

the *Federal Register* for publication the enclosed notice stating that the national emergency with respect to specified harmful foreign activities of the Government of the Russian Federation declared in Executive Order 14024 of April 15, 2021, which was expanded in scope in Executive Order 14066 of March 8, 2022, and with respect to which additional steps were taken in Executive Order 14039 of August 20, 2021, Executive Order 14068 of March 11, 2022, Executive Order 14071 of April 6, 2022, Executive Order 14114 of December 22, 2023, Executive Order 14329 of August 6, 2025, and Executive Order 14384 of February 6, 2026, is to continue in effect beyond April 15, 2026.

Specified harmful foreign activities of the Government of the Russian Federation—in particular, efforts to undermine the conduct of free and fair democratic elections and democratic institutions in the United States and its allies and partners; to engage in and facilitate malicious cyber-enabled activities against the United States and its allies and partners; to foster and use transnational corruption to influence foreign governments; to pursue extraterritorial activities targeting dissidents or journalists; to undermine security in countries and regions important to United States national security; and to violate well-established principles of international law, including respect for the territorial integrity of states—continue to pose an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States. Therefore, I have determined that it is necessary to continue the national emergency declared in Executive Order 14024 with respect to specified harmful foreign activities of the Government of the Russian Federation.

DONALD J. TRUMP.
THE WHITE HOUSE, March 24, 2026.

CONTINUATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO SOUTH SUDAN—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 119-144)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, within 90 days prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the *Federal Register* and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision, I have sent to the *Federal Register* for publication the enclosed notice stating that the na-

tional emergency declared in Executive Order 13664 of April 3, 2014, with respect to South Sudan is to continue in effect beyond April 3, 2026.

The situation in and in relation to South Sudan, which has been marked by activities that threaten the peace, security, or stability of South Sudan and the surrounding region, including widespread violence and atrocities, human rights abuses, recruitment and use of child soldiers, attacks on peacekeepers, and obstruction of humanitarian operations, continues to pose an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. Therefore, I have determined that it is necessary to continue the national emergency declared in Executive Order 13664 with respect to South Sudan.

DONALD J. TRUMP.
THE WHITE HOUSE, March 24, 2026.

CONTINUATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO SIGNIFICANT MALICIOUS CYBER-ENABLED ACTIVITIES—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 119-145)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, within 90 days prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the *Federal Register* and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision, I have sent to the *Federal Register* for publication the enclosed notice stating that the national emergency declared in Executive Order 13694 of April 1, 2015, and with respect to which additional steps were taken in Executive Order 13757 of December 28, 2016, Executive Order 13984 of January 19, 2021, Executive Order 14110 of October 30, 2023 (revoked by Executive Order 14148 of January 20, 2025), Executive Order 14144 of January 16, 2025, and Executive Order 14306 of June 6, 2025, is to continue in effect beyond April 1, 2026.

Significant malicious cyber-enabled activities originating from, or directed by persons located, in whole or in substantial part, outside the United States continue to pose an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States. Therefore, I have determined that it is necessary to continue the national emergency declared in Executive Order 13694 with respect

to significant malicious cyber-enabled activities.

DONALD J. TRUMP.
THE WHITE HOUSE, March 24, 2026.

MAKE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SAFE AND BEAUTIFUL ACT OF 2025

Mr. McGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 1131, I call up the bill (H.R. 5103) to establish a program to Beautify the District of Columbia and establish the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Commission, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 1131, the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, printed in the bill, is adopted, and the bill, as amended, is considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 5103

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Make the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Act of 2025”.

SEC. 2. PROGRAM TO BEAUTIFY DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of the Interior (hereinafter the “Secretary”) shall develop a program to beautify the District of Columbia (hereinafter the “Program”).

(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary, when establishing the Program, shall consult with each of the following:

- (A) The Attorney General.
- (B) The Secretary of Transportation.
- (C) The Mayor of the District of Columbia.
- (D) The United States Attorney for the District of Columbia.

(E) The Administrator of General Services.

(F) The heads of such other Federal departments and agencies and District of Columbia officials as the Secretary deems appropriate.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the Program is to establish and implement a plan for Federal and local officials to—

(1) coordinate, and maintain, the cleanliness, of Federal and District of Columbia facilities, monuments, land, public spaces, sidewalks, parks, highways, roads, transit systems, and other commonly visited areas within the District of Columbia, including through the removal of graffiti;

(2) restore, to the extent practicable, District of Columbia and Federal public monuments, memorials, statues, markers, and similar properties that have been damaged or defaced or inappropriately removed or changed; and

(3) encourage private-sector participation in the efforts of the Program.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this section, and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall submit a report to the Committees on Oversight and Government Reform and on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate

that includes a summary of the progress of the Program and the plan as described in subsection (b).

(d) **SUNSET.**—This section, and the Program established by this section, shall terminate on January 2, 2029.

SEC. 3. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SAFE AND BEAUTIFUL COMMISSION.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established in the executive branch a District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Commission (hereafter the “Commission”).

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission shall be comprised of representatives of each of the following entities:

- (A) The Department of the Interior.
- (B) The Department of Transportation.
- (C) The Department of Homeland Security.
- (D) The Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- (E) The United States Marshals Service.
- (F) The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.
- (G) The United States Attorney’s Office for the District of Columbia.

(H) The United States Attorney’s Office for the District of Maryland.

(I) The United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of Virginia.

(J) The Executive Office of the Mayor of the District of Columbia (as defined in section 3(3) of the Governmental Reorganization Procedures Act of 1981; sec. 1-315.02(3), D.C. Official Code).

(K) Such other entities of the Federal government as may be determined by the Chair of the Commission.

(2) **DESIGNATION OF MEMBERS.**—Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this section (or, in the case of an entity described in subsection (b)(1)(K), not later than 45 days after the Chair of the Commission designates the entity), the head of each entity described in subsection (b)(1) shall designate a representative of that entity to serve as the representative of the entity on the Commission.

(c) **CHAIR.**—

(1) **DESIGNATION.**—Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the President shall designate a senior level official from the Executive Office of the President to serve as the Chair of the Commission.

(2) **FUNCTIONS.**—The Chair shall perform functions that include the following:

(A) Developing a schedule of meetings for the Commission.

(B) Designating entities who shall be represented on the Commission under subsection (b)(1)(K).

(C) In consultation with the members of the Commission, developing a charter for the Commission and, not later than 7 days after the date on which the charter is completed, submitting the charter to the appropriate committees of Congress.

