

(Mr. WARNOCK) and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) were added as cosponsors of S. 2667, a bill to prevent violence in the West Bank and authorize the imposition of sanctions with respect to any foreign person endangering United States national security and undermining prospects for a two-state solution by committing illegal violent acts.

S. 2903

At the request of Ms. MURKOWSKI, the names of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) and the Senator from Washington (Mrs. MURRAY) were added as cosponsors of S. 2903, a bill to amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to require a group health plan or health insurance coverage offered in connection with such a plan to provide an exceptions process for any medication step therapy protocol, and for other purposes.

S. 2962

At the request of Mr. BANKS, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2962, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the deduction under section 199A to apply to qualified BDC interest dividends in the same manner as qualified REIT dividends.

S. 3062

At the request of Mr. HAWLEY, the names of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) and the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. RICKETTS) were added as cosponsors of S. 3062, a bill to require artificial intelligence chatbots to implement age verification measures and make certain disclosures, and for other purposes.

S. 3314

At the request of Mr. SHEEHY, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3314, a bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to expand a directive of the Veterans Health Administration regarding informed consent to apply to certain types of medications.

S. 3426

At the request of Mr. MARKEY, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. OSSOFF) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3426, a bill to establish in the Department of State a Special Envoy for the Human Rights of LGBTQI+ People, and for other purposes.

S. 3655

At the request of Mr. MERKLEY, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3655, a bill to require the President to remove the extension of certain privileges, exemptions, and immunities to the Hong Kong Economic and Trade Offices if Hong Kong no longer enjoys a high degree of autonomy from the People's Republic of China, and for other purposes.

S. 3663

At the request of Mr. COONS, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) was added as a cosponsor of S.

3663, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to modify delayed notice requirements, and for other purposes.

S. 3712

At the request of Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. OSSOFF) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3712, a bill to direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to conduct, and submit to Congress a report describing the results of, a study on the use of home cardiorespiratory monitors for infants, and for other purposes.

S. 3798

At the request of Mr. CRUZ, the name of the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3798, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to establish criminal offenses with respect to violations involving ATMs, regardless of whether the ATM is located on the physical premises of a financial institution, and for other purposes.

S. 3851

At the request of Ms. HIRONO, the name of the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3851, a bill to amend the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 to ensure adequate staffing and resources for the Institute of Tropical Forestry and the Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry.

S. 3855

At the request of Mr. BUDD, the names of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BANKS), the Senator from Alaska (Mr. SULLIVAN) and the Senator from Virginia (Mr. Kaine) were added as cosponsors of S. 3855, a bill to enhance bilateral defense cooperation between the United States and Israel, and for other purposes.

S. 3909

At the request of Mr. BUDD, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3909, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide that the prohibition on the possession of firearms and ammunition by certain aliens shall apply with respect to the use of firearms and ammunition by government entities.

S. 3938

At the request of Mr. KING, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Mr. GALLEGO) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3938, a bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to authorize representatives of veterans service organizations to participate in presentations to promote certain benefits available to veterans during pre-separation counseling under the Transition Assistance Program of the Department of Defense, and for other purposes.

S.J. RES. 107

At the request of Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. KIM) was added as a cosponsor of S.J. Res. 107, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United

States Code, of the rule submitted by the Internal Revenue Service relating to "Beginning of Construction Requirements for Purposes of the Termination of Clean Electricity Production Credits and Clean Electricity Investment Credits for Applicable Wind and Solar Facilities".

S. RES. 52

At the request of Mr. COONS, the name of the Senator from California (Mr. SCHIFF) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 52, a resolution recognizing religious freedom as a fundamental right, expressing support for international religious freedom as a cornerstone of United States foreign policy, and expressing concern over increased threats to and attacks on religious freedom around the world.

