



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 119th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 172

WASHINGTON, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2026

No. 24

House of Representatives

The House met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. EZELL).

DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
February 3, 2026.

I hereby appoint the Honorable MIKE EZELL to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Margaret Grun Kibben, offered the following prayer:

God of all who have gone before us, and our God, we bow before You this day in gratitude for those who, by sacrificing their lives in defense of freedom, have shown us how the selflessness of unity rises above the self-preservation and fear we are prone to.

On this day, we honor the Four Chaplains—Reverend Clark Poling, Rabbi Alexander Goode, Father John Washington, and Reverend George Fox—who served aboard the USAT *Dorchester* when she was torpedoed on February 3, 1943. These men, whose call to ministry sent them out upon the sea, saw the works of Your hand, O Lord, Your wonderful works in the deep. At Your word, they witnessed tempests stirred which lifted high the waves, mounted to the heavens, and descended to the depths.

You, O Lord, were present with those men of faith on that fateful day, and You heard their cries when they called in their trouble.

Your spirit, hovering over those dark waters, emboldened those Four Chaplains to pray, to sing hymns, and to encourage the crew not to lose hope. As these men sacrificed themselves,

passed life jackets, and guided others to safety, they gave up their own chance of survival so that others would live.

Lord, in doing their duty, they have shown us that it is ultimately You who will guide us to our desired haven. In the assembly of those who share in faith, even across different traditions, when we join hands and pray, though the world be threatened by crashing waves and perilous seas, we need not fear.

Our Father, You who are in heaven, grant us the kingdom, the power, and the glory that reveal Your unflinching love. Unto You then, as those chaplains did long ago, we entrust our lives to Your care.

Now may we do our duty and may our strength and courage prove adequate in Your sight.

In Your eternal name we pray.
Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House the approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1 of rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JACK) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. JACK led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 7148, CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2026; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 142, DISAPPROVING THE ACTION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COUNCIL IN APPROVING THE D.C. INCOME AND FRANCHISE TAX CONFORMITY AND REVISION TEMPORARY AMENDMENT ACT OF 2025; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4090, CRITICAL MINERAL DOMINANCE ACT

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1032 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1032

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 7148) making further consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes, with the Senate amendments thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a single motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendments. The Senate amendments and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion or demand for division of the question.

SEC. 2. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 142) disapproving the action of the District of Columbia Council in approving the D.C. Income and Franchise Tax Conformity and Revision Temporary Amendment Act of 2025. All points of order against consideration of the joint resolution are waived. The joint resolution shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the joint resolution are

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H1951

waived. The joint resolution shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform or their respective designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the joint resolution to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit (if otherwise in order).

SEC. 3. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 4090) to codify certain provisions of certain Executive Orders relating to domestic mining and hardrock mineral resources, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, last night, the Committee on Rules met and granted, by a recorded vote of 8-4, a rule providing for consideration of three measures.

First, the rule makes in order to a motion offered by the chairman of the Committee on Appropriations that the House concur in the Senate amendments to H.R. 7148, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026. The rule provides 1 hour of debate on the motion equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees.

The rule further provides for consideration of H.J. Res. 142 under a closed rule. The rule provides 1 hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform or their respective designees.

Finally, the rule provides for consideration of H.R. 4090, the Critical Mineral Dominance Act, under a closed rule. The rule provides 1 hour of general debate equally divided and con-

trolled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources or their respective designees and provides one motion to recommit.

This rule advances a motion to concur in the Senate amendments to H.R. 7148, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026. Specifically, the Senate amendments to H.R. 7148 strike the Homeland Security Appropriations division, replacing it with a CR through February 13, 2026, and make other conforming changes to the text of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, by concurring in the Senate amendments to H.R. 7148, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026, we will end the Democrats' second government shutdown and fully fund the following departments and agencies for the remainder of fiscal year 2026:

President Trump's Department of Defense, President Trump's Department of Labor, President Trump's Department of Health and Human Services, President Trump's Department of Education, President Trump's Department of Transportation, President Trump's Department of Housing and Urban Development, President Trump's Department of State, President Trump's Department of the Treasury, and many agencies related to each of these departments.

Simply put, a "yes" vote on House Resolution 1032 enables us to consider legislation that pays our soldiers in the United States Army, providing \$54.5 billion in military personnel funding to support Active-Duty soldiers, 172,000 reservists, and 328,000 National Guard members.

A "yes" vote enables us to consider legislation that pays our sailors in the United States Navy, delivering \$40.5 billion to support 344,600 Active-Duty sailors and 57,500 reservists who keep our sea-lanes open and our country secure.

A "yes" vote enables us to consider legislation that pays our marines in the United States Marine Corps, investing nearly \$17 billion to support 172,300 Active-Duty marines and 33,600 reservists sustaining the readiness of our most forward-deployed force.

A "yes" vote enables us to consider legislation that pays our airmen in the United States Air Force, providing \$38.7 billion to support 321,500 Active-Duty airmen, 67,500 reservists, and 160,300 guardsmen defending our skies.

□ 1010

A "yes" vote enables us to consider legislation that pays our guardians in the United States Space Force, investing \$1.49 billion to support 10,400 Active-Duty guardians, a growing force critical to modern national security.

A "yes" vote enables us to consider legislation that gives the brave men and women who are defending our country a well-deserved pay raise of 3.8 percent to ensure their compensation reflects the value of their service and sacrifice.

A "yes" vote on House Resolution 1032 enables us to consider legislation

that funds the Department of Labor's Veterans' Employment and Training Service, otherwise known as VETS, a program that serves America's veterans and separating servicemembers by preparing them for meaningful careers, providing employment resources and expertise, and protecting their employment rights.

A "yes" vote on House Resolution 1032 enables us to consider legislation that funds the Department of the Treasury's Office of Terrorism and Financial Intelligence, a critical component of our national security that disrupts and disables terrorists, criminals, and other direct threats to American citizens, and protects our country's financial systems from abuse by illicit actors.

A "yes" vote on House Resolution 1032 also enables us to consider legislation that funds the Department of Transportation's Federal Aviation Administration, the FAA, and our country's air traffic controllers, who keep our skies safe.