(d) **FUNCTIONS AND AUTHORITIES.**—

(1) **FUNCTIONS.**—The functions of the Commission are to recommend actions, and review the effectiveness of such actions, with respect to, but not limited to, the following:

(A) Developing and encouraging the implementation of policies which will direct the maximum enforcement of Federal immigration law within the District of Columbia, including policies to encourage the redirection of available Federal, State, or local law enforcement resources to apprehend and deport illegal aliens.

(B) Monitoring the District of Columbia’s sanctuary-city status and compliance with the enforcement of Federal immigration law.

(C) Facilitating the prompt and complete accreditation of the District of Columbia’s forensic crime laboratory.

(D) In collaboration with its leadership and union, ensuring that the Metropolitan Police

Department of the District of Columbia is provided with assistance to facilitate the recruitment, retention, and capabilities of its officers and facilitating the provision of Federal personnel, resources, and expertise to reduce crime.

(E) Collaborating with appropriate local government entities to provide assistance to increase the speed and lower the cost of processing concealed carry license requests in the District of Columbia.

(F) Reviewing and, as appropriate, recommending revisions to Federal prosecutorial policies on pretrial detention of criminal defendants to ensure that individuals who pose a genuine threat to public safety are detained to the maximum extent permitted by law.

(G) Collaborating with appropriate local government entities to provide assistance to end fare evasion and other crime within the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority system.

(H) Facilitating the deployment of a more robust Federal law enforcement presence, and in coordination with local law enforcement agencies, facilitating the deployment of a more robust local law enforcement presence (as appropriate) within the District of Columbia, including the National Mall and Memorial Parks, museums, monuments, Lafayette Park, Union Station, Rock Creek Park, Anacostia Park, the George Washington Memorial Parkway, the Suitland Parkway, and the Baltimore-Washington Parkway.

(2) **COORDINATION WITH OTHER AUTHORITIES.**—The Commission may, to the extent permitted by law, request operational assistance from and coordinate with Federal and local officials as appropriate, including the Metropolitan Police Department of the District of Columbia, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, and the Amtrak Police.

(e) **REPORT.**—The Commission shall submit a report to the appropriate committees of Congress which includes a summary of the functions and authorities carried out pursuant to subsection (d), and shall include in the report such recommendations for legislation as the Commission considers appropriate.

(f) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

(g) **SUNSET.**—This section, and the Commission established by this section, shall terminate on January 2, 2029.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill, as amended, shall be debatable for 1 hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform or their respective designees.

The gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MCGUIRE) and the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WALKINSHAW) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MCGUIRE).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MCGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the measure under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I support the Make the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Act of 2025, which codifies core components of President Trump’s Executive Order No. 14252 titled: “Making the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful.”

Our Nation’s Capital is a direct reflection of our great country and should be a symbol of pride for the American people and a safe and beautiful location for all who reside and visit. Violent crime in Washington, D.C., has been far too high for far too long.

The Oversight and Government Reform Committee’s investigation into D.C. crime has shown that lawlessness and violence on D.C.’s streets are not an inevitability. It is a policy choice.

Specifically, we show that D.C. leadership pressured and, at times, directed police commanders to manipulate crime data in order to maintain the appearance of low crime in the Nation’s Capital.

You have to ask yourself: Why would the Democratic D.C. Council do that? They sought to deceive the American people because their misguided policies hurt American citizens.

Unfortunately, years of soft-on-crime policies have turned Washington, D.C., into a city with high crime rates, rampant homelessness, and graffiti on historic buildings and monuments. Symbols of our great Nation, such as the Washington Monument, the Lincoln Memorial, and the World War II Memorial, have all been vandalized and defaced over the years.

President Trump and congressional Republicans are tackling crime in Washington, D.C., head-on.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 5103 establishes the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Commission, made up of key Federal law enforcement and other Federal partners, to focus on ensuring the safety of District residents and visitors through full enforcement of Federal and local laws in the District.

The commission will develop recommendations on how to prioritize the safety of the District’s residents and visitors. This bill also requires the development and implementation of a D.C. beautification plan.

Key Federal and local leaders will be tasked—I will repeat that one. Key Federal and local leaders will be tasked with coordinating the cleanliness of the District’s facilities, infrastructure, and parks. These leaders will also work to restore Federal public monuments, statues, markers, and similar properties that have been defaced.

Every day, my constituents from Virginia’s Fifth Congressional District visit D.C. for work, travel, and my office coordinates tours for them. My constituents, as well as all who work or live in or travel to D.C., should feel safe while walking down the street.

Today, congressional Republicans will again show that we are the party

of law and order by voting in support of H.R. 5103.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1420

Mr. WALKINSHAW. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the so-called Make the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Act. This is yet another example of the majority wasting our time here, dictating local decisions in D.C., instead of doing our jobs focusing on the real issues affecting the American people.

As we speak, President Trump has us engaged in an unconstitutional, reckless war with Iran. Gas prices are at \$4 a gallon. TSA lines are approaching 4 hours. Republicans have stripped healthcare from millions of Americans while giving big tax cuts to billionaires. Masked Federal agents have killed Americans on our streets.

So what legislation do Republicans bring to the floor today? Legislation to bring down the high costs that American families are struggling with? No. Legislation to address the healthcare crisis and the fact that in our own Commonwealth of Virginia, nine rural hospitals are at risk of closing? No. This is a bill to further the President's seeming desire to make himself the king of the District of Columbia.

In this Congress, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform has spent a lot of time meddling in local D.C. matters and none holding the Trump administration accountable for corruption, its coverup of the Epstein files, and for the failure to deliver the lower costs that the President promised.

If President Trump wants to run the District of Columbia, he should resign from the Presidency and run for Mayor. I think there is an opening.

If congressional Republicans want to micromanage the District of Columbia, they should resign from Congress and run for the D.C. Council.

Let's talk specifically about the bill in front of us on which it is important to note the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform has not held a single hearing. The bill creates a Federal commission to oversee the District of Columbia, with mass deportation as its first priority. The commission is required to develop policies to "direct the maximum enforcement of Federal immigration law within the District of Columbia, including policies to encourage the redirection of available Federal, State, or local law enforcement resources to apprehend and deport illegal aliens."

It is to redirect law enforcement. Redirect law enforcement from the worst of the worst and, presumably, toward detaining more hardworking folks and children on top of the thousands of children that this administration has detained.

