

to unilaterally march this Nation into war with erratic threats, no strategy, and no explanation. That is why I have called on Leader THUNE to hold a vote immediately to enforce the War Powers Resolution. The law requires the Trump administration to consult with Congress; the Constitution demands it; and the American people, especially the families of those in harm's way, deserve nothing less. Confronting the Iranian regime's nuclear ambitions—and stopping their campaign of terror—demands strength, resolve, and strategic clarity.

ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, on reconciliation, we have arrived at a pivotal week in the U.S. Senate, a week where Senate Republicans have to make a choice: either stand up for your constituents, stand up to defend Medicaid, stand up to protect millions of good-paying jobs or stand with Donald Trump and his billionaire friends. That is the fundamental choice Republicans face with their so-called Big Beautiful Bill.

Before the week is out, we expect Republicans will bring their bill to the floor for debate. That means, very soon, the Senate is going to have a long night of vote-arama. When vote-arama begins, Democrats will be ready. We will pick the Republicans' bill apart. We will put Republicans on record. We will force Republicans to explain in multiple ways, again and again and again, why they want to cut taxes for the rich at the expense of working people.

Even now, many Senate Republicans know their own bill is poison. One reason is that their bill will decimate rural hospitals even more than the House bill would, as bad as that was. It will do that by curtailing the provider tax that many States use to fund Medicaid. Now, they know it is terrible so it sounds like Senate Republicans are trying to sweeten this bitter deal by adding a rural hospital fund in the hopes of easing the worries of some Members among their rank.

But make no mistake about it—and the rural hospitals and the American Hospital Association know it—this won't work. Whatever funding Republicans would offer in a rural hospital fund would easily be overwhelmed by cuts the States would still face and by the difficulty rural hospitals would still be in. A rural hospital fund would be like putting a bandaid over an amputation. Again, it is not going to work.

Even before we get to vote-arama, Democrats have already successfully pushed back against some of the nastiest provisions in the Republicans' bill. This work is certainly not done—it will continue to be an ongoing process—but Democrats will continue to use every tool available in the Senate to fight back against the Republicans' bill. Today, I want to mention one important example.

Yesterday, I announced that Senate Democrats successfully challenged a provision Republicans tried to sneak into their bill that would have stripped Federal judges of their ability to enforce their own rulings. Now, we all know Federal judges have ruled against the Trump administration in the vast majority of cases that are currently in court. Many of the administration's most harmful Executive orders have been temporarily halted through preliminary injunctions, restraining orders, and other emergency rulings by the courts.

So what did Senate Republicans try to do?

They tried to write a workaround into their reconciliation bill by preventing judges from being able to even issue preliminary injunctions or restraining orders unless plaintiffs pay for the bond upfront. It goes even further than what the House Republicans tried to do. That meant, if someone wanted courts to put a stop to Donald Trump's freezing of Federal funds or to stop DOGE from rummaging through people's private data or any number of abuses—there are so many—they first had to pay up before the courts could even issue a court order. That is not justice, my Republican friends. That is pay-to-play. It is antithetical to our system of checks and balances. Once again, the wealthy are favored. They will be able to afford these bonds, but middle-class people, poor people, many groups will not.

I am pleased—very pleased—that we Democrats have successfully pushed back against this lawless provision. Now, to be sure, not every decision has gone our way. But we will keep fighting until the last possible moment to strip the worst parts of the “Big Ugly Bill” that have flown under the radar.

None of this has been easy to do. I want to thank all the ranking members and their staffs involved in this long, technical process. My staff has done a great job—I salute them—so has Senator MERKLEY's staff. He is our ranking member on the Budget Committee. The hours have been long. The issues they have worked through have been immensely complicated so I thank them for their continued good work.

Let me close by looking forward for a moment. The debate that will take place here on the floor in the coming days will be one of the most consequential the Senate has seen in years.

At stake is the healthcare of tens of millions of Americans. At stake is over 2 million jobs in red States and blue States alike, which could throw our country into a recession—there are so many job cuts—all for tax cuts for the wealthy.

But today, I want to leave my Republican colleagues with this: If they proceed with their “Big Ugly Bill,” they will push our Nation's debt to a point of no return. If Senate Republicans try to make Donald Trump's tax cuts permanent, as they are trying to do, they will add tens of trillions to the na-

tional debt in the coming decade—not a few trillion, tens of trillions in the coming decades.

