

NOT VOTING—69

Alford	Fedorchak	Mrvan
Arrington	Foster	Murphy
Auchincloss	Gimenez	Nadler
Babin	Golden (ME)	Ocasio-Cortez
Baird	Gomez	Ogles
Balderson	Hill (AR)	Palmer
Bell	Hoyer	Raskin
Bergman	Hunt	Reschenthaler
Brecheen	Jackson (IL)	Roy
Burlison	Johnson (TX)	Schakowsky
Cammack	Kaptur	Spartz
Carter (GA)	Keating	Staubert
Ciscomani	Latimer	Stefanik
Cleaver	Lynch	Strong
Collins	Magaziner	Tenney
Conaway	Mast	Timmons
Correa	McBath	Titus
Costa	McCaul	Trahan
Crockett	Meeks	Van Drew
Dean (PA)	Menefee	Veasey
DeLauro	Meng	Velázquez
Dunn (FL)	Meuser	Waters
Evans (PA)	Moulton	Wilson (FL)

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 264, nays 133, not voting 35, as follows:

[Roll No. 72]

YEAS—264

Adams	Gill (TX)	Omar
Aguilar	Gillen	Onder
Amo	Goldman (NY)	Owens
Ansari	Gomez	Pallone
Arrington	Gonzalez, V.	Palmer
Babin	Gooden	Panetta
Balint	Goodlander	Pappas
Barr	Gottheimer	Pelosi
Barragán	Gray	Perez
Barrett	Green, Al (TX)	Peters
Bean (FL)	Grijalva	Pettersen
Beatty	Hageman	Pingree
Begich	Hamadeh (AZ)	Pocan
Bera	Harder (CA)	Pou
Bergman	Harris (NC)	Pressley
Beyer	Hayes	Quigley
Bishop	Hill (AR)	Ramirez
Bonamici	Himes	Randall
Bost	Hinson	Raskin
Boyle (PA)	Horsford	Riley (NY)
Bresnahan	Houlihan	Rivas
Brown	Hoyer	Ross
Brownley	Hoyle (OR)	Roy
Buchanan	Huffman	Ruiz
Budzinski	Ivey	Rulli
Burchett	Jack	Rutherford
Burlison	Jacobs	Ryan
Bynum	Jayapal	Salazar
Carbajal	Jeffries	Salinas
Carson	Johnson (GA)	Sánchez
Carter (GA)	Joyce (OH)	Scanlon
Carter (LA)	Kamlager-Dove	Schmidt
Carter (TX)	Kaptur	Schneider
Casar	Kelly (IL)	Scholten
Case	Kennedy (NY)	Schrier
Casten	Khanna	Scott (VA)
Castor (FL)	Kim	Scott, David
Castro (TX)	Knott	Self
Cerfilus-	Krishnamoorthi	Sessions
McCormick	LaLota	Sewell
Chu	Landsman	Sherman
Ciscomani	Langworthy	Simon
Cisneros	Larsen (WA)	Simpson
Clark (MA)	Larson (CT)	Smith (NJ)
Clarke (NY)	Lawler	Smith (WA)
Clyburn	Lee (FL)	Smucker
Cohen	Lee (NV)	Sorensen
Comer	Lee (PA)	Soto
Correa	Leger Fernandez	Spartz
Costa	Levin	Stanton
Mr. ROY.	Liccardo	Staubert
Mr. Speaker,	Lieu	Stevens
I would have	Lofgren	Strickland
voted YEA on	Luna	Subramanyam
Roll Call No.	Mackenzie	Suozi
71.	Malliotakis	Swalwell
Mr. SPARTZ.	Mannion	Sykes
Mr. Speaker,	Massie	Takano
had I been	Matsui	Thanedar
present, I	McBath	Thompson (CA)
would have	McBride	Thompson (MS)
voted YEA on	McCaul	Tiffany
Roll Call No.	McClain Delaney	Timmons
71.	McClellan	Tlaib
Mr. CARTER	McCollum	Tokuda
of Georgia.	McCormick	Tonko
Mr. Speaker,	McDonald Rivet	Torres (CA)
had I been	McGarvey	Torres (NY)
present, I	McGovern	Tran
would have	McIver	Underwood
voted YEA on	Menendez	Valadao
Roll Call No.	Meuser	Van Drew
71.	Mfume	Van Dуйne
Mr. TIMMONS.	Min	Van Epps
Mr. Speaker,	Moore (AL)	Vargas
I missed the	Moore (WI)	Vasquez
first vote of	Moran	Vindman
the first series	Morelle	Wagner
today. Had I	Morrison	Walkinshaw
been present,	Moskowitz	Wasserman
I would have	Mrvan	Schultz
voted YEA on	Mullin	Waters
Roll Call No.	Neal	Watson Coleman
71.	Neguse	Whitesides
Mr. GOMEZ.	Nehls	Wied
Mr. Speaker,	Norcross	Williams (GA)
I was not	Norman	Williams (TX)
recorded on	Nunn (IA)	Womack
roll call vote	Olszewski	
no. 71. Had I		
been present,		
I would have		
voted "YEA"		
on roll call		
vote no. 71.		