At the same time, the commission is required to support efforts to speed up

and lower the costs of concealed carry permits in D.C., increasing the risk of gun violence.

My friend, the gentleman from Virginia, talked about crime in the District of Columbia. It is true that violent crime across this country spiked during President Trump's first term, but the good news is that it is way down in D.C. today. In fact, violent crime is at a 40-year low. Now, one crime is one crime too many, but it is at a 40-year low in the District of Columbia today. In fact, dozens of cities in red States, represented by my friends on the other side of the aisle, have higher violent crime rates than the District of Columbia.

The bill also requires the Secretary of the Interior to "develop a program to beautify the District of Columbia."

As a former member of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors, I know a little bit about efforts to improve quality of life within local communities in partnership with those communities, and that is not what this bill does. The so-called beautification project is not intended to improve the lives of the 700,000 people who call D.C. home. It is an instruction to the Secretary of the Interior and the commission to transform D.C. into Mar-a-Lago on the Potomac for President Trump's benefit.

If my friends on the other side were serious about making D.C. safer and more beautiful, they wouldn't have blocked the District from spending its own tax revenue last year. They blocked the use of \$1 billion in local D.C. funds, funds that could have gone towards locally-directed efforts that would have made D.C. safer and more beautiful for its residents.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this legislation and instead support efforts that respect local officials here in D.C. and across the country who work to make our communities safer and more beautiful.

D.C. residents are among the only Americans who have all the responsibilities of citizenship in this country but don't enjoy all the benefits. If Republicans want to legislate on D.C. matters, let's pass D.C. statehood and give them all the benefits of citizenship.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, there was a great debate in 1790 with Hamilton, Jefferson, and Madison. Many here may remember the play "Hamilton." At the end of the day, they came up with the idea of having Washington, D.C. At the time, they wanted the seat of Federal Government to be in Pennsylvania or in New York. What that would have done is that would have given us a superstate where all of the money and all of the priorities would have gone.

Maryland ceded a little bit of land, Virginia ceded a little bit of land, and we came up with Washington, D.C.

Under Article I, Section 8, Clause 17, we are Congress, and we, by the Con-

stitution, have complete authority over Washington, D.C. It is not a State, because if it was, it would be a superstate, which would have all of the money and all of the power for the country, and it would take away voices from the other States.

Now, as I have been in Congress for 14 months, I have been around the District of Columbia talking with law enforcement and people that have lived here for years. In just 14 months and into the first year of President Trump's term, I have a lot of anecdotes.

I ran into a young woman, who went into a Subway, and a homeless woman said: Let me get the door for you. So the homeless woman opened the door. As she walked in, this woman punched her in the back of the head, nearly knocked her out. When she came to, that woman that knocked her out went to jail. She got a phone call just a few hours later from a detective saying: Hey, we put that woman back on the street, but don't worry, she is not going to harm anyone.

It seems like the policies coming out of the D.C. Council indicate to the American citizens visiting and living here that police are bad, criminals are good, and nobody cares about the victim. They have made a mockery of the criminal justice system.

Again, that authority is Congress, and this bill codifies that.

My bill codifies many elements of President Trump's successful executive order until 2029, ensuring there isn't a backslide into lawlessness in the city.

I had a young woman come up to me and say: I walk my dog at 8 p.m. I didn't understand what that meant. What she then said is: 2 years ago, I would not walk my dog in the dark. That is just in 14 months, and we need to codify that.

This bill is not partisan. It is common sense. Whether you are Democrat, Republican, Independent, we are all Americans, and I think we all want to live, work, and raise our family in peace. It should be nonpartisan. Wanting our Nation's Capital to be safe and beautiful, again, is not political. It is in the best interests of all who travel to and call D.C. their home.

When people come to Washington, D.C., they are not just visiting a city. They are visiting our Nation's Capital, the greatest country with the greatest people in the history of Earth. We may not be perfect, but we have lifted more people out of poverty, given more people freedom, and given more people opportunity than any country on Earth. Even in our worst days, everyone around the world wants to come to America because of our freedom.

What they see in The National Mall, the memorials, in our parks, and transit quarters shapes their pride and our shared heritage. If those spaces aren't clean, safe, and cared for, we all feel it—residents, commuters, small businesses, and millions of visitors who power D.C.'s local economy. When people visit D.C., they should feel safe

while taking in the scenery and historic landmarks, not scared to walk two blocks because of the crime or confronted with a homeless encampment while walking on The National Mall.

□ 1430

One young staffer told me that she takes an Uber if she has to walk more than two blocks, but in the last 14 months she now walks 20 minutes to work. So, we are making progress, and we need to codify that.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKINSHAW. Mr. Speaker, I hear my friends on the other side often talking about the constitutional authority that this Congress has to legislate with respect to the District of Columbia, and I would make two points.

One, I think the question isn't can we. The question is should we. Should we substitute our judgment based on the anecdotes we might have heard for the judgment of the District of Columbia's elected representatives who are connected to their communities each and every day and if they aren't responsive to those communities can be unelected by those communities.

I would submit that they are in a better position than us to determine the policies for the District of Columbia.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON), an elected Representative of the District of Columbia.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I strongly oppose this bill. I include in the RECORD letters opposing this bill from the District of Columbia Mayor and every member of the D.C. Council.

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025.

Hon. JAMES COMER,
Chairman, House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Washington, DC.

Hon. ROBERT GARCIA,
Ranking Member, House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN COMER AND RANKING MEMBER GARCIA: As Mayor and Chief Executive Officer of the District of Columbia, I am proud of the work we have accomplished to invest in our people, strengthen our neighborhoods, and drive down crime. Building on this progress, my Administration established the Safe and Beautiful Emergency Operations Center to coordinate public safety and beautification efforts as the presidential emergency declaration ends. This structure ensures that DC will remain proactive—bringing together local and federal partners to sustain momentum on reducing crime and improving quality of life for every resident.

We have worked collaboratively with this Committee on shared priorities, including public safety, the federal Return to Work, implementing a DC budget Fiscal Year 2025 fix (which is still pending in the House) and revitalizing the RFK campus; but I write now to ask you to reject 13 of the DC bills before you today that encroach on DC's Home Rule:

Bills like H.R. 5183, the District of Columbia Home Rule Improvement Act, make the District less efficient, competitive, and responsive to the needs of a highly complex unique local government that serves local, county and state functions. Boggling down

legislative and executive action only adds costs and uncertainty, making it more difficult to handle the economic headwinds and growth opportunities ahead.

