

SENATE RESOLUTION 801—HONORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF THE HONORABLE LINDSEY OLIN GRAHAM, A SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Mr. THUNE (for Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina (for himself, Mr. THUNE, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. ALSOBROOKS, Mr. ARMSTRONG, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. BANKS, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. BENNET, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mrs. BRITT, Mr. BUDD, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. CASSIDY, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. COONS, Mr. CORNYN, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. COTTON, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. CRUZ, Mr. CURTIS, Mr. DAINES, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. DURBIN, Ms. ERNST, Mr. FETTERMAN, Mrs. FISCHER, Mr. GALLEGGO, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. HAGERTY, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. HAWLEY, Mr. HEINRICH, Mr. HICKENLOOPER, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. HUSTED, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. JUSTICE, Mr. KAINE, Mr. KELLY, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. KIM, Mr. KING, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. LEE, Mr. LUJÁN, Ms. LUMMIS, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. MCCORMICK, Mr. MERKLEY, Mrs. MOODY, Mr. MORAN, Mr. MORENO, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. MURPHY, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. OSSOFF, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. PAUL, Mr. PETERS, Mr. REED, Mr. RICKETTS, Mr. RISCH, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. SCHATZ, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. SCHMITT, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. SHEEHY, Ms. SLOTKIN, Ms. SMITH, Mr. SULLIVAN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. TUBERVILLE, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WARNOCK, Ms. WARREN, Mr. WELCH, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. WICKER, Mr. WYDEN, and Mr. YOUNG)) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 801

Whereas Lindsey Olin Graham was born on July 9, 1955, in Central, South Carolina;

Whereas Lindsey Graham graduated from D.W. Daniel High School, received a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of South Carolina in 1977, and earned a Juris Doctor from the University of South Carolina School of Law in 1981;

Whereas Lindsey Graham served with distinction in the United States Air Force Judge Advocate General's Corps, the South Carolina Air National Guard, and the United States Air Force Reserves, attaining the rank of Colonel before retiring after more than 3 decades of military service, and receiving the Bronze Star Medal and the Meritorious Service Medal;

Whereas Lindsey Graham served as an Assistant County Attorney for Oconee County, South Carolina, before being elected to the South Carolina House of Representatives in 1992;

Whereas Lindsey Graham was elected to the United States House of Representatives in 1994, where he represented the Third Congressional District of South Carolina for 4 terms;

Whereas Lindsey Graham was elected to the United States Senate in 2002 and was subsequently reelected by the people of South Carolina several times to continue representing them with distinction;

Whereas, during his service in the Senate, Lindsey Graham served on numerous com-

mittees, including the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on the Budget, the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, and the Committee on Environment and Public Works;

Whereas Lindsey Graham served as Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary during the 116th Congress and as Chairman of the Committee on the Budget during the 119th Congress;

Whereas, throughout his career, Lindsey Graham was a steadfast advocate for the national defense of the United States, the rule of law, the men and women of the Armed Forces, and the people of South Carolina;

Whereas Lindsey Graham earned the respect of colleagues on both sides of the aisle through his candor, wit, willingness to engage in difficult debates, and unwavering commitment to public service;

Whereas Lindsey Graham devoted more than 3 decades of his life to serving the people of South Carolina and the United States with honor and distinction;

Whereas the voice of Lindsey Graham carried influence far beyond the halls of Congress, and his steadfast advocacy for the leadership of the United States, a strong national defense, and the cause of freedom earned him the respect of leaders around the world;

Whereas Lindsey Graham was preceded in death by his father, Florence James Graham, and his mother, Millie Walters Graham, whose example of hard work, sacrifice, and perseverance profoundly shaped his life of public service; and

Whereas Lindsey Graham is survived by his sister, Darline Graham Nordone, and a grateful United States that benefitted from his faithful service: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) has heard with profound sorrow and deep regret the announcement of the death of the Honorable Lindsey Olin Graham, a Senator from the State of South Carolina;

(2) requests that the Secretary of the Senate communicate these resolutions to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy thereof to the family of Lindsey Olin Graham; and

(3) stands adjourned as a further mark of respect to the memory of the Honorable Lindsey Olin Graham when the Senate adjourns today.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 6561. Mr. SCHIFF (for himself and Mr. BANKS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2027 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6562. Mr. SCHIFF submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6563. Mr. SCHIFF submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6564. Mr. SCHIFF submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6565. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6566. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. WHITEHOUSE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6567. Ms. HASSAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6568. Mrs. HYDE-SMITH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6569. Mr. COTTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6570. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. COTTON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6571. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6572. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself and Mr. GALLEGGO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6573. Mr. BUDD (for himself and Mr. COONS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6574. Mr. COTTON (for himself and Mr. WARNER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6575. Mr. BANKS (for himself and Ms. WARREN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6576. Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mr. COONS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6577. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6578. Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6579. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself and Mr. DURBIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6580. Mr. GRASSLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6581. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself and Mr. DURBIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6582. Mr. CRAMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6583. Mr. SCHMITT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6584. Mr. SCHMITT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6585. Mr. RICKETTS (for himself and Mr. KIM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6586. Mr. TUBERVILLE (for himself and Ms. LUMMIS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S.