Given my district's proximity to Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, I proudly represent more air traffic controllers than any other Member of Congress. During the Democrats' previous shutdown, our Democratic colleagues used our air traffic controllers and their families as political pawns, forcing them to go without pay for 43 days.

Mr. Speaker, I hope the Democrats do not subject our country to yet another long government shutdown, but only time will tell, as we will vote on House Resolution 1032 within the hour.

Mr. Speaker, the rule before us also provides consideration for H.J. Res. 142, a joint resolution disapproving the action of the District of Columbia Council in approving the D.C. Income and Franchise Tax Conformity and Revision Temporary Amendment Act of 2025.

On December 20, 2025, the District of Columbia Council enacted, without the Mayor's support, legislation to decouple areas from the Federal tax code and deny District residents and businesses the local tax conformity impacts of several tax benefit provisions of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Simply put, the District of Columbia Council doesn't want its citizens to benefit from the largest working families tax cut in American history.

Specifically, the District of Columbia Council wants to deny its citizens the ability to enjoy the increased standard deduction. The District of Columbia Council wants to deny its citizens the ability to enjoy no taxes on tips. The District of Columbia Council apparently wants to deny its citizens the ability to enjoy no tax on overtime. The District of Columbia Council apparently wants to deny its citizens the ability to enjoy no tax on auto loans. Finally, the District of Columbia Council wants to deny its citizens the ability to enjoy additional deductions during tax season.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask my Democratic colleagues why the District of Columbia took these actions, but I think we already know the answer. It is politics because this happened following the various tax cut packages during President Bush's administration. This happened yet again after Congress passed the Trump Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in 2017. When a Republican President enacts tax cut legislation, the District of Columbia Council swiftly seems to act to deny its citizens the benefits.

I applaud my colleague, Representative BRANDON GILL, for introducing this legislation. Representative GILL is a rising star in our Conference, our freshman class president, and a very good friend.

Mr. Speaker, the rule further provides for consideration of H.R. 4090, the Critical Mineral Dominance Act, legislation that codifies certain provisions of three executive orders by President Trump relating to domestic mining and hardrock mineral resources.

During our last election, voters across our country overwhelmingly voted to unleash America's energy potential, and this legislation fulfills that mandate.

This legislation requires the Department of the Interior to annually report on the dollar value of the overall economic impact of each mineral commodity for which our country is import-reliant.

This legislation requires the Department of the Interior, in consultation with the Department of Agriculture, to identify priority mining projects on Federal lands that can be immediately approved and expedited for completion.

This legislation directs the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture to identify all Federal lands in their broad jurisdictions that may be suitable for hardrock mineral exploration, development, and production.

Finally, this legislation targets legal and regulatory bottlenecks that impede domestic mining by directing the Department of the Interior to revise or repeal rules and regulations that hinder mining projects.

Rolling back burdensome regulations is what Americans want and is precisely what this legislation delivers.

I commend my colleague, Representative PETE STAUBER, for introducing this legislation. Representative STAUBER is a natural leader of the Committee on Natural Resources who continues to swiftly deliver for his constituents and all Americans.

Mr. Speaker, passage of this rule enables our House of Representatives to debate and consider legislation that ends Democrats' second Federal Government shutdown, unleashes America's energy potential, and lowers taxes for working families, seniors, and small businesses within our Nation's Capital.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of our colleagues to vote "yes" on House Resolution 1032, as it allows for our House of

Representatives to govern, just as the American people deserve.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Georgia for yielding me the customary 30 minutes and for his riveting presentation, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, before I say a word about the rule or the bills in front of us, this is the first full day that we have convened since two Federal officers shot and killed another American citizen in Minneapolis, Alex Pretti, an ICU nurse who took care of our Nation's veterans.

Alex was on a public street. He was exercising his First Amendment rights while filming Federal agents. He was exercising his Second Amendment rights as a law-abiding, concealed-carry gun owner. He was doing what any decent person would do: helping a woman off the ground after she had been shoved down by a Federal officer. For that, he was punished with death, shot by his own government.

This wasn't an isolated tragedy, Mr. Speaker. It was the second public killing of an innocent American in Minneapolis tied to Homeland Security. Renee Good, a mother of three, was shot by ICE on January 7, mere weeks before the murder of Alex Pretti.

Mr. Speaker, as co-chair of the Tom Lantos Human Rights Commission, named after our former colleague, the only Holocaust survivor to serve in the United States Congress, I have spent years documenting and standing up to human rights abuses carried out by authoritarian regimes against their own people.

Let me say this plainly: A functioning democracy does not execute people in the street. That is the kind of thing you see in North Korea, Mr. Speaker, or Iran; not in the United States of America.

It is beyond the pale. In my view, this administration and those at the Department of Homeland Security, in particular, should not get another cent until there are some serious actions taken. I personally believe we should abolish ICE and start all over. At a minimum, there needs to be some serious, systemic reforms made, which brings us to today's rule debate.

Over the weekend, the Senate acted on the government funding bills House Republicans sent them 2 weeks ago, except for a short-term CR that continues DHS funding at the current levels for the next 1½ weeks.

I want to be crystal clear about where I stand: I am voting "no". I will not stand by and give any more money to Stephen Miller and Kristi Noem to bankroll an out-of-control operation that is terrorizing communities and shredding the Constitution.

We are watching masked, armed agents force their way into homes without judicial warrants. We are seeing blatant violations of the Fourth

Amendment. We are witnessing agencies act with impunity. We are hearing about kids getting kidnapped on their way to school and grandparents dragged from their homes. We are listening as government officials smear dead Americans as domestic terrorists instead of answering basic questions about what happened.

□ 1020

Mr. Speaker, I am not interested in business as usual, not for 2 more weeks, not for 2 more seconds.

A Federal judge recently described ICE's conduct in January as an extraordinary pattern of defiance of court orders. They said ICE "has likely violated more court orders in January 2026 than some Federal agencies have violated in their entire existence." That should give every single Member of this House pause. It is certainly my red line, and I think it ought to be a red line for this whole body.