AMENDMENT NO. 4322

At the request of Mr. WARNOCK, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4322 intended to be proposed to H.R. 6644, a bill a bill to increase the supply of housing in America, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4323

At the request of Mr. WARNOCK, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4323 intended to be proposed to H.R. 6644, a bill a bill to increase the supply of housing in America, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4324

At the request of Mr. WARNOCK, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4324 intended to be proposed to H.R. 6644, a bill a bill to increase the supply of housing in America, and for other purposes.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. WELCH, Ms. WARREN, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. SCHATZ, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. KIM, Mr. MURPHY, and Mr. WHITEHOUSE):

S. 4007. A bill to restore competition in the meatpacking industry by reducing excessive concentration and market power and ultimately reduce prices for American consumers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 4007

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Family Grocery and Farmer Relief Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The meatpacking industry in the United States is highly concentrated, with a small number of firms controlling a dominant share of beef, chicken, and pork slaughtering and processing.

(2) 4 firms control 85 percent of the beef market and 67 percent of the pork market, which is up from 36 percent and 34 percent, respectively, in 1980.

(3) 4 firms control more than 60 percent of the market in chicken processing.

(4) The scale and market dominance of large meatpacking firms create substantial barriers to entry and expansion for independent and regional processors, limiting competitive alternatives for producers and consumers.

(5) This highly consolidated meatpacking market has real consequences for farmers, workers, and consumers.

(6) Meatpackers have repeatedly used their market power in ways that suppress wages, destroy jobs through strategic plant shut-downs, and subject workers to extremely dangerous conditions while prices are to their advantage.

(7) Extreme concentration in meatpacking has resulted in diminished bargaining power for independent producers, increased vulnerability to unfair and discriminatory practices, and reduced economic viability for rural communities.

(8) Consumers are paying more for meat, with the Department of Agriculture reporting that ground beef prices have increased about 16.4 percent since last year. Meanwhile, increased revenue is not flowing to farmers and ranchers as—

(A) in 1970, 70 percent of the consumer's beef dollar went to cattle ranchers, but today, ranchers' share of the consumer's beef dollar is closer to 30 percent; and

(B) profits remain with the big 4 covered meatpacking enterprises.

(9) The public interest requires competitive, transparent, and resilient markets for essential food products.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this Act are to—

(1) restore competition in the meatpacking industry by reducing excessive concentration and market power;

(2) prohibit and reverse mergers and acquisitions in the meatpacking sector that have materially lessened competition;

(3) authorize and require structural separation, divestiture, or breakup of dominant meatpacking firms, where necessary, to restore competitive market conditions;

(4) ensure that no firm retains or expands market power in United States food and agricultural markets through capital obtained by corruption, bribery, or other unlawful conduct;

(5) deny competitive advantage derived from foreign state-backed or non-market financing that undermines fair competition in United States markets;

(6) protect independent cattle producers from abusive, coercive, or discriminatory practices arising from excessive buyer concentration;

(7) ensure that any restructuring of the industry results in safer, fairer, and more sustainable jobs for workers across the supply chain;

(8) promote the growth and viability of independent and regional meat processors; and

(9) help bring prices down for families in the United States.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) BEEF MEATPACKING MARKET.—The term “beef meatpacking market” means the market for cattle slaughter and beef processing in the United States, including the national beef market and regional beef markets.

(2) COMMISSION.—The term “Commission” means the Federal Trade Commission.

(3) COVERED FEEDLOT.—The term “covered feedlot” means a feedlot with a capacity of 24,000 head of cattle or more.

(4) COVERED FOREIGN-CONTROLLED MEATPACKING ENTERPRISE.—The term “covered foreign-controlled covered meatpacking enterprise” means—

(A) JBS S.A. and its affiliates; and
(B) any other entity, as determined by rule by the Commission.

(5) COVERED MEATPACKING ENTERPRISE.—
(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), the term “covered meatpacking enterprise” has the meaning given the term “packer” in section 201 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 191).

(B) RULEMAKING.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall, by rule, define for purposes of this Act—

(i) a de minimus threshold of volume or revenue below which a person shall be excluded from the definition of a covered meatpacking enterprise under subparagraph (A); and

(ii) the requirements that place a person under common control or in affiliation with a covered meatpacking enterprise such that the entity shall be included in the definition of a covered meatpacking enterprise under subparagraph (A).

(6) CR4.—The term “CR4” means the sum of the market shares of the 4 largest firms in the relevant market.