Bills like H.R. 5214, the District of Columbia Cash Bail Reform Act, make DC less safe. Replacing our very effective pre-trial detention regime, which focuses on charged violent offenses and repeat violent offenders, not just on cash bail. I credit recent changes to our laws related to pre-trial detention for helping to drive down violent crime in the last two years.

And the bills to abolish the Judicial Nominations Commission and to convert the elected DC Attorney General to a Presidentially appointed legal officer for the District are both less democratic and untenable for District operations. The Judicial Nominations Commission, with seven members appointed by the Mayor, DC Council, President, US District Court for DC, and the DC Bar, works. As recently as last month, President Trump nominated three federal judicial nominees who were selected from the Commission's candidate pool—a process that demonstrates the value of maintaining local input. DC residents also voted to elect an Attorney General who represents the public interest. Changes to these charter agencies would significantly undercut the already thin ties to autonomy that limited home rule provides.

Finally, I urge you not to up end our three-part education funding SOAR Act. I have long supported the program to expand opportunity for DC students. However, my support has always been contingent on parity among all three education sectors—public, private, and charter—and this approach is working. We will not support changes that tip the scales away from this core principle of fairness for DC families. As the fastest improving urban school system, DC has become a model for urban education. We outpace the national average on all tested subject areas. We boast free, full-day Pre-K access serving more than 13,200 young learners—an investment which supports our children and our workforce. DC ranked top of the nation in parental satisfaction regarding school choice. Mayoral control, council oversight, and deep, targeted investments in our students, teachers, and buildings made these remarkable achievements possible.

I look forward to continuing a productive partnership with the Committee—one that respects the will of DC residents and honors the principles of home rule. Together, we can build on our successes while protecting the autonomy that, as history reflects, has made our city stronger.

Sincerely,

MURIEL BOWSER,
Mayor.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
Washington, DC, March 24, 2026.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Hon. HAKEEM JEFFRIES,
Democratic Leader, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER JOHNSON AND LEADER JEFFRIES: We write to express our strong opposition to H.R. 5103, the *Make D.C. Safe and Beautiful Act*. This bill is unnecessary and duplicative and, if enacted, could actually erode public safety in the District. We urge all House members to vote against the bill this week.

We appreciate the interest H.R. 5103's sponsors have in promoting cleanliness in Washington, D.C. That said, the Secretary of the Interior does not need new legislation like H.R. 5103 to improve the appearance of federal land, parks, and monuments in the Dis-

trict. He certainly does not need four years, as the bill proposes, to remove graffiti and repair statues on federal property. He can do that work now, and, in fact, is doing that today in locations like Freedom Plaza and Columbus Circle. If he needs more resources, he should request them.

H.R. 5103's creation of a federal "Safe and Beautiful" commission is also a concern. This commission would, among other things, be charged with encouraging immigration enforcement in the District, reviewing District criminal justice policies, and facilitating federal law enforcement deployment in the city. Such a commission would, in some ways, duplicate coordination work already underway on areas of mutual interest to the federal government and the District, including issues like trash collection and joint crime prevention activities. Establishing and funding a new government body focused on issues like immigration that are not a source of public safety concerns in the District would be wasteful.

Finally, we must express serious concerns about the provision in H.R. 5103 intended to encourage and speed the issuance of concealed carry licenses in the District. Our local police already manage a straightforward and safety-focused concealed carry program. Putting more guns on our streets more quickly would be a step backwards at a time when D.C. police and their federal partners are working together daily to get firearms out of the hands of dangerous individuals.

Sincerely,
Chairman Phil Mendelson,
Councilmembers Anita Bonds; Christina Henderson; Brianne K. Nadeau; Matthew Frumin; Zachary Parker; Wendell Felder; Robert C. White, Jr.; Doni Crawford; Brooke Pinto; Jeneese Lewis George; Charles Allen; and Trayon White, Sr.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, D.C. is a world-class city, yet this bill seeks to codify and encourage President Trump's efforts to control and transform D.C., as well as to demonize D.C. and its 700,000 residents, the majority of whom are Black and Brown. President Trump already has called for the repeal of D.C. home rule, federalized the D.C. police department, deployed troops and masked Federal agents on D.C.'s streets, terrorized immigrants in D.C., demolished the East Wing of the White House, removed the nonprofit managing the public golf courses in D.C., and announced the closure of the Kennedy Center. This bill will only embolden him.

This bill would establish in the executive branch the D.C. Safe and Beautiful Commission. The commission's responsibilities include increasing civil immigration enforcement in D.C., deploying more Federal law enforcement officers in D.C., and increasing the number of people carrying guns in D.C.

The commission's top priority is to increase civil immigration enforcement in D.C. Specifically, the commission is required to ensure the "maximum enforcement of Federal immigration law within the District of Columbia, including policies to encourage the redirection of available Federal, State, or local law enforcement resources to apprehend and deport illegal aliens," and to monitor D.C.'s "compliance with the enforcement of Federal immigration law." The commission is also

required to facilitate the “deployment of a more robust Federal law enforcement presence” in D.C. and to “increase the speed and lower the costs of processing concealed carry” permits in D.C.

However, D.C. does not want masked Federal agents terrorizing communities, separating families, and destroying the community trust needed for effective local policing or more guns on the streets.

This bill would also require the Secretary of the Interior to “develop a program to beautify” D.C., even though D.C. is already one of the most beautiful cities in the world.

This Congress, Republicans have filed over 100 bills, amendments, and riders to repeal, amend, or block D.C. from carrying out local laws and policies. The House has already passed 10 of those bills.

D.C. residents have all the obligations of American citizenship, including paying Federal taxes, serving on juries, and registering with the Selective Service, yet Congress denies them full local self-government and voting representation in Congress. The only solution to this undemocratic treatment is to grant D.C. statehood.

Congress has the authority to admit D.C. as a State. The D.C. statehood bill, H.R. 51, would reduce the size of the Federal district from 68 square miles to 2 square miles, consisting of the White House, the Capitol, the Supreme Court, and The National Mall. The residential and commercial areas of D.C. would be a new State.

I urge my colleagues to vote “no” on H.R. 5103.

Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD a letter opposing this bill from Brady United Against Gun Violence.

MARCH 25, 2026.