NAYS—133

Aderholt	Goldman (TX)	Messmer
Alford	Gosar	Miller (IL)
Allen	Graves	Miller (OH)
Amodei (NV)	Griffith	Miller (WV)
Bacon	Grothman	Miller-Meeks
Balderson	Guest	Mills
Baumgartner	Guthrie	Moolenaar
Bentz	Haridopolos	Moore (NC)
Bice	Harrigan	Moore (UT)
Biggs (AZ)	Harris (MD)	Moore (WV)
Biggs (SC)	Harshbarger	Murphy
Bilirakis	Hern (OK)	Newhouse
Boebert	Higgins (LA)	Oberholte
Calvert	Houchin	Patronis
Carey	Hudson	Perry
Cline	Huizenga	Pflugger
Cloud	Hurd (CO)	Rogers (AL)
Clyde	Issa	Rogers (KY)
Cole	Jackson (TX)	Rose
Collins	James	Rouzer
Crane	Johnson (LA)	Scalise
Crank	Johnson (SD)	Schweikert
Crawford	Jordan	Scott, Austin
Crenshaw	Joyce (PA)	Shreve
Davidson	Kean	Smith (MO)
DesJarlais	Kelly (MS)	Smith (NE)
Diaz-Balart	Kelly (PA)	Steil
Downing	Kennedy (UT)	Steube
Edwards	Kiggans (VA)	Strong
Emmer	Kiley (CA)	Stutzman
Ezell	Kustoff	Taylor
Fedorchak	LaHood	Tenney
Fedra	Latta	Thompson (PA)
Fine	Letlow	Turner (OH)
Finstad	Loudermilk	Van Orden
Fischbach	Lucas	Walberg
Fitzgerald	Luttrell	Weber (TX)
Fleischmann	Mace	Webster (FL)
Fletcher	Maloy	Westerman
Flood	Mann	Wilson (SC)
Fong	Mast	Wittman
Foxx	McClain	Yakym
Franklin, Scott	McClintock	Zinke
Fulcher	McDowell	
Gimenez	McGuire	

NOT VOTING—35

Auchincloss	Hunt	Ocasio-Cortez
Baird	Jackson (IL)	Ogles
Bell	Johnson (TX)	Reschenthaler
Brecheen	Keating	Schakowsky
Cammack	Latimer	Stansbury
Cleaver	Lynch	Stefanik
Conaway	Magaziner	Titus
Crockett	Meeks	Trahan
Dunn (FL)	Menefee	Veasey
Evans (PA)	Meng	Velázquez
Golden (ME)	Moulton	Wilson (FL)
Gonzales, Tony	Nadler	

□ 1404

So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Ms. STANSBURY. Mr. Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 72.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4626, DON'T MESS WITH MY HOME APPLIANCES ACT, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4758, HOMEOWNER ENERGY FREEDOM ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 1075) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4626) to amend the Energy Policy and Conservation Act to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing any new or amended energy conservation standard

ROTORCRAFT OPERATIONS TRANSPARENCY AND OVERSIGHT REFORM ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 2503), to require all aircraft to be equipped with Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast In, to improve aviation safety, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GRAVES) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.



