

softball team, Dub has certainly left an indelible mark on his community. He represents the true values that built this Nation and continue to strengthen it today.

May Dub's 100th birthday be a celebration of a life well-lived and a legacy that endures for generations to come.

HONORING STEVEN ROBERTI

(Mr. DESAULNIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DESAULNIER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the life of a friend and his service to his community in northern California and the community I am so privileged to represent in Contra Costa County.

Steven Roberti developed a love for service through his membership at a young age in the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 302 in Martinez, California.

Steven served as manager of the Contra Costa Labor Council from 1988 to 1996 and was later appointed to serve as region 9 manager at the U.S. Department of Labor, overseeing labor affairs across the Western United States during the Obama administration.

Steven also worked for the United Way, Shelter Inc., and the Contra Costa Regional Health Foundation.

Steven was a staunch advocate for working people and will be remembered for his lasting impact on the labor movement in California and his dedicated public service.

Please join me in honoring this wonderful man's memory, a fighter for American workers, Steven Roberti.

HONORING CORA VIGIL GOMEZ

(Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor the life and legacy of Cora Vigil Gomez, one of the first women on the Tribal Council for the Jicarilla Apache Nation.

She lived a life of passion, self-reliance, service to the community, and hard work. As one of the Tribal Council members, she was instrumental in the lawsuit against corporations who said that you can't tax them. She attended the United States Supreme Court hearing, and that Nation established the sovereign right of Tribes to impose taxation to fund their government.

She started an elderly meal program where she actually butchered the sheep herself and then delivered the meals. It eventually became federally and State funded and is now still serving the people of the region.

In the 1980s, she was one of only four Native American representatives from New Mexico for Title IV of the Older Americans Act, which commenced the Federal funding of Tribal programs for elders.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to have represented Cora Vigil Gomez and her Nation as an attorney and as her Representative.

I honor Councilwoman Gomez, and am so sad for all of us now that she has passed, but I am so glad that we can remember and be inspired by her for generations to come.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 7744, FURTHER ADDITIONAL CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2026

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1095 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1095

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 7744) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and on any amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from New Mexico (Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

□ 1220

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, last night the Committee on Rules met and granted a rule providing for consideration of one measure. The rule provides for consideration of H.R. 7744 under a closed rule. The rule provides 1 hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member on the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees. Additionally, the rule provides for one motion to recommit.

Mr. Speaker, nearly 3 weeks ago, on February 13, my Democrat colleagues

irresponsibly and vindictively shut down the Department of Homeland Security, crippling the capabilities of the very Department charged with protecting the American people.

Leading up to that moment, I brought forth a rule that allowed the House to concur in the Senate amendments to H.R. 7148, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2026, legislation that funded the remainder of the Federal Government through the end of the fiscal year with the exception of the Department of Homeland Security.

Despite previously agreeing to a bipartisan and bicameral approach to fund the Department of Homeland Security through the end of the fiscal year, including provisions for both funding and enhanced oversight, Democrats reversed course at the last minute and have since held this critical funding hostage, directly putting the safety and security of the American people at risk.

Mr. Speaker, I return today to give my Democrat colleagues the opportunity to reverse course on this dangerous lapse in leadership and move legislation forward that provides full funding for the personnel, training, and technology necessary to protect our homeland and keep our communities safe, secure, and resilient.

The Department of Homeland Security oversees agencies responsible for aviation security, border protection, cyber defense, disaster response, maritime security, and counterterrorism missions that directly impact the safety of millions of Americans every single day.

Simply put, a "yes" vote on today's rule is a vote to consider legislation that will fully fund the Department of Homeland Security, ensuring that the agencies tasked with keeping the American people safe, from counterterrorism to cybersecurity, to disaster response, are not weakened at a moment when threats are rapidly increasing.

A "yes" vote on today's rule is a vote to stand with the men and women on the frontlines of our national security, from coastguardsmen to GSA officers, to cyber defenders, law enforcement personnel, and emergency responders who are now working every day without pay to keep our country safe.

A "yes" vote on today's rule is a vote to consider legislation to end this Democrat shutdown of the Department of Homeland Security and restore the resources necessary to defend the American people.

Mr. Speaker, the need to fully fund the Department of Homeland Security becomes even clearer when we consider the serious global threats facing our country today. For nearly 50 years, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the number one state sponsor of terrorism, has called our great country the "Great Satan" and indoctrinated generations of its people with the chants of "Death to America," making clear its commitment to our destruction.

Despite repeated attempts at diplomacy, the regime has relentlessly pursued a nuclear weapon, developed its ballistic missile program, and funded proxy terrorist organizations across the world, all while its own people suffer under corruption, repression, and economic collapse.

This past Saturday, President Donald J. Trump kept his promise, decisively authorizing Operation Epic Fury, a large-scale kinetic military operation targeting key regime military infrastructure and leadership responsible for incessant aggression and attacks against the United States and our allies.

This moment did not occur in isolation. It was the result of decades of sustained hostility by the Iranian regime toward the United States, just as the maniacal Iranian regime was reaching the nuclear weapon threshold.

To the American people, the timeline is clear.

In November 1979, Iranian students, backed by the regime, stormed the U.S. Embassy in Tehran and held hostage 66 brave Americans for 444 days as they beat them and subjected them to mock executions and other forms of torture.

In October 1993, Hezbollah, an Iranian proxy, killed 241 American military servicemembers, including 220 marines and 21 other service personnel in a truck bombing at a Marine compound in Beirut.

In June 1996, Iran-backed Hezbollah terrorists killed 19 U.S. airmen and wounded nearly 500 others in a truck bombing at a U.S. Air Force housing complex in Saudi Arabia.

Between 2003 and 2011, Iranian proxies killed at least 603 American troops in Iraq, roughly one out of every six American combat deaths in that war.

In January 2016, Iranian forces seized two U.S. Navy boats and detained 10 U.S. sailors who were held at gunpoint, forced to kneel with their hands behind their heads, and filmed for propaganda being released 15 hours later.

More recently, on October 7, 2023, Iran-backed Hamas terrorists murdered 46 Americans and kidnapped 12 Americans in addition.

Between October 2023 and November 2024, Iran and its proxies carried out more than 180 attacks on U.S. forces in the region, killing American servicemembers and wounding American service personnel.

In July 2024, an Iranian national linked to Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps attempted to assassinate then-candidate for President, Donald J. Trump, forcing his Presidential campaign, which I remember being a part of it, to charter decoy planes to avoid the threat.

In recent weeks, Iranian representatives continued to lie and negotiate in bad faith, stalling peace talks as they prepared offensive military threats against American forces.

This pattern of aggression makes crystal clear that these are not isolated incidents. They instead represent

a sustained and deliberate campaign by the Iranian regime to target the United States and undermine our national security.

This is precisely why decisive action by our Commander in Chief, President Donald J. Trump, was necessary. By destroying Iran's military capabilities, its missile capabilities, dismantling its naval strength, and ensuring that this regime can never obtain a nuclear weapon, President Trump and his administration have acted to protect the American people and defend our national interests. This level of action is only possible because of the strength of the United States military.

During his first term, President Donald J. Trump rebuilt our military to a level many thought would never be possible. Through historic investments, a renewed focus on modernization, and the restoration of military readiness, he ensured that today we possess the strongest and most capable military humanity has ever seen. It is that peace through strength, rebuilt and restored, that made decisive operations like Operation Epic Fury possible, demonstrating to our adversaries that the United States has both the capability and the resolve to act swiftly, effectively, and without hesitation to protect the citizens of our country.

Mr. Speaker, during this operation, six Americans bravely sacrificed their lives to keep our homeland safe. May we forever remember and honor their legacy of selfless service to these United States of America.

The threats facing our country today do not stop at distant battlefields. They can reach our networks, our borders, and our communities here at home.

While Iran's aggression is often discussed in terms of conflict in the Middle East, we must not forget that the regime and its proxies also pose a direct threat to the American homeland. Our Homeland Security and Intelligence agencies have warned that Iran and its affiliates maintain the capability to conduct cyberattacks against our utility services, direct espionage campaigns within our borders, and potentially inspire or direct violence within the United States, targeting critical infrastructure, financial systems, and other central networks on which millions of Americans rely.

That is why President Trump's Department of Homeland Security plays such a vital role in coordinating intelligence, strengthening cyber defenses, securing transportation systems, and protecting the American homeland from emerging threats.

Mr. Speaker, border security is homeland security. At a time when hostile regimes and terrorist networks continue to threaten the United States, we cannot ignore the risks posed by an insecure border and inadequate vetting of individuals entering our country. Ensuring that terrorists, traffickers, and foreign operatives cannot exploit weakness in our immigra-

tion system is a fundamental responsibility of the Department of Homeland Security.

While security at our southern border has drastically improved and more than 600,000 criminal aliens have been deported under President Trump's administration, millions of unvetted, illegal immigrants who entered our country during 4 years of the porous open border under the Biden administration still remain in the United States today.

Among these illegal immigrants are members of violent transnational gangs, some of which have ties to Iranian-backed terror networks who threaten the safety of American communities. At a time of heightened global tensions and increasing threats, the presence of even one dangerous individual within our borders poses a serious risk to America.

If we look at results here at home today, we have seen real progress in safety and security under President Trump, proof that his approach works and can be trusted abroad.

