

Mrvan Rulli
Mullin Rutherford
Murphy Ryan
Nadler Salazar
Neguse Salinas
Nehls Sanchez
Newhouse Scalise
Norcross Scanlon
Norman Schakowsky
Nunn (IA) Schmidt
Obernolte Schneider
Ocasio-Cortez Scholten
Ogles Schrier
Olszewski Schweikert
Omar Scott (VA)
Onder Scott, Austin
Owens Sessions
Pallone Sewell
Palmer Sherman
Panetta Shreve
Pappas Simon
Patronis Simpson
Pelosi Smith (MO)
Perez Smith (NE)
Perry Smith (NJ)
Peters Smith (WA)
Pettersen Smucker
Pfluger Sorensen
Pingree Soto
Pocan Spartz
Pou Stansbury
Pressley Stanton
Quigley Stauber
Ramirez Stefanik
Randall Steil
Raskin Stevens
Reschenthaler Strickland
Riley (NY) Strong
Rivas Stutzman
Rogers (AL) Subramanyam
Rogers (KY) Suozzi
Rose Sykes
Ross Takano
Rouzer Taylor
Ruiz Tenney

NAYS—5

Brecheen Fine
Davidson Harris (MD)

NOT VOTING—20

Aderholt Johnson (TX)
Cohen Kean
Craig Luna
Crane Mace
Ezell Massie
Graves Miller (WV)
Jackson (IL) Moore (AL)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1318

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LULU'S LAW

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1003) to require the Federal Communications Commission to issue an order providing that a shark attack is an event for which a wireless emergency alert may be transmitted, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. PALMER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 2-minute vote.
The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 401, nays 6, not voting 23, as follows:

[Roll No. 181]

YEAS—401

Adams Dingell
Agullar Doggett
Alford Donalds
Allen Downing
Amo Dunn (FL)
Amodei (NV) Edwards
Ansari Elfreth
Arrington Ellzey
Auchincloss Emmer
Babin Escobar
Bacon Espallat
Baird Estes
Balderson Evans (CO)
Balint Evans (PA)
Fallon Kennedy (UT)
Barr Khanna
Barragan Kiggans (VA)
Barrett Feenstra
Baumgartner Fields
Bean (FL) Figures
Beatty Finstad
Begich Fischbach
Bell Fitzgerald
Bentz Fitzpatrick
Bera Fleischmann
Bergman Fletcher
Beyer Flood
Bice Pong
Biggs (AZ) Foster
Biggs (SC) Foushee
Bilirakis Foy
Bishop Frankel, Lois
Boebert Franklin, Scott
Bonamici Friedman
Bost
Boyle (PA) Fry
Brecheen Fulcher
Bresnahan Fuller
Brown Garamendi
Brownley Garbarino
Buchanan Garcia (CA)
Budzinski Garcia (IL)
Burchett Garcia (TX)
Burlison Gill (TX)
Bynum Gillen
Calvert Gimenez
Cammack Golden (ME)
Carbajal Goldman (NY)
Carey Goldman (TX)
Carson Gomez
Carter (GA) Gonzalez, V.
Carter (LA) Gooden
Carter (TX) Goodlander
Casar Gosar
Case Gottheimer
Casten Gray
Castor (FL) Green, Al (TX)
Castro (TX) Griffith
Chu Grijalva
Ciscomani Grothman
Cisneros Guest
Clark (MA) Guthrie
Clarke (NY) Hageman
Cleaver Hamadeh (AZ)
Cline Harder (CA)
Clyburn Haridopolos
Cole Harrigan
Collins Harris (NC)
Comer Harshbarger
Conaway Hayes
Correa Hern (OK)
Costa Higgins (LA)
Courtney Hill (AR)
Crank Himes
Crawford Hinson
Crenshaw Horsford
Crockett Houchin
Crow Houlihan
Cuellar Hoyer
Davids (KS) Hoyle (OR)
Davis (IL) Hudson
Davis (NC) Huizenga
De La Cruz Hunt
Dean (PA) Hurd (CO)
DeGette Issa
DeLauro Ivey
DelBene Jack
Deluzio Jackson (TX)
DeSaulnier Jacobs
DesJarlais James
Dexter Jayapal
Diaz-Balart Jeffries