Frost	Mannion	Ruiz
Garamendi	Matsui	Ryan
Garcia (CA)	McBath	Salinas
Garcia (IL)	McBride	Sánchez
Garcia (TX)	McClain Delaney	Scanlon
Gillen	McClellan	Schneider
Goldman (NY)	McCollum	Scholten
Gomez	McDonald Rivet	Schrier
Gonzalez, V.	McGarvey	Scott (VA)
Goodlander	McGovern	Scott, David
Gottheimer	McIver	Sewell
Gray	Menendez	Sherman
Green, Al (TX)	Mfume	Simon
Grijalva	Min	Smith (WA)
Harder (CA)	Moore (WI)	Sorensen
Hayes	Morelle	Soto
Himes	Morrison	Stansbury
Horsford	Moskowitz	Stanton
Houlahan	Mrvan	Stevens
Hoyer	Mullin	Strickland
Hoyle (OR)	Neal	Subramanyam
Huffman	Neguse	Swalwell
Ivey	Norcross	Sykes
Jacobs	Olzewski	Takano
Jayapal	Omar	Thanedar
Jeffries	Pallone	Thompson (CA)
Johnson (GA)	Panetta	Thompson (MS)
Kamllager-Dove	Pappas	Tlaib
Kaptur	Pelosi	Tokuda
Kelly (IL)	Perez	Tonko
Kennedy (NY)	Peters	Torres (NY)
Khanna	Pettersen	Tran
Krishnamoorthi	Pingree	Underwood
Landsman	Vargas	Pocan
Larsen (WA)	Pou	Vasquez
Larson (CT)	Pressley	Vindman
Lee (NV)	Quigley	Walkinshaw
Lee (PA)	Ramirez	Wasserman
Leger Fernandez	Randall	Schultz
Levin	Raskin	Waters
Liccardo	Riley (NY)	Watson Coleman
Lieu	Rivas	Whitesides
Lofgren	Ross	Williams (GA)

(1420)

**DON'T MESS WITH MY HOME APPLIANCES ACT**

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 1075, I call up the bill (H.R. 4626) to amend the Energy Policy and Conservation Act to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing any new or amended energy conservation standard for a product that is not technologically feasible and economically justified, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia). Pursuant to House Resolution 1075, in lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Energy and Commerce printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 119-20 is adopted, and the bill, as amended, is considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 4626

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

*This Act may be cited as the "Home Appliance Protection and Affordability Act".*

**SEC. 2. PRESCRIBING NEW OR AMENDED ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS.**

(a) AMENDMENT OF STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 325(m)(1) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(m)(1)) is amended to read as follows:

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may, for any product, publish a notice of proposed rulemaking including new proposed standards for such product based on the criteria established under subsection (o) and the procedures established under subsection (p)."

(2) AMENDMENT OF STANDARD.—Section 325(m)(3) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(m)(3)) is amended to read as follows:

"(3) AMENDMENT OF STANDARD.—Not later than 2 years after a notice is issued under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall publish a final rule amending the standard for the product."

(3) APPLICATION TO PRODUCTS.—Section 325(m)(4) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(m)(4)) is amended to read as follows:

"(4) APPLICATION TO PRODUCTS.—An amendment prescribed under this subsection shall apply to a product that is manufactured after the date that is 5 years after publication of the final rule establishing an applicable standard."

(b) PETITION FOR AMENDED STANDARD.—Section 325(n) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(n)) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking "AN AMENDED STANDARD" and inserting "AMENDMENT OR REVOCATION OF STANDARD";

(2) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or revoked" after "should be amended";

(3) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:

"(2) The Secretary shall grant a petition to determine if standards for a covered product should be amended or revoked if the Secretary finds that such petition contains evidence, assuming no other evidence were considered, that such standards—

"(A) result in additional costs to consumers;

"(B) do not result in significant conservation of energy or water;

"(C) are not technologically feasible; and  
 "(D) result in such covered product not being commercially available in the United States to all consumers.;"

(4) in paragraph (4)—  
 (A) by striking "NEW OR AMENDED STANDARDS." and inserting "NEW, AMENDED, OR REVOKED STANDARDS.;"

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively (and by conforming the margins accordingly);

(C) by striking "Not later than 3 years" and inserting the following:

"(A) Not later than 3 years"; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) Not later than 180 days after the date of granting a petition to revoke standards, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register—

"(i) a final rule revoking the standards; or

"(ii) a determination that it is not necessary to revoke the standards.