Under President Trump's leadership, fentanyl deaths fell by 34 percent in 2025, as trafficking across our southern border was cut in half. Violent crime has declined nationwide, with homicides experiencing one of the largest single-year drops on record. In cities where enhanced enforcement has taken place, the results have been even more dramatic. Murders here in Washington, D.C., have dropped 60 percent. Chicago saw its lowest number of murders since 1965. Memphis saw 200 fewer murders and a 40 percent decline in shootings. New Orleans' homicide rate reached its lowest level in 50 years.

Mr. Speaker, these outcomes exemplify what strong leadership and commitment to law and order can achieve, but maintaining that progress requires a fully functional Homeland Security apparatus.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to make one thing clear for the record and for everyone who is watching today: Despite what some of my Democrat colleagues may be telling their constituents, as they fear primary challenges from the left, this debate is not about funding ICE or CBP. Those agencies were already fully funded through H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which was enacted last year.

□ 1230

This debate is about the rest of the Department of Homeland Security, the agencies responsible for counterterrorism, cybersecurity, disaster response, aviation security, maritime security, and other critical missions that protect the American homeland.

By refusing to fund the remainder of the Department of Homeland Security, my Democratic colleagues have left critical Homeland Security missions vulnerable at a time when threats against our country are increasing. The consequences of that decision are already being felt.

Due to the Democrats' Homeland Security shutdown, our country's cyber defenses remain weakened, leaving critical infrastructure like hospitals, water systems, and energy grids more vulnerable to attacks from adversaries like Iran.

Due to the Democrats' Homeland Security shutdown, our Coast Guard faces disruptions to essential missions, like search and rescue, port security, and drug interdiction, while servicemembers in harm's way are left without the full support and pay that they deserve.

Due to Democrats' Homeland Security shutdown, TSA officers who service airports like Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, as well as other airports across our country, are forced to work without pay, hurting morale, straining staffing, and increasing the risk of security gaps, delays, and disruptions in our airports.

Due to the Democrats' Homeland Security shutdown, FEMA is stretched to its limits, jeopardizing disaster response, recovery efforts, and preparedness as severe weather threatens communities across the country.

Passage of the resolution today allows the House of Representatives to consider, debate, and pass legislation that ends this Democrat shutdown and fully funds the Department of Homeland Security, ensuring that agencies charged with protecting the American people are equipped with the resources necessary to carry out their critical missions without disruption.

Mr. Speaker, protecting the American people should never be a partisan issue. Regardless of party, every Member of Congress has a responsibility to ensure the agencies tasked with defending our homeland have the resources they need to do their jobs.

It should really be that simple.

I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes" on House Resolution 1095 because it allows this House of Representatives to carry out its most fundamental duties: to govern, to safeguard the American people, and to confront the serious threats facing our country today with strength, clarity, and resolve.

A "yes" vote today allows us to end the Democrats' Homeland Security shutdown and protects our homeland.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, six Americans are dead, and bombs are falling on our consulates and bases and allies because Trump has started what he has now said could be a, in his words, forever war. This is the same President who said: "I am not going to start wars. I am going to end them."

This is a President who has said America First means no more wars. This is a President who has betrayed—he has betrayed those people who voted for him expecting him to keep us out of wars.

He started this war as a matter of choice because he wanted to. We have heard over and over again that there was no imminent threat, which is the legal criteria. There was no congressional authorization.

Trump betrayed his own commitments, his own voters. Trump betrayed them and betrayed those Republicans who thought he was going to keep us out of war, so you would think that Republicans who control this House would bring us back into a session so we could address this war, so we could discuss: Is it legitimate? What can we do? How do we exercise our Article I power?

Why don't you all want to exercise the power that was given to you by your voters? That is not what we are doing today. Instead, we are here on a rule that has one bill, and that bill is so important. That is the only thing we are going to cover. But guess what. We already did it.

This is a rerun of a bad movie. It is a rerun because there is nothing in this bill that is not already in the bill that, as the gentleman pointed out, was already passed.

The only thing that has changed from when we last passed this very same bill a few weeks ago was that ICE and CBP have killed, arrested, detained, and terrorized more American citizens.

When we last passed this bill, Alex Pretti was alive. Alex Pretti had not been killed. Alex Pretti's Second Amendment rights were still being honored. That is what has changed. What has changed is more people have died in ICE custody.

What has changed is it has gotten only worse. We have seen ICE officials have to walk back their lies about their encounters with citizens who they have shot and beat up and arrested. That is the only thing we have seen since this bill was last passed.

The reality is that the only way to get DHS funded all together in a single bill is for the White House, Senate, and House to come to an agreement. They are in negotiations to do that, but this is the kind of thing where even though negotiations are going on, the House just wants to do the same thing over and over again.

Do you know what, do you remember that quote of when you do the same thing over and over again with the same results, it is actually a little bit of madness?

I put up this letter because, while House Republicans kind of don't understand where Americans are, this 7-year-old named Connor does. Connor sent me this letter where he says: ICE, no money. He put a whole bunch of zeros after it. He doesn't realize they get a lot more than the \$1 billion that he set out here. As my colleagues noted, they are already fully funded. They have all that money from H.R. 1.

What Democrats have suggested is, since they already acknowledge—he said it on the floor—that they are fully

funded, why don't we just pass a bill that funds FEMA, that funds Coast Guard, that funds these critical agencies over which there is no debate, no controversy, and no need for negotiations?

They rejected that amendment last night because if they really cared about funding these critical agencies, they would say let's fund them, and let's take up the issues of how we make sure that ICE and CBP protect Americans.

Not only is Connor worried, but a parent told me when I was back home that her child asked her two questions as they drove home from school one day. One question was: Mom, are elves real? The second question was: Mom, what do I do if ICE comes for me? What do I do if ICE comes for you?

This is a little Latina student, a citizen, somebody whose family has been in this country for generations, but they are terrified because if you look Latino, you are at risk.

They are unwilling to put any protections in to protect our families. They are unwilling to put any protections in to say: Don't go into our schools. Don't go into our hospitals. Don't go into our churches. Preserve the places where people should be able to go to feel safe.

For example, in Clovis, New Mexico, ICE broke a car window at a health clinic, a health clinic, and dragged the father away. The mother had to run into the health clinic to make sure that there were no glass shards on the baby's skin because that window had been shattered.

This is the kind of activity that they are condoning. That baby was lucky because we know that there are babies like 2-month-old Juan Nicholas in Texas who was sick in detention with bronchitis and almost near death and who was deported across the border without any money, without any people who he would know.

□ 1240

Thank heavens the goodness of the American people helped that baby out.

I could tell story after story that should make anybody who has a heart, conscience, or moral values based on any of our faith traditions cry and say: This is not an America we believe in.

At least 3,800 children under age 18, including 20 infants, were held in detention under the Trump administration in 2025 alone.

The cruelty continues far beyond those thousands of kids, and it is not just that they killed—they killed—citizen Alex Pretti and citizen Renee Good. This is a pattern and practice of ICE hurting our communities and violating the Constitution. Americans who believe in law and order, respect for constitutional rights, and who don't want government overreach should be and are, indeed, outraged.

However, today, Republicans are, once again, demanding more money for the Department of Homeland Security's ICE and CBP which they acknowledge has already been fully funded. In fact, we know that \$150 billion of

the money that we already sent to DHS remains available to them. However, now, as we heard, they are trying to justify funding DHS by pointing to a war that this President started without a clear strategy and without the support of the American people.

Trump's chaos is everywhere. Trump's chaos comes with a price. It is Americans who pay that price. There is chaos abroad, chaos Congress did not authorize, and chaos at home.

Let's be clear about what is happening. While families are worried about rising costs and while service-members' lives are at risk, Republicans want to write another blank check to an agency that is already operating with historic, unprecedented funding.

How much is this chaos costing us?

That is the question we should be asking. We know just one piece of this war: The three F-15 aircraft shot down cost \$300 million.

Mr. Speaker, do you know what that \$300 million could do?

It could fund 23 years of the Gabriella Miller Kids First Pediatric Research Program, 3 years of childhood cancer and birth defects for just those three F-15s that they put at risk. It would fund the 988 Suicide & Crisis Lifeline for 1 year to connect Americans with lifesaving services and a compassionate counselor. Three of those planes would pay for another year of the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, a fund that Trump wanted to zero out. He wanted to zero out this fund.

Think about it, Mr. Speaker.

What do those CDFIs mean?

They are your credit unions, the places where you get your loans for your cars and for your appliances or to help with your home. That is what he is willing to do because everything comes at a cost, and they are willing to pay money for this war of choice. That money could be better spent at home bringing down the cost of living for Americans.

We know that 60 percent of Americans support redirecting ICE funding to Medicaid because people want healthcare and stability, not raids, abuse, and chaos.

Republicans keep burning money. They keep burning money. They have that \$4 trillion debt from their big, beautiful bill. We know the last time a Republican took us into war in the Middle East it cost trillions in our deficit. They are doing it again.

There they go. They are at it again.

What Democrats are asking for before we send more ICE funding is what all law enforcement uses: mandatory body cameras and judicial warrants before you break down somebody's door.

Every law enforcement in America does that.

Why can't they?

Democrats ask for no masks.

What law enforcement officers go around in masks except for theirs?

Democrats want no targeting of schools, hospitals, houses of worship, or polling places.

These are sensible, community-driven policies.

We are also asking that ICE follow the same constitutional principles that every other law enforcement agency follows.