Senate Republicans know this. So what do they do? They try to use a budgetary gimmick called current policy baseline to pretend as if these Trump taxes won't cost anything. This has never been done before in this way, but they are sort of desperate.

Look, Republicans can use whatever budgetary gimmicks they want to try to make the math work on paper, but you can't paper over the real-life economic consequences of adding tens of trillions to the debt. They are adding the money to the debt, that is for sure. They just try to do a paper trick so that it doesn't look like it, but it does, and interest rates will go sky-high, no matter what parliamentary gimmick they try to use.

There will be higher borrowing costs for all Americans for cars, for homes, and for credit cards. Americans are going to feel these higher costs because interest rates will go up because they are making the debt so deep. Americans are going to feel this everywhere they look. American household wealth will permanently be hobbled. Our economy will fail to reach its full potential. That is what will happen if Republicans proceed. Again, there aren't enough budgetary gimmicks in the world to change that fact.

And for what? For what? Why are Republicans doing all of this? So billionaires can pay less in taxes while tens of millions lose their healthcare benefits and pay more for everyday expenses.

I urge the Republicans not to move forward. It is abundantly clear the bill is deeply flawed. If they do, Senate Democrats will continue to expose the Republicans' bill for the debt-busting mess that it truly is.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from South Carolina.

IRAN

Mr. GRAHAM. Madam President, I would like to be recognized to make some comments about the conflict we are watching unfold on our television between Iran, Israel, and now the United States.

Someone asked me: What is this talk all about?

I think a good title would be: “What is this all about?”

To the American people, what is this all about? Let me tell you what it is all about. It is all about religion.

A fanatical string of Shi'ism is now in charge of Iran, and they have three goals: to purify Islam—about 80 percent of Islam is Sunni; Saudi Arabia is the center of that part of the religion—to purify Islam in the image of the Ayatollah, to destroy the Jewish State, to drive us out of the Mideast because we are infidels.

This is not a democracy. It is a theocracy. If you have got any time on your hands, go on the internet and

check out the theological bases of this regime. You would understand why Israel has to get this right as well as we do.

But it was not always that way. Iran is not inherently a radical group of people, a radical place. As a matter of fact, it is a very cultured place. It used to be one of the real "Pearls of the Middle East."

Before 1979, Iran shared intelligence, had economic ties, and military cooperation with Israel and the United States. This is from 1950, where Ben-Gurion—the first President of Israel—is talking to an Iranian diplomat in Israel. Iran had a very vibrant Jewish population. So it has not always been this way.

So what happened? They had a revolution. In 1979 to 1981, there was an uprising in Iran that led to the installing of the Ayatollah as the leader of Iran, the first Ayatollah Khomeini. Now we are on the second one, Khamenei.

What is this thing all about? These are students pouring over the U.S. Embassy. Our Embassy personnel—50 American hostages—were held for 444 days by these nutjobs. They were subjected to intense physical and psychological torture throughout their captivity, such as mob executions, beatings, solitary confinement, and very bad living conditions. This is what they did when they took over. They came after us, held our people hostage, and treated us very brutally.

In 1984, the United States made Iran a state sponsor of terrorism under U.S. law because of the way the Iranian regime, under the Ayatollah, was conducting himself. They were wreaking havoc throughout the region, and they were doing things against Israel and the United States that justified their designation in 1984 as a state sponsor of terrorism. And that has not changed.

Why are they a state sponsor of terrorism? Because they are terrorists, and they support terrorists.

Hezbollah—\$700 million, we project, was given to Iran by Hezbollah. It is almost their entire budget. They are a terrorist group, mainly in Lebanon, of the Shia branch of the tree.

Hamas, who conducted the October 7 attack against Israel—Israel projects that 93 percent of Hamas's military budget came from Iran.

We have the Houthis. All of their missiles and rockets came from Iran.

We have got Shiite groups in Iraq funded by Iran.

Why are they a state sponsor of terrorism? Because they sponsor terrorism all over the world, and they did it with petrodollars from the Iran nuclear deal and other sources of revenue, which was a really bad idea. That is why Trump pulled out.