(7) FARMERS' COOPERATIVE.—The term “farmers' cooperative” means an organization exempt from taxation under section 521 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(8) FEEDLOT.—The term “feedlot”—
(A) means any facility that is used in its entirety or in part for the purpose of feeding livestock to be slaughtered, or to be sold for slaughter, by another; and

(B) does not include feeding incidental to the sale or transportation of livestock.

(9) HHI.—The term “HHI” means the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index, calculated as the sum of the squares of the market shares of all firms in the relevant market.

(10) LINE OF PROTEIN.—The term “line of protein” means livestock, livestock products (as defined in section 2 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 182), poultry, poultry products (as defined in section 4 of the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 453), meats, or meat food products (as defined in section 1 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601)) in each of the following product categories:

(A) Beef (including cattle slaughter, beef processing, and beef products).

(B) Pork (including hog slaughter, pork processing, and pork products).

(C) Poultry (including chicken slaughter, processing, and chicken products).

(D) Any additional category, as the Commission may, by rule, designate to prevent evasion of this Act.

(11) MARKET SHARE.—The term “market share” means the share of total slaughter or processing capacity, volume, or sales in the relevant market, as determined by rule by the Commission.

(12) NATIONAL BEEF MARKET.—The term “national beef market” means the market of the United States as a whole, or such broader integrated geographic market as the Commission determines appropriate, for the slaughter of cattle and processing of beef products.

(13) REGIONAL BEEF MARKET.—The term “regional beef market” means a geographic market defined by reference to the regional direct slaughter cattle reporting regions of the Department of Agriculture, or any successor system of regional delineation the

Commission determines better reflects competitive conditions.

TITLE I—BREAKING UP THE MEATPACKING INDUSTRY

SEC. 101. LIMITATION ON OPERATION IN MULTIPLE LINES OF PROTEIN.

(a) PROHIBITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—It shall be unlawful for a covered meatpacking enterprise to own, control, or operate any entity or combination of entities that engaged in more than 1 line of protein in the United States, in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce.

(2) PROHIBITION ON NEW ACQUISITIONS.—On and after the date of enactment of this Act, no covered meatpacking enterprise may acquire, directly or indirectly, control of assets or operations in a line of protein other than the line of protein in which the covered meatpacking enterprise already engages, in violation of paragraph (1).

(b) DIVESTITURE REQUIRED.—The Commission shall require divestiture pursuant to section 102 of any covered meatpacking enterprise that violates subsection (a).

SEC. 102. DIVESTITURE AUTHORITY.

(a) COMMISSION AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to any violation of section 101, the Commission shall develop and oversee a divestiture plan for the covered meatpacking enterprise that provides for—

(A) the sale of assets to 1 or more independent entities; or

(B) the creation of 1 or more new, independent entities through spin-off or other structural separation.

(2) STANDARDS.—In exercising its authority under paragraph (1), the Commission shall—

(A) act in a manner consistent with the public interest in promoting competition, protecting consumers, producers, and workers, and ensuring a resilient food supply, as described in section 602; and

(B) to the maximum extent practicable, structure divestitures under this section so as to—

(i) avoid reconcentration of assets;

(ii) encourage ownership and control of divested assets by farmers' cooperatives, worker owned enterprises, and other small or mid sized businesses; and

(iii) prevent reacquisition of divested assets by firms whose market power contributed to the need for divestiture.

(b) TRANSITION; COMPLIANCE PLANS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall develop a plan for the divestiture of each covered meatpacking enterprise that, as of the date of enactment of this Act, is engaged in the processing of more than 1 line of protein in violation of section 101.

(2) COMMENT.—Not later than 30 days after the development of a plan under this section, a covered meatpacking enterprise shall submit to the Commission any comments on the plan.

(3) APPROVAL OF PLAN.—Following the end of the comment period under paragraph (2), the Commission shall consider and respond to significant comments received under that paragraph and approve a final version of the plan.

TITLE II—SPECIFIC RULES FOR CONSOLIDATION IN BEEF MEATPACKING MARKET

SEC. 201. PROHIBITION ON UNLAWFUL HORIZONTAL CONSOLIDATION.

The Commission shall require divestiture pursuant to section 202, as applicable, if in a regional beef market or in a national beef market—

(1) the HHI exceeds 1800;

(2) the CR4 exceeds 50 percent; or

(3) any covered meatpacking enterprise has a market share of 30 percent or more.