Mr. Speaker, you can't just beat up, shoot, and kill people. It is not just Democrats saying this. Catholic bishops from border States and beyond are pleading with Congress to restore protections at places of worship, schools, and healthcare facilities so families can seek care, learn, and pray without fear.

If Republicans truly cared about security, then they would support these kinds of reforms.

Mr. Speaker, why don't you want to let people pray without fear, I ask?

Trump wanted the Department of War so he could wage war. Now he wants a reckless ICE to have dangerous amounts of money. However, Americans don't have to choose between national security and safety and constitutional protections. We can have all three. It just takes courage. It takes courage over chaos, not blank checks and not endless wars.

It is time to restore accountability before we write another blank check with a lot more zeros than poor Connor can even imagine.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I was half hoping the gentlewoman from New Mexico was going to rise to thank us for giving her an opportunity to rectify the wrong she inflicted upon the Department of Homeland Security 3 weeks ago when they neglected to fund it, but based on her comments, it doesn't seem to be the case.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. GARBARINO), who is a rising star in our Conference and the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Homeland Security.

Mr. GARBARINO. Mr. Speaker, as chairman of the Committee on Homeland Security, I rise today in support of the rule providing for consideration of H.R. 7744.

As America faces heightened threats on U.S. soil and abroad, DHS is in the midst of its second major shutdown in 6 months because of Democrats' political games.

This lapse in appropriations means a disruption in our Nation's disaster preparedness, transportation security, and critical infrastructure resilience when we need it most.

As a lifelong New Yorker, I find it outrageous that DHS continues to be undermined by Washington's dysfunction. Twenty-five years after the worst terrorist attack on U.S. soil, I urge my Democratic colleagues to not forget why this Department was established in the first place.

As we speak, the vast majority of DHS personnel are, once again, continuing their essential missions to protect the American people without

knowing when their next paycheck will come, while others have been furloughed, further undermining our preparedness.

The frustrating reality is that this was completely avoidable. House Republicans sent this bipartisan and bicameral deal on full-year DHS funding to the Senate nearly 2 months ago. This bill includes funding for body cameras, support for the DHS Office of Inspector General, additional resources for training, and new transparency and oversight requirements for ICE.

By holding this bill up in the Senate, Democrats are also blocking funding for FEMA's preparedness, response, and recovery efforts across the country. They are blocking funding for TSA ahead of major events like the World Cup. Democrats are blocking funding for Coast Guard operations that we use to counter our adversaries. They are blocking our funding for our cybersecurity workforce while Iran is attacking us daily in our cybersecurity terrain.

The security of our homeland is not a partisan talking point. It is an American imperative. Holding DHS hostage when lives and livelihoods are on the line is reckless.

Mr. Speaker, I urge House Democrats to show their Senate counterparts that the right thing to do is support this bill.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Now that we are on the point of thank-yous, my colleague suggested that I get up and thank them for bringing this bill again.

I do think it is a waste of House resources doing the same thing over again.

However, I do thank the gentleman because we are able to make the point again to the American people about how bad ICE is. The American people agree with us that what ICE is doing is not American. It is not American to kill us point-blank—murders—for doing nothing more than using a phone to video what they are doing.

Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up H.R. 7481, the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026, a bill which fully funds law-abiding agencies under the Department of Homeland Security and not the unlawful actions of ICE and CBP. That is because we agree that we need to fund FEMA, TSA, and the Coast Guard. There is no reason to have these important agencies tied to the funding for Trump's ICE cruelty agenda.

□ 1250

Indeed, Ranking Member ROSA DELAURO introduced a bill to fund FEMA, TSA, and the Coast Guard. Last night, at Rules, I tried to make her bill in order so the House could vote on it, so the House could say, yes, we believe in these agencies, and we want to fund them. Every single Republican in the committee voted against it.

Let's be honest about who is voting against funding for the Coast Guard, for TSA, and for these agencies. It is Republicans. Republicans voted against it last night, but we are going to ask Republicans once again: Will they vote to fund the Coast Guard, FEMA, and TSA? We will ask whether they will vote for that because we are going to give them another opportunity to do that. We are going to do that.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD along with any extraneous material immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO), the ranking member of the Appropriations Committee and the sponsor of this bill, to discuss our proposal further.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, the bill at issue today is not a serious legislative effort. It is political theater.

Republican leadership is trying to use President Trump's aimless, costly, and illegal war with Iran to force through more funding for ICE and Customs and Border Protection without the substantial changes that the vast majority of Americans believe those agencies need. It is a cynical effort, and it is one that will fail.

If Republicans are actually serious about the threat that President Trump's war with Iran has caused, then they would move quickly to bring up my bill. They rejected the amendment last night in the Rules Committee, but I will tell you what it would fund.

The bill would fund law-abiding components of DHS: Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA; Disaster Relief Fund; Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency; U.S. Coast Guard; Transportation Security Administration, TSA; U.S. Secret Service; Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers; Science and Technology Directorate; Office of Inspector General; and Federal Protective Service. These are the agencies that are part of what we ought to be funding and could fund today if the Republicans wanted to do it.

Mr. Speaker, they do not want to do it because they support lawless, reckless agencies, ICE and Customs and Border Patrol. We can set those aside for further negotiations as those negotiations continue.

Unlike the bill Republicans have put forward, my measure actually has a good chance of passing if only the Republican leadership would allow it to come forward with a vote.

If we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to bring up my bill, H.R. 7481, so that Members can vote to fund the law-abiding agencies within the Department of Homeland Security.

There is broad agreement on the funding of these agencies. There is no controversy over any of them. There is significant controversy over ICE and Customs and Border Protection because they have beaten, detained, harassed, and even killed law-abiding U.S. citizens with zero accountability.

I ask my colleagues across the aisle, why not allow funding for the parts of Homeland Security that we have no disagreements over? Let's pass those, allow that disaster relief to be replenished, allow TSA and Secret Service agents to be paid, allow the Coast Guard to support its servicemembers, and ensure our cybersecurity measures are in place.

We are going to negotiate reforms to ICE and Customs and Border Protection. It is underway. What are those reforms? There is broad public support for prohibiting the detention or deportation of U.S. citizens and prohibiting operations at sensitive locations like schools and houses of worship.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Connecticut.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, there is also support to require agencies to get a warrant from a judge before kicking in somebody's door, require the removal of masks, require badges and identification, allow independent investigations into wrongdoing, end racial profiling, and end roving patrols.

Let me just say what I am sick and tired of is listening to the crocodile tears that are being shed on the other side of the aisle for the Federal employees who work at these agencies. They couldn't care less.

I will give you examples. The President's budget had a \$500 million cut to cybersecurity. Where were they when that happened? Where were they when President Trump and Kristi Noem talked about dismantling FEMA, the Federal Emergency Management Agency? Where were they on all of these bills when their guru, Elon Musk, said a thousand people could go from the cybersecurity agency?

There wasn't a peep out of them for that. It is disingenuous. They know it. The public knows it. In fact, they want to support ICE and CBP, but they don't want to support the Federal employees. Vote "no" on the previous question.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE), a member of our freshman class, one of the best-educated members of our Conference, and a champion debater.

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today angry. I rise angry by the stunning disparity between the priorities of the two parties.

This week, Republicans' number one priority is to reopen the Department of Homeland Security because our homeland is under threat, whereas Democrats' number one priority, as we will see this afternoon, is to stop and pro-

tect those who would endanger our homeland.

The U.S. Coast Guard is actively in operations in Bahrain, protecting the United States, and every one of those brave individuals is not being paid. Not only that, but the DHS Office of Counterterrorism and Homeland Threats is not being paid to look after those who would threaten us in the United States.

What has changed in the last 3 weeks? Let me give you some examples. Today is March 4. Two days ago in Michigan, Islamic scholar and expert in Islam Hassanain Rajabali said the following about the killing of Khamenei: Why do we keep hearing our leaders—this is someone in America referring to Khamenei as "our leader"—why are we hearing our leaders are being killed and the other side does not? It will come. Don't worry. "Mahdi will cut tentacles of Rothschilds."

We need Homeland Security to protect us from this guy.

On February 28, someone who came to Michigan in 1991, who got two degrees at American universities, Dearborn Heights Imam—a religious leader running a mosque—Mohammad Ali Elahi eulogized Khamenei: He would have loved to end his life this way—being killed by the most wretched hands on Earth—speaking about the United States. Even Democrats believe Khamenei was a bad guy. Nothing is greater than martyrdom after 86 years of service.

We need DHS to be funded to protect us from this guy.

Third example: A taxpayer-funded government employee working at Henry Ford Community College in Dearborn, Ali Akbar Shdid: Trump made a huge mistake by killing our leader—again, "our leader" being Khamenei. He continued: We are going to continue on his path. We are going to hold his blood and ideology and teach it to our children.

We are paying for this. We need DHS to protect us from this guy. That is just Michigan.

State number two, California: February 28, someone who came from Iraq to the United States in 1994, another Muslim scholar, an expert in Islam, Dr. Sayed Moustafa Qazwini, a Ph.D.: I pray to exit life as a martyr. Like Khamenei, may Allah be pleased with him, not in bed, home, or hospital.

DHS needs to protect us from him.

□ 1300

Mr. Speaker, down the road in Virginia, a mosque in Manassas honoring what they call, again, our leader, Khamenei, extending condolences and glad tidings on his martyrdom.

By the way, these are not being captured with a hidden microphone. They are proudly broadcasting this.

