

clearly is a tool being used by the administration that will markedly and quickly deplete congressional appropriations, put at risk available funds for innovative science in future years, and limit vital research funding for current investigators. The net result will include the unthinkable: researchers being forced out of science and fewer people considering biomedical investigation as a career. Are we ready to watch the crippling of scientific advances in diabetes and all other diseases?

Given the proposed budget cuts and the reduction in opportunities for scientists with appropriate expertise to continue their work and drive new science, we as clinicians, scientists, and U.S. citizens call on members of all communities in our country to make their thoughts known. While we have focused this editorial on diabetes, the threat is not limited to this disease. The proposed changes could affect progress for every disease and every American. There is an urgent need for all of us to bring attention to these destructive processes and halt them before the ongoing and proposed dissolution and destruction of critical components of our biomedical research infrastructure are completed. Enough is enough! We call on all concerned citizens of our beloved country to contact their congressional representatives to declare their alarm about what is happening at HHS. We also request that all organizations established to ensure the health and welfare of U.S. citizens clearly and loudly make their voices heard and declare their alarm about what is happening at HHS. It is no longer enough to stand idly by or work behind the scenes with lawmakers. Moreover, it is no longer appropriate to fret about political backlash. Now is the time to recognize and fight to reverse the spiraling fall of the United States of America's status as the foremost nation in health care innovation. As a nation, we must continue to believe in ensuring better health for all.

A few brushes of a pen, some clearly visible through budget requests, others less so through internal machinations, are rapidly destroying what generations have built. We can no longer afford complacency and fear. We must all act now!

Mr. AUCHINCLOSS. Steve Khan and his colleagues were removed from the American Diabetes Association's annual meeting, where NIH Director Jay Bhattacharya was set to speak, while passing out copies of their editorial.

Attacks on science always become attacks on scientists. This leads to policy by fear and favor, not evidence.

The editorial said: "Just a year ago, in these very pages, we highlighted the many threats the current U.S. administration posed to the health of our Nation. Since then, there have been actions by the administration that have caused grave health consequences, and their current approach will continue to do so. The numerous measles outbreaks and associated avoidable deaths have resulted in part from hyping disproven theories of harm rather than publicizing the effectiveness of the measles vaccine."

While one would think that this congressional action to preserve the NIH budget was a clear repudiation, it has not stopped President Trump from requesting a 2027 budget that now seeks a \$5-billion reduction to the NIH.

Mr. Speaker, we call on all concerned citizens of our beloved country to contact their congressional Representatives to declare their alarm.

RECOGNIZING UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO'S 2026 NCAA DIVISION III MEN'S TENNIS NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP

(Mr. JACKSON of Illinois asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JACKSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the University of Chicago for winning the 2026 NCAA Division III Men's Tennis National Championship.

The top-ranked UChicago Maroons, led by Head Coach Matt Brisotti, defeated Claremont-Mudd-Scripps 4-3 in a thrilling back-and-forth battle at the Champions Tennis Club in Chattanooga, Tennessee.

Christian Liew and Ajer Sher gave UChicago early momentum. Michael Choi rallied from a 5-2 deficit to win. Senior Emil Grantcharov helped clinch the national title for the University of Chicago Maroons.

Even more amazing, this is UChicago's third championship title in 5 years.

Mr. Speaker, I congratulate these student-athletes and coaching staff for this exceptional accomplishment and historic achievement.

RECOGNIZING EDDIE HART

(Mr. DESJARLAIS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DESJARLAIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Eddie Hart for his induction into the Bay Area Sports Hall of Fame.

A Californian from birth, Eddie won State and NCAA titles during his collegiate track and field career where he became known as one of the top sprinters in the Nation.

Eddie's performance in the 1972 Munich Olympic Games earned him the title the "World's Fastest Human" and a gold medal for him and the United States of America.

Today, Eddie serves disadvantaged youth in our community through his Eddie Hart All In One Foundation.

Eddie's commitment to service and his athletic excellence has touched countless lives for generations.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in recognizing Eddie Hart, gold medalist, for his induction into the Bay Area Sports Hall of Fame. Eddie Hart was once the world's fastest human. He is still one of the world's best humans.

INFLATION AND SECRET PLAN TO CUT SOCIAL SECURITY, MEDICARE, AND MEDICAID

(Ms. KAPTUR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, earlier this week news broke that "you" have a secret plan to cut Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid.