DEAR MEMBERS OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: Founded in 1974, Brady works across Congress, courts, and communities, uniting gun owners and non-gun owners alike, to take action, not sides, and end America’s gun violence epidemic. Our organization today carries the name of Jim and Sarah Brady. As you know, Jim was shot and severely injured in the assassination attempt on President Ronald Reagan. As victims of gun violence and life-long gun owners, Jim and Sarah dedicated the rest of their lives to passing federal legislation requiring background checks for gun sales. Brady continues to uphold Jim and Sarah’s legacy by uniting Americans from coast to coast, red and blue, young and old, liberal and conservative, to combat the epidemic of gun violence.

This week, the House will consider the Make the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Act of 2026 (H.R. 5103), which establishes in the federal executive branch the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Commission, consisting entirely of federal officials. This bill is yet another example of federal overreach into the affairs of the District’s residents, imposing unnecessary and unwanted criminal and civil reforms. Most egregiously, the bill would direct the commission to seek to “increase the speed and lower the cost of processing concealed carry license requests in the District of Columbia.” Brady stands in strong opposition to H.R. 5103 and urges Members to oppose this dangerous overreach of federal authority

over the District of Columbia and its residents.

Every American should have a say in how they can best prevent gun violence and violent crime in their own communities; that is at the very core of the system of federalism our founding fathers fought a revolution to create. Yet, when it comes to efforts to pass public safety laws, citizens of our nation’s capital are often at the mercy of federal legislators with little interest in their needs, and attempts by the District’s leaders to keep their communities safe are continuously tossed aside by lawmakers with no stake in those communities.

The District’s residents are among the only Americans who bear all the responsibilities of citizenship without fully realizing their benefits. The District has a population larger than two states that enjoy full representation in Congress. D.C. residents pay higher taxes than residents in all but 2 states and have the highest per capita federal tax rate in the nation. Yet, D.C. Home Rule is limited—Congress has the power to review and block local legislation, impose their own legislative prerogatives, control the budget, and appoint judges.

The District of Columbia, guided by interest in preserving public safety for its residents and visitors, has implemented a concealed firearm carry licensing process that ensures prospective licensees meet the necessary legal requirements for firearm and concealed carry possession, including the completion of an MPD-approved range training, within the District. Under H.R. 5103, the federal government would meddle with the District’s laws regarding who can carry concealed handguns in public, undermining its ability to enforce laws in service of public safety.

District residents deserve autonomy over their home and over how they choose to combat violent crime. The federal government should not abuse its oversight of the District of Columbia to interfere with home rule policies and practices. As such, Brady calls on Members to oppose H.R. 5103 and any other bill that restricts the autonomy of the District of Columbia or overrides the District’s criminal justice laws.

Best regards,

MARK A. COLLINS,
Director, Federal Policy.

Mr. McGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 6 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, reckless D.C. Council policies have caused citizens from all over the United States and in D.C. to be robbed, raped, and murdered. They are reckless. They are not getting the job done, which is why we need to do this bill.

In the Oversight Committee we pointed that out. Metropolitan Police Department of the District of Columbia Chief Pamela Smith actually resigned immediately following the release of the Oversight Committee’s report. Why would they cover up these crimes? It is to trick the American people so they don’t understand how bad their policies are.

In 2023, there were 274 homicides in D.C., a 20-year high.

In 2024, D.C. had the fourth highest homicide rate in the country.

While these crime statistics are troubling on their own, they significantly understate the level of crime in the D.C. area.

Last year, an investigation into D.C.’s crime statistics by the Oversight

Committee revealed that D.C. leadership pressured and at times manipulated crime statistics to maintain the appearance of low crime in our Nation’s Capital. This pressure demoralized the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department making the city less safe.

President Trump’s crackdown on violent crime in D.C. last year resulted in a significant drop in crime and increased arrests.

My bill, H.R. 5103, facilitates the deployment of more robust Federal law enforcement presence in D.C. in coordination with local law enforcement, providing vital support to ensure that both Federal and local laws are being followed to keep D.C. safe.

Now, sanctuary cities are sanctuaries for criminals. If you are a criminal, would you rather go to a city where local and Federal police authorities cooperate, communicate, and work as a team, or would you rather go to one where they don’t work as a team? They have not been working as a team in D.C., and it is causing people to die.

That is unacceptable.

On the concealed carry permit aspect of the bill, D.C. has some of the most complicated and strict gun laws in the country.

Law-abiding residents who wish to protect themselves and their loved ones are forced to wait 4 months on average for a concealed carry permit appointment. This is unacceptable and, frankly, infringes on their Second Amendment rights, which are enshrined in our founding documents.

Criminals don’t care about the law.

My bill establishes the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Commission which will collaborate with appropriate local government entities to speed up and reduce the cost of D.C.’s concealed carry permit process.

Criminals do not care about the law. You can create whatever law you want and try to get guns out of D.C. The criminals do not care. They are always going to get a gun, and you are making law-abiding citizens helpless victims.

The only people who are affected by D.C.’s complicated process are law-abiding residents. Streamlining concealed carry permits will decrease crime by allowing law-abiding citizens to better protect themselves and their families.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKINSHAW. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

With respect to the concealed carry permit and streamlining the process, one of the aspects of the District’s process that does take a little bit of time is the requirement for range training. I suspect that what the gentleman means when he says he wants to streamline the process for concealed carry permits in D.C. is he wants folks to have a concealed carry permit without completing range training. I know some people have that philosophy. I don’t think that would make the District of Columbia safer.

With respect to the crime data manipulation, as the gentleman noted, last year the Republicans on the Oversight Committee investigated alleged crime data manipulation in D.C. By the way, it is the same crime data that today they and President Trump celebrate as he claims to have eliminated violent crime in the District of Columbia.

□ 1440

The investigation backfired on my Republican friends almost as soon as it began, thanks in large part to the testimony of every one of the eight current and former D.C. police commanders who sat down for transcribed interviews. That investigation proved, I think, not what they hoped it would prove.

The evidence was that President Trump was not telling the truth and is not telling the truth about crime in D.C. to justify his federalization of the D.C. police and deployment of troops on D.C. streets. It undermined Republican attacks on home rule by showing that D.C. was successfully reducing crime before the Federal intervention.

Every single one of those commanders testified that D.C. was experiencing a sustained decrease in crime over 2 years, well before President Trump's administration. Commander after commander testified that the data recording this trend wasn't manipulated. In fact, it was the very data they were using every day to help successfully drive down crime.

With respect to the argument that President Trump has made D.C. safer, in 2024, the year before President Trump began his second term, violent crime in D.C. was down 35 percent, a 30-year low. In 2025, it was down 29 percent, which is smaller than 35 percent, so the reduction in crime slowed down after President Trump's intervention.