Here is the reporting over the period here:

Hamas-Iran alliance: Captured documents expose decades-long terror funding.

When Israel began to liberate Gaza from Hamas and drive out Hamas terrorists, they found a treasure trove of

documents showing the history between Hamas, Hezbollah, and Iran—suitcases stuffed with cash, U.S. flow of funds to Yemen to help the Houthis.

What else have they done other than funding terrorist groups? They have threatened to kill American officials: President Trump, Brian Hook, General McKenzie, Robert O'Brien, Mike Pompeo, former Secretary of Defense Mark Esper. All these people were involved in the Soleimani attack.

Why did we go after Soleimani? Because he was the leader of the more radical elements of their military that had been targeting Americans and he was planning to attack our forces in Iraq and Trump took him out: in 1983, the Beirut barracks bombing, Hezbollah, supported by Iran; Khobar Towers bombing in Saudi Arabia, a terrorist group supported by Iran.

That is why they are terrorists. They have been coming after our interests. They have attacked our shipping in the Red Sea, the Houthis have. They couldn't fire one missile without Iranian support because that is where all their rockets come from.

Some cast of characters here. The recent new President was sworn in, in Iran. During the swearing-in of the Iranian President, the crowd started chanting: "Death to America," "Death to America" during the swearing-in of the new President not long ago, July 30, 2024. It is not quite a year ago.

People wonder what they are up to. Let me tell you what they are up to. They are up to enacting a religious agenda that has no place for anybody but them. If you are a Christian, they want to destroy your faith. If you are Jewish, they want to wipe you off the planet. And if you are a Muslim and you don't agree with them, which most don't, they want to take over the entire faith and make you bend a knee to their view. These people are religious fanatics.

What is this all about? Religion. Do you want any of these people to have a nuclear weapon? I don't. They are religious Nazis.

Are there any telltale signs that may tell us what they are up to? Do you really have to be Sherlock Holmes to figure this out? This is a missile in Iran. In Hebrew, it says: "Death to Israel." This is the freaking missile they took a photo of in Hebrew that said "Death to Israel." I wonder what they want to do with that missile.

If you are confused about this regime, you should not be allowed to drive because you are a danger to yourself and others. If you think this is not our conflict, you have missed a lot. You have been like asleep at the switch since 1979. You don't know anything about these people. You are talking gibberish.

If you don't think this is our concern, look what they have done. They have attacked Americans. They have American blood on their hands. They are trying to build a nuclear bomb, and one of their targets is us. How do I know that? Because that is what they say.

Last July, they were chanting, "Death to America" while they were swearing in their President. We don't do that here. Why? Because we are not crazy. These people are crazy. The Iranian people are not, but the people ruling the place are fanatical religious Nazis. If you don't think it is our concern, you really have missed a lot. You need to study before you talk.

If that is not enough, the missile that says "Death to Israel"—let's see, when was this? Oh, 2015. "Amid Nuke Talks, Ayatollah Says: 'Death to America.'" This is during negotiations that Obama had.

In 2022, they had 95 pounds of highly enriched uranium at 60 percent. Is there a commercial purpose for uranium enriched at 60 percent? No. In 2025, 3 years later, they have 900 pounds of this stuff. So, clearly, they are not stopping their enrichment program; they are accelerating it, and they are enriching it at a level that has no commercial purpose.

They actually have one reactor in Iran. The fuel for that reactor comes from Russia. They haven't taken 1 gram of their enrichment program to run the peaceful reactor they claim they want. To run a reactor, you need to enrich between 3½ and 5 percent, not 60. Weapons-grade enrichment is 90 percent; 60 to 90 is like walking across the street.

If you can't figure out what they have been up to, again, you should not be allowed to drive. They are trying to build nuclear weapons. They are making material consistent with a bomb, not a peaceful nuclear powerplant. They chant "Death to America." They chant "Death to Israel." They kill their own people. They are the largest sponsor of terrorism on the planet. They have been that way since 1979.

I wonder what they want to do.

The Ayatollah's nuclear ambitions have been spread and fed for decades now. I take him seriously.