SEC. 202. REGIONAL AND NATIONAL BEEF MARKET DIVESTITURE AUTHORITY AND PROCESS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Divestiture under this section may consist of—

(1) sale of 1 or more entities, facilities, or business units to 1 or more independent entities; or

(2) the creation of 1 or more new, independent entities, including through spin-offs or other structural separation.

(b) DIVESTITURE IN REGIONAL BEEF MARKETS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—

(A) VIOLATION UNDER HHI OR CR4 MEASURES.—With respect to a condition described in paragraph (1) or (2) of section 201, the Commission shall order divestiture in the regional market as follows:

(i) The largest covered meatpacking enterprise in the regional beef market that owns multiple beef slaughter or processing entities in that region shall divest its largest entity, facility, or business unit in that region.

(ii) After the divestiture required under clause (i), the Commission shall reassess the concentration in the regional beef market under section 201(a).

(iii) If 1 or more thresholds described in section 201 is met after the reassessment under clause (ii), the Commission shall repeat the process described in clauses (i) and (ii) as necessary, including by ordering further divestitures, until no threshold described in section 201 is met or until the Commission determines that further divestiture would not reduce market concentration.

(B) VIOLATION UNDER SINGLE FIRM MARKET SHARE MEASURE.—With respect to a condition described in section 201(3), the Commission shall order divestiture in the regional market of the covered meatpacking enterprise meeting that condition.

(2) USE OF EQUITABLE POWERS TO DECONCENTRATE THE MARKET.—If the Commission is unable to order further divestitures under subparagraph (A)(iii) of paragraph (1) and 1 or more thresholds described in section 201 is still met, such as if the largest covered meatpacking enterprise has only 1 entity, facility, or business unit in the market which cannot be divided, the Commission shall use all equitable powers to otherwise deconcentrate the market until the Commission determines that none of the thresholds described in section 201 are met.

(c) DIVESTITURE IN NATIONAL BEEF MARKET.—In the national beef market, the Commission shall apply a substantially similar process to the process described in subsection (b), as appropriate, to require divestiture by covered meatpacking enterprises (including by ordering divestiture of specified entities, facilities, or business units, or other assets) and use all equitable powers to deconcentrate the market until the Commission determines that none of the thresholds described in section 201 are met.

SEC. 203. VERTICAL CONSOLIDATION.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that the long-term supply contracts and similar arrangements between large packers and large feedlots can be functionally equivalent to ownership, leading over time to consolidation of feedlots, reduced demand for cattle from independent producers, and diminished competition.

(b) PROHIBITION.—No covered meatpacking enterprise in the beef line of protein may slaughter, in any calendar year, more than 10 percent of the cattle produced by any single covered feedlot.

(c) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a covered meatpacking enterprise violates subsection (b), any feed-

lot owner or operator that sold some percentage less than 10 percent of its cattle to that covered meatpacking enterprise during the calendar year of the violation may bring a civil action against the covered meatpacking enterprise in the Federal judicial district in which the feedlot is located or in an appropriate United States district court to recover—

(A) an amount equal to 3 times the difference between the highest price the covered meatpacking enterprise paid for cattle from any covered feedlot and the lowest price the feedlot owner or operator received for cattle during the calendar year of the violation multiplied by the total number of cattle the feedlot owner or operator sold overall during that calendar year; and

(B) reasonable costs and attorney's fees.

(2) CIVIL PENALTY TO ADDRESS PRIVATE INJURIES.—The Commission may impose on any covered meatpacking enterprise violating subsection (b) a civil penalty equal to the amount described in paragraph (1)(A) with respect to each feedlot owner or operator that sold less than 10 percent of its cattle to the covered meatpacking enterprise and shall use the amount recovered to compensate such feedlots.

TITLE III—PROHIBITING FOREIGN LEVERAGE OVER THE DOMESTIC BEEF AND PORK MARKETS

SEC. 301. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) A significant portion of domestic beef, pork, and chicken processing capacity is owned or controlled by foreign-based multinational corporations, raising concerns relating to food system resilience, transparency, and national security.