The following day, as new numbers were released showing core inflation rose to 4.2 percent on everything, the President said he loves inflation. He thinks the numbers are just great.

I am sorry, but where I come from, our retired workers know that Social Security and Medicare are hard-earned benefits we all pay into. Also, we know Medicaid is a lifeline for the most at-risk seniors to access healthcare or pay for long-term nursing care for those who can't afford it in their golden years.

We also know that the price of everything is going up, from gas to groceries to housing and so much more. Look at energy. Oh, my goodness.

I don't agree with Speaker JOHNSON's notion to cut Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid. We should strengthen them and even expand them, and I don't agree that inflation is great. I don't love it.

If Americans coast to coast can't afford to put gas in their cars, groceries on the table, and a roof over their head, then that is a problem.

The Speaker and the President should get outside the beltway bubble. Americans need their serious attention to bring inflation down.

□ 1120

COMMUNICATION FROM THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SELF) laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

WASHINGTON, DC,
June 11, 2026.

I hereby designate the period from Thursday, June 11, 2026, through Monday, June 22, 2026, as a "district work period" under clause 13 of Rule I.

MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

INVIDIOUS DISCRIMINATION

(Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2025, Mr. GREEN of Texas was recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.)

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, and still I rise with much to say, but before going into my message, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. VEASEY), a colleague who has done an exceptional job representing the 33rd District in the State of Texas. After he has finished, I will then continue with my message.

RECOGNIZING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF SYLVIA COLLINS

Mr. VEASEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from the Ninth Congressional District from Texas, a colleague and a great guy that represents the Greater Houston Fort Bend area for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, the last couple of weeks, I have lost a couple of amazing constituents—one in a terrible tragedy. There was a fire in Dallas, an apartment building that exploded. It was a

gas explosion, and one of the people that lost their life in the explosion was Ms. Sylvia Collins, who was just an incredible person.

Any time I would go to an event in the Oak Cliff, Dallas part of the district that I represent, Sylvia was usually at that event. She supported and attended so many of the different functions and so many of the different programs for constituents and townhalls that I would put on for the district, and she is someone that is going to deeply be missed.

She was someone that literally dedicated her entire life and her time in Dallas trying to do everything that she could to make sure that people were taken care of. She was always looking out for someone else and just always had a friendly smile on her face, so much civic engagement—her time as a precinct chair in Dallas County and a community organizer. She just wanted to make sure that all voices were heard. She just did an extraordinary job in doing that.

Throughout her life, she became known as someone that was passionate. Anyone who asked her to join a cause or be a part of something, she was always there for them. Whether it was campaigning for civil rights, whether it was helping different people that wanted to run for office, being a spokesperson for our immigrant community, Sylvia was someone that was always there for everyone else.

It was such a tragedy when she died in the explosion. There were also a young woman and her baby that were killed in the explosion, as well. We are still trying to find out exactly what happened during that explosion, but her loss of life has truly left a hole in the Dallas County community, the Dallas County Democratic community, and just everyone that came across this amazing woman that always had a smile and a hug for you.

She is going to be missed. I send prayers out to her family.

RECOGNIZING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF
ANDERSON B. LAMPKIN

Mr. VEASEY. The next person here that I wish to recognize is Mr. Anderson Lampkin. His wife is still with us. They would have celebrated 73 years of marriage in August, an extraordinary couple. The reason why I have both of them pictured, even though Mr. Lampkin is the person that we lost, is because they did everything together as a family, the entire family. Whether it was Boy Scouts, whether it was all of their work at Shiloh Missionary Baptist Church on the north side of Fort Worth, NAACP, they did everything together as a family. I think they are just an amazing example of what we need to get back to.

Mr. Lampkin was born in Gonzales, Texas, but was raised in Austin. When he left East Austin, he moved to Dallas, and then came to Fort Worth about 10 years later.

He liked to volunteer and be a part of the community. For 60 years, he was a

sign language interpreter. He was the deaf ministry bus driver, a deacon at his church, a trustee at his church, a leader in his church. He was someone that was committed to public service as a nonelected official.

It was just amazing to go to a Boy Scout event, for instance, and see him and his wife involved, to see his kids involved, to see his grandkids involved in Boy Scouts. They did everything together as a unit.