In New York, Zohran Mamdani, February 20, attended a mosque with known ties to Iran and sat there as the imam prayed for the Mahdi to kill the infidels by his sword. We need DHS open to protect us from that.

This is personal for me. In Austin, a Muslim terrorist, who never should have been in this country, just killed three people, and I will talk about him in a bit.

He retweeted, commented on one of my tweets, upset about my criticism of the kind of terrorism that he so engaged in. I didn't find it. So lest any Member of Congress think these threats are not real, if they can do it to me, they can do it to any one of you.

I will close with this. We hear the names of the two who died in Minnesota, but how do we not say the names of Ryder Harrington, Savitha Shan, and Jorge Pederson, who were killed by that man in Austin.

We need the Department of Homeland Security open to protect us from them. How many Americans are going to die while the Department of Homeland Security is closed? We swore an oath to protect Americans, not to protect terrorists. We need to get the Department of Homeland Security open so that we can live up to that obligation.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, we can get DHS open if Republicans would vote to return this bill so that we could, indeed, fund FEMA, the Coast Guard, and the other agencies.

Let's talk a little bit about FEMA because what we are seeing this President do is use this moment to make life more miserable for Americans. FEMA has \$4 billion in Disaster Relief Fund. Even though it has that money, DHS is shutting down assistance to victims. It is doing that even though it has the money.

This is just like what Trump did last time where he had the money for nutrition assistance to fund SNAP and he said no. I would prefer that children go hungry, even though I have the money in the bank.

This shouldn't be surprising given Secretary Noem's record. She has put roadblock after roadblock to actually let FEMA do work. She says anything over \$100,000 has to come to my office. That didn't stop her from giving away several millions of dollars in ad funding to somebody related to her because this is, indeed, a corrupt administration. There is corruption at the highest level, and we see that over and over again.

When we talk about U.S. citizens harmed, let's talk about U.S. citizens harmed by our own agency.

Marimar Martinez was a teaching assistant. She was shot five times by a Border Patrol agent in October. She was in a car. That agent said, bragging: "I fired five rounds, and she had seven holes." He had to recant their allegations that she had done anything wrong.

George Retes, a former Army infantryman and disabled veteran, pulled out of his car telling ICE: I am a veteran. I am a citizen. He then spent

time in detention. He came to the House and was a guest for the State of the Union Address. We got to meet him.

Peter Yazzie, a Navajo Nation, our first Americans, and Laguna Pueblo member, was detained by ICE members. He told them: I am a Native American. I have my Certificate of Indian Blood. He was detained, arrested, abused in that moment.

Jose Roberto "Beto" Ramirez, 20-year-old member of the Ojibwe Nation, was yanked from the passenger's seat of his aunt's car, slammed on the hood, handcuffed, and held in custody.

Aliya Rahman is a disabled social activist. She had her windows broken, pulled out by force, and experienced shooting pain.

These are citizens. These are citizens, and they don't seem to care. It is something when you can't control the horrible actions.

We condemn the terrorism. We condemn the Ayatollah. We condemn all of that. We don't control them, but we sure should control our own agents and our own agencies.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) to discuss more about this bill and what all this means. He has led and inspired us in so many different times, and I look forward to listening to him.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I will speak to the American people through you, so I address the Speaker and the American people.

You must be confused by this debate. We have two relatively new Members of the Congress who have spoken. I came in as Mr. JACK was speaking and he said that we need to open DHS. We certainly have agreement on about 80 percent of that, but the Republicans are saying unless you agree to 100 percent, we are not going to agree to opening DHS that ought to be opened during this time of war.

The Secret Service could be funded this afternoon. The Speaker just has to say the word because our side will vote to open up the agencies, but we have a difference of opinion with respect to two components of DHS that we believe are violating the Constitution of the United States.

We agree that we ought to open Secret Service. We agree that we ought to open FEMA. We agree that we ought to open cybersecurity, but what is your answer to saying: But if you don't do it all, we will not open those agencies and have the employees paid, who, of course, are critical employees.

You don't have an answer to that other than you want to muscle through something that has been questioned by many courts in this land.

Now let me read you a statement that was made by the border czar.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland.

Mr. HOYER. After a court said you must do this, the border czar, Tom

Homan, who was in Minneapolis, who—by the way, Border Patrol killed Alex Pretti. Here is what the border czar said. Perhaps you don't care, but he said this: "I don't care what the judges think." "I don't care . . ."

Yes, we here on this floor, and the Democrats on the floor in the United States Senate believe that is improper policy for law enforcement to say, to do, to act, to threaten people with: I don't care what the judges say.

Bring Ms. DELAURO's bill to the floor. It will pass. It will pass this afternoon, and all of those agents you have mentioned will be funded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has again expired.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentleman from Maryland.

Mr. HOYER. In addition to that, I don't know that I have heard it mentioned, but in the bill that was passed earlier this year, DHS was funded. ICE was funded with \$150 billion, so don't get on this floor and pretend that somehow it is not funded because that is not true.

Bring this bill to the floor. We agree on it overwhelmingly. Do not allow the disagreement to undermine the agreement we have, particularly at a time of war when we ought to be united.

□ 1310

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, just to respond briefly, first and foremost, if the gentleman had listened to my opening remarks, as he suggested he did, he would know that we noted that we, in fact, funded CBP and ICE last year.

This is about funding the Coast Guard and TSA. This is what today's vote is about.

That is why we hear the consternation from the other side. It is because they take bad vote after bad vote after bad vote. We are giving them a chance to rectify that today.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. FONG), a friend before we both were elected to Congress.

Mr. FONG. Mr. Speaker, last month, the U.S. House of Representatives passed a bipartisan funding package for the Department of Homeland Security. It is regrettable that Senate Democrats chose to abandon a bipartisan and bicameral deal on full-year DHS funding, jeopardizing our safety and security here at home.

Today, we face growing threats as our Nation encounters the real danger posed by the Iranian regime, as well as other adversaries around the world. It is critical that we ensure the agencies tasked with protecting our Nation are fully operational, are at full strength, and have the resources needed to neutralize any potential threats to our homeland.

As congressional Democrats continue to play partisan games, they are weakening our cybersecurity operations,

disrupting critical intelligence sharing, and forcing over 250,000 public servants supporting our national security to go without pay. This includes critical personnel from TSA, who work every day to prevent terror attacks, and from FEMA, who support our communities in the wake of disasters.

This is the second time in the past 4 months that TSA workers are working without pay. During the last shutdown, TSA saw increased rates of unscheduled absences and localized spikes in wait times, which are expected again during the shutdown as spring travel begins to rise.

In my home State of California, we continue preparations to host major international events like the 2026 FIFA World Cup, which begins this coming June, followed by the 2028 Summer Olympic Games. The World Cup will be the largest sporting event in history, with upward of 5 million international fans traveling to the United States.

The safety and success of these global events hinge on DHS' ability to maintain mission readiness. The longer this partial shutdown continues, the weaker our ability to prepare for these events becomes. To delay any longer is to risk the lives of countless Americans and millions of international visitors alike.

This week, the House will vote once again to reopen DHS and reaffirm its commitment to public safety. I urge my colleagues across the aisle to join us in voting to reopen the Department of Homeland Security so it can get back to its core mission of safeguarding American communities.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, frankly, these new Members of Congress apparently don't know what I am saying. We will vote to open DHS, including the Secretary's office, this afternoon, 1 hour from now, 2 hours from now. Bring it to the floor. Do not put in it what we all call a poison pill, and that is two components that are already funded—already funded.

Democrats and Republicans together can start paying the others today, but for the objection to the unanimous consent.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I think it is disappointing that the gentleman from Maryland refers to funding our Border Patrol and funding those who keep our borders safe as poison pills. That is his prerogative, not mine.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from South Carolina (Mrs. BIGGS), a member of our freshman class, one of the highest ranking female military officers in the history of the House of Representatives, a combat veteran, and a lieutenant colonel.

Mrs. BIGGS of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Georgia for recognizing me.

Mr. Speaker, America has dealt a clear and decisive blow to the Iranian terrorist regime. In times like this, we

have to stay vigilant here at home. Rogue terrorists look for ways to strike back and create chaos. In some cases, they already have.

Right now, the Department of Homeland Security is shut down. Think about that. At a time when the threat of terrorism is real and rising, those on the other side of the aisle have left the agency responsible for protecting our homeland just hanging out to dry for nearly a month.

Americans should not be alarmed, but they should take note of who prioritizes Americans' safety. DHS secures our border. DHS works to stop terrorist threats. DHS supports the law enforcement officers who keep our communities safe.

Letting it stay shut down is reckless. Stop the partisan games and protect Americans.

Mr. Speaker, this issue is personal for me. I am a lieutenant colonel in the Air National Guard. I still currently serve. Should I be called up, my go-bag is packed and ready.

Serving as a medical crew director in the back of an aircraft in hostile environments, I have looked wounded servicemembers in their eyes as I cared for them. As a psychiatric mental health nurse practitioner, I have seen these warriors return home with tremendous weight and trauma on their minds and in their hearts and the weight of the world on their shoulders.

When we talk about supporting and praying for our troops, this isn't theoretical for me. I have lived it. Quite honestly, it is why I am here in Congress. Our men and women in uniform deserve a Congress that has their backs.

Mr. Speaker, when some of those on the other side second-guess and even go as far as telling our military to disregard their orders, that is not leadership.