Hitler wrote a book. Nobody believed he wanted to kill all the Jews. They should have. Hitler wrote a book about a master race. People should have taken him seriously. They wrote it off as just talk. They excused Hitler's provocative behavior, saying: He is just saying these things.

Well, we know that didn't work out too well. Last month was the 80th anniversary of the end of World War II, the Nazi regime. One of the centerpieces of the Nazi regime was to kill the Jewish people. They put the Jewish people in concentration camps, gas chambers, and they tried to extinguish an entire race of people—our Jewish brothers and sisters—killing 6 million.

Just a few years ago, the Jewish people got back to the numbers before the war. Eighty years later, we are having a discussion about what should we do, as civilized people, regarding Iran. I will tell you what we should do. We should do something different than we did with Hitler—because if we do the same old thing, there goes Israel and, eventually, us.

So their nuclear ambitions are not to build peaceful nuclear powerplants and fuel them. Their enrichment program is designed to make bombs. They intend to use these bombs because they are religious fanatics.

Does anybody doubt that if Adolf Hitler had a bomb, he would have used it? If you doubt that the Ayatollah would use a bomb against the State of Israel, you don't understand his religion.

What drove Hitler: a sick, twisted, distorted view of the master race.

These people want a master religion, not a master race. They want to dominate the world religiously. That is what they are all about. They export terrorism in the name of religion. They support groups that want to take down secular regimes. They want a master religion like the Nazis wanted a master race.

And let me tell you what our friends in Israel are saying: Hell, no. Once is enough.

So what should Israel do? Here is what Israel should do. They should fight back.

To the American people: What would our country do if there was one missile shot into South Carolina or Alabama from a foreign power that killed our citizens? Everybody in South Carolina and Alabama would be wanting to end the terror and go after the people who did it, and it would be the entire Nation.

Why should we expect Israel to tolerate what we wouldn't tolerate? There have been over 1,000 missiles shot into Israel. Since their founding, people have been trying to kill them all. Hamas, Hezbollah, the Houthis have one thing in common: They are all funded by Iran. All the weapons come from the Iranians.

What is this all about? Killing the Jews and, eventually, us and dominating the world religiously. If you think that is weird, then you need to get on the internet and listen to what they are doing. You need to watch their behavior. And they mean what they say. And don't be like the people in the thirties that got it wrong. Israel is not going to get it wrong the second time.

Donald Trump. God bless President Donald J. Trump. I am so glad he won. What has he tried to do? Make peace, not war. He gave the Ayatollah 60 days to find a peaceful settlement regarding their enrichment program. They could have nuclear power, but they can't enrich. There are 20 nations that have nuclear power that get the fuel for their reactors outside of their country. We would have done that for Iran. They were tapping him along. Then 61 days go by, and the rest is history.

They are not interested in peace. They are interested in fulfilling a religious agenda that has no place for us or the State of Israel.

Hitler didn't want peace. There was not a part of a country you could give him to stop him from enacting his sick

and twisted agenda. He didn't want German-speaking populations. He wanted the entire world to speak German. He wanted the entire world to fall under his Nazi regime. He was nuts. And it took a bunch of people from all over the world, particularly America, to destroy the Third Reich, and about 60 million people died because we got it wrong in the thirties—6 million Jews.

We are not going to let that happen again as long as I am around, the Presiding Officer, or President Trump and the people of Israel. We are not going to let that happen. We are going to stick with Israel because sticking with them is helping us. They are fighting the people that want to kill us as much as they want to kill all the Jews. Their fight, in my view, is our fight.

Operation Midnight Hammer: After day 60, all the negotiations went nowhere because the Iranians didn't want them to go anywhere. President Trump acted, and it was brilliant, it was bold, and it will live in history. To all those who took part in this, God bless you. We have the finest military on Earth. We proved that yet again. But you can have the best military, and if you don't have the right Commander in Chief, nothing happens. We got the right Commander in Chief married up with the strongest military in history, which will make us safer.

We are not looking to take over Iran. We are not looking to invade Iran. We are looking to make sure that the fanatics who run Iran can't hurt us and can't destroy our friends in Israel. And President Trump did something about it. He sent B-2s to Iran, and everybody thought: Well, what is that all about? Well, they missed the other B-2s that went to Iran and put a hurting on these three enrichment sites.

