

have a carve-out from the filibuster. But no, today, we are talking about an oil-industry-only carve-out. And they are using it to overturn some of the most successful clean air policies in American history.

Since the 1960s, California has had the obligation and the ability and the authority to lead, and they have used it. We have used it to reduce pollution, to increase fuel efficiency, and to drive innovation across the country. And much of the country has California to thank for the development of electric vehicles, for the improvement in fuel efficiency standards, because as we have led, others have followed and industry has adapted.

Despite the naysayers and those always saying it is too hard, it can't be done, America got cleaner cars thanks to California, and consumers got more choices thanks to California. Now, some in this Chamber want to go back in time, not because the policy failed but because it succeeded.

Imagine if, just after Henry Ford unveiled the Model T, Congress passed a resolution demanding we double down on bigger, stronger horses, because that is what this is—a deliberate attempt to deny the future because it threatens the status of Big Oil.

The President says that he is for energy independence. That is their mantra: Make America energy independent. But that is not what they are doing. They are killing clean energy all over the country.

You know what just came out of the House in the dead of night, last night, in their reconciliation bill—their “Big Ugly Bill?” A provision to essentially kill every clean energy project in the country that is not almost all finished. If it isn't going to be operational in a very short period of time, they want to pull the plug.

Now, why would they do that? Why would they do that when, in fact, most of those projects are in red States, not blue ones, not States like California but States like Indiana and Kentucky.

Why would they do that? Because the obligation here is not to their State or constituency. The obligation here is to the oil industry. They would sacrifice the jobs and the clean energy industry all over the country. To their own constituents, they would put those people out of work. And why? Because of fealty to the oil industry.

This is not about energy independence. It is about oil dependence.

Today, we are in a full transition to a clean transportation future—or we could be—and Senate Republicans are trying to bring back the smog. They are trying to make America smoggy again.

We are seeing the climate crisis, and they are trying to cut the brake lines on progress. We are literally standing at the gates of the future—a future that we will lead or China will lead, a renewable energy future—and some would rather turn it all around and ride off in a horse and buggy, because

that is what this vote means. That is what these votes mean—not just being stuck in a past technology beholden to an old way of doing things, but also stuck in a dirtier and more toxic world.

Millions will be stuck breathing in hazardous emissions unnecessarily.

What is the pay-for here? What is the pay-for for this gift to the oil industry? Cancer—cancer is the pay-for. We will pay for this repeal of clean air rules with cancer—maybe your cancer, maybe your father's cancer, maybe your sister's cancer, maybe your child's cancer.

That will be the pay-for because this is about power, and it is about profit, and it is about punishing States that dare to lead. It is about undermining the Senate's own rules to score a short-term win that will do long-term damage but will placate the oil industry, because once you start twisting the CRA into a weapon to attack anything you don't like—rules, waivers, facts—you don't just hurt California; you hurt the country, because don't think for a second it ends here.

If this gambit works, it will not be the last time this tactic is used. Today, we blow a hole in the filibuster for the oil industry. Tomorrow, we blow another hole in the filibuster for what other polluting industry? Or, more broadly, should we expect this majority to use it to strip away protections for workers or privacy rights or reproductive freedom?

This is the real fight here, not just over emissions or waivers or vehicles, but whether we are a nation led by and empowered to shape the future or held hostage by the past.

The roaring twenties were a time of reckless optimism. The stock markets soared, inequality deepened, and political leaders told Americans not to worry, everything was under control—until it wasn't, because the same decade that gave us jazz and swing also gave us the Smoot-Hawley Tariff Act, a disastrous attempt to protect American industry by walling off our economy to the rest of the world.

It sparked global retaliation. It strangled trade. It helped turn a market crash into a full-blown depression.

What are we seeing now? New tariffs, retaliation threats, political attacks on States that lead, and now an attempt to tear down environmental progress and green innovation just as the global economy is demanding more of it—much more of it.

The roaring twenties gave us invention, yes, but also an illusion, a false belief that we could grow forever without rules and without consequences.

We are in danger of making the same mistake again. We should be building the EV infrastructure for the future, not dismantling climate progress. We should be investing in clean energy, not clinging to combustion engines. We should be protecting the rules of this Chamber, not torching them when they become inconvenient to the oil industry.

The gutting of these norms doesn't end in prosperity; the sacrifice of clean air doesn't end in making us healthy again. It ends in cancer; it ends in an enfeebled economy; it ends in a country going backward and shrinking in on itself. It ends in crisis. Let's not go there.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida.

#### RECOGNIZING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF JEWISH AMERICAN HERITAGE MONTH AND CALLING ON ELECTED OFFICIALS AND CIVIL SOCIETY LEADERS TO COUNTER ANTISEMITISM

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. Madam President, I stand today to condemn the anti-Semitic and hate-fueled murders of Yaron Lischinsky and Sarah Milgrim that occurred last night at the hands of a Hamas sympathizer. This was a sickening, violent, and anti-Semitic attack in our Nation's Capital.

These two young professionals were targeted and murdered because they represented Israel and the Jewish people, with their murderer chanting “Free Palestine” after killing them in cold blood.

These were innocents, out for a night together at the Capital Jewish Museum here in DC, just like members of our own staff do each and every week. This anti-Semitism and hate for Israel and the Jewish people is disgusting, unacceptable, and must be condemned on every level. It is despicable, and it is dangerous.

We have seen a rise in anti-Semitism and anti-Israel hate since the October 7, 2023 attacks. We have seen Hamas sympathizers take over college campuses and instill fear in Jewish students. We have seen violent protests on our streets and now in our Nation's Capital. Jewish Americans are afraid to walk outside or live their daily lives. For nearly 600 days now, Israel has defended itself against Iran-backed Hamas terrorists who want to destroy Israel and destroy the Jewish people.