Under President Trump's leadership, America dealt a decisive blow. For nearly 50 years, the Iranian regime fueled terrorism. It backed proxy militias and threatened Americans and our allies. Their chants of "death to America" have never been symbolic. They have been backed by violence and a relentless pursuit of nuclear weapons.

President Trump made it clear that Iran would never obtain a nuclear weapon. He gave their leaders a chance to negotiate. They chose deception. They chose delay.

When diplomacy failed, the President acted to protect the American people. That sends a message far beyond Tehran. Russia is watching. China is watching. Every adversary testing Americans' resolve is watching.

There is peace through strength. It protects American lives, and it keeps our country safe.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to include in the RECORD this MSN article titled: "Kash Patel's latest firings ousted agents with expertise in Iran."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Speaker, is there objection to the re-

quest of the gentlewoman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

[From MS NOW, March 2, 2026]

KASH PATEL'S LATEST FIRINGS OUSTED AGENTS WITH EXPERTISE IN IRAN

(By Carol Leonnig)

When FBI Director Kash Patel fired a dozen FBI agents and staff last week for their role in the classified documents investigation of Donald Trump, he targeted an elite counter espionage unit that investigates threats from foreign adversaries and specializes in Iran, according to more than a half dozen sources with knowledge of the firings.

The firings came as Patel claimed—without evidence—that the team of FBI agents who investigated Trump's hoarding of top-secret records at his Mar-a-Lago club had engaged in improper investigative steps.

But his gutting of the global espionage unit, known as CI-12, also came days before Trump launched Operation Epic Fury, a series of bombing strikes on Iran that killed the country's supreme leader, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei.

A previous bombing strike on Iran ordered by Trump in his first presidency was followed by a series of Iranian operations on U.S. soil to try to assassinate Trump and some of his aides.

CI-12 conducts investigations of illegal media leaks and mishandling of classified documents, but also has veteran agents trained on threats and spy operations with a special focus on the Middle East, including Iran and its proxies, but also Cuba and some terror organizations. It does not investigate threats from China or Russia, which are handled by separate units.

This global espionage team helped uncover numerous counterintelligence threats from foreign governments, including Monica Witt, a former U.S. Air Force intelligence specialist and sergeant who began spying for Iran. While working with highly classified U.S. intelligence, prosecutors said, Witt converted to Islam and began spying to aid Iran. She was indicted by a grand jury in 2019 but had defected to Iran and remains a fugitive.

The targeting of the CI-12 unit in last week's firings was first reported by The New York Sun.

On Monday, people inside the FBI were bracing for the possibility that Patel would fire more agents and staff on CI-12.

FBI spokesperson Ben Williamson told MS NOW that the FBI does not comment on personnel matters but maintains a "robust counterintelligence operation, with personnel all over the country, who delivered record results in 2025, including a 35 percent increase in counterintelligence arrests, six of the Ten Most Wanted Fugitives captured, and multiple foiled terrorism plots just in December alone."

He added, "Our teams remain fully engaged across the country and prepared to mobilize any security assets needed to assist federal partners—as well as state and local law enforcement."

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, we also know the Trump administration, in addition to getting rid of those with expertise in Iran, also appointed a 22-year-old to head DHS' counterterrorism office.

When we talk about making America less safe, it is the Trump administration that is making America less safe. It is the Trump administration, by going to war in Iran after promising he

would not do that, that is making America less safe.

We can also talk about how he is also betraying not just his promise of no foreign intervention and wars, but he is betraying his promise to lower costs because now we are seeing gas prices surge. Americans know this.

It is this administration. It is the Trump administration that is making their gas prices go up. It is his tariffs that are making their gas prices go up. He is costing Americans money, and he is making their world less safe.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1320

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. KENNEDY), a member of our freshman class who traveled earlier this year to Central America to review the threats to our national security that these transnational gangs posed.

Mr. KENNEDY of Utah. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JACK), for the privilege of being able to speak on this really important topic today.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the rule to put a stop to yet another Democrat/Schumer shutdown and fund the Department of Homeland Security at this critical time. We all know and Democrats know that shutdowns are bad for the American people. That has been true regardless of which party has caused them.

Democrats have now shut down the government twice in the span of 4 months, holding the American people's safety hostage to their policy demands.

We have just heard Democrats admit responsibility for this nonsensical shutdown on this floor today. House Republicans negotiated in good faith with House and Senate Democrats and produced a clean, bipartisan funding bill for all of the Departments. That is how this process is supposed to work.

ICE is already funded and operational, exposing the Schumer shutdown as a political stunt more than anything else. What has actually been left without funding is the Coast Guard, TSA, and FEMA, the agencies that keep us safe when disaster strikes. The agencies on the front lines of American safety are now in limbo over a political standoff that never should have happened.

Even more troubling is the fact that the agency tasked with keeping Americans safe from terrorism is defunded when we face terrorist threats from Iran.

Mr. Speaker, I say to my Democratic friends and colleagues: Stop the games. End the shutdown.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for unanimous consent to include in the RECORD the February 2026 PBS News article titled: "Poll: Nearly two-thirds of Americans say ICE has gone too far in immigration crackdown."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

[From PBS News, Feb. 5, 2026]

POLL: NEARLY TWO-THIRDS OF AMERICANS SAY ICE HAS GONE TOO FAR IN IMMIGRATION CRACKDOWN

Americans largely disapprove of the actions of Immigration and Customs Enforcement agents as recent enhanced enforcement operations spread to new cities across the country, a new PBS News/NPR/Marist Poll found. A majority say ICE is making Americans less safe, and a growing number believe the agency's actions have gone too far.

Meanwhile, anti-ICE protests that have grown in size and visibility in recent weeks are viewed by a majority of Americans as mostly legitimate and not unlawful.

Six in 10 Americans disapprove of the job ICE is doing, while about 3 in 10 approve. Opinions about the agency and its actions are sharply divided along political lines, with 91 percent of Democrats and 66 percent of independents registering their disapproval. Republicans, however, remain supportive, with 73 percent approving of the agency's work.

The situation has put President Donald Trump in an "untenable position," said Lee Miringoff, director of the Marist Institute for Public Opinion.

Pictures and videos of armed and masked immigration agents detaining people, including U.S. citizens, on the streets of American cities have flooded social media in recent weeks. As Americans see those recent arrests, as well as shootings, from multiple angles, the "bad imagery" has led to a dramatic shift in support.

"Questions rise in people's minds as to whether this is a good policy," Miringoff said. Nearly two-thirds of respondents in the latest poll—65 percent—feel ICE has gone too far in enforcing immigration laws, a jump from 54 percent last June, when the Trump administration began enhanced enforcement efforts in Los Angeles. That's driven largely by Democrats and independents, but a growing share of Republicans say the same.

Another 22 percent of respondents say the agency's actions are about right, compared to 26 percent last year. The percentage that believes ICE is not going far enough in its efforts dropped from 18 percent to 12 percent today.

The latest poll was conducted in the days that followed the killing of Alex Pretti by Customs and Border Protection agents in Minneapolis, the second fatal shooting of an American citizen by federal immigration officials in the city this year.

Pretti's death—and public outcry over the aggressive tactics of agents—sparked a shakeup in the administration's immigration efforts. Border Patrol commander Greg Bovino, who had overseen the crackdown in Minneapolis and other cities, was removed from his post and replaced with White House border czar Tom Homan, whom Trump personally dispatched to be the public face of the operation.

Homan said Wednesday that because of "unprecedented collaboration" between local authorities and ICE, 700 federal immigration agents would leave Minnesota immediately, leaving about 2,000 personnel behind for now. In one of the more notable shifts in recent days, ICE agents have been able to take custody of undocumented immigrants directly from local jails before they are released, which Homan called "smart law enforcement" that requires fewer officers to be deployed on the city's streets.

Trump's tone about immigration also shifted again this week. He said in a Wednes-

day interview with NBC News that the federal response could use a "bit of a softer touch" while still remaining "tough."

"The White House has blinked on Minneapolis," Miringoff said about the recent changes in leadership and scope of the operation. "They need to change the narrative."

While the Department of Homeland Security insists agents are focused on removing the "worst of the worst" undocumented immigrants with criminal records, high-profile cases, including the detention of 5-year-old Liam Conejo Ramos of Minneapolis, have drawn new attention and renewed scrutiny on the tactics used to enforce immigration laws and who the Federal government is removing.

A majority of Americans—62 percent—believe ICE's actions are making Americans less safe. Another 37 percent including 77 percent of Republicans, say America is more safe.

WHAT REPUBLICANS ARE SAYING ABOUT IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT UNDER TRUMP

The recent scenes from Minneapolis, including the shootings of Pretti and Renee Good, have caused some people who voted for Trump to rethink their support.

Oscar Gomez, 34, who has lived in California his entire life, is a three-time Trump voter who has supported the president since he first ran in 2016. Gomez said he now regrets his vote and no longer supports the president's actions.

"It's kind of sad how, even as a U.S. citizen, you can still fear for your life," he said.

Gomez said that he supported the president's 2024 campaign promise to prioritize deporting immigrants with criminal records. But instead, Gomez said, the Trump administration is trying to remove "anyone who they see as brown."

"He's not after criminals anymore. He's dividing families at this point," Gomez said. "He's focused on the wrong issues."

Other Trump voters said the administration should be going further in its enforcement efforts.

Jessica Osuna, 63, moved to Texas from New Mexico with her family two years ago. She, too, voted for Trump in 2016, 2020 and 2024 and echoes the president's unsubstantiated claims that undocumented immigrants are more likely to commit crimes than U.S. citizens.