Mr. Speaker, it is not just DHS funding. This package also gives a massive increase in funding to the Pentagon. Why are we writing a blank check for the so-called Department of War while this administration threatens allies, breaks the law, and treats Congress like an afterthought? Why are we giving Pete Hegseth more money than the administration even asked for while we get fewer answers, fewer guardrails, and fewer constraints on reckless action?

On top of all of this, Republicans have jammed in a measure to repeal D.C.'s new tax law. Let me just tell my colleagues, this would blow a \$600 million hole in D.C.'s budget, over half a billion dollars gone because Republicans in Congress want to meddle in local governance when they can't even manage a budget of their own.

I mean, they just added \$4 trillion to the national debt in their one big, ugly bill. Let that sink in. I mean, it is ridiculous. The Mayor and the chairman of the D.C. Council warned that this would throw a huge wrench into D.C.'s current tax filing season and force people to refile their taxes. That is insane.

If this bill becomes law, they would be ripping away D.C.'s new child tax credit, money that would go directly to families to help them put food on the table and reduce childhood poverty. Republicans talk a big game about family values, and then they turn around and take money out of kids' pockets. It is shameful. By the way—you can't make this stuff up—this measure had no hearing and no markup. I say, so much for regular order.

When I asked the Oversight Committee chairman yesterday basic questions about D.C.'s budget, he had no answers. He had no idea how big D.C.'s budget is or how much D.C. spends on the Metro, schools, or infrastructure improvements. He had no idea how much money D.C. raises in local taxes and how much of a budget shortfall their outrageous bill would cause. These are the most basic questions

that you could possibly ask about whether to overturn D.C.'s tax law, and he couldn't answer them. He had no clue.

Let's be honest about what this is. It is powerful people in Washington telling local communities: Sit down and shut up. We know better. Then they leave working people to clean up the mess.

The final bill in this rule is another massive giveaway to mining CEOs and polluters that lets them gut their own regulations. It is the same pattern. It is the same pattern every single time: more power for the strong, more pain for the vulnerable, more protection for insiders, and more excuses for lawlessness. Enough is enough.

Mr. Speaker, I am voting "no" on this rule, "no" on this underlying package, and "no" on all these bills. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I enjoyed the passionate speech from the gentleman from Massachusetts, but I wonder aloud if the gentleman has such passion for some of the criminals who our Federal law enforcement have apprehended in Minnesota during their most recent operations.

For instance, our Federal law enforcement arrested a criminal illegal alien from Somalia with a record of multiple counts of credit card fraud, drug possession, controlled substance possession, and drug trafficking. By the way, this criminal was issued a final order of removal in 2022. Of course, that was never enacted under the previous administration. I wonder if the gentleman has as much passion about that illegal alien who has committed many, many crimes.

A criminal alien from Laos was also apprehended, with three prior convictions for selling drugs, as well as convictions for assault and contributing to a minor's delinquency. The criminal was issued a final order of removal in 2009, but here we are.

Likewise, law enforcement in Minnesota arrested a criminal illegal alien from Mexico previously arrested for child cruelty and battery. I wonder if the gentleman stands with that criminal illegal alien as well. We will surely see in further debate.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX), the distinguished Rules Committee chairwoman.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague from Georgia for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, yesterday in the Rules Committee, I offered my sentiments as to why we must pass all the underlying measures that are being considered under this rule. For our rule debate here today, I will touch upon the appropriations measure that is under consideration.

To make the record crystal clear, not once did the people's House court a government shutdown during the appropriations process. The various subcommittees of the Appropriations

Committee did their jobs. They worked collaboratively to deliver to the full House their recommendations.

As Chairman COLE said more than once in the Rules Committee, these appropriators knew their subject matter better than anyone else and presented to the rest of us their best effort.

Then the House did its job. We passed all 12 appropriations bills and sent them to the Senate, which had negotiated with their House counterparts and agreed to take what had been produced in the House. In doing so, we plowed a path toward regular order that was sorely overdue.

Unfortunately, the Senate reneged on the agreement. The Senate did not pass what it had agreed to pass and, instead, made a change after the House completed its work. That delay on the part of the Senate caused the government to shut down.

Now, what the Senate should have done was approve the six-bill appropriations package that was negotiated in good faith. This process should have been over and done with by now. We don't have time to be playing games, Mr. Speaker.

Now, I wholeheartedly echo the sentiment offered by Chairman COLE that doing the right thing for the American people is something that does not get old.

Congress holds an explicit mandate that is outlined in our Article I responsibilities to appropriate funding for the missions of departments across the government. When we fail to uphold that mandate, we fail to serve the American people. We fail to do right by them.

We must bring the appropriations process to a close. We must move forward and complete more work in service to the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I support the rule and the underlying measures, and I encourage my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to respond to the gentleman from Georgia, who comes down here with the same old, tired MAGA talking points when it comes to ICE and Homeland Security.

He comes down here to confuse people, to somehow imply that Democrats don't want to lock up violent criminals. On the contrary, we do. That is what we were promised that the worst of the worst would be pursued and would be held accountable. However, that is not what is happening. That is not what is happening.

In Minnesota, ICE agents have been intimidating people in hospitals, forcing hospital management to issue protocols for employees on how to best engage. Our doctors and nurses ought to be focused on tending to patients and not dealing with ICE agents. It is despicable. I mean, agents showed up to a Minnesota hospital with a man who immigrated here legally. Just 4 hours after his arrest, he had at least eight skull fractures in addition to life-threatening brain hemorrhages.

When people have exercised their First Amendment rights to protest, ICE meets them with only more abuse, beating peaceful protesters and dousing them in pepper spray.

Mr. Speaker, we have all heard by now the horrifying story of Alex Pretti, an innocent American citizen, an intensive care nurse who was brutally murdered by Federal agents. This wasn't an isolated incident. The administration has shot 13 people during immigration enforcement operations since September.

I am going to say that again, 13 people have been shot by the Department of Homeland Security. Four of those shootings were fatal. Those are four people who would be with us today if not for the insane administration's cruel oppression of our communities. I mean, ICE agents are not protecting our borders. They are ambushing our communities, decimating our families, and taking innocent lives.