To the pilots, to the air crews, to everybody involved: Well done. I spent 30-something years in the Air Force, and I have never been more proud. Fly, fight, win.

What is next? They shot missiles at our airbase in Qatar—the Iranians did—today, about 16 or 19. One may have gotten through. I think they called us. But just remember what I said. They shot missiles at us today. They shot missiles at Israel last night.

The strikes a couple of days ago were designed to shut down the enrichment facilities used to make this weapons-grade uranium. I think we were substantially successful, and I have never been more proud of President Trump and our military. And I think we set back their military program substantially.

But here is what we didn't do: We didn't change their desire for a nuclear weapon. We changed the capability to make one. Here is my goal: to have people in charge of Iran who don't want a nuclear weapon to destroy Israel, who will not be the largest state sponsor of terrorism but live in peace with their neighbors and have a good relationship with the United States. That is what I want. And if you don't want

that, there is something wrong with you.

How do you get that? Two ways: The religious fanatics reject their agenda; they change their behavior. Maybe that will happen. I don't know. Or you change the people and you replace the religious fanatics with people in Iran who do not want to destroy the world, who do not want to create a master religion, who want to live in peace. And I think that is the vast majority of the Iranians.

If we get this right, stick with Israel, stand up to this bully—this religious, fanatical regime—let Israel do what they need to do, then I think peace is coming.

The Presiding Officer and myself went to Saudi Arabia and Israel to talk about Saudi Arabia recognizing the Jewish State under certain conditions. Before the attack of October 7, we were very close to expanding the Abraham Accords to include a deal between Saudi Arabia and Israel that, basically, would end the Arab-Israeli conflict—an amazing moment in history.

October 7 comes along: a vicious, brutal attack on Israel by Hamas, which is fully funded by Iran—raping women, killing children in front of their parents, and doing things that we really don't need to be talking about on the floor. That was designed to stop reconciliation between Saudi Arabia and Israel because, for the Iranian fanatical regime, the worst nightmare is for the Arab world to make peace with Israel and move toward the light. These people want darkness for the world.

So what do we do now? Israel has had it. I talked to the Prime Minister yesterday before I went on television, and I asked him: What would you like me to tell America, Bibi?

He said: Three things. We are profoundly grateful to the American people for what you do to help the Jewish State. We couldn't be here without you. We are profoundly grateful to President Trump for his strong leadership.

He also wanted me to urge the people of Iran to seize the moment to end the nightmare you have been living.

And the third thing he wanted me to tell the American people is: Israel is not going to live this way anymore. They are not going to raise their children in bomb shelters. They are not going to have their life interrupted daily by ballistic missiles coming from religious Nazis who want to kill all the Jews like Hitler. They are not going to put up with that. Regime change is coming to Iran, one or two ways: The regime renounces what they have been doing since 1979 and changes course, or it is replaced.

To expect Israel to do anything else is offensive to me. You are asking Israel to accept as normal being attacked every day and every night by over 500 ballistic missiles? Over 24 Israeli citizens have been killed. The missiles going into Israel are designed to kill children. The attacks by the

Israelis are designed to shut down the regime's nuclear capability. But the targets are changing. They are now going after the police force that keeps the Ayatollah in power, the intelligence services that scare people into submission, the prisons that house dissidents.

Israel has made a fateful decision to go to the heart of this regime, to take these religious Nazis down one way or the other—reject Nazism and the former religion or get new people.

And I am with them. I am with them to the bitter end. I am with them because being with them is in your own interest if you are an American. Being with them is in your own interest if you believe in religious tolerance. Being with Israel means you reject a regime that would take a 16-year-old girl off a bus and beat her to death because she didn't have a head cover. This is evil we are fighting. They are as evil as the Nazis.

Now, 80 years later, we are having a debate about what the Jewish people should do to a group that wants to kill them all? Really? We are debating among ourselves what is too much against a regime trying to build dozens of nuclear weapons to blow people off the planet they don't like or agree with? What kind of world are we living in? To all those who want to hold Israel back, what would you do if it were our country? Would you hold us back? If you tried to, we would run you over. We are not going to live this way as Americans.