(2) JBS S.A., a foreign-based multinational corporation, is the largest beef processor operating in the United States and has obtained substantial domestic meatpacking assets through a sustained acquisition strategy.

(3) In 2020, J&F Investimentos S.A. (the parent company of JBS S.A.) agreed to pay more than \$280,000,000 to settle Department of Justice and Securities and Exchange Commission charges relating to bribery and other corrupt practices involving foreign government officials to obtain preferential financing and other financial advantages from state-backed institutions. Capital obtained through such corrupt practices was used, in whole or in part, to finance acquisitions of meatpacking and food processing assets in the United States.

(4) The use of corruption-derived or preferential state-backed financing to acquire United States agricultural assets distorted competitive conditions and disadvantaged firms that relied on lawful, market-based financing.

(5) The People's Republic of China has an increasing footprint in the food supply chain in the United States, with Smithfield Foods, owned by the WH Group of the People's Republic of China, holding a major position in United States pork processing and announcing in January 2026 its acquisition of Nathan's Famous, an iconic brand of the United States.

(6) When major processing capacity and widely recognized brands in the United States move under the control of a foreign parent company, the public deserves to know how that affects competition, pricing power, and national security.

SEC. 302. DIVESTITURE PLANS FOR COVERED FOREIGN-CONTROLLED MEATPACKING ENTERPRISES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—It shall be unlawful for any covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise to operate in interstate commerce in the United States.

(b) DIVESTITURE REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall require each covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise violating subsection (a) to carry out a divestiture plan under paragraph (2).

(2) STRUCTURE.—A divestiture plan of a covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise under this paragraph shall—

(A) be developed by the Commission; and

(B) require the covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise to divest its United States meatpacking and food processing operations, which may require that such operations be—

(i) transferred to 1 or more new, independent entities headquartered, incorporated, and controlled by persons domiciled in the United States; or

(ii) sold to 1 or more entities, subject to conditions necessary to preserve and enhance competition and safeguard national security interests, including, as appropriate, in consultation with relevant national security agencies.

(3) STANDARDS.—In exercising its authority under paragraph (1), the Commission shall—

(A) act in a manner consistent with the public interest in promoting competition, protecting consumers, producers, and workers, and ensuring a resilient food supply, as described in section 602; and

(B) to the maximum extent practicable, structure divestitures under this section so as to—

(i) avoid reconcentration of assets;

(ii) encourage ownership and control of divested assets by farmers' cooperatives, worker owned enterprises, and other small or mid sized businesses; and

(iii) prevent reacquisition of divested assets by firms whose market power contributed to the need for divestiture.

(4) CONSIDERATION OF CORRUPTION AND UNLAWFUL CONDUCT.—In designing the divestiture plan under this paragraph, the Commission may take into account prior admissions and findings relating to corruption, bribery, and other unlawful conduct used to obtain financing for United States acquisitions, including settlements and judgments under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 (15 U.S.C. 78dd-1 et seq.).

(5) EXTENSION.—With respect to a covered foreign-controlled meatpacker, the Commission may grant a single extension of not more than 90 days of the date on which the prohibition under subsection (a) would otherwise apply to the covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise if the Commission certifies to Congress that—

(A) a path to executing a divestiture under this subsection has been identified with respect to such covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise;

(B) evidence of significant progress toward executing such divestiture has been produced with respect to such covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise; and

(C) there are in place the relevant binding legal agreements to enable execution of such divestiture during the period of such extension.

SEC. 303. REVIEW OF OTHER FOREIGN-CONTROLLED MEATPACKING ENTERPRISES.

(a) STUDY AND REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall complete a study of the business practices, financing, ownership structures, and competitive effects of all foreign-controlled entities with significant meatpacking and related operations in the United States, including those of entities engaged in beef or pork production and processing.

(b) CONSULTATION.—In conducting the study under subsection (a), the Commission shall consult with appropriate national security agencies, including the Department of Defense, the Department of Homeland Security, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Justice, and any other relevant agency as determined by the Commission.

(c) AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE NEED FOR DIVESTMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission may determine, based on the study and consultations under subsections (a) and (b), that divestment, structural separation, or other remedial action is needed with respect to such foreign-controlled entities to protect competition, national security, or the resilience of the United States food system.