His leadership and volunteer services touched so many different clubs and agencies in Fort Worth and in Tarrant County: Mission Shiloh, the Longhorn Council of the Boy Scouts there in Fort Worth, the Fort Worth Education Association, and many, many others.

He was from a very large family, and Mr. Lampkin is someone that is going to be missed greatly. I will miss seeing that couple at different events together.

I urge you to keep Ms. Dorothy Lampkin in prayer, and keep the kids, grandkids, and great-grandkids in prayer.

Mr. Speaker, I honor Mr. Lampkin for a job well done.

I thank the gentleman from Texas, my colleague, my delegation colleague, for giving me a few minutes to honor these extraordinary Americans.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I greatly appreciate the way Mr. VEASEY has conducted this time, and I wish him the very best.

Mr. Speaker, and still I rise. And I rise, Mr. Speaker, as I always do, unbought, unbossed, unafraid, and now I must also add unelected, a liberated Democrat, truly liberated, liberated to the extent that while I have been censored, I have not been silenced.

While I have now been unelected, I will not be silent. My agenda remains the same. It is still the agenda that deals with the voices of those that are not heard in this House as they should be, the voices of the least, the last, and the lost—the least among us, persons who don't inherit a legacy of much, but actually a legacy of poverty, not plenty; persons who are among the lost in a society as rich as ours where persons find themselves lost in places of despair, living in places that you and I would not consider a place habitable. The least, the lost, and, of course, the last. The last would be those persons who are the last to be hired and the first to be fired—the least, the last, and the lost.

But I also represent other people, and I will continue to do so. I represent those persons who suffer invidious discrimination. They are among the LGBTQIA+ community. They are women. They are persons who are of the Islamic faith. They are persons who are currently suffering from anti-Semitism. They are persons who are suffering because of the color of their skin.

Today, I rise to speak on the topic of invidious discrimination emanating from the Presidency. I call to the at-

tention of the listening audience and viewing audience an article from the Huffington Post, the HuffPost. This article is titled: "Trump Used His Go-To Insult In Interview After Knicks Loss"—that would not be the last game, of course, the game prior to this one—"And Experts Say It's A Pattern." We are talking about his go-to insult, and I must commend Ms. Katie Mather for the outstanding job she has done with this article. It has the date June 9, 2026, as its date of publication.

□ 1130

I would like to read some excerpts from this because I think it would give us an opportunity to understand how the Trump Presidency has not only impacted what he does but also some other aspects of society. So this is truly invidious discrimination emanating from the Presidency.

Let me read some excerpts. The first reads: "First Take' host Stephen A. Smith slammed President Donald Trump on Tuesday morning after Trump told reporters on Monday night that Smith wouldn't be a good fit to run for President because 'you need a high IQ.'"

My dear friends, if I may say brothers and sisters because I truly believe that there is but one race, and that is the human race, so if I may just say, my dear brothers and sisters. Friends, you may call Stephen A. Smith many things. I probably would not agree with anything that is negative. I don't know a negative side of him. I know that he is opinionated, but that is not unusual in the United States of America to have people who are opinionated. It is especially not unusual here in the House of Representatives.

So you might call him many things, but I don't believe that you can call him a person in need of a high IQ, implying that he has a low IQ, and it goes on to say this in this article.

Stephen A. Smith is brilliant, and I say this without question, reservation, or hesitation. He is one of the most brilliant people who I have seen in the sports arena, but he goes beyond sports. He talks on a multiplicity of subjects all the time, and he equips himself well on every topic that I have heard him speak on. Now, you don't have to agree with a person to understand the brilliance of the person. He has a brilliant mind, and I do believe that the President made this comment for dubious reasons.

So let me continue reading from excerpts in the article:

"Trump's 'low IQ' comment against Smith has racist implications . . ." This is what the article says: ". . . racist implications and is referred to as a 'racial dog whistle' by linguists and political experts, said Dr. Karrin Anderson, a communications studies professor at Colorado State University."

This professor is making the point that when the President says these things about persons with low IQs, he is actually signaling to others. It is a racist dog whistle.

The article goes on to say: “‘What distinguishes a dog whistle from just an insult is it is designed to sound innocuous . . .’” the dog whistle is designed to sound as though, oh, it is harmless, just another thing someone is saying to be funny or cute. Well, not this dog whistle.

The article goes on to say: “‘. . . Anderson, who teaches courses in rhetoric, political communication, and gender and communication, told HuffPost: ‘It is meant to be deniable. The virtue, the rhetorical appeal of a dog whistle, is you can deny that it functions in the way that it is actually designed to function.’”