"They shouldn't even be here," she said of people who entered the country illegally. "They're breaking a law. They didn't do it the right way."

After the recent fatal shootings and other incidents in Minneapolis, Homeland Security Secretary Kristi Noem announced Monday that all immigration agents in the city will wear body cameras during operations, with the policy likely expanding to other cities.

Lawmakers are engaged in a heated debate over potential reforms that Democrats and some Republicans would like to see to ICE and DHS. ICE's policies and tactics held up a recent government funding bill, resulting in a short-term partial shutdown. Though a deal was eventually struck to fund most of the government, DHS funding is set to expire again at the end of next week unless Congress can agree on new restrictions.

Democrats are also pushing to require immigration agents to carry identification, take off the masks many regularly wear and require judicial warrants for many of their actions.

In the meantime, tens of thousands of people have protested immigration actions in Minneapolis and other cities. In this poll, 59 percent of Americans say the protests are mostly legitimate; another 40 percent believe they are mostly people acting unlawfully.

Like 75 percent of Republicans, Osuna, the Trump supporter in Texas, believes the protesters have gone too far. The use of whistles and air horns to disrupt immigration agents should be illegal, she said.

"It sets something off in your brain, and of course, then that's when all the chaos starts," she said. "When they say, 'Stop,' you need to stop. You need to follow their directions. You need to listen to them. If you don't, then, in my opinion, they have a right to protect themselves."

WHY TRUMP'S 'POLICIES ARE A DRAG ON HIM RIGHT NOW'—AND WHAT THAT MIGHT MEAN FOR MIDTERMS

Overall, the president's approval rating has held steady, even as the administration's immigration enforcement tactics have dominated public attention. The percentage of Americans who approve of the job he is doing is nearly identical to that of the month before 39 percent compared to 38 percent in January). Another 56 percent disapprove of his job performance.

Strikingly, 51 percent say they strongly disapprove. That's the highest share who have said that since Trump reentered the Oval Office last year. It's a level of disapproval matched in Marist polling only in the days after a mob of his supporters stormed the U.S. Capitol on Jan. 6, 2021.

Trump's support among Republicans remains high, at 85 percent, but his support among Black, Latino and young voters—all groups that moved his direction in the 2024 election—has continued to diminish.

"It's a difference between him and his policies. And he doesn't change," Miringoff said. "He's still the Donald Trump that people loved and hated. But the policies are a drag on him right now."

By a 2-to-1 margin, more Americans say they would like Trump to spend more time prioritizing the economy and lowering the prices of goods than want him to prioritize controlling immigration.

The percentage of Americans who disapprove of Trump's handling of the economy has slowly ticked up in his second term. Now, nearly 6 in 10 respondents disapprove—the highest of either of his terms in office and a higher level of disapproval than his predecessor Joe Biden measured at any point during his presidency.

Tariffs on various imported goods, which the Trump administration has levied and waived regularly, are one of the tent-pole issues of his second-term economic policy.

More than half of Americans say tariffs mostly hurt the U.S. economy, a number that has jumped 8 percentage points since last March. Another 31 percent say tariffs are mostly helpful.

If his administration's policies remain on the same path over the next several months, frustrations among voters are likely to have a negative impact on Republican candidates in November's midterm elections, Miringoff warns.

"It can make some swing-state and swing-district Republicans have to scurry for cover," he said. And as a result many could be seeking to put "a little distance between themselves and Trump."

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, we just heard from another Republican saying that ICE is already fully funded. So what they should do is take up the Democratic proposal to fund the other agencies. They have acknowledged it over and over again today.

All that we need to do to fund the TSA, Coast Guard, and FEMA is to take up our proposal. They are refusing to do so. When they refuse to do so,

they are causing the shutdown because I must say it again: What Democrats are asking is simply that ICE follow the Constitution.

Not only are Republicans inflicting harm on FEMA, TSA, and others, but they are refusing to follow the Constitution. They are refusing to have their law enforcement comply with law enforcement everywhere.

That is why Democrats are saying "no." We are with Americans when we say that this terror that ICE has inflicted on Americans has to stop.

We are also saying that Congress should do its job and step in and say that we insist that they not violate our Constitution. We should step in and do our job of saying that we insist that Congress discuss and decide whether we should enter into a costly war in the Middle East, which is what they are allowing to go forward.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to reject this bill, vote against the rule, and vote for the Democratic proposal to fund FEMA and the Coast Guard.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, the rule I have presented today allows the House of Representatives to carry out its responsibilities and to govern in an orderly and responsible manner for the American people.

As a reminder, a "yes" vote on this rule enables us to consider legislation that will fully end the Democratic shutdown of the Department of Homeland Security and allows us in this body to restore funding for the agencies responsible for protecting the American people.

A "yes" vote on this rule ensures that the men and women serving on the front lines of our homeland security, from coastguardsmen and TSA officers to cyber defenders, law enforcement personnel, and emergency responders, have the resources and support that they need to carry out their critical missions.

A "yes" vote on this rule strengthens the security of the American homeland by restoring funding for the agencies responsible for counterterrorism, cybersecurity, aviation security, disaster response, and maritime security, at a time when threats to our country are increasing.

As I made clear earlier, a "yes" vote on this rule ensures that the rest of the Department of Homeland Security can continue its vital work, even as ICE and CBP have already been funded through H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Finally, a "yes" vote on this rule allows the House to fulfill its most basic responsibility to govern and to ensure the safety of the American people is never used as a political bargaining chip.

Mr. Speaker, the consequences of leaving the Department of Homeland Security unfunded are too serious to

ignore. This rule allows the House to act to restore full funding and to ensure that the agencies tasked with protecting the American people can carry out their missions without further disruption.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the rule.

The material previously referred to by Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1095 OFFERED BY MS. LEGER FERNANDEZ OF NEW MEXICO

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

That immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall proceed to the consideration in the House of the bill (H.R. 7744) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. An amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of H.R. 7481, as introduced, shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 2. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX and clause 8 of rule XX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 7744.

SEC. 3. The Clerk shall transmit to the Senate a message that the House has passed H.R. 7744 no later than three calendar days after passage.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on ordering the previous question will be followed by 5-minute votes on:

Adoption of the resolution, if ordered; and

Motions to suspend the rules and pass:

S. 723; and

H.R. 6472.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 213, nays 208, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 79]

YEAS—213

Aderholt	Baumgartner	Bost
Alford	Bean (FL)	Brecheen
Allen	Begich	Bresnahan
Amodei (NV)	Bentz	Buchanan
Arrington	Bergman	Burchett
Babin	Bice	Burlison
Bacon	Biggs (AZ)	Calvert
Balderson	Biggs (SC)	Cammack
Barr	Bilirakis	Carey
Barrett	Boebert	Carter (GA)

Carter (TX) Hinson
 Ciscomani Houchin
 Cline Hudson
 Cloud Huizenga
 Clyde Hurd (CO)
 Cole Issa
 Collins Jack
 Comer Jackson (TX)
 Crane James
 Crank Johnson (LA)
 Crawford Johnson (SD)
 Davidson Jordan
 De La Cruz Joyce (OH)
 DesJarlais Joyce (PA)
 Diaz-Balart Kean
 Donalds Kelly (MS)
 Downing Kelly (PA)
 Dunn (FL) Kennedy (UT)
 Edwards Kiggans (VA)
 Ellzey Kiley (CA)
 Emmer Kim
 Estes Knott
 Evans (CO) Kustoff
 Ezell LaHood
 Fallon LaLota
 Fedorchak Langworthy
 Feenstra Latta
 Fine Lawler
 Finstad Lee (FL)
 Fischbach Letlow
 Fitzgerald Loudermilk
 Fitzpatrick Lucas
 Fleischmann Luna
 Flood Luttrell
 Fong Mace
 Foxx Mackenzie
 Franklin, Scott Malliotakis
 Fry Maloy
 Fulcher Mann
 Garbarino Massie
 Gill (TX) Mast
 Gimenez McCaul
 Goldman (TX) McClain
 Gonzales, Tony McClintock
 Gooden McCormick
 Gosar McDowell
 Graves McGuire
 Griffith Messmer
 Grothman Meuser
 Guest Miller (IL)
 Guthrie Miller (OH)
 Hageman Miller (WV)
 Hamadeh (AZ) Miller-Meeks
 Haridopolos Mills
 Harrigan Moolenaar
 Harris (MD) Moore (AL)
 Harris (NC) Moore (NC)
 Harshbarger Moore (UT)
 Hern (OK) Moore (WV)
 Higgins (LA) Moran
 Hill (AR) Murphy

NAYS—208

Adams Courtney
 Aguilar Craig
 Amo Crockett
 Ansari Crow
 Auchincloss Davids (KS)
 Balint Davis (IL)
 Barragan Davis (NC)
 Beatty Dean (PA)
 Bell DeGette
 Bera DeLauro
 Beyer DelBene
 Bishop Deluzio
 Boyle (PA) DeSaulnier
 Brown Dexter
 Brownley Dingell
 Budzinski Doggett
 Bynum Elfreth
 Carbajal Escobar
 Carson Espaillat
 Carter (LA) Evans (PA)
 Casar Fields
 Case Figures
 Casten Fletcher
 Castor (FL) Foster
 Castro (TX) Foushee
 Cherfilus-McCormick Frankel, Lois
 Chu Friedman
 Cisneros Garamendi
 Clark (MA) Garcia (CA)
 Clarke (NY) Garcia (IL)
 Cleaver Gillen
 Clyburn Golden (ME)
 Cohen Goldman (NY)
 Conaway Gomez
 Correa Goodlander