"(C) The grant of a petition by the Secretary under this subsection creates no presumption with respect to the Secretary's determination of any of the criteria in a rulemaking under this section.

"(D) Standards that have been revoked pursuant to subparagraph (B) shall be considered to be in effect for purposes of section 327.;" and

(5) in paragraph (5)(B), by striking "3 years (for refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers, room air conditioners, dishwashers, clothes washers, clothes dryers, fluorescent lamp ballasts, general service fluorescent lamps, incandescent reflector lamps, and kitchen ranges and ovens) or 5 years (for central air conditioners and heat pumps, water heaters, pool heaters, direct heating equipment and furnaces)" and inserting "5 years";

(c) CRITERIA.—Section 325(o) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(o)) is amended by amending paragraphs (2) and (3) to read as follows:

"(2) REQUIREMENTS.—

"(A) DESIGN.—Any new or amended energy conservation standard prescribed by the Secretary under this section for any type (or class) of covered product shall be designed to achieve the maximum improvement in, as applicable, energy efficiency or water efficiency, which the Secretary determines is technologically feasible and economically justified.

"(B) TEST PROCEDURES.—If the Secretary determines that a test procedure should be prescribed or amended in accordance with section 323 for a type (or class) of covered product, the Secretary may not prescribe a new or amended energy conservation standard under this section for such type (or class) of covered product unless the Secretary has prescribed or amended (and published in the Federal Register) a test procedure for such type (or class) of covered product at least 180 days before publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to the new or amended energy conservation standard.

"(C) SIGNIFICANT CONSERVATION.—The Secretary may not prescribe a new or amended energy conservation standard under this section for a type (or class) of covered product if the Secretary determines that the establishment and imposition of such energy conservation standard will not result in significant conservation of, as applicable, energy or water.

"(D) TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND ECONOMICALLY JUSTIFIED.—The Secretary may not prescribe a new or amended energy conservation standard under this section for a type (or class) of covered product unless the Secretary determines that the establishment and imposition of such energy conservation standard is technologically feasible and economically justified.

"(E) DISCLOSURE.—The Secretary may not prescribe a new or amended energy conservation standard under this section for a type (or class) of covered product unless the Secretary, not later than the date on which the standard is prescribed, publicly discloses each meeting held by the Secretary, during the 5-year period preceding such date, with any entity that—

**NOT VOTING—37**

Arrington	Hunt	Ogles
Auchincloss	Jackson (IL)	Reschenthaler
Bell	Johnson (TX)	Schakowsky
Brecheen	Keating	Stefanik
Burlinson	Latimer	Suozi
Cleaver	Lynch	Titus
Conaway	Magaziner	Torres (CA)
Crockett	Meeks	Trahan
Dunn (FL)	Menefee	Veasey
Emmer	Meng	Velazquez
Evans (PA)	Moulton	Wilson (FL)
Golden (ME)	Nadler	
Gonzales, Tony	Ocasio-Cortez	

1418

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

**PERSONAL EXPLANATION**

Mrs. TRAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to attend the first vote series today due to a delayed flight into Washington, D.C. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 71, YEA on Roll Call No. 72, NAY on Roll Call No. 73, and NAY on Roll Call No. 74.

**PERSONAL EXPLANATION**

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Speaker, I missed votes today due to being sick. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 71, YEA on Roll Call No. 72, YEA on Roll Call No. 73, and YEA on Roll Call No. 74.

**PERSONAL EXPLANATION**

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Speaker, I was absent from the floor and missed Roll Call Nos. 71 through 74. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 71, H.R. 6329, YEA on Roll Call No. 72, S. 2503, NAY on Roll Call No. 73, the previous question on H. Res. 1075, and NAY on Roll Call No. 74, adoption of H. Res. 1075.