As I noted before, homicides in D.C. and across the country did spike during President Trump's first term, but they have been falling for years, and the reduction in homicides in D.C. began before President Trump's second term.

We heard some anecdotes that the gentleman has heard from his staff and others. According to a poll conducted by The Washington Post, 80 percent of D.C. residents opposed President Trump's federalization of the D.C. police department and the deployment of the National Guard and Federal law enforcement in D.C. They disagree with the majority's view that President Trump and Federal troops have made D.C. safer.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from Virginia (Ms. McCLELLAN).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Ms. McCLELLAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 5103, the Make the District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Act of 2026.

For this reason, and at the appropriate time, I will offer a motion to recommit this bill back to committee.

If the House rules permitted, I would have offered the motion with an important amendment to this bill. My amendment would stop unethical donations for Federal Government projects to alter any public property, building, or fixture at the White House, the Naval Observatory, or other public property locations primarily used by the President and the Vice President.

During the longest shutdown in our Nation's history, the Trump administration clearly showed the American people where its priorities lay and began demolishing the 123-year-old East Wing to build a gilded ballroom similar to that of his private billionaires' club at Mar-a-Lago.

Not only does the demolition undermine historical preservation without a public review process, but it also constitutes another ethical violation by the administration, as private donors fund the construction without input from the National Park Service.

This bill is just one in a series of the majority's and this administration's misguided priorities, whereby their answer to solving our immigration problems is advancing policies that would subject the District and cities across the Nation to a brutal and indiscriminate crackdown on immigrant communities.

While stating that he would go after "the worst of the worst," the President has, instead, targeted families, permanent residents, refugees, students, and asylum seekers. Instead of taking actions that make us safer, the administration has opted for showy displays of force, all to jack up his detention and deportation numbers.

The Trump administration, in its relentless drive to use ICE and Customs and Border Patrol as an instrument of cruelty toward immigrant communities, has abandoned the Department of Homeland Security's core mission of keeping the homeland safe.

Hundreds of intelligence officers with DHS' intelligence office have been directed to focus on immigration enforcement, resulting in fewer intelligence briefs reaching local officials and police departments.

At a time when the President has dragged us into his war of choice with Iran, which puts our servicemembers in harm's way with no clear long-term strategy, we absolutely cannot afford to leave ourselves so vulnerable to attack.

With gas prices now on the rise and the cost of groceries, rent, and utilities skyrocketing for millions, we are left to question why this administration would rather pursue vanity projects and its campaign of terror than deliver on the promises it made to the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD immediately prior to the vote on the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

Ms. McCLELLAN. Mr. Speaker, I hope my colleagues will join me in voting for the motion to recommit.

Mr. McGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, crime statistics coming from the other side, obviously, I can't see them being reliable, especially as a member of the Oversight Committee. We talked about the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department. Chief Pamela Smith resigned immediately following the release of the Oversight Committee report, where they had a clear coverup on crimes to make it look like D.C. crime is not accurate.

Since President Trump's Federal enforcement action, the D.C. crime, especially violent crimes, has truly drastically decreased. Carjackings have dropped 87 percent. This is proof that increased Federal and local cooperation is essential to reducing crime in D.C.

Again, if you are a criminal, Mr. Speaker, you would rather go to a city where they don't cooperate, communicate, and work as a team. We are ensuring that they cooperate, communicate, and work as a team, and they will be less attracted to D.C.

Mayor Bowser herself stated that she appreciated the surge of Federal law enforcement officers as it enhanced the Metropolitan Police Department's law enforcement efforts. In contrast, the D.C. Council has proven time and time again that they prioritize dangerous criminals over the law-abiding residents of D.C. and the thousands of tourists who visit our Nation's Capital every day.

My bill will ensure coordination between Federal and local law enforcement continues, providing local police the additional Federal resources and personnel they need to combat crime in the District.

Again, on the concealed carry permit, nowhere in the United States do you have to wait 4 months, Mr. Speaker. That is an infringement on our Second Amendment, for whatever excuse they have.

Thankfully, not only is President Trump making America great again, he is making Washington, D.C., great again.

Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers, and I am prepared to close. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKINSHAW. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, \$4 a gallon gas, 4-hour waits at TSA lines, millions of Americans losing their healthcare, rural hospitals set to close, and tariffs driving up costs for hardworking American families. Those are the challenges that our constituents across the country are facing. Those are the challenges that we here as Members of Congress are supposed to address, yet we are meddling in the District of Columbia's affairs.

Let's do our job in this Congress and work together to bring down costs for the American people. Let the Mayor of the District of Columbia and the District council do their jobs.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to oppose this legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. McGUIRE. Mr. Speaker, I hope that my colleagues, my friends on the other side, will consider the priority of the safety of American citizens in D.C. and the rest of the country, and keep the city that represents our great Nation safe and beautiful.

Under Article I, Section 8, Clause 17, Congress has a constitutional duty to ensure the District of Columbia is safe, secure, and beautiful.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support H.R. 5103. As a proud cosponsor of H.R. 5103, I stand with Representative McGuire and my Republican colleagues to deliver on President Trump's vision for our Nation's capital. This bill codifies Executive Order 14252 and turns words into law to make Washington, D.C., safe, clean, and beautiful once again.

The bill creates the bipartisan "District of Columbia Safe and Beautiful Commission," comprising federal law enforcement leaders from DHS, the FBI, ATF, the U.S. Marshals, and the U.S. Attorneys for D.C., Maryland, and Virginia. This commission will work directly with D.C. officials to crack down on crime, enforce federal immigration law, and support the Metropolitan Police Department. American taxpayers should not be forced to subsidize lawlessness in the seat of our government.

This legislation also directs the Department of the Interior to launch a comprehensive beautification program to clean up monuments, memorials, parks, sidewalks, highways, and public spaces. Our capital should reflect American pride and history, not graffiti and decay.

Both the Commission and the beautification program sunset on January 2, 2029, ensuring focused action and regular congressional oversight through required progress reports. This is targeted, time-limited leadership, not another permanent bureaucracy.

As the seat of our federal government and a symbol to the world, Washington, D.C., must be safe for tourists, workers, residents, and Members of Congress alike. Crime, filth, and disrespect for our monuments undermine national morale and our global image. H.R. 5103 fixes that.