Newhouse Lynch
 Norman Magaziner
 Nunn (IA) Mannion
 Obernolte Matsui
 Ogles McBath
 Onder McBride
 Owens McClain Delaney
 Palmer McClellan
 Patronis McCollum
 Perry McDonald Rivet
 Pfluger McGarvey
 Reschenthaler McGovern
 Rogers (AL) McIver
 Rogers (KY) Meeks
 Rose Menefee
 Rouzer Menendez
 Roy Meng
 Rulli Mfume
 Rutherford Min
 Salazar Moore (WI)
 Scalise Morelle
 Schmidt Morrison
 Schweikert Moskowitz
 Scott, Austin Moulton
 Self Mrvan
 Sessions Mullin
 Shreve Nadler
 Simpson Neal
 Smith (MO) Neguse
 Smith (NE) Norcross
 Smith (NJ) Ocasio-Cortez
 Smucker Olszewski
 Spartz Omar
 Stauber Pallone
 Stefanik Baird
 Steil Bonamici
 Steube Costa
 Strong Stutzman
 Strungman Taylor
 Tenney Tenney
 Thompson (PA) Tiffany
 Timmons Timmons
 Turner (OH) Turner (OH)
 Van Drew Van Drew
 Van Dwyne Van Dwyne
 Van Epps Van Epps
 Van Orden Van Orden
 Wagner Wagner
 Walberg Walberg
 Webster (FL) Weber (TX)
 Westerman Webster (FL)
 Wied Wied
 Williams (TX) Williams (TX)
 Wilson (SC) Wilson (SC)
 Wittman Wittman
 Womack Womack
 Yakym Yakym
 Zinke Zinke

NOT VOTING—11

□ 1359
 Messrs. SUOZZI and JOHNSON of Georgia changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. CLINE changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. McDOWELL). The question is on the res-olution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-vice, and there were—ayes 211, noes 209, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 80]

AYES—211

Aderholt Burchett
 Alford Burlison
 Allen Calvert
 Amodei (NV) Cammack
 Arrington Carey
 Babin Carter (GA)
 Bacon Carter (TX)
 Balderson Ciscomani
 Barr Cline
 Barrett Cloud
 Baumgartner Clyde
 Bean (FL) Cole
 Begich Collins
 Bentz Comer
 Bergman Crane
 Bice Crank
 Biggs (AZ) Crawford
 Biggs (SC) Davidson
 Bilirakis De La Cruz
 Boebert DesJarlais
 Bost Diaz-Balart
 Brecheen Donalds
 Bresnahan Downing
 Buchanan Dunn (FL)

Gonzales, Tony Letlow
 Gooden Loudermilk
 Gosar Lucas
 Graves Luna
 Griffith Luttrell
 Suozzi Grothman
 Swalwell Guest
 Sykes Guthrie
 Takano Hageman
 Thanedar Hamadeh (AZ)
 Thompson (CA) Haridopolos
 Thompson (MS) Mast
 Titus Harris (MD)
 Tlaib Harris (NC)
 Tokuda Harshbarger
 Tonko Hern (OK)
 Torres (CA) Higgins (LA)
 Torres (NY) Hill (AR)
 Trahan Hinson
 Tran Houchin
 Underwood Hudson
 Vargas Huizenga
 Vasquez Hurd (CO)
 Veasey Issa
 Velázquez Jack
 Vindman Jackson (TX)
 Walkinshaw James
 Wasserman Johnson (LA)
 Schultz Johnson (SD)
 Waters Jordan
 Watson Coleman Joyce (OH)
 Whitesides Joyce (PA)
 Williams (GA) Kean
 Wilson (FL) Kelly (MS)
 Jackson (IL) Kelly (PA)
 Nehls Kennedy (UT)
 Valadao Kiggans (VA)
 Kim Kiley (CA)
 Knott Kim
 Kustoff Palmer
 LaHood Patronis
 LaLota Perry
 Langworthy Pfluger
 Latta Reschenthaler
 Lawler Rogers (AL)
 Lee (FL) Rogers (KY)
 Rose

NOES—209

Adams Larson (CT)
 Aguilar Latimer
 Amo Lee (NV)
 Ansari Lee (PA)
 Auchincloss Leger Fernandez
 Balint Levin
 Barragan Liccardo
 Beatty Fields
 Bell Figures
 Bera Fletcher
 Beyer Foster
 Bishop Foushee
 Boyle (PA) Frankel, Lois
 Brown Friedman
 Brownley McBride
 Budzinski Garamendi
 Bynum Garcia (CA)
 Carbajal Garcia (IL)
 Carson Gillen
 Carter (LA) Golden (ME)
 Casar Goldman (NY)
 Case Gomez
 Casten Goodlander
 Castor (FL) Gottheimer
 Castro (TX) Gray
 Cherfilus-McCormick Green, Al (TX)
 Chu Grijalva
 Cisneros Harder (CA)
 Clark (MA) Hayes
 Clarke (NY) Himes
 Cleaver Hines
 Clyburn Horsford
 Cohen Houlahan
 Conaway Hoyer
 Correa Hoyle (OR)
 Costa Huffman
 Courtney Ivey
 Craig Jeffries
 Crockett Johnson (GA)
 Crow Johnson (TX)
 Davids (KS) Kamlager-Dove
 Davis (IL) Kaptur
 Davis (NC) Keating
 Dean (PA) Kelly (IL)
 DeGette Kennedy (NY)
 DelBene Khanna
 Deluzio Krishnamoorthi
 DeSaulnier Landsman
 Dexter Larsen (WA)
 Dingell Larson (CT)
 Doggett Latimer
 Elfreth Lee (NV)
 Escobar Lee (PA)
 Espaillat Leger Fernandez
 Evans (PA) Levin
 Fields Golden (ME)
 Figures Goldman (NY)
 Fletcher Lieu
 Foster Jacobs
 Foushee Jayapal
 Frankel, Lois Jeffries
 Friedman Johnson (GA)
 Frost Johnson (TX)
 Garamendi Kamlager-Dove
 Garcia (CA) Davis (IL)
 Garcia (IL) Keating
 Gillen Kelly (IL)
 Golden (ME) Kennedy (NY)
 Goldman (NY) Khanna
 Gomez Krishnamoorthi
 Goodlander Landsman
 DeSaulnier Larsen (WA)

Pocan
 Pou
 Pressley
 Quigley
 Ramirez
 Randall
 Raskin
 Riley (NY)
 Rivas
 Ross
 Ruiz
 Ryan
 Salinas
 Sánchez
 Scanlon
 Schakowsky
 Schneider
 Scholten
 Schrier
 Scott (VA)
 Scott, David

Sewell
 Sherman
 Simon
 Smith (WA)
 Sorensen
 Soto
 Stansbury
 Stanton
 Stevens
 Strickland
 Subramanyam
 Suozzi
 Swalwell
 Sykes
 Takano
 Thanedar
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)
 Titus
 Tlaib
 Tokuda

Tonko
 Torres (CA)
 Torres (NY)
 Trahan
 Tran
 Underwood
 Vargas
 Vasquez
 Veasey
 Velázquez
 Vindman
 Walkinshaw
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters
 Watson Coleman
 Whitesides
 Williams (GA)
 Wilson (FL)

DeSaulnier
 DesJarlais
 Dexter
 Diaz-Balart
 Dingell
 Doggett
 Downing
 Dunn (FL)
 Edwards
 Elfreth
 Elizey
 Emmer
 Escobar
 Espallat
 Estes
 Evans (CO)
 Evans (PA)
 Ezell
 Fedorchak
 Feenstra
 Fields
 Figures
 Finstad
 Fischbach
 Fitzgerald
 Fitzpatrick
 Fleischmann
 Flood
 Fong
 Foster
 Foushee
 Foxx
 Frankel, Lois
 Franklin, Scott
 Friedman
 Frost
 Fulcher
 Garamendi
 Garbarino
 Garcia (CA)
 Garcia (IL)
 Gillen
 Gimenez
 Golden (ME)
 Goldman (NY)
 Goldman (TX)
 Gomez
 Gonzales, Tony
 Gooden
 Goodlander
 Gottheimer
 Graves
 Gray
 Green, Al (TX)
 Grijalva
 Grothman
 Guest
 Guthrie
 Hageman
 Harder (CA)
 Haridopolos
 Hayes
 Hern (OK)
 Hill (AR)
 Himes
 Hinson
 Horsford
 Houchin
 Houlihan
 Hoyer
 Hoyle (OR)
 Hudson
 Huffman
 Huizenga
 Hunt
 Hurd (CO)
 Issa
 Ivey
 Jack
 Jacobs
 James
 Jayapal
 Jeffries
 Johnson (GA)
 Johnson (LA)
 Johnson (SD)
 Johnson (TX)
 Jordan
 Joyce (OH)
 Joyce (PA)
 Kamlager-Dove
 Kaptur
 Kean
 Keating
 Kelly (IL)
 Kelly (MS)
 Kelly (PA)
 Kennedy (NY)
 Kennedy (UT)