These terrorists murder babies and women in cold blood, take and murder innocent people, and brag about their acts with no remorse. They took innocent people, including Americans, hostage and tortured them. They still hold the bodies of American hostages to deprive their families of closure.

Israel is the United States' greatest democratic ally in the Middle East. We cannot abandon our ally. Thankfully, we have a President who is an ally of Israel and who is working to fight anti-Semitism in the United States.

President Trump is pushing back on colleges and universities that allow hateful anti-Semitic actions on campus that threaten the safety of Jewish students. He has appointed the most pro-Israel Cabinet ever assembled. And he is committed to defending Israel, combating terrorism, and protecting Jewish Americans.

Today, I rise to condemn last night's heinous and anti-Semitic attack on these innocent people. I condemn this hateful rhetoric against Israel and against the Jewish people who deserve the right to live freely and without fear in the United States and around the world.

The United States must always stand with Israel and the Jewish people, and today we join together to remember the innocent lives taken in an act of hate and pay tribute to their lives and the contributions of the Jewish people to our Nation.

Madam President, the fight against anti-Semitism is a bipartisan one. I am grateful for my colleague Jackie Rosen for working with me to draft a resolution that draws attention to anti-Semitism in America and declaring May, Jewish American Heritage Month.

I yield to my colleague from Nevada Senator ROSEN.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Ms. ROSEN. Madam President, I want to begin by thanking my colleague from Florida for his bipartisan ship and his partnership, including to pass this bipartisan resolution to recognize Jewish American Heritage Month by unanimous consent.

I also want to take a moment to speak about the horrific murders that took place last night. Like so many other Jews in America and around the world, I woke up this morning heartbroken by the news of yet another unspeakable act of anti-Semitic violence that occurred late last night, this time—this time—in our Nation's Capital, less than 1 mile from where I am standing this very moment. This shooting occurred outside of the Capital Jewish Museum, where the American Jewish Committee was holding a large event. And the shooter went there specifically to target and kill Jews and people whom he perceived to be Jewish.

This is anti-Semitism—plain and simple. There is no justification in the world for carrying out or condoning this violent and vile hate crime. It is pure anti-Semitic violence.

My heart is shattered for the two Israeli Embassy workers who were killed, Yaron and Sarah—taken from us far, far too soon. Both were committed to public service, social action, peace, the betterment of the world. They were a young couple in love.

I have read reports that Yaron was planning to propose to Sarah next week in Israel.

They were cruelly taken from us far too soon at the beginning of their lives. May their memory be a blessing, and may their killer be brought to swift justice.

It is a tragedy that these innocent lives were cut short by hate, and it continues to be a tragedy that these incidents continue to occur in the United States and all over the world.

Over the last few years alone, we have seen anti-Semitic hate and extremist violence. It has done nothing

but increase across this country. All over America, Jewish people, we have been threatened, beaten, verbally accosted. We have been killed just because of our faith, just because of whom they are—because of whom we are.

We have seen horrendous anti-Semitic incidents and attacks on Jewish communities, at schools and on college campuses, and Jewish-owned places of business, and on synagogues and places of worship.

And incidents of anti-Semitism in the United States and globally have risen dramatically since Hamas's brutal terrorist attack on October 7. All of it—all of it—is wrong and has no place in our country, no place in our society, no place in the world.

Those who commit these egregious acts, they want to send a message. They want to terrorize Jews. They want to scare Jews. They want to intimidate us. And it is vital that we all stand up together and send a clear and forceful message, and the message is this: We will not be afraid.

We will not be afraid.

We cannot be silent. There is desperate need to confront dangerous and growing anti-Semitism in our country and around the world to show that bigoted efforts to intimidate us will not work. We cannot be afraid. We cannot be silent.

That is why I am here on the Senate floor today. By passing this resolution and recognizing Jewish American Heritage Month, we are not just celebrating the numerous accomplishments and contributions that Jews have made to our country, we are sending the message back, a message that Jewish people have a place in America; that this is our home as well; that our lives, that they have value and they have meaning, just like anyone else; and that our country stands with it.

So I thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for allowing this resolution to pass, and I want to thank Senator SCOTT of Florida for his partnership in the effort to counter anti-Semitism and hate everywhere.

So, Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 246 submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 246) recognizing the significance of Jewish American Heritage Month and calling on elected officials and civil society leaders to counter anti-Semitism.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Ms. ROSEN. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 246) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

Ms. ROSEN. Thank you, Madam President. Again, thank you to all my colleagues for passing this important resolution unanimously today.

PROVIDING CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO "CALIFORNIA STATE MOTOR VEHICLE AND ENGINE AND NONROAD ENGINE POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS; THE 'OMNIBUS' LOW NO<sub>x</sub> REGULATION; WAIVER OF PREEMPTION; NOTICE OF DECISION"

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. WICKER. I know of no further business and suggest we proceed to the vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate on the joint resolution?

If not, the clerk will read the title for the third time.

The joint resolution was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

VOTE ON H.J. RES. 89

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The joint resolution having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the joint resolution pass?

Ms. BALDWIN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Alabama (Mrs. BRITT), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), and the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted "yea."

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. HEINRICH) is necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 49, nays 46, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 281 Leg.]

YEAS—49

Banks	Daines	Kennedy
Barrasso	Ernst	Lankford
Boozman	Fischer	Lee
Capito	Graham	Lummis
Cassidy	Grassley	Marshall
Collins	Hagerty	McConnell
Cornyn	Hawley	McCormick
Cotton	Hoeben	Moody
Cramer	Husted	Moreno
Crapo	Hyde-Smith	Mullin
Cruz	Johnson	Murkowski
Curtis	Justice	Paul