(2) CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW.—

(A) SUBMISSION.—Before the Commission may require divestment, structural separation, or other remedial action under paragraph (1), the Commission shall submit to each House of Congress the determination under that paragraph and Congress shall review the determination pursuant to subparagraph (B).

(B) REVIEW.—Congress may, by an Act of Congress, block a determination submitted under subparagraph (A) through the congressional disapproval procedure set forth in section 802 of title 5, United States Code.

(C) REQUIREMENT.—Upon the expiration of the review period under subparagraph (B), the Commission may require the divestiture, structural separation, or other remedial action determined under paragraph (1).

(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit to Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report that includes a divestment decision with respect to each foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprise.

TITLE IV—BRINGING PRICES DOWN FOR THE AMERICAN FAMILY

SEC. 401. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Families across the United States face persistently high prices for meat, which contribute significantly to overall food costs and household financial strain.

(2) Concentration and limited competition in meat supply chains and retail markets can facilitate unfair and unjustly discriminatory pricing practices, including price discrimination that disadvantages certain retail grocers and the communities they serve.

(3) Unfair and unjustly discriminatory prices and price discrimination for meat products can result in higher prices, reduced availability, and fewer choices for consumers, particularly in rural areas, low-income communities, and communities already experiencing limited grocery access.

(4) Section 406 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 227), confers authority on the Commission with respect to the retail sale of meat, meat food products, and livestock products in unmanufactured form. Under section 406 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 227), the Commission may exercise its authority, including its authority under the Federal Trade Commission Act, to prevent unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce in connection with such retail sales.

(5) The authority extends to conduct that results in unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail meat prices and to price discrimination in meat, which drives prices higher for independent, smaller, or neighborhood grocery stores, where such conduct constitutes an unfair method of competition or an unfair or deceptive act or practice.

(6) Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) declares unlawful unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce and authorizes the Commission to prevent such conduct through investigations, administrative proceedings, and judicial enforcement, including with respect to retail and wholesale sales of meat, meat food products, and livestock products in unmanufactured form where such sales are in or affect commerce.

(7) The Commission's authority under section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45), including as informed by its policy statements and enforcement precedent, provides an important tool to challenge unfair methods of competition, coordinated conduct, exclusionary practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in retail and wholesale meat markets that may drive up prices, restrict output, or otherwise harm consumers, small and independent grocers, and fair competition.

(8) Congress intends that the Commission fully and proactively utilize its authority under section 406 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, its authority under Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45), and all other applicable laws, to identify, prevent, and remedy unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail and wholesale meat prices and price discrimination that harms consumers, honest businesses, and competition.

(9) The authority of the Commission under section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 46(b)) to require reports and answers to specific questions from persons, partnerships, and corporations enables the Commission to study and report on market structure, pricing practices, and competitive conditions in retail and wholesale meat markets, thereby informing effective enforcement and policymaking.

SEC. 402. REPORT ON MAXIMIZING AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 406 OF THE PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ACT, 1921, AND RELATED FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AUTHORITIES.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit to the congressional committees described in subsection (c) a report describing how the Commission is using and maximizing, and plans to further maximize—

(1) its authorities under section 406 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 227) and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to address—

(A) unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail and wholesale prices for meat, meat food products, and livestock products in unmanufactured form; and

(B) price discrimination in meat, including beef and pork, that results in higher prices or otherwise less favorable terms for independent, smaller, or neighborhood grocery stores; and

(2) its authority under section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 46(b)) to conduct studies and obtain information, including compulsory process where appropriate, regarding the structure, conduct, and performance of retail and wholesale meat markets, and the pricing, contracting, and merchandising practices of firms operating in those markets.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum—

(1) a description of the Commission's interpretation of its authority under section 406 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 227), and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45), as those authorities apply to unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail and wholesale meat prices and to price discrimination in meat affecting retail grocers;

(2) a description of any policies, guidance, rules, or enforcement priorities the Commission has adopted, revised, or is considering to better detect, deter, and remedy such conduct using its authority under section 406 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 227), section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45), and other applicable statutes;