So this dog whistle is designed to appear to be innocuous and harmless, but its functionality is rooted in something else.

He goes on to say: “It’s a term that white supremacists have historically used against people of color, and it’s not the first time the President has publicly used it. Last month, he called House Minority Leader Hakeem Jeffries a ‘thug’ and a ‘low IQ’ person on Truth Social.”

This is where he speaks much of what he says to the public.

But this term “thug,” let me focus on it for just a moment. HAKEEM JEFFRIES, he calls a thug. That is demeaning. He does this to demean people of color, but then he adds the injury to this insult of low IQ. You can accuse HAKEEM JEFFRIES of many things, but you cannot, in any way, produce the empirical evidence to show that he is a person with a low IQ. He is a brilliant man, a brilliant person who has the appeal that most people understand to be that of a person with great intellect.

So when the President calls him a thug and a low-IQ person on Truth Social, and he did this in April, this was a means by which he could demean and insult and use the term that those who live in the world of white supremacy embrace. This is something that they readily embrace, and they understand the dog whistle. They understand what the President really means when he says “thug” and “low IQ.”

Let me go on.

“In April, he called Supreme Court Justice Ketanji Brown Jackson the ‘new low-IQ person on the Court.’”

The ‘new low-IQ person on the Court.’ “During the 2024 Presidential election, Trump repeatedly described former Vice President Kamala Harris as a ‘low-IQ individual.’”

Note what all of these people have in common: color. African Americans, persons who have reached great heights in terms of their professions, persons who are making a difference in a very positive way in our country. But the President would demean them by challenging their intellect and, in so doing, appeal to white supremacists who still believe that people of color have low intellect.

Reading on:

“He applies it . . .” meaning his low IQ comment, “. . . indiscrimi-

nately to Black people in different walks of life and professions.” This is what Anderson said.

“That’s where it reveals that it is a racist dog whistle because it doesn’t relate to anything else.”

It goes on to say: “Experts say the ‘low IQ’ insult has a storied past.

“There is a long history in the U.S. of this ‘low IQ’ dog whistle.” This is Anderson speaking again.

It goes on to say: “During the colonial era, White male elites took for granted that they were cognitively superior to women and people of color, and thus, divinely appointed for leadership.”

Well, that explains a lot of the sexism that we have seen. That explains a lot of what we have seen when persons decide that they are simply going to reduce you to something less than human by assigning you to the primate family and calling you an ape or saying that you are related to the apes or somehow you are an ape, reducing people of color to animals that are not as superior life forms as some others.

These animals are not persons. They exist, and we want them to exist, but we don’t want people to compare human beings to these animals, and the President does this. He has compared a former President and First Lady to apes. We don’t like to talk about this, I have found, but there are many people who would rather just see this kind of go away and let’s let that just be something that he has done.

Well, the President never apologized for it after saying that. Someone else actually posted it, but he never apologized. By the way, I don’t ask him to apologize. I ask him to just tell the truth: That this was wrong, that it should not have been posted, and that he would not allow this to happen again. That would be more suitable for the means by which he can atone for his transgression, but he hasn’t done any of that. He tends to double down.

Well, this article goes on to remind us: “‘IQ was used to justify heinous behavior like racial segregation and forced sterilizations.’” Now, this is something that another social scientist, Figueroa, has called to our attention in this article.

Forced sterilization because of IQ actually happened in the United States of America. We have much to atone for. As we approach this great anniversary, we would have people believe that all is well.

All is not well. All won’t be well until some of our transgressions have been properly addressed.

This notion that you should sterilize a woman because you believe that she has a low IQ is more than simply a crime that is statutorily illegal. It is really a crime against humanity to engage in this kind of conduct. It actually happened.

□ 1140

After we ended the enslavement of African Americans in this country, Af-

ricans from America, living in America, who were, at the time, and much of the time not declared citizens—so let’s just say Africans in America.

After slavery was ended, the enslavement of people after some 240 years, the people who had a hand in building this very facility, the Capitol, the White House, the ones who built roads and bridges, the people who planted seeds and harvested crops, they were the economic foundational mothers and fathers of the country.

