPANY

OCTOBER 19, 1956.

Notice is hereby given that Sunset International Petroleum Corporation ("Sunset") a registered closed-end, non-diversified management investment company, has filed an application pursuant to section 8 (f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("act") for an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company under the act.

Sunset filed its Notification of Registration under the act on October 2, 1942 under the name of International Mining Corporation.

It is represented that on August 31, 1956, Sunset Oil Company, a California corporation, was merged into International Mining Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, which became the surviving company under the name of Sunset International Petroleum Corporation.

Transactions incident to the aforesaid merger were exempted from the provisions of section 17 (a) of the act by Order of the Commission pursuant to section 17 (b) of the act (Investment Company Act Release No. 2387, July 11, 1956).

Applicant represents that the merger was approved by the holders of more than two-thirds of the outstanding shares of each corporation as required by the laws of the respective states of incorporation of each company.

Applicant further represents that by approving the merger the shareholders of International Mining Corporation authorized a change in the nature of the business of said corporation so as to cease to be an investment company, and that Sunset is presently engaged in the business of producing, refining and marketing oil products on the west coast of the United States. It is also stated that Sunset has no investment in any other company and is no longer operating as an investment company and has no intention so to do.

Applicant also states that the shares of Sunset became listed on the American Stock Exchange on August 31, 1956.

Section 8 (f) of the act provides, in pertinent part, that whenever the Commission upon application finds that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order and upon the taking effect of such order the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 31, 1956, at 5:30 p. m., submit to the Commission in writing any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter and may request that a hearing be held, such request stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. At any time after said date, the application may be granted as provided in Rule N-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the act.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] NELLYE A. THORSEN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8647; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:50 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENEFIT OF LEONARD ANDRESON ET AL.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of:

Leonard Andreson, L. S. Claim No. 29; $1,568.32 in the Treasury of the United States.

Maria, Anny, Betty, Abraham, Paul and Gretha Prins, Betty, Else, Anna, Thea, Henriette, Mattias, Eduard,[1] Adolf, Rosa, Eduard Philip and Josephina Elias, Joseph Spier, Netty, Rebecca, Sara and Lucie Elias, Rosa Kan, Celina and Sophie Laguna, Louise Papenek, Eduard Elias,[2] Eduard Spier, L. S. Claim No. 31; $784.16 in the Treasury of the United States.

Leo van Leeuwen, L. S. Claim No. 32; $784.16 in the Treasury of the United States.

[1] Waalre, Holland.

[2] Amsterdam, Holland.

Joseph Levie, L. S. Claim No. 33; $1,568.32 in the Treasury of the United States.

Abraham Cijfer, L. S. Claim No. 37; $1,774.98 in the Treasury of the United States.

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 25 Broadway, New York 4, New York.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on October 17, 1956.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8658; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

ANNA PERRI ET AL.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Anna Perri, San Giovanni in Fiore, Cosenza, Italy, Claim No. 43956; 99 cents in the Treasury of the United States.

Rose Lucénte, San Giovanni in Fiore, Cosenza, Italy, Claim No. 43957; 99 cents in the Treasury of the United States.

Theresa Schipano, San Giovanni in Fiore, Cosenza, Italy, Claim No. 43958; $99.50 in the Treasury of the United States.

Marriette Schipano Desimone, San Giovanni in Fiore, Cosenza, Italy, Claim No. 43959; $99.50 in the Treasury of the United States.

Angeline Schipano, San Giovanni in Fiore, Cosenza, Italy, Claim No. 43960; $99.50 in the Treasury of the United States.

Vesting Order No. 1368.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on October 17, 1956.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8657; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENEFIT OF ROSA ADLER-OPPENHEIMER ET AL.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of (all right, title and interest of the

Attorney General acquired pursuant to Vesting Order No. 18521 (16 F. R. 10097, October 3, 1951) in and to):

Rosa Adler-Oppenheimer, L. S. Claim No. 634; Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company 4/95 Bond No. 35210, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Frederik Stibbe and Martha Schenk, L. S. Claim No. 752; Cities Service Company 5/58 Debenture No. 44763, in the principal amount of $1,000.

R. M. Themans, L. S. Claim No. 765; International Power Securities Corporation 6½/55 Bond Nos. 1788 and 1873, in the principal amount of $1,000 each.