SA 6028. Mr. JOHNSON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6650. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Mr. CRUZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6651. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Mr. TILLIS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6652. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Mr. RISCH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6653. Mr. LANKFORD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6654. Mr. REED (for himself and Ms. COLLINS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6655. Mr. KELLY (for himself and Mrs. BLACKBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6656. Mr. WARNOCK (for himself and Mr. MORAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6657. Mr. WARNOCK (for himself and Mrs. BLACKBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6658. Mr. SCHATZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6659. Mr. SCHATZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6660. Mr. SCHATZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6661. Mr. SCHATZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6662. Mr. SCHATZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6663. Mr. LANKFORD (for Mr. FETTERMAN (for himself and Mr. LANKFORD)) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. LANKFORD to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6664. Mr. YOUNG (for himself, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. DAINES, Mr. DURBIN, Mrs. BLACKBURN, and Mr. SCHUMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6665. Mr. YOUNG (for himself and Mr. KELLY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6666. Mr. YOUNG (for himself, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. COTTON, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. FETTERMAN, and Mr. BUDD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6667. Mr. HUSTED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 6668. Mr. HUSTED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 6561. Mr. SCHIFF (for himself and Mr. BANKS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 4784, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2027 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in subtitle G of title X, insert the following:

SEC. _____. COLLABORATION ON ADVERSARIAL THREATS AND AI SECURITY RISKS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ANTITRUST LAWS; NON-FEDERAL ENTITY.—The terms “antitrust laws” and “non-Federal entity” have the meanings given those terms, respectively, in section 102 of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 (6 U.S.C. 1501).

(2) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.—The term “artificial intelligence” has the meaning given that term in section 238(g) of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (10 U.S.C. 4001 note).

(3) ASSISTANCE.—The term “assistance” includes the provision of software, hardware, data, personnel, and other resources.

(4) COVERED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY PURPOSE.—The term “covered artificial intelligence security purpose” means the purpose of protecting against, identifying, evaluating, testing, analyzing, preventing, investigating, or mitigating a covered artificial intelligence security risk.

(5) COVERED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY RISK.—The term “covered artificial intelligence security risk” means the potential for artificial intelligence, including during development, training, testing, evaluation, deployment, use, or release, to do 1 or more of the following:

(A) Substantially facilitate the development or deployment of a chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or offensive cyber weapon.

(B) Cause a disruption to, degradation of, impairment of, or loss of operational control over critical infrastructure that is reasonably likely to result in a significant impact on security, national economic security, national public health or safety, or any combination thereof.

(C) Substantially reduce the ability of a developer, deployer, owner, operator, user, evaluator, auditor, Federal department or agency, or other governmental authority to oversee, evaluate, monitor, control, contain, restrict access to, disable, or terminate such artificial intelligence, if the applicable person or governmental authority has authority or responsibility to do so, including through unauthorized, deceptive, evasive, or malicious activity involving such artificial intelligence.

(D) Autonomously improve, or substantially facilitate the autonomous improvement of the capabilities of artificial intelligence in a manner that creates a reasonable risk of a consequence described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

(E) Be stolen, weaponized, trained, developed, or deployed by a covered nation (as defined in section 4872(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code) or an entity owned, controlled, or directed by a covered nation in a manner that poses a significant threat to the national security, including through covert, clandestine, undisclosed, or otherwise concealed development activities that attempt to evade detection or verification.

(F) Be vulnerable to unauthorized access that—

(i) creates a substantial risk of a consequence described in subparagraphs (A) through (D); or

(ii) is for the benefit of, at the direction of, or under the control of—

(I) a covered nation (as defined in section 4872(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code); or

(II) an entity owned, controlled, or directed by a covered nation.

(6) EXCLUSIVE PURPOSE.—The term “exclusive purpose”, with respect to the provision of information or assistance, means for the purpose of, with not more than an insubstantial part of the information or assistance being for other purposes.

(7) UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS.—The term “unauthorized access” with respect to artificial intelligence—

(A) means unauthorized access or use of artificial intelligence; and

(B) includes—

(i) extraction or copying of model weights, parameters, or other nonpublic model information;

(ii) systematic querying or automated extraction designed to distill, replicate, or reconstruct model capabilities; and

(iii) compromise affecting the integrity, reliability, or security of artificial intelligence, including through malicious code, a backdoor, manipulated data, or compromise of an artificial intelligence model, training dataset, or artificial intelligence component.

(b) ANTITRUST EXEMPTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be considered a violation of any provision of the antitrust laws for—

(A) 2 or more non-Federal entities to provide or exchange information or assistance relating to a covered artificial intelligence security risk in good faith for the exclusive purpose of a covered artificial intelligence security purpose; or

(B) 2 or more non-Federal entities to provide or exchange information or assistance for the exclusive purpose of coordinating strategies to reduce covered artificial intelligence security risks via delaying or otherwise limiting the release, deployment, use, development, training, testing, or evaluation of artificial intelligence, provided that the non-Federal entities submit prior written notice of the proposed coordinated delay or limitation to the Attorney General, detailing the specific covered artificial intelligence security risk and the scope of the proposed restriction.

(2) LIMITATION.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to a non-Federal entity receiving information or assistance unless the non-Federal entity uses such information or assistance for a covered artificial intelligence security purpose and has implemented reasonable internal controls to limit the extent to which such information or assistance can be used for other purposes.

(3) AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE.—In any action or proceeding brought under the antitrust laws, the exemption provided under paragraph (1) shall constitute an affirmative defense, and any non-Federal entity claiming the exemption shall bear the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the entity's actions were taken in good faith and for the exclusive purpose described in paragraph (1).

(4) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Paragraph (1)(A) shall not be construed to permit price-fixing, allocating a market between competitors, monopolizing or attempting to monopolize a market, boycotting, or exchanges of price or cost information.

(5) EXEMPTION FROM DISCLOSURE.—Any information submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to paragraph (1)(B), including any written notice submitted under that