I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 5103 today. Let's show the American people that we are delivering on law and order and restoring the beauty and dignity of our Nation's capital.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 1131, the previous question is ordered on the bill, as amended.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Ms. McCLELLAN. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. McClellan of Virginia moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5103 to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

The material previously referred to by Ms. McCLELLAN is as follows:

Ms. McClellan of Virginia moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5103 to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith, with the following amendment:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the provisions of H.R. 6085, as introduced in the House of Representatives on November 18, 2025.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XIX, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Ms. McCLELLAN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members will record their votes by electronic device. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by a 5-minute vote on the passage of the bill, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 207, nays 214, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 100]

YEAS—207

| | | |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Adams | Crockett | Green, Al (TX) |
| Aguilar | Crow | Grijalva |
| Amo | Cuellar | Harder (CA) |
| Ansari | Davids (KS) | Hayes |
| Auchincloss | Davis (IL) | Himes |
| Balint | Davis (NC) | Houlihan |
| Barragán | Dean (PA) | Hoyer |
| Beatty | DeGette | Hoyle (OR) |
| Bell | DeLauro | Huffman |
| Bera | DeBene | Ivey |
| Beyer | Deluzio | Jackson (IL) |
| Bishop | DeSaunier | Jacobs |
| Bonamici | Dexter | Jayapal |
| Boyle (PA) | Dingell | Jeffries |
| Brown | Doggett | Johnson (GA) |
| Brownley | Elfreth | Johnson (TX) |
| Budzinski | Escobar | Kaptur |
| Bynum | Espallat | Keating |
| Carbajal | Evans (PA) | Kelly (IL) |
| Carson | Fields | Kennedy (NY) |
| Carter (LA) | Figures | Khanna |
| Case | Fletcher | Krishnamoorthi |
| Casten | Foster | Landsman |
| Castor (FL) | Foushee | Larsen (WA) |
| Castro (TX) | Frankel, Lois | Larson (CT) |
| Cherfilus- | Friedman | Latimer |
| McCormick | Frost | Lee (NV) |
| Chu | Garamendi | Lee (PA) |
| Cisneros | Garcia (CA) | Leger Fernandez |
| Clark (MA) | Garcia (IL) | Levin |
| Clarke (NY) | Garcia (TX) | Liccardo |
| Clyburn | Gillen | Loffgren |
| Cohen | Golden (ME) | Lynch |
| Conaway | Goldman (NY) | Magaziner |
| Correa | Gomez | Mannion |
| Costa | Gonzalez, V. | Matsui |
| Courtney | Goodlander | McBath |
| Craig | Gottheimer | McBride |
| | Gray | McClain Delaney |

| | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| McClellan | Peters | Stanton |
| McCollum | Pettersen | Stevens |
| McDonald Rivet | Pingree | Strickland |
| McGarvey | Pocan | Subramanyam |
| McGovern | Pou | Suoizzi |
| McIver | Pressley | Sykes |
| Meeks | Quigley | Takano |
| Menefee | Ramirez | Thanedar |
| Menendez | Randall | Thompson (CA) |
| Meng | Raskin | Thompson (MS) |
| Mfume | Riley (NY) | Tlaib |
| Min | Rivas | Tokuda |
| Moore (WI) | Ross | Tonko |
| Morelle | Ruiz | Torres (CA) |
| Morrison | Ryan | Torres (NY) |
| Moskowitz | Salinas | Trahan |
| Moulton | Sánchez | Tran |
| Mrvan | Scanlon | Underwood |
| Mullin | Schakowsky | Vargas |
| Nadler | Schneider | Vasquez |
| Neal | Scholten | Veasey |
| Neguse | Schrier | Velázquez |
| Norcross | Scott (VA) | Vindman |
| Ocasio-Cortez | Scott, David | Walkinshaw |
| Olzewski | Sewell | Wasserman |
| Omar | Sherman | Schultz |
| Pallone | Simon | Waters |
| Panetta | Smith (WA) | Watson Coleman |
| Pappas | Sorensen | Whitesides |
| Pelosi | Soto | Wilson (FL) |
| Perez | Stansbury | |

NAYS—214

| | | |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Aderholt | Fong | Mast |
| Alford | Foxx | McCaul |
| Allen | Franklin, Scott | McClain |
| Amodei (NV) | Fry | McClintock |
| Arrington | Fulcher | McCormick |
| Babin | Garbarino | McDowell |
| Bacon | Gill (TX) | McGuire |
| Baird | Gimenez | Messmer |
| Balderson | Goldman (TX) | Meuser |
| Barr | Gonzales, Tony | Miller (IL) |
| Barrett | Gooden | Miller (OH) |
| Baumgartner | Gosar | Miller (WV) |
| Bean (FL) | Graves | Miller-Meeks |
| Begich | Griffith | Mills |
| Bentz | Grothman | Moolenaar |
| Bergman | Guest | Moore (AL) |
| Bice | Guthrie | Moore (NC) |
| Biggs (AZ) | Hageman | Moore (UT) |
| Biggs (SC) | Hamadeh (AZ) | Moore (WV) |
| Bilirakis | Haridopolos | Moran |
| Boebert | Harrigan | Murphy |
| Bost | Harris (MD) | Nehls |
| Brecheen | Harris (NC) | Newhouse |
| Bresnahan | Harshbarger | Norman |
| Buchanan | Hern (OK) | Nunn (IA) |
| Burchett | Higgins (LA) | Oberholte |
| Burlison | Hill (AR) | Ogles |
| Calvert | Hinson | Onder |
| Cammack | Houchin | Owens |
| Carey | Hudson | Palmer |
| Carter (GA) | Huizenga | Patronis |
| Carter (TX) | Hurd (CO) | Perry |
| Ciscomani | Issa | Pfluger |
| Cline | Jack | Reschenthaler |
| Cloud | Jackson (TX) | Rogers (AL) |
| Clyde | James | Rogers (KY) |
| Cole | Johnson (LA) | Rose |
| Collins | Johnson (SD) | Rouzer |
| Comer | Jordan | Roy |
| Crank | Joyce (OH) | Rulli |
| Crawford | Joyce (PA) | Rutherford |
| Crenshaw | Kelly (MS) | Scalise |
| Davidson | Kelly (PA) | Schmidt |
| De La Cruz | Kennedy (UT) | Schweikert |
| DesJarlais | Kiggans (VA) | Scott, Austin |
| Diaz-Balart | Kiley (CA) | Self |
| Donalds | Kim | Sessions |
| Downing | Knott | Shreve |
| Dunn (FL) | Kustoff | Simpson |
| Edwards | LaHood | Smith (MO) |
| Ellzey | LaLota | Smith (NE) |
| Emmer | Langworthy | Smith (NJ) |
| Estes | Latta | Snucker |
| Evans (CO) | Lawler | Spartz |
| Ezell | Lee (FL) | Stauber |
| Fallon | Letlow | Stefanik |
| Fedorchak | Loudermilk | Steil |
| Feenstra | Lucas | Steube |
| Fine | Luna | Strong |
| Finstad | Luttrell | Stutzman |
| Fischbach | Mace | Taylor |
| Fitzgerald | Mackenzie | Tenney |
| Fitzpatrick | Malliotakis | Thompson (PA) |
| Fleischmann | Maloy | Tiffany |
| Flood | Mann | Timmons |
| | Massie | Turner (OH) |