(3) a summary of any investigations, enforcement actions, or other proceedings, initiated or completed during the 180-day period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, that involve alleged unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail or wholesale meat prices or price discrimination in meat affecting retail grocers, to the extent practicable and consistent with the protection of confidential or law-enforcement-sensitive information;

(4) an assessment of how unfair and unjustly discriminatory pricing, and price discrimination in meat affecting retail grocers, may contribute to higher prices or reduced access to meat products for consumers in particular geographic areas or demographic groups;

(5) a description of the Commission's coordination with the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Justice, and any other relevant Federal or State agencies with respect to unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail and wholesale meat prices and price discrimination affecting retail grocers;

(6) any recommendations for additional statutory authority, resources, or other measures that the Commission determines would enhance its ability to address unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail and wholesale meat prices and price discrimination in meat that harms competition and consumers;

(7) a description of how the Commission has used, or plans to use, its authority under section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 46(b)) to study and obtain information regarding retail and wholesale meat pricing, fees, discounts, allowances, and other terms or practices that may result in unfair or unjustly discriminatory prices or price discrimination affecting independent, smaller, or neighborhood grocery stores; and

(8) an identification of any studies initiated, ongoing, or completed under section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 46(b)) that relate to retail or wholesale meat markets, food retailing, or related pricing and merchandising practices, and a discussion of how the results of such studies inform, or are expected to inform, the Commission's enforcement, policy development, and coordination with other Federal or State agencies with respect to unfair and unjustly discriminatory retail or wholesale meat prices and price discrimination.

(c) COMMITTEES.—The congressional committees described in this subsection are the following:

(1) The Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(2) The Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate.

(3) The Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate.

(4) The Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives.

(5) The Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives.

(6) The Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives.

TITLE V—FUNDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEW COMPETITORS

SEC. 501. FUNDING FOR FARMER'S COOPERATIVES AND SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Small Business Administration.

(2) ELIGIBLE ENTITY.—The term “eligible entity” means—

(A) a farmers’ cooperative; and

(B) a small business concern (within the meaning of section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)).

(b) AUTHORITY.—The Administrator may provide financial assistance, loan guarantees, technical assistance, and other assistance to eligible entities for the purpose of acquiring, operating, or expanding meatpacking plants or facilities divested pursuant to this Act.

(c) APPLICATIONS.—An eligible entity seeking assistance under subsection (b) shall submit to the Administrator an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Administrator may require.

(d) PREFERENCE.—In evaluating applications submitted under subsection (c), the Administrator shall, to the extent consistent with sound underwriting and program integrity, give preference to eligible entities proposing to use such assistance for locally or regionally focused operations that will enhance competition for livestock and benefit producers and consumers.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.

TITLE VI—RULEMAKING AND ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY

SEC. 601. ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY.

(a) ENFORCEMENT.—

(1) FAILURE TO DIVEST AS REQUIRED.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A failure to divest pursuant to this Act shall be deemed to be an unlawful method of competition in violation of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45). The Commission shall enforce divestitures under this Act in the same manner, by the same means, and with the same jurisdiction, powers, and duties as though all applicable terms and provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.) were incorporated into and made a part of this section.

(B) PERSONS SUBJECT TO THE PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ACT.—Notwithstanding section 5(a)(2) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45(a)(2)) or any jurisdictional limitation of the Commission, the Commission shall also enforce this Act, in the same manner provided in subparagraph (A), with respect to persons, partnerships, or corporations insofar as they are subject to the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.).

(C) PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO DIVEST.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall impose a civil penalty equal to 10 percent of the revenue of the violator during the period of violation for any failure to divest pursuant to this Act.

(ii) ENHANCED PENALTY.—The Commission shall impose a civil penalty equal to 3 times the amount of any damages under section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) for any knowing violation of this Act.

(2) CIVIL ACTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission is authorized to bring a civil action in an appro-

priate district court of the United States to enforce any divestment plan, order, or condition imposed under this Act, including to enjoin violations, compel compliance, or obtain other appropriate relief.

(B) REMEDIES.—In an action under subparagraph (A), the court may grant any appropriate equitable relief, including specific performance, modification of divestiture terms (only on motion of the Commission), appointment of a monitor, disgorgement or restitution, or such other relief as the interests of justice and competition may require.