After we ended the enslavement of these persons, then we embarked upon convict leasing, which is another form of racism. Slavery itself was rooted in racism. This whole notion of convict leasing allowed people who violated some code to be placed in the hands of other persons, to be leased out from the government or some arm of the government. Many of them died as leased persons, those convicts who had been leased.

There is a grave near Houston, Texas, with 95 bodies in it, persons who were convicts and leased, all in a common grave.

This kind of behavior was justified based upon IQ. They were less than human. They were akin to the lower forms of animals that we would call primates. As such, you can do almost anything to them that you would like, and people did.

This forced sterilization and this segregation was a form of invidious discrimination and was rooted in racism.

Many of us alive today in this country experienced lawful racism in the form of segregation. Many of us went to back doors, drank from colored water fountains, sat in the back of the bus, and were locked up in the bottom of the jail. Many of us suffered this form of invidious discrimination, known as segregation, which is rooted in racism.

We the people decided that we would no longer have this form of invidious discrimination exist lawfully. We ended it lawfully. We didn’t end it as it relates to the practice. Invidious discrimination against people of color still exists to this day in this country.

People who would say that it doesn’t exist, and say that repeatedly, those who are in high places, those comments are based in racism. If you are saying repeatedly that people of color are not discriminated against in this country, and you are repeatedly saying it in public spaces, you, my friend, are a racist. You are. Nobody bothered to tell you before now. I know you didn’t get the word.

I am here to tell you that people who do this, to demean other people, to say that they are less than, that is racist rhetoric. Persons who do this regularly, with intentionality, you are a racist.

As I indicated, IQ was used to justify heinous behavior, like racial segregation and forced sterilization. “That thinking,” it reads here, “was amplified in the late 1900s by a popular book

titled 'The Bell Curve,' which falsely claimed that IQ differences between racial groups were biological.

"Phrenology, another form of race science that claimed skull shapes determined intelligence, has also been completely discredited by the scientific community because of how often it was used to uphold scientific racism and gender stereotypes throughout the U.S. and Europe."

Friends, people of color and women have suffered greatly in this country under the color of law, under the guise of science that was rooted in racism.

We have to change this. This cannot continue in this country. Until we change this, we cannot truly say that all persons are treated equally. Although they are created equally, they are not treated equally. We cannot say that liberty and justice for all exists when we address ourselves and the Pledge of Allegiance. It just doesn't happen. It is not the case. There is not liberty and justice for all in the United States of America.

Let us continue. It reads: "Roughly 8 in 10 of Trump's 'low IQ' attacks target Black and Brown people, data shows."

We are talking about empirical evidence.

"Trump has been using this dog whistle frequently. Between January 20, 2025, and April 25, 2026, Trump posted 'low IQ,' or a variation of the phrase, 24 times."

Let me stop for just a moment and deal with the variation of the phrase. There are some people who don't say low IQ. They will say stupid. They will say: Oh, Black people are stupid, or they will say a particular Black person is stupid. In so doing, they will say: Now, I really mean this in the sense of the way the word stupid is defined. They use stupidity. They use this in the sense of referring to the person's intellect. Again, it is another means by which persons are using dog whistles to demean people of color.

This dog whistle that the President uses has permeated what I call racist radio. Yes, racist radio exists. Not all radio is racist, but there is a large segment, a subset of radio, that is racist.

They are on the air daily, utilizing terms such as stupidity, depicting people of color as less than human beings. They have done it to the extent that they have normalized it within the circle that they reside in. They have normalized it. People just come to accept it.

Well, I don't. I refuse to accept what racist radio does routinely.

The President, who is at the top of this chain of unscrupulous behavior, shapes the tone and tenor for all of these things that happen beneath him. Much of what we are seeing today is being perpetrated and perpetuated because the President does it. Why can't others do it? People see it this way.

We have this racist radio that is constantly perpetrating and perpetuating racist dog whistles in the form of IQ, in the form of depicting persons as less

than human, who are persons of color, and in the form of using language other than low IQ, such as stupid. Stupidity has become another dog whistle for racist radio.

They know that I speak truth. They rarely have the truth spoken such that they are exposed. Those of you who are listening to it and enjoying it, you are enjoying racism. As long as you continue to enjoy it and support it, buy the products being advertised on those stations, you are supporting racism.

□ 1150

Racism exists in the public arena because, in many instances, people are buying the products that the racists purvey. The racists sell the products on their radio stations. They advertise the products. You buy them from those stations, and as a result you are supporting racism. I refuse to do it.