Murphy Johnson (GA)
Nadler Johnson (LA)
Neguse Johnson (SD)
Nehls Jordan
Newhouse Joyce (OH)
Norcross Joyce (PA)
Norman Kamlager-Dove
Nunn (IA) Kaptur
Obernolte Keating
Ocasio-Cortez Kelly (IL)
Ogles Kelly (MS)
Olszewski Kelly (PA)
Omar Kennedy (NY)
Onder Joyce (PA)
Owens Kamlager-Dove
Pallone Palmer
Palmer Keating
Panetta Kelly (IL)
Pappas Kelly (MS)
Patronis Kelly (PA)
Pelosi Kennedy (NY)
Perez Smith (NE)
Perry Smith (NJ)
Peters Smith (WA)
Pettersen Smucker
Pfluger Sorensen
Pingree Soto
Pou Spartz
Pressley Stansbury
Quigley Stanton
Ramirez Stauber
Randall Stefanik
Raskin Stell
Reschenthaler Stevens
Riley (NY) Strickland
Rivas Strong
Rogers (KY) Stutzman
Rose Subramanyam
Ross Suozzi
Rouzer Sykes
Ruiz Takano
Rulli Taylor
Rutherford Tenney

NAYS—6

Cloud Davidson
Clyde Fine

NOT VOTING—23

Aderholt Johnson (TX)
Cohen Kean
Craig Luna
Crane Mace
Ezell Massie
Graves Miller (WV)
Huffman Moore (AL)
Jackson (IL) Neal

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1323

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GRAVES. Mr. Speaker, I missed a series of votes today. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 176, YEA on Roll Call No. 177, YEA on Roll Call No. 178, YEA on Roll Call No. 179, YEA on Roll Call No. 180, and YEA on Roll Call No. 181.

MAKING IN ORDER AT ANY TIME CONSIDERATION OF H. CON. RES. 86, DIRECTING THE PRESIDENT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 5(c) OF THE WAR POWERS RESOLUTION, TO REMOVE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM HOSTILITIES WITH IRAN

Mr. MAST. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it be made in order at any time to consider H. Con. Res. 86

in the House if called up by the Chair of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, or its designee; that the concurrent resolution be considered as read; that the previous question be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to adoption without intervening motion, except for 1 hour of debate equally divided and controlled by Representative MAST of Florida and Representative MEEKS of New York, or their respective designees.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MEUSER). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

□ 1330

DIRECTING THE PRESIDENT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 5(c) OF THE WAR POWERS RESOLUTION, TO REMOVE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM HOSTILITIES WITH IRAN

Mr. MAST. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the order of the House of May 20, 2026, I call up the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 86) directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House today, the concurrent resolution is considered read.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 86

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1544(c)), Congress directs the President to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities against the Islamic Republic of Iran, other than those elements of the Armed Forces that may be necessary to defend the United States or an ally or partner of the United States from imminent attack provided that the President complies fully with the requirements of section 5(b) the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1544(b)) with respect to any such use of the Armed Forces, unless explicitly authorized by a declaration of war or a specific congressional authorization for use of military force against Iran.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The concurrent resolution shall be debatable for 1 hour, equally divided and controlled by Representative MAST of Florida and Representative MEEKS of New York, or their respective designees.