Marie Salomon; Mietje van Praagh; Benedictus Bonnewit; Louis, Salomon, Joseph (Buenos Aires, Argentina), and Joseph (New York) Huisman; Eva Cats; Esther Tegelaars; Elisabeth, Salomon (Charles), and Lion van Wijnbergen; Clara and Lion Groen; Judith Gelfius; Elizabeth Grabau; Rachel Schaap; Henriette Kupperman; Hartog Kalkoene; Charlotte Redeker; Rachel Witteboon; Jozef Spier; Judith de Leeuw; Flora Staal; Ronald Abram; Lion Morpurgo; Susanna Roodvelt; Rachel Groen, L. S. Claim No. 806; Cities Service Company 5/69 Debenture No. 20328, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Pieuntje Wolf and Maria Wolf, L. S. Claim No. 811; Cities Service Company 5/58 Debenture No. 4244, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 25 Broadway, New York 4, New York.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on October 17, 1956.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8659; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENEFIT OF MARCUS FRANK ET AL.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of (all right, title and interest of the Attorney General acquired pursuant to Vesting Order No. 18521 (16 F. R. 10097, October 3, 1951) in and to):

Marcus, Juliana,[1] Hartog and Jozef Frank; Henriette Jeanmaire; Eduard and Arnold van Esso; Renee Wohl; Maurits, Salomon and Jozef Israels; Helena de Beer; Vrouwgien Spits; Chawwa Wijnberg; Eva Veldman; Rachel and Justus Hart; Grietje Griekspoor; Salomon and Cornelia Cohen; Jacob Wolf; Stijntje de Jong; Esther and Salomon Aptroot; Eva Ratzersdorfer; Rosetta de Haas; Sara Speyer; Esther, Louis and Sientje Leydesdorff; Rebecca Molenaar; Stientje Sophia; Benno Vecht; Maurice Hirschel; Sophia van Engel and Elizabeth Heimans, L. S. Claim No. 392; Kansas City Southern Railway Company 5/50 Bond No. 9387, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Anna Goudeket, L. S. Claim No. 444; Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company; Mobile and Montgomery Railway 4½/45 Bond No. 1280, in the principal amount of $1,000.

M. Hijmans and Mrs. S. Hijmans, L. S. Claim No. 485; Cities Service Company 5/50 Debenture No. 47264, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Abraham de Vries; Catherine, Leopold, Rozalie, Herman, Wilfred, George and Louise Cohen; Frieda Schwartzschild; Willem, Frederik and Max Zeehandelaar; Lily Hayhurst; Henriette Jürgens; Marjorie Pomeranz; Kathleen Belinfante; Maria and Hendrina Souweine; Stephen Meijers; Willem Klein; Izak van Nierop; Phyllis Burberry; Irene White; Cecilia, André and Matheus Davidson; Peter Erdos; Regina, Kitty and Lodewijk de Hoop; Mietje van Dam; Etty Veterman, L. S. Claim No. 493; Cities Service Company 5/69 Debenture No. 49068, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Klara, Anna and Rachel Kloots, Frank van Hessen and Samuel Okker, L. S. Claim No. 533; International Hydro-Electric System 6/44 Debenture Nos. 24421 and 25145, in the principal amount of $1,000 each.

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 25 Broadway, New York 4, New York.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on October 18, 1956.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8660; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENEFIT OF HANDELSVENNOOTSCHAP "ANSTI", PARTNERS ET AL.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of (all right, title and interest of the Attorney General acquired pursuant to Vesting Order No. 18521 (16 F. R. 10097, October 3, 1951) in and to):

Handelsvennootschap "Ansti", Partners, Engelbert Annink and David Stibbe, L. S. Claim No. 270; Delaware & Hudson Company 4/43 Bond Nos. 8856, 11067 and 11068, in the principal amount of $1,000 each.

Ernst Fischel, L. S. Claim No. 398; Norfolk & Western Railway Company 4/96 Bond Nos. 3674 and 8287, in the principal amount of $500 each.

Herman Krijn, Adolf and Lodewijk, Schrijver and Netty Spoor-Schrijver, L. S. Claim No. 548; Norfolk & Western Railway Company 4/96 Bond No. 29562, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Mrs. J. Spanjaard, L. S. Claim No. 739; Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company 5/67 Bond No. 47607, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Jacob Jessurun, L. S. Claim No. 746; Southern Pacific Company 4/49 Bond No. 3184, in the principal amount of $1,000.

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 25 Broadway, New York 4, New York.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on October 17, 1956.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8661; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENEFIT OF JETJE VERKOZEN ET AL.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of:

Jetje Verkozen, Saartje and Esther de Boers, L. S. Claim No. 306; $4,689.34 in the Treasury of the United States.

Ina de Bruin, L. S. Claim No. 339; $8,186.82 in the Treasury of the United States

R. Pionkowski-Davidson, N. Hartog-Davidson, L. S. Claim No. 360; $1,364.47 in the Treasury of the United States

Catharina Enthoven-Doorman; Henri, Adine, and Marius Enthoven; Martinus Hijmans; Dina Martin-Hijmans; Ida Hijmans-Semeij, L. S. Claim No. 386; $1,364.47 in the Treasury of the United States

Otto and Frederika Abas; Henri, Antoinette, Henriette, Frederik, Eleonore, Nicolaas and Theodora Franken, L. S. Claim No. 409; $4,187.98 in the Treasury of the United States

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 25 Broadway, New York 4, New York.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on October 17, 1956.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 56-8662; Filed, Oct. 24, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

[1] a. k. a. Juliana Eva Frederika Wolf nee Frank.