Racism is something that we have to combat along with sexism, anti-Semitism, Islamophobia, homophobia, all of the various invidious phobias.

Let me repeat this. It bears repeating. "Roughly 8 in 10 of Trump's 'low IQ' attacks target Black or Brown people, data shows." It goes on to say: "Trump has been using this dog whistle frequently. Between January 20, 2025, and April 25, 2026, Trump posted 'low IQ,' or a variation of the phrase, 24 times, according to a study by Truthout, a nonprofit news organization. Eight out of every 10 posts were directed at Black or Brown people. In the first 10 months of his second term, Trump did not refer to any White person as someone with 'low IQ.'"

I am going to repeat that. "In the first 10 months of his second term, Trump did not refer to any White person as someone with 'low IQ.'"

These are the kinds of things people don't want to hear, but you have to know the truth so that you can free your minds. I read someplace that if you know the truth, it will set you free. I want to free your minds. This will free you, some of you. Some of you choose not to be free, and there is nothing that will be said to free your minds because you choose not to be free.

There is no one so blind as he who chooses not to see. Not as he who cannot see, but he who chooses not to see. There are many people who choose not to see.

No White person referred to with "low IQ."

The article goes on to indicate: "It is designed to signal to a specific audience that a speaker shares their ideology or belief systems." That is what these dog whistles are designed to do, to say to other people, hey, don't worry, I have got your back, I believe, just as you believe, that they have low IQs, so it is okay for you to say it on racist radio now. It is all right. You can call persons stupid. You can say low IQ. You can do it with impunity because the President is doing it.

Well, it is not all right, and you are not going to do it with impunity because you are going to be exposed.

This goes on to indicate that: "[Trump] uses it to refer to Black people and, predominantly but not exclusively, Black women." "Predominantly, but not exclusively, Black women."

I stand in defense of Black women. I stand in defense of the Black women that he has called persons with low IQs. I defend MAXINE WATERS. I defend Vice President Kamala Harris. I defend JASMINE CROCKETT. I defend the women that this President would demean with a racist comment, and that is what it is. It is racist. Racist commentary constantly repeated over the airwaves.

It goes on to say: "I think, in his mind"—this is a person speaking now of the President—"I think in his mind, because it doesn't explicitly refer to race, he feels that it is easy to deny that it's a racist label."

My friends, he can deny it, but there are some of us who see through it. I am here to tell you that it is racist, and it is a racist label.

This article concludes with this language: "By repeating the phrase openly—as is done on racist radio quite regularly. Remember, we are talking today about invidious discrimination emanating from the Presidency, and I chose this topic, emanating from the Presidency, because I wanted to talk about how it has permeated society. It starts there at the top with this President, and it permeates society."

Now, the argument can be made that it was here, and it was, before this President took office, but he has popularized it. He has normalized it. He has made it such that people in racist radio use it regularly and feel as though they are doing something noble by demeaning people of color and women and others as well, members of the LGBTQIA+ community.

He says: "I think in his mind, because he doesn't explicitly refer to race, he feels that it's easy to deny that it is a racist label."

The final comment: "By repeating the phrase openly, the practice further popularizes the concept." By repeating it, he popularizes the racist comment.

As another person who spoke—Harris—once put it, "Trump's language seems to have become less a dog whistle and more a bullhorn." "Less a dog whistle, more a bullhorn."

So, friends, here we are. We have a President of the United States of America who routinely uses racist commentary to refer to people of color. Routinely. He does it without a thought as to how it impacts the lives of the people he refers to, the persons that he is calling low IQ. He doesn't really understand—maybe he does understand and doesn't care, but he should consider how it impacts the lives of people, how it signals to other people that the persons are less than, and how it can cause some people to respond to them in a very adverse way.

However, we have to say to the President—and I do so today—Mr. President, if you are using this kind of commentary, as you do, and you continue

to do it routinely, someone has to tell you what you are doing.

Here is what you are doing, Mr. President: You are bringing the country itself, the country itself to a level of concern for others such that we are not seen as we were once seen—as we were once seen as a country with the Statue of Liberty, the notion that there is liberty and justice for all in our Pledge of Allegiance, the notion that we truly respect all people, and that all persons are created equal and endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, among them life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. You are causing people across the globe to see us differently. You are causing people across the globe to understand that the United States is undergoing something that they never thought they would see and, quite frankly, I am amazed myself.