Khanna
 Kiggans (VA)
 Kiley (CA)
 Kim
 Knott
 Krishnamoorthi
 Kustoff
 LaHood
 LaLota
 Landsman
 Langworthy
 Larsen (WA)
 Larson (CT)
 Latimer
 Latta
 Lawler
 Lee (FL)
 Lee (NV)
 Lee (PA)
 Leger Fernandez
 Letlow
 Levin
 Liccardo
 Lieu
 Lofgren
 Loudermill
 Lucas
 Luna
 Luttrell
 Lynch
 Mackenzie
 Magaziner
 Malliotakis
 Maloy
 Mann
 Mannion
 Massie
 Mast
 Matsui
 McBath
 McBride
 McCaul
 McClain
 McClain Delaney
 McClellan
 McClintock
 McCollum
 McCormick
 McDonald Rivet
 McDowell
 McGarvey
 McGovern
 McGuire
 McIver
 Meeks
 Menefee
 Menendez
 Meng
 Messmer
 Meuser
 Mfume
 Miller (OH)
 Miller-Meeks
 Min
 Moolenaar
 Moore (AL)
 Moore (NC)
 Moore (UT)
 Moore (WI)
 Moran
 Morelle
 Morrison
 Moskowitz
 Moulton
 Mrvan
 Mullin
 Murphy
 Nadler
 Neal
 Neguse
 Nehls
 Newhouse
 Norcross
 Nunn (IA)
 Obernolte
 Ocasio-Cortez
 Olszewski
 Omar
 Owens
 Pallone
 Palmer
 Panetta
 Pappas
 Pelosi
 Perez
 Peters
 Pettersen
 Pfluger
 Pingree

Pocan
 Pou
 Pressley
 Quigley
 Ramirez
 Randall
 Raskin
 Reschenthaler
 Riley (NY)
 Rivas
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rose
 Ross
 Rouzer
 Ruiz
 Rulli
 Rutherford
 Ryan
 Salazar
 Salinas
 Sánchez
 Scalise
 Scanlon
 Schakowsky
 Schmidt
 Schneider
 Scholten
 Schrier
 Schweikert
 Scott (VA)
 Scott, Austin
 Scott, David
 Sessions
 Sewell
 Sherman
 Shreve
 Simon
 Simpson
 Smith (MO)
 Smith (NE)
 Smith (NJ)
 Smucker
 Sorensen
 Soto
 Stansbury
 Stanton
 Stauber
 Stefanik
 Steil
 Stevens
 Strickland
 Strong
 Stutzman
 Subramanyam
 Suozzi
 Swalwell
 Sykes
 Takano
 Taylor
 Tenney
 Thanedar
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)
 Thompson (PA)
 Tiffany
 Titus
 Tlaib
 Tokuda
 Tonko
 Torres (CA)
 Torres (NY)
 Trahan
 Tran
 Turner (OH)
 Underwood
 Van Drew
 Van Dуйne
 Van Epps
 Vargas
 Vasquez
 Veasey
 Velázquez
 Vindman
 Wagner
 Walberg
 Walkinshaw
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters
 Watson Coleman
 Weber (TX)
 Webster (FL)
 Westerman
 Whitesides
 Wied
 Williams (GA)
 Williams (TX)

Wilson (FL)
 Wilson (SC)
 Wittman
 Womack
 NAYS—40
 Biggs (AZ)
 Biggs (SC)
 Boebert
 Brecheen
 Burlison
 Cammack
 Cloud
 Clyde
 Crane
 Donalds
 Fallon
 Fine
 Fletcher
 Fry
 Garcia (TX)
 Gonzalez, V.
 Jackson (IL)

Yakym
 Zinke
 Moore (WV)
 Norman
 Ogles
 Onder
 Patronis
 Perry
 Roy
 Self
 Spartz
 Steube
 Timmons
 Van Orden
 Smith (WA)
 Valadao

NOT VOTING—12

Baird
 Bonamici
 Crenshaw
 Cuellar

□ 1406

So the resolution was agreed to.
 The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
 A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TRIBAL TRUST LAND HOMEOWNERSHIP ACT OF 2025

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 723) to require the Bureau of Indian Affairs to process and complete all mortgage packages associated with residential and business mortgages on Indian land by certain deadlines, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.
 The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. WESTERMAN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.
 The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 384, nays 40, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 81]
 YEAS—384

Adams
 Aderholt
 Aguilar
 Alford
 Allen
 Amo
 Amodei (NV)
 Ansari
 Arrington
 Auchincloss
 Babin
 Bacon
 Balderson
 Balint
 Barr
 Barragán
 Barrett
 Baumgartner
 Bean (FL)
 Beatty
 Begich
 Bell
 Bentz
 Bera
 Bergman
 Beyer
 Bice
 Bilirakis

Bishop
 Bost
 Boyle (PA)
 Bresnahan
 Brown
 Brownley
 Buchanan
 Budzinski
 Burchett
 Bynum
 Calvert
 Carbajal
 Carey
 Carson
 Carter (GA)
 Carter (LA)
 Carter (TX)
 Casar
 Case
 Casten
 Castor (FL)
 Castro (TX)
 Cherfilus-McCormick
 Chu
 Ciscomani
 Cisneros
 Clark (MA)

Clarke (NY)
 Cleaver
 Cline
 Clyburn
 Cohen
 Cole
 Collins
 Comer
 Conaway
 Correa
 Costa
 Courtney
 Craig
 Crank
 Crawford
 Crockett
 Crow
 Cuellar
 Davids (KS)
 Davidson
 Davis (IL)
 Davis (NC)
 De La Cruz
 Dean (PA)
 DeGette
 DeLauro
 DelBene
 Deluzio

Hudson
 Huffman
 Huizenga
 Hunt
 Hurd (CO)
 Issa
 Ivey
 Jack
 Jacobs
 James
 Jayapal
 Jeffries
 Johnson (GA)
 Johnson (LA)
 Johnson (SD)
 Johnson (TX)
 Jordan
 Joyce (OH)
 Joyce (PA)
 Kamlager-Dove
 Kaptur
 Kean
 Keating
 Kelly (IL)
 Kelly (MS)
 Kelly (PA)
 Kennedy (NY)
 Kennedy (UT)

Torres (CA)
 Torres (NY)
 Trahan
 Tran
 Turner (OH)
 Underwood
 Van Drew
 Van Dуйne
 Van Epps
 Vargas
 Vasquez
 Veasey
 Velázquez
 Vindman
 Wagner
 Walberg
 Walkinshaw
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters
 Watson Coleman
 Weber (TX)
 Webster (FL)
 Westerman
 Whitesides
 Wied
 Williams (GA)
 Williams (TX)

[Roll No. 82]
 YEAS—351

Adams
 Aderholt
 Aguilar
 Allen
 Amo
 Amodei (NV)
 Ansari
 Auchincloss
 Babin
 Bacon
 Balderson
 Balint
 Barr
 Barragán
 Baumgartner
 Beatty
 Begich
 Bell
 Bentz
 Bera
 Bergman
 Beyer
 Bilirakis
 Bishop

Bost
 Boyle (PA)
 Bresnahan
 Brown
 Brownley
 Buchanan
 Budzinski
 Burchett
 Bynum
 Calvert
 Carbajal
 Carey
 Carson
 Carter (GA)
 Carter (LA)
 Carter (TX)
 Casar
 Case
 Casten
 Castor (FL)
 Castro (TX)
 Cherfilus-McCormick
 Chu
 Ciscomani
 Cisneros
 Clark (MA)
 Clarke (NY)
 Cleaver
 Cline
 Clyburn
 Cohen
 Cole
 Comer
 Conaway
 Correa
 Costa
 Courtney
 Craig
 Crawford
 Crockett
 Crow
 Cuellar
 Davids (KS)
 Davis (IL)
 Davis (NC)
 De La Cruz
 Dean (PA)

NOT VOTING—8

Baird
 Bonamici
 Crenshaw
 Garcia (TX)
 Gonzalez, V.
 Jackson (IL)

□ 1413

Mrs. CAMMACK changed her vote from “yea” to “nay.”
 So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.
 The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
 A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TERRITORIAL STUDENT ACCESS TO HIGHER EDUCATION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6472) to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to provide for in-state tuition rates for certain residents of Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, and the United States Virgin Islands, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.
 The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.
 The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 351, nays 72, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 82]
 YEAS—351

Adams
 Aderholt
 Aguilar
 Allen
 Amo
 Amodei (NV)
 Ansari
 Auchincloss
 Babin
 Bacon
 Balderson
 Balint
 Barr
 Barragán
 Baumgartner
 Beatty
 Begich
 Bell
 Bentz
 Bera
 Bergman
 Beyer
 Bilirakis
 Bishop

Bost
 Boyle (PA)
 Bresnahan
 Brown
 Brownley
 Buchanan
 Budzinski
 Burchett
 Bynum
 Calvert
 Carbajal
 Carey
 Carson
 Carter (GA)
 Carter (LA)
 Carter (TX)
 Casar
 Case
 Casten
 Castor (FL)
 Castro (TX)
 Cherfilus-McCormick
 Chu
 Ciscomani
 Cisneros
 Clark (MA)
 Clarke (NY)
 Cleaver
 Cline
 Clyburn
 Cohen
 Cole
 Comer
 Conaway
 Correa
 Costa
 Courtney
 Craig
 Crawford
 Crockett
 Crow
 Cuellar
 Davids (KS)
 Davis (IL)
 Davis (NC)
 De La Cruz
 Dean (PA)