□ 1030

Mr. Speaker, Republicans nearly tripped ICE's budget last summer with their big, ugly bill; and all that money ought to be clawed back. As far as the appropriations package before us, again, I refuse to send another cent to Stephen Miller or Kristi Noem. She ought to be impeached, and he ought to be fired immediately. They are undermining our Constitution, and the Department that they run is murdering American citizens on the street.

We are hearing about young children being kidnapped. We are hearing about off-duty police officers being detained by ICE. We are hearing about ICE going after people because of the color of their skin. That is what we object to. Let's go after the criminals.

By the way, we are not the party that pardoned all the insurrectionists on January 6 and said that the slate is clean. By the way, those people go on to murder innocent people, to rape women, and molest children.

There is a difference here. We want to go after the violent criminals, those who have committed terrible crimes. That is what we were promised, and we were lied to. We have had it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, it sure seems like the gentleman from Massachusetts is using a whole set of leftwing talking points himself, but I am curious and, rather, we should probably check the transcripts. I do appreciate the gentleman from Massachusetts for applauding Federal law enforcement's efforts to apprehend and prosecute and subject to our system of justice some of the worst criminals in our country. I do appreciate that sentiment.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE), who I may add is a champion debater, so I wish my colleagues on the other side of the aisle best wishes.

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend Representative JACK for asking me to do that. I think he has raised the expectations here, so we will see what I can do.

Mr. Speaker, I have been in Congress now for 10 months, and this is the second time the government has been shut down. What is similar in them both is the reason because Democrats have put the priorities of illegal immigrants over the priorities of the people that we swore an oath to protect; that is, the American citizens.

A few months ago, the shutdown was to try to give illegal immigrants free healthcare, and now the shutdown is simply over whether they should go home. What we are dealing with is a fetishization of illegal immigrants that I simply can't understand. Sometimes I think it is delusion, that they live in a world of sunshine and rainbows and pixie dust and unicorns where anyone who wants should be able to come to the United States; that everyone is good; that no one causes a problem; that they can get all the free stuff they want; that they can vote in our elections; and everything will work out just fine. That is not how it works.

We hear a lot about the two Americans who were killed. It is unfortunate that that happened. What I would note is, if they had been staying away from law enforcement while law enforcement was doing their job—which is to round up and send home people who are in our country illegally, who have been told to leave and refuse to do so—nothing would have happened to them.

Do you know who they don't talk about? They don't talk about the estimated 500 Americans that are killed each year by illegal immigrants. Why do we not know their names? What about their families? That is 500 families whose lives are destroyed, 500 spouses and mothers and fathers and children who are dead because of someone who should not have even been here. That is who I swore an oath to protect.

Mr. Speaker, let's make no mistake what this is about. Democrats do not care about illegal immigrants. They don't care at all. What this is about is political power. That is what this is about because the States that they run, real Americans, actual Americans, people who should be able to vote in our country, are fleeing in droves. U-Haul business is going through the roof in places like New York and California, and they are moving to my State of Florida.

As Americans leave these horribly run States that do not cooperate with law enforcement, where they release these criminals out on to the streets, the only way they can retain power is to import illegal immigrants to try to hold on to their seats in this House, to try to hold on to their Federal funding, and to try to hold on to their elections. That is what this debate is about.

I believe that we need to move forward today because all this vote is

right now is a vote on whether to discuss and vote on whether to reopen the government.

I will be honest. There are things in the underlying appropriations bills that make my stomach churn, but I believe we have an obligation to the American people to have that debate and to have that vote. To vote against the rule means you do not believe the American people should even have the possibility of having the government reopened again.

I came here to fight to make sure there are not another 500 families next year and 500 the year after and 500 the year after. We need to get the government reopened. We need to get our folks back to work, and we need to be focused not on illegal immigrants, not on people who shouldn't be here, but those who should and have the right to be and have the right to be safe. That is why I will be voting for the rule today, and I hope all of my colleagues do, as well.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the Florida man who just spoke characterized the murder of two Americans by ICE agents as unfortunate. It is not unfortunate. It is goddamned unacceptable, and everybody should be outraged by that.

He also bragged about the fact that he has been in Congress for 10 months, and the government has been shut down twice. I hate to be the one to remind the gentleman, but the last time I checked, his party is in control of everything: the White House, the Senate, and the House. You run the show. Maybe November will be a month of reckoning. Let's hope so for the sake of this country.

Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to make in order amendment No. 47 to the Senate amendments to H.R. 7148, which would allow for an up-or-down vote on whether to cut the \$75 billion Republicans gave ICE in their big, ugly bill and use those funds to undo some of the big, ugly bill's disastrous healthcare cuts.

Mr. Speaker, last year, Republicans voted to kick more than 15 million people off their healthcare—15 million Americans—through an over \$1 trillion cut to Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act.

Republicans claimed that this was for deficit reduction, but we know that wasn't true. That was a lie. According to the nonpartisan budget experts at the Congressional Budget Office, their big, ugly bill added \$4 trillion to the national debt, in part, by giving ICE boatloads of cash to run roughshod through American cities with zero safeguards. This must end.

If Democrats defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to repurpose these ICE funds toward lowering healthcare costs, which Republican Senators LISA MURKOWSKI and SUSAN COLLINS voted for just last week. I challenge my Republican colleagues in this body to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD, along with any extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, to discuss our proposal, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. AMO), the sponsor of this amendment.

Mr. AMO. Mr. Speaker, Republicans are trying yet again to write a blank check for ICE while American families struggle to afford the basics.

If we defeat the previous question, we can claw back the \$75 billion in the ICE slush fund that Trump and Republicans established with their big, ugly law and redirect that money to where it belongs: lowering healthcare costs.

In that same bill, Republicans cut more than \$1 trillion from our healthcare system, jeopardizing millions of Americans. Reclaiming this \$75 billion from ICE would prevent 700,000 Americans from losing their health insurance. That is 700,000 Americans protected. That is 700,000 Americans being spared from choosing between seeing a doctor or paying rent or paying for their groceries. This is a simple question of our values.

Taxpayer dollars should be used to care for people, not to fund masked agents terrorizing our communities, spreading panic, making us less safe, and killing people.