(b) USE OF PENALTY FUNDS.—The Commission shall use any civil penalties or other amounts recovered under this Act to promote competition, including by funding the development of new competitors under title V.

(c) REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE.—The Department of Agriculture shall comply with all requests for information and expert assistance made by the Commission in carrying out this Act.

SEC. 602. RULEMAKING.

(a) OBJECTIVES.—In promulgating all rules under this Act relating to required divestitures and divestment plans, the Commission shall aim to—

(1) discourage monopolistic practices;

(2) strengthen and preserve the competitive position of small business concerns;

(3) foster the development of new independent enterprises; and

(4) preference farmers’ cooperatives and small businesses in divestment plans.

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall promulgate, in accordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code, such rules and regulations as are necessary to carry out this Act, including rules relating to—

(A) definitions of markets for cattle slaughter and beef processing in the United States;

(B) standards and requirements for divestitures under this Act; and

(C) in consultation with national security agencies, an identification of all covered foreign-controlled meatpacking enterprises.

(2) FAILURE TO PROMULGATE REGULATIONS.—If no regulations have been promulgated by the Commission on or before the date described in this subsection, the requirements of this section shall still apply.

By Mr. DURBIN:

S. 4008. A bill to reauthorize programs relating to oral health promotion and disease prevention; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 4008

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Promoting Dental Health Act”.

SEC. 2. REAUTHORIZATION OF PROGRAMS.

Section 317M of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247b-14) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)(2), by striking “2010 through 2014” and inserting “2027 through 2031”; and

(2) in subsection (f), by striking “2001 through 2005” and inserting “2027 through 2031”.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 627—DESIGNATING MARCH 5, 2026, AS “NATIONAL SLAM THE SCAM DAY” TO RAISE AWARENESS ABOUT PERVASIVE SCAMS AND TO PREVENT GOVERNMENT IMPOSTER SCAMS AND OTHER TYPES OF SCAMS BY PROMOTING EDUCATION ABOUT SUCH SCAMS

Mr. SCOTT of Florida (for himself, Mr. KELLY, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. WARNOCK, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. ROUNDS, and Mrs. MOODY) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 627

Whereas hundreds of thousands of individuals in the United States are targeted by government imposter scams each year, including government imposter scams related to Social Security, Medicare, the Federal Trade Commission, the United States Postal Service, and the Internal Revenue Service;

Whereas scams cost United States consumers billions of dollars annually, disproportionately affecting older adults;

Whereas, in the first 3 quarters of 2025, the Federal Trade Commission reported that fraud losses totaled over \$12,000,000,000, with over \$700,000,000 reported as imposter scams;

Whereas 1 in 4 people in the United States reported losing money to scams, with a median loss of \$500 per person;

Whereas, according to the Federal Trade Commission, since 2020 there has been more than a four-fold increase in older adults reporting losses of \$10,000 or more, and at times they have lost their entire life savings;

Whereas, since 2013, the fraud hotline of the Special Committee on Aging of the Senate has received more than 12,685 complaints from individuals in all 50 States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico regarding possible government imposter scams;

Whereas, according to the Federal Trade Commission, in 2025, older adults reported larger median individual losses as a result of government imposter scams than younger adults;

Whereas government imposter scams involve scammers contacting individuals in the United States and claiming to resolve a government-related problem or impersonating employees of government agencies, such as the Social Security Administration, the Department of Health and Human Services, the Federal Trade Commission, the United States Postal Service, and the Internal Revenue Service, to demand payment or personal information, which defrauds the people of the United States and erodes trust in the government agencies that the scammers impersonate; and

Whereas increased awareness of, and education about, government imposter scams help to thwart government imposter scammers: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates March 5, 2026, as “National Slam the Scam Day”; and

(2) recognizes National Slam the Scam Day as an opportunity to raise awareness and amplify the messaging about scams that involve individuals impersonating government employees by any means, including by mail, telephone, text message, email, social media, or internet websites (referred to in this resolution as “government imposter scams”);

(3) recognizes that law enforcement agencies, consumer protection groups, telephone