The gentleman from Florida (Mr. MAST) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. MEEKS) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MAST. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and in-

clude any extraneous materials on the resolution under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MAST. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, it is not clear to me what exactly my colleagues are trying to do. We were on this merry-go-round last week, and last week my colleagues across the aisle here voted to say let's remove all U.S. forces from any hostilities with Iran, while at the same time they acknowledged the imminent threat that Iran poses against us. They said Iran is the leading state sponsor of terrorism and Iran wants to attack the United States and our allies with ballistic missiles, terrorist proxies, and obtain a nuclear weapon to do so, as well.

They acknowledge all of these things, but then they say let's be a United States of America that does not respond to threats against the United States of America. I don't understand it. I look forward to hearing the explanation from my colleagues, although it will be unexplainable.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MEEKS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of my War Powers Resolution. Yes, here we go again. Today marks the fourth time this body will vote on a resolution to end President Trump's hostilities against Iran. After months of war, I ask the question: What has changed?

I can tell you, Mr. Speaker, that certainly nothing has changed for the better. Gas prices are now approaching \$5 a gallon. Airlines are cutting routes and struggling under rising fuel costs. The price of groceries, Mr. Speaker, and everyday goods continue to climb as more families are struggling to make ends meet.

Why? It is all because the Strait of Hormuz, which was open before the war, now sits at the center of escalating disruption and economic uncertainty.

When the President of the United States was asked whether he was thinking about the financial struggles of everyday American people, not the ultrarich like him and his buddies, he doubles down on a failed war. What was his response? "Not even a little bit . . . I don't think about Americans' financial situation. I don't think about anybody."

Here is what else hasn't changed, Mr. Speaker: The same Iranian regime remains in place. Iran retained its coercive capabilities, and Iran's nuclear program remains where it stood after the so-called 12-day war. Iran still possesses its highly enriched uranium and nuclear know-how. Much of its missile and drone capability remains intact, and Tehran retains leverage over the global economy.

Trump started this war, and he started it without congressional authorization and without a credible endgame. He still cannot explain how it ends, and the situation grows worse by worse every day.

Yet, my colleagues across the aisle continue to repeat the same argument. Perhaps for me the most absurd is that Iran posed an imminent threat. We have heard Mr. MAST, the chairman of the committee, say that Iran has posed an imminent threat for 47 years. Mr. Speaker, 47 years is not imminent.

The administration has not even attempted to demonstrate any imminent threat existed, let alone one that would have justified launching this war under the Constitution or the War Powers Resolution.

The law is very clear: If the President wishes to continue hostilities beyond 60 days, Congress must authorize them through an authorization for use of military force. If my Republican colleagues believe this war is justified, they should bring an AUMF to the floor. Yet, they have not. Absent congressional authorization, President Trump, by law, is required to withdraw U.S. forces from hostilities.

It is clear to the entire world that even without a deep-water Navy, Iran is still capable of closing the Strait of Hormuz.

None of this war's shifting objectives have been achieved. If and when Republicans try and claim, as Donald Trump sometimes does, that somehow killing the 86-year-old Khamenei, only to replace him with a much younger Khamenei, is proof of regime change, I would invite them to explain that to their constituents at a townhall meeting and see how seriously it is received by their constituents.

We have heard my Republican colleagues say that we should trust the Commander in Chief. Mr. Speaker, no President, Democrat, or Republican, deserves a blank check, especially one who has said Iran's nuclear program was "obliterated" and then used that same threat to justify this war.

This Commander in Chief has said the war is over, the war is won, that it is not a war, it is a war, that it is a mini war or an excursion. All of these things are what this President has said.

We are trapped in a war that won't end because an incompetent President launched it thinking of only his own ego, while failing to prepare for the consequences.

Diplomacy is the only exit from this, not more bombing, not more bluster, not waiting for the President to stumble into a cease-fire he can brand as a victory. That doesn't work. Yet, my Republican colleagues are just sitting on their hands doing everything they can to cover for this President.

Mr. Chairman, what I would love to do on the Committee on Foreign Affairs is to at least hold a hearing. We have not held one single public hearing, not one witness, not 1 hour of testimony on a war that has cost the