Instead of lowering costs, Republicans poured billions into DHS, an agency spreading chaos and making choices with deadly consequences, all while ignoring the needs of working families. That tells you what their priorities are.

□ 1040

Mr. Speaker, everyone in this Chamber has a chance to decide today: Do we want to lower costs and protect 700,000 Americans receiving Medicaid or fund Trump's domestic mass army of terror? It is that simple.

Here is the kicker. This effort was bipartisan in the Senate when Senator SANDERS introduced it. Democrats and Republicans agreed that we should cut the ICE slush fund to protect Medicaid. It should be bipartisan here, too.

I hope Republicans make the right choice, but my expectations are pretty low. I hope the American people are listening. They are most certainly watching on their screens every night.

Mr. Speaker, this vote is about using taxpayer dollars to lower costs for Americans over fueling the fear that persists in our cities. It is about caring for our neighbors when they are sick over sending masked agents to terrorize our communities. It really is that simple.

Choose care over cruelty. I urge a "no" vote.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I hope, in the spirit of respectful discourse, the

gentleman from Massachusetts maintains standards of decorum on the floor and no longer takes the Lord's name in vain.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE), since my colleague did invoke the gentleman from Florida with respect to procedure and as it relates to our ability to actually run the show, to use his words.

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, I would just point out that we don't run the show. I wish we did. Perhaps the gentleman from Massachusetts wants to work with me to encourage the Senate to get rid of the filibuster because we have to tell the truth in this process.

If we could pass this budget with 51 votes, and we didn't, then that point would be valid and that criticism would be just. We don't have 60 votes in the Senate. We are relying on Democrats to join us in order to get it over the finish line.

A deal was cut, apparently, where we made a deal that we said we will pass all of these bills as one package. Republicans voted for things they didn't like because, allegedly, Democrats in the Senate would go along at the end. As sometimes happens, people don't keep their word.

That package that was negotiated, that was agreed upon, and that was a compromise between all parties failed to pass. What we must agree upon, what we must say, and what is absolutely true is that we don't control the show in the Senate.

The last thing I would say is this. It was brought up about how I spoke about those tragedies in Minnesota, and I did, but we need to be careful. When we talk about people being murdered in Minnesota because they were lawfully carrying a gun, using their Second Amendment rights, we are belying decades of concealed-carry classes that tell people who are carrying guns how they should behave around law enforcement.

Now, I know Democrats generally hate guns, and probably none of them carry concealed. As someone who does and who has many friends who do, we are told not to physically confront law enforcement when we are carrying that gun, which is exactly what happened here.

Do I wish he hadn't died? I absolutely do. We have to be careful because we don't want more of that to happen. When we say he did nothing wrong, we are setting the stage for more of that to happen. These words matter.

We have to tell the truth, whether it is the deaths of Pretti and Good or whether Republicans actually control the show in the Senate.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am confused. I mean, the gentleman wants to say that the Republicans are not in control, even though they control the White House, the House, and the Senate. Yet, the Republicans were in control to throw 15

million people off of healthcare. Republicans were in control to add \$4 trillion to the debt. The Republicans were in control to cut Medicaid by \$1 trillion. The Republicans were in control to give ICE unlimited amounts of money with no guardrails. Now, they are telling us they are not in control? I am confused.

Let me just say this. My colleagues across the aisle can't have it both ways. Again, I hope that the Republican control of this House and of this Senate is short-lived because, quite frankly, this country cannot stand another 2 years of this, where if a person is rich and well-connected and a friend of the President, they get all kinds of sweetheart deals. If they are not, if they are a hardworking, middle-class family, they get screwed. People have had it. They see through this.

To say that my colleagues are not in control when they have been cutting programs that have helped poor children, that have helped middle-class families, when they have given tax breaks to multimillionaires and billionaires, give me a break.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. THOMPSON), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Homeland Security.

Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, Congress must not give ICE or CBP another dollar while they are killing Americans in our streets. We must protect our communities by demanding real change first. This is what our constituents elected us to do.

As a starting point, the Federal occupation of Minneapolis must end immediately. ICE must adhere to the Constitution and use only judicial warrants for its enforcement operations. Instead, just last week, ICE directed its officers to conduct more arrests without any warrants at all.

DHS law enforcement officers must be clearly identifiable and prohibited from hiding behind masks. Racial profiling in immigration enforcement must stop. Sensitive locations like schools, daycares, hospitals, and churches must be protected.

We must rein in the sweeping surveillance system DHS is using to crack down on Americans. CBP personnel must also go back to the border. The Federal Government must cooperate with State and local investigators in investigations, including on the murders of Renee Good and Alex Pretti.

Mr. Speaker, I have been an elected official, as you have, in the State of Mississippi. Never have I seen an opportunity where Federal, State, and local law enforcement didn't cooperate together on finding criminals. ICE has taken this to another level, and we are a better country than this.

Kristi Noem must go. She has endangered the security of the United States, its people, and our institution of government.

Mr. Speaker, again, vote "no" on the rule and the underlying bill so we can hold the Trump administration accountable.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, it is important to remember that we stand in the midst of a second government shutdown. I just have a question for the gentlemen from Massachusetts and Mississippi.

Because we are in a shutdown today and this is a vote that will help us end this shutdown later this afternoon, I am curious: For how long are they prepared to block our military members from receiving not just their salary but also the hard-earned pay raise? For how long are they willing to withhold the dues that are owed to the people who protect us?

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thought the gentleman was asking me a question and that we would have a conversation. I will tell him that we don't want a shutdown. We want them to reel in an out-of-control ICE agency that is killing American citizens right before our very eyes. That is what we want.

I don't understand for the life of me why that is such a heavy lift from my Republican friends, but that is what we are asking for. We don't want to shut the government down. That is not who we are.

By the way, again, Republicans are responsible for the last shutdown. They went on vacation rather than stay in Washington to try to negotiate a deal.

Here we are. All we are asking for is let's reel in this out-of-control agency, and my friends find that too difficult to comprehend.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. LOIS FRANKEL).

Ms. LOIS FRANKEL of Florida. Mr. Speaker, let me be clear. I support the five bills that received bipartisan support, but I cannot vote for a package that includes funding for the Department of Homeland Security without immediate action to stop the ongoing violation of human rights and law by ICE. They have turned into thugs, and it has turned our country, America, into a place that many of us don't recognize.