Valadao
Van Drew
Van Duyne
Van Epps
Van Orden
Wagner

Walberg
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Westerman
Wied
Williams (TX)

Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Yakym
Zinke

NOT VOTING—11

Cleaver
Horsford
Kamllager-Dove

Kean
Lieu
Salazar
Swalwell

Titus
Williams (GA)
Womack

□ 1522

Messrs. EZELL, PATRONIS, ELLZEY, ROGERS of Alabama, COLLINS, LOUDERMILK, Mses. FOXX, DE LA CRUZ, Messrs. SCOTT FRANKLIN of Florida, and FEENSTRA changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Ms. MATSUI, Messrs. PAPPAS, and JOHNSON of Georgia changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOST). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. WALKINSHAW. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 206, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 101]

YEAS—218

Aderholt
Alford
Allen
Amodi (NV)
Arrington
Babin
Bacon
Baird
Balderson
Barr
Barrett
Baumgartner
Bean (FL)
Begich
Bentz
Bergman
Bice
Biggs (AZ)
Biggs (SC)
Bilirakis
Boebert
Bost
Brecheen
Bresnahan
Buchanan
Burchett
Burlison
Calvert
Cammack
Carey
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Ciscomani
Cline
Cloud
Clyde
Cole
Collins
Comer
Crane
Crank
Crawford
Crenshaw
Cuellar
Davidson
Davis (NC)
De La Cruz

DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Donalds
Downing
Dunn (FL)
Edwards
Ellzey
Emmer
Estes
Evans (CO)
Ezell
Fallon
Fedorchak
Feenstra
Fine
Finstad
Fischbach
Fitzgerald
Fitzpatrick
Fleischmann
Flood
Fong
Foxy
Franklin, Scott
Fry
Fulcher
Garbarino
Gill (TX)
Gimenez
Golden (ME)
Goldman (TX)
Gonzales, Tony
Gosar
Graves
Gray
Griffith
Grothman
Guest
Guthrie
Hageman
Hamadeh (AZ)
Haridopolos
Harrigan
Harris (MD)
Harris (NC)
Harshbarger

Hern (OK)
Higgins (LA)
Hill (AR)
Hinson
Houchin
Hudson
Huizenga
Hurd (CO)
Issa
Jack
Jackson (TX)
James
Johnson (LA)
Johnson (SD)
Jordan
Joyce (OH)
Joyce (PA)
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
Kennedy (UT)
Kiggans (VA)
Kiley (CA)
Kim
Knott
Kustoff
LaHood
LaLota
Langworthy
Latta
Lawler
Lee (FL)
Letlow
Loudermilk
Lucas
Luna
Luttrell
Mace
Mackenzie
Malliotakis
Maloy
Mann
Mast
Massie
McCaul
McClain
McClintock
McCormick

McDowell
McGuire
Messmer
Meuser
Miller (IL)
Miller (WV)
Miller-Meeks
Mills
Moolenaar
Moore (AL)
Moore (NC)
Moore (UT)
Moore (WV)
Moran
Murphy
Nehls
Newhouse
Norman
Nunn (IA)
Oberholte
Ogles
Onder
Owens
Palmer
Patronis
Perez

NAYS—206

Adams
Aguilar
Amo
Ansari
Auchincloss
Balint
Barragan
Beatty
Bell
Bera
Beyer
Bishop
Bonomi
Boyle (PA)
Brown
Brownley
Budzinski
Bynum
Carbajal
Carson
Carter (LA)
Casar
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Cherfilus-
McCormick
Chu
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clyburn
Cohen
Conaway
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crockett
Crow
Davids (KS)
Davis (IL)
Dean (PA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DelBene
Deluzio
DeSaulnier
Dexter
Dingell
Doggett
Elfret
Escobar
Espaillat
Evans (PA)
Fields
Figures
Fletcher
Foster
Foushee
Frankel, Lois
Friedman
Frost
Garamendi
Garcia (CA)
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gillen
Goldman (NY)

Perry
Pfluger
Reschenthaler
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rose
Rouzer
Roy
Rulli
Rutherford
Scalise
Schmidt
Schweikert
Scott, Austin
Self
Sessions
Shreve
Simpson
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smucker
Spartz
Staubert
Stefanik
Steil

NOT VOTING—8
Cleaver
Hunt
Kean

Lieu
Miller (OH)
Salazar

Swalwell
Womack

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1529

So the bill was passed.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1530

HONORING ALLEGHENY MOUNTAIN CHAPTER OF TROUT UNLIMITED

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, for 60 years, the mission of the Allegheny Mountain Chapter of Trout Unlimited has been focused on protecting, conserving, and restoring local cold-water resources.

The Allegheny Mountain Chapter has been critical in ensuring Pennsylvanians can enjoy the Commonwealth's waterways and natural resources.

For more than half a century, volunteers and community members have helped to tackle issues impacting the waterways. Over the years, the group has partnered with Penn State, the National Guard, and the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources to reduce damage on the region's waterways and the ecosystems found therein.

While the group focuses on completing projects, the chapter has spent many years educating younger generations. Members of the chapter initiated an environmental day at the DuBois Area Middle School and Punxsutawney Area Elementary.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the Allegheny Mountain Chapter of Trout Unlimited for their dedication to preserving our natural resources. Their efforts are critical in allowing us to enjoy the natural beauty of the Commonwealth. I congratulate them on celebrating 60 years.

RECOGNIZING DEBORAH LOEB BOHREN

(Mr. LATIMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LATIMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize and congratulate the outstanding artistic talent of Deborah Loeb Bohren, a resident of Greenburgh, who has established her artistic vision through the photographer's lens.

Deb worked for many years in corporate life in strategic communications, marketing, and public affairs. She even did a stint as district office