So what is this all about? I am going to end where I began. It is about a fanatical regime that took over the country by force, that started out imprisoning Americans, has been trying to build nuclear bombs—multiple nuclear bombs—has been the largest state sponsor of terrorism since 1984, has American blood on its hands, has attacked Israel over and over and over again, has suppressed its own people. It is about a religious agenda.

Why do they do what they do? Religious, fanatical beliefs; a master religion for the world. And if you don't believe that, you have missed a lot. That is what they want, and the only way they are not going to get there is for somebody to stop them.

What did Hitler want? To take over the entire world and create a master race—anybody that didn't fit his definition of the right kind of person was in prison or killed.

The Ayatollah and his henchmen have that same view, but it is religiously driven.

So what happens in the next few days is going to determine the course of history for the State of Israel and the region for decades to come.

To our friends in Israel: Finish the job. Do what you have to do to bring about the regime change that will allow your children to sleep through the night.

To the American people: Wake up. Understand what we are fighting. The

Ayatollah is not normal. These are not normal people. Stand with Israel. Standing with Israel, you are standing by your—you are helping your own self; you are helping your own families.

I will make a prediction. Israel is going to win, and this regime is going to fall. This regime is going to fall of its own weight. The people in Iran are going to have their chance, as the regime gets weakened, to rise up and take back their own lives.

I don't know when that day is coming, but it is coming soon. I believe that. I believe that the day of reckoning is here for the religious Nazis running Iran; that their terrorism is coming to an end; that it is going to be replaced by a region that moves together, where Sunnis and Shias and Israelis and all different groups—Christians—work together to build economies for all those rights.

We live in a time of choosing. I choose to stand up to evil.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant executive clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GRAHAM. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

WAIVING QUORUM CALL

Mr. GRAHAM. I ask unanimous consent to waive the mandatory quorum call with respect to the Zimmerman nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant executive clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 138, Daniel Zimmerman, of North Carolina, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense.

John Thune, Thom Tillis, Markwayne Mullin, John Barrasso, John R. Curtis, Joni Ernst, Deb Fischer, Pete Ricketts, Roger Marshall, Chuck Grassley, Tommy Tuberville, Bill Cassidy, Jon A. Husted, Mike Rounds, John Kennedy, Katie Boyd Britt, Roger F. Wicker.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Daniel Zimmerman, of North Carolina, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BANKS), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Arkansas (Ms. MURKOWSKI), and the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS), the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. OSSOFF), and the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. SHAHEEN) are necessarily absent.

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 58, nays 33, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 322 Leg.]

YEAS—58

Barrasso	Grassley	Mullin
Blackburn	Hagerty	Paul
Boozman	Hassan	Reed
Britt	Hawley	Ricketts
Budd	Hoeven	Rosen
Capito	Husted	Rounds
Cassidy	Hyde-Smith	Schmitt
Collins	Justice	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Kaine	Scott (SC)
Cotton	Kelly	Sheehy
Cramer	Kennedy	Slotkin
Crapo	King	Sullivan
Cruz	Lankford	Thune
Curtis	Lummis	Tillis
Daines	Marshall	Tuberville
Ernst	McConnell	Warnock
Fetterman	McCormick	Wicker
Fischer	Moody	Young
Gallego	Moran	
Graham	Moreno	

NAYS—33

Alsobrooks	Heinrich	Sanders
Baldwin	Hickenlooper	Schatz
Bennet	Hirono	Schiff
Blumenthal	Kim	Schumer
Blunt Rochester	Klobuchar	Smith
Booker	Lujan	Van Hollen
Cantwell	Markey	Warner
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warren
Duckworth	Murray	Welch
Durbin	Padilla	Whitehouse
Gillibrand	Peters	Wyden

NOT VOTING—9

Banks	Lee	Ossoff
Coons	Murkowski	Risch
Johnson	Murphy	Shaheen

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. RICKETTS). On this vote, the yeas are 58, the nays are 33.

The motion is agreed to.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The bill clerk read the nomination of Daniel Zimmerman, of North Carolina, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Hawaii.

ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL

Mr. SCHATZ. Mr. President, more than 16 million people are going to lose their healthcare, and tens of millions of Americans are going to pay more for healthcare every month. Hundreds of rural hospitals are going to be forced to close, and we are going to plunge the