Donald Trump promised to take violent criminals off the streets. Instead, his immigration enforcement has become a campaign of cruelty against peaceful people, tearing families apart, hollowing out businesses, and paralyzing neighborhoods with pepper spray and fear.

□ 1050

Mr. Speaker, the crisis is national in scope. It is not just the headlines of the cold-blooded murders in Minnesota.

The Department of Homeland Security has detained hundreds of thousands of decent people this year, often

in unspeakable conditions and without a fair process. That includes over 10,000 people in my home State of Florida, including a mother torn away from her child while nursing her baby. These people are largely good, decent mothers and fathers who are workers contributing to our communities.

We do need to take the time for bipartisan immigration reform, but today—today—we must rein in ICE. That includes ending masked enforcement with roving patrols, requiring judicial warrants, mandating body cameras, ending total immunity, and repurposing the billions of dollars that are being used to menace our communities.

Security does not require brutality. Enforcement does not require lawlessness, and our immigration policy should reflect our values, not force us to abandon them. We can secure our borders without terrorizing our neighbors, and I will not support funding that enables cruelty.

Congress must act now to impeach Kristi Noem and rein in ICE.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, again, I think it is important to note that the reason why we are here today is because Senate Democrats, along with some Senate Republicans, advanced legislation that enables us to fund the government.

I do find it interesting that our colleagues in the House here are so adamantly opposed to funding our government when 20 of their colleagues in the Senate, in their own party, not only support giving our troops a pay raise but support paying and funding our government, as it rightfully should be, because of all of the wins that President Trump has accomplished thus far.

Mr. Speaker, I am curious. With respect to this vote today, I hear commentary over and over again on ICE and operations therein, but no one has addressed the fact that we are voting today to pay our servicemembers. It is a very simple vote. You can either vote “yes” to pay them or “no” to withhold payment and funds to them. I am just waiting for somebody to answer why that seems to be a bargaining chip that some of our colleagues on the Democratic side of the aisle continue to deploy at the expense of our servicemembers.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, unfortunately, under the Republican rule, we don't have regular order anymore. We should be debating appropriations bills one at a time. They bunch everything together.

Mr. Speaker, not only do they bunch things together, but they don't even call for amendments anymore. This is the most closed Congress in history. The Rules Committee and this House of Representatives has become a place where democracy goes to die. My friends should be ashamed of what they are doing to this institution.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Mrs. FLETCHER).

Mrs. FLETCHER. Mr. Speaker, in the nearly 2 weeks since the House last met, people across America witnessed the execution of another American citizen, Alex Pretti, by agents of the United States Government, Border Patrol agents operating far from the border in Minneapolis.

People across the country watched in horror as Federal agents executed him and then immediately tried to assassinate his character, lying about who he is and what he was doing.

The American people see through these lies, just as they see that this administration is taking action every single day against its own people that are unconstitutional, un-American, and unconscionable. People are talking about it everywhere. In our House Democratic Caucus, we are talking about what we can do about it.

The only place where we are not talking about it is here in this Chamber on the floor of the United States House of Representatives.

As Mr. MCGOVERN just noted, once again, the Republicans, who control what comes to the floor, blocked any amendments to the bill, stopping not just the policy proposed but the policy debate about the potential solutions and the problems that they address altogether. Now they say we will address them in 2 weeks.

Mr. Speaker, we don't need 2 weeks. We don't have 2 weeks when Americans are being killed, detained, harassed, and silenced by their government. There should be no higher priority for the U.S. House of Representatives and for the entire Congress than protecting the people who we represent and protecting the Constitution that gives us all of our rights. It is being violated daily by this administration and by officials who have sworn an oath to protect it, just as we have, as Members of Congress.

Mr. Speaker, we are not doing that here today. That is why I am voting “no” on this rule, and I urge my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, our Democratic colleagues have tried to distract from the historic achievements reached in 2025 by mischaracterizing the work of the House Committee on Rules with weaponized statistics taken out of context.

I will remind my colleagues that barely over one-third, or 37 percent, of rules this Congress have been closed at the discretion of the Committee on Rules. That means that for the vast majority of closed rules, there were not any amendments that could even be made in order.

My friends across the aisle, particularly my friend from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN), know all too well the often Herculean tasks required of the Rules Committee and the difficult dis-

cussions when deliberating amendment decisions. The Rules majority believes in meaningful lawmaking, not partisan messaging exercises. We do not need to come up with partisan messaging amendments at the last minute in order to score political points or manufacture floor fights but, instead, focus on advancing thoughtful, substantive legislation like the measures before us today through a fair and transparent process.

Mr. Speaker, under my Democratic colleague's stewardship of the Committee on Rules—who mentioned abolishing tradition or what have you—I think it is helpful to note that under his leadership of the Committee on Rules, they abolished the centuries-old tradition of the motion to recommit with instructions. I think we would all benefit from an explanation of abolishing that centuries-old tradition and the right of the minority.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, that was a lot of words to justify the most closed Congress in history.

Mr. Speaker, 83 percent of the rules have been closed. That is 8 out of 10. Republicans don't even call for amendments anymore, and people know that it is a waste of time to come to the Rules Committee because they have already been tipped off that there are going to be no amendments in order.

Mr. Speaker, 90 percent of Democratic amendments have been rejected. A big chunk of Republican amendments have been rejected. I am sorry that Republicans' rank and file are such cheap dates when it comes to legislating, but they cave all the time and they vote for these crappy rules that are totally closed, so that is a bunch of bullshit. Let's be honest. That is what we are talking about here. That is not an accurate assessment of what is going on here.

This is the most closed Congress in history. Republicans should be ashamed.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from using profanity in debate.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, first and foremost, I think when your arguments are hollow, that is when you revert to foul language. I think that is evident, as we have seen today.

Mr. Speaker, again, I ask the question for the American people. I hope that they demand an answer. Under the gentleman from Massachusetts' stewardship of the Committee on Rules, they abolished a centuries-old tradition during the 117th Congress. We are debating, in fact, procedure today. I think we would all welcome an explanation of that horrific abolishment of committee procedure.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I remind my Republican friends, who kind of just go along to get along, that Mr. ARRINGTON, who is a chairman, had 71 percent of his amendments blocked.