Therefore, Mr. President, there is only one thing I can say to you: When you do this, you are not only using a racist dog whistle, you are a racist. That kind of terminology utilized the way you do it regularly makes you the racist who has now given other racists the license to say what they say openly and notoriously, normalizing racism.

As we approach the 250th anniversary, Mr. President, you should be ashamed. However, there is no shame in you. You have already demonstrated this with your behavior over the many years that you have had a public voice.

□ 1200

You have already demonstrated there is no shame in you, so because you have no shame in you, Mr. President, I would call to your attention that while I am unbought, unbossed, unafraid, and unselected, censured but not silenced, Mr. President, there is still a countdown to impeachment. Mr. President, it is just a matter of time. Your day of reckoning will come.

Someone is going to stand in the well of this House of Representatives and is going to introduce Articles of Impeachment against you. These articles are going to pass in this House of Representatives, but before that person does it, however, I believe that there will be someone who will introduce articles to lay the foundation for the actual articles that will later on pass the House of Representatives.

There is always someone who lays the foundation for things. A foundation was made at the Edmund Pettus Bridge for the Voting Rights Act of 1965. A foundation was laid to eliminate invidious discrimination in bussing on bus lines when Rosa Parks took a seat and ignited the sparked that started the civil rights movement.

Someone is going to lay the foundation.

Mr. President, you should know you will be impeached again. You will be three times impeached.

Mr. Speaker, with that said, I, AL GREEN, a proud, unbought, unbossed, unafraid, unselected, and truly liberated

Democrat, yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota). Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President and to direct their remarks to the Chair.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 13 of rule I, the House stands adjourned until 10:30 a.m. on Monday next.

Thereupon (at 12 o'clock and 1 minute p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, June 15, 2026, at 10:30 a.m.

OATH FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

Under clause 13 of rule XXIII, the following Member executed the oath for access to classified information:

James Gallagher

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. WILLIAMS of Texas: Committee on Small Business. H.R. 4238: A bill to improve accountability in the disaster loan program of the Small Business Administration, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 119-695, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. ADERHOLT: Committee on Appropriations. H.R. 9260. A bill making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2027, and for other purposes (Rept. 119-696). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on the Budget discharged from further consideration. H.R. 4238 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Ms. UNDERWOOD (for herself and Ms. SCHRIER):

H.R. 9257. A bill to amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, title XXVII of the Public Health Service Act, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to require group health plans and health insurance issuers offering group or individual health insurance coverage to provide for 3 primary care visits and 3 behavioral health care visits without application of any cost-sharing requirement; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Education and Workforce, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in

each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. YAKYM (for himself and Mr. SCHNEIDER):

H.R. 9258. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to impose a mileage-based user fee for mobile mounted concrete boom pumps in lieu of the tax on taxable fuels, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. LOFGREN (for herself, Ms. BARRAGÁN, Mr. CARBAJAL, Ms. CROCKETT, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. DESAULNIER, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Mr. GOLDMAN of New York, Ms. JACOBS, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY, Ms. SIMON, Ms. DEXTER, and Mrs. RAMIREZ):

H.R. 9259. A bill to provide counsel for unaccompanied children, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BEYER (for himself, Mr. FITZPATRICK, and Ms. HOULAHAN):

H.R. 9261. A bill to provide paid family and medical leave to Federal employees, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and in addition to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs, and House Administration, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BRESNAHAN:

H.R. 9262. A bill to protect the authority of local governments to make zoning decisions regarding data center development, and to require community benefit agreements as a condition for Federal tax incentives; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. BROWN:

H.R. 9263. A bill to increase the supply of affordable homes and expand housing options; to the Committee on Financial Services, and in addition to the Committee on the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BURLISON (for himself, Mr. OGLES, and Mr. MOORE of Alabama):

H.R. 9264. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to strike all exceptions to the circumstances in which it is unlawful for aliens to vote, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CARSON (for himself, Ms. NORTON, Mr. COHEN, Ms. BROWN, and Ms. TITUS):

H.R. 9265. A bill to amend the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act to require participation in the summer EBT program as a condition of participating in the school lunch program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Workforce.

By Mr. CARTER of Georgia:

H.R. 9266. A bill to require the Secretary of Transportation to issue regulations relating to the transportation of hazardous materials to require placards to be placed on all refrigerated shipping containers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.