I will go right down the list.

Congresswoman MACE had 76 percent of her amendments blocked.

Congressman STEUBE had 79 percent of his amendments blocked.

I could go right down the list. These are all Republicans who just routinely get blocked.

Enough. Enough. This is a ridiculous argument. The bottom line is a lot of words to justify the most closed Congress in history.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Mexico (Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ).

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak up against Kristi Noem's Department of Homeland Security. Speaking up is what we must do when we see that the Constitution is violated and the moral fabric of our Nation is brutalized the same way that they are brutalizing our communities and killing our citizens.

Whether it is in New Mexico or Minnesota, fear has become a policy for ICE and CBP, and cruelty has become their strategy. They take money that is taxpayer money that could be used for affordable housing, healthcare, and bringing down the cost of living, and they are spending it to terrorize our communities.

□ 1100

Following the Constitution, respecting the First, Fourth, and 14th Amendments should not be optional. It should never be up for negotiation. We should make it a requirement and make sure that they do not have immunity because then they act with impunity. We should not give another penny to the Department of Homeland Security until we get accountability.

Mr. Speaker, I urge that we vote against this rule.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, listening to the debate by my Republican colleagues, I know Republicans want to pretend like they are not in control because that absolves them of responsibility and accountability. They don't want to own their own record because they know their record sucks. They want to pretend like they are not in control because if they pretend like they are in control, if they acknowledge that they are in control, then they will be held accountable in November.

Look at what they have promised compared to what they have done so far:

Tax breaks for billionaires; they make sure their big donors are taken care of while they screw over regular people.

\$40 billion to Argentina; hurting our farmers and ranchers, importing more beef from South America.

Bombing Venezuela, threatening to invade NATO allies.

Meanwhile, prices are not down. Prices are up because of the stupid tariffs and lousy economic policies.

They promised to release all of the Epstein files. They still haven't done that. In fact, they are covering up for the President of the United States.

People are struggling more than ever because Trump's economy sucks. They are making it easier for the elites, easier for the billionaires, easier for the Epstein class, and harder for everybody else.

Maybe that is why even Republicans are finally acknowledging MAGA was all a lie. Of course, their members only acknowledge that after they leave. Let's listen to Trump's former top ally, Marjorie Taylor Greene. Here is what she said: "I think people are realizing it was all a lie. It was a big lie for the people. What MAGA is really serving in this administration, who they are serving, is their big donors."

Look at who Republicans have helped. They have been in charge for a year. They have helped Big Oil. They have helped Big Banks. They have helped Big Tech.

Look who was in the front row at Trump's inauguration: billionaires. That is all he cares about. Look at their promises to go after "the worst of the worst" when it comes to immigration, another broken promise. Stephen Miller and Kristi Noem are not going after the worst of the worst. They are going after kids, VA nurses. They are deporting veterans, for God's sake.

We are sick and tired of the broken promises and the lies. Enough. I talk to Republican colleagues all the time who privately confess to me that this is a disaster, and then they come on the floor and talk to the press and say everything is beautiful. That is just not reality.

This President and this administration are taking this country in the wrong direction. We have people that are being murdered in our streets by U.S. Federal agents. When is enough going to be enough? I can't believe this. Go along to get along, I get it. Everybody over there is afraid of the guy in the White House, but maybe you should be more afraid of your constituents. Maybe you ought to listen to your constituents because they are sick and tired of this BS. They have had it. They want Congress to be a body that fights for them, that fights to improve the quality of life for average people. They want this body to be a place where we debate important issues passionately, and we skip all the crazy debates we have on trivial matters.

We can do so much better, but it requires my friends on the other side of the aisle to kind of grow a spine, to stand up and to say and do the right thing.

This is nuts what is happening: People being murdered in our streets; Federal agents crashing into people's

homes without a judicial warrant; former off-duty police officers being detained by ICE; people with brown and black skin being detained routinely by ICE. This has to stop.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to vote "no" on this rule. Let's get to the negotiating table. Let's do something to reel in this out-of-control agency. Going along to get along is no longer an option.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I would first say that screaming doesn't make up for substance.

In closing, the rule I presented today, H. Res. 1032, enables the House of Representatives to carry out its responsibilities and to govern in an orderly and fair manner for the American people.

As a reminder, a "yes" vote on this rule enables us to consider legislation that ends the Democrats' second government shutdown and ensures that our government remains fully open. It ensures that our soldiers, our sailors, our marines, our airmen, and our guardians are paid on time and receive the pay raise they so rightly deserve.

A "yes" vote on this rule enables us to consider legislation that protects working families, seniors, and small businesses in the District of Columbia by allowing the House to address actions that deny them the benefits of historic tax relief enacted by Congress.

A "yes" vote on this rule enables us to consider legislation that strengthens America's economic and national security by advancing domestic mineral production—something we didn't talk about enough today—which reduces our reliance on foreign sources and supports job creation here at home.

Finally, a "yes" vote on this rule enables us to consider legislation that preserves equal debate, protects the rights of the minority, and allows Members on both sides of the aisle to fully participate in the legislative process.

Mr. Speaker, this rule allows the House of Representatives to govern and to deliver on the responsibilities entrusted to us. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this rule.

Mr. Speaker, I hope those watching enjoyed our lively debate with the gentleman from Massachusetts and his colleagues, although for any families watching, I hope they edited out the gentleman's foul language and his taking the name of the Lord in vain.

Let's see which arguments prevail because we are about to vote.

The material previously referred to by Mr. McGOVERN is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1032 OFFERED BY
MR. McGOVERN OF MASSACHUSETTS

Strike the first section to follow the resolving clause, insert the following, and redesignate the subsequent sections accordingly:

That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 7148) making further consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes, with the Senate amendments thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a single motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendments with the amendment specified in section 2 of this resolution. The Senate amendments and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion or demand for division of the question.

SEC. 2. The amendment referred to in section 1 is as follows:

Insert, at the appropriate place, the following:

SEC. _____. (a) Sections 90003 and 100052 of Public Law 119-21 (139 Stat. 358, 387) (commonly known as the "One Big Beautiful Bill Act") are repealed and the unobligated balances of amounts made available under those sections (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) are rescinded.

(b)(1) Section 71107 of the Act titled "An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14" (Public Law 119-21) is repealed and title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.) shall be applied as if such section and the amendments made by such section had not been enacted.

(2) The amounts appropriated under section 71107(c) of the Act titled "An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14" (Public Law 119-21) are hereby rescinded.

(c)(1) Section 71120 of the Act titled "An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14" (Public Law 119-21) is repealed and title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.) shall be applied as if such section and the amendments made by such section had not been enacted.

(2) The amounts appropriated under section 71120(c) of the Act titled "An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14" (Public Law 119-21) are hereby rescinded.

Mr. JACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question are postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 6 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1115

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. MORAN) at 11 o'clock and 15 minutes a.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 1032; and

Adoption of House Resolution 1032, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the remaining electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 7148, CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2026; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 142, DISAPPROVING THE ACTION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COUNCIL IN APPROVING THE D.C. INCOME AND FRANCHISE TAX CONFORMITY AND REVISION TEMPORARY AMENDMENT ACT OF 2025; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4090, CRITICAL MINERAL DOMINANCE ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 1032) providing for consideration of the Senate amendments to the bill (H.R. 7148) making further consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 142) disapproving the action of the District of Columbia Council in approving the D.C. Income and Franchise Tax Conformity and Revision Temporary Amendment Act of 2025; and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4090) to codify certain provisions of certain Executive Orders relating to domestic mining and hardrock mineral resources, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 212, nays 210, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 51]

YEAS—212

Aderholt	Arrington	Baird
Alford	Babin	Balderson
Amodei (NV)	Bacon	Barr

Barrett	Graves	Moore (AL)
Baumgartner	Griffith	Moore (NC)
Bean (FL)	Grothman	Moore (UT)
Begich	Guest	Moore (WV)
Bentz	Guthrie	Moran
Bergman	Hageman	Murphy
Bice	Hamadeh (AZ)	Nehls
Biggs (AZ)	Haridopolos	Newhouse
Biggs (SC)	Harrigan	Norman
Bilirakis	Harris (MD)	Nunn (IA)
Boebert	Harris (NC)	Oberholte
Bost	Hern (OK)	Ogles
Brecheen	Higgins (LA)	Onder
Bresnahan	Hill (AR)	Owens
Buchanan	Hinson	Palmer
Burchett	Houchin	Patronis
Burlison	Hudson	Perry
Calvert	Huizenga	Pfleger
Cammack	Hunt	Reschenthaler
Carey	Hurd (CO)	Rogers (AL)
Carter (GA)	Issa	Rogers (KY)
Carter (TX)	Jack	Rouzer
Ciscomani	Jackson (TX)	Roy
Cline	Johnson (LA)	Rulli
Cloud	Johnson (SD)	Rutherford
Clyde	Jordan	Salazar
Cole	Joyce (OH)	Scalise
Collins	Kean	Schmidt
Comer	Kelly (MS)	Schweikert
Crane	Kelly (PA)	Scott, Austin
Crank	Kennedy (UT)	Self
Crawford	Kiggans (VA)	Sessions
Crenshaw	Kiley (CA)	Shreve
Davidson	Kim	Simpson
De La Cruz	Knott	Smith (MO)
DesJarlais	Kustoff	Smith (NE)
Diaz-Balart	LaHood	Smith (NJ)
Donalds	LaLota	Smucker
Downing	Langworthy	Spartz
Dunn (FL)	Latta	Staubert
Edwards	Lawler	Stefanik
Ellzey	Lee (FL)	Steil
Emmer	Letlow	Steube
Estes	Loudermilk	Strong
Evans (CO)	Lucas	Stutzman
Ezell	Luna	Taylor
Fallon	Luttrell	Tenney
Fedorchak	Mace	Thompson (PA)
Feenstra	Mackenzie	Tiffany
Fine	Malliotakis	Timmons
Finstad	Maloy	Turner (OH)
Fischbach	Mann	Valadao
Fitzgerald	Massie	Van Drew
Fitzpatrick	Mast	Van Dyne
Fleischmann	McCauley	Van Epps
Flood	McClain	Van Orden
Fong	McClintock	Wagner
Fox	McCormick	Walberg
Franklin, Scott	McDowell	Weber (TX)
Fry	McGuire	Webster (FL)
Fulcher	Messmer	Westerman
Garbarino	Meuser	Wied
Gill (TX)	Miller (IL)	Williams (TX)
Gimenez	Miller (OH)	Wittman
Goldman (TX)	Miller (WV)	Womack
Gonzales, Tony	Miller-Meeks	Yakym
Gooden	Mills	Zinke
Gosar	Moolenaar	

NAYS—210

Adams	Cisneros	Figures
Aguilar	Clark (MA)	Fletcher
Amo	Cleaver	Foster
Ansari	Clyburn	Foushee
Auchincloss	Cohen	Frankel, Lois
Balint	Conaway	Friedman
Barragan	Correa	Frost
Beatty	Costa	Garamendi
Bell	Courtney	Garcia (CA)
Bera	Craig	Garcia (IL)
Beyer	Crockett	Garcia (TX)
Bishop	Crow	Gillen
Bonamici	Cuellar	Golden (ME)
Boyle (PA)	Davids (KS)	Goldman (NY)
Brown	Davis (IL)	Gomez
Brownley	Davis (NC)	Gonzalez, V.
Budzinski	Dean (PA)	Goodlander
Bynum	DeGette	Gottheimer
Carbajal	DeLauro	Gray
Carson	DelBene	Green, Al (TX)
Carter (LA)	Deluzio	Grijalva
Casas	Dexter	Harder (CA)
Case	Dingell	Hayes
Casten	Doggett	Himes
Castor (FL)	Elfreth	Horsford
Castro (TX)	Escobar	Houlahan
Cherfilus-	Espallat	Hoyer
McCormick	Evans (PA)	Hoyle (OR)
Chu	Fields	Huffman